

Don't forget  
about APO  
concerns

**Planning Commission  
Staff Report**  
March 15, 2018

Case No:	17ZONE1016
Request:	R-6 to OR-1
Project Name:	Triple C's Barber Shop
Location:	826 Humler Street
Owner:	John Cole III
Applicant:	John Cole III
Representative:	Milton R. Haskins, Jr.
Jurisdiction:	Louisville Metro
Council District:	6 – David James
Case Manager:	Laura L. Mattingly, AICP, Planner II

**REQUEST**

- Change in Zoning from R-6, Multi-Family Residential to OR-1, Office-Residential on .08 acres
- Waivers:
  1. Section 10.2.4 to eliminate the required property perimeter Landscape Buffer Area along the southern and western property lines
  2. To use on-street parking spaces that are not directly adjacent or abutting the site
- Detailed District Development Plan with Binding Elements

**CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND**

The applicant is proposing a change in use from currently vacant 2,575 square foot structure to a barber shop in the California neighborhood. The area consists largely of residential zoning with areas of industrial and commercial to the north, west and east. The applicant is proposing no exterior changes to the structures on site and will only be utilizing 1,054 square feet for the barber shop use. Parking will be provided on-street although not directly adjacent to the site.

Previous Cases:

- 9596: Conditional Use Permit for a daycare. Withdrawn.
- 16CUP1035: Conditional Use Permit for a private propriety club. Withdrawn.

**STAFF FINDING**

The proposed zoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies of Cornerstone 2020. The subject site is located within the Traditional Form in an established neighborhood with an existing network of streets and sidewalks. The site is also located on a transit route, making it easily accessible by multiple modes of transportation. The proposed barber shop which will be utilizing a vacant building is a neighborhood serving use with a low impact on surrounding properties. While the proposal is in a medium density residential neighborhood, there are large areas of more intense zoning to the east and west, and the West Broadway commercial corridor just two blocks to the north. Ample street parking is available on the same block as the subject site and the landscape waiver appears justified due to existing conditions.

**STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING**

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

1. The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies Cornerstone 2020; OR
2. The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR
3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

**STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING**

Following is staff’s analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Traditional Neighborhood Form District

The Traditional Neighborhood Form District is characterized by predominantly residential uses, by a grid pattern of streets with sidewalks and often including alleys. Residential lots are predominantly narrow and often deep, but the neighborhood may contain sections of larger estate lots, and also sections of lots on which appropriately integrated higher density residential uses may be located. The higher density uses are encouraged to be located in centers or near parks and open spaces having sufficient carrying capacity. There is usually a significant range of housing opportunities, including multi-family dwellings. Traditional neighborhoods often have and are encouraged to have a significant proportion of public open space such as parks or greenways, and may contain civic uses as well as appropriately located and integrated neighborhood centers with a mixture of mostly neighborhood-serving land uses such as offices, shops, restaurants and services. Although many existing traditional neighborhoods are fifty to one hundred twenty years old, it is hoped that the Traditional Neighborhood Form will be revitalized under the new Comprehensive Plan. Revitalization and reinforcement of the Traditional Neighborhood Form will require particular emphasis on (a) preservation and renovation of existing buildings in stable neighborhoods (if the building design is consistent with the predominant building design in those neighborhoods), (b) the preservation of the existing grid pattern of streets and alleys, (c) preservation of public open spaces.

This proposal is preserving the character of the site by proposing no changes to the structures and is giving a use to a vacant structure in a historic, stable neighborhood. The existing grid pattern of streets and open spaces within this Traditional Neighborhood will be unaffected by the proposal. The surrounding neighborhood has an existing network of sidewalks and good access to transit that will benefit this proposal as a neighborhood serving use.

**STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR WAIVER 1** of Section 10.2.4 to eliminate the required property perimeter Landscape Buffer Area along the southern and western property lines

- (a) The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners; and

STAFF: The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners as the building is existing and there will be no change to the structure in the areas of the waiver request.

