

final report

March 16, 2021

## Traffic Impact Study

*KJS LLC Apartments  
4805 Bardstown Road  
Louisville, KY*

Prepared for

Louisville Metro Planning Commission  
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet



**DIANE B. ZIMMERMAN**  
Traffic Engineering, LLC

12803 High Meadows Pike  
Prospect, KY 40059  
502-648-1858  
dianeizm@att.net



## Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION .....	2
Figure 1. Site Map.....	2
EXISTING CONDITIONS .....	2
Figure 2. Existing Peak Hour Volumes .....	3
FUTURE CONDITIONS .....	3
Figure 3. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes.....	4
TRIP GENERATION .....	4
Table 1. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site.....	4
Figure 4. Trip Distribution Percentages.....	5
Figure 5. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site.....	5
Figure 6. 2024 Build Peak Hour Volumes .....	6
ANALYSIS .....	6
Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service.....	7
Figure 7. 2034 No Build Peak Hour Volumes.....	8
Figure 8. 2034 Build Peak Hour Volumes .....	8
Table 3. Peak Hour Level of Service (2034) .....	9
CONCLUSIONS .....	9
APPENDIX .....	10

## INTRODUCTION

The development plan for 4805 Bardstown Road shows 348 apartment units. **Figure 1** displays a map of the site. Access to the development will be at an entrance on Bardstown Road and one on Watterson Trail. The purpose of this study is to examine the traffic impacts of the development upon the adjacent highway system. For this study the impact area was defined to be the Bardstown Road intersection with Watterson Trail and the proposed entrances.




Figure 1. Site Map

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Watterson Trail is maintained by Louisville Metro with an estimated 2021 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 9,400 vehicles per day between Hurstbourne Parkway and Bardstown Road, as estimated by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet count station 455. The road has two lanes of eleven feet and four-foot shoulders through study area. The speed limit is 35 mph. There are no sidewalks.

Bardstown Road is a state-maintained road (US 31E) with an estimated 2021 ADT of 25,500 vehicles per day between Watterson Trail and Hurstbourne Parkway, as estimated from the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet 2019 count at station P80. The road is a four-lane highway with twelve-foot lanes, four-foot paved shoulders, and a two-way left turn lane through the study area. The speed limit is 45 mph. There are no sidewalks. The intersection with Watterson Trail is controlled with traffic signal and is part of a coordinated signal system. The intersection with Watterson Trail has left lanes and free-flow right-turn lanes to and from Watterson Trail. The Watterson Trail approach has a shared left and thru. TARC provides service along Bardstown Road.



Peak hour traffic count for the intersections were obtained on April 30, 2015. The peak hours occurred between 7:00 to 8:00 am and 4:30 to 5:30 pm. These counts were compared January 2020 counts on Bardstown Road at Breckenridge Lane and Hurstbourne Parkway. The 2020 counts were similar to the 2015, therefore no adjustment was made to the 2020. **Figure 2** illustrates the existing a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes. The Appendix contains the full count data.




Figure 2. Existing Peak Hour Volumes

## FUTURE CONDITIONS

The project completion date is 2024. An annual growth rate of 0.5 percent was applied to the volumes. This was determined by the historical growth at KYTC station P80. Additionally, trip generation for the approved Hurstbourne Commons has been included. **Figure 3** displays the 2024 No Build peak hour volumes.






Figure 3. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes

### TRIP GENERATION

The Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition contains trip generation rates for a wide range of developments. The land use of “Multi-family (Mid-Rise) (221)” was reviewed and determined to be the best match. The trip generation results are listed in **Table 1**. The trips were assigned to the highway network with the percentages shown in **Figure 4**. **Figure 5** shows the trips generated by this development and distributed throughout the road network during the peak hours. **Figure 6** displays the individual turning movements for the peak hours when the development is completed.

Table 1. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site

Land Use	A.M. Peak Hour			P.M. Peak Hour		
	Trips	In	Out	Trips	In	Out
Multi-family (Mid-Rise) 348 units	116	30	116	147	90	57




Figure 4. Trip Distribution Percentages




Figure 5. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site




Figure 6. 2024 Build Peak Hour Volumes

## ANALYSIS

The qualitative measure of operation for a roadway facility or intersection is evaluated by assigning a “Level of Service”. Level of Service is a ranking scale from A through F, “A” is the best operating condition and “F” is the worst. Level of Service results depend upon the facility that is analyzed. In this case, the Level of Service is based upon the total delay experienced for lanes at stop-controlled intersections.

To evaluate the impact of the proposed development, the vehicle delays at the intersections were determined using procedures detailed in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Future delays and Level of Service were determined for the intersections using the HCS Streets (version 7.9) software. The delays and Level of Service are summarized in **Table 2**. In the signalized intersection reports Bardstown Road is north/south, but will be shown in the tables to match the diagrams.



**Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service**

Approach	A.M.			P.M.		
	2020 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build	2020 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build
<b>Bardstown Road at Watterson Trail</b>	<b>E</b> <b>58.6</b>	<b>E</b> <b>61.1</b>	<b>E</b> <b>63.0</b>	<b>C</b> <b>32.7</b>	<b>D</b> <b>35.6</b>	<b>D</b> <b>37.2</b>
Bardstown Road Eastbound	D 44.8	D 45.3	D 45.9	C 29.0	C 33.3	C 35.4
Bardstown Road Westbound	E 78.1	F 84.1	F 88.1	C 35.0	D 37.7	D 39.1
Watterson Trail Northbound	F 80.9	F 81.5	F 81.6	E 67.5	E 67.4	E 67.4
Watterson Trail Southbound	B 12.4	B 12.4	B 13.6	C 21.4	C 20.8	C 21.3
<b>Watterson Trail at Entrance</b>						
Entrance Westbound			B 14.2			C 17.4
Watterson Trail Northbound (left)			A 7.7			A 8.5
<b>Bardstown Road at Entrance</b>						
Bardstown Road Eastbound (left)			B 13.7			A 9.8
Entrance Southbound			D 26.4			C 16.5

*Key: Level of Service, Delay in seconds per vehicle*

The entrances were evaluated for turn lanes using the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet [Highway Design Guidance Manual](#) dated July, 2020. The traffic impact policy requires using volumes for ten years beyond opening date, or 2034. The 2034 volumes were determined applying a 0.5 percent annual growth rate from 2024. Figure 7 illustrates the 2034 No Build volumes. Figure 8 illustrates the 2034 Build Volumes. Using the volumes in Figure 8, no turn lanes will be required at the entrances. **Table 3** summarizes the delay and Level of Service for 2034.




