Planning Commission

Staff Report

December 15, 2022



Case No: 22-ZONE-0065 Project Name: Fultz Meridian Avenue Location: 205 & 207 Meridian Avenue & Unnamed Alley Owner(s): Dan & Cheryl Fultz Dan & Cheryl Fultz Applicant: Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro Council District: 9 – Bill Hollander Case Manager: Dante St. Germain, AICP, Planner II

REQUESTS

- Change in zoning from R-5 Single Family Residential to R-6 Multi-Family Residential
- Closure of Public Right of Way for unnamed, unimproved alley (22-STRCLOSURE-0022)
- **Variance** from 5.1.12 to exceed the maximum infill setback by a principal structure (required: 11', requested: 142', variance of 131') (22-VARIANCE-0093)
- **Waiver** from 10.2.4 to allow an easement to overlap a required Landscape Buffer Area by more than 50% (22-WAIVER-0107)
- Detailed District Development Plan with Binding Elements

CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND

The subject site is located on Meridian Avenue near the intersection with Dayton Avenue, and consists of two contiguous parcels. The applicant proposes to consolidate the parcels and rezone them in order to construct 7 new multi-family units. The site is already developed with two single-family residences, one of which is to be preserved. The total number of resulting units on the site would be 8.

The site is generally surrounded by a mix of commercial, single-family and institutional uses. The City of St. Matthews is adjacent to the site.

STAFF FINDING

Staff finds that the proposed zoning change meets the guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan. The variance is adequately justified and meets the standard of review. The waiver is adequately justified and meets the standard of review. The site plan meets the requirements of the Land Development Code, and generally meets the guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan.

The closure of public right-of-way is adequately justified and meets the standard of review. The closure area is unused and unimproved. No properties will be deprived of access and all affected utility agencies will be provided necessary easements to allow continued maintenance of their facilities.

TECHNICAL REVIEW

MSD and Transportation Planning have provided preliminary approval of the proposal.

With respect to the closure of right-of-way, the applicant has agreed to provide an easement to MSD for existing utility equipment in the closure area. All affected agencies have agreed to the closure or declined to comment. The City of St. Matthews was asked for comment, and the City engineer has verified that no planned extension of this alley is planned or anticipated.

INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS

Staff has received three interested party comments requesting additional information regarding the request.

STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

- 1. <u>The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies</u> <u>Plan 2040; **OR**</u>
- 2. <u>The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; **OR**</u>
- 3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Plan 2040 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

STAFF ANALYSIS FOR CHANGE IN ZONING

The site is located in the Town Center Form District

The Town Center is a traditional and preferred form, larger in scale than the neighborhood center, which forms a focal point of activity. The Town Center has an identifiable core and is often located at a historic crossroads or the intersection of a major thoroughfare(s) and a collector roadway with connections to surrounding neighborhoods through walkways, local streets and residential collector streets. The amount of floor space in town centers is usually between 100,000 and 400,000 square feet reflecting a market area designed to serve a population of between 25,000 to 75,000.

The Town Center form typically has a compact mixture of moderately intense uses including shopping, restaurants, offices and residences. In its most traditional form, the Town Center ordinarily includes civic uses, such as libraries, government offices, police or fire stations and religious facilities. The presence of small-scale civic open space is a common but not essential feature. Buildings are generally close to and oriented toward the street. These characteristics strengthen the role of the Town Center as a community focal point.

The Town Center should have a high level of pedestrian, roadway, transit and bicycle access, a connected street pattern, shared parking and pedestrian amenities. More intense uses in the Town Center are located in close proximity to the major thoroughfare, and the intensity of use gradually declines toward the adjacent neighborhoods.

Town Centers are easily disrupted by new forms of development. Therefore, the harmony and compatibility of infill and redevelopment in Town Centers should receive special attention. The establishment of new Town Centers requires a high level of planning and design. The Comprehensive Plan envisions the preservation and enhancement of those town centers that already exist and encourages creation of new Town Centers that are in keeping with the goals, objectives and Policies.

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Goals, Objectives and Policies of Plan 2040.

The site is located relatively close to Shelbyville Road, and the commercial and transit corridor located along that street. The provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services is ample nearby. The proposal would provide additional housing in the neighborhood, and a different style of housing from what is typical in the neighborhood. The sidewalk network in the area is broken in many places, and the proposal would improve that network along the site frontage.

