

Entry	Name	Zip Code	Comment
3983	Cole	40206	DO NOT BRING THEM HERE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS. OR AT ALL. THERE IS NO NEED FOR THAT AND IT BRINGS NO REAL ECONOMIC YIELD. The citizens will always get the short end of the stick.
3984	Melody	40217	Please do not allow data centers to be built in the city. If it is absolutely necessary please relegate them to industrial zones only.
3985	D	40202	I don't think that it would benefit Louisville in anyway. It might sound like a good idea to some but we have to think about not only the people but our future as well.
3986	Mohr	40216	WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTERS IN LOUISVILLE.
3987	Ruppel	40299	I support Louisville's effort to establish clear regulations for data centers. I value artificial intelligence, technological progress, economic investment, and the infrastructure needed to support them. Data centers can bring construction activity, tax revenue, improved utility infrastructure, and opportunities for Louisville to participate in a growing part of the economy. At the same time, support for technology and investment should not mean accepting development without meaningful regulation, public oversight, or protection from community risks. The economic benefits of these projects must be weighed against their substantial demands on electricity, water, land, public infrastructure, and surrounding neighborhoods. Those burdens should not be shifted to residents, ratepayers, or communities that are already dealing with concentrated industrial impacts. I appreciate the draft regulations' attempt to limit hyperscale developments, including the proposed maximum size and additional review for larger projects. That is a reasonable starting point. However, square footage alone is not an adequate measure of a data center's impact. A smaller building can still place extraordinary demands on the electrical grid, use large amounts of water, operate numerous generators, and produce continuous noise. The final regulations should therefore consider electrical demand, water use, generator capacity, acreage, and total campus impact in addition to building size. The regulations should also prevent large developments from being divided into several smaller buildings, phases, parcels, or affiliated projects in order to avoid stricter review. Related facilities that share ownership, utility infrastructure, substations, cooling systems, or a coordinated development plan should be evaluated as one overall campus. Public review should be based on potential community impact, not simply whether a project falls above or below a square-footage threshold. Data centers are unusual industrial uses. They can operate continuously, require major utility upgrades, and have effects that may not be visible from the size of the building alone. Meaningful public notice and review should apply to any project with substantial energy, water, noise, emissions, or infrastructure impacts. Any exemption for an accessory data center should be narrowly defined so that it covers ordinary server rooms, not large commercial facilities placed inside another development. Noise protections should also be strengthened. Data-center noise is not limited to occasional construction or vehicle traffic.

		Cooling equipment, transformers, substations, and generators can create continuous low-frequency and tonal noise that is especially disruptive at night. The final ordinance should include separate daytime and nighttime limits, standards for low-frequency noise, pre-construction modeling, post-construction testing, and enforceable monitoring and complaint procedures. Water use is another concern that deserves more than a general preference or recommendation. Applicants should disclose projected daily and peak water demand, cooling technology, wastewater impacts, and drought operations. Louisville should require closed-loop or recycled-water cooling where feasible and should establish reporting requirements so that actual water use can be compared with the projections made during approval. The same principle applies to electricity and utility costs. Developers should be responsible for the infrastructure upgrades required by their projects, and existing residential and business customers should not bear those costs through higher rates. Claims that a project will not burden ratepayers should be supported by independent analysis and appropriate financial guarantees, not only by statements from the applicant. The review should also consider cumulative demand from multiple proposed data centers, not each project in isolation. Louisville should pay particular attention to where these facilities are concentrated. Restricting large data centers to heavy industrial districts may reduce conflicts in some neighborhoods, but it can also place additional burdens on communities that have already experienced decades of industrial pollution, disinvestment, and infrastructure stress. Economic development should not depend on repeatedly asking the same communities to absorb noise, emissions, visual impacts, utility infrastructure, and environmental risk. The final regulations should require a cumulative-impact and environmental-justice review before approving projects in already burdened areas. The ordinance should also establish clear requirements for ongoing compliance. Applicants should provide annual reporting on energy use, water use, generator operation, emissions, noise complaints, and compliance with approval conditions. Metro government should have clear inspection authority, meaningful penalties, and the ability to require corrective action. Projects should also include decommissioning plans and financial assurance so that the public is not left responsible for abandoned equipment or facilities. I do not support a blanket prohibition on data centers. Louisville should be able to benefit from responsible technology investment. But responsible investment requires clear limits, transparency, independent review, public participation, and enforceable protections. The goal should not simply be to prevent the largest individual facility. It should be to ensure that the total scale and location of data-center development remain consistent with Louisville's infrastructure capacity, environmental responsibilities, and obligations to its residents. I encourage Metro government to retain the proposed restrictions on hyperscale facilities while strengthening the ordinance in the following areas:
--	--	--

			1. Measure projects by electrical demand, water use, generator capacity, acreage, and total campus impact, not square footage alone. 2. Treat related buildings, parcels, phases, and affiliated projects as one development. 3. Require public review for projects with substantial utility or community impacts. 4. Strengthen nighttime, low-frequency, and tonal noise protections. 5. Require water-use disclosure, closed-loop or recycled cooling where feasible, and drought planning. 6. Require independent confirmation that developers, rather than existing ratepayers, will bear project-related utility costs. 7. Evaluate cumulative impacts on already burdened neighborhoods. 8. Require ongoing monitoring, reporting, enforcement, and decommissioning plans. AI and digital infrastructure can be part of Louisville's future. That future should be pursued deliberately, with benefits that are real and broadly shared, and with protections strong enough to ensure that progress is not achieved by transferring risks and costs to the public.
3988	Goodman	40214	Data Centers are absolutely vile. They are polluting our water, hurting our local families, and all of the environment. Please, do not put one in my city.
3989	Dann	40204	Data centers are soul suckers. They take way too much water and raise the price for the people who have lived here. I hate data centers and I don't think they should be anywhere at any time. Put it on the billionaires land if you want somewhere to put it. Eat my farts.
3990	Desmond	40220	The last thing Louisville needs is more data centers to take up water, use resources, and destroy nature
3991	Thiemann	40205	Data Centers have been going up all over the country under the nose of the public and behind closed doors. LGE plans to build generation for about 645 mega watts. Mill Creek II generates 300 mega watts. A city the size of Louisville uses 1000-2000 mega watts. The proposed Data Center will require 400-525 mega watts. Meaning LGE will not be able to generate enough for both the city and the data center. Meaning LGE will have to import electricity. That is on a good day. We are experiencing environmental changes in a negative way. More erratic, warmer summers, unpredictable and extremes in the winter... both warm and cold. In 1997 an average cost of a mega watt between peak and off-peak was 40 to 70 dollars. Due to extreme heat and demand we saw mega watts sell for \$10,000. Per Hour. Environmental changes, increasing demand due to population and new home construction and the demands of the impending Data Center. This is only one issue. In Cheyenne, Wyoming-that city has revoked the water reclamation contract due to a deadly bacteria found in the water reclamation system. Shutting it down for months and endangering its people, their animals. These two issues alone should cause any sane human serious pause. IT individuals working with AI have spoken up voicing concerns because they do not understand it. Two individuals who helped develop it now have dedicated themselves to reigning AI in. https://controlai.org I challenge you to educate yourselves before the dollars signs.

			This is an existential moment that will that requires wisdom as opposed to alleged progress. The damage, and pollution will dictate our lives, our children and our grandchildren in ways we will not be able to repair. It maybe our undoing.
3992	samuel	40204	I am completely in opposition to data centers in Louisville, and further in Kentucky. Not only do data centers deplete community resources, they cause damage to the environment and ultimately threaten the workforce.
3993	Pifine	40214	Data centers are not wanted, nor needed, in Louisville. They create ample light, noise, and water pollution. There is no evidence to prove these will be profitable ventures in the long term, and as a citizen of Louisville, I personally prefer sustainability and local growth within our community, not businesses that seek to drain the resources of families and neighbors.
3994	Ventura	40291	No data centers! They are a public health risk! Electricity prices will skyrocket at the public's expense! Not to mention the pollution to the river and surrounding bodies of water. No data centers
3995	Baker	40243	I am concerned about any data canter that might be built in or around Louisville. I understand the drain on the electrical grid will be tremendous and will impact the population for which the grid has been built. This will need to be addressed BEFORE any permissions to construct such data centers would be built, and continuously readdressed as it powers up. The water is of great concern, as communities that have data centers not only lack water, but water they have turns brown. There are other practical considerations, such as air pollution and traffic. The language of the recommendations and regulations needs to be addressed to become stronger and provide for populations which will follow the present. Regarding the language throughout the document, the words "encouraged" or "may" are used where the terms "required" or "shall" should be. These terms are inconsistent with regulations and standards and need to be changed. Please take care of our beautiful city and make sure we don't regret allowing huge corporations to build enormous buildings that will negatively affect us. Thank you for your time and consideration, Jill Baker
3996	Clemons	40203	Data centers have no place in our community, or any community, no matter how big or small. The working class and poor people of Louisville do not need to add the immediate or eventual probability of higher energy bills and/or polluted water to the long list of problems they are already actively dealing with. Why must common people suffer more and more to appease tech companies and the rich? Suburbs are often built far outside the heart of cities they preside in. Why aren't data centers primarily constructed in similar areas if they need to exist at all? I urge whoever reads this to consider, and convince other involved parties, that if anyone is able to handle the financial strain and excess energy use that comes with local data centers, it is the suburbanites and the 1%.