Figure 7. 2034 No Build Peak Hour Volumes




Figure 8. 2034 Build Peak Hour Volumes

**Table 3. Peak Hour Level of Service (2034)**

Approach	A.M.			P.M.		
	2020 Existing	2034 No Build	2034 Build	2020 Existing	2034 No Build	2034 Build
<b>Bardstown Road at Watterson Trail</b>	<b>E</b> 58.6	<b>E</b> 70.4	<b>E</b> 72.6	<b>C</b> 32.7	<b>D</b> 37.9	<b>D</b> 39.8
Bardstown Road Eastbound	D 44.8	D 45.3	D 45.9	C 29.0	C 36.2	C 38.6
Bardstown Road Westbound	E 78.1	F 102.7	F 107.4	C 35.0	D 40.4	D 42.4
Watterson Trail Northbound	F 80.9	F 81.9	F 82.0	E 67.5	E 67.4	E 68.2
Watterson Trail Southbound	B 12.4	B 12.4	B 13.6	C 21.4	C 20.6	C 21.1
<b>Watterson Trail at Entrance</b>						
Entrance Westbound			B 14.7			C 18.3
Watterson Trail Northbound (left)			A 7.7			A 8.6
<b>Bardstown Road at Entrance</b>						
Bardstown Road Eastbound (left)			B 14.3			A 10.0
Entrance Southbound			D 28.7			C 17.2

*Key: Level of Service, Delay in seconds per vehicle*

## CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the volume of traffic generated by the development and the amount of traffic forecasted for the year 2024 and 2034, there will be an impact to the existing highway network. No improvements are recommended.



**APPENDIX**

Traffic Counts

**Louisville Metro Government**  
 Department of Public Works  
 Traffic Engineering & Operations

File Name : Bardstown Rd & Watterson Trail  
 Site Code :  
 Start Date : 4/30/2015  
 Page No : 4


Start Time	Watterson Trail From North					Bardstown Rd From East					Watterson Trail From South					Bardstown Rd From West					Int	Total
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turns	App Total		
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1																						
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM																						
07:00 AM	99	4	1	0	104	0	362	2	0	364	7	7	39	0	53	7	111	28	0	146	667	
07:15 AM	120	3	2	0	125	2	333	1	0	336	4	11	57	0	72	10	125	28	0	163	696	
07:30 AM	128	12	1	0	141	0	383	2	0	385	4	12	51	0	67	17	121	33	0	171	764	
07:45 AM	116	3	2	0	121	4	332	2	0	338	2	9	44	0	55	6	134	31	0	171	685	
Total Volume	463	22	6	0	491	6	1410	7	0	1423	17	39	191	0	247	40	491	120	0	651	2812	
% App Total	94.3	4.5	1.2	0		0.4	99.1	0.5	0		6.9	15.8	77.3	0		6.1	75.4	18.4	0			
PHF	904	458	750	000	871	375	920	875	000	924	607	813	838	000	858	588	916	909	000	952	920	
Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1																						
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 01:00 PM																						
01:00 PM	52	13	2	0	67	3	184	8	0	195	12	10	31	0	53	22	169	45	0	236	551	
01:15 PM	61	7	2	0	70	3	214	6	0	223	4	11	31	0	46	17	201	44	0	262	601	
01:30 PM	57	12	0	0	69	7	201	11	0	219	12	15	29	0	56	27	191	44	0	262	606	
01:45 PM	65	8	3	0	76	1	201	8	0	210	10	10	27	0	47	25	239	48	0	312	645	
Total Volume	235	40	7	0	282	14	800	33	0	847	38	46	118	0	202	91	800	181	0	1072	2403	
% App Total	83.3	14.2	2.5	0		1.7	94.5	3.9	0		18.8	22.8	58.4	0		8.5	74.6	16.9	0			
PHF	904	769	583	000	928	500	935	750	000	950	792	767	952	000	902	843	837	943	000	859	931	
Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 06:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1																						
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM																						
04:30 PM	68	24	2	0	94	3	164	12	0	179	17	11	49	0	77	49	307	91	0	447	797	
04:45 PM	80	22	5	0	107	8	218	9	0	235	13	14	26	0	53	40	312	79	0	431	826	
05:00 PM	51	23	5	0	79	7	188	7	0	202	16	17	42	0	75	42	300	64	0	406	762	
05:15 PM	68	25	3	0	96	9	157	6	0	172	9	14	37	0	60	60	357	83	0	500	828	
Total Volume	267	94	15	0	376	27	727	34	0	788	55	56	154	0	265	191	1276	317	0	1784	3213	
% App Total	71	25	4	0		3.4	92.3	4.3	0		20.8	21.1	58.1	0		10.7	71.5	17.8	0			
PHF	834	940	750	000	879	750	834	706	000	838	809	824	786	000	860	796	894	871	000	892	970	

