

[ROLL CALLED]. THE MEETING OF LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL, OCTOBER 22ND, 2020 WILL BE CALLED TO ORDER. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE].

>> THANK YOU EVERYBODY. COLLEAGUES OUR COVID-19 CASES IN LOUISVILLE AND ACROSS OUR STATE AND ESPECIALLY AMONG OUR CHILDREN CONTINUE TO RISE. AS OF THIS MORNING 370 LOUISVILLIANS HAVE DIED DUE TO COVID-19. AS OF YESTERDAY, 22,090 HAVE TESTED POSITIVE. WE CAN'T BECOME COMPLACENT. WE MUST FACE THIS CRISIS TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY AND EVERY PERSON LOST DIMINISHES OUR CITY. ALSO, I LIKE TO SAY THAT OCTOBER HAS BEEN DESIGNATED AS NATIONAL BREAST CANCER AWARENESS MONTH. THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY ESTIMATES THERE WILL BE 3,800 NEW DIAGNOSIS AND 690 DEATHS IN KENTUCKY. PLEASE TALK TO YOUR DOCTOR AND GET REGULAR EXAMS. I WANT TO GIVE A SHOUT OUT TO IRMA RICE. SHE'S THE CREATOR OF SISTER STRESS. IT IS A LOCAL EVENT TO BRING AWARENESS THAT AFRICAN-AMERICAN WILL BE MORE LIKELY TO BE DIAGNOSED. WE WILL LIGHT THE CLOCK TOWER. I ASK THAT WE COME TOGETHER IN A MOMENT OF SILENCE. THANK YOU.

THIS REGULAR MEETING OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IS BEING CONDUCTED BY VIDEO TELECONFERENCE KRS61.826 AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SENATE BILL 150. SOME MEMBERS ARE PRESENT IN THE CHAMBERS.

KONEMAN KOHN.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI, WINKLER
AND COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE AND
COUNCILMAN SCOTT REED.

IF WE EXPERIENCE A DISRUPTION
FOR ANY ATTENDEES, THE MEETING
WILL BE SUSPENDED FOR FIVE
MINUTES WHILE WE MAKE A
REASONABLE ATTEMPT TO FIX THE
ISSUE.

WHILE THE MEETING IS SUSPEND,
ALL DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC
BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO
ACTION TAKEN.

IF AFTER FIVE MINUTES THE ISSUE
WITH THE MEMBER'S FEED CONTINUES WE'LL
RESUME THE MEETING AND THE
MEETING WILL CONTINUE AS LONG AS
WE HAVE HAVE A QUORUM OF
MEMBERS.

IF WE EXPERIENCE ANY TECHNICAL
DIFFICULTIES THAT EFFECT THE
PUBLIC'S ABILITY TO SEE THE
MEETING AS A WHOLE, WE'LL

SUSPEND THE MEETING WHILE
ATTEMPTING TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE
AND ALL PUBLIC BUSINESS WILL
CEASE AND NO ACTION WILL BE
TAKEN.

AFTER 20 MINUTES THE ISSUE
CANNOT BE RESOLVED THE MEETING
WILL STAND ADJOURNED.

ANY UNFINISHED OR PENDING
BUSINESS WILL BE ADDRESSED AT
THE MEETING OF COUNCIL.

COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL HAS AN EXCUSED ABSENCE. MADAM CLERK,
PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> YOU HAVE 25 LEFT IN THE QUORUM.

>> ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?

>> YES, SIR, THERE ARE.

LET ME REMIND THOSE ADDRESS COUNCIL PLEASE REFRAIN FROM MAKING
DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO COUNCIL MEMBERS.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE BRING THEM FORWARD.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> HI, I'M HERE.

MY NAME IS DENISE OF DISTRICT 4.

THE ORDER OF POLICE DEFENDS COPS WHO USE EXCESSIVE FORCE AT TIMES

AND WHO ACT IN GROSS NEGLIGENCE.

BRENT HAD AN EXTENSIVE HISTORY OF ASSAULT WHEN THEY STOLE THE LIFE OF BRIANNA TAYLOR.

IT SHOULD NOT TAKE A NATIONAL UPRISING TO ASK COPS IN FATAL MISCONDUCT, YET HERE WE ARE.

I WANT TO SHARE MY EXPERIENCES THIS SUMMER IN LOUISVILLE, PLEASE KEEP IN MIND THE ADDITION BY MAYOR AND THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT TO INCENTIVIZE HIRING MORE POLICE.

I'M A NONVIOLENT PERSON AS ARE THE MAJORITY OVERWHELMING OF PROTESTERS.

I'VE EXPERIENCED THE CRUCIATING EFFECTS OF THE INHUMANE CHEMICAL AGENT TEAR GAS.

ON THE DAY OF THE BRIANNA TAYLOR CRIMINAL CASE ANNOUNCEMENT WE MARCHED.

THEY SURROUNDED AND STALKED PEOPLE, TAKING SAFE HAVEN IN THE CHURCH. I'VE SEEN POLICE SLAM A PERSON'S FACE ON CONCRETE AND VIOLENTLY YANK A MAN'S HAIR WHO WAS NOT HANDCUFFED.

I EXPERIENCED THE BANG THAT HAS SOUNDS OF BOMBS AND IN A CROWD WHERE MANY CHILDREN WERE PRESENT, WHICH LEFT CHILDREN SCREAMING AND IN TEARS.

OVER 700 PROTESTERS HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND ARE NOW CAUGHT UP IN THE SYSTEM.

ABOUT OFFICER JOHN MATTINGLY SAID PROTESTERS ARE THUGS AND THAT IT IS GOOD VERSUS EVIL.

STANDING UP FOR JUSTICE IS EVIL.

THE RESEARCH SHOWS THESE POLICE UNION CONTRACTS INCUBATE IMPUNITY.

THIS WEEK REFERRING TO THE CONTRACT RYAN NICOLS WROTE, THE APPROVAL OF METRO COUNCIL IS NOT NECESSARY OR EVEN APPROPRIATE.

THIS IS UNPRECEDENTED AND SAYS THAT YOUR VOICES DO NOT MATTER, WHICH SAYS OUR VOICES DO NOT MATTER.

AND THAT DOES NOT FLY WITH US THE PEOPLE WHO PAY POLICE SALARIES WHO THEY ARE SWORN TO A DO NOT THROW THAT REQUIREMENT.

WE HAVE SEEN IT ALL SUMMER.

WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR THE IMPOSSIBLE.

WE'RE ASKING TO HOLD VIOLENCE ACCOUNTABLE BECAUSE WHAT IS IN THE CONTRACT DIRECTLY EFFECTS THE PEOPLE IN LOUISVILLE.

WHY WOULD WE NOT HAVE A SAY?

CRAIG FISHER TURNED YOUR BACK ON US.

YOU CAN'T TURN YOUR BACKS ON US.

COUNCILMAN YATES WE NEED A MEETING GRANTED IMMEDIATELY.

I ASK YOU TO VOTE NO ON THIS CONTRACT.

THANK YOU.

>> KRISTI SMITH.

>> I'M HERE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

THE [INDISCERNIBLE] ASSOCIATION.

BUSINESSES THAT SURVIVE IN LOUISVILLE.

RESIDENTIAL [INDISCERNIBLE].

I HAVE BEEN A PROTESTER THE LAST THREE MONTHS, FOUR MONTHS, MY EXPERIENCE IS ALSO BEEN TEAR GAS.

I'VE BEEN ARRESTED TWICE.

I HAVE HAD MY WRIST BROKEN BY [INDISCERNIBLE].

THE ARGUMENT WITH THE CONTRACT IS NEEDED TO HAVE THE MOST TALENTED FORCE POSSIBLE AND DETAIN [INDISCERNIBLE] AND HAVE MORE QUALITY OFFICERS AND INCLUDING MORE MINORITIES.

THAT'S AN ARGUMENT FOR [INDISCERNIBLE] IN THE NEW S.O.P. CONTRACTS AND WE ALL [INDISCERNIBLE] VERY VERY ADVERSE TO IT.

BECAUSE BASICALLY WHY WOULD WE AWARD A POLICE FORCE THAT TURNED AT US AND OVERSTEPS THEIR BOUNDARIES AS FAR AS PRACTICE.

I WAS ARRESTED THE THIRD TIME.

TIME TO RENDER AID, I WAS THE ONE HAVING THEIR HEAD SLAMMED INTO THE CONCRETE.

I'M LICENSED ACTIVE E.M.T. AND CO-CHAIR OF RURAL METRO HUMAN TRAFFICKING TASK FORCE.

I'M CHAIR FOR VICTIM SERVICE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE STATE HUMAN TRAFFICKING TASK FORCE.

I'M ALSO A MEMBER OF THE SURVIVOR'S TOWN HALL.

I'M IN THE A THUG.

ALL OF THE PEOPLE I -- I PROTEST WITH, WE'RE NOT THUGS.

WE'RE PEOPLE THAT ARE TRIG TO UPHOLD OUR CONSTITUTIONAL AND PROTECT OUR FREEDOMS.

WE'RE TIGER OF SEEING OUR FRIENDS KILLED AND OUR LOVED ONES KILLED
AND BRUTALIZED AND BEING [INDISCERNIBLE].

[INDISCERNIBLE] POLICE.

WE DON'T KNOW WHO THAT IS NOW BECAUSE WE'VE SEEN SO MUCH.

I AND ANOTHER FIRST RESPONDER WERE -- TWO FIRST RESPONDERS ON THE
DAY OF JUNE 27.

I HAD VOLUNTEERED MY TIME AND TO SAY THAT IN ALL OF THE PEOPLE DOWN
THERE THAT [INDISCERNIBLE] THE HOUSE WITH, ALL OF THE, ALL OF THE
NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE IN THE CITY THAT -- THAT DURING COVID
HAVE BASICALLY HIBERNATING RIGHT NOW, WE'RE THE ONES THAT ARE AT THE
GRASS ROOTS DURING THIS.

WE DO IT FOR NOTHING BECAUSE OF QUALITY.

>> YOUR THREE MINUTES ARE UP.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH, PLEASE VOTE NO.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, THAT CONCLUDES THE ADDRESS.

>> NEXT COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR COUNCIL MINUTES OCTOBER 8TH,
2020.

ANY DELETIONS?

>> MOVE TO APPROVE.

>> THE MOTION HAS BEEN MOVED AND SECOND.

ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

>> OPPOSED?

>> YEAS HAVE IT AND APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES ALL IN 2020.

THE REGULAR COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE OCTOBER 8TH, 2020.

REGULAR COMMITTEE ON EQUITY INCLUSION AND REGULAR PLANNING AND ZONING
COMMITTEE, OCTOBER 18TH, 2020, REGULAR LABOR AND ECONOMIC COMMITTEE,
OCTOBER 13TH, 2020, REGULAR GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE, OCTOBER 13TH, 2020, REGULAR COMMUNITY AFFAIRS AND HUMAN
RELATIONS COMMITTEE, OCTOBER 14TH, 2020.

REGULAR PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE OCTOBER 15TH, 2020,
BUDGET COMMITTEE, OCTOBER 15TH, 2020.

ANY DELETIONS.

MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION AND SECOND.

ALL IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE YEAS HAVE IT.

THESE ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR.

PLEASE READ THOSE INTO THE RECORD.

>> THE HUMAN RELATIONS ADVOCACY ORDINANCE, I'M REAPPOINTING THE
FOLLOWING.

REAPPOINTMENT TERM EXPIRES ACCEPT 20TH, 2023.

AND CHRISTOFFER DUGAN AND BETH [ERMMAN](#).

YOUR PERMIT ACTION ON THESE APPOINTMENTS ARE MOST APPRECIATED,

SINCERELY GREG FISHER, MAYOR.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTECTION ORDINANCE, LAVON AUSTIN CORNISH,
EXPIRES 2023.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION IS MOST APPRECIATED.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ENFORCEMENT ORDINANCE, I'M REAPPOINTING
LESLIE FOX, THE TERM EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 20TH, 2023.

AND JUDGE A REAPPOINTMENT EXPIRES AND YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THESE
APPOINTMENTS IS MOST APPRECIATED.

SINCERELY GREG FISHER, MAYOR.

>> THOSE WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE.

NEXT IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

THAT'S ITEMS 16 THROUGH 24.

ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS?

MADAM CLERK, SECOND READING.

>> THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS FROM THE APPROPRIATIONS
COMMITTEE, ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING 7,000 DOLLARS TO THE OFFICE OF
MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET FOR MAINTENANCE OF ROSE PARK.

THE FOLLOWING WAS FROM THE BUDGET, ITEM 17 AND ORDINANCE NUMBER 21,
SERIES 19 AND DESIGNATED CAPITAL FUNDS AND THE PROJECT TITLE, JOE
WALKING PASS.

18, RESOLUTION HONORING DR. H. DONALD COCKERHAM WITH SECOND STREET
AS HE WEIGHS IN HIS HONOR.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS BOARDED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT

AND AUDIT COMMITTEE.

ITEM 18, APPOINTMENT OF MARIANNE LEWIS IT PLANNING.

ITEM 20, APPOINTMENT OF STEVE BOYD TO THE DISTRICT BOARD, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30TH, 2023.

AND THEN ROBYN EMRE TO THE COORDINATING COUNCIL AND THE FOLLOWING WAS FROM THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE.

AND THEN THE CAPITAL AND BUDGET ORDINANCE AND NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE FUNDS WITH LMPHW AND CONCERNING HIS EMPLOYMENT.

ITEM 23, RESOLUTION FOR THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCE IS FOR APPROPRIATIONS ON THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED CONTRACT FROM 911 SYSTEM SUPPORT OF UPGRADE AND INSTALLATION.

ITEM 24, A RESOLUTION IMPROVING THE GRANTING OF THE VR VERTICAL LLC AND ANY ASSIGNEES AND APPROVED AFFILIATES THERE OF TOER -- PURSUANT TO KRS CHAPTER 32.

>> MAY I HAVE MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY PIAGENTINI AND SECOND BY SMITH.

THE CALENDAR HAS BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED -- I'M SORRY, MADAM CLERK, A ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> ONE MORE TIME.

>> ARE YOU ABLE TO HEAR ME?

>> NOT THE FIRST TIME.

I HEARD YOU THE SECOND TIME.

>> OKAY.

THANKS.

>> I THINK THERE'S A DELAY, MA'AM.

>> YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.

>> OUR NEXT BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS.

PLEASE READ ITEM NUMBER 25.

>> APPOINTMENT OF GARY DRYDEN TO THE TRANSIT AUTHORITY OF RIVER
CITY BOARD.

TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30TH, 2023.

>> MOTION.

AND SECOND.

THE ORDINANCE HAS BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED.

ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMEMBER ACKERMAN.

>> THIS ITEM WAS PLACED ON THE OLD BUSINESS OF THE COUNCIL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

MADAM CLERK, ROLL CALL VOTE.

I'M SORRY.

COUNCIL MULVIHILL, PLEASE STATE YOUR ABSTENTION.

>> I HAVE TO ABSTAIN.

>> THANK YOU.

[ROLL CALLED].

COUNCILMEMBER YATES, ARE YOU THERE?

>> WE'RE GOING TO PAUSE THE MEETING, IT APPEARS WE LOST COUNCIL YATES.

I JUST RECEIVED A TEXT FROM COUNCIL.

>> HE'LL BE RIGHT BACK.

>> HE ADVISED US TO GO AHEAD WITH THE VOTE.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, 23 YES VOTES AND ONE ABSTENTION.

>> THANK YOU THE APPOINTMENT PASSES.

READING ITEM NUMBER 26.

>> AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN LIMITATIONS ON POLICE USE OF FORCE IN LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICIES.

AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION.

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THIS CAME OUT WITH THREE VOTING YES AND FOUR AGAINST.

WE WORKED HARD ON THIS ORDINANCE.

WE'VE BEEN COLLEGEAL AND NOT COMBATIVE AND MET WITH CHIEF SCHROEDER AND GENTRY AND INCORPORATED EVERY SECTION THEY SUGGESTIONS.

NOTHING ABOUT THIS ORDINANCE IS PROVOCATIVE.

IT MAKES GOOD SENSE AND PROMOTES OFFICER AND PRIVATE CITIZEN SAFETY.

IT IS THE NATIONAL BEST PRACTICE AND IT IS BASED ON RESEARCH, GOOD

POLICY, HAS BEEN ADOPTED IN NUMEROUS JURISDICTIONS ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

THE CHIEF OBJECTED TO ANYTHING SUBSTANTIVELY THROUGH THE ORDINANCE. WE HAVE NOT HEARD FROM ANY OF OUR COLLEAGUES TO OBJECT TO ANYTHING IN THIS ORDINANCE SUBSTANTIVELY AT ALL.

