

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
LOUISVILLE METRO PLANNING COMMISSION
July 30, 2015**

A meeting of the Louisville Metro Planning Commission was held on Thursday, July 30, 2015 at 1:00 p.m. at the Old Jail Building, located at 514 W. Liberty Street, Louisville, Kentucky.

Commission members present:

Donnie Blake, Chair
David Proffitt, Vice Chair (left at 3:30 p.m.)
Jeff Brown
Vince Jarboe
Robert Kirchdorfer
Clifford Turner (arrived at 1:20 p.m.)
David Tomes

Commission members absent:

Robert Peterson
Chip White

Staff Members present:

Emily Liu, Director, Planning and Design Services
Joseph Reverman, Planning Supervisor
Brian Davis, Planning & Design Supervisor
John G. Carroll, Legal Counsel
Jonathan Baker, Legal Counsel
Julia Williams, Planner II
Christopher Brown, Planner II
Brian Mabry, Planning & Design Coordinator
Eugene Sowell, Communications Specialist
Chris Cestaro, Management Assistant (minutes)

The following matters were considered:

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Swearing-In of New Commissioner

00:16:52 On a motion by Commissioner Tomes, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the appointment of new Commissioner Marilyn Lewis to complete the remaining term of ex-Commissioner Carrie Butler (**term of office ends on October 1, 2017.**)

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, and Tomes.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White, Peterson, and Turner.

ABSTAINING: No one.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Approval of Minutes

July 16, 2015 – 1:00 p.m. Planning Commission Regular Meeting

00:20:24 On a motion by Commissioner Kirchdorfer, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the minutes of its meeting conducted on July 16, 2015.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Proffitt, Brown, and Kirchdorfer.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White, Peterson, and Turner.

ABSTAINING: Commissioners Blake, Jarboe, Tomes, and Lewis.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

Request: Change in zoning from R-4, R-7, and C-1 to C-2; Variances; Waivers; and a General/Detailed District Development Plan.

Project Name: Costco

Location: 3408 Bardstown Road

Owner: Playa Plaza LLC
J. Mark Blieden, Representative
6100 Dutchmans Lane, 6th Floor
Louisville, KY 40205

Applicant: Costco Wholesale
Ted Johnson, Representative
TJ Design Strategies, Ltd.
2311 West 22nd Street Suite 208
Oak Brook, Illinois 60523

Representative: Jackie Frank
Costco Wholesale
999 Lake Drive
Issaquah, WA 98027

Engineer/Designer: Mulvanny G2 Architecture
1110 112th Avenue NE Suite 500
Bellevue, WA 98004

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

Council District: 10 – Steve Magre

Case Manager: **Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II**

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

Agency Testimony:

00:22:04 Julia Williams presented the case and showed a Power Point presentation (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

00:34:33 Ms. Williams read proposed changes to binding element #13 into the record, as follows:

“The applicant is utilizing the Public Art fee in lieu as outlined in Chapter 5.12.2.A.3. The fee in lieu shall be calculated based on the square footage required for the outdoor amenity area, multiplied by the square foot value of the parcel of land as determined by its actual documented value at the time of development. The fee shall be paid to the Louisville Public Space Art Fund before the issuance of a building permit for the site.”

00:35:12 Ms. Williams said staff had received two letters of support from adjacent property owners.

00:37:57 In response to a question from Commissioner Proffitt, Ms. Williams explained the reasons the applicant had given for the requested Waiver to not provide short-term bicycle parking.

The following spoke in favor of the proposal:

Jackie Frank, representing Costco Wholesale, 999 Lake Drive, Issaquah, WA 98027

Cynthia Cooke, 2227 Bashford Manor, Louisville, KY 40218

Lois L. Judd, 2613 Goldsmith Lane, Louisville, KY 40218

Susan Smith, 705 Donard Park Avenue, Louisville, KY 40218

Steve Porter, 2406 Tucker Station Road, Louisville, KY 40299

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

00:39:38 Jackie Frank presented the applicant's case and showed a Power Point presentation (see recording for detailed presentation.)

00:51:05 Cynthia Cooke spoke in support.

00:51:59 Lois Judd spoke in support and said she had heard many positive, supportive comments from people in the neighborhood and surrounding areas.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

She said some other comments she had heard had to do with wanting as much green space as possible, and some concerns about drainage.

00:54:06 Susan Smith, representing the Donard Park Condominium Association, discussed the project and some of the residents' concerns, primarily HVAC noise and aesthetic views for the residents who face the property. She said some of the other property owners were concerned about delivery truck idling/noise but that Mr. Frank had addressed the concerns about the delivery truck idling. Commissioner Proffitt said truck idling was addressed by binding element #12.

00:57:39 Steve Porter spoke in support of the project.

The following spoke in opposition to the proposal:

No one spoke.

The following spoke neither for nor against the proposal:

No one spoke.