HCS Reports

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																														
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Intersection Information</b>																								
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h	0.250																							
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Mar 15, 2021		Area Type	Other																							
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak		PHF	0.95																							
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd		Analysis Year	2020		Analysis Period	1> 7:15																							
Intersection	Watterson Trail		File Name	Bardstown AM 20.xus																										
Project Description	KJS Apartments																													
<b>Demand Information</b>				EB			WB			NB		SB																		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R																		
Demand (v), veh/h	191	39	17	6	22	463	7	1410	6	120	491	40																		
<b>Signal Information</b>																														
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2																											
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End																											
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.8	59.0	12.0	25.7	37.2	0.0																				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0																				
				Red	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	0.0																				
<b>Timer Results</b>				EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT												
Assigned Phase			4		8		5		2		1		6																	
Case Number			11.0		11.0		1.2		3.0		1.3		3.0																	
Phase Duration, s			32.1		43.6		9.1		75.0		19.3		85.3																	
Change Period, (Y+Rc), s			6.4		6.4		7.3		7.0		7.3		7.3																	
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s			5.1		5.4		5.0		0.0		5.0		0.0																	
Queue Clearance Time (gs), s			24.5		39.1		2.5				4.8																			
Green Extension Time (ge), s			1.2		0.0		0.0		0.0		4.1		0.0																	
Phase Call Probability			1.00		1.00		0.29				1.00																			
Max Out Probability			0.01		1.00		0.03				0.24																			
<b>Movement Group Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB																	
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R																		
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16																		
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	242			18			29			487			7			1484			6			148			607			49		
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1796						1851						1668			1795			1598			1730			1738			1610		
Queue Service Time (gs), s	22.5						2.2						0.5			69.1			0.1			2.8			22.5			3.0		
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (gc), s	22.5						2.2						0.5			69.1			0.1			2.8			22.5			3.0		
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.15						0.22						0.37			0.41			0.62			0.41			0.46			0.46		
Capacity (c), veh/h	272						404						224			1458			988			329			1595			739		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.891						0.073						0.033			1.018			0.006			0.451			0.380			0.067		
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90th percentile)	403.2						46.8						9.7			1108.9			4.1			134.9			364.3			52.9		
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90th percentile)	15.9						1.8						0.4			44.0			0.2			5.3			14.0			2.1		
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90th percentile)	0.00						0.00						0.05			0.00			0.01			0.63			0.00			0.00		
Uniform Delay (d1), s/veh	70.8						52.8						35.7			50.5			6.9			75.1			38.0			26.2		
Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh	15.7						0.1						0.1			28.2			0.0			1.3			0.6			0.2		
Initial Queue Delay (d3), s/veh	0.0						0.0						0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0		
Control Delay (d), s/veh	86.5			5.0			52.9			10.0			35.8			78.6			6.9			76.4			38.6			26.3		
Level of Service (LOS)	F			A			D			A			D			F			A			E			D			C		
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	80.9			F			12.4			B			78.1			E			44.8			D								
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				58.6									E																	
<b>Multimodal Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB																	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.47			B			2.63			C			2.16			B			1.93			B								
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.92			A			1.34			A			1.72			B			1.05			A								






### HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information				Intersection Information															
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering			Duration, h	0.250														
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Mar 15, 2021	Area Type	Other														
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	PHF	0.95														
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd	Analysis Year	2024 No Build	Analysis Period	1 > 7:15														
Intersection	Watterson Trail	File Name	Bardstown AM 24 NB.xus																
Project Description	KJS Apartments																		
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Demand (v), veh/h		195	44	17	6	24	510	7	1438	6	133	501	41						
Signal Information																			
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2																
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End																
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.8	59.0	12.0	26.6	36.3	0.0									
				Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	0.0									
Timer Results				EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT	
Assigned Phase				4		8		5		2		1		6					
Case Number				11.0		11.0		1.2		3.0		1.3		3.0					
Phase Duration, s				33.0		42.7		9.1		75.0		19.3		85.2					
Change Period, (Y+Rc), s				6.4		6.4		7.3		7.0		7.3		7.3					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.1		5.4		5.0		0.0		5.0		0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (gs), s				25.3		38.3		2.5				5.5							
Green Extension Time (ge), s				1.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		4.1		0.0					
Phase Call Probability				1.00		1.00		0.29				1.00							
Max Out Probability				0.01		1.00		0.03				0.28							
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R						
Assigned Movement		7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16						
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h		252 18			32 537			7 1514 6			162 609 50								
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in		1797			1852			1668 1795 1598			1730 1738 1610								
Queue Service Time (gs), s		23.3			2.3			0.5 69.0 0.1			3.5 22.6 3.0								
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (gc), s		23.3			2.3			0.5 69.0 0.1			3.5 22.6 3.0								
Green Ratio (g/C)		0.16			0.21			0.37 0.41 0.62			0.41 0.46 0.46								
Capacity (c), veh/h		281			395			223 1458 980			329 1594 738								
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)		0.894			0.080			0.033 1.038 0.006			0.492 0.382 0.067								
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (90 th percentile)		418.5			50.6			9.7 1160.3 4.1			145 365.1 53								
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (90 th percentile)		16.5			2.0			0.4 46.0 0.2			5.7 14.0 2.1								
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)		0.00			0.00			0.05 0.00 0.01			0.67 0.00 0.00								
Uniform Delay (d1), s/veh		70.3			53.5			35.8 50.5 7.1			75.3 37.9 26.0								
Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh		16.6			0.1			0.1 34.2 0.0			1.5 0.6 0.2								
Initial Queue Delay (d3), s/veh		0.0			0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0								
Control Delay (d), s/veh		86.9 5.0			53.6 10.0			35.9 84.7 7.1			76.7 38.6 26.2								
Level of Service (LOS)		F A			D A			D F A			E D C								
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS		81.5 F			12.4 B			84.1 F			45.3 D								
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS		61.1						E											
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS		2.47 B			2.63 C			2.16 B			1.93 B								
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS		0.93 A			1.43 A			1.75 B			1.07 A								



### HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information				Intersection Information																				
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering			Duration, h	0.250																			
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Mar 15, 2021	Area Type	Other																			
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	PHF	0.95																			
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd	Analysis Year	2024 Build	Analysis Period	1 > 7:15																			
Intersection	Watterson Trail	File Name	Bardstown AM 24 B.xus																					
Project Description	KJS Apartments																							
Demand Information				EB		WB		NB		SB														
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R												
Demand ( v ), veh/h	195	46	17	19	28	527	7	1455	6	144	501	41												
Signal Information																								
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2																					
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End																					
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On																					
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Green	1.8	59.0	12.0	26.8	36.1	0.0	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0	Red	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	0.0
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT													
Assigned Phase			4			8	5		2	1		6												
Case Number			11.0			11.0	1.2		3.0	1.3		3.0												
Phase Duration, s			33.2			42.5	9.1		75.0	19.3		85.2												
Change Period, ( Y+R c ), s			6.4			6.4	7.3		7.0	7.3		7.3												
Max Allow Headway ( MAH ), s			5.1			5.3	5.0		0.0	5.0		0.0												
Queue Clearance Time ( g s ), s			25.5			38.1	2.5			6.0														
Green Extension Time ( g e ), s			1.3			0.0	0.0		0.0	4.0		0.0												
Phase Call Probability			1.00			1.00	0.29			1.00														
Max Out Probability			0.01			1.00	0.03			0.30														
Movement Group Results				EB		WB		NB		SB														
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R												
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16												
Adjusted Flow Rate ( v ), veh/h			254	18		49	555		7	1532		6	172	599		49								
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate ( s ), veh/h/ln			1798			1833			1668	1795		1598	1730	1738		1610								
Queue Service Time ( g s ), s			23.5			3.7			0.5	69.0		0.1	4.0	22.3		2.9								
Cycle Queue Clearance Time ( g c ), s			23.5			3.7			0.5	69.0		0.1	4.0	22.3		2.9								
Green Ratio ( g/C )			0.16			0.21			0.37	0.41		0.62	0.41	0.46		0.46								
Capacity ( c ), veh/h			283			389			226	1457		978	329	1594		738								
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ( X )			0.895			0.127			0.033	1.051		0.006	0.524	0.376		0.066								
Back of Queue ( Q ), ft/ln ( 90 th percentile)			421.8			80.3			9.7	1192.9		4.1	153.2	360.5		52.4								
Back of Queue ( Q ), veh/ln ( 90 th percentile)			16.6			3.2			0.4	47.3		0.2	6.0	13.9		2.1								
Queue Storage Ratio ( RQ ) ( 90 th percentile)			0.00			0.00			0.05	0.00		0.01	0.71	0.00		0.00								
Uniform Delay ( d 1 ), s/veh			70.2			54.2			35.7	50.5		7.1	75.4	38.0		26.1								
Incremental Delay ( d 2 ), s/veh			16.8			0.2			0.1	38.2		0.0	1.7	0.6		0.2								
Initial Queue Delay ( d 3 ), s/veh			0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0								
Control Delay ( d ), s/veh			87.0	5.0		54.4	10.0		35.8	88.7		7.1	77.1	38.6		26.3								
Level of Service ( LOS )			F	A		D	A		D	F		A	E	D		C								
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			81.6	F		13.6	B		88.1	F		A	45.9	D										
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS			63.0						E															
Multimodal Results				EB		WB		NB		SB														
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS			2.47	B		2.63	C		2.16	B		1.93	B											
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS			0.94	A		1.48	A		1.76	B		1.08	A											



HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																						
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Intersection Information</b>																
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h	0.250															
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Mar 15, 2021			Area Type	Other															
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak			PHF	0.95															
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd	Analysis Year	2034 No Build			Analysis Period	1> 7:15															
Intersection	Watterson Trail	File Name	Bardstown AM 34 NB.xus																			
Project Description	KJS Apartments																					
<b>Demand Information</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB									
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R										
Demand (v), veh/h	205	46	18	6	25	536	7	1512	6	140	527	43										
<b>Signal Information</b>																						
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2		Green	1.8	58.9	12.0	27.8	35.1	0.0											
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End		Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0											
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On		Red	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	0.0											
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On																			
<b>Timer Results</b>				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT											
Assigned Phase			4				8		5		2											
Case Number			11.0				11.0		1.2		3.0											
Phase Duration, s			34.2				41.5		9.1		75.0											
Change Period, (Y+Rc), s			6.4				6.4		7.3		7.0											
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s			5.1				5.4		5.0		0.0											
Queue Clearance Time (gs), s			26.5				37.1		2.5		5.5											
Green Extension Time (ge), s			1.3				0.0		0.0		4.1											
Phase Call Probability			1.00				1.00		0.29		1.00											
Max Out Probability			0.02				1.00		0.03		0.28											
<b>Movement Group Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB									
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R										
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16										
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h			264		19		33		564		7		1592		6		162		609		50	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln			1797				1852				1668		1795		1598		1730		1738		1610	
Queue Service Time (gs), s			24.5				2.4				0.5		69.0		0.1		3.5		22.6		3.0	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (gc), s			24.5				2.4				0.5		69.0		0.1		3.5		22.6		3.0	
Green Ratio (g/C)			0.16				0.21				0.37		0.41		0.61		0.41		0.46		0.46	
Capacity (c), veh/h			294				382				223		1457		969		329		1594		738	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)			0.899				0.085				0.033		1.092		0.007		0.492		0.382		0.067	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90th percentile)			438.8				52.9				9.7		1313.8		4.1		145.1		365.1		52.9	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90th percentile)			17.3				2.1				0.4		52.1		0.2		5.7		14.0		2.1	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90th percentile)			0.00				0.00				0.05		0.00		0.01		0.68		0.00		0.00	
Uniform Delay (d1), s/veh			69.7				54.5				35.8		50.5		7.3		75.3		37.9		26.0	
Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh			17.7				0.1				0.1		52.9		0.0		1.5		0.6		0.2	
Initial Queue Delay (d3), s/veh			0.0				0.0				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh			87.4		5.0		54.6		10.0		35.9		103.4		7.3		76.7		38.6		26.2	
Level of Service (LOS)			F		A		D		A		D		F		A		E		D		C	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			81.9		F		12.4		B		102.7		F		A		45.3		D			
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS			70.4								E											
<b>Multimodal Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB									
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS			2.47		B		2.63		C		2.16		B		1.93		B					
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS			0.95		A		1.47		A		1.81		B		1.10		A					



### HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information				Intersection Information				Diagram											
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering			Duration, h	0.250														
Analyst	DBZ			Analysis Date	Mar 15, 2021														
Jurisdiction				Time Period	AM Peak														
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd			Analysis Year	2034 Build														
Intersection	Watterson Trail			File Name	Bardstown AM 34 B.xus														
Project Description	KJS Apartments																		
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R							
Demand (v), veh/h	205	48	18	19	29	553	7	1529	6	151	527	43							
Signal Information																			
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2	Green	1.8	58.9	12.0	28.0	34.9	0.0									
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	0.0									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On																
Timer Results				EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT	
Assigned Phase			4		8		5		2		1		6						
Case Number			11.0		11.0		1.2		3.0		1.3		3.0						
Phase Duration, s			34.4		41.3		9.1		75.0		19.3		85.2						
Change Period, (Y+R <sub>c</sub> ), s			6.4		6.4		7.3		7.0		7.3		7.3						
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s			5.1		5.3		5.0		0.0		5.0		0.0						
Queue Clearance Time (g <sub>s</sub> ), s			26.7		36.9		2.5				6.0								
Green Extension Time (g <sub>e</sub> ), s			1.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		4.0		0.0						
Phase Call Probability			1.00		1.00		0.29				1.00								
Max Out Probability			0.02		1.00		0.03				0.30								
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R							
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16							
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	266 19			51 582			7 1609 6			172 600 49									
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in	1798			1834			1668 1795 1598			1730 1738 1610									
Queue Service Time (g <sub>s</sub> ), s	24.7			3.8			0.5 69.0 0.1			4.0 22.3 2.9									
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g <sub>c</sub> ), s	24.7			3.8			0.5 69.0 0.1			4.0 22.3 2.9									
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.16			0.21			0.37 0.41 0.61			0.41 0.46 0.46									
Capacity (c), veh/h	296			376			226 1457 967			329 1594 738									
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.899			0.134			0.033 1.104 0.007			0.523 0.376 0.066									
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (90 th percentile)	442.3			82.9			9.7 1352.2 4.1			152.9 360.7 52.2									
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (90 th percentile)	17.4			3.3			0.4 53.7 0.2			6.0 13.9 2.1									
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.00			0.00			0.05 0.00 0.01			0.71 0.00 0.00									
Uniform Delay (d <sub>1</sub> ), s/veh	69.6			55.2			35.7 50.5 7.4			75.4 38.0 26.1									
Incremental Delay (d <sub>2</sub> ), s/veh	17.9			0.2			0.1 57.6 0.0			1.7 0.6 0.2									
Initial Queue Delay (d <sub>3</sub> ), s/veh	0.0			0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0									
Control Delay (d), s/veh	87.5 5.0			55.5 10.0			35.8 108.1 7.4			77.1 38.6 26.3									
Level of Service (LOS)	F A			E A			D F A			E D C									
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	82.0 F			13.6 B			107.4 F			45.9 D									
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				72.6						E									
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.47 B			2.63 C			2.16 B			1.93 B									
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.96 A			1.53 B			1.83 B			1.11 A									



### HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information				Intersection Information																							
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering			Duration, h	0.250																						
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Mar 16, 2021	Area Type	Other																						
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	PHF	0.95																						
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd	Analysis Year	2020	Analysis Period	1> 4:30																						
Intersection	Watterson Trail	File Name	Bardstown PM 20.xus																								
Project Description	KJS Apartments																										
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB														
Approach Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R														
Demand (v), veh/h		154	56	55	15	94	267	34	727	27	317	1276	191														
Signal Information																											
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2																								
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End																								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	4.9	61.7	16.1	23.7	30.7	0.0																	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0																	
				Red	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.8	0.0																	
Timer Results				EBL			EBT			WBL			WBT			NBL			NBT			SBL			SBT		
Assigned Phase				4			8			5			2			1			6								
Case Number				11.0			11.0			1.2			3.0			1.3			3.0								
Phase Duration, s				30.1			37.1			11.6			80.0			22.8			91.2								
Change Period, (Y+Rc), s				6.4			6.4			6.7			6.7			6.7			6.7								
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.2			5.3			5.0			0.0			5.0			0.0								
Queue Clearance Time (gs), s				22.2			28.8			4.0			2.0														
Green Extension Time (ge), s				1.5			1.9			0.1			0.0			14.1			0.0								
Phase Call Probability				1.00			1.00			0.82						1.00											
Max Out Probability				0.00			0.09			0.00						0.52											
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB														
Approach Movement		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R														
Assigned Movement		7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16														
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h		221 58			115 281			36 765 28			343 1380 207																
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in		1819			1858			1810 1781 1598			1730 1781 1610																
Queue Service Time (gs), s		20.2			9.2			2.0 26.2 0.6			0.0 48.5 5.8																
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (gc), s		20.2			9.2			2.0 26.2 0.6			0.0 48.5 5.8																
Green Ratio (g/C)		0.14			0.18			0.40 0.44 0.62			0.45 0.50 0.50																
Capacity (c), veh/h		254			335			126 1556 977			785 1770 800																
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)		0.871			0.342			0.285 0.492 0.029			0.437 0.780 0.258																
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (90th percentile)		363			181			43.1 401.6 15.7			214.7 580.2 78																
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (90th percentile)		14.4			7.1			1.7 15.8 0.6			8.5 22.1 3.1																
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90th percentile)		0.00			0.00			0.36 0.00 0.04			0.61 0.00 0.00																
Uniform Delay (d1), s/veh		71.6			60.8			39.1 34.6 6.4			48.0 25.5 9.7																
Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh		12.2			0.9			1.8 1.1 0.1			0.2 1.5 0.3																
Initial Queue Delay (d3), s/veh		0.0			0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0																
Control Delay (d), s/veh		83.8 5.0			61.7 5.0			40.8 35.7 6.5			48.2 27.0 10.1																
Level of Service (LOS)		F A			E A			D D A			D C B																
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS		67.5 E			21.4 C			35.0 C			29.0 C																
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS		32.7 C																									
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB														
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS		2.47 B			2.63 C			2.16 B			1.92 B																
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS		0.95 A			1.14 A			1.17 A			2.04 B																



HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																			
<b>General Information</b>							<b>Intersection Information</b>												
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250											
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Mar 16, 2021			Area Type	Other											
Jurisdiction			Time Period	PM Peak			PHF	0.95											
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd		Analysis Year	2024 No Build			Analysis Period	1 > 4:30											
Intersection	Watterson Trail		File Name	Bardstown PM 24 NB.xus															
Project Description	KJS Apartments																		
<b>Demand Information</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Demand (v), veh/h				157	63	56	16	103	293	35	742	31	356	1302	195				
<b>Signal Information</b>																			
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2																
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End																
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	4.9	58.2	16.0	24.7	33.2	0.0									
				Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.8	0.0									
<b>Timer Results</b>				EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT	
Assigned Phase				4		8		5		2		1		6					
Case Number				11.0		11.0		1.2		3.0		1.3		3.0					
Phase Duration, s				31.1		39.6		11.6		76.5		22.7		87.6					
Change Period, (Y+Rc), s				6.4		6.4		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.1		5.3		5.0		0.0		5.0		0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (gs), s				23.2		31.5		4.2		2.0									
Green Extension Time (ge), s				1.5		1.8		0.1		0.0		14.0		0.0					
Phase Call Probability				1.00		1.00		0.82		1.00									
Max Out Probability				0.00		0.32		0.00		0.52									
<b>Movement Group Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16				
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				232			59			125			308						
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1820			1858			1810			1781						
Queue Service Time (gs), s				21.2			9.9			2.2			27.9						
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (gc), s				21.2			9.9			2.2			27.9						
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.15			0.20			0.38			0.42						
Capacity (c), veh/h				265			363			114			1484						
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.875			0.345			0.323			0.526						
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90th percentile)				377.2			192			46.5			425.3						
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90th percentile)				15.0			7.6			1.9			16.7						
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90th percentile)				0.00			0.00			0.39			0.00						
Uniform Delay (d1), s/veh				71.1			59.0			41.8			37.4						
Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh				12.1			0.8			2.3			1.3						
Initial Queue Delay (d3), s/veh				0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0						
Control Delay (d), s/veh				83.2			5.0			59.8			5.0						
Level of Service (LOS)				F			A			E			A						
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				67.4			E			20.8			C						
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				35.6			D												
<b>Multimodal Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.47			B			2.63			C						
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.97			A			1.20			A						



### HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information												Intersection Information					
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250									
Analyst	DBZ			Analysis Date	Mar 16, 2021			Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction				Time Period	PM Peak			PHF	0.95								
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd			Analysis Year	2024 Build			Analysis Period	1> 4:30								
Intersection	Watterson Trail			File Name	Bardstown PM 24 B.xus												
Project Description	KJS Apartments																
<b>Demand Information</b>																	
		EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R					
Demand (v), veh/h	157	67	56	24	106	304	35	759	31	393	1302	195					
<b>Signal Information</b>																	
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2														
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End														
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	4.9	56.8	16.0	25.1	34.3	0.0							
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0							
				Red	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.8	0.0							
<b>Timer Results</b>																	
	EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT		
Assigned Phase			4				8		5		2		1		6		
Case Number			11.0				11.0		1.2		3.0		1.3		3.0		
Phase Duration, s			31.5				40.7		11.6		75.1		22.7		86.2		
Change Period, (Y+R <sub>c</sub> ), s			6.4				6.4		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s			5.1				5.3		5.0		0.0		5.0		0.0		
Queue Clearance Time (g <sub>s</sub> ), s			23.5				32.6		4.2				2.0				
Green Extension Time (g <sub>e</sub> ), s			1.5				1.7		0.1		0.0		14.0		0.0		
Phase Call Probability			1.00				1.00		0.82				1.00				
Max Out Probability			0.00				0.50		0.00				0.52				
<b>Movement Group Results</b>																	
	EB			WB			NB			SB							
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R					
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16					
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	236 59			137 320			37 799 33			401 1329 199							
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1821			1853			1810 1781 1598			1730 1781 1610							
Queue Service Time (g <sub>s</sub> ), s	21.5			10.8			2.2 29.1 0.8			0.0 49.9 7.0							
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g <sub>c</sub> ), s	21.5			10.8			2.2 29.1 0.8			0.0 49.9 7.0							
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.15			0.20			0.37 0.41 0.61			0.42 0.47 0.47							
Capacity (c), veh/h	269			373			112 1455 965			710 1665 753							
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.877			0.366			0.328 0.549 0.034			0.565 0.798 0.264							
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	383.1			206.3			47.3 442.7 21.1			268.2 597.3 91.8							
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	15.2			8.1			1.9 17.4 0.8			10.6 23.5 3.7							
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.00			0.00			0.39 0.00 0.06			0.77 0.00 0.00							
Uniform Delay (d <sub>1</sub> ), s/veh	70.9			58.5			42.6 38.7 7.4			57.9 30.0 13.0							
Incremental Delay (d <sub>2</sub> ), s/veh	12.1			0.9			2.4 1.5 0.1			0.4 1.8 0.4							
Initial Queue Delay (d <sub>3</sub> ), s/veh	0.0			0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0 0.0							
Control Delay (d), s/veh	83.1 5.0			59.4 5.0			45.0 40.2 7.5			58.3 31.8 13.4							
Level of Service (LOS)	F A			E A			D D A			E C B							
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	67.4 E			21.3 C			39.1 D			35.4 D							
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	37.2						D										
<b>Multimodal Results</b>																	
	EB			WB			NB			SB							
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.47 B			2.63 C			2.16 B			1.93 B							
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.97 A			1.24 A			1.20 A			2.13 B							



HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																						
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Intersection Information</b>																
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h	0.250															
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Mar 16, 2021			Area Type	Other															
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak			PHF	0.95															
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd		Analysis Year	2034 No Build		Analysis Period	1 > 4:30															
Intersection	Watterson Trail		File Name	Bardstown PM 34 NB.xus																		
Project Description	KJS Apartments																					
<b>Demand Information</b>				EB			WB			NB		SB										
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R										
Demand (v), veh/h	165	66	59	17	108	308	37	780	33	374	1369	205										
<b>Signal Information</b>																						
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2	Green	5.0	55.7	16.0	25.8	34.5	0.0												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0												
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.8	0.0												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On																			
<b>Timer Results</b>				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT											
Assigned Phase			4			8	5		2	1		6										
Case Number			11.0			11.0	1.2		3.0	1.3		3.0										
Phase Duration, s			32.2			40.9	11.7		74.1	22.7		85.1										
Change Period, (Y+R), s			6.4			6.4	6.7		6.7	6.7		6.7										
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s			5.1			5.3	5.0		0.0	5.0		0.0										
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s			24.2			33.0	4.4			2.0												
Green Extension Time (g_e), s			1.6			1.5	0.1		0.0	14.0		0.0										
Phase Call Probability			1.00			1.00	0.84			1.00												
Max Out Probability			0.00			0.69	0.00			0.52												
<b>Movement Group Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB									
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R										
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16										
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	243			62			132		324		39		821		35		370		1356		203	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1820						1858				1810		1781		1598		1730		1781		1610	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	22.2						10.3				2.4		30.4		0.9		0.0		52.6		7.4	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	22.2						10.3				2.4		30.4		0.9		0.0		52.6		7.4	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.15						0.20				0.37		0.40		0.61		0.41		0.46		0.46	
Capacity (c), veh/h	277						377				104		1433		958		686		1643		743	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.879						0.349				0.376		0.573		0.036		0.540		0.825		0.273	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	394.7						198.7				51.1		461.8		23.2		249.7		629		96	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	15.7						7.8				2.0		18.2		0.9		9.8		24.8		3.8	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.00						0.00				0.43		0.00		0.06		0.71		0.00		0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	70.6						58.1				44.1		39.8		7.8		58.8		31.1		13.6	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	12.8						0.8				3.2		1.7		0.1		0.4		2.2		0.4	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0						0.0				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	83.3			5.0			58.9		5.0		47.2		41.4		7.8		59.2		33.3		14.0	
Level of Service (LOS)	F			A			E		A		D		D		A		E		C		B	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	67.4			E			20.6		C		40.4		D				36.2		D			
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				37.9									D									
<b>Multimodal Results</b>				EB			WB			NB			SB									
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.47			B			2.63		C		2.16		B		1.93		B					
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.99			A			1.24		A		1.23		A		2.18		B					



### HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information				Intersection Information				Diagram								
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering			Duration, h	0.250											
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Mar 16, 2021	Area Type	Other											
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	PHF	0.95											
Urban Street	Bardstown Rd	Analysis Year	2034 Build	Analysis Period	1 > 4:30											
Intersection	Watterson Trail	File Name	Bardstown PM 34 B.xus													
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Demand (v), veh/h	165	70	59	25	111	319	37	792	33	411	1369	205				
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	170.0	Reference Phase	2	Green	5.0	53.6	17.2	26.2	35.1	0.0						
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0						
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.8	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On													
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					11.0		11.0	1.2	3.0	1.3	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					32.6		41.5	11.7	72.0	23.9	84.1					
Change Period, (Y+R <sub>c</sub> ), s					6.4		6.4	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					5.1		5.3	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g <sub>s</sub> ), s					24.6		34.0	4.4		4.2						
Green Extension Time (g <sub>e</sub> ), s					1.6		1.1	0.1	0.0	13.1	0.0					
Phase Call Probability					1.00		1.00	0.84		1.00						
Max Out Probability					0.01		1.00	0.02		0.56						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Assigned Movement	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16				
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	247 62			143 336			39	834	35	399	1330	199				
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in	1821			1853			1810	1781	1598	1730	1781	1610				
Queue Service Time (g <sub>s</sub> ), s	22.6			11.3			2.4	31.7	0.9	2.2	52.2	7.8				
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g <sub>c</sub> ), s	22.6			11.3			2.4	31.7	0.9	2.2	52.2	7.8				
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.15			0.21			0.36	0.39	0.60	0.40	0.46	0.46				
Capacity (c), veh/h	281			384			100	1390	945	679	1621	733				
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.882			0.373			0.390	0.600	0.037	0.588	0.821	0.272				
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (90 th percentile)	402.6			213.4			52.3	480	24.6	267.6	635.7	102				
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (90 th percentile)	16.0			8.4			2.1	18.9	1.0	10.5	25.0	4.1				
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.00			0.00			0.44	0.00	0.06	0.76	0.00	0.00				
Uniform Delay (d <sub>1</sub> ), s/veh	70.4			57.9			45.0	41.6	8.4	61.5	32.8	15.1				
Incremental Delay (d <sub>2</sub> ), s/veh	13.7			0.9			3.5	1.9	0.1	0.5	2.1	0.4				
Initial Queue Delay (d <sub>3</sub> ), s/veh	0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Control Delay (d), s/veh	84.1			5.0	58.8			5.0	48.5	43.5	8.5	62.0	35.0	15.5		
Level of Service (LOS)	F			A	E			A	D	D	A	E	C	B		
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	68.2			E	21.1			C	42.4	D	38.6			D		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	39.7												D			
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.47			B	2.63			C	2.16			B	1.93			B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.00			A	1.28			A	1.24			A	2.21			B