- (b) The waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020; and

STAFF: The waiver will not violate guideline 3, Compatibility, of Cornerstone 2020, which calls for the protection of roadway corridors and public areas from visual intrusions, for mitigation of parking areas so as not to negatively impact nearby residents and pedestrians, and for parking areas adjacent to streets to be screened and buffered. The waiver will not violate guideline 13, Landscape Character, which calls for the protection of roadways through standards for buffers, landscape treatment, lighting and signs. These guidelines are not violated as there will be no physical changes to the exterior of the site to further screen or buffer from the adjacent properties.

- (c) The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant; and

STAFF: The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the conditions are existing.

- (d) Either:

(i) The applicant has incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect); OR

(ii) The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.

STAFF: The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land and would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant as providing the full landscape buffer area would require the demolition of the existing structure.

## **STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR PARKING REDUCTION WAIVER 2**

- (a) The Parking Waiver is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan; and

STAFF: Guideline 7 Policy 10 states that parking requirements should take into account the density and relative proximity of residences to businesses in the market area, the availability and use of alternative modes of transportation, and the character and pattern of the form district. Additional considerations including hours of operation and opportunities for shared parking may be factored on a site by site basis. Onsite parking standards should reflect the availability of on-street and public parking. The area is within the Traditional Form District well served by transit, pedestrian networks, and other alternative means of travel to and from the site. The character and pattern of the form district generally involve many businesses sharing on-street parking rather than providing large on-site parking areas.

- (b) The applicant made a good faith effort to provide as many parking spaces as possible on the site, on other property under the same ownership, or through joint use provisions; and

STAFF: The applicant made a good faith effort to provide as many parking spaces as possible on the site, on other property under the same ownership, or through joint use provisions as the site is existing with no available on site parking. The applicant was not able to count any street parking in their calculations due to the configuration of the lot next to an alley, although there is sufficient street parking on the same block as the proposed use.

- (c) The requested waiver is the smallest possible reduction of parking spaces that would accommodate the proposed use; and

STAFF: The reduction requested is the smallest amount in order to accommodate the proposed use as the on-street parking adjacent cannot be counted due to the lot configuration next to an alley and the site is constrained with no location for parking.

- (d) Adjacent or nearby properties will not be adversely affected; and

STAFF: Adjacent or nearby properties will not be adversely affected as the street parking that will be utilized is located on a side street for the nearest residential properties that is currently underutilized.

- (e) The requirements found in Table 9.1.2 do not accurately depict the parking needs of the proposed use and the requested reduction will accommodate the parking demand to be generated by the proposed use; and

STAFF: As this use generates a very low parking demand and the area provides for good mobility choice, the requirements found in Table 9.1.2 do not accurately depict the parking needs of the subject site.

- (f) That there is a surplus of on-street or public spaces in the area that can accommodate the generated parking demand;

STAFF: There is a surplus of on-street or public spaces in the area that can accommodate the generated parking demand, as all of the public streets in the area have on-street parking available.

#### **STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR DDDP**

- a. The conservation of natural resources on the property proposed for development, including: trees and other living vegetation, steep slopes, water courses, flood plains, soils, air quality, scenic views, and historic sites;

STAFF: LOJIC has not identified any natural resources on site and the applicant will be making no changes to the site to affect tree canopy, soils or any other natural resource.

- b. The provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation both within the development and the community;

STAFF: Provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community have been provided through the existing street network and sidewalks.

- c. The provision of sufficient open space (scenic and recreational) to meet the needs of the proposed development;

STAFF: This development does not require open space, as it does not meet the threshold for open space or outdoor amenity requirements.

- d. The provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community;

STAFF: The Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provisions of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community.

- e. The compatibility of the overall site design (location of buildings, parking lots, screening, landscaping) and land use or uses with the existing and projected future development of the area;

STAFF: The overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area, as the character of the existing structure will remain relatively the same.

- f. Conformance of the development plan with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code. Revised plan certain development plans shall be evaluated for conformance with the non-residential and mixed-use intent of the form districts and comprehensive plan.

STAFF: This development generally conforms to the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code with only two waivers requested due to existing conditions.

