

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY

LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2019

Services provided by:
QuickCaption, Inc.
4927 Arlington Avenue
Riverside, CA 92504
Telephone - 951-779-0787
Fax Number - 951-779-0980
quickcaption@gmail.com
www.quickcaption.com

* * * * *

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

* * * * *

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: 30 SECONDS, METRO TV.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE REGULAR LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 13TH, 2018, WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MR. CLERK, PLEASE READ THE ROLL CALL.

>> MR. CLERK: [ROLL CALL] MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 19 IN ATTENDANCE AND A QUORUM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, AND PLEASE LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT COUNCILMAN YATES HAS AN EXCUSED ABSENCE. MR. CLERK, ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?

>> MR. CLERK: YES, THERE ARE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: HOLD ON. COLLEAGUES, WE HAVE HAD SOME LOSSES THIS PAST MONTH AND I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT BEFORE WE BEGIN THE MEETING TO RECOGNIZE THOSE LOSSES AND THE PEOPLE WE HAVE LOST IN OUR LIVES AND IN OUR COMMUNITY. JUST THIS MORNING, FORMER ALDERMAN DANNY ROSS WHO MANY OF US KNEW, PASSED AWAY. WE ALSO LOST MAYOR BEN FRANKLIN FROM DRUID HILLS. HE HAD BEEN THE MAYOR THERE FOR AT LEAST TWO DECADES. COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER LOST HER SISTER, JOANNE BLEVINS AND FORMER CHIEF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT PASSED AWAY. IF WE COULD HAVE A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR THEM. THANK YOU. MR. CLERK, ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?

>> MR. CLERK: YES, SIR, THERE ARE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: LET ME REMIND THOSE ADDRESSING THE COUNCIL TO PLEASE REFRAIN FROM USING ANY PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO COUNCILMEMBERS. MR. CLERK, PLEASE BRING THEM FORWARD.

>> MR. CLERK: JONATHON CLUNK.

>> MY NAME IS JONATHON CLUNK AND I STRONGLY OPPOSE A MORATORIUM ON NON OWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICTS. I COULD STAND UP HERE AND REFUTE EVERY NEGATIVE CLAIM AGAINST SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND WHY THEY SHOULD BE ALLOWED BUT THAT WOULD TAKE HOURS. TODAY I WILL FOCUS ON THE PROPOSED MORATORIUM AND WHY IT'S UNNECESSARY. ONE OF THE REASONS SHORT-TERM RENTALS HAVE BECOME A HOT TOPIC IS NOT BECAUSE WE HAVE A ZONING ISSUE BUT AN ENFORCEMENT ISSUE. 100% OF PEOPLE WHO SPOKE AT LAST WEEK'S ZONING MEETINGS AGREES THE CONVERSATION WE SHOULD BE HAVING IS NOT ABOUT LAYERING ADDITIONAL LAWS TO FURTHER COMPLICATE THE ISSUE AND MAKE COMPLIANCE EVEN MORE DIFFICULT. IT SHOULD BE HOW WE COULD IDENTIFY AND ROOT OUT INDIVIDUALS WHO CHOOSE NOT TO FOLLOW THE LAW. IN THE LAST THREE YEARS THE CITY HAS HANDED OUT FEWER THAN TEN FINES TO ILLEGAL OPERATORS. ARGUABLY IF THAT NUMBER WERE MUCH HIGHER, WE WOULDN'T BE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION TODAY. I'M CONVINCED THESE MEASURES ARE BEING SOUGHT OVER FALSE CLAIMS AND EMBELLISHMENTS MADE BY A HANDFUL OF INDIVIDUALS ENGAGED IN SMEAR CAMPAIGNS. IT'S NOT THE EPIDEMIC MANY ARE SUGGESTING, BELIEVE

ME. WE ARE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY IN LOUISVILLE. IN OUR FOUR YEARS IN BUSINESS WE HAVE RECEIVED FEWER NEIGHBOR COMPLAINTS THAN I CAN COUNT ON ONE HAND. I'VE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH ALL THREE COUNCILMEN SUPPORTING THE MORATORIUM, THEY ALL SAY THE SAME, YOU ARE NOT THE ISSUE, THE ILLEGAL OPERATORS ARE THE ISSUE. DISTRICT 9 RECEIVES ON AVERAGE THREE COMPLAINTS PER MONTH, OFTEN THOSE ARE FROM THE SAME INDIVIDUALS MONTH OVER MONTH. DISTRICT 6 HASN'T RECEIVED A COMPLAINT IN THE LAST YEAR AND HAPPILY LIVES NEXT TO NOT ONE, BUT TWO PROPERTIES WE MANAGE. I DON'T SEE A SHRED OF EVIDENCE OF EPIDEMIC REQUIRING ACTION SO IMMEDIATE AND SEVERE IN NATURE THAT WE ENFORCE SOMETHING SO HARSH AS A DRACONIAN MORATORIUM. THIS IS BEING RUSHED THROUGH AT THE END OF THE YEAR WITH AN UNREALISTIC THREE MONTH TIME LINE. MARCH 13TH TARGET IS NEAR IMPOSSIBLE. WORST CASE IS NO CHANGES WILL BE MADE AND WE WILL BE LOOKING TO EXTEND THE MORATORIUM FOR ANOTHER THREE MONTHS. THE BEST THING COUNCIL CAN DO TO IMPROVE ENFORCEMENT IS TO MAKE LAWS FOR THE PERMITTING PROCESS. LASTLY I URGE YOU TO USE COMMON SENSE WHEN EVALUATING THE NEED FOR THIS MORATORIUM THAT WILL IMPACT MANY OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS WHO ARE CURRENTLY IN THE C.U.P. PROCESS. YOU KNOW WHAT A SEVERE ISSUE LOOKS LIKE. AND THIS IS NOT ONE. THANK YOU.

>> MR. CLERK: JAY BOWMAN.

>> LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE COUNCIL, I FIRST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE HARD WORK YOU DO FOR OUR COMMUNITY. I'M A

MAYOR OF A SMALL CITY IN HIGHLANDS AND WE HAVE HAD AN OPEN DISCUSSION HOW TO WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY TO PERMIT SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND COLLECT REVENUE IN THIS PROCESS FOR OUR CITY. I COME TOGETHER NOT ONLY AS A PUBLIC OFFICIAL BUT AS A FULL-TIME REAL ESTATE INVESTOR. TONIGHT I'M ASKING METRO COUNCIL TO IMPOSE THE MORATORIUM ON NON-OWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS. I'VE ATTENDED MANY MEETINGS, READ OTHERS LAWS AND HEARD MANY SIDES TO THIS ISSUE. WHILE THERE ARE SMALL ISOLATED INCIDENTS THAT OCCUR, MOSTLY WHAT I'M HEARING IS FEAR. AND THIS IS BASED ON RUMOR AND MISINFORMATION. THEY ARE TEARING APART THE FABRIC OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD IS ONE THING I HEAR. EVERY COMMUNITY IS ALTERED AS HOUSING TRENDS CHANGE. WAS THE GERMANTOWN NEIGHBORHOOD BEING TORN APART IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS? OF COURSE NOT. IT JUST SIMPLY CHANGED. TODAY IS A SHINING EXAMPLE OF A REVITALIZED AREA OF OUR CITY. TO APPROVE THE MORATORIUM WITH LIMITED ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT IN HOMES AND AREAS THAT ARE STILL STRUGGLING TO IMPROVE. I'VE HEARD OUT-OF-STATE L.L.C.'S ARE COMING IN AND BUYING UP HOUSES AND I HAVE YET TO SEE EVIDENCE OF THIS. I LIVE IN THE HIGHLANDS NOT TWO MILES, I WORK IN THE HIGHLANDS, A PUBLIC SERVANT OF THE HIGHLANDS. TO FALSELY PAINT THE PICTURE THAT I AM THE PROBLEM THAT NEEDS TO BE SOLVED AND DO NOT CARE ABOUT MY COMMUNITY IS AN INSULT TO ME. ANOTHER POINT I HEAR IS PEOPLE ARE BUYING HOUSES AND JUST RENTING THEM OUT. A LOT OF INVESTORS MANY OF WHICH ARE BEHIND ME TONIGHT HAVE SPENT TIME

AND TREASURE IDENTIFYING SPECIFIC PROPERTIES THAT WERE NEGLECTED OR WERE PROBLEM HOMES IN YOUR DISTRICTS. I PERSONALLY HAVE OVER A HALF MILLION INVESTED IN TWO HOMES THAT HAD NUMEROUS PHYSICAL AND TENANT ISSUES. WE AS NON-OWNER OCCUPIED OWNERS BRING VALUE AND REVITALIZATIONS TO THE RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS. THE MORATORIUM SEEKS TO SINGLE US OUT AND END A KEY TOOL TO IMPROVING OUR COMMUNITY. FINALLY, THE COUNCIL CLEARLY SPELLED OUT THE PROCESS THAT THE CITIZENS MUST FOLLOW. WE KNOW WE ARE NEVER GUARANTEED A RETURN IN THIS PROCESS. WE ANALYZE THE RISK AS PROFESSIONALS AND EXECUTE BASED ON THIS DATA. IT IS A BREAK DOWN OF TRUST FOR THE COUNCIL TO ASK US TO OBEY THE RULES AND THEN IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PROCESS CALL FOR A MORATORIUM AND CHANGE THOSE RULES AFTER I AND OTHERS HAVE INVESTED MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN THIS COMMUNITY. INSTEAD OF A MORATORIUM, OR WRITING MORE LEGISLATION, TAKE THE TIME TO ENFORCE THE LAWS YOU DO HAVE. ALLOW THE PEOPLE WHO FOLLOW THE RULES TO CONTINUE THROUGH THIS PROCESS, PROVIDE A DEADLINE FOR OTHERS TO ENTER INTO THE PROCESS, AND THEN BEGIN TO ELIMINATE THE OPERATORS WHO ARE NOT. WHAT I BELIEVE EVERYONE CAN AGREE ON, THERE'S A NEED TO HAVE A CLEAR PATH OF ENFORCEMENT, RATHER THAN A KNEE-JERK REACTION TO A PROBLEM THAT DOES NOT EXIST. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE COUNCIL, I AM NOT YOUR PROBLEM. TONIGHT I'M ASKING YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE MORATORIUM. THANK YOU.

>> MR. CLERK: JENNIFER SCHULTZ?

>> GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS JENNIFER SCHULTZ. OUR HOME IS LOCATED IN THE 1200 BLOCK OF BASSETT AVENUE IN THE CHEROKEE TRIANGLE NEIGHBORHOOD. THE KEYWORD IN MY LAST STATEMENT IS NEIGHBORHOOD. NEIGHBORHOOD IS COMPRISED OF PEOPLE WHO LIVE NEAR ONE ANOTHER, WHO ARE CALLED NEIGHBORS. THEY RELY ON ONE ANOTHER. THEY CHECK IN ON EACH OTHER. THEY HELP ONE ANOTHER. A NEIGHBOR IS NOT SOMEONE WHO COMES TO LOUISVILLE FOR 2-3 NIGHTS TO ENJOY THE BOURBON TRAIL. THAT'S A GUEST. SHORT-TERM RENTALS TURN OUR RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES FROM A PLACE THAT HOUSE NEIGHBORS TO COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISES TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THESE GUESTS. AND RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY THAT'S SERVE AS SHORT-TERM RENTALS MEAN THOSE PROPERTIES ARE NO LONGER AVAILABLE FOR OWNER-OCCUPIED FAMILIES, OR LONG-TERM RENTERS. HOW MANY PROPERTIES ARE WE GOING TO ALLOW TO BECOME SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN THESE NEIGHBORHOODS? THESE ARE NOT JUST INCIDENCES. THESE ARE PROBLEMS GOING ON EVERYDAY IN THESE NEIGHBORHOODS FOR SHORT-TERM RENTALS. THAT'S WHY I'M HERE TONIGHT. I'M HERE AS BOTH A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CHEROKEE TRIANGLE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND AS A RESIDENT IMPACTED BY SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND I SUPPORT THE PROPOSED TEMPORARY MORATORIUM ON CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS FOR SHORT-TERM RENTALS. OVER THE LAST YEAR CHEROKEE TRIANGLE NEIGHBORS HAVE BEEN WAGING A WAR TO GET LOUISVILLE METRO'S ENFORCEMENT ATTENTION AGAINST THREE ILLEGAL SHORT-TERM RENTALS ON GLEN WILLOW AND -- SADLY THERE'S NOT ENOUGH ENFORCEMENT. THE THREE

WERE OWNER OCCUPIED AND THEY ARE OUT OF BUSINESS BECAUSE OF ACTIVITIES OF MY NEIGHBORS, OUR PERSISTENCE. WILLOW AND GLEN MARY AVENUES, 20 FEET FROM MY DOOR, EACH WAS HOLDING OVER 20 PEOPLE. ONE HELD A CUB SCOUT TROOP. THE CUB SCOUT LEADERS DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON BUT TURNS OUT WHEN YOU PUT ABOUT 20 PEOPLE IN A HOUSE, WHO HAS CHECKED FOR PROPER EGRESS. TURNS OUT THE BASEMENT DOORS ARE NOT PROPER EGRESS. WHO HAS MADE SURE THE SMOKE ALARMS ARE WORKING IS THERE A CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTOR? THE ONE ON WILLOW AVENUE HAD A PROPERTY IN THE BACK OF THE STRUCTURE THAT COULD ALSO HOLD TEN PEOPLE. THAT WENT ON FOR YEARS. THAT STARTED BEFORE I MOVED INTO THE HOUSE. SO THE LAST THING I WANT YOU TO KNOW BEFORE I LEAVE IS WHEN I MOVE TO MY HOUSE, I KNEW THAT A SCHOOL WAS DOWN THE STREET. I KNOWINGLY ACCEPTED THE FACT THAT TWICE A DAY I WOULD BE DEALING WITH PARENTS PEALING THROUGH MY NEIGHBORHOOD TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE GETTING THEIR KIDS PICKED UP OR DROPPED OFF ON TIME. HOWEVER, WHEN I BOUGHT MY HOUSE I DIDN'T KNOW I WOULD BE LIVING NEXT TO COMMERCIAL HOTELS. THANK YOU.

>> MR. CLERK: NATALIE HARRIS?

>> THANK YOU, METRO COUNCILMEMBERS FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. MY NAME IS NATALIE HARRIS, I'M EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR COALITION FOR THE HOMELESS. I DON'T THINK I NEED TO TELL ANYONE IN THIS ROOM OR ANYONE WHO HAS DRIVEN DOWNTOWN ANY TIME RECENTLY ABOUT OUR DESPERATE NEED FOR THE COMMUNITY TO ADDRESS STREET

HOMELESSNESS. THE NUMBERS OF PEOPLE WHO ARE LINING UP ON OUR SIDEWALKS OVER THE LAST FEW MONTHS ARE STAGGERING EVEN TO THOSE WHO HAVE WORKED IN THIS FIELD FOR YEARS. VIOLENCE TO PEOPLE SLEEPING OUT, RODENTS AND TRASH, AND INCREASING NUMBER OF PEOPLE WITH SEVERE MENTAL AND PHYSICAL ISSUES THAT CAN'T BE ADDRESSED WHILE THEY ARE SLEEPING ON THE STREETS ARE GETTING WORSE BECAUSE OF THE EXPOSURE. AND THE COMMUNITY DRUG ISSUE. ALL ARE ADDING AND EXACERBATING THIS ISSUE. BUT THESE ARE THINGS YOU KNOW. I'M HERE TONIGHT TO TELL YOU TWO OTHER THINGS. THE FIRST IS THAT I THANK YOU, IF YOU ARE IN SUPPORT, AND I ENCOURAGE YOU IF YOU ARE ON THE FENCE, TO PLEASE SUPPORT FUNDING THAT WILL GET MORE PEOPLE INDOORS BY REMOVING BARRIERS THAT DO NOT ALLOW PEOPLE TO GET INTO THE WHITE FLAG PROGRAM RIGHT NOW. THIS FUNDING IS ESPECIALLY DUE TO THE WORK OF TWO COUNCILMEMBERS. SO I WANT TO ALSO BE VERY THANKFUL TO COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH AND HOLLANDER WHO SAW THIS NEED AND WENT AND MET WITH CITIZENS IN THEIR OWN NEIGHBORHOODS TO TALK ABOUT THEIR NEEDS AND TO TRY TO COME UP WITH A SOLUTION TO THIS PROBLEM. THE SECOND THING I WANT TO DO IS TO NOT JUST ASK YOU TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS, BUT TO PLEDGE MY OWN EFFORTS AND THOSE OF THE HOMELESS COMMUNITY THAT I WORK WITH. NUMBER ONE, OUR STAFF ARE WORKING WITH RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES TO MAKE SURE THAT ANY FUNDS COMMITTED WILL BE USED IN A MANNER THAT WE KNOW WILL BE EFFECTIVE BECAUSE OF WORK WE HAVE ALREADY DONE WITH OUTREACH TEAMS AND WITH THE WHITE FLAG

PROGRAM THAT EXISTS NOW. THANKS TO A COMMUNITY CHALLENGE THAT WILL BE MADE ON MONDAY, WE ALSO DON'T WANT TO LEAVE WHATEVER IS HAPPENING WITH THE FUNDS YOU HAVE COMMITTED, BUT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE ARE ADDITIONAL FUNDS RAISED IN OUR COMMUNITY WITH PRIVATE AND INDIVIDUAL FUNDS THAT CAN BE USED AS WELL. THANKS TO FUNDING THAT YOU ALLOCATED THROUGH THE BUDGET THIS YEAR, WE ALSO JUST SIGNED A COMMITMENT WITH UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE TO DO A STUDY ON WHAT IS GOING ON ON OUR STREETS. HOW IT COULD BE ADDRESSED. WHAT THE BEST PRACTICES ARE. AND WHAT WE WILL DO THIS WINTER THAT WORKS SO WE CAN EXPAND IT TO BE LONG TERM. AND FINALLY, I PROMISE I WILL BE BACK AGAIN HERE IN MARCH WITH THAT REPORT. AND WITH THE RESULTS OF WHAT WE HAVE DONE THIS WINTER TO ENCOURAGE ALL OF US TO WORK EVEN HARDER TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT WE KNOW WORKS WILL CONTINUE YEAR-LONG AND NOT JUST DURING THE WINTER MONTHS. SO PLEASE REACH OUT, IF THERE'S ANYTHING I CAN DO. AND I ASK YOU PLEASE TO CONSIDER VOTING YES TONIGHT ON FUNDING.

>> MR. CLERK: DORY WINBLAD? DAVID SNYDER?

>> GOOD EVENING, COUNCILMEMBERS. WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT AN ORDINANCE TO EXTEND THE 21-DAY NOTICE TO THE CAMPS. I COME BEFORE YOU AGAIN TONIGHT TO ENCOURAGE YOU IN SUPPORT OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE HOMELESS IN OUR COMMUNITY. SINCE THE OPPORTUNITY EARLIER THIS YEAR THAT I HAD TO SPEAK BEFORE YOU, I HAVE CONTINUE TODAY WORK AND BE ENGAGED IN THE ISSUE. I COME

HERE TONIGHT AS A PRIVATE CITIZEN OUT OF DISTRICT 18. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR KEEPING US INFORMED OF THE ISSUES AND MATERIALS AND THE CHANGES GOING ON IN THE BUDGET AND THE ISSUES HERE IN METRO LOUISVILLE. MY WIFE AND I MOVED FROM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA JUST A YEAR AGO, SO AS WE SETTLE IN THE COMMUNITY, I'VE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO WORK FOR NEW DIRECTIONS HOUSING CORPORATION, ON SUNDAY MY WEEKEND JOB, AS I SPEND IN THE KITCHEN AT BEAR GRASS KITCHEN CHURCH COOKING MEALS FOR FED WITH FAITH. IT WAS ON NOVEMBER 16TH I WAS DRIVING DOWNTOWN FOR OUR DAY OF PHILANTHROPY IN THE LUNCHEON WHERE WE AS PROFESSIONALS IN THE FUNDRAISING REALM GET TOGETHER AND PAT OURSELVES ON THE BACK FOR ALL THE GOOD WE DO. WHILE I WANT TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO EXPEND THE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AND THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO FOR THE HOMELESS AND FOR HOUSING IN METRO LOUISVILLE, IT'S HARD SOMETIMES TO PAT OURSELVES ON THE BACK WHEN I'M DRIVING TO THE LUNCHEON ON JEFFERSON STREET AND I SEE THE FOLKS THAT ARE THERE. OVER THANKSGIVING I TOOK THE TIME TO TALK TO SOME OF THE PEOPLE. ONE CAME TO LIVE WITH HIS GIRLFRIEND AND IT DIDN'T QUITE WORK OUT, HE WAS ON THE STREET. A GIRL WHO WORKS AND MAKES \$12 AN HOUR, SAYS IT'S ALL CASH. I HAVE NO WAY OF RENTING, I HAVE NO CREDIT. ANOTHER GENTLEMAN SAID I GOT EVICTED, HOW AM I GOING TO GET BACK INTO AN APARTMENT? ALL WITH INDIVIDUALS WILLING TO STEP FORWARD WHEN I SAID, "WHAT DO YOU NEED"? A LITTLE LADY WITH A BROOM SWEEPING THE STREET BECAUSE SHE IS AFRAID SHE WILL RECEIVE A 21-

DAY NOTICE AND VACATE. I SAID I COULD AT LEAST GIVE YOU A PUSH BROOM AND ROLL OF BAGS SO YOU CAN CLEAN UP. SHE WAS RALLYING THE INDIVIDUALS IN THE UNDER PASS, 3-4 GUYS, SHE COULDN'T HAVE BEEN MORE THAN 20 TO COME OUT AND CLEAN. OVER THE THANKSGIVING WEEKEND THERE WERE SO MANY INDIVIDUALS THAT BROUGHT FOOD, SLEEPING BAGS, CLOTHES OUT TO INDIVIDUALS. SUCH AN OUTPOURING OF ASSISTANCE THAT IT WAS VERY MOVING TO SEE. TO SEE THEM ENGAGED IN THOSE THAT WERE ON THE STREETS WILLING TO INVEST THEIR OWN TIME AND ENERGY TO ASSIST WITH THE ISSUE AS WELL. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT OF THE TASK FORCE, FOR MOVING THE ISSUE FORWARD AND START ALLOCATING THE RESOURCES WE NEED FOR THIS CRISIS TO AVOID ANY FUTURE ISSUES WITH DEATH AND SICKNESS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

>> MR. CLERK: LYNNE RIIPI.

>> GOOD EVENING, AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR LETTING US ADDRESS YOU THIS EVENING. I'M LYNNE RIIPI, THE PRESIDENT OF YOUTH BUILD LOUISVILLE. WITH ME IS SONNY FISHBACK A MEMBER OF THE CLASS OF 2018-2019. WE HAVE GROWN FROM A FOUR-PERSON STAFF IN A TINY CLASSROOM IN JEFFERSON COMMUNITY TECHNICAL COLLEGE TO A 17-PERSON STAFF ON AN ACRE OF LAND IN A BEAUTIFUL GROWING CAMPUS IN SMOKE TOWN. WE ARE WORKING TO ENSURE IN 2019 WE WILL OPEN AN ADDITIONAL 8,000 SQUARE FEET OF TRAINING SPACE BRINGING NEW CLASSROOMS FOR ADDED TRAINING TRACKS OF ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION AND NURSING. WE ARE ADDING SHOWERS AND LAUNDRY FOR

YOUNG PEOPLE HOMELESS IN OUR PROGRAM, COUNSELING SUITES AND A JOB CENTER AND SPACE FOR TWO OTHER NON-PROFITS TO BE ON CAMPUS WITH US FOR SUSTAINABILITY. THIS EXPANSION ALLOWS US TO TRIPLE THE AMOUNT OF YOUTHS IN OUR PROGRAM ON THE YEAR-ROUND PROGRAM AND SUPPORT MORE THAN 3,000 YOUNG PEOPLE THROUGH THE SUMMER WORKS PROGRAM. IN THE SUMMER OF 2018 WE SERVED 3,000 KIDS FROM 31 ZIP CODES IN THIS COMMUNITY. I'M HERE TO ASK YOU TO SUPPORT THE N.D.F. REQUEST SPONSORED BY COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH AND STUCKEL TO HELP THE COMPLETION OF OUR CAMPUS EXPANSION BY SUPPORTING THIS REQUEST YOU WILL ALLOW YOUNG PEOPLE WHO NEED PURPOSE DIRECTION AND SKILLS TO FIND THEM AND MOVE FORWARD WITH THEIR LIVES.

>> MY NAME IS SONNY FISHBACK, I'M A MEMBER OF THE 2018-2019 CLASS. JUST FIVE MONTHS AGO, I DIDN'T HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA OR ANY CAREER GOALS FOR MYSELF OR PLAN FOR MY FUTURE. NOW IN A FEW MONTHS I HAVE MY G.E.D., FORK-LIFT CERTIFICATION AND GOALS I ACHIEVE DAY BY DAY. JUST THIS WEEK I HAD A LEADERSHIP ROLE TO SPEAK IN FRONT OF 600 PEOPLE AND OPPORTUNITY TO TRAVEL OVERSEAS. ONE THING IS FOR SURE, IT'S A FAMILY. YOU GET A FAMILY FEEL AS SOON AS YOU WALK IN THE DOOR. I ALSO GET ENCOURAGEMENT AND I'M HELD TO A HIGHER STANDARD HERE THAN I'VE EVER BEEN THAT PUSHES ME TO DO BETTER THAN THE BEST I CAN. I LIVE IN VALLEY STATION AND MY CURRENT CLASSMATES LIVE ALL OVER LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY. WITH THIS EXPANSION AND HAVING ALL SUPPORT OF YOU GUYS -- I'M

SORRY. WE WILL HAVE THE ABILITY TO SERVE MORE STUDENTS ALL OVER THE CITY AND SERVE THE YOUTH.

>> GO AHEAD AND FINISH.

>> AND GIVING YOUTH THE STABILITY AND STRUCTURE THEY NEED.

[APPLAUSE]

>> MR. CLERK: LUKE NEWBAUER.

>> I'M LUKE NEWBAUER AND I'M IN OPPOSITION TO THE NON OWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS. I'VE LIVED IN THE CLIFTON NEIGHBORHOOD FOR ALMOST A DECADE. WE HAVE SEEN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD CHANGE A LOT THE LAST TEN YEARS, HOPEFULLY FOR THE BETTER. MANY PROPERTIES HAVE BEEN REHABBED TO BECOME SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND I FIRMLY BELIEVE THEY IMPROVE THE FABRIC OF MANY NEIGHBORHOODS, AS THEY HAVE MINE. ONE PROPERTY IN PARTICULAR HAD LONG TERM TENANTS WHO WERE AN ISSUE FOR ALL OUR NEIGHBORS. THEY WOULD DRINK ON THE FRONT PORCH, FIGHT, YELL AT ME AND MY KIDS AS WE WALKED BY AND THEY WERE A NUISANCE UNTIL THE DAY THEY MOVED. I LIVE WITHIN TWO BLOCKS OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS CURRENTLY AND I HAVE NEVER HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT NOISE DISTURBANCES, PARKING ISSUES OR PARTIES. I HAVE SPOKE TO MANY OF MY NEIGHBORS AND WE ALL AGREE IF MANAGED APPROPRIATELY, SHORT-TERM RENTAL COULD BE THE BEST NEIGHBOR YOU COULD HAVE. SINCE I WAS LITTLE I ALWAYS WANTED TO BE AN ENTREPRENEUR, I'M NO ELON MUSK BUT AFTER YEARS OF HARD WORK I WAS ABLE TO QUIT MY DAY JOB AND WORK FOR MYSELF AND THAT'S SOMETHING I'M VERY PROUD OF. MY

FAMILY AND I HAVE INVESTED OVER \$170,000 INTO A PREVIOUSLY ABANDONED PROPERTY IN THE SHELLY PARK NEIGHBORHOOD. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU, BUT \$170,000 IS A LOT OF MONEY TO ME. THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION PUBLICLY WELCOMES INVESTORS AND SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND I WOULD NOT HAVE INVESTED NEARLY THIS MUCH MONEY INTO THIS PROPERTY IF I THOUGHT I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO RENT IT AS A SHORT-TERM RENTAL. CURRENTLY I HAVE A PENDING C.U.P. APPLICATION ON THIS PROPERTY. I DON'T HAVE ANY PARTNERS IN THIS. IT'S JUST ME AND MY FAMILY. A MORATORIUM ON SHORT-TERM RENTALS WOULD REALLY PUT MY BUSINESS AND FAMILY IN SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL RISK. IF PEOPLE COME TO OUR CITY AND THERE ARE NO AIRBNB'S OR LIMITED AIRBNB'S, THEY MAY NOT STAY HERE. SOME WILL CHOOSE A HOTEL. BIG COMPANIES ON HOTELS. ALL OF THAT MONEY DOESN'T STAY IN OUR CITY. I PERSONALLY KNOW DOZENS OF PEOPLE WHO KNOW AIRBNBS OR SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND RENT THEM OUT. I KNOW ZERO PEOPLE WHO OWN HOTELS. IN DIRECT BENEFIT IS ALL THE MONEY MADE HOSTING GOES DIRECTLY INTO THE COMMUNITY AND HAS A POSITIVE EFFECT ON OUR LOCAL ECONOMY. LOUISVILLE IS KNOWN AROUND THE WORLD FOR HORSERACING, BOURBON AND SOUTHERN HOSPITALITY. SHORT-TERM RENTALS MAKE IT EASIER FOR PEOPLE TO ENJOY THE THINGS THAT MAKE OUR CITY UNIQUE. MORE OPTIONS MEANS MORE REASONS TO TRAVEL TO LOUISVILLE. LET'S NOT LIMIT THEIR OPTIONS WHEN IT IS REALLY THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE LAWS THAT WE NEED, THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE. THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF THE ISSUES IS NOT LEGAL OPERATORS BUT

ILLEGAL OPERATORS. IF WE PASS A MORATORIUM MAKING THE LAWS MORE STRICT, WE ARE NOT ADDRESSING THE ROOT CAUSE OF THE ISSUE. WE MUST ENFORCE THE LAWS WE CURRENTLY HAVE BEFORE WE MAKE MORE. PLEASE CONSIDER MY FAMILY WHEN YOU VOTE AND VOTE NO TO THE MORATORIUM. THANK YOU.

>> MR. CLERK: JEFFREY QUIGLEY.

>> GOOD EVENING AND THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY. MY NAME IS JEFF QUIGLEY, 1272 -- AVENUE. I'M IN FAVOR OF THE SHORT-TERM RENTAL MORATORIUM. THIS FORCED US TO TELL MY 3-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER WE HAD TO GO TO THE PARK AND PLAY AND OUR BACKYARD WAS NOT AN OPTION. I RECOGNIZE THIS MAY NOT BE THE NORM THROUGHOUT THE CITY WITH SHORT-TERM RENTALS AND THAT'S OKAY. BUT LIKE IT OR NOT MY DAUGHTER IS NOW GROWING UP NEXT TO A HOTEL GEARED TOWARD LARGE GROUPS OF PEOPLE LOOKING TO HAVE FUN AND TO THIS DAY DOESN'T POSSESS A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. WE HAVE CALLED THE POLICE SEVERAL TIMES TO FILE A COMPLAINT BUT THEY WEREN'T SUBSTANTIATED BECAUSE THEY EITHER DIDN'T SHOW UP OR SHOWED UP TOO LATE TO WITNESS THE BEHAVIOR IN QUESTION. WE HAVE ALSO CALLED 3-1-1, NO FEWER THAN 12 TIMES AND THE OWNER IN QUESTION WAS FORCED TO FILE FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT UNTIL HE REALIZED HE WASN'T GOING TO GET A PERMIT AND RECEIVE BACKLASH FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD, WITHDRAWING IT AND NOW CLAIMING IT AS HIS PRIMARY RESIDENCE. BUT BECAUSE THE BURDEN OF PROOF IS ON US TO PROVE HE DOES NOT RESIDE THERE OUR HANDS ARE CURRENTLY TIED. I BELIEVE STRONGLY IN

PROPERTY RIGHTS AND UNDERSTAND THE ARGUMENT OF THOSE TRYING TO
CREATE ECONOMIC BENEFIT THROUGH SHORT-TERM RENTALS. THE REALITY
IS THE LAW ISN'T STRUCTURED AND ENFORCEMENT NOT AVAILABLE TO
PROVIDE SUFFICIENT PROTECTION TO THOSE WHO CALL THESE
NEIGHBORHOODS HOME. FINALLY I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THE TESTIMONY I
HAVE HEARD FROM SHORT-TERM RENTAL OPERATORS AND OWNERS THE
EFFECTS OF LONG TERM RENTERS IS MORE BENEFICIAL THAN SHORT-TERM.
AS THE MARKET SHOWS SIGNS OF SOFTENING, WHAT WILL HAPPEN WHEN
DEVELOPERS DECIDE TO START SCOOPING UP INDIVIDUALS FOR A HEALTHY
RATE OF RETURN. THERE ARE NO PROVISIONS IN THE LAW THAT PROTECT
AGAINST THIS TODAY. FINALLY, MAKE NO MISTAKE, AIRBNB IS A FOR-
PROFIT ENTERPRISE THAT HOST HUNDREDS OF UNLICENSED PROPERTIES
RIGHT NOW AS WE SPEAK WITH NO REGARD FOR THE LAWS OF OUR CITY OR
COUNTY. AIRBNB CARES ABOUT OUR NEIGHBORHOODS ABOUT AS MUCH AS
FACEBOOK CARES ABOUT PRIVACY OR UBER CARES ABOUT TAXI DRIVERS.
THEY WILL NOT SELF-REGULATE, THIS IS OUR JOB AS CITIZENS AND LAW
MAKERS. I DON'T HAVE ALL THE ANSWERS BUT I STAND HERE TO DELIVER
ONE KEY MESSAGE THERE'S A LOT LEFT TO FIGURE OUT HERE AND THE
CONSEQUENCES OF GETTING IT WRONG ARE ENORMOUS. THANK YOU.

>> MR. CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, THAT CONCLUDES THE ADDRESSES
TO COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MR. CLERK. COUNCILWOMAN
BUTLER. I THINK YOU HAVE SOME SPECIAL GUESTS.

>> COLLEAGUES THIS IS BARRY BARKER, IF YOU DON'T KNOW HIM.

>> HELLO. [APPLAUSE]

>> AND BARRY HAS BEEN DIRECTOR OF TARC FOR NEARLY 25 YEARS.

>> YES.

>> AND IN THAT TIME, I'M NOT SURE IN THE LAST DECADE AND A HALF WE HAVE PAID FULLY FOR A BUS, BECAUSE YOU ARE ALWAYS IN WASHINGTON GETTING GRANTS WHICH IS WHERE I WAS THE LAST TIME WE HAD OUR MEETING AND IT WAS ACTUALLY THE DAY BEFORE HIS RETIREMENT AND THAT'S WHY HE IS HERE TODAY BUT THIS IS DATED FOR THE 29TH. SO WE WANTED TO HONOR YOU FOR YOUR RETIREMENT AND YOUR YEARS OF SERVICE HERE THAT YOU SERVED AS THE TARC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR 25 YEARS, UNDER THE LEADERSHIP, TARC WAS DESIGNATED AS NATION'S URBAN SYSTEM OF THE YEAR IN 2006 BY THE COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA AND RECOGNIZED PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MANAGER, YOU WERE IN 2007 BY THE AMERICAN PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION. AND IN 2012 BARRY WAS HONORED WITH THE SHARON D. BANKS AWARD FOR HUMANITARIAN LEADERSHIP IN TRANSPORTATION FOR THE TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD AT THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES. AND BARRY HAS GROWN THE TARC RIDERSHIP TO 12 MILLION PASSENGERS PER YEAR. AND HE SERVES ON MANY LOCAL BOARDS AND MANY OF THOSE HE HAS HELD LEADERSHIP POSITIONS OF WHICH CURRENTLY PRESIDENT OF DOWNTOWN ROTARY AND HE SERVES ON MANY OTHER BOARDS. WE SERVE ON A LOT OF BOARDS TOGETHER. I HAVE GOTTEN TO KNOW BARRY BETTER THE LAST FEW YEARS. EVERYBODY HAS SIGNED THIS FOR YOU, THAT'S WHAT WE THINK OF YOU AND WHAT YOU

HAVE DONE. WE WANTED TO HONOR YOU WITH THIS, WE APPRECIATE ALL YOU HAVE DONE TO BRING TARC MOVING FORWARD AND WE WISH YOU COULD HAVE DONE MORE WITH YOU BUT WE UNDERSTAND YOU GOT TO RETIRE. WE JUST WANT TO THANK YOU. I KNOW COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL MAY WANT TO SAY SOMETHING. HIS MOTHER WAS ON THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN AT THE TIME THAT MADE TARC POSSIBLE.

>> WELL SHE ISN'T ON THE TARC BOARD TODAY.

>> YEAH, SHE WAS ON TARC BOARD TOO. MR. PRESIDENT, IF YOU COULD LET COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL SAY A WORD IF HE WANTED TO.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IS IT OKAY IF I SPEAK?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ABSOLUTELY.

>> THANK YOU. BARRY, LIKE I SAID WHEN I WALKED IN THE AUDIENCE I TRULY AM GOING TO MISS YOU, YOU WERE A HARD WORKING PUBLIC SERVANT, YOU HAVE DONE A GREAT JOB ON A SHOE STRING BUDGET. AS MARIANNE POINTS OUT, MY MOM, HER PROUDEST MOMENTS ANYTHING SHE HAS DONE, INCLUDING HAVING ME, JUST KIDDING. HER PROUDEST MOMENT PROBABLY 1973 WHEN SHE WENT DOOR TO DOOR IN THE COUNTY AND THE LAST TIME THE OCCUPATIONAL TAX WAS RAISED IN THIS COUNTY BUT IT WAS FOR TARC IN THAT REFERENDUM IN 1974 WHICH PASSED WHICH MADE ALL THIS POSSIBLE. BUT YOU TOOK WHAT HAPPENED IN 1974 AND HIT A HOME RUN WITH IT. WE WILL MISS YOU, GOOD LUCK, GOD SPEED AND HOPE TO SEE YOU OUTSIDE OF THIS. THANKS.

>> IF I CAN, JUST A COUPLE OF THINGS. WE HAVE SOME GREAT STUFF HAPPENING, BUS RAPID TRANSIT. WE HAVE COMPREHENSIVE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS. IN FACT THERE'S A MEETING ON THAT IN ANOTHER VENUE TONIGHT. THAT WILL MORPH INTO A LONG-RANGE PLAN. WE HAVE A WHOLE NEW CONCEPT ON FARE COLLECTION. THAT WILL ENABLE PEOPLE TO NOT WORRY ABOUT HAVING EXACT CHANGE OR CASH ON THEM. AND THEN WE ADDED THE ALL ELECTRIC FLEET. THE BEAUTY OF THAT I THINK WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LINK CHURCHILL DOWNS TO DOWNTOWN IN A VERY MEANINGFUL WAY AND WE HAVE REDEFINED, IF YOU WILL, OR STARTED TO REDEFINE WHAT DOWNTOWN MEANS. AND IT GOES ALL THE WAY OUT TO CHURCHILL DOWNS. BUT WORKING WITH ALL OF YOU IS A MUCH MORE GRANULAR LEVEL. MANY OF YOU HAVE WORKED WITH MY ALTER EGO MARK ADAMS. IF YOU RECALL, HE IS STILL RUNNING AROUND. YEP. HE TAKES CARE OF ALL OF THE DETAIL. HE WAS THE COUNTY ENGINEER AT ONE POINT AND WHAT I LIKE TO TELL PEOPLE IS THAT I HIRED HIM TO UNDO ALL OF THE RED TAPE, YOU SPENT A CAREER CREATING. BUT HE HAS DONE SOME INCREDIBLE STUFF WITH WORKING WITH ALL OF YOU ABOUT SHELTERS AND ABOUT BUS STOPS. AND THE NEAT PART ABOUT EACH AND EVERYONE OF YOU, YOU HAVE THIS DISTRICT AND YOU KNOW THAT DISTRICT BETTER THAN ANYONE AND YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO CONVEY THAT, WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SIT DOWN AND TALK ABOUT WHAT WE CAN REALLY DO FOR THE FOLKS IN THOSE DISTRICTS. JUST IN CLOSING, TARC IS NOT A MONEY-MAKING ENTERPRISE. I KNOW THAT COMES AS A SHOCK TO SOME PEOPLE. BUT IT ISN'T. IF YOU THINK OF THE TRIPLE

BOTTOM LINE OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL, AND BY SOCIAL, WE HAVE TAKEN TO MEAN THAT THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN A COMMUNITY IS ONLY AS GOOD AS IT IS FOR THE LEAST RESOURCED PERSON IN THAT COMMUNITY. AND YOU HAVE AGAIN, WE HAVE WORKED TOGETHER TO ADDRESS THAT. AND I THANK YOU ALL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY. TAKE CARE. [APPLAUSE] WOW, A STANDING OVATION. THAT DOESN'T COME AROUND OFTEN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER. COUNCILMAN DR. PRESIDENT BLACKWELL. I THINK YOU HAVE SPECIAL GUESTS.

>> I DO, THANK YOU.

>> YES, I DO. THANK YOU. COLLEAGUES, IT MAY FEEL LIKE WE HAVE ALREADY DONE THIS BEFORE, THAT WE BROUGHT HOLY CROSS'S CROSS COUNTRY TEAM IN AND HONORED THEM. WE HAVE DONE THIS BEFORE, ABOUT THE SAME TIME LAST YEAR, WE DID THE SAME THING. THIS TEAM WENT OUT AND DID WHAT ALL COACHES HOPE THE TEAMS WILL DO. A REPEAT. WE WERE TALKING EARLIER AND THINKING MAYBE WE JUST NEED TO RESERVE THE BACK ROW FOR THIS MEETING. THEY HAVE NO INTENTION OF GIVING THIS UP. THEY ARE JUST GOING TO CONTINUE TO GO AND GO. PRETTY IMPRESSIVE GROUP. I WILL HAVE COACH COME UP IN A MINUTE AND TALK ABOUT THEM A LITTLE BIT MORE. I HAVE PROCLAMATIONS FOR EACH OF THEM. HONORING THEM AS STATE CHAMPIONS. SO THEY ARE THE 2018 HOLY CROSS HIGH SCHOOL CLASS-A CROSS COUNTRY CHAMPIONSHIP TEAM. AND THEY WERE ABLE TO THIS

YEAR, LED BY THEIR LEADER KEATON THORNSBURY WHO IS NOT ONLY A REPEAT, BUT THREEPEAT CHAMPION. I JUST FIND THAT UNBELIEVABLE. AS A PERSON WHO CAN'T RUN AT ALL, I FIND THAT UNBELIEVABLE YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT. AND ALSO, CALEB BOLLIS CAME IN SECOND, THEY BEAT LEXINGTON CHRISTIAN, 62-105. THAT'S A BIG GAP. I WILL CALL UP COACH JOE BEAKLER. AND WE HAVE THE PROCLAMATIONS. I WILL LET YOU SAY A FEW WORDS AND THEN WE WILL BRING YOU UP INDIVIDUALLY, HOW IS THAT?

>> THAT SOUNDS GREAT. THANK YOU. WHEN I STARTED RUNNING 40 YEARS AGO ONE OF THE KEY MEMBERS OF MY TEAM, ONE OF THE LEADERS WAS NONE OTHER THAN COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER OVER HERE. KEVIN, GOOD TO SEE YOU. HOW IS THE RUNNING GOING? [LAUGHTER]

>> NOT AS WELL FOR ME AS FOR YOU.

>> THANKS VERY MUCH FOR THE RECOGNITION THIS YEAR. I KNOW THE ENTIRE HOLY CROSS COMMUNITY AND THE CROSS COUNTRY TEAM AND SUPPORTERS IN THE BACK CORNER IN PARTICULAR, WE ARE HONORED AND WE ARE VERY APPRECIATIVE. THE GUYS WORKED VERY HARD THIS SUMMER, I THINK WE RAN OVER 10,000 MILES. WE COMPETED IN MULTIPLE STATES AGAINST THE BEST COMPETITION. WE OVERCAME SOME UNUSUAL AILMENTS SUCH AS PNEUMONIA AND GALL BLADDER TROUBLE. NOT THE USUAL RUNNING AILMENTS. I WAS GOING TO ACKNOWLEDGE CALEB AND KEATON AS OUR SENIOR LEADERS. KEATON NOT ONLY THREEPEAT, HE WAS THE ONLY RUNNER-UP THAT YEAR. WE LOOK FORWARD TO UPDATING OUR SIGN ON DIXIE HIGHWAY GIVEN THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE ROAD CONSTRUCTION.

I THINK THE CARS WILL BE GOING SLOWLY ENOUGH, PROBABLY MORE SLOWLY THAN THESE GUYS RUN, THEY WILL ACTUALLY BE ABLE TO SEE WHAT IT SAYS. [LAUGHTER] WE DO HOPE TO BE BACK HERE NEXT YEAR. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

>> THAT WAS THE BUS RAPID TRANSIT COMING ON DIXIE HIGHWAY, EVENTUALLY. WHAT WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO DO, I WILL CALL YOUR NAME AND GIVE YOU YOUR CERTIFICATE. IF YOU WOULD LINE UP OVER HERE AND WE WILL TAKE YOUR PICTURE. COACH, I HAVE YOURS FIRST. STEPHEN ADAMS. AUSTIN ACKRIDGE. CODY ACKRIDGE. CALEB BOLLIS. CHASE BROWN. KAYDEN HEWITT. JOSH MAMEN. J.T. RUCTENWALD. D.K. SNYDERS. JOSH SMALLY. KEATON THORNSBURY. OOPS, I JUST DID THAT BACKWARDS. AND CALEB WEGANT. COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN ENCOURAGED TO RUN AGAIN WANTS TO JOIN THE TEAM. AND COUNCILMAN YATES WHO WASN'T ABLE TO BE HERE, ASSIGNED BY MYSELF AND COUNCILMEMBER YATES WHO IS A PROUD HOLY CROSS GRADUATE AS WELL BUT HE WASN'T ABLE TO BE HERE TONIGHT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I THINK ALSO COUNCILMEMBER GREEN WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING.

>> I WANTED TO SAY THESE GUYS ARE SOME REAL ATHLETES. MY SON STARTED RUNNING CROSS COUNTRY THE FIRST TIME THIS YEAR, HE IS 9 YEARS OLD AND RUNS FOR THE NORTHEAST STRIDERS. IN THIS FIRST SEASON HE HAS BEEN ALL STATE, WE TRAVELED TO FOOT LOCKER SOUTH, HE IS ALL SOUTH. I HAVE REALLY FALLEN IN LOVE WITH THE SPORT OF CROSS COUNTRY. I DIDN'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT IT. I TALKED TO

THE YOUNG MEN HERE. THESE GUYS ARE REALLY SOME TRUE ATHLETES. THEY SAY SOMETIMES RUNNING IS OTHER SPORTS' PUNISHMENTS. SO OFTEN TIMES PEOPLE DON'T THINK OF RUNNING AND GIVE THEM THE CREDIT FOR BEING THE TRUE ATHLETES THEY ARE. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S TRULY PHENOMENAL. CONGRATULATIONS, GUYS. WHO CAN I HIRE IN THE OFF SEASON TO TRAIN MY SON? I WILL GET WITH YOU, COACH, ON THAT SOON. CONGRATULATIONS, GENTLEMEN. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL. AND COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH. I THINK YOU ALSO HAVE SOME VERY SPECIAL GUESTS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND COLLEAGUES. WE HAVE ANOTHER VERY SPECIAL GROUP OF YOUNG MEN AND THEIR LEADERS IN THE HOUSE WITH US TONIGHT. IT WAS NOT TOO LONG AGO THAT THE LADY YELLOWJACKETS WERE HERE AS WE CELEBRATED THEIR CHAMPIONSHIP ON AND OFF THE COURT AND IN LIFE. WHOM WE HAVE WITH US TODAY, COME AROUND AND FLANK BOTH SIDES. I WANT EVERYBODY TO BE SEEN ON TV WE NEED SOME OVER HERE. COME ON UP HERE. ALL RIGHT. GENTLEMEN, WE HAVE GATHERED THIS EVENING SO THE ENTIRE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL CAN RECOGNIZE YOU AND HONOR YOU, LIFT YOU UP AND ENCOURAGE YOU FOR THE GREAT WORK THAT YOU HAVE BEEN DOING AS STUDENTS IN ACADEMIA AT CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL AND MOST IMPORTANTLY MR. PRESIDENT, STANDING BEFORE YOU ARE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE 2018 KENTUCKY HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION 3A FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP TEAM! [APPLAUSE] YELLOWJACKETS! MICAH PLEASE JOIN

ME AT THE PODIUM. THIS IS MICAH WILLIAMS. I WILL READ THE PROCLAMATION AND I WILL READ THE OTHER PROCLAMATION FOR THE OTHER TWO PLAYERS. THIS IS YOUR 15 SECONDS OF FAME ON TELEVISION. THERE WE GO. LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL TO WHOM ALL THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL HERE BY HONORS AND RECOGNIZES AND AWARDS THIS CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION TO MICAH WILLIAMS. CONGRATULATIONS ON YOUR KENTUCKY HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION CLASS 3A FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP. AS A STUDENT OF CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL YOU ARE A TRUE CHAMPION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HARD WORK AND DEDICATION ON AND OFF THE FOOTBALL FIELD. WE HEREBY CONFER THIS HONOR WITH ALL THE RIGHTS PRIVILEGES RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO APPERTAINING AND TESTIMONY THEREOF WE HAVE CAUSED THESE TESTIMONIES TO BE MADE AND THE GOLDEN SEAL OF THE METRO GOVERNMENT TO BE HERE UNTO AFFIXED DONE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ON THIS DAY, THE 13TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2018 IN NONE OTHER THAN HISTORIC CITY HALL, SIGNED BY YOUR COUNCILWOMAN REPRESENTING DISTRICT 4, BARBARA SEXTON SMITH. MICAH WILLIAMS, CONGRATULATIONS! [APPLAUSE] MR. DOREL HOWARD JUNIOR, CONGRATULATIONS. AND MR. JONATHON TUCKER, CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE] MR. PRESIDENT, IF ANYBODY IS WONDERING WHERE DID I COME UP WITH A THREE-MAN HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL TEAM FOR 3A CHAMPIONSHIP, THEY CHOSE TO COME AND REPRESENT THE ENTIRE TEAM. AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE FOR COACH

PLEASE JOIN ME, MARVIN DANCELER. COACH, WE HAVE SPECIAL RECOGNITION FOR YOU AS WELL. IT'S FROM THE ENTIRE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL. WE HAVE SOMETHING MORE TO SAY TO YOU, SIR. AS A COACH AND A MENTOR AND LEADER OF THIS MAGNIFICENT CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL TEAM, WE RECOGNIZE YOU FOR INSTILLING HOPE IN THE HEARTS OF THESE YOUNG MEN AND GIVING THEM A VISION THEY COULD NEVER IMAGINE ON THEIR OWN. THANK YOU FOR YOUR COACHING AND YOUR LEADERSHIP ON AND OFF OF THE FOOTBALL FIELD. THANK YOU, SIR. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE] ASSISTANT COACH RYAN BRINGERST. STEP UP FOR YOUR 15 SECONDS OF FAME, SIR. WE WOULD LIKE TO CONGRATULATE YOU ON YOUR ROLE IN BRINGING HOME THE 3A CHAMPIONSHIP. AND SIR, THANK YOU FOR RECOGNIZING THE TALENT IN THESE YOUNG MEN ON AND OFF THE FOOTBALL FIELD AND FOR INSTILLING HOPE IN THEIR HEARTS AND HELPING GIVE THEM A VISION THEY COULD NEVER IMAGINE ON THEIR OWN. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE] ONE MORE, MR. PRESIDENT. CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL COULD NOT BE WHAT SHE IS TODAY NOR WHAT SHE ENVISIONS TO BE AS TIME GOES FORWARD WITHOUT TRUE LEADERSHIP COMING FROM THE VERY TOP. STANDING WITH US THIS EVENING IS PRINCIPAL RAYMOND GREEN. RAYMOND GREEN HAS STOOD BEFORE YOU ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS TO RECEIVE RECOGNITION. TONIGHT, SIR, WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE YOU FOR BEING THE PRINCIPAL YOU ARE, THE MAN YOU ARE, AND FOR THE LEADERSHIP YOU ARE WILLING TO GIVE EVERY DAY TO MAKE TRUE CHAMPIONS OUT OF MEN AND WOMEN COMING THROUGH THE HALLS OF CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL.

