

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY

LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIIL  
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING  
THURSDAY, APRIL 25, 2019

Services provided by:  
QuickCaption, Inc.  
4927 Arlington Avenue  
Riverside, CA 92504  
Telephone - 951-779-0787  
Fax Number - 951-779-0980  
[quickcaption@gmail.com](mailto:quickcaption@gmail.com)  
[www.quickcaption.com](http://www.quickcaption.com)

\* \* \* \* \*

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.  
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in  
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be  
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

\* \* \* \* \*

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: REGULAR LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 25, 2019, WILL PLEASE COME TO ORDER. PLEASE RISE FROM THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG. I PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION, UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL. MR. CLERK, A ROLL CALL, PLEASE. [ ROLL CALL ] [ ROLL CALL ] MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 IN ATTENDANCE AND A QUORUM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOU CAN LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT COUNCILMAN FLOOD HAS AN EXCUSED ABSENCE.

>> CLERK: SO NOTED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY, I THINK YOU HAVE A SPECIAL GUEST TODAY.

>> YES, WOULD ELEANOR JENKINS PLEASE STAND? SHE WILL BE OUR PAGE FOR TODAY. AND ELEANOR IS A LIFELONG RESIDENT OF LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY. I SAY LIFELONG FOR A REASON. HER MOTHER IS WITH US TODAY IN THE AUDIENCE, AND SHE CAME HERE FROM CHICAGO AND MET HER HUSBAND AND STARTED A BEAUTIFUL FAMILY, AND ELEANOR'S THE OFFSPRING OF THAT, SO ELEANOR IS A -- TELL THEM HOW OLD YOU ARE. SAY IT LOUD. SHE IS 7, AND SHE ATTENDS THE ML MINISTER ACADEMY IN THE RUSSELL NEIGHBORHOOD. SO WELCOME, ELEANOR. [ APPLAUSE ] AND COUNCILMAN SEXTON SMITH, I THINK YOU HAVE A PERSONAL POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

>> YES, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, AND IF I MAY, I WOULD LIKE TO READ A BRIEF STATEMENT IN HONORING AND RECOGNIZING WHAT IS SOON TO BE IN A COUPLE OF DAYS APRIL 28TH, WHICH IS THE NATIONAL OBSERVANCE DAY FOR WORKERS IN AMERICA. SO IT WILL BE A NATIONAL DAY OF OBSERVATION OF OBSERVANCE ON APRIL 28TH IN OBSERVANCE OF THOSE WHO HAVE SUFFERED AND DIED ON THE JOB AND TO RENEW THE FIGHT FOR SAFE JOBS IN AS MUCH AS OUR LABOR UNIONS HAVE TIRELESSLY FOUGHT TO STRENGTHEN WORKERS' RIGHTS AND MAKE THE WORKPLACE SAFER FOR ALL EMPLOYEES, WE WANT TO COMMEMORATE WORKERS MEMORIAL DAY, APRIL 28TH, ON BEHALF OF THE LOUISVILLE -- GREATER LOUISVILLE LABOR COUNCIL AND ALL UNIONS IN OUR REGION, A PASSIONATE ADVOCACY FOR THIS CITY'S UNIONS AND ALL THE MEMBERS AND WISHING EVERY WORKER AND THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE BEST WISHES AS THEY CONTINUE TO SERVE THE GREATER GOOD, AND DURING THIS LAST YEAR, IT IS GREAT SADNESS THAT WE HAVE LOST TWO WORKERS, LOST ON THE JOB, MR. THOMAS TALON, WHO WORKED WITH PUBLIC WORKS, AND OFFICER MAGNADOTE REPRESENTING LMPD. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COLLEAGUES, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN IN THE AUDIENCE AND THOSE WATCHING ON METRO TV, EACH YEAR BY LAW THE MAYOR PRESENTS A BUDGET FOR METRO COUNCIL. NO LATER THAN THE SECOND MEETING SCHEDULED IN THE MONTH OF APRIL, PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF THE FISCAL YEAR. THE METRO COUNCIL THEN HAS UNTIL THE LAST DAY OF JUNE TO REVIEW AND PASS THE BUDGET. WE ARE HONORED TODAY TO HAVE WITH US OUR MAYOR, WHO

WILL PRESENT HIS PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020. HELP ME WELCOME MAYOR GREG FISCHER. [ APPLAUSE ] [ APPLAUSE ]

>> MAYOR FISCHER: WELL, THANK YOU, EVERYBODY. THANK THE COUNCIL, BOTH FOR YOUR SERVICE HERE AND BOTH A PROMISING AND A CHALLENGING TIME FOR OUR CITY. EACH OF US HAS DECIDED TO RUN FOR PUBLIC OFFICE TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE, BELIEVING THAT WE HAD THE SKILLS, THE EXPERIENCE AND PASSION TO HELP OUR CITY GROW AND OUR CITY TO SUCCEED. WHEN I RAN FOR MAYOR, I WANTED TO WORK WITH OUR RESIDENTS, THE COUNCIL, AND THE METRO GOVERNMENT TEAM TO HELP OUR CITY EMERGE FROM THE GREAT RECESSION STRONGER THAN EVER, WITH A RENEWED SENSE OF CONFIDENCE AND POSSIBILITY, WITH A GROWING ECONOMY, CREATING OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE IN EVERY NEIGHBORHOOD IN OUR CITY. FORTUNATELY, WE'RE EXPERIENCING ECONOMIC PROSPERITY UNLIKE ANYTHING OUR CITY HAS SEEN IN GENERATIONS. SINCE 2011, OUR CITY CREATED 80,000 NEW JOBS, OPENED 2,700 BUSINESSES, \$13 MILLION IN CAPITAL INVESTMENT, INCLUDING \$1 BILLION IN WEST LOUISVILLE. A MORE EQUITABLE CITY, WHERE EVERYONE SHARES IN OUR PROSPERITY. OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS, WE'VE INVESTED \$41 MILLION IN AFFORDABLE HOUSING. WE'VE SEEN 20,000 LOUISVILLENS LIFT THEMSELVES OUT OF POVERTY AND 17,000 FAMILIES JOIN THE MIDDLE CLASS. WE ESTABLISHED A GLOBAL REPUTATION AS A CITY OF COMPASSION, TURNING OUR GIVE A DAY WEEK OF SERVICE INTO AN ANNUAL FESTIVAL OF VOLUNTEERISM. GOOD NEWS ON THAT FRONT, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH METRO UNITED WAY, WE AGAIN BROKE

OUR WORLD RECORD AGAIN THIS PAST WEEK, WITH 235,000 ACTS OF VOLUNTEERISM AND COMPASSION. [ APPLAUSE ] THANKS TO THOSE THAT HELPED LAST WEEK. WE'RE HOPING TO COMBAT OPIOID ABUSE, HEPATITIS A, AND VIOLENT CRIME, AND OUR STRATEGIES ARE SEEING SUCCESS. AND I WANT TO EMPHASIZE WE ACHIEVED ALL OF THESE BY WORKING TOGETHER. MY OFFICE, THE COUNCIL, OUR CITIZENS, OUR GREAT TEAM OF METRO EMPLOYEES, A LONG, LONG LIST OF COMMUNITY PARTNERS. THESE ARE OUR ACCOMPLISHMENTS, AND OUR WORK HAS BEEN VALUE DAY-TO-DAY REPEATEDLY BY THIRD PARTIES. PUBLIC HEALTH, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST RECEIVED A \$4.6 MILLION FIVE-YEAR FEDERAL GRANT TO REDUCE INFANT MORTALITY AND IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF NEWBORNS IN FIVE WEST LOUISVILLE ZIP CODES. \$4.6 MILLION ON PROJECTS THAT WORK. LAST MONTH WE BROKE GROUND ON PHASE ONE OF THE TERRACE REDEVELOPMENT. [ APPLAUSE ] WE'RE WORKING WITH THE PEOPLE OF RUSSELL TO REVITALIZE THAT HISTORIC NEIGHBORHOOD. THIS PROJECT BEGAN WITH A \$29.5 MILLION FEDERAL CHOICE GRANT THAT WE COMPETED WITH MORE THAN 30 OTHER CITIES TO WIN. STILL, THERE IS MUCH, MUCH WORK TO DO, AND SUCCESSFULLY COMPETE WITH OUR PEER CITIES, AS SO MANY STEPS WE STILL NEED TO TAKE TO REACH OUR FULL POTENTIAL AS A CITY. BUT WE ARE MAKING GREAT STRIDES, AND FOR ME, EVERY STEP, EVERY STEP FORWARD PROVIDES MORE FUEL TO FIGHT HARDER, MOVE FASTER, BECAUSE I BELIEVE IN THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE AND THE OPPORTUNITY-RICH FUTURE THEY DESERVE. THAT FUTURE REQUIRES INVESTMENT IN OUR MUNICIPAL AND SOCIAL SERVICES, AS WELL AS

PHYSICAL AND HUMAN CAPITAL. THOSE ARE THE BELIEFS THAT GUIDED THE CRAFTING OF THE NINE BUDGETS THAT I'VE PRESENTED TO YOU, INCLUDING THE ONE I'M PRESENTING TODAY. THIS BUDGET PROPOSAL IS BALANCED, AS REQUIRED BY LAW. A \$623 MILLION GENERAL FUND BUDGET. REVENUE FORECASTS ASSUME A GROWTH RATE OF 2.9% AND NO RECESSION. AS PRESIDENT OBAMA ONCE SAID, QUOTE, A BUDGET IS MORE THAN JUST A SERIES OF NUMBERS ON A PAGE. IT IS AN EMBODIMENT OF OUR VALUES. EACH OF OUR BUDGETS IS EMBODIED IN OUR HEALTH, LIFELONG LEARNING, AND COMPASSION AND IS DESIGNED TO MOVE US CLOSER. AND EACH BUDGET RECEIVED THE OVERWHELMING APPROVAL OF THIS COUNCIL, PASSING BY AN AVERAGE OF 90%. TREMENDOUS ENDORSEMENT OF OUR SHARED VALUES OF THE METRO GOVERNMENT AND THE OVERALL DIRECTION OF OUR CITY. YOUR VOTES HAVE BEEN CRITICAL TO OUR CITY'S PROGRESS. WHAT MAKES THIS BUDGET DIFFICULT ARE THE PARAMETERS UNDER WHICH WE WERE FORCED TO CREATE IT. SPECIFICALLY, THE NEED TO CUT \$35 MILLION FROM AN ALREADY LEAN BUDGET. FIRST, THE HUGE INCREASE IN OUR STATE PENSION OBLIGATION, A DEBT CREATED NOT BY ANY OF US, BUT A DEBT WE'RE STILL REQUIRED TO PAY. THE SECOND IS THIS BODY'S VOTE AGAINST REVENUE TO AVOID CUTS IN SERVICES. LET'S START WITH THE PENSION. IN JULY OF 2017, THE KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEM CHANGED ITS ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE RETURN ON INVESTMENT ON ALL STATE PENSION FUNDS, INCLUDING THE ONE THAT COVERS METRO GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. WE LOBBIED THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY BY PHASING IN THIS ENORMOUS

EXPENSE FOR CITIES AND COUNTIES, BUT THERE REMAINS TREMENDOUS UNCERTAINTY AROUND PENSIONS, WHAT ACTIONS FRANKFORT MIGHT TAKE, AND WHAT IMPACT THEY MIGHT HAVE ON OUR FUTURE. THAT UNCERTAINTY CONTINUES WITH KRS'S ANNOUNCEMENT JUST LAST THURSDAY OF REVISED ASSUMPTIONS THAT WILL FURTHER EXTEND OUR INCREASING PENSION OBLIGATION. OUR CFO HAS BRIEFED THE COUNCIL ON THE POTENTIAL BUDGET IMPACT FROM PENSION NUMEROUS TIMES, STARTING IN NOVEMBER 2017 AND ALL THE WAY UP TO THIS PAST DECEMBER. I'VE BEEN TALKING PUBLICLY ABOUT THE PENSION CHALLENGE SINCE JULY OF 2017. STANDING HERE ONE YEAR AGO AT THIS ADDRESS, I WARNED THAT, QUOTE, ABSENT ACCELERATED REVENUE GROWTH, THIS PENSION ISSUE WILL BE A DRAG ON OUR BUDGET FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE. THAT FUTURE IS HERE. METRO PENSION'S OBLIGATION IS NOW GROWING BY ABOUT 12% A YEAR, STARTING WITH AN EXTRA \$10 MILLION IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR AND ANOTHER \$10 MILLION FOR A TOTAL OF \$20 MILLION IN THE FISCAL YEAR THAT STARTS THIS COMING JULY 1ST. AND THE TAB, IT JUST KEEPS GROWING. INITIALLY, WE EXPECTED OUR PENSION BILL TO INCREASE BY ABOUT \$10 MILLION A YEAR UNTIL 2023, MEANING AT LEAST ANOTHER \$30 MILLION IN CUTS IN THE THREE YEARS AFTER THE BUDGET I AM PROPOSING HERE TODAY, BUT JUST LAST WEEK, AS I SAID, KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEM ADJUSTED ITS PREDICTIONS AGAIN. IT NOW APPEARS, UNFORTUNATELY, THAT WE CAN EXPECT THE RATE OF INCREASE TO PENSION FUNDING TO CONTINUE EVEN BEYOND 2023. TO UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT, CONSIDER THIS. AT MERGER, OUR

PENSION BILL WAS 7% OF OUR BUDGET. BY 2023, OUR TOTAL STATE PENSION BILL WILL BE AROUND 21% OF OUR BUDGET. BEFORE BEING MAYOR, I SPENT 30 YEARS GROWING NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESSES, SO HERE'S HOW THIS BUSINESSMAN SEES THIS. OUR PENSION COSTS WILL TRIPLE OVER 20 YEARS, AND WE KNOW THEY ARE GOING TO KEEP GROWING. AT THE SAME TIME, OUR CITIZENS, OUR CUSTOMERS, ARE DEMANDING AND DESERVE INCREASED SERVICES. NOW, IN THE PAST WE'VE MET THOSE DEMANDS BY INCREASING OUR EFFICIENCY EVERY YEAR WITHOUT ONCE RAISING OUR PRICES AND BUSINESS CONTEXT TO PRICE. IN A PUBLIC CONTEXT, THAT'S CALLED RAISING TAXES. DO YOU KNOW A COMPANY THAT COULD ABSORB AN INCREASE THIS SIGNIFICANT? 14 PERCENTAGE POINTS, OVER \$50 MILLION, WITHOUT RAISING ITS PRICING. WHEN A BUSINESS IS FACED WITH A CHALLENGE LIKE THIS, THE FIRST THING IT DOES IS TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERY DOLLAR IS BEING USED EFFICIENTLY. THAT'S WHY WE'VE WORKED EVERY DAY FOR EIGHT-PLUS YEARS NOW TO APPLY THE PRINCIPLES OF EFFICIENCY AND INNOVATION TO METRO GOVERNMENT. WE ESTABLISHED OUR NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED LOUIS STATS PROGRAM, WHICH COLLECTS AND USES DATA TO HELP US CONSTANTLY IMPROVE. RUN BY AN OFFICE FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT, IT HAS HELPED US FIND MORE EFFICIENT WAYS TO REDUCE ACCIDENTS IN PUBLIC WORKS, MANAGE OUR VEHICLE FLEETS, REDUCE AMBULANCE TURN-AROUND TIMES, AND MANY, MANY OTHER AREAS. ADDITIONALLY, THE OFFICE FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROCESSES HAVE RESULTED IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN

SAVINGS AND COST AVOIDANCE FOR OUR TAXPAYERS, HELPING US CREATE WHAT DATA SHOWS IS ONE OF THE LEANEST MID-SIZED CITY GOVERNMENTS IN AMERICA. CITIES ACROSS THE UNITED STATES SEE METRO AS A MODEL FOR EXCELLENCE IN OPERATIONS. JUST YESTERDAY, THE NATIONALLY RESPECTED "WHAT WORKS CITIES" ORGANIZATION RECOGNIZED OUR EFFECTIVE USE OF DATA AND INNOVATION TO CREATE EFFICIENCIES AND IMPROVE SERVICES. LOUISVILLE IS JUST ONE OF FOUR UNITED STATES CITIES WITH GOLD CERTIFICATION STATUS. ONE OF FOUR CITIES CHOSEN OUT OF 90 APPLICANT CITIES AND OVER 200 ELIGIBLE TO APPLY. [ APPLAUSE ] THINK ABOUT WHAT THAT MEANS, IT'S A STRONG VALIDATION OF OUR WORK AND STEWARDSHIP OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS AND SOMETHING WE ALL SHOULD BE PROUD OF. ON TOP OF THESE ACCOMPLISHMENTS, THE OFFICE FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT HAS COMPETED FOR AND WON \$13.5 MILLION IN GRANTS FOR OUR CITY, AN AMOUNT THAT EXCEEDS THE LIFETIME OPERATING COST OF THIS OFFICE. ALSO, OF OUR 19 PEER CITIES, LOUISVILLE HAS THE FOURTH FEWEST CITY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES PER CAPITA. THAT'S ANOTHER SIGN OF A LEAN OPERATION, EFFECTIVELY DELIVERING MORE SERVICES WITH FEWER PEOPLE. FLAGSHIP RATING AGENCIES LIKE FITCH, S&P, AND MOODY'S, HAVE GIVEN US SOME OF THEIR HIGHEST RATINGS FOR FINANCIAL STEWARDSHIP, AND THE GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION HAS HONORED METRO GOVERNMENT WITH BOTH ITS EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING, AND THE DISTINGUISHED BUDGET PRESENTATION AWARD, FOR THE PAST SIX CONSECUTIVE YEARS. FOLKS, ALL OF THESE ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND

THIRD-PARTY VALIDATIONS CONFIRM THAT OUR METRO GOVERNMENT TEAM IS AMONG THE BEST IN THE NATION. I WANT TO THANK ALL OF OUR METRO GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES FOR THE WORK THEY DO TO MAKE THESE OUTSTANDING ACHIEVEMENTS POSSIBLE. I'M ALSO GRATEFUL TO OUR EMPLOYEES AND THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO RESPONDED TO OUR REQUEST FOR IDEAS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR DEALING WITH THIS PENSION-DRIVEN BUDGET CRISIS. MANY OF THE SUGGESTIONS ECHOED OUR BELIEF ABOUT THE NEED FOR MORE REVENUE OPTIONS TO SUPPORT THIS WORK, WORK THAT KEEPS GETTING VALIDATED BY OBJECTIVE THIRD PARTIES. ALL THAT BEING SAID, WE WILL ALWAYS WORK TO IMPROVE, CUT COSTS, AND TO FACE CHALLENGES HEAD-ON. THAT WORK IS NEVER OVER. THE DEAL WITH THE BUDGET CHALLENGE FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR THAT ENDS THIS JUNE, WE OPERATED LIKE A BUSINESS, WITH ACCELERATING COSTS, WE ELIMINATED ALMOST 50 POSITIONS, ADOPTED NEW FEES, IMPLEMENTED A HIRING FROST, AND LIMITED SPENDING, BUT THAT APPROACH COULD ONLY TAKE US SO FAR, BECAUSE FOR EIGHT YEARS WE'VE BEEN ADDING SERVICES TO ADJUST TO CHANGING DEMANDS WITHOUT RAISING TAXES. IN FACT, GOVERNMENT HAS NEVER RAISED TAXES. THE LAST COUNTY TAX INCREASE WAS IN 1990. THE LAST TAX INCREASE IN LOUISVILLE CITY GOVERNMENT WAS IN 1982. 37 YEARS AGO. IN FEBRUARY, I PROPOSED TO PHASE IN AN INCREASE IN THE INSURANCE PREMIUM TAX, THE ONLY OPTION THAT THE STATE ALLOWS US THAT WOULD PROVIDE SUFFICIENT REVENUE AND TIME TO PREVENT DRASTIC CUTS. I ALSO RELEASED A LIST OF THE POTENTIAL CUTS THAT WOULD BE

REQUIRED OVER THE NEXT FOUR YEARS WITHOUT ANY NEW REVENUE. MY INTENT WAS TO BE AS UP FRONT AND TRANSPARENT AS POSSIBLE ABOUT THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON PUBLIC SAFETY, OUR ECONOMY, OUR WORKFORCE READINESS, PAVING, LIBRARIES, AND OTHER SERVICES, AND OUR NEED TO INVEST TO KEEP OUR CITY'S MOMENTUM GOING. LAST MONTH, 15 OF YOU ALL VOTED FOR CUTS OVER THE REVENUE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN SERVICES, AND I KNOW THAT WAS A TOUGH VOTE. AND FOR THE COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO WERE RECENTLY ELECTED, I KNOW THAT IT IS NO SMALL THING TO ASK YOU TO VOTE ON THE FIRST REVENUE INCREASE SINCE MERGER JUST A FEW WEEKS AFTER TAKING THE OATH OF OFFICE. NONETHELESS, THERE WAS A CHOICE TO MAKE. ALL REVENUE, MIX OF CUTS AND REVENUE, OR ALL CUTS, AND IT'S NO SECRET THAT I STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH THE CHOICE MADE BY THE MAJORITY OF THE COUNCIL. THAT WAS THE CHOICE THAT WAS MADE. THE FORMER U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, HE REMINDED US, TAXES ARE THE PRICE WE PAY FOR A CIVILIZED SOCIETY. [ APPLAUSE ] ONE OF THE FEW TIMES TAXES GETS A ROUND OF APPLAUSE, BY THE WAY. AND I BELIEVE, THOUGH, THAT AFTER THIS BUDGET PROCESS, OUR CITY REALLY NEEDS TO HAVE AN HONEST AND CLEAR-EYED CONVERSATION ABOUT THE TYPE OF SERVICES THAT PEOPLE EXPECT AND DESERVE. AND WHAT THEY ARE WILLING TO PAY FOR. FOR NOW, THOUGH, MY RESPONSIBILITY IS TO TRANSLATE THE COUNCIL'S VOTE INTO A BUDGET. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THE DETAILS. OUR PROJECTIONS EARLIER THIS YEAR SHOWED US FACING A \$35 MILLION SHORTFALL, INCLUDING THE \$20 MILLION BUMP

IN OUR PENSION OBLIGATION. SINCE THEN, DUE TO CHANGES IN TAX RECEIPTS, WORKERS' COMPENSATION, AND AUTO INSURANCE EXPENSES AND OUR EMPLOYEE HEALTH CARE COSTS, OUR STARTING DEFICIT HAS DECREASED FROM \$35 MILLION TO \$32 MILLION. TO HELP COVER A SMALL PORTION OF THAT, MY BUDGET ASSUMES THE COUNCIL WILL VOTE FOR THE STATUTORILY ALLOWED 4% INCREASE IN PROPERTY TAX VALUE, NETTING US AN ADDITIONAL \$1.2 MILLION. THAT'S THE ONLY NEW TAX REVENUE SOURCE PROPOSED IN THIS BUDGET, SO WE'RE ALSO INCREASING SOME FEES. THIS BUDGET REQUIRES PAINFUL CUTS TO ALL AGENCIES AND ALL PARTS OF THE CITY. ONE PRIORITY FOR THIS BUDGET WAS TO MAINTAIN BASIC MUNICIPAL FUNCTIONS FOR A GROWING CITY OF ALMOST 800,000 PEOPLE. DECISIONS WERE GUIDED BY A THOROUGH REVIEW OF DATA AND OUR COMMITMENT TO EQUITY. PUBLIC SAFETY WAS, OF COURSE, A TOP PRIORITY, AS OUR FIRST AND GREATEST RESPONSIBILITY TO THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE. THE BRAVE MEN AND WOMEN OF LMPD DO INCREDIBLE WORK UNDER VERY, VERY DIFFICULT CIRCUMSTANCES THAT VERY FEW OF US WOULD SIGN UP FOR. THEY ALSO HELP LEAD A 10% DROP IN VIOLENT CRIME OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS, YET BUDGET RESTRICTIONS FORCED US TO CANCEL THE RECRUIT CLASS THAT WAS TO START IN JUNE. TO MITIGATE THAT, WE'RE ENDING THE \$2 MILLION SUBSIDY TO JEFFERSON COUNTY SCHOOLS FOR SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS AND REASSIGNING THOSE LMPD OFFICERS BACK TO THE STREET. WE DO PLAN TWO POLICE RECRUIT CLASSES LATER IN THE FISCAL YEAR. EVEN SO, WE EXPECT A NET REDUCTION OF ABOUT 40 UNIFORMED OFFICERS, DEPENDING ON FINAL

ATTRITION NUMBERS AT LMPD. THERE HAVE BEEN CALLS TO EXEMPT POLICE, FIRE, EMS, AND CORRECTIONS COMPLETELY FROM CUTS, AND WE DID CUT A SMALLER PERCENTAGE OF THEIR BUDGETS THAN MANY OTHER AGENCIES. BUT GIVEN THE SIZE OF THE BUDGET SHORTFALL AND THE FACT THAT PUBLIC SAFETY IS ABOUT 60% OF OUR BUDGET, IT'S IMPRACTICAL TO TOTALLY EXEMPT THEM. FOR EXAMPLE, WE COULD COMPLETELY, COMPLETELY, ELIMINATE METRO PARKS, LIBRARY, ZOO, ANIMAL SERVICES, AND OUR ENTIRE CODES AND REGULATIONS TEAM, AND WE'D STILL BE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS SHORT OF RESOLVING OUR MULTI-YEAR BUDGET CHALLENGE. SO IT'S NOT A WORKABLE SOLUTION TO EXEMPT THOSE PUBLIC SAFETY AGENCIES. AND I ALSO WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT PUBLIC SAFETY IS MUCH, MUCH MORE THAN OUR MEN AND WOMEN IN UNIFORM. OUR RESOURCES AND PEOPLE AND AGENCIES LIKE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFE AND HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS, LIBRARIES, PARKS, COMMUNITY CENTERS, ALSO MAKE ESSENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO PUBLIC SAFETY BY MAKING SURE KIDS HAVE A PLACE TO GO AND TRUSTED ADULTS TO HELP THEM STAY ON THE RIGHT PATH. PUBLIC SAFETY IS SUPPORTED BY PEOPLE THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY, MANY OF WHOM WORK OR VOLUNTEER WITH NONPROFITS THAT METRO SUPPORTS THROUGH EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDS, ALSO KNOWN AS EAFS. I'M TALKING ABOUT SOCIAL WORKERS, READING TUTORS, MENTORS, COACHES, FAITH LEADERS, AND MANY, MANY MORE. HOWEVER, THIS BUDGET, UNFORTUNATELY, CUTS \$500,000 FROM EAFS. OUR HOPE IS THAT COMMUNITY PARTNERS WILL STEP UP TO FILL THE GAP IN THE FUNDING TO THESE IMPORTANT

ORGANIZATIONS, BECAUSE PUBLIC SAFETY IS A CONDITION THAT WE CREATE TOGETHER IN THIS COMMUNITY. ONE OF THE PRINCIPLES, WAYS WE DO THAT, IS BY COMMUNICATING TO OUR RESIDENTS, ESPECIALLY OUR YOUNG PEOPLE, THAT THOSE THAT ARE GROWING UP IN CHALLENGING CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT THEIR COMMUNITY BELIEVES IN THEM, AND THAT THEIR COMMUNITY INVESTS IN THEM. THAT'S WHY IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE KEEP INVESTING IN OUR OFFICE FOR SAFE AND HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS, WHICH COORDINATES OUTREACH PROGRAMS LIKE REIMAGE, PEER VIOLENCE, PIVOT TO PEACE, WORK TO STOP VIOLENCE BEFORE IT BEGINS. THESE PROGRAMS HAVE HELPED OVER 500 YOUNG PEOPLE FIND THE PATH TO A HOPEFUL FUTURE, WHICH MAKES OUR CITY SAFER AND MORE PROSPEROUS. IMPRESSIVELY, SINCE WE ESTABLISHED THE OFFICE FOR SAFE AND HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS IN 2013, IT HAS BROUGHT IN NEARLY \$7 MILLION IN GRANTS TO OUR CITY, INCLUDING MOST RECENTLY A \$5 MILLION FEDERAL GRANT TO HELP LOUISVILLE FAMILIES AND YOUNG PEOPLE MOST AFFECTED BY TRAUMA, INEQUITY, AND VIOLENCE. STILL, THE PAIN OF THIS BUDGET TOUCHES EVERY AGENCY, AND WE'RE HAVING TO REDUCE THE OFFICE FOR SAFE AND HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS BUDGET BY ABOUT 18%. ANOTHER PRINCIPLE FOR US IN CRAFTING THIS BUDGET WAS TO MINIMIZE THE PAIN ON OUR MOST VULNERABLE, SO WHILE WE HAVE TO REDUCE OUR INVESTMENT IN AFFORDABLE HOUSING FROM THE \$12 MILLION ALLOCATED LAST YEAR, WE ARE DESIGNATING \$5 MILLION FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND. WHILE WE'RE ELIMINATING FUNDING FOR THE LIVING ROOM -- WHILE WE'RE ELIMINATING FUNDING

