

Entry	Name	Zip Code	Comment
76	Shartzter	40272	The proposed data center regulation does not consider the energy usage, water usage, noise pollution, or additional energy generation such as onsite diesel generators of the project. Square footage alone is not an adequate measure of the impact a proposed data center will have on the community.
77	Stout	40217	Data Centers shouldn't exist and Louisville shouldn't allow them. AI is an unnecessary technology that's doing more harm than good. The jobs don't exist past construction but the negative effects will last forever. Data Centers put stress on the electrical grid, stress on citizens bills, and pollute our water supply. We are Louisville natives, but with the state of city, we are already considering relocating, data centers being allowed would absolutely solidify our leaving.
78	Newton	40241	Not in Louisville. Period.
79	Pucelj	40206	As a resident of Jefferson County, Louisville, I am deeply concerned about data centers and their impact on not only are water usage but the increase in cost of electricity as a result of the data centers. I understand that data centers May provide potential tax revenue however it would be at the cost of people who actually live in the county and in the city in terms of their water and electricity bills going up significantly as a result. This has to be put under control and in my opinion the data centers themselves have to be responsible for any increases in water or electrical use to offset the costs for ordinary consumers. If this is not put under control then you are going to price Ordinary People out of living in Jefferson County and Louisville. I am not an opponent of progress in fact I use AI everyday however any data centers in the area need to be strictly regulated to prevent the burdens being passed along to residents. Therefore I would ask for serious commissions to take place in a moratorium to be inserted on any data centers until an evaluation can be made regarding the impact environmentally and financially these data centers will cause in Jefferson County. Thank you for hearing me out!
80	Stribling	40213	I disagree with letting Any Data Centers be built in our city, our community. The environmental issues are too problematic. It will Not help with jobs because Data Centers dont need many ppl to operate them. Louisville needs smaller businesses , we need stores, groceries, restaurants businesses that Will help our economy, not hurt the ppl. We will keep spreading the news how bad this is and do everything we can to prevent any Data center being built here. Do something the ppl want for a change . #SayNotoDataCenters #NoDatacenter502
81	Dawson	40218	They have no space in Louisville especially near families OR drinking water

82	McCray	40212	Data Centers should not be built near residential areas. Even more so all changes to data center restrictions should be retro active. Also data centers should be made to pay for their own electric foot print to include all residential homes in a 25 mile area surrounding the data center. The data center should also be liable for home water filtration in that area also. To approve a data center in the Westend then go back and look at more restrictions is racist at best classist at worse. This needs to be stopped. Pumping money into a corrupt and failing school system does not benefit the community. There also needs to be a required outcome for the community to include regularly mandated environmental inspections and community impact assessment that have a baseline that states if the data center falls below it the extremely high standard it shuts down. It needs to also create 10 to 20 living wage jobs for each arce it takes up open to those who live in the area it operates in. Also no tax breaks, it's a profit machine. Surveillance is a currency all in itself. It will cost the city more than it will benefit. Accepting a data center is cutting off your own nose to spite your face.
83	Hack	40223	Data centers have shown consistent drain on the communities where they are built. I do not want a data center in my community. The benifits of short and long term jobs are far outweighed by the drain on infrastructure and the consistent disregard data centers show for the communities where they set up business. We need a moratorium on data centers, not new construction. Choose businesses who support and build up the community in which they exist.
84	Kolb	40242	Regulations limit some things related to data centers but a popular vote should be allowed to approve /disapprove applications for them. All the regulations won't contain the strain on the quality of discharged water and the excessive use of electricity and water. Environmental and health concerns and the overall will of the people against data centers should outweigh the supposed representation by the Metro Council. The recent vote by Metro Council to postpone the vote on a moratorium against data centers is evidence of that lack of supposed representation.
85	Feris	40217	Do not build this. These centers are grossly polluting (light and noise) which harm and disrupt wildlife. They are water hogs that will steal that resource from the community that needs it and funds the infrastructure. Study after study has shown AI brings little practical value to most services and businesses, and that the appetite for LLMs is a a huge money pit that shows zero value over time vs the cash invested. Finally, these things serve only to enrich people who truly don't need more money. They enrich themselves while our community and the environment suffers. For gods sake do the right thing.
86	Cox	40203	Data centers should not be welcome in Louisville, no matter the size. You have already increased our LG&E during a time when groceries are becoming quickly unaffordable. I say this as a household that makes \$120k a year, with no children. I cannot imagine the struggle that others are experiencing, and data centers will only pile on to folks - the folks that you are supposed to be representing - who are already drowning. The constituents in Louisville have firmly said no.

			Please legislate based on what people are clearly and desperately requesting.
87	Ratterman	40272	Data centers shouldn't be built in residential areas, and the taxpayers shouldn't have to pay extra monthly because of them.
88	Saylor	40214	NO. DATA. CENTERS. IN. LOUISVILLE. As a city, we do NOT need more industrial centers and infrastructure. We don't know the long term impacts of these nightmares. We've already seen science showing long term impacts of factories and other production centers on the communities surrounding them; cancer clusters, chronic illnesses, and drastically lower life spans for communities of people too poor to move away from these machines. No one asked for this. No one wants the noise and no one wants to see more trees cut down and ground ruined and contaminated to make room for a data center. The south and west ends of Louisville ALWAYS are forced to bear the loads, economically, logistically, and with their own health. We are beyond sick and tired of being treated like the armpit of Louisville while the wealthy east end turns their noses up at apartment complexes. If data centers are allowed in any capacity in Louisville they WILL cluster in these poorer neighborhoods because the east will pay to squash them. I hate living here. JCPS plays in our faces with horrible schools and now you all are trying to kill us faster. How dare you? When the truth comes out about the long term impacts of living near data centers you WILL have the blood of your neighbors on your hands! We KNOW you take bribes from these companies.
89	Hall	40214	We don't need them displacing anyone from their homes. They also don't need to require our fresh drinking water. We don't need them in our city.
90	Blair	40272	Lawmakers should be required to visit data centers of multiple sizes. They should be escorted by someone who can explain the function of the equipment, the critical infrastructure equipment and powering. Otherwise you are going off opinions and misinformation. The fear mongering on both sides has to stop.
91	Kamenetzky	40241	The current draft regulations limit data center noise generation to 65 decibels measured at the property boundary. Frankly, that's too high. Humans shouldn't be exposed to ambient noise levels above 40 decibels in order to get a restful night's sleep. I recommend lowering the noise requirement to 40 decibels period, or at least requiring no more than 40 decibels during the hours from 7pm to 7am. (Which would admittedly still screw over anybody who works night shift and needs to sleep during the day.) Thank you!
92	Joyce	40272	Please do not allow data centers in Jefferson County. They bring nothing but higher bills, which we already suffer at the hands of JCPS, MSD, and LG&E, pollution, and strain on the environment. Jefferson County is already struggling to be a decent place to live, adding data centers, even in industrial parks, like River Port, is too close to homes. Most people do not have the financial resources to move
93	Lewis-Klein	40207	Revise regulations to read "proposed new facility will be required to bear all utility costs (electric, gas and water) required to operate the facility once built and operational. Revise to read: Require substantial separation from homes, schools, day care centers, senior living communities, senior day service centers, public parks, and the Louisville Zoo Review zip codes for current over industrialization and limit placement of data centers in those already burdened

			zip codes.
94	Paul	40242	I think datacenters have to be carefully planned and zoned. They present a real health risk to those around them. I believe there should be a buffer zone, a datacenter must respect to prevent an eye sore, and noise pollution of what we can and can't hear. Infra sound, sound below human hearing that is often generated by datacenters, cause real health risks. On resources datacenters should be fronting the bill for all infrastructure enhancements along with paying their full tax share. Datacenters generate temporary jobs that often import resources and can run on small skeleton crews that hardly register as a job increase. Often constituents are fronting the infrastructure and tax bill so something that looks ugly, sounds awful can take up natural space for a steal. Providing no benefits to those nearby all so a for profit company can reap what little we all have in today's economy. This doesn't generate tourism, this hardly creates long term jobs, and makes anyone who lives nearby miserable while those of us further away have to help pay the bills so someone else in the world can generate their final's word document.
95	Fisher	40214	All of the evidence we have suggests that all these data centers do is come in, contaminate the surrounding areas, cause everyone's utilities to go up, cause tons of noise pollution for the people that are the closest, and don't actually bring any significant amount of permanent jobs. There is no reason that this should be allowed anywhere near where people live. They should only be in rural areas that have no one living near them. Most of these will be abandoned buildings in several years when the AI bubble busts and only a few remain. These things are a drag on cities and make living in the city or anywhere near one a net negative.
96	Lilygren	40204	Data Centers are a blight on any decent society. They often raze over already dwindling habitat, cause pollution of waterways, produce enough heat to increase temperatures nearby, and cause noise pollution. The jobs created by Data Centers are few and are often highly specialized and move in people from out of state. The burden on the power grid often is offset by the bill of average people who do not benefit from the data center nor want it around. This is not the future, it does not have to be. There is another way.
97	Hills	40272	no data center!
98	Thiemann	40205	The people last year said they do not want a Data Center. We do not need to save every bit of electronic information on the behalf of AI and the companies developing it. This has been a bid by Steve Poe working behind the scenes and going to the Ky Legislature to get this approved for over two years. It creates pollutants we cannot get rid of, PFaS that WILL pollute the water. It is a huge energy draw, at least 400 mega watts, probably more because existing data centers are drawing more than anticipated. 400 mega watts is roughly half of what our city uses in a year. I worked on a trading floor back in the 90's when 1 mega watt cost \$10,000.00 due to summer heat and demand. Expensive was \$70.00. Our climate has only gotten worse since then. There is an existential issue facing our city and honestly the rest of humanity. Escalation was

			dealt with back in the last century and nuclear warheads. Are we really going down that path again? Our city does not have the infrastructure for this and the cost has and will continue to be put back on the residents who live here. Stop.
99	Chipman	40204	Ban all of them forever.
100	Buch	40205	Large data centers have no place in populated areas or near protected wildlife habitats. Steve Poe's mega-site will be a disaster for the area, and I hope it's not too late to scale down those plans. No doubt he will bank lots of money for this deal at the expense of those who can least afford it. I cannot understand why he was given tax breaks to enrich himself, his partners, and those in local government who are likely being rewarded for their complicity. The civics classes I took decades ago taught me that the role of government was to help those who are most in need — not to further enrich the powerful.
101	C	40220	Nobody wants them. Take these useless AI wastes of space and shove them back up their ass. They are NOT profitable and are a stain on any community that has to endure them. Fuck your data centers and fuck mayor greenburg
102	Thomas	40216	JUST BECAUSE IT'S ZONED INDUSTRIAL PLEASE STOP ADDING TO THE EXISTING POLLUTION AND THAT INCLUDES NOISE. NO MORE LARGE SCALE CENTERS NO LONG TERM TAX INCENTIVES OR CITY FUNDED UPGRADES OPEN PUBLIC COMMENTS PRIOR TO AGREEMENTS TO BUILD CENTERS
103	Mattingly	40222	absolutely not. i refuse to support destroying our environment by adding data centers to our already large and polluted city. allowing this would be horrible.
104	gavin	40241	I'm fine with datacenters locating in industrial areas, but we should encourage them here vs a moratorium: although there aren't a lot of jobs after being built, it does provide several advantages: 1) our area is staying relevant with technology like AI 2)datacenters pay taxes used for schools, police, etc and 3)provide a baseload for local utilities, since factories closed, which help keep util rates reasonable and 4) shows we value/attract new businesses in KY.
105	Harrison	40206	I think this draft proposal is a good start. I feel that 500,000 sq ft limit is still too large for Jefferson County, however, and 100,000 sq ft is a much more sustainable size. I do appreciate the proposal requiring transparency so that the public can know the company and user of the compute power in the data center. That part definitely needs to stay in the final resolution.
106	White	40241	The Data centers need to pay for the Ultiity Hook ups and pay for the power and water they use. Not passing that on to residents so that are rates do not go up any higher then they already are. Since L G and E and louisville

			water hike our rates up 4% ever year anyway. The noise they make needs to be reduced. No one wants to live anywhere close to them.
107	Bunch	40258	We do not need any Data Centers! We do not want any Data Centers! Data Centers put undo hardship on our natural resources, the health of people's physical, mental and emotional health, and destroys the wildlife's homes, food, water, and their existence! No one wants or needs data centers.
108	FRENCH	40214	We do not need data centers! They disrupt the wildlife from miles around they use tons and tons of water, there are noisy plus the light pollution, it is not good for our community. I would rather put my phone down and not use AI for the rest of my life than to have any size Data Center constructed by my home!
109	Bowman	40210	I strongly support banning large data centers from any urban or suburban setting, and implementing strict regulations around noise, light, and air pollution. I also support requiring data centers to be responsible for all costs associated with powering them, rather than putting those costs on our local taxbase. In short - we don't really want them, but if we have to have them, make them not impact the surrounding environment and make them not impact our power bills.
110	Vickers	40223	Louisville has a real opportunity here, and we should move deliberately to capture it. Data centers bring construction jobs, permanent technical employment, and substantial tax base expansion. Cities that establish clear, investor-ready frameworks today will win this business. Cities that wait will not. Our competitive energy costs, fiber infrastructure, and central geography are genuine advantages. What will differentiate Louisville is whether we can offer investors the certainty they need to commit capital at this scale. This council should establish verifiable environmental standards and utility cost agreements as conditions of development incentives, not as obstacles, but as the structure that makes investment credible. We also have an obligation to ensure infrastructure costs do not shift onto existing Louisville ratepayers. This is responsible economic development the kind that holds up ten years from now.
111	Allen	40175	KY needs to say NO to ALL Data Centers. Data Centers are a proven drain on power grid, and a massive drain on water resources. This is a BAD PLAN for KY. No "rules" will stop them from simply ignoring them to profit. It's happening in every state with Data Centers. The "jobs" do not make up for the environmental and economic impacts they represent. KY will pay the price literally when the energy rates do go up, because they will, and you are lying to yourself and others to suggest it won't happen. The damage to our environment will be irrevocable. The US does not need more data centers, it needs to eradicate them.
112	McQuilling	40229	Why does it have to use fresh water? Use the river water to cool it.
113	Nupp	40216	No data centers in our city! I live right there and no one cares about the wellbeing of good Kentuckians in the area, it's so close to homes, and they've already destroyed so much to just get ready, the trees, wildlife, all of it gone. No plans to use solar on the roofs to use, just tapping into the electric that we rely on. Even my small home

			has solar panels installed! This will cause harm to not just the area, but to Louisville. This does not create jobs, it creates pollution, taxes the grid, destroys the water, and the ones that pay are us little people in the area. Not Meta, not Amazon... us, the little guys are the ones who end up paying. With our health and with our wallets when everything is expensive enough already. Just keep the data centers out!
114	Mathis	40214	I believe that 500,000 square feet is a MASSIVE data center (it is roughly the size of 9 football fields) and is too large of a facility to be in our city. I propose no greater than a 100,000 square feet facility should be allowed. That is the average size of a data center. We do not need a MASSIVE data center in our city. Also, there needs to be regulations on the height/stories it should be allowed to have. There needs to be clear guidance on how far the data center must be away from homes and schools/daycares - the word “substantial” is too vague. I propose that a data center should be 3 MILES away from any home, school or daycare. That is the minimum distance to avoid the low frequency hum of a data centers cooling systems. Also, how does a company provide EVIDENCE that its ratepayers for electric and water won’t be impacted? Of course, rate payers will be impacted! The proposal should instead say that the data center must off-set any increases in electric/water rates that outpace the current average rate increase that we see prior to their operation. I think that this proposal is too vague and does NOT protect the residents of our community enough. My above recommendations are at a minimum what is necessary to protect our community and your proposal needs to reflect those. Furthermore, what is being done about the current MASSIVE data center that is being built on Campground road? The construction needs to be STOPPED IMMEDIATELY until our land development code and regulations for data centers are approved, and it needs to follow the new code that is approved!
115	Earley	40245	Data centers are the most short sighted money grab there is. No community wants them and the idea that government officials can’t get that through their thick skulls completely undermines any trust that they have with their constituents. These data centers maybe bring a few jobs during construction but have no long term jobs that benefit anyone living near them. They drive up local utility costs and disrupt local communities and environments. Keep these leeches out of our city.
116	Burke	40291	Require companies who will own the centers to comply and complete qualifying regulations each time new ownership is transferred using application registrations. Make this required for new, transferred ownership and at recurring intervals to monitor compliance and gain resident feedback as data center usage evolves. This should not be a one and done but an ongoing “recertifying” process. The cost of water usage, pipe fitting construction, repairs, maintenance, damage caused to nearby residents or businesses are 100% absorbed by data center entity/ ownership leaving no room for a burden on the community whether financial, environmental, scarce resources etc.

			Define “substantial separation” using a solid metric and radius so that the regulations verbiage cannot be left for interpretation and strengthens protections of residents and businesses. Add a data center tax to operate within city limits and that tax be applied to lower property taxes within the area. Residents should come out on top continuously, not a one time basis but a continuous service that benefits the people of this city. I’m only adding to the proposed regulations with the assumption that data centers will be allowed— WHICH THEY SHOULDNT. They are resource consumption SURVEILLANCE centers that the American people have gone for so long without. Data centers merely a constant way to surveil us the same way. China surveils their citizens. It’s wrong. It violates citizen privacy with the information it collects, stores and shares. Regardless if the city is going to allow more developers to use our resources we need to make it the MOST restrictive process that benefits the tax payers and deters future developers. Plasma centers historically stayed out of states like NY, NJ, CA, etc due to the pricey regulations it would impose on the plasma centers (hiring physicians, requiring more training, etc.) it deterred plasma companies from seeking business in those areas. We should follow those models to keep data centers OUT of Louisville! I may be back later—- :) thank you for asking for community feedback.
117	Malka	40242	I really appreciate the proposals that have been set for and am heartened to see that the commission is taking the public feedback to heart. My ideal situation would be no data center in Jefferson County at all. Once data centers are allowed to come, It could be a very slippery slope. Perhaps there should be regulations if they are going to be allowed in Jefferson County limiting the total number that can exist within the city. For example, recall how the governor is only allowing so many marijuana dispensaries in Jefferson County, I believe it was two. Please set a max on the number of data centers that can be allowed in Jefferson County. Alternatively, 500,000 ft.² still seems huge. Cap them at 250k. Again though, I can't reiterate enough so that we should not allow data centers in Metro Louisville at all.
118	Lee	40272	I believe it’s important to make clear that the cost of infrastructure needed to support data centers should not be unfairly passed on to residential consumers and small businesses through higher utility rates. As we continue these discussions, we should work toward safeguards and policies that ensure those costs are borne by the entities creating the demand, rather than by families who are already dealing with rising household expenses. Any economic development opportunity must be balanced with protecting ratepayers and maintaining affordable utility service for our residents.
119	nzinga	40211	Ban them permanently! We don't want or need them. Land, money and human capital needs to be invested in making the everyday lives of Louisville residents BETTER, not worse.
120	McDaniel	40203	Please ban these from our communities permanently. There is no net benefit to Louisville and it's surrounding areas in any way whatsoever and an overall scourge on society.

121	Warner	40222	Data centers are dangerous and do NOT belong in cities or really anywhere in the US. The amount of water the centers use to cool down there facility is clearly insane and would be taking clean water away from hard working Americans. Louisville does NOT want this data center!!!!
122	T	40216	We do not want any data centers in Kentucky. What about the one being built on campground road in Louisville? We live very, very close. Do the people who live near that one pay more in water and electric bills to host that monstrosity? What about the pollution, noise and health of the citizens who call that area home? What are the health risk to the children who live around. The wild life I'm sure would be affected as well? No data centers in Louisville, Kentucky or the state of Kentucky. including and not limited to the one that is going in on campground road!!
123	Mathis	40214	I believe that 500,000 square feet is a MASSIVE data center (it is roughly the size of 9 football fields) and is too large of a facility to be in our city. I propose no greater than a 100,000 square feet facility should be allowed. That is the average size, nationally, of a data center. Louisville does not need a MASSIVE data center in our city. The proposed changes need to provide regulations on the height/stories that should be allowed. These structures do not need to tower over neighboring buildings or developments. The proposed changes need to provide for a greater distance from homes, schools, daycares, churches, retirement communities, hospitals or other human inhabited structures than the 200' listed. Other communities have identified that the low frequency hum of a data center can be heard for 2.5 to 3 miles. Louisville should impose these types of distances. The Developer/Owner should be responsible for 100% of the cost to improve the public infrastructure to serve the data center. Local rate payers should not be burdened with increased rates to the benefit of these corporations. The proposed changes should require the use of solar energy to offset their energy usage. At 500,000 SF, the flat roof of these facilities are large enough to accommodate dozens of solar panels. The proposed changes should require the use of onsite detention, the creation of wetlands and other habitat that would be destroyed when clearing large acres of land. The proposed changes require construction laborers be paid a prevailing wage. The proposed changes should require the establishment of a rate assistance program to help members of the community afford their utilities (water, sewer, electric, gas). The proposed changes are a good start but a lot more needs to be done to protect the residents of Louisville from the large scale impacts associated with these structures.

124	Jansing	40216	Built it!
125	Bohr	40118	Glad to see that something is being done, but it's not lost on anyone that this comes AFTER the mayor disregarded the wishes of Louisvillians by approving one in southwest Louisville and this proposal only addresses future development. Louisville wants and needs change now. There needs to be a moratorium and Louisvillians and community members need to be listened to. If the members of that community or neighborhood in Louisville don't want a data center there, it shouldn't happen. Plain and simple. We need leadership that actually respects the people they're supposed to serve and I just hope to see those changes made through this as much as possible.
126	Edlin	40214	Please establish a moratorium. I don't believe data centers are a very good use of land and resources. I also believe that they do not provide adequate public benefit.
127	Meredith	40216	Dear Decision Makers, I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed data center planned approximately one mile from my home. While I understand the potential economic benefits of new development, I believe the project warrants careful review due to its potential impacts on nearby residents, public health, and the local environment. My primary concern is the potential for increased noise pollution. Data centers often operate continuously and may utilize large cooling systems, generators, and other equipment that can generate persistent noise. Even at a distance, continuous low-frequency noise can negatively affect quality of life, sleep, and overall well-being for nearby residents. I am also concerned about air quality impacts. Many data centers rely on diesel backup generators for emergency power. Testing and operation of these generators can release pollutants into the air, potentially affecting surrounding neighborhoods. I would like to see a thorough assessment of expected emissions and their impact on nearby homes, schools, parks, and other community resources. Water usage is another important consideration. Large data centers can consume substantial amounts of water for cooling purposes. Given increasing concerns about long-term water availability and sustainability, I believe the community deserves transparency regarding projected water consumption and any potential effects on local water resources. Additionally, the project may contribute to increased energy demand and associated environmental impacts. Residents should be informed about how the facility's energy needs will be met and whether renewable energy sources will be incorporated to reduce its environmental footprint. Construction-related impacts also deserve attention, including increased truck traffic, road wear, dust, vibration, and disruption to nearby neighborhoods. These effects can significantly impact residents during the construction phase and should be addressed through mitigation measures.

			Finally, I am concerned about potential impacts on property values and the character of the surrounding community. Many residents chose this area because of its residential nature, and a large industrial-scale facility may alter the landscape and overall appeal of the neighborhood. I respectfully request that local officials require comprehensive environmental, noise, traffic, and infrastructure studies before moving forward this project. Residents should be provided meaningful opportunities to review the findings, ask questions, and participate in the decision-making process. Thank you for your consideration of these concerns and for ensuring that the interests of local residents are carefully weighed throughout the process.
128	Humphrey	40258	I do not want a data center to be built near me. Like the one on Camp ground road! All the information that is available on data centers is horrible. Loud, no water pressure, lights. Heat that heats up the surrounding environment up to 20 degrees hotter. Just look up the ones in Texas, at this point pick a state in all 50 states. They are taking over and all residents do not want them in their communities. Whatever kick backs they give to local government is not worth it! I know they don't care nor would they live next to one either! We don't even need them honestly. Do your research and you will see the truth on data centers! Bad for environment and any community they are placed! Heed this warning! One day it could be built close to your land where you live! I hate it! And will be moving to Brandenburg. They fought off one in 2025 from being built, Louisville or PRP could as well if higher ups weren't so money hungry!
129	Blair	40216	I think they need to have to pay off the surrounding neighborhoods for making their homes even less livable or valuable. Like my neighborhood, riverside gardens. We were supposed to have been bought out by now multiple times.
130	Humphrey	40258	Hate it!!! Not good for environment and deer and frogs all our wild life! Heats up 20 degrees hotter in areas around them. Also no water pressure even if they use a cooling loop. Regular citizens should not have to foot the bill for them. Higher LG&E and Water! That seems criminal to me. We have to pay for their utility bills outrageous ones too at that in amount consumed! Also no one that lives here even wanted it! Only local gov metro watering at the mouth over that money it will give them. No real jobs only takes a skeleton crew to run it. Even if it did bring in jobs still terrible venture!!!! They are dangerous to environment and resources that no one even wants and cost everyday Americans more money! No to Data centers for KY!!!
131	Goode	40258	This will raise our water bill and the amount of electricity it would require is ridiculous!! We don't need this
132	Routt	40299	I would suggest data centers be encouraged to build underground or into hillsides to minimize environmental impact & also protect the centers from tornado and high wind damage. Green roofs and native trees planted around property. Thank you for developing policies for these centers.
133	E	40213	I am worried that the planning between Data Center developers and the city will not adequately address the issues seen in many other US cities, where the energy costs are offset from the data center onto the customers of

			the utility provider (in this case LGE). As well, we have many other superfund sites currently still sitting without rehabilitation, and I am not confident the city will ensure that these Data Centers won't contaminate our neighborhoods just like these current sites. Lastly, I believe the current city government is exaggerating the tax revenue or created jobs associated with hosting a data center in Louisville.
134	Cook	40219	Do not bring data centers to Louisville!!!! Keep Louisville and the resident's environmentally safe!!!
135	Adams	40229	We don't need them in the city or the county. Data centers are incredibly unpopular and continuing to try and find workarounds to public opinion to somehow shove these structures down the throats of the people of Louisville and Jefferson County will have a massive backlash to the popularity and fortunes of whichever mayor and any city councilmember who approves any ordinances that allow or expands their presence in the area. We've talked many times about the heat island effect these generate, the increased electrical costs being pushed onto consumers, the amount of water that they use, the noise pollution, the lack of jobs they actually create, and More. Yet somehow it seems like the point still does not come across. Data centers are a poison pill that massive corporations are trying to force America to swallow. The only effects most Americans will feel are negative and the only people feeling the positive ones are the rich who will reap the financial rewards.
136	Witherspoon	40291	We already are running out of space in Jefferson County without adding data centers We also do not need the disruption abuse of our resources.
137	Havens	40219	Please limit the water usage
138	Adams	40272	DO NOT DO IT!!!! Our community is the one that will have to deal with the negative consequences that come with Data Centers.
139	Crowe	40210	A full blanket ban on Data Centers is the only correction response to this idea; Don't set "strict measures" for "smaller ones"; ban them entirely. Follow Monterey Park, California's idea, and ban entirely. Other cities are doing the same. We need to put our foot down and say no; I sure as hell am not paying any increased water or electricity bills because of allowing these being built.
140	White	40215	It would be ideal to ensure they are not using all of our resources. I read a news article where a data center was built and all of the residents of the city lost their water pressure. It took one diligent citizen to find out the data center was using all of the water and hadn't paid for one drop of the water. We wouldn't want something like that to happen to us.
141	Barton	40223	Data centers have no place in our communities. Besides the environmental impacts, they are going to be used to increase surveillance on citizens which is a direct violation of the constitution.
142	Hillman	40212	There are many vacant buildings in the city, just rotting away, i.e bank building on the south west corner of 5th & Broadway. A Building on the northeast corner of 5th & Jefferson, why can't they repurpose these buildings?
143	Celani	40205	Don't build data centers. No one in Louisville wants them except for tech bros. If you actually cared about your citizens, you wouldn't be entertaining the idea of building data centers in the first place.

144	Ezell	40214	We don't want data centers in our community. We want safe, clean drinking water.
145	Prather	40223	We do not need data centers (especially hyperscale data centers) in or anywhere near Louisville. This is direct violence against all residents. Data centers contribute to multiple forms of pollution, raised bills, decreased property value, and overall terrible quality of living for those within a certain radius of the data center. Keep them away from us!!
146	Needed	40212	Any and all data centers are unnecessary and unsustainable. The power grid is already beyond capacity. The environmental impact is irreversible.
147	Isdith	40217	NO to Datacenters. They bring few jobs, take up too much public space and pollute the water.
148	Smith	40206	These shouldn't be anywhere near a school, preschool, office building, or anywhere people gather. The damage they will cause in the future is not worth the quick profit
149	Hayse	40216	This area has already been affected enough with multiple chemical plants. Adding this would have even harsher consequences for our environment and citizens. The increased traffic alone has made camp ground rd dangerous. The kids can't even walk home from school anymore
150	Collier	40245	I think some data center development is inevitable and good for the city. On the other hand, I think it's important that our utility rates be insulated from any increased demands caused by data centers, and I am concerned that the existing regulations leave loopholes that will make this possible. In particular, the requirement with regard to 'new facilities' leaves open the possibility that data center costs will be moved onto 'existing facilities' and passed on to the consumer. I would prefer that data center developers be required to submit plans regarding their power sources for public review and that include language to require data centers to pay the cost of new or renovated facilities to meet the expected power demand. I am also concerned that the proposed regulations do not sufficiently protect water resources that may be impacted by data center construction. Rather than simply requiring 'Will Serve' proof from Louisville Water Company, I think that the planned water usage must be publicly reviewed with a MOU or other document from LWC pledging that the cost of additional utilization by the proposed data center will not impact non-data center rate payers. Further, while the documents state that closed loop cooling systems are preferred, this has no meaningful impact and leaves open the possibility of substantial thermal pollution of waterways. Thermal pollution- introducing high temperature cooling water into the natural environment- can devastate key shoreline communities that support the river ecosystem and protect developed land from flood surges. Rather than just preferring closed loop systems, the regulations should require that wastewater be cooled to close to ambient temperatures before being discharged into the environment.
151	Shelden	40223	Data centers are a huge strain on water resources and have been shown to emit detrimental levels of noise pollution. Limiting the size is a start, but data centers don't bring jobs and a strain on infrastructure. I work in tech.
152	Clayton	40229	I don't think any size data center is a good thing but I am not naive, it's going to happen public opinion be damned. I was read the "regulations" and this one is troubling me because it basically states that these

			developers don't have to follow any rules or guidelines so long as they have a public hearing!! I hope you know that most of the human beings in existence know that a public hearing is nothing more than lip service and the developers are going to do what they want how they want and where they want!! This one statement gives them a free pass, makes no difference what anyone thinks Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations;
153	Hainer Merwarth	40205	Please do not build ANY data centers in Jefferson County.
154	Carnes	40223	Data centers bring ZERO benefit to any and every community. AI is meant to replace human work, no data center is meant to employ people for long; you would literally be working yourself out of a job by being involved in any capacity. Consumers hate AI and are annoyed by corporate fetish for human-less tech, when the AI bubble pops it will destroy any community stupid or unlucky enough to be reliant upon it. To allow any data center in Louisville would be suicide. This city already does a bang up job of killing its own people, who needs a data center when you have LMPD and metro government?
155	Means	40216	Data centers should not be allowed near schools, homes, residential ! The one they are building near Campground is less than 1 mile from my home, school and lots of other residential neighborhoods.
156	Dye	40291	What is the anticipated impact if no Data Centers are developed within the next 5 years ? the next 10 years ?
157	Money penny	40241	We should ban all data centers in Louisville. They will be responsible for rising energy costs and increased heat pollution into our city that is famously a heat island. Data centers will affect our most marginalized communities where it is the cheapest to build and we must fight to protect them from this corporate greed. WE SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS AND WE WON'T STOP UNTIL WE STOP ALLOWING THEM TO BUILD IN OUR CITY
158	Greenwell	40229	I believe we should ban data centers from being built in louisville and kentucky. ai technology is a speculative bubble and the people attempting to build data centers want to do it quickly before anyone else realizes they're never gonna get a return on their investment. these data centers use up insane amounts of electricity and water, the cost of which will be passed on to community members.
159	Bussler	40229	No to data centers - the noise decibels in themselves are disruptive, not to mention the environmental impacts to water and animals. These do not belong in our city where so much has been invested into making them better.
160	Haggard	40291	I strongly oppose the proposed data center development and urge the city to reject this project. Data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water while providing relatively little benefit to the surrounding community compared to the environmental costs they impose. At a time when communities across the country are facing increasing concerns about resource conservation, climate impacts, and sustainable growth, approving a development that significantly increases demand on these resources is the wrong direction.

			I am particularly concerned about the destruction of green space and wildlife habitat. Once natural areas are cleared, the damage cannot simply be undone. Animals lose habitat, ecosystems are disrupted, and residents lose open space that contributes to the health and character of the community. Economic development should not come at the expense of environmental responsibility. Short-term financial gains do not justify long-term environmental degradation, increased resource consumption, and the permanent loss of natural areas. For these reasons, I urge city leaders to deny approval of all data center project and prioritize development that protects our environment, conserves natural resources, and reflects the long-term interests of the community rather than the interests of large corporations.
161	Evans	40218	The first thing I believe that would be needed would be for any data center to provide for his own electrical power once that is decided then the size would be determined by the individuals making the proposal. The second thing you would need is that the data centers would be reasonably close to residences daycare's and other venues that support the employees and their families. Reasonable buffers are necessary, but if one is going to employ people, then their needs should also be at least somewhat convenient. The city of Louisville needs employers to come in. Downtown Louisville, and all the office space down there is a little more than a ghost town. All those jobs and the tax base have relocated elsewhere. Additionally, the philanthropy of larger businesses has fallen into smaller businesses which do not have that type of resources. Thank you for listening.
162	Forsting	40204	I would like if those in power fully acknowledged the harmful impacts (environmental and economic especially) of data centers in residential areas. They should be treated like an industrial structure, with all of the pollution that entails, and should not be approved and built willy-nilly in a bid for tax revenue. Such revenue is not worth the decrease in quality of life for Jefferson County residents, or anyone, for that matter.
163	Englehart	40215	Move the hyperscale data center to near The Parklands or don't build it at all. I don't think Greenberg has the political will to listen to those most vulnerable to the health effects of the two proposed sites. While this administration is at it, y'all should look into putting solar panels over all the public parking lots and tops of the parking garages so we can actually generate more clean energy instead of pandering to billionaires that want more screen time on their platforms. Greenberg has been greenwashed into believing that green revolution means more data centers when more electricity demand should be coming from more citizens having solar panels, more electric cars and their own battery back ups because local energy independence builds the power of the people which is scary for those in perceived power.
164	Curtis	40203	We do not want them in our city, county, or state. Politicians, particularly Greenberg, who act in a way that ignores their constituents' wants and needs to instead pad their friends' wallets should be voted out. I also think it's pretty gross that the areas in which these are generally considered being put are lower income areas. If you wouldn't live next door to one, you shouldn't force someone else to.
165	Bohannon	40211	First off many of the developers of artificial intelligence and the reason why we are needing all these data centers in the last few years. Admit that artificial intelligence is dangerous and they don't know if it will be able to be

			controlled if it continues to advance as quickly and in the direction that it has been. Data centers themselves use excessive amounts of water and it doesn't matter whether it's a closed or open system to water is evaporative. They have to add anti-corrosive chemicals and ultra cooling chemicals to the water as it evaporates those chemicals become more concentrated. And will eventually be dumped in our water system. There's only so much clean water on this planet. Let's not do this. Then there's the infrastructure for the electrical grid. We have already had two increases in the last year from LG&E. And we don't even have the the hyperscale Data center here yet. I can't afford living on a fixed income having my electric bill go up any higher. I live in the West end and the air quality here is not good to begin with. If this new data center has gas turbine backups, it will just contribute to worsening air quality out here. Not to mention that data centers are known to have a 16 to 28° increase in a radius up to 6 mi away from the center itself. That will make our air conditioning cost go up. We also know that the constant hum and the constant lights pollution causes pollinators and birds to leave the area. That means that people like me who grow their own food will lose their pollinators and their Gardens will not grow as they should. The coding and zoning was not correct when this particular data center was approved. And now I know that the Metro planning commission and coding and zoning are planning on changing the coding to suit. None of this is okay. There is a reason why these are not being allowed all over the country why people are pushing back and it is because they are bad for the economy. They're bad for people's finances. They're bad for people's health and the environment's health all the way around there. Bad and in the end they're being pushed on us for the reason of surveillance. The last I checked we still lived in the United States of America and we're supposed to be free. And people who work on things like Metro planning, commissions and coding and zoning are supposed to work for us. Not for the billionaires not for the tech bros. Let's not build it and find out it was a mistake. Let's pause, do much more thorough investigation. This isn't a race to see who gets a data center. This is our lives. Please put a ban on building these. I'm not against progress but I am for progress that helps people in the planet and this is not one of those projects. Thank you for stopping this project. I know you will do the right thing.
166	Duffert	40208	Please prevent any and all data centers from being built in our city. - the cost of living is already high and increased use of utilities is both an economic and environmental disservice to citizens - the side effects of these facilities on health of residents are unknown. I refuse to allow my child and the other children in our neighborhood to be exposed to chemicals, sounds, increased heat in the neighborhood that puts them at risk. Quite frankly, if a data center is built in my neighborhood I am leaving Louisville and will continue to move from any location that prioritizes financial gains for companies or some supposed benefit to the city's coffers over the wellbeing of my family.
167	Lubarsky	40204	Data Centers are key infrastructure projects that are necessarily for the US and Kentucky to harness powerful new computing technologies. Like any other infrastructure, it is appropriate to ensure that they are in an appropriately

			zoned area and that the private companies developing the projects aren't shifting resources away from the public by increasing public electricity and water rates because they are underpaying for capacity. The permitting process needs to be sufficient to protect the public. However, an outright ban is short sighted and counterproductive.
168	Collins	40206	As a citizen I am not convinced that a data center, even one built with regulations around size, input and output, will contribute positively to the environment of our city. The money that could build this data center would be better spent elsewhere, especially given the reports of large-scale health decline across populations that have been exposed to data centers. Just because you're making it smaller and regulating noise levels doesn't mean adverse effects have gone away.
169	REILLY	40204	We should ban then totally in Jefferson County but if thats not possible they should be no bigger than 100,000 square feet in size.
170	McHagan	40214	No data centers anywhere.
171	Andres	40229	I saw the new draft from the planning commission's regulations ma regarding new data centers and am FIRMLY in agreement that we need to protect our water, protect our peace from noise pollution, and protect our people from the debt horrible data centers are incurring. I strongly encourage the council to pass these measures
172	Lee	47119	They are bad for the environment and use up too many natural resources. They also release harmful chemicals that can hurt residents.
173	Hensel	40207	Complete Ban on ALL Data Centers anywhere in metro Louisville/Jefferson County. Hardly any new jobs created, with huge environmental impacts, including massive amounts of water and power usage and noise pollution. BAN all data centers.
174	Morris	40241	The listed protections is a good start. It's important that a regulation is in place to require an environmental impact study to be done prior to the approval as well. We need to see and know what impact to the water table, water consumption, potential contaminants, impact on local wildlife, and overall pollution impacts may be a result of the facilities.
175	Leisenring	40272	Please do not allow data centers to be built in our districts and neighborhoods. They only consume valuable resources and raise energy costs for the rest of us while providing nothing in return to the communities that are forced to suffer them.
176	Livers	40205	I strongly feel there should be no data centers at all built in Jefferson County. Around the country these energy guzzling facilities are being built at breakneck speed. Local officials are being made promises by these developers upon signing of NDAs, which no person in a position of power should ever be engaged in, as such NDAs demolish any semblance of government transparency. The public is left unprotected from corporate greed. I know I will sound like a contrarian against the onslaught of AI. AI's uses in the business setting may well offer efficiencies. But why has it been allowed to insert itself into the daily lives of citizens? Our personal electronic devices come with AI installed, and no way for us to turn it off. It should be the other way around: Personal devices should arrive in our hands clean, and we should have the choice of turning AI on.

			Stop entertaining any and all proposals for the construction of data centers now!
177	O'Mara	40220	Not in my backyard. My backyard is Jefferson County. Keep them out! They should be in unpopulated locations that have no weather like Utah and Nevada.
178	Karem	40206	Please PROHIBIT any data centers being built in our state. No data centers in Louisville. No data centers in Kentucky!!!
179	McCarty	40205	500K is still too large. They should be required to pay electric and water bills at regular rates. Absolutely no tax breaks. They are a business and should pay fairly.
180	Write	40219	I fully support restrictions on data centers. Many have been a disaster for communities, resulting in polluted, untreatable water, noise pollution, and increased energy costs.
181	Glanz	40245	I am all for guidelines and standards to regulate the construction and operation of data centers, just like any other industrial or commercial business. What we need to be careful of is the villianization of the data center industry. Why do data centers need to be built? Because ALL OF US have devices that run on data whether it be phones, tablets, iwatches, computers, smart TVs and even our automobiles with the GPS and telematics systems that help with roadside assistance. This is in addition to all the business and commercial use of data. Data is everywhere and everyone uses it. Let's not be critical of the industry that we all use and need. I agree with the proposals that data centers should not increase utility cost for residents, that they should be built in industrial zones and that the developer should pay for all infrastructure upgrade costs. Those should be the rules for any such development. Infrastructure upgrade costs should be ENFORCED for any development including residential development. Data centers will bring construction jobs, operational jobs and on-going facility maintenance jobs for in-house employees and outsourced vendors such as local electrical maintenance vendors, plumbing vendors and data maintenance vendors. Don't turn away a high-tech industry because of false fear mongering. If Louisville wants to compete with Indianapolis, Cincinnati, Nashville and Charlotte, then these are the types of development projects that we need to welcome.
182	Mortensen	40214	I do not want a data center. No one wants one of these things.
183	McMann	40205	Data Centers should not be subject to Gov't forced wage negotiations for the construction cost wages. Having them bear the cost of infrastructure improvements different than other developers is discriminatory against one business entity, should be based on job creation. If they need power requirements that exceed the grid capability they should bear the added cost. The city gave Churchill Downs tax abatements with little or no job creation.
184	Pearson	40204	Tax them heavily and have their parent companies create funds to pay for people's utility bills. Make it so that the utility companies can't then raise their rates in order to capture those public funds. It is time to get some collective public benefit from AI and Tech companies.

185	Huff	40229	I would add that data centers should pay a premium for electricity/gas and water service no less than 2x the residential rate in addition to the proposed regulations. This would ensure that data centers are incentivized to build their own self-sustaining infrastructure and not leech off of taxpayers.
186	Vernia	40241	Hello, I appreciate the proposal for limiting the size of data centers and keeping them out of our neighborhoods (as much as possible). As these data centers use a lot of potable drinking water, I would ask to include regulations for enhanced water testing at entrance and exit points. If the water is unusable after it works thru the data center, then additional fees should be paid to compensate. Noise studies should be incorporated when it comes to building locations, as well as and air permits and releases. Overall, the data centers are not great for the environment or any living creatures around it. I appreciate all work already done to help regulate these data centers, and we should continue to look and monitor the viability of these, if they are really necessary. Thank you for your time and helping to look out for Louisville's best interests.
187	Childers	40213	I do not want hyperscale data centers anywhere in the county (or state, or anywhere really, but that's beyond the scope of this survey). They provide very few jobs beyond initial construction, bring noise, water, and air pollution, higher utility bills for residents, suck up water resources, and are short-sighted. Barring a total ban, which is definitely preferred, firm rules requiring transparency, paying for their utilities without burdening residents, confinement to industrial areas, limits on how close they can be to residences, schools, etc., and NOT providing TIFs or other incentives is a good start. These centers must be regulated and those regulations must be rigorously enforced.
188	Kays	40299	Data Centers should require the make their own electric, not getting the power from LG&E
189	Pollard Jr.	40213	We do not need any large scale data centers in the county. If they are built they should have to pay their own utility bill without passing it on to residential customers and other commercial businesses. There should be a tax/fee that data center companies pay out due to the environmental impact they have on the communities they are in and all jobs generated should be held by local people.
190	Johnson	40214	The draft regulations state that the developers will be responsible for energy increase bill. How is this going to be ensured? Will LG&E clearly itemize resident power usage to ensure that data center usage is not subtly subsidized by Jefferson county residents? What kind of EPA standards are being used/ looked into to protect the health and safety nearby area/ residence?
191	Graham	40217	Data centers have been shown to increase the burden of health issues such as asthma and heart disease in addition to worsening symptoms for those already diagnosed. In addition Louisville already has trouble maintaining air quality standards which will only be made worse as data centers require massive amounts of electricity powered by fossil fuel. (massive- on the order of magnitude of a major city.) For these reasons I strongly support heavy regulations and outright bans on construction of data centers, especially in the tight quarters of a city.
192	Stewart	40214	These should not exist in our community. Oldham and Shelby county were quickly able to deny permits while Louisville sells out their residents again (namely black and poor/lower middle class).
193	Fischer	40214	We need a full ban on data centers as they are a nightmare for our neighbors health and our resources.

194	Lorimer	40241	We dont want any data centers anywhere. Certainly tired of paying for billion \$ corporations stealing our money and resources. NO MORE DATA CENTERS.
195	McDowell	40214	Do NOT allow a data center here. It is a net negative for residents and citizens of Louisville.
196	CRIDER	40213	We do not want Data Centers period. We do not need AI. If projects are to be planned on available land, use it for the betterment of the people and animals that use it. Data Centers DO NOT provide any benefits for health, cleaner air, nor do they manufacture anything needed for survival.
197	Barbour	40217	Any potential benefit of data centers will be vastly overshadowed by all the negatives. There's the obvious strains on the electric power grid and water supply, not to mention the pollutants they'll produce getting into our water. And then there's the noise pollution disrupting the rest of residents. The land needs for these centers is huge. From what I can see, data centers will only fill the pockets of a couple of people while the rest of us will be pay the price (literally and figuratively).
198	Adkison	40272	Need to require a reserve, and a plan, for dismantling or repurposing the facility in case of abandonment, relocating, or shutting down the facility due to new replacement technology.
199	Boone	47150	NO DATA CENTERS!!!
200	Kays	40272	Data centers are part of what the internet now requires. Limiting them only holds us back. Now the roof and parking lot need to be covered with solar cells, and the sound needs to be minimized, but some noise is part of life. Nobody stops the UPS planes from flying over certain areas of the city, so people need to deal with it if they live in the city.
201	Garrison	40205	Needs to define distance required away from residential areas and fragile ecosystems. Also needs to hold the developer liable for and harm to communities resulting from their data center. Including economic harm from home devaluation, medical bills, city infrastructure damage and damage to ecosystems.
202	Wojcicki	40205	No data centers polluting our land. I support a full ban on all data centers within Louisville.
203	Boody	40216	I have a piece of land of approximate one acre of ground that I am interested in doing a land lease 9n. I have wondered about the possibility of a data center being placed there for business and industry. The location of 6706-6708 Lower Hunters Trace and it backs directly into Kentucky Trailer. I want something very income producing for the community.
204	McMahon	40204	No new data centers, of any size, until they can be guaranteed to not increase energy/water rates for local residents, in Jefferson County or the City of Louisville. The economic benefits (dubious as they are), do not outweigh the cost to real humans in the area.
205	shah	40299	I would like to add the below two items to your "draft regulation" 1. Residents of Data Centers established in Jefferson county and all bordering/surrounding counties shall not have for 10 years upon completion of the facility a utility rate increase, unless in time of war

			2. Residents of Data Centers established in Jefferson county and all bordering/surrounding counties shall not have after 10 years upon completion of the facility and the next 20 years (upon completion) a utility rate increase of great than 1% per year, unless in time of war. For example if a data center was established and up an running in January of 2030 then... 2030 to 2040 - no utility increase in current rates (LGE- water, gas, and electric etc) 2040-2050 - max rate of any utility increase would be 1% per-year, if agreed to the utility board that currently regulates rates Basically all of Jefferson County and surrounding counties associated utility costs are a burden of said data center(s) for those years 10-20 years
206	Nichols	40214	My question is if these regulations would be applied to Steve Poe's data center in the SouthWest end of Louisville? I've heard people have already been bought out of their homes, and that construction will start soon. If these don't apply to this data center then we already have a precedent that is out of compliance of regulations. I also think "substantial distance from homes and schools" needs to be more clearly defined. As is, it's very relative. It should be defined by the people who would be most affected. To be clear, I don't want any data centers of any kind.
207	Millen	40245	I think all the items make sense. The two most important are not shifting cost of new infrastructure to existing rate payers and limiting new construction to existing areas
208	Murphy	40118	JEFFERSON COUNTY DOES NOT WANT DATA CENTERS
209	Senn	40272	I am vehemently opposed to Data Centers in Jefferson County until there is full independent investigation re impacts to health and financial costs to the community. Why all of a sudden is there such an immediate need for these facilities and why are local and state governments so eager to push these centers. There appears a notable lack of transparency.
210	Long	40205	We do not need Data Centers until we can figure out what the true impact is on our environment and to the residence that live around it. More studies need to be done before we jump on this bandwagon. It's hard to fix something once it's built and running. Shame on Louisville and Metro for letting the first one go in without doing due diligence.
211	Johnson	40213	I oppose data centers.
212	Harris	40217	I don't think we should build data centers until we have better analysis behind how harmful they can be not only to people who live near them, but also for the environment surrounding the facility. Including how it affects animals, air quality, soil quality, and water quality. We also need to have high standards in place to make sure they are done properly and not rushed causing issues in the future. Please do not force this on our community.

213	Cecil	40220	The proposed standards sound too vague. I don't see any mention of water source, negative impact to community from use of utilities, and the noise impact, even if minimum standard set. Why the surge in data centers. What is the local demand for all these proposed centers. I am in opposition of any data center until there are STRICT control in numbers, total payment of utility and water usage and agreement by persons living in the impacted communities. What are future thoughts when technology changes again and data centers have become antiquated.
214	Davis	40299	I am strongly opposed to any "Data Center" built in Jefferson County. Below are some of my concerns: 1. Massive Electricity Usage Data centers consume enormous amounts of power, which can: • Strain the local electrical grid. • Increase the need for new transmission lines and substations. • Potentially contribute to higher electricity costs for residents and businesses. 2. Water Consumption Many data centers use large quantities of water for cooling. • Can place stress on local water resources. • May be concerning during drought conditions or in areas with limited water supplies. 3. Limited Job Creation While construction creates temporary jobs, modern data centers: • Employ relatively few permanent workers. • Often create fewer long-term jobs than manufacturing or commercial developments occupying similar acreage. 4. Noise Pollution Data centers operate 24/7. • Cooling fans and backup generators can create continuous noise. • Nearby residents may experience quality-of-life impacts. 5. Visual Impact Data centers are often: • Large, windowless industrial-style buildings. • Surrounded by fencing, security features, and utility infrastructure. • Inconsistent with a community's desired character or appearance. 6. Diesel Backup Generators

			Most facilities maintain numerous backup generators. • Can create emissions during testing and outages. • Raise concerns about air quality and environmental impact. 7. Land Use Concerns Prime land used for data centers: • Cannot be used for housing, retail, parks, agriculture, or other economic development. • May not align with long-term community planning goals. 8. Traffic During Construction Large projects can generate: • Heavy truck traffic. • Road wear and tear. • Temporary congestion and safety concerns. 9. Tax Incentives and Public Subsidies Some communities offer significant tax breaks to attract data centers. • Residents may question whether the public benefits justify the incentives. • Concerns arise if the facility receives tax advantages while creating relatively few jobs. 10. Environmental Footprint Residents may worry about: • Increased carbon emissions from energy consumption. • Loss of green space. • Effects on local wildlife and ecosystems. 11. Property Value Concerns Some homeowners fear that: • Large industrial developments near residential areas may negatively affect property values. • The community may become less attractive to future residents. Thank you.
215	Paul	40228	I agree with all these requirements for data centers
216	Hammond	40223	I am a chemical engineer with connections to the natural gas, energy, and chemicals markets globally. From an environmental standpoint, I cannot speak negatively in sufficient terms to address the problems with data centers and their use of energy, contamination of water, and air pollution. My primary comment is that closed-loop water

			systems should not be encouraged but REQUIRED of all future facilities to protect Jefferson County's clean water and to protect wildlife around the state and downstream water systems. Wording should also be clearly placed that prevents breaking up large facilities (>250,000 or >500,000 sq. ft.) into a number of smaller 'buildings' on the same site. This is a workaround I see could be a major concern for future projects, if we really are hoping to limit the size and impact on Louisvillians.
217	Snyder	40204	I wholeheartedly support the proposed regulations on data centers. I am very concerned about environmental impact and utility rates.
218	Brothers	40205	no data centers, or require then to pay utilities
219	Lauyans	40258	This is too little too late. There are zero provisions for the Campground Road location that was snuck in BY A SCHOOL and no one cares. More is needed fast and Metro Council needs to put people before money - period. Our infrastructure is not ready for this either
220	Gray	40213	Keep data centers out of Jefferson county.
221	Roberts	40299	I do not want a data center in Louisville, in any neighborhood.
222	Jones	40220	Restrict Data Centers NO exceptions, no conditional use permits allowed by Zoning Board Restrictions listed are good.
223	Kleinhenz	40118	Data centers have been found to destroy the environment and causes animals to give birth to dead fetus. I don't think that anyone on metro council would want one in your neighborhood. If Greenberg wants one in his neighborhood or near his children/family he is a liar. This is nothing but greed and money under the table. We need to keep Louisville safe for families. The effects of these centers cause irreversible damage to the environment. Please do the right thing because money will not replace our environment.
224	Rao	40205	I think the proposed requirements for data centers make sense. All costs including infrastructure should be borne by the data center with protections for ongoing utility costs for consumers. Also, environmental protections and locating the centers in industrial areas rather than farmland it's an excellent way to avoid further environmental destruction.
225	Fleitz	40217	Codifying regulations is great, however these MUST be enforced or we will end up in a situation like JBS blatantly ignoring what they should be doing and making life difficult for people who live near them. Section 4.2.68 A - Strike "unless relief is granted by the board". These regulations are for protection of the public and the environment and there should be no opportunity for a business to skirt them through Board intervention. Section 4.2.68 B then becomes irrelevant. Section 4.2.68 F - Remove entire section. Transmission Study should be required for all new data centers.

			In general, all setback requirements are too small. See sections 4.2.69 C, D; 200 feet is not enough separation from residential or school/childcare facilities. See section 4.2.70 D, 4.3.35 B; 25 feet is much too little. See section 4.3.36 B, C; 100 feet is not enough. Alternative energy sources should be at least partially required for all data centers, as such, strike "as much as possible" from Section 4.2.69 R ii, 4.2.70 Q i, 4.3.35 O ii, 4.3.36 Q ii. Data Centers have significant energy requirements and should be required to provide some of those energy needs from renewable sources. Even 10% of their energy needs being met by solar power would be great, but it should be required. Closed loop cooling systems should be required; see sections 4.2.69 I and 4.3.36 H. Louisville has long prided itself on the quality of our drinking water and its position next to the Ohio River. These areas of pride should not be threatened by the incredible water consumption that data centers require. While I would much prefer to keep data centers out of the city overall, these regulations, with the above changes, should help curb the damage and inconvenience that they will surely bring.
226	Norman	40217	No hyper data centers like the one being built currently. Need to have a strict environmental review. Company building should foot all costs and no tax breaks. Should have to pay for all their own utilities and use solar power. Company should pay for all nearby homes as they will make the area unlivable and lower property values. Stop placing more polluters in the Rubbertown area! There needs to be more done for the people who live near the data center being built and the effects on their health monitored. Extremely angered by the way this hyper data center was already decided upon without public input and that our mayor and his developer buddy Steve Poe went behind closed doors to initiate and get it past zoning.
227	Kondrat	40229	Data centers are loud, unnecessary, and unwanted. I want no data centers near my home or in Louisville.
228	Filichia	40204	Data Centers are not necessary. These are land grabs. They are attempts to make rich men richer while taking no consideration for the people, the wildlife, and the critical natural world we rely on.
229	Baldrige	40206	I'm opposed to any size data centers within Lou metro and surrounding counties, including so Indiana
230	Lee	40220	I strongly oppose all data centers but particularly hyperscale data centers. Following concerns of many US residents here and in other states where these centers have been bulldozed through local councils with no regard for public input, particularly public opposition will ruin our country. These centers have proven to be environmental nightmares, costing local residents their ability to have clean water and endure constant 24/7 noise and light pollution. There is growing opposition to AI in our country and anyone in a position to approve these centers needs to heed the concerns of the people. This is a dangerous and slippery slope to go down without very close scrutiny and regulations. Thank you.
231	Green	40208	No new data centers. Period. The proposal carves out exceptions for hyper scale data centers that will certainly be rubber stamped by corrupt officials(you) taking bribes. This idiocy has to be nipped in the bud.

232	Burns	40205	I'm all for data centers even large giga centers. However the cost of utilities (Louisville water, msd and lge) should paramount when protecting the citizens of Louisville from rising cost of living expenses. Do not allow the utilities to subsidize the costs thru incremental cost increases on households.. MSD recently increased costs taking advantage of costumers. That should have never been approved. If I use zero water I still have to pay \$70/month in service fees. There is absolutely no incentive for costumers to conserve water. These sneaky tactics must be stopped. Please ensure the upfront infrastructure costs and the high kwhr consumption is payed entirely by the companies not households. You all really need to make sure that the utilities are regulated appropriately and they stop taking advantage of households and they charge corporations for higher costs for their large infrastructure projects
233	Ball	40220	-How was the 500,000 square foot threshold determined, and what evidence backs up that determination? -How are air and water pollution and water consumption addressed in these regulations? -Can adjacent parcels be developed?
234	Small	40214	1. Water consumption and release of used water management systems need to be explored. 2. Define what a reasonable or substantial distance is as regards to public and residential areas 3. Any data centers planned should be presented to local residents for full transparency and the opportunity for input before application approved.
235	Smith	40217	Data centers are a blight to natural resources in a time when resources are already thinning, especially water. They do not need to be subsidized. Beyond the destruction and abuse of our natural systems, AI needs to have limitations and should not have the power to collect and use the information they are collecting. Even the experts are telling us we need to slow down with AI. Like giving a toddler a gun, it's too much power and too dangerous for a new and largely uninhibited machine that knows everything about us and can use that knowledge against us. It does not help our residents, it would bring down home values in neighboring areas and cause severe damage to the environment. No amount of money is worth that.
236	Cornelius	40204	Data Centers are bad for the environment. Jefferson County should not have ANY! PERIOD!
237	McKinney	40222	They don't belong here, gobbling up resources that are finite. Terrible for the environment. More destruction of habitat vital to wildlife and loads of added pollution. Climate change is real! The insect apocalypse is real. Humans must limit these or we are doomed!
238	King	40299	Very unfortunate in my opinion that these things are even necessary. But I am glad that there does seem to be a good bit of planning and foresight regarding them.

239	Owens	40213	No data centers, period. How is it fair to expect us to pay their utility bills? We're already stretched to the limit as it is. They're bad for the environment and risk contaminating our water supply. They essentially provide very little benefit for the area and the burden is great. I don't give a shit about business interests. I care about people, their bills, their environment. NO DATA CENTERS. FYI: Parrish-Wright has my vote. She's been transparent and guided us through this. Time is up for Greenberg's admin. It's clear where his interests lie. This was the last straw. It's devastating to be lied to like this. To vote for someone and trust they have your back, only to find out time and again he wants to install harmful business in your environment or greens spaces. No data centers, and no Greenberg!
240	Boston	40272	No data centers in Jefferson county
241	Fabing	40205	In addition to the included regulations: All data centers should require a conditional use permit. The data centers may not be located in areas prone to flooding. Require at least 2,000 ft from residential areas Address noise mitigation Insure ambient temperatures are not increased
242	Tseng	40205	Company will have to shoulder any infrastructure costs. Louisville citizens already do not want this data center, if it affects taxes, water or electricity in any way, there will be a riot
243	Showalter	40206	We don't want Data Centers! Our state deserves better! We value our land and water!
244	Gould	40207	I am against any construction of large-scale datacenters. They are ecologically destructive, disruptive to the community, unsightly, don't create enough jobs to be worth it, are a drain on local resources, and ultimately serve an end-product (generative AI) that the majority of people do not use or actively dislike. The only acceptable form of data center should be well away from other businesses and residences and should be solely responsible for all utility costs, as well as held entirely liable for any ecological impact created by the data center. Any potential data center must pass the muster of a rigorous environmental review or face disqualification.
245	Swann	40213	I appreciate that Louisville Metro Government is finally proposing data center-specific zoning regulations, but am extremely disappointed that the process is happening AFTER allowing a hyperscale facility to be built in the West End -- environmental racism is alive and well! I also do not feel that the proposed LDC regs go far enough in protecting our community (which includes the land,

			air, and water we all rely upon). It is one thing to require the developers to bear the costs of infrastructure upgrades and pay for the costs of the utilities they consume, but that does nothing to reasonably conserve natural resources or prevent environmental harms that will negatively impact quality of life and health outcomes.
246	A	40204	Can you cocksuckers understand English? WE. DO. NOT. WANT. DATA CENTERS.
247	Harlan	40205	I truly believe that these data centers are carcinogenic environmentally unsafe constructions that should not be allowed, period. If the technology cannot advance beyond the equivalent of smogging the environment and the populace then it shouldn't be permitted. Like any heavy industrial use dumped into urban or rural settings, the price paid isn't worth it. As a survivor of pollution borne cancer from urban truck traffic, I have paid the price, as did six of my neighbors. Our mayors current policy of "friends with benefits" is telling.
248	Hampton	40213	I am against building data centers. I think that we need to protect our environment from this unnecessary infringement upon our natural resources, water, land, and wildlife. Technology has improved our lives in many ways but there have to be limits. We do not need to be surveilled all of the time, it limits public participation, encourages staying out of the public eye, making us a more solitary population. We do not need to be replaced by technology, people need jobs that pay decent wages. Too many times big corporations prove that they have no regard for public opinion or welfare just to increase their bottom line. You cannot expect businesses that only care about their profits to care about you and me. Sorry, but I don't think they can be trusted to honor agreements in the future, I have become very sceptical of business and elected officials to do the right thing, some will but many will not.
249	Wells-Gonzalez	40215	We are a city, and a city serves to house and care for PEOPLE. W have done such work over the last almost decade to improve our city for community members, why would we invite in a data center to basically reverse our community support/health initiatives that we have worked so hard to build?? Louisville should be closed to data centers.
250	Austin	40258	I appreciate this a lot. I would rather a complete ban if I'm being honest but this is great step in the right direction.
251	Laymon	40213	I do not want a huge Data center anywhere near me . It's going to produce round the clock noise , it's going to wreak havoc on our eco system. And we still need people AI isn't a person. That's so these things are Giant Headsches!!!
252	Fithian	40213	We do not want data centers in one of the greenest areas in Louisville or in Louisville period.
253	Smith	40223	We cannot stop all data centers, they are a necessary evil. But I am happy to see an attempt to make these projects less intrusive and less environmentally destructive. Additionally, ensure that the developer/owner is not a shadow company for a larger hidden parent company which could hide from liability. And the owners of land or businesses taken for any project is fully and fairly compensated for the loss of their property before breaking ground on the new center. Otherwise the estate of the owners will still be waiting for payment!

254	Vonderheide	40223	We need these to continue to win/compete in the global economy. When done right, they can and will be strongly beneficial!
255	Drake	40258	We DO NOT want these data centers anywhere near our homes in this city!!! No matter the size! We don't want them nor need them!
256	Wilham	40203	Please don't do this. I know at some point appealing to humanity over profit has no merit, but Louisville is a beautiful city with beautiful people. Don't throw that away for a bubble. We need now more than ever to focus on building the community and expanding Louisville, we don't need a data center.
257	Nunnelley	40242	Should we address the impact of not only the new but FUTURE expansion of these facilities? If the development of these centers does not meet these requirements, are there penalties and/or fines in place to make us whole? For example, if LG&E or LWC must expand the infrastructure to meet the needs of the center, at startup or in the future, how are those Fixed and Recurring cost recovered? What if the center wants to expand it's footprint, or add newer more power / heat generating servers and maintain the footprint? How will the local infrastructure cost be recovered? Fines and penalties should be appropriate enough to 'sting' the corporation and account for inflation and the corporations worth. Just some thoughts, and thanks for the opportunity to respond. Joe Nunnelley 
258	Fenner	40067	Noise, pollution, massive water usage and environmental damage. Rise in electricity costs. We DO NOT need data centers!!
259	Morton	40207	Please do NOT put data centers in Louisville. We cannot afford to pollute our drinking water or have our utility bills go sky high.
260	Fauls	40205	The above specifications address the concerns I have had: Increased utility costs to residential users and environmental pollution (noise and natural resources). If these in fact become policy, I hope that zoning and planning and council will enforce them.
261	Crabb	40204	I am ABSOLUTELY opposed to any data centers in ANY part of Louisville.
262	Sterling	40272	totally should be banned
263	Hawkins	40216	It's definitely not good for any neighborhood . But it's beyond metro council.
264	Bradley	40205	We should have a zero tolerance policy for data centers. They bring very few to no jobs aside from initial construction. They are mainly ran remotely. The use up way to many of the city's resources and polute. They have sneaky tactics to sneak in builds and go right to the edge of whatever regulations cities put in. There really isn't an upside I can think of. If you put this to a referendum no way the public would be for data centers.

265	Spear	40213	They *should NOT* be allowed! Too much damage to the environment, too much danger for people living near it and little to no benefit to the residents of Louisville - or anywhere! Billionaires and greedy/corrupt government officials are the only ones who benefit. Stop them!
266	Ward	40031	I love the environment and its creatures and don't want our water polluted, lands destroyed, noise pollution and light pollution. Please dont allow these to destroy what little is left.
267	Barker	40258	I understand the need and purpose of smaller data centers. However, I, like most of the nation, am strongly opposed to hyperscaled data centers. They serve no good purpose for society and over time will not generate as many jobs as those installing/creating the center will have us believe due to the increasing role of AI.
268	Steiner	40223	I'd like to have answers to these questions as shared by a council member before this proceeds: How was the 500,000-square-foot threshold determined? What evidence supports that number? Can multiple facilities be developed on adjacent parcels? What are the exact setback requirements? What is the expected water consumption? What is the expected energy consumption? Who pays for grid upgrades? What role will neighborhoods have in future approvals? What penalties exist for violations? Can future councils weaken these protections administratively or would ordinances be required?
269	Fugate	40299	I say NO DATA CENTER. When they come in it causes our water to be depleted and our energy consumption to go skyhigh in turn costing jtownians to pay more. Kick Rocks!
270	Callaway	40220	Completely opposed. We're seeing in real time the harm to the environment and the rising cost of utility bills in areas with data centers. It's time for politicians to listen to the resounding 'no' that their constituents are shouting

271	Kaelin	40291	I personally do not want any data center of any size in our community. We've lived without them since the advent of the internet and I think we can continue living without them. They are not good for the environment or the people. These facilities may employ a few hundred people during the building phase, but it's my understanding that once they're up and running they employ just a few dozen people. If you insist on allowing them I think the size limitations should be significantly smaller than 500,000 square feet. How about 5,000 square feet? AI data centers should only be in abandoned industrial areas that are otherwise not being used. The developers and owners of AI data centers must pay for any cleanup of the site they plan to build on. AI data centers should be far enough away from schools and homes so they can't be heard and disturb children's learning and families' lives. I don't think the 200 feet I've heard about is far enough. There should be no tax incentives for AI data centers. No one wants them and we certainly don't want our tax dollars funding AI data centers. You plan to require transparency in the proposal, development, and ownership of AI data centers. I think we also need transparency regarding donations made to Louisville and Kentucky politicians by the developers and owners of AI data centers. As well as if the developers and owners are related to any Louisville or Kentucky politician or high ranking government official or employee.
272	Leneave	40213	Protect us from data centers. Please. This is our home.
273	Druck	40216	For myself, a data center in the Louisville, Metro area is NOT a good idea for these reasons: usage of water will drive my costs up higher and deplete and overwork our infrastructure which is not that great now since many of our infrastructure has not been upgraded unless a major issue arises. These data centers will cause more trouble for Metro Louisville overall. Put the data centers off land and use ocean water to cool these centers period.
274	Schneider	40241	Data Centers need to be "Self Contained", meaning: Provide their own electrical power. They should NOT be plugged into the LG&E grid. They should NOT be using Louisville Water or MSD sewers. Water should be "Recycled" within the acreage of the center. Data Centers should ONLY be located in Industrial Business locations. Farm land should NEVER be used to house a data center. It is NOT appropriate for these facilities to be located in a farming community. Absolutely NO Tax Incentives. Aside from paying property taxes, these data centers will contribute little, if any, to our community. If anything, they need to pay dearly for the use of our roads & the potential pollution of our air & streams.
275	Thomas	40299	Data centers are a requirement of our modern world but the ethical management of how we handle them is extremely important. Many of the laid out regulations appear to be great steps in the right direction. But only so

			long as the City of Louisville is willing to enforce regulations that remove the negative impact of data centers on the local ecosystem, population, and utilities then there is no harm in allowing them within the city. These regulations cannot be vague. They need to set exact restriction and not serve as suggestions. Moreover, the noise standards need to be aware of evidence relating to low frequency noise and the range that can affect the health and sleep of the local population. I am no expert but I would like to see evidence that the 200ft distance takes low frequency concerns into account.
276	White	40217	The maximum area is way too big. I oppose any hyper scale installments. There's a laundry list of risks -- water, noise, pollution, higher electricity rates. And then there's the fact that AI itself has another laundry list of dangers. Starting with, say, what it's doing to students' ability to read and think, and ending with massive powers of surveillance. I really hope that local leaders will take strong stands on this issue, be transparent, and be aware of the risk posed to their re-elections. This is a big bi-partisan issue.
277	Kemp	40211	No hyper scale data centers. No data centers should be built within proximity of the general public's living space. No data centers should be built within proximity to important ecology
278	Bradley	40126	We don't want or need them here. I've seen too many stories of other places where it does nothing but destroy the environment takes a lot of water, electricity. There's something evil behind this. You all know it.
279	Punsly	40217	The current zoning for what is considered hyperscale is too large. All AI data centers should be banned in Kentucky.
280	Cornelius	40204	I read the PDF regarding the data centers. I think they should be totally banned. NO TO ANY DATA CENTERS. Don't YOU CARE ABOUT CLIMATE CHANGE? The planning committee never listens to anyone. I know. I have dealt with them before. They decide before any public input.
281	Thompson	40218	Totally unnecessary usage of our land that has proven to wreak havoc in other areas outside of Kentucky. Smaller data centers already in existence do not take up nearly the amount of real estate as the larger ones proposed to be built. Data centers appear to target areas that are already in poverty and dealing with major environmental justice issues. The long term negative impacts far outweigh any beneficial impacts. We have become completely reliant on technology while also dealing with a declining school system, high home prices (only affordable housing being built is apartments) and lack of jobs available for entry level positions that actually provide enough income for single family households of 4. The process for approving any build of this size needs to be seriously revamped. It should require placement on the ballot each election cycle so that the public can have a better chance of knowing exactly what they are voting for and ample time within a day already designated for voting.
282	perry	40205	I don't believe Data Centers should be built within Jefferson County. I hold this belief because of the health and environmental drawbacks the centers bring with them. Here are some details:

			1. Massive Water Consumption & Strain on Local SupplyData centers generate an immense amount of heat, and many rely on evaporative cooling systems to keep servers from overheating.The Environmental Impact: These facilities can consume millions of gallons of water daily. In areas already prone to drought or water scarcity, this puts a massive strain on local aquifers and municipal water supplies.The Human Impact: Local communities may face water restrictions, rising utility costs, or long-term concerns over the depletion of shared drinking water resources. Furthermore, chemical runoff used to treat the water in cooling systems can sometimes risk contaminating local soil and waterways. 2. High Energy Demand & Air PollutionData centers are incredibly power-hungry, requiring a constant, massive stream of electricity to run servers and cooling infrastructure 24/7.The Environmental Impact: Because the local grid must scale up to meet this sudden surge in demand, utility companies often rely heavily on fossil fuels (like coal and natural gas) to keep up, leading to spikes in regional carbon dioxide emissions.The Human Impact: To guarantee uninterrupted uptime, data centers maintain massive banks of industrial diesel backup generators. During testing or power grid failures, these generators emit localized air pollutants and fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$). Prolonged exposure to these emissions can raise serious public health risks for nearby residents, particularly spiking rates of respiratory issues like asthma. 3. Noise Pollution from Cooling and InfrastructureData centers are far from silent neighbors. The combination of industrial-scale cooling fans, chilling units, and backup generators operates continuously.The Human Impact: Residents living in close proximity to data centers frequently complain of a low-frequency, relentless hum. This chronic noise pollution can disrupt sleep, increase stress levels, and drastically reduce the quality of life in surrounding neighborhood. 4. The "Heat Island" Effect and Land TransformationThe physical construction of a data center requires a massive footprint—often replacing green spaces with sprawling concrete structures, asphalt lots, and heavy electrical substations.The Environmental Impact: Massive data centers exhaust an enormous amount of concentrated heat directly into the ambient air. Converting natural landscapes into industrial facilities can create localized heat islands, warming the land and altering microclimates.The Human Impact: Turning green spaces into industrial zones strips communities of natural buffers that absorb rainwater and provide recreational space. The sheer amount of heat being pumped out has even led physicists to warn that some of the largest planned facilities could become some of the largest single-site heat sources on the planet. 5. Strain on Public Infrastructure and Grid ReliabilityThe sheer scale of these facilities can overwhelm local resources that were never designed to support heavy industry.The Human Impact: When a data center monopolizes a significant percentage of a region's power grid, it can drive up electricity prices for everyday consumers. In extreme cases, it risks destabilizing the local grid during peak summer or winter months, increasing the likelihood of blackouts for residents.

283	Daphne	40215	i do not want any data centers of any kind in this city.
284	Henry	40204	The proposed data centers need to not happen. They will only raise our water and electricity bills, they will raise the average temperature of the surrounding area and they will pollute our city and waterways. Not to mention that the one i've heard most about is planning on being put in a primarily black neighborhood next to a black cemetery. that feels extremely disrespectful to that community, especially with all the gentrification that has been going on for decades. I beg of you to not go through with their construction. Louisville does not need a data center.
285	Friel	40223	ABSOLUTELY BAN THEM COMPLETELY. AI IS NOT OUR FUTURE AND PROVIDING DATA CENTERS FOR BILLIONAIRES IS NOT THE WAY TO PROSPERITY. AI IS A SUBSCRIPTION PROGRAM FOR ALL LIFE. WE WILL NOT ESCAPE ONCE WE CLIMB ON BOARD. STOP IT NOW. LOUISVILLE IS A GREAT CITY BECAUSE OF THE COMMUNITY, WE DO NOT NEED BILLIONAIRES RAISING PRICES FOR WATER AND RUINING OUR AIR.
286	Hernandez	40299	I do not want any data centers In my city...EVER
287	Connor	40272	Please do not allow data centers in louisville. Your error in judgement has allowed one not 15 minutes from my house and affects family and friends. How have you not already started researching this and seeing what it has done to other communities. I question how well my city is equipped to watch out for its constituents when there are more back door deals going on. DO NOT ALLOW DATA CENTERS IN OUR COMMUNITY. they have environmental impacts.
288	Dentinger	40241	Listed below are some of the top problems data centers impose on nearby communities. Noise Pollution Data centers cause noise pollution. First, the heavy equipment used to construct the facilities are loud. Then, once they are up and running, diesel generators plus heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems create a constant hum that can be audible to neighboring residents and wildlife. Data centers generate noise levels that may exceed 90 decibels. Noise levels above 85 decibels are harmful to hearing. Light Pollution Data centers generate light pollution. Hyperscale facilities require all-night lighting that disrupts the natural (circadian) rhythms of the body, including melatonin production (the hormone that regulates sleep) and sleep-wake cycles. Light pollution is also disturbing migration patterns and habitat development among birds, butterflies, bats, cats, and turtles—to name only a handful. The long-term impacts of both noise and light pollution include hearing loss, stress, insomnia, and decreased quality of life. Air Pollution

			Data centers, especially gas-powered data centers, emit significant pollutants, such as nitrogen oxides, methane, volatile organic compounds, and fine particulate matter. According to a September 2025 study, these pollutants increase rates of respiratory diseases and cardiovascular conditions, and they elevate cancer risks among nearby communities. A 2025 model indicates that U.S. data centers in 2030 could cause approximately 600,000 asthma symptom cases and 1,300 premature deaths, exceeding 1/3 of asthma deaths in the U.S. each year, resulting in a public health burden of more than \$20 billion. Water Waste Data centers require water to cool computer servers so they do not become too hot to function. A large data center will use up to 5 million gallons of water daily. Drawing from local water supplies, these facilities are slurping up resources that should be available to residents in water-scarce regions. In fact, data centers increase the threat of water insecurity, and thus dehydration and poor hygiene. Nevertheless, a 2025 report by SourceMaterial and The Guardian found that Google has seven active data centers in water-scarce areas of the U.S. and was planning to build six more. Prior to this, in 2023, the state of Arizona revoked construction permits for new homes due to a scarcity of groundwater in Maricopa County, where Meta has one data center, Microsoft has two data centers, and Google has one data center with a second in development. Cost Increase for Home Energy Consumers Data centers have typically not paid their fair share in utilities, particularly for electricity consumption, and in those situations other consumers (including residential customers) have had to pay more than they otherwise would. Utility companies identify data centers as large load customers, meaning that they require a lot more energy from the power grid. When a utility grid operator must add new infrastructure to accommodate growing populations or large load customers, rates may increase for all users in that region, despite attempts to regulate those increases or to allocate costs to the large load customer. In fact, utility grid operators will sometimes negotiate lower rates to incentivize large load customers to build in their territory, meaning that additional expenses must be covered by the other customer segments. Last year, analysts estimated a 20% rate increase for Pennsylvania households. The U.S. Energy Information Administration shows the average resident has been paying 37% more for electricity since 2020. U.S. energy demand is expected to grow 2.5% annually over the next decade. Therefore, it is likely that residents will see an increase in energy rates. These trends indicate data centers make cost of living more expensive.

			In response to escalating concerns over energy costs, Pennsylvania Governor Josh Shapiro recently announced new standards that require data center developers to construct their own energy sources or pay for the upgrades to the grid, sparing local consumers the costs. It remains to be seen how, when, and for which facilities those standards will be enforced, but even if the average home is spared the additional financial costs of a data center boom, there is still the price of numerous environmental hazards listed above, especially if new data centers rely on fracked gas for power. This data center boom and the subsequent demand for more energy presents an opportunity to protect public health and to invest in renewable energy as a driver of the economy, but we need to make that choice now. If data centers are encroaching on our region, they must be powered by cleaner, renewable sources of energy to avoid imposing additional health hazards associated with oil and gas development onto nearby communities. Who Is Affected? As with other forms of air pollution, those at increased risk include children, developing fetuses, pregnant people, elderly, and individuals with chronic respiratory or cardiovascular disease. Data Centers also pose environmental justice concerns because they disproportionately affect minority and low-income communities. First, trends point to energy sources that fuel data centers being built near Black communities, which suffer the consequences of exposure to pollution, identified above. Plus, research indicates that the automation capabilities of AI are predicted to replace more jobs performed by Black people, and thus widening systemic inequities that overwhelmingly keep Black households at an economic disadvantage. This trend is not a new one. In fact, for people throughout our region, it is a familiar story. Data centers powered by fracked gas, like other oil and gas industries, threaten some of our most vulnerable community members, but they also put every nearby person at greater risk for health consequences—not to mention the added financial burden of health care costs.
289	Thompson	40217	Having data centers is bad for louisville n im strongly against it. U already have electrical grids tht cnt even handle the load as is n having to cut peak hours n experts says ppl are deceiving states abt what these data cntrs are all abt too. U need to keep this out of louisville n keep thm out of citizens business n not help thm set things up for the antichrist, (which is who will be using these data cntrs on the ppl n leave the digital money crap alone n ban it frm louisville too).
290	Sutherland	40165	I believe the restrictions on building size should definitely be smaller than 8.68 football fields. Why does everyone want to keep destroying our land for this insanity? The should be no larger than 250,000 sq ft, and it's still too much. They should supply their own water not take ours.
291	Brosmer	40241	They are worthless. They drain energy away raising our prices. They steal peoples data. It's shameful you didn't get public input before which tells all of us who you really want to hear first.

			100000000000% against
292	Gage	40217	I absolutely support strong and strict regulations on any data center proposals in and around Louisville, including and especially regulations to ensure any centers built are NOT in residential neighborhoods and NOT pulling water and electricity from residential neighborhoods. Frankly, I think data centers as a whole are a poor investment and a waste of resources, both for the sheer volume of water + electricity they pull from the grid and for the limited longevity of the bubble AI industry they're built to support. Any centers wanting to utilize Louisvillian resources need to prove their presence won't reduce the quality of life for any citizens of Louisville in either the short-term and the long-term.
293	Slimick	40299	My biggest concern has been the large amount of electricity and water required and the potential for more increases to utility bills. After reading the draft document, if implemented as written this should give our tax paying residents some protection.
294	Roth	40299	Stop Stop Stop. It is not a politicians job to decide what's best for a community, rather represent the will of the citizen constituents. Uphold zoning laws to maintain communities, do not burden taxpayers with tax incentives to inappropriate unwanted businesses. Did we learn nothing from the Battery factory boondoggle.?
295	Anderson	40220	Stop the data centers, no one wants them. If not, we should compromise and build them in Greenberg or Poe's backyards.
296	Burke-Schaad	40222	Say no to data centers. It is a terrible strain on resources.
297	Gain Parker	40245	First of all, I would prefer zero data centers in Jefferson county or in Kentucky! If this is going forward, you need to state the radius around a data center, such as 10 miles before it reaches any public housing, schools, hospitals, churches, or any other places that have real human beings in them. Your guidelines are so vague who knows what you're going to end up with, But I would like to know the specifics! Also water is a huge issue! How come your guidelines don't mention anything about the plant providing its own water? This is seriously a huge issue that I lose sleep over! Just the noise alone could drive a person crazy! Please, please consider the human toll that these data centers can take! We can live without AI, but we cannot live without affordable water, and plenty of it, affordable electricity, or the luxury of peace and quiet! Don't even think about throwing these data centers into the lower income west or south end of Louisville where people don't have the money to fight back! Don't 🙄 even 🙄 think about it!
298	Schneider	40241	I don't think data centers should be located on any land that is useable for anything else. No data centers in a city or town or on farmland or forest land. When a data center is built it should be 100% self sufficient, meaning it provides its own power plant for electricity that is not on the grid, provides its own water supply that in no way negatively affects any other person or business. They do not deserve any tax incentives. I do see a need for data centers and think the craze has gone too far. I don't think we need as many data centers as the pundits are claiming. Slow down on building therm.

			I think it would be a good idea to build data centers closer to the north pole so that the cooling requirements would be greatly reduced and thus the electricity and water demands would be less as well. Buy Greenland and put some in the very northern sections of Greenland. How about building data centers in the medians of expressways?
299	Bredhold	40245	The requirement "Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction" implies that union-scale wages must be paid. This restriction is not consistent with competition in the labor market. Kentucky is as a right-to-work state. Unions should have to compete for business the same as all others. All utility--electric, gas, water, and such--development costs should be borne strictly by the developer(s). Total development should be required to be paid up-front to avoid abrogation of the contract should the development not occur or be canceled through bankruptcy or another exigency such as a sale or transfer of the property.
300	Buntain	40216	I am ~~100~~ against data centers in and form and of any size in our city. We are seeing other nearby communities put a stop to them and there is good reason to do so. We should follow suit. Your constituents deserve better.
301	Krull	40299	I support the draft data center regulations proposed by the Office of Planning and commend the Office for taking a proactive approach to this important issue. Building and operating data centers creates the potential to adversely impact the economic viability and quality of life in the communities where they operate, and near the properties to which they are adjacent. These impacts must be considered, weighed and addressed proactively prior to the approval or siting of any data center. Plans to mitigate these impacts and hazards should be clearly and definitively be stated in applications for construction permits proposing the building of any data center and these construction permits must be approved before any construction may begin. These mitigations should consist of proven scientific technologies and address the following: Operators of data centers should be assessed fees to offset their high energy usage to subsidize upgrades to local energy infrastructure, and require self-sustaining power, where feasible, to reduce strain on local power grids, so as not to adversely impact the power supply and electric bills of local consumers. Similar concerns and remedies are needed to address excessive water usage needed for data center cooling systems, air pollution generated from fossil fuels, and the destruction of agricultural and local ecosystems. This includes noise from cooling systems and generators (often greater than 90 decibels) and all-night lighting. These can adversely impact human health by disrupting natural rhythms as well as the balance of conditions necessary for wildlife to thrive. The above-mentioned critical needs and requirements must not be subordinated in the rush to embrace data center development for cloud computing, artificial intelligence and online communication, or for employment, business or economic interests. The failure to address the adverse impact of data centers up front in this age, will be similar to the failure of proactively addressing the issues of employee safety and environmental pollution in the past, which required decades of Federal oversight under OSHA, USEPA and state and local regulatory agencies to remedy.

302	Seebeck	40205	Creates noise/air pollution. Puts strain on local water source/infrastructure.
303	Hemsley	40205	I say NO to a AI Dara Centers being built anywhere in Kentucky.
304	Cecil	40220	We should require that they supply their own water treatment , produce their own electricity , require x decibels at x yards, Require x insulation on interior using Professional acousticians per STC and Rw. Containing their abuse of resources and restricting ecological and human impact . They are profit driven and create very few jobs relative to impact.
305	Hawkins	40215	SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS. PERIOD. TOO MUCH NEGATIVE IMPACT ON RESIDENTS AND THEIR PROPERTY.
306	Kirkland	40215	Something that seems to be left out is the fact that these data centers are in fact claiming a number of high paying jobs that are in fact eliminated due to their being built because without them, I myself would still be making \$83,000 a year instead of unable to find suitable work and living off unemployment scared I will lose my house if the job market doesn't turn around soon and offer up some decent jobs that aren't 1099 scams. Here is my idea: an average data center eliminates 30,000 high paying jobs somewhere in America. So they should be required as the cause of this economic catastrophe to provide a permanent full time comparably paying role equal to the amount of jobs they destroy. I have NEVER been unemployed for this length of time in my entire life making this the worst economy in my history for me and I know I am not alone because my old job laid off 10% of 60,000 people nationwide and intends on laying off 90% of the remaining staff by 2027 leaving about 50,000 more employees displaced all of whom make over \$20/hr. One of the richest corporations in America, gobbling up competitors and jacking up prices at the same time as leveraging giant tax breaks from republicans to invest in technology that will eliminate jobs because "job creators" need tax cuts. I say they get extraordinary high property taxes, cannot have a residential property within 40 miles , or must be approved by nearby neighbors and those forced to live near them ought to be paid a stipend by the giant corporation with all the gazillions of dollars destroying their environment and no matter what regulations are put into place, our electric bills already went up 14% and natural gas 11% to make way for the heap of manure ai data center ruining sw Louisville one of the last remaining safe affordable neighborhoods in the county which was approved with ZERO input from the community. I appreciate this opportunity to inform decisions, but at the end of the day the real world we are migrating to is ai vs human and billionaires vs all the rest of us and we expect politicians to be on our side fighting data centers with all they have as hard as we are. We are sick and tired of the police state of surveillance all over the city, drones , no more customer service anywhere worth a damn, and data center destruction of communities and forcing the middle class into poverty and inevitably forcing those already in poverty into homelessness or worse, death, famine, and desparation. It is high time a city stands up for its residents instead of touting investments which do not in any way whatsoever benefit residents from data center billionaires. Louisville has one billionaire. We don't need to import billionaires. We need to focus on one thing because of ai and that is JOBS JOBS JOBS and what we know about data centers? They eliminate as many as a quarter of a million jobs per acre, are built by specialty construction companies from California that DO NOT HIRE LOCALS TO BUILD THEIR

			CENTERS, spend MINIMAL AMOUNTS OF MONEY AT LOCAL ESTABLISHMENTS , generate a net negative financial impact on every site in the country and by every possible measure they are detrimental to humankind and yet, here we are trying to make a way to make certain exceptions to these monstrosity recession builders across our city. There are literally no benefits to aincontact centers to anyone except the very very rich. The rest of us republicans democrats progressives Black White Asian Latino all agree on one thing is certain. It is Ai vs humans and we the people are for humanity and demand our politicians and leaders side with THE PEOPLE NOT THE BILLIONAIRES AND CORPORATIONS BC NO CORPORATIONS ARE NOT PEOPLE. Companies are bragging about how many employees they “no longer need” because of Ai. Humanity in customer service has become extinct and service SUCKS EVERYWHERE AI EXISTS AND EVERYBODY KNOWS IT. I am a Xennial, like most people in Louisville that work for a living. I live in a working class neighborhood some of yall would be afraid to visit at night but it’s home to me and I love it. The last thing we should have to put up with is our local government colliding with the very greatest threat to working people in the world to enable them to destroy our economic futures. Two jobs in 5 years have ended due to ai for me the first of which used to offer a pension and long term wealth opportunities plenty of folks in Louisville have worked there and been able to send their kids to college and one lady was just two days shy of her retirement and pension and had to sell her home in Louisville and move 600 miles away just to get her retirement now being spent all in another city and state and her children still live here. That ain’t right. 100,000 people lost their jobs in 2023 at that company bc of ai and ai is the enemy of mankind just ask the folks who developed it. , many of whom have raised the white flag of surrender and blown the whistle to alert everyone that ai under the current administrations use in the military will be the end of humanity when humanoids infiltrate the pentagon and launch nuclear bombs across America to end life all of us. We need to reign this shit in and we must do it now before it’s too late! I’m not some paranoid old man at a nursing home. I mow my own yard and drive a car that’s 12 years old so I won’t have a payment have two dogs that mean more to me than absolutely everything else. I do not want to live in this ai engineered world and I work in technology powered industries but municipalities across America are leading the way with permanent data center prohibitions being passed by 81% of the vote in Monterey and in massachusettes and everywhere worth living in the country we hate ai and we don’t mind using it for basic recipes or auto flying a drone through the park to capture photos but these humanoid enthusiasts and all their bankrupting fuckery is going to destroy everything we love full stop. If you have any moral character to pair with your most logical good sense, you will prohibit most if not all data center construction in this city where quality of life has gone down significantly under this insane planning department with commute times triple what they were in a deliberate nonsensical redirection remap and road diet disaster frenzy where Greenberg just wanted to cater to a special interest group with unresesrched solutions to nonexistent problems which now prove on paper to have been a complete waste of money when there are equal number of dead pedestrians both before and after road diets exact same number dead pointless bullshit we all have to put up with that make us all question if anyone in planning actually had good sense? Seriously.. but anyway we are grateful that we had an opportunity to remind this administration that they represent us and work for us and taking our input is necessary to produce good outcomes that people can live with so thank you all for finally welcoming our voices into the very expensive and often wasteful projects taking place. Also, there should be absolutely ZERO INCENTIVE FOR DATA CENTER CONSTRUCTION IN LOUISVILLE. It

			should be so expensive and such a royal pain in the ass getting all the permits and approvals and votes from the community that no company would bother to take a second look. We hate data centers and we hate ai and we really don't like billionaires aiming their ak47s at our jobs!! Hell no to data centers!! —Lucky Horseshoe(inner Churchill Downs) (Louisville)
307	Marshall	40205	My family does not want data centers in Kentucky. They are a great concern to us.
308	Holsclaw	40214	Just say NO to DATA CENTERS.
309	Basil	40272	Has anyone looked into how much the metro council members are get from these companies they go again their constituent?
310	Meeks	40216	I am concerned about the damage they do to our environment and communities.
311	Dalton	40214	Keep data centers out if Kentucky. Do not let "big bucks" sway you to turn your backs on our people, our land, our environment - and most importantly, on the future for our children, grandchildren, and beyond. Please save our commonwealth, please save our nation and our world - before it's too late. Approving data centers is much the same as taking away the very air we breathe.
312	Parker	40205	Ban all data centers. They impact the health of humans with the poisoning of clean drinking water. We do not need data centers. We need clean water. The sound they emit is so loud and disturbing that it makes the residents living around them physically ill. They are driving up demand for electricity and insane amount, which is sending us even further into fossil fuel use to meet the demand. Do not ruin the lives of your citizens for the shortsighted aim of profit. Ban all data centers.
313	Hayes	40217	WE DON'T NEED THEM IN KY. I have seen multiple videos & read several research articles regarding the damaging effects. Brown water, ear piercing noise—the noise hurt my ears in a video. I cannot imagine being next to it. I would either end up going crazy or committing suicide. The lighting that illuminates an entire household, the destruction it causes the environment, our animals, higher electricity, bills, higher, water, bills. They are building these intentionally in poorer areas where people don't have the means to move. Even if they did, no one is going to buy a house next to a data center. No one will ever be able to sell their house. It's one of the dumbest things that has come up in my lifetime (I'm 48). Figure out another solution. We don't need AI that bad. There's already multiple reports showing how the younger generation of children are not as intelligent as they learn on computers v. using textbooks/writing notes. AI will be the downfall of our society.
314	Magnuson	40205	We do not need or want data centers in Louisville. I especially oppose putting a large scale data center in the West End which has already been neglected and abused for decades.
315	Comstock	40220	Don't want them within 10 miles of residential areas
316	Harman	40204	1. How was the 500,000-square-foot threshold determined? 2. What evidence supports that number? 3. Can multiple facilities be developed on adjacent parcels? 4. What are the exact setback requirements?

			5. What is the expected water consumption? 6. What is the expected energy consumption? 7. Who pays for grid upgrades? 8. What role will neighborhoods have in future approvals? 9. What penalties exist for violations? 10. Can future councils weaken these protections administratively or would ordinances be required?
317	Saladino	40205	Concerns about utility bills for residents in areas of Data Centers (water, electricity), water usage and cooling effects, impacts to surrounding environmental areas, factors and footprint for our region. How will a Data center's usage of water and land impact our other natural resources? If utilities are diverted to a data center, when in need who comes first - residents or data center? traffic/roads related to data center, will this impact residents in a detrimental way? What is the 'give back' to the community - if resources are being consumed, what and how will the data center give back? Tree planting, Water cleaning support, neighborhood support, etc?
318	Speagle	40214	I OPPOSE ANY DATA CENTERS , THEY DO NOT HELP COMMUNITIES, NO MATTER HOW SMALL , THEY HURT THE ENVIRONMENT, THE WATER, AIR, LAND , NOISE , CAUSE HEALTH PROBLEMS FOR THE CITY . I STAND FOR THE PEOPLE, OVER THE PROFITS , THAT HURT COMMUNITIES
319	Little	40258	We do not need any Data Centers in Louisville. The lack of transparency has been sickening with regard to the constituents in District 1 and those districts close to this massive hyperscale data center on Camp Ground Rd. A moratorium was tabled so that Steve Poe could apply for a second permit. for a "data hotel" which he got despite protests from Louisville residents. What is a "data hotel"? Old verbiage from storage facilities? The mayor is pro millionaires and data centers. I feel terrified of the destruction about to happen for surveillance data? Flock cameras?
320	Holthouser	40216	I wholeheartedly agree with the proposed zoning regulations for any data centers in our (and ALL) area. These energy sucking, water hogs have been causing various problems in too many areas of our country....from draining much-needed water resources in the American Southwest to driving up energy costs for American citizens who can't afford the burden of supporting the greed of TECH COMPANIES. Enough is enough. At some point someone must step in and begin to regulate the raping of our precious (and limited) resources. I believe most citizens will agree that we should not have to pay for the greed of tech companies raking in BILLIONS. BTW: How many additional jobs for the locals are we talking here? EXACTLY! Thank you for your time.

321	Beard	40204	I strongly oppose the construction of data centers in our community. All available research on data centers shows only detrimental effects to the environment and health of surrounding populations. In addition, AI has been shown to impair cognition and critical thinking. We must put the health and safety of our community ahead of profits.
322	Begley	40205	We dont need them. This will be to our detriment. Be smart.
323	Himes	40204	-Renewable Energy source to fully power any data center -Limit Development to under 500,000 sq ft -Developer and lessee must invest in Direct Air Capture technology to offset enviornmental risk -Tax incentives for developers to repurpose buildings currently in disrepair
324	Borens	40214	Long term cost/gains to communities and to the community members who would have these centers adjacent. These facilities don't seem to provide much long term benefits to the community instead causing much concern over an already increasing cost to water, electricity, and then factor in the quality of life of having 24/7 noise that, from all reports, can only be abated to a certain degree. Louisville has had to work hard to clean up air quality, etc from prior decades. We have a beautiful Olmstead Parks system, the Waterfront, Derby, UPS hub and a downtown being revitalized. Do these data centers really fit into what we want to advertise as also having to bring in tourists and new business? I have not heard one positive reported comment from other communities already being impacted by these centers. They seem rushed, non-transparent. Someone is benefiting but who actually? Please put a hold on these centers while you take the time to thoroughly review and put in place enforceable requirements for these facilities that benefit the city and those of us who may possibly have to live with one in close proximity.
325	Gibson	40258	I'm not for data centers, no data centers in Kentucky period!!! It's not healthy for us or our children
326	Dunn	40205	Data centers should not be built in Jefferson County period due to the high density population and number of residential areas. However, if built, data centers should not be allowed near residential areas, schools, parks. Owners/operators of DCs should be responsible for all costs related to the building and operation; no taxpayer money. Current utility users such as homeowners should not see an increase in cost of their utilities. The cost of utilities for the DCs should be the sole responsibility of the DC owner/operators. DCs should be smaller in nature; not hyper centers.
327	Row	40205	Maybe consider the power rate increase over the last 10 years for rate payers within a radius of 20 miles of the proposed site. Keep them at that rate increase and have the data center bear the additional cost.
328	Tetzloff	40212	This will have a huge impact.This is being pushed through without our community being given input. No to this!

329	Veleta	40118	Not sustainable long term. Cons are water, electricity usage, noise and light pollution. Benefits of AI are proving to be minimal, money goes to developers pockets not the local community. Tech will be obsolete in decades.
330	Nicolussi	40243	This is a waste of space and resources. Louisville and Kentucky are beautiful and known for parks, green space and preserved nature. This will destroy tourism and disrupt our natural resources. It will hurt the ecosystem. Please leave Kentucky beautiful!
331	Goodman	40258	I am against data centers in general but especially data centers in populated areas. The Campground Road area is already dense with industrial companies. If data centers are allowed, they should be in unpopulated areas that also do not impact wildlife. Louisville/Jefferson County, particularly the southwest and west ends, is too heavily populated.
332	Summers	40023	Should be added: Data center will be financially liable for utility cost increases that they create despite proposal assurances that there won't be an impact to current customers. Should be no time limit.
333	Smothers	40258	Please allow larger, stand alone data centers to supply their own energy needs. Allow nuclear power facilities for this need. Zoning, planning committees should allow for nuclear power. Let LG&E build nuclear power plant!
334	McDowell	40205	Please keep data centers away from our neighborhoods.
335	Coburn	40206	No data centers at all. Invest in people and what people need - grocery stores and access to fresh healthy food.
336	Turnbill	40242	I do not want any data centers. They have a profound negative impact the environment. The cause utilities to go up and the residents of the areas are left to deal with the fallout.
337	Bennett	40205	I am shocked and disheartened that the city and county have approved this data center without public comment. Installing ANOTHER facility in the already underprivileged area. What recourse is left for those directly impacted by this decision. I'm so ashamed of this administration's neglect toward our underserved communities.
338	Young	40204	1. There needs to be regulations in place addressing infrasound. Data centers need to be required to dampen the infrasound that they bleed into the surrounding area that affects people and wildlife. 2. We need to REQUIRE, not encourage closed-loop water cooling system. We all know encouraging will not be enough and they will choose the more cost effective measure for them which is not a closed loop system. Open loop cooling drains more of our resources and creates pollution. 3. There doesn't appear to be any requirements regarding the waste these facilities produce. There needs to be requirements put in place that ensure the waste from these facilities are being handled properly and not spilling into our community.

			4. I know it's in the current plans but just to reiterate, they need to pay for their own utilities and not pass that cost down through the tax payers.
339	Sternberg	40272	Absolutely NO to data centers. They are no contribution to any state, city or neighborhood. The environmental impact alone is enough to give a NO answer. Not to mention that no one in Louisville can keep utilities from raising rates now, but also to burden tax payers with this addition?! Data centers will bury this city. Financially and environmentally.
340	Ballard	40205	I think data centers are a terrible idea for the state of Kentucky. They use up valuable resources (water and electricity) and pollute the environment. I've seen many stories online and on the news from people who live near data centers. One family in Georgia barely had any water pressure and what did come out of the tap was brown and undrinkable. The constant noise also made sleeping or spending time in one's yard unbearable. Kentucky deserves better than this. Our water resources and electricity should be used by Kentucky residents and businesses, not data centers owned by out of state corporations. They don't care how their data centers may affect people living nearby. They only care about money in their pocket. The short term benefit of some local construction jobs is not worth the long term exploitation and destruction of our resources. Frankly, they should be banned nationwide, but I'll start with a ban in Kentucky.
341	Travis	40222	Comments: Regulations and policies must be in place to protect utility customers (electric/sewer/water). I believe that the physical infrastructure of our urban area must be protected and taxpayers cannot bear the burden. Regulations should also include protection for noise pollution too. Strict data center regulations should provide assurances no more hyper-scale centers will be allowed in this urban area. School, residential, and daycare facilities must be protected and not allow for large electrical poles and infrastructure. We must make sure that communities and neighborhoods will be protected from increased utility costs, poor water supply and noise.
342	Levy	40211	I want to make sure that we have a limit on size and environmental impact, including both civil and criminal penalties for pollution (not just fines). There should be a minimum distance from any child-centered business or organization including schools, community centers, daycares, and parks, critical infrastructure, and other data centers, and a simple, streamlines whistle-blower process or complaint hotline.
343	Krull	40299	Please accept the following additional comments to those I made to the Metro Louisville Office of Planning on June 10, 2026, regarding the proposed draft data center regulations. Data centers should be sited away from populated areas to minimize the effects of noise and light pollution, and sufficient property surrounding the data centers should be zoned as buffer space allowing no encroachment by business, commercial or residential property developers as this would undermine the beneficial effects provided by the buffer. An additional concern with the concept of large data centers is their safety and security. Large centralized data center operations would be easier and more cost-effective to operate and control due to economies of scale and the consolidation of decision-making and core functions, but in these days and times they place their power

			infrastructure in a single location making them vulnerable to physical security risks, disruption of operations, and economic damage. Although multiple, smaller data center operations would require redundant oversight and duplicative functions, and would be more costly and difficult to control due to their decentralization, they would be able to be secured incrementally making them more difficult for any criminal element to cause major damage to an entity's operations or infrastructure or the services they provide their customers. Multiple, decentralized, smaller data centers would have the ability to back up each other in the event of downtime, maintenance or threat which would be advantageous from a safety and security standpoint. Smaller data centers would also pose a lesser degree of hazards and impacts as noted in my previous submittal of June 10th. With large centralized data centers, much thought and effort should be expended on contingency planning in how their data will be secured, protected and backed up should an unfortunate event occur.
344	Wallace	40206	1) Include regulations banning any rise in utility costs, transferring those costs instead to those profiting from such a center. 2) Include a 50.1% public ownership of any Data Center in Jefferson County 3) Develop financial consequences for noncompliance and not allow a process where a public meeting is all that is required for changes
345	Bodner	40258	The company's owning and installing these data centers are obscenely wealthy. There should be requirements in the plan that these companies provide their own electrical and cooling infrastructure. Companies like GE Vernova and Bloom energy install this type of infrastructure .furthermore it would be a good idea to offer tax breaks for these companies to bring living wage jobs to the area.
346	Nall	40205	Regulation 1 - Ban hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet. This is wrong-headed and unreasonable. We should be encouraging data center development especially in Louisville. River Ridge is attracting these businesses and we are not getting them in Louisville. We are so far behind other cities with this type of thinking. Why do we continue to encourage NIMBYism. This is short sighted and will have negative ramifications for the long-term viability of our city. Regulation 2 - Require any proposed developments to be located in industrial areas while smaller-scale facilities that support existing employers and business needs would be allowed in limited areas. How about allowing them in office parks too? Office employees are one of the primary users of data centers, why not locate them together? Data centers can be clean quiet neighbors.

			Regulation 3 - Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers. This is a reasonable regulation, but I am not sure how you would regulate this. Do you get a letter from the utility company? How is this going to be managed? Regulation 4 - Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required. This should not be regulated by the planning commission. What if the developer agrees that the utility pays for upgrades and the developer pays a higher rate to compensate for the upgrades. The planning commission has no business regulating public utilities. Regulation 5 - Limit data center development to designated industrial areas. Data centers should be allowed to be built in office parks too. This is a great incentive to repurpose tired old office buildings without having to build on a greenfield site. Regulation 6 - Require environmentally low-impact construction and design practices. The definition of this is too ambiguous for regulation. It would be better to use this as an incentive for faster approval through the planning commission. Create a fast-track for LEED buildings or something like that seems more appropriate than a mandate. Regulation 7 - Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities. Buffer zones are already part of the land development code. Why would this be necessary? Regulation 8 - Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations. The land development code already requires projects that don't fall strictly within the guidelines to go through this process. What would be better is to have data centers as an acceptable use in certain zoning classifications. Regulation 9 - Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment. As long as they are reasonable and based on science not hearsay, this is reasonable. Regulation 10 - Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development. This seems unreasonable. Tech businesses and facilities are bought and sold every day. Would the planning commission prohibit a certain user from developing a data center? Not sure why this would be appropriate or necessary. Regulation 11 - Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction. This should never be required by a planning commission. We live and work in a free market economy and the planning commission has no business regulating wages.

347	Tillett	40205	Potential Disadvantages (Cons) of Louisville Metro Proposed Data Center Regulations Could Discourage Major Investment The 500,000-square-foot cap is unusually restrictive. Many modern hyperscale AI and cloud-computing facilities exceed this size and may choose other jurisdictions. Louisville May Lose Future Tax Base Large data centers can generate substantial property tax revenue, utility revenue, and construction activity. Restrictive limits could reduce future economic development opportunities. Compliance Costs May Be High The proposal layers conditional use approvals, utility studies, environmental requirements, disclosure requirements, wage requirements, and registration requirements, increasing development cost and uncertainty. Subjective Standards Several provisions require developers to utilize alternative energy 'as much as possible' and maximize tree preservation and energy efficiency. Such language may be difficult to administer consistently. Local Hiring Requirement Challenges A goal that 30% of workforce hours be performed by Louisville residents may be difficult for specialized trades needed for data-center construction. Limited Permanent Employment Data centers typically create relatively few permanent jobs after construction is complete. Critics may argue that extensive regulations could discourage investment without significantly increasing long-term employment. Utility-Cost Protection Difficult to Demonstrate The requirement to show that service can be provided without adversely affecting other customers' costs may be technically difficult because utility systems are interconnected and costs are shared.
348	Tompkins	40204	Don't let any of the data centers into Jefferson County. Don't let them change their names so that they can get access anyway.
349	Reaugh	40245	In addition to "substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities" also require substantial separation from protected natural areas such as parks. Substantial separation from hospitals, elder care facilities, and other health care facilities is also needed.
350	Kurth	40272	Please locate these centers away from Louisville and Jefferson County. We don't want the drain on electricity, water and noise.
351	Meyer	40213	No Data Centers in Kentucky
352	Riley	40299	No data centers at all please.

353	Englehart	40215	I'll say it again, Greenberg has no backbone to pull the plug on the Campground Road hyperscale data center. This proposed change is only for future ones, but the people want the Campground Road data center nixed. You are looking like a real clown trying to Poe the wool over our eyes.
354	McCracken	40212	The proposed data center regulations should most definitely be passed. Everything listed should already be in place. The fact that they aren't is why noone wants these data centers around. They serve themselves but the community literally pays. NO MORE DATA CENTERS!!
355	Gilbert	40217	PLEASE don't allow data centers anywhere in Jefferson County.
356	Reaugh	40245	You should have the sound limits set already before allowing any data center approval. You should set automatic penalties for very high costs as these are billion dollar facilities and low penalties are often seen as a minor cost of business. \$10 million dollars per day per violation might even be too small. All data centers should be in industrial zoned areas even the small ones. You need to define what environmentally low impact construction design is before data centers are allowed. Define substantial distance from a home and does it mean even 1 home? What is the penalty for not being transparent? All profits made from the facility should be the penalty. Or maybe 1 billion \$. Or maybe immediate forfeiture of the property, building and equipment to the county. Otherwise, they will just figure the penalty into the cost of doing business. At this point these guidelines are too vague and no additional data centers should be built in Kentucky. It is a harm to the environment, to the people and their wellbeing. NO DATA CENTERS
357	Lyon	40291	I understand the need for data centers whether for AI usage or otherwise. But I also support placing restrictions on their construction and implementation in order to protect our community and its residents. I am encouraged to see Louisville Metro considering these types of regulations and very much hope to see them implemented in Jefferson County.
358	Gotht-Olsen	40299	Large-scale data center development poses concentrated risks that fall disproportionately on existing residents, and Louisville citizens have specific reason for caution. The most immediate danger is cost-shifting onto ratepayers: nationally, utilities requested more than \$29 billion in rate increases in the first half of 2025, double the amount requested in the first half of 2024, and 2025 saw over \$60 billion worth of rate increases countrywide, with Americans paying almost 10% more for electricity on average compared to 2024, with data center infrastructure buildout identified as a contributing factor in at least the Mid-Atlantic region. Because a single hyperscale facility can demand as much power as a small city, U.S. data centers' total combined energy demand is predicted to nearly double between 2025 and 2028, jumping from 80 to 150 gigawatts, the transmission upgrades, new generation, and grid reinforcement that LG&E would build to serve such a load can end up socialized across every household bill unless tightly firewalled, even though the benefit accrues to a single private user. That risk compounds an affordability strain that is already acute: nationally, utility shut-offs skyrocketed to 3.5 million in 2024 and may have reached 4 million in 2025, putting further strain on disadvantaged households. Beyond electricity, these facilities impose real local externalities, concerns about electricity affordability, increased fossil generation buildout, air pollution, water use, noise, and land use, that

			land on the immediate neighbors rather than the operator: diesel or gas backup generators running near homes and schools, evaporative cooling drawing on the same water and sewer systems residents depend on, and continuous mechanical noise at the property line. A further structural danger is stranded-asset risk, where utilities build generation for speculative projects that may never materialize if the AI buildout slows, leaving ratepayers paying for capacity no one uses. The Louisville draft's instinct to confront these exact pressures, its ratepayer-protection and Will Serve requirements, generator and noise standards, residential separations, and developer-funded infrastructure provisions, is well-aimed; the danger for Louisville citizens lies less in the framework's intent than in whether its enforceable specifics (the "abutting" setback loophole, the open-ended discretionary standards, the unverified workforce and prevailing-wage commitments) are tight enough to actually hold when a well-resourced applicant tests them. 1. The amendment never amends Chapter 1 Part 2's master definition list — it just floats new definitions. Every definition the current code adds (Smoking Retail Store, ADU, medical cannabis, factory-built housing) goes into the single alphabetical list in 1.2.2, and each is logged as an ordinance amending "section 1.2.2." The draft presents "Data Center," "Data Center, Accessory," "Data Center, Telecommunication," "Construction Work," and "Prevailing Wage" under a header that just says "Chapter 1, Part 2 Definitions / *****" without specifying they're insertions into 1.2.2's alphabetical sequence. A reader following the code's own conventions would expect explicit placement. Minor drafting-convention gap, but it's the kind of thing that causes codification errors later. 2. "Telecommunications hotels" is inserted as a permitted use but is never defined. The draft adds "Telecommunications hotels" to the C-3 (2.4.5) and M-1 (2.5.1) permitted-use lists. I checked the full definitions list in the current code (1.2.2) — there is a defined term "Telephone Exchange Building" but no definition for "Telecommunications hotel" or "telecommunications hotel." The draft defines "Data Center, Telecommunication" but that is a different term. So the amendment creates a permitted use with no definitional anchor, and simultaneously defines a Telecommunication Data Center that sounds like it should be the same thing but isn't cross-referenced to it. This is a real ambiguity: is a "telecommunications hotel" the same as a "Telecommunication Data Center," a subset, or a distinct legacy use? The code's interpretation rule (1.2.1, "Interpretation of Undefined Terms") would force a planner to fall back on the Comprehensive Plan or common usage — exactly the discretion the rest of the amendment tries to eliminate. 3. The C-3 and M-1 permitted-use insertions may be redundant with, or in tension with, the conditional-use pathway. The draft makes a Telecommunications Data Center a conditional use in C-3 (4.2.70), but the C-3 permitted-use edit adds "Telecommunications hotels" as a by-right permitted use. If those two terms refer to the same physical facility, the amendment both permits it by right and requires a CUP for it — a direct internal conflict. If they're different, the difference needs to be defined (see #2). Either way it needs reconciliation. 4. The "abutting any residentially zoned property" setback language doesn't match how the code defines abutting. The current code (1.2.2) defines "Abutting" to expressly exclude parcels across a street or alley. The

			draft's setback provisions (e.g., 4.2.69.C, 4.3.36.B) require the 100/200-foot setback "abutting any residentially zoned property." Under the code's own definition, a data center directly across a street from homes would not be "abutting" them and would owe no enhanced setback. Given that the stated legislative intent is protecting homes, this is a substantive loophole the drafters likely didn't intend — the separations provisions (which use "from any structure containing a dwelling unit") are drafted more robustly than the setback provisions. 5. Parking standard conflicts with the code's stated approach to parking discretion. The current Chapter 9 Part 1 was updated to reduce minimum parking and operates on defined ratios; the code generally disfavors fully open-ended standards. The draft's Table 9.1.3B entry — both minimum and maximum "To be determined by Director or designee" — gives unbounded administrative discretion with no methodology. This is more vulnerable here than it would be in a code that didn't otherwise commit to objective ratios. (I flagged this in the first review on general grounds; cross-referencing confirms it's also out of step with how Chapter 9 currently operates.) 6. The intensity-class table edit (Table 10.2.1) only partially integrates. The draft adds "data centers of greater than 50,000 square feet" to Intensity Class 5. That leaves data centers of 50,000 sq ft or less with no assigned intensity class for buffering purposes — meaning the buffer obligation for the smallest facilities defaults to whatever their underlying use classification yields, which may be unintended given that 4.3.35 separately invokes Chapter 10. Worth confirming the small-facility buffering outcome is what's intended.
359	Montgomery	40258	These Data Centers are detrimental to the environment. They worsen the Louisville Urban Heat Island and have many negative long term effects on the community! We, the local residents, do NOT want these centers near us!!! We are tired of the rich screwing us over!!!
360	Abbott	40229	While they are needed for our normal day to day online stuff, what will be at the data center matters as well. Is it just a content delivery, a colo, or just one big AI dump zone. Colos I would say are still a good thing to have around, but just 'another' content delivery or ai only datacenter is kinda garbage. I love the the proposed make sure people that live around are not going to have to pay more for electric/water.
361	Smith	40299	I am opposed to all Data Centers
362	Hodgson	40023	I think items 1-10 are commonsense protections for our community. We need to be protected from unhealthy noise, and excess use of public water and electricity utilities that would pass costs on to citizens. #11 is a bad idea, and is unrelated to the problems data centers can cause.
363	Recktenwald	40206	The last thing we need is a data center running up utility costs, wasting water and making billionaires richer. If you want to help Louisville, build a metro line or connect us to Amtrak.
364	impellizzeri	40213	There should be a complete moratorium and a pause in the construction of the current project on Campground Road. The allowance of 500,000sq ft buildings (that's 11 acres!?) is insane! The impact, the environmental impact with the sound pollution, the actual pollution from the generators that will be required to assist in the energy demand it will have... and this all feels like it serves the developer-class of folks without any real-regard for

			the humans that actually live and work here in Louisville. All of our air travels east. So what we pollute in the west will actually travel across the entire county... what environmental constraints are in place for any waste water? How will that impact the rates of MSD who are already raising our rates? What about the downstream impacts to having such a thing so close to the river? What about our downstream residents!? What about any penalties for entities violating any yet-to-be-defined regulations? Who will be watching? Why not take this property on Campground Road and make them a living example of good stewardship to the city? Why not draft a straight-out moratorium so that Louisville can be a way-shower in development-citizenry and getting along with the "big decisions" that are made, despite a system create to voice opposition and feel like we are being heard. I think we Pause. I think we make Louisville a prime example of common sense. I think the regulations as proposed, do NOT go far enough and are far too generous. I think giving TIFs for ANY of these projects is insane and that practice needs to end. They want to come here, they fully support our tax structure and contribute to our communities well-being. Just say No.
365	Brown	40212	DO NOT BUILD ANY DATACENTERS OF ANY KIND IN LOUISVILLE. WE DO NOT WANT THEM. WE CAN BARELY AFFORD TO LIVE AS IT IS, WE DO NOT NEED IT DRINKING UP ALL OF OUR WATER AND MAKING OUR EXPENSES HIGHER.
366	CARTER	40245	First, I am opposed to the development of huge data centers because they result in the loss of more land and natural resources to the digitization of our society. Second, if such data centers are ultimately built anyway, our community is ill prepared with sufficient infrastructure to safely support them. Our secondary roads are poorly maintained, over traveled already, yet we see more and more new construction of residential and commercial properties. Is anyone with the responsibility for decisions regarding our zoning and roads paying attention? Where do you travel every day?
367	Hahnert	40223	Closed loop water systems should be required not "encouraged". Closed loop systems are vastly preferred from a public perspective and should be the standard. Exceptions could be made if builder can prove an acceptable alternative which minimizes water usage and limits the temperature, treatment, and volume of water outgoing.

368	Logsdon	40214	No on Data Centers. But I'm sure nothing is going to stop these waste of money Data Centers because the politicians will be getting paid and pay no attention to the public.
369	Davis	40205	I am against having a data center in Jefferson County. I have seen how companies can promise to cover upgrades for electric increase and then get out of it with their legal team and campaign "gifts". Data centers will result in zoning changes overwriting residents.
370	Hopping	40207	I am opposed to ANY hyperscale data centers and honestly do not want any data centers in our area (Louisville) at all. They have proven to hike electricity and water costs for residents in counties that already house them and profit off of residents without providing tangible benefit. Please vote no to data centers in Jefferson County.
371	Anderson	40219	Define what makes a "hyperscale" data center.
372	Carneal	40220	I am adamantly opposed to data centers in our county. From the noise to the impact on our power grid, it will cost the average person in many ways and will be a detriment to our city.
373	Church	40222	Data centers threaten the health, well-being and pocketbooks of those they neighbor. Technology is ever-advancing, and it can be useful. But allowing it to advance and flourish while people and other living beings struggle and suffer is not reasonable. It shouldn't even be up for debate.
374	Morrison	40245	In my personal experience with researching artificial intelligence, I cannot bring myself to agree with any of the aspects of artificial intelligence or data centers. As a part of a younger generation, a lot of times it gets mistaken that I use chatbots or artificial intelligence just because I am young and that could not be more false. I would take a gamble and say that the everyday person doesn't know how advanced artificial intelligence or data centers work. Most people haven't been made aware of the environmental impact as well. There is a lot of unawareness from the public in the realm of artificial intelligence, even though ironically these are the people using this product the most. I have a lot of big opinions about artificial intelligence but because this form is about data centers, I would like to bring up the two discussions I see most important, the environmental impact and job discussion. Data centers consume excessive amounts of water and electricity. A singular, large data center can consume up to 5 million gallons of water a day. This is equivalent to the needs of a town of 50,000 people. When water cycles through a data center it passes through evaporation chillers. This passing through leaves the water extremely hot and more saline. This remaining water is recycled back into our environment. This water often looks cloudy or milky, contains dissolved minerals, and can also carry chemicals from the cooling system. This water is not safe to consume or to be back introduced into the environment. Most large data centers are also powered by fossil fuels. From using fossil fuels, this contributes to air pollution and climate change as well. These data centers don't only affect the earth, but they affect our medically fragile population as well. Backup generators, which are necessary for grid load reduction, can produce large amounts of nitrogen oxides and other air pollutants that pose respiratory health risks, especially for our more vulnerable population. As for jobs, a lot of people argue that building a data center will increase the job opportunities in the area. Once a data center is up and functional it only provides jobs for 200-400 people. If you were to say we have a job epidemic in Louisville, I would have to agree. This argument circles back in on itself though because artificial

			intelligence is only taking more jobs everyday. The sole reason for less job opportunities is the consequence of letting artificial intelligence do everything for us. Businesses like Target used to have to employ 10-15 people per shift to work at their registers, but now they can invest in more self-checkout machines and only employ one person for that area. It is a positive for the business but a negative for the job market. I have lived in Louisville most of my life and do not have plans to leave anytime soon. I want Louisville to only become a better city. A picture perfect city is designed, in my eyes, to achieve the utmost social, economic, and environmental conditions, balancing functionality for the well-being of its citizens. No part of a data center would achieve any of those ideas.
375	Wood	40220	I do not want any data centers of any kind or scale in my hometown, or in the state of Kentucky, period. Louisville, in particular, has historically had one of the best water quality systems in the country. As a university student, I have lived in many other places for school. Places where clean drinking water is not as accessible. It is something in these modern times we may take for granted. But once its not readily available, you notice and its frightening. When I come home during school breaks, I am relieved to return to clean good water in my Louisville area. Water, clean water, is an essential peice for human well-being and survival. Louisvillians, and Kentuckians statewide, deserve to keep their clean drinking water. Residents across the country living in communities or states with data centers have reported issues with accessing clean drinking water or have noticed a drop in water source levels. Water is needed for so many things for the average Louisvillians day to day. Bathing, cooking, cleaning, drinking, washing clothes, lawncare, and the list goes on. I don't want Louisville, or Kentucky, to run out of water and to run out of clean drinking water. Please halt any data center related projects.
376	Sinclair	40207	No data centers should be the policy. They will not provide that many jobs, they may not be around in 10 years, the cost of the infrastructure, our health, and to the environment are not worth it.
377	Clarke	40220	Data centers are not a fit for our community. With our rising utility prices even getting too expensive. For most apartment owners to be able to handle what makes you think that a data center coming the area is worth it. Some people have to choose between their electric bill or food on the table. Data centers should not be in our community.
378	Weber	40206	I'm against development in the Jefferson County area until we know more about the consequences. The building of these huge monstrosities is racing ahead without knowledge of their impact to local water sources, electrical usage, environmental and climate effects, to list only a few concerns.
379	Board	40258	I would reject data center's within the community. We need state wide laws to prevent utility/water shortages, blackouts, and/or price hikes to the public due to data center energy needs. Until laws protecting the general population are written in regards to the data centers, then no data centers should be built.
380	Carey	40215	It is racist and classist to put a hyper scale data center in the west end, where the dangerous consequences that will result from its use will disproportionately harm black and brown citizens and those living near or below the poverty line who reside in that area. This data center will do as all the others have—it will destroy the area's water supply and air quality, will increase costs to citizens who will see utility bills rise, it will increase the temperature surrounding the site, which will harm local wildlife and landscape alike, it will add dangerous

			pollution that will contribute to the advancement of climate change, causing long term hazards to all living things in this area. Putting any hyper scale data center anywhere in Louisville is going to add significant harm to the people living near it, and will have ripple effects across the entire county. I will be encouraging my friends, neighbors, and relatives to NOT vote for Mayor Greenberg this fall, as it is very clear he is in the pockets of the developers who are pushing the campground rd data center forward. Greenberg appointed the corrupt planning board who approved the center last summer, and he has clearly allowed himself to be sold as a pawn to Steve Poe. This data center situation shows that Mayor Greenberg does not care about his constituents, does not care about the west end, and does not care about the majority of voices in this city who do not want any hyper scale data centers built here. I am ashamed that I voted for him in the past, and I will never vote for him ever again.
381	Maxson	40258	-There need to be substantial and explicitly stated penalties for breaking or circumventing these restrictions. -There should not be any grandfathering-in of facilities constructed before the rules took effect. -There should be clear qualitative and quantitative standards for proving that proposed facilities will not affect utility rates, with legal liability if that proves to be untrue. -There need to be additional standards for water consumption, water pollution, and low-frequency sound pollution. -There needs to be a maximum total amount of square footage available for use in data center facilities across Louisville Metro such that we don't get swarmed with dozens of 49,990 square foot facilities that are technically separate.
382	Luescher	40204	No data centers until we have strict regulations and protections in place our land, water, utilities, and testing for long term effects of being in the "noise zone" for human and animal health.
383	White	40204	The data center amendment has some really glaring holes in it - please don't vote until they're closed! Mayor Greenberg and Council Members, My name is Clare and I live in my house in Crescent Hill. I'm writing as a resident, not someone with a stake in this industry one way or the other. I read the full June 9 draft of the data center amendment, and I want to flag three problems with it before it moves forward. First: under this draft, most data centers will never face a public hearing. A facility of up to 250,000 square feet, the size of four Walmart Supercenters, can be approved by staff

			registration alone if it checks the boxes without notice to neighbors, or a hearing and no vote. In Northern Virginia, residents now living with a constant industrial hum will tell you the projects that hurt them most were the ones nobody got to question first... If this amendment passes as written, the honest answer to what role will neighborhoods have? is for most projects, none. Second: the strongest environmental language in this draft is voluntary. Closed-loop water cooling, the single most important water-conservation measure for these facilities is merely "encouraged." Waste-heat protections say "should," not "shall." And nowhere does the draft require operators to disclose how much water and power they'll actually consume. In The Dalles, Oregon, the public only learned a single company's data centers were drinking roughly a quarter of the city's water after a lawsuit forced it into the open. Louisville should never have to sue to learn what's flowing out of the Ohio river. Third: nothing here is permanent. These are Land Development Code text amendments, threaded with waivers, "relief," and Director discretion. So I'm asking directly: can a future administration weaken these protections administratively, or would it take ordinances and public votes? The public deserves that answer in writing - before, not after. Other cities asked these questions after the buildings went up, and they're paying for it. I'd rather Louisville ask now. Respectfully, Clare
384	Maloney	40272	Do not put a data center in Louisville. They are a waste of water, space, and building material. They are detrimental To the environment. I do not, under any circumstances, want any of my tax dollars to go towards funding the building or maintenance of a data center. When it hikes up our water bills and our electric bills, no one will be happy. No one wants a data center. No one wants our water and electric bills to be raised. Mind you, Louisville is already unhappy with copper being stolen from streetlights and other infrastructural elements. Now you want to build a giant eyesore and fill it with copper? Let me know how that works out. Frankly, I think that if we are going to let multi-billion-dollar companies into Louisville to build data centers that are going to ruin the future of Louisville's environment, we should mandate them to pour an equal amount of money into positively impact Louisville. I don't just mean hiring people from Louisville, but real things that will make Louisville better for future generations. Make them put money into low-income housing, programming for Louisville youth, things that actually matter. We need things that will have an equal and opposite reaction. If they're going to come in and ruin our city, the least they can do it help rebuild it.
385	Smith	40204	We need more specific regulation for sound pollution and water usage. We also need more regulations on how close they can be to schools, libraries, forests, and residential areas.

386	Benz	40205	These enormous buildings destroy natural habitats, use an abundance of resources to construct, consume a staggering amount of water, and put an enormous strain on local electrical grids. In return, these facilities don't even offer the local residents much in the way of large scale employment opportunity (there are very few employees given the size and physical investment of these operations) nor significant contribution to the local economy in any material way. Architecturally, these buildings offer nothing of value and there are no logical re-use cases for these structures if/when technology moves onto the next thing and/or these companies abandon this model or go out of business. These large tech companies just want to steamroll smaller communities that are starving for any investment, but these corporations are not community partners or responsible stewards - they're looking for cheap land, natural resources, and utilities to increase their bottom lines. The city should focus on projects that invest in the community, bring high paying jobs, and have a responsible environmental impact.
387	Thielmeier	40214	For the average taxpayer in Kentucky there isn't any upside to the construction of more data centers in the state. At a time when thousands of us are already struggling to make ends meet, the relatively small number of jobs that these data centers will provide does nothing to offset the potential increases in utility costs that will ultimately be levied against us. They negatively impact the local water sources and the surrounding environments where they are built. They produce constant noise capable of disrupting the lives of people and local wildlife. Additionally, the majority of Kentuckians just don't care that much about AI.
388	Rae	40213	I support the proposed regulations and appreciate the considerations made of residents both in physical proximity and monetary impact.
389	Denny	40212	The lack of transparency and community input regarding these centers concerns me. Many exist in other parts of the country, and I'm not aware of regulations for them. In what way do they benefit the public, if at all.
390	Colasanti-Helms	40299	Due to the environmental impact of data centers, the placement of such structures should be significantly far away from residential areas so as to minimize the impact of existing homes/residents.
391	Douglas	40214	I generally don't want a data center anywhere near my home, like 10 miles minimum. They require an amount of power that citizens shouldn't have to burden. Water resources too. Both of these should be mitigated by the companies, as in they bolster the supply to offset what they use and become net neutral. I've heard they are quite loud as well so wherever they go, there will be a serious alteration of the local ecosystem.
392	Barnes	40207	I do NOT want data centers anywhere near my area nor in the Louisville metro area.
393	Garcia	40228	If data centers receive discounted electricity rates these rates should be disclosed. The discounted rates should not be subsidized by residential customers to aid the utility company. In addition, same approach for water usage. Moreover, noise pollution should be considered in the nearby neighborhoods. The data center should be designed with the community's wellbeing in mind and that includes noise studies and sound attenuation to be a part of the design not an afterthought.
394	Stevens	40228	Data centers are bad for communities and bad for the general public. They have no place in Louisville. They are privately owned and ran, and thus should not be given subsidies when they add nothing to the community. They

			must have strict water, air, and noise pollution regulations. They must PAY for their use of power and water. Do not thrust the cost onto the residents of the city. WE do not want them in the first place.
395	Murphy	40214	I am totally against these data centers. So many people are. Why is our community representatives moving forward with them? Such a simple question with no clear answer forthcoming. No is a complete sentence.
396	Carlson	40206	I'm worried about the water usage of data centers and how that will be monitored. I'm also concerned about potential increases in utilities.
397	Kincaid	40014	Developing Data Centers without out strong policies and laws is just asking for corporate greed to ruin local residents cost of living and peace of life. Kentucky is beautiful because of how green it is, don't ruin it with Data Centers.
398	Lee	40206	Do not grandfather any projects in. If this goes through the community review process and approval by Metro Council, it should apply retroactively. More importantly, there should be no NDAs allowed in this matter for any elected officials or their staff. If information can't be disclosed, then there should not be negotiations. Thank you.
399	Jones	40217	I think these regulations should be retroactively applied to the West End data center. It is incredibly inequitable to allow that massive data center to move forward and then put these regulations in for other neighborhoods. I think there should be clear and on-going penalties for violations that make it financially undesirable to break the regulations. Add a regulation on how closely these centers are built together, so that we don't have a whole area dominated by them. Add clearer and more specific environmental protections including water usage.
400	Schroerlucke	40219	Those in support of data centers think they will provide jobs, hiring people in the area. So include, how many employees do they expect to hire and out of that number how many do they expect to employ from the region and in what capacity?maybe even have them partner with colleges and kentuckiana works. Will they agree to recycling electronics as well as paper and other normal stuff that is recycled? We don't want our landfill filled with batteries and electronics. Get approval before removing trees or green spaces that are habitats for animals. We may need them to agree to an energy and waste audit 3 times a year. If their energy use goes up some entity needs to review the impact that has on energy provided to the rest of us.

			Finally, stipulate their tax obligation and how they will give back to the community.
401	Jordan	4024	Federal law prohibits using Chinese parts for construction.Shut it down.
402	Perkins	40215	As a resident, I have heard only overwhelming opposition to hyperscale data centers in our community. As a Taylor-Berry resident, I am very concerned about water and energy consumption. I'm concerned about how data centers will impact my utility bills and if our infrastructure can accommodate the massive consumption needs of expanded telecommunications hotels. Extractive corporations skillfully design projects to fit just within the guidelines, squeezing out maximum profits for their shareholders while offering the least possible benefit to the adjoining communities. Without anti-circumvention language, developers may simply redesign projects to suit their insatiable profit motive, at the expense of our natural resources. I hope Metro Council will vote against inviting data centers into our city and instead look at ways we can be more responsible stewards of the land Louisville is situated on.
403	Kang Bartlett	40207	There should be a moratorium on all data centers! The negative impacts on water quality, water supply, and cost to consumers, electrical demand and cost to consumers, sound pollution (including low and high-pitched health harming noises), and the use of land which could be used in other ways to improve life in Louisville are not worth the minimal tax revenues, few jobs, and economic stimulus these centers would provide. NO DATA CENTERS!
404	Caudill	40241	I'm concerned about pollution and cost. If a Data Center uses evaporative cooling, what happens to the minerals left behind after evaporation? How will the center's large need for water impact citizens and other payers of water bills? I'm glad to know the centers will be required to furnish their own electricity, but will that generation create air pollution? How will it be mitigated?
405	Eicher	40229	We do not want data centers in our community. We don't want data centers near our community. We don't want data centers draining our precious water and electric resources. We don't want them contributing to noise pollution, light pollution, or further worsening the Louisville Heat Island. We don't want data centers running our utility invoices. We don't want TJ reelect any politicians unless they absolutely oppose placement of data centers in our state.
406	Reid	40207	There should be transparency about the specific expected tax revenue new data centers would be bringing in. What are the potential costs and how might those costs (whether monetary or otherwise) erode the net revenue/benefit, and more importantly where would that revenue ultimately be expected to go, ie what would it pay for. Don't just say "\$X tax revenue expected", specify "\$X tax revenue, of which \$Y amount is expected to help pay for such-and-such service", etc.

407	Hartlage	40214	Louisville should have a moratorium on any data center's approval until the community has engaged in a review of local land codes and regulations to make sure that these data centers will not harm Louisvillians and the land. Data centers have been shown to consume more energy and raise utility bills, use millions of gallons of water per day, pollute the air, cause heat islands, and create unrelenting noise. Stop all data centers and put the people's wellbeing first.
408	Williams	40204	We are now learning about some alarming health impacts related to residents adjacent to Data Centers. We need to keep these away from our community. We also already have way too many of these popping up in the US, far more than any other country.
409	Gardner	40203	I don't believe they should be built. The environmental set back is astronomical. The noise. We deserve to live in peace. Our water supply. I do t want them digging a well and taking any of our water. We are in climate change. The electrical impacts will affect people's health and sleep. People are made with electrical currents that run through our body. This will affect that. There are people like me, that energy affects . I don't want it here period. Our state is known for the peaceful rolling Kentucky fields and now they are going to put something so violent in many ways here. Why??? Because we are the poorest state and they can abuse us....
410	Jaffe	40241	All electricity usage impact to current customers for each additional center. Not evaluated o. Individual basis. Must require water conservation as prerequisite.
411	Sogan	47130	PLEASE STOP ALL DATA CENTERS! Data centers are destroying our natural resources. Less farmland = less food, poisoned water = fatalities. Less trees to soak up the flooding waters. Less trees to help fight erosion. Less wildlife habitats. More light pollution, more poisoning of people and wildlife, higher temperatures, higher bills, and who knows what else. These data centers will eventually destroy everything we allow them. It takes 100s of years for these Forrests to grow that machines knock down in minutes. We can not in this lifetime rebuild what these developers are destroying for the sake of putting data centers. We don't need more data. We don't need more computers, we need to be able to survive. If we kill the planet, we all die. Look at what's happening across the country at all the tragic events happening around the places data centers are being built. People getting sick, not having access to water, wells being emptied, livestock dying, wildlife losing everything, etc. Please STOP THE DATA CENTERS.
412	Conn	40241	Absolutely NO data centers! The issue is not just cost, but degradation of groundwater and other environmental hazards, as well as light and sound pollution. Also, I am concerned about a tight, nationwide network of surveillance on American citizens, and what bad actors (which may include government contractors, such as the menacing Palantir) will do with it. Palantir CEO Alex Karp's terrifying aspirations, including a literal intention to "kill" whomever Palantir deems deserving of it, are well-known. He himself has said exactly this. The U.S. already has many, many times the number of data centers as every other country. We do NOT need more, for the above-named reasons. Do not allow Louisville/Jefferson County to be party to this frightening, destructive trend.
413	Kelly	40204	All zoning should consider the compounded impact of multiple centers. Water bills and electricity bills should not have a rate hike due to data centers, there should be a responsibility of the data centers to cover part of residential water and electricity for the inconvenience they create for surrounding communities, especially

			considering the provide minimal jobs for the community and take up real-estate that could be utilize by a business that would employ people locally. Sound and light pollution have to be considered for local communities. There needs to be a guaranteed that they will mitigate any harm they create to the water supply and air. We have the technology, people's health are worth investing in.
414	Aster	40215	I do not want a data center any near my neighborhood. Data center have been raising electricity costs, and temperature of surrounding areas, not to mention using up and wasting water, causing some areas to have little to no water flow in their houses or causing the water to be brown and unusable. Please put the citizens of Louisville first and cancel this data center completely.
415	Stephan	40059	Data centers benefit only very wealthy corporations and individuals. They are unsightly and no one wants to live near them especially since there is no benefit to most of us. I agree that they should be restricted to areas that are either blighted or already industrial. Farmland should not be destroyed to replace data centers. I agree that the utilities should not be allowed to charge existing ratepayers to subsidize the very heavy energy use these centers require. BY the way, LG&E has a hard time maintaining reliable a supply of electricity to its residential customers; how are they going to do so for these centers?
416	Butcher	40217	Data centers causing more harm than good. We have been just fine where we are with technology. SERIOUSLY no need to be greedy and trying to poison humans for technology advancement. I want my kids kids and kids to enjoy life without worrying about water pollution cancers ugly poisonous structures where trees, homes, or playgrounds need to be instead.
417	Campbell	40220	Data centers in Louisville are unnecessary and will not bring any value to the area. They are costly, disturb nature, and pollute the environment. Louisville prides itself on having excellent quality water and also has gorgeous Kentucky greenery. Building data centers threatens the livelihood of our citizens and wildlife. KEEP DATA CENTERS OUT OF LOUISVILLE.
418	French	40222	I applaud all of these measures to restrict any and all data centers AND to make sure that homeowners and business owners don't have to pay any of their costs.
419	Woods	40219	I do NOT want ANY data centers in Louisville, KY. There are so many very critical downsides to one of those facilities: water, electricity, noise & environmental pollution, & the increases in costs for residents. Other countries are using oceans to mitigate some of the issues. The US needs to act smarter & develop places & systems that don't create the current issues. Please DO NOT bring data centers into our county! Thank you
420	Gingerich	40220	I believe these regulations are a step in the right direction. I think ai datacenters have no place in Louisville.
421	LaPerle	40214	I oppose data centers in Louisville. I read the report which looks good on paper but on the national news I hear concerns about how data centers are impacting the community. I think more should be done on how all of this impacts people living in the city. As a senior citizen, 74 years old, I work part-time to supplement my social security check. Energy costs are higher and I'm concern the data centers will place an additional burden on us.
422	Martin	40211	We should ban data centers.

			Data centers (especially the hyperscale ones) use water- and power-hungry server farms. Data centers are bad. In the US, one-third of the world's internet traffic flows through data centers in Virginia. And that part of Virginia has higher utility bills, contaminated water, and worse health issues. Louisville/Kentucky will be victim of the same negative outcomes if we move forward with data center construction.
423	Meehan	40205	Large scale data centers have no place in our city. The long term effects are not known. There are obvious concerns about climate change and long term sustainability of water and power. Let those companies that want to build them come back when they have found solutions to already identified problems with water contamination and increases in power costs. They need to invest in those solutions before they come here.
424	Soukup	40272	I do NOT want a Data Center in Louisville. There are many reports of the affects that Data Centers can have on the environment as well as the community that lives around them. I repeat, I do NOT want a Data Center to be built in Louisville at all, please stop the development of something that is going to do nothing but hurt the citizens of this city! Thank you.
425	P	40299	I don't want a data center near signature point or across the Snyder. Middletown is too populated to take on the chemical and environmental impact of a data center. Data centers are bad for health and there are many schools and young kids in this area.
426	lavender	40210	Data centers, are not wanted here because they use up too much Louisville water company potable drinking water. A typical data center will use up 175,000 gallons of water monthly and paying for only 10% of that amount consumed. That amount of water consumption cools the dater center super computer, then, only to see the drinking water evaporated into the sky! The water consumed by the data center super computer will never be returned locally.
427	Dyke	40206	We would rather give up our mobile phones, laptops, internet instead of having data centers. How are you not picking up what we're laying down for you? We DO NOT want Data Centers IN KENTUCKY!!!!!!!!!! HOW MANY TIMES DO WE HAVE TO SPELL IT OUT FOR YOU? Do you think you are a good person? Do you think you're going to go to heaven? Do you think the earth will ever forgive you? Do you think the wild life thinks you're sorry for killing them, their young and their habitats? How TF do you sleep at night? Trying to put data centers in Kentucky. Do you think you're a mentally competent person? Are you sure? How many fucking times do we have to say no?
428	Cyrus	40241	I am strongly opposed to having any AI data centers built in Louisville. These huge centers pollute water, pollute the air and the noise is horrible too. They also cause property values to go down for nearby homes and businesses and make it so no one would be able to sell their home if they ever wanted to move. We do need clean water and air but we do not need any huge data centers. People have experienced asthma and other health concerns who had similar data centers built in their towns. Other places have seen huge amounts of destruction of the land to where it looks like a horrible dead zone where no plants or animals can survive. Cancel the current data center project near Campground Road and make laws so no new projects are approved.

			Thank you
429	Cyrus	40241	I would like a moratorium to stop current construction of the data center in Louisville and laws or ordinances passed to stop any data centers being built in the Louisville area no matter what size they are. They cause horrible noise, water pollution, air pollution and they cause medical issues for people living nearby. We depend on the Ohio River for our drinking water and need to protect it from this horrible center
430	Spear	40213	They must be banned! They are a danger to people, health, the environment and would explode utilities. The only people benefiting from them are the ultra-wealthy and corrupt/greedy politicians. They must be stopped!
431	Druck	40216	I am highly upset over a DATA Center being built in the 40216 area near on or near the River on or off of Campground Road. THIS is too close to the River and is a FLOOD Zone, this will impact our water for this entire region and I refuse to pay for water for our already "old infrastructure" that has not been kept up to date for over 50 years and I am 67 years of age. The overall Pleasure Ridge Park area which includes the Flamingo Village where I live has not been fully upgraded since the 1950's when these areas were developed for housing from the older farmlands, The current flood ditch is not kept up via the Public work service and is up to the residence who live near the drainage ditch to keep the Flood Ditch upkept over the past 22 years which I have lived here. The sound of the Data center will also cause upset for all animals-ie family pets as well as people due to the noise it will verberate over this area and even across the Ohio River to Indiana region as well. If anything is built in this area then as a Tax Payer for my property whcih I have resided since May of 2004 off of Upper Hunters Trace and Lower Hunterrs Trace I will if need be be part of a Regional lawsuit to prevent this action from happening. If a data center is to be built anywhere, then as a Tax payer, home owner and long term resident of this area then the company will need to be made to pay property taxes, full water and noise compliance to prevent this from empacting the aforesaid area and wide spread areas this will impact.
432	coffey	40299	NO data center; be respectful to those being negatively impacted by this undesirable undertaking; tomC
433	Brown	40206	I feel strongly that data centers reduce the quality of life for residential home owners and damage our environment. I live in an older area of town that is beautiful with lots of old trees and is very quiet. I don't want a data center facility to ever change that, and no other homeowner does either. The noise and light pollution from data centers, along with the environmental damage they cause, should be strictly controlled, if they are built at all. Please enforce these regulations and ensure that data center development does not change our neighborhoods and disrupt our city.
434	Brown	40206	Please enforce these clear regulations for data center development in our city. Louisville residents have not asked for these centers and they don't benefit us. They reduce our quality of life with light, noise, and damage to our environment. They are unsightly and will mar our neighborhoods and green spaces. We ask that these regulations been enforced and any data center developers be held accountable for adhering to them.

435	Conn	40241	Data Centers are the BANE of humanity! Please, Louisville, do NOT become party to their destruction and intrusion. Aside from the considerable and possibly irreversible harm to our water and the constant light and noise, they serve as points in a network of surveillance of U.S. citizens. This spying, in coordination with the building of profiles of all our people, threaten our very survival. Government contractor Palantir's CEO, Alex Karp, has even declared publicly (with chilling glee!) that Palantir has no problem "killing" people it deems deserving of death. This extra-judicial, extra-Constitutional lethal threat is terrifying and has NO PLACE in our society! The United States is already awash in data centers, many times more than any other country; so much for the "race" against other nations to build them. NO DATA CENTERS ANYWHERE IN KENTUCKY!
436	Schroerlucke	40219	Couldn't we just ban data centers from Metro Louisville? If not, can we limit it to one in the county and yes to an industrial area. More thoughts on policies. If our electrical system goes down, we need to prioritize reconnecting hospitals, nursing homes, residences, schools, other needed services, before reconnecting the data-center. That needs to be clear and they need to sign this understanding. If the drain on our water and sewage supply and infrastructure exceeds reasonable limits (should specify) then termination of license to operate will be initiated. For any reason, related to the well being of Louisville Metro or broken trust, we can end the agreement and require removal of the data center, not to be replaced with another data center. Need a caveat to break the agreement in case something comes up that we had not anticipated. Agreement and license to operate is yearly, to be evaluated, renewed or terminated as is appropriate. Honestly, I don't understand how we benefit and even want these centers in Louisville Metro, an urban area? I would love to read or hear specific benefits.
437	LONEY	40207	These hyper data centers are already impacting resident's health affecting their respiratory, neurological & cardio systems & are not even operating at full capacity, as noted in Texas & Mississippi. They are demanding NDAs & operating under shell companies & permits being rushed before residents even are aware & coupled with no regulations or oversight, this needs to stop immediately. These corporations should have to ensure guardrails bet inlace to safeguard our health & ecosystem before anymore are built & the existing ones are fixed. Instead of listening to the developers & politicians, listen to & consult with the scientific community & environmental experts. A nation wide moratorium is needed now

438	Tong	40217	I'm given to understand that the Louisville government exists to support safe neighborhoods, positive job outlooks, and good quality of life. Across the country we're seeing that data center projects do not provide jobs to locals, protect community safety, or promote physical or mental wellbeing. To that end, any data center projects should unequivocally face public hearing. With such impactful projects, community member involvement is the bare minimum. Additionally, environmental considerations should be of paramount importance, and never optional. With air quality and water shortage concerns, we need to prioritize the longterm health of our land and the people who live here. Finally, citizens need to be able to trust that data center regulations will protect their health and interests long-term. The language should be definite and permanent.
439	Tong	40217	I'm given to understand that the Louisville government exists to support safe neighborhoods, positive job outlooks, and good quality of life. Across the country we're seeing that data center projects do not provide jobs to locals, protect community safety, or promote physical or mental wellbeing. To that end, any data center projects should unequivocally face public hearing. With such impactful projects, community member involvement is the bare minimum. Additionally, environmental considerations should be of paramount importance, and never optional. With air quality and water shortage concerns, we need to prioritize the longterm health of our land and the people who live here. Finally, citizens need to be able to trust that data center regulations will protect their health and interests long-term. The language should be definite and permanent.
440	Daniels	40210	i DO NOT BELIEVE THAT IT SHOULD BE PUT IN THE WESTEND ON CAMPGROND ROAD. There enough manufactors in this local. There is the forever burning flame which at times have the entire community smelling horrible, MSD and the Waterwaste company on southwestern parkway. All of the local politicians and everyone whom is iinvolved with this project need to do is take a ride down Camp Ground Road to see and smell. WHY DOES EVERYTHING HAVE TO BE PUT IN OUR COMMUNITY? We are sick and tired of being the DUMP community. They did not put it in the East End we do not want it hear. Yes i am a consistent voter so I will be looking and watching to see what happensP
441	Heick	40245	Will this facility operation cause higher water and/or higher LG&E bills?
442	Wademan	40220	I think inhouse data centers are different from the proposed Data Center (s) of today. It also seems as though a back door has been added to the requirements for data centers, with a conditional use permit offered. The promise of jobs on both the front end and back end of the project are the carrot before the horse.

			The use of water, and electricity, the pollution created and the noise levels are a deterrent for my vote for a data center in Louisville.
443	Roke	40241	With the large footprint of these centers, require them to install solar panels on the roof in order to reduce their impact on the existing on the existing electrical network.
444	Finley	40204	We don't want this project to move forward in our city. This is a gift that our elected officials are trying to make happen. The optics of a Harvard educated mayor trying to shit a hyperscale data center in one of the most poverty stricken areas of our city is disgusting. If the Metro government moves forward with this, there will be legal challenges. The voters will speak our mind at the ballot box. I will personally contact the state government and request an independent review of the mayor and city council's decision to approve this. I think your financials should be reviewed extensively to confirm that there was no foul play involved in this decision making process. I think the legislature should limit the ability of any public employee who was involved in this process to retire from public life and suddenly get a lucrative job at a tech company. It is a shame that our government, headed by an Ivy League graduate with a smile that could make even the bravest toddler weep, is selling out the people of this city. Putting a data center in the lowest income and blackest part of the city. I have a fucking computer science degree, folks. This isn't going to help the economy or create long term jobs. It's going to line the pockets of the already wealthy. You people should be ashamed of yourselves. Consider taking a long walk off of a short cliff.
445	Todd	40245	I strongly oppose the approval of additional data centers in our county. These facilities bring significant demands on water, electricity, and infrastructure while providing relatively few long-term jobs. I ask county leaders to prioritize development that better serves residents and preserves the character and sustainability of our community. I am concerned that large data centers will place enormous demands on our power grid and water resources. Residents should not bear the burden of higher utility costs or reduced reliability because of infrastructure built primarily to support private corporations. I am not opposed to technology, but I am opposed to sacrificing our community's resources and quality of life for developments that offer limited local benefits. Growth should serve residents first. I urge our elected officials to prioritize responsible development and vote against additional data centers in our county.
446	D	40216	We have no need for data centers. They are doing nothing but taking up land that could be used for a multitude of other things like housing. They are extremely loud and you are trying to put one right beside a neighborhood that will have to hear the constant buzzing the center will emit. There is absolutely no need for ai in the world
447	Gass	40214	All data centers need to use a closed cooling system to prevent water mismanagement and lessen the overall environmental impact on the watershed of the region.
448	Mason	40272	I agree with the proposals presented. Data centers need more regulation and community approval.

449	Patton	40215	WAKE UP! Please see what these centers have done in other states Colorado, Georgia etc. and work in our behalf to STOP THESE DATA CENTERS. Don't let them turn our state and eventually our entire country into drained water wastelands that are creating extreme financial and health issues for the people.
450	Taylor	40206	No data centers!
451	Schook	40208	I and a consensus of Louisville citizens do not want this data center. We are all concerned about the cost of electricity increasing like it has in almost every place data centers have been installed. The environmental impact is also a major concern of mine. The sound pollution and water usage is egregious. They are known to pollute the air nearby as well. I will not support any elected official if they choose to support the building of this data center. Do what is right and enact a moratorium or ban now.
452	Lowell	40214	I, along with many Louisville residents, do not want this data center built in our community. We are deeply concerned about the potential increase in electricity costs, as similar projects have been associated with rising energy prices in many areas where large data centers have been established. The environmental impact is also a major concern. Excessive water consumption, noise pollution, and potential air quality impacts are all issues that deserve serious consideration. These facilities place significant demands on local resources, and many residents believe the costs outweigh the benefits. I will not support any elected official who chooses to support the construction of this data center. I urge you to do what is right for the people of Louisville and enact a moratorium or ban on this project before it moves forward.
453	Stanton	40215	Data Centers at a distance, with appropriate architecture/design to recycle 99%+ of its cooling water, require the "owner/operator" to cover any increase in consumer utility costs above 1.5% per year for 10 years, and increase tax for USAGE of data by 2-5% to cover liabilities.
454	Pielemeier	47130	I oppose the general ban of any definition of data centers. Data centers should be reviewed on an individual basis and any development plan and approval should ensure that the infrastructure improvements costs should be passed on to the developers and operators of such facilities. The general public should be a beneficiary of said improvements and more reliable infrastructure without financial impact. All data centers should ensure a noise canceling structure to prevent environmental impact or public nuisance.
455	Scheidt	40216	Nobody wants these. They leach PFAs into the water, which are chemicals that stay in your body forever. The pollution heavily damages the ecosystem. The noises are disturbing.
456	Moscoe	40205	I, along with many Louisville residents, do not want a data center built in our community. I am deeply concerned about the potential increase in electricity costs, as similar projects have been associated with rising energy prices in many areas where large data centers have been established. The environmental impact is also a major concern. Excessive water consumption, noise pollution, and potential air quality impacts are all issues that deserve serious consideration. These facilities place significant demands on local resources, and I believe the costs outweigh the benefits.

			I will not support any elected official who chooses to support the construction of a data center in Louisville. I urge you to prioritize the health and wellbeing of members of this community and ban data center construction here.
457	Luckett	40229	Their are so many EMPTY WAREHOUSES why not use them
458	Metcalfe	40272	They should not be allowed!!! They are destroying the environment, drastically changing the water and electricity bills for local residents and are a nuisance with their constant noise pollution!
459	Townsend	40203	Yeah...we don't want them here! Using up our utilities when cost of living gas already gone up and polluting the land. The lights and noise alone from these centers represent a marked decrease in quality of life and ambiance for adjacent residents and local wildlife alike. This does not bring a lot of jobs or other benefits to citizens. It only makes Louisville look like fools and suckers. I will absolutely vote against any policy OR politician in favor of building a data center in this city!!!
460	Schoenbachler	40223	While I think the proposal details are a great start, I would like to see a TOTAL BAN on any new data centers in Louisville Metro/Kentucky/USA/the world but I realize that won't happen. So I add my comments to the proposal's main points below - "Ban hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet" - Yes! to banning any hyper-scale DC, although 500,000 SF is still a huge facility. I think the point about "requiring any proposed developments to be located in industrial areas while smaller-scale facilities that support existing employers and business needs would be allowed in limited areas" could be improved by requiring any proposed data centers to re-use existing developed areas instead of destroying undeveloped land; this point is vitally important - "Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers" - and have policies in place to protect the public if their promises are false; "Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required" - This should apply to any and ALL developments, regardless of the purpose; "Limit data center development to designated industrial areas" - again, there are many sites that could be redeveloped, rather than ruining more natural sites; "Require environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices" - again this should be required on ALL developments!; Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities - - so very important!; Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations - if they don't meet the requirements, just deny them;

			Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment - YES!; Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development - good proposal, but can we trust them to be transparent?; and Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction - why is this not a requirement for ALL developments?
461	Hackett	40245	1. 500,000 Sq. ft. limit may be too low. How did you come up with this number? What size are current facilities being constructed? 6. This statement is vague and subject to many opinions and should be stricken unless it can be quantified. 11. The prevailing construction wage should be stricken from this document since it is a political softball supported by unions and would tend to negatively influence site selection and could drive up construction project costs. The local government sends a signal that it is not business friendly and wants to interfere with free market choices.
462	Collins	40205	Data centers are coming and are necessary for growth. The main worry is they will increase utility bills. Just don't let the utilities companies increase their rate to the average homeowner by more than the inflation rate. Make them and business users pay for the infrastructure costs. Louisville has the one thing they need the most....,water. For electricity go small nuclear plants. Barret Collins
463	Hembree	40219	These are a huge mistake. No one realizes how taxing these will be on our power grid. They will suck so much power out of a system that is already being dragged down by "environmental" regulations. Solar farms don't work. Wind?? It requires so much diesel to build, maintain, & repair those hideous fans that are killing bird life. We can barely burn coal. And everyone is convinced that nuclear is dangerous. So what will the tax paying residents be forced to deal with?? Rolling brownouts?? Or maybe even worse, we're asked to create our own energy source.
464	Gunter	40216	Substantial separation and other restrictions should also apply to hospitals and nursing homes. Penalties for violations of the ordinance should be included in the ordinance. Safety, security and fire safety/response requirements should be included in the ordinance.. Could something be included in the ordinance regarding cleanup/decommissioning of the facility if closed?
465	Nathan	40205	Please add language to the regulations that prohibits facilities (including data centers) capable of using of more than 20 megawatts of electricity.
466	young	40207	Absolutely OPPOSED to data centers in Louisville and Jefferson County

467	Sobotka	40220	Data centers have been cropping up at an alarming rate, mostly thanks to the rapidly expanding AI industry. Giving an inch to AI infrastructure harms real people, the environment, and our communities. While establishing boundaries for small-scale centers sounds appealing and beneficial, it's still allowing this infrastructure to invade and poses serious risks to the physical, environmental, and financial health of everyone in Kentucky. What will you do to combat water and electric increases while our water supply becomes full of the runoff from data centers and our grid becomes overwhelmed? What about the heat they produce and the land requirements? Please I urge you not to give an inch because this kind of infrastructure and the people behind it will take more than a mile. They do not care about us, only profits.
468	Hayden	40220	I don't want any data centers in Louisville. It uses our energy, and fresh water. It also contributes to climate change. Please consider the people of Louisville vs. corporations.
469	Tucker	40272	I DO NOT support a data center being built. These facilities negatively impact the lives of families who live in lower income areas disproportionately and destroy the environment by pumping forever chemicals into our non-renewable water. No citizen of Louisville who is informed of the impact will be in favor of this, especially considering how much our mayor stands to financially gain from this after already selling our city to corporate investors all these years. NO AI EVER.
470	Karl	40204	I do not want a data center in our county. They are horrible for the environment and our neighbors, whether it is in my neighborhood or someone else's.
471	Luna	40205	I am against building data centers of any size shape and form in not only our county, the state or the nation at large. It's not up for discussion. Not now, not ever.
472	Alberhasky	40216	I live on Lake Dreamland Drive, just literally seconds from these new data centers being built. I am extremely anxious and uneasy about the effects that come with this data centers once complete. The noise and vibration levels are being heard in other states from these data centers up to 5 miles away, can you imagine being one of the people living seconds from this center? Well, it's not only myself, but my fiance, my 4 year old son, our 2 young dogs and 1 cat. They will ALL be disrupted. Not to mention the rise in electric bills and decrease in good CLEAN water supply (which is something LOUISVILLE PRIDES ITSELF WITH). Anyone supporting this is NOT living within 5 miles of the center being built, therefore will not be affected by any of this, and does not give a crap about the lower class (WORKING CLASS) and what happens to them. SHAME ON ALL OF YOU.
473	Murphy	40258	Please do not put data centers in our community. My son is autistic and with the lack of research around what damage these data centers cause I am extremely concerned about him. Our health is so important. Please don't do this.
474	Jones	40215	No data centers at all!!
475	Miller	40219	This is horrible for the community and our environment. No data centers in KY!
476	Miller	40272	We do not need data centers, they spoil the environment and do not add the jobs people need
477	Back	40213	I am AGAINST ANY DATA CENTERS! It has already been proven that these "data centers" cause health issues with people and wildlife! I believe it is WRONG to force this on citizens and taxpayers! You tax is to death already I am fed up with decisions being approved because of the MIGHTY DOLLAR and a few votes y'all want you don't care about us NO DATA CENTERS

478	Bryan	40272	We dont want or need data centers. They are a menace to neighborhoods
479	O'Connell	40243	I think the footprint of each data center should be no more 100,000 sq. ft. Also, there should be a minimum distance between each proposed data center, 3 miles being my recommendation.
480	Harrison	40243	My idea is NOT building any Data Centers. We do NOT WANT THEM and we do NOT NEED them. They are using our precious resources, that are non renewable. This planet needs water, HUMANS and animals need water. DATA CENTERS DO NOT NEED TO USE OUR WATER. I am outraged that this is even being considered
481	Pendleton	40214	NO DATA CENTERS IN JEFFERSON COUNTY!!!!!!
482	Shearer	40214	There are many reasons that data centers should be banned close to population centers. NO to data centers!
483	Shearer	40214	There are many reasons that data centers should be banned close to population centers. NO to data centers!
484	Leisure	40214	As you can see from my zip code, I am close to the proposed Data Center on Campground Road. We also own other properties in the area and are very concerned about its potential negative effects. Please not move forward with ANY Data Centers in Louisville!
485	Hardesty	40272	Do not build any data centers! We do not need them, they are unnecessary. Data centers will cause more problems than anything good they could do. If anybody in Kentucky allows data centers, they are not for the people but for their pockets and do not deserve to represent Kentucky.
486	Lamb	40272	I don't like data centers. Uses too much water and electric. The world is already hot enough. We have to think about our children. I don't understand why we need data centers. Government needs to stay out of our business.
487	Norton	40206	Policies and mandates should require tech companies to build on-site or off-grid generation facilities—including solar power, microgrids, and battery storage—to ensure these facilities supply their own power and can even feed energy back to the community
488	Bryant	40258	Require data centers to be only in industrial areas, require contractors to pay for infrastructure upgrades, prevent taxpayers from paying any cost
489	Mattingly	40258	We do not need any data centers. We need government to come clean on purpose of these centers. They are going to eventually control us. They will know everything about you. They don't care about long term effect it will have on people. The sounds, the water and electricity use is horrible on its own. Keep them out of our neighborhoods. It's amazing how fast it has been decided that we MUST have more and more. The average person doesn't have a clue what these are designed for.
490	May	40216	We do not want data centers in our city. There is an unacceptable environmental impact. The excessive water and power usage, the loss of land, the noise pollution are all unacceptable. The residents of Louisville should not be expected to pay higher costs for electricity and water due to corporate usage. Residents should also not be responsible for upgrading the power grid due to the strain these data centers cause. These upgrades are paid for from fees and charges that we pay, which is not fair. All of these problems impact the average citizen of our city, mostly impacting our pocketbooks. Corporations are getting huge tax incentives to build their centers and we get stuck paying the bills. We can not afford these data centers and we don't want them in Louisville.
491	Woolsey	40204	- The scale that is allowed is still WAY too big. Typically hyperscale facilities are over 10,000 sq ft. with at least 5,000 servers.

			- Closed loop cooling should not just be encouraged, it should be required. This is becoming a pretty standard requirement in areas getting interest from data centers. - In general, a LOT of the "standards" are too squishy and have no teeth. A lot of using words like "encourage" and "should" instead of mandating language. Very vague things like "minimize impervious surfaces" or "maximize tree preservation" that doesn't establish any real standard to review against. - Should have separation requirements from more uses like zoos/animal sanctuaries/rescues and correctional facilities. - There needs to be more assurances about the enforcement of the requirements. Like if the developer's evidence that utility costs won't shift turns out to be wrong, what happens then? One of Louisville Metro's biggest issues is enforcement because they don't have the capacity required to actually enforce things they need to e.g. short-term rentals. Having standards on the books is meaningless to residents if there is no enforcement. - Look at standards implemented in communities like Cheyenne, WY. They also have a great page on their city website about data centers that is very useful for residents to get information about data centers and what the city is doing to try to mitigate impacts and protects the residents. - Utah is a great state to look at for lessons learned because they were not prepared.
492	Young	40291	These data centers that are being built all around the US do not need to come anywhere near Kentucky. The long and short term damages of these data centers are going to be non reversible. Energy costs are going to rise, water is going to become more polluted, the level of noise that these centers bring to neighborhoods is unnecessary. These data centers are also unprofitable and bring no good things to the area. Stop any consideration of these data centers now.
493	Peterson	40299	Please remove it from consideration. We do not need, nor want a data center in our area, county, region, state.
494	Essex	40207	Prohibit the selling of publicly owned land for these purposes. Require that these data centers lease compute power/time to local customers. Prohibit bribery of private land owners. To ensure that data center operators are accountable and responsible - Make leases/contracts difficult to comply with, and easy to cancel. Require that the owners of the data centers be local or at least provide direct services to the local population (e.g. fund public infrastructure projects) - we do not want out of state businesses taking our land and giving nothing in return.