3997	Goodwin	40241	We continuously have pikes in LGE and do not need additional based on data centers.
3998	Johnson	40228	Ban all data centers in Louisville!
3999	Baldes	40205	I don't want any Dara Centers built within the Greater Louisville area, the Louisville Metro area, or frankly within the state of Kentucky. We are always being told rhat if we just "encourage growth" in the form of various public/private partnerships in which we the public give away our resources and sign away our preferences whilst giving enormous discounts or tax breaks to whatever predatory shady industries are interested in exploiting us; that somehow it will turn out to our benefit. ..eventually. We all know that it never does. Certainly some folks do benefit from these arrangements. Somehow they're never the folks dealing with the consequences though.
4000	Hinkle	40023	I am absolutely opposed to any size or type of data center anywhere in Louisville/Jefferson County. These data centers are nothing more than corporations Runamuck. Russell H
4001	Moore	40229	We have starving children and homeless but want to build a data center. Priorities are backwards. I don't agree with the data center.
4002	Willett	40204	Data Centers provide nothing for our community. Data Centers puts our environment, finances and integrity at risk. Please put a permanent moratorium on any future data centers in Louisville. People first.
4003	Griner	40206	Data centers have been show time and time again to be unbelievably detrimental to the environment. These data centers benefit the few at the expense of the many- and at the expense of our clean water, air, and energy. As a lifelong Louisville resident Please do not allow data centers here! Protect our city and our people!
4004	Day	40291	We do NOT need more data centers. They are detrimental to the environment and the homes/health of residents. Louisville has worked so hard to have the water quality it does now and adding more data centers is a sure way to ruin that.
4005	Horse	40210	I think it's okay for the database centers to be built
4006	Hollenback	40272	Closest thing to a massive restriction on our human rights to privacy. It's bad enough the things we speak about privately mysteriously come across our phones and computer screens without us typing it in the search engine.
4007	Torres	40272	No data center! Not good for our environment or our water system!!
4008	Dempler	40219	What are the long term health effects a data center will cause? I mean in 50 years after this gets going will be cancer increases for those living and working near it? Using our water for cooling; what type chemical is this leaving in our water? How is it effecting our animals plants and other nature and wild life? Sucking up power from LGE-- I DONT WANT TO CUT BACK MY USAGE OR BE WITHOUT. I DONT WANT TO PAY EXTRA ON WATER OR ELECTRIC. THOSE MONOPOLIES ALREADY TAKE ENOUGH FROM US!! IMO THERE ARE TO MANY UNCERTAINTIES. COST SEEM TO OUT WEIGH THE BENEFITS. PLEASE STOP THINKING WE CAN AND THINK ABOUT WE SHOULD TO MANY THINGS CAN GO WRONG WITH THIS.

4009	Smith	40214	I am a taxpayer and voter who is STRONGLY OPPOSED to any data centers in Louisville. Environmental damage, health impacts, higher utility bills, and ticking clock on the AI bubble, in addition to lots of public opposition, make this a bad bet. Don't push data centers through against the will of the people.
4010	Robbins	40204	Don't build any, ever. Fuck AI.
4011	Haara	40205	I oppose data centers. Terrible environmental over-consumption. They should not be anywhere near homes due to the noise pollution. I am sure we will find future health consequences of people who have to live nearby. I feel so bad for preexisting property owners near data centers.
4012	Brennenstuhl	40216	Campground rd. People with money dont care about the poor and building this right in the middle of the poor side of town. Flock should be against the law. The less data centers (places to store all the info) the less flock. They dont need this data. we have live 200,000 years and the computer age is 35 yrs old.
4013	Buch	40205	Data centers are inextricably linked to AI. Data center developers are cashing in by creating the supply for the demand. While AI has useful purposes in medical research and national security, we don't need every high school freshman using AI to write book reports or do their homework. We need a reasoned approach to limit the demand for data. In the mean time, let's keep data centers away from populated areas, provide NO TAX BREAKS, and require the developers to foot the bill for the increased power and water requirements instead of passing those along to the local utilities.
4014	Caswell	40208	The expediency and size that these data centers are being proposed/approved/built is a funny reminder that things can move quickly. Somehow can't get public interest projects, like improved public transit, to move beyond a snails pace but data centers can. I may be woefully undereducated on the subject but I'm having a hard time seeing how these benefit Louisvillians in the long term.
4015	Johnson	40023	We don't need them! There needs to be full disclosure of why and what they are doing.
4016	French	40258	Totally against data centers in my area which is already heavily impacted by poverty, health issues and decline. This is preying on people and areas that are historically known to be disadvantaged. Protect the people and do better!!!
4017	Dougherty	40228	Don't have them in the city. There's already so many people here, we have incredibly hot summers. I don't want this center to be draining all the energy to have to tell the city "oh, please keep your AC at .80 to save all our energy for the data centers" or "don't use now than a gallon of water a day to save for the data centers." No. They have absolutely no right being in the big city. It won't add any jobs, it's just taking away from the people here.
4018	Cothran	40244	They must provide their own power source not use ours and the use of water must be recycled and cleaned of chemicals and not dumped into the Ohio and noise must be controlled
4019	Alberhasky	40214	Do your research!! This creates MANY horrible situations, problems, issues!!!
4020	Ardiff	40205	Data Centers are an absolute scourge so I am in support of any and all efforts at curbing them. This isn't some NIMBY argument, I don't want data centers absolutely anywhere but if they have to exist, having them far away from where they

			could affect residents is better than having them anywhere near where people live. I am in support of banning ALL data centers but banning very large ones is a start. Thank you.
4021	Hillenbrand	40217	The community does NOT want this.
4022	Harp	40291	I say no to any data centers...no sympathy, we can do without it...
4023	Orms	40218	No data centers
4024	Collins	40241	No data centers at all. Louisville is already overwhelmed with businesses, traffic issues, noise and infrastructure problems. Just stop.
4025	Wurzel	40207	No. Just no!!! Dont allow another form of pollution into our city. Its time to clean the city up and not make more of a mess. Dont let em cave, WAVE.
4026	Himely	40203	We do not want any data centers here. We are already approaching an energy shortage and data centers popping up is only going to cripple us even more.
4027	Brand	40059	Data centers are a critical element in the build out of America's future. They are absolutely necessary for our economic competitiveness and our national security. Smart regulation is needed for their planning. Smart regulation is very different than the overly restrictive regulation that is currently being promoted by uninformed politicians, much of which is indirectly propagated by foreign interests. Data center regulations should be designed to reasonably manage their impact on local resources, utility capacity and rates, and zoning considerations. The current proposal goes too far beyond those standards and are overly restrictive. If too many communities adopt overly restrictive regulations like these, we will collectively put our future at risk. I urge a "no" vote on this proposal and a reconsideration of how to better manage data centers in a way that allows smart development of this cutting-edge infrastructure that is so vitally necessary to our future.
4028	Leonard	40207	We need stronger protections against data centers in Louisville. protect Louisville residents. Please reduce the maximum size cap significantly. Require a study of possible health effects, done by an independent researcher, not the developer! MANDATE cooling and infrastructure restrictions. MANDATE public hearings for all data centers, regardless of size. Better yet, do not allow these centers at all! Louisville does not need them. Don't be dazzled by developers' lies.
4029	R	40291	No data centers. Period. Not good for the environment, & are a strain on resources. The world already struggles with balancing environmental impacts on both nature & surrounding communities, the city does not need the nonsense that comes with this. It's a no for me, dawg.
4030	MacDonald	40218	Absolutely do not pass electric, gas, water , sewer or any other costs, utility or otherwise, to residents. Ever. Forever. They want to build here, they get all the costs with it. Also, they can put solar on the roof to generate their own power. And the. We need to ensure safety. Don't need another fire or explosion poisoning and damaging people and homes.
4031	Thompson	40059	These should absolutely not be allowed in any parts of Jefferson or oldham co.
4032	Price	40206	No data centers. No increased utility bills to pay for data centers. No to the pollution put off by data centers. No surveillance cities. No ai
4033	Wagner	40205	There are serious concerns about data centers. How will it affect the cost of electricity and water for Louisville residents. Light and noise pollution. If they are so safe and nice. Why not put them in affluent areas of town like Prospect. Not just the

			poorer areas of Louisville. None need to be built into the public understands the causes and effects. There needs to be a written agreement that the citizens of Louisville will not pay more for utilities to subsidize these large data centers. There is none of that to protect anyone now.
4034	Glasgow	40229	Data centers do not belong in Kentucky. we need to protect our lands.
4035	Distler	40272	I do not want data centers in our city at all. They will be hard on our resources, especially the water. Many citizens of our city already live with consequences of business around their communities. The smells of rubber town, fire risks, chemical spills, in 40272 in particular we have the ash and sometimes a weird fall out from LG&E. Who knows what health risks data centers will bring. These can't be good for the health of our communities. I sincerely ask that you don't put up data centers in our communities. Thank you.
4036	Heitzman	40150	Fuck them
4037	Pierce	40223	I believe no data center should be located in Louisville. Outside of Louisville is fine provided numerous restrictions are upheld & enforced. Kentucky residents should not pay any costs related to building, maintaining, repair or upgrades. This includes water expenses. Zero tax dollars should be used in any capacity. If enough residents vote in a Louisville data center the language needs to be more specific example no data center can be located within 10 miles of a school, day care,hospital, clinic, playground, houses, veterinary building, restaurants.
4038	Pittman	40059	NO ,NO,NO!! Please protect our city and state and natural resources!
4039	Baker	40222	All the evidence points to them creating so much noise and humming that it disturbs people trying to sleep and of course disrupts their daily lives! It creates mental fatigue and psychological distress! And there's no way for the data centers to insulate or stop all the noise! And it's just one of the thousands of things that data centers due to disrupt our lives.
4040	goldsmith	40299	Aside from the increased electricity costs associated with building and maintaining addition generation, transmission and substation infrastructure, there is water pollution, air pollution, noise pollution, increased water utility costs and the heat island effect where the massive heat generated by the facility raises the temperature of the entire area. All for a couple hundred jobs and faster internet speeds? We don't need to destroy wildlife, speed up the decay of this planet or subsidize the costs to build and run a data center that solely benefits a private corporation. Stop taking value from the average citizen to funnel it to the top 1%.
4041	Ludlow	40204	We don't need AI, we need water. Put them in less populated areas. We already have dying communities and putting it closer to the west end. Yall are fucked. Same racist shit yall always be doing.
4042	Calvert	40204	The data center would stretch the water supply and contaminate the water in Louisville.
4043	Cagle	4027	No Data Centers BAD for the Enviroment, Electric And Water Bills !! Noise Pollution !!
4044	Velez	40218	It remains clear that they are harming the water supply. It also remains clear that these centers do not produce enough jobs to justify even having them. Finally, I think it is wrong to make everyone pay for the data center when we aren't even getting

