



RECEIVED

OCT 09 2017

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

October 09, 2017

Ms. Laura Mattingly
Planner I
Metro Development Center
444 S. Fifth Street
Louisville, KY 40202

Re: Change in Zoning for 826 Humler
2020 Comprehensive Plan

Dear Ms. Mattingly;

HDDS would like to submit the forgoing plans and letter for consideration for the required change in zoning for this upcoming exciting business. The owner is one of Louisville Metro's young professionals who have the DNA of thriving to become the next enthusiastic entrepreneur in this great Metro Louisville area.

This will be his first but not last business location within the Greater Louisville Metro area, this business will help to continue the driving spirit and growth of young entrepreneurship which is so vital to our community. It is understood that this is part of a greater plan for Louisville to help give birth to a thriving new generation of young professionals, continuing the spirit and growth of our younger generations' entrepreneurship.

This new business venture will be located at 826 Humler Street and will serve the public as a new up-and-coming barbershop within the Inner City of Louisville. This business will be a driving force for the much needed economic growth engine by providing jobs and social wellbeing. These are valuable characteristics of the Louisville Metro 2020 Comprehensive Plan

Respectfully yours,
HDDS, inc.



Milton R. Haskins, Jr.
President

Cc: Laura L. Mattingly, Develop Louisville - Planning and Design Services
Jonathan Cole, Owner

General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer **all** of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.**

1. Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent property owners?

No. adjacent properties will not be adversely affected.

RECEIVED
DEC 13 2017
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

The building at 826 Humler Street is an existing structure that encroaches the Land Buffer Area on the Western edge of the property. It does not violate the Comprehensive Plan.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

The minimum LBA is 5'-10" from the property line, the existing building does encroach this buffer.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

No the applicant has not incorporated any further design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant reasonable use of land.

1720111111

Parking Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any parking waiver, the Planning Commission considers the following criteria. Please answer **all** of the following items. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.**

For all Parking Waivers:

1. **The Parking Waiver is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.**

Yes this parking waiver has been prepared in accordance with LDC 9.1.16 Parking Waiver Provisions

2. **The applicant made a good faith effort to provide as many parking spaces as possible on the site, on other property under the same ownership, or through joint use provisions.**

The property is a standalone property with no parking available on street per Chapter 9 LDC.

For Waivers to reduce the minimum number of required parking spaces; OR use on-street parking spaces that are not directly adjacent to or abutting the development site; OR use parking spaces located in a public parking lot:

1. **The requested waiver is the smallest possible reduction of parking spaces that would accommodate the proposed use.**

This waiver is requesting the use of existing on street parking that is not directly adjacent to or abutting the development site.

2. **Adjacent or nearby properties will not be adversely affected.**

No, adjacent properties will not be adversely affected.

3. **The requirements found in Table 9.1.2 do not accurately depict the parking needs of the proposed use and the requested reduction will accommodate the parking demand to be generated by the proposed use.**

According to Chapter 9 of the LDC and the lot width of 42'-0" there is no parking within 30'-0" of an intersection or alley. A minimum of 16'-0" is needed to count (1) space.

4. **There is a surplus of on-street or public spaces in the area that can accommodate the generated parking demand.**

This area of the city has heavy pedestrian, bicycle and public transit in addition to on street parking in the general area.

Waivers to provide more parking spaces than the maximum allowed:

1. **The requirements found in Table 9.1.2 do not allow the provision of the number of parking spaces needed to accommodate the parking needs.**

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2013

2. **The requested increase is the minimum needed to do so.**

PLANNING & DESIGN SERVICES