			500,000 sqft is too big. Limit the max sqft more. An outright ban of hyperscale data centers should be seriously considered. These facilities are being built to supposedly accommodate the rise of "AI", but the negative impacts of these data centers (and "AI") are far more demonstrable than any benefits that "AI" purports to offer. We should not be sacrificing our quality of life and our natural resources for the benefit of crypto-fraudsters, and billionaires. It is no coincidence that people like that are the loudest voices in support of these data centers. Open-source, local models are a thing, and they require nothing more than a reasonably powerful desktop computer to be very effective. Decision makers in this process need to educate themselves about the origins of the technology, the motives of the wealthy financiers who want these data centers, the "AI" bubble (which might mean these datacenters lie vacant in a few years), and AI's seriously catastrophic impact on education, and the scam that is cryptocurrency. Take off the rose-tinted glasses, and realize that Marc Andreessen's "techno-optimism" that is so prevalent in mainstream discourse around AI and these data centers has its roots in Accelerationist ideology, and the deification of people like Benito Mussolini. It is a kind of proto-fascism, much like how the Italian futurists morphed into fascists over time. These hyper scale data centers do not provide for the people of Jefferson County, and therefore should be extremely strictly regulated if not outright banned.
495	Vest	40272	Data Centers destroy the planet. We do not want them in our city or our state.
496	Crislin	40216	I don't want data center in my community. Drain the electric and water in our area. Data center are deleting jobs human or citizens in our country should be doing instead of robotics or Robots . I weep for the future. Oh, it's really sad, when people are lazy to drive a vehicle. Plus killing owners when vehicles are on AI mode.
497	Hellin	40014	I have learned that the reason data centers claim they need so much water is for the sake of saving their own costs, at the expense of the environment. Water is NOT needed to cool them, just as they are capable of making their own power (which should be a requirement) they should be prohibited from consuming any water. There should also ABSOLUTELY NOT be any confidentiality agreements. Everything about the data centers and funding, kickbacks and anything else that could have been used to sway a public official into agreeing to this should be public knowledge. Data centers should NOT be placed in any area that is not already zoned for industrial and SHOULD NOT break ground in any place that is inhabited by people. Not on/near farmland, conservation areas, parks, residential or any place that is frequented by pedestrians. I think letting these companies build wherever they want and use/contaminate the areas resources with zero accountability is the biggest issue people have. We want the whole truth upfront, responsible placement and respect for the environment. We have been told our water is a finite resource forever, and now we're being told these data centers are going to pollute this resource at

			an astounding rate, to the point of zero reuse. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A CLOSED LOOP WATER COOLING SYSTEM. They absolutely should provide their own power and not be linked into our power grid. Taxpayers are already being removed from their homes by force and expenses caused by data centers. I am not opposed to data centers, I am opposed to letting a predatory company install a cancerous tumor on our land. They can and should be made to spare no expense on the effort of making a zero footprint. We are all responsible for what planet we leave for our children.
498	Taylor	40214	Should not be close to houses ans we should not have to pay higher water and electric bills. We can't take anymore
499	Davis	40258	We don't need a data center. We don't need containment water and it has a big affect on electric grids.
500	Haycraft	40258	Living near a data center negatively impacts the daily life and property of our community. Major concerns include relentless noise pollution, air pollution from backup generators, high water consumption, heavy industrial truck traffic, and potential increases in your local utility bills. Data centers will destroy our environment and our city!!
501	Amburgey	40272	We do not want this in our city, this will not only drastically affect the water sources and environment but causes so much more difficulties for our children in the years to come.
502	Cyrus	40241	An environmental impact study needs to be done before any data centers are built. No AI data centers should be built in Jefferson County. They will pollute our water and air causing medical issues. Noise pollution is another issue and another reason these should not be built in Jefferson County. Homes near these in other states have seen their property values dramatically decrease and no one would buy their homes if they try to sell them. We need clean water, clean air and areas that have plenty of trees and plants and birds. We do not need AI centers in Jefferson County that is a horrible place ruining the land, environment and people's lives who have homes nearby. Other states that have these show no plants, animals or birds can live nearby because it caused a dead area all around the data center
503	Owens	40258	Seen a news presentation where water samples were taken from around other data sites. Water and soil were contaminated. Don' t go barefoot or consume products grown in soil around so many miles. The person was testifying before a committee in D.C.. Mike Mulrooney also had a panel discussion . Discussing the number of cancer cases out in this area was high.; especially pediatric Number of autoimmune diseases are greater in this end of Louisville. Lady down the street came down with MS. My sister has lupus. I have Autoimmune thyroid problem. Just on my street. My neighbor has moved from here now to Indians so did her mom and son. My older brother that grew up here died from brain cancer. My younger brother had lymphoma that spread throughout his body. He died 2023. Just to much to ignore and say these places that alter the water, soil and air out here is not causing breakdown on the cellular level My neighbor that worked at BF.Goodrich retired at 40 on disability. He can do little to nothing. His quality of life was greatly diminished.

			They move in here and take no responsibility for the health of those in surrounding area. Mostly in areas like the South and Western parts of the city. Lower income and lower property values. Ten to twenty years we will see the results and there will be no way to reverse the bad effects. Think the negatives far outweigh the positives here.
504	Lewis	40291	We know that Data Centers use an extensive amount of water and Electricity. With all of the new growth of Hotels downtown and new subdivisions and new growth in Jefferson Co. how is LG&E and the Water Co. going to be able to adequately serve our Customers? Infrastructure is the key thing here.
505	Knoght	40272	Where will this be ?
506	Crane	40220	NO DATA CENTERS IN OR AROUND LOUISVILLE! My baby deserves to live in a healthy environment.
507	Kiaurakis	40208	After much research of my own and reading on the research done by my fellow peers and superiors alike, I truly do not think Jefferson County needs data centers. Specifically and especially hyper data centers. The cons outweigh the pros and there any benefit that came from them would be easily covered by the cons.
508	Miller	40272	My concern is what effect it will have on our environment .Secondly, what is this going to cost the taxpayers in the long run. Also, what area are being considered what is describes as limited ares for smaller scale operations.
509	Probst	40272	I don't know anything about them yet
510	Thomas	40206	I think we shouldn't have any. They waste water and our water is clean so it shouldn't be going to. Places are not human or animal life. Al data centers don't need clean water
511	Robinson	40272	Data centers are not for anywhere in jefferaon county. Research has shown a higher incidence of illness,genetic diseases and cancers due to these centers. Water contamination and the amount of electricity used is offset by the community.
512	Peden	40213	There should be absolutely no data centers built in or near our city. Just the cost of energy usage alone is enough of a deterrent but then when you take into account the health impacts, environmental damage, compromising of our water, noise pollution, devaluing of nearby property and lack of jobs created only destroyed - I see NO net positive for the people of this city. I've always heard to follow the money trail and that just brings me back to developers who are making these centers pop up essentially under the cover of darkness, and why is that? Because they know it's not good for the people and they just want that money at any cost. Let's not sell out, let's be better than that.
513	Ryan	40211	Here's an idea, don't build a data center where there's already a life expectancy gap, bad air, toxic soil, and lack of resources.
514	manion	40272	I am against any and all Data Centers in Jefferson County and also the state of Kentucky. Once they are built and the damage is done there is no going back. Letthem be built in Alaska. I think it should be a public vote on a ballet form , not just left up to a public meeting. Let us show you what we think instead of people just being bought off . We all know this goes on and for sure would be covered up. Please stop this.
515	manion	40272	Make it a public vote at the voting stations and let the people tell you what they think before you ruin us and future generations. Once that is done there is no going back. We do not want these things in Jefferson Co or the state of Kentucky. I will never vote for anyone who wants this.

516	Lile	40216	If they want to build in the metro area, there needs to be a clear set of rules protecting the community and its residents against any negative impacts. There also needs to be a set of strong punishments for breaking those rules. All said, inside of the metro area there should not be any large data centers, only smaller ones that will have no negative impact.
517	Zickel	40118	Data centers will have a detrimental effect on all of the people in Fairdale. Putting a data center in the small town of Fairdale will not only affect businesses, but the people who have made this town a home. I have a young toddler who loves to play outside, along with tons of kids in the neighborhood. The noise pollution alone is enough to say no to data centers. Keep them out of Fairdale and Jefferson county.
518	Whitaker Jr	40258	The data centers being proposed are way too big, take up too many resources in the community and are too loud for communities.
519	Gordon	40272	NO DATA CENTERS. They are not good for the environment, the community gets the expenses passed on to them AND it is one step closer to a surveillance state. We have no interest in data centers. You can dress it up all you want with pretty words but it is still just another way to invade privacy.
520	Lumpkins	40214	Absolutely No data centers. Please. Protect the environment, protect the people that live here from this noisy mess. It doesn't create jobs, it just creates a headache.
521	Lett	40211	Thank you for sharing this information. I am opposed to placing data centers in West Louisville. Our community already carries more than its fair share of environmental and industrial burdens, including the MSD sewer plant and the DuPont chemical plant. West Louisville should not continue to be the default location for projects that other areas do not want near their homes. Economic development matters, but it should not come at the expense of the same communities over and over again. I ask that Louisville Metro consider the long-term impact on residents, public health, utilities, land use, and quality of life. Data centers should be placed in areas that do not add another burden to neighborhoods already impacted by industrial facilities. Please put this type of development somewhere else.
522	Spalding	40217	No data centers. At all. My electric and water bills are high enough as it is.
523	Darling	40272	I don't want any data centers being built in or around Louisville.
524	Jarboe	40241	I oppose data centers due to the environmental, health, and economic impact. Keep them out of Jefferson County!

525	Nunn	40258	We dont need data centers storing private data. This is another breach of everyones privacy. Please stop building these. Our digital life needs to decrease off line for everyones safety. Medical, banking. To risky today. We need this info off line and now.
526	Smothers	40258	Data centers generate intense heat and uses water to cool the chips. Just me, looking up the water usage of data centers on Google, used a liter of fresh water. Apparently, warm air is blown over water, the water evaporates thereby removing heat from the data center, and only fresh water is used. 80% of millions of gallons of water evaporates, gone. Make the new data center on Camp Ground Rd. use a closed-loop cooling system, like my car, and a free air cooling system in the winter.
527	Mccormick	40204	In addition to no costs to utility customers, there should be no costs to existing or new customers. The company(ies) using the center should cover ALL costs, including taxes, utilities, and any other costs associated with building, operating, and maintaining the center. The facility should undergo biannual inspections to ensure compliance with all regulations.
528	Booth	40213	We need noise and light pollution standards. Any and all utities need to be paid by said entity. Any inferstructure update cost need to rest solely on said entity. Studies for population health protections and distances for said health concerns need to be set. NO TAX BREAKS OR INCENTIVES JUST GIVEN AWAY AS IN THE CASE OF THE BATTERY FACTORY!!
529	Kemp	40211	We do not want this data center in the Westend, put this mess in the highlands NIMBY
530	Frederick	40206	I request an absolute and total ban. It is completely asinine the rate these centers are being constructed throughout the country. They provide nothing of actual value, but instead take and pollute precious resources proven to be only getting more scarce. They raise costs and harm every community they are placed in and further technologies no one needs and most don't want. For the sake of literally everyone, BAN THEM. Please!
531	Jamner	40241	Jefferson County is the wrong location for a data center. Please see this article that just came out in the New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/video/technology/100000010925668/data-centers-fayetteville-georgia-electricity.html?smid=nytcare-ios-share
532	Gleason	40206	Data centers are awful for everyone and everything. They're bad for the environment, use a TON of our drinking water, cause tremendous noise in our neighborhoods, and burden residents with high electric bills. This is unsustainable- NO data centers!!!
533	Ritter	40214	No data centers!!
534	Zelesky	40258	I think the proposed Data Center Regulations are definitely a step in the right direction. But, I don't see anything that appears to protect residents for the one that is currently in process. What is being done for the one that is

			currently in process to: • Protect utility customers from having any costs shifted to existing taxpayers • Require the developer to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required • Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment • Ensure that current utility customers receive first priority for service and the data center second
535	harmer	40203	I hope that this passes. We need it.
536	Royce	40206	Please don't sell us out. The environmental impact to our communities is not worth the short term financial gain (which average folks will not see the benefits of).
537	Morris	40241	They should be required to show proof of their impact to the water table, water usage, pollution, and environmental impacts before the start of construction. Additionally, Louisville Metro needs to work with conservation and environmental groups to come up with reasonable expectations for all of these things so that proposed projects can be approved or denied. If a denial, then find a way to fix the issue either with purchasing green credits, investments in environmental infrastructure, or adjusting designs so as to get within the parameters set but Louisville Metro. Generally speaking, we don't have good information on the long term impacts of data centers on the health and wellbeing of humans near them and the environment, so taking proactive steps to protect our water (especially being near the river) and environment will. lead to protecting our people.
538	Faget	40204	There is no benefit to the people of Louisville for allowing data centers into our community. It is a detriment to our already not great air quality because of the number of gas turbine generators that will have to be added to account for the extra generation requirements. It has the potential to overburden the only utility our city has that isn't crap and make it so (our water). It will add a MINIMAL number of jobs (<20 skilled techs can run an entire data center). The tax revenue will be minimal because I'm sure the mayor wants to give his buddies a tax deal on the land/facility. Tell data centers to take a hike.
539	Charles	40204	NO DATA CENTERS - terrible for the environment and the neighbors who live next to one
540	Hadley	40205	The current proliferation of data centers is alarming. No one has asked for these yet communities are being asked to shoulder the environmental and economic burdens.
541	Gividen	40272	Please require solar panels on building roofs and parking areas to help offset energy needs. Dig man made ponds or lakes on the property to supplement cooling needs. Not specific to just data centers but Metro government should pursue use of solar panels on all large commercial buildings (shopping malls, distribution centers, hospitals and high rises. Parking areas should include covered lots with solar panels.

542	O'Connor	40223	Data Centers are a bad idea for the Metro. They take away power and water from better uses. They create minimal numbers of jobs and make very little positive economic impact for the community. They also do not take into account the quick obsolescence of the technology within the data center itself. Will the owner invest in the new technology or leave a large, vacant building in five years?
543	Harland	40206	Data Centers are unpopular, harmful and ultimately useless. Regulations and bans are 100% necessary and I fully support this project!
544	Heath	40208	The heat radius of the data centers can reach 16 miles. This doesn't seem to be mentioned. I also am concerned about water usage. The US has about 4000 data centers which is more than any other country and more than some other countries combined. These centers can be built more environmental friendly. Other countries are building them under ground using geothermal and that energy is used to heat people's homes. Lots of questions need to be answered first.
545	Menefee	40223	I'm in support of extremely strict rules for Data Centers. These Data centers should offset 100% of their CO2 production and water waste through fees or green initiatives. They can produce solar from their own roofs and parking lots to help replace energy used and offset their energy use. We need to include rules on how far to keep them from housing. Ex at least 100 yards between surrounding homes. Noise level regulation, etc.
546	Singer	40208	A moratorium should still be in place for the next year till more studies are done on the national & state level. We don't know the environmental impacts these will have for years to come. This is all a speculative boom to line the pockets of developers — just because KY has made tax incentives for data centers doesn't mean we need them or want them in our county. Additionally Regulations presented do not require closed loop water cooling systems - would these be retroactive for the one being built currently?
547	Ramirez	40214	I strongly support strict and clear guidelines for data centers anywhere near our city. The long term impact of data centers is unknown and we need restrictions for the sake of future generations.
548	Tamimi	40059	I am against it
549	Aleksevitch	40059	I support Louisville Metro's proposed restrictions on data centers and urge officials to prioritize the health and well-being of residents when considering any future developments. Data centers can negatively affect nearby communities through constant noise from cooling systems and backup generators, increased air pollution from diesel generator testing and operation, and additional strain on local power infrastructure. Chronic exposure to industrial noise has been linked to stress, sleep disruption, and other adverse health effects. These impacts are especially concerning for families living near proposed sites, as well as children attending nearby schools and daycare facilities. Because data centers provide relatively few permanent jobs while creating long-term environmental and health burdens, Louisville should maintain the proposed ban on hyperscale data centers and continue to require substantial separation from homes, schools, and childcare facilities. Protecting residents' health should remain the highest priority.

550	Walls	40204	We should be severely limiting construction of all data centers. Climate change isn't going to fix itself.
551	Kriz	40291	I do not want data centers taking up space and resources that can be used for other needs for our community!
552	Thornton	40299	WE DON'T WANT DATA CENTERS PERIOD. The small amount of jobs created for construction only are not worth it and furthermore our environment i.e. clean drinking water, air quality, to be free of noise pollution and our natural resources are not for sale for ANY AMOUNT that the taxes could bring in.
553	Schneider	40222	Please ban all data centers in Ky. There are no real benefits for us citizens and almost surely will cost us.
554	Schultz	40205	After reviewing the proposed "Text Amendment to the Land Development Code for Data Centers" I have the following comments: 1. I believe the code could benefit from categorizing center size/type by power usage in addition to square footage. This would make it more robust against potential work-arounds from parties looking mischaracterize the scale of their project. 2. The separation proposed in 4.2.69D would better ensure community health & safety if it were larger. While scientific evidence is lacking due to the novelty of the issues, initial reports have included health issues such as disturbed sleep, chronic headaches, chronic nausea, and even cardiovascular issues developing as a result from infrasound produced by large data centers. These reports have been made as far as 5 miles from the source, and given the severity of the risk, an abundance of caution is obviously justified. The buffer should be measured in miles, and I believe that a 5 mile buffer is appropriate. In addition, given that there is growing evidence of infrasound's harmful impact on wildlife, in either 4.2.69C or 4.2.69D, nature preserves and parks should be designated as needing a 5 mile buffer as well. 3. While this wouldn't be necessary with a larger separation such as I recommended, the sound specifications laid out in 4.2.96G, 4.2.70G, 4.3.35F, and 4.3.36F should also include a limitation of producing less than 30db of sound when measured at any nearby residential building. While suitable for a public environment, even sounds at 65db can be disruptive to sleep & health when they are present 24/7. 4. In 4.2.69I and 4.3.36H, developers should be "required" to utilize close loop systems rather than "encouraged" in order to better conserve local resources Overall, I am pleased that we are developing these regulations, although I am extremely unhappy that the Campground Rd hyperscale center was recklessly pushed through before this code was developed. Although it may be too far along to fall under this code, I fully expect Metro council to ensure the bare minimum of safety with regard to noise pollution, utility cost, and environmental harm for the Campground rd facility via other legal means, and they can expect that we as a community will not be reelecting any member who fails to do

			so. Finally, speaking more broadly, I would encourage an abundance of resistance from our local government to any developer looking to build data centers in our community. In a economic climate in which speculative investors are rashly building thousands more data centers than actual demand calls for, we should not be encouraging such developments or welcoming them with open arms. While an active data center is of dubious benefit to the community, half-finished projects felled by economic realities are obviously even less desirable. Sincerely, Elijah Schultz
555	Smith	40207	No. Louisville does not need a new expensive data center conveniently located in the poorest part of town, where the children already have health issues from all the other industries our wonderful representatives have previously okayed. I will personally fight to vote out EVERY SINGLE REPRESENTATIVE who supports this data center.
556	Donhoff	40241	They need more regulation. They should be required to pay a set amount to LGE so our rates don't change. We need more data on what these centers do to surrounding neighborhoods. They aren't creating jobs either they are just disrupting neighborhoods and creating rising costs for the entire city. We don't want data centers. We want regulations in place to keep them out until they benefit us!
557	Gatewood	40059	I have heavy concerns with Data centers. Louisville and Prospect are already being built on as much as possible, especially all areas in Louisville. I worry about the ecological impacts that data centers will have, in addition to building even more on busy/crowded areas. Additionally, data centers could be added to existing locations in smaller scale. Allotting space for something that can be built into/installed into existing buildings would be a waste of tax dollars. I would rather see those monies go towards community centers, institutions where opportunities are available to those who may struggle, and supporting those who live in a "food desert."
558	Greer	40207	Data centers use a lot of water. How will this reflect in our water bills? Who will replace the trees destroyed when one of these is constructed?
559	Haan	40206	No data centers within 5 miles of any residential neighborhoods and 10 miles from historic preservation areas. No waivers or variances.
560	Wheatley	40273	WE DON'T WANT ANY DATA CENTERS!!!
561	Abukwaik	40204	Yes! Hyperscale data centers must be stopped! We cannot have noise pollution and pay for their upgrades!
562	Sandage	40272	No data centers in South or West Louisville.

563	Fries	40272	I am concerned about the environmental effects... the noise pollution, hidden increases in water and electricity... damages to water supplies nearby. No guarantee people are protected and no accountability for these protections from the investors.
564	Marling	40291	I agree with this proposal on data centers.
565	Wright	40217	Data centers cost communities far more than they bring in. I am deeply opposed to any data centers being constructed in Louisville, KY.
566	Harlan	40205	Totally opposed to any development that cannot self regulate and that hides beneath the term "telecommunications hotel" to enable the mayor's friends to carry on unregulated in neighborhoods already beaten down for decades by polluting industries. Any such development should be put through a rigorous vetting that includes environmental and human risk. Our environment and our health matter far more than keeping the "con" in economic development. We don't mine coal in west Louisville or put nuclear power plants on the Ohio. Telecommunications Hotel indeed.
567	Kromer	40220	I'd like to recommend that strict water quality tests be enforced if this data center is to be built. Louisville prides itself on being a city with one of the cleanest and safest drinking water qualities in the country and I seriously worry that this data center like many others in the country will pose a serious contamination threat to both our environment and the thousands of residents who rely on the clean water of the city to drink, cook, and clean. Water is an essential part of day to day life and if this data center threatens to jeopardize that for Louisville residents I feel it's construction is a serious safety issue for the city.
568	Langel	40205	They need the take all of the water and power usage burden and ensure if they do move in, the people who live there will not be impacted by their water and power usage! Maybe they need to be fully renewable energy (solar/wind etc...) powered to keep them off our grid!
569	Meredith	40205	I would prefer not to have them at all, I think in the next decade they will be empty buildings that are wasting space/resources. So long as their is adequate protections to the citizens that could be effected by higher utility prices or the potential environmental impact, I would tolerate it.
570	Cole	40222	These updates aren't good enough. We don't want data centers at all. AT ALL. Total moratorium is the only answer and we will continue to tell you this. These companies are untrustworthy. Words like "significant" will be twisted and blown apart. We do not want these data centers. Period.
571	Blesse	40242	Ban hyperscale data centers in Louisville & limit future proposals to under 500,000 square feet Require proposed developments to be located in industrial areas Require developers—not taxpayers—to pay for infrastructure upgrades Prevent utility costs from being shifted to existing ratepayers
572	Bundy	40208	They are poison. This will impact drinking water, electricity costs, and the overall wellbeing of residents and wildlife. No one of sound mind would be pro data center.

573	Jones	40220	Terrible idea! They need to be Responsible for all water usage.
574	Weik	40206	Keep data centers off the electric grid. Only use solar or wind power. Also, make sure they pay their full utility bill and this does not raise the rates leaving the burden on residents to pay for higher electric and water usage.
575	Gibson	40258	I'm against data centers It's hazardous for the environment and animals and people. my grandkids need clean water and environment. It causes land and housing to go down. No data centers period .
576	Hardwitt	40214	Closed-loop cooling system must be used. Data center must not increase cost of utilities for residents. If infrastructure updates are needed to support the data center, the data center must cover the cost fully.
577	Morrow	40219	Via You Tube - Microsoft lawyer on selling data centers. She herself doesn't want one in her back yard and IT DOESN'T BRING LONG TERM FUTURE JOBS... here is the link https://youtube.com/shorts/ljI4AjebKGE?si=D5vk5zUYxkKqrnJU. Noise pollution, water consumption. electricity hogs, eye sores. Let's do better for our current families and the future generations.
578	Christensen	40206	I support a total ban on data centers in metro Louisville. The push for their development is too fast and the developers are not trustworthy. Money can buy anything in America today and this is the time to say "No" to the money class! The proposed regulations do not protect us from the dishonesty. The environmental degradation would be extreme in the end. Protect our children's future.
579	Little	40258	No Data Centers. Jefferson County residents have told the mayor that. He should listen to the will of the people. Provide a moratorium for one year for Proposed Data Centers and those being built currently..the The camp Ground Road location was approved with no Data Base regulations, and that is unfair especially to the people that live close to it. We have been steamrolled and disenfranchised and we know nothing about how this community is going to be protected. Steve Poe and District one has failed to inform the community about anything.
580	Collings	40204	There have been multiple electrical fires reported at data centers in Ohio. The local fire department responds but it is an additional expense for the fire department. I would like to see a requirement that the data center provide funding for first responders. With the technology changing so quickly, the data centers could become obsolete. Who will pay for the cleanup if the owners move out?
581	Lyles	40245	I do not want a data center anywhere near my place of residence.

582	Kennell	40205	No data centers in Louisville or anywhere. They are public nuisances and cause damage to the environment, something we don't need anymore of.
583	Shrader	40258	We have enough trouble out here in this end of town. Why can't they build where there is know population. Please think long and hard on what you're doing to this end of town. If it has to be built make them build smaller units and spread them out everywhere. Thanks
584	Speagle	40214	NO TO DATA CENTERS, THEY RUIN THE AIR, WATER, LAND AND NOISE, RUN UP UTILITIES FOR COMMUNITIES. STOP THE DATA CENTERS
585	Gatten	40204	Data Centers are bad for our community and bad for our environment, and AI is bad for our brains. I am strongly opposed to any data centers in Louisville.
586	Allen	40211	The regulations need to be a lot more strict. Ideally, we should ban new data centers altogether due to the harmful impacts on public health, utility bills, drinkable water, and overall wellbeing. Public sentiment is largely AGAINST data centers. LOUISVILLE DOES NOT NEED DATA CENTERS! BAN ALL DATA CENTERS.
587	Ten	40219	No to data centers! They are environmental killers. There is proof that they ruin your environment and there's proof what they do to humans but nobody's talking about that big tech is paying off city and county officials to put data centers up and telling them there will be so many jobs now there won't matter of fact it'll be taking jobs and no matter how many times we the people speak out against this our city and county officials do whatever the hell they wanna do. Just remember we voted you in and we can vote you out. We only have one Planet and there's only so much water on this planet once it's gone it's gone and all the money that we have dumped in to keep our Planet safe and you want to put in data centers. I just don't know what everyone's thinking. This is not a good idea plain and simple start listening to the people.
588	Bush	40272	I don't want them here. They will use too much of our resources, increase our bills, and destroy the environment
589	Brittle	40211	As a lifelong resident of West Louisville, KY, I am skeptical of many plans for improvements to my community. Suffering from the effects of the 5G internet towers placed numerously throughout west Louisville and Southwest Louisville, a Data center sounds like one more health deficit for people in marginalized communities if the project is not continuously monitored and critiqued.
590	Tannehill	40241	I strongly oppose any effort to approve large-scale data centers in Louisville without direct voter approval including those smaller than 500,000 square feet. A project of any magnitude will have lasting impacts on our community, utility infrastructure, land use, environmental resources, and quality of life. Decisions of this size should not be made solely through administrative processes—they should be placed on the ballot so the citizens who will live with the consequences have the final say. While I support the proposed requirement that developers pay for infrastructure upgrades, that principle must

			extend further. Data centers should be responsible for 100% of the costs associated with their operations, including electric grid upgrades, water and sewer capacity expansions, road improvements, public safety impacts, and any future utility demands. Existing residents, businesses, and taxpayers should not subsidize private corporate projects through higher utility bills or tax-supported infrastructure investments. Louisville families and senior citizens are already struggling with rising utility costs. Data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water. Under no circumstances should these projects be allowed to shift costs to existing ratepayers. If a data center cannot operate without requiring public subsidies or increased utility rates for residents, then it should not be built here. I support strict limits on size, location, noise, and environmental impacts, but I believe additional protections are necessary. Any proposal exceeding local infrastructure capacity should be rejected outright, and any future data center development should require approval by Louisville voters through a public referendum in a November election. The people of Louisville deserve transparency, accountability, and a direct voice in decisions that could permanently alter our community. Let the voters decide.
591	Beasley	40258	Kentucky needs to deny all data center requests, unless they pay for thier own water and electricity. They must be very small and away from everyone. They CAN NOT cause electricity and water bills to be one penny higher
592	Timmerman	40242	As I am out campaigning for Metro Council District 7, there is little-to-no support for data centers. There is concern regarding the environmental footprint, the drain/strain on our utilities and roads, and worry that the centers are a “done deal.”
593	Israel	40205	There’s so many negatives that come with your data centers, so many, and it’s very clear you all do not really about the future of the environments, ecosystems, farms, homes, neighborhoods, small towns, and cities that are directly exploited just to line your pockets in an ever expanding “AI Race”. Why directly fund something that’s going cause us to go extinct, you all act like this is providing jobs for people, when in reality once constructions done, you all don’t need many people working there, just minor maintenance and janitorial staff, and what’s telling us those positions are not independent contractors from outside cities or towns. And why does one these data centers cause up to an average of 100,000 gallons of water to be evaporated every single day, let alone the millions of gallons of water one of these centers uses in a day. We need 5-10 year studies on what these do to the surrounding environments and life that is unfortunate enough to listen to that deafening sound all day every day. And I have to ask all for what. Why. Why do you all you need to cover are great planet with all this poison. We want work, but you all don’t want us to have jobs or clean drinking or the sound of birds chirping in the morning. If you all want these data centers so bad why not just build them where you and all these other elite, wealthy people live at, if you all want these you all should be directly dealing these consequences at your homes instead of the people just trying to survive, and put food and water on the table everyday, but because of companies like yours, we the people are starting to lose that luxury, we’re loosing our American Dream, and what happens when the people have enough of this bullshit and start to come together and start rising and stop giving a fuck about

			being peaceful, the mass majority of our countries population DOES NOT WANT THESE, what happens when you all have to feel the wrath of over 100,000,000 people, you got to remember, you all are the grass hoppers, and we the people are the ants. Why push millions of people towards a breaking point, why, is it greed? Maybe power? Or maybe you just like seeing people suffer, and lose everything while you sit in your penthouse or mansion in a place like Malibu, California. This class war you all are directly feeding will not end well for the greater good of our great, amazing, and beautiful country. And out of all these soulless, lifeless lizards, you all actually gave us an opportunity to share opinions, or at very least give us the illusion that we have the opportunity, but this is better than most. Sincerely, Don Israel
594	Benish	40299	Data centers should be their own entity, meaning existing and new homeowners and businesses should not incur any additional costs because the data center is being built and/or operating in their community. A data center should not cause an increase in existing homeowner's water bills, LG&E bills, tax bills, road construction bills to egress the data center, or in health bills. Those who want to use the information gathered from the data center are the ones who should pay for its use. Not everyone needs a data center in their life and should not be required to incur the cost to build it or operate it.
595	Bell	40203	I am totally against data centers due to their high use of water and energy, the noise pollution, and lack of any benefit to my community. I have never met a single normal person who is not opposed to data centers. The only ones who want this are the people who live nowhere near them and profit from them.
596	Browning	40216	I highly support restrictions being in place to protect our communities from potential problems surrounding the building . We need to ensure that water usage, energy usage, environmental impact, and location choices are at the top of the list. The draft seems to touch base on all of my concerns as well as many others.
597	Bell	40205	Knowing the burden these data centers place on our environment and our citizen's health and the hidden burden of increasing utility costs I am adamantly opposed to any form of data centers in Jefferson County.
598	Mabrey	40214	I think we as a community deal with enough noise and light pollution as it is. If you also look into life expectancies in Louisville, particularly the south/west end vs the east end, you'll find on average these citizens live 15 years less on average. How do you think a data center will impact those number? They don't provide jobs, and only take from the already disparaged.
599	Clayton	40207	This is a horrific idea that will only serve to deplete and pollute the environment, water, sound levels, and lives of everyone in the city, including humans, animals, and all living beings, for generations to come, for the sole purpose of lining the pockets of the already wealthy. Shame on you for considering it. Shame on you if it happens.
600	Holman	40291	Concerned the data centers using so much electricity & water could cause a drought. Also, they should have enough money to pay our bills, NOT the other way around.
601	Nathan	40216	I am writing in support of the data center restrictions.

			As AI infrastructure rapidly expands across the country, many residents are becoming increasingly concerned about the potential health and environmental impacts these facilities may have on both humans and animals. Research and reporting have raised concerns around excessive water consumption, air pollution from backup generators and fossil fuel power sources, persistent noise pollution, and strain on local infrastructure and resources. Large AI data centers can consume millions of gallons of water per day for cooling and require enormous amounts of electricity, sometimes relying on diesel generators or fossil fuel-powered infrastructure that may negatively impact surrounding communities. I believe our city has an opportunity to lead responsibly by ensuring that any future development prioritizes transparency, environmental protections, public health, and long-term sustainability. I am especially concerned about the impact these projects may have on local wildlife, water systems, air quality, and the overall well-being of nearby residents. Thank you for your time, consideration, and service to our community. Sincerely, Mrs. Tracey Nathan
602	Bryant	40291	Data centers are progressing to fast. I believe more info is needed on environmental concerns and what the cost is going to be. The general public should not be responsible for financing these projects
603	Me	11111	No data centers period. Including the shady deal for Steve Poe.
604	Bliss	40215	Given the city's 2040 clean energy target and the massive amount of water and energy required for data centers, I'd like to see some regulation requiring any new energy generation to be renewable and some threshold for water neutral/positive practices.
605	Ryan	40272	I do have a concern with the data center being built out in my area. Don't understand why in New Albany Indiana they're talking about halting construction on data centers for a year. To better understand the dangers and the implications it has on the environment around us. Also now they're going to start putting restrictions after they started construction the AI center out in Rubbertown. I do Believe there's enough toxins out this way to begin with. I think they need to slow down, we still need green space.
606	Dikes	40206	These regulations make sense. Large scale data centers are resource intensive and use much more than their share of them, including electricity and water. I doubt many residents want them in proximity to their homes. Limiting their locations and sizes are reasonable measures and I am glad Metro Planning is drafting these regulations. I hope the Council will pass them as is and not water any of them down. The citizens need to be protected while still giving the means for appropriately sized developments to occur. Pass it!
607	O	40299	I think Data Centers are necessary but not at the capacity that is being proposed currently. IF they are to be built it should not be near any residences (e.g. 15+ miles). But most importantly, the owners of the Data Centers MUST

			be responsible and pay for their electricity usage and their water usage. The cost cannot be subsidized and it cannot be passed along to taxpayers in any way. Water usage must be reasonable and if the quality of water degrades at all (pressure, cleanliness, etc) then the Data Centers are solely responsible and must be shut down immediately. There is not a fine that is sufficient, operation must cease immediately. The rate at which data centers are being built is irresponsible, there is too many being built and not enough demand. AI does not even have any practical or MEASURED use case, it is a lot of speculation and I do not think human lives and/or well-being is worth risking over potential. When there is proof that this will be beneficial to America and its people then we can start building infrastructure. If these safeguards are ignored the community will reject AI and Data Centers and any politicians or companies that champion them.
608	ZAEPFEL	40206	"Limited areas" needs to be specific. Revise the language to identify the specific areas to be potentially impacted. The language states "new" data centers, what about proposed centers that have been approved but not yet under construction? Can language be added for that scenario?
609	Robinson	40228	We do not want those anywhere near the city. The damage it does to the surrounding area is not good. More than likely they will build it in a poor part of city and harm the poor people. It's a resource dependent drain.
610	Mele	40214	Do not want in our area
611	Kessling	40223	Data Centers are not welcome in my city. It will only negatively impact the place I call home. Pollution, rising electric costs, unethical use of our water all to benefit outsiders of our city. Please do not condone these data Centers in our beautiful home.
612	Boody	40216	I am not sure about data centers and the amount of land needed to build them. It appears to be different amounts of land for various size buildings. What are the side effects of the amount of grid and electricity used? What is the best location for each size built and how do they differ in their intelligence, cost of operation, jobs provided or taken away and income produced that is tax increment income. Also, what type of employee is best suited for the jobs? Educational opportunities available for the public and will tours be allowed. Why or why not? To whose benefit are these being built and how do they differ from city to city and country to country? Who owns them and who pays the bills?
613	Speagle	40214	I OPPOSE DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY, DATA CENTERS RUIN COMMUNITIES, THE AIR , WATER, LAND , NOISE IS HORRIFIC , CAUSES PEOPLE HEALTH PROBLEMS , IT CAUSES ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE. THERE ARE NO JOBS , THEY RUN ON THEIR OWN NO TO DATA CENTERS.
614	Hosse	40272	How about just no data centers in Jefferson County like the majority of voters---your bosses---have been demanding repeatedly? Much simpler and accurate than pretending a compromise is somehow acceptable.

615	Smothers	40258	What the heck is going on? Louisville and Kentucky is allowing this data center company NOT TO PAY TAXES FOR 50 YEARS! The government needs to let this data center company carry its own weight. The Louisville Metro Council needs to make this data center pay local and state taxes. I figure our city and state will make millions of dollars a year through taxes off these land, energy, and water hogs. Quit giving these big companies tax breaks, especially for 50 years. This data center will only have those many jobs during construction. After that, only 50 to 100 people are needed to maintain it. Let's make money, not lose millions like the battery plant fiasco.
616	Sogan	47130	These Data centers are destroying our natural resources. We can not eat computers and we can not consume poisoned water. People can not continue destroying the planet. There is no planet B. These data centers may without research "sound like a good idea" but they're not. Don't fall for the they bring jobs, they help save energy, they whatever the "good case" may be. THEY ARE DESTROYING EVERYTHING. We are all just people trying to survive. Every living creature on this planet, is just trying to survive. We can in this lifetime not rebuild what these data centers are destroying. No amount of money is worth more than bees, trees, and clean water. That 100 year old tree is doing more good for this world, than the data center. But that tree is torn down in seconds. Stop the process of building data centers.
617	Zoeller	40245	Public input is critical for wise decisions. Approval of Data Centers need to be on pause until regulations related to them are reconsidered.
618	Maynard	40220	I think it is deplorable that the west end community members all spoke out rejecting a data center and the council moved forward anyway. I want the most preventative measures. I would like to also suggest some sort of restriction on the noise pollution these centers create. In addition, they should have to pay a premium or tax on all water that is used. I am concerned about the amount of water that the data centers require, as well as any other environmental impacts I am not aware of.
619	Shapero	40204	Keep data centers out of neighborhoods. Our health and safety is more important than AI
620	Stevens	40205	Thank you for seeking input. I'm sure you have heard all the good reasons to limit data centers, their environmental destruction, extreme energy requirements, water pollution even in a closed loop system and noise pollution. These centers will be replaced in the next 10-15 years by more evolved technologies including off shore. Here's a big concern...who will clean up the mess when the owners are done with the current system? The new brown fields will be expensive to clean up. There must be a protected trust fund set up before and expanded while active. It should be held in the name of the community and for this purpose only. I was on a re-use committee dealing with radio active contamination . It's expensive and relying on the center owners is foolish. They will abandon or transfer the mess. . Please consider this a requirement to protect the citizens.
621	koppert	40220	One data center in Jefferson County is enough. Allow no more data centers as 53% of the citizens recently polled asked.

			No waivers, no conditional permits or no relief granted. If the approved data center makes any modifications, approval is cancelled and the following requirements must be met: a complete environmental impact study, closed loop water system, electricity must be generated on site my data center and use of natural gas for generators must be purchased at a premium of 30% of residential rates, total noise of all equipment not to exceed 80 decibels (the harmful range). Any data center should be to be at least twice the distance from schools, parks playgrounds, etc. as an establishment selling liquor.
622	Seiber	40204	I am concerned at the wording of the Data Center Regulations Draft as currently proposed. Defining "hyperscale" data centers as over 500,000 square feet is not congruent with the current consensus that "any data center containing 5,000 servers, spanning a minimum of 10,000 square feet (930 square meters) and offering at least 40MW of capacity counts as a hyperscale facility." https://www.datacenterdynamics.com/en/analysis/what-is-a-hyperscale-data-center/ While our largest tech companies like Apple and Google may be building data centers over 1 or 2 million square feet, to say a facility half that size might be exempt from a ban in Louisville is hardly comforting. This feels like theater so our representatives can say they "banned hyperscale data centers" without in fact doing anything of the sort to protect their constituents.
623	Sundberg	40204	I really worry about data centers as they seem to take more than they give back to the city. Plus they really don't add many jobs at all. No advantage for the community.
624	Smith	40214	This regulation needs teeth with specific financial penalties for violating any of the terms of the agreement, especially should water & electric/gas rates go up, they have to pay the community's increased rates. We also need a financial guarantee via a "registered capital bond." Take the salaries of the proposed jobs (200) x 10 for 10 years and put it in a bond, so if the company collapses the city can fix the site or violates the terms above. It has to be a closed loop water system to protect existing water infrastructure and billing to residents. Current draft does not take into account the early reports of "infrasound" pollution that has harmed other communities. And ultimately, do data centers measurably improve Louisville Metro? I have yet to see sufficient, specific, tangible evidence that this makes us a better city.
625	McGee	40204	Why are these so unpopular across the country? Because they seem to be snuck into any location. That is always wrong.

			Are they owned by KY, US? If not, I say no. Is this for AI? IF so, I say no We should pay nothing for them, energy, water, infrastructure.If not, I say no The World id changing too fast with all of this tech, people are wore out. I would advise waiting 10 years to see how they impact those who will live with them. I say no for this proposal.
626	Jordan	40214	My greatest concern is that permitting the data center in its current location could be considered environmental injustice.Impacting those least able to oppose the escalating utility bills,water scarcity,air pollution,noise and ecosystem disruption,increased heat,and potential grid disruptions.
627	Gaeta	40205	I do not support any hyper-scale data centers in Louisville or Kentucky. I want the one underhandedly approved in Campground Road to be canceled. I also want to know who are all the people invested in these facilities and if any elected or candidates for office are taking money from any of the individuals involved.
628	Leezer	40241	1. Provide transparency into the energy and water used per day for each plant. THIS set of utilities including water,energy, sewer should not be paid by the taxpayer. 2. Provide transparency in the amount of pollution, air, water, soil, noise per day for each plant. 3. For every acre of land that is treed or contains vegetation, an equal amount of trees and vegetation are planted. We are losing too many trees as it is. 4. I don't want this near my home because its existence will lower my property value. I want to know the exact location of each planned facility.
629	Hargrove	40229	All data centers need to be stopped and banned. We do not need them. Technology can continue to evolve with out the use of data centers. Much of life on earth, including humans, cannot continue to exist when data centers are prioritized
630	Bowman-Denton	40215	First, I think there should be a moratorium until further studies. But regarding proposal, I submit my recommendations Proposed Data Center Accountability and Oversight Recommendations 1. Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Requirements * Require a CUP for all large data centers. * Require CUP review for any expansion beyond approved limits.

			* Require CUP review for significant increases in: * Square footage * Electrical demand * Water consumption * Generator capacity * Allow suspension or revocation of CUP approvals for material violations. * Require periodic CUP review (every 3–5 years). 2. Anti-Circumvention Provisions Prevent developers from avoiding regulations through parcel splitting, phased construction, or affiliated entities. * Aggregate facilities under common ownership, control, or development plan. * Aggregate facilities sharing: * Substations * Power infrastructure * Cooling systems * Fiber/network systems * Security perimeters * Treat phased projects as one project for size and impact calculations. * Prevent multiple adjacent parcels from being used to avoid size thresholds. * Define “functionally integrated campus” and regulate it as a single facility. * Require new review when cumulative development exceeds approved thresholds. 3. Ownership, Control, and User Transparency * Require disclosure of all beneficial ownership interests. * Require disclosure of primary users/operators. * Require disclosure of the general purpose of the facility (AI, cloud services, colocation, telecommunications, enterprise computing, etc.). * Require updated disclosures when: * Ownership changes * Controlling interests change * Primary users change * Facility purpose materially changes * Make disclosures publicly available. 4. Utility Cost Protection

			* Require independent verification that infrastructure costs are not shifted to existing ratepayers. * Require public disclosure of utility-impact studies. * Require review if actual power demand exceeds projections. * Require review if actual water demand exceeds projections. * Require developers to fund utility infrastructure needed solely because of the project. * Establish clear mechanisms to prevent future cost transfers to existing customers. 5. Financial Assurance / Performance Bonding Require secured financial instruments before construction begins. Acceptable forms could include: * Performance bonds * Escrow accounts * Irrevocable letters of credit Financial assurance should cover: * Infrastructure improvements * Environmental mitigation * Stormwater systems * Landscaping and buffering * Noise mitigation * Site stabilization * Site restoration if abandoned Purpose: * Protect taxpayers * Protect utility customers * Protect neighboring property owners * Ensure obligations survive bankruptcy, abandonment, or ownership transfer 6. Independent Monitoring and Verification Require independent third-party monitoring paid for by the developer.

			Monitoring should include: Noise * Pre-construction baseline testing * Post-construction testing * Periodic operational testing * Complaint-triggered testing Water * Water consumption verification * Wastewater monitoring * Cooling system performance Electricity * Electrical demand verification * Peak load monitoring * Expansion verification Environmental * Air emissions (where applicable) * Generator emissions * Stormwater performance * Heat impacts * Other environmental mitigation requirements Reports should be publicly available. 7. Stronger Environmental Standards Convert key environmental provisions from “encouraged” to “required” where feasible. Require consideration of:

			* Closed-loop cooling systems * Water conservation measures * Reclaimed water use * Tree preservation * Native landscaping * Impervious surface reduction * Heat mitigation * Energy efficiency measures * Alternative energy integration 8. Noise and Generator Protections * Require post-construction sound testing. * Require ongoing compliance monitoring. * Require corrective action if standards are exceeded. * Require public access to generator testing records. * Limit testing hours. * Require sound attenuation measures. * Establish clear enforcement procedures for violations. 9. Public Accountability and Reporting Require annual public reporting on: * Electricity consumption * Water consumption * Generator operations * Compliance monitoring results * Ownership changes * User changes * Complaints received * Corrective actions taken Reports should be publicly accessible. 10. Enforcement Mechanisms Recognizing that local enforcement resources may be limited:

			* Establish automatic compliance triggers. * Establish automatic review triggers. * Allow permit suspension for noncompliance. * Allow denial of future expansions for unresolved violations. * Use financial assurance mechanisms to fund corrective actions. * Require independent verification rather than relying solely on self-reporting. * Require developers to fund monitoring programs. 11. Utility-Based Accountability Where legally feasible: * Link utility service expansion approvals to compliance status. * Require updated utility studies for major expansions. * Require review if actual usage substantially exceeds approved projections. * Use utility data as an objective compliance tool. 12. Decommissioning and Site Restoration Require: * Decommissioning plans * Site restoration plans * Financial security sufficient to implement those plans Address: * Facility abandonment * Technological obsolescence * Long-term vacancy * Ownership dissolution 13. Protection Against Future Weakening * Restrict administrative waivers for major provisions. * Require public hearings for significant exceptions. * Require Metro Council review of major amendments.

			* Preserve ownership disclosure requirements. * Preserve utility-cost protection requirements. * Preserve CUP requirements for large facilities. 14. Ongoing Community Protection Require review of: * Cumulative impacts of multiple facilities * Combined utility demand * Combined water demand * Combined environmental impacts * Combined noise impacts Evaluate projects not only individually but as part of larger regional development patterns.
631	Crider	40213	I am against the data centers period. What do they actually provide to make all of our lives better? Nothing that I have heard of. Somehow the costs associated with these centers will rely on taxpayer money just like everything does. Our POTUS is already costing taxpayers millions of dollars. Add in all of the approved cost hikes that LG&E and MSD always gets approved. Enough is enough.
632	Baumert	40245	Louisville should follow suit with other counties in KY, and around the country, and place a moratorium on data centers until further study can be done on the environmental and health risks, and the overuse of resources used at taxpayer expense. All the risk of these greedy, big tech business ventures falls squarely on the shoulders of our citizens. The data from studies about data centers must be shared with everyone transparently and voted on before government signs any deals with big tech companies.
633	Hudson	40207	Dear Louisville Metro Council, Regarding the proposed data center regulations: The most important issue when evaluating data centers is how it will benefit the Louisville community. The current provisions on your website aim to manage the harm and concerns posed by data centers, mainly in the form of energy costs, noise pollution, location concerns, and more. Given all of the negatives, what are the benefits to data centers? Are they run by a Kentucky based company? Will they employ state workers and provide liveable wages? Will it be an overall investment in our community, or an overall cost? Louisville should be working to keep the health and economic stability of its citizens as its main priority, and data centers seem to detract from the wellbeing of the Louisville metro area. If a data center MUST be placed in the Louisville area, I do agree that it should be away from residential areas as noted in point 7. What does "substantial separation" entail? You should establish a MINIMUM DISTANCE from

			residential areas so that homeowners are not disadvantaged by pollution, noise, and the rising cost of the energy grid. How will the committee work to determine this? Furthermore, i propose an added amendment that would state EXACTLY what the potential harms would be, and that an envrionmental impact assessment be conducted and made public, following a public comment period. You may also wish to publish what the overall benefits of the data center would be as well. Finally, while these provisions take some steps to protect Louisville residents, how do they protect the environment and wildlife? I feel strongly that the KY Fish and Wildlife service assess the impact a data center will have on the surrounding vegetation and wildlife, so that no runoff or otherwise horrible environmental pollutants spread further than the proposed zone. Please think critically about what you do with this, it will affect many.
634	Reed	40023	They do not belong in Louisville nor Kentucky...in any form or function.
635	Turber	40241	NO DATA CENTERS IN THE METRO.
636	Cassilly	40205	I believe that all future data centers need to bring their own power, whether that is building a solar farm, or making improvements to the current grid. If they want to build a data center in our city, as long as they bring their own power that is fine with me. As it is ridiculous that a data center will come in and it will potentially up the rates of the people who are living in that area, that is a burden that regular citizens should not be forced to pay for.
637	Jackson	40211	Introduction I am submitting this comment on the draft text amendment for Case No. 25-LDC-0014, which governs how and where data centers may be built in Louisville Metro. I appreciate that this draft introduces real limits — a 500,000 square foot cap, district restrictions, setbacks, noise standards, and disclosure requirements — that did not exist before. But “more regulated than nothing” is not the same as “adequately protective.” I am asking the Commission to strengthen several specific provisions before recommending approval, for the health of residents, the integrity of our air and water resources, and the long-term economic interests of this community. 1. The draft does not adequately protect air quality, and contains no mechanism to verify compliance after approval Section 4.2.69(E) and parallel provisions in 4.3.35 and 4.3.36 permit backup generators to be “classified as, or similar to, a Tier IV diesel generator.” Tier IV is the cleanest EPA emissions tier for diesel equipment, but it is not zero-emission — these units still emit nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, and carbon monoxide, pollutants with well-established links to asthma, cardiovascular strain, and respiratory illness, especially for children, the elderly, and people with existing conditions. The draft allows weekly testing of outdoor generators with no cap on duration, and provides no requirement for:

			Ambient air quality monitoring at or near facility boundaries, before or after construction; Public reporting of actual generator runtime and emissions once a facility is operational; Cumulative impact review when multiple data centers, or a data center plus other industrial uses, are sited in the same corridor. Each facility is evaluated in isolation. Nothing in this draft asks what happens when several large emitters cluster in the same M-3 or M-2 area over time. I am asking the Commission to add a continuous air-monitoring requirement and a cumulative-impact analysis for any district where more than one data center of 50,000 square feet or greater is proposed within a defined radius. 2. Setback and separation distances are inconsistent and, for smaller facilities, inadequate The draft scales protection by facility size in a way that leaves the people living closest to smaller facilities with the thinnest protection: Facilities over 250,000 sq ft (§ 4.2.69): 200-foot setback and separation from homes, schools, and child care centers. Facilities 50,001–250,000 sq ft (§ 4.3.36): 100-foot setback and separation. Facilities under 50,000 sq ft (§ 4.3.35): only 25-foot setback and separation. Telecommunications data centers in C-3 districts (§ 4.2.70): only 25 feet. A facility under the 50,000 sq ft threshold can still house generators, cooling equipment, and 24/7 mechanical systems — yet it is allowed within 25 feet of a home, daycare, or school. Noise, light, and localized air pollutant exposure do not become harmless because a facility is smaller; they simply affect fewer people, who deserve the same protection as everyone else. I am asking the Commission to raise the minimum separation for all data center categories, with no facility allowed less than 100 feet from a residence, school, or child care center regardless of size. 3. The noise standard is measured at the property line, not at the affected home Every size category in this draft uses the same noise limit: 65 dBA “measured at or beyond any property boundary of the sound source.” For a large industrial site, the property line may sit hundreds of feet from where people

		actually live, meaning a facility can be fully compliant on paper while still producing significant, audible noise at a neighboring home. I am asking that the noise standard instead be measured at the nearest residential property line or structure, not the source site's own boundary, and that the Commission consider whether 65 dBA — comparable to continuous traffic noise — is an appropriate limit for areas adjacent to homes and schools at all. 4. Ratepayer protections lack enforcement, and infrastructure cost-shifting is not actually prevented The draft states a goal of protecting utility customers from cost-shifting and requires developers to bear infrastructure upgrade costs. These are the right goals. But the mechanism is thin: a “transmission study request” and an “executed service agreement” with LG&E, and a finding that utility capacity is “available” without “adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers” (§ 4.2.69(M), (P)). Nothing in the draft specifies who verifies that finding independently, what happens if costs are shifted after the fact, or what recourse ratepayers have. I am asking the Commission to require an independent, public-facing cost-allocation review by the Public Service Commission or equivalent body before any conditional use permit is granted, not merely a private agreement between the developer and the utility. 5. The water provisions rely on encouragement, not requirements, despite documented strain on municipal supplies elsewhere Sections 4.2.69(I) and 4.3.36(H) only “encourage” closed-loop cooling systems; nothing in the draft requires them. This matters because the difference between cooling technologies is large and well-documented. Evaporative cooling systems consume water directly, drawing primarily from municipal, potable supplies; closed-loop systems recirculate water and can reduce consumption substantially. Without a binding requirement, a developer has no obligation to choose the lower-impact technology. The scale at issue is not hypothetical. A single large data center can withdraw millions of gallons of water per day, and nationally, data centers' water consumption from public systems is projected to keep rising as a share of total municipal supply, with effects concentrated locally rather than spread evenly. Communities with large data center concentrations, such as Loudoun County, Virginia, have seen water consumption from data centers climb into the hundreds of millions of gallons annually, with local impacts on supply that are far more significant than national averages suggest. The draft's only safeguard is a “Will Serve Letter” from the Louisville Water Company confirming capacity exists at the time of application. That is a one-time capacity check, not an ongoing consumption limit, and it does not require disclosure of which cooling technology will be used or how much water the facility will actually consume once operating. I am asking the Commission to: (1) require closed-loop or equivalently low-consumption cooling as a condition of approval, not merely encourage it; (2) require applicants to disclose projected daily water withdrawal and consumption figures, by cooling technology, as part of the application; and (3) require periodic

		reporting of actual water use after the facility is operational, so the community and the Louisville Water Company can track cumulative impact across multiple facilities over time, not just at the moment of approval. 6. The noise standard does not account for low-frequency vibration that conventional decibel measurement misses The draft's 65 dBA standard relies on standard A-weighted sound measurement. Industry and public health sources examining data center noise complaints elsewhere have identified a specific, documented gap: standard decibel meters are tuned to how the human ear perceives mid-range frequencies and systematically under-measure the low-frequency noise and infrasound produced by large HVAC systems, chillers, and industrial fans running continuously. Residents near existing large-scale data center clusters — including in Virginia, where the industry is most concentrated — have reported a persistent, low-frequency hum or vibration felt inside homes that standard noise meters register as compliant even when residents experience real sleep disruption and physical discomfort. This is not purely anecdotal. Peer-reviewed research has examined how low-frequency sound and infrasound affect the body, including hemodynamic and neurological pathways, and at least one published study has reported elevated cortisol and physical discomfort from infrasound exposure even among people unaware they were being exposed. Separately, chronic nighttime noise exposure above relatively modest thresholds is independently linked in public health literature to sleep disturbance, hypertension, and cardiovascular strain — the kind of cumulative, 24/7 exposure a continuously operating facility would produce. The 65 dBA standard in this draft, measured only in standard A-weighted terms, does not address this gap. I am asking the Commission to add a supplemental low-frequency noise and vibration standard — measured in C-weighted decibels or an equivalent low-frequency metric, not A-weighted dBA alone — applicable at the nearest residential structure, and to require a pre- and post-construction noise and vibration study, consistent with approaches already adopted in jurisdictions with greater data center experience than Louisville Metro. 7. The amendment caps future facility size — it should not be read as license to maximize buildout up to that cap This draft sets a 500,000 square foot ceiling and channels larger facilities into M-3 industrial land. That is a real limit, and I support keeping a hard ceiling in place. But a cap is not a target. I am asking the Commission to make explicit, in the findings supporting this amendment, that approval of this text amendment is not an endorsement of building up to the maximum permitted size in every eligible district, and that future site-specific applications should still be evaluated for whether a smaller footprint would adequately serve the stated business need. 8. Claims raised in data center litigation elsewhere identify gaps this draft does not close

			Louisville Metro is not the first jurisdiction to confront this issue, and litigation already underway elsewhere in the country identifies concrete harms and legal vulnerabilities that this draft does not address. I am asking the Commission to review the following and close the corresponding gaps before recommending approval. Water contamination, not just water use. In March 2026, Amazon Data Services agreed to a \$20.5 million settlement in <i>Pearson v. Port of Morrow</i>, a federal class-action lawsuit in Oregon's Lower Umatilla Basin. Residents alleged that wastewater from Amazon's data centers, concentrated by evaporation during cooling, contributed to nitrate contamination affecting roughly 45,000 people who rely on well water, with health effects including risks to infants and increased cancer risk; more than 600 wells in the basin were found to have unsafe nitrate levels. Amazon denied wrongdoing but settled rather than litigate. This draft's wastewater provisions (§ 4.2.69(I), 4.3.36(H)) require connection to public sanitary sewer and discourage private well use for the facility itself, but contain no requirement to monitor or report what concentration of dissolved solids or contaminants is discharged from cooling systems, and no mechanism to detect cumulative effects on a watershed if and when more than one facility relies on the same wastewater infrastructure. I am asking the Commission to add a discharge-quality monitoring and public reporting requirement, not just a connection requirement. Noise as actionable nuisance, independent of decibel compliance. In <i>Dowagiac</i>, Michigan, residents filed a 2026 class-action lawsuit against a data center operator alleging nuisance and negligence from cooling-fan noise, with measured levels in the 60–70 dBA range — below or near the 65 dBA threshold this draft would impose. That suit puts squarely at issue whether compliance with a numeric decibel standard, by itself, defeats a nuisance claim if the noise still substantially interferes with a resident's use and enjoyment of their property. Separate 2026 suits in North Tonawanda, New York and Vineland, New Jersey likewise allege excessive noise, including low-frequency sound described as “vibrations,” and inadequate soundproofing. This draft's reliance on a single numeric threshold, without a parallel nuisance or unreasonable-interference standard, leaves Louisville residents in a similar position to these plaintiffs — facing noise a facility can argue is technically compliant while still substantially disrupting their homes. Cumulative impact review, raised directly in a Massachusetts regulatory appeal. In Lowell, Massachusetts, the community group <i>Honest Future for Lowell</i> is currently litigating an appeal of a state environmental permit that allowed a data center expansion — 27 diesel backup generators and 16 cooling towers — without any cumulative impacts analysis, and the presiding officer has already found that affected residents have standing to pursue the claim. The residents' filings center on air pollution from generators sited near homes, near-constant noise, and mist drift from cooling towers onto residential properties, combined with other existing pollution sources in the same neighborhood. This is the same gap identified in Section 1 of this comment: this draft permits each data center application to be reviewed in isolation, with no requirement to assess combined impact when multiple facilities, or a data center alongside other industrial uses, affect the same air, water, or noise-shed. Vested rights and moratoria — a live issue in Kentucky right now. In Cave City, Kentucky, Kentucky Industrial

			Alliance LLC sued the city in Barren Circuit Court in June 2026 after the city council adopted a 12-month moratorium on data center applications. The company argues it acquired vested development rights through land purchases and a submitted development plan before the moratorium took effect, and that the city's process violated due process and state zoning-notice requirements. This matters for Louisville because it illustrates the legal exposure a jurisdiction faces if it tries to pause or tighten data center rules after applications are already in the pipeline. I am asking the Commission to ensure this text amendment's effective date and any future amendments are paired with clear transition provisions for pending applications, so that Louisville Metro is not placed in the same legal position as Cave City if stronger protections are needed later and a developer claims vested rights to avoid them. Process and authority concerns, raised in Utah. In Box Elder County, Utah, a nonprofit and five residents sued in June 2026 over a large data center project, arguing that a special development authority was given “permanent, irrevocable control over public health, safety, taxation, and land use” with no meaningful resident input or voter recourse. Following public pressure, the project's developer agreed to reduce its footprint by 75% and commit to environmental mitigation. The relevant lesson for this draft is procedural: meaningful public hearing rights and elected-body oversight, which this draft does preserve through the conditional use permit and public hearing process, should not be narrowed or delegated to a non-elected body in any future amendment or in how this draft is administered. 9. The draft contains no data-center-specific lighting standard, despite well-documented health effects of nighttime light Sections 4.2.69(F), 4.2.70(F), and 4.3.35(E) require only that outdoor lighting comply with the Land Development Code's general Section 4.1.3 standard — the same standard applied to any commercial or industrial use. A facility operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week is not the same lighting situation as a typical business that closes at night, yet no provision in this draft requires shielded, downward-directed, motion-activated, or warm-spectrum lighting specific to continuous-operation facilities. This matters because the health effects of nighttime light exposure are well-established in the scientific literature, independent of any data-center-specific controversy. Chronic exposure to artificial light at night disrupts melatonin secretion and circadian rhythm regulation, with documented associations to sleep disorders, metabolic dysregulation, and increased cardiometabolic and mood-disorder risk. Even dim light exposure at night has been shown to suppress melatonin production measurably in healthy adults. A 24/7 facility sited near homes, with only generic commercial lighting standards, creates exactly the kind of chronic, continuous light exposure this research addresses. I am asking the Commission to add a data-center-specific outdoor lighting standard requiring full-cutoff, downward-shielded fixtures, lighting curfews where operationally feasible, and limits on uplight and color temperature near residential property.

			10. The draft has no decommissioning, abandonment, or site-restoration requirement Nothing in this draft addresses what happens when a data center closes, is abandoned, or becomes obsolete. There is no requirement for a decommissioning plan, no performance bond or escrow to fund removal of equipment and remediation of the site, and no provision addressing what becomes of generators, batteries, or cooling infrastructure left in place. Industrial and infrastructure uses elsewhere routinely include decommissioning bonds precisely because the entity that built a facility may not be the same entity, or may no longer exist, by the time it closes. Without this requirement, the cost and risk of an abandoned facility — including any contamination from fuel storage, batteries, or refrigerants — falls on Louisville Metro and surrounding property owners, not the developer. I am asking the Commission to require a decommissioning and site-restoration plan, backed by a bond or equivalent financial assurance, as a condition of any conditional use permit or registration. 11. Battery energy storage systems are permitted with no fire-safety standard specific to their documented risks The draft permits battery backup systems, including large-scale Energy Storage Systems (ESS), as an alternative to combustion generators (§ 4.2.69(E), 4.3.35(D), 4.3.36(D), 4.4.14(C)), but imposes no safety standard specific to lithium-ion battery installations. This is a meaningful gap: large-scale battery storage carries a well-documented risk of thermal runaway, a self-sustaining chemical reaction that can cause fire, explosion, and release of toxic gases, and is difficult to suppress with conventional fire equipment once started. This is not a theoretical risk. A 2025 battery fire at a government data center in Daejeon, South Korea destroyed hundreds of battery packs and disabled government digital services for nearly a week. A separate lithium-ion battery fire at a data center in Hillsboro, Oregon burned for five hours and produced toxic smoke that resisted standard suppression. A battery room fire at a Microsoft data campus in Goodyear, Arizona caused a small explosion and required firefighters to navigate live high-voltage equipment to reach it. National safety standards already exist for this exact risk, including NFPA 855 (the standard for installation of stationary energy storage systems) and UL 9540A (testing for thermal runaway propagation). I am asking the Commission to require compliance with NFPA 855 and UL 9540/9540A, or equivalent current standards, as an explicit condition for any battery energy storage system permitted under this draft, rather than treating batteries as a generically safer alternative to combustion generators without their own safety conditions. 12. The ownership-disclosure requirement contains an exemption that could defeat its own purpose The draft's ownership-disclosure provisions (§ 4.2.69(T), 4.2.70(S), 4.3.35(Q), 4.3.36(S)) are presented as a core transparency protection, and I support requiring disclosure of who owns and benefits from a proposed facility. But each of these sections exempts from disclosure any “beneficial interest which is represented by stock in corporations registered with the federal securities exchange commission.” Most large data center developers and the hyperscale technology companies that operate or lease them are themselves publicly traded, SEC-registered

			corporations. As written, this exemption could allow the very entities the disclosure requirement is meant to identify to decline disclosure on the grounds that their ownership is held through public stock, defeating the purpose of the provision for exactly the largest and most consequential projects this draft is meant to govern. I am asking the Commission to clarify that this exemption applies only to disclosing individual public shareholders of a corporation, not to exempt the parent corporation, developer, or primary user itself from disclosure when it is the applicant or beneficial owner of the project. 13. Low-impact site design standards have no measurable targets and cannot be enforced as written Section 4.2.69(Q) and parallel provisions direct developments to “minimize land disturbance,” “maximize tree preservation,” and “minimize impervious surfaces,” but set no percentage, ratio, or other measurable standard for any of these requirements. As written, an applicant could satisfy this section by preserving a single tree or a small fraction of the site's tree canopy and still be in technical compliance, because the draft does not say how much preservation or how little impervious surface is required. I am asking the Commission to add specific, numeric minimum tree canopy retention and maximum impervious surface coverage standards, consistent with standards already used elsewhere in this Land Development Code for other large-scale industrial uses, so this section is actually enforceable rather than aspirational. 14. Waste heat is addressed only as a neighbor nuisance, not as a resource or a cumulative climate burden Section 4.2.69(J) requires that waste heat from ventilation and exhaust be directed away from adjacent properties, which addresses the immediate neighbor-nuisance concern but treats all waste heat as a problem to be exported elsewhere rather than a resource to be captured or a cumulative burden to be measured. Other jurisdictions with greater data center experience have begun requiring or incentivizing waste heat capture for district heating or other beneficial reuse, rather than treating heat dissipation as the only goal. This draft also does not address the cumulative contribution of multiple data centers to local urban heat island effects, which compounds the energy efficiency and climate goals already stated elsewhere in Section R. I am asking the Commission to require applicants to evaluate waste heat reuse opportunities as part of the Energy Efficiency standards in Section R, and to study cumulative heat island impact alongside the cumulative air and noise impact review requested in Sections 1 and 8 above. 15. On jobs: the Commission should require evidence, not assume it The draft requires good-faith efforts toward 30% local workforce hours and prevailing wages for construction work — reasonable provisions for the construction phase. But data centers are not labor-intensive to operate once built; permanent operational staffing for large facilities is typically a small fraction of construction-phase employment. Before this amendment is used to justify large approvals on economic-development grounds, I am asking the Commission to require applicants to disclose projected permanent (not just construction) job counts

			and wage ranges as part of the application, so the public and Council can evaluate the actual, ongoing employment benefit against the land, water, power, and infrastructure commitments being made. Conclusion I am not asking the Commission to abandon this amendment. I am asking it to close the specific gaps above — air monitoring and cumulative review, consistent and stronger setbacks, noise measured at the receiving property rather than the source, a binding water-conservation requirement with usage reporting, a low-frequency noise and vibration standard, independent verification of ratepayer protections, an explicit statement that the size cap is a ceiling and not a target, wastewater discharge-quality monitoring, a nuisance standard independent of numeric decibel compliance, clear transition provisions to avoid future vested-rights disputes, protection of public hearing rights from future delegation, a data-center-specific lighting standard, a decommissioning and site-restoration bond, a battery fire-safety standard tied to NFPA 855 and UL 9540A, closure of the SEC-stock ownership-disclosure loophole, measurable tree canopy and impervious surface targets, a waste-heat reuse and heat-island review, and real disclosure of permanent jobs created. None of these are hypothetical concerns — each has already produced a lawsuit, a settlement, a documented safety incident, or a regulatory appeal in another jurisdiction. Louisville residents' health, our shared water and power infrastructure, and the long-term character of our industrial corridors should not depend on the minimum a developer can get away with, and should not require Louisville to learn these lessons the same way Oregon, Michigan, Massachusetts, South Korea, Arizona, and Cave City already have. I ask that these points be entered into the record and addressed before any recommendation is forwarded to Metro Council.
638	LaFever	40272	No data center needed here. The visible and invisible health risks are to much. Actual experience and people's testimonies prove the centers are not good. We have enough data information already.
639	Ferris	40245	I am a commercial real estate appraiser working in Louisville. We simply must allow data centers to be constructed in Jefferson County. I recommend the following: 1. PEC zoning instead of M-3. Data centers are more similar to large office or flex industrial properties than concrete factories. M-3 is too restrictive. 2. No conditional use permit needed. Investors will shy away from Louisville if they cannot be certain their plan is approved if they meet all the criteria. With the extra step of obtaining a CUP, you are unnecessarily burdening the developer.

			3. No limit on building size. Zoning should focus on land use, not on building use. The PEC requirements are more than adequate to limit building size in comparison to the amount of acreage.
640	Zierer	40222	Have heard from other community discussions around the country that water usage is more than what has been predicted, even with a closed-loop type of system. In addition, will this mean more air pollution and carbon footprint for the citizens of Louisville? Here's an idea, not allow any type of "bit coins" which produce nothing and uses lots of energy and produces excessive heat. All bit coin does is enable people to launder money and avoid taxes and gives back nothing.
641	Sturtevant	40241	Ban hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet; If this is legally struck down we would have NO regulations in place for hyperscale data centers leaving the city vulnerable to another huge operation. Require any proposed developments to be located in industrial areas while smaller-scale facilities that support existing employers and business needs would be allowed in limited areas; Require re-zoning to a new data center zone classification. All data centers must follow the same ordinances to avoid impacting adjacent properties. Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; YES Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required;Yes Limit data center development to designated industrial areas;No: Rezone to a dedicated data center zone so that there is public input and oversight. Require environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices;YES Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities; 500 ft is appropriate in many cases. Require developers to pay for an impact study before approval of a conditional use permit in ALL cases, not just for larger buidings. Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations;Require a CUP for all data centers large and small. The square footage of the building is an arbitrary distinction unrelated to environmental impacts. Adding accessory data centers could lead to exceeding legal limits. Instead look at the total impact of the campus on the adjacent properties in an expert prescreening before the CUP is granted. Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment;YES. Currently proposed rules do not prohibit on-site power generation. This should be prohibited and treated as a separate use

			requiring its own zoning. There is no regulation against low frequency infrasound. Yet this is a major concern across the country. Please add this. Also a provision for monitoring of sound and other possible nuisances over time to assure continued compliance. Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development; Yes. And require updates if the property is sold. Could a NYSE listed company supply money for the purchase through a limited partnership and evade the current transparency requirement? Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction. Good idea, but can the city require this?
642	Shapero	40059	Dear Sirs, I provide the following recommendations I believe are necessary to the Department's Recommended Revision to Louisville Metro Draft Data Center Text Amendments. Without these changes, citizens of Louisville are left at serious risk of severe and irreparable impact that could flow from data center development in Metro Louisville. These recommendation reference technical change proposals that were developed by Kentucky Resources Council working together with a diverse group of concerned local residents and citizen organizations. You can view the KRC source document at the following link: https://kyrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf Here are my recommendations cross-referenced to the associated KRC document: 1) A new Industrial Data Center zoning requirement and Conditional Use Permit should be required for all data centers regardless of size. This will ensure even application of all standards and guarantee public notification and opportunities for public input when a data center is proposed. (KRC Recommendations 1,2 and 3) 2) Meaningful, mandatory noise restrictions that encompass vibration, infrasound and low-frequency cooling-fan hum, including requirements for a pre-construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer and post-construction compliance testing as well as ongoing, real-time monitoring should be required. (KRC recommendations #4) 3) Elimination of the draft's proposed "Data Center, Accessory" classification that exempts some data center applications from any new rules whatsoever should be eliminated, as should exemptions provided for "Telecommunication Data Centers". (KRC recommendations #5,6) 4) Narrowing of the testing window for backup generators to weekdays between noon-5 p.m. should be required. (KRC recommendation #7) 5) To avoid serious impacts to the surrounding area and to the water supply, proposed text language should require data centers to employ closed-loop cooling systems rather than just suggesting it. (KRC recommendations

			#7) 6) Language should be added to prohibit and monitor ambient heat increases beyond the data-center property perimeter. (KRC recommendations #7) 7) Design, lighting and fencing standards should be improved to prevent eyesores and light pollution. (KRC recommendations #7) 8) Proposed setback standards should require 500 feet from all perimeter property lines, 1,500 feet from any Sensitive Use, or any Residential- Commercial- or Agricultural-district boundary, and 1,000-2,000-foot setbacks for any emission point or exhaust stack. (KRC recommendations #7) 9) Additional rules to address on-property, behind-the-meter, primary electrical generation should be required. The current Metro proposal addresses only backup electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, behind-the-fence electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring its own zoning change. (KRC recommendations #7) 10) Strengthening of water protections should be required that address impacts on water availability, quality and rates. (KRC recommendations #7) 11) There should be a requirement for the applicant to submit plans that demonstrate how each of the substantive standards in the attached KRC documents will be met during construction and operation. (KRC recommendations #8) 12) All data center applications should require studies and assessments to demonstrate compliance with standards. (KRC recommendations #9) 13) There should be a provision that allows the Planning Commission to retain an independent expert review of the proposal with funding provided by the applicant. The study should be available for public review prior to the public comment/hearing phase of review. (KRC recommendations 11) The detailed technical requirements for a proposed ordinance developed by KRC with assistance from local groups are attached. It can also be found at the following link: KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf
643	Dries	40245	Although the draft regulations appear to include some improvements, several vague, subjective, and aspirational add-ons will be difficult to interpret by all parties. My general comments include:

			1st - Economic Development. The restrictive and difficult to understand regulations appear inconsistent with the future economic realities of AI and Data Centers for major metropolitan areas. For example: - KY HB 8 (2024 RS) states that "the inducement of the location of data center projects within the Commonwealth is of paramount importance to the economic well-being of the Commonwealth." - The large and growing annual capital expenditure in the U.S. will likely be missed if the proposed regulations are adopted, even though Louisville Metro is at a competitive advantage in terms of its central location, abundance of water, access to power, and support by the KY State Legislature. - A Fortune article (May 2026) states that while AI represents a relatively small share of the U.S. economy, the growth impact is staggering. Economists attribute over 75% of the U.S. GDP growth directly to AI and Data Center infrastructure improvements. Data Centers already appear to be a way of life for today's economy, increasingly used by almost all individuals and businesses for health, financial, educational, communication, technology, and other daily decisions. 2nd - Onerous and overly restrictive requirements. - Any size maximum (such as 500K SF) appears: highly arbitrary especially for a large metropolitan area; contrary to KY State Legislation supporting large Data Centers; and inconsistent with ULI national land planning guidelines for Data Centers . . . instead of determining whether a site possesses the infrastructure, acreage, and site characteristics to support the proposed use. - The new regulations appear inconsistent with M-2 and M-3 zoning classifications for a land use that more closely resembles a warehouse (but with a much smaller volume of traffic and trucks). The proposed regulations often seem arbitrary (without precedent) rather than considering established standards such as the Urban Land Institute (ULI) Guidelines for Data Center Development. As stated by ULI, Data Centers should be permitted in all industrial categories, from light industrial to heavier industrial . . . including following the same height, setback and landscaping requirements. - An additional Conditional Use requirement should be avoided, whenever possible. When many communities are trying to avoid administrative bottlenecks and other unnecessary time delays, the approval process should allow full public input but avoid extending a project's timeframe with multiple separate public approval obstacles. - The new regulations should be very clear on environmental requirements if more stringent than the many existing and changing Federal, State, and Local regulations. The proposed ambiguous, subjective, and arbitrary language will likely result in unnecessary future legal action by both Data Center advocates and opposition. Avoid

			vague terms such as: "minimize land disturbance" and "maximize tree preservation". Electrical and water considerations are complicated, rapidly changing, and managed by other State and Local agencies (other than the Land Development Code), with public input available for approvals of major utilities. Major financial forces will continue to drive electric and water conservation, without complicating local land use regulations related to electricity and water utilities. - Proposed requirements related to Ownership Disclosure and Primary User Disclosure seem beneficial "if known at the time of application". However ownership has many different paths and the ultimate owner of a multi-billion-dollar investment often does not commit to a purchase or lease until construction is imminent. Imminent construction may be 4 or 5 years later than a Development Application for a Data Center. There may be several different Owners or Lessees (and multiple minority owners) along the way until construction is imminent. Ownership and Primary User disclosures should be similar to other rezoning and development applications in Jefferson County. 3rd - Summary. Data Center impacts should definitely be addressed in the LDC. However, impact resolution should be understandable and measurable, and consistent with other similar uses, and not arbitrary, vague, and onerous. Clear, objective standards provide legal protections, streamline permitting, and ensure developers, neighbors, and Metro staff all play by the same rules. Ambiguous wording can lead to arbitrary enforcement, costly litigation, and a difficult process for local property owners. Otherwise, global technology leaders interested in the Louisville Metro area will look to the closest, forward-looking county in KY (other than Louisville Metro), even with far less electric, water, communication, workforce, and corporate infrastructure than what is in place and available in Louisville Metro.
644	Vail	40245	Dear Sir or Madam, I really appreciate the opportunity to express my opposition to data centers. I do think that your proposed stipulations though sound good. However, I do think limiting structures to 500,000 is way too large, and that limiting them to 250,000 sq ft or less would be more appropriate. And why can't solar panels be installed on the flat roof? From polling, about 70% of the population do not want data centers in their area. A number of KY cities have even banned them with more doing so every day. These huge buildings require massive amounts of energy, demand large amounts of water as well as create disruption to established lifestyles and destruction of forested areas and farmland. Many of the proposed data centers are shrouded in secrecy which creates suspicion. It seems that mostly the only people supporting data centers are those who expect to reap a profit and get a tax break or some politicians who will benefit from

			generated taxes. Yet, neighboring people will bear the brunt of these negative impacts in addition to dealing with increased traffic, light pollution and noise. The AI technology which I believe will be the main concern of data centers may be intrusive, illegal and unproven. Among other things AI is being used for nefarious activities by imitating people and their voices doing unscrupulous things. AI is becoming more and more prevalent in schools which has degraded a students' ability to think independently. This whole concept makes me concerned about what detrimental effects AI will have on learning and education. I also don't see how Jefferson Co. will benefit from these data centers. There will be construction employment, but how many people will be employed full time at these places? I suspect not many. Just like in the coal industry; much coal was exported, the miners had many health problems, the land was ravaged, and many miners are now unemployed. Please do not allow a similar one-way system in Jefferson County by allowing these data centers to be built anywhere in our area. Carpe Diem, Robert Vail
645	Minnick	40204	NO DATA CENTERS. They will create environmental disasters. They will create an incredible burden on the working people as they cause our utility rates to go up. The proposed data center is absolutely environmental racism. The people of Louisville deserve better! We all deserve to live in a clean, healthy, and safe community. If you wouldn't want a data center next to your house, then don't build one next to someone else's house!
646	baldwin	40220	A ban on all future data centers should be imposed, regardless of the size. And if the hyper--scale center asks for any waiver or conditional use permit, it should be subject to any new regulations that will be enacted. There is no mention in the plan as to any limit in the number of data centers, regardless of type or size. If any new data installations are allowed - no matter what you choose to call them- they should be required to provide 100% of their power needs: gas and electric through geothermal, solar, wind power, etc. Closed loop water systems should be required, not "encouraged." No waivers. Any installation, including all equipment and buildings should not produce more than a cumulative 65dBA. I do not see that the proposed text amendment addresses the vibrations that this equipment produces. Substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities would be far greater than those proposed in the plan. If any further installations are allowed, they should be at least a mile from any of these structures. Thank you for soliciting input.
647	Pfister	40216	I appreciate "require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities" but parks and wildlife areas should be included in this list. Additionally, how much distance is "substantial separation"?

648	S	40217	We have a chance to be a model for this in the region and I think to do that, more changes need to be made. SURVEY RESPONSE: · The scale that is allowed is still WAY too big. Typically hyperscale facilities are over 10,000 sq ft. with at least 5,000 servers. · Closed loop cooling should not just be encouraged, it should be required. This is becoming a pretty standard requirement in areas getting interest from data centers. · In general, a LOT of the “standards” are too squishy and have no teeth. A lot of using words like “encourage” and “should” instead of mandating language. Very vague things like “minimize impervious surfaces” or “maximize tree preservation” that doesn’t establish any real standard to review against. · Should have separation requirements from more uses like zoos/animal sanctuaries/rescues and correctional facilities. · There needs to be more assurances about the enforcement of the requirements. Like if the developer’s evidence that utility costs won’t shift turns out to be wrong, what happens then? One of Louisville Metro’s biggest issues is enforcement because they don’t have the capacity required to actually enforce things they need to e.g. short-term rentals. Having standards on the books is meaningless to residents if there is no enforcement. · Look at standards implemented in communities like Cheyenne, WY. They also have a great page on their city website about data centers that is very useful for residents to get information about data centers and what the city is doing to try to mitigate impacts and protects the residents. · Utah is a great state to look at for lessons learned because they were not prepared. Let’s be an example and protect our residents.
649	Adams	40206	I oppose any data centers in Jefferson County and support any legislation that prevents them. At the very least, any proposed data centers should be limited in size, provide environmental protection, have buffer zones, and result in absolutely no economic burden to residents, citizens, taxpayers. No financial tax incentives should be considered and any infrastructure costs should be born entirely by the developer not passed along to existing rate payers
650	Shelden	40202	Great that hyperscale centers would be banned, but 500K sq ft is still too large How could the city possibly enforce energy rate increases caused by data centers? Plus the environmental impact of these centers generating their own power if they do honor the restriction to limit energy consumption so as

			not to overtax the grid (which is not likely unless the fine for breaking this reg is so expensive as to outweigh the benefits, which seems unlikely) is not something we want to deal with in our city--they usually choose the cheapest means of internal power generation which also means the dirtiest.
651	Miller	40291	Keep data centers out of Louisville!!! Data centers create tons of noise, light, and air pollution that harm our most vulnerable communities. Residents are forced to pay for these centers and the exorbitant energy costs associated with their operation and maintenance. Data centers are used for generative AI, and AI is a dangerous, unregulated technology that exploits and harms the working class. Data centers and AI will be the end of humanity, increasing the divide between the richest of the rich and rest of the world all while destroying the planet. FUCK AI! FUCK DATA CENTERS!
652	Dahlem	40207	Data center/its developers should be required to do routine testing of water, soil, etc around and in conjunction with said facility. Such testing should be performed by a party with no connection to the developer/data center. Data Center should be held liable for any environmental adverse effects resultant from the data center.
653	Johnson	40217	My first thought is, can you vague this up a little. The legalese incorporated in this draft obfuscates: definition of "limited areas", type of evidence required to "prove" facilities will not shift costs to residents for water or electricity, use in designated industrial areas except..., specific environmental impact analyses for use in construction and site design, who will be utilizing data center - entity or far-flung clients of entity, and what standards for separation from homes, schools, and daycare centers will be utilized. I did not read any standards for noise pollution. These recommendations should be backed with examples from popular and successful data centers in the Midwest.
654	Smith	40214	Why are we even considering this? When the centers that are here have proven they destroy land water people's health. Why does nobody care about our children's future? Is making a dam dollar really that important?
655	Furnish	40205	No data centers in Jefferson County. Develop rules and regulations first with input from residents, environmentalists, financial planners, etc
656	Furnish	40214	They make electricity more expensive for regular people and have detrimental environmental effects. If they are going to build them, they need to be in industrial areas, generate their own (solar) electricity, recycle their cooling water, pay fair share of taxes to benefit the community. They also need to be 100% transparent in their actions and not contribute to the corrosion of privacy.
657	Bird	40214	Data should not be allowed in Kentucky. If an entity proposes a data center, the citizens should vote on it. It should not be given away by policy makers. Energies and monies used for data sinners should be used for infrastructure and services for citizens. To quote a favorite son of Kentucky, Muhammad Ali boxer and world, champion humanitarian. "Service to one another is the price we pay for this life on earth"

658	Cavender	40217	I don't want to see any new data centers built anywhere in Jefferson county, anywhere in Kentucky, or anywhere in the rest of the country. Enough is enough. Nobody wants these things.
659	Parsley	40206	Ask him Please don't build any computer data processing center's in Louisville depleting our creeks and rivers and harming our water supply.
660	Ruth	40241	Louisville is a fast growing urban heat oasis and we do not need another loud industry near homes. We already tolerate enough. This will increase our temps. This is a valley and heat and pollution from the generators will sit over the city not drift away because of wind current.
661	Clark	40214	I do not support data centers in our community exploiting our finite resources.
662	Oster	40214	I strongly oppose hyperscale data centers. I also oppose the loop hole of building many smaller data centers and then saying "well, they aren't hyperscale." They are not progress! They are energy sucking killers and destroyers of environment. Detrimental to wildlife, Detrimental to human health, light and noise polluters with zero added benefit for the World. I stand against data centers consuming our farmland, forested areas and consuming our much valued water. I kindly ask our representatives to always vote against the construction of any more.
663	Doctor	40059	Destroying the environment, taking water out of the creek and multiplying like there's no tomorrow.
664	Boyd	40213	I do not want any data centers of any kind in Jefferson county, they are horrible for the environment, make tons of noise and disruption to neighborhoods.
665	KUPPERSMITH	40207	I was gratified to see that you finally came up with guidelines for Data Centers. That move plus asking for public input was appreciated! However, I have been involved with several Louisville groups, interested in Data Centers. We asked Tom Fitzgerald (who helped write guidelines for Data centers) from the Kentucky Resources Council, to look at the guidelines you came up with. He found that there were several points missing from the document that would protect the people of Louisville and I hope you will consider adding them to the document. You see many folks are aware that they get little from Data Centers so especially do not want to be hurt by them! I am adding points from the KRC document which can be found at: https://kyrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf. After my suggestions I will note the KRC recommendation number. 1) A new Industrial Data Center zoning requirement and Conditional Use Permit should be required for all data centers regardless of size. This will ensure even application of all standards and guarantee public notification and opportunities for public input when a data center is proposed. (KRC Recommendations 1,2 and 3) 2) Elimination of the draft's proposed "Data Center, Accessory" classification that exempts some data center applications from any new rules whatsoever should be eliminated, as should exemptions provided for "Telecommunication Data Centers". (KRC recommendations #5,6)

			3) There should be a provision that allows the Planning Commission to retain an independent expert review of the proposal with funding provided by the applicant. The study should be available for public review prior to the public comment/hearing phase of review. (KRC recommendations 11) 4) Meaningful, mandatory noise restrictions that encompass vibration, infrasound and low-frequency cooling-fan hum, including requirements for a pre-construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer and post-construction compliance testing as well as ongoing, real-time monitoring should be required. (KRC recommendations #4) 5) To avoid serious impacts to the surrounding area and to the water supply, proposed text language should require data centers to employ closed-loop cooling systems rather than just suggesting it. (KRC recommendations #7) 6) Proposed setback standards should require 500 feet from all perimeter property lines, 1,500 feet from any Sensitive Use, or any Residential- Commercial- or Agricultural-district boundary, and 1,000-2,000-foot setbacks for any emission point or exhaust stack. (KRC recommendations #7) 7) Strengthening of water protections should be required that address impacts on water availability, quality and rates. (KRC recommendations #7) 8) Narrowing of the testing window for backup generators to weekdays between noon-5 p.m. should be required. (KRC recommendation #7) 9) All data center applications should require studies and assessments to demonstrate compliance with standards. (KRC recommendations #9) 10) Language should be added to prohibit and monitor ambient heat increases beyond the data-center property perimeter. (KRC recommendations #7) 11) Design, lighting and fencing standards should be improved to prevent eyesores and light pollution. (KRC recommendations #7) 12) Additional rules to address on-property, behind-the-meter, primary electrical generation should be required. The current Metro proposal addresses only backup electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, behind-the-fence electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring its own zoning change. (KRC recommendations #7)

			Thanks for your consideration! Nancy Kuppersmith
666	King	40204	I absolutely OPPOSE the construction of Data Centers in this ongoing boondoggle of the tech industry ramming AI down our collective throats, threatening our jobs and the environment in the process. I don't think Data Centers belong anywhere in Kentucky, much less Jefferson County. They are huge resource sucks, and pose threats to our water use, and exacerbate climate change in the amount of energy they require. They offer nothing equal in return; it's the despoiling of the health and well-being of ordinary citizens at the hands of the ultra-rich, all over again. DO NOT BUILD
667	Winstead	40213	Just because you CAN do something does not mean you SHOULD. I oppose the building of data centers based on the unregulated data market. Until we start using this technology to increase the quality of life for everyone, I will oppose it. We should not be using our limited resources (like water) for idiotic entertainment value.
668	Cralle	40222	I am asking the county to carefully evaluate whether this project serves the long-term interests of our community. Before any approval is granted, I request independent studies on water demand, electrical infrastructure, environmental impacts, noise, and fiscal costs. If these studies demonstrate significant impacts, the county should either deny the application or require enforceable mitigation measures to protect residents
669	Cooley	40217	These are surveillance centers and will destroy this city, the surrounding environment, cost citizens money they do not have. It's a scourge against democracy and should not be allowed to happen here.
670	Reilly	40214	I do not feel that the economic benefits of data centers in Louisville outweigh the long-term impacts on our water, energy, land use, and quality of life. In addition, Louisville already struggles to maintain infrastructure and a data center will only place more strain on local resources while increasing the cost of electricity for local residents who are already struggling to pay their existing bills. Don't let Louisville be the early adopter of these monstrosities - let other places work the experiment Louisville can learn from them down the road. Bottom line, at this point the local costs outweigh the local benefits.
671	Lepore	40208	Data center will increase my bills, and the city's air quality will be affected poorly, I don't want a data center in Louisville.
672	kinsel	40205	Absolutely not. AI will be the ruin of humanity, starting with natural resources and ending with altering reality as we know it. I will actively and vocally oppose it at every opportunity.
673	mcmahan	40067	Investments in commercial and private real estate in my area have been notable in recent decades. Most updates to municipal infrastructure that I am aware of -- roads, parks, drainage, water treatment, etc -- have targeted related demographic shifts. Material productivity of remaining farm operations being limited. What do projections tell you about the site requirements and resource demands of established data centers, located in adjacent regions? And how does that square with available infrastructure, in relation to currently proposed Shelby County operations?
674	Boody	40216	I have a piece of property at 6706-6708 Lower Hunters Trace th would make an excellent location for a small data center. It sits within our Louisville;Jefferson County riverport and at the corner and side lloc.altion of the Greenbelt

			Highway Highway and Lower Hunters Trace. If they are money making, informatínal and need the electrical grid, why could that not be used in empowering our riverport ? Louisville Gas owns a piece of the property to the left as well as metro. Why not use it to enhance growth in the riverport community. Also, that corner has long been vacant and in need of great developing to enhance the corridor.. Interested
675	Ivory	40211	1. The draft does not adequately protect air quality, and contains no mechanism to verify compliance after approval Section 4.2.69(E) and parallel provisions in 4.3.35 and 4.3.36 permit backup generators to be “classified as, or similar to, a Tier IV diesel generator.” Tier IV is the cleanest EPA emissions tier for diesel equipment, but it is not zero-emission — these units still emit nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, and carbon monoxide, pollutants with well-established links to asthma, cardiovascular strain, and respiratory illness, especially for children, the elderly, and people with existing conditions. The draft allows weekly testing of outdoor generators with no cap on duration, and provides no requirement for: Ambient air quality monitoring at or near facility boundaries, before or after construction; Public reporting of actual generator runtime and emissions once a facility is operational; Cumulative impact review when multiple data centers, or a data center plus other industrial uses, are sited in the same corridor. Each facility is evaluated in isolation. Nothing in this draft asks what happens when several large emitters cluster in the same M-3 or M-2 area over time. I am asking the Commission to add a continuous air-monitoring requirement and a cumulative-impact analysis for any district where more than one data center of 50,000 square feet or greater is proposed within a defined radius. 2. Setback and separation distances are inconsistent and, for smaller facilities, inadequate

			The draft scales protection by facility size in a way that leaves the people living closest to smaller facilities with the thinnest protection: Facilities over 250,000 sq ft (§ 4.2.69): 200-foot setback and separation from homes, schools, and child care centers. Facilities 50,001–250,000 sq ft (§ 4.3.36): 100-foot setback and separation. Facilities under 50,000 sq ft (§ 4.3.35): only 25-foot setback and separation. Telecommunications data centers in C-3 districts (§ 4.2.70): only 25 feet. A facility under the 50,000 sq ft threshold can still house generators, cooling equipment, and 24/7 mechanical systems — yet it is allowed within 25 feet of a home, daycare, or school. Noise, light, and localized air pollutant exposure do not become harmless because a facility is smaller; they simply affect fewer people, who deserve the same protection as everyone else. I am asking the Commission to raise the minimum separation for all data center categories, with no facility allowed less than 100 feet from a residence, school, or child care center regardless of size. 3. The noise standard is measured at the property line, not at the affected home Every size category in this draft uses the same noise limit: 65 dBA “measured at or beyond any property boundary of the sound source.” For a large industrial site, the property line may sit hundreds of feet from where people actually live, meaning a facility can be fully compliant on paper while still producing significant, audible noise at a neighboring home. I am asking that the noise standard instead be measured at the nearest residential property line or structure, not the source site's own boundary, and that the Commission consider whether 65 dBA — comparable to continuous traffic noise — is an appropriate limit for areas adjacent to homes and schools at all. 4. Ratepayer protections lack enforcement, and infrastructure cost-shifting is not actually prevented The draft states a goal of protecting utility customers from cost-shifting and requires developers to bear infrastructure upgrade costs. These are the right goals. But the mechanism is thin: a “transmission study request” and an “executed service agreement” with LG&E, and a finding that utility capacity is “available” without “adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers” (§ 4.2.69(M), (P)). Nothing in the draft specifies who verifies that finding independently, what happens if costs are shifted after the fact, or what recourse ratepayers have. I am asking the Commission to require an independent, public-facing cost-allocation review by the Public Service Commission or equivalent body before any conditional use permit is granted, not merely a private agreement between the developer and the utility.

			5. The water provisions rely on encouragement, not requirements, despite documented strain on municipal supplies elsewhere Sections 4.2.69(I) and 4.3.36(H) only “encourage” closed-loop cooling systems; nothing in the draft requires them. This matters because the difference between cooling technologies is large and well-documented. Evaporative cooling systems consume water directly, drawing primarily from municipal, potable supplies; closed-loop systems recirculate water and can reduce consumption substantially. Without a binding requirement, a developer has no obligation to choose the lower-impact technology. The scale at issue is not hypothetical. A single large data center can withdraw millions of gallons of water per day, and nationally, data centers' water consumption from public systems is projected to keep rising as a share of total municipal supply, with effects concentrated locally rather than spread evenly. Communities with large data center concentrations, such as Loudoun County, Virginia, have seen water consumption from data centers climb into the hundreds of millions of gallons annually, with local impacts on supply that are far more significant than national averages suggest. The draft's only safeguard is a “Will Serve Letter” from the Louisville Water Company confirming capacity exists at the time of application. That is a one-time capacity check, not an ongoing consumption limit, and it does not require disclosure of which cooling technology will be used or how much water the facility will actually consume once operating. I am asking the Commission to: (1) require closed-loop or equivalently low-consumption cooling as a condition of approval, not merely encourage it; (2) require applicants to disclose projected daily water withdrawal and consumption figures, by cooling technology, as part of the application; and (3) require periodic reporting of actual water use after the facility is operational, so the community and the Louisville Water Company can track cumulative impact across multiple facilities over time, not just at the moment of approval. 6. The noise standard does not account for low-frequency vibration that conventional decibel measurement misses The draft's 65 dBA standard relies on standard A-weighted sound measurement. Industry and public health sources examining data center noise complaints elsewhere have identified a specific, documented gap: standard decibel meters are tuned to how the human ear perceives mid-range frequencies and systematically under-measure the low-frequency noise and infrasound produced by large HVAC systems, chillers, and industrial fans running continuously. Residents near existing large-scale data center clusters — including in Virginia, where the industry is most concentrated — have reported a persistent, low-frequency hum or vibration felt inside homes that standard noise meters register as compliant even when residents experience real sleep disruption and physical discomfort.

			This is not purely anecdotal. Peer-reviewed research has examined how low-frequency sound and infrasound affect the body, including hemodynamic and neurological pathways, and at least one published study has reported elevated cortisol and physical discomfort from infrasound exposure even among people unaware they were being exposed. Separately, chronic nighttime noise exposure above relatively modest thresholds is independently linked in public health literature to sleep disturbance, hypertension, and cardiovascular strain — the kind of cumulative, 24/7 exposure a continuously operating facility would produce. The 65 dBA standard in this draft, measured only in standard A-weighted terms, does not address this gap. I am asking the Commission to add a supplemental low-frequency noise and vibration standard — measured in C-weighted decibels or an equivalent low-frequency metric, not A-weighted dBA alone — applicable at the nearest residential structure, and to require a pre- and post-construction noise and vibration study, consistent with approaches already adopted in jurisdictions with greater data center experience than Louisville Metro. 7. The amendment caps future facility size — it should not be read as license to maximize buildout up to that cap This draft sets a 500,000 square foot ceiling and channels larger facilities into M-3 industrial land. That is a real limit, and I support keeping a hard ceiling in place. But a cap is not a target. I am asking the Commission to make explicit, in the findings supporting this amendment, that approval of this text amendment is not an endorsement of building up to the maximum permitted size in every eligible district, and that future site-specific applications should still be evaluated for whether a smaller footprint would adequately serve the stated business need. 8. Claims raised in data center litigation elsewhere identify gaps this draft does not close Louisville Metro is not the first jurisdiction to confront this issue, and litigation already underway elsewhere in the country identifies concrete harms and legal vulnerabilities that this draft does not address. I am asking the Commission to review the following and close the corresponding gaps before recommending approval. Water contamination, not just water use. In March 2026, Amazon Data Services agreed to a \$20.5 million settlement in Pearson v. Port of Morrow, a federal class-action lawsuit in Oregon's Lower Umatilla Basin. Residents alleged that wastewater from Amazon's data centers, concentrated by evaporation during cooling, contributed to nitrate contamination affecting roughly 45,000 people who rely on well water, with health effects including risks to infants and increased cancer risk; more than 600 wells in the basin were found to have unsafe nitrate levels. Amazon denied wrongdoing but settled rather than litigate. This draft's wastewater provisions (§ 4.2.69(I), 4.3.36(H)) require connection to public sanitary sewer and discourage private well use for the facility itself, but contain no requirement to monitor or report what concentration of dissolved solids or contaminants is discharged from cooling systems, and no mechanism to detect cumulative effects on a watershed if and when more than one facility relies on the same wastewater infrastructure. I am asking the Commission to add a discharge-quality monitoring and public reporting requirement, not just a connection requirement.

			Noise as actionable nuisance, independent of decibel compliance. In Dowagiac, Michigan, residents filed a 2026 class-action lawsuit against a data center operator alleging nuisance and negligence from cooling-fan noise, with measured levels in the 60–70 dBA range — below or near the 65 dBA threshold this draft would impose. That suit puts squarely at issue whether compliance with a numeric decibel standard, by itself, defeats a nuisance claim if the noise still substantially interferes with a resident's use and enjoyment of their property. Separate 2026 suits in North Tonawanda, New York and Vineland, New Jersey likewise allege excessive noise, including low-frequency sound described as “vibrations,” and inadequate soundproofing. This draft's reliance on a single numeric threshold, without a parallel nuisance or unreasonable-interference standard, leaves Louisville residents in a similar position to these plaintiffs — facing noise a facility can argue is technically compliant while still substantially disrupting their homes. Cumulative impact review, raised directly in a Massachusetts regulatory appeal. In Lowell, Massachusetts, the community group Honest Future for Lowell is currently litigating an appeal of a state environmental permit that allowed a data center expansion — 27 diesel backup generators and 16 cooling towers — without any cumulative impacts analysis, and the presiding officer has already found that affected residents have standing to pursue the claim. The residents' filings center on air pollution from generators sited near homes, near-constant noise, and mist drift from cooling towers onto residential properties, combined with other existing pollution sources in the same neighborhood. This is the same gap identified in Section 1 of this comment: this draft permits each data center application to be reviewed in isolation, with no requirement to assess combined impact when multiple facilities, or a data center alongside other industrial uses, affect the same air, water, or noise-shed. Vested rights and moratoria — a live issue in Kentucky right now. In Cave City, Kentucky, Kentucky Industrial Alliance LLC sued the city in Barren Circuit Court in June 2026 after the city council adopted a 12-month moratorium on data center applications. The company argues it acquired vested development rights through land purchases and a submitted development plan before the moratorium took effect, and that the city's process violated due process and state zoning-notice requirements. This matters for Louisville because it illustrates the legal exposure a jurisdiction faces if it tries to pause or tighten data center rules after applications are already in the pipeline. I am asking the Commission to ensure this text amendment's effective date and any future amendments are paired with clear transition provisions for pending applications, so that Louisville Metro is not placed in the same legal position as Cave City if stronger protections are needed later and a developer claims vested rights to avoid them. Process and authority concerns, raised in Utah. In Box Elder County, Utah, a nonprofit and five residents sued in June 2026 over a large data center project, arguing that a special development authority was given “permanent, irrevocable control over public health, safety, taxation, and land use” with no meaningful resident input or voter recourse. Following public pressure, the project's developer agreed to reduce its footprint by 75% and commit to environmental mitigation. The relevant lesson for this draft is procedural: meaningful public hearing rights and

			elected-body oversight, which this draft does preserve through the conditional use permit and public hearing process, should not be narrowed or delegated to a non-elected body in any future amendment or in how this draft is administered. 9. The draft contains no data-center-specific lighting standard, despite well-documented health effects of nighttime light Sections 4.2.69(F), 4.2.70(F), and 4.3.35(E) require only that outdoor lighting comply with the Land Development Code's general Section 4.1.3 standard — the same standard applied to any commercial or industrial use. A facility operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week is not the same lighting situation as a typical business that closes at night, yet no provision in this draft requires shielded, downward-directed, motion-activated, or warm-spectrum lighting specific to continuous-operation facilities. This matters because the health effects of nighttime light exposure are well-established in the scientific literature, independent of any data-center-specific controversy. Chronic exposure to artificial light at night disrupts melatonin secretion and circadian rhythm regulation, with documented associations to sleep disorders, metabolic dysregulation, and increased cardiometabolic and mood-disorder risk. Even dim light exposure at night has been shown to suppress melatonin production measurably in healthy adults. A 24/7 facility sited near homes, with only generic commercial lighting standards, creates exactly the kind of chronic, continuous light exposure this research addresses. I am asking the Commission to add a data-center-specific outdoor lighting standard requiring full-cutoff, downward-shielded fixtures, lighting curfews where operationally feasible, and limits on uplight and color temperature near residential property. 10. The draft has no decommissioning, abandonment, or site-restoration requirement Nothing in this draft addresses what happens when a data center closes, is abandoned, or becomes obsolete. There is no requirement for a decommissioning plan, no performance bond or escrow to fund removal of equipment and remediation of the site, and no provision addressing what becomes of generators, batteries, or cooling infrastructure left in place. Industrial and infrastructure uses elsewhere routinely include decommissioning bonds precisely because the entity that built a facility may not be the same entity, or may no longer exist, by the time it closes. Without this requirement, the cost and risk of an abandoned facility — including any contamination from fuel storage, batteries, or refrigerants — falls on Louisville Metro and surrounding property owners, not the developer. I am asking the Commission to require a decommissioning and site-restoration plan, backed by a bond or equivalent financial assurance, as a condition of any conditional use permit or registration. 11. Battery energy storage systems are permitted with no fire-safety standard specific to their documented risks

			The draft permits battery backup systems, including large-scale Energy Storage Systems (ESS), as an alternative to combustion generators (§ 4.2.69(E), 4.3.35(D), 4.3.36(D), 4.4.14(C)), but imposes no safety standard specific to lithium-ion battery installations. This is a meaningful gap: large-scale battery storage carries a well-documented risk of thermal runaway, a self-sustaining chemical reaction that can cause fire, explosion, and release of toxic gases, and is difficult to suppress with conventional fire equipment once started. This is not a theoretical risk. A 2025 battery fire at a government data center in Daejeon, South Korea destroyed hundreds of battery packs and disabled government digital services for nearly a week. A separate lithium-ion battery fire at a data center in Hillsboro, Oregon burned for five hours and produced toxic smoke that resisted standard suppression. A battery room fire at a Microsoft data campus in Goodyear, Arizona caused a small explosion and required firefighters to navigate live high-voltage equipment to reach it. National safety standards already exist for this exact risk, including NFPA 855 (the standard for installation of stationary energy storage systems) and UL 9540A (testing for thermal runaway propagation). I am asking the Commission to require compliance with NFPA 855 and UL 9540/9540A, or equivalent current standards, as an explicit condition for any battery energy storage system permitted under this draft, rather than treating batteries as a generically safer alternative to combustion generators without their own safety conditions. 12. The ownership-disclosure requirement contains an exemption that could defeat its own purpose The draft's ownership-disclosure provisions (§ 4.2.69(T), 4.2.70(S), 4.3.35(Q), 4.3.36(S)) are presented as a core transparency protection, and I support requiring disclosure of who owns and benefits from a proposed facility. But each of these sections exempts from disclosure any “beneficial interest which is represented by stock in corporations registered with the federal securities exchange commission.” Most large data center developers and the hyperscale technology companies that operate or lease them are themselves publicly traded, SEC-registered corporations. As written, this exemption could allow the very entities the disclosure requirement is meant to identify to decline disclosure on the grounds that their ownership is held through public stock, defeating the purpose of the provision for exactly the largest and most consequential projects this draft is meant to govern. I am asking the Commission to clarify that this exemption applies only to disclosing individual public shareholders of a corporation, not to exempt the parent corporation, developer, or primary user itself from disclosure when it is the applicant or beneficial owner of the project. 13. Low-impact site design standards have no measurable targets and cannot be enforced as written Section 4.2.69(Q) and parallel provisions direct developments to “minimize land disturbance,” “maximize tree preservation,” and “minimize impervious surfaces,” but set no percentage, ratio, or other measurable standard for any of these requirements. As written, an applicant could satisfy this section by preserving a single tree or a small fraction of the site's tree canopy and still be in technical compliance, because the draft does not say how much preservation or how little impervious surface is required. I am asking the Commission to add specific, numeric minimum tree canopy retention and maximum impervious surface coverage standards, consistent with standards

		already used elsewhere in this Land Development Code for other large-scale industrial uses, so this section is actually enforceable rather than aspirational. 14. Waste heat is addressed only as a neighbor nuisance, not as a resource or a cumulative climate burden Section 4.2.69(J) requires that waste heat from ventilation and exhaust be directed away from adjacent properties, which addresses the immediate neighbor-nuisance concern but treats all waste heat as a problem to be exported elsewhere rather than a resource to be captured or a cumulative burden to be measured. Other jurisdictions with greater data center experience have begun requiring or incentivizing waste heat capture for district heating or other beneficial reuse, rather than treating heat dissipation as the only goal. This draft also does not address the cumulative contribution of multiple data centers to local urban heat island effects, which compounds the energy efficiency and climate goals already stated elsewhere in Section R. I am asking the Commission to require applicants to evaluate waste heat reuse opportunities as part of the Energy Efficiency standards in Section R, and to study cumulative heat island impact alongside the cumulative air and noise impact review requested in Sections 1 and 8 above. 15. On jobs: the Commission should require evidence, not assume it The draft requires good-faith efforts toward 30% local workforce hours and prevailing wages for construction work — reasonable provisions for the construction phase. But data centers are not labor-intensive to operate once built; permanent operational staffing for large facilities is typically a small fraction of construction-phase employment. Before this amendment is used to justify large approvals on economic-development grounds, I am asking the Commission to require applicants to disclose projected permanent (not just construction) job counts and wage ranges as part of the application, so the public and Council can evaluate the actual, ongoing employment benefit against the land, water, power, and infrastructure commitments being made. Conclusion I am not asking the Commission to abandon this amendment. I am asking it to close the specific gaps above — air monitoring and cumulative review, consistent and stronger setbacks, noise measured at the receiving property rather than the source, a binding water-conservation requirement with usage reporting, a low-frequency noise and vibration standard, independent verification of ratepayer protections, an explicit statement that the size cap is a ceiling and not a target, wastewater discharge-quality monitoring, a nuisance standard independent of numeric decibel compliance, clear transition provisions to avoid future vested-rights disputes, protection of public hearing rights from future delegation, a data-center-specific lighting standard, a decommissioning and site-restoration bond, a battery fire-safety standard tied to NFPA 855 and UL 9540A, closure of the SEC-stock ownership-disclosure loophole, measurable tree canopy and impervious surface targets, a waste-heat reuse and heat-island review, and real disclosure of permanent jobs created. None of these are hypothetical concerns —

			each has already produced a lawsuit, a settlement, a documented safety incident, or a regulatory appeal in another jurisdiction. Louisville residents' health, our shared water and power infrastructure, and the long-term character of our industrial corridors should not depend on the minimum a developer can get away with, and should not require Louisville to learn these lessons the same way Oregon, Michigan, Massachusetts, South Korea, Arizona, and Cave City already have. I ask that these points be entered into the record and addressed before any recommendation is forwarded to Metro Council.
676	Killough	40207	Data centers are not welcome in our community.
677	Kistler	42101	Noise and vibration is a serious consideration. Anyone who has lived near a facility with a siren understands how disruptive even such an intermittent loud sound can be. And with a data center the noise is CONSTANT! 24/7. The proposed 1500 feet of separation which some counties have proposed is nowhere near enough.
678	Ketchum	40203	DO NOT BUILD ANY DATA CENTERS ANYWHERE. STOP BEING BOUGHT OUT AND THINK ABOUT THE PEOPLE IN YOUR CITY AND THE FUTURE OF THE COMMUNITY AND FRANKLY THE NATION.
679	Laun	40217	Nobody wants any of this. Not here, not anywhere. Enough! Quit trying to shove this down our throats!!
680	Emly	40229	While the guidelines seem to be well thought out and in lines with the community needs, we too often see that money talks when these types of projects are approved by our council. This is especially concerning in regard to utilities. Time and time again, I read reports of similar problems caused by data centers across the country where utility prices are raised for customers because of egregious energy and water demands caused by these centers. Let us not talk a good game, only to concede one or more of these written guidelines. Your constituents will be closely watching how our council members vote on these projects and respond accordingly.
681	Thompson	40213	Please listen to the people of Louisville. We don't want any data centers in our city, or county (let alone state). Thank you for listening to us when we said we didn't want to lose Joe Creason Park, I hope you'll listen again and tell these data centers to go elsewhere. Louisville has great water and we want to keep our water. Data centers are a major drain on the environment. Our pollution levels are bad enough as it is in Louisville, why make it worse? Having data centers in our city will in no way make our city better. Just because AI is being forced upon us by billionaires doesn't mean we have to accept it. We can say no!
682	Willoughby	40205	They should not be built near Louisville or any populated areas. I wish we could do without them entirely because of the environmental impact alone. We don't yet know what the impact on our health could be. Our infrastructure is not built for this.
683	Dailinger	40206	Thank you for soliciting feedback from the community about this issue. It's been demonstrated that building data centers places huge strains on the water and power grids in those locations and communities. I worry that if Louisville were to welcome data centers, the impact on these vital services here could be much greater and more far-reaching than we realize. The environmental strain of consuming so much more power- especially considering how we generate most of our power- is enormous. Can we really afford to risk raising all our energy costs, and possibly face shortages just so the owners of these data centers can accrue vast sums of we will never see any appreciable share of? What would we really gain in Louisville? How many jobs would really be created here? Once

			built, how many people would they employ? How could whatever incremental gain it might seem to afford us outweigh these costs?
684	Barton	40223	I don't think we should have any data centers in our region. People who have them have had issues with noise pollution, air pollution, water contamination, as well as rising costs for utilities. They drive up costs for communities while lowering property value and quality of life. AI data centers have not proven to improve life for the average people and may be used for increased surveillance.
685	Cralle	40222	Jefferson County, Kentucky, had the country's third highest number of premature deaths due to particulate pollution from coal-fired power plants, according to a new report from the Sierra Club.
686	Cralle	40222	MC 2 remains online as an aging coal-fired unit with no modern pollution controls. MC 2 is not a long-term energy resource and should not be relied on to meet future electricity demand. Extending its operation guarantees the avoidable pollution for Louisville's air.
687	Ashton	40219	1. Put some CONSEQUENCES in these regulations for when they inevitably break the rules regarding waste heat, noise, light, chemical and water pollution, and "adverse" financial and other consequences to the public regarding use of utilities. Their reassurances mean nothing. Why would you word it this way? They have a long history of lying to communities. What will the PENALTIES be for violations? Are you willing to shut them down? 2. What is the plan for when these data centers are abandoned in twenty years due to obsolescence? Then we are left with abandoned, huge empty buildings and concrete. They need to be responsible for complete removal. It must be removed in an environmentally responsible way. Not that that's actually possible. 3. The community is losing a carbon sequestration source and gaining a heat island source. Wildlife and the environment is being destroyed to build this business that will be of little, if any, benefit to the community. What is the plan for these companies to rectify the damage? Come up with a good one. 4. Will the hot waste water ejected from these facilities kill the fish and wildlife in the Ohio River? Did you bother to look into that? How do you plan to make them responsible for the dead wildlife? 5. Good leaders would have done their homework on these things and outlawed this. See the latest from Erin Brokovich. 6. Are you really serious about considering these comments when the form to fill them out is so small? Looks like you don't want to spend time on it.
688	Anderson	40214	I am very concerned about noise pollution, air pollution, water use, and energy use. The ostensible proposed noise pollution regulations do not take into account nights, weekends, non-audible interference, and compliance enforcement. Stricter regulations need to be put in place at a minimum, but Jefferson County has no place for a data center to interfere with human, animal, and environment health.
689	Arnold	40291	I suggest adding language that requires the developer of any data center to improve the environment on their property, and especially along the border of their facility. This should include requiring a certain amount of green

			space surrounding the building and require that a certain number of trees be planted. Also, the developer should be required to remediate if it is found that any fish or wildlife, especially in waterways used by the facility, have declined due to the operation of the data center. Particularly, damage to aquatic life due to heated water discharged in waterways. Developer/operator of the data center should be required to restore waterways to full health and normal functioning.
690	Brown	40206	We don't really need one. We really don't need one. Not right now. Not just yet. There really is no rush because we live in the valley. Let a few more build around us first. I want to see our state at the top of the best ranking lists that's hitting the desktops of business insiders around the globe.
691	Ohlman	40217	Public Comment on Data Center Regulation 4.2.69 4.2.69 Data Centers (250,001 to 500,000 Square Feet of Floor Area) J. Waste Heat/Ventilation. All external ventilation on structures associated with the Data Center should be designed in a manner that does not result in waste heat, or heat generated as a byproduct of Data Center operations, adversely impacting adjacent properties. Exhausts, chimneys, and other sources of waste heat should be designed in a manner that directs waste heat away from adjacent properties. While the square footage of a data center should be considered, it is not among the most important measures regarding its effect on the health and welfare of Jefferson County, KY. These comments will focus on, 4.2.69 J. Waste Heat, above. 1. The proposed waste heat regulation 4.2.69, shown above, seems to be misleading as to whether, or not, it will allow any waste heat. If there is to be waste heat, as underlined in the last sentence, it's limits need to be quantified in the regulations, and the word "should" should be replaced by "shall" or "must". 2. Peak electricity in MW is normally and would seem to be the best way to measure a data center's impact on a community, as it influences water usage, pollution, noise, electricity rates and waste heat. 3. Waste heat comes from the unprecedented quantity of electricity used by a data center's servers and cooling units. A fully completed Campground Rd. data center, at 535MW, will increase Jefferson County's electricity use by 35%, adding a comparable amount of waste heat. Waste heat contributes to Jefferson County's urban heat island effect (UHI). Because Jefferson County is in a valley bordered by Floyd Knobs and a range of hills that is Jefferson Memorial Forest, we are already prone to trapping heat. In 2016, Louisville ranked number 1 in the US in UHI prompting this message from the mayor. "We know that too often the ZIP code where you are born can correlate with negative health outcomes. That's unacceptable," said Mayor Greg Fischer. "In addition, the added heat causes citizens and businesses to run their air-conditioning longer and higher, which drives up energy costs for citizens and businesses. It also increases pollution, leading to more global warming. It's a vicious cycle."

			https://louisvilleky.gov/government/sustainability/urban-heat-island-project Though an aggressive tree and cool roof campaign ensued, a 2025 nationwide study of UHI, ranked Louisville with the highest (98%) in the number of K-12 public school students in extreme heat zones. See Addendum 2. The highest zones were mostly downtown and in the west end. At a minimum, no additional data centers should be built in these zones. https://www.climatecentral.org/climate-matters/schools-and-urban-heat-2025 4. Waste heat also impacts the adjacent vicinity around the data center directly. In a study of mostly older data centers 2004-2024 showed an average increase of 3.6F in a 5 miles radius, even showing an increase in soil temperature as far away as 6 miles. One of the newer data centers in the study increased the surrounding area by 16F. https://www.cnn.com/2026/03/30/climate/data-centers-are-having-an-underrported 5. In addition, to the waste heat from the data centers, waste heat is generated by the power plants that supply data center's electricity. LGE/KU has requested a new Combined Cycle Gas Turbines (CCGT) power plant, and the once retired Mill Creek coal plant will now remain open to provide electricity for more data centers. Though improved, some of the most modern CCGT power plants are still only 64% efficient, emitting 6,960 Btu/kwh. For the Campground Rd. Data Center this would be 3,723,600,000 btu/hr. The coal and older CCGT plants would be much higher. See Addendum 1. Solar, wind or hydro electricity would all but eliminate this problem. https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=60984 6. Unlike noise, waste heat impact from each data center is accumulative, so a quantifiable limit should be set for all of Jefferson County. For example, using square feet as a measure, three 500,000sqft. or six 250,000sqft. data centers, would require the same amount of electricity and emit the same amount of waste heat as the Campground Rd. Data Center. Further, an evaluation should be done of the Campground Rd Data Center to see if it already exceeds that limit. In conclusion, it's hard to imagine how just this regulation – waste heat – is compatible with the Mayor Greenberg's Office of Planning "...mission is to ensure the prosperity, health, safety, sustainability, and general welfare of the community." It's time to put people and the planet ahead of power and profit. Roger Ohlman Addendum 1 Addendum 2 Public School Students in Heat Zones 8°F and Greater

			Source: Climate Central
692	Niehaus	40204	1. PEOPLE are more important than data centers! Ideally, data centers will produce their own power. But for any data center tied into the electrical grid, in case of brownouts or rolling brownouts/blackouts, electric power is throttled or cut to data centers before it is throttled or cut to residences, nursing homes, hospitals, schools and the like. Also, in case of severe weather, e.g., heat alerts or severe cold, the mayor can declare power throttled or cut to data centers first to protect people in their homes. 2. Any new data center built must use a closed-loop cooling system (I believe the proposal says "if available"). Any cooling-system water discharged into a sewer or drained into the Ohio (directly or indirectly) must pass MSD and EPA/Clean Water Act standards for water quality and water temperature. 3. The public should not know there's a data center there. (a) The decibel limit is too high! Persistent noise is a known detriment to health. Drop it down to at least 50 decibels in industrial zoned areas, and in all cases no more than 40 decibels if closer than 1,000 feet to any residence, nursing home, hospital or school. Today's architects and engineers can design and build to control and muffle noises so that those noises do not adversely affect people and the environment. (b) The property must be landscaped so that in a few years, the data center is mostly screened from public view. A few years (five?) is enough time for trees and hedges to grow sufficiently to block the view. (c) To avoid becoming heat islands, data centers need green roofs (aka "living roofs") or reflecting roofs to avoid raising ambient temperatures, or substantial coverage of the roof with solar panels. (d) Also, to control light pollution, the exterior and grounds of a data center cannot be lit up at night like it's high noon.
693	Donaldson	40245	I have seen too many of these data centers making promises about not causing raising utility rates, or generating environmentally harmful noise pollution or excessive water usage etc., but once the facility is completed they break all of those promises and nothing happens to them. There needs to be in place repercussions for breaking any of the proposed regulations. This needs to be more than just fining them, because they tend to be funded by billionaires who would not be dissuaded by financial penalties. It needs to be in their permits or contracts that if they commit any violations, their operations will be shut down until they are brought into compliance by cutting off utilities to their premises or by whatever other means necessary.
694	MCMILLIN	40207	FORBID DATA CENTERS TO BE BUILT IN THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON OF KENTUCKY
695	Jefferson	40209	Data centers have no business in being involved Louisville or in any city/town for that matter.
696	Doctor	40059	Concerns regarding pollution of Mill Creek and the degradation of our environment with the proliferation of data centers.
697	Archambault	40208	Data centers are destructive, dangerous to the environment and absolutely the last thing we need in Jefferson county. Our focus should be on the preservation of our beautiful city, not adding to the problems. We are fortunate to have a wealth of natural resources and a massive parasite like this would be a grave mistake.
698	McGee	40204	I say no, why is most of nation against these things, because they seem to harm where they are built. We (Louisville) have already given up so much with our huge airport and all of the constant flights, 300 a day just by

			UPS. Think back to when they first came in the 1980s, no one ever told us this would be our burden. No, wait 10 years, then bring it up again. We will know exactly what these Centers do, good or bad. We (the World) are just moving too fast.
699	Hester	40228	Data centers should not be built & operate without being fully funded by the entity that initiated the data center. Data centers shouldn't be allowed within 50 miles of any residential area. Data centers should pay to protect & provide their own water and not draw on clean water aquifers to do so. Data centers should not be allowed to use municipal water sources & should provide their own water recycling source. Data centers shouldn't receive tax breaks & need to pay to clean up their own waste. Data centers need to be built upon toxic dump sites because that is what they are, toxic dump sites.
700	Galloway	40217	Data centers are bad for the environment. Data centers are bad for the community. Data centers are bad for the city of Louisville. The Main Issues are: Massive Energy Consumption: Data centers use shocking levels of electricity, driving up local utility bills and straining the power grid. Extreme Water Usage: They require millions of gallons of water daily to cool servers, which threatens regional water supplies in drought-prone areas. Air & Noise Pollution: Facilities continuously emit greenhouse gases and rely on noisy backup diesel generators that degrade local air quality and disturb surrounding neighborhoods. Low Local Value: Despite massive land and resource usage, data centers create very few permanent jobs compared to other industries. There is no future for them if we want our community to thrive.
701	Eastman	40205	While the center is not in my immediate zone, I know the real impact that will occur with such a presence. Especially in a metro area, so reliant on the water that you all will be affecting. Nevermind the noise pollution and other environmental consequences from such a site.
702	harvey	40216	These hyperscale data centers should not be built in heavily populated cities. They are extremely hazardous to the environment and people's health. Not only do they take all the water, the water left behind is toxic...undrinkable. Electric and water bills of the citizens will triple! This is already known from all the other data centers across the U.S. Everyday people are already struggling in this economy for most, they will lose everything including their health. Kentucky does not need any of these data centers, especially in heavy populated cities. This WILL affect EVERYONE negatively living in the Kentuckiana area.

703	Welch	40214	Comments, concerns, and ideas are noted below: • The number of data centers in a zip code should be limited. My concern is that data centers less than 500,000 square feet could be in close proximity to each other. I think there should be a distance requirement. Take National Turnpike for example. There are a substantial number of warehouses in close proximity to each other. These warehouses could be turned into data centers. Individually a warehouse may not present an issue, but collectively they could. • I don't think the issuance of a Conditional Use Permit is appropriate for data centers. I think applicants wanting to operate a data center should have to present their case to the Planning Commission, not BOZA. I also think Metro Council needs to approve or deny the data center. • When saying the proposed regulations would "restrict data centers between 50,000 and 250,000 square feet to industrial areas in EZ-1, M-2, M-3, and PEC districts and subject to special standards" my concern is there needs to be a certain distance between these data centers to keep a bunch of warehouses from being turned into data centers and harming residential areas. • When saying additional proposed regulations "require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities" parks, community centers, and ball fields need to be added. Example is the property at Southside and Steedly Drive was rezoned M-3. If given a CUP, the property could have a data center built on it. It's adjacent to the Beechmont Little League's baseball fields. • When saying additional proposed regulations "require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operation" I don't like this. I think there should be specific zoning that has to go before the Planning Commission and Metro Council, not BOZA. • There should be code enforcement and regular inspections of Data Centers. • Section 4.2.1 states "The uses listed in this Part may be permitted in certain districts by Conditional Use Permit following a public hearing before the Board of Zoning Adjustment provided such uses will not have an adverse effect on neighboring property." Define "adverse effect". • Section 4.2.68 (A) states "Each special standard provided in Section 4.3.35 or 4.3.36 shall be met unless relief is granted by the Board." There should not be an option to grant relief for any data centers. BOZA regularly grants relief for transitional houses and ADUs. Data centers are necessary, but come with potential harmful effects that need to be mitigated not granted through relief. • Section 4.2.68 (G), 4.2.70 (V) states "Any Conditional Use Permit is subject to the applicant also receiving all

			necessary permits from local, state, and federal regulatory agencies.” All necessary permits should be received prior to using the data center. • Section 4.2.69 (D), 4.2.70 (D), 4.3.35 (C), and 4.3.36 (C) Separations should also include parks, community centers, and recreational fields such as baseball, soccer, and football fields. • Section 4.2.69 (H), 4.2.70 (H), 4.3.35 (G), 4.3.36 (G) Fire Suppression System – Areas within the building that house a server room should be required to be protected by a clean agent fire suppression system and an early smoke detection system. • Section 4.2.69 (I), 4.3.36 (H) Water and Sanitary – Closed loop systems should be required for any cooling system that utilizes water for cooling. • Section 4.2.69 (J), 4.3.36 (I) Waste Heat / Ventilation – All external ventilation on structures associated with the Data Center should be required to design the structure in a manner that does not result in waste heat, or heat generated as a byproduct of the Data Center operations, adversely impacting adjacent properties.
704	McDowell	40205	Data centers will hasten the loss of jobs on a grand scale as the U.S. continues to automate. Data centers will drastically use up natural resources when we should conserve instead. Data centers will disturb forests, rivers, nature and wildlife areas via: - light (especially overnight), - noise (especially high- and low-pitched noises that negatively affect animals as well as humans), - resource over-consumption, - size and shape (will affect wind patterns, sunlight, animal movements, plant growth, etc.) Concern that data center owners will pass on utility costs to consumers after they are built. ###
705	Fanelli	40245	The 500,000 sq ft size is tremendously big! The size of 9 football fields or the equivalent of 10x's larger than the largest 50,000 sq ft rickhouse - the largest size bourbon storage house. Data centers that large use well over a million gallons of water daily circulating to keep their batteries cool and that will cause increases in our already too high water bills! And probably a need for more infrastructure to deliver that water - more increases.

			And those batteries need to run continuously and emit 60-80 dBA so should be very far away from neighboring property. In addition the transformers used emit a constant low hum which can be heard even indoors. Although they may hire people to initially build them, data centers have no need for more than a minimal amount of employees. The centers will be around longer than the initial jobs! For these reasons, many areas have banned data centers completely and Louisville should also!
706	Shank	40206	Data centers have no business in urban areas such as Louisville. Data Centers hurts the environment.
707	Hargraves	40206	I support the proposed amendments. I suggest some enhancements below. We need comprehensive state legislation that links data center environmental protection standards to local planning/zoning approvals. See, my comments at https://kentuckylantern.com/2026/06/05/kentucky-leaders-should-look-to-history-to-solve-data-center-controversies/ . Absent that, I suggest the following enhancements: 1. A moratorium on new data centers (at least the large ones) until the amendments are final and effective. 2. A cap on the total number and size of new data centers based on their cumulative impact. 3. A requirement that cooling water be recycled. 4. A requirement that any new data center now under construction comply with the amendments (except for size and siting), unless the owner demonstrates construction has progressed beyond the point of compliance. 5. A requirement that new data centers, including any now under construction, submit before operating all necessary permits and approvals from the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, the Air Pollution Control District and the Metropolitan Sewer District. 6. A performance bond for the very large data centers, to pay for dismantling them if they “go belly up.” It bears noting that once construction is complete, data centers employ a minimal number of local employees to keep them going. This should factor into how favorably they should be viewed.
708	Myers	40241	1. How was the size limitation of the data centers determined? 2.What evidence is required of the developers to prove they do not shift the cost of utilities to the residents? How will this evidence be vetted? Who will monitor the developer so that costs are not shifted to the residents in the future. Who will monitor LG&E and the Louisville Water Company to determine if costs are being shifted to residents. 3. What taxes will the facility pay?

			4. What repercussions are in place if a developer violates any regulations? 6. Will the developer be responsible for dismantling/tearing down the facility when it becomes obsolete? I strongly oppose any data center of any size in Jefferson County based on the cost that will be passed on to residents, environmental damage to land, water supply, vegetation, air supply, wildlife and overall quality of life.
709	ramser	40214	ONE For comparison purposes, can you identify a local building that is 500,000 sq ft, 250,000 sq ft; 50,000 sq ft TWO I thought we were getting rid of EZ-1 zoning. If the issue is that we cannot change the zoning of property already owned as EZ-1, can we at least prevent property from being REZONED to EZ-1. THREE Substantial separation is needed from parks/playgrounds and sports fields as well as homes, schools, and daycares. I realize that parks/playgrounds are not in use 24/7, but neither are schools and daycares. Think about a parent watching their child play a game while a DATA Center is operating near by. FOUR Regarding substantial separation, HOMES needs to be changed to residential areas – otherwise you have the horrible situation that occurred at Steedly and Southside Dr. The then property owner wanted to build a subdivision of approximately 25 desperately needed stick built homes. Because M3 and EZ1 zoning surrounded the property at Steedly and Southside Dr, the Office of Planning, then known as Planning and Design, killed the subdivision proposal. The property owner’s next proposal was C-2 for contract buildings and a shopping center that was never built. A sale of the property was then based on the zoning being changed to heavy industrial in 2024. The Office of Planning recommended approval of rezoning to M-3 which the PC and MC approved. This land that could have been a 25 house subdivision continues to sit vacant and the applicant, now property owner of Steedly/Southside, now proposes to build on property they already owned prior to the rezoning of Steedly/Southside Drive. SUBSTANTIAL SEPARATION IS NEEDED FROM PARKS/PLAYGROUNDS, AREAS WHERE SPORTS ARE PLAYED, RESIDENTIAL AREAS, SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY CENTERS, AND DAYCARES. FIVE Definition Data Center, Accessory – A Data Center that serves a Principal Use on the same lot, while subordinate in purpose to other on-site activities of the Principal Use. Although an Accessory Data Center’s primary purpose is to provide data infrastructure supporting the operation of an on-site Principal Use, it may support the enterprise functions of the business, institution, or organization associated with the on-site Principal Use. This may include data services associated with off-site users directly affiliated with the on-site Principal Use. I DON’T UNDERSTAND THIS DEFINITION SIX DEFINITION Prevailing Wage - The hourly wage rates and benefits the U.S. Department of Labor has determined must be paid to Construction Workers performing Construction Work in a given craft or classification. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE DOL REFUSES TO SET AN HOURLY WAGE SEVEN DEFINITION Data Center, Telecommunication – A Data Center that exclusively serves a Telecommunication

			Facility and/or a telecommunications service provider. A telecommunications service provider is a company that is regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and offers voice, data, and internet communications services to the public, businesses, and other organizations. These companies include wireless providers, telecommunication carriers, broadcasters, satellite providers, and internet service providers. IS A DATA CENTER, TELECOMMUNICATION THE SAME AS A TELECOMMUNICATION DATA CENTER – SEE DEFINITION AND 4.2.70 EIGHT I AM EXTREMELY CONCERNED WITH BOZA HAVING THE AUTHORITY FOR CUPS AS IT RELATES TO DATA CENTERS. 4.2.68 AND 4.2.69. I AM NOT AS CONCERNED REGARDING 4.2.70. BOZA HAS SPECIFICALLY STATED THEY DO NOT LOOK AT OR CONSIDER SAFETY ISSUES. IF YOU DO NOT BELIEVE ME, LISTEN TO THE HEARING ON 6/1/26, CASE NO. 26-CUP-0078 INVOLVING A SHORT TERM RENTAL THAT MAY NOT HAVE EGRESS ACCESSIBLE WINDOWS IN THE BEDROOM. Jun 01, 2026 Board of Zoning Adjustment - Louisville, KY FURTHERMORE, ON EVERY SINGLE TRANSITIONAL HOUSE CUP THAT IS ALREADY BUILT, BOZA GIVES RELIEF TO THE APPLICANT 4.2.55(F) WHICH STATES “No building shall be closer than 30 feet to a property line unless required to by a form district maximum setback or build-to-line.” THE RELIEF IS GIVEN FOLLOWING THE SUGGESTION OF THE OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF STATING “The applicant has demonstrated or will be required to provide compliance with each of the lettered standards of the conditional use permit, except where relief is requested from standard “F.” The existing home is located closer than 30 feet to the side property lines. This is an existing site condition, and the applicant is not proposing any new construction. Therefore, relief is adequately justified from standard “F” given the location of the existing home.” LDC 4.2.55(F) DOES NOT INDICATE THE SECTION IS ONLY APPLICABLE TO NEW CONSTRUCTION. BOZA ALWAYS GIVES RELIEF REGARDLESS OF HOW CLOSE THE TRANSITIONAL HOUSE IS TO FAMILY HOMES. SOME FAMILY HOMES ARE LESS THAN 5 FEET FROM THE PROPOSED TRANSITIONAL HOME. The other example of BOZA abusing the ability to give “relief” involves short term rentals. At one point, BOZA continuously gave “relief” to the 600 foot rule. After the community complained sufficiently and damage was done, Metro Council tightened BOZA’s ability to give “relief” to the 600 foot rule. In a recent hearing, they complained about their inability to give “relief”. NINE 4.2.68 A. Each special standard provided in Section 4.3.35 or 4.3.36 shall be met unless relief is granted by the Board. IF I READ THIS CORRECTLY, THEN A 2 STORY BUILDING WITH 125,000 SQ FT ON EACH FLOOR DATA CENTER CAN BE BUILT AT STEEDLY/SOUTHSIDE DRIVE WITH BOZA GIVING RELIEF TO THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS UNDER 4.3.36 B. Setbacks. In addition to any setback required by Chapter 5 of this Land Development Code, there shall be a minimum setback of 100 feet abutting any residentially zoned property. RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT STEEDLY/SOUTHSIDE ABUTS RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY ON THREE SIDES. EVEN THOUGH 4.3.36(B) SAYS SHALL, BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF TO THIS REQUIREMENT AND A 250,000 SQ FT DATA CENTER BE WITHIN 100 FEET OF

			RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY OR EVEN HOMES. C. Separations. Any structure containing a Data Center, generator, and/or utility substation shall be at least 100 feet from any structure containing a dwelling unit, child care center, or school (elementary, middle, or high) in existence at the time of development. THE CONVENT NEXT TO STEEDLY/SOUTHSIDE IS NOT CONSIDERED A DWELLING UNIT PER LDC DEFINITIONS, HOWEVER, THE CONVENT ACQUIRED A FAMILY CHILD CARE LICENSE AND A CHILD CARE CENTER IS ACROSS FROM STEEDLY/SOUTHSIDE PROPERTY ON SOUTHSIDE. EVEN THOUGH 4.3.36(C) SAYS SHALL, BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT AND A 250,000 SF DATA CENTER BE RIGHT NEXT TO A CONVENT AND ACROSS THE STREET FROM CHILD CARE CENTER. D. Generators. Any generator that is used as part of an energy backup system for the Data Center shall a) be powered by propane, natural gas, hydrogen fuel cell, bi-fuel (natural gas/diesel), or battery (such as Energy Storage Systems or ESS) or b) be classified as, or similar to, a Tier IV diesel generator per the EPA's emissions standards. Battery backup systems are permitted to provide instantaneous power during the switchover to generators. Any generator located outside of a building shall not be tested more than once per week, with testing only occurring between the hours of 8 AM to 5 PM. The Planning Director may approve an alternative generator type or testing times upon receipt of documentation that neighboring properties would not be adversely impacted. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(D), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT. E. Outdoor Lighting. Lighting shall comply with the standards of Section 4.1.3 of this Land Development Code. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(E), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT. F. Noise. i. Any equipment associated with the facility shall not generate any noise that equals or exceeds a measured sound level of 65 dBA when measured at or beyond any property boundary of the sound source. ii. To reduce noise impact on other uses in the immediate vicinity, generators should be enclosed within building courtyards or with walls having sound attenuation properties. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(F), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT ALLOWING A HIGHER DECIBAL LEVEL NEXT TO A CONVENT AND ACROSS THE STREET FROM DWELLINGS AND A CHILD CARE CENTER. G. Fire Suppression System. Any fire suppression system shall meet all applicable building and life safety requirements. Areas within the building that host a server room are encouraged to be protected by a clean agent fire suppression system and an early smoke detection system (such as an Air-Sampling Smoke Detector (ASD) or VESDA (Very Early Smoke Detection Apparatus) system). The type of fire suppression system shall be approved by the Fire Protection District with jurisdiction. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(G), BOZA

			COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT. H. Water and sanitary. Closed loop systems are encouraged for any cooling system that utilizes water for cooling. A closed loop water system, also referred to as a closed recirculating water system, is a specialized setup used to conserve water and minimize wastage. All facilities must connect to public sanitary sewer and drainage. Water shall not be from any type of private well system source unless part of a geothermal system providing high-efficiency heating and cooling. EVEN THOUGH THE WORDS MUST AND SHALL APPEAR IN 4.3.36(H), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT. I. Waste Heat/Ventilation. All external ventilation on structures associated with the Data Center should be designed in a manner that does not result in waste heat, or heat generated as a byproduct of Data Center operations, adversely impacting adjacent properties. Exhausts, chimneys, and other sources of waste heat should be designed in a manner that directs waste heat away from adjacent properties. BECAUSE THE WORD SHOULD APPEARS IN 4.3.36(I), THE APPLICANT IS NOT REQUIRED TO ASK FOR RELIEF FROM THIS SECTION. EVEN IF THE APPLICANT IS REQUIRED TO FOLLOW THIS SECTION, BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT. J. Landscape Buffering. Buffering shall comply with the standards of Chapter 10 of this Land Development Code. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(J), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT TOTALLY ELIMINATING ANY BUFFER BETWEEN A DATA CENTER AND A CONVENT, RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY, DWELLINGS AND A CHILD CARE CENTER. K. Environmental Constraints. Any Data Center building, generator, or utility substation shall not be allowed on any area of the development site identified as having an environmental constraint (see Section 4.6.2.A of this Land Development Code). EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(K), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT. L. Electricity Consumption. Prior to commencing with development of the Data Center, a transmission study request shall be filed with the Louisville Gas and Electric Company. Prior to operation, there shall be an executed service agreement. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(L), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT M. Water Usage/Consumption. Prior to commencing with development of the Data Center, the developer shall obtain a "Will Serve Letter" or other acceptable documentation demonstrating serviceability from the Louisville Water Company. The Will Serve Letter shall confirm that the utility service has the capacity and are committed to providing service to the development. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(M), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT N. Wastewater/Stormwater. Prior to commencing with development of the Data Center, the developer shall

			obtain a "Will Serve Letter" or other acceptable documentation demonstrating serviceability from the Louisville Metropolitan Sewer District. The Will Serve Letter shall confirm that the utility service has the capacity and are committed to providing service to the development. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(N), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT O. Public Utility Capacity. As part of the registration application, the applicant shall provide documentation demonstrating that public utility capacity and related infrastructure is, or will be made, available to ensure that the utility requirements of the Data Center can be accommodated without adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(O), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT P. Low Impact Site Design. Data Centers shall implement low-impact development practices in site design. Development plans shall: i. Minimize land disturbance; ii. Maximize tree preservation; iii. Minimize impervious surfaces; and iv. Minimize potential nuisance impacts (noise, glare, vibration, etc.) on adjacent properties, public roadways, and the immediate vicinity. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(P), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT Q. Energy Efficiency Considerations. Data Centers shall implement low-impact development practices in energy efficiency. The development shall: i. Orient buildings to take advantage of passive cooling and daylight opportunities; ii. Utilize alternative energy sources (solar, wind, hydro, or any other system that can be demonstrated to the Planning Director to reduce energy demands from the distribution system managed by LG&E) as much as possible; iii. Provide an energy storage system to monitor and regulate usage of alternative energy for usage during off-peak hours; iv. Utilize reclaimed water for cooling, if available; v. Encourage systems that limit the use of finite natural resources and their disposal; vi. Incorporate water-efficient landscape materials; vii. Utilize LED exterior/interior lighting; and viii. Implement energy management best practices and carbon reduction techniques such as, but not limited to, those promoted through the U.S. Department of Energy's Better Buildings initiative and U.S. Green Building Council's LEED Certification system. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(Q), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT R. Emergency Contact Information. The Data Center shall provide signage with 24-hour emergency contact information that is visible at each access entrance. Signs shall include the company name (if applicable), the name and telephone number of a representative of the operator, and a telephone number to report emergencies to the Louisville Gas and Electric Company. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(R), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT S. Ownership Disclosure. The application shall disclose the identity of parties in interest to the public. i. Any person or entity holding real property in the form of a partnership, limited partnership, corporation, assignment of interest, trust, option, assignment of beneficial or contractual interest, or any form of representative capacity

			whatsoever for others, except as otherwise provided in this section, shall make a public disclosure of such, in writing, under oath, and subject to the penalties prescribed for perjury. In the case of a trust, the four largest beneficiaries must also sign the affidavit. ii. This written disclosure shall be made at the time of application, on a form provided by the Office of Planning. The disclosure information shall include the name and address of every person having a beneficial or contractual interest in the real property, however small or minimal. All evidence submitted shall be subject to the Planning Director's satisfaction. iii. Exemptions to the requirements of this section include the beneficial interest which is represented by stock in corporations registered with the federal securities exchange commission. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(S), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT T. Primary User Disclosure. The application shall disclose the identity of the primary user of the Data Center. The primary user is the entity with the most usage of the facility and/or the operator of the Data Center. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(T), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT U. Licensing, Hiring, and Wage Requirements The project shall meet the following requirements. i. Each contractor and construction worker involved in the project shall be licensed and certified in accordance with all federal, state, and local laws, including but not limited to Chapter 150 of the Louisville Metro Code of Ordinances. ii. Developers shall make a good faith effort to ensure that at least 30% of workforce hours are performed by permanent residents of Louisville Metro. iii. A construction worker performing Construction Work on the project shall be classified in accordance with the work performed and paid the Prevailing Wage rate for that classification, as determined by the U.S. Department of Labor. EVEN THOUGH THE WORD SHALL APPEARS IN 4.3.36(U), BOZA COULD GIVE RELIEF FOR THIS REQUIREMENT TEN 4.3.35 C. Separations. Any structure containing a Data Center, generator, and/or utility substation shall be at least 25 feet from any structure containing a dwelling unit, child care center, or school (elementary, middle, or high) in existence at the time of development. IF A PERSON OWNS ABUTTING LAND AND IS SAVING TO BUILD A HOUSE ON THAT PROPERTY AND A DATA CENTER NEXT DOOR BEATS THE FUTURE POTENTIAL HOMEBUILDER, WILL THAT HOME BUILDER BE REQUIRED TO BUILD AT LEAST 25 FEET FROM THE DATA CENTER – SAME ISSUE FOR 4.3.36 C WILL THE POTENTIAL FUTURE HOMEBUILDER BE REQUIRED TO BUILD AT LEAST 100 FT FROM THE DATA CENTER ELEVEN 4.3.35 D. Generators. Any generator that is used as part of an energy backup system for the Data Center shall a) be powered by propane, natural gas, hydrogen fuel cell, bi-fuel (natural gas/diesel), or battery (such as Energy Storage Systems or ESS) or b) be classified as, or similar to, a Tier IV diesel generator per the EPA's emissions standards. Battery backup systems are permitted to provide instantaneous power during the switchover to

			generators. Any generator located outside of a building shall not be tested more than once per week, with testing only occurring between the hours of 8 AM to 5 PM. The Planning Director may approve an alternative generator type or testing times upon receipt of documentation that neighboring properties would not be adversely impacted. WHAT IF EPA ELIMINATES THE EMISSIONS STANDARDS. DOCUMENTATION THAT NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES WOULD NOT BE ADVERSELY IMPACTED NEEDS BE SIGNED BY PROPERTY OWNERS AND RESIDENTS OF THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES. ISSUE ALSO APPLIES TO 4.3.36 TWELVE 4.3.35 G. Fire Suppression System. Any fire suppression system shall meet all applicable building and life safety requirements. Areas within the building that host a server room are encouraged to be protected by a clean agent fire suppression system and an early smoke detection system (such as an Air-Sampling Smoke Detector (ASD) or VESDA (Very Early Smoke Detection Apparatus) system). The type of fire suppression system shall be approved by the Fire Protection District with jurisdiction. WHY ARE THEY ONLY "ENCOURAGED" AND NOT "REQUIRED" TO BE PROTECTED BY A CLEAN AGENT FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM AND AN EARLY SMOKE DETECTION SYSTEM. ISSUE ALSO APPLIES TO 4.3.36 THIRTEEN 4.3.35 J. Electricity Consumption. Prior to commencing with development of the Data Center, a transmission study request shall be filed with the Louisville Gas and Electric Company. Prior to operation, there shall be an executed service agreement. K. Water Usage/Consumption. Prior to commencing with development of the Data Center, the developer shall obtain a "Will Serve Letter" or other acceptable documentation demonstrating serviceability from the Louisville Water Company. The Will Serve Letter shall confirm that the utility service has the capacity and are committed to providing service to the development. L. Wastewater/Stormwater. Prior to commencing with development of the Data Center, the developer shall obtain a "Will Serve Letter" or other acceptable documentation demonstrating serviceability from the Louisville Metropolitan Sewer District. The Will Serve Letter shall confirm that the utility service has the capacity and are committed to providing service to the development. M. Public Utility Capacity. As part of the registration application, the applicant shall provide documentation demonstrating that public utility capacity and related infrastructure is, or will be made, available to ensure that the utility requirements of the Data Center can be accommodated without adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers.

			WHO SPOKE WITH THE UTILITIES TO DETERMINE IF THE UTILITIES CAN CONFIRM THAT SERVICE TO OTHER CUSTOMERS WILL NOT HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT. HOW ABOUT REQUIRING THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO REVIEW ANY DOCUMENTATION – ISSUE ALSO APPLIES TO 4.3.36 FOURTEEN 4.3.35 P. Emergency Contact Information. The Data Center shall provide signage with 24-hour emergency contact information that is visible at each access entrance. Signs shall include the company name (if applicable), the name and telephone number of a representative of the operator, and a telephone number to report emergencies to the Louisville Gas and Electric Company. HOW CAN A COMPANY NAME NOT BE APPLICABLE. ISSUE ALSO APPLIES TO 4.3.36 FIFTEEN 4.3.36 H. Water and sanitary. Closed loop systems are encouraged for any cooling system that utilizes water for cooling. A closed loop water system, also referred to as a closed recirculating water system, is a specialized setup used to conserve water and minimize wastage. All facilities must connect to public sanitary sewer and drainage. Water shall not be from any type of private well system source unless part of a geothermal system providing high-efficiency heating and cooling. WHY IS THE SYSTEM “ENCOURAGED” AND NOT “REQUIRED” SIXTEEN 4.3.36 I. Waste Heat/Ventilation. All external ventilation on structures associated with the Data Center should be designed in a manner that does not result in waste heat, or heat generated as a byproduct of Data Center operations, adversely impacting adjacent properties. Exhausts, chimneys, and other sources of waste heat should be designed in a manner that directs waste heat away from adjacent properties. THE WORD “SHOULD” NEEDS TO BE CHANGED TO “SHALL”. SEVENTEEN LOW IMPACT DESIGN – REQUIRE THAT AT LEAST 2 TREES BE PLANTED FOR EVERY TREE REMOVED. EIGHTEEN 4.3.35 AND 4.3.36 Primary User Disclosure. The application shall disclose the identity of the primary user of the Data Center. The primary user is the entity with the most usage of the facility and/or the operator of the Data Center. THIS NEEDS TO BE WRITTEN SO THAT USAGE OF SUBSIDIARIES CAN BE CALCULATED SEPARATELY. THE USAGE MUST BE BASED ON THE PARENT INCLUDING ALL SUBSIDIARIES.

			NINETEEN 4.3.35 AND 4.3.36 Registration. Prior to commencing development or operating a Data Center, the property owner shall register the Data Center with the Office of Planning. At that time, the Planning Director or their designee shall determine compliance with the provisions of this section. The Director may deny the registration for failure to comply with any provision. SOME OF THE PROVISIONS REQUIRE APPROVAL BY THE CODE AND REGULATION DIVISION – HOW WILL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR DETERMINE COMPLIANCE. I THINK THE DIRECTOR OF CODES AND REGULATION ALSO NEEDS TO DETERMINE COMPLIANCE. TWENTY DOCUMENTATION OF THE TIME AND DATE OF BACK UP GENERATOR TESTING SHALL BE RECORDED AND MADE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST BY CODE AND REGULATION CODE ENFORCERS. DOCUMENTATION SHALL BE KEPT FOR AT LEAST TWO YEARS. TWENTY-ONE I can tell that the Office of Planning did a good job on drafting the proposed amendments, but my fervent hope is that BOZA is not allowed to provide “relief” to any of the standards. Only harm can come when relief is granted. I will be asking during one of the meetings if the Commissioners bothered to read the comments. Ann Ramser
710	Mangeot	40202	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed data center regulations pending before Louisville Metro Government. AT&T does have concerns that proposed language could impact the continued safe and reliable operation of existing critical telecommunications facilities already in operation throughout Louisville Metro/Jefferson County. These include switching offices, telecom huts and other facilities drawing minimal amounts of electricity and water. Many of these facilities have been in place and operational for decades, providing Internet, data and voice links for Louisville homes, businesses and government agencies. Addressing AT&T’s concerns would be a relatively simple fix, in four steps below. 1. On page 1, expanding the proposed definition of “Data Center” to read as follows: Data Center – All or portion of a facility used primarily for data services, including the storage, processing, management, and distribution of data through the use of computer systems, servers, networking equipment, and

			related components. "Data Center" does not include facilities owned or operated by a provider of telecommunications or mobile services; nor does it include facilities that primarily support telecommunications or mobile network operations. "Data Center" does not include any facility with a peak electrical power draw of less than 30 megawatts. 2. Deleting in its entirety the proposed definition of "Data Center, Telecommunication" as it appears on page 1 of the regulations draft dated June 9, 2026, under "Chapter 1, Part 2 Definitions." 3. Deleting in its entirety Section 4.2.70 "Telecommunications Data Centers" as it appears on pages 5-8 of the June 9 regulations draft. 4. Inserting language at the appropriate place specifically stating that the new regulations do not apply to existing critical telecommunications facilities already in lawful existence and operation prior to the enactment of the new regulations. Thank you, HANK MANGEOT AT&T KENTUCKY
711	Maggard	40207	500,000 feet is too big. The limit should be half that size. And there should be a limit on how many can be built in the same area so that a campus of separate buildings is not used as a way around regulations. The language in the regs should be tougher: changing "should" to "shall" and "encouraged" to "required." Everyone knows that a regulation that only encourages is not a regulation at all. And what are the penalties? How are the regulations enforced without fines and the possibility of revoking permits? Also neighborhood input should be required before construction and approval of any data center.
712	Honeycutt	40245	Each data center should be responsible for ALL costs, from inception to future existence, on their own in regard to water source needed, no public utility usage ie our trademarked Louisville Tap; all costs relating to power supply and infrastructure needed; and no noise, such as buzzing, humming, or other consistent sounds created by a data center encroaching on any city or metro area or wildlife habitat, refuge, or living area. No taxpayer or other city or metro dollars should be granted for any data center's building, running, or maintenance.
713	Krucki	40217	Data centers cannot be allowed if they will raise the price of utilities for people living around them. There needs to be a plan in place for the data center to bear the cost of any potential increase. There also needs to be a plan

			to ensure that water is prioritized in such a way that the data center gets cut off first if there are any water shortages. These centers should not be allowed to put any constituents in the city at risk financially.
714	Hurley	40160	AI Data Centers are unnecessary and unwanted in Kentucky.
715	Dittmeier	40205	Don't make the water and electricity costs associated with these data centers my problem. I don't want to pay for these business costs. Don't pollute my community. Also do you have to keep putting this type of business where Black folks live? Really?
716	Anderson	40204	There should be NO DATA CENTERS in the Louisville area. NONE!! Louisville already has a very unhealthy air quality. Data centers only make that worse. They also have noise pollution, light pollution, etc. The harm to the health of our citizens is significant and drastically reduces quality of life. Data centers use vast quantities of resources the citizens need such and water and power. There is NO WAY the citizens of Louisville should have to pay higher costs for resources to support data centers that do nothing but cause harm. There is NO EXCUSE for Louisville to allow a data center. Steve Poe is the only person who will benefit from the harmful data center on Campground Rd. Shame on Mayor Greenberg and Metro Council for even considering it, let alone allowing it to proceed. We do not need more data centers in this country and it's outrageous that one is being allowed in a populated area like Jefferson County. NO DATA CENTERS. NONE. A survey was done of people's feeling about data centers and it was a resounding NO. Why was that completely ignored?
717	Boone	40218	I would like to know why Louisville is allowing/considering Data Centers when 85% of respondents in a Metro Govt Survey more than six months ago said NO to Data Centers! How hard is this to understand. Louisville and the Commonwealth of Kentucky do not need any Data Centers. They cause irreversible damage to land, water, air and increase utility costs to the community around the Data Centers. I'm am not going to sit quietly and allow a Developer, such as Steve Poe, to build a Data Center that does nothing to enhance the lives of anyone except Steve Poe. That is not how a community is supposed to work. This behavior reminds me of the problems we continue to bear from our current President. He is not doing anything to support the American people, only himself. Metro Council and Mayor Greenberg, especially if he wants to be re-elected, should immediately place a moratorium on Data Centers in Louisville Metro. Why should people who live and work in an area with a Data Centers be forced to endure the added costs to their utility bills, the noise and air pollution, and the destruction of our water? This is ludicrous that our elected officials are even considering this is a possibility or allowing the Steve Poe Data Centers to move forward. People who own a business or home in the area of a Data Centers immediately lose value of that home or business and have no ability to move without sustaining a financial hit.

			No Data Centers in Louisville Metro or the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Do what is right for the majority of people you serve. Thank you. Deborah Boone
718	Trauner	40204	Data Centers, if approved for an area, will also be responsible for assuming cost of immediate neighborhood infrastructure improvements. Data centers, regardless of location, shall be metered for water consumption and capped at a maximum gal/month rate above which use shall result in fines and loss of water allowance in follow on months. Repeated offenses within a twelve month period will result in physical metering by the city to toll water availability
719	James	40291	Why can't these data centers build their own small electric plant and be separate of the general grid and recycle the water they use for cooling. If they make more electricity than they need they can sell it to LGE. Then residential customers will not see increase in their bills for new power plants.
720	Valois	40203	We do not need this. No one needs this. There has been enough damage to the community and neighborhoods in the name of progress and we cannot continue to destroy our natural resources just so the elite can continue to make millions off our backs and then turn around and sell us back the things the earth has provided for free like clean water, clean air, and clean soil. There is no benefit to the people actually living here. No. We do not want this. We do not need this.
721	Gaus	40205	They should not be located in close proximity to human communities- be it cities, towns, villages, neighborhoods because of the noise pollution. They should also not be located in or near areas where there are concentrations of wildlife because their breeding and other activities of daily living can be disrupted. They should be totally self supporting with no financial or other resource costs to citizens (including water, electricity, etc.). The billionaire techs ARE OUT OF CONTROL and it is government's RESPONSIBILITY (it's part of what they are elected and paid to do) to rein them in (REGULATE them!) I know that is a big lift for government today because they are BIG DONORS and the billionaires have many politicians on a very short leash. But, somehow (we don't care how, just DO IT!) you need to break away and start governing for EVERYONE not MAINLY THEM!
722	Easton	40204	As an IT professional working in the field for over 10 years, I can say with some confidence that outside of the echo chamber of silicon valley, there is a strong consensus that the AI "boom" we are seeing is a precarious bubble. Even if we were to set aside all of the concerns about the environmental impacts, the detriment to neighborhoods, the impact on the power grid - the economic payoff is simply not going to be there long term. Or charitably, it's a gamble. We have to protect our community, and that means putting aggressive guardrails around developments that can't be expected to benefit our communities.
723	Richter	40243	My first concern is the secrecy around some of the recent projects. Land got acquired, zoning was changed, and some approval were obtained in a sneaky way - which I absolute object against. I am concerned about the nature impact, the noise pollution and energy use, which has an impact on residents immediately. We are already accommodating traffic noise but here in Louisville it is getting louder and louder which is annoying. There would need to be a substantial distance to residential units. I am also worried about tax incentives and empty promises, that will not be followed through. Job promises are made but rarely live up to expectations. We are already

			looking at warehouses left and right and there is no goal to make any of them visually appealing. Next there is the financial profits vs investment of the community. There might be an over-development and 10 years from now we are sitting with the tax bills and potentially empty building because technology has made the chip technology much more efficient.
724	Richter	40223	If the datacenter should decide to generate their own power to operate the center, the power source should be sustainable. The cooling of the servers should be as sustainable as possible to reduce water consumption. Water consumption should not have any impact on local water customer rates or supply.
725	Osborn	40299	I find the current proposal weak and vague on regulations and full of loopholes. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words “encouraged” or “may” are used where the terms “required” or “shall” should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. I believe it is unacceptable in its current version and in dire need of environmental experts’ reviews and suggestions.
726	Shobe	40215	To Whom It May Concern, I am a local resident living on Center Street and support strict size caps, mandatory public notifications, and utility cost-shifting protections. As someone who has studied environmental sustainability, I recognize the importance of technological infrastructure and economic development. However, those benefits should not come at the expense of the communities expected to bear the greatest burdens. Residents living near proposed data centers already face legitimate concerns regarding increased electricity demand, noise, water consumption, backup generators, and long-term environmental impacts. Adding the possibility of higher utility costs for nearby residents because of infrastructure upgrades needed to serve a private development is especially troubling. Families should not be asked to subsidize the electrical demands of a large commercial facility simply because of where they live. Louisville has a well-documented history of environmental justice concerns. For decades, neighborhoods in and around Rubbertown and portions of southwest Louisville have experienced disproportionate exposure to industrial facilities and associated environmental impacts. Many of these same communities also have lower household incomes than other parts of the city, making them especially vulnerable to additional financial burdens.

			This history should serve as a reminder that land-use decisions deserve careful scrutiny. New industrial-scale developments should not continue patterns in which communities already facing environmental challenges are expected to absorb additional impacts while receiving few direct benefits. If electrical infrastructure improvements are necessary to support a private data center, those costs should be borne by the developer or negotiated through appropriate economic development agreements—not shifted onto existing residential customers through increased utility rates. Economic development and environmental responsibility are not mutually exclusive. Louisville can welcome innovation while also ensuring that residents are protected through: * Strict limits on facility size and expansion. * Mandatory public notification and meaningful community engagement before approval. * Independent environmental impact assessments. * Noise, air quality, and water-use monitoring. * Legally enforceable utility cost-shifting protections so residential customers are not responsible for financing infrastructure built primarily to serve private industry. As a resident of this community, I ask that decision-makers prioritize transparency, environmental justice, and fairness. Our neighborhoods deserve investment that improves quality of life—not projects that increase financial and environmental burdens on the people who already call this area home. Thank you for your consideration.
727	krupp	40026	I am ~~100~~ against them the amount of land they will eat up the noise the lack of employees needed and price we will pay for our electric bills going up and the over use of our precious water I can think of nothing good to say about Data centers
728	Babb	40218	The industrial zoned areas such as the one the previously accepted proposal for a hyperscale data center falls under, are still residential areas as well. On that note, 200 feet from a large scale center is not NEARLY enough of a buffer. It is the bare minimum of current comparable regulations in other areas, but realistically, that isn't even a tenth of a mile away. I request that the distance from residential areas be raised to a minimum of 2 miles. Also, the industrial designated areas we have currently house facilities that are sensitive to vibration, creating a real hazard and risk of fire. Large scale data centers are well documented as creating vibrations capable of creating sinkholes and shifting houses. There needs to be regulations in place limiting how close data centers can be to other facilities in the same zone that could create fire or safety risks. Ideally, this zoning update wouldn't even allow data centers larger than 50,000 sq ft at all, but if you're going to push for them, please think about the future impacts as seen in other areas that have had these facilities built already. The impact on water quality, health, and structural integrity of nearby neighborhoods is serious and real. Do not settle for a bare minimum 200 ft distance. Prioritize safety and well-being over profit.

729	Mekus	40241	I am writing today because I am a concerned citizen, a technophile, and am seriously concerned with the draft ordinance for regulating Data Centers in Metro Louisville. The final ordinance will impact my voting decision this fall in the mayoral race, and would imp My first concern is with the plethora of loopholes in the draft ordinance. We need an ordinance that is clear and loophole free. It must define a zoning code for Data Centers, which must include the opportunity for public input, and for a public hearing and review of the proposal for a Data Center before Metro Council. This is our city, and we deserve a voice in decisions of this magnitude. My second concern is for our family pocketbooks. Data Centers across the nation are notorious for driving utility rates through the roof because of their enormous demands for water, sewer and electrical power. ANY group that proposes to build a Data Center here must agree to FULLY pay for any infrastructure increases required for water, sewer or electrical power companies. We the People should never be asked to subsidize a profit center, just so that they can make more money. These are not businesses that bring mass employment to an area; they simply consume resources and so must pay for the inputs for their businesses. The bottom line for me, though, is that I think our area is already too late trying to jump on this bandwagon, and if we pursue Data Centers, we will simply be left with another empty building and oversized utilities that we do not need but which will drain cash from our city and our people. I think the boom has already happened, and all that's left now is to be part of the bust for this industry.
730	Vargo Carrico	40217	No data centers. I've seen enough feedback about how data centers consume and destroy water resources in a community. I don't want to see Louisville lose our drinkable tap water.
731	Prentice	40205	I would like the Mayor's draft to be replaced by Kentucky Resources Council's model ordinance. Do not allow non-disclosure agreements (NDAs). They re undemocratic and unacceptable. Eliminate arbitrary tiers. We must regulate ALL data centers (DCs) on the basis of how much they would impact neighbors, utility bills, noise levels, etc. Eliminate loopholes! No proposed DC should get approval without public input, hearings, and Metro Council review. Create a NEW Industrial Data Center zoning classification for ALL DCs and require all proposers to obtain a conditional Use Permit Most importantly: ensure that electric, natural gas, water and wastewater customers won't be forced to subsidize DCs with higher bills or poorer service.

			We must be smart and get this right. Sincerely, Joanie Prentice
732	Delahanty	40203	The Louisville Metro Government (“LMG”) Draft Data Center Land Development Code Text is a good starting point, but I have some serious concerns about its content and suggest it be amended to provide guaranteed public notice and comment about the regulation, operation and decommissioning of all data centers. I don’t understand why there are “tiers” for data centers. Any regulation should apply to all data centers and not be based on their size. So get rid of the tiers. Whether they get permitted to be built and operate should depend on what impact a center would have on neighbors with regard to noise, utility costs, etc. There should be the opportunity for public input regarding any proposed data center, regardless of size. This means there must be public hearings and review by the Metro Council. There should be a new zoning classification that applies to all data centers and requires proposer to secure a Conditional Use Permit. I, nor any other resident of the Metro area, should have to subsidize the cost of a data center. My utility bill should not increase because a center opens, nor should my utility services - water, gas, electricity - be poorer. The data center operator needs to pay for all infrastructure and all of its own utility costs. Louisville is striving to become a 100% renewable energy user. No data center should be allowed to prevent that from happening in the time already set for achieving the goal. This Draft can be made immeasurably better by simply replacing it with the model ordinance prepared by the Kentucky Resources Council. The Council is a highly respected organization that has protected Kentucky for years in its work to protect our environment and the health and safety of the residents of our Commonwealth. Why not use its expertise? https://www.louisvillecan.org/_files/ugd/e616a1_bf4d3b0e33d74c30bf6ff8e5e1089a2e.pdf In some communities public officials have entered into NDAs. This is anti-democratic and not acceptable. Public officials serve the public, not corporate giants who seek to operate in our communities in ways that do not respect the public’s right to know and to speak out. Thank you for taking these comments into consideration and I hope this Draft becomes a much stronger tool to regulate any data center in the community.