			a direct vote on whether these things end up affecting us or not. Each person voting on whether or not this happens would be absolutely pissed if it were put near their home, but are fine to vote for it to be put not near their home? Come on, vote this crap down.
4045	Cagle	40272	No Data Centers Bad For Everything Environment, Electric Water, Noise Pollution, Humans And Animals !!!!
4046	Swartwood	40204	I strongly oppose data centers as they are harmful to our environment, natural resources, and wildlife. In addition to that we are expected to see increased utility bills due to said data center which isn't fair. No one within the community of where these data centers are being built benefits from them. Also, a ton of these companies building these data centers have been reported to lose money and are proving unsuccessful businesses making it a terrible investment all around. It's an incredible waste of land, resources, and money and I urge our community to say no to all data centers.
4047	Johnson	40219	We do not need new data centers here. Please don't build
4048	Bell	40204	500,000 square feet is still far too large. Reduce this maximum by a third and it might be acceptable. Better still would be to ban data centers entirely because of the impact of water usage and pollution from our dirty electrical grid. We already have severe air quality problems in Louisville.
4049	Obst	40214	I do not want in my area if it makes my lge, water, or msd prices go up. I am affraid of water pollution also, from run off& ait pollution. Concerned with property values going down. Bills already to high, and pay in this area does not compinsate for all the price hikes in energy,food,etc.
4050	McGee	40272	I DO NOT SUPPORT DATA CENTERS. I expect the elected officials to listen to the voices of their constituents and vote accordingly.
4051	Medley	40204	I would like to see data centers excluded from the Irish Hill planned development district and any traditional neighborhood form district. Thank you.
4052	Driver	40214	Data Centers Don't Belong in Our Cities The rapid expansion of data centers has become one of the least discussed yet most consequential developments of the modern digital age. While these facilities power everything from cloud computing to artificial intelligence, convenience should never come at the expense of our communities. Data centers are often portrayed as symbols of innovation and economic progress, but beneath the sleek marketing lies a different reality—one of enormous energy consumption, excessive water use, limited job creation, environmental strain, and the gradual transformation of valuable land into industrial infrastructure. These concerns exist wherever data centers are built, but they become even more serious when these massive facilities are placed in urban areas. Cities should be designed for people, not warehouses full of servers. One of the strongest arguments against urban data centers is their enormous demand for electricity. Modern data centers consume staggering amounts of power, with the largest facilities using as much electricity as tens of thousands of homes. As

		artificial intelligence continues to expand, energy demand is expected to increase even further. This places tremendous pressure on local electrical grids, increases infrastructure costs, and may require expensive upgrades that are ultimately paid for by taxpayers and utility customers. Instead of reserving energy capacity for housing, schools, hospitals, and local businesses, communities are forced to compete with facilities whose primary purpose is serving global technology companies. Water consumption presents another serious concern. Many data centers rely on water-intensive cooling systems to prevent servers from overheating. Depending on the design and climate, a single large facility can consume millions of gallons of water annually. In regions already experiencing drought, population growth, or aging water infrastructure, this demand raises legitimate questions about priorities. Clean drinking water should be viewed as a public necessity—not a resource diverted to cool warehouses filled with computer equipment. Urban areas already face increasing pressure on water systems, and introducing large industrial consumers only magnifies the challenge. Supporters frequently claim that data centers create jobs and stimulate economic development. While construction projects may temporarily employ hundreds of workers, the long-term employment picture is far less impressive. Once operational, many highly automated data centers employ only a relatively small workforce compared to the amount of land and infrastructure they occupy. Cities sacrifice valuable real estate, utility capacity, and public incentives for facilities that generate comparatively few permanent jobs. Imagine the alternative uses for the same property: affordable housing, mixed-use developments, parks, schools, healthcare facilities, or manufacturing businesses employing hundreds or even thousands of people. The opportunity cost is significant. Urban land is among the most valuable resources a community possesses. Every acre devoted to a windowless data center is an acre unavailable for neighborhoods, commercial districts, or community spaces that encourage human interaction. These buildings often feature blank walls, high-security fencing, and minimal public engagement. Rather than contributing to vibrant streetscapes, they create dead zones within otherwise active communities. They generate little foot traffic, support few nearby businesses, and offer almost nothing to enhance neighborhood character. Noise pollution is another overlooked issue. Although data centers are often described as quiet neighbors, residents living nearby frequently report the constant hum of cooling fans, ventilation equipment, backup generators, and mechanical systems operating around the clock. This persistent background noise can affect nearby homes, schools, and recreational spaces. Emergency generator testing and maintenance activities may add intermittent disturbances that further impact quality of life. Environmental concerns extend beyond energy and water usage. Large-scale data centers require enormous quantities of concrete, steel, electronics, backup batteries, diesel generators, and cooling equipment. Construction itself carries a substantial carbon footprint. As technology advances rapidly, servers must be replaced regularly, contributing to electronic waste that presents ongoing recycling and disposal challenges. While many technology companies promote sustainability initiatives, the sheer scale of these facilities often offsets many environmental gains.
--	--	--

			Tax incentives also deserve careful scrutiny. Local governments frequently offer generous property tax abatements, infrastructure subsidies, or utility incentives to attract data center investment. Public officials justify these incentives by promising future economic growth, yet residents often bear the immediate costs while receiving relatively modest long-term benefits. Tax dollars that could strengthen schools, roads, public safety, or community development instead subsidize multinational corporations that are already among the world’s most profitable businesses. Reliability and resilience present additional concerns. Concentrating large energy-intensive facilities within urban electrical grids increases demand during periods of peak consumption. As cities confront increasingly severe weather events and aging infrastructure, adding massive industrial electricity users may complicate grid management and resilience planning. Communities should prioritize reliable service for residents and essential public services before expanding capacity for private industrial operations. Security issues cannot be ignored either. Data centers house critical digital infrastructure, making them attractive targets for cyber threats and potentially increasing the strategic importance of surrounding areas. While physical security is generally robust, placing nationally important infrastructure within densely populated neighborhoods raises questions about emergency planning, traffic management, and public safety that deserve thoughtful consideration. Some argue that because society depends on digital services, data centers must be built somewhere. That is true. However, acknowledging their necessity does not mean accepting every proposed location. Just as communities carefully consider where airports, factories, waste treatment plants, and power stations should be located, they should apply equally rigorous standards to data centers. Industrial-scale computing facilities belong in areas specifically planned for heavy infrastructure, where adequate power, water, transportation, and environmental protections can be managed with fewer impacts on surrounding neighborhoods. Technology companies also have a responsibility to invest in innovations that reduce the environmental footprint of their facilities. Greater efficiency, alternative cooling technologies, renewable energy integration, heat recovery systems, and smarter site selection should become standard practice rather than marketing slogans. Communities should demand measurable commitments instead of accepting broad promises of sustainability. Ultimately, the question is not whether technology is important. It unquestionably is. The real question is whether cities should sacrifice valuable land, scarce resources, and community character to accommodate facilities that provide relatively limited direct local benefit. Urban areas face housing shortages, aging infrastructure, transportation challenges, and increasing demands for parks, schools, healthcare, and public services. Every planning decision should strengthen communities and improve residents’ quality of life. Data centers may be essential to the digital economy, but that does not make every location appropriate. Cities should prioritize people over processors, neighborhoods over server farms, and long-term community well-being over short-term
--	--	--	--