IN FACT THE OBJECTIONS WE'VE HEARD IS THAT FUTURE CHIEFS SHOULD BE ABLE TO IMPLEMENT THE POLICY ON THESE PARTICULAR POINTS.

I DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU ALL.

THERE'S NO TIME IN MY FOUR-WEEK OLD LIFE, FIVE YEARS OLD, 35 YEARS OLD OR 75 YEARS OLD WILL IT EVER BE APPROPRIATE TO USE CHOKE HOLDS WHERE DEADLY FORCE IS NOT NECESSITATED OR FOR MOVING VEHICLES TO BE SHOT IN TO WHEN THERE'S NOT A SAFETY ISSUE.

THERE'S IN TIME IN MY SON'S LIFE FOR THE USE OF FORCE CONTINUUM TO START WITHOUT FORCE.

THIS IS WHERE I WANT MY CHILDREN TO GROW UP IN.

NOT MATTERS OF LIFE AND DEATH AND SAFETY SUCH AS PROPOSED IN THIS ORDINANCE TO TURN ON WHO WAS IN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE OR THE CHIEFS OFFICE OR WHO WAS IN EACH OF OUR CHAIRS.

LONG AFTER WE'RE GOING, I WANT TOWER LEGACY TO BE THAT WE MADE LOUISVILLE THE BEST PLACE TO LIVE WORK AND PLAY FOR ALL OF OUR CITIZENS.

THIS ORDINANCE IS NOT ANTI-POLICE.

NOTHING ABOUT IT IS.

CHANGE IN EXPERIENCE IS SOMETIMES UNCOMFORTABLE.

THOSE THAT DO NOT SUPPORT IT.

ASK THEM WHY, ESPECIALLY WHEN 95 PERCENT OF WHAT IS COVERED HERE IN THIS ORDINANCE IS COVERED BY THE S.O.P. AND THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH ACCOUNTABILITY OF THAT ORDINANCE.

THERE'S ALSO NOTHING WRONG WITH MANDATING HR POLICY ABOUT THE ORDINANCE.

WE HAVE DONE IT BEFORE AND WE WILL DO IT AGAIN.

WE PASSED AN ORDINANCE THAT THE MAYOR HAS TO PASS THE BUDGET.

WE PASSED IN THE BOX.

WE PASSED THE CONTRACTING ORDINANCE.

THESE WERE ALL HR POLICIES.

WE DID IT BECAUSE WE WANTED TO STATE THAT OUR VALUE SYSTEM VALUES EACH OF THESE POLICIES ENOUGH THAT WE WANTED TO BE CODIFIED INTO LAW. THIS ORDINANCE IS NO DIFFERENT.

AS A VALUE SYSTEM, IT IS WHAT IS APPROPRIATE AND WHAT IS RIGHT.

AND WE HEARD FROM CHIEF GENTRY AS RECENTLY AS TODAY, SHE DOES NOT OBJECT TO ANY OF THE POINTS CONTAINED IN THIS ORDINANCE.

MOREOVER, IT WON'T TAKE LONG TO IMPLEMENT THE ONE POINT IN THIS ORDINANCE THAT IS -- THAT IS NOT ALREADY IN THE S.O.P. AND THE TEAR GAS POLICIES WERE CHANGED THIS SUMMER.

THIS IS NOT A TRAINING ISSUE.

THIS IS A FEAR OF MAKING ANY CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

MY FEAR IS THAT WE DO NOT DO THINGS DIFFERENTLY.

WE CANNOT CONTINUE TO POLICE IN THE SAME WAY THAT WE ALWAYS HAVE.
THINGS ARE GOING TO CHANGE.

THIS IS THE REASON WE INSTITUTE A NATIONWIDE SEARCH FOR POLICE CHIEF
THAT HAS BEEN CONDUCTED IN A MANNER THAT THE CITY HAS NEVER SEEN.
I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT WE HAVE A GREAT SLATE OF PROGRESSIVE -- POTENTIAL
POLICE CHIEF CANDIDATES THAT WANT TO WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY AND
RESTORE TRUST AND IMPROVE SAFETY.

THE TIME IS NOW.

AT THIS POINT, THERE MAY BE MORE POINTS ABOUT THE ORDINANCE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I HAVE VERY LITTLE TO ADD AFTER COUNCILMAN'S GREEN ELOQUENT RECITAL
NOW.

I WILL GIVE A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES OF COMMON PLACE TIMES WHERE THIS
METRO COUNCIL DICTATES DEPARTMENTAL POLICY.

WE DO THAT EVERY TIME WE MAKE CHANGES TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE
WHICH I KNOW MEMBERS OF BOTH PARTIES HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN MANY TIMES
OVER THE YEARS.

WE ALSO DICTATE HOW WE ACT OVER THE YEAR AND MAKING POLICY DECISION.
COUNCILMAN GREEN INDICATED THAT IT WORKS WITH THE S.O.P.S AND I'M
NOT SURE IT IS 95 PERCENT BUT IT IS 85 PERCENT.

THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS DONE A GOOD JOB AND SHOULD BE PROUD OF THE
USE OF FORCE POLICIES IT HAS IN PLACE NOW.

OF COURSE THEY'RE ACCOUNTABLE FOR FOLLOWING THEM.

THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE'LL BE DISCUSSING IN THE FUTURE.

WE'RE TRYING TO GET TO 100 PERCENT IN TERMS OF THESE BEST PRACTICES.

IT IS SOMETHING THAT OTHER CITIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY ARE DOING, ST.

LOUIS RECENTLY ENACTED BROAD USE OF FORCE POLICY.

AKRON, OHIO PASSED THE DUTY TO INTERVENE ON CHOKE HOLDS.

SEATTLE BAN, WASHINGTON, D.C. A VERY BROAD EMERGENCY ORDINANCE NOT

ALLOWING CHOKE HOLDS.

DIFFERENT CITIES TAKE THIS ACTION IN DIFFERENT WAYS.

I WANT TO REITERATE THAT SIX WEEKS AGO MAYOR FISHER SENT A LETTER,

THIS WAS IN ALL OF OUR PACKETS WHEN HE WAS RETURNING THE RESOLUTION

EXPRESSING NO CONFIDENCE BACK TO US, HE INCLUDED A LETTER SENT TO

THE JEFFERSON COUNTY LEGISLATION HOUSE AND SUBCOMMITTEE.

IT SAID DEAR MEMBERS, I SUPPORT THIS IN THE 2020 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

AND ACTIVATING PUBLIC SAFETY.

I HOPE THESE PROPOSALS WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE SPECIAL OR -- IN THE

SESSION AGENDA.

HE SAYS BAN CHOKE HOLDS, STRANGLEHOLDS AND WEIGHT ON SUBJECTS UNLESS

NECESSARY.

REQUIRE ALL POLICE DEPARTMENTS TO TRAIN ON DEESCALATION TECHNIQUES.

REQUIRE OFFICERS TO ACT TO PREVENT OR STOP ANY MEMBER REGARDLESS OF

RANK OR ASSIGNMENT FROM USING UNLAWFUL OR EXCESSIVE FORCE.

INTERVENTION MAY BE VERBAL AND OR PHYSICAL, CURRENT POLICY.

BAN SHOOTING AT MOVING VEHICLES EXCEPT IN SITUATIONS WHERE OFFICERS

ARE RETURNING GUNFIRE AND PREVENT A VEHICLE ATTACK.

THIS IS CURRENT LMP POLICY.

REQUIRE COMPREHENSIVE REPORTING AND PUBLIC RELEASE OF DOCUMENTATION WHEN OFFICERS USE FORCE.

HE SPECIFICALLY ENUMERATED AT LEAST FIVE OF THESE POLICIES THAT ARE PROPOSED IN THE ORDINANCE, INCLUDING SOME ARE EXISTING POLICY AND SOME WHERE THEY FLAGGED THEY WERE NOT POLICY.

IT IS CLEAR THAT THE ADMINISTRATION SUPPORT STATE LAW REQUIREMENTS BUT NOT CITY LAW REQUIREMENTS.

IF THE STATE LAW PASSES THAT BECOMES A CITY LAW.

I THINK FROM THE VERY TOP OF THE LEADERSHIP ACROSS THE STREET AND FROM ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND CERTAINLY FROM OUT IN THE STREETS AND I KNOW IN MY CONSTITUENCY AND MANY OTHERS THERE IS A REASONABLE CRY FOR GUARANTEES AGAINST THE USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE AGAINST CITIZENS BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

THESE ARE BASIC PROTECTIONS.

THEY'RE BASIC EXPECTATIONS.

I WOULD URGE YOU ALL TO VOTE YES IN FAVOR OF IT TONIGHT.

WE'RE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU.

I'LL START BY SAYING THAT THE REQUESTS OF THE MAYOR FOR POLICE REFORM ARE PROBABLY THE LAST PERSON I WOULD TAKE THAT ADVICE FROM IN THIS

CITY.

HE WAS THE MAYOR WHEN BRIANNA TAYLOR WAS KILLED.

HE'S BEEN THE MAYOR WHEN WE'VE SEEN OFFICERS NOT HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION WHEN THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN ACCOUNTABLE.

HE WAS THE MAYOR WHEN CHILDREN WERE ABUSED WITHIN THE LMPD.

A CASE WE STILL DON'T HAVE COMPLETED.

VICTIMS HAVE NOT BEEN MADE WHOLE FROM.

HE WAS THE MAYOR WHEN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ABUSED -- DIDN'T HAVE APPROPRIATE OVERSIGHT AND SOME MEMBERS STOLE MONEY FROM THE CITY.

FRANKLY, I'M NOT SURE IF HE'S THE PERSON I WOULD GO TO REGARDING POLICIES.

WITH THAT SAID, I HAVE TWO COLLEAGUES HERE WHO I DO TRUST.

BRANDON COAN AND JESSICA GREEN.

I TRULY APPRECIATE WHAT IS ATTEMPTED TO BE COMPLETED HERE.

AND I APPRECIATE PRECISELY WHAT COUNCILMAN COAN SAYS RELATING TO THE OUTCRY.

THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT IT.

I SAW A GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA ONE TIME INTERVIEWED AND HE SAID WHAT WAS THE TOUGHEST THING YOU HAD AS CHIEF EXECUTIVE THAT YOU HAD TO DO?

HE SAID THE TOUGHEST THING WAS SOMETIMES NOT DOING SOMETHING BECAUSE THAT WAS THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

THERE'S ALWAYS PEOPLE AND WE KNOW THIS AS ELECTED OFFICIALS WHO ARE ASKING FOR SOMETHING TO BE DONE.

ASKING FOR JUSTICES TO BE CORRECTED.

SOMETIMES THOSE POLICY CHANGES, SOMETIMES THOSE MEAN LAW CHANGES.

I SUPPORTED BRIANA'S LAW.

BRIANA'S LAW WAS IN CLEAR AND DIRECTLY TRYING TO PREVENT SOMETHING THAT LED TO THE DEATH, THE TRAGIC DEATH OF AN INNOCENT WOMAN IN LOUISVILLE.

THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE.

BUT I DON'T SEE HERE SPECIFICALLY, PARTICULAR WHEN AS MY COLLEAGUES ARGUED THAT WE ALREADY MADE IT AGAINST A POLICY WHY WE WOULD GO THE EXTRA STEP.

THERE'S A LOT OF POLICIES I DISAGREE WITH AND A LOT I AGREE WITH. I WOULD LOVE TO TAKE THE POWER AWAY AS MANY OF YOU KNOW FROM THE MAYOR TO MAKE CHANGES TO THOSE LAWS.

BUT I DON'T BECAUSE I RESPECT THE FACT THAT MY JOB AS A LEGISLATOR IS TO MAKE BROADER LAW.

HIS IS TO EXECUTE THE LAW.

SOMETIMES I SAY TO MY CONSTITUENTS, DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE HOMICIDE RATE.

DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE VIOLENT PROTESTS.

THAT'S THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH'S JOB AND IF I GET TOO INVOLVED THERE COULD BE REPERCUSSIONS.

IT HAS BEEN THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

IT IS DUBBED THE FERGUSON EFFECT WHERE WHEN TRAGEDY OCCURS AN EVERYREACTION CAN CAN RESULT IN WORST OUTCOMES AT THE END.

WE HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THE NEGATIVE POLICY OUTCOMES COULD BE.

WE'RE HOPING THAT THEY DON'T [INDISCERNIBLE].

THE TRAGEDIES YOU'VE SEEN.

100-PLUS HOMICIDES.

I HAVEN'T SEEN THIS METRO COUNCIL DO MUCH IT PASS LAWS, TO PASS RESOLUTIONS OR DO ANYTHING ABOUT THAT YET.

OKAY?

SO I'M NOT DISPUTING THAT THEY'RE JUST.

I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS TRUST OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, I HAVE THAT. THERE'S LOTS OF THINGS I WANT TO CODE GUY INTO LAW.

I THINK WE WANT TO BE CAREFUL.

I MET WITH CHIEF GENTRY AND WAS BLOWN AWAY BY HER HERE, BY THE DIRECTION SHE'S TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT, SHORT-TERM, I BEGGED HER WALKING OUT TO SEE IF SHE WOULD RECONSIDER WHAT HER FUTURE LOOKED LIKE.

SHE TALKED ABOUT OVERSIGHT, BETTER OVERSIGHT OF OFFICERS WHICH WOULD HAVE PREVENTED MANY SCANDALS THAT WE'VE SEEN.

THAT IS ACTION THAT WILL HAVE DEFINITIVE RESULTS AND IT IS DUE AND IT COMES FROM TRAGEDIES WE'VE SEEN AND APPROVED ADDITIONAL COMMANDERS IN THE FIELD AND ADDITIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY.

SHE TALKED ABOUT POLICING SOMETHING WE HAVE NOT DONE EFFECTIVELY IN THIS CITY THAT WILL PREVENT THINGS LIKE YOUNG BLACK MEN UNJUSTLY BEING PULLED OVER IN THEIR CAR FOR NO OTHER REASON THAN THEY'RE DRIVING A NICE CAR.

IT WILL TARGET THE ENFORCEMENT OF LAW AS OPPOSED TO DOING SO IN A WAY THAT COULD CAUSE DISTRUST IN THE COMMUNITY.

WE'RE NOT CODIFYING THOSE INTO LAW TODAY, WHICH I SAY WOULD CHANGE THE TRAJECTORY OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

IT IS NOT A QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT I AGREE WITH THE INTENT OR IF THIS IS A GOOD IDEA.

BUT THIS TYPE OF LEGISLATING UNCHECKED COULD HAVE SIGNIFICANT DOWNSTREAM CONSEQUENCES.

POLICE SOMETIMES NEED TO MAKE GOOD POLICY CHANGES.

NOW IF WE CONTINUE DOWN THIS ROAD, THEY WILL HAVE TO COME TO 26 MEMBERS, 26 POLITICIANS FRANKLY TO MAKE THOSE CHANGES BEFORE THEY WANT IT.

I THINK WE NEED TO GIVE THE CHIEF AN OPPORTUNITY AND THE NEW CHIEF AN OPPORTUNITY.

WE NEED TO SEE WHAT LEGISLATION WILL BE PASSED BY THE LEGISLATURE SOME OF WHICH I WHOLEHEARTEDLY AGREE WITH.

THE GOOD NEWS IS THAT WE CAN GIVE BOTH OF THESE GROUPS THEIR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SOME OF THE SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES THIS WE'LL HAVE HUGE IMPACT.

I WOULD ARGUE MUCH BROADER THAN THIS PARTICULAR LEGISLATIVE CHANGE AND THEN SEE WHERE THEY MISSED THE BOAT AND WHERE THEY MISSED THE BOAT, WHEN THEY'RE GIVEN A CHANCE TO CHANGE THEN WE CAN COME IN AND MAKE THOSE CHANGES.

I'M AFRAID THAT WE'RE JUMPING THE SHARK A LITTLE HERE.

AND FRANKLY, THIS HAS BEEN FILED, OUR NEW -- OUR NEW METRO COUNCIL GETS SEATED IN THE FIRST MONDAY OF JANUARY SO WE COULD BRING THIS UP THE SAME EXACT LAW IF WE DON'T SEE THE LEGISLATURE TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION.

WE HAVE 130-PLUS HOMICIDES.

WE'RE LOSING FOCUS.