WE HAVE CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES SERVING ON THE METRO COUNCIL. IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE STAND, SO WE CAN JOIN AND RECOGNIZE THE YELLOWJACKET GRADUATES IN THE HOUSE TONIGHT! [APPLAUSE] COACH, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SHARE A COUPLE OF WORDS?

>> FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR WELCOMING US TO YOUR MEETING. IT MEANS A LOT TO ME AND TO THE GUYS. WE ARE GLAD WE WERE ABLE TO BRING A CHAMPIONSHIP BACK TO THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE, ONCE AGAIN, APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.

>> TONY, WOULD YOU LIKE TO GRAB A QUICK PICTURE, PLEASE? THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> COLLEAGUES, I HAVE TRACY DOTSON. A TRUE LEADER OF OUR COMMUNITY. HE IS A MAN WHO STANDS UP EVEN IN THE FACE OF ABSOLUTE ADVERSITY. CHALLENGES HE CANNOT WIN, NONETHELESS HE IS A MAN OF CHARACTER AND PRINCIPLE. A MAN WHO HAS LED THE F.O.P. CORRECTION 77 INTO THE CENTRAL LABOR COUNCIL WHICH UNITES TWO LABOR ORGANIZATIONS IN THE PAST HADN'T BEEN FULLY UNIFIED. SO HE IS A TRAIL BLAZER. A MAN OF MUCH RESPECT, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. TO MANY OF US HERE, YOU MAY NOT KNOW, HE IS RAY MANLY'S SON-IN-LAW. I WAS PLEASED TO LEARN OF THAT. HE IS HERE TONIGHT AS MY GUEST UNDER A RUSE. THAT RUSE I WILL TURN IT OVER TO YOU TRACY AND LET YOU RUN WITH IT.

>> I'M JUST GLAD IT'S OVER. COUNCIL PERSONS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M TRACY DOTSON, PRESIDENT OF F.O.P.77 BEFORE I GET TO MY BRIEF BUSINESS, TO THE OUT GOING COUNCILMEMBERS, THE WORLD IS FULL OF TAKERS AND THOSE WHO GIVE OF THEMSELVES DESERVE EVERYTHING THEY GET AND I WISH YOU WELL IN YOUR FUTURE ENDEAVORS. I'VE BEEN CARRYING THIS AROUND FOR ABOUT A WEEK AND IT SCARED ME TO DEATH AND I WAS AFRAID I WAS GOING TO BREAK IT. OVER A DECADE AGO, MY MEMBERS LOST THEIR BINDING ARBITRATION THROUGH NEGOTIATION WITH THE CITY. AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT ONCE YOU LOSE IT, YOU DON'T THINK YOU ARE EVER GOING TO SEE IT AGAIN. GRADUALLY LABOR ORGANIZATIONS AND C.B.A.'S AROUND THE CITY LOST IT AS WELL. FOR YEARS WE HAVE TRIED TO NEGOTIATE IT BACK IN. I KNOW THAT FOR YEARS I HAVE SPOKEN WITH VARIOUS COUNCILMEMBERS AND F.O.P. MEMBER DAVID JAMES ON THE ISSUE AS WELL AS DOING EVERYTHING WE COULD TO EVEN GET A DISCUSSION ABOUT BINDING ARBITRATION AND WERE FRUITLESS WITH ALL THOSE ENDEAVORS. MY MEMBERS, WE KNOW RECENTLY METRO COUNCIL VOTED AND THE MAYOR SIGNED INTO LAW BINDING ARBITRATION FOR ALL COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT CITY EMPLOYEES ABOUT 77% OF METRO GOVERNMENT'S EMPLOYEES AND WE WILL BE FOREVER GRATEFUL FOR THAT. MY MEMBERS AS WELL AS MY EXECUTIVE BOARD WANTED TO SHOW OUR APPRECIATION FOR THE PERSON WHO LED THAT CHARGE FOR US AND HAVE HAD THOSE DISCUSSIONS WITH US FOR YEARS. THAT WOULD BE PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL, DAVID JAMES. SIR, IF YOU WOULD STEP DOWN HERE

PLEASE, AND ACCEPT THIS AWARD BEFORE I BREAK IT. I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT VERY MUCH. THANK YOU. SHE WILL TAKE THAT GAVEL. COME ON DOWN, SIR. IF I MAY, QUICKLY, TO LOUISVILLE METRO -- THAT'S THE WRONG ORGANIZATION FOR YOU. TO LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT DAVID JAMES FROM F.O.P. CORRECTIONS LODGE NUMBER 77 PRESIDENT TRACY DOTSON AND MEMBERS IN RECOGNITION OF YOUR STEADFAST SUPPORT AND HARD WORK AND REPRESENTATION OF OUR NEEDS AND CONCERNS SECURING BINDING ARBITRATION FOR THE MEMBERS OF F.O.P. NUMBER 77. [APPLAUSE] HE HAS BEEN EYEING ME THIS ENTIRE TIME WONDERING WHAT I WAS DOING HERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M PROUD OF THE WORK YOU ALL DO. COUNCILMEMBERS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. PLEASE CONTINUE YOUR HARD WORK AND GOOD LUCK TO THOSE LEAVING THE COUNCIL. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WELL THAT WAS PRETTY SMOOTH. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I KEPT WONDERING WHAT MY WIFE WAS DOING IN THE AUDIENCE. [LAUGHTER] I JUST NOTICED SOMEBODY IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM I WOULD LIKE FOR US ALL TO RECOGNIZE, LONG TIME COUNCILMEMBER AND BOARD OF ALDERMAN MEMBER TOM OWENS. PLEASE STAND UP. [APPLAUSE] WE HAVE SPECIAL PROCLAMATION AND THAT IS WITH COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. WILL THE HIGH SCHOOL COME DOWN, PLEASE. WE HAVE A LOT OF GREAT THINGS HAPPENING IN THE COMMUNITY. MOST OF THE TIME WE FOCUS ON THE NEGATIVE THINGS THAT HAPPEN. AND WE HAVE WITH US TODAY ONE OF THE BEST SCHOOLS IN

KENTUCKY AND THE NATION. MIDDLE HIGH SCHOOL. THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING YOU DO IN THE CLASSROOM, ACADEMICALLY AND THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING YOU DO ON THE FIELD OF PLAY. AND COACHES, THANK YOU AS WELL. WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION HERE FOR YOU. WE ALSO HAVE PINS FOR ALL THE PLAYERS. I WANT TO READ THIS. TO ALL WHOM THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS. KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HEREBY HONORS AND RECOGNIZES MIDDLE LOUISVILLE HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL TEAM. DECEMBER 13TH, 2018, AFTER A SUCCESSFUL SEASON AND FOR BEING OUR 2018 CLASS 6A FOOTBALL CHAMPIONS. NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THE RESIDENTS OF DISTRICT 21 AND MEMBERS OF LOUISVILLE CITY COUNCIL HEREBY EXTENDS CONGRATULATION AND APPRECIATION FOR YOUR SERVICE. WE CONFER THIS HONOR WITH ALL THE RIGHTS PRIVILEGES RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO PERTAINING. IN TESTIMONY WHERE FOR WE HAVE CAUSED THESE LETTERS TO BE MADE AND SEAL OF LOUISVILLE GOVERNMENT TO BE HERE UNTO AFFIXED ON THIS DAY 13TH DECEMBER 2018, SIGNED BY YOUR COUNCILMAN VITALIS LANSHIMA. COACH, THIS IS FOR YOU. AND I ALSO HAVE HERE A PIN FOR ALL THE PLAYERS. THANK YOU. COACH, YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING?

>> SURE. ON BEHALF OF MIDDLE HIGH SCHOOL, WE JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO BE HERE, I'M BLESSED TO BE THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AT THE BEST SCHOOL IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY. OUR HEAD COACH IS SPEAKING AT THE BANQUET, COACH WOLF AND COULDN'T BE HERE. THIS REPRESENTS 6A STATE CHAMPIONSHIPS AND REPRESENT THE BEST AND BRIGHTEST OF LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY AND

J.C.P.S. ALL 23 SENIORS ARE GOING TO COLLEGE TO MAJOR IN NUMEROUS -- [APPLAUSE] THANK YOU. I TOLD YOU THIS IS THEIR NIGHT. I WANT TO INTRODUCE YOU TO ONE OF THE CAPTAINS, ONE OF OUR STARTERS, LEADERS, JAYDEN RANKIN. BESIDES BEING OUTSTANDING FOOTBALL PLAYER HE HOLDS A 3. -- G.P.A. WHICH ISN'T EASY TO DO. I THINK HE IS TRYING TO TAKE MY JOB AFTER HE GETS OUT OF COLLEGE. JAYDEN?

>> THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO BE HERE TODAY AND I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING YOU DO FOR OUR COMMUNITY. I CAN'T SAY ENOUGH, I KNOW THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT JOB AND IT'S JUST AN HONOR TO BE HERE FROM MY HIGH SCHOOL AND REPRESENT THE HIGH SCHOOL. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN?

>> I JUST WANT TO SAY, I HAVE TWO GRANDSONS ON THAT TEAM. THERE'S ONE OVER THERE. [LAUGHTER] HE IS PROBABLY HIDING. BUT HE IS RIGHT, WELL. HE IS COMING THROUGH WITH THE GLASSES ON. I WANT TO MAKE SURE I TAKE A PICTURE WITH THEM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, MA'AM.

>> MR. PRESIDENT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> THANK YOU. I WANT TO CONGRATULATE THEM. 30 YEARS AGO I HAD THE PRIVILEGE TO GRADUATE FROM MIDDLE HIGH SCHOOL. WE DIDN'T WIN ANY CHAMPIONSHIPS DURING MY YEARS BUT I'M GLAD ONE OF THESE GUYS BROUGHT ONE HOME, ALL MY LIFE WANTED TO BE A BULLDOG, WOOF.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO CONGRATULATE, MY SON IS IN THE AUDIENCE, HE WAS A GRADUATE. MY GRANDCHILDREN ARE GRADUATES. GO BULLDOG.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO CONGRATULATE THEM, I HAVE FILMED THEIR FOOTBALL GAMES FOR 25 YEARS. IT'S BEEN GOOD TO SEE THEM WIN AGAIN. THANK YOU. CONGRATULATIONS, MALE HIGH SCHOOL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THERE'S ANOTHER TEAM THAT WON A CHAMPIONSHIP. CHRISTIAN -- THEY ARE HAVING THEIR BANQUET TONIGHT. WANT TO MAKE SURE THEY GET THEIR CONGRATULATIONS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: CONGRATULATIONS, CHRISTIAN ACADEMY. COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, HOW DO YOU FOLLOW THAT? BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY. WE TALK ABOUT UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE BASKETBALL TEAM AND THERE'S A HIDDEN GEM HERE OF BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY. IT'S BEEN NOTHING SHORT OF MIRACULOUS. COACH DAVENPORT AND HIS TEAM CAPTAINS. COACH, I HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR THE TEAM. THEY HAVE WON A NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP AND BEEN RUNNER-UP SEVERAL TIMES BUT THEY HAVE NEVER BEEN RECOGNIZED. I THINK IT'S TIME WE RECOGNIZE THE THINGS THEY DO IN THE COMMUNITY. SO TO ALL WHOM THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS. KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HEREBY RECOGNIZES BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY BASKETBALL TEAM. CURRENTLY RANKED NUMBER ONE IN DIVISION II BASKETBALL IN 2017-

2018 SEASON. THE KNIGHTS FINISHED 29-3 AND CAPTURED THEIR SECOND GREAT LAKES VALLEY CONFERENCE TITLE IN A ROW. IN 2011 THE KNIGHTS CAPTURED -- CHAMPIONSHIP IN ANY SPORT, THE BELLARMINE KNIGHTS CONTINUE ON THIS PREMIER BASKETBALL PROGRAM IN THE N.C.A.A. DIVISION II. NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, THE RESIDENTS OF DISTRICT 21 AND MEMBERS OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HEREBY EXTENDS CONGRATULATIONS AND APPRECIATION FOR YOUR OUTSTANDING COMMUNITY SERVICE AND CONFER THIS HONOR WITH THE RIGHTS PRIVILEGES AND RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO -- TESTIMONY WE HAVE CAUSED THESE TO BE MADE AND SEAL OF LOUISVILLE GOVERNMENT TO BE HERE UNTO AFFIXED. DONE IN LOUISVILLE THIS DAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, TEAM. AND I WANT TO ASK COUNCILMEMBER COAN TO JOIN ME HERE, IF YOU DON'T MIND. I KIND OF OVER LOOKED THE PROPER PROTOCOL. COUNCILMEMBER COAN IS ACTUALLY THE COUNCILMEMBER REPRESENTING DISTRICT 8 IN THE HIGHLANDS. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR COACH DAVENPORT. I KNOW MANY OF YOU KNOW COACH DAVENPORT FOR HIS YEARS AT U. OF L. AND AS HE TRANSITIONED OVER TO BELLARMINE AND EVERYTHING HE HAS DONE. WHEN I CAME TO BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY, I STRUGGLED TO FIGURE WHERE I FIT, I THOUGHT I WAS A GREAT ATHLETE. BUT TRAINING AND SEEING THE BASKETBALL TEAM WORKING SO HARD AND JUST BEING IN THE SHADOWS THAT WAS TROUBLING TO ME UNTIL COACH DAVENPORT CAME ONTO THE SCENE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. I WILL READ THE PROCLAMATION
COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA HAS TO COACH DAVENPORT. [READING
PROCLAMATION] -----

----- THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. FIRST OF ALL, THIS GROUP KNOWS
BETTER THAN ANYONE, THAT WE FACE QUESTIONS AND QUESTIONS EVERY
SINGLE DAY THROUGHOUT OUR COMMUNITY. THESE GUYS ARE THE ANSWER.
THEY ARE THE ANSWER. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE US. THEY ARE THE
ANSWER. AND I TELL THEM THAT EVERY DAY. WE ARE SO FORTUNATE.
SECONDLY, I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE A VERY LOUD BELLARMINI SEASON
TICKET HOLDER. I DID NOT MISSPEAK THE WORD PROUD, I SAID LOUD.
ON BEHALF OF OUR BASKETBALL PROGRAM, OUR ENTIRE UNIVERSITY, WE
WANT TO WISH MS. WELCH A PHENOMENAL RETIREMENT BECAUSE SHE HAS
EARNED IT. AND SHE SITS AT THE TOP AND I KNOW SHE'S LOUD. THANK
YOU ALL SO MUCH. THESE YOUNG MEN ARE PHENOMENAL. JUST TO
QUICKLY, DANIEL IS HALFWAY ALREADY IN GRADUATE SCHOOL. ADAM IS
GOING TO BE A TWO-TIME ALL AMERICAN BASED ON THIS PRESEASON.
TYLER JENKINS ONE YEAR FROM THIS TIME -- HE ALREADY HAS HIS
DEGREE, GRADUATED LAST MAY, HE WILL HAVE HIS M.B.A. THAT'S OUR
THREE CAPTAINS. THANK YOU ALL. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I JUST WANTED TO SAY, COACH
DAVENPORT ACTUALLY LIVES IN MY DISTRICT. HE IS REALLY AN

INSPIRATION TO EVERYONE. I WILL TELL YOU, I SEE HIM RUNNING THROUGH THE DISTRICT ALL THE TIME, DOWN FRANKFURT AVENUE, DOWN RESERVOIR AVENUE. HIS PERSONAL PHYSICAL FITNESS, SOMETIMES WHEN I SEE HIM I THINK I OUGHT TO GET TO THE GYM MYSELF. HE IS A REAL INSPIRATION.

>> CAREFUL WHAT YOU WISH FOR [LAUGHTER] [OFF MIC]

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, COLLEAGUES, THIS DAY WILL NOT COME IF NOT FOR ONE MAN IN MY LIFE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR COACH -- TO STEP UP. YOU KNOW, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO TRAVEL TO AFRICA YOU KNOW HOW CHALLENGING IT CAN BE. I WANT YOU TO IMAGINE A YOUNG BOY AT THE AGE OF 12 LOSING HIS HANDS COMING FROM A FAMILY THAT HAVE LITTLE TO NOTHING. AND WONDERING WHAT HIS LIFE WAS GOING TO BE LIKE. AND THAT LITTLE BOY WORKING HARD AND TRYING HARD TO REPRESENT HIS COUNTRY. AND THAT LITTLE BOY HAS A DREAM. AND THAT DREAM, THAT DREAM WAS TO TRAVEL INTERNATIONALLY, SPECIFICALLY TO UNITED STATES, ACQUIRE A COLLEGE DEGREE AND RUN TRACK. IMAGINE EVERYONE AROUND YOU, INCLUDING YOUR PARENTS DOUBTING YOU. IMAGINE EVERYONE SAYING IT CANNOT BE DONE BECAUSE NO ONE ELSE HAS EVER DONE IT. IMAGINE YOUR OWN FRIENDS IN THE UNITED STATES TELL THEM THERE ARE NO SCHOOLS THAT OFFER SCHOLARSHIPS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. NOW IMAGINE THIS YOUNG BOY IN FAR AWAY AUSTRALIA. HIS COMPETITION, AMERICAN CAMP AND ASKING TO SPEAK TO A COACH. AND IMAGINE SOMEONE SAYING WE HAVE A COACH HERE FROM A COLLEGE IN THE UNITED STATES. AND THEN

HERE WALKS COACH VIGO. DIDN'T SEE HIM. THE GENTLEMAN HE WAS TELLING ME WAS A BLIND MAN. ALL HE HEARD WAS, HERE HE COMES. I SAID HOW DO YOU KNOW BECAUSE YOU ARE BLIND. AND HE SAID, HE'S LOUD. IMAGINE A COACH GIVING YOU A CHANCE WHEN EVERYBODY ELSE DOUBTED YOU. BECAUSE HE BELIEVED IN YOU. I WILL NOT BE HERE TODAY, AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT MY LIFE WOULD BE OF ME, IF NOT FOR COACH VIAGO. I WANT TO USE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO THANK YOU. I DON'T THINK I'VE EVER DONE THIS OFFICIALLY. TO THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING YOU HAVE DONE FOR ME AND FOR MY FAMILY. FOR THOSE WHO LOVE ME, YOU THANK THIS MAN. BECAUSE HE IS EVERY REASON WHY I'M STANDING HERE TODAY BECAUSE HE SAW IN ME, NOT MY DISABILITY, BUT HE SAW TALENT. AND FOR THAT, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE] [APPLAUSE]

>> VITALIS ASKED ME TO READ THIS, HE WANTED TO BE THERE WITH YOU. TO WHOM ALL THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS, KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HEREBY HONORS AND RECOGNIZES, JIM VARGO, HEAD COACH MEN'S BASKETBALL TEAM, BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY. HE HAS TAKEN THE PROGRAM FROM 20 STUDENT ATHLETES TO 40 IN CROSS COUNTRY AND 45 TO MORE THAN 100 IN TRACK AND FIELD. [READING PROCLAMATION] THEY HAVE HAD 30 ALL-AMERICAN HONORS IN TRACK AND FIELD AND WON 12 SPORTS TITLES MORE THAN ANY OTHER IN THEIR CONFERENCE. HE SERVED AS THE HEAD PARALYMPIC GAMES FOR THE ATHENS GAMES AND BEIJING GAMES. HE WAS INDUCTED IN THE BELLARMINE HALL OF FAME FOR HIS ACHIEVEMENTS AND WE HONOR HIM

TONIGHT FOR HIS IMPACT IN THE COMMUNITY, AROUND THE WORLD AND ON ONE OF OUR COLLEAGUES. DECEMBER 13TH, 2018. NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THE RESIDENTS IN 21 AND ONE IN PARTICULAR, AND THE MEMBERS OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HERE BY EXTENDS THEIR CONGRATULATIONS IN APPRECIATION FOR YOUR OUTSTANDING COMMUNITY CONTRIBUTIONS AND CERTIFY VISION. [READING PROCLAMATION] VITALIS LANSHIMA, THE DISTRICT 21 COUNCILMAN. [APPLAUSE]

>> THANK YOU. I'M CERTAINLY VERY HUMBLLED AND HONORED TO STAND BEFORE YOU AS THE REPRESENTATIVES OF OUR CITY OF LOUISVILLE. I'M A PROUD J.C.P.S. GRADUATE, 40 YEARS AGO FROM WHERE MALE HIGH CURRENTLY SITS. FOR THOSE WHO MAY HAVE BEEN IN THE COMMUNITY FOR A LONG TIME KNOW USED TO BE DURRETT HIGH SCHOOL. COUNCILMAN KRAMER AND I WERE GRADUATES. GEORGIA SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY WHERE A YOUNG MAN WHO WAS TOTALLY BLIND WANTED TO RUN DIVISION I NCAA CROSS COUNTRY. IMAGINE SOMEONE THAT IS TOTALLY BLIND WITH NO SIGHT WANTING TO RUN THE SPORT OF CROSS COUNTRY AT AN NCAA DIVISION I LEVEL. HE DID THAT, GRADUATED WITH HONORS. BECAME A MEMBER OF THE LAW PROFESSION WITH HIS LAW DEGREE FROM MERCER UNIVERSITY. AND I HAD THE GOOD FORTUNE OF COACHING HIM AND THE UNITED STATES TEAM FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. AND IN 2000, AS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA HAS MENTIONED OUR PATHS CROSSED. ACTUALLY, I BELIEVE, IF I'M CORRECT, IT WAS AFTER CLOSING CEREMONIES OF THE 2000 GAMES IN SYDNEY. AND HIS DREAM WAS TO COME TO THE UNITED STATES AND EARN A COLLEGE DEGREE AND TO BE

ABLE TO COMPETE IN TRACK AND FIELD. WHEN YOU ARE AROUND PEOPLE WHO HAVE DISABILITY, ESPECIALLY, I HAVE BEEN SO FORTUNATE TO BE AROUND SOME OF THE GREATEST HUMAN BEINGS THAT WALK THIS PLANET, AND KNOWING THEIR DRIVE, KNOWING THEIR PASSION, KNOWING THEIR COMMITMENT, IMMEDIATELY IT'S WHAT CAN WE DO TO GET HIM TO BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY. HE REPRESENTS WHAT BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY IN THE COMMUNITY OF LOUISVILLE, IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY SHOULD REPRESENT TO ALL PEOPLE. HE IS WILLING TO DO WHAT IS NECESSARY, MAKE THE SACRIFICES NECESSARY AND OVERCOME OBSTACLES THAT MOST PEOPLE WOULD DEEM WERE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO BE OVERCOME. IT WAS A STRUGGLE, VITALIS KNOWS THAT. IT TOOK US SEVERAL YEARS BEFORE WE COULD PUT EVERYTHING TOGETHER IN PLACE FROM LIVING ARRANGEMENTS TO THE FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS BECAUSE AGAIN AS HE MENTIONED MANY OF HIS COUNTRY MEN IN AFRICA, IF THEY DON'T HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAYBE RECEIVE AN ATHLETIC SCHOLARSHIP FROM A UNIVERSITY IN THE STATES, THEY MAY NEVER GET OUT OF THEIR COUNTRY. SO IT TOOK US SEVERAL YEARS AND THEY ACTUALLY LIVED IN LONDON FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS BEFORE EVENTUALLY COMING TO BELLARMINE UNIVERSITY. VITALIS EARNED HIS DEGREE IN THREE AND A HALF YEARS WITH HONORS. WAS ACTUALLY A SCORING MEMBER IN OUR CONFERENCE CHAMPIONSHIP IN THE 100 METERS. HE ACTUALLY WAS THE LEAD LEG OF OUR 4X1 TEAM ALSO A SCORING RELAY IN THE CONFERENCE. AND HAD THE ADMIRATION AND RESPECT OF EVERYONE IN THE CONFERENCE AND EVERYONE THAT CAME ACROSS HIM IN THE TRACK AND FIELD WORLD.

TO WATCH HIM FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NEVER SEEN HIM COMPETE, TO WATCH HOW HE ADJUSTS TO A START, IMAGINE AGAIN 100 METER START WHERE THAT'S THE MOST SIGNIFICANT ASPECT OF THAT RACE AND IMAGINE SOMEONE WHO IS A DOUBLE-ARM AMPUTEE WHO HAS NOT ONLY LEARNED AND MODIFIED TIME AFTER TIME ADJUSTMENTS FOR HIS INCREASE IN STRENGTH AND SPEED BUT IS ABLE TO DO THAT AT AN N.C.A.A. LEVEL. HE COULD HAVE RUN DIVISION I, HE IS THAT GOOD. BUT HIS LOVE AND PASSION FOR BELLARMINE AND THIS COMMUNITY HAS NEVER WAVERED AND I WAS SO PROUD, ONE OF THE PROUDEST DAYS I'VE EVER HAD AS A COACH IS WHEN HE WAS APPOINTED TO FILL A POSITION HERE ON LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL. HE IS OBVIOUSLY VERY, VERY SPECIAL TO ME. I THINK HE IS A VERY SPECIAL PERSON TO BELLARMINE AND TO THE LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY AND I JUST WANT TO EXTEND MY GRATITUDE BACK TO HIM FOR ALL HE HAS DONE THAT HAS SHINED A BRIGHT LIGHT ON BELLARMINE AND THE GREAT THINGS WE DO THERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE] [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA.
COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> PERSONAL POINT OF PRIVILEGE, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> I WOULD LIKE MY ASSISTANT TO MEET ME AT THE PODIUM. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MY SON, DAUGHTER-IN-LAW AND KAPPA BROTHERS TO STAND. THESE ARE THE FOLKS WE HAVE SEEN UP HERE EVERY YEAR AFTER WE DELIVER BASKETS. DONNA, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO MEET ME AT THE

PODIUM. THIS IS MY LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT GOING TO MEET ME DOWN HERE. DONNA HAS BEEN WITH ME ABOUT SIX YEARS OR SO. I WANT HER TO KNOW, I THINK SHE ALREADY KNOWS HOW MUCH I APPRECIATE HER BUT SINCE THIS IS OUR LAST YEAR ON THE METRO COUNCIL, COME ON UP HERE, CRY IF YOU WANT TO. I WANTED TO DO SOMETHING SPECIAL FOR HER. SHE IS ALWAYS DOING THINGS SPECIAL FOR ME AND DISTRICT 3. MOST OF ALL DISTRICT 3. SO I WOULD LIKE TO READ THIS. AND IT READS LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL PRESENTED TO DONNA B. SANDERS LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT IN APPRECIATION FOR YOUR DEDICATION AND OUTSTANDING SERVICE TO LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL DISTRICT 3 AND IT'S PRESENTED BY COUNCILWOMAN MARY WOOLRIDGE, THURSDAY DECEMBER 13TH, 2018, AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU HAVE DONE FOR ME.

>> I LOVE YOU.

>> I LOVE YOU TOO. [APPLAUSE]

>> THIS HAS A LITTLE STAND YOU SCREW ON HERE, I THINK I HEARD IT POP OUT. WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE SOME REMARKS?

>> I WANT TO THANK MARY AND EVERYONE IN DISTRICT 3 I HAVE SERVED. THERE HAVE BEEN VERY FEW MOMENTS WE HAVE HAD SOME DOWNS, MOST OF THEM HAVE BEEN UPS AND I'M PROUD TO SAY THAT, VERY PROUD TO SAY THAT. AND MARY IS A GREAT COUNCILWOMAN. SHE IS.

>> I DIDN'T PAY HER TO SAY THAT. BUT I THINK I WILL OFFER HER A LITTLE SOMETHING. MR. PRESIDENT, IF YOU WOULD ALLOW MY SON TO SPEAK JUST BRIEFLY AND HIS KAPPA BROTHERS BECAUSE WE ARE

GOING TO PASS OUT THE BOXES THIS YEAR, HOWEVER WE WILL NOT BE HERE TO PRESENT THEM WITH AWARDS AND CERTIFICATES THAT WE NORMALLY DO. BUT THEY ARE DOING THIS REGARDLESS OF AWARDS AND CERTIFICATES. SO COME ON UP, GUYS.

>> I HAVE A FEW WORDS I WANT TO SHARE ABOUT MY MOTHER. TO PRESIDENT JAMES, THIS ESTEEMED COUNCIL, COMMUNITY MEMBERS ASSEMBLED HERE, MY NAME IS DONALD WOOLRIDGE SENIOR, I'M HERE THIS EVENING REPRESENTING MY WIFE ERICA AND THE MEN OF THE LOUISVILLE ALUMNI CHAPTER OF KAPPA INCORPORATED. PRESIDENT JAMES, THESE KAPPA MEN ARE ON LOAN TO ME THIS EVENING. I HAVE TO GET THEM BACK TO THEIR WIVES SO I PROMISE I WILL KEEP MY REMARKS SHORT. 16 YEARS AGO MY MOTHER WAS SWORN IN FOR THE FIRST TIME. SHE PREVIOUSLY SERVED AS AN ALDERMAN, AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT WHERE SHE LEARNED EVERYTHING SHE NEEDED TO KNOW TO FILL THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OFFICE. HOWEVER IT WAS OUR FAMILY CREED THAT SUSTAINED HER THROUGH HER TIME HERE. WOOLRIDGES DON'T LIE. WE DON'T STEAL AND WE DON'T SUFFER FOOLS. WOOLRIDGES DON'T LIE. SHE TOLD ME YOUR NAME IS SYNONYMOUS WITH YOUR CHARACTER. LIVE A LIFE AS SUCH IF SOMEONE SAYS A LIE ON YOU NO ONE WILL BELIEVE YOU. WOOLRIDGES DON'T STEAL. I WAS RAISED TO UNDERSTAND ANYTHING WORTH HAVING IS WORTH WORKING FOR. ANYTHING WORTH KEEPING IS WORTH FIGHTING FOR. LIVE A LIFE WITH EPISODES OF INTEGRITY THAT YOU MAY INSPIRE OTHERS TO ACHIEVE THEIR HOPES AND DREAMS. WOOLRIDGES DON'T SUFFER FOOLS. MY MOTHER TOLD ME YEARS

AGO DONALD, WHEN A FOOL IS TALKING, BE STILL AND DON'T TALK BACK. FOR THE SECOND YOU SPEAK BACK TO A FOOL, YOU INSTANTLY HAVE TWO FOOLS TALKING. [LAUGHTER] COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE, OUR FAMILY CREED HAS GUIDED YOU THROUGH YOUR TENURE HERE TONIGHT. I CAN IMAGINE WHEN YOU FIRST CAME, YOU MAY HAVE DOUBTED YOUR ABILITIES AND YOUR CAPABILITY. YOU MAY HAVE EVEN HEARD THE WHISPER OF DOUBT IN YOUR EAR TELLING YOU, MARY, WHAT ARE YOU THINKING? YOU WON'T BE SUCCESSFUL. YOU ARE IN OVER YOUR HEAD. CAN'T YOU SEE THERE'S A STORM COMING. KNOWING MY MOTHER THE WAY I DO, I'M SURE SHE SAID TO THE WHISPER OF DOUBT, YES I KNOW THERE'S A STORM COMING, I AM THE STORM. [LAUGHTER] TO COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE, ON BEHALF OF THE MEN OF THE MOST NOBLE CLAN OF KAPPA -- INCORPORATED WE SALUTE YOU TO YOUR TIRELESS DEDICATION TO DISTRICT 3, TO THE GREAT CITY OF LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY AND TO THE CAUSE OF ACHIEVEMENT IN EVERY FIELD OF HUMAN ENDEAVOR. JOB WELL DONE COUNCILWOMAN. NOW GO HOME AND GET SOME REST! [LAUGHTER] [APPLAUSE]

>> DISTINGUISHED SPEAKER, METRO COUNCIL, I'LL BE VERY BRIEF. COUNCILWOMAN MARY WOOLRIDGE, IT'S AN HONOR AND PRIVILEGE TO BE HERE TODAY. WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR EFFORTS IN THE COMMUNITY AND WE HAVE AN AWARD FOR YOU. AND IT READS THE LOUISVILLE ALUMNI CHAPTER OF KAPPA ALPHA PSI HERE BY RECOGNIZE MARY C. WOOLRIDGE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR MANY YEARS OF SERVICE. MAY YOUR NEW BEGINNING BE FILLED WITH HAPPY MEMORIES OF THE YEARS

YOU SPENT CONTRIBUTING TO THE SUCCESS OF THIS WONDERFUL CITY.
CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

>> THANK YOU. MR. PRESIDENT, COLLEAGUES, I HAD NO IDEA THIS
WAS COMING. BUT YOU ALL DO KNOW THE STORM HAS BEEN HERE. [
LAUGHTER] THANK YOU. THANK YOU SO MUCH, KAPPA MEN. AND I WILL
MEET YOU ALL SATURDAY MORNING AND WE WILL DELIVER THOSE BOXES.
THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO. WE APPRECIATE IT. WE DON'T TAKE IT
LIGHTLY AND WE DON'T TAKE IT FOR GRANTED. THESE ARE THE GOOD
MEN. THESE ARE THE FOLKS THEY DON'T SHOW ON THE 6:00 NEWS, OKAY.
THANK YOU ALL AGAIN. THAT'S MY DAUGHTER-IN-LAW ERICA. A
COUNSELOR, I THINK AT A SCHOOL IN COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN'S
DISTRICT. INDIAN TRAIL. THANK YOU ALL FOR COMING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. MR. CLERK, LET
THE RECORD REFLECT THAT COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH WILL BE TAKING
THE CHAIR.

>> MR. CLERK: SO NOTED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I KNOW IT'S DANGEROUS. [LAUGHTER]

>> COUNCILMAN JAMES, I BELIEVE YOU HAVE A SPECIAL
PROCLAMATION TO DELIVER THIS EVENING.

>> YES, MA'AM, I DO. AND I HAVE A SPECIAL GUEST WITH THAT
PROCLAMATION, KNOWN AS REVEREND ELLIOTT. [APPLAUSE]

>> COLLEAGUES, I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYONE WHO DOESN'T
KNOW WHO REVEREND CHARLES ELLIOTT IS, KING SOLOMON BAPTIST
CHURCH. HE IS A FIXTURE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND OUR CITY AND IN MY

HEART. AND I WANT TO RECOGNIZE HIM FOR ALL THE ENERGY AND WORK THAT HE HAS DONE HERE IN OUR CITY. AND HE IS JOINED HERE BY SEVERAL COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND OUR FRIENDS IN LABOR FOR THIS REASON. NORMALLY WHEN YOU HEAR REVEREND ELLIOTT THERE'S SOMETHING THAT ALWAYS COMES OUT OF HIS MOUTH, MANY OF YOU KNOW, I DON'T CARE WHAT THE OCCASION IS, IT WILL BE JESUS AND A JOB. AND HE HAS TIRELESSLY WORKED HARD TO MAKE OUR COMMUNITY A BETTER PLACE BY PROVIDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T HAVE OPPORTUNITIES, TO HELP PEOPLE INTEGRATE IN OUR CITY TO BECOME PROTECTED CITIZENS THROUGH JESUS AND A JOB PROGRAM. I CAN REMEMBER WHEN HE FIRST STARTED TALKING ABOUT JESUS AND A JOB. AND IT WAS NOTHING AT THAT TIME BUT A THOUGHT THAT REVEREND ELLIOTT HAD. AND NOW YEARS LATER, IT HAS SHOWN ITS SUCCESS DAY AFTER DAY AFTER DAY AFTER DAY. AND THESE PEOPLE HERE STANDING WITH REVEREND ELLIOTT ARE THE REASON FOR THAT. AND SO REVEREND ELLIOTT, I WANT TO PRESENT YOU WITH A PROCLAMATION. I WANT TO READ IT TO YOU AND HAND IT TO YOU ALSO. IT SAYS TO WHOM THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS, KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HEREBY HONORS AND RECOGNIZES REVEREND CHARLES ELLIOTT AND JESUS AND A JOB. [READING PROCLAMATION] WHEREAS REVEREND ELLIOTT FOUNDED JESUS AND A JOB IN 1997, TO ACCOMPLISH THESE GOALS. WHEREAS REVEREND ELLIOTT HAS BROUGHT TOGETHER MANY COMMUNITY AND BUSINESS LEADERS AND ORGANIZATIONS TO ASSIST WITH JESUS AND A JOB'S MISSION, WE THEREFORE PROCLAIM WE THE

LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL OFFICIALLY RECOGNIZE AND PROCLAIM
REVEREND CHARLES ELLIOTT AND JESUS AND A JOB TO BE BEACONS OF
LIGHT AND COMPASSION IN THE GREAT CITY OF LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.
WE HERE BY CONFER THIS HONOR WITH ALL THE RIGHTS PRIVILEGES AND
RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO PERTAINING, IN TESTIMONY WE HAVE
CAUSED THESE LETTERS TO BE FIXED AND MADE AND THE SEAL OF THE
LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT TO BE AFFIXED, DONE ON THIS DAY, THE
13TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2018 IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY.
THANK YOU, REVEREND ELLIOTT. [APPLAUSE]

>> I WANT TO THANK OUR PRESIDENT AND METRO COUNCIL AS A
LARGE. I WAS SITTING THERE THINKING ABOUT MANY YEARS WHEN WE HAD
THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN AND MAYOR -- WAS ON THAT GROUP. BUT TO SIT
HERE THIS DAY AND TO LISTEN TO ALL OF THE GREAT THINGS THAT HAVE
ACCOMPLISHED IN THESE 50 SOME YEARS I'VE BEEN PASTORING I'M JUST
EXCITED TO SEE THESE YOUNG MEN. BUT I WANT TO TELL THE METRO
COUNCIL AND I'M TELLING THE COMMUNITY, I'VE TALKED ABOUT IT ON
THE RADIO YESTERDAY. WE HAVE SOME PEOPLE ON THE METRO COUNCIL
THAT HAVE CONCERN ABOUT THE COMMUNITY AND ABOUT LOUISVILLE. WE
HAVE NOT HAD A MAN THAT'S REACHED OUT AS OUR PRESIDENT DAVID
JAMES. I'M SAYING IN LOUISVILLE, THE LEADERSHIP EVEN BEFORE HE
BECAME COUNCILMAN WHEN HE WAS A POLICE, MANY TIME I WOULD CALL
HIM ON ISSUES THAT WERE IN THE COMMUNITY THAT WE NEED HIS HELP
AND HE WAS THERE. SCOTT WHO HAS BEEN NOW THE C.E.O. OF THE GOLD
HOUSE GAVE ME ENOUGH TO BUY A TRUCK LOAD OF TURKEYS TO GO ALL

OVER THE CITY FOR THANKSGIVING. GUESS WHO WAS THERE STANDING BESIDE HIM? OUR PRESIDENT. AND WHEN I HEARD YOU ALL TALK ABOUT THE HOMELESS I HOPE AND TRUST WE AREN'T JUST TALKING ON YESTERDAY BECAUSE OF THIS YOUNG MAN. WE WAS ABLE TO TAKE A HOMELESS LADY WHOSE BLIND AND PUT HER IN A HOUSE THAT THE METRO COUNCIL AND PRIVATE SECTORS HAVE HELPED ME TO RENOVATE. I AIN'T THROUGH WITH IT YET. BUT WE HAVE FOUR MORE HOUSES WE WANT TO USE FOR THE HOMELESS, HOPEFULLY NOT JUST FOR TWO MONTHS BUT BE ABLE TO FIX IT WHERE THEY WILL BE ABLE TO OWN IT. I BROUGHT WITH ME A MAN WHO HAS SPENT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND SHARED WITH US THROUGH THIS JESUS AND A JOB PROGRAM. HE IS NOT ONLY THAT, HE OWNS A FUNERAL HOME. GEORGE MAYS ON CHESTER STREET. HE HAS PUT THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN HELPING US WITH THE JESUS AND A JOB.

>> I WANT TO THANK THEM. [DISCONNECT 4:55] THANK YOU, REVEREND ELLIOTT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COLLEAGUES, YOU KNOW, THIS IS THE LAST OFFICIAL COUNCIL MEETING OF THE YEAR. WE HAVE EIGHT OF OUR COLLEAGUES THAT WILL NOT BE WITH US ANY MORE AFTER THIS MEETING. THEY WILL BE WITH US IN SPIRIT AND IN HEART. AND I'M SURE THEY WILL BE WATCHING US ON TELEVISION EVERY NIGHT. SO WE WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO RECOGNIZE EACH OF THEM FOR THE MANY YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE THEY HAVE GIVEN TO US AND THIS COMMUNITY AND OUR CITY AND TO THEIR CONSTITUENTS. AND SO WITH THAT BEING SAID, THE FIRST PERSON THAT I WANT TO RECOGNIZE IS

COUNCILWOMAN MARY C. WOOLRIDGE. [APPLAUSE] THAT'S YOU UP THERE.

>> I LOOK SO SAD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THERE WE GO. SO, MARY, WE LOVE YOU.

>> LOVE YOU RIGHT BACK, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. SO WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU. AND I'M GOING TO READ THAT PROCLAMATION. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I ASKED SOME PEOPLE ABOUT YOU, I SAID TELL ME A FUNNY STORY ABOUT MARY I CAN TEASE HER WITH A LITTLE BIT. AND THIS IS WHAT I WAS TOLD. THERE WERE MANY, BUT THIS IS ONE. IT'S SAID YOU WERE BEING CALLED HARRIET TUBMAN AND IT WAS AT THE LOUISVILLE DEFENDER LUNCHEON AND REVEREND COSBY WAS THERE AND HE SAID THAT MARY WOOLRIDGE IS HARRIET TUBMAN. BY THE WAY SHE FIGHTS FOR A BETTER LIFE AND FOR THE WAY THAT THE SLAVES AND MANY OF THEM LOOKED UP TO HER AND RESPECTED HER BECAUSE OF HER FIGHT. AND I THINK THAT ALL OF YOU KNOW, IF YOU DON'T, MARY WOOLRIDGE WILL FIGHT. AND WE HAVE EXPERIENCED THAT, AND WE LOVE IT. I EXPECT IT. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THAT. SO WE HAVE THIS PROCLAMATION, IT SAYS TO WHOM ALL THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS, KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IN SPIRIT OF DEEP GRATITUDE RECOGNIZES MARY C. WOOLRIDGE AS COUNCILWOMAN FOR DISTRICT 3. WHEREAS MARY WOOLRIDGE WAS A FOUNDING MEMBER OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL, AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE HAS SERVED THE RESIDENTS OF DISTRICT 3

WITH HONOR AND DISTINCTION FOR 16 YEARS, AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE SERVES AS THE CHAIR OF THE APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE AND HAS SERVED ON THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE AND BUDGET COMMITTEE, AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE HAS WORKED WITH BUSINESSES TO BRING A FOOD MARKET TO DISTRICT 3 AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE WORKING IN THE BEST INTEREST OF HER CONSTITUENTS DECLINED THE DEVELOPMENT OF A METHANE PLANT IN LOUISVILLE AND WORKED TO ENSURE QUIET ZONES FOR THE DISTRICT WHILE MAKING SURE THE RAILROAD CROSSINGS WERE SAFE. WHEREAS SHE WAS A TIRELESS ADVOCATE FOR THE AVERAGE CONSUMER, KEEPING A CLOSE EYE ON THE NEED FOR RATE INCREASES FOR UTILITIES AND STRONG ADVOCATE FOR CREATION OF THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND. AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE HAS BEEN A TIRELESS ADVOCATE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS AND RACIAL EQUALITY DURING HER ENTIRE LIFE AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE INITIATED CHRISTMAS BICYCLE GIVE-AWAYS IN DISTRICT 3 AND GAVE FUNDS TO PROJECT ONE TO ASSIST WITH YOUNG PEOPLE IN THE EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT AND IN TESTIMONY THEREFORE, WE HAVE CAUSED THESE LETTERS TO BE MADE AND THE SEAL OF LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT TO BE HERE UNTO AFFIXED DONE IN LOUISVILLE THIS 13TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2018. WE LOVE YOU MARY WOOLRIDGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. RIGHT BACK AT YOU. THANK YOU SO MUCH. [APPLAUSE] APPRECIATE YOUR LEADERSHIP.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: AND WE HAVE THIS PROCLAMATION FOR YOU. AND WE'RE GOING TO LOAD YOU UP WITH STUFF. WE ALSO HAVE A BASEBALL BAT. I KNOW YOU GO OUT AND PLAY BASEBALL.

>> I SHOULD HAVE HAD THAT YEARS AGO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THEN WE REALLY WOULD HAVE HAD SOME GOOD FIGHTS. [LAUGHTER] AND --

>> OH MY GOODNESS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE THIS FOR YOU. IT HAS YOUR NAME ON IT. THAT YOU SERVED HERE, A PAPER WEIGHT FOR METRO COUNCIL. WE JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU AND WE LOVE YOU, AND THE MICROPHONE IS YOURS.

>> I JUST REALLY DON'T KNOW HOW TO THANK THIS COUNCIL. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO THANK THIS PRESIDENT. BUT SERVING THIS COMMUNITY HAS BEEN THE HIGHLIGHT OF MY LIFE. I'M HAPPY TO BE RETIRING. I WILL MISS THIS COUNCIL. AND IF I'VE TOLD SO MANY OF YOU, I HAD A PLATFORM WHEN I CAME HERE WITH THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN AND IT WAS IN EXISTENCE SIX YEARS PRIOR TO MERGE GOVERNMENT WHEN I RAN FOR ELECTED OFFICE. I HAD A PLATFORM THEN, AND THOUGH I WILL NO LONGER BE ON THIS METRO COUNCIL, I WILL STILL HAVE A PLATFORM. BUT I WANT TO THANK EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU. YOU KNOW, IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE WORKING WITH THIS METRO COUNCIL. I'M GOING TO MISS IT. BUT WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE TIME. WE'RE GOING TO HIBERNATE THIS WINTER. AND THEN MY HUSBAND AND I, HOPEFULLY, WE ARE GOING TO D.C. THAT'S THE FIRST PLACE.

WE WANT TO SEE THAT HISTORY MUSEUM UP THERE. WE WANT TO GO TO THE MALL. WE WANT TO SEE THE BIGGER THAN LIFE MONUMENT OF DR. KING AND WE CAN HARDLY, HARDLY WAIT. BUT I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY. I WANT TO BLESS EVERYBODY. THE FOLK I HAVE WORKED WITH. AND I THANK GOD FOR THIS JOB. BECAUSE I'M GOING TO TAKE THIS ONE STEP FURTHER, I KNOW WE ARE RUNNING A LITTLE -- I WASN'T SUPPOSED TO BE ON THIS METRO COUNCIL AS FAR AZMAN WAS CONCERNED. BUT THIS WAS ORDAINED. THIS WAS JUST FOR ME TO DO. AND I HOPE I HAVE SERVED THIS COMMUNITY WELL. I HOPE I HAVE SERVED IT WITH INTEGRITY AND HONESTY. AND I BELIEVE I'VE DONE SOME OF THAT, OTHERWISE I WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN ELECTED FOUR TIMES FOR FOUR YEAR TERMS. I CAN'T THANK DONNA ENOUGH. SHE HAS ALWAYS HAD MY BACK. AND I DIDN'T TAKE THAT LIGHTLY, I DIDN'T TAKE IT FOR GRANTED. SO WE ARE RETIRING. BUT THIS WON'T BE THE LAST YOU SEE ME. I HOPE I DON'T HAVE TO BE OUT HERE WITH A LITTLE PLACARD PAPER. [LAUGHTER] IF WE DON'T FIND SOME FUNDING FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND. WE NEED TO FIND A DEDICATED SOURCE. SO YOU MAY SEE ME WITH THE LITTLE YELLOW PAPERS WITH THEM NEXT YEAR. BUT THANK ALL OF YOU. MOST OF ALL I THANK GOD. I THANK HIM FOR EVERYTHING. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE? SOMEONE HAS SOMETHING TO SAY TO YOU. COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

>> MARY, I KNOW THAT IT PROBABLY WASN'T FUNNY AT THE TIME BUT WE CAN LAUGH ABOUT IT NOW. AND I WILL JUST SAY ELEVATOR, AND THAT'S ALL. YOU DID GET IT FIXED FOR US.

