MISTER PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 24 AND A QUORUM.

>> WE'RE GOING TO RISE AGAIN.

OUR CONFIRMED CORONAVIRUS CASES HAVE CONFIRMED THE 2093 IN THE LAST WEEK.

WE NEED TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO GET VACCINATED.

WE WANT TO KEEP THEM IN A OUR PRAYERS FOR THOSE OF PAST AND

PLEASE JOIN ME IN A MOMENT OF SILENCE.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN.

LEAVE YOU HAVE THE NEXT TOPIC.

>> THANK YOU MISTER PRESIDENT.

I WOULD LIKE TO INVITE EVERYONE TO THE PODIUM.

>> I WANT TO HONOR MICHELLE FOR THE NTC.

I'VE COME UP THERE FOR THE PROCLAMATION THAT GIVES THEM THE OPPORTUNITY.

CALEB ARE YOU THERE?

>> I'M HERE CAN HEAR ME?

KNOW THAT THE LEGISLATIVE COUNTY IN THE METRO AREA RECOGNIZES AND HONORS ROBIN K HALL WHEREAS NTC FOR THE FEDERAL AND STATE WHEREAS NTC'S MISSION IS TO UPLIFT COMMUNITIES.

>> THE LADIES I LOVE THEIR ENERGY AND THE WAY THEY COMMUNICATE AND EVERYTHING ABOUT THEM.

WITH THE WAY THEY WORK WITH EVERYONE.

I WANT TO GIVE THEM THE OPPORTUNITY.

THEY ARE ON THEIR JOB WORKING AND THAT'S WHAT I LOVE ABOUT THEM. IT'S THEIR ENERGY.

I WANTED TO GIVE MICHELLE AND ROBIN THE OPPORTUNITY TO SAY A FEW WORDS IF EVERYONE IS OKAY WITH THAT.

>> ON BEHALF OF MY BUSINESS PARTNER WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

WE LOVE LOUISVILLE. WE ARE WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS.

WE WILL CONTINUE TO GET BACK TO OUR CITY.

THANK YOU AND WE GREATLY APPRECIATE IT.

>> FIRST AT LIKE TO THINK COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN FOR ALWAYS BEING ON THE PHONE AND ANSWERING MY CALL. I LOVE YOU.

I WANT TO THINK MILLER PIPELINE.

HE CAME TO US FOR GIVING US AN OPPORTUNITY.

THANK YOU FOR BELIEVING IN TWO WOMEN.

[APPLAUSE]

- >> THANK YOU LADIES.
- >> MATTHEW PRESIDENT. >> ALRIGHT THANK YOU DOCTOR SHANKLIN.
- >> MADAME CLERK LET THE RECORD REFLECT.
- >> PRESIDENT I THINK YOU HAVE A SPECIAL GUEST.
- >> DOCTOR MEYER.

>> I DIDN'T RECOGNIZE YOU DRESSED UP.

>> OKAY, AS YOU RECOGNIZE THIS IS THE DOCTOR AND SHE HAS HER FAMILY HERE WITH US TODAY.

WE ARE HERE TO RECOGNIZE THE WORK AND COMMITMENT SHE IS GIVEN TO OUR CITY OVER THE YEARS BECAUSE SHE'S LEAVING US TO GO TO WORK FOR HUMANA.

WE'RE GOING TO MISS YOU DESPERATELY.

WE GOT TOGETHER AND DECIDED THEY.

WE HAVE FOR YOU TO READ.

IT'S AS WHEREAS NECESSARILY A PART OF COLLEGE WHERE SHE GRADUATED FROM THE COLLEGE AT THE CENTER FOR EVALUATIVE CLINICAL SILENCES. WHERE IS DOCTOR MEYER GRADUATED FROM TEMPLE UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND MADE AS A DOCTOR OF MEDICINE AND WHEREAS DOCTOR MOYER COMPLETED POSTGRADUATE WORK IN RESIDENCY FOR THE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE IN JUNE 2013.

WHEREAS DOCTOR MOYER HAS ONE NUMEROUS AWARDS WITH HONORS INCLUDING THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION TO LIVE FIERCE AND FOR THE ENVIRONMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SCHOOL OF MEDICINE WITH THE COMMITTEE PARTNER AWARD.

THE BLUEGRASS CHAPTER OF THE PUBLIC RELATIONS AND COMMUNICATOR FEAR WORK.

FOUNDATIONS 40 UNDER 40 PUBLIC HEALTH.

AND WHEREAS DOCTOR MOYER SENT ON SEVERAL BOARDS AND COMMITTEES INCLUDING PRESIDENT BIDEN'S TRANSITION TEAM IN THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

BIG CITIES HEALTH COALITION AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. WHERE IS SHE BEGAN HER CAREER WITH THE WELLNESS IN JANUARY AS MEDICAL DIRECTOR SERVING AN INTERIM DIRECTOR AND BEING APPOINTED THE DIRECTOR AND CHIEF STRATEGIST IN JULY 2017.

AND WHEREAS DOCTOR MOYER HOLDS AN APPOINTMENT TO THE UNIVERSITY PUBLIC HEALTH AND INFORMATION SENSES.

AND WHEREAS OVER AND ABOVE DOCTOR MOYER HAS SPECIAL ACHIEVEMENTS

AND VOLUMINOUS AWARD HONORING THE APPOINTMENTS THAT SHE HAS YOUTH

TO UPLIFT AND SPOTLIGHT EQUITY.

WHEREAS WITH HER ACCOLADES SHE HOLDS MOTHERHOOD OF JAMES, LANDON.

PATTY AND ROSIE.

AND A WIFE TO DOCTOR JED MOYER IS HER GREATEST ACHIEVEMENTS. WE HEREBY CONFER THIS HONOR WITH THE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND TESTIMONY WE HAVE CALLED THESE LETTERS TO BE MADE IN A SEAL TO ADHERE TO THE EFFECTS DONE THIS NINTH DAY OF JUNE 2022. CONGRATULATIONS, WE LOVE YOU.

[APPLAUSE]

>> THANK YOU.

IT'S SO GREAT TO BE HERE ONE LAST TIME.

IT'S TRULY AN HONOR TO ADD THAT TO MY ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

IT'S BEEN SUCH A PLEASURE TO WORK WITH YOU THE LAST 7 AND A HALF YEARS.

SO MANY THINGS. I WOULD BE OUT HERE WITHOUT YOU SAID THANK YOU. WHICH IS REALLY WILLING TO LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE IT'S EVERYTHING WE HAD TO SAY AND MOST IMPORTANTLY I JUST WANT TO DO ONE MORE TIME THANK MY FAMILY WHO GAVE A LOT OF MY TIME EMOTIONALLY AND TIMEWISE BECAUSE COVID 19 IS STILL HERE.

I JUST THANK THEM FIRST THING WHAT MOMMY DOES AND APPRECIATE THEIR SUPPORT.

[APPLAUSE]

>> DOCTOR MOYER I WAS JUST OUT AGAIN AND THANK YOU.

THIS IS TECHNICALLY A PART-TIME JOB. IT'S THE WORST PART-TIME JOB.

>> I THINK YOUR LETTER CITY THROUGH A NUMBER OF HEALTH CRISES AND I WANT TO ALSO ECHO THE THINGS YOUR FAMILY BECAUSE ANY OF THE DEDICATIONS IN OUR CITY AND YOU SPEND TIME WITH YOUR KIDS AND HUSBAND. WE ARE GRATEFUL FOR THAT.

>>.

- >> I BELIEVE WE HAVE ANOTHER CERTIFICATE.
- >> YOU HAVE ANOTHER SPECIAL GUEST.
- >> ALRIGHT.

OKAY. WE HAVE ANOTHER SPECIAL TODAY.

YOU MAY RECOGNIZE SOME OF THE FOLKS IN BEHIND ME.

JUDGE MOORE.

>> HERE WE GO.

WE ARE HERE TO PAY HOMAGE AND HONOR FOR A GREAT CITIZEN OF THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE.

HER NAME IS SUSAN MEYER MORE.

I'M JUST IN A READ THIS PROCLAMATION BETTER THAN ME TRYING TO EXPLAIN.

>> TO WHOM THESE LETTERS WILL COME GREETINGS.

NO HE WOULD STILL LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HONORS AND RECOGNIZES SUSAN MEYER MORE WHEREAS SUSAN WAS BORN IN JULY 6, 1957 IN TO 100 LEONARD JUNIOR AND MARY MEYER WHO IS SISTER TO BLAME THE THIRD, THOMAS JOYCE AND LENNY.

AND WHEREAS SUSAN ATTENDED SAINT RIGHT FIELD AND EARNED HER UNDERGRADUATE MASTERS DEGREE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE WHEREAS SHE WAS DEDICATED TO HER STUDENTS DEVOTING TIME, TALENT AND COUNTLESS ENERGY EACH DAY DURING HER OVER 30 YEAR TEACHING CAREER IN SAINT MARTHA.

AND GREATHOUSE'S IRAO ELEMENTARY.

AND WHEREAS THE STUDENTS STILL REPEAT AND LIVE BY THE MANTRA SHE SHARED WITH THEM.

THEY ARE A QUINTESSENTIAL.

SHE WAS THE QUINTESSENTIAL TENURE, DAUGHTER, TEACHER,

GRANDMOTHER, PHILANTHROPISTS AND LEADER.

SHE LED A LIFE OF PASSION, SERVICE AND GRACE.

SHE SERVED ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND WAS THE FIRST AND ONLY TWO-TERM PRESIDENT OF THE WOMEN'S GROUP.

SHE LED THEM THROUGH THE CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC AND RAISED OVER \$1.5 MILLION IN SUPPORT OF VARIOUS CHARITIES INCLUDING NORTON CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND SHE WAS FOR THE KIDS FOR KIDS PROGRAM AND FOUNDER OF ORGANIZATIONS.

WHEREAS SHE LOVED HER STUDENTS IN HER COMMUNITY.

UNDENIABLE LOVE OF HER LIGHT.

>> DOES JESSICA. >> AS MUCH AS SHE CHALLENGED JESSICA TO SACRIFICE SO SHE CAN

HAVE A LOCAL SPEECH COMPETITION IN THE ACADEMY SHE ENCOURAGED HER TO RETURN TO FULL POTENTIAL.

>> TESTING, OKAY SORRY WE ARE BACK.

ARE WE BACK TO BROADCASTING?

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE ARE TRULY HONORED FOR THIS PROCLAMATION. WE ARE SO VERY THANKFUL FOR EVERYTHING THAT YOU DO WITH THIS COMMUNITY AS WELL.

[APPLAUSE]

- >> MADAME CLERK LET THE RECORD REFLECT.
- >> SO NOTED.
- >> MADAME CLERK TO WE HAVE ANY INTEREST CONSULTATION THOSE ADDRESSING USING ANY PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO

COUNCIL MEMBERS MAY NOT PROCEED.

- >> MADAME CLERK BRING THEM FORWARD. >>.
- >> THOSE ARE GOING TO BE TOUGH ASKED TO FOLLOW.
- >> MY NAME IS PAT.

FIRST I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO BILL FOR THE PUBLIC SERVICE TIME.

SECOND I WANT TO THANK OLIVIA WHEREVER SHE IS.

SHE TOOK MY CALL AND SHE WAS OUTSTANDING.

I BECAME INTERESTED IN THIS TOPIC BECAUSE I'M AN ACT IN HIGH SCHOOL GULF COAST.

IT'S THE SECOND MOST USE GOLF COURSE.

ONE HIGH SCHOOL COACH STARTED AN ONLINE PETITION WITH THE TURKEY GOLF COURSE.

I'M HERE TO SUPPORT COUNSEL WITH THE AMENDMENT ON GOLF MANAGEMENT.

I'M ALSO IN FAVOR OF TURKEY GOLF WHEN IT FIRST OPENED AND WHEN IT REGAINED HARDWARE COMPASSION TO GO GET IT AND MANAGE IT.

THIS IS NOT THE TIME TO CLOSE IN A GOLF COURSE.

IT COMES IN 2024.

THERE HUNDRED AND 42 PARKS IN OVER 13,000 ACRES.

WHAT BOTHERS ME GREATLY IS THAT THESE ADJACENT RN WALKING DISTANCE.

WHAT IS THE NEED TO BE WORKING AND CONVERTED?

LAND FOR CHEROKEE PARK WAS PURCHASED IN 91.

GOLF WAS FIRST PLATED IN 1885.

THE GOLF ASSOCIATION WAS FOUNDED IN 1894 AND SURVIVED THE

EARLIEST GOLF COURSES IN NORTH AMERICA.

CHEROKEE IS ONE OF THE OLDEST GOLF COURSES IN AMERICA.

PLEASE DON'T CLOSE IT.

CALL LOUISVILLE PARKS AND RECREATION.

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE RECREATION.

LIKE THIS ARE MANY OPPORTUNITIES.

THOMAS JEFFERSON SAID HONESTY IS THE FIRST CHAPTER IN THE BOOK WITH THEM.

AS A RETIRED CPA I FOUND IT DISTURBING THAT THERE'S NO PUBLIC FORM ABOUT GOLF AND ITS DETAILS.

IT PROVIDES ACCESS TO GOLF AND IS THE MOST CENTRAL COURSE AND STARTED IN 1880.

IT'S ONE OF THE MOST FULL COURSES IN AMERICA.

SEVERAL ORGANIZERS WILL HOST.

THOUSANDS OF EXPRESSED INTEREST IN PLAYING WITH FRIENDS AND FAMILY BECAUSE OF THE HISTORY OF THE COURSE.

IMAGINE THAT.

IT'S GREAT FOR PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE TIME OR MONEY.

BOTTOM LINE TURKEYS GREAT TO PLAY.

GOLF IS MANY UNIQUE BENEFITS FOR THOSE WHO HAVE STRATEGY, PATIENCE AND HONESTY.

GOLFERS TEND TO LEARN HOW TO ENGAGE IN THE PLAN AND ENCOURAGES THE COMPETITION THE SOCIAL BENEFITS OF GOLF ARE UNLIMITED AND GIVE MANY SENIORS A PURPOSE.

GOLF IS ALSO THROUGH CHARITIES AND RAISING MONEY. OVER MILLION DOLLARS HAVE BEEN RAISED IN OUR CHEROKEE CHARITIES.

ONE EXAMPLE OF CHRTAS IS THE PGA. THINK OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AWAY EVERY YEAR.

I ASKED THAT YOU LET THIS CONTINUE.

HE SHOULD GIVE ACCESS TO GOLF TO EVERYONE IN THE LEVEL.

>> BRIAN SELLER.

>>.

>> AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS BRIAN SELLER AND I'M HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE PROPOSED

AMENDMENTS.

IT'S BEEN PUT FORTH BY COUNSEL PERSON FOWLER.

I STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT THE PROCESS PROPOSED CHANGES WILL BRING PROBLEMS AND EXPANSION OF OUR GOLF COURSE.

I THINK THAT PARTLY WHEN YOU HAVE THIRD-PARTY INTERPRETATIONS OF WHAT MY PROBLEM OR ANYBODY'S PROBLEM IS NO ONE SHOULD BE LEFT

ALONE TO DEAL WITH MENTAL ISSUES.

IT'S LIKE BEING WITH A PERSON THAT IS LIKE A STICK OF DYNAMITE.

YOU DON'T KNOW WHEN THEY ARE GOING TO EXPLODE.

THE DNB INTAKE REPRESENTS DOCTORS NEED TO BE MORE ONBOARD.

THEY NEED TO BE PRESENT WHEN OBSERVATIONS AND PATIENTS NEED TO BE PRESENCE WHEN INFORMATION IS PRESENTED TO THE DOCTOR BY A THIRD PARTY. THEY ARE NOT EVEN THERE IT'S LIKE THE INTERPRETER TAKES THE INFORMATION TO THE DOCTOR AND THEN THE PATIENT IS OUTSIDE IN THE WAITING ROOM OR SOMETHING AWAITING TO BE SEEN.

AS I TIME?

CAN I FINISH?

ALRIGHT, JUST READ OVER IT AND WHAT I'M ASKING EACH OF MY COUNCILMEMBERS TO DO IS THINK ABOUT PEOPLE FALLING THROUGH THE CRACKS OF OUR SYSTEM.

WE JUST NEED TO FIX THAT CRACK AND HELP OUR PEOPLE THAT HAVE MENTAL ISSUES WHEN BEING INVOLVED WITH 39 DIFFERENT INSTITUTIONS WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING.

PLEASE HELP.

>> NANCY COOPERSMITH?

