

JUSTIFICATION

To justify approval of any variance, the Planning Commission considers the following criteria. Please answer **all** the following items. Use additional sheets if needed. Responses of **yes, no, or n/a** will **not** be accepted.

Explain how the variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety, or welfare.

This is an existing porch that is getting extended 5'. Nothing other than the depth of the porch is changing. It will remain open. Porches are allowed to extend up to 10' into the setback however the front yard is classified as the side yard in the development code.

Explain how the variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity.

This is an existing porch that is getting extended 5'. Nothing other than the depth of the porch is changing. It will remain open. Porches are allowed to extend up to 10' into the setback however the front yard is classified as the side yard in the development code.

Explain how the variance will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to the public.

This is an existing porch that is getting extended 5'. Nothing other than the depth of the porch is changing. It will remain open. Porches are allowed to extend up to 10' into the setback however the front yard is classified as the side yard in the development code.

Explain how the variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.

This is an existing porch that is getting extended 5'. Nothing other than the depth of the porch is changing. It will remain open. Porches are allowed to extend up to 10' into the setback however the front yard is classified as the side yard in the development code.

Explain how the variance arises from special circumstances, which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity (please specify/identify).

The street address and front of the home are located at Cherrywood Road but the development code specifies that the front of the house is considered Brookfield due to the corner lot. The house has been built to face Cherrywood and should be considered for this variance due to its address and current construction.

Explain how the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create unnecessary hardship.

The interpretation of the Development Code for this situation should not apply because the house faces and has always faced Cherrywood.

Are the circumstances the result of actions of the applicant taken *after* the adoption of the regulation from which relief is sought?

No.



22 - VARIANCE - 0136