FOR THE LIVING ROOM BECAUSE OF ITS PER-VISIT COST OF \$500, WE ARE INVESTING \$1 MILLION IN OVERALL HOMELESS SERVICES. [ APPLAUSE ] THAT STILL WILL NOT MEET THE ENORMOUS HOMELESSNESS CHALLENGES, SO I'M CALLING ON INDIVIDUALS, BUSINESSES, NONPROFITS, AND FAITH GROUPS TO STEP UP EVEN MORE AND HELP US ADDRESS THAT NEED. I AM REALLY APPRECIATIVE OF THE GOOD WORK OF OUR COMMUNITY MINISTRIES, THEIR ABILITY TO STRETCH A DOLLAR LIKE ANY ORGANIZATION, SO WE'LL CONTINUE FUNDING THEM AT THE \$1.1 MILLION LEVEL. [ APPLAUSE ] WE HAVE TO MAKE THESE INVESTMENTS, BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT IF WE WANT PEOPLE TO BE PRODUCTIVE, THEY HAVE TO HAVE ENOUGH FOOD TO EAT AND A STABLE AND AFFORDABLE PLACE TO CALL HOME. AS WE REALLOCATE AND CUT RESOURCES, WE MUST ALSO RECOGNIZE IT'S SMART TO MAKE INVESTMENTS THAT HELP OUR CITY ADAPT TO THE REALITY OF CHANGING TIMES AND PREPARE FOR THE FUTURE. ACCORDING TO A BROOKINGS INSTITUTION ANALYSIS PUT OUT A COUPLE MONTHS AGO, LOUISVILLE'S JOBS ARE MORE VULNERABLE TO AUTOMATION THAN ALL BUT SEVEN OTHER U.S. CITIES, SO OUR ECONOMY IS GOING TO CHANGE, SO WE HAVE TO GET OUR CITY READY. WE HAVE TO HELP OUR BUSINESSES GET READY, AND WE HAVE TO HELP OUR WORKFORCE GET READY FOR THIS MASSIVE CHANGE. THAT'S WHY I'M INVESTING \$300,000 TO QUINTUPLE THE AMOUNT OF TECH TRAINING WE HAVE GOING ON IN OUR CITY, TO SCALE SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS LIKE CODE LOUISVILLE, AND START NEW ONES LIKE THE BIT 502 TECH APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM. LOUISVILLE RECEIVED A SIGNIFICANT BOOST

FOR THESE EFFORTS JUST THIS PAST WEEK, WHEN JPMORGAN CHASE ANNOUNCED THAT WE'VE BEEN AWARDED \$3 MILLION ADVANCING CITIES GRANT TO FUND CREATION OF TECH LOUISVILLE, A NEW KENTUCKIANA WORKS PROGRAM THAT WILL TRAIN AND HELP 300 LOW-INCOME PEOPLE START A GOOD-PAYING CAREER IN THE TECHNOLOGY SECTOR. [ APPLAUSE ] OUR CITY'S APPLICATION WAS ONE OF FIVE SELECTED FROM 250 CITIES THAT APPLIED, FURTHER UNDERSCORING OUR ABILITY TO LEVERAGE FALL FOR OUR TAXPAYERS THROUGH GRANT FUNDING AND A GREAT TEAM. NOW, I APPROACH THE EXPENSE OF GOVERNMENT AS INVESTMENTS, AND I BELIEVE WE SHOULD EXPECT A RETURN. THAT'S WHY WE EMPHASIZE DATA TO MEASURE THE IMPACT OF OUR INVESTMENTS. DATA SHOWS, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT SUMMER WORKS IS A SOUND INVESTMENT. ACCORDING TO A STUDY BY THE KENTUCKY CENTER FOR STATISTICS, SUMMER WORKS' YOUTH ARE MORE LIKELY TO STAY IN THE WORKFORCE AND PURSUE POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION THAN THOSE WHO DON'T WORK IN THE SUMMER. THAT'S WHY THIS BUDGET CONTINUES OUR SUMMER WORKS INVESTMENT, ALBEIT AT A 16% REDUCTION. LAST YEAR, 6,245 YOUNG PEOPLE WERE EMPLOYED BY OUR SUMMER WORKS EMPLOYER PARTNERS, A NEW RECORD FROM ONLY 200 IN OUR FIRST CLASS OF 2011. [ APPLAUSE ] I REALLY BELIEVE IN SUMMER WORKS, BECAUSE I BELIEVE IN THE TRANSFORMATIVE POWER OF WORK, ESPECIALLY OF GETTING THAT FIRST JOB AND EARNING THAT FIRST PAYCHECK. THAT'S WHY I'M GOING TO INVEST IN OUR CITY'S YOUTH BY DONATING 20% OF MY SALARY IN THE COMING FISCAL YEAR TO SUMMER WORKS. [ APPLAUSE ] I'M ALSO

CALLING ON OUR BUSINESS COMMUNITY TO STEP UP EVEN MORE AND HELP US MITIGATE THESE COSTS BY SUPPORTING SUMMER WORKS YOUTH. THIS BUDGET ALLOWS US TO KEEP ALL OUR COMMUNITY CENTERS OPEN, AT LEAST FOR THE COMING YEAR. COMMUNITY CENTERS ARE AN IMPORTANT PART OF OUR PUBLIC SAFETY STRATEGY, GIVING KIDS A PLACE TO GO FOR CRITICAL OUT OF SCHOOL TIME ACTIVITIES. NOW, THERE WERE MANY INVESTMENTS WE COULD NO LONGER AFFORD TO MAKE. THIS BUDGET INCLUDES MANY DIFFICULT AND PAINFUL DECISIONS, LIKE PERSONNEL CUTS AND ELIMINATION OF KEY SERVICES. KEY SERVICES LIKE CLOSING TWO LIBRARIES, ONE FIRE HOUSE, ONE NEIGHBORHOOD PLACE, AND TAKING ONE AMBULANCE OFF THE STREET. THIS BUDGET REDUCES FUNDING FOR EVERYTHING FROM OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES, TO AGENCIES THAT WORK TO KEEP OUR CITY CLEAN AND GREEN. THIS BUDGET CUTS YARD WASTE AND RECYCLING PICKUP TO EVERY/OTHER WEEK AND REDUCES PAVING. THIS BUDGET CUTS METRO'S DISCRETIONARY FUNDING OF \$245,000 BY \$40,000. WE WORKED HARD TO MITIGATE THE PAIN WHEREVER POSSIBLE. WHILE THIS BUDGET CUTS HOURS AT LIBRARIES, FOR EXAMPLE, WE ARE KEEPING THEM OPEN ON SUNDAYS. [ APPLAUSE ] UNFORTUNATELY, WE'RE CLOSING TWO LIBRARIES, MIDDLETOWN AND FERN CREEK, WHICH EACH OPERATE IN LEAST SPACE. THESE ACTIONS WILL SAVE ABOUT \$1 MILLION. IN JUNE, WE'LL BE OPENING THE BEAUTIFUL NEW NORTHEAST REGIONAL LIBRARY, COMPLETING A KEY COMPONENT OF THE LIBRARY MASTER PLAN. [ APPLAUSE ] THIS NEW LIBRARY IS ONLY ABOUT FOUR MILES FROM MIDDLETOWN, WHICH I HOPE WILL EASE THE

INCONVENIENCE OF THAT CLOSURE. FERN CREEK IS LESS THAN FIVE MILES FROM THE JAYTOWN LIBRARY AND LESS THAN SIX FROM THE BEAUTIFUL SOUTH CENTRAL REGIONAL LIBRARY. PLUS, NEXT MONTH WE WILL REOPEN THE ST. MATTHEW'S LIBRARY. WE CONTRIBUTED \$1 MILLION TO LEVERAGE THE MORE THAN \$3 MILLION OF INVESTMENT FROM THE CITY OF ST. MATTHEWS. FACING THE REALITY OF TENS OF MILLIONS OF ADDITIONAL DOLLARS IN PENSION EXPENSES IN THE COMING YEARS, WE'LL BE PUSHING AHEAD ON SOME LONG RANGE POTENTIAL CHANGES, INCLUDING WE WILL REQUEST PROPOSALS TO SET UP THE LOUISVILLE ZOO AS AN INDEPENDENT ENTITY TO HELP US CAP OUR COSTS FOR THAT OPERATION. NEXT, AFTER THE PROFITABLE SUMMER GOLFING SEASON IS OVER, WE BELIEVE WE CAN SAVE AROUND HALF A MILLION DOLLARS FROM OUR CITY'S GOLF COURSES BY BIDDING THEIR OPERATIONS OR POSSIBLY CLOSING UP TO FOUR GOLF COURSES. AND WE WILL WORK OVER THE NEXT YEAR TO RETURN OPERATIONS FOR YOUTH DETENTION SERVICES TO THE STATE. JEFFERSON COUNTY HAS HISTORICALLY SUBSIDIZED THE COST TO HOUSE YOUTH AT YDS TO KEEP THEM CLOSER TO FAMILIES AND CLOSER TO SCHOOLS. THAT'S COSTING US \$9 MILLION MORE OVER THE STATE REIMBURSEMENT THAN IN THE CURRENT BUDGET CLIMATE, THAT'S JUST IMPOSSIBLE TO MAINTAIN. BY DELAYING THIS MOVE UNTIL AT LEAST THE END OF THE YEAR, OUR GOAL IS TO GIVE THE STATE TIME TO FIND A WAY TO CONTINUE HOUSING JEFFERSON COUNTY CHILDREN IN JEFFERSON COUNTY. [ APPLAUSE ] AS YOU HEARD ME SAY, EVERYTHING IN OUR CITY IS CONNECTED, AND ALL OF THOSE CONNECTING LINES AT SOME POINT

COME THROUGH METRO GOVERNMENT, WHERE OUR TEAM OF DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVICE PROFESSIONALS WORKS TO PROVIDE EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE SERVICES FOR THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE. I'M REALLY PROUD OF OUR TEAM. THAT'S WHY IT PAINS ME THAT DESPITE ALL OF OUR EFFORTS, WE WILL BE FORCED TO ELIMINATE AS MANY AS 312 POSITIONS, INCLUDING LAYING OFF ABOUT 100 EMPLOYEES. THAT INCLUDES FULL-TIME, PART-TIME, AND SEASONAL EMPLOYEES, UNION, AND NON-UNION. IN TERMS OF OUR MANAGER TO EMPLOYEE RATIO, DATA SHOWS WE ARE WELL WITHIN INDUSTRY STANDARDS AND NOT TOP HEAVY. STILL, WE PRIORITIZE SAVING JOBS THAT INVOLVE THE DIRECT DELIVERY OF OUR SERVICES TO OUR RESIDENTS. GOVERNMENT TO SERVICE BUSINESS, ABOUT 70% OF OUR COSTS ARE PEOPLE, SO, UNFORTUNATELY, THERE'S NO AVOIDING LAYOFFS WHEN WE HAVE TO CUT EXPENSES. THESE ARE TALENTED, HARDWORKING FOLKS, WHO DELIVER CRITICAL SERVICES, AND I HATE THE STRESS AND UNCERTAINTY THEY AND THEIR FAMILIES HAVE BEEN LIVING WITH FOR THE PAST FEW MONTHS. THEY DESERVE BETTER. MY BUDGET INCLUDES FUNDING FOR OUTPLACEMENT AND OTHER SERVICES TO HELP THEM TRANSITION TO OTHER EMPLOYMENT. ALSO, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THOSE WITH THE FOP LEGACY HEALTH PLAN, ALL METRO EMPLOYEES WILL SEE AN INCREASE OF 3% ON HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUMS AND AN INCREASE ON DEDUCTIBLE AND OTHER OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES. ON THE POSITIVE SIDE, WE WILL OPEN A NEW WELLNESS CENTER, IN ADDITION TO THE ONE WE HAVE DOWNTOWN, ON FERN VALLEY ROAD THIS YEAR TO SUPPORT OUR EMPLOYEES, HELP THEM STAY HEALTHY,

PREVENT ILLNESS. I'M ALSO PROPOSING THAT WE ADDRESS THE COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENTS TO NON-UNION EMPLOYEE SALARIES. INSTEAD OF A 2% ADJUSTMENT FOR ALL, MY BUDGET PROPOSES A 2% COLA ONLY FOR THOSE MAKING UNDER \$60,000, A 1% COLA FOR THOSE MAKING BETWEEN \$60,000 AND \$80,000, AND NO COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT FOR THOSE MAKING ABOVE \$80,000. THIS BUDGET INVITES METRO COUNCIL MEMBERS TO FOREGO A COST OF LIVING INCREASE, AS WELL. CUTTING COLAS IS NOT SOMETHING I WANT TO DO. WITH OUR CITY'S UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AROUND 4%, AND WITH ALL THE UNCERTAINTY AROUND OUR BUDGET, WE ALREADY ARE HAVING TROUBLE KEEPING AND ATTRACTING THE TALENT WE NEED TO DELIVER THE WORLD-CLASS SERVICES OUR LEADERS DESERVE AND DEMAND, AND OUR LEADERSHIP ALREADY MAKE WELL BELOW THEIR PEERS IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR, SO THIS WILL MAKE FILLING POSITIONS AND MAINTAINING EXPERIENCED PEOPLE EVEN MORE DIFFICULT. AS YOU ALL REVIEW THE BUDGET, I HOPE YOU CAN SEE WE WORKED HARD TO ACHIEVE BALANCE AND THE CHOICES THAT WE WERE FORCED TO MAKE. CUTS AFFECTS AREAS ALL THROUGHOUT THE CITY, HITTING EVERY DEPARTMENT, INCLUDING MY OFFICE AND INCLUDING METRO COUNCIL'S OFFICE. ALSO, \$2 BILLION, BIG NUMBER, \$2 BILLION, THAT'S THE APPROXIMATE TOTAL OF OUR CITY'S DEFERRED CAPITAL INVESTMENTS. WE'VE BEEN CHIPPING AWAY AT THIS OVER THE YEARS, BUT DUE TO LACK OF REVENUE, I'M PROPOSING THE SMALLEST CAPITAL BUDGET IN SIX YEARS. WE'RE MAKING SOME TARGETED INVESTMENTS, BUT WE WILL BE STRETCHED TO KEEP UP WITH ALL THE POTHOLES, BROKEN SIDEWALKS, AND BASIC PAVING

PROJECTS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. NOW, IT SEEMS REASONABLE TO ASK, AND MANY CITIZENS HAVE ASKED ME IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS, WHY ARE WE DOING THIS TO OURSELVES WHEN THE CITY IS IN THE MIDST OF ALL THIS ECONOMIC GROWTH? WHILE WE'RE CUTTING OUR INFRASTRUCTURE BUDGET, FOR EXAMPLE, NASHVILLE IS SPENDING \$35 MILLION ON ROADS, \$30 MILLION ON SIDEWALKS, AND \$5 MILLION ON BIKE WAYS. THAT'S \$70 MILLION COMPARED TO \$17 MILLION IN OUR BUDGET. NASHVILLE ALSO JUST MADE A HALF A BILLION DOLLAR MULTI-YEAR COMMITMENT TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THESE ARE RELEVANT NUMBERS FOR US TO LOOK AT AS WE TRY TO GROW OUR CITY AGAINST OUR PEER CITIES. TO STAY COMPETITIVE, ESPECIALLY AT TIMES LIKE THIS, WE HAVE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF EVERY OPPORTUNITY THAT WE HAVE. WE'VE HAD SEVERAL GREAT COMMUNITY PARTNERS STEP UP TO HELP US, AND I HOPE MORE AND MORE COMMUNITY PARTNERS COME FORWARD. AS I ANNOUNCED A FEW WEEKS AGO, LOUISVILLE TOURISM IS CONTRIBUTING A HALF MILLION DOLLARS TO HELP FUND THE BELL OF LOUISVILLE, ONE OF OUR HISTORIC AND ICONIC TOURIST ATTRACTIONS. AND I'M REALLY GRATEFUL FOR THAT GENEROUS ACTION FROM LOUISVILLE TOURISM. IN ADDITION, AFTER HEARING THAT WE WERE FORCED TO CLOSE OUR OUTDOOR POOLS, A NUMBER OF LOCAL ORGANIZATIONS REACHED OUT TO SAY THAT THEY'D LIKE TO HELP MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR KIDS TO SWIM OVER THE SUMMER. WE'RE WORKING WITH PAPA JOHNS AND OTHER COMMUNITY PARTNERS TO FIND WAYS TO PROVIDE THAT OPPORTUNITY AT OTHER POOLS FOR RESIDENTS OF THE AFFECTED NEIGHBORHOODS. THE UNFORTUNATE TRUTH -- [ APPLAUSE

] THE UNFORTUNATE TRUTH IS, ALL FOUR POOLS OPERATE AT A LOSS AND NEED MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN REPAIRS THAT THIS BUDGET JUST CAN'T ACCOMMODATE. I'M ALSO REACHING OUT TO SUBURBAN CITIES, PHILANTHROPIC ORGANIZATIONS, TO SEE HOW THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO PARTNER WITH US IN SOME AREAS, BUT LET'S REMEMBER, THESE ARE ONGOING COSTS EVERY YEAR. SO AS YOU GO THROUGH THIS BUDGET PROPOSAL, I'M SURE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE QUESTIONS, SUGGESTIONS, AND IDEAS, AND MY TEAM AND I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING THEM AND WORKING THROUGH THEM WITH YOU ALL. MY FOCUS IS ON WORKING WITH THIS COUNCIL AND THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE TO CREATE A RESPONSIBLE, BALANCED BUDGET THAT DOESN'T COMPROMISE OUR LONG-TERM FISCAL HEALTH. I CAN ONLY SUPPORT A BUDGET THAT ADDRESSES OUR CITY'S NEEDS AND CHALLENGES IN A STRUCTURALLY SOUND WAY. DURING THIS BUDGET PROCESS, WE EXPLORED A VARIETY OF OPTIONS AND IDEAS, INCLUDING MANY THAT I'VE HEARD SOME OF YOU MENTION. ONE IS HELP FROM THE STATE. I WISH THAT HELP WAS COMING. FOR YEARS WE'VE ASKED THE STATE LEGISLATURE TO PROVIDE PENSION RELIEF FOR KENTUCKY CITIES. I'VE ADVOCATED REPEATEDLY FOR TAX AND PENSION REFORM. IN 2017, THIS COUNCIL UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED A RESOLUTION CALLING ON THE KENTUCKY GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO SEPARATE THE COUNTY-EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM, CRS, THE SYSTEM WE'RE ALL IN, FROM THE KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEM. THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN. FRANKFORT HAS NOT DELIVERED. WE'LL KEEP ASKING AND ADVOCATING. THAT'S IMPORTANT. AND I WANT TO BE PROVEN WRONG, BUT WE HAVE TO ASSUME

FOR NOW WE ARE ON OUR OWN. ONE OTHER IDEA THAT'S BEEN SUGGESTED IS USING OUR RAINY DAY FUND TO DEAL WITH THIS PENSION CRISIS. THE RAINY DAY FUND IS DESIGNED FOR ONE-TIME, UNANTICIPATED EMERGENCIES. AND THIS BUDGET CHALLENGE IS NOT A ONE-TIME ISSUE. IT'S AN ONGOING CHALLENGE THAT WITHOUT NEW REVENUE, WE'LL HAVE TO DEAL WITH YEAR AFTER YEAR CUTTING TWO OR MAYBE EVEN THREE TIMES AS MUCH. TWO OR THREE TIMES AS MUCH FROM FUTURE BUDGETS AS IS CUT THIS YEAR. THAT IS TRULY SOBERING, ESPECIALLY IF YOU THINK OF THE ADDITIONAL IMPACT THAT A POSSIBLE RECESSION HITTING DURING THESE NEXT FEW YEARS MIGHT HAVE. IF WE SPEND OUR RAINY DAY FUND ON THIS YEAR'S BUDGET, NEXT YEAR WE'LL HAVE THE SAME CHALLENGES, COMPOUNDED BY \$10 MILLION MORE IN PENSION OBLIGATIONS, AND THE RAINY DAY FUND WILL BE LESS THAN HALF ITS CURRENT FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE SIZE. ALSO, OUR CREDIT RATING IS PARTLY DETERMINED BY THE SIZE OF OUR RAINY DAY FUND, AND IF THAT RATING GOES DOWN, IT WILL BE HARDER AND MORE EXPENSIVE FOR US TO BORROW FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS LIKE PAVING OUR STREETS, BECAUSE INVESTORS WANT TO PUT THEIR MONEY AND ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE THEIR FINANCIAL HOUSE IN GOOD ORDER. THE FACT THAT WE ARE TODAY FISCALLY AND STRUCTURALLY SOUND IS AMONG THE ADVANTAGES WE HAVE AS A CITY. NOW, EVEN WITH THIS PENSION CHALLENGE, THE TRUTH AGAIN IS THAT OUR CITY TODAY HAS GOOD MOMENTUM. WE'VE TRANSFORMED OUR SKYLINE WITH NEW BRIDGES AND LANDMARKS, WE'VE RENOVATED THE GORGEOUS KENTUCKY INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION CENTER,

BUILT TWO DOZEN NEW HOTELS, WE'VE DEVELOPED BOURBONISM, OUR CITY'S 365-DAY A YEAR TOURISM OPPORTUNITY. IN 2011, WE HAD NO DISTILLERY EXPERIENCES IN OUR CITY. TODAY, WE HAVE TEN, AND THEY ARE HELPING US ATTRACT 16 MILLION VISITORS A YEAR TO OUR CITY. WE'VE BEEN INVESTING IN OUR PEOPLE, IN OUR LIBRARIES, AFFORDABLE HOUSING, AND IN PROGRAMS THAT OPEN OPPORTUNITIES LIKE VOTE LOUISVILLE, PIVOT THE PEACE, AND EVOLVE 502. LAST YEAR WE WERE NAMED ONE OF THE TOP 15 CITIES IN THE COUNTRY FOR MILLENNIAL GROWTH. THAT'S A HUGE TURNAROUND FOR OUR CITY. AND THE ENDORSEMENT OF NATIONAL FOUNDATIONS, CORPORATIONS, AND FEDERAL AGENCIES, AND THE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THEY ARE INVESTING HERE REMINDS US THAT WE ARE POISED STILL TO BECOME ONE OF AMERICA'S NEXT BREAKOUT CITIES. THAT'S WHY I'M STILL SO OPTIMISTIC ABOUT THE FUTURE OF OUR CITY, AND THAT'S WHY I WILL KEEP FIGHTING FOR MORE REVENUE, FOR MORE INVESTMENT, FROM YOU AND FROM FRANKFORT. I HOPE THAT YOU'LL BE PART OF THAT. MINDFUL THAT WHILE WE DEAL WITH THIS PENSION-DRIVEN BUDGET CHALLENGE, OUR PEER CITIES, NASHVILLE, INDIANAPOLIS, CINCINNATI, AND MANY OTHERS, ARE INVESTING IN THEMSELVES IN THEIR INFRASTRUCTURE, TRANSPORTATION, AND QUALITY OF LIFE AT MUCH HIGHER RATES THAN WE ARE. AS A CITY, WE NEED TO FULLY UNDERSTAND WHAT IT COSTS TO DELIVER QUALITY PUBLIC SERVICES AND WHAT OUR COMPETITOR CITIES ARE SPENDING. I CAN TELL YOU THIS ALREADY, IF METRO GOVERNMENT DOES NOT PROVIDE AT LEAST THE BASIC SERVICES THAT CITIZENS AND 21ST CENTURY

WORKERS AND COMPANIES EXPECT, WE WILL LOSE ECONOMIC COMPETITION AND EXPERIENCE THE JOB LOSSES THAT GO WITH IT. WHETHER WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BUSINESS OR GOVERNMENT, IT'S ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL TO BE LEAN AND EFFICIENT, BUT YOU CAN NEVER CUT YOUR WAY TO GREATNESS OR PROSPERITY. [ APPLAUSE ] SO, WE MUST RISE TO THIS CHALLENGE, WORKING TOGETHER TO MOVE FORWARD, TO CREATE THE CITY OF EQUITY, OPPORTUNITY, AND JOB GROWTH THAT OUR CITIZENS DESERVE AND DEMAND. TO MAKE THE INVESTMENTS NECESSARY TO SUSTAIN A THRIVING CITY THAT WINS IN THE GLOBAL MARKETPLACE AND WHOSE REPUTATION FOR COMPASSION, INNOVATION, EQUITY, AND OPPORTUNITY CONTINUES TO GROW ON THE WORLD STAGE, AND A CITY WHERE EVERY PERSON HAS THE CHANCE TO REACH THEIR FULL HUMAN POTENTIAL. WE ALL WORKED VERY HARD TO EARN THE LEADERSHIP POSITIONS THAT WE HOLD, TO BE THE ONES THAT LOUISVILLIANS TRUST TO UNDERSTAND THE ISSUES, THE OPPORTUNITIES, AND CHALLENGES AHEAD. TO MAKE DIFFICULT DECISIONS AT A CRITICAL TIME IN OUR CITY'S HISTORY. LET'S SHOW THE PEOPLE WHO SENT US HERE THAT THEY MADE THE RIGHT CHOICE, THAT WE CAN WORK TOGETHER THROUGH THIS CHALLENGE AND MOVE THROUGH OUR NEXT CHALLENGES FOR THE GOOD OF ONE THING THAT UNITES US ALL, AND THAT IS THE CITY THAT WE LOVE. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. [ APPLAUSE ]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COLLEAGUES, AT THIS POINT I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR A 30-MINUTE RECESS. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR

SAY AYE. IT IS APPROVED. I'LL SEE YOU AT 5:15. THANK YOU.

[ MEETING IN RECESS ]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE METRO COUNCIL IS BACK IN ORDER. NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES. ALL IN 2019. REGULAR AD HOC COMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, APRIL 9, 2019. SPECIAL AD HOC COMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, APRIL 10, 2019. REGULAR COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, APRIL 11, 2019. REGULAR PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE, APRIL 16, 2019. REGULAR PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE, APRIL 16, 2019. SPECIAL JOINT GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE AND PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE, APRIL 16, 2019. REGULAR COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, APRIL 17, 2019. REGULAR HEALTH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE, APRIL 17, 2019. REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, APRIL 17, 2019. REGULAR BUDGET COMMITTEE, APRIL 18, 2019. REGULAR COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES, APRIL 23, 2019. ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS?

>> MOVE APPROVED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A SECOND, PLEASE? THANK YOU, MINUTES HAVE BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED. THE AYES HAVE IT. THESE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS AS WRITTEN. MR. CLERK, DO WE HAVE ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR?

>> CLERK: WE DO, SIR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: PLEASE READ THOSE INTO THE RECORD.