			development incentives. Progress should be measured not only by faster internet speeds and larger cloud networks but by healthier, more livable communities. If we are serious about building cities that serve future generations, then we must recognize that industrial-scale data centers simply do not belong in the heart of urban neighborhoods.
4053	Owens	40211	WE ARE ABSOLUTELY AGAINST DATA CENTERS!!! It is harmful to our health, our water supplies and electric bills as well. This is something the people have expressed against their interest and there should not be any forward movement on this project. Protect our environment, our children the elderly and everything in between!!!
4054	Finch	40205	Keep the data centers out of Louisville
4055	George	40214	Please don't add a data center!!! This will hurt the most vulnerable!!!
4056	Burns	40206	any data center construction would ruin the value of whatever neighborhood had the misfortune of living next to one. They cause more harm than good to the community and wont improve the city in any way.
4057	Gohlinghorst	40220	I do NOT want data centers in my city!
4058	Tackett	40213	Data centers do not need to be brought and built in our communities. Local residents have to suffer the burden that these centers cause our local resources while corporations profit. Jobs will not be created, our electricity bills, and water bills will soar.
4059	Sullivan	40204	Not needed and should be banned at all costs
4060	Gnadinger	40291	This project needs to stop for at least a year so we can determine the environmental and economic impacts to the community. We need to protect our citizens and land from exploitation. I expect our elected leaders to ensure the community is the #1 priority.
4061	Lee	40291	I believe the proposed data center regulations should be put in place and the construction of data centers in the Louisville Metropolitan Area should be halted.
4062	Bridges	40205	To the Louisville Metro Planning Commission: I am writing to demand stronger Land Development Code amendments for data centers. The current draft doesn't sufficiently protect Louisville residents. I urge the Commission to revise the draft with these mandatory requirements: 1. Lower the maximum size cap. The proposed 500,000 square foot cap is still an enormous industrial facility spanning roughly nine football fields. Buildings this massive consume staggering amounts of electricity and water. The cap must be reduced significantly. 2. Mandate health impact assessments. The draft currently contains no requirement for developers to study or disclose the health effects of their projects on surrounding communities. 3. Turn cooling and infrastructure recommendations into mandates. Multiple critical protections, like closed loop cooling, water recycling, waste heat mitigation, and physical generator enclosures, remain optional "shoulds." Change all "should" language to "shall" to make environmental protections legally binding. 4. Add anti-circumvention protections. The LDC must include anti-circumvention language to stop developers from breaking massive projects into smaller parcels to artificially fall below size thresholds or bypass public hearings. 5. Require public hearings for ALL data centers. Under this draft, mid-sized facilities up to 250,000 square feet can bypass community input completely if they meet baseline special standards. Every neighborhood deserves a voice before industrial

			scale development is built near their homes. 6. Mandate public disclosure of water consumption. While developers must get a letter from the water company, there is no requirement to disclose projected water usage to the public or enforce consumption caps. 7. Establish enforceable penalties for violations. The draft doesn't have consequences for developers who fail to meet LDC standards after receiving approval. Louisville deserves regulations written for the people who live here, not for corporations profiting off our land, water, and electricity.
4063	Osborne	40165	We do not accept this in our community
4064	McKinney	40059	This would be absolutely devastating to our community and surrounding wildlife, which is becoming more and more scarce! Please consider going elsewhere with this data center.
4065	pulliam	40208	dont do it
4066	Beyers	40204	Data center is not needed nor necessary
4067	Wright	40212	They are horrible for the ecosystem, they take thousands of acres worth of farmland & that's land we can on get back. We can't make more land eventually we are going to run out of space. Light pollution, water pollution, are all problems that shouldn't be ignored.
4068	conn	40291	horrible horrible environment destroying buildings that need not be built anywhere here in louisville ! ai data centers and generative ai are destroying neighboring markets as well increasing the costing of gaming consoles and memory card units!!
4069	Stone	40207	Data centers are an absolute nightmare and have no place in this world. The only data centers I want to see are public libraries. This is a disgusting way to "grow" as a society and will drain resources from our community.
4070	Busch	40216	DO NOT SUPPORT, WE DO NOT NEED OR WANT IT.
4071	Hayden	40220	I am opposed to any new data. Enters in Kentucky, especially Louisville. They are using up our water, and bad for our environment and bad for our communities.
4072	Lewis	40217	No data centers. Have a gathering with news and have ppl free to comment.
4073	Lodge	40210	No data centers
4074	Yocum	40118	I urge the council to enact a moratorium on all new data center approvals.
4075	Burrus	40207	No Do Not do it !
4076	Dorsey	40243	I do not support hyperscale data centers being built within Louisville or near residential areas. These facilities should be located in remote areas where they will have minimal impact on people and communities. They consume significant amounts of electricity and other resources while creating relatively few permanent jobs. Louisville should prioritize development that provides more long-term benefits for residents and preserves the quality of life in our neighborhoods.
4077	Hughes	4027	WE DO NOT NEED DATA CENTERS ANYWHERE NEAR US
4078	Harris	40219	Please do not put these data centers here. It causes major health issues and economic problems for those affected.
4079	Higgins	40229	No
4080	Foree	40219	I am against large data centers for rhe mental physical health of others

4081	Bowman	40218	Data centers destroy communities. No data centers
4082	Embry	40216	I think it needs to be fully self functioning to where it will not effect everyday life and utility bills.
4083	Carruthers	40299	This is a detrimental decision for the vast majority of citizens should we decide to implement these.
4084	Daugherty	40213	PLEASE NO DATA CENTERS. PLEASE.
4085	Hudson	40205	I oppose the construction of data centers in Kentucky as a whole and in Louisville in particular.
4086	Miller	40229	I do not want any data centers in Louisville KY. I want to Ban data centers near me
4087	Hillerich	40291	Please don't allow this to happen in n our community! Please don't let the money cloud your moral obligation to the people.
4088	Stewart	40211	Put it somewhere that has less people, try by Ballard high school or maybe knock a few homes down by Waggoner high school
4089	Heinen	40223	I'm opposed to large data centers in Kentucky. We cannot afford the water loss as well as the increase in energy costs which have already increased in the last two years. We don't need the loss of land and the environmental impact to people and animals. We don't need the loss and usage of our resources. The benefits of these data centers are minimal, and do not exceed the risks and costs.
4090	Baker	40222	Absolutely NO!! The environmental hazards are not worth the data center jobs!!!
4091	Lindenbrook	40203	Please mandatory water recirculating for cooling Second please create a new category for data centers that would require rezoning and a conditional use permit
4092	Arkenberg	40291	No Data Centers!
4093	Campbell	40299	Data centers are terrible for the environment and will not serve any city we put them in. Louisville does not need any more data centers!!!
4094	Korfhage	40218	As a Louisville Metro resident, I support responsible economic development, but I also believe we need to protect our communities, natural resources, and quality of life. My concerns are: • Environmental impacts. Data centers consume enormous amounts of electricity and water. Before any project is approved, there should be a transparent environmental impact assessment showing how it will affect our air quality, water resources, wildlife, and surrounding neighborhoods. • Noise pollution. Backup generators and cooling equipment can create continuous noise. Residents deserve strong noise standards, independent monitoring, and meaningful setbacks from homes, schools, parks, and trails. • Benefits to the local community. If Louisville is providing land, infrastructure, or tax incentives, these developments should create quality local jobs—not just temporary construction jobs. Developers should prioritize hiring local workers, pay competitive wages, and invest in workforce training. • Infrastructure costs. Existing residents should not bear the financial burden of utility or infrastructure upgrades required for private developments. Developers should pay for improvements directly related to their projects.

			• Transparency. Residents deserve to know who owns these projects, what they will consume in electricity and water, what tax incentives are being requested, and what long-term benefits Louisville will actually receive. • I encourage Louisville Metro to prioritize development that creates lasting economic opportunities while protecting the health, environment, and quality of life of the people who already call Louisville home. • I also encourage Metro to consider whether permanent jobs created by these facilities provide wages and benefits that justify the amount of land, infrastructure, and public resources involved. Louisville residents should see long-term economic benefits from projects of this scale.
4095	Medley Baker	40215	There have been many reports from other people living near data centers across the country who are now having debilitating psychological and physical effects from just the noise alone that comes from these data sinners. That noise travels far beyond the immediate homes near the centers. Not to mention The already increased cost in our electricity as this will be near the LG&E plant. The damage it does to our planet. I don't know anyone who wants this. Most of us aren't using AI willingly. It is forced upon us online. We also don't want that either.
4096	Chavez	40204	Nobody wants these and they are harmful to our water and environment. Research on this attached: https://www.nber.org/papers/w35100 https://impact.ornl.gov/en/publications/the-environmental-footprint-of-data-centers-in-the-united-states https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/climate/articles/10.3389/fclim.2026.1648912/full
4097	Bohn	40243	I believe that no data centers should be built until we can have a beneficial use case of AI. We have been using machine learning techniques on existing infrastructure for years to solve complex individualized problems. I don't see the benefit in pushing for a generalized artificial intelligence. We have only seen negative environmental consequences of using this technology and a widening gap in the distrust of information. If we allow AI to grow - we concentrate the power of information into a few companies hands. We have not yet built a system that can be trusted to solve most problems. I believe that we should not let KY be taken of its clean water, have its energy costs skyrocket and have KYs air temperature rise all to serve companies who still don't have a viable product.
4098	Hagerty	40206	In case no one is looking, Louisville as a city has fallen way behind cities that use to be our peers. Louisville and the state of Kentucky need to be innovative and far thinking. One thing that we have is plenty of power and plenty of water. This should actually decrease our utility charges which are already one of the lowest costs in the country. Why are we so hesitant to do this and what is our alternative? Have people been downtown lately to see the loss of companies and jobs? Let's think ahead as a community instead of stopping everything.
4099	Kellond	40222	Data centers come at a huge cost to the environment and the populace. Water is a vital resource needed for vital survival of life in our Earth. Sound and light pollution disrupt all life in their vicinity.