A checklist is attached to the end of this staff report with a more detailed analysis. The Louisville Metro Planning Commission is charged with making a recommendation to the Louisville Metro Council regarding the appropriateness of this zoning map amendment. The Louisville Metro Council has zoning authority over the property in question.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR STREET CLOSURES

 Adequate Public Facilities – Whether and the extent to which the request would result in demand on public facilities and services (both on-site and off-site), exceeding the capacity or interfering with the function of such facilities and services, existing or programmed, including transportation, utilities, drainage, recreation, education, emergency services, and similar necessary facilities and services. No closure of any public right of way shall be approved where an identified current or future need for the facility exists. Where existing or proposed utilities are located within the right-of-way to be closed, it shall be retained as an easement or alternative locations shall be provided for the utilities; and

STAFF: Adequate public facilities are available to serve existing and future needs of the community. The proposed closure does not result in an increase in demand on public facilities or services as utility agencies have coordinated with the applicant and/or applicant's representative and Planning and Design Services staff to ensure that facilities are maintained or relocated through agreement with the developer. No property adjacent or abutting the rights-of-way to be closed will be left absent of public facilities or services, or be dispossessed of public access to their property. The applicant will provide necessary easements per utility agency requirements.

 Cost for Improvement – The cost for a street or alley closing, or abandonment of any easement or land dedicated to the use of the public shall be paid by the applicant or developer of a proposed project, including cost of improvements to adjacent rights-of-way or relocation of utilities within an existing easement; and

STAFF: Any cost associated with the rights-of-way to be closed will be the responsibility of the applicant or developer, including the cost of improvements to those rights-of-way and adjacent rights-of-way, or the relocation of utilities and any additional agreement reached between the utility provider and the developer.

3. <u>Comprehensive Plan – The extent to which the proposed closure is in compliance with the</u> <u>Goals, Objectives and Plan Elements of the Comprehensive Plan; and</u>

STAFF: The request to close the right-of-way is in compliance the Goals, Objectives and Plan Elements of the Comprehensive Plan as Mobility Goal 2, Policy 2 states to coordinate use of rights-of-way with community design policies. Ensure accessible rights-of-way to accommodate mobility needs of all transportation network users; Mobility Goal 2, Policy 7 states that the design of all new and improved transportation facilities should be accessible and; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 1 states to provide transportation services and facilities to promote and accommodate growth and change in activity centers through improved access management. Provide walking

and bicycling opportunities to enable activity centers to minimize single-occupant vehicle travel. Encourage a mix of complementary neighborhood serving businesses and services in neighborhood and village centers to encourage short trips easily made by walking or bicycling; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 2 seeks to improve mobility, and reduce vehicle miles traveled and congestion, encourage a mixture of compatible land uses that are easily accessible by bicycle, car, transit, pedestrians and people with disabilities. Housing should be encouraged near employment centers; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 3 to evaluate developments for their ability to promote public transit and pedestrian use. Encourage higher density mixed-use developments that reduce the need for multiple automobile trips as a means of achieving air quality standards and providing transportation and housing choices; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 5 to evaluate developments for their impact on the transportation network (including the street, pedestrian, transit, freight movement and bike facilities and services) and air quality; and Mobility Goal 3, Policy 12 states to ensure that transportation facilities of new developments are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. Where appropriate, provide at least one continuous roadway through the development to tie all local access roads or parking areas to the arterial street system. Adequate stub streets and pedestrian connections should be provided by developments. Any cost associated with the rights-of-way to be closed will be the responsibility of the applicant or developer. Adequate public facilities are available to serve existing and future needs of the community. Any facility required to be placed in an easement or relocated will be done so by the developer. Transportation facilities have been provided to accommodate future access and to not dispossess property owners of public access. All adjacent lands maintain access to public infrastructure and utility services will continue to be provided to these lands.

4. <u>Other Matters – Any other matters which the Planning Commission may deem relevant and appropriate; and</u>

STAFF: There are no other relevant matters to be considered by the Planning Commission.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR VARIANCE FROM SECTION 5.1.12

(a) <u>The requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare.</u>

STAFF: The requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare, because the structure must be constructed to comply with all building codes, including fire codes. The proposed structure will not impact sight lines or obstruct vision clearance.