## NOTIFICATION

<b>Date</b>	<b>Purpose of Notice</b>	<b>Recipients</b>
2/8/18	Hearing before LD&T	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 6 Notification of Development Proposals
2/28/18	Hearing before PC	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 6 Notification of Development Proposals
3/1/18	Hearing before PC	Sign Posting on property
3/7/18	Hearing before PC	Legal Advertisement in Courier Journal

## REQUIRED ACTIONS

- **RECOMMEND APPROVAL or DENIAL** to Metro Council the Change in Zoning from R-6 to OR-1
- **APPROVE or DENY** Waivers:
  1. Section 10.2.4 to eliminate the required property perimeter Landscape Buffer Area along the southern and western property lines
  2. Parking Waiver to use on-street parking spaces that are not directly adjacent or abutting the site
- **APPROVE or DENY** the Detailed District Development Plan with binding elements

## ATTACHMENTS

1. Zoning Map
2. Aerial Photograph
3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist
4. Proposed Binding Elements

1. Zoning Map



2. Aerial Photograph



### 3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist

- + Exceeds Guideline
- ✓ Meets Guideline
- Does Not Meet Guideline
- +/- More Information Needed
- NA Not Applicable

#### Traditional Neighborhood: Non-Residential

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
1	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.2: The proposal preserves the existing grid pattern of streets, sidewalks and alleys.	✓	The proposal does not affect the existing street pattern.
2	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.2: The proposal introduces an appropriately-located neighborhood center including a mix of neighborhood-serving uses such as offices, shops and restaurants.	NA	The proposal is not a neighborhood center.
3	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.2: The proposal preserves public open spaces, and if the proposal is a higher density use, is located in close proximity to such open space, a center or other public areas.	✓	This proposal includes no new construction and is located near a small park (Victory Park).
4	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.2: The proposal preserves and renovates existing buildings if the building design of these structures is consistent with the predominate neighborhood building design.	✓	The proposal is for the preservation and reuse of an existing building for commercial purposes.
5	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.1/7: The proposal, which will create a new center, is located in the Traditional Neighborhood Form District, and includes new construction or the reuse of existing buildings to provide commercial, office and/or residential use.	✓	The proposal will not create a new center but it involves the repurposing of an existing building.
6	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.3: The proposed retail commercial development is located in an area that has a sufficient population to support it.	✓	The proposal is located in a higher density mixed residential neighborhood.
7	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.4: The proposed development is compact and results in an efficient land use pattern and cost-effective infrastructure investment.	✓	The proposal is compact and results in an effective land use pattern. The areas infrastructure is already set up to serve a mix of uses which indicates it will be able to serve a low intensity commercial use as well, which is cost effective.
8	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.5: The proposed center includes a mix of compatible land uses that will reduce trips, support the use of alternative forms of transportation and encourage vitality and sense of place.	✓	The proposal is for commercial use in a traditional neighborhood which will reduce trips and will support alternate transportation with sidewalks around the site. Transit stops are located along Humler St, as well as along Maple St to the north.