WE'RE IN THE DOING ANYTHING TO END THE CONVERSATION.

WE MUST -- THE NAME OF BRIANNA TAYLOR HAS RIGHTFULLY SO BECOME SYNONYMOUS WITH REFORM.

THAT'S GOOD.

LET OTHER NAMES LIKE TRINITY RANDOLPH, A THREE-YEAR-OLD THAT WAS ASSASSINATED IN HER HOME BECOME SYNONYMOUS WITH TARGETED POLICE AGAINST THOSE THAT WILL DESTROY THE COMMUNITY.

I'M AFRAID THAT WE MAY SWING THE PENDULUM SO FAR UNDER THE AUSPICES OF REFORM AND THAT WE MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO PREVENT AND IT FIND JUSTICE FOR THE TRINITY RUDOLPHS OF LOUISVILLE.

FOR THOSE REASONS, NOT BECAUSE I QUESTION THE INTENTION, AGAIN THE INTENTIONS ARE JUST BUT FOR THOSE REASONS I'LL BE VOTING NO AGAINST THIS ORDINANCE AND I -- I CALL ON MY OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS TO CONSIDER WHAT THAT OVERREACH COULD CAUSE.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO BEGIN BY COMMENDING MY COLLEAGUES FOR THEIR EFFORT.
THEIR HEARTS WERE IN THE RIGHT PLACE AS MY PREVIOUS COLLEAGUES.
WE AGREED WITH THE BONES OF THIS LEGISLATION.
THOSE ARE VERY STRONG BONES.
THAT'S WHY 90 PERCENT OF THIS MEASURE IS ALREADY IN.
LMPD'S POLICY AND MANY OF THEM HAVE BEEN FOR YEARS.
I JUST FEEL LIKE CODIFYING POLICE POLICY PUTS US ON A SLIPPERY SLOPE.
I QUOTE FROM MINUTES AGO THE SPONSOR OF IN LEGISLATION.
THE TEAR GAS POLICY ON LMPD WAS REVISED.
CODIFY IT, THAT AIN'T GOING TO HAPPEN.
CODIFY, IT IS GOING TO BE AT LEAST A MONTH IF YOU GET THE TIMES RIGHT.
CODIFYING POLICE POLICY INJECTS TIME INTO THOSE CRITICAL PROCESSES
WHERE OFTEN YOU DON'T HAVE -- ALLOWING PROFESSIONALS TO DEVELOP
POLICIES REMOVES THEM FROM POLITICS AND INDIVIDUAL INTERESTS AND
THAT'S THE MOST CRITICAL AREA OF A FREE AND CIVILIZED SOCIETY.
POLICE POLICIES ARE DEVELOPED AND REFINED OVER MANY MANY YEARS.
THEY INVOLVE GROUPS THAT A LOT OF YOU HAVE HEARD OF YOU AND SOME OF
YOU HAVEN'T.
THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE.
THE POLICE EXECUTIVE RESEARCH BOARD.
THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF BLACK POLICE EXECUTIVES, THE SOUTHERN
INSTITUTE OF WHICH A LOT OF POLICY WRITERS ARE GRADUATES.
THE UTILITY SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT WITH A LOT OF POLICY WRITERS ARE
GRADUATES.

THE NATIONAL FTI ACADEMY THE SAME.

AND NOTE LMPD IS A CERTIFIED LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY AND THAT'S THE COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

THERE'S AN EXTENSIVE PROCESS TO OBTAIN THAT ACCREDITATION.

IT PROVIDES FOR ANNUAL AUDIT THAT IS INSURE CONTINUED AGENCY COMPLIANCE AND POLICY AND IMPLEMENTATION STANDARDS.

IT INVOLVES OVER 800 POLICE AGENCIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY AND THOUSANDS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT PROFESSIONALS THAT WORK IT IDENTIFY WHAT OUR BEST PRACTICE.

HOW THE LMPD POLICY DOES WELL AND DOES LEADERSHIP WELL, FOLLOWING AMERICAN CITIES HAVE POLICE CHIEFS THAT CAME FROM THE LOUISVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

THE CITY OF BALTIMORE, CITY OF DENVER, SYRACUSE NEW YORK, WALTON KANSAS, GREENVILLE NORTH CAROLINA, THE PARENT ORGANIZATION, JEFFERSON COUNTY POLICE PRODUCED THE LAST KENTUCKY STATE POLICE COMMISSIONER.

ALSO THE CHIEF OF KING COUNTY WASHINGTON AND BOISE IDAHO.

THESE PEOPLE KNOW POLICY.

THEY KNOW IS WELL AND THEY SPEND MORE TIME DEVELOPING THAT THAN IT TOOK TO DEVELOP THIS ORDINANCE.

THE INTERESTING THING ON MY MIND AND I SIT ON THE COMMITTEE, THERE WAS A LOT OF VOICES.

MY VOICE WAS MADE LOUD AND CLEAR.

CHIEF GENTRY HAS CONCERNS WITH THIS AS WELL.

IT WAS KIND OF PORTRAYED LIKE THEY WERE ON BOARD AND I DIDN'T GET THAT FEELING.

YOU COULD GO BACK AND WATCH THE VIDEO AND DRAW YOUR OWN CONCLUSION. POLICE POLICY IS A LIVING DOCUMENT.

IT MUST BE ALLOWED THE FLEXIBILITY TO CHANGE QUICKLY AS TECHNOLOGY CHANGES, THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT CHANGES, AND CITIZENS DEMAND EXPECTATIONS CHANGE.

THIS MUST BE LEFT IN THE HANDS OF A CAPABLE AND PROFESSIONAL POLICE CHIEF THAT WE CAN AND WE DO HOLD ACCOUNTABLE.

VERY RECENTLY YOU'VE SEEN THAT ACCOUNTABILITY WITH THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE.

JUST SINCE THEY WAS INTRODUCED IT HAS GONE THROUGH A NUMBER OF ITERATIONS.

IT HAS BEEN REDRAFTED.

IT SEEMS EACH TIME WE MOVE A PIECE, WE GOT SOMETHING ELSE THAT IS BROKEN AND WE TO FIX IT.

AS RECENTLY AS CHIEF GENTRY'S COMMENTS THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT CAME UP AND THE RESPONSE WAS, HANG ON, I WROTE IT DOWN HERE.

IT WOULD BE UP TO YOU TO IMPLEMENT.

SO BASICALLY WE'RE SETTING GUIDELINES FOR THE COMMUNITY.

I SUPPORT THAT.

THAT'S CALLED A RESOLUTION.

THAT'S NOT CALLED A LAW THAT YOU HAVE TO COME CAN BACK TO THE BODY AND CHANGE.

I'LL VOTE NO ON THE ORDINANCE AND IT IS NOT BECAUSE OF WHAT IS IN IT BUT BECAUSE OF THE DOORS IT OPENS AND THE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES IT CREATES.

I ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO FOLLOW ME WITH A NO VOTE ON THIS ORDINANCE.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> I WANT TO START OFF BY SAYING I RESPECT THE OPINIONS OF MY FORMER COLLEAGUES, COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI AND COUNCILMAN FOX. I DON'T HAVE MUCH OF AN ARGUMENT IN REGARDS TO THIS PROPOSED ORDINANCE.

I DID WANT TO GO ON RECORD AND EXPLAIN MY POSITION ABOUT THIS. I HAVE SPOKEN WITH MEMBERS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT IN REGARDS TO THIS. IT HAS BEEN EXPLAINED TO ME A LOT OF THESE ARE POLICIES AND COUNCILMAN FOX JUST NOTED THAT ARE POLICIES OFTEN MADE BY THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND -- AND AT SOME POINT IN TIME THEY NEED FLEXIBILITY TO CHANGE THESE POLICIES.

I HAVE ALSO SPOKEN WITH FORMER RETIRED LMPD OFFICERS.

IN SPEAKING WITH THEM AS WELL AS CONSTITUENTS, I'M ALLOWING THE IMPORTANCE OF FOCUSING ON THESE POLICIES AS SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE MORE OF A MANDATE.

I UNDERSTAND AND I RESPECT WHEN COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

I RESPECT THE PEOPLE.

I DON'T LIKE IT CHANGE POLICIES BUT AS LAWMAKER, I HAVE TO EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO -- TO -- TO CREATE AND SUPPORT LAWS THAT SAFEGUARD THE CITIZENS.

SO I LIKE TO SAY TO MY COLLEAGUES, COUNCILMAN CORN AND GREEN, I BET YOU GUYS HAVE DONE A GREAT JOB OF WORKING ON THIS LEGISLATION. AND I JUST WANTED TO EXPLAIN MY POSITION AS WELL AS LET YOU KNOW I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS ORDINANCE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

>> I'LL BEGIN BY JUST RESPONDING TO COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. POLICE OFFICERS WILL TELL YOU THAT UNTIL WE RESTORE CONSTANT IN THE DEPARTMENT AND HAVE THE HEALTH OF THE CITIZENRY IN WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT IT WILL BE VERY DIFFICULT TO BRING THOSE SHOOTINGS AND HOMICIDE DOWN.

I THINK THIS ORDINANCE IS ONE WAY TO BEGIN TO DO THAT.

I REALLY LIKE TO FOCUS ON HOW THIS VOTE LOOKS TO PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY.

I WAS AT JEFFERSON TODAY AND THE MOST PROMINENT SAID BLACK VOTERS [INDISCERNIBLE].

BUT FOR A BLACK VOTER OR ANY VOTING DOWN THIS ORDINANCE SAYS THAT REGARDING THE CENTRAL ISSUE OF USE OF FORCE YOUR VOTE DOESN'T MATTER.

IT SAYS THAT IN LOUISVILLE UNLIKE THE CITIES THAT COUNCILMAN COAN MENTIONED ACROSS THE COUNTRY THE MOST BASIC POLICY ABOUT USE OF FORCE IS MADE BY THE POLICE OF CHIEF, SOMEONE YOU DON'T GET TO VOTE FOR.

AND ANY FUTURE CHIEF OF POLICE TO CHANGE THESE FUNDAMENTAL POLICIES.
THAT VOTE WOULD COME ON THE HEELS AND YOUR VOTE ALSO DOESN'T COUNT
ON THE POLICE CONTRACT AND ALL OF THE POLICIES IN THAT POLICE
CONTRACT.

THE F.O.P. SAYS THAT THE METRO COUNCIL IS IN THE NECESSARY FOR AS
THEY PUT IT OR EVEN APPROPRIATE.

THAT'S THE SIGNAL WE WOULD SEND BY VOTING NO TONIGHT.

IN A DAY AND AGE WHEN HOPE IS IN SHORT SUPPLY, WE WOULD BE SAYING
ALL YOU CAN DO IS HOPE BECAUSE YOUR VOTE OR METRO COUNCIL MEMBERS
DOESN'T COUNT.

I'LL VOTE YES.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT.

I'M NOT ON THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE BUT I HAVE CERTAINLY BEEN
FOLLOWING THIS ORDINANCE CLOSELY AND I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING IT BECAUSE
IT IS IMPORTANT.

IT IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS MAKE POLICIES BASED
ON LAW.

I WAS IN STATE GOVERNMENT FOR 15 YEARS.

I WORKED AS POLICY ANALYST.

THIS IS HOW POLICY IS MADE, THOSE THAT MAKE LAWS.

DURING THE TIME THAT THIS ORDINANCE HAS BEEN IN THE COMMITTEE, I'VE
SEEN SEVERAL ITERATIONS THAT REFLECT THE FEEDBACK THAT HAS COME FROM

PD.

I'VE SEEN ITERATIONS THAT REFLECT AN ATTEMPT TO COME TO CONSENSUS
AND MAKE CONSESSIONS.

LAWS REFLECT VALUES.

OUR ABILITY TO LISTEN TO OUR COMMUNITY IS REALLY IMPORTANT IN THIS
MOMENT.

IT IS NOT MY INTENTION TO MICRO MANAGE POLICIES.

I DON'T PRESUME TO KNOW MORE THAN THOSE IN LMPD PRACTICING LAW
ENFORCEMENT.

BUT I DO UNDERSTAND COMMUNITY NEED.

I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT LAWS REFLECT VALUES.

EVERY LEGISLATION, EVERY ORDINANCE THAT WE PASS HAS UNINTENDED
CONSEQUENCES.

THAT'S POLICY 101.

IT IS OUR JOB TO BALANCE THAT, TO VIEW AS BEST WE CAN CAN TO LOOK
AHEAD AND TO MAKE THE DECISIONS WITH THE INFORMATION WE HAVE IN THIS
MOMENT.

WITH THAT I'LL VOTE YES AND I ENCOURAGE OTHERS TO DO SO.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

>> COUNCILMAN SEXTON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WILL DEFINITELY BE VOTING YES THIS EVENING.

I WANTED TO EXPLAIN MY VOTE.

FOR SOME OF THE VERY REASONS THAT OTHER FOLKS VOTING NO.

THE REASON I WILL VOTE YES, I THINK IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE TAKE THE SUBJECT OF USE OF DEADLY FORCE WHICH IS ARGUABLY ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT DISCUSSIONS, AMONG THE MOST IMPORTANT THAT ELECTED OFFICIALS WILL EVER HAVE.

IT IS VERY CRITICAL THAT WE DO NOT DO SOMETHING THAT CREATES A FRAMEWORK THAT EVERY TIME THERE'S A CHANGE IN THE ADMINISTRATION OR EVERY TIME THERE'S A CHANGE IN THE CHIEF OF POLICE, THE WHOLE COMMUNITY BECOMES AT RISK AT THAT INDIVIDUAL MAKING CHANGES TO POLICY.

THAT'S EXACTLY WHY WE NEED TO CODIFY THESE PARTICULAR ELEMENTS. THERE ARE EXAMPLES OF THIS METRO COUNCIL JUST VOTED UNANIMOUSLY. EVERY ONE OF US VOTED YES FOR BRIANNA'S LAW ON JUNE 11TH.

I'M NOT SURE IF EVERYBODY RECALLS HOW WE GOT THERE.

BUT WHEN WE GOT THERE THAT NIGHT AND WE WERE GOING TO CAST SHOWS VOTES, BRIANNA'S LAW HAD ALREADY BEEN PUT IN A POLICY.

IT JUST WASN'T CALLED BRIANNA'S LAW.

MAYOR MADE IT VERY CLEAR, WE'VE ALREADY BANNED NO KNOCK WARRANTS.

YES, YOU DID.

WE'RE GOING TO CODIFY THAT.

THE FIRST QUESTION EACH OF US MAY WANT TO ASK OURSELVES, IF YOU'RE ON THE FENCE OR MAYBE IF I COULD SAY SOMETHING OR ANYBODY ELSE COULD SAY SOMETHING, IT MIGHT CHANGE SOMEONE'S MIND TO SEE A DIFFERENT PATHWAY FORWARD.

IF YOU VOTED YES TO PAST BRIANNA'S LAW, IT IS COMMON SENSE THAT YOU

VOTE YES TO PASS THIS TONIGHT.

WHY WEREN'T THESE ELEMENTS INCLUDED ON JUNE 11TH?

AT THAT TIME THERE WAS A FEW PEOPLE WORKING AROUND THE CLOCK TRYING TO GET A PIECE OF LEGISLATION PUT TOGETHER THAT WE COULD CAN ALL LIVE WITH.

SO WE -- WE FOCUSED ON TWO THINGS THAT WE COULD ALL AGREE ON.

BANNING NO KNOCK WARRANTS.

WE DID THAT AND THE REGULATION OF USE OF BODY CAMERAS AND ALL SEARCH WARRANTS.

THAT TOO WAS PART OF A NEW POLICY THAT HAD JUST BEEN ENACTED.

SO WE MUST CHOOSE TO CODIFY THIS.

I DO NOT THINK THIS ORDINANCE IS A SWIFT OVERREACTION AT ALL.

WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, EVERYBODY HAS THEIR OWN OPINION BUT THIS LIMITS AN OVERREACTION OF THE CONSISTENT USE OF DEADLY FORCE ALL TOO OFTEN.

MANY TIMES RESULTING IN HARM AND INJURY AND DEATH OF ONE OF OUR CITIZENS WHO VOTED TO PUT US IN THE POSITIONS THAT WE'RE IN.

AND LASTLY, I DO THINK THIS ORDINANCE DEFINITELY IS A GREAT EXAMPLE OF AN END CONVERSATION.

IT WAS STATED EARLIER IT WASN'T.