(b) <u>The requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity.</u>

STAFF: The requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity as the neighborhood of the site transitions from residential to non-residential from south to north, with differing setbacks for the non-residential areas.

(c) <u>The requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public.</u>

STAFF: The requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public because the structure must be constructed to comply with all building codes. The proposed building will not obstruct vision clearance.

(d) <u>The requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations.</u>

STAFF: The requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations as the existing structure which is proposed to be preserved is fairly close to the street and will provide a street experience for pedestrians which is similar to what is present today.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

a. <u>The requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land</u> in the general vicinity or in the same zone.

STAFF: The requested variance does not arise from special circumstances which do generally apply to land in the general vicinity or the same zone as the property is similar in size and shape to other properties in the subdivision.

b. <u>The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of</u> reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.

STAFF: The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant as the design of the proposed development constitutes buildings surrounding a central parking area. Moving the structures closer to the street would deprive the applicant of adequate parking.

c. <u>Such special circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to</u> the adoption of the zoning regulations.

STAFF: The circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought as the structures have not been constructed and the applicant is requesting the variance.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR WAIVER OF SECTION 10.2.4

(a) <u>The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners; and</u>

STAFF: The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners as the required plantings will still be provided outside the overlap area.

(b) <u>The waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Plan 2040; and</u>

STAFF: The waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Plan 2040 as Plan 2040 encourages appropriate buffering and transitions between uses that are significantly different in density or intensity. The adjacent property is being used in a similar intensity as what would be permitted by the proposed zoning district.

(c) <u>The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant; and</u>

STAFF: The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the easement is required and the LBA cannot be moved due to the location of the building.

(d) <u>Either:</u>

(i) The applicant has incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect); **OR**

(ii) The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.

STAFF: Strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant because removing the overlap would seriously impact the development and would make development of the site as proposed infeasible.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR DDDP

(a) <u>The conservation of natural resources on the property proposed for development, including:</u> <u>trees and other living vegetation, steep slopes, water courses, flood plains, soils, air quality,</u> <u>scenic views, and historic sites;</u>

STAFF: No natural resources are evident on the site. Tree canopy will be provided.

(b) <u>The provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation both within the development and the community;</u>

STAFF: Provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided, and Metro Public Works has approved the preliminary development plan.

(c) <u>The provision of sufficient open space (scenic and recreational) to meet the needs of the proposed development;</u>

STAFF: No open space provisions are pertinent to the request. The development does not meet the unit threshold for required open space.

(d) <u>The provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage</u> problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community;

STAFF: The Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community.

(e) <u>The compatibility of the overall site design (location of buildings, parking lots, screening, landscaping) and land use or uses with the existing and projected future development of the area;</u>

STAFF: The overall site design is in compliance with existing and planned future development in the area. The density and intensity of the proposed use provides an appropriate transition between the lower-intensity residential to the south and the higher-intensity non-residential to the north.

(f) <u>Conformance of the development plan with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development</u> <u>Code. Revised plan certain development plans shall be evaluated for conformance with the non-</u> <u>residential and mixed-use intent of the form districts and comprehensive plan.</u> STAFF: The development plan conforms to applicable requirements of the Land Development Code and Plan 2040 with the exception of the requested waiver. The site plan generally complies with the policies and guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan. The site plan would preserve an existing structure which is part of the fabric of the neighborhood and additionally supply more housing units.

REQUIRED ACTIONS

- **RECOMMEND** that Metro Council **APPROVE** or **DENY** the **Change-in-Zoning** from R-5 to R-6
- RECOMMEND that Metro Council APPROVE or DENY the Closure of Public Right-of-Way
- APPROVE or DENY the Variance
- APPROVE or DENY the Waiver
- APPROVE or DENY the Detailed District Development Plan with Binding Elements

NOTIFICATION

| Date | Purpose of Notice | Recipients | | |
|------------|---|--|--|--|
| 10/28/2022 | Hearing before LD&T | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners and current residents Registered Neighborhood Groups in Council District 9 | | |
| 12/01/2022 | Hearing before PC | 1 st and 2 nd tier adjoining property owners and current residents Registered Neighborhood Groups in Council District 9 | | |
| 11/17/2022 | 11/17/2022 Hearing before PC Sign Posting on property | | | |
| 12/04/2022 | 12/04/2022 Hearing before PC Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journ | | | |