>> CLERK: DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORIGINAL LETTER SUBMITTED ON DECEMBER 10, 2018 AND APPROVED ON MARCH 21, 2019 FOR MS. BARBARA SIVELLS, FAIRDALE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD, HAD AN INCORRECT TERM EXPIRATION. THE CORRECT TERM EXPIRATION DATE IS JUNE 30, 2020. PLEASE CORRECT YOUR RECORDS. BARBARA SIVELLS, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2020. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS CORRECTION IS MOST APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE ZOO FOUNDATION BOARD. THOMAS HALBLEIB, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM JANUARY 2, 2022. WILLIAM SUMMERS, IV, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM APRIL 28, 2022. MARK BROWN, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM MAY 10, 2022. WENDY DANT CHESSER, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM SEPTEMBER 8, 2022. NEIL MACDONALD, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM SEPTEMBER 8, 2022. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE REAPPOINTMENTS IS NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE MEDICAL CENTER COMMISSION OF JEFFERSON COUNTY. ANTHONY MATHIS, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM APRIL 13, 2021. NANCY TIERNEY, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM APRIL 13, 2021. CHRISTOPHER GRAFF, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM JUNE 22, 2021. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD ONLY. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE REAPPOINTMENTS IS NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM APPOINTING AND REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP. RASHAAD ABDUR-RAHMAN,

REAPPOINTMENT, AND THERE'S NO EXPIRATION TERM. AMANDA GEHRING,  
APPOINTMENT, TERM MAY 9, 2021. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE  
RECORD ONLY. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE APPOINTMENTS IS NOT  
REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT

JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LOUISVILLE CONVENTION AND VISITORS  
BUREAU ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO COMPLETE A  
FOUR-MONTH TERM AND REAPPOINTING TO COMPLETE A FULL TERM.

CHRISTOPHER POYNTER, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 16, 2019.

CHRISTOPHER POYNTER, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 16,  
2022. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THESE APPOINTMENTS IS MOST

APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT

JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LANDMARKS AND PRESERVATION  
DISTRICTS COMMISSION ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO  
COMPLETE A SEVEN-MONTH TERM AND REAPPOINTING TO COMPLETE A FULL  
TERM. ASHLYN ACKERMAN, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 30,

2019. ASHLYN ACKERMAN, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 30,  
2022. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THESE APPOINTMENTS IS MOST

APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT

JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDINANCE, I AM REAPPOINTING THE  
FOLLOWING TO THE NULU REVIEW OVERLAY DISTRICT COMMITTEE. KRISTI  
ASHBY, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES FEBRUARY 26, 2022. WILLIAM  
MARZIAN, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES FEBRUARY 26, 2022. YOUR

PROMPT ACTION ON THESE REAPPOINTMENTS IS MOST APPRECIATED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN

ACCORDANCE WITH THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND BOARD ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE BOARD: JOYCE BURCH, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES MAY 9, 2021. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THOSE APPOINTMENTS NEEDING COUNCIL APPROVAL WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE. NEXT WE HAVE THE APPROVAL OF THE REGULAR METRO COUNCIL MEETING FOR APRIL 11, 2019. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR APPROVAL?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED. THANK YOU. THE AYES HAVE IT, AND THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN. NEXT WE HAVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THE CONSENT CALENDAR COMPRISES ITEMS NO. 22 THROUGH 37, EXTRACTING ITEM NO. 26. ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS? MR. CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THESE ITEMS, PLEASE.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$16,652.20 FROM DISTRICT 8 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS TO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FOR A PILOT LITTER PICK UP AND STREET SWEEPING PROGRAM ALONG THE BARDSTOWN ROAD AND BAXTER AVENUE CORRIDOR FROM APRIL 1, 2019 TO JUNE 15, 2019. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$7,500 FROM DISTRICT 1 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO THE LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY

DESIGN CENTER, INC., DBA CENTER FOR NEIGHBORHOODS, FOR BETTER BLOCKS LOUISVILLE. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$25,000 FROM DISTRICT 4 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO DEVELOP LOUISVILLE, OFFICE OF ADVANCED PLANNING, FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO DEVELOPING A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN FOR BUTCHERTOWN AND PHOENIX HILL. A RESOLUTION HONORING LEE ROBERT JONES BY DEDICATING THE CORNER OF 2ND STREET AND OAK STREET AS "LEE JONES WAY" IN HIS HONOR. APPOINTMENT OF OLIVIA KLEITZ TO THE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION - ADVOCACY BOARD. TERM EXPIRES DECEMBER 13, 2021. APPOINTMENT OF PATRICIA KLIKA TO THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PREVENTION COORDINATING COUNCIL. TERM EXPIRES APRIL 25, 2022. REAPPOINTMENT OF PHILLIP DIBLASI TO THE CEMETERY BOARD. TERM EXPIRES APRIL 13, 2022. REAPPOINTMENT OF SAM WARD TO THE CEMETERY BOARD. TERM EXPIRES APRIL 13, 2022. APPOINTMENT OF KANDICE SHOBE-WHITE TO THE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD. TERM EXPIRES APRIL 25, 2022. APPOINTMENT OF LESTER SANDERS TO THE RIVERPORT AUTHORITY BOARD. TERM EXPIRES JULY 31, 2019. AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AN ALLEY AT 2919 BARDSTOWN ROAD AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18STREETS1030). AN ORDINANCE CLOSING SENTIMENTAL LANE AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18STREETS1018). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE STREET NAME OF SENTIMENTAL LANE TO PARKSIDE VISTA LANE AND CASCADE FALLS TRAIL AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18STREETS1022). A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR ON BEHALF OF METRO GOVERNMENT

TO SIGN EIGHT DEEDS CONVEYING AND RELEASING CONSERVATION EASEMENTS NOW HELD BY METRO GOVERNMENT ON EIGHT TRACTS OWNED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY WHICH MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE RIGHT OF WAY FOR THE WIDENING OF RIVER ROAD IN JEFFERSON COUNTY. A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT A DONATION OF CARDINAL STADIUM SEATS FROM COMPLETE DEMOLITION SERVICES (CDS) WITH AN ESTIMATED VALUE OF \$5,500 TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND?

>> SO MOVED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE CONSENT CALENDAR HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION. VOTING IS CLOSING, AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: THERE ARE 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES. THE NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 26.

>> A RESOLUTION HONORING JOHN ASHER TO BE NAMED JOHN S. ASHER WAY IN HIS HONOR. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND? THANK YOU, PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. COUNCILMAN REED?

>> THANK YOU. THIS WAS A ORDINANCE THAT I BELIEVE IS IN COUNCILMAN COAN'S DISTRICT -- SORRY, WHICH NUMBER ARE WE ON?

>> SORRY, 38. WE MOVED THAT, SORRY, 26. DISREGARD.

>> OKAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THAT WOULD BE COUNCILMAN COAN. NO, WE DID THAT UNDER COMMUNITY AFFAIRS. COUNCILMAN SHANKLIN.

>> GOOD GRACIOUS. WHERE ARE YOU, MR. PRESIDENT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I WAS WANDERING AROUND AIMLESSLY.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO TURN IT OVER TO COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT. HE HAS SOMETHING TO SAY.

>> THANK YOU, DR. SHANKLIN AND MR. PRESIDENT AND EVERYONE. ARE WE SQUARE? THANK YOU SO MUCH. LISTEN, THIS PASSED UNANIMOUSLY OUT OF COMMITTEE AT OUR COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, IT'S AN EXCITING PIECE. IT'S THE DECLARATION OF A PORTION OF CENTRAL AVENUE, DECLARING IT JOHN ASHER WAY RIGHT ON THE EVE OF DERBY AND IT'S GENERATED A LOT OF EXCITEMENT, AND IT WAS ON THE FAST TRACK SO THAT WE COULD GET IT UP AND MOVING AND PASSED HERE TONIGHT BEFORE THE ENTIRE BODY. AND THERE WAS SOME EXCITEMENT AND A FEW REQUESTS SO THAT WE MAY OPEN IT UP TO OLD BUSINESS TONIGHT, SO THAT OTHERS MAY SHOW THEIR SUPPORT AND CO-SPONSOR, IF THEY SO DESIRE. SO WE WELCOME THAT. I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT WE HAVE ESTABLISHED THE DATE AND TIME FOR THE UNVEILING. IT WILL BE MONDAY AT 2:00, SO WE WANT TO INVITE EVERYBODY HERE ON

THE BODY TO BE REPRESENTED, IF YOU COULD, SO I WANTED TO PASS THAT ALONG, AS WELL. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, AND I WOULD LIKE TO BE ADDED AS A CO-SPONSOR AND IN DOING SO JUST MAKE A BRIEF COMMENT. I HAD THE PLEASURE AND THE HONOR OF SERVING AS A TRUSTEE OF SIMMONS COLLEGE OF KENTUCKY ALONGSIDE OF JOHN ASHER FOR MANY YEARS, AND SO HIS LIFE AND LEGACY WILL BE REMEMBERED AND WILL REVERBERATE THROUGHOUT THIS COUNTY AND ALL THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES AS STUDENTS COME TO THE COLLEGE OF KENTUCKY AND CAN REMEMBER THE LEGACY THAT HE LEFT THERE, SO I'D BE HONORED IF I COULD BE ADDED AS A SPONSOR.

>> THANK YOU, MA'AM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> WHY DON'T WE OPEN IT UP TO ALL THE COUNCIL MEMBERS? SO EVERYBODY CAN SIGN OFF.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IS IT YOUR ASK THAT ALL MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL BE LISTED AS SPONSORS?

>> YES, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. SECOND? ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THANK YOU. ALL MEMBERS ARE NOW SPONSORS. THE RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED?

RESOLUTION PASSES. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. NOW, MR. CLERK, A  
READING OF ITEM NO. 38.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AN UNNAMED ALLEY AT 1250  
EAST BROADWAY AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO CASE NO.  
18STREETS1025. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION AND A SECOND? THANK YOU, THE  
MOTION IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN REED.

>> YES, SIR, MR. PRESIDENT. THE PROPOSED CLOSURE WAS IN  
CONNECTION WITH A SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PERHAPS  
COUNCILMAN COAN, COAN, WOULD LIKE TO EXPOUND ON THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN COAN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN REED.  
THIS WAS AN ALLEY CLOSURE THAT WAS PART OF THE GOOD FELLAS PIZZA  
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT THE CORNER OF BROADWAY AND BAXTER AVENUE  
THAT THE APPLICANT WITHDREW THEIR APPLICATION ABOUT A MONTH AGO,  
AND WE DID NOT VOTE ON THIS AT OUR LAST MEETING TWO WEEKS AGO  
BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME SORT OF RESUMED DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN THE  
LANDLORD AND THE DEVELOPER IN AN EFFORT TO TRY TO COBBLE A DEAL  
TOGETHER, AND I'M VERY DISAPPOINTED THAT THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO  
COME TO A DEAL, SO THE PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN.  
THEREFORE, IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE TO CLOSE THE ALLEY NOT  
BEING IN CONNECTION WITH A SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT. THERE WERE  
PEOPLE THAT HAD CONCERNS ABOUT THE ALLEY CLOSURE IN TERMS OF ITS  
EFFECT ON THEIR PROPERTY AND HOMES THAT OPENED ON TO THE ALLEY,

SO, HOPEFULLY, THAT PROPERTY WILL BE REDEVELOPED WITH AN APPROPRIATE TENANT SOON, DEPENDING ON WHAT IT IS, THEY MAY NEED TO PURSUE THIS AT SOME OTHER TIME, BUT AT PLANNING AND DESIGN'S REQUEST, AND WHICH I AGREE, I'D ASK YOU ALL TO JOIN ME IN -- DO I NEED TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT IF I WANT TO -- NO, IN VOTING NO TO CLOSE THE ALLEY, PLEASE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE THAT WILL REQUIRE A ROLL CALL VOTE. MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING, AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: THERE ARE FOUR YES VOTES, 20 NO VOTES, AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> MR. PRESIDENT? I'D LIKE TO CHANGE MY VOTE, WHICH I MISTAKENLY MADE AND CORRECTED QUICKLY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MR. CLERK, COULD YOU CAPTURE COUNCILMAN REED'S VOTE?

>> CLERK: WHAT DID YOU WANT TO VOTE, SIR?

>> NO.

>> CLERK: OKAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COULD YOU READ IT AGAIN, MR. CLERK?

>> CLERK: THERE ARE THREE YES VOTES, 21 NO VOTES, AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE FAILED. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 39.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SEVERAL SECTIONS OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND SECTION 115 OF THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF ORDINANCES ("LMCO") RELATING TO SHORT TERM RENTALS (CASE NO. 18AMEND1002) (AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION? AND A SECOND. THE ORDINANCE IS CURRENTLY BEFORE US. COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS RELATING TO SHORT-TERM RENTALS. THE SPONSOR IS COUNCILMAN BRANDON COAN. COUNCILMAN COAN, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE ORDINANCE?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN REED. THIS DID PASS OUT OF THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION 6-0. BEFORE I GO AHEAD AND DISCUSS THE SUBSTANCE, I HAVE A TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO OFFER AT THE REQUEST OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. WOULD IT BE OKAY IF I OFFERED THAT AMENDMENT FIRST AND THEN EXPLAINED IN SUBSTANCE?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> SO, I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING TECHNICAL AMENDMENT, AND YOU ALL CAN FOLLOW ALONG, BUT I'LL GET TO THIS WHEN WE GET THERE. UNDER SECTION 115, PROPOSED SECTION 115.519A, I NEED TO STRIKE THROUGH THE WORDS "AND 121," IT'S A REFERENCE TO LMCO CHAPTER 110 AND 121 REVENUE COMMISSIONS. DON'T REFER TO "AND 121" ANYMORE, SO THAT'S THE FIRST CHANGE. THE NEXT

CHANGE UNDER SECTION 115.521D, THIS IS A SECTION THAT TALKS ABOUT SUBPOENAING INFORMATION FROM A HOSTING PLATFORM, AND WHERE IT CURRENTLY READS "LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT" IN THE FIRST THREE WORDS OF SECTION D AND AGAIN WHERE IT READS -- ACTUALLY, LET ME DO THAT ONE FIRST. WHERE IT SAYS "LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT" IN SECTION D, IT NEEDS TO SAY THE FOLLOWING, STRIKE LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT AND PLEASE INSERT "PURSUANT TO KRS 65.8821, THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD." AND THEN ALSO IN SUBSECTION 1 THERE, PLEASE STRIKE THE OTHER REFERENCE TO LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT AND JUST REPLACE IT WITH "THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD." AND THEN THE FINAL TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS ARE UNDER SECTION 115.999, IN THE PENALTIES, UNDER N-1, IN LINES -- IN LINE 3 IT REFERS TO "ZONING ENFORCEMENT OFFICER." I'D LIKE TO STRIKE THE WORD "ZONING" IN LINE 3. STRIKE THE WORD "ZONING" AGAIN IN LINE 5. "ZONING" -- I'M SORRY, "ZONING" AGAIN IN LINE 6. AND "ZONING" AGAIN IN LINE 8. SO THOSE CHANGES ARE ALL JUST MOVING FROM "ZONING ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS" TO "ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS" GENERALLY. AND THEN, FINALLY, IN SECTION N, SUB 2, THERE'S ONE MORE REFERENCE TO ZONING ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, WHERE I'D LIKE TO STRIKE THE WORD "ZONING" IN THE THIRD LINE, AND THOSE ARE THE COMPLETE TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, CAN I HAVE A MOTION FOR THE TECHNICAL -- AND A SECOND? ANY DISCUSSION?

>> I THINK WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT THE FIRST AMENDMENT, STRIKING CHAPTER 121, YOU MEANT TO SAY STRIKE CHAPTER 110. JUST TO MAKE SURE. 121 STAYS IN, 110 GOES OUT.

>> OKAY, I'M SORRY. I MISSPOKE, BUT COULD YOU -- PLEASE LET THAT REFLECT MR. WHITTY'S RECOMMENDATION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MR. WHITTY, COULD YOU IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD?

>> PAUL WHITTY, JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION UNDER THE PROPOSED TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS? HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? TECHNICALLY AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US, COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES. SO TO ADDRESS THE SUBSTANCE OF THIS ORDINANCE, MANY PEOPLE ARE FAMILIAR WITH IT. I JUST WANT TO REITERATE THE COUNCIL PASSED AN ORIGINAL SET OF RULES PERTAINING TO SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN AUGUST OF 2016. I TOOK OFFICE IN JANUARY OF 2017, AND VERY EARLY IN MY TERM STARTED HEARING ABOUT PROBLEMS THAT NEIGHBORS WERE HAVING WITH CERTAIN SHORT-TERM RENTALS, MOSTLY REGARDING NUISANCE KIND OF PROPERTIES, SO WHAT I TOLD MY CONSTITUENTS WAS THAT ONCE THE ORIGINAL RULE HAD BEEN IN EFFECT FOR ONE YEAR, SINCE THIS WAS SORT OF A NEW THING, IT MADE SENSE TO LOOK AT THE RULES AGAIN, SO BEGINNING IN 2016 WHEN THE ORIGINALS HAD BEEN IN EFFECT FOR A YEAR, OUR PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION STARTED STUDYING THE ISSUE, WE HAD PEOPLE COME IN AND

TESTIFY ABOUT IT. WITHIN A FEW WEEKS OF THAT HEARING, WE HAD BUILT SOME NEW TOOLS TO INCREASE TRANSPARENCY, SO NOW CURRENTLY ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE, YOU CAN SEE LISTINGS OF RENTALS AROUND THE CITY, A MAP, BEFORE THERE WAS NOT A WAY FOR PEOPLE TO SEE THAT. OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS, WE HAMMERED OUT AN AGREEMENT WITH AIRBNB TO MAKE DIRECT PAYMENT OF TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAXES ON BEHALF OF HOSTS TO THE CITY, SIMILAR TO A DEAL THAT THE STATE OF KENTUCKY HAD, SO REVENUE THAT WE WERE NOT REALIZING BEFORE, WE HAMMERED OUT A DEAL WITH THE COMPANY TO DO THAT. ONCE WE HAD THE REVENUE ISSUES SETTLED, WE THEN -- I THEN OFFERED A SERIES OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE ORDINANCE THAT WERE DEVELOPED IN CONSULTATION WITH DEVELOP LOUISVILLE, AND INSTEAD OF FILING LEGISLATION, WE OFFERED IT TO THE PUBLIC AND HAD A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. THIS IS SOMETHING WE'VE DONE A FEW TIMES IN THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS WITH RESPECT TO DIFFICULT ORDINANCES WHERE A LOT OF STAKEHOLDERS HAVE A LOT OF DIFFERENT OPINIONS. SO WE TOOK ALL THOSE PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THEN WE SENT THEM ALL TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO RECOMMEND SOME CHANGES TO BOTH THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND TO THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES. THE PLANNING COMMISSION DID ITS THING, WHICH, OF COURSE, INVOLVED OTHER PUBLIC INPUT. THEY SENT THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL, AND THEN WE ARE ACCEPTING SOME OF THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADDING OTHERS. AND SO IF YOU LOOK TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE ORDINANCE, AGAIN, IT REALLY IS BROKEN INTO TWO

SECTIONS. IT TALKS ABOUT SOME AMENDMENTS TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND THEN SOME AMENDMENTS TO THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES. BRIEFLY, WITHIN THE LOUISVILLE METRO LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, IT CREATES A DEFINITION FOR PRIMARY RESIDENTS. THE REASON THIS IS IMPORTANT IS BECAUSE THE WAY WE REGULATE THESE SHORT-TERM RENTALS, USUALLY IT'S BIFURCATED ALONG OWNER-OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS, WHERE IT IS YOUR PRIMARY RESIDENCE AND YOU DECIDE TO BE A REGISTERED HOST, VERSUS AN INVESTOR-OWNED NONPRIMARY RESIDENCE, WHERE YOU OWN A PIECE OF PROPERTY IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD, IT'S NOT YOURS, NO ONE LIVES THERE, IT'S A FULL-TIME BUSINESS. THAT'S WHY WE DEFINED PRIMARY RESIDENCE. THE NEXT -- THE NEXT SUBSTANTIATIVE CHANGE IS THERE IN 4.2.63D. THIS IS THE 600-FOOT RULE PEOPLE HAVE ASKED ABOUT. I'LL BE REALLY CLEAR ABOUT THIS, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT THERE TO BE ANY CONFUSION. THIS PERTAINS TO INVESTOR -- THIS WOULD PERTAIN TO NEW, NOT EXISTING AS OF TODAY, INVESTOR-OWNED SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS ONLY. AND WHAT THE RULE GENERALLY SAYS IS THAT NO INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTY IN A RESIDENTIAL AREA WHICH REQUIRES A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT IN THE FIRST PLACE, SHALL BE LOCATED CLOSER THAN 600 FEET IN THE NEAREST STRAIGHT PROPERTY LINE TO PROPERTY LINE TO ANOTHER NON-RESIDENCE, INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTY IN A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT. I CAN EXPLAIN -- I'LL GO AHEAD NOW. SOME OF THE RATIONALE FOR THAT IS TO TRY TO LIMIT THE DENSITY OF THESE. THIS IS THE FASTEST

GROWING CATEGORY OF THESE SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN LOUISVILLE, AND SOME OF THE PROBLEMS THEY ARE CREATING HAS TO DO WITH NOT JUST THE NUISANCES I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE FAMILIAR WITH, BUT BIGGER META ISSUES, HAVING TO DEAL WITH A DRAMATIC TRANSFORMATION OF SEVERAL BLOCKS OF NEIGHBORHOODS, WHICH RAISES PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES. I KNOW COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER HAS A NARRATIVE HE MAY SHARE TODAY, HE ADDRESSED IN COMMITTEES. IT CONCERNS ME ABOUT THE AFFORDABILITY OF HOUSING IN NEIGHBORHOODS LIKE DISTRICT 8, WHERE THE VERY SMALL AMOUNT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING LEFT, ALL OF THE THINGS BEING EQUAL, THE MARKET WOULD SEND THEM TOWARDS SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND REALLY ERASE NEIGHBORHOODS AS WE HAVE THEM TODAY. THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR PEOPLE TO NOTE. THE 600-FOOT RULE IS INTENDED TO BE A FIRM RULE, IT IS A DEFAULT RULE FOR HOW THESE THINGS ARE GOVERNED, BUT WE VERY INTENTIONALLY PUT THIS RULE IN THE DEVELOPMENT CODE, AS WELL AS THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES, BECAUSE WHAT THAT DOES IS LEAVES SOME DISCRETION FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. THERE CAN BE UNIQUE SITUATIONS, WHERE A FACT PATTERN MIGHT DICTATE THAT, YOU KNOW, A COMMUNITY SUPPORTS AN INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTY THAT'S CLOSER TO ANOTHER ONE THAT'S WITHIN 600 FEET. SOMEONE GAVE THE EXAMPLE OF MAYBE IN A NEIGHBORHOOD IN A PART OF TOWN THAT IS IN NEED THAT DOESN'T HAVE A LOT OF THEM, THAT IS -- THAT HAS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROBLEMS AND WHERE IT'S WELCOMED MORE, THIS GIVES DISCRETION TOWARDS THIS RULE, WHICH I

THINK'S NOTEWORTHY. THE ONLY OTHER CHANGES, I'M SORRY, THE NEXT CHANGES I'LL MENTION, THIS IS UNDER SECTION I, AND IT ALLOWS THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND DESIGN AND SERVICES TO REVOKE EITHER THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT OR THE REGISTRATION FROM ANY OFFENDING PROPERTY THAT HAS BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF TWO SUBSTANTIATED CIVIL OR CRIMINAL OFFENSES. SO, AGAIN, TO BE CLEAR, THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT YOUR NOSEY NEIGHBOR OR SOMEONE HAS CALLED THE POLICE TWICE ON YOU AND SAYS THE PERSON NEXT DOOR IS BOTHERING ME. THESE ARE TWO SUBSTANTIATED COMPLAINTS. YOU'VE BEEN CITED BY A METRO OFFICER, YOU HAVE THE OPTION TO APPEAL THE CITATION, GO BEFORE THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD, AND IT'S BEEN ADJUDICATED THAT YOU BROKE THE LAW. YOU HAVE TO DO THAT TWICE WITHIN A 12-MONTH PERIOD BEFORE YOUR PERMIT OR YOUR CUP IS REVOKED, AND YOU'D BE -- YOU WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO APPLY FOR ANOTHER YEAR AFTER THAT. THERE ARE A FEW OTHER SMALL SUBSTANTIATIVE CHANGES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER WITHIN 30 DAYS ONCE YOU GET YOUR C.U.P., IF YOU NEED A C.U.P., AND SOME OTHER RULES THAT TALK ABOUT C.U.P.S, ALTHOUGH THEY RUN WITH THE LAND WHEN YOU SELL THE REAL ESTATE, THEY DON'T RUN WITH THE HOST, SO A NEW HOST HAS TO REGISTER ANEW AND GET A NEW REGISTRATION, AND IF THEY DON'T DO THAT, THE C.U.P. LAPSES. THIS ALSO RECOGNIZES THAT SHORT-TERM RENTALS, WE'RE CURRENTLY NOT ALLOWING THEM IN SOME PARTS OF THE CITY WHERE WE SHOULD, SPECIFICALLY EZ-1 ZONED PROPERTIES, IT'S SORT OF A QUASI

INDUSTRIAL COMMERCIAL AREAS WHERE THESE THINGS ARE ABSOLUTELY APPROPRIATE, LIKE THEY ARE APPROPRIATE IN COMMERCIAL AREAS. AND THAT'S REALLY THE CHANGES IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. WITHIN THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES, AGAIN, IT'S PRETTY SIMPLE. ONE OF THE THINGS WE DO IS IMPOSE SOME SMALL NEW OBLIGATIONS ON HOSTS AND SOME SMALL NEW OBLIGATIONS ON THE HOSTING PLATFORMS. IF YOU ARE A LAWFULLY OPERATING HOST OF A SHORT-TERM RENTAL, EITHER YOUR PRIMARY RESIDENCE OR AN INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTY, THE REAL NEW OBLIGATION THAT YOU HAVE IS, ONE, THE PLANNING COMMISSION SAYS YOU NEED TO GET A CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTOR, FIRE EXTINGUISHER AND HAVE SAFETY EXITS. AND THE SECOND WILL HELP US WITH ENFORCEMENT IN A CHEAP OR FREE WAY, IS THAT INSTEAD OF ADVERTISING, FOR EXAMPLE, I HAVE A THREE-BEDROOM HOME AT 1000 MAIN STREET AND IT'S \$500 A NIGHT, YOU HAVE TO INCLUDE YOUR REGISTRATION AND PERMIT NUMBER IN YOUR ADVERTISEMENT SO PEOPLE CAN QUICKLY SEE I HAVE A THREE BEDROOM PLACE AT 1000 MAIN STREET, IT'S \$500 A NIGHT AND MY REGISTRATION NUMBER IS ABB-123, ALLOWING CODE ENFORCEMENT PEOPLE TO LOOK ONLINE AND IDENTIFY A LEGALLY OPERATING PROPERTY AND THEN ONE OF THE NEW OBLIGATIONS ON THE HOSTING PLATFORM IS TO RESPOND TO THE CITY'S REQUEST TO REMOVE THOSE ILLEGAL PROPERTIES WITHIN TEN DAYS. TEN BUSINESS DAYS. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT I WOULD EXPECT WOULD BE FURTHER SUPPLEMENTED BY AN M.O.U. BETWEEN THE PLATFORMS. YOU, OBVIOUSLY, WANT TO MAKE SURE ANY TIME TWO BIG,

SOPHISTICATED ORGANIZATIONS ARE COMMUNICATING ABOUT A TRANSACTION, CLEAR PROTOCOLS ABOUT HOW THAT WORKS. AND I'LL SAY THAT LIKE THIS ORDINANCE AS A WHOLE, THESE ARE THINGS THAT WERE DEVELOPED, I'D LIKE TO REALLY THANK EXPEDIA, WHICH IS A PUBLICLY TRADED, DIVERSIFIED TRAVEL COMPANY. THEY ARE THE SECOND BIGGEST SHORT-TERM RENTAL PLAYER IN THE GLOBAL MARKET, BEHIND AIRBNB, SO THIS IS SOMETHING THEY SAID FROM THEIR PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANY THAT THESE ARE CHANGES THEY THINK ARE REASONABLE AND THEY SUPPORT. THERE ARE ALSO SOME MINOR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SHORT-TERM RENTAL PLATFORMS TO SHARE WITH THE CITY, INFORMATION ABOUT HOW MANY PROPERTIES ARE REGISTERED, AND THEN, FINALLY, THERE ARE ELEVATED PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SOME OF THE NEW RULES. THEY ARE NOT DRACONIAN BY ANY MEANS. THEY RANGE FROM \$125 FROM A FIRST OFFENSE, WHICH WAS UP FROM, I BELIEVE, \$100, TO \$1,000 OFFENSE ONCE YOU VIOLATE SOMETHING FOR THE FOURTH TIME. AND WE MADE A -- WE TALKED ABOUT THIS IN OUR COMMITTEE, WE MADE CLEAR THAT JUST BECAUSE YOU HAVE A VIOLATION ON DAY ONE, THAT DOESN'T MEAN ON DAY TWO, DAY THREE, DAY FOUR, IT RACKS UP. THE PENALTIES ONLY INCREASE WHEN YOU'VE BEEN NOTICED OF A NEW VIOLATION, SO THESE ARE NOT PUNITIVE IN THAT RESPECT. AND I THINK THAT'S REALLY GENERALLY ALL I HAVE TO SAY ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE. I KNOW THAT PEOPLE HAVE RECEIVED A LOT OF INPUT, BOTH FROM PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES, BUT PARTICULARLY THE 600-FOOT INVESTOR-OWNED ISSUE. WE HEARD FROM A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT

SAID THESE THINGS DON'T BELONG IN OUR COMMUNITY AT ALL, THERE SHOULDN'T BE ANYMORE OF THEM, AND THAT'S A GROWING TREND ACROSS THE COUNTRY IN CITIES FROM NASHVILLE, TO NEW YORK, AND MANY, MANY OTHER PLACES. AND YOU HEARD PEOPLE SAY THESE THINGS SHOULD BE ALLOWED EVERYWHERE. SO THE 600-FOOT RULE IS WHAT I THINK IS A SMART COMPROMISE, WHICH, AGAIN, HAS BEEN ENDORSED BY EXPEDIA, KENTUCKY DISTILLERS ASSOCIATION, TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION, AMONG OTHERS. IT BALANCES ALL THE COMPETING INTERESTS THERE. AND I THINK WITH THAT I'LL LET OTHER PEOPLE COMMENT OR ASK QUESTIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> YES, ONE OTHER QUESTION AND THEN THE OTHER ONE, WHEN COUNCILMAN COAN IS DONE WITH HIS AMENDMENT, I HAVE ONE SMALL AMENDMENT THAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO ADD, BUT I JUST WANTED TO ASK, FOR THE 600-FOOT RULE FOR EXISTING PROPERTIES, WILL THEY BE GRANDFATHERED IN?

