

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY  
LOUISVILLE METRO  
CITY COUNCIL  
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING  
JUNE 14, 2018

Services provided by:

QuickCaption

4927 Arlington Avenue

Riverside, CA 92504

Daytime Telephone - 951-779-0787

After-Hours Telephone - 951-536-0850

Fax Number - 951-779-0980

[www.quickcaption.com](http://www.quickcaption.com)

\* \* \* \* \*

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.  
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in  
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be  
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

\* \* \* \* \*

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE REGULAR LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 14, 2018, WILL PLEASE COME TO ORDER.

PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MR. CLERK, A ROLL CALL.

[ ROLL CALL ]

MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 IN ATTENDANCE AND A QUORUM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, AND MR. CLERK, COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL HAS AN EXCUSED ABSENCE THIS WEEK.

>> CLERK: SO NOTED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT OF SILENCE, AS YOU MAY BE AWARE, TWO MEMBERS LOST FAMILY MEMBERS, THIS WEEK.

MARY HAD A WARMTH SPIRIT, A LIFE, SHE LOVED IT, AND WAS CONTAGIOUS TO ALL THOSE AROUND HER.

AND TONY MISSES HER GREATLY.

LORRAINE SMITH BAKER, THE MOTHER-IN-LAW OF SMITH.

LOVING MOTHER, GRANDMOTHER, AND GREAT GRANDMOTHER.

IF WE COULD JUST TAKE A MOMENT OF SILENCE, PLEASE.

THANK YOU.

I THINK WE HAVE SOME SPECIAL GUESTS.

COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER?

>> COLLEAGUES, TONIGHT, WE HAVE A MEMBER OF THE MEDIA  
HERE THAT WE ARE GIVING A PROCLAMATION TO, WHICH IS SORT OF  
UNHEARD OF.

BUT DR. BRYANT STAMFORD HAS BEEN DOING THE HEALTH  
COLUMN, THE BODY SHOP HEALTH COLUMN AT THE C.J. FOR 42 YEARS.

HE TOLD ME HE NEVER MISSED A WEEK.

SO 52 TIMES 42 IS 2184.

SO NEARLY 2200 ARTICLES.

AND THROUGH THIS, HE HAS GIVEN SOME LIFE-SAVING  
INFORMATION OUT THERE TO PEOPLE.

HE HAS GIVEN SOME TIPS, MAYBE I SHOULD GO TO THE  
DOCTOR AND HAS GIVEN SOME TIPS TO HELP PEOPLE GET THEIR HEALTH  
ON THE RIGHT TRACK.

WE WANT TO DO A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION FROM THE  
COUNCIL FOR HIS BODY SHOP HEALTH COLUMN FOR ALL RESIDENTS.

HE GENEROUSLY PROVIDED 42 YEARS OF KNOWLEDGE THROUGH  
HIS PUBLICATION IN THE CAREER JOURNAL FOR THE CITIZENS OF  
LOUISVILLE AND DR. STAMFORD COLLABORATED TO HIGHLIGHT THE  
WARNING SIGNS OF CLOGGED ARTERIES ALONG WITH LIFE-SAVING  
INFORMATION.

WE HEREBY HONOR YOU WITH THIS CERTIFICATE AND WE GIVE  
YOU A PIN FROM THE CITY.

THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE].

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

I'VE BEEN HONORED AND BLESSED TO WRITE THE COLUMN ALL THESE YEARS.

AS I LOOK AROUND THE COUNCIL, I SEE IT'S CLEAR EVERYONE HERE HAS BEEN EXERCISING AN HOUR A DAY AND EATING THEIR FRUITS AND VEGETABLES SO I CONGRATULATE YOU AND WISH YOU A LONG AND HEALTHY LIFE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

[APPLAUSE].

>> AND OF COURSE WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE DR. SADLOW.

IF YOU REMEMBER I SHADOWED HIM ONE DAY AND THEN HE SHADOWED ME.

IT'S NOT TRAGIC, HE IS BACK.

THAT'S GOOD.

HE SUGGESTED.

I MEAN, 42 YEARS AT THE PAPER IS OUTSTANDING.

THANK YOU, WE APPRECIATE IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

I THINK YOU HAVE SOME SPECIAL GUESTS ALSO.

>> YES, COUNCIL AND GUESTS.

THIS IS MADISON ROY.

AND AS YOU KNOW, I VERY SELDOM DO PROCLAMATIONS SO YOU HAVE TO BE REAL SPECIAL IN ORDER FOR ME TO DO ONE OF THESE FOR YOU.

I WOULD LIKE TO READ A LITTLE BIT WHY I'M DOING THIS PROCLAMATION FOR MADISON ROY.

SHE LIVES IN MY DISTRICT.

SHE IS A VERY SPECIAL GIRL.

ON MAY 30th, 2018, 15-YEAR-OLD MADISON ROY RECEIVED A YOUTH SERVICE AWARD AT THE 23rd ANNUAL KENTUCKY GOVERNOR'S SERVICE AWARD.

MADISON IS AN 11th GRADE STUDENT AT WHITEFIELD ACADEMY.

AND I MAY ADD THAT HER BROTHER IS ALSO REAGAN ROY, WHO IS ALSO VERY SPECIAL AND I HONORED HIM AT OUR BLACK HISTORY MONTH AS WELL.

MADISON IS VERY SPECIAL, HER BROTHER REAGAN IS VERY SPECIAL.

THEIR MOM IS OUT IN THE AUDIENCE.

SHE WORKS FOR RESCARE IN THE H.R. DEPARTMENT AND SHE WAS ALSO VERY HELPFUL IN HELPING THEM CLEAN UP OUR DISTRICT.

MADISON HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN HER COMMUNITY SINCE AGE 7 THROUGH HER PARTICIPATION IN VARIOUS COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAMS.

IN 2011, AFTER LEARNING ABOUT A STUDENT WHO WAS DIAGNOSED WITH LEUKEMIA, SHE CO-AUTHORED A BOOK WITH HER BROTHER

TO HELP FIND A CURE FOR LEUKEMIA, SHE DONATED ALL THE PROCEEDS TO THE LEUKEMIA SOCIETY.

IN 2012 SHE WAS ASKED TO WRITE A BOOK TO SUPPORT THE FIGHT AGAINST SICKLE CELL DISEASE.

TWO BOOKS HAVE RAISED OVER \$15,000 FOR CHARITY.

IN 2012, AT AGE 10, MADISON BECAME THE YOUNGEST CO-HOST ON W.L.O.U.

THE RADIO BROADCAST IS IN ITS SIXTH YEAR AND MADISON IS THE HOST OF HER OWN SHOW.

THROUGH HER BROADCAST SHE ENCOURAGES YOU TO GET INVOLVED IN YOUR COMMUNITY, TO STAY IN SCHOOL AND EARN GOOD GRADES.

IN 2015 SHE TRAVELED TO WASHINGTON D.C. TO PARTICIPATE IN A SICKLE-CELL WALK WITH HOWARD UNIVERSITY AND OTHER LOCAL ORGANIZATIONS.

MADISON IS THE PRESIDENT AND FOUNDER OF YOUTH NETWORK, COLLABORATION WITH A NON-PROFIT.

IN MAY MADISON TEAMED UP TO RAISE MONEY TO SEND A CHILD TO SUMMER CAMP AT THE Y.M.C.A.

RECENTLY SHE RAISED \$500 TO HELP IMPLEMENT A READ-WITH-ME CAMP.

SHE COLLECTED OVER 1,000 BOOKS TO DISTRIBUTE TO STUDENTS IN JEFFERSON COUNTY DURING THE SUMMER.

THE READ-IN HAS SEEN 25 STUDENTS A DAY AGES 4-12.

FREE FOOD AND BOOKS ARE PROVIDED TO EACH PARTICIPANT.

SINCE 2012 SHE HAS BEEN ASSISTED IN HOSTING THE  
AFRICAN AMERICAN READ-IN.

STUDENTS ARE ALLOWED TO CONDUCT A TWO-HOUR PROGRAM  
WHICH ENGAGES YOUTH AND ADULTS.

IT HIGHLIGHTS THE CONTRIBUTION OF AFRICAN AMERICANS ON  
A LOCAL AND NATIONAL LEVEL.

SHE HOPES HER VOLUNTEER SERVICE HELPS CAST YOUTH IN A  
POSITIVE LIGHT AND EMPOWERS OTHERS TO GIVE BACK TO THEIR  
COMMUNITY.

YOU MAKE ME TIRED JUST READING ABOUT YOU, YOUNG LADY.

SO I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THIS PROCLAMATION TO YOU, AND  
I HOPE YOU CAN DISPLAY IT SOMEPLACE VERY PROUDLY.

WE ARE ALL VERY PROUD OF YOU.

THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE].

I'LL GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO SAY SOMETHING.

>> MR. PRESIDENT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> I JUST WANT TO THANK MADISON FOR ALL YOU DO IN THE  
NEWBERG COMMUNITY.

SHE RUNS THE PROGRAM AT OUR LIBRARY ON SICKLE-CELL AND  
SHE IS A VERY INTELLIGENT YOUNG LADY.

THANK YOU, MADISON.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN BRYANT HAMILTON.

>> THANKS, MR. PRESIDENT.

I HAVE SEEN REAGAN AND MADISON GROW UP.

LAST YEAR AND THIS YEAR, THEY HAVE A GARDEN PROJECT SO  
THEY ARE TEACHING YOUNG PEOPLE HOW TO EAT HEALTHFULLY.

IT COMES FROM THE FAMILY.

AND I WISH MOTHER AND GRANDMOTHER WOULD STAND BECAUSE  
THEY HAVE SET THESE YOUNG PEOPLE ON THE RIGHT TRACK.

[APPLAUSE].

KEEP ON DOING WHAT YOU ARE DOING.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER YATES.

I THINK YOU HAVE SPECIAL GUESTS AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON, I'M GOING  
TO NEED A VANNA WHITE HERE IN A SECOND.

COLLEAGUES, FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME THIS  
OPPORTUNITY TO RECOGNIZE SOMEONE VERY SPECIAL TO MANY OF US IN  
THIS CHAMBER.

DANNY ALVAREZ.

DANNY WAS A FIERCE PUBLIC SERVANT.

A PARTICULAR STRONG VOICE FOR IMMIGRANTS.

HE IS RECOGNIZED FOR HIS ADVOCACY AND REMEMBERED FOR  
HIS BIG LAUGH.



AS AN ATTORNEY HE DEDICATED HIS TIME TO PEOPLE OF ALL WALKS OF LIFE.

COMMONLY WORKING PRO-BONO AND HE HELPED THOSE WHO NEEDED THE MOST.

AFTER HIS PRIMARY VICTORY DANNY WAS PRIMED TO MAKE HISTORY IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY AS THE FIRST LATINO JUDGE IN THE STATE.

I'M SADDENED OUR FRIEND WASN'T ABLE TO HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY FILLED.

HIS PERSONALITY, HIS KIND SPIRIT, HIS PUBLIC SERVICE REQUIRED YOU TO RESPECT HIM.

DANNY COULD BEAT UP ON YOU AND KEEP YOU SMILING AT THE SAME TIME.

I'M HONORED TODAY TO PRESENT THIS PROCLAMATION ON BEHALF OF THE METRO COUNCIL TO THOSE WHO CARE FOR DANNY MOST.

TO HIS WIFE, TO HIS MOTHER AND FATHER, MR. AND MRS. ALVAREZ, AND TO HIS CHILDREN, GABRIELA, ISABELA, AND LITTLE BEN.

DOES SOMEONE WANT TO COME TO TAKE THIS PROCLAMATION? I REMEMBER IN THE OFFICE YOU ARE RUNNING AROUND.

TO ALL OF THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HEREBY HONORS THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF DANIEL DANNY MICHAEL ALVAREZ.

WHEREAS THE LOUISVILLE COUNCIL WISHES TO HONOR DANNY ALVAREZ AS ONE OF THE BEST AND MOST-LOVED MEMBERS OF LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY AND WHEREAS DANNY WAS BORN TO NEW YORK CITY TO

IMMIGRANT PARENTS AND GREW UP IN AN ENGLISH-SPANISH SPEAKING HOUSEHOLD WHERE HIS PARENTS INSTILLED THE IMPORTANCE OF HARD WORK, EDUCATION.

HE MOVED TO LOUISVILLE IN 1989 AND FOUND TEACHERS AND MENTORS WHO MADE LASTING IMPRESSIONS AND TAUGHT HIM ABOUT HISTORY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE.

WHEREAS DANNY IS GRADUATE OF SAINT FRANCIS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, AND SCHOOL OF LAW AND BEGAN HIS LEGAL CAREER AS JEFFERSON COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE WHERE HE HONED HIS SKILLS AND LIVED BY THE MOTTO HE USED TO LIKE TO SAY A LOT, THE BEST LEGAL REPRESENTATION MONEY CAN'T BUY.

WHEREAS DANNY OPENED HIS OWN LEGAL PRACTICE IN 2003 AND OPENED IN AREAS OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE, BANKRUPTCY, BUT WITH FOCUS ON IMMIGRATION LAW BECAUSE LATINO COMMUNITY WAS SO UNDER REPRESENTED IN LOUISVILLE.

WHEREAS DANNY RECEIVED MANY ACCOLADES FOR SERVICE IN THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL COMMUNITY, INCLUDING THE RECIPIENT OF THE LOUISVILLE BAR ASSOCIATION YOUNG LAWYER AWARD, GIVEN IN RECOGNITION OF ONE WHO HAS GARNISHED THE RESPECT AND ADMIRATION OF JUDICIARY AND COLLEAGUES.

SELECTED AS TOP LAWYER IN 2013 AND 2014, AND WHEREAS, COLLEAGUES, FRIENDS AND FAMILY PRAISE DANNY AS ONE OF THE KINDEST PEOPLE YOU WOULD EVER MEET.

TRUE PUBLIC SERVANT, HUMANITARIAN, CHAMPION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS.

HIS PASSION FOR EQUALITY AND HIS FIGHT FOR FAIRNESS  
ARE UNPARALLELED.

AND WHEREAS ON MAY 23rd, 2018, DANNY PASSED AWAY AT  
THE AGE OF 44, ONLY HOURS AFTER ADVANCING FROM PRIMARY ELECTION  
WITH THE MOST VOTES FOR THE 9th DIVISION RACE FOR JEFFERSON  
COUNTY DISTRICT JUDGE AND WHEREAS HE LIKELY PREVAILED IN THE  
ELECTION TO ACHIEVE HIS DREAM OF BECOMING JUDGE ALVAREZ.

WHEREAS DANNY WAS A FRIEND TO ALL WHO KNEW HIM AND  
SURVIVED BY HIS LOVING WIFE MARIA KEATING AND HIS THREE CHILDREN  
AND WHEREAS THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MOURNS HIS PASSING AND  
THANKFUL TO HIS CONTRIBUTION TO THE COMMUNITY.

NOW THERE FOR BE IT RESOLVED, WITH ALL RIGHTS  
PRIVILEGES RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO PERTAINING AND TESTIMONY  
WE HAVE CALLED THESE LETTERS TO BE AFFIXED AND MADE, THE SEAL OF  
THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IS HEREBY GIVEN, DONE WITHIN THE  
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, THIS 14th DAY OF JUNE, 2018 AND SIGNED  
BY ALL 26 METRO COUNCILMEMBERS IN HONOR OF DANNY.

THERE'S NOTHING WE COULD DO TO SHOW THE GRATITUDE BUT  
IN A ROOM FULL OF PEOPLE WHOSE JOB IS TO SERVE THIS COMMUNITY,  
WE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN IN AWE OF DANNY, I FEEL HE WOULD BE MAKING  
FUN OF ME A LITTLE BIT BUT HE DESERVES THESE ACCOLADES.

HE IS A GREAT, GREAT MAN.

I KNOW HE BRINGS HONOR TO YOUR FAMILY.

AND I KNOW WHEN YOU GROW UP YOU WILL BE ABLE TO BRING  
HONOR TO HIS NAME, BIG SHOES TO FILL.

AND SO IN ADDITION TO THE PROCLAMATION, IN HONOR OF DANNY'S PUBLIC SERVICE AND DEDICATION TO OUR COMMUNITY, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO PRESENT YOU WITH THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

AT OUR REQUEST, HONORABLE CONGRESSMAN JOHN YARMOUTH HAD THIS FLOWN ON MAY 25th, 2018 TO HONOR DANNY'S LIFE AS A TRUE PUBLIC SERVANT AND INSPIRING LEADER FOR THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE.

THERE'S THE FLAG.

IN CLOSING, I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU, THANK HIS FAMILY.

THANK YOU FOR SHARING DANNY WITH US.

THANKS FOR RAISING SUCH AN HONORABLE MAN.

THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE].

>> ON BEHALF OF THE ALVAREZ FAMILY I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU TO THE COMMUNITY OF LOUISVILLE AT LARGE AND TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE OUTPOURING OF SUPPORT AND ENCOURAGEMENT AND LOVE.

IT HAS TRULY MADE THIS PROCESS A LITTLE BIT MORE BEARABLE.

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU.

>> THERE'S A CAMPAIGN SIGN THAT SOMEHOW FOUND ITS WAY TO THE TOP OF THE CLOCK TOWER WE SAW LOOKING DOWN AND I FEEL THAT IS SOMETHING DANNY WOULD HAVE GOT A LAUGH OUT OF.

THE COUNCIL PEOPLE UP THERE, IF EVERYONE SIGNED THIS,  
IT WILL GO OVER TO THE COURTHOUSE AND WE ARE GOING TO HAVE EACH  
ONE OF THE JUDGES SIGN IT AS WELL.

AND HAVE JUST SOMETHING TO REMEMBER.

HE WOULD HAVE MADE A GREAT JUDGE.

[APPLAUSE].

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN YATES.

MR. CLERK, ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?

>> CLERK: YES, SIR.

WILLIAM BOYD >> GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL.

I LIVE IN LOUISVILLE METRO HOUSING.

WE'RE HAVING PROBLEMS WITH THE BEDBUGS, ROACHES, WORK  
NOT BEING DONE.

HE IS CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

I MADE IT POSSIBLE FOR REPORTING DRUG EPIDEMIC, THE  
PROSTITUTION AND ALL THAT AND THE DRUG DEALINGS.

WELL, I RECEIVED A 30-DAY TERMINATION NOTICE.

THIS IS THE SECOND TIME THEY WOULD HAVE ME RUN OFF  
LOUISVILLE METRO HOUSING.

THEY SAID I WAS LYING, MAKING FALSE COMPLAINTS,  
HARASSING THE OFFICIALS, CITY OFFICIALS AND ALL THAT.

AND I HAVE NEVER DONE THAT.

AND THE ONLY THING, ME AND MY WIFE IS GETTING TIRED OF  
THE ABUSE.

WE LIVE IN BEDBUG AND ROACH INFESTED PLACES.

YOU ALL GOT COPIES OF THE REPORT FROM A RADIO STATION.  
I HAD VIDEOS WHERE I WAS ABUSED AT ONE PLACE.  
MY WIFE WAS BITTEN UP BY BEDBUGS AND ROACHES.  
THEY AREN'T GOING BY RULES AND REGULATIONS.  
AND MR. REED TOLD ME STRAIGHT UP THIS RACISM AND  
DISCRIMINATION HAS TO STOP.

I FEEL LIKE, THEY ARE MAKING ME TO BE THE BAD GUY AND  
GIVING ME A 30-DAY NOTICE, NO RIGHT TO CURE, FOR SOMETHING I'M  
DOING, REPORTING STUFF THAT GOES ON THERE, THAT THE LOUISVILLE  
METRO HOUSING DON'T WANT TO ADMIT IS GOING ON.

SO RIGHT NOW, BARBARA SEXTON SMITH HELPED ME OUT WHEN  
I NEEDED IT AND ALL THAT.

SO HAS MR. REED.

GOD BLESS YOU AND THANK YOU.

THIS RACISM HAS GOT TO STOP.

NOT ONLY DID I GET KICKED OUT LIKE A BAD GUY, I GOT  
KICKED OUT FOR REPORTING BEDBUGS, ROACHES AND PROSTITUTION.

AND THEY DON'T WANT IT TO BE REPORTED OR SPREAD OUT.

IT MAKES ME FEEL BAD.

LIKE I SAID, STRAIGHT UP, IT'S DISCRIMINATION AND  
LOUISVILLE METRO HOUSING DON'T WANT TO ADMIT IT.

THANK YOU.

>> CLERK: NANCY DEMARTRA?

>> I'M NANCY DEMARTRA AND I'M HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE  
LIBRARY.

THERE WAS AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER THAT ASKED, WHAT  
COULD BE DONE TO STOP THE HOMICIDES AND ALSO CRIME AND  
DELINQUENCY.

WELL, I HAVE A SOLUTION.

THE LIBRARY.

PUTTING MORE MONEY IN THE PROGRAMS AND COMMUNITY  
CENTERS WHERE CHILDREN GO AND YOUNG ADULTS.

AND I WANT TO READ SOME STATISTICS ABOUT OUR MAIN  
LIBRARY, BECAUSE OUR LIBRARY IS HAVING PROBLEMS.

THEY NEED SOME MORE FINANCING.

FIRST OF ALL, OUR MAIN LIBRARY HAS OVER A HALF MILLION  
PEOPLE TO VISIT IT IN 10 MONTHS.

72,000 BOOKS WERE CHECKED OUT IN APRIL.

10,000 PEOPLE USE THE COMPUTERS.

AND THERE ARE MANY, MANY MORE RECORDS THAT SHOW HOW  
VALUABLE OUR LIBRARY IS TO OUR COMMUNITY.