MA'AM ARE YOU THERE?

>> MA'AM WE CAN HEAR YOU YOU ARE MUTED.

WE CANNOT HEAR YOU.

- >> NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF MAY 19, 2022. ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONS OR CORRECTIONS? >> MOTION TO ACCEPT.
- >> THANK YOU. IT'S BEEN MOTIONED BY COUNCILMEMBER TRAUTMAN AND SECONDED. THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. >> AYE. >> OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.
- >>> NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE COMMITTEE MINUTES AND 2022.

REGULAR COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, MAY 19, 2022.

REGULAR PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE MEET 31ST 2022.

REGULAR LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MAY 31, 2022. REGULAR WORKS COMMITTEE MAY 31, 2022.

REGULAR GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE ME 3120 22.

REGULAR COMMITTEE FARES, HOUSING, HEALTH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE JUNE 1, 2022. APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE JUNE 1, 2022. PARKS AND

SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE JUNE 2, 2022. REGULAR COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION JUNE 2, 2022.

- >> BUDGET COMMITTEE JUNE 2, 2022. PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY JUNE 7, 2022.
- >> I MOVED TO APPROVE. >> SECOND. >> THOSE APPROVED.
- >> AYE. >> DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER?

WE DO HAVE REAPPOINTMENTS AS PRESENTED.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ZONING ADJUSTMENT ORDINANCE I'M REPORTING THE FOLLOWING. THE HOWARD EXPIRING JUNE 30, 2025.

THE PROMPT ACTION IS MUCH APPRECIATED SINCERELY GREG FISHERMAN.

>> I'M APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING ALISHA RICHARDSON A NEW

APPOINTMENT EXPIRING FEBRUARY 9, 2025.

>> PORTLAND EXPIRING FEBRUARY 9, 2025.

YOUR PROMPT ATTENTION TO THESE ARE SINCERELY APPRECIATED.

>>.

[READING REAPPOINTMENT OF APPOINTMENTS.

- >> THANK YOU MADAM CLERK.
- >> THE NEXT ITEM IS A NOTE OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO METRO COUNCIL RULES.

THE RULES MAY BE AMENDED ADOPTED BY THE ENTIRE COUNSEL PROVIDED NOTE OF THE VOTE AND A COPY OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT GIVEN TO THE PREVIOUS MEETINGS AND APPEAR ON THE AGENDA.

MADAM CLERK PLEASE READ ITEM NUMBER 24. >> AMENDING RULE 6.04 OF JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT

RELATED TO THE SUBMISSION OF LEGISLATION READ IN FULL.

>> OUR NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR. IT COMPRISES ITEM NUMBER 25 TO 39.

DOES ANYONE WISH TO REMOVE OR ADD TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR? MADAM CLERK THE READING OF THESE ITEMS CLEAN.

THE FOLLOWING WAS FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATION COMMITTEE.

. ITEM 22. AN ORDINANCE APPROVING 6125 FROM DISTRICT 9 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS TO THE DIVISION OF COMMUNITY FORCED HER TO PAY FOR WEEKLY TREE WATERING ON FRANKFORT AVENUE.

AND 28 IS A RESOLUTION HONORING BONITA ELLIS WAY BY DEDICATING THE INTERSECTION OF WEST MAIN STREET IN SOUTH FOR THE FIFTH STREET. ITEM 29 IS APPOINTING CHRIS GOSNELL TO THE OKLAHOMA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT. RETURN EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2025.

NEXT IS REPAYMENT OF CHARLES FORD TO THE PLEASURE RIDGE PARK FIRE DISTRICT BOARD TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2025.

ITEM 32 IS REAPPOINTMENT OF KATHY WRIGLEY TO THE SAINT MATTHEWS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD. DETERMINE BUYERS JUNE 30, 2025.

>> REPAYMENT OF THOMAS WILL BE TO THE ANCHORAGE METAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD ITEM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2025.

ITEM 35 IS THE APPOINTMENT OF ALEXANDER NEWMAN TO THE HUMAN RELATIONS ADVOCACY BOARD EXPIRING SEPTEMBER 30, 2023.

ITEM 36 IS THE APPOINTMENT OF LEAH PUGH TO THE HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD EXPIRING AUGUST 15, 2023.

ITEM 37 IS REPAYMENT OF CHERYL HOGAN TO THE HEAVY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2025.

ITEM 38 IS A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE GROUND LEASE OPTION AGREEMENT FOR PROPERTY AT 148 N. CLAY STREET TO BE LEASED TO LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT AS PART OF THE DAVID ARMSTRONG SCREEN PARK. THE FOLLOWING WHICH STATION WAS FORDED FROM PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE. ITEM 39 IS A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON

COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION ACT AGAINST THE OWNERS OF

CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL NUMBER 30 IN JEFFERSON COUNTY IN CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER CHANNEL ROAD EXTENSION PROJECT. READ IN FULL.

- >> MAY HAVE A MOTION IN A SECOND. >> MOTION.
- >> SECOND.
- >> THE CONSENT CALENDAR HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED IN SECONDED RECORDING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

WE PLEASE OPEN THE ROLE FOR THOSE VOTING IN THE CHAMBER.

>> MADAM CLERK WE PLEASE CALL THE ROLE FOR THOSE THAT ARE REMOTE? [ROLL CALL] YOU 25 YES VOTES IN ZERO NO VOTES.

THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES.

MADAM CLERK READING OF ITEM NUMBER 40 PLEASE.

>> AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE FOURTH ROUND OF AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ARP LOCAL PHYSICAL REPUGNANT RECOVERY FUNDING OF LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS TO ADDRESS THE CONTINUED IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS ON THE ECONOMY, PUBLIC HEALTH, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESS. AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION READ IN FULL. >> A MOTION IN A SECOND.

- >> MOTION. >> SECOND.
- >> IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?
- >> THANK YOU MISTER PRESIDENT. THIS IS THE FOURTH ROUND OF AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN FUNDING.

THE APPROPRIATED FUNDS WOULD BE \$73,249,400.

THIS WOULD LEAD \$67 MILLION ON APPROPRIATED.

THERE ARE PROPOSALS HERE WHICH HAVE COME OUT OF THREE DIFFERENT WORKGROUPS. EVERY PROPOSAL HAS BEEN REVIEWED BY A WORKGROUP. EITHER THEY WORK FOR WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT GROUPS THAT HELP THE NEIGHBORHOODS OR THE PUBLIC SAFETY WORKGROUP.

THERE ARE HISTORIC INVESTMENTS IN THIS PROPOSAL.

BOTH FOR YOUTH AND THOSE LEARNING EARLY DEVELOPMENT.

THIS IS A PUBLIC SAFETY EFFORT BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT TO ADDRESS OUR PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES IN THE COMMUNITY WE HAVE TO START DOING IN WORKING WITH YOUTH AT A YOUNGER AGE.

THERE ARE HISTORIC INVESTMENTS IN LIBRARIES, PORTLAND, PARKLAND AND FERN CREEK.

AND ARMY LIBRARY. ONE OF THE THINGS WE'VE DONE REALLY WELL IN THIS COMMUNITY IN THE LAST TWO YEARS IS BILLED THREE GREAT WORLD-CLASS REGIONAL

LIBRARIES.

THIS WILL WORK ON ARMY LIBRARY.

THERE ARE HISTORIC INVESTMENTS IN OUR ENVIRONMENT.

CLEANING UP A BROWNFIELD.

AND THE POLLUTED POND AND ONE OF OUR PARKS.

THERE ARE FUNDS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS TO CONTINUE ADDRESSING CORONAVIRUS AND THERE ARE FUNDS FOR BROADBAND FOR UNSERVED AREAS IN COUNCILMAN BENSON'S DISTRICT AND OTHER PLACES

AND UNDERSERVED AREAS IN THE COUNTY. THERE IS MORE.

I WOULD URGE YOUR APPROVAL FOR THIS. I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE THAT'S WORKED ON THE WORKGROUPS TO GET

US TO THIS POINT. THERE IS STILL MORE WORK TO BE DONE FORTUNATELY THERE ARE MORE FUNDS AVAILABLE BUT I DO THINK THAT WHAT WE HAVE DONE WITH THIS

ROUND AND WITH PREVIOUS ROUNDS IS BEGIN TO ADDRESS SOME THINGS THAT WE HAVE WANTED TO DO IN THIS COMMUNITY BUT HAVEN'T HAD THE FUNDS TO DO.

AND SO I WOULD URGE YOUR APPROVAL.

OR MAYBE A COUPLE OF AMENDMENTS AND PEOPLE MAY WANT TO QUEUE AND FOR THOSE.

- >> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.
- >> I WOULD JUST LIKE TO START BY SAYING AND THINKING COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER FOR THE LEADERSHIP AND WORKGROUPS IN THEIR WORK. MARGARET HANNA MAKER WITH FOR HER WORK ON THIS.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE HISTORIC INVESTMENTS ACROSS A NUMBER OF AREAS AND I'M EXCITED BECAUSE I THINK EVERYONE IN THE COMMUNITY SHOULD BE EXCITED. THAT SAID I DO HAVE AN AMENDMENT LOADED ON THE FLOOR AND IN THE

SYSTEM TO MOVE ONE OF THE PROJECTS CONSIDERED GOING INTO THE CAPITAL BUDGET.

IT \$6 MILLION FOR THE INSTITUTE.

THE AMENDMENT IS TO MOVE THAT BACK INTO ARP.

IT FREEZES OUR CAPACITY AS WE GET INTO THE BUDGET DISCUSSION A COUPLE OF WEEKS.

OR NEXT BOOK I GUESS TO FINALIZE THE BUDGET AND CREATE MOVES WHICH IS A PRIORITY FOR MOST OF US.

I WOULD MOVE THAT AS AN AMENDMENT.

>> WEAVE A MOTION IN A SECOND.

IS ANY DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT?

>> THANK YOU MISTER PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO EXPRESS FIRST AND REITERATE EVERYTHING THAT THE

COUNSELOR WILL COMMENCE AT ABOUT THE CURRENT ORDINANCE.

AS FAR AS DAMON IS CONCERNED I FULLY SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT. I THINK THIS PROJECT BELONGS MORE ACCURATELY IN THE BUDGET AS

OPPOSED TO IN THE REGULAR CAPITAL BUDGET AND IF ANYBODY HAS BEEN, I KNOW NOT EVERYONE CAN BUT PAYING ATTENTION TO THE PUBLIC WORK

SECTIONS IN THE PAVING SECTIONS OF THE BUDGET DISCUSSION.

THERE WAS SOME DEBATE OVER WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD BE AT 30 OR \$32 MILLION IN PAVING PER YEAR.

WITHIN A 28 MILLION.

WE THOUGHT THAT WAS ENOUGH TO CATCH UP.

THOSE ESTIMATED ABOUT SEVEN YEARS AGO.

THAT'S NOT TRUE.

WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS WHEN PUBLIC WORKS REDID THE ROAD STUDY THIS

PAST YEAR, ABOUT A YEAR AGO NOW I GAVE THEM A SECOND POINT OF REFERENCE THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE WHEN I DID THE FIRST STUDY SEVEN YEARS AGO.

SEVEN YEARS AGO THEY WERE GUESSING AT THE LEVEL OF ROAD DETERIORATION THAT WAS OCCURRING.

AND THEN THE CURRENT STATE OF THE ROAD IS HOW THEY CAME UP WITH THE 20 MILLION PER YEAR. THE BOTTOM LINE IS THEY UNDERESTIMATED AND I WANT TO GIVE EVERYBODY SOME CONTEXT AS TO HOW FAR THEY UNDERESTIMATED.

NOT THROUGH ANY FAULT OF THEIR OWN THEY JUST HAD TO MAKE AN EDUCATED GUESS.

THEIR CURRENT PROPOSAL IS A SEVEN-YEAR PROPOSAL TO CATCH UP. THE PROPOSAL STARTED LAST YEAR.

IN OTHER WORDS THE FISCAL YEAR THAT WE ARE WRAPPING UP THAT WE WOULD'VE SPENT 30 MILLION ON ROAD PAVING.

WE NEED 26 MILLION JUST TO MAINTAIN THE EXISTING ROAD.

WE ONLY SPENT 20 MILLION.

EVEN IF WE GET TO 30 MILLION THIS YEAR THIS PROPOSAL ACTUALLY RECOMMENDS THAT THIS YEAR WE WOULD'VE DONE 32 MILLION AND AGAIN THE ANNUAL IS IN THE \$26-\$27 MILLION RANGE.

WE ARE ALREADY STARTING TO WEDGE BEHIND THE BALL HERE.

IN TRYING TO CONTINUE OUR EFFORT TO CATCH UP.

IN LOOKING AT THIS WHERE THIS CAME UP IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE IT MADE SENSE TO SAY OKAY WHAT IS THIS PROJECT REALLY?

IN MY OPINION I THINK COUNCILMAN WENGER FIRST PUTTING IT THERE.

JUST MY PERSONAL OPINION, I WISH THIS PROJECT WAS BEING FUNDED

DIFFERENTLY. I'M NOT A HUGE FAN AND I'M GOING TO VOTE FOR IT BUT I'M NOT A HUGE FAN OF WHEATS BEING FUNDED AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE OTHER PRIVATE DONOR AND REVELL.

THAT IS NOT THE WAY I WOULD'VE LIKED THIS TO HAPPEN.

I WOULD LIKE TO JUST PARTNER WITH THEM AND THAT'S NOT THE

CIRCUMSTANCE BUT THERE IS A CLEAR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY FOR THIS.

EVEN JOKING AROUND IN THE BACK OF THE NAPKIN CUT GETTING WITH THE INCREASE IN OCCUPATIONAL TAX WOULD BE AND OTHERS AND IT LOOKS PRETTY GOOD CONSIDERING THE INVESTMENT.

THANK YOU FOR THE AMENDMENT. I'M GOING TO BE SPORTING AND AGAIN I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE

DON'T CONTINUE TO LAY THE GROUNDWORK.

I AM AGREEING TO THIS AS COUNCILMAN WINKLER SAID BECAUSE THIS IMMEDIATELY MOVES OR COULD MOVE IF WE PUT THE AMENDMENT THIS WAY IN THE BUDGET \$6 MILLION INTO THE CURRENT \$20 MILLION AND I WOULD TELL YOU THAT THAT STILL DOESN'T GET AS CLOSE.

WE NEED TO ADD MORE AS WE AMEND THIS BUDGET.

>> ARE THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION POINTS FOR THIS AMENDMENT?

>> YOU KNOW THAT I HAVE AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE.

THIS APPROPRIATION GOES TO A SEPARATE FOUNDATION BOARD. I SOUGHT WRITTEN GUIDANCE FROM THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WHO FELT THAT BECAUSE IT IS A SEPARATE FORT IT DOES NOT EMPLOYEE NOR DO I SERVE ON THE BOARD BUT THERE WILL BE NO ETHICAL CONFLICT REACHED OUT TO OUR OUTSIDE COUNSEL A WEEK AGO TO CONFIRM THAT. WE CONSULTED THE COUNTY'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE EARLIER PRIOR TO THIS MEETING AND I FELT THAT I WAS JUSTIFIED IN RELYING ON THE INTERNAL OPINION

THAT SAID I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST A ROLL CALL VOTES AND I CAN ABSTAIN FROM THE AMENDMENT HOWEVER I WILL BE VOTING ON THE UNDERLYING ARP ORDINANCE.

- >> JUST FOR THE PUBLIC THIS AMENDMENT IS LOADED INTO THE SYSTEM. IT'S THE FIRST ONE UNDER THE LEGISLATION TAX PROPOSED JUNE 9, 2022 WITH A REVISED SCHEDULE A.
- >> IS THERE ANY OTHER COMMENT ON THIS PARTICULAR AMENDMENT? HEARING ON THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.
- >> AYE. >> I'M SORRY, WE HAVE TO DO A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAME CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE ROLE.

WE PLEASE CALL THE ROLE FOR THOSE THAT ARE NOT IN CHAMBER. [ROLL CALL]

>>.

- >> THE AMENDMENT PASSES.
- >> COUNCILMAN GEORGE.
- >> I HAVE AN AMENDMENT TO MAKE AND I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S LOADED INTO THE SYSTEM. ANOTHER COUNTY ATTORNEY HOLLY HOPKINS SENT THAT OUT BY EMAIL AND

I'M NOT SURE THAT FOLKS WERE ABLE TO OPEN THE ATTACHMENT. I WANT TO START BY SAYING THAT I'VE HEARD WORDS LIKE HISTORIC AND TRANSFORMATIVE USE TO DESCRIBE THE LEVEL OF ART PENDING THAT'S BEING MADE IN OUR CITY.