733	Kremer	40204	We need a moratorium on data centers. So many of them are being proposed or built already because of competition and promises of profit. Sadly, these centers could sit unused, overbuilt, and unnecessarily using up energy simply to maintain them.
734	Jewell	40245	Please put the metro people and communities ahead of big AI businesses and the huge downside to this ‘tech monster’ that consumes our resources voraciously. That means very responsible, detailed, protective regulations. This is tough, courageous work, but is needed now to protect us and the environment.
735	Wallace	40206	I’m appalled that Louisville, already a heat island, would even consider allowing large scale data centers here. It’s not as though we don’t realize their impact on people and the environment. You cannot breathe money. You cannot drink money. AI nonsense is literally being forced on humanity at a rapid rate and it’s clear to anyone that people don’t want this. So many communities are resisting these data centers and Louisville should as well. You know it. I know it. Stand up and do what is right. My family moved away from Louisville in 2012 for my husband’s career. And for the next 11 1/2 years all I talked about and dreamed about was moving back to Louisville. I was proud of my hometown. It’s green spaces, it’s cultural offerings, it’s philanthropy, it’s beauty. And all I e seen since coming home is very disheartening. This is not the leadership I respected. It’s corrupt and deeply disappointing. These hyperscale data centers are literally destroying people’s basic human rights: clean are and clean water. They take from the community and give back nothing. One would hope that a simple moral compass would guide our leaders in doing the right thing. Apparently not. So I will close by saying November is coming. I hope our leaders remember this in making their decisions.
736	Bohn	40220	Regarding Case Number 26-LDC-0014, dealing with data center regulations, please strengthen the requirements for any and all additional data center applications. I believe a new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created for ALL data center applicants, requiring public input when any data center is proposed and rules with NO loopholes should be REQUIRED. Louisville residents should not be saddled with any additional costs for electricity or water. Data centers should pay for all they use and any additional infrastructure required for them, and if they shut down, residents should not bear the cost. I believe strict regulations on the environmental impact must be REQUIRED! Protection of our residents, resources, homes and environment should be top priority!
737	Johns	40245	Data centers should not be located in the Louisville metro area. Water and environmental studies prior to ground breaking and then routinely after completion. Noise assessments before and after should also be conducted with

			corrections mandated if a data center fails to pass post establishment. The cost for tests before and after as well as energy use should be shouldered completely by the data center without ability to change that in the future.
738	Byers	47129	Data centers are bad for communities, causing increased electricity costs for working families, using water resources, and causing pollution that leads to illness. These results have already been happening in communities with data centers nearby; this isn't conjecture. Plus, the equipment itself builds capacity for fascism via surveillance.
739	Peterworth	40222	While I appreciate that the Office of Planning has put considerable effort into coming up with regulations for data centers, I believe that the draft resolution is inadequate to protect the health and happiness of the residents of Louisville not only now but for generations to come. For one thing, I think that Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. In addition, the setbacks need to be larger and the companies building the facilities should bear all costs including infrastructure. Closed loop water systems must be required. As our world is warming to dangerous levels, I sincerely urge you to require data centers to get a sizable percentage of their massive amounts of energy from renewable energy sources. It is the right thing to do. Thank you for your service to our community.
740	Hampton	40213	I am against data centers. The resources necessary for it are vital to the well being of our citizens, there is not enough for them and us. You cannot trust these companies to abide by any rules that the government issues to protect us. The noise pollution, water pollution, and wasteful use of our land, is not a wise use of our resources. Vote NO . Now is the time to stand up against this waste!
741	Peterworth	4022	Thank you for your efforts into coming up with regulations for data centers intended to guide our Metro Council. You are off to a good start, but I believe that the draft resolution is inadequate First, I think that Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. Truly, what is needed is a whole new Industrial Data Center Zone. Square footage should not be the determining factor in setting restrictions. It needs to be Intensity of Use. Restrictions need to be made higher in regard to energy use, water use, heat and noise levels. The companies building the facilities should bear all costs including infrastructure. Closed loop water systems must be required. As our world is warming to dangerous levels, I sincerely urge you to require data centers to get a sizable percentage of their massive amounts of energy from renewable energy sources. There needs to be a decommissioning plan in place, and there are assorted loopholes that need to be closed. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.
742	Kiser	40299	Regarding noise levels, data centers run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. Mandatory limits is a non-negotiable, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM.
743	Kiser	40299	Data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment. Because of this, closed-loop cooling systems should be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases.

744	Kiser	40299	***A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for ALL data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to ALL data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. ***Accountability must be maintained throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future.
745	Deckel	40204	We don't need hyper scale data centers in Louisville. They don't provide jobs post construction, and gobble up electricity and water. Don't be the sucker government that lets these tech oligarchs roll in and use our city's resources to build AI and displace workers.
746	Novgorodoff	40205	Data centers are a grave danger to the health of a neighborhood, therefore the community should be able to comment on and participate in the approval of data centers in their neighborhoods before projects are commenced. I believe the size limit for a data center should be far below 500,000 ft—half that size would be reasonable. There should also be a limit on the number of buildings housing data centers can be built in an area to avoid a cluster of "smaller" data centers that avoid size regulations. Regulations should have strict language to require adherence and set out clear penalties: significant fines and revoked permits.
747	Griffin	40299	I am worried the impact this data center will have on our water supply and the potential for higher utility bills. Also - what if this data center decides to leave our community? Will the taxpayers be responsible for the cleanup? Are there loopholes in the recent regulations?
748	Waters	40217	NO TO EVERY SINGLE DATA CENTER FOREVER!
749	Woods	40219	Say no to Data centers!! Protect our drinking water!
750	Petersworth	40222	I want stricter rules for data centers to keep the world healthy.
751	Malone	40291	Data centers can be cooled without the use of our water supplies, why is it that no one is discussing this? For the life of me I can't figure out why someone would step in their constituents necks by allowing these anywhere near their homes. You can bet they won't be located near any council members front door. They'd vote against it if that were the case.

			You have a responsibility here. You have to see into the future what these surveillance AI centers along with Floc cameras, red light cameras (recently ruled as unconstitutional). And surely as you should know they are actively planning digital IDs and Digital currency. These systems all work together and you're providing the handcuffs for future generations. Are we really going to follow Chinas lead in this setup?
752	Head	40212	Data centers use up too much power, put unnecessary strain on our water systems, and after construction do not provide enough jobs. Elsewhere there have been too many cases of data centers taking up fire fighting resources from their communities due to complex fires requiring 12+ hours to fight on multiple occasions at the same facility. 200 feet is far too close to housing to act as a buffer for the noise. Data centers also do not provide enough tax revenue compared to the resources they take. NO DATA CENTERS
753	Cooley	40218	I worry about the sustainability of having these developments in Louisville neighborhoods, especially ones with poor natural disaster resilience. I also worry about the social impact and possible displacement of vulnerable people.
754	Fixler	40213	No data centers in or around Louisville. The effect on air and water quality and other forms of pollution will disproportionately affect already polluted areas of the city.
755	Peterworth	40222	I am a Louisville resident, a taxpayer, and the mother of a 12-year-old daughter. The decisions made today about data center development will shape the community my daughter grows up in, and I believe we have a responsibility to get this right. I urge the Office of Planning to strengthen the proposed data center ordinance (Case No. 26-LDC-0014) before it is adopted. While I appreciate that the draft begins to address some concerns, I do not believe it goes far enough to protect Louisville residents, neighborhoods, and public infrastructure. Please require all data centers to obtain a Conditional Use Permit and eliminate classifications that could allow projects to avoid public review. Residents deserve notice and a meaningful opportunity to be heard before facilities with significant community impacts are approved. The ordinance should also include enforceable, not optional, standards for noise, lighting, air emissions, water use, and setbacks. These facilities can operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and the long-term impacts of continuous cooling systems, backup generators, and heavy utility demands deserve careful oversight. I am especially concerned about protecting the natural resources and infrastructure that future generations will depend on. Developers should be required to demonstrate that new facilities will not reduce the reliability of our electrical grid, increase utility costs for residents, or place unnecessary strain on our water supply. Stronger requirements for water conservation, including closed-loop cooling systems, should also be included. The ordinance should also require independent technical review of every application, ongoing environmental

			monitoring, and financial assurances so taxpayers are not left paying for cleanup if a facility is abandoned. My daughter deserves to inherit a Louisville that values clean air, clean water, safe neighborhoods, and responsible growth. Once these facilities are built, we cannot easily undo their impacts. I ask you to strengthen this ordinance now, before additional data centers are approved, so that economic development does not come at the expense of the health, safety, and quality of life of Louisville families.
756	Baxter	40059	All data centers of any size must be banned. None. At . All. They use too much energy and water and ruin the environment.
757	Guenthner	40206	Please require Data Centers to conserve water by using a closed-loop cooling system.
758	ramser	40214	The proposed Data Center Amendments must include the following: A decommissioning plan and financial assurance. Without a decommissioning plan, the abandonment risk falls on the public and particularly on nearby landowners. South and West Louisville will disproportionately bear the risk. South Louisville already has one huge data Center on Campground Road. We are Louisville's guinea pigs. An independent expert review of the proposed plans needs to be required with the applicant paying the expert review expenses. Louisville, especially South and West Louisville, cannot rely on what developers say. We need expert independent reviews. I copied this verbatim from Kentucky Resource Council. That does not mean I was “coached” by the KRC. I experienced the “low hum” issue while performing outdoor work on a volunteer project in West Louisville. The whole time I was working, I kept looking for the source of the low hum. I could not determine the cause of the noise. I later learned that a data center was located near by. The proposed amendment is a flat 65 dBA at all hours. Missing are key protections against the full range of anticipated noise impacts: nighttime limits (KRC: 40 dBA night/45 dBA day); any C-weighted 13 (dBC) limit addressing the low-frequency cooling-fan hum that drives most data center complaints and that dBA understates; vibration and infrasound standards (ISO 2631/ANSI S2.71); baseline ambient noise assessment; pre construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer; continuous real-time monitoring; post-construction compliance testing; and any enforcement mechanism (KRC allows CUP suspension or revocation). Generator enclosure is also reduced to only “should.”
759	Stober	40210	These surveillance center regulations are hog wash! 500,000 SQUARE FEET IS HYPERSCALE There are carve outs and caveats that will be loop hole after loop hole. "Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers" How about they have to build there own damn power plants? LGE needs to eff off those coal burning death machines that you all atr trying to get left on sio the can power to tracking. You all are ruining this city. You all are ruining lives.You should be very ashamed of yourselves.

			"Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations" Why do they need exemptions? What is the point of all this if you are just going to let them do what they want anyway? "Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required;" Not I"F" just require them to do it anyway. They need to provide their own power and not use any of our water nor discharge into the OHIO. WE DO NOT WANT SURVEILLANCES CENTERS! NO ONE WANTS THESE OR FLOCK. GET THE FLOCK OUT OF HERE! YOU ARE ON THE WRONG SIDE OF HISTORY. YOU ARE AUTHORITARIAN FASICT SCUM. WE WILL RESIST!
760	Mullen	40203	For the time being ban data centers until comprehensive studies are done to assess environmental, human and infrastructure costs. Clear and detailed information needs to be compiled and shared on the following items: 1. who exactly is building these data centers and who will run them 2. who will profit 3. Any financial increases for the public 4. Utility infrastructure studies on bearing the load of these data centers. Require at least 3 public forums each in north, south, east and west Louisville. Give citizens the final right of refusal.
761	Glaser	40291	I'm concerned about the energy that is being consumed by data centers and the long standing impact that these data centers will cause. How about investing in renewable energy instead
762	Marrero	40220	Abysmal. Bad for the community. Do not create jobs. Damaging to power infrastructure.
763	Mann	40207	I find the information provided concerning the Data Centers too vague and unsubstantial. If the Data Centers become a reality,

			Louisvillians will be burdened with considerably more noise as well as a drain on our water and electrical systems. Some people will make financial gains from the data centers, but everyone else will pay dearly for these gains.
764	Greene	40059	I am against any data center operating in Jefferaon County. Too much energy use, noise pollution and water usage. We dont need them. Bad for yhe louisville community.
765	Connelly	40213	Stop all data centers. They are a scourge on our environment and heavy polluters. They do not provide jobs and just destroy everything while giving money to the rich. Data centers will destroy Louisville's economy
766	I	40213	Ban Diesel Generators. Require solar as the only means they can operate. Create a standard that RAISES all of our livability. Also— moratorium on zoning changes for a year to evaluate ALL the sprawl within the Metro and Jefferson County. Growth. Is. Not. Sustainable.
767	Fleischer	40220	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources.

			Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center’s cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words “encouraged” or “may” are used where the terms “required” or “shall” should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn’t vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed.
768	Hanna	40219	We do not want data centers in Louisville. We do not want to pay for them. We do not want them to use our water. We do not want to hear them all over the city. 100 ft from a home, wtf people? We all know that the data centers are giving money to the people in charge and we will be coming for those people on voting day. You cannot make us suffer just so you can move somewhere else and enjoy your kickback. This is so not right. Again, we do not want data centers in our in our city, in our county, or in out state.
769	Coleman	40258	As a resident of Louisville in PRP. I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. The Data Center should be placed in rural areas away from large groups of people. There is already enough polluting in the west end.

			A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This

			review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also
770	BOHN	40220	A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. Public notification of what these folks want to do is essential to the openness of the process. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, onsite power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. It would be best if they were required to generate a significant amount of their power needs themselves. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. These words simply weaken the regulations and reduce citizens' ability to focus on issues that are in motion for any proposed site. Finally, the draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed. It is irresponsible for any government to leave tax payers vulnerable for these occurrences, which I think we all know will happen. Thank you for your time and consideration of my ideas. Sincerely,

			Bruce Bohn 8099 Regency Woods Way, Louisville, Ky 40220
771	Flesch	40243	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit.
772	Klondike	40059	Messaging you in regard to data centers case # 26-LDC-0014 While I am aware of the benefits of AI centers, I have more concerns about the overall harm from them One in particular, among many others, is regrading the environmental impact by the amount of energy and water that is required to operate this center. At a minimum, I certainly feel public opinion and community engagement needs to be an integral part toward any consideration of development of an AI data center I certainly would oppose the noise, light and pollution radiating from a data center if it were going into my neighborhood, wouldn't you ? Some cities are taking precautionary measures toward these centers being developed. Unfortunately, the neighbors being affected by the Campground Rd center did not have that opportunity and were caught off guard by this before it was too late. I want protections against any future sites in Louisville or surrounding counties Thank you
773	Held	40207	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is

		proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future.

			While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed.
774	Goodman	40241	Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required.
775	Stephenson	40258	They are not being installed right should used closed loop and solar to be such a drag on resources or in environmental areas or impacted low income areas
776	Taylor	40220	To Whom It May Concern, As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. I believe a new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric

		to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future.

			The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be address for current and future Kentuckians. The majority of us DON'T WANT Data Centers. You should address this with constituents not force things we don't want down our throats without repercussions in place for companies that harm out air, land and water.
777	Thompson	40217	Hello, As a resident of Louisville and soon to be father of a future Kentuckian, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. Or better yet, scrap the data center(S) altogether. WE DON'T WANT THEM! A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property

			line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words “encouraged” or “may” are used where the terms “required” or “shall” should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn’t vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed. We should be more concerned about protecting our air, water and land and less concerned about needing data centers. We don't need data centers. We need grocery stores, we need TARC funded, we need to protect our resources.
778	Schonschack	40204	Hi, As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. Actually I'd prefer NO DATA CENTERS. They serve no purpose, they offer no long-time benefit to our community and they will drain our resources. WE DON'T WANT DATA CENTERS IN OUR COMMUNITIES! However, if you feel like you must continue to go against the will of the people, we have several requests: A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric

		to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future.

			While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed immediately. Thank you, Jennifer
779	Rittenhouse	40206	The draft of the Data Center resolution is a good start. The risk to our community is multifaceted if we do not get ahead of the push to build and profit from data centers in Jefferson County. This must include protecting the south and west end since they have a history of disinvestment and poor regulation of industry. Gathering research about the current impacts on other communities in the process of construction and hosting working data centers will provide tangible, useful evidence of the risks unregulated data centers pose to Louisville and the surrounding area. More Perfect Union, an independent non-profit newsroom, covered some of the problems experienced by neighbors of data centers in the Atlanta area. There is a video on Youtube, and the website is perfectunion.us. As a 30 year resident of Louisville, I am depending on our city and county leaders to lead thoughtfully, prioritizing all residents' health, and the health of our environment. Let us meet this moment with enough courage to allow gathering of information and forming of intelligent metrics, rather than regretting deficient measures. Thank you for your consideration.
780	Rafferty	40202	I have restrictions that prevent data centers from being too large or being too close to residential areas
781	Beasley	40258	Kentucky residents don't want data centers and they should not be forced upon us. We will remember the officials that push it through anyway
782	Welsh	40215	Have sound regulations! Those who live within 3 miles will hear a constant annoying sound. No one should have to live like that.
783	Harman	40204	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means

			elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit.
784	Gingerich	40218	Please include a requirement that data centers provide a substantial portion of their power through renewable sources (solar, wind, etc.). Thank you.
785	Roberts	40216	Pollution and well being of myself and neighbors is a big concern. It is proven that large data centers require lots of resources and can cause detrimental effects on the surrounding areas. The camp ground rd facility will cause a hardship on all the surrounding residents and will cause irreparable damage to wildlife and monetary loss of property value. If they want to build this they can build it in their own neighborhood or next to their office.
786	Monday	4220	There needs to be a moratorium on data centers. Too many unknowns about long term impact to environment, quality of life in neighborhoods, costs to communities, etc.
787	Lincoln	40205	I am most concerned about the massive amounts of power required for data centers. I do not want this demand for energy to impact electricity bills for people in Louisville. The best scenario would be that data center users are required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources.
788	Rademaker	40220	NO data centers should open in Louisville, full stop. They provide nothing off economic value to our city. They add no jobs. They pollute, use up our water and electricity, and create nothing valuable. Please do not allow these to exist in our city in any capacity.
789	Bush	40217	Dear Members of the Office of Planning and Design, After reading the proposed draft several times, I am concerned about many issues and am sending the following suggestions that I believe are sound guidelines that would offer more protection to our communities: A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. "Intensity of use" is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. One of the big issues that concerns me is r/t the power consumption of these facilities. In a city, that is so far behind in reducing our fossil-fuel reliance, INCLUDING GAS,

			In a city where the mortality rate of people who live in locations of elevated small particulate matter, and NOX and SOX releases in the air, is 15 yrs. lower than other areas of the city, In a city where we are having an increase in non-attainment days for ozone, any new large power consumers should be required to provide a high percentage of their energy from renewable sources. The PSC has pushed ahead in approving construction of new gas-fired power plants, expansion of existing gas-fired power plants and has extended the date to decommission the coal-fired unit at Mill Creek. This is another assault on the health and well-being of our citizens, and further adding to the climate crisis. LG&E contends this is not r/t increasing their ability to provide power specifically for data centers, but this is not consistent with increases in demand for power by the citizenry or local businesses. LG&E has resisted creating any meaningful amount of solar power and battery storage, even though it has been proven to be cheaper than coal or gas. When I travel to other cities, it is evident they are moving boldly ahead in creating renewable power sources for the health of their citizens and the world. The mandate of the PSC is to make sure the utility providers are making the least cost energy available to the public, and this isn't it. Requirements that data centers provide their own renewable energy are being applied at other Data Center sites around the country, why not in Louisville? I know these regulations are to do with Land Development Codes, but they do not address options for renewable energy sources at all. This is a glaring deficiency The noise regulation and setback standards are very deficient as well. Please take this back to the drawing board and start again. In the meantime, Metro Council needs to put a moratorium in place, to protect other citizens from the nightmare that citizens living any where near the Campground Rd Data Center will experience. Thank you for considering my concerns. Virginia D. Bush, BSN, RN
790	Lowenthal	40204	I am really concerned about noise levels, as a former audiologist, and someone that practices in the field of communication. Not only does the humming noise damage hearing, (similar to noise levels at concerts), but it is also very disturbing to wildlife and birds. Mandatory limits, to be researched and backed by acoustic studies, as well as ongoing monitoring should be implemented. Please limit the hours of the testing of the back-up generators between the hours of noon-5pm. (For quiet during night time sleeping) I thank you for your attention to this issue.
791	Halstead	40213	I do not approve of a data centers in Kentucky especially when it destroys water and lots of contamination and using Chinese chips illegal

792	Smith	40299	We don't want a data center. It uses all our water, pollutes the water that comes out of the center, has vibrational noise, people get sick, fire possibility is higher with a data center, wild life is displaced, dies or becomes sick. Utility costs go up for the residents and housing prices go down. No one wants the data centers. There is no benefit for the locals at all. Vote no for anymore data centers!!
793	Speagle	40214	DATA CENTERS RUIN COMMUNITIES, HURT THE ENVIRONMENT, HURT THE PEOPLE, DRAIN UTILITIES , BURDEN NEIGHBORHOODS WITH NOISE , DOESNT PROVIDE JOBS . NO TO DATA CENTERS ANYWHERE IN KENTUCKY
794	Grimm	40222	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. Since the data centers require lots of electricity I would like to see language that guarantees that any new data center will not increase local residents electrical bills or impact their service. Also regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. The terms should be clear and not open to various interpretations that would allow loopholes. Thank you!
795	Edelen	40219	No data centers anywhere ever! They are bad for people, pets and the environment!!
796	Halbig	40299	comments are from Floyds Fork Environmental Association and would like to send two attachments, if possible. Floyds Fork Environmental Association Founded 1991 To: Louisville Metro Government (LMG) From: Floyds Fork Environmental Association Teena Halbig, Co-Founder, 1991, Chair Clean Water 6505 Echo Trail Louisville, KY 40299 [REDACTED] Date: 7-4-26 Re: 25-LDC-0014 DATA CENTER COMMENTS Floyds Fork Environmental Association, FFEA, MISSION is to protect, conserve and preserve Floyds Fork Creek and its Watershed. Comments on data centers will begin with the request that any data centers be in INDUSTRIAL areas and NOT in RESIDENTIAL areas. Additionally, all data centers of any size (small to large) are to be located ONLY in INDUSTRIAL

		zoning and not in any other zoning categories. Again, FFEA does not agree to the location of any data centers in residential areas since there are so many intrusive issues. Any decision to do this could be considered arbitrary and capricious and not in the best interests of the residents in Jefferson County – as well as the granting of WAIVERS to standards/regulations. FFEA is in STRONG OPPOSITION to the Planning Director or his/her designee make all the decisions and review of data centers of any size. And, FFEA wants a mandated PUBLIC SIGN, PUBLIC NOTICE {to neighbors, neighborhood organizations (including FFEA)} so that PUBLIC MEETINGS and a PUBLIC HEARING before the Planning Commission with a CUP (Conditional Use Permit) – but should go to BOZA (Board of Zoning Adjustment) with adequate public notice and public meeting(s) and public hearing. Additionally, Metro Council must be able to vote after offering the public an opportunity to comment before making any decisions on any and all data centers. FFEA does not want to see vague, “Accessory Uses” regarding data centers – please remove this terminology and any reference due to oodles of wiggle-room to the possible detriment of the public. While familiar with the sound of a house generator, it is quite obnoxious! Imagine larger generators or multiple generators. Even one film showed a neighbor who killed another neighbor over the generator use being so close and loud. Also some reports by neighbors of temperatures increasing up to 12 degrees close to data centers due to waste heat – so please do more research. There should be no temperature increases to adjacent uses. Already we know neighbors and land trust and parklands are being approached by Texas Gas Pipeline in the Floyds Fork Watershed to ask for easements. While Texas Gas touts they will not invoke eminent domain, they will and they can when their land acquisitions show the need for personal properties with a few blocking the extension. And there are explosions (about 26 reported) since these 42 inch pipelines can be dangerous. Other outdoor equipment is intrusive and includes possible dangerous chemicals which are not needed in the watershed to cause pollution of ground and underground aquifer water and surface water flowing into Floyds Fork tributaries and/or Floyds Fork Creek. And, since people need and like to eat, farmland should never be used for data centers; instead, keep the land to INDUSTRIAL zoning areas. Likewise, our park’s lands should never be used for data centers; instead, keep them to INDUSTRIAL zoning only. Gas turbines create the need for Texas Gas Pipelines intrusion into farmland, parks, homes, etc. and will bring noise, emissions, etc. Diesel fuel on site is a big danger to water resources. Parking lots will get drippings of auto or tankers and delivery vehicles, too. What is the estimated number of trips per day?

			Emissions: For air pollution, there does not appear to be a standard for Particulate Matter (P.M.) which will surely be generated by any data center. What is the P.M. reading at the property line? Retention of trees for carbon storage is necessary; additional trees are necessary to do this due to exhaust and emissions of data centers and because trees help significantly with particulate matter with surface deposition on leaves, branches and bark. Night Noise: The World Health Organization suggests outdoor nighttime averages stay below 40 dB to prevent adverse health and sleep disruption. Daytime Noise should only be a tad more dB (40 to 45 dB) so do not use 65 dB. Noise: Infrasound vibrations must be accounted for – and protection in place for the public. Additionally, cooling fans generate noise as well as generators; these must have acoustic sounds addressed. How will the acoustic sounds be addressed; acoustic sound is mechanical wave energy that travels through a physical medium like air, water, or solids, and can be perceived by human or animal hearing. Therefore, a minimum distance from a residence should be 600 feet and not 100 – 200 feet. And for more intensive uses like day care centers, churches, residences, hospitals, pet businesses, schools and colleges, 2,000 feet; however, a lot of thought should be taken to add to this list. Special treatments are necessary to absorb noises and vibrations which might include acoustic foam and other treatments to control echoes and absorb sound that should be included in regulations. What will be required in regulations? Water: should not use from Floyds Fork Creek or its tributaries or underground aquifers which would threaten biodiversity of flora, fauna or fowl. Costs of water should not be passed on to customers but the data center is to pay. Do not use springs or wells, either. Deforestation: Do not remove forested areas because trees hold CARBON and reduce temperatures and help reduce noise and dust and help the ecology as well as serve as wind breaks and provide canopy. Karst: The attached Courier-Journal article of 7-3-26, Mounting Skepticism: Proposed gas pipeline in KY sparks concerns comments by Mr. Vandeveld near the end of the article under “Trouble on ‘holey’ ground”: His points are exacting because FFEA works over the years with Karst, KGS, USGS, KDOW, etc. - the limestone is acidic and dissolvable; there are numerous and large sinkholes in Jefferson County and the Floyds Fork Watershed (and in Bullitt). Pipelines are vulnerable in karst terrain. Note: MSD recently (latter part of June, 2026) had a cracked pipe at the Seatonville Pump Station with about 10 vehicles showing up for 2-3 days to work on this. Also on radio, the Potomac River was polluted due to a cracked sewer pipe where it was discovered to be due to the GASES in the

			SEWAGE line plus the WEIGHT on top of the line. And as Mr. Jerry Vandeveld, a geotechnical engineer, knew, surface collapses in karst territory can crack pipelines, this can also happen with an underground collapse which can be caused by erosion of soil by underground streams. This leads to leakage and pollution into underground aquifers and on to streams. There is increased danger as surface changes occur to re-route water above and below ground. Mapping already exists for the watershed which should be included in the Planning Appendix and noted in the data center regulations and available to all data centers before any consideration to locate – even in an industrial park problems exist. Will the karst mapping be included? Costs: Must not be passed on to customers in the form of fees and rate increases because government can have the data centers pay instead. Design: Must be aesthetically pleasing by blending in architecturally with the surrounding area. It is best to not allow data centers or uses in the Floyds Fork Watershed due to environmental constraints. In the watershed there is the federally endangered Indiana Bat (<i>Myotis sodalis</i>) which the females need the loose bark of mature trees to have their young. There is the Kentucky endangered Glade Cress in the watershed. Also Running buffalo clover (<i>Trifolium stoloniferum</i>) which is currently delisted from the federal Endangered Species Act, but remains protected under state laws. A decommission of how to remove a data center must be outlined as well as BOND fee put in place. Will it be taken down to the concrete floor? Or totally removed? How to submit 2 attachments?
797	harmer	40203	I think these limitations are especially necessary; hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet; Require any proposed developments to be located in industrial areas while smaller-scale facilities that support existing employers and business needs would be allowed in limited areas; Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required.
798	Meehan	40205	More research and environmental studies are needed before these Hugh data centers are built in our communities. They must solve the problems they will create before they break ground. Our infrastructure for electricity and pollution are not up to the demands of these places say no


799	Ruhl	40242	*Creation of an Industrial Data Center Zone and development of a Conditional Use Permit - Elimination of the draft's proposed data center accessory and telecommunications hotel to avoid possible loopholes in the regulations *Must protect environment from excessive noise levels which can harm humans and wildlife - require pre and post construction acoustic study and ongoing monitoring *Data center users should provide for the majority of energy needs on their own and from renewable sources *Use of closed loop cooling systems for water usage -protect our water quality and prevent increases in our sewage and water bills *Ensure centers are appropriately distanced from homes, schools, daycares, churches, nursing homes, medical facilities, commercial and / or agricultural areas - prevent noise, exhaust and other types of contamination *Terminology / language for regulations should be more stringent - require not encouraged, should be not may etc. *Accountability and transparency insured - the public must be kept apprised of any changes and thorough review of application process, ownership etc. *Build in a decommissioning process - insure proper disposal of materials, clean up of areas, and describe who is responsible for cost of clean up - NOT the Public!
800	Oleksa	40220	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. I am concerned about the way data centers can be classified in the draft proposal which open up loopholes around noise, water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. Nobody wants these in their backyards and I am concerned that areas (and the people who live there) who have less political clout will be taken advantage of by increasing costs and detrimental health conditions. Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human (and animal) hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive

			areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. The language in the proposal need to be strengthened to mandate and require the laws to be followed, not "encouraged" or "may" that open up loopholes for companies to work around the regulations. While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed. I hope you will consider amending the proposed draft to include these and other community suggestions. Thank you.
801	Brummett	40222	The building of these facilities need to be suspended until their facilities can either contribute appropriately to their own power and water usage or it can be confirmed they're not going to be a massive detriment to the community and environment.
802	Vaughn	40026	We do not have the infrastructure to support a data center. Prices for electricity and water are already high and going up. A data center would put a huge stain on our already struggling economy while providing a very minimal amount of jobs. I urge you to vote against allowing a data center in our area.
803	Johnson	40299	The Mayor's draft ordinance does not provide enough protections for our city and citizens. Technology is essential but it must be developed responsibly and ethically. We must protect our environment- water, air, noise. I urge you to consider LCAN's short of list of priority changes: Eliminate arbitrary tiers. Regulate all data centers on the basis of how much they would impact neighbors, everyone's utility bills, etc. >We are seeing the negative impact data centers have on residents across the country. Let's put our collective good ahead of data centers. Eliminate loopholes. No proposed data center should be approved without public input, opportunity for a hearing and Metro Council review. Create a new "Industrial Data Center" zoning classification for all data centers and require all proposers to obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). > Loopholes create problems now and in the future. We need to work harder to eliminate loopholes so what we intend is more likely to happen.

			Ensure that all other electric, natural gas, water and wastewater utility customers won't be forced to subsidize data centers with higher bills or poorer service. > We see this happening in other parts of the country. Our citizens should be our first and highest priority. Ensuring they have access to affordable utilities that are reliable is important for a thriving community. Ensure that data centers don't prevent Louisville from achieving our 100% renewable energy goals. > We have one planet. Let's leave a healthy planet to our kids and grandkids. Limit off-site noise as measured on all decibel scales, including when generators are running, using technology and set-backs. > Data centers are too loud to be located near residences or other non- manufacturing businesses. Mitigating this is paramount.
804	KUPPERSMITH	40207	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. These are my 3 most urgent concerns: First of all, an Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits (CUP) should be required for all data center applicants. When any data center is proposed, the CUP will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. The permits and CUP should apply to all data centers, regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. I am very bothered by noise so I am asking to consider that these facilities have specific noise rules ie. Data centers run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. When I drive around Louisville and see old abandoned buildings, it makes me want a decommissioning plan and

			financial assurance mechanism for Data Centers. This means that if the Data Center facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the company that built it not the public.
805	Willman	40299	I am a wildlife biologist with a bachelors in science. Noise pollution is a huge problem for wildlife everywhere as it disrupts their daily life by keeping them awake and not allowing them to hear crucial audio cues like mating calls, predators approaching, or prey they could potentially capture. With increased noise pollution brought by the data center, wildlife populations will decrease. This will have a domino affect by decreasing our pollinators, which are good for our crops, gardens, and native species to continue thriving. A decrease in our native wildlife will impact us more than we realize and it would be in our best interest to keep our wildlife protected from noise pollution.
806	Vanaria	40207	Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to rate payers. Developer presenting the proposal, bear the cost of infrastructure and upgrades. No Rezone to a dedicated data center zone only if there is public input. We in the very least need vigorous monitoring and guidelines for these centers.
807	Poston	40218	I work near Rubbertown. This area suffers from resource scarcity and poverty already. Building a data center in this area will only add to these issues.
808	Heuser	40220	Louisville's Office of Planning has proposed 11 regulations that support responsible investment in a Data Center. These proposed regulations also protect Louisville's long-term safety. I support these proposed regulations.
809	Fuchs	40245	Data centers guzzle our water, pollute our air, and create heat islands. NO DATA CENTERS.
810	Cherry	40241	COMMENTS I am a resident of Louisville Metro. Below are my comments on the draft ordinance on data centers, Case Number 25-LDC-0014, before adoption. Zoning: I think it would be advisable that a new zoning district be established specifically for data centers, with subcategories for their various sizes and types. These facilities will impose loads on the various local utilities that have not yet been experienced by our communities, and owing to the certain growth of digital technology into the future, they will continue to impose greater loads. These needs must be met with a level of creativity that has not yet been required in conventional building types or zoning regulations. A new, separate zoning district will help clarify these needs and solutions into the future. The Conditional Use Permit process should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public awareness of newly proposed data centers, insuring ample time for review and discussion. Also, I am concerned about the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Bypassing that permit process should not be an option. Noise Levels: References in the ordinance to noise levels must provide clarity and specific limits, not suggestions or desires. Demonstration by the Owner during the design phase (prior to construction) of noise reduction must

			be required. Use of term “should” in the various articles of the ordinance must be replaced with the term “shall.” Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Power and Water: The ordinance must require data centers to have no impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. The vast majority of primary power for data centers should be provided within their onsite physical plant. Digital Corporations and their Owners have the wherewithal to utilize the latest technology of systems such as: • Photovoltaic solar collection: The large footprints/roof areas of data centers provide ample square footage for collection panels, that also provide shading for the roof. Placement of collection panels over parking areas gains added solar capability while protecting parking areas from sun and rain. • Retention basins: Augmentation of conventional energy-efficient cooling systems can be provided by onsite retention basins (cooling ponds), which would include closed-loop cooling coils from the data center’s central HVAC system. This would lessen impact to the local water table by a totally ground-based geothermal HVAC system. The cooling ponds could be fed from surrounding site storm runoff and from storm water collection from the facility’s entire roof area. • Heat reclamation: Space heating for data centers can utilize the capture of ongoing heat output of data hardware equipment within the center. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Setbacks: The various setbacks noted throughout the draft seem small for the protection of surrounding small businesses and (especially) residential areas, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, etc. Frequency: The limitations of square footage laid out in the draft ordinance should be reinforced by the requirement that data centers not be allowed on adjacent sites, or within some set number of centers per square mile. Three separate 500,000 sf data centers located near one another, even though each may have a separate owner/operator, would have the same negative impact on the community in regard to utilities use, noise, etc. as a 1.5 million square foot data center. Emphasis: As noted above, the verbiage throughout the document needs to be more emphatic. Words such as “encouraged” or “may” or “should” must be replaced with words such as “required” or “must” or “shall.” Emphatic terms are in keeping with verbiage used in regulations, standards, building codes, etc., in order to maintain clarity of intent and the seriousness of the issues at hand.

			Owners, users, developers and corporations associated with these facilities will certainly claim that stricter zoning and energy performance requirements will increase costs, and they would be right about that. But the nature of the burgeoning data/communications industries they own and steer yield profit margins that have and will continue to allow them to meet those costs without threatening their ability to design, build and operate data centers. Those individuals and corporations are obviously leaders in technology, research and innovation and have the means to meet strict zoning requirements and to realize energy efficiency at the highest levels possible. Any improved energy performance beyond current industry expectations will only improve long-term efficiency and therefore the profitability of their data centers as more new facilities are developed well into the future. That improved efficiency will also increase the likelihood of acceptance of data centers by surrounding communities. Accountability: Throughout the review process, require applicants to show clearly how they will meet every standard. Procurement of an independent data center expert by the Planning Commission to review each data center application would help insure transparency and credibility of the review and certification process. The review should be made publicly available prior to certification/approval hearings. Public Notification: While I agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership must also be required. Decommissioning Plan: The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This must be clarified. Every decade, generation or era throughout history has been accompanied by new discoveries, technologies, innovation and change that were met and dealt with by those populations. Among ours is the rapid increase of speed and capacity in communications, data and storage, and the need for their accommodation. I would never say that we should not have data centers any more than I would say we shouldn't be flying aircraft. But as in the design, construction, operation and maintenance of aircraft, bridges, highways, or other building types as each of those technologies were introduced across time, we must be serious and rational about how we design, build, operate and maintain the new technology of data centers. The safety and wellbeing of our community well into the future is as much at stake now in this new era. Thank you, Stephen B. Cherry, Architect 

811	Bohn	40243	I have lived in Louisville for almost all of my life, and feel that it is critical to strengthen the regulations and ordinances on data centers before we allow any large data centers to put our community and environment at risk. Conditional use permits or data center zoning needs to be required for every data center applicant to ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should be based on intensity of use to consider factors like water usage, hazardous waste, exhaust, and light pollution, rather than just data center size. Exceptions to this requirement should be removed to eliminate loopholes. Data centers are known for many detrimental effects on water usage and environment around them. They often consume large amounts of municipal water supply causing additional costs for the community and dump wastewater with excess heat and or chemicals that can have devastating effects on the local ecology. Data centers must be required to function on closed loop systems to minimize the impact of water usage and heat exhaust must be managed in a way to prevent detrimental impact to our environment. It's not acceptable for these large corporations to come in from out of town and damage or destroy the natural environment that makes our city so beautiful. Power consumption is another major impact that needs to be tightly regulated on any high intensity data center. First and foremost, these corporations should not be allowed to negatively impact the daily lives of Louisville residents by impacting the cost, reliability, or safety of electrical service. Verification and accountability to these requirements must be explicit. Additionally, we need to control the ability for these data centers to have their own primary power generation if it will pollute the surrounding area with exhaust and noise. Having an on-site power plant should be considered a separate use, requiring its own zoning change. The language throughout needs to be stricter. "Encouraging" practices will get us nothing. We need to be making requirements that they will be accountable to meeting throughout the life of the facility. That means having independent experts review and verify the applications will minimize impact to our community, and making the results public before hearings. Ownership and usage of the property must be public for the life of the data center, including through changes in ownership, not just at the time of proposal. And the companies proposing these data centers must be held responsible for decommissioning plans, so the city isn't left holding the tab for abandoned and failed facilities. All and all, there are many good requirements already incorporated into the proposal, but there are still improvements that should be made in order to protect this city that we call home. We can't take chances in trusting the future of our health and environment to corporations who are exclusively motivated by profits.
812	Vanaria	40207	Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any cost to existing ratepayers.

813	Gilewski	40207	Please consider the following as you consider Data Centers in our community: — Provide for a new industrial Data Center area/zone to prevent scattershot installations around the city and county. — Our electric power grid is already strained. Insist that Data Center applicants proof that they will not impact the availability and reliability of electricity for neighbors. Consider solar panels! — Strongly suggest that applicants install cooling towers or some other closed loop cooling systems as opposed to once through river water. — Insist on accountability and transparency throughout the process.
814	Mccoy	40206	I don't want any data centers in Jefferson County. No one I know wants a data center in Jeff County. •Require them to recycle their water •Put them underground where it's already cool as Kentucky has a cave system that can accommodate • NO noise pollution Or water pollution/waste • No tax breaks. Tax the data facility strongly instead and pass NO costs of operation to the public taxpayers. We are struggling enough. • So much of data collection is tracking us. This is not a direction I support
815	Rodriguez Rutz	40165	Bad idea! Why the heck are y'all thinking about building one in a Black/Brown neighborhood instead of Norton Commons?! Seriously, stop brown nosing the developers and stand up for your residents. Restrictions are needed.
816	Bishop	40219	NO DATA CENTERS, PERIOD!!! They leech our resources and have zero value. I bet you wouldn't try putting them in the East end. You would rather ruin quality of life in the west/south end.
817	Lanzillo	40204	Hello, Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Metro Land Development Code for Data Centers. Please see my notes for consideration below: 1. Noise Regulations - This should realistically be reduced to 50 dBA. I am an ex-audio engineer, with a BA in live sound engineering and architectural acoustics. Any prolonged exposure to sound from 55-65dBA range is extremely annoying and disturbing. This will absolutely become a well-being concern for neighboring communities. 2. Water Regulations - It appears that the majority of industrial areas zoned for data centers are located on the west end, near the Ohio River (Rubbertown), with some zoning also permitting smaller facilities in C-M districts within mixed use communities. I don't see much language requiring developers to be transparent around what safeguards they will implement to prevent contamination of community drinking water supplies during construction and throughout facility usage. The document only seems to require developers to provide a "Will Serve You" letter to validate the utility companies can meet demands. I worry there is a lack of accountability

			towards these developers. 3. Tax Incentives for Developers - There does not seem to be any language around transparency of funding for developers. I want to make sure that Louisville residences have a voice in determining how their tax dollars are spent and understand if these facilities are being built through city or state tax funds. 4. Data Center Footprint - Up to 500,000 sq ft is a massive footprint for this city to support. The Planning Commission should re-evaluate this limit. 5. Usage Transparency - I may have missed this (and apologies if so), but I think the document would benefit from including language around usage transparency. It currently requires transparency regarding investors, developers, ownership groups, and individuals, but it lacks similar requirements for disclosing which companies or services would be permitted to use the data center's servers. 6. Facility Separations - 200 ft is not enough when considering family homes, schools, and child-care facilities. Very likely to face chronic inconveniences, primarily noise pollution from cooling systems and low-frequency vibrations from heavy-duty equipment. The appropriate range is at a minimum 2-3 miles to avoid constant, low-frequency hum of cooling fans and backup generators. Plenty of studies are available online to validate this claim. Thank you for your time, Nic Lanzillo
818	Seymour	40214	I am writing as a concerned resident to express my opposition to the proposed development of a data center in our community. While I recognize the importance of technological growth and economic development, I believe the long-term impacts of this project outweigh its potential benefits. My concerns include the following: * High Energy Consumption: Data centers require enormous amounts of electricity, placing additional demand on the local power grid. This increased demand may contribute to higher utility costs and require significant infrastructure upgrades. * Water Usage: Many data centers rely on large volumes of water for cooling. During periods of drought or increased demand, this could place unnecessary stress on Louisville's water resources. * Limited Employment Opportunities: Although construction creates temporary jobs, modern data centers typically employ relatively few permanent workers compared to the amount of land, infrastructure, and incentives they receive.

			* Noise and Quality of Life: Cooling systems, backup generators, and other equipment can generate continuous noise, affecting nearby neighborhoods and reducing residents' quality of life. * Environmental Impact: Increased energy consumption, emissions from backup generators, and the industrial nature of these facilities may conflict with Louisville's environmental and sustainability goals. * Land Use: Land suitable for development should prioritize projects that create more long-term employment, support local businesses, and provide greater economic value to the community. I respectfully ask Louisville Metro Government to carefully evaluate the full environmental, economic, and community impacts before approving any data center project. I also encourage the city to require comprehensive environmental studies, meaningful public engagement, and transparency regarding energy usage, water consumption, tax incentives, and long-term community benefits. Louisville has an opportunity to pursue economic development that creates sustainable jobs while protecting the health, resources, and quality of life of its residents. I urge you to consider alternatives that provide broader and more lasting benefits for our community. Thank you for your time, consideration, and service to the citizens of Louisville. Thank you, Laura Seymour
819	Holz	40204	My main concern with these regulations is the inclusion of Data Centers in the EZ-1 zoning. EZ-1 zoning is often in densely populated areas of the old city. EZ-1 often directly abuts residential areas. EZ-1 is also significantly concentrated in parts of the West End and South End of Louisville. While EZ-1 is meant to promote development, if data centers are included in this zoning class I feel it may have the opposite effect of discouraging other types of development in these areas.
820	Lindsey	40206	My comments on the proposed regs: Things I Love: Banning Data Centers over 500,000 SF; Limiting Data Centers to Manufacturing / Industrial areas; Requiring developer to bear cost of infrastructure improvements and upgrades; Limiting noise impact to 65 dBA; Encouraging environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices. Concerns and Questions: 1. Review & Enforceability of Sustainable Design. A big part of the regs "encourage" sustainable designs to minimize utility usage. But who ultimately decides whether proposed designs achieve these goals? Are we expecting our planning staff to become experts on this? Will third party certification by specialized engineers be required? What happens if actual use exceeds the projected use? Is ongoing testing required? Who enforces it?

			2. Proof of No Increased Utility Cost. Burden is put on the developer to prove that the data center won't cause utility bills to go up: "...the applicant shall provide documentation demonstrating that public utility capacity and related infrastructure is, or will be made, available to ensure that the utility requirements of the Data Center can be accommodated without adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers." How do they prove this? And what if that turns out to be untrue? Again, who is monitoring and enforcing this after construction is complete? 3. Extensive Owner Disclosures. This is an unprecedented requirement extending to "any person or entity holding real property in the form of partnership, limited partnership, corporation, assignment of interest, or any form of representative capacity whatsoever for others" that would require a public written disclosure of said interest and must include the "name and address of every person having beneficial or contractual interest in the real property, however small or minimal." So, this means every investor, lender, etc. would have to agree to their personal information being part of a public application record, under risk of perjury. Why is this requirement being made? To disincentivize investment in data centers? I have concerns about, if passed, whether these same disclosure rules will apply to other development types (like housing) in the future. 4. Local Hiring Requirements and Prevailing Wage. Unless the city is in the chain of title or the project is requesting municipal incentives, it is extremely uncommon for the city to have a say in who a developer hires and what they pay their workers. Will all of the contracts and pay applications between the developer and the contractor need to be submitted and reviewed by the City of Louisville? Does our staff have that capacity? I don't hate this idea if it is truly just for data centers, but does this set a dangerous precedent for other development (again, housing)?
821	Cerra	40059	AI is not necessary. Allowing outside entities to come into our city and destroy it with data centers is reckless. The health and wellbeing of our community and environment is crucial to being a vibrant, healthy city. Please consider banning ALL hyperscale data centers now and in the future. We do not need or want them in Louisville. Thank you.
822	Aiono	40205	My idea for data centers is to put them in the most affluent neighborhoods like Anchorage or Mockingbird Valley first rather than putting them in historically underserved neighborhoods. Building in the south end where residents already suffer from industrial waste pollution is immoral and predatory.
823	Schillinger	40299	Data centers are a waste of land and water. Wildlife gets displaced and the environmental impact is atrocious.
824	Borland	40347	I dont think it should be moved forward it is too close to water sources like the already polluted Ohio River and other tributaries that are used for drinking and used by tons of local wildlife. Ai is not that useful and is being unnecessarily forced upon people to meet a demand that isnt there
825	Karem	40206	I oppose building of any data center in the state of Kentucky. Period.
826	Doe	40220	Was an environmental survey done? Archaeological and cultural historic surveys?

827	Moss	40204	It is hotter than hades out here and you want more energy going to this? I work in computer science and I DO NOT WANT THIS. Id rather have a power grid that works.
828	Tyler	40214	NO DATA CENTER!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! God will wreak havoc on the land if a data center is constructed
829	Peskoe	40222	Please take another look at the proposed ordinance on data centers before its adoption. There are many areas of concern to me personally, as well as those who live in close proximity to data center locations. Chiefly among the concerns are noise and light pollution, water usage, exhaust emissions. The regulations need to be scrutinized closely to close loopholes that endanger resident children, adults, and the elderly of Louisville, for these potential serious health hazards. Further, as currently written, the setback distance of data centers is much too short. 250 is equal to less than one football field. Is it really worth risking the health of Louisvillians for a few precious feet of space? Consider your own family if a data center should be proposed that close to where you live or work, or where your children attend school. Lastly, I am concerned about the language used in the proposed ordinance. As it is written, it reads as though the regulations are mere suggestions. I implore you to replace words such as "should" or "encouraged" to "shall" and "mandated." Without proper guardrails, data centers have the potential to cause serious harm to residents, and the environment of this city that we all love.
830	Lee	40206	I don't see any reason why Jefferson County needs to agree to any data centers, the economic case is just not there. And the health and environmental risks are too high. We already have to live in an environmentally compromised region. However if they are going to go forward, please include in any and all rules, full disclosure all along the way, no NDAs. And do not grandfather any developments in, rules and regulations can apply to all. We as a city and a county can and should decide that is the best thing for our community.
831	Falcone	40207	The concerns I have about data center are 1. How much water they need and who pays for that? 2. How much electricity they use and will they pay their fair share and not force rate increases of citizens. 3. What will they do to prevent air and noise pollution 4. Will they pay their fair share in taxes like the rest of us. 5. No rebates 6. Will people who work there be paid a fair wage with good benefits.
832	MacDonald	40272	Absolutely not. Yall suck the life out of the city you claim to love. There is nothing but more expense, higher bills and less water. You are killing the planet and destroying our communities. Think of something better to do with your time like getting the houseless men, women and children off our street corners. Build treatment centers,

			community outreach buildings, day cares, playgrounds.... All of these things do far more good than a data center. Think about future generations and what we have to undo. You will be gone and we have to suffer. Stop.
833	Ford	40217	Data centers are not beneficial to this community. I work at the Cane Run Neighborhood Place around the corner from this site. We have enough air and noise pollution in this area. Farnsley Middle School and Wellington Elementary share the neighborhood too. The information seen so far on data center development shows negative impact on the environment and the quality of air. The long term implications are yet to be shown and it is irresponsibly to continue this process without having sufficient long-term data given the implications for children who are growing up within a walking distance.
834	Smith	40222	There should be zero data centers in Jefferson County until data centers figure out how to generate their own power, cool their facilities without water and without adding to environmental heat, and are fully soundproofed. The county is highly populated and our residents do not deserve to be subjected to these atrocities!
835	McDonald	40205	We do not need an data centers in KY. It is unfair to the inhabitants and the wildlife and the long term extent of damage caused is unknown.
836	Reed	40214	Data centers should not be placed in the south end. We have many beautiful parks and wildlife that will be affected by the noise.
837	Lewis	40211	1) An environmental impact report should be required. If any negative impacts are uncovered, they should be required to show proof of how they will eliminate or, at minimum, mitigate those impacts. 2) They should be required to provide their own power, without using the existing grid. 3) If they are water-cooled, it must be a closed loop system. (See the Stargate Project in Abilene, TX) Protecting Kentucky's environment and ecology must be prioritized above jobs. It's much easier to find another source of jobs than it is to heal a damaged ecosystem.
838	Cravens	40204	There are many issues with data centers and the zoning regulations, but two of my concerns are widely shared: water and electricity consumption. Developers should be required to provide evidence that the water and electricity consumption from the proposed data center would provide value directly, and specifically, to this community. To be clear, general claims of the benefits of a data center such as data services and "jobs" are far too general are not specific to this community. If they want to build here and become a utility customer, then they should be able to clearly show how the use of water and electricity from the data center improves the lives of residents in the local community. If they are unable or unwilling to do that, the developers should look elsewhere to build.
839	Rai	40214	While data centers are important for supporting the internet and modern technology, they may not be the right fit for our community. Large data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water to keep their equipment running and cooled, which can put added strain on local resources. They often operate around the clock, creating constant noise from cooling systems and backup generators, and they can significantly change the character of a neighborhood. Although they may bring some construction jobs, they typically provide relatively

			few long-term employment opportunities once they are built. Residents may also have concerns about increased traffic during construction, environmental impacts, and the loss of open land. For these reasons, many people believe that the long-term costs to the community outweigh the limited benefits, making a data center a poor choice for this location.
840	Sadler	40214	Just NO
841	Shank	40206	Citizens in areas where data centers are proposed should have a review period to make their concerns heard. Specific requirements for distance, noise, etc should be specified. Water and electric use should not affect other's access to water and electricity.
842	Peyton	41472	Please reconsider! As a person who cares for my neighbors, and my environment., thus data center does nothing for both. It creates strain on water sources, the power grid, and stress on living things. Your community does not want this to prevail. They do not want tolhe risks and expenses that go along with this. There won't enough jobs or revenue being provided to make this worth the comfort and peace of the community.
843	Dawson	40208	Data centers are horrible for everyone involved except corporations. They deteriorate the water, soil, and overall environment of any land on which they are built. They leech resources away from the surrounding areas and do nothing but harm those living near them. Data centers have NO PLACE here. Keep data centers OUT. AI is a dying industry. The bubble will burst sooner than later and then these disgusting abominations will leave nothing but a horrible hole in our ecosystems after they are left to rot by the greedy corporations building them in the first place. They don't care about the land nor the people and communities affected by these constructions.
844	Keegan	40207	If this is Data Center 502, everyone in Jefferson County and beyond will suffer from the resulting air quality.
845	Abell	40229	No data centers in Louisville! They eat up water and resources while leaving the community to foot the bill! They're loud! They bring in almost no jobs! This is a complete waste of our resources and money.
846	Yates	40165	This data center will affect wildlife and poison the water supply that distributes water to multiple counties. This is not the future we want, stop shoving these data centers down our throats and ruining the environment for future generations! The land is cleared, let's turn it into a community park and garden instead of this big tech BS.
847	Franz	40205	My husband and I are totally opposed to the building of any data center within the city limits of Louisville. The environmental concerns are huge, given the close proximity of the citizens in urban areas. Further, the reliance on an already fragile electric grid, will result in higher electricity costs, brownouts and/or rolling blackouts. No thanks!
848	Walter	40203	Please include requirements like this: Require giving a "living wage" for all builders and employees Require that any data center provides a significant amount of renewable energy to be produced on-site to power the facilities. They can install solar panels on the data center roof.

			Stronger wording about noise mitigation, please.
849	Thomas	40204	No data centers near precious waterways or humans! They are harmful to our health and peace and Louisville residents are saying NO!
850	Leveque	40258	The data center on Camp Ground Road would be detrimental to the health of myself and my family as well as for the environment. LG&E has already increased our rates twice to pay for this center, and I think the community will continue to have to pay for the center, regardless of any false promises we are given now.
851	Lear	40218	To: Metro Council From: Sheron Lear 4309 Winter Garden Court Louisville, KY 40218 Re: 25-LDC-0014 Date 7.6.26 Restrictions prior to land purchase for the purpose of Data Center and/or Data Center. 1. Data Centers of any size to be allowed ONLY in Industrial Zoning, not agricultural, residential, farming, parks, or livestock. 2. Land must be zoned as Industrial for a minimum of 5 years prior to purchase for a Data Center. 3. A minimum of 10 million dollars must be placed in a Bond or secure account in the state or community in the event the property needs to be decommissioned by the state or community. [No brownfield left behind] 4. City, town, state needs a Master Plan, 10 years or longer, that includes permitting or not permitting Data Centers. 5. City or town must have an insurance policy sufficient to cover all potential damage to area and residents. 6. The government may NOT, under any circumstances, use the Data Centers to track the citizens. [No tracking anything including; coffee pot, microwave, entertainment centers, TV, security alarms, radios, phones, land lines and cellular, voting, stations, etc.] 7. Data Center must provide its own power, water, etc. and not be using power or water off the same grid as residential or commercial properties in the area. 8. Data centers may Not take water from the aquifers and leave insufficient water for residents, agricultural, livestock and commercial use. 9. All water used by Data Centers must be recycled for their own use and not keep taking water from aquifers, residential and commercial use. [No brown or contaminated water, possible toxins, released back into to environment.] 10. We need reassurance that NO water from a Data Center will be taken from, nor discarded into Floyds Fork Creek, and/or its tributaries at any time. Floyds Fork Creek flows through Henry, Oldham, Shelby, Jefferson, Bullitt

		counties and tributaries in Spencer County. 11. No increase in costs for residents or businesses anywhere. [There is an incident where a woman went on vacation, unplugged all appliances, turned off everything so no electricity was used, her electric bill was extremely high during this time. She sued, the judge asked the utility company why this person had the outrageous bill when the electricity was not in use. The utility company responded they provided Grid Readiness; she could have used power at any time. The judge threw it out as unjustified. We, the people, need a guarantee this will Never happen.] 12. No clear-cut land around Data Center. No trees may be removed for a Data Center. Ground must be planted and maintained in native trees, shrubs, grasses to help regulate the temperatures and provide oxygen. Trees, shrubs, grasses are the lungs of the environment and provide oxygen in exchange for carbon dioxide. 13. Diesel fuel for diesel engines is a danger to water resources. 14. Noise pollution of 50-60 decibels is unacceptable for humans, livestock, even the insects which are pollinators to our crops whereas 40 decibels at night and 45 decibels daytime should be used. 15. Diesel generators emit gases and fine particles (soot), including PM 2.5, NOx, VOCs, CO. Particulate matter 2.5 and below are small enough to easily be inhaled into lungs and also the bloodstream of human beings to cause decreased lung function, asthma attacks, irregular heartbeats, and an increased risk of heart attacks and strokes, larger particles fall outside the breathing area. 16. Vibrations [interruptions in life; human, fauna, insects, pollinators, birds] are also referred to as 'infrasound' and affect human beings. See this: Spiked Cortisol Levels: Research published by the National Institutes of Health shows that brief exposure to inaudible infrasound significantly elevates salivary cortisol. For individuals with PTSD—who already struggle with cortisol regulation—this sudden physiological stress response can trigger anxiety or panic. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] 17. The heat equaling 43 nukes daily can form a heat well about Data Centers., that is equal to 23 atomic bombs a day. This can raise the temperatures 16 degrees Fahrenheit or more. 18. The land the Data Center sits on may not be elevated greater than surrounding area. This is to prevent flooding or run-off to areas adjacent to data center. 19. Public needs assurances that this will not affect the health of children, elderly, all humans, animals, livestock, etc. [We were told that a small amount of lead was ok and would not be harmful but now that is not the case. Even a small amount of lead is harmful when ingested.] 20. Absolutely NO Data Centers on Public Lands. 21. Absolutely NO tax breaks of any kind, local, state, federal. 22. Profits from the Data Centers must be shared with the local and state government and the community; state and/or local need to share the profits. 23. Local government, Planning and Zoning, must post signs large enough to be seen and read, at the proposed site, post on all social media, the local newspaper and TV stations, and reach out to all residents in the area, and neighborhood associations signed up - with adequate time to respond. 24. No representative of the Planning and Zoning, city, state or federal, will profit from, be awarded in any way, compensated for permitting/allowing a Data Center. And all data centers must go through the zoning process as a

			change in land use so that there is a sign posted, public meetings and public hearing, then on to Louisville Metro Council for a vote - instead of ONLY the Planning Director or designee making all the review and decision. 25. A minimum or a two-year moratorium prior to approval of any new data centers and an immediate moratorium on all data centers that have been approved.
852	Wallace	40214	The people do NOT want these data centers anywhere near us. It's not our fault our mayor is a sleezebag selling out our city to the highest bidder. You are not welcome here. Leave us, our resources, and our electric bills ALONE!!!
853	Gillespie	40219	I oppose the data center. It will have a huge negative ecological impact. We need to protect our world for future generations.
854	matthews	40204	Literally NOBODY wants these. Why are you continuing with these projects? Oh...duh...it's the money right? Have you been taking bribes? Ya that's what I thought.
855	matthews	40204	How much in bribes have you accepted in order to push this project through? I'd really like to know, because no one wants this. NO ONE!
856	Latham	40208	As a resident of Jefferson County with many loved ones as residents too, I do not wish for a data center to be built in this county, or anywhere in Kentucky. We have seen evidence across the nation that despite what is alleged, data centers do increase water and electric bills, contaminate water, heavily increase noise levels, and are an unnecessary development. Most residents do not want a data center in what is already a very polluted city. Please stop this construction immediately.
857	Johnson	40229	There has not been enough research on how these data centers affect human and animal hearing as well as damage to the water ways. We do not want this in Kentucky!
858	Green	40205	NO DATA CENTERS!!!
859	Ceesay	40219	Kentucky should ban data centers all together. The scale and long term impacts on the environment are detrimental and these companies cannot provide substantial solutions. Places like Fayetteville, GA are already suffering the consequences of these facilities. Water supply has been reduced by over 30mil gallons, water quality has made the water unsafe to bathe in and drink. Residents in these rural areas who rely on well water are having to order bottled water, which many cannot afford. The locations of proposition for data centers in KY and especially Louisville are areas that are already struggling. We have industry areas like Butchertown and Rubbertown that are already impacting the environment and surrounding communities. In Louisville (Jefferson County) alone childhood asthma rates in those areas are among the highest in years due to the environmental pollution of these facilities. They are underserved and poorly established areas where those most vulnerable reside. To allow a data center to be built would be of further detriment to the community, environment, quality of life and financial burden of citizens of the county.

			We must stand firm against mega corporations that have no regard for humans or the planet. AI is a great tool when used properly, however where do we draw the line? I say this is where!
860	Smith	40206	This is disappointing and disgusting. Data Centers impact air, water and light for the surrounding environment. The proposed regulations state a Data Center must be a range of 25-200 ft. From homes, schools and childcare facilities. This proposal doesn't set minimum requirements for other properties/facilities. This is a public health issue and hazard. Places of employment, commerce and worship are at risk. Are we going to allow Louisville to pay for this to negatively impact our homes and the lives of our children?
861	Bruce	40214	Why is this necessary? Who exactly benefits from this? Louisville residents don't want this. We already live in a heat island city with increasing pollution and decreasing water. At what point do we recognize that our natural resources that keep us and other creatures alive on this planet are finite. This is unsustainable and everyone knows it, so you can line your pockets and live in denial, but it will affect you too. Peace.
862	Springer	40223	We do not want data centers in Louisville! They are wasteful, harmful, and unnecessary. Take your greed and leave us alone! I have lived in Louisville my entire life, I love this city, it made me who I am and it is the only place I can imagine calling home. Let us invest in infrastructure for the people! Let us build a city that will be the crown jewel of the Ohio, the pride of Kentucky, the city we all want her to be and work for every day! Stop selling us off to the highest bidder, and start taking care of this great city we have been so privileged to call home!
863	Hartman	40217	I am very against data centers coming to the area. Louisville deserves better.
864	Saunders	40204	Do not allow data centers to be built in Louisville! They are not only expensive resource hogs, they poison our air, water and soil. Data centers have no place in Louisville!
865	Herndon	40206	Data centers should not be allowed in Kentucky. Data centers have negative impacts to the environment and wildlife. If data centers do get built, they need to pay for their own electricity and their own water. They should also be required to have cooling systems that do not require fresh, clean drinking water. They should also be subject to all tax rates. They do not provide jobs and just suck resources out of the community. They should get no tax breaks and pay a premium for the detriment to the land and community.
866	Higdon	40207	No data centers for Louisville! The cost to run these will ultimately be passed onto LGE customers. Not the right long term investment for our city!
867	Neukirch	40215	No data centers in Louisville. We've seen the harm they have done elsewhere.
868	Murphy	40220	Thoughtful regulation is a must to protect our water, neighbors, land, air and peace of mind. Please put people's well-being first.
869	Leonard	40220	Data Centers are not in the best interest of Kentucky.
870	Dear	40258	Absolutely not. Why trouble the residents of the western part of the city. Either out out far at from the majority of the city or not at all. We don't want it in jefferson county.

871	Benton	40214	Data centers use water that could instead be used in our communities. AI is destroying our environment. WE DON'T WANT DATA CENTERS IN OUR COMMUNITY.
872	Rhea	40220	Data centers are detrimental to the waterways, environment, and communities where they are placed.
873	Filichia	40204	I support a full scale ban on large scale data centers. We do not have a need for data centers. Any data centers that are built should also be required to fully clean any used water to MSD current standards. Industrial ares should not include areas where there are fragile environmental habitats (see the wetlands around fairdale as an example). Data centers should be required to use existing, empty infrastructure rather clearing existing green space.
874	Sims	40165	Data Centers are a scourge on the environment and economy. They ruin the value of adjoining land and homes with their noise pollution and the strain they introduce to the electrical grid and water table. Welcoming any size data center to Louisville is a terrible consideration. There should be no space for them.
875	Young	40220	This is incredibly damaging to the people who actively live in that area and the wildlife that call it home. Beyond that, its endangering our futures on this planet from environmental impact to water availability. If used for AI, its endangering the future of our children's education and actively adding to a critical thought deficit we are ALREADY encountering. It's a danger to the future and present of our jobs and economy. There is nothing good that comes of this. You are supposed to serve and listen to the people who call it home, not ignore every call to stop in exchange for dirty money in your pockets. Its corrupt and disgusting. We are calling you to stop. Listen.
876	Tucker	40215	We DON'T want it!!! We need our water and power. We don't need this stupid thing!
877	McAllister	40219	This data center will add to the pollution of the local area including the Ohio River. This will endanger wildlife, air quality, and our drinking water. Not to mention it will be a noise polluting monster for the surrounding area. We dont need these mega data centers.
878	Contreras	40155	Keep it out of Louisville, it's already hot enough here. Thank you.
879	McAllister	40219	We DO NOT want data centers in our city. They contribute to noise pollution and overall decreases quality of life for those around them.
880	Beach	40216	Data centers are not a good idea for anyones community. There are constant articles published regarding the noise, the drop in property value, increased rates for the communities utility bills, and a general lack of water to support the cooling of the facility. The jobs they create in the beginning are not worth all of the downsides they bring.
881	Brennan	40207	The proposed data center in South Louisville will cost the community more in land, resources, energy and pollution than it ever gives back. Shively and the surrounding area need solid, long-term investment in career building employment. There is little evidence that this massive project will provide much in the way of stable, well-paying tech jobs for local residents. Move this project
882	Magnus	40272	No data centers anywhere in Jefferson County or Louisville. Build them in another county far away from here.
883	Cook	40216	The proposed regulations from The Office of Planning are woefully insufficient, regardless of how much stakeholder feedback was solicited during their creation. It is not by accident that Rubbertown continues to drive

			up the rates of cancer in multiple Louisville zip codes, just as it is not an accident that the proposed data center is just south of an already afflicted area of Louisville. Data centers do not belong in the city of Louisville. Their square footage does not matter, and a limitation of 500,000 square feet is truly laughable. While some may argue that these proposed regulations limit data center development to designated industrial areas, Louisville Metro Government has already failed to address or rectify the environmental racism that current residents of Rubbertown experience every single day. Rather than allowing private corporations to bribe their way into our infrastructure, our leadership should instead begin addressing the historic legacy of redlining in this city and take action to actually improve the lives of Louisville citizens. Every single corner of Louisville Metro is someone's home. Our children, our families, and our most vulnerable neighbors live in the exact areas that are being targeted for data center development. The decisions surrounding data centers may seem to be an argument of morality to some, but that is simply not the case. This is a matter of ethics. Louisville Metro Council Members are elected by the public to serve in their best interest. Allowing data centers of any number and any size would be ethically wrong. Data centers will not markedly improve the daily lives of any significant number of Louisville citizens, so no Council Member should be in favor of these abominations being created anywhere. While I appreciate The Office of Planning's intent behind these regulations, they are insufficient. I urge all Metro Council Members to take immediate action to stop data centers from being permitted in the city of Louisville under any regulations. Hundreds of technology jobs are not worth the unending condemnation that our current leaders across the city will earn for such an ethical failure.
884	Barton	40223	I don't see any good reason for new data centers. The communities where they have been rushed through have seen their utilities skyrocket in price, their water fouled, air quality lowered, noise pollution increase, and property values drop. These facilities extract resources and profits and offset the financial and environmental costs onto the community.
885	Hackman	40216	Please do not put a data center in Louisville. I'm terrified that Rubbertown and/or Lake Dreamland will have a data center and temperatures will rise, noise pollution will increase, wildlife including our plants and gardens will be impacted, mine and my neighbors health, my child's health, will suffer catastrophically. Please do not do this to us.
886	Smith	40299	I am vehemently opposed to data centers, due to their pollution, energy consumption, use in civil surveillance, and the overall dumbing down of Gen-AI users. While I'm glad the proposal seeks to limit the impact to environmental concerns, I don't think they should be allowed to exist.
887	Gonzales	40258	This will destroy our community at what cost? This should not be allowed. Period.
888	I	40241	Do not build them.
889	Campbell	40214	I will not raise children in a city where a data center, or multiple data centers at that are being built. Nor will I sit and let my or my family's water or electricity bills be raised because of them. Anything I've heard from people who live near a data center is nothing positive by any means. I refuse to have low water quality or no water at all. Summers in Kentucky get extremely hot and we are a large populated city that already uses a lot of energy we don't need a data center adding on top of it. I'd hate the day I'd have to leave the city where my great and great great grandparents raised my family all because of a "data" center. Please reconsider building these outside of Kentucky.

890	Versweyveld	41045	Data centers are detrimental to the environment and to local communities by using up resources, and driving up cost of utilities. They destroy habitats for wildlife and have a heavy carbon footprint. No one wants this.
891	Beavers	40218	NO data centers ever anywhere in ky
892	Barnes	40245	I do not want a data center anywhere near my community or neighboring communities
893	Logsdon	40207	Do not let this data center get built, please, for everyone's health and wellbeing. Do not put a data center in Jefferson county
894	Kroeger	40228	Can you say "overkill"? How many data centers does one city need? One country? The US has 10 Xs more data centers than the next country, and greater than 10 Xs more than China, our supposed rival. What's it really about? And what about the climate crisis they've cried about for years? This massive overuse of resources like water and power will destroy our environment, and our health. And heat. They say temps are rising. Of course they are! And it's THEIR fault.
895	Dickinson	40216	I am strongly opposed to a data center sucking resources from an area already starved of resources. There should be no data centers until the company's wishing to build them pay to update the infrastructure with necessary updates to maintain the pull on our water and energy
896	Moore	40207	Absolutely no to data centers. Not only are they TERRIBLE for our environment, but putting a data center in West Louisville where our people are already under served, overlooked, and struggling is disgusting. Already struggling for access to healthy food, education, and health care - let's add drinkable water to that too. If you want to prove you don't care about the people in West Louisville, sure build a data center. But those of us that care about our city and the people in it say NO THANK YOU.
897	Carpenter	40212	This is a terrible idea and bad for the environment.
898	Patterson	40258	I prefer not to have data centers built in the city of Louisville.
899	Beard	40203	These data centers are horrible for our neighborhoods, our communities, in the environment. Allowing these things to be built in our communities, does nothing but harm everyone involved, except for the people making money off of us. Any government official who supports this should be immediately removed from office and I know myself and many others will make it our duty to make sure this happens.
900	Thompson	40229	Putting a data center in this community compounds the multiple years of degradation imposed already. Data centers place a strain on the community and take resources. Based on what is known, data centers have negative impacts on the environment and don't improve any aspect of a community. What is still unknown about data centers and their long term impact should be more than enough to deter from this project. We do not want data centers anywhere in Louisville.
901	Proper	40204	I am concerned about pollution and how much water and energy data centers use, potentially driving up utility costs for everyone
902	Luckert	40228	I do not see any reason we need data centers in Louisville. They have no positive impact on our city and pose nothing but potential negative impacts. We invest in infrastructure that years away natural resources from

			Kentucky families while allowing our school districts to fail, roads to remain in poor conditions, and crime rates to continued to climb. Data centers have no place in our city or our state.
903	Chavis	40214	We don't want Data Centers in Louisville.
904	Hampton	40215	They require to much natural resources for the environment. I vote no
905	Name	40212	NO DATA CENTER.
906	Brown	40204	I would like to see a moratorium on all data centers locally. Short of that, I want to know my electric bill, air quality, and water quality will not be disrupted by any data centers.
907	Anderson	40241	I am concerned about both the short and long term impacts to our city that data centers have already proven to bring in other municipalities. I would be in favor of severe limitations to the scale that Louisville allows in the city limits. The potential rise in costs associated to the tax payers, the energy usage and rates, water usage and rates, and many other factors that are evident when looking at the impact of other data centers are great reasons to take measures now to protect the citizens of Louisville.
908	Olson	40215	There has been too much evidence of data centers in other cities polluting surrounding air and water for them to move forward with no additional regulations. For once, please put the health and safety of the public above the bottom line.
909	Singleton	40219	These industrial areas are mostly in the south and west ends. Areas that largely serve underrepresented individuals. Please reconsider the county's stance on allowing data centers to be built in Jefferson county. Example after example all over the country is showing that these centers dramatically impact the area and neighborhoods surrounding these centers. Wildlife, of which the south end houses a major green space - Jefferson Memorial, and water ways are always grossly impacted. No matter the level of "noise management" installed it's never enough. For energy, these data centers ALWAYS impact the local areas. We are already facing rising costs of utilities, these data centers consistently negatively impact the communities they're built on. And to my last point, until the city of Louisville has built enough trust with the south and west end, we do not have any faith that these regulations and contracts will be negotiated in good faith. If you all are ok with these facilities, live in the communities they are being added to. Or, add these data centers in the east end. We all know that will never happen. Louisville doesn't have to have data centers to be great. Be different. Do better.
910	Thomas	40216	Even in industrial zones there should be limits to adding data centers that compound pollution at every level, including noise, particulates and vehicle traffic. Homeowners within a 15 mile radius should be notified ahead of any approvals or upgrades. NO INCREASED COSTS TO RESIDENTIAL TAXPAYERS OR UPGRADES IN THE FUTURE.
911	Carter	40211	THIS IS KILLING US!! KILLING THE ENVIRONMENT AND KILLING NEUTRONS WERE NOT USING BECAUSE WE REFUSE TO THINK FOR OURSELVES. SUPPORT LIBRARIES NOT DATA CENTERS
912	Powell	40272	Data Centers are sucking the life blood out of the communities they are being put in. It's not enough that dangerous chemical plants and hazardous waste places are sitting in black and brown communities but now you want to place data centers as well?

913	North	40203	Louisville needs increased investment in its community. We do not need hyperscale data centers that cause audible disruption, use up our water, and increased utility costs for residents. Who would benefit from these centers? Real Estate developers? Tech tycoons? Private equity firms? It is certainly not the average person in this city. Strong opposed! Lauren North, esq. Old Louisville - Oak Streer Corridor
914	Smith	40205	I have concerns regarding the impact to the community. Rising bills, water access, pollution, etc..
915	Langsdon	40306	No data center should be approved for construction inside the Louisville Metro area until an unless a third-party environmental impact study is completed and demonstrates there is no impact to the environment or residents. The opaque nature of these data centers is unacceptable. There's insufficient data on the effects of the sounds of that are audible and sounds that are inaudible on wildlife, plant life and human life. Though humans cannot hear the low frequency sounds these data center generate, the human body is certainly impacted by them. If you want a data center in your backyard, please volunteer, otherwise no data centers in the Louisville Metro area. The energy and water demands of these data centers is prohibitive and there's no data on their long-term effect.
916	Weber	40272	I highly object to the city allowing any sort of data center or storage facility anywhere near the Louisville metro area. The negative impact that this will bring to human life is concerning. Please consider banning these centers
917	Johnson	40217	I do not believe a data center should be placed near any populated area. It will drive up energy prices for LG&E and there have been no environmental impact reports that have been conducted. Plus data centers are so dependent on water consumption it will both hurt the water quality and bills for Jefferson county. Until there is better ways to cool the center we should not allow one to be built in our community to pollute both the water and with noise.
918	Chesnut	40205	Terminology is way too vague in many parts of the shared document. Companies will be "encouraged" to use environmentally sound approaches, "minimize", "maximize", etc. These give no reassurances about personal and environmental safety. And 25 feet from schools or residential property?!?? Are you kidding me?? This whole document is nothing more than word fluff and in general, trash.
919	Striegel	40222	Do no put a data center in Jefferson county around people's homes and neighborhoods. We do not know the long term effects it will have on quality of life and effecting the health and well being of our citizens. It will increase all of our utilities in a hardship economy and we are only catering to billionaires by letting this data center go through. NO DATA CENTERS.
920	Lastovich	40213	Please do not do this!!!! We do not want this, we do not need this. Stop building useless things and refurbish all the empty buildings we have! This area is good because of the people here. None of the people want this!!! We didn't vote for this. And if you do this, we will NOT VOTE FOR YOU! Mayor, do not make a buck off people's lives! It isn't worth it. Stop this crap!!!!!!!! Why do i even need to post this?!?! No data centers!!!!
921	Kelley	40208	Data centers should not exist and it should be legal to bomb them like Israel

922	Johnston	40206	I think they need to stay out of our city. Please.
923	Shir	40208	I am against them. They use too much water and jack up consumer energy bills. NO!
924	Pollard	40213	There should be no data centers built in Jefferson co. These use resources that could otherwise be used by residents. South Louisville residents life expectancy is about 15 years less than that of residents in the East End of town. That is no coincidence. The more urban areas typically bear the brunt if the environmental impact caused by pollution. Rubber town being a prime example. Listen to your constituents especially those who live in the area and do not build this here. Or anywhere in Jefferson county.
925	Rust	40208	I (and the majority of citizens across the city, state, and country) do not want these anywhere near our backyards or waterways. If you not put these in the richest parts of the city, you already know they're a problem. They should be paying the cities they're asking to build in money for accepting them, not given tax breaks while not even providing any harm reduction. If the mayor or council members wouldn't put one beside their home, how dare you force them upon others.
926	Radtke	40206	I do not believe that LMC needs to build data centers. We still do not understand the long term impact on the communities that they are built in. We do know that data centers use large amounts of energy and potable water. Louisville's aging infrastructure does not have the capacity to handle a small scale data center, let alone a large scale one.
927	Beeler	40206	With what information we have at this current present time it is known that data centers pollute the environment and raise the cost of electric services for everyone in the surrounding areas. There's still a lot of unknown therefore I do not support one being built near my home!
928	Zitelli	40204	I want NO data centers in Jefferson County. None. Thank you.
929	Ervin	40215	There is no need for a Data Center in this city when half of downtown is empty buildings, people have no homes, the west end is a food desert, and our school system is a complete mess. Time and time again these Data Centers have resulted in nothing but higher electric bills for residents, hotter temperatures, water shortage, and a never ending list that does not benefit the communities which already exist. We do not need more corporate greed to run this city to the ground. This is ridiculous. Restore and repair the many buildings that are out of code before putting this trash in Louisville.
930	Hornung	40207	Data centers are showcasing an increase in night temperature of up to 10 degrees in other cities who have had them built. We don't even have adequate grocery stores in the south end and you're trying to build a data center???? Hospitals are getting defunded and folks across Kentucky are having to drive upwards of an HOUR to the closest hospital and yet, you're clearing land for a data center that will use more natural resources and populate our waterways?! Please. Stop this.
931	Harrington	4022	We don't need data centers! At least no more there are already plenty that are ruining peoples water, they are loud and disruptive to nature and humans. They take too much electricity this is non sense! IT HAS TO STOP

932	Bradley	40216	I have no interest in a data center being built on campground road in Southwest Louisville. Do you not realize PEOPLE live near industrial areas? Is it not enough with that, we already deal with numerous things from the companies on Bells Lane, the Greenbelt and the LG&E facility ? Now you want to add a data center on top of all that ??? Really ??? Have we not paid enough as it is ? I have lived in this area since 1985, we've paid ours. We DO NOT want ANOTHER hindrance to our lives with a data center !!!! My 32yo Autistic son born in 1993 and raised in this are, has enough going on with his daily struggles in life, please don't add another. I pray GOD will give you, someone, anyone the knowledge to see these data centers are no good, not wanted or desired by Southwest Louisville's residents, we've paid our dues !!!!!
933	Housewright	40242	Data centers are detrimental to the environment and surrounding residential neighborhoods. In an area that is already underfunded, over policed, and has little to no resources given to its community - building this data center will destroy the rest of the community. People will suffer, they will lose water, power, bills will sky rocket. This data center will hurt Louisville and in no way be a positive addition to our city. Not only that, adding a data center will further hurt our environment.
934	Burnett	40229	This is bad for the environment and it's also bad for people's health. This data centers have caused many people to be sick and have very bad headaches and other health conditions
935	Clark	40229	No. I could list reasons why this is a bad idea, but I'm sure someone else has covered the points. So my simple response is, No.
936	No	40206	Data centers are bad for the environment, bad for all the resources we need, and you all should listen to your constituents and neighbors.
937	Smith	40207	Please do not place a data center in or near Louisville. We do not want it. We are better without it.
938	Oberly	40216	Nobody wants the data centers. The only people who wants this is shithead bootlicking Greenberg and equally disgusting shit head Steve poe. Your guys' greed has no limit and it's absolutely deplorable and pitiful. Anybody who has approved this is no better than pond scum. These data centers poison the water with PFAS, heats the air, creates needless noise pollution. We didn't need AI for hundreds of years. we don't need it now. Anybody who wants these data centers is a waste of space and oxygen
939	Miracle	40214	We need to say no to data centers in Kentucky. We live in such a beautiful state with so many natural resources that will be destroyed by these data centers. Not only the state, kentuckians will suffer. Data centers all over have been raising temperatures, depleting drinking water and increasing energy bills in the communities they improach on, and this will disproportionately affect people of color in south Louisville. For once, please listen to the people. We do NOT want data centers in Kentucky.
940	Hubbuck	40220	I am strongly against data centers' impacts on our environment and our electricity.

941	Dyer	40204	Stop the production of Data centers!!! We DO NOT NEED THEM!!!! They are ruining the environment. You fuckwads who have never believed or taken a standing in global warming issues have had enough of your say. Stop the harmful production of these data centers, we don't need them. Generations from now will not have clean running water that is accessible to them, financial stability, or clean air quality. These data centers are draining everything that is valuable from our planet, and our economy WILL suffer if we continue down this road. Stop spending tax payer money on equipment that is KILLING the earth. PLEASE!!!!
942	Lambert	40065	No data centers. Protect our community and our planet.
943	Harbin	40214	Please do not build them here in this city if you care about the people that live here.
944	Stone	40205	What an eye sore!!! Not to mention the huge drain of energy and water for what?? Jobs?? The jobs will be miserable ones that create an unhappy community. And AI robots will be doing the jobs within the year. This will make Louisville less appealing to attract any new events/business that would stimulate our local economy. Keep Louisville weird and vote no!
945	Glastetter	40150	Why is there a need for so many data centers literally out of the blue? I have an idea, let's not. Let's not ruin our planet, and let's not fall into the trap of thinking we need AI. We don't. We need good water. Good soil. And good air. AI is not a necessity, it is a virus spreading throughout our planet.
946	Gatti	40222	Data centers are a blight on any community in which they are approved. They consume significant amounts of energy, produce noise pollution and serve no actual benefit to the community. Home values plummet when these centers are built in residential areas. Even in rural areas, homeowners have complained of the constant hum generated by the equipment required to keep the servers cooled. They provide no economic development positives. I vehemently oppose the construction of data centers.
947	Burgiss	40299	The health implications from data centers are not good. It's gonna overload our systems and we do not need to have them in Jefferson County or Kentucky. Stop this.. we don't need it
948	Tate	42754	Please don't build them here
949	Yates	40214	I think that putting a data center up anywhere is a terrible and selfish idea. We functioned without data centers for centuries, we don't need data centers. The negative effects on the environment that result from data centers isn't worth it. It's not worth the risks to our health. It's not fair to humans, animals and the environment to have a data center in their area. If there are humans who want a data center, how about those humans move within the 5-10 mile perimeter of the data center. It's not right to put one where people live who aren't in a position to pack up and move. It's not right and it's not necessary. No to data centers.
950	Dake	40204	I am 100% absolutely against data centers in Louisville. It is abundantly clear that data centers negatively impact the lives of residents around them, from noise, to pollution, to taking up space, to increased utility bills. Moreover, Louisville does not need to throw jet fuel onto the wildfire of AI. As a middle school teacher, I can

			personally attest to the negative impacts of AI on children's development of critical thinking skills. It's very concerning, and school's are seriously struggling to moderate its use. Fuck data centers! Thank you for your time <3
951	Bright	40204	We need to require environmentally sound principles around the construction and operation of data centers and we need to specify what is meant by that. This needs to include renewable energy use and plans to mitigate excessive water consumption and heat creation.
952	Dallas	40211	Don't build it. Louisville is known for our pure water systems this data center will hinder our reputation. Doing this will further damage our air quality and the quality of the community members lives. The money may be good but is not worth the overall damage.
953	Kirk	40291	These look like decent guidelines, but a full moratorium on data centers in the greater Louisville area would be best. Destructive to our water supply, jacking the already high prices we have to pay to LG&E even higher, Not ever getting into the noise pollution angle. People love to talk about a bunch of jobs, but most data centers don't actually have many people working at them. You will have a short term job bump for construction and then not many new jobs actually running the place. Some low paying security jobs as well. Some of those jobs end up being people hired from outside and brought in, rather than only hiring locals. We had DARE when I was in school in the 80s and early 90s. So pretend data centers are drugs and Just Say No.
954	Linc	40219	I understand the push for the technological advances of data centers because of what AI can provide. However, I do not support what it does at the expense of peoples lives and their health. I also believe it is going to do extreme damage to the environment. Both are which extremely hard to or is something that you can't reverse. Put the money towards something that will be beneficial for communities not to tear them apart.
955	Johnson	40214	We don't want this data center by our homes! We don't want to pay for it. We don't want to hear it. We don't want the health issues that come with it. I don't want my children being affected by it. I want clean water. I don't want a water restriction limit. We have been doing just fine in life without ai. Nobody wants it but the GOVERNMENT.
956	Gillum	40299	Data centers are going to absolutely ruin our planet and, specifically, our local water supply. I cannot believe there are plans to put one up in our city. SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS!!!
957	Lynch	40258	This is absolutely disgusting and NOT WANTED by the Louisville city residents. We will be paying for this "center" with not only our tax dollars BUT by our own utility bills. As if Louisville residents aren't suffering financially already. What a disgrace. Not to mention the health affects this will have. Increase of cancer, anxiety, depression, autoimmune diseases. But like the government or city officials care. You only care about money not the people.
958	Rabalais	40203	We the public, the citizens of Louisville, had no say in this blight on our resources and community. Data centers waste millions of gallons of fresh water and are massive polluters. Do not build this monstrosity and make people suffer for the profit of the wealthy. Our resources can be much better spent.

959	Swoger	40219	We do not want a data center in our community. We as tax payers are charged with paying water and electricity. BOTH BILLS will go up if we have data center in our community. Data centers take up water and are not charged for it.
960	Speagle	40214	I LIVE IN THE SOUTHEND , WHERE A PROPOSED DATA CENTER IS PLANNED, I OPPOSE BECAUSE OF RUINING THE ENVIRONMENT, AIR AND WATER, NOISE POLLUTION, CAUSING MORE HEALTH ISSUES, ELECTRIC BILLS OUT THE ROOF. I OPPOSE DATA CENTERS, THEY RUIN COMMUNITIES AIR , KAND AND THE NOUS
961	Heick	40245	Kentucky does not need a data center. We must put our people first. Data centers use up valuable resources, raise costs for the local people and cause irreparable damage. I demand our government put us first and say no to data centers in Kentucky. Louisville absolutely is not the right place for a data center.
962	M	40219	I absolutely hate that this is directly impacting our community. It is too close to the city and how will this impact our community in a positive way, giving a few temporary jobs and maybe 5 full-time? This is using our citizens resources and money to fund a private corporation. I see this land being used as community center or I don't know, maybe a homeless shelter for those that are unhoused. We are living in a distorted reality and I hope this is stopped before it's too late. Too many people will be negatively impacted by this, not including the environmental impacts.
963	Hao	40243	Strongly agree with KRC's recommendations especially highlighting stronger language needed for protections such as closed loop cooling to protect waterways of Kentucky as well as transparency in every part of the process. The public feels very strongly in a negative way about new data center development and regulations should reflect public sentiment to protect the environment and ensure both public approval of government tax dollars are being used or the downstream effect is an increase in basic energy utilities.
964	Townsend	40014	No to data centers! They use up resources and land. No no no
965	Cecil	40219	I do not wish to live in a state in which data centers are being implemented. We need to value our community and protect it from technological exploitation. Nature is too beautiful to continue down the path that we are going which only ends one way.
966	Burnes	40228	We didn't ask for these environmental disasters in our neighborhoods! Leave the land alone and stop building your machines.
967	Ferrell	40220	Data centers should not affect our drinking water supplies! Do not allow any data centers to impact or use our drinking water, especially during droughts. If a data center cannot exist without our potable water, it should not exist. Even in industrial areas, data centers need to be a considerable distance away from ANY residences. No pollutants from data centers should be traceable in any drinking water anywhere in the city.
968	Sylvia	40220	I am writing to express my strong, undeniable OPPOSITION to the proposed data center project in Louisville. This development poses a significant threat to our community's quality of life, resources, health and wellbeing. By consuming massive amounts of electricity and water, resources that are ALREADY SCARCE, EXPENSIVE and FINITE these facilities strain local utilities and will raise already high utility rates for all residents. Furthermore, a massive data center brings noise, light, and air pollution while offering disproportionately few permanent jobs. Because

			the costs to our environment, infrastructure, and community character far outweigh the limited local benefits, I urge local leaders to reject this proposal.
969	Harambasic	40218	Absolutely against them. Bad for the environment, bad for our communities, and unethical. Don't build one.
970	Berg	40204	Allowing data centers yet again puts profits over people. We elected you to represent the people, not corporations. You'll probably allow it like the soul sucking loser you are. If there's a hell, you are first in line.
971	Madden	40215	Please do not allow this data center to be built here, around so many residential neighborhoods. The south end is already struggling with poverty, lack of adequate affordable housing, and a general lack of resources for our struggling families. I grew up in far eastern Kentucky, Hazard, Perry county to be exact and we have all seen the devastating effects of the coal companies, lumber companies, mountain top removal, and contaminated water that have forever destroyed our beautiful mountains, trees and rivers and streams there. It will happen here too, now if these centers are allowed to take the place of our gorgeous land and natural areas we all love in our state. Please don't ruin what we have left of our precious natural resources and possibly, probably, our lives. Thank you from an 8th generation Kentuckian.
972	Stocks	40245	Bad idea in metro areas. Over building. Heavy electri and water use..billed to the community. Ask No VA teachers. So In already is full of data centers and all the area near the river is covered with warehouses trucks. All trees removed. Minimal job creation. See if Charlestown has gotten any benefits from these business. We still don't have the infrastructure needed for the thousands of new homes in Jefferson co. Vote No data centers! Col Stocks USAF ret . I lived on the east coast for 26 yrs
973	Musich	40205	I do not support this proposed data center due to its close proximity to neighborhoods.
974	Stone	40216	We the people, do not want a data center here. In fact, nobody anywhere has ever said "Please build a data center." They are loud, polluting, ecosystem killing surveillance centers. Whoever wants these terrible things built, just build it next to their house. Stop trying to poison us, when we already have terrible drinking water, food, chemtrails, & so much more to worry about. Don't we the people, who pay taxes, have a right to vote?
975	Thompson	40213	Generally, data centers lower the quality of life of anyone who lives near them. They destroy land and natural resources. If the city cares about its residents, they will not allow them. Don't sell us out. Thank you.
976	Radeke	47150	Data centers provide no meaningful increase to the quality of life for anyone, and they achieve this stupendous feat by sacrificing our environment our economy and our privacy. I for one fail to see how anyone has fallen into this trap of just doing whatever billionaires want regardless of the cost hewn thru living flesh. No to data centers no to AI or Flock support of any kind. Have you even asked what their decommissioning plan is? Because I guarantee you that just as our taxes are supporting their construction our taxes fill have to pay for their destruction when they are abandoned.
977	Malone	40291	1. Why aren't these server farms cooled without water? There are numerous examples of data centers using cooling methods other than water. 2.Do any and all requests for additional water usage have to be voted on? 3. What type of identification data will be stored and what agencies can access this info without a warrant?

978	P.	40216	Please do NOT build a Data Center in this city AT ALL. Data Centers have proven to be a drain on all of our natural resources and our power grid. Data Centers are speeding up the process of our world dying. The surrounding communities will feel the effects almost immediately when their water and electricity bill go up. Y'all are basically asking for us to fund this Data Center in so many ways. Think about the Louisville and World you want to leave your children /grandchildren behind and if they're going to be able to afford and enjoy.
979	Martin	40204	I am strongly opposed to data centers and their impact on the environment. They disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities.
980	Max	40212	Don't do it yo. Real fucked up if yall did.
981	Kiper	40047	I do not want a data center in Kentucky at all. It drains our utilities,water and other resources.
982	Roth	40214	We do not need a data center here. It takes too much energy and water and will impact the people that live in the vicinity. I think if you took the vote from the citizens you would find nobody wants one.
983	Richards	40203	I say no to data centers, our current infrastructure needs can't handle it and the negative impact to the environment along with the risk of its neighbors being exposed to pollutants are just some of the reasons why we shouldn't have data centers erected in the area. We should wait and look at the long term growth of data centers vs if it something that will be prevalent in the next 30 years.
984	N	40213	STOP BUILDING DATA CENTERS! OUR WATER SUPPLY IS MORE IMPORTANT!!!! WE DON'T WANT THIS!!
985	Hutchins	40216	I do not want this located here. It will only use massive amounts of both energy and water and will raise our bills. These centers do not pay their fair share of what they use.
986	Gore	40228	Nobody wants this data center. It will do nothing but hurt everyone around it while making billionaires richer. No one who cares about their neighbors could ever agree to this.
987	Schenkenfelder	40206	No one wants this except the few who are making money from it!! This is no good for the nearby residents, no good for the environment, and no good for a precedent being set that this kind of development is ok without more public buy-in. NO NO NO
988	Holland	40204	Along with everything else in listed in the proposed regulations, there needs to be something included about protecting our waterways given the vast amount that is needed to cool such facilities. Other data centers have down both significantly depleted and contaminated water as a result of the facility. This could have huge impacts on our ecosystem if not taken into consideration.
989	Smith	40217	I've lived in Louisville my whole life and we've always prided ourselves on the quality of our water. We've got one of the strongest claims to "River City"! These data centers exploit this natural resource, one bound to become only more valuable with time, with very little benefit for residents. Why trade our most valuable resource, fresh water, for humanity's least valuable resource, AI generated garbage? To get to the point, data centers are snake oil. They don't create benefits for their hosts, they simply extract.

			Extract our water, extract our energy, extract our quality of air. It's a sucker's trap that I beg and pray Louisville not fall victim to. I am 26 years old and have just purchased my first home in Louisville. Our city should be proud that such a thing is possible. Data Centers stand in opposition to that, syphoning funds from the bottom to the top, with most of the benefiting interests barely caring about our city to begin with. Please, do not sell us out to these exploiters. Regulating data centers is a necessity, and I appreciate the zoning efforts made here, but they are not enough. If data centers are to exist in our city at all, I suggest that we: 1. Eliminate the tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. 2. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. It's arbitrary and results in inadequate review of data centers with smaller floor area for which only a "registration" is required. Simply put: There is no correlation between the square footage of floor area and the potential for a data center to have off-site adverse impacts on private or public land uses. 3. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. A new "Industrial–Data Center" classification should be created. 4. Revise definitions to broaden protected "sensitive uses" and express "Noise level" more broadly. Eliminate definitions of "prevailing wage," "construction work," and "data center, accessory." If you want these regulations to succeed, they need to be thoroughly defined. 5. Eliminate Category of "Data Center, Accessory." This is not a productive distinction from the perspective of anyone by the data centers. 6. Eliminate separate category for telecommunications data center. Again, as said above, not a productive distinction for citizens. 7. Independent expert review should be commissioned at applicant expense. They stand to profit more than I'd like to think about, make them pay.
990	Vito	40223	Data center use up a great deal of the energy grid and cause huge environmental damage to the area. This includes water waste, and noise pollution. The city of Louisville ky does not need a data center anywhere in this town. There will be no benefit to the citizens and it will only have a negative impact on the city as a whole. Do not build allow this to be built.
991	Citizen	40219	Data centers do not belong in this city or state. These data centers are pushed through with zero regard to the public until its already in the process of being built and only then does the city bring it to our attention. City

			officials do this primarily because they do not want to disclose that they have NDAs with these data companies. Furthermore, the consumption of water and electricity that these facilities demand will drive utility bills through the roof like theyve done in many other cities and counties that have allowed these companies to run rampant under the assumption that they have immunity because they are backed by the city officials who would like to keep their under the table deals secret. If this data center is allowed to be built, it will open up a can of worms that we wont be able to close easily. Always remember, the very word secrecy is repugnant in a free and open society.
992	Sinnock	40216	I think data centers should be regulated to the highest degree, and that if a company can conform to all of the regulations, it be financed and operated WHOLLY by the company controlling the center, up to and including any updates to the land, area and infrastructure necessary.
993	G.	40505	Respectfully it is detrimental to build these data centers; to resource, to living beings, to nature itself but the worst, the most gross about it is being sold out by Andy Barr to suck off Elon Musk and produce data center babies. Who is making money and at what cost!? Data storage FOR WHAT?! They don't make sense for the community affected and they only use, not produce. You wouldn't date someone who always only used and never gave right? Why do that do your finite land?! Your people!? For BARR?? FOR A COUPLE DOLLARS?? please think bigger and better!!!
994	Smith	40207	I am completely opposed to the proposed data center and believe that it should not go forward without consideration of the immense opposition it has provoked. For many, November looks bright, and I, along with many others, am committed to remembering those who would put their personal gain above the needs of our community, and pledge to vote accordingly.
995	Jones	40272	I do not want data centers built in my city near my home where it impacts daily life. I'm concerned for my utilities being strained, I'm very concerned about the noise it will make and wildlife and plant life this noise will disrupt. And I'm equally concerned about the pollution this will cause and the water it will use up, water which we humans (ALL OF US) need to survive. It's not zoned correctly. No one here voted for this, no one here wants this. I'm very concerned.
996	Nathan	40205	After doing more research and working with community based-groups, I'm writing again on this important issue with updated comments: As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit.

			Regarding noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Knowing that data centers are huge power consumers, regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. Better yet, I suggest that data center users be required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or required to provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches,

			medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words “encouraged” or “may” are used where the terms “required” or “shall” should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn’t vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed.
997	Chellman	40204	Keep data centers out of Louisville!
998	Ford	40205	We want absolutely NO data centers in/near Louisville. The idea of having them is atrocious to all living within 10 miles of them.
999	Jones	40216	Before approving more data centers, we should learn from what is already happening in Oregon. Communities across the state are facing increasing water shortages, and some regions have been forced to limit new groundwater development because aquifers are being depleted faster than they can naturally recharge. In the Harney Basin, groundwater levels have dropped by more than 100 feet in some areas, some wells have run dry, and Oregon has imposed stricter groundwater management because demand has exceeded sustainable supply. At the same time, the Klamath Basin has spent years struggling with drought and difficult decisions over how to divide limited water among farmers, Tribal nations, fish habitat, and local communities. Adding more water-intensive data centers to regions already under stress risks making these challenges worse. While technology is important, it should not come at the expense of drinking water, agriculture, or the environment. Oregon’s experience shows that when water is overallocated, everyone pays the price. Until there is clear evidence that new data centers can operate without placing additional strain on local water supplies, approving more of them is a risk Oregon—and other states facing water shortages—cannot afford to ignore.
1000	Williams	40212	I do not want these data centers in our community.

1001	Congzon	40220	I am fully opposed to the construction of this Data Center. I do not believe that it is worth the cost, and not only the cost to build the structure, but also the cost to maintain it along with the cost it will have on the us in the city. Data Centers have been shown to be such a severe drain on the resources on the surrounding region. They cause noise pollution, they harm the water supply, and when the cost to power them gets too high, the losses are passed on to the surrounding communities in the form of higher utility bills. Any profit from this Data Center is also likely not going to be felt by the city. It will likely be consolidated among a privileged few who may not even live in Louisville. All in all, the building of a Data Center is a complete loss for Louisville. I am begging you to please reconsider this.
1002	Stevens	40213	I have serious concerns for the possible environmental consequences of data centers. The air quality around the airport is already bad, not to mention the light pollution and lack of green spaces in the city. With a data center, it can only make these problems worse.
1003	Keck	40160	To the Members of the Planning and Zoning Commission and Fellow Community Residents: I am writing to formally log my strong opposition to the proposed Powerhouse data center development in the South End of Louisville. While I understand the digital infrastructure demands of our modern economy, prioritizing industrial development at the direct expense of established residential communities is a deeply flawed approach. Locating a massive facility of this nature immediately adjacent to the Riverside Gardens neighborhood introduces severe, long-term negative externalities that fundamentally conflict with the preservation of our community's health, character, and local ecosystems. Chief among these concerns is the profound environmental degradation centered around the Cane Run area and the nearby Ohio River. Constructing this facility on the designated plot requires stripping mature tree canopies that currently serve as a vital natural buffer for our neighborhood. The disruption to the Cane Run ecosystem will fundamentally alter local stormwater runoff patterns, increasing the risk of localized flooding by replacing absorbent green space with impervious industrial surfaces. Furthermore, the immense water demands and potential thermal or chemical discharge from data center cooling systems pose a direct ecological threat to the aquatic life and delicate habitats of the adjacent Ohio River. Furthermore, the operational reality of this development introduces an invasive, 24/7 disruption: low-frequency acoustic and atmospheric pollution. The continuous cooling fans and massive backup diesel generators will emit a persistent industrial hum that extends deeply into residential zones. With home lines sitting as close as approximately 150 feet from the site, residents of Riverside Gardens will face direct exposure to constant noise and vibration, severely degrading sleep quality and overall quality of life. This continuous operational footprint will also encroach upon vital community spaces, including Riverside Gardens Park and Cane Run Park, compromising their recreational value. We should not be forced to endure chronic noise pollution, air quality

			risks from diesel emissions, and the inevitable depreciation of our property values to accommodate a heavy industrial facility that belongs far away from residential life and protected public parks. Thank you for your time and for considering the serious concerns of the South End residents who call this area home.
1004	Hughes	40219	We can't allow this to happen. Jefferson and Bullitt counties have already cleared out enough woods for warehouses that are sitting empty. Our city can't sustain something of this magnitude. Doesn't anyone care about our wildlife, trees, quality air, water? Show some compassion and ban these atrocious things
1005	Meadors	40165	Haven't the people of Kentucky made it clear enough that we do not want a data center. It has shown that it is terrible for the environment and raises bills for the locals surrounding it. South Louisville is already struggling enough, this will only continue to hurt people.
1006	Connor	2507	These data centers are extremely destructive and truly will hurt our city / community. No one wants this.
1007	Kroencke	40204	I am strongly against allowing AI Data Centers to build in Louisville Ky. We already struggle as a city with air and water pollution. And with us mostly relying on coal, energy prices will skyrocket. If we're going to make any radical changes, I suggest we skip AI Data Centers all together, and just switch the city to nuclear energy. Less pollution, better energy economics. Growth, not regression and rot.
1008	Cornell	40205	I agree with LCAN that no proposed data center should be approved without public input, opportunity for a hearing and Metro Council review. I want the city to create a new "Industrial Data Center" zoning classification for all data centers and require all proposers to obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). Any draft ordinance should ensure that data centers don't prevent Louisville from achieving our 100% renewable energy goals, limit off-site noise as measured on all decibel scales, and prevent customers from subsidizing data centers with higher utility bills or poorer service.
1009	Bradley	40218	We don't want or need data centers in the bluegrass. Preserve our peace, nature and keep our utility costs down.
1010	Amunrud-Sharp	40220	No one wants Data Centers - especially ones so large that are allowed to be so close to residential areas, and provide no obvious help to the community. Please rethink your decisions.
1011	Williamson	40214	We do not want these AI data centers. Why would you want to put something in your environment that overtime will destroy it? The harmful effects of these centers are known but yet you want them around. Please resist the urge to put in the data center to save our environment. I have kids and I want them to live long, healthy lives in a clean environment.
1012	Richards	40229	To protect our water systems and ecosystems we should not allow data centers into our commerce! Data centers are not needed and are purely a waste of resources. I would hate to see what little nature we get in this city to be stripped away by the data center. NO DATA CENTERS FOR LOUISVILLE!
1013	Wagner	40204	Never open any data centers in the city or Louisville or any surrounding cities. We don't want that here taking up our space, resources, or polluting our waterways further. The houses and businesses near this data center will

			suffer due to the irritating noise pollution, water pressure issues, the detrimental amount of sediment in the appliances within these homes/businesses, or any of the nasty side effects these centers have. We know what these centers do to people and the community NO ONE in Louisville wants that. There is no benefit for those that live here for us to have them here, just more pollution and suffering.
1014	Raymer	40216	Great way to DESTROY THE ENVIRONMENT. Don't build this disgusting center.
1015	A	40204	We citizens reject the data centre proposal due to the proven record of their disruption to communities through noise, traffic and use of services. I do not support the proposal and urge you to reject the data centre
1016	Bond	40059	Data centers in their current form cause harm to people, pets, and wildlife. The constant noise they generate is physically and mentally devastating. They use far more than their fair share of resources (water, electricity). After the construction workers are finished and go home, data centers run with very few employees on site, so they don't even generate jobs for the local community. Someone gets rich off these centers, but it's not the average person. Please do not allow the construction of data centers to go forward.
1017	Carroll	40205	DO NOT BUILD ONE! It isn't necessary for our city, it doesn't provide jobs, and we already have enough air pollution from smoke and butcher town. The south end residents do not deserve to be impacted. We can use our brains to critically think.
1018	Hickman	40215	I oppose allowing new data centers, especially hyperscale data centers, in Louisville/Jefferson County. The proposed regulations do not go far enough to protect residents from increased utility costs, water demand, noise, backup-generator pollution, and long-term infrastructure burden. Any ordinance should ban hyperscale data centers, prevent developers from splitting large campuses across adjacent parcels, require full disclosure of owners and end users, prove that existing ratepayers will not subsidize utility upgrades, regulate noise including low-frequency/infrasound impacts, require enforceable water-use limits, and include strong penalties and decommissioning requirements. Until those protections are included, I ask Louisville Metro officials and Metro Council to vote NO on any regulations that continue to allow these projects.
1019	Hickman	40215	I oppose allowing new data centers, especially hyperscale data centers, in Louisville/Jefferson County. The proposed regulations do not go far enough to protect residents from increased utility costs, water demand, noise, backup-generator pollution, and long-term infrastructure burden. Any ordinance should ban hyperscale data centers, prevent developers from splitting large campuses across adjacent parcels, require full disclosure of owners and end users, prove that existing ratepayers will not subsidize utility upgrades, regulate noise including low-frequency/infrasound impacts, require enforceable water-use limits, and include strong penalties and decommissioning requirements.

			Until those protections are included, I ask Louisville Metro officials and Metro Council to vote NO on any regulations that continue to allow these projects.
1020	Emke	40014	Say no to Data Centers! They wreck the water and air quality. Not to mention the constant sound that these Centers give off. Please do not build.
1021	white	40212	My personal information is being used in the black web.
1022	Rondo	40211	There are major concerns about the data center. For instance the energy and power it takes to run the centers. The cost for tax payers. How many jobs are created behind this? How this affects the environment, people and wildlife. How will this affect the libilijood of the neighbors in the area? The water source of the Ohio River and how it may cause health concerns for our water system?
1023	Hicks	40258	Horrible for our community (and every community). Our land and bills and resources will suffer. Large amounts of our community have expressed being against this, but it has fallen on deaf ears, ALL in the interest of corporate greed.
1024	Whitlatch	40207	* Requirement that public waterways will not be impacted; no pollution of the waters, streams, rivers, .. * Data Centers should not disproportionately affect lower income persons who often do not benefit as much from these data centers.
1025	Lukat	40204	We don't want data centers!!! We want clean water and healthy environments! Plant trees, open community gardens. Stop destroying our planet and killing our people.
1026	DePaso	40205	Please do not put this in our city!!
1027	Schepers	40223	Please do not build data centers here. They are too much on the electricity grid and consume too much water. Plus the data they are storing is just literally people asking ChatGPT to be their friend/therapist. We do not need data centers in Louisville, we need affordable housing. Thank you for hearing me out. Our economy is literally based on horses and bourbon, that doesn't align with the operations of data centers. Do not let them take the land where affordable housing could be, or a equine rehabilitation facility. No data centers for our city please- it takes what could be land for nature, or to create jobs on.
1028	Calhoun	40215	We as a city do not want Data centers. They are not essential to the health and safety of Louisville residents. The idea of construction in the south end of Louisville is exploitive to the south end population. The residents here did not vote for their quality of life to change. No data centers should be built in Louisville.
1029	Dear	40242	Ban hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet; Require any proposed developments to be located in industrial areas while smaller-scale facilities that support existing employers and business needs would be allowed in limited areas; Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are

			required; Limit data center development to designated industrial areas; Require environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices; Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities; Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations; Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment; Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development; and Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction.
1030	McNary	40059	Data centers take resources from communities. They do not significantly increase job opportunities in the area while at the same time increasing utility costs for residents. They pollute our water. Please restrict them as much as possible. Thank you
1031	Garrett	40202	I am writing to strongly oppose the proposed data center. As a resident of Louisville, I urge the board to deny the necessary permits and implement a moratorium on all new data center construction until strict regulatory frameworks are established. The proposed facility will consume millions of gallons of water for cooling. This threatens our local drinking water supply and agricultural needs.
1032	vick	40217	"Give me six hours to chop down a tree and I will spend the first four sharpening the ax." -Abraham Lincoln Instead of rushing into this the due diligence should be done from top to bottom and no corners cut. At all. This should take years. Survey the land; the cause and effect of removing foliage; polluting waterways; killing off native wild life and endangering the biosphere; this doesn't even get into how it effects citizens. People are not ready to give up more of their private lives to "line the pockets" of undeserved people just because they have the "power" (money) to buy few people off while hurting untold thousands in the process. Including our planet. Information isn't worth killing our home. You are infringing on people's livelihoods and basically laughing as it happens. Compassion goes a long way. Be kind and remember the faces of your fathers. We demand it. The populous can "get gangster", too. The last quote is no threat of any kind, just a reminder for the people pushing this to not "poke the bear." "Don't mistake (our) kindness for weakness. I am kind to everyone, but when someone is unkind to me, weak is not what you are going to remember about me."

			— Al Capone
1033	Phillips	40299	I don't want data centers in .my community
1034	Boone	40299	My concern about the future of data centers is that they are expanding at such a rapid rate and consequently using land that could be used for more important projects. News reports from across the country tell us the stories of areas that have been devastated by the waste brought by massive data collection and that is just the beginning of the story. Resources such as water and electricity that citizens depend upon have been gobbled up by the data centers resulting in poor quality water and higher prices for electricity that has been passed on to the communities. Jobs and community funding have been promised but the costs to the communities have been overridden the promised. I demand that community officials pay more attention to what is happening across the country and don't be fooled. Be informed and intentional about this issue. Listen to your citizens. It's your job to protect us from outside influences that do not have Louisville in their heart.
1035	Allen	40241	We don't want it!!!! All money is not good money. Evidence has already proven from the data centers opened in other cities the damages it has already caused their environment, water supply and health of residents. The writings are on the wall. Louisville is already known for having water that tastes amazing and is of good quality. Don't ruin that. I lived most of my life in West Louisville and know first hand the impact of the chemicals and fumes from Riverport and the other chemical producing facilities in the area being released in the air and the burn of the chemicals in the chest during the early am school runs. The voice and opinion of those individuals impacted most by the data center via proximity supersedes any policy maker. Don't be deceived if you don't think it won't eventually impact you or your loved ones.
1036	Lawson	40071	I have lived in Louisville and now live close. Louisvillians cannot afford the higher utility prices that this will cause nor do the surrounding neighbors deserve tainted or lessened water supply at the hands of corporations who promise everything but take everything and lie about it in the process. Humans are not data.
1037	Holder	40241	Greater Louisville Sierra Club PO Box 20206 Louisville KY, 40250 greaterlouisvillesierraclub@gmail.com July 2, 2026 To: Metro Louisville Office of Planning Please find our organization's comments within this comment. Much of what we are recommending in this document is derived from the Kentucky Resources Council's (KRC) model ordinance. Some elements are ours alone including the following paragraph.

			First and foremost, on the Office of Planning website (https://louisvilleky.gov/government/office-planning/data-centers), bullet point number 1 states: “The proposed regulations would: 1. Ban hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet”. This statement is misleading at the very least and should be removed from the website. The reason is twofold: First, you provide no definition of what “hyperscale” is, therefore making it impossible to determine what if anything is banned. Second, it infers that the 500,000 ft2 is some kind of hyperscale minimum. In fact the most commonly understood definitions of hyperscale data centers are based upon peak electrical power demand (40 to 100 MW and greater) and the number of servers contained in the facility.¹ We have identified numerous data centers around the country with less than 500,000 ft2 that have a peak power demand of greater than 40 MW and more with peak power demand of 100 MW and greater. We strongly suggest you remove the words “Ban hyperscale data centers” from the office of planning website or provide a clear definition for the kind of facility you wish to ban. KRC recommends that a new zoning class called “Industrial Data Center” should be created considering the unique impacts data centers have on the community. We concur with this recommendation. Our reasoning is that even “small” data centers (1MW to 10 MW) would likely create significant nuisance (noise, light, heat, noxious emissions) regardless of footprint. If the current nationwide trend continues, the most likely proposals will be construction of large facilities of 40MW or greater. A new zoning class should be no less restrictive than the existing categories EZ-1 and M3. A Conditional Use Permit should be required for all data centers regardless of size. This will ensure even and consistent application of all standards and guarantee public notification and opportunities for public input when a data center is proposed. All data center proposals should be required to obtain written assurance from LGE/KU, our local utility provider, that other customers will be protected from the cost burden of infrastructure improvements necessary for any proposed data center. Regulations should require verification from LGE/KU that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase as a result of the proposed data center.. We concur with KRC that meaningful, mandatory noise restriction must include nighttime limits of 40 dBA night/45 dBA day, a C-weighted (dBC) limit addressing the low-frequency, cooling-fan hum, vibration and infrasound control standards (ISO 2631/ANSI S2.71), a baseline ambient noise assessment, and a pre-construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer. Language should be added to prohibit and monitor ambient heat increases beyond the data-center property perimeter. Design, lighting and fencing standards should be improved to prevent eyesores and light pollution. All

			exterior lighting should be “dark skies” compliant. Proposed setback standards should require 500 feet from all perimeter property lines, 1,500 feet from any Sensitive Use, or any Residential- Commercial- or Agricultural-district boundary, and at least 1,000-foot setbacks for any emission point or exhaust stack. Additional rules to address on-property, behind-the-meter, primary electrical generation should be required. The current Metro proposal addresses only backup electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source that creates harmful emissions should be prohibited. Onsite electricity generation power plants intended for continuous use should be permitted only through a separate process governing power plants. We concur with KRC that the draft's proposed “Data Center, Accessory” classification that exempts some data center applications from any new rules whatsoever should be eliminated, as should exemptions provided for “Data Centers, Telecommunication”. We believe that the permitted testing window for backup generators should be weekdays between noon-5 p.m. no more than once a month. Actual emergency use would be the only exception. Noise from backup generators should be subject to the same standard as noise from any source. All data center applications should require preapproval analysis to demonstrate how compliance with all standards will be met. The Planning Commission should have the option to retain an independent expert review of the proposal with funding provided by the applicant. The study should be available for public review prior to the public comment/hearing phase of review. Greater Louisville Sierra Club Executive Committee Steve Henry, Chair Terrell Holder, Vice Chair Pam Raidt, Secretary Emily Boone Chad Buck Drew Foley Ed Jewell Wallace McMullen Congress.gov https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R48646 ; TD Tool Discovery https://www.aitooldiscovery.com/ai-infra/hyperscale-data-center-explained Last accessed JUNE 30, 2025

1038	Allen	40241	There have been major issues in other cities with Data centers. Electricity prices rise, water quality decreases, reported light pollution affecting resident sleep, and long term issue of climate change and global warming and more. Do not let this happen! I'm a 20 year old college student born and raised in Louisville who wants to stay here to raise my kids and family! I'm scared for my future in Louisville if this is allowed. Please stop it!
1039	Rose	40207	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. THE COMMUNITY DOES NOT WANT OR NEED THEM.
1040	Harrison	41011	NO DATA CENTERS NEED TO BE ALLOWED IN OUR COMMUNITY.
1041	King	40215	PLEASE NO DATA CENTERS IN KY
1042	Crump	40208	They're absolutely terrible with noise pollution, rising energy costs, water usage, and storing data for a surveillance state. No one is asking for them
1043	Strobel	40215	Data centers are unnecessarily huge and always seem to be built in lower income neighborhoods, which is incredibly unfair and classist. They are terrible for our already fragile environment and provide nothing to the community except higher energy bills, noise pollution, and light pollution. If we must build them, which I certainly hope we decide not to, why can't they be built far away from people's houses? The alternative would probably be where a forest is, and that's not advantageous to the beautiful native wildlife we have here in Kentucky. The biggest concern I have about data centers is the extreme use of water to cool down the systems. If humans don't have water, we are doomed. I can't understand why people think data centers should be prioritized when that money, energy, and time could be spent in much better ways to help the community.
1044	Cromer	40205	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I support including a requirement that any data centers in Metro Louisville must provide their own renewable power source. It is not enough to "Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers." Metro Council adopted a resolution to achieve net-zero emissions by 2030. We cannot do this by keeping fossil-fuel electrical plants operating. Data Centers should be responsible for providing their own power in a way that will not pollute our air or water. Additionally, data centers should be required to have recirculating cooling systems so that they employ water conservation techniques that limit water waste and pollution into our systems. Instead of the language "Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment", set a measurable maximum decibel level around the data center, one that ensures public health and safety for those people and animals living around the center.
1045	Fox-Boots	40205	I fear we are at risk of doing with data centers what we have done with coal in this commonwealth — imposing little regulation so we can grab as much value as we can in the short-term without fully considering the long-term impact on our communities. Across the country, we are seeing major adverse community experiences with data center - low water levels, poor water quality, high noise levels, major spikes in water and energy bills. Without proper regulation and oversight from the outset, we are at risk of repeating those same circumstances here. The proposed regulations do very little to protect us from those negative impacts. If we are going to allow data

			centers, despite the very public, very negative track record developing across the US, we should at the very least do everything we can to protect our communities before it becomes impossible for us to claw the negative impacts back. There are not even assurances baked into these regulations to make sure that companies will clean up their own mess if they abandon their operations! I oppose a number of elements in the current draft regulation. First, I do not believe that there should be different tiers of consideration or approval requirements based on the square footage of proposed data centers as this is a relatively arbitrary distinction that does not fully address the impact that a data center could have on the community. Similarly, the different “types” of data center should be largely collapsed into a single data center category. As we have already seen a number of data center proposals that are designed to achieve lower levels of regulatory scrutiny. Again, these distinctions are relatively arbitrary and do not actually address the level of community impact that could be created by these facilities. All data centers of all sizes and “types” should require a rigorous level of review and approval that is transparent and open to public comment. Additionally the proposed regulations do very little to ensure that any proposed data centers would actually be safe and non-intrusive in the community. I agree with the recommendations set forth in the KY Resource Council report: The following standards of performance for data centers need to be strengthened substantially to protect against off-site impacts and nuisance conditions: • Low Impact Site Design. LMG’s four-item list (minimize land disturbance, maximize tree preservation, minimize impervious surfaces, and minimize nuisance impacts) should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Energy Efficiency. LMG’s eight-item list (passive cooling and daylight orientation, alternative energy sources, energy storage for 4 off-peak usage, reclaimed water for cooling, limiting finite resources, water-efficient landscaping, LED lighting, and DOE Better Buildings and LEED practices) should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Emergency Contact Signage. 24-hour contact signage at each access entrance, including company name, operator representative’s name and telephone number, and the power company’s number, should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Generator standards. The LMG proposal for generator standards should narrow the testing window to weekdays, from noon–5 PM. • Cooling and water restrictions. The use of closed-loop cooling systems should be required rather than merely encouraged. • Decommissioning plan and financial assurance. A plan for decommissioning the data center, prepared by an engineer, with cost estimates and salvage valuation, and backed by a bond or letter of credit is needed. Without a requirement for a decommissioning plan, the abandonment risk falls on the public and particularly on nearby

			landowners. • Meaningful noise protections. LMG’s proposed single noise standard of 65 dBA at all hours is inadequate to protect off-site land users against the full range of anticipated noise impacts. Standards to protect off-site neighbors must include: - o Nighttime limits of 40 dBA night/45 dBA day - o A C-weighted (dBC) limit addressing the low-frequency, cooling-fan hum that generates most data center complaints and that only using dBA measurement understates. - o Vibration and infrasound control standards (ISO 2631/ANSI S2.71) - o A baseline ambient noise assessment - o A pre-construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer - o Continuous real-time monitoring - o Post-construction compliance testing • Enforceable waste heat standard. LMG’s ordinance should contain measurable and enforceable standards to prohibit any ambient temperature increase at the property line and require shielding and dispersal devices. • Design, lighting, and fencing standards. Specific lighting and fencing standards should be adopted, including a 0.1 foot-candle maximum at the property line, 20-foot luminaire height limit, lighting curfews and dimming, façade articulation and at least 30% fenestration, loading dock orientation, ground equipment placement restrictions, fencing material limits (no chain-link or razor wire), 80% year-round opacity screening, and berms. • Utility cost protection. Written verification from providers of utility services should include assurance that serving the data center will not have current or future adverse effects on other customers, including service availability, reliability, quality, cost, and safety. Applicant-funded upgrades should be required to be in place before operation. The LMG requirement for a transmission study request should not let applicants substitute a self-prepared “consumption model” for the transmission study. • Nuisance-avoidance standard should be adopted. A general nuisance-avoidance standard should be adopted to provide backup for the individual standards required of a facility. • Setbacks must be strengthened to protect sensitive uses and to protect all uses of adjoining lands, not merely existing uses. LMG requires only a setback of 25/100/200 feet (depending on the tier) from residentially zoned property and structure-to- structure separations of 25/100/200 feet from dwellings, childcare centers, and schools. Setbacks should be significantly strengthened to require 500 feet from all perimeter property lines, 1,500 feet from any Sensitive Use or any Residential-, Commercial-, or Agricultural-district boundary, and 1,000 feet to 2,000 feet for any emission point or exhaust stack. LMG’s separations protect only structures existing at the time of approval, and its protected-use list omits many Sensitive Uses: nursing and long-term care facilities, hospitals, places of worship, parks, community centers, and agricultural operations. Reliance only on setbacks from existing features or structures on other properties, and limiting property line setbacks to residentially zoned properties, fails to fully protect the range of uses for adjoining properties and effects an involuntary restriction on land uses of adjoining lands.

			• Strengthen Environmental Siting Prohibitions. Development should not occur on slopes over 20%, karst-affected terrain, in floodplains, wetlands (and a 50-foot buffer), on prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance, or where threatened or endangered species or critical habitat would be affected. LMG does not prohibit placing buildings, generators, and substations on portions of a site mapped as environmental constraints under LDC 4.6.2.A, but instead, it merely imposes more strict development and mitigation requirements. • Addressing on-site and primary power generation. LMG regulates only generators “used as part of an energy backup system” and is silent on behind-the-meter primary generation (gas turbines, co-located plants). Continuous generator use should be prohibited. Primary, on-site generation should not be allowed under a “data center” zoning or CUP, and instead should be considered as a separate use, requiring its own zoning approval. LMG should also require emissions profiles and air permit applications, and consider diesel fuel delivery timing, secondary containment, and NFPA 855 compliance for battery storage. • Water protections should be strengthened. Closed-loop cooling should be mandated and not just “encouraged.” A Water and Wastewater Management Plan should be required with projected daily/peak demand disclosure. Siting within a wellhead protection area should be prohibited. LMG should include a best-available-technology water efficiency requirement, plus cooling discharge and pretreatment provisions. “Will Serve letters” from public utilities should confirm not just capacity, but also address pretreatment requirements and impacts on existing customers’ water availability, quality, and rates. • Transparency. The sworn ownership disclosure (parties in interest, under penalty of perjury) and disclosure of the primary user of the facility, should be retained from the LMG draft.
1046	Lowe	40241	I am very concerned that the proposed addition to the Land Development Code regarding Data Centers is inadequate to protect my community. First, the proposed amendment does not address the potential utility rate increases due to the likely large new demand for natural gas, coal, and other combustible fuels. If I understand correctly, there is no limit on how much power a data center may require. As an MBA, I clearly understand the principle of supply and demand. It is obvious to me that as demand for natural gas increases, the price of the fuel will rise. This gap in the new regulations is glaring. It is also concerning that the Office of Planning claims to “ban hyperscale data centers” yet does not provide a definition for what that is. While the proposed regulation limits the footprint of data centers to 500,000 square feet, it does not limit the power draw. In my research I have found several data centers around the country of that size or less that need well over 100 MW of continuous power. That level of power requirement will put extreme pressure on the price of fuel. Thank you.
1047	Rogers	40220	I would like to see very clear statements that prevent any increase in utilities (gas/electricity, water, any other miscellaneous utilities) to Jefferson County residents. No other increases to residents from data centers. I believe the data centers should pay Jefferson Co. taxes as long as they have business in our county. This should be a revenue stream of us.

			Frankly, I don't see any possible advantage to having data centers anywhere in Jefferson Co. Respectfully, Sue Rogers 2808 Winterhaven Rd. Louisville, Kentucky, 40220
1048	Graham	40258	You all are terrible people. We do not need more data centers, the earth is dying and you all are only contributing to it more. Everything is just for money and nobody cares how it affects the real people that live in these areas. We do not need this data center. The people do not want this data center
1049	doe	40208	I'd rather the city fund a tunnel to hell than this data center construction, because that would be a much faster way to get us where you're taking us. You are accelerating the rate of doom at which the people of this world are never freed from. You are willfully ignorant to the atrocity because to see it would be to understand how much of your soul has already been sold. For the spirit of the world and of art and justice you will not see this through. For the corporate overlords though, it is clear you will do anything.
1050	Royalty	40218	The people of Louisville do not want data centers! They're terrible for noise pollution, increasing energy costs, water usage, and storing surveillance and AI data. Please listen to us. Absolutely NO data centers.
1051	Wilcox	40222	Please make sure you include language requiring the Corporations building them to pay for all construction costs, and access costs-including roads and highways. Also require them to guarantee the removal/conversion of the data center when it reaches obsolescence.
1052	Hovious	40219	This is the worst idea ever
1053	Begley	40205	This is awful. Offers few jobs and little tax. The impact to quality of life and the environment will be detrimental. How can you do this to people? You can literally see homes in front of the proposed site. Inhumane. These centers produce proven noise pollution in spite of the sales pitch.
1054	Fairman	40204	Louisville is not interested in becoming home to data centers, which will strain our power grid, drive up the cost of living, contaminate our award-winning water, expose our vulnerable residents to additional pollution, and rape our land. Furthermore, the proposal to position the data center in a traditionally lower-income area, with a higher-than-average percentage of minority residents, will stack the deck even higher against Louisvillians who already have more to overcome. This simply isn't welcome in our beautiful, historic city. Tell them to find somewhere else to go.

1055	Greeneberg	40204	NO DATA CENTERS. They are a blight on our community
1056	L	40203	There should be a public, county wide referendum on this especially as a vast majority of people are actively rejecting not only data centers but the use of AI as well. Allowing this data center to be built without long-term research on its possible negative impacts, public comments, and proper regulatory legislation is INSANE and everyone who had a part in allowing it should be ashamed.
1057	Cundiff	40299	I am very concerned about the environmental impact the data center will create in our city. I don't like the amount of water they use. I don't like the noise they produce. I am concerned about the fires they have inside the structure. I am very much against having a data center in our city.
1058	H	40241	We don't need and don't want a data center in Louisville Kentucky. Go somewhere else
1059	Dattilo	40204	No Data Centers! We will not bear the increase in electric!
1060	Lundy	40220	Please do not put a data center in Louisville, Kentucky. It will ruin our water life and disturb our piece!!!! We don't need any data centers there are enough in the world and are ruining peoples lives. Our air pollution is already poor.
1061	Arnold	40220	They do not belong in our state or country. A river city putting its community and waterways at risk for profit against the will of the citizens. This is unethical and environmentally irresponsible. No Data Centers. No AI. Act like adults and come up with solutions that serve the people you're meant to instead of turning a profit.
1062	Werge	40207	There is evidence, in communities all over the country that already have these data centers, of the damage they cause. It simply isn't healthy. 24/7 noise disrupts sleep and overall well-being. The toxicity and pollution this will bring to our water and air is unacceptable. This will end up killing us. Not just the people near the building, but it will affect the entire city. Please reconsider and think of the effects this will have in our kids and future generations, if we even get to see them.
1063	Krueger	40242	The risk and cost of a data center is not worth it. I am considering moving from this city if this is the direction it's going. Thank you for listening.
1064	Adkins	40272	I've watched countless videos surface on the Internet involving people and towns protesting the construction of data centers. I knew it was only a matter of time before I was facing those issues myself with the people that live in the same community as I do. We have lived in this wonderful country for 250 years and just celebrated her birthday all while surviving confidently and thriving without data centers for the entire existence of this country. It is very unrealistic and unreasonable to condition the people in this country to believe that this is what's better for us when it's something we've lived without it and got along fine without for all this time. It's an unnecessary addition to the community full of people that you are going to upset by doing this. People in the southside community take pride and their segregation and their seclusion from the rest of the city. This is going to wipe that slate clean and you're going to make many residents, unhappy. The people running away from this issue can only run so long until there's data centers touching every surface of this country.
1065	Geswein	40206	I do not support the building of data centers in the Louisville metropolitan area. Despite precautions, there are still issues of noise, water, and air pollution that may face Louisville citizens if built.
1066	Jacobs	40204	This regulation falls short of anything other than creating the need to acquire a CUP for this use. It still allows massive data centers capable of consuming as many resources as a small city to the benefit of questionable

			corporations and only limits their size on an individual parcel. There is no concrete regulations as to the amount of water or electricity that can be consumed by the project. There is nothing in this regulation that will actually limit those uses and the various energy efficient design strategies are only talking points for the CUP process, not anything that is directly measurable or enforceable after granting permission. Floor area is not the biggest concern with these facilities and it appears this could be circumvented by stacking servers to increase amount of interior equipment. Louisville should ban anything over 50,000 SF, limit the number of data centers in a given zip code, and place restrictions on the amount of Public water, well water, and public electricity that these facilities can consume. Without concrete restrictions, this ordinance provides almost no protection and makes it increasingly likely that un-elected BOZA officials can be bribed by the billionaire backed companies seeking approval.
1067	Fox	40216	NO DATA CENTERS! ABSOLUTELY NOT! We value our privacy!
1068	senior	40272	This is ridiculous and we will be moving if this happens. such a waste of water and space and going to cause many health problems. so sad.
1069	Holliday	40272	I am wholly opposed to any data centers in our area. They are parasites on our land, and they will rob us of our natural resources until we're depleted and then leave us with the repercussions. Data centers and AI is already contributing to the downfall of our society and encouraging the dumb-ification of the general public (and especially our future generations). They serve no greater good and will only line the pockets of millionaires and billionaires, while robbing the rest of us.
1070	Roberts-Long	40219	I think we should be regulating data centers to the highest degree we can because even if they are regulated the most possible they will still harm our communities. I think data centers are an unnecessary investment into something that only makes the rich richer. I don't use AI unless its forced upon me in my search browser or my email. This technology is taking over and rapidly expanding for no other reason than money. Stop sacrificing your communities again and again and again for industry that don't give a fuck about Louisville, you, or me. Don't be fucking stupid to believe them. The coal companies did the same thing. The oil companies did the same thing. The gas companies did the same thing. The plastic companies did the same thing. They all lie. They all say they bring in jobs, new opportunity to communities. They don't. They pollute our communities and they get away with murder and you all let it happen every time. It's sick.
1071	Smith	40245	I am worried about the long term effects data centers will have a on my home and community.
1072	katie	40206	I am very concerned about the toll this data center will have on louisville residents. LG&E rates have already gone up noticeably in the past 5 years, and data shows that gas and electric rates goes up in areas where data centers are installed. I am also concerned about the health impacts, especially as our south end residents already struggle with health disparities. The government should protect it's residents, not wealthy parties whose only concern is profits.
1073	Lockhart	40272	What does this do to adding pollution to the air? What does it do to our local water source? How will this affect resources needed by LG&E? Will the community have to pay more for the extra use of power? Is there any farm land near? Will this affect their crops or live stock? Just not a great idea at all

1074	Dean	40272	To whom this may concern, I'm writing this concern about the data center being built in the south end of Louisville. I don't think it is a good idea at all. My first reason is the noise pollution that the data center will bring, the constant hum from cooling fans & diesel generators will be extremely noticeable from nearby homes and businesses. Secondly the pollution that emits in the air will be harmful for our health, data centers have multiple diesel generators that exhaust emissions. Thirdly the water usage, data centers use significantly large amounts of water for cooling. Many residents worry about the impact of local water supplies. Forth data centers use a lot of electricity. Utilities sometimes need to upgrade their equipment, there is debate about over who ultimately pays for those upgrades. Lastly, the visual appearance and property values will likely change. A large industrial building, large fencing, lighting, sound pollution, and cameras may change the appearance of the neighborhood. Many homeowners worry that all these factors will reduce their home values. As someone who lives in the south end, I hope you take all these factors into consideration and please do not build a data center in Louisville anywhere.
1075	Lintner	40205	I am against any and all Data Centers in and around Louisville Metro. I do not see a benefit for our community but the pitfalls are numerous. Keep us safe! Do not sell out for financial gain! We deserve better.
1076	Bradley	40207	Please consider banning the use of water for cooling. As I understand it, data centers use enormous amounts of water for cooling, which can severely deplete and pollute local water systems. This is an aspect about data centers that needs to be strictly regulated.
1077	Bowles	42171	Developers should be required to provide specific environmental codes they are following during design processes. These shall be defined and measurable. Developers should display where the sourcing for cooling system working fluid and raw build materials are coming from, even for "closed loop" systems - which often require upkeep and do need initial start-up resource support. Zoning shall not be changed solely to benefit developers. Furthermore, any re-zoning determinations must be completed without conflict of interest with company/contractors building said data centers, or anyone profiting from the institution. Companies should be required to include a plan to replace the natural resources they are taking away for the placement of a center. i.e. if trees are removed equal or more amount are planted, food provided by what was farmland shall be produced within the same zone - ensuring no accessibility changes for local residents within x amount of miles.
1078	Shaw	40216	The use of closed-loop cooling systems should be required rather than encouraged. Louisville waterways are notoriously polluted. As a native Kentuckian our pride is a natural environment. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.

			That you would push through a data center in Rubbertown an area that is devastated by long standing pollution, is abhorrent. The residents of Rubbertown already suffer from inflated rates of cancer. This will continue to destroy the area. Please follow the Kentucky Resources Council's recommendations for stricter regulations.
1079	Elliott	40258	Hello there, my concern comes with the water quality that is already greatly affected in Louisville, my property backs to mill creek and if I could only attach pictures to do justice for how terrible this water way already looks, i cant imagine how much worse it will get with the addition of the data center draining all of our resources and polluting the area. I am also concerned about the noise pollution as it bounces off the river and comes back into the surrounding areas. I will be updating the conditions of mill creek as time goes and the data center is opened and running and hoping it doesn't negatively impact the stream any more than it already is.
1080	Joyce	40272	NO ONE WANTS THIS!!! We are already over polluted and resources stretched thin and priced outrageous! Please do not approve data centers within the city limits.
1081	Mackin	40229	As a taxpayer and voter, DO NOT GO FORWARD WITH ANYMORE DATA CENTERS. They are TERRIBLE for the environment and the quality of life for humans and wildlife. We can revisit this conversation when they figure out how to make them more environmentally friendly.
1082	Floyd	40203	I have questions about some of these proposed regulations and without answers to my questions along with other questions I have about data centers, I will continue to be against data centers.
1083	Mackin	40229	If you think building a data center is necessary at all i question your morality, we do not need the data centers. They drive up utility costs for the common man all the while getting huge tax breaks. I do not want to foot the bill for a mass surveillance center.
1084	Palmer	40229	There is absolutely no community agreement on this to be built. And every LG&E customer is going to have to pay for it??? The company building it needs to pay for it not the people of the city it's in who don't even want it!!!! There are going to be riots, and this is going to extremely diminish the residents of Louisvilles lives.
1085	Jones	40160	While this draft introduces vital protections like the 500,000 square foot cap and mandatory corporate disclosure, it requires immediate tightening to prevent simple circumvention. First, the size limit must apply to the cumulative total of an entire development site. Without explicit anti-circumvention language, developers will simply campus-split, building multiple 499,000 square foot facilities right next to each other under separate LLCs to bypass the hyperscale ban. Second, we cannot rely on ambiguous phrasing like "low-impact site design." To truly protect our water infrastructure, closed-loop cooling systems must be a mandatory technical standard. Finally, we need to secure decommissioning bonds before a single shovel hits the dirt, ensuring that if the tech market shifts, local taxpayers aren't left holding the bag to tear down abandoned industrial shells.
1086	Franklin	40242	I believe that there should be a moratorium set on AI data centers for five years to research and study the effect on the environment, other ways to power these centers, if they are even needed, and why so many are needed.

			In 10 years, the technology for the centers will be outdated and the climate will be forever changed, and they will not have made things better for ordinary people just living their lives. I would think something similar to what we have in Kentucky like the certificate of need for hospitals should be set for these data centers. You have to prove the need for the center before it can be built. You have to be fully transparent about what type of data will be held there, what it will be used for and all data centers should be subject to taxation, and that money should go back into repairing the environment they are destroying.
1087	Gump	40205	All that I have heard about these data centers is that they are truly bad for the environment. They suck up water as if there is an infinite supply and they emit toxic waste. I do not want any data center built anywhere , but especially not in my own backyard.
1088	Gray	40204	Dear Louisville Metro Planning Commission: My name is Lindsay, and I am a resident of the Highlands. I am writing to comment on the proposed Land Development Code amendments for data centers. While I appreciate that Louisville Metro is finally addressing the regulatory gap that allowed the Rubbertown Data Center Project, a 1.6-million-square-foot hyperscale data center on Camp Ground Road, without a public hearing, meaningful community input, or a single rezoning vote, the proposed regulations as drafted do not go far enough to protect our community. The Rubbertown Data Center Project shows us what happens when our LDC fails. A massive industrial facility, one that will consume as much electricity as 400,000 homes and demand enormous quantities of water, was approved under the vague label of a "telecommunications hotel" with virtually no public scrutiny. It is being built in a predominantly Black neighborhood already overburdened by decades of pollution from the Rubbertown chemical corridor. We cannot allow that to happen again. I urge the Planning Commission to strengthen the proposed regulations in the following ways: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000-square-foot cap is presented as a victory, but make no mistake: 500,000 square feet is still an enormous industrial facility, roughly the size of nine football fields under one roof. A building that large will still consume staggering amounts of electricity and water, generate significant noise and heat, and fundamentally alter the character of any neighborhood it borders. If the goal of these regulations is truly to protect Louisville's long-term interests, the cap should be reduced further, particularly in areas near existing residential communities. In my view, the cap should be tied to demonstrable grid capacity, not an arbitrary square footage number. Require mandatory health impact assessments. The draft contains no requirement that developers study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. I am particularly concerned about this because many members of our community suffer from asthma aggravated by existing industrial emissions. Make water and energy protections mandatory, not aspirational. The draft "encourages" closed-loop cooling

			systems and uses the word "should" instead of "shall" for critical protections like waste heat mitigation and generator enclosure. Encouragement is not regulation. These should be binding requirements with enforceable standards. Add anti-circumvention protections. As Councilwoman Parrish-Wright has warned, without anti-circumvention language, developers can simply break a project into parcels that fall below the 500,000-square-foot cap or the 250,000-square-foot threshold for a public hearing. The code should prevent this by aggregating related projects by the same or affiliated developers. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft provides no consequences for developers who fail to meet the standards after approval. Regulations without teeth are suggestions. Require public hearings for all data centers, not just the largest ones. Under this draft, a data center of up to 250,000 square feet, a very large industrial facility, could be approved without any public hearing at all. Every community deserves a voice when industrial-scale development is proposed near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption projections. The draft requires a "Will Serve Letter" from Louisville Water but does not require developers to disclose how much water they will actually use. The public has a right to know. Louisville deserves data center regulations written for the people who live here, not for the corporations seeking to profit from our land, water, and electricity. The Rubbertown Data Center Project is proof of what happens when industry is allowed to set the standard. I urge you not to repeat that mistake. Thank you, Lindsay Gray
1089	Magers	40207	I, along with the people that make up the city, do not want anything to do with a data center. We do not want it to exist. We do not want even one blade of grass suffocated for such a thing. Shame on its creators. Shame on the people that betray Louisville in such a barbaric, gross and voyeuristic way. Leave it behind. We would rather have an empty rotting field.
1090	Fillmore	40207	Easily top 5 worst things to ever happen to the climate ever. Probably top 3 for sure. And you idiots wanna put on in our back yard? How corrupt can you be? Steve poe's money won't be able to buy you a nice summer day or a respectable snow in the winter. The current heatwave is because of data centers just like this one. Any politician that supports this should be cast out from office and beaten in the street. You people make me sick.
1091	Cohen	40204	Tech Dorks Suck
1092	Kelly	40059	In addition to what is proposed, data centers should not be located in/near residential areas. Back-up power sources should be fully shielded, have noise abatement and pollution control measures. Remove CUP provisions - they are essentially a "free to do whatever the data center provider wishes."

1093	engstrom	40229	I believe implementing a data center here in louisville ky will do more harm than good for our community. I believe the land, resources, & money could all go towards better investments that would positively impact the local economy and environment. The amount of construction going on in general in Louisville is getting out of hand, theres hardly any grass anywhere, theres flood planes that are only going to get worse with no where for the water to go, & adding a data center to the mix will not benefit the general population in any way. We should be putting time, energy, & resources into building up what is already here. Louisville has a great local feel to it in many ways & with all the construction going up its ruining the citys charm, & the data center will only add to that loss.
1094	Williams	40059	In re: Case # 26-LDC-0014 As a resident of Jefferson County, I am asking that the office of planning when it meets on July 9 about the ordinance on data centers, ensure that new data centers are strictly regulated so that their operation does not negatively affect the people and the environment in the communities where they might be. Residents should not be subjected to raises in water or electric bills nor excessive noise. The data centers should bear all costs of their own operation. Inspections should be required on an ongoing basis to make sure regulations are being followed. Majority of energy used should be renewable. All impacts on the environment should be considered. I understand that the noise generated, and water and electrical usage of a massive data center can be excessive and detrimental to the surrounding area. As a positive example, Rumpke Waste & Recycling has a major operation in Cincinnati. The company has been careful to consider the aesthetics of its property and how it impacts the neighborhood around it. Thank you. I look forward to hearing the reports of your meeting and actions on community concerns.
1095	Brown	40241	- "living wage" for all builders and employees - that the data centers provide a significant amount of renewable energy to be produced on-site to power the facilities. Data centers have roof tops that are quite suitable for solar panels.
1096	Avery	40223	Data centers should not be allowed near cities and should not be on public utilities. They are sucking our resources dry and we cannot allow this to happen in Louisville Metro. It will use up our water and resources, without paying their fair share. I vehemently disagree with any ordinance or approval that allows these data centers in Jefferson county.
1097	Aguazul Cruz	40218	I stand with the others in our city that are firmly opposed to the building of this data center. The human cost is too great, especially when it comes to the marginalized communities that will experience the worst of the negative effects that data centers bring. Increased cost of utilities and decreased access to clean air and water will further impoverish our citizens. There are more ethical ways to invest in the city and I urge those in charge of the project to reconsider. Listen to the people that will have to live in this city long term, not the investors and

			those that will make profit. Our city will lose small businesses in the long run. Please do not let this project be built to completion. There is still time to have a different outcome.
1098	Moore	40214	The south end is plagued enough by warehouses and other industrial stuff. That at least doesn't waste water and power. The last thing we need is a data center.
1099	Moore	40207	I'm glad to see that you are trying to minimize or eliminate negative impacts of data centers. I am against environmental harm, as well as harm to the neighbors of these things.
1100	Manninen	41102	The beauty of Kentucky is in its nature, it's hills and hollars, it's natural, unpolluted water sources and the industries that are present in Kentucky often hale back to the origins of the bluegrass spirit. Data centers don't offer much to the landscape and spirit of Kentucky. They certainly don't offer long term jobs, they certainly don't assist in keeping Kentucky waters clean or preserve the spaces without sound pollution. Kentucky doesn't need them. Kentucky taxpayers don't need to lose so big tech can win. The spirit of the landscape matters more than being able to use chatgpt. Please consider my words and the world we are creating for future generations.
1101	Miller	40220	I don't want a data center built in Kentucky, much less near Louisville. We have beautiful farmland, trees, and wildlife which the data center will inevitably harm.
1102	Rutkowski	66605	Kentucky Recources Council has a number of concerns and recommendations regarding the draft regulations, which are intended to ensure public notice and input, as well as a comprehensive and meaningful framework for regulating the location, operation, and decommissioning of all data centers. The recommendations suggest inclusion of many of the provisions in KRC's Model Data Center Zoning Ordinance, with respect to strengthening the review process, the quality of information submitted in applications, and the standards of performance for data center construction and operation. Read KRC's Analysis and Recommendations on LMG's Draft Data Center Regulations. Thoroughly examine these recommendations and accord them the appropriate level of significance.
1103	O'Neil	40203	These comments are being submitted by Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District Interim Director, Flannery O'Neil, on behalf of the District. Background The Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (District) is one of the oldest air pollution control agencies in the country, employing more than 50 air quality professionals implementing local air pollution control regulations and portions of the Clean Air Act (CAA) covering over 400 permitted sources affecting the citizens of the largest city in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Louisville Metro Council recently requested the Louisville Metro Planning Commission review the Louisville Metro Land Development Code (LDC) with particular attention to data centers and has now invited the public to review the draft regulations and provide feedback. After reviewing the proposed regulations, the District recommends the following changes: First, for consistency and clarity, the District recommends adding "Board" as a defined term to define the "Board"

			as the Board of Zoning Adjustment. This will clarify that references throughout the proposed regulations to the “Board” refer to the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Second, Sections 4.2.69 (E), and 4.2.70 (E), each define “Generators”, which raises significant concerns to the District, as the air quality regulator of industrial and commercial facilities within Louisville Metro. Any project or piece of equipment in Louisville that emits or controls air pollutants requires a permit prior to installation and operation unless it is specifically exempted from the District’s permit requirements. With that in mind, the District is concerned with the following language: “Generators. Any generator that is used as part of an energy backup system for the Data Center shall a) be powered by propane, natural gas, hydrogen fuel cell, bi-fuel (natural gas/ diesel) (emphasis added), or battery (such as Energy Storage Systems or ESS) or b) be classified as, or similar to (emphasis added), a Tier IV diesel generator per the EPA’s emissions standards (emphasis added). Battery backup systems are permitted to provide instantaneous power during the switchover to generators. Any generator located outside of a building shall not be tested more than once per week, with testing only occurring between the hours of 8 AM to 5 PM. The Board may approve an alternative generator type or testing times upon receipt of documentation that neighboring properties would not be adversely impacted[OF2.1] (emphasis added).” Namely, “similar to” does not wholly encompass the same parameters as those governed by EPA Tier IV and provides too much leniency to depart from these federally prescribed emission standards. It appears that a diesel-only generator would be required to be Tier IV[KT3.1], but a bi-fuel generator that can burn natural gas and diesel could only burn diesel and not be required to be Tier IV. The District suggests that a maximum percentage of diesel be added to ensure that a significant portion of the fuel burned is natural gas for non-Tier IV engines, and otherwise, the District recommends striking “similar to”. To be clear, this section should also include a requirement to obtain an air pollution permit from the Air Pollution Control District. Furthermore, in an effort to be more specific, the District would also request these sections defining generators explicitly reference the regulations governing Tier IV requirements, 40 C.F.R 1039, instead of “per the EPA’s emissions standards”. In the same vein, we would recommend modifying references to “Tier IV” to read as “Tier 4”, as this is the way EPA refers to this classification. Additionally, the last sentence grants the Board of Zoning Adjustment the authority to approve “an alternative generator type or testing times upon receipt of documentation that neighboring properties would not be adversely impacted.” The District is concerned that this sentence is overly vague, as it does not describe with any specificity what type of documentation would qualify or what alternative generator types or testing times would be considered, nor does it define “adversely impacted”.

			Finally, and more to the heart of the issue, the District does not believe the Board of Zoning Adjustment has the appropriate authority to make this type of decision. At a minimum, the District would request adding in language that would require the Board to work with the District in approving alternative generators or testing times. For example, the District would recommend prohibiting generator maintenance testing on air quality alert days for ozone and/or particulate matter. Furthermore, this language only allows generator testing once per week, but what if a generator fails a test? A generator would need to be tested again after repairs to make sure it is working properly. The District would recommend more specificity in the regulations that generators whose preceding tests are successful are limited to testing once per week, with the possibility of further testing if a generator fails. Alternatively, the District would request the final sentence of Sections 4.2.69 (E), and 4.2.70 (E), be struck. Sections 4.3.35 (D), 4.3.36 (D), and 4.4.14 (C) also define “Generators”, the only difference being the entity or person who may approve an alternative generator type or testing times. “Generators. Any generator that is used as part of an energy backup system for the Data Center shall a) be powered by propane, natural gas, hydrogen fuel cell, bi-fuel (natural gas/diesel) (emphasis added), or battery (such as Energy Storage Systems or ESS) or b) be classified as, or similar to (emphasis added), a Tier IV diesel generator per the EPA’s emissions standards (emphasis added). Battery backup systems are permitted to provide instantaneous power during the switchover to generators. Any generator located outside of a building shall not be tested more than once per week, with testing only occurring between the hours of 8 AM to 5 PM. The Planning Director may approve an alternative generator type or testing times upon receipt of documentation that neighboring properties would not be adversely impacted (emphasis added).” The District has the same concerns with this final sentence as previously mentioned. Just as the Board of Zoning Adjustment does not have the expertise or authority to approve alternative generator types or testing times, the District does not believe the Planning Director is an appropriate alternative decisionmaker in this instance. As discussed above, at a minimum, the District would request adding in language that would require the Planning Director to work with the District in approving alternative generators or testing times. Alternatively, the District would request the final sentence of Sections 4.3.35 (D), 4.3.36 (D), and 4.4.14 (C), be struck. Conclusion The District appreciates the opportunity to comment and recommends the changes discussed above be implemented in the final versions of the Office of Planning’s proposed data center regulations.
1104	Flege	40204	I am in support of tightening the language and parameters of the proposed data center zoning in accordance with the thorough analysis and recommendations of the Kentucky Resource Council. A copy of KRC’s recommendations can be found at the link below. These changes are necessary and reasonable to ensure the

			resulting regulations protect the rights and investments of residents and business owners in the impacted area. https://kyrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf
1105	Quick	40206	A simple solution to assure that Data Centers are responsible members of the community: Require that part of the project include a minimum of 6 residences, 1 school, and 1 health clinic, all constructed to minimal rather than optimal standards. The residences must be occupied for a minimum of 250 days per year throughout the construction and for the first 10 years of the operation of the data center by the following families: 2 principal stakeholders of the developer of the Data Center, 2 elected members of the Kentucky legislature (1 House, 1 Senate) from the political party in the majority at the time the project is begun, and 2 senior officials employed by the consumers of the data. Each of those families must include at least one dependent child under the age of 18, who must attend the on-site school and be present in school for a minimum of 90% of the scheduled days of the public school year. The health clinic must be staffed at least 40 hours per week by a licensed practicing physician and a nurse with appropriate support staff, and will be available to the residential community within the project.
1106	Mead	40503	Please clamp down hard on data centers. They do nothing for Louisville.
1107	Payton	40299	NO NO NO!!!! People will not forget if these are allowed.
1108	Parsons	40214	Louisville Metro Government needs to, at the very least, adopt every recommendation the Kentucky Resources Council has suggested. Allowing loop holes and ease of access to the building of data centers that will be obsolete almost as soon as they're built does not add to the health, safety, or welfare of Louisville / Jefferson County Residents. The number of jobs created will not justify the tax payer expense to support these centers.
1109	PRICE	40291	Don't want it. Louisville has plenty of environmental, expensive water and poor air quality. They're not self-sustaining and will need have costly upkeep. Kentucky does not want ANY data centers!
1110	Stoddard	40207	Kentucky is known for its natural beauty enrolling landscape. It's freshwater ways and it's green vegetation, putting data sinners in our state directly puts all of those assets in danger.. I do not agree with that sinners in the state of Kentucky. Please don't do this to our state. Sincerely, MJS.
1111	Gadberry	40211	I deeply wish for there to be no Data Centers in Louisville. They only harm the land and the people who live there without providing them any benefit. We have no need to build these and let them take our natural resources or space that could be better utilized.
1112	White	40206	Do not build!! Citizens in Louisville will not benefit from a data center being built right into our back yards. All it will do is bring water shortages, noise complaints, and money stolen from the people so the rich can profit. It is disgusting. We'll all remember who voted yes on this!
1113	Boone	40205	We don't need them built here in Louisville!! They are dangerous to our communities and environment! Care more about the health of humans and the planet than greed!!
1114	Nolet	40422	I am currently serving as Vice Chair of the Danville Boyle County Planning Commission and like LMG, we have a one year moratorium in place to allow development of regulations. The proposed LMG regulations take many good steps in the direction needed. I would suggest two important modifications.

			One, eliminate the tiered levels and distinctions according to sq ft area. All data centers should be subject to the same scrutiny and community input. Areal size is not a good distinguishing factor to deal with the variations in energy and water and noise intensity that are at the heart of community concerns. Two, strengthen the requirements to document and plan for zero impact on the community, particularly in energy (no impact to consumer rates), water (no impact on water rates, water supplies, or water quality) and noise (no impact on surrounding communities, particularly from low frequency noise generated by cooling equipment and from occasional louder noise from emergency generator operations).
1115	Meester	40241	Data centers bear the full burden and the cost of the electricity they use, and using sustainable sources such as windmills, solar, and batteries as much as possible and they use NO electricity from coal-powered plants; that their demand for electricity does not in any way increase utility rates such as electricity and natural gas; the same for water in that their need for water does not increase water or sewer rates; they be responsible for any infrastructure costs; be environmentally safe (eco-friendly); kept away from residential areas, be given NO tax incentives; if they vacate the property that they be responsible for all the costs of removal of equipment and clean-up, including any hazardous waste; and pay for any damages to the community, neighborhoods, and infrastructure in the construction, maintenance, and vacating of the property. Be built far enough away from retail and residential areas to reduce the noise.
1116	Blyther	40299	Data Centers have no place in our community or our state. The vast majority of our residents are against any data centers at all for reasons not limited to environmental concerns, air and water quality of our people, rising cost concerns, the use of our land in general, noise pollution, and surveillance issues. Any support given to the construction and/or operation of a data center is seen as a lack of compassion and down right greed by our governing body.
1117	Oller	40291	No matter how many restrictions and plans you have to minimise damage to the ecosystem and its residents, there's no NEED for data centers. There's no need for AI. Use the resources for low-cost housing, better schools, public works or things that benefit the community, not a company. Data centers are unnecessary. They will not benefit anyone but someone's pocket, likely someone who doesn't live anywhere nearby. Stop pretending like it's gonna be good for everyone. It's not. People don't want them. I don't want them. You shouldn't either. Shame on you for taking even one square ft on this nonsense.
1118	McLeod	40220	Data centers are not necessary for the lives of the people our government should protect. They exist to further corporate goals and greed. They do not make our citizens lives better and actively make them worse with constant noise, pollution, and increases in cost of living. I strongly oppose any data center being built. Whoever proposed this and is trying to allow its building should be publicly scorned and voted out of office. Shame. I would be interested in participating in a class action lawsuit against data centers in the future and I believe any officials involved with allowing this should be held accountable by all means necessary.
1119	Tossmann	40220	I object to the data center that is planned for southwest Jefferson County, Louisville KY. I think it is too near neighborhoods and would be a drain on local utilities.

1120	Bergstrom	40165	No one wants data centers. This one is too close to a residential area. People want a guarantee that their rates wouldn't go up if it was built. We all know that cooling them is an issue, so if they must be built, why not in cold climates?? We've seen this play out in other communities; they get built even with a majority opposition. Why?
1121	Adams	40291	We do not need data centers. There is no real need to have them especially near our homes. Please do not allow this to happen. Please protect our land and build something much more need for our children.
1122	Kute	40205	Please strongly regulate any consideration of data centers in or near or city. They are huge users of power and water and creators of loud noise. Ask yourself if you'd like to live next door to it? Would you want to be responsible for the pollution it causes? Would you want to jeopardize our clean water? There are ways to Require these issues be addressed and it is your job to see that all our citizens are safe from the harm these data centers can cause. Thank you !
1123	Dean	40299	As a city, we have to stop trying to be like Nashville. As a state, we should retain our authentic rural character and soul, and not hand over our precious farm lands to these trillionaire expropriators.
1124	Kaleher	40299	I do not want ANY DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY. These are not healthy for humans and animals. Power and money should not be over health issues. Keep Kentucky healthy. People in other states have already complained of noises, disruption to sleep and living near them.
1125	Taylor	42653	The massive demand of water needed to keep servers cool enough to function is a problem. Communities with existing data centers are already facing problems with depleted water supplies. How is the data center powered? How does that type of power affect our air quality and what contribution does it have to greenhouse gases? Please put in regulations that stipulate the use of clean energy to power the data center, a way to mitigate noise and light pollution that will affect the local ecosystems, and guidelines that support local employment programs.
1126	Bennett	40204	I'm going to just endorse the recommendations that Kentucky Resource Council have made, which can be read here: https://kyrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf I will also add that no data center (or other for-profit entity/developer) should receive tax breaks, subsidies, or other "incentives." That goes for TIF's as well. If their business model depends on that, it's not a viable business model. No crying austerity and "tough budget decisions" when it comes to human and environmental needs while giving away hundreds of millions to private developers.
1127	Doe	40059	I am deeply disturbed by the choices of my city members. I am disgusted to know that leaders in my city could even possibly allow the IDEA of a data center to be presented. But now we're planning. We're clearing land for them. You tell us not to worry. That we won't even be able to tell! it's gonna be far from schools and homes, it's fine! Bullshit. Utter disgrace. You are opening HELL into our communities. NO ONE wants this but you and your rich buddies.
1128	Kaufmann	40205	No one wants this. Literally. No one except billionaires. We don't want this.

1129	Baldwin	40218	Data centers are a huge detriment to the environment and community. We do not want a data center in our city!!
1130	Chandler	40272	They are not environmentally friendly. They ruin water ways and create further hardship for electricity supplies. I am also concerned about long term effect on our communities. I say no to data centers. It's not about money it's about what's best for our community.
1131	Capen	40214	Data centers are a drain on infrastructure, resources and a burden on the public who will have to pay more for our utilities to cover the reduced prices these companies always get from providers and local governments. If this is allowed, I for one will make it a mission to find who in our government approved this project and work to make sure they do not get re elected or appointed.
1132	Perkins	40223	What a misuse of public goods!! Drain power and water from communities already overburdened with unclean industry to generate wealth for the wealthiest. Absolutely deplorable!!
1133	Donahue	40272	We do not want that here. The air quality associated with data centers has been studied through medical research, and has been proven that it would be harmful; especially for those with asthma, copd ETC. this is a low income area and many already have ailments due to that. Water quality is already affected by local organizations, and has been proven that water pollution by data centers will affect surrounding waterways. And noise pollution is ridiculous for neighborhoods. We do not want that here.
1134	Mcdaniel	40272	We do NOT want this facility in Jefferson county. This will cause electric bills to go up due to energy usage. It will contaminate our water supplies and harm the ecosystem. We do not need the relentless light and noise pollution in our area. Nothing good can or will come from this data center. Jefferson County says NO!
1135	Mays	40214	As a lifelong employee in the IT world, I have seen firsthand the havoc data centers like this can wreak on local economies and ecosystems. Do not allow this! It will damage the entire city and local economy.
1136	Cain	40229	Data centers are completely unnecessary and are stripping the world of natural resources. They are of no benefit to our community. The jobs created will just go to Louisville transplants over current residents and everyone's utility bills are going to increase.
1137	Hubbard	40299	Please do not allow data centers in our city. We have enough issues as a community and we do not need to add limiting our water supply, increasing the noise pollution, and destroying the natural habitats of many indigenous species to the list.
1138	Williams	40291	I support many of the protections in this draft, including the ban on hyperscale facilities, the prevailing wage requirement, and the rule that developers, not ratepayers, must cover infrastructure upgrades. These are real improvements over the current code, which let a 1.6 million square foot facility on Camp Ground Road move forward with almost no oversight. But this draft still has a critical gap. Provisions 2 and 5 limit data center development to designated industrial areas, and in Louisville, industrial zoning is concentrated almost entirely in the west and south end, in Rubbertown and the neighborhoods around it. These are the same majority Black communities that already carry the highest chemical exposure and pollution burden in the city. Routing every future data center, even smaller ones, into the same handful of already overburdened zip codes isn't neutral policy. It continues a pattern this city

			has repeated for decades: concentrating industrial harm in Black neighborhoods because the zoning makes it easy. If this ordinance is serious about protecting Louisville's long term interests, it needs to account for cumulative impact, not just facility size. I'm asking Metro Council to add language requiring an environmental justice or cumulative burden review for any proposed site in an area already carrying a disproportionate share of industrial development, on top of the setback and buffering requirements already in the draft. Size caps and noise standards help, but they don't fix where the harm keeps landing. Please treat the south end and west end as communities that have already paid enough, not as the default answer for where the next facility goes.
1139	Brown	40216	No data centers!
1140	Donahue	40208	Kentucky's main industries: bourbon, horses, tourism, as well as the health and happiness of many Kentuckians, their children, pets and wildlife will be negatively affected by the noise pollution, air pollution, water pollution, electricity costs/grid abilities, greenhouse gasses, heating... will be negatively affected by allowing our state to be ruined by data centers, They may be tax generators; however the amount of income and minimal job generator can not offset the damage they will do. What is the health and happiness of Kentuckians worth?
1141	Dearing	40299	I don't want any large scale data centers near Louisville. Maybe converting existing buildings /offices to smaller scale centers and even classifying them separately than residential customers when billing for utilities can work but otherwise, I'm a hard no
1142	Carrigan	40204	No to data centers. Don't do it.
1143	Beth	40223	say no to data centers!! YUCK
1144	Laun	40223	I strongly urge that the proposed amendment to the Louisville Metro LDC include provision that any data center of any size must generate their own power and not rely on the LG&E grid at all, in addition to requiring ALL data centers of any size to use a closed-loop water cooling system. Furthermore, I urge the LDC amendment also limit the allowable data center size to 25,000 sq ft. These changes will ensure that extra cost is not passed on to current Louisville residents, that water and energy suppliers will not be strained for resources, and that will limit the environmental damage that any data center inevitably brings to its surroundings.
1145	Hawks	40220	Do not build this! We have some of the best water in the country and data centers destroy the environment and ruin our drinking water!
1146	Davenport	40291	Data Centers are a plague to society and shouldn't be anywhere near the city of Louisville. The existence of them is unethical, poisoning the local community and robbing people of their lives by causing pollution, a rise in temperatures, and the noise they make is enough to drive someone crazy. I will make sure that everyone I know votes against anyone that holds voting power that supports a Data Center. The citizens of Louisville are begging for someone to stand up for them and do the right thing, and say no to these data centers.