ONCE, SOME TIME AGO, \$10 MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE  
SURPLUS FROM OUR WATER COMPANY WENT TO THE LIBRARY.

AND THAT KEPT IT FROM BEING IN A DEFICIT.

HOWEVER, WHEN THE YOUNG CENTER WAS BUILT, PART OF THE  
MONEY WAS TAKEN AND GIVEN TO THE YOUNG CENTER AND THE REST OF IT  
WAS GIVEN TO OTHER ENTITIES.

I'M ASKING THE METRO COUNCIL TO MAKE SURE THAT THE  
MAIN LIBRARY HAS ENOUGH MONEY TO KEEP ITS SECOND FLOOR OPEN AND  
THAT WE ALSO GIVE THE LIBRARY SYSTEM MONEY.

I'M ALSO ASKING THAT YOU LOOK AT FUNDING THE PARKS AND RECREATION AND COMMUNITY CENTERS MORE BECAUSE WHEN YOUNG PEOPLE ARE INVOLVED, IT CUTS DOWN ON DELINQUENCY, CRIME, AND VIOLENCE.

THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE].

>> CLERK: DAILYN CRUZ.

DAILYN CRUZ?

>> MS. CRUZ, SHE WASN'T HERE LAST MONTH WHEN COUNCILWOMAN GREEN AND MYSELF TALKED ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH.

SHE WAS OUT OF TOWN.

WE HAVE HAD HER PROCLAMATION THIS WHOLE TIME, SO SHE IS HERE TO PICK UP THE PROCLAMATION AND SHE DOESN'T WANT TO SPEAK, SO --

>> I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR DECLARING THE MONTH OF MAY AS MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS MONTH.

SO THANK YOU SO MUCH.

[APPLAUSE] [OFF MIC].

>> CLERK: LARRY NOWLIN.

>> GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL.

I SURE HATE TO BRING THE MOOD DOWN, -- [OFF MIC] BUT I HAVE A PROBLEM IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD.

AND IT STEMS FROM ONE PERSON, AND ALL THIS CRIMINAL ACTIVITY.

POLICE KNOW HIM VERY WELL.

PICTURES HERE OF BULLET HOLES IN MY HOUSE.



I KNOW YOU DON'T DO THAT, BUT I HOPE MY COUNCIL PERSON  
WOULD TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

THIS INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN GIVING ME PROBLEMS SINCE '07.  
THE FIRST SHOOT-OUT, THE BULLET MISSED ME BY ABOUT  
THREE MINUTES I GOT UP FROM THE COUNTER WHERE I WAS EATING  
BRUNCH.

HIS RECENT ACTIVITY WAS A SHOOT-OUT, A RUN AND GUN  
BATTLE RIGHT AFTER HE GOT OUT OF JAIL, NO MORE THAN A MONTH, OR  
SO, I WOULD SAY.

AND IF I WAS IN THE CAR I WOULDN'T BE HERE WITH YOU  
GUYS TOGETHER.

SO I NEED SOME HELP.

I'VE SPOKE WITH POLICE.

[OFF MIC] [INAUDIBLE].

AND I CAN'T GET THEM TO COOPERATE WITH THE PROSECUTOR.

SO IF THERE'S ANYTHING YOU GUYS CAN DO TO BRING THESE  
TWO ORGANIZATIONS TOGETHER AND BRING ME RELIEF FROM THIS  
UNRIGHTFUL PAIN.

I'M A SENIOR, I'M A VETERAN, I'M DISABLED.

I CAN'T GET OUT THERE TO PAY FOR THE DAMAGE HE HAS  
DONE TO MY CAR.

IT'S NOT MY CAR, IT'S A LEASE, I'VE GOT TO TURN IT  
BACK IN.

I HOPE I GET SOME HELP FROM YOU GUYS.

I 'VE SPOKE WITH THE PRESIDENT, DAVID JAMES AND MY  
COUNCIL PERSON.

IF THERE'S ANYTHING YOU CAN DO, I WOULD REALLY  
APPRECIATE IT, BECAUSE MY LIFE IS A LIVING HELL.

IT'S BEEN LIKE THAT SINCE '07.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

REALLY NEED TO DO SOMETHING.

I 'M DEPRESSED.

AND I REALLY THINK THE NEXT BULLET MIGHT GET ME, SO I  
MIGHT HAVE TO DO SOMETHING.

GO SOMEWHERE TO GET SOME HELP SOMEPLACE.

PLEASE.

THANK YOU.

>> CLERK: LAGLEND A REED.

OH SORRY.

>> [OFF MIC] ON THE SAME INDIVIDUAL.

ALL HE DOES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS SELL DRUGS.

WHAT WAS YOUR QUESTION?

>> CLERK: I WAS JUST TRYING TO INTRODUCE THE NEXT  
PERSON.

I 'M SORRY.

LAGLEND A REED.

>> GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL.

MY NAME IS LAGLEND A REED.

WE ARE A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION SPECIALIZING IN  
TREATMENT FOR ADULT WOMEN AND CHILDREN.

I HAVE BEEN TEACHING THIS PROGRAM SINCE 1992 AND I  
HAVE BEEN HERE BEFORE.

THIS IS MY SECOND VISIT.

AND I HAVE BEEN IN CONSTANT COMMUNICATION WITH MY  
COUNCILWOMAN, MS. BRYANT HAMILTON AND MARY C. WOOLRIDGE AND THEY  
HAVE BEEN INSTRUMENTAL HELPING ME GET FUNDING FOR MY NON-PROFIT  
AGENCY.

I AM A JEFFERSON COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHER.

I TEACH AT SHINY.

I HAVE BEEN BACK AT LOUISVILLE FOR THREE YEARS NOW.

THE PAST THREE YEARS, IT'S LIKE I NEVER LEFT.

BECAUSE I JUST GOT AN OVERWHELMING RESPONSE OF 15  
SCHOOLS THAT ARE REQUESTING ME TO COME OUT AND DO SELF ESTEEM  
TRAINING FOR ADULT WOMEN AND CHILDREN.

THIS IS AN AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAM.

WE CURRENTLY HAVE AN OVERFLOW, I SENT ALL OF YOU AN  
INVITATION.

I AM THAT LADY THAT SENT YOU THE INVITATION.

FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU.

BECAUSE MOST OF YOU DID ACTUALLY CALL ME.

YOUR ASSISTANT CALLED ME AND SAID MS. REED, WE LOVE  
WHAT YOU ARE DOING.

EVEN THOUGH WE CAN'T MAKE THE GRADUATION, WE APPRECIATE YOU INVITING US.

AND THAT WAS MY WAY OF INTRODUCING MY PROGRAM TO YOU.

IT'S BEEN CHALLENGING BECAUSE I CAN'T DO IT BY MYSELF.

AS FAR AS FUNDING, I HAVE BEEN PAYING OUT OF POCKET FOR THE COST OF PRINTING.

IT COSTS ME \$300 A MONTH JUST TO PRINT THE MATERIALS TO TEACH THIS PROGRAM AND PROVIDE THE SERVICES TO ONE SCHOOL.

AND DOCTOR REVEREND KEVIN COSBY HAS BEEN PAYING FROM HIS POCKET, THROUGH MY CHURCH, ALSO DR. [INAUDIBLE] THEY HAVE BEEN HELPING ME.

I DID APPLY FOR THE EXTERNAL AGENCY GRANT, BUT I SCORED A 69 ON THE GRANT.

AND I DID WHAT YOU ALL TOLD ME TO DO, TALK TO THE LADY.

SHE SAID SHE CAN'T HELP ME AND GO OVER THAT GRANT APPLICATION WITH ME UNTIL YOU ALL APPROVE THE BUDGET.

SHE SAID THAT WON'T HAPPEN UNTIL LATER THIS MONTH.

SO WHEN I WAS HERE LAST TIME AND I MET WITH YOU ALL, COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE SUGGESTED I APPLY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT FUND APPLICATION.

I DID PUT THAT APPLICATION IN.

I KNOW THAT THE FUNDING -- YOU ARE BUSY.

IT'S A LOT BECAUSE YOU GET A LOT OF REQUESTS FROM A LOT OF PEOPLE.

BUT THIS IS WHAT WE ARE ASKING.

BECAUSE I HAVE 15 SCHOOLS, I DON'T JUST SERVICE THE SCHOOLS IN MY DISTRICT.

I'M IN THE FIFTH DISTRICT WITH CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON BUT I SERVICE ALL THE SCHOOLS AND GO AS FAR AS JAY -- [BELL] I'M ASKING FOR EACH ONE OF YOU TO PITCH IN AND FUND MY GRANT.

I'M ASKING FOR \$16,574.

WHAT THIS MONEY WILL BE USED FOR IS TO COMPUTERIZE OUR PROGRAM.

TO PURCHASE TABLETS AND COMPUTERIZE MY PROGRAM SO I CAN CUT THE COSTS AND BE ABLE TO SERVICE EVEN MORE SCHOOLS.

I'M ASKING FOR EACH AND EVERYONE OF YOU TO PLEASE GIVE SOMETHING TO MEET THIS BUDGET.

THEY HAVE THE APPLICATION.

I ALREADY TURNED IT IN.

I ASSUME ALL MY PAPERWORK IS IN ORDER AND WE HOPE YOU ALL CAN HELP US WITH SOMETHING, PLEASE.

THANK YOU.

>> CLERK: CHRIS FINZER.

>> GOOD EVENING, METRO COUNCILMEMBERS.

YOU WILL REMEMBER IT WAS ONE YEAR AGO THAT CLOUT ENCOURAGED FULL FUNDING FOR THE CENTER STONE LIVING PROGRAM.

MAYOR FISCHER HADN'T INCLUDED IT IN HIS BUDGET AT THE TIME BUT WE ASKED YOU TO ALLOCATE FUNDING AND YOU PROVIDED \$325,000.

THIS WAS LESS THAN WHAT WAS NEEDED.

IT MUST BE SAID THAT YOU, OUR METRO COUNCIL, SHOW THE LEADERSHIP, CONFIDENCE AND FORESIGHT TO FUND A NEW PROGRAM.

ENOUGH TO GET A SIX-MONTH PILOT STARTED.

IT'S BEEN A SUCCESS HAVING ASSISTED ALREADY 400 OF OUR MOST VULNERABLE CITIZENS AND THE LIVING ROOM HAS DONE WHAT CENTER STONE SAID IT WOULD DO, IT WOULD DIVERT MANY OF THESE INDIVIDUALS FROM OVER CROWDED JAILS OR OVER CROWDED EMERGENCY ROOMS SAVING OUR COMMUNITY TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.

BUT ENOUGH ABOUT LAST YEAR.

THIS YEAR WE ARE AT A DECISIVE POINT, WE CAN DECIDE TO FULLY FUND THE LIVING ROOM OR ALLOW A TRAGEDY TO BEFALL AND LOSE WHAT WE HAVE GAINED THE LAST SEVEN MONTHS.

WE UNDERSTAND THIS IS A TOUGH YEAR AS FAR AS THE METRO BUDGET IS CONCERNED BUT THEN AGAIN WHEN ARE WE NOT FACED WITH TOUGH BUDGETARY CHOICES AS A COMMUNITY.

AND WHAT WILL IT SAY TO US ABOUT A COMMUNITY IF WE ALLOW A PROGRAM TO CLOSE THAT'S BEEN SO SUCCESSFUL, BOTH IN HELPING THE MOST VULNERABLE AMONG US AND HELPING US SOLVE OUR JAIL OVER CROWDING.

WE URGENTLY NEED A LIVING ROOM PROGRAM THAT WILL BE A PERMANENT FULL-TIME FIXTURE FOR OUR COMMUNITY.

AS IT IS NOW WITH ONLY PARTIAL FUNDING, THE PILOT PROGRAM IS ONLY ABLE TO TAKE REFERRALS FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

THE LIVING ROOM WILL NEED FULL FUNDING BEFORE IT WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE THE WALK-IN REFERRALS AND THE POSSIBILITY OF THE LATTER HAS GENERATED A LOT OF INTEREST FOR A LOT OF FAMILIES THROUGHOUT THE LOUISVILLE METRO AREA.

INDEED MANY OF CLOUT'S MEMBERS ARE ANXIOUSLY AWAITING THE METRO COUNCIL'S DECISION TO FULLY FUND THE LIVING ROOM SO THAT WALK-INS BECOME POSSIBLE.

MANY COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY HAVE IMPLEMENTED LIVING ROOM PROGRAM.

THEY HAVE REALIZED THAT SUCH A PROGRAM IS ESSENTIAL TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF A MODERN CITY.

LIKE HAVING POLICE, FIRE, JAILS, COURTS, ETC.

AND IT THEREFORE WARRANTS SERIOUS INVESTMENT.

ALL OF US HERE LIKE TO THINK OF LOUISVILLE AS A SIGNIFICANT AND COMPASSIONATE METROPOLITAN AREA IN ITS OWN RIGHT.

THUS WE NEED TO DO AS OTHER COMMUNITIES HAVE DONE AND MAKE A SERIOUS INVESTMENT IN OUR LIVING ROOM.

MAYOR FISCHER HAS ALLOCATED \$650,000 IN HIS BUDGET FOR THE COMING YEAR, FOR ABOUT HALF OF WHAT IS NEEDED.

WE URGE METRO COUNCIL TO AT LEAST MATCH THAT AMOUNT SO THE LIVING ROOM CAN STAY OPEN AND TO EXPAND ITS SERVICES.

THANK YOU.

[BELL] [APPLAUSE].

>> CLERK: LEXA HARLEY.

THAT CONCLUDES ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR METRO COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 24, 2018.

ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS? MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MINUTES HAVE BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE. OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES, ALL IN 2018:

REGULAR: COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, MAY 24.

REGULAR: PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE, MAY 24.

REGULAR: PLANNING, ZONING AND ANNEXATION COMMITTEE, JUNE 5.

REGULAR: PUBLIC WORKS, FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION AND ACCESSIBILITY COMMITTEE, JUNE 5.

REGULAR: LABOR, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND CONTRACTS COMMITTEE, JUNE 5.

REGULAR: COMMUNITY AFFAIRS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE, JUNE 6.



REGULAR: COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES, JUNE 12.

ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS? MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND  
SECOND FOR APPROVAL?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MINUTES HAVE BEEN PROPERLY  
MOVED AND SECONDED.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.  
OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS  
WRITTEN.

MR. CLERK, DO WE HAVE ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE  
MAYOR.

>> MR. CLERK: WE DO, SIR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: PLEASE READ THOSE INTO THE RECORD.

>> MR. CLERK: DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM APPOINTING THE  
FOLLOWING TO THE TAX APPEALS BOARD: RUSS LOHAN, PERMANENT, TERM  
EXPIRES AUGUST 31, 2020.

MARY-JOHN CELLETTI, PERMANENT, TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 31,  
2020.

DENISE PUSATERI, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

LESTER SANDERS, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

LAURENCE MAY, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

KIM SICKLES, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

LINDA ENGLISH, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

GREG SHELBURNE, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

JESSICA ZARING, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

GILBERT ROBBINS, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

MARK ENGLAND, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

LORI O'KOON, TEMPORARY, TERM N/A.

THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD. METRO COUNCIL  
APPROVAL OF THESE APPOINTMENTS IS NOT REQUIRED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO  
THE ZOO BOARD: STACY WADE, APPOINTMENT.

THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD. METRO COUNCIL  
APPROVAL OF THIS APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
ANCHORAGE MIDDLETOWN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

ROBERT PETERSON, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
CAMP TAYLOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

ALAN LEWIS, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
EASTWOOD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

MELINDA SUNDERLAND, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE  
30, 2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
FAIRDALE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

LARRY HICKS, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
FERN CREEK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

SUSAN PIERCE, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
HARRODS CREEK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

VICTOR ANDERSON, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
HIGHVIEW FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

W.D. CRAIG, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
JEFFERSONTOWN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

DUANE LIGHTFOOT, SR., REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE  
30, 2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
LAKE DREAMLAND FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

ARLANDER RIDDICK, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
LYNDON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

EDWARD HAINES, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
OKOLONA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

MICHAEL PORTMAN, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
PLEASURE RIDGE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

JAMES TAYLOR, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
ST. MATTHEWS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

MARSHALL BRADLEY, JR., REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES  
JUNE 30, 2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE  
WORTHINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

WALTER ZALEWSKI, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30,  
2021.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST  
APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND  
FOR APPROVAL.

>> SO MOVED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THOSE APPOINTMENTS NEEDING COUNCIL  
APPROVAL WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE COMMITTEE ON APPOINTMENTS.

THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR.  
THE CONSENT CALENDAR COMPRISES ITEMS 30-37.

MR. CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THESE ITEMS.

>> MR. CLERK: A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND  
OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND  
THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE  
CONTRACT - (PROQUEST LLC - \$150,000.00).

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT - (INFO USA MARKETING, INC., - \$35,000.00).

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT - (RECORDED BOOKS, INC. - \$225,000.00).

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT - (OVERDRIVE, INC. - \$325,000.00).

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT - (BIBLIOLABS LLC - \$40,000.00).

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 115, SERIES 2017 AS PERTAINING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 CAPITAL BUDGET AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 104, SERIES 2015 PERTAINING TO THE 2015-2016 CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT BY AMENDING THE TITLES OF TWO COUNCIL DISTRICT 14 PARKS CAPITAL PROJECTS.

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE STREET NAME OF ELEENA DRIVE TO DIXIE BEACH ROAD AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18STREETS1010).

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING A PORTION OF NORTH 26TH STREET  
AND AN UNNAMED RIGHT-OF-WAY AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE  
NO. 18STREETS1006).

>> A RESOLUTION HONORING REV. CHARLES H. DUNCAN, SR.  
BY DEDICATING THE CORNER OF 36TH STREET AND VIRGINIA AVENUE TO  
BE NAMED "REV. CHARLES H. DUNCAN WAY" IN HIS HONOR.

READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ITEM IS BEFORE US, THE CONSENT  
CALENDAR HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED.

WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING, MR. CLERK.

WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING.

VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 23 YES-VOTES AND 3 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES.

NEXT ITEM IN BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS.

UNDER OLD BUSINESS WE WILL BE MOVING ITEM NUMBER 41 TO  
THE FRONT OF THE LINE SO THAT WE CAN TAKE UP AN ADMINISTRATIVE  
ISSUE.

MR. CLERK, PLEASE READ ITEM 41.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING PLAN 2040  
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, CASE NUMBER COMPPLANUPDATE CASE NUMBER 1416.

READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND FOR  
APPROVAL.

>> SO MOVED.



>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MOTION IS BEFORE US, THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS CAME OUT OF ZONING COMMITTEE LAST TUESDAY UNANIMOUSLY.

IT WAS ONLY HELD IN COMMITTEE TO GIVE SOME FOLKS A CHANCE IF THEY HAD FURTHER QUESTIONS, WHICH THERE WERE NONE.

I WOULD LIKE TO READ A STATEMENT REAL QUICK, IF I CAN, ABOUT THE PLAN.

PLAN 2040 IS THE LONG-RANGE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR OUR COMMUNITY WHICH GUIDES THE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT FOR THE NEXT 20 YEARS, BY STATE LAW WE ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE A COMP PLAN IN ORDER TO EXERCISE ZONING AUTHORITY.

PLAN 2040 WAS DEVELOPED THROUGH NEARLY TWO YEARS OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT FOR 6,000 LOUISVILLIANS PARTICIPATED IN MEETINGS AND EVENTS.

THE GOALS OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES WERE REVIEWED AND REFINED BY AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MADE UP OF NEARLY 40 STAKEHOLDERS WITH DIVERSE COMMUNITY INTERESTS.

THROUGH THE ENGAGEMENT PROCESS, UNANIMOUS CONSENSUS WAS REACHED ON THE PLAN.

THE PLAN UPDATES CORNERSTONE 2020 BY USING FIVE PRINCIPLES HEALTHY, CONNECTED AUTHENTIC, SUSTAINABLE AND EQUITABLE.

THE EFFECTIVE DATE WILL BE JANUARY 1st, 2019.

THIS IS TO GIVE FOLKS A CHANCE TO READ MORE ABOUT IT,  
TO LEARN ABOUT IT, AND ALSO GIVES A CHANCE FOR THE READOPTION OF  
ALL NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE READOPTED.

AND THIS IS ALSO A PROCESS OF REVIEW.

I'VE JUST BEEN TOLD IT'S ALREADY UNDER WAY BY  
LOUISVILLE, SO THEY ARE ALREADY IN THE PROCESS AND IT GIVES THEM  
THE SIX-MONTH WINDOW TO GET THIS DONE.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I SUBMITTED A QUESTION TO THE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE YESTERDAY.

I HAD SOME REQUESTS.

I WANTED TO FIRST READ QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES INTO  
THE RECORD BECAUSE THEY PROVIDE CLARIFICATION IN REGARDS TO  
SPECIFIC SECTIONS OF THE COMP PLAN.

AND THEY ARE BASICALLY IN SUPPORT OF THE COMP PLAN.

ADDITIONALLY, I WANTED TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES, WHO WE  
DID ATTEND AT LEAST ONE WHOLE YEAR TOGETHER OF MEETINGS.

COUNCILMAN RICK BLACKWELL AND YOURSELF, PRESIDENT  
JAMES.

AND IT WAS A RIGOROUS PROCESS.

YOU LEARNED A LOT ABOUT PEOPLE'S PERSPECTIVES AND WHAT'S IMPORTANT AND THE DOCUMENT CERTAINLY REFLECTS A LOT OF THAT DISCUSSION.

THESE QUESTIONS THAT WERE RECEIVED AND HAVE BEEN RESPONDED TO BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ARE AS FOLLOWS AND I JUST WANT THEM TO BE A MATTER OF THE RECORD.

