

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
December 1, 2022

CONSENT AGENDA

CASE NO. 22-STRCLOSURE-0017

Request:	Closure of an Unimproved Portion of Beargrass Avenue
Project Name:	One Park North Street Closure
Location:	2297, 2301, 2313, 2345 Lexington Ave
Owner:	MSD & JDG Triangle Partners LLC
Applicant:	JDG Triangle Partners LLC
Representative:	Mindel Scott and Assoc.; Bardenwerper, Talbott & Roberts
Jurisdiction:	Louisville Metro
Council District:	9 - Bill Hollander
Case Manager:	Julia Williams, AICP, Planning Supervisor

Notice of this public hearing appeared in **The Courier Journal**, a notice was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

No Discussion

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

Beargrass Avenue Street Closure

On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Sistrunk, the following resolution based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis and testimony heard today was adopted.

WHEREAS, adequate public facilities are available to serve existing and future needs of the community. The proposed closure does not result in an increase in demand on public facilities or services as utility agencies have coordinated with the applicant and/or applicant's representative and Planning and Design Services staff to ensure that facilities are maintained or relocated through agreement with the developer. No property adjacent or abutting the rights-of-way to be closed will be left absent of public facilities or services or be dispossessed of public access to their property. The applicant will provide necessary easements or relocation of equipment per utility agency requirements; and

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
December 1, 2022

CONSENT AGENDA

CASE NO. 22-STRCLOSURE-0017

WHEREAS, any cost associated with the rights-of-way to be closed will be the responsibility of the applicant or developer, including the cost of improvements to those rights-of-way and adjacent rights-of-way, or the relocation of utilities and any additional agreement reached between the utility provider and the developer; and

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds, the request to close multiple rights-of-way is in compliance the Goals, Objectives and Plan Elements of the Comprehensive Plan as Mobility Goal 2, Policy 2 states to coordinate use of rights-of-way with community design policies. Ensure accessible rights-of-way to accommodate mobility needs of all transportation network users; Mobility Goal 2, Policy 7 states that the design of all new and improved transportation facilities should be accessible and; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 1 states to provide transportation services and facilities to promote and accommodate growth and change in activity centers through improved access management. Provide walking and bicycling opportunities to enable activity centers to minimize single occupant vehicle travel. Encourage a mix of complementary neighborhood serving businesses and services in neighborhood and village centers to encourage short trips easily made by walking or bicycling; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 2 seeks to improve mobility, and reduce vehicle miles traveled and congestion, encourage a mixture of compatible land uses that are easily accessible by bicycle, car, transit, pedestrians and people with disabilities. Housing should be encouraged near employment centers; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 3 to evaluate developments for their ability to promote public transit and pedestrian use. Encourage higher density mixed-use developments that reduce the need for multiple automobile trips as a means of achieving air quality standards and providing transportation and housing choices; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 5 to evaluate developments for their impact on the transportation network (including the street, pedestrian, transit, freight movement and bike facilities and services) and air quality; and Mobility Goal 3, Policy 12 states to ensure that transportation facilities of new developments are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. Where appropriate, provide at least one continuous roadway through the development to tie all local access roads or parking areas to the arterial street system. Adequate stub streets and pedestrian connections should be provided by developments. Any cost associated with the rights-of-way to be closed will be the responsibility of the applicant or developer. Adequate public facilities are available to serve existing and future needs of the community. Any facility required to be placed in an easement or relocated will be done so by the developer. Transportation facilities have been provided to accommodate future access and to not dispossess property owners of public access. All adjacent lands maintain access to public infrastructure and utility services will continue to be provided to these lands; and

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission further finds there are no other relevant matters to be considered by the Planning Commission.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
December 1, 2022**

CONSENT AGENDA

CASE NO. 22-STRCLOSURE-0017

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **RECOMMEND** that the Louisville Metro Council **APPROVE** the Beargrass Avenue right-of-way street closure **ON CONDITION** that an access easement for the benefit of the public be placed on Beargrass Avenue until future access to the park as shown on the development plan can be provided on property described in the attached legal description.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Brown, Cheek, Clare, Mims, Pennix, Price, Sistrunk and Howard

NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Carlson and Lewis