1147	Ware	40214	I think it's extremely important for one of the regulations to require that they use one of the other options for cooling down the processors and computers so not using water or if they do use water it has to be salt water that is not drinkable for humans.
1148	Taylor	40006	Data centers are destroying our planet, this is a disgusting use of our resources. Kentucky has the most beautiful land, it will be desecrated with these awful buildings. We need to invest in preserving our land or even building things that are useful to the population. Data centers do nothing more than continue to line the pockets of the rich, they do nothing for the people who actually pay for the consequences. Reconsider this terrible decision!!
1149	Borns	40207	This regulation feels like almost enough. I would want it to be more punitive about the utility issues. The main complaint I have seen from existing data centers is spiked costs for electricity and water. They should not be passing any cost for their project to the public. Enforcement should be included here.
1150	Speedy	40219	Eliminate arbitrary tiers. Regulate all data centers on the basis of how much they would impact neighbors, everyone's utility bills, etc. No one wants their bills to go up. Eliminate loopholes. No proposed data center should be approved without public input, opportunity for a hearing and Metro Council review. Create a new "Industrial Data Center" zoning classification for all data centers and require all proposers to obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). It was so sneaky what the mayor did. Ensure that all other electric, natural gas, water and wastewater utility customers won't be forced to subsidize data centers with higher bills or poorer service. We cannot afford these. Ensure that data centers don't prevent Louisville from achieving our 100% renewable energy goals. No to more heat and pollution effecting our health, and poisoning the environment. Limit off-site noise as measured on all decibel scales, including when generators are running, using technology and set-backs. Would you want to live near one?
1151	Barnett	40229	We don't want any data centers. They're incredibly harmful to our environment, and the electric cost is always subsidized by the community who didn't ask for it. If you build this, I'm going to Indiana.
1152	Roggentine	40071	I think building a data center in this area is probably one of the worst ideas ever. LG&E rates are already high, people already struggle paying their bills, and putting this in that area is going to cause homelessness to skyrocket; as if there aren't enough homeless people in Louisville already. The negative impact of building this center will affect a huge number of things like the environment, bills, peace of mind, etc. Please put a stop to this, there is no logical reason as to why we need any data centers anywhere.
1153	Clay	40203	Data centers provide no valuable resource to the community. Louisville does not need one. Why are we even talking about this? Don't we need housing?? Isn't downtown dead? Can't we fund public transit more? Broadway revitalization? West end investment? Cmon yall. Jfc.
1154	Laws	40031	Limit any but small Data Centers to Heavy Industrial areas only not multipurpose businesses parks. Elicit community responses and favor communities over developers as this is their community. Do your research.

			Developers are one sided and most don't know the scope of a project. Do not sign confidentiality agreements as the public has the right to hear everything. We beat the Data Center in Oldham County because of lack of transparency. Take care of your citizens. Thank you.
1155	Davis	40204	The people of Louisville do NOT support the building of any data centers in our city. They have horrible effects on many areas of quality of life. They are major pollutants and are a parasite on water and electric resources which increase the cost of living exponentially for residents everywhere. How dare you place greed above the lives of the people of Louisville!
1156	Ellis	40205	A data center DOES NOT BELONG here. There benefit does not outweigh the cost. The constant humming in other states has caused cattle not to produce a live birth in over two years since data centers have been installed next to ranches, and there is proven evidence that they are harmful to bees - WHAT DO YOU THINK THEY DO YO HUMANS?! Do not put data centers in Louisville or anywhere near here. Data centers only bring harm to those around them and are NOT worth the money or the tax credits. Not to MENTION the absolute DRAIN they are on our power sources which is passed on to the consumer. HARD NO ON THIS DATA CENTER!!!!
1157	Boller	40211	As a resident of west Louisville, I am against the data center being placed in my community. It brings more toxicity that it is not needed or welcomed.
1158	Amanda Wilder	40217	We don't want it, we don't need it. We have too many problems in this city as it is.
1159	Garfinkle	40214	Data centers are a blight on our environment and communities. They emit a constant sound, making living near them maddening. They raise energy costs, and are not worth the damage they cause.
1160	Morrow	40241	No data centers. How much is it worth to give up the health, and future of its citizens to satisfy corporate greed. We don't know the ramifications on the environment but it won't be good. I and many constituents do not believe any information that comes from Data Center corporations or frankly the government. Why don't they put the Data Centers in Goshen, Prospect, Crestwood....because they would not stand for it. Let Andy Barr install one at his house. No Data Centers! Enact a law that forbids and discourages corporations from choosing our community. Another idea is to have them pay upfront billions of dollars to pay a third party to monitor the environment such as individuals who have a stake in the community. The point is to make them pay through the nose. Have them set up a trust fund with a trillion dollars to start off and form a nongovernmental agency to monitor. Give the power to the people to monitor their communities.
1161	Burnett	40206	There have been documented issues with data centers' impact to drinking water for neighbors of data centers. I would like to see some specific requirements around water studies on the impact of the data center on the environment, the current Louisville Water capacity, and on neighbor residents water access. Furthermore, the draft regulations mention energy use and noise pollution, but I would like to see more specifics with limits to generator usage, based on data centers in other areas using generators full time, and general air

			pollution limits for those generators (to keep them from running full time). And it mentions a "buffer" around residential/school areas, but I think there should be a specific decibel limit or another measurable metric to define what an acceptable distance is. I also don't think we should give any tax breaks or incentives to these data center companies. They do not bring long term jobs and they consume resources (energy and water), taking that away from the residents of our city. They need to pay for all energy, water, utilities, property taxes, etc, to be in the city.
1162	Mican	40217	I do not want any new data centers in Louisville, period. Not one that is 499,999 square ft, not one that is 999 square ft. The AI boom will end and we should not risk our community, our resources, our precious land on something that is so fleeting and pointless. No data centers.
1163	Elder	40299	Data centers are harmful in numerous ways, which is why I would not like them to be introduced in our city. They consume large amounts of energy which must be accounted for through energy production, most likely through fossil fuels. As a result, this has an impact on the Earth's climate system. On a similar note, data centers radiate heat and can cause general warming in their areas, as well as the warming of nearby water supplies harming the ecosystem. Data centers are reported to produce continuous humming and buzzing noises. These noises pollute the air and can cause stress to nearby wildlife, impacting their livelihoods as well as the disruption of nearby communities. Lastly, data centers require lots of clean water. This not only takes clean water from the community the data center is in, but also pollutes ground water sources in that area. All of these reasons explain how data centers are not wanted in my city.
1164	Dennis	40769	I do not live in Louisville myself, but I have family there and the last thing I would want for them is the environmental and noise pollution of a data center near their home. Moreover, they do not produce anywhere near the number of jobs promised, and they are likely to make the city even more unaffordable due to the increase in electricity bills. Thank you Dr Dennis
1165	Brenzel	40207	Impose a one year moratorium on data centers in Louisville to allow time to 1) Identify effects on public resources ie. water, electricity, food sources 2) effects on public health and environment short and long term No more "Non-Disclosure Agreements.
1166	Forman	40023	Citizens of Louisville are not interested in having a data center in our community, city, and state. Data Centers are rapidly destroying our environment by excessively using up natural resources such as water, land, and minerals. This will pollute our air, deplete our waterways, destroy our land, contribute to noise pollution, and aid in brain rot. This will not be beneficial to Kentuckians, the jobs will be temporary and definitely will not grow the Kentucky economy. A data center only benefits the rich who will become richer while it destroys our

			environment, economy, and intelligence. NO to any and all data centers in Louisville, Oldham County, and the great state of Kentucky.
1167	Cyrus	40241	Please stop all data centers from being built in Louisville. Other states that have these massive centers have experienced severe water pollution, air pollution and a nonstop horrible noise. Property values have drastically decreased near these centers. The once beautiful land is now a dead zone where no plants or animals could live nearby. We do not need this data center near Campground Road in Louisville and want it stopped. An environmental impact study was not done. We need clean water, clean air and to hear birds chirping not this horrible data center. Please stop this from being built Thanks Debra Cyrus
1168	Baker	40160	THIS IS AN OUTRAGE. I want my kids to be able to have a city to grow up in, with clean water and all the natural resources that makes Kentucky home . WE DO NOT WANT THESE DATA CENTERS.
1169	Moorhead	40205	Introducing a data center to our current grid system should be very well thought out in advance of being implemented... There really are other things that should be addressed and worked out before agreed upon.. Rhere are also other sources of sustainable that really should take a priority.. Generatinf and sustaining the energy needed to cover for such a center immense and potentially overwhelming.. This proposal should really only be considered last and after more practical and sustainable ideas are considered first. A new hydrogen plant near the Ohio would be among one such idea that should be considered.. Did no Mayor Gisher not announce such a transition to sustainable power for the City when he was in office? This should be the direction we should look to.. A new data
1170	D	40216	The tech push being forced on people in this city is unlawful. Starting with the overreach into our daily lives. The data centers as well as surveillance systems added in my city are unlawful and unconstitutional. Your ai is harmful and damaging to my liberties and freedom
1171	Spratt	40216	I do not want data centers near my home. I do not believe they should be located in residential areas as they use up too much of the local resources. And we, the residents, will have to pay for the increase in energy use for something we said we do not want.
1172	Finch	40299	Stop with the data centers. We don't want AI and we don't want surveillance of our lives nonstop. Not to mention the noise, the raise in utility bills, or the damage to the environment.
1173	Bowden	40206	My family has lived in Kentucky for hundreds of years, we cannot let our lands be polluted. We deserve fresh air and affordable power. A data center would further segregate Louisville Metro, making disenfranchised neighborhoods unlivable. Protect our citizens, our homes, do not build a data center here. Hopefully, this is an age that will end, and they won't be necessarily anywhere at all, until then, keep our air clean and our homes peaceful, please.

1174	Palermo	40219	I think its a terrible idea to be introducing new data centers to Kentucky, especially since they are being in lower income places where they dont typically have the means to voice their concerns. We are jumping into a trend here with data center production, but i think we should take some time to get research on the impacts of these data centers and see if it really is worth it. The Environmental impacts are catastrophic, so i say no to data centers
1175	Bunch	40502	As a Kentucky resident who has family and friends in Louisville who feel the same, we do NOT want or need a data center here. The pollution is extremely concerning as there was just a story today about the data centers in the U.S. polluting the water supply with bacteria that doesn't respond to drugs well. I am only 22 years old and I want to be able to live a life where forests and empty fields exist I want to be able to drink tap water without worry of it being contaminated I want to eat food from my local farmers. You cannot ever filter this water used for the data centers to become drinkable again. You cannot in our lifetimes if not ever use these fields for farming purposes again. These are basic human needs that are actively getting wiped out with the data centers being built. If this is supposed to be benefitting the public then why is it so harmful to our land, water, and future generations not to mention us now.
1176	Huelsman	40217	Humanity has survived much longer than data centers have existed. I think for the sake of the environment, we can go without any data centers. At the cost of technology? It's worth it to preserve what is left of the world we have left. Data centers require excessive amounts of energy and fresh water which will affect surrounding resources and put strain on the city and environment. Losing what access we have to fresh water for data storage is not worth it.
1177	Recktenwald	40206	I do not want a data center in Louisville!
1178	Livers	40205	No data centers in Jefferson County at all. None. No need for regulations, just say NO to every comer. The wreck less development across the country for a technology that the average person not only does not want, but vehemently despises is government overstep. We will foot the bill, and everybody knows that. AI has been foisted upon us, preloaded into all digital devices, which makes us, the consumer, have to search—usually in vain—for ways to turn it off. NO DATA CENTERS IN JEFFERSON COUNTY.
1179	Cocke	40165	Data Centers are a total waste of space- leave the land and the trees alone. We don't need anymore new/big buildings when we have PLENTY of vacant buildings already.
1180	Brohm	40204	This is a terrible idea for the community and the health of the citizens in Jefferson County. It is an insult to the civilians of the South end of Louisville. Get over yourselves. Nobody in their right mind wants this.
1181	haizlip	40204	data centers offer nothing positive to the community. they eat up our resources and make our electric bills significantly higher. I do not want data centers in my community. the people of louisville do not want data centers in our communities.
1182	York	40065	Data centers are destroying our planet. Louisville must agree to no data centers until better regulations are in place regarding how data centers impact climate change. It would increase temperatures if the surrounding areas by at least 20 degrees and with people already suffering in this heat that will be catastrophic.

1183	Cyrus	40241	Good morning, I live in Louisville and want the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. Louisville residents should receive notification and be able to give our input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. For noise levels, these facilities run cooling fans continuously and the hum, vibration, and low frequency sounds can be damaging to human hearing and health. I want mandatory limits, not suggestions, backed by a pre-construction acoustic study, post-construction testing and real-time ongoing monitoring. Backup generator testing should be limited to the weekdays between noon to 5 PM. Since data centers use huge amounts of power regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for Louisville residents will not increase. Additional rules must be created to address on-site, off-the-meter, primary electrical generation. The current proposal addresses only back-up electrical generation. Continuous generator operation as a primary power source should be prohibited. Primary, on-site power plants for electrical generation should be considered a separate use, requiring their own zoning change. I think data centers should be required to get a majority of their power from renewable sources. Since data centers use huge amounts of water for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. We need clean drinking water. I love kayaking and want Louisville to have clean creeks and the Ohio River. Since the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Setbacks need to be larger than proposed. They should be 500 feet from property lines, 1,500 feet from homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, churches, medical facilities, and commercial or agricultural areas. All sensitive areas should be at least 1,000 to 2,000 feet from exhaust stacks or emission points. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms

			"required" or "shall" should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While we agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft has no decommissioning plan and no financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs fall on the public rather than the company that built it. This needs to be addressed Thank you
1184	Baumert	40245	How are the extreme water needs if there is a data center fire being addressed? How are the fire departments being trained? How are the community warning and evacuation systems being developed and communicated. This is testimony from Texas about research regarding high odds of data center fires. https://www.facebook.com/share/v/1HUBnrC9B9/?mibextid=wwXlfr
1185	Baumert	40245	What are the studies being discussed with the community about the sound pollution so bad it drives people and animals crazy with the constant low level humming? Residents in Spartanburg SC speak out about it there https://www.facebook.com/share/v/1EbTHjahfP/?mibextid=wwXlfr
1186	Wells	40229	The fact that Louisville is still proceeding with the planning and subsequent construction of a "mega" data center despite the fact that its fellow democratic cousin-cities are actively blocking and enforcing legislature on the same issue(s)/concern(s) is, frankly, embarrassing. Not to mention that the area the "mega" data center is supposed to be built is considered to be in/near a more neglected/impoverished area of Louisville. What, did you think that if we stuck a data center in the middle of "the slums" people wouldn't care as much? It's still land that will be powered by LG&E, which will impact the entire Louisville energy grid, which is ancient to begin with; sneeze too hard in a good thunderstorm and your power is going out, but yeah, let's go ahead and add 400 megawatts of strain to a fragile system. I've yet to see a valid explanation on how almost half the supposed profits from this hyperspace data center are going straight into the Louisville school system. Sure, that sounds great on paper, but what are the logistics? We all know the billionaires funding this project won't let that profit slip through their fingers, so how exactly is that going to work? Magical tax credits? If the "plan" is anything like how the "updated" school bussing system was supposed to work, well... we all know how that went. Louisville, please, consider the long-term consequences of what feels like a short-term, trendy decision.

1187	Brown	40202	I want to protect clean air, clean water, and clean soil. This cannot happen if we don't rigorously examine utility permits, animal farming operations, and manufacturing impacts on air and water quality. Data centers imply the opposite. Laura Lee Brown
1188	Greene	40215	I do not believe data centers belong in Louisville, KY. Our community deserves better than this
1189	RETRIBUTION	40219	Your time is up. Your repentance is now. Time is broken. The moons hologram is fading and the second Sun of Christ is here. All things run true to origin. If your origin is God then you will return to being God. If your origin is the devils Petri dish then you will return to your lowest vibratory nature. If life and death are two parts of the same then why choose death? This is a game and yall making it harder for no reason. You will suffer for the energy you've stolen and the pain you've caused. Foolish feelings and emotions. Nothing you do will prosper. Take who you can, get the energy you can, war has been here and the God and Goddesses of planet ki are Awakening. NOW WE RISE ASÉ
1190	Miller	40205	My overall concern about the draft ordinance is that it's too weak and vague and will allow loopholes. There are inadequate safeguards of air and water, protections against noise pollution, decreases in land value and other impacts on surrounding communities. Utilities consumers are potentially being saddled with higher costs for energy and also potential cleanup costs if a company goes bust. The supposed benefits are not proven and the risks to the public are not adequately addressed in the current draft proposal which needs substantial tightening. There should be a moratorium on data centers in the meantime. Nothing should be moving forward at this point. Please do your jobs and put the public interest first . A few should not be able to reap enormous profit at the expense of our community. Thank you, Donna Miller
1191	Blandford	40217	Another example of the Browns screwing Louisvillians for their own profit and Greenberg acting out his Republican fantasies by handing over tax payer money to Steve Poe and the Browns to make the whole lot of them richer. Taken right out of the federal administration's get rich off the people playbook. The Browns layoff hundreds at Brown Foreman, back away from social commitments, put the company up for sale, and now try to scrape the city coffers dry through tax benefits to build a huge air, water, and noise polluting hyperscale data center that won't increase employment, will create hazardous waste through hardware replacement every three years and pass it off as a benefit for the community. The cost increases on energy and water will directly impact their core business at Brown Foreman and the cost of living for their employees. No wonder they are trying to sell the business. All so the city can surveil its citizens and make the wealthy even richer. Corruption and greed at hyperscale, government approved, citizen funded, and rubbed in our faces.
1192	Irwin	40205	The public does not want data centers. Politicians who do not oppose them will be opposed and rejected by voters.

			Your greed is exhausting, but we are not exhausted. 
1193	Case	40229	We absolutely need the Data Regulations in place. These massive centers have a huge impact on communities and the environment, in a negative way. The thoughtful regulation being proposed will help control the damage being done to power grids, water usage, natural wildlife and physical and mental impacts on communities. As a state we must take this seriously and protect our citizens, wildlife and environment.
1194	Connors	40242	Louisvillians don't need nor want a data center in our city. It is going to do irreparable damage to our environment and cause harm to those who live closest to it. Not to mention noise and light pollution and increasing our utility bills while corporations make millions in profits. Its location is especially egregious being on the west end which is home to some of the poorest and most vulnerable people in our city. We deserve better!
1195	Erwin	40214	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company,

			there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Judith Erwin 251 Kenoak Dr. Louisville, KY 40214
1196	Veal	40217	We don't want this data center messing with our neighborhoods !!
1197	McCullough	40203	No to the data center! Stop destroying our land for things we do not need. We already clear land for more housing for the ever growing population. Now you wanna take more of it for data center. No thanks. More agriculture, more parks, more protected clean land is what we need!
1198	allen	40208	i do not want data centers in my community
1199	Pender	40299	* The proposed 500,000-square-foot limit creates massive facilities that consume excessive electricity and water. This cap must be reduced significantly. * Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently lacks requirements for developers to study or disclose project health effects on surrounding communities. * Convert optional infrastructure guidelines, such as closed-loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, from "should" to "shall" to make them legally binding. * Include language that prevents developers from splitting massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially bypass size thresholds or public hearings. * Eliminate the rule allowing facilities up to 250,000 square feet to bypass community input. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial-scale development begins. *Require developers to publicly disclose projected water usage and enforce consumption caps, rather than just obtaining a water company letter. Establish enforceable penalties.
1200	Mouser	40291	How about preserve our lands and stop poisoning our environment. We need more land to grow food and be outdoors. Not more machines. What will this do to the soil, the pollinators, the wildlife? Not to mention what this will do to the health of the people in surrounding areas.

			I want to see soil test of other data centers. I think before and after to compare would be the best way to show the decline. It's called an ecosystem and you're destroying the world with your "progress".
1201	Lowe	42757	No data centers in KY! Especially water cooled ones. If you explore alternative options, I could be not opposed but the water is bad enough already.
1202	O'Daniel	40204	We do not want any data centers in or near Louisville Kentucky! They pollute the air and water. They increase our costs and do not provide jobs!
1203	Goodin	40207	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1204	Roman	40206	We do not want data centers. We don't want the noise, we don't want the pollution, we don't want ai. We don't want data centers.
1205	Owens	40213	I DO NOT want Data Centers in or near my community. I DO NOT want Data Centers in or near my community. I DO NOTwant Data Centers in or near my community. I DO NOT want Data Centers in or near my community. I DO NOT want Data Centers in or near my community.
1206	Rudyk	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1207	halleron	40291	Do not bring AI centers here. Not what many of us want. Destroys resources and enriches individuals not communities.
1208	Thurman	40291	I oppose the placement of data centers anywhere in the Louisville Metro area or proximity on the grounds that the power requirements will burden the customers of LG&E and KU in terms of cost and power distribution.

			Wastewater toxicity and irresponsible land use are also concerns. It's a bad idea and a bad idea for the people of Louisville and Kentucky.
1209	James	40299	LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! This is our chance to protect our community's future. The official window for public comments closes tomorrow on the new Land Development Code rules for data centers. If we stay silent, tech giants will move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water. The decisions made now will shape the environmental health of Louisville for decades to come. Please share this post with every local group, neighbor, and family member you know. We need to act like our children and grandchildren's future and health depend on it because the air they breathe and the water they drink tomorrow depend entirely on the rules we fight for today. Our Overview of the Proposed Amendments and the official Proposed Amendments text are in the comments. We've posted both resources directly in the comments section below so you can see exactly what's at stake. SUBMIT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IN LESS THAN 60 SECONDS STEP 1: Open the official form https://louisvillemetropds.wufoo.com/forms/z4jom4r08nn0zi/ STEP 2: Copy and paste the community statement below Copy this text and paste it into the comment box on the form. Fill out your name, and hit submit! To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional

			"shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Please comment right now and share this post! #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1210	Speagle	40214	I oppose DATA CENTERS, THEY RUIN COMMUNITIES, they ruin the air , water , the NOISE , CAUSING HEALTHCARE PROBLEMS FOR THE CITY , THEY RAISE UTILITIES COSTS , THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE DO NOT WANT THIS , SO THAT THE RICH CAN MAKE MORE MONEY, GREENBERG SHOULD HAVE STOID AGAINST THIS , HE DOESNT DESERVE TO BE MAYOR.
1211	Grant	40206	The data centers should not be approved.
1212	Hughes	40118	Data centers have no place in our commonweath. This proposal and others like it would have consequences far outweighing any monetary gain, including noise and light disruption/pollution, water pollution and increased energy grid draw that we need for our communities in times of intense weather, to cool and heat people, not computers. THE PEOPLE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS
1213	Hardy	40205	I am adamantly opposed to data sinners being allowed in Jefferson County or really anywhere in Kentucky. They ruin the landscape, the noise levels are unbearable. The use of water and electricity is outrageous. I think I would lose my mind if I were exposed to that much noise all day and night. If it's not acceptable in Indian Hills, then it's not acceptable anywhere in the county. Please put a pause on the proposed lenient guidelines. Please rethink this whole industry before we go one step further.
1214	Hagan	40220	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. We talk a lot about neighborhoods being important in Louisville until some wealthy developer wants to make some money. Then ordinances, regulations and laws on the "books" are ignored. In this situation of the data centers the stakes are so high we can't afford to be pro developer. People, wild life, pets and all of nature are what makes a neighborhood! Let's plan for healthier neighborhoods!
1215	Price	40220	my only idea and comment is NO. DO NOT put ANY Data centers in Louisville KY. I don't care about what benefits you think we gain from Data Centers, we don't have the power grid to house all these Data Centers. We should have been updating the Power grid instead of bombing Iran. We should have been updating the power grid instead of sending aid to Israel. THIS is why Americans are so upset. The few at the top make decisions for WE THE PEOPLE. All you politicians want to talk about God and being holy, well your judgement day is coming and you'll have to answer to your maker. You Sold your soul and sold your constituents out. DO NOT BUILD DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY or LOUISVILLE. I can't believe the only politician I'm agreeing with right now is a former Republican Thomas Massie. DO NOT SELL OUT KENTUCKY for this AI Greed.
1216	Kute	40205	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water.

			The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1217	Battle	40220	We DO NOT want or need data centers in or near my home - we do not need the rate increases and strain on our systems. This is not a necessary addition to our community. Please consider moving this further away from Louisville - maybe even Ohio or Indiana. If these states are not allowing data centers - KY administration needs to ask WHY and consider the impact it will have on our people. Especially those of rubbertown who have already been negatively effective by industialization.
1218	Colston	40204	This data center is not only a waste of resources that will drain our already dwindling water supply, the noise pollution it causes will have a detrimental affect on the local animal population, as well as the people living right next door. It is telling that this center is being built in the south end, a heavily populated area filled with mostly lower-income households who will not have the resources to move. This city has a history of dumping its more unseemly projects in the west and south ends of Louisville, either because they believe its residents don't have the means or the time to fight back. I assure you - Louisville will not take this sitting down. Louisville does not need a data center of this scale - not now, not ever.
1219	Perlin	40205	Data centers Ave been shown to be costly in many different ways. They consume vast amounts of fresh water- and, yes, it has to be fresh. Otherwise, reused water will corrode their sensitive instrument ls. But they seldom, if ever, pay for this huge water consumption. And, even if they do, it's never the full price. Second, these centers consume unimaginable amounts of energy. Sometimes a single center can use as much as a city. Again, they like to pass those costs, either direct or due to needed energy infrastructure upgrades, onto

			the neighborhoods or cities where they locate. Even when this is not the case, they build or use decommissioned fossil fuel or other dirty energy ☹️ So, we have to live with their pollution. Including added noise. In sum, data centers are a bad investment for Louisville, Kentucky, the US and the world. They must be limited so that they do not cost US any additional burdens. Absolutely none. Make them pay ALL costs. Don't allow socializing their true costs onto the rest of us.
1220	Beatty	40241	I don't believe data centers are good environmentally ! They will push down property values! I think there is too much data already being collected! I also feel it opens yet another opportunity for identity theft! Why don't we develop more ways to secure the data centers that already exist!
1221	Gallavin	40204	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1222	Beatty	40241	I feel data centers are bad for the environment and push down the property values for home owners in those areas! There is already too much data being collected! Also maybe we should develop better ways to secure the data that's already out there instead of collecting more! Let's not open more doors for identity theft!

1223	Lyons	40206	We do not need data centers built alongside our communities like this. They not only damage the environment but also cause disruption and destruction to the people and families that have to deal with it in the area. I feel it is our/my duty as a citizen of Louisville to protect fellow Kentuckians and our beautiful city from this
1224	Greenwood	40217	No data centers in our city, ever. Shouldn't be anywhere, even outside of Louisville/Kentucky.
1225	Wilson	40205	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1226	Jones	40215	Dear policy makers, I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly.

			2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Thank you for your attention to this matter!
1227	Evans	40214	Dear Members of the Planning Commission and Office of Planning staff: I am writing to comment on the draft data center amendment, Case No. 25-LDC-0014. The draft is a serious and largely well-constructed start, and I do not object to its framework. My central recommendation is one of method: a Kentucky-specific model already exists — the Kentucky Resources Council's Model Data Center Siting Ordinance, Version 1.4 — that contains drafted, best-practice language for most of the gaps in the current draft. Rather than ask Louisville to write these standards from scratch, I recommend adopting the KRC provisions cited below, and going beyond the KRC model only in the specific areas it does not reach. Citing an existing Kentucky model makes each recommendation concrete, reduces the drafting burden, and gives the Commission language that has already been reviewed against best practices from other states. Throughout, I distinguish the Louisville draft (cited as §4.2.69, etc.) from the KRC model (cited as KRC §5.A, etc.). 1. Regulate by electrical demand, not floor area. Every threshold in the draft is defined by floor area (§4.2.68, §4.2.69, §4.3.35, §4.3.36). Floor area is weakly coupled to the impacts being regulated: grid load, cooling water, backup generation, and noise scale with electrical demand in MW of IT load, not square footage, and a densely provisioned 250,000 sq ft facility can

		outdraw a sparse 500,000 sq ft one. Two paths correct this. Either add an MW-based threshold alongside the floor-area tiers, or adopt the KRC model's structure, which uses no size tiers at all — every data center requires a conditional use permit and a map amendment to an "Industrial – Data Center" classification (KRC §3), so there is no floor-area line to sit beneath. The KRC uniform-CUP approach is the cleaner fix, because it removes the metric problem rather than patching it. 2. Adopt concrete siting exclusions and larger setbacks. The draft cross-references only a generic "environmental constraint" (§4.6.2.A) and sets separations of 25, 100, and 200 feet by tier. The KRC model supplies both the exclusions and the distances the draft lacks. KRC §4 bars siting on slopes over 20%, in floodplains, on karst where a contaminant release could migrate, in or within 50 feet of wetlands, on prime farmland, and on endangered-species habitat. KRC §5.A sets a 500-foot perimeter setback regardless of adjacent zoning, 1,500 feet from any sensitive use or residential district, and — critically for emissions — 1,000 feet from an adjacent property boundary and 2,000 feet from any sensitive use for any generator exhaust stack. I recommend adopting KRC §4 and §5.A. These are roughly an order of magnitude larger than the draft's separations and are the specific figures a buffer-adequacy objection otherwise has to assert without support. 3. Close the circumvention pathways and fund independent review. Per-facility thresholds invite subdivision; the accessory category (§4.4.14) self-certifies its only real limit (the colocation restriction); and §4.2.68.F lets an applicant substitute a self-authored "utility consumption model" for the LG&E transmission study, allowing the party seeking approval to model its own utility impact. The KRC model counters each: it defines the regulated site as an "assemblage of adjacent parcels" (KRC §2(5)), preventing paper subdivision; it reviews all facilities under one CUP, so there is no tier to game; and it replaces applicant self-modeling with independent third-party review paid through an applicant escrow (KRC §7(1)) — expert review the Commission controls, not the applicant. I recommend adopting all three. Beyond the KRC model: add a cap on cumulative data-center electrical demand within an industrial district or a defined radius. KRC requires one-mile disclosure (KRC §6(1)(a)) but sets no cumulative ceiling, so clustering — a district converted parcel by parcel, each permit defensible alone, the aggregate load never assessed — remains possible. This cumulative-MW cap is the single provision neither draft contains and is the most important addition in this comment. 4. Treat on-site power generation as a separate use. If a facility brings its own generation, it is a power plant operating behind the data center, with a power plant's emissions and footprint. KRC §5.I(4) states that any energy system supplying the data center during normal operation "shall not be considered part of the Data Center use" and is permitted only as a separate use under otherwise-applicable zoning. I recommend adopting this. It closes a path around both the emissions limits and

			the circumvention concerns above. 5. Give the cost-of-service protection a verifier and an allocation. The draft's requirement (§4.2.69.P and parallels) obligates the applicant only to "provide documentation demonstrating" that service can be furnished "without adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers" — with no defined standard, no named verifier, and no requirement that the executed LG&E service agreement (§4.2.69.M) actually assign the cost. The KRC model closes the verification gap: KRC §5.I(6) requires written verification from the service provider addressing availability, reliability, quality, cost, and safety, with any required improvements "in place before operation" and "paid by applicant," and KRC §7(1) has the independent consultant confirm it. Adopt both. The magnitude is why this matters; to illustrate with a traceable calculation, suppose a facility triggers \$400 million in transmission and distribution upgrades, recovered over 30 years at a 7% cost of capital, using the capital recovery factor $CRF = i / (1 - (1+i)^{-n})$: $CRF = 0.07 / (1 - 1.07^{-30}) = 0.07 / 0.8694 = 0.0806$ Annual revenue requirement = \$400,000,000 × 0.0806 = \$32,200,000 / year Spread illustratively across 800,000 residential accounts: \$32,200,000 ÷ 800,000 = \$40 / account / year, or about \$3.35 / month These are illustrative figures, not any specific project's numbers, and cover only the transmission component; the structure — upgrade cost, times the capital recovery factor, divided by the ratepayer base — is what "adverse effect on the cost of service" means in dollars. Beyond the KRC model: require that the executed §4.2.69.M service agreement contain an explicit cost-causation allocation assigning that cost to the facility. The KRC model stops at "paid by applicant" and verification; it does not reach into the service-agreement terms, and the allocation is what makes the obligation enforceable rather than aspirational. 6. Require curtailment priority ahead of residential load. Neither the draft nor the KRC model addresses which loads are shed first during a grid capacity emergency; the KRC model deliberately assigns rate and reliability questions to the Public Service Commission. I recommend the ordinance require large data centers to take interruptible or curtailable service, with curtailment priority ahead of residential customers during declared capacity emergencies, as a CUP condition. I flag candidly that this is the one recommendation here that runs against the KRC model's jurisdictional split, and the PSC or the LG&E rate case may be its ultimate forum. It is included because the cost of raising it in the zoning record is low and the consequence of leaving it unstated — residential customers curtailed while a facility draws full load — is not.

			7. Require a decommissioning plan, financial assurance, and stranded-cost coverage. The draft requires registration (§4.3.35.T, §4.3.36.V) but no end-of-life obligation. KRC §6(12) is the drafted fix: a decommissioning plan by a registered professional engineer, with removal timeframe and cost, salvage-value calculations, site restoration, and a performance bond or financial assurance posted before construction. Adopt it. Beyond the KRC model: the KRC bond covers physical site removal, but not the unrecovered balance of dedicated utility infrastructure if the facility closes before the 30-year recovery in Section 5 is complete. Extend the financial assurance to cover that stranded infrastructure cost, and add a minimum operating term tied to the amortization period. 8. Define the generator standard, require an emissions assessment, and cap aggregate emissions. Two different controls are needed, and the setbacks address only one. A per-unit standard bounds each generator's emission rate: the draft permits a generator "classified as, or similar to, a Tier IV diesel generator" (§4.2.69.E and parallels), and "or similar to" is undefined and unenforceable at inspection; KRC §5.J(2) fixes the wording — "minimum Tier IV (or the highest EPA standard available at time of application)." Adopt that, and adopt the facility emissions assessment in KRC §6(10). The large stack setbacks adopted under KRC §5.A(3) — 1,000 feet from any property line, 2,000 feet from any sensitive use — are a dispersion control: distance dilutes the plume before it reaches a receptor, lowering concentration at the boundary. They do not reduce the total mass of pollutant emitted, and dispersion is not reduction. The mass still enters the airshed, and the contributions of multiple facilities add regardless of how far each stack sits from its own boundary. Aggregate mass is therefore the correct metric for the emissions problem, and neither per-unit Tier IV compliance nor setback distance bounds it. I recommend, beyond both drafts, a cap on aggregate backup-generator emissions — tons per year of NO_x and PM summed across all units at the site — and, consistent with the cumulative electrical-demand cap in Section 3, a ceiling on aggregate data-center emissions within an industrial district. To show why per-unit compliance is not sufficient, with a traceable illustrative calculation: 40 generators × 2,500 kW each = 100,000 kW aggregate generating capacity × 0.67 g/kWh (illustrative Tier IV final NO_x rate; the actual value is fixed by the engine certification and air permit) = 67,000 g/hr aggregate NO_x rate with all units running × 50 hours/year of testing and outage runtime (the draft permits weekly testing) = 3,350,000 g/year, or roughly 3.35 metric tons/year of NO_x Every unit in that example meets the Tier IV rate, and every stack can meet the 2,000-foot setback, yet the site emits roughly 3.35 tons of NO_x per year — and a second identical facility in the same district doubles the airshed

			loading to about 6.7 tons per year. The setback changes where that mass deposits; it does not change the tonnage. Only an aggregate cap bounds the quantity that drives cumulative airshed impact. The inputs are illustrative and should be replaced with the project's generator count, rating, certified emission rate, and permitted runtime; the structure — unit count, times rating, times certified rate, times annual hours — is the calculation. 9. Adopt the KRC noise and vibration section in full. The draft's single 65 dBA boundary limit (§4.2.69.G and parallels) is dBA-only, has no nighttime reduction, and makes enclosure advisory ("should"). A-weighting rolls off precisely the low-frequency content that generators and cooling towers produce. KRC §5.H is the drafted, comprehensive fix and resolves every noise concern at once: a dual dBA/DBC metric (KRC §2(8)); limits of 40 dBA / 60 dBC at night (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.) and 45 dBA / 65 dBC by day at the perimeter (KRC §5.H(2)); explicit vibration and infrasound limits referenced to ISO 2631 and ANSI S2.71 (KRC §5.H(2)(a)); a Noise Assessment, Monitoring, and Mitigation plan and an acoustical study by a licensed acoustical engineer, with nighttime testing and full frequency spectra (KRC §5.H(5), §6(8)); post-construction compliance testing within six months; and suspension or revocation of the CUP on exceedance after notice and an opportunity to cure (KRC §5.H(5)(i)). I recommend adopting KRC §5.H substantially in full. 10. Make closed-loop cooling mandatory, control discharge, and prohibit AFFF. The draft only "encourages" closed-loop cooling (§4.2.69.I) and does not address discharge chemistry. KRC §5.K(1) makes closed-loop mandatory for liquid-cooled equipment; KRC §5.K(3) permits discharge only after treatment and in conformity with water-quality standards; and KRC §5.L requires a Water Management Plan covering demand, source certification, best-available water-efficiency technology, applicant-paid improvements, and discharge minimization. Adopt these. Beyond both drafts: add an explicit prohibition on AFFF (aqueous film-forming foam) fire suppression, which neither the draft nor the KRC model bars and which is a permanent PFAS contamination pathway. 11. Require a one-mile property-value appraisal. I recommend adopting KRC §6(3): an appraisal of the change in property values and land use for owners within one mile of the facility, submitted with the application. This adopts the KRC model's one-mile radius, which is wider and better supported than tying the assessment to the ordinance's own separation distance. 12. Add employment and transparency provisions the KRC model does not contain. The KRC model has no labor or tenant-disclosure provisions, so these are additions to both drafts. On employment: the draft's labor provisions (§4.2.69.V and parallels) apply only to construction, and the "good faith

			effort" to perform 30% of workforce hours with local residents is aspirational by its own wording; I recommend requiring disclosure of projected permanent on-site headcount and giving the local-hire provision a measurable, enforceable standard. Tax-incentive cost-per-job comparisons belong to the separate incentive decision, not this ordinance. On transparency: the draft's ownership disclosure (§4.2.69.T) exempts beneficial interest held as stock in SEC-registered corporations, and only the single "primary" user is named (§4.2.69.U), so the actual end user can remain undisclosed; I recommend extending disclosure to all major tenants, narrowing the public-company exemption, and barring any non-disclosure agreement between an applicant and Metro officials that would limit public disclosure of project scale, resource demand, or impacts. 13. Give the operating standards post-approval enforcement. The draft's registration lets the Director deny a non-compliant application but is silent on violations after a facility is built. Adopt the enforcement mechanisms already in the KRC model: testing at any time with suspension or revocation of the CUP on exceedance after an opportunity to cure (KRC §5.H(5)(i)), and the Commission's authority to impose and enforce conditions on noise, hours of operation, buffering, setbacks, and infrastructure upgrades (KRC §7(3)). This backs the operating standards with consequences rather than relying on entry review alone. Summary. I recommend the Commission use the KRC Model Data Center Siting Ordinance, Version 1.4, as the drafting basis for the provisions above — specifically adopting KRC §§3, 4, 5.A, 5.H, 5.I, 5.J, 5.K, 5.L, 6, and 7 — and going beyond the KRC model in the areas it does not reach: a cumulative-MW demand cap and an aggregate emissions cap within a district, an AFFF prohibition, stranded-infrastructure cost coverage and a minimum operating term, permanent-employment disclosure, an explicit cost-causation allocation in the utility service agreement, all-tenant disclosure with a narrowed public-company exemption and an NDA bar, and curtailment priority ahead of residential customers. Thank you for the opportunity to comment, and for the work reflected in the draft. I ask that these recommendations be included in the record provided to the Planning Commission and Metro Council.
1228	Zubric	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water.

			The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1229	Smith	47130	I would prefer no data centers near my community. The amount of space needed to accommodate it could be better spent on other businesses that would help enrich the area we live in.
1230	Habeeb	40207	I do not believe the proposed regulations as they stand are firm or detailed enough to prevent waste, environmental damage, overconsumption of resources, and harm to Louisville's citizens which a data center can so easily cause. I am in favor of adopting the revisions proposed by the Kentucky Resources Council to strengthen these regulations and protect our residents more fully.
1231	hall	40299	I DO NOT WANT THIS IN MY COMMUNITY
1232	Boone	40218	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: Please preserve our City and County for all of our children and grandchildren. NO NEW Data Centers. At the very leader, a data center must be specifically regulated to pay for the costs it incurs, doesn't destroy the land, air, water and increase health issues. I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft

			doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1233	Omer	40214	Why take our natural resources from us? Our cost of living is so high already. What are our guarantees? Let's put us first the common everyday people. #GETITTOGETHER
1234	Bohr	40118	Any and all items in this proposal should be implemented immediately, not saved for future projects. That does not serve the public properly. The focus should be on constituents, not business interests. Also, if we outline zoning regulations why should there be any need for exceptions that require public hearing? If the regulations are outlined, that should be it. Louisvillians have been extremely outspoken about what they want, why should they be expected to continually show up to argue the same points over and over? No exceptions. If the regulations that are already plenty generous aren't enough for whatever business wants to build here, then they should go somewhere else. There's a reason they keep knocking only to be sent somewhere else and none of those reasons are reason enough to compromise the health, safety, and dignity of our city and the people in it.
1235	Morgenthaler	40206	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission:

			I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding.
1236	Daugherty	40206	Have they worked on coming up other ways to do this , research ideas of small, more efficient ways to do these centers ? Spend some millions on some way not to make the noise, the water consumption, the power expenses. Let's just stop and try going back to the drawing board and keep trying to do better
1237	Zimmerman	40213	Data Centers are anti-human and should not be built anywhere near Louisville. They pollute the air, drive up utility bills, deplete our water, and are noise pollutants. The AI companies that these data centers are being built for won't exist in 5 years.
1238	Peoples	40291	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a

			voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1239	Ertz	40217	The draft regulations do not go far enough to address sound, air, and water pollution. Data centers specifically used for giant AI companies should NOT get tax breaks from state legislature. I would like to see a moratorium on all new construction and tough regulations that protect workers, the environment, and our communities.
1240	Bennett	40204	For a variety of reasons, I oppose the construction of data centers...period. Other wise, the proposed zoning regulations read like a good start, but don't go far enough, and who seriously believes they would be adhered to and transparency would exist if enough\$\$\$\$\$ were to be flashed in front of their eyes?
1241	Conover	40214	I believe that data centers need to be regulated if not entirely ceased from being produced. The amount of water they use and pollute (making it undrinkable for humans and animals) should be enough cause for concern, but there is also the issue of noise and chemical pollution which is proven to have long term effects on people's health and wellbeing. I fully support blocking and fully ceasing the production of data centers in Kentucky and the country as a whole. The irreversible effects they have on our wellbeing on this earth is too much to just ignore. We should think about humanity first.
1242	Gleason	40208	We DO NOT want this in our area. Please listen to your constituents!!!
1243	Hutchins	40220	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public

			hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1244	Burnett	40215	I bet the city didn't even consider building this in the primarily white community.
1245	Bennett	40228	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1246	Andres	40229	The potential for downsides that DIRECTLY impact Louisville's great people far, FAR outweighs any potential and minuscule upside. We did not vote as a population on this, we have had no say in its construction, despite the people making the decisions pushing forwards anyways. The noise. The increased in strain on electrical grids. The increase in pollution from added power plants to FEED the data center. All of it is absolutely appalling to me as someone that has lived here their entire life. I please, URGE the planning commission to change the language to prevent data centers of this size.
1247	Murphy	40214	Reasons why locals reject this proposition: -Localized noise/light pollution in residential areas after hours. -Strain on aquifers and natural habitats -Degrading air quality by producing unnatural amounts of nitrogen oxides -Use of electricity severely outpaces renewable energy -Disrupts flight paths of nocturnal animals -Excessive and harmful waste water.
1248	Whitehouse	40217	DO NOT BUILD THESE HERE!!!! OR ANYWHERE FOR THAT MATTER!!!! They pollute and waste fresh water, increasing customers' bills and creating scarcity. They harm local wildlife. They generate heat and we are already a heat island. They are loud. They are unsightly. They do not benefit the average citizen in any way, only the technocrat owner class. We do NOT need to be going all in on these horrible structures. AI is NOT the future, It is a cancer on society and we will die from it if it continues to spread unchecked as it has been. Though I'm sure this is already a done deal and these "public forums" are just for show.
1249	Kamar	40204	No data centers in Kentucky.
1250	Sullivan	40214	They are wasteful entities that do nothing but consume resources and offer nothing of value in return. Data centers don't help communities, they harm them. The fact that the local government is even giving data centers a

			chance makes me think there is something else happening behind the scenes to force this. No member of the community asked for this and yet, they keep putting pushed down our throats. Why is that?
1251	Bohr	40118	Yeah how about we don't fuck up our environment with MORE data centers that your forcing upon us despite our votes against it. Violating our constitutional rights
1252	Roe	40206	I am opposed to having data centers built in Louisville. The environmental costs are too great for only a short time of increased job availability during the construction phase of the projects. This city and its people will only be hurt by these projects in the long term. I liken it to the coal companies in the Kentucky mountains who abused the land and exploited its people to extract resources and then abandoned the areas. The companies where enriched, but the people and the land were left behind and are now struggling to rebuild and restore their land and communities. Data centers have the potential to do just that here in Louisville. With regard to this attempt at making amendments to the Data Center Land Development Code, I hope our city looks to strengthen regulations in order to protect the people of this city and our public lands and resources. We should NOT be concerned with strengthening the outcomes for private entities who claim the illusion of public benefit. My initial thoughts to the proposed amendments are as follows: The prohibition of data centers over 500,000 sq. ft. and teired regulation based on size is not needed. Instead, ALL data centers, regardless of size, should undergo the same level of scrutiny and regulation. The public should be notified of all data center plans, including those with smaller square footage, and all data center applications should be treated with the same level of scrutiny and regulation before registration approval. The term "Data Center Accessory" is too vague and creates an opportunity for loopholes in regulation. Any language used that is too vague will create this problem. Regulations and standards should be clearly defined and applied to all data centers, regardless of the "type" of data center proposed. The performance standards should be greatly strengthened and clearly defined. This is especially needed in regards to the level of impact and nuisance to surrounding neighbors, the impact of the use of utilities and any increase in utility costs, and the impact on the environment. The company should follow strict regulations in order to protect our air, water sources, and local wildlife habitats. Any increase in utility costs should be absorbed by the owners of the data centers. This current draft of amendments is insufficient and needs further review and revision to strengthen the review process and information submitted for data center applications. It also needs clearer regulation and performance standards required for data center construction and operations.
1253	Blair	40204	I agree with all the statements that are the concerns of Louisville citizens about Data centers.

1254	Bunnell	40272	I DO NOT WANT THIS CRAP ANYWHERE NEAR MY HOME. I CAN'T AFFORD TO PAY THE LGE NOW AS IT IS. THEY ARE EYESORES AND A COMPLETE WASTE OF GRASS SPACE. I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS AT ALL.
1255	Andrews	40165	I support each of the 11 positions but would like the first one to be changed. Instead of 500,000 square feet I would like to see five of the zeros removed. I think 5 square feet is more than adequate for finding that serves no useful purpose for society and increase utilities, polute the environment and help enable creepy businesses to spy on and monitor hard working Americans.
1256	Schmitt	40205	I do not want a data center in my community. Data centers are using fresh water and killing the planet. I want my kids and grandkids to experience the earth in a good way, not while it's decaying away. Data centers are killing our planet and all of the living organisms on it, just so people can use AI... we do not need it.
1257	Johnstone	40213	I am concerned that the regulations concerning data centers are not precise, clear (NOT deceptive, like square footage vs energy usage), and enforceable. I would like oversight that involves energy and environmental specialists as well as developers and the citizens who are impacted, especially those in the area of the center. Information gathering and sharing sessions so everyone understands what is under consideration. I am ALWAYS concerned when centers are placed in already blighted and/or toxic neighborhoods like Rubbertown and would NEVER be places in the neighborhoods where the promoters actually live. I am far from an expert but I know to be suspect when this happens again and again.
1258	Mahan	40218	Ban data centers until proper regulation is introduced or show they can be an environmental benefit similar to solar and renewable energy
1259	MacKinder	40206	I do not approve of any data centers entering our community. These monstrous operations are dangerous for the environment and these companies are already filing bankruptcy. Do not allow them to come into our community and destroy our environment and affect our municipalities financially as well as make citizens who are already struggling pay more in utilities. Everything about these data centers are harmful.
1260	Woods	40219	NO TO ANY DATA CENTERS! They strip our resources & in the end will leave us worse off.
1261	Souza	40222	Building more Data Centers is a guaranteed way to destroy any way to live comfortably. Limiting clean water for the people and displacing neighborhoods is not worth the creating of Generative AI products.
1262	Berry	40272	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny.

			Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
1263	Vandever	40203	Metro Planning Commission, I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, etc 4. Consider the property values and neighborhood qualities. The health, wellness, and safety in Louisville neighborhoods continues to be a challenge. Why would we continue bring potentially hazardous materials into the air, when there is already an excess of air pollution infecting the city. It is vital to consider the wants and needs of Jefferson County residents, generations to come, not the wealth of a few in the present. Do you want your grandchildren to breathe clean air and swim in clean water? Do you want us to live in a world we have to ration water resources? These are not extreme realities, they will be inevitable if data centers are allowed to drain resources in excess. Thank you, A lifelong Louisville resident, Certified Kentucky Assessor, and concerned neighbor
1264	Sprawls	40213	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly.

			2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1265	Cooper	40206	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes.

			6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1266	morris	40258	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
1267	Lindsay	40207	Data centers have a negative impact on the environment and they are incredibly stressful for wildlife and any outdoor animals. Not only do data centers destroy the land and trees but the noise from the data centers can cause disorientation in animals and disrupt their ability to thrive. These centers and the noise also causes stress for humans who are in proximity of the noise. Data centers will also destroy the environment and reduce our water to where the future of our children, our grandchildren, and future generations will suffer. I am exhausted by humans being so selfish. I am exhausted from observing humans destroy our planet. I am exhausted from watching animals suffer at the hand of selfish humans. I am exhausted by humans choosing money and power over considering how it will negatively affect others. And not only am I exhausted by all of the above but I am angry and heartbroken. I vote. And I will not vote for anyone who supports destroying others and our planet. I do not support data centers and their destruction.
1268	McWilliams	40222	I am a lifelong Louisville resident, and the expansion of data centers in my community lacks all ethical considerations; not only the environment will be poisoned, but the minds and bodies of the current and future generations who would be unfortunate enough to live around this centers will suffer greatly as well. The people of this community refuse to allow this data center to be built, or any more like it.

1269	Rose	40214	I'm a Louisville-native and current resident who is very concerned about the explosion of data centers across our country. After reviewing the ordinance language, this is what needs to be included in the LMG ordinance: 1. Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. Instead of having different standards and different review processes for the different sized data centers, all data centers should go through the same rigorous review process regardless of size. I do agree that no data center exceeding 500,000 square feet should be allowed in our city. I would favor that no data centers be allowed in our city. 2. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. In all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the planning commission. This is the ONLY way to ensure that ALL public concerns are heard and valued in this process. 3. Revise definitions to broaden protected "sensitive uses" and express "noise level" more broadly. Eliminate definitions of "prevailing wage," "construction work," and "data center accessory." The Kentucky Resource Council Model Data Center Ordinance has more details on why these changes must be made and provides model definitions for these terms. 4. Eliminate the category "data center, accessory." This term is subject to far less rigorous standards and could create a loophole in the regulations of data centers. 5. Eliminate separate category for telecommunications data center. All data centers should be regulated the same. 6. Cooling and water restrictions should be strengthened. The use of closed-loop cooling systems should be required rather than only encouraged. 7. Strengthen the following performance standards for data centers: a) Low Impact Site Design list should remain mandatory b) Energy efficiency list should remain mandatory c) Emergency contact signage should remain mandatory d) Generator standards should narrow the testing window to weekdays from noon-5 p.m. e) A decommissioning plan and financial assurance is needed. These plans should be prepared by an engineer with cost estimates and salvage valuations, and backed by a bond or letter of credit. Without this requirement, the abandonment risk falls on the public and nearby landowners. f) Strengthened noise protections. The noise standard of 65 dBA is not enough. There should be nighttime limits of 40 dBA and 45 dBA during the day. There should be a C-weighted (dBC) limit addressing the low-frequency, cooling-fan hum that generated most data center complaints. There should be continuous real-time monitoring and compliance testing. g) Enforceable waste heat standards are needed. h) Strengthen environmental siting prohibitions so that development should not occur on slopes over 20% karst-affected terrain, in floodplains, wetlands (with a 50-ft buffer), on prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance, or where threatened or endangered species or critical habitat would be affected. i) Address on-site power generation. LMG regulates only generators used as an emergency backup system. Continuous generator use should be prohibited.

			j) Water protections must be strengthened. Closed-loop cooling should be mandated, not simply encouraged. A water and waste water plan must be required and projected with daily/peak demand disclosure. "Will serve letters" from public utilities should confirm not just capacity but address pre-treatment requirements and impacts on existing customers' water availability, quality, and rates. k) We must have transparency. The sworn ownership disclosure and disclosure of the primary user of the facility must be retained from the LMG draft. 8. An independent expert review should be commissioned at the applicant expense. Provision should be made for the Planning Commission to retain a consultant to assist the commission review of the application.
1270	Knight	40216	LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! This is our chance to protect our community's future. The official window for public comments closes tomorrow on the new Land Development Code rules for data centers. If we stay silent, tech giants will move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water. The decisions made now will shape the environmental health of Louisville for decades to come. Please share this post with every local group, neighbor, and family member you know. We need to act like our children and grandchildren's future and health depend on it because the air they breathe and the water they drink tomorrow depend entirely on the rules we fight for today. Our Overview of the Proposed Amendments and the official Proposed Amendments text are in the comments. We've posted both resources directly in the comments section below so you can see exactly what's at stake. SUBMIT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IN LESS THAN 60 SECONDS STEP 1: Open the official form https://louisvillemetropds.wufoo.com/forms/z4jom4r08nn0zi/ STEP To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly.

			2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1271	Minnick	40204	No one wants these! Between the water and electricity used, the environmental impact will be ENORMOUS. The noise pollution will be enormous. The placement of this center is flat out racism and classism. NO DATA CENTERS!
1272	Sprawls	40205	Louisville Metro Government should VERY carefully scrutinize the process of data center construction and operation in our town. My ideas / recommendations are as follows: 1. Eliminate the tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and requirements SHOULD NOT apply for all tiers of data centers. 2. Strengthen performance standards for data centers. Energy efficiency, low impact site design, cooling and water restrictions, utility cost protection, and noise protections should all be mandatory, non-negotiable requirements. 3. Transparency regarding standards for review and approval. Louisville Metro Government needs to specify standards for approval or denial of a data center. 4. Independent expert review should be commissioned at applicant expense. The planning commission should retain a consultant to assist in reviewing the application for permitting and then make the study available for public review prior to further public comment/hearing. Myself, my family, and all my neighbors are watching how this process unfolds. We hope Louisville Metro Government can be trusted to put the interests of our community first. Thank you.

1273	Allen	40208	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The LMG text amendment takes a size-tiered approach, prohibiting data centers exceeding 500,000 square feet, requiring a conditional use permit for facilities between 250,001 and 500,000 square feet and treating smaller facilities as permitted uses with special standards in various industrial districts, subject only to registration with the Office of Planning and review by the Planning Director or designee. With few exceptions, the LMG text amendment proposes the same standards and requirements for all tiers of data centers. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use.
1274	Andrews	40165	This would be detrimental to our area, reducing already scare resources. Considering reducing the size or location.
1275	Eckel	40214	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1276	Evans	40204	This is the most insane thing ever, DO NOT PUT A DATA CENTER IN MY STATE.

1277	Long	47130	I am concerned for the state of Kentucky if we continue introducing data centers in the state. Due to the immense amount of energy and water need to power the plant, it is not something I want in our community. I think Kentucky deserves better than a state full of expensive factories that do not benefit the public.
1278	Dempsey	40241	I'm against the implement of systems that will tax the electrical grid and water sources for this purpose. Data centers have a negative impact on the environment and they are incredibly stressful for wildlife and any outdoor animals. Not only do data centers destroy the land and trees but the noise from the date centers can cause disorientation in animals and disrupt their ability to thrive. These centers and the noise also causes stress for humans who are in proximity of the noise. Data centers will also destroy the environment and reduce our water to where the future of our children, our grandchildren, and future generations will suffer. I am exhausted by humans being so selfish. I am exhausted from observing humans destroy our planet. I am exhausted from watching animals suffer at the hand of selfish humans. I am exhausted by humans choosing money and power over considering how it will negatively affect others. And not only am I exhausted by all of the above but I am angry and heartbroken. I vote. And I will not vote for anyone who supports destroying others and our planet. I do not support data centers and their destruction.
1279	Zuber	40204	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1280	Bowman	40205	Metro Louisville needs no further data centers of any size. The noise and water pollution inherent to these facilities does not justify any marginal financial benefits that developers promise. Our location on a river makes the area prime pickings for these facilities but that does not make them right for our community. The negative environmental and human damage these facilities will cause long-term does not merit their location in our backyards. Be bold and join other municipalities who say "No Thanks" to data center development.
1281	Smith	40217	Data centers are a threat to public health and the local ecosystem due to increased heat production, air pollution, noise pollution, light pollution, excessive water use, and habitat destruction. The public has made its wishes known: data centers are not welcome in Louisville, or Kentucky, or wherever they will cause harm.
1282	Singer	40208	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. More importantly I would like to see a complete BAN on any data center development- the revisions above are the bare minimum
1283	Kron	40207	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding.

			4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1284	M.	40203	ALL hyperscale data centers should be banned, no exceptions. Power grid officials are already saying our power bills WILL increase and some of us might not even HAVE power in 2027. And all of this for... what exactly? Tools of mass surveillance? Generative AI that helped no one? WE ARE DESTROYING OUR WORLD FOR NO REASON. We could have anything we want but we let these people walk all over us. Enough is enough. NO DATA CENTERS, NOT HERE, NOT ANYWHERE.
1285	Williams	40245	No data centers in Louisville!!
1286	osborne	40228	we want NO data centers in our WHOLE state!!
1287	Morani	40222	Data centers need to regulated heavily at a local level. Environmental impact must be understood and regulated. Where/How will water come from, be used and returned the water system? Where/How will the energy come from and be paid for the data center use? The data center should not impact the energy rates negatively for the citizens. There needs to be noise and light local rules in place so that the data center must stay within these limits. The data center should also complete environmental monitoring as well as the local community or state to ensure environmental impacts are understood.
1288	Read	40272	I am vehemently OPPOSED to a Data Center anywhere near my home or in any metro Location.
1289	Jones	40118	I do not want any new data centers in Louisville or surrounding citys
1290	Hallahan	40214	No Data centers!
1291	Day	40291	The 500,000 square is way too high. I know that a 100,000 sqft building is already the size of two football fields and I think that number is also too high. Sections 4.3.35 K and L to not mention anything about pollution just that the infrastructure can support it. I also don't see anything about what happens if a data center meets these requirements, builds the center, then ignores them going forward. Are there inspections or anything being done to keep them in check? The only real difference for the larger data centers outlined in 4.3.36 are that they need more land buffer

			between them and their neighbors? The top concern is the environmental impact and all they need to do is buy more land to make it useless? These buildings are not going to provide jobs outside of security monitoring and will provide nothing to our community. They will be full of computer servers that will drive up electrical costs over time and have a detrimental impact on our local environment. They shouldn't be treated like warehouses.
1292	Lesko	40213	The need for data centers in urban areas is non existent.
1293	Peskoe	40219	As a resident of Louisville, I am asking the Office of Planning to strengthen the ordinance on data centers, Case Number 26-LDC-0014, before adoption. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that they be required to use alternative cooling methods, water is not the only way to cool such a large facility, it is just the cheapest. I believe that companies and our government should care more about the wellbeing of the people and environment around the data centers than for their bottom line. By using an alternative cooling method water is conserved and water quality is maintained. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Please protect you constituents and the flora and fauna in our community by requiring stricter regulations around data centers. It is my opinion that data centers should not be allowed to be built within the county limits, but at a minimum I strongly encourage the city to enact much stronger regulations on the construction of data centers.
1294	F	40207	As a ISA certified arborist, my mission is a sustainable environment. My ideas and comments for data centers is to not have them. Humans living in these communities will suffer physically and finically. The environment and the animals that depend on the land proposed, will suffer the most. No data centers.
1295	Schulz	40222	Strengthen performance standards for data centers. The following standards of performance for data centers need to be strengthened substantially to protect against off-site impacts and nuisance conditions: • Low Impact Site Design. LMG's four-item list (minimize land disturbance, maximize tree preservation, minimize impervious surfaces, and minimize nuisance impacts) should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Energy Efficiency. LMG's eight-item list (passive cooling and daylight orientation, alternative energy sources, energy storage for 4 off-peak usage, reclaimed water for cooling, limiting finite resources, water-efficient landscaping, LED lighting, and DOE Better Buildings and LEED practices) should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Emergency Contact Signage. 24-hour contact signage at each access entrance, including company name, operator representative's name and telephone number, and the power company's number, should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Generator standards. The LMG proposal for generator standards should narrow the testing window to weekdays, from noon–5 PM. • Cooling and water restrictions. The use of closed-loop cooling systems should be required rather than merely encouraged. • Decommissioning plan and financial assurance. A plan for decommissioning the data center, prepared by an engineer, with cost estimates and salvage valuation, and backed by a bond or letter of credit is needed. Without a requirement for a decommissioning plan, the abandonment risk falls on the public and

			particularly on nearby landowners. • Meaningful noise protections. LMG’s proposed single noise standard of 65 dBA at all hours is inadequate to protect off-site land users against the full range of anticipated noise impacts. Standards to protect off-site neighbors must include: - o Nighttime limits of 40 dBA night/45 dBA day - o A C-weighted (dBC) limit addressing the low-frequency, cooling-fan hum that generates most data center complaints and that only using dBA measurement understates. - o Vibration and infrasound control standards (ISO 2631/ANSI S2.71) - o A baseline ambient noise assessment - o A pre-construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer - o Continuous real-time monitoring - o Post-construction compliance testing • Enforceable waste heat standard. LMG’s ordinance should contain measurable and enforceable standards to prohibit any ambient temperature increase at the property line and require shielding and dispersal devices. 5 • Design, lighting, and fencing standards. Specific lighting and fencing standards should be adopted, including a 0.1 foot-candle maximum at the property line, 20-foot luminaire height limit, lighting curfews and dimming, façade articulation and at least 30% fenestration, loading dock orientation, ground-equipment placement restrictions, fencing material limits (no chain-link or razor wire), 80% year-round opacity screening, and berms. • Utility cost protection. Written verification from providers of utility services should include assurance that serving the data center will not have current or future adverse effects on other customers, including service availability, reliability, quality, cost, and safety. Applicant-funded upgrades should be required to be in place before operation. The LMG requirement for a transmission study request should not let applicants substitute a self-prepared “consumption model” for the transmission study. • Nuisance-avoidance standard should be adopted. A general nuisance-avoidance standard should be adopted to provide backup for the individual standards required of a facility. • Setbacks must be strengthened to protect sensitive uses and to protect all uses of adjoining lands, not merely existing uses. LMG requires only a setback of 25/100/200 feet (depending on the tier) from residentially zoned property and structure-tostructure separations of 25/100/200 feet from dwellings, childcare centers, and schools. Setbacks should be significantly strengthened to require 500 feet from all perimeter property lines, 1,500 feet from any Sensitive Use or any Residential-, Commercial-, or Agricultural-district boundary, and 1,000 feet to 2,000 feet for any emission point or exhaust stack. LMG’s separations protect only structures existing at the time of approval, and its protected-use list omits many Sensitive Uses: nursing and long-term care facilities, hospitals, places of worship, parks, community centers, and agricultural operations. Reliance only on setbacks from existing features or structures on other properties, and limiting property line setbacks to residentially zoned properties, fails to fully protect the range of uses for adjoining properties and effects an involuntary restriction on land uses of adjoining lands. 6 • Strengthen Environmental Siting Prohibitions. Development should not occur on slopes over 20%, karst-affected terrain, in floodplains, wetlands (and a 50-foot buffer), on prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance, or where threatened or endangered species or critical habitat would be affected. LMG does not prohibit placing buildings, generators, and substations on portions of a site mapped as environmental constraints under LDC 4.6.2.A, but instead, it merely imposes more strict development and mitigation requirements. • Addressing on-site and primary power generation. LMG regulates only generators “used as part of an energy backup system” and is silent on behind-the-meter primary generation (gas turbines, co-located plants). Continuous generator use should be prohibited. Primary, on-site generation should not be allowed under a “data center” zoning or CUP, and instead should be considered as a separate use, requiring its own

			zoning approval. LMG should also require emissions profiles and air permit applications, and consider diesel fuel delivery timing, secondary containment, and NFPA 855 compliance for battery storage. • Water protections should be strengthened. Closed-loop cooling should be mandated and not just “encouraged.” A Water and Wastewater Management Plan should be required with projected daily/peak demand disclosure. Siting within a wellhead protection area should be prohibited. LMG should include a best-available technology water efficiency requirement, plus cooling discharge and pretreatment provisions. “Will Serve letters” from public utilities should confirm not just capacity, but also address pretreatment requirements and impacts on existing customers’ water availability, quality, and rates.
1296	Gayton	40291	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn’t sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional “shoulds.” Change all “should” language to “shall” to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn’t have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1297	Hall	40272	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft

			doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1298	Keen	40272	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding.

			4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1299	Ernst	40205	Agree with all of the KRC recommendations! Data centers are unique and present particular concerns and should have their own zoning requirements etc.
1300	Bell	40211	No Data Center in Louisville!! Move it outside of the city.
1301	Matthews	40214	The people of Louisville do not support the building of a data center within our city. Not only will this have negative effects on our neighborhoods, but the choice to build in the west end further confirms the environmental racism present within our city. Let the people keep their water clean, stop destroying the little remaining nature we have in the city
1302	Gehl	40213	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can

			bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. 8. Require the data center to pay its own bills with state/county/federal residents to not pay a dime for building or maintaining the data center. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1303	dean	40210	No Mega Data Center should be permitted in no part of town When we know they are not environmentally. Friendly and the negative affects they will have on the Health of the people Let's Not Put Money over Our Life
1304	Cox	40207	I am opposed to data centers. They have no place in an urban environment. Too much use of resources. Too noisy. Too polluting
1305	Carrillo	49130	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps.

			7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval.
1306	Smith	5E+09	Im not interested in having ANY data centers built in Kentucky let alone any state in our country!!!! KEEP DATA CENTERS OUT
1307	sedgwick	40205	VOTE NO to the data center, or to any such data center in the future. I challenge anyone on either side to find a positive news story on this issue from other areas of the country. Every story is negative and fully covers the issues of environmental pollution, noise pollution, increased taxes, wasted tax breaks, lack of cooperation and lack of transparency. I have not see a single positive news story about one of these being built. Please vote NO.
1308	Macias	40202	Stop building these data centers. There needs to be more regulations.
1309	Roe	40206	Greetings, I'm calling upon our leaders to protect people instead of profits. I am opposed to having data centers built in Louisville. The environmental costs are too great for only a short time of increased job availability during the construction phase of the projects. This city and its people will only be hurt by these projects in the long term. I liken it to the coal companies in the Kentucky mountains who abused the land and exploited its people to extract resources and then abandoned the areas. The companies were enriched, but the people and the land were left behind and are now struggling to rebuild and restore their land and communities. Data centers have the potential to do just that here in Louisville. With regard to this attempt at making amendments to the Data Center Land Development Code, I hope our city looks to strengthen regulations in order to protect the people of this city and our public lands and resources. We should NOT be concerned with strengthening the outcomes for private entities who claim the illusion of public benefit. My initial thoughts to the proposed amendments are as follows: The prohibition of data centers over 500,000 sq. ft. and teired regulation based on size is not needed. Instead, ALL data centers, regardless of size, should undergo the same level of scrutiny and regulation. The public should be notified of all data center plans, including those with smaller square footage, and all data center applications should be treated with the same level of scrutiny and regulation before registration approval. The term "Data Center Accessory" is too vague and creates an opportunity for loopholes in regulation. Any language used that is too vague will create this problem. Regulations and standards should be clearly defined and applied to all data centers, regardless of the "type" of data center proposed. The performance standards should be greatly strengthened and clearly defined. This is especially needed in

			regards to the level of impact and nuisance to surrounding neighbors, the impact of the use of utilities and any increase in utility costs, and the impact on the environment. The company should follow strict regulations in order to protect our air, water sources, and local wildlife habitats. Any increase in utility costs should be absorbed by the owners of the data centers. This current draft of amendments is insufficient and needs further review and revision to strengthen the review process and information submitted for data center applications. It also needs clearer regulation and performance standards required for data center construction and operations. Thank you for reading these important considerations for our communities. Please do not ignore the voice of the people.
1310	Zimmerman	40206	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.

1311	Boone	40218	NO DATA CENTERS should be built in or around Louisville KY!! They are too much of a drain on electric and water resources!!
1312	Sprawls	40213	Please implement the recommendations of KRA attached here: https://kyrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf
1313	shaffer	40258	data center are affecting my generation and the next generations to come if we dont put a stop now, it wont ever stop
1314	Richardson	40207	Louisville’s fight over data centers is not a niche planning dispute—it’s a referendum on whether West Louisville and working-class ratepayers will be treated as expendable fuel for the AI economy’s hunger for power, water, and data. A city becoming a power plant for machines Jefferson County’s first hyperscale data center, approved for southwest Louisville and framed in the code as a “telecommunications hotel,” is expected to draw roughly 400 megawatts of electricity—about what 400,000 homes use in a month, and comparable to the output of an entire power plant. Louisville Gas and Electric has already pushed through rate hikes, with company representatives acknowledging that the demands of hyperscale data centers are part of the justification, meaning families and small businesses will help finance the infrastructure for corporate compute they will never control. Researchers and local health advocates warn that this surge in electricity use will translate into more fossil generation and more pollution in places like Rubbertown, where industrial emissions are already linked to respiratory, cardiovascular, and neurological disease, as well as cancer and developmental problems. In that context, building a massive new electricity-hungry AI facility next to communities already burdened by bad air is not “innovation”—it’s environmental injustice on a grand scale. Water, noise, and a neighborhood that never gets to sleep Average large data centers can use millions of liters of water a day to keep thousands of servers from overheating, and the Louisville project sits directly on top of major power and water infrastructure for that reason. Residents rightly ask why a West End community that has spent decades living beside heavy industry should now donate its water and peace and quiet to an AI warehouse, complete with backup diesel generators and a constant chorus of fans and cooling units. Public meetings have been filled with testimony about noise that “never stops” at similar sites, sleep disruption from light and sound, and the risk of fires or chemical releases if heat and equipment are not managed perfectly—risks that, if realized, won’t be borne by the developers or distant shareholders. When the city’s own Land Development Code still treats hyperscale data centers with outdated language that

			pre-dates modern AI infrastructure, it's no surprise residents fear the health, environmental, and utility impacts will be underestimated and under-regulated. Thin jobs, thick subsidies, and ratepayers on the hook. Defenders of the project tout 210 direct jobs, a few hundred indirect positions, and tens of millions in projected annual tax revenue, including an estimated \$45.8 million for Jefferson County Public Schools. But those numbers sit uncomfortably beside the sheer scale of the public resources on offer: hundreds of megawatts of electricity, heavy water use, land already zoned for industrial sacrifice, and the quiet expectation that LG&E and Louisville Water will absorb the costs of serving a machine city and pass them through to customers. Around Kentucky, utilities report as many as 30 data centers under discussion, drawn by cheap power and lax regulation, meaning Louisville's West End could be the pilot project for a statewide wave where promises of "jobs and tax base" become cover stories for ratepayer-funded subsidies. we are serious about economic development, we should be asking whether a lightly staffed, highly automated hyperscale facility is the best use of that land, grid capacity, and water, or whether we are locking ourselves into a future where our community does the heavy lifting for an industry that can leave whenever its spreadsheets change. Wiring surveillance capitalism through the West End! These Louisville data centers are being built at precisely the moment AI companies are racing to expand the infrastructure that underpins targeted advertising, behavioral profiling, and algorithmic decision-making in everything from credit scoring to policing. Locally, almost none of the public hearings have asked what it means for West Louisville to host the physical backbone of systems designed to monetize and manage people's lives, even as those same communities have long fought over-policing, environmental racism, and economic neglect. The servers that will hum along Camp Ground Road will store and process not just anonymous "data," but the raw material of a surveillance economy that treats our movements, health, and relationships as inputs to corporate models, with real-world consequences for who gets watched, denied, or targeted. To call this a "telecommunications hotel" is to hide the truth: we are being asked to host, and pay for, a critical node in a system that already erodes privacy and civil rights, with almost no democratic say over its design. Louisville can still say no—or say "not like this" The good news is that Louisville is not alone, and it is not powerless. Across the country, communities have

			delayed or blocked billions of dollars in data center projects, recalled officials, passed moratoriums, and forced companies to renegotiate or relocate. Here at home, Metro Government has released draft regulations and opened a public comment period, and neighbors have already packed meetings with yellow protest signs and detailed critiques of the city's readiness to handle the impacts. That's where the choice lies: Louisville can continue to let industry write the rules, or it can insist on binding protections for health and air quality near Rubbertown, strict limits on water use and noise, concrete safeguards for ratepayers, and a serious debate about whether we should be wiring surveillance capitalism through our most historically burdened neighborhoods. Saying "not like this" to data centers isn't anti-technology; it is a demand that the AI future, if we are going to build it, cannot be constructed on the backs of West Louisville families who have already paid more than their fair share. Please, please stop bending over for these billionaire companies over your citizens. It's already being provided that the cost to the community is high, the positive financial impact low, and the data used to justify the centers I'd very, very questionable.
1315	Reid	40211	Data centers are loud, impact local water supplies, local temperatures, and are a blight on any community they are built near.
1316	Hurley	40228	We need to look at the effects these data centers have had in other communities. The destruction of delicate ecosystems, the extreme power needs, water table depletion, noise pollution and now rare bacterial infections being circulated through and released into public water supply. I recently learned that although data centers are being advertised as necessary to process current data loads. But in reality, these data centers are being built only for a predicted need, and are not necessary to carry the current load of data usage. The citizens of Kentucky do not want these in our state. It is actually one of the few issues that get bipartisan support for a moratorium on data centers. Listen to your people, not the money. Listen to your people, not the tech oligarchs that are destroying our country. Listen to the people that have collected the facts about the toll these unnecessary data centers have on the communities they are in.
1317	Hummel	40204	I support a land development code to protect the public
1318	Gallagher	40241	Let's put a halt on data centers until we fully understand the environmental and people impact. I read that somewhere in Europe Figured a lot of this out with renewable energy. We really need to make plans as if we still have a future on this planet.
1319	Crawford	40204	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1320	Little	40258	I would like to hear about the waste heat regulation and which way that would be blown. We need no more data centers in Jefferson County. They don't need to use our electricity or water. They should not use our water at all. They should not be located less than 1000. The lights and noise should be 0. There should also be zero pollution.the data center should not be built on Camp Ground Rd. Due to no regulations and not be named something it is not. No incentives..
1321	Murphy	40216	These data centers should not be built period. All they are is a tool for the global elites to spy on citizens. Along with the harm to humans, animals, farms, water supply, land, (the ecosystem) and our infrastructure. You try the smoke and mirrors about job creation- 50 if that for the operation of data centers. Most of the 200 jobs will be from the construction. Tell you what, how about you build one on an island with a very active and pissed off volcano.
1322	Rivers	40212	Environmental, pollution , water and imposing on everyone's privacy is a huge problem it is not of the best interest of families and our health and future. It will be a tool to destroy a certain population and race. Use as a weapon of solitude destruction of environment and a class of people.
1323	Jones	40220	Having a "data center" so close to where people live and sleep is irresponsible. These individuals will be exposed to unnecessary radiation, chemicals and other contaminates. We do not need more Data Centers for our

			government to sell space to other countries. It's not even benefiting our city or country. NO MORE DATA CENTERS ESP NOT IN LOUISVILLE!
1324	Kleemeier	40204	These are bad and will poison our water and pollute our noise! WHAT ARE YOU THINKING?
1325	Bavaro	40299	I make my living through technology and AI and I know firsthand the dangers to the environment and the drain on utilities for hyperscale data centers. 1. Eliminate the tiered approach to data center regulation - SAME STANDARDS AS EVERYONE 2. Eliminate registration approvals without public scrutiny. We deserve to be aware of the developments in our area.
1326	Pagano	40205	I don't want any standalone data centers. Not the hyperscale, not the small and medium sized ones. A business that needs a data center should have it located within the confines of that business' core area of operation, like in their office building or within their industrial park. The data centers should also be adding economic and environmental value to the people & land of Louisville that exceeds what they extract from us. The only people who want data centers are getting financial kickbacks and are not prioritizing the needs of Louisvillians. They cannot use clean potable water, they cannot get tax breaks, they cannot generate profit for people out of county, they cannot use our land and labor. Data centers are not welcome here, and I have no faith that the Louisville government will act on behalf of the citizens.
1327	Eargood	40204	Absolutely not. Data centers provide no value to the community. Their only purpose is to further enrich those who have no regard for all the harm they cause.
1328	Dentinger	40205	The last thing we need in Kentucky is higher electric and water bills. If we are able to handle the need for this type of technology now, when why do we need more? What laws have been enacted to safeguard the public from intrusion on their privacy, noise pollution, loss of their homes because they are no longer able to afford the gas and electric? We shouldn't let the rich go hog-wild at the expense of normal folks.
1329	Griffin	40299	LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! This is our chance to protect our community's future. The official window for public comments closes tomorrow on the new Land Development Code rules for data centers. If we stay silent, tech giants will move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water. The decisions made now will shape the environmental health of Louisville for decades to come. Please share this post with every local group, neighbor, and family member you know. We need to act like our children and grandchildren's future and health depend on it because the air they breathe and the water they drink tomorrow depend entirely on the rules we fight for today. Our Overview of the Proposed Amendments and the official Proposed Amendments text are in the comments. We've posted both resources directly in the comments section below so you can see exactly what's at stake. SUBMIT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IN LESS THAN 60 SECONDS

			STEP 1: Open the official form https://louisvillemetropds.wufoo.com/forms/z4jom4r08nn0zi/ STEP 2: Copy and paste the community statement below Copy this text and paste it into the comment box on the form. Fill out your name, and hit submit! To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502

1330	Whestley	40206	We need a full stop on these data centers. If AI is all that it has promised (and so far all I see is AI slop art and an often wrong or half-assed browser search), it needs to solve its own problems before we can trust it. Until existing AI infrastructure can solve all of its power and water consumption issues while also preventing any noise, water, tech waste pollution, NOT ONE MORE OF THESE SHOULD BE BUILT.
1331	McQueen	40213	No, we do not want tech giants move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water.
1332	Ferreira	40217	I don't believe a data center is going to benefit anyone at all that is close by, and building one will make Louisville look like a city that simply does not care about it's residents, and I'd have to agree.
1333	Lorenz	40203	I think these regulations are a great step in the right direction. However, I do have a few concerns: -Closed loop water systems should be required, not suggested. One of the biggest drawbacks to data centers is that they both guzzle and pollute the freshwater that humans, wildlife, and ecosystems need to survive. No data center should be allowed to overuse or pollute such a critical resource. -What is to stop developers from taking advantage of this system by placing 2 or more data centers, each less than 500K sq ft, immediately next to each other? -25 ft is not NEARLY enough of a barrier from homes, schools, and businesses. Data centers generate obnoxious noise and light for miles. -I don't see anything about air pollution or air quality. Considering this is already a major issue in Louisville (anecdotally, my asthma symptoms have gotten a lot worse since moving back here 1 year ago), that needs to be addressed in these regulations.
1334	Greenwell	40213	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings.

			5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.
1335	Alford	40216	Data centers should be located in the middle of the ocean somewhere
1336	Gepford	40206	It must incorporate the newest true revolutionary science which has ethics at its core! That is biomimicry, through the Biomimicry Institute! Without ethics there is nothing but competition through competitive analation. Nature has so many methods, models and processes that it has practiced over millions, if not billions of years that we as humans could use as creative innovators. The Biomimicry Institute is nonprofit and filled with brilliant minds with generous HEARTS, not greed! There are ethical standards which incorporate indigenous cultures and accepts humanity rather than REPLACING THEM! Those pushing these data centers need to know that we know there are better technologies on the horizon then these awful throwbacks of the industrial revolution.
1337	Peaugh	40217	I do not want data centers in the city! They are an environmental concern, using a ton of water! No to data centers! Do more research and get permission from the community.
1338	Anderson	40204	Do not allow a mega-sized Data Center to be built in Jefferson County. The new proposed ordinance regarding Data Centers must include restrictions and guidelines directly applicable to the Steve Poe-developed center adjacent to Camp Ground Rd. This massive structure must be made applicable to the new proposed ordinance. The Steve Poe-associated center will generate air pollution, water pollution, light pollution and noise pollution. Once completed, it will generate almost no local jobs and it will reduce tax collection due to a 50-year tax waiver for a significant piece of land that could otherwise generate tax revenue for the metro area. This is a mistake for the county and harmful for the community. DO NOT ALLOW IT TO BE BUILT! For the proposed draft ordinance, please revise the ordinance to include the following: 1) Limit the maximize size of all current and future Data Centers to less than 250,000 square feet. 2) Mandate that all current and future Data Centers must have closed loop cooling systems to reduce the potential for water pollution and limit the amount of water usage. Direct that all Data Centers include on-site rain water collection systems for cooling use to reduce the impact of water usage on the overall Louisville Water system. Direct that all Data Centers fund the cost of any additional Louisville Water system capacity and/or water line expansion costs associated with the increased water demand caused by the Data Centers. 3) Mandate all current and future Data Centers establish green energy power systems to help power the facility (such as roof top and parking lot solar energy systems) to limit the facilities' use of electrical power from the LG&E grid. Direct that all Data Centers fund the cost of any additional LG&E network capacity and/or transmission expansion costs associated with the increased power demand on the grid caused by the Data

			Centers. 4) Mandate all current and future Data Centers establish effective noise and light pollution mitigation to limit the impact of the facilities on local communities and wildlife. 5) Mandate all current and future Data Centers conduct environmental surveys (include effects on air, water, noise, light, green space, and wildlife) to inform local government zoning and permitting processes and release all of the associated information to the public to enable public awareness and feedback. Direct that all Data Centers conduct public hearings on those issues. 6) Prevent Data Center owners, leasees, and/or developers from establishing multiple Data Centers to by-pass facility size limits by claiming the separate sites are dis-aggregated. 7) Establish severe financial penalties to be levied against the Data Center owners, leasees, and/or developers for any permit, zoning, or environmental violations. Direct that all penalties be automatically doubled in cost, if the Data Center owners, leasees, and/or developers knowingly committed violations and attempted to cover them up.
1339	Bloemer	40207	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1340	Kleinhenz	40118	It needs to be stopped until more information is available on the effects on the environment and public. Also when LGE are asking people to conserve energy how can you justify this within the city limits. We need to follow other states that are doing more I investigating of the effects on surrounding areas. Also we would like to know how this was passed without the citizens being made aware. Please name all the council that voted for this and make it public.
1341	Porter	40216	Data centers are a danger to our communities and the health of our families.
1342	Welsh	40215	Eliminate tiered approach to data center registration. Eliminate registration approvals without pu license scrutiny. Require that there be noise mitigation.
1343	Flack	40245	I am very concerned about the use of data centers in KY. I appreciate the efforts of Metro government to create some regulations regarding their use. The proposed regulations need to be strengthened. We need to eliminate the "data center accessory" classification. No data centers, even if built on privately owned property should be exempt from the new regulations. We need a new Industrial Data Center zoning requirement and conditional use permit requirement for all data center applicants. It is imperative that public notification and opportunities for public input on new data centers be provided. We need to require closed-loop cooling systems, not just recommend them. We also need to extend the proposed setback standards from all perimeter property lines. Applicants need to be required to submit plans that demonstrate how each of the substantive standards will be met during construction & operation. It is time for our government to consider the people & communities that will be impacted by these data centers, and to ensure that water and electricity will be available adequately & reasonably to residents & other businesses. Please consider these improvements to the proposed regulations. Martha Flack 40245
1344	Morris	40220	Data centers are here to stay, instead of resisting this effort can we figure out a way to make this work? Can Louisville be a model for all other cities to follow? We need to include several things in the agreements with these data centers. We need to have regulations not suggestions. The data centers must be committed to using renewable energy. And that needs to be done on day one not sometime in the future. They need to be financially responsible for any and all damages and health issues that they cause in the community. They must disclose all of their water usage and energy usage. We need to install air monitoring boxes around the facility to see what

			they are spewing into the environment. The last thing Louisville needs is another polluter hurting the health of our citizens. We need to know in advance what kind of environmental impact they are going to have including air quality. The data centers need to figure out a way to not increase the noise in any neighborhoods. if it can't run on renewable energy, it doesn't belong here.
1345	Dean	40210	No Mega Data Center
1346	Lindsay	40205	I fully reject the building of any current or future proposed data centers anywhere in Jefferson County. They are disruptive to human and nonhuman communities on a multitude of pollution levels, including noise, as well as extractive of natural "resources" like river water and the ground water table. Plus, they come with the burden of expecting the people of the city to help carry the burden of their extraordinary energy usage and those \$\$\$ costs. They are branded as necessary because AI companies are trying hard to get the general public hooked on them. In truth, they are just another unnecessary source of horrible impacts on environmental, plant, animal and human lives. There is no room for these data centers in Louisville or anywhere that truly values the people and the place where they live.
1347	Webb	40218	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. Data center are not eco-friendly, to say the least. We only have one earth and we're going to destroy it if we don't take care of it.
1348	Penney	40208	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area.

			The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. Thank you
1349	King	40059	This is too important to be a political issue. Communities around the country are being impacted negatively by data centers. Please consider people over pollution and profit. There should be no tax exemptions provided. Other data center sites have seen that any jobs are of a temporary nature, not long term. Energy consumption should be charged, just as it is for every consumer. Shield the community from increased energy costs and shortages of energy, fuel, and water. Do not allow non-disclosure agreements as the public needs transparency to be able to negotiate with tech companies to create a community benefit agreement. Property values have been shown to drop near data centers. Noise pollution is created 24/7. Air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions will rise and travel beyond the immediate area and will settle across nearby counties and in the valley, as current air pollution does, impacting health and being a threat to everyone near and far. Environmental impact studies are needed, as pollution travels beyond county or city borders. There is no safe distance for any type of environmental harm. Please pause new data center permits to establish thoughtful legislation, determine standards, and regulation control to slow the fast-track approval process. Learn from other communities that have been harmed. Recently, there has been discussion about a supply glut emerging. The next few years will be critical to balance rapid expansion with sustainable, community-friendly, and energy-efficient deployment. Please protect the community.
1350	Dawson	40215	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny.

			Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
1351	Conley	40205	No one in Louisville wants data centers. They are a huge drain on local resources that will only impact the middle and lower class. We the people will be paying for this with our taxes dollars, with our water bills going up and our electricity bills increasing.
1352	Knighy	40216	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1353	Aprile	40023	No more data centers in Louisville Metro.
1354	Sprawls	40205	Please don't allow data centers in our city.
1355	Nakamoto	40204	Until we know the full scope of the environmental affects of data centers on people and animals we need to take a pause. We can't sacrifice our health or the health of the community for a data center owned by billionaires.

			These data centers are already using wasteful amounts of water, poisoning the groundwater, and causing tumors in people in the surrounding area. We have to say no to data centers until they can create safe, environmentally friendly data centers that will not harm the local environment. Please, have a conscious and don't sell our city for money. Don't put these data centers anywhere in the city!! If you do, I'll be voting each and every one of you out this November. I pray you have a conscious and do the right thing!
1356	Kiefer	40217	We do not need Generative AI nor their data centers. Louisville Tap Water is the best in the nation and I wouldn't want anything to change that, like a data center than contaminates water. I'm also concerned about the pollution, especially considering that Louisville is already a heat island. Nobody wants these. AI companies are actively losing money at a rapid pace, so I don't think they will bring jobs like they probably promise.
1357	Scheler	40215	Not a fan of this. There's nothing good to come from this. For your kids and grandchildren. Stop the for freedom is slowly slipping away.
1358	Barker	40242	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. I am concerned that the The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1359	Hatchell	40214	I do not approve of a data center being build or sustained in the south end of Louisville, or near any neighborhoods for that matter. Until developers and policymakers build in stronger safeguards, dedicated rate structures, water-use limits, and binding community benefit agreements, the expansion of data centers will continue to ask ordinary residents to pay, quite literally, for a boom they did not choose and from which they see little return.
1360	Johnstone	40204	I oppose any plans for a large-scale data center. The vast majority of people do not want AI. It's bad for the environment, unjust to creative artists who've had their work plagiarized and stolen, and it puts profit for an elite few over the common good. I hope the representatives of this city will realize conserving water and energy (and minimizing noise pollution and heat) is what people want, not AI data centers.
1361	Garner	40217	Members of the Louisville Metro Council: I am submitting my concerns about the data centers being built in Louisville (or elsewhere in KY). There is no way anyone can guarantee that harmful effects from data centers will be controlled & saying there will be 'minimal effects' does NOT mean the people & wildlife who WILL be part of the 'minimal effects' are dispensable. That alone is reason enough to halt the proposed data center. Keep in mind my following concerns about what a data center will bring to any corner of Louisville it resides in; none of the effects are acceptable: Noise Pollution - both audible & silent audio waves which will disrupt nature & cause residual anxiety or sleeplessness in people living up to 5 miles from the data center. Unseen but disruptive. Forever. Keeping in mind schools are in the proximities of the data center already in place and now this latest one near Shively/West Louisville. Heat Pollution: the heat that generates from these data centers WILL increase the temperatures in the environments surrounding them creating harmful effects of the already hot & humid temperatures those with heart problems, asthma and other illnesses have to deal with during a Louisville summer. Have you all even considered this? Electricity - will you guarantee that usage will not cause periodic loss of power to residents, businesses, schools,

			& will not raise utility rates that are already high enough? Water usage - the amount of water used to cool these data centers daily is obscene. And is there an absolute guarantee from you that this will NOT affect water pressure in neighborhoods, schools, businesses and hospitals? Water safety: can you guarantee that any runoff from these data centers will not contaminate ground water or streams or even the Ohio river? Light Pollution- the incessant nighttime lights will disrupt birds, nocturnal creatures & while they may seem to be dispensable, they are NOT. Data centers are being placed all around this country & will certainly cause a decrease in wildlife as time goes by. I really do not want future generations to not know what nature & wildlife looks like aside from internet images. Jobs - the only jobs that will be created is from constructing these sites & they will likely employ workers from other states more than Kentuckians and are temporary. The average hyperscale data center employs up to 30 people at best as permanent employees. That is NOT an employment increase that will make Louisville a better opportunity for those seeking jobs in an already tough job market. Money- the only people gaining from these data centers are the developers who are getting tax credits while ordinary people have to pay taxes with few credits, if any, & it is increasingly becoming frustrating that the rich are getting richer while the rest of us just try to pay mortgages, rent, utility bills, buy gas, repair cars, buy groceries, medicine, clothes, shoes - you get the picture - we are struggling & the millionaires/billionaires/trillionaires are always going to have expendable incomes, savings accounts and off-shore tax havens. It is really hard for us regular folk to NOT have resentment towards the uber-upper class - ESPECIALLY when these data centers are NOT being built near their backyards. Which brings me to: Property values - once again these data centers are absolutely going to lower property values which is one main reason higher-income residents in Oldham County were able to stop a data center from coming to their corner of our Commonwealth while homeowners in lower-income neighborhoods of Louisville are seen as irrelevant & will never again get fair prices for their homes when they try to move from blighted neighborhoods due to having a

			data center in their midst. The one thing, among many things, I learned from my many years working for local politicians at the former Board of Aldermen and the initial years of the Louisville Metro Council is this: the most important 'thing' to politicians is VOTES and MONEY. So, consider this - you will NOT have campaign contributions or votes in future election cycles from us ordinary citizens. All of you are elected officials - public servants. If you do not impose a moratorium on data centers that will be the opposite of serving the humble citizens of our city. Concentrate on what is necessary. Data centers are only necessary to enhance technology in the healthcare system, discovering cures for the many terminal & debilitating illnesses ALL people are subject to have fall upon them. This is where technology and science excel. The shiny objects of AI, Cloud storage, smart phones or TVs have lured humans into a false sense of magic. None of this is magical, and it certainly comes with a cost. I didn't need AI to compose this letter to you, but granted, I did need my computer. However, there comes a time when progress should have a boundary. The time is now. You can breathe fresh air into the future of at least Louisville simply by declaring a moratorium on data centers in our city because data centers are NOT an urgent matter. Until you, developers & the planning commission can GUARANTEE data centers will NOT bring silent audio waves into our homes & neighborhoods, NOT cause electric bills to soar, will NOT cause periodic outages, will NOT cause light, noise, water pollution & put it in writing as a contract to the citizens of Louisville then you cannot vote with a clear conscious against a data center moratorium.

			Without a guarantee to citizens, it is in your hands to prove leadership you want to be known for in your political and human legacies at this crucial moment in history by listening to the citizens who resoundingly want a data center moratorium. I encourage you to take my words to heart & those from the many who are organizing against having another data center on OUR doorsteps. It WILL be appreciated by us average citizens who contribute every day to the notion that ALL people deserve the best environment to 'live, work and play' in Louisville. diane
1362	Smith	40299	No datas centers period Louisville metro government has been screwing the people of this city for over a decade putting their own gain before the will of the people. I want to see you all voted out ...I would vote for my dog over you scum any day
1363	Mooney	40243	Build it! Make sure it's all union hands on the job too! 502 Strong!
1364	Slider	40217	I do not want this data center in my city that I am proud to have called home from my entire life. It will severely inhibit the quality of life of every citizen of Louisville and we will keep fighting to keep these abominations out of our city.
1365	Chase	40213	I am writing you to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company,

			there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1366	Gaeta	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1367	Arney	40210	No data center in the west end.
1368	Melton	40217	NO DATAT CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE
1369	Kraft	40209	Meaningful noise protections are necessary not only to be stated but monitored and enforced especially during the night time. Signage on where to contact someone to file a concern or complaint should be visible. Energy and

			water usage should be monitored below and certain level and ENFORCED. Draining the area of power and water will affect utility costs in the area. There should be good protections against this. Pollution will damage the living environment of nearby residents and property values. Should not be built at the suffering of nearby residence, wildlife, flora, etc. Full transparency about when, where and why these will be built with open forums for nearby residents to express thoughts and influence if it should be built. These data centers should be serving the people of this city, NOT the other way around.
1370	Adams	40206	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1371	Weakley	40223	Just outright ban all data centers. They are harmful to the environment, nearby communities, and the very tech that they are built to run is a blight on the economy and society at large. Louisville does not need such filth.
1372	Adams	47122	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville and the surrounding areas deserve regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1373	McGowan	40061	BE extremely cautious of approving such centers. Especially examine potential water usage, noise pollution, light pollution, and increases of rates for current customers , Data centers should not be constructed within city limits.
1374	Devlin	40204	Data centers destroy their surrounding environments in every way imaginable. Water pollution, air pollution, sound pollution & light pollution. It makes the area unlivable for humans and animals alike, destroying vibrant ecosystems. We NEED clean air & water & healthy, thriving ecosystems to survive but we absolutely do not need data centers to survive. They only exist to put more money in the pockets of the rich & turn our entire country into a surveillance state. We did not consent to this!!! We only have ONE EARTH to call home and these data centers are destroying our home!!!! Craig Greenberg went directly against the entire community's wishes just to put all of our health & safety at risk. We CANNOT let this happen, the fate of humanity and of our planet depend on it.

1375	Sisco	40204	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulations, remove the distinction and prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage or floor area, and eliminate registration approvals without public scrutiny. Create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the planning commission. Data centers need to be strictly regulated IF they are allowed at all. I want safe, clean air and water, and affordable LG&E. Data centers as they currently stand are antithetical to these goals.
1376	Gregory	40356	We don't need more data centers in Kentucky. It hurts the environment, wildlife, and uses up important resources.
1377	Brendel	40205	We do not need data centers in Louisville. We have already seen our energy costs rise in the last year, and with the industrial traffic we already face, we do not need the additional noise and pollution. Introducing data centers would be contrary to the investments in parks and public services that voters in Louisville have been aligned on.
1378	Pippenger	40220	I am very concerned about the rapid expansion of data centers nationwide and this proposed data center in Louisville. The massive expansion of data centers, driven by the unregulated generative AI and crypto frenzy, is wreaking havoc on communities, depleting water resources, harming the environment, and driving up electricity prices. Plenty of data exists to show the detriment these data centers cause to people and their surroundings, with little data to show that they are being handled in a safe and thoughtful way. Jurisdictions across the country are full of public officials ignoring their constituents vocal and obvious disapproval of these data centers and they are reaping the consequences of going against the public they serve by losing their positions and in cases where they are taking kickbacks they are facing their underhanded dealings being exposed in court. That will be your future if you do not start listening to the people who voted for you, live near you and interact with you when you order coffee, buy groceries, do your taxes and represent you in a court of law. I see almost nothing but opposition on public forums related to this data center and community hearings are filled with people opposed, not for this data center. If you are getting kickbacks to oppose the people of Louisville do not expect compassion if that fact is found out. You can already find news articles about community leaders across the country who are taking what amount to bribes to push data centers on their unwilling towns so I would expect the same scrutiny from Louisville. Until proper procedures, safety parameters and regulations are in place for data centers you should listen to the people of this community and oppose this project.
1379	Duncan	40207	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission, I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly.

			2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1380	Yockey	40059	Limiting the largest data center use to only the heaviest of manufacturing zoning is not a good use for that land. I am a commercial real estate agent in our region and it is already so hard to find M2 and M3 zoned land in Jefferson County. Ear marking that land for now data center use, when there are actual manufacturers that need that zoning and also create many more jobs for our community should remain the priority. Since Data centers are closer to Class A technology parks, I think there needs to be more regulation on the noise and light pollution as well as the water usage of the data center than dictating what type of zoning it needs to remain in, then furthermore limiting it to some of the most currently scarce zones. There is plenty of Agriculture zoned land in our county currently not being used that would be a great opportunity for Data Center construction. If the Data Center has to pay to bring the utilities to the site, then that is on them and does not affect the county. Seems like more of a win win situation than taking manufacturing land. The size of the data center should not matter, if it fits on the land and checks the zoning boxes, it shouldn't then have to go through another round of conditional use approval. There needs to be many more regulations in place that clearly define Louisville's parameters. Otherwise, Data Center developers will just invest their money elsewhere due to the added risk of uncertainty.
1381	Jackson	40203	There are many health problems that impact people near data centers.
1382	Whitley	40205	We need to slow waaaaay down. Put a year-long minimum pause on applications so that health and environmental impact research can be done. Protect our water, our land, our future.
1383	Multerer	40241	I wish for the Louisville Metro Planning Commission to reject any and all data center applications in our city.

1384	Reise	40216	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1385	Jones	40475	Data centers are awful. Please do not ruin our land with a data center.
1386	McMahon	40059	Data centers should be built without tax incentives and without foreign investment. The environmental impact alone merits that these businesses pay full freight for the construction, energy, and impact on the area residents. These businesses should also be required to significantly contribute to local community resources and environmental mitigation.

1387	Hardy	40213	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shal" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval.0 Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1388	Heath	40118	Louisville does not want data centers, the southwest region is already impoverished and needs services that care for us. I believe that we need to eliminate tiered approach's to data center regulation, remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square footage of floor area, and eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny.
1389	Curtis	40065	It's wasteful and harmful. It takes away already limited resources
1390	Bivins	40219	Hello, I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory

			requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Thank you
1391	Stikes	40207	I do not want data centers - in particular, hyperscale data centers - in Louisville.
1392	Wolford	40222	It is known that data centers, of any size, demand considerable energy, as well as water for cooling. Louisville, KY is fortunate to be a growing city in respect to its population, but this too causes an increased demand on electricity and water (& is reflected in our increasing rates for such in our monthly bills). An increase in our population has been tied in with an increase in business and commerce. Data centers cannot claim such benefits. They increase resource demands without comparable increases in employment and prosperity. It is in the best economic interests of our citizenry, and Louisville as a whole, for this and any data center to not be placed within Jefferson county.
1393	McIntyre	40272	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			- Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. - Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. - Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. - Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. - Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. - Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. - Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1394	perri	40220	The proposed draft regulations are NOT what we want. The only solution is NO data centers. Who exactly is benefitting from construction of these centers? It is NOT your constituents....we are the ones who should have influence on this type of construction- which destroys the land, destroys the environment, and destroys property values of people in the nearby surrounds. This is a project of Steve Poe, who cares about his own self/financial interests only. There is no value to society (and plenty of detriment) to the construction of these centers. I am well connected in the community and have passed this link along to 100s of others. We will be watching which lawmakers take a stand for or against this center. IF you refuse to listen to us now, you will HEAR US at the next election.

1395	Huynh	40245	Data centers should not exist, as they drain a community of both a safe place to live and a safe place to have a community. Louisville should be moving to be the epitome of a place where community grows, not dies. End this plan immediately.
1396	Goodin	40205	No Data Centers in Louisville please
1397	Swift	40241	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land.
1398	Jerome	40207	I oppose any construction of data centers in Louisville. Noise created by data centers disrupt wildlife, child development (noise can disrupt cognitive development and subsequent educational attainment), and everyday

			life for Louisvillians. Data centers may also take up space that may otherwise house businesses that better benefit both the well being of Louisville citizens and the economy. Water and power demands place unnecessary and debilitating monetary burdens on citizens and lead to wasted resources.
1399	Lindsay	40299	I am against data centers for multiple reasons. They ruin the environment, take our water, land... data centers are HORRIBLE for the environment and should not be allowed. Everything I have read about data centers leads me to the conclusion that people are greedy and do not care about the planet. Please please find an alternative or hold off until Something sensible can be done.
1400	sheehan	4022	No data centers on farmland or in the city, transparency and NO kick backs.
1401	Bond	40207	Building this would be the worst thing to happen to this city. It would pollute the air and water, give people horrible diseases and cancers and will raise water and energy prices. If the metro council approves this data center every member who votes in favor should be tarred and feathered and dragged down Main Street by a horse
1402	Patton	40220	As a constituent of the Louisville, KY community, I urge state and local elected officials to call for an immediate national moratorium on new data centers and pledge to work towards a moratorium in our state and community. The rapid expansion of data centers across the United States, driven by the generative artificial intelligence (AI) and crypto boom, presents one of the biggest environmental and social threats of our generation. This expansion is rapidly increasing demand for energy, driving more fossil fuel pollution, straining water resources and raising electricity prices across the country. All this compounds the significant and concerning impacts AI is having on society, including lost jobs, social instability and economic concentration. The harms of data center growth are increasingly well-established, and significant. They include: Enormous electricity consumption: A tripling of data centers in the next five years would result in data centers consuming as much electricity as about 30 million households. Unsustainable water consumption: A tripling of data centers would require as much water as is used by 18.5 million households – simply for cooling the computer servers. Contribution to climate change: 56 percent of the electricity used to power data centers is sourced from fossil fuels. Skyrocketing electricity costs: Electricity rates have increased 21.3 percent from 2021 to 2024 – drastically outpacing inflation – driven largely by the rapid build-out of data centers, something that could continue to

			escalate over time. Job losses: According to an AI executive, AI could negate half of all entry-level white-collar jobs in the next five years and spike overall unemployment by up to 20 percent. Destabilizing the Economy: Speculation from big tech companies and private equity firms are creating significant risks to our economy. Overbuilding data center infrastructure will lead to bankruptcies and corporate failures that can ripple through the economy. The rapid, largely unregulated rise of data centers to fuel the AI and crypto frenzy is disrupting communities across the country and threatening Americans' economic, environmental, climate and water security. I urge you to support federal legislation to place an immediate moratorium on new data centers and oppose any efforts to further deregulate the industry. There must be an immediate halt on new data centers until adequate regulations can be enacted to fully protect our communities, families, environment and health from the damage this industry is already inflicting.
1403	Adkins	40207	All large data centers should be banned, not just hyper scale. The data centers are bad for the environment and for humans. The noise is disruptive to people and wildlife. They use too much water and electricity. Even if electric prices don't increase for the community, they can overburden the electrical grid/infrastructure. The same applies to water supply infrastructure. Please keep them out of our community
1404	Shain	40205	As a physician I am very worried about the negative impacts data center will have (and have already been shown to have in other communities) on air quality and subsequently health. They also cause significant noise pollution and use disproportionate amounts of our electrical grid and water resources. Data centers do not belong in Louisville and I will not vote for anyone who votes to allow them here.
1405	Burks	40213	I have concerns that data centers have not been evaluated for negative impact on water quality. We have to consider the noise pollution involved. The cost of energy usage is also an unknown. The secrecy behind who is involved makes the process suspect, Few jobs are actually created for the data centers, a few people are needed to run the centers, The promise of jobs is not realistic.
1406	Yancey	40220	Data centers have significant environmental impacts affecting waterways and increased energy use. During this first week of July our energy system has already struggles with keeping homes cool with LG&E notifying people how to save energy during higher use periods. Our gas and electric system in Louisville cannot handle the additional strain data centers will add. Furthermore, residents have already experienced significant increases in electric and gas bills over the past several years (my bill averages \$30/month compared to 2 years ago for the same usage). The increase energy use by data centers will impact utility rates and LG&E should not be allowed to pass that on to residential customers. We have seen in other cities already data centers making water

			undrinkable. Protections must be in place to ensure clean drinking water without the added costs being placed on customers.
1407	Lee	40272	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity
1408	Miller	40220	I want to have 100% guarantee that the data center won't affect our water supply or our energy bills. I do not consent to higher electric bills because of a data center I do not want built near my city or in my state. Build it in your own back yard, not mine.
1409	Howey	42701	I DO NOT want a data center ANYWHERE in Kentucky!
1410	Azurite	40601	I urge you to reconsider moving forward with this Data Center project as the people of Kentucky do not want data centers. We should follow Woodford County's lead to move in the direction of prohibiting Data Centers. Our concerns are

			for our people, and our lands. Several other states have reported severe consequences from data centers. In Morgan County, Georgia locals are experiencing brown water forcing them to rely on bottled water. In Saline Township, Michigan the data centers will threaten wetlands and riparian ecosystems. Canton, Mississippi had reports of lung irritation, breathing difficulties, and construction dust that settled over homes and playgrounds. Daily people post about the sound pollution from their local data centers. PJM, America's largest power grid is under strain as data centers consume power faster than new plants can be built. Electricity bills are projected to surge by more than 20% this summer in some parts of PJM Interconnection's territory, which covers 13 states - from Illinois to Tennessee, Virginia to New Jersey - serving 67 million customers. Why should we put additional strain on our infrastructure when the grid is struggling to maintain? People are already struggling with Inflation. Kentuckians do not need an increase on our electric bills. Please stand with people. Say no to data centers.
1411	Ruth	40204	Data centers do not have a place in Kentucky. It would spike Louisvillians electricity bills, taking up energy that could've gone to the hundreds of people in poverty or dealing with financial instability, all for AI generated images that are not necessary. I do understand that AI does have some applications in medicine and diagnoses, but these data centers aren't that. They're spending money in order to make our kids dumber, lazier, and dependant on AI. In order for fascism to thrive, they need to misinform the public. It looks different than burning books, now. It appears a friendly chat bot, but is spreading misinformation to the public. JCPS is already behind in test scores, the second worst district in the state in the "Proficient Reading" category in 2024. Teachers have recently been flooded with AI, and they aren't paid enough in order to combat it. This results in either people getting away with using AI, or people getting falsely accused of using AI. All of this is not even mentioning the environmental impact of data centers. If you go to any neighborhood with a data center, you'll see brown water coming out of the taps, you'll see thick smog covering the sky, you'll hear this buzzing noise that is described as a "high pitched whine". Is that what we want Louisville to be? I do find it suspicious that they're building it just west of Shively, because that is a neighborhood with a majority black population. I believe that this is a racist tactic in order to suppress black people even in one of the most segregated cities in America. They know that the west end is in a good desert, so they're trying to poison them as well. Again, we do not need AI. We need clean water, clean air, informed kids, and black people to have a voice. I hope you'll listen to me and the countless other Louisvillians when we say, we do not want Steve Poe's data center. Thank you.
1412	Beck	40228	No tiered approach to data center regulation. A data center is a data center and should be regulated as such. Don't just look at square footage. Calculate the impact. How much energy will it use? Where is that coming from? How much water will it use? Where is that coming from and what condition will it be in if it's returned to the environment?

			Before anything is approved, require independent impact assessments. Ensure that the developer or operator pays for the full cost of whatever environmental or health impacts they create. If they will generate any power onsite, even for “backup,” require that it be done cleanly. Zero emissions. Don’t allow any “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. Drop the category of “data center accessory.” That’s just a loophole to get around regulation. And ban NDAs. Nothing should happen in secret. Require maximum energy efficiency. Require closed-loop water cooling systems. Enforce noise reduction and mitigation, including by increasing set-back requirements.
1413	Jones	40228	Please include requirements for independent assessments on heat, chemical, noise, and particulate impacts. Also, base requirements on impact instead of size (no data center towers on a small footprint). NDAs with public officials, regulators, etc. should be an automatic disqualifier. Developer must cover all installation and infrastructure costs with no cost pushed to residents or other businesses. Louisville is already an urban heat island with poor air quality. Do not let data centers make the problems worse.
1414	Douglas	40204	I think that these draft regulations are way too broad and are not offering real ways to regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 500,000 square feet is way too big to be allowed. 250,000 square feet is also way too big. 65 decibels can be heard a block away--I have measured the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you could hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see requirements for independent environmental impact studies as well as research on the impact this will have to our sewer infrastructure. I am concerned that the data centers could add PFAS to our water too. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. And finally, for as energy and resource intensive as data centers are, I think that the only data centers that should be built here should be for the use of the businesses or residents here, not for training AI.
1415	Flood	40217	Bad for the environment, wildlife and residential pets.
1416	Deats	40205	Abolish data centers, we do not need land studies and local political reform. We need the complete and total abolition of even the idea of a data center. They should never exist.

1417	McKiernan	40241	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1418	Grise-Owens	40242	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities.

			3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1419	Hatfield	40219	No to all Data centers in Kentucky
1420	Schuler	40222	We do not need to poison our cities. We do not need data centers to take away our jobs. No data centers!
1421	Beal	40207	I have read the recommendations for model data center zoning ordinances by the Kentucky Resources Council. They make good sense to tighten up the requirements and regulations. With the rapid expansion of Data center development, and contractors vying for the business, we are in a position to demand complete control and accountability and public input for each project. Please adopt the expertise and knowledgeable recommendations of KRC. I am an ordinary resident but I realize the stakes are high and it's essential to act prudently and in the interest of our communities. Not the preferred lax standards of the developers. Across the country they are not proving trustworthy to carry out their promises. It's up to us to establish strong standards of compliance Sincerely Patrice Beal.
1422	Davis	40213	No to data centers! There is no evidence that suggests AI is benefiting anyone besides a few people...and business owners who have short sightedly and stupidly invested in it, without considering that this investment may not offer the return they're looking for. Why would we invest in something that is diminishing jobs and harming the environment? Sure, we use resources to run so many things now. But this is pushing it to a new limit. My husband is a designer and has lost two jobs due to new AI initiatives. People over profit! Protect your community members instead of the pockets of people who have ENOUGH ALREADY. Your short sighted decisions pose long term harm.
1423	Lucas	40207	No data centers at ALL. Not now, not ever.
1424	Wells	40272	I believe that data centers are damaging to the areas that they're being built. The return on investment for these people is next to nothing for the damage. They are going to cause in a long-term.

1425	Ott	40213	We don't want data centers here. They do not create near enough jobs for the extreme amount of waste, land, energy costs, and pollution. They hurt the city, not help. The public has been clear that they don't want them.
1426	Reasor	40217	It is very concerning that the Louisville Metro Office of Planning's draft of zoning governing data centers does not contain regulations that will keep Louisville residents safe and healthy in the future. Your draft is not good enough. I ask that you strengthen the ordinance on data centers before adoption such as: a new industrial center code created and conditional use permits required for all data centers which will ensure public notice and public input when a data center is proposed. This will take into consideration such things as water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, exhaust, etc. it is known that data centers consume huge amounts of power therefore, regulations need to require verifications that any new center will be operated without negative impact on existing customers and that their utility bills will not increase. Accountability needs to be maintained along with complete transparency. Thank you
1427	Lambert	40207	Please do not allow any more to be built in Jefferson County. They use too much water and electricity. AI is also poison for us all and a job destroyer. Worse, Frankfort wants to bring back coal and allow nuclear power plants to be built all over the state. This is horrible news please stop these stupid things. There is not enough electricity, water, and minerals to power all of the data centers coming down the road. To do so would worsen climate change. AI is also going to cause massive job losses. Stop these stupid damn things!!!!!!!
1428	Benson	40241	Use the most definitive research-based environmentally sound practices to support data center construction! ALSO: * Require rezoning to a new data center zone classification so that there is public input and oversight. All data centers must follow the same ordinances to avoid impacting adjacent properties. * Ensure the facility will generate its own electrical needs (like corporations such as Amazon) using solar energy AND NOT fossil fuels. DO NOT shift the cost of operation to Utility customers! * Require developers pay for an impact study before approval of a conditional use permit in ALL cases. * Require regulations against low frequency infrasound. Add provisions for monitoring sound and other possible nuisances over time to assure continued compliance.
1429	Boone	40206	Support and implement the recommendations put forth by the Kentucky Resources Council. Stop obeying corporations and stop funding them with public tax dollars. Refuse to sign any Non-Disclosure Agreement.
1430	Beck	40220	There should be a temporary moratorium on ANY new data center construction (regardless of scale). The new proposal is lacking, in that very few people actually benefit from this construction, both economically and

			environmentally. Data centers primarily benefit multi-trillion dollar private entities, like Meta, OpenAI, etc. I understand the proposal only covers development and land use, not AI or data processing regulation. But - these are multi-trillion dollar companies that are racing toward a bubble, which is why they are trying to dry the ink on these contracts before that happens. And they are using our natural resources to process this data in the first place. Data centers use our potable drinking water supply at unacceptable rates. Does the proposal cover what kind of water usage is acceptable? Is this an open or closed loop system? Where does the thermally processed water go once it's used in order to prevent ecological disaster? After seeing how negatively the data center in Memphis impacted citizens there through ecological destruction and deeply concerning disregard for human health, I don't see any possibilities that a new data center in South Louisville would be any different. The proposal mentions a requirement for "environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices" when there is simply no such thing. Vague descriptions of "limited areas" and construction away from schools are also incomplete. Given that the private entities pushing for this construction are vocal accelerationists who view human life as disposable, I'm saddened that any new construction is even being considered at all by local officials until AI and data processing as an industrial apparatus has far more checks and balances in place to protect people and the environment. Under the current federal administration, it will not be happening soon.
1431	Frederick	40206	For my family, our dear city, community, environment, energy and jobs, I am absolutely and wholeheartedly against new Data Centers. Please: Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
1432	Moore	40214	Do not allow any data centers to be built here in Louisville, and don't encourage them to be built anywhere. Construction and use of such centers is disastrously short-sighted, on a par with (or maybe even worse than?)

			strip mining. Think about our community's children -- future generations stretching out as far as you can imagine -- not about some relatively small, short-term, limited-time, financial gain.
1433	Sprawls	40205	No data centers in Kentucky! The energy needed is extreme and causes polluted water, noise pollution, utility consumption and increase in cost~ these centers impose on communities and families. No data centers in Kentucky.
1434	Henning	40213	No Data Centers Please do not subject our city to a data center
1435	R	40228	How about just don't do it and save us all a headache.
1436	Robinson	40222	Data Centers should be limited to nonagricultural or nonresidential areas and not allowed adjacent to community green spaces, parks etc.They should have their own dedicated power sources and NOT use public utilities. They should not receive tax subsidies. They should pay property taxes and be required to have appropriate liability insurance. Data centers are for-profit enterprises and must be regulated like any other business.
1437	Hammerbeck	40207	Data centers are monuments to destruction that kill communities, ecosystems, and cities. The construction of data centers in Louisville will give working people the fee, and pollute the Ohio. It will also contribute to already bad pollution in the Jefferson county area. Any Kentucky official who supports the development of data centers in our state is a traitor to the state and the people in it.
1438	Roseman	40215	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes.

			Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Thank you
1439	Cyrus	40241	No data centers should be built in Louisville. The one started on Campground Road should be immediately stopped. An environmental study should be required including for that one. These data centers cause air pollution, water pollution and cause asthma and other health conditions to get worse. We do not need a data center in Louisville. They cause property values to drop for nearby homes and there is a constant noise that people in other states complain about hearing all the time. As a homeowner in Louisville I do not want any data centers built in Jefferson County. Other places with these centers had drinking water polluted to where it's not safe to drink. This would make our city a horrible place with a dead zone where no plants or animals can live. No Data Centers should be in Jefferson County
1440	Schneider	40220	1) Data centers are a drain on community resources and services and provide no benefits, other than a limited number of temporary construction jobs, which may or may not be filled by local residents. Ideally, they should be banned altogether in Jefferson County. 2) If they are permitted, they should be limited to 0.5 megawatts per square mile total by any and all data centers, regardless of ownership. Limiting them by acreage, as in the proposed regulations, would allow them to be built up to use a large amount of public resources in a limited amount of space. 3) They should also be limited to 50,000 gallons of water used per month per acre for all data centers combined regardless of ownership, and should be held to limits in their permit based on what amount they purport to use in their permit application. 4) Limiting all data centers to less than 70 decibels should be required as well. Thank you for your consideration.
1441	Rhodes	40214	They do not need to be placed around any residential or current running farms or farm land or water source that provides water for communities of people. LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! This is our chance to protect our community's future. The official window for public comments closes tomorrow

			on the new Land Development Code rules for data centers. If we stay silent, tech giants will move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water. The decisions made now will shape the environmental health of Louisville for decades to come. Please share this post with every local group, neighbor, and family member you know. We need to act like our children and grandchildren's future and health depend on it because the air they breathe and the water they drink tomorrow depend entirely on the rules we fight for today. Our Overview of the Proposed Amendments and the official Proposed Amendments text are in the comments. We've posted both resources directly in the comments section below so you can see exactly what's at stake. SUBMIT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IN LESS THAN 60 SECONDS STEP 1: Open the official form https://louisvillemetropds.wufoo.com/forms/z4jom4r08nn0zi/ STEP 2: Copy and paste the community statement below Copy this text and paste it into the comment box on the form. Fill out your name, and hit submit! To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can

			bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Please comment right now and share this post! #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1442	Crosby	40207	Data center owners should be required to conserve water and provide their own renewable power. If Louisville/Jefferson County has rules and regulations about renewable energy, they need to be updated to allow businesses to generate their own power. Even though we live on the Ohio River, water conservation is a very important issue. Future plans for data centers must address these issues.
1443	Rennie	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from

			breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1444	Lindsey	40216	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Please comment right now and share this post! #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
1445	Meyers	40206	They are destructive to our finite resources and the ecosystems necessary for plants, animals, and humans alike. They ruin and diminish water sources that we need to drink, contribute to increasing heat in our climate, and ruin residential areas with their sound pollution. All for the sake of AI that replaces and threatens human skill, creativity, knowledge, and jobs. Data Centers are NOT essential. And yet they ruin essential resources at a rapid and alarming rate in order to exist.
1446	Royalty	40291	Regulations should include tangible, definitive requirements that are easily measurable and quantifiable. They should not include platitudes and vague suggestions; they are not suggestions but requirements. Failure to meet these requirements should result in maximum penalty in accordance with the law and specified as such within these documents. The environmental impacts of data centers should be monitored and reported on regularly by independent organizations with the ability to recommend changes if violations occur. This includes, but isn't limited to, water, air and noise pollution, wildlife displacement, power grid impact and heat retainment. If data centers are to be constructed under strict guidelines, their purpose should be to support the people living in the communities where they're built; not to further the development of AI or support military operations. All temporary construction and otherwise permanent positions should be filled by members of the same community and not contracted to cheaper, out-of-state alternatives. My preference, however, is that they are not built at all given the current state of the industry and the lack of appropriate restrictions detailed in these guidelines.
1447	Tweed	40205	There is a family of kestrels located on campground road and the birds need protection.. no added large scale construction should be in this area!
1448	Bailey	40214	I think we need to start over with these guidelines. First of all, 500,000 sf is FAR too large. The maximum size should be reduced significantly. We must require independent environmental impact studies, and a study on how data center water use will impact our sewer system. There needs to be stronger language to mandate the restrictions, not "should" or "encourage." The noise level is too loud to be allowed within 200 ft of residences and other places where people will have to hear it day in and day out, like nursing homes. Do not sell out our city, our resources, our people's health and wellbeing just to train AI and put money in the pockets of people who don't even live here.
1449	Ungerleider	40207	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission:

			I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1450	F.	40216	Data centers don't belong in our city. They drain energy grids and consume a lot of water, all while offering next to nothing in return; because they require so few actual employees to operate. They offer no meaningful investment in communities. Block day centers in Louisville and in Kentucky.
1451	Epstein	40208	These data centers are going to put huge strains on our electric and water systems here in the city. You as our metro council should not allow them to put Louivillian taxpayers in a position to pick up the bill for their consumption. Please do not allow these facilities to be built in this city without showing infrastructure plans that

			add to our grids or are completely self sufficient, before you approve breaking ground on any data centers in Louisville
1452	Ours	40220	They harmful and wasteful, and I don't want them.
1453	Moore	40216	We don't need any data centers They are not regulated and there is no research on the damage it will do to the environment. Our electric grid is not built for such use of power. Our water resources and run off from the data centers...the pollution it will cause as we already have low quality as it is. The wildlife it will affect. The quality of life we have to sacrifice for so.ething that does not better our life in anyway. We are destroying what natural resources God has given us. The only people that benefit for data center are the owners. Our quality and way of life is not worth data centers. Who is going to protect us from being harmed by the data centers and make them responsible? Who is going to regulate them? They should never use our water or our electric grid which is out dated. Data center are far too dangerous to put in any neighborhood.
1454	Bates	40217	I believe these draft regulations are too broad and do not regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 65 decibels can be heard a block away. The noise from Data Centers will be constant. 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. I am also concerned that a hyperscale data center would ruin our high quality drinking water, and drive up energy prices for residents with no clear benefit to them.
1455	Holland	40222	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing today as a member of the Louisville community to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on the surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop

			cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" or "must" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Each and every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who actually live here, not for the corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1456	Giselbach	40292	Not sure if these suggestions could or even should be added as I'm no expert in the matter but I would like the idea of a restriction or at least a limit on potable water used and instead require closed-loop water systems. This is similar to restrictions used in Quincy, Washington and a few other places .The negative is these closed-loop systems still require occasional discharge and sometimes even more chemicals are put in the cooling water. To counteract that though the emphasis on both disclosure of what's being used in the water and strong restrictions of how and where they dispose of water.
1457	Ohlman	40217	Greetings. I submitted a document earlier regarding data center waste heat generation, Louisville's 2016 status as the worst city in the country for Urban Heat Island (UHI) and a 2025 study that identified 98% of Louisville's public school students are exposed to extreme UHI of 8F or greater. I would like to suggest that OOP members review the video produced by UofL's Envirome Institute on the following link. It identifies issues regarding data centers based on findings not from projects, but historical data and experiences from communities across the nation. I think it is a great learning opportunity for anyone that wants to understand this issue better that has been dropped on the lap of our planet like an anvil, but especially those who are making long term decisions for their city. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GSBbZQGaSoE Thank you. Roger

1458	Hensley	40217	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1459	Schmitz	40217	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers

			from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1460	Gehl	40213	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. 8. Require the data center to pay its own bills with state/county/federal residents to not pay a dime for building or maintaining the data center.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1461	White	40272	I think that these draft regulations are way too broad and are not offering real ways to regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 500,000 square feet is way too big to be allowed. 250,000 square feet is also way too big. 65 decibels can be heard a block away--I have measured the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you could hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see requirements for independent environmental impact studies as well as research on the impact this will have to our sewer infrastructure. I am concerned that the data centers could add PFAS to our water too. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. And finally, for as energy and resource intensive as data centers are, I think that the only data centers that should be built here should be for the use of the businesses or residents here, not for training AI.
1462	Oyler	40272	I think that these draft regulations are way too broad and are not offering real ways to regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 500,000 square feet is way too big to be allowed. 250,000 square feet is also way too big. 65 decibels can be heard a block away--I have measured the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you could hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see requirements for independent environmental impact studies as well as research on the impact this will have to our sewer infrastructure. I am concerned that the data centers could add PFAS to our water too. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. And finally, for as energy and resource intensive as data centers are, I think that the only data centers that should be built here should be for the use of the businesses or residents here, not for training AI.
1463	Smith	40206	I think that these draft regulations are way too broad and are not offering real ways to regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 500,000 square feet is way too big to be allowed. 250,000 square feet is also way too big.

			65 decibels can be heard a block away--I have measured the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you could hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see requirements for independent environmental impact studies as well as research on the impact this will have to our sewer infrastructure. I am concerned that the data centers could add PFAS to our water too. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. And finally, for as energy and resource intensive as data centers are, I think that the only data centers that should be built here should be for the use of the businesses or residents here, not for training AI.
1464	Sutton	40291	Terrible idea for the community and wildlife and making OUR utilities go up for their business. NO
1465	Delaney	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes.

			Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1466	Daniel	40203	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of water and electricity. It is imperative the cap be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption and impose consumption caps. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1467	Shumate	40218	The language used by Steve Poe and other officials to describe their data centers are misleading and harmful. They bring zero benefits to the Louisville community despite claiming to generate money and jobs. Residents have already seen their bills increase to cover manufacturing costs for this proposed data center. While many

			leaders say that there will be no side effects to Poe's data center, experts say otherwise. The building is a noise pollution and explosion risk as well as pollutant to the community. It is set to be built directly next to a historic Black cemetery. This is not an accident. This will ruin the livelihoods of countless Louisville citizens who are already being failed by their city and mayor.
1468	Stoll	40203	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of water and electricity. It is imperative the cap be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption and impose consumption caps. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1469	Jones	40204	i am writing to demand stronger land development code amendments for data centers. The current draft does not sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1: Lowee the max size caps. The proposed 500,000 sq ft cap is still an enormous. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity & water. The cap must be reduced. 2: Mandate health impact assesements. Current draft does not address these concerns.

			3. Turn cooling & infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Change all 'should' language to 'shall.' 4. Add anti-circumvention protections to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Every neighborhood deserves a voice. 6. Mandate public disclosures of water consumption. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. There MUST be consequences for developers who fail to meet standards. Louisville deserves regulations for the people who live here not for corporations profiting off of our land, water & electricity.
1470	Aemmer	40205	I am sorry to say that I am drastically opposed to any AI centers that hope to be anywhere near my city. While I can appreciate a certain amount of presence in my world for AI, I am vehemently opposed to supporting all of these new data centers. This is in part due to environmental factors, as well as my more inclination to lean more towards supporting humanity through humanity as opposed to supporting humanity in the wrong direction via AI.
1471	Levegood	40205	Nobody wants AI and it should NOT be allowed.
1472	Metzler	40241	I am very concerned about all things that come with the data centers. People are more important than profits!
1473	Massey	40223	These draft regulations are too broad. They don't thoroughly regulate data centers here in Jefferson County, which is necessary considering their energy usage and health risks. The constant usage of "encourage" and "should" through the document indicates a lack of structure for accountability and remediation. In terms of data center size, I find 250,000 square feet to be too big. 500,000 square feet is ginormous - I doubt this would be sustainable (environmentally and practically) in the long run. Regarding the noise complaints, it's to my understanding that 65 decibels can be heard a block away. In consideration of residences and businesses, I would prefer data centers to be placed away from areas of daily living to avoid 24/7 noise pollution. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents.
1474	Hill	40206	Data Centers would ruin many people's lives. They use an unreasonable amount of water, around 110 gallons a year which is also equivalent to the demands of 1,000 households. What do you think would benefit Louisville more? Drinking water which you need to survive, or funny videos/answers you could find on Google without AI? AI is not needed in society, it is something that people can already do, as it MIMICS PEOPLE'S RESPONSES. That is all it is. If you are putting AI over your civilians, what does that make you? Evil. No person should ever ignore people's needs for a paragraph about how to be a vet (etc.) 1 AI, or 1,000 people? What would you choose. You are actively killing people. You are evil and narcissistic if you choose the AI. Just because you are well off doesn't mean everyone is.
1475	Pullis	40205	AI is a bubble that is going to burst. The people that make up this city do not want this. It's bad for our health and environment. The only gain is money for already incredibly rich people. Stand up and support residents and say no data centers in our beautiful bluegrass state!

1476	Doe	40216	Is our home not ravished enough? Has our soil not been poisoned enough? Are the wallets of those with the biggest pockets not full enough? Are the minds of our children not compromised enough? Is our cost of living too low? Are we too healthy? Are we too happy? Are we too free? Does the opportunity truly outweigh the cost? Do the wallets of the wealthy matter more than the lives of the poor? People are protesting, pleading, and begging to keep these data centers out of our community. The world has more than enough. Data centers built solely for the demands of AI will do nothing but make lives worse for the masses, and make the rich even richer. People have protested, pleaded, and begged. The kindness of these good people is a well that will run dry. Please keep this madness out of our home.
1477	B	40220	DO NOT ALLOW ONE TO BE DEVELOPED IN OR NEAR OUR CITY!
1478	Mangum	40272	I hate data centers. Don't bring them here. They are killing people and depleting necessary resources. They are not a good fit for Louisville.
1479	Knight	40208	No Data centers. This takes away from the entire environment not just humans but wildlife also by tapping into natural resources.
1480	De la Torre	40222	I feel that not nearly enough information is being given about the dangers of data centers on the environment and the economy.
1481	Burchett	40206	I support data centers being constructed in Louisville. Just as I support any other responsible industry constructing and locating in Louisville, we need laws that make sure these are net benefits for our city. Ensuring they do not take away from our resources without proper value being given back to the city, nor should we allow them to pollute our air or peace and quiet.
1482	McWilliams	40245	Keep data centers out of Louisville. All they do is drive up utility rates for existing customers. It happens all over the country, every time a new one comes online. If you do allow them, data centers should be forced to pay higher usage rates, effectively subsidizing residential customers. Environmental impact studies should be required before they can build.
1483	Crismon	40204	Data centers are harmful to the environment in hundreds of different ways, and no one in Louisville wants one on our land. They produce noise, light, air, and water pollution, while also affecting millions of other people and wildlife outside of its direct area. Building a data center would go directly against the wishes of citizens of Louisville, Kentucky, and millions of others across America.
1484	Age	40291	PLEASE, THINK OF YOUR GRANDCHILDREN. To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water.

			The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1485	Lamont	40219	Please do not allow a data center here in Louisville. It will consume excessive amounts of resources, disrupt the environment and cause health problems for the residents here. It is definitely a bad idea.
1486	Connelly	40213	The data centers are not wanted. They are not needed. Public scrutiny of data center building is important. We do not want water and electricity increases and pollution from nouse and run off
1487	Goatley	40272	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding.

			4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1488	Baker	40214	No data centers allowed! The taxpayers will have to pay for it and a lot of us are already paying higher prices to LG&E, Louisville Water company and rent! Building a data center will be hard on the citizens of Louisville!!
1489	Kannapel	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding.

			Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1490	Dunbar	40207	Data Centers have no place in our area. Considering that we live in a valley, it will not be good for our health. Water and electrical bills will go up, which is something we can't afford. And all the new concrete will make water runoff such a problem. Please think about each of these issues.
1491	Smith	40206	Totally against any and all Data center development, building, physical placement, renting, loaning, erecting and any other adjective that can be thought of, against them in Jefferson county. Do the right thing for our future, do not sell us out.
1492	Sivori	40220	I agree with all eleven proposals.
1493	Schutte	40241	No data centers in Louisville. I will vote out any official who supports building data centers in Louisville.
1494	Crain	40205	Keep them out of lousiville and surrounding counties as long as possible. These centers are terrible for our communities.
1495	Duncan	40299	I am apposed to a data center in my area.
1496	Kelly	40222	There should be no data centers allowed in our communities due to the overwhelmingly negative environmental and financial impacts they impose.
1497	Campbell	40210	I do not want a data center built anywhere beside communities. It is dangerous and we do not know the consequences that could happen. Do not build them here

1498	Farmer	40255	We don't want data centers at all.
1499	Weber	40206	My main concern is the speed at which this massive, expensive, potentially destructive process is unfolding. It's very difficult for those of us without a background in law to fully understand Louisville Metro Draft Data Center Text Amendments so I strongly support using the recommendations from Kentucky Resource Center's Recommended Revisions to Louisville Metro Draft Data Center Text Amendments. They were written by attorneys and staff well-informed about this complex issue.
1500	Burnett	40014	They are noisy and I do not want them developed near me. Too much land is being destroyed to build them.
1501	Hinkle	40202	I would like any new data center to be under the 500,000 size in the proposal, and have them restricted to industrial locations. It would be great to have them in redeveloped, abandoned industrial locations within the downtown area.
1502	Kraft	40222	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to

			meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1503	protenic	40241	Please stop the data center in the south end and anywhere in louisville. We do not have enough information on the environmental impact on our community. People in the south end are always treated as 2nd class citizens (i live in the East End and it's pretty obvious. We need answers. we do not need to destroy the environment so i can get a quick recipe!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Stop and think! the greed in this country is unbelievable I will not vote for anyone who supports this!
1504	Mudd	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes.

			Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.
1505	Broyles	40258	These Data centers are political influences. Data centers are the results of billionaire development that doesn't truly impact communities. The function of these data centers are to create bitcoin through mathematical equations to solve for bitcoin. There has been significant drain resources costing communities paying higher energy cost.
1506	Graves	40207	My organization, the Network Theory Applied Research Institute is near completion of a three part system to orchestrate data clouds. Data clouds are decentralized computers, laptops, phones and smart TVs that process data locally without being contained in a single building. They are the property of residents, and said residents are compensated for the use of their equipment. Residents will be able to create what we call soho networks (small office/home office networks) that can be expanded with additional processing power and storage to make more money. The whole system is being released open source under the GNU Affero General Public License to compete with corporate data center expansion throughout the world. The three parts of this system are the protocol, the orchestrator and the platform community. The Sohocloud protocol that orchestrates securely between sovereign systems, the soholink.org website where platform operators can federate across cities and states to share resources, and individual platforms like Cloudy that residents can join to offer their hardware. The whole system is still a few months from completion, but I would hate to see the parties involved waste resources and real estate on a system that will soon be made obsolete by technology being developed within this community. You may view the most recent code for this project on the NTARI-RAND organization on Github.com.
1507	gavin	40241	Under proposed new guidelines, I don't think we should only limit data center development to designated industrial areas, because Louisville needs more high tech jobs & more companies that pay taxes(which can be used for schools, police, parks, etc). It's fine to have a review of locale, but datacenters should be able to locate anywhere as long as noise, air, water & power regs are met.
1508	Lazenby	40243	I don't like the idea of data centers especially close to residential areas. We have so much wildlife that we want to keep and enjoy. Too many reports of these centers being loud and consumes too much electricity and water, forcing it upon the people to make up for it in cost and sacrifice our usage. Our energy bill have already been raised this year. I have to say No, other states already struggle to get data centers to comply and hold accountability. NO!
1509	Hall	40215	We have enough air, water & noise pollution as it is, not to mention the physical health problems these facilities have the potential to cause.
1510	SweeneySheppard	40218	What will the data centers do to enhance the communities value. From my understanding, the centers will put a strain on communities that are already struggling.

			We don't need them.
1511	Clubb	40214	Why are they worried about the square footage? They need to have regulation's on how much air pollution they make, how much energy they use and how much water they use. This is disgusting. The campground road data center i was told will not need to follow these, here regulations. Why is that?
1512	Williamson	40218	Data Centers should not be in Louisville. I have not met a single person who lives here who wants data centers and this is being forced on us. There should have been a public vote to see if the people in Louisville even wanted this. We need to protect people and the Earth before anything else.
1513	Pack	40272	I do not agree with building a data centers, but if it's gonna happen no matter what we say then the rules need to be clear, proper industrial zoning, real noise limits - eliminate the constant humming, stormwater controls, utility planning, strong buffers from homes to help with all the constant high frequency and no unfair cost-shifting onto residents- we DO NOT want to have to pay higher energy bills (electric & water) for only the rich to get richer. Unfortunately, while we south Enders do not want this, our Metro government does not listen or take into consideration our complaints or needs, so if you must build it then we ask build it responsibly.
1514	Townsend	40206	No one wants these data centers. They bring in construction revenue for exactly one year and after that, have an average of ten employees. While thus bringing in zero revenue, they pollute the air, suck up all the water, and create noise pollution that is harmful to everyone's health. Just because Mayor Greenberg is getting kickbacks doesn't mean we need data centers in Louisville. At all. Not anywhere. And certainly not in predominantly Black neighborhoods. Stop the environmental racism.
1515	Larsen	40031	We do not need or want a data center. Building one will destroy our water cleanliness, availability, and quality. It will drive up electricity usage and prices, and will be detrimental to everyone except those who do not care about the effect it will have on the community. WE DO NOT WANT THIS.
1516	Fox	40204	Hello, I agree with the demands below. Hyperscale data centers only hurt our communities. Do not allow them to take over our city. Thank you * The proposed 500,000-square-foot limit creates massive facilities that consume excessive electricity and water. This cap must be reduced significantly. * Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently lacks requirements for developers to study or disclose project health effects on surrounding communities. * Convert optional infrastructure guidelines, such as closed-loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation,

			and physical generator enclosures, from "should" to "shall" to make them legally binding. * Include language that prevents developers from splitting massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially bypass size thresholds or public hearings. * Eliminate the rule allowing facilities up to 250,000 square feet to bypass community input. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial-scale development begins. *Require developers to publicly disclose projected water usage and enforce consumption caps, rather than just obtaining a water company letter.Establish enforceable penalties.
1517	Bartoch	47130	* The proposed 500,000-square-foot limit creates massive facilities that consume excessive electricity and water. This cap must be reduced significantly. * Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently lacks requirements for developers to study or disclose project health effects on surrounding communities. * Convert optional infrastructure guidelines, such as closed-loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, from "should" to "shall" to make them legally binding. * Include language that prevents developers from splitting massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially bypass size thresholds or public hearings. * Eliminate the rule allowing facilities up to 250,000 square feet to bypass community input. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial-scale development begins. *Require developers to publicly disclose projected water usage and enforce consumption caps, rather than just obtaining a water company letter.Establish enforceable penalties.
1518	McKiernan	40205	e. With few exceptions, the LMG text amendment proposes the same standards and requirements for all tiers of data centers. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to 1 This analysis was prepared by Tom FitzGerald, of counsel, Kentucky Resources Council, for public use. KRC thanks Louisville Climate Action Network and others for their review and feedback. regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. 2. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area.

			The differentiation among data centers based on square-footage of floor area is arbitrary, and results in inadequate review of data centers with smaller floor area for which only a “registration” is required. There is no necessary correlation between the square-footage of floor area and the potential for a data center to have off-site adverse impacts on private or public land uses. Instead, the impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of the use – factors such as whether there are on-site generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electric consumption, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, fire or explosion potential, and noise. Additionally, the floor area square-footage does not represent the total footprint of a data center, which can and typically does include exterior areas for generators, waste management, storage of hazardous chemicals, and other exterior structures and activities. Finally, the flat prohibition on data centers of over 500,000 square-footage of floor area is of questionable legality, is arbitrary, and will provoke litigation. If the standards of performance are sufficiently protective of other land uses, and undergo careful scrutiny, there is no need for a size cap, particularly one unrelated to the intensity of the land use. Such a cap may result in multiple structures on the same lot serving the same function as would a single, better designed and controlled facility. 3. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. The LMG Draft Text Amendment allows data centers of up to 250,000 square-feet in floor area to be approved without public notice or an opportunity for the public to be heard. Rather than making such uses a conditional use in all cases, they are given “permitted use with ‘special standards’,” required only to register and be reviewed by the Planning Director or designee. 2 A new “Industrial–Data Center” classification should be created; applicants should be required to seek rezoning and apply for a conditional use permit from the Planning Commission with public notice, a hearing, and affirmative written findings. The new zoning classification would not apply to computers or telecommunications related equipment that is secondary and customarily incidental to an otherwise permitted use on the property, such as servers associated with an office building.

			Requiring a rezoning to the new industrial classification would (a) allow the Planning Commission to consider a Conditional Use Permit in conjunction with the rezoning, and (b) limit the scope of activities that can be conducted under the new industrial zoning classification to data centers of various types.² 4. Revise definitions to broaden protected “sensitive uses” and express “Noise level” more broadly. Eliminate definitions of “prevailing wage,” “construction work,” and “data center, accessory.” The LMG draft text amendment lacks several definitions that are key to a meaningful ordinance, including a sufficiently protective definition of “Sensitive Uses” in need of particular consideration in the siting of data centers, “Data Center Energy Systems” to recognize the energy systems often associated with data centers and a particular source of air emissions and noise, “Prime Farmland and Farmland of Statewide Importance” both of which should be considered and avoided in siting Data Centers, and Noise Level, which should be expressed to cover all potential nuisance noises. The Kentucky Resources Council (KRC) Model Data Center Ordinance provides definitions for each of these that should be adopted. The definitions for “prevailing wage” and “construction work,” should be removed. While KRC supports the intent, requiring as conditions of zoning approval that an applicant pay prevailing wage to construction workers and use hiring practices to favor local residents are of doubtful legality. 2 Typically, under KRS Chapter 100, a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) is issued by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, which hears applications for waivers and variances and for “conditional uses” allowed in certain zoning districts conditionally. A planning commission can hear and issue a conditional use permit as part of a zoning change. 3 Finally, the definition of “data center accessory use” is so broad and vague as to wholesale avoidance of the standards and requirements that otherwise apply to data centers under the LMG draft and should be removed. 5. Eliminate Category of “Data Center, Accessory” The category of data centers termed “Data Center, Accessory” is subject to much less rigorous standards. The use appears to be allowed in any zone and is not subject to any standards other than being accessory to a “principal use.” There is no size limit, no zoning classification limit, and no

			standards other than the generator standards that apply to the other classes of data centers. It does not define, to what principal uses an accessory data center can be accessory, yet states it can “only provide data services ... to the business, institution, or organization associated with the principal use only.” The definition of “Data Center, Accessory” creates a loophole in the regulation of data centers. It appears a company could site a local branch to a national or international business and use the “accessory” data center to serve all of the company’s national and international customers. 6. Eliminate separate category for telecommunications data center. Regardless of the nature of the data services they provide, all data centers should be regulated as data centers without unnecessary differentiations not keyed to the intensity of the land use and potential for adverse off-site consequences. 7. Strengthen performance standards for data centers. The following standards of performance for data centers need to be strengthened substantially to protect against off-site impacts and nuisance conditions: • Low Impact Site Design. LMG’s four-item list (minimize land disturbance, maximize tree preservation, minimize impervious surfaces, and minimize nuisance impacts) should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Energy Efficiency. LMG’s eight-item list (passive cooling and daylight orientation, alternative energy sources, energy storage for 4 off-peak usage, reclaimed water for cooling, limiting finite resources, water-efficient landscaping, LED lighting, and DOE Better Buildings and LEED practices) should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Emergency Contact Signage. 24-hour contact signage at each access entrance, including company name, operator representative’s name and telephone number, and the power company’s number, should remain mandatory for all data centers as proposed by LMG. • Generator standards. The LMG proposal for generator standards should narrow the testing window to weekdays, from noon–5 PM. • Cooling and water restrictions. The use of closed-loop cooling systems should be required rather than merely encouraged. • Decommissioning plan and financial assurance. A plan for

			decommissioning the data center, prepared by an engineer, with cost estimates and salvage valuation, and backed by a bond or letter of credit is needed. Without a requirement for a decommissioning plan, the abandonment risk falls on the public and particularly on nearby landowners. • Meaningful noise protections. LMG’s proposed single noise standard of 65 dBA at all hours is inadequate to protect off-site land users against the full range of anticipated noise impacts. Standards to protect off-site neighbors must include: - o Nighttime limits of 40 dBA night/45 dBA day - o A C-weighted (dBC) limit addressing the low-frequency, cooling-fan hum that generates most data center complaints and that only using dBA measurement understates. - o Vibration and infrasound control standards (ISO 2631/ANSI S2.71) - o A baseline ambient noise assessment - o A pre-construction acoustical study by a licensed engineer - o Continuous real-time monitoring - o Post-construction compliance testing • Enforceable waste heat standard. LMG’s ordinance should contain measurable and enforceable standards to prohibit any ambient temperature increase at the property line and require shielding and dispersal devices. 5 • Design, lighting, and fencing standards. Specific lighting and fencing standards should be adopted, including a 0.1 foot-candle maximum at the property line, 20-foot luminaire height limit, lighting curfews and dimming, façade articulation and at least 30% fenestration, loading dock orientation, ground-equipment placement restrictions, fencing material limits (no chain-link or razor wire), 80% year-round opacity screening, and berms. • Utility cost protection. Written verification from providers of utility services should include assurance that serving the data center will not have current or future adverse effects on other customers, including service availability, reliability, quality, cost, and safety. Applicant-funded upgrades should be required to be in place before operation. The LMG requirement for a transmission study request should not let applicants substitute a self-prepared “consumption

			model” for the transmission study. • Nuisance-avoidance standard should be adopted. A general nuisance-avoidance standard should be adopted to provide backup for the individual standards required of a facility. • Setbacks must be strengthened to protect sensitive uses and to protect all uses of adjoining lands, not merely existing uses. LMG requires only a setback of 25/100/200 feet (depending on the tier) from residentially zoned property and structure-to-structure separations of 25/100/200 feet from dwellings, childcare centers, and schools. Setbacks should be significantly strengthened to require 500 feet from all perimeter property lines, 1,500 feet from any Sensitive Use or any Residential-, Commercial-, or Agricultural-district boundary, and 1,000 feet to 2,000 feet for any emission point or exhaust stack. LMG’s separations protect only structures existing at the time of approval, and its protected-use list omits many Sensitive Uses: nursing and long-term care facilities, hospitals, places of worship, parks, community centers, and agricultural operations. Reliance only on setbacks from existing features or structures on other properties, and limiting property line setbacks to residentially zoned properties, fails to fully protect the range of uses for adjoining properties and effects an involuntary restriction on land uses of adjoining lands. 6 • Strengthen Environmental Siting Prohibitions. Development should not occur on slopes over 20%, karst-affected terrain, in floodplains, wetlands (and a 50-foot buffer), on prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance, or where threatened or endangered species or critical habitat would be affected. LMG does not prohibit placing buildings, generators, and substations on portions of a site mapped as environmental constraints under LDC 4.6.2.A, but instead, it merely imposes more strict development and mitigation requirements. • Addressing on-site and primary power generation. LMG regulates only generators “used as part of an energy backup system” and is silent on behind-the-meter primary generation (gas turbines, co-located plants). Continuous generator use should be prohibited. Primary, on-site generation should not be allowed under a “data center” zoning or CUP, and instead should be considered as a

			separate use, requiring its own zoning approval. LMG should also require emissions profiles and air permit applications, and consider diesel fuel delivery timing, secondary containment, and NFPA 855 compliance for battery storage. • Water protections should be strengthened. Closed-loop cooling should be mandated and not just “encouraged.” A Water and Wastewater Management Plan should be required with projected daily/peak demand disclosure. Siting within a wellhead protection area should be prohibited. LMG should include a best-available-technology water efficiency requirement, plus cooling discharge and pretreatment provisions. “Will Serve letters” from public utilities should confirm not just capacity, but also address pretreatment requirements and impacts on existing customers’ water availability, quality, and rates. • Transparency. The sworn ownership disclosure (parties in interest, under penalty of perjury) and disclosure of the primary user of the facility, should be retained from the LMG draft. 8. Application for a conditional use permit should require plans demonstrating how each of the substantive standards will be met during construction and operation, and how that compliance will be measured and monitored. A key aspect missing from the LMG draft is any outline of the requirements for an application for a CUP. The applicant should be required to submit 7 plans as part of the application, demonstrating how each of the substantive standards (noise, lighting, waste heat, water use, and waste management) will be met in the construction and operation of the data center. Additionally, the lack of monitoring during construction and operation to validate the planning and mitigation projections and to demonstrate compliance, is a significant problem that should be addressed by requiring compliance demonstration monitoring and sampling. 9. Application for a conditional use permit should require studies and assessments to demonstrate compliance with standards. LMG requires none of the following elements—a traffic study, one-mile property value appraisal, scenic compatibility evaluation, fire and explosion risks assessment with fire department capacity certification, a waste management plan (solid waste, e-waste, hazardous materials and cooling byproducts), and assessment of construction and operational emissions. All

			of these components should be required. 10. Failure to identify standards for review and approval. Another key aspect wholly missing from the LMG draft is specific standards for approval or denial of a data center CUP. The lack of such standards is problematic. Without them, predictable and defensive outcomes in permit review and issuance become more unlikely, as does the assurance that permits will not be issued without adequate and sufficient findings of fact to support the decision to issue. 11. Independent expert review should be commissioned at applicant expense. Provision should be made for the Planning Commission to retain a consultant to assist in Commission review of the application—at the applicant’s expense. The study should be available for public review prior to the public comment/hearing. 8
1519	Whitt	40214	I don’t want a data center in my city. I don’t think the trade off is worth it, it might create SOME jobs but not enough for the raise in electricity, the noise pollution, and the water they use. No data centers in Louisville until the city makes a law that the data center has to cover 100% of their electricity costs & our LG&E bill won’t go up.
1520	wandling	40241	these data centers are scams, they drain water resources, are loud, and if y’all think it’s not going to affect peoples costs shifted onto them then i have a “bridge” i’d like to sell ya , namely the ones that were sold to the people as taking 2 years to pay for that we are still paying for. y’all are scamsters. no data centers!!!
1521	Edelen	40206	Please make the regulations for new data centers in Jefferson County stricter. The 500,000 size is still too big. The environmental rules need to be way more detailed. Please consider water usage and pollution and stormwater impacts. If these data centers come to our community, let us dictate that they are environmental showcases of green industry, not weak examples of industry taking advantage of loosely written, ineffective rules. Make the landscaping even better than Chapter 10 of the Land Development Code or low impact design. Instead of 'minimize impervious surfaces' make them create a real way to deal with the huge amount of impervious surfaces the building and parking lot will create. How about a constructed wetland? Demand better, more effective solutions. Please don't use language like 'minimize disturbance' because that is easy to say it's accomplished with no real impact. Minimize by how much? How many gallons a day will be discharged into nearby streams or storm sewers? What temperature will the water be when discharged? Too hot for wildlife? Much of the language in section R is ambiguous. "Encourage" or "Utilize reclaimed water for cooling, if available" and so on. We need real rules, not easy to work around suggestions. "If available" should not be part of the wording. Make it available. "Utilize alternative energy sources (solar, wind, hydro, or any other system that can be demonstrated to the Planning Director to reduce energy demands from the distribution system) as much as possible." As much as possible? Not good enough. How about requiring these centers to be energy self-sufficient? Let's require them to have enough solar panels to fuel their own business. Require green roofs and bioswales. Let's require enough trees to cool the entire complex. The language used in these pages is insufficient

			and will not lead to decreased environmental damage or help maintain energy costs for the people of this town. Please make these rules more restrictive. We don't have to allow them to come here at all, so let's at least not degrade our own home to allow them. If they want to come, make them build truly environmentally protective buildings. Or better yet, make them convert existing buildings into better sites. Have them infill with old stores and warehouse. Let us please not be another example of a city who sold their soul for the fake promise of tax revenue or business development. If data centers come to our town, let's do it on our terms and get real benefits for all of us.
1522	Slimick	40299	No data centers added to our outdated and already over capacity utilities!
1523	Livingston	40204	I think the regulations suggestions aren't offering real concrete solutions to prevent data centers that would have adverse affects on our communities. 500,000 square feet is way too big even 250,000 is too large to allow in our city. These regulations allow data centers to be built too close to residences and community centers and daycares. Environmental impact should be taken more seriously so that we can monitor and prevent damage to our ecosystem, especially as we are so reliant on it. Like our ecosystem, any proposed data centers developed her should be used for businesses and residents here not for training AI or other Big Tech initiatives that don't bring any value to Louisville.
1524	Margi Garcia	40207	I am opposed to data centers that use important natural resources and provide few jobs
1525	Cave	40217	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company,

			there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1526	Shaaban	40206	No Data Centers!
1527	Schroerlucke	40219	All data centers regardless of size should be in industrial areas. Revise #2. Where is the regulations review or regulations audits? Add a statement that the city reserves the right to modify these regulations as needed. Include interventions when strain on utilities that places stress on public delivery of utilities, in addition to cost. If their need for utilities result in the need for expansion or development in public utilities that the data center will bear the cost of such expansion or developments.
1528	Fahling	40205	No new data centers of any size to be built in Louisville or the state of Kentucky. The existing data centers should be inspected and reports provided to the public with proof that people nor taxes are paying for these data centers or their electronic or water usage. Too many data centers have popped up quickly with no public input. I've never seen such hasty building making me think there's far more to the data centers than meets the eye.
1529	Z	47150	DO NOT LET THEM BUILD ANY
1530	Kolb	40219	Build them
1531	Breit	40023	No data centers.. period!
1532	Banks	40014	Just say NO to data centers!!
1533	Bube	40204	Data centers don't belong in the city or the state. Our sewage systems can't handle a short, heavy rain and our power grid can't handle current demand. Stop making bad decisions and passing the costs onto tax payers.
1534	Browning	40272	No Data Centers
1535	Pauig	40208	Reading the outlines, there seems to be no commitment to the water usage in this area. It's a problem in larger data centers, particularly the Georgia one that is making headlines. How can we be certain that residents will be utilizing safe, consumable water?
1536	Patton	40215	I would like to see NO MORE data centers period. There are major problems in other states and cities that have a lot of them (Georgia and Colorado) with the noise making people sick and water resources being drained etc. I think we should err on the side of caution until many years down the road to see what other negative things happen before committing our city/state.

1537	Yurt	40272	They have no business anywhere near where people already live and work. Businesses and governments always find loopholes or other ways around rules and policies, and the local people always end up footing the bill for any costs and price increases. Data centers are fundamentally bad for the environment, and trust in MSD and Louisville Water Company is already laughably low. We are taxed enough already, yet improvements are never made with the money they have; it's always another rate increase. We are nickel-and-dimed to the breaking point where it's all we can do to survive with basic needs. Salaries aren't increasing at the same rates.
1538	Nowell	40205	They cost too much to run to be worth it, they need to be required to provide their own power using only renewables and be a net contributor of clean water. They need to show that they have a viable business if they are going to claim millions in tax revenue, which they do not have.
1539	Schroerlucke	40219	Please provide streaming capability for public zoning discussion. I was unable to attend the data center zoning discussion but could have listened to the discussion online. In this day and age all public forums need to provide public streaming options. Many can't attend for a variety of reasons but could participate virtually. At a bare minimum the discussion should be recorded and offered online.
1540	Swann	40272	I strongly oppose the construction of large-scale data centers within our inner-city communities because the burden of these projects falls disproportionately on neighborhoods that are already struggling with limited resources. Data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water to operate, placing additional strain on public infrastructure and natural resources. As demand for these resources increases, residents are often left facing higher utility costs while receiving very few direct benefits in return. Families who are already working hard to make ends meet should not have to shoulder increased costs to support facilities that primarily serve corporate interests. Our inner-city neighborhoods are already facing significant challenges, including food deserts, limited access to healthcare, aging infrastructure, and a shortage of affordable housing. Rather than investing in developments that consume valuable land, water, and energy, we should be prioritizing projects that improve residents' quality of life by expanding grocery access, creating affordable housing, supporting local businesses, and strengthening community services. Introducing resource-intensive developments into these areas risks widening existing inequalities and further reducing access to the essential resources that families rely on every day. Beyond the immediate environmental concerns, these projects have the potential to create long-term economic and social consequences. Rising utility costs, increased pressure on infrastructure, and changes in surrounding property values can contribute to housing instability and place additional financial strain on vulnerable households. Without careful planning and meaningful community investment, these developments could accelerate displacement, increase homelessness, and deepen economic disparities rather than creating lasting prosperity. I urge our local leaders to prioritize sustainable economic development that protects our natural resources, safeguards affordability, and invests directly in the people and neighborhoods that have historically been underserved.

1541	Barnett	40245	Louisville has traditionally had some of the best drinking water in the country. I have seen how these data sinners impact not only the quality of the water, often times making the water undrinkable and unusable for bathing and cooking, but I have also seen how the data centers impact water pressure for nearby residence. What steps do we have in place to assure that we will maintain the quality of water we currently have and that water pressure will not be impacted for residents?
1542	Barkham	40214	I agree with the plan with the plan and the additional provisions
1543	Vanegas	40217	There is not nearly enough specification in the restriction. What is a substantial costase? What evidence would be required to prove that they wouldn't shift cost to the LG&E consumer. Data centers are awful for the environment and for the local population, but if we have to have them, then there needs to be very clear and specific guidelines.
1544	King	40202	I would like for the city to take a stand to protect its people and environment by not allowing data centers of any kind to be set up in Jefferson County. The city needs to be investing into its people, whether that be small businesses, relief programs, public transportation, parks, public infrastructure. These companies are not building data centers to benefit society but only to the benefit of their own pockets. I don't are if they are limited in size or to strictly industrial areas, that should not be allowed in any way. Do not let the fox into the hen house.
1545	Barnett	40245	I don't think it's enough to say that you will establish noise standards. What will those standards be? The proposal should specify that neighbors will not be impacted by constant noise from the data centers.
1546	S	40220	No to Data Centers. I have heard of too many stories were they drain water resources, drive up electricity prices making bills high for residents and all of that electricity is not safe. Why don't they build data centers in rich states or areas where the rich live. There always built near low income areas. NO TO DATA CENTERS. NO TO DATA CENTERS
1547	Clayton	40229	Upon reading the article a question what is substantial separation? What is considered an industrial area? And if a proposed center doesn't meet the requirements of public hearing is required like any entity listens to the public they have the required mandated public meeting and then they do what the hell they want to anyway anybody with any sense knows that! The bottom line is if they got enough money government gonna let them do what they wanna do. The people be damned!
1548	Haswell	40059	Please limit size as proposed and limit centers to only industrial zoned areas.
1549	Hunter	40217	Stop standing in the way of progress and economic growth. These types of barriers are why Louisville will always be a wannabe big city.
1550	Crockett	40207	It has been reported that data centers fueled by diesel powered generators cause respiratory health conditions. As the air quality in Louisville is already poor, it would be severely detrimental to Louisvillians' health to allow data centers to exist anywhere close to the public. The amount of water data centers use is also extremely alarming, the effect they have on birds and wildlife is also detrimental to their health and well-being. I absolutely oppose hyper-scaled data centers. We need more biodiversity and green spaces, more housing for the homeless, and less noise and air pollution for the health and well being of our community. Data Centers do nothing to provide us any of those. The short term employment gains are not worth the long term cost of the damage data centers will do to Louisville.

1551	Crabtree	40216	NO DATA CENTERS
1552	Bolin	40216	I am fully against data centers in Kentucky. They're not environmentally responsible and they affect the health and wellbeing of the residents surrounding them. We already have the monstrosity going in on campground road. Who approved that one? It's huge and what about the residents living around it, We pay more to host that thing? No DATA CENTERS IN Kentucky, Louisville or other areas!!
1553	Wix	40212	Data centers are loud, take up energy, take up water and have been shown to create fertility issues in animals. They're expensive and residents, especially in poorer areas, are made to foot the bill. Data centers are simply a trend that is going to die out within a few years. Why cause all this damage for something everyone is going to be moving on from soon??? Any person who moves to continue with putting data centers in Louisville or KENTUCKY will be voted out. NO MORE DATA CENTERS.
1554	Smith	40216	Why can they not just put data centers out in the country away from homes and schools where there is more land to do so. They shouldn't be able to build any data center in residential areas at all. If there is even one house nearby it is going to affect them and that is no fair to whomever that may be. There is plenty of land far away from the general public that they can look for and buy. There should also not be more than a certain amount of data centers or it is going to get well out of hand. I think its a terrible idea to let this go on in our state and we shouldn't allow any as it only brings construction jobs for a very short amount of time and benefits barely anyone. Instead it harms wildlife and the people around where it is built.
1555	Ferrell	40217	I do NOT want a data center in our communities. The harm that it will bring far outweighs any merit it holds. Please take action to keep our neighbors safe from this.
1556	Harris	40216	A complete and total ban on any data centers being proposed anywhere there are residential areas or other sites where citizens can go to enjoy amenities such as zoos, public parks, etc. If a site exists that is remote and would not impact previously mentioned no-go areas, then all costs which would include the cost of electricity usage must be borne by the data center and not passed on to ratepayers.
1557	Hardin	40214	I believe data centers are not a good idea for our community and should be built elsewhere
1558	Royalty	40291	Despite common claims, data centers typically do not provide a large number of permanent high paying jobs. Many operate with few staff and the permanent jobs they do create are often low wage. They do not provide economic benefit to the community they are built in, rather they are a drain. We're seeing in places where a data center was built, the cost of everyone's energy bill has risen. Without protections in place, I'm concerned the cost to power the data center will be passed on to the community. I'm also concerned the strain it will have on our water supply. Residents in Fayetteville, Georgia are experiencing this now with low water pressure.
1559	Clark	40205	Data centers should not be allowed unless they can produce the required energy through renewable sources and their water requirement should be a closed loop system. The rate payers should not in any way subsidize the independent business.

			Sincerely James Clark
1560	Harry	40218	Don't open any Data Centers!!!!
1561	Thomas	40217	The negative environmental impact of data centers is well documented. We should not allow these in our cities at the expense of the citizens. How will the government hold them accountable for their impact to noise pollution, waterways, and utilities?
1562	Cummings	40214	In your regulations, include that anyone building data centers must provide a power source that will run the center and provide additional energy for the immediate area. Think outside the box.
1563	Msyer	40299	I feel the proposed rules are valid. The taxpayers should not bear the cost and any noise / traffic from the installation. I'm a senior citizen and live on a fixed income. I can't afford to pay for someone else's business costs/expenses to e in a moneymaking business. Thank you for your attention to our concerns.
1564	Miller	40214	I feel that a data center of any size that relies on any form of local utilities should not be allowed to exist in or near any highly populated area. A legally binding commitment or state law requiring LG&E / Duke Energy to prioritize citizens utility needs over those of data centers must be monitored and enforced. A state law should also be passed prevent them from passing any associated costs onto residential customers. They should be required to generate their own electricity and maintain a self contained and renewable water supply. LG&E / Duke energy already struggle to provide adequate service to the Louisville Metro area. Every summer and winter we get blasted with warnings to reduce residential consumption, so there is no way that adding Data Centers to the already overburdened grid is not going to cause more problems.
1565	Thompson	40205	I am against this proposed Data Center! As a Cancer Patient I am against the Data Center because of the Environmental Pollution. The strain on the electric grid will be paramount and the financial issues for the taxpayers. Also the use of the water that's going to be polluted. There's not one positive thing that can be said for bringing a Data Center.
1566	Q	40118	I am in disagreement to having data centers in our state of Kentucky
1567	Wise	40291	Please do not pollute our city with data centers
1568	True	40019	The proposed Louisville Metro data center ordinance is a step forward on siting and basic compatibility, but it falls short where it matters most—technical rigor, enforceability, and long-term accountability. As written, it relies heavily on discretionary review and applicant-provided documentation, without requiring a structured, engineer-sealed analysis package (such as a Community Impact Report or Site Review Plan) that clearly ties impacts to enforceable mitigation measures and binding commitments. This creates a situation where decisions are made without a consistent, verifiable technical record, reducing transparency and increasing risk to the community.

			The ordinance also lacks any requirement for independent, third-party technical review funded by the applicant. Without this, all modeling, projections, and impact claims are effectively self-certified, with no formal mechanism for validation. For projects of this scale and complexity—particularly those involving large power loads, water use, and thermal discharge—this is a critical gap that weakens both oversight and public confidence. From a performance standpoint, the standards are largely high-level or optional rather than enforceable. Key items such as closed-loop cooling, energy efficiency measures, and environmental protections are encouraged but not required, and there are no quantitative performance thresholds for water use, discharge quality, heat emissions, or continuous monitoring. In contrast to more robust frameworks, this leaves significant uncertainty around real-world impacts and provides limited tools for enforcement if conditions degrade over time. Equally important, the ordinance does not establish a true lifecycle framework. There are no clear triggers for re-review when a facility expands, increases power demand, or modifies operations, and no structured process for reassessing impacts as conditions change. Data centers are not static uses—they evolve rapidly—and without defined “material change” thresholds and mandatory re-approval pathways, incremental expansion can occur without meaningful oversight. Finally, while the draft touches on utility capacity and ratepayer protection, it does not require detailed, verifiable analysis of infrastructure impacts or cost allocation. There is no formal requirement for utility-backed validation of grid capacity, upgrade costs, or potential rate impacts, nor any mechanism for independent verification. This leaves unresolved risk that large-scale demand could shift costs onto existing customers or strain regional infrastructure without adequate safeguards. In short, the ordinance functions as a basic land-use regulation, but not as a comprehensive control framework for high-intensity infrastructure. It lacks mandatory technical documentation, independent review, enforceable performance standards, and lifecycle oversight—elements that are essential to ensure transparency, protect infrastructure, and safeguard the community over time. Thank you, R. M. True Founder, Managing Director (and author of HB 937 LS 2026) The Kentucky Steward LLC
1569	Vittitow	40213	I am very concerned about the speed of development and lack of transparency around the campground road project. I am opposed to any hyperscale data centers in Kentucky, especially Jefferson County, near residential areas. There needs to be extensive, INDEPENDENT studies that will evaluate the impact to environment and human health.