>> ALSO GOT HOT WATER [OFF MIC]

>> OH THERE YOU GO. GOD BLESS YA.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILMEMBER FROM DISTRICT NUMBER 5, CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON. [APPLAUSE] HOW ARE YOU DOING COUNCILWOMAN BRYANT HAMILTON? GOOD. WE WILL MISS YOU TOO AND I LOVE YOU. FOR YOU ALL WHO DON'T KNOW, CHERI IS MY PASS THE TRASH CARD PLAYING PARTNER. WE SPENT MANY NIGHTS IN PORTLAND DOING THAT. CHERI, WE WILL MISS YOU. BEFORE COUNCILWOMAN YOU WERE ALDERMEN CLERK. YOU HAVE ALWAYS BEEN THERE AND LEADING A CHARGE IN MANY DIFFERENT WAYS. I'VE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO KNOW YOU ARE A HISTORIAN AND THAT YOU COLLECT PICTURES AND PHOTOS AND DOCUMENTS AND YOU HAVE BEEN LOADING MY OFFICE UP WITH STUFF YOU WANTED ME TO KEEP AND YOU REMEMBER THINGS ABOUT THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN, SO NOW MY OFFICE IS FULL. SO THANK YOU. [LAUGHTER] BUT SHE GATHERS PHOTOS AND OPPORTUNITIES IN TIME. SHE ALWAYS HAS HER PHONE OUT TAKING PICTURES AND SHE SAVES THOSE AND PRINTS THEM OUT AND THAT'S HER THING AND I'M GLAD YOU DID IT. AND YOU ARE MY FRIEND AND THANK YOU FOR BEING MY FRIEND. WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU ALSO. I'M GOING TO READ IT TO YOU. IT SAYS TO WHOM ALL THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETINGS, KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IN SPIRIT OF

GRATITUDE AND APPRECIATION FOR HER SERVICE TO THE LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY HERE BY AWARDS CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON IN RECOGNITION OF HER OUTSTANDING SERVICE AS COUNCILWOMAN FOR LOUISVILLE DISTRICT 5. WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON WAS ELECTED IN NOVEMBER OF 2002 TO REPRESENT DISTRICT 5 AND HAS SERVED THE RESIDENTS WITH HONOR AND DISTINCTION FOR 16 YEARS. WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON WAS A FOUNDING MEMBER OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL, AND WHEREAS PRIOR TO HER ELECTION TO METRO COUNCIL, COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON SERVED WITH DISTINCTION AND WAS ALSO ELECTED TO REPRESENT THE 12TH WARD OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN AND WHEREAS COUNCILMEMBER HAMILTON WAS ELECTED 2018 DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS MAJORITY LEADER AND PRESIDENT PRO TEM OF THE COUNCIL AND IN THE TIME OF THE METRO COUNCIL'S CURRENTLY SERVES ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AND PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AND WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON IS THE IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR OF THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, VICE CHAIR OF THE DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS AND HAS CHAIRED THE APPROPRIATIONS AND GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATIONS COMMITTEES. AND WHEREAS DURING HER TENURE HAS WORKED TO ENHANCE THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE SHAWNEE PORTLAND AND CHICKASAW NEIGHBORHOODS. WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON IN HER PUBLIC CAREER HAS FOUGHT TO TOUGHEN ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCES REGARDING DANGEROUS DOGS AND SPONSORED LICENSE AND REGULATE BOARDING AND LODGING HOUSES, UPDATED THE NUISANCE ORDINANCE, STREET CANOPY ORDINANCE, INCREASING THE MINIMUM WAGE. WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN

HAMILTON MOBILIZED HER COMMUNITY TO VOTE FOR PRECINCTS DRY TO REDUCE SALES OF ALCOHOL AND LIQUOR SALES IN LOUISVILLE, SECURED A 1 MILLION GRANT FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR A FIVE YEAR WEED AND SEED INITIATIVE IN SHAWNEE TO PREVENT AND REDUCE CRIME. BEGIN A YOUTH OUTREACH PROGRAM AND NO MORE RED DOTS AND HOSTED BACK-TO-SCHOOL EVENTS AND YOUTH SUMMITS. ADVISED COUNCIL FOR MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS TO DISCUSS ISSUES IMPACTING THEM. WHEREAS COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON HAS SPONSORED BRIGHT SIDE BEAUTIFICATION PROJECTS IN PORTLAND AND SHAWNEE AND NUMEROUS TREE PLANTINGS AND ADVOCATED FOR THE EXPANSION OF A WATERFRONT PARK TO ENHANCE DEVELOPMENT ALONG THE OHIO RIVER ALONG THE SHAWNEE AREA. WE HERE BY CONFER THIS HONOR WITH ALL RIGHTS PRIVILEGES AND RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO PERTAINING IN TESTIMONY WHERE OF WE HAVE CAUSED THESE LETTERS TO BE MADE AND THE SEAL OF LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT TO BE HERE UNTO AFFIXED, DONE IN LOUISVILLE THIS 13TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2018. WE LOVE YOU, COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON. [APPLAUSE]

>> THANK YOU.

>> BELIEVE IT OR NOT I'M GOING TO BE BRIEF. I FEEL LIKE I'VE GROWN UP HERE AT METRO COUNCIL, OR AT CITY HALL. I MEAN I STARTED WORKING IN THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT, WORKING FOR THE MAYOR, WORKING IN AFFIRMATIVE ACTION THEN I LEFT AND CAME BACK. I CAN'T SEEM TO GET AWAY FROM PUBLIC SERVICE. I THINK IT WAS SOMETHING IN MY GENES, IN MY D.N.A. SO IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE TO

SERVE WITH ALL OF YOU. WE HAVE HAD OUR FIGHTS AND OUR ARGUMENTS AND OUR PASSIONED DISCUSSIONS AND I KNOW THAT EACH ONE OF YOU FEELS THE SAME WAY I DO ABOUT LOUISVILLE AND METRO GOVERNMENT AND IT'S AN HONOR TO SEE TOM OWEN HERE TONIGHT AS A HISTORIAN. I SAW HIM LAST NIGHT. WHEN I WAS TALKING ABOUT PASSING THINGS ON, ARCHIVES, SO I DON'T HAVE TO LOAD UP YOUR OFFICE, I WILL LOAD UP SOME THINGS AND PASS THEM ON. BUT THANK YOU FOR THIS RECOGNITION AND IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE AND I WISH YOU ALL WELL. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OH, I ALMOST FORGOT THE BAT, YOU MIGHT NEED THAT. COUNCILWOMAN ANGELA LEET FROM DISTRICT 7. [APPLAUSE] HI ANGELA.

>> HI, DAVID.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: HOW ARE YOU DOING?

>> I'M GREAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE ARE GOING TO MISS YOU.

>> I BET YOU WILL. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: BETWEEN COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON AND LEET DISTRICT 6 SITS THERE, I HAD TO DEAL WITH THEM DURING COUNCIL MEETINGS. IT'S INTERESTING.

>> DEAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: FOR YOU ALL WHO DON'T KNOW I USUALLY KEEP POTATO CHIPS, AND THEY STEAL MY POTATO CHIPS. COUNCILWOMAN LEET, I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR BEING MY FRIEND AND THANK YOU FOR THE TIME AND SERVICE THAT YOU HAVE BEEN HERE AT METRO

COUNCIL. AND SO WHEN I WAS TALKING ABOUT STORIES WE COULD TELL ABOUT FOLKS, I HAVE TO TELL YOU THIS QUICK STORY. ANGELA'S HUSBAND FLIES AN AIRPLANE. I BEGGED HER FOR TWO YEARS FOR HIM TO PLEASE TAKE ME FLYING. AND FINALLY, I DEMANDED, SHE HAS TO TAKE ME FLYING. SHE SAID YOU MIGHT NOT LIKE IT. I SAID I WILL LIKE IT, I CAN HANDLE IT, I'M A MAN. SHE SAID DON'T EAT BEFORE YOU FLY. I SAID OKAY. SO HE TOOK ME FLYING. AND IT GOT MESSY. [LAUGHTER] I SPENT FIVE HOURS CLEANING UP HIS AIRPLANE.

>> AND I HAVEN'T BEEN BACK IN IT SINCE. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU FOR THAT EXPERIENCE. ALL RIGHT.

>> DO YOU NOT LOVE ME? YOU LOVED EVERYBODY ELSE SO FAR. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I DO LOVE YOU. HERE YOU GO. WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU. IT SAYS TO WHOM ALL YOU SHALL COME GREETINGS. [READING PROCLAMATION] WE LOVE YOU, ANGELA LEET. [APPLAUSE]

>> SO I THINK OUR SPEAKING TIME SHOULD BE RELEVANT TO OUR SERVICE TIME, SO I WILL KEEP MY COMMENTS EVEN SHORTER. BUT I HAVE REALLY ENJOYED THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET TO KNOW EACH OF YOU ALL. I FEEL LIKE ON SOME LEVEL I HAVE GOTTEN TO KNOW WHAT EACH OF YOU ARE PASSIONATE ABOUT. I REMEMBER WORKING ON THE METRO CORRECTIONS CLOSED CLOSET WITH MARY ANNE. I HAVE WORKED WITH PAT MULVIHILL ON LEGISLATION WE COULD MAKE SURE A BALANCE HAS STAYED

FAIR. AND IT'S ACROSS-THE-BOARD. SO I'VE REALLY ENJOYED THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET TO KNOW WHAT EACH OF YOU ARE PASSIONATE ABOUT. WHAT MATTERS IN YOUR COMMUNITY. DOING RIDE-ALONGS WITH CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON AND CINDI FOWLER AND JULIE AND SCOTT. JUST ALL OF YOU ALL HAVE MADE A REAL IMPRESSION ON MY LIFE IN EVERY WAY. AND THE PEOPLE WHO THIS SERVICE HAS AFFORDED ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET IS SOMETHING THAT I WILL TAKE WITH ME AS A MEMORY FOREVER. AND I JUST EXPRESS MY GRATITUDE AND I'M THANKFUL AND I'M THANKFUL FOR ERIN BECAUSE I COULDN'T HAVE DONE IT WITHOUT HER. IF YOU WANT TO KNOW WHO THE GREATEST CUSTOMER RELATIONS PERSON IN THE WHOLE WORLD IS, IT'S ERIN HINSON AND IF YOU NEED ONE, SHE IS APPLYING FOR JOBS. BUT SHE MADE THIS POSSIBLE, ALLOWED ME TO BE A SUCCESSFUL, ALLOWED ME TO GROW. PUSHED ME, AS MANY OF YOU ALL DID TO SEE THINGS FROM A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE AND BE CONSCIOUS THAT IT'S NOT THE SAME FOR EVERYBODY AND WE HAVE TO BE CONSCIOUS OF EACH OTHER. AND I THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND I THANK ALL OF YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO ALLOW ME TO SERVE DISTRICT 7 AND THIS COMMUNITY AT LARGE. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. COUNCILWOMAN VICKI AUBREY WELCH.

[APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WELL, HOW ARE YOU DOING THERE, VICKI?

>> I AM SO READY FOR THE BEACH. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM SHOCKED. WELL FIRST OF ALL, I LOVE YOU, AND I'M GOING TO MISS YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SO I WAS THINKING ABOUT SOME THINGS ABOUT VICKI AND ONE OF THE THINGS I CAN REMEMBER ABOUT VICKI AND WE ACTUALLY WERE TALKING ABOUT IT EARLIER TODAY, VICKI LOVES TO DANCE.

>> AMEN, BROTHER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: AND SHE WILL, IF MUSIC IS PLAYING ANY TIME, ANY PLACE --

>> NO ALCOHOL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SHE DOESN'T NEED ANY ALCOHOL. WHEN SHE TRIED TO TALK ME INTO DANCING I SAID I NEED TWO MORE DRINKS FIRST. I WAS TOLD TO TELL YOU SOMETHING. THIS WAS FROM COUNCILMAN YATES WHO IS NOT HERE TONIGHT. HE SAID VICKI GAVE ME SOME REALLY, REALLY GOOD MARRIAGE ADVICE. SHE SAID WHENEVER YOU HIT A ROUGH PATCH, GO TO THE BEACH.

>> AMEN.

>> HE SAID YOU WOULD UNDERSTAND THAT.

>> I WILL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, I'M NOT GOING TO ASK MUCH MORE ABOUT THAT.

>> OKAY. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I'M GOING TO HAVE TO ASK ABOUT THAT
LATER. [LAUGHTER]

>> THAT WAS LONG AGO. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. VICKI, I JUST WANT TO SAY
THAT WE'RE GOING TO MISS YOU. I'M GOING TO READ THE
PROCLAMATION. [READING PROCLAMATION] [READING PROCLAMATION] WE
LOVE YOU VICKI AUBREY WELCH.

>> THANK YOU, DAVID. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, IT'S ALL YOURS.

>> 12 YEARS AND 10 MONTHS. WHEN I GOT APPOINTED I DIDN'T
KNOW WHAT I WAS GETTING INTO IN THIS PLACE. BUT IT'S BEEN A
GREAT RIDE. I APPRECIATE ALL OF YOU WHO DID VOTE FOR ME TO BE
APPOINTED ON THIS COUNCIL. I FEEL LIKE I'VE LEFT MY DISTRICT
BETTER THAN IT WAS. WHAT WASN'T ON HERE WAS THE AUBURNDALE
MURAL. IF YOU HAVE EVER DONE A MURAL IN YOUR DISTRICT, IT'S THE
ONLY THING THAT NOBODY IS AGAINST. WHEN THEY SEE THAT MURAL AND
IT BRINGS THAT COMMUNITY PRIDE INTO THAT DISTRICT, IT'S
PHENOMENAL. IT'S FUNNY THAT THREE KIDS DEFACED MY MURAL IN
AUBURNDALE AFTER IT WAS PUT UP. IT WAS PUT UP ON FACEBOOK AND
THOSE KIDS WERE CAUGHT IN A SECOND. THAT SHOWS THE COMMUNITY
PRIDE. THAT DRIVERS BY JUST COULDN'T STAND THAT SOMEBODY WAS
DOING THAT. THAT'S PRETTY NEAT. BARBARA GOT ME CRYING YESTERDAY.
SHE GOT ME A HISTORY BOOK OF KENTUCKY BAPTIST HOSPITAL. HER
MOTHER WENT THERE AND GRADUATED IN 1946 AND I CAME 30 YEARS

LATER AND TREAD THOSE SAME TRAIL WAYS THROUGH THAT HOSPITAL UNDER THAT TUNNEL, GOING TO THOSE CLASSES, JUST AS HER MOTHER DID 30 YEARS LATER IN 1976, SO WE HAD A BOND THERE. MY COLLEAGUES THAT I TRAVEL WITH, WE WILL NEVER TELL WHAT WE HAVE DONE AND WE HAVE HAD SO MUCH FUN. IT STAYS WHERE EVER WE WENT. RICK -- RICK, I'M REALLY GOING TO MISS YOU. YOU HAVE BEEN MY RIGHT HAND AND MY CONFIDANT. YOU HAVE HELPED ME AND MENTORED ME THE WHOLE WAY THROUGH. AND I KNOW YOU WILL BE MY LIFE-LONG FRIEND. I KNOW YOU ARE ALL GOING TO BE MY LIFE-LONG FRIENDS. SO MANY OF YOU WILL BE MY LIFE-LONG FRIENDS. I DIDN'T THINK I WOULD CRY BECAUSE I THOUGHT I WAS READY TO GET TO THAT BEACH IN THREE WEEKS. WHICH I AM. I'M SO HAPPY TO BE ABLE TO SPEND MORE TIME WITH MY MOTHER, SHE HAS BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH C.O.P.D. FIRST STAGE, EVEN THOUGH SHE QUIT SMOKING 23 YEARS AGO. SHE CAN'T MAKE IT THROUGH A THREE-MINUTE SONG DANCING. THAT'S HARD FOR HER. SHE TELLS ME IF SHE CAN'T DANCE SHE DOESN'T WANT TO LIVE. THAT IS HER MOST WONDERFUL JOY IN LIFE. SO I'VE GOT TO BE WITH HER MORE OFTEN AND HELP HER THROUGH THAT AND THE INHALERS AREN'T WORKING, THAT KIND OF THING. IT WAS TIME FOR ME TO BE WITH MY FAMILY. MY HUSBAND HAS HAD HIS ISSUES FOR A WHOLE YEAR WITH HIS SHOULDER. IT WAS TIME TO BE TOGETHER TO SPEND SOME QUALITY TIME. BECAUSE NO ONE KNOWS HOW MUCH TIME YOU HAVE LEFT. IT COULD BE, WHO KNOWS WHEN. BUT SO MANY OF YOU ALL. I USED TO TRAVEL WITH JUDY GREEN. SHE TAUGHT ME A COUPLE OF LINE DANCES THAT I STILL KNOW. WE HAD

A LOT OF FUN TOGETHER. YOUR MOM WAS A SPECIAL LADY. MARY AND BARBARA, YOU ALL, I MEAN, KEEP FIGHTING THE FIGHT. THANK YOU ALL FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY. WE HAVE HAD SOME FIGHTS BUT FOR THE MOST PART WE HAVE HAD A LOT OF GOOD FUN AND WE HAVE DONE WONDERFUL THINGS FOR THIS CITY. WE HAVE DONE FABULOUS THINGS FOR THIS CITY. WE HAVE MADE OUR CITY HEALTHIER WITH THE INITIATIVES WE HAVE DONE. THE DOG ORDINANCE. THAT'S WHEN I BROUGHT A RECLINER FOR MY OFFICE. I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING. ELIZABETH, THANK YOU FOR STEPPING UP WHEN KEVIN LEFT ME SUDDENLY LAST YEAR. YOU HAVE BEEN WONDERFUL. YOU CAME RIGHT IN THERE. YOU HAVE THE BEST KNOWLEDGE OF ANYBODY WITH ALL THE GOVERNMENT INFORMATION THAT YOU HAVE IN YOUR HEAD. SO I REALLY APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. COUNCILWOMAN MARIANNE BUTLER. [APPLAUSE] MARIANNE, HOW ARE YOU DOING?

>> I'M DOING WELL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE ARE GOING TO MISS YOU.

>> I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN SAY THE SAME, DAVID. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. I LOVE YOU ANYWAYS. [LAUGHTER] ALL RIGHT. SO PART OF, AS YOU KNOW, I ASKED DIFFERENT PEOPLE FOR GOOD MARIANNE STORIES. THIS IS THE STORY I WAS TOLD THAT SHOULD BE REFLECTED. IT'S NOT ONE OF THOSE, NOT ABOUT THE BEACH.

>> YOU DON'T KNOW ABOUT THE BEACH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. IT SAYS WHEN THE SKIES OPENED IN AUGUST 2009 DUMPING RECORD RAIN IN AN HOUR OVER DISTRICT 15, COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER WENT TO WORK HELPING HER CONSTITUENTS. NEARLY 75% OF THE DISTRICT WAS UNDER WATER. MANY LOSING EVERYTHING IN THEIR BASEMENT. CARS WERE RUINED AS WELL SO THEY HAD NO IDEA TO GET ITEMS TO THE DROP OFF LOCATIONS. WITH THE HELP OF BOB LEE, METRO PARKS THEY WENT STREET BY STREET AND ALLEY BY ALLEY AND REMOVED RUINED ITEMS. MARIANNE WAS THERE WITH THEM, PURCHASING THEIR LUNCH AND IN THE AFTERNOON BE THERE WITH GLOVES WORKING ALONGSIDE THE GUYS TO GET THE JOB DONE. ONE SATURDAY AFTERNOON WHILE EATING LUNCH, ONE OF THE GUYS NOTICED HER PEDOMETER ON HER SHOE. IN THOSE DAYS FIT BITS WERE MUCH LARGER. SO THE GENTLEMAN ASKED HER, OH MAN, WHAT DID YOU DO? [LAUGHTER] AND HE THOUGHT IT WAS AN H.I.P. HOME INCARCERATION ANKLE BRACELET. [LAUGHTER] AND MARIANNE, NOT MISSING A BEAT, RESPONDED, I RAN FOR OFFICE AND I WON. AFTER LUNCH THEY WENT RIGHT BACK TO WORK AND WORKED NEXT TO EACH OTHER TO CLEAN UP THE DISTRICT. THAT IS NOT THE ONLY STORY ABOUT MARIANNE WORKING ALONGSIDE PEOPLE AND DOING IT ON HER OWN AND CLEANING THE DISTRICT. YOU CAN, ON ANY WEEKEND FIND HER IN AN ALLEY SOMEPLACE CLEANING UP THE DISTRICT AND HELPING THE PEOPLE IN HER DISTRICT. THEY LOVE HER BECAUSE OF THAT. YOUR DEDICATION TO YOUR CONSTITUENTS IS REMARKABLE. AND THEY SAY THANK YOU, AND I SAY

THANK YOU FOR THAT. SO WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU. [READING PROCLAMATION] THANK YOU, WE LOVE YOU.

>> THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE] THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S CERTAINLY BEEN AN HONOR AN PRIVILEGE GETTING TO REPRESENT PEOPLE OF 15 AND TO WORK WITH MANY OF YOU. MARY SAID SHE SEEMS SHE HAS BEEN HERE FOREVER, MYSELF AND MY SISTER AMY AND MY BROTHER MATT HAVE BEEN HERE LONGER THAN YOU. I WAS IN GRADE SCHOOL, THEY WERE IN HIGH SCHOOL WHEN MY DAD SERVED ON THE BOARD OF ALDERMAN AND PAT WAS STILL IN TRAINING PANTS WHEN HIS MOTHER SERVED ON THE BOARD TOO. BUT THERE WERE MANY TIMES WE WOULD COME DOWN HERE WITH DAD AND RUN P UP THE CLOCK TOWER AND WE HAD TO PAY ATTENTION TO THE TIME BECAUSE IF THE BELLS STARTED RINGING, IT WASN'T FUN UP THERE, WAS IT? IT'S BEEN A WONDERFUL TIME IN THE COMMUNITY. AND I REALLY IMPLORE UPON YOU TO CONTINUE THE GOOD WORK. REMEMBER YOU ARE HERE TO DO THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS, NOT YOUR OWN PERSONAL BUSINESS AND KEEP THAT THE FOCUS IN THIS COMMUNITY AND THIS COUNCIL WILL CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD. SO THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. GLEN STUCKEL.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I'M IN BAD TROUBLE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOU ARE?

>> WHEN I LEFT HOME THIS MORNING, MY WIFE SAID WHAT TIME WILL YOU BE HOME THIS EVENING. I SAID IT WILL BE A SHORT MEETING, ABOUT 8:30. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT. GLEN, I KNOW YOU IN THE COUNCIL AND OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. WE ARE MASONIC BROTHERS. I'M GOING TO MISS YOU BEING HERE AND I LOVE YOU. AND SO THANK YOU FOR THE WORK YOU HAVE DONE HERE IN OUR COMMUNITY. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I ASKED FOR WAS SOME GOOD GLEN STORIES. AND THIS IS THE ONE I PICKED OUT I WILL TELL ABOUT. METRO COUNCIL HAD A GOLF TOURNAMENT. IT WAS ABOUT 10-12 YEARS AGO. GLEN WAS STANDING NEAR THE PRACTICE GREEN BEFORE THE ROUND STARTED. A STAFF MEMBER NEARBY WAS PRACTICING HIS SWING WITH A PITCHING WEDGE. UNFORTUNATELY THEY WERE A LITTLE TOO CLOSE TO EACH OTHER WHICH LEAD TO GLEN CATCHING THE GOLF CLUB JUST BELOW HIS EYE. THIS WAS BEFORE THE ROUND EVEN GOT STARTED. BUT GLEN WASN'T DETERRED. HE FOUND COTTON TO STOP HIS NOSE BLEED AND PROCEEDED TO PLAY. NOT MANY KNEW ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED. IT SEEMED IT MIGHT BE ONE OF THOSE MOMENTS A SELECT FEW PEOPLE WOULD LAUGH ABOUT IT YEARS LATER. THAT ALL CHANGED WHEN HE GOT TO THE 8TH HOLE AND THEY WERE PLAYING AT SENECA WHERE THEY JUST SO HAPPENED TO BE HAVING THE KENTUCKY DERBY FESTIVAL HOLE IN ONE CONTEST. WITH MEDIA AND CAMERAS ALL AROUND INCLUDING TERRY MINERS DOING A LIVE FEED, GLEN WAS THERE WITH A BLACK EYE. THIS STORY ILLUSTRATES NOT ONLY GLEN'S DETERMINATION AND FOCUS BUT ALSO HIS MERCY, BECAUSE THE STAFF MEMBER WHO HIT HIM IN THE EYE IS STILL EMPLOYED TODAY. [LAUGHTER] AND THAT'S GLEN. AS LONG AS I'VE KNOWN YOU, YOU HAVE

HAD A GREAT HEART AND COMPASSION FOR PEOPLE AND WORK SO HARD AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THAT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU. I KNOW YOU ARE SHOCKED. [READING PROCLAMATION] [APPLAUSE]

>> 16 YEARS AGO I WALKED INTO THESE CHAMBERS FOR THE FIRST TIME. TO START A NEW VENTURE WE CALLED A MERGED GOVERNMENT. WE WORKED HARD IN THOSE FIRST YEARS. WE HAD OVER 5,000 ORDINANCES FROM THE CITY AND THE COUNTY THAT WE HAD TO COMBINE AND MAKE SURE THEY FIT THE NEW GOVERNMENT. IT'S BEEN A GREAT ADVENTURE. I'VE WORKED WITH SOME UNBELIEVABLY GREAT PEOPLE AND A LOT OF THEM ARE HERE TONIGHT. AFTER TONIGHT, I WILL START A NEW ADVENTURE, IT'S CALLED THE REST OF MY LIFE. I'M DEEPLY GRATEFUL AND I HAVE GRATITUDE TO ALL OF YOU FOR GIVING ME THE CHANCE TO SERVE. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: JULIE DENTON. [APPLAUSE] HELLO, JULIE.

>> HELLO, DAVID.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: HOW ARE YOU DOING?

>> PEACHEY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COOL. WE LOVE YOU JULIE.

>> LOVE YOU, DAVID.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: AS YOU KNOW, JULIE HAS A VERY UNIQUE SENSE OF HUMOR. AND SO I ASKED FOR SOME INFORMATION I COULD USE

TO PROVIDE SOME STORIES ABOUT JULIE AND THIS IS WHAT I GOT. LOTS AND LOTS AND LOTS OF PAPER. BUT AS I READ THE PAPERS, I REALIZED THAT IT WASN'T A PG -- NOT ONE OF THEM WERE PG. SO, I'M NOT GOING TO TELL ANY OF THESE STORIES, JULIE. IS THAT OKAY?

>> I THANK YOU FOR THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: TELL --

>> TELL THEM YOU ARE JOKING NOW PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I'M JOKING. BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW JULIE THAT WOULD STRIKE A CHORD.

>> THAT WAS A GOOD CHORD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU.

>> SHOCK.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I KNOW. [READING PROCLAMATION] [READING PROCLAMATION] LOVE YOU, JULIE.

>> LOVE YOU, DAVID. [APPLAUSE] HE CAN SAY HE HAS STORIES BUT I HAVE STORIES ON DAVID. DAVID AND I MET 24 YEARS AGO SO I HAVE KNOWN HIM FOR A LONG TIME. SO IT'S SORT OF BITTERSWEET TO NOT BE WORKING WITH HIM BUT WE ARE STILL HAVING LUNCH PERIODICALLY. HE CAN'T GET RID OF ME. SOME OF YOU MAY NOT KNOW THIS BUT BACK IN THE DAY WHEN THEY HAD THE TASK FORCE TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE WERE GOING TO DO MERGED GOVERNMENT, I ACTUALLY SERVED ON THE TASK FORCE. IT WAS MY FIRST TERM AS A STATE SENATOR AND THEY SAID ARE YOU GOING TO RUN FOR METRO COUNCIL, I SAID GOOD

GOD, I HAVEN'T FIGURED OUT HOW THE SENATE WORKS, I DON'T WANT TO BE PART OF A NEW ENTITY WHEN I DON'T KNOW THE JOB I HAVE YET. SO I DIDN'T RUN. WHEN I DECIDED TO RETIRE FROM THE SENATE AND JERRY MILLER CALLED AND SAID WOULD YOU SUPPORT ME TO RUN FOR THE HOUSE. I THOUGHT YOU KNOW, IT WOULD BE COOL TO GO BACK AND SERVE ON METRO COUNCIL. I WAS THERE AT THE INCEPTION. IT WOULD BE COOL TO GO AND SEE HOW IT WORKS. IT'S BEEN A GREAT RIDE. IT'S BEEN FUN TO GET TO KNOW FOLKS. WHAT YOU HAVE TAUGHT ME ARE WHAT THE DIFFERENCES ARE THROUGH THE COUNTY. WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENCES AND WHAT DO THEY NEED? WHAT ARE THEIR STRENGTHS AND NEEDS AND LET'S WORK COLLABORATIVELY TO MAKE THE COUNTY A BETTER PLACE TO LIVE. I JUST WANT TO SAY UNDERSTAND EACH OTHER'S PERSPECTIVES. YOU MAY NOT ALWAYS AGREE BUT DON'T BE DISAGREEABLE. THAT'S WHAT THIS PROCESS IS ABOUT. IS BRINGING DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES TOGETHER AND DISCUSSING IT AND DEBATING IT AND THEN COMING UP WITH WHAT'S THE BEST ROUTE TO TAKE TO MAKE OUR COMMUNITY BETTER. SO AS YOU HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS, TAKE THE TIME TO LET IT MELLOW A LITTLE BIT SO PEOPLE UNDERSTAND AND HAVE A CHANCE TO BE PART OF THIS CONVERSATION. IT WILL MAKE THE PROCESS EVEN BETTER. SO WHILE I MAY MISS SOME OF YOU BECAUSE I DON'T SEE YOU AS MUCH, SOME OF YOU WILL GET STALKED BY ME, AND YOU KNOW WHO YOU ARE. QUIT LAUGHING. VITALIS, IT'S BEEN SO FUN TO GET TO KNOW SOMEONE FROM ANOTHER COUNTRY WHO HAS COME AND DONE ALL THE THINGS YOU HAVE DONE AND YOU ARE GOING BACK TO YOUR COUNTRY AND. YOU PUT THEM

ALL IN A ROOM TOGETHER AND WE HAVEN'T KILLED EACH OTHER YET.
WHEN THE PRESS ASKED ME TODAY WHAT IS YOUR GREATEST ACHIEVEMENT,
I ALMOST SAID, I DIDN'T KILL ANYBODY. MY SECOND CHOICE WAS I
DIDN'T GET KICKED OUT EITHER, YOU KNOW. I DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS
THE MOST APPROPRIATE THING TO SAY, BUT HEY I DIDN'T DO EITHER. I
THOUGHT THAT WAS A CROWN OF GLORY. BUT THANK YOU ALL. I WISH YOU
ALL THE BEST. AND BE GOOD TO ONE ANOTHER. BECAUSE AT THE END OF
THE DAY WE ALL HAVE THE SAME GOAL AND THAT'S TO MAKE OUR
COMMUNITY A BETTER PLACE TO LIVE. THANKS, DAVID. [APPLAUSE]
CHANEL DID ALL THE HARD WORK. SHE WAS GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.
AND I'M GLAD TONY IS KEEPING YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: VITALIS LANSHIMA. [APPLAUSE] WE LOVE
YOU.

>> [OFF MIC]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE BEEN WITH US A SHORT
PERIOD OF TIME AND YOU FILLED THE SHOES OF DISTRICT 21 AND I
WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR DOING THAT. WE ARE GOING TO MISS YOU.
AND YOUR THOUGHT PROCESS AND THE THINGS AND THE ASSETS YOU BRING
TO US IS UNIQUE AND I'M THANKFUL FOR THAT. YOU KNOW WHAT, WE
HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU TOO. I KNOW YOU ARE SHOCKED BY THAT.

>> I WAS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: TO WHOM ALL THESE LETTERS SHALL COME
GREETINGS. KNOW YE THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IN A SPIRIT OF
DEEP GRATITUDE AND APPRECIATION FOR HIS SERVICE TO THE

LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY HERE BY HONORS AND AWARDS VITALIS LANSHIMA.
WHEREAS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA EARNED THE NAME CONCIERGE COUNCILMAN
FOR THE FAST RESPONSE TIMES AN ASSISTANCE TO CONSTITUENTS AND
WHEREAS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA RENOVATED THE BEECHMONT COMMUNITY
CENTER AND PARTNERED WITH SEVERAL YOUTH ORGANIZATIONS TO HELP
DEVELOP OUR YOUTH INCLUDING LOUISVILLE SELECT BOXING, AMERICANA
COMMUNITY CENTER, BEECHMONT, BRIDGE KIDS, HOMESTEAD NORTH
ACADEMY AND WHEREAS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA FACILITATED A MUCH-
NEEDED FEMA BUY OUT WITH CONSTITUENTS FOR FLOODING AND WORKED
WITH WESLEY HOUSE TO PROVIDE COMPUTER AND JOB TRAINING FOR
IMMIGRANTS. AND WHEREAS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA WORKED WITH THE
AMERICAN DISABILITY ASSOCIATION TO HELP PROVIDE BETTER ACCESS
FOR THE DISABLED IN THE COMMUNITY AND WHEREAS COUNCILMAN
LANSHIMA REVIVED AND REVITALIZED THE PRESTON PARK NEIGHBORHOOD
ASSOCIATION AND WHEREAS HELPED TO MAKE MANY INFRASTRUCTURE
IMPROVEMENTS TO THE AREA INCLUDING ADDITIONAL PAVING AND
SIDEWALKS FOR DISTRICT 21 AND WHEREAS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA WORKED
TO CLEAN UP AND MAINTAIN ABANDONED PROPERTIES AND WHEREAS
COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA WAS THE FIRST IMMIGRANT TO SERVE ON METRO
COUNCIL. AND WHEREAS COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA WAS THE FIRST PERSON
WITH A DISABILITY TO SERVE ON METRO COUNCIL. WE HERE BY CONFER
THIS HONOR WITH ALL THE RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES AND
RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO PERTAINING IN TESTIMONY WE HAVE
CAUSED THESE LETTERS TO BE MADE AND THE SEAL OF LOUISVILLE METRO

GOVERNMENT TO BE HERE UNTO FIXED DONE IN LOUISVILLE THIS 13TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2018. WE LOVE YOU, VITALIS LANSHIMA. [APPLAUSE]

>> I WOULD LIKE TO BE JOINED BY MY WIFE, IF YOU DON'T MIND, PLEASE. I JUST WANT TO SAY I MARRIED A BEAUTIFUL WOMAN WHO LET'S ME DO THE THINGS I DO AND LOVE AND THE SACRIFICES SHE MAKES. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SERVE. AND TAKING AN EVEN BIGGER RISK TO GO BACK TO AFRICA AND SERVE THE PEOPLE THERE. I KNOW IT'S NOT EASY. THANK YOU, AND I LOVE YOU. FIRST I WANT TO THANK MY LEGISLATIVE AID BRIANA MORGAN HAS BEEN WONDERFUL AND ELLEN HEART, THEY HAVE HELD MY HAND THROUGH THIS PROCESS AND MAKE SURE EVEN WHEN I STUMBLE, I GET BACK UP. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE CLERK'S OFFICE FOR JUST WORKING WITH ME. WHEN I STARTED OUT, I KNOW HOW DIFFICULT IT WAS FOR ME TO START THIS PROCESS AND YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN WONDERFUL. THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO, YOU DO A WONDERFUL JOB, I REALLY APPRECIATE IT. TO MY COLLEAGUES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ALLOWING ME AND WELCOMING ME INTO THE FOLD AND TREATING ME LIKE ONE OF YOU. AND JUST HELPING ME GROW TO BECOME THE BETTER PERSON THAT I AM TODAY SOMETIMES WE HAVE DISAGREEMENTS BUT WE ARE NEVER DISAGREEABLE. COUNCILWOMAN DENTON, WE ARE NOT DISAGREEABLE. I JUST WANT TO SAY I'VE MET SOME REALLY COOL FRIENDS. COUNCILMEMBER DENTON, APPRECIATE YOU, COUNCILMEMBER LEET, IT'S BEEN WONDERFUL WORKING WITH YOU. I

DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, YOU HAVE HELD MY HAND AND YOU HAVE BEEN A CHAMPION FOR ME AND I REALLY APPRECIATE IT. COUNCILMEMBER COAN, I KNOW WE HAVE OUR DISAGREEMENTS BUT WE HAVE WORKED TOGETHER AND WE HAVE GROWN AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THE FRIENDSHIP. THE PAPER WEIGHT. COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE. THANK YOU FOR BEING THE FIGHTER YOU ARE. JUST THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING. COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL, BENSON. I CAN GO ON AND ON. I JUST WANT TO SAY, I THANK EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU. AND I ALSO WANT TO SAY A HUGE THANK YOU TO COUNCILWOMAN CINDI FOWLER. I KNOW THAT WE HAVE HAD OUR DISAGREEMENTS AND OUR PUBLIC ARGUMENTS. BUT WE DIDN'T KILL EACH OTHER AND WE ARE STILL STANDING. [LAUGHTER] COUNCILMEMBER VICKI WELCH, I WANT TO WISH YOU A GREAT TIME ON THE BEACH AND I WISH YOUR MOM WELL. REALLY, REALLY APPRECIATE WORKING WITH EVERY ONE OF YOU. I KNOW EVEN WHEN WE DISAGREED, WE HAD THE BEST INTERESTS OF OUR COMMUNITY AT HEART AND THAT'S WHAT IS MORE IMPORTANT. SO TO MY COLLEAGUES, EVERY ONE OF YOU HAVE BEEN REALLY SPECIAL TO ME. COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON, THANK YOU. I DON'T WANT TO LEAVE ANYBODY OUT. BUT YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN REALLY, REALLY WONDERFUL. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY A HUGE THANK YOU. ONE OF THE THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE WITH YOU, ACTUALLY TWO THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE WITH YOU, IS YOU KNOW, I HAVE SERVED SHORT, IT WASN'T MY INTENTION TO BE THIS SHORT, BRIEF BUT I WANT YOU TO KNOW ONE THING, NOTHING IN LIFE HAPPENS BY ACCIDENT AND EVERYTHING IS ORCHESTRATED. AND I KNOW

THE NIGHT I LOST THE ELECTION, A LOT OF PEOPLE WERE ASKING WHAT NEXT. AND SO IT'S NOT ABOUT HOW LONG YOU SERVE, BUT IT'S HOW WELL YOU SERVE. AND I BELIEVE I SERVED THE PEOPLE OF DISTRICT 21 WELL. AND I BELIEVE IT'S NOT BY ACCIDENT I LOST. THE REST WILL BE HISTORY. AND THE OTHER THING IS, ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO EVERYTHING YOU CAN TO ENSURE THE HOMELESS POPULATION IN LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY IS TAKEN CARE OF. THESE ARE OUR NEIGHBORS. THESE ARE OUR FRIENDS. THIS IS OUR FAMILY MEMBERS. MOMS AND DADS. THAT IS, TO ME, SHOULD BE THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY FOR THIS BODY NEXT SESSION. WE HAVE TO DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO PROVIDE THE BEST FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE STRUGGLING AND MANY OF WHOM YOU KNOW HAVE JOBS BUT ARE UNABLE TO AFFORD A HOME. SO AGAIN, THANK YOU, BETH, THANK YOU COUNCIL PRESIDENT DAVID JAMES. WE MET, WE TALKED ABOUT A LOT OF THINGS. THANKS FOR YOUR ADVICE. TO THE INCOMING COUNCILMEMBERS, IF YOU ARE HERE, KEEP YOUR HEAD UP, GET THE WORK DONE. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> COUNCILMAN JAMES, I BELIEVE THAT CONCLUDES THE FIRST ROUND OF SPECIAL RECOGNITION. DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER SPECIAL RECOGNITION YOU ARE PREPARED TO MAKE?

>> I DO, MADAM PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I WOULD LIKE FOR COUNCILWOMAN MARY WOOLRIDGE, COME ON DOWN HERE. COUNCILWOMAN CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON. COUNCILMAN GLEN STUCKEL, PLEASE COME FORWARD.

>> COUNCILMAN JAMES, I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE THAT COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE IS WEARING THE SAME SUIT THIS EVENING THAT SHE IS WEARING IN THAT PHOTO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I JUST NOTICED THAT!

>> I KNOW!

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBERS, IF YOU LOOK UP AT THAT PHOTO THERE, YOU WILL SEE A VERY YOUNG, BRAND NEW METRO COUNCIL GETTING TOGETHER FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME. MANY PEOPLE HAVE COME AND GONE FROM THAT PICTURE AND SOME OF THE ONES THAT ARE STILL HERE STANDING NEXT TO ME IN THAT PICTURE LOOK A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN THEY DID WHEN THEY FIRST STARTED. BUT THAT IS THE BEGINNING OF WHERE WE ARE TODAY. THE BEGINNING OF CONSOLIDATED LOCAL GOVERNMENT, METRO COUNCIL. SO WE JUST WANTED TO HONOR YOU ALL FOR BEING THE FOUNDING MEMBERS OF LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL. AND WE HAVE THAT PICTURE AND A CURRENT PICTURE FROM THE COUNCIL FOR EACH OF YOU. GLEN STUCKEL. MARY C. WOOLRIDGE. AND CHERI BRYANT HAMILTON. AGAIN, I WILL SAY THIS, WE LOVE YOU. THANK YOU FOR STARTING WHAT YOU STARTED AND LEAVING A LEGACY FOR ALL OF US TO FOLLOW. IT'S BEEN OUTSTANDING. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

>> I DIDN'T HAVE ANY SILVER HAIR BACK THEN.

>> MR. CLERK, LET THE RECORD REFLECT I'M RELINQUISHING THE CHAIR TO THE PRESIDENT.

>> MR. CLERK: SO NOTED. .

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE. SOME MEMBERS HAVE ELECTED TO BEGIN PENSIONS AS OF JANUARY 1ST 2018. - - 2019. KENTUCKY STATE LAW ESTABLISHES COUNCIL TERMS TO BEGIN AND END ON THE FIRST MONDAY OF THE NEW YEAR FOLLOWING AN ELECTION. AS A RESULT WE HAVE A FEW COUNCILMEMBERS THAT MUST OFFICIALLY RESIGN FROM THE METRO COUNCIL AT THE END OF 2018 TO AVOID PROBLEMS WITH THE KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEM. THE STAFF WILL CONTINUE HANDLING THEIR BUSINESS FROM JANUARY 1ST 2019 UNTIL THE NEWLY ELECTED COUNCILMEMBERS ARE SWORN IN JANUARY 7TH, 2019. MR. CLERK, COULD YOU PLEASE IDENTIFY THE COUNCILMEMBERS WHO HAVE SUBMITTED THEIR RESIGNATION LETTERS.

>> MR. CLERK: YES, MR. PRESIDENT. THE CLERK'S OFFICE HAS RECEIVED RESIGNATION LETTERS FROM THE FOLLOWING COUNCILMEMBERS. DISTRICT 3 COUNCILMEMBER WOOLRIDGE. DISTRICT 5 COUNCILMEMBER HAMILTON. DISTRICT 13 COUNCILMEMBER WELCH. DISTRICT 15 COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER. DISTRICT 17 COUNCILMEMBER STUCKEL. AND DISTRICT 19 COUNCILMEMBER DENTON. RESIGNATIONS ARE TO BE EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 31, 2018, THE LETTERS WILL BECOME PART OF THE RECORD FOR TONIGHT'S MEETING. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. BEFORE WE GO INTO APPROVAL OF MINUTES. I KNOW WE HAVE BEEN HERE FOR A WHILE. WHY DON'T WE TAKE A TEN-MINUTE RECESS. [10-MINUTE RECESS]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: 30 SECONDS, METRO TV, 30 SECONDS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE ARE BACK IN SESSION. NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF NOVEMBER 29TH, 2018. ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS? MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. THE MINUTES HAVE BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN. NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES ALL IN 2018. [READING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES] ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS? THE MINUTES HAVE BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. ALL IN FAVOR? OPPOSED? THESE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN. MR. CLERK, DO WE HAVE ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR?

>> MR. CLERK: YES, WE DO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: PLEASE READ THOSE INTO THE RECORD.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: LEGISLATION DIES. [READING LEGISLATION] DUE TO LACK OF SPONSORSHIP. A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SCHEDULE OF REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2019. R-227-18. THE LEGISLATION THAT WE ARE SPEAKING OF IS THE CALENDAR THAT YOU ALL RECEIVED FOR THE -- NEED A MOTION FOR THAT, SORRY.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? THANK YOU. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL THOSE OPPOSED. AYES HAVE IT. THANK YOU. A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EARLY FORGIVENESS OF A FORGIVABLE LOAN, LOAN APPROVED FOR IMPROVEMENTS AT PURITAN APARTMENTS. MAY I HAVE A MOTION?

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IT'S BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. COUNCILMAN COAN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SO PROCEDURALLY, I THINK THIS WILL BE THE FIRST AND SECOND READING OF THE RESOLUTION. WE HAD A SPECIAL DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM IN A SPECIAL MEETING OF TODAY'S PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE. WE ARE TRYING TO GET THIS IN UNDER THE CLOCK. THIS IS A RESOLUTION THAT WOULD SPEED UP THE FORGIVENESS OF A \$500,000 FORGIVABLE LOAN MADE TO NEW DIRECTIONS HOUSING CORP IN 2004. IN CONNECTION NOW WITH THE PURITAN APARTMENT BUILDING WHICH IS A 249-UNIT AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMPLEX FOR SENIORS IN DISTRICT 6. THE DEVELOPER IS REFINANCING. IN ORDER TO DO SO IN A MANNER WHERE THE \$500,000 FORGIVABLE LOAN DOES NOT CREATE A TAX CONSEQUENCE FOR THEM, THEY ARE SEEKING TO HAVE THE LOAN FORGIVEN TWO AND A HALF YEARS BEFORE IT WAS SCHEDULED TO BE FORGIVEN IN JUNE 2021. WE HAD A GOOD CONVERSATION WITH GABE FRITZ, THE DIRECTOR OF HOUSING THIS AFTERNOON. AND ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT, THE NATURE OF THE FORGIVABLE LOAN. IT'S REALLY FUNCTIONALLY A GRANT. THIS IS NOT A

LOAN THAT HAD PAYMENTS MADE BACK ON IT AT ALL SINCE 2004. THERE'S NEVER REALLY BEEN ANY PAYMENTS CONTEMPLATED TO COME BACK. THAT \$500,000 HAS NOT BEEN BUDGETED IN FUTURE BUDGETS TO RECYCLE FOR OTHER HOUSING USES. SO IT IS IN ALL EFFECTS AND PURPOSES AND ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES A GRANT. I WOULD ASK PEOPLE'S SUPPORT IN ACCELERATING THE FORGIVENESS OF IT SO WE CAN HAVE A SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT IN THE PURITAN APARTMENTS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS A RESOLUTION -- ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THE RESOLUTION PASSES. OUR NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THE CONSENT CALENDAR COMPRISES OF ITEMS NUMBER 28-55. WITH THE ADDITION OF ONE?

>> YES, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD LIKE TO ADD ITEM NUMBER 56 FROM OLD BUSINESS TO CONSENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ITEM 56 IS ADDED TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR. DO YOU HAVE THAT, MR. CLERK?

>> MR. CLERK: I DO, SIR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY FURTHER ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS? MR. CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THESE ITEMS.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS] READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL. THE CONSENT CALENDAR HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: THERE ARE 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES. THE NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 56.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 56]READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS MONEY INVOLVING THE REPUBLICAN POOL AND COUNCILMAN KRAMER HANDLED THIS IN THE COMMITTEE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AS COUNCILMAN KRAMER POINTED OUT, IN THE SUMMERS, THE BUDGET TIME THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUS OFTEN POOLS RESOURCES TO TRY TO ACCOMPLISH AS MUCH AS WE CAN. AT MID-YEAR BUDGET WE LOOK BACK OVER THE BUDGETS WE HAVE DONE AND FIND SOMETIMES THE RESOURCES WEREN'T FULLY EXPENDED SO WE GO BACK AND REVISIT. THAT'S PRECISELY WHAT HAPPENED THIS YEAR. SO THERE WAS

QUITE A BIT OF MONEY THAT WE WERE ABLE TO RECOVER FROM PROJECTS THAT CAME IN UNDER BUDGET. SO WHEN WE LOOKED AT HOW MUCH MONEY WAS THERE, WE REVISITED SOME OF WHERE WE HAD DECIDED TO ALLOCATE MONEY AND MADE DECISIONS TO ALLOCATE MONEY IN DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS. I THINK PERHAPS THE BIGGEST WINNER, I GUESS IF WINNER IS THE RIGHT WORD, WE KEPT A BIG CHUNK OF IT IN PAVING. BUT WE DECIDED TO MOVE \$70,000 TO THE LOUISVILLE ZOO TO PAY FOR HANDICAP ACCESSIBLE PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT AT THE ZOO AND THAT WASN'T IN OUR ORIGINAL POOL DISCUSSION. BUT WE WERE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO SOMETHING THAT BENEFITS THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY. WE KEPT A CHUNK IN PAVING WHICH IS WHERE MOST CAME FROM AND THE ONLY ONE WE REALLY ADDED THAT STICKS OUT IS THE ALLOTMENT TO THE ZOO. SO WE WOULD ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AND I KNOW THE REPUBLICANS ALWAYS POOL THEIR C.I.F. HOWEVER, JUST A LITTLE CURIOSITY, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT WAS ORIGINALLY THEY HAD DEDICATED THIS MONEY TO THAT THEY ARE NOW GIVING IT TO THE ZOO? WOULD YOU ALL BE WILLING TO TELL ME THAT?

