

Louisville Metro Government Ad Hoc Committee on Landmarks

Thursday, July 18, 2019 1:30 PM Council Chambers

On Tuesday, June 11, the committee completed our first past review of the ordinance (pp. 17-19, Sec. 32.260 (P) - Sec. 32.263) and then reached consensus on the previously discussed amendments:

- ✓ pp. 2-4, Sec. 32.251: technical amendments
- ✓ p. 7, Sec. 32.256: add (A)(10) to address President James' concern; proposed language = ***(10) Video doorbells, cameras, lighting motion sensors and similar security systems.***
- ✓ p. 11, Sec. 32.260 (B): technical amendments
- ✓ p. 12, Sec. 32.260 (D): technical amendments
- ✓ p. 13, Sec. 32.260 (E): ***"...within 90 days."***
- ✓ p. 14, Sec. 32.260 (G): amend from three (3) to seven (7) days for Commission to notice Council of designation
- ✓ pp. 14-15, Sec. 32.260 (I): technical amendments **and reinstate petition geographic requirements**
- ✓ p. 15, Sec. 32.260 (J) - (L): technical amendments, including switching the order of subsections (J) and (K); **discuss resultant length of time of process**
 - ***CAO to provide clarifying language in new (K)***
- ✓ p. 16, Sec. 32.260 (M): technical amendment
- ✓ p. 17, Sec. 32.260 (Q): reinstate public hearing option and existing standard of review; change from 180 to 120 days Council's requirement of final action

Video:

http://louisville.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=2&clip_id=6077

This leaves the following open items remaining for discussion and decision:

- pp. 9-10, Sec. 32.257 (L) - (M): **Economic hardship exemption**
- p. 12, Sec. 32.260 (C): technical amendments and “**no fewer than 200/at least 50%**” requirement?
- pp. 13-14, Sec. 32.260 (F): technical amendments and **discuss CM Peden’s concern regarding the weight of criteria**
- p. 16-17, Sec. 32.260 (O): technical amendments and **discuss CM Peden’s concern regarding the weight of criteria**

Please come to our July 18 meeting prepared to offer amendments or declare that you don’t have any more.

I would like to offer the following amendment to § 32.260 (O):

(f) Additionally, in considering the designation of any Individual Landmark, the Commission may determine whether the building or property owner(s) would qualify for an economic hardship exemption, pursuant to § 32.257 (L), from any exterior alteration specified in § 32.256 (C).

I think this is the best way to upstream the economics question that inevitably becomes part of any review by council pursuant to § 32.260 (Q).

I do not have any other amendments.

Thank you.