I WILL SEND AN EMAIL TO OUR CLERK'S OFFICE SO IT COULD BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE RECORD, IF PERMISSIBLE.

THE QUESTIONS ARE, THE TERM PLANS -- LET ME BACK UP.

QUESTIONS IN REGARDS TO AN INTERPRETATION CLARITY FOR FEW PORTIONS OF THE TEXT LOOKING AT PAGE 39 OF THE DOCUMENT, 2.4, 2.5 AND 2.6 THAT HAVE SIMILAR LANGUAGE THAT NEEDED CLARIFICATION.

THEY USED THE LANGUAGE IN REGARDS TO 2.4 PLANS STUDIES AND STRATEGIES, LEGISLATIVE DEVELOPED SUBSEQUENT TO THE ADOPTION OF 2040, REFERENCE THE SPECIFIC APPLICATION OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES.

THESE PLANS AND STUDIES SHOULD BE ADOPTED BY THE EFFECTIVE LEGISLATIVE BODY AS AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

THE QUESTIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS, THE TERM PLANS, STRATEGIES AND STUDIES SEEMS TO BE OVERLY BROAD AND NOT DEFINED.

IT WOULD SEEM TO LEAVE US SUSCEPTIBLE TO CONFUSION AND ULTIMATELY QUESTIONS AS TO WHAT ARE THE GUIDELINES THAT ARE TO BE USED IN DETERMINATION OF THE GUIDELINES BEING FOLLOWED FOR

DEVELOPMENT OR PROJECT AND THE QUESTION FOR THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS TO INTERPRET THAT SUCH PLANS, STUDIES OR STRATEGIES WOULD ONLY TAKE PRECEDENT OR BE INCLUDED IF SUCH PLANS, STUDIES WERE PASSED BY RESOLUTION OR ORDINANCE BY A VOTE OF THE FULL METRO COUNCIL AND THE RESPONSE WAS YES, THEY WOULD GO THROUGH METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL TO BE ADOPTED INTO THE COMPREHENSIVE 2040 PLAN.

THE NEXT QUESTION WAS, IS THERE ANY WAY FOR AN EXECUTIVE ORDER, DEPARTMENT POLICY OR OTHER FILED LEGISLATION THAT IS NOT PASSED BY THE FULL METRO COUNCIL TO BECOME PART OF THE PLANS, STUDIES AND STRATEGIES THAT COULD BE SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED TO CORNERSTONE 2040 AND THE RESPONSE FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WAS NO, THAT WOULD NOT APPLY.

AND THEN THE LAST QUESTION WAS COULD ANY PLANS, STUDIES OR STRATEGIES DEVELOPED BY LOUISVILLE METRO AFTER THE PASSAGE OF COMP 2040 BY LOUISVILLE BE ENFORCED ON THE OTHER ENTITIES NOT PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT OR PASSAGE OF SUCH POLICY.

AND THE ANSWER TO THAT WAS CLARIFICATION WAS THERE WILL BE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS ADOPTED BY METRO, THAT WOULD NOT HAVE ANY APPLICATION OTHER THAN THE PLANNED AREA.

SO METRO COULD ADOPT A SMALL AREA PLAN OR NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN, BUT IT WOULD ONLY APPLY IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD OR AREA ACCORDING TO ITS OWN TERM.

I THINK THAT CLARIFIES IF THEY COULD BE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE RECORD FOR FUTURE INTERPRETATION AS WE UTILIZE THIS PLAN BEGINNING JANUARY 1st, 2019.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> THANK YOU.

I CLICKED IN WHEN YOU STARTED USING THE TERM JANUARY 1st, 2019 BECAUSE THE TWO VERSIONS I CAN FIND ONLINE IT SAYS SEPTEMBER 2018.

SO I'M ASKING, DO WE NEED TO AMEND THAT SECTION.

SECTION 2 OF THE NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED SECTION.

THE FIRST PART AND SECOND PART.

IF THERE'S A LATEST VERSION I'M NOT CLICKING ON, PLEASE LET ME KNOW, BUT BOTH SAY SHALL REPEAL AND REPLACE AS OF SEPTEMBER 1st, 2018.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IS THAT A QUESTION TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY?

>> THAT'S A QUESTION TO WHOEVER IS ON THE COMMITTEE AND DO WE NEED TO AMEND THAT DATE TO JANUARY 1st, 2019.

>> I THOUGHT THAT EFFECTIVE DATE HAD BEEN AMENDED.

AND IF IT'S NOT SHOWING UP, WE SHOULD CERTAINLY MAKE IT CLEAR THAT WILL BE THE EFFECTIVE DATE.

THAT WILL GIVE PLANNING DEPARTMENT A LOT OF TIME TO BEGIN TO DEAL WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS THAT NEED TO BE READOPTED.

SO IT WAS DONE FOR THAT PURPOSE, AND ALSO TO ALLEVIATE SOME OF THE CONCERNS OF THE SMALLER CITIES, THAT, YOU KNOW, THIS WOULDN'T BE A BUM'S RUSH.

THAT WE WOULD TAKE OUR TIME.

>> I MAKE A MOTION IT BE AMENDED TO JANUARY 1st, 2019.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DO WE HAVE A SECOND? WE HAVE A SECOND.

THE AMENDED MOTION OF THE CHANGING OF THE DATE IS BEFORE US.

ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, SAY AYE.

OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.

>> AND WHY I WAS PAYING ATTENTION, WHEN YOU MENTIONED NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS, WHICH WE AMENDED, A COUPLE WEEKS AGO.

MY SUBSEQUENT QUESTION WOULD BE, DOES EVERY PLAN NEED TO BE REFILED IN EXPLICIT DETAIL, OR AN ORDINANCE FROM YOU STATING WE READOPT THE X NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN.

WILL THAT SUFFICE?

>> WELL, I THINK THAT WOULD DEPEND ON THE PLAN ITSELF, HOW OLD IT IS, HOW RELEVANT IT IS.

IN SOME CASES, YEAH.

IF DONE SO RECENTLY, IT WOULD PROBABLY BE A SIMPLE READOPTION WITH THAT.

BUT OTHER PLANS MAY WELL NEED SOME TWEAKING AND SOME CHANGES.

SO THOSE PROBABLY WOULD HAVE TO BE IN MORE DETAIL OF WHEN THEY ARE PRESENTED TO THIS BODY FOR CONSIDERATION.

>> BUT THEY ALL NEED TO BE OFFICIALLY REFILED, ASAP SINCE WE ARE GOING TO BE.

>> ONE OF THE REASONS FOR THE JANUARY 1st ADOPTION.

>> COOL, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> I WAS TOLD BY LOUISVILLE FORWARD THEY ARE GOING TO GO THROUGH AND SEE WHICH ONES ARE VERY, VERY OLD THAT MAY BE OUTDATED THAT MAY NEED TWEAKING AND THERE MAY BE A PROCESS THEY ADOPT THE HEIDI PLAN AND OTHERS 3-4 AT A TIME, THAT THEY HAVE ALL THE UPDATES SUSTAINABLE FOR THAT NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

AND I THINK COUNCILMAN YATES WANTED TO ADDRESS THE SAME ISSUE.

>> SAME ISSUE.

I'M LOOKING THROUGH, BASED ON SOME OF OUR PAST PRACTICES, AND FORGIVE ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT COULD WE PUT A CLAUSE IN THERE, WHEREAS THE PRIOR ADOPTED NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS DO NOT CONFLICT WITH THIS.

IT WOULD BE OTHERWISE ADOPTED.

BECAUSE I THINK IN GENERAL IT GETS PREEMPTED BECAUSE WE ARE DOING IT AFTERWARDS, RIGHT? INSTEAD OF ARBITRARILY SAYING THIS ONE IS GOOD, THIS ONE ISN'T.

IF IT'S IN CONFLICT WITH THE NEW PLAN.

BUT WE WOULD SAY WHERE IT'S NOT EXPLICITLY IN CONFLICT IT WOULD BE ADOPTED.

WE COULD CHANGE THAT IN THE FUTURE IF IT BECOMES AN ISSUE, AND IT KEEPS IT FROM HAVING THAT GRAY ISSUE.

I KNOW PEOPLE WHO HAVE WORKED WITH THIS 8-9 YEARS AGO.

I WILL PUT IT TO THE CITY ATTORNEY.

>> I THINK THAT WILL DEPEND ON THE PLAN ITSELF.

I DON'T THINK THE ONLY CONSIDERATION IS WHETHER IT CONFLICTS OR JIVES WITH THE ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

THERE MAY BE SPECIFIC ELEMENTS THAT MAY NEED TO BE CHANGED GIVEN THE PASSAGE OF TIME AND CHANGES OF ECONOMIC CONDITIONS.

>> AND JUST ON, IF I MAY, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WOULD AGREE THAT GENERALLY SPEAKING.

BUT AS IT PERTAINS TO THE PASSAGE OF THIS PLAN, PUT IT IN THIS ORDINANCE SAYING, I THINK THE MESSAGE WOULD BE, THIS IS A NEW PLAN AND IT MAY BE IN CONFLICT WITH SOME NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS.

AND THERE MAY BE OTHER REASONS IT NEEDS TO BE CHANGED.

DO WE NEED TO GO BACK AND REVIEW IT.



BUT A SAVING CLAUSE, TO SAY OTHERWISE WHERE IT'S NOT IN CONFLICT WOULD BE ADOPTED, DOESN'T KEEP US FROM CHANGING IT NEXT WEEK BUT IT MAY MAKE SOME OF THE PEOPLE, AND COLLEAGUES IN THIS ROOM WHO WORKED 6-8 YEARS AGO ON NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS FEEL BETTER ABOUT IT IN THE MEANTIME.

IT'S NOT ONE I'M TAKING THE MAT OVER, BUT JUST READING IT AND I KNOW WE HAVE DONE SIMILAR THINGS IN THE PAST, JUST THOUGHT I WOULD PUT THAT OUT THERE AND SEEK YOUR LEGAL ADVICE AND OPINION.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT.

I DON'T THINK IT'S REALLY NECESSARY.

BECAUSE WE CAN'T ADOPT ANYTHING THAT ACTUALLY CONFLICTS WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

THESE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS ARE INTENDED TO ADD FLESH TO THE BONES, IF YOU WILL, WITH PARTICULAR CONCERNS THAT VARY FROM NEIGHBORHOOD TO NEIGHBORHOOD.

I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY DANGER WE WILL ADOPT ANYTHING CONTRARY TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

BUT IF THERE ARE OTHER ELEMENTS THAT MAY BE NEUTRAL WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT WOULD BE A CHANGE, THAT WOULD NEED TO BE ADOPTED.

I DON'T WANT TO PUT ANY KIND OF SHACKLES ON ANYONE FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS.

AS I SAY, THEY CAN'T BE IN CONFLICT WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S NECESSARY, BUT I DON'T THINK IT CAUSES ANY PARTICULAR HARM.

BUT I DON'T THINK IT'S REALLY NECESSARY.

I THINK THEY WILL DO THEIR JOB.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER LEET?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED.

I HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS NOW.

BASED ON THAT RESPONSE, I PERCEIVED THIS WHOLE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN WAS REALLY JUST A GUIDANCE DOCUMENT, NOT A POLICY DOCUMENT.

THEREFORE HOW COULD A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN BE IN CONFLICT WITH A GUIDANCE DOCUMENT?

>> THAT'S TO SAY, I DON'T THINK IT CAN EITHER.

EVERYTHING THAT FLOWS FROM THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, INCLUDING REGULATIONS AND ANY NEIGHBORHOOD OR AREA STUDY WOULD HAVE TO FLOW FROM THE TENETS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

>> AS THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT.

>> AS A GUIDANCE DOCUMENT.

IT'S ALL A GUIDANCE DOCUMENT.

>> AND THEN MY SECOND QUESTION, I KNOW AT LEAST ONE SUBURBAN CITY HAS ALREADY PASSED THIS PARTICULAR ORDINANCE.

AND IF WE HAVE TO CHANGE THE DATE TO JANUARY 1st, DOES THAT FORCE THEM TO GO BACK AND READOPT IT OR MAKE A TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO THEIRS?

>> I DON'T THINK SO.

I THINK ACTUALLY MOST OF THEM CONSIDERED THAT JANUARY 1st WAS THE ADOPTION DATE.

>> THAT'S A TECHNICAL? OKAY, THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I JUST WANTED TO COMPLIMENT, AS I DID AT THE COMMITTEE LEVEL, THE STAFF OF DEVELOP LOUISVILLE.

THEY DID JUST A REMARKABLE JOB WITH COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ON THIS PLAN.

I KNOW COUNCILMEMBER LEET AND BLACKWELL KNOW THAT.

THEY WERE VERY INVOLVED TOO.

WE HAD, AT THE COMMITTEE LEVEL TO COMPARE THE ENGAGEMENT FROM THE COMMUNITY WITH CORNERSTONE 2020 AND PLAN 2040, AND IT'S JUST DRAMATICALLY DIFFERENT.

THERE WERE MANY, MANY ENGAGED CITIZENS INVOLVED IN THIS THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

QUESTION, CONSIDERING THE FACT THAT SOME OF THE OLDER PLANS MAY NOT EXACTLY COMPORT, WITH THE NUMBER OF OLDER PLANS WILL REQUIRE PEOPLE TO PROBABLY RESUBMIT PAPERWORK.

IS THAT CORRECT?

>> YES.

>> IS THERE ANYTHING IN THIS ORDINANCE THAT REQUIRES NOTIFICATION TO BE SENT OUT TO THESE VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS TO NOTIFY THEM OF THEIR OBLIGATIONS TO RESUBMIT, VERSUS JUST PRESUMING THEIR PLANS WILL STAY IN PLACE AND RELYING ON THOSE.

WE, AS A BODY, HAVE USED THOSE PLANS TO PUT IN BINDING ELEMENTS AND EVEN OVERTURN DECISIONS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

SO THESE ARE AN IMPORTANT WEAPON, OR SHIELDING, FOR NEIGHBORHOODS, AND CHANGES ARE COMING.

>> I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYTHING IN THIS ORDINANCE THAT SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSES THE NOTICE TO STAKEHOLDERS.

HOWEVER, I CAN ASSURE YOU, IT WILL BE THE PRACTICE OF THE PLANNING STAFF IN CASES WHERE IT'S DEEMED AN UPDATE IS NEEDED, THOSE STAKEHOLDERS WILL BE NOTIFIED AND INVOLVED.

IN FACT, THAT'S THE WHOLE PROCESS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS IN VARIOUS STUDIES.

THEY ARE GRASSROOTS AND THEY COME FROM THE GROUND UP WITH A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF INPUT FROM AREA RESIDENTS.

>> THE QUESTION IS DO PEOPLE FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS WITHOUT HAVING NOTICE? CAN WE WORK IN A PROVISION? IS THAT SOMETHING THAT WOULD COMFORT WITH THE ORDINANCE?

>> I THINK AT THIS STAGE, I WOULD DISCOURAGE ANY CHANGES TO WHAT WE ARE ADOPTING, GIVEN THIS IS PENDING BEFORE A LOT OF OUR URBAN CITIES.

SECONDLY, AGAIN, I ASSURE YOU, THEIR RECORDS IN PLANNING AND DESIGN, THEY KNOW WHO THE STAKEHOLDERS ARE.

AT LEAST AT THE TIME.

AND WHERE WE WILL GO OUT TO THOSE STAKEHOLDERS TO YOU, AS COUNCILMAN FOR YOUR DISTRICTS, THAT THIS IS BEING DONE.

AND I'M SURE THEY WILL INVITE PARTICIPATION FROM NEW PEOPLE AS WELL AS THE OLD PEOPLE INVOLVED.

THOSE INVOLVED IN DRAFTING THOSE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS.

>> ALL RIGHT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER COAN.

>> I'LL BE QUICK, COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

THANK YOU.

MY RESPONSE IS ACTUALLY IN RESPONSE TO COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY, I'M A BIG PROPONENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS AND I ASK SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS WHAT IS THE OPERATIONAL EFFECT ON THE ONES CURRENTLY IN EXISTENCE BY PASSING THE NEW COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

WE TALKED ABOUT IT AT THE COMMITTEE LEVEL.

THE WAY I UNDERSTOOD IT WAS SUMMARIZED TO ME WAS OBVIOUSLY NEW PLANS THAT ARE DEVELOPED SUBSEQUENT TO THE PASSAGE OF THE ORDINANCE ARE GOOD TO GO.

FOR EXAMPLE, WE ARE WORKING ON TOGETHER AND I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT.

PLANS THAT PRE-DATE CORNERSTONE 2020.

PLANS PASSED PRIOR TO THE YEAR 2000 OR 2001, THOSE REALLY LOSE THEIR PERSUASIVE AUTHORITY.

IT'S THE THIRD CATEGORY OF PLANS PASSED SOMETIME AFTER CORNERSTONE 2020 WAS ADOPTED.

BUT PRIOR TO AFTER WE VOTE ON THIS.

SO IF YOUR PLAN WAS PASSED IN 2003, OR 2014, OR 2011, THAT IS THE CLASS OF NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS THAT MERIT INDIVIDUAL REEVALUATION OF WHETHER THEY NEED A BRAND NEW PLAN.

OR UPDATE AND READOPTION THAT SATISFIES THEY ARE STILL CURRENT AND SUFFICIENT TO GOVERN FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE.

I KNOW IN THE CASE OF MY OWN DISTRICT, I HAD PLANS PASSED RIGHT AFTER CORNERSTONE 2020 IN THE EARLY 2000'S AND I WONDER IF THEY NEED A NEW ONE, IT'S BEEN 18 YEARS AND THAT KIND OF THING.

I FELT COMFORTABLE IN MY CONVERSATION WITH JEFF AND KEN BAKER AND OTHER FOLKS THEY ARE DEVELOPING A PROCESS TO DETERMINE THAT CLASS OF NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS GETS AN EVALUATION OF WHAT SORT OF, NOT JUST NOTICE IS REQUIRED, BUT HOW MUCH MORE WORK NEEDS TO BE DONE IN THOSE CASES.

I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY HAVE A FINAL DETERMINATION YET.

BUT I KNOW THEY HAVE A PROCESS IN PLACE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

JUST ONE OTHER COMMENT.

I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE.

I THINK SOME OF OUR PUBLIC MEETINGS AND THE COMMENTS FROM THOSE PUBLIC MEETINGS ARE NOT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE ONLINE.

IF WE COULD MAKE ALL PUBLIC COMMENTS AVAILABLE FOR TRANSPARENCY SAKE AVAILABLE ONLINE, I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.

THANKS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> THANK YOU.

JUST FOR A POINT OF CLARIFICATION, I HAVE A QUESTION TO CLARIFY THIS FOR MY OWN UNDERSTANDING.

IF A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE NEW 2040 PLAN, WHAT HAPPENS IF THEIR PLAN IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE?

>> WELL, THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS ACTUALLY DON'T GET INVALIDATED.

WHAT HAPPENS IN A CASE LIKE THAT, THAT THE ASPECTS WHICH ARE OUT OF COMPLIANCE, AS YOU SAY, ARE NO LONGER APPLICABLE FOR WHATEVER REASON, THAT SIMPLY HAS TO BE VETTED THROUGH THE PROCESS OF APPLYING THAT PLAN.

AND THE PLAN, YOU KNOW, IF AN OLDER PLAN LIKE THAT, YOU KNOW, IT WOULD BE A MATTER OF PARSING THROUGH THE PLAN TO DETERMINE WHAT IS CURRENT AND APPLICABLE VERSUS WHAT IS OUT OF DATE.

>> SO THE SUBURBAN CITY WOULD HAVE TO TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES TO REALLY UPDATE THEIR PLAN.

AND PROBABLY IF THEY DIDN'T UPDATE THEIR PLAN, NOTHING WOULD REALLY HAPPEN, UNLESS, PERHAPS, THEY NEEDED A CHANGE, THEY NEEDED SOMETHING FROM THE CITY, OR THEY NEEDED A NEW ROAD.

AND THEN THEY MIGHT GET INTO A STICKY SITUATION.

IS THAT THE CORRECT UNDERSTANDING?

>> WELL, YOU KNOW, IF A PLAN IS BROUGHT FORWARD TO BE APPLIED TO A GIVEN DEVELOPMENT SITUATION, AND THAT PLAN IS OUT OF DATE, IN CERTAIN RESPECTS, IT WOULD BE UP TO PLANNING STAFF TO POINT THAT OUT.

IT WOULD BE UP TO THE PROPONENT OF THE PLAN TO POINT IT OUT AND ANY OPPOSITION OF THE PLAN TO POINT THAT OUT.

I DON'T THINK WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A SITUATION WHERE AN OUTDATED PLAN SOMEHOW HAS AN ELEMENT THAT IS NO LONGER APPLICABLE AND YET WE FORCE A CERTAIN RESULT.

>> AND THIS REALLY ISN'T A NEW ISSUE.

WE PROBABLY TALK ABOUT THIS EVERY TIME WE HAVE A NEW PLAN.

>> YEAH.



AND THESE PLANS ARE GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS, JUST LIKE THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ITSELF.

SO IT'S NOT A STRAIGHT JACKET.

SO LEGISLATORS CAN MAKE UP --

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER DENTON.

>> ONE OF THE CONCERNS I HAVE ABOUT THIS, I GET THE FEELING BASED ON WHAT I'VE SEEN IN THE DOCUMENT THAT OUTSIDE THE WATER SON AND EVEN MORE SPECIFICALLY OUTSIDE THE SNYDER THAT THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE AS MUCH OF CONCENTRATION ON INFRASTRUCTURE.

THAT THERE'S MORE OF AN INTENSITY AROUND THOSE THAT ARE MORE INNER CORE.

