

## FW: Rosewood

Daniel Fauxpoint <daniel.fauxpoint@gmail.com> Draft

Mon, Feb 17, 2014 at 10:30 AM

From: GENE CRAWFORD [mailto:gcrawfordjr@yahoo.com] Sent: Sunday, February 16, 2014 11:56 AM To: Michael P. Kuharich Subject: Re: Rosewood

1. All correspondence will be in written form so there is an accurate and reproducible record.

2. There was an offer for the Condo Association to buy out our "Right to Expand the Regime" which was never formally responded to. Please remember that this was simply keeping our word to the original buyers of the condo that when it came time for phase two, we would allow them to purchase the "right to expand" if they wanted more control over the project.

3. My requests in the email below have not been responded to.

4. We have now retained counsel.

5. Our engineers will make necessary changes to correct any errors that the review staff at Metro Development have decided they missed in giving approval. They will have to explain to my lawyer why they are questioning "stamped" plans in which they themselves designed.

6. Having any dialog with you has proven to be just a way for you to get information in order to allow the newspaper to write a negative article, have the neighborhood association decide that they were against the project even though they did not seek any information from us, and find some way to disrupt progress. This building was a part of the original plan, is specifically addressed in the master deed and we have paid takes on the lot since purchase of the property many years ago. Involving the association in the process has not proven to be at all productive and as such, I see no point in meeting again.

The building is going to happen and should be complimentary to the existing building, however Metro government may force enough change that it will not resemble our original plans. It is unfortunate that we have designed the building in order to complement the existing building, planned on using maintenance free items and designs so there is less impact on the Condo Association, and planned on using more expensive materials in finish work to get higher sales to complement current property values. It now seems likely that unnecessary further involvement by the bureaucratic process may hinder our ability to create a building that you would be proud to have as part of your association. Since you now have Metro scrutinizing our plans there is no going back as far as they are concerned. We will have to build what fits even though in my opinion will be less desirable. It's certainly going to allow us to reach more potential buyers with lower listing prices.

## Gene Crawford

Highlands Restoration Group, LLC.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile/e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain CONFIDENTIAL or PRIVILEGED material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.