#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
9	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.6: The proposal incorporates residential and office uses above retail and/or includes other mixed-use, multi-story retail buildings.	✓	The proposal is for commercial on a potentially mixed use site.
10	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.12: If the proposal is a large development in a center, it is designed to be compact and multi-purpose, and is oriented around a central feature such as a public square or plaza or landscape element.	NA	The proposal is not a larger development within a center.
11	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.13/15: The proposal shares entrance and parking facilities with adjacent uses to reduce curb cuts and surface parking, and locates parking to balance safety, traffic, transit, pedestrian, environmental and aesthetic concerns.	✓	The proposal does not include any additional curb cuts and will only use street parking.
12	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.14: The proposal is designed to share utility hookups and service entrances with adjacent developments, and utility lines are placed underground in common easements.	✓	Utilities for the site are existing.
13	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 2: Centers	A.16: The proposal is designed to support easy access by bicycle, car and transit and by pedestrians and persons with disabilities.	✓	The site has sidewalks and transit located in close proximity.
14	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.2: The proposed building materials increase the new development's compatibility.	NA	No new construction is proposed.
15	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.4/5/6/7: The proposal does not constitute a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area, or demonstrates that despite such an expansion, impacts on existing residences (including traffic, parking, signs, lighting, noise, odor and stormwater) are appropriately mitigated.	✓	While this use is a non-residential expansion in a residential area, it is a low intensity commercial use and is proposing no changes to the site.
16	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.5: The proposal mitigates any potential odor or emissions associated with the development.	✓	APCD has no issues with the proposal.
17	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.6: The proposal mitigates any adverse impacts of its associated traffic on nearby existing communities.	✓	Traffic impacts of this proposal are expected to be minimal and no mitigation is required.
18	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.8: The proposal mitigates adverse impacts of its lighting on nearby properties, and on the night sky.	✓	Any lighting on site will be in compliance with Land Development Code standards.
19	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.11: If the proposal is a higher density or intensity use, it is located along a transit corridor AND in or near an activity center.	✓	The proposal is a higher intensity use located near commercial and industrial areas of higher intensity.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
20	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.21: The proposal provides appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions, or setback requirements.	✓	The proposal will not be able to provide all of the required landscape buffer area along the western and southern property lines shared with residential uses but there will be no changes to the site and impacts appear to be minimal.
21	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.22: The proposal mitigates the impacts caused when incompatible developments unavoidably occur adjacent to one another by using buffers that are of varying designs such as landscaping, vegetative berms and/or walls, and that address those aspects of the development that have the potential to adversely impact existing area developments.	✓	The proposal will not be able to provide all of the required landscape buffer area along the western and southern property lines shared with residential uses but there will be no changes to the site and impacts appear to be minimal.
22	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.23: Setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights are compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district standards.	✓	The building is existing with no new construction proposed.
23	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.24: Parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas are designed to minimize adverse impacts of lighting, noise and other potential impacts, and that these areas are located to avoid negatively impacting motorists, residents and pedestrians.	✓	Street parking will be utilized and there will be no loading areas adjacent to residential.
24	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.24: The proposal includes screening and buffering of parking and circulation areas adjacent to the street, and uses design features or landscaping to fill gaps created by surface parking lots. Parking areas and garage doors are oriented to the side or back of buildings rather than to the street.	✓	There is no parking on site. Street parking will be used.
25	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.25: Parking garages are integrated into their surroundings and provide an active, inviting street-level appearance.	NA	A parking garage is not proposed.
26	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.28: Signs are compatible with the form district pattern and contribute to the visual quality of their surroundings.	✓	Any proposed signage will be in compliance with Chapter 8 of the Land Development Code.
27	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space	A.2/3/7: The proposal provides open space that helps meet the needs of the community as a component of the development and provides for the continued maintenance of that open space.	✓	The provision of open space for this proposal is not required by the LDC and is not appropriate for this site.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
28	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space	A.4: Open space design is consistent with the pattern of development in the Traditional Neighborhood Form District.	NA	Open space is not required.
29	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space	A.5: The proposal integrates natural features into the pattern of development.	✓	As there is no new construction, any natural features of the site are being left intact.
30	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources	A.1: The proposal respects the natural features of the site through sensitive site design, avoids substantial changes to the topography and minimizes property damage and environmental degradation resulting from disturbance of natural systems.	✓	As there is no new construction, any natural features of the site are being left intact.
31	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources	A.2/4: The proposal includes the preservation, use or adaptive reuse of buildings, sites, districts and landscapes that are recognized as having historical or architectural value, and, if located within the impact area of these resources, is compatible in height, bulk, scale, architecture and placement.	✓	The proposal is for the adaptive reuse of an existing structure.
32	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources	A.6: Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes with the potential for severe erosion.	✓	Soils are not an issue for the site.