>> YES.

>> OKAY. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> SORRY, LITTLE LOGISTICAL SNAFU THERE WITH OUR PAGE. SO A COUPLE THINGS. FIRST AND FOREMOST, AND I TOLD COUNCILMAN COAN THIS WHEN WE HAD THE CHANCE TO DISCUSS THIS ORDINANCE, THAT OVERALL I'M LIKE CRAZY IMPRESSED WITH HIS LEVEL OF PRODUCTIVITY IN GETTING ORDINANCES THROUGH THIS COUNCIL. IF HALF OF US WERE

AS PRODUCTIVE AS HIM, WE MIGHT ALL GET OUR AGENDAS THROUGH, SO, FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THIS STUFF UP AND ADDRESSING THESE TOUGH ISSUES. I DO WANT TO SAY, AND I WANT TO MAKE THIS VERY, VERY CLEAR, SHORT-TERM RENTALS ARE THE NEW ECONOMY, RIGHT, ONE OF THE FEW THINGS THAT I AM CONCERNED WITH, PARTICULARLY IN MY ROLE IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, IS, YOU KNOW, THE MAYOR IN HIS SPEECH WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT WHAT NASHVILLE AND INDIANAPOLIS AND THESE OTHER CITIES ARE DOING. WELL, THEY ARE ABLE TO DO IT BECAUSE IF YOU LOOK AT THE GDP GROWTH NUMBERS, WHICH I'VE EXAMINED OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF DAYS AND GAVE OUR HEAD OF LOUISVILLE A HARD TIME ABOUT IN COMMITTEE YESTERDAY, OUR GDP GROWTH RATE IS DECLINING AT THE SAME TIME THAT THESE OTHER CITIES ARE INCREASING, RIGHT, THIS, IF YOU LOOK AT THE TREND RATE, NOT THAT WE STARTED IN THE SAME AREA, NOT THAT WE STARTED WITH THE SAME -- AT THE SAME PLACE AS THEM, SO I'M NOT SAYING ABSOLUTE NUMBERS. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE GROWTH RATE AND GDP, OURS HAS SLOWED DOWN OVER THE PAST THREE YEARS, THEIRS HAS ACCELERATED OVER THE PAST THREE YEARS. SO I'M VERY CONCERNED WITH WHAT I'LL BROADLY DEEM AS ANYTHING THAT'S ANTIGROWTH OR ANTI THE NEW ECONOMY. WITH THAT SAID, I DO THINK THERE'S A LOT OF REASONABLENESS IN THE MAJORITY OF WHAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED HERE. I DO WANT TO ADDRESS A FEW THINGS AND QUESTION A FEW THINGS. NUMBER ONE, AND AGAIN, I ALSO WANT TO SAY I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED, I UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT THIS HAS DISTRICT 9 TO

DISTRICT 8 AND MAYBE SOME OTHER DISTRICTS AND THEIR PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES, BUT THIS IS NOW A COUNTYWIDE REGULATION, AND THERE'S OTHER DISTRICTS THAT STAND TO BENEFIT DRAMATICALLY BY THE PROLIFERENCE FOR SHORT-TERM RENTALS. SO QUESTION, ONE THING I WANTED TO ASK, COUNCILMAN COAN, IF YOU DON'T MIND, MR. PRESIDENT, THE -- SO CURRENTLY THERE'S A TWO-STRIKE RULE, SO A LEGITIMATE COMPLAINT REGISTERED, FILED, DUE PROCESS IS DONE, AND THEN A SECOND ONE. WHEN THAT SECOND ONE HAPPENS, YOU HAVE NOW LOST -- I'M ASSUMING IT'S ALMOST IMMEDIATE, YOU LOSE YOUR LICENSE. MY QUESTION IS, WHY NOT THREE? I COULD SEE A CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE A LEGITIMATE PROVIDER HAS -- MAKES ONE MISTAKE. RIGHT, THEY MAKE ONE STRAIGHT MISTAKE, AND THEN THAT LEAVES THEM NO LATITUDE TO MAKE ANOTHER ONE, RIGHT? THREE, I TOTALLY CONSIDER A PATTERN, RIGHT, OF BEHAVIOR, BUT IF -- THAT'S MY QUESTION. HAVE WE CONSIDERED OR WOULD YOU CONSIDER MOVING THAT TO THREE, GIVEN TWO GIVES YOU ABSOLUTELY NO LATITUDE TO MAKE MISTAKES?

>> I THINK THREE IS UNREASONABLE, BECAUSE THE ENTIRE PROCESS, YOU KNOW, ONCE YOU'RE CITED FOR A VIOLATION, AND, YOU KNOW, OUR -- I THINK PEOPLE MOSTLY COMPLAIN THAT WE DON'T CITE ENOUGH, SO, YOU KNOW, OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS EXERCISE DISCRETION, AND FROM THE MOMENT YOU'RE CITED, TO THE TIME YOUR DUE PROCESS HAS BEEN COMPLETELY ADJUDICATED AND YOU'VE BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF A VIOLATION CAN TAKE MONTHS. AND SO,

YOU KNOW, ALL DURING THAT TIME YOU STILL HAVE YOUR -- THE SYSTEM GIVES YOU THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT AND ALLOWS YOU TO CONTINUE TO OPERATE DURING YOUR APPEALS PERIOD, WHICH I'M SURE MOST PEOPLE THINK IS UNJUST IN THE FIRST PLACE, SO YOU COULD SPEND, YOU KNOW, SEVERAL MONTHS OVER A 12-MONTH PERIOD IN ORDER TO FULLY SEE THROUGH TWO STRIKES, AND I THINK TWO STRIKES IS REALLY JUST WHAT MAKES SENSE HERE.

>> OKAY, AND ONE OTHER --

>> SORRY, I NEGLECTED TO SAY ONE THING. IN TALKING ABOUT THE PENALTIES, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT IS NOT A PENALTY THAT WILL NOT COST ANYONE, IF YOU'RE A HOST AND YOU SIMPLY FAIL TO CHANGE YOUR ADVERTISEMENT TO REFLECT YOUR PERMIT NUMBER, THERE IS NO PENALTY FOR THAT THE FIRST TIME. YOU GET A WARNING AND IT WOULD NOT COUNT AS A VIOLATION. SO THAT'S NOT SORT OF A LOW-HANGING THING THAT ANYBODY WILL BE STUNG BY.

>> RIGHT, THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING. AND THE ONLY OTHER THING THAT I'M GOING TO SAY IS, THE ONE THING THAT I'M NOT A FAN OF IS THE 600-FOOT RULE. WE TALKED ABOUT THIS, I'VE BEEN SORT OF CLEAR ABOUT THIS. TO ME, MY CONCERN IS MICROMANAGING THESE ECONOMIES AND SAYING THIS, THIS, THIS SHOULD BE HERE AND THESE BUSINESSES SHOULD BE HERE AND ALL THIS. WITH THAT SAID, AGAIN, FROM MY POSITION LOOKING AT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, I'M CONCERNED WITH THAT. I DO THINK THERE'S, AGAIN, CERTAIN NEIGHBORHOODS AND CERTAIN AREAS OF THE CITY THAT, YOU KNOW, SHORT-TERM RENTALS IS

A WAY THAT SOMEBODY IN THE MIDDLE CLASS CAN RAISE EVEN HIGHER THAN THE MIDDLE CLASS. SOMEBODY IN THE LOWER MIDDLE CLASS CAN GET INTO THE MIDDLE CLASS. BUILD WEALTH, BUY PROPERTY, RENT IT, HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THESE TYPES OF THINGS, AND WHAT BETTER WAY TO DO THAT THAN I LIVE HERE, DO THAT TO MY LEFT AND MY RIGHT, RIGHT? SO I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED WITH THAT. I'M INTERESTED TO HEAR WHAT MY COLLEAGUES ARE SAYING ABOUT THIS PORTION OF IT, BECAUSE, AGAIN, THE ONE AREA WHERE I DO HAVE SOME CONCERN, ALTHOUGH I APPRECIATE THE WAY YOU WORDED IT AND THE WAY IT'S DESIGNED SO THAT THERE IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO WAIVE AT THE SAME TIME. THAT'S THE ONLY OTHER AREA THAT CONCERNS ME AT THIS POINT. SO A LITTLE BIT ON THE FENCE, BUT I APPRECIATE YOUR WORK ON THIS, COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THE 600-FOOT RULE IS BASED ON DATA. DEVELOP LOUISVILLE HEAT MAPPED ALL THE LAWFULLY REGISTERED SHORT-TERM RENTALS NOW AND COMPARED THAT TO THE AVERAGE SIZE OF CITY BLOCKS IN LOUISVILLE AND THAT'S WHERE THE 600 FOOT CAME FROM. I WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR, EVEN THOUGH WE'RE DRAWING LINES HERE, THAT'S NOT JUST AN ARBITRARY NUMBER. LAS VEGAS, BY COMPARISON, IS 660 FEET. OTHER CITIES CHOOSE TO LIMIT THIS ACTIVITY BY THE NUMBER OF ROOM NIGHTS INSTEAD THAT SOMEONE MIGHT HAVE. SO IF YOU OWN MULTIPLE PROPERTIES BUT YOU HAVE YOUR NUMBER OF ROOM NIGHTS SHORTENED, THE MATH SORT OF WORKS OUT IN MULTIPLE WAYS. I HAD ONE OTHER

COMMENT, BUT I FORGOT WHAT IT WAS. I APPRECIATE IT. IF I REMEMBER, I'LL LET YOU KNOW.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN, FOR ALL YOUR WORK ON THIS. SEVERAL THINGS IN HERE THAT ADDRESS SOME KEY PROBLEMS, AND SIMILAR TO COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI, I RECOGNIZE THAT MY DISTRICT IS VERY, VERY DIFFERENT FROM YOURS AND COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER'S AND OTHERS WHERE THIS IS NOT A PROBLEM, SO I DO DEFER A LOT TO YOUR JUDGMENT IN THESE AREAS. THAT SAID, I DO HAVE SOME CONCERNS THAT I WANT TO TALK THROUGH. AGAIN, APPRECIATE TRYING TO BALANCE PROVIDING AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE TO HARVEST A NEW ECONOMY AND BALANCING AGAINST THE PROPERTY RIGHTS OF EXISTING HOME OWNERS THAT SAY, GOSH, WHEN I BOUGHT MY HOME, I DIDN'T REALIZE I WAS GOING TO BE SURROUNDED BY ESSENTIALLY MINI HOTELS, SO I APPRECIATE THAT. FIRST QUESTION I HAVE, I BELIEVE THE WAY THE ORDINANCE IS WRITTEN TODAY, IF YOU ARE IN A EZ ZONING DISTRICT AND HAVE TO HAVE A C.U.P., YOU WILL NOT BE EXEMPTED FOR THE 600 FOOT RADIUS, EVEN IF YOU'RE OWNER OCCUPIED. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S THE INTENTION OF THE ORDINANCE, BUT THAT IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME, BECAUSE OWNER OCCUPIED IN THE ZONE WOULD ESSENTIALLY NOT BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A SHORT-TERM RENTAL.

>> I THINK THAT THEY ARE THE ONLY TWO ZONED RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS IN LOUISVILLE AND HE MIGHT BE ABLE TO TELL WHY,

BUT THE WAY I READ IT, SHORT-TERM RENTAL OF A DWELLING UNIT THAT IS NOT THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF THE HOST OR THE -- LET'S SEE, SORRY, AND SHORT-TERM RENTAL OF ANY DWELLING UNIT IN TNZD. I SEE WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. OKAY. AND SHORT TERM RENTAL UNIT OF ANY -- I GOT YOU, OKAY. SO YOU'RE SAYING IN OLD LOUISVILLE AND LIMERICK THEY HAVE TO BE 600 FEET APART.

>> EVEN OWNER OCCUPIED PROPERTIES GOING FORWARD CANNOT REGISTER IN THOSE. THAT SEEMS LIKE A LIMITATION ON THOSE PROPERTY OWNERS' RIGHTS.

>> SO MY ONLY RESPONSE TO THAT IS, AGAIN, THE WAY THE LAW IS NOW AND THE WAY IT HAS BEEN, OLD LOUISVILLE AND LIMERICK DECIDED THAT THEY WANTED STRICTER RULES FOR SOME REASON. THOSE ARE THE ONLY TWO PLACES IN THE CITY WHERE IF IT'S YOUR PRIMARY RESIDENCE, IT'S REQUIRED TO HAVE A CUP, SO THE LOGIC IS THE SAME REASON THEY HAD A STRICTER RULE IN THE FIRST PLACE. THAT BEING SAID, I DON'T LIVE IN A TNZD DISTRICT, AND I DON'T LIVE -- AND I HAVE A LESS STRONG OPINION IF, SAY, PRESIDENT JAMES THOUGHT THERE SHOULD BE A POLICY CHANGE THERE, BUT I THINK --

>> MY POINT BEING, IT IMPOSES A FURTHER LIMITATION ON THAT, AND I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS INTENTIONAL OR NOT, OR WHETHER PERHAPS THERE ARE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF THAT. MAYBE THEY ARE INTENDED CONSEQUENCES.

>> YEP, INTENDED.

>> OKAY. I ALSO WANTED TO -- YOU KNOW, I KNOW WE CERTAINLY GOT THE LETTER OF SUPPORT FROM EXPEDIA TODAY. ARE WE FURTHER ALONG IN EXPEDIA COLLECTING THE OCCUPANCY TAX?

>> TO MY KNOWLEDGE, TO. THEY'VE INDICATED A 100% WILLINGNESS TO ENTER INTO AN MOU SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE WITH THE TRANSIENT TAX, BED TAX. THEY SAID THEY ARE TOTALLY WILLING TO DO THAT, AND I HAVE NO DOUBT THAT DEVELOP LOUISVILLE AND THEM CAN STRIKE A DEAL WHENEVER THEY ARE READY TO DO IT.

>> SO WE HAVE -- I KNOW IT'S DIFFICULT TO DETERMINE THE EXACT NUMBER, BUT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 1,000, 2,000 LISTINGS FOR THE CITY ON THESE PLATFORMS, GIVE OR TAKE.

>> I THINK THERE'S ABOUT 2,000 ON AIRBNB ALONE AND ABOUT 500 ARE LAWFULLY REGISTERED.

>> RIGHT, BUT POTENTIALLY SOME ARE DUPLICATES. IT'S DIFFICULT TO GET AN EXACT COUNT, RIGHT? SO 500 ARE LAWFULLY REGISTERED, PRESUMABLY SOME OF THOSE ARE NON-OWNER OCCUPIED, RIGHT?

>> YES, YES.

>> THERE'S SOME DELTA BETWEEN PEOPLE THAT ARE LEGALLY REGISTERED, HAVE A CUP, AND THAT AREN'T. IF WE PASS THIS ORDINANCE, THAT DELTA WILL GO DOWN AND WE WILL PULL STOCK OUT OF THE AVAILABLE INVENTORY, CORRECT?

>> I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.

>> YOU HAVE 500 LEGALLY REGISTERED.

>> I THINK THERE'S 129 THAT HAVE CUPS. BUT OF THAT OTHER NUMBER, THERE'S PRESUMABLY SOME THAT WOULD REQUIRE A C.U.P., AND PRESUMABLY MANY FALL WITHIN THE 600-FOOT RULE.

>> THEY ARE ILLEGALLY OPERATING NOW. THEY MIGHT HAVE THE ABILITY, YOU'RE RIGHT, DEPENDING WHERE THEY LIE GEOGRAPHICALLY.

>> WE'LL PULL SIGNIFICANT INVENTORY OUT OF THE MARKET IF WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS ORDINANCE.

>> I CAN'T SPEAK TO THE NUMBER. AGAIN, THESE ARE ILLEGAL OPERATORS IN THE FIRST PLACE, SO I'M LESS CONCERNED ABOUT THEM. NUMBER TWO, AND THIS IS WHAT I SORT OF HAD A BLANK ON IN RESPONDING TO COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI ABOUT THE NEW ECONOMY AND THE MARKET FOR THIS. I'M ALL IN FAVOR OF THIS. I HAVE RENT MY AIRBNB FOR DERBY, I STAY IN AIRBNBS WHEN I TRAVEL PLACES. I'VE BEEN IN SUPPORT OF ALL NEW ECONOMY THINGS AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO ANTICIPATE HERE. THERE IS VIRTUALLY A LIMITLESS AMOUNT OF AIRBNB STOCK IN THIS COMMUNITY. LITERALLY, EVERY HOME OWNER IN JEFFERSON COUNTY, WITH PERHAPS THE EXCEPTION OF THE TNZ DISTRICT, DEPENDING HOW THEIR HEIGHTENED RULE PLAYS OUT, HAS AN OF-RIGHT ABILITY TO BE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL. AS OF RIGHT, IF IT'S YOUR PRIMARY RESIDENCE. SHORT-TERM RENTALS ALSO ALLOWED AS OF RIGHT IN COMMERCIAL ZONING DISTRICTS, OFFICE ZONING DISTRICTS. I SAID TODAY, AGAIN, WE'RE ADDING THE EZ-1 DISTRICT, AND ALL VARIETY OF SORT OF PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AND OTHER KIND OF NONTRADITIONAL DISTRICTS. SO, I MEAN, THERE IS NOTHING THAT

COULD STOP THE MARKET FROM HAVING TENS OF THOUSANDS MORE OF THESE, IF THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE WANT. THE LIMITATION, YOU'RE CORRECT, THE LIMITATION HAS TO DO WITH THE DENSITY OF INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTIES, OF NEW INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTIES IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS ONLY.

>> LAST QUESTION I HAVE, SPECIFIC TO THE 600-FOOT RULE, KIND OF ECHO COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI'S QUESTION. SO IF YOU LOOK PARTICULARLY IN SOME OF THE HIGHER DENSITY AREAS, 600 FEET ISN'T ONE CITY BLOCK, RIGHT, BECAUSE IT'S THE 600-FOOT RADIUS. IF IT'S A CORNER PROPERTY, YOU COULD ESSENTIALLY SAY IT'S THE ONLY C.U.P. FOR FOUR BLOCKS, EAST/WEST, NORTH/SOUTH.

>> I MEAN -- IT DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. IF YOU LIVE ON THE -  
- I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOUR QUESTION IS, BUT IF YOU LIVE ON THE CORNER, THOSE PEOPLE ON THE CORNER ARE YOUR NEIGHBORS.

>> BUT YOU'RE ESSENTIALLY -- I MEAN, THE ZONE YOU'RE CREATING IS ESSENTIALLY TWO FOOTBALL FIELDS IN ALL DIRECTIONS, RIGHT?

>> YES.

>> THANKS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'LL JUST BE VERY BRIEF. TO ANSWER COUNCILMAN WINKLER'S QUESTION, I HAVE PUT EXPEDIA IN TOUCH WITH THE REVENUE COMMISSION, WHICH ACTUALLY WOULD BE WHO WOULD ENTER INTO THE AGREEMENT, AND EXPEDIA WAS NOT ABLE TO,

ACCORDING TO THEM, BECAUSE OF THE WAY THEIR PLATFORM WORKED TO ENTER INTO THIS KIND OF AGREEMENT UNTIL FAIRLY RECENTLY, THEY SAID THEY HAD. I'M NOT SAYING THE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN ENTERED INTO YET, BUT I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY UNWILLINGNESS ON EITHER SIDE TO DO THAT, SO I ANTICIPATE THAT WILL HAPPEN SOON. AND IT SHOULD, OF COURSE. I ONLY WANT TO TALK TO THE -- ABOUT THE 600-FOOT RULE. I WILL SAY AT THE OUTSET, AND COUNCILMAN COAN HAS DONE ALL THE WORK ON THIS, I THINK THE -- I THINK THERE'S SOME REALLY GREAT PROVISIONS WHICH WILL HELP US GET MORE PEOPLE TO BE REGISTERED IN THE ORDINANCE ALSO, BUT ON THE 600-FOOT RULE, I JUST WANT TO REMIND PEOPLE THAT WHEN I STARTED IN 2015, VERY QUICKLY, WE WERE CONFRONTED WITH THE FACT THAT THE CITY WAS SENDING OUT VIOLATION NOTICES TO EVERYBODY WHO WAS ENGAGED IN THIS BUSINESS AT ALL IN A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, BECAUSE IT WAS ILLEGAL. AND THEY WERE SAYING YOUR ZONING DOES NOT ALLOW YOU TO DO THIS, SO STOP. SO THAT'S WHY WE HAD TO COME UP WITH SOMETHING, AND WE LEGALIZED SOMETHING THAT WAS ILLEGAL COMPLETELY AT THAT POINT. I THINK WE MADE A MISTAKE, NOT THE FIRST ONE I'VE EVER MADE AND WON'T BE THE LAST ONE, BUT WE WERE TOLD BY PEOPLE, INCLUDING NASHVILLE, THAT THEY HAD PUT SOME LIMITS ON HOW MANY THERE COULD BE IN PARTICULAR AREAS. THEY USED CENSUS TRACK. WE CHOSE NOT TO DO THAT, AND ESSENTIALLY ALLOWED THESE TO BE UNLIMITED, AND SO WE NOW HAVE STREETS THAT ARE PREDOMINANTLY ON BLOCKS SHORT-TERM RENTALS. I'VE BEEN TO THE

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION AND SEEN PEOPLE SAY THIS IS ANOTHER ONE. I'VE GOT SIX ON MY STREET. HOW MANY DO I HAVE TO HAVE, AND THE BOARD OF ZONING WILL SAY, THE ORDINANCE DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. THEY CAN ALL BE SHORT-TERM RENTALS. SO WE WERE -- YOU KNOW, I THINK WE MADE A MISTAKE, AND BOZA HAS SAID TO ME, THE LAST TIME I WAS AT BOZA SPEAKING ABOUT THIS, PLEASE, HELP US. BECAUSE, YOU SEE, WE STRUGGLE WITH THESE KIND OF THINGS. QUITE FRANKLY, THIS IS A COMPROMISE THAT I CAN SUPPORT. MY PERSONAL PREFERENCE WOULD BE WE NOT HAVE ANY MORE NONOWNER OCCUPIED RENTALS AND THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. WHEN WE TALK ABOUT NASHVILLE, NASHVILLE WENT FROM ALLOWING A CERTAIN NUMBER PER CENSUS TRACT TO SAYING NO MORE. THEY TRIED TO TAKE AWAY THE ONES THAT WERE THERE, AND THEY FAILED IN THAT EFFORT, BUT THERE WON'T BE MORE. AND THE REASON, YOU KNOW, WE FOCUS A LOT ON THE ISSUE OF DESTABILIZING NEIGHBORHOODS, AND I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT, BUT IN MANY COMMUNITIES, NASHVILLE, AUSTIN, LOTS OF OTHERS, HAVE REALLY FOCUSED ON THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ISSUE, THAT WE ARE TAKING A PIECE OF HOUSING THAT'S AVAILABLE NOW TO RESIDENTS WHO LIVE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND SAYING, NO, IT'S NOT GOING TO BE AVAILABLE TO THEM ANYMORE. IT'S GOING TO BE AVAILABLE ONLY TO VISITORS. AND AS YOU MULTIPLY THAT ACROSS THE COMMUNITY, THAT, OBVIOUSLY, GETS TO BE AN ISSUE. SO EXCEPT IN THE TNDZ, THIS DOES NOT APPLY TO THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE, THE 600-FOOT RULE, AND AS COUNCILWOMAN

PARKER SAID, AND THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT, WE WOULD NOT BE TAKING AWAY ANY C.U.P. THAT HAS BEEN GRANTED. IF YOU HAVE A C.U.P. AND ARE OPERATING LAWFULLY, YOU CAN CONTINUE. THERE MAY BE ONE RIGHT NEXT TO YOU, AND THAT'S FINE. JUST ONE COMMENT ABOUT THE DESTABILIZING. I TALKED TO SOMEONE THE OTHER DAY WHO TOLD ME THAT THERE HAD BEEN, AND HIS STREET WAS PREDOMINANTLY SHORT-TERM RENTALS, NONOWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS AT THIS POINT AND TOLD ME THERE HAD BEEN A BREAK-IN ON THIS STREET AND TURNED OUT SOMEBODY HAD SEEN THE PERSON WHO DID THE BREAK-IN. DIDN'T SEE THEM BREAKING IN, BUT COULD IDENTIFY THEM, AND NOBODY THOUGHT TO CALL THE POLICE, BECAUSE THEY THOUGHT IT WAS ANOTHER ONE OF THE RANDOM PEOPLE ON THE STREET ALL THE TIME, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THEY SEE ALL THE TIME ON THEIR STREET, PEOPLE WHO DON'T LIVE THERE AND WHO THEY DON'T KNOW. I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE VERY CAREFUL ABOUT DOING TO RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND TO PEOPLE WHO HAVE BOUGHT HOMES EXPECTING THEM TO BE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. AND SO, AGAIN, I SUPPORT THIS AS A COMPROMISE. I WOULD HAVE GONE FURTHER. I THINK IT'S NOT NEARLY AS FAR AS MANY COMMUNITIES HAVE GONE, AND I WOULD URGE YOUR APPROVAL. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.  
COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY. OH, OKAY. COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. COUPLE QUICK ONES. SO JUST SO I'M CLEAR. SO THE C.U.P., AND I THINK COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER MAY

HAVE JUST TOUCHED ON THAT. COUNCILMAN COAN WAITED A YEAR BEFORE PUTTING THIS IN PLACE, SO ANYONE WHO IS NONCOMPLIANT RIGHT NOW HAD A YEAR TO BE IN COMPLIANCE, SO WHEN WE SAY, WELL, SOMEBODY IS NOT REALLY OPERATING ILLEGALLY, THEY JUST HAVEN'T GOT THEMSELVES IN COMPLIANCE, BUT THEY'VE HAD A YEAR TO GET IN COMPLIANCE, RIGHT, IS THAT CORRECT? TWO YEARS, TWO AND A HALF YEARS. LOTS OF TIME TO BE IN COMPLIANCE. SO IF THEY'VE CHOSEN NOT TO BE IN COMPLIANCE, NOW THIS CHANGE AFFECTS THEM, IT'S BECAUSE THEY'VE CHOSEN NOT TO BE IN COMPLIANCE AND NOW THIS CHANGE AFFECTS THEM. OKAY. SECOND, THE NASHVILLE THING, I THINK MAYBE THAT'S WHAT COUNCILMAN -- THOUGHT YOU WERE ON THERE, GOING TO ADDRESS THAT. OKAY, YEAH, BECAUSE I'M CONFUSED. ONE COUNCILMAN SAYS NASHVILLE'S WAY AHEAD OF US BECAUSE THEY ESSENTIALLY BUY INTO THIS NEW ECONOMY AND THEY LET THEM GO FORWARD ON THIS, AND THEN I HEARD ANOTHER COUNCILMAN SAY, WELL, THAT'S NOT EXACTLY TRUE, THAT THEY HAVE MAYBE EVEN MORE LIMITATIONS THAN WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING HERE. I'M CONFUSED ABOUT WHERE NASHVILLE IS, WHERE OUR COMPETITOR CITY IS.