>> SURE. IF I MAY, IT'S ABSOLUTELY NO SECRET. THE MONEY WAS, SOME OF IT WAS GOING TO A PAVING PROJECT. THERE WAS A RIGHT TURN LANE, I THINK IT WAS. THAT PROJECT CAME IN. WHAT HAPPENED OVER THE YEARS THIS WAS AN IMPORTANT PROJECT TO US. EACH YEAR WE WOULD PUT AN AMOUNT OF MONEY IN AND WHEN WE GOT TO THIS YEAR AND

WE ARE LOOKING AT IT, WE HAD PUT IN MORE RESOURCES THAN WE NEEDED FOR THAT RIGHT TURN LANE. SO THE BULK OF THE CHANGE IS COMING FROM THAT ONE PROJECT. THERE WAS ANOTHER PROJECT THAT WAS A SIDEWALK PROJECT THAT CAME IN. IT WAS LESS EXPENSIVE THAN WHAT WE HAD EXPECTED IT TO BE. AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER PROJECT WE LOOKED AT AND SWITCHED MONEY AROUND. MOST OF THE MONEY WAS FROM PAVING. AND A GOOD BIT OF IT WAS MONEY THAT WAS FOR PROJECTS THAT CAME IN UNDER BUDGET. I THINK ONE PAVING PROJECT WHEN WE LOOKED AT HOW MUCH MONEY WE HAD AVAILABLE WE HAD ONE PAVING PROJECT OUT THERE AND I THINK WE MOVED ONE FROM THE PAVING PROJECT.

>> IT WAS JUST CURIOSITY. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH?

>> YES, I THINK IT'S HELPFUL TO LEARN FROM EACH OTHER AND IF FOLKS ARE DOING THINGS VERY DIFFERENTLY THAN OTHER FOLKS. MY QUESTION IS, WITH THIS MID-YEAR REVIEW AND ADJUSTMENTS BEING MADE, DOES THAT LEAVE ANY MONEY IN THE POOL FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE SIX MONTHS?

>> COUNCILWOMAN, I'M NOT SURE THAT -- I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER SPECIFICALLY. THE REASON I DON'T KNOW, IS AT BUDGET TIME WE DECIDE HOW THAT MONEY WILL BE ALLOCATED. AND WE ALLOCATE IT ALL OUT AT ONCE. AS THE YEAR GOES ON, IF COUNCILMEMBER, LIKE FOR MYSELF, FOR EXAMPLE, IF I WANT TO DO SIDEWALK PROJECTS IN MY DISTRICT, YOU CAN'T DO A SIDEWALK PROJECT OUT OF N.D.F. THAT HAS

TO COME OUT OF C.I.F. SO MEMBERS OF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUS WILL MOVE MONEY FROM THE N.D.F. INTO THEIR C.I.F. ACCOUNTS IN ORDER TO TAKE CARE OF CAPITAL PROJECTS THEY MAY HAVE. MEMBERS MAY RECOGNIZE A PROJECT THAT IS BIGGER THAN THEIR N.D.F. ACCOUNT CAN COVER AND IT MAY BE A PROJECT THE REPUBLICAN POOL DIDN'T TAKE CARE OF FOR SOME REASON SO COUNCILMEMBERS MAY SAVE MONEY ASIDE FOR A FUTURE PROJECT AND MAY MOVE THAT MONEY INTO A C.I.F. ACCOUNT AS THEY ARE WAITING FOR IT OR MAY LEAVE IT IN THEIR N.D.F. UNTIL THE DAY THEY NEED TO SWITCH IT TO THE CAPITAL PROJECT. I SUSPECT IF YOU WENT AND LOOKED AT NINE OF THE REPUBLICAN COUNCIL DISTRICTS, I WOULD GUESS EACH IS GOING TO HAVE A BALANCE IN THEIR C.I.F. BUT THAT BALANCE IS IN ALL LIKELIHOOD GOING TO BE THERE BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME PROJECT THEY WERE INTENDING TO TAKE CARE OF AND THEY MOVED MONEY TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN.

>> THANK YOU, THAT WAS VERY HELPFUL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER?

>> I JUST HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS. LOOKING AT SOME OF THE PAPERWORK HERE, IT LOOKS LIKE THERE ARE SOME PROJECTS, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ARE CLEANING UP THE MONEY OR IF IT'S NO LONGER THERE. WAS THE SIDEWALK ON ELM WOOD COMPLETED?

>> YES, MA'AM, IT CAME UNDER BUDGET.

>> WHAT ABOUT ORMSBY LANE?

>> SOME MAY BE BETTER FOR OTHERS TO SPEAK TO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT JAMES. I'M MORE THAN HAPPY. ELM WOOD WAS COMPLETED JUST SHORT OF HUBBARDS LANE. WE WERE HAPPY TO EXTEND IT ALL THE WAY BUT THERE ARE GEOGRAPHICAL AND SAFETY CONDITIONS THAT DISALLOW IT AFTER WE RECEIVED A QUOTE FROM PUBLIC WORKS. THEY DETERMINED AFTER WE HAD ALREADY ALLOCATED THE MONEY IT WASN'T SAFE TO ACTUALLY DO THE PROJECT. SO WE HAD TO PULL BACK ON THAT ONE, FOR EXAMPLE, THE ORMSBY LANE PROJECT IS UNDER WAY. THAT SIDEWALK PROJECT IS NOW UNDER WAY. AND THAT WAS A PUBLIC, PUBLIC, PUBLIC PROJECT WHERE SOME OF THE HOME RULE CITIES HAVE CONTRIBUTED FUNDS TO THAT PROJECT. SO WE DON'T HAVE THAT FULL NUMBER YET. BECAUSE THAT PROJECT IS LITERALLY JUST STARTED WORK.

>> IS THAT PART OF THIS INTERSECTION MODIFICATION PROJECT?

>> NO, THAT WAS A SEPARATE PROJECT. JUST AS COUNCILMAN KRAMER DESCRIBED. THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED THERE. THAT WAS A PROJECT THAT WAS ACTUALLY STARTED WHEN COUNCILMAN FLEMING WAS HERE AND THERE WERE MONEYS ALREADY IN THE POT FOR THAT PROJECT. IT GOT DELAYED IN ORDER TO ENSURE A LONGER TERM PERMANENT SOLUTION FOR SAFETY ALONG WESTPORT ROAD. SO WE WERE ABLE TO ACTUALLY INSTALL A TRAFFIC LIGHT ALONG WESTPORT TO NOT ONLY CONTROL SPEED, TO PROVIDE SAFE ACCESS FROM ORMSBY TO WESTPORT ROAD. IN THE DETERMINATION BY PUBLIC WORKS AND KYTC, THE RIGHT-HAND TURN LANE ULTIMATELY ENDED UP ALSO BEING DETERMINED AS

STILL AN ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURE BUT THEY WERE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH IT FOR A REDUCED COST THEN WHAT THEY HAD ORIGINALLY ANTICIPATED IT COSTING.

>> AND WHAT ABOUT THE WESTPORT ROAD PLAN IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS. I THINK THESE ARE FURTHER OUT. WESTPORT ROAD NEAR SPRINGHURST. IS THAT COMPLETED OR STILL UNDERGOING? COUNCILMAN STUCKEL?

>> WE STARTED IT, BUT WE RUN INTO --

>> SO IS THE \$100,000 NO LONGER NEEDED FOR THAT?

>> THE \$100,000 FROM THAT WAS, IF MY RECOLLECTION IS CORRECT, THAT WAS ALREADY, I THINK, THERE'S MONEY ALREADY EXPENDED OUT OF THAT. BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH THE BALANCE REMAINING WAS FROM THAT.

>> IT SAYS ON HERE ZERO. THIS IS WHEN YOU WISH YOU HAVE LATANYA OR BETH WITH US.

>> OKAY, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL?

>> I'M SORRY, MR. PRESIDENT. COUNCILMAN KRAMER JUST EXPLAINED IT TO ME.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. IS THERE FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH?

>> YES, I DID WANT TO GO BACK AND ASK ABOUT A COMMENT COUNCILMAN KRAMER MADE. I THINK HE DID REFERENCE THERE MAY BE POSSIBLE BALANCES IN THE C.I.F. ACCOUNTS. AND I WOULD LIKE TO

KNOW IF WE KNOW WHAT THOSE BALANCES ARE. IN DISTRICT 7 AND DISTRICT 17 GIVEN THE TRANSITION THAT'S PREPARING TO TAKE PLACE.

>> SO FOR WHAT I CAN SAY. SOMEBODY JUST GAVE ME THE INFORMATION REGARDING THE WESTPORT ROAD STUDY. THAT STUDY WAS COMPLETED. WE ARE WORKING WITH K.Y.T.C., THE NEXT PHASE WILL HAVE TO BE DONE BY THE NEXT REPRESENTATIVES FOR DISTRICT 7 AND 17 TO CONTINUE THAT WORK TO MOVE TO A SOLUTION. \$100,000 WAS ONLY FOR THE STUDY PORTION. WE HAVE COMPLETED THAT STUDY PORTION. AND IT'S BEEN PRESENTED BACK OUT. AND THEN MY C.I.F. BALANCE IS \$25,001.54.

>> THANK YOU.

>> AND THE C.I.F. IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE MINIMUM BALANCE LIMITATIONS THAT WE CREATED IN THE ORDINANCE THAT WE PASSED. AND MY N.D.F. BALANCES ARE IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE LIMITS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I'M FULLY AWARE WE DID NOT REGULATE BALANCES IN C.I.F. ACCOUNTS AS WE DID WITH N.D.F. ACCOUNTS. I JUST THOUGHT IT WAS GOOD TO BE TRANSPARENT ABOUT THIS SO WE WOULD ALL UNDERSTAND. AND WITH DIFFERENT INFORMATION FLOATING AROUND, IT'S VERY HELPFUL TO GET THE ACCURATE INFORMATION. DO WE KNOW WHAT THE C.I.F. BALANCE IS IN DISTRICT 17 AT THIS TIME?

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I THINK WE HAVE EXPENDED, I HAVE LEFT MONEY IN THERE FOR THE INCOMING PERSON. I'VE GOT, I GUESS WE ARE COMING UP FOR A VOTE LATER. FROM OUR N.D.F. I'M ALMOST OUT OF MY

MONEY, OTHER THAN WHAT I'M REQUIRED TO LEAVE FOR THE INCOMING PERSON, OKAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> I HAD A DOCUMENT. AGAIN, THIS IS AS OF NOW. I DON'T KNOW IF IT INCLUDES APPROPRIATIONS MADE TONIGHT. I HAD A DOCUMENT THAT SAID, ACTUALLY COUNCILMAN STUCKEL, \$64,148.80. AND THE DOCUMENT PRETTY MUCH MATCHES UP WITH WHAT COUNCILWOMAN LEET SAYS. IT'S OFF BY A FEW CENTS. A FEW PENNIES.

>> BE THAT NOTED ON THE RECORD, PENNIES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M LEAVING THE FUTURE JUST LIKE WE RESTRICTED THE N.D.F. I BELIEVE THIS NEXT COUNCIL SHOULD ALSO RESTRICT THE C.I.F. BECAUSE IF SOMEONE IS COMING IN FOR SIDEWALKS, SPEED HUMPS, ETC., THEY WON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO DO IT PERHAPS UNTIL THEY GET THEIR NEW BUDGET IN JULY. SO I WOULD JUST ADMONISH YOU ALL TO CONSIDER DOING THAT FOR THE OTHER ACCOUNT AS WELL. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING? WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 58.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 58] READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS OUR YEAR-END ORDINANCE FOR THE YEAR THAT WAS COMPLETED ON JUNE 30TH. WE WERE FORTUNATE TO HAVE A SURPLUS OF ABOUT \$621,000. WE HAVE HAD A GOOD DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. AND UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED THAT WE SPEND THE SURPLUS PRINCIPALLY FOR HOMELESS SERVICES TO DEAL WITH A REAL CRISIS IN OUR SHELTER SYSTEM AND A CRISIS AMONG THE PEOPLE WHO WERE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS IN THE CITY. SO THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US WOULD SPEND \$546,791 EXCLUSIVELY FOR HOMELESS SERVICES. WE WOULD SEND THAT TO THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES AND REQUIRE THAT THEY REPORT TO THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT BY JANUARY 2ND OR BEFORE AS TO HOW THE FUNDS WILL BE USED. THAT WILL BE A VARIETY, I THINK, OF AGENCIES ACROSS THE COMMUNITY WHO ARE NOW SUBMITTING PROPOSALS FOR HOW WE CAN DEAL ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS FOR GETTING PEOPLE OFF THE STREET AND INTO SOME KIND OF SHELTER. AND ALSO DEALING WITH SOME STORAGE ISSUES FOR THE BELONGINGS OF SOME OF OUR PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS IN THE COMMUNITY. WE HAVE ALSO SAID THE GOAL WOULD BE THESE FUNDS WOULD BE PUT TO SERVICE

BY JANUARY 14TH. THAT'S ONLY A MONTH AWAY. WE SET VERY SHORT TIME FRAMES HERE. AND PEOPLE ARE WORKING ON THIS ALREADY. THEN WE SAID THIS REALLY SHOULD BE VIEWED AS A BRIDGE TO MORE PERMANENT SERVICES AND A MORE PERMANENT PLAN TO ADDRESS THESE NEEDS. WHICH WE HOPE THE MAYOR WILL BRING TO US. ACTUALLY WE SAY IT SHALL BE PROPOSED NO LATER THAN APRIL 25TH 2019 WHEN THE MAYOR PRESENTS HIS PROPOSED CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR '20. THE OTHER APPROPRIATION, THE REST OF THE SURPLUS, \$75,000 WOULD BE APPROPRIATED FOR TO LOUISVILLE ZOO TO CELEBRATE THEIR 50TH ANNIVERSARY IN 2019. I THINK THIS IS A GOOD USE OF THE MONEY. WE HAVE A CRISIS IN THE COMMUNITY NOW. AND WE THINK WE NEED TO DEAL WITH IT. I WILL POINT OUT ONE FINAL THING. THIS LEAVES \$500,000 ADDED TO THE RAINY DAY FUND. WHICH WAS WHAT WE BUDGETED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR. SO WE WILL BE ADDING TO THE RAINY DAY FUND. BUT WE THINK THESE FUNDS SHOULD BE USED IN THIS MANNER BECAUSE QUITE FRANKLY, IT'S A RAINY DAY. CERTAINLY FOR OUR HOMELESS COMMUNITY AND THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SERVING THEM. SO I WOULD MOVE APPROVAL OF THE ORDINANCE AS IT CAME OUT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> I CERTAINLY SUPPORT MY COLLEAGUE IN DOING THIS, THE \$70,000 FOR THE ZOO'S 50TH ANNIVERSARY AS WELL AS THE ADDITIONAL MONEY FOR THE HOMELESS. AND I WOULD LIKE FOR THE PUBLIC OUT THERE THAT MAY BE VIEWING THIS OR VIEWING IT LATER ON, THIS HAS

BEEN A PASSION OF MINE. I'VE HEARD A NUMBER OF PEOPLE SAY THAT COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER AND BARBARA SEXTON SMITH, I KNOW THEY ARE TAKING THE LEAD IN THIS. HOWEVER I WANT THE FOLKS TO KNOW, IT'S NOT JUST THE TWO OF THEM THAT'S WORKING ON THIS. WE ARE ALL TRYING TO WORK ON THIS TO GET FAMILIES HOUSED. AND THEY ARE TAKING THE LEAD BUT YOU KNOW, ON THIS METRO COUNCIL YOU CAN'T DO ANYTHING EXCEPT TO GET 14 VOTES. WE JUST GIVE EVERYBODY A LITTLE CREDIT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. MY QUESTION IS TO COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, ON THE COMMITTEE ACTUALLY AS A WHOLE. WAS THERE CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO ALLOCATING THE WHOLE MILLION TO HOMELESS SERVICES INSTEAD OF TRANSFERRING \$500,000 TO A RAINY DAY FUND?

>> I DON'T KNOW, IF I MAY, MR. PRESIDENT. I DON'T KNOW THAT WE DISCUSSED THAT IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. I THINK THAT CONTINUING TO PUT MONEY INTO OUR OWN APPROPRIATED BALANCE IS IMPORTANT. IT'S SOMETHING THAT IS LOOKED AT BY THE AGENCIES THAT RATE OUR BONDS. AND SO WE ARE FRANKLY A LITTLE BIT BELOW WHERE WE WOULD LIKE TO BE IN THAT, CERTAINLY NOT A CRISIS, BUT WE DIDN'T ADD AS MUCH AS WE WOULD HAVE LIKED TO. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE CONTINUE TO BE FISCALLY PRUDENT AND MAKE SURE THAT WE CONTINUE TO HAVE THE BONDING CAPACITY WE NEED TO DO OTHER THINGS IN THE COMMUNITY. AND THAT'S WHY, AT LEAST, I

THOUGHT CONTINUING WITH THE \$500,000 TO THE RAINY DAY FUND WAS IMPORTANT.

>> TALKING ABOUT PRIORITIES, DON'T WE THINK IT'S PRIORITY ENOUGH FOR US TO MAKE SURE WE SPEND EVERY PENNY WE CAN, INSTEAD OF SITTING ON IT, TO INVEST IN THIS RAINY DAY SITUATION WE ARE FACING RIGHT NOW. I'M JUST THINKING OUT LOUD.

>> I UNDERSTAND. BUT AGAIN, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WE MAINTAIN FISCAL PRUDENCE AND THE ABILITY, FRANKLY, TO DO BONDS FOR OTHER THINGS WE WANT TO DO NEXT YEAR. SO THAT'S WHY WE DECIDED TO STAY WITH THE \$500,000 GOING TO THE RAINY DAY FUND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE PASSES. THANK YOU. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 59.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 59] READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AND BEFORE WE GO ANY FURTHER, I HAVE PASSED OUT TO MOST OF MY COLLEAGUES BUT COUNCILMEMBER ENGELS IS HELPING ME OUT. THERE WERE BINDING ELEMENTS ADDED DURING COMMITTEE AND THEY WERE A REFLECTION OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING. BUT I HAVE BEEN ASKED BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO CLARIFY LANGUAGE. AND AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE HEAD CLERK STEPHEN OTT, HE SAID EVEN THOUGH IT'S BASICALLY THE SAME AND THERE'S SOME LANGUAGE CHANGED THAT I NEEDED TO ASK FOR A REVOTE ON THEM. IF NOT, THEY WILL STAY THE SAME AS WHAT WE VOTED ON IN COMMITTEE. BECAUSE BINDING ELEMENT NUMBER 11, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY HAS ASKED THAT IT READS CONSTRUCTION PLANS, BOND AND PERMIT FOR A FIVE FOOT SIDEWALK ALONG EITHER THE EAST OR WEST SIDE OF ROBS LANE BETWEEN THE PROPOSED LOCATION OF THE PARK AND RIDE OF THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN OF THE EXISTING SIDEWALK ALONG ROBS LANE, FRONTAGE OF 5100 OUTER LOOP SHALL BE SUBMITTED PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE FIRST BUILDING PERMIT. THE ULTIMATE LOCATION OF THE SIDEWALK SHALL BE DETERMINED IN CONSULTATION WITH PUBLIC WORKS. AND I MOVE THAT NEW LANGUAGE FOR THAT AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISCUSSION?

>> THERE WAS ALSO, I HAVE AN EMAIL FROM PUBLIC WORKS THAT STATES THAT THERE'S NO REASON THE SIDEWALK CANNOT GO IN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MR. CLERK?

>> MR. CLERK: I APOLOGIZE. MR. PRESIDENT, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, NUMBER 11, YOU HAD READ ON THE SECOND LINE FROM THE BOTTOM UP. YOU HAD READ LOCATION SIDEWALK SHALL BE DETERMINED --

>> OR WILL BE DETERMINED IN CONSULTATION WITH PUBLIC WORKS. THANK YOU. THAT WOULD BE, I WOULD SAY, A TECHNICAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, ARE WE ALSO AMENDING ITEM 15?

>> YES, WE ARE.

>> OKAY, THAT WILL BE A SEPARATE VOTE?

>> YES, SIR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON?

>> IS THIS, I REMEMBER SOME DISCUSSION EARLIER THIS EVENING BEFORE TWO SIDEWALKS OR ADDITIONAL SIDEWALK. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THIS?

>> YEAH, THIS WASN'T ON THE ORIGINAL PLAN. IT WAS ACTUALLY ADDED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. VERY LATE IN THAT EVENING. IT WAS PROBABLY ONE OF THE LAST THINGS THEY ADDED TO THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN. THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S IDEA. BECAUSE THIS WAS SUPPOSED TO BE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND IT'S NOT, IN MY OPINION NOR OF SOME OF THE COMMISSIONERS IF YOU ARE RELYING ON

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND ONE OF THEM WOULD BE PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC, IT SHOULD HAVE A SAFE SIDEWALK TO GET YOU WHERE YOU NEED TO BE. WE HAVE A LOT OF WRECKS ON THAT ROAD.

>> THIS IS BEING BUILT BY THE DEVELOPER, RIGHT?

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I CERTAINLY DON'T HAVE THE FUNDS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? I NEED TO ABSTAIN FROM THIS PARTICULAR VOTE BECAUSE I WORKED ON THIS PROJECT WHEN I WAS AT UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE FOUNDATION. MR. CLERK, COULD YOU PLEASE OPEN UP VOTE FOR ROLL CALL VOTING.

>> MR. CLERK: 22, 1 ABSTENTION AND THREE NOT VOTING. ONE ABSTENTION IS PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN WAS UNABLE TO GET HER SYSTEM TO WORK.

>> MR. CLERK: 23 YES. 1 ABSTENTION, 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE AMENDMENT PASSES.
COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> YES, AND ITEM 15 WILL NOW READ AS TESTIFIED TO OCTOBER 9TH 2018 MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION THERE SHALL BE ON SITE MANAGEMENT AT THE UNITY PLACE APARTMENTS, MANAGEMENT SHALL HAVE THE CAPABILITY AND PROCEDURES IN PLACE TO CONTACT EMERGENCY MAINTENANCE AT ALL TIMES. AND I MOVE THAT AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MOTION IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THIS COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD. SEEING BINDING ELEMENTS WITH OPERATIONS AS OPPOSED TO STRICT LAND USE REQUIREMENT.

>> THIS WAS A DIRECT QUESTION DURING TESTIMONY. AND I INTENDED TO MEMORIALIZE IT FOR SAFETY OF PEOPLE MOVING INTO THE AREA. BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ALL HAVE EXPERIENCED THIS BUT IN MY YEARS ON THE COUNCIL I HAVE HAD CALLS FROM MANAGERS ASKING FOR WATER TO BE TURNED BACK ON IN APARTMENT COMPLEX WHERE THE WATER WAS TURNED OFF. PEOPLE SAYING THEY HAVE NO HEAT OR AIR-CONDITIONING, CAN YOU SEND CODE ENFORCEMENT. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT WAS TESTIFIED TO IN THE PUBLIC HEARING IS MEMORIALIZED. CASE IN POINT, THERE'S ANOTHER CASE IN MY DISTRICT OF A SUBDIVISION WHERE THE SIDEWALKS WEREN'T COMPLETELY COMPLETED. IT'S NOT A BINDING ELEMENT. BUT IT WAS STATED DURING THE RECORD OF THE PUBLIC HEARING. SO WHEN THE INSPECTORS WENT OUT THERE, IT'S NOT ON THE BINDING ELEMENT, THEY RELEASED THE BOND. AND NOW WE HAVE HOUSES, EIGHT HOUSES IN THE SUBDIVISION WITH NO SIDEWALK, NOT CONTIGUOUS. A HOUSE HERE, FIVE HOUSES AND ANOTHER. SO THAT'S MY REASONING.

>> DOES IT MEAN THAT THERE'S AN OFFICE AND IT'S STAFFED?
FROM 9-5.

>> ON SITE MANAGEMENT WHO WOULD BE LIVING THERE. AND THEY WOULD HAVE 24/7 MAINTENANCE COVERAGE IN CASE OF EMERGENCY.

>> AND THE DEVELOPER AGREED TO THAT?

>> THEY ARE THE ONES WHO -- THE ATTORNEY FOR THE APPLICANT IS THE ONE WHO TESTIFIED TO IT.

>> OKAY.

>> [OFF MIC]

>> THEY WERE DEVELOPED IN CONSULTATION WITH THEM. AS FOR THE 11 AND 15, IN THE PREVIOUS ONES INTRODUCED AT THE PLANNING AND ZONING ANNEXATION COMMITTEE WERE ALL AGREED TO BY THE APPLICANT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON?

>> I JUST HAD A QUESTION REGARDING THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE ON SITE MANAGEMENT AND I'M JUST THINKING, I KNOW THESE ARE AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS TO THE AREA AND WHETHER THESE SAME REQUIREMENTS WOULD BE REQUIRED OF MARKET RATE DEVELOPMENTS SUCH AS THIS.

>> IF IT WAS STATED IN THE PUBLIC HEARING, I WOULD MEMORIALIZE IT, YES, MA'AM, I WOULD. HERE IS ANOTHER AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNIT THAT JUST BROKE GROUND IN MY DISTRICT YESTERDAY. A 313. AND IT EVEN SAYS ON-SITE MANAGEMENT IN THEIR PRESS RELEASE AS ONE OF THEIR AMENITIES IN THE PROJECT.

>> 24/7 LIVING THERE?

>> ON SITE MANAGEMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON?

>> IT'S ON-SITE MANAGEMENT. THE CAPABILITY TO GET EMERGENCY MAINTENANCE 24/7.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. TEN YEARS ON COUNCIL, I DON'T REMEMBER EVER PUTTING A BINDING ELEMENT ON SOMETHING REGARDING THE OPERATION OF A FACILITY ONCE IT'S BUILT. I'M JUST CONCERNED BY THE FACT, WELL THEY TESTIFIED THERE WOULD BE ON-SITE MANAGEMENT. IT'S JUST, TO ME, IT'S NOT FULLY CLEAR. WHAT ARE WE SAYING HERE? ARE WE SAYING THEY ARE REQUIRING SOMEONE LIVE THERE 24/7? THE MAINTENANCE PEOPLE BE THERE 24/7. I DON'T GET WHAT THIS LANGUAGE IS REALLY SAYING. OTHER THAN WE EXPECT YOU TO LIVE UP TO WHAT YOU SAID AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION. TO ME, THIS SEEMS NEW TERRITORY, AND I'VE BEEN HERE A DECADE. WHAT EXACTLY DOES --

>> THIS WAS A QUESTION POSED TO THE APPLICANT AND THEIR ATTORNEY AT THE PUBLIC HEARING AND THIS IS HOW IT WAS ANSWERED. BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME PROPERTY MANAGEMENT COMPANIES THAT DON'T HAVE ON-SITE MANAGEMENT PEOPLE. THEIR OFFICE IS SOMEWHERE OVER ON THIRD STREET BUT YET THE APARTMENTS MAY BE DOWN ON OUTER LOOP.

>> OKAY, WE ARE REQUIRING ESSENTIALLY THIS LANGUAGE TO HAVE OFFICE ON PREMISES AND THAT OFFICE STAFFED FOR SOME HOURS OF THE DAY, IS THAT WHAT WE ARE SAYING?

>> I WOULD IMAGINE THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE SOMEONE IF THEY LEASE APARTMENTS. THAT'S USUALLY HOW IT WORKS ON THE BIGGER PROPERTIES. THAT'S WHAT THEY WERE ASKED BY SEVERAL CONSTITUENTS WHO WERE THERE. AND THAT'S WHAT WAS ANSWERED. AND I WILL REMIND YOU, THESE WERE AGREED TO BY THE APPLICANT OR THE APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY. I HAVE AN EMAIL THAT STATED THEY APPROVED OF THESE BINDING ELEMENTS.

>> SO JUST SO WE ARE CLEAR, MY VOTE ON THIS ITEM 15 HERE, IS IT RELATED TO ANY SET HOURS OR SET AMOUNT OF PERSONNEL? THAT'S NOT THE SITUATION AT ALL, RIGHT?

>> I'M SORRY?

>> THIS DOESN'T RELATE TO ANY SET HOURS OR SET AMOUNT OF PERSONNEL, EMPLOYEES AT THE SITE, DOES IT?

>> NO, IT SAYS ON-SITE MANAGEMENT. IN FACT, WE HAVE AN ORDINANCE ON THE BOOKS THAT STATES ALL RENTAL PROPERTY, YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE POSTED ON YOUR PROPERTY THE NAME AND PHONE NUMBER OF SOMEONE TO CONTACT IN AN EMERGENCY SITUATION.

>> YOU ARE TELLING ME UNDER THAT SCENARIO WE DON'T REQUIRE ON-SITE FOLKS.

>> PARDON?

>> UNDER THAT WE DON'T REQUIRE ON-SITE.

>> THAT'S EVEN FOR HOUSES THAT ARE FOR RENTAL AND IT APPLIES MOSTLY TO DUPLEXES.

>> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO CONTINUE ON THE SAME DISCUSSION COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON BROUGHT UP. I THINK IT JUST BECAME ABUNDANTLY CLEAR THERE IS MASS CONFUSION OVER THE DEFINITION OF ON-SITE AND ON-SITE MANAGEMENT. AND I THINK IT'S REASONABLE TO THINK THAT THE DEVELOPER WOULD DEFINE IT POSSIBLY DIFFERENTLY THAN MAYBE WHAT IT WAS INTENDED. SO I DON'T KNOW, DOES THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY HAVE A LEGAL DEFINITION? I'M ASSUMING YOU DO NOT, BUT I WANT TO ASK FOR THE RECORD, OF ON-SITE MANAGEMENT.

>> TRAVIS, I DON'T THINK THAT'S A LEGAL TERM. AT THE PUBLIC HEARING THEY INTENDED TO HAVE A STAFF PERSON WHO LIVED ON SITE AND SERVED IN A MANAGEMENT ROLE. I WOULD IMAGINE THEY AREN'T 100% ON SITE ALL OF THE TIME, THEY NEED TO GO GROCERY SHOPPING AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE. BUT SOMEONE WHO LIVES THERE FULL-TIME. I DON'T KNOW IF THE APPLICANT WOULD AGREE TO. THIS IS THE LANGUAGE THEY EXPANDED. I DON'T KNOW IF THEY WOULD AGREE ON SOMETHING THAT EXPOUNDED ON THE HOURS. BUT IT'S CERTAINLY NOT A LEGAL TERM.

>> AND I DIDN'T THINK THERE WAS. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE. I THINK IT WOULD BE A MISTAKE TO CHANGE THIS AT THIS

POINT. THE DEVELOPER HAS AGREED TO THIS PARTICULAR LANGUAGE BECAUSE ON-SITE CAN BE DEFINED MANY DIFFERENT WAYS. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT. MY CONCERN WITH THIS HAS TO DO WITH THE LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF THIS. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE DEVELOPER DECIDES TO SELL THE PROPERTY AND THEY DECIDE, THE NEW COMPANY DECIDES WE AREN'T GOING TO HAVE ON-SITE MANAGEMENT. JUST THINGS WE SHOULD THINK ABOUT. I'M KIND OF CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE IMPLICATIONS OF THAT ARE GOING TO BE.

>> ALL BINDING ELEMENTS FOLLOW THE DEVELOPMENT. IT'S ON ANYTHING THAT WE PUT A BINDING ELEMENT ON. IF A BUYER BUYS A PROPERTY THEY HAVE TO SUBMIT TO THE SAME STANDARDS IN BINDING ELEMENTS. THE SAME AS WE DO ON ANYTHING THAT HAS A BINDING ELEMENT. THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS SET FOR STANDARD BINDING ELEMENTS LIKE SAY NO BALLOONS FLAGS, PENNANTS AND STREAMERS. THERE ARE SOME THE PLANNING COMMISSION ADDS AND SOMETIMES ONES WE ADD.

>> THANK YOU. MY CONFUSION HAS TO DO WITH SOMEBODY WHO HAS BOUGHT PROPERTY BEFORE YOU LOOK AT A CONTRACT AND THE DEED. SO WOULD THE BINDING ELEMENTS BE INCLUDED IN THE DEED? MR. COUNTY ATTORNEY? HOW WOULD THE PROSPECTIVE INVESTOR KNOW THESE BINDING

ELEMENTS EXIST AND IS THERE POTENTIAL FOR THEM IGNORING THEM BECAUSE THEY DON'T KNOW?

>> PAUL WIDDIE ASSISTANT JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY. WHEN BINDING ELEMENTS AND PLANS ARE APPROVED THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECORDS A CERTIFICATE OF LAND USE RESTRICTIONS. SO THAT CERTIFICATE IS PICKED UP IN ANY TITLE SEARCH A BUYER WOULD BE AWARE OF WHEN THEY PURCHASED THE PROPERTY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. DISCUSSION IN RESPONSE TO COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH'S COMMENT. THE REVISED LANGUAGE IS WHAT THE APPLICANT HAS AGREED TO, IS THAT CORRECT?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> FRANKLY, IT SEEMS, YOU KNOW, IT MAY BE UNCLEAR BUT IT SEEMS MUCH CLEARER THAN WHAT PASSED OUT OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, WE WILL DO A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 23 YES VOTES, TWO ABSTENTIONS AND ONE NOT VOTING. TWO ABSTENTIONS ARE PRESIDENT JAMES AND COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN CAN YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR ABSTENTION REASON?

>> BECAUSE PAUL WIDDIE TOLD ME I NEEDED TO.

>> AND THAT'S SUFFICIENT, ISN'T IT? I CAN CERTAINLY EXPLAIN THAT, IF YOU LIKE.

>> PAUL WIDDIE THINKS I'VE BEEN TOO INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS.

>> WELL IN A SHORT-HAND WAY, THAT'S CORRECT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE AMENDMENT PASSES. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I STILL HAD LOTS AND LOTS OF CONCERNS ABOUT THIS BECAUSE IF YOU KNOW THE AREA LIKE I DO, OR LIKE THE PLANNING COMMISSIONER, THE EX CHIEF OF LOUISVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT, CHIEF CARLSON WHO ALSO VOTED AGAINST THIS AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION LEVEL, BECAUSE OF THE SAFETY, THE TRAFFIC. THERE ARE ISSUES WITH THE TRAFFIC REPORT. THE TRAFFIC REPORT WAS DONE IN 2016. THEY ADDED 2.5 OR SOMETHING PERCENT EACH YEAR FOR GROWTH. BUT WHAT FOLKS DON'T REALIZE THAT SINCE 2016 WHEN THE TRAFFIC STUDY WAS DONE, JEFFERSON COMMONS WHICH IS AT THE END OF ROBS LANE AND OUTER LOOP WHERE THE SIDEWALK IS BEING BUILT IS NOW OCCUPIED AT ALMOST 100%. SO THE TRAFFIC IS HORRIBLE OUT THERE TO SAY THE LEAST. WE HAVE COMPLAINTS ALL THE TIME ABOUT THE SUBDIVISION THAT IS DIRECTLY BEHIND THIS DEVELOPMENT. THEY HAVE TROUBLE GETTING OUT ON THE OUTER LOOP. THE STATE HAS SAID

THEY MADE A SERIOUS MISTAKE ON APPROVING THIS TYPE OF INTERSECTION. THERE WAS JUST ANOTHER CURB CUT MADE CLOSE TO THAT INTERSECTION. THIS, IN THE LAST 30 DAYS. ALSO, THERE WAS A STATEMENT THAT OUR AREA REALLY NEEDS AFFORDABLE HOUSING. YOU KNOW, EVERY AREA NEEDS AFFORDABLE HOUSING. BUT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTOOD THAT RIGHT NOW BETWEEN MY AREA AND DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET ON SHEPHERDS VILLE ROAD WHERE THE ADDRESS 8016 IS COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN'S DISTRICT. BETWEEN A MILE AND A HALF AREA UP OR DOWN THE OUTER LOOP, THERE'S 1,028 APARTMENT UNITS COMING ONLINE. OF THAT 1,028, WE KNOW FOR A FACT, THAT HALF OF THOSE ARE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. NOW HOW MANY PEOPLE CAN SAY THAT ABOUT THEIR DISTRICT, ABOUT NEW DEVELOPMENT? NOT MANY. NOT MANY.

>> [OFF MIC]

>> ALSO, MY CONCERN IS ON SHEPHERDSVILLE ROAD, IT'S A HALF MILE TO A BUS STOP. THERE IS NO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION THAT GOES BY THERE NOW TO PICK UP ANYONE. THE AREA WHERE YOU WOULD GO TO, THE DIRECT ROUTE WOULD BE TO GO TO SHEPHERDSVILLE ROAD. TO GET TO THE SIDEWALK THERE, YOU HAVE TO GO ACROSS A BRIDGE. THERE'S NO SHOULDER. SO IT WOULD BE TOUGH FOR ONE PERSON TO WALK ACROSS IT BUT IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, THERE'S 40-50 OF THESE UNITS THAT WILL BE FOR REFUGEES ALSO. FOR PEOPLE IN TOW WITH CHILDREN THAT'S A VERY DANGEROUS SITUATION. BOTH OF THE MANNERS OF INGRESS AND EGRESS WILL BE ON SHEPHERDSVILLE ROAD. ONE IS CLOSER

TO FAMOUS WAY. WHICH WHERE THERE'S AN INCLINE COMING UP SHEPHERDSVILLE ROAD IN A BLIND CURVE AND WRECKS. THERE'S ALSO BEEN FIVE WRECKS SINCE OCTOBER. AND IT'S NOT LIKE MINOR FENDER BENDERS ALL THE TIME. THEY ARE VERY SERIOUS ACCIDENTS. I WAS GOING TO PROPOSE TO OVERTURN THIS BECAUSE OF MY CONCERNS. BUT AT THE LAST MINUTE I'VE BEEN INFORMED THAT IF I DO OVERTURN IT AND IT'S CHALLENGED IN COURT, AND WE LOSE, THEN MY BINDING ELEMENTS WILL NOT BE ON THERE BECAUSE IT WILL REVERT BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION. AND I THINK THAT'S A SHAME THAT WE'RE GOING TO PASS SOMETHING THAT I FEEL THERE'S PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES. AND I CAN'T SUPPORT THIS. YOU ALL HAVE TO MAKE UP YOUR OWN MIND WHICH YOU CAN SUPPORT AND NOT SUPPORT. BUT I'M NOT PREPARED TO ROLL THE DICE AND LOSE ALL MY BINDING ELEMENTS, WHICH I BELIEVE PROTECT THE AREA OF THE RESIDENTS WHO LIVE AROUND THAT PROPERTY AND THE PEOPLE WHO EVENTUALLY MOVE INTO IT. I REALLY DON'T SUPPORT THIS BECAUSE OF THAT. NOTHING OTHER THAN PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES THAT WERE BROUGHT UP DURING THE MEETING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, MADONNA, BUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT SOME OF THE SUBDIVISION IN MY DISTRICT, SOME DON'T HAVE SIDEWALKS. RANGELAND AND OFF JEANNINE DRIVE AND THAT'S THE WAY THE SUBDIVISION WAS BUILT. AND IT'S DANGEROUS, IT'S JUST AS DANGEROUS OVER THERE AS IT WOULD BE IN YOUR AREA WITHOUT SIDEWALKS. BUT AT LEAST THEY HAVE A

DEVELOPER THAT WILL PUT IN SOME SIDEWALKS. THESE SUBDIVISIONS HAVE BEEN THERE FOR YEARS AND THEY DON'T HAVE SIDEWALKS. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT SAFETY AND I REALIZE THAT IS A HUGE CONCERN. BUT CAN YOU, AND I PROBABLY SHOULD ALREADY KNOW THIS, BUT DOESN'T IT, MY REVIEW SEEMS TO SUGGEST ALSO IT DOESN'T COMPLY WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN OR THE FORM DISTRICT AND HAVING WORKED ON THE COMPREHENSIVE 2040 PLAN FOR ALMOST THREE YEARS OF MY ENTIRE TENURE HERE, I QUESTION THAT. BECAUSE IT SEEMS WE HAVE A SET OF RULES BUT THEN WE CHANGE THE STANDARDS WITHOUT ANY REGARD OR YOU KNOW, WITH DOUBLE STANDARDS, IT SEEMS. CAN YOU REPLY TO THAT?

>> YES. THERE IS VERBIAGE THAT LEADS TO THE FACT THAT IT DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE HIGH VIEW AREA PLAN, THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. AND AGAIN, I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT I WILL LOSE THE BINDING ELEMENTS IF WE CHALLENGE IN COURT AND I DON'T WANT TO RISK LOSING SOMETHING THAT WILL SAVE SOMEBODY'S LIFE BY HAVING A SIDEWALK. I UNDERSTAND WHAT COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN IS SAYING, SUBDIVISIONS BUILT YEARS AGO, I HAVE THOSE, THAT DON'T HAVE SIDEWALKS. BUT WE ARE MOVING FORWARD, PEOPLE. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, WE HAVE FEES IN LIEU OF SIDEWALKS. WE WANT TO BE A MORE PEDESTRIAN-TYPE OF CITY. IF WE ARE GOING TO DO THAT, THEN THERE NEEDS TO BE TRANSPORTATION THAT PROMOTES PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.

BUT YOU ARE RIGHT, I DO NOT BELIEVE IT MEETS THE HIGH VIEW NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN TO A T EITHER. THAT WAS ADOPTED IN 2015.

>> IS THAT BASED ON THE HEIGHT AND DENSITY OF THE DEVELOPMENT?

>> THERE ARE SEVERAL DIFFERENT THINGS.

>> CAN YOU ENUMERATE THOSE, PLEASE? SORRY, I DON'T MEAN TO PUT YOU ON THE SPOT.

>> I JUST HAVE TO FIND IT. IT ALSO STATES THE HIGHVIEW PLAN CALLS FOR A NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY CENTER OR NODE RENDERING THE DEVELOPMENT INCOMPATIBLE. WHAT THEY ARE CALLING AN ACTIVITY CENTER, THERE'S LIKE A FARM HOUSE RIGHT NEXT TO THE PROPERTY. AND THEN THERE'S LIKE A DOWNWARD SLOPE, THERE'S A RETAIL SHOP. IT HAS A FLOORING SHOP IN THERE, A BARBERSHOP AND A BAR AND THERE MAY BE ANOTHER LITTLE STORE, BECAUSE IT CHANGES A LOT. AND ACROSS FROM THAT IS A PLACE YOU CAN GET YOUR CAR WORKED ON. BUT ACROSS THE OTHER WAY APPLE GATE IN SHEPHERDSVILLE ROAD THERE'S A VERY SMALL GAS STATION. THAT'S NOT AN ACTIVITY CENTER TO ME. BUT THEY SAY IT IS. THEN IT SAYS THAT, YES, THE HIGHER DENSITY WHICH STATES LOCATE HIGHER DENSITY AND INTENSITY USES ALONG TRANSIT CORRIDORS IN OR NEAR ACTIVITY CENTERS. THERE'S NO TRANSIT ROUTE WHICH CURRENTLY PASSES THROUGH THERE. THE SIZE AND SCALE OF THE DEVELOPMENT ARE NOT COMPATIBLE WITH THE SURROUNDING SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS BECAUSE OF THE GRADUAL TRANSITION FROM RESIDENTIAL TO NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY CENTER,

C.F.2 OF THE HIGHVIEW PLAN. HOLD ON ONE SECOND. I WILL GET DOWN TO THEM. THE COUNTY ATTORNEY HELPED ME WITH LANGUAGE. HIGH VIEW PLAN RECOMMENDS LIMITING MULTIFAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO WITHIN OR PROXIMATE TO A TOWN CENTER. THE DEVELOPMENT SITE IS WITHIN THE NEIGHBORHOOD FORM DISTRICTS SURROUNDED BY SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WITH EXCEPTION OF THE PROPERTY TO THE NORTH WHICH IS C-1.

>> SO DO YOU HAVE AN ENTIRE LIST OF ALTERNATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT YOU WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT?

>> I WAS BUT I AM NOT NOW BECAUSE I AM NOT PREPARED TO RISK LOSING THOSE BINDING ELEMENTS THAT I THINK ARE ESSENTIAL FOR PUBLIC SAFETY. AND I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT IT WILL BE CHALLENGED IF WE DO THIS. AND IN THE LIKELIHOOD WE LOSE IT WILL BE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S BINDING ELEMENTS AND NOT WHAT I HAVE ADDED ON.

>> IS THAT AN ACCURATE INTERPRETATION, THIS IS A QUESTION TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY. HELP ME UNDERSTAND WHY THAT IS SO.

>> TRAVIS FEASTER, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. ESSENTIALLY THE ACTION THIS BODY TAKES WILL ULTIMATELY BE APPROVING OR OVERTURNING THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION. IF APPROVED IT WILL BE WITH ADDITIONAL AND ALTERED BINDING ELEMENTS AND IF IT'S DENIED THE APPROVAL AND BINDING ELEMENTS WON'T BE EXISTING. THAT WON'T BE WHAT THIS BODY CHOSE TO DO, THIS BODY WILL HAVE CHOSE TO OVERTURN THAT DECISION. IF THIS BODY OVERTURNS THE PLANNING

COMMISSION AND IT'S APPEALED AND THE APPEAL IS LOST, THEN THE COURTS RULING WILL ESSENTIALLY SAY THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION WAS CORRECT OR THIS BODY'S DECISION WAS INCORRECT AND THEREFORE WILL REVERT TO THAT PREVIOUS DECISION.

>> IT'S ALMOST A CATCH-22. WHICH IS A SHAME.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. IT'S OBVIOUS THAT A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK HAS GONE INTO PLACE AND A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS AND A LOT OF COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC. SO I DO WANT TO COMMEND COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD FOR HER DILIGENT WORK IN WORKING WITH THE DEVELOPERS AND COMING BEFORE US THIS EVENING WITH BINDING ELEMENTS THAT WE OBVIOUSLY WERE ABLE TO LIVE WITH, ACCORDING TO THE TWO VOTES WE JUST TOOK. I WASN'T SURE BEFORE THE REWORKED LANGUAGE THAT I WAS GOING TO BE ABLE TO SUPPORT THOSE. SO I'M HAPPY TO HAVE DONE SO. I AM VERY CONCERNED AT THE DISCUSSION THAT THIS MAY NOT BE SOMETHING THAT SOMEONE IN THIS CHAMBER WANTS TO VOTE FOR. IT WILL BE A VERY SAD, SAD DAY, AND A VERY LONG NIGHT AND A LONG WINTER AND A LONG YEAR AND A LONG LIFE FOR MANY PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY IF WE KEEP FINDING WAYS, USING THE LAW, AND TWISTING AND WORKING IT AROUND AND MAKING IT SO WE ARE SAYING NO TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. WE HAVE GOT TO THINK ABOUT THIS. WE HAVE ALREADY HAD SO MANY COMMENTS IN THE CHAMBER THIS EVENING ABOUT THOSE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. WE'VE GOT TO RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT HOUSING FIRST IS WHERE IT HAS TO START

IF WE WANT TO START STABILIZING OUR ENTIRE COMMUNITY. AND I'M THRILLED TO KNOW THERE'S 1,028 AFFORDABLE UNITS ONLINE IN YOUR DISTRICT. IS THAT CORRECT? OF NEW 1,028.

>> [OFF MIC]

>> RIGHT, THAT'S WHERE I'M GOING. SO IF YOU TAKE HALF OF THAT. IF IT'S ROUGHLY 512, IF YOU TOOK 512 MULTIPLY TIMES 26 DISTRICTS WE WOULD HAVE 13,313 NEW AFFORDABLE UNITS BEING BUILT. BUT THAT DOESN'T TAKE US ANYWHERE NEAR THE 72,000 PEOPLE WHO ARE ON RECORD ON A WAITING LIST IN OUR COMMUNITY RIGHT NOW. AND WE ALSO HAVE 254,000 PEOPLE IN THE WORKFORCE WHO ARE NOT MAKING THE \$15.79 AN HOUR THAT YOU HAVE TO MAKE IN JEFFERSON COUNTY IN ORDER TO AFFORD A MARKET RATE 2-BEDROOM APARTMENT. SO I WANT US IT THINK ABOUT THIS HORRIBLE RIPPLE EFFECT OF UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES. I THINK WE'VE GOT SOMETHING HERE WE CAN ALL LIVE WITH, IF WE THINK ABOUT IT. I'M GOING TO ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO VOTE FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT AND THEN WE LEARN FROM THIS EVENING'S CONVERSATION. AND THE NEXT ONE THAT COMES UP, WILL GET A LITTLE BIT BETTER EACH TIME WE GO. AS COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN MENTIONED EARLIER. WE ARE GOING TO LEARN A LITTLE BIT AS WE GO ALONG. BUT LET'S SAY YES TO HOUSING. AND LET'S SAY YES TO WORKING TOGETHER ON THIS. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WILL BE BRIEF. WE NEED ALL THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING WE CAN GET. AND I DON'T WANT TO ACCUSE

ANYONE OF ANYTHING BUT I HEARD THE WORD REFUGEE, REFUGEES NEED HOMES AS WELL AS ANYBODY ELSE AND I CALL THE QUESTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE QUESTION HAS BEEN CALLED. ALL IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION? WE GOT TO DO ROLL CALL. SORRY. MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING FOR CALLING THE QUESTION. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 14 YES, 9 NO, 2 ABSTENTIONS AND 1 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE QUESTION HAS BEEN CALLED. SORRY, THAT'S NOT CORRECT. THAT'S NOT TWO-THIRDS. YOU ARE CORRECT. WE ARE STILL IN DEBATE. COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, QUICK QUESTION FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY. IF WE LEAVE THIS AS IT IS WITH THE BINDING ELEMENTS WE JUST AGREED TO TONIGHT, ISN'T IT ENTIRELY POSSIBLE SOME PERSON WOULD FEEL AGGRIEVED BY THE ZONING PROCESS AND SUE ANYWAY?