I THINK FOR MANY AREAS IN METRO THAT PROMISE IS BEING DELIVERED AND UNFORTUNATELY THAT POTENTIAL IS NOT SEEN IN THIS FOURTH-ROUND IN RELATION TO OUR SOUTH LOUISVILLE NEIGHBORHOODS WHERE THERE IS A DISPROPORTIONATE NUMBERS OF CENSUS TRACTS AND DISPARATE HEALTH OUTCOMES. WHEN YOU LOOK DOWN THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION MUST IN THE CORRESPONDING PROPOSALS YOU WILL SEE NO DIRECT INVESTMENT IN SOUTH LOUISVILLE OUTSIDE OF A \$500,000 ALLOTMENT FOR TENNIS COURTS AT THE PARK THAT WAS RECOMMENDED WITHOUT ENGAGEMENT FROM

FRIENDS OR EXISTING PARK USERS.

THE LARGER COMMUNITY PROJECT PROPOSALS DO NOT HAVE DEFINED GEOGRAPHIC AREAS OF FOCUS. UNLESS WEEK'S BUDGET MEETING IT WAS CLEAR AND DESCRIPTIONS WERE

NOT FELT IN THE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS.

WITH THAT I'D LIKE TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT FOR FUNDING TO ADDRESS VACANT AND AMEND ABANDONED PROPERTIES FOR AT-RISK COMMUNITIES IN SOUTH-CENTRAL.

TO THESE LOCATIONS.

THIS WOULD BE FOR \$750,000 TO BE SENT TO DEVELOP LOUISVILLE TO EXPAND THE FORECLOSURES.

THIS OFFERS THE OPPORTUNITY TO INVEST IN SOUTH LOUISVILLE NEIGHBORHOODS THAT ARE AFFECTED BY ENVIRONMENTAL INJUSTICE AND THERE'S A FULL BODY OF RESEARCH ABOUT THE CORRELATION BETWEEN VACANT PROPERTIES AND NEGATIVE HEALTH OUTCOMES.

ON MAY 26 AT THE BUDGET HEARING FOR DEVELOP LOUISVILLE WE ARE THAT THERE'S AN ESTIMATED 2000 ABANDONED PROPERTIES AND WITH THE CURRENT BUDGET ALLOCATION IT TOUCHES LESS THAN 5% OF THOSE. WE ALSO HEARD DURING THAT SAME HEARING THE DEVELOP LOUISVILLE VALUES A STRATEGY TO MAXIMIZE INVESTMENTS BY CONCENTRATING THOSE

ALLOCATIONS TO NEIGHBORHOODS MENTIONED IN THE REPORT.

THAT IS A GOOD STRATEGY FOR THE CITY.

IT FUNDAMENTALLY LEAVES OUT CERTAIN NEIGHBORHOODS.

AREAS THAT WITH JUST A BIT OF INVESTMENT COULD HAVE A

TRANSFORMATIVE IMPACT SINCE WE KNOW ADDRESSING VACANT PROPERTIES IS FUNDAMENTAL TO HELP FOR NEIGHBORHOODS.

>> THAT IS IN THE FORM OF A MOTION. >> YES, SIR. >> WE HAVE A SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

AS ANY DISCUSSION UPON THE AMENDMENT?

>> THANK YOU MISTER PRESIDENT.

I SAT ON THE HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOOD OUR COMMITTEE AND WE WORKED LONG AND HARD ON THE PROCESS OF SETTING MANY DIFFERENT PROPOSALS. MOST WERE WORTHY OF THE PROPOSALS AND WHILE I SUPPORT AND AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE IS CONCERNED ABOUT LACK OF FUNDING IN THE SOUTHWEST AREAS I HAVE MAJORS CONCERNS MYSELF BECAUSE WE HAD A PROCESS.

THE PROCESS WAS IF YOU HAD SOMETHING YOU WANTED TO INCLUDE ON THAT COMMITTEE THEN IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT FORTH OR MAYBE YOU SHOULD'VE ASKED TO BE ON THE COMMITTEE.

WE HAVE AROUND FIVE COMING UP IN THE FALL AND I FEEL LIKE WE REALLY NEED TO STICK TO THE PROCESS THAT WE HAVE USED OVER THE LAST SIX MONTHS.

I THINK IF WE DON'T WE ARE SENDING A PRECEDENT AND IT CAN BECOME A FREE FOR ALL.

I REALLY THINK THAT THERE WERE MANY THINGS AND I COULD GO INTO THE BIRTHING CENTER AT ST. MARY'S ELIZABETH HOSPITAL AT THE SOUTH END.

YOU CAN'T BIRTH THE BABY ON THE WEST SIDE OF MY 65 UNLESS YOU DO IN YOUR HOME.

THE HARBOR HOUSE GENERATIONAL PROGRAMMING WITH THEIR INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE SOUTHWEST VETERANS HOUSING CENTRALLY

LOCATED AQUATIC CENTER, THOSE WHERE BEFORE US.

WHAT I UNDERSTAND COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE IS AGREEING TO DO I FEEL LIKE THIS IS SOMETHING WE SHOULD ADDRESS IN THE PROCESS THAT WE HAVE BEEN USING AND ADJUST THIS IN ROUND FIVE.

I WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT.

- >> ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM?
- >> I WANT TO SAY THAT WE CLEARLY HAVE A BREAKDOWN IN THAT PROCESS.
- >> THE COUNCILMAN ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THERE IS A GAP HERE AND THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO INFUSE A BIT OF INVESTMENTS THAT

DEMONSTRATES A COMMITMENT TO OUR ENTIRE CITY. THANK YOU.

- >> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.
- >> THOSE OPPOSED?
- NO. >> MADAME CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE ROLE.

ARE NINE PLEASE CALL THE ROLE FOR THE CHAMBER.

>>. [ROLL CALL]

>>.

- >> YOU HAVE 10 UNITS BOTH AND 15 NO VOTES. >> THE AMENDMENT FAILS.
- AS ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?
- >> EVERYTHING IS AUTOMATICALLY AND IT'S OKAY.

IF YOU DON'T HAVE IT IT'S A BIG DEAL.

INC. YOU SO MUCH.

- >> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG?
- >> I JUST WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE HISTORIC MONEY INCLUDED IN THIS PROPOSAL. IT IS THE FIRST TIME THAT OUR CITY HAS EVER SYSTEMATICALLY

INVESTED IN CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF FIVE.

IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS HISTORIC AND I HOPE IT'S THE FIRST STEP THAT WE CAN BUILD ON AND HOPE THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT WILL IMPACT EVERY DISTRICT IN OUR COMMUNITY.

>> I WANT TO JUST MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THAT THE INVESTMENT IS BEING MADE IN OUR CITY POOLS IN THIS FUNDING AND NORTON POOL WILL HAVE A REMAKE IN THE SAME FOOTPRINT.

IT'S GONNA BE A WONDERFUL THING AND I'M EXCITED AND CAN'T WAIT TO SEE THAT OUTCOME.

THANK YOU.

- >> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? >> HEARING NONE.
- >> I'M SORRY COUNCILMAN FLAT. >> I WOULD LIKE TO INTEGRATE THAT FOR THOSE OF US THAT AREN'T ON

THE ARP COMMITTEES THAT WE'VE HEARD SOME OF YOUR COLLEAGUES SPEAK LOUD AND CLEAR ABOUT THE NEED FOR FORECLOSURES IN THE SOUTH END. I WILL TELL YOU THAT I HAVE BEEN ON THE METRO COUNCIL SINCE THE MERGER BEGAN. THERE WAS ONE ATTEMPT TO FORECLOSE ON ONE OF THESE PROPERTIES

RECENTLY AND THE ONLY REASON IT WAS ATTEMPTED TO FORECLOSE THIS BECAUSE OF THE AMOUNT OF LIENS OWED TO THE CITY.

THAT WAS THE ONLY TIME IN MY ENTIRE TERMS HERE THAT ANYTHING WAS EVER TRIED TO BE FORECLOSED ON.

PLEASE TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN YOU GO BACK TO THE BOARD IN THE FALL.

>> IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE PLEASE CALL THE ROLE.

>>.

[ROLL CALL]

>> PLEASE READ ITEM NUMBER 41. >> AN ORDINANCE OMITTING CHAPTER 42 OF THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES TO PERMIT A MANAGER TO MANAGE

NINE HOLES.

- >> I HAVE A MOTION? >> MOTION.
- >> SECOND. >> THE MOTION PASSES.
- >> THE NINE COURSES WERE PUT OUT FOR BED AND NONE OF THE NINE COURSES GOT ANY VIABLE BIDS.

THEY RUN THE COURSE AS A GOLF COURSE.

SO, I FELT THAT IT WAS NECESSARY SINCE WE DID GET TWO OF THOSE COURSES OUT FOR BID THAT IT WOULD BE ONLY FAIR THAT WE TRIED TO DO CHEROKEE AND GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE RAN BY A MANAGER OR A GOLF PRO IF WE CAN'T FIND A GOLF PRO THAN A MANAGER WILL WORK. THAT'S JUST THE GIST OF THIS.

I REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT THANK YOU.

- >> IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?
- >> THANK YOU MISTER PRESIDENT. I'M GOING TO BE A NO VOTE TONIGHT FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS.

I MIGHT BE THE ONLY NO VOTE BECAUSE IT'S PRIMARILY A OBJECTION TO PROCESS.

I WILL SAY BEFORE I GET INTO SOME OF MY PROCESS CONCERNS I DO HAVE SOME CONCERNS THAT THIS IS BAD POLICY AS IT'S WRITTEN ALTHOUGH I'M NOT AND IMMENSELY AGAINST HAVING NON-PGO PROS RUN NINE-HOLE COURSES.

I THINK THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE.

THIS ORDINANCE CONTAINS NO STANDARD THAT THOSE MANAGERS HAVE TO MEET AND SO THERE IS LITERALLY NO SAFETY RAILS TO ENSURE A PERSON THAT'S GIVEN A MULTIMILLION DOLLAR PUBLIC ASSET HAS ANY KNOWLEDGE OR EXPERIENCE ABOUT HOW TO RUN IT.

ADDITIONALLY I WORRY THAT THE RFP LANGUAGE IS POORLY DESIGNED AND REQUIRES PARKS TO ISSUE AN RFP ONE YEAR AFTER THEY TAKE CONTROL OF A GOLF COURSE REGARDLESS OF IF THERE ARE THIRD-PARTY PROMOTION OR NOT.

THAT SETS UP A SITUATION WHERE PARKS COULD BE IN ACTIVE NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE PARTY BUT STILL HAVE TO BEGIN THE RFP

PROCESS BECAUSE OF THE TIMELINE ESTABLISHED BY THIS ORDINANCE. I THINK THOSE ARE COMMONSENSE POLICY CHANGES AND I RAISE THEM IN COMMITTEE.

THEY WERE REJECTED AND I WORRY THAT THEY WERE REJECTED BECAUSE THIS ORDINANCE IS NOT ABOUT THINKING HOLISTICALLY ABOUT GOLF AS A WHOLE BUT ABOUT MAKING SURE THE TURKEY GOLF COURSE REMAINS A GOLF COURSE AND PUT IN POLICY, BARRIERS IN PLACE TO GUARD OTHER OUTCOMES. I WANT TO GIVE MY VERSION OF THE HISTORY OF THAT HAPPENING IN CHEROKEE GOLF COURSE.

AS I DO SO I WILL REMIND THIS BODY THAT I'M THE ONLY MEMBER OF THE STUDY THAT HAS CHEROKEE GOLF COURSE IN MY DISTRICT. FOR MY COLLEAGUES, IN 2019 PARKS ISSUED AN RFP TO RUN THE GOLF COURSE I THERE IS A GOLF COURSE OR SOMETHING ELSE ACCORDING TO THE LANGUAGE IN THE RFP.

THE ONLY RESPONSE TO THE PROPOSAL WAS FROM THE PARKS CONSERVANCY. THEY PROPOSE CONVERTING THE LAND TO A PUBLIC PARK WITH PUBLIC AMENITIES.

SPECIFICALLY THEY SUGGESTED BUILDING A BOATHOUSE TO PROVIDE FOR BOAT RENTALS, OPENING A CAF? TO SERVE TURKEY PARK AND SETTING UP A PUTTING GREEN AND EXHIBITS HONOR THE LEGACY OF TURKEY GOLF COURSE.

ADDITIONALLY THEY WOULD MAKE PARK TRAILS AND RETURN SOME OF THE LAND ACTIVE PARKLAND.

I WAS A PEOPLE MY DISTRICT WERE EXCITED ABOUT THE PROCESS. I THINK THAT WE SHOULD AT LEAST BEEN EXCITED TO CONSIDER IT. AN ORGANIZATION WAS PROPOSING INVESTING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO CREATE AN OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AMENITY THAT EVERYONE COULD ENJOY. INSTEAD OF INVESTING \$1.1 MILLION IN DEFERRED MAINTENANCE TO MAINTAIN A GOLF COURSE WITH TWO OTHER PUBLIC GOLF COURSES WITHIN 3 MILES WE COULD BUILD SOMETHING DIFFERENT AT NO COST TO THE TAXPAYER.

SOMETHING WORTH CONSIDERING.

I WANT TO GIVE YOU SOME NUMBERS ABOUT TURKEY GOLF COURSE. JUST SO YOU CAN SEE WHY THE PUBLIC IS SO INTERESTED.

THE GOLF COURSE IS 54 ACRES, 11,413 ROUNDS OF GOLF PLAYED LAST YEAR.

211 PEOPLE PER ACRE PER DAY.

OR LESS THAN 1 PERSON PER ACRE PER DAY.

IN CONTRAST CHEROKEE PARK SAW 1.5 MILLION VISITORS WHICH OVER 389 ACRES ROUNDS OUT TO 3,856 PEOPLE PER ACRE PER YEAR.

THAT IS 18 TIMES MORE THE USAGE.

ANYONE WHO IS FAMILIAR WITH CHEROKEE PARK RUN THROUGH THE PARK EVERYDAY, WILL TELL YOU THE PARK IS WELL UTILIZED THE GOLF COURSE IN CONTRAST IS USED BY A SMALLER NUMBER OF PEOPLE AND A LOT OF PEOPLE WILL TELL YOU THEY LIKE IT BECASUE ITS NOT AS BUSY, FEWER PEOPLE USE IT.

TALKS TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL WERE PUT ON HOLD BECAUSE OF COVID, ITS BEING TALKED ABOUT AGAIN. PARKS POSTED PUBLIC NOTICE AND HOSTED TWO WELL ATTENDED COMMUNITY MEETINGS.

THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE SPOKE IN FAVOR, BUT IT WAS CLOSELY SPLIT.

PARKS GATHERED INFORMATION AND SUBMITTED IT TO COUNCIL WITH THE RECOMMENDATION WE

REPURPOSE THE GOLF COURSE AND THEY REQUESTED THIS BODY VOTE ON THAT RECOMMENDATION.

THE DEBATE WHETHER TO CLOSE THAT COURSE WOULD BE A TOUGH ONE BUT I BELIEVE WE CAN HAVE THAT CONVERSATION.

THAT HAS NOT HAPPENED HERE.

INSTEAD AFTER THE RECOMMENDATION THIS

ORDINANCE WAS FILED WHICH HALTED THAT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS.

IT REQUIRED A NEW RFP TO BE FILED.

THIS SORT OF PROCEDURAL MANEUVERING THAT CHANGES THE RULES AFTER THE PUBLIC IS INTERESTED IN A PROCESS, IS EXACTLY WHAT CAUSES PEOPLE TO LOSE FAITH IN GOVERNMENT.

I REFUSE TO LET THE CONVERSATION BE HALTED TONIGHT THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING ANY GROUP FOR AN IDEA ON HOW WE COULD USE THE 50 ACRES TO RESPOND TO THE NEW RFP.

THE RFP SHOULD BE ISSUED NEXT WEEK.

TELL US WHAT YOU CAN DO TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE PUBLIC WITH THIS LAND THEN WE ON COUNCIL CAN HAVE A FULLY INFORMED CONVERSATION.

MAYBE IT REMAINS A GOLF COURSE.

MAYBE IT IS REPURPOSED.

REGARDLESS IT IS A CONVERSATION THAT SHOULD NOT END TONIGHT.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>>THANK YOU.