SURE IT IS BECAUSE THIS ORDINANCE IS THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE COUNCIL AND THE PUBLIC WORKING TOGETHER TO DO SOMETHING THAT EVERYONE IS SAYING IT IS ALMOST 100 PERCENT PERFECT.

I HEARD SO MANY TEAMS THAT IT IS SO CLOSE.

MY GOODNESS, IF WE'RE 90 PERCENT OF THE WAY, THAT'S A YES VOTE IN

MY OPINION.

SO I WOULD LOVE TO BE -- I WOULD BE HONORED IF I COULD BE ADDED AS
A CO SPONSOR.

I'LL LEAVE IT TO COUNCILWOMAN GREEN AND COAN IF THEY WANT TO ADD
CO-SPONSORS.

>> YES, THANK YOU.

>> I WOULD LOVE TO BE ADDED TO THIS BECAUSE I'VE BEEN WORKING
DILIGENTLY AS MANY OF YOU HAVE BEEN, HERE LOCALLY TRYING TO GET
DIFFERENT PIECES OF OUR LEGISLATION CODIFIED TO BE THE THINGS FOLKS
VOTED EVERY ONE OF US TO DO.

GET UP ON THE COUNCIL, ROLL OUR SLEEVES UP AND MAKE HARD DECISIONS.
I'M GOING TO VOTE YES TONIGHT.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> THANK YOU FOR THOSE THAT HAD THEIR OPINIONS.

I THINK IT IS TRULY IMPORTANT AT TIMES LIKE THIS THAT WE'RE AS FORWARD
AND OPEN AND HONEST AND TRANSPARENT WITH THE CONSTITUENTS AND THE
PEOPLE AND THOSE WATCHING.

I THINK THAT SOMETIMES WHEN PEOPLE ARE JUST WATCHES BLIPS OF NEWS,
THEY'LL SEE IN THE HEADLINES OF IF YOU PASSED A BILL OR ORDINANCE
THAT YOU MADE SOME KIND OF RAPID IMPACT, AND IT IS KNOWN THESE POLICIES
ARE IN PLACE, RIGHT NOW.

COUNCILMAN BARTLETT POINTED OUT.

THAT'S POSITIVE.

I MAKE A LOT OF SENSE OF THIS IN THE EVENT THAT OFFICER, THERE'S A REPORT MADE, AND THEY CLAIM WHAT THE REASON WAS.

WE TALKED TO CHIEF GENTRY TODAY.

IT MAKES SENSE.

I THINK THAT LOUISVILLE IS GOING TO LEAD THE WAY AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE ASKED TO DO.

IF WE'RE GOING TO LEAD THE WAY AND ASK THE STATE LEGISLATURE TO PASS STATE LAW.

I THINK IT MAKES SENSE FOR US TO DO SOMETHING LOCALLY.

I'M VERY CRITICAL OF POLITICIANS, OF ALL DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS OR FIREFIGHTERS OR LAWYERS OR WHAT NOT, POLICE OFFICERS, AND TO COME IN AND TRY TO BREAK AN ORDINANCE THAT WE'RE NOT [INDISCERNIBLE] WITHOUT THE BEST EXPERT OPINION.

THEY WORK WELL WITH A LOT OF EXPERTS.

ONE MAJOR CONCERN IS CHIEF GENTRY AND ADDITIONAL POLICY.

I HOPED WE WOULD GET THAT INFORMATION.

WE KNOW -- THERE MAY BE SOMETHING ELSE TO ADD IN AND SOMETHING THAT MAKES IT BETTER.

I'M A BIG BELIEVER IN SLOW DOWN AND MAKE THE BEST.

BUT WE'RE HERE.

WE'RE HERE RIGHT NOW.

AND WHETHER OR NOT THIS PASSES OR DOESN'T PASS, WE KNOW THAT'S IN THE GOING IT CHANGE THE WAY THE LMPD OPERATES BECAUSE THE POLICY IS

ALREADY IN PLACE.

WHAT MY FEAR IS, IF YOU DON'T KNOW TODAY, WHAT KIND OF PINCH WILL THAT SEND TO THE PUBLIC NOW THAT WE'RE HERE.

TO ME IN THIS TIME, THIS IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN ANYTHING ELSE.

THEY GOT TO KNOW THAT WE'RE TOGETHER AND THIS IS OUR BRANCH IS WORKING FOR THEM.

NEW ADMINISTRATION IS WORKING FOR THEM AND THE LMPD HAS POLICIES IN PLACE AND YOU WANT SUPPORT.

I THINK CHIEF GENTRY TODAY, MY CONCERN IS THAT -- IS THAT THE CHIEF KNOWS THAT WE'RE ALSO WORKING WITH THEM, NOT AGAINST THEM.

WE HAVE ALL OF THE SAME.

WE WANT A BETTER LOUISVILLE.

I BELIEVE IN IT.

I THINK WE'RE GOING TO DO GREAT THINGS.

SHE MADE ME FEEL BETTER TODAY.

WANTED TO KNOW WHAT THE ISSUES WERE, WOULD THEY BE HARD TO IMPLEMENT.

SHE MADE IT CLEAR ARE THESE ARE THINGS THAT WE'RE DOING

[INDISCERNIBLE].

SO WITH THAT, I'LL BE A YES VOTE ON THIS.

WHEN WE GET TO THE STATE, I'LL -- WE LOOK AND TRY TO SUBMIT BEST PRACTICES.

WE'LL HAVE A LABOR DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE COMING UP AND THE S.O.P. CONTRACT.

I'M HOPING THAT -- THAT -- BY THAT TIME OUR CHIEF CAN TELL US

ADDITIONAL POLICIES THAT SHE'S BEEN TALKING ABOUT SO WE DON'T CONTRACT, WE JUST GO TO THE VOTES AND THE [INDISCERNIBLE] WITH ANY POWERS AND I DO THINK IT IS IMPORTANT AGAIN FOR THAT MESSAGE FOR THE COMMUNITY TO LET THEM KNOW WHAT THOSE POLICIES ARE PUT IN PLACE, WHAT IS BEING DONE AND WHAT MATTERS.

WITH THAT I'LL VOTE YES.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU, I'LL BE BRIEF.

I WANT TO THANK THE COLLEAGUES THAT VOICED SUPPORT.

COUNCILMAN GREEN DECIDES TO BE A CO-SPONSOR IT IS GREAT.

THE MORE THE MERRIER.

I KNOW COUNCILMAN GREEN SAID THERE'S SOMEONE QUESTIONING ABOUT -- SOMEONE QUESTIONED WHETHER OR NOT SHE MISSPOKE AND DID THAT. EVERYONE WAS IN SUPPORT OF THIS, SHE CLEARLY SAID WE HAVE NOT ENCOUNTERED ANY OPPOSITION FROM EITHER CHIEF GENTRY OR ANYBODY ELSE BY THE THE SUBSTANCE.

WE ACKNOWLEDGE THERE'S DISCUSSION OVER THIS POLICY AND CODIFICATION QUESTION.

I PUSH BACK ON THIS NOTION OF OVERREACH OR REASSURE PEOPLE, DEPENDING ON HOW YOU SPIN IT, THAT THIS DOES PRESERVE ADEQUATE FLEXIBILITY FOR THE POLICE CHIEF.

I THINK COUNCILMAN FOX GAVE THE EXAMPLE OF WHEN WE SPOKE TO COUNCILMAN GENTRY, I TOLD HER YES, YOU COULD TELL HOW THE REPORTING REQUIREMENT

WORKS.

THAT'S TRUE.

THAT'S HOW THE LAW IS DESIGNED TO WORK WITH ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES. WE ENACT BROAD STATUTES THAT LAY OUT THE BROAD GUARD RAILS AND THEN THE DEPARTMENT AND THE AGENCIES, THEY USE THEIR RULE MAKING PROCESS TO PASS WHATEVER POLICIES THEY WANT SO LONG AS THEY'RE WITHIN THE CONSTRAINTS OF THE LAW.

WITH RESPECT TO COMPREHENSIVE REPORTING AND WHEN PEOPLE POINT A GUN AT SOMEBODY.

IF SHE CHOOSES TO HAVE A POLICY THAT THAT IS INCLUDED IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE INCIDENT REPORT.

SHE RETAINS THE FLEXIBILITY THERE.

HOW TO INTERPRET IT AND UPDATE THE POLICY WITHOUT COMING BACK TO THIS COUNCIL FOR ANYTHING.

THERE ARE OTHER EXAMPLES OF POLICIES THAT I CAN'T IMAGINE THAT THERE'S ANY EXCUSE TO CHANGE.

I CAN'T IMAGINE YOU WHO NEED TO CHANGE A POLICY THAT SAYS WE USE A CONTINUUM OF FORCE OR THAT SOMEONE HAS A DUTY TO DE-ESCALATE SITUATIONS.

I'LL NOTE FOR PEOPLE THAT ARE TALKING ABOUT HOW SO MUCH OF THIS HAS BEEN DONE ALREADY, UNTIL RECENTLY WE DID HAVE A DUTY TO INTERVENE POLICY.

THAT'S ONE I WOULD GROUP WITH THE OTHER ONES AS A FUNDAMENTAL PROTECTION FROM EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE.

WE DID NOT HAVE THAT UNTIL RECENTLY.

THAT'S ONE THAT SHOULD BE IMMUTABLE AND NONNEGOTIABLE.

OTHERWISE, I THINK THERE'S ADEQUATE FLEXIBILITY FOR THE CHIEF AND FOR THE NEXT CHIEF TO DO THEIR JOBS.

THIS IS NOT ABOUT CHIEF GENTRY WHO WE HAVE RESPECT FOR.

IT IS NOT ABOUT DISTRUST FOR THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH.

IT IS JUST ABOUT DOING WHAT THIS COMMUNITY NEED IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT WE THE PUBLIC SAFETY SYSTEM THAT WE WANT GOING FORWARD.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> I DIDN'T RUN FOR OFFICE TO MAKE NEWS.

I RAN TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE.

IT IS MY HOPE THAT LONG AFTER I'M GONE FROM THE METRO COUNCIL I CAN SIT BACK IN RETIREMENT AND SAY AND HOPE THAT -- THAT THE DECISIONS I MADE WHILE ON THE COUNCIL MADE A DIFFERENCE -- A DIFFERENCE AND IMPACT ON THE SAFETY AND WELL-BEING OF THIS COMMUNITY.

FOR ME, WHEN I HEARD THAT THE ADMINISTRATION HAD SENT A LETTER ASKING THE STATE TO BAN CHOKE HOLDS AND ALL OF THE OTHER THINGS THEY LISTED THAT ARE CODIFIED IN THIS ORDINANCE, IT WAS A NO-BRAINER.

IT WAS A NO-BRAINER BEFORE THAT.

IF WE'RE LISTENING TO THE COMMUNITY LIKE WE'RE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING.

IF WE REALLY CARE ABOUT THE SAFETY OF ITS CITIZENS IN THIS COMMUNITY,

THEN WE HAVE TO DO CAN WHATEVER IT TAKES IN ORDER IT MAKE SURE THERE'S

A NEXT PIECE AND A NEXT PIECE AND CHIEFS FROM HERE WILL OBEY THE LAW.
WE'RE IN THE TRYING TO TAKE ANY AUTHORITY FROM THEM.

WE WANT THEM TO MAKE POLICIES BUT MORE THAN THAT WE WANT THEM TO MAKE
SURE THAT POLICIES ARE FOLLOWED.

IF I COULD BE GUARANTEED A CHIEF LIKE GENTRY FOR THE REST OF THE LIFE
OF LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, THEN I WOULD SAY FORGET THIS ORDINANCE.

BUT I DON'T THINK THERE'S A GUARANTEE LIKE THAT.

I TRUST HER, I KNOW SHE MEANS BUSINESS.

I THINK SHE'S GOING TO GET A LOT DONE IN THE LITTLE INTERIM TIME THAT
SHE HAS.

THE TRUTH IS MY YES VOTE TONIGHT IS FOR THE FUTURE SO I DON'T HAVE
TO WORRY ABOUT THE NEXT CHIEF THAT MAY NOT BE GENTRY.

>> THANK YOU.

SHANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

YOU KNOW, OUR CITY HAS CHANGED.

IT WAS A NICE PEACEFUL CITY WHERE WE DIDN'T HAVE PROBLEMS BUT SO MANY
THINGS HAVE CHANGED IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS.

WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT.

I LOOKED IN MY COMMUNITY AT THE YOUNG PEOPLE RUNNING AROUND AND
[INDISCERNIBLE] DOWN THE STREET.

THEY'RE GOING TO GROW UP.

WE DON'T WANT ANYTHING AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED WHERE WE HAVE A NEW
CHIEF COMING IN AND HE DECIDES WELL, I'M GOING TO CHANGE THIS.

IF WE PUT THIS -- THIS -- THIS IN PLACE AS A LAW AND IT IS GOING TO BE THE SAME LAW WHEN THEY GET OLDER, IT IS GOING TO BE THE SAME LAW WHEN THEY GET TO BE GROWN MEN AND I THINK THAT'S MORE IMPORTANT THAN ANYTHING LOOKING OUT FOR OUR YOUTH AND THE PEOPLE COMING UP.

THINGS HAVE CHANGED.

IT IS NO LONGER PEACEFUL.

YOU CAN'T WALK DOWN THE STREET LIKE YOU USED TO.

OUR KID TODAY, THEY'RE OUT THERE.

THEY'RE DOING THINGS THAT SHOULDN'T BE AT TIMES.

BUT THEY'RE YOUTH.

BUT WE WANT SOMETHING TO PROTECT THEM AS THEY GET OLDER.

AND I -- I WILL BE VOTING FOR THIS.

AND I THINK THAT -- I'M HOPING EVERYBODY VOTES FOR IT.

I KNOW WE WON'T COMPLETE FOR EVERYBODY BUT I HOPE IT GOES THROUGH AND AS THEY GET OLDER, WE ALL MAKE MISTAKES AND WE NEED TO LOOK AT THAT TOO.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANT TO START WITH THE HOMICIDE RATE.

I SHARE THOSE CONCERNS.

I THINK WHAT YOU'LL SEE HAPPEN IN SHORT OLDER IS HOLLANDER WILL INVITE PEOPLE TO TALK HOLISTICALLY ABOUT HOW WE MAKE INVESTMENT TO ADDRESS

CRIME PREVENTION.

I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT DISCUSSION AND HOPE EVERYONE WILL ENGAGE IN THESE EFFORTS.

IT IS A CRITICAL NEXT STEP TO TO ADDRESS THIS.

WE MAY NOT SEE THE OUTCOME OF THE INVESTMENTS FOR SEVERAL YEARS. THAT DOESN'T MAKE THEM LESS IMPORTANT.

I LOOK FORWARD TO DISCUSSIONS OF THAT CRITICAL ISSUE.

TURNING TO THIS ORDINANCE SPECIFICALLY.

I'LL BE HONEST.

WHEN I WOKE UP THIS MORNING, I WAS A NO VOTE AND HAD CONCERNS ABOUT CODIFYING POLICY INTO LAW AND LEGISLATIVE OVERREACH.

I HAD CONCERNS ABOUT LIMITING FLEXIBILITY AND TYING THE HAND OF OUR NEW POLICE CHIEF.

I HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH GREEN AND OTHERS AND WATCHED THE TESTIMONY OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING AND THOUGHT ABOUT DO WE CODIFY THE LAW? WE CODIFY OF POLICY INTO TIME.

WE DID IT OVER THE LOCAL POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA AND DID IT WITH THE SEPARATION ORDINANCE.

ULTIMATELY WE AS THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH ARE THE CHECK ON THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH.

WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO SET THE LAW.

AND THAT LAW DEFINES THE POLICY.

SO THE ONLY QUESTION REALLY BEFORE US SHOULD BE ARE THESE EIGHT ITEMS WORTHY OF BEING LAW?

DO WE THINK THEY'RE IMPORTANT THAT THEY DON'T GET CHANGED IN THE FUTURE.

I THINK IT IS HARD TO ARGUE WITH ANY OF THEM.

THEY'RE PRECISELY BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE FUTURE FOR A MAYOR MIGHT DO IS WHY WE WANT TO CODIFY THEM.

I KNOW IT HAS BEEN SAID, COUNCILMAN GEORGE SAID THAT YOU KNOW, OUR LAWS ARE STATEMENTS OF VALUE.

I AGREE WITH THAT WHOLEHEARTEDLY.

I DO AGREE AND I THINK COUNCILMAN FOX MENTIONED WITH POLICY AND POLICE POLICY IN PARTICULAR, YOU WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU HAVE FLEXIBILITY AND ALLOW PEOPLE IT CHANGE QUICKLY AS TECHNOLOGIES EMERGE.