>> TRAVIS, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY, THERE'S ALWAYS A POSSIBILITY OF SOMEONE FILING A SUIT FOR ANY NUMBER OF REASONS.

>> THE REASON THE SPONSOR IS CONCERNED ABOUT THIS, THERE'S FEAR IF THERE'S A LAWSUIT THE BINDING ELEMENTS WOULD BE LOST. IF SOMEONE WHO FEELS AGGRIEVED WERE TO SUE REGARDLESS OF WHAT WE DO TONIGHT, THE BINDING ELEMENTS WE PUT ON TONIGHT WE COULD LOSE IN A LAWSUIT ANYWAY. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THERE WAS AN ATTORNEY, STEVE PORTER, WHO REPRESENTED SOME OF THE NEIGHBORS. AND THEY GOT WHAT THEY WANTED WHICH WAS CLOSURE OF STREETS. SO THEY WERE FINE WITH IT. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE? YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT -- THE ATTORNEY FOR THOSE IN OPPOSITION GOT WHAT HE WANTED. AND WHAT HIS PEOPLE WHO WERE PAYING HIM WANTED. BUT LET ME STATE VERY EMPHATICALLY, THE REFUGEE STATEMENT COMES BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT'S IN THE RECORD AND THAT'S WHAT THE APPLICANT PUT ON HIS PAPERS WHEN HE APPLIED, OR THEY APPLIED. I HAVE NOTHING AGAINST ANYONE. I'M NOT AGAINST AFFORDABLE HOUSING AT ALL. THAT IS NOT A TRUE OR ACCURATE STATEMENT. AND I REALLY RESENT ANYONE TRYING TO IMPLY THAT'S WHAT THIS IS ABOUT, BECAUSE IT'S NOT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I THINK WE SHOULD BE CAREFUL ON THE COUNCIL TO PUT STANDARDS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS THAT WE DON'T HAVE ON MARKET RATE PROJECTS. I THINK DEMANDING THAT THE APPLICANT BUILD MORE PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE AS A RESULT OF BUILDING THE PROJECT IS DOING THAT. WE KNOW COUNCILMAN BENSON HAS FREQUENTLY TOLD US THAT AN APARTMENT PROJECT SHOULD BE TURNED DOWN IN HIS DISTRICT BECAUSE WE JUST DON'T HAVE THE ROADS AROUND IT TO MAKE IT WORK. AND QUITE FRANKLY, EVERY ONE OF US, EXCEPT COUNCILMAN BENSON VOTED FOR THEM ANYWAY BECAUSE THEY ARE CALLED FOR BY THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. IF WE WANT TO START DOING WHAT COUNCILMAN BENSON IS RECOMMENDING WE SHOULD DO IT FOR ALL

PROJECTS AND NOT JUST AFFORDABLE PROJECTS. OTHER APPLICATIONS ARE NOT TURNED DOWN BECAUSE THERE ARE NOT TWO PEDESTRIAN ROUTES TO MAJOR ROADS. WE HAD A PLANNING COMMISSIONER WHO VOTED AGAINST THIS WHO ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THERE WOULD BE A SIDEWALK DOWN ROBS LANE TO OUTER LOOP BUT SAID I I'M AFRAID SOME KID WILL TAKE SOME OTHER ROUTE AND I'VE NEVER SEEN AN APARTMENT BUILDING WHERE WE SAID YOU HAVE TO TAKE TWO SIDEWALKS BECAUSE SOMEONE MIGHT DECIDE TO TAKE THE WRONG ONE. WE NEED TO BE VERY CAREFUL WE DON'T TREAT AFFORDABLE PROJECTS DIFFERENT THAN WE TREAT MARKET RATE PROJECTS. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> THANK YOU. I THINK BASED ON THE QUESTIONS I ASKED COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD EARLIER, I SEE THE ENERGY, RESOURCES TIME, I HAVE EVEN HAD VOTES FROM MY FELLOW COLLEAGUES BECAUSE PROJECTS DIDN'T MEET NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS OR A FORM DISTRICT. THEY VOTED NO ON PROJECTS IN MY OWN DISTRICT ON ZONING CHANGES ON THAT VERY BASIS. I THINK THE WHOLE POINT OF NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS AND FORM DISTRICTS IS TO CREATE A STANDARD. SO I DON'T, IT DOESN'T ACTUALLY MATTER WHO IS THE RESIDENT OF SOME OF THOSE PLACES. THAT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE IN THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS. IF THERE'S A FORM DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN FOR THAT AREA IT'S NOT COMPLIANT WITH. AND MY REVIEW OF THE FACTS IN THIS CASE, IS THIS PARTICULAR DEVELOPMENT DOESN'T COMPLY WITH THOSE STANDARDS. AND AS SUCH SHOULD NOT BE SUPPORTED. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. COLLEAGUES, WE HAVE THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US. THERE ARE TWO AMENDMENTS. THE OPTIONS IS SOMEONE EITHER COME UP WITH A DIFFERENT FINDING OF FACTS IN WHICH CASE THERE'S THE POTENTIAL TO VOTE NO. THAT'S NOT PROPOSED. THERE AREN'T ANY ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACT. WE ARE IN A POSITION TO VOTE YES OR NO. A NO VOTE POTENTIALLY SENDS IT BACK TO THE SITUATION WHERE THERE ARE NO ALTERNATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT, WE LIKELY DEFER TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION. I DON'T SEE WHY WE ARE DEBATING ON THE FLOOR WHAT WE ARE DEBATING RIGHT NOW. THE ITEM RIGHT NOW IS A SIMPLE YES VOTE. UNLESS SOMEONE WANTS TO PROPOSE A DIFFERENT FINDING OF FACT. ALL OF THE DEBATE IS NOTHING MORE THAN LIP SERVICE TO POTENTIAL PROBLEMS THAT COULD BE DISCUSSED AT A COMMITTEE MEETING OR ANYTHING ELSE. IT'S 10:30, WE ARE AT 59, WE HAVE 79 ITEMS TONIGHT. I THINK WE PUT THIS THING TO A VOTE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER BENSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, I WOULD LIKE TO CLEAR UP SOMETHING. WHEN I WAS SWORN IN, I SAID I WOULD UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION. THE THINGS THAT BOTHER ME, IT SAYS YOU CAN'T HAVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNLESS YOU HAVE AMENITIES. TRANSPORTATION, GROCERY STORES, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND SO WHEN WE HAVE THESE IN THE RULES AND EVERYBODY SAYS IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE,

WE CAN DO WHAT WE WANT TO, AS LONG AS WE GET 14 VOTES. THERE'S A LOT OF LAWS WE HAVE PROBABLY AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND IF SOMEBODY WERE TO TAKE A LAWSUIT AND TAKE THEM TO COURT AND FIND OUT, OH YOU CAN'T LET CHURCHILL DOWNS SMOKE AND EVERYBODY ELSE NOT. BUT SOMEBODY HAD TO HAVE ENOUGH MONEY OTHERWISE PEOPLE COULD SMOKE AT CHURCHILL DOWNS AND IT WOULD BE ILLEGAL. BUT WE VOTED IT. AND SO WHEN I VOTED AGAINST SOME OF THE THINGS BECAUSE IT WAS AGAINST OUR RULES. I SAID WHY DON'T WE CHANGE OUR RULES AND THEN VOTE IT. BECAUSE THAT MAKES MORE SENSE TO ME. AND SINCE I'VE BEEN ON THE COUNCIL, ONE OF THE THINGS I USED TO ALWAYS TELL MY STUDENTS. I HOPE WHEN YOU GRADUATE THAT YOU CAN SOME DAY BUILD AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I'M JOKING. THERE'S NO STUDENT I HAVE EVER HAD THAT EVER HAD TO HAVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THEY MAKE BIG BUCKS. I CAN GET A JOB ANY TIME AS A TOOL MAKER AND MAKE PRETTY GOOD MONEY. BUT WE HAVE JOBS IN OUR COMMUNITY FOR PEOPLE WHOSE GOT SKILLS AND WE HAVE NOBODY TO FILL THEM BECAUSE OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM SAYS YOU DON'T NEED THAT, WE WANT EVERYBODY TO GO TO COLLEGE. MY PROBLEM IS, WHY DON'T WE ADDRESS THE PROBLEM. LET EVERYBODY ACHIEVE AS MUCH AS THEY POSSIBLY CAN. BUT WHAT WE DO, WE DUMMY DOWN OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM AND NOBODY, THEY ARE ALL LOOKING FOR MINIMUM WAGE, OR \$15 AN HOUR. MAKE \$30 OR \$40 AN HOUR, IF YOU GOT SKILLS. I'M FOR HELPING PEOPLE WHO NEED A HOUSE. I WANT TO DO IT NOW. EVERYBODY NEEDS IT. BUT THAT SHOULDN'T BE OUR ULTIMATE GOAL. WE WANT EVERYBODY TO HAVE A PLACE THEY WANT TO

BUY ANYWHERE THEY WANT. THAT WOULD BE MORE IMPORTANT TO ME. BUT I'M AGAINST THE RULES. I THINK SOMETIMES IF WE HAVE A RULE WE OUGHT TO LIVE IT OR CHANGE IT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MY POINT IS QUICK, I WANTED TO RESPOND TO COUNCILWOMAN LEET'S COMMENT ABOUT NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS. I THINK THEY ARE IMPORTANT. I HAVE BEEN INVESTING IN THEM AND I THINK WE HAVE BEEN TREATING THEM SERIOUSLY. THE STAFF REPORT DOESN'T FIND THIS DOESN'T COMPLY, IT CONSIDERS IT IN THE TECHNICAL REVIEW AND THEY SAID THEY FIND IT GENERALLY MEETS THE GUIDELINES OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE HIGHVIEW NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN. WITH REGARD TO THE SPECIFIC PIECE OF PROPERTY THERE'S NO SPECIFIC LAND USE RECOMMENDED FOR THE SITE SO I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THIS. AND THE FACT THAT IT DOES NOT CONFLICT WITH THE HIGHVIEW NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN IS PART OF MY REASONING. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING FOR THIS AMENDED ORDINANCE. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 16 YES VOTES, 7 NO, 2 ABSTENTIONS AND 1 NOT VOTING. SEVEN NO ARE LEET PARKER, DENTON, BENSON, ENGEL AND FLOOD AND TWO ABSTENTIONS ARE PRESIDENT JAMES AND COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR.
CLERK --

>> MR. PRESIDENT? NOW THAT THIS IS OVER, CAN I HAVE A
MINUTE FOR PERSONAL PRIVILEGE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> THAT WOULD BE, JUST BASED ON THE COMMENTS HERE TODAY, WE
HAVE OPENED THE DOOR TO PRETTY MUCH, I CAN BUILD ANYTHING I WANT
IF I ATTACH AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO IT. WE JUST SAID THAT FOR THE
MOST PART TO ANYONE OUT HERE WHO BUILDS. THERE WAS NOTHING WRONG
WITH THE AFFORDABLE PORTION OF THIS. IT GOES BACK TO WHAT
COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD HAS BEEN SAYING ALL ALONG, IT'S TOO DENSE,
DOESN'T FOLLOW THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND THERE WERE ISSUES, BUT
IF YOU ATTACH AFFORDABLE, I WILL TAKE A COMMENT SEXTON SMITH
SAID, IF WE MULTIPLY 500 TIMES 26. THE PROBLEM IS WE DON'T. WE
PUT 5,000 IN DISTRICT 5 AND 5,000 IN DISTRICT 2 AND WE DON'T PUT
ANY IN CERTAIN AREAS OF TOWN AND THAT'S WHERE THE BIG ISSUE
COMES FOR A WHOLE LOT OF COUNCIL PEOPLE, INCLUDING COUNCILWOMAN
FLOOD AND WHAT SHE WAS BRINGING UP. COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER WAS
MAKING A COMMENT WE CAN'T GET ANYBODY TO BUILD ANYTHING NEW IN
HER AREA. THAT'S BECAUSE WE HAVE SUCH AN UNEQUITABLE
DISTRIBUTION OF JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING IN THIS CITY BECAUSE WE
LET, NOT US, AND NOT THE NEIGHBORHOODS, AND NOT THE OTHER
PEOPLE, BUT JUST THE PEOPLE, A VERY SMALL GROUP OF PEOPLE MAKE
THOSE DECISIONS FOR THE WHOLE CITY. THE ISSUE ISN'T THE

APARTMENT COMPLEX. IF YOU NOTICE EVERY APARTMENT BUILDING IN THIS, THAT GETS BUILT, GENERALLY OUTSIDE THE WATERTON EXPRESSWAY LOOKS LIKE MILITARY BARRACKS WITH A BALCONY. ALL THREE STORIES, 50% PITCHED ROOF, ABOUT 12-20 UNITS PER BUILDING AND THEY SQUEEZE IN AS MANY AS WE WILL LET THEM. THAT'S JUST HOW IT WORKS. UNTIL WE TAKE A BIGGER AND BETTER HANDLE ON ALL OF THIS, THIS IS WHAT WE ARE GOING TO GET. THANK YOU, I'M THROUGH RANTING. BUT IT WAS REALLY HARD TO SIT THERE THROUGH ALL OF THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU FOR YOUR RANT, COUNCILMAN PEDEN. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 60.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 60] READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS TO ALLOW A BANK RETAIL CENTER ALSO AN AUTO SERVICE WITH EIGHT BAYS. ACTUALLY TIRE DISCOUNTERS THAT'S GOING INTO THIS. THEY WILL BE SHARING PARKING AND THEY WILL BE SHARING AN ENTRANCE. THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN ENGEL'S DISTRICT SO HE MAY WANT TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN ENGEL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THIS ZONING AND I WOULD ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?
HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.
MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION
THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 24 YES VOTES AND 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR.
CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 61.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 61] READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A
SECOND. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US, IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN
COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THIS IS THE REUSE OF AN EXISTING FACILITY, AUTOMOBILE
ESTABLISHMENT. TO THE EAST OF THE PROPERTY IS RAILROAD RIGHT OF
WAY. THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY IS A RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION. ALL
OTHERS ARE BEHIND ARE BOUND BY COMMERCIAL. AGAIN THIS IS FOR A
CONTRACTOR SHOP. COUNCILMAN YATES, IT'S IN HIS DISTRICT AND HE
DIDN'T HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? MR.
CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING. WITHOUT
OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 24 YES VOTES AND 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A
READING OF ITEM 62.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 62]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS FOR A 40,000 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE AND WAREHOUSE. IT WILL BE CONNECTED TO AN ADJOINING OPERATION THROUGH INTERNAL DRIVING LANES AND PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIONS. THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN JULIE DENTON'S DISTRICT. SHE MAY WISH TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN DENTON?

>> WE HAVEN'T RECEIVED ANY CONCERNS ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING CHANGE, SO WE ARE IN SUPPORT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 23 YES VOTES AND 3 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 63.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 63] THIS IS TO ALLOW A FACILITY ADJACENT TO THE FORD KENTUCKY TRUCK PLANT. IT'S A TWO STORY BUILDING. PRIMARY ACCESS WILL BE FROM NORTH WINCHESTER. THERE WILL BE NO ACCESS FROM CHAMBERLAIN LANE. THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN STUCKEL'S DISTRICT. I DON'T SEE HIM IN THE CHAMBERS RIGHT NOW.

HE SUPPORTED IT DURING THE MEETING. THERE HE IS. SORRY, GLEN.
THIS IS THE CHAMBERLAIN LANE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN STUCKEL?

>> THIS IS A MINI WAREHOUSE OPERATION THAT IS ADJACENT TO
FORD MOTOR COMPANY. AND THAT WHOLE AREA IS ALONG THAT COLLINS
LANE IS BASICALLY COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL. SO IT MEETS THE
REQUIREMENTS AND I'M FOR IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER
DISCUSSION? SEEING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL
CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT
OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 24 YES VOTES AND 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR.
CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 64.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 64]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND
SECOND. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS TO ALLOW 34 SINGLE
FAMILY LOT SUBDIVISION, FAMILY LOTS. WITH A MIX OF HOUSING
OPTIONS. THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN GLEN STUCKEL'S DISTRICT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN STUCKEL?

>> THE PLAN IS TO BUILD A BUNCH OF TRADE-TYPE, THAT YOU
WOULD FIND IN NORTON COMMONS THAT NEWER URBANISM LOOK IN THE

SUBDIVISION. THE WHOLE AREA IS RESIDENTIAL AND THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS AND I'M FOR IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 24 YES VOTES AND 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 65.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 65]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THERE WAS A NIGHT HEARING HELD AT THE DIXIE HIGHWAY GOVERNMENT CENTER. THIS IS TO ALLOW 234,080 SQUARE FOOTWEAR HOUSE AND AN 1800 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE OUTER LOOP AND NEW CUT ROAD IN DISTRICT 13 AND I THINK COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WELCH WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WELCH?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND MADAM CHAIR. I WOULD LIKE TO TABLE THIS TO THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING, IF I COULD, PLEASE. I'VE BEEN SICK THE LAST TWO WEEKS AND I WASN'T ABLE TO ATTEND THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE HERE AND WITH MY ILLNESS I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO RESEARCH OR READ BECAUSE MY EYES HAVE BEEN

AFFECTED. SO I JUST WANTED TO HAVE MORE TIME TO GET INTO THE DETAILS OF THIS. THERE WAS A LOT OF, ACTUALLY THERE WAS A 300-SIGNATURE PETITION TO GET THAT OUT INTO WHAT WE THOUGHT WOULD BE OUR DISTRICT, A MEETING IN OUR DISTRICT. AND THEY PUT IT WAY OVER ON DIXIE HIGHWAY. BUT STILL, PEOPLE DID SHOW UP TO COMPLAIN. I'VE HAD A LOT OF COMPLAINTS ON THIS. SO IT NEEDS MORE WORK. SO I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MOTION TO TABLE?

>> YES.

>> QUESTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON?

>> YES, I WANTED TO KNOW WHEN THE 90 DAYS WOULD EXPIRE.

>> FEBRUARY 5TH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MOTION TO TABLE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THE ORDINANCE IS TABLED UNTIL JANUARY 7TH. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 66.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 66]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS TO ALLOW 22 SINGLE FAMILY LOTS, TWO OPEN SPACES. THIS IS CURRENTLY A PARKING LOT. TO THE EAST OF THIS PROPERTY IS R-6 WHICH IS A COMMUNITY GARDEN. THERE WERE SIX PEOPLE WHO SPOKE IN OPPOSITION TO THIS, THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH'S DISTRICT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND CHAIR FLOOD. THIS, I'M IN SUPPORT OF THIS, AND I'M ASKING YOU ALL TO JOIN ME IN THAT SUPPORT. IT'S IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL THE APPROVED DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. SO I ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> MR. PRESIDENT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> THANK YOU. I BELIEVE I HEARD THE CHAIR SAY THERE WERE SIX FOLK NOT IN FAVOR OF THE ZONING CHANGE. DO WE KNOW WHY?

>> IT'S IN THE RECORD, IF YOU WANT TO READ IT.

>> I KNOW IT WAS IN THE RECORD, MADAM CHAIR.

>> THREE OF THEM ARE AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS. OF THE 22. I'M JUST STATING WHAT THE MIX IS. IS THAT WHAT YOUR QUESTION IS?

>> THAT WAS NOT MY QUESTION, MADAM CHAIR. I SAID ACCORDING TO WHAT YOU SAID, THERE WERE SIX FOLK NOT IN FAVOR OF THIS ZONE

CHANGE. I ASKED YOU IF YOU KNEW WHY. I DIDN'T ASK YOU ANYTHING ABOUT AFFORDABLE HOUSING OR HOW MANY AFFORDABLE HOUSING WAS IN THIS.

>> IT SAYS THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT HEAT ISLAND EFFECT, FLOODING, AIR QUALITY, PROPOSED BINDING ELEMENT, 81% OF PARIS TOWN POINT IS A HOTSPOT. ALL INTEGRATED IN THE FIRST THREE THINGS I TOLD YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN COAN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M GOING TO VOTE ABSTAIN, I'VE BEEN ADVISED TO DO THAT AND I WANT TO STATE THE REASON. MY WIFE'S BUSINESS, RAINBOW BLOSSOM, WHICH IS A NATURAL GROCERY STORE SUBMITTED A LETTER OF INTEREST TO ONE OF THE OTHER DEVELOPERS WHO HAD COMPETED FOR THIS PROJECT. AND THE THEORY IS THAT, IF I VOTE AGAINST THIS, IT MIGHT SOMEHOW BENEFIT ONE OF THE RUNNER-UPS SHE WAS INVOLVED IN, SO OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION I WILL ABSTAIN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY IF THIS WAS THE SAME PROPERTY THAT WAS OBTAINED FOR AN EXCHANGE OF A DOLLAR AND INCLUDED AS PART OF THE GOVERNMENT REDEVELOPMENT CENTER, OR THAT AREA OF BAIRD AVENUE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M IN THE RIGHT VICINITY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IS THAT A QUESTION TO THE CHAIR?

>> OR WHOEVER'S DISTRICT THAT IS THAT COULD ANSWER THE QUESTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN LEET FOR SEEKING GEOGRAPHICAL CLARIFICATION. THIS IS PART OF THE MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN WHERE THE CURRENT URBAN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER IS LOCATED AND THIS IS THE FIRST PHASE OF THE DEVELOPMENT. AND THERE'S MUCH MORE TO COME. MORE HOUSING, RETAIL AND GREEN SPACE AND MIXED USE.

>> SO IS THIS PART OF THE LAND THAT WAS EXCHANGED FOR \$1? I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT PORTION OF IT.

>> THIS LAND IS PART OF THE ENTIRE MASTER AGREEMENT. I DON'T KNOW HOW ELSE TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION. I MAY NEED TO SEEK ASSISTANCE.

>> THIS WAS INCLUDED AS PART OF THE ORIGINAL MASTER PLAN AGREED TO WITH LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT?

>> THIS IS PART OF THE ENTIRE MASTER PLAN UNDER THIS MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, TO MY UNDERSTANDING.

>> OKAY, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I NOTICED YOU MENTIONED, AND I THINK THE CHAIR DID, THERE WOULD BE THREE UNITS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING OUT OF THE 22. AND I WAS WONDERING WERE THESE NEGOTIATED OR HOW DID YOU ALL ARRIVE AT THREE UNITS?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH?

>> THE THREE UNITS THAT WILL BE AFFORDABLE OUT OF THESE 22 WAS AN AGREED UPON CONDITION OF THIS PIECE OF THE DEVELOPMENT. HOWEVER, THERE IS MUCH MORE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, WHICH WILL BE ON THE LARGER PART OF THE LAND. AND THERE WILL BE MUCH MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING BUILT IN THAT AREA AS PART OF THE MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

>> AND YOU MAY RECALL, IT'S FAMILY SCHOLAR HOUSE THAT IS PART OF THE MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT THAT'S COMING ONLINE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I WAS READING THAT THERE WERE SEVERAL VOTED NEITHER FOR OR AGAINST THIS PROJECT. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN TO ME? THEY DIDN'T VOTE FOR IT, THEY DIDN'T VOTE AGAINST IT. THEY DIDN'T WANT IT, OR DID THEY WANT IT, OR IT JUST DIDN'T MATTER?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I CAN'T ANSWER THAT. I WOULD REFER BACK TO THE CHAIR. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> WHAT WAS HER QUESTION?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COULD YOU REPEAT THAT?

>> AS I WAS READING THE RECORD, I THINK ABOUT SEVEN VOTED NEITHER FOR OR AGAINST THIS. I WAS JUST ASKING THE PRESIDENT WHAT DOES THAT MEAN TO ME OR THE LISTENERS? THEY JUST DIDN'T

CARE WHICH WAY IT WENT? I DON'T KNOW REALLY WHY THEY WERE TESTIFYING IF NEITHER VOTE FOR OR AGAINST IT.

>> THEY DIDN'T VOTE. YOU TALK ABOUT SPOKE NEITHER FOR NOR AGAINST.

>> YES.

>> THAT MEANS THEY TOOK NO POSITION. THEY MAY HAVE HAD QUESTIONS THAT WERE ANSWERED.

>> OKAY, THANK YOU.

>> THAT'S NOT UNCOMMON FOR YOU TO HAVE INTERESTED PARTIES NEITHER FOR NOR AGAINST, THEY JUST WANT QUESTIONS ANSWERED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> THANK YOU, I JUST WANT TO SEEK CLARIFICATION FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. BECAUSE IF I'M THINKING OF THE RIGHT AREA, WHICH IS WHY I WAS SEEKING WHERE THE VICINITY OF IT WAS, I THINK I MAY HAVE BEEN PART OF SOME EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS DURING MY CAMPAIGN PROCESS. AND I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY WHETHER THAT PUTS ME IN A POSITION OF NEEDING TO ABSTAIN FROM THAT VOTE, IF I RECEIVED INFORMATION THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE PART OF THE RECORD?

>> PAUL WIDDIE, JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY, IF YOU RECEIVED INFORMATION YOU KNOW ISN'T PART OF THE RECORD, YOU CAN'T RELY ON IT, BUT YOU CAN STILL VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY -- COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. WERE THERE ANY BINDING ELEMENTS PUT ON THIS PROJECT?

>> THERE ARE BINDING ELEMENTS ON ALL PROJECTS.

>> I MEAN --

>> YOU MEAN PUT ON SPECIFICALLY FOR THAT CASE?

>> I MEAN, IT CAME OUT 8-0 OUT OF COMMITTEE. SO I WAS JUST WONDERING.

>> PAUL WIDDIE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY. THERE'S TWO OPEN SPACE LOTS ON THIS ITEM.

>> THIS IS, WITH THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION. SO THERE'S BINDING ELEMENTS THAT AUTOMATICALLY GO UNDER DETAILS LIKE WHEN THEY TURN IT OVER TO THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THOSE 22 HOUSES THAT THERE HAS TO BE \$3 WORTH OF FUNDING IN THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION ACCOUNT. IT ALWAYS DEPENDS ON WHAT THE DEVELOPMENT IS. BECAUSE THERE ARE STANDARD BINDING ELEMENTS THAT FOLLOW CERTAIN TYPES OF DEVELOPMENTS. LIKE, AS YOU SEE ON MOST DEVELOPMENT PLANS NOW, SIDEWALKS ARE INCLUDED IN ALMOST EVERYTHING. IF NOT, THEY PAY A FEE IN LIEU OF.

>> OKAY, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE -- COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> JUST IN AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, BECAUSE I CAN'T CLARIFY FOR SURE AT THIS MOMENT WHETHER I HAD INFORMATION. SO IN AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION I WILL ABSTAIN FROM THIS VOTE TO ENSURE THERE'S NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: THERE ARE 22 YES VOTES, TWO ABSTENTIONS AND TWO NOT VOTING. TWO ABSTENTIONS ARE LEET AND COAN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 67.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING ITEM 67]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS A MORATORIUM, AS IT SAYS FOR 90 DAYS ON SHORT-TERM RENTALS. HOLLANDER AND COAN, IT'S THEIR ORDINANCE, WE WILL LET THEM TALK ABOUT IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MR. HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WILL TRY TO BE AS BRIEF AS I CAN. UNTIL 2016 IT WAS ILLEGAL TO OPERATE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL IN A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT. AND THE ADMINISTRATION WAS ISSUING CITATIONS FOR ANYBODY WHO DID IT. WE PASSED AN ORDINANCE, A SERIES OF ORDINANCES, ACTUALLY TWO, INCLUDING A CHANGE TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE WHICH ALLOWED THESE, BEGINNING IN 2016. AND I THINK THERE IS GREAT CONSENSUS IN THE COMMUNITY WE NEED TO REVISE THE REGULATIONS THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE IN EFFECT. IN FACT, WE PASSED A RESOLUTION ASKING THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO BEGIN THAT PROCESS AND THEY ARE WELL UNDER WAY IN THE PROCESS. IN THE MEANTIME, PARTICULARLY SHORT-TERM RENTALS THAT ARE NON-OWNER OCCUPIED THAT ARE IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS, IF THEY ARE GRANTED, THEY RUN WITH THE LAND. LIKE CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS OF ALL KINDS. WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT TONIGHT AND IN THE WHOLE LAND DEVELOPMENT CONTEXT. SO WHAT WE FACE AS WE BEGIN TO LOOK AT CHANGING THE REGULATIONS GOING FORWARD, IF WE DON'T HAVE A MORATORIUM DURING THIS 90-DAY PERIOD WHILE THE REGULATIONS ARE BEING CONSIDERED AND NEW REGULATIONS ARE PASSED, WE WILL HAVE, I'M SURE, MANY, MANY NEW CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS FOR NON-OWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS WHICH CAN NOT BE TAKEN AWAY. THEY WILL RUN WITH THE LAND. IT SEEMS TO ME GIVEN THAT FACT, THE IMPORTANT THING TO DO AT THIS POINT IS TO SIMPLY CALL A TIME OUT. THIS DOES NOT DECIDE, IF WE PASS THIS ORDINANCE TONIGHT, THIS DOES NOT MEAN WE ARE BANNING IN

PERPETUITY NEW NON-OWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS. IT MEANS WE ARE SIMPLY NOT GOING TO GRANT ANY MORE WHILE WE ARE LOOKING AT THE REGULATIONS. AGAIN, IF WE DON'T DO THIS, THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT HAS TOLD US THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT TO DO WITH THESE APPLICATIONS. THEY FIND IT VERY DIFFICULT. WE GAVE THEM NO STANDARDS. AND THEY HAVE ASKED FOR HELP. BUT WHEN THEY COME BEFORE THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT, THEY ARE FAIRLY ROUTINELY GRANTED. A FEW ARE TURNED DOWN. BUT THEY ARE FAIRLY ROUTINELY GRANTED. SO I THINK THIS IS THE TIME WHERE WE SHOULD HAVE A TIME OUT. AND SIMPLY SAY WE'RE NOT GOING TO GRANT ANY MORE UNTIL THE 90 DAYS EXPIRES OR WE HAVE NEW REGULATIONS IN PLACE. I BELIEVE COUNCILMEMBER COAN HAS A LITTLE AMENDMENT TO WHAT I PROPOSED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER COAN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AND I THINK WE WILL DISCUSS THE MERITS OF WHAT NON-OWNER SHORT-TERM RENTAL POLICY SHOULD BE GOING FORWARD OVER THE NEXT THREE MONTHS AS WE TRY TO REFORM OUR SHORT-TERM RENTAL REGULATIONS, COMPREHENSIVELY. I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR FOR ANYONE LISTENING THAT, IF THE MORATORIUM PASSES AND YOU ARE ALREADY OPERATING A SHORT-TERM RENTAL UNIT BECAUSE YOU HAVE A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, THAT DOESN'T GO AWAY, YOU DON'T LOSE IT, YOU DON'T HAVE TO STOP OPERATING. THERE MIGHT BE SOME PEOPLE CONFUSED ABOUT THAT. BUT I AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER, WHEN THE PLANNING COMMISSION IN ITS REPORT,

CONSIDERING RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROHIBIT THESE ALL TOGETHER,
THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT POLICY CHANGE. AND WE HEARD THAT THE FIRST
TIME FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THAT WASN'T OUR
RECOMMENDATION. AND THUS THE REASON FOR KIND OF CALLING A TIME
OUT FOR COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER SAID. THE TIME OUT, THE MORATORIUM
IS LIMITED TO 90 DAYS OR UNTIL THE COUNCIL PASSES NEW RULES
WHICH EVER IS SOONER AND THE REAL EFFECT OF THAT IS WITH THE
HOLIDAYS AND EVERYTHING GOING ON AND THE VERY LITTLE GOVERNMENT
BUSINESS WE ARE CONDUCTING OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL WEEKS, IT'S
ALMOST MORE LIKE A TWO-MONTH TIME OUT. I DO WANT TO PROPOSE A
FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. THE WAY THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT PROCESS
WORKS FOR SHORT-TERM RENTALS IF IT'S NOT YOUR PRIMARY RESIDENCE,
FIRST YOU HAVE TO FILE A PRE-APPLICATION WITH PLANNING AND
DESIGN SERVICES. AND THEN THERE'S A MANDATORY REQUIRED
NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING. AND THEN THERE'S A FORMAL APPLICATION
PROCESS. THERE'S A SORT OF A PIPELINE OF THOSE CASES GOING ON AT
ALL TIMES. I THINK JUST SORT OF AS A MATTER OF FUNDAMENTAL
FAIRNESS THAT A HANDFUL OF CASES THAT HAVE MADE THEIR WAY, THAT
ARE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETING THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS AS OF
WHEN THIS MORATORIUM HAD ITS FIRST READING IN NEW BUSINESS
NOVEMBER 29TH THEY SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO GO AHEAD AND PROCEED AND
HAVE THEIR CASES HEARD AT THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. THE
NUMBER, I BELIEVE, IS 11 DIFFERENT CASES. I THINK 4 IN MY
DISTRICT 8, 3 IN DISTRICT 9, 1 IN DISTRICT 10, 1 IN DISTRICT 4,

ONE IN DISTRICT 15 AND ONE IN DISTRICT 21. I'M PREPARE TODAY
READ THE TEXT AMENDMENT IF YOU ARE PREPARED TO HEAR IT. WHAT IT
WOULD SAY IS THAT IN SECTION 1 OF THIS VERY SHORT ORDINANCE, LET
ME JUST READ IT. SECTION 1, THERE IS HEREBY IMPOSED A TEMPORARY
MORATORIUM ON THE ACCEPTANCE AND PROCESSING BY LOUISVILLE METRO
PLANNING AND DESIGN SERVICES, LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO
PLANNING COMMISSION AND LOUISVILLE METRO BOARD OF ZONING
ADJUSTMENT OF ANY APPLICATIONS, HERE IS THE AMENDMENT, NOT
ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETED AS OF NOVEMBER 29TH, 2018. FOR SHORT-
TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICTS WHERE THE PROPERTY
IS NOT THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF THE HOSTS THROUGHOUT METRO
LOUISVILLE. IF THAT WAS CLEAR WITH THE CLERK? I WANT TO BE CLEAR
TO ANYONE LISTENING. WHAT THAT MEANS IS THE FOLKS THAT HAVE MADE
IT THAT FAR IN THE PIPELINE CAN GO AHEAD AND HAVE THEIR CASES
DOCKETED AND NOTICED AND HEARD AS USUAL. THAT CERTAINLY DOESN'T
GUARANTY THEY WILL RECEIVE THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. IT JUST
MEANS THAT BOZA WILL HEAR THEIR CASE AND WE WILL CONSIDER IT ON
THE MERITS LIKE THEY DO, ANY OTHER CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
REGARDLESS OF WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE AND REGARDLESS OF THE
REFORMED REGULATIONS BEING CONSIDERED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MR. CLERK, ARE YOU OKAY ON
THE AMENDMENT?

>> I HAVE A QUESTION ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: BARBARA SEXTON SMITH. ANY DISCUSSION?
COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. MY QUESTION IS [OFF MIC] CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW? WHY NOVEMBER 29TH AND WHAT HAPPENS IF THE DATE IS MOVED TO WHEN THIS IS EFFECTIVE. IT SEEMS GOING BACKWARDS TO NOVEMBER 29TH DOESN'T MAKE MUCH SENSE TO ME. WHY NOVEMBER 29TH?

>> NOVEMBER 29TH WAS THE DAY THE ORDINANCE WAS READ INTO NEW BUSINESS AND RECEIVED ITS FIRST READING HERE IN THE COUNCIL. AND SUBSEQUENT TO THAT SORT OF A FLOOD OF PEOPLE FILED NEW PRE-APPLICATIONS. SO IF YOU WAITED UNTIL THIS PASSED EFFECTIVE TONIGHT YOU ARE SORT OF DEFEATING THE PURPOSE TO CALL THE TIME OUT WHEN WE LEARNED THE PLANNING COMMISSION WAS RECOMMENDING THIS CHANGE. AGAIN, IT WOULDN'T BE SO CLEAR TO ME IF THE PEOPLE THAT JUST FILED NEW PRE-APPLICATIONS ARE STILL NOWHERE NEAR THE END OF THE PROCESS. THEY HAVEN'T COMPLETED THE PRE-APPLICATION, THEY HAVEN'T SCHEDULED THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING OR THEIR FORMAL APPLICATION. YOU ALWAYS HAVE TO DRAW A LINE SOMEWHERE. TO ME THE FIRST READING IS WHEN THE PUBLIC REALLY HAD COMPLETE NOTICE OF THIS AND WHERE OUR BODY CONSIDERED IT FOR THE FIRST TIME.

>> YEAH, I UNDERSTAND THAT. THIS DOESN'T BECOME LAW UNTIL IT'S PASSED. GOING BACK, DRAWING THE LINE WAY BACK ON WHEN IT WAS FIRST READ DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE TO ME. WE SHOULD DRAW THE LINE WHEN IT'S PASSED AND IT BECOMES LAW. WE SHOULDN'T GO BACK,

AS YOU SAY, THEY ARE GOING THROUGH THE PRE-APPLICATION. THEY PROBABLY WILL NOT BE THOSE CONSIDERED [INDISCERNIBLE] THE DATE THAT WAS FIRST READ, IT DOESN'T REALLY MAKE MUCH SENSE TO ME.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WERE YOU FINISHED? THANK YOU. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT. COUNCILMEMBER DENTON?

>> I JUST HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS. DO YOU KNOW ON AVERAGE HOW MANY OF THESE ARE APPROVED OF NON-OWNER OCCUPANT?

>> I THINK THE NUMBER IS 71 OR 73.

>> PER MONTH?

>> NO. I THINK THERE HAVE BEEN 71 CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS GRANTED TO DATE.

>> SINCE WE PASSED THE LEGISLATION?

>> CORRECT. DO YOU ALL HAVE THE NUMBER IN FRONT OF YOU?

>> TRAVIS FEASTER, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. I DON'T HAVE THAT NUMBER HANDY. THERE WAS A PRESENTATION AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION THIS PAST THURSDAY REGARDING THEIR PROPOSAL TO WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO RECOMMEND TO THIS BODY FOR THE ORDINANCE CHANGE. I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT NUMBERS. THE PROPORTION OF THOSE DENIED WAS SMALL, I BELIEVE SINGLE DIGITS COMPARED TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS. THE VAST MAJORITY ARE APPROVED.

>> FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE COULD YOU REFRESH MY MEMORY HOW MANY YEARS, MONTHS, THIS 71 HAVE BEEN APPROVED?

>> SINCE --

>> WHEN DID WE PASS THAT ORDINANCE?

>> SINCE 2016, I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT MONTH.

>> SO OVER TWO YEARS. SO OVER A PERIOD OF TWO, MAYBE TWO PLUS YEARS BECAUSE WE ARE AT THE END OF '18. THERE HAVE BEEN 71 THAT ARE NOT OWNER-OCCUPIED, CORRECT?

>> I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT. I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT NUMBERS BUT THAT SOUNDS ABOUT RIGHT.

>> AND GOING BACK TO THE AMENDMENT, YOU ARE SAYING, I'M PICKING UP ON WHAT COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA WAS ASKING ABOUT BACK DATING IT TO THE 29TH. IF YOU ARE CUTTING IT OFF ON THE AMENDMENT FOR THOSE WHO HAVE ALREADY GONE THROUGH THE PRE-APPROVAL OR PRE-APP PROCESS AND THEY ARE NOW READY TO GO THROUGH THE FINAL, HOW COULD YOU HAVE A BUNCH OF PEOPLE THEN RUSHING SINCE THE 29TH IF THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH THIS PRE-APPROVAL PROCESS? OR PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS. I'M CONFUSED. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT.

>> SAY THAT ONE MORE TIME, I'M SORRY.

>> WHEN HE ASKED YOU, WHY DID YOU MAKE IT NOVEMBER 29TH INSTEAD OF THE DATE OF PASSAGE, WHICH WOULD BE TODAY, YOU SAID THAT THERE WERE PEOPLE WHO WERE RUSHING FROM THE 29TH TO GET INTO THE QUEUE, IS WHAT I THOUGHT I HEARD YOU SAY.

>> UH-HUH.

>> SO IF YOU CUT IT OFF THEN THERE COULDN'T BE A RUSH. BUT THE WAY I UNDERSTOOD YOUR AMENDMENT, WAS THAT IT WAS ONLY FOR THE PEOPLE WHO COMPLETED THE PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS AND WERE IN

THE FINAL STAGES. SO I'M NOT SURE WHY THE 29TH. BECAUSE HOW COULD ANYBODY RUSH IN WHEN THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH THAT.

>> BOTH ENDS OF THE PIPELINE. THE 29TH ALLOWS THOSE WHO HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED THE PROCESS BASED ON WHAT WAS EXPECTED OF THEM TO FINISH. BUT IF YOU DON'T CUT IT OFF TO THAT DATE, THE RUSH OF NEW PEOPLE WHO HAVE SUBMITTED A PRE-APPLICATION WOULD THEN, THEY WOULD HAVE TO CONTINUE THE PROCESSING THE BEGINNING OF THE PROCESS.

>> BUT I THOUGHT YOUR AMENDMENT WAS ONLY PRESERVING THOSE PEOPLE WHO HAD ALREADY, WHO WERE AT THE END OF THE PIPELINE. WHO WERE GOING THROUGH THE LAST PHASES. AM I CORRECT?

>> YES.

>> THAT'S WHY I'M CONFUSED. HOW COULD ANYBODY RUSH IN AS OF THE 29TH WHEN THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH THIS WHOLE PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS BEFORE THEY CAN GET TO THAT POINT OF WHERE YOUR AMENDMENT CUTS OFF. AND MAYBE I'M JUST NOT UNDERSTANDING. I'M JUST CONFUSED. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THE 29TH IF THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH SORT OF A PROTRACTED PROCESS BEFORE THEY GET TO THE POINT WHERE YOU ARE SAYING THOSE ARE THE PEOPLE WHOSE APPLICATIONS WOULD BE ALLOWED TO GO THROUGH THE REST OF THE PROCESS. DOES THAT, AM I MAKING MYSELF CLEAR, BECAUSE IT'S WAY PAST MY BED TIME AND MY SIX-HOUR LIPSTICK, IT HAS EVAPORATED. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO FOLLOW.

>> I SORT OF SEE WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. I DON'T SEE WHAT THE PROBLEM IS WITH A DATE CERTAIN.

>> I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS. IF THERE'S A PRE-PROCESS AND THOSE PEOPLE AREN'T GOING TO GET IN NO MATTER WHAT, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU DON'T JUST MAKE TODAY THE DATE, THE EFFECTIVE DATE. WHY THE 29TH? BECAUSE ISN'T THERE A PROCESS THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH OF PUTTING IN SOME KIND OF AN APPLICATION AND THEN HAVING NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING AND ALL THAT. AND THOSE PEOPLE AREN'T BEING LET FINISH, CORRECT?

>> NO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> IF I HAD MY WAY, I WOULD MAKE IT EFFECTIVE TODAY AND THE WHOLE PROCESS WOULD STOP AND WE WOULDN'T HAVE ANY HEARINGS, WE WOULD CALL A TIME-OUT IMMEDIATELY. COUNCILMEMBER COAN IS PROPOSING INSTEAD THE PEOPLE, AND WE KNOW THERE ARE 11 APPLICATIONS. SIX APPLICATIONS ACTUALLY SET FOR HEARING ON MONDAY. AND THOSE HEARINGS WOULD CONTINUE. AND THERE WERE FIVE OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAD FILED FORMAL APPLICATIONS BY NOVEMBER 28TH. AND HIS AMENDMENT WOULD ALLOW THOSE TO PROCEED THROUGH THE PROCESS. BUT ALL THE OTHER PEOPLE, THE PRE-APPLICATIONS AND NEW PEOPLE WHO HAVE FILED AND WE HAD 17 PEOPLE FILE IN THE FIRST THREE DAYS AFTER WE PROPOSED A MORATORIUM --

>> FOR THE FINAL?

>> FILE A PRE-APPLICATION FIRST. AND THAT MOVES TO A FORMAL APPLICATION.

>> RIGHT. BUT HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE FILED SINCE THE 28TH FOR A FORMAL APPLICATION THAT THE FAR END PROCESS. WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, THE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THAT, WHICH ARE 11. HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE GOTTEN TO THAT POINT WHERE THOSE 11 ARE, SINCE THE 29TH?

>> I DON'T KNOW THAT NUMBER.

>> AND THAT'S WHY I WAS CONFUSED. I UNDERSTAND ALLOWING THOSE 11 PEOPLE TO GO THROUGH. I'M JUST WONDERING, IF YOU STILL MADE IT TODAY AND NOT NOVEMBER 29TH AND IT WAS JUST FOR THOSE PEOPLE IN THAT FINAL PROCESS, THAT'S WHY I'M CONFUSED ON WHY YOU HAVE TO HAVE BOTH. I UNDERSTAND WHY YOU ARE DOING THE 11 PEOPLE. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE DATE IS, IF THAT'S THE NARROW DEFINITION OF WHO GETS TO CONTINUE THROUGH. WHY COULDN'T IT JUST BE TODAY. THAT'S WHY I'M CONFUSED.

>> YEAH, I DON'T THINK THERE'S NECESSARILY A RIGHT ANSWER OF WHERE TO DRAW THE LINE. TO ME, THIS, YOU KNOW, AGAIN COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, I THINK WANTS TO SHUT THE DOOR ON EVERYBODY. HE SAID IT HIMSELF. TO ME, ALLOWING THE BACK DATING OF IT UNTIL THIS BECAME AN ACTIVE ITEM IN OUR COUNCIL IS THE LEAST FAR BACK TO GO TO LET THOSE PEOPLE IN.

>> BUT THEY WERE ALREADY IN THE PROCESS. THEY WERE JUST IN THE BEGINNING STAGES. EVERYBODY BUT THE 11 ARE IN THE BEGINNING STAGES OF THE PROCESS. CORRECT?

>> I'M NOT SURE WHERE EVERYBODY ELSE IS. THE OTHER 60-70 PEOPLE ON THE SHEET ARE IN THE PROCESS. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CHANGED IT FROM ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE FROM NOVEMBER 29TH TO TODAY, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT ADDS 5-10 MORE PEOPLE, OR 1-2 MORE PEOPLE.

>> I GUESS, I WANT TO LOOK AT THIS FROM A FAIRNESS PERSPECTIVE. BECAUSE SOME PEOPLE IN THIS AUDIENCE MAY HAVE A LOT OF MONEY TIED UP ON WHAT THEY HAD PLANNED TO DO. AND UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE PROCESS HAS BEEN UP TO THIS POINT, THEY HAVE BEEN OPERATING OFF THAT. SO I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND YOUR RATIONALE FOR THE DATE. I UNDERSTAND YOUR RATIONALE FOR THESE 11. THEY ARE AT A CERTAIN POINT. BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THE DATE HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT, IF YOU JUST SAY IT'S EVERYBODY WHO IS AT THIS POINT. BECAUSE NOBODY COULD HAVE RUSHED NECESSARILY, FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, TO GET TO THAT POINT, BECAUSE THEY HAD TO DO ALL THIS PRE-STUFF BEFORE THEY COULD GET TO THE FORMAL.

>> I THINK THE LATTER STATEMENT IS NOT TRUE. IF YOU FILE A PRE-APPLICATION AND GO THROUGH THE PROCESS, YOU COULD LET THAT SIT THERE FOR A WHILE BEFORE YOU FILE YOUR FORMAL APPLICATION. I THINK COUNCILMEMBER COAN IS SAYING ONCE IT BECAME PUBLIC THERE

WAS A MORATORIUM BEING PROPOSED, YOU COULD THEN TAKE YOUR PRE-APPLICATION, FILE THAT FORMAL APPLICATION THAT DAY, AND IN FACT, I THINK THAT HAS HAPPENED. I CAN'T QUANTIFY THAT, I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE. I THINK WHAT COUNCILMEMBER COAN IS SUGGESTING. WE KNOW 17 NEW APPLICATIONS WERE FILED IN THREE DAYS AFTER THE MORATORIUM WAS FILED WHICH WAS A HIGHLY UNUSUAL NUMBER. I THINK WHAT COUNCILMAN COAN IS SAYING, LET'S SET THE DATE AND SAY EVERYONE WHO FILED THEIR FORMAL APPLICATION AS OF THE TIME THE MORATORIUM BECAME KNOWN, LET'S LET THEM CONTINUE THROUGH THE PROCESS BUT STOP IT THEN.