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Zoning Map
- 2. Aerial Photograph
- 3. Staff Plan 2040 Checklist
- 4. Proposed Binding Elements

1. Zoning Map



2. <u>Aerial Photograph</u>



3. Staff Plan 2040 Checklist

- + Exceeds Guideline
- ✓ Meets Guideline
- Does Not Meet Guideline
- +/- More Information Needed
- NA Not Applicable

Town Center: Residential

| # | Plan 2040 Plan Element | Land Use & Development Policy | Staff Finding | Staff Analysis |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------------|--|
| 1 | Community Form: Goal 1 | 7. Locate higher density and intensity uses near major transportation facilities and transit corridors, employment centers, in or near activity centers and other areas where demand and adequate infrastructure exists or is planned. | ~ | The proposal is not for a zoning district which would permit higher density or intensity uses. |
| 2 | Community Form: Goal 1 | 9. Ensure an appropriate transition between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development. The transition may be achieved through methods such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions and setback requirements. | ~ | The appropriate transitions will be provided. |
| 3 | Community Form: Goal 2 | 9. Encourage new developments and rehabilitation of buildings that provide commercial, office and/or residential uses. | ~ | The proposal would permit new development providing residential uses. |
| 4 | Community Form: Goal | 10. Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes where the potential for severe erosion problems exists in order to prevent property damage and public costs associated with soil slippage and foundation failure and to minimize environmental degradation. | ~ | No wet or highly permeable soils, or severe, steep or unstable slopes are evident on the site. |
| 5 | Community Form: Goal 4 | 2. Encourage preservation of dis- tinctive cultural features including landscapes, natural elements and built features. | ~ | No distinctive cultural features are evident on the site. |
| 6 | Community Form: Goal 4 | 3. Encourage preservation and/or adaptive reuse of historic sites listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places and/or recognized by the Louisville Metro Landmarks Commission or other national, state or local government historic preservation agencies. | - | One of the homes on the site is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places and is not proposed to be preserved. |

| # | Plan 2040 Plan Element | Land Use & Development Policy | Staff Finding | Staff Analysis |
|----|---------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| 7 | Mobility: Goal 1 | 4. Encourage higher densities and intensities within or near existing marketplace corridors, existing and future activity centers, and employment centers to support transit-oriented development and an efficient public transportation system. | ~ | The proposal would not permit higher intensity or density uses. |
| 8 | Mobility: Goal 2 | 4. Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density development if such access would create significant nuisances. | ~ | Access to the development would be through an area of higher or similar intensity. |
| 9 | Mobility: Goal 3 | 2. To improve mobility, and reduce vehicle miles traveled and congestion, encourage a mixture of compatible land uses that are easily accessible by bicycle, car, transit, pedestrians and people with disabilities. Housing should be encouraged near employment centers. | V | The site is easily accessible by bicycle, car, transit and pedestrians. Access by people with disabilities will be improved by the development. |
| 10 | Mobility: Goal 3 | 5. Evaluate developments for their impact on the transportation network (including the street, pedestrian, transit, freight movement and bike facilities and services) and air quality. | ~ | Transportation Planning has approved the proposal. |
| 11 | Mobility: Goal 3 | 6. Ensure that those who propose new developments bear or share in rough proportionality the costs of transportation facilities and services made necessary by development. | ~ | Transportation Planning has approved the proposal. |
| 12 | Mobility: Goal 3 | 9. When existing transportation facilities and services are inadequate and public funds are not available to rectify the situation, the developer may be asked to make improvements, roughly proportional to the projected impact of the proposed development, to eliminate present inadequacies if such improvements would be the only means by which the development would be considered appropriate at the proposed location. | V | Transportation Planning has approved the proposal. |
| 13 | Mobility: Goal 3 | 10. Ensure that necessary improvements occur in accordance with long-range transportation plans and level of mobility criteria for all modes of travel. | ~ | Transportation Planning has approved the proposal. |
| 14 | Mobility: Goal 3 | 21. Prevent safety hazards caused by direct residential access to high speed roadways. | ✓ | No direct residential access to high-speed roadways is proposed. |