I AGREE WITH THAT COMPLETELY.

HOWEVER, EVEN AS TECHNOLOGIES EMERGE AND CHANGE, THEY GO BACK AND SAY OUR CORE VALUES ARE THE SAME.

THAT'S WHY AGAIN I THINK THEY NEED TO BE CODIFIED APPROPRIATELY BECAUSE THESE EIGHT ELEMENTS DO PROVIDE ADEQUATE GUARDRAILS.

I THOUGHT ABOUT THIS TOO.

WHAT ABOUT CODIFYING.

WE'RE NOT APPLYING NEW RESTRICTIONS ON POLICE.

THIS IS IN FACT NO WAY AN ANTI-POLICE MEASURE.

IT SIMPLY SAYS WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW IS IMPORTANT ENOUGH FOR US ALSO TO DO IN THE FUTURE.

THAT I CAN SUPPORT.

LAST THING I'LL SAY IS SHIFTING GEARS A BIT.

ORDINARILY I MIGHT LOOK AT THIS AND SAY, WELL, WE'RE IN THE SAME TEAM AND WE HAVE GOOD PARTNERSHIPS.

WE'RE IN THIS TOGETHER UNTIL WE SHOULD COLLABORATE ON POLICIES.

I MENTIONED THIS IN THE CAUCUS MEETING AND I'LL SAY IT AGAIN.

I'M HIGHLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE ACTIONS THAT WERE TAKEN BY THE LAWYERS FOR THE S.O.P. AND IT CAUSES ME GRAVE CONCERN TO SAY ARE WE REALLY IN PARTNERSHIP.

WHEN YOU PUT OUT A STATEMENT THAT SAYS NOT ONLY DO YOU NOT HAVE AUTHORITY TO APPROVE OUR CBA BUT WE'RE IN THE INTERESTED IN WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY, THAT TO ME DOES NOT SCREAM PARTNERSHIP AND TRANSPARENCY AND DOESN'T SAY I UNDERSTAND THAT -- THAT WE HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE WHO WE ARE HERE TO SERVE.

IT MIGHT BE THE RIGHT LEGAL ARGUMENT.

IT MIGHT THE CORRECT LEGAL ARGUMENT.

I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS OR NOT.

JUST BECAUSE SOMETHING IS CORRECT DOESN'T MAKE IT RIGHT.

AND WHEN SOMEONE TAKES A POSITION WITH THEIR PARTNER THAT SAYS I DON'T CARE WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY THAT DOES NOT SET A STANDARD FOR GOOD PARTNERSHIP AND MAKES ONE QUESTION WHETHER YOU'RE REALLY WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT AND ENGAGE IN CONVERSATION OR NOT.

IT IS A SAD DECISION.

IT IS A DECISION THAT TAKES PEOPLE THAT WERE SUPPORTIVE AND MAKES THEM SECOND GUESS THEIR SUPPORT.

SO I WOULD STRONGLY ENCOURAGE THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE S.O.P. WHO ARE

ADVISING THE LEADERSHIP THERE TO CONSIDER WHETHER THAT'S REALLY THE BEST APPROACH.

WE APPROVED EVERY CBA WHETHER IT IS THE POLICE CONTRACT OR ANY OTHER CONTRACT.

AND I WOULD JUST AGAIN ENCOURAGE RETHINKING OF THAT POSITION.

IT TURNS ADVOCATE -- AGAIN IT MAKES ADVOCATES QUESTION THEIR SUPPORT AND WHETHER WE'RE IN THIS TOGETHER.

SO I SUPPORT OUR POLICE OFFICERS.

I SUPPORT THE PAY RAISES THAT ARE IN THE CONTRACT BUT TAKING A POSITION THAT SAYS I DON'T CARE ABOUT YOUR OPINION I THINK IS THE WRONG ONE. THAT'S -- THAT'S NEITHER HERE NOR THERE.

I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT BECAUSE IT IS SOMETHING THAT REALLY SORT OF STUCK WITH ME THIS WEEK.

ALL OF THAT SAID, I COMPLIMENT COUNCILMAN COAN AND GREEN ON THEIR WORK.

I'LL BE A YES VOTE TONIGHT AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY -- ANY -- ANY OF THE 26 OF US THAT DON'T BELIEVE IN THESE POLICIES. THERE'S NOT A ONE OF US.

THE ONLY -- THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS HOW WE ACHIEVE THAT, EITHER THROUGH POLICY THROUGH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WHICH THEY'RE DOING OR CODIFYING THE LAW.

TO SAY THAT THOSE OF US WHO WOULD VOTE NO DON'T SUPPORT THESE IS NOT TRUE.

IT IS JUST HOW -- IT IS JUST -- IT IS OUR DIFFERENCES OF OPINION. IT IS HOW WE ACHIEVE WHERE WE'RE GOING TO.

I FULLY SUPPORT THE POLICIES AND THE TITLE OF THIS SAYS IT IS POLICIES. IT IS NOT UNTIL YOU GET DOWN TO A PORTION OF THE ORDINANCE DO YOU SEE THAT IT IS TO BE CODIFIED IN LAW.

I'M FULLY SUPPORTIVE OF THE POLICIES AND MY OPINION IS JUST -- JUST HOW WE GET TO THE POINT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN TRIPLETT.

COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> I LOST AUDIO.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WAS ANTICIPATING A VERY HEALTHY AND HARDY DEBATE ON THIS TONIGHT AND WE HAVE NOT DISAPPOINTED.

THANK YOU ALL.

EVERYONE'S PASSION AND PERSPECTIVE AND THE WORK THAT WE Poured INTO THIS OVER THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS.

ORIGINALLY -- BY BIGGEST CONCERN WAS THE TIMING, WELL OBVIOUSLY YOU THINK THE TIMING IS PERFECT.

WE HEARD THE CRIES.

PEOPLE IN THE STREETS DEMANDING REFORM AND EQUITY AND JUSTICE AND

WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THAT TO ACHIEVE THOSE FOR SEVERAL MONTHS NOW.

I'M PROUD OF THE WORK WE'VE DO NOT.

THE OTHER PART OF THE TIMING THAT TROUBLED ME, I WOULD REALLY FEEL BETTER ONCE WE GET TO THE CONCLUSION OF OUR TOP TO BOTTOM REVIEW AND THAT WE OURSELVES ASK THAT.

WE'RE ALMOST THERE.

WHAT I'M SAYING, I DON'T WANT MY CAUTION TO BE THE DEAL BREAKER.

LIKE COUNCILMAN WINKLER I TEETERED ON THIS THING BACK AND FORTH.

I ENJOY THE DEBATE.

WE'RE GOING TO DO THE RIGHT THING IN THE END.

THAT I CAN ASSURE THE PUBLIC.

I THINK YOU'LL AGREE.

THE TIMING WAS MY CONCERN, THE TOP TO BOTTOM REVIEW.

I LIKE TO SEE THAT.

EVEN IF IT DOESN'T CONCLUDED EXACTLY, WE PRETTY MUCH HAVE -- HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE AND -- AND CAN ANTICIPATE WHAT IS GOING TO COME OUT OF THAT.

SO BECAUSE IT IS NOT CONCLUDED AS OF YET, I'M NOT GOING TO LET THAT CAUTION STOP ME FROM DOING WHAT I THINK IS RIGHT FOR THE PEOPLE IN MAKING THE NECESSARY CHANGE AND LISTENING TO THE VOICES AND BRINGING ABOUT LASTING CHANGE THAT IS NECESSARY THAT WE ALL WANT TO ACHIEVE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> YES, THANK YOU.

I'M ACTUALLY ON THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE.

SO I HEARD ALL OF THE REMARKS.

AND ALL OF THE COMMENTS.

I DID HEAR CHIEF GENTRY WHO I WAS VERY IMPRESSED WITH.

NO PUN INTEND, KEEP TO HER GUNS.

I NEVER HEARD HER SAY THAT SHE WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THIS BECAUSE TWO REASONS, ONE IT WAS ALREADY POLICY AND SHE WAS WORRIED ABOUT THE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES.

SHE MUST HAVE REPEATED HERSELF AT LEAST TEN TIMES IN SAYING THAT.

AS TO THE SAME SENTIMENTS, I AGREE WITH THE POLICY.

WE ALREADY HAVE THAT POLICY.

I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD TELL OUR NEW POLICE CHIEF WHAT TO DO AND -- AND TRY TO TELL HER HOW TO DO HER JOB AND DO HER BUSINESS JUST LIKE WE WOULDN'T LMPD TO TELL US HOW WE DO OUR JOB.

THIS POLICY AND THE COMMENT WAS MADE EARLIER THAT WE NEED TO BE MORE AGGRESSIVE IN OUR POLICING.

WE JUST HAD A POLICE CHIEF THAT WAS VERY AGGRESSIVE.

I THINK THAT TOOK US BACKWARDS AND WE LOOK AT WHAT WE HAVE.

WE HAVE CARJACKING THAT ARE SIX FOLD.

WE HAVE A HOMICIDE RATE WHICH HAS BEEN MENTIONED NUMEROUS TIMES AND SO I THINK WE NEED TO SUPPORT OUR MEN AND WOMEN IN -- IN BLUE.

THEY'RE NOT ALWAYS PERFECT.

THEY WORK UNDER DURESS.

I'VE BEEN IN A JOB WHERE YOU WORK UNDER DURESS.

IT IS NOT EASY, IT IS NOT LIFE OR DEATH FOR ME.

IT HAS BEEN STRESSFUL.

WORKING IN TRAUMA ICU I'VE SEEN IT ALL.

ANYWAY, SO, I JUST WANTED TO PUT THOSE COMPS OUT THERE, ESPECIALLY FOR THE FOLKS THAT ARE IN THE ON THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AND DIDN'T ACTUALLY GET TO HEAR CHIEF GENTRY'S COMMENTS.

THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> KRAMER.

>> I [INDISCERNIBLE] MY VOTE.

I ATTEND PUBLIC SAFETY MEETING THE OTHER DAY.

DIDN'T ASK QUESTIONS OR HAVE A CHANCE TO SPEAK.

I WANTED TO HEAR WHAT GENTRY WANTED TO SAY.

ILAND AND MY COLLEAGUES AND ME EXCEPTIONAL ARGUMENTS ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS.

I THINK COUNCILMAN YATES MADE THE POINT WELL THAT WE GOT A COMMUNITY THAT IS HURTING AND THAT WE SHOULD BE PAYING ATTENTION.

A CLEARLY THERE ARE CHANGES THAT NEED TO TAKE PLACE.

POLICIES TONIGHT ARE WHAT WE WHO ACTUALLY DO IN CODIFYING THOSE.

I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS CHANGING.

IT IS RESPONDING, RECOGNIZING.

AT THE SAME TIME, MENTIONING TIMING, I'M VERY VERY CONCERNED ABOUT GIVING IN TO A CLIMATE THAT CREATES VILLAINS ON ONE SIDE AND GOOD GUYS ON THE OTHER.

GOOD GUYS AND BAD GUYS.

I THINK WE POLICE OFFICERS WHO EVERY DAY ARE DOING THE BEST THEY CAN DO.

I THINK WE HAVE FOLKS WHO ARE EXPERIENCING TREATMENT FROM OUR SYSTEM, NOT JUST THE POLICE BUT THE WAY THE GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONS THAT ARE WHOLLY INAPPROPRIATE.

I DO THINK POLICY NEEDS TO CHANGE, MY FEAR AND CONCERN IN THIS MOMENT IS JUMPING IN TO MAKE THESE CHANGES IN THE ORDINANCE SUGGESTS THAT WE'RE LOCKED IN BATTLE.

I -- I AM NOT AT ALL COMFORTABLE IN THAT -- IN THAT FEELING OF BEING MOCKED IN BATTLE.

I DON'T BELIEVE THE POLICE ARE THE SOURCE OF THE PROBLEM.

I LOOK AT 132 HOMICIDES.

>> WRAP IT UP.

>> DO NOT WRAP IT UP, WE LOST A MEMBER, SO WE NEED TO PAUSE FOR A MOMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN GREEN.

I THINK YOU HAVE SOMEBODY THERE THAT WANTS TO SPEAK TO US.

[NO AUDIO].

>> THESE ARE FALSE BINARY CHOICES THAT NATIONALLY WE FALL INTO.
IF I SUPPORT ONE THING, I HAVE TO BE AGAINST THE OTHER.
RIGHT?
THAT'S SIMPLY NOT TRUE.
YOU COULD SUPPORT REFORM AND POLICE.
YOU DON'T HAVE TO CHOOSE ONE OR THE OTHER.
I WOULD ENCOURAGE ALL OF THEM, AS WE THINK ABOUT ANY REFORM,
REGARDLESS OF THE SIDE YOU'RE ON, WORKING TOGETHER AND FINDING WAYS
TO BE PARTNERS AND NOT ENEMIES.
WE HAD A VERY GOOD DISCUSSION TONIGHT.
I HOPE THAT CARRIES FORWARD.
I CHALLENGE EVERYONE IN THE ADMINISTRATION AND IN THE PUBLIC THAT
THERE'S WAY MORE THAT BIND US TOGETHER.
I PROUDLY CAST A YES VOTE.

>> PARKER.

>> IN.

>> PIAGENTINI.

>> BENSON.

>> NO.

>> GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> NO.

>> FLOOD.

>> NO.

>> YATES.

>> MAY I BRIEFLY EXPLAIN.

>> BRIEFLY.

>> I'LL BE BRIEF.

JUST -- JUST -- JUST AS WINKLER POINTED OUT, I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO NOTE THAT THE COMMUNITY IS WATCHING.

I SUPPORT OUR POLICE AND I UNDERSTAND, I TRY UNDERSTAND.

I THINK EVERYTHING THEY'RE GOING THROUGH RIGHT NOW.

I THINK THE FAILURES AND SCANDALS AND A LOT OF IT BOILS DOWN TO FAILURES IN LEADERSHIP AND CHANGES IN POLICY.

I THINK TO SUPPORT THE POLICE, WE NEED REFORM AND MAKE SURE THEY HAVE WHAT THEY NEED, INCLUDING LIBERAL WAGES.

IN ORDER TO SUPPORT OUR COMMUNITY AND MAKE SURE OUR COMMUNITY HAS THE BEST SAFETY AND EVERY INDIVIDUAL HAS THE INTERACTIONS OF OFFICERS, THEN WE HAVE TO SUPPORT THE POLICE.

EARLY ON I THOUGHT WE WERE OVERSTEPPING.

IF IT POSITIVE IMPACTS THE COMMUNITY, SO BE IT.

MY VOTE IS YES.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> ABSOLUTELY YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 15 YES VOTES, AND NINE NO VOTES AND TWO NOT VOTING.

>> THANK YOU, ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHANTERATE 90 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATED TO INCREASING PROTECTIONS FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH BY REQUIRING LICENSES FOR RETAILERS SELLING TOBACCO.

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION.

COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO COUNCILMAN COAN. I WANT TO HEAR ONE VOTE THAT SUSTAINED AND THAT WAS COUNCILMAN SPENCER.

I WANT TO GIVE HIM AN OPPORTUNITY IT EXPLAIN HIS ABSTENTION.

>> COAN.

>> THANK YOU.

MADAM, CLERK, COULD YOU MAKE SURE THAT THE RIGHT ITEM IS UP HERE SO WE COULD ACCESS THE DOCUMENT.

>> THANK YOU ONE MOMENT.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY IS LOOK AT THE RIGHT DOCUMENT.

ONE MOMENT.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THE DOCUMENT WE'RE LOOK AT IN THE SYSTEM IS V.2.

THIS IS ESSENTIALLY AN ORDINANCE THAT CREATES A NEW LICENSING PROGRAM FOR TOBACCO RETAILERS.

SO WHETHER YOU ARE BRANDON EAST OUTLET OR KROGER OR A GAS STATION, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAS AN INTEREST IN KEEPING TRACK OF TOBACCO RETAILERS.

THE PRIMARY PURPOSE IS TO GET AT SMOKING.

IN THE PREAMBLE, I THINK PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THOSE.

IN TERMS OF THE POLICY, IT IS FAIRLY STRAIGHTFORWARD, THE LAW PROVIDES FOR A TEN DOLLAR FEE.

IT IS A VERY MINIMUM BURDEN ON ANY BUSINESS.

WHAT WE'RE SEEKING TO DO IS FOR THESE RETAILERS TO GET LICENSED BY APRIL 1ST, 2021.

