

Metro Council Meeting 3/3/22

>> THE REGULAR LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING  
ON MARCH THE 3RD, 2022 WILL PLEASE COME TO ORDER.

PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE  
ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLOOG.

>> I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE  
FLAG... WITH LIBERTY AND  
JUST ADVERTISE FOR -- JUSTICE  
FOR ALL.

>> OUR COVID NUMBERS HAVE  
DECLINED BUT REMAIN EXTREMELY  
HIGH.

WE ARE IN THE RED ZONE.

213,000 CIVILIANS HAVE DIED AND  
THE GOOD NEWS IS 496,282 OF OUR  
CITIZENS ARE FULLY VACCINATED.  
COLLEAGUES HAVE RECEIVED A LOSS,  
COUNCILMAN PURVIS'S BROTHER  
PASSED AWAY.

AS WE HOLD THESE FAMILIES IN OUR  
PRAYERS I ASK THAT WE ALSO HOLD  
THE PEOPLE OF UKRAINE IN OUR  
PRAYERS AS THEY DEFEND FREEDOM  
AND DEMOCRACY IN THEIR COUNTRY.  
PLEASE JOIN KNEE IN A MOMENT OF  
SILENCE.

THANK YOU.

MADAM CLERK, ROLL CALL PLEASE.

>> COUNCIL MEN SHANKLIN.

>> PRESENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY,  
COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> PRESENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> PRESENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MCMCCRANEY HERE.

>> ARM STRONG.

>> HERE.

>> HOLLANDER HERE.

[INAUDIBLE]

HERE COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOX, COUNCIL  
MEANT TRIPLETT.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER...

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY  
PRESENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

COUNCIL MEMBER PARKS.

>> THANK YOU.

MADAM CHAIR.

PLEASE LET THE RECORD REFLECT  
THAT COUNCILMAN FOWLER HAS AN  
EXCUSED ABSENCE PLEASE.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN CHAMBERS ARM  
STRONG AND COUNCIL MCCRANEY I  
THINK YOU HAVE A POINT OF  
PERSONAL PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

WE IN THE WOMEN'S CAUCUS  
COCHAIRMAN MCCRANEY  
WANT TO RECOGNIZE WOMEN'S  
HISTORY MONTH AND UPDATE YOU ON  
SOME OF THE THINGS THE WOMEN'S  
CAUCUS HAS PLANNED TO CELEBRATE  
IN MARCH.

ON MARCH 17, 2 P.M. THE WOMEN'S  
CAUCUS WILL HAVE A SPECIAL  
MEETING.

YOU ALL ARE INVITED AS WELL AS  
ALL OF COUNCIL STAFF.

WE ARE GOING TO ASK EACH  
DISTRICT TO HAVE THE  
REPRESENTATIVE IN ATTENDANCE TO  
SHARE A WOMAN'S EITHER  
PERSONALLY PROFESSIONALLY OR  
THROUGH WORK IN THE COMMUNITY  
THAT HAS INSPIRED THEM,  
SPECIFICALLY HOPING TO HEAR FROM  
AS MANY OF OUR ELECTED COUNCIL  
WOMEN AS POSSIBLE.

THROUGH THE MONTH OF MARCH WE

WILL BE COLLECTING DONATIONS OF  
DIAPERS FOR THE BERNADETTE  
DIAPER BANK.

YOU MIGHT BE WONDERING WHY  
DIAPERS, THE ANSWER IS AS WE  
CELEBRATE WOMEN AND THE IMPACT  
ON OUR HISTORY IT'S IMPORTANT TO  
ACKNOWLEDGE THE BARRIERS WOMEN  
FACE TODAY.

SO HANDING OUT A SHEET TO  
COUNCIL MEMBERS SHOWING ONE OUT  
OF THREE FAMILIES DON'T HAVE THE  
FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO PROVIDE  
TO MEET THE DIAPER SUPPLY THAT  
THEY WOULD NEED FOR THEIR CHILD.

DIAPERS CAN COST UP TO \$80.

IF YOU'RE LIKE ME WITH TWO IN  
DIAPERS THAT'S ALMOST \$2,000 A  
YEAR.

A STUDY SHOWED THAT 56 PERCENT  
OF PARENTS WHO UTILIZE CHILD  
CARE ACTUALLY COULDN'T SEND  
THEIR CHILDREN TO CHILD CARE IN  
THE PAST YEAR BECAUSE THEY  
WEREN'T ABLE TO PROVIDE THE  
DIAPERS THAT WERE NECESSARY FOR  
THEIR CHILDREN TO ATTEND.

SO, WE ALSO SEE STUDIES THAT  
EVERY DOLLAR WE INVEST IN DIAPER  
NEEDS FORWARD LOW INCOME  
SPENDING \$11 IN INCOME TO THOSE  
FAMILIES ALLOWING WOMEN TO  
PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKFORCE  
PURSUE EDUCATION AN MAKE SURE  
THEY'RE MEETING THE NEEDS OF THE  
FAMILY SO YOU CAN HELP OUT BY  
DONATING DIAPERS.

YOU CAN DROP THEM IN KATRINA'S  
OFFICE DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH  
AND JOIN US AT ST. BERNADETTE'S  
MARCH 10TH AT 10 A.M. FOR A TOUR  
WILL FACILITY AND LEARN MORE  
ABOUT DIAPER NEEDS IN OUR  
COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

I HOPE SOME OF YOU WILL JOIN

THESE EVENTS WE HAVE.

>> THANK YOU.

MADAM CLERK ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?

>> THERE ARE.

>> PLEASE REFRAIN FROM PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO COUNCIL.

AND AND CLERK, PLEASE BRING THEM FORWARD.

>> NIKOLAI CRISTIANSSEN.

>> PRESENT.

>> GO AHEAD, SIR.

>> THANK YOU.

FIVE DAYS THE ICCC RELEASED THEIR LATEST ON GLOBAL WARMING AND IT SAID WHAT WE ALREADY KNEW BUT IT WAS CULMINATION OF 34 YEARS OF EFFORT, 270 AUTHORS TO THE PAPER 70 COUNTRIES SUMMARIZING OVER 30,000 STUDIES ON THE SUBJECT.

IT HAD SAYS THAT HALF THE POPULATION 3.5 BILLION PEOPLE ARE AT RISK [INDISTINCT] TORNADOES INCLEMENT WEATHER, A HUGE ISSUE, INCLUDING WHAT'S PLAYING OUT IN THE WORLD RIGHT NOW.

REVOLUTION A VERY COMPLICATED ISSUE WITH WHAT'S GOING ON THERE, BUT I DON'T THINK THAT THE THERE'S ANY REAL COINCIDENCE THAT THEY INVADED CRIMEA TWO YEARS AFTER OIL WAS FOUND IN THE BLACK SEA SO THE DONBAS SEASON CAN ANNEX AND ONE OF THE TWO LARGEST OIL AN GAS RESERVES IN UKRAINE ARE AND FOR RUSSIA 30 PERCENT SALES OF OIL AND GAS THAT SORT OF POLITICAL INSTABILITY IS PART AND PARCEL TO WHAT WE ARE GOING TO BE SEEING AND YOU CAN ADD ON TOP OF IT WATER SCARCITY FOOD SCARCITY FLOODS DROUGHTS THINGS OF THAT

NATURE TO INCREASE GLOBAL WARMING.

IT'S A TERRIBLE SITUATION.

I DON'T THINK WE CAN SHIRK OUR RESPONSIBILITY.

SO IN LIGHT OF THAT WITH THAT IN MIND WE WANT TO DO LOCALLY OUR PART TO HELP THE SITUATION.

THE REPORT FROM LAST YEAR RESOURCE PLAN 20 PERCENT FUEL ENERGY BY 2036.

THERE'S NO WAY WE CAN MEET THOSE GOALS TO BE 100 PERCENT RENEWABLE WITH THOSE SORT OF THINGS THE COUNCIL DOING WHAT WE CAN TO HELP THE SITUATION.

AND WITH THAT IN MIND I'D ALSO LIKE TO PRAISE THE COUNCIL FOR TAKING THESE EFFORTS AND RUNNING THAT MUNICIPALIZATION

FEASIBILITY STUD WHICH I WRAPPED UP END OF FEBRUARY TO SERVICE MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS AND EXPLORING OPTIONS LIKE THAT TO TRY TO DO SOMETHING AGAINST AN ENTITY THAT'S NOT REALLY WILLING TO DO ANYTHING.

CITY HIRED AN ENERGY CZAR TAKING \$750,000 FIRST YEAR DECREASED USAGE.

THESE ARE GREAT THINGS TO BE DOING.

I APPLAUD THE COUNCIL FOR DOING IT AND LOVE THAT IT PAYS TO PROFIT.

>> THANK YOU.

JOHN THOMPSON.

>> GOOD EVENING.

MY NAME IS BON THOMPSON ASSISTANT DIRECTOR COMMUNITY HEALTH CARE.

I'M HERE AS IN SUPPORT OF LAND DEVELOPMENT REFORM THAT WILL PROVIDE MORE ACCESS TO CHILD CARE.

THE CHANGES THAT WE ARE SEEK RG

MODEST BUT VITAL.  
THEY GIVE PROVIDERS AN  
OPPORTUNITY NOT AN ALL ACCESS  
PASS.  
PROVIDERS WILL DO THE LONG HARD  
WORK OF MEETING LOCAL  
REQUIREMENTS AS WELL AS  
COMPREHENSIVE STANDARDS AND HAVE  
THE CHANCE TO PROVIDE ESSENTIAL  
SERVICES WHERE FAMILIES LIVE AN  
PARENTS WORKFORCING THE OTHER  
COMMUNITY PARTNERS STANDING AT  
THE READY TO PROVIDE THE  
EDUCATION AN TRAINING NEEDED FOR  
DEDICATED PROVIDERS TO NAVIGATE  
THESE PROCESSES.  
DEPENDABLE SAFE AFFORDABLE JOB  
CHILD CARE.  
MANY HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS WORK  
WITH FAMILIES TO ADAPT TO  
NON-TRADITIONAL WORK SCHEDULES.  
THERE'S A CONSTANT CARE GIVER  
OFFERING CARE IN A FAMILIAR  
HOME-LIKE SETTING.  
WE KNOW THESE SETTINGS ARE WHAT  
IS ESPECIALLY APPROPRIATE FOR  
FAMILIES SEEKING CARE FOR  
INFANTS.  
RESIDENTIAL CHANGES ARE  
PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT BECAUSE  
THEY UNLOCK THE LAND WHERE THE  
POTENTIAL TO GROW HIGH QUALITY  
PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES.  
FAMILIES CANNOT ACCESS REGULATED  
CHILD CARE PROGRAMS.  
THEY'RE OFTEN FORCED TO RELY ON  
INFORMAL CAREGIVERS.  
WE KNOW RIGHT NOW THERE ARE  
FAMILY HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS  
TURNING AWAY SIBLINGS THEY  
ALREADY CARE FOR OR THEIR  
NEIGHBORS BUT WE DON'T KNOW  
WHERE THOSE FAMILIES ARE TURNING  
WHEN THEY SET OUT.  
I ASK YOU TO FULLY SUPPORT  
INVESTMENT THAT IMPROVES ACCESS

TO QUALITY CHILD CARE IN OUR  
COMMUNITY.

>> THANK YOU.

>> TIMOTHY MORRIS.

>> GOOD EVENING.

I'M TIMOTHY MORRIS.

DIRECTOR OF LOUISVILLE COUNCIL  
JOINED BY UNITED WAY.

OUR UNION REPRESENTS MORE THAN  
50,000 FAMILIES MANY I'M THE SON  
OF A SINGLE MOTHER AND GRANDSON  
OF A SINGLE MOTHER AND I'M HERE  
TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF CHILD  
CARE ZONING.

ALMOST 50,000 KENTUCKYIANS KEY  
REASON FOR NOT PLATING IN THE  
WORKFORCE IN JANUARY 2022.

EMPLOYERS THROUGHOUT KENTUCKY  
CONTINUE TO STRUGGLE TO ATTRACT  
AND RETAIN WORKERS.

IT'S CLEAR IMPROVEMENTS ARE  
NEEDED.

THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT WOULD  
RESULT IN DEDICATED HOME CARE  
PROVIDERS WHO ARE TRAINED EARLY  
CHILDHOOD EDUCATORS IN ALIGNMENT  
WITH STATE CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS.  
THEY WILL ALLOW THE OPPORTUNITY  
FOR CHILD CARE PROGRAMS TO  
ACCESS HIGHER QUALITY FACILITIES  
WHEN OPENING MOVING OR EXPANDING  
AND ALLOW MORE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
BUSINESSES TO CAPITALIZE ON  
STATE INCENTIVES FOR OPENING  
EMPLOYER BASED CHILD CARE.

THIS WOULD BRING MORE RESOURCES  
INTO OUR COMMUNITY TO SERVE MORE  
OF OUR NEIGHBORS.

I WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT THE  
DLCLC SEES A NECESSITY AND  
SUPPORTS A MODEST INDUSTRIAL AND  
RESIDENTIAL ZONING CHANGE.  
CHOOSING TO KEEP CHILD CARE  
LOCKED OUT OF REST DERBY ZONES  
COMPRISING MORE THAN 60 PERCENT

OF OUR LAND DOES NOT ANSWER THE  
CALL OF OUR COMMUNITY AN WORKING  
FAMILIES IN THIS TIME OF CRISIS.

PARENTS NEED REGULATED CHILD  
CARE PROGRAMS WHERE THEY WORK  
AND WHERE THEY LIVE.

THESE LIMITED BUT CRITICAL  
CHANGES CAN HELP PROVIDE MORE  
CHILD CARE ACCESSIBILITY WHILE  
INSURING APPROPRIATE SUSTAINABLE  
GROWTH TO OPEN OR SERVE MORE  
FAMILIES IN THE ADDRESSED ZONES  
CHILD CARE PROVIDERS MUST MEET  
BOTH INTRICATE LOCAL STANDARDS  
AND PROTOCOLS SWILS  
COMPREHENSIVE STATE LICENSING  
REQUIREMENTS.

SO WHILE SHE IS ZONE  
IMPROVEMENTSING NOT PROVIDE AND  
EAST I ROAD FOR PROVIDERS THEY  
WON'T REMAIN THE BLOCKADES THEY  
ARE TODAY.

THESE CHANGES ARE SUPPORTED BY  
THE GLCLC OUR PARTNER METRO  
UNITED WAY AND CHAMBER OF  
COMMERCE WE CAN AGREE ON THE  
IDEA THAT EVERY WORKING PERSON  
IN OUR COMMUNITY DESERVES  
INCREASED ACCESS TO THE CHILD  
CARE AND THE AMERICAN DREAM.  
THE GLCLC ASKS THIS BODY TO WORK  
THROUGH THE POSSIBILITIES AND  
CREATE A BETTER REALITY AND  
BETTER LIFE FOR WORKING  
FAMILIES.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> DUANE GANTZ.

DUANE GANTZ.

LIZ MCALLEN -- MCCLELLAN.

>> I'M WITH GLOBAL METRO INC.

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

I'M GLAD TO GO HERE TO SPEAK TO  
YOU.

THIS PROGRAM TO ATTRACT AND  
MAINTAIN WORKFORCE HAS BEEN AN



ISSUE FOR THE GREATER LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY AS WE CONTINUE TO RECOVER FROM THE PANDEMIC. THIS CHANGE HAS AFFECTED GLOBAL NEIGHBORHOOD'S DIFFERENTLY. WHILE SOME NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE AS MANY AS 84 SPACES FOR CHILDREN OTHER AREAS PARTICULARLY IN SOUTH AN WELLS LOUISVILLE HAVE 15 CHILD CARE SPACES AVAILABLE FOR EVERY HUNDRED CHILDREN. THE CHANGES PROPOSED WILL ENABLE CHILD CARE FACILITIES TO BE LOCATED CLOSER TO WHERE PEOPLE LIVE AND WORK ALLOWING FOR INCREASED CAPACITY OF FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES COMBINED WITH THE POSSIBILITY FOR CHILD CARE CENTERS TO SERVE FAMILIES CLOSER TO WHERE THEY LIVE. IMPORTANTLY THE OPTION FOR CHILD CARE CENTERS TO BE LOCATED IN MORE COMMERCIAL AN INDUSTRIAL AREAS TO PROVIDE GREATER ACCESSIBILITY FOR WORKING FAMILIES AND ENABLE BUSINESSES TO OFFER THE BENEFIT OF ON SITE CHILD CARE AS THEY COMPETE FOR WORKFORCE. DATA INDICATE APPROXIMATELY 10-15 CHILD CARE PROVIDERS A YEAR MAY SUBMIT APPLICATIONS FOR CONDITIONAL USE. THIS GROWTH WILL BE TRANSFORMATIVE FOR FAMILIES AND THEIR POLICE OFFICERS. IF WE ENACT THESE CHANGES THAT ALLOW CHILD CARE TO BE LOCATED CLOSER TO WHERE PEOPLE LIVE AND WORK WE CAN TAKE A TREMENDOUS STEP IN SUPPORTING BUSINESSES AND HELPING STRUGGLING PARENTS RETURN TO THE WORKFORCE KNOWING THEY HAVE QUALITY LICENSED CARE FOR THEIR CHILDREN. WE KNOW THERE'S WORK TO DO AT

EVERY LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT TO  
MAKE QUALITY CHILD CARE  
AFFORDABLE AND ACCESSIBLE IN OUR  
COMMUNITY AND TLI KNOWS THE  
BUSINESS COMMUNITY HAS A ROLE TO  
PLAY REMOVING BARRIERS TO CHILD  
CARE.

WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO SUPPORT  
THESE LOCAL CHANGES WHICH WILL  
HAVE AN IMMEDIATE IMPACT ON  
CHILD CARE IN OUR COMMUNITY.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

MICHAEL PULLMAN.

MICHAEL PULLMAN.

>> HELLO.

>> YES, SIR.

>> HELLO.

>> I WAS HAVING A HARD TIME.

THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME ADDRESS  
AN ISSUE THAT I CARE A LOT ABOUT  
WHICH IS THE DISABLED IN  
LOUISVILLE HAVING PROBLEMS  
GETTING BACK AND FORTH TO THEIR  
APPOINTMENTS AND OUTINGS THAT  
THEY USE THE SERVICE IN  
LOUISVILLE.

I'VE WATCHED THIS SERVICE OVER  
THE YEARS BECAUSE I HAVE A  
FAMILY MEMBER THAT IS DISABLED  
AND USED THE SERVICE AND I'M  
THINKING BACK ABOUT HOW IT SEEMS  
TO BE REGRESSING IN A LOT OF  
WAYS.

I'M NOT AN OVERLY CRITICAL  
PERSON SO I'M NOT GOING TO SPEND  
TIME HERE CRITICIZING BUT IT  
WANT TO MAKE SOME OBSERVATIONS  
AND SUGGESTIONS.

I HAVE TO LOT OF TIMES PICK UP  
PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN WAITING  
TOO LONG FOR RIDES OR RIDES THAT  
DON'T SHOW UP AT ALL DUE TO A  
VARIETY OF DIFFERENT THINGS.

BUT AS A WHOLE THERE HAS BEEN  
INNOVATION PROCEED AS INCREASE

TO SERVICE AND I DON'T SEE WHY THERE'S A PROBLEM WITH DOING WITNESS PEOPLE.

I DON'T KNOW IF LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT OF PART THREE HAS WRITTEN IT OR SUCH WITH THE SERVICE BUT I SPENT 20 YEARS AS A LOCAL FIREFIGHTER AN EMS PERSONNEL IN THE CITY AND SPEED WAS ABLE TO BE PRODUCED AT A RELATIVELY SAFE RATE FOR THE CITIZENS OF LOUISVILLE.

I THINK THAT OUR CITIZENRY WOULD PERMIT OR ALLOW EMS OR FIRE TO MAKE 40 MINUTES TO MAKE A CALL. THE EMERGENCY IS LIFE OR DEATH AND THINGS CAN GET OUT OF HANDS. I DON'T EXPECT THE SERVICE TO BE AS FAST AS AMAZON PRIME OR DOOR DASH BUT IT WOULD ALLOW PEOPLE TO HAVE AN ADEQUATE SERVICE IS NOT TOO MUCH TO ASK.

EMS AND FIRE ACTUALLY USE A GRID SYSTEM IN THE CITY.

IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE FOR AN EMS IN WEST LOUISVILLE TO MAKE A ST. MATTHEWS RUN.

THEY'RE GRIDDED OFF TO WHERE THAT FIRE TRUCK STAYS IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA TO RESPOND TO THOSE CITIZENS.

I BELIEVE PART 3 SERVICE ALLOWS THEIR DRIVERS TO GO AS FAR AS MIDDLETOWN TO WEST LOUISVILLE. IT CAUSES WAIT TIME TO BUILD UP LONGER.

THAT'S SOMETHING THAT METRO GOVERNMENT AND INNER DEPARTMENTS IN THE CITY MAYBE ASK THOSE IN CHARGE TO LOOK AT.

NOT ALLOWING WEST END TRUCKS TO GO PAST FOURTH STREET WILL REALLY SPEED UP SERVICE TO THOSE TRYING TO GET TO DOCTORS APPOINTMENTS.

WHEN YOU'RE TRYING TO MAKE A

MOVIE AND YOU'RE A BLIND PERSON AND HAVE AN APPOINTMENT IT'S HARD TO GET AROUND IN THAT MANNER.

ANOTHER THING THERE SEEMS TO BE PEOPLE THAT RUN THE SERVICE COMES TO MIND MANY YEARS AGO THAT 80 PERCENT OF LOUISVILLE 911 CALLS WERE BEING PRODUCED BY 40 PEOPLE AND WE REFER TO THEM AS FREQUENT FLYERS AND EACH MAJOR DISTRICT HAS THE NAMES OF THOSE CITIZENS AND A LOT OF THEM WERE IN SITUATIONS WHERE THEY WERE ELDERLY.

>> MR. PULLMAN.

>> YES.

>> YOUR TIME IS EXPIRED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, THAT CONCLUDES THE ADDRESSES.

>> THANK YOU MADAM CLERK.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2022.

THE MINUTES PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

THESE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES SPECIALING COMMUNITY AFFAIRS HOUSING EDUCATION COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 17, 2022, REGULAR COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE FEBRUARY 17, 2022, REGULAR PLANNING AN ZONING COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 22ND, 2022, REGULAR LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, FEBRUARY 22ND, 2022, REGULAR PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE, FEBRUARY 22ND, 2022, GREATER PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE... REGULAR COMMITTEE ON EQUITY INCLUSION.

REGULAR BUDGET COMMITTEE AND  
REGULAR COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES  
MARCH FIRST, 2022.

ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR  
DLEEGSZ.  
MOTION.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN  
TRIPLETT, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN  
PIAGENTINI.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED, THE AYES HAVE IT  
MINUTES WRITTEN.

MADAM CLERK ANY COMMUNICATIONS  
FROM THE MAYOR.

>> YES, SIR.

>> WE DO.

>> [READING] [READING] [READING]  
READ IN FULL.

>> THOSE APPOINTMENTS WILL BE  
FORWARDED TO THE GOVERNMENT  
OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE.  
NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS CONSENT  
CALENDAR AS ITEMS 19-47.

ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONS OR  
DELETIONS?

>> MR. PRESIDENT WE ARE GOING TO  
MOVE TO OLD BUSINESS.

>> THAT CORRECT.

>> [READING] [READING] [READING]  
[READING] [READING] [READING]  
[READING] [READING] [READING]  
[READING] [READING] [READING].

>> READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU.

MAY HAVE A MOTION.

>> MOTION TO ACCEPT.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN  
TRIPLETT, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN  
PIAGENTINI.

MOVED AND SECONDED REQUIRING A  
ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> COUNCILMAN SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MAN DOOR YES, SIR.

>> YES.

>> ARTHUR.  
>> YES.  
>> PURVIS.  
>> YES.  
>> JAMES.  
>> YES.  
>> MCKLEIN.  
>> YES.  
>> ARM STRONG.  
>> YES.  
>>...  
>> YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES.  
>> THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES.  
READING OF ITEM NUMBER 48.  
[READING].  
>> READ IN FULL.  
>> THANK YOU MOTION AN SECOND.  
>> SECOND.  
>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN ARTHUR  
SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.  
IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION  
COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.  
>> MR. PRESIDENT, IF YOU DON'T  
MIND.  
>> YES.  
>> BECAUSE COUNCIL ACKERSON  
ASKED, THIS IS SPONSORED BY  
COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER RELATED TO  
CHANGING FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES  
NOT JUST FOR KEY ADMINISTRATION  
STAFF EXECUTIVE BRANCH OBVIOUSLY  
THE KEY MEMBERS INCLUDING THE  
ELECTED OFFICIALS WITH METRO  
COUNCIL AN MEMBERS OF OUR STAFF  
SWEBLS ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT  
ARE CANDIDATES RUNNING FOR  
OFFICE, SO THESE WERE CHANGES TO  
REQUIRE ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES  
THAT ARE CONSISTENT WITH OTHER  
CITIES, AMENDED PASS'S  
UNANIMOUSLY COUNCILMAN HOLDER.  
COUNCILMAN HOLDER.  
THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT AND  
MADAM CHAIR.  
I THINK YOU EXPRESSED THIS VERY  
WELL WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE IS

IDENTIFYING AND CORRECTING WHAT I THINK IS A WEAKNESS IN OUR CURRENT ETHICS LAWS AND WHAT I DID WAS LOOK AT THE [INDISTINCT] MODEL FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED IN THAT MODEL ORDINANCE WHICH HAS BEEN ADOPTED MANY CITIES AND COUNTIES ACROSS THE STATE INCLUDING SOME CITIES HERE IN JEFFERSON COUNTY. WE HAD SOME PRETTY GLARING WEAKNESSES FOR EXAMPLE NO REQUIREMENT THAT SOURCES OF INCOME BE DISCLOSED. WHAT WE HAVE DONE HAVE CONTINUED REQUIRING DISCLOSURES UNDER OUR EXISTING LAW AND ADDED IN THE DISCLOSURES UNDER THE KENTUCKY LEAGUE OF CITIES MODEL SO I ASK FOR YOUR APPROVAL. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK.  
[ROLL CALLED].

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK READING OF ITEM 49.  
>> [READING].

>> AS AMENDED READ IN FULL.

>> I THINK WE HAVE A MOTION FROM COUNCILMAN WINKLER SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. RESOLUTION FOR IT.

ANY DISCUSSION.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

TO ADDRESS CONCERNS MEMBERS HAD ABOUT NDF AND CIF AMOUNTS CHANGING AN AMENDMENT IN IS IN THE SYSTEM TO THAT SAYS EQUITY REVIEW PROCESS SHALL NOT REQUIRE ANY CHANGES... BUT BEFORE WE GET INTO THE THICK OF IT A

MOTION TO ADOPT THAT TO KEEP OUR AMOUNTS.

>> SECOND FROM COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

ANY DISCUSSION?

>> SO THIS RESOLUTION DOES THREE THINGS MANY ONCE WE PASS IT, IT WILL ALLOW A COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION TO CREATE EQUITY VISION STATEMENT FOR THE COUNCIL ALSO GIVE US PERMISSION TO CREATE GOALS EQUITY GOALS AND THEN OF COURSE KEEP TRACK OF THOSE GOALS.

>> JUST MAKE SURE YOU'RE MUTED IF YOU'RE REMOTE.

>> SO THIS PROCESS IS EXACTLY WHAT WE PASSED LAST YEAR UNANIMOUSLY FOR THE ADMINISTRATION TO FOLLOW. WE ARE GOING TO PRACTICE WHAT WE PREACH AND ALSO BE IN CONTROL OF THAT PRACTICE.

YOU MIGHT REMEMBER LAST YEAR'S BUDGETS PROPOSAL THERE WAS LANGUAGE AROUND US FOLLOWING THAT EQUITY REVIEW ORDINANCE, QUESTIONS WERE BROUGHT UP ABOUT A VISION STATEMENT.

THOSE WERE OUR OWN.

WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE DEFINITELY ARE IN CONTROL.

AFTER PASSAGE THE COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AN INCLUSION WILL START WORK ON THAT STATEMENT WILL START TO WORK ON GOALS AND THE PROCESS WILL BE THROUGH A RESOLUTION SO THOSE GOALS THAT STATEMENT WILL DEFINITELY GO THROUGH THE COMMITTEE.

THEY'LL ALSO GO THROUGH COUNCIL SO IF YOU WANT TO HAVE INPUT ON IT YOU ARE MORE THAN WELCOME TO COLLABORATE WITH US MANY NOTHING WILL HAPPEN RANDOMLY.

YOU WON'T BE CAUGHT OFF GUARD BY



ANYTHING WE ARE SUGGESTING SO I  
ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

THIS STARTS THE PROCESS AND WE  
WILL GET TO WORK IN THE  
COMMITTEE AFTER WE PASS IT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.

COUNCIL MANNING PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT AND  
THANK YOU TO CHAIRMAN ARTHUR.  
I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THE  
AMENDMENT.

THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT UP.  
I AM STILL AND I SAID THIS  
BEFORE, THE COMMITTEE HEARING  
AND I'LL SAY IT HERE, I AM STILL  
GOING TO BE A NO VOTE OVERALL.  
HERE'S WHY.

AS COUNCILMAN ARTHUR SAID WE ALL  
VOTED UNANIMOUSLY FOR THE EQUITY  
REVIEW OF THE FULL BUDGET AND  
THAT INCLUDED ME.

I WOULD ARGUE WE WERE DOING IT  
BEFORE WE VOTED FOR THAT  
RESOLUTION MANY WE ALLOCATED  
RESOURCES BASED ON NEED, SO  
THERE WAS AN EQUITY LINE SO I  
THOUGHT FORMALIZING THAT PROCESS  
MADE SENSE SAYING THIS IS HOW WE  
DO IT HERE ARE THE STANDARDS  
MAYBE THE ADMINISTRATION CAN  
TALK ABOUT THOSE.

THE NET ROW COUNCIL BUDGET IS A  
UNIQUE SECTION OF THE OVERALL  
BUDGET.

AND IT'S ONE OF THE FEW AREAS  
THAT WE BASICALLY AGREED THAT WE  
DEAL WITH EQUALITY AND NOT  
EQUITY.

IN OTHER WORDS THE METRO COUNCIL  
BUDGETS WHICH IN ITS TOTALITY IS  
LESS THAN 1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL  
BUDGET THE VAST MAJORITY OF IT  
IS OUR SALARIES OUR STAFF  
SALARIES, OUR OFFICE STAFF  
SALARY THEN YOU HAVE OFFICE  
BUDGET WHICH IS DISTRIBUTED

EQUALLY OR A PORTIONED BY  
POPULATION DEMOCRAT CAUCUS MIGHT  
BE HIGHER THAN REPUBLICAN CAUCUS  
BECAUSE THURSDAY OUTNUMBER US 2  
TO 1 THEN YOU HAVE NDF AND CIF  
MONEY WHICH THROUGH THIS  
AMENDMENT WILL BE EXCLUDED.  
THAT IS DISTRIBUTED EQUALLY.  
THE ONG THING THAT WE HAVE IS  
COUNCIL DESIGNATED FUNDS.  
COUNCIL DESIGNATED FUNDS ARE NOT  
SOMETHING THAT WE DO.  
WE PUT IT IN SOMETIMES, WE DON'T  
PUT IT IN SOMETIMES, MILLION  
DOLLARS CURRENTLY, \$2 MILLION.  
TO BE CLEAR, IT'S AT LEAST MY  
UNDERSTANDING OF HISTORY EVERY  
TIME WE'VE DONE IT IS IT'S BEEN  
FOR A VERY SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL,  
VERY SPECIFIC SET OF REASONS.  
PUT THE MONEY IN THERE BECAUSE  
WE NEED TO PAY FOR A ROOF OR WE  
NEED TO PAY FOR THIS PROJECT OR  
WHATEVER THOSE ARE.  
SO, I FULLY SUPPORT IT AND IT'S  
A PLEASURE TO SERVE AS VICE  
CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE ON EQUITY  
RELATED TO THE OVERALL BUDGETS  
THERE.  
ARE FINITE DOLLARS IN THE  
BUDGET.  
WE NEED A RATIONALE PROCESS HOW  
YOU ALLOCATE RESOURCES BUT THE  
METRO COUNCIL BUDGET IS 26  
INDIVIDUAL OFFICES AND IT'S ONE  
OF THE FEW AREAS WHERE WE USE  
FOR MY OPINION EQUALITY VS.  
EQUITY LENS.  
MUCH AS I APPRECIATE THE INTENT  
AND A LOT OF WHAT COUNCILMAN  
ARTHUR HAS DONE AND WHAT HE'S  
TRYING TO DO HERE IN MY OPINION,  
I SEE THE METRO COUNCIL BUDGETS  
AS USING A DIFFERENT PLAN.  
THAT'S A GOOD THING BECAUSE IT  
DOES [INDISTINCT] SUPPORTING THE

AMENDMENT BUT NOT THE OVERALL.  
THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.

>> SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT  
PROBABLY VOTING KNOW ON THE  
OVERALL THING PROBABLY NOT QUITE  
FOR THE SAME REASON BECAUSE THE  
EQUITY ISSUE THAT I FIND EVERY  
BUDGET YEAR IS THERE IS SO MUCH  
MORE MONEY SPENT IN THE URBAN  
AREA THAN IN THE SUBURBAN AREA.

I THINK THIS IS A  
REPUBLICAN-DEMOCRAT THING,  
BLACK-WHITE THING.

IT IS TRULY YOU GET SO FAR OUT  
THERE'S NO MONEY SPENT AT ALL.  
UNTIL COUNCIL PROJECTS CAME  
ALONG THERE'S VERY LITTLE  
GENERAL FUND MONEY SPENT AT ALL.  
THIS WAS KIND OF FOR THE FIRST  
TIME WHEN WE STARTED THIS.

TO A POINT COUNCILMAN FLOOD AND  
I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO TO TEAM UP  
BUT EVERY PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENT  
EVERY SIDEWALK EVERY ROAD IT'S  
ALL DONE WITH NOT NDF, CIF BUT  
EVERY DIME WE CAN TRACK DOWN.  
I WOULD SUPPORT LEAVING  
EVERYTHING THE WAY WE HAVE IT  
AND ACTUALLY ADD ANOTHER HALF  
MILLION MILLION DOLLARS AND CALL  
IT THE COUNCIL EQUITY FUND AND  
DIVIDE THAT UP HOWEVER IT GOES.  
IT BRINGS MORE MONEY INTO THE  
CONTROLLING OF THE COUNCIL  
DIRECTLY.

WE MAY, I DOUBT THE MAYOR WOULD  
GRACIOUSLY HAND THAT OVER.  
WE MAY HAVE TO GO FIND IT BUT I  
WOULD SUPPORT THAT  
WHOLEHEARTEDLY BUT TO REDUCE ANY  
MORE SPENDING BEYOND A CERTAIN  
POINT WHICH ISY THINK  
COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN VOTED NO  
FOR THIS IN COMMITTEE BECAUSE  
EVEN THOUGH SHE'S A MAJORITY

MINORITY DISTRICT SHE STILL DOES NOT GET THE NEEDED ATTENTION AND OR FINANCES FROM THE OVERALL BUDGET.

I'M GOING TO BRING UP, I CALLED YOU THE OTHER DAY DEALING WITH MINORITY BUSINESSES. YOU GAVE ME A NAME OF SOMEONE TO CALL.

SHE HAS BEEN RECENTLY HIRED TO DEAL WITH MINORITY BUSINESS. I CONTACTED JEFF O'BRIEN, WHO THEN PROMPTLY SENT ME A TEXT SHOWING THAT'S NOT HER JOB, SHE IS PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON WESTWOOD EVEN THOUGH HER BUDGET TITLE IS MINORITY BUSINESS AND THIS JUST HAPPENS TO BE A MINORITY OWNED BUSINESS BUT YET WE DIDN'T GET THAT COVERED EVEN THOUGH I'M LYING WHAT'S THE THING, YOU KNOW, AND APPARENTLY NOT BECAUSE I'M BEYOND SOME GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES WE HAVE CREATED. I FEEL THAT IF WE TAKE THIS ALLOTMENT OF MONEY AND TIE IT TO EQUITY IT'S GOING TO BE IMMEDIATELY ELIMINATED FROM ABOUT 66 PERCENT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY MANY AGAIN I WOULD PREFER THAT AGAIN IF WE MAKE AMENDMENTS AT ANY POINT IT WOULD BE TO MAKE THE BUDGET AND BRING MONEY INTO THE COUNCIL FOLD AND CALL IT THE EQUITY FUND AND GO THAT ROUTE. SO, THAT'S AGAIN MY REASONING AND THAT'S PROBABLY THE DIRECTION I'M HEADING.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

I TOO WILL BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT BUT NOT THE OVERALL ORDINANCE AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES ESPECIALLY OUTSIDE THE [INDISTINCT] TO TAKE A HARD

LOOK AT THIS FOR SOME OF THE REASONS THAT EVERYBODY ARTICULATED BUT ANOTHER IMPORTANT ONE IS THIS.

THIS IS ONE OF THE FEW POSITIVES I CAN FIND FOR A FORMER RESIDENT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY RELATED TO MERGED GOVERNMENT.

HAVING DIRECT CONTROL THROUGH THEIR ELECTED COUNCIL PERSON OF AN AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT CAN BE SPENT AND RETURNED BACK INTO THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD

AND THE 30,000 LOUISVILLE RESIDENTS I REPRESENT IF THEY FEEL I'M NOT ADJUDICATING THAT MONEY PROPERLY THEY'LL LET ME KNOW AT THE BALLOT BOX SO THEY HAVE COMPLETE AND TOTAL CONTROL OVER THIS MONEY THAT COMES BACK TO US IN THE FORM OF NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS AND TO ALLOW ANYTHING ELSE TO PUT CONTROL ON THAT I FEEL IS DISINGENUOUS TO THE CITIZENS WE REPRESENT.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> AND COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

I'M MORE OR LESS GOING TO JUST RESPOND TO MY FELLOW COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI AND [INDISTINCT] I GET FEELS LIKE THE SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS STATEMENTS IS THERE'S A LOT MORE REVENUE OR FINANCIAL RESOURCES COMING TO THE URBAN SERVICE DISTRICT.

NOW WHAT I HEAR OR WHAT I THINK I HEAR WHEN THE STATEMENT IS MADE THE MORE SERVICES GIVEN TO THE WEST END, AND IF IT LOOKS THAT WAY, THEN OKAY, BUT THE WEST END DISTRICTS ARE IN WAY MORE NEED THAN THE COUNTY DISTRICTS.

THE WEST END DISTRICT, WE WILL

NEVER BE CAUGHT UP WITH THE COUNTY DISTRICTS.

SO, THE MONEY NEEDS TO BE SPENT WHERE THE MONEY IS NEEDED.

PLEASE UNDERSTAND THAT.

AND I DON'T THINK THIS SHOULD BE ABOUT A BLACK AND WHITE THING. I DON'T EVEN THINK THIS SHOULD BE ABOUT AN URBAN SERVICE THING VS. A COUNTY THING.

THESE NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE WEST END HAVE MORE MEANS AND AS IT IS WE STILL DON'T GET THE SERVICES WE SHOULD BE GETTING TO HAVE EQUITABLE LIVING THAT WOULD IMPROVE OUR QUALITY OF LIFE HERE IN THE WEST END.

SO YOU CAN SAY WHAT YOU SAY OR THINK WHAT YOU THINK.

I LIVE HERE.

I SEE A NEED, AND UNFORTUNATELY, THE NEED IS THERE SO I JUST HOPE YOU UNDERSTAND THAT.

YOU KNOW, SOME OF OUR SIDEWALKS ARE NOT WALKABLE, SOME AREAS WE DON'T EVER SIDEWALKS, THE URBAN SERVICE DISTRICT IS NOT MADE THE SAME AS THE COUNTY DISTRICTS AND YOU KNOW, I'M JUST TRYING TO HELP YOU ALL UNDERSTAND WHY YOU ALL MAY THINK THAT MORE MONEY IS SPENT IN THE URBAN SERVICE DISTRICT.

IT'S BECAUSE THERE IS A MUCH GREATER NEED HERE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> MIDDLE GROUND ONE I'M EXCITED EXAMINE YOU HOW THE CITY AS A WHOLE CAN CONSIDER EQUITY INCLUSION AND HOW WE BUDGE AT THE TIME DOLLARS WE SEND OUT FROM THE CAPITAL AND THE REST OF THE BUDGET.

HOWEVER, THIS IS THE FIRST YEAR

BUDGET CYCLE FIRST ON MY YEARS ON THE COUNCIL NORMALLY IN ADDITION TO THE SET AMOUNT OF CIF AND NDF WE USUALLY HAVE OVERFLOW DOWN TO \$60,000 A YEAR. THIS YEAR WE TOOK A DIFFERENT STEP.

THE GROUP AS A WHOLE VOTED IN THE MAJORITY ONE ON WHERE THAT WOULD GO.

I'M NOT A BIG FAN OF THAT BECAUSE AGAIN MY JOB IS TO BRING AS MUCH DOLLARS BACK TO DISTRICT 26 AS POSSIBLE.

BUT DISTRICT 26 MAY HAVE PROBLEMS SOME OF YOUR OTHER DISTRICTS HAVE.

I STILL HAVE A SIDEWALK PROBLEM. I STILL HAVE ROAD PAVING PROBLEMS.

I STILL HAVE TREES AND MEDIANS THAT NEED TO BE CUT DOWN BECAUSE THE PERSON WHO IS THE CITY PLANTED THAT TREE THERE AND NOW IT'S DEAD BUT THE CITY PUT THE RESPONSIBILITY ON THIS PERSON WHO IS LOW INCOME TO TAKE IT DOWN.

I'VE GOT ONE THAT RECENTLY SHIPPED OVER TO [INDISTINCT] NEIGHBORHOOD AND I TOLD THOSE PEOPLE IN JULY WE WOULD GET IT DOWN BECAUSE THEY CAN'T AFFORD IT.

BUT LOSING THAT \$50,000 YEAR IN DISTRICT 26 IS VERY DISAPPOINTING SO I WANT TO BRING AS MUCH HOME TO MY AREA KNOWING THAT EVEN IF YOU GAVE ME \$5 MILLION WE COULDN'T FIX THE PROBLEM.

I STILL NEED TO GET AS MUCH POSSIBLE SO I LIKE THE IDEA OF THE EXAMINATION OF EQUITY INCLUSION LOOKING AT THE MAYOR'S BUDGET BUT WHEN IT COMES TO

COUNCIL FUNDS IT'S IMPORTANT WE ALSO MAINTAIN SEMBLANCE OF [INDISTINCT] AND I WILL BE OPPOSING THIS YEAR'S BUDGET IF YOU COMBINE THAT EXTRA \$50,000. THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN JORDAN.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

JUST WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT AND ENCOURAGE US TO MAYBE SEE THIS IN A DIFFERENT LIGHT.

WHEN I READ THE RESOLUTION AND WHEN I HEAR COUNCILMAN ARTHUR IN THE RESOLUTION I DON'T HEAR THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THERE BEING LESS MONEY SPENT OUTSIDE OUR GROUP.

THAT IS NOT THE WAY I'M INTERPRETING THIS.

IF I'M MISSING SOMETHING I ENCOURAGE SOMEONE TO LET ME KNOW.

>> IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS TALKING?

IF SO, WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.

KESHIA CAN YOU HEAR ME?

CAN YOU HEAR US NOW?

>> YES.

>> WE MISSED ABOUT A MINUTE OF CONVERSATION.

>> OKAY.

COUNCILMAN GEORGE COULD YOU REPLAY.

>> I WAS JUST SAYING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO US TAKE A MOMENT AN MAYBE CONSIDER THIS IN A DIFFERENT LIGHT BECAUSE WHAT WE DO A REALLY GOOD JOB AS REPRESENTATIVES OF OUR DISTRICT IS FOCUS ON OUR DISTRICT NEEDS. THAT IS IN PART WHAT WE ARE TASKED WITH DOING.

I AM NOT READING IN THE RESOLUTION AND I DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING THAT SAID THAT THERE SHOULD BE LESS MONEY GOING TO



DISTRICTS OUTSIDE AND IF I'M MISUNDERSTANDING PLEASE LET ME KNOW.

WHAT IS UNDENIABLE THOUGH IS THAT THERE IS SUCH A DISPARITY OF NEED ACROSS OUR DISTRICTS AS A REFLECTION OF RED LINING AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE THINK ABOUT WHILE WE ARE ALL DOING THE BEST TO SECURE FUNDING WHAT WE SHOULD ALSO BE DOING FOR METRO AS A WHOLE I THINK THIS RESOLUTION OFFERS US AN OPPORTUNITY TO THINK ABOUT DIFFERENTLY SO FOR THAT REASON I'LL BE SUPPORTING BUT I'LL ALSO AGREE TO SOMETHING COUNCILMAN ACKERSON SAID AND I HOPE WE APPLY THE SAME LENS TO OUR OVERALL CITY GUIDANCE BECAUSE END OF THE DAY THE MONEY WE USE IS SMALL POTATOES.

>> THANK YOU.

AND COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

YEARS GODADDY WHEN RON WESTIN WAS ON THE COUNCIL MOST EVERYBODY TRIED TO MATCH THE PLAN AND HE WAS TRYING TO DO A MASTER PLAN FOR THE PARK IN FAIR DALE.

AND TO DO THAT IS A BIG PLAN AND I SAID NO THAT SHOULDN'T BE SOMETHING YOU DO.

THAT OUGHT TO BE US.

6,000 ACHE CERTIFICATES OUR PART AND WE OUGHT TO BE PAYING FOR IT.

SOMETIMES AS A UNIT WE NEED TO TAKE CARE OF WHAT EVERYBODY IS ENTITLED TO.

BUT WHEN I FIRST GOT ON COUNCIL THERE WAS A GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT HAD A SOCCER LEAGUE AND THEY PLAYED AT A PLACE CALLED [INDISTINCT] PARK AND THERE WAS

800 KIDS PLAYED OUT NO BATHROOMS  
NO WATER AND THEY DID ALL THE  
WORK HAD ALL THE GOALS, THE PARK  
DIDN'T DO ANY -- ANYTHING.  
WE GOT WATER TO THE PARK AND WE  
BUILT A PAVRL YUN.  
WE PAID \$600,000.  
THAT'S WORTH FIVE YEARS TO HAVE  
ENOUGH MONEY.  
THAT'S YOU SHOULDN'T EVER DONE  
THAT.  
I DON'T KNOW WHO IS GOING TO  
HELP THEM.  
I'M GOING TO DO IT SO I HELPED  
AND LOOKED BACK, SOMETIMES  
THERE'S BIG PROJECTS OUGHT TO BE  
COUNCIL PROJECTS NOT INDIVIDUAL.  
IN MY AREA IT USED TO BE THERE'S  
A PLACE CALLED INDUSTRIAL PARK  
THEY CALL IT SOMETHING ELSE NOW  
BUT BEHIND A CHURCH CALLED  
ST. MICHAELS THERE'S SOME  
INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY THAT THEY  
NEED TO GET TO BUILD A ROAD.  
THEY NEED TO BUILD A BRIDGE AND  
SAID WE NEED A BRIDGE.  
YOU GET THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT  
THEY AIN'T GOING TO HELP.  
THE STATE, THEY DON'T CARE  
NOTHING ABOUT US.  
WE ARE GOING TO DO IT.  
HOW ARE YOU GOING TO DO IT?  
I DON'T KNOW YET BUT WE GET A  
BUNCH OF PEOPLE SAYING WE CAN WE  
WILL.  
MILLION AND A HALF DOLLARS LATER  
WE HAD DIFFERENT PROJECTS AND WE  
BUILT A BRIDGE OVER THE RAILROAD  
TRACKS.  
NOW WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF  
GETTING MONEY FROM FRANKFURT.  
WE GOT THE LAND.  
I'M SAYING SOMETIMES IT WOULD BE  
NICE AS A GROUP WE CAN SIT DOWN  
AND TALKING ABOUT YES, YOU KNOW,  
YOU SEE A NEED, YOU GET A BUNCH

OF PEOPLE THINKING ABOUT THE  
PROJECT, YOU CAN SOLVE IT.  
I NEVER FELT LIKE THERE WAS  
ANYTHING I COULDN'T SOLVE WITH  
SOME OTHER PEOPLES HELP AND WE  
CAN MAKE THE COMMUNITY BETTER.  
I GOT PROBABLY THE BIGGEST AREA  
THAT DOESN'T EVER INTERNET.  
THERE'S A LOT OF KID RIDE A  
SCHOOL BUS TO GET INTERNET  
SERVICE WHEN EVERYBODY IS LOCKED  
DOWN.  
YOU SHOULD HELP OUT ALL THE  
KIDS.  
WE ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WITH  
SPECTRUM HOW TO GET WHERE WE ARE  
ABOUT I PUT UP EVERY PENNY I  
HAVE AND I NEED 3,000 MORE AND  
WE ARE NOT GOING TO GET IT SO I  
HAVE TO SAVE LONGER AND HOPE THE  
SPECTRUM WILL HELP OUT IN A  
COUPLE OF YEARS BUT IT'S NOT  
RIGHT.  
WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT HOW TO  
HELP PEOPLE OUT AND PULL  
TOGETHER WE CAN SOLVE PROBLEMS.  
SO I'M NOT AGAINST WHENEVER  
THERE IS A NEED BUT YOU HAVE TO  
BE OPEN TO OTHER PEOPLE SEEING  
WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO.  
I WANT TO HELP.  
SOMETIMES YOU FORCE PEOPLE  
SOMETIMES I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S  
ALL THEY CAN DO.  
THANK YOU.  
>> WHAT I HEAR A LOT OF PEOPLE  
SAYING IS THEY'RE AFRAID BEHALF  
THE OUTCOME OF THAT PROCESS  
MIGHT LOOK LIKE.  
I THINK THAT'S AN UNFOUNDED  
FEAR.  
I THINK THAT WHAT THIS  
RESOLUTION DOES IS ALLOW US TO  
BEGIN TO HAVE THE CONVERSATION.  
I THINK WE ALL PROMOTE EQUITY IN  
SOME WAY OR ANOTHER WHEN WE ARE

MAKING DECISIONS FOR OUR DISTRICT.