			What a huge cost to pay for negligible benefit. No to Data centers.
4100	Happel	40258	Keep them out of our city!!!
4101	Nicoulin	40291	I do not like or approve of having data centers in my city or near my home. I think they are bad for the environment and cause many issues for people living nearby them. They would be detrimental to our way of life in Louisville.
4102	Riggs	40228	Data centers, whilst being a major drain on the power grid, are also the cause of heavy noise/light pollution as well as environmental (water/air) pollution. Both forms of pollution will have negative side effects on wildlife AND the people that live and work near them. I personally feel that it would be best to outright ban the future building of any and all AI data centers, but if a full future ban cannot be placed then we must ensure that these data centers are held accountable for the environmental (water/air) pollution they cause. They should also be forced to pledge to look into how they can properly minimize the noise/light pollution that they cause. If they fail to figure out how to out right prevent environmental pollution and/or minimize noise/light pollution to an acceptable amount (i.e. below 85 decibels for noise, and minimized light useage during the night), make a plan on what steps they need to take, and start putting that plan into action by a set date they should be fined a set amount each day or week until they fulfill their duty to eliminate environmental pollution and decrease noise/sound pollution. To decide on the amount of money they are fined, I personally feel it should (at least) be between 10-15% of the total accumulative cost of all expenses for that data center; from cost of land, cost of construction, cost of monthly energy use, and cost of monthly water use. These giant corporations are coming into communities, unhousing people, destroying the land and water ways, causing people to grow ill, putting significant strain on the power grids which the residents are then being forced to pay, and they are not being held accountable. These giant corporations will not care about the affects that their data centers have on the people, animals, or plant life of the area unless they are forced to care. Time and time again it has been shown that the only way to force giant unfeeling corporations to care is to hit them where it hurts, their wallets.
4103	Ribar	40228	Data centers consume more water and electricity than entire cities. They do this to provide a bad product that puts people in our community out of jobs and collectively makes our society dumber and more reliant on bad technology. Not one penny of profits from them flows back into the communities they devastate. Zero public benefit at a cost of ruining our planet and making water and electricity luxuries instead of utilities. Data centersare a blight and a travesty to human existence and the billionaires who shove them at us are wicked and belong in prison. No politician who doesn't actively fight against this menace will ever be considered for my vote.
4104	Dawson	40212	We do not want data centers!!!!

4105	harmer	40203	. Case Number 26-LDC-0014,data centers; Please require a stricter ordinance on data centers, before adoption and Conditional Use. Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. I am concerned about wasteful use of our precious natural resources in order to let AI proliferate and potentially eradicate the human race as some of the companies themselves have cautioned against their unlimited growth. Problems include water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. Change the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Please require data centers provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed -loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While I agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or
------	--------	-------	---

			usership should also be required. The draft needs a decommissioning plan with financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs do not fall on the public but instead the company that built it.
4106	Rangel	40208	The quality of living environmentally here is already bare minimum as it is. We have our urban heat island and our water. We do not need more.
4107	Schiess	40209	Ban them outright. Truly a cancer to our communities.
4108	salgado	40207	I DO NOT WANT A DATA CENTER IN MY CITY
4109	OAKLEY	40245	I am a Commercial Developer and very concerned about the proposed Data Center Guidelines. While I agree that regulations are necessary - the proposed restriction on size seems very arbitrary. I also do not believe that M-3 Zoning is a good idea. My company has and is developing several large scale Business Parks in the Metro area and surrounding counties. We are seeing buildings as large as 1.2m sf now as viable projects. In our parks Data Centers already exist - with little to no impact on surrounding PEC property. Data centers also contribute little to no traffic and typically include large green space (setbacks) for security reasons. In my opinion the publics concern should be power generation and distribution, not the size of a structure. Also - in my experience - power distribution to any site is the responsibility of the developer or end user. The utility does not build infrastructure in our parks - the developer does. As for power generation - those costs are borne by the Utility and regulated by the State Utility Commission. As a developer I would not want to create an M3 district in my PEC development that could allow change to a much less desirable use. The CUP requirement - probably a project killer on its own. Developers are unlikely to be willing to invest a year or so and hundreds of thousands of dollars with the discretionary CUP overhanging risk. Standards need to be clear and understood - not discretionary (really arbitrary) for any community to attract investors. In closing - the size limitation is arbitrary, the M-3 rezoning requirement is unnecessary and burdensome, and the CUP requirement throws enough of a variable into the decision that many (most) opportunities will just vaporize. Focus on power use/distribution - not size. Thanks.
4110	Kelty	40205	I am opposed to expending our limited natural resources on Data Centers.
4111	Langdon	40216	I am very concerned about natural resources being wasted to enable companies to create and sell unproofread neural network models that have not been responsibly built. No major AI company is truly proofreading their training data currently and there is no way to ensure these models are truly safe unless it is clearly documented what was used to calibrate (train) them, and a lot of their data has been sourced by severely abusing impoverished workers by companies such as Samasource.

		Further comments: Please require a stricter ordinance on data centers, before adoption. and Conditional Use. Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Problems include water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. Change the draft's proposed "data center accessory" and "telecommunications hotel" classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Regulations should require verification that any new data center can be operated without impact on the availability, reliability, quality, or safety of electrical service to other customers and that electricity bills for other customers will not increase. Please require data centers provide a significant percentage of their power from renewable sources. Considering that data centers are huge water consumers for cooling their equipment, I suggest that closed-loop cooling systems be required outright, so that water is conserved and reused for cooling purposes. Please protect our river, drinking water quality, sewage systems and rate payers from water bill increases. Knowing that the data center's cooling of water causes heat exhaust, ambient heat increases beyond the property line should be prohibited. Regulations for monitoring and enforcement need to be required. Maintain accountability throughout the process. Require applicants to show concretely how they will meet every standard. The Planning Commission must hire an independent expert, to review each data center application. This review is to be paid for by the applicant. The review should be made public before the hearing. In addition, clear approval standards must be written so the county isn't vulnerable to legal challenges in the future. While I agree with the transparency agreement in the draft that requires the entity making the proposal be transparent and disclose the company that would
--	--	--

			own and/ or use the property, public notification of changes of ownership or usership should also be required. The draft needs a decommissioning plan with financial assurance mechanism, meaning that if the facility shuts down or is abandoned, the clean-up risk and costs do not fall on the public but instead the company that built it.
4112	Armstrong	40220	We do NOT want them here! It is harmful not only to us but the environment in the surrounding area.
4113	Robles	40272	no just a simple comment, it's hurting the world more then anything else
4114	G	40228	Data centers are going to cause more harm than good for Louisville. Close data centers down.
4115	Wadley	40204	I strongly oppose any and all proposals to allow data centers to be zoned or developed at any scale and/or capacity within the Irish Hill neighborhood (40204). Data centers are fundamentally incompatible with the historical character of the neighborhood, which is primarily residential and supportive of small businesses and community spaces. Residents of Irish Hill expect zoning to prioritize residential usage and quality of life while preserving the character of the historic neighborhood. Data center zoning and development is fundamentally incompatible within this established neighborhood and consideration on any scale should be rejected.
4116	Yurt	40272	They have no business anywhere near where people already live and work. Businesses and governments always find loopholes or other ways around rules and policies, and the local people always end up footing the bill for any costs and price increases. Data centers are fundamentally bad for the environment, and trust in MSD and Louisville Water Company is already laughably low. We are taxed enough already, yet improvements are never made with the money they have; it's always another rate increase. We are nickel-and-dimed to the breaking point where it's all we can do to survive with basic needs. Salaries aren't increasing at the same rates.
4117	Biles	40241	Always consider this - do you want one of these facilities right next to where you live? I don't.
4118	A	40206	Data centers, using and polluting our water supply, emitting frequencies that harm all life including humans. Put them in other states and limit/ban the use of Ai. We must protect our old Kentucky home from becoming a wasteland. Truly no assets are as valuable as our natural resources.
4119	Schmoetzer	40243	This bill appears to enact common sense stipulations on future data centers. I strongly feel that for profit facilities should have to check if they will have an impact on infrastructure and pay their own bills. The same would apply to me if I was to build a house for example. I'm going to assume that MSD will be do it's job of taking care of any water pollution, including issues such as the bacteria from Meta's data center recently.
4120	Oxyer	40204	No data centers in Jefferson County. Period.
4121	Nolan	40243	I do not want a data center near me. The electricity and water that they use is astronomical. It will cause a strain to the local economy!