I ASK MY COUNCIL TO MAKE SURE YOUR PHONES ARE ON SILENT.

COUNCILMAN GEORGE?

>>THANK YOU, PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO SAY ON THE FRONT END I DO NOT DISAGREE WITH THE PROPOSAL HERE AS IT RELATES TO HAVING SOMEONE WHO IS EXPERIENCED MANAGING A 9 HOLE COURSE I THINK THAT MAKES GOOD SENSE.

I THINK THAT IS IMPORTANT THE OTHER PIECE THAT I DO HEAR IS THAT THERE SHOULD BE A TIME COMPONENT THAT

PARKS IS CALLED TO ASK.

AT WHAT POINT ARE WE ABLE TO REVISIT THE USE OF AN ASSET?

AND I SAY THIS AS SOMEONE WHO HAS VOCALIZED CONCERNS ABOUT THE ALLOCATION, WE HAVE MADE FOR THE TENNIS COURTS DONE SO WITH VERY LITTLE IF ANY FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMUNITY?

THOSE COURTS ARE NOT BEING UTILIZED FOR TENNIS, THEY ARE BEING UTILIZED IN THE COMMUNITY, BUT THERE HAS NOT BEEN THAT PROCESS.

CAN SOMEONE HELP ME UNDERSTAND WHAT IS OUR PROCESS FOR REVISITING USE OF ANY PUBLIC ASSET? SO IF THERE IS NOT A CLEAR ANSWER FOR THAT, AND I KNOW WE ALL APPRECIATE PROCESS, I CAN NOT BE IN SUPPORT OF THE ORDINANCE BECAUSE

AS I READ IT, IT READS THAT THE RFP

I'VE FUNDAMENTALLY WANT TO ENSURE THAT WE'RE GIVING VOICE TO THE COMMUNITY AROUND THE MANAGEMENT ASSET.

I AM CONCERNED BY THE AMOUNT OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE THAT NOW >> THANK YOU.

>> WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO THOUGH IS IMPLORE ANYBODY WHO IS LISTENING TO RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND WHAT THIS ORDINANCE ACTUALLY DOES, AND TO PLEASE NOT SUBMIT ANYTHING IN RESPONSE TO ANY RFP THAT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THE RFP IS ASKING.

THE RESPONSE TO THE RFP WAS NOT CONSISTENT. IF THIS PASSES TONIGHT, AND I SINCERELY HOPE IT DOES, THERE WILL BE AN RFP POSTED. AND MY SINCEREST WISH WOULD BE THAT FOLKS IN

THIS COMMUNITY.
PLEASE GO TO THE PROPER PROCESSES TO CHANGE THE

USE OF MINUTES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I JUST WANTED TO SHARE A BIT OF INFORMATION.
THIS IS INTERESTING AND I THINK THE PUBLIC WOULD BE
GLAD TO KNOW THIS, BEFORE MERGERS TOOK PLACE HERE IN
LOUISVILLE, BEING A PGA PRO WASN'T IMPORTANT TO
MANAGE THE GOLF COURSES IN THE COUNTY PART OF
JEFFERSON COUNTY.

SO THAT PIECE OF INFORMATION.

THIS ORDINANCE DOES OPEN THE GOLF COURSE TO SOMEONE WHO IS NOT A PRO BUT SOMEONE WHO MAY

DEMONSTRATE QUALIFICATIONS TO MANAGE A GOLF COURSE.

IT MIGHT BE BUSINESS RELATED, PUBLIC, WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE.

BUT IT DOES OPEN UP AND PROVIDES THE OPPORTUNITY

FOR SOMEONE WHO MAY NOT HAVE THEIR PRO LICENSE.

I THINK THAT'S A GOOD PIECE OF INFORMATION.

AND I, TOO, WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS ORDINANCE TONIGHT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMEN.

AND COUNCILWOMEN FOWLER.

>> YES.

IS HOLLY HOPKINS, YES, I SEE ON HERE.

SO UNDER THE ORDINANCE AS ITS WRITTEN IT SAYS IF

METRO HAS MANAGED THE GOLF COURSE FOR LONGER THAN ONE

YEAR, IT SHALL PROPOSALS FROM THIRD PARTY

ENTITIES TO MANAGE THAT GOLF COURSE.

I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND THAT TO CLARIFY THAT LANGUAGE A LITTLE BIT.

I THINK IT IT SHOULD READ IF METRO PARK HAS MANAGED A GOLF COURSE FOR LONGER THAN ONE YEAR, THEN METRO PARK TO SOMETHING THAT GOLF COURSE AS A GOLF COURSE.

- >> MOTION.
- >> YES, IT IS.
- >> THANK YOU.

MAY I HAVE A SECOND.

- >> SECOND.
- >> SECOND BY COUNCILWOMEN HOLTON STEWART.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

SO THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT I THINK THIS COMMUNITY DOESN'T LIKE.

THERE'S AN ACTIVE CONVERSATION AROUND A

PROPOSAL THAT'S BEEN OUT SINCE 2019.

SHUTTING DOWN THE BEING GOOD STEWARDS OF COMMUNITY

ENGAGEMENT IS TO BE WILLING TO LOOK AT ALL THE

INFORMATION OUT HERE.

THIS BODY HAS BEEN TALKING ABOUT SINCE WELL BEFORE I WAS HERE IN 2019.

LOOK AT THE TRANSFORMATIVE PROCESS.

I'M GUESSING THAT THE MAJORITY OF COUNCIL

MEMBERS HERE TONIGHT WILL NOT AGREE WITH THAT APPROACH.

AND I UNDERSTAND THAT.

THAT DOESN'T MEAN THOUGH THAT PEOPLE WHO HAVE OTHER

IDEAS TO NOT MAKE THOSE IDEAS HEARD.

WE HAVE A PROCESS TO REPURPOSE LAND.

IS IT IN THE ORDINANCE.

WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO LOOK AT OTHER USES OF THIS LAND.

SO I WOULD SAY, PLEASE, IF YOU HAVE OTHER IDEAS OF HOW THIS LAND COULD BE USED, WE HAVE STARTED THE CONVERSATION ABOUT IT.

I THINK WE NEED TO CARRY IT THROUGH ITS CONCLUSION. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMEN.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

IT WAS SAID EARLIER THIS IS A DIFFICULT VOTE.

NOT FOR ME.

PRETTY SIMPLE.

OLDEST GOLF COURSE WEST OF THE ALLEGANY.

AND WE WANT TO ENTERTAIN TAKING A WRECKING BALL TO IT.

I JUST THINK THAT'S LUDICROUS, QUITE FRANKLY.

TO THE BONES OF THE ORDINANCE, AND I SAID THIS

IN COMMITTEE, COUNCILWOMEN REFERENCED IT JUST MINUTES AGO.

IF YOU DON'T HAVE A DRIVING RANGE, YOU DON'T NEED A GOLF PRO.

GOLF PROS EACH GOLF.

THEY GROW THE GAME.

THEY DO SOME VERY VALUABLE THING.

BUT ESSENTIALLY, RUNNING A PRO SHOP IS RUNNING A SMALL BUSINESS.

WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF US WANT TO SEE GROW AND WANT TO SEE THRIVE IN THIS COMMUNITY.

AND I THINK THIS SPEAKS TO THE HEART OF GROWING A SMALL BUSINESS AT THESE PLACES THAT WOULD

OTHERWISE BE LEFT TO DIE ON THE VINE.

NOW AGAIN, THIS IS ONE OF THE OLDEST GOLF COURSES IN AMERICA

AND WHEN I LOOK, THAT'S THE DIFFICULT VOTE.

IF THAT WERE THE VOTE, YEAH, IT WOULD BE HARD.

BUT THIS ONE, FOR ME, PRETTY SIMPLE.

I HOPE MY COLLEAGUES FEEL AS WELL.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK AFTER THE AMENDMENT.

>> IS THERE ANYONE HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

THANK YOU.

THE AYES HAVE IT.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> SO I JUST ONE THING THAT I LEFT OUT IS THE .

LAST YEAR IT TURNED A PROFIT.

THIS YEAR AS OF APRIL?30TH, THAT'S THE

NUMBERS THAT I HAVE ON HAND IT IS MAKING A PROFIT.

YOU KNOW, ONE OF ONLY FOUR OF OUR GOLF COURSES AS A MATTER OF FACT IS MAKING A PROFIT.

I JUST DON'T SEE A REASON THAT WE SHOULD TRY TO

CLOSE IT WITHOUT GIVING IT AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE RAN BY A MANAGER OR A GOLF PRO, WHICHEVER ONE.

I REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT.

>> THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDED ORDINANCE?

COUNCILWOMEN CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG.

>> I RECOGNIZE I'M NOT GOING TO WIN ANY VOTES.

BUT I CAN'T LET INFORMATION FOR THE SAKE

OF THE PUBLIC GO WITHOUT FULL CONTEXT.

CHEROKEE LAST YEAR FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE PAST 10 YEARS SHOWED A PROFIT.

THAT WAS BECAUSE THE COST OF RUNNING IT, THE COST OF EMPLOYING THOSE PEOPLE WERE ACCOUNTED BY DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS.

AND THOSE PEOPLE WERE LOANED TO CHEROKEE GOLF COURSE.

WE NEED TO HAVE A REAL PROCESS.

THAT'S WHY WE SHOULD HAVE AN OPEN RFP PROCESS THAT LETS ALL OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE IDEAS.

HONESTLY, I DON'T KNOW WHAT PEOPLE ARE AFRAID OF.

WHAT'S SO SCARY ABOUT SEEING ALL THE IDEAS OUT

THERE ARE, WEIGHING THOSE IDEAS.

MAYBE WE DECIDE IT'S BEST AS A GOLF COURSE.

MAYBE WE DECIDE IT'S NOT.

BUT I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE

AFRAID OF WHEN IT COMES TO CONSIDERING ALL OF THE INFORMATION.

I THINK WE'VE DONE A DISSERVICE TO THE PUBLIC

TO GIVE THEIR TIME, ENERGY AND EMOTION.

FOR THOSE REASONS, I'M GOING TO BE A NO VOTE TONIGHT.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, MR. COUNCILWOMAN.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL.

>> CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL FOR THOSE WHO ARE NOT IN CHAMBERS.

(ROLL CALL) >> HERE PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 22 YES VOTES AND TWO NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, THE READING OF ITEM NUMBER 2 PLEASE.

>> (READING ORDINANCE).

>> THANK YOU, MA'AM.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND PLEASE.

THE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMEN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS CURRENTLY ISSUES AGRICULTURAL USE.

THE CHANGE IN ZONING IS 184 MULTI FAMILY UNITS

WITH SEVEN THREE STORY BUILDINGS.

16 PEOPLE SPOKE IN OPPOSITION.

AND THERE'S A PLETHORA OF OPPOSITION THAT IS PART OF THE RECORD.

SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION BROUGHT UP WAS THE DENSITY.

THE IDEA OF THE THREE STORY BUILDINGS.

THE DISTANCE TO TARC BUS STOP, WHICH IS ALL THE WAY OUT TO DIXIE HIGHWAY.

SOME OF THE CONCERNS WERE THE SIDEWALKS THAT ARE ONLY THREE FOOT WIDE FROM THIS LOCATION OUT TO DIXIE HIGHWAY.

AT BEST, THOSE ARE OVERGROWN.

AND PROBABLY IN REALLY POOR USE.

AND ACCORDING TO THE RECORD, THEY PUBLIC WORKS

WERE ASKED ABOUT THE SIDEWALKS.

AND THEY SAID THEY CURRENTLY DO NOT HAVE

PLANS FOR THAT BUT THAT IS SOMETHING THEY WOULD BE CONSIDERING IN THE FUTURE.

THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN EXCUSE ME, DR. BLACKWELL'S DISTRICT.

THE VOTE FOR COMMITTEE CAME OUT 4 2.

THERE WAS AN AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION THAT WAS ALSO ALONG THE LINES OF 4 2 THAT FAILED.

SO AT THIS TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE

COUNCILMAN DR. BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN DR. BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS A TOUGH ZONING CASE FOR THE COUNCILPERSON IN THIS DISTRICT.

YOU REPRESENT BOTH.

YOU REPRESENT THE PERSON WHO IS SELLING THE LAND,

WHO HAS BEEN A CONSTITUENT IN DISTRICT 12 FOR A LONG

TIME AND REPRESENT THE FOLKS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT'S AROUND IT.

SO IT IS A TOUGH PLACE TO BE.

LISTENED A LOT TO THE ARGUMENT BOTH WAYS.

AND ULTIMATELY, I WAS AT THE MEETING.

WE PETITIONED.

WE HAD A LOT OF FOLKS THAT WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS AND WANTED INPUT.

WE DID THE MEETING AFTER OURS AND AT THE SOUTHWEST GOVERNMENT CENTER.

UNFORTUNATELY, IT WAS I DON'T KNOW IF WE'RE STILL

DOING THE PUBLIC RULES FOR PLANNING AND ZONING WHERE

YOU ONLY HAVE ONE PLANNING AND ZONING PERSON SHOW UP AND EVERYONE ELSE IS VIRTUALLY.

SO WE HAD SOME ISSUES WITH THAT.

THAT'S WHY WE HAD SO MANY EXTENSIONS BECAUSE WE COULDN'T GET PEOPLE ONLINE.

TECHNICAL ISSUES WE'RE ACTUALLY SEEING TONIGHT.

BUT WHAT WE SAW WAS AS COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD SAID.

PROBABLY ANOTHER 50 WERE THERE IN OPPOSITION.

WHEN YOU'RE GETTING TO 16, THEY'RE SAYING THE SAME THING OVER AND OVER AGAIN.

SO SHE LOOKED AT THE WEATHER.

AS COUNCILWOMAN SAID IT'S VERY EXTENSIVE.

WE HAD A LOT OF FOLKS WHO RESPONDED.

SOME OF THE THINGS THAT THEY SAY DON'T MAKE A LOT OF SENSE

SOME OF THE THINGS THAT THEY SAY ARE IN ERROR.

AND SOME OF THE THINGS THAT THEY SAY FRANKLY,

JUST NOT IN MY BACKYARD.

THOSE TO ME ARE NOT A REASON.

WHAT IS A REASON, FINDING OF FACT.

THE ORIGINAL AND THE SECOND.

WHAT I'M GOING TO PROPOSE TONIGHT AS THE FINDING OF FACT.

REALLY LOOKS AT SEVERAL THINGS.

WHAT I WAS CONSIDERING TO BE LEGITIMATE CONCERN ARE

THE REASONS THAT TWO OF THE FOLKS VOTED AGAINST IT AT THE PLANNING MEETING.

ONE IS THE DENSITY.

AND SO WHILE IT DOES FIT THE AMOUNT THAT YOU CAN HAVE, NOT SURE THAT IT'S A GREAT IDEA FOR US TO SUGGEST THAT IF SO IF YOU CAN PUT DENSITY HERE, THEREFORE YOU SHOULD PUT DENSITY HERE.

AND THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE HERE.

WE'VE GOT A SMALL PLOT NEXT TO A SCHOOL THAT'S BEEN USED FOR FARMS FOR AS LONG AS I'VE BEEN ALL MY LIFE.

THERE'S BEEN SOME PROPOSALS TO TRY TO KEEP IT THAT WAY.

IT DIDN'T WORK OUT.

I UNDERSTAND WE NEED TO MOVE ON.

BUT WHAT WE'RE PUTTING THERE IS SUCH A DIFFERENT LOOK FROM WHAT'S AROUND.

IT'S R4.

IT'S SINGLE FAMILY HOMES.

WE HAVE LOTS OF APARTMENTS IN DISTRICT 12.

I DON'T WANT YOU TO HAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT WE

DON'T HAVE APARTMENTS IN DISTRICT 12.

WE HAVE TONS OF APARTMENTS IN DISTRICT 12.

THIS IS GOING TO BE ON TOP OF THE TONS THAT WE ALREADY HAVE.

WHAT WE DON'T HAVE, THOUGH, IS THREE STORY APARTMENT BUILDINGS.

ESPECIALLY THREE STORY APARTMENT BUILDINGS IN THE MIDDLE OF NEIGHBORHOODS.

YOU KNOW, IN THE MIDDLE OF A NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE IT'S SINGLE FAMILY HOMES ALL AROUND.

THERE ARE PLACES WHERE YOU HAVE THREE STORY THAT ARE KIND OF IN AN AREA WHERE IT'S KIND OF BY THEMSELVES.