WHEN COUNCILMAN ACKERSON IS TALKING ABOUT SUPPORTING LOW INCOME PEOPLE IN HIS NEIGHBORHOOD THAT CAN'T AFFORD TO REMOVE TREES, I THINK THAT'S A VERSION OF EQUITY.

WHEN WE TALK ABOUT CHILDREN WHO DON'T HAVE THE NEED THAT'S A VERSION OF EQUITY SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE US NOT TO HAVE BOXED IN IDEAS ABOUT WHAT EQUITY MEANS WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE OR WHAT IT'S GOING TO DO IN ANY WAY DICTATE WE DO AS A COUNCIL.

SO I THINK THIS IS A REALY IMPORTANT CONVERSATION FOR US TO HAVE.

FOR THAT REASON I'M GOING TO BE YES ON THE AMENDMENT AND THE UNDERLINING ORDINANCE AND I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMAN ARTHUR FOR HIS LEADERSHIP ON THIS.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN.

AS A REMINDER THE AMENDMENT BASED THE EQUITY REVIEW PROCESS SHALL NOT REQUIRE ANY CHANGES TO THE RECOMMENDED AMOUNTS OF FUNDING BUDGETED FORWARD EACH COUNCIL DISTRICT SO THE TALK ABOUT NDS AND FIF INEQUITY FOR THAT PROCESS CHANGING ISN'T REALLY YOU APPLICABLE.

WE ARE PUTTING THE CART BEFORE THE HORSE RIGHT NOW.

OUR COMMITTEE HAS NOT CREATED GOALS AND EVEN IN THE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION JUST TO BRING PEOPLE INTO THAT PROCESS, WE TALKED ABOUT ANALYZING THE COUNCIL DESIGNATED FUNDS.

WE TALKED ABOUT MAKING THE OVERALL GRANT PROCESS EASIER FOR PEOPLE.

WE TALKED ABOUT LOOKING AT COST

CENTERS.

WE TALKED ABOUT COUNCILMAN STEWART'S POINT SEEING WHAT WE CAN DO TO HAVE MORE COLLABORATION ACROSS CAUCUSES ACROSS CITIES WE TALKED ABOUT A LOST IDEAS BUT NONE OF THOSE IDEAS ARE GOALS YET.

IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE GOALS.

IF YOU HAVE AN ISSUE WITH SOMETHING THAT WE PRESENT OR PROPOSE CAN YOU VOTE IT DOWN OR YOU CAN WORK WITH US TO AMEND IT BUT TO VOTE THIS DOWN THAT SAYS WE ARE STARTING THE PROCESS.

IT WILL BE A HUGE MISSED OPPORTUNITY.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> THANK YOU.

I THINK BOTH COUNCILMAN CHAMBERS AN ARM STRONG MADE MY POINTS WHICH IS JUST ESTABLISHING I WOULD ACTUALLY ARGUE THAT COUNCILMAN EDEN AND FOX AT THIS POINT THAT THEIR AREAS ARE UNDER INVESTMENT WOULD SPECIFICALLY BE ADDRESSED.

EQUITY DOESN'T MEAN TAKING MONEY FROM THE SUBURBS AND SENDING THEM TO INSIDE THE URBAN SERVICE DISTRICT TAKING IT FROM WHITE AREAS TO BLACK AREAS.

IT MEANS ALLOCATING THE MONEY TO WHERE THE GREATEST NEED IS.

IF YOU CONDUCT EQUITY ANALYSIS YOU FIND THAT AREAS THAT YOU REPRESENT EVERYBODY UNDERINVESTED IN.

THIS WOULD SAY AS WE ARE THINKING ABOUT COUNCIL DESIGNATED FUNDS THAT SHOULD BE WHERE THOSE EXTRA DOLLARS GO.

I THINK THIS IS MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING IN TERMS ARE TODAY'S

RESOLUTION WHICH SIMPLY SAYS  
LET'S DEFINE WHAT THE GOALS ARE  
AND GO FOR THEM.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

JUST TO FOLLOW UP I HOPE NO FEAR  
WAS HEARD OR STUDENT OUTCOMES  
WERE HEARD.

WHAT I'M SIMPLY SAYING IS THIS  
IS THE TAXPAYER'S MONEY THAT  
RETURNS BACK TO THE TAXPAYER  
THROUGH THE OFFICIAL THEY'VE  
ELECTED AT THE BALLOT BOX AND I  
THINK ANY OVERSIGHT, ANY  
STIPULATION IF IT'S NOT BY THE  
TAXPAYER AND ANY CONTROL  
SURRENDER IF IT'S NOT BY THE  
TAXPAYER IS NOT A GOOD IDEA, NOT  
ONE I CAN SUPPORT.

THAT DOESN'T COME FROM A  
POSITION OF FEAR.

IT DOESN'T COME FROM A POSITION  
THAT UMA ASSUMING A CERTAIN  
OUTCOME IS GOING TO OCCUR.

AS I SAID BEFORE, WHEN MERGE  
GOVERNMENT OCCURRED ONE OF THE  
VERY FEW THINGS A RESIDENT OF  
THE FORMER UNINCORPORATED AREA  
CAN LOOK FOR AS A BE OF THIS  
MERGED GOVERNMENT IS HOPEFULLY  
BETTER REPRESENTATION AS  
COMPARED TO A BOARD OF ALDERMEN  
WHICH CONTAINED 12 AND THREE  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE NDF  
FUND WHERE MONEY THEY PAID COMES  
BACK INTO SOMETHING THEY SEE  
THAT SERVES A PUBLIC PURPOSE AND  
I WILL RESIST ANY OVERSIGHT OR  
ANY CONTROL THAT DOESN'T COME  
FROM A POSITION OF FEAR, THAT'S  
WHAT I WAS ELECTED TO DO.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.

AND COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> I JUST WANT TO ECHO THAT I

THINK WE ARE GETTING A LITTLE  
BIT OF AHEAD OF OURSELVES HERE.  
THIS REALLY WAS PROPOSED BECAUSE  
LAST YEAR WE REQUIRED EQUITY  
REVIEW OF EVERY BUDGET THAT  
COMES BEFORE COUNCIL.

I THINK DID NOT HAVE THAT KIND  
OF EQUITY REVIEW BY SOMEBODY OR  
COUNCIL BUDGET.

IT JUST MAKES SENSE.

IT DOES NOT MAKE SENSE.

IN TERMS OF THE BUDGET, AS I  
READ THIS THE COMMITTEE IS GOING  
TO REVIEW THE BUDGET.

THE COMMITTEE WON'T MAKE BINDING  
DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT'S IN THE  
BUDGETS.

THAT'S DONE BY THE FULL COUNCIL  
AS PART OF THE BUDGET APPROVAL.  
EVERYBODY WILL GET A VOTE ON  
WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO.

COMMITTEE MAY MAKE  
RECOMMENDATIONS.

A COMMITTEE IS GOING TO HAVE A  
REVIEW BEFORE WE PASS A BUDGET  
LIKE THERE'S AN EQUITY REVIEW OF  
EVERY DEPARTMENT.

I DO THINK WE ARE GETING A  
LITTLE AHEAD OF OURSELVES AND  
THAT'S WHY I WON'T BE VOTING  
YES.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN AND  
COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

JUST A QUICK THING.

SOMEONE MENTIONED I'M NOT SURE,  
OUR DISCUSSION IS MUCH TO DO  
ABOUT NOTHING.

I WOULD SAY IN SOME SENSE DOING  
THE RESOLUTION IS BECAUSE THE  
EQUITY COMMITTEE AND REVIEW FOR  
BUDGET MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS THE  
RESOLUTION ISN'T NECESSARY FOR  
THEM TO CARRY ON THAT WORK.  
PUBLIC WORKS CAN DO THE SAME  
THING AND DOES SOMETIMES REVIEW

CERTAIN AREAS OF THE BUDGET HOW WE ARE DOING.

YEARS AGO WHEN WE LOOKED AT PAVING, IT [INDISTINCT] WORK ON THE ISSUES THEY'RE ASSIGNED TO DON'T NEED A RESOLUTION.

SO I THINK WHAT WE ARE HEARING IS FOLKS ARE GIVEN EXAMPLES HISTORICAL EXAMPLES OF WAYS THAT THEY FEEL IT HAS GONE THAT WAY.

I HEAR PEOPLE SAYING YOU'RE ASSUMING IT'S GOING TO WORK THIS WAY OR THAT WAY OR WHATEVER BUT THERE MIGHT NOT BE A MILLION EXAMPLES.

I DON'T KNOW BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE MAYBE WISE FOR US TO HAVE A COMMITTEE TO DO THEIR WORK, LOOK AT THOSE THINGS AND BRING THOSE THINGS WHEN YOU WORK ON THOSE GOALS BRING THEM BEFORE US, BRING THEM BEFORE US INSTEAD OF VOTING TO KEEP THAT.

SO GO AHEAD LOOK AT IT WE WILL HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU SIR.

COUNCILMAN PARKER.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> YES.

>> PARKER.

>> OH, SORRY.

>> ADDRESS THE CONCERN YOU JUST HEARD ABOUT THE NECESSITY OF THE RESOLUTION.

IT PROVIDES COMMITMENT LAST YEAR AS YOU HEARD COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER SAY THERE WAS CONFUSION ABOUT THE ORDINANCE WAS UNCLEAR.

WE ARE PROVIDING COMMITMENT SAYING IT IS APPLICABLE TO US.

WE ARE CONTROL THAT PROCESS.

THE MAYOR'S OFFICE WILL NOT.

I WANTED TO RESPOND TO SOMETHING COUNCILMAN FOX MENTION EVIDENCE



ESSENTIALLY HAVING BUDGETING AS  
A PART OF ONE OF OUR  
RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU WERE  
TALKING ABOUT DISTRICTS  
EVERYTHING MORE CONTROL AND SAY  
OVER THE DOLLARS THAT ARE SPENT  
WITHIN THAT DISTRICT OR EVEN  
WITHIN THE CITY AS A WHOLE.

I WANTED TO SAY THAT REMINDED ME  
OF THAT AS ONE OF THE IDEAS AND  
GOALS YOU MENTIONED AND I HOPE  
WOULD YOU SUPPORT THAT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU AND COUNCILMAN  
DORSEY.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIR.

I SPOKE TO COUNCILMAN  
[INDISTINCT] ABOUT THIS.

AS YOU KNOW I WORKED DILIGENTLY  
LAST YEAR AROUND THE BUDGET  
EQUITY ORDINANCE AND THIS PIECE  
CAME UP QUITE A BIT.

IT WAS A CHALLENGE TO GET THAT  
PIECE IN THERE BEFORE THE BUDGET  
THANK YOU ALL FOR SUPPORTING.

AS WE LOOK AT WHEN I TALKED TO  
COUNCILMAN ARTHUR I EXPRESSED MY  
CONCERNS ABOUT THE NDF FUNDS  
REMAINING NOT REMOVING THOSE  
DOLLARS AND MY CONCERNS ABOUT  
CAPITAL FUNDS AND I THINK THE  
LANGUAGE REFLECTS THAT BUT I  
HEAR HIM SAYING TODAY LET'S WORK  
TOGETHER COLLECTIVELY ON GOALS  
THAT ADDRESS THE EQUITY ISSUES  
IN OUR CITY AND I THINK THAT'S  
WHAT I HEAR YOU ALL ACTUALLY  
SAYING IN YOUR OWN WAY SO MAYBE  
THIS IS MORE OF A PERSPECTIVE OR  
A NUANCE OR VERBIAGE THING BUT  
WHEN I HEAR GIVING MORE PEOPLE  
POWER TO CONTROL THEIR DOLLARS  
LOOKING AT THE EQUITY REGARDING  
LIGHTING TREE REMOVAL AND  
MAINTENANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE  
THESE ARE THE VERY GOALS THAT I

HEAR COUNCILMAN ARTHUR LOOKING AT WAYS THE COUNCIL CAN GET TOGETHER.

I WANT TO RAISE WHAT COUNCILMAN [INDISTINCT] SAID IF WE CAN LOOK AT MORE MONEY TO HANDLE THE ISSUES THAT WE DON'T SEE HANDLED BY THE ADMINISTRATION PUT THOSE DOLLARS UNDER OUR CONTROL WE INSURE AS REPORTING TRANSPARENCY AND ADHERENCE TO IT SO THAT WE CAN TRACK THOSE SPECIFIC NUANCE SPECIAL PROJECTS WE WANT I THINK THAT'S WHAT HE'S TRYING TO CHAMPION AND I THINK THE LANGUAGE REFLECTS THAT SO I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS BECAUSE I THINK IT GIVES US EVEN MORE CONTROL OVER THE BUDGET. IT SETS THE EXAMPLE THAT NEEDS TO BE SET THROUGHOUT THE ADMINISTRATION AS TO HOW YOU ACTUALLY LEAD.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT. AS I SAID IN COMMITTEE THAT I WOULD NOT SUPPORT IT BECAUSE WITH YOU LOOK AT IT, I'M THE ONLY AFRICAN-AMERICAN [INDISTINCT].

>> MOSTLY EVERYBODY VOTES IN THEIR AREA.

SOUTHWEST TOGETHER AND [INDISTINCT] I'M THE ONLY ONE THAT SITS OUT THERE AND VERY RARELY DO I [INDISTINCT] I DID TALK TO COUNCILMAN ARTHUR AND HE SAID WE ARE GOING TO LEAVE THE MONEY THE WAY IT IS AND I'M HOPING THEY'RE GOING TO LEAVE THE MONEY THE WAY IT IS.

I WILL SUPPORT YOU AS LONG AS YOU LAID OUT ALL THE MONEY GOES TO THE WEST END OR HERE SO EACH AREA HAS ITS OWN PROJECTS.

WE ALL KNOW THAT THEY'VE GOT  
THEIR OWN PROJECTS AND THEY'RE  
GOING TO STICK TOGETHER.  
SO I'M OUT HERE ALONE.  
I WANT TO MAKE SURE MY DISTRICT  
GETS AS MUCH MONEY THAT  
EVERYBODY ELSE GETS.  
SO FAR I WANT TO SAY THAT AND I  
WILL NOT BE ONE OF THEM THAT  
WON'T SUPPORT IT BUT IF IT  
DOESN'T GO THAT WAY [INDISTINCT]  
THAT'S JUST THE WAY IT IS.  
THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.  
COUNCILMAN PARKER.

>> THANK YOU.

I WAS TRYING TO GET IN GROUP BUT  
I HAD TO CHANGE.  
I WANTED TO CLARIFY.  
THIS WILL SET UP ANOTHER  
COMMITTEE THAT IS -- I JUST  
HEARD THAT MENTIONED SEVERAL  
TIMES THAT IT WOULD SET UP  
ANOTHER COMMITTEE.  
THAT'S NOT ACCURATE?  
OKAY.