Rebuttal:

00:58:16 Mr. Frank addressed Ms. Smith's concerns about HVAC noise and truck idling. He said noise and light pollution were primary areas of focus in response to neighbors' concerns; also he answered Commissioner Proffitt's questions about lighting & light standards. Mr. Frank also withdrew the short-term bicycle parking waiver request and indicated that Costco would work with staff on the location of the bike rack.

01:04:25 In response to some Commissioner's questions, Mr Frank said that there are no proposals for the outlot at this time; also, Costco will not be the developer of that outlot.

01:05:45 Commissioner Brown and Mr. Frank discussed intersections and accesses.

Deliberation:

01:10:43 Commissioner's deliberation.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

One vote was taken on all of the following issues:

Change in form district; change in zoning; Variances #1, #2, and #3; Waivers #1, #4, #5 and #6; the General Detailed District Development Plan; the proposed binding elements including a change to binding element #13 as specified at this hearing; and a change to binding element #12 (strike the words "Single Family residences" from that binding element to include all types of abutting residences, and adding the words "within 200 feet of residential properties".) Change in Form District from Neighborhood to Suburban Marketplace Corridor

On a motion by Commissioner Proffitt, seconded by Commissioner Tomes, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the proposed Form District change meets the intents of Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1 - Community Form. The proposal is part of an existing commercial center that has been created along Bardstown Road. The proposal is for a form district change to allow the entire site to fit in with the established SMC that has been created along Bardstown Road. The NFD is located where the site is residentially zoned but never used as such; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the Suburban Marketplace Corridor form district change is to allow for an expansion into an area where the use has been commercial for some time. Bardstown Road is a major arterial and the form district change will have limited impact on the adjacent properties; and

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 1 – Community Form**. The proposal provides accommodations for transit users, pedestrians, and employee bicyclists. The adjacent developments are located close enough to the public sidewalk along Bardstown Road that it could be utilized for pedestrians and transit users. Pedestrians are also provided for by way of an existing sidewalk connection to Sumner Road to the north; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is for one building and an outlot. Existing curb cuts along Bardstown Road are being utilized. Buffers are provided adjacent to the lower density uses; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is for an expansion of the SMC. The expansion is for the re-use of residentially zoned, commercially used land. The proposal will not be more of a disruption to the existing established residential area as buffers and setbacks will be provided; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 2 – Centers**. The proposal, which will create a new center, is located in the Suburban Marketplace Corridor Form District, and includes new construction or the reuse of existing buildings to provide commercial, office and/or residential use. The proposal is for new construction for commercial use in the established SMC; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that C-2 zoning, while permitting C-1 uses, allows for more intense commercial users outside the general neighborhood population. Much of the site is already zoned C-2. There is sufficient population in the vicinity to support the zoning; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that expanding the existing C-2 results in an efficient land use pattern as the residential zones have already been used commercially for some time. C-2 zoning allows for a variety of compatible commercial land uses. Transit is available on Bardstown Road; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is a large development in an existing activity corridor that has been created along Bardstown Road. An outlot is proposed to make the development multi-purpose. No central feature or landscape element is proposed but the entrance faces a larger landscape area/green space; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that a pedestrian walkway is proposed through the site from Bardstown Road and a sidewalk will be provided to connect the site to the existing Sumner Road. Existing curb cuts are being utilized. The entrances will be shared with the proposed gas station and out lot. Utilities will be shared on site and all types of transportation have access to the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 3 – Compatibility**. The building materials are consistent with the mix of materials found in the area. The proposal is not a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area. The change in zoning is within an area that has been already used commercially. Setbacks and buffers will be provided where there once were none; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that APCD has no issues with the proposal; Transportation Planning has not indicated any issues with traffic; lighting will meet LDC requirements; and the proposal is located within an activity center and along a transit corridor; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that buffers are provided along the perimeter and the planting requirements will exceed the LDC. The buffer waivers are mitigated because additional landscaping beyond the LDC will be planted. The setbacks and lot requirements are compatible because the landscape requirements will exceed the LDC. The loading areas on the site are to the rear of the site and are setback 75' from the shared property line with the rear adjacent residential. Parking and circulation areas will be adequately screened, and signs seen from the roadway will meet LDC requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 4 – Open Space**. Open space is provided in the form of landscape buffers and setbacks, and no natural features are evident on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 5 – Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources**. No natural features are evident on the site; the site is not recognized for having any historical or cultural value; and soils are not an issue for the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 6 – Economic Growth and Sustainability**. The proposed retail commercial development is located in an activity center, and the proposals commercial zoning is located along a major arterial; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 7 – Circulation**. The proposal will incur any additional costs for roadway improvements. All types of transportation modes are provided for on the site. While short term bike parking is not provided for long term bicycle parking for employees is provided in the building. A pedestrian walkway is proposed through the site from Bardstown Road and a sidewalk will be provided to connect the site to the existing Sumner Road. Existing curb cuts are being utilized. The entrances will be shared with the proposed gas station and out lot. No new roadway will be created. Right of way will be dedicated, and adequate parking is provided. Cross access is provided within the development but is not provided to adjacent sites due to their small lot nature and their proximity to Bardstown Road; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 8 – Transportation Facility Design**. No new roadways are being created, and access to the site is from Bardstown Road; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 9 – Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit**. All types of transportation modes are provided for on the site. While short term bike parking is not provided for long term bicycle parking for employees is provided in the building. A pedestrian walkway is proposed through the site from Bardstown Road and a sidewalk will be provided to connect the site to the existing Sumner Road. Existing curb cuts are being utilized. The entrances will be shared with the proposed gas station and out lot. No new roadway will be created; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 10 – Flooding and Stormwater** and **Guideline 12 – Air Quality**. MSD has preliminarily approved the proposal and APCD has no issues with the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 13 – Landscape Character**. No natural features are evident on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 14 – Infrastructure**. Existing and planned utilities will serve the site; Adequate water is available to the site; and The Health Department has no issues with the proposal; and