THE CONCERN I HAVE RELATES TO THE PROBLEM WE HAVE HAD IN MY DISTRICT FOR YEARS.

AND THAT IS THE RUBBER STAMP APPROVAL FROM PLANNING COMMISSION ON ALL THESE NEW DEVELOPMENTS WITHOUT APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE TO HANDLE IT SAFELY.

THE ROADS DON'T SAFELY HANDLE THE TRAFFIC THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE, AND YET WE ARE LOOKING AT CLOSE TO 2,000 HOMES IN THE PIPELINE IN MY DISTRICT ALONE WITH NO ADDITIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDED.

AND THE ROADS ARE PAST CAPACITY NOW AND THE PROBLEM BECOMES, I DON'T SEE ANYTHING IN HERE THAT LEADS ME TO BELIEVE THERE IS GOING TO BE ANY CHANGES OR ANY EMPHASIS OR ANY LEANINGS

IN OTHER WAYS OTHER THAN RUBBER STAMPING THESE PROJECTS, THESE DEVELOPMENTS.

AND I'M NOT ANTI-DEVELOPMENT.

I'M NOT ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO DOESN'T WANT TO SEE DEVELOPMENT.

THE FASTER THEY CAN GET A KROGER BY MY HOME THE BETTER.

I DO WANT SAFE INFRASTRUCTURE AND I DON'T FEEL THIS DOCUMENT WILL PROVIDE THAT IN MY PART OF THE COUNTY AND THAT CONCERNS ME GREATLY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

I JUST WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO SAY AND AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER AND ALL THOSE WHO HELPED IN THIS PROCESS.

HE ISN'T REALLY TAKING CREDIT FOR IT BUT OVER THERE IS JEFF O'BRIEN, I JUST WANT TO SAY JEFF, YOU DID AN OUTSTANDING JOB.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN AMENDED ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING.

THE VOTING IS CLOSING.

THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: THERE ARE 23 YES-VOTES.

TWO NO-VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

NO VOTES COUNCILMEMBERS DENTON AND BENSON.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

JEFF, YOU CAN BREATHE NOW.

MR. CLERK, AN ITEM OF READING NUMBER 38.

>> CLERK: RESOLUTION HONORING REVEREND CHARLES H. DUNCAN SENIOR BY DEDICATING THE CORNER OF 36th STREET AND VIRGINIA AVENUE TO BE NAMED REVEREND H. DUNCAN IN HIS HONOR.

READ IN FULL.

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER WOOLRIDGE?

>> I THINK YOU CAN'T TELL US APART STILL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN, SORRY.

[CHUCKLES].

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS RESOLUTION CAME THROUGH COMMITTEE AND IT PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE AND IT'S ON OLD BUSINESS, SO COUNCILWOMAN JESSICA GREEN COULD DISCUSS IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

REVEREND DUNCAN IS A GIANT IN THIS COMMUNITY SO WE ARE PROUD TO HONOR HIM IN THIS WAY, IF YOU WOULD INDULGE ME TO READ THIS IN THE RECORD I WOULD APPRECIATE.

WHEREAS REVEREND CHARLES H. DUNCAN WAS BORN [OFF MIC] WAS MARRIED TO THE LATE RUTH DUNCAN FOR OVER 60 YEARS AND HAS 8 CHILDREN, 10 GRANDCHILDREN AND ONE GREAT GRANDCHILD.

REVEREND DUNCAN GRADUATED VALEDICTORIAN IN 1967 WITH A BACHELOR OF THEOLOGY IN 1978 SIMMONS BIBLE COLLEGE HONORED HIM.

WHEREAS REVEREND DUNCAN FIRST LICENSED 1961, AND ORDAINED 1968.

WHEREAS REVEREND DUNCAN IS CURRENTLY THE PASTOR OF FIRST VIRGINIA BAPTIST CHURCH, HE CELEBRATED HIS ANNIVERSARY IN APRIL, MAKING HIM THE LONGEST-SERVING PASTOR.

THE FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH IN THE BEAR GRASS MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH WHERE HE SERVED OVER 20 YEARS.

WHEREAS FOR SERVICE IN LOUISVILLE HE HAS RECEIVED MANY ACCOLADES AND RESOLUTIONS INCLUDING DISTINGUISHED CITIZEN OF LOUISVILLE, HE WAS AWARDED THREE TIMES.

CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION FROM LOUISVILLE AND JEFFERSON COUNTY [READING] HONORARY MEMBER OF PLEASANT VIEW CHURCH [READING] WHEREAS REVEREND DUNCAN IS EXTREMELY ACTIVE IN OUR COMMUNITY.

HIS CALLING LEAD HIM TO SERVE ON NUMEROUS BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS, LOCAL CHURCHES, SCHOOLS, ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING AUTHOR, DEAN, SUNDAY SCHOOL INSTRUCTOR, GUEST LECTURER, GUEST SPEAKER, LESSON REVIEWER, WRITER, BOARD MEMBER AND CO-PRESIDENT, WHEREAS THE METRO COUNCIL WOULD LIKE IT THANK HIM [READING] THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED...

SECTION 1, REVEREND DUNCAN SERVICE TO LOUISVILLE METRO  
HEREBY RECOGNIZED WITH PLACEMENT OF HONORARY STREET SIGN...

SO DESIGNATED REVEREND H. CHARLES DUNCAN WAY.

SECTION 2, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS IS DIRECTED TO  
MOVE FORWARD WITH FABRICATION OF TWO HONORARY SIGNS...

THE SECOND SIGN WILL BE PRESENTED TO THE FAMILY.

SECTION 3, THIS RESOLUTION SHALL TAKE EFFECT UPON ITS  
PASSAGE AND APPROVAL.

THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

IS THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS A  
RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.

THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 39.

>> CLERK: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT  
A REQUEST FROM COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET  
TO COMBINE THREE SEPARATE GRANT AWARDS FOR BICYCLE AND  
PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ALONG SOUTHWESTERN AND ALGONQUIN PARKWAYS  
WITH BALANCES OF CONGESTION MITIGATION AIR QUALITY FUNDS  
TOTALING \$1,869,532.34.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER  
ENGEL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS RESOLUTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY OUT OF THE PUBLIC  
WORKS COMMITTEE LAST WEEK.

IT REQUESTS METRO COUNCIL'S APPROVAL WHICH WILL BE  
SPENT ON FINAL DESIGN DOCUMENTS FOR BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN  
IMPROVEMENTS ON SOUTHWESTERN PARKWAY AND ALGONQUIN PARKWAY.

ALL THESE CMAQ FUNDS ARE ALREADY MATCHED PREVIOUSLY  
FUNDED.

THIS WAS PRESENTED BY JOHN AT OUR COMMITTEE.

I WOULD ASK FOR YOUR APPROVAL PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE THIS IS A  
RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE.

ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.

THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 40.

>> CLERK: RESOLUTION TO ENCOURAGE NEIGHBORHOODS CITIES  
NEIGHBORING GOVERNMENTS AND HOSPITALS THROUGHOUT JEFFERSON  
COUNTY TO JOIN LOUISVILLE METRO IN SUPPORTING CENTER STONE  
KENTUCKY LIVING ROOM PROGRAM, READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN  
BUTLER.

>> THANK YOU, THIS WAS IN LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

IT PASSED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE MEMBERS THAT WERE PRESENT.

WE DID AMEND IT TO INCLUDE HOSPITALS AND WE CHANGED THE WORDING WITH NEIGHBORING GOVERNMENTS AND THEN WE ALSO TOOK OUT CAPITAL BECAUSE IT'S NOT FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS, THIS IS FOR THEIR OPERATING COSTS.

THIS IS VERY SIMILAR TO THE HEALING PLACE, THEY HAD A CAPITAL CAMPAIGN BUT THIS IS FOR THE LIVING ROOM.

HOSPITALS WILL BENEFIT GREATLY BY PEOPLE NOT GOING TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM.

ESPECIALLY THOSE WHO MAY NOT HAVE INSURANCE.

DR. KEELING CAME IN AND SPOKE.

WE HAD A GREAT DISCUSSION ABOUT IT.

SHE ONLY GOT ABOUT THREE MONTH'S WORTH OF STATS, SO SHE IS LOOKING FORWARD TO HAVING MORE STATS SHE CAN PULL TOGETHER AND SHARE WITH US.

BUT THIS IS A GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO HAVE A PIECE OF PAPER THAT SAYS WE, AS A COUNCIL, ARE SUPPORTIVE OF THE LIVING ROOM BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE ARE LOOKING TO OTHER PEOPLE TO HELP FUND IT, BECAUSE NOT JUST LOUISVILLE WILL SAVE MONEY, OTHER COMMUNITIES WILL SAVE MONEY, HOSPITALS WILL SAVE MONEY.

THIS IS A COMMUNITY ISSUE AND WE WANT THE COMMUNITY TO HELP WITH IT.

SO I ENCOURAGE YOU TO GO FOR IT, BUT I WILL ASK FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE TO ALLOW COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER TO ABSTAIN ON THIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN WELCH.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

AND IF THE SPONSOR WOULD AGREE, I WOULD LIKE TO BE A CO-SPONSOR ON THIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER AGREES.

COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

MY QUESTION, HOW ARE WE INTENDED TO ENCOURAGE THEM? ARE WE EMPOWERING ANYONE TO REACH OUT TO THEM AND ACTUALLY ENCOURAGE THEM TO PUT MONEY IN THE BUCKET?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER?

>> WE HAVE SPOKEN TO THE FOLKS AT THE LIVING ROOM AND WE HOPE THEY WILL DO THE SAME AS THE INDIVIDUALS THAT THE HEALING PLACE DID, THEY WENT OUT AND VISITED WITH A DIFFERENT FOUNDATION, THE NEIGHBORING GOVERNMENT AND OTHER FUNDING AGENCIES AND THEY TOOK A LETTER OF REQUEST ALONG WITH THIS RESOLUTION, AS WELL AS THE STAFF DR. KEELING PUT TOGETHER TO SHOW HOW MUCH MONEY THEY ARE SAVING BY HAVING THE LIVING ROOM IN OUR COMMUNITY.

>> ARE WE GOING TO, FOR LACK OF A BETTER WORD, REQUIRE METRO GOVERNMENT TO ACTUALLY MAKE THAT PERSUASIVE ARGUMENT AS WELL? I DON'T KNOW HOW --



>> METRO GOVERNMENT DOESN'T GO OUT AND SEEK FUNDING.  
WE MIGHT WRITE GRANTS FOR GRANTS THAT ARE AVAILABLE.  
BUT WE AREN'T GOING TO DO THIS, IF THEY ASK FOR  
SOMEONE TO GO WITH THEM AND ITS SOMEONE IN THEIR DISTRICT  
SOMEONE MAY GO WITH THEM BUT IT'S THE LIVING ROOM THAT NEEDS TO  
ASK FOR THIS MONEY.

>> I'M JUST A LEGAL BIT CONFUSED AS TO HOW THE  
RESOLUTION WILL -- [OFF MIC] I'M JUST TRYING TO SEEK  
CLARIFICATION.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

WITH THE SPONSOR'S PERMISSION I WOULD LIKE TO SIGN ON  
AS A CO-SPONSOR.

A NUMBER OF US ON THIS METRO COUNCIL, WE REPRESENT  
SOME OF THE SMALL CITIES.

THIS IS JUST PART OF THE ANSWER, I THINK.

MAYBE MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA IS LOOKING  
FOR.

REPRESENTS THESE CITIES TO SPEAK TO THIS RESOLUTION AT  
SOME OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS.

OR WHAT THE OTHER COUNCILMEMBERS THAT ARE IN THE OTHER  
CITIES.

FOR INSTANCE I REPRESENT SHIVELY.

I GO TO THEIR MEETINGS REGULARLY.

I THINK WE COULD GET THE WORD OUT LIKE THAT.

AGAIN, THEY ARE GOING TO BENEFIT.

WE AREN'T JUST SAYING, ONLY FOLKS THAT CAN COME TO THE LIVING ROOM LIVE IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

THEY ARE COMING FROM ALL THESE OTHER SMALL CITIES.

WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SMALL CITIES.

I THINK ONE COUNCILMEMBER HERE MAY HAVE BEEN 17-18 SMALL CITIES WITHIN THEIR COUNCIL.

I HOPE THAT HELPS JUST A LITTLE.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I JUST WANTED TO SAY SOME OF THAT GROUNDWORK HAS BEEN PAID PRIOR TO THE FILING OF THIS RESOLUTION.

A MEETING WAS SCHEDULED WITH CENTER STONE AND THE FOLKS, MR. ZIPPLE AND OTHER FOLKS FROM CENTER STONE REPRESENTING THE LIVING ROOM MODEL WITH CITY MAYORS THAT HAVE SUBURBAN POLICE DEPARTMENTS.

I THINK WE HAD 7-8, COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER SUBMITTED AS WELL AS DIRECTOR BOLTON.

WE HAD ABOUT AN HOUR AND A HALF MEETING WHERE THERE WAS A GREAT DISCUSSION AND INTRODUCTION TO THAT LIVING ROOM MODEL.

AND IT'S NOW REALLY BEEN LEFT TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION,  
COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA ABOUT WHAT IS BEING DONE, SOME OF THAT  
GROUNDWORK HAS BEEN PAID WITH THOSE SUBURBAN POLICE DEPARTMENTS  
AND NOW IT'S UP TO CENTER STONE TO DO SOME OF THOSE  
CONVERSATIONS TO ASSESS THE LEVEL OF INTEREST AND LOOK AT HOW  
THEY MIGHT PURSUE THOSE FUNDING ROUTES.

SO SOME OF THAT WORK HAS BEEN DONE.

AND HOPEFULLY YOU WILL FOLLOW-UP WITH YOUR SUBURBAN  
CITIES AS I HAVE DONE WITH MINE ALREADY.

IF ANYBODY HAS ANY QUESTIONS HOW THAT WAS DONE, I  
THINK AN INVITATION WAS SENT TO THOSE FOLKS WHO WERE INVITED AS  
WELL.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

WHEN I LOOK AT THIS RESOLUTION, THE CENTER STONE  
LIVING ROOM MODEL IS BEFORE THIS BODY IN THE BUDGET RIGHT NOW.

I THINK THEY ARE SHORT ABOUT \$650,000.

IF I'M WRONG, SOMEONE TELL ME.

THERE'S BEEN DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE  
PROGRAM WILL BE SUCCESSFUL WITHOUT THE FULL FUNDING.

WHEN I LOOK AT THIS RESOLUTION KNOWING WE ARE GOING TO  
PC A BUDGET IN A WEEK AND A HALF, IT TELLS ME, AND SHOULD TELL

FOLKS THAT ARE HERE TONIGHT SUPPORTING, ASKING FOR SUPPORT FOR THIS, THAT WE DON'T THINK WE ARE GOING TO GET THERE.

I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

BECAUSE THE AT THE END OF THE DAY I WOULD BE ONE OF THE FOLKS THAT WOULD BE ADVOCATING IF WE COULD FIND ANOTHER \$650 IN OUR BUDGET WE SHOULD BE PASSING IT.

THIS RESOLUTION THAT WILL BE SIGNED AND OUT IN A WEEK BEFORE THE BUDGET IS PASSED IS TELLING THEM TO GO OUT AND SEE IF YOU CAN GET SOME OF THAT \$1.3 MILLION NEEDED FROM OTHER PLACES.

WE AREN'T GOING TO COME UP WITH THE FULL AMOUNT THEY NEED AND THEY SHOULD BE PREPARED TO GO WITH HANDS OPEN TO OTHER PLACES.

I THINK IT'S TOO IMPORTANT OF A PROJECT FOR US TO TAKE DEPOSITION.

I THINK IT'S SO IMPORTANT A PROJECT WE SHOULD BE FULLY FUNDING IT.

I'M NOT SUPPORTIVE OF THIS, I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THE LIVING ROOM MODEL AND THIS COUNCIL TO FULLY FUND IT.

I WILL BE A NO-VOTE ON THIS TONIGHT FOR THE MERE FACT I FEEL I'M NOT GOING TO GIVE UP ON THIS BODY COMING UP WITH THE FULL \$1.3 AND CHANGE THEY NEED.

AND THEREFORE IF WE COME UP WITH THAT, ANYTHING ADDITIONAL MAY HELP WITH NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET.

IF NOT, NOT PRESENTLY THE SITUATION WE ARE IN.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

LET ME START BY SAYING I DON'T THINK THIS RESOLUTION SAYS WE AREN'T GOING TO FUND.

WHAT WE HEARD IS THEY WANTED A PERMANENT LIVING ROOM. THAT'S THIS YEAR AND THE NEXT YEAR AND THE YEAR AFTER THAT.

WHAT I HEARD FROM DR. KEELING AT OUR BUDGET HEARINGS IS THE PRIMARY BENEFICIARY OF THE LIVING ROOM TODAY HAS BEEN THE HOSPITALS.

NOT THE JAIL, NOT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

BUT THE HOSPITALS.

PEOPLE WHO ARE BEING DIVERTED FROM THE EMERGENCY ROOM AND THAT'S GREAT.

ALL WE ARE SAYING THROUGH THE RESOLUTION IS LET'S GO ASK THE HOSPITALS.

MAKE THE SAME CASE MADE TO US, YOU CAN SAVE MONEY WITH THE LIVING ROOM.

LET'S MAKE THAT SAME CASE TO THE HOSPITALS AND TELL THEM THEY CAN SAVE MONEY TOO, INSTEAD OF PEOPLE GOING TO THE HOSPITALS, THEY WILL GO TO THE LIVING ROOM.

SO THAT'S ALL WE ARE SAYING, I DON'T THINK IT HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH WHAT WE WILL FUND THIS YEAR.

OBVIOUSLY THIS IS A PROJECT PEOPLE WANT TO CONTINUE  
YEAR-AFTER-YEAR-AFTER YEAR.

I THINK CENTER STONE SHOULD BE TOO, IN TERMS OF  
SUBURBAN CITY'S, THE ONES I THINK ARE IMPORTANT TO FOCUS ON ARE  
THE ONES THAT HAVE POLICE DEPARTMENTS.

AND I KNOW COUNCILMEMBER LEET HAS ALREADY HAD THAT  
DISCUSSION.

IF YOU SAVE MONEY BY HAVING A QUICKER HAND OFF INSTEAD  
OF TAKING THEM TO THE JAIL OR HOSPITAL, SAVE MONEY BY PUTTING  
THEM IN THE LIVING ROOM, IT'S A QUICKER DEAL AND YOU COULD GET  
BACK ON THE STREET FASTER.

I JUST THINK IT'S AN ARGUMENT YOU MAKE TO THEM.

YOU COULD SAVE MONEY IN THE SAME WAY.

I HOPE YOU DON'T VOTE AGAINST THIS.

THIS DOESN'T SAY WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO IN THIS  
BUDGET AT ALL.

IT SAYS GOING FORWARD, WE THINK THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE  
WHO CAN REALLY SAVE MONEY IN THE LIVING ROOM FUNDING.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, I'M NOT SURE THERE HAS TO  
BE A DIRECT SAVINGS COST MECHANISM.

I THINK I'M IN SUPPORT OF THIS RESOLUTION.

I THINK THE LIVING ROOM IS AN IMPORTANT PROJECT AND AN IMPORTANT PIECE OF SOCIAL SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE AS SOMEONE MENTIONED EARLIER TODAY.

I DO HAVE RESERVATIONS ABOUT WHETHER METRO GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE FUNDING 100% OF ANY PROGRAM WE DON'T CONTROL, FOR A LOT OF REASONS.

NOT THE LEAST OF WHICH IT PUTS ALL THE RISK ON US IN THE LONG TERM.

BUT ALSO, I THINK THIS IS A TOTALLY APPROPRIATE WAY TO REACH OUT TO OTHER STAKEHOLDERS IN OUR COMMUNITY, NOT TO HIJACK ANY OF THE ATTENTION FROM CENTER STONE, AN AGENCY WITH A MULTICOUNTY SERVICE AREA, THERE ARE OTHER ORGANIZATIONS LIKE DARE TO CARE, FOR EXAMPLE, THEY MIGHT DESERVE 70% OF THEIR SERVICES IN JEFFERSON COUNTY.

MAYBE IT'S 80%, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

AND WHEN THEY ARE EXPERIENCING A SIMILAR SHORTFALL WITH REGARD TO FILLING GAPS IN FOOD INSECURITY,, WE HAVE TO, FOR THE SUSTAINABILITY OF OUR METROPOLITAN AREA REACH OUT TO OTHER STAKEHOLDERS WHO ARE APPROPRIATELY ASKED TO CONTRIBUTE.

SO I SUPPORT THIS RESOLUTION.

THANKS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I AM IN FULL SUPPORT OF THIS BODY RECOMMENDING FULLY FUNDING THE LIVING ROOM MODEL AS COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON HAS ELUDED TO FOR THE \$1.3 MILLION.

ALSO I'M FULLY SUPPORTIVE OF THIS RESOLUTION AND I DO NOT THINK THAT VOTING FOR THIS IS IN CONFLICT WITH WANTING US TO CONSIDER FULLY FUNDING AT THE CURRENT REQUEST.

I THINK A THRESHOLD QUESTION WE OUGHT TO ASK OURSELVES IS THE COST OF THE PILOT PROJECT WAS \$325,000.

THAT WAS AN INTERESTING NUMBER.

WHERE DID THAT NUMBER COME FROM? IT CAME FROM A STRATEGIC OVERVIEW AND AN ANALYSIS THAT MR. ZIPPLE AND HIS TEAM THOUGHT THEY COULD POSSIBLY GET THIS PROJECT OFF THE GROUND TO COME BACK AND SHOW US SOME NUMBERS.