4122	Kirkland	40215	These regulations may be somewhat of a start, however, they do not go near far enough. We already have a monstrosity of a data center that this impulsive and short sided group of geniuses approved with almost no public notification or opportunity to stop it like the dozen or so people in La Grange, where hardly anyone lives, were able to do. We must keep in mind that data centers are only serving a single purpose, to fuel the greed of shareholders and overpaid ceos of ai companies who are aggressively pursuing a total wipeout of the vast majority of all jobs by 2030 and most by 2027 according to ai insiders who are experts on the matter. One creator of Ai who left open Ai Anthropic suggested we regulate the technology to be released in phases by regulatory action to slowly ensure it doesn't end humanity which it is almost already capable of doing and if Hegseth has his way, tai bots will be running the DOD which yes, would likely be an upgrade from Hegseth, however he is out in 2029 anyways. These realities require massive cooperation of planning departments across America to enable the takeover of the human race which will ultimately lead to the demise of mankind. The billionaires are already planning to move to Mars for Christ's sake this is a real thing because they are almost certain Ai will wipe out humans in the coming years through famine, nuclear war, global warming caused by the extreme use of fossil fuels, self driving 18 wheelers, self flown planes. Trains, all for one purpose—TO REPLACE US—I already got laid off twice in five years because of ai from very good jobs that pay quite well along with thousands of others. And data centers do Not create jobs. That's a big issue. They ought to be required to have at least 1000 employees who are unionized , pensioned, and contracted for life per 5,000 sq ft of space they take up and the minimum pay of \$85,000/year and they ought to pay property tax rates big enough to give a stipend to every single resident of the city to the times of 10x the extremely high rate we all pay so like 11% annually divided among all of us so if the assessment is 100,000,000 divide up the 11% of the value to regular people rather than giving them incentives to come and destroy our city and people's jobs literally bringing nothing to the table but famine and poverty turning us into a third world nation quickly. And anyone who reads this pay attention to what the big three billionaires are doing. They are not men of character at all only greed fuels them, do yall really believe there is any good coming out of this? It will bring the nation to its knees faster than Covid 19. There will be no food in the grocery stores we will have to grow our own and squat on properties and when the bank comes to try to take it with their humanoid servers knocking at the door, I don't even know if these humanoids can be taken down like any other intruder , what are we supposed to do to survive, spray the sonofabitch with salt water or spray glue to immobilize them if you kick them off your porch probably break your damn toe. Come on folks pay attention this is FASCISM when ALL THE RESIDENTS SAY WE DO NOT WANT THIS SHIT IN OUR CITY AT ALL and a gaggle of pretendecrat center right capitalists say to we the people OK here's a milk toast ivory soap bubble bath nibble around the edges outline of some bullshit to shut yall up. That is what it's giving . It is time for a new path forward , it is time for leftist populism to take over because if it doesn't we are all doomed and headed strait to shit. And who would've thought the democrats would sell us out so cheap and incentivize the worst possible developments ever to exist to come to our city and pollute the fuck out of it, destroy our water supply, force natures beautiful animals into new habitats due to ecological decimation for miles around these fucking things. Take y'all's milk toast fucking bullshit back to the drawing board , disincentivize businesses from wanting to come here like yall do for human jobs treat ai how yall treat humans instead of like kings. And TREAT HUMANS—YOUR CONSTITUENTS LIKE THEIR VOICES HAVE ALL THE POWER BECAUSE IT IS SUPPOSED TO BE CONSIDERED . But after seeing greenberg's insane budget and all the stupid shit he is investing in AI COPS AND ISRAELI TECHNOLOGY SPY CAMERAS AND SENSORS AND TO ENACT S FASCIST POLICE STATE OF SURVIELLANCE of all people , this after they proved they can't even be trusted with existing flock cams which are just pictures. This is a privacy and property rights disaster. These could only be possible in the south where the good people have
------	----------	-------	---

			lost all hope. That any semblance of the constitution will ever be honored or followed down here bc the magats hate freedom and liberty and that the brave men and women who enabled us to have it by sacrificing their lives be damned. They are a death cult and we need to act like an opposition party. And what could possibly be more Republican than a bunch of billionaires investing their “job creator” tax credits funded by assaults on the lowest wage earners in the nation, and children, and veterans, and the elderly/disabled populations who rely on snap and Medicaid, then to fund investment into these ai data centers for the only reason ever that they do any fucking thing. Greed. To layoff as many employees as possible to lower their labor costs and maximize their cut and ceo pay. Workers be damned. Man this is so fucked uP BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD FOLKS!!
4123	Dean	40210	Stop the entire program until the Black community is fully aware and the Mayor of Louisville should resign.
4124	Easley	40272	You all are going to need to go back and get more government regulations and oversight for any past or present data centers. Shively campground affects us down wind environmentally and energy wise in valley station and across louisville. What I do not see is tougher regulation on how much water and electricity is needed. I'm not so sure fresh water is needed. There are other cleaner energy options. Nothing in here mentioned if the data center developer decides to change at anytime would there be oversight, penalties and/or shutdown to this business when they start abusing the amount of electricity, pollution and other vital resources. Will EPA and other entities be involved at least quarterly and/or yearly to make sure they are following regulation. Will there be studies and research from a trusted independent entity of the residents choosing. More than one entity testing the pollution in the environment of neede. If at anytime the data center abuses, or does not want oversight, we the people have the right to evict them out of the neighborhood. Our health and well-being now and in the future is at stake. I think there are many other streams of income to develop, other than Data centers. It is not guaranteed to be safe and cost effective for the residents. Cost will go up. I do not see any guarantees, only a word on looking for the data center to do the right thing and hopefully not be a burden on the energy and environment. In past and present, companies rarely do the right thing without an incentive, regulation, penalty or closing of the business. An enforcement mechanism specifically spelled out for them to abide by. These regulations or guidelines should be able to evolve as time goes on, as we evolve and learn more about the amounts of pollution and energy use these data centers will consume. If this Shively campground and all other data centers start impacting residents negatively, the people have the right and will evict any data center putting profit over residents health. I'll keep abreast and watch these developments as they transpire through the government, zoning, metro council, mayor, etc. Thanks for taking the communities concerns and I hope the right decisions are made to keep human beings safe not the corporate interest.
4125	May	40291	Louisville should follow the lead of New York and ban all development of data centers. At the very least instigate a 2 year moratorium to review impact to the community and the rise in cost of utilities for the local citizens. No to data centers in Louisville.
4126	Bennett	40205	No Data centers!!!!!! None
4127	Hamm	40059	We don't want data centers polluting our air, stealing our water and harming our families and communities! Keep data centers out of the Kentucky and Indiana area and away from our air and waterways! They can outsource work to other places, but then why would they want to keep the pollution here? Nobody wants this here!

4128	Horsey	40220	The death of our communities and environment!!! Date centers should absolutely be banned. Our planet and communities will continue to suffer should one of these giant greed filled facilities are placed here. TAKE A STAND!!! Say no to data centers and yes to protecting our city, residents, and earth.
4129	Palermo	40219	I agree that Louisville should be limiting the size and amount of Data Centers in and nearby the city. Based on other cities, data centers are increasing the cost of living for ordinary citizens due to water and electrical demand, only to not see any benefit for themselves. These data centers are not creating more jobs, they are causing harm to the citizens and environment they are surrounded by. Overall, I would say no data centers in Louisville, but I think if this isn't possible then these regulations will help protect the community.
4130	Deines	40220	Data center developers must be required to disclose their historical political and philanthropic ties. Mr. Poe, whose company is now developing the massive data center in the West End, was formerly the volunteer chair of OneWest, the development planning coalition for the West End. I think, but am not sure, this is the group that tossed off the NAACP for asking too many "unnecessary " questions. Helen Deines
4131	Stachura	40222	WATER!!!!!! The city must ensure our water supply, cost to consumers, cost to clean the water used from data is addressed. They use an incredible amount of water and it should not come from groundwater. The water coming from the river must be cleaned and consumers should not be on the hook for upgrading the systems. The water must be cleaned after the use due to metals and contaminants. It should be done on sight of the data center and not cost the customers. NOISE - NO resident should have any additional noise tolerated at or on their property (outside at the property line). ZERO! I don't know what distance that would necessitate but likely over a mile away from residents. AIR POLLUTION - Diesel and other fuel pollution must not impact the residents or neighbors. If a data center can not abide then they should be required to purchase/ buy out neighbors at minimum 5 times the market and or rebuilt cost which ever is greater. People in the immediate area should be compensated yearly a dollar amount which goes up each year with inflation.
4132	Love	40222	No one in the stat of Louisville wants data centers. We just went to a baseball game in Clarksville, IN and had to drive thru a data center to get to the field and I cannot see how one of these is good for anyone. AGAINST ALL DATA CENTERS!!!!!!
4133	Gagnon	40217	I do not want data centers in Jefferson County.
4134	Rudolph	40059	The data center does not provide this community with anything other than trouble. Put in the billionaires backyard!
4135	Ferrell	40220	A typical Costco is 145,000 to 147,000 ft. ² you have made it possible for a space for times the size of a Costco to be built. This is unacceptable. It is my understanding that the noise pollution will be very difficult up to 5 miles away from the center. Something also needs to be done about the already approved data center. It was done underhandedly and without public involvement. Moore needs to be done. Appreciate some of the protections that are going to be enforced in the future – we need them enforced upon the current hyper scale data center being constructed in Louisville.