Variance #1 from Chapter 5.3.2.C.2.A, to permit the building to be setback 785' from the Bardstown Road property line instead of the required 275'. (510' variance)

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare since the building will be buffered where it is adjacent to residential; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity since the proposal has outlots along Bardstown Road and the lot configuration is unusual for the area; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public since the building will be buffered where it is adjacent to residential; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations since there are out lots along Bardstown Road; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or the same zone. The lot configuration is unusual for the area since it goes deep into the existing residential area. The building size for the use also allows the parking to all be located in the front instead of all around the building where there would be conflicts with pedestrians and loading; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant. The lot configuration is unusual for the area since it goes deep into the existing residential area. The building size for the use also allows the parking to all be located in the front instead of all around the building where there would be conflicts with pedestrians and loading; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the circumstances are the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought; and

Variance #2 from Chapter 5, Table 5.3.2, to permit encroachments into the 50' setback along the south property line and into the 75' setback along the north property line.

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare since the building will be buffered where it is adjacent to residential; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity since the site was previously paved from property line to property line with no buffers. While there are encroachments into the setbacks, the encroachments are less than what is existing and moves the use further away from the existing residential; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public since the use will be further away from the existing residential than what currently exists. Buffers will be provided where there are currently no buffers; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations since the existing conditions put the use closer to the existing residential and the proposal provides buffering in addition to setbacks; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or the same zone. The proposal is located in a transition zone between the Neighborhood Form and the proposed Suburban Marketplace Form. While these two forms are adjacent to each other frequently, the lot configuration is unusual; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land since the transition standards are causing the setbacks instead of the average SMC requirement; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the circumstances are the result of action of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulations from which relief is sought; and

Variance #3 from Chapter 8.3.3, to permit the sign square footage attached to the building facades to be 710 SF instead of the required 500 SF.

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare because the signage is located on the building; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity since the increase in signage is only 210 sf more than required on a building that will not generally be seen from the roadway; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public since it is located on a building and out of reach to the public; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations since the increase in signage is only 210 sf more than required on a building that will not generally be seen from the roadway; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or the same zone. The special circumstance is that the building is located where it will be generally not seen from the roadway and the signs are to direct the public to different services within the building; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the circumstances are the result of action of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulations from which relief is sought; and

Waiver #1 – Waiver from Chapter 10.2.4, to permit encroachments into the 25’ LBA along the north and south property lines.

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since the buffers and landscape requirements will still be met on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that Guideline 3, policy 9 of Cornerstone 2020 calls for the protection of the character of residential areas, roadway corridors and public spaces from visual intrusions and mitigate when appropriate. Guideline 3, policies 21 and 22 calls for appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density, and to mitigate the impact caused when incompatible developments occur adjacent to one another through the use of landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms and setback requirements to address issues such as outdoor lighting, lights from automobiles, illuminated signs, loud noise, odors, smoke, automobile exhaust or other noxious smells, dust and dirt, litter, junk, outdoor storage, and visual nuisances. Guideline 3, policy 24 states that parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas should be designed to minimize the impacts from noise, lights and other potential impacts, and that parking and circulation areas adjacent to streets should be screened or buffered. Guideline 13, policy 4 calls for ensuring appropriate landscape design standards for different land uses within urbanized, suburban, and rural areas. Guideline 13, Policy 6 calls for screening and buffering to mitigate adjacent incompatible uses. The intent of landscape buffer areas is to create suitable transitions where varying forms of development adjoin, to minimize the negative impacts resulting from adjoining incompatible land uses, to decrease storm water runoff volumes and velocities associated with impervious surfaces, and to filter air borne and water borne pollutants. The full landscape buffer planting and screening will be in compliance with the LDC; and

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the planting and screening requirements will still be met and exceed the minimums along the property boundary; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the applicant has incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived because the landscaping within the buffers will be more than what is required under the LDC; and

Landscape Waiver #4 – Waiver from 10.2.12 to allow more than 120 feet between Interior Landscape Areas as shown on the plan

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since the total ILA areas is being on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 13, Policy 5 calls for standards to ensure the creation and/or preservation of tree canopy as a valuable community resource. The purpose of interior landscape areas is to break up large impervious areas and allow for a greater distribution of tree canopy coverage. The tree canopy coverage over pavement will still be met.; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the minimum square footage of ILA will still be met on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the applicant is using larger islands elsewhere on the site to meet the ILA square footage; and

Waiver #5 – Waiver from Chapter 5.6 to not provide 60% animating features, windows, and a varied roofline.