THERE'S SOME AREAS NOT ACTUALLY IN DISTRICT 12, JUST OUTSIDE OF DISTRICT 12.

DISTRICT 1 OVER ON KEN RUN ROAD WHERE THEY HAVE A GREAT DEVELOPMENT.

SEVERAL APARTMENTS.

ACTUALLY I THINK THOSE MIGHT EVEN BE FOUR.

BUT THERE'S NO SINGLE FAMILY HOMES AROUND THERE.

THEY'RE THERE BY THEMSELVES.

SO THE DENSITY IS THE ONE THING THAT YOU'RE THERE.

CRAMMING EVERYTHING THAT YOU CAN POSSIBLY GET INTO

YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT ONE OF THE PROPOSALS THAT

PEOPLE HAD WAS IF YOU WOULD JUST IF YOU WOULD START WITH A PATIO HOME AND TURN INTO TWO STORY APARTMENTS, IT WOULD FIT.

IT WOULD FIT THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

IT WOULD FIT WHAT YOU HAVE.

NOT TOTAL OPPOSITION TO APARTMENT BUILDINGS. IF IT DOES FIT.

I DON'T THINK IT DOES.

SO WHAT I HAVE BEFORE US IS GOING TO MOVE THAT WE ACCEPT THIS PLANNING OF FACT AND REVERSE THE DECISION THAT THEY MADE.

I DON'T KNOW IF THAT ANSWERS ANY QUESTIONS BUT I'LL MOVE THAT.

>> MOTION AND A SECOND, COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION BY COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL?

>> I REITERATE WHAT DR. BLACKWELL SAID.

IF THIS IS 0.14 OF BEING AT THE MAXIMUM DENSITY ALLOWED UNDER R 6.

JUST BECAUSE WE CAN, IT BEGS THE QUESTION SHOULD WE.

SHOULD WE ALWAYS PUSH THE ENVELOPE TO PUT AS MUCH AS WE CAN ON THAT POSTAGE STAMP.

SHOULD WE JUST GET EVERY CORNER?

AND IS IT FAIR TO BUILD SOMETHING WITHOUT THE

INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT IT.

I KNOW EVERYONE SAYS BUILD IT AND TARC WILL COME. REALLY?

BECAUSE I CAN SHOW YOU LOTS OF AREAS WHERE THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY TRUE.

AND I ALSO WANTED TO REITERATE, YOU KNOW, I CONSTANTLY READ IN THE RECORD THAT WE NEED MORE HOUSING, WE NEED MORE HOUSING CHOICES. YEAH, WE DO.

BUT WE ALSO NEED THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THAT.

IT'S NOT FAIR TO PUT SOMEONE OUT A MILE AWAY FROM A TARC BUS STOP IF THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DEPEND ON TO GET TO WORK OR SOME PLACES THEY'RE STILL RIDING TARC BUSES TO SCHOOLS.
I KNOW THEY DO TO SOME OF THE CATHOLIC SCHOOLS. THAT'S THE QUESTION WE NEED TO ANSWER SOONER OR LATER AS A COUNCIL IS AT WHAT LEVEL DO WE SAY THAT'S TOO MUCH FOR THAT SITE.

AND I GET IT THAT THESE DEVELOPERS WANT TO MAKE AS MUCH MONEY AS THEY CAN.

BUT AT WHAT COST TO THE SURROUNDING AREA OR THE

PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE MOVING INTO THOSE UNITS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> IF YOU DON'T MIND, I MAY HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

I'LL PREFACE IT BY SAYING I'M SYMPATHETIC TO THE CONCERNS.

BUT I'VE JUST HEARD A LOT OF ARGUMENT THAT I'VE MADE FOR SIMILAR ISSUES IN MY DISTRICT AND OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS DISTRICTS AND THOSE WERE SHOT DOWN.
WHAT I HAVEN'T HEARD YET IS HOW WE ARE IN HOW THIS DEVELOPMENT IS ACTUALLY IN VIOLATION OF

THE CODE.
I'M READING THROUGH AS QUICKLY AS I CAN,

COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

MY QUESTION WILL BE, CAN YOU, COUNCILMAN BLACK WELL BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THE AND I LOOKED AT THE AERIAL VIEW RIGHT IN FRONT OF R 4.

WE'VE HAD MULTI FAMILY SMACK DAB IN THE MIDDLE OF R 4 IN MY DISTRICT.

THAT'S NOT UNCOMMON.

THERE'S NOTHING IN THE CODE THAT SAYS YOU HAVE TO GO FROM R 4 TO PATIO HOME TO R 6 OR R 4 TO R 8 TO R 6.

SO WHAT OTHER THAN YOU USED THE WORD START.

I UNDERSTAND IT MAY LOOK WEIRD.

I'VE GOT AN APARTMENT COMPLEX GOING UP LITERALLY IN BETWEEN A KROEGER AND TARGET.

LIKE RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF SHELBY ROAD.

IT LOOKS CRAZY.

BUT IT WAS ZONED AND IT DIDN'T FAIL ANY STANDARDS. COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL, IF THE PRESIDENT DOESN'T MIND, COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO ME IN A LITTLE MORE DETAIL THE SPECIFICS OF THE VIOLATION OF THE CODE THAT I COULD >> I DON'T KNOW THAT IT'S A VIOLATION OF THE CODE AS IT IS, IS IT IN THE SPIRIT OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO WITH THE CODE.

IS IT I MEAN, THEY HAVE THE RIGHT.

IT'S NOT A VIOLATION OF THE CODE TO CRAM EVERY APARTMENT BUILDING.

IF ZONING WILL GIVE THIS AND YOU'RE GOING TO CRAM EVERY SINGLE APARTMENT BUILDING IN THERE, TECHNICALLY IT'S NOT A VIOLATION.

BUT THE QUESTION IS IS IT THE RIGHT THING DO. TECHNICALLY NOT A VIOLATION TO PUT ANYTHING THAT THEY'RE PUTTING IN THERE.

BUT DOES IT FIT?

DOES IT FIT THE FORM?

DOES IT FIT THE NEIGHBORHOOD?

DOES IT FIT WHAT WE'VE GOT GOING ON THERE?

I'VE GOT SEVERAL APARTMENTS, ONE VERY CLOSE

TO DIXIE HIGHWAY THAT DOES HAVE R 4 AROUND IT.

BUT IT'S RIGHT DOWN THE STREET FROM DIXIE HIGHWAY.

THIS IS NOWHERE NEAR.

YOU WOULD NEED A BUS FROM THOSE TO GET TO DIXIE TO WHERE THE BUS ROUTE IS.

THESE AREN'T IN THAT SITUATION.

YOU'RE A LONG WAY AWAY FROM DIXIE HIGHWAY.

CERTAINLY, IF YOU'VE GOT ANY KIND OF THAT'S IF

YOU'RE ABLE BODIED.

IF YOU HAVE ANY ISSUE WHERE YOU WOULD NEED A WHEELCHAIR OR FACILITY.

NO WAY.

THEY WERE MAYBE 3 FOOT SIDEWALKS WHEN THEY WERE FORGED.

THEY'RE NOWHERE NEAR THAT.

PLUS THEY'RE NOT ACTUALLY ALL THE WAY DOWN.

IF YOU GO FAR DOWN >> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

I'M GOING TO LET THIS PLAY OUT.

THERE'S A COUPLE OF PEOPLE IN QUEUE.

I MAY COME BACK IN AND ASK A QUESTION.

I WILL SAY I HAVE A I THINK I TALKED ABOUT THIS DURING THE DEBATE.

FIRST OF ALL, DURING THAT DEBATE, THAT WAS A MIX RESIDENTIAL.

SO IT WAS A THREE STORY APARTMENT GOING IN RIGHT AMIDST SINGLE FAMILY HOMES IN THE LITERAL MIDDLE OF NOWHERE.

COMPLETELY SURROUNDED BY A COMBINATION OF AGRICULTURE AND SINGLE FAMILY HOMES.

FURTHER WAY, HOWEVER CLOSE YOU THINK THIS IS DIXIE HIGHWAY, FURTHER, RIGHT.

AND AS FAR AS CONNECTIVITY IS CONCERNED, AGAIN, I THINK I TALKED ABOUT IT THERE.

I HAD ANOTHER APARTMENT COMPLEX DEDICATED TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

I FORGET THE PERCENTAGE AMI.

BUT IT WAS DEDICATED AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

AND THE CITY NEVER EVEN CONNECTED.

IT WAS CLOSE TO SHELBIVILLE ROAD.

BUT NEVER TO BUT THEY STILL BUILT IT.

RIGHT.

AND SO I'M SYMPATHETIC.

I DON'T KNOW WHERE I AM ON THIS YET.

BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT ABOUT THE INTENT.

THE ONLY OVERTURN THAT I'VE DONE, IT WAS, YOU

KNOW, EVEN THE PEOPLE THAT VOTED FOR IT ACKNOWLEDGED

THEY WERE VIOLATING I'LL SEE WHAT MY

COLLEAGUES THINK ABOUT THIS.

I APPRECIATE YOUR CONCERN.

I SHARE THEM.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE APPLYING THESE RULES ACROSS THE WHOLE COUNTY.

>> AND I WOULD SAY WHEN YOU MENTION THE THERE

ARE CASES THAT YOU BROUGHT UP.

I WILL ALSO POINT OUT THAT I HAVE NOW I DON'T

NECESSARILY WANT TO BE ON RECORD.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT'S NOT TRUE.

AND MY RECOLLECTION IS I DON'T REMEMBER EVERYTHING ENTIRELY CORRECT FROM THE TIME I STARTED ON THE COUNCIL.

BUT I BELIEVE THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I'VE EVER ASKED TO OVERTURN.

SO IT'S NOT JUST TO SAY THAT MY HISTORY IS NOT IN MY BACKYARD.

>> WELL SAID.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I JUST WANT TO SAY WHY I'M GOING TO VOTE AGAINST IT TONIGHT.

ALSO BECAUSE I DON'T THINK IT WAS MADE CLEAR IN THE INTRODUCTION THAT THIS WAS APPROVED, NOT THIS AMENDMENT.

THE REZONING WAS APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE 6 2 I WATCHED THE ENTIRE PUBLIC HEARING.

AND IT WAS DIFFICULT TO WATCH.

YOU'RE RIGHT, COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

ALL OF THEM STANDING IN THE ROOM AND COMING UP TO SPEAKING.

I READ ALL THE PUBLIC COMMENTS.

AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO READ ALL OF THOSE PUBLIC COMMENTS.

I THINK IT'S INDICATIVE.

COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL HAS SAID MANY OF THOSE COMMENTS DIDN'T MAKE SENSE.

QUITE FRANKLY, I THINK THEY WERE A LITTLE WORSE THAN NOT MAKING SENSE.

BUT HERE'S WHY I'M AGAINST THIS AMENDMENT.

FIRST OF ALL, I DO THINK WE NEED MORE HOUSING IN THIS COMMUNITY.

I THINK ALL OF THE EVIDENCE IS THAT WE NEED MORE HOUSING IN THE COMMUNITY.

AND I DON'T SEE HOW WE'RE GOING TO SOLVE OUR NEED

FOR HOUSING BY TURNING DOWN HOUSING PROPOSAL.

I'VE HEARD WELL THERE ARE OTHER PLACES THAT ARE NOT COMPLETELY FULL.

THAT MAY WELL BE TRUE.

BUT AGAIN, I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND AND NO ONE HAS EXPLAINED TO ME HOW WE CAN SOLVE THE NEED FOR MORE HOUSING BY TURNING DOWN HOUSING PROPOSAL.

THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION CERTAINLY IN JAMES PEDEN'S DISTRICT AND I THINK COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI'S DISTRICT.

THE ROADS ARE NOT ADEQUATE.

THE PUBLIC WORKS AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION MADE A VERY GOOD POINT HERE.

THIS IS ON A PRIMARY COLLECTOR.

HE MADE THE POINT THAT'S WHERE YOU OUGHT TO PUT APARTMENT.

SO SOME OF THE ARGUMENTS WE HAVE REJECTED FOR SMALLER ROADS DON'T REALLY APPLY TO THIS DEVELOPMENT. IT'S NEXT TO AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.

THERE'S BEEN SOME DISCUSSION WHETHER THAT'S GOOD OR BAD.

I PERSONALLY THINK THAT'S GOOD.

IT'S ON A LARGE VACANT LOT NEXT TO AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.

AND FINALLY, AND THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT POINT FOR ME, I SUPPORT, THIS COUNCIL SUPPORTED LOOKING AT THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND WHERE WE HAVE MULTI FAMILY FACILITIES AND HOW LIMITED IT CURRENTLY IS.

AND I HOPE WE CONTINUE WITH THAT.

I HOPE WE CONTINUE TO HAVE MORE MULTI FAMILY OPPORTUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY.

BUT IF WE ARE GOING TO SAY THAT WE CAN'T HAVE

MULTI FAMILY IF IT'S AND THIS IS FROM THE

AMENDMENT WE ARE REALLY GOING TO LIMIT OUR ABILITY

WITH MULTI FAMILY THROUGHOUT THIS COMMUNITY.

AND I THINK THAT'S A SERIOUS MISTAKE WHEN WE NEED HOUSING.

SO THAT'S WHY I'LL BE VOTING NO.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAS NOT BEEN MENTIONED IS THE TRAFFIC.

MY GRANDDAUGHTER'S DAY CARE IS ON LOWER HUNTERS TRACE.

AND IT IS BUMPER TO BUMPER.

IT'S NOT GOING TO GET BETTER.

WE'VE GOT NEW APARTMENTS GOING IN.

THEY HAVE STARTED TO BUILD THESE APARTMENTS.

AND THEIR MAIN EGRESS AND INGRESS IS GOING TO BE ON A PRIVATE ROAD.

IF THEY COME OUT AND WANT TO GO NORTH ON DIXIE HIGHWAY, THEY'VE GOT TO TURN RIGHT AND MAKE A U TURN TO GO NORTH.

HUGE APARTMENT COMPLEX.

THAT'S GOING TO CAUSE MAJOR PROBLEMS ALONG LOWER HUNTERS TRACE.

I DO HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT OUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS IN THAT AREA ARE UP TO CAPACITY.

WE'RE BUILDING NEW SCHOOLS JUST TWO MILES AWAY.

SO CAN JACQUELINE ELEMENTARY TAKE THE INFLUX

OF THE NEW STUDENTS THERE?

AND ALL HOUSING BEING BUILT IN SOUTH AND SOUTHWEST RIGHT NOW IS APARTMENTS.

THAT'S ALL.

THERE IS ONE IN MY DISTRICT OFF OF MORMON

ROAD THAT THEY'RE BUILDING SOME PATIO HOMES.

AND PATIO HOMES ARE WHAT GIVES THE WIDOW AND WIDOWERS THE OUT.

GIVES THEM A ONE BEDROOM PLACE TO GO SO THEY DON'T HAVE TO MAINTAIN THAT BIG THREE BEDROOM HOUSE ANYMORE.

IT FREES IT UP FOR PEOPLE.

FAMILIES THAT THEY CAN AFFORD TO GO AND BUY A LITTLE THREE BEDROOM HOUSE.

I MEAN, PATIO HOMES ARE PERFECT.

AND I'M NOT SAYING WE DON'T DO ANY APARTMENTS.

LET'S JUST DO SOME APARTMENTS AND NOT ALL

APARTMENTS.

AND THIS THREE STORY THING IS JUST I HAD THAT STUFFED DOWN MY THROAT ON WEST SOREL

BECAUSE THEY GOT A CM ZONING AND THEY WERE ABLE TO TAKE IT TO THE LIMIT OF R 7.

NOBODY IN THE AREA WAS EVEN ABLE TO HAVE A SAY IN THAT BECAUSE IT WAS ALREADY ZONED.

AND SO THAT BAIT AND SWITCH, WE JUST GOTTA DO

SOMETHING, YOU ALL, ABOUT HOW THINGS ARE DONE WITHIN THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

WE'VE GOT TO REEL THEM IN.

ANYWAY, I JUST APPRECIATE.

I'LL BE A YES VOTE WITH COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL

BECAUSE I JUST THINK THAT WE HAVE GOT TO DO BETTER,

YOU KNOW, BY PROVIDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

IT JUST CAN'T ALL BE APARTMENTS.

THANK YOU.

SOME PEOPLE WANT TO BUY A HOME.

YOU KNOW.

AND THAT'S WHAT'S SO FRUSTRATING.