THEY HAVE DONE THAT.

AND THE NUMBERS HAVE FAR EXCEEDED THE SUCCESS RATE OF WHAT I HAD ANTICIPATED THEY WOULD DO.

AS WE ASK OURSELVES IS \$1.3 MILLION THE MAGIC NUMBER.

I ASK ALL MY COLLEAGUE, THINK ABOUT THAT FOR A LITTLE BIT.

THERE'S AN ISSUE NUMBER, WHERE DID IT COME FROM.

BECAUSE OUR MENTAL ILLNESS CHALLENGES IN THIS COMMUNITY AND OUR DRUG EPIDEMIC CHALLENGES IN THIS COMMUNITY, I THINK ARE GOING TO FAR, FAR COST MORE, SO FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, THIS IS A BARGAIN FOR US.



WE QUOTE STATISTICS AND DATA IN THIS CHAMBER AND I'M PROUD EACH AND EVERY ONE OF US LOOK FOR DATA TO HELP OUR DECISIONS.

THINK OF 2016, MORE PEOPLE DIED IN THIS COUNTRY IN ONE YEAR FROM DRUG OVERDOSES THAN DID IN THE ENTIRE 20-YEAR VIETNAM WAR.

LET'S PUT THIS IN PERSPECTIVE.

LET'S FULLY FUND THE LIVING ROOM MODEL THIS YEAR AND LET'S ENCOURAGE CENTER STONE AND TONY AND HIS TEAM TO PLEASE GO OUT AND SECURE ADDITIONAL FUNDS FROM ALL THESE PARTNERS, SO I HOPE EVERYONE WILL VOTE IN SUPPORT AND COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER, MAY I SIGN ON AS A CO-SPONSOR.

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

AS I READ THE RESOLUTION, VERBATIM, LOOKING AT THE LAST TWO WHEREAS'S, CENTER STONE REQUESTED FUNDING IN ORDER FOR ITS DOORS TO REMAIN OPEN.

AND WHEREAS LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE SERVICES AND SUCCESSES PROVIDED BY THE LIVING ROOM MODEL PROGRAM AND FEELS THE SERVICES AND SUCCESS BENEFIT ALL OF JEFFERSON COUNTY AND THEREFORE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PROGRAM SHOULD BE SHARED WITH OUR NEIGHBORS, CITIES, HOSPITALS AND REGIONS.

WE ARE REQUESTING THEY HELP US FUND THIS.

SECTION 1, LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL ENCOURAGES, TO  
HELP FUND THE PROGRAM CAPITAL, STRIKE CAPITAL, CAMPAIGN.

SO THEY WERE ABLE TO MAINTAIN OPEN.

THE LANGUAGE HERE DOESN'T SAY HELP US EXPAND IT, HELP  
US DO THIS, IT'S SAYING, THIS IS WHAT WE NEED.

BECAUSE THE BENEFIT GOES THROUGHOUT J\*T JEFFERSON  
COUNTY.

SECTION 1 IS CLEAR.

IT'S SAYING, HELP IT ROWE MAIN OPEN.

SO I DON'T SEE THIS AS SAYING LET'S EXPAND, I SEE THIS  
AS A MESSAGE OF WE AREN'T GOING TO FULLY FUND IT AND THEREFORE  
OTHERS WILL HOPEFULLY STEP UP TO THE PLATE AND SHARE IN THAT  
EXPENSE FOR ALL OF THE COUNTY.

BECAUSE OF THAT, AGAIN, THE WORDS MATTER.

AND BECAUSE OF THOSE WORDS, I WON'T BE SUPPORTING IT  
TONIGHT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA?

>> I WILL BE VOTING IN SUPPORT OF THIS.

WE HAVE SAT HERE MANY NIGHTS, MANY EVENINGS AND WE  
HAVE TALKED ABOUT DISCUSSING RESOLUTIONS THAT REALLY HAVE NO  
MEANING OR ARE POWERLESS.

THAT'S THE REASON WHY I ASKED THE QUESTION.

IT'S NOT FAIR FOR COUNCILMEMBER LEET TO TAKE THE LEAD,  
REACH OUT AND HAVE THAT CONVERSATION.

I JUST WANT TO ASK HOW DO WE EMPOWER METRO GOVERNMENT TO GO OUT AND HELP RAISE THESE FUNDS? I MEAN, IF WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE DOING ANYTHING AND JUST ENCOURAGING, WHAT ABOUT THE PHONE CALL TO MR. ZIPPLE SAYING, HERE IS WHAT WITH YOU NEED TO DO.

AS COUNCILMAN YATES LIKES SAYING, THERE ARE RESOLUTIONS THAT WILL DO NOTHING.

I AM VOTING FOR THIS BECAUSE I FULLY SUPPORT THE LIVING ROOM PROJECT.

BUT AT THE SAME TIME, LET'S BE HONEST WITH OURSELVES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, WE TALKED TO TONY ABOUT THIS, WE DID IT ON THE RECORD YOU COULD WATCH THE TAPE, WE TOLD HIM HE NEEDED TO BE GOING TO HOSPITALS AND CITIES WITH POLICE DEPARTMENTS.

WE ARE JUST REINFORCING THAT MESSAGE WITH THE RESOLUTION.

I THINK EVERYBODY THINKS THE PROGRAM SHOULD CONTINUE IN FUTURE YEARS.

MOST OF THE CITIES HAVE PASSED IT JUST FOR THE COMING YEAR.

NO ONE IS SAYING THEY WILL PASS BUDGETS.

BUT IF THE PROGRAM IS GOING TO REMAIN OPEN IT WILL NEED MONEY IN FUTURE YEARS AND THAT'S WHAT THIS IS ABOUT.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO CLARIFY ONE POINT OF CLARIFICATION.

THERE SEEMS TO BE INSINUATION THAT SOMEHOW THE  
SUBURBAN CITIES AREN'T ALREADY PAYING.

BUT THESE TAX PAYERS ARE ALREADY PAYING AND  
POTENTIALLY IT HASN'T BEEN LIMITED TO L.M.P.D.

I AM SUPPORTIVE AND BELIEVE SOMETHING AS COUNCILMEMBER  
HOLLANDER SAID, THEY WILL HAVE TO LOOK FOR OTHER SOURCES OF  
FUNDING, WHETHER IT'S GRANT, WHETHER SOME FEDERAL FUNDS COMING  
TO HELP WITH MENTAL ILLNESS, AS WELL AS THE DUAL DIAGNOSIS OF  
DRUG ADDICTION, THAT WE ENCOURAGE THEM AT CENTER STONE, AND AS  
THE LEADERS OF THIS SERVICE IN OUR COMMUNITY, GO OUT AND SEEK  
THE FUNDING MECHANISMS.

SO I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY, WHATEVER LEVEL WE, AS  
METRO COUNCIL CHOOSE TO SUPPORT LIVING ROOM WHEN WE APPROVE THE  
BUDGET NEXT WEEK, BUDGET COMMITTEE AND THEN THE FOLLOWING WEEK,  
AS A FULL METRO COUNCIL, YOU KNOW, THAT IS REPRESENTATIVE FOR  
ALL TAX PAYERS, NOT JUST ONE AREA OR ANOTHER.

THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE ALREADY PAYING A FAIR SHARE  
FOR THIS.

SO I JUST WANT THAT TO BE NOTED ON THE RECORD.

AND I AM IN FULL SUPPORT OF GETTING TO THE FULL  
FUNDING FOR THE LIVING ROOM MODEL.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER REED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I AM IN FULL SUPPORT OF THIS AS WELL.

WHEN I LISTENED IN THE BUDGET HEARING, I DID ASK THE QUESTION SPECIFICALLY, IS THIS GOING TO BE AN ONGOING FUNDING REQUEST OF THIS AMOUNT.

I WAS TOLD YES.

SO WE NEED TO BE MORE CREATIVE HOW WE COME UP WITH THE MONEY.

JUST REITERATE WHAT COUNCILMEMBER LEET SAID, THE SUBURBAN CITIES ARE PAYING FOR THIS BUT AT THE SAME TIME LET'S BE CREATIVE AND GO TO EVERY AVAILABLE REVENUE SOURCE WE CAN, BECAUSE WHO IS TO SAY NEXT YEAR AND THE YEAR AFTER THE FUNDS WILL BE THERE FOR THEM.

IT'S FUN FOR THE CITY AND A COMPASSIONATE THING TO DO.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER IF YOU COULD ADD ME AS A CO-SPONSOR, PLEASE.

>> YES, AND IF I COULD REMIND YOU FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE TO ALLOW FOR AN ABSTENTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? SEEING NONE, THIS RESOLUTION WILL REQUIRE A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING.

OH, I'M SORRY, I DIDN'T SEE THAT.

>> I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO EXPLAIN WHY I'M ABSTAINING.

MY DAUGHTER IS PRESENTLY LIVING IN MY HOME AND ACCEPTED A CONTRACT WITH CENTER STONE.

SO SHE WILL BE WORKING WITH, NOT WITH LIVING ROOM BUT WITH A SCHOOL-BASED THERAPIST.

I'M VERY PROUD OF HER FOR THAT, BUT CENTER STONE IS STILL CENTER STONE AND I'LL BE ABSTAINING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING.

THE VOTING IS CLOSING.

AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: THERE ARE 21 YES-VOTES.

ONE NO-VOTE, ONE ABSTENTION, THREE NOT VOTING.

NO VOTE IS COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON, AND ABSTENTION IS COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MR. CLERK.

THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 42.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM UN  
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD TO OR-2 OFFICE/RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY  
LOCATED AT 952 AND 953 SOUTH CLAY STREET CONTAINING .21 ACRES  
AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MOTION IS BEFORE US, ANY  
DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

AND BEFORE WE GO FURTHER I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER AN  
AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION.

THE ADDRESS IS WRONG AND ABOUT TWO SHOVELS OF ACREAGE  
IS WRONG.

THE TITLE WILL READ ORDINANCE CHANGING ZONING FROM UN  
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD TO OR-2 OFFICE/RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY  
LOCATED AT 953, CONTAINING .22 ACRES, 17ZONE1078, AS AMENED.

SECTION ONE, THE ZONING OF THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 953  
SOUTH CLAY STREET CONTAINING 0.20 ACRES AND BEING LOUISVILLE  
METRO IS MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN MINUTES CASE NUMBER  
17ZONE1078, HERE BY CHANGED FROM UN URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD TO OR-2  
OFFICE/RESIDENTIAL.

HOWEVER SUBJECT TO THE BINDING ELEMENTS AS SET FORTH  
IN THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

AND I MOVE THE AMENDED --

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A SECOND.

THE AMENDED VERSION IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THAT? SEEING NONE.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT, PLEASE SAY AYE.

THOSE OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.

PLEASE CONTINUE ON, COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS THE REUSE OF AN EXISTING BUILDING FOR AN ART STUDIO, THERE WILL BE PARKING ON CLAY STREET AND 12 PARKING SPACES ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE ROAD.

PLANNING COMMISSION VOTED 7-0.

THE COMMITTEE ALSO UNANIMOUS.

AND THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH'S DISTRICT.

SHE MAY WANT TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS LOCATED IN THE HISTORIC NEIGHBORHOOD AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF VACANT BUILDINGS IN THAT AREA AND IN LAST YEARS WE HAVE SEEN INTEREST IN STRONG ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

YOU MAY RECALL IN THE LAST YEAR WE APPROVED ALLEY CLOSURES FOR A \$19 MILLION DEVELOPMENT AND I THINK THAT HAS PUT A LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN FOLKS' HEARTS AND MINDS AS THEY THINK WHERE TO LOCATE THEIR ARTIST STUDIO.

THERE WILL BE AS MANY AS 10 STUDENTS AND 28 ARTISTS.



IT WILL BE THE INITIAL DREAM.

SO JUST REMEMBER, TOGETHER THROUGH THE ARTS, WE CREATE  
A GREAT AMERICAN CITY.

AND I ASK THAT ALL MY COLLEAGUES FULLY SUPPORT THIS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I THINK YOU HAVE SAID THAT BEFORE,  
AT SOME POINT.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IT JUST FLOWED DRIPPINGLY OFF MY  
TONGUE.

I HAD TO SAY THAT BECAUSE TONIGHT IS OPENING NIGHT OF  
"SHAKESPEARE IN THE PARK" IN DISTRICT 6.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN  
AMENDED ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING.

WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING.

AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 22 YES VOTES AND 4 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE WILL POSTPONE ITEM NUMBER 43  
UNTIL A CERTAIN DATE, WHICH IS JUNE 26th, 2018 AT THE REQUEST OF  
THE SPONSOR, COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER.

>> I'M THE SPONSOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SORRY, FLOOD.

>> I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THE RECORD WAS --

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ACCURATE.

I AGREE.

THANK YOU.

MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 44.

>> CLERK: AN ORDINANCE REPEALING AND REPLACING SECTION 150.110 OF THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF ORDINANCES, LMCO RELATING TO WRECKING OR DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC BUILDINGS OR STRUCTURES, AS AMENDED, READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS CAME OUT OF OUR COMMITTEE WITH A UNANIMOUS VOTE. ALSO, IT'S BEEN IN COMMITTEE FOR ABOUT SIX MONTHS AND I WANT TO RECOGNIZE COUNCILMAN BRANDON COAN.

THROUGH YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

BECAUSE HE HAS DONE ALL THE WORK ON THIS ORDINANCE AND HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB, SO I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE HIM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER COAN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO SEE IF I COULD MAKE ONE OTHER SMALL AMENDMENT, IF I CAN SO WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE RIGHT THING.

AND WITH THAT SAID, I WOULD LIKE TO JUST, IN ORDER TO CLARIFY THE DEFINITIONS ON PAGE 1 AND 2, THERE'S A DEFINITION IN SECTION A THAT TALKS ABOUT WHAT IS A HISTORIC BUILDING OR STRUCTURE.

JUST TO MAKE CLEAR THAT A SUB 1, A SUB 2, A SUB 3, OR A SUB 4 QUALIFIES I WANTED TO SEE IF WE COULD ADD "OR"'S BETWEEN A SUB 1 AND A SUB 2.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT SAY AYE.

OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT, PLEASE CONTINUE ON.

>> THANK YOU.

SO SUBSTANTIVELY AS COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD SAID, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE THAT REFORMS CURRENTLY EXISTING SECTION 150.110 WHICH IS OUR WRECKING ORDINANCE.

AS I HAVE MENTIONED IN HERE SEVERAL TIMES BEFORE, OUR WRECKING ORDINANCE AND OUR LANDMARKS ORDINANCE ARE TWO DIFFERENT PARTS OF LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES.

BOTH OF WHICH WE ARE LOOKING AT REFORMING.

THIS IS SORT OF THE FIRST PIECE OF THAT AND THIS IS SORT OF THE MORE MODEST REFORM.

THE CORE POLICY BEING PROPOSED HERE IS TO AMEND OUR DEMOLITION OF HISTORIC BUILDINGS.

UNTIL SUCH TIME, MAKE SURE I HAVE THE RIGHT LANGUAGE HERE.

AND THE FIRST PERMIT ALLOWING FOR SUBSTANTIAL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SUCH AS SITE DISTURBANCE CLEARING OR GRADING OR CLEARING OR BUILDING.

THE IDEA WE ARE NOT IDENTIFYING NEW BUILDINGS THAT CURRENTLY CAN BE DEMOLISHED WHICH WON'T BE ABLE TO BE DEMOLISHED AFTER THIS.

THE IDEA IS IN THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS, WHEN SOMEONE WANTS TO DEMOLISH AN EXISTING BUILDING AND BUILD SOMETHING IN ITS PLACE, CURRENTLY, YOU CAN FILE AN APPLICATION TO DO THAT.

YOU CAN KNOCK A BUILDING DOWN.

AND THERE'S A QUESTION AS TO WHETHER THE ORIGINALLY INTENDED PROJECT GETS FULFILLED OR NOT.

SOMETIMES THE MARKET CHANGES.

SOMETIMES THINGS CHANGE.

AND THE COMMUNITY IS LEFT WITH, IS IRREPLACEABLY LOST AN IMPORTANT CULTURAL RESOURCE AND NOT GET THE PROJECT THEY BARGAINED FOR IN THE BEGINNING.

SO WHAT THIS ORDINANCE DOES IS IT POSTPONES THE ACTUAL DEMOLITION OF THE BUILDING UNTIL THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN IS APPROVED AND THE FIRST BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED WHICH REALLY SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCES THE RISK THAT THE PROJECT WON'T HAPPEN

BECAUSE THE APPLICANT HAS SPENT THAT MUCH MORE TIME AND MONEY AND CLARIFIED HIS OR HER INTENTION TO MAKE THE PROJECT COME TO FRUITION.

THAT HAS SOME BENEFIT.

THE OTHER SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE HERE IS IT MOVES THE NOTIFICATION FOR POTENTIAL DEMOLITION TO THE BEGINNING OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.

THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE HAVE OFTEN SEEN IN THE LANDMARKS CONTEXT, A SEPARATE PIECE OF LAW, WE HAVE SEEN THE LANDMARKS IN THIS COMMUNITY WHEN A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PLAN MOVES SOMETIMES MONTHS OR YEARS LATER INTO CONSTRUCTION, BECAUSE IT SOMETIMES TAKES THAT LONG, FOLKS HAVE FILED A PETITION TO LANDMARK ONE OF THE BUILDINGS AT SORT OF THE 11th HOUR AND THAT PREJUDICES OR CREATES AN ECONOMIC BURDEN OR HARDSHIPS FOR THE APPLICANT.

WHAT THIS DOES IS IT MOVES TO THE BEGINNING OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS AND THAT 30-DAY CERTIFICATION PERIOD AND OTHER NOTICE SORT OF TO THE PUBLIC WHO WANTS TO TAKE SOME ACTION OR NOT WITH REGARD TO LANDMARKING THE BUILDING MOVES TO THE BEGINNING OF THE PROCESS.

ALREADY CODES AND REGULATIONS, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE BOARD OF HEALTH HAVE POWER, QUICKLY IDENTIFY A DANGEROUS BUILDING AND ORDER IMMEDIATE DEMOLITION BECAUSE OF HEALTH OR SAFETY THREAT.

OF COURSE WE WANT TO PRESERVE THAT EMERGENCY  
DEMOLITION POWER.

BUT THEN WE ALSO WANTED TO CARVE OUT AN EXCEPTION,  
SECTION F-C, SUB-C, FOR THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY LAND  
BANK, WHO STRATEGICALLY IS ACQUIRING PARCELS AND DEMOLISHING  
THEM FOR A GREATER, MORE INTENTIONAL IN-FILL AND REHABILITATION  
PROCESS.

SO WE DIDN'T WANT TO HANDCUFF VACANT AND ABANDONED  
PROPERTIES FROM TAKING ON ONE OF THOSE MORE EXPANSIVE PROJECTS  
BY VIRTUE OF THE FACT THAT SOME OF THESE BUILDINGS MAY QUALIFY  
FOR NATIONAL REGISTERED STATUS AND THEN BE HELD UP BY THIS  
CHANGE IN THE PROCESS.

I WILL NOTE THAT IT PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE AFTER A  
LOT OF THOUGHTFUL QUESTIONS AND DELIBERATIONS FROM THE PLANNING  
AND ZONING ANNEXATION COMMITTEE AND WE WORK CLOSELY WITH DEVELOP  
LOUISVILLE TO MAKE SURE THE ADMINISTRATION OF THIS NEW ORDINANCE  
INCLUDING CHANGES TO THE NOTIFICATION PROCESS, SO IN THE FUTURE  
WHEN YOU GET NEW NOTIFICATIONS OF DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS IN YOUR  
DISTRICT, YOU MIGHT SEE THIS SPECIAL NOTICE SOONER.

AND THANK THE INDUSTRY FOLKS, PEOPLE FROM BUILDING  
INDUSTRY, GREATER LOUISVILLE ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS ALWAYS GET  
INVOLVED IN THESE KINDS OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CODE OF  
ORDINANCES AND THEY DO NOT OBJECT TO THIS, WHICH I'M PLEASED.

I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER?

>> YES, THANK YOU.

I KNOW WE HAVE MENTIONED BRIEFLY, COUNCILMEMBER COAN EARLIER THIS EVENING.

I GUESS I STILL HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF CONCERN WITH LOOKING AT 150.110 AND I MATCHED IT UP WITH THE ORDINANCE.

A LITTLE BIT OF CONCERN EVEN THOUGH EXPLAINED THE 50 YEARS OF AGE IS THE BENCHMARK UNDER THIS NEW ORDINANCE.

WE WOULD BE USING.

BECAUSE THAT'S THE NATIONAL STANDARD.

IT SEEMS A LITTLE MORE LIMITING GOING FROM A STRUCTURE 65 YEARS OF AGE DOWN TO 50 YEARS OF AGE.

AND THEN ALSO IN SECTION B, UNDER WRECKING PERMIT, THE OLD ORDINANCE, UNDER PLACES TO PERMIT SMALL BE ISSUED AT THE EXPIRATION OF A 30-DAY PERIOD.

YOUR ORDINANCE SAYS THE PERMIT MAY BE ISSUED WITHOUT REGARD TO THE 30-DAY PERIOD.

SO I JUST WANTED A LITTLE BIT OF CLARIFICATION BECAUSE THAT CONCERNS ME BECAUSE THAT MEANS UNDER THE OLD ORDINANCE, IT SHALL BE, OR IT WOULD BE ISSUED AND THIS GIVES LEEWAY, MEANING THAT IT MIGHT NOT BE ISSUED BY THE USE OF THE WORD MAY.