| # | Plan 2040 Plan Element | Land Use & Development Policy | Staff Finding | Staff Analysis |
|----|---------------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| 15 | Community Facilities: Goal 2 | 1. Locate development in areas served by existing utilities or ca- pable of being served by public or private utility extensions. | ~ | The relevant utilities have approved the proposal. |
| 16 | Community Facilities: Goal 2 | 2. Ensure that all development has an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire- fighting purposes. Locate only very low-density land uses on sites that use on-lot sewage disposal systems or on a private supply of potable water. | ✓ | Louisville Water Company has approved the proposal. |
| 17 | Community Facilities: Goal 2 | 3. Ensure that all development has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams as determined by the Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD). | ~ | MSD has approved the proposal. |
| 18 | Livability: Goal 1 | 5. Encourage development that recognizes and incorporates the unique characteristics of identified general landscape types and native plant communities (e.g., upland hardwood forest) throughout Louisville Metro. | ~ | Required tree canopy will be provided on the site. |
| 19 | Livability: Goal 1 | 17. Determine site susceptibility to erosion; identify the presence of on-site carbonate conditions and features that are vulnerable to site disturbance; identify the extent of existing groundwater use and the impacts of the project on groundwater resources, flow patterns, and existing and proposed surface drainage. Then mitigate potential hazards to such systems resulting from the project. | V | No karst features were found on the site. |
| 20 | Livability: Goal 1 | 21. Mitigate negative development impacts to the integrity of the regulatory floodplain by encouraging development patterns that minimize disturbance and consider the increased risk of more frequent flooding events. | ~ | The site is not located in the regulatory floodplain. |
| 21 | Housing: Goal 1 | 1. Encourage a variety of housing types including, but not limited to, detached and attached single family, multi-family, mixed use, zero lot line, average lot, cluster, and co-housing. Allow for accessory residential structures and apartments. Housing types should reflect the Form District pattern. | ~ | The proposed zoning district would permit a variety of housing types and would increase the variety of housing in the neighborhood. |

| # | Plan 2040 Plan Element | Land Use & Development Policy | Staff Finding | Staff Analysis |
|----|---------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| 22 | Housing: Goal 1 | 2. Promote housing options and environments that support aging in place. Encourage housing for older adults and people with disabilities to be located close to shopping and transit routes and, when possible, medical and other supportive facilities. | ~ | The proposed zoning district would support aging in place by providing smaller homes which could be obtained by aging residents who do not want a large yard or home to maintain. |
| 23 | Housing: Goal 2 | 1. Encourage inter-generational, mixed-income and mixed-use development that is connected to the neighborhood and surrounding area. | ~ | The proposal would encourage inter- generational mixed-income and mixed-use development that is connected to the neighborhood and surrounding area. |
| 24 | Housing: Goal 2 | 2. Locate housing within proximity to multi-modal transportation corridors providing safe and convenient access to employment opportunities, as well as within proximity to amenities providing neighborhood goods and services. Higher density, accessible residential uses should be located along transit corridors and in or near activity centers. | ~ | The site is in proximity to a multi-modal transportation corridor. |
| 25 | Housing: Goal 3 | 1. Encourage provision of fair and affordable housing by providing a variety of ownership options and unit costs throughout Louisville Metro. Expand opportunities for people to live in quality, variably priced housing in locations of their choice by encouraging affordable and accessible housing in dispersed locations throughout Louisville Metro. | ~ | The proposal would encourage the provision of fair and affordable housing by increasing the variety of ownership options and unit costs throughout Louisville Metro. |
| 26 | Housing: Goal 3 | 2. As neighborhoods evolve, discourage displacement of existing residents from their community. | ~ | No existing residents will be displaced by the proposal. |
| 27 | Housing: Goal 3 | 3. Encourage the use of innovative methods such as clustering, mixed-use developments, co-housing, and accessory apartments to increase the production of fair and affordable housing. | ~ | The proposed zoning district would permit innovative methods of housing. |

4. <u>Proposed Binding Elements</u>

 The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.

- 2. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
- 3. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
- 4. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
 - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Construction Review, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
 - b. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a certificate of occupancy. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
 - c. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
 - d. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the December 15, 2022 Planning Commission meeting. A copy of the approved rendering is available in the case file on record in the offices of the Louisville Metro Planning Commission.
 - e. A road closure approval for the unnamed alley shall be approved prior to requesting any permit.
- 5. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
- 6. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.