YOU CAN'T BUY AN APARTMENT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO FIRST RECOGNIZE THAT COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL

I KNOW IS DOING HIS BEST TO SERVE HIS CONSTITUENTS.

AND I KNOW HE HAS PUT A LOT OF EFFORT AND THOUGHT

INTO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE AMENDMENT.

AND FOR THAT REASON IT STINGS A LITTLE BIT I

CAN'T SUPPORT IT TONIGHT.

AS A CITY, I WOULD SAY WE HAVE A BIGGER PROBLEM TO SOLVE.

AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE FOR MANY OF THE NEIGHBORS

THAT WERE IN OPPOSITION, I HEAR FEELINGS AROUND

DISCOMFORT WITH THE PERCEPTION THAT THEIR

COMMUNITY IS CHANGING.

IT'S CHANGING IN THE WAY OF DENSITY.

IT'S CHANGING IN THE WAY OF CHARACTER.

MOVING AWAY FROM SUBURBAN WITH MORE RURAL INFLUENCE.

AND THAT'S BASED IN PART ON WHAT THEY SEE AS LACK

OF INVESTMENT. LACK OF ASSET.

LACK OF RESPECT.

AND IT MAKES IT DIFFICULT, THOSE LACK OF ASSET AND

INVESTMENT AND APPRECIATION, MAKES IT

DIFFICULT TO UPHOLD COMMUNITY STANDARDS.

WHICH IS SOMETIMES NEEDED WHEN WE HAVE HIGH

CONCENTRATIONS OF NEIGHBORS, SOME OF WHICH

HAVE HIGH CONCENTRATIONS OF NEEDS.

ONE SOLUTION IS OF COURSE INCREASE OF INVESTMENT.

I HEARD COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI SAY HE HAD TO

PAY FOR A SIDEWALK.

FOR THOSE OF US IN AREAS THAT HAVE MORE NEEDS IT

MAKES IT MORE DIFFICULT TO PAY FOR A SIDEWALK.

WHEN I PAY FOR A SIDEWALK, I DO THAT WITH A PARTNER

BECAUSE THERE IS SO MUCH NEED TO DISTRICT 21.

WITH THAT, AGAIN, I'M A NO FOR THE AMENDMENT.

AND I'M A YES FOR INVESTMENT.

INVESTMENT BEING THE STRATEGY THAT INSPIRES

SAFE AND HEALTHY ECOSYSTEM FOR HOUSING ACROSS METRO. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN HOLTON STEWART.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I'M A YES FOR CONSTITUENTS.

AND I BEING A REPRESENTATIVE IN DISTRICT

25 WANT TO SAY THAT I TOO HAVE HEARD FROM SO MANY

PEOPLE WHETHER IT'S BY EMAIL OR OUT IN THE

NEIGHBORHOOD OR OUT AT A SHOPPING CENTER.

WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE.

THAT THIS IS A TOPIC AND A BIG CONCERN FOR THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE OFF OF LOWER HUNTERS TRACE.

IT IS A SMALL PLOT OF LAND.

AND THE THOUGHT OF, YOU KNOW, THE TALL APARTMENT COMPLEXES SITTING RIGHT NEXT TO THE SINGLE FAMILY HOMES IS NOT APPEALING.

SO I THINK THE MOST IMPORTANT THING I SEE IS I

LISTENED TO CONSTITUENTS.

AND I'M TRYING TO BE THEIR VOICE.

SO I REPRESENT THEM AND THAT'S THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF THIS JOB.

SO I WILL BE SUPPORTING COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL TONIGHT.

I BELIEVE THAT THE VOLUME AND THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE

THAT HAVE SPOKEN, YOU KNOW, SPEAK VOLUMES.

AND IT'S NOT TOO OFTEN THAT WE GET THIS TYPE OF

RESPONSE IN THE SOUTH AND SOUTHWEST AREAS.

THIS IS A PROJECT WE'VE DEFINITELY HEARD VOLUME FROM.

AND I WANT TO SUPPORT COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL TONIGHT.

SO THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I DON'T KNOW IF I WANT TO TRY AND SWAY ANYBODY'S VOTE, TO BE HONEST.

I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND WHERE MY COLLEAGUE, WHERE COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL'S COMING FROM.

I WILL SAY THAT IN THE 20 YEARS I'VE BEEN HERE,

THERE'S BEEN A SHIFT IN THE WAY WE TALK ABOUT ZONING.

I DON'T KNOW WHY THAT IS.

I KNOW WHEN WE WERE FIRST ELECTED, WE WERE TOLD UNEQUIVOCALLY THAT THIS BODY SITS NOT IN

DETERMINATION OF GOOD DEVELOPMENT BUT AS JUDGES OVER THE PLANNING PROCESS.

AND THE QUESTION IS DID THE FOLKS IN PLANNING AND DESIGN DO THEIR JOB?

DID THEY FOLLOW THE PROCESS?

DID THEY TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE THINGS

THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION?

AND IF THEY DID, WHETHER WE LIKE THE DEVELOPMENT OR NOT, IT'S A YES.

THE NO VOTE COMES IF WE RECOGNIZE THAT THEY'VE FAILED IN THEIR RESPONSIBILITY.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER POINTED OUT IT WAS 62.

COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL ACKNOWLEDGES IT WAS THE PLANNING PROCESS.

THEY CROSSED ALL THEIR T'S.

THEY DOTTED ALL THEIR I'S.

THEY DID EVERYTHING THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO DO.

BUT IN HIS ESTIMATION IT DOESN'T FIT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

I GET THAT.

IF I WERE TO VOTE YES TONIGHT ON THIS, THOUGH, I

WOULD BE BETRAYING MY CONSTITUENTS.

I VOTE I REPRESENT DISTRICT 11 AND WOULD LIKE

TO THINK I'VE DONE THAT WELL OVER MY YEARS HERE.

I CAN NAME SEVERAL ZONING CHANGES IN DISTRICT 11

WHERE MY CONSTITUENTS WERE ADAMANTLY OPPOSED TO THOSE CHANGES.

AND ON ONE OF THOSE EVENINGS, I VOTED PRESENT RATHER THAN TAKING A VOTE.

AND I EXPLAINED ON THE FLOOR THAT I WAS VOTING

PRESENT BECAUSE I KNEW THOSE RULES WERE FOLLOWED

BUT MY CONSTITUENTS WERE UPSET.

IT DIDN'T DO ANY GOOD BECAUSE MY CONSTITUENTS

STILL HOLD ME ACCOUNTABLE FOR THAT VOTE.

I COULDN'T GO BACK TO DISTRICT 11 TOMORROW AND

FACE THE FOLKS IN MY DISTRICT WHO HAVE DEVELOPMENT IN THEIR BACKYARDS THAT ARE CONTRARY TO THEIR WISHES THAT I VOTED YES BECAUSE PLANNING COMMISSION DID THEIR JOB. SO I HATE IT.

I UNDERSTAND COMPLETELY WHERE MY COLLEAGUE IS. AND I WISH I COULD VOTE YES.

BUT A VOTE YES TONIGHT WOULD BE A BETRAYAL OF ALL OF MY CONSTITUENTS WHEN I'VE VOTED IN FAVOR OF ZONING CHANGES THAT THEY WERE OPPOSED TO.

SO AGAIN, I'M NOT TRYING TO SWAY ANYBODY'S VOTE.

I JUST WANT TO EXPLAIN WHERE I AM.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

JUST TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT WHEN YOU VOTE YES, THAT MEANS YOU'RE TURNING DOWN THE ZONING CASE.

A YES IS TURNING IT DOWN.

I'VE HAD A LOT, PROBABLY MORE THAN MOST.

AND I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT REAL ESTATE AS MOST PEOPLE KNOW.

I HAD A REAL ESTATE LICENSE FOR 30 OR 40 YEARS.

40 YEARS.

BUT I KNOW SOMETHING.

AND I DON'T KNOW IF Y'ALL KNOW, I USED TO BE A TOOL MAKER.

AND I NEVER BUILT ANYTHING THAT DOESN'T WORK AND IT'S GOTTA MAKE SENSE.

JUST LIKE WHEN JOHN SMITH TOLD POCAHONTAS, YOU KNOW WHAT?

I THINK I'M GOING TO BUILD THE EMPIRE STATE BUILDING.

AND POCAHONTAS SAYS HOW ABOUT SOME ROADS?

HOW ABOUT A GROCERY STORE?

HOW ABOUT SOMETHING THAT MAKES SENSE.

NOW OUT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE ROAD, OUT IN THE

MIDDLE OF THE FIELD HERE, AWAY FROM EVERYTHING AND MAYBE WE'LL BUILD SOMETHING AND MAYBE

THEY'LL COME.

YOU KNOW, ABOUT 15 YEARS AGO, MOST OF MY COLLEAGUES, REPUBLICANS, ONE OF THE FEW PEOPLE VOTED WITH ME AGAINST THE ZONING CASE THAT I CALL STUPID.

AND NOW 15 YEARS LATER, THINGS ARE STARTING TO GET DEVELOPED IN THIS AREA.

THE ROADS ARE MAYBE TRYING TO BE IMPROVED A LITTLE BIT.

BUT THE ONLY PERSON I'VE EVER KNOWN THAT'S WALKED ON WATER IS JESUS.

EVERYBODY ELSE, THEY SCREW UP.

NOW THERE'S RULES.

THEY SAY WELL WE GOTTA GO WITH THE RULES.

WHEN YOU LOOK AT SOMETHING, IT LOOKS LIKE

IT'S NOT IN THE RIGHT PLACE, IT DON'T LOOK

RIGHT.

AND GOVERNMENT SOMEHOW OR ANOTHER SHOULD MAKE THE DEVELOPMENT SO OUR COMMUNITY GOES, YOU KNOW, WHERE ARE THE ROADS.

LIKE I ASKED THEM 20 YEARS AGO, CAN YOU SHOW ME THE PLANS FOR THE NEXT 50 YEARS OF ROADS. WHAT?

50 YEARS OF ROADS?

I SAID YEAH.

THEY SAID WELL WE DON'T HAVE MONEY FOR THAT.

I SAID DO YOU GOT A PENCIL.

I THINK YOU OUGHT TO SIT DOWN AND LOOK AT THE

COUNTY AND SAY WHERE SHOULD WE DEVELOP.

WHERE WILL WE GO TO MAKE OUR COMMUNITY THE BEST

PLACE OR ARE WE JUST HEY, ANYTHING GOES AND THROW IT UP IN THE AIR.

WELL WE BUILT A SUBDIVISION.

WE NEED A ROAD.

SO LET'S TAKE OUT FIVE OR SIX HOUSES AND BUILD A

ROAD TO THIS SUBDIVISION.

HAVE ANY OF Y'ALL BEEN TO PYKE'S PLAIN.

YOU THINK WHO HAS PLANNED THIS?

NOBODY.

BECAUSE NOBODY COULD DO THAT BAD.

BUT WE HAD PLANNING AND ZONING.

JUST BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES PEOPLE LIKE

DAVID JAMES SAY THIS WAS A DUMB RULE.

AND THIS IS OUT OF SEQUENCE.

IN MY DISTRICT, MAYBE IT'S KEVIN'S NOW.

HE'LL HAVE TO DEAL WITH IT.

THERE'S 50 ACRES THAT WAS ZONED IN 1949 R 7.

THAT'S PRETTY DENSE APARTMENT.

RIGHT NOW IT'S ABOUT 3 MILES FROM ANYTHING.

NOW THEY CAN BUILD SOMETHING THERE BECAUSE IT'S ZONED.

BUT THEY DON'T HAVE SEWER.

BUT I DON'T KNOW ON 50 ACRES OR MAYBE 100 ACRES,

MAYBE THEY CAN HAVE THEIR OWN SEWER SYSTEM. I DON'T KNOW.

WE GT ALL KINDS OF ZONING AROUND OUR COMMUNITY.

IN 1940, I DON'T KNOW IF MANY PEOPLE KNOWS IT, THEY

ZONED R 4, FARMLANDS AND EVERYTHING.

THINK WHY WOULD YOU DO THAT?

WHY WOULD YOU TAKE THE WHOLE COUNTY AND SAY HEY, IT ALL CAN BE LOT.

IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.

RICK, I'VE BEEN THERE.

I KNOW EXACTLY WHERE YOU'RE I KNOW WHERE

YOU'RE COMING FROM.

AND TO ME, IT'S A YES VOTE.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> JUST ONE THING REAL QUICK.

YOU KNOW, IT WAS SAID EARLIER THAT THEY DIDN'T WANT CHANGE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

WELL DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET FROM THAT LOT, I MEAN, ALMOST DIRECTLY THERE'S A HUGE APARTMENT COMPLEX.

SO YEAH, THEY HAVE APARTMENT COMPLEXES CLOSE BY.

VERY CLOSE BY.

SO IT'S NOT THE CHANGE, IT'S THE DENSITY.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I GUESS I FOLLOWED UP I'M GOING TO FOLLOW UP WITH COUNCILMAN KRAMER WHO IS LIKE ME HAVE BEEN

ADVISED AND BEEN PART OF THIS PLANNING AND ZONING PROCESS SINCE 2003.

AND WE ARE WE'VE BEEN EDUCATED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE ESSENTIAL FINDINGS OF FACT ON EVERY ZONING CASE THAT WE VOTE ON TO OVERTURN PLANNING COMMISSION.

I HAVE HAD I'VE HAD TO PROVE AND OVERTURN IN MY DISTRICT.

AND I AM NOT DOING THAT HERE.

I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL BECAUSE I HAVE HAD AT LEAST ONE, TWO, OR THREE OF THESE IN MY DISTRICT.

AND ONE, ACTUALLY, COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER IS ON A CONNECTOR.

AND I HAD AN OUTCRY OF CONSTITUENTS AGAINST THIS.

FOLKS, WE HAVE TO UNFORTUNATELY VOTE ON

THINGS THAT WE MAY NOT I DO NOT AGREE THAT WE

THROW THREE STORY APARTMENTS IN THE MIDDLE

OF AN R 4 ZONE BUT IT'S LEGAL.

FINDINGS OF FACT ARE NOT HERE AND WE COULD BE

HEADED TO COURT WITHOUT QUESTION, POTENTIALLY.

THAT'S NOT THE REASON I'M DOING THIS.

BUT I JUST WANT TO WARN EVERYBODY.

ANYTHING WE DO WE COULD BE SUED FOR.

I GET THAT.

BUT WE CAN EQUALLY THIS IS A CASE I BELIEVE WE

JUST DON'T HAVE THE FINDINGS OF FACT.

THIS INSTEAD HAS BEEN PROVEN.

AND I'M GOING TO BE A NO VOTE ON THIS.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> I'M SORRY, MR. PRESIDENT, I GOTTA SAY

ONE THING.

ABOUT FOUR MONTHS AGO, MAYBE I'M WRONG, MAYBE IT WAS A YEAR AGO.

I HAD A ZONING ON TUCKER STATION.

THEY WERE BUILDING 300 UNITS.

I GET TO THE PLANNING AND ZONING HEARING AND I LOOK

DOWN, AND I SAID, WHERE'S THE TURN LANE?

WHAT TURN LANE?

I SAID YOU GOT 300 UNIT.

POSSIBILITY OF 600 CARS.

YOU DON'T THINK YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A PROBLEM OF STACKING.

YOU WANT THIS ROAD TO BE STANDING STILL.

SOMEONE IN PLANNING AND ZONING SAID WE DON'T NEED IT.

I SAID WHO DECIDED THAT?

I PROBABLY SHOULDN'T HAVE SAID THAT BUT I LIKE TO

KNOW HOW THEY'RE THINKING.

AND I SAID THIS IS CRAZY.

THIS NEEDS TO BE DONE NOW.

THIS NEEDS TO HAVE A TURN LANE.

AND SO I VOTED NO.

EVERYBODY ON THE COUNCIL VOTED YES.

IT'S FINE.

YOU DON'T NEED TURN LANES.

LET ME TELL YOU WHAT, YOU LOOK AT THE TRAFFIC

SITUATIONS AND YOU HAVE SOMETHING WHERE SOMEONE IS

GOING IN AND YOU DON'T HAVE A TURN LANE, THAT'S A DISASTER.

YOU'RE NOT HAVING TRAFFIC MOVE.

IF YOU WANT PEOPLE TO NOT GET ENRAGED, YOU NEED TRAFFIC TO FLOW.

WE WANT EVERYBODY TO RIDE A BICYCLE.

I UNDERSTAND.

IF WE CAUSE IT SO NOBODY CAN GO ANYWHERE, MAYBE EVERYBODY CAN GET ON A BICYCLE.