>> POINT OF ORDER, CAN I RESPOND TO THE COUNCILMAN'S QUESTION?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ONE SECOND. COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL, WAS THAT IN THE FORM OF A QUESTION?

>> YES, WHOEVER CAN ANSWER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I'LL LET COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI AND THEN COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANKS, I WANT CLARITY.

>> TO CLARIFY, MY STATEMENT WASN'T TO INDICATE THE TREATMENT OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS DICTATES WHAT YOUR GROWTH TRAJECTORY IS AS A CITY. I'M SIMPLY SAYING THAT THEIR GROWTH RATE TRAJECTORY, BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, GDP DATA, RIGHT, THEY ARE ON AN INCREASING -- THE SLOPE OF THE CURVE IS INCREASING RIGHT NOW. THEIR PERCENTAGE INCREASE OF GDP IS INCREASING EVERY YEAR RIGHT NOW. OURS OVER THE LAST THREE YEARS AT LEAST HAS BEEN SLOWING DOWN, BELOW 3% AT THIS POINT, AND SO MY POINT IS SIMPLY THEY'VE PROBABLY REACHED SOME LEVEL OF SATURATION ON THIS. THIS IS JUST ONE ASPECT. MY POINT IS SIMPLY WE AS A CITY ARE NOT -- MY POINT WAS NOT TO LINK THE TWO THINGS AS OUR TREATMENT OF THIS IS PERFECTLY CORRELATED TO GROWTH. MY POINT WAS SIMPLY WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL ABOUT MICROMANAGING GROWTH AND WHAT IMPACT THAT COULD HAVE GIVEN OUR TRAJECTORY.

>> GOT YOU, WHICH WAS THE MAYOR'S POINT EARLIER ABOUT NOT INVESTING IN A CITY WHILE THEY CONTINUE TO INVEST IN THEIR CITY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. DID YOU WANT TO RESPOND?

>> NO, NO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> ONE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION, I KNOW THE 600-FOOT RULE WAS NOT INITIALLY IN THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION. CAN YOU TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHY IT WASN'T IN AND WHY IT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED? EITHER YOU OR COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER. JUST GIVE SOME BACKGROUND ON THAT, PLEASE.

>> SURE, AND I WAS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHERE THIS WAS DISCUSSED. THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ACTUALLY PROPOSED NO NEW NONOWNER OCCUPIED -- NONOWNER OCCUPIED, NO NEW IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS. THAT WAS THE INITIAL STAFF RECOMMENDATION. THE PLANNING COMMISSION DIDN'T LIKE THAT RECOMMENDATION, SO THEY THEN REPRESENTED WITH TWO OPTIONS, AND ONE WAS SORT OF LEAVE THINGS THE WAY THEY ARE, AND ONE WAS TO HAVE NO NEW, YOU KNOW, STOP THE PROCESS AND NOT HAVE ANYMORE. THEY WERE NOT PRESENTED WITH ANYTHING IN THE MIDDLE. AND IT WAS AN INTERESTING MEETING. THE CHAIR OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION MADE THE COMMENT, HE WAS TALKING, I THINK IT WAS MORTON THAT HE MENTIONED, AND SAID, YOU KNOW, THEY TELL ME THERE ARE SEVEN OF THESE ON MORTON RIGHT IN CLOSE PROXIMITY. WHO WOULD WANT TO LIVE ON MORTON? AND THEN -- BUT THEN PASSED SOMETHING WHICH DIDN'T GIVE BOZA ANY OPPORTUNITY TO ALL TO TURN DOWN ANY OPPORTUNITY AT ALL TO TURN DOWN THE REST OF MORTON IF THAT'S WHERE PEOPLE WANTED TO HAVE SHORT-TERM RENTALS. SO I THINK, AND I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR THEM, BUT THEY WERE LOOKING AT AN ALL OR NOTHING, EITHER LEAVE IT THE WAY IT IS, OR SAY THERE WON'T BE ANYMORE OF THESE, AND THEY CHOSE

LEAVE IT THE WAY IT IS. THERE WAS NEVER ANY DISCUSSION, AND I WAS DISAPPOINTED IN THIS, THERE WAS NEVER DISCUSSION LIKE THE COMPROMISE WE WERE LOOKING AT NOW.

>> THEIR RECOMMENDATION WAS BASED ON NO NEW, BASED ON THE EXISTING C.U.P.S WE AUTHORIZED OR NO NEW BASED ON ALL OF THE PROPERTIES THAT EXIST TODAY. THAT'S A BIG DIFFERENCE, BECAUSE WITH MY EARLIER QUESTION, IF WE ELIMINATE ALL OF THE ILLEGAL OPERATORS, ARE WE ESSENTIALLY THEN SWINGING THE PENDULUM TO A LEVEL SO FAR OVER HERE -- SEE WHAT I'M SAYING?

>> I DO, BUT --

>> IF THEIR RECOMMENDATION ON NO NEW IS BASED ON THE MARKET SATURATION, NOT ON ACTUAL ONES WE'VE APPROVED, THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE.

>> I'LL ANSWER THAT. I DON'T THINK THEY WERE THINKING ABOUT THE MARKET SIZE. I THINK THEY WERE THINKING ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE -- THE POLICY QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THERE SHOULD BE ANY, PERIOD. I DON'T THINK THEY WERE SAYING HOW MANY ADDITIONAL ONES SHOULD THERE BE IN TERMS OF UNDERSTANDING THE MARKET. THEIR JOB IS TO, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THEIR JOB IS TO FIGURE OUT HOW DO WE RESPOND TO THESE REQUESTS FOR THESE APPLICATIONS, AND THEY WERE TRYING TO DETERMINE A RULE THAT MADE SENSE FOR WHEN THEY SHOULD BE ALLOWED OR NOT, WITHOUT ANY -- I FEEL COMFORTABLE SAYING THEY WEREN'T THINKING ABOUT THE MARKET AT ALL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER WILL ALSO RESPOND.

>> I'LL ALSO SAY, YOU KNOW, WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS, OBVIOUSLY, IN DECEMBER, IN THIS CHAMBER, AND I TALKED TO A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WERE OPERATORS AFTER THAT, AND OTHER PEOPLE. AND THERE MAY BE SOMEBODY WHO SAYS THERE'S AN EXCEPTION, BUT I DON'T RECALL IT. WHAT I WOULD SAY TO PEOPLE, INCLUDING FOLKS WHO MANAGE A LOT OF THESE, DO YOU THINK THAT THERE'S -- THAT BOZA SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO GRANT THESE IN UNLIMITED NUMBERS ON A BLOCK? SHOULD WE HAVE ENTIRE BLOCKS, ENTIRE STREETS, OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS? AND WITHOUT EXCEPTION, PEOPLE SAID, NO, YOU OUGHT TO DO SOMETHING TO FIX THAT. WELL, I THINK WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS TO FIX THAT, AND WHAT WAS DISAPPOINTING TO ME WAS THE PLANNING COMMISSION REALLY KIND OF RECOGNIZED THROUGH THAT COMMENT, YOU KNOW, WHO WOULD WANT TO LIVE ON MORTON, BUT REALLY DIDN'T DO ANYTHING TO FIX THAT. SO WHAT CAME OUT GAVE BOZA NO ABILITY TO SAY, NO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO GRANT ANYMORE, BECAUSE THERE ARE TOO MANY HERE.

>> BUT CITYWIDE WE HAVE HOW MANY C.U.P.S FOR NONOWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS?

>> YOU MEAN LEGALLY OPERATING ONES?

>> CORRECT.

>> I THINK THE NUMBER IS 129, AS OF AT LEAST A COUPLE DAYS AGO.

>> AND BASED ON SOME OF THE MAPS THAT I'VE SEEN, WHERE THOSE ARE LOCATED LARGELY BLANKET THESE AREAS, RIGHT? OLD LOUISVILLE, HIGHLANDS.

>> I BELIEVE, YEAH, MOST OF THEM ARE WITHIN THE WATERSON NEIGHBORHOODS.

>> SO WHAT ESSENTIALLY WILL HAPPEN IF WE PASS THIS ORDINANCE, WE WILL REDUCE THE AVAILABLE DOWN TO 129 PLUS WHATEVER OWNER OCCUPIED GETS ADDED TO THE MARKET.

>> AND WHATEVER ELSE CAN CAN FIT --

>> WITHIN THE 600 FEET.

>> CORRECT. RIGHT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> YEAH, I'M SPEAKING TO MAKE A MOTION ON BEHALF OF PRESIDENT JAMES. HE ASKED ME TO -- HE THINKS IT MAKES SENSE TO CHANGE THE TNZD POLICY AND TO BRING THOSE ZONING -- THAT ZONING DISTRICT IN LINE WITH THE OTHER RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS, SO I WANT TO OFFER AN AMENDMENT ON PRESIDENT JAMES' BEHALF. AGAIN, WHAT THIS WOULD DO IS ALLOW OLD LOUISVILLE AND LIMERICK PRIMARY RESIDENCES WHO HAVE SHORT-TERM RENTALS WITHOUT GOING THROUGH THE C.U.P. PROCESS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: NO, THAT'S NOT CORRECT.

>> I'M SORRY.

>> IT WAS TO TAKE THE 600 FEET OUT.

>> OKAY, OKAY, I MISSPOKE. CAN YOU COME BACK TO ME?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR, MR. PRESIDENT. THERE'S AN EMAIL THAT CAME THROUGH AT 5:35, AND HE PRESENTS SOME GOOD QUESTIONS I THOUGHT MAYBE WE SHOULD ADDRESS THEM. FIRST OFF, HE SAYS HE APPLAUDS THIS AND THINKS THERE ARE SOME REALLY GOOD THINGS IN THIS ORDINANCE THAT THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT HE HAS CONCERNS ABOUT. ONE IS THAT IN 115, 517-D, IT STATES THAT A CHANGE IN THE HOST WOULD INVALIDATE ANY EXISTING REGISTRATION, AND HE SAYS, SO HE'S CONCERNED THAT IF HE HIRES A MANAGER WHO MANAGES PROPERTY AND THEN FOR WHATEVER REASON HAS TO GET A NEW MANAGER, WHETHER THAT PROCESS STARTS ALL OVER AGAIN, OR IF, YOU KNOW, JUST HIM BEING THE OWNER WOULD -- IT WOULD STAY THE SAME AND HE WOULDN'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE PROCESS. DO YOU KNOW?

>> I'M SORRY, COULD YOU -- I WAS TRYING TO --

>> THAT'S OKAY.

>> FINISH MY THOUGHT ON PRESIDENT JAMES' QUESTION. COULD YOU POINT ME TO THE SECTION ONE MORE TIME?

>> 115-517-D, IT STATES THAT THE CHANGE IN THE HOST WILL INVALIDATE ANY EXISTING REGISTRATION, SO HE'S WANTING TO KNOW IF HE HAD TO GET RID OF OR THE MANAGER LEFT HIS EMPLOYMENT, WOULD HE HAVE TO START THAT REGISTRATION ALL OVER AGAIN, AND/OR WOULD HE BE GRANDFATHERED IN IF THE REGISTRATION DID HAVE TO START ALL

OVER AGAIN IN REGARD TO THE 600 FEET. DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING?

>> LET ME HAVE ONE MOMENT.

>> OR DO I LOSE THE RIGHT TO DO A SHORT-TERM RENTAL, HE'S SAYING.

>> SO THE 600-FOOT RULE HAS EVERYTHING TO DO WITH CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS AND NOTHING TO DO WITH THE REGISTRATION.

>> OKAY, SO HE'S SAYING THAT HE'S ALREADY GOT ALL OF THAT IN PLACE.

>> YES.

>> HE HAS A MANAGER MANAGING HIS PROPERTIES.

>> OKAY.

>> IF HE GETS RID OF THAT MANAGER OR FOR WHATEVER REASON THAT CHANGES, DOES HE HAVE TO START THE REGISTRATION ALL OVER AGAIN, THE PROCESS?

>> SO LET ME JUST BE CLEAR, REGISTRATION MEANS FILLING OUT A PIECE OF PAPER AND WRITING A CHECK. THERE'S NO LAND USE PROCESS. IT'S NOT A HEARING. TO REGISTER YOUR PROPERTY, YOU GO DOWN TO PLANNING AND DESIGN SERVICES, FILL OUT YOUR INFORMATION AND WRITE THEM A CHECK. VERY SIMPLE, EASY PROCESS. IT HAS TO DO WITH --

>> RIGHT. BUT WHAT HE'S ASKING IS IT STATES THAT A CHANGE IN HOST. IS HE THE HOST? OR IS THE PROPERTY MANAGER THE HOST?

>> YOU CAN APPLY -- YOU CAN APPLY FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT -- SORRY, YOU CAN APPLY FOR A REGISTRATION WITH EITHER AS THE HOST.

>> OKAY. THE SECOND ISSUE THAT HE BRINGS UP, HE THINKS THAT THE 600 FEET IS EXCESSIVE. DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECTS AREAS THAT ARE SMALLER AND CLOSER TOGETHER, AS STATED IN PREVIOUS MEETINGS, THIS SHOULD BE EQUAL ACROSS THE SPECTRUM. THE ONLY WAY I SEE THIS BEING DONE IS THROUGH A CENSUS TRACK BASED ON POPULATION DENSITY.

>> SO, YOU KNOW, WORKING WITH DEVELOP LOUISVILLE, WE SPENT MONTHS ON THIS, ALMOST A YEAR AND A HALF. WE LOOKED AT EVERYTHING UNDER THE SUN. WE LOOKED AT PROXIMITY LIMITATIONS, WE LOOKED AT NIGHT LIMITATIONS, WE LOOKED AT CENSUS TRACKS. DEVELOP LOUISVILLE HAD A STRONG PREFERENCE THIS IS A COMMITTEE ENFORCEMENT ARM OF OUR GOVERNMENT, THAT PROXIMITY LIMITATION WAS MADE -- CLEARLY MADE THE MOST SENSE FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THE RULE, AND -- AND I ALSO THOUGHT, AND I THINK COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER ALSO AGREES, HE CAN SPEAK FOR HIMSELF, ONLY THE PROXIMITY LIMITATION ADDRESSES THE DENSITY ISSUE, BECAUSE IF YOU LIMIT THE NUMBER OF NIGHTS, YOU MIGHT LIMIT SOME OF THE ACTIVITY THAT'S GOING ON IN AN AREA, BUT THEORETICALLY EVERY PROPERTY COULD STILL -- COULD STILL BE AN INVESTOR PROPERTY. AND WITH RESPECT TO THE 600-FOOT NUMBER, I HAD SOMEONE SAY WHAT IF WE MADE IT 500 FEET? I DON'T BELIEVE IN ARBITRARY NUMBERS, SO THE

NUMBER WAS ARRIVED AT FROM AN ANALYSIS THAT OUR GOVERNMENT DID.  
THAT'S WHY THE NUMBER IS --

>> OKAY, OKAY. SO THEN THERE'S TWO OTHER QUESTIONS THAT HE  
POSES. FOR THOSE OF US AWAITING A BOZA HEARING, HIS COMES UP IN  
AUGUST, AUGUST 5TH IT SAYS, AND HAVING GONE THROUGH ALL OF THE  
OTHER STEPS, WHAT HAPPENS TO US? SO SHOULD WE BE ALLOWED TO MOVE  
THROUGH THE PROCESS UNDER THE OLD RULES OR WILL THE NEW RULES  
APPLY IF HE'S ALREADY STARTED THE PROCESS?

>> THIS IS SOMEBODY WHO'S SEEKING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT  
FOR AN INVESTOR PROPERTY?

>> AWAITING A HEARING, HE SAYS.

>> THE NEW RULE WILL APPLY AND HE'D HAVE TO ASK FOR A  
WAIVER.

>> OKAY.

>> IF THE 600-FOOT RULE WOULD OTHERWISE PROHIBIT.

>> OKAY. AND FINALLY, HE SAYS, MANY OF US HAVE INVESTED  
THOUSANDS IMPROVING PROPERTIES THAT WILL ONLY CASH FLOW WITH A  
SHORT-TERM RENTAL. I'M PERSONALLY IMPROVING A HOUSE IN THE LOWER  
HIGHLANDS, \$170,000 PURCHASE WHERE A SLUM LORD LET PEOPLE LIVE  
IN A MOLDED ROTTING HOUSE WITH A TREE GROWING OUT OF THE BRICK  
FOUNDATION. IS THAT AFFORDABLE HOUSING EVERYONE IS TALKING ABOUT  
LOSING, OR AM I SPENDING \$12,000 TO REMOVE THE TREE AND FIX THE  
FOUNDATION AND TEARING OUT THE ROTTEN WALLS, COSTING ANOTHER  
\$48,000, SO ARE YOU TELLING ME THAT I SHOULD HAVE JUST LET THIS

SET AND NOT BOUGHT IT, IF I'M TRYING TO DO A SHORT-TERM RENTAL?  
SO IS IT BETTER AS A SLUM LORD HOUSE OR AS A SHORT-TERM RENTAL?

>> I'LL JUST SAY A COUPLE THINGS. ONE, CERTAINLY,  
APPRECIATE PEOPLE WHO INVEST TIME AND MONEY IN A PROPERTY TO  
IMPROVE IT, AND NEIGHBORHOODS CHANGE AND THEY TURNOVER, AND  
THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE WHO HAVE MADE INVESTMENTS IN THESE  
INVESTOR-OWNED SHORT-TERM RENTALS. THEIR INVESTMENT PALES TO  
MOST PEOPLE WHO ARE HOME OWNERS AND OPERATING BUSINESSES AND  
LIVING IN OUR COMMUNITY AND CONTRIBUTING TO THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS  
MAKE. THE INVESTMENT IS NOT EVEN COMPARABLE.

>> OKAY. I THOUGHT HE POSED SOME GOOD QUESTIONS.

>> YEAH, THESE ARE GOOD QUESTIONS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAVE A COUPLE OF DATA  
POINTS I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME VERIFICATION ON. SO I RECEIVED AN  
EMAIL FROM DEVELOP LOUISVILLE ON APRIL 18TH. I WAS ASKING  
PRECISELY HOW MANY CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS ARE IN PLACE. I WAS  
TOLD THE NUMBER IS 129. I'VE HEARD THAT MENTIONED HERE IN THE  
CHAMBER A COUPLE TIMES TONIGHT. SO MY FIRST QUESTION IS THIS. I  
WAS ALSO TOLD THAT THERE ARE 74 PREAPPLICATIONS FILED, AND THAT  
THERE ARE 37 FORMAL APPLICATIONS FILED. SO IF WE COULD ASK  
COUNCILMAN COAN AND/OR COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER WHO ONCE AGAIN IN  
THE MIDST OF THIS VERY IMPORTANT DISCUSSION EXPLAIN WHAT

DIFFERENCES, IF ANY, THERE ARE, WITH CURRENTLY PRE-APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN FILED, VERSUS FORMAL APPLICATIONS. ONE MORE TIME WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR THE RECORD.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN?

>> SURE. WE TALKED A LITTLE ABOUT THIS PROCESS WHEN THERE WAS A QUESTION OF THE MORATORIUM TO STALL ANY FURTHER POLICY, AND I WILL REITERATE THE PROCESS FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT IS YOU FILE A PRE-APPLICATION WITH PLANNING AND DESIGN SERVICES. YOU HOST A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, THEN YOU FILE A FORMAL APPLICATION, AT WHICH POINT YOUR APPLICATION IS REVIEWED AND PRESUMABLY DOCKETED AND NOTICED FOR A BOZA HEARING. CURRENTLY, AND THE FEE, THERE ARE SOME FEES ASSOCIATED WITH THIS, TO FILE YOUR PRE-APPLICATION COSTS, \$100, AND TO FILE YOUR APPLICATION COSTS \$350. SO AS OF FRIDAY, APRIL 19TH, THERE ARE 74 PENDING PRE-APPLICATIONS, MEANING PEOPLE WHO HAVE JUST FILLED OUT THEIR NAME AND SAID THEY ARE INTERESTED IN THIS, THEY HAVE NOT HAD THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, THEY HAVE NOT FILED A FORMAL APPLICATION, THEY HAVE PAID THEIR \$100 TO ENTER THE PROCESS. THERE ARE 37 PENDING FORMAL APPLICATIONS, MEANING THAT THEY'VE FILED THE PREAPPLICATION, THEY'VE HAD THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, THEY FILED THEIR FORMAL APPLICATIONS, SO PAID A TOTAL OF \$450, AND NOW ARE WAITING TO BE HEARD. ONLY FOUR OF THE 28 HAVE BEEN NOTICED -- SORRY, YEAH, FOUR HAVE BEEN NOTICED FOR THE APRIL 29TH MEETING. ALL FOUR OF THOSE APPLICATIONS MEET THE 600-

FOOT SETBACK REQUIREMENT, SO THAT'S NOT AT ISSUE HERE AND I THINK SHOWS THAT THE 600-FOOT RULE IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS TOTALLY PROHIBITS EVERYTHING. THE MAY 6TH MEETING HAS 3 OF 4 APPLICANTS THAT WOULD NEED RELIEF FROM THE SETBACK REQUIREMENT, SO WHO WOULD NEED TO SEEK A WAIVER IF THEY WANT TO MOVE FORWARD, AND ANYBODY WHO DOES NOT WANT TO MOVE FORWARD, I'VE TALKED WITH JEFF O'BRIAN, CAN GET THEIR APPLICATION FEES REFUNDED, AS LONG AS THEIR MEETING HAS NOT GONE ALL THE WAY UP TO THE POINT WHERE IT'S BEEN NOTICED FOR A HEARING.

>> ALL RIGHT.

>> SORRY, ALSO, DEVELOP LOUISVILLE HAS MADE ANY OF THESE APPLICANTS AWARE THAT THEY MIGHT NEED TO FILE A WAIVER IF THEY NEED TO MOVE FORWARD AND HOW THAT WORKS.

>> ALL RIGHT, MR. PRESIDENT, I HAVE A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION, IF I MAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, MA'AM.

>> SINCE THE DECEMBER MEETING WAS REFERENCED IN COUNCILMAN COAN'S QUESTION, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION, SINCE WE DO HAVE NEW MEMBERS IN THE CHAMBER WITH US THIS EVENING. VERSUS OUR DECEMBER MEETING. SO, COUNCILMAN COAN, WILL THE PRE-APPLICATION THAT YOU WERE JUST DISCUSSING AND THE FORMAL APPLICATION HAVE ANY DIFFERENT REQUIREMENTS TO BE TREATED ANY DIFFERENTLY FROM EACH OTHER IF THIS PASSES THIS

EVENING? WILL EVERYTHING THAT IS VOTED ON THIS EVENING APPLY TO THEM EQUALLY?

>> YES.

>> I JUST WANTED TO HAVE THAT FOR CLARIFICATION.

>> SO THE LAW WOULD TAKE EFFECT IMMEDIATELY UPON PASSAGE. THE PARTS OF THE LAW THAT WOULD NOT AFFECT ANYBODY, AND I KNOW PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED, DOES THIS AFFECT MY DERBY PLANS, YOU KNOW, IS SOMEBODY GOING TO SEND ME -- AM I GOING TO GET A TICKET BECAUSE I HAVEN'T UPDATED MY ADVERTISEMENT YET, DEVELOP LOUISVILLE WOULD NOT INTEND TO EXECUTE ANY OF THE NEW OBLIGATIONS ON EITHER THE HOSTS OR THE HOST PLATFORMS UNTIL JULY 1ST START OF THE NEW FISCAL YEAR. THAT GIVES PEOPLE TIME TO UPDATE ADVERTISEMENTS, IT GIVES THEM TIME TO HAMMER OUT ANY DETAILS IN TERMS OF REQUESTING TAKEDOWNS FROM THE PLATFORMS AND SO ON AND SO FORTH, BUT THE LBC CHANGES WOULD BE IMMEDIATE.

>> ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU, AND I, TOO, AM IN FAVOR OF THE APPLAUDING COUNCILMAN COAN'S AMOUNT OF WORK AND CONCISE AMENDMENTS THIS EVENING. IT IS A COMPROMISE AND I THINK THAT IS WHAT THIS BODY HAS TO LOOK SERIOUSLY AT. WE DON'T GET EVERYTHING WE WANT ON EVERY ORDINANCE. HOWEVER, IF THERE CAN BE REASONABLE COMPROMISES THAT CAN DO THE GREATER GOOD FOR THE GREATER NUMBER, THAT SHOULD BE SOMETHING WE SHOULD CONSIDER. I'D LIKE FOR US TO REMEMBER IT WAS ABOUT EIGHT YEARS AGO WHEN NASHVILLE EMBARKED ON A COMPLETE, VERY EXPENSIVE REBRANDING STRATEGY THAT ULTIMATELY

HAS POLE VAULTED THAT CITY WAY UP ON TO THE WORLD STAGE AND THEY ARE NO LONGER KNOWN AS THE COUNTRY MUSIC USA WITH OPRYLAND AS THE BIG DRAW. THAT IS MUSIC CITY INTERNATIONAL, AND SO THERE ARE A NUMBER OF FACTORS THAT GO INTO MOVING A CITY FORWARD, ALTHOUGH WE'RE OPEN FOR BUSINESS IN LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, EVERYTHING IS NOT FOR SALE. WE MUST HAVE RESTRICTIONS AND GUIDELINES AND SO I AM IN FAVOR OF MOVING FORWARD TOGETHER AS WE TRY TO COMPROMISE AND MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T JUST GIVE EVERYTHING AWAY IN HOPES SOMEONE ELSE WILL DEVELOP HERE. AND I DO APPRECIATE ALL THE COMMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN SHARED THIS EVENING. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, POINT OF ORDER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> I THINK WE MIGHT BE OUT OF ORDER. MY UNDERSTANDING IS WHAT'S ON THE FLOOR IS COUNCILMAN COAN'S AMENDMENT. MEANWHILE, I THINK WE'RE ALL STILL TALKING ABOUT THE ENTIRE THING. I DON'T BELIEVE --

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: NO, WE VOTED ON THE AMENDMENT, AND SO THE ORDINANCE IS ON THE FLOOR.

>> WE DID? OKAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.