THEN I HAD CONCERN WITH THE SITE REDEVELOPMENT, WHICH WAS THE NEW ADD, UNDER D, THEY WOULD HAVE TO PROVIDE A DEVELOPMENT PLAN OR WAIT FOR TWO YEARS BEFORE THEY COULD DO ANYTHING WITH THEIR PARCEL.

I JUST KNOW WITH SOME BUILDING, IF THEY ARE GOING TO DEMOLISH, SOMETIMES THERE'S BETTER OPPORTUNITIES TO DEMOLISH THAN OTHERS AS FAR AS COSTS AND WHAT NOT.

THEY MAY LOSE OUT ON AN OPPORTUNITY TO DEMOLISH AND THEN TURN AROUND AND SIX MONTHS LATER WANT TO DO SOMETHING WITH A PROPERTY AND THEIR HANDS ARE TIED.

>> I'M HAPPY TO EXPLAIN ALL THOSE THINGS.

THE CHANGE BETWEEN 50 YEARS AND 65 YEARS, THAT'S NOT REALLY THE CRUX OF WHAT IMPOSES A BURDEN ON THE STRUCTURE BEING ABLE TO BE DEMOLISHED OR NOT.

THE REAL ISSUE IS WHETHER A STRUCTURE MEETS THE CRITERIA TO BE LISTED IN REGISTER OF HISTORICAL PLACES.

IF A BUILDING IS 49 YEARS OLD, WE DON'T TAKE A LOOK AT IT AT ALL AND YOU COULD APPLY FOR A DEMOLITION PERMIT AND YOU COULD GET IT THE NEXT DAY.

IF IT'S 65 YEARS OLD, AND IT DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES, WHAT HAPPENS IS OUR STAFF DOES A REVIEW WITHIN TWO WEEKS TO SEE IF IT QUALIFIES.

IT COULD BE 100 YEARS OLD AND THEY COULD SAY IT DOESN'T MEET THE STANDARDS AND YOU COULD KNOCK THAT 100-YEAR-OLD BUILDING DOWN THE NEXT DAY.

SO THE 50-65 YEAR IS A TRIGGER TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT IN THE FIRST PLACE.

IF IT DOESN'T MEET THE STANDARDS, THE NEW RESTRICTIONS DO NOT APPLY AT ALL.



AND SO THERE IN SECTION B WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT IT MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY NOT BE ISSUED, WHAT IT SAYS IS, UPON APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, DEFINED SUBSECTION A3 OR A4 AND ABOVE, THE BUILDINGS THAT ARE 50 YEARS, A METRO HISTORIC PROFESSIONAL SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER THE BUILDING OR STRUCTURE MEETS CRITERIA WITHIN 14 DAYS, IF IT'S DETERMINED IN WRITING THE BUILDING OR STRUCTURE DOESN'T MEET, IT MAY BE ISSUED WITHOUT REGARD TO THE 30-DAY PERIOD CERTIFICATION OR REQUIREMENTS AS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION.

THERE IS NO WAITING PERIOD.

>> WOULD IT BE BETTER TO BE WORDED, THE PERMIT SHALL BE ISSUED WITHOUT REGARD TO THE 30 DAY PERIOD?

>> I DON'T THINK IT'S MEANT TO BE.

I'M NOT OPPOSED TO MAKING THAT SAY "SHALL".

THAT'S WHAT THIS IS INTENDED TO DO.

INTEND A PRETTY CLEAR DISTINCTION EARLY IN THE PROCESS.

IT DESERVES A LITTLE MORE CARE AND WE ACKNOWLEDGE YOU COULD GO AHEAD WITH THIS, I'M FINE TO CHANGE THAT TO "SHALL".

>> OKAY, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO MAKE THAT AMENDMENT TO CHANGE THE "MAY" IN SECTION B TO "SHALL".

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A SECOND.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THAT? NONE? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE? OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.

COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> NOW TO RESPOND TO COUNCILMEMBER PARKER'S THIRD POINT.

WHAT HAPPENS IF THEY WANT TO DEMOLISH A BUILDING AND SOMETHING CHANGES AND THEY CAN'T DO ANYTHING ON IT FOR TWO YEARS.

THE INTENT OF PUSHING THE DEMOLITION UNTIL THE LAST MINUTE IS SORT OF CLEAR.

I THINK I'VE EXPLAINED THE REASON FOR THAT.

AS IN MOST LAWS, CREATIVE PEOPLE THAT ARE TRYING TO GET ALONG THE LAW CAN FIND A LOOPHOLE.

SO IN THIS CASE, YOU DON'T HAVE TO HAVE A DEVELOPMENT PLAN IF YOU ARE JUST GOING TO LEAVE A VACANT LOT IN ITS PLACE.

AND SO WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO AVOID HERE IN SECTION E, WITH THE SECTION LABELED SITE NOT TO BE REDEVELOPED, WHERE IT SAYS IF A BUILDING WHICH HISTORIC DEMOLITION IS PROPOSED IS NOT PROPOSED TO BE REDEVELOPED CONTEMPORANEOUS WITH THE DEMOLITION, THEN THERE'S THIS 2-YEAR RESTRICTION ON BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION.

THAT'S SO SOMEBODY DOESN'T MAKE AN APPLICATION TO SAY I'M APPLYING TO DEMOLISH THIS HISTORIC BUILDING BUT I WILL LEAVE IT A PLAIN GREEN LOT, SO THESE DON'T APPLY TO ME, ONLY TO

DEMOLISH THE LOT AND COME BACK TWO WEEKS LATER AND SAY I CHANGED MY MIND, NOW I WANT TO BUILD SOMETHING.

THIS IS A REALLY A PROVISION TO CATCH THE BAD ACTOR TRYING TO CHEAT THINGS.

I REALIZE NOT EVERYBODY IS A BAD ACTOR AND SOMETIMES CIRCUMSTANCES CHANGE AND YOU DON'T WANT TO HAVE A STRICT PUNISHMENT TO RESTRICT PEOPLE FROM DOING THINGS.

IN PAGE 3, SUBSECTION E3 A RELEASE VALVE WE CARVED OUT.

IT SAYS THE PLANNING COMMISSION MAY WAIVE IF IT FINDS SUBSTANTIAL LAND USE, ECONOMIC OR SOCIAL CHANGES AFFECTING THE PROPERTY HAVE OCCURRED IN THE INTERIM OR THE COMMUNITY WILL BENEFIT FROM THE WAIVER AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPERTY.

IN THIS CASE, FOR YOUR EXAMPLE, THE ONLY EXTRA BURDEN ON THE PROPERTY OWNER IS THEY WILL HAVE TO GO BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND SEEK A WAIVER FROM THAT RESTRICTION SAYING, LOOK, I WAS ACTING IN GOOD FAITH, EITHER SOMETHING HAS CHANGED, OR THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY THAT MAKES SENSE FOR THE COMMUNITY NOT TO HAVE TO WAIT THE REMAINING 18 MONTHS AND THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO MAKE A QUICK DETERMINATION WHETHER THEY DESERVE RELIEF OR NOT.

I HOPE THAT ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION AND SATISFIES IT.

>> NOT ENTIRELY.

BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU ARE MAKING THEM WAIT, IF THEY DECIDE, IF IT'S THEIR PROPERTY AND IT'S NOT HISTORIC AND THEY WANT TO DEMOLISH.

IT HAS TO BE HISTORIC.

>> IF IT'S NOT HISTORIC.

WHICH IS A DETERMINATION MADE RIGHT AT THE BEGINNING.

IF THE BUILDING WAS NOT QUALIFIED FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER FOR HISTORIC PLACES, THE PERMIT SHALL BE ISSUED IMMEDIATELY.

>> OKAY, SO IF THE PROPERTY IS HISTORIC, THEY COULD PUT ANOTHER STRUCTURE THERE.

BUT IT HAS TO MEET ALL OF THE QUALIFICATIONS OF LANDMARKS.

>> NO, NOT AT ALL.

IF SOMEONE WANTS TO PROPOSE TO DEMOLISH A 52-YEAR-OLD BUILDING, STAFF DETERMINES WOULD QUALIFY FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.

THAT PERSON IS FULLY ENTITLED TO DEMOLISH THAT BUILDING.

IF THAT HAPPENED TODAY, THE NOTIFICATION WOULD SAY THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN PROPOSED TO BE DEMOLISHED.

IN 30 DAYS THEY COULD DO IT, UNLESS SOMEONE FILES A LANDMARK PETITION.

THE ONLY CHANGE TO THIS LAW, IF YOU WANT TO DEMOLISH A 52-YEAR-OLD BUILDING, STAFF WOULD SAY IT WOULD QUALIFY FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER.

INSTEAD OF BEING ABLE TO KNOCK IT DOWN IMMEDIATELY AFTER DAY 31, YOU JUST HAVE TO SUBMIT YOUR DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO BUILD IN ITS PLACE NEEDS TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS AND BE APPROVED AND YOU HAVE TO GET YOUR FIRST BUILDING PERMIT TO SIGNAL YOU ARE MOVING FORWARD WITH THE CONSTRUCTION.

AND THEN ONCE YOU HAVE YOUR DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVED, WE KNOW WHAT YOU ARE BUILDING IN ITS PLACE, WE HAVE THE BUILDING PERMIT APPROVED, IT'S SHOVEL-READY, HERE IS THE DEMOLITION PERMIT.

>> OKAY, ALL RIGHT.

I WILL PONDER ON THAT FOR A MOMENT.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

AFTER HEARING THE DESCRIPTION I WANT TO FIRST START BY SAYING THANK YOU TO COUNCILMEMBER COAN, BECAUSE I THINK IT CERTAINLY IS AN IMPROVEMENT IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.

I ONLY HAVE ONE AREA THAT CONCERNS ME, THAT'S UNDER THE EXCEPTION IN PARAGRAPH F.

IN REGARDS TO THE FACT THAT WHAT WE HAVE ESTABLISHED  
HERE IS ACTUALLY A STRICTER REQUIREMENT FOR A PRIVATE PROPERTY  
OWNER, AND LESS STRICT REQUIREMENT.

THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT MECHANISMS THEY COULD BECOME  
OWNER OF A VARIETY OF PROPERTIES WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY.

IT CONCERNS ME BECAUSE I THINK BACK WHEN I SERVED ON  
THE LAND BANK AUTHORITY, WE WERE ABLE TO WORK COLLECTIVELY TO  
PREVENT THE ARBACHER MANSION FROM BEING DEMOLISHED AND I THINK  
THE PUBLIC HAS A RIGHT TO WEIGH IN, EVEN ON SOMETHING THAT METRO  
GOVERNMENT OWNS IF THEY THINK IT SHOULDN'T BE DEMOLISHED AND  
THEY ARE GIVEN AN AUTOMATIC EXCEPTION IN THIS PARTICULAR  
GUIDANCE.

AND I WOULD LIKE TO, IF YOU ARE OPEN TO IT, I WOULD  
LIKE TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT TO REMOVE THAT PARAGRAPH AND SAY THEY  
HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE SAME NOTIFICATION AS THE PUBLIC DOES.

OR PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNER WOULD.

>> ORIGINALLY WHEN I WAS WORKING WITH THE  
ADMINISTRATION THEY WANTED A BROAD RULE.

WE CARVED THAT BACK SOME.

AND IN OUR COMMITTEE DISCUSSIONS, COUNCILMEMBER  
LANSHIMA SAID THIS ARE STILL TOO MANY NOT HAVING TO GO THROUGH  
THIS PROCESS SO WE PEELED IT BACK.

WHAT YOU SEE IS PRETTY MUCH WHAT EXISTS TODAY, THE  
CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGEROUS SITUATION, CODES AND REGS AND FIRE.

IN TRYING TO BALANCE THE EXCEPTIONAL CHALLENGES AND PROBLEMS THAT ARE POSED BY WIDE SPREAD VACANT PROPERTIES IN PARTS OF OUR COMMUNITY WITH THE EXTRA BURDEN IMPOSED ON THIS, IN RECOGNIZING THAT THE LAND BANK AUTHORITY AND THE OFFICE FOR VACANT AND PUBLIC PROPERTIES ARE, IN THE LAND BANKS CASE THEY CONDUCT THEIR MEETINGS IN PUBLIC, AS THE MAYOR POINTED FORWARD IN THE COMMISSION, THAT THEY HAVE SOME OVERSIGHT BY THE GOVERNMENT.

BECAUSE VACANT PROPERTY PROBLEM.

I THINK THE ADMINISTRATION -- CONVINCED I SUPPOSE THAT'S WHAT EVERYBODY REALLY THINKS.

PLAY BY THE SAME RULES, THE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTY PROBLEM IS SO DAMAGING.

>> I AGREE IT'S PREVALENT BUT I STILL THINK THOSE NEIGHBORING PARCELS, SIMILAR TO WHAT WE DESCRIBED IN THE PREVIOUS PARAGRAPHS SHOULD HAVE TO MEET THE SAME RULES BECAUSE MAYBE THERE IS A NEIGHBOR OR THEY KNOW SOMETHING THAT THE GENERAL PUBLIC PERHAPS DOESN'T KNOW.

THEY NEED TO HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY TO STILL PETITION.

I CAN SEE EASILY WITH THIS PARAGRAPH HOW THERE MIGHT BE ABUSES THAT MIGHT BE ENSUED.

SO WITH THIS PARAGRAPH IN HERE, I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THIS.

BUT I WANT TO BECAUSE I BELIEVE YOU HAVE DONE A LOT OF GREAT WORK HERE, BUT I HAVE A PROBLEM WHEN WE MAKE EXCEPTIONS

FOR GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES THAT ARE DIFFERENT, I FEEL I HAVE SAID WHEN WE TALK ABOUT GRASS CUTTING.

IF WE MAKE CITATIONS THEN OUR GRASS SHOULDN'T BE TALLER THAN 12 INCHES.

SO I WILL STAND BY THAT SAME LINE OF REASONING AND THOUGHT AND I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO STRIKE PARAGRAPH C.

IF SOMEONE WANTS A SECOND AND WE VOTE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND.

FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION? COUNCILMEMBER COAN?

>> I GUESS I WILL SAY, I DON'T DISAGREE WITH YOU ABOUT, CLEARLY, WE SHOULD BE VIGILANT IN ALL CASES FOR ABUSE.

THAT'S THE REASON FOR, YOU KNOW, THE SORT OF LOOPHOLE PROVISION I WAS DISCUSSING WITH COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

YOU WANT TO DESIGN A SORT OF BULLET PROOF ORDINANCE THAT MEETS ALL YOUR PROBLEMS AND NO ONE CAN SNEAK THEIR WAY AROUND.

WHILE I CERTAINLY DON'T EXPECT THE LAND BANK AUTHORITY TO ABUSE WHAT AUTHORITY IS GRANTED TO THEM.

YOU ARE RIGHT, IT'S ALWAYS A POSSIBILITY.

FOR ME, IT'S A BALANCING ISSUE.

SO I WOULD OPPOSE YOUR MOTION, BUT CERTAINLY I'M GLAD IT'S ON THE TABLE FOR PEOPLE TO DISCUSS AND VOTE ON.

THAT'S WHAT WE ARE HERE FOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.



FURTHER DISCUSSION? I SEE COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA AND DENTON.

STAND BY.

LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

I HAD YOUR POSITION INITIALLY.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT GOVERNMENT SHOULD HAVE EXCEPTIONS EITHER.

I WAS PERSUADED BECAUSE OF SITUATIONS THAT ARE DIRE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO WAIT.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE BEST ANSWER IS GOING IT BE.

I KNOW THAT, JUST ELIMINATING, THERE HAS TO BE SOMETHING IN THE MIDDLE.

WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THAT THING IS.

>> CAN I RESPOND TO THAT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> OR MAY I RESPOND TO THAT? I GUESS MY THOUGHT IS, IF WE ALREADY HAVE SOMEONE ON STAFF TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION QUICKLY AND GRANT THE EXCEPTION BECAUSE IT DOESN'T MEET THE NATIONAL HISTORIC DESIGNATION, THEY COULD GET THEIR DEMOLITION PERMIT 24 HOURS IT SOUNDS LIKE BASED ON WHAT WE READ HERE, AS QUICK AS THEY MAKE A DESIGNATION TO SAY IT IS OR ISN'T WOULD DETERMINE ITS FATE, I DON'T KNOW WHY WE WOULD WANT TO SHORT CUT IT, ESPECIALLY WHEN WE KNOW MANY HOMES IN THIS STATE HAVE ALREADY TAKEN 18 MONTHS.

SO I'M NOT SURE WHAT 30 MORE DAYS WHEN WE ARE DEALING WITH SUCH A BULK OF THEM, WE AREN'T DEMOING THAT MANY EVERY SINGLE YEAR.

I DON'T KNOW 30 DAYS FOR THINGS THAT MIGHT BE AN EXCEPTION, THEY COULD SHORTEN THAT PERIOD, WITH THEIR RESOURCES, IT'S A PRIORITY.

THAT WOULD BE MY REPLY TO YOUR POSITION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WILL GO THROUGH YOU TO TALK TO THE SPONSOR, IF THERE'S THIS MUCH DISCUSSION AND QUESTION I HATE TO MAKE A DECISION ON THE FLY.

THIS HAS BEEN UP FOR MONTHS, AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I'VE HEARD THIS QUESTION.

DO YOU WANT TO GIVE IT MORE TIME IN COMMITTEE SO PEOPLE CAN ASK THEIR QUESTIONS AND WE CAN VOTE AND QUIT TRYING TO GUT IT?

>> DO I WANT TO SEND IT TO COMMITTEE TO TALK ABOUT SECTION F-1-C?

>> NO, ALL THESE QUESTIONS THAT ARE COMING UP.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED, IT WAS SITTING FOR SIX MONTHS AND NO ONE HAD ANY QUESTIONS THEN.

BUT I'M JUST SAYING.

>> I MEAN, NO, I DON'T WANT TO SEND IT BACK TO COMMITTEE, IT PASSED OUT 7-0 WITH UNANIMOUS SUPPORT FROM BOTH PARTIES AND INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES.

I DON'T THINK THAT COUNCILWOMAN LEET IS RAISING -- SHE IS RAISING A POLICY QUESTION.

EXCEPTIONS CARVED OUT FOR CODES AND REGS AND HEALTH AND FIRE IN SECTION A, OR DO YOU THINK THAT'S NOT A PROBLEM THAT RISES TO THE SAME LEVEL FOR CLEAR AND IMMEDIATE DANGER AND WE SHOULD STRIKE IT BECAUSE THE RISK OF ABUSE OUT WEIGHS THAT.

SO I WOULD RATHER HAVE PEOPLE DECIDE WHAT THEY THINK, I COULD LIVE WITH EITHER DETERMINATION, IF THAT'S THE ONLY HOLD UP I WOULD RATHER SEE THE OTHER CHANGES GO INTO EFFECT AND HELP US MOVE FORWARD WITH THE TOTAL REFORM IN THIS AREA, WHICH INCLUDES THE WRECKING ORDINANCE, LANDMARKS ORDINANCE AND OTHER THINGS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN DENTON.

>> JUST A QUESTION.

I GUESS THE QUESTION COMES BACK TO WHAT COUNCILWOMAN LEET SAID, LIKE WHAT IS GOOD FOR THE GOOSE IS GOOD FOR THE GANDER AND YOU ARE TRYING TO GET THESE VACANT PROPERTIES TURNED AROUND SO THEY AREN'T VACANT AND ABANDONED.

SO WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE LAND BANK AND VACANT AND PUBLIC PROPERTIES HAVING THESE PROPERTIES AND ABLE TO DEMOLISH AND A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL WHO WANTS TO GO IN AND BUY A PROPERTY THAT'S VACANT OR ABANDONED AND THEY WANT TO DEMOLISH

IT, THEY AREN'T GOING TO GET THAT KIND OF, SAME TYPE OF PROPERTY BUT TWO DIFFERENT ENTITIES ARE LOOKING TO ROWE VITALIZE THAT PROPERTY.

WHY WOULDN'T YOU WANT TO GIVE THAT SAME DEFERENCE TO THE PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL WHO WANTS TO DO THAT?

>> AGAIN --

>> IF THESE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES, IF YOU GAVE EXCEPTION TO ALL VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES, IT MIGHT BE FAIRER, BECAUSE YOU ARE GIVING VACANT PROPERTIES, AT THE METRO LEVEL, THAT KIND OF DEFERENCE AND I'M NOT AS FAMILIAR WITH THE LAND BANK TO KNOW EXACTLY HOW THEY OPERATE.

SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT A PRIVATE ANALOGY WOULD BE TO THAT.

>> THE POTENTIAL FOR ABUSE EXISTS TODAY WITH CODES AND REGS AND FIRE AND BOARD OF HEALTH AND ALL THESE OTHER AGENCIES WE ARE PUTTING AN IMPLICIT TRUST IN TO MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE.

SO JUST BY ELIMINATING THE ABILITY, MAKING VACANT PROPERTIES IN THE LAND BANK BECAUSE WE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT POTENTIAL FOR ABUSE, YOU COULD SAY CODES AND REGS HAVE TAKEN DOWN BUILDINGS THAT DON'T NEED TO BE TAKEN DOWN.

>> I'M NOT REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT A BOUS, BUT PARITY.

WE HEAR CONGRESS SHOULD ABIDE BY THE SAME RULES THEY IMPOSE ON THE REST OF US, OR LIVE BY THE SAME RULES WE DO, THIS

SEEMS TO SET THE SAME DYNAMIC THAT GOVERNMENT CAN DO WHAT THEY WANT BUT THE PRIVATE CITIZEN WILL HAVE TO JUMP THROUGH HOOPS.

THAT'S WHAT I'M HEARING HER SAY.

