

General Waiver ApplicationLouisville Metro Planning & Design Services

F. F. SON COUNT	Case No.	NALVEK-007	intake Stair	
FRSON COU	Date: $\frac{5/2}{2}$		_ Fee:	
application and suppo	rting documentatio	n to: Planning and		Once complete, please bring the d at 444 South 5 th Street, Suite 300 ng-Design.
Project Information	on:			
	-		ollowing waivers of the	Land Development Code:
☐ Landscape	Waiver of Chapt	er 10, Part 2		
	ver of Section _5	5.6.1.C.1		
			walk or Tree Canopy Wa ver Application" instead.	ivers. If applicable, please submit
Explanation To of Waiver:	not provide a do	or on the building	g façade facing Halden	nan Ave.
Primary Project A	ddress: 1904 Fr	ankfort Ave.		
Additional Addres	s(es):			
Primary Parcel ID	T.B. 691	H, Lot 2		
Additional Parcel	D(s):			
Proposed Use:	_Liquor S	Store	Existing Use:	Liquor Store
Existing Zoning Di	strict: C-1		Existing Form Dis	strict: TNFD
Deed Book(s) / Pa	ge Numbers ² : _[D.B. 10684, Pg. 6	684	
The subject prope	rty contains <u>0.3</u>	84 acres.	Number of Adjoining P	roperty Owners: 1
conditional use pe (Related Cases) 1	rmit, minor plat, e □ Yes ⊠	etc.)? <i>This inform</i> No		g., rezoning, variance, appeal, the Land Development Report
If yes, please list the	ne docket/case n	umbers:		MAY 02 2022
Docket/Case #:		-	Docket/Case #:	THE NOTES IS I
Docket/Case #: _			Docket/Case #:	

Page 1 of 4 22-WAIVER-1071

General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer all of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.

1.	Will the	waiver	adversely	affect ad	jacent	property	owners?
----	----------	--------	-----------	-----------	--------	----------	---------

No, the waiver will not adversely affect the adjacent property owners as the existing building was built in 1965 and the residential properties on the west side of Haldeman Ave were built in 1964/1965, and the current view from Haldeman Ave is a wood fence. The expansion will be a vast visual improvement.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

No, 2.1.3 of the Comprehensive Plan states to evaluate the appropriateess of a land development proposal in the context of the effect of the proposed development on the movement of people and goods. Being that the site has a steep slope along Haldeman Ave, a door would not be a usable function for the site. The proposed building addition will add an additional door for access to the business.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

Yes the extent of the waiver regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the site is at a steep elevation along Haldeman Ave., and a door would not be a usable feature for the business.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The applicant plans to incorporate other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district by adding an additional door for access to the business on the proposed building expansion and will also add additional landscaping along the Haldeman Ave side of the building.

MAY 02 2022

THE LEL