4136	Davis	40217	To the Planning Commission and Metro Council, I am writing as a Louisville resident to express my opposition to allowing large-scale data centers to be built in our city, and to urge you to adopt the strongest possible protections for residents in the final data center regulations. I appreciate that the city is taking public comment ahead of the July 27 hearings, and I hope you will weigh the following concerns seriously. 1. Data centers threaten our water supply. Hyperscale data centers require enormous volumes of water for cooling. A single large facility can use anywhere from 1 to 5 million gallons of water per day — comparable to the daily water use of a town of 30,000 to 50,000 people. Nationally, roughly 40% of data centers are sited in areas already under water stress, and even where a facility uses “closed-loop” or air cooling to reduce direct water use, generating the electricity to power it consumes still more water at the power plant. Louisville has already seen this debate play out with the Camp Ground Road facility near Shively, where developers and Louisville Water Company assured residents supply wouldn’t be affected — but similar assurances in other cities (like Yorkville, Illinois) have been followed by double-digit annual water rate hikes to fund infrastructure upgrades needed to meet data center demand. I don’t want Louisville families paying more for water, or facing use restrictions during droughts or summer heat advisories, so that a data center’s servers can stay cool. 2. Data centers strain the power grid and drive up electricity costs. Cooling and running these facilities requires hundreds of megawatts of continuous power. Utilities routinely say the grid can absorb the load, but residents elsewhere have already been asked to cut back electricity use during peak summer demand, even before large new data center loads came online. Adding a massive, constant industrial draw to our grid risks higher rates and less reliability for everyday households, especially during the extreme heat Louisville already experiences. 3. Environmental and public health concerns. University of Louisville toxicology experts have warned that the cooling processes for hyperscale data centers can increase both air and water pollution in the surrounding area. Cooling systems also often use chemical additives — anti-corrosion agents, biocides, chlorine compounds — that must be properly treated before any water is discharged back into local waterways. This is a real concern for a city sitting on the Ohio River and for neighborhoods near proposed sites. 4. Minimal, uncertain economic benefit relative to the footprint. These facilities generate comparatively few permanent jobs once construction ends, largely automated after being built. Meanwhile, they receive substantial state and local tax breaks (Kentucky’s House Bill 8 exempts qualifying data center equipment from taxes), meaning residents may not see the return promised, even as county infrastructure absorbs the impact.
------	-------	-------	---

			5. Community opposition has already been clear and consistent. At past community feedback sessions, residents and environmental groups overwhelmingly opposed data center development anywhere in Louisville, citing rising electricity costs, water use, and noise pollution. The Camp Ground Road project moved forward under a vague “telecommunications hotel” classification that didn’t reflect what was actually being built, which is exactly the kind of regulatory gap the city is now trying to close. I urge you not to let history repeat itself with future projects. My requests to the Commission and Council: • Require independent, third-party verification (not developer-provided assurances) of water availability impact before any approval, with binding water-use caps and penalties for exceeding them, similar to protections other cities have adopted. • Require full transparency about which company will actually own and operate any proposed facility before approval. • Keep the strictest possible size, zoning, and setback limits in the final regulations, and close any loopholes that allow projects to be classified as something other than what they are. • Require ongoing public reporting on water and energy usage after a facility is built, not just at the approval stage. Louisville’s water, power, and quality of life belong to the people who live here first. I ask you to put resident protections ahead of developer convenience as you finalize these regulations. Thank you for your time and for considering this comment. Sincerely, The Davis Family
4137	Johnson	40212	Data Centers take resources from already disadvantaged communities. Our power provider was asking people in May to reduce energy consumption to help balance the grid, how do they think that would work with some resource hogging unnecessary plant?
4138	Smith	40217	The data centers are not wanted by really anyone, they are destructive and harmful to the communities near by and the people that make Louisville. To continue with building these centers, there is a dismissal to most public opinion and disregard to the people’s wants and needs for the best!
4139	Waxler	40272	They shouldn’t be here. We will regret letting them in.
4140	Speagle	40214	I OPPOSE DATA CENTER, THEY RUIN COMMUNITIES, PUT PEOPLE OVER DAMN PROFITS

4141	TESSIER	40220	If you are looking for clean energy for data centers, you should have folks look into ESG Clean Energy. They have the technology to capture green house gases as well as provide the necessary energy to operate the data center. You may want to provide this information to your data center contractors so the City can benefit as well.
4142	Garner	40205	Imagine if in the 1900s we protected the Ohio river and on a hot summer day, families could take a dip. Imagine the amount of tourism and summer activities we could have had by the river. Louisville residents have to fly to other countries to experience a proper river. Yes I am using an emotional trope. Louisville has so much potential to be a cosmopolitan DC, a smaller Boston or Ashville. But we dont even have a proper transportation system, just traffic accidents and polluted water. Waterfront Wednesdays are such a beautiful series of events and the slow revival of Fourth Street are what makes Louisville so special. If we want to be a polluted contaminated city because a few will earn more dollars, that goes against everything Louisville residents have worked toward. We are considered a gutter state. When I tell people that I am from Louisville Kentucky, people laugh and ask why my family even chose to live there. They say the city offers nothing. I always defend it. I defend our community, our beautiful sunsets and culture. I always say Louisville is a hidden gem, built by people from all over the world. The Irish, the Mexicans, or the Nepalis. The best things in Louisville have nothing to do with data centers or big factories, but everything to do with dignity of our neighbors, respect of the land, and community. I currently dont live in louisville, I am on a mission service abroad. I miss bardstown road and miss the sunsets across the fields. The last I want to see when i come back is our city being drained, polluted and sad for the wishes of a few very rich people.
4143	Step toe	40216	HELL NO. NO TO DATA CENTERS
4144	Leeds	40205	1) Roof in solar panels and some sort of wind energy. 2) Water use: Do NOT drain aquifers!, build near Ohio. ? 2.1) Prioritize bourbon over datacenter. 3) Do NOT raise Utility costs, they should finance their required substations etc!!!!
4145	Ford	40217	This is not a part of the Louisville I know and love. Don't make the mistake of polluting our city, our communities, our schools, our natural resources. Specifically, if this is to move forward Louisvillians should not be forced to pay for it in all of those respects mentioned above but additionally they should not be made to pay financially for it. I'm asking that you consider if this was being built next to your children's school or in your backyard if you would still move forward with this decision? If you would, you are woefully under-informed about what data centers do to the environment and communities that are unfortunate enough to be next to them. I suggest you do your research.
4146	clark	40204	We must have state regulations and widespread public awareness of why these centers are needed in our community. How does it affects the environment and economy? What is the rush? LET THE PEOPLE VOTE
4147	Purcell	40205	Waste of energy, will cause home utilities to rise and a threat to the environment and sustainability also ugly.
4148	Griggs	40245	I also submitted this as an op-ed to LBF.

		Op-Ed: Louisville Must Embrace Data Centers to Secure Our Economic Future By: Stacy Griggs Co-Founder / Chairman AboutBit Artificial intelligence is reshaping the global economy at a pace not seen since the Industrial Revolution. Louisville now faces a defining choice: embrace the infrastructure that will power this transformation or allow investment, jobs, and tax revenue to flow elsewhere. The proposed moratorium on new hyperscale data centers in Louisville is a mistake. We should reject it and instead welcome these facilities as vital engines of growth, job creation, and technological leadership. Residents are right to ask tough questions about electricity, water use, noise, and land use. Those concerns deserve answers grounded in facts rather than assumptions or inaccurate research. When we examine the evidence, the case for responsibly welcoming data centers becomes compelling. Public concern often centers on electricity use, with critics portraying data centers as villains that drive up rates and strain the grid. This is a myth, as senior policy analyst Kristen Walker of the American Consumer Institute has clearly explained. A Lawrence Berkeley National Lab study found no primary correlation between data centers and rising electricity rates. In some cases, data centers can even help lower rates through their predictable, high-volume demand that supports more efficient grid operations and infrastructure investments. Put more simply, investments in data centers ultimately stabilize and lower power costs by spurring additional investment in power generation (which the US and Kentucky desperately need). Data centers aren't draining resources from Kentucky families; they represent smart economic development. Kentucky's power will fuel AI advancement regardless of location (just look at the new Meta facility in Jeffersonville), but building these centers here keeps the economic benefits local: tax revenue, construction jobs, ongoing operations, and the ancillary businesses that spring up around high-tech infrastructure. They also present the potential for major fiber-optic investments that will dramatically improve local connectivity. Banning hyperscale facilities in Jefferson County just pushes them across the river or to other Kentucky counties smart enough to capitalize on the added tax dollars and economic development. Either way, the power produced in Jefferson County (and surrounding areas) will be used for these types of workloads. Under this proposed ban, the only difference is that Louisville will not benefit. Abundant Resources Unlike many other parts of the country facing water scarcity, Louisville and Kentucky enjoy copious water availability. The state has more miles of navigable waterways than any other except Alaska. The Ohio River alone sees approximately 78 billion gallons of water pass Louisville each day. Even the maximum daily water use of one data center facility — about 5 million gallons — represents only roughly six seconds of the river's daily flow. It is literally a drop in the bucket compared to the vast volume we already allow to flow past us into the Gulf. And new data centers are designed to recirculate water, meaning they use far less water than is quoted in these older studies. Collectively, every datacenter in the US uses about 4% of the water it takes to maintain our golf courses. This natural advantage of abundant water positions us exceptionally well to support data centers, which require some water for cooling, with minimal impact on our resources.
--	--	---

		Kentucky currently ranks 36th in the nation for average internet download speeds, lagging behind even neighboring West Virginia, where download speeds are more than 30% faster. Data centers will serve as catalysts for upgrading our digital infrastructure, helping to close this gap and positioning Louisville and Kentucky as more competitive players in the modern economy. Kentucky is spending close to ½ billion tax dollars to improve broadband, and these companies will make a similar, if not larger, private investment if we simply welcome them. National and Global Stakes The stakes extend far beyond Louisville. It is an economic imperative for the United States to lead in AI. If we cede ground, China will gladly fill the vacuum, capturing the innovation, investment, and prosperity that will define one of the most important industries of the next 50 years. Data centers are the factories of the AI age. This is not just speculation. The House Energy and Commerce Republicans recently asked the administration to investigate whether China-linked entities and other foreign adversaries are helping fuel opposition to U.S. data center development. MSN recently published a report commissioned by OpenAI that found that “Fake Chinese accounts are reportedly poisoning Americans’ stance on data centers.” At minimum, we should recognize that efforts to delay or block critical AI infrastructure — whatever their intent — will serve the interests of America’s strategic competitors. Data centers are the factories of the AI age. Delaying or blocking them isn’t environmental stewardship; it’s self-sabotage that risks forfeiting American dominance and the jobs, wealth, and security that come with it. AI leadership is increasingly viewed not only as an economic issue but also as a national security priority. The computing infrastructure that powers AI will shape innovation, defense, healthcare, manufacturing, and scientific research for decades. Louisville’s NIMBY Pattern Unfortunately, Louisville has a long and costly history of NIMBY (“Not In My Backyard”) resistance, where vocal minorities stall development that would benefit the broader community. The prolonged fight against Topgolf at Oxmoor is a textbook example: years of legal battles, delays, and uncertainty before the project finally opened in late 2022, depriving the area of earlier economic activity and entertainment options. Yet once built, a quick review of Zillow data for properties closest to the site shows that home values have appreciated by approximately 40-50% since opening, delivering real wealth gains for nearby homeowners and proving that such projects ultimately enhance, rather than harm, local communities. Similar delays plagued the construction of new bridges across the Ohio River, holding back regional connectivity and growth for far too long. This pattern repeats across hundreds of projects. A small group of residents uses processes and complaints to block progress that creates jobs, increases property values, and improves the quality of life for the rest of us. Data centers face the same reflexive opposition today. We cannot allow a small number of opponents to prevent projects that provide broad public benefits. Moving Forward Responsibly
--	--	---