>> I'LL LET COUNCILMAN ARTHUR  
DEFINE IT PLEASE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.  
SO THE COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND  
INCLUSION THAT HAS EXISTED SINCE  
2020 I BELIEVE AFTER PASSAGE OF  
THIS RESOLUTION, IT WOULD CREATE  
A VISION STATEMENT FOR THE  
COUNCIL EQUITY VISION STATEMENT.  
WE WOULD CREATE EQUITY GOALS  
MANY WE WOULD KEEP TRACK OF  
THOSE GOALS.  
NONE OF THE GOALS WOULD BE SET  
TONIGHT.  
WE ARE SIMPLY SAYING THE  
COMMITTEE WOULD START THE WORK  
AFTER WE PASSES IT TONIGHT.  
WE WILL FOLLOW THE FRAMEWORK  
THAT PASSED LAST YEAR  
UNANIMOUSLY ACROSS THE COUNCIL

TO HAVE AN EQUITY REVIEW OF  
[INDISTINCT].

>> OKAY, THANK YOU.

THAT MAKES IT A LITTLE BETTER  
BUT EVEN PUTTING THAT AMOUNT OF  
WORK IT ALMOST SEEMS LIKE IT  
SETS UP ANOTHER SMALL BUDGET TO  
REVIEW EVERYTHING THAT PASSES  
THROUGH THE BUDGET PROCESS AND  
THAT JUST SEEMS LIKE A BIG LOT  
OF WORK.

>> THANK YOU.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY THAT'S  
NOT WHAT WE ARE DOING AND I HAVE  
SPOKEN WITH BUDGET CHAIRMAN BILL  
HOLLANDER ABOUT THE WORK WE WILL  
BE DOING TOGETHER AND THE  
COLLABORATION THAT WILL COME OUT  
OF OUR WORK BUT WE DEFINITELY WILL  
NOT BE CREATING ANOTHER BUDGET  
COMMITTEE.

WE WILL JUST BE LOOKING AT  
EQUITY WITH A MUCH MORE NUANCED  
LENS.

WE WILL GET CONVERSATION WITH  
OUR COMMITTEE THAT DOES HAVE THE  
RESPONSIBILITY FOR OVERSIGHT AND  
INCLUSION FOR THE COUNCIL.  
THAT WAS THE REASON IT WAS  
CREATED.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

ALL RIGHT.

SO, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF  
ADOPTING -- WE ARE JUST ADOPTING  
THE AMENDMENT.

WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN TO VOTE,  
RIGHT?

WE ARE ADOPTING THE AMENDMENT.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF DOING THE  
AMENDMENT AND THEN WE WILL VOTE  
ON THE RESOLUTION.

SAY SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

OKAY.

THE AMENDED RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE RESOLUTION THAT'S BEFORE US?

OKAY.

MADAM CLERK COULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> [ROLL CALL]

MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 12 YES AND 12 NO VOTES.

>> THEREFORE, IT FAILS.

MADAM CLERK, READ ITEM NUMBER 50.

>> [READING] READ IN FULL.

>> COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

AT THIS TIME IF YOU WOULD PLEASE RECOGNIZE COUNCILWOMAN ARM STRONG WHO HAS DONE A YEOMAN'S WORK TRYING TO GET THIS THROUGH COMMITTEE AN HAS SOME THINGS SHE WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN CHAMBERS ARM STRONG.

>> THANK YOU.

WE WERE IN A CHILD CARE CRISIS BEFORE THE PANDEMIC AND NOW WITH OUR CHILD CARE CAPACITY DOWN 10 PERCENT, 100,000 WOMEN OUT OF THE WORKFORCE BECAUSE OF CHILD CARE ISSUES AN ONGOING CHALLENGES IN THE CHILD CARE AREA, THE CRISIS IS SEVERE. ACCESS TO HIGH QUALITY AFFORDABLE CHILD CARE IS A WOMEN'S ISSUE.

IT'S A CHILDREN'S ISSUE.

IT'S A WORKING FAMILIES ISSUE.

IT IS ALSO A COMMUNITY ISSUE AND SOMETHING THAT IMPACTS US ALL.

I THINK THERE'S BROAD CONSENSUS ON COUNCIL THAT CHILD CARE IS IMPORTANT AND RESTRICTIVE ZONING

SHOULDN'T BE A BARRIER TO WORKING FAMILIES BEING ABLE TO ACCESS THE CARE THAT THEY NEED. MOST OF OUR DISCUSSION HAS BEEN ON HOW TO DO THAT, HOW TO ALLOW FAMILIES TO ACCESS CARE, WHILE ALSO BALANCEING THE NEED OF OUR NEIGHBORHOODS AND AVOIDING UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES AND SO WE HAVE MADE A LOT OF CHANGES TO ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS. MOST OF THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE HEARD ABOUT HAS INVOLVED THE FAMILY CHILD CARE HOME WHICH ARE LOCATED IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS. I WANT TO ADD THERE IS BROAD CONSENSUS ON THE COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CHANGES AND I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE CAN ALL BE PROUD OF TO MAKE THOSE CHANGES SO I WANT TO APPLY QUICKLY THE CHANGES THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN MADE AND COUNCILMAN ARTHUR WILL SPEAK TO SOME OF THE CHANGES THAT WE HOPE TO MAKE BETWEEN NOW AND THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING. WHILE WE WERE INITIALLY ASKING TO EXPAND THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN ALLOWED BY RIGHTS, WE HEARD FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS SUGGESTING THAT WE TAKE A MORE INCREMENTAL STEP SO THAT'S WHAT WE DID AND WHAT THE ORDINANCE DOES. IN COMMITTEE WE SIGNIFICANTLY SCALED BACK THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES. AS I STANDS NOW, WE HAVE NOT CHANGED THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN YOU CAN HAVE IN A FAMILY CHILD CARE HOME AS A MATTER OF RIGHT. WE ALSO EVER NOT INHERENTLY CHANGED ANYTHING ABOUT THE CUP PROCESS THAT EXISTS FOR A NUMBER OF OUR RESIDENTIAL ZONES. INSTEAD AND I WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT THIS THE ONLY CHANGE

WE ARE MAKING IS TO MAKE SURE ALL RESIDENTIAL ZONES INCLUDING R-4 AND R-5 HAVE ACCESS TO THE SAME CUP PROBLEMS THAT IS AVAILABLE TO OTHER RESIDENTIAL ZONES THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT PROCESS AS YOU ALTHOUGH HAS MANY BUILT IN CHECKS INTO THE SYSTEM TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT PARKING NOISE HOURS OF OPERATION OR OTHER CONCERNS NEIGHBOR MIGHT HAVE.

I WILL ALSO NOTE THAT THERE ARE OTHER CHECKS BUILT INTO THE SYSTEM AROUND THESE THINGS. SOME OF THEM ARE BASED ON STATE LICENSING REQUIREMENTS SUCH AS REQUIREMENT AROUND THE SQUARE FOOTAGE PER CHILD AND OTHERS WE HAVE INCLUDING AMENDMENTS AROUND PARKING CONSIDERATIONS ANDROPOV PICK UP PLANS.

IN MANY WAYS AND I WANT TO BE CLEAR ABOUT THIS POINT TOO THIS ORDINANCE CONTAINS MORE PROTECTION AROUND PARKING CONCERNS AND PICKUP-DROP OFF CONCERNS THAN DOES THE STATUS QUO.

AND SO, WITH THAT MR. PRESIDENT, ULTIMATELY I WILL BE ASKING COUNCIL MEMBERS TO SUPPORT A MOTION TO TABLE TONIGHT BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS STILL A FEW MORE THINGS WE CAN DO TO MAKE THIS ORDINANCE A BETTER VERSION OF WHAT IT IS.

SO WITH THAT MR. PRESIDENT I WOULD ASK THAT YOU RECOGNIZE MY COSPONSOR ARTHUR SO THAT COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO HAVE QUESTIONS CONCERNS OR OTHER THINGS THEY WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS UNDERSTAND WHAT THE PROCESS WILL BE AND CAN APPROACH US BETWEEN NOW AND TWO WEEKS FROM NOW WHEN WE HOPE TO

RECONSIDER THIS OREGON.  
THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> COUNCILMAN ARTHUR.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.

FIRST I'D LIKE TO THANK OUR  
COLLEAGUE FOR BEING ABLE TO WORK  
WITH US ON THIS.

WE KNOW IN SOME CASES CHANGE CAN  
BE SCARY BUT YOU'VE BEEN ABLE TO  
MEET WITH US IN THE MIDDLE TO  
HELP OUR FAMILIES ACROSS THE  
MIDDLE.

RECEIVE SO MUCH FEEDBACK THE  
PAST FEW WEEKS EVEN FROM THIS  
WEEK SO SOMETHING THAT'S TOP OF  
MIND IN TERMS OF CHANGES WILL BE  
TO MAKE SURE WE CAN TRACK THIS  
GOING FORWARD TO SEE HOW IT  
IMPACTS OUR CITY BUT WE WILL BE  
WORKING WITH METRO 311 TO CREATE  
A CHILD CARE SPECIFIC OPTION FOR  
SERVICE REQUESTS.

WE HAVE HEARD A LOT OF CONCERNS  
AROUND PARKING AS WELL BUT WE  
WILL BE WORKING DIRECTLY WITH  
COUNCIL MEMBERS WHOEVER THOSE  
CONCERNS, ALSO CONSULTING  
DIRECTLY WITH [INDISTINCT] AND  
THEIR FACILITIES LEADERSHIP  
AROUND BEST PRACTICES WAYS TO  
KEEP KIDS AND FAMILY SAFE BUT  
ALSO TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE  
ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS OF  
RESIDENTS.

WE ALSO HAVE DIRECTOR EMILY LOU  
AND HOME SPECIALIST COORDINATE  
CHILD CARE TO ADDRESS MANY OF  
THE CHILD CARE MYTHS THAT WE  
HAVE AT THE NEXT COMMITTEE OF  
THE WHOLE SO BETWEEN NOW AND  
THEN IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS  
COMMENTS OR CONCERNS PLEASE CON  
TACT COUNCILWOMAN DINGTS OR  
MYSELF BUT UNTIL THEN WE WILL  
LET THIS THING COOL DOWN FOR A  
COUPLE WEEKS.



MOST TO TABLE.

>> SECOND ARM STRONG.

>> MOTION COUNCILMAN ARTHUR  
SECOND BY COUNCILMAN CHAMBERS  
ARM STRONG.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF TABLING  
AYE.

>> OPPOSED.

IT IS TABLED.

MADAM CLERK READING OF ITEM  
NUMBER 51.

>> [READING].

>> AND, PEOPLE USING WITHOUT A  
PROPER LEVEL ROAD.

AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO  
ASK, HE HAS AN AMENDMENT THAT WE  
NEED TO OFFER FOR THIS AS A  
BINDING ELEMENT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN FLOOD.  
THIS WOULD BE BINDING ELEMENT  
NUMBER 11 AND I'LL READ IT IN  
FULL.

WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE  
CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY BEING  
ISSUED OR PROPOSED ADDITION A  
TRAFFIC STUDY TOY ADDRESS  
CAPACITY BETWEEN [INDISTINCT] AN  
PRESTON HIGHWAY SHALL BE  
REQUIRED IF ONE IN THE TRAFFIC  
STUDY RESULTS IN RELATIONS OR  
IMPROVEMENTS AND 2 TRAFFIC  
SIGNAL IMPROVEMENT CONTEMPLATED  
BY BINDING ELEMENT 9 ARE NOT  
CONSTRUCTED FOR ANY REASON MANY  
THEN THE DEVELOPER SHALL  
CONTRIBUTE \$100,000 TOWARD THE  
COST OF IMPROVEMENT ON REQUEST  
BY LOUISVILLE METRO THIS  
CONTRIBUTION SHALL NOT BE  
REQUESTED MORE THAN TWO YEARS  
AFTER ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF  
OCCUPANCY.

BINDING ELEMENT ABOUT I AM  
IMPROVEMENT AT PRESTON HIGHWAY  
AT ACCESS POINT...

IMPROVEMENT THEN INSTEAD...

WOULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR  
IMPROVEMENT BECAUSE OF THAT REAR  
ACCESS POINT.

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN FLOOD,  
SECOND BY COUNSEL MAN  
[INDISTINCT].

ANY DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED.

AYES HAVE IT.

COUNCILMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

AT THIS TIME COUNCILMAN NICOLE  
GEORGE'S DISTRICT.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

I JUST WANT TO THANK TRAVIS  
COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AS WELL  
AS COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD GETTING US  
THE BEST PRODUCT MAKING SURE WE  
DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO MINIMIZE  
TRAFFIC PROBLEMS.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK CALL THE ROLL.

>> [ROLL CALL]

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE  
PASSES.

READING OF ITEM 52.

>> [READING] AS AMENDED READ IN  
FULL.

>> MOTION.

>> MOTION TO ACCEPT.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN  
TRIPLETT, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN  
WINKLER.

MOTION BEFORE US, ANY DISCUSS  
COUNCILMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS WAS ACTUALLY SENT BACK TO  
COMMITTEE BECAUSE WE HAD  
CONCERNS ABOUT APPROVING  
SOMETHING THAT WAS TULG I A  
MISTAKE BY THE DEVELOPER AND  
THEN IT WAS ERRONEOUSLY POLLED

AND APPROVED AND IF YOU LET ME I WILL GO OVER THE NEW ORDINANCE. I WON'T READ VERBATIM BUT AT LEAST IT MAY ANSWER SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WILL COME UP IF YOU WOULD ALLOW ME TO DO THAT.

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> THE APPLICANTS REPRESENTATIVE UNDER OATH TOLD THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHILE THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL OF THE PROPERTY CALLED FOR 164 DWELLING UNITS TWO OF THE UNITS WERE -- ERRONEOUSLY PULLED AN A APPROVED.

THE TWO ADDITIONAL UNITS PUSHED THE PROJECT OVER TO THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED IN R-6.

IN ADDITION TO COMMITMENT OF A NUMBER OF ADDITION OF MITIGATION MATTERS EXCUSE ME THERE WAS AN ADDITION OF ON SITE MITIGATION MATTERS INCLUDING RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE AND AMENITIES TO THE PROPERTY.

THE COUNCIL DOES NOT WISH TO ENCOURAGE ANY APPLICANT TO ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DEVELOPMENT ERRORS BUT ALSO ACKNOWLEDGES THAT EACH ZONING REQUEST MUST BE TAKEN TO ITS INDIVIDUAL CIRCUMSTANCES AN MERITS.

THE ERROR IN THIS CASE WAS PARTICULAR TO THE THE BUILDING. THE COUNCIL COULD ORDINARILY CONSIDER ALLOWING THIS CASE TO BE APPROVED WITHOUT COUNCIL ACTION UNDERSTANDS THE 90 DAY RULE BUT SUCH ROLE IS SUSPENDED BY THE LEGISLATURE DUE TO THE COVID-19 RELATED EMERGENCY. WE WILL APPROVE IT WITH BOTH CONDITIONS.

IT WAS AN AMENDMENT TO A BINDING ELEMENT PRIOR TO THAT THAT ALLOWED THIS SHOULD NOT EXCEED... UNLESS APPROVED BY

THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

>> ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT  
[INDISTINCT] ANY QUESTIONS THAT  
SOMEONE HAS.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN.  
DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

>> COUNCILMAN.

>> WE ARE APPROVING THE R-7.

>> YES BUT UNDER THOSE  
CONDITIONS THE ACTUAL AMENDED  
VERSION IS ONLINE PLANNING AND  
ZONING MEETING UNDER COUNCIL  
ADVICE AND THE COMMITTEE AGREES  
IT DIDN'T SELECT THAT -- THERE'S  
NO GUARANTEE ANY TIME YOU GO TO  
COURT BUT SIN IT WAS AN ERROR  
MADE BY METRO GOVERNMENT THE  
DEVELOPER SAID WE WOULD END UP  
SPENDING TAXPAYER DOLLARS  
DEFENDING SOMETHING THAT DIDN'T  
CHANGE THE FOOTPRINT OF THE  
BUILDING MAY BE A COSTLY  
ENDEAVOR.

>> I GUESS I'M GOING TO GO BACK  
TO LAST TIME.

MY BIGGER ISSUE IS YEAH,  
PERMITTING MADE A MISTAKE OR  
WHOEVER RENTED ALL OF THIS BUT  
AGAIN, EVEN JUST THE CHANGE IN  
ZONING TO R-7 IS A REWARD ALL  
ITS OWN.

AND THE LAND JUST SKYROCKETED IN VALUE.

AND, YES, YOU'RE RIGHT.

