

General Waiver ApplicationLouisville Metro Planning & Design Services

C C	ase No.: 22-WAIVEZ-0073	Intake Staff: EL	·····
EFFERSON COUNTY	ate: <u>5/2/22</u>	Fee:	
application and supporting	Mondays at 2:00 p.m. in order to be pring documentation to: Planning and De II (502) 574-6230 or visit http://www.lo	sign Services, located at 4	44 South 5th Street, Suite 300
Project Information	:		
Application is hereby	y made for one or more of the follo	wing waivers of the Land	d Development Code:
□ Landscape W	aiver of Chapter 10, Part 2		
☐ Other: Waive	of Section	_	
	Application is not required for Sidewall Application" or "Tree Canopy Waiver		If applicable, please submit
Explanation To al of Waiver:	low a 13' LBA along the rear of the	e property	
Primary Project Add	ress: 1904 Frankfort Ave.		
Additional Address(es):		
Primary Parcel ID:	T.D. 0011 1-10		
Additional Parcel ID	(s):		
Proposed Use:	Liquor Store	Existing Use:	Liquor Store
Existing Zoning Distr	rict: C-1	Existing Form District:	TNFD
Deed Book(s) / Page	e Numbers ² : D.B. 10684, Pg. 684		
The subject property	contains <u>0.384</u> acres. Nur	mber of Adjoining Proper	ty Owners: 1
conditional use perm (Related Cases) 1	en the subject of a previous develo it, minor plat, etc.)? <i>This informatio</i> ☐ Yes ⊠ No		and Development Report
ir yes, piease list the	docket/case numbers:		MAY 02 2022
Docket/Case #:	D	ocket/Case #:	- While is to Design
D = =1==1/O ==== #	5		

D-WAIVER-0073

General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer <u>all</u> of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.**

1.	Will the	waiver	adversely	affect	adiacent	property	owners?

No, the waiver will not adversely affect the adjacent property owners as the existing building was built in 1965 prior to the current LDC regulations.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

No, 3.1.2 of the Comprehensive Plan states that the TNFD will require particular emphasis on preservation and renovation of existing buildings in stable neighborhoods. The existing building has been on this site for 57 yrs.; the residential properties behind the existing building were built in 2006 and the commercial property to the east of the residential properties has existed since 1960.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

Yes the extent of the waiver regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant due to the fact that the building was built in 1965 prior to the current regulations.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The strict application of the provisions of the regulatin would deprive the applicaant of the reasonable use of the land because of the extreme elevation changes on the lot and the existing building being in built in 1965.

MAY 02 2022