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the requested waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners because there are buffers to screen the building from the adjacent properties; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 3, policy 1 and 2 calls for the compatibility of all new development and redevelopment with the scale and site design of nearby existing development and with the pattern of development

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

within the form district. The type of building materials may be considered as a mitigation measure and may also be considered in circumstances specified in the Land Development Code. When assessing compatibility, it is appropriate to consider the choice of building materials in the following circumstances: (1) projects involving residential infill (2) projects involving non-residential uses; and (3) when specified in the Land Development Code. The proposal is for a non-residential use. The Land Development Code provides building design standards for non-residential and mixed use buildings. The purpose of the regulation is to provide visual interest and a human scale that are representative of the form district through the use of windows, columns, pilasters, piers, variation of material, entrances, storefront windows, and other animating features. Animating features of the building are less relevant with the building setback from the road; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is not the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the proposed use is more warehouse style retail than smaller scale retail; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant since the proposed use is more warehouse style retail than smaller scale retail; and

Waiver #6 – Waiver from Chapter 10.2.4.B, to permit over 50% overlap of an easement and an LBA along the south property line.

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since the buffers and landscape requirements will still be met on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 3, policy 9 calls for the protection of the character of residential areas, roadway corridors and public spaces from visual intrusions and mitigate when appropriate. Guideline 3, policies 21 and 22 calls for appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density, and to mitigate the impact caused when incompatible developments occur adjacent to one another through the use of landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms and setback requirements to address issues such as outdoor lighting, lights from automobiles, illuminated signs, loud noise, odors, smoke, automobile exhaust or other noxious smells, dust and dirt, litter, junk, outdoor storage, and visual nuisances. Guideline 3, policy 24 states that parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

should be designed to minimize the impacts from noise, lights and other potential impacts, and that parking and circulation areas adjacent to streets should be screened or buffered. Guideline 13, policy 4 calls for ensuring appropriate landscape design standards for different land uses within urbanized, suburban, and rural areas. The intent of landscape buffer areas is to create suitable transitions where varying forms of development adjoin, to minimize the negative impacts resulting from adjoining incompatible land uses, to decrease storm water runoff volumes and velocities associated with impervious surfaces, and to filter air borne and water borne pollutants; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the planting and screening requirements will still be met and exceed the minimums along the property boundary; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the applicant has incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived because the landscaping within the buffers will be more than what is required under the LDC; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented, the applicant's justification and the staff report that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

General and Detailed District Development plan with changes to binding elements #13

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that there are not any environmental constraints or historic resources on the subject site. Tree canopy requirements of the Land Development Code will be provided on the subject site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided, and Transportation Planning and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet have approved the preliminary development plan; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that open space is provided in the landscape buffer areas and the detention areas on the site; and

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provisions of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area. Appropriate landscape buffering and screening will be provided to screen adjacent properties and roadways; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented, the applicant's justification and the staff report that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the requested change in form district; change in zoning; Variances #1, #2, and #3; Waivers #1, #4, #5 and #6; the General Detailed District Development Plan; the proposed binding elements including a change to binding element #13 as specified at this hearing; and a change to binding element #12 (strike the words "Single Family residences" from that binding element to include all types of abutting residences, and adding the words "within 200 feet of residential properties") and the General and Detailed District Development Plan, **SUBJECT** to the following binding elements:

Binding Elements

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. Prior to development (includes clearing and grading) of each site or phase of this project, the applicant, developer, or property owner shall obtain approval of a detailed district development plan in accordance with Chapter 11, Part 6. Each plan shall be in adequate detail and subject to additional binding elements.
3. The building on the site shall not exceed 164,169 square feet of gross floor area (this does not include the out lot).

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

4. There shall be no direct vehicular access to Adele Avenue or Sumner Road.
5. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
6. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
7. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit) is requested:
 - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Develop Louisville, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
 - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
 - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter. The landscaping shall be substantially the same as depicted in the concept landscape plan as presented at the July 30, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.
8. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
9. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
10. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.

11. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the July 30, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.
12. No idling of trucks shall take place within 200 feet of ~~single-family residences~~ residential properties. No overnight idling of trucks shall be permitted on-site.
- ~~13. The applicant is utilizing the Public Art fee in lieu as outlined in Chapter 5.12.2.A.3. The fee shall be paid to the Louisville Public Space Art Fund before the issuance of a building permit for the site.~~
- 13 The applicant is utilizing the Public Art fee in lieu as outlined in Chapter 5.12.2.A.3. The fee in lieu shall be calculated based on the square footage required for the outdoor amenity area, multiplied by the square foot value of the parcel of land as determined by its actual documented value at the time of development. The fee shall be paid to the Louisville Public Space Art Fund before the issuance of a building permit for the site.”
- 14 Long term bicycle parking will be provided inside the building.
- 15 Vehicular and pedestrian cross-connectivity shall be provided to the abutting lots to the north and south upon redevelopment with compatible uses of the abutting properties. Exact location of the connection shall be reviewed in consultation with the owner and developer upon re-development of the adjacent lots to determine the safest and most efficient layout for the circulation. (NOTE: Binding element #15 was added during a later vote.)

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Kirchorfer, Jarboe, Tomes, and Turner.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: Commissioner Lewis.

Waiver #2 – Waiver from Chapter 5.5.2.B.1.A and 5.9.2.A.1.b.ii, to not provide vehicular access to adjacent non-residential properties to the north and south.

On a motion by Commissioner Proffitt, seconded by Commissioner Brown, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that future cross access is necessary to relieve traffic along Bardstown Road by allowing consumers to visit multiple businesses without having to use Bardstown Road. Future cross access would improve circulation on the adjacent sites and reduce curb cuts along Bardstown Road. Will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since the cross access would only be for the adjacent non-residential properties which are developed on significantly smaller lots and are located too close to Bardstown Road to have an impact; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the waiver will violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 2, policy 13 calls to encourage adjacent development sites to share entrance and parking facilities in order to reduce the number of curb cuts and the amount of surface parking. Guideline 7, policy 13 calls for the requirement of joint and cross access easements according to standards set forth in the Land Development Code, to reduce traffic on major thoroughfares and to reduce safety hazards. Guideline 7, policy 16 calls for the promotion of joint access and circulation systems for development sites comprised of more than one building site or lot. The purpose of the requirements to be waived are to allow similar, compatible non-residential and multi-family uses to access adjacent sites without use of the main road to reduce curb cuts, the amount of surface parking, and to reduce traffic on the main thoroughfare; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **DENY** the requested Waiver from Chapter 5.5.2.B.1.A and 5.9.2.A.1.b.ii, to not provide vehicular access to adjacent non-residential properties to the north and south.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Kirchdorfer, Jarboe, Tomes, and Turner.

NO: No one.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1008

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: Commissioner Lewis.

WITHDRAWN – Waiver #3 - Chapter 9.2, to not provide short term bicycle parking.

Addition of Binding Element #15 to the General and Detailed District Development Plan

01:34:18 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the addition of a binding element to the General and Detailed District Development Plan (Binding Element #15) to read as follows:

“A vehicular and pedestrian cross-connectivity shall be provided to the abutting lots to the north and south upon redevelopment with compatible uses of the abutting properties. Exact location of the connection shall be reviewed in consultation with the owner and developer upon re-development of the adjacent lots to determine the safest and most efficient layout for the circulation.”

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Kirchdorfer, Jarboe, Tomes, and Turner.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: Commissioner Lewis.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15AREA1000

NOTE: This case is CONTINUED from the July 16, 2015 Planning Commission public hearing.

Request: Area Wide Change in Zoning from R-4 Single Family Residential, M-1 Industrial, and M-2 Industrial to PEC (Planned Employment Center) and a Change in Form District from Neighborhood to Suburban Workplace.

Project Name: Jeffersontown Commerce Park

Location: Multiple Properties

Owner: Multiple Owners

Applicant: City of Jeffersontown
10416 Watterson Trail
Louisville, KY 40299

Representative: Stephen Rusie, Representative
City of Jeffersontown
10416 Watterson Trail
Louisville, KY 40299

Jurisdiction: City of Jeffersontown

Council District: 11 – Kevin Kramer

Case Manager: David B. Wagner, Planner II

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

01:50:13 David Wagner presented the case and showed a Power Point presentation (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15AREA1000

The following spoke in favor of the proposal:

Stephen Rusie (representing City of Jeffersontown), 10416 Watterson Trail,
Louisville, KY 40299

Summary of testimony of those in favor of the proposal:

01:53:19 Stephen Rusie, representing the City of Jeffersontown, presented the applicant's case and showed a Power Point presentation (the City of Jeffersontown is the applicant.) See recording for verbatim presentation.

The following spoke in opposition to the proposal:

No one spoke.

The following spoke neither for nor against the proposal:

No one spoke.

Rebuttal:

There was no rebuttal, since no one spoke in opposition.