33	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.2: Ensure adequate access between employment centers and population centers.	✓	This site is within an established neighborhood with existing vehicular, pedestrian and transit infrastructure.
34	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.3: Encourage redevelopment, reinvestment and rehabilitation in the downtown where it is consistent with the form district pattern.	NA	The proposal is not located in a downtown.
35	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.4: Encourage industries to locate in industrial subdivisions or adjacent to existing industry to take advantage of special infrastructure needs.	NA	The proposal is not for industrial.
36	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.6: Locate retail commercial development in activity centers. Locate uses generating large amounts of traffic on a major arterial, at the intersection of two minor arterials or at locations with good access to a major arterial and where the proposed use will not adversely affect adjacent areas.	✓	This proposal does not anticipate generating large amounts of traffic and is in close proximity to a variety of uses, including commercial uses along the Broadway corridor approximately two blocks to the north.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
37	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.8: Require industrial development with more than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street, preferably in close proximity to an expressway interchange. Require industrial development with less than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street.	NA	The proposal is not for industrial.
38	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.1/2: The proposal will contribute its proportional share of the cost of roadway improvements and other services and public facilities made necessary by the development through physical improvements to these facilities, contribution of money, or other means.	✓	Transportation has reviewed the proposal and no right-of-way dedication or improvement is required.
39	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.3/4: The proposal promotes mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian use and provides amenities to support these modes of transportation.	✓	This site is located in a traditional, urban neighborhood with a grid of streets and sidewalks and is also located on a transit line.
40	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.6: The proposal's transportation facilities are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. The proposal includes at least one continuous roadway through the development, adequate street stubs, and relies on cul-de-sacs only as short side streets or where natural features limit development of "through" roads.	✓	This proposal does not constitute additional transportation facilities, as it is a small site.
41	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.9: The proposal includes the dedication of rights-of-way for street, transit corridors, bikeway and walkway facilities within or abutting the development.	✓	The proposal does not require right-of-way improvements, as pedestrian, vehicular and transit facilities are currently in place.
42	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.10: The proposal includes adequate parking spaces to support the use.	✓	The available street parking appears to be sufficient to the use.
43	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.13/16: The proposal provides for joint and cross access through the development and to connect to adjacent development sites.	✓	Cross access to adjacent site is not appropriate for this proposal.
44	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design	A.8: Adequate stub streets are provided for future roadway connections that support and contribute to appropriate development of adjacent land.	✓	A stub street is not necessary because the site is constrained by roadways.
45	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design	A.9: Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density if such access would create a significant nuisance.	✓	Access to the development is through public rights of way.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
46	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design	A.11: The development provides for an appropriate functional hierarchy of streets and appropriate linkages between activity areas in and adjacent to the development site.	✓	The existing roadways provide the appropriate linkages to other development.
47	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 9: Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit	A.1/2: The proposal provides, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users around and through the development, provides bicycle and pedestrian connections to adjacent developments and to transit stops, and is appropriately located for its density and intensity.	✓	Sidewalks are provided for transit users and pedestrians. Existing sidewalks are located along the street frontage.
48	Livability/Environment Guideline 10: Flooding and Stormwater	The proposal's drainage plans have been approved by MSD, and the proposal mitigates negative impacts to the floodplain and minimizes impervious area. Solid blue line streams are protected through a vegetative buffer, and drainage designs are capable of accommodating upstream runoff assuming a fully-developed watershed. If streambank restoration or preservation is necessary, the proposal uses best management practices.	✓	MSD has approved the proposal.
49	Livability/Environment Guideline 12: Air Quality	The proposal has been reviewed by APCD and found to not have a negative impact on air quality.	✓	APCD has no issues with the proposal.
50	Livability/Environment Guideline 13: Landscape Character	A.3: The proposal includes additions and connections to a system of natural corridors that can provide habitat areas and allow for migration.	✓	Natural corridors are not evident in or around the proposal.
51	Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure	A.2: The proposal is located in an area served by existing utilities or planned for utilities.	✓	Existing utilities serve the site.
52	Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure	A.3: The proposal has access to an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire-fighting purposes.	✓	Water is available to the site.
53	Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure	A.4: The proposal has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams.	✓	The health department has no issues with the proposal.

4. Proposed Binding Elements

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
3. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
4. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
  - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Develop Louisville, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
5. Prior to any site disturbance permit being issued and prior to any clearing, grading or issuance of a site disturbance permit, a site inspection shall be conducted by PDS staff to ensure proper placement of required tree protection fencing in accordance with the approved Tree Preservation Plan.
6. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
7. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
8. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.

9. Hours of operation will be between 9am - 7pm

~~Mon - Sat 9am - 1pm.~~