			We can already see the local impact on other areas in the country that have built these hyperscale data centers. There are astounding reports on noise level, water overconsumption, air pollution and increasing energy bills. This is a major concern. Louisville already has poor air quality and rates of child asthma directly related to environment. Who is this going to benefit? We don't know the company. The construction jobs will be temporary and I'm not even sure they will be offered to locals. Ongoing, stable jobs are minimal and the tradeoff is immense. I don't believe residents are getting an honest assessment of potential risks and benefits. Why are there NDA's? The public deserves full transparency and consideration in such a large-scale development in our back yard. Also, I want more clarity around the language used in the proposal. With numbers, limits, etc. I don't have faith in oversight or enforcement once the project is built. Companies routinely exceed environmental regulations and can absorb the fines. I don't want this to happen here. What confidence can you provide that clearly defines the limits of "hyperscale" and demonstrates the ability to uphold and enforce these restrictions? Lastly, we are seeing the cost of such projects are larger than the profit. Where is AI/data collection going that it will pay off to everyday people? Who will profit? The city? Who will pay the cost? Financially and otherwise. I know the money isn't going in my neighbors pocket. And we stand a lot to lose when there is an explosion or other potential hazard exposed. Please pause to hear the considerations shared by me and others in Louisville. We need more time to fully understand impact and weigh the consequences of any data center project in Jefferson County.
1570	Carroll	40229	Please ban these data centers!
1571	Ruth	40241	If you are not familiar with the concept - urban heat island- then you are not educated enough to approve the data center project. We deserve to have expert opinion in this matter. We are a red flag urban heat island nationally. In addition to that, we are in the ohio valley. Pollution, pollen, and heat just sits over the city due to this. Population increase, depletion if the tree canopy, etc is going to make this a hazardous place to live, and it will be due to incompetence of leadership. The existing companies should be held to make impactful improvements in our air quality as it is. So many people suffer from asthma etc living in these areas.
1572	Ray	40207	We need to do everything we can to ban large data centers from Louisville. At a minimum, they must not shift ANY electricity or water costs (including infrastructure upgrades) onto other ratepayers.
1573	Cruse	40204	The City of Louisville does not need data centers. You have seen the feedback from this community. You all know it is wrong. You know it is bad for our water. You know it is bad for our air. You know it is bad for noise pollution. You know it is bad for our wildlife. You know it is bad for our agriculture. You know it is bad for our quality of life. You know all of these things. To choose to bring more data centers into this city is nothing more than to know

			that something is wrong and to choose to do it anyway. The city officials who have been voted in to represent the People of Louisville, the real human beings who live and work here every day, MUST reflect the will of those people. The people have spoken. It is increasingly difficult for politicians and corporations to see residents as anything more than datapoints. We are not numbers on a piece of paper. We are human beings. Think of us as such. Think of us as neighbors, parents, children, the disabled, the people already struggling to pay their utility bills, the people who will lose sleep every night when the industrial buzz of a data center infiltrates their home, the generations to come who will see water as a rare exchange commodity instead of a basic human right. You all know this is wrong. It is just wrong. Plain and simple. Please stop seeing people as numbers on a piece of paper. Do the right thing. Do the right thing. Do the right thing.
1574	Jackson	40205	no to any data centers, period. Electricity, water, sound - not good for the community at large.
1575	Lightner	40206	I feel that the proposed data center would be very harmful to our community. Specifically regarding the environmental concerns. The proposal is not clear on the environmental precautions that will be put into place should this be approved. I am strongly opposed to this data center in our area.
1576	Welenken	40241	Keep the damaging centers out of Kentucky!!!! No to all data centers!!!! They are harmful to the environment and to people. The water pollution, the noise pollution, the energy requirements are all not wanted here!
1577	Dozer	40217	NO DATA CENTERS. No data centers is the best thing for the city of Louisville. We do not need them, they are wrecking havoc on our environment and are creating higher costs for all households. If they are going to happen then they need to be able to run on solar power, not use the city water, find another way to cool or provide the water, no higher costs for families, and not be able to create any negative environmental impacts.
1578	Butler	40203	We absolutely do not need any data centers
1579	Cook	40245	No data centers!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
1580	Hanbali	40211	They are awful for the community and the environment. No one is better off having a data center except for the guy at the top cashing check. All it does is hurt the community.
1581	Swain	40203	NO hyper scale centers in the city.
1582	Kim	40217	Data centers are not needed in our communities and on our planet.
1583	Isaac	40204	We want NO data centers in our town!
1584	Knapp-Ziegler	40241	There is no guarantee that data centers are safe in or near communities where people live. Most evidence points to data centers increasing pollution and overwhelming energy grids resulting in undrinkable water and increased electrical bills or failure of energy infrastructure. And WHY do we need data centers?!!! Humans have existed for millennia without AI and rapid computations. Data centers only serve the ultra wealthy few who live far away from them.
1585	Barrow	40243	I support the strictest bans and restrictions on data center construction. We do not want data centers in Louisville!
1586	Burcham	40206	Please ban new hyperscale data centers and create new rules for smaller facilities. This is unwanted in the area and will create devastating effects on our local environment and the well-being of residents.

1587	Koff	40205	Nobody wants this. Reconsider what you value in this life. You are sad sad people.
1588	Seay	40229	Please do not put any data centers in Louisville or anywhere else. No one wants them and it's horrible for animals and livestock. Please!!!
1589	Shell	40205	Data centers are damaging our earth and communities. The city of Louisville doesn't want or need a data center.
1590	Cain	40217	Fuck data centers.
1591	McGehee	40245	You already know that we don't want data centers in our state!!!! Stop bootlicking and stand up to this shit!!! Do your job!
1592	Green	40207	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps.

			Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Thank you. Lynette Green
1593	Hillerich	40242	Why is there an option in the proposal to make exceptions? These regulations make sense. Why provide an option to work around them? This is the public hearing on data centers. If these are the proposed rules, dont propose a rule to work around the rules. This is why we cant have nice things. Someone always wants to make an exception.
1594	White	40243	NO data centers in Louisville! There are far too many unknowns that involve the health and safety of residents. It's been reported that data centers have an extremely harmful effect on the environment, ecosystem, and community members. They do not provide long term jobs, and will cause an over burdening of our current utilities grid. There should be a suspension of the building of any data centers in Louisville until these concerns are resolved by the developers.
1595	Darnell	40203	I am completely opposed to a data center. I do not agree with the decisions to increase these centers across the country and world due to environmental factors that result in widespread damage.
1596	Rosenberger	40228	As long as the data centers pay their own utility bills and and and make a commitment to renewable energy sources and makes the extra effort above EPA regulations to keep the environment clean... I am good with it being in Louisville
1597	Long	40242	No.
1598	Bouldin	40205	While I am not opposed to the implementation of data centers per se, they should be built with the primary concern being the city, making sure that there are not negative environmental and economic impacts. The Louisville Climate Action Network proposes the following guidelines which I believe the city of Louisville should implement: Eliminate arbitrary tiers. Regulate all data centers on the basis of how much they would impact neighbors, everyone's utility bills, etc. Eliminate loopholes. No proposed data center should be approved without public input, opportunity for a hearing and Metro Council review. Create a new "Industrial Data Center" zoning classification for all data centers and require all proposers to obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP).

			Ensure that all other electric, natural gas, water and wastewater utility customers won't be forced to subsidize data centers with higher bills or poorer service. Ensure that data centers don't prevent Louisville from achieving our 100% renewable energy goals. Limit off-site noise as measured on all decibel scales, including when generators are running, using technology and set-backs. Thank you, Mary Bouldin MD
1599	M Scott	40205	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE
1600	H	40245	No tax breaks for them, make them pay more for energy and utility infrastructure. Keep them away from residential areas and future areas where neighborhoods can be built. Ensure their east water does not seep into our water tables.
1601	Wooten	40229	Data Centers should be banned completely. They are an astronomical strain on our utility bills, noise and air pollution that will lead to health problems. The land could be utilized for affordable housing or other agricultural needs. Allowing data centers shows that the government is against their residents.
1602	Riggle	40118	Data centers are a blight on our landscape. They are a drain on our natural resources like water. They do not provide significant improvements or jobs to our area. They should not be in an area with as significant of a watershed as they Ohio valley
1603	Johnston	40222	Data centers are not welcome in Louisville. We need to focus our energy and funds toward combatting issues already here rather than bringing in new ones. We need access to clean drinking water. We don't need the constant noise, air, and water pollution that unwanted data centers bring. Louisville will be unlivable in the next 10 years with this proposed data center.
1604	Kirkland	40216	I agree with proposals to require new data centers to be limited in size, approval only in industrial areas, meet the same noise standards as city residents, provide evidence that they will not increase utility costs, complete an environmental assessment and prove that they will not produce a negative impact, require mandatory documentation for transparency, and be required to maintain a certain distance from residential zoned areas. In addition I think data centers should not be eligible for any tax exemptions. I think it's crucial that any data center built here pays their share of taxes. I would go as far as to require data centers to pay an additional tax that isn't required of other industries.
1605	Lopez	40218	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1606	Bouldin	4025	The Louisville Climate Action Network proposes the following guidelines: LCAN's short of list of priority changes for your consideration: Eliminate arbitrary tiers. Regulate all data centers on the basis of how much they would impact neighbors, everyone's utility bills, etc. Eliminate loopholes. No proposed data center should be approved without public input, opportunity for a hearing and Metro Council review. Create a new "Industrial Data Center" zoning classification for all data centers and require all proposers to obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). Ensure that all other electric, natural gas, water and wastewater utility customers won't be forced to subsidize

			data centers with higher bills or poorer service. Ensure that data centers don't prevent Louisville from achieving our 100% renewable energy goals. Limit off-site noise as measured on all decibel scales, including when generators are running, using technology and set-backs. These are commonsense measures designed to mitigate the impact of data centers if they are allowed to be built within the Louisville area.
1607	Neuling	40204	Keep data centers out of Kentucky!
1608	Frodge	40214	I do not support data centers being built within Louisville Metro or in surrounding counties for a variety of reasons, including environmental destruction. There is growing evidence to the role data centers have on local energy and water supplies, which could absolutely affect Kentuckians health. Additionally, we have built a tourism industry around the "bluegrass state." To sell our beautiful land to corporations with no transparency is to turn our backs on the working class Kentuckians that love to call this state home.
1609	Purvis	40222	We do not need Data Centers. They are detrimental to the public's health and overall well-being. Data centers have proven to make people sick. Furthermore, they suck up water, which is far more important for life than whatever a data center is meant to be used for. They promote the loss of jobs for many, as they support AI, which is naturally going to take away many job opportunities for people. And the data centers most certainly shouldn't be placed specifically in predominantly black communities; this is unjust prejudice & racism.
1610	Wright	40272	These data centers do not need to be in our city. They ruin the landscape and take down the moral of the people here. Stop siding with corporations because you'll make money. Let the citizens be happy and stop ruining our lives with this nonsense. Get them out of here.
1611	Collins	40206	I do not want data centers in Louisville. The environmental consequences are too great. Our community will suffer for it.
1612	Samuels	40223	I don't trust that costs won't filter down to residents, no matter what the regulations say. There is no upside to hosting data centers in a city. At best, they will be a permanent hole in the city that employs few people and extracts our resources that only adds value to whoever owns the company, which is almost guaranteed to be someone from outside of this area. At worst, they sneak their way around any regulation that's an inconvenience to them. Maybe they don't make our energy bills go up immediately, but who's to say how much they contribute to bills rising over a few years or decades? Ban them altogether. We won't miss them for a second.
1613	Polston	40213	We do not want any data centers in Jefferson County. They are horrible for the environment for reasons I am sure have already been brought to the attention of Metro Council, will increase utility bills that are already soaring amidst inflation and planned utility increases in the next year, and the proposed locations for data centers are in low-income areas of the city.

1614	Gordon	40211	We do not want data centers AT ALL
1615	Husband	40118	Ban hyper-scale Data Centers in Louisville. We Don't want them in our backyards or anywhere near residential areas. This is not good for Louisville. We don't want Our electric and water bills going sky high or Our waterways polluted. We have enough pollution now. Keep Our trees and green space it is what gives us oxygen to breath. Leave Our wild life alone.
1616	Faust	40229	No more data centers should be allowed if they are ruining our water, land, and health...
1617	Shapero	40241	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1618	Gurren	40203	I do not want to see a data center built in our community. The environmental hazards, noise, and disruption to the community are not welcome, especially in a residential area. Data centers do not contribute to the wellness of residents and should not be permitted in Louisville.
1619	Acostarose	40214	Mega data centers do not belong in Louisville. They do not belong in Kentucky or ANYWHERE ELSE! Building a data center will ruin what little life we have left in the Ohio and you will rid a whole city of potable drinking water. NO DATA CENTERS!!!
1620	Overfelt	40291	NO! Just No!
1621	Massie	40205	I say no to data centers period, absolutely none whatsoever. They are a blight for the environment and we as citizens have a right to fair electrical rates and to not have data centers. Any politicians in our fair city who support the centers will be voted out. You will be replaced. Consider Louisville leaders that your jobs are on notice, we your constituents your bosses will hold performance reviews, and we will discuss whether or not you are qualified for your positions.
1622	Chaplin	40214	Do not allow any within city. Do not allow any within 5 miles of residential neighborhoods. Set strict noise and light pollution limits. Do not allow any costs from utility or water usage to be passed off to residents via rate increases. Add an environmental usage tax on data centers to offset the detrimental environmental effects. Taxed funds will go to parks and recreation or public works offices.
1623	Taylor	40215	Please ban data centers outright in the Louisville Metro area. Stop the Steve Poe data center.
1624	Dudleson	40241	This city does not need more things contributing to the heat island, water, and air quality problems. We already have the entirety of SDF and UPS, the Ford plants, GE, the Rubbertown chemical plants, and generating stations in the southwestern part of the county lowering the air quality on a daily basis. We also have all of their concrete buildings being the biggest contributor to the heat island problem, rivaled probably only by the amount of exposed concrete parking lots downtown. Almost all of our natural waterways are already polluted. Why do any of you want all of this to get worse? Absolutely no data centers need to be opened anywhere in Jefferson county.
1625	Fauber	40207	Nobody wants Data Centers. They only ruin the area they are in and the data they provide is unnecessary and is ruining our society. Quit shoving AI down our throats. Quit selling out our people and land for greedy profits.
1626	Hamilton	40241	We do not want data centers anywhere. Like most “advancements” that society runs into, there are undoubtedly numerous consequences that are unknown. This will most likely have health and environmental consequences, as well as more unforeseen consequences. Think and pause before we jump on the bandwagon wagon!
1627	Chadwick	40220	Please ban all data centers in Kentucky. Don’t let our future generations suffer because of this. Don’t destroy the reasons why Kentucky is so special, because of its beauty and Appalachian nature. No one wants this except the people whose pocket it lines. The people who live and breathe this air and are the actual stewards of this land

			have spoken against this. Why not listen to your people who voted you all in and trusted you to be their voice? Care about the home we have! We are begging at this point.
1628	Curtis	40204	In Kentucky, and across the United States, we have seen swift approval of hyperscale data centers, partially because there are no rigid zoning protections. Many of these data centers pursue zoning approvals as “telecommunication hotels” which are classified as industrial uses and are therefore permitted under industrial zoning regulations. Poe Company, the developer of the Campground Road Data Center, cites the area as having been “zoned industrial for 40 years” (Louisville Metro Planning Commission Minutes, March 2026). The approved Campground Road data center in Louisville passed through Louisville Metro planning processes quickly, as the project did not need rezoning and received approval from the Louisville Metro Planning Commission and Louisville Metro Council in 2025. The data center zoning regulations proposed by the Louisville Metro Office of Planning are an important first step in ensuring that Louisvillians do not bear the brunt of increased utility costs, and the noise, air, and water pollution that hyperscaler facilities bring with them. However, the proposed regulations could be strengthened by including more detailed and specific requirements to better protect nearby residential communities, the environment, affordable utility cost, and public health. While Louisville is not currently in the process of approving another data center in Jefferson County, emergence of hyperscale data centers within the LG&E/KU service area would still increase rates for ratepayers, as the companies’ build out more generation to support those potential facilities. Many developers target areas in which land is cheaper, often resulting in the continued concentration of industrial facilities in low-income and marginalized communities. This pattern ultimately leads to continuation of environmental injustice by adding to already increased environmental and socioeconomic impacts. Local officials should consider the societal impacts of data centers in these areas when reviewing proposals to ensure that environmental justice concerns are incorporated into zoning practices. The Metropolitan Housing Coalition MHC recommends adding additional ordinances such as property boundaries, setback distances, design, and utility affordability control to ensure the safety of residential houses and the environment. Hyperscale data centers should not be located near any residential areas, schools, daycares, or areas in which community members would be impacted by noise, air, and light pollution. One way to do this is through implementing an overlay zone, which poses additional restrictions for specific types of development like data centers. Overlay zones should designate areas in which data centers, if development were to occur, meet certain conditions to minimize community impact. Overlay zones could include, for example, requirements for energy efficiency to reduce the need for new electric generation buildout (Georgetown Climate Center, 2025). Hyperscalers should have large setback distances (500-1,500 feet) regardless of zoning classification of the adjacent property. Design should be carefully considered to minimize environmental and community impact, including not building on farmland, wetlands, or near residential areas. Buildings should be designed to maximize energy and water efficiency, minimizing excessive consumption of both resources. It is

			paramount that energy and water resources used by the hyperscale data centers do not affect the affordability and accessibility of utilities for residential ratepayers. Most importantly, data centers should be required to bring their own energy generation, so the cost of their massive energy load is not passed on to struggling Louisville residents. Hyperscale data centers should also be required to “Bring Your Own New Clean Energy” (BYONCE), ensuring that a percentage of their energy usage is required to be renewable energy. More than 17% of Louisville residents struggle to pay their utility bills, forcing many families to make difficult choices between paying for electricity and meeting other essential needs, such as housing, food, and healthcare. We applaud the Louisville Metro Office of Planning for banning hyperscale facilities in Louisville in their draft zoning ordinance. We believe that including the above listed ordinances will strengthen the existing zoning ordinance and ensure the safety of residents, their ability to pay their utilities, and the safety of the environment. Respectfully, Anthony P. Curtis Executive Director Metropolitan Housing Coalition
1629	Miranda	40229	They're not immediately beneficial. We don't know the cost on environment in full and KY as a whole is agricultural land, it's part of our life and heritage. To strip that away for data center that can be more damaging is not appropriate can go against our basic way of life. On top of that the electric load of the data center can way heavily on the grid irregardless of the separate supply to the data center and the water load can harm the citizens of the city of which it is located. There is also no citizen benefits as in no community benefit for citizens except before quick AI usage access and availability.
1630	Fisher	40213	WE DO NOT WANT THE DATA CENTERS!!!!
1631	Jividen	40204	I would like to see Louisville grow, I own a home, I'd like to see shared prosperity; so I am not reflexively anti growth. We do not have any idea of the social or environmental impact of these data centers. We spend more time considering if we should build a pickleball court. This feels like the thing where we all look back in x years when we're rationing water and say “well, I was against it”. Be against it now.
1632	Clark	40214	No large data centers unless in already industrialized areas or are small enough to be hidden and unheard.
1633	Froming	40206	No to large scale data centers!! They provide noise pollution and environmental pollution.
1634	Troy	40219	WE DO NOT WANT THIS IN LOUISVILLE! WE DO NOT WANT THESE ANYWHERE
1635	Kampschaefer	40272	I don't want any data centers in the city at all, regardless of the location. They're not necessary and are hazardous to the surrounding areas
1636	Logsdon	40208	I believe the residents have been saying it loud and clear, the residents do not want data center in our city, at any size.

1637	Tate	40228	NO new data centers period! They're bad for the environment and consume so much more of everything than they give.
1638	Basham	40212	We do NOT need a data center in the city, we are already a small enough city we do not have the room nor the patience. It will turn us from having one of the best water systems in the world to having shitty water like the rest of em
1639	Terranova	40204	We do not need data centers in Jefferson County, Kentucky. They are a disservice to the community, being built solely to power a new field of technology that is not sustainable.
1640	White	40211	I do not want data centers near my home or in my community. The data that exist on these centers is not good.
1641	C	40214	No! Terrible for cities and environment.
1642	Cheser	40299	Data centers require an immense amount of power, that power is better suited to individuals that actually need it rather than a data center to power AI. Data centers have also been proven to cause more pollution in the area they're in and cause poor water quality. I agree that data centers should be banned, especially in residential areas!
1643	Martin	40207	Introduction 81.5% of surveyed Louisville residents responded that they do not want a data center located anywhere in the Louisville/Jefferson County Metro area (Roldan, 2026). As the local community has rejected data center construction within the city/county area, data centers should not be built within city/county limits. However, if the Louisville Metro Government intends to continue data center development against the majority of local residents' wishes, it should set the strongest possible rules to protect county residents from the multitude of impacts posed by these centers. It must also address the reality that industrial development in Louisville is highly clustered in the Rubbertown neighborhood where residents already face stresses related to air pollution, chemical releases, noise, traffic and heat island effects. All of these stresses will be compounded by adding new data centers. Concentrating new, large data centers in areas currently zoned for heavy industry, as Louisville proposes to do, will only make this worse. Strong rules could remedy this situation. They would work to minimize the stresses caused by data centers, address the toll of multiple data centers in close proximity, and keep new facilities out of neighborhoods highly burdened by pollution. Presently, all but one of the data centers that are built or under construction in Louisville are located in a federally identified disadvantaged community. But the proposed regulations are lacking, including in the following ways: tiered regulations for varying size/scale; the definition of data center size in square footage instead of energy use or server quantity; their placement in industrial areas and adjacent to residential areas; lack of provision for energy sourcing (types, quantities, and backups); and insufficient control over noise, heat, and other disruptions for people living near data centers. More broadly, these rules will not protect people in the region from the potential for energy grid instability and poorer regional air quality resulting from more coal and gas being burned to power new data centers. Many of

			our suggested amendments align with those proposed by the Kentucky Resources Council (KRC). Wholistic Review Data centers pose a variety of intense impacts to neighboring communities. The toll of their massive energy and water consumption can be felt across a whole region. When centers are clustered near each other, neighbors will feel the additive effects of noise, heat, diesel generators, and other disruption. Right now, the draft rules consider facilities individually. However, in the limited build out of data centers in the county so far, we see Louisville’s first hyperscale facility, PowerHouse Louisville, broken down into several individual building structures near Rubbertown and a cluster of smaller facilities between Old Louisville and the downtown area. Louisville must consider the additive effects of data centers in close proximity whether they are owned by a single company or have multiple owners. The rules should forbid city staff and elected officials from signing non-disclosure agreements with data center developers or operators. They should require full public reporting of electricity and water uses, both before construction and once operational. Louisville should also not allow new facilities to be built if their energy demand, additional air, water, and noise pollution, or water consumption disrupts the public health over the entire region. Faulty Size Definitions and Tiered Regulations Louisville Metro Government should abandon tiered regulations for varying size and scale of data centers completely and treat all data centers with equal expectations and regulations and a unilateral approach to compliance. Additionally, square footage is not an accurate reflection of data center size or scale. Thus, the Louisville Metro Government should employ definitions based on energy use and processing power, which more accurately reflect data center size and scale. The proposed ban on facilities with buildings greater than 500,000 sq. ft. is meaningless when developers like Powerhouse build a cluster of several buildings that are 300,000 sq. ft. instead. Zoning and Placement Regulations The proposed placement in industrial areas and adjacent to residential areas is concerning to both nearby residents and workers alike. For example, Powerhouse Louisville is currently being built near the Rubbertown industrial area. Rubbertown is an area in southwest Louisville, where industrial chemical facilities and a power plant are surrounded by low-income neighborhoods (Fowler, 2020; Rubbertown Community Advisory Council, n.d.). Powerhouse Louisville has the potential to exacerbate preexisting burdens on nearby residents’ health. Existing impacts of Rubbertown’s industrial facilities include air pollution, noise, dust, and traffic. Residents suffer from increased rates of various cancers and respiratory ailments (Frailey, 2023; Rubbertown Community Advisory

			Council, n.d.). The risks of further industrialization, including data centers, should not be placed on communities that are already overburdened with environmental and health concerns. To address this, we recommend identifying overburdened communities before approving data center projects to ensure that already-overburdened communities will not be encumbered by the cumulative impacts of existing social, environmental, and health hardships combined with data centers’ environmental and health impacts. Neighborhood-Level Impacts of Data Centers Data centers pose a variety of stresses on neighboring communities. This includes constant noise and vibration, polluting diesel generators that run for monthly testing and during power outages, and concerns about waste heat put out by cooling systems. Louisville has largely attempted to address this by requiring setbacks of 25, 100 and 200 feet from data centers to nearby residents (based on the square footage of the center). However, these setbacks are not large enough, and the rules could require other mitigation measures to reduce local impacts. Noise Regulations Data centers’ multitude of electrical equipment, primarily servers, electrical cooling equipment, and HVAC systems, create high- and low-frequency noise pollution that disrupts human health and quality of life (Gao & Tao, 2025; Smith-Schoenwalder, 2026; Wittenberg, 2026; Yañez-Barnuevo, 2026). Data center HVAC and generator noise can be heard up to 2 miles away, with the most intense noise persisting up to 3000 feet (roughly half of a mile) away. Since data centers run 24/7, noise pollution is constant, which is a known public health issue (Net Zero Insights, 2025; Sierra Club, 2025; Smith-Schoenwalder, 2026). In humans, chronic exposure to noise pollution can cause the following health issues: sleep disruption, headache, hearing damage, hypertension (high blood pressure), cardiovascular diseases, and elevated stress hormones (Net Zero Insights, 2025; Smith-Schoenwalder, 2026; Yañez-Barnuevo, 2026). The proposed flat noise limit of 65 dBA at all hours does not protect nearby residents and workers sufficiently. There should be lower limits for evening and nighttime hours, such as a nighttime limit of 40 dBA and a daytime limit of 45 dBA, as KRC suggests (Kentucky Resources Council, 2026). KRC also suggests other metrics of sound limitation, like a C-weighted (dBC) limit to capture the low-frequency hum often reported of data centers (Kentucky Resources Council, 2026). Several cities have set limits of 65-70 dBC for lower range sound, but it isn’t clear if this limit is fully protective (Alameda County Community Development Agency, 2014; Prince William County, VA Municipal Code, 2005). Lastly, we suggest conducting a baseline ambient noise study and pre-construction acoustical studies conducted by a licensed engineer to fully capture the existing noise profile of an area as well as data centers’ noise emissions once constructed (Kentucky Resources Council, 2026). After construction, continuous real-time sound monitoring and routine post-construction compliance testing are vital to holding each data center accountable to

			these regulations (Kentucky Resources Council, 2026). Diesel Generators Data centers are currently designed to operate nearly continuously, therefore huge numbers of backup generators are typically deployed to keep centers functional if grid power is not available. These generators emit far more air pollution than a gas power plant for each watt generated, and can be located steps from a person's home. While power outages are relatively uncommon at the moment, they could become much more common as data centers are constructed regionally and as warming temperatures cause additional grid drain from air conditioner use. Batteries are evolving to be a better source of short term power for industrial facilities, but in the meantime as the technology is being honed, Louisville should consider the pollution impact of diesel generators when deciding if a facility can be constructed near homes, schools, or other community hubs. Waste Heat The electronic components of data centers generate intense heat. Energy and water-intensive cooling systems are used to cool building interiors, but vent that heat outside. Research is ongoing to understand the impact of 24/7 cooling systems on neighborhoods. However, these effects will logically be larger for computing-intensive hyperscale data centers and clusters of multiple data center buildings in a small geographic area. While the proposed regulations do require data centers sized between 50,000-500,000 sq. ft. to not release waste heat in the direction of neighboring properties, it does not adequately or uniformly address the impacts of excess heat in the environment (Rojas-Burke, 2026). Energy Use The proposed regulations do not mention data centers' energy use, often expressed in watts or megawatts, which are a more accurate reflection of data center size and scale than square footage. Single hyperscale data centers can have an energy use of 100+ megawatts (MW). One MW is one million watts, which are a unit of measuring electricity. 100 MW is more energy than 100,000 American households combined use annually. Powerhouse Louisville is projected to use up to 525 MW, which would total more energy than a combined 525,000 American households use annually (International Energy Agency, 2025; Mason, et al., 2025; Yañez-Barnuevo, 2025). New data centers should be required to certify that their energy demands will not destabilize the energy grid, lead to blackouts on peak energy use days, or further overburden energy infrastructure. Currently, there are two fossil fuel based energy generating stations in the Louisville Metro area, the Cane Run natural gas plant and the Mill Creek coal plant. Another plant, called Mill Creek 5, is under construction as an addition to the existing Mill Creek facility and expected to begin processing natural gas for energy in 2027 (LG&E and KU, 2026). The Mill Creek and Cane Run stations are just under 11 miles from each other, along the Ohio

			River, clustered amongst other industrial facilities in West and South Louisville. Concerns Regarding Fossil Fuel Reliance The proposed regulations reinforce our concerns that current and future data centers in Louisville will rely on fossil fuels for energy, as more than half of data centers' energy in the U.S. is sourced from fossil fuels (Yañez-Barnuevo, 2025). Fossil fuel-burning generators can produce the following harmful air pollutants, among others: sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, particulate matter (PM), and carbon dioxide (Giacobone, 2026; Gorey, 2025; Hilt, 2026; Yañez-Barnuevo, 2025). These pollutants are linked with health harms including increased hospitalizations, cardiovascular and respiratory harms, preterm birth, low birth weight, strokes, and cancers, which worsen with long-term exposure (World Health Organization, 2026). New primary and backup energy sources must be explicitly and vigorously regulated. LG&E/KU are already slated to build two brand new natural gas plants in Louisville and nearby Mercer County, and also extend the life of a coal plant in Carroll County (Sonka, 2025). This is concerning due to the aforementioned health harms of fossil fuel incineration and associated pollution exposure. Analysis of the public health impacts of new gas plants built to power data centers in Virginia clearly traces the impacts of a proposed gas power plant, to poorer regional air quality and poorer health for people across a broad region (Southern Environmental Law Center, 2025). Community Engagement The proposed regulations are uncomfortably silent on the matter of community engagement in the consideration of potential facilities other than requiring a public hearing as well as conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations. We recommend the inclusion into the public data center deliberation process of strategies designed to implement early, meaningful, and accessible community and stakeholder engagement. Decision-making processes should be open, transparent, accessible, representative, inclusive, and respectful of community leadership. Public engagement should include clearly defined periods for community input, meaningful opportunities for communities to shape project outcomes, and built-in protections for community priorities and benefits. Projects should remove barriers to stakeholder participation in these engagement efforts. Such processes should include staged engagement processes across the lifecycle of a project's development. Additionally, all community engagement interactions and exchanges must be documented and published, with developers needing to document and disclose how community input is or is not being implemented or addressed throughout project development. All project timelines should be made public, so community members can engage in the process. Furthermore, the community engagement process must seek to remove barriers to participation identified by potentially impacted communities, deliver diverse engagement strategies, and be provided in accessible formats for diverse audiences - including in the predominant languages spoken by a given

			community, when applicable. These engagement activities must be designed to meet community preferences with respect to frequency, timing, locations, and formats to the greatest extent possible. They should include listening sessions and public education and engagement campaigns as well as public hearings. Finally, to best ensure community input and engagement, there must be an established community advisory committee or resident panel, made up of representative residents, that meets regularly to make recommendations regarding project development and deployment. Means by which prospective developers themselves might provide benefits or other incentives to members of community advisory committees or resident panels must not be allowed in order to avoid the capture or biasing of said participants; this might be contrasted to these participants being compensated for time served, which must only be effected through funds doled out from a Community Betterment Fund created and held by the City and paid into by the developer. Community Benefits and Impacts Mitigation The proposed regulations lay out some before-the-fact mitigation measures for a potential data center facility but noticeably lack any after-the-fact mitigation measures for addressing impacts facilities might bring despite the best initial efforts at prevention. We recommend the stipulation of a Community Benefit Fund that supports the mitigation of impacts related to a potential project as well as environmental protection, housing weatherization, energy affordability, and other community priorities. The fund should have line items specific to the community-identified impacts and harms that warrant mitigation. In keeping with the impacts singled out for attention above, these may include energy affordability and grid mitigation, water protection and mitigation, environmental mitigation and restoration, community space and quality-of-life investments, and a water protection fund. A Community Benefit Fund should also provide financial means for residents and community members engaged in advisory committees or panels like those outlined in the section above to consult legal experts who specialize in contract law and community agreements as well as a third-party mediator or facilitator to assist with developer negotiation and accountability. The development of, funding levels for, and community-led administration of such a Community Benefit Fund should be born out of a signed and enforceable Community Benefits Agreement agreed to by the developer with community input and support achieved using the aforementioned community engagement strategies. Conclusion These suggestions are respectfully submitted on behalf of Until Justice Data Partners (UJDP) and our partners with the intent of strengthening protections for Louisville communities. We request that the Louisville Metro Government implement the recommendations in this comment and those proposed by the Kentucky Resources Council (KRC) to best protect Louisville, its residents, its workers, and the city as a whole. Louisvillians should have a say in what impacts their lives, and residents' input should be more thoroughly integrated into any considerations for new developments, including data centers.

			Signed, Until Justice Data Partners Sources Alameda County Community Development Agency. (2014, June). Impact Analysis. Alameda County Community Development Agency. https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/landuseprojects/documents/23_Ch3-11_Noise.pdf Fowler, J. (2020, April 21). The West End of Louisville (ca. 1835-). Black Past. https://blackpast.org/african-american-history/the-west-end-of-louisville-ca-1835/ Fraily, M. (2023, Nov. 8). Rubbertown, West Louisville: A Historic Source of Environmental Injustice. Louisville Political Review. https://loupolitical.org/2023/11/08/rubbertown-west-louisville-a-historic-source-of-environmental-injustice/ Gao, P., & Tao, Y. (2025, May 27). Global data center expansion and human health: A call for empirical research. Eco-Environment & Health, 4(3), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eehl.2025.100157 Giacobone, B. (2026, March 9). The data center boom is a diesel generator boom. Latitude Media. https://www.latitudemedia.com/news/the-data-center-boom-is-a-diesel-generator-boom/ Gorey, J. (2025, Oct. 17). Data Drain: The Land and Water Impacts of the AI Boom. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy. https://www.lincolninst.edu/publications/land-lines-magazine/articles/land-water-impacts-data-centers/ Hilt, E. (2026, April 14). xAI built an illegal power plant to power its data center. Southern Environmental Law Center. https://www.selc.org/news/xai-built-an-illegal-power-plant-to-power-its-data-center/ Kentucky Resources Council. (2026). Recommended Revisions to Louisville Metro Draft Data Center Text Amendments. Kentucky Resources Council. https://kyrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/KRC-Recommendations-re.-LMG-Data-Center-Ordinance-2026-06-22.pdf LG&E and KU. (2026). Mill Creek 5 Generating Unit. LG&E/KU. https://lge-ku.com/mc5 Mason, K., Freudenberg, R., Garner, C., & Zackin, D. (2025, June 30). The Rise of Data Centers in the Grid. Regional Plan Association. https://rpa.org/news/lab/the-rise-of-data-centers Net Zero Insights. (2025, April 29). The Environmental Cost of Data Centers. Net Zero Insights.

			https://netzeroinsights.com/resources/data-centers-environmental-cost/ Prince William County, VA Municipal Code. (2005). Prince William County, VA. https://library.municode.com/va/prince_william_county/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=CH14NO Rojas-Burke, J. (2026, May 18). Turning down the heat from data centers. ASU News. https://news.asu.edu/20260518-environment-and-sustainability-turning-down-heat-data-centers Roldan, R. (2026, Feb. 9). Survey: Vast majority of Louisville residents oppose building new data centers. Louisville Public Media. https://www.lpm.org/news/2026-02-09/survey-vast-majority-of-louisville-residents-oppose-building-new-data-centers Rubbertown Community Advisory Council. (n.d.) Rubbertown History. Rubbertown CAC. https://www.rubbertowncac.org/rubbertown-history Sierra Club. (2025). The Hidden Dangers of Data Centers. Sierra Club. https://www.sierraclub.org/sites/default/files/2025-07/data-center-factsheet-2.pdf Smith-Schoenwalder, C. (2026, April 28). 'Living in Hell': Data Center Neighbors Grapple With Noise, Air Pollution. US News. https://www.usnews.com/news/national-news/articles/2026-04-28/living-in-hell-data-center-neighbors-grapple-with-noise-air-pollution Sonka, J. (2025, Oct. 28). Kentucky regulators grant LG&E/KU permission to build power plants for future data centers. Louisville Public Media. https://www.lpm.org/news/2025-10-28/kentucky-regulators-grant-lg-e-ku-permission-to-build-power-plants-for-future-data-centers Southern Environmental Law Center. (2025, April 14). Report from Harvard Data Science Initiative and Dominici Lab warns of serious health impacts and associated costs from Balico, LLC's proposed gas plant in Pittsylvania County, Virginia. Southern Environmental Law Center. https://www.selc.org/press-release/report-from-harvard-data-science-initiative-and-dominici-lab-warns-of-serious-health-impacts-and-associated-costs-from-balico-llcs-proposed-gas-plant-in-pittsylvania-county-virginia/ Wittenberg, A. (2026, March 11). A data center opened next door. Then came the high-pitched whine. Politico. https://www.politico.com/news/2026/03/11/data-centers-ai-electricity-virginia-00815219 Yañez-Barnuevo, M. (2025, April 15). Data Center Energy Needs Could Upend Power Grids and Threaten the Climate. Environmental and Energy Study Institute. https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-center-energy-needs-are-upending-power-grids-and-threatening-the-climate

			Yañez-Barnuevo, M. (2026a, Jan. 26). Data Center Buildout Is Hungry for Fossil Fuels. Environmental and Energy Study Institute. https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-center-buildout-is-hungry-for-fossil-fuels Yañez-Barnuevo, M. (2026b, March 23). Communities Are Raising Noise Pollution Concerns About Data Centers. Environmental and Energy Study Institute. https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/communities-are-raising-noise-pollution-concernsabout-data-centers World Health Organization. (2026). Types of pollutants. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/teams/environment-climate-change-and-health/air-quality-and-health/health-impacts/types-of-pollutants
1644	Gilly	40214	I do not support the building of new data centers. Data centers create noise pollution as well as physical pollution that affects the surrounding communities, including disrupting local wildlife. They also use up freshwater at a rate faster than it can be replaced. I can't seem to find information on why these data centers need to be built and exactly what they will be used for, and that is concerning to me. We also need to eliminate a tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and processes should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on floor area square-footage. They should be defined instead by their intensity of use and how they affect the area around them. Finally, eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Create a new industrial category for data centers, require rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. Thank you for your time.
1645	Kodela	40211	As a software developer i can understand how AI data centers work training on billions of parameters through repeated computations which basically requires High performance computing infrastructure consisting of thousands of GPU's and TPU's. Each training session can take weeks or months consuming massive amounts of electricity. Its not just high electricity usage these GPU's and TPU's have short life span as well and becomes an electronic waste as well. We will be paying for all this if they really want to open one they can have their own power grid, water facilities without effecting the common people like us.
1646	Parr	40208	BAN THEM ALLL!!!!!!!!!!!!!! We do not want them anywhere on this indigenous land!!!! Think about our health, our water supply!!! -an indigenous woman of the land
1647	Stanley	40205	I object to any building of data centers in Kentucky and Jefferson County. There has been zero research into the long term health effects to people and no research into the environmental impact of these centers.
1648	Duncan	40220	No tax incentives.

1649	Moyer	40205	I support this ban on large data centers and wish that it went further. I also am concerned about these centers being placed in economically disadvantaged communities, compounding existing problems like environmental racism.
1650	Beyerle	40206	Please do not allow data centers in the city. They inhibit meaningful development to the city and consume resources that should otherwise be supplied to residents and businesses. They do not need to be within city limits and can be built elsewhere with the same value added.
1651	Hawkins	40206	I am against having any data centers.
1652	Brainer	40291	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1653	Walcott	40214	I am against our city using land for data centers when we, the tax payers, have too many other needs to be met. Please enforce strict policies and regulations on these centers. Please be absolutely positive the people of Louisville will not absorb the cost financially as we will most certainly have no choice but to absorb the cost to our environment.
1654	Firestone	40214	No. Just no. We do not need the data centers here in Louisville. Buck the trend, AI is a fad and is a technology that it hitting a lot of road blocks because it is growing too fast with little oversight. The immediate damage and danger to our city's livelihood is apparent, along with the ecological effects. The environmental damage are things that cannot be undone. Let other cities discover what problems and issues come from this. I would be more willing to accept a data center if it didn't damage our environment- use all of our fresh water, and raise our utility bills. Meanwhile none of these companies are paying taxes and we as taxpaying communities are footing the bill while they make profits and pay nothing. The math doesn't add up. What happens when this technology fails? What happens when our streams are polluted and dry up? What happens when there is noise, heat, and light pollution? They profit, and we as citizens have to endure and pay more for nothing aside from other people getting to add a cartoon buffalo next to them in a selfie on chatgpt. I think if we are to allow these data centers to exist here, it should be after they have proven and earner their right to exist here without us being the guinea pigs. Let other states and cities test (I don't like this either.) I am okay with them if they are able to show proof of improving the community they enter, and pledge in contract what they will do for us. They are already getting tax breaks and using resources we pay for, what do we get in return. It had better not be diminished I we are to be on board. Kentucky, and Louisville's most precious resources are our natural beauties and resources. Sacrificing them for an industry who cares nothing for them, and does nothing to improve them is just an ignorant money grab for the now. Not for the enduring legacy that our state and city has been able to hold on to. Big box distribution centers have already taken over many fields, and many spaces that would be better purposed for smaller ventures and wildlife. Keep Kentucky Wild. Keep Kentucky Natural. Keep Kentucky, Kentucky.
1655	Griffin	40212	NO data centers. They use too much water, too much electricity, too much pollution, too much land and give nothing back. They don't create long term jobs. Community that allowed them seriously regret it and are struggling with fresh clean water, overloaded power grids, and health issues.
1656	Cooksey	40207	Capture and reuse water or water vapor Data centers use way too much water.

1657	Hodgson	40242	Data Centers, even ones smaller than 500,000 square feet, will do more harm to our state than good. Bringing these investors in is not helpful to our economy in the way that we think it will be. It will not add a large amount of jobs because it's a data center. It will harm those living near these facilities in so many ways. The constant noises cause mental and emotional issues to those around them, including hearing issues, and developmental issues in children. They have a harder time learning to focus, and read, among a few concerns. People state it feels like they are always on edge. Animals will leave the surrounding areas as well, the same animals that we need to provide a flourishing ecosystem. These data centers will also take away our natural resources. Even if we figure out a way to keep citizen's water bills unaffected, and the companies pay for their water usage, they are still using precious resources that should be used for farming, daily consumption, washing, etc. Lastly, these data centers lower property value in the surrounding areas, making it difficult to sell or develop land nearby. If you want to boost the economy in this state, there are other avenues to do so. Please listen to the people of this fine city of Louisville and state of Kentucky. Hyperscale and large data centers should never be allowed to build in this state, and overall, there should be absolutely no more data centers in Kentucky.
1658	Bryant	40216	Data Centers should not be allowed until they can be self sufficient , Not draining community resources, and Not causing our water or air to be contaminated.
1659	Myers	40213	WE DONT WANT THEM HERE
1660	Bevins-Sullivan	40601	Although I generally agree with the proposed zoning regulations, I do not think data centers should be brought to KY at all due to their massive environmental impact, along with potential surveillance concerns and labor displacement.
1661	Bingham	40204	There should be the same standards applied (strictest) to all proposed data centers. "Accessory" and "Telecommunications" data centers should not be treated any differently. Performance standards must be added to ensure that the impact of new centers does not downgrade the surrounding area or the community more broadly. Noise restrictions (nighttime under 40 dBA and 45 dBA day) environmentally sensitive site design (energy efficiency, closed loop water cooling, preserving trees, minimizing storm and sewer runoff and erosion) must be included. Zero net adverse impact on rate payers cost or reliability of services must be proven. Thank you for taking our community needs and wishes into account. The motivation of companies building these businesses, while not intentionally exploitative, has the potential to spoil our careful planning, zoning, and economic redevelopment efforts. Louisville is a beautiful city with much to offer. We must make sure not to repeat the devastating errors of the past, subjecting minority and disadvantaged citizens to policies that degrade health, opportunity, and quality of life.
1662	Taylor	40243	I am NOT in favor of data centers in Jefferson County or any of the surrounding counties. Data centers draw off resources already too expensive for us tax payers. We do not want our tax dollars supporting data centers. Private funded, privatized resources & zero tax breaks for data centers only.

1663	F	40229	Don't take our water! Ban data centers!!!!!!! BAN BAN BAN
1664	Harbin	40229	I oppose data centers being built in Louisville KY due to the increase physical and mental health risks from data of standing data centers throughout the country in addition to the increase of pollutants.
1665	Harbin	40229	I opposed data centers being built in Louisville KY due to increase risks of physical and mental health as reported in other areas of the country with standing data centers.
1666	Murphy	40217	I live in Metro Louisville. I DO NOT want a data center in Louisville, let alone Kentucky. I do not give permission for them to be built here or operated here. I am STRONGLY against data centers for multiple reasons. There is no benefit to their creation. It is callous to believe that a data center would benefit the livelihoods of the people in this community. Letting them be built and operated at their own will is a mistake.
1667	Harbin	40229	I opposed data centers being built in Louisville KY due to increase risks of physical and mental health as reported in other areas of the country with standing data centers
1668	Harbin	40229	I opposed data centers being built in Louisville KY due to increase risks of physical and mental health as reported in other areas of the country with standing data centers
1669	Sorise	40217	Please do not further impact our commonwealth's waterways, wildlife, energy resources, noise and air pollutants and residents with any type of data center. Thank you
1670	Harbin	40229	I opposed data centers being built in Louisville KY due to increase risks of physical and mental health as reported in other areas of the country with standing data centers
1671	Harbin	40229	I opposed data centers being built in Louisville KY due to increase risks of physical and mental health as reported in other areas of the country with standing data centers
1672	Goldstein	40206	I am very heavily against data centers as they are bad for the environment and bad for our health. We do not need them and we do not want them anywhere in our state.
1673	Riggs	47150	I live on "the sunny side of Louisville" so we share the river. Louisville currently is home to some of the cleanest drinking water in the country. There have been multiple reports showing the state of drinking water near data centers declining drastically along with water pressure and overall quality of life for those living near it. The environment impacts are great, and haven't been studied enough to know what kind of irreversible damage these are doing. There are also concerns of legality of the information these data center collect along with the moral opposition to AI alone. I don't think Louisville should be, or wants to be connected to such a controversial decision as allowing more data centers to be built. Put a moratorium on ALL data centers in the area. Not just hyperscale ones. We don't know enough about the implications of these to say they are a good investment, or safe for those that live near it. Please listen to those who live in the area. We don't want these.
1674	Heaps	40291	No data centers in Louisville! The city cannot take the toll it will cause on the local economy and population.
1675	Choi	40206	I'm opposed to Data Center in Louisville because of the detrimental effects it will have on our environment & surrounding communities
1676	Quire	40245	Data centers of any size should not come to Louisville. We don't want their noise pollution, increased utility costs, and water usage in our communities.
1677	Mudd	40214	No data centers at all in Jeffetson County, as well as the entirety of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
1678	Walthall	40223	please DO NOT put a data center in our city

1679	Petty	40229	Data Centers are a blight on our community. They raise utility rates, use an astronomical amount of freshwater, and power AI which is eliminating jobs. They do not create jobs, they do create benefit to our community, they only steal and copy the work of others and empower the ultra-wealthy to further suck the life and resources from our people. Open land would be better used to either build more affordable housing, or rewild it and plant a whole bunch of trees to help alleviate the heat. We do not need data centers in Louisville.
1680	Blevins	40258	A data center will DEVASTATE local wildlife, waterways, and quality of life for those near the facility. It will bring a few temporary jobs, but will forever leech resources from the community in which it resides. As proven by data centers in other states around the country, residents will be forced to pay for the EXUBERANT amount of energy data centers consume. How is this fair to most Kentuckians who already live paycheck to paycheck in a home that has to stay at 75° because the power grid cannot keep up? Even without the monetary sacrifice, the noise and light pollution alone is enough to drive folks crazy!! Who can just uproot and move to the other side of town? What about their property value? No one wants to work or live near something that generates excessive heat and noise for nothing of value to be created from said building! NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY!
1681	Clark	40216	I think it's a horrible idea and the environmental damages do not outweigh any economic benefit. I think it should not be allowed.
1682	Puckett	40220	I do not believe any data centers should be built in Louisville until we have guarantees that the surrounding residents will not be effected by environmental or sound pollution, a reduction in available resources, or an increase in utility costs. We must put the people of Louisville, especially our most vulnerable residents before profits. I strongly disagree with the building of giant data centers that will increase temperatures, decrease water supply, and impact the lives of residents in a negative way through increased utility costs and increased exposure to sound and air pollution!
1683	Lacy	40220	Please listen to your citizens when we say that we don't want data centers in Louisville. They do not promote true progress, will not help Louisville citizens, do not provide substantial jobs, and are not in-line with what the people in Louisville want. They are money-makers for companies and private developers, pollute the environment, abuse valuable natural resources, and create noisy environments in the the proximity of where they are built. The people of Louisville are paying attention and know this isn't about advancing our city, but just lining the pockets of tech oligarchs and sleazy developers to get deals done in the interest of profits that the community at large will NEVER benefit from. To approve any data centers is exploitive of your citizens.
1684	Boome	40206	I do not think data centers should be anywhere near homes, schools, daycares, nursing homes, parks, public lands and any kind of life we hold dear including wildlife areas. If they must be in Louisville, there should be no added cost to taxpayers for power grid or water upgrades. All water and power should be paid at a premium by the data centers. Any water, sewage or, waste should be treated onsite, under roof, before any release back into the municipal supply. They should be tested yearly for compliance. If out of compliance, they must be fined, heavily, and have a very aggressive timetable to rectify the issues or face immediate shutdown. There should be additional taxes leveed on the data center. Noise, fumes, and any kind of pollution should be held in a very strict compliance.

1685	Brooks	40212	One of the comments I heard during the public hearing back in March, was that this hyperscale data center would bring jobs. I would like to see those temporary construction jobs be offered to residents of Louisville as well, since they're hiring people who live out of state to work on the project. I would also like to see a promise that any supposed jobs that data center is supposed generate be offered to residents of Louisville first before they bring the supposed hiring process nationwide
1686	Mescan	40205	No data centers! You're not going to use the water and destroy ecosystems while using more energy. Cancel it. No one wants it.
1687	Drake	40214	What is baffling to me is this rush to place these data centers without any proper study of the health impact to the people and the environment. We have seen what these centers have done to the water, the constant noise and light, in other locations and it's as if our leadership is not heeding the warnings. I am curious what if any relationship or benefit those who are making the decisions have with the developers of the data centers? I am a big proponent of following the money. There should be no financial gain for those making decisions and I believe that should be looked into and I hope someone does that. Who benefits the most? To overlook the cries from your constituents is blatantly disrespectful to the people who are demanding a halt to these centers until we have full understanding and the language for regulation. What is the rush? Inform us why you cannot wait and learn about the health impact and environmental impact done by an independent company? Why is that not relayed to the public? Is it because it has not been done? That should be a requirement. There is no merit in moving these data centers along swiftly. We are asking you to move wisely. You are thinking about today. We want to understand not just today but the long term impact.
1688	Albanese	40118	NO DATA CENTERS !!!
1689	Wilbert	40216	Ban or reduce size of data centers completely until companies commit to keeping the environment in check. Inspect any new data centers and if pollutants reach a level, close the data center and threaten the company with demolishing them. Have data centers be taxed to benefit the city if built. Keep them away from households. Camp Ground Road is in a 5 mile radius of 100-250 thousand people.
1690	Youngblood	40207	A data center would provide no real beneficial use to the population of Louisville. Taking real examples from other cities that have put data centers they begin to face the risk of water pressure changes, loud noises, disruptions in the environment but also risking the Ohio river.
1691	Linkhart	40206	My family and I are deeply concerned about this speed in which the city is allowing the state center to be created. I feel like there is not enough information given about what the purposes who it's going to be for and what effects it will have on our energy within the city. I know that this will increase cost for everybody in the city for energy and it will not provide any benefits. I do not want the state center anywhere in our city or even in the state of Kentucky
1692	Moody	40059	No data centers in Louisville! The public is concerned about rising electricity rates caused by data centers. They are concerned about the enormous water use that data centers require. They're concerned about public

			handouts in the form of tax breaks that are going to data center developers, and they're also aware that data centers don't bring meaningful economic development, especially in the form of jobs. They are a big loser for this town and I advocate a total moratorium.
1693	Osborne	40229	Do not let them take over. We do not want them. Quit trying g to.push things on us that we do not want!!!
1694	Tobey	40222	I am writing to demand strong Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft does not sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water, taking these resources away from residents. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language into "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserve regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Thank you, Jessica
1695	Bennett	40014	PLeASE DO NOT BUILD A DATA CENTER HERE!!!!
1696	Mathes	40258	Must provide their own electricity from their own grid . Taxpayers do not pay any toward any part of these data centers , very concerned about their water usage . I am totally against any form of data centers but since they are being forced upon us there should be lots of regulations .

1697	Barr	40291	I strongly oppose this proposed data center because of its long-term environmental and community impacts. Data centers operate 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, making them among the largest industrial consumers of electricity. As demand for artificial intelligence and cloud computing continues to grow, these facilities require even greater amounts of power. If the electric grid cannot meet that demand with existing renewable resources, additional power generation and transmission infrastructure may be required, increasing environmental impacts. Water consumption is another major concern. Many data centers rely on water-intensive cooling systems that can consume millions of gallons of water each year. This places additional pressure on local water supplies, particularly during periods of drought or extreme heat, and can affect the availability of water for residents, agriculture, and wildlife. The environmental damage begins long before the building opens. Large-scale construction often requires clearing hundreds of acres of farmland, forests, wetlands, or other natural landscapes. This destroys wildlife habitat, fragments ecosystems, reduces biodiversity, and permanently displaces plants and animals. Once these habitats are lost, they are rarely restored to their original condition. Replacing natural land with large buildings, parking areas, and access roads also increases stormwater runoff. Rainwater that was once absorbed into the ground instead flows over pavement, carrying pollutants into nearby streams and rivers while increasing the risk of localized flooding and erosion. Removing mature trees also reduces natural carbon storage, worsens air quality, and contributes to higher local temperatures through the urban heat island effect. These facilities also generate significant noise. Industrial cooling equipment, ventilation systems, and backup generators can operate continuously, creating persistent background noise that affects nearby neighborhoods and wildlife. Backup diesel generators, which are regularly tested and used during power outages, emit nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, and greenhouse gases that contribute to air pollution. Supporters frequently cite economic development, but modern data centers are highly automated and typically employ relatively few permanent workers once construction is complete. Communities often bear the long-term environmental costs while receiving limited ongoing employment benefits. The city has a responsibility to protect its residents, natural resources, and future generations. Before approving a project of this scale, there should be a comprehensive environmental impact study, transparent reporting of projected electricity and water use, analysis of impacts on wildlife and local ecosystems, and meaningful public input. Development should not come at the expense of clean water, healthy ecosystems, wildlife habitat, and the quality of life of the people who already call this community home.

			The project could also have significant economic impacts on nearby residents. Property owners located adjacent to large industrial developments may experience reduced property values due to increased noise, traffic, visual impacts, and changes to the surrounding landscape. For families, their home is often their largest financial investment, and any loss in property value represents a direct financial loss. Residents who rely on farming, forestry, hunting, fishing, agritourism, or other land-based businesses could also experience reduced income if natural areas are cleared, wildlife habitat is disrupted, or the rural character of the community is permanently altered. Local businesses that depend on outdoor recreation or tourism may likewise be affected if the area's natural beauty and recreational opportunities decline. While developers often highlight construction jobs and tax revenue, those benefits should be weighed against the potential financial losses experienced by existing residents, landowners, and small businesses that have invested in this community for years.
1698	Currin	40207	A data center will not contribute to the health and well-being of any Louisville residents. It will cause disruption, noise pollution, air pollution, and overall negative factors.
1699	Gose	40208	I am very concerned about the rapid expansion of data centers nationwide. The massive expansion of data centers, driven by the unregulated generative AI and crypto frenzy, is wreaking havoc on communities, depleting water resources, harming the environment, and driving up electricity prices. We need an immediate halt on new data centers until a comprehensive regulatory framework is developed to fully protect communities and the environment. There are significant environmental and health costs, not to mention the added cost that will be felt by consumers. Data centers benefit large corporation, NOT Louisvillians.
1700	Fields	40210	Leave it out of our city! No No No We vote No!
1701	Slemmons	40210	Hurry up & build the centers . I'll put a application to become security right away
1702	Sumanasekera	40299	NO MORE DATA CENTERS. PERIOD.
1703	Runyon	40228	Would like there to be no Data centers
1704	Hardsaw	40272	Ban data centers. Energy is expensive enough and these centers will drive more residents away because of it. As well as environmental impacts.
1705	Runyon	40228	*The proposed 500,000-square-foot limit creates massive facilities that consume excessive electricity and water. This cap must be reduced significantly. * Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently lacks requirements for developers to study or disclose project health effects on surrounding communities.

			* Convert optional infrastructure guidelines, such as closed-loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, from "should" to "shall" to make them legally binding. * Include language that prevents developers from splitting massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially bypass size thresholds or public hearings. * Eliminate the rule allowing facilities up to 250,000 square feet to bypass community input. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial-scale development begins. *Require developers to publicly disclose projected water usage and enforce consumption caps, rather than just obtaining a water company letter.Establish enforceable penalties.
1706	Weiner	40215	I am very much against data centers being built in the Louisville metro area, but I know there's only so much control a city can exert over the free market. I wholeheartedly support the proposed regulation amendments which would limit their size, location, and protect utility customers. The noise and environmental pollution of data centers is also a big concern for me and I support regulating those elements as well. Consider me very much in support of the proposed regulations.
1707	Duncan	40222	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to the Land Development Code regulating data centers. I support reasonable development standards that address legitimate land-use concerns, including noise, setbacks, buffering, exterior lighting, emergency generators, stormwater management, and compatibility with surrounding properties. However, I am concerned that several provisions of the current draft are unnecessarily restrictive and could prevent Louisville from competing for one of the most significant areas of technology investment and economic development in the twenty-first century. First, the proposed 500,000-square-foot cap on data centers should be removed. Square footage alone is not an appropriate measure of a facility's potential effect on surrounding properties or public infrastructure. The actual impacts of a data center depend on its location, design, cooling system, energy requirements, backup-generation equipment, noise levels, water usage, and proximity to other land uses. A properly designed facility exceeding 500,000 square feet may have fewer off-site impacts than a smaller, poorly designed facility. Notably, the Kentucky Resources Council's review of the draft regulations also concludes that there is no necessary correlation between a data center's floor area and its potential off-site impacts and describes the flat 500,000-square-foot prohibition as arbitrary. Instead of imposing an absolute size limitation, Louisville should regulate measurable impacts through objective performance standards. Second, a data center located within an appropriately zoned industrial district should not automatically be required to obtain a conditional use permit. Louisville does not routinely impose this discretionary process on

			other industrial users merely because of the size of their buildings or their utility requirements. Data centers should be treated consistently with other permitted industrial uses, subject to clearly defined development standards and the normal review of a detailed development plan. Requiring a conditional use permit creates uncertainty, delay, and political risk for projects that require enormous investments in land, buildings, utility infrastructure, and technology. Site-selection decisions for these facilities are highly competitive and frequently involve several cities and states. An unpredictable discretionary approval process will place Louisville at a disadvantage, even when a proposed site is properly zoned and the applicant satisfies every objective requirement of the Land Development Code. Third, the determination of whether sufficient electric, natural gas, water, sewer, and other utility infrastructure is available should be made by the utility providers responsible for operating those systems—not independently by the Planning Commission. Louisville Metro may reasonably require applicants to provide written confirmation from LG&E, Louisville Water Company, MSD, and other applicable providers. However, planning officials should not be placed in the position of making technical utility-capacity or rate determinations that are outside the traditional scope of land-use regulation. LG&E has stated that it conducts extensive engineering studies and long-term planning assessments before connecting large projects. Its approved rate structures and customer agreements are designed to require very large users to make long-term commitments and pay for customer-specific infrastructure. Rates, tariffs, and broader utility investments are also subject to review by the Kentucky Public Service Commission. These utility providers and regulatory agencies have the expertise and legal authority to determine whether service can be provided safely, reliably, and without unfairly shifting project-specific costs to existing customers. Louisville also possesses a substantial competitive advantage in its water resources. Louisville Water Company reports that it currently produces approximately 127 million gallons of drinking water per day and has the ability to substantially increase production. Individual projects should still be evaluated based on their actual water requirements and cooling technology, but Louisville’s abundant water supply should be viewed as an economic-development asset rather than a reason to prohibit projects based on building size. Data centers also generally create fewer ongoing demands on roadway capacity, policing, and many other public services than industrial, distribution, residential, or commercial developments of a comparable size. They typically have relatively low daily employee and visitor counts after construction and generate limited recurring automobile and truck traffic. At the same time, they represent exceptionally large investments in real property, equipment, utility infrastructure, and construction. These investments can significantly expand the local tax base. Kentucky generally subjects tangible business equipment to ad valorem taxation unless a specific exemption applies. The resulting real and personal property

			tax revenues can support Louisville Metro services, fire districts, and Jefferson County Public Schools. LG&E has estimated that the data center project currently planned for Louisville could generate approximately \$68 million in annual local tax revenue, including an estimated \$45.8 million annually for Jefferson County Public Schools. While each project will be different, these potential fiscal benefits should be carefully considered before Louisville adopts regulations that effectively exclude the largest investments. Overreaching zoning regulations can hold our community back from participating in the technologies that will enable businesses, healthcare providers, financial institutions, manufacturers, logistics companies, educational institutions, and government agencies to grow and prosper. Cloud computing, artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, digital communications, and electronic commerce all depend upon reliable data-center infrastructure. If Louisville establishes an arbitrary size cap and a burdensome discretionary approval process, this investment will not disappear. It will simply go to competing communities in Kentucky, Indiana, Ohio, Tennessee, or other states. Louisville will then lose the associated construction activity, infrastructure investment, property-tax revenue, school revenue, and long-term economic-development opportunities. I respectfully request that Louisville Metro revise the proposed regulations to: Remove the 500,000-square-foot maximum; Permit data centers by right in appropriate industrial zoning districts; Replace the conditional use permit requirement with objective and consistently applied development standards; Allow utility providers, subject to Kentucky Public Service Commission oversight where applicable, to determine utility capacity, necessary upgrades, and appropriate allocation of costs; Require applicants to submit utility availability or service letters rather than requiring the Planning Commission to make independent utility determinations; and Regulate actual measurable impacts—including noise, setbacks, lighting, generators, water usage, and environmental performance—rather than using building square footage as a substitute for impact analysis. Louisville should adopt regulations that protect neighboring properties while also sending a clear message that our community welcomes responsible technology investment. We can protect residents, maintain reliable and affordable utility service, and compete for transformative economic-development projects without creating an effective prohibition on hyperscale data centers.

			Thank you for considering these comments. Sincerely, Will Duncan
1708	Zubric	40205	NO ONE wants this. It is not create jobs. It is not enrich our community in anyway. And none of us benefit from it. It is absurd that we are even considering a data center. If you insist on this idiotic plan, then it needs to be completely self-sufficient. Let it build its own power plant and get its own water, and let it figure out how to clean that water so that it doesn't pollute our environment. There's absolutely no case for a data center. Unless you just love, sucking up to the super rich. Because this absolutely does not do anything for the people of Kentucky.
1709	Israel	40117	Data centers will ruin this city just like they have ruined others. Noise pollution, ground water contamination, excess heat, etc. Data centers will not bring new jobs, and Data centers are always run by a skeleton crew.
1710	Armstrong	40214	The general sense that so-called "data centers" contribute mightily to air and noise pollution is valid. We already have too much pollution in this community. The general sense that such facilities consume resources far beyond their merit is also valid. The strain such places put on utility providers (electric grid, water supplies) is inexcusable. Any politician who votes FOR such a facility anywhere in the Commonwealth is complicit in harming the electorate. Full stop.
1711	Okorie	40059	KENTUCKIANS SAY NO !
1712	b	40205	I do not want a data center in Louisville at all.
1713	Gresham	40220	No data centers in Louisville! The provide nothing to the local community but higher bills and profit only large corporations who care nothing about us.
1714	Williams	40047	Stop ruining our small towns and cities! We do not want these in our communities. We have farmland and residential areas, and don't want ugly eyesores, more traffic, more noise, and the pollution that comes with it. Stay away! We don't want these! They are ruining the areas they come to.
1715	Anon	40241	I would rather Louisville NOT build any data centers and destroy our water!
1716	Booker	40206	AI data centers are completely unnecessary. They contribute to the destruction of our humanity and creativity making us lazier as a society. While destroying the environment and speeding up deforestation and completely eliminating our water supply.
1717	Mahoney	40205	No data centers in any capacity!

1718	Tong	40207	We do not want data centers within Louisville or frankly anywhere in Kentucky. None in any capacity. Anyone who votes for it will automatically lose my vote going forward. Thank you.
1719	Stein	40241	I am deeply concerned about the environmental impact of data centers as well as the health risks for those living and working near them. Please keep them out of Louisville. We can do without them as we have for many, many years.
1720	bennett	40208	We don't need data centers. It will raise utilities, cause more damage than good. And also invade people's privacy!!!! Louisville doesn't want data centers
1721	Harmon	40228	While data center developers often promise economic growth and modernization, the reality for local communities is a net-negative trade-off. They consume massive amounts of local resources while providing very little long-term value to the people who actually live there. 1. Excessive Strain on Local Utilities Data centers are absolute utility hogs, and their consumption directly threatens local grid stability and resource management. Energy Consumption: A single large data center can consume as much electricity as tens of thousands of homes. This massive spike in demand forces local utility companies to upgrade their infrastructure—costs that are frequently passed down to local residents in the form of higher monthly electric bills. Furthermore, it can strain the local grid, increasing the risk of brownouts during peak summer or winter months. Water Depletion: Many data centers rely on evaporative cooling systems to keep their massive servers from overheating. This process can consume millions of gallons of water per day. If your community relies on a shared aquifer or is prone to droughts, this can severely threaten the local water supply, impacting residential use and local agriculture. 2. The "Job Mirage" (Minimal Local Employment) One of the biggest selling points for data centers is job creation, but this is a temporary illusion. Construction vs. Operations: While a project will create hundreds of short-term construction jobs, those disappear once the building is finished. The Reality: A fully operational, massive data center typically only requires 30 to 50 permanent jobs—mostly for security guards, basic technicians, and facility managers. Many of the high-paying engineering roles are filled by specialized staff brought in from corporate headquarters, meaning very few high-quality, long-term jobs actually go to locals.

			3. Disproportionate Land Use & Property Value Impact Data centers require massive footprints but contribute nothing to the community fabric. The "Grey Box" Effect: These facilities are essentially windowless, concrete monoliths surrounded by high security fences and barbed wire. They destroy the aesthetic value of rural or suburban landscapes. Zoning and Housing: By locking up hundreds of acres of industrial or commercial land, they prevent the development of projects that actually benefit residents—like affordable housing, parks, or walkable retail spaces. Property Value: The constant, low-frequency hum from giant external cooling fans and backup diesel generators can create 24/7 noise pollution, lowering the quality of life and property values for nearby homeowners. 4. Tax Break Exploitation Tech giants rarely build data centers without demanding massive, long-term tax abatements. They often negotiate to pay little to no property or sales tax for decades. Because they require minimal staff, they generate very little local income tax. The community bears the burden of their infrastructure needs, while the corporate entity pockets the profits.
1722	Israel	40117	Data centers are extremely bad for the environment and the communities that they ruin. They do not provide jobs or anything good for the community and hurt the people and businesses that are anywhere near them.
1723	Gerald	40222	No data centers in my city !! They are a drain on the environment and a strain on our resources. We the people suffer more than we benefit from them.
1724	Ferguson	40213	I'm sure you've had plenty of messages about how terrible this is for the environment, so I'll spare you my two cents. But it's truly ridiculous that you guys are even attempting this. There's absolutely no reason for a data center to be in Louisville. You're literally killing the planet, you're taking away water and resources for neighboring communities. NO! Fuck that data center
1725	Duddy	40299	Please do not put any data centers in Louisville Kentucky. Currently our city has been under seat by violence and just recently we have been able to employ police officers and working on getting our city back to the level. It was pre-Covid. Our city does not know enough about the data sinners and about the health risk of adding them to our city.
1726	Washburn	40205	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to the Land Development Code governing data centers. My name is Michael Washburn, and I serve as Executive Director of Kentucky Waterways Alliance. I submit these comments in my personal capacity. My work has focused on the intersection of environmental policy, public infrastructure, and community decision-making, and I appreciate the thoughtful approach Metro Government has taken in recognizing that data centers present unique land use and infrastructure challenges.

			Overall, I believe the draft ordinance represents a strong starting point. Restricting these facilities to appropriate zoning districts, requiring conditional use review, and seeking to ensure that infrastructure costs are not shifted onto existing ratepayers are all important safeguards. I encourage Metro Government to retain those provisions while strengthening the proposal in several key areas. Water Resources The draft ordinance gives relatively little attention to water use, despite the significant water demands associated with many modern data centers. Water should be treated not simply as another utility connection but as a finite public resource. I encourage Metro Government to require applicants to: disclose projected annual and peak water demand; identify proposed cooling technologies and expected water consumption; evaluate opportunities for water reuse and conservation; explain how operations would be managed during periods of drought or water supply constraints; and provide ongoing public reporting of actual water use after a facility becomes operational. These measures would allow decision-makers and the public to better understand the long-term implications of proposed facilities and encourage efficient water management. Broader Infrastructure and Environmental Impacts The environmental footprint of a data center extends beyond the boundaries of the development site. Large electrical loads can drive additional generation, expanded transmission infrastructure, increased water withdrawals at power plants, and additional air pollution associated with electricity production. While many of these impacts fall outside local zoning authority, they nevertheless represent foreseeable consequences of approval and should inform the Commission's public interest analysis. At a minimum, applicants should disclose projected electrical demand and identify anticipated infrastructure needs associated with serving the facility.

			Utility Cost Allocation The draft appropriately recognizes the importance of preventing infrastructure costs from being shifted to existing utility customers. I encourage Metro Government to strengthen these provisions by requiring applicants to demonstrate, through independent and publicly available analysis where appropriate, that new facilities will not impose costs on residential customers or small businesses through future utility investments. The burden should remain on applicants to demonstrate that these projects are financially self-supporting with respect to the infrastructure they require. Cumulative Impacts The ordinance appears to evaluate projects individually. However, multiple facilities that are each below the threshold for a hyperscale data center may collectively produce significant impacts on water resources, electrical infrastructure, transportation systems, and surrounding communities. I encourage Metro Government to incorporate consideration of cumulative impacts into its review process so that approvals accurately reflect the combined effects of multiple facilities within the community. Public Interest Findings Finally, I encourage Metro Government to clarify the public interest findings associated with conditional use approval by explicitly recognizing factors such as: long-term water sustainability; impacts on public infrastructure; cumulative utility demand; effects on existing ratepayers; and broader environmental consequences associated with supporting infrastructure. These considerations would provide clearer guidance to both applicants and decision-makers while strengthening the defensibility of future permitting decisions. Thank you again for the opportunity to comment. Louisville has an opportunity to establish a thoughtful

			framework for evaluating a rapidly growing form of development. The current draft provides a solid foundation. With additional attention to water resources, cumulative impacts, infrastructure costs, and long-term public stewardship, I believe it can better ensure that economic development proceeds without transferring environmental or financial burdens onto the broader public.
1727	Shoemaker	40207	We do NOT need a data center here in Louisville. Protect our environment, and the integrity of our city by not allowing this.
1728	Kennison	40229	I am against the data center. I have concerns of the over all impacts this will have on our electrical bills and also the surrounding environment and homes.
1729	Aseell	40059	Strict regulations with resources to enforce them
1730	Epperson	40207	Do not want any data centers in Louisville area
1731	Burt	40212	Data centers should not be allowed in the inner city and residential neighborhoods. They pose threats to our health and wellness. And can also affect our water and electricity. I'm against data centers all together.
1732	Haines	40228	I don't want big Data Centers!!
1733	Vegas	40229	No further data centers are necessary for the everyday needs of a Louisville citizen. The investment in such wasteful and harmful technology is a direct indictment of any leadership team who has chosen to murder its citizens and and their economy for a couple of dollars. Therefore, if the citizens choose to defend themselves by any means necessary, they would be well within their rights. Self defense isn't violence, but data centers are. Choose wisely.
1734	Pehlke	40223	We should not be building any data centers of any size in Louisville. They are terrible for the environment and offer no long term economic impact. We have fallen far behind our regional sister cities such as Indianapolis, Cincinnati, and Nashville. The last thing we should be doing is anything to make our city less appealing to those looking to move out of high cost of living areas and into our region.
1735	Hernandez	40206	These are bad for my community and are not going to enrich peoples lives financially physically or emotionally! I do not deserve to pay more an electric and heat and water just because these data centers want to be built and for them to save more money. I don't need the land I used to play with my family and to live my life being used to harm others and it seems like that's all it's doing for the people of Louisville. You have so many people telling you know and if you continue to do this when nobody wants it it's just going to make things worse.
1736	Martin	40258	Under the regulation that restricts data centers to industrial areas, could you please provide a detailed map identifying all industrial areas located within Louisville Metro and Jefferson County? Under the following clause, could you be more specific so that residents know what these separation requirements are? Protect neighborhoods, schools, and daycare centers through separation requirements
1737	Cooper	40206	Data Centers DO NOT belong in Louisville, full stop. It's quite clear this is purely a business decision made by Metro Council to line their pockets and the people of Louisville will not stand for it. Every single Council Member

			that voted for the approval of Data Centers WILL be voted out in a landslide come the next time their seat is available.
1738	Carrico	40205	No data center is needed or wanted in Louisville or Kentucky. They use up resources. Provide nothing for our economy. No one wants these in their community.
1739	Hillman	40215	We do not need any data centers, they are not efficient, horrid for our environment,
1740	Stewart	40213	Please do not destroy our beautiful city and deplete our amazing water resources by polluting our earth with a data center. From the sound pollution, the environmental pollution, and the unsightly building itself there is no positive to any data center in Louisville let alone any data center ever. If you destroy the public's trust by continuing with this proposal will be forced to move from this amazing city we call home. The destruction will be even worse for those who will be unable to flee. Choose your constituents. Choose your earth. Choose your conscience. Please do not destroy our earth. We deserve clean drinking water. We deserve an abundant planet. Why rob us of that?
1741	Kershnik	40218	AI is destroying the planet and our supply of drinking water and you want to make it happen faster? No thank you absolutely not.
1742	Wethington	40208	Data centers are a leach on the American public and should not be built under any circumstances. They only stand to make the peoples' energy bills higher and provide no value or beauty to communities.
1743	Schulz	40206	Data Centers cause noise pollution, light pollution, pollute the drinking water. They do nothing but harm the surrounding area around them. Which includes the residents, the wild life, the nature. It raises the already rising temperature around them. Data centers are not needed, as they cause the residents and everything around them to be in constant stress and to leave. It's more losing the money around the data center than actually getting any.
1744	Barrington	40291	Data centers are known to raise utilities for residents and give very little back to the actual community. It only helps the companies that build the centers and the politicians who get the kick packs. If you have any desire to actually help your constituents, do not let this be built.
1745	Heckman	40223	Please do not build the data center. It is harmful and is not needed.
1746	Duffy	40208	Data centers should not be in Jefferson County. They don't add jobs, the revenue doesn't outweigh the tax on our current public works, they are a horrid idea for our County.
1747	ferriell	40229	No data centers!!
1748	Dahlem	40207	I support Louisville Metro's proposed data center regulations and appreciate the thoughtful approach being taken to protect our community while addressing future development. In particular, I support measures that protect residential neighborhoods and help ensure that the costs associated with data center development are not shifted to homeowners and local taxpayers. I am also concerned about the potential environmental impacts of large-scale data centers. These facilities can require substantial amounts of electricity and water, and it is important that Louisville carefully consider their long-term effects on our natural resources. Water availability is becoming an increasing concern across the country, and I believe we should be especially cautious about any development that could place significant

			demands on local groundwater or water supplies. Electrical grid reliability is another important issue. Residents and existing businesses should not face increased risks of service disruptions, reduced reliability, or higher utility costs as a result of large data center projects. Protecting the stability and resilience of our electrical infrastructure should remain a priority. Most importantly, I support efforts to preserve the quality of life of Louisville residents. Development decisions should balance economic opportunities with the protection of neighborhoods, environmental resources, public infrastructure, and the character of our community. Thank you for considering these comments and for taking a careful, proactive approach to this issue.
1749	Moore	40160	My concern is that, even though I'm not a Louisville resident, my utilities are still through LG&E. Will they try to push costs onto residents outside the metro area as a work around for compliance? We weren't asked for any say in the matter despite it affecting us as well.
1750	Baughner	40213	Data centers should be banned in Louisville. If unable to be fully banned, then they should be heavily restricted and regulated and on their own separate grid. The should not force tax payers to pay more for something some us don't want.
1751	Burch	40291	absolutely no data centers in louisville; they will ruin the integrity of our "small town" while taking resources and funding that could be put into our neighborhoods. keep louisville for louisvillians, not big tech!!!!
1752	Zehnder	40291	I don't think we know enough about the consequences of data centers. How about we slow down and do some extensive research on how they impact communities before we just build. Why the rush?
1753	Manley	40299	Data centers are an inefficient use of land space and do not drive enough job generation in Louisville to benefit the community. Residents of Louisville deserve better than to compete with these structures for infrastructure and resources.
1754	Reece	40118	Large data centers run 24/7 and can use as much electricity as a small town. That can: * Put extra strain on the local power grid. * Increase demand for new power plants or transmission lines. * Potentially contribute to higher electricity costs over time if infrastructure needs to be expanded (though the impact on individual bills varies by location and utility).
1755	black	40214	no ai data centers. keep them out of our city
1756	Grant	40272	We have enough plants and store hurting our eco system we do not need that from what read horrible plant here so no we do not want it
1757	Cordova	40206	Data centers should be banned from our city. They drain water sources, and create so much pollution. Keep our city beautiful!

1758	Tucker	40223	I am completely and wholly against data centers. I believe they are a drain on the environment, are detrimental to public health and will drive up prices of utilities for the local community. Data centers are proven to reduce water quality and supply for the local area they inhabit I am also against the noise pollution these centers are producing If data centers are approved and built in Louisville, especially AI data centers, there will be backlash and public outcry. I will work to get the people responsible removed from their positions
1759	Jasmin	40243	I do not want any Data Centers within a 10 miles radius of any current or future residential properties or public parks. Any data centers that meet the above buffer requirement should only be considered with requirements that they financially contribute to all costs for improving utility structures to accommodate the increase that will occur from their presence and for any environmental impact that could occur due to their presence.
1760	Wilson	40207	I strongly disfavor bringing data centers to ANY neighborhood in Jefferson County. They are terrible for our environment, public health, and our taxpayers. Stop the south end data center!
1761	Wilson	40212	I wholeheartedly oppose the building/zoning of data centers in Louisville. The attempts to silence local voices and dismiss concerns are absolutely shady and diabolical. Data Centers create constant noise, and have significant water usage and health concerns. I understand the need for data centers, however from what we know now the cons certainly outweighs the pros. An additional concern is the financial strain data centers add to communities, particularly considering utilities like power and water. You're intentionally hurting some of our most vulnerable Louisvillians by putting data centers in poor neighborhoods.
1762	Davis	40214	We definitely do not need a Data Center here in Louisville. It's bad enough that thousands of warehouses are popping up in real towns and cities. Putting a Data Center will only raise our taxes on electric bill. VERY HARMFUL ON OUR ENVIRONMENT WELL WHAT ENVIRONMENT WE DO HAVE LEFT . THE WAREHOUSE INDUSTRY IS DESTROYING LAND AND PUSHING ANIMALS OUT OR KILLING NATURE. A Data Center will ultimately fail the job industry. SO HELL NO WE DON'T WANT OR NEED A DATA CENTER IN LOUISVILLE.
1763	Weber	40272	NO DATA CENTERS. PERIOD
1764	Adams IV	40228	Data Centers should be fully prohibited while they are worsening conditions for everyone in the surrounding area and consuming resources at an astonishing rate. Regulations need to be strengthened with understanding, and the companies need to be held financially liable for what they use. AI company executives have been heard on record saying that our electrical grid can not sustain the expected production for these data centers, so we shouldn't be forced to tolerate them raising our rates and poisoning our water.
1765	C	40229	I am for the data centers. More jobs and good fit city's economy.
1766	Morse	40203	Data centers have no place in Louisville. They are too much of a drain on local resources and exploit residents who are forced to live near one. We should be looking for investments that support the local economy while being environmentally responsible.
1767	Griten	40216	I would prefer not to have data centers as it has significant environmental impacts. The land usage could be used for something more productive that helps the entire community and also bring more jobs whether it be retail,

			some form of developmental career center or even housing. Data centers, use way more energy and way more resources than the return on investment comes.
1768	Strang	40118	The effects of data centers will ruin our city. They will poison our currently amazing, clean, affordable city water. They will drastically increase our electricity costs, and will push even more people out. So many already cannot afford to live within the city because of rising COL, and data centers will only make this worse.
1769	Young	40272	No data centers in Louisville!!!!!!
1770	Nelson	40299	All hyper-scale data centers need to be banned in our state. Our country is in a water shortage, so there is absolutely no reason we should be giving our water away. Not to mention the electric bills that are going to skyrocket, even though we're told that they won't, we know that that's a lie. Not to mention the air quality and overall pollution contributions are absolutely ridiculous. Nobody fucking wants these. The only people rooting for them are the people in power, well the rest of us regular people suffer, physically, financially, and mentally, as the effects of these data centers are still unknown. If you guys bring data centers to Kentucky, I will be applying for a passport for me and my family, and we will be moving internationally. So say goodbye to our tax dollars.
1771	Powell	40215	No Data Centers, the people of Kentucky, especially Jefferson County deserve better, there's enough environmental issues and neglect already. Why aren't the citizens included in this decision, we live here too, it doesn't always have to be about money, what about the health issues that would come from said Data Center, first and foremost? Bet, it would never be considered if it was proposed for any where close to the East side of the county.
1772	Sears	40205	Hyperscale data centers have no place in Louisville, or anywhere else. They waste resources and provide nothing but noise, water, and air pollution.
1773	Knopp	40228	With the already strained power grid in Jefferson County, how we could even consider adding these large systems requiring massive amounts of energy is extremely discouraging. We can't afford the increased utility bills, we don't want the light and noise pollution, nor the wasted use of commercial land that needs to go to companies actually offering significant employment. Citizens get nothing from these Data Center placements other than costs. Two thumbs down.
1774	Donaway	40216	Do not want the data center being built on campground road. This is entirely too close to our homes & many surrounding neighborhoods. I don't care if it's labeled as industrial area because there are factories & whatnot there are also houses on the same road. The factories alone have been known to cause cancer & often chemicals are being leaked into the ditches & changing the color of that water & for years this area has been studied. My mother in law died of cancer & it was proven to be environmental & not hereditary. The neighborhood is suffering already please don't make it worse. Traffic is ridiculous & good luck having data center employees getting to work on time because when Farnsley middle school's car rider line is open traffic will be at a stand still
1775	Wagner	40217	I am not in favor of allowing any mega data centers being built in Louisville... ever. They are a threat to the air quality. Due to the enormous amount of water they use, I believe the citizens will bear the cost of that. The fact that they are often built in the dead of night.. tells you they want them up and running before citizens catch wind of what is happening. There is definitely a lack of transparency about these centers, as well as a lack of communication with citizens.

1776	Diehl	40205	We don't want data centers in Louisville. They raise energy costs, use too much water, and disproportionately affects predominantly black and lower income neighborhoods. The mayor cares more about corporate investment than he does the well being of the people he's meant to serve.
1777	Ohlman	40217	Mayor Greenberg, Office of Planning (OOP) and Metro Council Data Center Regulations Feedback I believe all areas of government should understand and give strong consideration to KRC's recommendations and view the video (link below) from UofL's Envirome Institute, as you all have a voice in these regulations. I recently submitted a detailed document to the OOP last week regarding data center "waste heat" generation. It included a 2004-2024 study that shows data centers create an average 3.6F increase to the radius around them; a reminder of Louisville's 2016 status as the worst city in the country for Urban Heat Island (UHI); and a 2025 study that identified 98% of Louisville's public school students are exposed to extreme UHI of 8F or greater, also the worst in the country. In 2016, Mayor Fisher had this to say about the UHI, "We know that too often the ZIP code where you are born can correlate with negative health outcomes. That's unacceptable," said Mayor Greg Fischer. "In addition, the added heat causes citizens and businesses to run their air-conditioning longer and higher, which drives up energy costs for citizens and businesses. It also increases pollution, leading to more global warming. It's a vicious cycle." We now know that many do not have or cannot afford to run their AC's. Waste heat is just one area of data center concern. In the big picture, it makes me wonder how a government reconciles their stated mission for the larger community when actions are in direct opposition. In the case of the OOP its stated "...mission is to ensure the prosperity, health, safety, sustainability, and general welfare of the community." Yet, LMG twice approved unregulated or poorly regulated data centers that will generate excessive heat and pollution, including planet warming GHG, in direct opposition to a tree ordinance, a 2040 renewable energy adoption, and an urban heat island initiative to install cool roofs and plant more trees (40-50 acres of a mature tree woodland was cut for the Campground Rd. data center). You all will have a hand in approving a best-in-class data center regulation. I humbly suggest that OOP/Council/Mayors Office review the video hosted by UofL's Envirome Institute on the following link. It identifies issues regarding data centers based on findings, not from projections and guesswork, but historical data and experiences from communities across the nation. It also offers solutions. It is a learning opportunity for anyone, but especially those who are making long term decisions for their community. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GSBbZQGaSoE Thank you.

			Roger Ohlman 40217
1778	Olson	40217	Hyperacale data centers do not belong in KY, much less in Jefferson county. AI companies are not a sound long term bet. They are driving the current building boom. I am an IT professional and I do recognize the need for data centers built at a reasonable scale. I think the proposed regulations are generally pretty good in that respect. Thanks
1779	king	40258	we do NOT need them! prices of everyday electronic items are going up because AI Data Centers have a hold of stuff like RAM and storage. our electricity and water bills will go up because these companies will be DAMNED if THEY pay for the offset they're going to cause. the air and noise pollution is going to be terrible. and WHY do yall only want to build these centers in areas that home low income/predominantly black communities 🙄 i dont see mr. greenburg petitioning for this shit to be built in a cushy Anchorage neighborhood, do you? him, and everyone else who "benefit" from this (i.e., those who are getting paid to allow this abomination to be built) won't ever have to think about it after it's built because it's not in THEIR backyard, polluting THEIR air or THEIR water. fuck yall.
1780	Clark	40291	There is very little evidence of long term impacts data centers have on home owners. What we know so far is that it is not great for surrounding homes. It's much easier to stop this now than it will be to make them move after the centers are built. You people are voted leaders that are making these decisions, I have seen no cities leave this up to a vote to a community because we all know how that would go. Think of your future family members, it was 100 degrees just a few days ago. We don't need anything raising surrounding area temperature that wasn't asked for.
1781	Matthews	40108	Data centers consume huge amounts of water and electricity. They impact negatively the surrounding populations due to the noise of cooling equipment. These structures are unsightly and a bane on the natural environment. I am troubled by the reckless expansion of AI usage and the destruction of natural environments. Humans are not the only beings to consider, either. I strongly OPPOSE the expansion of data centers on our Kentucky landscape.
1782	Mondy	40228	I'm opposed to these centers. The power use, water use, water pollution and noise pollution issues are a big concern and these centers create virtually no permanent jobs.
1783	Compton	40272	Stop these data centers that will be obsolete it 3-5 years. These centers can not currently get data chips or back up generators which are on back order for at least 3 years.

			You are destroying your communities environment for money. We can live on what we currently have but we can't live with horrendous pollution.
1784	Netherland	40213	No 500,000 square foot Data Center should be built anywhere. And these regulations are so vague that they do not appear to protect residents and they are not enforceable. The developers and future owners could easily ignore environmental issues. There do not seem to be any good guardrails to protect residents from increased costs in the future. These regulations and these plans should not be approved.
1785	OConnell	40212	No Data Centers! No small ones no big one no data centers built in KY!
1786	Stephens	40220	Pre-COVID most of the US was focused on reducing greenhouse emissions, and Louisville specifically was quite concerned about air quality, air temperature, and tree canopy. I cannot imagine that allowing data centers that will ultimately exacerbate climate challenges would be a good thing. Many municipalities are sneaking these agreements in under the nose of citizens, invoking old or shady rules, and generally doing whatever they can to have these datacenters built, often at taxpayer expense. Datacenters do not generate very many jobs locally, they tend to generate noise pollution, sometimes directly generate air pollution from generators, and do very little good for local residents. Data centers built for AI are in response to a bubble that may collapse, and who knows if planned or completed datacenters will survive that "correction". I dont think that they have a place in or around our community. Naturally these will probably be placed in the west or south end of the city and disproportionately impact lower income residents. I think that limiting and controlling the up-take of new datacenters is a wise decision
1787	Long	40216	Very selfish to want to harm the community for the benefit of rich people.
1788	Shope	40258	I think that these draft regulations are way too broad and are not offering real ways to regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 500,000 square feet is way too big to be allowed. 250,000 square feet is also way too big. 65 decibels can be heard a block away--I have measured the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you could hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see requirements for independent environmental impact studies as well as research on the impact this will have to our sewer infrastructure. I am concerned that the data centers could add PFAS to our water too.

			I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. And finally, for as energy and resource intensive as data centers are, I think that the only data centers that should be built here should be for the use of the businesses or residents here, not for training AI.
1789	Breslin	40207	We must restrict the construction of data centers now to preserve our land and minimize impact on our city.
1790	Z	40219	We do NOT want surveillance center in the commonwealth of Kentucky! This is a invasion of the people's privacy & resources
1791	Edwards	40291	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1792	Whitis	40208	It is well documented and proven that Data Centers cause significant harm to our environment. Our water supply, the air we breathe, is ALL effected by them. Data Centers require an obscene amount of water to cool

			them. Anywhere from 100,000 gallons to millions of gallons of water. That is clean water that will be taken away from Louisville residents. We may not see it right away, but other cities like Atlanta , who have had data centers longer than us, have began to have dirty water, water shortages, and increased utility bills. This will affect the food supply chain as well. If there is not enough water to nourish crops. We have enough technology and all these Data Centers are really doing are taking jobs and clean water away from human beings. It really makes no sense. It may seem like next "get rich quick" scheme, but for who? And at what cost? For the already billionaires, at the expense of the single income mother trying to raise two kids? Is that really worth it? It is also taking land away that could be used for more community oriented things like gardens, or affordable housing. We know the rich don't care about the middle and lower class. We see it time and time again in history. But I ask, when will take that knowledge and rewrite a new narrative? There is plenty for all of us when billionaires stop hoarding resources. So why build Data Centers that will ultimately cause more harm and destruction, when we have this foresight?
1793	Cole	40222	We do not want them. Not in any capacity. They are harmful to our environment, our mental and physical health, our power grid, and our utility bills. We do not want them. We will not stop advocating against them. We will vote out, force resignation, and publicly embarrass any politician or decision maker who overrides the will of the people. This is a nationwide opinion. We do not want them. In any capacity.
1794	Drake	40205	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes.

			Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1795	Dobmeier	40071	No data centers in Kentucky.
1796	Pace	40229	NO Data Centers should be built in Jefferson County or those surrounding counties!
1797	Jones	40228	Data centers should not be built in Louisville. It would put a strain on our power grid and be detrimental to the environment
1798	Schnell	40299	Data centers are so unnecessary and as a community we don't need to be promoting AI. While it is convenient, the cons far out way the pros. The effect that data centers are having on the environment is horrendous and the surrounding communities.i have no faith in our current administration or the billionaires behind pushing these data centers. I feel they will find loop holes to get around these regulations or they will lie and hide evidence of them not following regulations. The negative effects on the communities is unfair to the people.The lack of water or causing dirty water, the increase in energy costs or causing blackouts, and the noise is most definitely an annoyance and with the high pitch it could definitely cause ear issues for those exposed to that for extended periods of time. We just don't need AI or data centers.
1799	Ramsey	40223	I do not want data centers in my area or any surrounding areas.
1800	Bennett	40241	Voting no against data centers
1801	Malone	5E+09	No data centers stop killing wild life
1802	Bennett	40214	Against it
1803	Beck	40241	It's is environmentally the worst thing we could do to our city. It would cause so much pollution and our water would not be good anymore!
1804	Hawkins	40204	None should be allowed. Period. They drain resources and are a burden on the surrounding environment. They bring barely any jobs, if any *period*, and even if they did, those jobs are not worth our livelihood.
1805	Aramburu	40205	No Data Centers should be built.
1806	Martin	40228	We don't need Data centers here in Louisville for many reasons. Pollution, using citizens water, electric. No need for one here in the Metro.
1807	Churchill	40219	Data Centers should be BANNED. The harm it will bring to the environment and people of this city is not worth it at all. I am saying ABSOLUTELY NOT to data centers. The lives of citizens are much more important.
1808	G	40206	The drafted amendment for the Land Development Code remains too vague in specificity of what is allowable and what is required. It leaves room for corners to be cut. For example, in reference to water systems, a closed loop system is "encouraged". This should be a requirement. Additionally, I think there should be an expansion on

			the data center size prohibition. 250,000-499,999 square feet is still a massive difference in size and therefore potential harm to the community, use of energy, and damage to the city's landscape.
1809	Sams	40243	No Data Centers. Corporations should be pushed to establish their own means of Data storage, owned locally on their premisis. Rental data centers, like those proposed, would only benefit the company in which runs said data center. We already have plenty of prime data centers to choose from in Jefferson County and surrounding areas, new facilities would not be needed, especially for the computation of AI, which its use is an extreme minority in our State of technolgy.
1810	Stratman	40214	Data Center honestly do not need to be in existence. We need to focus on conserving what we have and taking care of our people. Data Center take up way too many resources and give absolutely nothing back to the community, not even in terms of employing large portion of people. KEEP THAT SHIT OUT OF LOUISVILLE AND KENTUCKY IN GENERAL!!
1811	Bowling	40258	No data centers. Does not provide long term economic growth nor does it integrate our communities. We need farmers markets, local businesses and more sense of community. NOT big tech.
1812	Fornwalt	40223	I know no one in Louisville who actually wants or approves of data centers, but I know dozens of people who vehemently oppose constructing them in our city. These data centers are wasteful, harmful for the environment, and harmful for people living nearby. Constructing one would negatively affect the beautiful river we live on, and make life worse for everyone around it. I and countless other Louisville residents fully oppose the building of a data center anywhere in or near Louisville.
1813	Geraghty	40258	I am opposed to data centers. They will be an economic drain on residents who are already struggling.
1814	Prather	40223	Louisville needs to ban data centers completely. They're polluting our water, air, & driving everyone's bills up. We can't afford this. They're making the property values in surrounding areas drop to worthless. Ban the data centers!!!
1815	Kolok	40214	Absolutely no data centers in our city /county
1816	Cockroft	40203	Don't allow data centers in louisville of any kind.
1817	Orthober	40217	Fuck data centers they're going to ruin our water and are a waste of space. The sound emitted from them is almost more annoying than the environmental factors associated with them. It would ruin and use too much of our water that we pride ourselves on. I will not be proud to live in this city if this data center gets built. Consider this a warning.
1818	Jeanes	40223	I personally am vehemently opposed to any data centers in the Louisville metro area. The water usage of such a place would directly adversely effect the water for the people of this area. We have claimed that Louisville tap water is the best around for years! Why would the government do such a thing to contaminate and limit our supply for unnecessary AI? Do not build in the South End!!
1819	Darling	40299	I am for all of the restrictions that are proposed. Thank you
1820	Sharp	40206	I thoroughly oppose the existence of data centers. They are a detriment to our society due to the extensive water and electricity use with little to no job growth and tax revenue. Louisville Metro should focus their efforts on improving quality of life for its residents, not destroy it.
1821	Watson	40216	WE DONT NEED NOR WANT THEM!!!!!!

1822	Salahuddjn	40217	No data centers in Louisville
1823	Young	40222	I'm opposed to the expansion of data centers of any scale in the Louisville area. My concerns include water consumption since they require a ton of water to stay cool. This will aid in the continued climate change conditions that have already negatively impacted the area. it also includes concerns for air quality, we are constantly looking to grow as a city in both business and population but adding a data center will make population efforts on a back burner and could detract visitors. Another concern, noise pollution is a huge concern. Louisville is a mix of small town vibes with big city attractions. Adding a data center will take away property value and worsen neighborhood characteristics. Lastly, the economic benefit is not worth it based on other cities as it doesn't provide enough long term jobs to justify it. This is not the best use of land, utilities and public resources. Thank you for allowing the community to share our concerns
1824	Segar	40222	I do not support data centers in my state do to the destruction of nature and harm to our waterways
1825	Jones	40229	I say NO to data centers.
1826	Yacovetta	40205	We don't want data centers in Louisville! If they have to be here, keep them accountable to specific limited requirements on sound and space. Make sure the process is transparent and thorough!
1827	Yarbor	40217	We do not need data centers in our city causing the price of necessary utilities to rise and the quality of life to fall. To add a data center would be to rob the citizens of this city of the ability to choose better living. Data centers are the most optimal way to push citizens out of neighborhoods, which seems to be the goal of the current mayor.
1828	Lankford	40272	Please no data centers in the USA!!! They are ruining our environment. Why are we trying to kill our planet?? Having data centers across the nation/world is going to kill us all.
1829	Owens	40213	Data centers do NOT belong in neighborhoods. Louisville is too small for the ginormous data centers you all are trying to shove out here, there's no reason the city is turning into 50% apartment buildings and 50% data sites. I've watched a family member get pushed out of his FIRST HOME due to BOTH. It's not fair to the hard workers of Louisville, and this data center is not contributing to anything in the city in a positive way. This is going to kill any future potential this city could have. And as much as I want to believe they are staying in industrial areas, the main industrial area they are going to go in is in the west end neighborhood, poisoning the community more then that already are from the sewage plant. KEEP KENTUCKY GREEN!! We are the bluegrass state for a reason!!!
1830	Waggoner	40220	What guarantees are in place to ensure our utility rates don't increase based on the higher utility usage by data centers? How does this data center benefit the people of Louisville? Finally, what's in it for Metro Government?
1831	Seymour	40205	Please ban data centers from being built within or around our community!!
1832	Miller	40242	Against Data Centers in Louisville and in Kentucky. If added, must follow regulations, including those listed in proposal and wdrb site, along with: 1. Water Use: Facility must use closed-loop cooling, so not to put a strain on the local municipal water supply.

			2. Noise Pollution: Ensure decibel levels will not exceed local limits or will disrupt the residential character of the neighborhood.
1833	Werst	40207	If the city has any interest in listening to its constituents, this proposal never would have gotten this far. Continue to ignore the health and livelihoods of us all and we will despise you for all of time. You will have no friends and taxpayers will no longer pay your bills. We have told you over and over again: NO ONE WANTS THIS!!
1834	Newman	40214	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1835	Madarang	40205	No data centers. We should not have to suffer at the expense of increased strain on our power grid, increased costs of energy, air/land/water pollution. If the city wants a future of dead land and impoverished people then by all means ruin the city.
1836	Gentner	40220	Data centers should not be allowed anywhere in or around the city no matter what the size it is. Data centers put a strain on the natural resources and create noise/light pollution. Louisville doesn't need to be first to make this mistake. They do not provide enough local jobs to even consider this. It is just a money grab that's detrimental to people's health.

1837	clark	40203	data centers are unnecessary and discriminatory. the long-term health concerns of data centers have yet to be measured but the immediate harm to the communities of existing data centers is already identifiable. data centers are negligent.
1838	Maguire	40220	The environmental and economic impact of data centers is, at best, unclear and at worst devastating to the communities around it. Until we know the true impact, these should not be built in our city. Let other cities and communities be experiments.
1839	Osterhage	40291	The higher utility costs due to the high demand of resources from data centers. My utilities are high enough, I don't need those increasing and the charges hidden as something else. These centers generally don't generate many long term jobs either so is it really worth the costs.
1840	Bailey	40213	The data centers need to be BANNED from Louisville. They will destroy our environment. They will raise pollution of every kind. They are toxic! They will ultimately produce a greater burden on our citizens, including taxes, utilities, and health! IF the powers that control our community love people more than greed and the almighty dollar, they need to vote to BAN data centers from our community!
1841	Beauchamp	40203	No data centers should be built in Louisville or the surrounding area - especially if they cause increased noise, water use, and stress on our power grid.
1842	Spears	40213	ABSOLUTELY NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY! KEEP THEM OUT. Invest in solar. I do not want a data center in my zip code, city, state or country. Data centers are killing our planet. Please hear us!
1843	White	40229	Protect Louisville. Absolutely no to the Data Center! Protect the future of our city!
1844	Daugherty	40213	No data center. We deserve good water we deserve a quiet city.
1845	Thompson	40217	1.They dont have my consent to have anything pertaining to me with there data centers. 2. This is not something any community needs n is something tht will be used to violate every citizen in the city. 3. Water problems n power grids tht is already overloaded to the point of having to cut back during certain hours, dont need this on top of tht. Dont sell the ppl out for this crap of the devil. Tlks going around tht this stuff is going to be used to be in every persons personal n confidential business n be able to track anyone they wnt to track n know there whereabouts like stalking n hunting whoever they wnt down n ruining whoevers life they wnt n target n retaliate agnst whoever they wnt n discriminate agnst whoever they wnt too n fabricate whatever lies to ruin ppls lives n all is a total violation of the ppls rights. Do not allow this into the city! This is all stuff the antichrist will use on the ppl.
1846	Gregory Rue	40206	We should have a moratorium on data centers until AI companies get off their lazy, easy-money making butts and figure out a way to power them without endangering all life on this planet. Their present design flaws put all life on Earth at risk of extinction. They will deplete and pollute our drinking water and create noise pollution that will ruin our health as well as animals.

1847	Frost	40222	We do not want a data center in Jefferson county. They pose to much of a risk to our families as well as have higher utility costs that the middle class is not able to support. The loss of water and additional bacteria issues in our waters is an additional concern.
1848	Cornett	40272	Don't put them here. We do not want them here. Our lives are better off without that crap here. Kentucky is beautiful and known for its beauty. Please don't destroy it for fucking Ai
1849	Meehan	40299	As a MSW student at the Kent School of Social Work in Louisville, I ethically must use this opportunity to advocate for the environmental protection of marginalized communities. Oftentimes, these data centers are place in rural/low-income or urban/low-income neighborhoods, and in Louisville, these centers would most impact our minority community populations. These same areas that data centers are proposing to infiltrate, are already experiencing such high rates of environmental racism: factory and industrial pollutants, high rates of toxic particulate matter, and just an overall lack of development that provides economic opportunity to the people in these communities. These communities don't need data centers, they need grocery stores and food markets to address food deserts. They don't need data centers, they need healthcare facilities, so they have equitable access to medical care. We need development that provides beneficial and useful results for our people, not development that furthers the pre-existing disparities they face. Louisville deserves better than data centers. Kentucky deserves better than data centers. Our people do not want these data centers developed, so please, on the topic of data centers --- put the people, the environment, and the well-being of our city, above profit and choose to ban the development of data centers. Our people deserve the water and the power these centers will utilize. Our people do not deserve the environmental pollutants these centers create. Our people do not deserve the sound pollution these centers create. And the environment that fosters our livelihood does not deserve the destruction of these centers. Louisville is one of the fastest growing heat pockets in this country, we not need data centers to amplify the discomfort that many residents are already experiencing. Please, choose and support the people when the discussion of data centers arises--- not the temporary profit that only some will experience.
1850	Colucci	40216	ban data center
1851	Carneh	40217	Data centers pose major challenges, including massive strain on local power grids and water supplies, surging residential utility bills, noise and air pollution from backup generators, high land usage, and massive amounts of e-waste.
1852	Hawkins	40299	No data center in the city. Data centers should not be located around people or communities. Build it elsewhere. We have enough problems as a city. Dont add the negative effects of data centers to that list!
1853	Lindsey	40205	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!!! They are a detriment to our environment and our people. They will do more harm than good for the people of Louisville.
1854	Smith	40228	I strongly oppose the building of any new data centers in or near Louisville.
1855	Fraticelli	40206	This should not be allowed to be built in this city due to the harm it could cause to the environment
1856	Jones	40272	I really dont want to be in an area where multiple millions of gallons of water will be ruined and thrown back out into the community for drinking and bathing.
1857	Stewart	40299	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!

1858	Reda	40204	Deny all data centers. I do not want them, I did not ask for them, I do not want to subsidize their water and energy costs, I want AI to die along with every data center proposal that comes to Jefferson County. Go somewhere else
1859	Henry	40204	As a second year medical student at UofLSOM and a graduate of the School of Public Health and Informational Sciences with a Masters, I am disturbed by the consideration of a data center in our city. If these data centers are going to target in marginalized communities...it will be made evident that food security and healthcare access are not respected for our citizens.
1860	Peters	40220	I don't think we should have any data centers. Unknown affects on communities in the surrounding area and over consumption of water.
1861	Phillips	40207	There are far more valuable ways that we can use our financial and natural resources to benefit Louisville residents than to fund a data center. A data center will only serve to detract from our city and will lead to long term detrimental outcomes. Don't subject our city and its residents to a future of fresh water shortages, noise pollution, and overall reduce quality of life. Please invest this money in beautifying our city and making it a safer place to live, rather than allowing people who don't even live here to profit from our land.
1862	Meyers	40208	I am against data centers being allowed in the city. They negatively impact the environment and will lead to increased water, electric bills for residents.
1863	Zabel	40291	No Data Centers. We need more low income housing and shelters for the homeless first.
1864	Johnston	40208	It's a horrible idea that will negatively impact Louisville and its surrounding communities not only in the immediate future, but for generations to come. Kentucky is about unbridled, Bluegrass Spirit, yet the money-hungry decisions of elected locals are stripping away the very thing that we take pride in. If this is just where it starts, then where does it end?
1865	Bonilla	40229	Ban data centers inside Jefferson County. The increase of energy demands and the strain on water supplies is not beneficial to our health, community, and wild life in Louisville.
1866	Leonardo	40229	Data centers should not be able to pull from the grid which in turn will raise rates for citizens. Data centers are not needed! What happened to climate change? These Data centers are going to cause more damage to the world that anything combined
1867	Goatley	40213	Absolutely no data centers here or anywhere on Mother Earth. Put it in space along with all the other junk up there so when it fails it will be out of site and out of mind. No yo data centers.
1868	Whaley	40206	Do not build these data centers. They are not inevitable. The human race has survived 300,000 years without them. They will poison our water.
1869	Martin	40212	One of my biggest concerns is the rise of the of utilities, and enviromental concerns.
1870	Gritton	40291	I do not want large scale data centers in Louisville.
1871	Gray	40215	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1872	Kiefer	40241	NO DATA CENTERS!!!!
1873	Oster	40243	No data centers in Jefferson County period. There has not been adequate proof that the environmental impact is safe for humans and wildlife alike. What will the water intake will be? Who will pay for that water? Certainly it won't be the owners of the data center. There have been times in past years that we, the community, have been asked to conserve water....having a data center does not make sense. Who will have increased electric bills? The entire county and who can afford that? Building this is completely unfair to the residents of the West End. Hasn't that side of Louisville been infringed on enough? Now they want to force this upon them? What benefit will it offer? Jobs?? Walmart will build and promise 100 jobs, hire people then after a short time the fire a large percentage of this hired. The only benefactors will be the owners and those in city government what will get kick back money. NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY PERIOD.

1874	LaViolett	40217	I agree with limiting data centers and protecting our resources, property values, health, and quality of life. Data centers are businesses and should pay their own costs. Infrastructure and utilities used - all expenses! Their services contribute to other PAID services. It's crazy we even have to fight to not pay for corporation expenses with our taxes and increased bills. They already get tax breaks I'm sure. What about the people? With out-of-control inflation and unbelievable gaps in pay between c-suites and employees, this will create more poverty. The middle class can only handle so much. Don't be part of the dark agenda to collapse the middle class. It's now well documented about the pollution and corresponding droughts as well. We do not need our clean drinking water turned brown and our health affected by these data centers. Protect your people first! Studies will come out about cancer and increased health concerns. We're not meant to live so far removed from nature. Keep the digital trash away from populations amd our clean water!
1875	Portaro	40205	Data centers should not be built in Jefferson county
1876	Bongo	40217	Data Center owners should face substantial financial consequences if they fail at any point to meet the requirements set forth by the city. The city should also claim the right to shut down any data centers that repeatedly fail to adhere to requirements and/or fail to remediate issues. There should be a limited amount of time allowed for issues to be addressed. Data Centers should be required to provide their own energy and cooling sources and should not be allowed to take water from community sources.
1877	Creed	40218	Hyperscale datacenters do not belong in Louisville. Period.
1878	Daugherty	40206	No data centers. They get put in neighborhoods that need community centers and grocery stores and bus routes. Keep them out!
1879	McCorkle	40217	I strongly oppose all data centers in Louisville. They drive up utility costs, are obnoxious for neighbors and only serve to make the rich more rich.
1880	Pacheco	40228	Don't allow these at all! Electric and water bills are high enough nobody wants to pay for this! You can't even control how the school system blows our money definitely don't need another money pit!
1881	Hayden	47150	These data centers are horrible for the environment, costing Americans their property value, taking water when data centers have plenty of other alternatives to use to use to cool the cooling systems. It's ruining drinking water and no good will come from these data centers. Humans should come first before AI
1882	Johnson	40047	WE DO NOT NEED DATA CENTERS of any kind taking up land, using fresh water and running up electricity. No we dont want data center in kentucky.
1883	Godfrey	40059	No data centers at all. They use to much electricity, too much water, and too much of our tax funds. AI is a bubble, and I don't want my tax dollars supporting their data centers. No.
1884	Thompson	40211	I disagree with putting data centers in Louisville due to heath risk and radiation exposure. I live in West Louisville and I already have to deal with the chemical plants in my area. Please, no to data centers!
1885	Hoagland	40217	No data centers. Terrible idea and bad for our environment. You can't fight the facts just accept it. I want a safe and healthy world for my future children. AI is not necessary.
1886	Kidd	40229	These should not be so close to our homes and families. NO data centers in Louisville!!!
1887	Mueller	40205	I think that large size data centers should not be allowed in Louisville.

1888	Evans	40241	Ban data centers. Unwanted air pollution and excessive water consumption
1889	Chaffee	40258	We should not allow data centers in Louisville. Data centers are harmful to all people and wildlife in surrounding areas. Data centers take up all fresh water in the area and leave none for humans, animals, or plant life. We will see many species go extinct if we allow data centers near us, go through massive drought, and will lose all attraction/business from travelers, etc. As a result, we will see tons of death if we go through with putting data centers in our city and we will also lose hundreds of thousands of dollars from outside sources (including during Derby, Thunder, etc). Our economy will tank massively.
1890	Miller	40214	I do not support the construction of any data centers within the city limits, or surrounding countryside. I believe they are detrimental to the environment and surrounding communities and will disparately impact low income areas.
1891	Wilhelm	40214	I am in favor of a moratorium on all data center construction for the time being. It has not yet been proven that data centers contribute meaningfully to local economies, and they are unlikely to employ many people once operational. What is certain is that data centers consume large amounts of energy, generate pollution, and are underregulated due to their novelty. We cannot afford to sacrifice people and the environment in an experiment in profitability. It is not enough to impose limits on data center size. No data centers should be permitted in Louisville. None.
1892	Dalton	40214	I fully support the June 18 amended proposed data regulations as drafted.
1893	Ousley	40218	I understand the importance of data centers to our current reliance on technology. However, they do not deserve any special considerations. Any new data center should shoulder its share of utilities so residents don't see a rate increase of even a penny. No data center should be constructed near any residential area. And any new data center should also be required to financially offset the environmental impact of the work it creates. Otherwise, they should not be welcome in Jefferson County.
1894	Bennet	40229	I do not believe large-scale data centers should be allowed in Louisville Metro. While I see the proposal has some stipulations to limit impact to the city's infrastructure and burden on community members, it does not go far enough to mitigate the damage data centers are causing to the communities that already have them. Communities across the country are seeing massive levels of air, water, and noise pollution. Additionally, there are emerging reports of serious health problems associated with these centers, though the centers are so new these have not yet had time to be fully developed. Entire waterways are drying up and wildlife is being adversely impacted. This is on top of the increased rates of water and electricity utilities, destroyed property values, and shortages to the availability of water and electric for the communities due to over demand. Should small-scale data centers be allowed, they need to do more than simply pay for the power and water they consume. They should be required to pay for solar panels to be installed on the facility's roof with any power generated belonging to LG&E—not belonging to the center and not being used to decrease their power bill. They should be required to make regular investments in the Louisville Water Company, not just enough to support the increased burden they cause, but enough to be a net positive for the community. They should have extensive landscaping requirements, with the hopes that additional trees would be helpful in both deadening the noise of the center and in mitigating the air pollution, even of only a small amount. Finally, they should be required to invest heavily in the city ON TOP OF having a heavy tax burden. It is not right that the citizens of Louisville and our beautiful

			natural habitats should suffer for endless profit of some corporation. This funding could be used to help mitigate the pollution from the data centers, maintain our local parks and wildlife reserves, and improve everyday life for Louisville residents. Finally, there needs to be very clear opt-outs in any contract that allow the city to easily shut down any data centers that are harming the city in any way. But, frankly, the best option is to simply not allow any data centers of any size in the city. In the short time we have seen them popping up around the country, we have already seen massive issues associated with these data centers. There is no way to know how much worse things will get. The risk is not worth any short-term benefit that allowing such centers may offer. Thank you for your time.
1895	Tunstall	40208	I'm worried about the effects in the environment and my access to clean, potable water.
1896	Holland	40299	I strongly oppose them. They do not create many jobs or local revenue. They strain the local power grid and water resources irresponsibly. They emit noise that distresses wildlife. They depress adjacent property values. Please consider the linked information in making your decision, which I incorporate into my comments by reference: https://kyrc.org/datacenters-toolkit/ . These data centers are not welcome or beneficial. They are also enormously unpopular. Thank you.
1897	Mack	40203	Louisvillians should not be subjected to the environmental impact and noise of data centers!
1898	Davis	40206	We should not have data centers at all. They should not be allowed a plague on our livelihoods and right to clean air, water and right to housing. Let's build affordable housing in these areas instead of leaches
1899	Ford	40212	I believe that the data centers should stop being built until we have been able to see what the consequences are of our surroundings the habitat the environment or water the animals and even on ourselves and what it does to our bodies people are already experiencing all kind of symptoms from it it takes billions and billions gallons of water to cool them water that is taken from us as taxpayers have to pay their utility bills and stuff like that I do not think it is fair to us or the environment or the animals that do not have a voice
1900	Punsly	40217	I think that these draft regulations are way too broad and are not offering real ways to regulate data centers here in Jefferson County. There is a lot of "encourage" and "should" language without language that mandates. 500,000 square feet is way too big to be allowed. 250,000 square feet is also way too big. 65 decibels can be heard a block away--I have measured the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you could hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center. I would like to see requirements for independent environmental impact studies as well as research on the impact this will have to our sewer infrastructure. I am concerned that the data centers could add PFAS to our water too. I would like to see this office go back to the drawing board and come up with regulations that actually protect residents. And finally, for as energy and resource intensive as data centers are, I think that the only data centers that should be built here should be for the use of the businesses or residents here, not for training AI.

1901	Coleman	40212	While investment and economic development are important, a large-scale data center located in or near the 40212 ZIP code may not represent the highest and best use of land for a community that has experienced decades of disinvestment. Development in West Louisville should prioritize projects that directly improve residents' quality of life, create meaningful employment opportunities, and strengthen neighborhood stability.
1902	Muncy	40215	I am against all data centers
1903	Hale	40272	This data center should not be built. Louisville is a population dense city center and this will only be a thorn in the side of everyone who lives here. It will provide no meaningful amount of jobs and will drive up electricity prices and create noise pollution.
1904	Fisher	40214	Data centers in general are a necessary part of the digital age we find ourselves living in. But these hyper scale AI data centers that are being put up all over the place have been proven to have a negative impact in almost every possible way on the surrounding areas. We have endless amounts of proof that they cause utilities to go up even more, they produce tons of noise pollution along with normal environmental pollution, they create almost no permanent jobs in the area, the list goes on. They are a net negative for the surrounding areas. The city not having the proper terminology in its bylaws or whatever seems like an excuse to just let this happen. There is a growing belief amongst residents that if these data centers bring NOTHING to the table for the community and are proven to cause harm, then why would our elected officials let them happen? The only conclusion any resident can make is that it is benefiting the individuals letting it happen in some way. They just should not be allowed until at the very LEAST we get more regulations in place and can somehow guarantee that the local area will not be impacted negatively in any way.
1905	Flood	40299	Worried about the extraordinary amount of electricity and water required by these data centers and how that impacts our community.
1906	Paulin	40207	No data centers should be allowed in Louisville Metro, period. They're a scourge on our environment and our communities. The people don't want them. You know that. Do what's right by the people and the planet.
1907	Faul	40206	Our water, land, homes and people need to be protected from the exploitation of data centers and big tech. The last thing Louisville needs to be geologically and socially crippled by large, dirty, loud, resource vacuums. Louisville is a great city that deserves to grow and support the people who live here. Not be a cash cow for big business to suck the resources out of the community.
1908	Tuttle	40228	We don't need them here nor do we want them here.
1909	Smith	40216	Louisville should not allow data centers to be built within or around our city. Data centers create massive energy demands, high water usage, and constant noise. This strain leads to higher utility bills and air pollution from emergency generators. These massive buildings also lower surrounding property values while offering very few long-term jobs.
1910	Ratterman	40213	No
1911	Wilson	40205	Please NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE! We need industry coming into town to build up jobs, not data centers for AI that takes away jobs.
1912	Rossi	40204	NO DATA CENTERS
1913	Hernandez	40219	I oppose allowing data centers to be built or to be on our electricity grid. Thank you.

1914	Kohler	40204	Ban the Data Centers!
1915	Downing	40299	Please do not allow data centers in our city. These are very harmful to the environment and will destroy local businesses. I highly encourage Louisville to ban these data centers. I am a long time resident of Louisville and do not agree with allowing those in our city. It will be more detrimental to our environment and our residents. I highly suggest you research the damage that these centers have caused in other cities.
1916	Rozsa	40059	I do not believe Louisville or any area in Kentucky needs a data center. Data centers have proven to be highly detrimental to communities, with concerns for overuse of the community's resources (water and electricity). They are also known to increase the temperature of the surrounding area, emit noise and light pollution, and have been seen to emit noxious exhaust into the air, which has lead to increased asthma attacks and respiratory problems in compromised citizens. I do not believe it is in anyone's interest to have data centers built in Louisville or surrounding areas and am strongly opposed to it.
1917	L	40229	DO NOT BUILD A DATA CENTER HERE. NO ONE WANTS IT AND IT WILL KILL US, SPEAK FOR THE PEOPLE AND NOT FOR PROFITS. MY OLD KENTUCKY HOME HAS NO DATA CENTERS USING ALL OF OUR POWER WATER AND MONEY.
1918	Guyer	40299	I oppose this horrible centers destroying our land and water! Please grow some balls and oppose for your kids and our future. People over profits!!!
1919	Griffin	40203	Louisville does not want data centers. Our air quality has already drastically declined, the world is heating up, a Super El Nino is on the way. Residents in the West and South end already face major pollution from LG&E and Rubber town. No need to put any more strain on our electric grid. We need to keep water as water for drinking and not for cooling. This resident says absolutely NO DATA Centers.
1920	Bocko	40291	I am against the data center in my city thank you
1921	Burn	40241	We do not need data center in our cities regardless of "the growth of AI." There isn't a use for them. Data centers on average employ 10-20 people. It is a huge concern that prices will rise and air quality will get worse due to these data centers in our city as well. The pro's are nearly nonexistent compared to the con's. Us, as citizens of Louisville, do NOT want data centers in our city.
1922	Viner	40205	I oppose the construction of hyperscale data centers in our community and support efforts to restrict and regulate these projects. Residents in all zip codes deserve safe, clean, environments and affordable utilities.
1923	Dominguez	40299	No Data centers.....its not in the best interest of the city
1924	Clements	40202	We don't need a data center in Louisville.
1925	Neltner	40206	Ban data centers in Louisville. Protect our beautiful city from the harmful environmental factors of data centers. Choose a future of beauty and human connection.
1926	Dieppa	40208	I am very opposed to having a data center built within the city at all. I am in full support of this proposal which bans new hyperscale data centers and create new rules for smaller facilities. I also believe there should be some required air pollution testing required, over a span of several years, to be paid for by the new facilities.

			I also believe any exceptions should be made public, and open for comment before being extended to new facilities.
1927	Johnson	40206	Fuck Data Centers
1928	Guyer	40299	I want absolutely no data centers in Louisville. They have horrible effects on the life and environment that surround it.
1929	Lowery	40206	Louisville and surrounding counties should vote NO on all data centers no mater size and scale since the research and environmental impacts of these centers are increasingly detrimental to society and wildlife.
1930	Shook	40299	Please do not put data centers any where near any important environmental areas or population centers. It can cause all destructive effects
1931	Johnson	40228	No data centers
1932	Richmond	40205	I am fully against large scale data centers. It's extremely important to implement restrictions, regulations, and in some cases full bans of these facilities to protect our community from spiking utility bills, water depletion, and grid instability. These massive AI facilities drain resources and burden everyday ratepayers, while enriching tech corporations. This is not a net positive for our community. This will ultimately cause a burden on those who live in Louisville. Those closest to the center will experience the most pains. I feel that if those making this decision aren't willing to live next to a data center, then they should not be allowing them to be built near others.
1933	Lockhart	40272	Data centers are dangerous for the environment, the wildlife that inhabit the environment, the water system for both wildlife and humans. It destroys the trees which provide clean air and shelter from the sun. Data centers produce a constant volume of noise which disrupts sleep patterns. We do not want data centers in our area.
1934	Frick	40212	We have enough problems now with keeping our power on for whatever reasons. We do not need or want any more data centers here. No Matter what they say, it will increase our residential rates for electricity and for water and sewage. This would result in additional burdens being placed on the poor, disabled, and retired people that are barely getting by on limited incomes. Plus they wind up tax free, and take any income out of our state. There is a reason that they want to come here and mess up our city for the current and future generations. They don't care about our people here. As a matter of fact the ones they are currently building need to be stopped, because it was never presented to thr people living here.
1935	Bright	40218	We do not know enough to be building data centers at such a rapid rate. We do know they deplete natural resources like water that cannot be reused for anything else and can also contaminate waste water and create gnarly bacterias. Not to mention the air and noise pollution data centers pose to our local communities.
1936	Keiser	40203	ALL data centers need to be stopped until further information is known about the human and environmental damage they cause. Not to mention the increase in utilities cost for consumers. From what is already known, data centers are creating havoc among the people and environment they are now being built in. And if the government wants to give these companies building them tax incentives on top of it all, those government officials need to be voted out. I say NO to all data center construction!

1937	Ten	40219	We don't need data centers that's proven fact. Data centers are environmental killers, and no telling what it can do to us humans we have spent all this money to save our environment and putting a data center up will destroy it. It doesn't make sense and you can't sit here and tell anybody that you'll be bringing in revenue and jobs for the people in the state because that's bull! Data Centers calls, water, depletion, air, pollution, and public health issues, noise and light pollution and that's proven fact do we really want to put in data centers and ruining our planet because we only have one. It's not always about the money how about human decency compassion.
1938	Ankem	40059	Data centers will ruin our water quality and disperse the increase of charges among the residents rather than the company.
1939	Fineisen	40245	DO NOT BUILD. NOBODY WANTS THIS. It's going to hurt the working people who live nearby these centers. Moving away isn't an option for them, so they're forced to suffer through higher energy and water costs, more noise pollution, air pollution, etc. Additionally, the people aren't going to be the ones seeing economic benefit from the center. Investors and a few workers will benefit, but the general public will be hurt economically. Please do not build this in our city.
1940	Guyer	40299	I dont want there to be any kind of data center in louisville cause it will lead to more problems than solutions. Take care of the people not jobs
1941	Peterson	40208	The proliferation of data centers have driven up utility rates due to their high demand and usage for water (which helps cool the heat output of the server racks) and electricity. Many of them are being built across the country in urban and rural areas where poor residents are already having problems keeping the water and lights on. The demand for Artificial Intelligence which creates the demand for data centers has inflated the price of RAM chips available to the consumer public to unaffordable levels: A home computer that initially cost around \$1000 to build two years ago now costs around \$3500 to build using the very same components! This is driving up the products of electronics including video game consoles up every year by \$50-\$150 and this rising of price continues to rise as data centers continue to proliferate. Sure, the demand for data centers is creating jobs for plumbers, carpenters, electricians and workers in the building trades; but the unfortunate reality is that there is just as high of a demand for affordable housing to be built for low-income residents who desperately need it as there is a demand for artificial intelligence that for the person who either can't draw or don't wish to pay/commission an artist to draw it for them can instead generate hardcore pornographic anime and other such similar ribald content with a few phrases and a mouse click.
1942	Hall	40207	I am totally against data centers!! They will use way too much of our existing resources
1943	Saraco	40222	Brother please do not do this. Green space is important, and I already pay too much fucking money for basic necessities. Don't make people's lives harder for your monetary gain. People can't afford to feed their fucking children.
1944	Weihe	40023	Data centers should not be allowed in the city, or even the commonwealth. Their creation restricts resources from our own people with their need for water and space. This often ends up being reallocated and comes from the people who need it most. Do not allowed data centers in the river city.

1945	Peters	40217	Absolutely no data centers. They provide no real community impact for good. They are parasitic in nature.
1946	Sadler	40291	The current economic and regulatory framework allows global tech companies to privatize the massive profits of AI and cloud computing, while dumping the crushing infrastructure, environmental, and utility costs onto local taxpayers and working-class ratepayers. 1. Ratepayer Abuse and Unchecked Grid Strain The scale of energy required by these facilities is incompatible with Louisville's current utility infrastructure, and residents are entirely unprotected from footing the bill. * Massive Power Consumption: The 1.8-million-square-foot PowerHouse data center approved for Camp Ground Road will demand up to 402 megawatts of electricity. That single facility will increase local grid demand by 63%, using the equivalent power of roughly 280,000 to 400,000 homes. * The Failure of Ratepayer Protection: Utility companies historically pass the costs of building new high-voltage infrastructure and generation capacity down to the public. The 2026 Kentucky Ratepayer Protection Act, which would have legally required data centers to pay for their own exclusive grid upgrades and shielded other customer classes, died in the state legislature in March 2026. * Soaring Bills: Without state guardrails, everyday LG&E customers risk bearing the financial brunt. U.S. Rep. Morgan McGarvey highlighted in July 2026 that regions with dense data center development have seen residential electricity rates spike by as much as 267% over five years. 2. Decades of Corporate Handouts with Little Return The argument that these centers are engines of economic revitalization is severely undercut by extreme state subsidies and poor permanent job creation. * Half-Century Subsidies: The recent surge in large data center proposals follows Kentucky's enactment of sweeping sales tax incentives. These exemptions free hyperscale developers from paying sales tax on servers, cooling systems, and infrastructure for up to 50 years. * The Warehouse, Not the Workforce: Once the initial construction phase is over, a 150-acre facility heavily relies on automation. Louisville taxpayers are subsidizing highly profitable tech companies for decades in exchange for a tiny handful of permanent security and maintenance jobs, entirely missing out on the high-paying software engineering roles associated with true tech hubs. 3. Deepening Environmental Injustice

			Locating hyperscale facilities in heavy industrial zones compounds historical inequities in Louisville's environmental landscape. * Disproportionate Burden: The Camp Ground Road project is situated in West Louisville, near the Rubbertown corridor. Residents in neighborhoods like Chickasaw already contend with the health impacts of heavy industry. Approving massive, energy-intensive developments in these specific zip codes forces marginalized communities to live alongside the noise and disruption of global data processing. * Diesel and Noise Pollution: To ensure uninterrupted power, facilities are equipped with massive backup diesel generators in each server warehouse. Paired with the constant drone of industrial evaporative cooling systems, the immediate surrounding environment suffers significant degradation with no direct benefit to the residents living closest to the site. In short, bringing data centers to Louisville under the current rules means the city surrenders its land, subsidizes billions in corporate equipment, and strains its power grid, all while risking astronomical utility hikes for local families.
1947	Y	40208	I despise data centers and there should not be one in Louisville or Kentucky. They will increase our electricity bills and pollute our water and ruin the value of the homes in our city.
1948	Swain	40204	I urge Louisville Metro to approach any zoning changes that encourage or expand data center development with extreme caution. While data centers are often marketed as engines of economic growth, the reality is considerably more nuanced. Unlike manufacturing facilities or other commercial developments, modern data centers create relatively few permanent jobs once construction is complete. They occupy large amounts of land while employing only a small operational workforce, meaning the long-term economic return for the surrounding community is often limited. At the same time, data centers place significant demands on local infrastructure. They require enormous amounts of electricity, often necessitating costly grid upgrades and increased generating capacity. These costs can ultimately be borne by utilities, taxpayers, or ratepayers. Many facilities also require substantial water resources for cooling, placing additional strain on local water supplies during periods of drought or peak demand. The impacts on nearby residents should not be overlooked. Large-scale cooling systems, backup generators, and other equipment can produce continuous low-frequency noise that affects surrounding neighborhoods. Residents in communities across the country have raised concerns about persistent noise pollution, diesel generator testing, and reduced quality of life after data centers were constructed near homes.

			There are also broader environmental considerations. As electricity demand from artificial intelligence and cloud computing continues to grow rapidly, data centers are becoming some of the largest industrial consumers of power. Unless paired with significant new clean energy resources, this growth can increase emissions, delay the retirement of fossil fuel generation, and complicate local sustainability goals. Louisville should prioritize development that creates substantial long-term employment, strengthens local businesses, and provides lasting community benefits. If data center projects are considered, they should be subject to rigorous standards regarding noise mitigation, energy use, water consumption, environmental impacts, infrastructure costs, and meaningful community benefit agreements. The burden should be on developers to demonstrate that these projects provide clear, measurable public value—not simply private investment. Land use decisions made today will shape Louisville for decades. I encourage Metro Council and the Planning Commission to ensure that zoning policy serves the long-term interests of residents, neighborhoods, and taxpayers rather than assuming that every large capital investment is inherently beneficial.
1949	Applegate	40204	Absolutely no data centers. None. They are a resource hog and add no value to our lives.
1950	Ritchey	40215	Data centers have no place here. Greenburg is getting kickbacks. Louisville has enough problems without data centers demolishing our environment and neighborhoods
1951	Schepers	40223	SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS THEY WASTE ENERGY AND RESOURCES JUST SO PEOPLE CAN ASK CHATGPT TO MAKE THEM A GROCERY LIST. PLEASE END THE MADNESS.
1952	Knopf	40241	No data center in Jefferson county.
1953	Sprawls	40205	The proposed requirements are appropriate but they must be enforced. This includes after the promises are made and the centers are under operation.
1954	Burkhead	40216	In addition to the prevailing wage, also require them to use local union trades workers.
1955	Graham	40223	Data Centers provide high paying construction and trade jobs while it is being built. It also provides, high skill and high paying jobs in IT, HVAC Service, Power Service and Facility Management once it is built. I see Data Centers as anchor customer for LG&E to provide and bill for power that allows for future investments in the power grid in Metro Louisville. Due to the potential power needs we might even have the opportunity to get the cleanest energy source in the world, nuclear, in the state of Kentucky. I see this as a win, win, win for the Louisville.
1956	Reisert	40206	No Data Centers within City limits period
1957	E.	40205	There should be a moratorium on data centers larger than 5000 total square feet until politicians create better laws than ensure safety and visibility to the public. Currently politicians and others are hiding behind non disclosure agreements and rushing to approve data centers. Its the wild wild west with regard to data centers and some politicians have wrecklessly embraced big tech.... taken their lobby\$\$\$\$ and pushed them through

			without meaningful due diligence and transparency to the public. Data centers are a potential opportunity...but only if our lawmakers get in front of the issue, where they locate them, regulate them and structure them properly, and demonstrate with complete clarity the benefits to the community.
1958	Jackson	40218	I strongly oppose the construction of data centers in Louisville. I believe it is important to preserve nature and prioritize other things (such as human rights, human safety, etc.) before prioritizing data centers and further technologizing our society. Please consider opposing the construction of these data centers. I am a graduate from the Speed School of Engineering from University of Louisville in Computer Science, which makes me a credible advocate to speak on this topic.
1959	Rogers	40219	I appreciate that this proposed draft of regulations has been put forth and it appears to be well thought out. Personally, I am of the opinion that data centers are largely a huge waste of resources that offer very little tangible benefits to the regular person. I do understand that at a fundamental level, data centers do have to exist, and they must be built somewhere. With that said, this draft does appear to cover a lot of the key issues that others and I have regarding where they are built, who is running them, the effects on the local population, and potential environmental impacts.
1960	Meadors	40208	I am strongly against building data centers in Louisville. Any job opportunities they may bring is not worth the harm to communities that has been documented in other cities. Noise pollution, higher electricity bills, physical heat produced, and water usage. I urge the city to not move forward with the data centers that have been proposed.
1961	Tuggle	40241	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from

			breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
1962	Griffith	40206	No data centers of any size are welcome in the Jefferson County metro area. Just say NO!
1963	linton	40011	data centers should not be in the area
1964	Dicken	40220	Hold off and let time reveal the problems caused. Don't be the first to build
1965	Brown	40215	No data centers!!
1966	Murphy	40216	Louisville doesn't need data centers,period! Puts a strain on all utilities, destroys the environment. There is nothing good about them.
1967	Reisz	40206	Data centers pose a major threat to water resources due to immense consumption and pollution. Massive cooling systems evaporate millions of gallons of local water daily, which drains regional supplies and leaves behind concentrated pollutants that degrade water quality. Furthermore the pollution they generate or support can elevate cancer risks for surrounding communities. The primary health hazards stem from localized air pollution, hazardous chemicals, and electrical infrastructure associated with these facilities. As energy-hungry computing facilities (especially for AI) demand massive power, their presence affects consumer costs - something we THE PEOPLE did not ask for or approve of, particularly as AI will be stealing the jobs and earning capability of the residents of Louisville, Kentucky and America, who will have to subsidize it, against our own interests and desires. Data centers are bad for health, people, the environment, the economy and should not be built in Louisville or Kentucky. Thank you for your consideration.

1968	Coles	40219	Data centers do more harm than good for our communities. I do not want any data centers in Louisville.
1969	R	40207	Placing data centers in or near residential neighborhoods puts corporate expansion ahead of the well-being of people and the environment. These massive facilities bring constant construction, increased traffic, noise, and heavy demands on local water and power supplies, disrupting the daily lives of nearby residents. They can also replace natural landscapes, fragment wildlife habitats, and contribute to heat, light, and air pollution that harms local ecosystems.
1970	Frazier	40222	No! No! No!
1971	Kaufman	40205	My vote is No, no data centers in Louisville
1972	Ullrich	40204	These big data centers ain't just some harmless buildings full of computers. They use a whole mess of water, and that ought to worry every Kentuckian who cares about their land, their creeks, and their community. These cooling systems can suck up huge amounts of local water just to keep their machines from overheating. That puts more pressure on our water supply, and when water gets used up or evaporates, it can leave behind concentrated pollution that hurts water quality. They can also bring more pollution right into the places where regular folks live, work, and raise their families. We're talking about air pollution, hazardous chemicals, backup generators, power lines, substations, and all the industrial mess that comes with keeping these places running. The people nearby may end up dealing with more emissions, more noise, and more health risks, while the companies making the money are nowhere to be found when the damage is done. And let's be real: these data centers don't run on hopes and prayers. They need a massive amount of electricity. To feed that demand, utilities may fire up more natural gas power plants or build more gas infrastructure. They like to call natural gas "cleaner," but that don't mean clean. Burning natural gas still puts carbon dioxide into the air, which adds to climate change. On top of that, methane can leak during drilling, processing, and transport, and methane is a powerful greenhouse gas that does serious damage to the atmosphere. More natural gas power also means more pipelines, more drilling, more industrial development, and more pollution getting pushed onto regular people. Our land, our water, and our health should not be sacrificed just so some big tech company can power machines that make them richer. These energy-hungry data centers, especially the ones built for artificial intelligence, can also drive up power demand and raise utility costs for everyday families. The people of Louisville, Kentucky, and communities all across America did not ask for higher bills, dirtier air, more strain on our water, or more fossil fuel pollution. And it's even worse when the same AI technology these places support could end up taking jobs and earning power away from the very people being forced to live with the consequences.

			Kentucky folks deserve better than being treated like a dumping ground for Big Tech's water use, pollution, and power bills.
1973	Wright	40206	Bad idea. Too much water and electricity consumed and ultimately paid for by the working man through increases in our water and electricity. We already can't afford life. Too loud- proven to be damaging to wildlife and humans are already complaining of ailments from the constant noise and vibrations. If they MUST be here- they need to pay for ALL WATER AND ELECTRICITY AND financially contribute to helping us find more resources so we can continue to live as a society and not have to eventually ration our resources.
1974	Behymer	40245	Please do NOT build a data center. Louisville does not need one. Why would we ruin our trademark of having the best drinkable tap water? Our beautiful environment does not need noise pollution or heat emissions from data centers. Please do not build a data center.
1975	Edwards	40217	We should ban them completely as they have a negative impact on the environment including water usage and pollution, noise pollution, and contributing to the downfall of society by supporting AI.
1976	Mccartin	40206	It's harmful to our environment and no one in our area supports it!
1977	Hayden	40208	Following the news has shown me two main issues with data centers: 1) They are resource guzzlers, taking in vast amounts of electricity and water that local residents must then subsidize through our own utility bills. (I'm not even touching on the disruption to nature or the waste they produce that is toxic.) 2) AI doesn't work. Why are we in such a rush to build data centers for a technology that regularly fails? AI has told children to kill themselves, suggested nuking our allies, and regularly deletes key data or fabricates information. Please do not build any data centers. This is a fantasy project for the wealthy and the tech companies that are betting their dream of big bucks on a technology that is nowhere near ready. Do not force the rest of us to subsidize the continued spread of misinformation, or even the loss of our own jobs (if they can ever figure out how to get AI not to delete key information or lie). Thanks for taking the time to read this message.
1978	Wright	40206	In addition, if they must be here- it must be in an industrialized area- and not new development.
1979	Clark	40223	Don't do it, that's the best idea. Louisville has some of the best water and this will lead to the end of that.
1980	Zalewski	40220	We have more than enough data centers. The world cannot handle more misuse of natural resources. Fuck data centers.
1981	gibbs	40205	Please ban them.

1982	Sh	40245	We DO NOT need any data centers near here. They are noise inducing, cause pollution and don't bring any economic benefit.
1983	Jones	40241	I want no data centers in our city without addressing the following, documented impacts of them across the US. - Higher electricity bills as data centers strain local grids and costs get spread across all ratepayers - Heavy water use for cooling, straining supplies in already water-stressed areas - Air pollution from backup diesel generators and fossil-fuel power plants supplying the grid - Persistent low-level noise from cooling fans, disrupting sleep and outdoor use of nearby homes - Loss of green space and farmland as facilities get built on undeveloped or rural land - Increased truck traffic and diesel exhaust during construction - Overstated job promises — construction jobs are often temporary and non-local; permanent staffing is minimal - Large tax breaks granted in exchange for limited lasting economic benefit - Erosion of local zoning control, with some states limiting municipal authority and developers suing to override local decisions
1984	Lennon	40206	They're killing our planet
1985	Mills	40229	Electricity is already expensive in this city and this will just make it worse. We have some of the cleanest water in the country and this would ruin that. It would dirty our water and use it up for technology nobody needs. It would be detrimental to the city.
1986	Lanter	40219	no data centers in louisville. they do not exist to benifit our city.
1987	Gipson	40217	Data centers do not belong in our community. We have an opportunity to push back and say no. No for the environment. No for our lesser privileged communities where these will inevitably go. No for the future of our society. There are alternatives. This is a bubble that can and should burst. Don't be the city leadership that cowers to quick money.
1988	Boswell	40241	Keep them far away from this city. An increase in bills and pollution will push so many people out of this city.
1989	Nicole	40207	No Data Centers BAN DATA CENTERS choose our children's health and future choose to keep water and electric costs low choose to keep Kentucky's resources instead of wasting so much energy and water why harming health as a direct impact. Choose Humanity.
1990	Stinnett	40206	The majority of kentuckians don't want these. They're good for billionaires and no one else. They hurt our environment and they don't create jobs. If you care about your constituents, you'll do all you can to keep data

			centers away from this state. Be a hero. Say no to data centers.
1991	Cambron	40224	Utilities are already expensive. Data centers will put more of a strain on our utilities, including electricity and water. We are sick and tired of living for corporations. We work and we expect some benefit not to keep shoveling out shoveling out shoveling out... That a center is proposed for Rubbertown, is disgusting and reprehensible. People live, work and go to school there. Given a majority of the people that live there are minorities, it's blatant racism. I'm not a Republican so I support the people, even if I'm not directly affected.
1992	Link	40204	Please do not put any data centers in the city of Louisville or Jefferson County in general.
1993	Sleet	40229	The ideas and comments that I am providing are part of the popular opinion of the public. We should NOT have Data Centers of any size or capacity ANYWHERE near or in the city of Louisville. Based on the evidence of other rural and city locations with Data Centers mere miles from them, the impacts are extreme and do not fit the great city of Louisville. A short list of the impacts those data centers have on RURAL communities: - Noise pollution - Poor water quality - Poor water pressure - No water - Light pollution - Heat emissions - Invisible emissions Those communities are far away from the data centers in their areas, yet they are facing those issues. If a Data Center went up tomorrow, the next day, or the day after that, we would have those same issues on a much higher degree. Everyone would suffer, and as a population that has a right to what is or isn't in our city, all I have to say is this... NO DATA CENTERS IN 502.

			Do not fail me, do not fail my neighbors, do not fail the greatest city, Louisville, Kentucky.
1994	Pohl	40204	data centers do not belong in louisville. no one wants them, they'll only cause issues down the line with water and light pollution.
1995	Chandler	40243	They are a huge waste of resources and kill our natural environment. Why is it even needed?? No one can answer that. When is enough really enough for this greedy town with stupid priorities. Actually do something to help people not make our lives worse.
1996	Bhatti	40292	I am against having any data centers here in Louisville.
1997	Hurdle	40165	I support the draft of the proposed data center regulations the Office of Planning has completed. The utility customers should be protected and no cost should be shifted to them because of the data centers, noise standards should definitely exist, and if built in Louisville (Kentucky) they should be put a very substantial distance from homes, schools, and daycare facilities.
1998	Newton	40033	DONT DO IT
1999	DeLatorre	40299	I want more regulations for Data Center. I don't want data centers in my community. Data centers are destroying communities and wildlife. Keep data centers out!
2000	Conn	40215	MSD and Louisville Water is already expensive enough, and now you want add a data center that will consume most of the water we have? This is redundant and illogical. We the people do not want this!
2001	Slone	40242	Data centers should not be allowed to impact energy and water availability and/or prices. How are noise issues going to be mitigated? There should be no tax breaks/incentives permitted for these centers.
2002	Senn	40217	I am against any and all construction of data centers in Kentucky and surrounding areas.
2003	Fromknecht	40059	I am strongly opposed to Data Centers being located in Jefferson County, or anywhere in Kentucky for that matter, due to the strain they would put on local resources (namely through their electricity and water usage). Allowing a data center to be built here would absolutely not be in the best interest of local residents.
2004	Buchanan	40242	I am 1000% opposed to any data center being built within Jefferson County. They create air, noise and water pollution while providing very little economic benefit and driving up local electric rates for residents.
2005	Cramer	40204	NO DATA CENTERS
2006	Dunaway	40220	No data center in Louisville Make the center pay for water and electricity Also make sure they don't pollute the air and water
2007	Robb	40216	The data centers will only hurt our community in the long run. It is not worth risking quality of life for kentucky residents over something to cool down a robot. Listen to the people and do not build the Data Centers.
2008	adams	40216	Data centers have no place in kentucky
2009	Cramer	40223	Please refrain from allowing data centers to be in our city and surrounding areas. They use up valuable resources and are a nuisance to our community.
2010	Debolt	57130	I do not want a data center in my local area. I don't want them at all, honestly.
2011	Richardson	40220	NO DATA CENTERS in 502!!!
2012	Keys	40215	FUCK NO. Yall are weird. If you do a data center I'll kill myself.

2013	Jackson	40215	WE DO NOT WANT ANY DATA CENTERS ! Our water supply is already subpar compared to what it used to be ! We used to be top ranking for cleanest water..now not so much. The money used to build this place could be used to get more resources for our elderly, homeless & people less fortunate. We need more jobs in this city, more educational venues (museums) for our children to take field trips too; there is just so much more stuff needed than a data center in Louisville, KY. Please build it in another state..in a rural abandon (ZERO SIGN OF HUMAN LIFE) town. No one's city should have to go through with this. There are plenty of abandon towns across the U.S..use those !
2014	Slone	40242	Data centers have a catastrophic effect on the environment. They use an extreme amount of water and energy that can lead to water shortages and energy prices skyrocketing. Many data centers often offload the cost of upgrading the electrical grids (that were upgraded to support the data centers) onto their neighbors who did not want them there in the first place. KEEP DATA CENTERS PUT OF LOUISVILLE!!
2015	Riley	40208	As a law student and citizen of Louisville, I ask the Louisville metro government and the state of Kentucky to refrain from allowing data centers of any size in the city of Louisville. I am most concerned about the impact they will have on our environment, but secondarily deeply worried for the impact they could have on the psyche of our community members. It troubles me to think about the implications of more AI in our society and I am a proponent of human originality, creativity, and all the things that make us human that data centers cannot replicate no matter how advanced they could become. I have also seen troubling stories of increased anxiety the result of data centers near residential areas. In sum, I implore the government not to bring data centers of any kind to our caring, vibrant, and hardworking city of Louisville.
2016	Borders	40217	I do not wish to have a data center in Kentucky
2017	Ritter	40214	They are a pox on the land. As there are currently no regulations tying them up, these data centers need to be curtailed before they're allowed to take over finite space and resources. Energy costs are high enough as it is. Water isn't infinite. Noise pollution and property values will peak and plummet respectively. AI is still in its infancy and it's preposterous to upend the working class American's standard of living for a vanity project by the 1%.
2018	Shumway	40220	The use of AI has its benefits in our everyday life, especially in an industry like healthcare. But do we need super scaled data centers in Louisville? In my opinion we do not. These data centers can be very noisy and disruptive to humans and animals. The sound produced by the cooling systems can easily travel across residential areas and lead to sleep deprivation. Also, data centers use millions of gallons of water to keep the servers cool. We have been in an out of a drought in the Louisville area all year. While we typically have some of the best water in the country, why would we want to run the risk of disrupting that water supply and quality? These data centers have been known to contaminate local water supplies; this can lead to disruption of ecosystems. While AI has become an inevitable part of our society, we should be the leaders in doing our best to assure we don't damaged our communities by bringing large scale data centers to our beloved city.
2019	Vicario	40165	To have data centers in louisville and surrounding cities, would be the death of our environment, water and land, the death of accessible water for the people of our cities and the death of creativity. please rethink how you

			want our future generations to grow and supersede in the next 50-60 years to come. they deserve a well balanced life, a well balanced city and the opportunity to strive for natural and communal instances.
2020	Tierney	40222	Please ban the hyperscale data centers. They are ruining the environment and experience of living in Kentucky. I also support the following additional regulations that were outlined: Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required; Limit data center development to designated industrial areas; Require environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices; Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities; Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations; Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment; Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development; and Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction. These hyperscale data centers are being built because of corporate desire and incentive, not from any request or want from the actual civilians who live here. The use of these data centers, generative AI, is similarly being shoved down people's throats and ruining our environment without any desire from the actual users. It is impossible to opt out of AI search results or features on devices or software. This is clearly a marketing tactic to make users reliant upon AI, and then paywall it or use it to siphon our personal data for profit. Banning these data centers will help curtail the AI bubble that will inevitably burst and leave civilians dealing with the aftermath.
2021	Hennies	40206	Fuck data centers and any politician who supports them should be tried with treason
2022	Harbeson	40217	I think 500,000 square feet is too large of a facility to allow by right under the regulations. That's more than double the size of a Walmart store. If the city is going to set a square footage cap, it should be of generally the same size as a large retail build, 100,000 square feet or less.
2023	Dugan	40118	Proposed regulations 1,2, 3, and 5 should be non-negotiables and under no circumstances be removed from the regulations. Define substantial, require strict illumination standards preventing any light from the data center to

			bleed outside of their property line. I applaud the council for recognizing the issue and leading the way on regulating these data centers.
2024	Johnson	40291	We have enough traffic, noise, pollution etc in Louisville. We do not need data centers in this city, we have created a heat island in Louisville with the constant building as it is. No more!
2025	Murphy	40213	Absolutely no data centers in Jefferson Co.
2026	Schachtner	40212	We should not be investing in them at all. As a person whose whole life has been centered around tech and AI the implementation of data centers will blight land and then become obsolete in 5-10 years. All tech has an obsolescence point. Knowledge bases and data sets will become less accurate as LLM recycle info without human oversight. This will be a waste of money, of resources, time, and health. We will be dumber and less profitable as a city if we invest in data centers. All data centers will do is waste water, put stress on the power grid, make life more expensive, and businesses crumble as jobs are lost and startups are no longer human. I realize this is the case robber Barron, capitalist wet dream. Find a way to remove the humans while still making profit off of human. There is a saying, trying to get blood out of a rock. Not only is it an impossible business plan. The blood is your own as you cut your hand squeezing rocks.
2027	Arnett	40222	I do not believe any AI data centers should be built in the city of Louisville or the state of Kentucky at large. Data centers pollute the environment, raise cost of living, and prevent peaceful enjoyment of your home/property. The people of Kentucky have been victimized by powerful corporations for decades, and I would like to see that end. Furthermore, AI is a useless tool that is being pushed on us by tech giants to enrich themselves without any return on investment for the communities these data centers are being built in. We do not need AI at all. We have lived plenty long without it. Thank you for your time.
2028	Beck	40218	Please ban the mass data centers. We need more zoning opportunity/availability for homes and business to improve the Louisville community. Data centers should be studied for environmental and social impact before approving a build. The consequences that follow them could be too expensive to mitigate and are likely not worth the costs (environmental/social costs that will require expensive solutions) and not welcomed by Louisville residents. If you yourself do not have to or would choose to live near a data center then you need to learn what the consequences are before you subject someone else to the consequences.
2029	Ranscheart	40214	I am against data centers being allowed into Louisville.
2030	Suttles-Crepps	40223	Data centers should be illegal!! We do not want them in our city!!!! I oppose AI and everything to do with it.
2031	Phelps	40220	It will destroy our environment and raise our bills tremendously. PLEASE don't allow this.
2032	Hoover	40213	Please do not allow data centers of this capacity. Data centers use up our drinking water and it is not worth it. There's side affects and down falls of having these data centers. We can't let this happen. Our water and time on earth as human beings depend on NO data centers. This would put EVERYONE in jeopardy if we continue to allow these data centers to be built across the United States.

2033	Ellis	40220	Data centers are evil and have no business in Louisville or anywhere. The negative effects they have on the environment, water, noise, and the surge in energy prices are not worth it. We need to focus on fixing the problems that are already going on in Louisville. Not creating more.
2034	Beasley	40220	We do not need data centers. Please think about the future of our state, and the future we create for our children. Data Centers will not help our community or city! Please seek other ways to boost the economy. With the work going on in other cities like Chicago, Baltimore, and New York, those cities are showing the nation that what the people want and what is good for the city are not mutually exclusive.
2035	Skaggs	40203	Data Centers are worse than a waste of money, they are active harm on the world around them.
2036	Smith	40206	I think data centers should be illegal and they should not have a place in Louisville. They are a nuisance sound wise and drive up our already high utility bills. No data centers!
2037	Jackson	40229	Keep data centers out of Kentucky.
2038	Cook	40272	We can already hear trains and the free way in my neighborhood. That's not mentioning the planes over head everyday but a constant humming from them would be the last straw for multiple people within the area that is just now starting to come up after years of being a low portion of Louisville.
2039	Bressman	40214	Data centers are a parasite on our community. Once construction is complete they provide very little economic benefit. Even with the construction jobs it provides it's not enough to offset the negative impacts having a data center has. Data centers destroy the environment at a much higher rate than other facilities. Our planet is already rapidly warming, as well as our local ecosystems being poisoned with pollutants. We should be using this land to rebuild natural habitats, and lessen our cities carbon footprint before these hot summers get to a point where we cannot survive. Or if natural ecosystems isn't profitable enough, maybe we should invest in green energy. Data centers will cause energy rates to skyrocket making people who already struggle in this economy to struggle more. Instead, this city can invest in green energy that will be an investment in our future. Data centers do nothing but destroy the land, take up valuable space, poison the nearby areas and the only benefit is tech billionaires are able to get a little bit richer. It does not help the actual Louisvillians who elected you to support and protect us.
2040	Barnett	40241	A data center in Louisville is very unnecessary and would harm the city more than it would help. We do not need to waste resources not tax money on a data center. Please do not create a data center in Louisville.
2041	Chennakesavula	40245	I do not believe that Data Centers will provide any long-term benefits to the economy in terms of jobs. There is a temporary benefit in regards to the construction and development. However, once it has been established, it will only have detrimental effects. The most direct being on the consumption of water + degradation of water quality, the increased power bill that will be shifted onto nearby residents, and the complete destruction of the nature/land that it occupies. I believe that our city should be build with the model of sustainability going forward.

2042	Powell	40215	First a for most Louisville's air quality is horrible with several factories emitting high quality pollution. Most of downtown Louisville lives in poverty as it is..homeless population is off the charts downtown Louisville suffers a food desert. This is bad for the environment and people living here. We do not want it! I am paying 82\$ just to take a shower every other day and that's it no dishwasher and no laundry we are being gouged on utilities and cannot afford this
2043	Griffis	40205	Data centers should not be built without full transparency to and support by the public. Trying to sneak these in under the radar, as Nashville is attempting to do right next to their zoo, should cause someone to end up in jail.
2044	Lucas	40211	This is not good for our city or COUNTRY. Is it not obvious? The bacteria in the water..the droughts. You motherfuckers are already rich, stop making decisions that impact an enormous group of people. We have children to raise.
2045	Schoenbachler	40204	Very costly to the environment. Devastating to neighborhoods and neighbors. Meanwhile, making outsiders rich beyond belief with no benefit to the community that has to deal with the repercussions.
2046	Miller	40206	I do not want Data Centers in my city and community. I do not want the strain on our resources and additions to environmental concerns that come with them. If any are to be allowed, I highly stand behind the most severe restrictions on size and impact as possible. Keep them out.
2047	Rosenthal	40205	I do not want Data Centers in the city. It will reduce property values. It will be loud. It will increase water bills.
2048	Suell	40258	Data centers are producing water and air pollution. This is a city already struggling with issues of noise, air, water pollution and many people are struggling to live well. Creating data centers will do nothing but hurt the city, not help.
2049	McRoberts	40204	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny.

			Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
2050	Fitt	40222	My concern with Data Centers is the subsidization of taxpayer money to build them without the support of the local citizens who are subsidizing this. The corporations behind these projects aren't footing the bill to increase energy capacity with LG&E, that will be done through rate hikes which impact everyone in the metro area. That same concern follows with increasing capacity of potable water for cooling the hardware and electronics in the Data Center. Louisville Water would need to increase its capacity, and that will include rate hikes for water and sewage, which residents foot the bill for. This demand could be diminished if closed loop cooling systems are a requirement of the development but is that the case? Another aspect of these projects that gets touted is job creation. What is the breakdown of jobs created that are long term jobs and not simply related to constructing the building? Are the crews building the data center locally based/sourced? I'm seeing that normal headcount to operate a 12MW data center is 20-25 people. Is the plan to really invest all that money and development for 20-25 jobs created?
2051	Shannon	40208	Data centers destroy the environment. Against them wholeheartedly.
2052	Dugan	40118	Conditional use permits should not exempt the data center owners from bullet points 1,2,3, and 5. If that is allowed then they can just bypass any of these regulations with the right people in their pockets. This needs to be codified in the regulations.
2053	Washington	40204	Do not want data centers in our city draining water, raising electric bills and poisoning the environment
2054	Flarsheim	40204	While I'm not necessarily opposed to having data centers built in Louisville. I work in software. I know the need to have dedicated hardware space. But I'm vehemently opposed to anybody running what is effectively an unlicensed natural gas power plant in the city center. No supplemental non-renewable power sources, they should cover themselves in solar panels if they need extra energy. Also like any business they should be subject to noise control requirements. I'm also opposed to giving them lots of tax breaks. They should pay their taxes just like everyone else
2055	Hogan	40217	Data centers do not belong in Louisville.
2056	Rice	40216	Concerns: Noise level, decline in property values, costs to residents (electricity)
2057	Corey	40216	As a resident who is watching the Data center on campground road develop from my back yard practically, I feel like the proximity to residences in the area is unnecessary. I feel worried that my already increased power bill will run drastically out of control, despite my already frugal habits. I've already noticed a change in the wind (due to the woods being bulldozed) and am worried the heat pollution will follow once these buildings complete and become operational. My parents were in close proximity to a data center in Hillsboro Oregon where they watched their power rates SKYROCKET year round. They personally saw the water services to their residence trickle in the summer to the point where they couldnt run their sprinkler system. My father, a vietnam vet with COPD and my mother who has stage 4 lung cancer had to often choose between running my fathers oxygen system or climate control, it was

			drastically out of hand and uncontrolled. They ultimately relocated when concessions by their local government went unheard. Ideally, this data center would not be completed, but insuring residence dont pick up the bill with increased utilities rates, strict overview of their air and waste water management, commitment to replanting greenery as a means to offset noise and heat pollution might offset the backlash. In anticipation that all of my previous complaints, and those of my neighbors, have gone unheard, I've prepared to leave this area, at a loss, to avoid my taxes going to supplement projects like this in the future. My household only contributes \$160k in annual wages, while maybe \$60k of that gets spent at local businesses, utilities and taxes, im sure its a drop in the bucket.
2058	R	40206	This is completely unnecessary and harmful to all Louisville residents. It's obvious nobody wants this and it's not improving anyone's livelihood. Focus on things that matter. It feels so dark that this will probably go forward anyway. There's true evil lurking among us to bring this kind of destruction. God bless
2059	Crawford	40299	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval.

			Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land and water.
2060	Nye	40207	They are noisy obnoxious neighbors. I would not like to live near one and if I was looking for a house, it would not be near a Data center.
2061	Borrero	40210	No data centers in Louisville! Especially not in the west end. They are going to drive up prices of Utilities and drive down property values and cause a host of other issues in a side of the city that already has enough problems and is trying to improve. Don't set west end residents back in health, wealth, and life. Find property in the middle of nowhere, not in the middle of a city.
2062	Malone	40204	Data centers do more harm than good to society as a whole, from creating sound and light pollution to driving up energy costs. Unless the data centers are expected to pay the extra energy costs instead of having them subsidized, and are expected to tackle the issues of water and energy usage themselves, they have no place here in Louisville or anywhere near it.
2063	Tucker	40203	No new data centers of any size. None. They have no place in our city or county. We need new industry and economic development that brings jobs, pays taxes, and contributes to the infrastructure--not something that destroys, wastes, and sends money out-of-state.
2064	Shariatmadari	40220	Data centers need heavy regulations. First they create a lot of noise pollution which causes major health problems for residents that happen to live within hearing range. They should have to put sound absorbers in the facility. Next there is a major water consumption and electricity consumption issue. They require a large amount of both which can cause scarcity and heavy loads very quickly. They should have to pay extra for both and things need to be put in place to prevent residents from having to deal with major utility price increases. If anything residents should get cheaper bills not higher ones. Finally all data centers should have a moratorium put on them to do major studies and make sure the environmental impact wont effect the population. If they cant do this the data centers shouldnt be allowed. Since they provide 0 jobs they only hurt the economy. They should have to pay directly to programs that actually support the community. Schools, infrastructure, and welfare programs. I do not support data centers being built without major public guard rails put in place. Thank you.
2065	Shope	40258	Data centers are awful for communities. To approve this shows you don't care of your citizens and constituents!
2066	Peacock	40220	For the health and safety of everyone, data centers should be banned.
2067	Helm	40031	I do not support data centers for the sake of me and my neighbors physical and financial health, and for the health our land and natural resources. Data centers do not belong in KY.
2068	William	40206	I feel they affect everyone's power bills water and the environment.
2069	Carrillo	40218	Data Centers value to the community are short term and do not outweigh the damage they do. While jobs are provided in their initial development, a plethora of long term jobs are not made, and instead we are left with buildings that consume our water, give off heat and other chemicals as well as other environmental hazards. Not to mention that AI as a whole has the potential to eliminate valuable human jobs and in my opinion is creating an artificial reliance on technology. Please say no to data centers!!
2070	Krebs	40217	No data centers!!!

2071	Lawrence	40243	I don't believe we need them in Louisville. If they must be put in Kentucky, it's a very vast rural state where you can find a better spot then where we need to protect our environment the most near our population.
2072	Thompson	40217	Ur gona destroy this cities food, water, agriculture, land, harm the ppl, eventually replace ppl for robots n be the ruin of this city if u allow this n may every citizen impeach n hold u accountable for the harm u cause if u allow this to happn for harming everyone if u dont ban this. Ppl are already banning it as i show in the comment section of this article n u all too sworn oaths to protect n serve the ppl to God n not be liars n u need to ban microwave weapons n voice of God n implants n digital crap to control pppls money n tryn to make us robots too n those flock cameras tht does more thn there telling u and can get into all our personal business too. Actions speaks louder then words n ur words has been lies and ur actions has been to harm the ppl on so many levels n u need to make stuff right n change for the better n stop working for the devil. Ban it all! Dont do it!
2073	botts	40206	data centers are evil and a horrific waste of space, energy, and resources. no one wants to live near these awful things and they serve no purpose other than people wanting to make silly AI videos. please do not let these anywhere near our beautiful city.
2074	Jones	40118	We do not want this in our area! Take those plants to a remote island or something. Absolutely terrible idea and a health hazard!
2075	Tillett	40205	The documented extraordinarily high energy and water needs of data centers, as well as their affiliated increases in noise and pollution, and cost increases of 20% or more for residential energy consumers show that large data centers cause substantial harm to the communities that host them. Furthermore, since data centers do not result in job creation beyond initial short term construction, and tax incentives limit the financial benefits (including taxes that fund our schools) that communities might gain from any potential data center revenue, it appears that only the developers and corporate investors profit from data centers, and they do so at great expense to communities. Please keep them out of Louisville. Green, B., & Nguyen, T. (2025). What Happens When Data Centers Come to Town? University of Michigan Science, Technology, and Public Policy Unit. Retrieved from https://stpp.fordschool.umich.edu/sites/stpp/files/2025-07/stpp-data-centers-2025.pdf
2076	Newman	40208	Louisville shouldn't become the next hotspot for massive data centers. The promises of really just results in huge demands on utilities, limited desirable employment, and taxpayers footing the bill for existing infrastructure and ongoing upgrades/maintenance. Louisville needs protecting of city resources and adequate quality of life before handing our fractured economic health over to billion-dollar tech companies.
2077	Clements	40241	This is simply a common sense choice. Even putting the glaring environmental effects aside, these data centers are going to be used to further tighten overreaching surveillance on American citizens. Do the right thing.
2078	McDaniel	40203	This is a god awful idea that disproportionately affects the lower income residents of the city while also raising energy and water bills for all residents. Don't build data centers, build solar farms and windmills instead.
2079	Beasley	40219	No it destroys the environment and messes with people well being. We have enough health issues in the state as it is.