>> THAT HELPS ME UNDERSTAND IT BETTER. I GUESS MY CONCERN IS, LET'S SAY YOU BOUGHT, THE TESTIMONY WE HEARD EARLIER FROM FOLKS. THEY BOUGHT HOMES, THEY NEEDED A LOT OF WORK. WHAT THEY WERE DOING TO THOSE HOMES WAS AN IMPROVEMENT FOR THE WHOLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMPARED TO WHAT THEY WERE PREVIOUSLY. SO THEY BOUGHT A PIECE OF PROPERTY WITH A HOME, THEY ARE TRYING TO RENOVATE THE HOME SO IT'S INHABITABLE, IT COULD BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE THEY INTEND. THAT TAKES A WHILE TO REHAB A HOUSE. SO THEY START GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS OF DOING THIS PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS. AND DOING ALL THOSE THINGS. ALL THE WHILE, RENOVATING THIS HOME TO BE USED AS A SHORT-TERM RENTAL. SO WHILE THAT'S TAKING A WHILE, THEY MIGHT NOT NECESSARILY FEEL THE NEED TO HURRY UP. BECAUSE THEY KNOW THIS IS GOING TO TAKE A WHILE. WELL THEY KNOW, ONCE THEY SEE THIS, WAIT A MINUTE. I'M GOING TO

BE DONE IN 30-45 DAYS. THEN I'M GOING TO BE SITTING THERE IN LIMBO UNTIL THIS 90 DAYS IS OVER. AND AT THAT POINT I DON'T KNOW IF METRO COUNCIL IS GOING TO MAKE SOME SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES THAT MAKE EVERYTHING MOOT IN TERMS OF WHAT MY FINANCIAL PLAN HAS BEEN, AND NOW I'M AT THE POINT WHERE I'VE GOT TO HURRY UP AND GET THIS BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE. AND I COULD GO BANKRUPT AND I COULD NOT ONLY LOSE THOSE PROPERTIES BUT MY PRIMARY RESIDENCE. I COULD ALSO LOSE EVERYTHING I'VE GOT BECAUSE EVERYTHING HAS BEEN RIPPED OUT FROM UNDER ME. THAT'S WHY I'M TRYING TO ASK QUESTIONS. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO ACHIEVE IN THIS ORDINANCE IN AND OF ITSELF. AND I KNOW THERE WERE SOME PEOPLE WHO DON'T LIKE THESE. WHAT I'M HEARING TONIGHT FROM FOLKS, AND WHAT I'VE HEARD FROM FOLKS WHO HAVE CONTACTED MY OFFICE ABOUT THIS IS THE BIG PROBLEM SEEMS TO BE WITH ENFORCEMENT, WHICH IS A DISCUSSION WE HAD WHEN WE PASSED THIS STUFF IN THE FIRST PLACE. YOU COULD PASS IT ALL DAY LONG BUT IF IT'S NOT ENFORCED, IT DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. AND THE LADY FROM, I DON'T SEE HER EITHER. IT'S PROBABLY PAST HER BED TIME TOO. SHE WAS FROM CHEROKEE, I DON'T REMEMBER, IT'S TOO LATE. THEY TALKED ABOUT, THEY WEREN'T EVEN LICENSED. THAT'S AN ENFORCEMENT PROBLEM. THEY HAVE GOT, MY UNDERSTANDING FROM THE TESTIMONY EARLIER IS YOU'VE GOT PEOPLE WHO ARE SORT OF REPEAT OFFENDERS. THEY DON'T MONITOR THE PEOPLE THEY RENT TO. THOSE ARE THE PEOPLE, THE ENFORCEMENT PIECE OUGHT TO BE COMING DOWN HARD

ON THOSE. A FEW BAD APPLES SPOILING THE WHOLE BUNCH, I FEAR IS GOING TO PUT SOME OF THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THIS ROOM AND PEOPLE WHO DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT WE ARE DOING BECAUSE THEY DON'T NECESSARILY READ THE NEWSPAPER, LISTEN TO THE NEWS ON TV. SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO DON'T EVEN HAVE IT REGISTERED MAY NOT EVEN KNOW THEY NEED TO BECAUSE AGAIN THEY DON'T PAY ATTENTION TO THIS STUFF. SO I REALLY WORRY PUTTING THIS MORATORIUM, THESE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM ARE THE PEOPLE WE AT LEAST KNOW COULD END UP IN FINANCIAL STRAIGHTS. WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT OUR HOMELESS PROBLEM TONIGHT. WE DON'T WANT TO PUT OTHER PEOPLE IN A POSITION WHERE THEY CAN'T EVEN HAVE THEIR OWN HOME. I KNOW THERE ARE PROBLEMS OUTS THERE BUT IF WE JUST ENFORCE THE RULES WHILE WE WORK ON THIS, I THINK WOULD BE OKAY. I LIKE THAT YOU ARE TRYING TO GIVE SOME LEEWAY, BUT I THINK IF YOU CUT IT OFF, I THINK IT'S ONLY FAIR TO CUT IT OFF FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVEN'T BEEN IN ANY OF THE PROCESS YET, BECAUSE THESE FOLKS HAVE MONEY AT STAKE. THEIR LIVELIHOODS, CHILDREN'S FUTURE AND EDUCATION. I JUST WANT TO BE VERY CAREFUL WE DON'T HURT OTHERS WHILE WE ARE TRYING TO HELP SOME PEOPLE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER LEET?

>> THANK YOU. AFTER ALL THAT, I WANTED TO PROFFER A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO SEE IF YOU WOULD CONSIDER CHANGING THE DATE TO DECEMBER 13TH TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS THAT WE'VE HEARD.

IN CONSIDERATION TO JUST CREATE AN AMENDMENT THAT WE COULD PERHAPS ALL AGREE UPON.

>> I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT CHANGES THE NUMBER TO, 11, TO 12 TO 20. THE REASON I PICKED THE DATE I DID, THE POINT OF THE MORATORIUM IS TO FREEZE IT. SO MY DATE WAS INTENDED TO DISRUPT THAT INTENT AS MINIMALLY AS POSSIBLE. IF BACK DATING IT TO NOVEMBER 29TH IS A PROBLEM FOR PEOPLE AND YOU WANT TO MAKE THE EFFECTIVE DATE TODAY, THERE'S A BIG DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE RUSH OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE FILED BRAND NEW PRE-APPLICATION PAPERS AND THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED THE PROCESS. THERE'S JUST A HUGE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHERE THEY ARE IN THE PIPELINE. SO I GUESS I WILL ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, COUNCILWOMAN LEET AND SAY, WE COULD MAKE THAT AMENDMENT TO JUST SAY SECTION 1 HERE BY IMPOSE A TEMPORARY MORATORIUM ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF PROCESSING BY METRO P.D.S. IF THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE THINK IS THE RIGHT PLACE TO DRAW THE LINE, THAT'S FINE.

>> THIS IS JUST FOR THE AMENDMENT. IT WOULD BE GREAT TO JUST MOVE BEYOND THE AMENDMENT AND AT LEAST AGREE ON SOME PORTION WE CAN ALL AGREE ON.

>> DO YOU WANT ME TO READ IT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: READ IT AGAIN.

>> HERE BY IMPOSE A TEMPORARY MORATORIUM ON THE ACCEPTANCE AND PROCESSING BY LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO PLANNING COMMISSION AND BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT OF ANY CONDITIONAL USE

PERMIT APPLICATIONS NOT ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE AS OF DECEMBER 13TH, 2018. FOR SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICTS FOR THE PROPERTIES, NOT THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF THE HOST FOR METRO LOUISVILLE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION, COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH?

>> I JUST WANT TO SEEK CLARIFICATION, IN CASE SOMEONE WATCHING OR IN THE CHAMBER IS CONFUSED ABOUT THIS. ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE. DO WE HAVE ANY IDEA HOW MANY OF THESE APPLICATIONS ARE ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE AS OF TODAY. DOES ANYONE IN THE CHAMBER HAVE THAT ANSWER?

>> TRAVIS FEASTER, JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY. I DON'T HAVE THAT, AS OF PREVIOUS PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING THERE WERE 64 APPLICATIONS IN THE PRE-APPLICATION STAGE AND 11 IN THE FORMAL APPLICATION STAGE MORE OR LESS WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING FOR. IT'S POSSIBLE SOME HAVE SINCE MOVED INTO THE FORMAL STAGE

>> ABOUT A DOZEN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> WHO DECIDES WHAT ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE MEANS? BECAUSE TO ME, IF YOU HAVE RESERVED YOUR SPACE IN LINE, YOU'VE TAKEN SOME ACTION TO MOVE TOWARDS A DECISION AND MY VIEW OF THE WORLD, THOSE PEOPLE DESERVE SOME ACTION UP OR DOWN, ONE WAY OR

THE OTHER AND WE AS THE GOVERNING BODY OWE THAT TO OUR CONSTITUENTS. AND I FEEL LIKE WE ARE CREATING AN ARBITRARY LINE BY SAYING THAT PEOPLE THAT AREN'T ALREADY COMMITTED VIA SOME FORM OF APPLICATION. IF THEY PUT AN APPLICATION AT ALL OF THOSE 64 YOU JUST MENTIONED, I FEEL THEY DESERVE AN UP OR DOWN VOTE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. I THINK IF WE ARE GOING TO BE PRECARIOUS HOW WE DRAW THE LINE, WE ARE CREATING INEQUITY IN OUR DECISION MAKING PROCESS.

>> WHAT THEY THOUGHT WAS A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT NEXT TO THEIR HOME AND THEY BOUGHT THEIR HOME THINKING THAT. I THINK THERE ARE PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS ISSUE AND WE WILL DEBATE THAT ISSUE WHEN WE DECIDE WHAT THE NEW REGULATIONS ARE. BUT I DO THINK THERE ARE TWO PARTIES HERE. THEY ARE THE RESIDENTS AS WELL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> THANK YOU, I'M IN THE QUEUE FOR THE WHOLE AMENDMENT BUT AFTER GOING AROUND AND AROUND I'M CALLING THE QUESTION ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE THE QUESTION CALLED ON THE AMENDMENT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THANK YOU. THE AYES HAVE IT. WE ARE ON THE QUESTION OF THE AMENDMENT FOR THE DATE FOR DECEMBER 13TH. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING. VOTING IS

CLOSED. THERE ARE 15 YES VOTES. 9 NO VOTES, 2 NOT VOTING. THE NO VOTES SHANKLIN, HAMILTON, LEET, HOLLANDER, FOWLER, BUTLER, REED, STUCKEL AND BENSON.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: NOW WE ARE BACK TO DISCUSSION. ON THE ORDINANCE. COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> THANK YOU. MY FIRST THING IS A QUESTION FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY AND THAT WOULD BE, AFTER YEARS OF HAVING THIS, CAN YOU CONFIRM COMPANIES LIKE AIRBNB, VRBO, HOME AWAY, I CAN GO THROUGH THE LITANY OF THEM, ARE THEY, ARE THEY NOT COLLECTING OUR OCCUPANCY TAXES AND REMITTING THEM BACK TO US AT THIS POINT?

>> PAUL WIDDIE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, MY UNDERSTANDING IS AIRBNB HAS AGREED BUT THE OTHER PLATFORMS, I DON'T THINK WE HAVE AN AGREEMENT WITH.

>> YOU SAY THEY HAVE AGREED. BUT ARE THEY DOING IT AT THIS TIME?

>> YES.

>> THAT LEADS ME TO THIS, THIS ISN'T NECESSARILY A STATEMENT ON MY SUPPORT OR NOT SUPPORT OF THE MORATORIUM BUT A REMINDER TO KIND OF BACK UP WHAT COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER SAID. THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE WAS PASSED VERY MUCH IN HASTE. I STARTED IT WITH A RESOLUTION BASICALLY ASKING JIM MIHMS TO STOP PROSECUTING PEOPLE, BECAUSE I.P.L. WAS SAYING IF YOU DON'T HAVE PERMISSION TO DO IT YOU CAN'T. VERSUS MY PHILOSOPHY OF, IF WE HAVEN'T TOLD YOU YOU CAN'T DO IT, YOU CAN. BECAUSE LOUISVILLE WASN'T KEEPING

UP WITH MODERN TECHNOLOGY. SO WE PASSED THAT RESOLUTION AND GAVE OURSELVES I THINK 60-90 DAYS TO PUT SOMETHING TOGETHER AND WE HASTILY CRAFTED THAT THING, INCLUDING A RATHER INTERESTING CONFERENCE CALL WITH NASHVILLE AND OTHER CITIES WE COULD GET A HOLD OF. WE ALL KIND OF CHIMED IN. WE REALLY BUILT THAT BOAT WHILE IT WAS ON THE WATER. SO AGAIN, TO SUPPORT COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, THIS THING NEEDS SOME REVISIONS IN THE WORST WAY. I WOULD SAY, ONE OF THEM WOULD BE, FOR INSTANCE, ESPECIALLY SINCE AGAIN, AIRBNB BEING THE BIGGEST AT THIS POINT AND THEY ARE COLLECTING OUR TAXES, I KNOW SOME OTHER CITIES, LOUISVILLE DOESN'T HAVE THE SIZE TO HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF LEVERAGE WITH THEM. BUT ONCE SOMEBODY BIG LIKE NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO, SEATTLE, ETC., MAKES A DEMAND OF THEM, ONCE ITS IN THEIR PLATFORM THEY DON'T GENERALLY HAVE A PROBLEM. THE ONE IN THERE NOW, THEY DON'T LIST HOUSES FOR CERTAIN CITIES THAT DON'T HAVE A PERMIT. YOU HAVE TO SCAN YOUR PERMIT, SCAN YOUR C.U.P., SCAN WHATEVER YOUR CITY DOCUMENT IS, OR THEY DON'T LIST THE HOUSE. FOR ME THAT'S THE SINGLE BIGGEST THING WE COULD DO AT THIS POINT TO ADD TO OUR ORDINANCE AND BEG THESE OTHER COMPANIES TO DO. FOR ALL THOSE NON-COMPLIANT PEOPLE, IF YOU DON'T GET LISTED, YOU NOW HAVE MOTIVATION. THAT'S THE BIGGEST ONE. FOR INSTANCE, ONE OF MY BIG LINES IN THE SAND, STILL, FOREVER I WILL SAY, WE CAN'T ALLOW AIRBNB, WE CAN'T ALLOW SHORT-TERM RENTAL IN MULTIFAMILY DWELLINGS. I'M SAYING THAT AS A GUY WHO HAS STAYED IN AIRBNB IN

MULTIFAMILY DWELLINGS. IF YOU ARE THE STRANGER WALKING DOWN THE HALL AND YOU HAVE KIDS IN THE BUILDING, THAT'S AN ISSUE. I'VE BEEN THE GUY PEOPLE STICK THEIR HEAD OUT THE DOOR AND SAY WHOSE THAT GUY. IT'S NOT THE RIGHT THING TO DO. I TRY REALLY HARD NOT TO STAY IN THOSE PLACES ANY MORE. THAT'S HOW I ENDED UP BEING PART OF THE GUY WHO CRAFTED IT. I WAS ONE OF THE ONLY WHO USED THIS, WHEN YOU TRAVEL A LOT, IT'S KIND OF COOL TO BE IN THE LOCATION IT'S OFFERS YOU. IF YOU CAN GET AN AIRBNB ACROSS FROM CHURCHILL AT DERBY TIME, THAT'S PRETTY COOL. WE DON'T WANT TO GET RID OF THE PROCESS, I'M STILL NOT SURE WHERE I'M GOING WITH THE MORATORIUM, BUT I'M LEANING MORE TOWARD A YES, ONLY BECAUSE I KNOW HOW MUCH EFFORT WE PUT IN THE LAST ONE. ALTHOUGH WE WORKED REALLY HARD IT WASN'T A FINISHED PRODUCT THEN. I WILL FINISH UP BY SAYING WE HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT ENFORCEMENT. 90% OF THE PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY THOSE FOLKS AND THAT AUDIENCE THAT OCCUR ON A FRIDAY AND SATURDAY NIGHT THERE ISN'T AN I.P.O. WORKING ANYWHERE. WE EITHER MAKE IT A PURVIEW OF THE POLICE, OR WE GIVE SOMEBODY SOME FUNDING THAT IS SPECIFICALLY FOR SHORT-TERM RENTAL ENFORCEMENT AT A VERY SPECIFIC TIME AND PLACE AND DAY AND TIME AND SO ON. I THINK I LEARNED IN MY 16 YEARS IF YOU WANT TO VIOLATE CODE ENFORCEMENT, DO IT AFTER 5:00 BECAUSE YOU AIN'T GETTING CAUGHT. [LAUGHTER] WHERE EVER THIS ARGUMENT GOES FOR THE NEXT 9 SPEAKERS STILL IN THE QUEUE, JUST REMEMBER THIS THING WAS REALLY, REALLY PUT TOGETHER IN HASTE. AND WHETHER THE

MORATORIUM GOES OR NOT, IT REALLY DOES NEED SOME WORK. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN DENTON?

>> AND I AGREE IT WAS RUSHED. AND ONE OF THE THINGS I THOUGHT WAS SO ATTRACTIVE ABOUT METRO COUNCIL WHEN YOU COME HERE, YOU HAVE SIX MONTHS TO PASS SOMETHING. BUT THERE SEEMS TO BE THIS RUSH OF SO MANY ISSUES RATHER THAN DOING A DEEP DIVE KNOWING ALL SIDES OF AN ISSUE. LOOKING AT IT COMPREHENSIVELY AND MAKING A GOOD DECISION. WORDS HAVE CONSEQUENCES AND SOME OF YOU GET ANNOYED BECAUSE I DRILL DOWN THE LANGUAGE WE ARE USING IN THESE ORDINANCES IS. BUT IT'S BECAUSE, JUST BECAUSE YOU COULD TRUST THE PEOPLE NOW WHO WOULD BE IMPLEMENTING SOMETHING DOESN'T MEAN ONCE THEY ARE GONE THE NEXT PERSON WILL BE THAT WORTHY AND NOBLE. I THINK THE BIGGEST PIECE OF ADVICE I WOULD LIKE YOU TO TAKE WITH YOU AFTER TONIGHT IS SLOW THE PROCESS DOWN. SLOWING IT DOWN DOESN'T DO HARM. IT HELPS YOU UNDERSTAND MORE. AND LEARN ABOUT WHAT IS THIS ACTUALLY GOING TO DO. I THINK I COUNTED 11. 12 PEOPLE SITTING OUT HERE THAT HAVE SAID, AND AS I'VE LOOKED AT THEM AS I WAS TALKING AND THEIR HEADS ARE TAKING, THESE ARE 12 PEOPLE, WE ARE IMPACTING THEIR FINANCIAL STABILITY AND FUTURE. WHETHER THEY WILL HAVE, SOME OF THEM, THEIR OWN HOMES THEY ARE LIVING IN NOW. BECAUSE WE SET UP RULES, AS HASTY AS WE DID IT, WE SET UP THESE RULES AND WE SAID YOU CAN DO THIS AND HERE IS

HOW YOU DO IT AND NOW THEY HAVE BOUGHT THE PROPERTIES. THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF GETTING THEM READY THINKING THEY HAVE TIME TO GET THROUGH THIS PROCESS AND THEN THEY SEE THIS FILED. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT THEM TO DO? THEY ARE TRYING TO SALVAGE THEIR FINANCIAL STABILITY. IT'S ONLY FAIR IF WE DO A MORATORIUM, WHICH I'M NOT A BIG FAN OF MORATORIUMS BUT IF YOU DO IT, AT LEAST GIVE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE APPLIED, INCLUDING THOSE WHO RUSHED IN. THEY WOULDN'T HAVE RUSHED IN IF THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE PROPERTY TO RUSH IN WITH. THEY ARE TRYING TO PROTECT WHAT THEY INVESTED IN BASED ON THE RULES WE SET AND NOW WE WANT TO CHANGE THEM AGAIN MID STREAM AND IT'S NO FAULT OF THEIR OWN. THEY WERE FOLLOWING WHAT WE TOLD THEM TO DO. AND I HAVE A LOT OF SYMPATHY FOR THESE PEOPLE BECAUSE THEY ARE DOING WHAT WE TOLD THEM TO DO AND NOW WE ARE GOING TO TELL THEM NO, BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T HAVE THEIR PAPERWORK IN ON A CERTAIN DAY TO START THE PROCESS. THEY DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS A BIG DEAL THAT WE WERE GOING TO HALT WHAT WE WERE DOING. SO I WOULD IMPLORE YOU, IF YOU ARE GOING TO DO A MORATORIUM, EVERYBODY WHO HAS APPLIED FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, FROM THE PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS ON, AT LEAST GIVE THESE FOLKS THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO WHAT WE TOLD THEM THEY NEEDED TO DO. LET THEM BE LEGAL. DON'T PUT THEM IN A POSITION WHERE THEY GOT TO OPERATE ILLEGALLY TO BE ABLE TO FINANCIALLY MAKE IT. WE ARE SETTING THEM UP TO BE ILLEGAL. AND I KNOW SOME OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM AND THAT'S NOT WHAT THEY WANT TO DO. SO I'M BEGGING

YOU ALL TO PLEASE THINK ABOUT THE CONSEQUENCES. 90 MORE DAYS OF LETTING THESE FOLKS DO WHAT THEY DO, WHILE IT MAY NOT BE IDEAL, IT'S ALSO NOT IDEAL TO CHANGE THE RULES ON THEM MID STREAM AFTER THEY MADE THE FINANCIAL COMMITMENTS THEY MADE BASED ON THE RULES WE PASSED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN PARKER?

>> YES, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE SPONSORS OF THIS. WITH THE COMPLAINTS THEY ACTUALLY HAD AND HOW MANY COMPLAINTS THERE WERE. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE HAVE BEEN REALLY CLEAR WHAT THE COMPLAINTS ACTUALLY WERE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THE COMPLAINTS, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS. LOUD PARTIES. BACHELOR PARTIES. BACHELORETTE PARTIES THAT GO ALL NIGHT. PARKING ISSUES. GENERAL DISRUPTION TO THE NEIGHBORHOODS. AND, AND THIS IS SOMETHING WE DID TOO AND I WILL BE THE FIRST ONE TO SAY, I THINK WE MADE SOME MISTAKES IN PASSING THE LAW. WE AREN'T THE ONLY CITY THAT MADE MISTAKES. NASHVILLE ALSO ALLOWED NON-OWNER OCCUPIED SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS BUT THEY HAVE CHANGED THAT NOW. THEY HAVE GONE BACK AND SAID NO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT ANY MORE. AUSTIN IS ABANDONING ALL OF THEIRS, FOR THE SAME REASON. NEIGHBORS HAVE FOUND THESE TO BE DISRUPTIVE TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. WE ALSO DIDN'T PUT LIMITATIONS

HOW MANY THERE COULD BE ON A BLOCK. NASHVILLE SAID FOR EXAMPLE THERE COULD BE SO MANY ON A CENSUS TRACT.

>> HOW MANY COMPLAINTS DID YOU ACTUALLY HAVE?

>> HOW MANY HAVE I HEARD PERSONALLY IN MY DISTRICT?

>> HOW MANY PROPERTIES?

>> SEVEN OR EIGHT. THAT'S JUST IN MY DISTRICT.

>> WERE THE POLICE CALLED?

>> IN SOME CASES YES, POLICE WERE CALLED AND REPORTS WERE FILED AND SOME CASES POLICE DIDN'T SHOW UP. GETTING THE POLICE TO COME FOR A NOISE ORDINANCE VIOLATION ISN'T THE EASIEST THING.

>> YOU KNOW YOU KIND OF DESCRIBED TOP GOLF, BUT I WON'T GO THERE. SO WHAT ARE THE SOLUTIONS? WHAT SOLUTIONS DO YOU HAVE? AND DO WE NEED A MORATORIUM TO FIGURE THESE THINGS OUT? BECAUSE WE COULD PROBABLY FIGURE OUT SOME SOLUTIONS BUT WE DON'T NEED TO HAVE A MORATORIUM TO FIGURE THOSE OUT. I TOO, I'M WORRIED ABOUT PEOPLE'S PROPERTY RIGHTS. THIS IS A LARGE INVESTMENT. AND OUR CITY RELIES ON TOURISM AND I'M THINKING ABOUT DERBY. YOU'VE ALREADY GOT CORPORATIONS. AND PEOPLE, THEY ARE MAKING THEIR PLANS FOR DERBY RIGHT NOW. AND WE ARE GOING TO BE SCREWING THEM UP. I DON'T KNOW. I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE MORATORIUM.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IF I COULD RESPOND BRIEFLY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, SIR.

>> I THINK THERE ARE TWO SETS OF PEOPLE AFFECTED HERE. I'VE SAID THIS BEFORE. TO ONLY FOCUS ON THE SHORT-TERM HOST AND NOT

FOCUS ON THE RESIDENT WHO BOUGHT A HOUSE IN A RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY ZONE DISTRICT. DIDN'T EXPECT IT TO BE EFFECTIVELY A SMALL HOTEL NEXT TO HIM, I THINK YOU HAVE TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT THOSE PEOPLE TOO. I THINK THERE ARE MULTIPLE PEOPLE.

>> HEY, I'M RIGHT THERE WITH YOU. I JUST WENT THROUGH THAT TWO WEEKS AGO. THAT DIDN'T EXPECT A SPORTS BAR, ENTERTAINMENT. THE WHOLE, RIGHT NEXT TO THEIR RESIDENTIAL CENTER EITHER. I DON'T THINK WE NEED A MORATORIUM TO COME UP WITH SOLUTIONS. AND I HAVEN'T REALLY HEARD ANY SOLUTIONS. DO YOU GUYS HAVE ANY SOLUTIONS?

>> THE PURPOSE OF THE MORATORIUM, AGAIN, IS WE PASSED A RESOLUTION MONTHS AGO IN THIS COUNCIL, BECAUSE WE KNEW WE NEEDED TO CHANGE THE SHORT-TERM RENTAL RULES ASKING THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO COME UP WITH RECOMMENDATIONS AND SEND THEM BACK TO US, LIKE WE DO FOR EVERYTHING ELSE WHEN WE WANT THEM TO CHANGE THE TREE RULES OR PARKING LOT RULES OR ANY OF THE RULES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: [GAVEL]

>> SO WHEN THEY ISSUED THEIR STAFF REPORT FOR THE HEARING, THEY WERE HAVING IN THE PLANNING COMMISSION, ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS WAS TO PROHIBIT THESE SHORT-TERM RENTALS WHERE IT'S NOT THE PERSON'S PRIMARY RESIDENCE IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS. WHICH IS A PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL POLICY CHANGE. GIVEN THAT'S ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THEY ARE SENDING FOR US TO CONSIDER AND WOULD BE A DIFFICULT CHANGE FOR THE STATUS QUO. THE

COURT ISSUES A STAY IS TO FREEZE THE STATUS QUO SO THAT YOU DON'T ALLOW A POLICY CONSIDERATION THAT'S TRYING TO BE MADE IN AS MUCH REAL-TIME AS WE DO BUSINESS TO HAVE THE CONDITIONS CONTINUE TO CHANGE IN A WAY THE POLICY PROCESS IS RECOMMENDING SHOULDN'T BE CONTINUING THAT DIRECTION. THAT'S THE REASON FOR THE MORATORIUM. THERE ARE ALL SORTS OF DIFFERENT SOLUTIONS TO EVERYTHING FROM ENFORCEMENT AND OTHER KINDS OF RULES BEING DEBATED. STILL IN THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THEY HAVEN'T ISSUED THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS. WHEN THEY DO WE WILL COME AND TALK ABOUT A LOT OF DIFFERENT SOLUTIONS. BUT THIS IS THE MOST SIGNIFICANT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS. THAT'S COME FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THAT'S WHY WE HAVE ASKED FOR A MORATORIUM ON THIS.

>> THAT WOULD BE TO DISALLOW NON-OWNER RENTAL, OR NON-OCCUPANCY RENTAL.

>> THE MORATORIUM IS TO STOP GRANTING THE C.U.P.'S ON THAT WHILE WE WORK ON IT. I WILL SAY THIS, IN MY DISTRICT WHICH HAS MORE SHORT-TERM RENTALS THAN EVERYBODY. I DON'T REALLY WANT TO GET IN THE SUBSTANCE TONIGHT. THAT'S NOT WHAT THIS IS FOR. YOU HEAR SOME PEOPLE SAY I HELP FIX UP PROPERTIES. THERE ARE PRO'S AND CON'S FOR THEM BOTH. I THINK EVERYBODY AGREES ENFORCEMENT IS AN ISSUE. IN MY AREA IT REALLY DEPLETES AVAILABILITY OF RENTAL HOUSING IN THE HIGHLANDS. YOU CAN'T RENT A HOUSE IN THE HIGHLANDS ANY MORE. I'M NOT WAVING A FLAG SAYING I'M TOTALLY AGAINST THESE. BUT I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT WE HIT PAUSE

AND DECIDE WHERE WE ARE ON THESE. SO YOU KNOW I'M NOT PREPARED TO SIT HERE AND FIGHT THIS SHOULD BE THE POLICY BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE IT SHOULD BE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU. I WANT TO REITERATE WHAT COUNCILWOMAN DENTON SAID. I'M NOT REALLY STUCK ON THIS. IF WE ARE GOING TO ALLOW THOSE IN THE PIPELINE, EVEN PRE-APPS TO GO THROUGH, I THINK THAT WOULD BE DEVELOP WELCOMED DEVELOPMENT. WE HAVE FOLKS THAT HAVE TESTIFIED THEY HAVE MADE SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENTS IN THESE PROPERTIES. MOVING THE GOALPOSTS ON THEM WHILE IN THE PROCESS, TO ME, DOESN'T MAKE SENSE. AGAIN, IT'S SOMETHING FOR ME, IT MAY SET US UP FOR A LEGAL CHALLENGE BECAUSE SOME MAY FEEL AGGRIEVED AND DECIDE TO GO TO COURT SAYING THIS WAS IMPOSED WHILE THEY WERE IN THE PROCESS. REGARDLESS, WE SHOULD BE VERY CAREFUL AND THINK ABOUT THIS. I SUPPORT A MORATORIUM. I'M JUST SAYING, LET'S FIGURE OUT A WAY THAT DOESN'T JEOPARDIZE THE INVESTMENT THEY HAVE MADE AND TREAT EVERYONE FAIRLY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO CLARIFY WITH THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, ON THE AMENDMENT WE PASSED, DID IT STOP THE PROCESS FOR -- THE 60 OR SO PEOPLE THAT RUSHED IN AFTER THE 29TH AND APPLIED, THEY WILL NOT GET THAT PROCESS FINISHED IF WE PASS THIS, THIS EVENING?

>> IF THEIR APPLICATION IS NOT ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE AND THAT'S A DETERMINATION, SO YOU KNOW, WOULD BE MADE BY PLANNING STAFF. AND THIS HAS HAPPENED. PEOPLE TRY, WE HAVE HAD INSTANCES THEY WANT TO RUSH SOMETHING THROUGH TO BEAT REGULATIONS THEY WILL FILL AN APPLICATION HALF COMPLETE JUST THINKING THEY CAN TOLL THAT. THE REQUIREMENT TO BE ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE JUST ENSURES THEY HAVE TAKEN ALL THE STEPS NECESSARY AS OF THAT DATE TO ACTUALLY HAVE THAT APPLICATION CONSIDERED. NO ONE IS ENTITLED JUST BY FILING AN APPLICATION. IF NOT ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE THEY CAN'T GO FORWARD.

>> ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE SOUNDS COMPLICATED. ALL IT MEANS IS THEY HAVE FILLED OUT THEIR APPLICATION AND PAID THE FEE. THERE'S A PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS. YOU HAVE TO HAVE A REQUIRED NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING. ONCE YOU HAVE DONE THOSE YOU CAN FILE THE FORMAL APPLICATION AND PAY THE FEE. ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE MEANS YOU HAVE DONE EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO DO, FILED THE FORMAL APPLICATION, PAID THE FEE AND TURNED IT IN AND IT'S COMPLETE. IT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING MORE THAN THAT.

>> CAN'T WE JUST LET THESE PEOPLE HAVE THE COMPLETION OF THEIR APPLICATION SO THAT WE CAN, I THINK, WE WON'T HAVE ANY LAWSUITS AND I JUST THINK IT'S THE FAIR THING TO DO. I KNOW BILL, YOU MENTIONED THERE'S RESIDENTS BUT NOT ALL OF THEM ARE GOING TO BE ACCEPTED AND PASSED.

>> MY AMENDMENT WAS TO LET THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TURNED IN THEIR APPLICATION, WHO WERE ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE TO GO ON AND BE HEARD.

>> BUT THAT'S 11 PEOPLE OR SO. I'M TALKING ABOUT ONES WHO FILED AFTER THE 29TH, EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY HAVE RUSHED THROUGH, I THINK THERE ARE SOME LEGITIMATE PEOPLE WHO DID IT THE RIGHT WAY BECAUSE THEY DID HAVE INVESTMENTS. THEY DID HAVE, YOU KNOW, MONEY ON THE LINE. AND THIS IS GOING TO FINANCIALLY HURT THEM, I BELIEVE THAT.

>> YOU'RE NOT GUARANTEED YOU WILL GET YOUR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT WHEN YOU GO TO BOZA. YOU BUY A HOUSE THINKING YOU ARE GOING TO GET A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR SOMETHING OR ZONING CHANGE AT YOUR OWN RISK. YOU STILL HAVE A HOUSE YOU CAN RENT LONG TERM OR USE FOR SOME OTHER PURPOSE. JUST BECAUSE WE ALLOWED THESE AT SOME POINT IN TIME DOESN'T CREATE SOME EXPECTATION THAT YOU ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL BUSINESS ANY MORE THAN WHEN THE COUNCIL ENACTED A SMOKING BAN, SOMEONE SAYING I WAS GOING TO OPEN A BAR AND NOW YOU WILL HAVE A SMOKING BAN AND NOW PEOPLE WON'T COME TO MY BAR. THAT'S HOW REGULATION WORKS.

>> YOU DON'T FEEL LIKE THAT?

>> I FEEL LIKE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED THE PROCESS AND HAVE COMPLETED THE FORMAL APPLICATION. A PRE-APPLICATION IS JUST A PIECE OF PAPER. THERE'S A BIG DIFFERENCE

BETWEEN THAT AND THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TAKEN IT ALL THE WAY TO THE
END AND WAITING TO BE HEARD AT BOZA.

>> WHAT ABOUT THE ONES WHO MAY HAVE GOT TO A POINT OF
PAYING THE FEE. IS IT EVEN POSSIBLE TO GET TO THAT POINT, TO BE
ABLE TO PAY AND GET THROUGH THE FORMAL PROCESS?

>> SAY THAT ONE MORE TIME?

>> SO I KNOW THEY WENT IN, IN A HURRY AND APPLIED,
PRELIMINARY APPLICATION. THE TWO-WEEK PERIOD, THERE'S NO WAY
THEY COULD HAVE GOTTEN TO THE POINT OF FILING THE PAPERWORK AND
PAYING THE FORMAL PAPERWORK AND PAYING THE FEE.

>> CORRECT.

>> YOU WOULDN'T HAVE HAD TIME TO HAVE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD
HEARING. THERE'S A BIG TIME GAP.

>> OKAY.

>> WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO CALL THE QUESTION.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION
SAY AYE. LET'S DO THAT WITHOUT THE MICROPHONES ON. LAUGH -- [
LAUGHTER] EVERYBODY IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION, PLEASE
SAY AYE. OPPOSED?

>> ROLL CALL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ROLL CALL, PLEASE, MR. CLERK. WITHOUT
OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND THE VOTING IS ALMOST CLOSED.

COUNCILMEMBERS THAT ARE JUST COMING BACK IN THE ROOM, WE ARE VOTING WHETHER TO CALL THE QUESTION OR NOT.

>> MR. CLERK: 15 YES, 10 NO VOTES AND ONE NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. WE ARE CALLING THE QUESTION. I TAKE THAT BACK. THAT'S NOT TWO THIRDS. THREE-FIFTHS. ALL RIGHT. WE ARE STILL DISCUSSING.

>> THEN I WOULD LIKE TO LIMIT DEBATE, PLEASE. 90 MINUTE. [LAUGHTER]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THERE'S A MOTION. IT'S GOT A SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR OF LIMITING DEBATE. PLEASE SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER YOU STILL HAVE THE FLOOR.

>> I'M DONE, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER LEET.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT JAMES. I HAVE LISTENED WITH GOOD EARS THIS EVENING AND I HEARD SEVERAL DIFFERENT THINGS THAT ARE DOUBLE STANDARDS AGAIN. I HAVE YET TO INTRODUCE A MORATORIUM TO STOP LOUDER THAN LIFE. I HEARD A QUESTION ABOUT HOW MANY ON A BLOCK. WE HAVE NOT YET ASKED THAT QUESTION ABOUT TRANSITIONAL HOUSING. WE SAID IF THERE'S NEW RULES YOU HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE NEW RULES BUT WE DIDN'T CREATE A WAY YOU COULD NOT CONDUCT YOUR BUSINESS. I QUESTION THAT WE'RE MARCHING DOWN THE RIGHT PATH. IN FOUR YEARS I'VE VOTED NO ON EVERY SINGLE MORATORIUM THAT'S EVER BEEN PRESENTED BEFORE THIS COUNCIL AND I WILL

CONTINUE TO DO SO THIS EVENING. AND I ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO JOIN ME IN THAT QUEST TO VOTE NO ON MORATORIUMS. WE SHOULD ADDRESS THE ISSUES AND WORK DILIGENTLY TO COME UP WITH AN ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION AND ALLOW THE PROCESS AND RULES AS THEY EXIST TODAY UNTIL WE COME UP WITH A BETTER SOLUTION AND BETTER RULES. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMAN KRAMER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. A COUPLE THINGS. WE TALKED A COUPLE TIMES ABOUT THIS MAD RUSH FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS. AND THAT'S WHAT WE ARE AFRAID OF. I THINK WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE THE MAD RUSH FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS IS CAUSED BY THE PANIC CREATED WHEN WE STARTED TALKING ABOUT A MORATORIUM, OR WORSE. FOLKS FELT THEY NEEDED TO GET IN BEFORE THE RULES WERE CHANGED TO MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE IN THE FUTURE TO DO WHAT IT IS FOLKS WANT TO DO HERE BECAUSE THE FEAR IS, I APPRECIATE COUNCILMAN COAN'S COMMENT WE AREN'T LEGISLATING OR FIGHTING JUST A MORATORIUM BUT THE REALITY IS, I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE A REASONABLE FEAR IF WE PASS A MORATORIUM TONIGHT THAT SAYS CAN'T DO SHORT-TERM RENTAL IN NON-RESIDENTIAL AREAS THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL GET THE MESSAGE FROM US THAT'S THE DESIRABLE OUTCOME AND THAT WILL BECOME THE RULE. IT DOESN'T SURPRISE ME AT ALL THAT A PERSON WHO HAD ANY INKLING THEY MAY IN THE DISTANT FUTURE CONSIDER A USE PERMIT WOULD OF COURSE RUSH TO GET A CONDITIONAL

USE PERMIT AS SOON AS THEY HEARD THIS CONVERSATION START. THEY ARE SCARED TO DEATH WE WILL DO SOMETHING THAT WILL MAKE THEIR PLANS VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE. I DON'T THINK THAT'S AN UNREASONABLE FEAR. I CERTAINLY DON'T SEE MYSELF GOING DOWN THIS ROAD BUT I THINK THAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE FEAR. I WOULD BE AFRAID OF THAT MYSELF. MR. QUIGLEY TESTIFIED TONIGHT, IF YOU GO BACK TO LOOK AT THE ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL AND WAS UPSET ABOUT WHAT WAS NEXT DOOR TO HIM AND HIS 3-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER AND I APPRECIATE WHAT COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER IS SAYING ABOUT PROPERTY OWNERS. BUT THE REALITY IS MR. QUIGLEY TESTIFIED THE GENTLEMAN CONSIDERED GETTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AND WHEN HE SAW THE ROAD WE WERE GOING DOWN HE DECIDED HE WASN'T GOING TO BOTHER WITH THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT HE DECIDED HE WASN'T GOING TO GET ONE. HE DECIDED HE DIDN'T NEED ONE. WHAT HAPPENS, AND I THINK ALL TOO OFTEN, IN MY TENURE HERE I HAVE WATCHED REPEATEDLY SOMETHING HAPPENS WE REALIZE WE CAN GENERALLY AGREE IS NOT A GOOD THING AND WE DECIDE WE NEED TO FIX IT AND IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO FIX WHATEVER IT IS WE RECOGNIZE AS A PROBLEM WE OVER LOOK THE FACT THE PERSONS DOING THIS ARE ALREADY BREAKING THE LAW. AND WE ARE GOING TO PASS ANOTHER LAW TO PREVENT SOMEONE WHO IS BREAKING THE LAW FROM BREAKING THE LAW THEY ARE BREAKING. INSTEAD OF BREAKING THE FIRST LAW, THEY WILL BREAK THE FIRST LAW AND THE NEXT LAW. UNFORTUNATELY WHAT THIS DOES, THE PEOPLE WHO REALLY DO SINCERELY WANT TO DO THE RIGHT THING, THEY WILL BE DRIVEN OUT OF

IT. OR THE INCONVENIENCE FOR THEM WILL BE SO CONSIDERABLE. WE
AREN'T GOING TO FIX THE PROBLEM. WE WILL DRIVE THE FOLKS OUT WHO
ARE TRYING TO DO THINGS THE RIGHT WAY AND ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO
IGNORE WHATEVER LEGISLATION WE PASSED BECAUSE AS WE SAID SEVERAL
TIMES TONIGHT, IT'S AN ENFORCEMENT PROBLEM. I OWN MY OWN HOME,
MY DAUGHTER IS GROWN SO I DON'T THINK I HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT NEXT
DOOR NEIGHBORS BEING A PROBLEM FOR HER. I RECOGNIZE WHEN YOU BUY
A HOME YOU HAVE CERTAIN EXPECTATIONS. IF THE FOLKS WHO ARE USING
THE PROPERTY NEXT DOOR TO YOU ARE USING IT IN A WAY THAT'S
INAPPROPRIATE THEN WE SHOULD DEAL WITH THOSE FOLKS. BUT I HAVE
TO TELL YOU, I KNOW I TRY REALLY HARD TO KEEP MY TWO WORLDS
SEPARATE. I REMEMBER WHEN I WAS TEACHING JUNIOR HIGH, THERE WAS
A TEACHER IN THE BUILDING WHO EVERY TIME A KID IN HER CLASSROOM
DID SOMETHING SHE P DIDN'T APPRECIATE, SHE PUT A CLASS
PUNISHMENT ON THE CLASSROOM. IT DIDN'T MATTER IF THE KID WAS
GUILTY OR NOT, A GOOD KID, SHY KID, IT DIDN'T MATTER. EVERY KID
IN THE ROOM GOT THE SAME PUNISHMENT. THAT'S WHAT WE ARE DOING
HERE. WE ARE PUTTING A MORATORIUM SAYING WE DON'T CARE IF YOU
ARE A GOOD ACTOR OR BAD ACTOR, A RESPONSIBLE PROPERTY OWNER OR
NOT, WE DON'T CARE IF YOUR PROPERTY IS NOT CAUSING PROBLEMS FOR
YOUR NEIGHBORS. WHAT WE CARE ARE THERE ARE 17, I THINK THAT'S
WHAT WE SAID, 17 BAD ACTORS. 11 NEW POTENTIAL BAD ACTORS IN THE
QUEUE, 8, I THINK COMPLAINTS. WE WILL SAY TO EVERY KID THAT IS A
POTENTIAL GOOD KID BECAUSE THERE ARE BAD KIDS IN YOUR CLASSROOM

WHO ARE MISBEHAVING, YOU ALL HAVE TO SUFFER THE SAME PUNISHMENT. I THINK WE ARE GOING DOWN THE WRONG ROAD. FOR ALL OF OUR BLUSTERING ABOUT THESE BAD PEOPLE WHO ARE RUSHING IN, THEY ARE RUSHING IN BECAUSE OF WHAT WE DID. THEY AREN'T RUSHING IN BECAUSE THEY JUST SUDDENLY DECIDED TO BREAK THE RULES. THEY ARE RUSHING IN BECAUSE WE SCARED THE DAYLIGHTS OUT OF THEM. I THINK WE NEED TO BACK OFF. WE NEED TO NOT FRIGHTEN PEOPLE SO MUCH. IF YOU WANT TO GET INTO THE NITTY-GRITTY OF IT, I APPRECIATE THAT'S NOT WHAT TONIGHT IS ABOUT, BUT IF WE PASS THIS TONIGHT IT IS WHAT TONIGHT'S ABOUT. THE ZONING COMMISSION, THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL HEAR WHAT WE DID TONIGHT AND YOU BETTER BELIEVE THEY WILL TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION WE VOTED AS A COUNCIL NOT TO ALLOW SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS. THEY ARE GOING TO HEAR THAT LOUD AND CLEAR AND THAT WILL BE PART OF THEIR DISCUSSION. AND NOW WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS, THERE ARE PARTS OF THIS COMMUNITY THAT THEY WANT TO GET AN EXPERIENCE OF CRESCENT HILL AND HIKE'S POINT. I JUST DON'T SEE PEOPLE FROM WASHINGTON RUSHING TO LOUISVILLE FOR THE HIKES POINT EXPERIENCE. THEY WOULD CERTAINLY BE WELCOME. [LAUGHTER] PEOPLE MAKE DECISIONS WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO GO, NOT ON A SINGULAR EVENT BUT ON THE EXPERIENCE. IT'S UNFORTUNATE FOR US, KNOWING WHAT WE KNOW AND WE TALK ABOUT MILLENNIALS ALL THE TIME AND BEING A FOODY CITY AND WE TALK ABOUT LOUISVILLE BEING ON THE CUTTING EDGE AND WE WANT TO REACH OUT TO THE MILLENNIALS AND WE WANT TO DO THIS. YET WE

HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING FOLKS INTO OUR COMMUNITY AND SAY LOOK WHAT IT FEELS LIKE TO BE HERE. NOT TO BE A GUEST IN SOME HIGH-RISE HOTEL BUT TO LIVE HERE. IF NONE OF YOU EXPERIENCED THIS, I STRONGLY ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES, DO IT. ONE OF THE BEST EXPERIENCES I EVER HAD WAS TAKING MY WIFE AND TWO CHILDREN AND GOING AND STAYING IN CHARLESTON SOUTH CAROLINA IN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT THAT WAS A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT. IF THIS MORATORIUM WERE IN PLACE, MY FAMILY WOULDN'T HAVE HAD THAT OPPORTUNITY. AND MR. QUIGLEY DIDN'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT MY WIFE AND MY TWO CHILDREN CAUSING ALL KINDS OF PROBLEMS IN THE BACKYARD UNTIL 4:00 IN THE MORNING. I JUST THINK WE ARE PUNISHING USING A BROAD BRUSH. I JUST THINK WE OUGHT TO RECONSIDER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO KNOW HOW MANY OF THEM ARE IN OTHER PARTS OF THE CITY. THEY SAY THERE ARE 72 OF THEM. ARE THEY IN JUST IN THE HIGHLANDS? IN CLIFTON? THE ONLY THING I KNOW OF IN MY DISTRICT IS WHEN EVERYBODY PASSED THAT TRAILER PARK AIRBNB IN MY DISTRICT AND IT WAS OKAY AT THAT TIME. AND I FUSSED AND EVERYTHING ELSE ABOUT ALL THE MUSIC THAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN WITH THEM HAVING THESE PARTIES. ALSO I DO WANT TO KNOW HOW MANY ARE SCATTERED OUT IN THIS CITY. BUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT ALSO, I WOULD RATHER HAVE A PERSON THERE FOR A WEEK WITH A LOT OF NOISE THAN SOMEBODY WHO LIVES THERE PERMANENTLY WITH A WHOLE LOT OF NOISE AND THAT HAPPENS IN MY

DISTRICT. THEY LIVE THERE AND THERE'S NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT. AND ALSO, THERE ARE WAYS THAT YOU CAN CONTROL THAT. WHEN WE WENT TO NEW ORLEANS WE STAYED IN AN AIRBNB. BUT THEY HAD STIPULATIONS. IF YOU MADE ANY COMPLAINTS IT WAS A \$150 FINE RIGHT OFF THE BAT. THE SECOND COMPLAINT WAS ANOTHER \$150. THERE ARE WAYS TO CONTROL THAT WITHOUT STOPPING IT COMPLETELY. AND AS KEVIN SAID, IF WE DIDN'T HAVE OPPORTUNITIES TO STAY AT AN AIRBNB, STAYING IN NEW ORLEANS IN A HOTEL, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN AWFULLY EXPENSIVE. THERE ARE GOOD THINGS ABOUT IT. BUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT, I WOULD RATHER HAVE A WILD CROWD FOR ONE WEEK KNOWING THEY ARE GOING TO LEAVE, THEN SOMEBODY THAT LIVES ACROSS THE STREET THAT KEEPS IT GOING EVERY WEEK END. THAT'S MY SPELL ON IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'VE HEARD THAT WE RUSHED TO JUDGMENT ON THIS LEGISLATION. I WILL BE VOTING IN FAVOR OF THE MORATORIUM. I DON'T KNOW IF ANYONE CAN ANSWER COUNCILWOMAN LIKE MAYBE DR. SHANKLIN, I PREFER TO KNOW WHO MY NEIGHBOR IS. I USUALLY KNOW WHO MY NEIGHBOR IS. I'VE ALSO HEARD WE ARE DRIVING PEOPLE, GOOD PEOPLE OUT. WHAT DO WE THINK WE ARE DOING TO THESE FOLKS WHO HAVE INVESTED IN THEIR HOME? I DON'T KNOW WHAT I WOULD DO IF I COULDN'T, I HAVE ONE SON. AND WE HAVE HAD TWO HOMES HE HAS LIVED IN. AND IF I COULDN'T ALLOW HIM TO GO IN THE BACKYARD AND PLAY IN HIS BLOW-UP SWIMMING POOL AND THINGS WE HAD BOUGHT

FOR HIM BECAUSE OF SOMETHING GOING ON NEXT DOOR, I DON'T SEE WHAT THE PROBLEM IS. WE HAVE VOTED ON A DATE. WHERE THESE PEOPLE CAN FILE. I THINK WE USED TODAY'S DATE, DECEMBER 13TH. I THINK WE ARE OPEN TO DECEMBER 14TH, NOW. [LAUGHTER] SO IF WE RUSHED TO JUDGMENT FOR ONE THING AND WE NEED TO GO BACK AND MAKE CORRECTIONS IN THIS, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE REAL PROBLEM IS. SOME OF YOU SITTING HERE WITH SMIRK LOOKS ON YOUR FACE. I COULD CARE LESS, TO BE HONEST. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MANY, YOU HOLD UP YOUR HAND HOW MANY YOU WANT THESE HOUSES NEXT DOOR. DON'T EVERYONE GO AT ONE TIME. I HAVE ONE HAND IN HERE OUT OF 25.

>> [OFF MIC]

>> I STILL HAVE THE FLOOR DR. SHANKLIN.