>> CORRECT, OKAY, SO WE'RE ON THE -- OKAY, VERY WELL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M ON THE FENCE ON THIS. AND ON ONE HAND I SAY TO MYSELF I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE LACK OF REGISTRATIONS, THE NEED FOR PENALTIES, AND TO PUSH PEOPLE TO DO THE RIGHT THING. I'M NOT A BIG FAN OF THE 600-FOOT RULE, BECAUSE THE 600-FOOT RULE, IN MY OPINION, IT FAVORS THOSE WHO HAVE GOTTEN OUT THERE EARLY AND LIMITS THOSE WHO HAVE THE POTENTIAL IN THE FUTURE. I'VE ALWAYS BEEN A BIG FAN OF MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF NEIGHBORHOODS, BUT AT THE SAME TIME, I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED -- THERE'S -- COUNCILMAN COAN, DISTRICT 8, YOU GUYS ARE A PRIMO AREA. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, DISTRICT 9, YOU'RE A PRIMO AREA. DISTRICT 26 DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THIS AT THE MOMENT, AND SO I BALANCE THAT WITH VACANT ABANDONED PROPERTIES. I DON'T THINK SOME OF THE POOR AREAS HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THIS AT ALL, BECAUSE IT'S NOT GOING TO BE SO MUCH OF AN AIRBNB ISSUE IN THOSE AREAS. SO REALLY THE CONCERN HERE BOILS DOWN TO TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THAT BALANCE. YOU TALK ABOUT COMPROMISE, AND I DON'T KNOW IF I'M THERE YET. I KNOW THIS BODY TALKED ABOUT I VOTED FOR TOP GOLF, BUT HEARD A LOT OF OPPOSITION OF TOP GOLF, AND ONE COULD ARGUE MY TOP GOLF VOTE WAS NOT MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, POTENTIALLY, SO I'M HAVING A REAL PROBLEM HERE RIDING THE FENCE ON THIS THING AND ONE OF MY BIGGEST CONCERNS IS THE 600 FOOT RULE. THAT'S THE THING HITTING ME RIGHT NOW, LIMITING THE POTENTIAL. I HEARD COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI TALK ABOUT LIMITING POTENTIAL GROWTH, I HEARD COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL

TALK ABOUT POLLING THE MAYOR. WELL, LIMITING THE GROWTH, I FEEL LIKE MAYBE WE ARE LIMITING THE INVESTMENT OF THE FUTURE, SO I'M HOPEFUL SOME OF YOU WILL CONTINUE TO TALK ABOUT THIS AND HELP ME GET PAST MY ONE SHORTFALL HERE, THAT 600-FOOT RULE. OTHER THAN THAT, I COULD POTENTIALLY BE A YES VOTE, BUT THE MOMENT I'M LEANING TOWARDS NO, I'M ON THE FENCE, BUT NOT THERE YET. I'M HOPEFUL SOMEBODY ELSE WILL SHED LIGHT FOR THEM. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> I WANT TO RESPOND TO COUNCILMAN ACKERSON. IN TERMS OF LIMITING COMPETITION OR THE MARKET OR DENSITY OF THINGS, I FEEL LIKE LIQUOR LICENSES IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND. I FEEL LIKE LIQUOR LICENSES IS ANOTHER ANALOGY THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND. THERE IS KIND OF A RACE TO GET THEM, AND THERE'S CURRENTLY, YOU KNOW, LIQUOR LICENSES HAVE TO BE WITHIN 700 FEET FROM EACH OTHER, AND SO THERE IS A MARKET FOR THEM. OR ELSE YOU WOULD HAVE A PROLIFERATION OF LIQUOR LICENSES, FOR EXAMPLE, ON BARDSTOWN ROAD IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD, AGAIN, EVERY SINGLE PROPERTY. AND AT SOME POINT FOR ANY OF THESE KINDS OF PHENOMENON, WHETHER IT'S BARS, WHETHER IT'S INVESTOR OWNED SHORT-TERM RENTALS, IT'S A TIPPING POINT WHERE IT GOES FROM AN ASSET TO A LIABILITY. JUST LIKE LIQUOR LICENSES, THERE ARE ALSO A MARKET FOR THESE CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS. YOU COULD SELL IT TO SOMEBODY ELSE WHO WANTS TO OPERATE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL THERE INSTEAD. AND THERE'S

VALUE BUILT INTO THAT. THERE ARE SOME WAYS THAT THE EXISTING C.U.P.S DISAPPEAR, AS WE DISCUSSED. IF YOU DO NOT -- REGISTRATION IS REQUIRED ANNUALLY. UNDER THE NEW RULE, IF YOU DO NOT RE-UP YOUR REGISTRATION WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF IT EXPIRING, THEN YOUR C.U.P. BECOMES NULL AND VOID, WHICH THEN FREES THAT AREA AND THE 600-FOOT RADIUS FOR, THEORETICALLY, FOR OTHER APPLICANTS. SO IT'S NOT A THIS IS A HARD AND FOREVER CAP AND ONLY THE PEOPLE THAT OWN THESE PROPERTIES OWN THEM NOW. AND THAT'S THE BEST ANSWER I CAN GIVE TO THE SORT OF THE MARKET FOR THE INVESTOR -- FOR THE C.U.P. QUESTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, AND COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> FIRST OF ALL, SOME CLARITY ON MY COMMENTS ABOUT GDP GROWTH. I WASN'T MAKING A CORRELATION -- I WAS TALKING ABOUT A PATTERN OF BEHAVIOR. UNFORTUNATELY, SOMETIMES WE ENGAGE IN A PATTERN OF BEHAVIOR, WHETHER WE'RE OVERDEBATING TOP GOLF COMING TO LOUISVILLE OR SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND IT CREATES AN UNBUSINESS FRIENDLY CLIMATE, NOT THAT THIS PARTICULAR THING WILL SLOW DOWN GROWTH, BUT WITH THAT SAID, I'M SOMEWHAT WITH COUNCILMAN ACKERSON HERE, STILL TEETERING ON THIS ISSUE ABOUT THE 600-FOOT -- THE 600-FOOT BUFFER ZONE, IF YOU WILL, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT. THE REST OF IT, I THINK, IS SOMEWHAT REASONABLE, ALL RIGHT, REGULATION, SO I WOULD LIKE TO SORT OF TEST THIS OUT AND SEE WHERE THIS IS ON THE FLOOR. I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE AN AMENDMENT, AND I WILL NEED THE ASSISTANCE OF THE COUNTY

ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, I DON'T HAVE THIS IN FRONT OF ME, AND I'M NOT A LAWYER, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO STRIKE THE LANGUAGE THAT IS CONTAINED IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE THAT REFERENCES THE 600-FOOT RULE AND I'D LIKE TO MAKE THAT AS AN AMENDMENT ON THE FLOOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, WE HAVE A MOTION, DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A SECOND. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> I'LL JUST SAY BRIEFLY, THAT TOTALLY OBVIATES THE PURPOSE OF THE ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF TOO MUCH DENSITY OF THESE PROPERTIES. AFFORDABLE HOUSING ISSUE THAT COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER ISSUED AND THAT IS STRONG IN MY DISTRICT, WHERE IF YOU'RE IN YOUR 20S, YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO GET A PLACE IN THE HIGHLANDS. THE ISSUE OF CHANGING OF NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER AND THE INTENDED PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS, THE INEVITABLE RISE IN THE NUMBER AND QUANTITY OF NUISANCES AND ALONG WITH THE INCREASE OF THE NUMBER OF INVESTOR OWNED PROPERTIES, THE DECREASE OF FAMILY OWNED PROPERTIES AND DECREASE OF THE STABILIZATION OF THE NEIGHBORHOODS ON WHICH THE CITY WAS BUILT. I WOULD URGE PEOPLE WITH RESPECT TO VOTE NO AGAINST COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI'S AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> COUNCILMAN COAN, ON THE LAST POINT, WHAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS WHY WE WERE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE AMENDMENT, YOU HAVE THE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM IN THE ORDINANCE, WHERE YOU WOULD BASICALLY -- YOU NOW HAVE AN ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM IF YOU WERE A NONREGISTERED SHORT-TERM RENTAL AND YOU DON'T HAVE A C.U.P., SO YOU'RE OPERATING OUTSIDE OF THE LAW, SUBPOENA THAT INFORMATION, YOU HAVE TO SHOW YOUR LICENSE ON AIRBNB, IF IT'S NOT THERE, WE'LL ISSUE A SUBPOENA, GET YOUR INFORMATION, AND THEN WE WILL FINE YOU REPEATEDLY, RIGHT? I MEAN, THAT'S ESSENTIALLY THE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM. WHY IS THAT NOT THE PROCESS FOR MANAGING THE PROLIFERATION? BECAUSE THEN THOSE PEOPLE HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE C.U.P. PROCESS, WHICH INVOLVES A PUBLIC HEARING AND A CASE-BY-CASE DECISION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. SO IF WE DON'T HAVE THIS PROVISION, THERE WILL BE NO CHANGE IN THE NUMBER OR THE EASE WITH WHICH YOU GET A C.U.P.

>> IS THE C.U.P. THE RIGHT PROCESS FOR AUTHORIZATION?

>> WELL, WE TALKED ABOUT THAT, AND THAT'S THE PROCESS THAT WE CAME UP WITH, THAT'S ONE THAT'S AUTHORIZED BY STATE LAW, SO, YES, I DO THINK IT'S, YOU KNOW, WE'RE WORKING WITHIN STATE LAW.

>> LET ME ADD TO THAT. THE REASON FOR THE C.U.P. -- IT'S A DE FACTO ZONING CHANGE. YOU'RE TAKING A RESIDENTIAL USE AND MAKING IT A COMMERCIAL USE. IT IS A ZONING CHANGE. THAT'S REALLY WHAT IT IS. PEOPLE SAID THIS ISN'T SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE PERMITTED AS A RIGHT. THERE SHOULD BE A SPECIAL PERMISSION OF

THIS, AND BOZA HAS NO -- IT ASKED FOR GUIDANCE ON HOW TO MAKE THOSE DETERMINATIONS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER, FINISHED WITH YOUR QUESTION? THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. WHAT I JUST HEARD COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER SAY WAS THE PROBLEMS WITH BOZA. SO MAYBE -- MAYBE WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT NOT SO MUCH OF A 600-FEET RULE OR ANY RULE, BECAUSE BOZA DOESN'T HAVE THE DISCRETION TO LOOK AT A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS, MAYBE THIS SHOULD BE INCLUDING LANGUAGE AND NOT RIGHT FOR MY DETERMINATION. AGAIN, I'M ON THE FENCE FOR THE 600 FOOT RULE BUT MAYBE THERE SHOULD BE LANGUAGE GIVING BOZA DISCRETION TO CASE-BY-CASE ANALYZE -- MAKE AN ANALYSIS ULTIMATELY, TONGUE TIED, BEEN A LONG DAY FOR ME, BUT ULTIMATELY TO DETERMINE WHAT WOULD BE SUITABLE, WHETHER OR NOT ONE RIGHT NEXT DOOR WHO WOULD BE OKAY, VERSUS MAYBE, YOU KNOW, ONE ON THE STREET IS ALL WE SHOULD HAVE, SO SHOULD WE NOT BE SORT OF LOOKING TO EMPOWER BOZA WITH THAT, THEREFORE, WE'RE GOING TO SET AN ARBITRARY NUMBER OF 600 FEET.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: TIME-OUT. I'M GOING TO LET COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI AND THEN I'LL LET YOU GUYS RESPOND TO THAT. COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI?

>> THANK YOU, YEAH, SO A LOT, SORRY, I'LL DEFER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> COUNCILMAN, THE DISCRETION FOR BOZA, AND I MENTIONED THIS IN THE FIRST PLACE, WE DID NOT WRITE THE 600-FOOT RULE INTO THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, BECAUSE THEN IT IS STRICT. WE WROTE IT INTO THE LBC BECAUSE THAT WAY BOZA HAS SOME DISCRETION. YOU MIGHT HAVE TO APPLY FOR A WAIVER IN ADDITION AND SAY MY PROPERTY IS 450 FEET, BUT YOU KNOW WHAT, I'VE GOT THE SUPPORT OF THE NEIGHBORS, NOT A LOT OF THEM IN THIS AREA OR WHATEVER OTHER FACTORS THERE ARE AND THEY CAN APPLY FOR THE WAIVER JUST LIKE THERE'S WAIVERS AND VARIANCES AND EVERYTHING ELSE, SO THAT ALLOWS BOZA TO HAVE THE DISCRETION WITH RESPECT TO THE 600 FOOT RULE.

>> COULD I RESPOND? I REMEMBER SAYING THIS PROVISION IS NOT WAIVABLE AND SOME SECTIONS SAY IT'S NOT WAVABLE. WE INTENTIONALLY DID NOT DO THAT FOR THIS PROVISION, SO IT CAN BE WAIVED. I HOPE IT'S NOT WAIVED REGULARLY, BUT IT CAN BE WAIVED, AND I THINK, AND I'M NOT AN EXPERT IN THE LAW, YOU KNOW, I WOULD LOVE FOR BOZA -- THIS LAW, ANY LAW, SO IT'S -- I WOULD LOVE FOR BOZA TO EXERCISE MORE DISCRETION, BUT I'VE BEEN TO THOSE HEARINGS, AND THEY INTERPRET THE LAW AS SAYING WE CAN'T JUST -- WE HAVE TO HAVE SOME RULES AND WE CAN'T SAY -- IN FACT, THEY SAY THIS PUBLICLY, CAN'T SAY BECAUSE YOUR NEIGHBORS DON'T LIKE YOU, WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THIS, THAT'S NOT IN THE ORDINANCE, THAT'S NOT THE WAY WE CAN OPERATE, BUT THERE ARE ABILITIES TO WAIVE THE 600-FOOT RULE BY BOZA SINCE WE'VE NOT SAID IT'S NOT WAIVABLE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT. I WANT TO RESPOND TO COUNCILMAN ACKERSON AND COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI WITH THE 600-FOOT RULE. IT APPEARS THAT BECAUSE WE'VE HAD THIS HOUSING CRISIS, RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE IS BECOMING A BIG INVESTMENT, FOR WHATEVER REASON, AND MY DISTRICT, WE HAVE LONG SUFFERED THE LAST, YOU KNOW, EIGHT TO TEN YEARS WITH THESE INVESTORS COMING INTO OUR DISTRICT AND PURCHASING THESE PROPERTIES, MAKING THEM OUT OF WHATEVER THEY WANT TO CALL THEM, SOBER LIVING HOMES, RECOVERY HOMES, BOARDING HOMES, AND IT HAS REALLY, REALLY CHANGED THE CHARACTER OF OUR NEIGHBORHOODS. SO, THEREFORE, I CAN JUST GO ON RECORD AND SAY THAT I WOULD BE IN AGREEMENT WITH COUNCILMAN COAN AND COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER ON THIS VOTE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.  
COUNCILMAN WINKLER?

>> YEAH, I WAS JUST GOING TO THANK COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER FOR THE CLARIFICATION ON THE GUIDELINE VERSUS MANDATE AND GIVING BOZA THE DISCRETION. I THINK GIVEN THAT, WHAT I NEED TO BE SUPPORTIVE OF THE 600-FOOT RULE BEING IN THE ORDINANCE, SO I APPRECIATE THAT CLARIFICATION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> MY AMENDMENT, BUT I GUESS THIS DISCUSSION'S GONE ON A LONG TIME, BUT I HAD THE THOUGHT OF SAY YOU HAVE TWO ABANDONED

PROPERTIES TO THE -- OH, I ALSO WANTED TO ASK THE CLERK, HAVE WE VOTED ON THE AMENDMENT YET?

>> CLERK: THE TECHNICAL AMENDMENT THAT COUNCILMAN COAN PRESENTED SOON AFTER WE APPROVED IT, WE VOTED ON THAT.

>> OKAY.

>> CLERK: IF I MAY CONTINUE, SHARED WITH PRESIDENT JAMES THAT COUNCILMAN COAN ATTEMPTED OR BEGAN AN AMENDMENT BUT STOPPED IT, IT DIDN'T GO ANYWHERE. THIS WAS DISCUSSION NOW ON THE AMENDMENT BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI AND COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> OKAY. THEN THE OTHER QUESTION WAS, THEORETICALLY, IF YOU HAD TWO ABANDONED PROPERTIES SITTING WITHIN 600 FEET OF EACH OTHER AND THERE WAS A DEVELOPER THAT WANTED TO COME AND RENOVATE THOSE PROPERTIES, HE WOULD ONLY BE ABLE TO DO ONE OF THOSE IF YOU WANTED TO USE IT FOR A SHORT-TERM RENTAL. I MEAN, HAS THAT -- IS THAT SCENARIO HAPPENED ANYWHERE IN THE CITY THAT WE KNOW OF?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN COAN?

>> I CAN THINK OF A SITUATION PEOPLE HAVE BOUGHT PROPERTIES THAT ARE NEAR EACH OTHER. THE 600-FOOT RULE WOULD APPLY, AGAIN, MAYBE THAT DEVELOPER HAS HAD TO ASK FOR A WAIVER ON THE SECOND PROPERTY.

>> AND DO WE THINK IT WOULD BE GRANTED?

>> I THINK IT DEPENDS ON BOZA, AGAIN, I THINK IT DEPENDS ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES. LET ME SAY, FOR ONE MINUTE LET ME SAY ONE

THING. THERE ARE 15 COUNCIL MEMBERS HERE THAT HAVE ZERO OF THESE  
IN YOUR DISTRICT. SORRY, IF MY COLLEAGUES HAVE NONE OF THESE --

>> I GET THAT, I GET THAT.

>> WOULD LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE THESE A LITTLE BIT,  
GIVE SOME DEFERENCE ON THIS ISSUE BECAUSE THERE ARE OTHER ISSUES  
IN THE FUTURE YOU WANT US TO LISTEN TO US ON, I'M HAPPY TO TELL  
THE DISTRICTS IF YOU WANT ME TO DO IT. IN FACT, I WILL.

DISTRICTS 5, 17, 19, 26, 1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 20, 22, 23,  
AND 24 HAVE NONE. SO --

>> I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND YOU'RE IN A UNIQUE SITUATION,  
AND I WOULD PROBABLY BE FIGHTING YOUR FIGHT IF I WAS IN YOUR  
DISTRICT. I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND THAT, SO I WAS JUST WONDERING,  
YOU KNOW, IF THERE WAS SOME KIND OF PROCESS, BECAUSE WE DO WORRY  
ABOUT ABANDONED PROPERTIES, BUT I THINK THAT'S TAKEN CARE OF.  
I'M HOPING THAT OUR BOZA WOULD GRANT THAT, IF WE WERE ACTUALLY  
IMPROVING PROPERTY VALUES IN SOME PLACES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN  
PIAGENTINI?

>> CLARIFYING COMMENTS AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL MOVE TO A VOTE  
ON THIS. FIRST OF ALL, AGAIN, I TOTALLY APPRECIATE, IF I'M IN  
YOUR SHOES, I AM PROPOSING THE EXACT SAME THING, BUT VERY  
REGULARLY, AND I'LL AGAIN COME BACK TO TOP GOLF, THAT WE HAD --  
BUT I'M WITH YOU, RIGHT, AND I'M NOT SAYING -- THE OTHER REASON  
I WANT TO SEE HOW THIS AMENDMENT PLAYS OUT, IS BECAUSE IT DOES

SEEM TO BE A STICKING POINT, STICKING POINT FOR ME, DOESN'T MEAN I DON'T LIKE THE REST OF IT, AND TO KEEP IN MIND THIS DOESN'T ELIMINATE IF YOU'RE A BAD ACTOR, RIGHT, TO YOUR POINT, THEN YOU HAVE TWO VIOLATIONS, RIGHT, YOU'RE OUT. 600-FOOT RULE OR NOT, RIGHT, THE 600-FOOT RULE IS SIMPLY THE RESTRICTION OF THE GUIDANCE, IF YOU WILL, REFERRING GUIDANCE, BUT GUIDANCE NONETHELESS, THAT DOESN'T ELIMINATE WHETHER OR NOT WE HAVE TWO INVESTOR-OWNED SHORT-TERM RENTALS ALL ALIGNING THAT CITY BLOCK, IF THEY ARE ALL BAD ACTORS, THEY WILL BE ELIMINATED THROUGH THE PROCESS.

>> IT'S NOT JUST THE BAD ACTORS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THE PROBLEM. IT'S THE DENSITY OF GOOD ACTORS.

>> OKAY, AND THIS COMES BACK TO MY CONCERN. I AGREE, I UNDERSTAND, AGAIN, THIS IS WHERE I TOTALLY GET HOW THIS IS CHANGING CERTAIN NEIGHBORHOODS. I UNDERSTAND THAT COMPLETELY, BUT THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, HAS HAPPENED ALL OVER DISTRICT 19, THE DISTRICT 19 RESIDENTS THAT BOUGHT THERE 50 YEARS AGO, 40 YEARS AGO, LAKE FOREST DIDN'T EXIST, RIGHT, AND I LIVE IN LAKE FOREST AND I'M DARN HAPPY THAT LAKE FOREST EXISTS AND LAKE FOREST AND THE EXPANSION OF DISTRICT 19, THE EXPLOSION OF WHAT'S HAPPENED THERE THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS, HAS CHANGED THE FACE OF DISTRICT 19, WHAT USED TO BE FARMLAND IS NOT FARMLAND ANYMORE, IT'S RESIDENTIAL, BUSINESS, COMMERCIAL, IT'S ALL KINDS OF STUFF, RIGHT? SO, YES, DEVELOPMENT CHANGES NEIGHBORHOODS, BUT THAT IS

THE NATURE OF IT, AND THE MARKET DICTATES THAT. AND MAYBE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD LENDS ITSELF TO MORE DENSITY RELATED TO THAT AND OTHER NEIGHBORHOODS DON'T, BUT OTHER NEIGHBORHOODS LEND THEMSELVES TO OTHER THINGS THAT CHANGE THEIR OUTLOOK. SO YOU'RE RIGHT, AND THAT'S MY CONCERN, RIGHT, THAT WE'RE MICROMANAGING GOOD ACTORS WITH THE 600-FOOT RULE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> I'D LIKE TO CALL THE QUESTION ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. ALL IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. ALL IN FAVOR OF COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI'S AMENDMENT PLEASE SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THE NAYS HAVE IT, THE MOTION FAILS. COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> OKAY, SO I CAME INTO THE CHAMBERS THIS EVENING WITH MY MIND MADE UP, BECAUSE I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND DISTRICT 8 AND DISTRICT 9'S SITUATION. I DON'T HAVE THE PROBLEM, ACTUALLY, IN DISTRICT 7. BUT I HEARD FROM A CONSTITUENT RIGHT BEFORE WE CAME INTO SESSION, AND AFTER LISTENING TO EVERYONE'S COMMENTS, I'M NOW CONCERNED. SO I'M WONDERING IF, AND TO THE COMMENT OF THE CONSTITUENT THAT BROUGHT IT TO ME, I WONDER IF WE COULD ALLEVIATE SOME OF THE PROBLEM, EVEN THE 600-FOOT ISSUE, IF WE WOULD GO UP ON THE FEE. AND DISCOURAGE THOSE WHO ARE NOT WANTING TO BE IN COMPLIANCE FROM, I GUESS, INVESTING IN THIS OBVIOUSLY MONEY MAKING OPPORTUNITY. MAYBE \$500,000 FEE, JUST A QUESTION,

JUST A THOUGHT, IF THAT ROUTE HAS BEEN CONSIDERED, AND WHERE, INDEED, I GUESS I NEED TO ASK THIS, WHERE DID THE AMOUNT COME FROM THAT IS ACTUALLY GOING UP TO \$100? WHAT DETERMINED THAT FEE?

>> THAT WAS RECOMMENDED BY DEVELOP LOUISVILLE TO MORE ACCOMMODATE THE COST OF ADMINISTERING THIS THAT HAS GONE UP, AND THE THING ABOUT INCREASING THE FEE IS ALL THAT DOES IS SORT OF MAKE IT REGRESSIVE, MAKE IT IS HARDER FOR PEOPLE THAT DON'T HAVE THAT MUCH MONEY TO APPLY TO DO THIS AND DOESN'T GET ANY OF THE ISSUES THAT ARE FUNDAMENTALLY THE CONCERNS OF THE EFFECTS OF THE INVESTOR-OWNED PROPERTIES IN THE FIRST PLACE. SO, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, CERTAINLY, YOU KNOW, NONE OF THE FEES ARE MEANT TO STIFLE THE MARKET. NONE OF THE PENALTIES ARE MEANT TO BE PUNITIVE. WE WERE SORT OF UNDERCHARGING BEFORE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: EXCUSE ME. POINT OF ORDER, QUICKLY. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL IS GOING TO TAKE THE CHAIR FOR A MOMENT. I NEED A MOMENT OF RECESS.

>> COUNCILMAN -- COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> I WAS GOING TO RESPOND TO COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY. I APPRECIATE THE SUGGESTION. I DON'T THINK INCREASING THE FEES, FRANKLY, WILL DISCOURAGE PEOPLE FROM HAVING MORE OF THESE IN THE AREAS WHERE THEY ARE, YOU KNOW, DESIRED. LIKE ALONG FRANKFORT AVENUE AND THE SIDE STREETS. THE ECONOMICS OF THIS, AND I'M ALL FOR PEOPLE MAKING ALL THE MONEY THEY CAN, BUT THE ECONOMICS OF

THIS, AND I LEARNED IT PRETTY CAREFULLY IN TALKING TO PEOPLE ABOUT THIS, YOU KNOW, I HAD PEOPLE SAY, WELL, WHAT AM I GOING TO DO WITH THIS HOUSE, WHICH I'M THINKING OF BUYING OR HAVE BOUGHT IF I CAN'T DO A SHORT-TERM RENTAL? AND MY ANSWER WAS, YOU COULD DO A LONG-TERM RENTAL. YOU'VE ALWAYS BEEN ABLE TO DO THAT, AND, FRANKLY, PEOPLE WOULD SAY, NO, YOU DON'T GET THIS. I CAN MAKE ENOUGH MONEY IN THREE DAYS THAT I CAN MAKE IN A MONTH AS A LONG-TERM RENTAL. SO THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S INVOLVED HERE IS REALLY SIGNIFICANT. SO IT WOULD TAKE A -- AN EXTRAORDINARY FEE TO DISCOURAGE PEOPLE FROM DOING MORE OF THESE. I JUST DON'T THINK THAT'S A WORKABLE SORT OF THING JUST BECAUSE OF THE ECONOMICS OF THE BUSINESS. I APPRECIATE THE SUGGESTION.

>> THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER, COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY WILL MOVE ON. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS? NO, OKAY, GREAT.  
COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> I'D LIKE TO OFFER MY AMENDMENT NOW, IF I MAY.

>> SURE.

>> OKAY. IT'S VERY SIMPLE. BASICALLY, THIS CAME TO ME FROM A CONSTITUENT IN MY DISTRICT THAT HAS A -- THAT WANTS TO HAVE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL, AND HE WANTS TO ABIDE BY ALL THE RULES, BUT HE HAS A PARTICULAR SITUATION, AND THIS MAY HAPPEN MORE IN THE SUBURBAN AREAS OF TOWN, WHERE THERE'S NOT QUITE AS MUCH DENSITY, BUT THIS PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL LIVES ON SEVEN ACRES, AND HE'S GOT TWO PARCELS OF -- SEVEN ACRES TOTAL, WITH TWO PARCELS OF

LAND, AND HAS A VERY LARGE LOFT AREA, SO BASICALLY FOR SOME OF THESE HOMES THAT ARE IN THIS SITUATION OR COULD BE IN THIS SITUATION, WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO OR WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS ON 4.263 UNDER C, ADD WHERE -- OKAY, THE EXISTING LANGUAGE SAYS AT NO TIME SHALL MORE PERSONS RESIDE IN THE SHORT-TERM RENTAL THAN TWO TIMES THE NUMBER OF BEDROOMS, PLUS TWO INDIVIDUALS, PUT AN EXCEPTION THERE WHERE IT WOULD SAY EXCEPT WHERE THE LICENSED PROPERTY IS IN EXCESS OF TWO ACRES IN WHICH CASE THE OCCUPANCY LIMIT SHALL BE TWO TIMES THE NUMBER OF BEDROOMS, WHICH DOESN'T CHANGE, PLUS SIX INDIVIDUALS. SO WE WOULD BE ADDING FOUR, OR IF PEOPLE HAVE EXTRA BONUS AREAS, LIKE LARGE BASEMENTS OR LARGE LOFT AREAS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IS THAT YOUR MOTION?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DO WE HAVE A SECOND? THANK YOU. MR. CLERK, LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT I'M BACK.