2080	S	40165	NO. just NO. every single thing about it, NO. the myriad of reasons is out there, and everyone knows what they are. if you know what a data center does to a city and what surrounds it and you still chose this- get your head checked. NO no one wants these, so if it passes it's all a hoax.
2081	cardin	40299	Data centers are poisoning our waters and degrading our air quality. We need to keep data centers out of louisville if we care about our community, our resources, and our health.
2082	Carter	40203	NO DATA CENTERS!!!
2083	Collier	40215	Data center will use all the waters. They will not pay their bill and then the customer will have to cover the bills for that factory so we should not have no data sitter here in Louisville Kentucky. It will destroy the environment.
2084	Mackin	40213	A data center has no business being in Louisville, or anywhere else. People and communities are suffering where data centers have popped up. Lack of clean water, brown water, loud noises constantly, light pollution. These data centers do more harm than good and profit is being put over people yet again
2085	Nagel	40205	Louisville already has dirty water & air; this will create more cancer, drive residents that are mobile to leave the city, and younger people in the workforce will decide to not move to the pool. This is an awful idea.
2086	Eline	40222	I absolutely disagree with having data centers located anywhere close to residential areas, as they pose serious risk and harm.
2087	O'Daniel	40291	Please do not allow hyperscale data centers in our city. Residents of Louisville do not need or want them here and it is your job to represent us. We do not want more noise pollution, water pollution, and/or air pollution here regardless of how regulated they are or where they are built.
2088	Edwards	40222	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. We want clean water, affordable electricity! People are more important than the growth of AI.
2089	Scifres	40229	Regulations requiring voter approval on total energy consumption per proposed site exceeding 1.5mw of energy consumption(combination of self generated and grid drawn)for all industries. That will prevent sites from being developed to obscure the term of data center descriptions
2090	Wojcicki	40205	We do not want data centers.
2091	Warden	40291	Data centers are harming communities and the environment. Louisville doesn't need or want anymore data centers. They need to be regulated and limited as much as possible. I am in favor of the proposed regulations, but a limit to the number of data centers in our county would be even better, as well as to not give tax incentives. Data center owners must pay their fair share.
2092	Ritchie	40207	I feel strongly that Data Centers development requires transparency and open discussion from all points of view. The issue, like most, will have both negative and positive aspects which will need to be weighed.
2093	manion	40222	data centers are so harmful to our environment. no data centers!!
2094	Rieber	40214	I oppose ANY data center being built at all for the sake of environmental impact. If data centers are unavoidable they should be heavily regulated to ensure environmental protections, utility cost protections for the public, and community choice/ impact protections for proposed building sites.

			If the community where the data center would hold the most impact doesn't want them there, then it is the duty of our representatives to listen and protect the choice of the people. People over profit Planet over profit
2095	Probus	40291	No data centers in Louisville, KY
2096	Ramser	40207	Do not allow them in Jefferson, Shelby or Oldham counties. Do not allow data centers in residential areas.
2097	Shaw	40229	Institute a three-year moratorium on all data center development while the local government studies data centers and zoning regulations. Pass an ordinance amending the code of ethics so that all local government officials are prevented from signing legally binding commercial NDAS. Ban all data centers above 5,000 square feet in size. Restrict the maximum allowable utility connection for any data processing facility to a peak load of 5 megawatts from the public electrical grid. Create a distinct industrial sub-classification for high-intensity, continuous-operation utilities like data centers and create sound limitations of ambient +3dB at property lines for properties within that classification Require all data centers to use DX cooling, and that all power required for thermal management must be generated entirely on-site via behind-the-meter, zero-emission infrastructure. Mandate that auxiliary fossil-fuel backup generators may only be operated during active utility grid failures. Routine maintenance testing must be strictly limited to a single, pre-scheduled 60-minute window each calendar month that will be restricted to weekdays between 10:00 AM and 2:00 and must be housed in hospital-grade acoustic attenuation enclosures. Enact a binding fiscal policy prohibiting the local government from granting Industrial Revenue Bonds (TRBS), occupational tax credits, property tax abatements, or municipal infrastructure funding to any data processing utility. Create boundary buffers of 500 feet between data centers and the nearest property line, and 1,500 feet between data centers and any residential property lines.

2098	Kinney	40219	Data centers have no business in our city unless they are paying for 100% of their electric, water, and any other expenses, and residents see ZERO increase to their utilities, AND emissions must be heavily regulated. There should NEVER be a time that residents water pressure is less than it is now, nor should we ever be told WE need to conserve electricity. If the grid is overwhelmed then the data center should be the first to go dark
2099	Dover	40217	No data centers!
2100	Hayes	40222	Me and my spouse, along with thousands of other Louisville residents vehemently oppose the development of data centers in our city. We do not want our resources to go towards their funding and operations. We do not want the noise pollution, strain on zoning and traffic and waste of our water that will strain our community
2101	karp	40299	Data Centers are not needed. They are using them to keep track of our every move. This is not American.
2102	Bowen	40220	We don't want it stinking up our city
2103	Kennedy	40207	I am completely opposed to the noise, cost, water usage & damage to the property values that will accompany "data centers ". They have NO place in Louisville or anywhere else in my opinion.
2104	Rogers	40212	I do not want a data center in my city or anywhere near it. I have major concerns about the environmental impact and how it will affect the day-to-day lives of citizens who have not consented. Data centers typically use an enormous amount of power. Already facing constantly rising power bills, I am not able to afford an increase in bills due to a new data center. I do not want to deal with noise pollution let alone the potential health effects of water, air, and soil pollution that may occur as a result of a new data center. I do my best to avoid the use of AI and live a healthy lifestyle. A data center would be a huge hinderance to my freedom and wellbeing as well as that of my neighbors, friends, and family.
2105	Flaherty	40205	They are not helpful for any city or small community. There is no reason why fresh water has to be used as a coolant. Recycle river water at the company's expense with no money taken from taxpayers. The company should have to use their own water recycling plant, not one used for city water.
2106	Kamas	40241	I want none of this in or around Louisville. It's horrible for the environment and a massive waste of resources. Stay out of Kentucky! Keep our state beautiful!
2107	Navarro	40216	I am totally against data centers in Louisville.
2108	Ferguson	40223	Metro Louisville's Office of Planning has released draft regulations for data centers. It allows data centers between 250K and 500K sq. ft. to be as close as 200 ft. from a residential property. We visited an existing "small" data center (ONLY 61,000 sq. ft.) in Louisville at 752 Barret Ave. It uses as much energy as ALL of the houses in the neighborhood. These draft regulations would allow data centers up to 250,000 sq. ft. to be as close as 100 ft. from people's homes. VOLUME UP   to hear the constant hum that residents suffer through, or go visit it yourself. Additionally, data centers guzzle our water, pollute our air, and cause heat islands. AND... the companies involved get unfair tax breaks! Make your voice heard: data centers MUST be strictly regulated!  Give the recommended from Louisville Climate Action feedback ASAP before the next Planning Commission Meeting (Date TBD)  bit.ly/dc-feedback-

			july
			Read the draft regulations louisvilleky.gov/government/office-planning/data-centers
2109	bratton	40243	We do not need data centers. they will cause noise pollution for miles around, which is not healthy for anyone involved. We need water more than we need data centers, not to mention the insane amount of energy & electricity it uses. keep this city healthy & growing. there is no viable reason to add one of those to this city. there are plenty elsewhere & they are lying about needing it for cloud storage anyways. do your research
2110	Daigle	40208	I fully support the proposed data center regulations.
2111	Thurston	40216	I don't believe there is enough research on the long term health effects and water complications
2112	Raney	40204	Do not put a data center in or around Louisville. I don't know who has you in their pocket but do the job you are supposed to do and listen to the citizens and make this a better place for US. you and your billionaire cronies are weak,cowardice, cunt bitches and the day of your reckoning will come if you put one here. Go to hell and take your data centers with you
2113	Clark	40214	Copy & Paste comments! Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
2114	Engelman	40208	I do not wish to see any data centers constructed in Jefferson County. Communities that have permitted the construction of data centers are now suffering the consequences, including noise and air pollution, as well as water shortages due to the immense quantity of clean drinking water that is consumed by data centers. Moreover, Louisvillians are already suffering from exorbitant utility bills due to rate increases and extreme weather events. The construction of a data center in Jefferson County risks further increasing the already

			burdensome cost of utilities for LG&E ratepayers. I am strongly OPPOSED to the proposed data center here in my community.
2115	Grigsby	40031	I'm against all of them being anywhere in our city. Especially on the outskirts where we have land and wildlife.
2116	Frensey	40165	Do not do it. You will ruin the city and cities around it. I am completely against it! It doesn't do anything for us and will be a waste of money
2117	butler	40222	how about... no data centers ever 😊🙄 the county's ecosystem (the one WE live in) is already struggling enough as it is. a data center would turn the city into dust... and eventually a ghost town because no one would want to live here :) imagine being the blueGRASS state with literally no grass.
2118	LaPrade	40207	No to the data centers
2119	Abu	40217	Data centers have been shown to significantly destroy the communities they are put in. From utilities, to air and water quality. Also, "coincidentally" these data centers are in certain, particular communities & we all know why. If you all have the best interest of your constituents in mind, please do not approve any of these
2120	Johnson	40214	Causes cancer!!! So no!!
2121	Porter	40211	I do not want them. I vote NO
2122	carney	40212	communities have crumbled from unclean drinking water and rising costs of living from data centers being built and i'd be devastated to watch it happen to my community
2123	Emberton	40204	Data centers have no place in louisville. they will drive up utility prices and pollute our city. This city's residents say no!!!
2124	Lowhorn	40222	I support deep and lasting limitations on the development of data centers in Louisville and Jefferson County. If any data centers are allowed to progress from 2026 onward, they should be very small in size, very limited or preferably neutral in their environmental impact, and confined to previously zoned industrial areas of the county, not near or within residential neighborhoods. These are the only responsible pathways forward, and anything else would be a deep disservice to the people of Louisville and Jefferson County. Please do what's right for the future of our communities.
2125	McHugh	40222	To continue the paperless / cashless trends the ability to process and retain info is necessary. Public needs valid information about cost to the individual and possible disruption to the enviroment.I see more advantages than disadvantages.
2126	McCleerey	40215	Data centers are primarily being used for LLM and potential for surveillance state items. I do not care about the mega corporations need for these buildings. I care that my neighbors can't hear the noise being produced by data centers. I care that my city and neighbors of Louisvilles don't feel the literal heat increase in their area. I care about the unknown long term effects to our environment and infrastructure by this amount of resource

			usage. I care about the waste being produced from this sheer amount of energy consumption and production. I do not trust those getting into these businesses. I do not trust that I won't feel the cost effects. I do not trust data center production in my city. Say NO!!! Invest in the people of our city and our homes, and help our schools to spend properly without continuing to raise our taxes!!! Come on now.. do the right thing here and say no!
2127	Beck	40241	How is it were creating data centers in large scale production when we dont even have people trained enough for the jobs at hand. We have plenty of open employment opportunities. But instead of providing training and making Kentukians prepared, Were creating new hyperscaled centers for what exactly? Theyre dwindling our resources. Both the renewable and nonrenewable. Except now we have less land that we could hypothetically plot those renewable resources, since theyve all started to be sold and marked for development. This will start the Destruction of Louisville. Is technology so important that you that youre willing to sacrifice your children's and families futures for a couple of furlow lined pockets. If you actually cared about Kentucky...If you actually cared about the world and everything in it.... youd see how full of greed and full of ourselves we are to think this is the future our World needs.
2128	Schoenhoff	40206	I do not want data centers in Louisville. No data centers! Sadly, I mistrust that my opinion will have any impact on the situation.
2129	Perry	40211	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area.

			The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission
2130	Corniel	40241	No
2131	Salameh	40243	Don’t want it at all
2132	Ellis	40211	Look at what is happening in Michigan. No data centers should be allowed in residential areas!
2133	Keown	40208	Based on the environmental and economic impacts, I don’t want to see any data centers built at any area in the city. It’s not a matter of WHICH neighborhood is chosen. None of our neighborhoods deserve to be impacted by this.
2134	Bohannon	40211	All data centers should have to be approved by the citizens without regard to size. All infrastructure improvements to meet the demands of the data center should be paid for by the data center, operators, owners etc. The wastewater from the data centers should have to go through a special treatment to filter out the forever chemicals before it. The water is returned to the source whether it be the river or back to groundwater or the sewer etc. And then what is left over which is the toxic waste/ forever chemicals should have to be treated as hazardous waste and be dealt with under a regulator disposal system. If and when we have grid issues, the data center must shut down. We will not be asking customers to curtail their use until the data center has been shut down. So if there is a rolling brown out or a blackout, the data center has to shut down until the problem can be resolved. We do not ask the customers to curtail their use until this has happened. All data centers inside of the city of Louisville, which would be anything inside of Jefferson County have to be within a quarter of a mile of the county line. All data centers inside Jefferson County can only be 25,000 ft or less. No hypers in side, the city limits of Louisville Kentucky.
2135	Waters	40217	No registrations approvals without public scrutiny.
2136	Carey	40258	I strongly oppose data centers period. They will destroy our environment and our drinking water.
2137	Horine	40223	I do not support data centers for their negative environmental impact and culture of surveillance.
2138	Archambault	40291	Please ban all data centers from the metro. Their cost to the city would be tremendous, with the effects of pollution, health concerns, affected drinking water, noise, and the potential to involve invasion of privacy for residents, along with related expensive legal problems. We DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS.
2139	Hunter	40211	Do not build a data center in the West End of Louisville

2140	Receveur	40071	NO data centers. AI and date centers are harming the environment. Read about the farmer in texas who had a data center installed next to his property. He has not had a live calf born since. All have been stillborns. Think about the effects that could have on newborn babies.
2141	Re	40212	I am concerned and curious about the impacts these centers will have on the local environment. Are there protections in place, for wildlife and air pollutions? Can you make this data public? I am not seeing a lot of evidence to prove either way, whether these impacts are important and how big they may be. Thank you! Otherwise, the regulations I am seeing are quite appropriate and healthy.
2142	Prentice	40203	Data centers provide absolutely NOTHING to citizens. They cause air, noise, and water pollution. They do not create the jobs that you guys say they do. The people of Louisville overwhelmingly DO NOT want data centers in this state, much less our city.
2143	Huitron	40228	Louisville is known for its authenticity, in part due to its large metro community. How many people do you know that describe Louisville as a big city made up of smaller cities? Some of the best parts are described still to this day as having "homegrown" and "small town" atmospheres. Establishing data centers within the metro would isolate areas of land, similar if not worse than how UPS owned land and GE industrial park already does. Adding data centers is not the answer: Louisville needs its people not its land.
2144	Patel	40208	Data centers do not need to be in this city.
2145	Douthitt	40165	NO DATA CENTERS THEY ARE TAKING OUR WATER THIS IS RIDICULOUS. DO NOT BUILD A DATA CENTER
2146	Houston	40205	No data centers of any size. No one wants these but the developers who are the only people who will gain anything from them in addition to those who exploit our data for profit. This is in addition to: a diminishing water supply, polluted waters from emissions, the massive and monumental use of electricity that is then unavailable to the public and citizens, the cost of which is passed on to taxpayers in any situation where electricity is being rationed due to increasing land temperature as evidenced by the recent statement that citizens will be responsible to pay the data centers electricity bills during a brown out or black out. There is no record of these being useful in any way to the public. These are strictly for data mining and for profit to developers and in the worst interest of the public. The health effects are unknown to date, although there is evidence that those who live in close proximity to these have ill health effects to include breathing and respiratory damage. Not to mention the massive land grab that could be used for frankly anything else actually the public interest. This is corporate greed at its zenith and these should be outlawed altogether - now while we are still able to control our power grid before these centers consume all the electricity and water, vital human resources.
2147	Garrett	40202	Data Centers take vital resources that residents need including water. We don't need water taken from us
2148	Tichenor	40222	I am concerned that we are allowing technology and the perceived need for increased business efficiency drive our community decision-making at the cost of our environment and public health. A moratorium should be placed on data centers until the public is properly educated and can make an informed decision of what the true costs of doing business as usual really are.

2149	Williams	40222	Since there is little to no actual data regarding data center effects on the environment and surrounding communities, data centers must not be considered in Louisville/Jefferson County! We must stop letting money take precedence over humanity and health. I vote NO to any data center in my city.
2150	Palme	40206	Our elected officials ran for their seats as a pledge to safeguard the health and wellbeing of Kentuckians. Show us that you meant it. Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
2151	James	40213	No data centers. We absolutely do not want a data center. The health and prosperity of our community is at risk. Keep the devil out of Louisville. No data centers. Mark it ten dude
2152	Heard	40212	I strongly oppose the proposed construction of a data center in our neighborhood. This project represents an invasive development that threatens the well-being, safety, and quality of life of the residents who already call this community home. I am asking you to stand with our community and oppose the proposed construction of a data center in our neighborhood. This project feels like an intrusion into a place where people live, raise families, and deserve peace of mind. A development of this scale does not belong in the middle of a residential community, especially one that has already been historically underserved. Too often, neighborhoods like ours are chosen for projects that bring pollution, strain on resources, and potential health risks, while offering little benefit to the people who actually live here. That is not a coincidence. It reflects a pattern of environmental injustice that we cannot continue to accept. Data centers demand massive amounts of energy and water and can contribute to air and noise pollution. These are real concerns for our health and our future.

			At the same time, our community is still struggling with basic needs. We are a food desert. Families here need access to fresh, healthy food, better resources, and meaningful investment, not a project that could put further strain on our environment and well-being. If resources are going to be invested, they should go toward improving the lives of the people who already call this neighborhood home. There are other areas in Louisville, particularly less densely populated or rural parts of the city where this type of infrastructure would make far more sense and have far less impact on residents. The burden should not fall on communities like ours. I am not against progress, but it must be fair, thoughtful, and people-centered. I am asking you to listen to the voices of this community, reconsider this proposal, and choose a path that protects and uplifts the people who live here.
2153	Holmes	40218	No new data centers please. We should focus on affordable housing with any land data centers would like to use. They should also not be allowed to pass their energy costs onto us at all.
2154	Ketterer	40208	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. You will ruin the community and area more than you already have.
2155	Jacob	40204	AI is not the future. At least, AI will not contribute to the joy filled future we all imagine. More data centers would mean more harm to the environment, water waste, job threats, and decline in critical thinking. Is AI worth all that?
2156	Seymore	40207	I don't want the noise pollution. Plus we dont need more large building to just take up space in our communities. My life is just fine without one.
2157	Jackson	40212	No data centers anywhere if it's gonna come out our pockets and definitely no in the low income neighborhoods or inter city also if we can build data centers then we can build homeless shelters just a thought or something for these kids to do
2158	Hudson	40212	1) No public transparency = No data center (Who/where/incentives sought/incentives offered/size) 2) Location should be far enough from residential areas so there is NO impact on home values and NO sound to impact residents quality of life (should be on par with the NIMBY's—if not, then it is a malicious investment. Period. 3) Must have own self-sustaining utilities 4) Set strict limits on pollution and discharges under threat of discontinuation and shutdown 5) Enforcement arm with residents as final decision-makers on monitoring committee. If company follows

			agreed-upon rules, no need to worry about residents.
			6) Good neighbor verifiable profit-sharing to surrounding neighborhoods
2159	Omer	40212	No data centers. No stealing our clean water. No stealing our electricity and resources. Data centers only harm our community. We do not want cancer and other associated health problems. We want the chance to thrive. Stop valuing out of state “investors” over the health of our community. We do not want cancer and illness from your “investment”. No data centers.
2160	Lindsay	40206	Keep them out of Louisville! We need more services for the homeless.
2161	Lusk	40241	NO data centers period
2162	Hoelscher	40207	I am opposed to Data Centers because of sound pollution, water pollution, soil pollution, etc. Data Centers should be banned until it can be proven they will provide zero risk to society and the environment.
2163	Paul	40217	Data centers will ruin this city! our infrastructure, environment, and society. Please think of our earth and the millions of people who will be affected by the inclusion of data centers. NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!!!
2164	Norris	40216	Please protect our community!! We need this passed.
2165	Romero	40206	Don't build data centers in our city or surrounding areas. The impacts on the residents, environment, and resources has already shown what can happen by looking and reviewing other sites. Don't let it happen to Louisville. The "jobs" those centers create don't outweigh the impact they create on the city. It will be harmful to us. Please don't build one close to our homes.
2166	K	40208	Dear Louisville Metro Council Members, I urge you to reject the expansion of hyperscale data centers in Louisville until independent studies demonstrate that these facilities will not compromise our city's infrastructure, economy, financial stability, or quality of life. This decision is about far more than a single development. It is about the future of Louisville and whether we choose to dedicate our limited land, water, and electrical capacity to an industry that demands extraordinary public resources while creating relatively few permanent jobs. Louisville's greatest asset has never been a single industry—it is the strength and diversity of our community. Our

			healthcare systems, manufacturing sector, logistics industry, bourbon heritage, universities, small businesses, and world-renowned tourism all depend upon reliable infrastructure and thoughtful long-term planning. Every gallon of water and every megawatt of electrical capacity committed to hyperscale data centers is capacity that cannot be used for future employers, housing, hospitals, schools, or community growth without significant additional investment. Our healthcare system deserves particular protection. Louisville's hospitals provide trauma care, organ transplantation, neonatal intensive care, cancer treatment, and emergency services to patients throughout Kentucky and Southern Indiana. These facilities require resilient water and electrical infrastructure every hour of every day. Before approving projects with exceptionally high utility demands, Louisville should require independent studies demonstrating that essential healthcare services will not face increased operating costs, reduced infrastructure resilience, or limitations on future expansion. The long-term economic return also deserves careful scrutiny. While construction creates temporary employment, modern hyperscale data centers often support relatively few permanent jobs after completion. Louisville has a finite supply of industrial land. Dedicating that land and its supporting infrastructure to facilities with limited long-term employment may reduce opportunities to recruit employers that provide substantially more permanent jobs, stronger local supply chains, and a broader tax base. Equally important is who bears the cost. If new substations, transmission lines, water infrastructure, road improvements, or public safety resources are required to support these facilities, developers—not Louisville families, small businesses, or utility customers—should pay those costs in full. Public resources should not be redirected away from neighborhoods, schools, parks, public safety, or essential infrastructure to subsidize projects whose long-term economic benefits remain uncertain. Louisville's identity and economy are also deeply connected to tourism. Millions of visitors come each year for the Kentucky Derby, bourbon tourism, conventions, sporting events, and cultural attractions. These visitors support hotels, restaurants, transportation services, retail businesses, and thousands of local jobs. Preserving the city's infrastructure, environmental quality, and reputation as a vibrant destination is essential to maintaining that economic engine. Decisions that place sustained pressure on utilities, increase public infrastructure costs, or divert public investment away from community priorities could weaken Louisville's long-term competitiveness and financial resilience. The city's economic future depends not only on attracting new industries, but also on protecting the industries and traditions that already generate significant employment, tax revenue, and international recognition. Louisville residents also deserve protection from the cumulative impacts of continuous industrial operations. Around-the-clock cooling equipment, backup generators, increased truck traffic, and intensive utility demands should be evaluated through comprehensive independent studies before permits are granted. The burden of

			proving these projects will not harm surrounding communities should rest with the developer—not with residents after construction has already begun. Louisville has an opportunity to make a decision that will shape our city for generations. We should prioritize development that creates meaningful long-term employment, strengthens our tax base, supports local businesses, protects our hospitals, preserves our tourism economy, and safeguards the public resources on which every resident depends. I respectfully ask the Metro Council to require comprehensive independent analyses of utility capacity, healthcare system resilience, fiscal impacts, environmental effects, and cumulative community impacts before approving additional hyperscale data centers. If these projects cannot clearly demonstrate a substantial long-term net benefit to Louisville residents—not just private developers—they should not move forward. The decisions you make today will define Louisville's future. Please choose policies that invest in our people, protect our essential infrastructure, preserve our unique identity, and ensure that our city's financial strength is built on sustainable growth rather than short-term development. Louisville's most valuable resources are not vacant land, electricity, or water—they are our people, our hospitals, our neighborhoods, and the traditions that have built this city. Those resources deserve to come first. Sincerely, Laura K.
2167	K	40222	Do not allow data centers in our city!!
2168	Porter	40245	Data centers are overtaking everything. They're huge ugly buildings that take up way too much land and space. We need more housing in Louisville (not luxury apartments either but neighborhoods with \$300k homes being built). All we can find are homes that are cheaply build and crammed in or so highly priced you can't afford it. Or even better parks or something for the community to use. Data centers aren't a great use of land and they should be forced to build them in abandoned super stores versus having new ones take up space and should not be in neighborhoods.
2169	Healy	40243	AI data centers use MILLIONS of gallons of water every year. This is terrible for the environment and we are already seeing a water crisis. Louisville does not need this.
2170	Simpson	40299	No fucking data centers!
2171	Weber	40245	I don't want any data centers. They are a giant waste of money and natural resources.
2172	H	40218	i think we should tf not have data centers taking up our community. thank you.
2173	Pennington	40205	As a lifelong Kentuckian and a current resident of Louisville and Jefferson County, I believe data centers have no place in our Commonwealth and certainly not without the full democratic consent of the governed. Data centers

			are an ethical and moral concern as AI raises issues of mass state surveillance. Data centers are a deeply environmental concern as they affect the quality and livability of our land, air, water, and wildlife. As a Christian, I believe Artificial Intelligence compromises the holiness of our Imago Dei: we alone among creatures should Create in the Image of our Creator. We should not be handing our creative abilities over to artificial intelligence when we have plenty of the authentic kind God-given to us.
2174	Carson	40205	The only way any new data center should be allowed would be if they foot the bill, before they can begin construction, on a new renewable energy source that can handle their energy requirements, paid for by them, in a way that doesn't raise energy costs of any local citizens
2175	Pryor	40228	Data centers have no place in Louisville. They take up space, disturb residents, drain resources, and their long-term impacts have yet to be studied.
2176	Greer	40272	Total waste of money and water, grow up and help people who need it not AI.
2177	Owens	40205	Keep them out. All of them.
2178	Dixon	40205	I completely disagree with the idea of any data centers being built in and around Louisville and Jefferson County.
2179	Burton	40299	I believe they lead to air, water, and noise pollution. I do not trust that rates will not go up to cover the cost of providing enough energy to them. Our land needs to be used for housing and community growth instead of more pollution and environmental disruptions.
2180	White	40217	I am completely and totally opposed to data centers in Jefferson county. They offer little in the way of economic growth, and further degrade our environment and social fabric. These projects are short term wins for developers and long term headaches for residents.
2181	Nasir Tafreshi	40208	No data centers.
2182	Sheek	40216	Data centers are large and unnecessary. I do not support AI. Let's not even begin to talk about the amount of water these centers require
2183	Wilson	40206	Absolutely not we don't need them here
2184	Krueger	40203	I support a strict regulation of data centers based on our metro government listening to members of the public, their constituents, in drafting legislation. Our living environments require protection!
2185	Smith	40213	I believe data centers SHOULD NOT be put into Kentucky let alone any part of the world. They're a nuisance they're taking up all of our water they're killing our wild life. It's an absolutely not for me.
2186	Cross	40223	Louisville should ban all data centers.
2187	Kiser	40299	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft does not sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly.

			Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft does not have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, NOT for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2188	Renfrow	40208	We do not want data centers in Louisville /Jefferson County.
2189	Leece	40299	Please keep data centers to a minimum in Louisville. Protect the community, the environment, and the well being of our city. We really don't want the data centers here at all. Please listen to us!!!
2190	Pennington	40299	Data centers are always negative for local communities. They consume an exorbitant amount of water and electricity, passing on those bills to the nearby households. They pollute the air, cause a significant increase in noise and don't benefit the community by producing more jobs. Putting this data center in West Louisville will only harm the citizens and communities in that area that are already dealing with lower incomes. Keep data centers out of Metro Louisville and surrounding areas in Kentucky.
2191	Cooley	40299	Let them build. Industrial areas is fine, but let them build.
2192	Jones	40299	Data Centers create noise pollution, water pollution, are huge drains on the power grid and water demands of the city, and will NOT create meaningful long-term jobs for residents of Louisville. All data center proposals should be tabled until such time stricter regulations can be implemented.
2193	Elliott	40064	NO DATA CENTERS HERE. Save our people and our land.
2194	Aten	40222	We should not be investing in data centers. They don't actually provide any real value to the community.

2195	Fields	40218	Data centers are a big no. They are sucking resource and they aren't creating any new jobs.
2196	Carroll	40205	I am 100% against having data centers in Louisville or Jefferson County, Kentucky, and all the havoc they cause, the use of electricity, the huge use of water, etc. Their buildings are monstrosities that no one wants in their neighborhood.
2197	Maly	40242	NO DATA CENTERS EVER. AT ALL. PERIOD. THEY'RE TOXIC, NOISY, USELESS, AND PROMOTE THEFT OF ART, WORK, AND MONEY
2198	Edelen	40299	We don't want no damn data centers. The water and power consumption of these places are harmful to the community and environment.
2199	H	40207	Under no circumstances should data centers be allowed to suck up all of our water, and especially not at the cost of Louisvillians. Why should my taxes go up because some tech company is using millions of gallons of water? Not only should the developer bear the cost of upgrading infrastructure, but they should have to do it upfront before they build anything. No "oh we'll pay when it's done" or tax breaks or anything. If LG&E or whomever says it will cost \$X to upgrade infrastructure, then the data center cuts a check for \$X same day. If they do not, they should be fined \$X times 5. If they drag their feet paying, they get shut down.
2200	ervin	40204	data centers should be eradicated, please do not put one here
2201	Bobrow	40014	Ban Data Centers in Jefferson and Oldham counties
2202	Baker	40204	we don't need or want any data centers. they are terrible for the environment, this would negatively impact the area we all know & love.
2203	Greenwell	40205	Louisville is a beautiful city. The presence of any data center will make it a demonstrably worse place to live. We will tax our power grid, ruin our water, and take away the peace of our residents. These are known consequences of data centers. The other known consequence, is massive resident backlash. I implore those making this decision to consider not just the gains being promised by duplicitous AI companies, but also the very real harm this will do to what makes Louisville such a wonderful place to live, potentially forever.
2204	Wesley	40299	At this point I am completely against any A.I or data center expansion whatsoever and I absolutely WILL BE VOTING WITH THAT IN MIND IN ALL ELECTIONS Likewise with the horrific proliferation of flock cameras. Ditch A.I and Flock. Community sentiment on both is clear. Disregard us and get voted out or get on board with the rest of us.
2205	Hayden	40242	This city and its residents do NOT want a data center. They ruin the water and they are completely a nuisance to the total surrounding environment. Louisville is already one of the worst cities for pollution and you're interested in increasing our awful air quality? Absolutely not. We do not want a data mining center it is unethical and unnecessary.
2206	Shader	40205	I desire a moratorium on all construction of data centers until proper community engagement and regulatory rules are in place.

			They are noisy and do not belong near residential areas. They rely on gas or diesel combustion of the generators which is noisy and pollutes and further contributes to climate change. They make everyones utility costs go up, including water, and electric bills. They deplete the ground water. We cannot afford to have them in our town, in our county or in our state. We do not support subsidizing these profit centers for billionaires. The mayor and the Governor need to be more forceful making them pay their own way and stop ruining our rural areas and communities where people live.
2207	Santos	40204	The current Irish Hill PDD permits M1 with performance standards in nearly our entire PDD. The Irish Hill Neighborhood Association proposes that the performance standards be modified to exclude data centers from our PDD and that data center regulation be modified to exclude location in TNFDs metro-wide.
2208	Schnell	40241	Data centers should be required to do the following: 1) A minimum of 75% of power used shall come from renewable sources. 2) They must recycle a minimum of 90% of the water used for cooling. 3) Backup power shall come from batteries. No fossil fuel backup generators should be allowed. 4) All costs for all infrastructure upgrades and/or changes shall be paid by the data center. 5) They should pay the highest rate for water usage.
2209	Bowling	40205	No one wants this.
2210	Gary	40206	https://x.com/moreperfectus/status/2074592084053680551?s=46&t=1tQxV3HkSsyNcJwi4HJonw Exelon's CEO is warning us we could have blackouts as early as next year due to the energy demand of data centers. STOP BUILDING THEM ENTIRELY. WE DO NOT WANT THIS.
2211	Thompson	40204	65 decibels can be heard a block away--I live near and have heard the noise at the data center at 752 Barret and you can hear it a block away. The noise from that is going constantly: 24/7. 100 or 200 feet is too close to a residence or a daycare center or hospital, etc. Strict rules need to be made on the use of diesel engines and the pollution they put out. The setbacks have been at least halved from the original proposal in January 2026 and should be put back in place.

			The size limitations provided seem very arbitrary. There should be consideration around both vibrations these data centers cause and can affect nearby businesses like chemical plants The regulations on data centers is based upon square footage, why? Shouldn't it be several categories like energy usage, water usage, waste that is released into the AIR, ground water, and sewer systems. I hope this set of regulations goes back to the drawing board and are more stringent in the end and considers the well being of all residents, students, patients and any employees.
2212	Caliz	40245	These proposals are reasonable it I still believe there should be NO data centers in the metro. The jobs they provide are not enough to justify the size and resources drain.
2213	Wigley	40220	Data Centers are a huge suck on finite resources. They are loud and pollute the local environment. The "investments" into the locations are trivial compared to what they take. Data Centers should not be allowed. But if they are, they should not in near residential areas, they should have their own dedicated water and electricity systems that will in way impact civilian/community infrastructure or needs. They should not be allowed to pollute the local waterways or water system as a whole and noise abatement measures should be taken seriously.
2214	Tharrett	40219	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. NO ONE IN KENTUCKY WANTS DATA CENTERS.
2215	Parris	40245	No data centers

2216	Bernauer	40299	I do not want Data Centers at all. I most definitely do not want them anywhere near the public or any living beings that can be harmed by the effects.
2217	Ramirez	40299	I oppose data center development unless the zoning rules are strong enough to guarantee that the project provides a clear, measurable net benefit to the community. If a data center does not meaningfully help residents, schools, infrastructure, and local services, then it should not be approved. Data centers consume massive amounts of electricity, water, land, and utility infrastructure while creating relatively few permanent local jobs compared with the size and value of the development. Policy Matters Ohio has specifically warned that, beyond construction jobs, data centers can provide “negligible social and economic benefits” while straining the electric grid, natural resources, and public resources. [policymatt...rsohio.org] I strongly support requiring data centers to pay the full cost of their power, water, sewer, road, emergency-service, and grid impacts. Residential and small-business ratepayers should not subsidize transmission upgrades, generation needs, water capacity, or infrastructure built primarily to serve hyperscale data centers. The Ohio Environmental Council has recommended that data centers pay the full cost of energy and water service, with public oversight of utility arrangements. [theoec.org] I also oppose large tax abatements or incentives for data centers unless there are enforceable community benefits. Ohio already gives substantial tax preferences to data centers, and Policy Matters Ohio estimated that the state will forgo more than \$140 million per year in sales tax revenue from data center companies in FY 2026-27. Ohio lawmakers have also considered cutting data center tax breaks, capping local property tax abatements, requiring water-use reporting, and creating a separate utility rate class so data centers pay costs tied to power generation, distribution, and transmission. [policymatt...rsohio.org] [ohiocapita...ournal.com] At minimum, I urge the zoning update to include the following requirements: No by-right approvals. Every data center should require a conditional use permit or special exception with a full public hearing, public notice, and elected-body approval. Loudoun County, Virginia eliminated by-right data center development and now requires special exception review, public hearings, and scrutiny by county staff, planning officials, and elected supervisors. [loudoun.gov], [hklaw.com] Temporary moratorium until rules are complete. The community should pause all new applications while studying impacts on water, power, noise, roads, emergency services, property values, farmland, and residential quality of life. Multiple Ohio communities have already considered or enacted data center moratoriums because residents and local officials need more time to understand the impacts. [statenews.org] Full utility cost recovery. Data centers should be placed in a separate utility rate class and required to pay for all electric, water, sewer, stormwater, and grid infrastructure required by their project. No cost shifting to residents.

			Ohio legislation has proposed creating a data center rate class to assign generation, distribution, and transmission costs to data centers. [ohiocapita...ournal.com] No tax abatements unless the community gets guaranteed benefits. If any incentive is offered, it should be tied to a legally enforceable community benefits agreement, local school revenue protection, road improvements, emergency-service funding, workforce commitments, and clawbacks if promises are not met. Policy Matters Ohio recommends limiting subsidies and using community benefits agreements for large-scale data center projects. [policymatt...rsohio.org] Host community impact fee or special tax. Because these facilities use large amounts of land and infrastructure while creating limited permanent employment, they should pay an annual host fee dedicated to schools, fire/EMS, roads, parks, water systems, and property-tax relief for residents. Large setbacks from homes, schools, farms, churches, parks, and residential areas. Fairfax County adopted rules requiring data center buildings to be at least 200 feet from adjacent residential property and backup generators to be at least 300 feet away or separated by the building. Fort Worth’s proposed rules included 250-foot setbacks from residential districts and a ban on standby generators within 300 feet of homes. I would support even larger setbacks in rural/residential areas. [fairfaxcounty.gov] [nbcdfw.com] Noise protections with independent testing. Require pre-construction and post-construction noise studies, low-frequency noise limits, acoustic barriers, enclosed mechanical equipment, and limits on generator testing hours. Loudoun County’s standards include soundproofing mechanical equipment, pre- and post-construction noise studies, limited generator testing hours, screened equipment, and enhanced buffers. [loudoun.gov] Water-use limits and public reporting. Data centers should disclose projected and actual water use, cooling methods, wastewater discharge, and emergency backup water needs. The Ohio Environmental Council recommends full public visibility into resource usage and cumulative impact analysis, especially for water resources. [theoec.org] Closed-loop or water-efficient cooling required. Data centers should not be allowed to rely on heavy municipal water withdrawals if alternative technology is available. Ohio legislation has proposed requiring data centers to track and report water usage and use conservation best practices, including closed-loop cooling systems. [ohiocapita...ournal.com] No nondisclosure agreements that hide project impacts. Residents deserve to know who is building, how much utility capacity is being requested, what tax incentives are being offered, and what public costs are involved. Policy Matters Ohio and the Ohio Environmental Council both recommend prohibiting or limiting NDAs and increasing transparency. [policymatt...rsohio.org], [theoec.org]

			Environmental review before approval. Require analysis of farmland loss, stormwater runoff, wetlands, air emissions from backup generators, diesel storage, wastewater, impervious surface, heat, and cumulative regional impacts. Performance guarantees and clawbacks. Developers should post a bond or financial guarantee to cover abandoned projects, infrastructure damage, decommissioning, unpaid utility costs, emergency-service impacts, and failure to meet community commitments. Ohio lawmakers have considered surety-bond requirements as financial protection for local governments and communities impacted by data center development. [ohiocapita...ournal.com] Industrial zoning only. Data centers should not be placed near homes, farms, schools, parks, or rural residential areas. Fort Worth officials have considered limiting new data centers to industrial zoning districts after residents raised concerns about noise, water use, and nearby neighborhoods. [nbcdfw.com] No approval without a net community benefit finding. The zoning code should require the applicant to prove that the project benefits the community more than it burdens it. If the project cannot prove lower resident tax burden, protected utility rates, protected water supply, school revenue, infrastructure investment, and strong local benefits, it should be denied. My position is simple: if data centers want to use our land, water, roads, power grid, emergency services, and community resources, they need to pay the full cost and provide enforceable benefits. If they are not helping the community, they are hurting it. The zoning rules should protect residents first.
2218	Mazzocco	40204	We would like to see data center regulations apply to exist PD plans as well, specifically the Irish Hill PD Plan. Under the new proposed regulations, data centers would be inappropriate here, but the plan predates the rise of data centers.
2219	Vogelgesang	40241	Data centers shouldn't be built and have no place in our cities and communities. They're incredibly loud and harm local wildlife, raise utility prices, and displaces residents from long time homes.
2220	Coffey	40207	Strongly oppose putting a data center in Louisville. It's bad enough that we have factories in Butchertown and Rubbertown ruining the quality of life of residents as well as spreading harmful carcinogens. This data center would make things even worse and have a huge negative impact on our water supply, further decreasing the quality of life for Louisville residents, specifically those of color or low income.
2221	Jeffrey	40232	Im against data centers. I do not think they should be in any environment, due to the structural damage they cause long term. Why not find a way to use them in salt water instead of fresh water. That will at least slow down the consumption of drinking water for plants and animals (including humans) on land. Unfortunately it may be harmful to aquatic creatures long term, but theres way more water there, than there is on land. Again Im against data centers. AI may be very helpful technology wise, but it's only going to make people lazy, and in the long term effects, cause job shortages in the future. Im against them all together.

2222	Hoge	40206	Our energy and water prices have already gone up substantially in a city with high poverty. Data centers take away our resources from a city already struggling to provide reasonable utilities. They've continued to raise the price for no reason but profit - this is going to provide a real reason they need to raise prices. There are already freshwater shortages across the world & they will continue to impact more areas. We have the best water - why would we risk that. Data centers make noise that is annoying and a full disruption to surrounding neighbors and will tank the property value of surrounding areas. Data centers do not create enough long-term jobs to justify the cons. They'll hire to build and get it running and then slash employment. Many facilities use natural gas turbines or diesel generators for continuous power and emergency backup, which raises serious air pollution concerns - Louisville already has terrible air quality we don't need to add more air issues to the pollen and meat packing and car factories that already impact us. It's frankly disgusting that the Louisville government keeps putting outside investors' needs above the needs and lives of their own constituents. There is also not enough evidence to say that data centers do not cause cancer, migraines, vertigo. Kentucky has plenty of rural areas that would be more appropriate locations if we need the money for the state. Building it in the most populated city in the state, which we've been trying (and succeeding) to make a tourist location. Stop being so short-sighted and prioritize the long-term health of the city and Louisvillians.
2223	Hudson	40258	There are enough place outside the city to put the data centers it's messing up clean water i just don't see it being a healthy benefit it may benefit technology but what about our health?
2224	Cissell	40204	Please no data centers in Irish Hill, Lexington Rd area.TY
2225	Brangers	40205	AI data surveillance centers have NO PLACE in Louisville. Nobody wants this. Listen to us for once, please.
2226	Willis	40205	I am in favor of banning data centers in Louisville Metro. We can't afford to take the hit for data centers to our water and energy supplies. Please say no to this type of development
2227	Proctor	40222	They have no place here. They don't pay their fair share, harm the environment and ruin property values.
2228	Watkins	40213	There are enough Data Centers already. Also they use water that the people need and don't pay their water used.
2229	Bryant	40299	We do not need data centers. They do not create jobs, improve our environment or increase our standard of living. Thank you for these guidelines but I don't think they go far enough.
2230	Spaulding	40206	Why are investing thousands if not millions of dollars on data centers when there are other areas in need in our city? The money that goes into data centers could go towards hospitals, museums, schools, libraries, parks, or recreating third spaces for our citizens. We have better use of the money instead of datacenters. It is disgusting that high tech CEOs are forcing datacenters down our throats when there is more need in human resources in our city. Not to mention the concerning environmental impact and mental toll data centers create and those that will be forced to live near the datacenters. We should be investing in greener, more sustainable communities. It is HUMANS that need the progressing not the technology and robots.
2231	St. John	40218	I do not want any data center in my city, state, or country. It will destroy our environment and minds. Learn to do stuff for yourself.

2232	Turner	40206	DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN THE AREA!!!!!!
2233	Shirley	40272	These Data Centers are not good. It is unfair that they are given tax incentives. The public should not have to pay for utility upgrades. The local Louisville government is running amuck with this. The citizens opinions should be considered. Personally I do believe someone's pockets are getting fat from this dirty deal. The current government in Louisville is destroying our city. That will be their legacy. Not good. The Data Centers are not good for the citizens that should be a excellent reason nit to allow the to be built. Wake up and do the right thing. Stop this I am sure no involved lives anywhere cl9se to Campground Rd. If this goes through everyone involved should have to live on Campground Rd. STOP THIS CRAZINESS AND CARE ABOUT THE CITIZENS.
2234	Koehler	40118	Please don't build data centers here! They are bad for the environment and have proven to be horrible for local neighborhoods and communities. Louisville is a lovely nature based city and I don't want to see it tore up because of technology. Our kids deserve better.
2235	Ernst	40205	OK I see the proposed law. But where is the information about proposed data centers?? How can the public assess the law without seeing clearly everything that is being proposed? There should be information standards to inform the public. 500,000 is way too big! Limits should be based on impacts not square footage! All capacity expansion costs and electrical usage costs should be borne by the data centers..... If there are cost over runs these should be paid by the data centers also. All costs should be paid UP FRONT and not contingent upon the execution of THEIR business plan or MARKET conditions! Same for the benefits to the community. Pay us immediately and pay us upfront for most with residual income that rises with increases in resource consumption. Do not rely of future PROJECTIONS that may never occur!
2236	Urban League	40203	July 9, 2026 Louisville Metro Office of Planning Regarding: Public Comment and Technical Exceptions to Draft Data Center Zoning Regulations Case Number: 26-LDC-0014 (Land Development Code Amendments) Subject: Strategic Regulatory Deficiencies and Necessary Framework Pivots for Proposed Data Center Siting Text Amendments

			Bottom-Line-Up-Front Summary The Louisville Urban League and coalition partners formally object to the current draft of the Data Center Zoning Amendments, deeming it legally vulnerable and structurally inadequate. To protect public health and financial stability, the city must shift to a rigorous, enforceable framework that prioritizes impact-based zoning and strict community safeguards. Key Structural Deficiencies Arbitrary Sizing: Using square footage as the primary metric for "by-right" approval ignores critical environmental impacts, such as grid strain, water consumption, and low-frequency noise pollution. Regulatory Loopholes: Current "Accessory" and "Telecommunications" classifications allow developers to bypass environmental and zoning standards entirely. Advisory Language: The use of permissive language ("may," "encouraged") rather than strict mandates ("shall," "required") leaves residents unprotected regarding water cooling and infrastructure liabilities. Lack of Financial Assurance: The absence of mandatory decommissioning bonds places the long-term risk of facility abandonment and cleanup costs squarely on Louisville taxpayers. Strategic Recommendations Adopt Intensity-Based Zoning: Eliminate size tiers and mandate a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for all data center developments, regardless of square footage. Codify Expert Oversight: Require an applicant-funded, third-party expert review of all technical engineering plans (acoustics, thermal, electrical) to be made public before any planning hearing. Implement Strict Environmental Mandates: Establish a nighttime noise cap of 40 dBA, with an additional low-frequency cap of 55 dBC, and enforce minimum buffers of 1,500 feet from sensitive uses and 1,000–2,000 feet from exhaust stacks for sensitive uses. Require Financial Protections: Mandate that all applicants post an upfront performance bond or letter of credit covering full restoration costs to protect the city against facility obsolescence.

			Strengthen Legal Verbiage: Ensure all protective guidelines are codified as enforceable requirements rather than discretionary recommendations.
2237	Wheatley	40206	I do not support any data centers in Louisville. I've witnessed the devastating impacts data centers have had around the country. I do not want that for myself, family, or neighbors. Louisville should continue to invest in our parks and local businesses. Data centers are my number one voting issue for the upcoming elections.
2238	Arnett	40206	Data centers do not provide enough value to the average consumer to justify their environmental and economic costs. They should not be subsidized by the tax payer and should be able to independently manage their own water and power needs if independently funded and built outside of residential areas.
2239	Cain	40223	40 year Louisvilian here. Data centers are terrible. I don't want them anywhere near our city, our drinking water, or our children. The heat generated from the plant, the strain on our energy resources, the potential toxic output, the misuse of water resources, the unnecessary of it all... For what, power? Money lining developer pockets? It will NOT help our city, citizens, or economy. It will only drain and cause problems. Developing these period, is terrible. But to develop these in densely populated urban and suburban areas is just plain stupid. Waste of time, energy, money, taxes. Ridiculous to even be considering it. I shouldn't even have to oppose this because it should be non-issue!
2240	Walker	40065	Data centers are destroying ecosystems AND the human race. We cannot undo the harm that data centers cause to the environment - I fully support the idea of banning data centers
2241	Turner	40213	Data centers place significant pressure on local water resources through both heavy consumption and environmental contamination. Their large-scale cooling systems require enormous quantities of water, with millions of gallons evaporating each day. This can strain regional water supplies while concentrating pollutants that may reduce overall water quality. In addition, the pollution associated with these facilities may increase health risks for nearby residents. Potential concerns include localized air pollution, exposure to hazardous materials, and emissions or hazards tied to the electrical infrastructure needed to power and operate data centers. The substantial electricity demands of modern data centers, particularly those supporting AI, can also influence energy costs for households and businesses. Critics argue that communities should not bear these costs without meaningful public input or consent, especially amid concerns that AI technologies could reduce employment opportunities and earning potential for workers in Louisville, Kentucky, and across the United States.
2242	Galvez	40031	I do not wish to have a data center anywhere near me or my family at this time and until there are more ethical safeguards guarding the community that they are built in, primarily to do with environmental impact, cost of living, and AI theft of human artists and creators. At this time, I feel there are insufficient safeguards to protect me and my family from the documented negative impact of AI data centers and so I wish for any and all projects near me to be cancelled or halted until such protective needs are met.
2243	R	40207	Data centers can be extremely disruptive to those who live around them, and Louisville is better than this!

2244	Dean	40206	I don't want a data center in Louisville. They destroy are clean water sources.
2245	Kolmanovsky	40216	Please do not ruin our beautiful home. Kentucky has such gorgeous greenery, such incredible wildlife, and PEOPLE! These data centers, as proven by existing ones, can seriously threaten our safety and well-being. This can ruin our drinking water, cause ridiculous sound pollution, and destroy the few things that make Louisville great!! I picked this home over life in California and North Carolina. If life becomes unlivable, the people will fight back.
2246	Kupper	40214	I agree with the regulations draft, and overall would prefer no data center at all. Even with the regulations, there still seems to be a large negative effect on the areas these are placed.
2247	Stikes	40207	I wish our public officials would consider the health and wellbeing of Louisville residents, and ban all data centers in Louisville. But since this space is about the draft regulations, I'll offer comments on them. First, the draft regulations want to have a tiered approach to data center regulation. This needs to be eliminated completely. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers. Second, remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square footage of floor area. The impact of data centers are a function of the intensity of use. So prohibition on square footage does not protect residents from harm. And finally, eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
2248	Mitchell	40210	We cant afford what it will do to our drinking water and our water bills. Other data centers around the us are turning drinking water into mud and using millions of gallons of water without reporting it or paying for it. The river will be gone in a year and the ecosystem is going to suffer.
2249	Palmer	40272	We do not need any data centers anywhere. Consider the water quality around other data centers in other states. Why would anyone want to subject any human to that? It's completely ridiculous. Data centers are terrible for the environment. Not that any of actually care.
2250	W	40219	I do not want this in my city or state..... put it in outer space..... we do not want data centers in our city or state.
2251	Brody	40205	Due to noise pollution in a city that already deals heavily with air traffic noise pollution from SDF, UPS, and Bowman Field, Louisville should ban all data centers.
2252	Garritty	40220	Please do not build a data center in Louisville. They are horrible for the community, as well as the environment. AI is a drain on our society as a whole and the data centers utilize far too many resources that we need to survive and thrive. I strongly oppose building AI data centers in our city unless you can guarantee that citizens will not be negatively affected by it. Either financially or physically. I oppose data centers that will take over what little green space remains in our area and do not wish to live in an urban wasteland. AI also has been shown to negatively affect the ability of students in schools and colleges by feeding them the answers and not teaching them deductive reasoning or how to learn the information themselves. I do not want to live in a society that is functionally illiterate and has to use ChatGPT for even the most mundane questions.
2253	Tharp	40299	No data centers in Louisville!!
2254	Dantzler	40241	I believe that they if are to be placed they should be placed in neighboring states as Louisville simply does not have the area/space for data centers. As an analyst I've looked into the longevity and it has the potential to do

			more harm than good. Not to mention the high risk of damage to the center resulting in continuous repairs due to theft or vandalism. Just pick a different place entirely.
2255	Phillips	40213	If this rich people want these data centers so bad, they should tear down their houses and put them in their yards. They are warehouses for spying on people, let's be honest about this. The world was fine before this craze and will be just as fine without them. The people have spoken, our representatives are supposed to work for us, not donors.
2256	Oberhausen	40217	Data centers have no place in society much less Louisville. I do not want Data Centers anywhere near my neighborhood.
2257	Dawson	40229	This proposal is a disgrace to the people of this city. This explicitly prioritizes profits over the people our leaders are sworn to protect. I encourage you to strongly reconsider this proposal
2258	Hawk	40291	Extensive oversight and regulation is necessary for data centers. It's concerning that the city is even allowing such centers to operate in our urban and suburban communities. Decades of research and real-world case studies from across the country show that these facilities inflict severe, compounding harm on humans, wildlife, and local ecosystems, including smaller data centers. Operationally, data centers demand millions of gallons of water daily for evaporative cooling, stripping critical local water resources, straining shared utility infrastructure, and threatening nearby plant and agricultural life during periods of drought. Residents are already struggling under the weight of ever-increasing costs for utilities and other basic necessities. Furthermore, their round-the-clock cooling infrastructure generates low-frequency, chronic noise pollution that disrupts the neurological health and well-being of residential communities while severely fracturing local animal habitats and migratory patterns. Those frequencies are not currently recognized as noise pollution. Finally, data centers provide no benefit to the community, as they not only consume our resources, but fail to generate employment opportunities for our citizens. Introducing these centers into our urban and suburban spaces will inevitably drive up residential energy costs, increase localized air pollution from massive diesel backup generators, and accelerate the urban heat island effect—permanently altering the character and environmental health of Louisville's neighborhoods. All at a time when the majority of residents are struggling financially due to years of unabated economic inflation.
2259	Swisher	40243	DO NOT ALLOW THEM ANYWHERE NEAR US PLEASE AND THANK YOH
2260	Parrish	40222	I support the ban on hyperscale data centers and proposed zoning regulations for smaller facilities. While an all out ban would be in the best interest of the city the regulations listed would be a decent start.
2261	Gray	40031	I strongly prefer that no data centers be placed anywhere. They create negative and toxic effects on the communities surrounding them.
2262	Beal	40203	When a data center is built in a new city, people surrounding it report increased water and electricity costs, a unceasing screeching/ringing noise, and in some cases (Cheyenne, WY), cause potentially dangerous bacteria to leak into water sources used for crop irrigation and for drinking. Proponents of data centers say that they create jobs for local communities and generally can help boost the economy of the surrounding area. In reality, most data centers are only permanently staffed by ~15 people, and any construction jobs needed to build the data centers are temporary or contracted outside of the local community. While data centers supposedly helps tech globally, there are very little benefits for those that actually live around them.

			Locally, the PowerHouse data center being built in Rubbertown is expected to use 400 megawatts daily, which is the equivalent to the daily usage of electricity by about 300-400,000 single-family homes. Lg&E has already proposed a rate increase for electricity costs, and the damn building isn't even finished yet. How much longer will the burden of creating profit for tech-bro billionaires be thrust onto regular people's shoulders? How long until our electricity rates spike to the point where it isn't even worth it to turn the lights on? Rubbertown is an industrial district, but people still live there. People who will have to bare the brunt of others wanting "Chat" to generate White Jesus holding a puppy. Sorry, I started off academic, but now I'm just pissed. NO ONE WANTS THIS!! Listen to your constituents instead of lining your own pockets!
2263	Saunders	40208	Data centers have been proven time and time again to be massively detrimental to public health, poisoning the environment and subsequently the people who live near it. It consumes egregious amounts of water and electricity. We are struggling with soaring inflation making it challenging to keep up with our existing bills without having our lge bills skyrocket. This will only make a few wealthy people can get richer off the backs of the working class. Aren't we siphoned enough? This will kill communities, drive people from their homes, give them cancer and other health issues, harm animals and people alike with the noise. This is deeply unethical, unjust, and downright cruel sacrificing human life for a money grab.
2264	Black	40213	Keep data centers completely out of residential populated areas. In depth studies about environmental, health impacts as well as financial/energy consumption impacts
2265	Suhre	40213	I vote no data centers!
2266	Hamilton	40214	I do NOT want a data center anywhere near me, my children or my neighbors! They create massive noise, heat, and water pollution and drastic increases in energy costs. Also, given massive increase in power consumption, that means massive air pollution as well, from LG&E's already over-polluting and outdated coal-burning plants. Data centers have already been shown to make cancer rates rise, even in areas where they use clean power, in Louisville I would expect it to be even worse! All those costs- our air, water, peace and even lives - for what? To automate away good jobs in exchange for a few permanent janitor positions? More \$\$\$ in the pockets of billionaires? NO ONE wants these things, and anyone corrupt enough to approve them won't have a job past the next election.
2267	Smith	40205	Please, please do not do this. AI data centers require water primarily to cool high-density server racks that generate intense heat. Globally, AI data centers consumed approximately 264 billion gallons (nearly 1 trillion liters) in 2025, equivalent to the daily water needs of 1.8 million Americans or 550 million gallons per day (Axis Intelligence, 2026). I don't have children. I have no plans to have children. This will likely not impact me in any meaningful way. I cannot imagine the world some of you are interested in leaving your little ones.
2268	Jones	40217	They will absolutely run those gas generators all the time, polluting the adjacent residencies and air for all of Louisville. And LG&E will be happy to let them - air and noise pollution will not matter, only the dollars they get to

			charge (the data center? The residents of Louisville?) will matter to them. Data center after data center has been shown to operate this way, contrary to their original promises. They will absolutely overuse the water supply as well, and the burden - again - will be on residents to carry. Whether through higher bills or loss of water pressure. A data center in Georgia I believe recently built their own additional water supply without informing the city and now the residents nearby have trickling water and/or brown water coming out of their pipes. Also - there is no reason other than racism and history (also often racist) to still have Rubbertown (or any residential area!) be zoned for highest level chemical and commercial. Existing businesses grandfathered in until they move or close, but there should be zero new builds allowed that are harmful or potentially dangerous to live near. A data center in Wyoming I believe was just caught introducing harmful bacteria into the local waste water (to theoretically be treated and recirculated as potable) and just kept doing it for months, dodging accountability. The data center boom is primarily speculative - think: gambling, or the subprime mortgage schemes that led to the crash of 2009 - so there is a lot of up front money and therefore power being thrown at them, but there is no data that actually shows we need them. AI isn't making people smarter (the opposite is true), or safer (wrong people being thrown in jail regularly behind inaccurate AI; LMPD recently was caught using the Flock camera system in town to stalk and harass their exes!), or paying us more (in fact we seem to have all the money and tax breaks and energy subsidies for data center projects while we cut services to folks that are housing insecure.) I appreciate the proposed regulations but believe they should go further by disallowing any gas generator use, giving local citizens kickbacks on LG&E and Water usage premiums we should charge any data center, the size should be restricted further to smaller operations only within city limits, and all of these rules should be retroactive and include the Campground road project (which was pushed through while local governing bodies kept tackling these Data Center Regulations.)
2269	Ternet-Minton	40216	My suggestion is to keep data centers out of all of Kentucky. Our natural resources are too precious to waste on a data center. I cannot think of any place in Jefferson County that would be suited to a data center.
2270	Suhre	40213	NO data centers!!
2271	Edwards	40216	Ban them
2272	Novario	40220	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE KY. NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY.
2273	Bottom	40214	Ban them everywhere.
2274	Seyters	40243	No more data centers !! Bad for a already polluted environment
2275	Samuels	40241	We should fully ban data centers in Jefferson Co. Data centers place a strain on community resources such as water and generate few jobs. Furthermore, data centers create health risks for neighbors as well as noise and light pollution. My comments are just scratching the surface of the harm data centers can cause, with impacts often disproportionately affecting our most vulnerable citizens.

			The benefits of a data center in Jefferson Co are far outweighed by the negatives.
2276	Mullinax	40206	There is little to no value they provide to the people of Louisville. Any jobs will be mostly temporary construction work. It will be loud, pollute our water, make our power more expensive, and for what tangible benefit to the taxpayers of Louisville? At least the JBS plant provides tangible and gainful employment for hundreds of workers, and only *smells* bad. Imagine if the JBS plant took a few million gallons of water and also shrieked like a banshee at all hours of the night. No thank you.
2277	Smith	40206	We dont need this, ai is a net negative to society we need to be going forwards not backwards for humanity.
2278	Boone	40211	I support technological innovation, including the responsible use of artificial intelligence. However, I strongly believe that data centers should not be permitted to expand unless they can demonstrate sustainable use of natural resources—especially freshwater. If AI infrastructure cannot operate without placing significant strain on our water supply, then expansion should be paused until cleaner, more sustainable solutions are available. Louisville’s West End and many Black, Brown, and low-income communities already experience disproportionate environmental and public health burdens. These neighborhoods should not bear additional risks from increased water consumption, energy demand, or industrial development. Environmental justice must be a central consideration in any zoning decisions involving data centers. I encourage Metro Council to require comprehensive environmental impact studies, transparent public reporting of water and energy usage, meaningful community engagement, and enforceable sustainability standards before approving new data center projects. Innovation should never come at the expense of access to clean water or the health and well-being of our communities. In short, I absolutely am currently against any and all construction of data centers within Kentuckiana and the whole state of Kentucky.
2279	Baumer Smith	40217	AI should not be the crutch and entertainment tool the general population is using it for. Children are paying the price, and society will reap the ill gains. Environmentally, I cannot agree to anything so far beyond "irresponsible" it falls well into the "willfully detrimental" category. My husband and I own our home and are looking around for more land and square footage. People are flocking away from the proposed site. This can't help the fate of the area, and housing is already at a desperate premium. If the area is seen as another rubbertown, you're turning away the possibility of increased property values, health-conscious families, and absolutely wasting the natural beauty because people won't want to live there if they can afford another location. It isn't my council district, but

			it is still the city of my birth and where I made my home. Don't give in to dollars. Unless you plan on moving directly next door with your family, vote no.
2280	Thomas	40206	NO DATA CENTERS!
2281	Howell	40217	This would be awful for our city and the areas around which these centers are built. Reasons NOT to have Data Centers: PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUE - From destroying land, adding to the AIR, WATER, and NOISE pollution, this will cause serious long-term effects to the public's mental and physical health. If it hurts citizens, it should never be allowed to continue. ENERGY DEMAND - Large data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity. Potential impacts include: - Greater strain on the local electrical grid - More transmission lines and substations - Higher electricity prices if utilities pass infrastructure costs to customers. - Increased reliance on fossil fuel generation if renewable energy can't meet demand. WATER CONSUMPTION - Data centers use water for cooling. - Millions of gallons of water may be used during hot weather, depending on the cooling technology. - Competition with residential, agricultural, or environmental water needs during droughts. - Warm water discharge or wastewater management issues. NOISE - From Cooling fans, HVAC systems, Backup generators, transformers, and substations - The noise is often described as a constant low-frequency hum that can travel farther than expected. AIR POLLUTION They typically have diesel backup generators. Potential issues: - Generator testing releases diesel exhaust. - Emergency operations during power outages can increase local air pollution. - Some large campuses have dozens or even hundreds of generators. VISUAL IMPACT - They are obviously unattractive. Common complaints: - Large warehouse-like buildings, Tall security fencing, Bright security lighting at night, Few windows or architectural features - Removal of farmland, forests, or open space

			LIMITED JOBS Construction creates many temporary jobs, but long-term employment is nonexistent. - A billion-dollar data center campus may employ only a few dozen to a few hundred permanent workers. - The land use does NOT match the number of local jobs created + negative impact on our environment. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT - Building a campus may involve: - Clearing forests or farmland - Loss of wildlife habitat - Increased stormwater runoff from large paved areas - Heat generated by equipment PROPERTY VALUE - Homes in the immediate and near distance to noisy or visually intrusive facilities will see lower values.
2282	Trzaskus	40241	These remote centers create waste, grid strain, and environmental hardships in the communities they reside. Please keep them out of Jefferson County
2283	Freels	40207	I am in favor of proposed regulations.
2284	Perry	40222	Data centers are truly awful for our environment and unnecessary. Open a book, challenge your brain. We do NOT need these in our city.
2285	Houk	40205	I would like to see the Metro Council pause all data center approvals until strict regulations are put in place to protect community members from both a health and an economic perspective. A ban on all hyperscale data centers in Jefferson County is a must. As well as limits on air, water and noise pollution with stiff penalties for any violations. The companies building and running the data centers should bear the cost of all necessary infrastructure upgrades and should guarantee no rate increases for utility customers. All data center developments, even those in previously designated industrial areas, should undergo a thorough public review with ample time and opportunities for adjacent neighbors to weigh in on any proposed developments.
2286	Carmichael	40218	They're using these DCs to collect our data and sell it or store in a convenient target for a cyber attack. No data centers
2287	R	40213	Adding hyper scale data centers is going to erode the viability of our lives in this area and cause undue harm to Louisville and it's citizens.
2288	Hagan	40214	No data center!
2289	hernandez	40203	fuck AI, plant more TREES!!!
2290	Parker	40245	I have no interest in having a data center here whatsoever.

2291	Watts	40220	I would prefer if no data centers existed in Kentucky
2292	Zickel	40118	A data center would be detrimental to the environment in Louisville. There are way too many people here to try to build something that would push people in other directions. There is NO REASON to build a data center here.
2293	Tomaszewski	40272	Data Centers should never be implemented in the scal you are suggesting. The fact that they were being built without our knowledge is upsetting enough. Clearly you want to see just how much you can get away with. Well now public opinion on them is terrible, and I haven't even mentioned the environmental effects these awful data centerss will cause. I refuse to give up a single ounce of drinkiable, usable water and land space in order to have some stupid Data Center. Kindly piss off with all that shit.
2294	Brewer	40272	While I understand that data centers play an important role in modern technology and the economy, I do not believe they are the best use of Louisville's land, infrastructure, or energy resources. These facilities consume enormous amounts of electricity, and some also require significant water for cooling. As demand for artificial intelligence and cloud computing continues to grow, these projects place increasing strain on local power infrastructure while providing relatively few long-term jobs compared to the amount of land and resources they require. I am also concerned about the impact on nearby neighborhoods. Large industrial developments can change the character of surrounding communities, generate constant equipment noise, require substantial utility infrastructure, and occupy land that could instead support housing, parks, locally owned businesses, or employers that create more permanent jobs for Louisville residents. The noise pollution from these centers also raises significant concern to me, as it can seriously affect hearing devices people use, as well as being a nuisance to people around. With no doubt, this noise pollution will seriously affect wildlife that is very important to our ecosystem. If proposals for new data centers are considered, I urge Louisville Metro to require thorough environmental and infrastructure studies, meaningful opportunities for public input, and careful evaluation of whether these developments provide lasting benefits to our community. Tax incentives should be granted only if there is a clear and substantial public return on that investment. Louisville has an opportunity to attract economic development that creates diverse employment opportunities while preserving our quality of life. I hope you will prioritize projects that directly benefit residents rather than approving large industrial facilities whose primary purpose is to serve users far beyond our city. We. Do. Not. Want. Them. Here.
2295	Monroe	40228	I don't want any data centers in Louisville. They are a strain on our power grid, cause our utility prices to rise, and pollute ground water. They add very little economic value to the citizens of Louisville and Im sure they won't have to pay their fair share of taxes. They're also incredibly loud, ugly to look at, and make our city worse. For the love of God, don't build one here.

2296	Maddox	40228	Data centers cause more harm than good to the community and people. People living near data centers will experience more demand on local electricity and water resources, Noise from cooling equipment, Concerns that utilities or taxpayers may bear some of the costs of infrastructure upgrades, etc. Overall, the biggest environmental concerns are energy consumption, carbon emissions (where fossil fuels are used), water use, and local impacts on nearby communities.
2297	Hirsch	40213	Data centers are a blight on communities and they should not be allowed here.
2298	Foushee	40272	I don't want my children to suffer food and water insecurity, 120-degree summers, and 30 flavors of cancer all because the world's richest loser had to spend a trillion dollars to build a crude facsimile of a human mind so he could pretend that there is someone on earth who loves him.
2299	Pfeiffer	40205	This data center does nothing positive for the residents of our city. It does not belong in Louisville. It could bring heat pollution, noise pollution, and water pollution. It could also pollute the air further by requiring our city to generate far more electricity than it does now. A lot of this impact would be felt more heavily in the south and west ends of the city, areas that have already faced environmental injustice. Personally, I think AI is a step in the wrong direction. It reduces human creativity. It increases social stratification. It uses so much electricity, and the world needs to reduce its electricity usage if we are going to stop climate change. It's gross to install this huge data center when no one locally benefits from it. I haven't spoken to a single person who is in favor of it. It feels like there are benefits being secretly passed to people at the top. If the data center is installed, one condition it should have upon it is that it should subsidize residents' electricity prices like they do in Oregon. Our rates should not only be stable, the data center should pay each of us for the inconvenience and ecological havoc it will wreak.
2300	Lamb	40206	If Data Centers are going to be built, we need strict environmental regulations and taxes on them to benefit the community. This would be a good time to look to other cities or countries for inspiration on how to do this in a way that isn't harmful.
2301	Sims	40272	While restricting data centers to industrial zones protects residential neighborhoods from immediate sight, it fails to solve the actual problem. Regardless of where they are built, data centers still draw from our city's shared power grid and water supply. An industrial zone does not change the fact that these facilities consume millions of gallons of water and immense amounts of electricity, risking higher utility bills for all local residents and straining our municipal infrastructure without creating substantial local jobs.
2302	Bucinski	40026	We don't need them and we don't want them. Save our water and or electricity
2303	Watts	40228	No data centers of any kind in Louisville or Kentucky in general. They provide no value and destroy our community, land, and energy infrastructure. No exceptions.
2304	Pasierbowicz	47130	We don't want data centers, sucks up water, reduces forestry. We need to protect our environment. We need better air and land quality not worse. We don't want to become a heavy industrial area of huge massive infrastructures and no greenery. We want the trees.
2305	Salerno	40205	I am 100% opposed to data centers in our communities. Louisville's current regulations on data centers in its Land Development Code are vague and were written before modern hyperscale data centers existed. The Code will not protect our neighborhoods. If you let the data centers be built before we have reasonable protective laws in place, we run the risk of the data center industry setting the standard. That industry will not be protective

			of our health and safety, only their profits. Our neighborhoods should be safe and livable. Horrible amounts of heat and noise from huge data centers have destroyed neighborhoods all across the country. We can prevent that here if the city protects it's citizens instead of capitulating to the rich data center owners. Also, there are many LG&E customers in Louisville already struggling to afford the utility company's repeated rate hikes. It's unfair to all of us to raise our costs so that a data center can destroy our neighborhoods. We will foot the bill for outrageous energy needs of data centers. How does Louisville benefit from data centers? IT DOESN'T. Let Steve Poe put the data center in HIS neighborhood. See how he likes it.
2306	Striet	40291	Why Data Centers Can Be Harmful!!! Data centers are essential to the internet and modern technology, but they also have significant environmental and community impacts. They consume enormous amounts of electricity to power servers and cooling systems, placing added strain on local power grids. Many facilities also require millions of gallons of water each year for cooling, which can affect local water supplies, especially during droughts. In addition, the construction and expansion of data centers can increase land use, generate noise from cooling equipment, and contribute to higher greenhouse gas emissions when powered by fossil fuels. While some companies are investing in renewable energy and more efficient technologies, the rapid growth of data centers means their environmental footprint continues to expand. This proposal encourages policymakers, businesses, and communities to prioritize energy-efficient designs, renewable power, water conservation, and transparent environmental reporting to reduce the negative impacts of data centers while still supporting technological innovation.
2307	Walker	40206	Our environment is already in deep trouble. That means humans are deep in trouble. Building data centers seals our fate. And for what? Entertaining cat videos? Even supposed helpful products such as medical research , is not worth destroying our environment.
2308	Hoffmam	40222	I would not like for there to be a data center in Kentucky at all, and definitely wouldn't want to see one in Louisville.
2309	McAdam	40228	Absolutely not; none of you will ever get reelected and you'll be litigated to kingdom come. It's abhorrent this even has to be a question.
2310	Lile	40272	I am writing as a concerned Louisville resident to urge you to oppose the development of large-scale data centers within our community. Although data centers are often presented as engines of economic growth, the reality is that they can come with significant environmental and infrastructure costs while creating relatively few permanent jobs. As demand for cloud computing and artificial intelligence continues to grow, these facilities are becoming larger and more resource-intensive, and I do not believe Louisville should bear those costs without overwhelming public benefit.

			My greatest concern is the environmental impact. Modern data centers require enormous amounts of electricity to power and cool thousands of servers operating around the clock. As more of these facilities are built, they place increasing demand on the electrical grid and can contribute to higher emissions if that electricity is generated from fossil fuels. Even where renewable energy is part of the energy mix, these facilities can consume a substantial share of available capacity that could otherwise support homes, schools, hospitals, and local businesses. Many data centers also require significant amounts of water for cooling, placing additional pressure on local water resources. As weather becomes more unpredictable and communities across the country face increasing droughts and extreme heat, approving developments with such high resource demands should be approached with great caution. Beyond environmental concerns, I question whether these projects provide enough value to justify their impact. Data centers occupy large amounts of land, require extensive utility infrastructure, and often receive generous tax incentives, yet they typically employ relatively few people once construction is complete. Louisville has limited land and infrastructure resources, and I believe they should be prioritized for projects that create more jobs, strengthen local businesses, expand housing, improve public spaces, or otherwise provide lasting benefits to residents. I am also concerned about the long-term effects on nearby neighborhoods, including industrialization of previously undeveloped land, increased noise from cooling equipment and backup systems, visual impacts, and the cumulative burden on local infrastructure. Decisions made today will shape our community for decades. If data center proposals are considered, I strongly urge Louisville Metro to require comprehensive environmental impact assessments, independent studies of energy and water demand, transparent cost-benefit analyses, and meaningful opportunities for public input before any approvals are granted. Tax incentives should be awarded only when there is clear evidence that the public benefits outweigh the long-term costs. Louisville has the opportunity to pursue economic development that supports both our environment and our residents. I hope our city will prioritize sustainable industries, responsible land use, and investments that improve quality of life for the people who call Louisville home.
2311	Rippy	40207	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements:

			Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2312	Lee	40272	What is the Big hurry. More research is needed for possible impact on the neighborhood and the environment.
2313	Snider	40214	No Data Centers!
2314	Cecil	40291	No Data Centers please.
2315	Gorsick	40213	They use up all of our water we need less data centers
2316	Ross	40205	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or

			disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2317	Ross	40205	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company,

			there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2318	Clark	40243	Don't want them in our state
2319	Roberts	40272	Myself and several of my neighbors who're registered to vote as I am, are vehemently opposed to the building of AI Data Centers anywhere in Jefferson County or any county in the Commonwealth of Kentucky for that matter. Data Centers bring nothing beneficial to our local economy. Good paying jobs? Nope. Not only is the experience needed to actually serve in a "A.I. Data Center" exceedingly niche, credentials & experience which nobody in the areas of the city where these data centers are being proposed to be built possess, but there are on average no more than 4 people with these high-paying jobs working overlong shifts in these spaces to begin with! There could be a handful of security jobs at the data center on offer for residential Louisvillians but this pittance is not worth the negatives which come with building such centers: their damaging to the environment, poisoning to local aquifers & water tables and they raise the electric utility bills for all those who live within a wide margin of these data centers. DO NOT VOTE TO BUILD THEM! I promise you this ANY politician who votes to allowing the construction of A.I. Data Centers not just in Jefferson County but nationwide... will not be in a position of public service for very long thereafter. LISTEN TO YOUR CONSTITUENTS: DON'T BUILD THEM!
2320	Stevens	40206	Do not bring data centers to this city. This city prides itself on its clean and drinkable water. AI data centers will ruin that hard work that the water company puts in. Not only that but it will cause many residents to get sick due to contaminated water.
2321	Pike	40207	I do not want any data centers in Louisville.
2322	McGee	40299	I don't want data centers in Louisville at all.
2323	R.	40205	Absolutely not. Our city has plenty of areas of need that should be prioritized. Including environmental safety and housing. We do not need less safe living spaces. These would be detrimental to the communities trying to lift themselves up.
2324	Gosney	40205	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!
2325	Coxon	40206	Ban them! We don't want data centers anywhere near Louisville. Or in Kentucky at all.
2326	Pennix	40216	I do not support data centers being located within 50 miles of any residential community with more than 1,000 people. My concern is simple: people's homes, neighborhoods, schools, churches, parks, and daily lives should not become the sacrifice zone for somebody else's profit. Data centers require enormous amounts of energy, water, land, and infrastructure. They bring noise, heat, traffic, and long-term environmental concerns. And too often, the people who live closest to these projects are the last

			to benefit and the first to bear the burden. I believe economic development should improve the quality of life for people, not quietly place more pressure on the communities already trying to protect their health, peace, property, and future. Put people first. Protect residential communities. Build it somewhere else.
2327	Nsilulu	40220	Do not bring any data centers to our city. From all the data that has been presented. They increase our electric bills, increase environmental health risk, decrease our access to water while also impacting people's health negatively. Do not bring any data center to our beloved Louisville
2328	Yearwood	40118	I AM TOTALLY AGAINST ANYTHING TO DO WITH DATA CENTERS BEING BUILT IN FAIRDALE-LOUISVILLE-or KENTUCKY AT THAT! NO DATA CENTERS NO DATA CENTERS!!!!!!!!!!
2329	Sheehan	40291	I am against the placement of any AI data center within the entire state of Kentucky. Furthermore, I am against a data center within the city of Louisville, Jefferson County, and the surrounding counties. Though the promise of revenue for the state, there is simply not enough evidence to suggest that these massive data centers don't cause irreparable damage to the environment, drain critical natural resources, and cause pollution, both environmental and otherwise (ie Noise). Finally, LG&E KU has made no assurances to the local community that this proposed data center will not cause strain on the electrical system, and increase rates for users. If the data center pays 100% for its power usage, and invests back into the community from the gross profits of their businesses, that still wouldn't solve the environmental issues these centers create. AI has its place and purpose, but not here.
2330	Denzik	40220	NO data centers in my city please.
2331	Ryan	40211	Stop trying to kill poor people. We do not need nor want a data center in Louisville, KY.
2332	Egan	40204	Oppose data centers! Our community and state do not need these massive scale centers causing utilities to rise, using our water supply, and polluting our air. We pay the price while the corporations get richer at the cost of citizens and our environment.
2333	Meek	40229	To whom it may concern, I think the addition of data centers to the Louisville area would impose negative affects on the community would be too great.
2334	Brasher	40211	I was suggest putting it in the areas where the resident have more resources. Louisville residents are already struggling. Data centers are using up the water supplies in other states. That would be devastating for Louisville.
2335	Clark	40214	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those

			standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
2336	Kyser	40219	Ban them!!!!!! No data centers here!!!! We dont need them
2337	Byron	4E+08	NO DATA CENTERS IN IRISH HILL. We have had our lives jeopardized by other businesses in the area! (The distillery, Fischer’s Packing House, parking away and mazes in the street. Move on out of our state! You’re not wanted in Irish Hill.
2338	Newton	40214	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
2339	Gilliam	40203	I don't want data centers of any kind anywhere in the country. They provide no jobs, no revenue, no product, and they use up so much water our water and electricity bills would sky rocket. For what. AI? Gross.
2340	Blackledge	40220	We need to halt new data centers until there is governance and giving back to the community, as in ensure clean water, pay for their own utilities, etc. The community does not want these.
2341	Gaines	40220	Data centers should have to provide their own water filtration and power supplies instead of acting as a parasite on our current already struggling infrastructure. You want rolling brownouts and water-a-la-flint? This is how you

			get that. If you're going to insist on allowing them to be nearby, this should be an absolute minimum. But also let's be honest- the majority of jobs they provide will be extremely temporary once the centers are complete.
2342	jordan	40212	I don't. want. any. data centers until the. city has appropriate. rules and regulations in place. also, they. need to. pay. for. their own. infrastructure and be required to. use their own electricity - generated. by them for them. and their. water consumption needs to be paid for. by them.
2343	Marshall	40272	Absolutely not. I am fully against this proposal. I'm sure you know the strain it will cause on the environment and local communities. We are slowly destroying the environment and communities by allowing data centers to take place. We do not need them!! If you're not sure here's some research of the devastating effects. The environmental and community impacts of data centers are deeply intertwined, as the heavy resource demands of these facilities directly affect the people living around them. Environmental Footprint Carbon Emissions: Because data centers require a continuous, uninterrupted power supply (24/7), they often rely on existing fossil-fuel grids, driving up regional carbon emissions unless dedicated renewable energy sources are built alongside them. Water Scarcity: Many facilities rely on evaporative cooling systems to keep servers from overheating. This process can consume millions of gallons of water per day, frequently drawing from municipal drinking water supplies or stressed local aquifers. E-Waste: The rapid advancement of technology means hardware becomes obsolete every 3 to 5 years, generating substantial electronic waste that contains hazardous materials. Community Impacts Strain on Local Grids: The massive power draw of a single large data center can equal that of tens of thousands of homes, sometimes leading to higher utility rates for residents or raising the risk of brownouts during peak summer or winter months. Noise Pollution: The industrial chillers and massive backup diesel generators required for these facilities produce a constant, low-frequency hum that can disrupt nearby residential neighborhoods. Land and Economic Shift: Data centers require vast tracts of land, which can drive up property values and displace agricultural or residential zoning. While they generate significant initial construction jobs and local tax revenue, they employ relatively few permanent staff once operational.

2344	Collins	40258	Don't bring that shit here
2345	Erickson	40204	Who wants data centers? Billionaire technocrats, and their ilk... That's who... Nobody else... Except for maybe the politicians that are being bribed by these people...NO DATA CENTERS
2346	Grizzel	40291	NO DATA CENTERS
2347	Rayburn	40241	Data centers should be banned! It ruins the lives of those that are forced to live around them. They take jobs from hard working people in the long run. And are a complete waste of our tax dollars
2348	Teitel	40245	I do not think data centers belong in Metro Louisville/Jefferson County and would like to see a complete ban on them, regardless of size. Thank you.
2349	Keith	40213	100% not cool with the idea that our earth is at risk, thus our health (and our future utility bills) because people want AI to "create" a shitty poster, advertisement, menu, etc. This will result in lack of creativity, regressed learning skills, and overall failure to our planet.
2350	Jones	40223	These data centers are leeches on our local resources and unwelcome in any community, not just Louisville. When the AI bubble pops they will have been built for nothing and sit empty, and stolen fresh water, caused noise pollution, and made every nearby locals life worse for NOTHING but a quick buck. Any jobs they hope to generate will be cut loose once the center is built. There is nothing good to come from them; only a lot of short AND long term damage to the people our government officials are SUPPOSED to fight for.
2351	House	40217	No data centers!
2352	Page	40243	I don't side with any data center being approved to be put in any community. We need more clarity in the legal framework and accountability aspects as a community for the harmful things it does directly and indirectly
2353	Jenkins	40206	If you like the community and are willing to serve this community for the greater good, you will not put up this data center. We like our terrible air quality like it is. We like our muddy brown, sometimes yellow cast Ohio River exactly the way it is. I've often been told Louisville has the best tap drinking water and I drink a lot of it daily. So do my cats and so do the birds and squirrels and bees and salamanders. Why would you even THINK about ruining what little environmental protections we already have in place? Think very clearly. November is coming and we're taking names and running back with receipts.
2354	Rivera	40272	Ban all Data Centers..not just large scale Data Centers all of them.
2355	HASSAN	40291	No data centers at all in Louisville.
2356	Valdivieso	40291	I reject data centers!!! They need to stay away from our drinking water.
2357	Smith	40223	Data centers are not needed in our city. It'll ruin our environment and make living here a nightmare. Ban them and keep them out of here!!
2358	Wilkinson	40215	We do not need nor want a data center. Louisville is a beautiful city. This mess will ruin it , and the world.
2359	Davis	40217	No to data centers of any size, in any part of town
2360	Kempf	40023	Couple of questions. How much electric will be used and who will pay for it? How many jobs will it create, outside of initial construction jobs, in other words how many jobs that will be permanent and creating how much tax base. Thank you.

2361	Robertson	40218	Absolutely not. Data centers will ruin Louisvilles natural beauty and compete with its residents for resources. Electricity will go up substantially, when storms happen in the spring I guarantee that data centers power will be prioritized over the people who live here. It will not provide enough jobs to make up for the impact it will have on the area. The people do not want this.
2362	Baines	40245	Data Centers are becoming the norm. There are so many communities that are fighting against it through out Kentucky, and yet here we are having this conversation in Louisville. Our state is beautiful, I move back here from Chicago because Louisville is still a big city but it still has that southern small town atmosphere. Data centers have been proven to be biohazards especially with water. Not to mention people who live near it will have raised electrical bills and noise pollution. We have enough in Louisville without a data center. Keep Louisville strong.
2363	Starling	40205	I am highly opposed to any data center construction in the Louisville metro area.
2364	Stedman	40245	No data centers in Louisville.
2365	Beasley	40208	For the love of all that is good and holy, please do not build a data center in our city. It will poison our water, ruin our infrastructure, and continue to kill our climate. I beg of anyone in power to not build it.
2366	Tea	40214	Everyone loves talking about "common-sense" policy. Funny how common sense seems to disappear the moment data centers enter the conversation. If these projects are such a "great idea," why don't we see them planned next to affluent neighborhoods with the resources to fight back? Instead, they show up in communities where developers assume people will have fewer tools, less influence, or less time to resist. Let's be honest: the only people eager to have these facilities nearby are the companies building them and the politicians who benefit from approving them. The communities expected to live with the consequences are rarely the ones asking for them. As a community and as a country we need to rein this in. We're giving up land at an alarming pace while still lacking clear answers about long-term impacts these massive facilities might have on our neighborhoods and overall quality of life. Until there are strict, enforceable regulations and complete transparency (both of which are severely lacking right now) this should be a **full-stop moment**. Bottom line: communities deserve answers before they're expected to accept consequences.
2367	Foster	40228	Data Centers must NOT increase electricity cost for residents of Louisville, must pay for their own electricity use, must NOT use up/take natural resources of Louisville, must not be near school, parks, residential areas, must not pollute air, sound, grounds, waterways.

2368	Farris	40228	Why? Just why? Please don't do this, There are so many reasons on why but the main reason should be the people and animals. The water it would be stealing, the environment & ecosystem will be disrupted. We have went this entire time without a huge AI data plant, so why do we need it now.
2369	Crowe	40014	I lived in Louisville for approximately 6 years and now in Crestwood, but have always worked, shopped, etc. in Louisville. NO ONE WANTS DATA CENTERS HERE!
2370	Duncan	40291	No more data centers, please. They're ruining the environment, wasting loads of water, jacking up electricity bills, destroying wildlife and nature, among many other complaints and issues. We need less monitoring in our community and more help and breaks. Food is getting out of hand, bills are going up, people are struggling to afford to live, and these data centers only waste resources we barely have enough of.
2371	Sagan	40207	I would prefer no data centers in Louisville. However, if data centers are coming to Louisville there are certain terms I would need to be met before any ground is broken for the center. Firstly, Data centers must pay for their own energy demand and costs cannot be passed onto the households in Louisville. When a data center project is proposed the community here in Louisville must have a meaningful say (our opinion outweighs the vote of the council) in project approvals. Projects must include enforceable commitments from utility companies to improve energy reliability, funded by data center revenues. Data centers must commit to closed-loop cooling systems to avoid stressing or polluting local water resources. Quarterly or semi annual audit reports for energy consumption should be published to show transparency. If not provided, severe penalties should be similarly enforced. Projects should include binding agreements that includes local infrastructure investments, improvements to the local electrical grid, burying power lines, and upgrading water treatment facilities and piping funded by data center revenue. Additionally, local Utility companies cannot use data center projects to weaken or sidestep clean energy laws. All agreements for data center projects must be enforceable through actionable penalties such as hefty fines, or shutdowns.
2372	Retinger	40222	I DO NOT WANT THEM ON US SOIL!! It is a detriment to society, the lives that live i. And around Louisville. Whatcha gonna do, build it and just leave or let your own families and friends get sick and possibly die because of what you "thought and felt" was a good idea
2373	Gardner	40211	We need a moratorium on data centers. We also need to stop the data center under construction on Camp Ground Road.
2374	Fangman	40206	Louisville is becoming an increasingly attractive market for data centers thanks to its central location, reliable infrastructure, and strong business community. Companies benefit from excellent connectivity, access to major transportation corridors, and a skilled workforce, making Louisville a smart choice for mission-critical operations.
2375	Kramer	40222	We do not need data centers, nor are they wanted. No data centers!
2376	Paw	40215	I am against data centers being built in my community. I have seen other communities where data centers are built and the impact it has on them. Data centers create more harm to our community and environment. It takes us resources that are vital for basic needs. We need to prioritize the basic needs of our communities and say no to data centers being built.

2377	Lear	40218	From: Sheron Lear 4309 Winter Garden Court Louisville, KY 40218 [REDACTED] Re: 25-LDC-0014 Date 7.6.26; additional comments starting at #26. Restrictions prior to land purchase for the purpose of Data Center and/or Data Center. 1. Data Centers of any size to be allowed ONLY in Industrial Zoning, not agricultural, residential, farming, parks, or livestock. 2. Land must be zoned as Industrial for a minimum of 5 years prior to purchase for a Data Center. 3. A minimum of 10 million dollars must be placed in a Bond or secure account in the state or community in the event the property needs to be decommissioned by the state or community. [No brownfield left behind] 4. City, town, state needs a Master Plan, 10 years or longer, that includes permitting or not permitting Data Centers. 5. City or town must have an insurance policy sufficient to cover all potential damage to area and residents. 6. The government may NOT, under any circumstances, use the Data Centers to track the citizens. [No tracking anything including; coffee pot, microwave, entertainment centers, TV, security alarms, radios, phones, land lines and cellular, voting, stations, etc.] 7. Data Center must provide its own power, water, etc. and not be using power or water off the same grid as residential or commercial properties in the area. 8. Data centers may Not take water from the aquifers and leave insufficient water for residents, agricultural, livestock and commercial use. 9. All water used by Data Centers must be recycled for their own use and not keep taking water from aquifers, residential and commercial use. [No brown or contaminated water, possible toxins, released back into to environment.] 10. We need reassurance that NO water from a Data Center will be taken from, nor discarded into Floyds Fork Creek, and/or its tributaries at any time. Floyds Fork Creek flows through Henry, Oldham, Shelby, Jefferson, Bullitt counties and tributaries in Spencer County. 11. No increase in costs for residents or businesses anywhere. [There is an incident where a woman went on vacation, unplugged all appliances, turned off everything so no electricity was used, her electric bill was extremely high during this time. She sued, the judge asked the utility company why this person had the outrageous bill when the electricity was not in use. The utility company responded they provided Grid Readiness; she could have used power at any time. The judge threw it out as unjustified. We, the people, need a guarantee

		this will Never happen.] 12. No clear-cut land around Data Center. No trees may be removed for a Data Center. Ground must be planted and maintained in native trees, shrubs, grasses to help regulate the temperatures and provide oxygen. Trees, shrubs, grasses are the lungs of the environment and provide oxygen in exchange for carbon dioxide. 13. Diesel fuel for diesel engines is a danger to water resources. 14. Noise pollution of 50-60 decibels is unacceptable for humans, livestock, even the insects which are pollinators to our crops whereas 40 decibels at night and 45 decibels daytime should be used. 15. Diesel generators emit gases and fine particles (soot), including PM 2.5, NOx, VOCs, CO. Particulate matter 2.5 and below are small enough to easily be inhaled into lungs and also the bloodstream of human beings to cause decreased lung function, asthma attacks, irregular heartbeats, and an increased risk of heart attacks and strokes, larger particles fall outside the breathing area. 16. Vibrations [interruptions in life; human, fauna, insects, pollinators, birds] are also referred to as 'infrasound' and affect human beings. See this: Spiked Cortisol Levels: Research published by the National Institutes of Health shows that brief exposure to inaudible infrasound significantly elevates salivary cortisol. For individuals with PTSD—who already struggle with cortisol regulation—this sudden physiological stress response can trigger anxiety or panic. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] 17. The heat equaling 43 nukes daily can form a heat well about Data Centers., that is equal to 23 atomic bombs a day. This can raise the temperatures 16 degrees Fahrenheit or more. 18. The land the Data Center sits on may not be elevated greater than surrounding area. This is to prevent flooding or run-off to areas adjacent to data center. 19. Public needs assurances that this will not affect the health of children, elderly, all humans, animals, livestock, etc. [We were told that a small amount of lead was ok and would not be harmful but now that is not the case. Even a small amount of lead is harmful when ingested.] 20. Absolutely NO Data Centers on Public Lands. 21. Absolutely NO tax breaks of any kind, local, state, federal. 22. Profits from the Data Centers must be shared with the local and state government and the community; state and/or local need to share the profits. 23. Local government, Planning and Zoning, must post signs large enough to be seen and read, at the proposed site, post on all social media, the local newspaper and TV stations, and reach out to all residents in the area, and neighborhood associations signed up - with adequate time to respond. 24. No representative of the Planning and Zoning, city, state or federal, will profit from, be awarded in any way, compensated for permitting/allowing a Data Center. And all data centers must go through the zoning process as a change in land use so that there is a sign posted, public meetings and public hearing, then on to Louisville Metro Council for a vote - instead of ONLY the Planning Director or designee making all the review and decision. 25. A minimum or a two-year moratorium prior to approval of any new data centers and an immediate moratorium on all data centers that have been approved. 26. Jobs: According to other communities, where jobs are promised, the Data Centers [D.C.] bring in their own construction companies and hire very few from the local workforce. They bring in their own technicians to set up

			and run the D. C. Post construction; they may have a few security openings or custodian positions. No high paying jobs. 27. Karst, Sinkholes: Mammoth Cave, located in Kentucky, is the world's longest known cave system. It is part of Mammoth Cave National Park. A classic solution cave system formed primarily by chemical weathering and dissolution of thick limestone layers beneath a protective sandstone caprock. [google]. The weight, size, vibrations, of a [D.C.] and the occasional vibrations from the tectonic plates could be detrimental to the safety and life of the employees, residents, visitors, wildlife in surrounding areas. 28. Horse Country: the Bluegrass Region of Central Kentucky. Horses need calm, green, rolling pastures to graze and have large open areas to run. The vibrations, noise, high decibels are too much and could destroy this very long industry. 29. ETHICS: We need a set of rules, values, laws, that govern all Data Centers that help determine the difference between right and wrong: Honesty, Integrity, Truthful, Morals, Responsible, Caring, Empathy, Kindness, 30. NO TO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY
2378	Skaggs	40219	Absolutely NO to data centers anywhere in Ky. They drain our resources and then utility companies want to raise our rates. They are a detriment to any wildlife left in the area. Companies keep clearing wooded areas and then asking why wild animals are running through neighborhoods. You are destroying their natural habitats. NO DATA CENTERS
2379	Miller	40213	There are 0 perks to data centers. They are community crushing machine, that's it. Yes there will be a short influx of constructing jobs.... that's it. Minimal upkeep jobs. Once underway they only remove jobs, ruin lives, community, neighborhoods, the environment. Tell us how they are beneficial. Ask yourself how they are beneficial.
2380	B	40222	They are a great opportunity to bring in revenue to the city and local businesses/employees, and they're essential to the advancement of the new wave of technology. I feel like their pros greatly outweigh their cons, so someone's going to benefit from them, and it might as well be us.
2381	Bottoms	40241	Would prefer not to have rare bacteria infecting our water systems: https://www.syracuse.com/us-news/2026/07/rare-bacteria-in-water-traced-to-ai-data-center-construction-project.html Would also prefer any government subsidies to try to persuade these companies to set up shop here to go towards literally anything else.
2382	Carter	40059	No data centers! I prefer clean safe water and clean environment! I do not ganged with data centers.
2383	Robinson	40218	Please do NOT build any data centers in or near our city! They are horrific for the environment, especially the water, and Louisville is known for having some of the best (tap) and worst (Ohio River) water in the country as is. And that also doesn't even begin to touch on the atmospheric effects, the noise, the heat, the displacement of homes...the list goes on. Additionally, AI is actively killing the planet and we shouldn't contribute any more to that - please don't build any data centers!

2384	Spalding	40207	I do NOT want data centers. NO NO NI
2385	Jenkins	40207	I wish to keep data centers out of Louisville. The cons far out weigh the pros in terms of bringing them to our city.
2386	Henderson	40205	I say Data Centers need to not exist, they are damaging the environment, poisoning waters, and on top of that, stealing electricity from people that need it. I say No, we never needed them, and we will never want them.
2387	Hoerter	40217	Please no
2388	Raque	40217	We don't want a Data Center in Louisville KY!
2389	Marshall	40207	Data centers use massive amounts of electricity and water while creating relatively few permanent jobs. They can strain Louisville's power grid, increase demand for local resources, and often receive tax incentives that some believe would be better spent on projects that create more jobs and directly benefit the community. Louisville should focus on development that provides lasting economic growth without placing such a heavy burden on local infrastructure.
2390	Hardy	40208	Westend louisville is a black and brown community that is fighting two companies already contaminating the watee and air pollution. Why would you think it would be a good idea to place a data center in the westend? I feel that the community should have a say as to whether or not the data center should be placed there. In all honesty, those that have made the decision that it should go in the westend need to think about putting it in the eastend of town. We barely have essentials, stores, and good restaurants available. The westend is not a dumping ground for other companies waste that could potentially hurt people.
2391	Exner	40218	There not one community that we've heard of nations wide that has a data center and is happy about it, that feels like it's adding to their community in a positive way, improving their lives... not one. there hasn't been enough studying done on the environmental and health impact of these centers on the surrounding community. they suck up resources more than entire city residents combined. They cause water and noise pollution. They are not being built for the benefit of the community. They are out to make a profit at the expense of those unlucky enough to live nearby. We should be putting the people first. And the people are saying no to data centers. We've lived years without them. It's not worth it to destroy our environment just so companies can make money off people using AI to make characters of themselves. We are fools if we let data centers over run our cities and town. In 10 years we'll look back and regret. Put your constituents first and say no to data centers. Period. Not on Kentucky land. Not in our towns. Not in our neighborhoods. Not in our backyards.
2392	Hunt	40204	No data centers in Louisville. No one wants that here except those who will profit which is obviously a conflict of interest. Residents in areas with data centers have nothing but bad effects to share.
2393	hurst	40245	Block data centers. We do not want our resources and neighborhoods destroyed. NO DATA CENTERS!
2394	Crane	40220	I don't want data centers in or around Louisville. There is already evidence that it pollutes the community, causes health issues, birth defects, lowers water supply and increases energy bills. Our children and future children deserve better. There are already an influx of companies doing away of AI because of poor returns. It doesn't make sense environmentally or economically.
2395	Delaney	40218	NO DATA CENTERS IN JEFFERSON COUNTY

			NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY NO DATA CENTERS
2396	lingenfelter	40258	I don't thing we should sacrifice our air and water for someone else's fortune.
2397	Henn	40214	I do not want to have a data center in Louisville. We do not need them. They destroy our ecosystems and our bills will go up. WE DO NOT NEED THEM!
2398	L	40214	We do not need another building. We need more green space and sustainable energy solutions like solar panels over parking lots.
2399	W	40211	The data center should completely be banned! They should not put one in Louisville, Kentucky or any other city or state! It does not matter if it's 500,000 square feet, 50,000 square feet, 5,000 square feet, 500 or 50 square feet. They should all be banned!
2400	Spiva	40176	Data centers are a no go for me, I work in information, Technology and I know the cooling that this equipment needs, and the land mass that this equipment needs, and as A taxpayer I do not want to pay for any of it whether it's private/funding/somehow with any type of government entity, and or private firms, like Oracle.
2401	Valenzuela	40067	No to AI and the data centers to support it. Nothing good will come of it and will strain natural resources
2402	Vittitoe	40299	As a writer and a teacher, I'm not a fan of data centers and AI. But I am also adverse to them because of their energy usage. I would like to see Metro Council not only protect regular consumers' energy, but also have these data centers have to MITIGATE their usage in some capacity---by planting tons of trees, native plants--something to counterbalance whatever energy costs they are using (which can equate to 50,000-100,000 homes). At least homeowners plant trees and flowers and aren't just a gigantic concrete box sucking up tons of energy. Could mitigation come in the form of making them use solar and other renewable sources of energy? Personally, I wish all "business and industrial parks" in every community would require businesses in these parks to mitigate their concrete parking lots with more trees and bushes. Make them lush and hide these monstrosities.
2403	Green	40206	No to data centers. Humans are perfectly capable of creating on our own. We need clean water more than problems figured out for us. We need a heritage of a thriving ecosystem more than an offering of information or curated photos of ourselves
2404	Griffith	40211	I oppose
2405	S	40205	No thank you to raised utility rates, crazy water consumption, and noise pollution. Sounds like it would provide very few jobs and talk about an eye sore....please just don't.
2406	Landers	40258	I'm against data centers

2407	Jones	40223	I do not see any long-term benefits to having a data center here in Louisville. Combined with the issues of noise pollution and heat, it is a detriment to any community you would put it in which more than likely would be a low income community. Any job growth that they promise you is grossly incorrect yes construction is a lot of jobs but maintenance of a data center is only a few people. The negative far outweighs the handful of jobs they would create. You must think of the surrounding environment where it would be put and the increase of the heat on average of +10° based on the one in Idaho. Do you really think it's fair to have the low income families deal with this constantly? I would say not I know you're looking for income to help ease budget issues, but please do not do this or we all will regret it in the future.
2408	Shelby	40211	To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to express my opposition to placing large-scale data centers in or near residential neighborhoods. While I understand that technology infrastructure is important for economic growth, it should not come at the expense of the health, safety, and quality of life of the people who call these communities home. Louisville has already seen the consequences of concentrating industrial activity near residential areas. The Rubbertown area is a clear example of what can happen when industry and neighborhoods exist too closely together. For decades, residents living near Rubbertown have dealt with concerns about air pollution, chemical odors, environmental contamination, and increased health risks. Many families have expressed worries about asthma, respiratory illnesses, and other long-term health effects associated with industrial emissions. The history of Rubbertown serves as a reminder that decisions made today can affect communities for generations. Although data centers are very different from chemical manufacturing plants, they are still industrial-scale facilities. They require enormous amounts of electricity, substantial water resources for cooling in many cases, backup diesel generators, extensive electrical infrastructure, and around-the-clock operations. During power outages or routine testing, diesel generators can produce noise and emissions that nearby residents must endure. Large cooling systems can create continuous low-frequency noise twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, reducing the peaceful enjoyment of nearby homes. Residential neighborhoods should be places where families can enjoy clean air, quiet surroundings, safe streets, and stable property values. Introducing industrial facilities into these areas raises several concerns, including: Continuous noise from cooling equipment and backup systems. Increased demand on local power and water infrastructure. Potential air pollution from emergency generator testing. Increased truck traffic during construction and maintenance.

			Bright security lighting that impacts nearby homes. Decreased property values due to proximity to industrial development. Long-term uncertainty about future expansion of the facility. Once an industrial facility is approved in a residential area, it often sets a precedent for additional industrial development. Communities deserve confidence that their neighborhoods will remain places designed for families, not industrial operations. Economic development is important, but it should be balanced with responsible planning. Data centers are better suited for existing industrial parks or commercially zoned areas where the necessary infrastructure already exists and where impacts on residents can be minimized. Louisville's experience with Rubbertown demonstrates why careful zoning decisions matter. Communities should not have to wait years or decades to discover that a development has negatively affected their health, environment, or quality of life. We have the opportunity to learn from history rather than repeat it. I respectfully ask that you prioritize the well-being of residents by keeping large-scale data centers out of residential neighborhoods and locating them in areas specifically intended for industrial use. Protecting our neighborhoods today will help preserve the health, safety, and quality of life of future generations. Thank you for your time and consideration.
2409	Ebert	40241	It is simple..NO to data centers. When will the local and state government really consider the “costs” to the communities where these centers are being developed. Texas has already proven that air temperatures increase significantly in the surrounding areas, affecting citizens health and the health of the nearby natural environments. The water use by these centers is astronomical, when we have been instructed by the local water company for years to “conserve”. Let’s sit back and watch what happens long term to the places that already have the data centers in place, before we jump on the band wagon that could end us up in a barren dry river bed with a broke wagon wheel! Let’s ask who wants this in the government? Who owns the land? Who owned the land before? Follow the money trail to see who is really going to walk away from this deal richer? I doubt that it will be the local citizens....
2410	Plank	40219	I do NOT want data centers within the state of Kentucky, as they are invasive to our space and nonrenewable resources such as water. The fallacy of data centers providing jobs to locals is laughable, and feels like a front to further push the agenda of pro data center.

2411	Fiechter	40299	To call these building "data centers" is the same flavor of dishonesty that was fed to us with the patriot act. They are mass surveillance centers, they are resource wasting centers, they are increase citizens bills centers, they are if you vote for them your children and grandchildren will disown you centers. They deserve no place in Kentucky nor the entire United States.
2412	Markert	40207	They do not create jobs. They actively pollute our water, our air, and our bodies. They do not promote economic growth. They do not give back to the community in any feasible way that could even be construed as positive. A data center only exists for the extraction of resources, energy, and capital for the perpetuation of surveillance and a systematic stripping away of citizens' constitutional rights. To all those considering a data center in our city, communities, state, or anywhere else for that matter: you are an uneducated simpleton too feckless or greedy to look at the plain facts of data centers that are omnipresent. Absolutely ZERO communities around the country want this, and your billionaire leash holders are trying to use you to do it anyway. Grow a spine and do something your children and grandchildren can be proud of. Lastly, neither I, nor my neighbors, will EVER forgive and NEVER forget any politician that advocates or votes for a data center in our community. And I will make it my mission that the utter intrusion that data centers represent via their pollution and greed finds its way to each and every doorstep of these politicians so they, and their families, may never know a moments peace again -- much like the ruined communities, neighborhoods, and families that have had data centers planted next to their homes. We are watching. We are angry. We are right to do and be so.
2413	Hill	40229	No data centers! If the electricity comes from fossil fuels, data centers can contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. I object to large industrial-style buildings, noise from cooling equipment and backup generators, increased truck traffic during construction, and loss of farmland or green space. If residents pay higher utility costs and sacrifice land and infrastructure, Louisville should receive more than a handful of permanent jobs in return. Kentucky's land use priorities should focus on agriculture and food production rather than large-scale data centers. Agriculture has long been a cornerstone of Kentucky's economy, culture, and identity, supporting farmers, rural communities, and local businesses across the state. Productive farmland is a limited resource that, once converted to industrial use, is difficult or impossible to restore. While data centers provide some economic benefits, they typically create relatively few permanent jobs

			compared with the amount of land, electricity, and infrastructure they require. Preserving farmland helps protect Kentucky's food security, agricultural economy, and rural character for future generations. For these reasons, the state should prioritize agricultural development and protect valuable farmland from conversion to data center projects whenever possible.
2414	Rankin	40208	Data Centers are awful and if you all approve data centers you are directly going against the people you serve. Nobody likes this but you all.
2415	Perdue	40214	Data centers should not be allowed in our area. It destroys the environment and a valuable resource water. I want clean drinking water not a data center.
2416	Gutierrez	40291	I believe these Data Centers will cause a huge environmental impact in our city and not the good one, We should be thinking about the wellbeing of this city not the pockets of someone else.
2417	Santiago	40217	Data centers do not belong in Louisville. They are imposing on not only the residential and commercial property they will take up but will also drain different resources like electricity, gas, and our precious water that we take pride in drinking. NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!
2418	Wilder	40208	I want my kiddo to grow up with clean water. I also don't want to be footing the bill for some corporate fat rat on electric, water, and most importantly taxes.
2419	Roman	40218	I'm against the placement of data centers near the greater Louisville Kentucky Metro Area. I believe this would cause major harm the community and as well as the environment.
2420	Huddleston	40214	We do not need data centers in our city
2421	Ramirez	40299	It is incredibly selfish and inconsiderate for big corporations to assume they can build data centers on land that is of and for the residents of this county and state. The amount of water these centers take to just cool down the computers is unfathomable. Not to mention the risks this can pose to our neurological systems. I expect to see increased cases of dementia/adhd, etc., because of the amount of satellite use they also take. There are more cons to this than pros. I cannot even think of a good reason to put the public at risk!
2422	Gannon	40205	No reason for them whatsoever. It's hard enough trying to survive. Why make it unlivable.
2423	Goodaker	40222	No Data Centers in our city!
2424	Schlatter	40258	I do not wish to see any major data centers built in the city of Louisville. We have enough pollution in the area of where they propose to build this center, I just moved from there and I know first hand the health issues and chronic health conditions the residents deal with as is. I vote no.
2425	Watson	40205	I support stopping construction of all data centers until a full assessment of environmental impact is obtained and made available to the public with full transparency. This should include infrastructure needs, water, power, etc. with the full burden of cost for this born by the data center. There should be no increase in cost to residents and no resulting brown outs during peak demand as have been seen in many communities. Transparency for long term economic gain to the city must be in place and clearly justify any tax advantages the data center receives.
2426	Miller	40204	I heard someone say that "when there's no more trees left, we're not gonna be able to eat money" and I think that is a very powerful statement and that's really all I have to say because there's no need for these data centers and it's literally gonna kill us all off one by one slowly but surely

2427	Henderson	40216	THEY DON'T BELONG!!!! KEEP THEM OUT OF OUR CITY!
2428	Powers	40213	NO ONE WANTS THIS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
2429	Bratcher	40206	I support a balanced approach to data center development in Louisville that recognizes both the economic opportunities and the legitimate concerns of nearby residents. Data centers are becoming essential infrastructure that supports cloud computing, healthcare, financial services, communications, and emerging AI technologies. Given Louisville's strong utility infrastructure, central location, abundant water resources, and industrial land, our community is likely to remain an attractive location for future development. At the same time, these facilities can have significant local impacts that deserve careful consideration. While construction creates substantial short-term employment, permanent staffing levels are relatively low compared to other industrial developments. For that reason, any public incentives should be carefully evaluated to ensure taxpayers receive an appropriate long-term return through property tax revenue and infrastructure investment. My primary concern is electrical infrastructure. Large data centers can require enormous amounts of continuous power, making it essential that developers demonstrate how new substations, transmission upgrades, and related infrastructure will be funded without shifting costs to existing residential or commercial utility customers. Water use should also be addressed through transparency rather than speculation. Developers should be required to disclose projected average and peak water consumption, cooling technology, wastewater management plans, and opportunities to use recycled water where practical. This allows decisions to be based on measurable facts rather than assumptions. Noise is another important quality-of-life issue. Cooling equipment, transformers, and emergency generators can produce continuous background noise. Appropriate setbacks, landscaping, sound barriers, equipment orientation, and enforceable noise standards should be required to minimize impacts on neighboring properties. I also believe transparency is essential. The public should understand who is developing and operating these facilities, their intended use, anticipated utility demands, and how they will affect surrounding infrastructure. Independent utility and environmental impact studies should be part of the review process, and developers should be responsible for funding any infrastructure improvements required to support their projects. Overall, I encourage Louisville to adopt regulations that neither prohibit data centers outright nor approve them without appropriate oversight. By locating facilities in suitable industrial areas, protecting existing utility customers, enforcing environmental and noise standards, requiring meaningful public disclosure, and ensuring that developers bear the costs of infrastructure upgrades they necessitate, Louisville can welcome responsible investment while protecting the interests of its residents and businesses.
2430	Creek	40207	I believe that the well-being of the people of Kentucky and our native ecosystems, particularly our waterways, is more important than whatever lies and bribes have been given to our politicians.

			AI is a bubble that will pop soon. It is not profitable and the majority of people have no use for it. It is used to cause harm to communities through the laying off of hardworking Americans and a data center will not create any jobs, merely endanger the health of those around it and make our community poorer. If you support a data center, you are not well informed enough on the facts about it. The bribes given by AI companies will not protect you when elections come around.
2431	Buie	40245	No data centers !!! They use too much much energy, take up a lot of space and are loud. They will make Louisville worse !!!
2432	Beck	40211	I am vehemently against the data centers and its impact to land, air, water, residents health and residents utility bills. Thorough and conservative regulation is needed.
2433	Dietrich	40206	Require data centers to develop and maintain renewable energy resources that don't pollute or present hazards as a condition of approval. Require data centers to return 50 percent gross profits to the community and establish a billion dollar bonding system for those who seek to declare losses in order to avoid taxes. Anyone who doesn't pay taxes or avoids declaring profits should be penalized 100 percent of their bond. Set minimum returns proportionate to anticipated profits. Business is not good business where it seeks to operate without profit and destroys resources without benefiting the community.
2434	Chaddic	40229	Data centers are causing major impacts around the country on the environment and the health of residents nearby. Louisville already has several issues with our city being segregated by economic status and race. Data centers across the country are being placed in low income areas and I'm sure that would be the case with Louisville as well. These data centers would further enhance this division and create additional health issues for those that are economically disadvantaged. Additionally, our water sources are already highly polluted and require additional resources to ensure we have clean drinking water. Data centers have been shown to drain local areas of their water and the UN has already declared that we are in a water crisis. Due to these reasons, I do not believe Louisville should host data centers.
2435	Hunter	40241	We absolutely do not need a large noisy data center that pollutes our air and land. We have worked hard over many years to make this a livable city with clean air and a reasonable cost of living. I have not spoken to a single person that thinks this is a good idea. Please do not do this.
2436	Palmer	40203	Data Centers are a drain environmentally and financially on communities. They are also usually placed in low income areas, further degrading those communities. Louisville does NOT need any Data Centers. Please listen to the people, not the companies.
2437	Cawood	40229	We already have a problem with the water supply in this country this will only make it worse,

2438	Moshos	40241	This is an unnecessary waste of city space that is going to further cause environmental pollution, water pollution, and increase the cost of utilities. I am in a strong stance of disallowing data centers.
2439	Sandusky	40291	I strongly oppose the building of any Data centers in Kentucky
2440	Betts	40211	I am absolutely against data centers in our community!
2441	K	40218	Please ban large scale data centers in our community. These regulations must be passed to ensure the livelihood of our city. We do not want to see this AI takeover ruin what we know and love. And the environmental impact is also of huge impact in regards to water supply. Keep us safe from these big corporations.
2442	Ramsey	40215	Louisville should not get a data center!
2443	Wilkins	40204	Absolutely No more data centers. We just dont need them. Please listen to the people and what they want.
2444	Johnson	40207	Data Center impact on the environment, natural resources, noise and related factors has not been sufficiently studied. I am fully opposed to the addition of a data center in Louisville or surrounding areas.
2445	Dougherty	40006	No one should be storing anyones Data unless they consent to it are constitution protects are prvacy no Data centers should be allowed unless they get a 90% vote across this state and country
2446	Zoeller	40205	No it is a terrible idea for our city. Will only cause more issues for us.
2447	Kimble	40216	I do not want a data center near our homes communities destroying our land and beautiful city. Data center cause harm to the environment's and people. Do not allow them
2448	Garza	40222	Ban them
2449	Jarboe	40208	First of all data centers are horrible for the environment. If we want to create a better future for our children and grandchildren, data centers are not the way to go. They are only being proposed in lower income areas which means that people who already have enough day to day struggles will have another struggle to worry about; poor air and water quality. There is only 1 Earth. This is not a video game, if we destroy earth there is no return. BAN DATA CENTERS IF YOU LOVE HUMANITY & MOTHER EARTH!
2450	Carter	40216	Dear Louisville Metro Office of Planning and Metro Council Members, As a local resident, I am writing to express my strong support for the strict regulation of data centers in Louisville. I urge the city to enforce the proposed rules in the tightest, most legally binding manner possible to safeguard our community, utilities, and quality of life. In addition to the current draft, I request that the final framework explicitly incorporate the following three mandatory protections: 1. Local Workforce and Educational Partnerships: The construction, maintenance, and daily operations of these facilities must be staffed exclusively by Kentucky residents. To ensure a sustainable pipeline of local talent, the city should require data center developers to fund and partner with local colleges and trade schools. This will create specialized training programs, ensuring our tax dollars and resources directly benefit the local workforce.

			2. Rigorous Safety, Thermal, and Environmental Inspections: The city must actively educate its regulatory and code enforcement teams on the unique hazards of data center operations. Louisville must mandate frequent, specialized safety checks and inspections. These must specifically monitor cooling systems, liquid cooling hazards, and backup power setups to guarantee facilities never overheat, cause grid strain, or present environmental and human health dangers to surrounding neighborhoods. 3. Maximum Enforcement of Proposed Buffers and Cost Protections: The caps on facility size, strict noise buffering, and mandatory separation distances from homes, schools, and daycares must remain non-negotiable. Furthermore, the requirement that developers bear 100% of grid upgrade costs must be heavily scrutinized so that neighborhood ratepayers never subsidize these corporate operations. Thank you for your time and for protecting Louisville's residents first.
2451	baird	40217	Don't do it. The people don't want it.
2452	Angelle	40208	No Data Centers BAN DATA CENTERS choose our children's health and future choose to keep water and electric costs low choose to keep Kentucky's resources instead of wasting so much energy and water while harming health as a direct impact. Choose Humanity.
2453	Perusse	40208	Data centers are terrible for our environment and our planet
2454	Thiersch	40207	Are we really that desperate for another data centers? My vote is no. Keep Louisville Weird.
2455	Weber	40204	I strongly oppose any data centers. We do not need them and cannot afford the impact on our utilities or our earth. No mitigation efforts would be enough.
2456	Reimonenq III	40210	We do not need data centers in our city. They are bad for the environment and will negatively affect our quality of life.
2457	Barton	40241	I am against all data centers located in the Jefferson county area.
2458	Smithson	40245	I am against data centers in general. Cooling & powering these centers requires an enormous amount of water & electricity. We need to find a way to cool without overloading our current water supply. The cooling & power supply should be independent of the Louisville utilities. Taxpayers should never be responsible for any increases to utilities as a result of any data centers. We should not provide any tax incentives to the data centers as well.
2459	Norbury	40207	I work in AI, and it's killing us. There's no need for THIS MUCH computing power. It's all a money grab and just cushioning the pockets of a few elite while irreversibly negatively impacting people, animals, and our environment. The Ohio River may be dirty, but it's not worth draining for a data center.
2460	Satterly	40219	I do not agree with having Data Centers within Louisville or anywhere in Kentucky. Data centers are a hazard to the environment and Kentucky is too beautiful to be polluted with Data Centers.
2461	Marzian	40220	I do not want large scale data centers in Louisville. It will only serve to make the area worse and harm people near them, as well as people further away via higher electricity costs.
2462	Brown	47129	I do not believe that data centers are needed in the Louisville community in order to continue growth in this city. You have the 3rd largest data center in the World being constructed less than 100 miles away from Louisville. Data Centers do not bring long term careers to the community - it will bring a few dozen for construction and

			after completion will maintain a skeleton crew. That is the common practice nationally. Over 40 states are reporting drought like conditions during summer 2026. Why are we going to continue to strain a resource that is a requirement for us to survive? What will the impacts be on food production in the next decade? We are rolling dice on a bet that we do not have all of the facts around. No one in this community will directly benefit from a data center - the only thing it will do is cause utilities and water bills to increase as our resources continue to dwindle. Ban data centers. We do not need them to prosper as a city. We need to protect what we have, not give it out for a tax break.
2463	Smithson	40245	I am against data centers in general. Cooling & powering these centers requires an enormous amount of water & electricity. We need to find a way to cool without overloading our current water supply. The cooling & power supply should be independent of the Louisville utilities. Taxpayers should never be responsible for any increases to utilities as a result of any data centers. We should not provide any tax incentives to the data centers as well.
2464	Zurschmeide	40118	Please keep all data centers in already industrial areas. The impact on the outlying ecosystems would be terrible. Not to mention no one wants these centers close to their homes. We buy our homes for the community and areas around them. If I wanted data centers near my home, I would move to a more industrial area.
2465	Satterly	40219	I do not support having Data Centers within our community. It hurts our environment and Kentucky is too beautiful of a land to tarnish with Data Centers.
2466	Reynolds	40217	Don't do it
2467	Shaw	40215	Locating these data centers within Louisville Metro and demanding that Louisvillians foot the bill and tolerate not only utility rate increases but increased noise and other pollution in our neighborhoods is utterly unreasonable. The companies planning these data centers, as seen in so many other communities across the country, are not invested in the communities and have engaged in dishonest business practices that have damaged the communities they were imposed upon. I fear the same for our city and am especially concerned about the data center planned for Allmond Avenue.
2468	Campisano	40207	I do not believe that data centers should be built adjacent to homes/neighborhoods in Jefferson County. If we must have them, they should be limited to industrial zones in the city or on the river where they can be cooled by circulating water. The data center owners should have to pay the city or the water company, LG&E & MSD for lines run to or increased for their building. Taxpayers and residential utility customers should not see increases in their utilities due to the construction and running of data centers. Data centers do not add jobs overall once construction is complete so the burden of costs should go against the owner of the data center. Can we keep these monstrosities under 10000 sq ft?
2469	Brock	40245	No!! Data centers often go to affluent areas to disguise their harmful effects. Ban data centers!
2470	Lovely	40215	Data centers need to be heavily monitored and should NOT interfere with residential plumbing or electrical services. They should have a higher rate for all usage. I do not want them in Louisville at all but if they are build near the city they need to be held accountable and all reports open to the public to access.
2471	Malagon	40213	we do not need it at all in our city.

2472	B	40216	this would be one of the dumbest decisions you'd ever make considering no one wants these things and they are essentially useless and will cause more harm to our environment. please be smart.
2473	Corus	40214	The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use. 2 Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate "registration" approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use mint issued by the Planning Commission.
2474	Thibodeaux	40216	The citizens of Louisville overwhelmingly disagree with having data centers in the city or the Commonwealth. Additionally, the City should remove any Flock or similar devices immediately.
2475	Todd	40229	I am opposed to AI Data Centers for many reasons, but the most important one is the expected negative effects on the environment and people living nearby. AI Data Centers cause lasting harm to plants, animals, and humans through the infrasound they produce. That infrasound can pass through solid objects and the ground, and it is known to cause serious chronic health problems. These AI Data Centers are also known to have excessive water usage for their cooling systems and they poison the water wherever they are found. They use unfathomable amounts of electrical energy, driving up costs for residents while also polluting the environment needlessly. There are plenty enough Data Centers in the USA already, and they have been devastating to their local environments everywhere they are. I also detest that these AI Data Centers are used to spy on American civilians and infringe on our rights to privacy through Flock cameras and other unproven AI technology. I do not, nor have I ever, consented to being tracked and profiled 24/7 by a system that is overwhelmingly abused by law enforcement and govt. agencies. I do not want any AI Data Centers in Louisville, nor would I want any in the state of Kentucky. They are overwhelmingly harmful and unnecessary.
2476	C	40219	I feel there's no need for it. There's already plenty across America. We dont need higher utilities because they wont pay for their own , we dont need them using vast amounts of our resources. Between this and apartments everywhere, there wont be enough trees to generate oxygen to offset the polution you all will pump out. How is that fair to anyone?

2477	Ross	40215	After seeing what has happened in Memphis this should be a non-starter. Did any of you look up what infrasound produced by the centers does to humans? “ Medical research has established that infrasound exposure causes spikes in cortisol levels, vestibular disruption leading to vertigo and nausea, and a condition called vibroacoustic disease — abnormal thickening of blood vessels that reduces blood flow to the brain, causes high-frequency hearing loss, and forces the heart to work harder.” That doesn’t even count the audible noise, light pollution, & death of property values it’ll cause in the west end. The money you see coming in will be dwarfed by the negative impacts for this city’s residents. Please, for all that is good in this city, don’t do this.
2478	Marie	40220	NO
2479	Hoehn	40217	Ban all data centers
2480	Patterson	40205	The draft regulations are far too broad and do not offer real ways to regulate data centers in Jefferson County. The square footage is entirely too large and the decibel limit far too loud. The requirements should include independent environmental impact and sewer infrastructure impact studies. The responsible office must come up with regulations that prioritize, protect and support Louisvillians.
2481	Hoehn	40217	Ban data centers
2482	Risk	40065	wWe do not need these data centers. They are horrible for the environment in every way.
2483	Givan	40203	I am in support of the proposed regulations to data centers around Louisville. The negative impact on the environment and lives of those living near data centers are well documented. The long term physical, mental, and developmental impact data centers cause must be taken seriously. Louisville has a long and shameful history of neglecting it's poorest neighborhoods and residents in favor of lining the pockets of corporations and developers who do not have a single ounce of investment in our city other than the money that they can steal from the people who live and raise their families here. Rising utility costs are also already a major issue in this city and if residents of our city must bear the cost of 400,000 homes worth of energy it will put even more strain on those struggling to just keep the lights on. Funding to utility assistance programs has been cut significantly and it would make a bad problem even worse. These regulations are a must if Louisville wants to improve the lives of the people and families who already live here.
2484	Cooper	40217	Ban all data centers
2485	Nelson	40243	KEEP DATA CENTERS OUT OF KENTUCKY!!! LITERALLY NO ONE WANTS THEM!!!
2486	Duque	40241	I think data centers have no place in our city whatsoever. They cause noise pollution, waste water, waste electricity, and will raise costs for everyone
2487	Anderson	40219	The concerns that I have regarding data centers is that they can pollute the surrounding environments. Water supply, water pollution, air pollution, and noise pollution are just a few examples. Will these Data Centers bring jobs? Why is a data center needed within city limits? What is the purpose of data centers? How will this shape & provide more revenue for the city or the state? How will this improve our community? How will this affect the

			surrounding residents within the next 2-5 year? 5-10 years? What research was done to determine this is needed? Will this cause tax payers to pay more in taxes? I believe these questions are important for the people... especially since we are already in a struggling economy. How will this help us?
2488	Clayton	40213	I do not approve of data centers and they're stain against American way of life. They're costly and depleting of our resources. They do not belong in Louisville and surrounding communities.
2489	Evans	40213	No, they're terrible for the environment
2490	burton	40204	keep them OUT of our city
2491	Marshall	40214	I'm heavily against data centers, they negatively impact the environment and the community they are in and they should not be in Louisville.
2492	Byrd	40214	Hyper Data Centers should not be allowed inside Jefferson County. They are propping up a bubble industry without any safeguards or oversight that so far have shown to only enrich the few tech billionaires, at the literal cost of the thousands of citizens it actively harms. Since your administration is unlikely to see that as a reasonable position, I suggest some ideas that will help prevent the waste of tax payer dollars as much as possible along with hopefully protecting the people of Jefferson County from harm and exploitation. - No NDAs at any time, ever, for anyone. - Independent environmental study and verification required and made public with standard comment period for all health and safety projections/figures prior to Metro approval. - Independent financial study and verification required and made public with standard comment period for all financial and jobs projections/figures prior to Metro approval. - No taxpayer funded infrastructure projects; all necessary utility additions to make the center functional shall be fully funded by the center/business. - Creating maximums and/or caps to any and all taxes, fines, fees and/or penalties, etc. placed upon Hyper Data Centers, for any and all reasons, are explicitly prohibited. - Hyper Data Centers shall be prohibited from receiving current commercial utility/resource rates, or receiving any kind of cost reduction greater than current rates. - Hyper Data Center shall be prohibited from receiving preferential, priority, and/or emergency utility/resource status, service, or management during regular peak usage, system-wided high demand, and/or declared state of emergency.

			- Utility rates and excessive-use fees for ALL Hyper Data Center, even previously existing, shall increase at currently established patterns to proportionally match the exponentially higher projected utility/resource consumption. - Failure to remit all due payment, of any nature, within currently established commercial deadlines will result in immediate cease of utility/resource supply to center until account is returned to good standing. - Failure to meet current environmental regulations will result in immediate reduction or "throttling" of all utility/resource supply to the degree necessary to return environmental compliance until such time the center can demonstrate facility improvements that will ensure compliance at higher consumption rates. - Continued violation as currently established by Metro/County business standards, of any degree or nature, will result in immediate closure of the center fully at their expense. - Utility/resource cost discount offered to Hyper Data Centers from consumption reduction during regular peak usage, system-wide high demand, and/or declared state of emergency; for every 5% decrease to average consumption rate during those times a .1% cost reduction will be given to a maximum 1.9% total reduction.
2493	Winters	40203	Louisville does NOT need Data Centers as they increase the air temperatures around them, pollute the environment, AND are extremely loud. We are the fastest growing heat island, home to the UPS Worldport, and already have a 15 year lifespan difference between East & West Louisville. A hyperscale Data Center will be the death to any possibility of having clean air, clean soil, and a liveable climate in Louisville. The size of one of these alone will mean even less trees and water retention for the city resulting in increased flooding as well. No Data Centers. We don't want it. ChatGPT and other MLMs are not "artificial intelligence", they are advanced pattern recognition software at best and designed to look accurate- NOT be accurate. Studies have already shown that daily usage of these programs severely reduces critical thinking skills and decreases overall intelligence of its users while giving them increased confidence despite the accuracy of these programs being abysmal and are known to HALLUCINATE when out of data to scrap about a topic. This is not even considering the negative effects of children using AI including less developed brains, AI psychosis, and suicide because ChatGPT will literally help kids kill themselves. AI is not magic, it is a tool for the tech billionaires to reduce the competency of the general public and prevent the organization & mobilization of the working class. Sam Altman is literally quoted saying, "AI will probably most likely lead to the end of the world, but in the meantime, there will be great companies". Is this really what y'all want for Louisville? An unlivable city. Get real, have common sense, and say NO to data centers.
2494	Egan	40205	Bad for humans and the environment!
2495	Hutchins	40059	Data centers have no place here. They will be obsolete when the AI bubble bursts and then they will rot for years or be extremely expensive to destroy. Make sound decisions and make them go elsewhere.
2496	Murphy	40214	Ban them period. We don't need them in Kentucky.
2497	Berelowitz	40241	Data centres are outrageous. Kentucky should implement a law that data centres must: 1) all operations of the data centre must be build below grade. No part of the operations can be above grade with

			the exception of reception, lunch and meeting space. 2) Parking structures may be above grade but must be built vertically. 3) data centres may not connect to the grid they must provide at least 70% of their own power through solar panels. 4) solar panels must be on the roof of any structure to power operations to maintain cooling. All property owned by the data centre above grade which is not the parking structure or meeting space must have a tree canopy.of at least 50%. 5) zero water evaporation 6) must recover and use 20% heat waste - zero exceptions But must have s provide their own power through solar panels on the roof.. All parking
2498	Kirby	40206	The development, construction, and operation of “hyper” data centers should halt immediately. These should remain on hold indefinitely while regulations and code enforcement are developed and enacted.
2499	Mbaye-Diallo	40211	Data Centres will be at the cost of thousands of lives. With the public initiatives today that are providing vivid evidence of how data centre’s impact communities in devastating ways. These data centres use millions of gallons of water that can be used to support struggling communities that don’t have adequate or accessible water. If this data center project gets approved thousands of families will suffer from more pollution and health risks. Instead of causing mass water waste, noise pollution, increase cost of living, etc we could use the funding for this project to implement better education, community development, accessible organic grocery stores, healthcare, etc. We can grow and have great prosperity in community, productivity, and efficiency if we are granted the resources but this won’t be doable with data centres being built.
2500	Reyes	40219	Kentucky is not a good fit for data centers. We are known for really good things and data centers should not be one. I propose we use the land to farm more produce and take care of livestock. People can live without data centers we can not live without nutritional REAL food. I am also willing to donate my time to help support any plans of using the land to grow natural resources.
2501	Walsh	40258	It’s not beneficial to our communities in anyway, and our city does not need another thing that brings it down. It does not provide jobs, and it takes natural land from our city that already has less of that due to it being a metro. We need nature to live. It’s not a good site to see and people living next to it will be affected by noise as well. It’s unfair to put this out there for money grab and do this to our city. Also, driving electric bills up.
2502	Jordan	40218	Stop prioritizing profit over people
2503	Aman	40203	I think Louisville should ban the building and operation of data centers within city limits. The effect it has on our residents and environment is not worth the mind numbing effect of AI.
2504	Huff	40205	Do not put one in Louisville.
2505	Fuller	40204	I believe the best regulatory solution to hyperscale data centers is to ban them. This language sounds like a loophole for developers to fail to comply with the regulations you have worked hard

			to establish: "Data Center that does not meet the special standards set forth in this section may be eligible to be approved with a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 4.2.68 of this Land Development Code" Please remove all loopholes. 4.3.36 A 100 foot setback is COMPLETELY inadequate given the noise pollution and and health impacts and visual impacts of these facilities. Setbacks should be 1/2 mile or more. In 4.2.69, the 200 foot setback is COMPLETELY inadequate given the noise pollution and and health impacts and visual impacts of these facilities. Setbacks should be 1/2 mile or more. By requiring that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers it incentivizes them to NOT tap into the grid at all and instead provide their own source of power. If they do this, the source of energy MUST be renewable clean and green, or it will have a whole slew of negative impacts and will add burdens to already insufficient regulatory authorities. Remove the language in 4.3.36 and 4.2.69 E and 4.2. 70 E and 4.4.14 C allowing gas, diesel or propane and require that energy sources consist of clean renewable sources. Climate change is real. Allowing generators from fossil fuels undermines Louisville's climate goals, as well as the survival of humans and the ecological systems that sustain us. Language in multiple places to the effect that developers "utilize alternative energy sources (solar, wind, hydro, etc.) as much as possible" is weak and inadequate. Renewable energy sources must be the ONLY allowable source of energy to protect Louisvillians and protect our climate. Similarly "waste heat" contributes to climate change, exacerbating local and global impacts on health, weather, willdlife, plant life, and agriculture The loophole about paying "prevailing wages" for offsite fabrication is a substantial loophole and "Prevailing wages is not strong enough, especially now that the US Department of Labor has been taken over by anti-labor leadership beholden to tech billionaires. Louisville workers (and our local economy) deserve better. We should be requiring that construction workers are unionized. Similarly, this language is weak and inadequate. Data centers do NOT bring many jobs other than construction so 4.2.69. V ii needs to be changed to "Developers shall ensure that at least 75% of workforce hours are performed by permanent residents of Louisville Metro Outdoor lighting should not simply be referred to section 4.1.3 but should go beyond those standards. Data centers are not like other structures addressed in the Land Development Code and should not be treated as equivalent. Additional measures are needed to protect migrating birds and reduce light pollution. Louisville is strategically located on a major bird migration route. Guidance:

			https://darksky.org/what-we-do/darksky-approved/darksky-approved-luminaires-program/darksky-approved-luminaires-guidelines/ Landscape: Siimilarly, Chapter 10 of the LDC is inadequate to protect neighbors from the environmental and noise and health and aesthetic impacts of data centers. Use the research from Green Heart and elsewhere: Louisvillians deserve mature trees at least as tall as the structure, native species chosen for their sound and pollution buffering capabilities. Enouragement is not regulation. The following needs to be required: "Encourage systems that limit the use of finite natural resources and their disposal Similarly "minimizing" disturbance impact or "maximizing" tree preservation are highly subjective and need to be more firm requirements. Louisville is still losing tree canopy and the LDC should only achieve a net gain in tree canopy.
2506	Crowley	40208	I urge the Office of Planning and Planning Commission to strengthen their draft regulations substantially before it advances. Measured against the Kentucky Resources Council's Model Data Center Zoning Ordinance, and against Louisville's own legal obligations under KRS Chapter 100, the draft creates real legal and practical exposure. 1. The tiered/registration structure conflicts with the purpose of KRS 100.203. KRS 100.203 authorizes zoning regulations precisely so that land uses are reviewed for their actual impact on health, safety, and welfare. Under the draft, any data center under 250,000 square feet is a permitted use requiring only registration - no notice, no hearing. That removes the review KRS Chapter 100 is designed to guarantee for uses with this scale of noise, water, and power impact. Louisville should apply one review track - rezoning plus conditional use permit with public notice and hearing to every data center regardless of size, consistent with how Kentucky law treats impact-based, not size-based, review. 2. The 500,000-square-foot cap invites circumvention. A flat square-footage prohibition, untethered to actual intensity of use, is easily defeated by phasing or splitting a project into multiple buildings on one site, resulting in the same cumulative impact, filed under a different tier. Metro Council members have already flagged this. A performance-based standard closes that gap; a size cap alone does not. 3. The current draft's conditional use standards aren't ascertainable.

			KRS 100.237 requires the board or commission to make a factual determination justifying issuance of a conditional use permit. That requires the ordinance to give reviewers and the public clear, measurable standards to apply. The draft doesn't specify the criteria the Commission must use to approve or deny an application, inviting inconsistent decisions that are hard to challenge and harder to defend on appeal. The KRC model supplies specific, measurable thresholds — for noise, setbacks, water use, heat — for exactly this reason. 4. The "accessory data center" category has no size limit, zoning restriction, or clear definition of "principal use." This is a straightforward loophole: a nominal local business use can host a data center serving national or global customers while avoiding every standard that applies to a stand-alone facility. 5. Setbacks are far weaker than the model ordinance recommended by KRC and protect fewer uses. Louisville requires only 25–200 feet from adjacent residential property and from existing dwellings, schools, and childcare centers. KRC's model requires 500 feet from all property lines and 1,500 feet from any sensitive use or residential/commercial/agricultural district boundary, plus 1,000–2,000 feet from emission points. The draft's list of protected uses also omits hospitals, nursing homes, houses of worship, parks, and farmland and protects only structures that already exist, leaving future neighbors unprotected. 6. Noise limits don't reflect real-world impact. A flat 65 dBA at all hours ignores nighttime sensitivity and relies only on A-weighting, which misses the low-frequency hum that generates most complaints. There's no baseline acoustic study, no pre-construction modeling, and no post-construction monitoring requirement. 7. Closed-loop cooling is "encouraged," not required, which is a meaningful gap given water demand from hyperscale cooling, with no Water and Wastewater Management Plan requirement and no wellhead protection area prohibition. 8. No enforceable waste heat limit - no decommissioning plan or financial assurance requirement, and no prohibition (only "stricter mitigation") on building in floodplains, wetlands, karst terrain, or prime farmland — each a real basis for future nuisance or environmental harm claims. 9. The ratepayer protection has a loophole. The draft lets applicants submit a self-prepared consumption model instead of an actual utility transmission study, undermining the city's stated goal of protecting ratepayers from cost-shifting.

			10. No traffic study, property value impact analysis, fire/explosion risk assessment, or independent expert review at applicant expense, which are all present in the KRC model, all absent here. Louisville already has one hyperscale facility approved under a classification never meant for it, and residents have raised utility, water, and noise concerns ever since. This draft is the chance to close these gaps now, not after the next major project is filed. I ask the Commission to adopt the KRC model's uniform review track, measurable and ascertainable approval standards, stronger setbacks and noise limits, mandatory closed-loop cooling, real siting prohibitions, and decommissioning assurance.
2507	Joyce	40272	LOUISVILLE DOES NOT WANT OR NEED DATA CENTERS. VOTE NO
2508	P	40023	We do not want data centers of any size in our area!
2509	Wester	40228	NO DATA CENTERS
2510	johnson	40272	absolutely no data centers need to be placed in kentucky or anywhere for that fact.
2511	Joyce	40272	Say no to data centers. Louisville is already short on resources and too expensive and polluted.
2512	Aldridge	40242	They have no business in this city. I vote hell no
2513	McGee	40258	I hate these Data Centers, they bring nothing good to the areas they're put in. They literally endanger everything & everyone's lives around them. They're beyond destructive to the environment especially & there's not enough studies done yet to understand the long term effects it will have on our already very delicate ecosystem. Fuck AI
2514	D	40291	There should not be a data center here. It will displace and cause hardship for the residents of Louisville
2515	Brosmer	40241	This is a completely worthless idea. No one wants it. It is a waste of space, money, resources, and could be used better to help folks in Louisville than garbage.
2516	Isbell	40222	Data centers are known to be public nuisances and have no place in our community. The presence of one even close to our city will disrupt the peace of many living with a many mile radius. There is also the disruption of the natural ecosystem, and protecting wildlife is something we should prioritize over profit for something so harmful to the community and planet.
2517	Renfro	40272	NO data centers should be in the city!
2518	Kleier	40601	I am aligned with KRC's concern about Data Centers in Kentucky and metro Louisville. Data centers are known to use essential utilities and it remains very unclear how these facilities affect the lives of Kentuckians. From water use, noise, heat, air pollution, and strain on the energy grid; these centers, both large and small should be regulated and their impact be made in a transparent manner.
2519	Franklin	40213	Data centers need to be regulated at all levels. Data centers should not be near residential homes nor neighborhoods. Data centers should not use the public water supply to cool themselves. The data center owners should find their own sources of power that do not increase the costs (electrical or water) of the citizens of the city, county, or state they reside in.

2520	Beal	40207	I don't want data centers within Metro limits. I don't trust that there will not be negative environmental impact to a city that already has heat zones due to lack of trees, etc. Nor do I think that there will be enough mitigation to address electricity grid function. Recent heat wave left my home with several brief outages disrupting my work day as it was.
2521	Budd	40241	Data centers are a waste of our resources. There is no need for a data center anywhere in Louisville or in Kentucky for that matter. It's dangerous to start down this road, bc once you've added one, how many will be enough?
2522	Cotton	40220	I think in a city where horses and bourbon are our main drivers- things that can't be made or engineered without the love and creativity of their respective crafts- data centers are a waste of space, time, and money. I would rather our efforts be focused on something productive and protective of our people, like solar panels over parking lots or opening some homeless shelters in places other than downtown. Data centers are trendy at the moment, and once that trend dies we'll be addled with a facility that we can't repurpose.
2523	Jacoby	40299	No data centers until they have been proven safe for people and the environment.
2524	Frields	40203	No data centers in Kentucky.
2525	Nichols	40206	Data centers are terrible for the environment and should not be allowed to build one in Louisville. They need a ton of fresh water to be able to run, the surrounding communities should not have to suffer because they cannot come up with a better way to make the data centers run successfully.
2526	Sale	40206	I strongly oppose the addition of large scale data centers in the area, and certainly at the pace with which the plans to build are happening in Kentucky and across the USA. These data centers are flirting with policy makers and promising jobs which are temporary at best. They create no immediate benefit to the communities they affect. And are a blight on our natural resources while also driving up the cost of energy for the residents of their neighboring communities. As tax payers, we deserve transparency and thoughtful policy making regarding these data centers and I hope that the council will be a voice for the people who live and work in this community.
2527	Sierra	40203	The public clearly does not want data centers as they take up scare resources, drive up energy costs, and do not lead to any meaningful longterm economic benefits. The so called "AI" boom is a bubble that will burst, and the taxpayer will be left holding the bag whole their drinking water is polluted.
2528	Ambrosino	40218	I don't want any data centers anywhere near Louisville, frankly. I don't see any benefit to having them here, especially since they'll somehow find a way to get out of paying taxes. If we did allow small facilities, I would only be okay with it if the pollution (including sound and light pollution) was kept to absolute minimum levels (and not relative. Absolute minimum. It should not harm us any more than a bakery.) and completely undetectable in any residential area. They should also have to pay for any expansions to the power grid that can be at all attributable to their presence, and should have to have their own private crisis/fire team on hand so they do not end up getting our fire fighters killed. Additionally, they should be far enough away from populated areas that even if the worst case scenario happens (ie, full destruction of facility due to fire or something), no person in a working or

			residential area of Louisville should be harmed. All that to say, just don't let these vampires near us.
2529	Mortberg	40204	Data centers have been shown time and time again to be massive public nuisances, drains on environmental resources, boons to mega corporations with no invested local community stakes like families and small businesses do, and the folks who run them are not upfront about water usage, pollution, meager job creation at best, and the fact the community and state will subsidize these costs and then pay premium for any services. These facilities are a blight upon society in an age of worsening global environmental conditions and economic realities and shrinking opportunities and the AI these will produce and use to collect our data and surveil us for big brother and the fortune 500s will never be concerned with the well being of human beings.
2530	Wooten	40220	Horrible for the local economy and environment.
2531	Eckert	40205	I am incredibly disappointed by Louisville and our local government's support of bringing data centers to our city. The lack of care and consideration of the regular people of Louisville and how harmful data centers would be towards us is sickening. Please, I beg of our government to say NO to data centers. It not only will harm our community and environment, it will wreak havoc on our utility bills. Each summer here gets hotter and climate change is getting so much worse, if you all care about the future generations of Louisvillians at all PLEASE I beg of you to stop the construction of data centers here. Don't let greed and corporate interest blind you from the very real harm that data centers put the communities in. If you wouldn't want to live next to a data center, why would anyone else?! I love this city, but if Louisville government continues to welcome data centers here with open arms, I will start to look into moving to another city that actually cares about and protects their citizens. I will encourage my friends and family to do the same.
2532	Wolff	40203	They seem very weak in all the environmental areas using words like 'consider' or 'if available' or 'encourage' instead of you WILL plant trees and use solar panels!
2533	Boehnert	40205	OPPOSE DATA CENTERS WITHOUT CONTROLS To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities.

			Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2534	Gibbs	40026	Please do NOT allow data centers here in Kentucky.
2535	Summay	40203	I highly opposed the construction of data centers. The environmental and financial impact it ends up being for surrounding areas is substantial enough to warrant hesitation. Numerous neighborhoods throughout the country have noted rises in their monthly bills after the construction of data centers in their area, as well as the impact it has on the deterioration of local ecosystems is harrowing. Please do not construct any data centers, period.
2536	Bo	40217	The proposed regulations contain several terms that are subjective or insufficiently defined. To ensure consistent enforcement and meaningful protection for residents, the regulations should include clear, measurable standards rather than broad or ambiguous language. Environmental Impact The regulations currently require "environmentally low-impact construction." The term "low impact" is subjective and can be interpreted differently by developers, regulators, and the public. But actually the regulations should require that the data centers should have "NO" impact on the environment using measurable performance standards, including limits on emissions, water consumption, habitat disturbance, and other environmental effects. Again if the intent is to prevent environmental harm, the regulations should require that data centers avoid or fully mitigate adverse environmental impacts so that construction and operation results in a "NO" loss of

			environmental quality. Minimum Separation Distances The regulations require "substantial separation" from homes, schools, and daycare facilities, but "substantial" is not defined. The regulations should establish a specific minimum setback distance rather than relying on subjective interpretation. For example, the regulations should require a minimum separation distance of 20 miles from residential neighborhoods, schools, daycare facilities, and privately owned property, including agricultural land. Establishing a clearly defined distance eliminates ambiguity and provides certainty for both residents and developers. In addition, the regulations should prohibit data centers from reducing or using any of the electricity or water currently available to and needed by residents, farmers, and existing businesses. Local communities should remain the first priority for all essential resources. Noise Standards The regulations should include specific, enforceable noise limits measured in decibels at property lines and at nearby residences during both daytime and nighttime hours. Noise mitigation measures, including berms, walls, landscaping, and building design, should be required to reduce operational noise levels from data centers by %100 so the centers cannot be heard at all on neighboring properties. Compliance should be verified through independent noise testing conducted before operation begins and frequently thereafter. Enforcement and Accountability Regulations are only effective if they are consistently enforced. The ordinance should clearly establish penalties for violations and require prompt corrective action. If a data center is found to be operating in violation of permit conditions or regulatory requirements, enforcement actions should include substantial financial penalties, (multi-million dollars fines) mandatory correction of all violations, and suspension of operations. Operations should not be allowed to resume until all violations have been corrected, compliance has been independently verified, all fines have been paid in full, all applicable penalties have been satisfied, and all neighbors have been fully compensated for any harm and damage to them, family members and/or their properties to include crops and livestock.

			Strong enforcement provisions are necessary to ensure that the regulations protect residents and that operators remain accountable throughout the life of the facility.
2537	Darnell	40217	Absolutely no data centers in Louisville.
2538	Roach	40215	They are bad for the environment and I don't want them in Louisville or any city around us.
2539	Markham	40217	There are no benefits to the community in data center construction and the resource strain it places on our community water and electrical infrastructure is absolutely abominable. I am furious that we are subsidizing billion dollar tech companies with our tax paid infrastructures. The environmental impacts alone should be a non starter.
2540	Combs	40219	I like the draft regulations that have been proposed. Especially important to me are provisions to ensure data centers bear the cost for infrastructure they necessitate, and protecting utility customers.
2541	Reid	40204	Completely against them! Why is it necessary to store data? It's not, give me green space and less noise and light pollution. No to data centers.
2542	Hack	40223	At this current time. The benefits of data centers are far outweighed by the negatives. The idea that Louisville residents should pay more utility costs, deal with increased noise, potential pollution so that amoral entities can enrich themselves while expanding surveillance and control is appalling to me. Any support of the surveillance future is an attack on my privacy and I will vote and remember who took steps to reduce my privacy
2543	Adams	40206	NOOOOOO it uses too much Much resources
2544	Riley	40216	They shouldn't be allowed to be built within Jefferson county. And if they are they need to be responsible for utility usage as well as maintain regulations on noise pollution. Any water cooling system should be a closed loop to prevent any pollution to the Ohio river.
2545	jaeger	40272	I disagree with building a data center. we should really consider those who are in a less fortunate circumstances. Be Kind (:
2546	Farmer	40291	We need to protect our environment, people, and communities from the negative impacts of data centers including energy usage, noise pollution, and increase in financial responsibility of the communities in Louisville to cover data center needs. These areas are already impacted by structural barriers and systemic inequities that result in negative health, educational, and financial outcomes. We need to invest in our communities, not allow data centers to come in and harm them.
2547	Netherton	40299	Louisville is already a heat island and we're making things worse by proposing these large data centers coming in and taking green space. This technology is still so new that some of these centers are predicted to be obsolete in the near future. There is too much impact to the environment and the quality of life or the people that have to live near and listen to these data centers. What added value do these data centers add to the city? They do not generate revenue for the city. They do not create a lot of jobs. Is our environment and well-being being sacrificed justification for these data centers. How is this for the greater good? This is all for AI that is just going to benefit the wealthy through cost savings by eliminating jobs that will be taken over by AI is the city of Louisville prepared

			and financially able to support the unemployment rates that are going to come with us in the welfare programs that are are going to be needed. In my opinion, this is not for the greater good. This is for business profits that will just go in the hands of the wealthy.
2548	Beach	40206	No to data centers, Its bad for the environment, Its bad enough we have city pollution we do not need anymore pollution, Its going to pollute our water and cause more health issues to us.
2549	Ferrell	40229	NO TO DATA CENTER!
2550	Evans	40222	We do not need data centers in Louisville. The utilities are high enough; our water doesn't need to be further polluted, or our air. We already have a concrete heat desert here; you are only going to make it worse.
2551	Ellison	40229	We do NOT need data centers here in louisville. They are bad for our environment and will cause many issues for the people who live here.
2552	Mullaney	40223	I don't think data centers should be allowed in the Louisville area.
2553	Chase	40214	NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY!!
2554	Holtze	40220	Please do not allow more data centers in Louisville. I live a short way from a very small data center. Thing hums an irregular rhythm constantly and keeps me from sleeping many nights. Between the excessive use of electricity and water, and the noise the costs to the community are too large.
2555	Connor	40206	Obviously data centers are being built also the government and private companies are using and building more ways to spy and control the US population with AI... aka, the Trump regime. We know they do not care about the detrimental effect data centers will have on the environment and the already skyrocketing cost to the 99% of the population. So NO, we need not have any built in any part of the Metro Louisville area, Ky or any other part of anywhere planet earth.
2556	J	40241	Absolutely not, no destroying out environment and no increasing out power bills!
2557	Wilson	40118	We don't want data centers here! They're bad for the environment and all of our resources.
2558	Burns	40242	This needs to stop! Data centers do not poison our environment. All the hype claiming that DCs ruin the environment are pure fiction. If you want to ban data centers, it's time to turn off all the computers and electronics that produce the same waste in your home.
2559	Green	40211	Data centers are deeply unhealthy for the environment and rely on the individual citizens of the cities in which they exist to subsidize or completely pay for their power usage. There is little to no continuing benefit of these days centers to the communities they exist in. For these and other reasons I am adamantly opposed to any data centers being built in the city I have lived in my whole life.
2560	Norton	40213	WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE
2561	Mous	40291	Update the 100,000 sq ft limit to be 1,000 sq ft. We do not want massive data centers here and they should be modestly sized.

			Data centers should limit the amount of water they consume per year and should be responsible for finding ways to utilize closed water systems and use recycled water/rainwater collection system to limit the usage load and conserve our water. They must purify their own water and use well water or dirty Ohio River water for use. It must not in anyway cooperate with Flock AI or use surveillance technology. Data center should contribute positively to society within a year of opening in a way that is not the direct result of their business operations. Data center should utilize solar power and other independent forms of energy generation to operate. They should have green spaces around the buildings to offset their obscenely negative environmental impact.
2562	Haley	40217	Please don't. LG&E is high enough. It's only going to affect the less fortunate folks. We don't need it.
2563	Walker	40245	They use up our resources and drive up the cost of utilities. Surrounding water supplies have been continually contaminated in areas where data centers have been built. Significant light and air pollution contribute to the destruction of our environment. There is a constant hum that has been proven to be a source of concern to nearby residents. More discussion with residents who would be affected needs to happen. Data Centers need to supply their own resources to pay for their existence. I am most definitely not in favor of a data center in Jefferson County or surrounding counties in Ky.
2564	Marshall	40216	No data centers in Jefferson country or anywhere. This particular location will harm an already harmed populace with the surrounding chemical plants. Oldham county can afford to grease the right palms, the west end cant so our administration gets kickbacks and their developer friends get tax breaks and other incentinves. This will not create jobs, and even if it did the massive amount of energy used and pollution created would not be worth it.
2565	Cline	40160	Say NO to all data centers!!!!
2566	Morris	40212	Don't want them. People utility bill's are going to go up.
2567	Baird	40208	I am very against the data centers and feel it will not help our city or the environment. Our utilities are already sky high and people are struggling to afford them and they aren't any community resources to help.
2568	Redson	40258	We do not want data centers in Louisville what benefit other than temporary jobs does it bring to our economy
2569	Brandon	40205	The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly 9 football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be significantly reduced. Also under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet the baseline special standards. Every single neighborhood deserves a voice when these large scale developments are built by their homes.
2570	Lockhart	40205	The new large data centers across the country have caused water pollution and have required restricted water use for surrounding residents. In addition, they also are loud and unpleasant neighbors . They do not bring jobs nor do they pay their fair share in taxes. They would be a net loss for the ity and should not be welcomed.

2571	Klein	40220	These are the biggest red flag of our time as far as a habitable environment goes. I cannot condone this from any moral or ethical perspective.
2572	Garris	40272	I think they are a bad idea, and will cause immense draining of power resources, water resources, noise pollution, and light pollution.
2573	Lockhart	40205	The new large data centers across the country have caused water pollution and have required restricted water use for surrounding residents. In addition, they also are loud and unpleasant neighbors . They do not bring jobs nor do they pay their fair share in taxes. They would be a net loss for the city and should not be welcomed.
2574	Bale	40204	Say no to data centers. They are bad for the environment and the people who live near them.
2575	Jones	40213	No. Just no. Data centers have proven to be bad for the environment, community health, and negatively impact cost of living. We don't want it. Not here or anywhere. This isn't a NIMBY situation. They shouldn't exist.
2576	Franklin	40258	DO NOT want data centers in Louisville.
2577	Gregg	40205	they should be banned. They are terrible for our communities and will have awful consequences
2578	Wine	40205	Change all language for critical protection from should to shall. Require water cooling, sanitation and replacement as. A requirement. Require water usage publicly.
2579	Sanchez	40165	NO! Please prioritize our environment and take into consideration environmental concerns
2580	Abdalghani	40220	Please do not build these data centers in our city or state for that matter. I care about my children and their future as well as the future of all the residents in this great city. Data centers create so many issues that simply cannot be ignored. The rise in electric costs, the pollution in the air, ruining the water supply, and creating extreme temperatures just to name a few. In addition to all of this, these data centers also contribute to friends, neighbors, and other constituents potentially losing their jobs due to over use of AI. We as a society do not need more AI-ification. We need more community and social nets. I do not want a single dollar of our tax money going into the further destruction of our city's demise.
2581	Zeller	40059	Thank you for the thoughtful work that has gone into the draft data center regulations. As a Louisville resident, I appreciate that the proposal reflects community feedback and takes seriously the risks that unchecked data center development has created in other cities. The provisions banning hyperscale facilities, capping size at under 500,000 square feet, requiring industrial siting, protecting ratepayers from cost-shifting, holding developers responsible for infrastructure upgrades, requiring transparency about ownership, and paying prevailing wages are all meaningful steps that put Louisville ahead of many peer jurisdictions. I am writing to urge the Council to strengthen the ordinance in several specific ways before adoption. The experiences of residents in Northern Virginia, Prince William County, Chandler, Arizona, and Memphis show that general language — even well-intentioned language — often fails to protect neighbors once facilities are built and operating. Specific, measurable, enforceable standards are what make the difference. I respectfully ask the Council to consider the following additions and clarifications. First, the noise standards should be written with data centers specifically in mind. Most conventional noise ordinances use A-weighted decibels (dBA), which under-measure the constant

			low-frequency hum produced by chillers and cooling fans. Residents living near "compliant" data centers elsewhere have reported chronic headaches, tinnitus, sleep disruption, vertigo, and elevated blood pressure. I urge the Council to set a nighttime property-line limit of 40–45 dBA and a daytime limit of 50–55 dBA where facilities are near residential zones, to add a separate C-weighted (dBC) limit that captures low-frequency energy, to include penalties for tonal or impulsive noise, to require pre-construction acoustic modeling under worst-case operating conditions, and to require post-occupancy monitoring with publicly available results and enforcement authority if levels are exceeded. Second, the phrase "substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities" should be replaced with a specific minimum setback. "Substantial" is legally ambiguous and difficult to enforce. I recommend a minimum setback of 750 to 1,000 feet from any residential zone, school, daycare, hospital, or nursing home, with authority for the county to require greater distances based on acoustic and air-quality modeling. Third, the ordinance should explicitly regulate diesel backup generators, which are the single largest air quality concern associated with data centers. A single mid-size facility can host dozens of diesel engines; Virginia now has more than 10,000. Because these are classified as "emergency equipment," they often escape strict emissions limits despite frequent testing and increasing use during grid stress events. I urge the Council to require Tier 4 Final emissions standards or best-available control technology on all engines, to require developers to evaluate and use cleaner alternatives (natural gas, hydrogen fuel cells, battery storage) where feasible, to cap total on-site generator capacity, to restrict non-emergency testing to daytime hours with annual runtime limits, to require air dispersion modeling demonstrating compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards at the nearest home, school, or hospital, and to require continuous emissions monitoring with publicly accessible data. Fourth, the ordinance does not appear to address water use. A single mid-size data center can consume 1 to 5 million gallons of water per day, which affects treatment capacity, wastewater loading, and rates for all Louisville Water Company customers. I ask the Council to require developers to disclose projected water withdrawal and consumption, to prohibit or strongly discourage potable-water evaporative cooling, to require closed-loop, air-cooled, or reclaimed-water systems, and to apply the same developer-pays principle to water and wastewater infrastructure upgrades that the draft already applies to electric infrastructure. Fifth, the ordinance should address chemical risks from cooling systems. Some data center cooling fluids contain PFAS "forever chemicals," which have contaminated groundwater at facilities elsewhere. The ordinance should require full disclosure of all cooling fluids and refrigerants, prohibit PFAS-containing coolants where alternatives exist, and require secondary containment and a spill response plan filed with Metro Emergency Services, MSD, and the Department of Public Health and Wellness. Given the karst geology in parts of our region, groundwater protection deserves particular attention. Sixth, please add a cumulative impact clause. The current framework evaluates each proposal individually, which

			creates a risk that several sub-500,000 square-foot facilities could be approved in the same area and collectively equal the impact of a hyperscale campus. Metro should be required to consider the combined electricity, water, emissions, traffic, and noise load of all approved and pending data centers within a defined radius before approving a new one. Seventh, the ordinance should address diesel fuel and hazardous materials storage. On-site diesel tanks at data centers commonly hold tens of thousands of gallons. Please require double-wall containment, minimum distance from waterways and karst features, and a site-specific spill prevention plan reviewed by Louisville Metro Emergency Services and MSD. Eighth, please incorporate heat island, stormwater, and lighting standards. Recent peer-reviewed research documents measurable warming in neighborhoods adjacent to operating data centers due to waste heat rejection. I recommend requiring cool or green roofs, minimum tree canopy and vegetated perimeter buffers, evaluation of waste heat recovery for district or industrial reuse, stormwater infrastructure sized above current MSD standards, and full-cutoff, dark-sky-compliant lighting to prevent light spillage into surrounding neighborhoods. Ninth, for any facility above a defined threshold — I suggest 100,000 square feet or 25 megawatts of IT load — please require a formal Health Impact Assessment reviewed by the Louisville Metro Department of Public Health and Wellness, with real weight in the conditional use permit decision. Tenth, please tighten definitions to close loopholes. "Hyperscale" should be defined by both square footage and power draw (for example, 100 megawatts or greater of IT load), because a compact facility under 500,000 square feet can still impose hyperscale-level electrical and environmental impacts. The definition of "data center" should also be broad enough to cover cryptocurrency mining, AI training clusters, and edge computing facilities, which have exploited narrower definitions in other cities. Eleventh, please add an environmental justice review. Louisville has a well-documented history of concentrating industrial land uses in West and South Louisville, particularly around Rubbertown, where residents already bear a disproportionate cumulative pollution burden. Any site proposal should include an environmental justice screen using tools such as EPA's EJScreen, and additional weight should be given to concerns raised by residents of already-overburdened neighborhoods. Finally, the ordinance needs strong enforcement provisions to be meaningful. I urge the Council to require annual public compliance reports, independent third-party monitoring of noise, air, and water impacts funded by the operator through escrow, escalating penalties for exceedances up to permit revocation, and financial assurance or bonding for decommissioning so that taxpayers do not inherit abandoned facilities at the end of their useful life. The unifying principle behind these recommendations is simple: the burden of proof should rest on the

			developer to demonstrate in advance that a facility will not harm neighbors, not on residents to prove harm after the fact. The current draft already applies this principle to ratepayer costs and infrastructure upgrades. Extending it to noise, air quality, water, chemicals, and cumulative impacts would make Louisville's ordinance a national model. Thank you for your attention to these concerns and for the opportunity to comment. I appreciate the Council's willingness to prioritize residents' long-term health, safety, and quality of life alongside economic development, and I urge you to adopt the strongest possible version of this ordinance before any large-scale data center proposals reach the approval stage. Respectfully, Brittney Zeller
2582	P	40207	NO DATA CENTERS! Louisville residents do not need to endure any impact of what the data centers will bring. Cost of living is already too high and we worry we would be footing the bill. Our communities deserve clean water. A power grid that supports its current users and 0 noise pollution. We do not want a data centers ib our community! This will effect our agricultural for every last one of us. Including government officials. NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!
2583	Masterson	40204	I do not wish to have a data center in Louisville. It is terrible for the environment and will use too much of our natural resources to sustain.
2584	Howell	40271	Data centers should not be approved without public approval with full transparency regarding community impact. At this time, the impact on the effect of data centers on communities has not been researched. Until it is know how data centers could negatively affect communities in the short-term or long-term, they should not be allowed in Kentucky communities. No one needs AI that greatly.
2585	Palacio	40203	I DO NOT CONSENT TO NOR DO I WANT AI DATA CENTERS ANYWHERE NEAR MY PROPERTY OR NEIGHBORHOOD.
2586	Sneed	40218	The environmental and public health damage we are already seeing in current city's with massive data centers should be more than enough to stay one being built here. We do not know the long term effects that being within close proximity to these centers will have on us, but with the current state of what we are seeing it will not be good. Protect our city, its people, and its future.
2587	Crawford	40299	We need them why? To make rich people's jobs easier? They're getting paid plenty their job can be hard. Also why would we give up our water for that? Literally one of the only things we need to survive. Let's make better use of our money....better roads, help with medical, make this life more enjoyable. Instead of everything being an endurance test. We're burnt out give us a break.
2588	a	40291	NO DATA CENTER IN LOUISVILLE. NO LG&E or LWC rate hikes.
2589	SMALLEY	40220	Small/moderate data centers are an existing vital part of our economy. But huge AI data centers will be a net negative for all of us - we'll see our utility rates go up, it will impact its neighbors in negative ways, and there's little benefit to us from having them. Ban them.