Deliberation:

01:57:44 Commissioners' deliberation.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

01:58:38 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the proposed City of Jeffersontown area wide rezoning complies with the Cornerstone 2020 Comprehensive Plan and with all of the applicable Guidelines and Policies it contains. The subject properties are within or adjacent to the existing industrial park and are or surrounded by industrial zoned properties; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposed rezoning complies with Guidelines 1.B.8 & 1.B.10 of the Cornerstone 2020 Comprehensive Plan. The subject properties are located in or adjacent to a Suburban Workplace Form

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15AREA1000

District or Suburban Marketplace Form District which are characterized by predominately industrial and offices uses and mixed us commercial uses; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposed rezoning complies with Guideline 3 and its Policies. The area wide rezoning will encourage industries to locate in workplace form districts and will allow appropriate mixed use transitions to occur at the edge of the form district rather than within the form district; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposed rezoning complies with Guideline 6 and its Policies. The rezoning of the subject properties will preserve workplaces, allow new mixed uses adjacent to existing industrial uses; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposed rezoning complies with Guideline 8 and its Policies. The area has a network of roadways and a hierarchy of streets to provide appropriate access to the lots being rezoned; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented, the applicant's justification and the staff report that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the City of Jeffersontown that the proposed Area Wide Rezoning for property located on the attached legal description be **APPROVED**.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Tomes, Turner, and Lewis.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: No one.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15AMEND1003

Request: LDC Text Amendment - CUP for Indoor Car Sales in Jeffersontown

Project Name: LDC Text Amendment

Case Manager: **Joseph Haberman, Planning Coordinator**

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

Agency Testimony:

01:59:00 Stephen Rusie presented the case. This has also been requested by the City of Jeffersontown (see Case No. 15AMEND1000, above.)

02:01:32 Mr. Rusie described the Conditions and limitations of size and square footage. Also, this proposed text amendment would apply only to the City of Jeffersontown only.

The following spoke in favor of the proposal:

Stephen Rusie (representing City of Jeffersontown), 10416 Watterson Trail, Louisville, KY 40299

Summary of testimony of those in favor of the proposal:

(see above)

The following spoke in opposition to the proposal:

No one spoke.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15AMEND1003

The following spoke neither for nor against the proposal:

No one spoke.

Rebuttal:

There was no rebuttal, since no one spoke in opposition.

Deliberation:

02:03:29 Commissioners' deliberation.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

02:04:05 On a motion by Commissioner Jarboe, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the amendment would provide an opportunity to re-use existing warehouse buildings for indoor display areas for automobile and motorcycles. The indoor display areas require larger buildings which are more appropriate in an industrial/commerce park in a Suburban Workplace Form District than in a commercial corridor. Adding this as a conditional use will limit users to only Automobile/Motorcycle dealers with a valid need to protect extremely valuable or antique vehicles that outdoor sales pose an unreasonable risk of devaluation, damage, or loss; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented, the applicant's justification and the staff report that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the legislative body of the City of Jeffersontown that the requested LDC Text Amendment be changed to allow indoor car sales in the City of Jeffersontown.

The following is the proposed LDC text amendment for the City of Jeffersontown.

4.2.51 Indoor Automobile and Motorcycle Sales

The indoor sale of Automobiles and Motorcycles may be allowed in the PEC District upon the granting of a Conditional Use Permit and compliance with

Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015

Public Hearing

Case No. 15AMEND1003

the listed requirements.

- A. All buildings shall observe the yard requirements of the District.
- B. All automobile and motorcycle sales display areas shall be enclosed within a building.
- C. The minimum indoor automobile and motorcycle sales display area required is 5,000 square feet and the maximum indoor automobile and motorcycle sales display area allowed is 25,000 square feet.
- D. Automobile or Motorcycle access into the automobile display area of the building shall be limited to a maximum of three (3) automobile access doors from the exterior of the building.
- E. No overhead/automobile access doors shall face a public street.
- F. The automobiles or motorcycles offered for sale shall be of a type, age or value that outdoor sales pose an unreasonable risk of devaluation, damage, or loss.
- G. Screening shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 10 Landscaping, Screening and Open Space.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Tomes, Turner, and Lewis.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: No one.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15MOD1008

NOTE: Commissioner Proffitt left the hearing at 3:30 p.m. and did not hear or vote on this case.

Request: Binding Element Amendment to allow the replacement of the four-board horse fence and relocation of mature trees at the northeast corner of the Aldi property.

Project Name: Aldi

Location: 10620 Westport Road

Owner: Aldi Indiana, LP
Laura Bauer, Representative
486 E. Stop 18 Road
Greenwood, IN 46143

Applicant: Aldi, Inc. Dwight Division
Mike Rosch, Representative
1 Aldi Drive
Dwight, IL 60420

Representative: Kelli Jones
Sabak, Wilson & Lingo, Inc.
608 S. Third Street
Louisville, KY 40202

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

Council District: 17 – Glen Stuckel

Case Manager: David B. Wagner, Planner II

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15MOD1008

Agency Testimony:

02:07:21 David Wagner presented the case and showed a Power Point presentation (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

02:06:40 During his presentation, Mr. Wagner said that the applicant and an attorney for the opposition had been working together earlier today to reach an agreement on exactly how the binding elements would be amended. He said the applicant and/or the attorney for the homeowners' association would discuss those in more detail.