I'M TOO OLD TO RIDE A BICYCLE.

AT LEAST FOR ME.

MAYBE I'LL RIDE A MOTORCYCLE.

LET ME TELL YOU WHAT, WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT HOW WE'RE DEVELOPING.

IF YOU LOOK AT SOMETHING, YOU THINK IT'S WRONG.

ONE THING I WAS TOLD WHEN I WAS YOUNG, A MAN STANDS ON HIS OWN TWO FEET.

A BOY WILL WALK ON ANYBODY.

WELL I DON'T LIKE TO WALK ON ANYBODY'S SHOES.

AND TO ME, THIS IS OUT OF SYNC.

IF WE NEED TO CHANGE SOME ZONING LAWS OR SOMETHING OR MAKE IT SO PEOPLE LOOK AND SAY HEY, LET'S DO THIS, IT WILL BE GREAT.

SOMETHING WE CAN REALLY BE PROUD OF.

MOST DEVELOPERS WHEN THEY BUILD SOMETHING, THEY LIKE TO THINK IT'S THEIR MONA LISA.

BUT WHEN THEY GET DONE WITH IT, WITH ALL THE INFRASTRUCTURE WE DON'T HAVE, IT DOESN'T TURN OUT THAT WELL.

WE NEED TO WORK HARDER WITH THE DEVELOPERS SO THEY'LL TALK TO US AND IF WE USE THEIR ASSETS TO BUILD A BETTER COMMUNITY.

AND I'M, YOU KNOW, MY COLLEAGUES, REPUBLICANS AND STUFF, THEY HAVE THEIR BELIEFS.

I BELIEVE YOU BUILD BEST.

AND YOU DON'T AND WE HAVE WRONG RULES, WE DON'T DO WRONG BECAUSE HEY, THIS IS THE RULE. NO.

DON'T DO WRONG.

THANKS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT BY COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL? HEARING NONE.

COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> I KNOW THE QUESTION CAME UP ARE THERE

ALTERNATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT.

I KNOW WE HAVE THE AMENDMENT THAT WAS

PRESUMED HERE BY >> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE SPONSOR

SOMEONE TO HEAR THESE FINDINGS OF FACT THAT

COUNCILMAN WINKLER JUST BROUGHT UP.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

DR. BLACKWELL.

>> IN THE SYSTEM.

MAYBE IF WE >> COUNTY ATTORNEY, ARE YOU THERE?

>> HE JUST WAS A MINUTE AGO.

>> THERE HE IS.

DID YOU HEAR THE QUESTION BY COUNCILMAN ENGEL? >> I DID, YES.

JUST FOR THE RECORD, TRAVIS, COUNTY ATTORNEY.

WHEREAS THE COUNCIL REJECTS FINDINGS OF

FACT BASED ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECORD

MAINTAINING THE NEXT ONE IS KIND OF DUPLICATE

SO I'LL JUMP AHEAD ONE MORE.

WHEREAS THE PROPOSAL DOES NOT COMPLY WITH LAND USE

GOAL ONE COMMUNITY FORM SUBSECTION 7.

THE SITE IS ROUGHLY A MILE AWAY FROM EXISTING HIGHWAY.

USED PROPERTY LOCATED IN BETWEEN.

AND WHEREAS A PROPOSAL DOES NOT COMPLY WITH LAND

USE DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE THIS THREE STORY HIGHLY

DENSE SHORT OF THE R 6 MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT IS

PROPOSED TO BE DROPPED IN THE MIDDLE OF AN

OVERWHELMINGLY R 4 SINGLE FAMILY HOME STARK AND

JARRING RATHER THAN APPROPRIATE.

WHEREAS THE PROPOSAL DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE LAND

USE SUBSECTION 4 BECAUSE THE SITE IS

LOCATED ROUGHLY A MILE OFF LAND USE AND

DEVELOPMENT TO MOBILITY SUBSECTION 4 BECAUSE WHILE

ACCESS TO THE SITE IS VUA LOWER HUNTERS TRACE

ACCESS TO MUCH MORE DENSE DEVELOPMENT THROUGH

SIGNIFICANTLY LESS DENSE AREAS WERE ALL RAISED

BY COMMISSIONERS WHO VOTED AGAINST RECOMMENDING THE PROPOSED REZONING.

HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS IF THERE ARE SOME.

THANK YOU.

>> MR. PRESIDENT.

>> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> ESSENTIALLY THOSE POINTS WERE ALL MADE BY THE COMMISSIONERS THAT VOTED AGAINST. I GUESS IT BOILS DOWN TO I BELIEVE MY CONSTITUENTS BELIEVE THEY'RE THE ONES WHO HAVE A RIGHT.

>> THANK YOU.

DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

- >> I'D LIKE TO FOLLOW UP.
- >> YES.
- >> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL, YOU MENTION APARTMENTS.

SO I'M STRUGGLING WITH COMPATIBILITY.

I REALIZE THREE STORY TOWERS ARE NOT IN

ANYBODY'S INTEREST IN ANYBODY'S DISTRICT.

I'D LIKE TO ASK TRAVIS EASTER IF THAT'S OKAY,

MR. PRESIDENT.

ARE WE CLAIMING CAPABILITY HERE BECAUSE OF A THREE STORY APARTMENT BUILDING BECAUSE THERE'S NO OTHER THREE STORY APARTMENT BECAUSE THERE'S NO APARTMENT IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. I'M ASKING A QUESTION.

- >> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN ENGEL.
- >> MR. EASTER.

>> SO ONE OF THE WHEREAS CLAUSES DOES SPEAK TO THE VARIATION AND BUILDING HEIGHT AND DENSITY COMPARED TO SURROUNDING PROPERTIES.

THAT'S CERTAINLY WITHIN ONE OF THESE CLAUSES.

I CAN'T SAY FOR CERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT ANY ACTION

WOULD CERTAINLY HOLD UP IN COURT OR NOT.

BUT WE HAVE HAD SOME SIMILAR FINDINGS AND OVERTURNS IN THE PAST.

DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, COUNCILMAN?

>> SORT OF.

I'M STRUGGLING WITH COMPATIBILITY THERE.

AND THEN YOU KNOW, THE ONE MILE FROM DIXIE HIGHWAY.

THIS IS THE FINDING OF FACT BECAUSE THERE'S NO SIDEWALKS.

IS THAT ESSENTIALLY WHAT WE'RE ARGUING HERE?

WE'RE ON A COLLECTOR ROAD HERE, FOLKS.

THAT'S WHAT I WAS REFERRING TO HERE BEFORE.

COLLECTOR ROAD.

THAT IS ESSENTIALLY WHERE THESE APARTMENTS PROBABLY SHOULD GO.

IS THAT THE OTHER FINDING OF FACT BECAUSE IF IT'S A MILE FROM DIXIE HIGHWAY AND THAT'S WHERE THE PARK IS AND THERE'S NO SIDEWALKS.

>> JUST TO CLARIFY ON THE SIDEWALK NOTE, THERE ARE

SOME EXISTING SIDEWALKS.

AND FINDING ELEMENTS 10 REQUIRES THE DEVELOPER TO CONNECT THE NETWORK THAT FURTHER GAPS BETWEEN THIS SITE AND DIXIE HIGHWAY FOR MAKE A PAYMENT TO PUBLIC WORKS SIDEWALKS AREN'T SPECIFICALLY CALLED OUT IN THESE WHEREAS CLAUSES FOR THAT REASON.

IT'S MORE ABOUT THE DISTANCE TO THE PRIMARY COLLECTOR OR TO DIXIE, AND THE, YOU KNOW, JUST A LACK OF NEARNESS TO THE SITE.

A MILE IS CERTAINLY NOT THE LONGEST WE'VE SEEN FOR APARTMENTS.

BUT NOT TOO CLOSE EITHER.

>> THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR NOW, MR. PRESIDENT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> HANG ON.

I'M TRYING TO GET MY VIDEO.

THERE WE GO.

SO I SAY THIS EVERY TIME WE HAVE A REASONABLY CONTROVERSIAL ZONING CASE.

THAT IS IF YOU DON'T LIKE THREE STORY BUILDINGS, TOMORROW IS THE DAY TO START WORKING ON THAT. IF YOU WANT SPECIFIC DISTANCES TO TARC ROUTES, TOMORROW IS THE DAY TO START WORKING ON THAT. ALSO, IT'S QUITE CLEAR IT'S NEVER A ZONING PROBLEM.

AND FOR YOU, AND I'M TALKING ALL 26 OF US. IT'S NEVER A ZONING PROBLEM FOR YOU UNTIL IT'S SPECIFIC TO YOUR DISTRICT.

BUT WE HAVE HUGE PROBLEMS WITH PLANNING AND ZONING THAT WE ONLY TAKE CARE OF THIS WAY AS OPPOSED TO STARTING TO WORK ON THEM TOMORROW IN ORDER TO FIX THINGS.

AND TIGHTEN THINGS DOWN AND GET RID OF LOOP HOLES. BECAUSE WE NEED TO PRESERVE TREES, YET WE CONTINUE TO ALLOW THREE STORY BARRACK STYLE HOUSING OR MAKE IT VERY CLEAR THIS IS YOUR MAX DENSITY BUT MAY NOT BE THE ONE YOU'RE APPROVED TO GET BECAUSE IT DOESN'T FIT THE AREA.

ALL OF THESE THINGS CAN BE CODIFIED BUT WE NEVER GET THAT FAR.

AND WE CONTINUE TO ALLOW THE DISCRETION OF THE COMMISSION.

THE DISCRETION OF THE BUILDER.

STEWART SAID EVERY BUILDER WANTS TO BUILD THE MONA

LISA.

ABSOLUTELY NOT.

EVERY BUILDER WANTS TO BE THAT GUY THAT SLAPS UP CARICATURES ON THE BOARDWALK.

THERE'S ONLY FEW NEIGHBORHOODS THAT GET THE MONA LISA.

THEY WANT TO THROW THESE THINGS UP AS MUCH AS THEY CAN.

HANG ON TO THEM FOR MAYBE FIVE YEARS AND FLIP THEM TO SOMEONE.

AND WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH THAT STUFF.

BUT THE TIME TO WORK ON THAT STARTS TOMORROW WITH ALL OF US IN A LITTLE WORK GROUP TRYING TO GET IT DONE.

I'LL BE VOTING YES BECAUSE PHILOSOPHICALLY IT'S A PROBLEM AND I KNOW WE NEED TO DEAL WITH IT. BUT I'M SAYING WE AS A GROUP, AND I GOT SIX MONTHS LEFT, HAVE TO FIX SOME OF THIS STUFF BEFORE WE GO.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> I'LL BE BRIEF BUT I WANTED TO RESPOND TO

COUNCILMAN ENGEL'S COMMENTS.

BUT THEN REALLY THESE ARE MY COMMENTS.

I DID READ THE ALTERNATIVE FINDING OF FACT.

BUT THERE'S NOTHING THERE ABOUT SIDEWALKS BECAUSE AS TRAVIS HAS JUST SAID, THE DEVELOPER IS PUTTING IN SIDEWALKS TO DIXIE.

THERE'S NOT A WORD IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO SIDEWALKS.

THERE'S NOT A WORD ABOUT TRAFFIC BECAUSE IT IS ON A PRIMARY COLLECTOR.

I THINK THIS IS AN UNUSUAL CASE FOR US, FRANKLY.
I DON'T REMEMBER A CASE WHERE WE HAVE SAID WE'RE
GOING TO TURN DOWN A MULTI FAMILY ZONING
BECAUSE IT'S STARK AND JARRING TO HAVE SO CLOSE

TO A MULTI FAMILY HOMES.

THAT'S WHY I'LL BE VOTING NO.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN PIAJENTINNI.

>> THANK YOU.

AND I THINK I'LL BE JOINING HOLLANDER.

I DON'T FIND IN THE CODE STARK AND JARRING AS A REASON.

THE PURPOSE OF OUR VOTE IS TO CHECK INCORRECT VOTES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHERE THEY MAKE MISTAKES,

WHERE THEY INTERPRET THE LAW WRONG.

WHERE THEY BLATANTLY DISREGARD THE LAW WRONG. TO COUNCILMAN PEDEN'S POINT, WE IN A MOMENT WILL BE VOTING TO CONSIDER OR RECONSIDER THE PART OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE THAT WE THINK NEEDS TO BE TIGHTENED UP.

I KNOW I HAVE TAKEN THE INITIATIVE ON THESE THINGS.

I KNOW OTHERS HAVE AS WELL.

THERE'S BEEN OTHER RESOLUTIONS.

TO COUNCILMAN PEDEN'S POINT IT STARTS TOMORROW.

THAT'S UNFAIR TO THE PROCESS WHEN WE HAVE

SOMETHING IN OUR MIND THAT WE WANT TO IMPROVE

TOMORROW AND YET HAVEN'T CREATED THAT STANDARD

TODAY AND HOLD PEOPLE ACCOUNTABLE TO THAT STANDARD TODAY.

I'M INCREDIBLY EMPATHETIC TO COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL AND HIS CONSTITUENTS.

BUT THE ROLE OF WHAT WE'RE SUPPOSED TO DO, I READ THE FINDING FACTS, I LISTENED TO TRAVIS.

THESE ARE INTERPRETIVE ELEMENT OF THE CODE THAT I SIMPLY DON'T THINK HAVE RISEN UP TO A TRUE VIOLATION WHERE THE PLANNING COMMISSION MADE A COMPLETELY INAPPROPRIATE CALL.

BUT I'M SYMPATHETIC AND I'M MORE THAN HAPPY TOMORROW TO CORRECT THE PART OF THE VOTE.

WE WILL HERE IN A FEW MOMENTS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT?

HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED.

MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL.

MADAM CLERK WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL FOR THOSE THAT ARE NOT IN CHAMBER.

COUNCILWOMEN SHANKLIN.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN, YOU HAVE TO TURN YOUR CAMERA ON.

- >> I'M SORRY.
- >> NO.
- >> COUNCILMAN PURVIS.
- >> NO.
- >> COUNCILMAN PEDEN.
- >> YES.

>> THANK YOU, THE AMENDMENT FAILS.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

WE HAVE SOME BINDING ELEMENTS THAT WERE LEFT ON THE TABLE SO I'D LIKE TO OFFER A FEW BINDING ELEMENTS.

BINDING ELEMENT NUMBER 12 WILL READ DEVELOPER SHALL INSTALL NINE EVERGREEN TREES IN ADDITION TO WHAT WAS SHOWN AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING PROPOSED NUMBER 2 IN THE ADJACENT PARKING SPACES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND LOWER HUNTERS TRACE AS PART OF THE LANDSCAPING FOR THE SITE.

MAY I HAVE AN AMENDMENT.

- >> SECOND.
- >> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.
- >> CAN I ASK, I DON'T KNOW BECAUSE I CAN'T TELL ON THE PLANS.

ARE ANY OF THE SIDES OF THESE BUILDINGS WERE TO MATCH THE SURROUNDING HOMES AND BUILDINGS?

- >> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.
- >> ANYBODY CAN ANSWER IT.
- >> CAN YOU ANSWER THAT OUESTION?
- >> I WILL FIND THAT.

I THINK THE APPLICANT DID PRESENT SOME ILLUSTRATIVE DRAWINGS.

I DON'T RECALL WHAT THE MATERIALS WERE.

>> ISN'T IT NORMAL, THOUGH, FOR A NEW BUILDING TO BE BUILT IN THE SAME MANNER AS A SURROUNDING HOME.

IF THEY'RE ALL BRICKS, THEN THERE HAS TO BE BRICK ON THE FACADE OF THOSE BUILDINGS.

IS THAT SOMETHING THAT IS COMMONPLACE?

>> IT'S CERTAINLY NOT A HARD AND FAST RULE BY ANY MEANS.

IT IS NOT UNCOMMON FOR FOLKS TO TRY TO CREATE NEW DEVELOPMENTS THAT MATCH THE GENERAL, YOU KNOW, APPEARANCE AND APPEAL IN IT.

IT IS REQUIRED IN SOME IF THERE'S SOME SORT OF SPECIAL DISTRICT OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

BUT COUNTY WIDE, IT'S NOT AN ABSOLUTE REQUIREMENT.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IF I COULD HELP.

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, THE DOCUMENT PDF, IT'S LIKE THE THIRD FROM THE LAST SLIDE.

IT HAS THE BUILDING ELEVATION, AND ACTUALLY GIVES "SIDINGS, COLORS" IT SEEMS TO INDICATE MOST IF NOT ALL SIDING.