YOU SIGN UP AND PAY TEN DOLLARS, IT GOES IN EFFECT ON JANUARY 1ST AND IT IS GOOD FOR THE WHOLE YEAR.

WE DIDN'T THINK IT MADE SENSE FOR PEOPLE TO PAY TEN DOLLARS NOW AND RUSH THE PROCESS AND DO IT AGAIN NEXT YEAR.

THAT'S WHY IT WILL GO FORWARD.

I THINK THIS COMES FROM PRESIDENT JAMES, BUT I ASK YOU TO CORRECT ME, SIR, IF I MISTAKE ANY OF THEM.

THE FIRST AMENDMENT IS ON PAIN THREE.

IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED TO CHANGE THE DEFINITION OF RETAILER AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS IN L.M.C.O. CHAPTER 90.

JUST TO CLARIFY THAT RETAIL REFERS TO THE BUSINESS ESTABLISHMENT AND

NOT THE EMPLOYEES THERE.

I'LL READ THIS INTO THE RECORD QUICKLY.

SO AMENDMENT -- IT IS TO ADD A RETAILER, ANY FIRM -- ANY PERSON, FIRM, PARTNERSHIP OR CORPORATION ENGAGED IN THE BUSINESS OF BUYING, SELLING, OR MERCHANDISING PRODUCTS FOR PROFIT.

THE AMENDMENT IS RETAILER DOES NOT MEAN THE NONMANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES OF THE RETAIL ESTABLISHMENT.

SO THE AMENDMENT IS TO PUT THAT ENTIRE NEW DEFINITION IN THE IN HERE.

I'LL MAKE THAT MOTION IF I COULD HAVE A SECOND.

>> SECOND.

TRIPLETT POLL

>> ANY DISCUSSION?

>> YES.

>> DISCUSSION.

>> JUST TO DISCUSSION, THE TYPE OF BUSINESSES, I DIDN'TER HAS SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP AS ONE.

REPEAT IT, PLEASE.

>> WHAT I'M REPEATING FOR YOU IS THE DEFINITION OF RETAILER AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS.

THAT'S HELPFUL.

CURRENTLY IT SAYS ANY PERSON, FIRM, PARTNERSHIP, OR CORPORATION ENGAGED IN THE BUSINESS.

>> THE PERSON IS IN THERE.

SOMEBODY WAS THERE.

THAT'S WHAT I WAS GETTING AT.

THANKS.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> YES.

>> WHO WAS THAT.

>> COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> I WANT TO EXPLAIN MYSELF.

I KNOW I'M THE ONLY PERSON ON THE COUNCIL BECAUSE I'M OLD, BUT I DIDN'T VOTE TO ABSTAIN, I VOTED PRESENT AND I GOT IN TROUBLE THE OTHER TIME WHEN I VOTED TO ABSTAIN.

THAT'S THE FIRST TIME I EVER DID THIS IN THE 18 YEARS AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I VOTED PRESENT.

I KNOW WHAT THE GOAL IS, NOT MY GOAL, WE NEED TO REGULATE PEOPLE. I HAD A VENDING MACHINE.

MY MOM SMOKED AND HAD EMPHYSEMA.

WITH I WENT TO SCHOOL SHE SMOKED OUTSIDE.

I NEVER SMOKED A CIGARETTE.

I LIKE FREEDOM.

YOU WALK OUT THE DOOR AND YOU PROBABLY BROKE A LAW.

AND SOMEBODY COULD GET YOU IF THEY WANT TO.

BE CAREFUL BECAUSE YOU GOT EVERYBODY WHO IS JEALOUS OF OTHER PEOPLE.

WE WANT TO CONTROL OTHERS.

WE WANT TO SAY YOU GO OUT NOW AND YOU GOT TO CONTROL.

I'M 72 YEARS OLD.

I DON'T WANT NOBODY TO REGULATE THEIR LIFE FOR ME.

I WANT EVERYBODY TO LIVE THEIR LIFE.

IF I FEEL IN DANGER, I'LL STAY IN.

THAT'S FREEDOM.

EVERYBODY DON'T AGREE ON THAT.

THAT'S OKAY.

I KNOW I'M AN ODD BALL HERE.

IT IS BECAUSE WE'RE EVENTUALLY GOING TO MAKE SUGAR DRINKS AND THEY'RE
OUTLAWED AND THEY'RE A PERMIT, A COUPON TO BUY ONE.

IF IT IS TOO BIG, YOU GOT TO SHARE IT SOMEWHERE SOMEBODY.

I KNOW.

AS OLD PERSON, THERE WILL BE SOMEBODY GRABBING YOU ON THE ELBOW AND
SAY COME IN AND IT IS TIME TO GO TO BED AND TO EAT.

TO ME THAT'S NOT A FUN THING.

I LIKE TO FALL DOWN AND GET UP.

IF SOMEBODY IS AROUND TO HELP ME, I LIKE THAT.

REGULATING TOBACCO, IF THIS IS REALLY FOR MARIJUANA AND MAYBE THIS
IS.

WE GET LICENSED SO WE CAN SELL MARIJUANA AND MAKE A LOT OF MONEY.

WE'RE TRYING TO FIND A WAY TO MAKE MONEY.

TOBACCO, IT IS A SLIPPERY SLOPE.

I'LL BE THE ONLY NO VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> WE'RE NOT REALLY TALKING ABOUT REGULATION HERE.

THIS IS NOT REGULATING.

WE DON'T ROG LATE TOBACCO, TO GIVE OUR BETTER UNDERSTANDING WHO IS MAKING TOBACCO PRODUCTS AND ALL OF THE PARAPHERNALIA THAT GO WITH IT.

WHO IS MAKING THAT AVAILABLE?

WE NEED TO KNOW THAT SO WE CAN CAN BETTER EQUIP OURSELVES TO ADDRESSING SMOKING, HEALTH ISSUES AND THE CONSEQUENCES, ESPECIALLY WITH YOUNG PEOPLE.

>> THANK YOU.

SHANKLIN.

>> I WANT TO TELL COUNCILMAN STEWART THIS.

I APOLOGIZE IF I SAID [INDISCERNIBLE] BUT I DID [INDISCERNIBLE] EXPLAINED HIS VOTE.

I DON'T THINK HE'S [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

BULLETS.

PEOPLE ARE GOING TO MAKE SURE [INDISCERNIBLE].

I GET UP IN THE MORNING AND I DON'T KNOW HOW WELL I'M DOING.

WE FEEL BETTER ABOUT GOVERNMENT INSTEAD OF FEELING THE GOVERNMENT IS AWFUL.

[INDISCERNIBLE] HE'S UP.

I'M SAYING LONG AND HARD, EVERY TIME YOU MAKE REGULATION AND CONTROL SOMEBODY, WHY?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT.

PLEASE SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

>> YEAS HAVE IT.

>> THERE WAS ONE MORE PART TO -- TO THE ON THE TO THE FRIENDLY
AMENDMENT.

THIS WOULD BE AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE FOUR, COLLEAGUES IN SECTION F.

THERE'S SUBSECTION ONE AND SUBSECTION TWO.

I'LL READ THE TEXT.

THERE WOULD BE SUBSECTION 3 THAT STATES THE LOUISVILLE METRO
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELLNESS WILL HAVE DISCRETION TO INVESTIGATE
ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED IN EACH APPLICATION.

THEY WILL COMPLY RANDOM CHECKS OCCURRING RANDOM HOURS OR DEEMED AS
APPROPRIATE BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS.

PERIOD.

THE VIOLATIONS FOUND THROUGH COMPLIANCE CHECKS SHOULD BE RECHECKED
WITHIN THREE MONTHS OR AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

PRESIDENT JAMES CAN ELABORATE.

THE PURPOSE THERE IS TO MAKE SURE THERE'S GUIDANCE ON THE LEVEL OF
ENFORCEMENT ON THE LICENSE.

IF YOU READ UP, AGAIN THE PURPOSE IS TO -- IF THERE'S A RETAILER THAT
VIOLATES FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS WITH RESPECT TO SELLING THESE
PRODUCTS TO YOUTH OR THEY -- THEY ARE FRAUDULENT ON APPLICATIONS THEY

CAN LOSE IT.

THESE ARE GUIDELINES OF ENFORCEMENT.

MOTION.

>> MOTION, SECOND?

>> SECOND.

SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN.

DISCUSSION?

>> YES, COUNCILMAN COAN.

IT WAS SO FAST.

WAS IT -- THREE MONTHS SOUNDS LIKE A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME AND GIVES THE RETAILER TIME TO REMEDIATE THE PROBLEM.

>> EITHER THAT OR YOU CATCH REPEAT OFFENDERS.

>> I WASN'T SURE, IF THEY SAY THE NEXT DAY IS PRACTICABLE. THAT MAY BE PRACTICABLE THEM AND NOT THE RETAILER. ARE THEY GUARANTEED THREE MONTHS TO REMEDIATE.

>> I'LL READ IT AGAIN.

ADVISORY IN NATURE.

IT SAYS VIOLATIONS FOUND THROUGH COMPLIANCE CHECKS SHOULD BE RECHECKED WITHIN THREE MONTHS OR AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

SO IT WOULD BE AFTER THREE MONTHS, THE WAY IT IS WRITTEN.

I'LL HAND IT TO YOU.

>> IS THERE A WAY TO TWEAK THE LANGUAGE, IF THERE'S A VIOLATION, I DON'T WANT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT -- WELL -- THE VOILE LAYINGS -- VIOLATION TO BE RECHECKED.

>> IN A PERFECT WORLD, YOU WANT REGULATOR TO CHECK EVERY LICENSEE EVERY YEAR TO MAKE SURE EVERYONE COMPLIES.

WE CAN'T GUARANTEE THAT WITHOUT MORE RESOURCES DEDICATED TOWARDS THAT.

SO RATHER THAN REQUIRING THAT EVERY SINGLE LICENSEE GETS CHECKED EVERY SINGLE YEAR, AGAIN, WHEN THAT CAN APPLY TO GAS STATIONS AND GROCERY STORES AND PEOPLE THAT ARE SELLING WHAT IS NOT THEIR PRIMARY BUSINESS, WE DON'T WANT TO DICTATE, THE GOAL IS FOR THE SPOT CHECKS THAT ARE SYSTEMATICALLY DO THEIR CHECKS.

WE WANT TO INSURE A BASE LEVEL GETTING DONE.

>> DO YOU MIND IF I CONTINUE THE DIALOGUE?

>> YES.

>> I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THE STANDARD.

WHAT I'M CONCERNED WITH, THERE'S PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICIALS AND I'M NOT SAYING WHICH ONES AND I MEAN THAT SINCERELY.

I'M NOT SAYING OUR PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICIALS ARE NOT SPEAKING OF ANYBODY IN PARTICULAR.

I'M SPEAKING BROADLY I WORK WITH HEALTH OFFICIALS IF IT WAS UP TO THEM THEY WOULD SHUT DOWN EVERY TOBACCO RETAILER IN THE COUNTRY IF THEY HAD THE AUTHORITY TO DO SO.

WHAT I'M TRYING TO PREVENT IS A LEGITIMATE TOBACCO RETAILER, THEY DO REGULAR CHECKS AND WE SHOULD REQUIRE ANNUAL CHECKS.

THAT MAKES SENSE.

THIS WOULD WALK IN TOMORROW AND FIND A VIOLATION.

IT SAYS SHOULD BE RECHECKED.

WE COULD SAY REASONABLE AMOUNT OF REMEDIATION TIME.

I'M ASKING IF THERE'S A WAY TO FINE TUNE THE LANGUAGE.

I KNOW THEY WERE INTERESTED IN THIS LANGUAGE CHANGE WITH GIVING THE RETAILER A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME SO THEY'RE ALLOWED TO REMEDIATE THEIR VIOLATION.

>> IF YOU WANT TO SUGGEST LANGUAGE, I'M AMENABLE TO THAT.

I THINK IT SOUND REASONABLE.

>> THEN I'M TERRIBLE ABOUT DRAFTING LANGUAGE, BUT VIOLATIONS SHOULD BE RECHECKED, YOU SHOULD SAY I WOULD SAY NO LATER THAN ONE MONTH, NO EARL LOWER THAN ONE MONTH, COMMA SPACE THREE MONTHS OR AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

>> SO THEY GIVE THEM PLENTY OF TIME.

>> OKAY.

I THINK THAT'S FINE.

I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT -- I DIDN'T HEAR THE EXACT LANGUAGE, BUT IF YOU WANT TO REPEAT IT.

WOULD YOU READ THE WHOLE PARAGRAPH AGAIN AND CHANGE YOUR SENSE AT THE END TOO?

>> THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS WOULD HAVE DISCRETION ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED IN EACH APPLICATION.

PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS WILL PERFORM RANDOM COMPLIANCE CHECKS OR BY APPROPRIATE BY THE LOUISVILLE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS.

VIOLATIONS FOUND THROUGH COMPLIANCE CHECKS SHOULD BE RECHECKED NO EARLIER THAN -- NO EARLIER THAN ONE MONTH, COMMA SPACE, WITHIN THREE MONTHS OR AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE, PERT.

>> I CHANGE THE AMENDMENT TO REFLECT THAT LANGUAGE.

>> COUNCIL COAN MADE THE MOTION AND AMENDED IT.

COUNCILMAN GEORGE WAS THE ONE THAT SECOND THAT.

IS THAT ACCURATE?

WOULD YOU AMEND YOUR SECOND?

>> YES, SIR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANYTHING ELSE.

>> I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT ORDINANCE FOUR AND TALKED ABOUT THE PENALTIES.

IT SAYS THE CIVIL PENALTIES ARE AS FOLLOWS.

ARE WE TALKING ABOUT PENALTIES AS THEY EXIST NOW OR PENALTIES AS WE'RE PROPOSING?

>> COAN?

>> ONLY CHANGES, WE ADDED SECTION 4A AND YOU SEE WHERE AND F IS UNDERLINED.

NOT ALL OF THE TEXT IS UNDERLINED.

>> THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW.

THANK YOU.

>> ANY OTHER DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT PLEASE SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

>> OPPOSED.

>> YEAS HAVE IT.

COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> NO FURTHER DISCUSSION.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDED ORDINANCE?

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 24 YES VOTES AND ONE NO.

ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> THIS IS COUNCILWOMAN HOWARD.

COULD I HAVE A POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE.

I LOST MY KEYS.

I ADJOURNED THE VOTE AND I WANTED TO PUT IT ON THE RECORD SOMEHOW
THAT I VOTE NO.

I KNOW IT IS NOT ON THE RECORD BUT I JUST WANT THE VIEWING PUBLIC
TO KNOW.

>> I'M NOT SURE WHICH ITEM YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, PLEASE.

>> IT WAS THE ONE ON ITEM NUMBER 26.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION.

1.2.2 AND YEAHEDING SECTION 4.3.24 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO LAND
DEVELOPMENT CODE RECORDING SMOKING RETAIL STORES.

>> MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> ANY DISCUSSION COUNCILMAN FLOOD.

>> THIS IS ALSO -- THIS IS ALSO A PIECE OF LEGISLATION, SO I'LL
LET HIM TELL US ABOUT IT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THIS IS THE LAST TIME I'LL SPEAK TONIGHT, I PROMISE.

I DIDN'T MEAN FOR THIS [INDISCERNIBLE].

THIS IS A COMPANION PIECE BECAUSE THE DISTINCTION IS IMPORTANT.

I DON'T WANT TO CONFUSE THEM.

IN THE LAST ORDINANCE WE SAID EVERYONE THAT SELLS TOBACCO OR THESE
PRODUCTS NEED A SIMPLE LICENSE.

THIS IS TO A SPECIAL CLASS OF BUSINESSES THAT ARE RETAIL STORES.

THAT DEFINITION IS A STORE THAT PRIMARY SELLS TOBACCO OR TOBACCO
PRODUCTS OR ELECTRONIC SMOKING DEVICES.

FOR THIS PURPOSE OF THIS DEFINITION PRIMARILY MEANS THAT THE STORE
DEVOTES TEN PERCENT OR MORE OF THE FLOOR AREA OF THE RETAIL SPACE
OR DERIVES 51 PERCENT OR MORE OF THE GROSS SALES RECEIPTS FROM THE
SALES OF TOBACCO AND TOBACCO PRODUCTS AND ELECTRONIC SMOKING DEVICES.

>> YOU FINISHED.

NO, BUT I THINK SHE'S TRYING TO GET YOUR ATTENTION.

>> OKAY.

WE LOST COUNCILWOMAN YATES.