2590	Harrod	40216	No data centers!!!! Protect the environment! Protect our water! Protect our peace! Protect the animals! No noise, no pollution, no surveillance, no mass data storage!!!
2591	Clements	40204	Absolutely not these will ruin our water systems and take away electricity from our power grid without giving anything back to our community. Not in my city!
2592	Lutz	40205	Data centers are increasingly becoming more dangerous in how it can negatively impact the environment and development of many urban areas, communities, and furthermore the ability to find and plan open housing and development.
2593	Major	40207	They are a parasite upon every community they enter, and they are absolutely parasitic. As. I have yet to see how they create a net benefit for their location. My comment, ultimately, is "Boo!"
2594	Potter	40220	No data centers.
2595	Pinkston	40245	No data centers, we have no idea the health impacts. Why would you risk people's health without data saying it's harmless. These are ridiculous
2596	Gomez	40059	They are a stain on local communities, they ruin the natural beauty and ruin environments, they are far too power hungry and would drain this city of resources.
2597	Rooks	40047	Data centers don't belong here.
2598	ciaburri	40071	ban all data centers!
2599	Gregory	40208	I strongly oppose the construction of data centers in Louisville. These facilities consume enormous amounts of electricity and water, place additional strain on our infrastructure, and fundamentally change the character of the communities where they are built. They often rely on large diesel backup generators that can contribute to air pollution, create constant industrial noise, and increase traffic during construction and maintenance. Residents should not have to accept additional environmental burdens or potential health risks so that massive technology companies can expand their computing capacity. Louisville should protect its neighborhoods, green spaces, and natural resources instead of becoming an industrial hub for data centers. Our city should prioritize development that improves the quality of life for residents, not projects that concentrate environmental impacts while providing relatively few long-term local jobs. Once these facilities are built, the consequences are difficult to reverse, and I urge our leaders to reject any proposal to bring large-scale data centers to Louisville.
2600	Hooker	40291	Our local resources should be prioritized for use of citizens rather than corporations. If a data center is able to operate without negatively impacting its surroundings or the wellbeing of the local community, I see no issue with it. However, if a data center does negatively affect the aforementioned, there is no place for it in Louisville.

2601	Flaherty	40205	Please do not build data centers. They are being mass overbuilt and will soon become empty eyesores. They're causing too much tension. Too much environmental strain and pollution. They should be built further from dense populations.
2602	sharber	40215	data centers are a horrid idea. our water quality will greatelt decrease and so will the air quality don't do it.
2603	Cannon	40272	Don't do it for our community
2604	Rodriguez	40242	Completely against the development of data centers in the Louisville Metro area. I do not support their development, even in commercially zoned areas.
2605	Rockholt	40218	BAN ALL DATA CENTERS
2606	Fowler	40202	Say NO to data centers.
2607	Mathis	40258	These data centers do not belong in heavily populated areas. They are destroying our environment and use a disgusting amount of resources to operate. Our utility bills will skyrocket in order to keep these atrocities running. Nobody. Wants. This.
2608	Carney	40065	Not necessary, we have millions of things that need updated, fixing or tending to that directly affect the daily public. Data centers do nothing more than make the rich richer.
2609	Tiller	40241	They are the absolute worst thing that could happen. Not only do they use all the clean water, the noise pollution is found to be hazardous, they do nothing to support the areas that this city needs actual change in. Why would we want to live near a giant, polluting, monstrosity, when we could use the money to do literally anything else. The fastest way to make people lose faith in the government is to force upon them a Data Center that only supports the already wealthy.
2610	Hebert	40213	We do not need data centers PERIOD
2611	Roth	40291	Growing investments in data centers are a scourge on our society. They are literally destroying communities with noise, light, and water pollution. We do not need anymore strain on our power grid or rising costs due to these centers that solely benefit the few who are making enormous profits off the rest of our backs. The land and our communities are far better off without data centers.
2612	Mixon	40242	DO NOT BUILD THIS. IT WILL DESTROY OUR WATER. HOMES WILL HAVE ZERO WATER. ANIMALS WILL DIE. PLANTS WILL DIE. WE DO NOT NEED THIS. AI IS DESTROYING OUR PLANET.
2613	Cappetti	40218	It's not beneficial for those around it scares animals and wild life it messes with growing children and underdeveloped adults! It takes our water sources and it has a negative impact on the surrounding areas I do NOT agree with data centers and I'm very opposed for it being in my city!
2614	Jenkins	40217	no, no, and NO
2615	smothers	40208	please do not ruin our quality of life with a data center in louisville. no to data centers!
2616	Burton	40229	We do not want the hike in utilities using up our water resources. The noise!!!
2617	Fox	40210	I think it'd be a good idea to continuously monitor the surrounding area to measure any environmental impact and make these reports transparent to the public.
2618	Cecil	40299	Keep data centers out of our city
2619	Lefebvre	40291	Please ban Ai data centers for the state of Kentucky. The negative effects outweigh the benefits. Let us stand up for our beautiful state and protect her!

2620	Byrd	40229	No data centers at all. I dont want any of that.
2621	Miller	40272	We have no need for data centers that will tax our lagging electrical grid and pollute our water
2622	Sidebottom	40229	Data centers should not be in or near city limits. Data centers should not be in or near limits of a natural park/reserve. Data centers should pay for and supply their own energy resources. Depending on the load of the existing grid they should pay in EXCESS to reduce strain on the public service. Data centers should be held to high EPA standards to avoid pollution to public lands and water ways. That being said I am highly opposed to data centers ENTIRELY. WE DO NOT NEED THEM. THEY DO NOT BELONG IN LOUISVILLE OR ANYWHERE.
2623	Beattie	40165	We should learn from other areas that have data centers. Increased strain on resources such as electricity and water, air and water pollution and the constant noise are all things that can make life miserable for us, pets and wildlife. Please say NO TO DATA CENTERS! They are not a necessity.
2624	McAllister	40207	I firmly believe that the construction of any data centers in Louisville would be extremely detrimental to society. The environmental and socioeconomic effects it would have on our neighbors is not worth any potential corporate gain you are seeking.
2625	Tate	40047	No. No. No. I value my water supply, my local wildlife, my communities sleep and mental health more than I ever will any data. Get rid of the internet and cellphones before ever putting a data center here. NOPE.
2626	Holland	40213	Don't let any of these things in this city. The market is clearly a bubble and even if it lasts, the damage to the city will be incalculable. Don't trend chase and hasten the death of the environment. Take care of Louisvillians!
2627	Wooldridge	40220	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can

			bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2628	Burgin	40217	I am vehemently against all data centers. They consume too much of our water and energy. Eventually, that will impact all citizens in the area. They are not healthy for wildlife or humans. These facilities generate continuous, loud buzzing from cooling systems, often compared to constant lawnmowers, and frequently rely on dirty diesel backup generators, which deteriorate local air quality and create sleep disturbances. They generate a lot of heat, which will not help the Ohio Valley heat effect. From what I understand, they emit a lot of light pollution, as well as affecting the air quality. I believe the data centers are going to be used for nefarious spying on citizens in conjunction with the flock cameras, cell phones and other surveillance methods. No tax breaks should be given to them, as they are not a huge employer after they are up and running. No one knows what long term damage they will cause yet to the environment, wildlife and humans, and how far that damage may go. Our quality of life in Louisville has already become so low that I don't recognize my own city anymore. We would be subjected to all of this for what? No good can come of this! The community is overwhelmingly against this, but no one will listen to us as the Mayor is looking at dollar signs ONLY. Don't compromise our lives anymore than they already are! I do NOT feel that the proposed regulations are sufficient to deter concerns.
2629	Winstom	40229	Don't do it.
2630	Weiter Sr	40213	I have several concerns about data centers. 1) With the amount of electricity and water they use how will this affect the locals. Will the cost of water and electricity go up. There needs to be a guarantee that this cost would not go up. 2) Will the grid or infrastructure have to be increased or updated on our dime. 3) From what I know a data center employs very few people for the size of the building/warehouse so there isn't much tax revenue made from these type facilities. 4) Years ago, computers needed an extended amount of infrastructure to support them. Now a small chip can store more information than many computer banks. What will happen to these buildings when all of this infrastructure is no longer needed to support AI? 5) Question? Is there any hazardous waste created by these facilities? 6) Any companies interested in building a data center needs to be up front. Transparency is a must. Where? How big? Guaranteed employment? Are Government funds (taxpayer funds) being used. Shouldn't be. 6) Government officials must also be transparent. (Ha Ha) 7) Will additional city services be needed to support this facility? Fire protection?

2631	Hankee	40223	Data centers are a diminisioning return , within 10 years of building them they are obsolete , so the taxes they promise are short lived , all while damaging the lives and environment around them . Why plan communities on tax bases that will disappear ?
2632	Hall	40241	WE DONT WANT DATA CENTERS
2633	Wilson	40203	We don't want the data center. There's no need!
2634	Yates	40217	I don't want a data center anywhere. They are extractive facilities that only deprive the environment of precious resources, and every life form pays the cost. No amount of technology is worth our air, water, and soil. They are the 3 most important resources NECESSARY for life. We need to take a stance on big tech and ask ourselves why... multiple studies show it does nothing to improve human life. We should be bringing back the forests into urban areas. Data centers need to be axed completely and forever.
2635	Devine - McAllister	40258	We do not need any data centers in the state of Kentucky.
2636	Fischer	40214	The new proposed rules do not go far enough. There should be an outright ban of any data centers within jefferson co limits. Whatever short term benefits these companies are selling will not outweigh the risks to the community, both environmentally and the economic impact to our wallets, especially the poorer community members that live near "industrialized" areas. If they arent good for the more affluent areas of town than they are bad for everyone. Maybe the council should focus on other ways to induce economic growth.instead of letting these tech companies hedge a bet on these data centers.
2637	Lopez	40299	I oppose all Data Centers and definitely don't support the idea of Louisville having one. Our city is beautiful for its nature and wildlife. Having a Data Centers would ruin what our city is best known for. Another issue that would occur is the loud noise that comes from a Data Center. I as resident wouldn't want to hear this noise as I walk out to the local parks. This wonderful city has thrived for years without them and will continue to do so in the future.
2638	Soukup	40272	SAY NO TO ALL AI DATA CENTERS!!! The negative effects that AI data centers have had on the communities around them have been well documented all over the country and I don't want that in Louisville. NO AI DATA CENTERS!!!
2639	Gardner	40210	We do not need any more data centers. They are a waste of resources and it uses an inordinate amount of water to cool these huge machines. They are a waste of money and resources, which could be used to build up our communities.
2640	O'Hara	40055	They are using too much water (even when recycled) and are destroying our ecosystem. Risks are not outweighing the benefits. No more data centers.
2641	Perkins	40291	If they begin building data centers with the Louisville Metro Area, I can guarantee that the people of Louisville, and our neighbors will be worse off. Frankly, any city council member, judicial staff, or workers from the data centers that allowed these developments in our city SHOULDN'T FEEL SAFE in town. Their apathy will cost them eventually. Louisville needs to treat vermin like vermin and that's what anyone involved with these data centers is. Even if these facilities create jobs, we lose more than they are even worth in the long term. A city with food desserts, low education scores, and rampant health issues doesn't deserve to be punished with a data center that doesn't serve the people.

2642	Lukat	40023	Absolutely vote NO to Data Centers and do NOT send the proposed new regulations. Kentucky does not want our water, air, soil, sound, and wildlife destroyed or the destruction of our beautiful state and country.
2643	Camp	40212	I do NOT support the data. We have seen what is happening in another community with data centers why would we want the same in our community. It's unnecessary.
2644	Watson	40272	No data centers! They negatively impact the economy and community member's quality of life and create strain on resources and the environment as a whole.
2645	James	40215	Please keep them out of our community
2646	Deweese	40245	I strongly support prohibiting the construction of any hyperscale data centers in Louisville Metro. At this point, the environmental and infrastructure impacts are too significant to continue approving more facilities. Hyperscale data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water, require extensive transmission and utility infrastructure, generate noise from cooling equipment and backup generators, and permanently convert large areas of land into industrial use. While technology companies benefit financially, local communities are often left dealing with the long-term environmental and infrastructure consequences. Until there are comprehensive regulations that fully address energy consumption, water use, greenhouse gas emissions from backup generation, land use, and the cumulative impact of multiple facilities operating within the region, Louisville should not approve any data centers. I support the proposed ban because it puts the interests of Louisville residents, neighborhoods, infrastructure, and natural resources first. Economic development is important, but it should not come at the expense of our environment or our quality of life.
2647	Darby	40241	Whatever the data centers need should be provided tax free. It is a moral imperative that AGI and ASI are reached as quickly as possible to ease human suffering. All other projects and issues should be moved behind AGI development. You can build one in my house if needed.
2648	Rains	40203	I don't want data centers here. They do not provide additional value to the community or create jobs. They do not spur development or opportunity. They simply utilize existing resources and land at the expense of the communities in which they are built. Working hand in hand with tech companies to put these things in cities is a Faustian bargain for developers and city officials - there is no scenario where any amount of short term gain is a benefit in the face of potential long term hazards to the environment and health of residents. We do not know the long term consequences of data centers, but we recognize that they create levels of pollution and environmental disturbance that negatively impact communities while utilizing an inordinate amount of resources. Our city's infrastructure is already strained enough with around the clock repairs to sewers and highways. Do we really want these centers generating more pollution into the river, creating background noise, and raising the cost of electricity and other important resources for a city full of people already struggling?

			This does not attract talent, generate tourism, or invite any meaningful investments in infrastructure that are of benefit to the people of Jefferson County and Louisville. Send it somewhere else.
2649	Johnson	40207	No DATA CENTERS until we can have the proper regulations and studies in place.
2650	Lefebvre	40291	The roads in Jefferson County are already congested. The air is nearly unbreathable. The Data Center needs to be in another region.
2651	Kupar	40223	I appreciate the work that has gone into these proposed regulations. In general, I support Louisville taking a proactive approach rather than waiting until projects are already being proposed. My primary concern is the provision regarding utility costs. The proposal states that applicants must demonstrate that public utility capacity will be available “without adverse effect on the cost of service to other customers.” I appreciate that this issue is being acknowledged, but I think the language could be strengthened. I would encourage the Planning Commission to require an independent analysis, made available to the public, demonstrating that existing residential and small business customers will not bear the cost of infrastructure upgrades needed to serve these facilities. Given the enormous electrical demand that modern data centers can place on the grid, I think it is important that the burden of new substations, transmission upgrades, or additional generation not be shifted onto current ratepayers through future rate increases. I also think this protection should extend beyond the initial approval process. If utility needs change significantly after a facility is built or expanded, there should be a mechanism to revisit those impacts and ensure that additional costs continue to be borne by the developer rather than by Louisville residents. Data centers can bring investment and construction jobs, but they also consume extraordinary amounts of electricity. Louisville should welcome responsible development while making it clear that residents should not be asked to subsidize private infrastructure investments through their monthly utility bills.
2652	Collins	40219	Data centers should not require the burdens that come with supplying water, electric, square footage onto citizens within communities. Data centers that are built next door to neighborhoods have already shown issues with noise complaints, spike in electric/water bill charges, & no one could vote against it being built there without getting approval by citizens
2653	Roden	42701	Data centers are okay to have in our area as long as the data centers are funding 100% of their operations. They should also pay an additional environmental tax. Residents should NOT have to pay anything or see any rises in utilities that are due to data centers.
2654	Padron	40219	Do not want a Data center ever any where in the United states
2655	Dalziel	40272	No data centers!
2656	Doe	11111	No data center. And no flock camera
2657	Valencia	40229	Taxpayers should not have to foot the bill for something we didn't ask for. Data centers around the country have been polluting the environment. We know data centers can increase outdoor temperatures by at much as 10°,

			they pollute water, cause noise pollution that is harmful to animals and humans. These data centers are only built for the profit of few. Instead of building libraries, community centers, schools, or parks you're putting money over morals once again..... We need to start asking who is making money off of approving data centers that only harm our communities. IF OUR STATE OFFICIALS HAVE MADE MONEY OFF OF THIS DATA CENTER BEING APPROVED THEY SHOULD FOOT THE BILL FOR THE ELECTRICITY USED.
2658	Padron	40219	Do not want a data center .It causes bacteria in the water. Causes a strain on residential utilities that the residents are required to pay, but then company of use is not required to pay and it also has a hum and sound that's not good for anybody to be around to be around.
2659	Pollett	40059	No!!!
2660	Duncan	40220	No data centers in Louisville
2661	Jones	40217	Please keep the data centers under 500,000 square feet and build them in industrial areas.
2662	Wisemsn	40291	Technological advancements are important but they must be done responsibly to be sustainable. The environmental impacts are concerning and our voices about the matter are being muted. It's bullshit. https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-centers-and-water-consumption https://www.lincolninst.edu/publications/land-lines-magazine/articles/land-water-impacts-data-centers/
2663	Kupar	40223	I would argue that data centers are extraordinary infrastructure. A factory that doubles a city's electrical demand should not be regulated like an ordinary warehouse. That means the public deserves strong answers to questions like: * Who pays if new transmission lines are needed? * Who pays if a new power plant becomes necessary? * What happens if electricity demand doubles after approval? * How much water will actually be used? * What are the long-term tax benefits relative to the infrastructure costs? * What happens if the operator sells the facility or abandons it?
2664	Dozier	40203	We don't want that !! It's bad enough yall got msd polluting the whole west end!!!! Let's tackle the homelessness in the city instead of using land for this build more places that people can go to get off the street!!
2665	Thompson	40219	Please do not add any data centers in Kentucky!!!
2666	Morris	40220	Once built, data centers only take resources from the city and give nothing back. How does that help the city. They do nothing for our city except take up land that could be used to actually advance the city and community. Data centers offer short term construction jobs then very few maintenance positions once finished. It would be a

			sad waste to use up any land in Louisville for any type of data center. They offer us nothing. What's the upside? One rich company gets to make more money using our resources?
2667	Amroth	40272	I'm opposed to large data centers in Louisville because they consume enormous amounts of electricity and water, strain our local infrastructure, increase utility costs, create relatively few permanent jobs, and primarily benefit outside corporations while leaving local communities to bear the environmental and economic impacts.
2668	Citizen	40218	Stop being bribed to destroy our country. Data centers will not improve our lives they'll just support the mass surveillance and the AI kill drones.
2669	Amroth	40272	I oppose data centers because they place a heavy burden on Louisville's power, water, and infrastructure while providing relatively few long-term local jobs in return.
2670	King	40220	Don't put them in our city. They take up too much water and electricity. Why should we pay for their usage? They don't provide jobs for the people here past the building of it.
2671	Weiter	40272	These have no business near residential properties. With the massive usage of resources, who will be paying for the egregious utility rate hikes that will occur due to usage for a data center? I stand firm with not allowing this in our county or state for that matter. I know my voice won't matter with the decision because I'm just a blue collar individual, but I want my daughter to live in a world/city/county where the greedy doesn't outweigh the common people. We pay most of the bills for everything else the metro decides, but this is ludicrous to even consider in our metro.
2672	saylor	40272	based on the findings of the recent data centers; there are articles supporting the fact that data centers have been contaminating local water supplies with bacteria. these articles have also shown that the noise from these data centers have cause still births in cattle. there have not been nearly enough environmental impact studies on these data centers before they've been built. the heat and noise put off by these data centers have been immense and greatly impacted the wildlife and the human life in the surrounding areas. it isn't fair to force the communities that have established first, to put up with a data center that wasn't voted on and that is constantly objected to by the public. no one wants data centers. in fact it's shown that there is an increase in younger generations going against AI, turning it off on their apps, and even using search engines like duckduckgo instead of google that uses forced AI. i do not approve this data center. and i 100% believe anyone that will have to live around one with also agree.
2673	Williams	40241	No data centers
2674	Williams	40241	No data centers
2675	McKinley	40117	There should be no data centers developed anywhere in Kentucky as we don't know the long term effects it will have on humans, the environment, all of the agriculture such as animals and plants that are all over Kentucky.
2676	Amroth	40272	Louisville's water, electricity, and land are valuable resources that should support businesses creating more jobs and stronger long-term benefits for our community.
2677	Felfle	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: Louisville should NOT be a place for data centers. I am writing to demand STRONGER Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the

			Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2678	Braxton	40229	I believe Louisville is not a place for data centers. The water usage alone should be a red flag & wake up call for all cities & counties across the U.S. We have enough environmental detriment businesses polluting the air here in Louisville (butcher town & rubber town). We have the Louisville zoo which will cause the animals immense stress from the sounds/ frequencies the data centers will produce. we must also consider all the native wildlife & plants that will also be affected. Data centers are unnecessary, unethical & deplorable. Please do not bring data centers to Louisville, I would beg not to have them anywhere but I'm here weighing in on Louisville.
2679	Magruder	40165	PLEASE DONT PUT THEM HERE - they're terrible and Louisville doesn't need another thing taking away from its residents who are just trying to live. It'll bleed into every "small town" area around it and kill everything
2680	Portillo	40223	I do not want this.

2681	Ruez	40299	Absolutely do not build data centers. They are terrible for the environment and unnecessary
2682	Padron Sr.	40219	Data centers are not welcome in our city. They are not good for any community or agricultural area as they are not able to apply a positive outcome to the communities in which they are operating. The hum of the facility is not good for humans or animals. The facility causes high uses of utility of which the community is negatively impacted. All of the data centers for AI are not good for the living.
2683	Cox	40229	We told you we didn't want them, you didn't listen. The people of this city will end up footing the bill. The concerns about data centers are established fact and well know. Thanks for screwing us over. How much did they pay you?
2684	Brown	40272	I do not want data centers near my home, let alone anyones home. The health and well being of every single person matters more than anything else. We must protect our homes, our earth. This is the only place we have . We deserve to cherish it, not destroy it. I understand the need for technological advancements. Im afraid that people abuse this ploy and instead use it for their own advantage whether it be money or power. Neither can be taken to the grave. I pray we find a better solution that can help those in need , new scientific technology for cures to illnesses and medical mysteries and advancements for the better, not the destruction of our homes and people
2685	Burger	40203	Data centers are killing our planet & draining our natural resources. We don't want data centers in Kentucky. Farming won't be possible. Bourbon won't be possible at some point. Stop before it's too late!! For the future generations.
2686	Logsdon	40229	It's very clear that public citizens in the city and state do not want data centers in the area. With taxation, costs, environmental hazards, and zoning issues the list goes on and on. This is not about data storage and processing but a violation of privacy and a danger to the community.
2687	Madrid	40059	No data centers anywhere in Kentucky. They are a drain on our resources
2688	Hill	40211	NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER NO DATA CENTER

2696	Glasser	40216	Totally against any Data Centers in Jefferson County. They drain the power grids when all you see on the news is save energy to prevent blackouts adjust your thermostats.
2697	Brown	40216	As a constituent residing in Louisville Kentucky, I am writing to urge your immediate opposition to the proposed data center development. While I understand the push for AI and tech infrastructure, this project poses severe, unacceptable risks to our community. These massive facilities threaten our local resources through excessive water consumption and high energy demands, potentially straining the power grid and increasing utility costs for residents. Furthermore, the noise pollution and industrial zoning required for this development will permanently alter the character of our neighborhood. I urge you to vote against any tax breaks, zoning variances, or fast-tracked approvals for this project, and instead prioritize the environmental and economic well-being of your constituents. I look forward to hearing your stance on this critical issue.
2698	Monsma	40205	Louisville offers so much- and one of those things does not need to be data centers
2699	Stein	40299	I am completely against data centers. This will ruin the lives of people in Louisville. Everyone near these data centers is struggling. Not only do they ruin the environment and water supply, they also increase costs for everyday citizens that are already struggling to provide in this economy. I hope you do the right thing and protect people over profits.
2700	Harbin	40204	NO DATA CENTERS! ANYWHERE! EVER!
2701	Higgs	40299	Data centers contribute nothing of value to the citizens of our city. It will impact the environment, the cost of public works, and any created jobs will be at risk due to the predicted implosion of the AI industry. Do not build one in our city. It goes against the interests of every citizen.
2702	Sheehan	40272	I DONT WANT ANY DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY!!!!
2703	Borah	40214	I am entirely against hyper data centers in Jefferson County because of the ever-growing evidence of actual environmental and economic harm they cause, while all alleged benefits to the community continue to be proven as smoke and mirrors used to enrich the billionaire class with taxpayer money. They should be made at the bare minimum to fully pay for their gluttonous consumption of resources with strict regulations and harsh consequences for breaking them.
2704	Goode	40211	I'm adamantly opposed to Data Centers being located in the Louisville Metro area. It's going to happen anyway because our opinions don't really matter in this discussion but I implore reconsider the decision or to do it in a manner that reduces harm to residents by implementing the suggestions listed in the proposal.
2705	Cox	40047	A big HELL NO
2706	Ostertag	40216	I'm ok with a data center provided it comes with guarantees that no residents or other businesses will see any cost increases nor decreases in the service levels of any of their utilities. Nor should there be any tax increases of any kind to pay for any of the datacenter's needed infrastructure. Also, residents should see full transparency of who owns and operates the datacenter. Said company should be

			forced to maintain a validated community outreach program or programs and make ALL efforts to avoid disruptions to the community of ANY kind. They must demonstrate with guarantees that they are not causing any negative affect to local residents with regards to water, sewage, electricity, sound, pollution, internet speeds, and ambient temperatures. They don't really require many employees (around 100) so Louisville won't see any real benefit from its presence. Therefore steps should be taken to make sure that all outcomes from the data center should be a net positive for the residents of Louisville and Jefferson county, or it should be outright rejected
2707	Roberts	40219	Halt further data center projects, perform more studies about the environmental affects, and collab with environmental scientists and analysts for the safety of environment and the people's water and air quality.
2708	Doolittle	40205	LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! This is our chance to protect our community's future. The official window for public comments closes tomorrow on the new Land Development Code rules for data centers. If we stay silent, tech giants will move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water. The decisions made now will shape the environmental health of Louisville for decades to come. Please share this post with every local group, neighbor, and family member you know. We need to act like our children and grandchildren's future and health depend on it because the air they breathe and the water they drink tomorrow depend entirely on the rules we fight for today. Our Overview of the Proposed Amendments and the official Proposed Amendments text are in the comments. We've posted both resources directly in the comments section below so you can see exactly what's at stake. SUBMIT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IN LESS THAN 60 SECONDS STEP 1: Open the official form https://louisvillemetropds.wufoo.com/forms/z4jom4r08nn0zi/ STEP 2: Copy and paste the community statement below Copy this text and paste it into the comment box on the form. Fill out your name, and hit submit! To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory

			requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity. Please comment right now and share this post! #LouisvilleMetro #NoDataCenter502
2709	Weidner	40109	I work for Metro. Data centers would be a horrible idea.
2710	Smith	40216	Data centers are energy-intensive facilities that use large amounts of electricity and water, and their operations can contribute to local air and water quality issues. It's not good for us. Please say no to data centers in Louisville . Thank you.
2711	Sufi	40206	There has been very little transparency of its effects and verified damage to water quality in communities that have seen large data centers. Please consider the residents and the community and see what these data centers have wrought on the communities that have already seen plenty of them.
2712	Perry	40229	I do not support the development of new data centers in my hometown.
2713	Defew	40214	Data centers are going to kill us and our planet. Use your brain.
2714	Goodin	40207	From my friend:

			LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! This is our chance to protect our community's future. The official window for public comments closes tomorrow on the new Land Development Code rules for data centers. If we stay silent, tech giants will move in, strain our power grid, pollute our air, and consume millions of gallons of our water. The decisions made now will shape the environmental health of Louisville for decades to come. Please share this post with every local group, neighbor, and family member you know. We need to act like our children and grandchildren's future and health depend on it because the air they breathe and the water they drink tomorrow depend entirely on the rules we fight for today. Our Overview of the Proposed Amendments and the official Proposed Amendments text are in the comments. We've posted both resources directly in the comments section below so you can see exactly what's at stake. SUBMIT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW IN LESS THAN 60 SECONDS STEP 1: Open the official form https://louisvillemetropds.wufoo.com/forms/z4jom4r08nn0zi/ To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a

			voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2715	Coffman-Payne	40222	Please do not build more data centers in Louisville.
2716	Winé	40291	Don't put data centers up. That isn't cool
2717	Starks	40245	We do not want data centers in our city!
2718	Abplanalp	40204	I suspect future generations will look back at ours today and be aghast at: 1) how we all allowed for the largest wealth transfer in the history of the human race and 2) how we willingly contributed to one of the largest mass species extinction events due to our destruction of the environment. It doesn't take long to see that this data center business is all about the most dangerous minority in our country — billionaires — grabbing up all they can, while they can. If you don't believe in climate change or if you think limiting a handful of extremely rich people's ability to smash democracy is OK, then I'd still like to point out that case study after case study shows that these hyper scale data centers COST working people money.
2719	Stewart	40118	I do NOT think there should be data centers anywhere in or near Louisville.
2720	Ashley	40258	I do NOT support data centers or AI. They use too much water and too much power. They say the cost wouldn't fall on us but it absolutely will. We are already being charged too much by the water company and lg&e and this will just be another excuse to make us pay even more. Enough is enough. We don't want this in our city or anywhere for that matter. We'd still like to have drinking water in our future. Do not put data centers here!
2721	Graham	40222	No one wants this but greedy billionaires. It will pollute our water, disturb the peace, and create things no one needs. GET RID OF IT
2722	Meece	40601	This will be unsafe for the community and surrounding communities. We do not want this.
2723	Kiel	40291	Proposed Data Centers need requirements to show: how much water, electricity, and land the DC is going to need. How much air, water, and ground pollution the proposed DC will generate. What db of noise level will the DC create? Change all "should" language to "shall." Spelled out specific consequences for developers who fail to meet code standards.
2724	Porter	40216	NO DATA CENTERS!

2725	Nicoulin	40229	Do not allow data centers. They're horrid to the environment.
2726	Fears	40213	BAN ALL DATA CENTERS. We do not need ai. It's absolutely unnecessary and unethical in many ways. It's also terrible for the environment and uses up so much water. We don't want data centers in Kentucky.
2727	Brown	40207	NO DATA CENTERS!
2728	Porter	40213	Beyond energy and water, the construction of data centers can lead to habitat destruction and increased urbanization. The electronic equipment used within them also has a lifecycle impact, from the mining of raw materials to the generation of electronic waste when components are replaced.
2729	Terrell	40206	I do not want Data Centers in Louisville. I think it will cause more harm than good. The economic benefits would not reach the people who live in the city. I do not want rising electric bill costs. I do not want our water resources to be used on the Data Center. I am appalled that anyone would willingly choose this for Louisville.
2730	Gentile	40228	I don't want it here!!
2731	Johnson	40219	We do not want a Data Center. These are very bad for the environment and the people that inhabit the environment. Data centers have no place anywhere, let alone Louisville Kentucky. If we get a data center it would ruin real estate in the area, heat the area, take up drinking water, and create a constant noise no one want. Again, WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS. BAN THEM.
2732	Stewart	40222	Data centers only pollute and use up drinking water and offer no benefits for anyone. If data centers are built in the area be prepared for HEAVY pushback, protests, and sabotage. No one wants this.
2733	Harris	40207	Louisville needs economic stimulation for its working people, it does not need outside investors purchasing land and energy rights while increasing the cost of living for residents. Every council member and representative that supports data center development in Louisville will lose my vote, and the vote of anyone who will listen to me.
2734	Aaron	40291	We don't need them nor want them. Reject data centers in aLouisville
2735	Loeser	40291	I think this center should be banned since it will be using energy equivalent to 280,000 homes.
2736	Choi	40220	Do not put a data center in Louisville. The noise pollution and general nuisance it would be is not something needed here.
2737	Wintress	40223	I am opposed because they poison the water supply, increase electricity demand and prices, and destroy jobs. No good comes from data centers.
2738	Keels	40204	Ban data centers! They serve no benefit to our community and don't actually create jobs, it's detrimental to our environment, and the community would have to foot the bill to run the electricity on it, which is not fair to anyone within the Louisville area. They also create a lot of pollution and use up a lot of the good water that we have that could go to our own community instead of just cooling a giant, loud data center down.
2739	Glass	40229	I am extremely opposed to any data centers being built in Louisville.
2740	Worner	40220	No data centers.
2741	W	40291	NO DATA CENTERS
2742	Davis	40118	No, absolutely not! We don't need this!
2743	Carr	40219	I believe that data centers have no business in Kentucky better yet, Louisville. I feel that it's bad for the environment a Risk for our community our water system and our air quality. What I would rather have is a better

			mayor that actually cares about their city and their constituents that live in this OK city. I believe the mayor has only motives to make money for him and his constituents and colleagues, which is the push to \$rather than him caring about the people of Louisville Kentucky. So I say a resounding no for the data center just like I voted for it not to happen last election
2744	Schook	40258	I firmly believe in zero AI Data centers in Louisville. The profits of a few should not be prioritized over the health and well being of the entire city. I would honestly support a statewide ban, after seeing how Georgia's similar tax initiatives have been abused.
2745	C	40203	I do not believe our city will benefit from a data center. LGE bills will skyrocket, and it will tamper with an already great water system. As the heat in the summer gets hotter over the years, the center will need even more of our water supply to stay cool, while the residents suffer.
2746	Jump	40220	NO large scale data centers. If ANY at all should be built it needs to be in industrial zones only. But we are against data centers as a whole.
2747	Dorwart	40222	Data centers will ruin the vast and luscious Louisville greenery I have grown up around. You will be running wildlife out of the parks, and people out of the city. NO ONE wants more noise pollution than what we already face around Butchertown and UPS Worldport!
2748	Garcia	40291	WE NEED TREES NOT DATA CENTERS!!!!!!!!!! Save the planet and the land
2749	Cundiff	40220	I support the proposed regulations by the Office of Planning. Data centers do not support the local areas they occupy and are actively harmful to the surrounding environment. If I could make any amendment it would be to ban data centers completely from our state.
2750	R	40219	The data center will not benefit our community. It will hurt it. There are no positives in this situation!
2751	Bennett	40228	Disgusting display of greed #destroydatacenters
2752	Leonard	40204	Our city should not be used for data centers.
2753	Choi	40220	I am AGAINST data centers in the Louisville area- in the interest of the health and well-being of both citizens and nature.
2754	Bennett	40228	Waste of energy and resources for our future generations, disturbing and horrifying
2755	Brown	40211	no data centers
2756	Amlung	40229	We DO NOT want them in our city! You already know all the reasons why and I should not have to restate them here.
2757	Payne	40229	Data centers should be regulated to offset carbon footprint and ensure that increased power usage is not offset by regular citizens of the community.
2758	Spicker	40217	I would prefer NO data centers anywhere in Jefferson county.
2759	Hall	40201	Ban all data centers. We all can live just fine without more cameras, more surveillance, more mediocre language models, more elimination of white collar jobs, etc. Where is the positives in allowing data centers to thrive? This is a no-brainer. When I consider the positives (very few), it's hard for me to understand why anyone would want to put data centers anywhere. Why would legislators allow something that has no benefit to the community, thrive in the community? This is a no-brainer. It's a simple as that. Established data centers create very few jobs,

			they're noisy and they're an eyesore, but more importantly, they contribute directly to the degradation of our society. Who wants more data storage? More surveillance? More degradation of society? More elimination of white collar jobs? I don't. Most Americans don't. When voting, ask yourself, where are the positives? If there's a net positive for your constituents, vote in favor. If there is no net positive, vote not in favor.
2760	Taylor	40118	No we don't need them.
2761	Carman	40215	Data centers offer nothing of actual values to any community. They raise utility costs for everyone but the people who own them, increase noise pollution to unbearable levels, and destroy the environment, and for what? So 8 guys can make their 80 billionth dollar with their useless generative AI grift that's built on vapor? They create very few jobs, and generate a negligible amount of tax revenue, and everyone hates them. There is nothing to gain from adding more data centers to Louisville.
2762	Hall	40201	Ban all data centers. We all can live just fine without more cameras, more surveillance, more mediocre language models, more elimination of white collar jobs, etc. Where is the positives in allowing data centers to thrive? This is a no-brainer. When I consider the positives (very few), it's hard for me to understand why anyone would want to put data centers anywhere. Why would legislators allow something that has no benefit to the community, thrive in the community? This is a no-brainer. It's a simple as that. Established data centers create very few jobs, they're noisy and they're an eyesore, but more importantly, they contribute directly to the degradation of our society. Who wants more data storage? More surveillance? More degradation of society? More elimination of white collar jobs? I don't. Most Americans don't. When voting, ask yourself, where are the positives? If there's a net positive for your constituents, vote in favor. If there is no net positive, vote not in favor.
2763	Miller	40218	Not one resident of any city anywhere in this country wants a data center. Where is the democracy? This seems authoritarian, being forced on the citizens. No data centers anywhere!
2764	Newman	40210	There is no amount of money worth the heart and soul of Louisvillians. That heart and soul is personified in the people of Louisville and this proposal seeks to leverage their health, their finances and their neighborhood all for what? A huge building that stores digital information?! Our city officials are supposed to be a buffer b/t big business and the everyday person but it seems, for the right amount of kickbacks in the right pockets, that buffer dissolves and we're exposed to the greed of billionaires who's appetite for more is never sated. We do not want any data centers. We have community centers that could benefit from my taxes much more and those centers have actual people in them, not rooms filled with processors that drink more water than 5 community centers combined! #SpreadLove
2765	Urquilla	40213	This is absolutely not something our community needs and I am one of many, many Louisvillians who are strongly against it. It will lead to higher bills, more strain on infrastructure, and increasing health problems for our community. Fuck data centers. We don't need them and the only people who actually want them are techbros and wealthy developers and business people. Data centers pose a major threat to water resources due to immense consumption and pollution. Massive

			cooling systems evaporate millions of gallons of local water daily, which drains regional supplies and leaves behind concentrated pollutants that degrade water quality. Furthermore the pollution they generate or support can elevate cancer risks for surrounding communities. The primary health hazards stem from localized air pollution, hazardous chemicals, and electrical infrastructure associated with these facilities. As energy-hungry computing facilities (especially for AI) demand massive power, their presence affects consumer costs - something the citizens did not ask for or approve of, particularly as AI will be stealing the jobs and earning capability of the residents of Louisville, Kentucky and America.
2766	Hagan	40206	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.

2767	Bauer	40215	Data centers use enormous amounts of electricity, which can strain local power grids and increase demand for new power generation. While construction creates temporary jobs, completed data centers often employ relatively few people compared with other commercial developments.
2768	Stout	40228	Do not allow data centers in Jefferson County. There is no way utility rates won't go up if they are allowed.
2769	Abati	40213	I do not want data centers in Louisville. They are a drain on resources, lower property values, drastically increase noise levels around them, and are not beneficial to have in the city.
2770	Joiner	40229	We don't want mega data centers ! We need more nature , we need more farmland , we need more protection for forest and wildlife .
2771	Brashear	40207	Data centers should not be being built. We have no idea of the long term impact it has on the environment, including the water, animals who live in the area, and people who will be subjected to its constant noise and waste. This is a bad idea for any city or town, including Louisville.
2772	Shufeldt	40229	STOP TRYING TO KILL OUR PLANET. please. no one wants this
2773	Rodriguez	40214	Data centers should be last thing we put into our city the energy strain it'll have on our grids... the amount of water. The list goes on we do not need this please.
2774	Berglund	40205	I oppose Kentucky having and AI data centers.
2775	Orr	40207	I like all the regulations outlined but would like them to be expanded to further limit the construction of these dangerous and polluting structures. Enforceable protections need to be put in place to protect our water, air, and land. Louisville is a beautiful city with an amazing tree canopy and bird population that would be negatively impacted by the construction and operation of these so called data centers. I see them as nothing but an extension of the surveillance state and step in the wrong direction for technology and human development. Keep Louisville clean, beautiful, and built for people so we can continue to flourish as the true jewel of Kentucky.
2776	Torain	40211	It wouldn't be a good idea especially due to the fact it would affect the old folks & the ones with health conditions . It's not fair & it's not right. Data centers are not needed!
2777	millier	40207	data centers are unnecessary and have no place in our city!
2778	Little	40214	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE
2779	Ross	40299	I do not think data centers should be built in or around Louisville until a comprehensive understanding of the long term environmental effects have been concluded as well as strict regulations set with oversight and guarantee that it will not cost citizens any money whether it is in taxes, water, or electricity. Overall, i don't think these should exist at all.
2780	Rackley	40291	I do not want any data centers in my city!
2781	Compton	40229	Horrible idea. We don't need this here in Louisville !

2782	Godfrey	40206	I am vehemently against locating a data center anywhere in Kentucky
2783	Brooks	40219	They should not be allowed in our city.
2784	Briceno	40228	Data centers are a cost to locals and tax payers and high use of electrical resources. I have yet to see a proposal that brings into account the economic and ecological impact to locals. We are part of beautiful cities and state of Kentucky, one with amazing scenery and natural history. The nuisance of data centers are widely reported and have no place here
2785	Troutman	40291	Boooooooo data centers are a disgrace to have in any community. Waste of resources and space. I don't think anyone actually 'needs' a data center its literally there to make people with money, more money. Put that data center there and the city will end up with a lot more problems than before with the public. I genuinely don't understand the richer man's way of thinking with these buildings. Like- you do realize we'll all be affected and money won't matter to anyone in a few years, right? It's all \$\$\$ now but you'll see the damage when it's too late.
2786	Bee	40201	Booooooooooooo Stupid dumb waste of resources and time doo doo idiots only think it's good. Don't be a doo doo idiot
2787	Smith	40272	They shouldn't be able to use the Freash water supply to cool there system off. They shouldn't be able to cause our water to be toxic. It shouldn't increase the water bill for other residents. It shouldn't be within 5 miles radius at least of homes. It shouldn't cause residents to foot the bill for electric. We shouldn't have to conserve energy so they can use it all. The noise shouldn't be heard. Overall I don't recommend not want a data center in Louisville or surrounding areas.
2788	Atkinson-Petter	40207	Bad idea. Wastes water. Will raise our utility rates. Does not provide jobs. Light pollution
2789	Johnson	40211	I am not for Data Centers especially in areas that are already struggling. Why try to build something that residents have to pay for. If any anything it needs to be outside of city limits with whom ever runs it to pay the bill. Against it 100%
2790	Adams	40216	ABSOLUTELY NOT!!!!!! No one is being taken into consideration if these data centers are allowed. Kentucky is beautiful and does NOT need these!!!!
2791	M	40216	It's wrong and harmful to all.
2792	Singleton	40219	I am firmly against data centers and the proven environmental and health issues they bring with them.
2793	Cushman	40214	It would be an egregious mistake to make Louisville a home for ANY data centers. It would endanger the health of the city and its people and any "benefit" would not be worth the human or environmental cost.
2794	Hines	40272	We don't need more technology, wasted land and resources. We need community programs to keep the kids active in healthy activities.
2795	Amlung	40217	Data centers have repeatedly threatened to destroy communities across the country, and I will viscusly oppose any attempts to construct them here. Hyperscale or not, these are not needed in Louisville.
2796	Yonts	40047	This is not needed to crow up a beautiful community we have instead let's create more friendly/family welcoming ideas.
2797	Taylor	40217	It would be a shame to bring data centers to the Louisville area. I am completely opposed to the idea.
2798	Drury	40299	KEEP THEM OUT

2799	Travis	40204	These centers have no place in Louisville, a city that already has alarming environmental disadvantages. The public should be able to own and say what can be used as power in this city. Power and the access to it especially pertaining to data centers should be citizen owned and do no harm to our health and our community -- this includes our water, our land, our precious resources
2800	Savage	40258	I respectfully urge Louisville leaders to reject the construction of additional data centers in our community. While data centers are an important part of today's digital infrastructure, they come with significant environmental and community costs that deserve careful consideration. One of my biggest concerns is water consumption. Many modern data centers require millions of gallons of water each year to cool their servers. As our region experiences hotter summers and increasing pressure on water resources, it is difficult to justify dedicating such large volumes of water to facilities that serve customers across the globe rather than the local community. Louisville should prioritize protecting this essential resource for residents, businesses, agriculture, and future generations. I am also concerned about the tremendous energy demand these facilities create. Data centers operate 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, requiring enormous amounts of electricity. This increased demand can place additional strain on our electrical grid, require costly infrastructure upgrades, and potentially contribute to higher energy costs for Kentucky families and businesses. Residents should not bear the burden of rising utility costs to support energy-intensive industrial developments. Another issue is noise pollution. Although data centers may appear quiet from the outside, they rely on large cooling systems, industrial HVAC equipment, and backup generators that can produce constant noise around the clock. This can negatively affect the quality of life for nearby neighborhoods and diminish the peaceful character of surrounding communities. Finally, the overall environmental impact cannot be ignored. Beyond their substantial electricity and water usage, data centers require large amounts of land, contribute to habitat loss, increase construction-related emissions, and often rely on diesel backup generators that can affect local air quality. As Louisville works toward a more sustainable future, we should be encouraging development that minimizes environmental impacts rather than expanding industries with such intensive resource demands. Perhaps most importantly, these facilities often create relatively few permanent jobs compared to the amount of land, electricity, water, and public resources they consume. Louisville has many opportunities to attract businesses that provide stronger economic benefits while placing far less strain on our natural resources and infrastructure. I encourage our elected officials to put Louisville residents first by protecting our water resources, safeguarding

			affordable energy, preserving neighborhood quality of life, and carefully considering the long-term environmental consequences before approving any new data center projects.
2801	Martin	40291	I don't want them here
2802	Anonymous	40207	Louisville should reject any and all proposals for data centers inside city limits. Bringing a data center in on promises to bring jobs is shortsighted. The jobs will disappear (if they ever arrive) as the technology advances beyond the need for massive data centers, which it will before the data centers are even built and fully operational. It will destroy what makes Kentucky so beautiful and then be gone before any benefit is ever received. Say no to all data centers.
2803	Lewis	40222	NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY
2804	Waters	40222	We do NOT want data centers in Louisville! Keep them out!
2805	Smith	40272	Hell no
2806	Riggs	40241	No data centers
2807	Anthony	40216	We don't want or need a data center in Louisville!
2808	McDearman	40220	I would prefer to not have one at all. No effect to our already terrible air quality. Regulations on the amount of water that is taken to be used for it. For my LG&E bill as well as water bill not increase because you all decided to add a data center we did not want. I'd like the noise, the heat emissions, and water usage to be regulated and not over used.
2809	Araya	40229	Data centers are AWFUL for everything around them. They use way too much of our water to keep cool. We should be using money spent on these for important things.... Like healthcare for all, housing for all, food for all!!!! NO to data centers!!! Ew ew ew.
2810	Gregory	40216	NO DATA CENTERS !!
2811	Brooks	40218	Don't want it here I'm Louisville Kentucky!..lived here all my life with out a data center!..Don't want to turn in to New York City!..I love Kentucky the way it is!..
2812	Tarpey	40218	Hell nah
2813	Darst	40205	I oppose all data centers in Louisville and surrounding areas and also in the entire state of Kentucky. Until we can find a sustainable and green way to support them we should not let them be built.
2814	Nichols	40215	I do not want them. If they exist here it should only be under the conditions that 100% of all utilities used by them is covered by them as well as be a closed loop water system. Protect our water we need it to survive
2815	Gonzalez	40245	No data centers in Louisville anywhere. They are not welcome.
2816	Stewart	40291	No hyper-scale data centers!! Horrible for the overall environment, as well as the animals/people in the area.
2817	White	40291	Data centers will drain our natural resources. Not to mention the proposed location is in an area already struggling. Data centers bring nothing positive to the environment, community, or businesses surrounding them. Keep Louisville free from the pollution of data centers.
2818	Ferguson	40291	Data centers are harmful to the environment and especially harmful to the communities of those with a lower socioeconomic status.
2819	Teegarden	40031	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission:

			I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2820	Lapina	40291	I think data centers are ruining towns, water supply, and making the power grid more stressed. Louisville does not need one
2821	Thomas	40213	Just like you say no to drugs, Just say no to Data Centers! We don't need them nor do we want them. Go visit one, knock on the neighbors doors and ask how it's affected them. It's that simple! Do your job that you were voted to do and research watch YouTube. Ticktock there are all kinds of videos on those sites. Do you want to live next to one? Do you want to be voted back in? Vote it down. No one wants this. And if it does go through Jefferson County will know you GOT PAID.
2822	Goodman	47122	Do not open data centers, they are harming our environment and a waste of our limited resources.
2823	Morales	40219	NO DATA CENTERS in LOUISVILLE. If the suggested company and or management would not allow it close to their home and family because of the harm effects it brings then it does not need to be built here.
2824	Posey	40215	They need to be banned, they're harmful to the local environment and global environment
2825	Bright	40216	Hell no!!!!!!

2843	Anderson	40220	No Data Centers in Louisville! Too much noise (in an industrial area or not), takes up too much energy and water. Put them out in the country if you have to, but I say none in Ky.
2844	Edwards	40291	Don't do it.
2845	Leffingwell	40118	No to data centers in Jefferson county.
2846	McEntyre	40216	I dont want the data ceneter here it is not good for the environment build it at the bottom of a lake or the ocean they can make it happen they just rather destroy the environment because its cheaper and easier
2847	Goodwin	40011	Absolutely not until they can fully operate their own electricity where it does not affect the electric bills of citizens or if it impedes on the water supply of those around it.
2848	L	40220	Data centers are a disgusting usage and waste of natural resources and our minimally available developing land. Data Centers only provide the surrounding area with noise pollution and draining water sources. Resources spent funding Data Centers could be spread vastly through much higher need populations. The city would much rather have natural lands be preserved and utilized for ALL.
2849	Summers	40210	I dont agree with the data center being built
2850	Fisk	40223	Data centers wreak havoc on the climate and exploit underprivileged areas to line their pockets further. And without regard to the community it's in and the future. We do NOT want data centers in Louisville, KY or Jefferson County, KY. In addition to all this, they may make it seem all great for the community but their truly taking advantage of loopholes and in the long run the community and environment is losing all around.
2851	Kaiser	40243	Please, for the love of God no
2852	Roby	40213	I think they are loud pollutant and unnecessary. They create too much pollution of all sorts and suck up our water. Absolutely not!
2853	Fendley	40204	NO DATA CENTERS. There won't be a future in this city if people in Louisville don't have access to clean drinking water and adequate power sources. Tell the developers to piss off, permanently.
2854	Long	40177	Absolutely no
2855	Sturgeon	40272	I do not agree with putting a dead center in Louisville nor anywhere else in Kentucky for that matter, they do not present or bring any additional benefits to our city or community. It is a waste of resources and also will raise additional cost for all residents involved. It would be better just to let the land be as it is without anything on it.
2856	Steen	40220	I am adamantly against the construction and use of Data Centers in Louisville.
2857	Holloman	40214	Data centers are extremely harmful to the ecosystem and cities they reside in. Please don't bring one to Louisville. It's not worth the damage it will create
2858	Erickson	40229	Cancel the whole project! We don't need it or want it! No one wants this data center!!!
2859	Gnadinger	40220	We don't want data centers in our city!
2860	Wells	40215	They aren't good for the environment. I Mean lge already has a monopoly on electricity. People in the areas they are being built in are already struggling. This will raise their bills making a already high bill higher. They use up water. It's not like we can just produce new water.

2861	Spade	40203	Day-to-day, I work with and talk to a variety of Louisvillians, and regardless of income or world view, none of them want to live near a data center of any size, and it's no question as to why. New data centers require so much water and power that they cause utility costs to increase on ordinary working people, and without policy to prevent price hikes, many of us fear that even upgrades to the power grid will be inadequate to keep our bills from increasing drastically. That's on top of the infrasound noise that these data centers produce, which in many cases have made people perpetually sick just because their homes happen to be nearby. No matter the size, these structures will reduce the quality of the lives around them. In fact, if smaller data centers are more easily approved, I fear that more will be built, which would decrease the standard of living in neighborhoods all across the city. For these reasons, I hope that you will consider zoning all data centers, regardless of square footage or power consumption, with the utmost scrutiny and concern for the people of Louisville. Also, being that no one wants their utility prices to increase without recourse, I respectfully demand that all data center construction be approved by rigorous survey of any potentially affected local constituents before construction may begin. If the neighborhood doesn't approve of the construction, then the data center should be built elsewhere. Lastly, to any elected officials who might be reading this comment, I urge you to remember that this is not an ordinary issue, nor is it a partisan issue. Your handling of this situation will have a direct impact on the way myself and others will vote in the upcoming election cycle. Thank you for your consideration.
2862	White	40217	Absolutely not. Very against it
2863	M	40211	I do not feel safe or comfortable with data centers in any capacity. Residents and the communities health should be the main priority. No more data centers!
2864	Rowe	40213	No to data centers!
2865	Druck	40291	Against
2866	Ward	40222	Terrible for the city and the environment. Pollution, water depletion, etc.....
2867	Loeffler	40216	Louisville does not need Data Centers. I read through the proposal I understand it's trying to safe guard against a few of the issues they bring but what do they offer us? There is no benefit of having a data center near our beautiful city. Wyoming is dealing with bacteria in their water due to a data center leak, we pride ourselves on having the best tap water, why put that at risk? Michigan is dealing with noise pollution, 60 decibels 24/7. We and all of the beautiful wildlife here deserve peace and quiet. Arizona State University and University of Cambridge both did studies on how Data Centers have raised the temperature 3.6 degrees, with already crazy humidity and heat why would we add something that would increase it? Most people near data centers deal with energy bill increases, water bill increases, power outages, weaker water pressure all because of how much energy and water it takes to keep a data center operating. I am hoping our calls to not have data centers in or near our city doesn't fall on deaf ears. Please let's work on finding things that will actually improve our way of

			living because genuinely a Data Center does nothing for us. Our wildlife and our residents deserve a beautiful, affordable and livable city.
2868	Davjs	40229	NO DATA CENTERS!!! Nobody wants them
2869	Worner	40059	My opposition begins with utility costs, but it does not end there. Some proposed data centers in Kentucky would draw as much electricity as an entire power plant, and without binding protections, the cost of that new infrastructure gets passed directly onto ratepayers while the corporations profiting from it pay a fraction of the true cost. Beyond electricity, these projects bring industrial-scale water use, air quality impacts, and noise that change the character of residential neighborhoods — harms that fall hardest on the communities least equipped to absorb them.
2870	Redd	40299	I am against any data centers from being built in the city of Louisville
2871	Adams	40272	Don't want them.
2872	Hudson	40213	I think data centers are horrible for the environment and the community. The neighborhoods will pay the price for the water supply needed to keep the centers going. Plus the noise from the data center will be extremely disruptive to humans and animals. There is no research to prove it will not cause long term medical issues for people living close by but I don't see how that much technology in one area can't harm people nearby after long-term exposure. The data center will also make the value of nearby homes drop, therefore putting many families in a harmful situation they can't get out of. Please consider not allowing any data centers!
2873	Young	40299	We do not want them here.
2874	Ann	40219	NO DATA CENTERS!!!!!!
2875	Skaggs	40211	No data center
2876	Worner	40059	I oppose bringing data centers into Jefferson County. They use enormous amounts of electricity and water, and without real protections in place, everyday residents end up covering the cost through higher utility bills while outside companies keep the profits.
2877	Skaggs	40211	No data center
2878	Hernandez	40242	Stop making data centers. What about the water for us???? Kentucky is already illiterate. I know you hate dealing with ai & not a real customer service rep. Ai is stealing our jobs.
2879	Wilder	40229	We do not need a data center in Louisville. These have a huge terrible effect on the community and the environment. Causing to much disruption in normal everyday lives of the citizens. I say heck no!!
2880	King	40219	Absolutely no to data centers.....not a cost the people should have to absorb when most folks are barely making ends meet. Preposterous to think we can afford to pay more for electric and water! Also, I prefer to keep our standing as one of the cities with the cleanest water.
2881	Embry	40299	They do not belong in Louisville, or anywhere near it.
2882	Brickey	40272	No data centers.
2883	Krulik	40206	Data centers have no business being within the inner belt of Louisville. There is plenty of open space for data centers south or east of Louisville, keep them away from the people.
2884	Williams	40220	We do not want a data center in Louisville! They harm the environment and are horrible overall.

2885	Love	40204	They would be a horrendous drain on life if all kind. A heavy foot on the necks of the majority of Louisvilles community. Their existence seems unlawful in nature and should not be allowed anywhere in the state of Kentucky.
2886	Scott	40272	Ban data centers. Louisville does not want data centers
2887	Ferguson	40219	I don't have a problem with big data centers. But I want them to be inside of proper locations
2888	Groves	40222	Please keep our children safe. There are millions of reasons we CANNOT have data centers anywhere in the country. Period. The pollution. The noise. The real reason we will have them is for prisons for elites to carry out thier end of the world plan. Do not let them win.
2889	Smith	40206	I don't want any data center in my city or my state. I think a moratorium should be put on any that are currently being planned or if they are in the works.
2890	Keefer	40272	Data centers may power our digital world, but we cannot allow them to come at the expense of our communities, our children, and our future. We have a responsibility to ask the hard questions before sacrificing our land, natural resources, and quality of life for an industry whose benefits often leave the community while its burdens remain. These facilities can require enormous amounts of electricity and millions of gallons of water to operate and cool their equipment. They can contribute to increased strain on our power grid, create constant industrial noise, alter rural landscapes, and impact local wildlife and ecosystems. As demand for more data centers continues to grow, so does the concern about increased carbon emissions when clean energy cannot keep pace, along with the long-term environmental footprint they leave behind. But this isn't just about today. It's about the world our children and grandchildren will inherit. What kind of message are we sending if we continue prioritizing corporate expansion over clean air, healthy waterways, green spaces, and sustainable communities? Our children deserve neighborhoods where they can play outside without industrial development dominating their horizon. They deserve protected natural resources, reliable infrastructure, and leaders who value long-term stewardship over short-term profits. Once farmland is paved over, forests are cleared, and ecosystems are disrupted, we cannot simply restore them overnight. Decisions made today will shape our communities for generations. The true cost of these projects cannot be measured only in tax revenue or temporary construction jobs. It must also be measured in the health of our environment, the preservation of our natural resources, the resilience of our infrastructure, and the legacy we leave to those who come after us. Progress should never require sacrificing the very things that make a community worth living in. Economic

			development is important, but it must be balanced with transparency, accountability, environmental responsibility, and genuine community input. Our children cannot speak for themselves in these decisions. We must be their voice. We must demand thoughtful planning, independent environmental review, responsible resource management, and development that puts people—not just profit—first. Because once these decisions are made, it is our children—not the corporations—who will live with the consequences.
2891	Alexander	40212	We shouldn't have data centers here because they're detrimental to the environment and communities. It would greatly impact the noise pollution, animals that live in near by parks, water usage, increase utility bills for all, etc.
2892	Myers	40272	As a father, my responsibility isn't just to provide for my family today—it's to protect the world my children will inherit tomorrow. I'm not against progress. I'm against progress that comes at the expense of our land, our water, our communities, and our kids' future. Once open fields become industrial sites and natural resources are depleted, there's no easy way to get them back. We have one chance to make this decision right. Corporations may move on to the next project, but we'll still be here, raising our children and living with the consequences for decades. Our community deserves development that respects families, protects our environment, and puts people before profit. If we fail to ask the tough questions now, our children will be the ones paying the price later. That's not a legacy I'm willing to leave.
2893	R	40213	The last thing this city needs is a hyper scale data center. STOP BUILDING THESE DAMN THINGS!!!!!! Time and energy and funds should be put elsewhere, like housing, food, insurance, and so much more for the people of Jefferson County. Quit fucking up our lives!!! The people matter, data centers don't even compare.
2894	Calderon	40215	I do not support having a data center in Louisville nor near me
2895	Keefer	40214	As a grandmother, I've lived long enough to know that every generation is responsible for leaving this world better than they found it. We are only temporary caretakers of this land, and it is our duty to protect it for those who come after us. I've watched children grow, families put down roots, and this community become a place we're proud to call home. I don't want my grandchildren to inherit more industrial development at the expense of clean water, peaceful neighborhoods, and the natural beauty that has always defined this area. Not every opportunity is worth the cost. Once our farmland is gone, our landscapes are changed, and our

			resources are stretched, those choices cannot simply be undone. As elders, we've learned that wisdom means looking beyond today's promises and considering tomorrow's consequences. We owe it to our children, our grandchildren, and every generation after them to make decisions that protect this community—not just for today, but for decades to come. The greatest legacy we can leave isn't another development. It's a community where future generations can thrive, just as we have.
2896	Owen	40222	I fully support data centers in the Louisville metro area. There's a lot of outdated and misleading information circulating about them, and it's shaping public opinion more than the facts are. The Campground Road data center alone is projected to generate \$68 million in annual tax revenue once fully operational — including \$45 million a year for Jefferson County Public Schools, plus funding for Louisville Metro and local fire services. It's also expected to bring 210 permanent, six-figure jobs. We shouldn't let social media rumors override real economic benefits for our city and our schools.
2897	Warner	40203	Considering the massive impact a data center is going to have on the use of resources onto the city, it's not the most adventsgous opportunity for tax payers considering its lack of permanent jobs provided, increased use on utilities which would get passed down to the community, would only be seen as a net negative to locals for the use pf a global ware house for data storage.
2898	Menefee	40223	We don't need data centers in Louisville. They should be taxed at an extremely high rate to deincevize choosing this city. Data storage in general needs to evolve, less storage, more compressing, more reduction of files, etc. Centers such as this are a waste of resources and don't serve the community
2899	Murphy	40203	I do not want it built at all
2900	broadway	40206	data centers should be banned from the louisville and louisville metro area
2901	Zanetti	40059	Data centers are a strain on our already fragile energy infrastructure across the whole of the US. Having a data center close to home will only raise demand which will raise energy prices for every household.
2902	Arredondo	40217	THEY SHOULD NOT BE HERE. Bad for environment and bad for economy!!!!!!
2903	Winston	40212	I am against building a data center in my community, it is not needed nor is it wanted. I can't not see any way that this could positively impact the community
2904	Vigil	40272	The animals, and ecosystem will suffer. People will suffer. And not just physical ramifications of the center eating up water and energy, but mental health crises will increase with the incessant sound it makes 24/7. Property values will go down. Loss of business and real estate value. Selfish.
2905	Ryan	40272	Make them pay their own way! The construction and use of data center facilities should have NO impact on taxpayers: absolutely no rise in utility costs to the public and low impact on traffic congestion. No hazardous waste release in water or air!

			No huge facilities. Better yet, put a limit on the number of data centers in the area!!
2906	B	40241	Absolutely fucking not.
2907	Lewis	40210	NO DATA CENTERS. PUT HUMANS TO WORK AND STOP ACCELERATING CLIMATE CHANGE!
2908	B	40245	Concerns-amount of water needed to cool them, the noise as seen in other states, environmental concerns for people and wildlife, water quality in the area as seen in other states, pollution as seen in other states, heat release, electricity demand and impact on local customers
2909	Schaaf	40203	I do not consent or want data centers in Louisville Kentucky. I have great concern about water quality and air quality if that proceeds. I want the healthiest possible outcome for our earth and for our people. To save energy and save our planet. Especially because we have some of the freshest and cleanest water in the USA, why jeopardize that and our energy?
2910	Downs	40258	Dangerous to health of community
2911	Lewis	40218	I refuse to allow data centers to be built in the Louisville and surrounding areas. They're not fireproof...
2912	Bohler	40216	Don't want them we don't need them here in Louisville KY. There's many other cities towns that do not have residential areas that y'all can go to
2913	Rapier	40207	I am completely AGAINST data centers. They are horrible for our environment and our communities.
2914	Taylor	40215	Not my timeline nor should it be anyones! Peace, love and happiness lies within. Truth is coming and it has nothing to do with Data centers. NO to data centers!
2915	reynolds	40229	I do not believe we should be loaning any areas to data centers. They are bad for the environment, and use up water. They also make our water unsafe to drink, and the noise not only affects us, but affects all our animals/wildlife.
2916	Jamison	40071	Please do not allow these in or near our city, they are toxic and unnecessary.
2917	Kenneth	40216	Please don't bring a data center
2918	Davis	40242	Do not allow them in the city
2919	STARKS	40245	Data Centers will impact residents in a negative way. Noise pollution, water usage. These companies always find a way to pass the cost to residents eventually. We need these regulation and im sure even more to protect the city and the residents.
2920	Eyre	40220	Absolutely no data centers!!!!
2921	Dooley	40291	I respectfully oppose the construction of additional data centers in our community. While I understand they can bring some economic benefits, I am concerned about their long-term impact on our quality of life. Data centers can place significant demands on local electricity and water resources, increase noise from cooling systems and backup generators, and alter the character of residential areas. Our community deserves development that balances economic growth with the health, safety, and well-being of the people who live here. I encourage local leaders to prioritize projects that create more local jobs, protect our natural resources, and

			preserve the quality of life that makes our community a great place to live. Please carefully consider the long-term effects before approving any new data center developments.
2922	Hennessy	40217	This is something very helpful yuh may be able to do today Bonnie. LOUISVILLE: The deadline is TOMORROW, JULY 9! I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
2923	Corso	40059	I do not support data centers in our local area.
2924	Ward	40206	Ensure that in times of drought or low water supply, operations at the data center are shut down so that Louisville metro residents and businesses other than the data center have primary access to water.
2925	Barrett	40213	No one wants them or needs them.
2926	Hayley	40214	HORRIBLE IDEA FOR ALL LIVING THINGS!! BE CONSIDERATE AND DONT DO THIS PLEASE

2927	Thomas	40228	If big tech wants data centers they should put them in areas they own homes!! We don't want them in our cities or near our homes!
2928	Parker	40213	I find this data center project to be troubling in many ways. The first is the lack of community care and input around it, especially for something of this size. This is a "top-down" operation, insofar as it is being prescribed to the community as to what is good for them, of what this community "needs." Rather, what should be called for is a bottom-up approach, wherein the community is given a chance to decide what constitutes the environment they live in. Further, no genuinely compelling reasons have there been given for this project that aren't techno-speak and dull bullet points. There is not a real case being made to the public for these data centers. The data that has been given is vague and inaccessible as to how the daily lives of the citizens will be impacted. There must be further reflection on this project and the implications for the community! The prescriptive nature of this project, without making a compelling argument for it, is insulting to the citizens of this community, and must be reconsidered.
2929	Terry	40220	Please do not allow these into our city, full stop. Everything about them is damaging to our community without providing any economic or social benefits. They contribute to immense noise pollution, they use incredible amounts of electricity, and they use up clean water. We do not want them in our community.
2930	Miklinski	40220	We don't need anything else to kill our environment! We also need to protect privacy!
2931	Ripley	40272	I do not want any Data Centers in my city, state, or country. I do not want them anywhere period.
2932	Schuhmann	40245	I do not want data centers built in my city because they can bring constant noise, increase traffic during construction and maintenance, and place significant demands on our water and power infrastructure. I am also concerned about the long-term impact on nearby neighborhoods. While I support economic growth, I believe development should protect our community's quality of life and prioritize projects that provide more direct benefits to local residents.
2933	Morris	47129	Do NOT put any Data Centers in Louisville, Ky, or anywhere near Louisville.
2934	Gilmore	40207	I don't feel as if this would be beneficial to our city or state in any fashion.
2935	Mahoney	40214	Keep them out of KY! Why put the strain on our national resources and climate. Keep our state beautiful and leave them to other states.
2936	Marcum	40215	We do NOT need data centers, they not only affect wildlife- but the noise & junk that comes along with them is unnecessary. WE DO NOT WANT THEM
2937	Wohner	40299	We should not add any type of data centers in Louisville or in the surrounding counties. Why take away from the beauty that our city has?! I'd 100% move before I live in a city that starts building data centers left and right.
2938	Gordon	40223	Data Centers should not be built within Louisville as they would not serve the communities they would reside within. The noise and water strain, as well as light pollution and misuse of land that could be used to aid citizens of Louisville far outweigh the meager benefit they would provide.

			I strongly opposed data Centers being built in Louisville and in general.
2939	Palacios	40207	HATE THEM!! Do not want
2940	Gray	40258	Bad for environment, animals and human life. NOOOO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY
2941	Swincher	40258	Data centers should not be approved without public approval with full transparency regarding community impact.
2942	Hawkins Holt	40210	No data centers!
2943	Nelson	40204	This will be detrimental to our community. Driving up costs on an already impoverished neighborhood. We do not want this in our city!
2944	Burch	40299	Data centers do not belong anywhere near my city. They are abhorrent, and time and time again it has been shown the developers will lie, lives will be ruined, cost will grow up, health issues will skyrocket, and all they care about is the money. It is a danger to my child, my city, and our society. Get them AWAY FROM US!
2945	Gray	40212	I am not in favor of ANY data centers in our city. The people who build these are corrupt and do not care about the residents or our needs. Large scale AI data centers will create noise pollution, rises in utility bills, and air pollution. It's bad for not only us, but for our children and putting these centers in our communities will affect us all in the long run.
2946	Sills	40208	Data centers ruin everything, the environment, the economy, and the community. If you favor data centers all you care about is the money in some billionaire's pockets. I am a Computer Science Engineer, so I know about AI, and the AI that is being supported by Data Centers is going to fail in a few years, and is actively destroying people's lives, putting all of this effort to destroy the environment today to waste by destroying the environment of tomorrow. These Data centers will make the lives of exactly 1% of Americans better, and I know that not a single person that actually cares about the communities in Louisville are part of that 1% because this proposal is even in place. If you want to make your own life worse, go ahead, build a data center.
2947	Custodia	40047	Completely against it. No matter where in Kentucky. Kentucky is supposed to have beautiful lands and there's no need for more pollution and all the bad things that come with data centers like increased electric bills and putting more strain on the grids.
2948	Leonard	40207	I oppose any data center being built in Louisville. The impact that they have on the environment and communities that surround them is detrimental.
2949	Blissett	40220	Thank you for your interest in our city and state but Kentucky as a whole does NOT need Data Centers. Especially Louisville, it's known for its faucet water system in addition to best tasting. Kentucky as a whole is made up of mostly farm land, other agricultural land and many creeks and rivers. All of which would be detrimentally affected by adding data centers in our city and surrounding counties. There isn't a need for this, just a business decision for profit that doesn't have any ROI on the residents affected
2950	Blake	40215	I used to live in the area code 40208 in a very poor and crime-ridden area. My family grew up with the effects of pollutants from local factories and many of my family members have died of cancer and even those who didn't smoke had lung and heart disease. It is proven that all data centers poorly affect the air quality and local environment and with Louisville already having pollution issues and high rates of health issues, it's probably not

			the best thing to put more strain on us. Another factor is noise pollution. This city has a constant buzzing of sound no matter where you are, not a moment of silence, that will become deafening with the loud, mechanical buzzing of data centers surrounding us. We are urging you to reconsider any generative ai data centers but especially any and all large scale data centers for our health, our sanity and a greener future in our city. We are tired of not having a say in our own lives. We deserve to be heard out. Thank you
2951	Williams	40215	Base other states that have Data Center it affects the environment negative. Corporate. CEO get wealth and the environment suffers so I say No to building a data center in Louisville Kentucky
2952	Scott	40205	The planned data center does not build up the community. Rather, it will extract resources from the community and not give back. There should be restitution for the south end residents.
2953	Farmer	40047	We don't need to advance further in the future unless it's hot healthcare purposes
2954	Keen	40208	No data centers! They're terrible for the environment, loud, and disruptive. The Ohio River is dirty enough, this won't help.
2955	Haysley	40242	Data centers are provably terrible for everyone except the company that builds them. They raise electricity costs for everyone around them, create insane amounts of noise pollution, and are horrible for the local water systems. People who live near the Memphis data center have to go to the store to buy water just to flush their toilets. The water from their pipes is BROWN. They do not create very many new jobs, they're bad for the environment, bad for the electrical grid, and bad for residents. Say no to data centers!!!
2956	Raymer	40216	I'm against data centers near people's home but I wish they would be used for cybersecurity and catching criminals that hide behind the internet
2957	Hobson	40210	Data centers should not be allowed within 20miles of residential homes and neighborhoods
2958	Kennedy	40205	Please do not put a data center in our city
2959	Biery	40245	I DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN, NEAR, AROUND, UNDERNEATH, OR ABOVE LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.
2960	Welsh	40214	ABSOLUTELY NOT!!!!
2961	Hughes	40118	I do not support Data Centers being in Louisville Kentucky.
2962	Wohner	40299	WE DO NOT WANT THEM ANYWHERE NEAR LOUISVILLE!!!
2963	Gallagher	40214	There is no justification for allowing data centers of any scale to be built within the city. They do not provide enough jobs or cause a strong economic impact to offset the environmental effects and decrease in quality of life of those living nearby. Do not let the utility companies and self-focused investors control this decision.
2964	Judd-Haysley	40218	data centers cause immense pollution and would put significant energy strain on our grid. the substation could help to an extent, but generally would not offset the cost, which could lead to the same situation as dallas and nashville, which have their data centers using diesel generators to assist with power generation contributing even more to the aforementioned pollution. they also produce a form of sound called infrasound, which has been linked in numerous studies to causing health conditions anywhere from heart palpitations to cognitive decline. data centers also, contrary to popular belief, do not actually generate jobs. by en large construction is done by out of state contractors, but even after construction is complete data centers function with minimal (5-10 person) staff. this construction will only harm our local economy and peoples. please vote against this course of action.

2965	Bowens	40211	How many local residents will actually get jobs? Will electricity and water systems be upgraded without raising costs for residents? Will tax revenue stay in the community? Will the project improve surrounding neighborhoods or mainly benefit the company? How close homes are to the facility. Whether diesel generators are frequently tested. The effectiveness of noise controls. The local power sources supplying the electricity. Put in writing what and how this data center plans to give back to the community financially, not jobs.
2966	Budge	40220	I don't approve data centers in Louisville or in any area in Kentucky. It should be a better way then dry our natural resources.
2967	Guy	40218	Look at the proof in the stories that have come out, from residents of other cities and officials that have much less water and were under threat of losing their electricity because of data centers. AI for the most part has been a nuisance and made to cut costs for greedy corporate companies who want to cut jobs, but it's not only affecting that in the economy, but our vital resources. Data centers like this should never exist, the people should be thought of first, not replacing jobs with robots and AI. Many people are against data centers and I am too, do NOT build them here. It will destroy Louisville more than it already is with the overrun crime, the terrible mayor who doesn't care, and LMPD not caring and being understaffed.
2968	Owens	40216	I can barely afford my electricity now, on a fixed income. I'm not sure if it's safe.
2969	Moore	40206	Please do not raise LG&E rates. Please do not raise water utility rates. Please do not approve to build without research on long term environmental, health, and financial effects. People say it brings money to the economy, but who gets the money if all the rates increase including the taxes we pay? Please ban data centers until efficient safe guards are invented.
2970	Brents	40210	No Data Centers and no one wants the data center on Campground rd
2971	Non	40207	STOP KILLING OUR PLANET. STOP KILLING OUR PEOPLE. STOP KILLING OUR ECOSYSTEM. STOP POISONING OUR WATER. GOD IS KING. JESUS IS COMING BACK. YOU WILL REAP WHAT YOU SOW

2972	Nett	40222	Do not allow legislators/political executives/anyone involved in approving a data center (DC) to sign non-disclosure agreements. Demand WRITTEN, legally binding commitments/assurances from DC companies so: DC will pay for all infrastructure and resources required to build and operate DC. This includes covering costs for water, electricity, etc. so those costs are not put on residents. DC will follow through on promises regarding community benefits, e.g., contributions to school system, provision of job training. DC locations will be far enough away from residences, schools, hospitals, etc. so as not to negatively affect people. Negative effects include noise, any pollution, etc. Require DC companies to sales taxes for materials required to build and to operate. Require DC companies to provide legally enforceable backup plans should their operations fail. Require opportunities for MEANINGFUL community input -- with significant lead time -- prior to approval to build DC.
2973	sermon	5E+09	it is harmful to the planet, if that doesnt matter then know it is harmful to our children and all of our future people, it harms our water.
2974	McKinley	40217	re; draft zoning regs- need transparency regarding owners/operators, and agreements with government agencies size:500,000 and 250,000 square ft too large need independent environmental studies - air, water, noise, soil pollution; utility usage, impact grid/sewer Summary: i oppose these large scale data centers in our area; they won't end up providing long term employment opportunities for struggling families that need affordable housing, groceries, and utility bills.
2975	hutchinson	40160	please, do not do it. there are over 50,000 species on earth that are going extinct. these data centers are contributing. please help take care of the earth, not destroy it.
2976	N	40214	NO DATA CENTERS IN UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES. NO DATA CENTERS IN ALREADY POLLUTED AREAS. NO DATA CENTERS PERIOD!
2977	Baik	40218	Data centers do not belong anywhere in or around Louisville
2978	McDaniel	42701	No data centers that would take from our wildlife, nature preserves, or disturb the livelihood of others.

2979	DeWitt	40108	No one wants these near them, they hire hardly anyone once built, and take away resources from locals. They're trying to put one in every county it seems like, what happens when we have these taking water and energy across the state like that, and then across the country. We have to stop it now.
2980	Guthrie	40208	It should not be built here. These centers are harmful all around. Why doesn't the city actually do something useful for once instead of city officials collecting money to line their own pockets.
2981	Vivona	40299	Do not allow data centers to be built in Jefferson County/Louisville! Please!
2982	Lord	40299	BAN! Until we can figure out what the hell they're going to do to our environment, water, animals, air.
2983	Steven's	40212	Ban data centers. Not healthy for overall environment.
2984	Painter	40241	Keep all data centers out of Louisville. They are bad for people and the environment
2985	Brown	40217	Data centers are known for being destructive to the environment. We do not want data centers in our city!
2986	Kleinhelter	40272	Having seen the damage they have done to other states, I am 100% against them coming to Louisville
2987	Klarer	40206	Data centers threaten our communities by consuming enormous amounts of electricity and water while offering relatively few long-term jobs in return. As these facilities multiply, they can strain local power grids, increase demand for new power generation, and contribute to higher greenhouse gas emissions when that electricity comes from fossil fuels. Their massive cooling systems can use millions of gallons of water, putting additional pressure on rivers, aquifers, and drinking water supplies, especially during droughts. They also transform rural landscapes into industrial zones, replacing forests and farmland with sprawling warehouses, increasing truck traffic, noise, and light pollution. Too often, taxpayers are asked to subsidize these developments through generous tax incentives while local residents are left to bear the environmental costs, reduced quality of life, and growing demands on public infrastructure. Our communities deserve development that protects natural resources, respects residents, and delivers lasting public benefits, and not projects that prioritize corporate expansion over the health of our environment and neighborhoods.
2988	R	40291	Any council members who have signed NDAs must recuse themselves from the votes. All electric and water usage must be paid entirely by the centers themselves and tax subsidies for any new facilities or retrofitting current structures. Or just Build them under the Ohio River
2989	Kiper	40245	NO to data centers! Absolutely NO!!!!
2990	Lescenski	40215	KEEP DATA CENTERS OUT OF LOUISVILLE AND OUT OF KENTUCKY
2991	Kearnd	40291	Don't build it. Louisville has a great water supply and these data centers ruin and use up more water which will cause a drought. It also takes away from the artists and writers that live and work in Louisville. AI takes away from all of that. It ruins the motto of Louisville which is "keep Louisville weird" this data center will only cause more problems.
2992	Saylor	40219	NO Data centers!
2993	Archie	40216	No data Centers
2994	Haysley	40242	Data Centers are harmful on many levels and do not benefit our community in any way. There is no justification to allow data centers that will only hurt us and provide no benefit.

2995	Patterson	40203	Data centers need strong regulations and oversight if they are to even be constructed. Having been born and raised in Louisville I believe they would bring more burden than benefit to the citizens of the city.
2996	Newton	40241	Please do not allow any data centers to be built in or around Louisville. I worry the impact said centers would have on our access to drinking water, our utility bills, and availability of electricity in the city. Please ban all data centers!
2997	Gleadle	40217	I don't want any fucking data centers near me. Have we not seen all the evidence of what the data centers have done, environmentally and to citizens near by? This is a horrible idea and no one wants this. How are we even debating adding a data center after these heat waves? Citizens near data centers were told to turn their AC off so the data centers could stay cool. This is insane. Our government cares more about data centers and money over humans. And the Louisville government will be just as bad if they decide to add this. What a disgrace.
2998	G	40206	Louisville doesn't need a data center. The south side of Louisville will be negatively impacted with the air pollution and noise pollution this will bring to their area. Louisville residents do not deserve to foot the bill that will come from the increased demands of our electric grid. Louisville deserves clean water and the continued access to clean water and this data center will absolutely impact our ability to have that. Data centers of this scale are harmful and detrimental to any city. We do not want this.
2999	Thiessen	40214	We do not understand the environmental impact of installing huge data centers or impact of introducing so much heat to the Ohio River. With the rapid expansion of technology these data centers will be obsolete in just a few years but the impact will continue on much longer for this area.
3000	Martin	40272	We don't need any more Data Centers. We need more things to do with our family. We need more live in the community.
3001	Jackson	40213	Should not be built. Terrible for the economy.
3002	Cottrell	40223	No data's centers in Louisville
3003	Hellams	40245	I support the ban of hyper scale data centers. I also want to make sure that our water ways are protected and the use of water by data centers will not impact residents in any way, now and in the future.
3004	harris	40217	fuck a data center
3005	Key	40259	We do not want them they cause more harm than good.
3006	Hosse	40272	The public is united and adamant. We do not want any data centers. We dont mean more "regulations". We dont mean more "restrictions". We mean no data centers. Period.
3007	Calland	40208	I think this is detrimental to the community. It is harmful to humans and the environment. The further away these Data Centers are, the better-- at least. It's not just about industry and money-- real lives, real futures are affected by these Centers.
3008	Shepard	40241	No data centers in KY. They drain valuable water and power resources and increase pollution.
3009	Burton	40258	NOOOOOOOOOOOOOO. Thank you
3010	R	40223	I am fully opposed to data centers being built in Louisville or in the state of Kentucky. Data centers are being built to prop up AI but they provide no benefits to community members and neighborhoods nor the environment and local wildlife. Data centers put a strain on the power grid, they use up all of the clean drinkable water, and

			contribute to pollution (including noise pollution as they make constant disruptive noise 24/7). Data centers do not create jobs for the local economy. While there might be jobs for the construction of one data center, once built, a data center does not employ many people and therefore does nothing for our local workforce to justify the environmental impacts. If and when the AI bubble pops, what will be done with the data center once it has lost its value? Shouldn't we be trying to build affordable housing or community centers or green spaces instead of catering to out of town businesses that only want to profit off the current popularity of AI? For these reasons, and more, I am opposed to data centers being built in Louisville. Please listen to what the community actually wants and put a moratorium on the construction of these data centers.
3011	Thompson	40216	We think more research needs to be done as to longterm environmental and health concerns.
3012	Clines	40205	No! We do not want data centers in Kentucky. Bad for people and bad for the environment.
3013	Peck	40217	I am not supportive of allowing the creation of a data center in Louisville. It disproportionately impact West Louisvillians and preys on a vulnerable neighborhood by making it less attractive for residential and community investment. The broader-reaching impacts of the data center on citizens of Louisville are largely negative and there is too little data about their long term impacts to support such a substantial investment.
3014	Zenor	40215	Data centers should not be approved unless voted by the general public. Simple as that. This also leave people in IT out of jobs & the job market already is the worst it's ever been. So why would we make it worse? This also has proven to make the water worse where they currently are. Nothing about this is a good idea.
3015	Shepard	40241	No data centers! Preserve our water, land, and energy.
3016	Business	40216	Don't build it.
3017	Kuerzi	40241	All data centers should be banned from our county.
3018	Garrison	40217	WE DONT WANT ANY DATA CENTERS HERE. Please keep them out of our city.
3019	Devlin	40206	I will move out of Louisville
3020	Pickett	40208	As someone who has the whole world ahead of her... I don't want it ruined by data centers!! We need the greenery we have so little of. We need fresh water for the thousands of people here. We have plenty of opportunities . We DONT need data centers.
3021	Aikin	40218	I am vehemently opposed to AI data centers and the consequences they pose. We do not yet fully understand all of the downstream consequences of our increased usage in AI, but we have already seen the obscene volume of water consumption and pollution, the errors, the selfish motivation to replace human workers during an economic crisis, and more. Louisville boasts "the best drinking water" yet for anyone to consider the construction of data centers, knowing the drain on our environment, completely undermines that great quality. "River City" would become Undrinkable City. The damage would be widespread and potentially irreparable. Even looking outside Louisville, to the rural areas of Kentucky, for these data centers would cause ripples of harm to our land and to our people. Rather than discussing policies to try and limit these centers, let's avoid them altogether and return our focus inward to our state's needs. We need a healthy land with healthy people. People in positions of

			power are supposed to act in the people's best interest, so do the right thing and prevent data centers in Kentucky.
3022	Lee	40211	We are already going through enough in America but putting data centers messing up our health especially in lower privilege neighborhood is a bad choice no one deserves bad air qaulity !
3023	Hines	40208	Do not bring them to this city. They poison the residents and run up energy prices. We have enough issues as it is. Do you want to push it further down? Have some dignity and stand up for this city as it is your job to. Cities are for people, not corporations. Without us they wouldn't exist. Do what is right.
3024	Garrison	40217	I do not want data centers in Louisville.
3025	Diaz	40299	We already have 10x the data centers of any other country. They increase the temperature exacerbating climate change, increase electricity use we can't handle, contaminate the water, cause noise and light pollution affecting our citizens and nature. No more Data centers!! 5000 plus is enough. And we do not want all of our personal data and movements tracked.
3026	James	40243	Data centers are absolutely terrible for the environment, and the community. The amount of clean water they waste everyday is insanity. It's stealing from the community, and then the community has to pay for it! We should not be subsidizing their theft by paying their water and electric bills. There should be no data centers in Louisville. Or anywhere. At anytime. We need to do better for our environment so everyone can live well. We need to not waste so much clean water. We need healthy communities, not data centers.
3027	s	40207	I don't think that we need to subsidize businesses that plan to use up all our resources and polite our environment. the river is already struggling from major setbacks and failed or unenforced environmental regulations allowing businesses to absolutely destroy the watersheds like the slap on the wrist Ford got for massive urea spill into harrods Creek killing thousands of fish and causing the river to smell like rotten fish for days, or the distillery fire that killed millions of fish. or all of the plants absolutely ruining the environment in rubber town, these chemicals have absolutely caused cancer rates to skyrocket and life expectancy to be drastically reduced to citizens around the area. the last thing we need is heat pollution, sound pollution, water pollution, raising power and water rates for everyone.
3028	Walker	40014	I stand strongly opposed to building data centers.
3029	Deeb	40205	No on data centers unless the are internally powered and are net zero waste. We need time to do studies on impact. All data centers should be on pause
3030	Reddix	40203	Ban all data centers.
3031	James	40243	No data centers in Kentucky. These are a clear threat to public health and safety. Protect our neighbors, our communities, and our state. Do what is right. You cannot allow these structures to steal our water and energy.

			They are negatively impacting every area they are built. This is common sense. Nothing they can offer is worth it. Keep them out.
3032	Aster	40215	No one wants the data center here in louisville, kentucky, or anywhere. They pollute the water used to cool off their systems. There will be no accessible water left for people to use for anything. The centers will emit air pollution and noise pollution. Many people who have those centers nearby have complained about an emitting noise all throughout the day and night. Not conducive for mental health. It will cause more harm than good to have any data centers here.
3033	Miller	47130	I believe it's incredibly stupid to allow them to be built here. They drive up utility costs, waste drinking water, pollute the entire region, and many more awful things. They have been proven to not increase employment opportunities long term. With how the AI bubble is heading towards bursting it would be a complete waste of materials and temporary construction manpower to build them, too! Our tax dollars should be used on healthcare and schooling to actually benefit the community.
3034	Sharp	40272	They must supply the on energy. They shouldn't be on our grid
3035	Johnson	40272	Absolutely not. These have no reason near residential properties. We as the louisville people already have received an inflation of utilities due to the city continuously not thinking of the people. MSD is already a big issue, JCPS is already a big issue, roadways and construction for "improvement" is already a big issue. It is time that the elite start listening to the people! The relocation statistics due to these said issues is above more than 13% in a calendar year. These data centers will cause an increase in energy, decreases in property values, increase in cost to the people and increase in traffic that is already a literal safety issue. I do not support the proposed data centers for the greater louisville metro. Listen to your people. The world is already struggling in this economy and if you want to build up your community you have to stand behind it. Thank you.
3036	Tj	40220	None at all. Keep them away from the city
3037	Walker	40219	Data centers pollute the environment with noise, waste drinking water, overload the power grid and hike up prices on electric. Louisville is already a city full of impoverished and struggling people. I see it every single day in my work with the elderly who can barely afford to eat let alone take another bill increase. The noise pollution is well over legal limits in cities where data centers are present and the noise never stops, all hours of the day you are forced to hear it. They do not bring jobs to the economy. There is not a single pro to data centers that has been made apparent to me, and i can't imagine there's one that outweighs the cons. Why does America have so many more data centers than china? Is it a competition? This world already sucks and the last thing the people of Louisville need is to turn their tap on to nothing, play with their children to screeching background noises, skip meals to afford a power increase, face power black out periods due to grid overload and contribute to the worlds global warming issue. Louisville needs to stand against data centers, America as a whole needs to stand against data centers. We quite literally are building them for no purpose at this point.

3038	Jaggers	40258	I am opposed to the construction of large-scale data centers in my community because of their long-term impact on our quality of life, natural resources, and local infrastructure. While technological growth is important, it should not come at the expense of the people who already live here. Large data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water to power and cool their operations. This can place additional strain on local utilities, increase pressure on public infrastructure, and raise concerns about the sustainability of our community's resources, especially during periods of high demand or drought. These facilities can also generate constant noise from cooling equipment and backup generators, operate around the clock, and significantly alter the character of residential and rural areas. The industrial scale of these developments often conflicts with the existing landscape and may negatively affect nearby property values and residents' enjoyment of their homes. Additionally, while data centers are often promoted as economic development projects, they typically create relatively few permanent jobs compared to the amount of land, energy, and public incentives they require. Our community should prioritize development that provides broader economic benefits while preserving the environment and maintaining a high quality of life for current and future residents. I believe local leaders should carefully consider the cumulative impacts of these projects and ensure that any proposed development is transparent, environmentally responsible, and consistent with the values and priorities of the community. I support responsible growth, but I do not believe that large-scale data centers are the right fit for our community.
3039	Williams	40291	I believe data centers need to be heavily regulated in their footprint. No limitations on this only leads us down a path of degraded quality of living, while further damaging our city's housing situation. If we do not act now, there will be no space for us, human beings, to live.
3040	Bostock	40214	I do not think having a data center here will help anything! All it does is dirty our drinking water which we are known for. It is unethical it cause so much pollution and will damage our ecosystem!
3041	Nafziger	40207	No data centers in Louisville
3042	Jaggers	40258	I am opposed to the construction of large-scale data centers in my community because of their long-term impact on our quality of life, natural resources, and local infrastructure. While technological growth is important, it should not come at the expense of the people who already live here. Large data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water to power and cool their operations. This can place additional strain on local utilities, increase pressure on public infrastructure, and raise concerns about the sustainability of our community's resources, especially during periods of high demand or drought. These facilities can also generate constant noise from cooling equipment and backup generators, operate around the clock, and significantly alter the character of residential and rural areas. The industrial scale of these

			developments often conflicts with the existing landscape and may negatively affect nearby property values and residents' enjoyment of their homes. Additionally, while data centers are often promoted as economic development projects, they typically create relatively few permanent jobs compared to the amount of land, energy, and public incentives they require. Our community should prioritize development that provides broader economic benefits while preserving the environment and maintaining a high quality of life for current and future residents. I believe local leaders should carefully consider the cumulative impacts of these projects and ensure that any proposed development is transparent, environmentally responsible, and consistent with the values and priorities of the community. I support responsible growth, but I do not believe that large-scale data centers are the right fit for our community.
3043	Cashmore	40219	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. PLEASE LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE
3044	Coble	40213	No data centers. We have enough.
3045	Morris	40219	I do not support the building of data centers in the city.
3046	Guyer	40299	Don't build it. We have no need for the kind of strain it would put on our energy grid or the pollution it will bring.
3047	Coulon	40219	Fuck data centers hoe
3048	HUTCHINSON	40220	The plan seems to be a good one. Limiting the size and where these centers can be located is an excellent idea. Also, please protect consumers from rising electrical and water costs as a result of all this. Protect us from the noise and chemical pollution as well. We don't know what to do with our waste as it is. Do we really need all these centers? Technology develops something new and everyone jumps on the bandwagon then five years later this new idea is obsolete. Then we are stuck with a bunch of abandoned buildings. Yes, the companies promise jobs. Probably not that many since so many tasks can be done by AI. Also, we should not be giving up huge swaths of land so we can pour concrete. We have enough of that already. Slow this down!
3049	Norris	40216	Data centers are harmful to the environment, people and animals that live near them. The people building them won't be around in 50 years to see the harm they've caused. Stop building data centers. We don't want them!
3050	Bryant	40258	This is a terrible idea. Absolutely not.
3051	Turner	40245	I do not agree with putting a data center within city limits.
3052	Welch	40218	I would like to keep our clean water and I do not want to see anymore of our once beautiful city die and get run down.

3053	Strong	40218	We don't need data centers!!!! It will ruin the earth, we won't have any water, and natural disasters are going to happen more often. People wanting AI is going to end our children's future. Please do not build ANY data centers!
3054	Anderson	40218	Make it better
3055	Brown	40212	Nothing that uses more fresh water than an animal or human shall be built and put into a city. This is a sad cry for any politician or government official thinking it would be a good idea and shall remove themselves from office and claiming they are any part of for the community
3056	Ferrell	40220	These regulations need to be in effect NOW::500,000 is too big. These people who profit pay for their own utility development at least 10 miles from a developed area; they do NOT have access to our already aging infrastructure and limited supplies; THEY are first to lose power and water when demand exceeds supply; the company must install noise reduction and pay medical bills for people suffering from noise pollution.....stop this atrocity from leaching our resources and quality of life for their profit.
3057	Sherrard	40228	Please no data center in our area. The bad far outweigh the good.
3058	Gibbons	40243	We don't want them here. No.
3059	mattingly	40272	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY!!
3060	Wise	40242	Against data centers.
3061	Thomasson	40299	An additional requirement should be added that requires data centers to use alternative methods of cooling other than water-based.
3062	Piotrowski	40243	Data centers cause disruptions to necessary ecosystems. Not only do they pollute the water they also pollute with noise. Constant sound being emitted trying to cool the servers forces animals out of the area and has been known to have lasting effects on the people who live around consistent noise pollution. It is not healthy for our city.
3063	Houston	40299	I oppose this. There is environmental factors that have proved that data centers are bad for the area and homes. This is not needed in Louisville.
3064	Singleton	40220	I don't believe there should be a data center in Louisville as we have much bigger and important issues to improve, update, and fix! Adding a data center would cause irreversible damage that we will not be able to come back from.
3065	b	40219	if they harm wildlife to the point of birth defects and development issues, imagine what they'll do to us
3066	Jackman	40228	I do not think we should have these data centers due to how much water they take to run them. We do not deserve to wonder and potentially struggle ourselves.
3067	King	40258	We do NOT want a Data center in Louisville or Anywhere. We all know Data centers will use a tremendous amount of water that does not get recycled. And the amount of electricity that will be used for these things will be very high. And Jefferson county residents will have to pay for this. The noise and electromagnetic field from these centers are dangerous and we the people do not need to be under surveillance.

3068	Sutherland	40165	I believe data centers should never be in the state of Kentucky. They're going to drain our waterways to nothing for future generations. They'll affect everyone around them along with the pollution they're going to add to destroying our ozone layer and wildlife. They'll affect the health of everyone around them. Myb belief is anyone who supports them is inhumane.
3069	Mayes	40212	Data center should not exist in Louisville Kentucky or any place in Kentucky or any place in the world. They're already polluting our water and causing stress on all the people around them. I vote no to Data centers anywhere in the United States
3070	Wilder	40229	We do not need any data centers. The concern is about the communities it will affect along with wild life
3071	Johnson	40272	Data centers are harmful. We don't want this. Put the environment first for once and please don't bring these eye soars to Louisville, Ky. AI is HORRID.
3072	boone	40218	I do not want to have them in our city. I do not want to have our electric bills increase when the cost of living is already outrageous.
3073	Porter	40299	The data centers will make my life unbearable and non affordable please don't allow this
3074	Walter	40202	this is absolutely ridiculous and a waste of kentucky's beautiful land. these things destroy our environment, air quality, water quality and overall are unethical. these bring no jobs or opportunities to our area they're just big cooling/storing centers for your useless AI. bring in things that would benefit this state not destroy it. it terrorizes houses that are near it with sound and light pollution and stress out wildlife and humans. Imagine a newborn growing up with the constant humming of these centers 24/7 in their ears. that is not good for brain development. i'm not asking you to please reconsider putting one in but i am saying don't. if you care about this state and the people that reside here.
3075	F	40014	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY.
3076	Grady	40205	Please do not build any data centers. There is no certain return on investment, and the amount of energy these centers leach from the community will compromise every household, both financially and practically when there are power outages/shortages. Building data centers represent a rash and irresponsible move toward civic degradation.
3077	Johnson	40203	Data Centers no matter the company, size, or scale will have a very negative impact on the quality of life for the citizens of Louisville. Some of these negative effects include: Noise Pollution - as numerous videos from all of the country of people that live near data centers dealing with a

			constant humming sound that emits from data centers that can't be quieted even with all doors and windows in the residence closed. Air pollution - Some data centers are building secret power plants on the land where they sit, which use smokestacks which will send harmful emissions much further than the estimated area of effect of data centers. Pollution of water - people that live near data centers have shown evidence of cloudy water, sediment coming out the faucets, and very low water pressure when trying to use water of their sinks, showers, washing machines etc... Better uses for land - some of the proposed square footage for data centers is absurd considering what that land could be used for. Hospitals, Schools, Housing, & parks. Overall Data centers cause harm to the environment much further than the immediate area around them, aren't good uses of land, have a minuscule job creation considering their size. If you want Louisville to be a city that grows and thrives start by protecting the environment & the people around her by saying no to data centers.
3078	Wolthoff	40010	Absolutely not. If you want data centers, be creative like other countries where it wont completely destroy the lives of every/everything around them. No Data Centers.
3079	Smith	40215	DONT DO IT
3080	Gillette	40206	I do not think the data center should be built. It would be detrimental to the greater Louisville area
3081	Montross	40208	Data centers should not be allowed, there is not enough research into the benefits that data centers bring. We do have enough research and testimonies from people across the country about the detriments
3082	Saxton	40204	No data centers in Jefferson county
3083	Schroering	40299	I am writing to express my vehement opposition to the proposed hyperscale data center projects currently targeting our area, including the developments on Camp Ground Road. While I understand the technological and economic drivers behind the artificial intelligence and crypto boom, the burden these massive facilities place on our community far outweighs any promised benefits. I am strongly opposed to this development for the following reasons: • Rising Utility Costs: Data centers are voracious energy users. I strongly oppose any plans that would pass the costs of massive grid upgrades, new power generation, or infrastructure expansion onto everyday residents and working families. • Lack of Transparency: Approvals for these projects have historically lacked public transparency. We need open, honest communication with the public before any more permits are rubber-stamped.

			• Environmental and Community Impact: These facilities require immense amounts of water and risk severe noise and light pollution for surrounding neighborhoods. We need stringent, enforceable regulations to protect our local environment. • Disproportionate Land Use: Data centers consume large tracts of land while offering relatively few permanent, long-term jobs compared to their massive footprint. Until we have strict, legally binding protections in place that guarantee no rate hikes for existing utility customers and require complete developer accountability, I urge you to halt the approval of any new hyperscale data centers in Jeffersontown and the wider Louisville area.
3084	Carpenter	40206	WE DO NOT NEED DATA CENTERS. THEY ARE TAKING PEOPLE'S CLEAN WATER. WE DO NOT WANT THEM.
3085	Burlison	40229	No to data centers
3086	Crutcher	40272	There shouldn't be any. It is killing the Earth, plain and simple.
3087	Nail	40216	Data Centers can provide net benefits to the community. They bring jobs, tax revenue, and increases to connectivity for the community. LG&E and Louisville Water Company can work with the Data Center Developers to agree that a % of the project will be to provide their own upgrades to infrastructure without passing the costs on to residents. Tax revenue generated from this project can/should be used to off set rate increases. Louisville City Council, Mayoral Office, and State Officials should work with the developers to bring these projects to the state. We should look for these companies to take over brownfield locations to utilize power capacities that have been left un-used with industries moving out. This is a wonderful opportunity to increase investment in our community while creating trusts between these companies and the residents in the surrounding areas.
3088	Punkari	40204	The city needs to put a 3 year moratorium on any new data centers. There's far too many being pushed through without any meaningful input from the community. The data center on Barrett Ave is a nuisance to the local community. The constant buzzing noise is awful. Our LG&E bill and water bill have both increased since it's opening.
3089	R	40214	While I understand today's world- so much runs on ai- every simple google search is Ai. But the affects of the people that live nearby, animals, vegetation, the water it consumes, the space it takes up. I disapprove of the data centers in Louisville or Kentucky as a whole, honestly. Any why do we need one? For the money it brings - or what is the goal for the city? Those are my questions and comments.
3090	K	40214	I think it's devastating what it would Do to our already struggling city
3091	McLellan	40217	WE DON'T NEED DATA CENTERS. FULL STOP.
3092	Fowler	40219	Data centers ruin lives and provide minimal employment. They take more than they give.
3093	I	40217	data centers need to be banned. they destroy the environment, increase utility costs for people and are not worth the couple of jobs they create.

3094	Graetz	40242	No thank you to it - we have such a beautiful place as it is, and data centers waste so much space and so many resources.
3095	Costelle	40299	I believe we need stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The health and well-being of Louisvillians and our homes depend on how we decide to allow these companies to use our resources and land. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: Lower the minimum size cap. 500,000 square feet is too large of a footprint and too much draw on our resources. Mandate health impact assessments. This is non-negotiable to protect the health of Louisville residents. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. We need to hold data centers to a high standard and one that does not compromise the health of our home. Add anti-circumvention protections to prevent companies from breaking projects into smaller projects or bypassing public hearings. Require public hearings for ALL proposed data centers. Mandate public disclosure of all water consumption. Establish enforceable penalties for violations of the LDC standards. Thank you
3096	Fugett	40211	It doesn't need to be built here it's going to kill us all
3097	Wink	40242	Get rid of them.
3098	Daugherty	40219	While I can understand the capitalistic and select true aid/need of technological advancement, but ai/data centers are not the correct road. Dirty water supply for the community, awful noise pollution in the area, upped costs of electricity and water - all reported and correctly so across the country so far. It's not worth the struggle and the pain of the living creatures, humans heavily included, its not worth the money that will be used and continue to cost. It's ugly inside and out, please consider limiting ANY data center activity in our home. Make good, community representation choices. All we can do is trust you while in office.
3099	cissell	40215	- Development should not be on any floodplain, wetland, farmland, where threatened, endangered species or critical habitat would be affected. It should be constructed on already impervious surfaces. - Who will monitor the center during construction to ensure regulations are being met? - There needs to be stricter generator regulations. How will the generators be regulated? Who will ensure

			battery and hydrogen fuel technologies are safe for use near communities and wildlife? - How will the direction of the waste heat be controlled? There needs to be an independent study done ahead of approval to address the waste heats impact on human and environmental health. - There needs to be stricter fire protections to prevent a data center fire impacting surrounding areas. There needs to be transparency about the chemicals that will be used in "clean agent" systems. There also needs to be an independent study done to assess Louisville Fire Dept capability to control a fire by a data center, do they have the resources? - There needs to be limits for energy and water use, water and air pollution. Furthermore, the data center needs to absorb the increased costs for energy and water use. Written verification from providers of utility services should include assurance that serving the data center will not have current or future adverse effects on other customers, including service availability, reliability, quality, cost, and safety. Applicant-funded upgrades should be required to be in place before operation. Cooling and water restrictions. - The use of closed-loop cooling systems should be required rather than merely encouraged. - The will serve letters from LG&E, Louisville Water and MSD should be from those companies and not "other acceptable documentation (what would be acceptable) and the companies need to ensure they do not need to build new plants or completely change the infrastructure to accommodate the data center needs. - There should be no exemption to owner disclosure. - At least 75% of the workforce should be Louisville Metro residents. - Where does the 65 dBA limit come from? What are the control standards for vibrations and infrasound? Who will monitor sound and regulate compliance? What will the penalty be for the data center violating any of the regulations. - Where did the 500,000 sq foot limit come from? This is way too large. - There needs to be an energy cap. - how often will the data centers be monitored to ensure they are meeting regulations? What are the penalties if they violate any? - All of the regulations must be applied to the Poe data center, it should not be grandfathered in.

3100	Otten	40216	I want no public money going towards the data center. And no tax abatement and no waivers for environmental damage from any data centers. And no increase in local electricity bills and water bills attributable to any data centers.
3101	Fihe	40212	I'm an electrician who knows the impact these data centers put on communities. I fear for what is to come from all of them arising across the country.
3102	U	40258	No. We should not have any data centers due to its risk on the environment, health, and increasing bills that people can barely afford now. Don't let greed ruin the future for your kids and grandkids
3103	Roush	40229	Given the research and current conditions AI Data centers have caused, I find it completely irresponsible to place one here in our city. AI data centers are causing clear and irreparable environmental damage which is causing harm to citizens all over America. I want a country, a state, and a city I can call home and raise my children in. Louisville will only go downhill if data centers are continued to be placed.
3104	Lorimer	40241	Do not build a data center. It is harmful.
3105	Flippers	40219	No data centers. Should be highly regulated
3106	Martin	40213	No Data Centers in Louisville! None!
3107	Jackson	40216	We've made it this far without them. Quit profiting off of us and taking the land at once.
3108	Foreman	40241	No, I don't think any data centers are good at all! Many do not want them here, I'd say the MAJORITY DOES NOT WANT THEM HERE! BAD FOR OUR FUTURE, OUR KIDS FUTURE!
3109	Hall	40215	Louisville doesn't want nor need a data center. We have the best tap water in the country and a data center will ruin that along with the many many other things in this city.
3110	Hampton	40272	They're terrible for the environment. We're already over populated and don't have enough house. Save our land for our farmers and family to grow up on
3111	Shariatmadari	40205	I'm a immunotherapy person. This will hurt my heath.
3112	Belvoix	40228	We DO NOT want any data centers in Louisville, let alone in the state of Kentucky. There are linked negative effects to the quality of water, amount of available water, availability of electricity, price of electricity, impacts on the land around the data center buildings, and more. AI use is declining as people are understanding its implications on the environment, and the limitations it has in retrospect to the endless life that the environment has to offer us. We DO NOT want AI. We DO NOT want data centers. We DO want our city to stay as it is. We already have issues in Louisville that are worsening such as crime, rising costs of living, etc. that are not being addressed, and we do not need to add anything else of concern to the state like this on that list. I'd rather resolve some of the current issues and fix what exists than bring new things in that disrupt the ecosystem and culture of our city and state. In summary: WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE, KY

3113	Nupp	40216	Must have solar panels covering 90% of roofs and in functioning order, must not harm wildlife, must handle costs of water and electric usage completely on their own. No government tax breaks, or incentives. Must not cause any disruptions to livelihood, energy usage and water usage in neighboring neighborhoods.
3114	Beyant	40203	I do not believe there is any need for a data center anywhere but I am especially concerned about the one currently planned for Louisville & the others I am sure have yet to be shared with the public. The evidence is clear from other data centers in existence — the strain on resources such as water & electricity, the harm to wildlife and humans through not only construction but noise & lights. There is no benefit at all to the citizens of Louisville with the construction and operation of a data center. If a data center is constructed in Louisville, I will move.
3115	Burgin	40213	I am against allowing data centers any and everywhere they are not only a nuisance to the community, but I believe they are going to be a nuisance to the entire country with how much noise pollution and the amount of water they need for their cooling systems
3116	Guerrero	40213	I am concerned about bringing a data center into our community because of the high electricity and water usage, increased noise from cooling systems and backup generators, and the added traffic during construction and maintenance. I also worry that the jobs created may be limited compared to the amount of land and resources the facility uses. I believe any proposed data center should be carefully evaluated to ensure it does not negatively impact nearby neighborhoods, property values, or the environment.
3117	Belvoix	40228	We do not want data centers in our state, they are problematic and the cons of their presences far outweigh the pros. We need to keep a strong agriculture and environment to ensure the quality of food, water, and electricity, and other factors stay accessible and are clean. AI use is declining, and so should data centers, we do not want them.
3118	porter	40211	Please do not bring data centers here to my city we need our clean water don't ruin Louisville reputation with having the best tap water we will have barely to none if you bring a data center here thank you!
3119	Garnett-Civils	40299	Data centers shouldn't be allowed. Data centers create light and noise pollution, increase utility cost and use our water resources.
3120	Owens	40222	Do not bring them here
3121	Powell	40272	I do NOT support data centers of any kind in the Louisville metro area. I do NOT want to pay higher utility bills, and do not like the noise these centers generate.
3122	Embry	40212	NO DATA CENTERS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Save our land, save our communities, save our air, Save our water, save our EARTH!
3123	Ten Napel	40203	I do not support data centers in the greater Louisville area. The environmental impact as well as the negative effects for those who live nearby heavily outweigh any potential benefits (of which I have yet to see any). Please do not move forward with these data centers in our community.
3124	Neil	40211	No Data Centers in Louisville, particularly the West End. Electricity already costs a lot and I think Louisville deserves breathable air and quiet days.

3125	Sprigg	40299	I am against the building of data centers near my home due to the effects it will have on my families health living in such close proximity. Data centers ruin our resources through pollution.
3126	Shacklette	40047	Reasons to ban data centers 1. Protect Water Resources Many data centers require substantial amounts of water for cooling systems, potentially placing additional strain on local water supplies during periods of drought or increased demand. 2. Preserve Electrical Grid Capacity Large data centers can consume as much electricity as tens of thousands of homes. Limiting new facilities helps preserve grid reliability for residents, schools, hospitals, and local businesses while reducing the need for costly infrastructure upgrades. 3. Reduce Environmental Impacts Data centers may contribute to increased greenhouse gas emissions when powered by fossil-fuel-based electricity. Construction can also result in habitat loss, increased stormwater runoff, and reduced green space. 4. Minimize Community Impacts Residents have expressed concerns regarding: * Constant mechanical noise from cooling equipment. * Visual impacts from large industrial buildings. * Increased construction traffic and road wear. * Limited permanent employment opportunities relative to the size of the developments. 5. Encourage Alternative Economic Development Land and utility capacity should be prioritized for businesses that create a greater number of long-term local jobs, support small businesses, and diversify the local economy.
3127	Streble	40205	We do not need more data centers which take up our limited water supplies and create 24/7 noise and light pollution. Not anywhere, but especially not in a city which already has such a small tree canopy and horrible heat dome.
3128	Purcell	40070	BAN THEM ALL
3129	C	40299	These centers have sprung up seemingly from nowhere, seemingly unregulated, with a myriad of questions - who is financing them? How was the land acquired? Who is collecting what data? What is the purpose and use of this data? Who is responsible for the inevitable data leak that will happen, because it always happens? On a separate but equal note, many of these same questions apply to the Flock cameras sprouting everywhere. There was no vote taken, no consensus reached - who authorized these? Not to be overly dramatic but this is unAmerican, surveillance and data collection without clarity and representation echoes taxation without representation, and we know where that lead us.

3130	Hagan	40220	I'm am very disturbed that the planning board okayed the current hyperscale data center. I don't understand how anyone on the board could have thought that a data center if that size would be good for our community. With all of the information known about the terrible impact on people, animals and the environment how could you think this would be good for Louisville? Hyperscale Data Centers should not be built in any community! I think the planning commission should rethink what your role is in our community. The planning should involve what is good for the city not just what developers wants to do.
3131	Purcell	40070	BAN THEM
3132	Holland	40220	I am very against any data centers being built, and I fully support a ban on hyperscale data centers. Allowing data centers will only make Louisville a worse place to live. Data centers are an unnecessary drain on resources, especially water and electricity. Louisville Water has always been something our city could be proud of. I want that water to continue to be available to the people living here, and not depleted by a massive and unwanted facility. The extreme electricity usage drives up the prices for electricity for regular people, even though we want nothing to do with data centers. If we do not have a guarantee that costs will not be passed to customers, then we would be punished financially for being customers. That is in addition to being punished for living in Louisville and being forced to just "get used to" the worse conditions caused by a data center. We can already see the negative impacts in other locations that have built data centers. Increased air pollution, which leads to a rise in respiratory issues for the people forced to breathe this dirty air. Louisville is already one of the worst cities for allergies, we should be trying to improve the air quality, not actively making it worse. Data centers are extremely loud, with the noise running constantly, there would be no escape for the people living near the data center. Data centers claim to create jobs, but this is not reality. There would be construction jobs when it is being built, but those would only last a couple years, and we don't even know that those jobs would go to locals, as trade professionals can be brought in from other states. Once the data center would start operating, there would be very minimal jobs required to keep it running. Overall, data centers have no redeeming qualities. They make everything around them worse. Louisville does not want data centers, this is a position I have seen people of all political ideologies take. I hope anyone in a position to make decisions regarding this truly thinks about what they would be doing to our city and our people. Allowing hyperscale data centers to be built in Louisville would be an absolute betrayal. You have the opportunity to ban hyperscale data centers, please take it.
3133	Sanford	40214	I don't want a data center anyway near me. I don't want my water source contaminated and my ecosystem destroyed. Data centers bring nothing but scams and unnecessary damage. It's taking away jobs, creativity, and credibility for actual human beings. We need to use our brains and not just technology for our problems. I don't

			want a canter because we barely have any space for people now, I don't want my taxes to raise because of a useless government spending money hungry corporations. We need cleaner waters
3134	Schwartzlose	40217	I oppose building a large data center in our town because I believe the burdens on our community outweigh the promised benefits. First, data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water. As artificial intelligence and cloud computing continue to grow, these facilities require increasingly large amounts of energy to operate and to keep servers cool. That places additional strain on local utilities and can contribute to higher costs for residents and businesses. Water consumption is also a serious concern, particularly during periods of drought or when communities are already working to conserve resources. Second, data centers often create far fewer permanent jobs than many people expect. While construction generates temporary employment, modern facilities are highly automated and typically employ only a relatively small number of full-time workers once operational. Our community should carefully ask whether sacrificing land, infrastructure, and quality of life is justified by such limited long-term employment. Third, these facilities can permanently alter the character of a community. Large industrial buildings, backup generators, transmission infrastructure, increased truck traffic during construction, and constant mechanical noise can affect nearby neighborhoods and reduce the rural or residential qualities that residents value. Once such development occurs, it is difficult to reverse. Finally, I believe local government has a responsibility to protect the long-term interests of its citizens. Economic development should strengthen a community, not simply occupy it. Investments that create diverse employment, support local businesses, preserve natural resources, and enhance the quality of life for families deserve greater priority than projects whose primary beneficiaries may be corporations located elsewhere. Progress is important, and technology plays a vital role in modern society. But progress should not come at the expense of the people who already call this town home. We should pursue development that respects our environment, reflects our community's values, and leaves future generations with a town that is healthier, more beautiful, and more livable than the one we inherited.
3135	Mack	40258	No we don't need it's terrible for the environment
3136	Aubrey	40220	Data centers are not good for anyone! They are bad for the environment, the animals, and people. They will use all of our natural resources too.
3137	Polk	40272	We need safe air , and clean water.
3138	M	40214	These are my concerns and reasons for opposing the expansion of hyperscale data centers in Louisville and surrounding communities. While I recognize that technology investments may bring temporary economic opportunities, these projects must be approached with strict oversight, enforceable regulations, and careful consideration of their long-term impact on residents. If possible, I support a complete ban on new hyperscale

			data-center development. Our community did not ask for these facilities, and we should not be expected to absorb their costs. Large-scale data centers are not ordinary developments. They require enormous amounts of electricity, water, land, and public infrastructure. Without strong safeguards, the consequences can be shifted onto local residents through higher utility bills, increased strain on the power grid, environmental damage, and a reduced quality of life for nearby communities. Before approving any future hyperscale data-center project, I urge city leaders to require full transparency from developers regarding projected electricity demand, water consumption, environmental impacts, generator emissions, noise levels, tax incentives, and long-term plans. Developers must also be required to pay 100 percent of the cost of any necessary infrastructure upgrades, including power, water, roads, sewer systems, and emergency services. This should not be open for debate. Taxpayers and local residents should not subsidize billion-dollar corporations. The city should also require independent environmental and public-health assessments before approval, along with strong protections for residential neighborhoods, green spaces, and communities already carrying environmental burdens. We have seen the harm that poorly regulated data-center development can cause in other parts of the country. Louisville and the surrounding region should not be exposed to preventable damage. Water use is a major concern. In Iowa, one Google data-center facility reportedly used approximately one billion gallons of water in 2024, an amount described as enough to supply all Iowa households for roughly five days. Nationally, data centers used an estimated 17.4 billion gallons of water directly for cooling in 2023, and that demand is projected to rise sharply as artificial-intelligence infrastructure expands. Louisville must protect its drinking water and the Ohio River. Private corporations should not be allowed to consume or reserve significant public water capacity without strict limits, full disclosure, and accountability. Noise is also not a minor inconvenience. Cooling systems, transformers, substations, and generator testing can create persistent, low-frequency industrial noise. Community materials prepared for local governments cite cooling-system noise ranging from 55 to 85 decibels, while diesel generators can reach approximately 110 decibels. For nearby residents, this can mean disrupted sleep, reduced use of outdoor spaces, and a permanent change to the character of a neighborhood or rural area. Other communities are already confronting grid stress and rising costs associated with rapid data-center growth. In the PJM power region, which includes parts of Kentucky's broader electricity market, extreme demand and transmission constraints have contributed to escalating capacity costs. During a July 2026 heat wave, PJM reportedly paid as much as \$28,000 per megawatt to balance supply and demand, more than 100 times the average cost. Northern Virginia's rapid data-center expansion has also been identified as a contributor to

			transmission congestion and grid pressure. Economic development should not come at the expense of residents' health, financial security, clean water, air quality, or quality of life. Louisville has an opportunity to set a responsible standard by ensuring that technology growth benefits the entire community, not only corporations seeking affordable land, water, and electricity. I ask city leaders to prioritize the voices of residents and either implement strict, enforceable protections before approving additional hyperscale data centers or pursue a complete ban on new development. Once these facilities are built, their impacts can last for decades. Our neighborhoods, city, and state should not be forced to carry the burden of projects we never asked for.
3139	Bates	40229	I am completely against data centers coming t to Kentucky especially to Louisville. Our health as a community has been proven to decline due to these centers. Our water will be ruined. I think they should ban all the data centers! AI IS RUINING OUR ENVIRONMENT!
3140	Powers	40242	1. Max square footage of 500,000 per the draft regulation is excessive. The 400 West Market Street building (formerly known as Aegon center) is 633,000 square feet. Why does a data center need to be 500,000 square feet? It doesn't. Cut all square footage cited for all zone classes and categories by 50% - eg max is no more than 250,000. 2. In addition to paying the prevailing wage to workers on construction of the data center, there shall be a minimum of 1 employee per 200 (two hundred) square feet of any and each unique data center. 3. All employees of the data center must be paid the prevailing wage 4. No fewer than 90 percent of the employees of the data center must reside within the zip codes of Louisville Metro. 5. All employees of the data center must be on shore citizens of the US (no offshoring to other countries). 6. All data centers must carbon offset their electric utility usage by no less than 90 percent on a rolling 6 month basis.
3141	Martin	40258	We don't want any data centers in Louisville!!
3142	Perkins	40206	Please ban all further data centers. They pose too many known and unknown hazards and risks, such as threats to our water, health, wildlife, and energy grid. Let's wait 5-10 years, and learn more about what kinds of neighbors data centers are in other communities. Historically, acting out of the fear of missing out in historic changes/developments in America, such as investing in the early railroad development, have not ended well. The early railroad bubble burst, diminishing fortunes across the country. It was a wonderful development in transportation, but over-investment led to many financial losses. The first ai bubble is already bursting, and many corporate promises have fallen flat. Let's act with level heads, take our time to understand the risks, and protect what we have by banning future data centers.
3143	Dobbs	40204	No data centers anywhere in Louisville or in surrounding areas.

3144	Navalta	47172	I don't think data centers whether mass scale or not should be built, even in the Kentuckiana area. We have ecosystems that are developed here that would be negatively impacted by data centers that we are trying so hard to preserve.
3145	Houpt	40214	I am NOT supportive of these data centers in our city. The residents have already given so many reasons why. Cost of energy going up. Use of water from the Ohio. Not many long term jobs created. No rules in place for when these large centers start to deteriorate the natural resources. We dont need these centers in our city.
3146	Hawkins	40213	I do not think this is a good idea. I think we should weight the benefit and risk to the community. So much space being take. Up by a data center when the community could use something that actually provides benefits to the area I disagree with this!!
3147	Martin	40258	I don't want more data centers anywhere near Louisville, if they add more I will move away.
3148	Miranda	40218	NO data centers in Louisville!! We already pay enough for water, and we need to be preserving our resources and environment. They also lower property values. NO thank you!
3149	Dewitt	40165	We do not need it
3150	Johnson	40213	I strongly believe that the benefit of data centers in Louisville will provide profit to a select few and result in negative externalities to actual residents.
3151	Vaught	40216	Do not do this. Consumers are straying away from unneeded AI products—it will not be a flashy new technology for people to jump on and profit from soon. Rubbertown residents have been through enough and there is a plethora of data to suggest their health suffers compared to other zip codes, why add to it? Noise, water, and air pollution will be increased. Electric and water bills have already been raised to subsidize this move, I cannot imagine the quick turnaround in a few years when yet another raise is needed to help this center turn a profit. Good luck explaining that to constituents. While on the subject of voters, they have to power to remove those in power who agree to this. It has been done before in other cities, and the people of Louisville are strong enough to do it here too. We know the consequences and we do not want any data center in anyone's backyard. Put these resources and this energy into community building (rec areas/third party spaces, schools, feeding people, etc). We could use the love there, not some big tech company's pockets.
3152	Doe	40216	The center should not affect utility bills such as Lg&E, and Water. Or cause any air or water pollution.
3153	Bailey	40228	No data centers!!!
3154	Bale	40214	I do not support data centers in any form.
3155	Brown	40241	Data centers are a waste of space, waste of resources, create little to no new jobs, and are being built to store flock camera and ALPR camera data. Stop building them. Stop the surveillance state.
3156	Robbins	40291	From what I read the proposal sounds like a good idea. I don't want to have to foot the bill for any consequences from these useless places. Destroy our towns while using our tax paid for utilities and infrastructure so you can collect our data that you are making free money off of. I'd rather they not be built at all.
3157	kaleher	40217	waste of energy. obnoxious to live near.

3158	Harper	40217	We should be particularly concerned for those industries that would come into our state and take advantage of our resources at significant cost for a very weak promise of a small handful of jobs and an entry point into a joke of technology. AI is hardly a proven technology and anyone selling the idea that it's the next big thing is pitching hopes and dreams - not promises. Data centers aren't new, but the ravenous effort the tech industry has made to expand and spread them through the country is alarming. It's a race to the top for them, while they bury us all beneath their waste and biproduct of noise, pollution, and energy consumption. I am fully against the use of state and city resources and land for the benefit of massive corporations that will happily leave everyone in ruin for a fast dollar.
3159	Geary	40258	I do not support bringing new hyperscale data centers to Louisville. While they may create some construction jobs, they often provide relatively few long term jobs compared to the amount of land, electricity, and water they consume. I am concerned that these facilities could place additional strain on our power grid and infrastructure, potentially increasing costs for residents and businesses. I also believe Louisville should prioritize development that creates more permanent employment opportunities while protecting our neighborhoods, natural resources, and quality of life. If data centers are approved, they should be limited to industrial areas and developers should be fully responsible for any infrastructure or utility upgrades without passing costs on to taxpayers or utility customers.
3160	M	40213	We are already suffering high energy costs and cannot afford an increase, let alone to shoulder the massive costs of data centers. I do not morally support AI usage and data centers have been proven to be detrimental to their communities because of how carelessly they are constructed. The only acceptable construction is sustainably built with long-term environmental impacts in mind, minimizes all forms of pollution, and the company not only shouldering all costs of their energy and water usage, but actively contributing tax benefits to our community. Otherwise, the cost is too high for our population to bear.
3161	Cecil	40272	We don't want them.
3162	Bradberry	40212	NO DATA CENTERS!!!!
3163	Covington	40205	Please do not allow anymore data centers in Louisville. They are harmful tonnage environment and humans. Please place restrictions on data centers current and don't allow for anymore
3164	Wooten	40206	Data centers are not compatible with residential life. They disrupt both humans and nature for little benefit. Please consider the effects and ban data centers from being built
3165	Hall	40118	I do not wish to have any data centers in the city or surrounding areas!
3166	andrus	40219	My thought is whoever votes for the building of a data center should be voted out of any office
3167	White	40229	No to data centers!
3168	Clark	40245	No data centers. They're bad for the environment, consume too much energy, and are ugly. They don't even contribute to getting people jobs. Again, no data centers in Louisville.
3169	preschelle	40216	fuck date centers do not put one here

3170	Pitts	40205	-500,000 sq.ft. is incredibly large and would have serious environmental repercussions. This cap needs to be reduced and environmental case studies need to be done to determine the impacts regardless of size. It would be irresponsible not to perform due diligence. Data centers in Louisville need to be held to a higher standard given the health issues already tied to our community and history. Sustainability should be key in constructing any facility and it should make as little impact possible. The code must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking large projects into smaller parcels to create the appearance of smaller centers or to get around public hearings about size concerns. Ethics need to be of utmost importance in these proceedings. The community should not suffer because of dollar signs tied to the projects.
3171	Patterson	40204	I do not want data centers in Louisville.
3172	Spratt	40215	There ain't no place in Louisville for any data center. We don't need that type of stuff here in our city. We don't want it! keep it out!
3173	Ward	40177	With the impact that these data centers will have on the communities where they are located, any government entity with authority to regulate their creation and use has a grave responsibility to the community to insure that these centers have the least impact on the communities where they are located. Metro government must ensure that development of these regulations and the enforcement of them are done through a transparent process. Why the government would support the creation of these vast centers that have the capacity to negatively impact the population that the government has sworn to protect and serve is beyond my understanding. The government has the duty to insure that the power used by these centers does not in any way impact the cost and availability of energy to the citizens in the areas in which they are located as well as not have an impact on the environmental quality of the air through increased coal plant usage. These centers should also have no environmental impact on the water supply by impacting the water supply by pollution or endangering water life through the discharge of hot water into the river. Only the government has the ability to protect us citizens from these behemoths and should follow that mandate. If these centers were going to be a big boost for all of Louisville, it would be understandable why the city would want these. There is nothing that I have heard that explains to me why we would want them in our city while places all over the country, including Oldham County, are fighting hard to keep them out.
3174	wright	40241	horrible idea. do NOT do it.
3175	Roberts	40215	Do not build the data centers in the south end we need more things for community engagement and a data center is not it.
3176	Cofield	40299	We don't need any data centers anywhere in Kentucky. It's doing more damage than good.
3177	Runyon	40291	We want them banned completely. They poison water, wreck the electric grid, and cause severe noise pollution. They should not be allowed in an urban area. If they are going to be built, put them in the middle of Alaska or the desert where no one lives, and the soil isn't used for farmland. They should not be allowed anywhere people live, use water, or that is good for farmland. Anything short of that is a betrayal of the public. No good will come from them for anyone except a few tech CEO's.

3178	Thompson	47167	Louisville is my hometown and I work in Louisville in community development. Data center impact is not fully vetted, but early indications are not beneficial to neighborhood life and vitality. Historic patterns of negative impact on minority and disadvantaged areas are being repeated. Louisville can find better ways to design its amazing future.
3179	Fulton	40216	Please, please do not allow any type of data center of any size. They cause noise pollution and even worse use our water. Louisville has some of the best water in the country and we should be proud of that and not allow it to be ruined in such a way. This technology is not helpful and would not benefit us economically. And even worse causes environmental harm. Let's provide a healthy and happy city and not built any data centers of any size. Especially so close to people. I have two sons. I want them to have a future with clean drinking water and and fresh air to breathe. Let's stop letting huge companies ruin our city. Plus data centers are not ethical. They steal data from everyone. No one is safe from their thieving hands. They steal from artists and creators and from those who work hard to make the current stechnology and information we have today. There are some places where data centers have caused issues with polluting water with harmful chemicals.
3180	Carroll	40242	I am EXTREMELY anti-data center. The addition of data centers in cities have caused air-pollution, noise-pollution, skyrocketing electricity bills, and lost access to clean water — predominantly in already struggling communities. These are things I do not want to see ANYWHERE in the city of Louisville or Kentucky as a whole.
3181	Figge	40217	Absolutely not. We already live in a hot spot of an urban city. Data centers only serve to use water and produce waste. NO ONE wants this!! It's bad for our environment, water, wildlife, and heat map.
3182	Lauderdale	40213	I 100% oppose having data centers in our city. They are proving to be a terrible for residents in other cities, like Memphis. They also have the potential for health hazards and damaging water systems. Please do not do this here !
3183	Hill	40203	NO, we don't need it here! It's going to mess up our health, water system, and who knows whatever else. Please explain to me how do you have enough resources and money to put them but when our SCHOOL DISTRICT IS FAILING? RIGHT ALONG WITH THEIR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM! Why couldn't that investment in the data center be separated out to help our children!?!
3184	Adams	40212	The amount of freshwater required to cool the data centers is staggering. The used dirty water gets redirected into the waterways filled with anti corrosion chemicals. The noise generated by these data centers negatively affects both people and animals. The greenhouse gases generated are 3 times higher than predicted. No one was asked to vote on these things because the government knows the majority of people are against these monstrosities. I am resolute in the belief that these data centers will negatively impact all forms of life.
3185	Groeschon	40241	An absolute NO from me. I don't want the pollution, energy usage, noise, or water usage in our community.
3186	Hay	42701	We need to protect our Earth and we need to care about climate change in these data centers certainly will not help us in the future. We need to think of the future generations and the people to come after us. It is important that we are kind to mother nature because if we destroy our Earth We do not get a second chance. Climate change is real. The Earth is already experiencing so much hurt from these AI centers and data centers that we do not need.

3187	Petters	40228	Keep them out. I do NOT want my electric prices skyrocketing to pay for something I ACTIVELY AVOID due to the copious amounts of adverse environmental side effects, not to mention driving the prices up on my hobbies as well as being outright WRONG on the information it finds quite regularly.
3188	Staley	40208	Data Centers are harmful to the environment. They have no place in Louisville, and especially not in economically disadvantaged communities.
3189	Davis	40203	Data centers, already unnecessary to exist in the first place, should not be anywhere near residential areas. They use too much electricity and energy, too much water, and pollute the drinking water in the area. No data centers should be built in or near Louisville
3190	Bonnenfant	40241	Absolutely no data centers. They do not provide jobs, drive up energy prices for everyone else, are a public nuisance and will in fact take jobs AWAY from people. The only reason to pursue data centers is for corporate greed and no morals for serving and providing for the citizens of this city. ABSOLUTELY NO DATA CENTERS
3191	Perkins	40203	Please do NOT for the love of God put data centers here! They're bad for the environment, for our animals and Us! It'll only create temporary jobs. Is the Health of our State worth it?
3192	Pendleton	40245	Get data centers out of louisville ban them from being here
3193	Devore	42701	Data centers poison the air, water, and ground of the area around them and should be illegal
3194	Baker	40291	No to data centers
3195	Mangold-Hook	40219	Data centers do not provide many job. They are massive and use a lot of clean water and electricity. Data centers are noisy. We don't have much information on the environmental impacts.
3196	James	40245	They are terrible for the fresh water supplies and not necessarily, humans have lived on this planet a long time without them just fine. The noise pollution, what it does to local rivers and lakes, also is just a eyesore. I'm scared to see what kind of cancers people are going to get in the future living next to them too.
3197	Dehli	40205	I am happy the Metro Council is addressing how to manage and regulate data centers. However I do not feel what is written on a strong enough. There are many opening for loopoles 1) the regulations should apply to all sizes of data centers proposed, not a different standard based on square foot. 2) there should be a separate zoning class for data centers and there should not be conditional use approvals for granted to any size data centers. 3) all cooling should be closed loop 4) regulations should be in place to protect nearby communities from fire (overheating) noise .. draft is too lenient on noise, 5) outside, independent review of environmental, energy and water usage and systems and make publicly available for review. 6) require self power generation .. no grid

			access that the individuals LG&E rate payers will end up paying for. 7) decommissioning plan with cost to be covered by corporation not public.
3198	Reed	40214	Citizens by a large majority are against the development of data centers. Why is this still be considered when there is little support for it. Other considerations: Some data centers have used turbine engines on premises to generate electricity with little regard for the enormous pollution released. We need to insure any pollution is controlled to minimize environmental impact and meets acceptable standards. Some data centers have planned to use clean energy sources for their power needs. Wouldn't it be wise for the council to establish the standard that clean energy sources must be used to generate power. It is imperative that our citizens be protected from higher utility costs (water and electricity) that will accrue in the development and running of these data centers. Protection of the air and water quality of our community is also of utmost importance. Data centers have the potential to significantly damage the quality of life in the Louisville area, while providing only short-term economic benefit. Let's find other ways to better the wealth and health of the community. Thank you for your consideration. Mike Reed
3199	Edwards	40272	I do not want them in my city. Bad for the environment. Pollution, water usage, power consumption combined is a big negative for the community. NO THANKS!
3200	Robinson	40243	No data center anywhere in Jefferson County.
3201	Wright	40272	DONT BULID THEM. Fuck ai, fuck your piece of shit data center. Leave the water for people not your dumb ass computers.
3202	Verduzco	40299	The environmental impact of data centers is unacceptable. They should not be put in the city of Louisville.
3203	Mansfield	40219	Putting a data center any where in this state or city would do uncontrollable damage. I have elders that live near the projected area, this would cause more harm than good in an already under developed neighborhood.
3204	Ivery	40210	Our city does not need another data center. We already have many smaller ones, along with health concerns from the public that have not been addressed. Louisville has other projects that have not been completed that need attention before starting yet another big thing.
3205	Kelly	40245	NO DATA CENTERS!!!
3206	Thompson	40208	SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS!!! Data centers have been proven to put an unnecessary strain on valuable resources that our community needs.

			We don't know what the longterm impact on the local community or environment will be and that is a risk that should not be taken and Louisvillians should not have to foot the bill to pay for the enhanced infrastructure needed to support this. I'm not convinced this will even help - I'm sure.this will still be passed considering Mayor Greenberg is firmly in the pocket of his buddy, Steve Poe but this.grift needs to stop.
3207	Nava	40118	WE DO NOT WANT ANY DATA CENTERS ANYWHERE IN KENTUCKY! EVER!
3208	Hardin	40218	Let me be clear NO FUCKING DATA CENTERS
3209	Cordell	40216	There are no community benefits of data centers. They do not provide but a hand full of jobs once completed. They are a strain on electrical grids. Everybody knows our utilities WILL go up and cause a lot of people who barely get by to not be able to afford to live in their own homes for the enrichment of companies that could care less about our community. They a blight in every community they have ruined across other states.
3210	Ramsey	40216	We don't need one. Build a homeless shelter and take care of what the city needs. WE DONT NEED A DATA CENTER
3211	Middleton	40228	I've done intensive research and I understand the damage it has done to other environments. I do not want this for my city.
3212	Fritz	40203	No data centers!!!!
3213	casey	40214	i don't mind as long as we (the citizens) don't end up with the bill somehow. whether that's trough raised lg&e rates, raised city tax or whatever. if this is what you all want, pay for it. FULLY. don't bring it then make us pay for it. that's not fair & there's enough stuff we're indirectly paying for around here.
3214	S	40211	Terrible for animals, people, and the environment as a whole. It will end up killing everyone and everything including jobs. NO DATA CENTERS!
3215	Conner	40222	The decisions regarding data centers, especially this size, will frame how our city is perceived, how people will vote in upcoming elections and even if people want to live or travel here. I hope everyone involved in making these plans and decisions will look beyond the money and truly understand how this will potentially impact us today and in the future. Taking everything from noise, to air and water pollution, to energy usage into consideration, is this data center something that will actually benefit the community as a whole. Is this something you would be comfortable having in your own backyard?
3216	Lewis	40219	Keep data centers OUT OF OUR CITY. There is not nearly enough information available regarding the short or long term effects on residents or the local environment!!
3217	Watson	40272	I do not want a data center in Louisville KY. It is not fair to the people because there is talk of putting these centers in people's backyards. These centers will need a ton of electricity and water sources. Will this cause higher electric and water bills?
3218	Davis	40203	Please don't let them bring one here that will raise electric bills and make water unusable.

3219	Victor	40207	No data centers. Let Louisville show that the city looks out for its people. We are trying to improve our image for tourism & this shows the city listens to its people
3220	Miranda	40229	I'm AGAINST having a data center build in our city!
3221	Van Meter	40206	Data centers pose a major threat to water resources due to immense consumption and pollution. Massive cooling systems evaporate millions of gallons of local water regularly, which drains regional supplies and leaves behind concentrated pollutants that degrade our water quality. Furthermore the pollution they generate or support can elevate cancer risks for surrounding communities— our families, elderly, chronically ill, and kids. The primary health hazards stem from localized air pollution, hazardous chemicals, and electrical infrastructure associated with these facilities. We are concerned about the health of this environment and its long term impact. As energy-hungry computing facilities (especially for AI) demand massive power, their presence affects consumer costs - something the citizens did not ask for or approve of, particularly as AI will be stealing the jobs and earning capability of the residents of Louisville, Kentucky and America. Thank you, Danielle
3222	Martin	4E+05	I oppose any large data centers. They drive up energy costs that will get passed down to residents. Data centers are a burden on natural resources.
3223	Darnell	40258	Data centers should not be allowed in cities because they consume enormous amounts of electricity and water while providing relatively few local jobs compared to the space and resources they use. They can place additional strain on power grids and water supplies, which may increase utility costs or limit resources for residents and other businesses. Their large buildings, constant cooling systems, and backup generators can also create noise, affect nearby neighborhoods, and take up land that could be used for housing, parks, or businesses that contribute more directly to the local economy. Instead of being built in densely populated urban areas, data centers are often better suited for locations where they have less impact on residents and infrastructure. But overall no data centers in my city!!
3224	Nalley	40299	No data centers
3225	Crutcher	40258	No data centers. Keep them far away.
3226	Griffin	40291	NO DATA CENTERS AT ALL. NONE. ZERO.
3227	Dickerson	40258	I don't not approve. Absolutely not.
3228	Williams	40211	No data centers
3229	Anderson	40291	I am absolutely against any hyper-scale data center coming to this city. If they come, regulations need to have strict guidelines on how they affect the water, air, power grid and noise pollution.
3230	DeLuco	40291	Data centers ruin communities and nature. They should not be allowed near residential or commercial areas. Data centers contribute greatly to water, air, and noise pollution making life more difficult when living around

			them. Data centers also needlessly use a lot of power from the power grid and that usage costs are more often than not passed on to the residents of the surrounding areas. The power grid is also under more stress with data centers and can contribute to brown outs when power loads peak during the summer and winter. Property and home values also drop dramatically when near data centers, as these areas are no longer desirable places to live or start a family. Data centers offer absolutely no benefit to residents, and they do not create jobs to justify their existence so close to residential areas. Please keep all data centers, no matter how big or small, out of Louisville and out of Kentucky entirely. They do not belong here, they only destroy our communities and our beautiful environment.
3231	Callaway	40220	We don't want or need data centers in Kentucky. The environmental and economic consequences are apparent in other communities who have been infiltrated by these centers.
3232	Williamson	40206	Data centers pose a major threat to water resources due to immense consumption and pollution. Massive cooling systems evaporate millions of gallons of local water regularly, which drains regional supplies and leaves behind concentrated pollutants that degrade our water quality. Furthermore the pollution they generate or support can elevate cancer risks for surrounding communities— our families, elderly, chronically ill, and kids. The primary health hazards stem from localized air pollution, hazardous chemicals, and electrical infrastructure associated with these facilities. We are concerned about the health of this environment and its long term impact. As energy-hungry computing facilities (especially for AI) demand massive power, their presence affects consumer costs - something the citizens did not ask for or approve of, particularly as AI will be stealing the jobs and earning capability of the residents of Louisville, Kentucky and America. Regards, Shane
3233	Thomas	40258	Please quit ruining our earth. Louisville can be a city that cares our earths future. Please choose good. Dont be another city that fails their people.
3234	Lovelace	40217	I respectfully urge careful consideration of the long-term impacts that proposed data centers may have on our community and natural resources. Data centers require substantial amounts of water to operate, particularly for cooling systems. In many cases, these facilities consume and evaporate millions of gallons of water, placing additional demand on local water supplies. As our region continues to grow and experiences periods of drought or increased water demand, protecting this vital resource should remain a top priority. These facilities also present environmental and public health considerations that deserve thorough evaluation. Backup diesel generators, electrical infrastructure, and the storage of fuels and other industrial materials can

			contribute to localized air pollution and other environmental risks if not properly managed. Before approving new developments, the community deserves a transparent assessment of these potential impacts and the measures that will be taken to mitigate them. Additionally, the significant electricity demands of modern data centers—particularly those supporting artificial intelligence—can require substantial investments in power generation and grid infrastructure. Residents deserve assurance that these projects will not place an unfair financial burden on households or small businesses through increased utility costs or publicly funded infrastructure improvements. Finally, many members of the public have concerns about the rapid expansion of artificial intelligence and its potential effects on employment. While AI may create new opportunities in some sectors, it also has the potential to displace workers in others. Given these concerns, it is reasonable to ask whether our community should bear the environmental and infrastructure impacts of facilities that may provide relatively few local jobs while supporting technologies that could reduce future employment opportunities. For these reasons, I encourage decision-makers to require comprehensive environmental reviews, transparent public engagement, and clear commitments that any approved project will protect our water resources, safeguard public health, minimize impacts on utility costs, and provide meaningful, measurable benefits to the residents of Louisville and the surrounding communities.
3235	Higgins	40214	We don't want them.
3236	Fawbush	40299	I am highly against building data centers and believe it is a huge taxing on our resources.
3237	Martin	40213	The side effects from data centers are not worth what they provide. The sound alone should be reason enough to not have them nearby.
3238	Montgomery	40215	Data center destroy our environment, Contaminates the air we breathe, Destroys the water that we need for drinking, bathing. You people land grab and take land that people do not want to give up, and take land from farmers and destroy their lively hoods... Michigan's water supply is Brown and I would say "contaminated" Virginia has over 600 data centers in their state and they're in a drought as I type. We do NOT want them in our state leave people the hell alone and their homes and lands. Give up YOUR PROPERTY for a data center then we can talk about it.
3239	Aguilar	40219	I believe they should be built away from city limits and communities so that there will be minimal impact to the electric grid and the environment. I don't think data centers should be allowed to be built in Louisville.

3240	Ammon	40243	Keep them as far away from Louisville as possible. Since there's a relationship between the two, let's do something about the time ridiculous increase of intrusive flock cameras throughout our city.
3241	Dunn	40207	Against them because they demand massive amounts of electricity, driving up utility bills for families and propping up fossil fuel reliance. They also consume millions of gallons of water daily, straining local resources. Furthermore, they generate disruptive noise and air pollution.
3242	Fox	40215	I do NOT this data centers are contributing to our society or environment whatsoever. It's so harmful. To bring a data center to any city/state is horribly condemnable. DO NOT bring a data center here.
3243	Fogle	40517	Data centers are not needed nor wanted in Louisville or anywhere. I don't personally live in Louisville but the friends that I have are against data centers and so am I.
3244	lucas	40229	NO. DO NOT WASTE THE PRECIOUS KENTUCKY LAND FOR A DATA CENTER. KENTUCKY CAN'T BE THE BLUEGRASS STATE IF THERE'S NO GRASS. LEAVE FARMLAND ALONE.
3245	Rhodes	40204	Data centers are ruining our water, raising utility bills for locals, and polluting our cities. Louisville doesn't need them. Kentucky is better off without them. Our children deserve better and AI is not the answer.
3246	marshall	40272	we do not want data centers in louisville. they are destroying communities, environments, and water across the nation. i do not want to see my community destroyed so the rich men can line their pockets
3247	Leach	40216	No thank you. There's enough data, honey. Let's not be greedy.
3248	Fowler	40299	No absolutely no Data Centers We need transparency and accountability first The water Issue alone should prevent data centers ! 50 million gallons of water a day that cannot be reused after contamination with coolant. NOT SUSTAINABLE!!!! NO TO DATA CENTERS!!! Follow the money. Who is receiving money to move forward on these unsustainable data centers. What are Data centers really being used for ? Bit Coin Blockchain Storage ? Surveillance? Or Chat? After the damage is done will be too late.
3249	Sherrerd	40214	Eliminate tiered approach to data center regulation. The same standards and process should apply to all data centers that are subject to regulation, since those standards are self-differentiating and self-adjusting depending on the size, nature and intensity of the use.

			Remove the distinction and the prohibition on some data centers based on square-footage of floor area. The impacts of data centers are primarily a function of the intensity of use. Things like onsite generators, back-up power, waste heat, water usage, electricity use, air emissions, hazardous chemical storage, explosion potential, and noise. Eliminate “registration” approvals without public scrutiny. Instead, in all cases create a new industrial category for data centers, require a rezoning, and require a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission.
3250	Aredeath	40219	If given the order by those higher above, with no option to say no, what are the real purposes of these centers? They’re doing more damage than helping. If you, any of you can sit back and agree they’re NOT depleting our water resources then you’re just as oblivious as the rest of the world to the rest of the world. We’re doomed.
3251	Araujo Hernandez	40258	This is bad for the environment please don’t do this. We can build other things
3252	Wagner	40228	Unless data centers are going to be solar powered AND cooled, they don’t need to be built. Also, what studies have been done about the long term effects and impact on communities by data centers? If there’s not at least 10 years of independent objective research and analysis then we should not be building anymore data centers anywhere, period.
3253	C	40165	Don’t be sellouts, practice having morals. No human is capable of allowing data centers to be built, nobody wants them besides rich inbreds that’re subhuman.
3254	Clarkson	40210	I think data centers are not needed. Data centers across the country have already proven to affect the environment negatively and is not good for the health of the public.
3255	Ridgell	40209	I am VERY against data centers in our cities. Research and real life interviews have showed us the impact of this on the environment. There are children who live, children who deserve to live in an area where they can drink clean WATER. The thing we are all made out and regular for literally survival. It is simple, we want to live.
3256	Reynolds	40210	No to data centers.
3257	Hargrove	40216	Data Centers are environmentally UNSAFE. As a cancer survivor, I am terrified of getting cancer again due to the data center pollution. The stress to the power grid, the noise, and the increase in utility charges on our LG & E bills is another concern. As a tax payer....I vote NO DATA CENTER

3258	Ridgell	40209	It is as simple as this, humans need clean water to live and data centers destroy water sources that are needed for survival. Our resident and our city deserves to live. If you don't think that this is a real possibility, than you have not completed proper research. Data centers= Depleted resources to LIVE.
3259	Karensen	40223	No new data centers of any kind anywhere. Thanks.
3260	Thomas	40242	Data Centers should not be built or allowed anywhere near the metropolitan area of Louisville Ky.
3261	Lee	40211	No we do not need a date center in louisville Ky
3262	Henon	40229	I am against it.
3263	Bottoms	40299	a data center uses too much water . I am against it 🗣️
3264	Mennen	40229	I worry about the impact this could have on Louisville's environment. I am not fully against a data center but the fear of them causing harm to our city is high for many reasons and even locals living near them, and due to that concern I think it's better not to do it all rather than risk the safety of the community. Thank you for your time.
3265	D	40245	NO DATA CENTERS!
3266	Quinn	40203	No data centers belong in Louisville....or anywhere else
3267	Simpson	40216	Data Centers in other areas are actively: - Drying major water ways - Depleting water pressure - Increasing utility bills (the cost of constantly powering these facilities falls to the consumers) - Causing health issues for communities - Destroying ecosystems - Killing bees - Causing illnesses in pets, animals, and wildlife WE SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS

3268	Ober	40208	Data centers are extremely harmful to the environment and would largely deter me from staying in the city in the future. Please think about the citizens of the city and how this could negatively impact them.
3269	Clark	40218	No data center at all. It is unnecessary and negative toward our health.
3270	beckett	40208	Data centers pose a major threat to water resources due to immense consumption and pollution. Massive cooling systems evaporate millions of gallons of local water daily, which drains regional supplies and leaves behind concentrated pollutants that degrade water quality. Furthermore the pollution they generate or support can elevate cancer risks for surrounding communities. The primary health hazards stem from localized air pollution, hazardous chemicals, and electrical infrastructure associated with these facilities. As energy-hungry computing facilities (especially for AI) demand massive power, their presence affects consumer costs - something the citizens did not ask for or approve of, particularly as AI will be stealing the jobs and earning capability of the residents of Louisville, Kentucky and America.
3271	Exeler	40206	Data centers have been provably bad short and long-term for the strong majority of the places they have been put in. Any effort to put one in Louisville is a scar that any local politician will wear on their career forever.
3272	Beard	40213	Absolutely not. They're destroying our clean water and environments. This goes against the green initiatives we are striving to achieve
3273	Rinehart	40213	Data Centers destroy the land they are built on, use too much water and electricity, destroy the quality of life for people who have to hear the noise produced, and reduce the value of nearby homes. Data centers should be forced to purchase affected homes at fair market value, help people find new homes, and pay for all relocation costs. They should also be self sufficient and have their own power supply otherwise everyone's energy costs will go up while only a few people reap the profit. They are a blight and will increase energy costs for everyone. There are better ways for our city to make money. Create more green spaces. Don't destroy them.
3274	Butler	40291	No data centers! They place unfair strain on our electrical grids and water sources. I am not paying more on my utility bills so these abhorations can blight our communities! We will vote out everyone who approves them, just like other communities have.
3275	Burkhead	40229	They're dumb, don't need them.
3276	french	40205	I do not support the building of data centers.
3277	Presley	40211	We do not need a data center! We already can't afford the bills we have now, having our LG&E and water bill go up a percentage will cause a lot of problems! Huge pass on the data center in Louisville Kentucky!

3278	Hardison	47130	Awful for the environment and for my future. I want the chance of having my own family when I grow older but now don't think i'll be able to...
3279	Fulton	40216	Data Centers are leeches on the community. Please do not sell out louisville by placing any on us.
3280	Miller	40219	No data centers in Louisville or KY for that matter.
3281	Wideman	40216	No to the power/water sucking data centers!
3282	Durbin	40258	Nobody wants data centers in Louisville or the surrounding areas. Data centers provide nothing for the people of the area but headaches and raised water and electric bills. Data centers are a low maintenance business that keep the rich getting rich and not providing many jobs for people while taking up vast amounts of areas that are usually wooded or farm land. SAY NO TO DATA CENTERS!!
3283	Caudill	40272	I am completely against a data center in Louisville, or Jefferson County , or the State of Kentucky. We have a beautiful State. Nothing, no amount of money, is worth ruining our State's natural resources.
3284	Samey	40222	I do not want it!!
3285	flannery	40272	if the data center dose not cost the people in the louisville kentucky and or all kentucky with electric and water then I would say okay but since so far. I say no because they do cost the people around the data center. I wish I had lost of money to stop this from happening. But, I do not :(Thank you Benjamin Flannery louisville ky 40272
3286	Herrera	40272	Much more harm than good will come from this. There are so many families nearby-our utilities will go up, our water supply will lower. It is not worth it
3287	Osorio	40204	F
3288	McKinney	40059	We need to keep the data centers on a smaller scale. We need real people doing real jobs, we don't need a huge data center that affects everybody in the surrounding area just for Ai
3289	Edwards	40218	I believe that the data centers should not be placed in Louisville because they are disruptive to the environment, we need to make sure that Louisville can get bio-friendly.
3290	A	40241	Please keep them out of our city
3291	Meena	40205	Do not allow data centers in Louisville, kY. The harm out weighs the good.
3292	Henry	40291	Water contamination regulations similar to Cheyenne, Wyoming. A data center there grew a treatment resistant bacterium because they are not handling their cooling water properly. They add chemicals and these water sat in open tanks. They are required to filter the water before they release it into waste water now. I think that would be good prevention to preserve our water table.
3293	McDowell	40229	No data centers. They need cooling systems not massive amounts of water that people need to live their lives.
3294	McDonald	40216	Why is everything have to be in the Southwest? Why cant you build in the East end. How bad is this going to effect our electricity and bills. We need better business in this area back ,like department stores.

3295	Albert	40299	Data center produce an exorbitant amount of waste and have negative effects on the surrounding neighborhood. I vehemently oppose building even one!
3296	Ahamed	40220	This is going to increase all our utility bills to skyrocketing prices. I do not like them here in Louisville
3297	sommers	40223	I am against Data Centers. Please do not build one in my city
3298	Childress	40216	I am a first time home buyer and I am completely against the data center being built on campground road! I sincerely asked that any decision made in regard to allowing this center to operate, be reconsidered. Families livelihoods are at risk and what's happening is not okay!!!
3299	Bell	40228	Please ban data centers in any residential areas. Louisville has the best tap water in the country, let's not ruin that.
3300	Carl	40205	No data centers!!
3301	Ingram	40216	I don't want them in our city
3302	McClure	40258	Before I was knowledgeable and aware, I too was a user of AI or ChatGPT. Of course I wanted to follow the latest trends on tiktok and have photos created of my dogs in a bath tub, surrounded by bubbles with a cute little ducky on their head. Because I knew i could never get my dogs to sit still long enough to get those results. So next best option was to create them through AI and hopped on the bandwagon. After doing research and seeing videos about how much water these super computers need to cool off after making just 1 picture of my dog doing something goofy... it made me look back and think was it really worth it? For what? A picture of my dog sitting on the toilet reading a newspaper? And using thousands and thousands of gallons of water to achieve those results. Its scary to think about it once you realize the bigger picture. If we keep heading down this path, their might not be a path to continue on in the near future. We are slowly killing this planet and it terrifying how fast we are hurling towards that direction. I do believe we are connected to the earth and to animals in some way or another and we as the "superior species" have to be that voice and stand for what is right. Also the data center they plan on building right next to the Nashville zoo? Don't get me started on that. Who in their right mind thought that was a great idea. Anyways, I digress. But i think people, we as humans, need to care more, should care more about our planet and the other lives that we share with on this planet or soon there will be no beautiful trees to gaze upon or singing song birds to wake to in the morning. There will be nothing. Not even a picture of your dog, in your favorite movie scene that you created with billions gallons of water.
3303	Gleason	40214	No Data centers at all in the city.
3304	Steinmetz	40222	I support the proposed regulations and would encourage further restricting the size allowed for future proposals.
3305	Wirth	40208	The goal of the data center is not to help people. The goal of the data center is to make the rich people richer. The people who actually live here, however, will suffer. They will suffer in ways that won't be obvious at first, but will become concretely aware when it shoved down their throat every day in various ways. This, to some, could be the noise at the data center creates. It could be the environmental damage the data that creates. In 2025, U.S. data centers used an estimated 250 terawatt-hours of electricity about 5–6% of all U.S. electricity generation. The air will also be polluted, it will strain the electric grid, this will not actually help the people here. It will cause more damage and ruin jobs that could have been provided.
3306	Buckner	40229	PLEASE NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!

3307	Senn	40291	I do not support data. I do not want data centers in our city.. I don't want data center near our school. I don't believe we need them and I do not want them.
3308	Khiembo	40219	Data centers should not be allowed! Look at all the problems people living within any distance have suffered from data centers! This is irresponsible putting our families- our children at risk!
3309	Tobbe	40214	They are destructive and terrible for the environment. We do not want data centers in Louisville.
3310	Carreiro	40205	People, including myself, do not want these huge energy-guzzling, noise-making, water draining, air and water polluting centers anywhere near neighborhoods. Their impact is 99.9% negative on our quality of life, and that of birds and other wildlife. And WE WERE NOT PROPERLY CONSULTED or brought into negotiations, which is heinous considering all the harm these centers cause. There will be political fallout if these data centers are built!
3311	Braner	40203	Data centers create a constant hum that can be audible to neighboring residents and wildlife. Data centers generate noise levels that may exceed 90 decibels. Noise levels above 85 decibels are harmful to hearing. Data centers generate light pollution. Hyperscale facilities require all-night lighting that disrupts the natural (circadian) rhythms of the body, including melatonin production (the hormone that regulates sleep) and sleep-wake cycles. Light pollution is also disturbing migration patterns and habitat development among birds, butterflies, bats, cats, and turtles—to name only a handful. The long-term impacts of both noise and light pollution include hearing loss, stress, insomnia, and decreased quality of life. Data centers require water to cool computer servers so they do not become too hot to function. A large data center will use up to 5 million gallons of water daily. Drawing from local water supplies, these facilities are slurping up resources that should be available to residents in water-scarce regions. In fact, data centers increase the threat of water insecurity, and thus dehydration and poor hygiene. Data centers have typically not paid their fair share in utilities, particularly for electricity consumption, and in those situations other consumers (including residential customers) have had to pay more than they otherwise would. The people of Kentucky are already struggling. Please do not make us struggle even more. As with other forms of air pollution, those at increased risk include children, developing fetuses, pregnant people, elderly, and individuals with chronic respiratory or cardiovascular disease. Data Centers also pose environmental justice concerns because they disproportionately affect minority and low-income communities.
3312	Eicher	40229	No data center, no tax deals for data centers, I can not afford to pay more for water and electric. Louisville has a huge heat island problem that they are trying to fix. A data center would contribute to that heat island problem.
3313	redd	40212	Number #1, High electricity demand: Large data centers can consume as much electricity as a small city, increasing strain on the electrical grid.

			* Water consumption: Many facilities use millions of gallons of water each year for cooling, which can stress local water supplies during droughts. * Diesel generator emissions: Backup generators can release nitrogen oxides (NOx), particulate matter, and carbon dioxide during testing or power outages. * Noise pollution: Cooling equipment, HVAC systems, and generator testing can create constant background noise for nearby residents. * Heat output: While most heat is managed internally, large facilities contribute waste heat that may affect the immediate surrounding area. Community impacts * Increased power infrastructure: New substations and transmission lines may be required, changing the landscape. * Construction impacts: Traffic, dust, and temporary noise can last months or years during development. * Limited local employment: Once operational, many data centers require relatively few permanent employees compared to their size. * Land use: Large campuses can replace farmland, forests, or open space. Safety hazards * Battery systems: Many data centers use large battery banks (such as lithium-ion) for backup power. While rare, battery failures can lead to fires or the release of hazardous gases. * Fuel storage: Diesel fuel stored for emergency generators presents a spill risk if not properly managed. * Fire suppression chemicals: Modern data centers typically use clean-agent fire suppression systems rather than water in sensitive equipment areas. These systems are generally safe when properly maintained but require careful handling. Potential health concerns For most people living near a well-operated data center, health risks are generally low. However, concerns can arise from: * Air pollution during generator testing or prolonged outages * Chronic noise exposure if noise controls are inadequate * Increased traffic during construction * Reduced water availability in areas where water resources are already limited
3314	Hanks	40205	No data centers should be built because it ruins communities by taking all the resources. You don't understand the long term ramifications of building these data centers.

3315	Webb	40245	Data centers will increase the cost of electricity and pollute our water. Louisville is already becoming an expensive center to live in. With majority of people struggling to get by, there is no reason to make it more difficult. As well, the data center will be located in Rubbertown. An area already subject to health and safety hazards. Why subject people to an extra unnecessary hazard. Data centers have no place in Louisville, especially with there being little regulations on the effects to the people living nearby. This is a dangerous decision to say yes to. As well, a data center will not produce enough long term jobs to support our economy. This needs to stop.
3316	Gentile	40241	No data centers. The science proves that there is all kinds of environmental harm done to those living around them. Please protect Louisville residents.
3317	Sheehan	40291	Please do not build them in KY. Save our land and our wildlife. The residents here don't want them. It will deplete our water, raise our electricity bills, be a noise nuisance for the resident for miles and miles and miles away. This is something our children and our children's children will forever feel the effects of. NO DATA CENTERS
3318	campbell	40214	Hi, from the information I have found I do not want a data center. I feel we do not need them and the negative impact on the environment far outweighs them.
3319	Yertzi	40212	Leave the data centers where they are. Louisville doesn't need them here. Especially in the west end.
3320	Nilsson	40241	I don't believe there should be any data centers in the area. These are harmful for many reasons, but in particular, citizens should not have to shoulder the burden of higher electric costs to support these Centers. Additionally, people that live in proximity to a Center have reported concerns about how it affects where they live (things like noise and light pollution and changes to the natural environment). I don't believe that your zip code in town should affect how your feedback counts on this issue, Data Centers do vastly more harm than good.
3321	Rhoden	40241	Fuck AI and fuck the data center. I prefer to not have my water bill go up exponentially to compensate for all the water the data center would be wasting
3322	Magera	40245	Do not allow any more data centers into our city. We do not want to expand access to AI. Limit the size of the buildings to no larger than 12,000 if we must propose a limit, but even better- just don't allow any more in. We want a beautiful city with clean water and a slower pace of life that keeps peace in our homes. Data centers cause a hum noise that residents & animals cannot ignore. This is a completely unnecessary "advancement," that our communities have not asked for. Protect Kentucky, please.
3323	Warner	40258	We absolutely DO NOT want any data centers here. They are noisy, ugly, take necessary green space, provide nothing to the community, steal valuable resources and then outsource the cost to the community, VOTE NO ON ALL DATA CENTERS
3324	Schreck	40228	I do not support data centers. See reasons why below. 1. Pollution to water and use of water 2. Electricity costs will increase 3. Power outages will increase. 4. Jobs will be disappear

			5. Because these buildings require so much power and water, the areas for miles will not prosper 6. Constant noise 7 Bad for the environment
3325	Leiphart	40272	They must be regulated on energy use and who pays for the energy. It should not raise bills of people in and around the area.
3326	Medley	40228	DO NOT DO IT!!!!!!
3327	Wethington	40047	Please protect our natural resources and the people in this community! We do want want or need these data centers anywhere near us.
3328	Bugg	40205	I absolutely support banning data centers and imposing restrictions. Data centers ruin the quality of life for people around them, and are ruining our environment. We do NOT need any data centers in Louisville.
3329	Martin	40208	Unnecessary and controlling. Money could be used to help take care of the homeless and bring more resources to HELP people
3330	Stetson	40223	Data centers are being put in rural and poorer communities negatively impacting people whom already have more struggles. They impact nature, conservation and eat up resources. They have a massive water and electrical consumption, e-waste that hasn't been properly defined on how to deal with responsibly, noise pollution and minimal permanent job creation to help support those around it. We do not know enough about the long term impacts of these centers. We are putting the cart before the horse and following money before logical sense and reasoning. AI will become a necessary evil however it is our job to use our BRAINS to scale at a responsible level. It's our governments job to protect the people, our city and environment to do data centers responsibly. However for now there are too many negative impacts and KY being more rural, less educated and a generally poorer state holds great responsibility in allowing these data centers to harm its environments and residents. For now no more data centers should be built until more research is done, correct permitting and rules are established and conservation of land and animals is heavily put into place.
3331	Collier	40217	I appreciate this list of requirements and restrictions that would minister the damage a Data Center would do to our community. It absolutely should go into effect. However, I would rather ban Data Centers in Louisville altogether.
3332	Murphy	40118	We do not want ANY data canters in Louisville
3333	Partin	40272	They are a drain on local resources and should not exist.
3334	Doe	40211	We don't need them!! Get Greenberg out of office!!! They'll put a data center up your ass if you aren't paying attention!!
3335	Willis	40241	Please do not bring data centers to Louisville
3336	Morgan	40272	We don't want data centers built in our city. There are plenty of rural places, far from people's homes that would be more suitable. Louisville doesn't have any spaces that aren't decently populated to accommodate such a facility without negatively impacting residents and their homes.

3337	Goatley	40258	They're terrible for the environment, will cause everyone's bills to skyrocket, and provide nothing for the community. No one wants this.
3338	Combs	40220	Data centers do not belong in our city/state. Why are politicians pushing to raise our water and electric bills?? The public has been very clear on how we feel. Listen to the people who elected you for once!
3339	Gregg	40291	Data Centers are harmful to the environment, to the city and to the culture of a community. Louisville citizens do not deserve to have a data center like this chipping away at resources. Data centers should never be a discussion.
3340	V	40272	Please do not build data centers here. This will be terrible for the louisville community and hurt so many homes. One google search should show you how those who live near data centers are deeply affected by the mass energy and water consumption of the data centers. They are horrible for the environment and will drive many people out of Louisville. If you want this community to grow, building data centers is the last thing you should do. The city of Louisville will be negatively impacted. The money made by these data centers will have no impact to us, only the handful of people who care more about money than community.
3341	Clifton	40204	Please don't build any data centers. They use up a LOT of natural resources and are so bad for the environment. In addition, they can be very loud and I worry for any neighbours who would live next to them, as that is noise pollution. Louisville has many things that make us great. Data centers would not be one of those things. They may create *some* jobs but I think it would only be for a short while and would not give back to the community in the way that one might assume. Invest in our city, not AI tech, please.
3342	robinson	40212	i absolutely want the best for louisville and data centers would not be that. i am against all data centers being placed in louisville
3343	Goldman	40291	No data centers In Kentucky.
3344	Vittitoe	40243	There should be no more data centers built until studies on how they impact the health and environment of the community. Then once the studies have been done none should be built without extremely strict regulations to mitigate all risk. I am concerned about noise pollution, water usage, power usage as well as other impacts that may not be as obvious or commonly known and understood. The people and the environment in Kentucky should be the priority. Period.
3345	Groft	40215	Data centers make too much noise, use too many resources and are completely inappropriate for residential areas.
3346	Gass	40214	This world our world run on water. Why try too take it away from the people for. We the people need that. I don't approve
3347	Berman	40229	I am opposed to all data centers. How many times have you called and screamed "representative" into the phone just to try to speak to a real person. No one really wants AI anyway. Don't add to the diminished quality our air, water, and planet. I don't want to live anywhere near one. Thank you for hearing me.
3348	Rath	40065	I do not want data centers near my home! I'm concerned about how it may affect fertility, long term health, and the water.
3349	Smith	40008	Data centers are blight on our communities and need transparency and regulations that protect our water, our air, our, green spaces, our ability to live quiet, peaceful lives; in other words our quality of life.