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Watterson Trail at Entran							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Entrance							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Watterson Trail							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Lanes																
<p>Major Street: North-South</p>																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR			LT	
Volume (veh/h)						34		22			183	13		8	540	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						1		1						1		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage							Undivided									
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2							4.1	
Critical Headway (sec)						6.41		6.21							4.11	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3							2.2	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.51		3.31							2.21	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							61								9	
Capacity, c (veh/h)							451								1363	
v/c Ratio							0.13								0.01	
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)							0.5								0.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)							14.2								7.7	
Level of Service (LOS)							B								A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)							14.2								0.2	
Approach LOS							B									



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Watterson Trail at Entran							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Entrance							
Analysis Year	2034							North/South Street	Watterson Trail							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						34		22			192	13		8	567	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						1		1						1		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						0										
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage						Undivided										
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.41		6.21						4.11		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.51		3.31						2.21		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						61								9		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						431								1352		
v/c Ratio						0.14								0.01		
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)						0.5								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						14.7								7.7		
Level of Service (LOS)						B								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						14.7								0.2		
Approach LOS						B										



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Watterson Trail at Entran							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Entrance							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Watterson Trail							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Lanes																
<p style="text-align: center;">Major Street: North-South</p>																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR			LT	
Volume (veh/h)						22		14			450	41		22	412	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						1		1						1		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						0										
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage						Undivided										
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2							4.1	
Critical Headway (sec)						6.41		6.21							4.11	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3							2.2	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.51		3.31							2.21	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						39								24		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						329								1039		
v/c Ratio						0.12								0.02		
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)						0.4								0.1		
Control Delay (s/veh)						17.4								8.5		
Level of Service (LOS)						C								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						17.4								0.7		
Approach LOS						C										



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Watterson Trail at Entran							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Entrance							
Analysis Year	2034							North/South Street	Watterson Trail							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Lanes																
<p style="text-align: center;">Major Street: North-South</p>																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						22		14			473	41		22	433	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						1		1						1		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						0										
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage						Undivided										
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.41		6.21						4.11		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.51		3.31						2.21		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						39								24		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						310								1017		
v/c Ratio						0.13								0.02		
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)						0.4								0.1		
Control Delay (s/veh)						18.3								8.6		
Level of Service (LOS)						C								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						18.3								0.7		
Approach LOS						C								A		



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Bardstown Road at Entranc							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Bardstown Road							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Entrance							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	T				T	TR							LR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	537				1451	9					13		17	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	1											1		1	
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage	Left Only								1							
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12												6.82		6.92
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.21												3.51		3.31
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		0														33
Capacity, c (veh/h)		415														201
v/c Ratio		0.00														0.16
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)		0.0														0.6
Control Delay (s/veh)		13.7														26.4
Level of Service (LOS)		B														D
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.0								26.4							
Approach LOS	D								D							



Apartments  
Bardstown Road  
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																	
General Information								Site Information									
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Bardstown Road at Entranc								
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction									
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Bardstown Road								
Analysis Year	2034							North/South Street	Entrance								
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	KJS Apartments																
Lanes																	
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																	
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound				
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0			0	0	0		0	1	0
Configuration		L	T				T	TR								LR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	564				1525	9							13		17
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	1													1		1
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)	0																
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type   Storage	Left Only							1									
Critical and Follow-up Headways																	
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9	
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12												6.82		6.92	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.21												3.51		3.31	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																	
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		0														33	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		386														184	
v/c Ratio		0.00														0.18	
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)		0.0														0.6	
Control Delay (s/veh)		14.3														28.7	
Level of Service (LOS)		B														D	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.0							28.7									
Approach LOS	D							D									



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Bardstown Road at Entranc							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Bardstown Road							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Entrance							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
Lanes																
<p style="text-align: center;">Major Street: East-West</p>																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	
Configuration		L	T				T	TR							LR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	1382				808	27					9		12	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	1											1		1	
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage	Left Only								1							
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12												6.82		6.92
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.21												3.51		3.31
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		0													23	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		752													337	
v/c Ratio		0.00													0.07	
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)		0.0													0.2	
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.8													16.5	
Level of Service (LOS)		A													C	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.0								16.5							
Approach LOS	C								C							



Apartments  
Bardstown Road  
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Bardstown Road at Entranc							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	3/16/2021							East/West Street	Bardstown Road							
Analysis Year	2034							North/South Street	Entrance							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	KJS Apartments															
<b>Lanes</b>																
<p>Major Street: East-West</p>																
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0		0	0	0		0	1	0
Configuration		L	T				T	TR							LR	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	1453				850	27						9		12
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	1												1		1
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type   Storage	Left Only								1							
<b>Critical and Follow-up Headways</b>																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12												6.82		6.92
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.21												3.51		3.31
<b>Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service</b>																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		0														23
Capacity, c (veh/h)		723														319
v/c Ratio		0.00														0.07
95% Queue Length, Q <sub>95</sub> (veh)		0.0														0.2
Control Delay (s/veh)		10.0														17.2
Level of Service (LOS)		A														C
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.0								17.2							
Approach LOS	A								C							