>> IF YOU ASK FOR THE HAND -- [OFF MIC]

>> WE TALK ABOUT FAIRNESS. WE TALK ABOUT ALL THESE THINGS. BUT IT'S NOT FAIR TO PEOPLE WHO HAVE BOUGHT AND PAID FOR THEIR HOME AND RAISING THEIR CHILDREN AND THEY HAVE TO TAKE THEIR CHILDREN TO THE PARK IN ORDER FOR THEM TO PLAY. AND AGAIN, I WILL BE VOTING FOR THE MORATORIUM. AND THIS REMINDS ME OF WHEN WE HAD A MORATORIUM ON SOMETHING WE DIDN'T WANT IN WEST LOUISVILLE. NAMELY THE BIO-DIGESTER. WE DIDN'T WANT TO VOTE ON THAT. BUT NOBODY IN THIS CHAMBER WANTED IT IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. I DON'T SEE THAT AS ANY DIFFERENT. YOU ALL CAN SNICKER, YOU CAN LAUGH, YOU CAN DO WHATEVER THE HECK YOU WANT TO

DO. BUT NO ONE WANTED THAT BIO-DIGESTER IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD.
THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN
HAMILTON?

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I APOLOGIZE. TRAVIS FEASTER, ASSISTANT
COUNTY ATTORNEY. I DO HAVE THOSE NUMBERS FOR COUNCILMEMBER
SHANKLIN. THIS IS NOT DIVIDED BY OWNER OCCUPIED, NON-OWNER
OCCUPIED. COUNCIL DISTRICT 1, 2 HAS ONE, 3 HAS ONE. 4 HAS 114, 5
HAS ZERO, 6 HAS 39, 7 HAS 5, 8 --

>> WAIT, WAIT.

>> 6 HAS 39. 7 HAS 5. DISTRICT 8 HAS 102. DISTRICT 9 HAS
50. 10 HAS 37. 11 HAS 3. 12 HAS 2. 13 HAS 0. 14 HAS 0. 15 HAS
22. 16 HAS 5. 17 HAS 2. 18 HAS 2. 19 HAS 6. 20 HAS 4. 21 HAS 21.
22 HAS 3. 23 HAS ZERO. 24 HAS 2. 25 HAS 2. AND 26 HAS 7.

>> AND THE TOTAL WAS WHAT?

>> HE WENT FAST. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT, A COUPLE OF
DISTRICTS THAT I DIDN'T GET. I THINK YOU SAID 19. WHAT DO THEY
HAVE IN 19?

>> 19 HAS 6.

>> COMPARED TO 8 WITH 102.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> THANK YOU. THE TOTAL APPLICATIONS RECEIVED HAS BEEN 906.
CURRENTLY REGISTERED NUMBERS ARE 431. SO PROPERTIES CAN COME IN

AND OUT OF REGISTRATION. THAT'S TRACKING THE ORDINANCE SIDE.
ONCE YOU GET THE C.U.P.

>> YOU SAID THERE'S 431 REGISTERED PROPERTIES?

>> CURRENTLY REGISTERED.

>> CITYWIDE?

>> YES. CAN I GIVE A COUNTER NUMBER AND GET BACK IN THE
QUEUE? IF YOU GO TO NEXT WEEKEND, THE 21ST TO THE 22ND. THERE
ARE 979 AVAILABLE PROPERTIES ON AIRBNB. LESS THAN HALF ARE
REGISTERED OBVIOUSLY. THAT'S NOT INCLUDING THE ONES BOOKED. IT'S
THE HOLIDAYS. 979 TODAY. THAT SHOWS YOU WHERE WE HAVE A PROBLEM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR. COUNCILWOMAN
HAMILTON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HEAR THE NUMBER THAT ARE
REGISTERED. AND I THINK ABOUT WHEN YOU SAID DISTRICT 5 HAD ZERO.
THERE WERE ONLY 3 OR 4 OTHER DISTRICTS THAT HAVE 0. AND YOU KNOW
WHY? PEOPLE AREN'T DOING THAT IN MY DISTRICT. THEY ARE PUTTING
UP UNREGISTERED BOARDING AND LODGING HOUSES. THEY ARE TAKING
THESE BEAUTIFUL HOUSES AND NOT COMPLYING. WE PASSED THE BOARDING
AND LODGING ORDINANCE A COUPLE YEARS AGO THINKING PEOPLE WOULD
COME IN AND GET THEIR C.U.P.'S. BUT THEY ARE OPERATING
ILLEGALLY. THERE'S NO COMPLIANCE. BEEN TRYING TO GET PLANNING
AND DESIGN TO ENFORCE TO COME OUT. WE ALL KNOW WHAT THE NUMBERS
ARE ON COMPLIANCE OFFICERS. SO NOW WE ARE LOOKING AT HOW MANY OF
THESE SHORT-TERM RENTALS ARE OPERATING WITHOUT A C.U.P. AND WE

DON'T FIND OUT ABOUT THE BOARDING AND LODGING HOUSES UNTIL SOMEONE COMPLAINS. UNTIL SOMEBODY PULLS THE COVER OFF AND SAYS OH WHAT'S GOING ON OVER HERE. I WOULD GLADLY TAKE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL. I SEE A COUPLE IN THE AUDIENCE TONIGHT THAT HAVE A SHORT-TERM RENTAL ON CHESTNUT STREET. THAT HOUSE COULD HAVE BEEN BOARDED UP. THEY ARE PUTTING MONEY BACK IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IT'S A BEAUTIFUL ESTABLISHMENT. THEY HAVE RAISED THE VALUE OF THE BLOCK. COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN DID CLEAR BOARDING SO WE COULD TRY TO DEAL WITH SOME OF THESE VACANT AND ABANDONED PROPERTIES IN OUR AREA. BUT IF PEOPLE COULD GET SOME OF THESE BEAUTIFUL OLD HOMES IN THE WEST END IN SHAWNEE AND PORTLAND. IF THEY COULD PUT THE MONEY AND THEY COULD UPGRADE THAT HOUSE AND GETTING PEOPLE TO COME TO OUR NEIGHBORHOODS, I WOULD BE SO HAPPY. IF YOU'VE GOT A PROBLEM WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE MAKING NOISE OR HAVING PARTIES, WHERE IS THE COMPLIANCE? ARE THE OWNER'S NAMES ONLINE? I'M GOING TO CALL SOMEONE. I WILL CALL THE OWNER, I WILL CALL THE POLICE. I'M GOING TO CALL SOMEBODY TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM. I'M NOT GOING TO JUST SIT THERE AND TAKE IT. YOU HAVE 6 DISTRICTS THAT HAVE PREDOMINANCE WHEN COUNCILMEMBER COAN WAS MENTIONING THESE COUNCILS. WE HAVE SIX DISTRICTS BASICALLY WEST OF 9TH STREET THAT DON'T HAVE THAT PROBLEM. WE'VE GOT THESE BOARDING AND LODGING HOUSES. THESE TRANSITIONAL HOMES. THESE LIQUOR STORES. EVERYTHING ELSE YOU CAN IMAGINE. IT'S ABOUT COMPLIANCE. IF YOU HAVE COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT, YOU DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM. IF

SOMEONE IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE, YOU GET THEM IN COMPLIANCE. I DON'T THINK WE CAN RELY ON PLANNING AND DESIGN TO COME UP WITH THE SOLUTIONS. FOR GETTING FOLKS TO APPLY FOR C.U.P.'S. THEY HAVEN'T DONE IT WITH THE BOARDING AND LODGING HOUSES. I ASKED FOR NUMBERS. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW THOSE NUMBERS, YOU PROBABLY DON'T. BECAUSE IT'S PROBABLY LOW. AND ONLY WITH PEOPLE COMPLAIN. BUT STILL, THERE'S NO TEETH. SO IF THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME COMPLIANCE. IF THERE'S GOT TO BE ADDITIONAL RULES TO SAY IF YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE ONE OF THESE, PARTIES MUST BE OVER -- GET SOMETHING IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY OR PEOPLE WHO HAVE THESE INSTEAD OF TRYING TO CUT THEM OFF AND WHEN WE START DOWN THE ROAD OF MORATORIUMS, THEN IT'S GOING TO BE ONE THING AFTER ANOTHER. AND I FEEL SORRY RIGHT NOW FOR COUNCILWOMAN PARKER WHO TRIED SO HARD TO GET THE COUNCIL TO RESPECT THE PROPERTY RIGHTS OF PEOPLE WHO HAD LIVED OUT THERE WHERE TOP GOLF IS COMING. BUT COUNCIL WAS NOT CONCERNED BECAUSE IT WAS ABOUT THE MONEY, THE DOLLAR FOR THAT ENTERPRISE. THOSE PROPERTY RIGHTS WEREN'T RESPECTED. THE PEACEFUL ENJOYMENT. AND I VOTED WITH THE MAJORITY. BUT I SEE WHAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT, YOU KNOW. SO IT'S LIKE NOT UNTIL IT HITS MY BACKYARD DO I FEEL AFFECTED BY THINGS LIKE THIS. AND I WOULD RATHER SEE, AS I SAID, ONE OF THESE SHORT-TERM RENTALS THAT'S WELL-KEPT. YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW THERE IS NOT SOMEONE IN THERE, FOR THE ONES I'VE SEEN. BECAUSE THEY TAKE BETTER CARE OF THEIR PROPERTIES THAN A LOT OF THESE

PROPERTIES THAT ARE ON MY BLOCK, OR ACROSS THE STREET, AROUND THE CORNER. SO IF THEY CAN MAKE MONEY BY RENOVATING THESE HOUSES AND PUTTING THEM BACK ON THE MARKET, I THINK THEY ARE DOING US A SERVICE. AND I'M NOT FOR THIS MORATORIUM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN DENTON?

>> FIRST, BECAUSE I DO WANT TO MAKE A MOTION. BUT I DO WANT TO ADDRESS COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE. WHEN I LAUGHED EARLIER, IT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT YOU SAID. SOMEBODY SENT ME A PICTURE OF THE LADY FROM TITANIC AND SAID IT'S BEEN 84 YEARS OR 82 YEARS, MEANING THIS IS A REALLY LONG MEETING. MY LAUGH HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT YOU WERE SAYING. DERBY TIME MY DISTRICT HAS A BOAT LOAD OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS. THERE ARE COMPANIES THAT ARE JUST FOR DERBY TIME OUT IN MY DISTRICT. SO WE WILL HAVE A WEEK OR SO OF A LOT OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS OUT IN MY DISTRICT AND UP UNTIL WE PASS THIS ORDINANCE, NOBODY WAS REGISTERING. AND I WOULD BET YOU NOW, MOST OF THEM STILL AREN'T REGISTERING BECAUSE IT SHOWS ONLY SIX. BUT IT'S STILL GOING ON. SO I DO THINK ENFORCEMENT IS THE KEY. AND I REALLY LIKED COUNCILMAN KRAMER'S ANALOGY ABOUT YOU ARE PUNISHING ALL THE CHILDREN, NOT JUST THE GUILTY ONES. AND I THINK THAT IS VERY APPROPRIATE. I DO FEEL FOR THE PROPERTY OWNERS WHO ARE NEAR THE BAD PLAYERS. THAT IS A PROBLEM. BUT IT'S AN ENFORCEMENT PROBLEM. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION, AN AMENDMENT THAT SAYS ANYBODY WHO IS AT ANY POINT IN

THE PROCESS, WHETHER IT'S THE PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS, EVERYBODY WHO IS IN THE PIPELINE AT ANY POINT ALONG THE PIPELINE THAT THOSE FOLKS GET TO CONTINUE ON BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE INTENT TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. THEY WOULD HAVE HAD TO ALREADY HAVE THE PROPERTY TO DO THIS. ALTHOUGH I KNOW IT WAS A GAMBLE TO BEGIN WITH. BUT BASED UPON THE WAY THAT THEY ARE TREATING THIS, BY AND LARGE PEOPLE ARE BEING APPROVED. KNOWING WHAT THE RULES ARE, I SAY IT'S ONLY FAIR THAT WE LET THEM COMPLY. BECAUSE THEY ARE REGISTERED WE ARE GOING TO KNOW WHO THESE PEOPLE ARE, SO IF THERE'S COMPLAINTS. YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE POLICE RESPONDING TO THIS STUFF. I HAD A WOMAN FOR THREE HOURS WITH HER SECURITY ALARM GOING OFF AND SHE IS OUT OF TOWN AND THE POLICE NEVER RESPONDED. WE HAVE A LOT OF PROBLEMS AND WHO IS SUPPOSED TO RESPOND TO WHAT? AND I THINK WE DO HAVE TO HAVE SOME TEETH IN TERMS OF THE ENFORCEMENT PART. BUT MY MOTION IS THAT THE MORATORIUM IS FOR ANY NEW APPLICATIONS, EVEN IN THE PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS. ANYBODY WHO IS IN THE PIPELINE UP TO THIS POINT, AT ANY POINT, IT WOULD NOT APPLY TO THEM.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY DISCUSSION OF THE AMENDMENT?
COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> I'M SAYING THE MORATORIUM WOULD APPLY TO ANYBODY WHO HAS NOT FILED ANYTHING. THESE FOLKS, ANYBODY WHO HAS FILED THE FIRST PAPERWORK, EVEN IN THE PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS, IF THEY FILED

ANYTHING AT ALL. IN THIS PROCESS. AND I WILL LEAVE IT UP TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AS TO HOW YOU WORD THAT SO THAT ANYBODY WHO HAS FILED ANYTHING IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE MORATORIUM, AS OF WHATEVER TODAY IS, THE 14TH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A SECOND, CORRECT? COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER? DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> I WILL JUST COMMENT. I THINK THE WAY BOZA HAS BEHAVED, BASED ON OUR LACK OF STANDARDS WE HAVE GIVEN THEM, I THINK IT'S CLEAR IF THIS AMENDMENT PASSES, WE WILL HAVE 100 MORE C.U.P.'S THAT WILL RUN WITH THE LAND AND BE THERE FOREVER. WE CANNOT CHANGE THAT. SO I CAN'T VOTE FOR THAT. MY NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS ARE VERY, VERY MUCH OPPOSED TO THIS. BECAUSE THEY REPRESENT THE PEOPLE WHO BOUGHT HOUSES SAYING I BOUGHT A SINGLE FAMILY HOME AND I DIDN'T EXPECT A HOTEL NEXT DOOR. THIS ALMOST GUARANTIES THERE WILL BE PROBABLY 100 MORE. I CAN'T BE FOR THAT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WILL BE VOTING IN SUPPORT OF THIS AMENDMENT. IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO. AND WE NEED TO ENSURE WE TREAT PEOPLE WITH FAIRNESS. WE CANNOT JUST IMPOSE BECAUSE OF A MISTAKE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH. -- THAT IS CERTAINLY LEGAL TO DO.

>> SO WHY ISN'T IT DONE?

>> I THINK THE CLARIFICATION HERE, IT COULD BE REVOKED FOR REASONS PRE-ESTABLISHED IN THE C.U.P. APPLICATION. IF THERE ARE TWO SUBSTANTIATED COMPLAINTS ONE COULD BE REVOKED. IF THIS COUNCIL WERE TO PASS A REVISED ORDINANCE THAT SAYS THERE SHALL BE NO SHORT-TERM RENTALS NON-OWNER OCCUPIED, IF THAT'S THE ORDINANCE THAT COMES OUT. IT DOESN'T INVOKE ANY EXISTING C.U.P.'S.

>> THANK YOU. COUNTY ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL CLARIFICATION, IS IT LEGAL OR NOT LEGAL FOR A --

>> COUNTY ATTORNEY, IS IT LEGAL OR NOT LEGAL FOR A C.U.P. TO BE REVOKED? PAUL, COUNTY ATTORNEY. IT IS IN THE CURRENT ORDINANCE IF THERE ARE TWO SUBSTANTIATING COMPLAINTS IT CAN BE REVOKED AND THAT IS CERTAINLY LEGAL TO DO.

>> I THINK THE CLARIFICATION HERE IS THAT IT CAN BE REVOKED FOR REASONS IN THE CP APPLICATIONS. IF THERE ARE TWO SUBSTANTIATED COMPLAINTS IT CAN BE REVOKED. IF THERE SHALL BE NO SHORT-TERM RESIDENTIALS NOT OCCUPIED IN THE NEIGHBORHOODS. IT DOES NOT REVOKE ANY EXISTS C.U.P.S. WHICH WOULD NOT INCLUDE JUST THE FACT THEY ARE REGISTERED.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU. MR. PRESIDENT, THIS IS FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY. WHEN YOU REVOKE A C.U.P., YOU GET A NOTICE OF VIOLATION OR FINE. AND THE NEXT STEP IS, SERVE THE OWNER AND GO TO COURT, RIGHT? IT'S NOT AN OVERNIGHT THING LIKE I'M GOING TO

TAKE YOUR C.U.P. AREN'T THEY GUARANTEED DUE PROCESS UNDER THE LAW?

>> I THINK THEY COULDN'T BE REVOKED WITHOUT SOME SORT OF HEARING. RIGHT.

>> WHICH COULD TAKE -- QUITE --

>> TRAVIS IS COMPLETELY CORRECT. THEY CAN BE REVOKED WITH THE DUE PROCESS HEARING. OTHERWISE THEY RUN WITH THE LAND.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCIL FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR, MR. PRESIDENT. IF WE -- I DON'T KNOW, IF WE CAN GET PEOPLE IN COMPLIANCE, AND THE BAD PLAYERS ARE TAKEN OUT OF THE NEIGHBORHOODS THEN -- WE HAVE GOT TO ENFORCE AND WE HAVE GOT TO APPROPRIATE MONEY TO COVER THE COST OF HAVING ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO TAKE CARE OF THIS. WE CREATE LAWS ALL THE TIME. AND WE DON'T FOLLOW THROUGH. WE DON'T DO WHAT IS NEEDED TO MAKE SURE THAT IT'S ENFORCED, POLICED. AND IT'S JUST CRAZY THAT WE DO THIS AND WE DO IT ALL THE TIME. I FEEL LIKE CREATING MORE LAWS WHEN YOU HAVE TEAMS THAT ARE BREAKING THEM, AND MAY I SUGGEST THAT IF THIS MAY NOT BE THE PLACE TO SAY IT, BUT I THINK IT WOULD MAKE A LOT OF SENSE THAT A LEGITIMATE AIR B AND B OPERATION SHOULD HAVE AN IDENTIFYING MARK ON THAT HOUSE THEY SHOW THEY ARE PLAYING BY THE RULES. GIVE THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, EVERYBODY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, A TOOL THAT SAYS OKAY, IF THEY DON'T HAVE THE PLACARD OR STICKER OR WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE, THEN THEY CAN BE TURNED IN ON 311 AND IT MAKES SENSE.

IF WE CAN'T LET THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE ALREADY APPLIED GO THROUGH AND FINISH THE PROCESS OUT, I'LL BE VOTING AGAINST THIS MORATORIUM. AND I APOLOGIZE, BILL AND BRANDON BECAUSE IT'S AFFECTING YOUR DISTRICTS A LOT. BUT FAIR IS FAIR. TO MAKE SURE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT GOING BY THE RULES THAT WE IDENTIFY THEM AND GET THEM OUT OF THE BUSINESS. YOU KNOW. IF THEY ARE CAUGHT NOT FOLLOWING THE RULES, THAT'S A MARK AGAINST THEM. AND THE CUP PROCESS IF THEY CHOOSE TO GO FOR THAT. THANK YOU.

>> CAN WE GET A TIME LIMIT? WHAT OUR TIMES?

>> THE END TIME IS 127. THAT'S WHEN WE END. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> I WAS GOING TO SAY, I THINK THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PEOPLE WHO HAVE APPLIED AND PRE-APPLIED. THERE IS A DISTINCTION IN TERMS OF THE AMENDMENT OFFERED. AND ALL I'LL SAY IS AGAIN, WE HAVE THIS CONCEPT WHERE HALF THE PEOPLE WE HEARD FROM THIS SAID GOOD, WE SHOULD DO IT. AND HALF THE PEOPLE SAID, THIS IS BAD, WE SHOULDN'T DO ANYMORE OF THE. MANY OF US THINK THERE SHOULD BE A NUANCED SOLUTION COUPLED WITH OTHER STUFF. IF HALF OF THE PEOPLE THINK THIS IS BAD AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION RAISED THAT AS AN ISSUE, TO LET 100 MORE COME IN INSTEAD OF TAKING A BRIEF TIME OUT TO DECIDE FOR OURSELVES, WHETHER WE THINK IT'S BAD OR GOOD OR SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN SEEMS TO BE, YOU KNOW, IN SORT OF RECKLESS DISREGARD OF WHAT THE PLANNING

COMMISSION IS RECOMMENDING TO US. I WOULD BY AGAIN AGAINST COUNCILMEMBER DENTON'S AMENDMENT.

>> IF THAT'S THE CASE, BRANDON, CAN WE NOT EXTEND THE DROP DEAD DATE FROM A COUPLE OF MONTHS. FROM HERE ON OUT NO NEW ONCE BUT GIVE TWO MORE MONTHS TO FOLLOW THROUGH AND THOSE THAT GET ANYTHING DONE IN THE NEXT TWO MONTHS, YOU KNOW. I THINK THAT WOULD WEED OUT THE ONES THAT WOULD HAVE DONE NOTHING TO THEIR PROPERTY. DO YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING? DOES IT MAKE SENSE? NO?

>> I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND THAT. INSTEAD OF TODAY, THERE WILL BE NO NEW APPLICATIONS FROM THE THIS POINT ON. BUT THE ONCE THAT HAVE ALREADY APPLIED, THEY GET TWO MONTHS TO SEE IF THEY CAN GET TO THE FORMAL APPLICATION AND PAY THEIR FEE. THAT WAY THOSE THAT ARE LEGITIMATE THAT REALLY HAVE GOTTEN SO FAR IN THE PROCESS THEY WERE TRYING TO GET THEIR HOME READY TO GO. OTHER THAN MAYBE SOMEBODY THAT JUST HAD BOUGHT A HOUSE AND BEEN SITTING THERE. AND NOBODY HAS DONE ANYTHING WITH IT. THEY WANTED TO GET IN.

>> THOSE ARE THE 11 PEOPLE WHO HAVE DONE THE WORK. NOT PEOPLE WHO HAVE SENT AN EMAIL TO GET IN LINE AT THE LAST MINUTE. I THINK THERE IS A PERCENTAGE OF THOSE THAT TRY TO GET IN THERE BECAUSE THEY LEGITIMATELY HAD A HOUSE THEY WANTED TO GET DONE. AND THEY MAY BE VERY FAR ALONG IN THE PROCESS, WHEREAS IS THERE IS PROBABLY SOME THAT HAD THE HOUSE SITTING THERE AND THEY HADN'T DONE ANYTHING. SO THEY JUMP IN. I THINK 23 YOU GIVE THEM

A COUPLE OF MONTHS. THAT THEY JUST DID IT BECAUSE THEY OWNED A PROPERTY. SO I THINK IT WOULD WEED THOSE PEOPLE OUT BUT GIVE THE ONES THAT LEGITIMATELY HAD WORKED TO GET TO A CERTAIN POINT.

>> I THINK IT'S THE SAME THING AS WHAT COUNCIL WOMAN DENTIN SAYS.

>> TO ADD A TWO MONTH PERIOD ONTO THE MORATORIUM, YET NO NEW ONES PASS TODAY. BUT GIVE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE ONES THAT HAVE ALREADY FILED TO GET THROUGH THE PROCESS. SO THIS DOESN'T GO -- THE MORATORIUM DOESN'T GO INTO AFFECT FOR TWO MONTHS BUT WE PUT IN SOMETHING TO WHERE THEY CANNOT DO ANYMORE NEW ONES PAST TODAY. MAYBE I'M NOT EXPLAINING IT PROPERLY. MY LIP STICK DID WEAR OFF.

>> ALL RIGHT. COUNCILMEMBER DENTIN. I THINK I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, WE DON'T KNOW HOW LONG THAT PROCESS TAKES IN THAT PREAPPLICATION PROCESS. I DON'T KNOW IF TWO MONTHS IS ENOUGH TIME. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME. BECAUSE I HAVE NO IDEA.

>> WE'RE ALL GETTING A LITTLE SLAP HAPPY AT THIS POINT. IT IS BUT IT ISN'T. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT PROPOSED, HEARING NONE ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. WITHOUT THE MICROPHONES. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED. PLEASE MR. CLERK, OPEN THE VOTING. VOTING IS CLOSING. VOTING IS -- THE AMENDMENT FAILS. COUNCILMEMBER LANSHIMA. COUNCILWOMAN FOULER. I REALLY FEEL LIKE MAYBE I DIDN'T EXPLAIN IT PROPERLY. I DON'T

KNOW IF TWO MONTHS WOULD WORK BUT I THINK IF WE GIVE THE PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY THAT HAVE APPLIED SINCE THE NOVEMBER 29 MEETING TO GET THROUGH THE PROCESS EVEN -- BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME THAT ARE CLOSE, I FEEL CERTAIN THAT ARE SOME THAT ARE CLOSE. I JUST DON'T KNOW HOW LONG IT TAKES. AND I JUST I DON'T KNOW. I FEEL LIKE WE'RE OPENING OURSELVES UP TO A LAWSUIT. FOR THOSE WHO ARE SAYING I'M READY TO FINISH THE PROCESS, I PROMISE THERE ARE SOME THAT ARE LEGITIMATE. AND THOSE THAT DID IT BECAUSE THEY OWNED A PIECE OF PROPERTY. I CAN'T VOTE FOR THIS WITHOUT HAVING SOME SORT OF HELP.

>> WAS THAT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION COUNCILWOMAN?

>> WE DON'T KNOW HOW LONG. I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG TO SAY, YOU KNOW? TO GIVE THEM, WOULD THREE MONTHS WORK? I JUST DON'T KNOW.

>> THREE MONTHS IS THE LONGEST THE MORATORIUM COULD POSSIBLY BE.

>> BUT YOU ARE STILL STOPPING THE PROCESS ON THE THREE MONTHS. YOU ARE STILL STOPPING THOSE PEOPLE THAT APPLIED AFTER THE 29TH. YOU ARE STOPPING THEIR PROCESS.

>> AFTER THE 14TH, I GUESS OR THE 13TH.

>> THE ONES THAT APPLIED AFTER THE 29TH, WE'RE STOPPING THE PROCESS SO I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE THEM THE THREE MONTHS IF THEY ARE FAR ENOUGH ALONG IN THE PROCESS, SO BE IT. IF NOT, THEY DON'T --

>> EITHER YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR PREAPPLICATION OR COMPLETED YOUR FORMAL APPLICATION. THAT'S IT. LIKE YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR FORMAL APPLICATION OR YOU HAVEN'T.

>> DON'T YOU THINK IN THREE MONTHS TIME THERE WILL BE PEOPLE WHO WILL BE ABLE TO COMPLETE THEIR FORMAL APPLICATION. I DON'T THINK IT WILL BE 60 PEOPLE. JUST BECAUSE THEY OWNED A PIECE OF PROPERTY THEY JUMPED IN AND DID THIS. LIKE KRAMER SAID, THEY WERE SCARED. I DON'T KNOW. I JUST --

>> I WISH I COULD HELP YOU. WITHOUT SOME LANGUAGE THAT YOU ARE SUGGESTING, I'M STARING AT THE ORDINANCE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT--
-

>> SO I GUESS THE LANGUAGE WOULD BE IF FOR THOSE THAT HAVE APPLIED AFTER NOVEMBER THE 29TH, THEY WOULD BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMPLETE THEIR FORMAL APPLICATION IN THE THREE MONTHS THAT THIS MORATORIUM IS IN EFFECT.

>> THAT'S JUST WHAT--

>> NO, IT'S NOT. IT'S NOT THE SAME THING.

>> I THINK IT IS THE SAME THING. IT IS THE SAME THING.

>> IS IT THE SAME THING?

>> YEAH.

>> COULD YOU REPEAT IT? I'M SORRY

>> WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT THE ONES THAT THE APPLICATION, THE PEOPLE THAT APPLIED AFTER THE 29TH, THAT THEY BE GIVEN THREE

MONTHS TO FINISH THAT APPLICATION IF THEY ARE CLOSE ENOUGH. IF THEY ARE NOT CLOSE ENOUGH, THEN THEY DON'T GET IT.

>> I HAVE A --

>> THAT'S NOT WHAT THAT AMENDMENT WAS THAT WE JUST VOTED ON. THERE WAS NOT A THREE-MONTH TIME PERIOD.

>> THE MORATORIUM IS A THREE MONTH --

>> I KNOW THE MORATORIUM IS. THE MORATORIUM IS STOPPING THE PROCESS. I'M WANTING TO GIVE THEM THREE MONTHS TO FINISH THEIR PROCESS. BUT STOP ANY OTHER NEW ONES FROM BEING ABLE TO BE HEARD.

>> THAT'S WHAT JULIE'S WAS.

>> GOOD LORD, I KNOW THAT'S WHAT JULIE WANTED. I'M ASKING FOR THOSE WHO HAVE ALREADY APPLIED TO BE GIVEN THREE MONTHS TO FINISH THE PROCESS. THAT'S NOT WHAT HERS WAS.

>> THE COUNTY ATTORNEY BECAUSE THE PROPOSED MORATORIUM IS THREE MONTHS. THAT WOULD BE EFFECTIVELY ACCEPTING THEM FROM THE MORATORIUM. IF I MAY, IT SEEMS LIKE THERE IS MAYBE SOME ATTEMPT TO WRITE A COMPROMISE, FOUR DATES. FILING OF THE FIRST APPLICATION OR A, WHAT IS THE WORD? ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE APPLICATION. OTHER SIGNIFICANT DATES FROM EARLY TO LATEST ARE THE SCHEDULING OF A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, THE COMPLETION OF A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, IF MIDDLE GROUND IS SOUGHT, RATHER MESSING WITH DAYS AND WEEKS AND MONTHS, WE MIGHT PICK ONE.

>> THAT'S WHAT I DID BY HAVING YOUR APPLICATION ADMINISTRATIVELY COMPLETE. WHICH IN FACT HAPPENS BEFORE YOUR HEARING IS SET. YOUR HEARING DOESN'T HAVE TO BE SET FOR THE APPLICATION TO BE COMPLETE. I MEAN I THINK I DREW THE LINE AT THE MOST REASONABLE PLACE I COULD DRAW THE LINE.

>> OKAY.

>> IF YOU WANT TO SUGGEST SOMETHING ELSE, YOU CAN.

>> OKAY. I'LL WITHDRAWAL IT AND CALL IT QUESTION.

>> THANK YOU. QUESTION HAS BEEN CALLED. DO I HAVE A SECOND?

>>SECOND.

>> WE CAN STILL -- YES

>> POINT OF ORDER? IS THERE AN AMENDMENT ON THE FLOOR OR THE ORDINANCE? SHE WITHDREW THE AMENDMENT? THERE ARE STILL TWO PEOPLE IN THE CUE.

>> THE QUESTION CANNOT BE CALLED WHEN A TIME LIMIT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.

>> THAT WAS MY POINT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> I'M THE LAST ONE IN THE QUEUE. I'M NOT SAYING A WORD THAT MIGHT CAUSE SOMETHING ELSE TO PUNCH IN. I'M CALLING THE QUESTION JUST BY DECLARING I'M THE LAST ONE.

>> THERE BEING NO FURTHER --

>> QUICKLY. SAY IT QUICKLY. [LAUGHTER]

>> THERE BEING NO FURTHER PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE, COUNCILMAN FLOOD. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> NO AMENDMENTS HAVE PASSED, RIGHT? WE'RE DEALING WITH ONE, BRANDON'S PASSED. THE DATE. OKAY. THANK YOU.

>> IT WAS THE DATE OF DECEMBER 13TH. COUNCILMAN COMBS WAS FOR THE 13TH, BECAUSE IT HAPPENED ON THE 13TH. AM I NOT CORRECT?

>> TODAY IS NOW THE 14TH.

>> SO IT WAS THE 13TH. ALL RIGHT. NO FURTHER PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE, MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING. VOTING IS CLOSING.

>> EXCUSE ME, WHAT IS IT EXACTLY WE'RE VOTING FOR?

>> THIS IS THE VOTE ON THE MORATORIUM. DID YOU VOTE? OKAY. VOTING IS CLOSING. VOTING IS CLOSED. THERE ARE 11 YES, 13 NO VOTES AND TWO NOT VOTING. THE YET VOTES ARE COUNCIL MEMBERS, GREEN, SEXTON SMITH, HILL, BLACKWELL, WELCH. BUTLER, FLOOD, AND LANSHIMA.

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE FAILS.

>> MR. CLERK, ITEM 68.

>> [AGENDA ITEM READ]

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>>SECOND.

>> POINT OF ORDER, WE'RE ON NUMBER 68 AND THAT WASN'T READ INTO THE RECORD.

>> THAT IS CORRECT. I'M SORRY.

>> I KNOW WE'RE LATE.

>> DO I NEED TO WITHDRAW? I WITHDRAW THAT MOTION.

>> NUMBER 68. [AGENDA ITEM READ]

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>>SECOND.

>> THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DECISION?

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL. IT CAME OUT OF COMMITTEE. WE SENT IT. IT IS A LITTLE BIT UNUSUAL WE SEND A CIF TO THE FULL COUNCIL. BUT WE DID SO BECAUSE THE PRIMARY SPONSOR, COUNCIL LEAD WOULD LIKE TO GET OTHERS ON BOARD SO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY OFFICE SAID WE COULD BUT RESPECT IF YOU ARE ADDING YOU ARE ADDING CIF DOLLARS. IF YOU ARE ADDING YOU ARE NOT ADDING FROM NDA YOU ARE ADDING FROM CIF. COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> DISTRICT 7 IS UNIQUE BECAUSE WE HAVE 97% OF THE DISTRICT COVERED BY HOME RULE CITIES. I HAVE WORKED TO HAVE FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE. ON THE PROJECTS OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS WE HAVE SOUGHT PARTNERSHIP SO WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GET SIDEWALKS, ROADWAYS BECAUSE OF PARTNERSHIPS IN OUR EARLIER BUDGET DISCUSSION. THIS APPROPRIATION TODAY I HAD ACTUALLY MY LAST MAYOR'S ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING UNIQUE TO DISTRICT 7. WE DISCUSSED SPECIFICALLY THIS APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR A COMMUNITY AT LARGE PROJECT. IT WAS DISCUSSED WITH THEM. IF THERE WERE INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS WITH WHICH WE COULD SEEK ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PUBLIC PARTNERSHIPS AND FULL SUPPORT FOR SOMETHING THAT

WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY. THE FUNDING THAT WAS APPROVED FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WILL GET IT TO 66% OF THE FUNDING TO BUILD A BRAND-NEW, FULLY ACCESSIBLE PLAYGROUND THAT WILL BE OPEN AT THE ZOO NEXT YEAR SOMETIME IN CELEBRATION OF THEIR 50TH BIRTHDAY. AND I HAVE TO SAY, I'LL TALK PERSONALLY FOR TWO SECONDS ABOUT WHAT IT MEANT TO ME. I WAS BORN IN THE SAME YEAR THE ZOO STARTED. I CELEBRATED MY 40TH BIRTHDAY WITH THE ZOO, LITERALLY WITH THE ZOO. I SPENT MY CHILDHOOD HOME IN A DOUBLE WIDE TWIN STROLLER WITH MY SISTER GOING THROUGH THE ZOO BECAUSE THAT'S ONE OF THE PLACES WE COULD AFFORD TO SPEND TIME AS A FAMILY. I SEE THAT EVEN WITH MY OWN CHILDREN THAT'S A PLACE WE SPENT TIME AS A FAMILY. AND I THINK IT'S A BENEFICIAL COMMUNITY ASSET WE SHOULD CONTINUE TO INVEST IN AND SUPPORT. THE TOTAL COST OF THE ACCESSIBLE PLAYGROUND IS \$325,000. BECAUSE WE'RE DREAMING BIG THE ZOO IS TRYING TO RAISE \$612,000 TO DO A WHOLE HOST OF ACCESSIBILITY PROJECTS AROUND THE ZOO LIKE SENSORY GUIDES, THE ADDITION OF CHILL OUT QUIET SPACE, SPECIAL MAPS FOR THOSE WHO ARE DEAF AND HARD OF HEARING OR VISUALLY IMPAIRED, ADA DOORS. IT'S HARD TO BELIEVE THEY DON'T HAVE THEM ALREADY FOR THE AQUARIUM. THIS SOMETHING I'M TRYING TO PURSUE FOR THE CHILDREN AND FAMILIES OF OUR COMMUNITY AND ASKING FOR YOU TO JOIN ME IN SUPPORT OF THIS THROUGH CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS. I THINK IT'S A SUPER SPECIAL PROJECT AND IT'S PERSONALLY ALSO INSPIRED BESIDES MY PERSONALLY EXPERIENCE GROWING UP, MY FAMILIES'

EXPERIENCE WITH MY CHILDREN BUT ALSO BECAUSE MY LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT ERIN HENSON HAS A SPECIALLY ABLED CHILD WHO IS PERMANENTLY IN A WHEELCHAIR AND NOW GROWING OUT OF SOME OF THINGS WE THINK OF FOR KIDS AS BEING PROPERLY SIZED. WE NEED TO GIVE THEM SPACE TO PLAY WHILE THEY ARE STILL YOUNG. I WOULD LOVE FOR YOU TO JOIN ME IN ADDING ADDITIONAL MONEY FOR YOUR CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE ACCOUNT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, AND THANK YOU FOR SPONSORING AND LEADING ON THIS PROJECT. IT'S ANOTHER GREAT ADDITION.

DISTRICT 10 WOULD LIKE TO GIVE \$5,000 FROM THE CIF. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER STUCKEL.

>> I'M NOT SURE HOW MUCH I HAVE IN MY CAPITAL -- [LAUGHTER]

>> \$148.80. [LAUGHTER]

>> OKAY. I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE \$10,000.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> YES, [OFF MIC]

>> I COULDN'T HEAR YOU.

>> THANK YOU \$18,000.

>> COUNCILMAN REED.

>> DISTRICT 16 WILL PROUDLY CONTRIBUTE \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN WELCH.

>> DISTRICT 13 WILL DO \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> DISTRICT 14 WILL DO TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA.

>> THANK YOU, I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBERS, CAN SOMEONE TELL ME
HOW MUCH I HAVE IN MY CIF?

>> COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> BILL HAD A NUMBER. I WILL GIVE CREDIT

>> WHO WAS ASKING?

>> \$91,381.77.

>> DISTRICT 21, WE'LL DO \$5,000.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> DISTRICT 24 WILL DO \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> DISTRICT FOUR WILL DO \$1,000 AND I WAS 10 YEARS OLD WHEN
THE ZOO WAS BUILT, I ROAD MY BIKE UP AND DOWN. THANKS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN GREEN.

>> DISTRICT ONE, \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> DISTRICT 12, 1,000.

>> COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW MY BUDGET IN THE CIF, DISTRICT
CHAIR, DISTRICT THREE. IT'S FOR THE KIDS. IT'S FOR THE KIDS.
LET'S GIVE \$5,000.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IT'S FOR THE KIDS.

>> FOR THE KIDS.

>> WHAT'S OUR TOTALS, MR. CLERK?

>> WE HAVE AMENDED IT BY AN INCREASE OF \$34,000.

>> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU ALL, SO MUCH.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND?

>> \$179,000. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. ALL OPPOSED? AYES HAVE
IT. YES, SIR?

>> [OFF MIC]

>> WHO DID THE MOTION? OKAY. THANK YOU.

>> ALL RIGHT. NOW WE'RE UNDER THE AMENDED ORDINANCE. IS
THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION UNDER THE AMENDED ORDINANCE?
HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE. MR.
CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. OH, VOICE VOTE. I'M SORRY. ALL IN
FAVOR, SAY, AYE. ALL OPPOSED? AYES HAVE IT. THE ORDINANCE
PASSES. MR. CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 69.

>> [AGENDA ITEM READ]

>> READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>>SECOND.

>> THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US, COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THE REQUESTED AMOUNT WAS
\$19,000, THE CURRENT APPROPRIATION IS \$10,000. MOVE TO OLD

BUSINESS AT THE REQUEST OF THE SPONSOR, COUNCILWOMAN MIGHT WANT TO SPEAK TO THIS.

>> COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> TO SUPPORT THE BLUE GRASS. HOPEFULLY YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE WORK THEY DO. THEY TAKE OUR WORLD WAR II, KOREAN VETS TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THESE WHO HAVEN'T HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THEIR MEMORIALS THAT HAVE BEEN BE STOWED UPON THEM FOR THE KENTUCKY, LOUISVILLE VERSION FROM JEFFERSON COUNTY IN THE REGIONAL AREA. MANY OF THESE MEN AND WOMEN ARE IN WHEELCHAIRS WEARING HEARING AIDS. THEY HAVE BEEN UTILIZE RENTAL DEVICES AND IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE THE ADDITIONAL \$9,000 TO ACCOMPLISH THAT GOAL OF BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE A RELIABLE WHEELCHAIR THAT ALL OPERATE THE SAME WAY AND PROVIDE A SOUND SYSTEM ON THE BUSES TO WASHINGTON WHILE THEY ARE IN WASHINGTON AND BACK IN THE AIRPORT IN LOUISVILLE. SO I WOULD ASK YOU ALL TO ONCE AGAIN, SUPPORT OUR VETERANS. THEY HAVE SACRIFICED FOR US. PLEASE MAKE A DONATION FROM YOUR NDF ON BEHALF OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS, THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN ENGEL.

>> LET ME FIRST SAY I WANT TO APPLAUD THE COUNCILWOMAN. THIS HAS BEEN A PASSIONATE PROJECT FOR HER. I LOVE TO HEAR HER TALK ABOUT THE TRIP SHE'S DONE ON THIS THING. DISTRICT 22 WOULD LIKE TO CONTRIBUTE \$1,000 TOWARD THIS PROJECT.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN STUCKEL.

>> AS A DISABLED KOREAN VETERAN AND ESCORT ON THOSE FLIGHTS
AND I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE \$5,000.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN REED.

>> MY GRANDFATHER, HOWARD REED WAS KILLED IN ACTION IN
FRANCE IN 1944. ALSO, TO SUPPORT THIS DISTRICT 16 WILL
CONTRIBUTE \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN BENSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, MY FATHER-IN-LAW THIS SUMMER
WAS 95. I WANT TO DO 1,000 THOUSAND DOLLARS.

>> DISTRICT 23, \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FOULER.

>> DISTRICT 14 \$1,000.

>> DISTRICT 13, \$1,000.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IF I COULD. I THINK WE'RE GOING OVER BUT
I THINK COUNCILMAN STUCKEL MIGHT BE ABLE GET A FEW DOLLARS BACK
TO GET HIS NAME IN THE QUEUE. AND DISTRICT 7.

>> EITHER WAY IT HELPS OUR FUTURE COLLEAGUE.

>> WE HIT THE 19,000 ADDITION. SO WE'LL START REDUCING.
COUNCILWOMAN WELSH.

>> \$1,000.

>> \$1,000 FROM COUNCILWOMAN WELSH. COUNCILMEMBER LANCHIMA.

>> SEXTON SMITH?

>> YES, \$500 IN HONOR OF MY FATHER WHO PASSED AWAY FIVE YEARS AGO. AND OUR FAMILY REQUESTED GIFTS TO THIS PROGRAM IN HIS HONOR.

>> THANK YOU. WHERE ARE WE THERE, MR. CLERK?

>> STILL AT 19,000 IF WE REDUCE DISTRICT 7 BY \$2,000.

>> I MOVE THOSE AMENDMENTS.

>> THANK YOU.

>>SECOND.

>> THANK YOU, ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. NOW WE HAVE THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION UNDER THE ORDINANCE? HEARING NONE, THIS IS REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE [ROLL CALL VOTE]

>> VOTING IS CLOSED. 42 YES AND TWO NOT VOTING.

>> THANK YOU, READ OF ITEM 70.

>> [AGENDA ITEM READ]

>>MOTION.

>>SECOND.

>> ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US, PRESIDENT BLACKWELL.

>> THIS ORDINANCE CAME OUT OF COMMITTEE, COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH IS IT THE PRIMARY SPONSOR. THE AMOUNT REQUESTED IS \$30,000, THE APPROPRIATION IS \$10,000. ASSUME COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THIS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. AND EQUAL SPONSORSHIP ON THIS WITH ME IS COUNCILMAN GLEN STUCKEL AND WE FEEL PASSIONATE ABOUT THE WORK OF YOUTH BUILD. MANY HOURS AGO, AS A MATTER OF FACT IT WAS YESTERDAY, WE HAD TWO SPEAKERS IN THIS CHAMBER. [LAUGHTER]

>> AND THEY STOOD RIGHT BEFORE US. MISS LINN RIPE AND SUNNY FISHBACK AND SPOKE ELOQUENTLY ABOUT THE WORK AT YOUTH BUILD. COLLEAGUES THERE IS ROOM FOR EVERYONE TO JOIN IN, BECAUSE REMEMBER, SUNNY FISHBACK WAS A LITTLE BOY ONE TIME. TO WHOM LIFE WAS NOT FAIR AND CAUSED HIM TO MAKE DECISIONS THAT WERE NOT FAVORABLE TO THE FINE YOUNG MAN HE HAS BECOME. IF HE WAS STANDING THERE DAY AFTER, WE HAVE PUT UP \$5,000, LOOKING FOR ANOTHER \$20,000 TOTAL THIS EVENING FROM THE COUNCIL. AND THIS WILL GO TOWARD THEIR \$1.9 MILLION CAPITAL CAMPAIGN THAT IS WELL UNDERWAY AND THEY ARE BUILDING OUT AN ADDITIONAL 8,000 SQUARE FEET LOCATED ON PRESTON STREET AT THE HEADQUARTERS, KITCHEN, EATER AREA AND MANY OTHER AMENITIES THESE YOUNG PEOPLE DESERVE. LET THE BIDDING BEGIN.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> TO BE HONEST WITH YOU WE LEARNED A LITTLE BIT. I'M IN THE QUEUE BECAUSE OF SUNNY. IMAGINE SUNNY SPEAKING IN FRONT OF THIS COUNCIL SIX MONTHS AGO OR A YEAR AGO. FOLKS, HE HAS COME A LONG WAYS. AND SO I APPLAUD THE YOUTH BILL COMING IN AND TALKING TO US. BUT ESPECIALLY SUNNY. AND I APPLAUD HIM SO I WOULD LIKE

TO APPROPRIATE \$1,000 FROM DISTRICT 22. THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WELSH.

>> THANK YOU. YOUTH BUILD IS A WONDERFUL ORGANIZATION. THEY BUILT TWO SHELTERS AT THE FOREST AND DID AN EXCELLENT JOB. WE HAD BROKEN DOWN LOOKING SHABBY THINGS. AND RICK CAN TELL YOU HIS GIRLS HAD TO STAY UNDER THERE. THESE ARE ENCLOSED. THEY COULD SLEEP IN THERE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE \$3,000.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN LEET.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAVE WORKED AND TRAINED SOME OF THESE YOUTH BUILD INDIVIDUALS. IT IS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY. IT'S WHAT IT'S ABOUT BECAUSE YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE THE RELATIONSHIP, AS HE POINTED OUT IT'S LIKE A FAMILY. THOSE ARE THE PROGRAMS WE SHOULD SEEK IN OUR COMMUNITY TO SUPPORT. SO DISTRICT 7 WILL CONTRIBUTE \$500.

>> THANK YOU,

>> DISTRICT 10 WILL GIVE \$500,000.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> DISTRICT 14 \$1,000.

>> THANK YOU, MA'AM. COUNCILMEMBER STUCKEL.

>> YES. I JUST WANTED TO EXPLAIN THE REASON THAT I SIGNED ON AS A PRIMARY SPONSOR. OVER THE 16 YEARS I HAVE GIVEN A LOT TO VARIOUS CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS WE HAVE SUPPORTED. THE REASON I BELIEVE IN YOUTH BUILD IS NUMBER 1, THEY HAVE AN 85% SUCCESS RATE. AND MOST OF THE CHARITIES WE SUPPORT DO NOT HAVE THAT KIND

OF A SUCCESS. NUMBER 2, THEY CHANGE LIVES. AND YOU SAW SUNNY.
HE'S JUST ONE OF THE PEOPLE IN THAT PROGRAM. AND THEY HAVE SOME
ADULTS. THEY HAVE ALSO, A LOT OF YOUNGER BOYS. THEY TEACH THEM A
TRADE THEY CAN GO RIGHT OUT INTO THE WORKFORCE AND MAKE A DECENT
LIVING. IT'S A WONDERFUL PROGRAM. I'M VERY PROUD TO BE A PRIMARY
SPONSOR.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN REED.

>> \$500 FROM DISTRICT 16.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD LIKE TO MATCH THE
SPONSOR \$5,000. HOPEFULLY THAT WILL KEEP ME WITHIN MY
RESTRICTION. WHO KNOWS, THE 37.5 I NEED TO LEAVE FOR THE INCOME.

>> THERE IS JUST FOR THE SAKE OF KNOWLEDGE, YOU ONLY NEED
3,000 MORE TO MEET THE REQUESTED AMOUNT, WHICH WAS 20.

>> OKAY, \$3,000, WHATEVER IS NEEDED.

>> OKAY.

>> WE'RE AT 20? IS THAT ACCURATE?

>> SHE SAID 3,000.

>> I SAID FIVE BUT YOU SAID THEY ONLY NEEDED 3,000. [

LAUGHTER]

>> I HAVE GOT DOWN THEY REQUESTED 20. AND WITH COUNCILWOMAN
WOOLRIDGE'S \$3,000, THE NEW TOTAL AMOUNT IS \$20,000.

>> THEY REQUESTED 30. WHAT'S ONLINE SAYS 30. IS SOMEBODY
LOOKING AT THE DOCUMENT ITSELF? 30,000. WE GOT 10 TO GO.

>> I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO BE ONE OF THE PRIMARY SPONSORS,
PLEASE. PRIMARY.

>> ALL RIGHT. SO COUNCILWOMAN --

>> I'M BACK TO \$5,000.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> [OFF MIC]

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN GREEN.

>> 500 FROM DISTRICT 1 PLEASE.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA,

>> \$5,000 FROM DISTRICT 21. WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US, MR.
CLERK?

>> WE HAVE \$2,000 TO GO.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> WE'LL DO 1,000.

>> THANK YOU AND COUNCIL BENSON.