IT'S GOTTA GO THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

SO, THEY WASTE SIX WEEKS TRYING TO GET A YES  
OUT OF THEM CUZ THEY DON'T SAY NO TO ANYTHING.

AND THEN EVENTUALLY IT'S GONNA COME HERE ANYWAY  
WHERE WE'RE GONNA SAY TO OURSELVES,  
WELL, WE CAN'T OVERTURN THE PLANNING COMMISSION  
OR WE'LL GET SUED.

LIKE WE'RE DOING RIGHT NOW.

SO, I'M GONNA BE A NO VOTE.

IT'S A SYMBOLIC NO VOTE

UNLESS WE DO SOMETHING TO OVERTURN IT.

BUT, AGAIN, JUST THE R7 ALONE,  
IF HE FLIPS IT DAY AFTER TOMORROW,

HE'S GOING TO MAKE MONEY ON IT.

AND HE'S BEING REWARDED.

AGAIN, DON'T EVER LET ANY OF THESE GUYS COME IN HERE

AND TELL ME THAT THEY SPENT MILLIONS

OF DOLLARS FOR THEIR PRECISE DESIGNS,

WHEN, OBVIOUSLY, THAT'S NOT AT THE CASE.

SO.

>>THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>>YEAH. IF IT WAS IN ANY OTHER TIME PERIOD

WE WOULD ALLOW THE 90 DAY RULE TO JUST TAKE OVER.

BUT WE DON'T HAVE THAT LUXURY AT THIS TIME.

AND NO ONE KNOWS EXACTLY WHEN LEGISLATURE WILL ACT

ON THE LIFTING OF THE EMERGENCY ORDERS.

>>THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>>COUNCIL

>>COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER PRESIDENT JAMES.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.

>>YES.

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 AND 10

>>COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER INGLE.

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN

>>NO.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER HOLTON STEWART.

>>YES.

>>COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>>NO.

>>I CAN'T HEAR.

CAN ANYONE ELSE HEAR?

>>NO ONE IS SAYING ANYTHING.

>>WE'RE COUNTING MA'AM.

I'M SORRY.

>>I'M SO SORRY.

(CHAMBER CHATTERS)

>>MR. PRESIDENT, WE HAVE 13 YES VOTES  
AND 11 NO VOTES.

>>THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>>HOLD.

>>ALL RIGHT.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 53.

>>SORRY I COULDN'T COUNT YOU ALL.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 156  
OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES  
RELATING TO THE CREATION  
OF MANAGED NATURAL LANDSCAPES.

READ IN FULL.

>>SECOND

>>THANK YOU.

MOTION BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER,

SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI  
THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.  
IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?  
COUNCILMAN GEORGE?  
>>THANK YOU PRESIDENT JAMES.  
THIS ORDINANCE PASSED COMMITTEE  
WAS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR IN  
MATTERS OF THE SMALL AMENDMENT  
TONIGHT.  
WITH THAT I WILL TURN IT OVER TO  
SPONSOR COSMIC ARTHUR.  
HE CAN THE  
ORDINANCES. >> THANK YOU  
COUNCILMAN. >> COUNCILMAN  
ARTHUR. BABBITT AMENDMENT WITH STANDARD  
LANGUAGE WHICH THIS'LL TAKE AN  
EFFECT AND  
PASSAGE.  
A MADONNA FLOOD TO PROVIDE  
CLARITY ABOUT HOW THIS INTERACTS  
WITH  
ANOTHER  
ORDINANCE.  
IF SHE COULD EXPLAIN THAT  
BRIEFLY HOPEFULLY WE CAN VOTE ON  
THE AMENDMENT AND THEN DISCUSS THE  
ORDINANCE.  
>> THANK YOU  
COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN  
PARENT.  
>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.  
THE AMENDMENT WOULD GO UNDER  
SECTION 156.052 EXTERIOR  
PROPERTY AREAS.  
NUMBER ONE, THE FIRST TIME WILL  
READ, AND ALL TIMES THE  
LANDSCAPE  
MUST REMAIN IN COMPLIANCE WITH  
CHAPTER 97 OF THE LOUISVILLE  
METRO, LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON  
COUNTY ORDINANCES.  
THE BEGINNING OF THE NEXT  
SENTENCE WILL SAY IN ADDITION.  
I MOVE THAT AMENDMENT AND WHAT  
THAT DOES COVER IS IT MAKES IT  
VERY CLEAR THAT SECTION 97 IS A

VISION TRIANGLE YOU CANNOT PUT  
PAINTING THE VISION TRIANGLE THAT  
WOULD OBSTRUCT SOMEONE'S ABILITY  
TO BACK OUT OF THEIR DRIVEWAY OR  
MAKE A TURN ONTO A ROADWAY.  
THIS IS A SAFETY ISSUE AND WE  
WANTED TO MAKE SURE IT'S CLEAR  
THAT YOU CANNOT PLANT SOMETHING IN  
THAT AREA.

>> COMOTION MY COUNCILMAN AND  
A SECOND MY COUNSEL WINKLER.

ANY  
DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMAN. MEXICAN I STARTED  
THIS BY CALLING COUNCILMAN FLOOD  
EARLIER THIS  
MORNING. HAS A 5 FOOT SETBACK FROM  
PROPERTY LINES ALREADY BUILT-IN  
WHICH IS

FINE. MY QUESTION TO HER WAS WISER  
NOTHING THAT WAS A 5 FOOT  
SETBACK

FROM THE ROAD,  
SPECIFICALLY?

THIS IS COMING FROM A GUY WHO  
EVERYWHERE HAS A FIGHT WITH  
PUBLIC

WORKS BECAUSE IT REFUSED THE CUT  
THE MEDIANS ON HER PARKWAY I  
CONCEDE TO GET OUT ON THAT  
EITHER. ON THE NEW SECTION BETWEEN AND  
COUNCILMAN SHANKLIN IS FLARED  
WITHOUT UP-AND-DOWN FRIENDS  
ONLY.

YOU CANNOT SEEM TO GET  
OUT.

I QUESTION AFTER THE STATEMENT  
IS I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE VISION  
TRIANGLE IS.

EXACT ORDINANCE AS WELL.  
HER RECENT IT JUST DOESN'T SOUND  
YOU CAN'T PLANT IN 5 FEET OF  
ROAD LIKE YOU CAN'T PLANT IN 5  
FEET OF THE PROPERTY LINE?

>> THE VISION TRIANGLE  
ACCOMPLICES A 38 FOOT SECTION IS



MY  
UNDERSTANDING.  
YOU HAVE TO BE ABLE TO SEE 30  
FEET FROM AN EXIT OUT OF YOUR  
DRIVEWAY.  
IT MAY BE BIGGER THAN 5  
FOOT.

>>

OKAY.  
I GUESS I'M NOT EVEN  
WORRIED.  
IF YOU WANT TO PLANT THINGS IN  
YOUR YARD AND AGAIN MORE POWER  
TO  
YOU.  
I WILL BE VOTING YES FOR  
THIS.  
MORE CONCERNED ABOUT AGAIN, ONE  
OF THE SUPPORTERS OF THIS  
ORDINANCE WHO HAS SENT LETTERS  
AND ALL THE FOLKS THAT HAVE SENT  
EMAILS AND SO  
ON.

I WANT TO VISIT THEIR  
HOUSE.  
ON A CORNER  
LOT. RIGHT ON WHAT IS A VERY, VERY  
NARROW ROAD LANE.  
IT'S TRULY LESS THAN 18  
FEET.  
ANIMATOR SUBDIVISION COMES OUT  
RIGHT THERE, JOYCE DRIVE.  
I'M NOT SURE YOUR TRIANGLE  
COVERS ANYBODY'S ABILITY IF  
THERE ON  
THE STREET, NOT HIS DRIVEWAY BUT  
IF THERE ON THE STREET ON JOYCE  
GOING TO COME OUT LEFT OR RIGHT  
ONTO APPLGATE, YOU WOULD BE  
ABLE TO SEE WHAT YOU NEED TO BE ABLE  
TO SEE EVEN WITH YOUR 30 FOOT  
TRIANGLE. WHEREAS, 5K PRETTY MUCH  
GUARANTEES THE FRONT OF YOUR CAR  
FROM THE  
BAR BACK TO YOUR  
WINDSHIELD.

IT WOULD BE ABLE TO SEE ARMY TO  
THE TRAFFIC LIGHT AT ROB'S  
SHELF.

THIS CONVERSATION IS BETWEEN  
FLOW TONIGHT BECAUSE SHE HAS  
BEEN TALKING ABOUT.

THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING  
ABOUT. AGAIN, EVERYBODY'S HAPPY WITH  
THIS TRIANGLE I'M JUST NOT SURE  
IT

IS STILL THE BEST PUBLICATION IN  
EVERY CASE.

AGAIN I DON'T KNOW WHY 5 FOOT  
BUFFER WAS AN UPSIDE ALONG THE  
WHITE LINES OF A ROAD VERSUS  
THIS TRIANGLE THING WE HAVE  
QUOTED INTO THE  
ORDINANCE.

THANK YOU  
COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN FLOOD DO YOU HAVE ANY  
FURTHER COMMENT?

>>

NO.

I HAVE HAD A DISCUSSION WITH  
ROBERT AND COUNTY ATTORNEY  
ROBBIE  
HOWARD.

THIS IS WHAT WE BELIEVE WOULD  
COVER WHAT YOU ARE TALKING  
ABOUT.

PROPERTY LINE IS WHERE THE 5  
FOOT SETBACK BEGINS.

NOT THE  
EASEMENT.

THE 5 FOOT PROPERTY  
LINE.

>>

OKAY.

THANK  
YOU.

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT  
PLEASE SAY I. ALL OPPOSED?  
THE AMENDED ORDINANCES BEFORE US  
COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THERE WAS TWO ORDINANCES IN

THERE.  
THE OTHER ONE IS OVER THIS  
ORDINANCE SHALL TAKE EFFECT OF  
PASSAGE  
AND APPROVAL OTHERWISE BECOMING  
LAW.  
>> A MOTION BY COUNSELOR WINKLER  
AND A SECOND BY COUNSELOR  
TRICKETT.  
WE ALREADY DID THAT  
ONE.  
>> I'M  
SORRY.  
IT'S  
ATTACHED.  
>> ALL IN FAVOR SAY I. ANY  
OPPOSED?  
THE AMENDED ORDINANCE BEFORE US  
FOR BOTH AMENDMENTS.  
ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION  
COUNCILMAN ARTHUR?  
>> THANK YOU SO  
MUCH.  
AS YOU HEARD THIS WAS ON  
CONSENT.  
ALWAYS DECIDED TO TIGHTEN UP THE  
ORDINANCE WITH SOME OF THE  
CHANGES YOU JUST HEARD.  
IT'S A TRIPLE WIN FOR US FOR  
HELPING CONSTITUENTS MAKE SURE  
THAT  
POSSIBILITY WITH PLANTING AND  
MAKING SURE THEY ARE AWARE OF  
THE  
RULES AND REGULATIONS AROUND  
WHAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO BE  
ENFORCING.  
UNBELIEVER HELPING OUR CITY  
COMMUNITY WIDE IN TERMS OF  
MAKING  
SURE WERE IMPROVING OUR  
ENVIRONMENT FROM STORM WATER  
RETENTION AND INCREASING THE WATER QUALITY  
TO DO GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.  
THE BENEFITS WHEN IT COMES TO  
RESTORING OUR DRINK.

I'M ASKING FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND WE GIVE A QUICK SHOUT FOR EVERYONE WHO WORKED ON THIS IN COLLABORATION WITH DOCTOR ALLISON SMITH OF ADVANCE PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY. DOCTOR KERSHAW FOR. WE HAVE A COMMON ACTION NETWORK WENT TO WORK WITH THE OFFICE OF EVENTS PLANNING SUSTAINABILITY TO DO TEACHING EDUCATION AROUND HOW TO MAINTAIN YOUR NATURAL LANDSCAPE. OF COURSE ADVOCATES ACROSS HIS COMMUNITY AS WELL AS JODI DAHMER AND SO MANY PEOPLE WERE PART OF THIS WORK.

ALL THE EXPERTS. I'M JUST FILED IT. I ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND LETS US GET GET THIS THING PASSED.

>> OKAY THANK

YOU.

MADAME CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALL]

PLEASE.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, 24

YESES.

>> THANK YOU THE ORDINANCE

PASSES.

MADAME CLERK THE READING OF ITEM NUMBER 54. >> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION ACTION AGAINST OWNERS OF CERTAIN PROPERTY, PARCEL NUMBER 3, IN JEFFERSON COUNTY CONNECTION WITH THE WHITES LANE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT.

>> ANYONE WISH TO SPEAK? THERE'S NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO DISCUSS.

WITHOUT OBJECTIONS WE STAND TO ADJOURN. I RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING SOLE-SOURCE CONTRACT FOR METROPARKS CAN ATTORNEY THE

PURCHASE OF  
TREES TO BE PLANTED THROUGHOUT  
LOUISVILLE METRO TO COMBAT THE  
EFFECTS OF TREE CANOPY LOSS AND  
THE METRO HEAT ISLAND FOREST  
KEELING NURSERY. >> I HAVE A MOTION TO  
ACCEPT.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT  
SECOND BY COUNCILMAN HINKLE.  
AS RAY DISCUSSION COUNCILMAN  
PADEN.

>> WHICH ABLE TO SEE HER LAST  
MEETING. IT WAS A SURE  
WHY.

THIS IS THIS IS THE 11TH, THIS  
IS ACTUALLY THE 10 OF 11 THAT WE  
PASSED THE 11 LAST MEETING THAT  
WAS HELD AS A SOLE-SOURCE  
CONTRACT.

I GUESS I JUST FOUND IT ON THAT  
WE HAVE NOW OUGHT 3 IN ROUGHLY  
50

TO 75 TO HUNDRED THOUSAND  
DOLLARS INCREMENTS FROM 11  
DIFFERENT  
VENDORS.

AND CALLED IT  
SOLE-SOURCE.

I WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT IS  
BEEN EXPLAINED THAT THIS YEAR  
THAT  
WAS THE BABY HAD TO DO  
IT.

HOWEVER THE PURCHASING GUIDE IS  
GIVE YOU STORY ABOUT WHY WE HAD  
TO DO WITH THAT WE WILL BE THAT  
WAY NEXT YEAR.

I GUESS I LOOK AT SOLE-SOURCE  
CONTRACTING.

AS A LEGAL DEFINITION THAT  
APPLIES TO THAT.  
PERIOD.

IF WE JUST WANTED TO SHARE THE  
WEALTH WITH MULTIPLE VENDORS I  
COULD HAVE EXCEPT  
THAT.

WILL BUY ALL OF OUR OAK TREES  
FROM THE SPECTER OF OUR CULTURE  
IS  
NOT  
VENDOR.  
AT LEAST THAT'S SOMEWHAT EXPLANATORY.  
IT'S NOT HOW WE DID  
IT. I WANT TO BRING IT  
UP. GRANTED IF I WOULD BEEN ON THE  
BALL BROUGHT UP AFTER THE FIRST  
MEETING WE HAD READ SOLE-SOURCE  
CONTRACTS AND OR THE SECOND  
MEETING WHEN 4, 5 AND 6 AND SO  
ON.  
NEVER DONE AS FAR AS I'VE BEEN  
TOLD.  
OTHER COMMENT I WANTED TO MAKE  
IS WHERE BUYING TREES TO THE  
PARKS DEPARTMENT THEN GO PLANT IN THE  
MIDDLE OF OPEN SPACE IN PARKS  
WHICH BY THE WAY THEY REALLY  
EVER CONSULT WITH THE  
COUNCILPERSON.  
YOU COME OUT ONE DAY MILDRED  
FLAT GROUND AGO, WHY IS THERE A  
TREE  
WHERE I WAS THROWING A BASEBALL  
YESTERDAY KIND OF THING.  
AND THEN IN THIS CASE UNCLE WITH  
MCNEALY WHERE WERE GOING TO  
PLANT TREES AND 2 DOORS DOWN TO  
NOT ALLOW A DEVELOPER TO COME IN  
AND CLEAR CUT  
EVERYTHING.  
WE JUST PAST 8 NATIVE PLANT  
ORDINANCE THAT COREY TALKED  
ABOUT  
RETRACING THE TREE CANOPY AND SO  
ON. AGAIN, OR SPENDING HUNDREDS OF  
THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS TO BUY  
TREES  
TO REPLACE THE ONES WE AGAIN  
TURN AROUND AND LET PEOPLE  
BULLDOZE EVERYTHING  
UNDER.  
I'M NOT SAYING WE DON'T NEED NEW

DEVELOPMENT. I'M NOT SAYING THAT AT ALL.