STAND BY, PLEASE.

>> HOPEFULLY CINDY YATES COULD BE ON AT ANY ONE TIME.
WE GOT [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> OKAY, WE'RE COMING BACK IN SESSION.
WE HAVE FAILED AT THIS POINT TO GET COUNCILMAN YATES BACK ONLINE.
WE'LL CONTINUE ON WITH THE MEETING.
WE STILL HAVE A QUORUM OF MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.
COUNCILMAN COAN.

>>
>> I WAS READING SMOKING RETAIL STORES.
THINK OF SHOPS THAT ARE EXCLUSIVE SMOKE STORES AND THERE'S YATES NOW
TOO.
THAT'S GOOD.

>> WELCOME BACK.
>> THAT EXIST AND THEN INCREASINGLY OTHER PROLIFERATING IN OUR
NEIGHBORHOODS AND ALONG OUR COMMERCIAL CORRIDORS.
THE PURPOSE OF THESE CHANGES IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE WHICH OF
COURSE WENT THROUGH THE NORMAL PROCESS OF ASKING THE MAN PLANNING
COMMISSION TO STUDY THE PROCESS IS TO LIMIT WHERE SOME SMOKING RETAIL
STORES CAN BE LOCATED.
FIRST I WAS MENTIONING THIS DOES NOT EFFECT AN EXISTING BUSINESSES
RIGHTS WHATSOEVER.
IF YOU'RE AN EXISTING SHOP, BASICALLY WHAT THIS SAYS, THEY MUST BE
LOCATED A THOUSAND FEET FROM THE COMMUNITY USES BEING STUDIED WHERE
A LOT OF CHILDREN ARE BASICALLY.

THAT WOULD BE PUBLIC OR PRIVATE EARLY CHILD, ELEMENTARY OR MIDDLE OR HIGH SCHOOL PARKS, PUBLIC MAY GROUNDS AND RECREATION USES OUTDOOR AND COMMUNITY CENTERS AND DAYCARE CENTERS AND ATHLETIC FACILITIES. THEN ON NUMBER EIGHT AND NINE, COUNCILMAN DORSEY ASKED WE RUN THROUGH THIS THE CITY'S RACIAL EQUITY POLICY TOOL WHICH WAS A GREAT IDEA AND THEN DISCOVERED ADDING LIBRARIES AND RELIGIOUS BUILDINGS MADE SENSE. ESPECIALLY WITH YOU LOOK AT HOW THEY'RE LAID OUT.

THIS IS NEW SMOKING RETAIL STORES COULD NOT LOCATE WITHIN 1,000 FEET. AS YOU KNOW, YOU COULD ALWAYS APPLY FOR A WAIVER AND GO THE THROUGH THE PROCESS.

THE ONLY OTHER RULE IN SECTION B, IT IS LIKE SHORT-TERM RENTALS, THEY CAN'T BE WITHIN 600 FEET FROM EACH OTHER.

A THOUSAND FEET FROM THE PROTECTED USES, 600 FEET FROM EACH OTHER AND THEN SUBSECTION C IT PUTS SOME -- SOME HOURS AND LIMITATIONS 6 A.M. TO 10 P.M. AND THAT IS EVERYTHING ON THIS ORDINANCE.

I AM HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN?

>> I WITHDRAW MY QUESTION.

HE ANSWERED IT AFTER WE CAME BACK FROM THE BREAK.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> WAS THERE DISCUSSION AND WE DON'T HAVE TO DEFINE IT HERE ON THE DEFINITION OF RELIGIOUS BUILDINGS, FOR EXAMPLE CLEARLY I ATTEND

CHURCH AT A CHURCH AND A CLEAR DESIGNATION BUT OTHERS AND OTHER
AFFILIATED ORGANIZATIONS MAY BE MEETING IN OTHER BUILDINGS MIGHT EVEN
RENT SPACE AND SUCH.

WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE DEFINITION?

>> YEAH.

THANK YOU.

THIS IS THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE RELIGIOUS BUILDING IS ALREADY
DEFINED IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.

GIVE ME ONE MINUTE.

I CAN CALL IT UP.

>> NO NEED.

IF IT IS DEFINED, I WON'T MICRO MANAGE IT.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE WE'RE REFERENCING SOMETHING.

I'M GOOD.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER.

>> IS THE LIQUOR STORES HAVE A DISTANCE BETWEEN LIQUOR STORES
A WE MAY BE WOULD DO THIS TOBACCO SHOPS?

DO YOU KNOW?

>> I WOULD DEFER TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

>> COUNTY ATTORNEY ARE YOU THERE?

THIS IS THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THERE ARE ANY DISTANCE RESTRICTIONS.

THERE MAY BE ABC IMPLICATIONS.

I'M NOT SURE.

I WILL DO RESEARCH.

THAT'S PART OF THE CURRENT LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANOTHER QUESTION, THERE'S PROBABLY BARS AND PEOPLE THAT ALSO SELL TOBACCO A LIQUOR.

WOULD THIS BE A -- WOULD THEY HAVE TO MAKE QUIT SELLING CIGARETTES AND STAY IN BUSINESS BECAUSE THEY COULD BE NEXT DOOR TO ANOTHER -- ANOTHER TAVERN OR SOMETHING AND ONE CAN SELL TOBACCO AND MAYBE THE OTHER ONE CAN'T.

I -- THIS IS JUST -- I KNOW I -- YOU GOT TO HAVE A LAWYER ANYWAY TO FIGURE OUT GOOD YOU CAN HAVE A BUSINESS.

I WONDER WHAT KIND OF PROBLEMS THERE MIGHT BE.

>> THAT'S A VERY GOOD QUESTION.

I'M GLAD YOU RAISED IT BECAUSE I FORGOT TO MENTION.

OBVIOUSLY WE JUST -- WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT TOBACCO RETAILERS BROADLY IN THE LAST ORDINANCE AND SO THE DEFINITION OF SMOKING RETAIL STORE, 51 PERCENT OF YOUR GROSS REVENUE FROM THE SALES OF THESE PRODUCTS.

I CAN'T IMAGINE THAT'S ANY BAR OR TAVERN AND REALLY IMPORTANTLY, AS WE MENTIONED, GROCERY STORES SELL TOBACCO PRODUCTS.

IN NO WAY DOES THIS STOP A GROCERY STORE OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT FROM BEING A THOUSAND OR 600 FEET FROM ANYTHING.

THANK YOU FOR ASKING.

>> THANKS.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> THERE'S 24 YES VOTES AND ONE NO VOTE.

>> THANK YOU THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> READING ITEM NUMBER 29.

>> ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING 6500 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT,
3,000 FROM DISTRICT 12, 1500 FROM DISTRICT 15 AND 500 FROM 13, 14,
17, 21 THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO THE HARBOR HOUSE
OF LOUISVILLE INC. KENTUCKY DERBY FUND-RAISER.

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> DISCUSSION.

>> IN ABSENCE OF COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL TONIGHT I'M HAPPY TO
SPEAK TO THE ORDINANCE.

THIS GOES TO WORK FOR THE HARBOR HOUSE.

THEY DO TREMENDOUS WORK SUPPORTING INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AND
HELPING THEM SEE POTENTIAL DAY-TO-DAY LIVING AND BEING OF SERVICE
IN THE COMMUNITY.

I KNOW BLACKWELL WOULD APPRECIATE ANYONE THAT WANTS TO CO-SPONSOR.

THERE'S ABOUT 35,000 DOLLARS OFF THEIR GOAL AND THEY DEPEND ON THIS MONEY FOR SEPARATIONS DAY-TO-DAY TO HELP SERVE THE POPULATION.
THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN FLOOD.

>> JUST SAY DISTRICT 24, 500 DOLLARS.

>> AND COUNCILMAN SHANKLIN.

>> DISTRICT 2, 500 DOLLARS.

>> DISTRICT 2, 500 DOLLARS.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN GREEN.

>> DISTRICT 1, 500 DOLLARS.

>> DISTRICT 1, 500 DOLLARS.

AND COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> 1,000 DOLLARS.

>> 1,000 DOLLARS FOR COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> 500 FROM DISTRICT 20.

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> 500 DOLLARS FROM DISTRICT NINE.

>> COUNCILMAN FOWLER.

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> WE CAN HEAR YOU NOW.

>> THANK YOU.

AS COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL ASKED ME TO SPEAK TO THIS.

I WANTED TO ADD TO WHAT COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE SAID, YOU MAY OR MAY NOT

KNOW THAT HARBOR HOUSE PAIR TOGETHER SENIOR CITIZENS WITH THE

PARTICIPANTS IN THIS HARBOR HOUSE AS THEY GO AND HELP THESE PEOPLE.

IT IS TWO FOLD VENTURE WHERE THE PARTICIPANTS ARE MONEY AND THEY GET

A NICE VISIT FROM THE LOVELY PEOPLE DURING CAN THE WEEK.

IT IS A GREAT CAUSE.

I HOPE YOU WILL CONSIDER GIVING TO IT.

I WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE MY GIFT FROM 500 NO 1,000.

>> 1,000 FROM COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ALL RIGHT.

COUNCILMAN [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> AND PRESIDENT, DEFINITELY FEEL THE NECESSARY NEED FOR THE
COMMUNITY.

THIS IS A SPECIAL PLACE TO VISIT.

1,500 DOLLARS.

>> DISTRICT 25, 1,500 DOLLARS.

PURVIS.

>> 250 FROM DISTRICT FIVE.

>> 250.

>> COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> DISTRICT 22, 500 DOLLARS.

I'VE BEEN A SUPPORTER EVERY YEAR.

>> DISTRICT 22, 500 DOLLARS.

AND COUNCILMAN [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> DISTRICT 23, 250.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER AGAIN?

YOUR -- YOU'RE MUTED COUNCILMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU.

I CAN'T LET MY PUBLIC FROM DISTRICT 25 OUTBID ME.

1500.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, 1500 DOLLARS APP DISTRICT 25 IS 1500
DOLLARS.

ARE YOU SURE YOU DON'T WANT TO OUTBID HIM?

>> UNLESS COUNCILMAN YATES BIDS MORE.

>> I GOT YOU.

NO PRESSURE.

>> COUNCILMAN DORSEY?

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> DISTRICT 3, 500 DOLLARS.

>> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> DISTRICT 7, 500 DOLLARS.

>> COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> 250 FROM DISTRICT EIGHT.

>> 250 FOR DISTRICT 8.

>> 6, 250.

ALL RIGHT MADAM CLERK WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US.

>> 15,000 DOLLARS.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SO MOVED.

>> WINKLER AND I DIDN'T HEAR THE -- COUNCILMAN ENGEL SECONDED.

ANY DISCUSSION.

ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

>> OPPOSED?

>> THE YEAS HAVE IT.

THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US.

PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 25 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> READING OF ITEM NUMBER 30.

>> APPROPRIATING 7,000 DOLLARS FROM DEVELOPMENT FUNDS.
THROUGH OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO CHOICE INC. FOR THE DREAM
LEADERSHIP AND SPORTS LEADERSHIP AND MENTORING PROGRAM.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION?

MOTION BY GEORGE AND SECOND BY GREEN.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMAN GEORGE?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS ORDINANCE CAME FORTH FROM CHOICE AND DAY TO DREAM.

THE QUALITY WORK THEY DO WITH CROSS AGE PEER MENTORING BETWEEN HIGH
SCHOOL STUDENTS AND ELEMENTARY STUDENTS.

THIS ORIGINATED OUT OF DISTRICT [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> THANK YOU.

COLLEAGUE CHOICE IS A FANTASTIC ORGANIZATION THAT I WORKED WITH FOR
OVER TEN YEARS.

CHOICE STANDS FOR CHILDREN HAVE OPTIONS IN CHOOSING EXPERIENCES.

THE MISSION IS TO HELP MENTOR CHILDREN WHO ARE GROWING UP WITH
FAMILIES OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT AND HOUSEHOLD DYSFUNCTION ARE PRESENT.

AS THE CHAIR MENTIONED, DISTRICT 21 AND 22 HAVE TRADITIONALLY BEEN
THE DARE TO DREAM AND SPORTS LEADERSHIP.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

GROWN UP IN A [INDISCERNIBLE] WITH SOME FORM OF NEGLECT.

SO I HAVE WATCHED THIS ORGANIZATION GROW SUCCESSFULLY FOR YEARS.

I WORKED WITH DISTRICT 21 COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE MENTIONED, MANY ZIP CODES AND I SUPPORT THIS AND INVOLVED WITH ORGANIZATIONS.

I THANK COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IT IS IMPORTANT FOR FOLKS TO KNOW THIS INVOLVES A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN HIGH SCHOOLS ALONG WITH WATTERSON ELEMENTARY AND YOUNG.

THAT'S HOW MENTORING IS.

THIS PROGRAM SERVES 65 YOUTHS LAST YEAR AND AGAIN IT TOUCHED ALMOST EVERY ZIP CODE IN THE CITY.

IF ANYONE WANTS TO JOIN IN SUPPORT OF THIS WORK, WE WOULD WELCOME CO-SPONSORS AND I ASK YOU ADVANCE THE VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> 500 DOLLARS FROM DISTRICT 15.

>> COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> 500 DOLLARS FROM 25.

>> 500 DOLLARS FROM 25.

>> 250 FROM SIX.

>> MR. PRESIDENT.

>> I'M SORRY COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN GO AHEAD.

>> I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF YOU REMEMBER GLORIA MORMON THAT WORKED

IN MY OFFICE THIS PAST FEBRUARY.

SHE WAS REALLY INVOLVED IN CHOICE.

I WANT TO GIVE A THOUSAND DOLLARS, YOU KNOW, IT -- FOR THAT.

IT WAS WHERE SHE WORKED.

>> THANK YOU.

1,000 DOLLARS FROM DISTRICT 2.

>> COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL.

>> DISTRICT 10.

>> HOW MUCH WAS THAT?

>> 500 DOLLARS.

>> COULD YOU PUSH THE BUTTON AND STAND BY AND REPEAT IT.

>> 500 DOLLARS.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> 250.

>> 250 FROM WINKLER.

AND COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> 500 FROM DISTRICT 14.

>> 500 FROM 14.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

MAY I HAVE A MOTION FOR THE AMENDMENT, PLEASE?

>> 10,500 DOLLARS.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION FOR THE AMENDMENT.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> TRIPLET.

>> SO MOVED BY ENGEL AND SECONDED BY TRIPLETT.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

OPPOSED.

>> THE YEAS HAVE IT.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 25 YES'S.

>> APPROPRIATING FROM CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS, 500 FROM DISTRICTS 10, 15, 21, 750 FROM 16, 1,000 FROM 1, 12, 19 AND 23, 1500 FROM 2, 6, 8, 9, 17, 25, 26 AND 25 FROM 5 TO EXPANSION R SHUN OF THE BASKETBALL COURT IN LANNAN PARK.

>> MAY AND MOTION?

>> SECOND BY TRIPLETT.

ANY DISCUSSION COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE?

>> I WILL TURN THIS OVER THIS OVER TO PURVIS.

>> COUNCILWOMAN?

>> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU FOR MY COLLEAGUES FOR THIS PROJECT, THIS INITIATIVE THAT IS REALLY IMPORTANT.

DISTRICT FIVE HAS [INDISCERNIBLE] THE FOUNDATION ALONG WITH NICK WILSON AND METRO PARKS AND THE EXPANSION OF THE BASKETBALL COURT TO MAKE [INDISCERNIBLE].

THIS PROJECT WE'RE TRYING IT RAISE WITH 23,987 DOLLARS.

RIGHT NOW I'M AT 19, 250 DOLLARS.

THIS IS OLD BUSINESS WITH THE -- WITH THE INTENTIONS OF NEEDING ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FROM OTHER COLLEAGUES THAT MAY RECONSIDER GENEROUS AND FULFILL THE MISSION I'M TRYING IT ACCOMPLISH HERE. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY RIGHT NOW.

IF I HAVE TO [INDISCERNIBLE] AN OPTION BID, I MAY HAVE TO CALL ON MY COLLEAGUE COUNCILWOMAN SMITH BECAUSE I'M NOT GOOD AT THAT BUT SHE IS.

>> PURVIS, THANK YOU.

FLOOD.

>> DISTRICT 24, 500.

>> 500 FROM 24.

>> KRAMER.

>> 250 FROM 11.

>> 750 FROM 11.

>> COUNCILWOMAN SMITH.

>> THANK YOU, I HAD TO JUMP IN HERE WITH MY ESTEEMED COLLEAGUES.