>> CLERK: SO NOTED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: UNDER DISCUSSION FOR UNDER COUNCILWOMAN PARKER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU. I'LL JUST SAY, YOU KNOW, YOU SAY THAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING THAT THE GUEST CAP SHOULD BE RAISED ON PROPERTIES OF TWO ACRES, BUT SAYING BECAUSE MAYBE YOU'LL HAVE MORE ROOM IN THE HOUSE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, SO THOSE ARE INCONGRUOUS. IF YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF A HOUSE OR SOMETHING,

THAT'S JUST A DIFFERENT RATIONALE THAN YOU EXPLAINED. I WOULD SAY THAT I GENERALLY, AGAIN, I SUGGEST THIS TO COUNCILWOMAN PARKER BEFORE, I THINK THIS WILL AFFECT PEOPLE IN THE SUBURBS MORE THAN ME, SO I GENERALLY WOULD DEFER TO THEM. BUT I WOULD ENCOURAGE THAT I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD RULE AND I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT THERE WAS A DELIBERATE CHOICE TO MOVE THE RULE FROM TWO BEDROOMS PLUS FOUR EVERYWHERE, TO TWO BEDROOMS PLUS TWO BECAUSE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT ARISE THE MORE PEOPLE YOU ADD, AND WHETHER YOU LIVE ON TWO ACRES OR NOT, IF YOU HAVE SIX BEDROOMS, PLUS SIX PEOPLE AND 18 PEOPLE IN YOUR HOUSE, IT CAN STILL CAUSE A PROBLEM, EVEN THOUGH YOU HAVE A FAIRLY DECENT SIZED PROPERTY FOR YOUR NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOR, AND I DO HAVE PROPERTIES IN THE HIGHLANDS THAT ARE TWO-ACRE LOTS, SO IT DOESN'T NOT AFFECT ME AT ALL, OR I WOULD CARE EVEN LESS, BUT I JUST WANTED TO STATE MY OPINION THAT I DON'T THINK IT MAKES SENSE, AND I'D ENCOURAGE THE SUBURBAN COLLEAGUES TO THINK ABOUT IT.

>> OKAY. WELL, I DO THINK THAT IT DOES MAKE A DIFFERENCE WHEN YOU'RE ON A LARGE TRACT OF LAND, BECAUSE YOU DON'T -- THE CONCERN THERE IS NOT SO MUCH FOR VEHICLES, WHICH IN YOUR HIGH DENSITY AREAS, I GET THAT, AND THE NOISE, I GET THAT, TOO, BUT FOR FOLKS THAT HAVE A VERY ACCOMMODATING HOME ON A VERY ACCOMMODATING PIECE OF PROPERTY, THIS JUST WORKS FOR THEM, SO IT WOULD BE, YOU KNOW, THIS WOULD BE A GOOD COMPROMISE FOR -- I SUPPORT WHAT YOUR DISTRICT IS GOING THROUGH, BUT I WOULD LIKE

FOR MAYBE THE SUBURBAN-TYPE AREAS TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF FLEXIBILITY IF THEY ARE ON A LARGE PARCEL OF LAND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION UNDER COUNCILWOMAN PARKER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT? COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> CLARIFICATION. COUNCILMAN, SO THEN IF WE VOTE IN FAVOR OF THIS, YOU'RE VOTING IN FAVOR OF THE WHOLE THING? IS THAT WHAT YOU SAY?

>> PERHAPS. I UNDERSTAND WHERE HE -- I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S GOING TO BE ANYMORE AMENDMENTS MADE, SO, YOU KNOW, THIS MIGHT EVEN WORK BETTER.

>> DIDN'T PROVIDE A LOT OF CLARITY, BUT OKAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, THAT WAS A CLEAR ANSWER. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION UNDER COUNCILWOMAN PARKER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT? COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. LET'S TAKE ANOTHER WHACK AT THAT. SO IN THE LANGUAGE THAT YOUR AMENDMENT WOULD SAY ON PROPERTIES OF TWO OR MORE ACRES AND THAT WOULD SATISFY YOUR PORTION OR YOUR CONCERN FOR THIS OVERALL ORDINANCE, AND IT WOULD DO NOTHING TO DIMINISH THE ORIGINAL INTENT THAT COUNCILMAN COAN, DO I HAVE THAT RIGHT.

>> VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION UNDER THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT BY COUNCILWOMAN PARKER? THANK YOU. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF COUNCILWOMAN PARKER'S AMENDMENT

SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED. THE AYES HAVE IT. COUNCILWOMAN PARKER'S AMENDMENT PASSES. NOW WE'RE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL AMENDED ORDINANCE. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> YEAH, SO, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. WE JUST WANTED TO GET YOUR AMENDMENT RIGHT FOR THE TNZD DISTRICT. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, ARE YOU GOING TO READ THAT IN? I'M IN THE QUEUE, BUT HAPPY TO DEFER.

>> I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THIS IS, I KNOW EVERYONE WILL BE HAPPY TO KNOW WE'RE GOING BACK TO THE 600-FOOT PROVISION. THIS IS 1.2.2 -- WELL, IT'S ACTUALLY 4.2.63D, AND THIS IS THE PROVISION THAT HAS THE 600-FOOT LIMITATION. WE WOULD SIMPLY ADD AT THE END OF THIS, AND THIS GETS TO COUNCILMAN WINKLER'S POINT AND SUPPORTED, I THINK, BY OUR PRESIDENT, WHO HAS THE ONLY TNZD DISTRICTS, WE WOULD ADD AT THE END OF THAT PROVISION, THAT SECTION, "THIS PROVISION SHALL NOT APPLY TO A PROPERTY IN THE TNZD DISTRICT WHICH REQUIRED A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, EVEN THOUGH IT'S THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF THE HOST." THIS IS THE ONLY -- THAT'S THE WHOLE LANGUAGE, ENDING WITH HOST. THE TNZD DISTRICTS ARE THE ONLY DISTRICT WHERE YOU HAVE TO HAVE A C.U.P. OR A PRIMARY RESIDENCE, AND WHILE THAT WOULD CONTINUE TO BE THE REQUIREMENT, THERE WOULD NOT BE A REQUIREMENT THAT YOU CAN'T HAVE ONE IF YOU'RE WITHIN 600 FEET OF ANOTHER ONE. AND SO I'D MOVE THAT AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT? COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> MY -- MY IGNORANCE AND YOUTH ON THE COMMITTEE IS ABOUT TO SHINE THROUGH HERE. SO TO BE CLEAR, WHAT ESSENTIALLY YOU'RE PROTECTING AGAINST IS THIS CONCEPT THAT BECAUSE YOU NEED THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS TO EVEN LIVE IN THOSE DISTRICTS AS YOUR PRIMARY RESIDENCE, NO, SAYING THIS WRONG.

>> NOT TO LIVE, BUT IF YOU'RE GOING TO RENT OUT YOUR HOME.

>> SO IS THIS GRANTING ANY DIFFERENT OR SPECIAL -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT'S THE NEED FOR IT.

>> IF I MAY, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, COUNCILMAN.

>> THE -- WHEN WE DRAFTED THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE, WE SAID THAT IF YOU WERE GOING TO RENT OUT YOUR OWN HOME, IF IT WAS THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF THE HOST, YOU DID NOT NEED A C.U.P., YOU DID NOT HAVE TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS, YOU APPLY PERMIT THROUGH THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND IT'S A MUCH EASIER PROCESS. IN THE TNZD DISTRICT, BECAUSE OF UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES IN THE TNZD, ANYBODY RENTING OUT THEIR HOME SHOULD GET A C.U.P. THAT WOULD CONTINUE, BUT THE NEW PROVISION, AND, FRANKLY, I THINK IT'S PROBABLY A DRAFTING ERROR OR AT LEAST -- MAYBE NOT A DRAFTING ERROR. NOT SOMETHING I HAD ANTICIPATED UNTIL COUNCILMAN WINKLER BROUGHT IT UP. NOT SAYING IT'S A DRAFTING ERROR, BUT WE DON'T WANT TO SAY IF YOU WANT TO RENT OUT YOUR OWN HOME YOU CAN'T DO

IT IF YOU'RE 600 FEET FROM SOMEBODY ELSE RENTING OUT THEIR OWN HOME AND THIS WOULD SAY THAT 600-FEET PROVISION DOES NOT APPLY TO A PRIMARY RESIDENCE IN THE TNZD DISTRICT.

>> UNDERSTOOD, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT? HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? AYES HAVE IT. NOW WE'RE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL DISCUSSION OF THE AMENDED ORDINANCE. COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. YOU KNOW, I THINK WE HAVE TO BALANCE ECONOMIC GROWTH WITH REASONABLE REGULATION, AND I'M ALWAYS ONE TO BE VERY UP FRONT WITH WANTING TO DEVELOP AND IT'S THE BUSINESS SIDE OF ME, HOWEVER, I'M ALSO EMPATHETIC TO WHAT COUNCILMAN COAN REFERS TO AS THE DENSITY ISSUE. I THINK THAT'S PROBABLY WHAT'S MOVED ME TO VOTE YES MORE THAN ANYTHING, BUT I WILL SAY IS THIS, AND I HOPE MY COLLEAGUES ARE ALL LISTENING TO ME HERE, THAT WHEN IT COMES TO CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND CONFORMANCE AND THAT, WE ALL PAY ATTENTION TO BALANCING REASONABLE GROWTH WITH THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, OKAY, THAT'S A CHALLENGE, I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT THIS IS GOING TO COME TO US AGAIN AND AGAIN AND AGAIN. LET'S ALL KEEP THAT IN MIND, AND I COMMEND COUNCILMAN COAN ON THIS. I GET IT. THIS DOESN'T AFFECT MY DISTRICT. I THINK I MAY HAVE ONE OR TWO, MAYBE NOT MUCH MORE THAN THAT, JUST ONE, OKAY. SO I APPLAUD HIM FOR THIS

AND THIS HAS BEEN A VERY GOOD DISCUSSION, I LEARNED QUITE A BIT,  
AND I WILL BE A YES VOTE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> THANK YOU. I WAS GOING TO CALL THE QUESTION, BUT I'M  
GOING TO DEFER TO KRAMER IF HE PROMISES NOT TO SAY ANYTHING TO  
SAY ANYTHING MAD, WHICH CAUSES 14 MORE PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE.  
ANYWAY, BUT I DO WANT TO SAY ONE THING, AND THAT IS A LOT OF  
THIS DISCUSSION THAT'S GOING AROUND, ONE OF THE THINGS, AGAIN,  
16 YEARS I'VE BEEN ON THIS COUNCIL AND EVERYBODY KNOWS HOW THIS  
PARTICULAR ISSUE GETS STUCK IN MY CRAW, BUT I'M HOPING THAT  
PEOPLE ARE FINALLY REALIZING WHEN YOU SAY THINGS LIKE THEY  
APPROVED 94% OF THE THINGS THAT COME TO THEM, THAT'S PART OF THE  
PROBLEM. IT'S NOT ABOUT -- IT'S NOT ABOUT JUST SHORT-TERM RENTAL  
OR IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT MULTI-FAMILY THIS, AND IT'S NOT JUST  
ABOUT SUBDIVISIONS, BUT OUR PLANNING AND ZONING SYSTEM APPROVES  
EVERYTHING. AND I'M NOT QUESTIONING WHETHER THEY SHOULD OR  
SHOULDN'T OR WHAT INDIVIDUAL CASES, I'M A NUMBERS GUY MYSELF,  
AND WHEN YOU'RE APPROVING 94%, 98%, THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG.  
THERE SHOULD BE MORE DISCRETION SOMEWHERE WITH SOMEBODY. SOME  
GROUP SOMEWHERE SHOULD BE ABLE TO SAY NO. IF YOU CAN'T SAY NO,  
THEN DON'T SIT ON THE COMMITTEE. ALL RIGHT, KEVIN, YOU'RE UP.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD POINT OUT THAT THERE  
ARE AT LEAST EIGHT MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL WHO HAVE NO POINT OF

REFERENCE FOR WHAT IT IS COUNCILMAN PEDEN IS SUGGESTING, SO --  
ACTUALLY, NO. I WOULD --

>> THEY'VE BEEN HERE FOUR MONTHS. THEY KNOW EXACTLY WHAT  
I'M TALKING ABOUT ALREADY.

>> I WOULD, YOU KNOW, REITERATE WHAT SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES  
HAVE POINTED OUT ALREADY, AND GROWTH FOR THE SAKE OF GROWTH IS  
NOT ALWAYS A GOOD IDEA. NEW TECHNOLOGIES JUST BECAUSE THEY OFFER  
NEW OPPORTUNITIES IS NOT NECESSARILY A GOOD IDEA. I KNOW MANY OF  
US ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE WAY THAT BIRD SCOOTERS WAS BROUGHT  
IN, THE WAY UBER HAS BEEN OPERATING IN OUR TOWN. JUST BECAUSE  
IT'S A, YOU KNOW, TECHNOLOGY-BASED IDEA OR INNOVATION DOESN'T  
NECESSARILY MEAN IT'S GOOD FOR US. I WOULD START THERE. BUT AT  
THE SAME TIME WE DO NEED TO RECOGNIZE THAT THOSE INNOVATIONS ARE  
GOOD WHEN REGULATED, AND I THINK REGULATION IS QUITE APPROPRIATE  
AND LIKE SO MANY OF MY COLLEAGUES HAVE SPOKEN BEFORE, I  
APPRECIATE ALL THE WORK THAT'S BEEN DONE SO FAR. I, LIKE SOME OF  
MY COLLEAGUES, THE 600-FOOT RULE IS, I FIND TO BE A LITTLE BIT  
TOO MUCH, BUT I DO APPRECIATE IT AND UNDERSTAND WHY, YOU KNOW,  
WHERE THAT'S COMING FROM. SO I'M OFFERING AN AMENDMENT TO THAT  
PIECE. NOT TO DO AWAY WITH THE 600-FOOT RULE, BUT SIMPLY TO  
ACKNOWLEDGE THAT IF SOMEONE IS LIVING IN A NEIGHBORHOOD AND THEY  
ARE A PRIMARY OWNER, YOU KNOW, THEY LIVE IN THE PLACE THEY ARE  
RENTING, AND THEY HAPPEN TO BUY ONE TWO DOORS DOWN FROM THEM,  
YOU STILL HAVE A NEIGHBOR LIVING IN THAT HOUSE, AND THE NEIGHBOR

OWNS THE HOUSE TWO DOORS DOWN. WHATEVER CONCERNS YOU HAVE ABOUT SOME OUTSIDE INVESTOR SWOOPING INTO A NEIGHBORHOOD BUYING EVERYTHING UP AND CAUSING PURE, YOU KNOW, PURE NIGHTMARE FOR EVERYBODY ELSE, THE OWNER OF THAT PROPERTY IS RIGHT NEXT DOOR. ALSO HAD IN A DIFFERENT DISCUSSION, TRIED TO CREATE A RENTAL REGISTRY, BECAUSE WE HAVE THE SAME PROBLEM WITH APARTMENTS, WHERE FOLKS DON'T LIVE ANYWHERE NEAR THE APARTMENT, SOMETHING GOES WRONG AND CREATES NIGHTMARES, CAN'T FIGURE OUT WHO THE OWNERS ARE. SO I GET THE NEED FOR THE 600 FOOT TO KEEP OUTSIDE INVESTORS WHO JUST DON'T CARE ABOUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD, BUT I WORRY ABOUT THE COUPLES, THE YOUNG FOLKS, WHO HAVE A FULL-TIME JOB AND WHO HAVE A SKILL SET THAT MAKES IT POSSIBLE FOR THEM TO REMODEL A PROPERTY. THEY PURCHASE A HOME, THEY REMODEL IT, THEY RENT ONE APARTMENT OUT OF THAT PROPERTY, AND THEY GENERATE ENOUGH REVENUE OVER A NUMBER OF YEARS THEY CAN AFFORD NOW TO BUY THE HOUSE TWO DOORS DOWN FROM THEM AND THEY RENOVATE THAT HOUSE AND CAN AFFORD TO BUY A HOUSE, YOU KNOW, NOT TOO FAR FROM THEM. THAT'S NOT THE SAME PROBLEM THAT WE'RE WORRIED ABOUT. THAT'S NOT SOMEBODY COMING IN AND SWOOPING INTO A NEIGHBORHOOD. THAT'S -- THAT'S WHAT WE'RE, I THINK, TRYING TO PROTECT, IS THE QUALITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. WE'RE STILL RECOGNIZING THAT THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES FOR GROWTH HERE, AND SO, ANYWAY, MY PROPOSAL WOULD BE THAT LOOK AT D AND AT THE END OF D, I WOULD SIMPLY ADD ONE BIT OF LANGUAGE THAT WOULD SAY, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PROPERTIES

OWNED BY AN INDIVIDUAL WHOSE PRIMARY RESIDENCE IS WITHIN THE 600 FEET. SO YOU'RE NOT OPENING IT UP TO ANYBODY, YOU'RE JUST SAYING IF I LIVE IN THIS PLACE AND I OWN A PROPERTY THAT'S TWO DOORS DOWN FROM ME, I CAN OPEN, I CAN TURN THAT INTO A SHORT-TERM RENTAL AND BUY ONE TWO DOORS DOWN IN THE OTHER DIRECTION, IF IT SHOULD COME AVAILABLE, AND I WOULDN'T BE LIMITED BY THE FACT THAT I ALREADY OWNED ONE. BUT IT WOULD LIMIT THAT TO A PRIMARY RESIDENCE. YOU HAVE TO LIVE WITHIN 600 FEET, OTHERWISE YOU'RE BACK AT THE SAME STANDARD AS EVERYBODY ELSE. SO I'D MOVE THAT AS AN AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SO YOU HAVE A MOTION, WE HAVE A SECOND. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> I UNDERSTAND THE POINT OF THE AMENDMENT. THE QUESTION I WOULD HAVE, WHAT HAPPENS IF THAT PERSON THEN MOVES? I DON'T KNOW THE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM WOULD THEN WORK.

>> I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER NECESSARILY. IT WOULD BE FINE WITH ME WHEN THAT PERSON MOVES, THAT ONLY ONE OF THOSE PROPERTIES COULD GET A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. I WOULDN'T MIND SEEING THAT OPPORTUNITY STAY WITH THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY AS OPPOSED TO WHAT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED EARLIER, THAT THESE CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS ACTUALLY CARRY WITH THEM SOME VALUE THAT ONCE YOU'VE GOTTEN A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, YOU CAN THEN TRADE THOSE WITH MY AMENDMENT I WOULD PREFER THAT YOU NEED BE ABLE TO TRADE THEM, THAT IF THAT'S THE DECISION THAT YOU MADE, YOU WERE

LIVING IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, AND YOU DECIDED THIS ISN'T YOUR THING, THAT'S FINE, YOU CAN SELL THE HOUSES OUTRIGHT IF YOU WANT TO, RENT THEM AS LONG-TERM RENTALS IF YOU WANT TO, BUT YOUR ABILITY TO TURN A NEIGHBORHOOD INTO A SHORT-TERM RENTAL NEIGHBORHOOD SHOULD BE LIMITED. I THINK THAT'S THE WHOLE GOAL OF THIS, TO SAY WE DON'T WANT NEIGHBORHOODS TO BE BOUGHT OUT. I WOULD NOT ALLOW THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO BE TRADED.

>> THE C.U.P. IS WITH THE LAND, AND SO IF I SUBSEQUENTLY MOVE, THAT PROPERTY STILL HAS THE C.U.P. AND WILL CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS A SHORT-TERM RENTAL.

>> YOU COULD PUT A CONDITION ON THE C.U.P. THAT DOESN'T ALLOW THAT. YOU COULD PUT A CONDITION THAT SAYS THIS C.U.P. IS GRANDFATHERED IN WITH THIS PARTICULAR OWNER, AND WHEN IT CHANGES HANDS, YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO CHANGE THAT CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

>> BUT THE PROPERTY I OWN IS NOT CHANGING HANDS. I STILL OWN IT. I'M MOVING OUT OF MY PRIMARY RESIDENCE.

>> I DO, BUT THE C.U.P. YOU HAVE IS CONDITIONAL ON THE FACT THAT YOU LIVE THERE.

>> ON THAT OTHER PROPERTY. IF YOU MOVE OUT OF THAT PROPERTY, IT CREATES EXACTLY THE PROBLEM THAT COUNCILMAN COAN IS TRYING TO AVOID, AND IF WE DID THAT, I HAVE TO TELL YOU, I WOULD BE VOTING AGAINST MY OWN AMENDMENT. I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF OPENING

THIS UP TO WHERE, YOU KNOW, FOLKS CAN JUST SWOOP IN. I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN.

>> I GUESS I WOULD ARGUE THE SCENARIO LAID OUT IS ACTUALLY COVERED THROUGH THE DISCRETION OF BOZA.

>> I DON'T DISAGREE, EXCEPT THAT AS WE DRAW THE -- AS WE VOTE AND TALK ABOUT AND DRAW OUT WHAT THIS IS GOING TO BE, BOZA IS GOING TO USE WHAT WE DECIDE. SO IF WE DON'T GIVE BOZA SOME SENSE THAT WE ANTICIPATE THAT KIND OF LATITUDE, THEN I DON'T -- I RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE THAT BOZA WOULD FEEL LIKE THAT'S AN OPTION FOR THEM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> YEAH, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I THINK COUNCILMAN WINKLER JUST MADE MY POINT THAT I WAS GOING TO MAKE, AND THAT IS I WAS ON THE FENCE, AND THE ONE THING THAT SORT OF SWUNG ME OVER WAS BY ESTABLISHING THE 600-FOOT RULE, IT IS THE GUIDE POST AND AT LEAST NOW GIVES BOZA DISCRETION. AT THE END OF THE DAY, A C.U.P. UNDER THIS CIRCUMSTANCE IS SOMETHING THAT IS POTENTIALLY WARRANTED. THE FACT THAT WE'VE SPOKEN TO THIS AND ON THE RECORD SUPPORTED OF IT, IS SOMETHING THAT HELPS BOZA IN THAT WAY, SO I THINK IT'S ALREADY COVERED. BECAUSE OF THAT, MR. PRESIDENT, I'D CALL THE QUESTION ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION, PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF COUNCILMAN KRAMER'S PROPOSED AMENDMENT

PLEASE SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED. MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE PUT IT ON THE BOARD? WITHOUT OBJECTION, VOTING IS CLOSING. VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 8 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: PROPOSED AMENDMENT BY COUNCILMAN KRAMER FAILS. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> I WAS GOING TO RESPOND TO THAT, BUT GLAD COUNCILMAN ACKERSON CALLED THE QUESTION. NOTHING LEFT TO SAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAD ONE ABSTENTION, AND THAT WAS -- OKAY. ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, I'M SORRY.

>> I HAVE NOTHING ELSE TO SAY, AND I CALL THE QUESTION ON THE ORDINANCE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION ON THE ENTIRE ORDINANCE SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED? THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. THE QUESTION WILL BE CALLED. MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 23 YES VOTES, ONE NO VOTE, ONE ABSTENTION, AND ONE NOT VOTING. ONE ABSTENTION IS COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN. AND THE ONE NO VOTE IS COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOUR ABSTENTION IS --

>> I HAVE FRIENDS THAT OWN AIRBNB.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY.

>> THEY ARE NOT 600 FEET.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. COUNCILMAN COAN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR HARD WORK ON THAT. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 40.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-4 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY, R-7 RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY AND C-2 COMMERCIAL TO PEC PLANNED EMPLOYMENT CENTER AND CHANGING THE FORM DISTRICTS FROM SUBURBAN MARKETPLACE CORRIDOR AND NEIGHBORHOOD TO SUBURBAN WORKPLACE ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5530-5540 MINOR LANE CONTAINING 61.37 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1049) (AS AMENDED). READ IN FULL.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS WAS A ZONING CHANGE FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5530-5540 MINOR LANE, PROJECT NAME LOGISTIC AIR PARK. THE PROPERTY IS A 61-ACRE TRACK WITH TWO WAREHOUSE BUILDINGS PROPOSED. THERE WAS SOME OPPOSITION WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTING 4-1 IN FAVOR. TWO AMENDMENTS, BINDING ELEMENTS, WERE APPROVED AT THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE, ONE BY COUNCILMAN FOX OUTLINING PROHIBITED USES, THE OTHER BY COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD PROTECTING A NATIVE AMERICAN BURIAL GROUND ON THE PROPERTY. THE PROPERTY IS IN COUNCILMAN MARK FOX'S DISTRICT, DISTRICT 13, AND I'M ASKING IF HE WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE ORDINANCE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN FOX?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THANK YOU, MR. VICE CHAIR. WE'VE WORKED WITH THE COUNTY'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WITH THE DEVELOPER, GOT THE BINDERS IN THERE THAT WE FELT WERE NECESSARY FOR THE PROTECTION AND FUTURE LIVABILITY OF THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD, AND AT THIS POINT I WOULD ENCOURAGE A YES. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 25 YES VOTES, ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 41.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-6 RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY TO C-R COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1805 MELLWOOD AVENUE CONTAINING .10 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1026). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND TO APPROVE. THE MOTION AND ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THIS IS FOR A RETAIL COMMERCIAL USE -- IS FOR RETAIL COMMERCIAL USE LOCATED NEAR BROWNSBORO ROAD FOR THE REUSE OF AN

EXISTING HOME THAT WAS BUILT IN 1900, A LONG TIME AGO. PLANNING COMMISSION VOTED UNANIMOUSLY IN FAVOR. THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN DISTRICT 9, REPRESENTED BY COUNCILMAN BILL HOLLANDER, AND COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SPEAK TO THIS ORDINANCE?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. VERY BRIEFLY, THIS IS ONE HOME BEING CHANGED FROM R-6 TO CR, I URGE APPROVAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE. WOULD THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 42.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING [\$7,750] \$8,750 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$4,000 FROM DISTRICT 12; \$1,000 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 13 AND 25; \$750 FROM DISTRICT 14; \$500 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 1, 3, 21, AND 22; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO HARBOR HOUSE OF LOUISVILLE, INC. FOR PROGRAM EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE 16TH ANNUAL KEN-DUCKY DERBY (AS AMENDED). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN -- BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS THE 16TH ANNUAL KEN-  
DUCKY DERBY, THIS IS PART OF THE KENTUCKY DERBY FESTIVAL  
CELEBRATION. THE DUCK RACE IS AT 6:00 P.M., AND IF YOU'VE NEVER  
BEEN THERE, IT'S A GREAT TIME. THEY DUMP THOUSANDS OF PLASTIC  
DUCKS INTO THE RIVER. HOPEFULLY, THE RIVER IS NOT UP, SO THEY  
CAN ACTUALLY USE THE RIVER THIS YEAR, AND ONE WINNER THEN WINS A  
NEW CAR. SO IT'S A LOT OF FUN. SO ALL PROCEEDS GO TO HARBOR  
HOUSE, WHICH SUPPORTS PROGRAMMING AND ITS MISSION TO EMPLOY  
ADULTS LIVING WITH DEVELOPMENTAL AND INTELLECTUAL DISABILITIES  
AND THEIR FAMILIES. AND SO THEY HAVE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES  
AND I KNOW SOME OF YOU ACTUALLY USE THEM FOR MAILINGS. THEY DO  
THE MAILINGS FOR FOLKS. SO THE TOTAL REQUEST WAS \$52,000. THIS  
WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE. WE HAVE A CURRENT AMOUNT OF \$8,750, IF  
ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO JOIN IN, CERTAINLY HAPPY TO ADD YOU AS A  
SPONSOR AND A CONTRIBUTOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN  
SHANKLIN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. HOW MUCH DID YOU SAY THEY  
NEEDED?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SAID THEY NEEDED \$1 MILLION FROM YOUR  
ACCOUNT.