THE FACT IS A PARTICULAR COURT WHO'S IN THE WONDERFUL NAME THIS WEEK ABOUT THE DIFFERENCE OF BUILDING 5 SHORT LITTLE BUILDINGS VERSUS ONE TALL ONE. AT THE VERY LEAST WE CAN START MAKING SOME EFFORT THAT SAYS IF YOU'RE GOING TO BUILD WHERE THERE ARE TREES AND GRASSES AND ALL THESE THINGS THAT WE JUST PASSED TO PROTECT.

THEN YOU CAN'T GO UNDER 6 STORIES. YOU CAN'T GO WHATEVER. THIS IS THE DENSITY YOU ARE ALLOWED WHICH ARE GOING TO HAVE IT IN

A MINIMUM OF 3 BUILDINGS NOT THE CHEAPEST THINGS YOU CAN SLAP UP. WITH ALL PAVEMENT AND ALL CONCRETE AND ALL THE ROOFTOPS AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

TO PRESERVE EVERYTHING AROUND YOU HAVE TO BUILDINGS. SOMETHING.

WE WOULD RATHER SPEND THIS KIND OF MONEY THEN CHANGE THE RULES ESPECIALLY IF THEY HAVE BUILT ALREADY.

URBAN IS PROBABLY ASPHALT AND OVERCOME ARE DOING RETROFITTING AND SOME BACKFILL.

RETURNING TO THE TREES IS OUT IN THE SUBURBS.

I JUST FIND IT IRONIC.

REPLANTING TREES AND PORK THAT'S TRULY 200 YARDS FROM WHERE WERE LETTING SOMEONE CUT DOWN THE TREES.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT

STATEMENT.  
WE WENT THROUGH A REALLY  
SOMEWHAT SKETCHY PROCESS.  
NOTHING ILLEGAL. NOTHING ON THE DOWN  
LOW.  
IT JUST SEEMED LIKE THE WRONG  
WAY TO DO IT KRAMER WOULD MAKE A  
STATEMENT BECAUSE HE IS ALL  
ABOUT THE PROCESS.  
AGAIN, WE SPENT HALF MILLION  
DOLLARS ON TREES TO REPLACE ALL  
THE  
TREES WITHOUT PEOPLE CUT DOWN  
NEXT TO THE TREES WE ARE  
PLANTING.  
SO ANYWAY, THANK  
YOU. THANK YOU  
COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN  
KRAMER? >> THANK YOU MR.  
PRESIDENT. I DON'T KNOW IF THIS NECESSARY  
ABOUT PROCESS BUT I GUESS IT  
COULD  
FIT INTO THAT  
CATEGORY. WHAT MY CALLING SURVIVOR HERE  
WITH THIS PARTICULAR RESOLUTION  
FOR  
TONIGHT. LAST COUNCIL MEETING WAS  
QUESTIONS THAT COUNCILMAN PEDEN  
ALLUDED.  
COUNCILMAN TYLER HAD SUGGESTED  
THAT SHE HAD SCHEDULED OR WAS  
PLANNING A MEETING WITH JOE  
NOVELL AND A COUPLE OTHERS TO  
TALK  
ABOUT THIS AND SHE WAS GONNA  
REPORT BACK TO US.  
OF HOW THE CONVERSATION WENT AND  
WE TABLED POPPED IT TONIGHT.  
I HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO CHECK  
WITH COUNCILMAN FOWLER.  
I DON'T KNOW IF SHE HAD AN  
OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THAT  
MEETING.  
ABSENT THAT AND SINCE WE DID  
POSTPONE IT FOR THAT REASON I  
WOULD REQUEST TO POSTPONE IT AGAIN



UNTIL WE GET A CHANCE TO HEAR  
FROM  
COUNCILMAN FOWLER.  
AGAIN IT'S NOT A CONTRACT THAT  
WERE GONNA RADICALLY CHANGE THE  
WORLD IF WE POSTPONE IT FOR 2  
MORE WEEKS.

I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO TABLE TO  
THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING.

>> OF A MOTION TO  
TABLE.

DO WE HAVE A  
SECOND?

>> OF A SECOND BY COUNCILMAN  
ARTHUR.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF UTILITY I.  
ALL OPPOSED?

IT'S  
THANK  
YOU.

MADAME CLERK A RATING OF 55  
PLEASE.

>> AND ORDINANCE OF PROPERTY  
THOUSAND \$500 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD  
DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE  
FOLLOWING MANNER.

\$3000 FROM DISTRICT 11 1000 FROM  
DISTRICT 13 5500 FROM DISTRICT  
AND THROUGH THE OFFICE OF  
MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO SOUTH  
LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY MINISTRIES  
FOR THE ANNUAL FAMILIES HELPING  
FAMILIES DINNER SUPPORTING SLC  
M'S EMERGENCY RENTAL ASSISTANCE  
AND PROGRAMMING COMMITMENT BY  
SUBSTITUTION AS AMENDED.

RENTABLE.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER  
SECOND BY ENGEL.

INSERT ANY  
QUESTIONS?

>> THANK YOU MR.  
PRESIDENT.

I THINK COUNCILMAN REED WAS GOOD  
TO THIS.

>> THANK YOU

SIR. COUNCILMAN REED?

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.  
I WAS FILLING IN FOR COUNCILMAN  
BLACKWELL IN THIS MEETING.  
THE REQUEST SHOULD GO TO  
BUSINESS.

THE SPONSORS WOULD LIKE TO ASK  
MORE ADDITIONAL FUNDS IN THE  
CURRENT AMOUNT WAS \$5500 IN THE  
CURRENT AMOUNT WAS \$9200.  
WAS ADMITTED FOR ADDITIONAL  
SUPPORT.

>> THANK  
YOU.

COUNCILMAN  
FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU MR.  
PRESIDENT.

THOUSAND DISTRICT FROM DISTRICT  
NUMBER 24.

>> COUNCILMAN  
GEORGE?

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT I  
WANT TO SAY ON THE FRONT AND THE  
FUNDING THAT'S INTERPRETING GOES  
TO THE LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY  
MINISTRIES AND THEIR ANNUAL  
FAMILIES HELPING FAMILIES  
DINNERS AND  
AUDIBLE THAT IS THE SECOND  
HIGHEST RATE OF POVERTY IN THE  
WAY OF  
COLLECTION AND PRIORITY  
MINISTRIES METRO.  
THEY PROVIDE A RATE OF  
ASSISTANCE INCLUDING EMERGENCY  
FOOD,  
UTILITIES, CASE MANAGEMENT AND  
MOST IMPORTANTLY RENTAL  
ASSISTANCE  
WHERE PLASTER THEY SERVED OVER  
514 FAMILIES IN THEIR SERVICE  
AREA  
AND THEN OVER 3000 FAMILIES  
METRO WIDE IN SUPPORT OF STOPPING  
EVICTION.

WITH THAT WE WOULD BE HONORED TO  
HAVE MORE SPONSORS.

WE ALSO NEED TO SAY I SERVE ON  
THE SLT BOARD AND GAIN NOTHING  
PERSONALLY FROM  
THIS.

THANK

YOU. >> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN  
GEORGE.

COUNCILMAN  
PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT, WHEN  
YOU ASKED DISTRICT 3 FOR 500.

>> IT COUNCILMAN  
ENGEL?

>> DISTRICT 2 FOR  
\$500.

>> COUNCILMAN  
ARTHUR.

>> DISTRICT 4 FOR  
\$500.

>> COUNCILMAN  
WINKLER.

>> DISTRICT 17 FOR  
\$250.

>> COUNCILMAN COLTON STEWART  
ASKED DISTRICT TONY PFEIFFER  
1000.

>> MR. PRESIDENT.

I'M SORRY. REALLY NEED 950 MORE  
DOLLARS.

CAN WE MAKE HERS  
950.

X CAN WE MAKE YOUR SON HUNDRED  
\$50 WE.

>>

ABSOLUTELY.

>> \$950 FOR COUNCILMAN HOLMAN  
STEWART. >> YOU'VE REACHED THE TOTAL OF  
\$9200.

THANK YOU  
EVERYBODY.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION FOR AN  
AMENDMENT. >> SECOND.

>> AND MOTION BY COUNCILMAN  
TRIPLETT SECOND BY COUNCILMAN

ENGEL.  
EVERYONE SAY  
I.  
THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE  
US.  
MADAME CLERK PLEASE CALL THE  
ROLE.  
[ROLL CALL]  
>> MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 24  
YESES.  
>> THANK YOU THE ORDINATE  
PASSES.  
LET THEM CLERK THE READING OF  
ITEMS OF 56 PLEASE.  
>> MISAPPROPRIATING \$4000 FROM  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS  
IN  
THE FOLLOWING  
MANNER. THE \$1500 FROM DISTRICT 7 \$1000  
EACH FROM DISTRICT 9 OF 15 AND  
\$500 FROM DISTRICT  
12. FOR THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND  
BUDGET TO FORECAST FESTIVAL INK  
FOR THE ANNUAL FORECAST  
FESTIVAL.  
>> A MOTION BY COUNSELOR WINKLER  
AND A SECOND BY COUNSELOR  
TRIPLETT.  
ANY  
DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN  
REED.  
>> THANK YOU MR.  
PRESIDENT.  
THIS IS BACK TO BUSINESS BECAUSE  
OF THE SPONSORS ARE GOING TO ASK  
FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS.  
THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS \$4000 IN  
THE REQUESTED AMOUNT IS \$14,200.  
AGAIN IT WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE FOR ADDITIONAL  
SUPPORT.  
>> THANK  
YOU. >> COUNSELOR  
HOLLANDER.  
>> THANK  
YOU.  
THIS IS A MUSIC FEST I KNOW WERE

ALL LOOKING FORWARD TO FESTIVALS AGAIN THIS YEAR. THIS IS A MUSIC FESTIVAL THAT WILL HAPPEN IN BRESLIN PARK. IT'S CALLED THE POOR COUNSEL FESTIVAL. IT HAS BEEN HELD FOR NUMBER OF YEARS. IT'S A NONPROFIT MUSICAL FUNDRAISER. GLOBAL-BASED MUSICIANS AND ARTIST PARTNERING WITH LOCAL VENDORS. AS TO COVER THE PARK FEES AND FENCING INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS ETC. THIS BENEFITS 3 LOCAL NONPROFITS AND LOCAL PERCUSSIONISTS. THE FESTIVALS MAY 20TH 2022. WE WELCOME ANYONE WHO WANTS TO ADD ON.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN.

>> COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL.

>> DISTRICT 10 FOR \$500.

>> COUNCILMAN ARTHUR?

>> DISTRICT 4, \$500.

>> COUNCILMAN FLOOD?

>> 500 DOLLARS TO START

24. >> COUNCILMAN WINKLER? >> DISTRICT 17 \$250. >> COUNCILMAN GEORGE? >> DISTRICT 21 250. >> COUNCILMAN PURVIS? >> DISTRICT 5, \$250. >> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANIE? >> 7 1000.

>> DISTRICT 7 \$1000.

STANDBY. >> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANIE HAS ALREADY GIVEN \$1500. DID SHE WANT TO INCREASE HER AMOUNT TO \$2500? >>

NO. THANK YOU  
THOUGH. I'LL KEEP 1500.  
>> THANK YOU. >>  
OKAY. COUNCILWOMAN  
ARMSTRONG. >> DISTRICT 8 FOR  
\$250. DISTRICT 6 FOR  
\$50. COUNCILMAN  
SHANKLIN? >> \$500.  
>> COUNCILMAN SHEVLIN  
\$500. >> COUNCILMAN  
DORSEY? >> DISTRICT 3,  
\$50. >> COUNCILMAN  
FOX? >> DISTRICT \$250.  
DOES THAT LEAVE US MADAME  
CLERK? >> ARE TOTAL PRORATED AMOUNTS OF  
THOUSAND \$750 AND THAT LEAVES  
\$6450.  
THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER  
DISCUSSION? HEARING  
ON. I WILL ENTERTAIN A  
MOTION. >> A MOTION BY COUNCILMAN  
WINKLER AND SECOND PEDEN.  
ALL IN FAVOR SAY  
I? ANY OPPOSED?  
THE I'S'S HAVE IT.  
IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?  
MADAME CLERK PLEASE CALL THE  
ROLE. [ROLL CALL]  
>> MR. PRESIDENT 25 YES VOTES.  
>> THANK YOU THE ORDINANCE  
PASSES. MADAME CLERK READING OF ITEM  
NUMBER 41.  
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE  
OFFENSE OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION  
ACTION AGAINST THE OWNERS OF  
THAT CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL  
NUMBER 32 JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER  
CHAPEL ROAD EXTENSION PROJECT.  
>>. >> MR. PRESIDENT LIKE FOR  
ANONYMOUS CONSENT TO CONSIDER IS  
41  
THROUGH 47 INCLUSIVE AS ONE  
ITEM. >> IS THERE ANY  
OBJECTION? HEARING

NONE. CARRY ON.

YOU CAN READ ALL THE TITLES?

OKAY. >> ITEM 42 IS A RESOLUTION  
AUTHORIZING THE OFFICE OF THE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY TO  
BRING A CONDEMNATION ACTION  
AGAINST  
OWNERS OF A CERTAIN PROPERTY  
PARCEL NUMBER 34 IN JEFFERSON  
COUNTY IN CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER  
CHAPEL ROAD EXTENSION PROJECT.

NUMBER 43 IS A RESOLUTION  
AUTHORIZING THE OFFICE OF THE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A  
CONDEMNATION ACTION AGAINST  
OWNERS OF

CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL NUMBER  
39 JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER CHAPEL ROAD  
EXTENSION PROJECT.

NUMBER 44 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING  
THE OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON  
COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A  
CONDEMNATION ACTION AGAINST THE  
OWNERS OF A CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL  
NUMBER 40 JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER  
CHOPPER CHAPEL ROAD EXTENSION  
PROJECT.

NUMBER 45 A RESOLUTION OF  
AUTHORIZING THE OFFICE OF THE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A  
CONDEMNATION ARSENIC IS THE  
OWNER OF  
CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL NUMBER  
36 IN JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER CHAPEL ROAD EXTENSION PROJECT.  
NUMBER

46. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE  
OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION  
ACTION AGAINST THE OWNERS OF  
CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL NUMBER  
47 IN JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER  
CHAPEL ROAD EXTENSION PROJECT.

NUMBER 47 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING  
OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION  
ACTION AGAINST THE OWNER OF THE  
CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL NUMBER  
38 IN JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE COOPER  
CHAPEL ROAD EXTENSION PROJECT.

>> I BELIEVE THIS IS COMING FROM  
DISTRICT 22.

COUNCILMAN ENGLE WANT TO SPEAK  
AGAINST THESE.

>> COUNCILMAN ENGLE.

>> THANK YOU KATZMAN BENSON WILL  
HAVE A FEW COMMENTS. THIS COMES FROM MY  
DISTRICT.

THIS IS AN IMPORTANT USUALLY  
IMPORTANT COOPER CHAPEL ROAD  
QUARTER FOLKS STOP COOPER CHAPEL ROAD  
AGAINST COUNCILMAN PEDEN AND  
SPILLS OUT ONTO BARDSTOWN  
ROAD.

THIS IS A MAJOR PROJECT GOING ON  
FOR QUITE SOME TIME. WE ROCKED THE FINAL LEG OF THIS  
AND I DO NOT TAKE CONDEMNATION  
LIGHTLY.

WE CERTAINLY HOPE OUR TEAM IS  
NEGOTIATING IN VERY GOOD FAITH.  
SO WE REDUCE THE PROPERTIES DOWN  
FROM 9 TO 7 AND WERE AT THIS  
STAGE MR. PRESIDENT.

I CAN TELL YOU RIGHT NOW THAT  
THIS IS AS I MENTIONED USUALLY  
IMPORTANT, WHEN THE GENE GOES  
DOWN COMING FROM THE AIRPORT  
WEST TO EAST AND IS A DISASTER  
THROUGHOUT KELOWNA HIGHVIEW.  
I CAN'T TELL YOU THE IMPORTANCE  
OF THIS THING IS THERE.

MY COLLEAGUE IS A VERY, HE HAS  
SOME VERY GOOD COMMENTS TO MAKE.  
I WILL AT THIS POINT YELLED OVER  
TO HIM.