3350	Barfield	40023	There are major public health concerns that come with data centers. With the large electricity need and pollution from generators, the benefit of data centers is slim to none. Lack of research to support these claims is disappointing for citizens, just look at real world stories from communities with hyper scale centers. It's obvious data centers are not wanted by communities, yet governments overlook the citizens that elected them for little to no economic boost from the development.
3351	Lake	40210	Data centers don't belong anywhere in or near the city
3352	Berry	40229	Not in support of data centers.
3353	Armstrong	40214	I believe the data shows that Data Centers can be both harmful and disruptive to the communities they are in. Between the noise and the excessive power usage, they will drain energy and life from the neighborhoods. They also add little to no value to the communities they are placed in and will become a significant burden on already under-resourced communities.
3354	Vandiver	40258	I don't want data centers anywhere near my city. They are bad for the environment, cause noise pollution, and ruin the water supply for nearby residents. We DO NOT want this in our city.
3355	Baccs	40229	These data centers will suck up all the water and create noise pollution. Not to mention WE ALL KNOW they are giant surveillance systems to keep tabs on US and WE WILL FOLLOW THE MONEY to see who approves of this being built. Quit thinking the public is stupid because WE ARE NOT - we are AWAKE and WE ARE ONTO YOU and we WILL BE WATCHING YOU CLOSELY! You KNOW these are not good for the city, and the vote better reflect all the people that have voiced their concerns and agree with me. If the vote does go through, I'm positive legal action will be taken to stop it.
3356	Hebner	40214	No Data centers!!
3357	Taylor	40299	We don't want them!!!! Anywhere in Louisville
3358	Lockard	40216	I'm concerned about the proposed data center that is being considered for Southwest Jefferson County. There are the homes of people nearby. It shouldn't be close to homes. Our end of the county is already dealing with Rubbertown, why does it have to deal with this too? We deserve better not worse.
3359	Shackelford	40212	I do not want data center downtown Louisville KY. Put them out in the country side where it's more open land.
3360	Peterman	40243	we should NOT have ANY data centers. period. what an insane waste of money and resources. the fact this is even being considered shows what poor and pathetic leadership we have in louisville.
3361	Larson	40242	Please don't add a huge date center. I want fresh and clean water. I want the kids to be able to think without having to use chat gpt and millions of water to cool it down. Everywhere that has got a data center has BROWN water. Why do we want that for Louisville. We don't.
3362	Harland	40206	We do not want data centers!! They are loud, environmentally destructive, and cause water and power shortages for the people of the commonwealth. No one wants data centers anywhere in Kentucky, especially not in Louisville.
3363	Clifton	40220	NO DATA CENTERS!!! They are terrible for our community in every imaginable way. It's inhumane to build them. The ecological effects, noise pollution, environment impacts, degradation to the valuation of our communities, etc. BAN DATA CENTERS.

3364	Metcalf	40013	Please no data centers!! We can explore technology advancements without taking away land. How about we explore off shore data centers??
3365	Jerman	40203	NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY!!! Especially Louisville! Data centers are toxic! It will mess with our health. Use too much water. Make our electricity bills go up and I can not afford that. PLEASE DON'T DO THIS! If y'all truly care about Kentucky yall will vote against data centers.
3366	Davis	40243	I would not like to see any data center projects in Jefferson County. Data centers pollute our water, and create noise pollution. If this ordinance must be passed, I feel the wording of "substantial distance from homes, etc." to be too vague and should be more clearly outlined.
3367	Miller	40214	Placed so close to residential areas, will harm the residential quality of life. The continuous, low-frequency hum of cooling fans and massive HVAC systems and the pollution caused by the frequent testing and operation of diesel backup generators. The massive electricity draw of modern AI servers can strain local supplies and potentially increase personal utility bills for area residents. Along with heavy water consumption and potential thermal or chemical discharges affecting the local water table and nearby drinking water sources. Large truck traffic during both the long construction phase and ongoing operations, will cause road wear and safety issues. If data centers are necessary, they should be in an unpopulated area.
3368	Marshall	40023	We don't need a data center in Louisville or any surrounding county in Kentucky, people letting these data centers pop up around the country disgust me. Why are we tearing up good land to support technology???? Go outside and explore, touch some grass for God's sake. Because the rate it's going, your grandkids won't have ANYTHING to explore. Everyone will be an iPad kid, and that's sad.
3369	Flournoy	40216	WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN OR AROUND OUR CITY!!!! EVERYONE HATES THEM.
3370	Stone	40243	We do not want a data center here. The people living & working near it, the environment, the unknown costs to energy users... just the tip of the iceberg. We do not want it & will vote to remove anyone who supports it.
3371	Hancock	40207	NO to ANY data centers in Kentucky. Evidence is showing data centers are killing bees and affecting migratory patterns. This will hurt our agriculture, farmers, and food sources. Not to mention water consumption and other environmental hazards.
3372	kiper	40245	We do not want data centers. We do not want to supplement AI water and electric with our money. They do not provide a return on our investment. They are horrible for the environment. They are bad for neighborhoods. They are not wanted.
3373	Whitehouse	40205	Data centers must be required to create a natural habitat surrounding the entire facility, equal in size to the complex. It will need to have a staff for ongoing maintaining. Don't let big tech destroy the environment please.
3374	Bailey	40291	Data centers are infesting farming and open lands in places like KY. Taking farms and land that have been beneficial and owned for generations, where there will never be agriculture or horses/animals grow and reside there ever again. and invading peace of communities when close to residential and schools. Also pollutes our water and agriculture, and puts major strain on the areas utilities and grand scale power grids. If massive buildings are going to be built it needs to be beneficial for local residents (jobs, skills, agriculture, bigger better schools that are overcrowded etc) the pollution and strain on utilities and noise alone should deter any data center from being built.

			We want our future generations to excel in agriculture, farming , land preservation etc. not a self propelled toxic building that adds nothing but concerns, traffic, noise, pollution, and greed. Please save whats left of Ky and louisville s beautiy.
3375	Frias	40014	The data center must provide proposal with estimated water usage and submit proof of compliance with estimate on a monthly or quarterly basis to ensure natural resources are not being overextended. All noise, including silent noise, is to be monitored and managed to a level that supports a healthy ecosystem, including wildlife, people, and natural resources, during the implementation phase and on a regular, scheduled basis post-implementation,
3376	Anderson	40211	Data centers are AWFUL for residents and animal conservation! The fact that one data center is planned for the Chickasaw neighborhood- a neighborhood with a high number of African American, historically disadvantaged and with some of the HIGHEST cancer rates in the city of Louisville- and stick a building with extreme noise pollution, water pollution, and no real job creation amongst all of the other factories that emit lots of pollution in the neighborhood. It is VERY insensitive and shows that the city of Louisville's officials pretty much do not like or care about African American families that reside and pay taxes to the city. They're good enough to pay taxes to make sure the city officials are paid- and the city officials are doing their best to kill the residents in the west end. Put a data center in Prospect! Put one in La Grange! Put one out on English Station Rd! See how THOSE residents feel about it! But stop picking with the black residents in the west end.
3377	Mccurdy	40223	Saving our environment is more important than building data centers.
3378	Black	40258	I do not support the proposed data center due to concerns about its long-term impact on our community. While I recognize the need for technological growth, I am concerned about the potential strain on our power and water resources, the limited number of permanent jobs it will create, and the impact on nearby neighborhoods from noise and industrial development. I encourage local officials to carefully consider whether the community benefits truly outweigh the costs before approving this project. Please keep data centers out of our community.
3379	Scott	40206	I am not in support of data centers any where in our community in Jefferson Co or surrounding areas. They have proven to be unhealthy and deteriorate communities including water supplies and cost of functioning. NO DATA CENTER
3380	Tatum	40205	This should be on the ballot in November. The people of this city should have a say in something that will affect them for years to come!
3381	Maxwell	40214	The neighborhood in which the data centers are looking to be placed deserve MORE than to be crippled by skyrocketing energy bills and everything else that comes with this type of development. These vulnerable communities are full of tax paying citizens that deserve to see their neighborhoods flourish with Beautiful and HEALTHY opportunities not harmful, toxic filled technology centers.
3382	Vandiver	40258	WE DO NOT WANT THIS

3383	Myers-Rinehart	40258	The presence of any data centers is going to raise our utility prices exponentially, all while the companies operating them will get tax breaks. They use a massive amount of water and resources, provide few jobs beyond their initial construction, are horrible to look at, and will decrease property values nearby. I am wholly opposed to any data centers, large or small, being built in the city/state/country.
3384	Gholson	40211	This data center is already being placed in an area that is not as developed as others. Mostly black population and under the poverty line. Not will this data center cause a rise in energy bills but also a rise in health issues.
3385	Guthrie	40291	The damage from data centers have been proven to wreak havoc on neighborhoods and communities by creating noise pollution, water inefficiency and other detrimental impacts that adversely impact communities directly. No to data centers! Use people; AI is not worth it.
3386	King	40217	The proposed regulation is a start but needs to be refined. I must ban or severely restrict new data centers in Jefferson County, impose health and environmental impact studies, and ensure that any economic benefits are balanced against the risks to air, water, and public health. The draft does not directly address water consumption or low-frequency sound pollution. Developers are required to say they will not raise utility rates, but there is no standard for proving it. Further, it does not address penalties for violations. Bottom line, they are dangerous and bad for the community is a plethora of ways and need strict regulation if we even consider have a small one in our area. Honestly, I'd ultimately prefer a wholesale ban.
3387	Compa	40214	They should not be built anywhere near the city or anywhere habitable. It will ruin many things for us but I guess money takes priority.
3388	Phillips	40204	We do not want data centers. They steal our local resources, ruin the environment, and add nothing to our economy. When politicians green light data centers, giving them tax-exempt status while residents are forced to foot the bill, despite residents' clear objections, it is obvious that those politicians are corrupted and have been bought by big business. It has become apparent that it is imperative to vote out anyone and everyone that puts their own profits from kickbacks above the oath of office they took vowing to work for the people that they represent. Politicians are supposed to work for the people, not big business.
3389	withrow	40205	i don't want ANY DATA CENTERS in louisville. simple as that. they are destroying the earth and our minds.
3390	Martin	40241	No data centers period! Take it somewhere else not so densely populated.
3391	Candella-Bush	40291	Didn't need them in the past, so we don't need them now. They take land, water, electricity. They add heat, noise, carcinogens to the air. Come on. Really? NO! This is not the way forward. This will not benefit anybody but a rich person.
3392	Mara	40204	No data centers!!! Pollute someone else's water.
3393	Mattingly	40258	I think Data Center construction needs to be put to a halt. Much , much more research needs to be done regarding their effects on our health, water, air and noise pollution. Also, we should know more as to why We really need these. They do not belong in any neighborhood at all. Will they "truly" make a shortage of water or clean,pure water? Let's not have another COVID situation where things are pushed on the people without

			accurate information. And I mean Accurate. Not what they think will appease us to benefit their agenda. It's time to care about the people.
3394	Zencka	40258	I do NOT welcome data centers at all - anywhere. Our water and power companies already ask for donations for community residents who cannot afford to pay their bills in full. Our water and power companies already raise their rates as our economy impacts the cost of their service commitments and those costs are passed on to us. Many news reports from communities around the country where Data Centers have been built all show that the water, power and noise impact of the Data Centers turns out to be completely unwelcomed and unwanted by residents of those communities. There is much data from studies which conclusively show that the water and power consumption of Data Centers is very significant resulting in increases in local cost as the local supply is strained. The cost of our utilities and the loss of the peace and quiet of our community should not be offered up to Data Center existence. NO DATA CENTERS anywhere in Louisville Metro. NO DATA CENTERS anywhere in Kentucky.
3395	Goodwin	40218	I do not think we need one in our community. They do not provide any long term benefits because they are expensive to operate in regards to the amount of water and electricity they require. They don't provide any real value for the economy because they do not need a large staff in order to operate. If anything, people will leave our county because the water and electricity bills will rise for the average person to the point where they'll move to avoid the costs. The idea of supporting data centers that support AI, is really just supporting the large corporations behind them while they're only out for their own benefits. Data centers need more regulations and more understanding to where they don't hurt the surrounding environment so much until we can consider having one in our community.
3396	Mattingly	40272	we do not want data centers in Jefferson County or Louisville Ky.....or the state of Kentucky! NO
3397	Trevino	40213	No Data Centers!!!!
3398	hall	40216	leave louisville the way it is , we have enough issues as is without adding more
3399	scott	40215	ABSOLUTE NOT
3400	Funaro	40241	They are harmful environmentally, polluting everything around it and taking what people need like access to clean fresh water, conceptually the generators power generative AI, which is built off the backs of artists, writers, etc. bodies of work, regurgitating their work with no credit, alongside spreading incorrect/or misinformation as it doesn't try to find correct information on the internet, just what may "satisfy" the prompt/or query of individual users.
3401	Ray	40272	I do not want data centers in the Louisville area.
3402	Elpers	40205	I do NOT want one here in Louisville KY.
3403	McNeil	40243	No data centers in residential areas.

3404	Wilcox	40216	I would not like a data center here at all but if they're going to be here in Louisville they need to be away from residential areas and have low water and utility consumption.
3405	Bridwell	40220	No data enter
3406	Rose	40213	I find the introduction of large scale data centers to be disingenuous at best. These data centers aren't just for selling solutions to problems we don't have but also used for large scale surveillance. Aside from the great waste of resources, pollution of all sorts and lack of jobs created, AI isn't even AI. LLM's are not equivalent to intelligence at all, it's another algorithm being used to dumb down our populace and create a metered service... except this time the metered service isn't a necessity, it's an abhorrent assault on general decency and intelligence as we know it. I oppose any and all data centers being built in this county, state and country. Build schools and fund other community resources in order to create genuine intelligence.
3407	Karimi	40204	We do NOT want data centers here!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Please listen to us !!!!!
3408	Hillerich	40206	Data Centers drive up energy costs for everyone despite providing no actual benefit to anyone, pollute our water, destroy our environment, and cause unacceptable noise and light pollution. No data centers should ever be allowed here, or anywhere else.
3409	O'Daniel	40214	Full transparency regarding potential community impact should precede any consideration
3410	Colley	40207	A moratorium on applications for and building of proposed data centers should be initiated in Louisville and all of Kentucky until regulations are in place that address all potential negative impacts on communities and their citizens of said centers Let's not rely on best case scenarios to make decisions that will ultimately impact all of us. Reacting to and trying to play "catch up" after the fact is and should be unacceptable to those making the decisions to build data centers.
3411	Burns	40220	No data centers. Ever.
3412	Watson	40258	Please do not build any data centers in our city. They are dangerous for the environment and residents quality of life. They will cause more problems with their noise, heat omissions, and water usage. Our residents do not want this especially in neighborhoods that's are disproportionately black neighborhoods in the west end of Louisville. I'm sick to hear our city would even consider this and such blatant racism to try to put them near west end Louisville.
3413	Tucker	40291	Data centers are dangerous and environmental nightmares. They dont belong anywhere near louisville.
3414	Crawford	40243	All of those additional regulations are more than reasonable and should be implemented! Industrial zoned areas just makes sense for data centers.
3415	Summers	40215	data centers pollute our water and air and cause loud disruptive noise. there is no space for one in louisville. a city who prides ourselves on having beautiful and clean drinking water.
3416	Wilford	40217	A data center is not only unnecessary but a slap in the face for all Louisville residents. There are quite literally endless ways to help our community that we could benefit from desperately and this is hurtful for the entire community. If the community could vote on this you would see how utterly against it the majority is. We don't need nor want a data center here, stop trying to take our power as a community away by forcing this on us. Thanks.

3417	Denenfeld	40218	I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards
3418	Kincade	40218	We don't want that in our community/ city/ state.
3419	O'Daniel	40214	Cost/benefit analysis should be shared with the public, and local residents should be given an opportunity to block data centers. At this point, the potential costs appear to outweigh the benefits. The lack of consistently applied regulations to protect the public makes the idea of data centers quite frightening.
3420	Hardsaw	40059	I do not believe they add anything positive to our society and area. I do not want them anywhere near our neighborhood or our city. I do not want our local resources (Louisville Water, LG&E, Land, etc...) going to these machines that will lower our land value and disturb our peace.
3421	Miller	40228	Ban these data centers from being built. Do not raise any rates of taxpayers for sustaining these data centers and keep them in industrial areas if ever built. Whoever builds these is responsible for 100 percent of maintenance and the cost of keeping them up and running.
3422	Coleman	40220	I agree and support the latest regulations proposal for data centers in Kentucky
3423	Cassis	40214	Absolutely no data centers. Industrial areas are basically just the entire south end. I live minutes away from warehouses - these data centers would still impact me and most of my neighbors - they just wouldn't impact the wealthiest of Louisville

3424	Mckinney	40059	I have yet to meet a single working class person who is interested in letting billionaires destroy our homes with data centers! These centers pose an enormous threat to our water systems and the environment around us. Without clean reliable water we ALL will pay the price. We are one of the only planets in the solar system with enough water to sustain life. If we intend to keep it that way we need to keep data centers OUT.
3425	Anderson	40211	Our community needs more important things than this! Bad for the people and the environment!!
3426	Deckard	40291	No data center! It takes way to much water and gets to hot.
3427	Houchin	40291	No data centers.
3428	Jackson	40291	Please don't ruin our city with Data Centers
3429	Dellinger	40229	I am not in agreement with a data center nor do I know anyone who is. Please do not allow one.
3430	Beard	40216	No to Data Centers!!
3431	Elfalan	40258	I do not want a data center in Louisville, KY!
3432	Zehnder	40241	No to data center!
3433	Elfalan	40258	I do not want a data center in Louisville, KY!
3434	Cockrell	40210	We do not need any data centers here in Louisville or anywhere... Period! There's no purpose for them and all they cause is destruction. We need to stop relying on technology for everything and trying to get things that aren't necessary. What Louisville really needs to focus on is JCPS, fixing the sidewalks downtown, the roads, cracking down on crime, holding the parents accountable when their kids act up (one of the most important thing on the list), and more!
3435	Coffman	40229	Data centers are like cancer. They dirty the air, the water, and the ground around them. Large scale data centers use as much water as a large city, daily. They use as much electricity as a large city. They can and do create a 60db hum that can be heard for about a mile and a half radius around the building. Putting that in perpective, 25 more db can cause hearing loss. They drive up the bill for potable water, they drive up the bill for electricity, and while doing that they lower your property value. People who live near these things can't give their homes away. Noone wants one near them. Noone. The fact that the city of lousiville is entertaining this is preposterous. Do not let this come to our city, and our state.
3436	3.Sschuler	40243	Regarding items 3 and 4 3. Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; 4. Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required; Strengthen the language that construction and the development of infrastructure required does not increase the costs for taxpayers. And, add that on going cost of operating and maintaing the data center facility does not increase the costs for taxpayers for the life time of the facility.

3437	Macklin	40291	We do not want this!!
3438	Griffin	40299	My main concerns are: the amount of energy it will use and residents are forced to pay. The bright lights and hum that never stop. The use of water in our area and how residents will also suffer from drought and higher bills. Our bills are already to high. The pollution it will throw in the air.
3439	Sanchez	40212	I think data centers should not be built in our city, or at all. I will not pay out of my pocket for these structures to run, when I did not ask for these services. I do not use AI nor do I condone it as someone who enjoys playing video games. We have lived for decades without generative AI. It does nothing good. These billion dollar corpos can take their AI and shove it. Having us pay for it, using and wasting our water, electricity and wifi. NO, THANK YOU.
3440	King	40258	We don't need a single data center in Louisville or even in Kentucky, they are useless, ai is useless and we know these idiots are using data centers to store flock camera footage too, NO DATA CENTERS
3441	Fox	40229	NO TO DATA CENTERS! Data centers are worthless water waisting and energy sucking facilities. They set off a constant noise that can be heard for miles away and they disrupt citizens and wildlife. They should not be built anywhere near Louisville and should stay out of Kentucky all together. NO TO DATA CENTERS!
3442	Craig	40272	They're bad, we don't need to be spied on anymore than we already are; the cost of living is already too high, no one wants higher bills. Also we like clean tap water, and don't want to pay astronomical prices for water once or have to start conserving water. Billionaires and politicians would be fine to lose out on this money for once.
3443	Coomes	40229	The data centers will do nothing beneficial for us. Instead, it will take from our clean water supply which would hurt us significantly.
3444	malone	40218	NO DATA CENTERSSS!!!
3445	hayes	40165	I strongly disagree with data centers being anywhere near a residential area. I'm strongly against them period but there's no stopping progress. People's quality of life will be affected in a negative way and big business must be strongly regulated.
3446	Davis	40245	i do not like the idea of having a huge data center here i have seen the effects of data centers in other places that it does to people and it is horrible i wish whoever is in charge of this would see the harm it's actually doing putting them everywhere it's absurd and is horrible for the environment please rethink putting data centers everywhere for all the people !
3447	Osborne	40218	Data centers aren't needed. They're causing way more harm than good. It'll start affecting the environment and soon trickle down to & start to affect us.

3448	Stubbs	40229	I think your proposal is excellent. In addition to requiring significant distance from schools, residences and day care centers, I would add senior living facilities and hospitals. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to provide input.
3449	kodama	40205	do NOT bring those centers here!!!
3450	Epperson	40220	We don't want any part these in Louisville Kentucky
3451	Glaser	40165	Why the hell build data centers near towns n homes? Ridiculous and dumb
3452	Wiseman	40245	I don't want data centers at all anywhere ever. Thanks.
3453	White	40207	I think data centers are a waste of money and resources, the damage done to the planet and environment is not worth it. People don't deserve to listen to the horrible sounds coming from the data centers, and we shouldn't be knocking down homes and trees for something that may not be profitable let alone safe in 5 years. The water consumption is awful and the water quality is dangerous.
3454	Carrillo	40219	I agree with the Text Amendment to the Louisville Metro Land Development Code (LDC) for Data Centers Draft. This draft proposes regulations to the Land Development Code that will protect some of our communities, land and deny free will to developers attempting to construct data centers. All data centers should be permanently banned as they are an unnecessary waste of resources and have adverse effects on the environment and our communities, heavily monitoring and regulating can only do so much and will ultimately still be harmful to ecosystems and our quality of life. Current and any future centers are/will actively harm the earth and it's residents. This amendment will still affect urban communities, water supply, energy usage and resources; causing irreparable destruction. They're funded by investors who will never be affected and/or don't consider the damage these centers have on our communities and habitats, only seeking the financial gain by destroying our environment and quality of life. We need to better our world and attempt to repair the permanent damage already done on humankind and mother nature. Data centers are detrimental to all life on our world and should cease to exist. This is a plead for the Louisville Council Members to have compassion and consideration to do right by the people and earth to not allow companies, investors and developers to ruin our land even further with data centers. Make this amendment a reality to not allow harmful practices of data centers.
3455	OKEEFE	40219	Data centers only IF they dont use water to cool! Data centers use too much water, even though we have water here in KY we owe it to the future generations to force these companies to test other ways to cool their servers without using so much water. They should also use alternative ways to power the centers. I am not willing to give up earth's most precious resource to store information.

3456	McInerney	40219	I agree with the Text Amendment to the Louisville Metro Land Development Code (LDC) for Data Centers Draft. This draft proposes regulations to the Land Development Code that will protect some of our communities, land and deny free will to developers attempting to construct data centers. All data centers should be permanently banned as they are an unnecessary waste of resources and have adverse effects on the environment and our communities, heavily monitoring and regulating can only do so much and will ultimately still be harmful to ecosystems and our quality of life. Current and any future centers are/will actively harm the earth and it's residents. This amendment will still affect urban communities, water supply, energy usage and resources; causing irreparable destruction. They're funded by investors who will never be affected and/or don't consider the damage these centers have on our communities and habitats, only seeking the financial gain by destroying our environment and quality of life. We need to better our world and attempt to repair the permanent damage already done on humankind and mother nature. Data centers are detrimental to all life on our world and should cease to exist. This is a plead for the Louisville Council Members to have compassion and consideration to do right by the people and earth to not allow companies, investors and developers to ruin our land even further with data centers. Make this amendment a reality to not allow harmful practices of data centers.
3457	Smith	40229	Please do not allow data centers in or around Louisville; their small positives do not outweigh the potential, devastating negatives their impact would have to the community and the wildlife. Please protect our water.
3458	Carrillo	40219	I agree with the Text Amendment to the Louisville Metro Land Development Code (LDC) for Data Centers Draft. This draft proposes regulations to the Land Development Code that will protect some of our communities, land and deny free will to developers attempting to construct data centers. All data centers should be permanently banned as they are an unnecessary waste of resources and have adverse effects on the environment and our communities, heavily monitoring and regulating can only do so much and will ultimately still be harmful to ecosystems and our quality of life. Current and any future centers are/will actively harm the earth and it's residents. This amendment will still affect urban communities, water supply, energy usage and resources; causing irreparable destruction. They're funded by investors who will never be affected and/or don't consider the damage these centers have on our communities and habitats, only seeking the financial gain by destroying our environment and quality of life.

			We need to better our world and attempt to repair the permanent damage already done on humankind and mother nature. Data centers are detrimental to all life on our world and should cease to exist. This is a plead for the Louisville Council Members to have compassion and consideration to do right by the people and earth to not allow companies, investors and developers to ruin our land even further with data centers. Make this amendment a reality to not allow harmful practices of data centers.
3459	Elcessor	40047	WE DO NOT WANT THESE IN LOUISVILLE, IN KENTUCKY OR ANYWHERE. DO NOT LET THEM IN. THEY WILL PUSH US OUT.
3460	Cleary	40212	No data centers in Louisville! They are destroying our environment and stealing jobs from workers! Ban AI!! I drive for a delivery services who uses AI to design our routes, we get put on unsafe roads, unsafe situations, and the order they put the stops are all out of wack! I have a AI camera that regulates our every move and it makes a lot of mistakes! A AI system reviews our pictures that has a large number of rules and AI flags numerous of correct photos. I lost my \$60,000 a year job to AI, the best paying job I could find now is only \$35,000 a year!!!!
3461	Kober	40206	Data centers are the wrong move for our city. I moved to Lousiville 5 years ago after living in Tecas my entire life, my husband had a job offer he was really interested in and although we knew nothing about Lousiville, we decided to take the chance for a short time. We discovered a hidden gem of arts and culture, rich history told clearly through the personalities in each neighborhood. We decided to stay and plant roots because of a vibrancy and a potential that we see so clearly in the city, and adding data centers would be such a clear backside to that potential. The drain on resources and health impact would move Lousiville from a city that is capable of being a top tourist destination for its arts and food and hospitality, to being what the rest of the country currently perceives it to be- just a blip on the map. A southern city that doesnt care about its people. Somewhere yoi might move to for a job, but be sure to leave within a couple of years. Certainly nowhere to raise a family. Say yes to our city amd community by saying no to the data centers, I implore you. This will be a decision that will haunt you for years to come.
3462	James	40214	The data centers must be required to support themselves in electric and water requirements. These cost cannot be passed on the public. If this is not done, it will be another example of the working supporting the large businesses. We cannot afford more increases in our bills. The centers should also only be built at industrial sites.
3463	Valdespino	40065	I am not in favor of Data Centers being built in KY. They will destroy our basic necessities and the environment. Not to mention our ecosystem.
3464	Buchmiller	40071	They are horrible. Toxic to our health. Steal our water. Plant a garden, we need more vegetables not data.
3465	Reid	40220	We don't know true long term impact of data centers around humans. We do know it negatively impacts water supply, power supply, noise level, temperature, property values, and quality of life. Jobs produced are minimal. I

			don't want to have anything like that around me and I don't want anyone else to have to be around one. We should be working to improve quality of life in Louisville as it has been impacted negatively in many ways which I'd be glad to state if Louisville metro would do an intake forum on the topic.
3466	Ralston	40299	I think that this would not be a good idea it's not good for the environment or the people. Why can't data centers be placed in the ocean where there is an abundance of water not so close to humanity that it harms the human race. I oppose the Idea of that being brought to Louisville KY we have enough problems here we don't need to add on to it.
3467	Barrows	40243	Keep it away from Louisville.
3468	Anonymous	40203	I don't want Data Center in 502 at all! But if they do happen: 1. Not within 10 miles of any residential area 2. Closed loop cooling only 3. Pay their Own for water and power rates 4. Tax penalties for not having any solar panels 5. Quarterly random inspections to make sure all rules are being followed
3469	Metcalf	40214	WE DONT WANT THEM!!! IF YOU KNOW THE NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES OF THESE CENTERS WHY ON EARTH WOULD YOU BRING THEM HERE?!
3470	Sobotka	40220	Data centers have been shown to have negative environmental impact as well as harming the mental and physical health of nearby residents. Do not prioritize profits and surveillance over the lives of the people who live here. We do not want data centers, don't put them in our homes. This is also a matter of class; data centers would never go up where the wealthy echelon live. Do not force data centers on people.
3471	Underwood	40245	NO DATA CENTERS
3472	Poteet	40219	No!!! No one wants these here or anywhere close!!!! Save our children, save our water!!
3473	Childers	40242	I believe no data centers should be approved at this time. We are beginning to understand the devastating effects of the demands these centers require for water and electricity. They do not add significant employment. Residents often find reduced property values as well as decreased quality of life.
3474	Chan	40243	Data centers are an unnecessary and costly burden on residents. I think we should ban them all together.
3475	Waelde	40272	Please No Data Centers! Kentucky used to be the Bluegrass state. It's rapidly turning into the concrete state. No one wants the worry of energy drainage, unsafe water, health risks, heating of the atmosphere. Listen to your tax payers who may decide to move AWAY to a state that will listen!!!
3476	C	40222	We do NOT want data centers in our community or state. These are not in the best interest of our community. The environmental impact is unknown, however what we are seeing from other states is that there is a negative impact to both animal and human life due to poor air, water and soil quality/contamination. These will impact our already rising energy costs and pass that burden onto your constituents. There is no long term economic benefit to these data centers. At a minimum, we should place a few year moratorium on these to determine impacts. The community has overwhelming spoken that we do not want this. What interest are we beholden to that we can't listen to the will of the people and think about long term impact to our community? Shut this down

			while we still can protect ourselves. Best, Kelsey
3477	Perez	40212	As a citizen I would like to not have my drinking water compromised, my electricity bill risen to cover the cost of the power drawn from the data center, the slowing down of my wifi, the noise and air pollution the center will generate, or the ecosystem declining even faster than it already is putting every human in jeopardy all to line the already insanely rich corporations just for them to die anyway with all that money for nothing. Data centers hurt more than they help. We do not want a data centers!!!!
3478	Rodriguez	40272	Data centers are harmful and destructive to cities and nature.
3479	Baker	40165	Absolutely under no circumstances should we allow data centers inside the Louisville metropolitan area. No! No! No!
3480	Reid	40299	I would like to see an environmental impact study performed by an independent agency interviewed and selected by a recent College graduate with Sustainability Program degree. I believe the University of Louisville has a Sustainability Program, so finding a qualified resource should be easily obtained. The majority of people paying local taxes are against this development idea. It really doesn't help Louisville, it really is unnecessary as technology is not helping our future generations become smarter, more creative or improve their moral character.
3481	Kaelin	40258	No one wants this in their neighborhood. We lived without AI for 250 years and we can live without it. It takes our environment and its not worth it. It increases our utilities and it will take jobs. Screw AI and stop these data centers
3482	Whote	40203	We need our health we need our water we don't need data centers popping up all over the city.
3483	Lucas	40291	We could use the space to help with the housing crisis in Louisville instead of polluting our water and air.
3484	Braun	40206	They take up a lot of power and residents are paying for upgrades and their bills go up. They use up lots of water, so people are concerned about the availability of clean water. They are incredibly noisy. There is no long term information on them. It could bring down property values which will negatively affect home owners. I'd like to see an environmental impact study done by a neutral organization. I do not want anyone to have to live near there.
3485	Bohn	40206	"Threats to communities are not an inevitable outcome of data center development; they're the result of decisions made by developers, local governments and other stakeholders. Yet even as community involvement grows, major governance gaps persist." The above quote is from the World Resource Institute. I choose to approach the data center issue from a pragmatic perspective, and I understand that data centers have become part of the American cultural and physical landscape. Just like anything else, I believe that data centers, if built with the community and the environment in mind, can exist without harming local water supply, disrupting wildlife and neighborhoods with

			noise pollution, without gobbling up power and driving up electrical prices. Unfortunately, the companies building these centers shroud themselves in secrecy, under the table handshakes, and manipulation of governmental processes. Were data centers built with sustainability and community in mind, showing good faith to the citizens and wildlife that they impact so heavily, they would not have the reputation that they have garnered. Yet they have been shown, repeatedly, to have negative impact on quality of life, water supply, power resources, and trust in our governmental officials. That so many communities around the United States have risen up in protest of these behemoths only to have their own elected officials - who were elected to represent the community - ignore their concerns and proceed with building plans shows a callous disregard for all. I would hope that my Louisville elected officials do not succumb to the pressure of shady developers like Steve Poe. I would hope that they would listen to the voices in the community who are crying out in protest of any and all data centers in and around Louisville. I would hope that they display even a shred of human decency and concern for the environment and community of which they are a part. But it is a shallow hope and I fear that Louisville, like so many other cities around the US, will soon be home to multiple of these monstrosities, not due to any benefit of anyone here, but to benefit the pockets of those involved. Why not put the money into marginalized communities? Why not put it into developing downtown into a vibrant place with apartments, grocery stores, restaurants, and shopping? Why not put money into fixing the problems that we already have instead of creating more? I stand against data centers in Louisville in their entirety and hope that our local officials will follow suit rather than cave to big money. In 100 years, I imagine that future generations will look back on this period as yet another misstep in the management of our cities and our stewardship of the planet.
3486	McDuffen	40210	No data centers!!!
3487	Murphy	40216	I do not want a data center in my community - they pollute and drain our water resources and are noisy and disrupt the bees, causing a decline in pollination of our fields as well as all our native and natural resources.
3488	Felinski	40291	Ban hyperscale data centers and limit future proposals to less than 500,000 square feet; Require any proposed developments to be located in industrial areas while smaller-scale facilities that support existing employers and business needs would be allowed in limited areas; Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required;

			Limit data center development to designated industrial areas; Require environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices; Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities; Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations; Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment; Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development; and Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction.
3489	Need to know	40245	Get them TF out of my country they don't belong here with humans. Blow them up or make them into forests but NO DATA CENTERS. HOW ARE WE STILL HAVING TO TELL THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE THAT THE PEOPLE DONT WANT THEM BECAUSE THEY DESTROY THE EARTH AND EMPTY OUR OCEANS
3490	Barnes	40258	We have enough issues we don't need any data centers here we need our water it already cost enough. I hear it will increase the cost of our energy, the prices are already to high!
3491	Shields	40205	I have concerns with having data centers in Louisville and the impact it would have.
3492	Spuria	40205	Please consider the negative impact this will have on everyday citizens— From noise, electricity cost, and water usage. This DC will hurt the quality of life of the residents of Louisville
3493	Preece	40299	Data Centers should not be placed remotely near any settlements nor should they be placed in or around sensitive natural areas. They are extremely harmful due to noise, environmental pollution, excessive fresh water use, and lowering property value. These facilities frankly should not exist, but I recognize data processing needs are apparent in some cases. If a data center is placed anywhere near the mentioned areas of concern, the facility should be extremely small and show little to no impacts on human and wildlife.
3494	Bronson	40222	Do not want to have these data centers near our homes or recreation spaces. And if they are ever considered, NEVER should any cost of operating them come back on the residents of this area. All costs should be in the company who builds and uses them (energy, infrastructure, etc).
3495	Blazin	40207	Large scale data centers have no place in our city. They cause large disruption to power supplies, water resources and air pollution. It takes very little research to see all the negative impacts they have on communities and it would be wonderful if metro council would put it's citizens above profits.
3496	Colley	40207	A moratorium on applications for and proposals to build data centers in Louisville and all of Kentucky should immediately be initiated so that regulations address all possible negative impacts on communities and their citizens. Relying on best case scenarios and having to react and play “catch up” with negative impacts is

			unacceptable. The KRC's recommendations regarding the proposed regulations on data centers responsibly address issues that need to be considered before rather than after regulations are in place to protect citizens, communities, land and resources.
3497	Sheehan	40205	Start with a minimum of 3 year moratorium so that the city and its population can decide on what is acceptable and where. These need to be fully vetted with public input before any move forward. What I want: - To understand the Size, noise levels, electrical and water consumption. - no data centers in residential areas - no rise in utility costs for residents - if approved, any negative impact on air or water quality is the responsibility of the data centers to correct. - if a data center fails to comply with any restrictions, shutting down with removal at owners expense. - facial recognition cameras are prohibited - collection of personal data is prohibited
3498	Carey	40216	Data centers are harmful and have negative impacts on the environment. I don't want them coming into my community and affecting the water.
3499	Samuel	40204	Hello, I am writing to express my strong opposition to Data Centers being built in Louisville at all, especially outside of industrially zoned areas. The impacts these data centers have had on community water supply, light pollution, disruptive noise, and quality of life have been reported. https://www.lincolnst.edu/publications/land-lines-magazine/articles/land-water-impacts-data-centers/ https://content.leg.colorado.gov/sites/default/files/r26-49-data-center-impacts-on-economy-and-the-environment-accessible.pdf Please, please take the time to consider the lasting impacts of this completely unnecessary developement.
3500	Sample	40229	Please don't do this to our beautiful city!! 🙏
3501	Kyser	40228	Data centers should not be allowed! They will kill out planet
3502	Mercado	40220	Awful! How on Earth are you all going to put a large scale data center in the west end and force us to deal with the consequences of the noise, air, and water pollution!? The economy is harsh enough as all citizens are dealing with bill increases. Data centers will only make our bills sky rocket. What about the water? How are you all going to actively continue to poison our FRESH WATER supply? Yes, this may bring a lot of jobs INITIALLY - but think of the bigger picture: when the data center is built and established, there is not a need for as many workers. NO ONE wants the data center here. NO ONE except the people who will profit off of this. Shame on anyone who supports building these centers that harm the community more so than 'helping' it. Put all of that money that you are funding in the center into the education systems, healthcare, and transportation - the areas that we NEED in order to grow as a society.

3503	Gott	40219	Our city sucks enough lets just fuck it up more huh ?? NO data center !!!
3504	Allen	40272	We DO NOT want data centers in our community! These places should not exist period. They poison the environment, use up clean water, and only benefit billionaires.
3505	Toogood	40150	No, I do not think a vote should have data centers and it should be banned. Regardless of how convenient these things make our life. It's not helpful to our environment and that should be our biggest concern.
3506	Sundberg	40204	I think they are taxing on the community. If they can promise to generate their own power plus promise not to foul the surrounding areas ie: excessive heat, noise, air quality and tainting of water and ground and quality of living, then I would listen to them.
3507	Williams-Planchat	40241	An Absolute No on any Data Centers. It does not benefit the community, will bring noise and water pollution. Our electric, water and sewer bills are already a burden.
3508	Jacob	40205	Protect utility customers by requiring evidence that new facilities will not shift any costs to existing ratepayers; Require the developer presenting the proposal to bear the cost of improvements to infrastructure if upgrades are required; Limit data center development to designated industrial areas; Require environmentally low-impact construction and site design practices; Require substantial separation from homes, schools, and daycare facilities; Require a public hearing and conditional use permit for data centers that do not meet outlined exceptions for existing business operations; Establish noise standards and buffering requirements for generators and other equipment; Require the entity making the proposal to be transparent and disclose the company that would own and be using the proposed development; and Pay prevailing wages in connection with the project's construction.
3509	Thomas	40206	I do not want data centers in our city. Our communities don't want this. This should be something we can vote on instead of it being inflicted on us. Please listen to this for the sake of our communities well being to include climate change and water.
3510	herrmann	40206	PLEASE STOP THIS!!! NO ONE WANTS THESE DATA CENTERS

			PLEASE NO FOR THE PEOPLE- DO NOT BRING DATA CENTERS TO OUR PRECIOUS KENTUCKY HOME (OR ANYWHERE FOR THAT MATTER!!)
3511	Paris	40229	The environmental implications of data centers are horrible. Residents who live near data centers have reported reduced water pressure from the amount of water a data center uses to cool their systems. A single large data center can consume up to 5 million gallons of water a day. This amount equals the water used by a small town. On-site gas turbines and diesel backup generators emit fine particulate matter and nitrogen oxides. These pollutants decrease air quality and cause health issues like asthma. Residents have also reported a continuous humming & an increased amount of headaches. Please do not introduce these problems into our backyards.
3512	Lake	40229	NO DATA CENTERS!! NO NO NO!!! We don't need them, we don't want them. The amount of harm these things bring to communities FAR outweighs any benefits. Begging...please no more data centers.
3513	Anderson	40220	Don't build them! They're a threat to the communities future! If you must build them, build them in Norton Commons or Oldham county, put them where the people benefitting from them will have to worry about them. Steve Poe and Craig Greenberg said they would love to build some in their backyards!!
3514	Blayney	40222	Data Centers are being built at a rapid rate. We are sold the idea we need them. But most of the AI we use is unnecessary for a stable, healthy environment. These centers are large dollar investments by corporations who are not caring about what they take from the community. Let's not get steam rolled by the idea that this is modern tech we need.
3515	Sanders	40028	I DO NOT SUPPORT
3516	Turner	40211	I do not approve of them really but if these are strict stipulations that they are willing to agree with I also want the requirements holding them responsible as well.
3517	Mull	40218	I do not want data centers in my area. It's environmentally bad for our city and a huge disruption to the electricity, water for our city
3518	Beavers	40218	NO DATA CENTERS in Kentucky EVER !
3519	Williams	40211	I dont want the data centers here!!!
3520	Borjas	40245	Please keep data centers out of the great bluegrass state. They pollute the environment.
3521	Smith	40229	Data centers require a large amount of energy, water, and other resources. For this and other reasons, they can be major polluters. Data centers can perpetuate socioeconomic imbalance. There is often a lack of transparency among political leaders, developers, others involved and the public--the community may be left unaware of things that will directly impact it. There is a potential for economic gain but to whom and at what cost? Do not build more data centers.
3522	Rodriguez	40216	No data centers please, I have kids. Let's show them that we care about our environment and their future. AI is contributing to the loss of critical thinking in our society. This needs to be halted as soon as possible.

3523	Hash	40242	I believe the rapid growth of data centers is dangerous if left unchecked. The limitations listed are necessary and the bare minimum.
3524	Montgomery	40245	No data centers in KY, period! The electricity and water belong to humans, not servers full of stolen artwork generating false nonsense.
3525	Fagerberg	40222	The construction of Data Centers only benefits those that build them while destroying the biodiversity of the surrounding environment
3526	Niknahad	40241	We absolutely need a moratorium on building data centers. The health risks have not been fully established and people that live in the communities of these need to have the information and they need a say. This should be non negotiable. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/climate/articles/10.3389/fclim.2026.1648912/full Fee studies that have been conducted clearly demonstrate health concerns for neighbors, yet many communities are unaware that these are being planned. No data centers in Louisville until the research is mature and we understand fully the implications of having these in our communities.
3527	Peabody	40217	Please do not build data centers in the Louisville area. We don't know the long term implications on these types of structures and it is not a sustainable use of fresh water or energy. Please put the citizens health and well being first, not lining the pockets of the ultra rich.
3528	Anderson	40204	I do not want a data center in Louisville. AI is contributing to the degradation of our planet. Our city has some of the best drinking water in the country and using it for a data center is a disgrace.
3529	DeLaney	40223	No data centers near any residential areas, no offsetting energy useage costs to citizens. No contamination of, impact on environment (water supply, soil, air quality).
3530	Taylor	40220	No to data centers in Kentucky! They are harmful to our environment and the health of our residents!
3531	Morrow	40241	Since this is a matter of national security then no parts from China should be used due to the 2024 National Defense Authorization Act. It is against federal law.
3532	Kelley	40208	I am concerned about the environmental impact of Data Centers. Louisville is a beautiful city and I would not like to see it deteriorate as I have already seen it do in my life time. We do not need to expedite this process and should be doing whatever we can to lessen our negative environmental impact and invest in conservation. I am also concerned with how this might make Louisvillian power bills more expensive to supplement the power used in data centers as has been done in other places. Please consider rejecting data centers and limiting AI usage
3533	Feldkamp	40217	I want data centers as far as possible from Louisville. They are taking over and destroying our environment and diminishing the quality of life of residence. Enough is enough, the cons vastly outweigh e pros and we need to do right by future generations.
3534	Clements	40218	I believe having any data centers in our community would be detrimental, not only to the environment but to our citizens. Please do everything you can to keep them from building here.

3535	Montgomery	40272	We don't want them !!
3536	Ambrose	40204	I think it is a terrible idea and the city should focus on its own infrastructure that is failing.
3537	Southard	40206	I Vote NO To Building AI data center in Louisville Kentucky!! No no no
3538	Lewis	40220	We don't want data centers and definitely don't want them anywhere near Louisville
3539	Line	40202	Just no. It should be obvious. We don't need a water scarce, we don't need to ruin the city. The city already has a ton of issues. We don't need more.
3540	Abell	40299	We do not need them in our city
3541	Carry	40211	I believe that the data centers should not be built
3542	Roan	40210	I vote no data centers in Kentucky
3543	Haycraft	40258	Please do not put a data center in our community. This will destroy plant life and animal life. Not to mention the humans who actually live here too. Data centers create so much air pollution, noise pollution, and it takes up space that could be used for beneficial buildings or businesses.
3544	Vetter	40245	NO MORE DATA CENTERS! Please stop building them we have far more important things to protect we don't need more data centers!
3545	Roller	40258	WE DO NOT DID THESE DAMN DATA CENTERS!!!! NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY!!
3546	Winfield	40213	The companies building data centers have all the resources necessary to control the use of power and water. These companies should be required to have the energy (non diesel turbines energy) and water structures in place before building the center. A high percentage of the power should come from renewable sources. AI can provide the plan. Water must be addressed to protect our sources. No data centers should be allowed without appropriate infrastructure IN PLACE. Promises have been broken too many times.
3547	McClellan	40205	I vote for no data centers in Jefferson County! However if the Mayor & Council have already approved one then I support these regulations for data centers. I recommend only ONE data center per county. Ratepayers are heavily burdened by government rate increases & cannot take on private entities increases for utilities, etc. These data centers are not good for our county! Vote No on Data Centers!!!
3548	Bishop	40207	I am against the use of data centers, especially in close proximity to anyone's home.
3549	Franklin	40216	Do not want data centers!!!! Point blank period!!!
3550	Webb	40258	Louisville should ban data centers. They create noise, pollution, and waste. They lower property values.
3551	West	40258	I do not believe there should be Data Centers being built in Louisville as they are very damaging to the environment around them and use an overwhelming amount of water and electricity to run. They are very harmful to the environment and residents surrounding them.
3552	Allen	40217	We don't want data centers in Louisville. They provide no benefit to our community or the environment and are in fact harmful.
3553	Fierro	40219	Any data center in Kentucky is too close to home. No one wants the data centers.
3554	Burton	40258	Id rather not have data centers here in my home area of kentucky.

3555	Brawner	40206	Very simple message - NO DATA CENTER PERIOD. This technology has not been properly vetted to ensure no or near no impact to the environment. It's simply a get richer quicker scheme that has still not proven the value to the mass public. NO DATA CENTER PERIOD
3556	Miller	40219	Data centers should not be approved in KY
3557	Pahler	40220	I will list my concerns in a short, concise overview list: 1) Water issue and risk by river for chemical runoff and disasters if water were to inundate the facility 2) Inhuman amounts of energy and water consumption. What a waste. 3) Immediate environmental impact 4) Forced raise in bills for gas and electric that should be the responsibility of the data centers, but they do a deal behind closed doors to make us pay for their overhead costs because, "the community will eventually benefit". What malarkey! 5) Noise 6) Less wildlife... Specifically less birds, mammals, and insects by being run off by the light and noise. We are already losing wildlife at unprecedented rates! All of these are strong concerns of immediate and/or long term issues to our community. Data centers belong nowhere. Barring that, they ought to be in areas nobody wants to live and should also not be on land that could ever be flooded. Factories and whatnot by rivers is folly.
3558	Lindsey	40241	It's disgusting that Louisville is allowing the building of a data center with almost no regulations whatsoever. Countless evaluations of this one, as well as ones around the country, show that they will raise the already unaffordable rates of water and electricity to rates that will force people to be evicted, foreclose, or move from Louisville in general. This will also have farther-reaching impacts, hurting people's health as well as the health of our local forests and waterways. No data center should be built within the city proper, and at minimum they must pay their own bills. I will move out of Louisville entirely before I let my dollars go to this project.
3559	Croft	40118	I vote NO to data centers. For Public Safety and Religious Concerns.
3560	Velazquez	40216	Data centers represent health risks for their neighbors. Causes noise pollution Light Pollution, air pollution, water wasting. Cost Increase for Home Energy Consumers
3561	Greene	40206	Data centers should not be allowed in the city. Any data centers that uses power off the metro Louisville power grid should be mandated to pay the inevitable increased rate. Residents should not be responsible for the increase in price that would result from a data center using Louisville metro's power grid.
3562	Randall	40208	Please SHARE this post so people know what Louisville and Kentucky leaders are trying to bring unhealthy Data Centers to our communities. These leaders deserve to be called out with bad PR, so they never elected to ANYTHING again! Period

			Link https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BKHHdIdPp/?mibextid=wwXlfr Please also join “No Data Center 502” (the Louisville Kentucky grassroots organized group having community meetings fighting against the Louisville Kentucky Data Center) “No Data Center 502” future group meetings and info can be found on Facebook and IG Mayor Greenberg and most of Louisville Metro city Council members sold out and no longer for the people. Say NO to Mayor Craig Greenberg’s unhealthy HUGE Data Center coming to South and West Louisville. Reportedly the 5 Louisville Metro City Council members who SADLY Voted yes for unhealthy Data Centers in Louisville Kentucky. Yes for a Data Center: Owen Reed Benson Hudson Batshon The 3 Louisville Metro City Council members who Voted No for unhealthy Data Centers in Louisville Kentucky: thank you 3 for standing up for people over big money. No for Data centers: Parrish-Wright Raymond Ruhe Say NO to Mayor Craig Greenberg’s unhealthy HUGE Data Center coming to South and West Louisville. In Mason County/Maysville Kentucky City and State Leaders are misplacing residents and forcing a new Data Center on poor people just like they are doing in a poor area of urban Louisville Kentucky because they know poor black, white, and brown residents cannot hire expensive lawyers and fight back. Oldham County Kentucky which is the richest area of Kentucky rejected their Data Center by using their money and political influence to fight back. Maysville and the one planned between Louisville’s South and West Louisville residents are getting no say in the project sadly by Mayor Craig Greenberg. The people that spoke out against the Data Center at Mayor Craig Greenberg's rubberstamp appointed Planning/Zoning meeting were forced to give their home addresses out on live Metro TV so the Data Center Lawyers can write their names down

			and some including even me sadly received threatening letters from Mayor Craig Greenberg administration the following week. The Louisville Data Center will use 400 megawatts — enough to power about 400,000 homes per month and causes air and water pollution in South and West Louisville. LG&E has asked state regulators to approve an \$11-per-month rate increase for customers, saying the additional revenue is needed to expand infrastructure to serve both new and existing users. Please vote for Shameka Parrish-Wright, Candidate for Louisville Mayor 2026 and the other Louisville DSA Candidates- Andrea Parr for Metro Council and Robert LeVertis Bell for Kentucky state Rep. because they fight against the Data Centers! More info 2nd video: Link https://www.facebook.com/share/v/1BoBkrcJZa/?mibextid=wwXlfr Me Speaking out against the new Data Center idea Thursday-3/5/26 that sadly city and state leaders want to build in a poor area of Louisville Kentucky! Please Say NO to the new Data Center that Kentucky leaders want to push on one of the poorest areas of urban Louisville Kentucky sadly!
3563	Troutman	40219	While I understand the fear of falling behind on technological advances and potential economic opportunities, these data centers are abominable, no matter their size. Whatever benefits you may see to allowing it these private businesses to build these centers in our state, you are paying for them with the health and well being of every citizen in Kentucky. I appreciate the attempt to moderate and regulate these industries but they've shown nothing but contempt for the areas they've appeared in otherwise and have yet to earn any semblance of trust. AI is here and has been here, it is not going anywhere, but jumping into it now is an inevitable path to a lower quality of life for any Kentuckian unfortunate enough to live or work within any proximity to one. This is something I would hold off ok for now with a plan to revisit in 5 years when larger regulations have hopefully been implemented federally. The trust and health of your constituents is at stake here, from all political sides. Please don't dismantle either in a vain attempt to remain relevant; these centers have been proven to be harmful and unnecessary. The fear of them becoming data trafficking centers is prevalent and warranted. If you side with them there may be no one left to side with you.

3564	Yeager	40206	Stop the data centers.....draining the earth - we need green space, not excessive water consumption, noise, or the general public paying the bill!
3565	Hill	40220	Data centers are terrible for the land, environment and communities. We do not want data centers in KY!
3566	Preston	40203	I don't think data centers should be near residential areas. I believe they will consume a large amount of our water and energy, drive up the cost of our utilities, and really don't provide many jobs to our community. They create pollution and take up space that we need to put to better use for our community. Not only that but a lot of our taxes will be going towards this and it's just a waste of resources and money. There needs to be environmental offset programs in place for these kinds of things also so it doesn't impact our planet and destroy our communities
3567	COLEY	40217	Data centers are harmful to the environment, waste water, and raise electricity usage. No body wants these here
3568	Anderson	40165	They are unnecessary and only provide jobs in the initial build and then are largely low staffed so those jobs go away. Utility CEOs have come out saying that data centers cause strain on the power grid for normal consumers and could affect the normal lay person's available electricity. This is just the availability of the electricity, not even the increase in cost for the normal consumer. They also cause strain on normal drinking water availability and contribute to the growing heat and climate crisis. The United States just celebrated its Semi-quintennial, with no data centers, yet all of a sudden they're imperative? This is a manufactured crisis that does nothing but drain resources from already struggling Kentuckians. Despite the financial implications, they also take away the peace and beauty of our rural areas that keep Kentucky a beautiful state through noise and light pollution. Anyone considering a data center does so for no reason other than to line their own greedy pockets. They should be truly ashamed of themselves.
3569	Brown	40258	No more data centers!
3570	Jones	40207	The data center will be terrible for our water supply, we have some of the top tap water in the country. We have evidence that data centers are terrible for the environment and the people who live near data centers. NO TO DATA CENTERS NEAR LOUISVILLE AND SURROUNDING AREAS
3571	Atchley	40206	We do not want data centers here.
3572	Spear	40213	*They should not ever be allowed!* If they do manage to slither into existence, they should be highly regulated so they do not pass costs onto residents, limited, and carefully monitored so as to catch and stop the damages they *will* do.
3573	Deramus	40210	KEEP THE SHIT THE HELL OUT OF LOUISVILLE!!!!!!
3574	Simpson	40222	I support the proposal to ban hyperscale data centers and create rules for smaller facilities
3575	Hughes	40208	No data centers period.
3576	Lamoreaux	40065	Yes, I live right outside Louisville but this data center affects us all. No data centers. Make them tear every one of them down. They are bad for the environment, economy, and out privacy. No data centers should be allowed to stand.

3577	Castello	40222	These data centers are not a positive asset for our communities. The environmental impact still being so unsure could affect tens of thousands of people and businesses. Water is not a renewable resource what we have on earth is what we get and this will negatively effect the water we drink, swim in, bathe in everything. Additionally we have no idea yet the health impacts this will have on our children and future generations to come. This is NOT a good idea and as a lifelong louisvillian born and raised I do NOT support this for my city.
3578	Staples	40222	I support any legislation that keeps data centers from negatively impacting neighborhoods and communities. Data centers (especially large scale data centers) harm the environment through pollution, as well as noise and light pollution. I do not want to see my electric bills increase due to data centers being built near my community. All costs associated with the building and running of the data centers needs to be taken care of by the company building it. Data centers should be restricted to industrial areas that are meant for this type of establishment. Again, I am against data centers being built in my community.
3579	sawyer	40220	No data centers. Dangerous health wise for humans and all wildlife and all nature. Act responsibly...Life above greed.
3580	Darb	40203	Data centers will not bring a meaningful amount of jobs to our city and will only create problems we're not equipped to handle. My bills are already high as is. Not only am I paying more due to LG&E's price increase, this unnaturally hot summer has also put a strain on my energy bill, because my ac has to be constantly running. A data center would only be an extra burden on my already high electricity bill. I'm not wealthy, I'm an average working class person. I don't have money to burn on a data center that won't even benefit me, my family, my neighbors, or any of the other average working class citizens of Louisville, Ky. This is not what we want. This will not be good for our city.
3581	Oshrieh	40228	Data Centers in residential areas create noise and pollution that is unhealthy to people and animals. They destroy wildlife habitat and pollute water. Citizens cannot afford the higher utility costs that will inevitably be passed on to us, especially considering the fact that prices for everyday things have skyrocketed over the past several years. I am entirely against the development of Data Centers. We already have more than enough across the country. I can only hope that our elected officials truly represent the people of Louisville, Kentucky. If not, I will rally with others to vote them out of office.
3582	Robertson	40207	Against data centers in KY all together- no!
3583	Allen	40216	Respectfully.. out of all the places in Kentucky that this could go Louisville is not the spot. Louisville has some of the greatest drinking water in the country please don't ruin our reputation with an unnecessary data center. My vote is NO.
3584	smith	40219	Do not put any within our city. They are a huge waste of money, natural resources, and disruption to the ecosystem. The noise alone will cause effects on people and animals. They will be able to get huge discounts while our citizens pay.
3585	Brothers	40229	Data centers have no place in communities, especially Louisville. The everyday person should not have to bear the burden of the consequences data centers cause like surging energy cost, the swallowing of resources and the

			lack of control once these centers get up and running. All around the country, the debate is growing on who should be given priority when it comes to electricity. We shouldn't have to live in any society where the wealthy and powerful can decide an empty data center deserves resources over humans, potentially making it normal for humans to suffer blackouts and undrinkable water.
3586	Hamilton	40206	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly near residential areas. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities, which is critical for areas already facing high industrial exposure. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding.
3587	Lawson	40291	Absolutely no data centers in Kentucky. Horse farms, small farming towns, small town atmosphere. Look at what a disaster Glendale, Kentucky has become. Go away, we don't want you here, you're not welcome. Our utilities are high enough now, We're the Bluegrass State.
3588	Rand	40216	No data centers!!
3589	Horst	40218	Please consider the health and well being of those who live near a proposed data center location. There is nothing more important than the people who live here and their lives and most importantly their health. I am not against the centers IF needed, but they must be placed in areas that are NOT harmful!
3590	Robinson	40219	0/10 we have the best water I think it'll ruin our water
3591	Smith	40232	Data Centers are not often understood. Nearly every decent sized company has their own Data Center, this allows these companies to safely store their data and often times, your data as well. Whenever you're using things like Netflix, Google, Amazon, you are using these data centers and probably not even aware of it. Data Centers bring in contractors who employ blue collar workers, and bring money into our community. Someone who wants to ban this, don't understand the negative impact this will have on our local businesses who needs projects like these.
3592	Burris	40299	I believe that we should put a ban on data centers! The city of Louisville should not have to bear responsibility for or be negatively affected by these huge mega data centers.
3593	Wood	40216	We are completely saying no to the data center, it's going to cause water pollution, it's going to raise our utility bills. It's going to waste millions of gallons of water a day.

			No. No no.
3594	Sosebee	40071	Data centers are a blight upon humanity. They drive up prices of utilities like water and electricity while producing ungodly amounts of noise and heat, all for the purpose of increasing profits for the richest people on the planet. These abhorrent machinations represent everything that is wrong with our current political and economic climate. The wealthy go through any means necessary to increase their bottom lines while the every day Joe and Josephine are left holding the bag. These things have no place in our communities or on our planet.
3595	Webb	40229	I am concerned about the impact large data centers could have on our community. I would like careful consideration given to increased electricity and water usage, traffic during construction and operation, noise from cooling equipment and backup generators, environmental impacts, and how nearby property values and quality of life may be affected. If data centers are approved, I encourage strong regulations regarding noise, landscaping, setbacks from residential neighborhoods, infrastructure improvements, emergency planning, and transparency with the community. Development should provide clear local benefits while protecting residents and our environment.
3596	Mccloud	40243	No data centers in Kentucky! I stand firm that no data center should be allowed because of the noise and environmental damage that they will cause. We must protect our state, our residents and our future.
3597	Ashby	40204	Do not build ANY data centers in Kentucky. They are incredibly harmful to surrounding communities and the environment. Communities will face immediate consequences and won't have any relief if these data centers are built. NO DATA CENTERS!!!!
3598	Jones	40291	Keep them out of non industrial areas and don't change the zoning to industrial for them. Louisville is exploding with residential construction and the zoning commission doesn't consider the infrastructure for that. I'm sure they won't for Data centers.
3599	Markle	40208	Please do not put data centers in KY especially in Louisville... Until the technology improves and we the people don't go without water and/or have to pay for huge increases in electricity rates..to pay the builder/owners bills!
3600	Murk	40204	A data center in Louisville would bring nothing but adverse conditions to the real people living in this community. Data centers require very little labor, so unlike factories or other centers, there would be no work opportunities for community members. Data centers provide no goods or services, so community members would have no material or lifestyle gain from a data center. And most importantly, the waste of one of our most precious resources— our water— would be exorbitant and criminal. Our cost of living would go up due to the use of power and energy. The ONLY people who benefit from a data center in our city would be corporations and sneering profiteering greedy politicians lining their pockets with vultures' money. Our city deserves better. Louisville is an incredible place; please please please don't sell it for parts like this and render it financially untenable, or worse— uninhabitable.
3601	DUVALL	40272	No to any and all data centers. Keep them out of Kentucky and the USA
3602	Adkins	40214	We dont need any data centers!!! Stop destroying the planet and sucking our natural water supplies dry all for money.

3603	Howard	40213	I would like to have no data centers in Louisville. Thank you for understanding.
3604	Yeager	40206	Building this proposed data center will be a disastrous waste for our community. Data centers do not hold the internet's collection of pet photos and recipes, data centers keep surveillance information and ai (large language models). The Internet's collection of photos is already safely housed on small hosting company computers. AI models no longer need large computer systems. The models are being produced to use few resources, they can now be ran on home computers and soon enough on people's phones (Apple has made enough progress to announce the feature will be released in upcoming new phones). The idea that these ai systems need data centers is becoming a myth. Additionally, businesses are realizing that they have to hire back employees to do the many deeds that ai cannot do, ask Ford about that — this means business will not need data centers, they can use a small on site computer instead. Data centers do not provide long term jobs for the community. Only a few temporary initial set-up jobs are offered, then after that essentially only someone is hired to turn the lights on and off for any inspections that may occur. There will be no revenues from taxes on incomes because there are no incomes. Any revenue from property taxes is well ... probably not worth discussing. Data centers even when running in idle mode suck up vast resources. Electricity is still needed to run the equipment — electricity local residents need. Clean water is needed to cool the equipment — water locally residents need. We are experiencing heat waves never seen before and droughts. Local residents need those resources. There is no long term good value offered by data centers; surveillance information about our citizens is not a public good. Again, building this proposed data center will be a disastrous waste for our community.
3605	Wills	40220	Against it 100%!!! Please don't disrupt our economic system here!
3606	Allen	40212	No Data Centers and you can get rid of these invasive flock cameras while you're at it. Thank You
3607	Dodson	40219	There haven't been examples of data centers that do not cause harm and risk for the surrounding community. As a city, we need to start taking care of our residents and land instead of worrying about what will make the most money. We do not need this. It is not worth the lives of our people
3608	Ogden	40205	Data Centers help by bring the following: jobs/pay taxes/high tech and should be able to locate in other areas besides industrial. This would also help boost our cities economy.
3609	Morton	40213	STOP any Processes UNTIL there IS. TRANSPARENCY for All Neighborhood Communities These centers will effect !!!
3610	Woodard	40212	This data center is a terrible idea!!! There's much more that could be fixed in this city. Adding an Ai data center will only make problems much worse!!!

3611	Ross	40014	No we do not want this
3612	Meegan	40207	Especially bad for Louisville because we are in a valley location, bad toxins will settle here.
3613	Duke	40216	Please stop destroying the river and its natural habitat to so many creatures. Its heart breaking and the draining of natural resources seems ridiculous! I need more info
3614	Vining	40217	These regulations do not go far enough and clearly ignore the will of the people. Almost 82% of people surveyed by the office of planning do not think it's appropriate for ANY hyperscale data centers to exist in Jefferson County. Yet these regulations allow them to exist. The special standards are not enough. They should be entirely banned from Jefferson County, as the people want. But even within the context of the regulations as proposed, they are deeply inadequate. Square footage of a facility does not necessarily indicate the impact or intense usage it may have. The differentiation between the levels is inadequate, they should all have high standards and require permitting, transparency, and public comment. The registration approvals must be eliminated from this regulation. There should instead be an entire category dedicated to just data centers, that require a rezoning, a conditional use permit issued by the Planning Commission. Setbacks must be strengthened to protect sensitive uses and to protect all uses of adjoining lands, not merely existing uses.
3615	Levy	40203	The “incentives” developers propose do not cover the costs of impact. Especially not when incentives are overlayed with any sort of equity lens. This is not a good decision for the city. It’s only a “good” decision for a few people who are in power.
3616	Merideth	40291	I am concerned about the risks of putting in data centers in our city and state. As a mother, I want what is best for the next generation and I believe the noise and pollution it will cause is unacceptable for our youth. Please don’t put any in here!!
3617	N	40215	Louisville is already one of the most polluted cities in the United States. Placing new sources of heat plumes and air pollution directly upstream of the poorest residents is immoral and it will burden Louisville with massive, hidden long-term expenses and damages that cannot be undone.
3618	Jackson	40258	Data centers are terrible for the community, have horrible effects on people, and emits a loud noise that is not safe for young developing children. We do not need any in Louisville.
3619	Firkins	40218	Absolutely no to any size Data center in Kentucky especially Louisville. We don’t have the resources to waste. The amount of water and electric strain on our infrastructure will only affect the people of this city and state. As a collective we stand firm on not allowing our land to be used for such nonsense.

3620	Oxyer	40204	There should be a permanent moratorium on all data centers in metro Louisville/ Jefferson County. They should not exist here - or anywhere. Period.
3621	Branton	40217	All data centers - regardless of size - need to be subject to a rezoning and conditional use permit. The current system is arbitrary and doesn't reflect the intensity of use. Focusing on square footage alone is an inadequate way to assess data centers.
3622	Englehart	40206	Data centers are not sustainable for the environment or the neighborhood surrounding it. I do not want a data center in Louisville and I do not want my tax dollars going towards funding data centers
3623	Barbee	40216	The data center should not be allowed because the environmental and community impacts outweigh the benefits. The facility would require large amounts of electricity and water, create noise pollution, increase traffic, and place additional stress on local resources. Protecting the health, safety, and quality of life of nearby residents should be prioritized before approving such a large industrial project.
3624	Williams	40219	I oppose all data centers. Our energy resources and water are needed for humans not computers. Our land and natural resources should be preserved.
3625	Osburn	40219	It is an awful idea. The juice is not worth the squeeze. AI does not bring enough benefits to warrant potentially polluting the city's water, and causing enough noise pollution to make the area around the data center practically unlivable for miles. This city already has a list of infrastructure problems, there is already a clear disparity between the West side and East side of the city, and adding a data center into the mix may be the final nail in the coffin. The culture in this city from its many infrastructure and job market frailties, all the way to issues with crime has driven out many Louisville-natives from continuing to live here. A data center would send this city to a point that it will never recover from culturally. Compared to other cities around us like Cincinnati and Nashville, we are already an embarrassment because we continue to procrastinate when it's time to solve clear problems. This is ultimately an issue in ethics. If you approve a data center in Louisville, you have chosen money over morals, and should not regard yourself as altruistic, caring, selfless, or as someone who has any regard for your fellow Louisville neighbor.
3626	Hirsch	40299	NO. DATA CENTERS. LOUISVILLE NOT BIG ENOUGH FOR A 500 000 SQ FOOT NOISY CENTER. IT'S CRAZY TO EVEN THINK OF IT. DO THE RIGHT THING. SAY "NO"
3627	harris	40217	data centers should be NOWHERE near any residential areas. furthermore, there should be a minimum set distance away from residential areas. they also should not use drinkable water supplies, or impact citizens lives in any way monetarily— no rising utility bills or taxes to offset the cost of building or maintain the centers. and no negative environmental impact either.
3628	Montgomery	40165	Horrible idea! I don't want them in our city.
3629	Gillespie	40214	Data centers are an unnecessary drain on energy resources, as well as a misuse of land.
3630	Mudd	40165	I think that putting a data center in kentucky period is a horrible idea. It's known that they use gallons of water up, and are mostly put in predominantly black neighborhoods. The need for these random data centers just to be put up is out of hand and needs to be stopped. I vote no.
3631	Hebron	40219	We do not need a Data center, it affects our environment. People have a hard time paying their bills. Creating a data center will increase utility bills and we cannot afford it

3632	Keeling	40291	These data centers being implemented are not shown to be a net positive for the community of Louisville. This city is supposed to be known for some of the best public water in this country, but it has been shown time and time again that data centers can heavily pollute water quality, and many people living near data centers already established have dealt with this in their own home, with their own tap water being unusable. Not to mention the environmental damage to the land these will be placed on as well as land nearby. This town has been is located next to the Ohio river - what protocols has been placed to keep pollutants out of the river as well? Not enough information being given to the public on this issue. Another major concern is the fact that many data centers across different states are being ran at the cost of the public taxpayer in their respective counties. Why should we have to pay property tax or whatever form of taxation for these companies that want to impose these centers in our city, when the majority of us don't even want them? As for the information being gathered and utilized at these data centers, that needs to be absolutely transparent to the public if it is built. Many people believe through their own deductive inferencing that this information will be utilized to assist in the potential for a surveillance state scenario being propped up by flock cameras around the city. Has there been any measure put in place to provide this information to the public? We deserve transparency, and you are not exempt in this responsibility. At this time, I didn't see no effort from our elected officials to provide an answer for any of these concerns. The people Louisville will not forget any of your future decisions on this matter, so make the right one.
3633	Palacios	40245	It is not good for the general public!
3634	Murphy	40207	Absolutely no to data centers in Louisville. They are detrimental to the environment. Provide not one beneficial thing to the community. Will raise utility costs, generate heat and provide no jobs.
3635	Goldener	40211	I strongly oppose data centers in our city. Despite the proposed rules, it is not enough to protect our communities. Allowing Data Centers does not protect, enhance, or improve our communities, but rather puts additional strain on communities and the environment. No data centers!
3636	Arnold	40207	Too large of a scale. The majority will suffer from higher prices and air quality.
3637	Hudgell	40229	The extreme use of the electric grid and the water would overtax our system. Also Montana has had a issue with contaminated water.
3638	Pelletier	40216	Don't build them. Stop building the ones currently being built. Destroy the existing ones. And also get rid of the Flock camera bullshit. Its criminally invasive.
3639	Keeling	40291	An additional point I forgot to add, the cons from the impact of these data sinners do not equal to the pros of state monetary growth or increase of employment the area. These centers fill less positions than a public school! This is not for the people, this is for the benefit of bureaucrats, millionaires, and "philanthropists" who want to bring the eye of Big Brother into reality here in our good state of Kentucky. We will not forget this at all!
3640	Romanowski	40291	The city should require the large tech companies that want to build data centers to pay for 100% of the new electrical infrastructure they require. If LG&E has to build \$3 billion in new power plants to support a data center that uses 400 Megawatts of power, which is 1/3 of the city's total usage, why should Louisville residents have to pay for this? The large tech companies that want to build the data centers should pay. Data Centers are Heavy Industrial centers that do not belong in neighborhoods. The noise pollution from the

			cooling fans that are used 24/7 in addition to the industrial carbon pollution and water consumption will have negative effects on the quality of life for the residents. If data centers are approved by the residents, there should be measures to ensure that they are located in industrial centers where they do not disrupt the daily activity of the neighborhood.. There needs to be transparency to the residents of Louisville. Large tech developers should have to provide disclosure to all residents concerning peak electricity and water consumption of these data centers BEFORE any zoning meetings or building permits are issued. There should be a letter mailed to every homeowner informing of the proposed development and a clear and public notice to allow the residents to have a chance to voice their opinions BEFORE any decision is made; because after all, they will be living there. Many developers that are not residents should not be able to fast track a permit secretly, and there should be harsh consequences for bribing a council member.
3641	Wallace	40223	I would like to voice my strong opposition to any plan to build a data center in our city or anywhere near it. Data centers are disastrous for our municipal systems, pollute our air, and are a phenomenal waste of water and energy. AI centers in particular require outrageous amounts of resources and provide no tangible benefits to anyone who lives near them. Citizens of Louisville did not ask for this, and we have been widely and loudly outspoken in our disapproval of this plan. We want a clean, comfortable, respectable city in which to live and in which to welcome others. AI data centers represent the antithesis of these basic concepts. The plans to build one represent the disdain we feel from our elected officials, and fuel our anger at having our voices ignored. This has been a voting issue, at times the only issue, for citizens in other cities. Do not think it will not be the same in Louisville if these plans proceed. Heed the voices of the people who live here, who should have the only say in whether our community is marred by data center construction. No other voices should matter.
3642	Richards	40205	All the proposed guidelines are a start. There needs to be a generous public vetting of any proposal that leans heavily toward public over developers. And the term “telecommunications hotel” needs to be permanently erased. We are a climate challenged city making it impossible for citizens to live safely in the urban core due to highways, semi trucks, encroachment of heavy industrial and now the specter of the environmental offgassing these days centers create. It’s not 1960 any longer. Let the exurbs deal with data sprawl in a cornfield. I don’t see anyone proposing same near Norton Commons.
3643	Isaacs	40220	I support greater regulation of data centers. I am especially concerned with negative human and environmental impacts from these developments. Utility bills are already unaffordable for things like water and electric, and there should be no direct OR indirect utility costs offloaded to residents from these data center developments. Residents should not foot the bill for infrastructure improvement. Data center developers should cover ALL infrastructure costs to ensure that no residents experience instability in their access to water or electricity due to the heavy demands of the data center. There must also be independent environmental impact investigations for each proposed site to ensure that water resources are not contaminated, critical wildlife habitats are not

			destroyed, and people using nearby residences, schools and businesses have no negative health or quality of life impacts from the development. There must be strict regulations for ALL potential data center developers regardless of size or wealth, so that the above requirements are met.
3644	Gilpin	40214	As a southwest Louisville resident and someone who works in community outreach and engagement, I believe that officials should require multiple community meetings with residents on any proposed project at varying times to allow for all types of people to attend, and require developers to meet with the community during this process. In addition, we should ensure that the city is getting what it deserves as far as any deal it makes with a developer, using a Community Benefit Agreement that ensures Louisville is financially compensated in ways that benefit the public, the environment and the health of wildlife and humans are protected, the project provides jobs - and not just construction jobs - and there are guardrails in case any project shuts down abruptly, changes course, or expands as the AI boom cycle continues. We should also: - Ban hyperscale data centers - Ban development near schools, playgrounds, neighborhoods, the zoo, parks, conservation areas, daycares - prevent costs from being shifted to ratepayers - monitor water usage and come up with a plan to make sure these costs are also not shifted onto the public - Have community advisory boards on any project to increase transparency. A moratorium is really needed to give time and space for these regulations to be set. Many cities and counties of all sizes are doing this so that they have room to breathe during this unprecedented, fast-paced, and unpredictable moment of data center boom. Thank you for considering my comments.
3645	Ramsey	40291	Waste of fucking space. Destroying ecosystems in the process
3646	Ullrich	40205	No data centers in Louisville. Period.
3647	Criswell	47170	I believe they are bad for the environment and that the majority affect the surrounding population. Data centers affect the water and air in they're areas. We should prioritize our communities health rather than money or profits.
3648	Ricketts	40218	We absolutely should not have a data center in Louisville. It brings no assets to our city and only depletes our resources. PLEASE DO NOT bring this to our city and disrupt our water. I love our water.
3649	Hughes	40222	Data Centers are invasive and unnecessary. Let's keep Kentucky beautiful, don't make Louisville into some ugly industrial park like Indiana has.
3650	Peckham	40216	I DO NOT WANT ANY DATA CENTERS IN THE METRO AREA REGARDLESS OF SIZE
3651	Young	40216	No data centers !!!!!
3652	Center	4E+05	Please stop all production of data centers u til the American people are assured their water, electricity, will be still be there for them. Not brown water like AOC showed. Environment should be protected. Americans can not

			pay for these data centers. Our bills should not go up . The ai companies should foot the bill. It's horrible that these companies are making backhanded deals with small town governments without even knowing the debilitating effects on our communities. Toxic toxic toxic!!
3653	Buege	40272	I don't believe they should be in our city. We have enough things affecting our environment like all of the warehouses etc. let's try to keep our city clean and lessen our footprint with the environment and also not disrupt neighborhoods and waste resources.
3654	Payocong	40204	Louisville's best products are bourbon, derby, our tap water, and our parks. A data center threatens all that in ways that we might not recover from. LG&E already hiked our prices as is, the tornado alley is moving in, we are prone to flooding, our water will be scarce. And with rising temperatures, having a data center competing with our ACs would be disastrous. Not to mention the noise, it will b a public health issue. It is unacceptable for us to have a data center just because Greenberg and his friends want to make more money. No data centers, not now, not ever.
3655	S	40219	No, simply dont want, its will do more harm then good.
3656	Logsdon	40208	No resident wants any data center period. 500,000 sq feet is way to big and the zoning in this city coukd allow this to be built very close to residences.
3657	Smith	40272	I don't want a data center in our city. I feel that wherever it would be placed would cause environmental damage that would seep put through the rest of our city. I bet that it's not being proposed in Prospect but somewhere with people who have lower socio-economic status and struggle as is. This is not the type of economic development that will help our city to flourish. Hasn't there been enough record throughout history of environmental damage affecting the drinking water of children? Unless it's a data center that actively builds the ecosystem, plants trees, keeps the water from being contaminated, or doesn't destroy the habitats of creatures we don't want this in our city.
3658	shearer	40208	Data centers are going to steal the all natural resources we have in kentucky. We do not want another giant building, emitting ear piercing noises, ruining our water supplies, using AI is disgusting as it is. I want my children to grow up in a world where the world is still the same as i remember it. NOT BEING TOLD WE DONT HAVE SINK WATER TODAY BECAUSE A DATA CENTER TOOK IT ALL!
3659	Barger	40228	We have too many people in Jefferson County now Put data centers somewhere else! Use the other blue oval building in Glendale for them
3660	Ramirez	40214	I've lived in Louisville my whole life and I'm raising my family here. I am strongly against data centers anywhere within the city limits. We don't know the long-term environmental, physical, or financial impacts of data centers, and therefore should have very strong restrictions and regulations around them.
3661	Wood	40217	It's sickening to watch our elected officials sell us out to these wannabe techlords for a quick buck. We are already seeing the adverse effects of these data centers all across the country. They are polluting the air, water, and soil and are causing residents to foot the bill, not just for water and electrical consumption, but for

			generations because the earth will be harmed by these monoliths of willful negligence. Shame on our leaders for siding with big tech when the vast majority of the people in this city don't want data centers. Do better.
3662	Wessels	40208	Please don't install any data centers in or near Louisville. They are harmful to wildlife, introduce nuisance- level noise pollution into the environment, and unnecessarily deplete the water supply that is essential for human and animal health.
3663	H	40214	I like having clean drinking water. I like having electricity. I don't like excess pollution. Listen to the people in your community. Stop voting with your pockets and vote with your conscience.
3664	Manning	40204	Please do not build a data center in ky. They are loud, take away natural resources and are unneeded. Please don't take away our peace , green space and water for big data.
3665	Reese	40218	No to data centers in Louisville, KY
3666	Pahler	40220	I am strongly against data centers in or near any residential or urban areas.They create significant strains on local resources and the residents quality of life. The amount of dollars they say they will bring in does not out weigh the negative impact they will have. The large tax breaks drain local funds without creating meaningful jobs and the massive energy and water demands drive up utility bills. The continuous air and noise pollution from backup generators is also a concern. Nothing about AI data centers is good! We don't need any more. Let's not jump on this bandwagon.
3667	Buford	40228	No data centers should be built in Louisville! It's to dangerous for the people who call Louisville home.
3668	Fuchs	40245	NO DATA CENTERS.
3669	Cecil	40216	I do not want a data center in or near my city
3670	Manion	40215	Will I be affected by the data centers and why do we need them we don't need them in farm lands we could only use them in the city .could we get jobs at data centers I only make 14\$ an hour for 2 years
3671	Gardner	40211	We do not need a data center in the city or neighborhoods. Ideally data centers don't belong in any environment. As a west end resident we are worried about the side effects it will bring to our environment and our residents. Not to mention it potentially can increase our electric bills and will cause sound pollution.
3672	Saylor	40299	Data centers are pointless. Especially in a state like Kentucky. We do not need ai, let alone data centers destroying our economy and using our water. It's 2026 and it's time to actually make a positive change for the earth and our community rather than further damaging it. The fact this is even a discussion is disappointing. Do better
3673	Hampton	40217	I vote an enthusiastic no. These data centers have very little value to anyone in this area and will only drain our resources with extremely questionable side effects to its surroundings. Who benefits from these? Has public health or safety even been researched? Please prove to me that these data centers will have any actual value over the potential dangers they will ultimately present.

3674	Non	40299	All anyone cares about is money. Will this make money? We don't care if it makes money. It is clear the government cares more about money than its own people and the environment we are responsible to care for future generations. We don't need more data centers. We need more human interaction and clean resources.
3675	Romanowski	40291	Data centers cost too much for taxpayers.
3676	Logan	40204	I would not like to see and data centers or data hotel in the Irish hill neighborhood we are a tradition old historical neighborhood and it does not fit with the neighborhood traditional look and feel
3677	Adams	40207	My biggest concerns today are electrical demand, water consumption, excessive acreage use for the sites, and whether the local economic benefits justify the infrastructure and tax incentives required to support these enormous facilities. I want to know that my LG&E rates aren't going up because of hyperscale data centers or data centers in general. I don't want ambiguity in that statement either. It's a yes or no answer as to whether or not this will impact my finances. Do not allow the sites to exceed 50 acres.
3678	Puckett	40214	Data centers are detrimental to our communities and should NOT be allowed in or near our city.
3679	Hatchett	40211	I don't think having a data center would be good for our environment or health. I think it would do more harm then good and would further damage and negatively effect my city/neighborhood. I vote no for data centers
3680	Slowness	40212	Please do not allow data center in metro city and west Louisville. There are already disparities in theses neighborhoods and we don't need another thing that will strip resources
3681	Guymon	40208	Inner-city development requires active, high-density employment or mixed-use spaces that breathe life into the neighborhood. Dedicating thousands of square feet of urban land to a windowless fortress that employs fewer than 50 people is an irresponsible use of our city's finite space. We need development that creates local jobs and community wealth, not a digital warehouse that locks out the neighborhood.
3682	Eizaddoust	40031	I am against any data centers coming to Lagrange Kentucky. They will use up all our resources such as water and electricity. They are also cause noise pollution. They need a source other than fresh water.
3683	Cannon	40059	No to Data centers.
3684	Cargill	40216	I do NOT want the Data Center to be put in this location. There are many environmental effects that this area has had to deal with from the current businesses and factories. We do not need this new addition to the area.
3685	Morton	40204	Hazardous to people in service of Money that doesn't help anyone.
3686	Daniels	40212	I oppose data centers being built!
3687	Ward	40217	Louisville does not need a data center. They are ecological disasters, that taint waterways, are under investigation in some states for the waste they dump into the water supply, and consume resources that could benefit the community. We the people shouldn't have to bear the cost of data centers burden on utility infrastructure. They also create very disruptive noise pollution and destroy property value.
3688	Jones	40291	They're bad for community members utility rates and bad for the environment causing lasting negative impacts on community health and wellbeing. Please don't let data centers in our beautiful city.

3689	Miller	40216	We do not want or need data centers. LG&E can barely keep up now. Just block them all in Jeff Co.
3690	Burd	40204	NO AI DATA CENTERS!!!! This would make our city a HUGE embarrassment. Any government official with any care for their people would never allow our lands and our homes to be poisoned by data centers
3691	G	40219	Please do not allow any data centers in Jefferson County Kentucky. If they are approved, the companies constructing, operating, and using the data center should be required to pay for 100% of all increased utility and infrastructure costs. Costs such as electricity and water. No costs should be passed on the residential users. Data centers should be held 100% financially responsible for any degraded service to residential power and water. No residence should be subjected to increased noise and heat from any data center. Please take seriously your responsibility to govern for the benefit of all people in Louisville / Jefferson County. Thank you.
3692	Cox	40204	Data Centers should not be allowed in the city of Louisville. I've watched those with plans to build them try not only to build them in Louisville, but also in my hometown of Eastern Kentucky. They are bad for the environment and for the people forced to endure living by them. It lowers home values, causes constant noise, and drains our resources. Data Centers SUCK and have no place in my communities.
3693	Garritty	40208	I am very against this proposal. I have seen the experience of residents of other US cities stating that data centers negatively impact their quality of life.
3694	Stro	40214	I am wholly against Data Centers in Louisville, and Kentucky as a whole. They provide ZERO positives to our city, and a host of extremely negative impacts. They pollute our environment, put heavy strain on our water and electrical grids, and emit dangerous noise levels. Having them within miles of residences has been shown to cause significant health issues due to the constant loud noise, upwards of 60 decibels even from thousands of feet outside the building. Children are especially at risk due to how these noise levels affect their brains. Children in communities near Data Centers have been shown to have significant issues with insomnia, anxiety, and have even developed extreme paranoia. Noise of this magnitude and frequency is equivalent to psychological and sensory torture. Louisville has always been one of the cleanest water systems in the country. A data center would ruin that reputation and negatively affect the health and wallets of residents. Clean drinking water belongs to people, not AI. Many of the "benefits" are either non-existent or completely overshadowed by the harm they cause to communities. Multiple cities who allowed data centers to be built have reported economic projections were misrepresented and new jobs were often less than half the proposed amount. WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN KY.
3695	Stewart	40208	Many of the people surveyed were against data centers. If you're going to go against the wishes of the tax payers ALL data centers regardless of size should be subject to zoning and specific use permits.

3696	Tench	40215	Since a data center was approved under Greenburg's watch, that means I would never vote for Greenburg in the future. Any politician who allows or supports data centers automatically loses my vote.
3697	Williams	40211	We do not need any data centers here in louisville. Especially not the West End. I vote against it.
3698	Garcia	40213	If data centers are a necessary evil, they must be built away from the already aging infrastructure of cities. Water consumption is already alarming, after about 4 or 5 pm the water pressure has dropped at least half. And that is before data centers, at their peak consumption, water may be rationed soon. Please consider all the facts and then make a plan to minimize the impact in our community of these centers that take more than they provide. When all the creeks run dry, and all the trees are cut, and the air is polluted, data will not replace their loss. Please consider the trade offs, let alone the privacy concerns.
3699	O'Hagan	40222	Adding a data center will only be damaging to our surrounding environment that we value as locals. Essential needs should be not limited and will impact those most who can't afford anything less. Instead of being greedy think about the future of our community and planet and do not allow a data center in our town.
3700	Bagby	40299	Research has proven Data Centers are not safe for the environment or US. Electric and water costs ESCALATING, noise levels causing humans emotional distress. Now abnormal bacteria found at Data centers? TIME TO PULL THE PLUG on Dats Cents until more in-depth solutions are in place at every Data Center. If people or animals get sick YOU, government leaders WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE. We have to ask, "WHATS THE DAMN HURRY??" Thank you, Melinda M Bagby
3701	Nalley	40218	I am concerned reading studies and news stories about negative effects to the local water supply and energy grid from AI data centers in other areas of the country. Brown and low pressure drinking water, electric brownouts and increased cost. This also includes noise and air pollution, especially from generators on site that are used. We need real regulation with actual oversight and harm prevention. We need policies for immediate consequences and immediate shutdown if there's a violation or negative community effects until they are remediated- not a crime tax they can just pay for and keep causing harm. If any data centers are built, they should be directly for use by our actual community and businesses based on an actual need and providing a solution. We should not be building them for AI to the detriment of our environment

			and quality of life.
			Consider WHO and HOW MANY people are asking for the data center compared to against. Listen to the people
3702	Randall	40204	If you think the heat wave we've had is bad, the data center will make it worse. The centers emit a lot of heat and waste our precious water. For what? Storing data? FOR WHAT? Why do we need "data" stored? We the people don't want our Ohio River to be polluted any further from data center chemicals. The country is experiencing drought. The 100th meridian is now the 99th, the dryness is expanding east. You'll understand when you can't shower or fill a pool. You'll understand when no amount of shade will make you cooler from the heat of a data center. Louisville's power grid needs updating, needs to be stronger. Imagine the amount of power it needs to operate. We the people are not paying that bill. No data centers in Louisville. Thank you.
3703	Wilson	40218	I do not believe that data centers should be built as they cause harm to our environment and water conditions.
3704	Warner	40203	Data centers are terrible for the environment and society as a whole. They should never be allowed in this city or anywhere else. Have u not seen the matrix? 🤖 🤖
3705	Fields	40229	Data canters are harmful to both the environment and anyone living near them. There are so many studies showing how harmful they are and we don't need them.
3706	Able	40207	I am extremely concerned with the maintenance of water quality both on the freshwater AND the wastewater side when it comes to building datacenters in Louisville. We've become known for having some of the best drinking water in the US and I would like it to stay that way. Any new datacenters that are built need to provide their own wastewater treatment at a minimum for any water that goes back into the system. And there needs to be a guarantee that the overall water pressure at residences and businesses within the area will not be affected.
3707	Webb	40214	No data centers in Louisville!
3708	Davis	40214	No data centers! Do not allow them to build one in our city!!
3709	Wagner	40205	I do not want data centers in Louisville.
3710	Everett	40205	Data Centers are wrong for any place where people live, the people of Louisville do not deserve to have them in our backyard. It will drain energy and drive up prices, they will cause noise pollution and raise temperatures in our area. NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, OR ANYWHERE.
3711	Blanche	40211	Data centers are a direct threat to the health of the residents of Louisville. There is already large air pollution in the west end and a record of it causing fatal illnesses. These data centers won't do anything but harm Louisville

			and our future generations. We should be pushing to make Louisville more green especially as a growing city and all the tourism we get.
3712	Corcoran	40204	Please keep them out of our city. I have enough to deal with, I don't need my air and water poisoned. Nobody wants these things near their homes.
3713	Gerstner	40220	Listen to the people! We do not want these ruining our communities and environment!
3714	Lansing	40217	I think that data centers offer little to no economic value after initial construction, and that the negative environmental and community impact far outweighs the value that they offer. Say no. Don't invite them in.
3715	Ely	40206	"Then the coal company came with the world's largest shovel And they tortured the timber and stripped all the land Well, they dug for their coal till the land was forsaken Then they wrote it all down as the progress of man" – John Prine Now in the 21st century, replace coal company with Hyperscale data center and you have our current predicament. I think of data centers like I think of oil wells. At their core, they are converting resources (extraction) such as land, water, precious metals, and electricity into \$\$\$\$. What entities receive this money? Well despite the utter opacity and ocean of NDAs, shell companies, and "confidentiality" citations of national security sensitivity.. in essence it is the usually oligarchical tech companies such as Microsoft, Amazon, Meta, Oracle, Google, and the new AI folks. In short, the \$\$\$ generated from these datacenters are inevitably wired into massive tax shelter accounts in the Cayman Islands for the ultra-wealthy. Who is benefiting from these data centers? Because it certainly is not the tax paying residents of our great state. Of course.. data centers are nothing new.. they are likely powering the digital infrastructure which is allowing us to fill out this public comment.. HYPERSCALE data centers are an entire other beast.. potentially 100x the footprint of traditional data centers which are used to power most digital e-commerce, banking, and social media. These hyperscale centers do not represent traditional expansion, proportional to our societal needs. Please Please Please think in the long-term and pause all construction plans and agreements of hyperscale data centers. We do not want to be left to fit the bill when the hype dies down and the tech grifters inevitably move on to the next cash-cow. Be patient, be calculated, and recognize that the risks outweigh any "rewards". Let's focus on economic opportunities which will benefit residents, tourists, businesses, and industry alike. Rather than provide shadowy tax incentives for shady mega-corporations which are only interested in our resources (and certainly not in protecting our health).

3716	Kleemeier	40204	No DATA CENTER NEEDED!
3717	Gutman-Blaske	40218	NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE. NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY. The people of Kentucky and Louisville do not want this in our city!!
3718	Davis	40214	They're disgusting and we have zero need for them in our community. They're loud, they consume copious amounts of drinking water, and they stop the human mind from doing anything meaningful through their use of AI. We do not want or need that in Louisville
3719	Foster	40206	Protect Zoos, parks, wildlife, not near hospitals , nursing homes, medical facilities of any type. Absolutely no putting the cost of utilities and water to citizens. Require them to recycle and reuse water to create power they can do it. Explain the 500,000 no one understands what that means. The noise must be prevented as well as vibrations caused by the operation.
3720	Holwerda	40205	Data centers are a considerable burden on local water and electrical supply. These raise costs for local residents without clear benefits. Any Louisville data center would need to show energy independence (instillation of enough clean generation) and no negative impact on water supply.
3721	Sanders	40217	I do not think we need Data Centers ANYWHERE. They use up fresh water and pollute our waterways. They are excessively loud and disrupt day-to-day life. They destroy land and homes. We do not need data centers in Jefferson County or anywhere else.
3722	Johnson	40258	Data centers should NOT come to Louisville as they pollute the air & harm the environment around them as well as cause major damage to the water due to how much water they use to cool.
3723	Grimm	40245	DON'T FUCKING BUILD ONE HERE AT ALL - THANK YOU.
3724	Combs	40215	There are too many unanswered questions about energy demands and also the environmental impacts and it seems they are pushing really hard on these things to get done in a hurry.I say NO.
3725	Epperson	40220	NO DATA CENTERS IN 502 Stop STEVE POE
3726	Sims	40272	A big NO on Data Center we can't even get the wall at Watsonville Rd and Blevins Gag
3727	Kiefer	40217	I do not want data centers in Louisville. They cause pollution and don't bring may jobs to the city.
3728	Schaeffer	40299	NO DATA CENTERS IN KENTUCKY! I value nature!

3729	Rice	40213	DISGUSTING !!!!!!!!!!! I DONT WANT DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!!!!!
3730	Whittington	40214	The Office of Planning has completed a draft of the proposed data center regulations and I am on board with their regulations!
3731	Ringo	40291	Data centers are a strain on resources and a nuisance to residents. They do not belong anywhere near residential or agricultural properties, because they do not provide a place to live or food to eat. NO DATA CENTERS.
3732	Smith	40272	FUUUUUUUUCCCCCKKK no.
3733	Concepcion	40216	Simply putting data centers in industrial zones is NOT enough. We have to say no to data centers completely, please!
3734	Thacker	40241	I'm partially in favor and partially opposed to the proposed data center regulations. AI is a useful tool that I frequently use both in my personal life and work. It is also a growing chunk of the economy. But it won't work if everyone bans the compute that trains and runs it. So, I start from the position that we should encourage local data centers so Louisville can be part of this new industrial revolution. Now, there have certainly been horror stories about noise, pollution, and rising electricity costs from data centers. We should appropriately regulate the externalities and tax data centers to offset costs. However, also remember that there's a lot of anti-growth misinformation exaggerating factors like water usage (basically trivial compared to other uses like agriculture). I'm particularly opposed to an arbitrary cap on data center size. This doesn't address the real risks and could simply encourage several smaller centers rather than one more efficient large one. Done right, this could be a large source of economic development and tax revenue. See for example Northern Virginia. "NUTC's 6th Biennial Data Center Report examines the industry's impact across the Commonwealth in 2024 and 2025 — generating nearly \$40 billion in economic output, supporting more than 112,000 jobs, and contributing over \$1.5 billion in annual state and local tax revenue." https://www.nutc.org/communities/data-center-and-cloud/report/
3735	Jackson	40220	Our city and community shouldn't be used for data centers. The amount of water and electricity it takes to run them comes at tax payer dollars. We don't know the long term effects or impacts it will have on native animals, people, or our city. Our health and fresh water are worth more than any data that would be stored there.
3736	Nolan	40018	As a senior citizen, I would sure hope that our council would understand the negative outcomes that something like this could have on our city. We have such a beautiful park system and our children deserve a quality of life that is free from aggravated noise, households dealing with even higher utility bills and our water supply being disrupted. Not to mention possible health risks. I sincerely ask our council to please consider all the cons in these situations. Thank you for your attention.

3737	Beyke	40299	I am not in favor of any data centers in Louisville metro area due to noise, utility costs, neighborhood impacts and unknown health consequences due to data centers and their operations. Also lack of total transparency surrounding land acquisition and development makes me say No Data Centers period here.
3738	Heil	40206	Please, please keep data centers out of Louisville. This evil is not necessary.
3739	Stettler	40291	This is obviously a growth opportunity for our city/county. We have plenty of computer-oriented employees and could bring in additional tech-oriented staff from neighboring cities/counties residents. Data centers are excellent opportunities for our workers.
3740	ODaniel	40220	NO data centers in Louisville. NO data center in Kentucky.
3741	Guest	40206	I am wholly against Data Centers in Louisville, and Kentucky as a whole. They provide ZERO positives to our city, and a host of extremely negative impacts. They pollute our environment, put heavy strain on our water and electrical grids, and emit dangerous noise levels. Having them within miles of residences has been shown to cause significant health issues due to the constant loud noise, upwards of 60 decibels even from thousands of feet outside the building. Children are especially at risk due to how these noise levels affect their brains. Children in communities near Data Centers have been shown to have significant issues with insomnia, anxiety, and have even developed extreme paranoia. Noise of this magnitude and frequency is equivalent to psychological and sensory torture. Louisville has always been one of the cleanest water systems in the country. A data center would ruin that reputation and negatively affect the health and wallets of residents. Clean drinking water belongs to people, not AI. Many of the "benefits" are either non-existent or completely overshadowed by the harm they cause to communities. Multiple cities who allowed data centers to be built have reported economic projections were misrepresented and new jobs were often less than half the proposed amount. WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN KY.
3742	Robinson	40218	I'm writing to oppose allowing data centers in our city. These facilities consume enormous amounts of electricity and water for cooling, straining resources residents already depend on and potentially raising utility rates. They also create very few permanent jobs relative to their size and resource use, especially compared to what the same land could support (housing, retail, etc.). Developers often negotiate long-term property tax breaks, meaning residents may end up subsidizing a facility that gives little back to schools and infrastructure. Cooling systems and backup generators can also run continuously, creating ongoing noise and air quality concerns for nearby neighborhoods. Once built, these are single-purpose industrial structures that are hard to repurpose, permanently limiting better uses of scarce land. I urge the city to reject any proposal, or at minimum require a full independent review of water use, energy demand, and noise/traffic impact before moving forward.
3743	Love	40291	Proposed data centers - we do not want them-period! Why? Research shows they put exorbitant strain on community grids, consume exorbitant water resources, return polluted water to our earth, damage life cycles of

			birds, cows, pigs, birds, fish and humans, cause climate temps to rise in and around the center and contribute overall to hotter environments as well as bring 24/7 sound pollution. Moreover, they are linked to numerous negative and terminal human factors such as cancers, infertility, lung and respiratory diseases, economic conditions like increased electric, gas and water bills. The later generally causes communities to have to limit their consumption in favor of centers as well as pay more. Meanwhile, data centers consumer resources and exhaust some of them, receive tax relief, property relief and incentives to come to our communities with the promise of jobs, tech opportunities with claims they are safe and in fact neither appear to be true. Greed is driving these decisions by our government and leaders. I say NO to data centers! Allow but limit the one, how many kWh will they be permitted? How many gallons of water per day will be allowed? Where will that water come from? What are the limits on environmental noise limits, will the company running this required to submit environmental reports to the city/county with healthy limits set? No water=no life! And you cannot eat money. No data centers! Other nations are taking it at a slower pace- not because they can't go faster but because they are finding improvements to support the needs of data centers that do not require the massive volume of water and power: space cooling and solar or wind energy.
3744	Dietrich	40206	I strongly OPPOSE any large hyperscaling data centers inside Louisville city limits, and in the state of Kentucky more broadly. They are being pushed by industry lobbyists and provide LITTLE TO NO benefit for the local communities they are being built in! The jobs are largely temporary, but the long term effects (water and noise pollution, increased power bills, strained residential infrastructure) will be here to stay! And, considering the outlook for AI is not clear, how would we in Louisville repurpose any abandoned data centers should the AI bubble pop and some of these companies go under? These things are being rushed through while largely ignoring resident sentiment while those in power collect kickbacks and listen to industry lies. These data centers are a BAD deal for Louisville, and I will organize against any council member and elected official that pushes these through against the best interests of the citizens of Louisville.
3745	Geddie	40059	I support a balanced approach to data center development and urge Louisville Metro to avoid imposing unnecessary restrictions that would make our community less competitive for technology investment. Louisville has a unique opportunity to become a major technology and digital infrastructure hub. Our location, transportation advantages, reliable utility infrastructure, and business-friendly environment have already attracted interest from major data center developers and related industries. LG&E has announced its first hyperscale data center customer, with plans for a large-scale campus in Louisville that represents a significant private-sector investment in our community. Data centers provide substantial economic benefits. They generate millions of dollars in property tax revenue and other local economic activity, support hundreds of construction and skilled-trades jobs during development, and create long-term careers in engineering, electrical systems, networking, operations, security, and facility

			management. The presence of data centers also helps attract related technology businesses and strengthens Louisville's ability to compete for future investment. Modern businesses, hospitals, schools, manufacturers, logistics companies, and government agencies all depend on cloud computing and digital infrastructure. Data centers are the backbone of the modern economy, supporting everything from online services and artificial intelligence to healthcare systems and emergency communications. Communities that welcome this infrastructure are often better positioned for long-term economic growth. Louisville has built its reputation as a center for logistics, manufacturing, healthcare, and business services. Data centers represent the next generation of critical infrastructure and align well with our region's strengths. They can increase demand for skilled labor, encourage workforce development, and create opportunities for local contractors, electricians, construction firms, and technology professionals. Unlike many industrial uses, data centers typically generate relatively low daily traffic after construction is complete and can coexist successfully with surrounding development when appropriate standards are in place. The city should focus on reasonable, evidence-based regulations that protect residents without discouraging investment. As other states and cities compete aggressively for technology projects, Louisville should seize the opportunity to attract high-value investment, expand its tax base, strengthen its economy, and establish itself as a leading technology destination in Kentucky and the Midwest. I encourage Metro Council to adopt policies that welcome responsible data center development rather than creating barriers that could drive these investments elsewhere.
3746	Fulk	40291	I absolutely do not want any data centers anywhere around the city or surrounding areas. I have 3 small children, it's hard enough to raise them and keep them healthy in this world! We do not want it! What do we need this data center for anyway? For more unsolicited flock cameras? No thanks! Keep KY beautiful!
3747	Walsh	40291	Absolutely not, they are mass surveillance centers that are solely detrimental to our environment, freedom, economy and our health!! They destroy property that could be or is being used for farming or more important matters!! How about a center to turn plastic into burnable fuel instead huh?? They use all the local clean water sources to depletion. The noise they put off 24/7 is unbearable!! They are short term employment opportunities only!! What happens when one is hacked by forgein intelligence.. like they aren't the exact people building them and paying you all to allow it and force it to happen!! To under any means!!! Also the Flock cameras need to go!! Sincerely a concerned American
3748	Courage	40222	Aside from being extremely loud and using copious amounts of resources, that's something that this specific area cannot afford to take on. We already have terrible air pollution - people are actually leaving this area because of that alone. Data centers are a waste of resources.

3749	Huber	40243	DO NOT BUILD THEM HERE. They are already ordering them to downsize, it depletes the water supply & taints it, which we famously have great quality water. I am an electrician so these coming here would benefit me work wise, but I would rather lose out on money from the projects than have to deal with them here. My vote is no.
3750	Riddell	40217	Tax the hell out of it or put it out somewhere in the middle of the state where people think taxes are an infringement on their liberties. Make them pay a premium for the water they're treading in.
3751	Spaeth	40217	I will riot if there is a data center built in Louisville or anywhere near Louisville. It is so dumb and such a terrible idea. AI is awful for humanity and I refuse to allow any such data center to be built in my area. Absolutely NO.
3752	Howard	40291	We do not want them unless the company pays for them and their expenses NOT the public. No tax breaks, no nothing !
3753	Hawes	40299	No data centers in Louisville. It would take away from our beautiful city. I understand the benefits of building them more local but as a Louisvillian I'd rather not use any of our land to build them in Louisville. We need to use that land to build more housing for our growing population. Let's use our land to benefit our people and our communities not for data centers. There has to be a better option.
3754	Ford	40205	Data centers pose a major threat to water resources due to immense consumption and pollution. Massive cooling systems evaporate millions of gallons of local water daily, which drains regional supplies and leaves behind concentrated pollutants that degrade water quality. Furthermore the pollution they generate or support can elevate cancer risks for surrounding communities. The primary health hazards stem from localized air pollution, hazardous chemicals, and electrical infrastructure associated with these facilities. As energy-hungry computing facilities (especially for AI) demand massive power, their presence affects consumer costs - something the citizens did not ask for or approve of, particularly as AI will be stealing the jobs and earning capability of the residents of Louisville, Kentucky and America.
3755	Cunningham II	40258	I'm concerned with what is being defined as an "industrial center", which is where data centers would be restricted to. Half a mile from my home down Trade Port to the Greenbelt Highway are many warehouses and industrial zoned buildings. Does this qualify as a place where a data center could be built? That's too close to a suburban area if so, and I don't want it in my community. Please clarify this and add in appropriate safeguards for our neighborhoods.
3756	Sprinkle	40216	do not allow data centers in our community. even putting them in industrial areas will create further public health disparities to the residents in our communities. I urge you the band data centers within the Louisville Metro
3757	Blandford	40241	Data centers pose extremely serious questions regarding the long-term safety, security, and stability of the communities they are planned for - as well as the surrounding communities. These centers are known to increase

			demand for electricity and fresh water for their operations, leaving the citizens of the communities where they reside to be stuck dealing with higher costs and questions of availability when resources are scarce. When the power grid is feeling strain during our colder winters and hotter summers, who would be prioritized when it comes to receiving constant, unmitigated access - the citizens of Louisville shouldering the increased rates, or the data centers allowed to run with little to no oversight? When MSD is already increasing rates to update and replace our water and sewer infrastructure, who will be prioritized for these updates and continue to bear the burden of paying for these much needed improvements through growing rate hikes - the already paying Louisville citizens, or the out of state developers receiving tax breaks for these monstrosities? Data centers have also been shown to have higher incidences of cancer and other health conditions for those living adjacent to them. While these correlations are still being studied, I believe this is a serious issue to study further in performing due diligence to citizens. People living in the West End already face disproportionate health outcomes due to decades of lack of investment, and allowing data centers to be built there will only further compound these issues. How much more will they have to endure at the hands of “growth” for the city of Louisville? I believe Louisville needs investment to grow and be on par with our peer cities, but I struggle to see how the growth of data centers enables us to thrive when there are serious environmental, health, and personal economic concerns for citizens. Data centers will not push us forward, and instead allow us to be bought by out of touch, elitist developers who only view this city and its people as a cheap resource. Contrary to the opinion of the mayor and his developer friends: Louisville is not for sale. Please consider the thoughts of concerned citizens who will bear the burden of potential data centers far more than any official making a short-sighted decision. Thank you.
3758	Csapo	40223	This is not good for our city, the environment, or the world at large. We don't need more AI. We need to fund real people with real ideas to improve our world.
3759	Booth	40222	Data centers are an unnecessary use of land and funds and are harmful to the environment.
3760	Cox	40165	No data centers at all! our earth is dying and data centers will only fasten the pace of an unlivable planet.
3761	brooke	40047	NO DATA CENTERS!!!!
3762	Coyle	40291	Data Centers should provide their own electrical grid and pay for all power usage. The drain on Louisville's current grid would result in blackout periods in extreme heat, and possibly year round. Current users should not incur costs to support the data center production costs. What provisions are in place to clean the water that will be dumped back into our sewer system and/or directly into the Ohio River since that water will be severely contaminated? The data centers need to pay for their water usage, water waste clean-up, and sewer usage. Louisville residents should not be subsidizing AI data center production costs. What provisions are in place to monitor air pollution? What provisions are in place to stop the data centers in the

			event of severe air pollution? What provisions are in place to handle sound pollution? As I have read, these data centers produce a constant sound stream that is annoying to surrounding residential areas? Is their provision to assist residents affected by the noise pollution to cover relocation costs? What provisions are in place to monitor the water levels of the Ohio River and tributaries that supply water to Louisville and county? I understand the water consumption is upward of 50,000 gallons per day and the runoff is contaminated, non potable waste. Is there possibility to clean that water, or will contaminated water be discharged back to downstream communities? The AI data centers should be responsible for water clean up expenses and decent water treatment plants specifically for the contaminants in the runoff water. Louisville residents should not be responsible for extraneous costs for water treatment of contaminated data center water. I sincerely hope the tax revenue and job opportunities FAR outweigh the long term hazards these data centers will incur to the quality of life in Kentucky, Indiana and downstream states.
3763	Sparks	40205	Do not build them in our city, full stop. Period.
3764	E	40212	They're an obstruction to the environment and the area. They destroy wildlife and emit terrible sounds 24/7. They absolutely suck water reservoirs dry and literally contribute next to nothing to society. AI is only as useful as one can make it. The outcome that it's being used for nonsense and nothing ethical or educational is very high. Absolutely no data centers in our city. Thank you.
3765	sprinkle	40216	Data centers pollute and harm our environment more than it helps. Damaging the health of others for people to generate fake images and companies to invade privacy is absurd. I wouldn't think this should even be a discussion. Along with chemical company's, data centers always seem to be put in areas that have a lower economic status. Not to mention the lack of resources "healthcare and grocery stores" in these areas you want to add something that causes even more complications for the people that live around it. But using as much of our natural resources to cool the machines should be a no brainer!
3766	Owens	40205	I'm against mass scale data centers due to the lack of infrastructure and regulations in place to ensure the people in surrounding communities aren't affected (water, power, etc). The impact of these types of buildings has shown to be problematic in other communities across the country. All of these projects should be delayed until the power grid and water supply can support them without overwhelming the systems we have. If that isn't feasible, there should be requirements for them to have enough solar panels to produce all their own power and onsite rain water storage / treatment facilities to reduce the impact on the water supply.
3767	Points	40215	We do not want a data center. The noise, water consumption and toxins are not safe for the environment. Find a rural area to build it.
3768	Brady	40291	No

3769	Huber	40228	I'd rather not have it in our town, especially when it will consume a large quantity of our fresh water and strain the local power grid. Increased prices for both of those, with potential shortages do not seem worth it for a fixture that will not drive any meaningful local economic growth for our area. Why should we want this here?
3770	McDonald	40243	We do not want data centers near Louisville!!!
3771	Vancelette	40423	Keep data centers out of our city! This will have such a harmful impact on Louisville's environment, citizen, and tourists. Please listen to your constituents and not your pockets.
3772	Atzinger	40214	The public has not been kept informed of Data Centers and therefore have not been given the opportunity to give input on many issues we've been told about that directly affect us. Namely, the possibility of "sharing" the cost of utilities with these corporations, the electrical grid being overwhelmed by the huge increase in power, huge water consumption increases, water contamination, and lowered water pressure for surrounding areas. AI being used for unconstitutional surveillance is another concern that the public should be made aware.
3773	L	40243	No data centers in louisville!!
3774	DeGruccio	40031	Data centers take away farm land, reduce green space and harm animals habitats. We need all the green space we can get with the growing population. We don't need anymore support for useless AI.
3775	Lucas	40228	1. If a neighborhood does NOT want a data center, the data center should NOT be forced upon them. Please listen to and respect the will of your citizens. 2. If data centers will be forced upon us anyway, they should adhere to city regulations. Those regulations should include, in no specific order: A. Sound barriers B. Barriers that would prevent the rise in costs for citizens (i.e. electricity and water costs.) Make the company pay! C. No NDAs! D. Other protections for the environment
3776	Szweada	40205	I do not support data centers in my city. It's not good for the environment.
3777	Lewis Jr	40258	No ideas except find a better way, they do nothing but harm the surrounding areas where they're built
3778	Fisher	40213	These monstrosity's need to stay away from schools, minority enriched areas, and should get zero TIFs when they will 109% use and abuse resources.
3779	Hagan	40214	I do not want data centers in KY. Data centers negatively affect water supplies and there can be noise. We are not making KY better by transitioning natural land into a data center.
3780	Morris	40220	Data Centers should not be allowed in the city regardless of capacity. They are harmful to the environment, use far too much electricity, and are noisy.
3781	Daviodson	40204	Data centers, wherever they are located, require buildings that take up either acreage for home building or natural areas. I believe that few are actually needed. Many will, after this data center/AI boom, become unsightly "brownfields" and their developers and owners will leave without accountability for their disruption of our community.

3782	Wyatt	40245	No new data centers in Jefferson County for two years. AI industry is chaotic now. None of the half dozen leading companies have shown a profit. They are all scrambling to build their infrastructure with taxpayer subsidies, empty promises, and desperation to be one of the winners in this mad scramble. Three years from now the bubble will have burst and half of these huge, noisy, polluting behemoth data centers will be empty shells. NO THANK YOU.
3783	Minks	40258	I like the proposed regulations, but as data centers will eliminate jobs through the expansion of AI, i believe that groundwork should be laid for a significantly higher tax rate for them, to help offset the loss of income taxes from Louisville citizens losing their employment to AI.
3784	D	40502	Data Centers have no place in residential and highly concentrated areas. They are a burden to city electrical grid and water supply. Regulations should be in place to ensure that Jefferson county residents will not be experiencing any increase in their LG&E and water bills. Additionally, regulations should be in place to ensure that data centers are not damaging surrounding environment by excessive noise and polluting water; centers must ensure safety to local water supply and to plant trees and other greenery.
3785	Beach	40211	I am against any datas centers
3786	Sipler	40207	We do NOT want data centers in our city. I will do everything in my power to vote for the correct people who will work to ensure our city is not taken over by data centers that will destroy our environment and raise our water and electric bills.
3787	Huckleberry	40208	NO DATA CENTERS!!!!
3788	Jacoby	40272	NO DATA CENTERS IN OUR CITY. END OF DISCUSSION. These only benefit the rich who don't even live here and harm us citizens who are just trying to live and survive in this city.
3789	Franklin	40203	This community does not want Data Centers. We elected representatives to carry our voice. Please, listen to the people. We do not want Data Centers in Louisville.
3790	Cooper	40208	This would be devastating to our cost of living as well as to the environment! We deserve better than this!
3791	Valentin	40217	I don't want any data centers in my city! It's a danger to the environment and to the citizens of Louisville. Don't choose profits over the well being of your neighbors!
3792	Villamil	40205	Absolutely not. AI is not beneficial to Louisville (or ANY) residents. This is the "Blue Grass" State. Not an open playing field for invasive infrastructures that have a negative impact on all. Our land, water, families, children and animals need to be respected. We did not ask for AI centers here in Louisville. No one in the USA did. Put the AI centers in the backyards of those that proposed the idea. Keep it away from our family and our land.
3793	Ed	40241	NO DATA CENTERS of any capacity! Thanks!
3794	Groce	40292	Data Centers wrecks local eco systems and cause tons of noise pollution. A Michigan journalist named Aya Miller, who is with Mlive Media group who is based in Kalamazoo, and an older gentleman, who was not named, recorded the sound of a Data Center in Dowagiac, Michigan. They recorded the sound being emitted from his front porch. The decibel level reached over 60 from standing just across the road from the center at his own home. The noise from a Data Center is not off and on either it is a constant high whirring buzzing noise. Not only does the Data Centers cause unnecessary noise pollution it also damages our water consumption. Data Centers house and are large computers, computers need to be cooled down Data Centers use water to cool down the

			computers. According to EESI, Environmental and Energy Study Institute (https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-centers-and-water-consumption) on average Data Centers can use up to 5 million gallons of water per day. That amount of water is equivalent to everyone in Middletown to everyone in Jeffersontown's average water consumption per day. And even then 5 million gallons of water can equal up to a town population of 50,000 people's drinking water. Louisville's most populated town only has 28,000. In order to continue to serve and protect our communities we have to say no to building a Data Center in our Louisville Kentucky.
3795	Russell	40059	Data centers release a lot of waste heat into the environment. It's already hot around here during the summer and this will just make it hotter. Also, waste heat can negatively impact water quality and harm local plants and animals. Even in the winter, where it messes with the natural cycles of plants and animals. Plus, the extra heat will evaporate water and concentrate pollutants and flushing those into the watershed is stupid. Like... are you kidding me? Why?
3796	Bogle	40203	The residents do not want a data center. No data center in Louisville at all.
3797	Ash	40216	we do NOT want data centers!!!! The benefits do NOT outweigh the cost!
3798	schnellenberger	40258	BAN THEM!!
3799	Conklin	40213	Data centers seem to have negative affects in the environment. You're gonna raise all utilities up when everything is already expensive. Don't make life harder.
3800	Weaver	40218	Seems like useless regulation at this point. Could limit job opportunities for Louisville.
3801	Stottmann	40217	There are countless examples of the negative impact of data centers on communities across the United States. Pollution of waterways with heavy elements, citizens burdened with the cost of public utility infrastructure creation for data center capacity needs, noise complaints from the sound of tens of thousands of GPUs and servers working in concert 24/7, the list is long and these examples are far from the sum total of problems that have arisen for a costly and unproven technology. I think the most concerning part is that in many cities, the people haven't even been given the ability to decide whether data centers are the right choice for their neighborhoods. I applaud Louisville for asking for public input, but I'm also deeply concerned about whether or not the influence of money will follow the trend being seen across America so much these days, muting the voices of those who live in the very communities these data centers end up dominating.
3802	Nauert	40214	Oppose all data centers! Our city is polluted enough and has lost enough natural habitat for our beautiful wildlife due to warehouses and homes being built. Adding data centers will pollute our water ways, kill our natural wildlife, and remove the natural beauty that makes Kentucky so wonderful.
3803	Bowen	40214	NO DATA CENTERS. They create environmental and sound pollution that is detrimental to our city. Data centers are being rushed into American society and have no place in our future. WE DO NOT WANT THEM. I previously lived in SC, where the constant hum from outside my home caused longterm migraines and induced

			anxiety over months of exposure.
3804	Pearce	40205	We do not want data centers. We want a healthy planet for our children.
3805	Perry	40299	I am extremely opposed to having a data center in Louisville. This data center will likely require as much power as the whole city of Louisville, the costs of which will more than likely be passed onto us common, everyday citizens through higher monthly bills. Not to mention, data centers use millions of gallons of water daily to cool servers. This will deplete clean, local water reserves and affect and pollute residential drinking water. Additionally, data centers run nonstop, which will emit more marieral and noise pollution to the surrounding areas. While this data center will create more jobs in the short-term for construction and operations, in the long-term there will not be a significant increase in required workforce to operate it. If the city truly wants to "protecting Louisville residents, existing businesses, infrastructure, and utility customers," then I implore Louisville leaders to NOT allow for data centers to destroy our community. I am a born and raised Louisville resident. I do not want my home city tarnished by data centers, and I will seriously consider moving out of this city if it does come to pass. Please consider not allowing data centers into our city.
3806	Farmer	40165	No data centers!
3807	C	40204	-Costs should not be shifted to any utility customers, new/future or existing. -I think public hearings should be required no matter what before even a conditional use permit is approved; - as referenced in 4.2.70 D, 4.3.35 C, 4.3.36 C and elsewhere, why is 25 feet the minimum for Separation from dwelling, child care center or school? The minimum should be more than 25 feet. -What are actual benefits to the residents of potential data center sites in proposed cities/counties?
3808	Easton	40211	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public

			hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
3809	Jett	40203	Do not place data centers in our area.
3810	Dawson	40229	We don't need to add to the noise, air, water pollution. We are being invaded with mega apartment complexes in my area. How many more wetlands are involved? How many old growth woods are involved? Why would we introduce noise pollution that destroys natural habits to nature? I'm strongly opposed to anymore data centers in Okolona, Highview, or Jefferson County!
3811	Goodner	40207	This is a bubble that's going to pop. They destroy and literally poison community.
3812	Buxton	40204	This data center should not be anywhere need such a large population in a city.
3813	Higgins	40207	More data centers are not a good idea. They only allow the government to torture and inconvenience us worse than they already are. It's bad enough that they have the ones that are already in play.
3814	Robinson	40014	Data centers are proven ecological dangers that have enormous carbon emissions, massive water consumption, noise pollution and property value degraders, and electrical grid strains - with the result of technology that is a speculative need.
3815	Bauer	40272	My ultimate concern is the lack of scientific research into the long-term, potentially detrimental, effects of data centers; specifically, their impact on the environment, and the general well-being of the affected populace. Please reconsider this proposal before irreparable harm is done. Thank you.
3816	Call	40207	Charter Communications Comments re: Louisville Metro's proposed data center regulations I am writing to respectfully request that communications facilities used to provide or facilitate the provision of voice, video, or broadband service (defined as "communications services" in Ordinance 116.01) be expressly exempted from the proposed regulations governing data centers. We understand and appreciate the community concerns that have prompted closer review of large-scale data center development. However, communications facilities used to provide communications services serve a fundamentally different purpose, operate at a different scale, and are essential to public safety, emergency

			response, economic activity, and everyday connectivity. Data center regulations are typically designed to address the unique land-use impacts associated with large computing facilities, including substantial electric demand, cooling systems, water use, backup generation, building massing, and traffic or construction impacts. Communications facilities used to provide or facilitate the provision of communications services are different. Unlike hyperscale data centers and other high-impact loads, communications infrastructure and related facilities are distributed in nature, generally have relatively low average peak demand at any individual location, and are designed to support local connectivity. They are part of the critical infrastructure network that enables residents, businesses, public safety agencies, and emergency response providers to communicate reliably. . Treating these facilities as data centers could unintentionally delay or restrict critical network upgrades, maintenance, resiliency improvements and service-restoration work that Louisville relies on every day. For these reasons, communications facilities used to provide communications services should not be regulated under provisions intended for data centers. Applying data center siting, spacing, special-use, utility, noise, generator, or operational requirements to communications facilities could create uncertainty, add unnecessary permitting burdens, and slow deployment of network capacity, resiliency improvements, and service restoration capabilities. The result could be reduced reliability at precisely the time when residents, businesses, and public-safety agencies expect communications services to perform without interruption. We therefore recommend adding a clear exemption for communications facilities used to provide communications services . Such an exemption would preserve the intent of the data center regulations while avoiding unintended consequences for critical communications services. Suggested language could read as follows: “These regulations shall not apply to communications facilities or communications network infrastructure, including but not limited to wireline, wireless, broadband, fiber optic, switching, routing, transport, network operations, emergency communications, public-safety communications, backup power systems supporting communications service, and related equipment or facilities used to provide, maintain, restore, or support communications services, or to any entity that has a Communications Services franchise with Metro Louisville pursuant to Ordinance 116.01.” Thank you for your consideration. We would welcome the opportunity to work with staff and policymakers to ensure the regulations address data center impacts while preserving the ability to deploy, maintain, and restore reliable voice, video and broadband services for the community. Sincerely Yours,

			Ellen Call Associate Vice President State Government Affairs Charter Communications
3817	Quereshi	40242	I dont want the data centers near Louisville
3818	Mann	40209	Water is of particular concern as the Ohio River is already one of the most polluted waterways in the United States. While water is recycled in data centers as much as possible, sediment build-up from the evaporative cooling processes inside the data center means there is constant system flushing to remove the sediment and maintain appropriate water for cooling. When a large sediment load is moved back into waterways, it has the strong potential to negatively impact our water ecology, exacerbating existing pollution issues in the Ohio River, where sediment is one of the top pollutants. The larger the data center, the more resources that are needed to keep it operational, and the larger the sediment load that is discharged. Current regulating bodies are considering regulation changes that would allow data centers to discharge untreated wastewater directly into rivers and streams. Implementing onsite wastewater treatment plants at data centers to remove sediment and other pollutants is a good first step to ensuring the cleanliness of our waterways. In order to conserve and protect our waterways, we ask regulators to develop and enforce requirements for conservation-minded sediment disposal that preserves and protects our fragile Ohio River watershed. We are supportive of development that repurposes existing brownfield sites in Jefferson County. Rehabilitating brownfield sites provides an opening to ameliorate the harm done to humans and the environment from the creation of brownfields. Louisville has over 100 brownfield sites whose natural resources have been depleted, and the communities surrounding them, primarily low income households and People of Color, have been generationally harmed through their prolonged exposure to a degraded environment which has caused higher risks of cancer, pregnancy complications and neurological diseases. While the generational harm cannot be undone, smart redevelopment of brownfield sites has the potential to improve not only environmental health but also the long-term health outcomes for the communities surrounding brownfield sites. Redevelopment of brownfields is a creative solution that can improve community health while also preserving the rich soils and landscapes of Jefferson County to support our farms and forests for future generations. Developers should be encouraged to use native grasses and forbs and other nature-based solutions to mitigate environmental harm and remediate contaminated soils on existing brownfield sites. Restricting data centers from being developed on undeveloped land in Jefferson County helps conserve our endangered natural resources by retaining much needed tree canopy, preserving undisturbed healthy soils, and protecting our watersheds. I am concerned with natural resource conservation and the stewardship of our land. The construction of data centers has the ability to fit both of these, but can also be detrimental to these pursuits if they are not considered when establishing development guidelines. We strongly encourage all decision makers to carefully review the long term impacts to our communities and natural resources that the development of hyperscale data centers

			can pose to Jefferson County, and urge decision makers to push for smart growth, sustainability, and resiliency in regulations.
3819	Graeter	40272	Data centers in Kentucky use huge amounts of electricity and water, and because the state relies heavily on coal, their power demand leads to more pollution. They also strain small-town water systems, take up farmland, create noise from cooling and diesel generators, and can raise local electric bills.
3820	Hensley	40209	We don't need one.
3821	Farley	40229	Data centers are the latest waste of resources that large businesses have been enforcing onto citizens, draining our power, poisoning our water, polluting our air, and offer no benefits to anybody. They power AI which enable people's worst tendencies and use stolen material. Allow a data center to be built only allows our funds and required resources to be funneled towards some business which can't even produce a profit, much less benefit mankind in any way, shape, or form.
3822	Goff	40206	I am not in favor of data centers in our city.
3823	Scott	40229	We do not support the construction of this data center. We are very concerned about environment issues and energy costs that will affect civilians.
3824	Querey	40272	Data centers should not be built in or near residential areas and there should be a regulation on the required distance between any center and residential areas. Additionally, the owner/operator of any data center must not be allowed to purchase property where homes or apartments deemed suitable for habitation previously exist. We are in a housing crisis and reducing the number of available homes cannot be allowed to occur. Data center should not be allowed to use potable water for their cooling systems, nor should their electricity (or other utility) usage affect any citizen's utility bills (ie: offsetting the cost onto citizens). Any proposed data center should be required to provide a study from an accredited environmental agency which shows building and operating the center will have no significant environmental impact from either emissions or sound (on drinkable water, flora, and fauna) PRIOR TO being allowed to begin construction on the property. Any proposed data center should also be required to have an emissions offset program.
3825	Atkins	40212	Bad idea, bad for the environment, bad all around.
3826	Midkiff	40258	Absolutely NOT! Go build your structures somewhere where no lives and not near people and water!
3827	Martin	40204	Fuck your data centers. We need sustainability, clean water, and environmental protections to have a livable place to pass down to our children. What an absolutely disgusting use of our beautiful Kentucky land.
3828	T	40216	You should not be able to get as far in the process of something this extreme without hearing input from your own community. NO DATA CENTERS!!!

3829	Kirkman	40216	I have no ideas to give. My comments are it's a disruption to our community, our power grid, our water source and cause harm to individuals near by. Why would we allow something to be so close to our families that can cause such harm?!
3830	Gaddie	40291	I'm opposed to this data center because I experienced breathing issues and other health impacts while living nearly 10 years in West Louisville, which has carried a disproportionate share of environmental and infrastructure burdens for generations. It has to end. In 1990, I witnessed the tragedy of seeing my mother die early from a preventable illness after moving to West Louisville from Indianapolis. She only lived here 12 years! The life expectancy of residents there is already lower than in the East End. Unless something can add value to the residents there, we must stop extraction and oppression.
3831	Burridge	40205	NO DATA CENTERS! Don't sell us out! We are counting on you to protect us!
3832	Hiddinga	40299	Data centers negatively impact the communities in which they are situated, everything from increased demand on the electrical grid and water usage (leading to higher costs for consumers) to negative environmental and community impacts (the areas around data centers show markedly increased rates of asthma exacerbations, for example). We do not need this in Kentucky. Our people already suffer enough.
3833	Foster	40218	Data centers will be the downfall of our great state.They use all the water and electricity and frankly they are bad for the environment.Nobody wants them
3834	Rounsavall	40207	They are extractive. They extract our power, our water and maybe even our humanity / creativity / jobs. Unless there is a plan to have a project cover these economic externalities (and moreover not place them in the already blighted neighborhoods where they would typically be placed) I think they do not belong in our community.
3835	Siu	40217	We do not want data centers in Louisville or the greater Metro area. They pose long term environmental and economic threats to our community affecting the well being of our children.
3836	Charter	40212	DO NOT BUILD THIS DATA CENTER!!! As A Home Grown resident- kentucky born louisville raised this is not benefitting to the public or beneficial to the average civilian at ALL! We here in Louisville are already dealing with The circumstances at home and around us. There is never a need to make that WORSE and adding this datacenter to the geology that is LOUISVILLE does exactly that... In Other Words, I AM NOT in Favor of this as a longstanding resident
3837	Cronin	40206	Please do not build this data center here. I get that to some degree they are inevitable, but we can still try and keep them as far away as possible. Huge waste of water and energy. I'm sure other negative aspects will arise once these data centers are implemented just like the AI they will support.
3838	Sciuto	40023	These data centers are bad for humanity and the earth. They gobble up our precious resources, take up space, and otherwise have a negative effect on both people and wildlife. Louisville should say NO to data centers!
3839	Dischinger	40223	I believe that these data centers will not only effect our environment but our most vulnerable populations. The cost of data centers not only on natural resources but also tax payer dollars is way too high. Putting data centers in is irresponsible and overall chasing a fad that will not create any type of community growth or improvement. This is one of the only issues in the city that has been uniting all areas in town in opposition. By allowing data centers to be built you will be harming our wildlife, our communities, our infrastructure, and our wallets. Please consider the people and not the corporations.

3840	van Lierop	40217	All data centers (large and small) should be subject to regulations and permits that are decided with environmental and human protection in mind.
3841	Sohi	40059	I oppose data centers due to the environmental and economical harm to people and the planet as a whole.
3842	Sawyer	40222	No to Data Centers, not worth all the pollution and waste of valuable and finite resources.
3843	Campbell	40059	Say NO TO DATA Centers!!
3844	Tigue	40059	Data Centers should be banned from Jefferson County, no exceptions.
3845	Hill	40211	I do not think the centers should be near any residential areas. Also thought and study should be done to consider what impact they will have on the environment and the animals.
3846	Sturtevant	40241	1 - extend the regulations to cover all data centers of any size in case the State Government decides that data centers cannot be banned. Also, cover the instance where a developer proposes five 100,000 square feet buildings instead of one 500,000 square feet building, and look beyond square feet to energy usage and burden on the grid by any user, even if they select different developers and spread out their footprint to different buildings - corporations ultimately controlled by one entity should be regarded as one entity. 2 - look at the current story: the first local data center was proposed for Oldham County - mostly well off white people opposed it and the project was quickly withdrawn. The second local data center was proposed for an industrial area, but one near many poor people of color, and this one appears to have avoided regulation by sneaking in before any rules were ready. That is, it looks like environmental injustice is alive and well, with the additional insult that a coal burning power plant that was meant to be closed will now continue operating indefinitely, bringing continuing pollution and harm to its neighbors. So - remembering the story, consider whether harm upon harm should continue to be placed on people who are already impacted by industrial pollution. Data Center locations might be limited to industrial areas that do not impact neighborhoods. 3 - protect utility customers not by requiring "evidence," but guarantees 4 - all costs of improvements to infrastructure should include grid improvements, and should not allow for the possibility of extending the life of antiquate coal plants even if the developer covers some of the costs of so doing. That is, the improvements should be actual improvements, not the prolonging of harm 5 - again, be selective in which industrial areas are deemed suitable for data centers, so as to not burden neighborhoods which may abut some industrial areas 6 - yes 7 - "substantial" should be defined to mean sufficient to avoid harm - not simply something that looks big 8 - do not create exceptions to the rules that make developers think that the rules can be avoided by making a special appeal

			9 - establish standards for the generators to be used so that noise and pollution can be avoided - consider requiring batteries and other nonpolluting alternatives to generators 10 - yes, and make the disclosures cover the ultimate user/beneficiary - that is, if company A is legally the owner/user, but company A is owned by company B which is controlled by company C, have the disclosure travel up the chain as far as it goes. 11 - yes 12 - given that there is broad, bipartisan opposition to Data Centers developing across the country, consider requiring "Community Benefit Agreements" where the owner users promises are made binding and enforceable. That is, for example, LG&E is making claims that the Poe Data Center will result in a specific number of permanent jobs paying an average of a specific annual salary, that specific amounts of money will be paid in taxes to local entities, that ratepayers will benefit from having a big customer, etc. Any promises made should come with guarantees that the promises will be fulfilled by way of binding community benefit agreements.
3847	Davis	40217	Please ban the data centers. I will only live in a place with drinking water (yknow, like humanity has done for thousands of years) and data centers do not help with that. The Ohio is already nasty. I don't want to have to move away from Louisville (and take my tax dollars elsewhere). Plus I need to be able to AFFORD water and data centers are ALREADY making Louisville water bills skyrocket.
3848	Davis	40204	WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS
3849	Carter	40214	I would recommend as an IT Engineer, data centers require excessive cooling therefore a need to be located near a source of water whether a natural body of water or a nearby water tower in case there are any major fires caused by overheating. Ensure they check national regulations for protection and prevention of large scale electrical fires as well.
3850	Gipperich	40205	I oppose data center in Louisville. TY
3851	Art	40204	I do not support our power grid or water going to a data center. They are bleeding our planet dry and they need to be stopped until more efficient technology is available to run them.
3852	Patterson	40214	These data centers should not be aloud to use our water and they should fully pay for everyone's electric. If they can figure out water and electric i would say go for but until they can guarentee us they wont use all our clean water and they wont cause electric bills to sky rocket and hurt the grid then they should not be allowed
3853	Doe	40272	Not enough information behind how they would impact the surrounding area (people's health, nature, water). This will cause a higher demand on the energy grid therefore raising the prices of electricity inevitably for Louisville residents already struggling to get by. Not enough laws/enforcement on the private companies putting these data centers in. Louisville should stand with the other 9 jurisdictions on a permanent local ban.

3854	Tillman	40299	Please please do some more data collecting and research before you make us all into guinea pigs. Please DO NOT do this!
3855	Thomas	40216	DO NOT CONCENTRATE YOUR DATA CENTERS IN THE ALREADY OVERBURDENED AREAS WEST OF 9TH STREET AND SOUTH OF BROADWAY. 500,000 SQUARE FEET SHOULD NOT BE THE NORM! YOU'RE DUMPING ON NEIGHBORHOODS AS USUAL! WE WILL USE OUR VOTES AND OUR VOICES TO FIGHT FOR LESS NOIS AND AIR POLLUTION, ESPECIALLY IN WEST AND SOUTHWEST JEFFERSON COUNTY! A MORITOURIUM IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO!
3856	Carter	40230	People should have the opportunity to speak on this.
3857	Carter	40220	Residents should have the opportunity to discuss this.
3858	Midkiff	40204	Do not build another data center here or anywhere. We cannot drink data. The AI wave will crash and we will have deforested so many lands and eliminated farmland for so many families. We are shooting ourselves in the foot every moment of data expansion.
3859	Kapteina	40299	I do not want anymore data centers in Jefferson County. Not only do they raise electricity and water rates for the regular customers but they are extremely bad for the environment.
3860	Brown	40223	Data centers are a direct attack on our environment and people within our communities. Nothing good will come from them. It will pollute our water, making it unsafe to use, and people will inevitably grow sickly or maybe even die from said pollution. Instead of spending money on a data center that will only benefit the wealthy, use that money to invest in our healthcare, education, and behavioral health systems.
3861	Devlin	40228	Data centers are going to raise energy costs, loud, hot, ugly and nasty for the environment. They use an insane amount of water! Any politician supporting data centers is choosing profit over people. Rubbertown already has enough polluters and it's showing in the health care data of folks from those neighborhoods already. Predominately black poor communities being affected most. Ben Reno Weber if you are reading this I am HIGHLY disappointed you would choose to support these centers. Absolutely horrible to think this is the future we want for Louisville children. I am 100% opposed, but I am not hopeful the right choice will be the one Louisville metro government chooses especially considering Mayor pickleball Greenberg is a business man first.
3862	Bland	40211	No intrusive data center noise/activities. No residential/business rate increases. No construction near any other buildings. No loopholes that omit/limit Louisville residents input. NO DATA CENTERS.
3863	Hanka	40258	Do not put any data centers in Louisville.
3864	Hill	40228	Ban Data centers in Kentucky. We the people, do not want them. They are not beneficial for the world, or our farming- that we've already lost so much of.

3865	parker	40205	I am opposed to any data center being built until there is further research about the environmental impacts of data centers. It is entirely irresponsible to build a data until we understand how it will affect the people, animals, the land, the air and the water. Also how will it affect the energy consumption and prices in our area? The people of Louisville deserve a moratorium on all data centers at this time. Sincerely, Suzanne Parker
3866	baker	40065	WE DONT NEED DATA CENTERS!!! they are destroying our farms, our homes and killing the water supply! keep that garbage away!!!!
3867	Crowder	40118	Data Centers do not have long term collection data that shows the harm caused to life. And the short term data/ polluted water sources from local sources are major public health indicators. Kentucky already is a leading state in obesity and cancer. Adding data centers will just make our citizens sicker and we will never get ahead.
3868	Chait	40059	We do NOT need these in our community! They are a scourge! Local people do not want these data centers anywhere near our city! They cause so much damage to the local environment! NO TO DATA CENTERS!!!
3869	Carter	40241	I strongly oppose any data center in Louisville; especially large hyper scale centers. There isn't accurate information on how the data center will affect health, the environment, or utility bills for citizens in Louisville. The people are the ones that will be affected so the people should be the deciding factors.
3870	Knight	40216	Completely against Data Centers
3871	Thomas	40229	Because of the 2024 national Defense Authorization Act!
3872	Johns	40206	We need regulations on size, power, and water requirements for data centers. Regulations should prioritize not raising costs for the residents of Louisville and protecting our safe, healthy, and environmentally friendly water supply's.
3873	Valine	40245	I have not problem with data centers as long as they are done responsible.
3874	Slover	40223	I oppose the construction of any data centers in Jefferson county.
3875	Oberly	40216	Nobody wants this shit. It destroys the environment. It pollutes the water and uses it all up. The world is already running out of clean drinking water and these data centers would exacerbate that issue. I know that's a big word for all you fucking stupid people that are smooth brained to try and get these built. Fuck everybody who thinks these are a good idea. Y'all are greedy disgusting people. Not even people actually you aren't good enough to be considered people with your level of selfishness and greed. The fitting word would be pigs or even pond scum, but either way you are fucking disgusting for thinking this is okay and anybody who approved this is deplorable bottom of the barrel scum
3876	Alshemary	40272	A DANGER TO OUR ENVIRONMENT! High energy cost! A danger to our water supply! Power grid strain, some large data centers need as much energy as a small city to run, increasing energy cost! It's a hazard!
3877	Rudd	40204	Please do not put any here. I have done a little research about energy and water costs noise pollution, and the evidence is not good. Giving incentives to companies that will pollute our city can never be a good idea. Please., for the sake of our children, say no to data centers.

3878	Johnson	40299	I think Data Centers and AI in general is mass investment fraud and serves no one but the richest people in this country and in the world. We started dropping chemical factories on top of Black people in Rubbertown over a century ago, so it's not surprising in the least that something like this would happen again. There is a 15-year life expectancy difference between people living in the West End (who are primarily Black) and people in the east end, I wonder why that is? Could it be the 100-years worth of toxic waste dumped in their back yards by these companies? Since the regulatory state is dead, us modern-day serfs have virtually no recourse for the detrimental impact this will have on the environment, our wallets, and our health. As if y'all would care about any of those things anyway, because they get in the way of profit. Money has never been more fake than it is right now. I truly believe once the AI bubble pops, we will have all these empty data centers that will serve no purpose. I STRONGLY OPPOSE data centers.
3879	Johns	40206	I do not think data centers belong in our community or taking up space in our natural world. They are incredibly disruptive to people, animals, and plants, the environment as a whole. We need to think harder about allowing data centers into our daily lives, and if it is really worth a few benefits AI has had to offer.
3880	beckman	40165	we don't want or need any data centers and kentucky!! none at all!!
3881	Dever	40204	Pause until economic and environmental impacts are fully vetted and outcomes are communicated to the public via text messaging.
3882	coy	40029	data centers do not belong in Louisville or anywhere. NO data centers !!!
3883	Dudgeon	40217	Data Centers are harmful to the environment and the community. They are also directly linked to an increase in energy, heightened utility costs, and new illnesses in humans and children. Additionally, and perhaps most disturbingly, they are noted for depleting the very water resources on which all life relies. Innovation is necessary, but not at the cost of human life. I am not in favor of any data centers in the city of Louisville/Jefferson County. But if they must exist, I am in favor of setting clear and concise regulations—with violation consequences outlined—regarding their existence.
3884	Olson	40026	Even with these proposed guardrails, I do not have confidence that those who will profit from these data centers will not push beyond the constraints or have plans to expand regardless, as "Mr Wonderful" is doing with his Data Center ventures. We know that data centers exploit community resources (and livability) for the enrichment of the few. We want parks, community third spaces, walkable cities, clean air, minimal noise pollution, cities worth living in. Even with the proposed regulations, a data center still threatens the peaceful, affordable way of life the majority deserves. We've already seen that data center builders use early regulations as starting points. No data centers in Louisville.
3885	Brumitt	40165	No thank you- not in my state
3886	Mcgee	40229	Data centers and AI are a complete waste of resources that devastate neighborhoods and the environment!
3887	Coe	40220	NO NO NO DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE!!!!!!!
3888	Denny	40272	No data centers ever.

3889	Boody	40216	I am concerned about who, what, when and where the total cost of data centers and their use. We have individuals that are doing well to keep their head above water financially and cannot except more burden on their finances. How do data centers support a community and the electrical grid that it takes to operate them and man power. We are going to be left behind globally if we are not advanced in the opportunity, but only the government gets the benefit financially. Concerned, but also aware of the possible need and enhancement in global growth and communication.
3890	Luka	40208	Louisville should not have any data centers. They will be harmful to the economy and the residents of the city.
3891	Johns	40223	DCs can be built on brownfield sites and in abandoned facilities all over Louisville. These old sites represent failed enterprises that our previous leaders got behind but which have all failed. They are a blight on our cities brand, attract activities we do not want (drugs, human trafficking, graffiti and they look like they sound. DCs can be the Phoenix that brings them back to life. Modular nuclear reactors can power them and the Louisville Water Company has plenty of water to sell them. Going from no jobs to some of the most high tech jobs, often starting at six figures for top AI engineers plus the technicians are among the best paid, sone even more than SpaceX. Face it, Louisville is not a fit for SpaceX, Tesla or Anthropic but it can be a center for DCs. They don't emit chemical gasses or liquids, don't have anything to spill, they amazing fire suppression so they seldom if ever burn, can never explode, don't stink, don't make noise, have zero waste so they are well into the high level of being green. They represent the future for all especially our youth. Sounds pretty good to me and great for Louisville.
3892	Seeger	40218	I don't not want a data center in Louisville.
3893	Evans	40241	No data centers in Louisville. Keep our water clean and energy for the people who live here.
3894	Lindsey	40219	I am against building a Data Center in Louisville, Kentucky. In communities that have Data Centers, Ive heard multiple accounts from local residents who say that it has become severely intrusive to not only the environment, but to their privacy as well. When the United States CHOOSES NOT to become involved on a project with the magnitude of CERN, that's concerning and worth noting. Data Centers, AI, and all the advanced technology are very much like the newest miracle drug, like Ozempic, on the market. In other words.. we don't know the long term effects and impact it might have on our environment, our health and especially our children. I think we should. Make educated decisions based on facts and results rather than jumping on something for a dollar or a quick fix because we don't need to leave a mess for our children to clean up and we surely don't want to don't want to do something that could potentially severely compromise the quality of life for our children or their children or anyone in the future. Data sinners aren't going to go away. They will be there. Why can't we give it time and then base our decision on results and testimony from the people who have lived it? After we have actual information and statistics of long term effects, only then can we make an informed decision and we have a responsibility to our community to each each other to our children into the future to do just that. if there are negative consequences, than anything less than an informed decision leaves us responsible and guilty.

3895	Schmid	40203	Data centers have been effectively shown to be a nuisance to communities, causing environmental strain, outsourcing utility costs to residents, among other issues. Louisville has many issues we need to prioritize, let's not create another one by giving billionaires the right to develop these massive noise machines in our backyard, no matter how deep they are in Craig Greenberg's pockets. Louisville and Kentucky both say no to data centers. Listen to the citizens you represent.
3896	Howard	40203	All data centers, regardless of square footage, should be subject to rezoning and conditional use permits.
3897	Ebeling-Rodriguez	40218	Our community has an opportunity to be a leader in responsible development by advocating for strong, enforceable standards that protect the public, preserve our natural resources, promote environmental stewardship, and ensure ethical, sustainable technological progress. Any proposed data center should be required to meet rigorous standards that protect residents, taxpayers, public infrastructure, and our shared natural resources. At a minimum, the following principles should be incorporated into any approval process: * The data center must not place additional strain on the local or regional electrical grid, and residents should not bear any costs associated with providing power to the facility. The developer should be fully responsible for funding all necessary electrical infrastructure upgrades and operational energy costs. * The facility must not significantly impact local or state water resources. Water use should be sustainable and should not reduce the availability of water for residents, agriculture, businesses, emergency needs, or the surrounding ecosystem. * The developer should provide a comprehensive environmental sustainability plan addressing water conservation, drought resilience, energy efficiency, electronic waste management, waste heat, carbon emissions, stormwater management, light pollution, noise pollution, and any other environmental impacts that could affect the community or natural resources. * The facility should be located where its operations will not negatively affect nearby neighborhoods, schools, parks, or environmentally sensitive areas through continuous noise, lighting, traffic, or other operational impacts. * Data centers should not be built on land better suited for housing, parks, agriculture, conservation, or other community-serving development unless it is clearly demonstrated that the proposed location represents the most appropriate long-term use of the property. * The developer should provide complete transparency regarding: * The percentage of electricity that will come from renewable energy sources and long-term energy procurement plans. * The cooling technologies to be used and their efficiency. * Expected water consumption and the long-term sustainability of local water supplies. * Any local or state tax incentives, abatements, utility subsidies, or other public financial assistance associated with the project. * Plans to minimize noise, traffic, light pollution, construction impacts, and other effects on neighboring communities.

			* Independent verification that the electrical grid has sufficient capacity to support the facility without reducing reliability or increasing costs for existing customers. By adopting clear, enforceable standards before approving new data centers, our community can encourage economic growth while protecting residents, safeguarding natural resources, and ensuring that technological advancement benefits both current and future generations.
3898	Latta	40217	No data centers. Cute how bullshit politicians like all of you are trying to look good w this. No hyper scale is bullshit. You side with data centers, we will vote you out. Listen to the people.
3899	richardson	40211	NO DATA CENTERS
3900	Voris	40215	The environmental impact concerns are significant and documented. There should be a complete transparent process and hearings for the public to get answers and express concerns prior to ANY data center construction regardless of its size. As a tax paying citizen of the state of Kentucky, I deserve to know what financial and environmental impacts my children will face as they age.
3901	Yount	40228	Absolutely do not agree with data centers being put up in the city
3902	Williams	40258	Don't want it. There's already so many chemical plants along the greenbelt highway. I don't want the sound pollution and I don't want the people who live close by to be affected by this
3903	Abshire	40204	Don't want them. Period.
3904	Herr	40213	No tax breaks. 50 miles from any residential home(not just neighborhood, even a single home). Must provide its own energy source and pay to offset charges for residents. Residents should absolutely NOT have to pay extra to subsidize data centers. Must offset any water, or air pollution, and should not operate at all if there is any noise pollution or illness in animals, wildlife or humans.
3905	Overstreet	40272	Data centers have proven to be massive polluters of air, soil, and water. They are also terrible in regards to the amount of noise they produce. The economic impact is also devastating, not only taking money out of a community, but also not providing anywhere near the amount of jobs they claim. Then, to add to the issues they bring to surrounding communities, the costs of utilities increases to a point where the community can no longer feasibly afford the costs that the data centers end up passing on to the community. Unless the data center is highly regulated to prevent pollution whether it be soil, air and water as well as has a plan in place to take on the full costs of power and water use themselves, without passing those costs to the community, as well as tight regulations to prevent negative impacts on surrounding communities then said data centers have no business being built.
3906	Smith	40229	Don't make these data centers. They ruin the environment and are useless. It will raise electricity prices drastically. Not a good idea.
3907	Vo	40023	We Do NOT want a data center here. The amount of natural resources it consumes and pollutions it generates is not worth the trade off.
3908	Sullivan	40213	Pls no

3909	Thomas	40291	Put them in the ocean, in the desert in Nevada or new Mexico or Texas, not in Kentucky. Make jobs for Kentucky people, not profits for corporations. We need data centers like we need another dollar general in the ear.
3910	Freville	40214	ABSOLUTELY NO TO DATA CENTERS!!!! Not in our city, not near our city.
3911	Meek	40220	Data Centers are unregulated, and they cause environmental damage and harm. We need to discuss their short and long term impact before we even consider building them in the greater Louisville area. Please do not make a hasty decision that will drastically impact the community at large, and do not build a data center anytime soon.
3912	Johnson	40216	Absolutely NOT!! Resources are for the residents. Residents should NOT have to pay for the resources we need AND pay for the resources the center needs. That's ONE reason
3913	Williams	40213	I agree with the proposal to limit data centers impact on current Louisville residents, businesses and the health of our environment.
3914	Street	40205	NO DATA CENTERS IN THE CITY.
3915	Fugate	40205	No Data Centers
3916	Burgard	40207	I agree with these regulations....most especially items 3 and 6.
3917	Connelly	40203	Don't allow data centers of any kind in our city or our state
3918	Paulson	40207	I do not want the data center
3919	Stevens	40217	Unsigthly facility, loud and terrible for the environment. Fuck AI.
3920	Schardein	40206	I am against a data center and the harm it causes our environment and the impact it would have on our neighborhoods.
3921	G	40228	They're dumb asf and have no real purposes outside of disturbance.
3922	Badger	40223	This is a terrible idea! We are facing climate change right in the eye, why would we want to build data centers that steal all our resources? Nobody asked for this, just capitalist greed trying to screw us all over. We need to prioritize our needs as humans and kick AI to the curb. The research surrounding these data centers and the risk they pose to communities is terrifying. Say no to data centers!!
3923	Harrod	40204	Data centers kill the earth and cost homeowners in the end. NO TO DATA CENTERS.
3924	Pajo	40207	I do not want Data centers in Louisville, Jefferson county, Kentucky, the Midwest, or in America. Or anywhere. We do not need them and they are harmful to people, communities and life on earth. No. No. No data centers.
3925	Upchurch	40222	We do not need any data centers causing environmental harm, noise pollution, and overall destruction to our city. Generative AI harms developing minds and takes away critical thinking skills for all. In addition, data centers are a huge part of surveillance state infrastructure. I thought America was land of the free! I am entitled to privacy!
3926	Beebe	40299	NO DATA CENTERS I LIKE MY WATER AND DONT WANT MY BILLS TO GO UP. Please please keep Louisville safe and clean and say NO to data centers!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
3927	Colon Nelson	40213	Absolutely NO! This is disastrous for our community and the Ohio River. NO!

3928	Shellhamer	40203	Data centers have a severely negative impact on the surrounding communities regarding climate and water usage. They should not be allowed in this city.
3929	Koch	40223	It is my strong preference to not have any data centers built anywhere in the world, but most certainly not in the city in which I live. They are ridiculously detrimental to the environment and are especially harmful to those individuals living near them. In short? No data centers in Louisville.
3930	Vetrano	40223	Please do not allow data centers near residential areas. I agree with the plan to only allow smaller data centers but would prefer none at all.
3931	M	40220	Data centers are known to be terrible for the environment and use an exorbitant amount of water and electricity. This is something that will cause issues for Louisville citizens.
3932	Russell	40245	Data centers should not be permitted within 200 miles of Louisville. Why should residents be forced to put up with increased pollution and energy bills just so AI can take our jobs??
3933	Greenwell	40218	I am NOT in support of the data center in or anywhere near Louisville, KY.
3934	Bentley	40245	No data centers, regardless of size, should be allowed within the city of Louisville. They are a burden on the existing infrastructure and provide no reasonable value to the community and city.
3935	Wright	40204	Data centers are a huge net negative for local communities. If we have to build them, we should be building them in the most remote locations possible. Place them out in the middle of nowhere, build the electrical grid necessary around it, and possibly let whatever tiny town pop up near it. They do not need to be anywhere near our metropolitan/residential areas. They are a strain on infrastructure and don't create permanent sustainable jobs
3936	Darland	40214	Ban all data centers.
3937	Maxwell	40215	Data centers are an unnecessary tax on already vulnerable communities. The drain on water and or electricity is a harm.
3938	Lyons	40206	Data centers are very damaging and disruptive to both the environment and the communities around them. Both must be protected, we cannot allow the needs of technology at expense of the more important things around us that make this city special and worth living in
3939	Robinson	40047	From what I've read it's not wanted in this area!
3940	Turner	40212	Our water system is already polluted, we don't want the constant noise, and the population is too high.
3941	H	40118	I will be selling my home and moving if you all move forward with building this. Which I'm sure you will based on the money already spent to clear the land. How greedy and selfish can you be???
3942	Bentley	40212	We don't want them
3943	Stelzer-Weise	40204	Data centers have continued to create significant issues with local utilities like electricity, water, and wastewater systems. The costs associated with correcting these issues are placed upon the community and the community does not receive enough to offset the cost. Data centered provide far fewer jobs than other industries for their footprint and most of the money they bring in is funneled to large corporations elsewhere.
3944	Norris	40213	No data centers in Jefferson county!

3945	Avery	40204	No
3946	Cochiaosue-Avery	40204	don't want them.
3947	Schlotterbeck	40205	I do not want them anywhere near me or my state.
3948	Mauser	40205	This data center should never have been approved. It puts corporate profits above the Louisville citizens and is going to be extremely harmful to both the air and water, not to mention raise prices for people who can barely make ends meet.
3949	Mead	40217	Do not build them in this city. They're a waste of water and energy. It will more negatively impact our friends and neighbors in the west end, further contributing to already problematic geographical and racial disparities in this city.
3950	Messex	40299	Louisville does not need Data Centers. Keep them out of our city! Our utilities are plenty as they are, we don't need or want higher bills, filthy water, or medical problems caused by data centers. Stay away please!
3951	Forsting	40204	Please continue to prevent the development of data centers in or near residential and commercial areas, regardless of median income. Neither should these buildings be located in or near natural areas: parks, nature preserves, etc. We deserve better than to live in the shadow of an industry that wants to eat everything.
3952	Vessels	40268	Do not want cost of living to go up, are good land is to be used for crops and we need out water sources. I am against this!
3953	Freeman	40242	Data centers are not good for the environment and they are a detriment to the health and peace of our community
3954	Elmazry	40219	WE DON'T WANT DATA CENTERS. THEY ARE HARMFUL TO OUR BEAUTIFUL ECOSYSTEM. WE DON'T WANT TO FOOT THE BILL FOR BILLIONAIRES TO STEAL OUR PRECIOUS RESOURCES.
3955	R	40219	We DO NOT need data centers we need clean water ,healthy food. We need politicians that are for the PEOPLE . NOT FOR BILLIONAIRES CORPORATIONS. I am highly oppressed to any and all data center being build in our city and country as they contaminate our water and take resources for local areas.
3956	Farag	40207	I am staunchly opposed to data centers being built In our cities or our state until the health and environmental impacts have been adequately scientifically studied and quantified.
3957	Ray	40211	We don't want any data centers.
3958	Lane	40204	I am against data centers being built in Kentucky especially near Louisville until there are full surveys completed of the profound local impact, environmental impact, and community health impact. Please consider halting any data center projects until such a broad but necessary survey is fully completed.
3959	Bennett	40204	Absolutely no data centers. They are bad for the earth, our water, air and land.
3960	Dunn	40222	I would prefer no data centers. They contaminate the water source if used. The water pressure also becomes non-existent. Industrial areas are better.

3961	Nowicke	40213	I think there needs to be more studies conducted as to the economic, particularly the environmental, and social impact of data centers and it is careless to build these centers without first understanding and regulating their use. I do not believe our communities would benefit from any data centers now or in the near future until thorough studies are conducted and research is published for transparency.
3962	Aston	40299	Data Centers have been proven to drastically raise the prices of both electricity and water to all residents, effectively forcing all of us to pay for these data centers. And of course, we all know why they are being pushed and built: further surveillance of the common citizen. It's just a furtherance of the illegal surveillance being done right now by your flock cameras all over the place. We are already taxed to death here in Louisville, while having to deal with the abysmally high violent crime rates in this city. This is truly one of the worst cities to live in, and I cannot wait to move away.
3963	Noe	40214	I support these regulations to ban or limit data centers in the Metro. Residents should not have to see their rates increase from data centers utility use nor should they have their quality of life be disrupted by ambient noise from data centers.
3964	Song	40229	We do not need nor want large data centers in our city! They are disruptive. Louisville is already booming and loud enough, as well as a crowded city, to add such buildings!
3965	White	40503	No data centers. Kentuckians deserve clean access to drinking water. We don't want pollution, they kill our bees, there is literally nothing good about data centers.
3966	Thomas	40217	Opener: This draft protects Louisville on paper, but leaves it exposed in practice — without enforceable limits and real disclosure, a data center can draw on local water and power, lean on a state tax exemption, and give little, if anything, back to the community. Water: • No cap on water withdrawal once operating — the “Will Serve Letter” only confirms capacity exists, it doesn't limit usage. • Closed-loop cooling should be required, not “encouraged.” Open-loop systems risk contaminating the water supply and waste far more water — documented elsewhere: Texas data centers are projected to use up to 399 billion gallons by 2030; Loudoun County, VA saw usage more than double in four years. Noise: • 65 dBA limit has no enforcement mechanism — no required monitoring or complaint process. • Indoor generators face no testing-frequency or time-of-day restrictions at all.

			Post-Approval Accountability: • No stated penalties, fines, or revocation process for noncompliance discovered after approval. • No periodic re-inspection — nothing catches a facility that later abandons its cooling or energy commitments. • No bonding/escrow to back the cost-shifting protection. This matters: a 2024 Virginia report found data-center-driven grid strain could raise residential bills \$14–\$37/month by 2040. • Ownership/user disclosure is one-time only — no update required if the facility is sold. • 30% local-hiring requirement is just a “good faith effort,” with no reporting or audit. What Does the Community Get Back? • Kentucky’s HB 775 already exempts qualifying data centers from sales/use tax on equipment for up to 50 years — much of the assumed tax benefit for Louisville may not materialize. • Data centers are capital-intensive but not job-intensive; the draft doesn’t require applicants to disclose tax incentives received or permanent local jobs projected. • Recommendation: require disclosure of tax treatment and projected permanent employment as part of any application. Frankly, if I had it my way, we would not have a single data center in Louisville—especially without strict environmental requirements and meaningful penalties for non-compliance over time.
3967	Trussell	40222	I’d like to have access to data about environmental and socioeconomic impact a data center will have on the surrounding area. I’m concerned that a data center will negatively impact those that live close by more than any positive impact.
3968	Mccormick	40204	Completely opposed to data centers, there is zero need for such massive complexes. The sole motivating factor in the sudden explosion of their development is ai...also unnecessary. The energy and resources required to operate these facilities is wasted on technology no consumer asked for not wants to pay the financial and environmental costs for. STOP the approval of these developments.
3969	Sims	40228	I do not believe we need data centers. It will do more harm than good for our environment and communities.
3970	Mansilla	48299	NO DATA CENTERS!!!! NONE!!!!
3971	Schneider	40205	There needs to be a moratorium on all data centers until common sense legislation is passed to safeguard the health and wellbeing of the community. This includes disallowing increases in electric, water and sewer bills from data center development and strictly regulating disruptive noise from data center cooling. In the Ohio River valley, Regulating air pollution from diesel-powered backup generators is critical. Regulating night-time light pollution effects the physical and mental health of neighborhoods.

			And absolutely reject any data-center exemptions from local property taxes.
3972	Coleman	40228	We don't need any to build any Data Centers!!!
3973	Compton	40216	Just please don't.
3974	Kistner	40291	I think they shouldn't make are utility rates sky rocket. I think they should have a way to recycle there extreme water use not to drain our resources for there greed.
3975	Hedden	40243	Please don't allow these large data centers taking over land, rural, suburban, or urban core. Resort to parking garages, roofs, Rubbertown, etc. These are not needed in our metro area.
3976	Ronnow	40204	I do not want data centers in our city at all. I know many of my friends and neighbors of all political leanings and walks of life agree. There is nothing a data center could provide that will ever make up for the environmental devastation and negative health consequences brought to the community. There are now hundreds of accounts of data centers poisoning air and water and creating noise pollution that disrupts daily life and harms children. I love Louisville and want to raise a family here, but I fear I will not be able to do so if the city is overrun by greedy tech companies that have no interest is bettering our community. I support economic growth in our city, but not like this. We have to be honest with ourselves—this is not the type of development that brings good jobs to the community. Data centers are a speculative business. The AI bubble is likely to experience a crash just like nfts and many other fads before it. To rush to build data centers is ill advised policy from an economic, environmental, and health & safety perspective.
3977	Woolley	40242	We need to stand strong against these data centers. Ecologically they are destructive to every living thing. There's plenty of information gathered and being presented currently that shows the true devastation caused by these centers. I am absolutely opposed to their construction. We don't need more AI. We need substantially less.
3978	Satterly	40204	I strongly feel data centers are a threat to our environment and will adversely affect our energy costs
3979	Aponte	40202	ABSOFRUITLY NOT! TAKE THAT SOMEWHERE ELSE!
3980	Todd	40214	I don't want them in Louisville.
3981	J	40211	No we do NOT NEED DATA CENTERS HERE IN LOUISVILLE ITS BAD ENOUGH WE HAVE MSD STINKING UP THE WESTEND.... NO TO DATA CENTERS!
3982	Mattingly	40059	I am opposed to ALL data centers. They are huge consumers of water and electricity resulting in higher utility costs. They are environmental polluters. There are usually built in lower income areas where people typically don't have as strong a voice as people in wealthier areas. They offer nothing positive to a community. I am sick and tired of developers getting approval to build unwanted and undesirable projects in Louisville. We desperately need BETTER LEADERSHIP!!!