>> THOUGHT HE SAID \$50. DID I HEAR RIGHT?

>> TOTAL REQUEST WAS \$52,000. WE'RE AT \$8,250.

>> OKAY, I WANT TO PUT IN \$500.

>> THAT WILL WORK.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$500 FROM DISTRICT NO. 2. ADD \$250 FROM DISTRICT NO. 6.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? MAY I HAVE A -- ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENTS SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? AYES HAVE IT. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION UNDER THE ORDINANCE? HEARING NONE, THIS IS A VOTE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING, AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 42.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,500 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$5,000 FROM DISTRICT 13; \$1,750 FROM DISTRICT 14; \$1,000 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 12, 15, AND 23; [AND] \$500 FROM DISTRICT 21; AND \$250 FROM DISTRICT 8; TO THE LOUISVILLE METRO PARKS DEPARTMENT FOR FOREST FEST 2019, THE ANNUAL BLUEGRASS FESTIVAL TO BE HELD AT THE JEFFERSON MEMORIAL FOREST (AS AMENDED). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND? THANK YOU. MOTION IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN, PRESIDENT BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SO THIS WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE TO ADD ADDITIONAL SPONSORS. COUNCILMAN MARK FOX IS THE PRIMARY SPONSOR. THE TOTAL REQUESTED AMOUNT WAS \$20,000, AND WE ARE CURRENTLY AT \$10,500. COUNCILMAN FOX MAY WANT TO --

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN FOX?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS ONE OF TWO PREEMINENT EVENTS IN DISTRICT 13 AND THIS IS LATELY EVOLVED INTO A REGIONAL DRAW FOR BLUEGRASS MUSIC FESTIVAL PARTICIPANTS. IT'S A GREAT DAY. IT'S SATURDAY, MAY THE 18TH, AND IT STARTS AT 10:30, GOES TO 7:00 IN THE EVENING. GOOD FAMILY ENTERTAINMENT THAT INCLUDES ROCK WALL, LOTS OF LIVE NATURE INVOLVEMENT WITH CHILDREN. THANK MY COLLEAGUES THAT HAVE GOT ONBOARD AS CO-SPONSORS AND ASK FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILMAN YATES?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I THINK THIS DRAWS PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE CITY. OUT THERE ENJOYING IT AND SEEING SOME OF THE TREASURES WE HAVE IN METRO LOUISVILLE. \$500 FROM DISTRICT 25.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. \$500 FROM DISTRICT 25. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> I'LL GIVE \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. I'LL TAKE A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR THE AMENDMENT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AMENDMENT PASSES. NOW WE'RE UNDER DISCUSSION FOR THE ORIGINAL -- AMENDED ORDINANCE. ANY FURTHER

DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WOULD THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING, AND COUNCILMAN ACKERSON WOULD LIKE TO BE MARKED AS A YES. VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: THERE ARE 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 44.

>> CLERK: ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$8,750 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$4,000 FROM DISTRICT 15; [AND] \$1,000 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 12, 13, 21, AND 25; \$500 FROM DISTRICT 23; AND \$250 FROM DISTRICT 24; TO LOUISVILLE METRO PARKS DEPARTMENT FOR PROGRAMMING COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE 2019 SERIES OF MOVIES, ART EVENTS, FESTIVALS, AND OTHER FREE AND LOW COST EVENTS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AT IROQUOIS PARK AMPHITHEATER (AS AMENDED). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND? THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN, DR. PRESIDENT BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SO THIS WAS ALSO AMENDED IN COMMITTEE FOR ADDITIONAL SPONSORS. COUNCILMAN KEVIN TRIPLETT IS THE PRIMARY SPONSOR AND HE MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO THAT AND ENCOURAGE OTHERS TO JOIN HIM.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, AND DR. PRESIDENT CHAIRMAN BLACKWELL. THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT. WE THOUGHT WE'D BRING IT

HERE TONIGHT BEFORE THIS BODY FOR ADDITIONAL SUPPORT. ONCE AGAIN, THIS IS FOR FREE MOVIES AT THE AMPHITHEATER AT IROQUOIS PARK, ALONG WITH OTHER LOW-COST FAMILY ENTERTAINMENT, OTHER PLAYS AND PERFORMANCES AT OR BELOW MARKET VALUABLE, SO IT'S AFFORDABLE FAMILY ENTERTAINMENT AT THE BEAUTIFUL IROQUOIS AMPHITHEATER AND HOPES WE MAY GAIN ADDITIONAL SUPPORT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN?

>> BY THE WAY, DID WE LOSE OUR PAGE? Y'ALL RAN HER OFF, DIDN'T YOU?

>> IT WAS HER BEDTIME. THAT'S WHAT SHE TOLD ME.

>> RAN HER TO DEATH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, COUNCILMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD LIKE -- DISTRICT 14 WOULD LIKE TO ADD \$1,000. IT'S A GREAT VENUE, AND IT'S VERY WELL ATTENDED. I ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO PARTICIPATE AND GIVE TO THIS GREAT PROJECT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, DISTRICT 14 FOR \$1,000, AND COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, DISTRICT 25 IS ALREADY IN ON THIS, BUT I'D LIKE TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE. IN THE SUMMERTIME WHAT I'VE NOTICED OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS IS THE AMOUNT OF YOUNG PEOPLE IT'S BUILT UP TO GO TO FREE MOVIE NIGHTS, SEE A GRANDMA WITH SIX GRANDKIDS ALL TOGETHER HAVING THAT FUN MOVIE DATE AND

IROQUOIS AMPHITHEATER IS A TREASURE IN OUR AREA THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T KNOW ABOUT HERE IN METRO LOUISVILLE, SO THERE'S THE BUS LINE, PEOPLE COME IN. YOU'LL SEE LITERALLY 50 PEOPLE GET OFF A BUS AND COME IN AND ENJOY AND KIND OF HAVE THAT FAMILY NIGHT. A LOT OF US REMEMBER GROWING UP AND GOING TO THE DRIVE-IN, THAT SAME KIND OF EXPERIENCE. IT'S FREE, IT'S POSITIVE, BUT ESPECIALLY IN THE SUMMERTIME WHEN A LOT OF TIMES YOUNG PEOPLE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO. A MOM OR GRANDMA, AUNT, OR EVEN A MENTOR CAN TAKE PEOPLE IN THERE FOR FREE AND ENJOY IT WEEKEND AFTER WEEKEND. I WATCHED IT GROW AND HOPE OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS WOULD ENCOURAGE AT A TIME WITH A TIGHT BUDGET CRUNCH, IT'S ONE OF THOSE THINGS, WE'RE ALL IN IT TOGETHER AND HOPEFULLY PEOPLE WILL CONTINUE TO CROSS OVER DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINES AND ENJOY FREE ASSETS TOGETHER. HOPEFULLY, SEVERAL PEOPLE WILL CLICK IN, EVEN FOR LOW DOLLAR AMOUNTS, JUST TO SHOW COMMUNITY SUPPORT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN DR. SHANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SEEMS I'M GIVING AWAY ALL MY MONEY TONIGHT. I WANT TO GIVE \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FROM DISTRICT NO. 2. AND COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY.

>> \$250 FROM DISTRICT 3.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FROM DISTRICT 3. AND COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> \$250 FROM DISTRICT 8. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMAN YATES FOR POINTING OUT HOW SPECIAL IROQUOIS AMPHITHEATER IS. IT'S AN ABSOLUTE GEM OF ANY ASSET THE GOVERNMENT AND THE PEOPLE OWN. WELL STATED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> \$250 FROM DISTRICT 17. I'D ALSO, DR. SHANKLIN IS GIVING OUT MONEY, I'D JUST REFER YOU TO MY OFFICE AFTER THIS.

>> OKAY, THANKS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FROM DISTRICT NO. 6, MR. CLERK. WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US?

>> CLERK: THE NEW TOTAL AMOUNT AT THIS POINT IS \$11,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR THE AMENDMENT.

>> SO MOVED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION FOR THE AMENDED ORDINANCE? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION, VOTING IS CLOSING, AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 45.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$8,150 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$2,000 \$4,000 FROM DISTRICT 22; \$1,000 FROM DISTRICT 19; \$500 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 1, 16, AND 21; \$300 FROM DISTRICT 14; \$250 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 6, 12, 17, 20, AND 23; AND \$100 FROM DISTRICT 3; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT OF KENTUCKIANA, INC. FOR CURRICULUM MATERIALS (AS AMENDED). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE FOR ADDITIONAL SPONSORS, AS WELL. TOTAL REQUEST IS \$25,000, CURRENT AMOUNT IS \$8,150. COUNCILMAN ENGLE WANTED THIS ON OLD BUSINESS SO THAT -- ACTUALLY, IT'S ON OLD BUSINESS BECAUSE OF THE AMENDMENT, BUT COUNCILMAN ENGLE ALSO WANTED IT ON OLD BUSINESS BECAUSE HE KNEW DR. SHANKLIN WOULD BE IN A GOOD MOOD TONIGHT AND BE WILLING TO BE PART OF THIS, AS WELL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN ENGLE, I'LL LET YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

>> ENCOURAGED TO HEAR DR. SHANKLIN'S GOT SO MUCH NDF MONEY, THAT'S ENCOURAGING. COLLEAGUES, JARED, MY L.A., HAS PASSED OUT TO YOU THE JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT PACKET. I WANT TO THANK THE

CHAIRMAN FOR ALLOWING US TO COME OVER AND ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE, DEBORAH HOFFER CAME AND I APPRECIATE THAT. COLLEAGUES, AS MANY OF US HAVE BEEN SERVING HERE FOR YEARS AND ALL OUR NEWER FOLKS, YOU COME TO SUPPORT VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS IN YOUR DISTRICT. I CAN SPEAK TO MY FERN CREEK UNITED MINISTRIES, I AM ALL ONBOARD WITH THEM, BUT THEN THERE'S OTHER GROUPS THAT SORT OF STRIKE YOU ALONG THE WAY OUTSIDE OF YOUR DISTRICT, AND JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT FOR ME IS THAT ONE ORGANIZATION. FOLKS, THIS TOUCHES EVERY SINGLE DISTRICT IN METRO. 26 OF US. AND YOUR PACKET WILL DOCUMENT THAT IF YOU PULL YOUR BEAUTIFUL PICTURE THAT WE HAVE PHOTOED IN THIS PACKET, YOUR DISTRICT NUMBER, YOU WILL SEE ALL OF THE SCHOOLS THAT JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENTS ENTERTAINS AND BRINGS INTO THEIR FINE FACILITY AT 1401 WEST MOHAMMED ALI IN THE HEART OF DISTRICT 4, AND THEY DO AN AMAZING JOB EDUCATING OUR YOUNG FOLKS AND GETTING PREPARED FOR THE BUSINESS WORLD AND FULFILLING THEIR DREAMS, WHATEVER THEY MAY BE. IT WILL SHOW THAT IN THERE ALSO IT DOCUMENTS ABOUT THE FACT THAT HOW THIS PROGRAM AFFECTS THESE STUDENTS POSITIVELY, HOW THEY THINK CRITICALLY, SOLVE PROBLEMS OVER TIME, THEY PERFORM MUCH BETTER THAN THEIR PEERS FOR GOING THROUGH THIS PROGRAM, SO I WANT TO, EXCUSE ME, ALSO SAY THAT AT THE 515 A COUPLE WEEKS AGO, I'M HOLDING UP THE JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT PACKET THAT EVERY ONE OF OUR JEFFERSON COUNTY SCHOOL STUDENTS GETS PRIOR TO COMING TO JA FOR THEIR FIELD DAY. THIS THING'S LIKE \$150, FOLKS, OKAY? BUT THEY DO RECYCLE THEM,

AND SO THEY WANT TO TRY TO CUT COSTS ON THAT. SO I JUST WANTED TO TEE THAT OFF AND SAY THAT I KNOW THIS IS BUDGET TIME, IT'S BUDGET TOUGH, THIS IS A TOUGH TIME FOR US, BUT WHAT BETTER TIME DO YOU HAVE TO INVEST IN THE FUTURE? OUR STUDENTS DON'T REALIZE THAT WE'RE IN A BUDGET CRUNCH. THEY ARE GETTING READY TO GO TO JA TO POTENTIALLY BE THE NEXT ENGINEER, TO POTENTIALLY BE THE NEXT METEOROLOGIST, POTENTIALLY TO BE THE NEXT BANKER. WE DON'T KNOW. SO I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT I APPRECIATE EVERYBODY THAT'S SIGNED ON ALREADY. I INCREASED MINE FROM TWO TO FOUR, WHATEVER, SO ANYWAY, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, FOR THE TIME TO TEE UP THE JA. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS THE LAST MONEY I'M GIVING OUT TONIGHT. I DO WANT TO SAY THAT WHEN I WAS QUITE YOUNG, I WORKED AT JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT ABOUT FIVE YEARS, AND A GROUP IN THE NEWBURGH AREA. WE WON EVERY AWARD THERE WAS AND, OF COURSE, I GOT A BRONZE AWARD, SO JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT IS REALLY CLOSE TO MY HEART, BECAUSE I KNOW WHAT IT DOES WITH THE KIDS, SO THANK YOU FOR DOING THIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. JUST A COUPLE YEARS AGO WHEN YOU WERE VERY A YOUNG.

>> I WANT TO GIVE \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY.

>> SPEAKING OF VERY YOUNG, I THINK I WAS IN THE FIRST YEAR WHEN THEY FIRST OPENED BIZTOWN, SO I'M A PRODUCT OF JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT AND A TEACHER OF JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT, SO I DID WANT TO ALSO PIGGYBACK ON COUNCILMAN ENGLE IN THE FACT THAT THEY ARE ALSO NOT ONLY ASKING FOR MONEY, BUT FOR VOLUNTEERS, SO IF ANYBODY WANTS TO VOLUNTEER TO TEACH, I HAVE A LOW AMOUNT, BECAUSE I AM COMMITTING A LOT OF TIME, SO I HAVE ACTUALLY SIGNED UP TO TEACH TWO CLASSES COMING UP, SO I WANT TO ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO DO THE SAME.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AND I MUST SAY, COUNCILMAN ENGLE DID AN OUTSTANDING JOB GIVING US THIS HANDOUT, BECAUSE I WAS SO PLEASANTLY SURPRISED TO SEE THAT DISTRICT 4 IS IN THE LEAD WITH \$3,169. NOW, DISTRICT 4 WILL NOT BE ABLE TO FINANCIALLY MATCH COUNCILMAN ENGLE'S, EVEN THOUGH YOU ARE REPRESENTING 596 STUDENTS IN EXPERIENCE. I WALKED IN THAT CHAMBER TONIGHT THINKING I WOULD BE ABLE TO FIND \$250. SIR, I'M PLEASED TO REPORT DISTRICT 4 WILL BE CONTRIBUTING \$1,000 THIS EVENING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. AND COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, AND I ALSO REALLY APPRECIATE WHAT JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT DOES AND STANDS FOR. MY DAD WAS A

VOLUNTEER AND TAUGHT CLASSES FOR A LONG TIME, AND, YOU KNOW, AT SOME POINT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IT, TEACH A CLASS, AS WELL, SO COUNT ME IN, YOU KNOW WHERE TO REACH ME. SO I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND PLEDGE ANOTHER \$250 TO HELP OUT MY FRIEND AND FELLOW GREEN BAY PACKER FAN, COUNCILMAN ENGLE. SO ANOTHER \$250 FROM DISTRICT 15.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT. COUNCILMAN ENGLE, I REALLY APPRECIATE THE PASSION YOU HAVE WITH THIS ORGANIZATION. I'D LIKE TO GO ON RECORD AND SAY I'D LOVE TO SUPPORT THIS ORGANIZATION. UNFORTUNATELY, SOME OF YOU ALL MAY BE AWARE THAT I CAME IN TO OFFICE IN A DEFICIT, SO, THEREFORE, HOPEFULLY, I CAN SUPPORT THIS GREAT ORGANIZATION IN THE NEXT UPCOMING YEAR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, FOR THE 1,600 KIDS THAT UTILIZE IN MY DISTRICT, DISTRICT 25, GIVE \$500. ALSO WANT TO POINT OUT THAT IN ADDITION JUST TO SCHOOLS, A LOT OF TIMES THEY USE IT. MY NEPHEW NOAH WAS ABLE TO KIND OF HELP LEARN AND UNDERSTAND HOW TO ORDER, SO THERE'S A LOT OF BENEFITS. ULTIMATELY, ANY TIME A YOUNG PERSON CAN LEARN TO BE ABLE TO LIVE ON THEIR OWN AND DO THINGS ON THEIR OWN, NOT ONLY IS THAT POSITIVE FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL, BUT ALSO A SUCCESS FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY. I APPLAUD THAT, THANK YOU FOR YOUR EFFORT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> YES, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I, TOO, HAVE SEEN THE RESULTS OF JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT AND BIZTOWN AND BEEN QUITE IMPRESSED, AND IT'S A MARVELOUS -- JA HAS INTRODUCED FOR MANY, MANY YEARS YOUNG PEOPLE, YOUNG STUDENTS, VERY YOUNG STUDENTS, TO BUSINESS AND ENTERPRISE AND FREE ENTERPRISE AND ON BEHALF OF OVER 800 STUDENTS IN DISTRICT 15, WE WILL PLEDGE \$250 TO THE CAUSE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. \$250. COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, ON BEHALF OF THE 1,400 IN DISTRICT 14, I'D LIKE TO DONATE \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250. AND COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY.

>> BEHALF OF MY COLLEAGUE, WHO IS IN A DEFICIT, DISTRICT 3 WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE THEIR AMOUNT TO \$250 ON BEHALF OF COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY. AND COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> \$500, DISTRICT 26.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$500, DISTRICT 26. COUNCILMAN ENGLE.

>> IF THERE'S NO OTHER AMENDMENTS, I'D LIKE TO MOVE THOSE AMENDMENTS, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: HAVE A SECOND? WHAT'S OUR TOTAL, MR. CLERK?

>> WHILE HE'S COUNTING? AS I MENTIONED IN THE 515, THE JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT FOLKS OVER THERE, ANYBODY WHO'S NOT BEEN TO THEIR FACILITY, THEY WELCOME YOU TO COME. COME OVER FOR LUNCH. THEY WILL HOST A LUNCH FOR YOU. IT IS AN AMAZING, YOU VISUALLY NEED TO SEE THIS THING. IT'S LIVE BUSINESS IN ACTION. COME ON DOWN THERE, THEY WANT TO SEE YOU. THANK YOU, ALL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER?

>> I WENT ON A TOUR LAST WEEK, AND IT IS NOTHING SHORT OF SPECTACULAR, IF YOU HAVE NOT BEEN THERE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> I JUST WANTED TO RECOGNIZE AND THANK MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY, FOR SUPPORTING ME. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. MR. CLERK, WHAT'S OUR TOTAL?

>> CLERK: NEW TOTAL AMOUNT IS \$11,300.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE'VE HAD THE AMENDMENT MOVED. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? AYES HAVE IT. AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ORDINANCE REQUIRES A ROLL CALL VOTE. WOULD THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, ITEM 46.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$5,450 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$2,000

FROM DISTRICT 21; \$1,000 FROM DISTRICT 9; \$500 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 6, 12, AND 13; \$450 FROM DISTRICT 10; AND \$250 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 2 AND 17; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO AMERICANA COMMUNITY CENTER, INC. FOR OPERATING EXPENSES. READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ORDINANCE HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED AND IS BEFORE US. COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SO THE ORIGINAL NDF WAS RESCINDED AND REINTRODUCED AS AN ORDINANCE FOR ADDITIONAL SPONSORS. THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS \$5,450. THEY ARE REQUESTING \$25,000. JUST FOR MY COLLEAGUES' SAKE, MAY HAVE SOUNDED LIKE COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN WAS GOING TO SLIGHT COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE, BUT SHE'S ALREADY ON HERE. DISTRICT 2, SHE'S ALREADY ON. SO COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE, I'M SURE, WOULD LOVE TO SPEAK TO THIS AND TRY TO ENCOURAGE OTHERS TO JOIN HER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT. YES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN, FOR ALREADY CONTRIBUTING. AMERICANA DOES SUCH IMPORTANT WORK IN THE COMMUNITY. NOT ONLY DO THEY SERVE FOLKS IN DISTRICT 21, BUT SERVE CHILDREN, YOUTH, FAMILIES, ACROSS THE COUNTY. THEY SERVE A REAL VITAL ROLE, NOT JUST IN THE WAY OF QUALITY PROGRAMMING AS IT RELATES TO AFTER SCHOOL, YOUTH

PROGRAMS, AND FAMILY EDUCATION, BUT REALLY ALSO SERVING A UNIQUE NEED IN THE COMMUNITY AS IT RELATES TO MEETING THE INDIVIDUALIZED NEEDS OF OUR IMMIGRANT COMMUNITIES. THESE DOLLARS WILL GO TO SUPPORT FAMILY EDUCATION THAT HELPS ENSURE THAT FOLKS ARE WORKING ON ENGLISH LITERACY, WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, PARENT AND CHILD TOGETHER TIME, FINANCIAL LITERACY, HEALTH AND WELLNESS WORKSHOPS, AND, OF COURSE, WE KNOW THAT STRONG YOUTH PROGRAMMING IS NEEDED. THESE DOLLARS GO TO SUPPORT WORK FOUR DAYS A WEEK YEAR ROUND, AND THEY WILL SERVE OVER 350 CHILDREN THIS YEAR ALONE. SO REALLY IMPORTANT WORK. AND AS YOU HEAR TONIGHT DURING THE BUDGET TIME, YOU KNOW, THERE'S LOTS OF CONCERNS AROUND SAFETY, QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES, AND, OF COURSE, EVEN GDP, AND I WILL SAY THAT BEING ABLE TO MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR COMMUNITY IN THIS WAY REALLY STARTS EARLY. THESE ARE PREVENTIVE SERVICES, AND IF ANYBODY CAN CONTRIBUTE ANYTHING ADDITIONAL TO MEET THEIR NEEDS, THEY ASKED FOR \$25,000 AND WE ARE CLEARLY SHY OF THAT. I WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATIVE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> YES, DISTRICT 14 IS IN FOR \$500, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 14 FOR \$500.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY A GROUP THAT'S BEEN AROUND THE COMMUNITY FOR A LONG TIME, DOES GOOD THINGS. I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THIS AT \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY.

>> SO I WENT FOR A VISIT TO SPECIFICALLY SEE THE IMPACT FOR DISTRICT 3, SO DISTRICT 3 IS IN FOR \$250. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. DISTRICT 20 WILL GIVE \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FOR DISTRICT 20. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 26, \$250. COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> DISTRICT 15, \$250, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 15, \$250. THANK YOU. YATES?

>> \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN GREENE?

>> \$250 FROM DISTRICT ONE, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FROM DISTRICT ONE. THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> MR. PRESIDENT, AS A POINT, ONE THING I WANT TO MENTION IS, AS PART OF THEIR AMERICANA'S FIBER WORKS PROGRAM, WHERE THEY HAVE WOMEN WORK ON MAKING GOODS TO THEN BE ABLE TO SELL WITH INCREASING THEIR ENTREPRENEURSHIP, THEY ARE GOING TO HOST AN EVENT THIS SATURDAY AT 12:00 IF ANYBODY DOESN'T HAVE THEIR DERBY HAT, THIS IS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY WHERE WOMEN CRAFTED THEIR OWN HATS AND THEY'LL BE SELLING THEM. THANKS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR THE AMENDMENT? ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AMENDMENT IS PASSED AND THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. OUR NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS. AS YOU LEAVE, PLEASE DO SO QUIETLY SO THE CLERK MAY READ NEW BUSINESS. I WOULD ASK THOSE COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO WISH TO MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS TO PLEASE REMAIN UNTIL AFTER NEW BUSINESS IS READ INTO THE RECORD. NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES OF ITEMS 47 THROUGH 60. WOULD THE CLERK PLEASE READ THOSE ITEMS AND THEIR ASSIGNMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE?

>> CLERK: THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$8,798.99 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$4,399.50 FROM DISTRICT 19 AND \$4,399.49 FROM DISTRICT 17 TO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES TO FUND A COMMUNITY CLEAN-UP EVENT AT 12975 SHELBYVILLE ROAD ON MAY 11, 2019. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$5,099.82 FROM DISTRICT 18 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS TO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES TO FUND A JUNK DROP OFF AND ELECTRONICS RECYCLING EVENT AT THE UNIVERSITY

OF LOUISVILLE'S SHELBY CAMPUS HELD ON APRIL 6, 2019. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE: A RESOLUTION HONORING REVEREND MONROE JOHNSON BY DEDICATING A PORTION OF 17TH STREET AT KENTUCKY STREET, BRECKINRIDGE STREET, AND GARLAND AVENUE AS "MONROE JOHNSON WAY" IN HIS HONOR. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE: A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT FOR THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES CONCERNING THE PURCHASE OF PROPRIETARY CASTINET SOFTWARE - (COMMUNITY ACTION KENTUCKY, INC. - \$85,000.00). A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE GRANTING OF LOCAL INCENTIVES TO IDEXX DISTRIBUTION, INC., AND ANY SUBSEQUENT ASSIGNEES OR APPROVED AFFILIATES THEREOF PURSUANT TO KRS CHAPTER 154, SUBCHAPTER 32. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM M-2 INDUSTRIAL TO R-8A MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND CHANGING THE FORM DISTRICT FROM TRADITIONAL WORKPLACE TO TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 917-927 SHELBY PARKWAY CONTAINING 0.77 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1064). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-4 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO OR OFFICE-RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5805 ASHBY LANE CONTAINING 1.71 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO.

17ZONE1080). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM OR-3 OFFICE-RESIDENTIAL TO C-2 COMMERCIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2940 BRECKENRIDGE LANE CONTAINING 1.02 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1081). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-7 RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY TO OR-3 OFFICE/RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4717 PRESTON HIGHWAY CONTAINING 1.0368 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1066). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM C-1 COMMERCIAL TO M-2 INDUSTRIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5102 EAST INDIAN TRAIL CONTAINING .42 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1065). THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$120,000 FROM THE KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 BIKE/PEDESTRIAN/MOTORIST EDUCATION AND SAFETY CAMPAIGN GRANT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 118, SERIES 2010 AS PERTAINING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 CAPITAL BUDGET AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 101, SERIES 2014 AS PERTAINING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 CAPITAL BUDGET AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 104, SERIES 2015 AS PERTAINING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 CAPITAL BUDGET AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 109, SERIES 2018 AS PERTAINING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2018-2019 CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT BY REALLOCATING \$165,744.52 OF COUNCIL DISTRICT 24 PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS CAPITAL

PROJECTS FUNDS TO COUNCIL DISTRICT 24 PAVING PROJECTS. AN ORDINANCE CREATING A NEW SECTION OF CHAPTER 131 OF THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF ORDINANCES CREATING THE CIVIL OFFENSE OF LITTERING. FOR REINTRODUCTION ONLY: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING § 72.083, § 72.085(A), AND REPEALING § § 115.350-369 AND REPLACING THEM WITH A REVISED §§ 115.350-362 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES (LMCO) TO IMPROVE UPON THE REGULATIONS OF ITINERANT VENDORS, PEDDLERS AND SOLICITORS (AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MR. CLERK. NEXT WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS. AND THE ANNOUNCEMENT FOR DISTRICT NO. 6 IS, THIS SATURDAY AT 10:00 A.M., THERE WILL BE A TAYLOR ARCADE WALKING TOUR, AND EVERYBODY WILL BE MEETING AT 10:00 A.M. AT THE FOOD MART AT 1600 ARCADE. THAT'S 1600 ARCADE, AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A TAYLOR ARCADE WALKING TOUR. I HOPE TO SEE YOU SATURDAY. THIS CONCLUDES OUR MEETING. OUR NEXT COUNCIL MEETING WILL BE THURSDAY, MAY 9TH, 2019, 6:00 P.M. HERE IN THE CHAMBERS. WITH NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE STAND ADJOURNED.