THANK YOU.

OR YOU'LL BACK TO THE CHAIR AND  
WE WILL END UP COUNCILMAN BENSON.



>> THANK YOU.  
COUNCILMAN WOMAN GEORGE.  
>> YIELDING IT BACK TO YOU NEXT  
THINKING COUNCILMAN BENSON.  
>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.  
ALTERNATIVELY SHORT.  
THERE'S A GUY NAMED THAT ONLY  
2 JUSTICES (SOMETIMES OF DEL  
VALLE THINGS AND YOU MUST FORGET  
MAYBE SOMEBODY WORKABLE WILL DIE  
BEFORE NEVER HAPPENS.  
SO IF YOU KNOW YOU NEED ROAD  
NEED TO GO SOMEWHERE AND HELP  
PEOPLE TRAVEL MAKE OUR COMMUNITY A  
BETTER PLACE.  
YOU CAN'T, YOU SHOULDN'T BUILD  
CROOKED ROAD.  
GOTTA GO STRAIGHT. SOMETIMES WHEN YOU CONDEMN A  
PIECE OF PROPERTY SOMEBODY'S  
GONNA  
HAVE SOMEHOW SELL, YOU CAN'T  
REALLY CHANGE SOME THINGS BUT WE  
CAN HELP COMPENSATE A PERSON SO THEY  
DON'T FEEL LIKE THEY'VE BEEN  
VICTIMIZED BY THE  
GOVERNMENT. NOW, WE KNOW OR AT LEAST I THINK  
THERE'S BULLIES EVERYWHERE.  
SOMETIMES A GOVERNMENT CAN BE A  
BULLY. IN THE INDIVIDUAL SOMETIMES FEEL  
LIKE THERE'S NOBODY THERE.  
TO ME, ONE OF THE THINGS I'VE  
BEEN IN OFFICE TRY TO HELP  
PEOPLE NAVIGATE THE  
GOVERNMENT. NOBODY FEELS ALONE LIKE THE  
INDIVIDUAL WHO'S BEEN, THEY FELT  
LIKE  
THEY'VE BEEN TRUMPED ON BY THE  
GOVERNMENT. TO ME THE PERSON WHO SAYS HEY  
THIS IS ONLY WORTH THIS MUCH  
MONEY.  
THE PERSON WHO'S NEGOTIATING ARE  
TRYING TO HELP OUT, HE IS  
WORKING REALLY HARD.  
HE IS LIMITED TO HOW MUCH MONEY  
HE CAN GIVE TO A PIECE OF  
PROPERTY. I THINK WERE AT A TIME SOMETIMES

WE NEED TO REEVALUATE WHAT  
SOMETHING IS  
WORTH. A FRIEND OF MINE SOLD OUR HOUSE  
IN DECEMBER, PUT IT UP FOR SALE.  
AT A PRICE THEY THOUGHT THE REAL  
ESTATE PERSON SAID ALL MAN  
YOU'LL GET THIS PRICE IT'S GONNA  
BE GOOD.  
WHEN IT WAS DONE THEY GOT  
\$50,000 MORE THAN WHAT THEY  
THOUGHT WAS RIGHT. I DON'T KNOW WHO ALWAYS KNOWS  
WHAT'S RIGHT. SOME OF THESE PEOPLE, JUST  
BECAUSE A PERSON IS NOT  
NEGOTIATING DOESN'T MEAN THEY'RE TRYING TO  
STEAL FROM THE GOVERNMENT. MOST OF THE TIME, IN MY WORLD  
AND MAYBE NOT IN YOU ALL'S WORLD  
MOST PEOPLE FEEL THE GOVERNMENT  
IS ALWAYS TAKING FROM THEM.  
THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS I SAY  
IF YOU CAN'T DEALINGS WITH THE  
GOVERNMENT TURNED OUT GOOD THEN  
YOU'RE LUCKY. WHAT YOU NEED TO DO IS AND I'M  
HOPING SOMEBODY MIGHT BE  
LISTENING.  
YOU KNOW, THEY THINK THAT  
BECAUSE THEY CAN NEGOTIATE AND  
GET A REALLY GOOD DEAL AND MAYBE I  
THINK TAKE ADVANTAGE OF AN  
INDIVIDUAL AND THEIR BOSS MAYBE  
SAID OH YOU REALLY DO GOOD YOU  
SAVED US THE  
GOVERNMENT. WE BUILD A ROAD OF THOUSAND  
PEOPLE OVER THE YEARS I THINK I  
DON'T  
THINK A COUPLE PEOPLE SHOULD PAY  
IT. I THINK WE SHOULD BE HONORABLE  
INTO THE RIGHT THING.  
I DO DEALS WITH PEOPLE MOST  
PEOPLE END UP A BETTER DEAL FOR  
ME BECAUSE MY REPUTATION IS  
IMPORTANT AND I LIKE TO BE  
HONORABLE.  
SOME THINK I'M GOING TO SHOOT.  
NO, I THINK IS RIGHT AND WRONG  
AND I BELIEVE IN RIGHT.  
I THINK SOMETIMES ONLY DO THINGS

WE OUGHT TO BE HONORABLE.  
ALL THE PEOPLE THAT ARE TRYING  
TO GET SOMEBODY DOWN AT LOW  
PRICE AND THEY THINK THEY'RE DOING  
SOMETHING FOR US.  
WHAT YOU'RE DOING IS HURTING THE  
GOVERNMENT IN THE LARGE.  
I THINK WE NEED TO THINK HARD ON  
HOW WE TRY TO NEGOTIATE WITH  
SOMEBODY. DON'T AUTOMATICALLY THINK THERE  
ARE EVIL PEOPLE.  
THEY ARE NOT.  
IN THE CONSTITUTION SAYS INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS AND SOME WILL  
SAY NO,  
NO RENT TAKE CARE OF A  
THOUSAND. WE WILL GIVE ONE  
PERSON. THAT ONE PERSON IS  
IMPORTANT. IF A RIGHT TO CHOOSE AND BELIEVE  
ANYTHING WE WANT.  
THAT'S REALLY  
NEAT. I THINK WE NEED THE 7 PEOPLE,  
SOME PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO GYP  
THE GOVERNMENT.  
NO THERE TRYING TO GET WHAT THEY  
THINK IS FAIR AND I THINK IT'S  
TIME, I'M TO BE  
WATCHING. MAYBE THIS IS A TIME TO SAY HEY,  
YOU DON'T THANK YOU'RE BEING  
TREATED RIGHT, IT'S YOUR  
PEERS. THE GOVERNMENT MIGHT SAY, SOME  
OF THESE CASES WE LOSE.  
MAYBE THEY'LL LOOK DIFFERENT AND  
TREAT PEOPLE THERE.  
OFFICE  
CONTINUES. OTHER BIBLICAL UP AND DOWN THE  
JANE SCHNEIDER FARMS.  
THE FARM IS ON ONE PART OF JAMES  
GARNER AND THE OTHER IS ON THE  
OTHER SIDE.  
THE PROPERTY IS NOW  
LANDLOCKED. THIS PROPERTY IS ONLY WORTH SO  
MUCH MONEY.  
YOU CAN GET TO IT.  
UNLESS YOU GET PERMISSION FROM  
SOMEBODY ELSE TO GO TO YOUR  
PROPERTY. TO GET OVER TO

IT? LIFE IS BEEN TURNED UPSIDE  
DOWN. PERSON OUGHT TO BUILD AND BUY  
SOMETHING AND BE ABLE TO COME  
OUT. NOT MAYBE COPY BECAUSE THEIR  
FAMILY FARM OR WHATEVER OR THE  
PROPERTY BUT, WE CAN COMPENSATE  
AND DO THE THINGS THAT MAKE US  
LOOK A LITTLE BETTER UNLIKE US,  
THE GOVERNMENT TO BE MORE  
HONORABLE. AND I DON'T KNOW BUT HE  
UNDERSTANDS WHAT I'M SAYING.  
I THINK WE NEED TO BE DECENT TO  
THESE PEOPLE WERE LOSING THEIR  
PROPERTY FOR THE GOOD OF  
ALL.

SO LET'S MAKE SURE WE DON'T TAKE  
ADVANTAGE OF THEM. THANK  
YOU. >> THANK YOU COUNSEL  
MEN. ANY FURTHER  
DISCUSSION? HEARING  
ON. ART WILL DO A VOICE  
VOTE. EVERYONE SAY  
I? ANY OPPOSED?  
THE I'S HAVE IT.  
THANK YOU. OUR NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS TO  
BUSINESS.

NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES ITEM  
NUMBER 57 THROUGH 77.  
WILL THE PARK PLEASE READ THOSE  
ITEMS AND THEIR ASSIGNMENTS TO  
COMMITTEE. ITEM 57 OR IN SUBMITTING  
ORDINANCE NUMBER ZERO 870-2021  
RELATED  
TO FISCAL YEAR 2021 2022  
OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE REBUILDS/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO  
GOVERNMENT BY TRANSFERRING 5000  
FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD  
DEVELOPMENT FUND DISTRICTING TO  
THE  
LOUISVILLE METRO'S COUNSEL  
GENERAL OPERATIONS DISTRICT 15.  
NUMBER 58 HORNETS OF PROPERTY  
\$7000 FROM DISTRICT 12  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS TO THE RIGHT  
SIDE FOR THEIR ANNUAL  
MAINTENANCE

AGREEMENT FROM JULY 2021 THROUGH  
JUNE 2022 FOR THE BRIGHT SIDE AT  
ROSE FARM PARK ITEM 59 HORNETS  
PROPERTY 23,020 \$50 FROM THE  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS  
IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER.  
THIS \$3000 FROM DISTRICT 6, 200  
2250 FROM DISTRICT 918 75 FROM  
DISTRICT 15 15,000 EACH FROM  
DISTRICT 2, 4, 12, 10, 12, 14,  
17, 23 AND 26.  
750 FROM EACH DISTRICT 20  
THROUGH 22 AND 3 NORTH 75 E.  
FROM DISTRICT 13, 21 AND  
25. THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT  
AND BUDGET TO KENTUCKY  
SHAKESPEARE INK FOR THE  
SHAKESPEARE IN THE PARTS OF  
JULIUS CAESAR 2022  
TOUR. NUMBER 60 AND ORDINANCE OF THE  
LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO  
GOVERNMENT PROVIDING FOR AND  
AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF  
CERTAIN GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS AND  
NOTES OF THE METRO GOVERNMENT  
AND ONE OR MORE SERIES TO FINANCE  
THE COST OF PUBLIC PRODUCTS AS  
DESCRIBED IN VARIOUS METRO  
GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECT  
BUDGETS  
SETTING FORTH THE TERMS AND  
CONDITIONS OF WHICH THE BONDS  
AND THE NOTES ARE TO BE ISSUED AND  
PROVIDING FOR A COMPETITIVE  
COMPETITIVE AND ADVERTISE SALE  
THERE OF, DEFINING AND PROVIDING  
FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE HOLDERS OF  
THE BONDS AND APPLICATION OF THE  
PROCESS THEREOF AND TAKING OTHER  
RELATED ACTION RELATING TO THE  
ISSUANCE AND SALE OF THE BONDS  
AND NOTES. ITEM NUMBER  
61. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 35  
OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF  
ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH PAID

LEAVE FOR LOUISVILLE METRO  
GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES WHO ARE VICTIMS OF A  
CRIME OR DOMESTIC BALANCE. NUMBER 62 A RESOLUTION HONORING  
THE WORK OF JERRY BRINSON BY  
DEDICATING THE NORTHERN CORNER  
OF 25TH STREET AT MONTGOMERY  
STREET TO BE NAMED JERRY BRINSON  
WE IN HIS HONOR. NUMBER 63 OF RESOLUTION HONORING  
THE WORK OF OMEGA PSI DHI  
FRATERNITY INK AND ITS LOCAL  
CHAPTER THAT OF OMEGA CLANCY BY  
DEDICATING THE SOUTHERN CORNERS  
OF CHESTNUT STREET AND 24TH  
STREET AND CHESTNUT STREET AND  
26 STREET TO BE NAMED THAT UP TO  
MAKE OUT WE, OMEGA PSI DHI  
FRATERNITY IMC IN THEIR OWNER.  
NUMBER 64 OF RESOLUTION HONORING  
THE WORK OF BILLY AND SUSAN  
JOHNSON BY DEDICATING THE PERSON  
OF BRUCE AVENUE FROM THIRD  
STREET ROAD TO DUSTING AVENUE TO  
BE NAMED BILLY AND SUSAN JOHNSON  
WAIT IN THEIR HONOR.  
NUMBER 65 OF RESOLUTION TO DETAILING THE EXPECTATIONS OF  
METRO COUNCIL FROM THE LOUISVILLE  
METRO DEPARTMENTS AGENCY,  
BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND AUTHORIZING  
REGULAR REGARDING BEST PRACTICES  
FOR  
BOARD TRAINING, EXPENSE AUTHORIZATIONS, PROCUREMENT  
PROCUREMENT  
AND HIRING.  
NUMBER 66 RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO  
THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET  
ORDINANCE APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE  
FOLLOWING NON-COMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES  
CONTRACTING FOR CIVIC INNOVATION AND  
TECHNOLOGY CONCERNING UTILITY  
LOCATION  
SERVICES NUMBER 67 RESOLUTION  
PURSUANT TO CAPITAL AND OPERATING  
BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE

FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY  
NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACT FOR THE OFFICE FOR SAFE  
AND HEALTH NEIGHBORHOOD  
CONCERNING A TRAUMA ASSISTANCE  
AND MANAGING PROGRAM THAT CROSS  
MORE SCHOOL IN CHILDREN'S HOME  
300 300 200 \$323,620.

THIS NUMBER 68 RESOLUTION  
PURSUING TO THE CAPITAL AND  
OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE  
OBLIGATION TO THE FUND THE  
FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY  
NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACT FOR THE OFFICE FOR SAFE  
AND HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS  
CONCERNING INCOME CURRENT  
COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL THERAPY  
PROGRAM AT UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH  
\$42,898.

CASE NUMBER 69 RESOLUTION  
PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND  
OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE  
FOLLOWING FOR THE METRO SAFE  
311,004 \$67. 70 RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING  
CAPITAL ENERGY INNOVATION FUND  
FOR  
LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENTS  
CLEAN ENERGY GOALS BY REVISITING  
A PORTION OF THE ENERGY SAVINGS TO  
FUND FUTURE ENERGY MANAGEMENT  
PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE  
LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT.  
NUMBER 71.

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE  
ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT  
4516 4520 CAN ROAD CONTAINING  
APPROXIMATELY 11.94 ACRES OF  
BEING IN THE LOUISVILLE METRO CASE NUMBER  
210 NORTHEAST 0085.

NUMBER 72 NORTH IS CREATING A  
NEW SUBSECTION TO THE  
LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF  
ORDINANCES SECTION 72.033 REGARDING THE

OBSTRUCTION OF CURBSIDE  
MAILBOXES.

NUMBER 73 AN ORDINANCE IMPOSING  
A TEMPORARY MEMORANDUM ON THE  
USE OF SECTION 4.3.20 OF THE LAND  
DEVELOPMENT CODE TITLED MIXED  
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
INCENTIVE.

NUMBER 74 AND ORDINANCE CREATED  
A NEW SECTION 2 LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF  
ORDINANCES

AL MCO CHAPTER 153 REGARDING THE  
EVIDENCE OF BASIS FOR DECISIONS  
OF THE DISLIKE OF COUNCIL OF  
LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT IN ZONING  
CASES. NUMBER

75. A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE  
PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW  
SECTION 4.3.20 OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT  
CODE TITLED ASKED RESIDENTIAL  
DEVELOPMENT

INCENTIVE. NUMBER 76 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING  
THE OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON  
COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION ACTION AGAINST THE  
OWNERS OF CERTAIN PROPERTY PARCEL  
NUMBER 60 IN JEFFERSON COUNTY IN  
CONNECTION WITH THE OHIO RIVER  
LEVEE TRAIL PHASE 3. NUMBER 77.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE  
OFFICE OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION  
ACTION AGAINST OWNERS OF CERTAIN  
PROPERTY, PARCEL NUMBER 3, IN  
JEFFERSON COUNTY CONNECTION WITH  
THE WHITES LANE BRIDGE  
REPLACEMENT PROJECT.

>> ANYONE WISH TO  
SPEAK?

THERE'S NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO  
DISCUSS.

WITHOUT OBJECTIONS WE STAND ADJOURNED.