THIS IS [INDISCERNIBLE] EXCELLENT JOB.

AND PURVIS, YOU SHOULD BE A FUND-RAISER ANY DAY OF THE WEEK.

I WANTED TO EXPLAIN THAT ALTHOUGH THIS WOULD BE THE KIND OF THING

THAT FOUR WOULD [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> SOMEONE PLEASE MUTE THEIR PHONE.

>> OKAY.

>> ADDING MUSIC, I LOVE THAT.

MY COLLEAGUES I WANTED TO SAY THIS IS SOMETHING SPONSORED AND I'LL
TRANSITION OUT OF THE OFFICE ON DECEMBER 31 AND THAT REQUIRES THAT
CERTAIN LEVELS OF FUNDING ARE IN PLACE IN OUR DISTRICT FOR ACCOUNTS.
I'M VERY MINDFUL OF THAT AND PAYING VERY CLOSE ATTENTION IT.

I AM VERY CONCERNED.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE I LEAVE THAT IN.

WITH THAT, COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS, THIS IS WHAT I GOT FOR YOU.

I THINK YOU'VE DONE A GREAT JOB.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

BENSON?

>> 20, 500 DOLLARS.

>> 20, 500 DOLLARS.

>> COUNCILWOMAN GREEN?

>> ADD AN EXTRA 250 DOLLARS IN ONE TO HELP THEM.

>> EXTRA 250 DOLLARS FROM DISTRICT ONE TO ASSIST DISTRICT FIVE.

>> MADAM CLERK, WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US?

>> COUNCILMAN DORSEY.

>> 500 FROM 3.

COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS, [INDISCERNIBLE] I WISH I COULD GIVE YOU MORE

BUT I UNDERSTAND [INDISCERNIBLE] AND WE'RE SUPPORTING YOU WITH WHAT WE GOT, 500.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> 250 DOLLARS FROM 25 AS WELL.

>> 250 DOLLARS FROM 25.

>> IS THAT ADDITIONAL 250 DOLLARS?

>> I THINK WE WERE TRYING TO GET CLOSER TO THE GOAL.

>> ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> 250.

>> ADDITIONAL 250 FROM DISTRICT 2.

COUNCILWOMAN MCRANEY.

>> CAN I GET THE BALANCE THAT YOU NEED?

>> STAND BY.

>> 1,733 DOLLARS LEFT.

>> 1,733.

>> ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> ALL RIGHT.

>> THANK YOU, MY COLLEAGUES.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION PLEASE FOR THE AMENDMENT?

>> MOTION PIAGENTINI.

>> COAN SECONDED IT.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY YEA.

OPPOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE AMENDMENT OF BINDING ELEMENTS FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3101 POPLAR LEVEL DRIVE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 5.318 ACRES BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> MOTION.

>> SECONDED BY PIAGENTINI.

THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US.

ANY QUESTIONS?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, IF YOU NOTICED THIS IS NOT A CHANGE IN ZONING.

THE REASON THIS IS HERE TODAY IS BECAUSE YEARS AGO WHEN THIS PROPERTY WAS CHANGED IN ZONING FOR -- FOR A HUGE RETAIL AREA THERE WAS A GROCERY STORE THERE.

WE HAD A BINDING ELEMENT THAT SAID ANY CHANGE IN USE WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK TO THE METRO COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL.

THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE TODAY.

THE BUILDING WILL REMAIN THERE BUT THEY WILL ADD TO THE ENTRANCE AND

ADD ADDITIONAL 28 PARKING SPACES.

IT WAS NOT CHANGE IN ZONING, IT IS THE BINDING ELEMENTS THAT WE HAVE
ON THATTERS

>> AGO.

THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL'S DISTRICT.

>> THIS WAS UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED AS WELL AS IN THE COMMITTEE.
IT WILL HAVE EMERGENCY CARE CENTER.

THIS MAKES A LOT OF SENSE AND WILL HELP SUPPORT THE RESTAURANT AND
OTHER AMENITIES.

I SUPPORT IT.

PLEASE SAY YES.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

NONE, THE ORDINANCE REQUIRED A ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 25 VOTES.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5200,
5204, 5208, CHATHAMWOOD.
COURT.

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

>> A YES VOTE WILL BE TO UPHOLE THE MANNING COMMISSION'S DENIAL OF
THIS PROJECT.

TWO MAIN REASONS IS THE HIGH DENSITY PROPOSAL IS NOT ALONG A MARKET

CORRIDOR.

MORE THAN HALF OF THE MIDDLE AND THE OUTER STREAM BUFFERS WOULD BE ENCROACHED UPON.

THERE WAS NO MITIGATION PLAN.

TONIGHT'S VOTE WILL BE A YES VOTE TO DENY.

THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN'S PEDEN'S DISTRICT.

>> THIS IS DISCUSSED BY THE COMMISSION AND WE HEARD THEIR CONCERNS ADDRESSED.

SO I WOULD APPRECIATE A YES VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> DISCUSSION.

>> PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> MR. PRESIDENT, 25 YES VOTES.

>> READING ITEM 34.

>> ORDINARY NAPS RELATING TO THE ZONING AND FORM DISTRICT OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4310-4318BARD SOIN ROAD AND 4403-4405 FEGENBUSH LANE CONTAINING 6.28 ACRES.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>> SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLET.

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

>> THANK YOU, IN PRESIDENT, THIS IS A CHANGE IN ZONING AND A FORM DISTRICT CHANGE ABOVE THE MARKETPLACE.

THERE'S SEVEN CONTIGUOUS PIECES OF PARCEL AND THIS WILL TAKE TO BUILD

THIS RETAIL AND OFFICE WITH AVERAGE SQUARE FEET OF 37,000 SQUARE FEET.
THIS IS BY THE WEST HAVEN CEMETERY AND IN THE SMALL AREA PLAN.
THERE WAS A BINDING ELEMENT THAT COMMISSIONERS FELT NEEDED TO BE PUT
ON THIS WE HAVE TO AGREE, PRIOR TO THE BUILDING PERMIT, THEY MUST
AGREE TO THE TRANSPORTATION CABINET, A PEDESTRIAN PLAN FROM THAT
INTERACTION.

THEY'RE GOING TO HOLD THE DEVELOPER AT LEAST IT PAY FOR THE -- TO
PAY FOR THE PLAN.

SOMETIMES IT IS LIKE CHOOSING, EXECUTING OR BUILDING OR WHATEVER YOUR
GOING TO BUILD.

THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN, DR. SHANKLIN'S DISTRICT BUT SHE SAID SHE
DID NOT TO NEED TO ADDRESS US ON THIS.

>> THANK YOU.

PEDEN.

>> I GUESS MY QUESTION WOULD BE, IT IS A LOVELY PLAN.

EXCELLENT FOR THE AREA.

ARE THERE ANY PROJECTIONS IF THEY DON'T BUILD WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO
BUILD, IT COMES BACK TO US VERSUS THE CATEGORY THREE REVIEW WHERE
WE NEVER GET TO SEE IT AGAIN.

>> COUNCILMAN, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> WE DID NOT ADD ANYTHING TO THAT BECAUSE IF YOU LOOK -- IF YOU
LOOK AT THE AREA, IT IS A HIGHLY COMMERCIALIZED AREA AND IT IS ACTUALLY
NEXT TO THE CEMETERY AND IN BETWEEN THE MCDONALDS THERE.
IT IS AN L SHAPED AREA.

>> I KNOW EXACTLY.

LIKE I SAID.

I KNOW WHERE IT IS.

I KNOW EXACTLY -- I EVEN KNOW A COUPLE OF -- MY THING IS, WE HAVE SO MANY OF THESE COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES THAT THEN ALLOW THEM TO COME BACK.

IT DOESN'T BECOME WHAT IT IS GOING TO BECOME.

IT NEVER COMES BACK TO US.

AND THAT'S [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> WE CAN CERTAINLY ADD THAT ON THERE.

I THINK TRAVIS.

ARE YOU STILL HERE TRAVIS?

>> YES, I AM.

>> IT IS LANGUAGE SUFFICIENT JUST TO SAY THAT ANY CHANGE IN USE FORWARD AND PUBLIC HEARING AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION LEVEL AND METRO COUNCIL.

>> I WAS LOOKING TO SEE IF I COULD PULL UP AN EXAMPLE.
IT IS SOMETHING VERY SIMILAR TO THAT.

>> MAKE IT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION.

>> MOTION BY FLOOD.

SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> SECOND BY PIAGENTINI.

DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

>> OPPOSED.

>> COUNCILMAN FLOOD.

I'M SORRY.

COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

WERE YOU FINISHED?

>> THANK YOU.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

FOX.

>> PLEASE MR. PRESIDENT, COUNCILMAN FEGENBUSH IS RIGHT.

I HAVE A SIMILAR CASE.

THEY WROTE THAT BINDER.

IF YOU HAVE THAT THE LANGUAGE IS THERE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN FOX.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> YES, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> JUST LIKE THE MEASURE, TWO ITEMS BACK ON OUR AGENDA, MULVIHILL
AREA.

THE ITEM WITH THE BINDING ELEMENTS DID COME BACK TO US.

I MEANT TO RAISE THIS TO COMMITTEE.

WHO BROUGHT IT BACK TO US, THE PLANNING COMMISSION?

MAYBE THAT MIGHT SET ASIDE PEDEN'S CONCERN.

HOW DO WE NOW IT COMES BACK?

WE PUT THAT IN THE LANGUAGE, BUT WHO BRINGS THAT BACK TO US?

HOW ARE WE ASSURED THAT?

>> THANK YOU.

IS THAT FOR COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

WE'RE GETTING READY TO TALK.

>> I CAN ADDRESS IT.

>> THERE'S TWO ROUTES WHERE IT MAY COME BEFORE COUNCIL.

THERE'S A PROVISION BY AN ELEMENT CHANGE CAN -- COUNCIL CAN INITIATE ITS OWN REVIEW.

THAT'S IN THE WHAT HAPPENED IN THIS CASE.

IN THIS CASE THERE WAS A DEVELOPMENT ADDED AND BINDING ELEMENT SHOULD COME BACK BEFORE COUNCIL.

PLANNING AND DESIGN, WHEN IT IS CHANGED ON A PROPERTY THEY REORDER THEM.

THEN THEY SEND IT OUR WAY.

THAT'S NOT HAPPENING IN THIS CASE.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, MADAM CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY, CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR VOTE?

I'M SORRY WE'RE STILL NOT HEARING YOU.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> READING ITEM 35.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2809 WEST BROADWAY CONTAINING .252 ACRES AND BEING IN THE LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION.

>> MOTION BY ENGEL AND SECOND BY PIAGENTINI.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS A CHANGE IN ZONING TO ALLOW A BLOOD PLASMA CENTER TO GO IN A VACANT UNIT AT WEST BROADWAY AND THERE REQUIRES A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT BECAUSE IT IS WITHIN 1,000 FEET OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AND WE -- WE -- THIS METRO COUNCIL PASSED IT SOMETIME AGO AND -- AND AS IT APPLIES TO BLOOD PLASMA CENTERS AND OTHER MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS BESIDES THE ASSOCIATES WITHIN A THOUSAND FEET OF -- OF A RESIDENTIAL PIECE OF PROPERTY WOULD REQUIRE A CERTIFICATE, EXCUSE ME, A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

THIS WAS THIS COUNCILWOMAN'S PURVIS'S DISTRICT.

>> COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS A NEW BUSINESS THAT WILL BE IN DISTRICT 5 THAT I -- I STRONGLY SUPPORT AND WILL BE BRINGING JOBS AND AS WE'RE ALL AWARE, WITH THE COVID CRISIS PLASMA IS IMPORTANT.

I DON'T KNOW IF IT WILL BE USED HERE LOCALLY BUT IT WILL BE A SOURCE OF SAVING LIVES.

I SUPPORT THIS AND I'M ASKING MY COLLEAGUES TO SUPPORT IT AS WELL. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS ORDINANCE.

HEARING NONE.

ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.

>> NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS A QUESTION FROM COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

>> AS YOU KNOW I LOST MY FEED DURING THE ACTION TO VOTE ON ITEM 26.

I WANTED AN OPINION UP FROM COUNTY ATTORNEY WHETHER THAT VOTE COULD BE REDONE SO THAT I COULD VOTE?

I STILL LIKE -- THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A PRUDENT THING TO DO SINCE IT WENT OUT RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF A VOTE.

IS THAT POSSIBLE?

>> CAN I HAVE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER?

>> YES.

>> STAND BY.

>> I APOLOGIZE.

I HAVE TWO AND I WAS GETTING FEEDBACK.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER WOULD BE THE PROPER WAY.

THE ONE ON THE PREVAILING SIDE AND COULD BE SECONDED BY ANYONE.

>> MOTION TO RECONSIDER WINKLER.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER 26 WINKLER AND SECONDED BY FOX.

I NEED -- I NEED ANOTHER SECONDED BY SOMEONE THAT PREVAILED.

YOU CAN'T MAKE IT.

COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY WILL BE THE SECOND.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY YEA.

>> YEA.

>> ALL OPPOSED?

YEAS HAVE IT.

WE'RE BACK TO ITEM NUMBER 26.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> WE DIDN'T HEAR YOU.

YOU'RE ON MUTE.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

ONE MORE TIME.

>> I'M SO SORRY.

ONE MORE TIME.

>> NO.

>> YOU HAVE 15 YES VOTES AND TEN NO VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> NEXT ITEM IS NEW BUSINESS .

NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES ITEM 36 THROUGH 47.

WILL THE CLERK READ THOSE ITEMS AND THEIR ASSIGNMENTS.

NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS. I ASK THOSE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WANT TO MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS WAIT UNTIL AFTER THE RECORD IS READ. THIS IS ITEMS 36 THROUGH 47.

>> THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE REFERRED TO THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE. ITEM 36, THE ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING 5,500 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN FOLLOWING MANNER, 3,000 FROM DISTRICT 15, 1,000 DISTRICT 25 AND 500 EACH FOR DISTRICTS 12, 13, AND 14 TO MET TROPHY PARKS POT JACK-O'-LANTERN SPECTACULAR EVENT IN IROQUOIS PARK. ITEM 37, REPEELING ORDINANCE 047 WHICH APPROPRIATED 14,500 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, 5,000 FROM 13, 2250 FROM 14, 1,000 FROM 12, 15, 23, AND 25. 500 FROM DISTRICTS 1, 10, 12, 22, AND 24. 250 FROM DISTRICTS 8, 9, AND 17. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE REFERRED TO THE EDUCATION COMMITTEE. ITEM 38 A RESOLUTION HONORING DR. ERIC K. JOHNSON BY DEDICATING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF 40TH AND WEST BROADWAY AS DR. ERIC A. JOHNSON WAY IN RECOGNITION OF HIS 25TH ANNIVERSARY AS PASTOR. ITEM 39, RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT COUNCIL TO RELEASE DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO THE LMPD EXPLORER CASE. THIS WILL

BE ASSIGNED TO ECONOMIC AND LABOR DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF ORDINANCES BY INCLUDING LOCAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCING PROJECTS AND THE DEFINITION OF PROJECTS. ITEM 41 A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVE NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE LMPHW CONCERNING THE RETENTION OF AN ACADEMIC HEALTH COORDINATOR. ITEM 42 A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET AND ECONOMIC IMPROVEMENT PLANS FOR THE LOUISVILLE DOWNTOWN MANAGEMENT DISTRICT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1ST, 2021 THROUGH DECEMBER 31ST, 2021. THIS WILL BE ASSIGNED TO PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE. ITEM 44. AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING AND FORM DISTRICT OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7101 GREENBELT HIGHWAY CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 1.67 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. ITEM 45, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1616 ROSEWOOD AVENUE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 0.114 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. ITEM 46 ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 917 AND 919 FOUNTAIN AVENUE AND 4700 WESTPORT ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 0.59 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE NUMBER 19ZONE 0094. THIS WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE. ITEM 47 AN ORDINANCE CREATING THE CIVILIAN REVIEW AND ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD AND THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL AND ABOLISHING THE CITIZEN COMMISSION ON POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY. READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. NEXT WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS. ANY

COUNCIL MEMBERS WISHING IT MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS? HEARING NONE, THAT
CONCLUDES OUR MEETING. OUR NEXT COUNCIL MEETING IS ON THURSDAY
NOVEMBER THE 5TH AT 6 P.M. WITH NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO DISCUSS WITHOUT
OBJECTION WE STAND ADJOURNED.