IF VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES ARE AT THE CORE OF WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO ADDRESS, I GUESS IT WOULD BE NICE TO INSERT, IF YOU ARE GOING TO KEEP IT, TO ALSO PUT IN ALL VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES, REGARDLESS WHO CONTROLS THEM, OR OWNS THEM, WHETHER A PUBLIC OR PRIVATE ENTITY, THAT'S OUR GOAL, IF THAT'S WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO ACHIEVE, I WOULD THINK YOU WOULD WANT TO EXPAND IT, IF YOU ARE GOING TO KEEP IT IN THERE.

>> I WOULD JUST SAY, THERE'S CLEARLY DISTINCTION BETWEEN A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL WHO PURCHASES OR ACQUIRES VACANT OR ABANDONED PROPERTY AND GOVERNMENT WHO HAS A CODIFIED MISSION GOVERNED BY PUBLIC OVERSIGHT IN DEALING WITH VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES.

I DON'T THINK IT'S AS EQUAL, OR UNEQUAL TREATMENT AND YOU ARE SORT OF PROPOSING.

>> BUT I DO THINK THERE'S A BIG DIFFERENCE IN WHO HAS A DOG IN THE FIGHT.

BECAUSE THE PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL WILL HAVE THE MONEY INVOLVED IN IT, THE INVESTMENT AT STAKE.

THE PUBLIC DOESN'T.

>> I WOULD JUST SAY I DON'T HAVE A LOT OF VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES IN MY DISTRICT.

>> NEITHER DO I.

>> SO I WOULD DEFER TO THE PEOPLE WHO DO TO DETERMINE HOW BIG OF A PROBLEM IT IS, AND WHETHER OR NOT IT RISES TO THE SAME LEVEL OF HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE CONCERNS, AS AN INDIVIDUALLY INSECURE BUILDING.

THIS IS A POLICY, I KNOW, IT'S LATE AND PEOPLE ARE TIRED BUT I THINK THIS IS A GOOD DISCUSSION.

I DON'T THINK THERE'S NECESSARILY A RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWER AND I WOULD DEFER TO THE WISDOM OF THE BODY ON THIS.

I'M NOT TRYING TO BE ARGUMENTATIVE.

I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN A VOTE ON COUNCILMEMBER LEET'S MOTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE ARE STILL IN DISCUSSION. COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

THE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES, WE JUST TALK ABOUT.

LOOK AT THIS, IF IT CATCHES ON FIRE, OR A FLOODING SITUATION AND IT BECOMES UNSAFE, WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING NOW IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT AGENCY WILL HAVE TO WAIT FOR 30 DAYS.

EVEN THOUGH THAT BUILDING IS UNSAFE.

SO THE EXCEPTION, THE WAY I LOOK AT IT, THE EXCEPTION ALLOWS THE GOVERNMENT AGENCY TO DEMOLITION THAT BUILDING.

THAT'S WHY I'M SAYING.

THEY HAVE TO APPLY AND GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS AND WAIT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU.

I SEE PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE.

HOWEVER, WE ARE STILL UNDER THE MOTION FOR  
COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

RAISE YOUR HAND TO TALK ABOUT THE MOTION.

THAT'S WHAT WE ARE GOING TO CALL ON FOR RIGHT NOW.

COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I DON'T THINK IT DOES WHAT COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA  
SUGGESTED AS WELL.

IF THERE'S FIRE OR HEALTH RISK THAT'S IN THERE IN  
ANOTHER DIRECTION.

THAT DOESN'T MATTER IF YOU ARE GOVERNMENT OR PRIVATE  
CITIZEN, IT'S THE SAME EXEMPTION.

IF IT'S DETERMINED TO BE A HEALTH HAZARD, THE  
EXEMPTION SAYS YOU COULD TEAR IT DOWN, RIGHT?

>> RIGHT.

WELL THE GOVERNMENT DETERMINES IT'S A HEALTH HAZARD.

>> COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA SAID IF THERE'S A FIRE OR  
IT'S FALLING DOWN.

SO FROM MY PERSPECTIVE AND THE REASON I WANTED TO  
WEIGH IN, I APPRECIATE VERY MUCH WHERE YOU ARE COMING FROM, THIS  
IS A GOOD CONVERSATION TO HAVE.

I DON'T THINK IT'S EXCLUSIVELY ABOUT ABANDONED PROPERTIES.

THE LAND BANK DOESN'T NECESSARILY HOLD VACANT PROPERTIES OR NECESSARILY ABANDONED.

WE HAVE TWO PROPERTIES RIGHT NEXT DOOR, ONE IS OWNED BY THE GOVERNMENT AND ONE IS OWNED BY THE PRIVATE CITIZEN, THE GOVERNMENT GETS TO MAKE DECISIONS ABOUT THEIR PROPERTY AND THE PRIVATE CITIZEN DOES NOT.

THAT EXEMPTION ALLOWS THAT VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES AND THE LAND BANK GET TO DECIDE WHAT TO DO WITH, WITHOUT GOING THROUGH THE SAME PROCESS, JOHN Q PUBLIC DOES.

I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT ABUSES AND ALL THAT.

IT'S MORE JUST IF THE LAND BANK GETS TO DECIDE IT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COMMUNITY TO TEAR THIS DOWN AND THEY CAN JUST DO IT, BUT A PRIVATE CITIZEN WHO OWNS THE PROPERTY RIGHT NEXT DOOR, MAKING THE EXACT SAME DECISION FOR ALL THE RIGHT REASONS IN THE SAME WAY THE LAND BANK DID, BUT THE PRIVATE CITIZEN HAS TO WAIT, MARKETS CHANGE AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

IT PUTS THE PRIVATE CITIZEN, I THINK, AT A DISADVANTAGE.

I DON'T SEE A REASON WHY STRIKING THIS IS A HUGE PROBLEM.

I JUST DON'T.

I THINK THE LAND BANK WANTS TO DO IT.



IF WE REALLY VALUE, I WILL PLAY THE DEVIL'S ADVOCATE  
HERE, I KNOW THE REASON WE ARE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION, THERE  
ARE HISTORIC BUILDS BEING TORN DOWN AND FOLKS DON'T WANT THAT TO  
HAPPEN.

IT SHOULDN'T MATTER WHO IS TEARING IT DOWN.

IF WE REALLY VALUE THE HISTORIC PROPERTY, IT SHOULDN'T  
MATTER IF IT GOT TORN DOWN BY A RUTHLESS DEVELOPER, OR THE LAND  
BANK.

I MEAN, IF WE ARE SERIOUS ABOUT THAT.

I THINK COUNCILWOMAN LEET IS RIGHT AND WE SHOULD  
SCRATCH THAT ONE LINE PARAGRAPH AND WE SHOULD ALL VOTE YES,  
BECAUSE I RECOGNIZE THE WORK YOU HAVE DONE, AND I APPRECIATE  
IT'S A MUCH BETTER OPTION THAN WHAT WE HAD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER COAN?

>> I GUESS I WILL JUST SAY, I'M NOT OPPOSED TO  
STRIKING SECTION C.

IT WOULD JUST MAKE ME FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE IF PEOPLE  
WHO ARE REALLY DEALING WITH VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTY  
PROBLEM, IN THE HUNDREDS AND THOUSANDS IN THEIR DISTRICT, SIGNAL  
TO ME THAT'S NOT A PROBLEM FOR THAT.

FOR THOSE SAME FOLKS I WOULD ASK THE SAME QUESTION  
THEN.

IF YOU ARE DEALING WITH VACANT AND ABANDONED  
PROPERTIES IN YOUR DISTRICT, AND FOR MANY OF US IT IS, DO YOU  
REALLY CARE IF IT'S THE GOVERNMENT THAT'S FIXING THE PROBLEM OR

IF IT'S THE PRIVATE SECTOR? I WOULD THINK YOU WANT THOSE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES TAKEN CARE OF, SO WHATEVER SYSTEM WORKS TO DO THAT, I'M HOPEFUL THEY WOULD BE CONSISTENT AND RECOGNIZE THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE CITIZEN AND THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD PLAY ON A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND TO COUNCILMEMBER COAN'S QUESTION TO SOME COUNCILMEMBERS WHO HAVE A LOT OF THESE VACANT AND ABANDONED STRUCTURES AND PROPERTIES IN THEIR DISTRICT, I WILL SPEAK ON THAT.

THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF CONFUSION AND A LOT OF NUMBERS IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS THAT HAVE BEEN TOSSED AROUND ABOUT HOW MANY VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES AND STRUCTURES WE HAVE IN JEFFERSON COUNTY.

I SAW AN OFFICIAL REPORT PRESENTED BY LAURA GRABOWSKI AT A COMMUNITY MEETING THAT COUNCILWOMAN CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON HOSTED MONTHS AGO.

AND DURING THAT MEETING I BELIEVE IT WAS REPORTED 4,723.

VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES AND STRUCTURES IN OUR COMMUNITY.

THE VAST MAJORITY OF THOSE ARE IN DISTRICT 5.

I THINK THE SECOND MOST OF THOSE ARE IN DISTRICT 1.

AND THE THIRD LARGEST NUMBER IS IN DISTRICT 4, WHERE I RESIDE.

SO MY COMMENTS ABOUT THIS, I COMMEND YOU COUNCILWOMAN  
LEET FOR BRINGING UP THIS CONVERSATION AND TALKING ABOUT IT.

I WANT TO BE ON RECORD SAYING, I THINK THE GOVERNMENT  
SHOULD BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE TO ALL THE SAME STANDARDS, POLICIES  
AND PROCEDURES WE EXPECT OUR PRIVATE CITIZENS TO BE HELD TO.

I AGREE COMPLETELY WITH THAT.

THAT IS BASIC COMMON SENSE, FOR ME.

WE ALL MAY HAVE DIFFERENT VIEWS AND THAT'S WHY WE ARE  
ALL HERE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION.

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HEAR FROM COUNCILMAN COAN, AS HE  
THINKS ABOUT THIS POSSIBLE MOTION HOW WILL THIS IMPACT A  
REAL-LIFE SITUATION OF THE GREAT WORK THE VACANT AND ABANDONED  
PROPERTIES FOLKS ARE DOING BY BRINGING DOWN THESE STRUCTURES?  
BECAUSE OUR DEMOLITION ACTIVITY HAS DRASTICALLY INCREASED.

THAT'S A POSITIVE.

THROUGH THE LAND BANK AUTHORITY IN THE LAST YEAR.

THE LAST THING I WANT TO HAVE HAPPEN AS A RESULT OF  
TRYING TO VOTE FOR MY BELIEF THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE HELD  
ACCOUNTABLE TO THE SAME STANDARDS THE PRIVATE CITIZENS ARE, BUT  
I DO NOT WANT TO SLOW DOWN THE GREAT WORK WE ARE SEEING ACROSS  
OUR COUNTRY.

I'M NOT CLEAR YET IN THIS DISCUSSION TONIGHT AS TO  
WHAT WILL HAPPEN WITH THAT.

I SURE DON'T WANT THE STRUCTURES THAT WILL COME DOWN,  
ON THE DEMOLITION LIST GET CAUGHT UP IN SOMETHING AND GET CAUGHT  
UP IN A PROCESS.

WE ALL KNOW PROCESS COULD BE GREAT AND IT CAN KILL US.  
IT COULD BE THE DEATH OF A GREAT IDEA IF WE'RE NOT  
CAREFUL.

DO YOU HAVE AN IDEA? HAVE YOU FRAMED IT IN YOUR MIND  
IN THE LAST 20 MINUTES OR SO, IF THIS CHANGE, IF WE WERE TO VOTE  
FOR IT, WHAT WOULD THAT DO TO OUR VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTY  
DEMOLITION PROCESS WE HAVE IN PLACE NOW?

>> IT WOULDN'T SLOW IT DOWN AT ALL.

OF COURSE IT WOULD ONLY SPEED IT UP.

THE PROPOSED REASON FOR TREATING IT DIFFERENTLY IS  
OFTEN TIMES AS A STRATEGY FOR VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTY  
REMEDICATION THEY ARE CONVERTING TO GREEN FIELD.

THEY NEED TO GET GREEN SPACE.

THEY DON'T HAVE A DEVELOPMENT PLAN.

THEY AREN'T READY TO BUILD ANYTHING IN ITS PLACE.

BUT THEY ARE ACQUIRING MOSTLY, NOT JUST INDIVIDUAL  
PARCELS, LAND BANKING.

THAT'S WHAT THE LAND BANK IS, THEY ARE TRYING TO  
ACQUIRE SEVERAL LARGE PARCELS SO WHEN THEY DO REDEVELOP IT, THEY  
CAN PUT SOMETHING IN ITS PLACE THAT'S USEFUL.

BUT IN THE MEANWHILE, NOT LETTING THEM TAKE THE ONE OR  
TWO DOWN, WHERE THEY CAN DO THINGS INSIDE THAT'S A CRIME OR A

BLIGHT ON THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THAT'S THE REASON FOR WANTING TO -- THAT'S THE REASON WHY A RULE THAT WOULD REQUIRE DEVELOPMENT PLAN BEFORE YOU TAKE IT DOWN WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO THEM.

DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

IT DOESN'T SIT TOO WELL WITH ME, I SAT IN I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY MEETINGS DISCUSSING THIS FOR THE LAST SIX MONTHS, I THINK.

BUT THE PEOPLE I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM BEFORE DECIDING HOW TO VOTE ON COUNCILMEMBER LEET'S AMENDMENT ARE THE LOCAL JEFFERSON COUNTY LAND BANK AUTHORITY AND OFFICE OF VACANT AND PUBLIC PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION WHO COULD TELL US, WHAT THEY THINK TAKING THIS OUT OR LEAVING THIS IN WOULD DO TO THE GREAT WORK THEY ARE DOING.

WE MAY DECIDE WE DON'T CARE, WE STILL THINK THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD HAVE THE SAME RULES AS EVERYBODY ELSE AND THAT'S FINE.

BUT AT LEAST I KNOW I'M MAKING A MORE INFORMED DECISION.

I DON'T KNOW IF I COULD MAKE A MOTION, IF THERE'S A MOTION PENDING, I CAN.

I THINK THE BEST THING IS TO SEND THIS BACK TO COMMITTEE AND HEAR FROM THESE TWO ORGANIZATIONS AS TO WHY THIS IS GOOD OR BAD TO HAVE IN THIS ORDINANCE.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

WE WILL LISTEN TO COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER AND GET BACK TO YOU COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER ON YOUR MOTION.

>> I APPRECIATE THE THOUGHT THERE, AND I THINK COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER TRIED TO MAKE A CLEAR POSITION SO I WOULDN'T FEEL THE NEED TO RESPOND BUT, I WOULD JUST AGAIN POINT OUT THAT, THE LAND BANK AND VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES, METRO ORGANIZATIONS, THEY AREN'T THE ONLY ONES MAKING SINCERE EFFORTS IN DEALING WITH THIS AS A PROBLEM.

THERE ARE FOLKS IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN THE SAME OUT COMES AND FOR THE SAME REASONS.

IF IT'S APPROPRIATE THAT THE LAND BANK AND METRO GOVERNMENT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DO THIS, IT JUST SEEMS REASONABLE THAT OTHER PEOPLE WITH LIKE MIND AND LIKE GOALS SHOULD HAVE THAT SAME OPPORTUNITY.

IF WE ARE GOING TO PULL THIS OFF AND HAVE FOLKS COME IN FROM THE GOVERNMENT TO SPEAK TO THIS, I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A SIMILAR ORGANIZATION OF FOLKS WHO WOULD REPRESENT THE PRIVATE SECTOR WHO WOULD COME IN AND EXPLAIN IN THE SAME WAY WHY THIS WOULD BE A BARRIER TO THEIR ABILITY TO HELP WITH THE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES.

BUT I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND WHERE COUNCILMEMBER COAN IS COMING FROM.

SO I WILL MAKE A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT PROPOSAL.

PERHAPS WE COULD VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT.

PENDING WHAT HAPPENS IN COMMITTEE, WE COULD ALWAYS PICK IT UP THERE, THAT'S JUST A SUGGESTION.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: LET'S DEAL WITH, THERE'S A MOTION TO SEND IT BACK TO COMMITTEE BY COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> MY MOTION IS ON THE FLOOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOUR MOTION IS ON THE FLOOR, HOWEVER THE MOTION TO SEND IT BACK TO COMMITTEE IS IN ORDER.

BUT I NEED A SECOND ON IT.

WE HAVE A SECOND.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER COAN.

>> I JUST WANT TO SAY ONE THING.

NUMBER ONE, I APPRECIATE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE AND STAYED HERE TO DEBATE THIS ISSUE.

NO ONE WANTS TO STAY LATE.

EVERYONE IS HUNGRY AND TIRED.

BUT YOU KNOW, THIS IS OUR JOB TO DEAL WITH THESE ISSUES BECAUSE THERE'S PROBLEMS WE ARE TRYING TO SOLVE.

I WORKED LONG AND HARD ON THIS FOR A LONG TIME AND WE ARE GETTING CLOSE TO THE END.

I DON'T HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT THE PEOPLE HERE WILL COME TO THE COMMITTEE WILL COME AND ASK US FOR MORE INFORMATION.

IF YOU WANT TO DISCUSS THIS MORE, I'M FINE.

I VALUE THE RIGHT DECISION, OR THE BETTER DECISION, OVER GETTING IT DONE TODAY AND CHECKING IT OFF THE LIST.

BUT, YOU KNOW, I URGE YOU TO ASK YOUR COLLEAGUES WHO EITHER WENT HOME OR HAD SOMETHING ELSE TO DO, OR DIDN'T WANT TO DEAL WITH THIS FOR WHATEVER REASON TO COME PREPARED NEXT TIME.

I DON'T THINK THAT'S TOO MUCH TO ASK.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> I WOULD SUGGEST WHAT COUNCILMEMBER COAN SAID, IF PEOPLE ARE PASSIONATE ABOUT THIS, AS WE ALL ARE, PLEASE COME TO THE COMMITTEE MEETING.

THE PARTS OF THIS I REALLY LIKE, THERE ARE A FEW PARTS I DON'T LIKE.

BUT I RECOGNIZE COUNCILMEMBER COAN HAS SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THIS, AND I THINK WE OWE IT TO HIM, THOSE WHO HAVE ISSUES, CONCERNS, ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS, COME TO THE COMMITTEE AND LET'S HEAR IT THEN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE, I WAS ARGUING SECTION F, SUBSECTION A TO COUNCILMAN KRAMER.



I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH YOU, COUNCILMEMBER LEET AND SEXTON SMITH AND DENTON FOR POINTING THAT OUT TO ME.

THAT WAS MY POSITION INITIALLY.

I MADE IT CLEAR DURING THE COMMITTEE MEETING THE GOVERNMENT HAS BROUGHT POWER TO DEMOLITION TO DO WHAT THEY WANT.

I THINK STRIKING THAT OUT IS IN ORDER.

THANK YOU.

>> I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SUPPORT, AS I SAID AT THE BEGINNING, I APPRECIATE THE WORK COUNCILMEMBER COAN HAS DONE.

I FEEL WHEN I LOOK AT THE OTHER WORK HE HAS ALREADY PUT IN PLACE IN PARAGRAPHS B AND C AND SECTION A, IT MAKES IT EASY IF METRO GOVERNMENT WANTS TO PRIORITIZE, THEY USE RESOURCES TO MAKE A DESIGNATION OR NOT MAKE A DESIGNATION AND IT ONLY GOES THROUGH ANOTHER PROCESS SHOULD IT QUALIFY FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.

I WOULD QUESTION, AND I'M SURE COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH PROBABLY KNOWS HOW MANY, OR MY OTHER COLLEAGUES IN THE OTHER DIVISIONS MOST LIKELY IMPACTED PROBABLY HAVE A GOOD IDEA HOW MANY DEMOLITIONS WE ARE ACCOMPLISHING PER YEAR.

CAN SOMEBODY TELL ME PER YEAR? IF IT'S 200, IF WE HAVE 4,000 IN QUEUE, IF WE ARE SLIGHTLY MORE PROACTIVE, THERE'S NO STOPPAGE TRYING TO MOVE FORWARD ON THESE OTHER PROPERTIES.

IT DOESN'T HAVE TO SLOW ANYTHING DOWN.

IT JUST REQUIRES US TO PLAN AHEAD.

I WOULD LIKE US TO VOTE ON THE WHOLE THING TONIGHT,  
BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE HE HAS WORKED EXTREMELY HARD AND THERE WAS  
NO INTENTION OF TRYING TO SLOW THIS UP.

WE ARE ALL HERE TONIGHT, I FEEL WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO  
MAKE THE DECISION TONIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF SENDING THIS BACK TO COMMITTEE  
SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED.

MR. CLERK, COULD YOU PLEASE PUT IT UP ON THE BOARD?  
VOTING IS CLOSING.

VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: THERE ARE 9 YES VOTES, 10 NO VOTES AND 7 NOT  
VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

WE ARE STILL DEBATING.

THE LAST I HEARD IS COUNCILMAN LEET'S AMENDMENT.

IS EVERYBODY -- COUNCILMEMBER DENTON.

>> I JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR, UNLESS I'M CONFUSED AND  
NOT UNDERSTANDING THIS, THIS DOES CHANGE WHAT WE ARE CURRENTLY  
DOING WITH REGARD TO THE LAND BANK AND VACANT PROPERTIES.

SO IF THEY ARE MOVING AT A NICE CLIP AND YOU ARE  
SEEING MORE DEMOLITION OF THOSE PROPERTIES, IF YOU TAKE C OUT,  
IT DOESN'T IMPEDE IT ANY MORE THAN IT IS NOW.

IT STAYS EXACTLY THE SAME.

