

To whom it may concern:

The original request for a variance was to accommodate a handicapped bus with dimensions of $8\frac{1}{2}$ ' wide / 13 ft with lift down, $23\frac{1}{2}$ ' long and $9\frac{1}{2}$ ft tall. We are looking to protect this vehicle (picture included) from the elements.

If we need to shorten this structure to a 21 ft length, we can.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter

Ronald Smith

(502) 437-9369

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2017

PLAN
DESIGN SERVICES

17 VARIANCE 1087

Variance Justification:

In order to justify approval of any variance, the Board of Zoning Adjustment considers the following criteria. Please answer all of the following items. Use additional sheets if needed. A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.

1. Explain how the variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare.

THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WILL BE CONSTRUCTED BY A REPUTABLE COMPANY. IT WILL BE HIGH ENOUGH SO AS NOT TO IMPEDE VISION OF ONCOMING VEHICLES OR PEDESTRIANS.

2. Explain how the variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity.

WE'VE COLLECTED SIGNATURES OF 7 SURROUNDING NEIGHBORS EXPRESSING NO ISSUES WITH THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE TO ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THEIR INVESTMENTS.

3. Explain how the variance will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to the public.

THE VARIANCE REQUESTED DOES NOT ENEROACH INTO AN EASEMENT OR PROPERTY OF SURROUNDING NEIGHBORS.

4. Explain how the variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.

WE KNOW NOTHING ABOUT SUCH ZONING REGULATIONS IN THIS AREA CONCERNING A CARPORT STRUCTURE. ANY UNREASONABLE CIRCUMVENTION WILL NEED TO BE BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION.

RECEIVED

Additional consideration:

1. Explain how the variance arises from special circumstances, which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity (please specify/identify).

WE PURCHASED A SPECIAL NEEDS VEHICLE FOR WHICH WE SEEK PROTECTION FROM NATURE'S ELEMENTS. AFTER MUCH CONTEMPLATION WE CONSIDERED AN OPEN, FREE STANDING CARPORT TO BE OUR BEST OPTION.

2. Explain how the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create unnecessary hardship.

WE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE PROVISIONS OF THE REGULATION DID NOT NECESSARILY NEED TO BE A STRICT APPLICATION AND THAT REASONABLE USE OF THE LAND WAS NOT IN QUESTION.

3. Are the circumstances the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the regulation from which relief is sought?

WE PURCHASED AN OVERSIZED VEHICLE UNAWARE THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY PROBLEM STORING IT. WE DID NOT CONSIDER AS HOMEOWNERS OR LAND OWNERS THAT THERE MIGHT BE AN ISSUE ON OUR OWN PROPERTY. THIS ACTION WAS NOT TAKEN SUBSEQUENT TO THE ADOPTION OF THE REGULATION.