02:08:53 Mr. Wagner said the reason this request is before the Planning Commission today is because there is a special binding element that requires all amendments to binding elements on this development to come before the Planning Commission.

02:09:14 In response to a question from Commissioner Jarboe, Mr. Wagner described the typical process if a binding element is violated.

The following spoke in favor of the proposal:

Kelli Jones, Sabak, Wilson & Lingo, Inc., 608 S. Third Street, Louisville, KY 40202

Mike Rosch (Aldi's representative), 1 Aldi Drive, Dwight, Illinois 60420

Ryan Crossley, Kayne Law Group, 612 Park Street Suite 100, Columbus, OH 43215

Summary of testimony of those in favor of the proposal:

02:10:21 Kelli Jones, representing the applicant, presented the applicant's case and showed a Power Point presentation. She said that, throughout the construction process, Aldi representative/s had been in contact with the Indian Springs Homeowner's Association and had gotten verbal approval of what was going on. She discussed the proposal for the new trees and fence.

02:15:37 Ms. Jones read the proposed binding elements into the record, per discussions with Steve Porter at the hearing today, as follows:

REVISED BINDING ELEMENT # 17

"The ~~existing~~ four-board fence and the stone entrance walls along Indian Lake Drive and Westport Road shall ~~be preserved~~ remain. Replacement of portions of

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15MOD1008

the wall and/or fence due to damage or disrepair shall not constitute a violation of these binding elements.”

REVISED BINDING ELEMENT # 18

~~“The mature trees and vegetation at the southwest corner of Westport Road and Indian Lake Drive shall be preserved. Two Type C trees will be planted adjacent to the existing signature entrance per discussions at the Public Hearing on July 30, 2015.”~~

02:17:03 In response to a question from Commissioner Kirchdorfer, Ms. Jones discussed the reasoning behind the proposed binding element language.

02:19:10 Ms. Jones discussed truck traffic and signage.

The following spoke in opposition to the proposal:

Steve Porter (representing the Indian Springs Homeowner’s Association), 2406 Tucker Station Road, Louisville, KY 40299

Summary of testimony of those in opposition to the proposal:

02:22:30 Steve Porter, representing the Indian Springs Community (Homeowner’s) Association, discussed the truck traffic, the fencing, and tree replacement. He asked for “a promise from Aldi” that all of this will be done this fall. Regarding the other issues, Mr. Porter said the applicant and he seem to have reached an agreement and he agreed with the binding elements as proposed today.

02:26:56 In response to a question from Commissioner Blake, Mr. Porter discussed the “no truck traffic” signage.

02:27:52 Ms. Jones and the Commissioners discussed a time frame for this work to be completed. She requested that the work be completed by the end of October. She added that "no truck traffic" signs have been added to the loading dock and other areas on the Aldi property regarding truck access via Indian Lake Drive. Mr. Porter said that, according to his traffic count, about 70% of Aldi traffic comes in through the residential street, not from Westport Road.

02:30:58 Mr. Wagner put the revised binding elements up as a Power Point screen and read them into the record, as follows:

REVISED BINDING ELEMENT # 17

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15MOD1008

The four-board fence and the stone entrance walls along Indian Lake Drive and Westport Road shall remain. Replacement of portions of the wall and/or fence due to damage or disrepair shall not constitute a violation of these binding elements. This shall be completed by October 31, 2015.

REVISED BINDING ELEMENT # 18

Two Type C trees shall be planted adjacent to the existing signature entrance per discussions at the public hearing on July 30, 2015. This shall be completed by October 31, 2015.

02:33:43 John Carroll, legal Counsel for the Planning Commission, asked if there had been a notice of violation issued to the applicant. Mr. Wagner said that was what he had been told. Ms. Jones detailed the violation notices.

The following spoke neither for nor against the proposal:

No one spoke.

Deliberation:

02:34:54 Commissioners' deliberation.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

02:35:44 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Tomes, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the only natural resource that could have been preserved is the living vegetation on site. Since that is precisely why the citation was issued, the applicant has proposed language in the binding element to effect the necessary mitigation measures. New trees will be planted to replace the removed trees; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that this proposal does not affect transportation planning; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that this proposal does not affect open space; and

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15MOD1008

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that this proposal does not affect drainage; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposed actions will comply with the proposed binding elements and maintain the existing signature entrance as desired by the Indian Springs subdivision. The four board fence will match what is on the east side of Indian Lake Drive and the previous vegetation will be more appropriately located on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal conforms to applicable guidelines and policies of the Comprehensive Plan as the signature entrance will be maintained on both sides of Indian Lake Drive and conform to the existing fence style along the Westport Road street frontage. The removed vegetation will be replaced elsewhere on the site with new plantings to mitigate the tree loss; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented and the staff report that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the requested revisions to binding elements #17 and #18 as discussed at today's hearing, to read as follows:

REVISED BINDING ELEMENT # 17

The four-board fence and the stone entrance walls along Indian Lake Drive and Westport Road shall remain. Replacement of portions of the wall and/or fence due to damage or disrepair shall not constitute a violation of these binding elements. This shall be completed by October 31, 2015.