>> SO IS THERE AND I DON'T KNOW.

IT'S NOT IN MY DISTRICT.

BUT IS THAT SOMETHING THAT COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL WOULD LIKE TO PUT IN AS A BINDING ELEMENT THAT PART OF THE FACADE, THE BUILDINGS WOULD HAVE BRICK SO IT'S JUST NOT ALL SIDING.

- >> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.
- >> I'M NOT SURE WHERE WE ARE IN THE PROCESS.
- >> THAT'S UNFORTUNATE.
- >> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> I THINK COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL MAY HAVE JUST RESPONDED TO MY QUESTION.

I BELIEVE WHEN WE HAVE BINDING ELEMENT, TYPICALLY THE DEVELOPER HAS AGREED TO THE BINDING ELEMENT BEFORE WE PUT IT IN TO THE CODIFICATION.

IS THAT TRUE?

>> THIS THIS CASE SPECIFICALLY THE TREES.

THE DEVELOPER HAS AGREED.

>> THESE WERE GIVEN TO US BY TRAVIS, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

I KNOW HE'S GOT THOSE OKAYED.

THE ONLY PROBLEM IS WHEN WE COME TO THE NEXT BINDING ELEMENT THAT WE NEED TO ADD BECAUSE THERE'S ACTUALLY TWO IMPEDING BINDING ELEMENTS. I'LL HAVE TO ASK HIM WHICH ONE THEY AGREED TO. >> OKAY.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY.

TYPICALLY WITH BINDING ELEMENTS, THE DEVELOPER IS ON BOARD.

>> AND TRAVIS CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG HERE, BUT NORMALLY WHEN WE DO SOMETHING THAT COULD IMPACT THE COST OF THE DEVELOPMENT, I BELIEVE THAT IT HAS TO HAVE AN AGREEMENT OR WE RUN A HIGH RISK OF BEING AFOUL.

IS THAT CORRECT, TRAVIS?

>> YES, THAT'S GENERALLY CORRECT.

ANYTHING THAT REQUIRES ANYTHING BEYOND A NOMINAL EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS.

TYPICALLY FROM SIDING TO BRICK IS A FINANCIAL SHIFT.

AND IT'S DIFFICULT TO HAVE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT BINDING ELEMENTS IN THE MIDDLE OF A LIVE MEETING.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.

AND AGAIN, I'M GOING TO APOLOGIZE FOR NOT BEING THERE.

BECAUSE I WOULD PROBABLY LOOK THESE THINGS UP MYSELF IF I HAD THE PLAN IN FRONT OF ME ON A COMPUTER.

HOW BIG IS THIS LOT?

- >> MR. EASTER.
- >> WHAT'S THE DISTANCE ON LOWER HUNTERS?
- >> DESPITE ABOUT 11.25 ACRES.

AND THE CLOSEST BUILDING, THERE'S A 40 FOOT RIGHT OF WAY DEDICATION.

THEY'RE DOING A PARTIAL EXPANSION OF A TURN LANE. LOOKS LIKE THE CLOSEST BUILDING IS 65 FOOT THE CENTER OF RIGHT OF WAY.

>> WELL HERE'S WHY I'M ASKING, I KNOW THAT WE'VE HAD A LOT OF TALK ABOUT THREE STORY BUILDINGS AND THEY'RE CRAMMING THEM IN THERE, BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THE IN FILL RULE AREN'T BEING UTILIZED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

I KNOW WHY THEY'RE NOT BEING UTILIZED BY THE DEVELOPER.

BUT THE INFILL RULE CAN ONLY BE HALF STORY TALLER THAN WHAT'S ALREADY THERE.

THEN THEY CAN PEAK UP FOR THE CENTER OF THE PROPERTY.

IF THEY'RE STARTING ON THE PERIMETER WITH THREE STORY BUILDINGS, THERE'S AN ERROR IN AND OF ITSELF.
SECONDLY, ABOUT 11 YEARS AGO, WHEN WE REDID THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, SPECIFICALLY I'LL GO BACK AND REFERENCE COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, HE KNOWS THAT 11 YEARS AGO WHEN I VOTED NO FOR SOMETHING ON THE MRDI, I ASKED I CALL IT MY SUNSET SUNRISE THING. IF YOUR BUILDINGS ARE GOING TO BLOCK THE SUNSET

IF YOUR BUILDINGS ARE GOING TO BLOCK THE SUNSET OR SUNRISE FROM SOMEONE, YOU HAVE TO EITHER SHORTEN YOUR BUILDINGS OR MORE THEM AWAY FROM THE PORTER.

BASED ON EVERYTHING DESCRIBED HERE, I HAVEN'T HEARD THAT EITHER.

THAT'S THE EXISTING PART OF THE CODE.

I WISH I HAD IT IN FRONT OF ME SO I COULD LOOK AT IT EXACT.

AGAIN, THESE ARE THINGS THAT THE COMMISSION AND BUILDERS TYPICALLY IGNORE BECAUSE IT'S NOT VERY PROFITABLE TO THEM.

BUT I JUST THINK I WOULD ASK AND LET SOMEONE MAKE COMMENTS BECAUSE THEY ARE STARING AT THE DOCUMENT AND I AM NOT.

- >> I CAN ADDRESS THAT, IF YOU WOULD LIKE.
- >> SURE.
- >> THERE IS A IT IS THE BUILDING WHICH IS CLOSEST

TO CLUB HOUSE TO RESIDENTIAL AND THE ONE

THAT OVERLOOKS SINGLE FAMILY LOTS.

THE OTHER ARE LARGELY INTERIOR TO THE SITE.

THERE'S SORT OF AN ODDITY IN THIS.

ABUT THE ADJACENT LOT.

THOSE BUILDINGS ARE THREE STORIES.

IS THREE STORIES.

BUT IT IS ON LOWER HUNTER.

THERE'S NOT DIRECTLY RESIDENTIAL.

SO THAT'S TYPICALLY SEEN AS DIFFERENT VERSUS

DIRECTLY ABUTTING RESIDENTS LOT.

THERE HAS BEEN SOME ADAPTATION TO THE LAND.

LOWERING IT FROM THE ORIGINAL EXPOSED

THREE STORIES.

OTHER THAN THAT, I WOULDN'T CALL IT

NECESSARILY UNUSUAL.

>> COUNCILMAN PEDEN, DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

>> OH. I'M GOOD.

AGAIN, IF I HAD BEEN SITTING IN CHAMBER >>

TURN YOUR CAMERA ON.

>> I'M GOOD.

IF I HAD BEEN IN CHAMBERS, I COULD HAVE SEEN ALL THAT MYSELF.

BUT I'M FINE.

>> ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> THIS IS FOR THIS BINDING ELEMENT, RIGHT?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

FOR THE BINDING ELEMENT.

HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

THANK YOU.

THE BINDING ELEMENT IS APPROVED.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> I HAVE ONE MORE.

TRAVIS, ON THE BINDING ELEMENTS NUMBER 13 THAT YOU SENT ME IS THAT ALSO BEEN AGREED TO BY THE

APPLICANT?

>> NOT EXACTLY.

AND THIS ONE FRANKLY ONE IS FOR THE DEVELOPER.

THE OTHER IS FOR THEM TO CONTRIBUTE TOWARDS A FULL

AREA STUDY FOR LOWER HUNTERS.

THEY SAID EITHER OR.

THEY'RE WILLING TO SPEND THE MONEY ON PRIVATE STUDY OR CONTRIBUTION TOWARD PUBLIC ONE, WHATEVER COUNCIL'S PREFERENCE IS.

>> I WOULD ASK COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL SINCE IT'S HIS DISTRICT >> >> I'VE GOT THAT LANGUAGE.

BINDING ELEMENT NUMBER 13.

BETWEEN THE SECOND AND THIRD YEAR AFTER THE FINAL SORRY.

BETWEEN THE SECOND AND THIRD YEAR AFTER THE FINAL SECRETARY OCCUPANCY ISSUED, DEVELOPER SHALL COMPLYING WITH PUBLIC WORKS STANDARDS IN THE INTERSECTIONS OF LOWER HUNTERS TRACE AND COPIES OF THE RESULTS OF THIS TRAFFIC STUDY SHALL BE SENT TO THE PLANNING AND DESIGN SERVICES, LOUISVILLE METRO PUBLIC WORKS COUNCIL MEMBER FOR DISTRICT 12.

AND I MOVE THAT AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

MOTION AND A SECOND BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT. ANY DISCUSSION?

- >> WHEN DOES THAT TRAFFIC STUDY NEED TO BE DONE?
- >> SO IT READS BETWEEN THE SECOND AND THIRD YEAR AFTER THE FINAL CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY IS ISSUED.

TRY TO ENSURE THESE UNITS ARE ACTUALLY OCCUPIED SO WE'RE NOT JUST GETTING EMPTY BUILDINGS.

- >> AND THIS HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED OR AGREED TO BY THE DEVELOPER?
- >> NO, THIS WAS AGREED TO BY THE DEVELOPER.
- >> OKAY.

FINE.

>> THEY AGREED TO TWO DIFFERENT ONES.

TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF STUDIES.

>> OKAY.

I UNDERSTAND.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ON THE BINDING ELEMENT PROPOSAL?

HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED.

FINDING NO ONE.

BINDING ELEMENT IS APPROVED.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

- >> I THINK WE'RE FINISHED.
- >> ALL RIGHT.

THE ORDINANCE IS NOW BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS NOW BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL FOR VOTING.

>> MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE VIRTUAL ATTENDEES.

- >> COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN, YOU NEED TO TURN YOUR CAMERA ON PLEASE.
- >> YES.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES AND FOUR NO VOTES.
- >> THANK YOU.

IT PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, READING PLEASE.

>> A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW THE FLOYD SPECIAL DISTRICT IN CHAPTER 3 PART 1 OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE LDC.

READ IN FULL.

- >> MOTION.
- >> SECOND.
- >> MOTION MY COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

- >> AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO CALL ON ONE OF THE SPONSORS OF THE ORDINANCE WHICH IS CASSIE ARMSTRONG.
- >> COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS RESOLUTION CALLS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO REVIEW SOMETHING THAT WE'VE ALREADY ASKED THEM DO WHICH IS TO MAKE SURE WE'RE PROVIDING FLOYD FORK IN A WAY CONSIDERING WHETHER IT NEEDS TO BE UPDATED.

WE HAVE ALREADY APPROVED A DOCUMENT CALLING FOR THIS. THIS IS ACTUALLY INITIATING THAT PROCESS.

THIS HAS PASSED UNANIMOUSLY OUT OF COMMITTEE.

FLOOR AMENDMENT WHICH IS LOADED IN THE SYSTEM AS FLOOR AMENDMENT 060922.

THAT FLOOR AMENDMENT DOES THREE THINGS.

FIRST IT STRIKES MOST REFERENCES TO THE FLOYD

STEWARDING THROUGH PREVIOUSLY TO MAKE IT

KNOWN THAT WE ARE NOT WE ARE ONLY INTERESTED IN

USING THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS TO GUIDE

US MOVING FORWARD.

THE AMENDMENT ALSO ADDS SOME SPECIFIC WHEREAS CLAUSES TALKING ABOUT WHO SHOULD BE ENGAGED AS PART OF THE PROCESS.

AND FINALLY, IT SAYS THE TIMELINE TO RETURN

RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL BY THE END OF THIS YEAR.

WITH THAT, I WOULD MOVE ADOPTION OF THE FLOOR AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

WE HAVE A SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

YOU KNOW YOU MADE A FUNNY THERE.

YOU SAID COUNCILMAN BENSON STEWARDED THROUGH.

- >> FUNNY AND I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW IT.
- >> COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I JUST WANT TO THANK COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG FOR WORKING ON THIS.

I SAW A TRAP IN DEVELOPMENT GOING ON

EXAMPLE AFTER EXAMPLE OF TOO MUCH LATITUDE, LET'S PUT IT THAT WAY, AND NOT ENOUGH DESCRIPTIVENESS IN THE CODE RELATED TO THE DRO.

AND FOR THE SAKE OF EVERYBODY.

FOR THE SAKE OF DEVELOPERS, FOR THE SAKE

OF COMMUNITY, FOR THE SAKE OF ENVIRONMENTAL NEEDS OF FLOYDS FORK.

YOU'RE ASKING TO GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS AND TIGHTEN UP THE LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THERE WHICH

THERE HAVE BEEN MULTIPLE INSTANCES A VARIETY OF INTERPRETATION.

SIMILARLY, PEOPLE ARE FRUSTRATED BY OTHER PARTS OF THE CODE GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

I WOULD BE GLAD TO VOTE FOR OTHER THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE.

THAT IS THE INTENTION.

I ALSO WANT TO SAY A HUGE THANKS TO THE CONSTITUENTS WHO LIVE ALONG FLOYDS FORK AREA.

USE IT RECREATIONALLY.

WHO HAD BEEN ADVOCATING AND ADVOCATING TO MAKE THEIR VOICE HEARD.

BRINGING UP THE CONCERNS THAT ARE GOING UP THERE.

I WOULD APPRECIATE EVERYBODY'S SUPPORT ON

THIS AND WANT TO THANK THE OTHER >> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

THIS IS A RESOLUTION THAT WE COULD OH, IT'S GOT

AN AMENDMENT.

I'M SORRY.

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT, SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

THE AYES HAVE IT.

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE RESOLUTION SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

RESOLUTION PASSES.

THANK YOU.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 44 PLEASE.

>> AN ORDINANCE RELATED TO THE ZONING READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>> MOTION MY COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THE PROPOSAL IS FOR TWO SINGLE STORY WAREHOUSES ON 4.6 ACRES.

BINDING ELEMENT NUMBER SEVEN STATES THAT NO

ISLAND AND NO OVERNIGHT IDLING.

BINDING ELEMENT NUMBER 8 STIPULATES THE TO

DETERMINE WHAT TYPE AND WHERE TRAFFIC SIGNS CAN BE

PLACED, TRUCK TRAFFIC FROM ACCESSING EUREKA AVENUE.

THE APPLICANT WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR INCURRING

THE COST OF THE SIGN AND THE SIGN INSTALLATION ONCE IT'S APPROVED.

THAT WAS IMPORTANT FOR THE PUBLIC TO KNOW THERE WERE BINDING ELEMENTS FROM IDLING >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> YES, THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN BOWEN'S DISTRICT.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

AS I WAS STATING THIS IS NOT A BUSY INTERSECTION.

IT WOULD BENEFIT DISTRICT 1.

AND I HAVEN'T HAD ANYONE CALL ME OR SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THIS THAT I KNOW OF OR HAVE EMAILED ME. SO THANKS.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE THAT REQUIRES ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE OPEN UP THE ROLL.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE VIRTUAL ATTENDEES.

- >> COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 23 YES VOTES.
- >> THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, READING OF ITEM NUMBER 45, PLEASE.

- >> (READING ITEM 45).
- >> THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION.

MOTION BY COUNCILMAN PIAJENTINNI.

SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER >> ONE IT WAS IN MY DISTRICT.

AND TWO, THERE WAS A LACK OF CLARITY AROUND THIS PARTICULAR INCENTIVE.

TYPICALLY WE HAVE MOVED TO A MODEL OF CBAS OR MOUS. THIS IS IN THE BACK END.

THIS IS APPROVAL INSIDE THE KENTUCKY CABINET BACK IN 2017.

THEREBY NOW THAT I HAVE THE CONTEXT AND I HAVE THE INFORMATION, THEY'RE COMING BACK TO RECEIVE THE FULL AMOUNT OF THEIR INCENTIVE.

SO I AM SUPPORTING THIS AS IT WAS PASSED PREVIOUS TO OUR INSTITUTION OF CVAS AND MOUS.

SO I WOULD ASK FOR THOSE TO SUPPORT THIS.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER COMMENT?

THIS IS A RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

- >> AYE.
- >> ALL THOSE OPPOSED.

THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES ITEMS 46 THROUGH 67.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE READ THOSE ITEMS AND THEIR ASSIGNMENTS TO A COMMITTEE.

- >> (CLERK READING ITEMS).
- >> READ IN FULL.
- >> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK.

NEXT WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS.

DO ANY COUNCIL MEMBERS HAVE OUR ANNOUNCEMENTS? NO.

WELL THAT CONCLUDES OUR MEETING.

OUR NEXT MEETING IS THURSDAY.

NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO DISCUSS.

WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE STAND ADJOURNED.