			Data centers will be built somewhere. The question is whether Louisville participates in and shapes this development with smart regulations, or whether we drive them to other regions or countries and regret it later. Thoughtful rules on noise, water use, aesthetics, and grid integration make sense. A blanket moratorium does not. It sends the wrong signal to investors and innovators at precisely the moment we should be rolling out the welcome mat. Moreover, with Jefferson County Public Schools (JCPS) facing a large revenue deficit, embracing data centers is fiscally responsible. A single \$10 billion data center in Louisville would generate approximately \$71 million in additional tax revenue for JCPS annually. Just three such facilities would completely cover the current revenue shortfall. If we keep fighting progress, we must ask: who will pay for our kids' education? Our community has the land, the power capacity, abundant water resources, a central location, and a workforce ready for the opportunities these projects bring. Let's reject fear-based policymaking and embrace the future. By doing so, Louisville can secure its place in the AI-powered economy, create lasting prosperity, and demonstrate the forward-thinking leadership our region needs. Louisville has never prospered by standing still. We became a logistics hub by embracing railroads, highways, and air freight. We welcomed huge investments from UPS, Ford, and GE, which have defined and helped us prosper over the last 50 years. The next generation of infrastructure is digital. Data centers are not simply warehouses full of computers—they are the factories of the AI economy. If we choose to build them here, Louisville can become a leader in the industries that will define the next fifty years. If we choose not to, those opportunities won't disappear. They'll simply belong to someone else. As a final thought, you'll notice that I've included numerous links and sources supporting the facts presented in this article. Whether you ultimately agree with my conclusions or not, I hope you'll take the time to review the evidence for yourself. We all owe it to our community to make decisions based on facts, data, and thoughtful analysis—not on rumors, misinformation, or reflexive opposition. Louisville's future is too important for anything less.
4149	Westfall	40241	Adopt the propose regulations. Data centers drive up utility costs and do affect infrastructure. Realizng tge PUC. has rate authority not Louisville Metro govt. might want to add Data Centers require stand alone energy supply
4150	McGimsey	40241	1. Max 80 dba noise level at property line. 2. 500 foot separation from commercial businesses and residential property. 3. Recycled cooling water, not one use.
4151	Huninghake	40206	It's plain to see that these data centers are wildly unpopular across the country and across the political divide. They provide no material benefit to the average citizen, and will undoubtedly raise electric prices and pollute our drinking water. These developments seem to move forward simply because they line the pockets of powerful, wealthy developers like Steve Poe. Please listen to your constituents: WE DO NOT WANT DATA CENTER DEVELOPMENTS IN LOUISVILLE!

4152	Henning	40213	No Data Centers. No here not anywhere!
4153	Heinen	40223	Water usage and recycling. Also instead of clear cutting a bunch of woods and trees for every tree cut down two have to be replanted. This helps with mitigating heat effect. For the electric usage, can they be required to use solar roofs to mitigate some the electric usage?
4154	Bohn	40220	I am disappointed that one Data Center has already been approved for the Louisville area without any public input or strict regulations regarding its impact on our environment, our utility usage and cost. The citizens of Louisville should not be made to pay for electricity and water usage of data centers, nor for construction of additional power plants to support their needs. No more data centers in our area!
4155	Mudd	40214	NO data centers in Louisville or in Kentucky. They are are a waste of our resources (i.e. water and electricity); my utility bills are already TOO high.
4156	Russell Bushong	40207	Rather than using square footage alone to determine regulatory requirements, data centers with a peak electrical demand of 50 MW or greater should be required to demonstrate compliance through objective, measurable performance standards. For example, these facilities could be required to maintain a Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) of 1.30 or lower, report annual Water Usage Effectiveness (WUE) and potable water consumption, demonstrate a Clean Energy Transition Plan providing no less than 50% carbon-free electricity upon commencement of operations, increasing to 75% by 2030 and 100% by 2040, provide the ability to reduce 10–20% of electrical demand during grid emergencies, and publish annual reports documenting electricity consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy use, and progress toward Louisville’s adopted climate goals.
4157	Knopp	40216	Oppose this if possible. They need to deal with climate change and also currently it hurts the environment and people. We have survived this long with out them we can continue go do so until it's safe.
4158	Nye	40207	A new Industrial Data Center Zone should be created, and Conditional Use Permits should be required for all data center applicants. This will ensure public notification and provide for public input when any data center is proposed. Rules should apply to all data centers regardless of square footage. Intensity of use is the correct metric to protect the public. This considers water usage, hazardous waste, noise, light pollution, and exhaust. This means elimination of the draft’s proposed “data center accessory” and “telecommunications hotel” classifications. These are potential loopholes which bypass the Conditional Use Permit. Frank Nye
4159	Riggle	40207	We dont want the data center. I’m vehemently opposed to it. Quit now.
4160	Harding	40204	Hello Louisville Metro, I am against any data center creation in our city. This proposal disenfranchises the citizens of Louisville. Data centers would take needed resources that, especially in this time of extreme budget cuts to housing programs, food access, utility support and many others, our people need. We cannot foot the bill for the ability to fuel data or technology, when our brothers and sisters are struggling and will even more with hikes to utilities and sacrificing the environment. Invest in your people and their wellbeing, not another company.

			Thank you, Danielle
4161	Manfull	40213	Dear Mayor Greenberg and all it may concern, These new proposed regulations do not apply to Steve Poe's gigantic data center on Campground Road. Not only is this an extreme injustice to the families living there, but it is also a slap in the face. These regulations are vague, there are no actual solutions that have been found to stop the noise, air, and water pollution caused by these data centers. It is extremely disrespectful to your constituents to use the law as a sheet to pull over our eyes. These are OUR tax paying dollars you want to use, and the people have said NO. Listen to your constituents, you don't have much time before you're voted out! Warm regards, Sydney Manfull
4162	Christensen	40206	To whom it concerns, AI is still a new field and. none can say what the future holds. However, we can say that data centers have a huge potential for negative impacts on the communities they are located in. Power consumption, water consumption, heat islands, etc. I strongly encourage the detailing of specific regulations that will limit the potential negative impacts, especially if things go wrong. This means specific language detailing limits and liabilities (on noise and pollution emission limits) as well as specific enforcement mechanisms to make those meaningful. Regulations need to be set up in advance to avoid environmental and economic harm including closed loop water systems, contributions from renewable energy, and setback limits as well. Thank you.
4163	Watson	40272	I believe the whole issue should be put to a public vote and if possible only in the precincts in and around the area. The Mayor should listen to the residents on this one or face backlash in future elections. Let's not let this Mayor screw over the neighborhoods as Jerry Abramson did with his UPS plan. You elected officials tend to forget you work for us not vice versa. All directly affected neighborhoods in any project should carry heavy attention paid to them and their wishes given high consideration to them. People are sick of government that has no respect for individuals who stand to be inconvenienced by a project and the pre meetings and citizen input seem like formalities before the project begins.
4164	mills	40216	The community should not have to pay higher utility costs due to a data center. The decision to place a data center in this area (40216) was made without input from the community. It wasn't wanted in Oldham county due to the "richness" of the area, so put it where " nobody matters". Place it in the area where we placed "Rubber Town". Those people don't matter and they don't have what it t
4165	Yates	42740	Louisvillians DO NOT want Data Centers in their city. The pollution to their water would be catastrophic. If there are Data Centers built in the city it will be the beginning of a regression for the well-being of the people.

4166	Lyons	40204	I would like to see data centers excluded from the Irish Hill Planned Development District and any Traditional Neighborhood Form District. These are NEIGHBORHOODS, not tech centers. Please don't pollute families with these data centers for profit!!!!
4167	Hofer	40204	I am against data sinners for Kentucky and Louisville Jefferson County. In particular they do not benefit the public and are damaging to the public and surrounding neighborhoods. Please deny all data centers until further research is done and money is bonded by the company in charge to ensure that no damage to communities use of water or electricity rates will increase for us normal folk.
4168	Billingsley	40205	Regulations should require construction use local companies and labor. There should be no exceptions for local businesses—this opens the door to outside companies buying up local companies and then building on the exemption. Utility construction and use needs to be paid for completely by data centers. This regulation needs to be airtight. Environmental rules need to be spelled out with heavy fines for any infractions. Data centers add almost nothing except headaches to local economies and regulations should make them hard to build here.