REVISED BINDING ELEMENT # 18

Two Type C trees shall be planted adjacent to the existing signature entrance per discussions at the public hearing on July 30, 2015. This shall be completed by October 31, 2105.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Tomes, Turner, Lewis.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15MOD1008

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners Proffitt, White, and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: No one.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1007

NOTE: This case was taken out of order and heard following Case No. 15ZONE1008

Request: Text Amendment regarding density within the SoBro Planned Development District for the Campus subarea.

Project Name: The 800 Building

Location: 800 South 4th Street

Owner: The 800 Building LLC
Leon Petcov, Representative
1016 W. Hollywood Avenue Suite 102
Chicago, IL 60660

Applicant: Village Green Holdings, LLC
Paul Fromm, Representative
188 Randolph Street Suite 202
Chicago, IL 60601

Representative: Jeffrey McKenzie
Bingham Greenebaum Doll
3500 National City Tower
101 South Fifth Street
Louisville, KY 40202

Engineer/Designer: Paul Fromm, Representative
Village Green Holdings, LLC
188 Randolph Street Suite 202
Chicago, IL 60601

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro
Council District: 4 – David Tandy

Case Manager: **Christopher Brown, Planner II**

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1007

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

01:35:47 Christopher Brown presented the case and showed a Power Point presentation (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.) He added that, the way the proposed text is written, it only affects The 800 Building itself.

The following spoke in favor of the proposal:

Jeffrey McKenzie, Bingham Greenebaum Doll, 3500 National City Tower, 101 South Fifth Street, Louisville, KY 40202

Summary of testimony of those in favor of the proposal:

01:46:06 Jeff McKenzie, the applicant's representative, presented the applicant's case (see recording for detailed presentation.) He said the proposal would only increase the number of units within an existing building and is more of an internal remodeling.

The following spoke in opposition to the proposal:

No one spoke.

The following spoke neither for nor against the proposal:

Frances Spears, 800 South Fourth Street, Louisville, KY 40203 (was called but was not present to speak)

Deliberation:

01:45:19 Commissioners' deliberation.

01:46:16 In response to a question from Commissioner Turner regarding affordable housing, Mr. McKenzie discussed the estimated prices of the proposed apartments.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1007

SoBro PDD Text Amendment

01:48:15 On a motion by Commissioner Jarboe, seconded by Commissioner Kirchdorfer, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the proposal is in conformance with **Guideline One, Community Form**, of the comprehensive plan because the proposed text amendment to the Planned Development District is compatible to the area and the mixture of uses and densities; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the text amendment provides the opportunity to enhance the vitality of the existing 800 Building site while providing additional residential units within the greater downtown area. The SoBro area through the Planned Development District provides for different types of residential centers and densities as part of the Downtown Form District; therefore, this proposal is in conformance with **Guidelines Two, Centers and Three, Compatibility**, of the comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is in conformance with **Guideline Five, Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources**, because the text amendment recognizes the importance of the existing structure and provides a means to allow the rehabilitation of the building; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is in conformance with **Guideline Six, Economic Growth and Sustainability**, because the text amendment to the Planned Development District will promote redevelopment of the site and ensure the compatibility of the rehabilitation of the existing structure to both the downtown to the north and the historic neighborhoods to the south; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is in conformance with **Guideline Nine, Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit**, because the proposed text amendment will not affect the existing grid layout of the street or its multi-modal connections with an existing sidewalk network and connectivity to the building; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal is in conformance with the South of Broadway (SoBro) Neighborhood Plan that was adopted by Louisville Metro in October of 2007 and the Planned Development District as part of the implementation of the original neighborhood plan; and

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

Public Hearing

Case No. 15ZONE1007

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented and the staff report that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the legislative body of Louisville Metro Council that the requested SoBro PDD Text Amendment be changed to read as follows:

“Campus Subarea Lot Standards – Density

Min: N/A

Max: 58 DU/AC, **except that the number of dwelling units in existing structures in excess of 15 stories tall may be increased to no more than 360 DU/AC so long as the net rentable square footage of the structure is not increased”**

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Blake, Proffitt, Brown, Kirchdorfer, Jarboe, Tomes, and Turner.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners White and Peterson.

ABSTAINING: Commissioner Lewis.

**Planning Commission Minutes
July 30, 2015**

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS

Land Development and Transportation Committee

No report given.

Legal Review Committee

No report given.

Planning Committee

No report given.

Policy and Procedures Committee

No report given

Site Inspection Committee

No report given.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:45 p.m.

Chairman

Division Director