>> CORRECT.

>> BECAUSE THEY ARE UNDER WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE, ALL PRIVATE CITIZENS ARE UNDER NOW.

IF C STAYS IN THERE, THE GOVERNMENT GETS THE ADVANTAGE.

THEY GET THE BYPASS, GET OUT OF JAIL FREE CARD, PASS GO AND COLLECT \$200 ESSENTIALLY.

THEY GET TO SHORT CUT THE PROCESS WHEREAS THE PRIVATE CITIZEN NEXT DOOR DOES NOT.

THEY ARE MOVING AT A NICE CLIP, AS YOU SAID.

TAKING C OUT DOESN'T CHANGE THIS AT ALL.

IT DOESN'T CHANGE ANYTHING FROM WHAT THEY ARE DOING TODAY.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT CLEAR.

UNLESS I MISUNDERSTOOD WHAT YOU SAID.

I AGREE WITH WHAT COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER IS SAYING.

THE PRIVATE CITIZEN WHO IS INTERESTED IN THOSE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES AND REVITALIZING THEM SHOULD HAVE THE SAME OPPORTUNITY AND PLAY BY THE SAME RULES AS GOVERNMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER COAN?

>> THIS IS DESIGNED ORIGINALLY AS AN ORDINANCE MEANT TO ACCOMPLISH A PRESERVATION ORDINANCE.

IT'S A PRESERVATION ORDINANCE FIRST.

IT'S NOT A LAND BANK ORDINANCE FIRST.

I'M NOT TRYING TO SOLVE A LAND BANK PROBLEM.

BUT IN TRYING TO ADDRESS THE LAND BANK QUESTION, THE ISSUE IS THIS, ALL THESE POLICY CHOICES WE ARE BALANCING TWO THINGS AND DECIDING WHAT WORKS.

THE QUESTION IS, SO FAR AS I SEE IT, FOR C, FOR EVERYBODY, AND I RESPECT EVERYBODY'S OPINION.

IS THERE INTEREST IN ASSEMBLING LARGE PARCELS OF LAND WHERE THERE ARE MANY VACANT PROPERTIES IN THE SAME AREA MORE SPEEDILY TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF VACANT PROPERTIES WHERE BAD THINGS ARE HAPPENING IN ORDER TO TURN IT OVER ON THE MARKET QUICKER, DOES THAT INTEREST COMPELLING OUT WEIGH THE PRESERVATION INTEREST.

I WROTE THIS TO PRESERVE BUILDINGS.

SO FOR ME TO SAY I THINK THAT INTEREST IS EVEN MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE PRESERVATION INTEREST, IN THIS CONTEXT, THAT'S THE CHOICE I'M MAKING.

SO IF YOU THINK OTHERWISE, NO.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION WHICH WE FIGHT FOR, IF THAT'S MORE THAN IMPORTANT THAN VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES PLEASE VOTE FOR THAT.

I MIGHT QUESTION WHETHER OR NOT IT WILL CAUSE MORE DAMAGE IN OUR COMMUNITIES SUFFERING FROM VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES, BUT I'M HAPPY TO VOTE ON IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> JUST A POINT OF CLARIFICATION.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S A SECOND ON THIS MOTION.

HAVE YOU RULED THERE'S A SECOND?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> THANK YOU, THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

ANY OTHER DISCUSSION BEFORE WE VOTE ON THIS? CAN YOU  
RESTATE WHAT YOUR MOTION IS SO EVERYBODY IS CLEAR WHAT THEY ARE  
VOTING ON.

COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

HANG ON ONE SECOND.

AS SOON AS SHE DOES THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES, THE MOTION IS SIMPLY FROM  
PARAGRAPH S, OR SECTION S, I'M NOT A LAWYER, PARAGRAPH 1,  
SUBSECTION C, STRIKE THE WORDS "TO ANY DEMOLITION DIRECTED BY  
THE LOUISVILLE AND JEFFERSON COUNTY LAND BANK AUTHORITY  
INCORPORATED OR LOUISVILLE OFFICE OF VACANT AND ABANDONED PUBLIC  
PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION".

WE WOULD STRIKE SUBPARAGRAPH C.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

STAND BY ONE SECOND, COUNCILMEMBER.

THE COUNTY ATTORNEY WANTED TO ADD SOMETHING QUICKLY.

>> YES.

COUNCILMEMBER COAN'S FLOOR AMENDMENT, I WANT TO MAKE  
SURE IT INCLUDES DELETION OF CODES AND REGULATIONS, THAT'S  
REDUNDANT.

>> YES.

THIS IS A SMALL CLERICAL ERROR IN COMMITTEE.

SECTION F-1-A.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD SAID THAT CODES AND REGS HAD THE ABILITY TO DO THAT.

IT'S JUST A REDUNDANCY, I'M INTENDING FOR CODES AND REGULATIONS TO BE STRUCK.

>> I THOUGHT IT WAS IN THE SYSTEM LIKE THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE WILL COME BACK TO THAT.

COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> I WOULD JUST REITERATE.

WE ARE BALANCING.

JUST REITERATE MAY DO EXACTLY THE SAME THING.

SO THOSE ARE MY COLLEAGUES WHO ARE ACCEPTING YOUR RESPONSE THAT WE ARE TRYING TO BALANCE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES WITH HISTORICAL CONTEXT.

IF YOU HAD A LARGE ORGANIZATION LIKE ONE WEST WHO WAS DOING EXACTLY THE SAME THING FOR ALL THE RIGHT REASONS WITH VALID MOTIVATIONS, WOULD THEY BE EXPECTED TO FOLLOW A DIFFERENT SET OF STANDARDS?

>> MAYBE THE QUESTION IS ABOUT DIRECTED.

THE WORD DIRECTED BY.

SOMETIMES THE APPLICANT AND THE OWNER ARE DIFFERENT.

I DON'T SEE ANY REASON WHY THE LAND BANK AUTHORITY  
COULDN'T DIRECT THE DEMOLITION OF PROPERTIES THAT ARE OWNED BY  
ONE WEST.

>> OR ANY OTHER PRIVATE ENTITY.

>> YES.

>> DO YOU WANT TO ANSWER THAT, PAUL?

>> I'M CONCERNED.

I'M NOT SURE LAND BANK WOULD HAVE THAT AUTHORITY,  
WHICH PROPERTY THEY OWNED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER DENTON.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY  
COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

ALL RIGHT.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT, PLEASE SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED? MR. CLERK, COULD YOU PLEASE PUT IT ON THE  
BOARD.

>> CLERK: 10 YES VOTES, 9 NO VOTES AND 7 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE AMENDMENT PASSES.

ALL RIGHT.

NOW WE ARE BACK TO THE DISCUSSION AND EVERYBODY THAT'S  
IN THE QUEUE ON THE MAIN ORDINANCE.

COUNCILMEMBER DENTON.

>> NOW THAT WE HAVE CHANGED THAT AND THERE'S BEEN SOME OTHER CHANGES, THE ONLY CONCERN I HAD 50 YEARS MAYBE BECAUSE I'M WAY PAST IT, I FEEL THAT'S PRETTY YOUNG.

[CHUCKLES] I MEAN, COME ON, GUYS.

I DO THINK THAT THROWING THE NET A LITTLE BROADER AND THAT JUST GETS 15 MORE YEARS OF OPPORTUNITY, BUT BECAUSE WE MADE THESE OTHER CHANGES I WILL BE VOTING NO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

MR. WE GO ANY FURTHER, I WANT TO GO OUT OF LINE IN THE QUEUE SO THE TECHNICAL AMENDMENT COUNCILMEMBER COAN SPOKE OF COULD BE HANDLED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I APOLOGIZE.

THE TECHNICAL AMENDMENT IS TO STRIKE, AND IT'S IN DOUBLE STRIKE AND UNDERLINED IN EVERYBODY'S VERSION THEY ARE LOOKING AT IN THE SYSTEM.

SECTION F-1-A, CODES AND REGULATIONS IS SPELLED OUT AND IT'S ALREADY REFERENCED SO IT'S REDUNDANT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A SECOND.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THANK YOU.

WE ARE BACK IN THE QUEUE, COUNCILMEMBER WOOLRIDGE.

>> CALL THE QUESTION, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SORRY, I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.

>> I CALL THE QUESTION.



>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

ALL IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION, SAY AYE.

OPPOSED? THE AYE'S HAVE IT.

OKAY.

WE ARE AT THAT POINT OF THE AMENDED ORDINANCE THAT WAS  
WORKED ON SO HARD BY EVERYBODY HERE.

WE HAD A REALLY GOOD DEBATE.

HONESTLY.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER COAN FOR YOUR EFFORT AND  
WORK.

MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING ON THE AMENDED  
ORDINANCE.

VOTING IS CLOSING.

VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> CLERK: 18 YES VOTES, 1 NO VOTE AND 7 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

CONGRATULATIONS.

ALL RIGHT.

>> THANK YOU.

SINCERELY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

AND JUST FOR EVERYBODY THAT'S WATCHING AT HOME, I WANT  
EVERYBODY TO KNOW YESTERDAY WAS COUNCILMEMBER LEET'S BIRTHDAY.

IT'S HER BIRTHDAY, YESTERDAY.

CAN WE SING HAPPY BIRTHDAY TO HER? I CAN'T SING.

ALL RIGHT.

[SINGING "HAPPY BIRTHDAY."]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER LEET,  
CONGRATULATIONS ON YOUR 29th BIRTHDAY.

NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS. AS YOU LEAVE  
THE CHAMBERS, PLEASE, PLEASE DO SO QUIETLY SO THE CLERK MAY READ  
NEW BUSINESS. I WOULD ASK THOSE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WISH TO MAKE  
ANNOUNCEMENTS TO PLEASE REMAIN IN THE CHAMBERS AND REQUEST TO  
SPEAK ON YOUR SYSTEM UNDER ANNOUNCEMENTS. NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES  
OF ITEMS 45-70.

PLEASE READ THOSE LEGISLATION AND THEIR ASSIGNMENTS TO  
COMMITTEE.

>> MR. CLERK: THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE  
ASSIGNED TO THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$6,250 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD  
DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$1000 EACH FROM  
DISTRICTS 4, 2, 9, 12, 20, AND 22; \$250 FROM DISTRICT 13;  
THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO THE WATERFRONT  
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LOUISVILLE  
WATERFRONT 4TH OF JULY.

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$9,700 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD  
DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$3,500 FROM DISTRICT  
4; \$1,500 FROM DISTRICT 3; \$1,000 FROM DISTRICT 1; \$750 FROM  
DISTRICT 6; \$500 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 5, 21, AND 22; \$250 EACH

FROM DISTRICTS 9, 13, 15, 24, AND 26; AND \$200 FROM DISTRICT 7; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO SOWING SEEDS WITH FAITH INC FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SOWING SEEDS WITH FAITH ACADEMIC ENRICHMENT SUMMER CAMP.

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$6,400 IN NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$6,400 FROM DISTRICT 21 TO METRO PARKS FOR SCHOLARSHIPS TO THE 2018 BEECHMONT COMMUNITY CENTER SUMMER CAMP.

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$37,000 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$30,000 FROM DISTRICT 8; \$6,000 FROM DISTRICT 26; AND \$1,000 FROM DISTRICT 9; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO DEVELOP LOUISVILLE, OFFICE OF ADVANCED PLANNING, FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO DEVELOPING A NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN FOR THE BOWMAN FIELD AREA.

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,000 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$10,000 FROM DISTRICT 5, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO THE AFRICAN AMERICAN HERITAGE FOUNDATION, INC. FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO THE KENTUCKY CENTER FOR AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE'S "KIN KILLIN' KIN" ART EXHIBIT.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT A DONATION OF FURNITURE FROM APPRISS WITH AN ESTIMATED VALUE OF

\$485,860 TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FACILITIES AND FLEET MANAGEMENT.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 112, SERIES 2006 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2006-07 CAPITAL BUDGET AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 141, SERIES 2011 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 CAPITAL BUDGET AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 202, SERIES 2014 AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 115, SERIES 2017 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT BY REALLOCATING \$773,186.04 OF FAIRDALE ROUNDABOUT CAPITAL FUNDS TO JEFFERSON MEMORIAL FOREST-TOM WALLACE IMPROVEMENT AND FAIRDALE VILLAGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 115, SERIES 2017 AND ORDINANCE NO. 114, SERIES 2017 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGETS, RESPECTIVELY, BY TRANSFERRING \$5,000 FROM THE CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND (DISTRICT 6) TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND (DISTRICT 6).

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE HEALTH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT DONATIONS TOTALING \$25,000 FROM BAPTIST HEALTH, NORTON HEALTHCARE, AND THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE HOSPITAL FOR THE LOUISVILLE 2018 COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS ASSESSMENT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH & WELLNESS.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE LABOR, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND CONTRACTS COMMITTEE.

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF THE LOUISVILLE AND JEFFERSON COUNTY METROPOLITAN SEWER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF THE DISTRICT'S SEWER AND DRAINAGE SYSTEM SUBORDINATED PROGRAM NOTES IN THE MAXIMUM OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$500,000,000, CONSISTING OF COMMERCIAL PAPER NOTES AND DIRECT PURCHASE NOTES FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING ALL OR A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION OR CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS, ADDITIONS OR EXTENSIONS FOR THE DISTRICT'S SEWER AND DRAINAGE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CAPITAL ASSETS AND FACILITIES INCIDENT AND RELATED TO THEIR OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND ADMINISTRATION; AND PROVIDING FOR THE SALE OF SAID NOTES.

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE GRANTING OF LOCAL INCENTIVES TO 3 TEN ENTERPRISES, LLC, DBA 310 TEMPERING, AND ANY SUBSEQUENT ASSIGNEES OR APPROVED AFFILIATES THEREOF PURSUANT TO KRS CHAPTER 154, SUBCHAPTER 32.

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT - (UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC. - \$84,000.00).

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT - (GRAY AND PAPE, INC. - \$60,000.00).

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT - (LINKEDIN CORPORATION, - \$50,000.00).

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE GRANTING OF LOCAL INCENTIVES TO DIVERSIVED CONSULTANTS, INC. AND ANY SUBSEQUENT ASSIGNEES OR APPROVED AFFILIATES THEREOF PURSUANT TO KRS CHAPTER 154, SUBCHAPTER 32.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE PLANNING, ZONING, AND ANNEXATION COMMITTEE.

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM M-3 INDUSTRIAL TO C-3 COMMERCIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 325 W. MAIN STREET CONTAINING 0.6 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1019).

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-2 AND R-5 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY DISTRICTS TO OR-3 OFFICE/ RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2944 BRECKENRIDGE LANE CONTAINING 3.93 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 17ZONE1054).

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-5A RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY TO PRD PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6110 GOALBY DRIVE CONTAINING 3.40 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1011).

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM U-N URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD TO C-R COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED

AT 3200 RUDD AVENUE CONTAINING 0.09 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1005).

AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM C-1 COMMERCIAL AND R-4 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO C-2 COMMERCIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 12307-12313 OLD LAGRANGE ROAD CONTAINING 1.81 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 17ZONE1071).

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 132, SERIES 2017 REGARDING TEXT AMENDMENTS TO VARIOUS CHAPTERS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AS THEY RELATE TO PRIVATE INSTITUTIONAL USES.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR AND, UPON APPROVAL, TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE KENTUCKY OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY (KOHS), TO EXECUTE ANY DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE DEEMED NECESSARY BY KOHS TO FACILITATE AND ADMINISTER THE PROJECT AND TO ACT AS THE AUTHORIZED CORRESPONDENT FOR THIS PROJECT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$425,000 TO PURCHASE AN ARMORED EMERGENCY RESPONSE VEHICLE.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE PUBLIC WORKS, FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, AND ACCESSIBILITY COMMITTEE.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$120,000 FROM THE KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2018-2019 BIKE/PEDESTRIAN/MOTORIST EDUCATION AND SAFETY CAMPAIGN

GRANT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$120,000 FROM THE KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET FOR THE DESIGN PHASE OF THE RIVER ROAD MULTI-MODAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT GRANT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$290,000 FROM THE KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET FOR THE PLANNING PHASE OF THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT TRAFFIC MODEL PROJECT GRANT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS.

AN ORDINANCE AWARDING A COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FRANCHISE TO MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES CORP. D/B/A VERIZON ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS IN CHAPTER 116 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES.

READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MR. CLERK.

NEXT WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS.

COUNCILWOMAN CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON FROM DISTRICT 5.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I HAVE A FEW ANNOUNCEMENTS.

TOMORROW FRIDAY JUNE 15th, NEW ROOTS EXPRESS STOP IS OPENING UP A NEW FRESH STOP MARKET AT 1500 LYTTLE, THANKS TO FACILITIES SERVICES MANAGEMENT.

HOURS ARE FROM 11-1.



THERE MAY BE SOME SHARES LEFT, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT FUTURE MARKET DAYS, YOU COULD STOP BY THERE TOMORROW.

WE ARE DELIGHTED TO HAVE NEW ROOTS, HAVING A NEW FRESH STOP IN THE PORTLAND NEIGHBORHOOD.

AND RUSSELL NEIGHBORHOOD FROM 11:00-2:30 TOMORROW, GOVERNMENT AGENCIES PARTNER WITH COMMUNITY LEADERS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN AN EFFORT TO HELP KEEP NEIGHBORHOODS CLEAN, GREEN AND SAFE, ONE BLOCK AT A TIME.

WILL MEET AT SAINT JAMES BAPTIST CHURCH AT 2200 WEST MADISON STREET, TIME IS 11:00-2:30, BUT I'M SURE THEY WOULD LIKE YOU TO GET THERE A LITTLE BEFORE 11:00, IF YOU COULD.

ON SATURDAY, WE THOUGHT THE DIRT BOWL WAS GOING TO BE KICKING OFF FOR ITS 49th SEASON BUT IT'S BEEN DELAYED, SO SATURDAY JUNE 23rd.

AND IT WILL GO FROM SATURDAY AND SUNDAY, JUNE 23rd TO AUGUST 12th.

SO COME ON OUT TO SHINY AND ENJOY THE DIRT BOWL AND JUNE 19th WE ARE HAVING A GROUND BREAKING AT THE PORTLAND PARK, THANKS TO NASCAR DRIVER JOEY MacDONALD WILL HELP BUILD A NEW PLAYGROUND BEHIND THE COMMUNITY CENTER.

TUESDAY JUNE 19th AT 11:00 A.M.

AND THEN ON THE 23rd, HABITAT WILL BE HOSTING A LOVE YOUR NEIGHBOR EVENT IN THE RUSSELL NEIGHBORHOOD AND THEY WILL COMPLETE EXTERIOR RENOVATIONS ON FIVE HOMES.

CONTACT HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OR JUST COME ON OUT TO  
THE RUSSELL NEIGHBORHOOD.

AND THEN ALSO ON JUNE 23rd, W.L.L.U. AND W.L.L.V. WILL  
HAVE A SUMMERFEST.

I'M NOT SURE WHAT YEAR THIS IS FOR THAT, COME ON OUT.  
CHICKASAW PARK.

SUMMER FEST.

BUT THIS SUNDAY, THE SHINY COMMUNITY CELEBRATION HELD  
IN CONJUNCTION WITH BAPTIST CHURCH, 38th AND MARKET STREET AREA  
THERE WILL BE HAVING THE GRAND REOPENING OF THE FRENCH PLAZA,  
THE SHINY CHRISTIAN HEALTH CARE CENTER WILL BE THERE, FOOD  
COURT, BASKETBALL, CORN HOLE, INFORMATION, FOOD, MUSIC AND  
DANCING AND DOOR PRIZES, THAT'S FROM 12-4 P.M. THIS SATURDAY  
JUNE 16th.

AND THEN I WOULD BE REMISS IF I DID NOT, ON BEHALF THE  
COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT FOR HER.

ON MONDAY NIGHT AT 6:00 P.M., THEY ARE HAVING A  
PROTEST AT THE CORNER, AT THE OLD MOBY DICK.

I BELIEVE ON POPLAR LEVEL ROAD.

THEY ARE TRYING TO PUT ANOTHER LIQUOR STORE.

THERE ARE BETWEEN 12-19 LIQUOR STORES WITHIN A VERY  
SHORT LIMITED VICINITY THERE AND THEY DON'T WANT ANY MORE, SO  
SHE SAID BRING YOUR SIGN AND COME OUT MONDAY AT 6:00 P.M. TO THE  
OLD MOBY DICK.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

AND WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND EVERYBODY, THIS IS PRIDE WEEKEND.

AND THAT THE PRIDE PARADE IS TOMORROW NIGHT, STARTING AT 7:00.

IF YOU WISH TO SEE THE PARADE, IT STARTS AT PRESTON AND MARKET STREET.

AND THEN I WOULD LIKE TO WISH ALL THE FATHERS OUT THERE, HAPPY FATHER'S DAY COMING UP.

AND TO REMIND YOU THAT IN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CENTER, CALIFORNIA PARK, 1600 ST. CATHARINE IS THE TWO, NOT ONE, FATHER'S DAY CELEBRATION IN THE PARK, 3:00 P.M., ASK EVERYONE TO COME OUT AND ATTEND AND REMEMBER FATHER'S DAY.

THANK YOU.

AND WITH THAT BEING SAID, THIS CONCLUDES OUR MEETING. WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE STAND ALMOST ADJOURNED.

OUR NEXT MEETING IS TUESDAY JUNE 26th 2018 AT 6:00 P.M.

I KNOW MANY OF YOU THINK IT WILL BE ON THURSDAY BUT IT WILL BE ON TUESDAY, THE 26th OF JUNE.

WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE STAND ADJOURNED.

THANK YOU.