>> THANK YOU. I WANT YOU TO KNOW YEARS AGO WE HAD SOMETHING
CALLED VOCATIONAL EDUCATION YEARS AGO. AND IT WAS REALLY GOOD TO
HELP PEOPLE LEARN HOW TO WORK AND GET A JOB. [LAUGHTER] AND
COUNCIL STUCKEL WAS SAYING THEY HAD A 85% PLACEMENT AND THAT'S
REALLY GOOD. ONE THING WE HAD TO DO IN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION,
FIVE YEARS AFTER THE KIDS GRADUATED, WE HAD TO FIND OUT WHERE
THEY WERE. AND I HAD A 92%. I AM IN FAVOR OF THIS AND I WANT TO
GIVE 1,000 BUCKS.

>> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. OKAY. I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR THE AMENDMENT. SECOND?

>> SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. OPPOSED? THE AMENDMENT PASSES. NOW --

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I BELIEVE SOMEONE ASKED WHAT THE TOTAL WAS?

>> 30.

>> THEY MET THE REQUESTED AMOUNT.

>> ALL RIGHT. ANY DISCUSSION UNDER THE ORDINANCE? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION THE VOTING IS CLOSING AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> THERE ARE 24 YES VOTES AND TWO NOT VOTING.

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MR. CLERK, THE READING OF ITEM 71.

>> [AGENDA ITEM READ]

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. COLLEAGUES, I KNOW IT'S LATE. I KNOW THE MAYBE TEMPTATIONS TO TABLE THIS ORDINANCE BUT I ASK FOR YOUR ATTENTION. IT IS LATE BUT THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR

DISCUSSION TONIGHT BECAUSE THIS ORDINANCE IS TIME SENSITIVE. I KNOW COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD TALKS ABOUT THE TIME SENSITIVENESS. THIS WAS FILED JULY 26. SO THE SIX MONTHS WILL RUN JANUARY 6. IF WE'RE UNABLE TO ADDRESS THIS WE LEAVE IT TO JANUARY 7, THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING. ESSENTIALLY EIGHT OF YOU WILL NOT BE INVOLVED. WE'LL HAVE EIGHT NEW PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOT BEEN INVOLVED IN THE DEBATE, BRAND-NEW TO COUNCIL WHO ARE FORCED TO DECIDE THIS ISSUE. THAT'S 31% OF THIS COUNCIL. I'M ASKING FOR YOUR PATIENCE TONIGHT. IT IS LATE. WE HAVE BEEN HERE THIS LONG. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE ABOUT TRANSPARENCY. ESSENTIALLY, IT'S NOT SOLELY RELATED TO DERBY, THIS IS RELATED TO EVENTS. THE LANGUAGE TALKS ABOUT ANY EVENT THAT IS SPENT \$10,000 OR MORE ON, TO ENTERTAIN GUESTS REQUIRES A DISCLOSURE. THERE IS THREE CATEGORIES OF PEOPLE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THAT FALL UNDER THIS ORDINANCE. THAT IS STAFF, METRO GOVERNMENT STAFF. THOSE FOLKS ARE NOT SUBJECT TO OPEN RECORDS EXEMPTIONS. THE SECOND CATEGORY IS CHEERLEADERS OR LOCAL BUSINESS PEOPLE THAT HELP SELL LOUISVILLE. DOING THE SAME JOB AS STAFF, TRYING TO HELP SELL LOUISVILLE. THERE IS QUESTIONS. I DON'T BELIEVE THE LAW THAT ALLOWS THOSE FOLKS TO BE EXCEPT UNDER RECORDS. I KNOW THE ADMINISTRATION HAS TAKEN A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO THAT. AND THAT'S ONE WE HAD ACTUALLY LOOKED AT WITH THE DERBY TICKETS. THE POTENTIAL OF THE NEED FOR SUBPOENA POWER, PUT IT BEFORE THE COURTS AND LET THEM DECIDE. I BELIEVE THE LAW IS VERY CLEAR THEY DO NOT FALL UNDER THAT. THE

THIRD CATEGORY IS THE MOST SENSITIVE. THE OUT OF TOWN BUSINESSES WE'RE TRYING TO INSPIRE TO COME HERE. THE BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT FOLKS, THE ACME CORPORATION FROM NASHVILLE, THAT IS THE THIRD CATEGORY. THE ORDINANCE IN ITS PRESENCE VERSION SAYS THAT THREE YEARS FROM NOW, THE IDEA WOULD BE THIS IS 2018, THREE YEARS FROM NOW, THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPORT SO IN 2021, REPORT WHO THEY TOOK TO THE DERBY AND OTHER EVENTS THEY SPENT OVER \$10,000. IF THEY SPENT UNDER 10,000 OR NOT TAKING THEM TO AN EVENT, THE PGA TOURNAMENT OUR DERBY IS BEYOND, THEY DON'T FALL UNDER THIS, AND SPENDING LESS THAN \$10,000 THEY DON'T FALL. IN 2021 THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO COME BEFORE US AND DISCLOSE WHO THEY TOOK AND WHAT THEY SPENT, THAT'S THREE YEARS LATER. I DON'T BELIEVE THE CONCERN IS, IN MY OPINION, BUT THE QUESTION IS IN THREE YEARS IF THEY HAVEN'T DONE BUSINESS WITH US, THE ODDS ARE THEY OUGHT TO BE DISCLOSED IN MY OPINION. THAT'S THE PRESENT VERSION. THERE ARE TWO OFFERED AMENDMENTS. THINK OF MY VERSION AS BEING OVER HERE. THERE IS A VERSION OFFERED DURING THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY MEETING THAT WILL BE OFFERED AGAIN TONIGHT THAT ESSENTIALLY SAYS THE ORDINANCE AS IS EXPECT YOU TAKE THE OPEN RECORDS EXEMPTION, BEING THE BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND EXCLUDE THEM. I BELIEVE COUNCILMEMBER WILL PROACTIVELY MOVE THAT AMENDMENT. THE PROBLEM IS THIS. THAT AMENDMENT DOESN'T GIVE US ANYTHING WE'RE NOT ALREADY ENTITLED TO. THE STAFF AND THE CHEERLEADERS THE LOCAL BUSINESS FOLKS THEY SHOULD NOT BE SUBJECT

TO EXEMPTIONS UNDER OPEN RECORDS. THOSE ARE INFORMATION WE CAN GET ACCESS TO AND THAT'S MY PROBLEM WITH THAT. IT LOSES IT'S TEETH AND DOES NOTHING AS FAR AS TRANSPARENCY. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SPENDING TAXPAYER DOLLARS TO PARTY PEOPLE, TAXPAYERS, THERE HAS TO BE CHECKS AND BALANCES. WE'RE LEFT WITH SOLELY TRUSTING THE ADMINISTRATION. AND ADMINISTRATIONS CHANGE BUT THEY HAVE THE SOLE DISCRETION WITHOUT ANY CHECKS AND BALANCES OVER THAT. THERE IS GOING TO BE A SECOND AMENDMENT TONIGHT, WHERE I GUESS I WOULD CALL THAT A MIDDLE GROUND BETWEEN WHAT I PROPOSED AND WHAT COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER AND PROPOSING, ALONG THE LINES OF SAYING THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES IS GOING TO REVIEW THOSE DOCUMENTS. IF THEY DON'T BELIEVE FOLKS ARE DOING BUSINESS OR THERE IS THE POTENTIAL OF THE BUSINESS CARRYING FORWARD THAT AT THAT POINT, THEY COULD BE TURNED OVER. IF THEY BELIEVE THE BUSINESS IS CARRYING FORWARD, THEY WOULD SAY NO, THE EXEMPTION STILL REMAINS. THIS ORDINANCE IS ABOUT TRANSPARENCY. EVERYONE HERE KNOWS IF WE SPONSORED A TABLED EVENT, WE'RE REQUIRED TO TURN IN THE NAMES OF THE EIGHT PEOPLE GOING BECAUSE WE'RE SPENDING TAXPAYER DOLLARS. WE KNOW THE TRANSPARENCY WE GO THROUGH. SO THE QUESTION BEGS AT SOME POINT, BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT NEEDS TO BE PROTECTED BUT AT SOME POINT, IT HITS A POINT WHERE YOU SAY THAT'S ENOUGH. THERE HAS TO BE ACCOUNTABILITY AT SOME POINT IN TIME ESPECIALLY WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THIS KIND OF DOLLARS. WITH THAT SAID, I ASK FOR YOUR PATIENTS. NOT TO

TABLE THIS JUST BECAUSE IT'S LATE. YOU ARE GOING TO PUT EIGHT NEW PEOPLE. FOR THOSE WHO ARE LEAVING, MARY, SHERRY AND THE REST, THIS IS THE LAST IMPORTANT PIECE OF LEGISLATION. THE OTHERS WHO WEIGH IN ON THIS AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CONTINUED PATIENCE TO DEAL WITH SOMETHING WE HAVE BEEN DEALING WITH AND NOT SHOVE IT OFF ON EIGHT NEW PEOPLE. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, SIR. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> YOU WILL FIND IT AS A FIRST ITEM PROPOSED FOR AMENDMENT 121318. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON IS CORRECT THE FLOOR AMENDMENT LOOKS AT THIS AS THREE CATEGORIES OF PEOPLE. METRO STAFF AND METRO STAFF WOULD NEED TO BE DISCLOSED, WHO WAS ENTERTAINED ON THE SAME SCHEDULE THAT COUNCILMAN ACKERSON HAS PROPOSED IN A REPORT, WHICH IF WE DON'T GET THIS, WE DO NOT HAVE A RIGHT -- THERE IS NO ACCOMPLISH TO PRODUCE THAT REPORT. SO THAT'S ONE CATEGORY, THE SECOND CATEGORY OR WHAT THE ORDINANCE CALLS INDUSTRY HOSTS OR WHAT WE HAVE CALLED CHEERLEADERS, PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITY WHO ARE INVITED TO ATTEND ALONG WITH METRO STAFF TO PROMOTE THE CITY AND TRY TO PROMOTE AND BRING INDUSTRY TO THE CITY. AND FINALLY, PROSPECTS. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY PASSED THE OPEN RECORDS LAW. THIS HAS NOT BEEN CHALLENGED. IT HAS BEEN FOLLOWED ACROSS THE STATE SINCE THE 1970S, WHEN THE LAW WAS PASSED. I DON'T KNOW ANYBODY WHO TRIED TO REPEAL IT INCLUDING THE MEDIA. THE PROVISION AND THE LANGUAGE YOU COULD SEE IT ON THE SYSTEM, IT SAYS EXACTLY WHAT COUNCILMAN ACKERSON PROPOSED. ENTITIES, AS

WELL AS THOSE WHO ATTENDED NEED TO BE IN THIS REPORT AND ADDED THIS LANGUAGE. EXPECT THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND ENTITIES ATTENDING THE EVENT IN RELATION TO A POTENTIAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT WHERE NO PREVIOUS PUBLIC DISCLOSURE HAS BEEN MADE OF THE INDIVIDUALS OR ENTITIES INTEREST IN LOCATING IN RELOCATING WITHIN OR EXPANDING WITHIN THE COMMONWEALTH. THAT IS WHAT IS IN THE OPEN RECORDS LAW AS BEING EXEMPT. IT GOES ON TO SAY NAMES OF METRO STAFF AND INDUSTRY HOSTS ARE NOT ACCEPTED AND WOULD BE DISCLOSED IN THE REPORT. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON HAS SAID AFTER THREE YEARS WE MAY NOT BE DEALING WITH ANYBODY ANYMORE AS AN INDUSTRIAL PROSPECT. I HEARD COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL SAYS, THEY HAD A PROSPECT FOR MORE THAN FIVE YEARS. IT'S NOT TRUE THAT ALL WORK IS DONE IN THREE YEARS. IF THIS ORDINANCE IS PASSED IT WOULD BE INCUMBENT ON OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TEAM TO TELL ANY PROSPECT WE HAVE THIS RATHER UNUSUAL ORDINANCE THAT METRO COUNCIL PASSED THAT SAID IN THREE YEARS WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TELL THE WORLD THAT YOU WERE INVITED AND CAME TO THE DERBY AS AN INDUSTRIAL PROSPECT OF THE CITY. AND I DON'T THINK ANYBODY IS GOING TO COME. MAYBE SOME BUT I THINK IT WILL BE A GREAT INHIBITION FOR MANY PEOPLE TO COME UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES. IF YOU THINK THAT ENTERTAINING PEOPLE AT THE KENTUCKY DERBY IS AN ADVANTAGE WE HAVE IN THE COMMUNITY, I THINK WE ARE GIVING UP THAT ADVANTAGE BYPASSING THIS ORDINANCE. NOT BECAUSE THEY MIGHT GO ON FOR THREE YEARS BUT FROM THE GETGO I THINK PEOPLE ARE GOING TO SAY, THANK

YOU BUT I'M NOT COMING. I DON'T WANT THAT KIND OF VERY UNUSUAL PROVISION. I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY OTHER INDICATION OR ANY OTHER CITY OR STATE THAT HAS THIS PROVISION. I KNOW THE STATE OF KENTUCKY DOESN'T. SOME OF THEM THE SAME AS ARE BEING RECRUITED BY LOUISVILLE AT THE DERBY AND OTHER EVENTS. I THINK WE'RE TYING OUR HANDS HERE. I THINK THE OPEN RECORDS LAW EXEMPTION IS THERE FOR A VERY GOOD REASON. NO ONE HAS CHALLENGED IT. NOBODY HAS TRIED TO REPEAL IT. WE SHOULD NOT BE TYING ON HANDS ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN THE COMMUNITY. I WOULD MOVE THAT AS A FLOOR AMENDMENT.

>> SECOND.

>> ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE A FLOOR AMENDMENT AND WE'RE UNDER DISCUSSION. COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER.

>> THANK YOU, I COULDN'T AGREE MORE WITH COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER. IF YOU WANT TO STOP ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, YOU PASS THIS ORDINANCE. I HAVE BEEN ON THE BUDGET ON THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC COMMITTEE SINCE I HAVE BEEN HERE. I HAVE BEEN THE CHAIR THREE OR FOUR TIMES. I DON'T REMEMBER. ANYBODY WHO HAS BEEN THE CHAIR GET A MONTHLY CALL/MEETING WITH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TALK ABOUT DIFFERENT PROSPECTS THEY HAVE SPOKEN TO. WE DON'T TELL THE WORLD THAT. BUT THERE WAS A BREAK OF A FEW YEARS. I GO BACK TO BEING CHAIR OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, GUESS WHAT, SOME OF THE SAME COMPANIES ARE ON THERE. DECISIONS ARE NOT MADE IN THREE YEARS OR LESS. ESPECIALLY DECISIONS WEST OF I-65

ARE NOT MADE OF THREE YEARS OR LESS, SOMETIMES WE'RE WAITING 10 TO 20 YEARS TO GET IT. IF YOU WANT TO KILL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN THIS TOWN, YOU PASS THIS ORDINANCE, IT WILL DO IT. WE'RE SO UNIQUE, AND HAVING THE DERBY HERE. AND BRINGING THEM PEOPLE HERE TO SEE HOW LOUISVILLE CAN SHINE. YOU THINK INDIANAPOLIS DOESN'T DO THIS WITH THE INDY500 OR CHARLOTTE, HOW DO YOU THINK THEY GROW? THEY HAVE NASCAR. WE HAVE HORSES. YOU WANT TO PROTECT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. YOU WANT TO THE GROW IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, 1900 JOBS WITH AN AVERAGE WAGE OF 53,000 AND INCREASE IN REVENUE COMMISSION OF OVER \$2,000,000. THAT WOULDN'T BE HERE IF WE DIDN'T SPEND TO GET A COMPANY HERE. NOT ONLY FOR THE CITY BUT THE STATE. WHO IS THE ECONOMIC ENGINE FOR KENTUCKY? LOUISVILLE. IF WE FAIL THIS ENTIRE STATE IS GOING TO FAIL. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS AMENDMENT? COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. FIRST OF ALL, WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS NOT LIMITED SOLELY TO DERBY SO LET'S BE CLEAR ABOUT THAT. THERE IS SO MANY OTHER EVENTS WE HAVE THAT PEOPLE ARE BROUGHT INTO OR MAYBE BROUGHT INTO. WHEN I HEAR THAT SOME THINGS MAY TAKE LONGER THAN FIVE YEARS. RICK BROUGHT UP DURING THE CAUCUS MEETING WE HAD A PROJECT THAT WENT FIVE YEARS. I DON'T KNOW THAT PROJECT AND I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS FIVE YEARS IN THE MAKING BEFORE A DEAL WAS INKED OR PERMITS SUBMITTED. THE OPEN RECORDS EXEMPTION, I'M QUOTING, SHALL NOT INCLUDE THOSE

RECORDS PERTAINING TO APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSING TO DO BUSINESS OR EXPAND THE BUSINESS OPERATION. IF SOMEONE HAS TAKEN AN AFFIRMATIVE STEP APPLYING FOR LICENSING THAT TAKES THEM OUT OF THE FIVE YEARS. I DON'T KNOW IF THE PERSON YOU DEALT WITH HAD STARTED APPLYING FOR LICENSE, THEY ARE NO LONGER EXEMPT. BEYOND THREE YEARS WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN A CONCERN FOR THAT ORGANIZATION. THIS DOES NOT SAY WE CAN'T TALK TO OUT OF TOWN FOLKS. THE LAST - - IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TALKING TO THEM. WE CAN BRING THEM TO TOWN AND TAKE THEM TO DINNER. WHAT THIS SAYS IF YOU TAKE THEM TO AN EVENT YOU SPEND MORE \$10,000. IN THEORY WE COULD SAY COME TO LOUISVILLE, WE'LL SHOW YOU VACANT AREAS THAT WILL BE GREAT FOR YOUR FACTORY AND OUT TO DINNER. THAT'S NOT COVERED. SO WE'RE NOT LIMITING OUR ABILITY TO TALK TO THESE PEOPLE. MY LIMITING EXPOSURE WAS INDIANAPOLIS DOES NOT SPEND MONEY TO TAKE PEOPLE TO THE INDIANAPOLIS 500. WHETHER THEY HAVE TO DISCLOSE THAT, THAT'S THEIR CALL. BECAUSE OTHER CITIES AREN'T DOESN'T MEAN WE'RE NOT DOING THE RIGHT THING AS FAR AS TRANSPARENCY FOR DOLLARS. TO LINK THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THE CITY HAS SO PROUDLY AND RIGHTFULLY EARNED, WE CAN'T LINK THAT TO THE DERBY OR SOME EVENT. IF WE BRING IN ACME CORPORATION FOR THE DERBY, THEY WILL FLY IN ON A FRIDAY, GO TO THE BUS, GO OUT TO DINNER THAT NIGHT. GO BACK TO THE HOTEL, GET UP GO TO DERBY AND CATCH A PLANE ON SATURDAY AFTER THE DERBY. TWO DAYS OF PARTYING AND THESE BUSINESS PEOPLE ARE POOPED. IT'S NOT SELLING THE CITY. IT MAY BE

AN ATTABOY. WE SPENT MONEY ON YOU. A LOT OF SALES PEOPLE FOR THOSE IN THE ROOM IN SALES, YOU TAKE PEOPLE TO EVENTS AS A REWARD OR TRY TO GET THEIR BUSINESS. AT THE END OF THE DAY, IT'S NOT SELLING THE CITY. WHAT I'M SAYING IF THERE IS A COOLING OFF PERIOD, IF YOU SPEND THE TAXPAYER DOLLARS YOU HAVE TO TELL THE PEOPLE WHERE YOU SPENT IT. FROM THERE THE GENERAL PUBLIC OR LEGISLATIVE BODY CAN DECIDE IF YOU PROPERLY SPENT IT. TO PLAY HIDE THE BALL MAY WORK IN THE PRIVATE INDUSTRY BUT IT SHOULDN'T WORK IN GOVERNMENT. THE EASY ROAD FOR PRIVATE INDUSTRY IS NOT THE EASY ROAD FOR GOVERNMENT. SO I WOULD ASK YOU TO BEFORE YOU VOTE, YES, ON THIS AMENDMENT, LISTEN TO WHAT COUNCILMAN KRAMER HAS TO SAY THAT MIGHT ADDRESS YOUR CONCERNS REGARDING THE THREE-YEAR PEOPLE, THE WORRY PEOPLE MIGHT GET DISCLOSED IF STILL DOING BUSINESS.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER. IT'S OBVIOUS YOU HAVE NEVER SERVED ON LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BEFORE. THAT COMMITTEE DISCUSSES MANY THINGS, WE GET STUFF THAT IS FIVE OR SIX YEARS LATER BEFORE WE GET SOMETHING. SO WHEN THIS COMPANY COMES TO TOWN, THEY WILL LET US KNOW HOW THEY GOT THEM HERE. WE ASKED THAT QUESTION IN LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. WE ASKED WHY IT'S SO LONG FROM SOMETHING FROM KEFTA, WHEN YOU PUT THE STIPULATION ON IT RUNS THROUGH THE ECONOMIC COMMUNITY. COMPANIES AND CITIES TALK TO EACH OTHER. IF WE'RE GOING TO COMPETE WITH THE AUSTIN, CHARLOTTE, AND STOP BRAIN DRAIN IN LOUISVILLE, WE

HAVEN'T HAVE THIS IN PLACE. WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE GOING TO AN EVENT OR COMING TO HAVE DINNER IT DOESN'T MATTER. THANKS, BUT NO THANKS. WE DON'T WANT TO DEAL WITH SOMETHING LIKE THIS. BUT WE HAVE THIS EVENTS. WE'RE UNIQUE AND HAVE THESE EVENTS. WE NEED TO CAPITALIZE ON THEM AND GET EVERYTHING WE CAN OUT OF THEM. TO HELP THAT COMMUNITY SHINE IS THE WHOLE POINT. THAT'S WHEN YOU WANT TO BRING IN PROSPECTS TO LET THEM KNOW HOW THE COMMUNITY CAN COME TOGETHER AND SHINE. WHEN YOU DO THIS IT'S NOT. THIS WHOLE THING WHEN I GAVE ME SPEECH WHEN I WAS LEAVING, I SEE WE'RE HERE TO DO THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS, NOT OUR PERSONAL BUSINESS, THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS. TO HELP ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROW. NOT STIFLE IT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> FIRST A CLARIFYING QUESTION. LOOKING AT THIS \$10,000 FOR ENTERTAINMENT OF GUESTS. YOU ARE MENTIONING THIS COMPANY, I FORGOT, THE COMPANY IN NASHVILLE WE'RE RECRUITING, ACME. WE'RE RECRUITING ACME OUT OF NASHVILLE. I DON'T KNOW IF -- HOW MANY COMPANIES WE WOULD NORMALLY BRING IN ON A PARTICULAR DERBY. I SUSPECT SINCE YOU HAVE DERBY ONCE A YEAR YOU BRING IN SEVERAL. IF YOU BROUGHT IN ONE COMPANY AND SPEND \$10,000 ON A COUPLE OF EXECUTIVES OF ONE COMPANY, THIS KICKS IN. DOES IT ALSO KICK IN IF YOU BRING IN FIVE COMPANIES AND ONLY SPEND \$2,000 EACH ON THOSE? BECAUSE THERE IS A -- I THINK THE ASSUMPTION IS WHEN YOU SAY THEY I THINK THE ASSUMPTION WHEN PEOPLE, GOD FORBID ANYBODY

IS WATCHING THIS AT THIS TIME, WHEN SOMEBODY IS WATCHING AT SOME POINT, THE ASSUMPTION IS \$10,000, THAT'S A LOT OF MONEY. IF YOU HAVE GOT 15 COMPANIES, OR IF YOU HAVE 10 COMPANIES THAT ARE COMING IN, \$10,000 ISN'T A WHOLE LOT OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS FOR WHAT YOU ARE GETTING, 10 COMPANIES TAKING A LOOK AT YOU. IS IT THE SAME? IF ONE COMPANY \$10,000 OR 10 COMPANIES ARE THERE -- IT KICKS IT IN EITHER WAY.

>> DO YOU WANT ME TO RESPOND? IT SETS THE LIMIT. ANYTIME YOU SPEND \$10,000 ON AN EVENTS OR SERIES OF EVENTS, FOR DERBY LIKE \$110,000, SOMETHING LIKE THAT IS WHAT WE SPENT ON DERBY. DERBY WOULD BE INCLUDED. IT'S NOT RELATED TO \$10,000 PER BUSINESS. IT JUST SAYS ANYTIME LOUISVILLE FORWARD ROAR THE ADMINISTRATION OR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR METRO ARE SPENDING MORE THAN \$10,000 REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY PEOPLE THEY HAVE TO REPORT WHO THOSE PEOPLE WERE

>> GOTCHA.

>> I WOULD SAY ONE OTHER COMMENT, I THINK THE AMENDMENT MAKES SENSE. I THINK I REMEMBER YEARS AGO WHEN COACH, AND ALL THE UK FANS THOUGHT HE SHOULD STAY FOREVER, I'M SURE INDY AND NASHVILLE ARE LIKE VOTE FOR THIS. TRANSPARENCY, AND IT'S A GREAT IDEA.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, WHEN PEOPLE COME TO LOUISVILLE FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT THEY COME UNDER THE SHADOW OF NIGHTS AND NO ONE KNOWS WHO THEY ARE. WE CAN NEVER KNOW WHO THEY ARE.

>> THAT'S WHAT THEY ARE SAYING.

>> I DON'T HAVE ANY POSITION ON THIS AMENDMENT. I'M GOING TO WAIT AND LISTEN. BUT I KNOW THAT IF I GO TO THE DERBY AND WALK INTO A SUITE WITH THE MAYOR AND A COUPLE OF EXECUTIVES, THAT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU WILL BE HEARING FROM ME. I THINK WE -- TO ME, LIKE I EXPRESSED PREVIOUSLY, IT'S ABOUT BEING TRANSPARENT. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THE NEED TO KEEP INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC FOR A WHILE. BUT AGAIN, IF THREE YEARS IS NOT ENOUGH TIME, HOW MUCH TIME DO WE NEED? FIVE YEARS? 10 YEARS? 20? BUT AGAIN, IT'S ABOUT, TO ME ACCOUNTABILITY. IF WE'RE GOING TO FIND A MIDDLE GROUND LET'S FIGURE OUT WHAT WORKS. IF LOUISVILLE METRO WILL PROVIDE OVERSIGHT, THEY NEED TO PROVIDE OVERSIGHT. AND I UNDERSTAND TRUSTING THE TEAM TO DO THEIR JOB. WHICH I TRUSTED DOING THEIR JOB RIGHT. BUT AGAIN, IF THE ISSUE IS TRANSPARENCY, WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE THAT WORK WITHOUT BEING DETRIMENTAL. I HAVE EXPRESSED THE ADMINISTRATION HAS BEEN JUST GETTING A PUSH BACK WHEN THE REQUEST WAS MADE ON EVERYTHING. TO ME, THAT WAS NOT ACCEPTABLE. LET'S FIGURE OUT WHAT THE MIDDLE GROUND IS SO THE TEAM CAN DO WHAT THEY NEED TO DO. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN FOULER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M FOR THE AMENDMENT. I THINK THIS WHOLE THING IS TIES THE HANDS OF THE CITY. IT RUINS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. TO THINK THAT \$10,000 IS THE LIMIT THAT WE CAN SPEND WHEN WE ARE TRYING TO BRING IN NEW PEOPLE TO OUR CITY FOR ONE EVENT. THAT'S CRAZY. I MEAN, THAT'S A DROP IN THE BUCKET TO WHAT THESE COMPANIES CAN BRING TO OUR CITY. AND WE ARE JUST TELLING THE WORLD THAT WE DON'T WANT YOU. WE DON'T WANT YOU, TRANSPARENCY OR NOT, \$10,000 IS A CRAZY AMOUNT OF MONEY. WE THINK THAT'S THE MOST WE CAN SPEND. IT'S JUST -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHERE THAT COULD COME FROM OTHER THAN, YOU KNOW, WELL, WE WON'T GO THERE. I JUST REALLY FEEL LIKE WE SHOULD GO FORWARD WITH THIS AMENDMENT AND PROTECT AND TRUST THE ADMINISTRATION TO DO THE RIGHT THING. WE HAVE BEEN TRUSTING THEM FOR YEARS. IT'S NOT ALWAYS GOING TO BE THE SAME ADMINISTRATION. AND I THINK WE ARE SETTING A DANGEROUS PRECEDENT. THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL THE QUESTION. ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU, THE QUESTION HAS BEEN CALLED ON THE AMENDMENT. DO I HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. OPPOSED?

>> MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL. VOTING IS CLOSING. VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> 13 YES, 11 NOES AND TWO NOT VOTING.

>> THE CALLING OF THE QUESTION IS FAILED. COUNSELWOMAN FOWLER.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO INVOKE THE 90 MINUTE RULE, PLEASE.

>>SECOND.

>> WE HAVE A SECOND ON THE 90-MINUTE RULE. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE. OPPOSED? THE 90-MINUTE RULE IS IN PLACE. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> I NEED A POINT OF ORDER FIRST, SIR. IS THAT AMENDMENT JUST PASSED. THAT WAS THE CALL THE QUESTION.

>> YES.

>> THAT WAS CALLING OF THE QUESTION THAT FAILED.

>> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR. MY COMMENT IS THIS. THE ORDINANCE AS INITIALLY PROPOSED THIS EVENING IS ABSOLUTELY DEVASTATING. NOT JUST TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. I BELIEVE IT WILL PUT A CAP ON THE REVENUE THE CITY IS ABLE TO PRODUCE THAT THEN ULTIMATELY PRODUCES THE BUDGET WHICH IS OUR MOST IMPORTANT JOB AS ELECTED OFFICIALS. AND PEOPLE ARE QUESTIONING WILL COMPANIES REALLY NOT COME HERE IF WE PUT THIS IN PLACE? COMPANIES ABSOLUTELY WILL NOT COME HERE. AND THE REASON IS BECAUSE THEY MUST PROTECT THEIR EMPLOYEES. IF WORD GETS OUT THAT A COMPANY HAS COME TO LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY AS A GUEST OF THE MAYOR, EVERYBODY IN THE BUSINESS WORLD KNOWS WHAT THAT MEANS. IT KNOWS THEY ARE BEING COURTED TO COME HERE ON SOME BASIS. AND THEN WORD RIPPLES AND WHEN THAT GETS BACK TO THE HEAD QUARTERS AND OTHER

EMPLOYEES IN THAT COMPANY, EMPLOYEES START GETTING NERVOUS AND START LOOKING FOR OTHER JOBS THAT ARE MORE SECURE, SO THEY THINK. THE OTHER GROUP THAT GETS VERY NERVOUS ARE THE VENDORS OF THAT ORGANIZATION. THINK ABOUT THE NEGATIVE RIPPLE EFFECT OF THIS ONE DECISION WE'RE MAKING TONIGHT. AND IT GETS WORSE, COLLEAGUES. IT'S NOT JUST THE NETWORK OF COMMERCE THAT EXTENDS THROUGHOUT THE VENDOR NETWORK OF THIS ONE COMPANY WE DON'T WANT TO REVEAL THE NAME OF. IT GOES ALL THE WAY TO WALL STREET. IT WILL SEND SHOCK WAVES THROUGH WALL STREET AND THE STOCK MARKET DEPENDING ON WHO IT IS WE'RE LUCKY ENOUGH TO TALK INTO COMING TO VISIT HERE TO TAKE A LOOK AT US WHEN WE'RE AT OUR BEST. YOU ALL KNOW IT, WHETHER WE LIKE THIS OR NOT, WE ALL, IT REALLY GETS GREAT AROUND HERE AT DERBY TIME. NOW, ONE OF THE GOALS I THINK WE OUGHT TO ADOPT WHY NOT DO DERBY 365 DAYS A YEAR. WE COULD MAKE THE CITY SHINE. BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE DEVASTATING TO LET THIS PASS AS PROPOSED FOR THE REASONS I HAVE STATED THIS EVENING. I APPRECIATE MY COLLEAGUES TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK THAT HE HAS PUT INTO THIS. BUT I HOPE WE CAN FIND OUR WAY THROUGH. BUSINESSES, COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA, THEY CAN'T AFFORD TO COME HERE, THE VENDOR'S THE VENDOR'S FAMILIES AND ENTIRE FINANCIAL OPERATION ON THIS COUNTRY, IS YES, PUTS IN QUESTION IF WE TAKE THIS OFF THE TABLE. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN WELSH.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M DEFINITELY IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT. BUT I'M TOTALLY AGAINST THE WHOLE ORDINANCE. AND I THINK EVERYONE ONE OF US GOT THIS EMAIL FROM GLI. AND THEY ARE AGAINST THIS ORDINANCE AS WRITTEN. AND I WOULD JUST LIKE TO READ ONE PARAGRAPH OUT OF THIS BIG EMAIL SENT BY SARAH WISDOM. SHE SAYS LOUISVILLE OFFICIAL SHOULD BE EMPOWERED TO DEVELOP RELATIONSHIPS AND SHOWCASE OUR REGION WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF OUR GUESTS AND VISITORS. IT WILL BE LOUISVILLE AS A COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE WITH OTHER CITIES AND SEND A MESSAGE THAT MOVING YOUR BUSINESS TO LOUISVILLE CANNOT BE DONE THROUGH BEST PRACTICES OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. THIS IS A WRONG MESSAGE TO SEND. GLI IS OUR METRO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. THEY REPRESENT THE BIG COMPANIES IN OUR TOWN HERE. AND THEY ARE DEFINITELY AGAINST THIS AND SO AM I. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT? COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I AM IN FAVOR OF THIS AMENDMENT. YOU KNOW, I REALLY DON'T THINK WE SHOULD TRY AND MICROMANAGE THE MAYOR. AND I AM FOR ALL OF THE TRANSPARENCY. WE SIT HERE TONIGHT AND RAISE I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY. \$10,000 IS BASICALLY NOTHING. I MEAN, THREE OF US GAVE \$5,000 A PIECE. \$15,000 ALREADY FOR THE BUILDER. YOUTH BUILD. I JUST DON'T THINK WE CAN TIE THE MAYOR'S HAND. I'M FOR IT IF WE NEED TO, HIM TELLING US EXPENSES HE SPENT AS FAR AS OUT IN THE COMPANIES HE'S

ENTERTAINING. I AM DEFINITELY NOT FOR THAT. ABSOLUTELY NOT, DEFINITELY NOT FOR THAT. I WILL BE VOTING IN FAVOR OF THIS AMENDMENT AND AGAIN, I CAN GO ALONG WITH HIM REPORTING WHATEVER DOLLAR AMOUNT. I MEAN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 10,000. WE CANNOT OUT PEOPLE WE'RE COURTING TO COME TO THE CITY. BECAUSE SOMEBODY ELSE MAYBE COURTING THEM IN THEIR CITY TOO. AND THIS IS JUST NOT A GOOD THING TO DO. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, AYE.

>> CAN WE DO A ROLL CALL, SIR?

>> ALL RIGHT, WE'LL DO A ROLL CALL VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER. MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE ROLL CALL FOR VOTING. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> 12 YES VOTES. 12 NO VOTES AND TWO NOT VOTING.

>> THE AMENDMENT FAILS.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> AGAIN, I THINK THIS SETS A VERY DANGEROUS PRECEDENT. AND I FEEL LIKE THAT SO MANY OF MINIMIZE COLLEAGUES THAT CLAIM TO BE PRO BUSINESS ARE MISSING THE BOAT HERE. I CAN'T EVEN IMAGINE WHY YOU WOULD THINK THIS IS GOOD FOR OUR CITY. WE'RE GOING TO BE LOSERS. WE'RE GOING TO LOOK LIKE LOSERS TO THE REST OF THIS COUNTRY. WE ARE WHO DRIVES ECONOMIC ENGINE INTEREST THE STATE AND I MEAN, THIS IS JUST GOING TO KILL EVERYTHING. I CAN'T IMAGINE THAT ANYONE THINKS THIS IS OKAY OTHER THAN COUNCIL 26.

>> THANK YOU, MA'AM. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA.

>> I UNDERSTAND THE REASONING BEHIND IT BUT WE NEED TO CONSIDER THE FACT THAT THERE IS A NEED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY, A NEED FOR TRANSPARENCY. LIKE I SAID PREVIOUSLY, WHAT INFORMATION HAD BEEN REQUESTED THAT INFORMATION HAD NOT BEEN FORTH COMING. I KNOW BECAUSE THERE IS DEBATE ON WHAT IS EXEMPT AND WHAT IS NOT. WE ALLOW THEM TO DO THE JOB BUT AT THE SAME TIME METRO COUNCIL NEED TO OVERSIGHT ABILITY. IF THREE YEARS IS NOT ENOUGH, IS FIVE? THERE SHOULD BE A MIDDLE GROUND. AND I WILL BE SAYING LET'S FIGURE OUT WHAT THE MIDDLE GROUND IS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS. I THINK THERE IS A SENSE OF DOOM IF WE DO THIS, NO BUSINESS WILL EVER COME TO LOUISVILLE AGAIN BECAUSE THE ONLY REASON THEY ARE COMING TO LOUISVILLE BECAUSE THEY GOT TO COME TO LOUISVILLE FOR AN EVENT. WHEN HE SAID, ARE WE SERIOUSLY SAYING THAT. I THINK HE WAS SURPRISED TO HEAR PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM BELIEVE THAT. COUNCILMEMBER, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT. DO I BELIEVE THE DERBY IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR LOUISVILLE TO SHOWCASE ITSELF? I DO. DO I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WE ARE ALLOWED OR BE ABLE TO USE THE EVENTS TO PUT OUR BEST FOOT FORWARD, I BELIEVE SO. THAT BEING SAID, THE OTHER THING IS THERE IS A MISUNDERSTANDING THIS WOULD LIMIT THE MAYOR'S ABILITY TO DO THAT. NOTHING SAYS THE MAYOR CAN'T SPEND ANY DOLLAR AMOUNT. THERE IS NO DOLLAR AMOUNT. THIS HAS NO IMPACT

ON THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THE MAYOR MAY SPEND. SO LET'S PLEASE
DISPEL THAT NOTION THAT SOMEHOW, WE'RE LIMITING HOW MUCH MONEY
THE MAYOR CAN SPEND. IT'S NOT TRUE. THE OTHER THING A COUPLE OF
OTHER THINGS. WE HAVE BEEN ASKED TO BE CAREFUL THAT WE DO THE
PEOPLE'S BUSINESS AND MAKE SURE WE'RE DOING FOR THE CITIZENS OF
LOUISVILLE AND NOT FOR OURSELVES. AND I THINK THAT'S TREMENDOUS
ADVICE. ANYTIME I SPEAK PUBLICLY AND PEOPLE ASK WHAT IS THE
RESPONSIBILITY OF THE METRO COUNCIL, I THINK ALL OF US WOULD
AGREE THE MOST IMPORTANT RESPONSIBILITY WE HAVE IS OVERSIGHTS OF
DOLLARS AND CENTS. IT'S OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO SEE WHERE THE
TAXPAYERS DOLLARS ARE GOING. THAT'S WHAT WE DO. THAT'S OUR MOST
IMPORTANT FUNCTION. IT DOESN'T TAKE MUCH WHO SERVED ON BUDGET. I
SERVED ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. I'LL TELL
YOU, BUDGET MEET MEETS FOR HOURS -- THAT IS OUR PRIMARY
RESPONSIBILITY. TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT SOMEHOW THIS IS -- NOW
-- I UNDERSTAND IT'S NECESSARY TO SPEND THE MONEY AND I THINK
THE MAYOR NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT. I HAVE HEARD ARGUMENTS
FROM DIFFERENT PLACES. I DO HAVE AN AMENDMENT THAT I HOPE WILL
ALLOW FOR SOME MIDDLE GROUND UNDERSTANDING AND MOVEMENT. I DO
APPRECIATE THAT GLI HAS BEEN IN TOUCH WITH ALL OF US. I HAD AN
OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH FOLKS FROM GLI, AND ON BEHALF OF GLI,
THE LETTER FROM COUNCILWOMAN WELSH READ TO US, A PIECE SAID
DON'T TAKE THE ABILITY AWAY FROM LOUISVILLE OFFICIALS AND WE
SHOULD BE ABLE TO TRUST OUR LOUISVILLE OFFICIALS, NOTHING IN MY

AMENDMENT WOULD TAKE THAT AWAY. I'M ONLY TALK ABOUT LOUISVILLE OFFICIALS. IF YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT TRUST, OKAY, I UNDERSTAND PEOPLE WANT TO TRUST THE MAYOR, I GET THAT. ARE WE GOING TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT THE MAYOR IS MORE TRUSTWORTHY THAN AN ELECTED OFFICIAL IN THIS BODY? I WOULD LIKE AROUND THE ROOM AND SAY BE CAREFUL, I WOULD MAKE THE ARGUMENT WE'RE ALL ELECTED AND WE HAVE AN OBLIGATION. WHEN I ASKED GLENN NAME A CEO IN LOUISVILLE WHO WOULD BE ALLOWED TO SPEND \$10,000 OF THAT COMPANY'S MONEY WITHOUT OVERSIGHTS FROM THAT COMPANY? ARE YOU TELLING ME THEY GIVE CEOS PERMISSION TO SPEND THOUSAND DOLLARS AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS WITHOUT AUDIT OR ANYBODY ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS NOT KNOWING WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING? IF YOU DO THIS, THE WHOLE WORLD HAS TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. THE WAY THE ORDINANCE IS WRITTEN, I SUPPOSE IT IS. THE AMENDMENT I'M GOING TO PROPOSE SAYS CLEARLY THAT IS NOT THE CASE. I ASK IN COMMITTEE ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF RESPECTING THE MAYOR'S EXEMPTION HERE. AND ASK ABOUT RESPECTING THE MAYOR'S CLAIM TO EXEMPTION. IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE PUBLIC. LET'S HAVE HIM SEND IT OVER AND LET THE METRO COUNCIL TAKE A LOOK AND KEEP IT EXEMPT. IF MAYOR CAN SAY THIS IS WHAT WE DID AND IT MAKES SENSE AND ACME COMPANY DOESN'T WANT IT DISCLOSED, WHICH I'M TOLD BY GLENN IS THE CASE. THEY ARE SAYING WHEN YOU GO TO A BUSINESS AND INVITE THEM TO COME, THEY HAVE AN EXPECTATION THAT IN PERPETUITY YOU WON'T DISCLOSE THEY CAME. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT. IF THEY CAME FROM, IT WAS ON THE UP AND UP

AND THEY CAME WITH, YOU KNOW, IN GOOD FAITH AND WE ENTERTAINED THEM IN GOOD FAITH. AND THREE YEARS LATER THE MAYOR SAYS THIS IS WHO WE ENTERTAINED IN GOOD FAITH. THIS IS WHAT IT IS AND THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES AGREES THIS WAS AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TOOL, THEN IT DIDN'T EVER GO ANY FURTHER THAN THAT. THE WHOLE WORLD DOES NOT HAVE TO KNOW. WE'RE NOT SAYING TO BUSINESSES, YOU CAN'T COME TO LOUISVILLE BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO TELL EVERYBODY. WE'RE NOT SAYING ANYONE IS GOING TO KNOW EXPECT LOUISVILLE OFFICIALS. I WOULD BE CAREFUL NOT TO SAY SOME LOUISVILLE OFFICIALS ARE TRUSTWORTHY AND SOME AREN'T. I THINK WE WADE INTO DANGEROUS WATER. I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE AN AMENDMENT THAT RECOGNIZES AND APPRECIATES THE EXEMPTION THE MAYOR IS CLAIMING. IF YOU WANT TO CLICK ON THE SYSTEM, SECTION 1. IT SCRATCHES THE LANGUAGE THAT SAYS, I'LL READ WHERE THE CHANGES, THE REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED NO SOONER THAN THREE YEARS AND SCRATCH TO THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT. ON THE NEXT PIECE IT SAYS, AFTER THE EXPENDITURES, APPROPRIATE COMMITTEE OF THE METRO COUNCIL FOR REVIEW. SCRATCH THAT AND ADD A SECTION B. AND SECTION B WOULD SAY THE MAYOR MAY IDENTIFY ANY EXEMPTION ASSERTED UNDER THE KENTUCKY OPEN RECORDS ACT WHEN HE OR SHE SUBMITS REPORT TO COUNCIL. THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES OR OVERSIGHTS MAY ASSERT APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS UNDER THE KENTUCKY OPEN RECORDS ACT ON BEHALF OF METRO COUNCIL. NOTHING IN THIS SECTION PROHIBITS THE MAYOR FROM DISCLOSING THE INFORMATION IN SECTION A EARLIER THAN

REQUESTED IN THIS SECTION. IF MAYOR WANTS TO DISCLOSE IT, HE CAN. IF HE DIDN'T WANT TO DISCLOSE IT, HE CAN CLAIM THE EXEMPTION THE SAME AS TODAY. HE CAN SEND TO COUNCIL AFTER THREE YEARS. AND IN THREE YEARS, IF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES AGREES THIS WAS A GOOD FAITH ECONOMIC EFFORT, IT STAYS EXEMPT, WE RESPECT THE EXEMPTION THE MAYOR CLAIMED. IF FOR SOME REASON IT IS NOT IN FACT ACME CORPORATION, INSTEAD.. IT'S A LOT EASIER TO MAKE A MISTAKE IN JUDGMENT WHEN YOU KNOW NOBODY WILL KNOW ABOUT IT. WHEN THREE YEARS FROM NOW, AN ELECTED BODY THAT HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO OVERSEE THE BUDGET WILL BE LOOKING OVER YOU DID AND ASKING THE QUESTION, WAS THIS REALLY FOR A GOVERNMENT PURPOSE AND YOU'LL HAVE TO DEFEND THAT. IT MAKES IT A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT TO MAKE THOSE ERRORS IN JUDGMENT. I'M NOT ACCUSING OF ANYBODY. I'M DELIBERATELY CHOOSING MY WORDS CAREFULLY SO IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE SOME MAYOR IS TRYING TO CHEAT US. I'M JUST SAYING, PEOPLE ARE HUMAN. WE GET COMFORTABLE IN PLACES. AND SOMETIMES WHEN IT'S TOO EASY, WE MAKE ERRORS IN JUDGMENT. CHECKS AND BALANCES ARE IN PLACE TO PREVENT THOSE ERRORS IN JUDGMENT. AND THEY DON'T HAVE TO PUBLISH IT. AND I MAKE THAT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION.

>> A MOTION AND A SECOND.

>> COUNCILMAN KRAMER, CAN WE HAVE COPIES OF THIS.

>> I DON'T HAVE ANY COPIES OF IT. IT'S 2:00 IN THE MORNING.

>> I APOLOGIZE, I HAD COPIES, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY SUGGESTED THE LANGUAGE WE PUT WASN'T THE LANGUAGE THEY PREFER, SO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY GAVE ME LANGUAGE JUST AS I WAS WALKING IN THE ROOM. I DID ASK THE COUNTY ATTORNEY WOULD FORWARD THIS TO YOU IN YOUR EMAIL. ALL OF US HAVE RECEIVED THIS. THOSE OF US WHO HAVE EMAILS ON OUR PHONES IT'S ON YOUR PHONE. IF YOU LIKE, I COULD READ IT.

>> I WOULD ASK FOR PRINTED COPIES, PLEASE. IT'S 2:00 IN THE MORNING.

>> IF YOU COULD GET A PRINTED COPY FROM COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON. MINE HAS SCRIBBLING ALL OVER IT.

>> POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE, AS MENTIONED EARLIER, WHEN WE RUSH THINGS WE DON'T ALWAYS GET IT CORRECT, SHOULD WE ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO TABLE THIS AT THIS TIME TO ALLOW PEOPLE TO GET STUFF CORRECT, SO WE DON'T HAVE TO REVISIT THIS AT A LATER TIME?

>> MR. PRESIDENT --

>> I MAKE THAT AS A MOTION TOO.

>> POINT OF ORDER, MR. PRESIDENT. SHE WASN'T IN THE QUEUE TO MAKE THAT MOTION. SHE MADE A POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE. WE GO BACK TO, WHAT I'VE SAID EARLIER. THAT IS BY PUTTING THIS OFF WE PUT EIGHT NEW PEOPLE ON THIS. HERE IS A COPY --

>> MOTION TO TABLE IS NOT DEBATABLE, I DON'T BELIEVE. IS THAT THE RULE.

>> TO MAKE THE MOTION SHE WOULD HAVE TO BE NEXT IN THE QUEUE.

>> SHE'S NOT NEXT IN THE QUEUE ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE RULING ON THE CHAIR IS COUNCILMAN BUTLER WAS NOT NEXT IN LINE TO SPEAK. SHE IS NEXT IN LINE TO SPEAK ON THE ORDINANCE. COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL IS NEXT TO SPEAK ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> LET'S LET HIM GO WHILE WE GET THE PRINTED COPY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL?

>> SEEING THAT HER MOTION -- WILL YOU ENTERTAIN MY MOTION TO TABLE?

>> SECOND.

>> OBJECT.

>> IS THERE A POINT OF ORDER HERE? THERE'S A MOTION ON THE FLOOR OR NO?

>> I MADE A MOTION TO TABLE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THERE'S A MOTION ON -- WE STILL HAVE THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCILMAN KRAMER. WE DID HAVE A SECOND. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT.

>> IS IT THE RULING OF THE CHAIR AGAIN THIS MOTION TO TABLE IS OUT OF ORDER?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IT'S THE RULING OF THE CHAIR THAT COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL, I'M SORRY, WAS TO SPEAK, HE MADE A MOTION TO TABLE.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WHO SECONDED? ALL RIGHT. THAT IS IN ORDER. ALL RIGHT. SO ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION TO TABLE SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED? MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE PUT THAT UP ON THE ROLL CALL. THE VOTING IS CLOSED. MR. CLERK?

>> MR. CLERK: 11 YES VOTES AND 13 NO VOTES AND 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MOTION TO TABLE FAILED. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA WERE YOU STILL IN LINE?

>> YES, THANK YOU. JUST SOME CLARIFICATION FROM COUNCILMAN KRAMER. MY QUESTION IS IF THIS COMES BEFORE A COMMITTEE THAT WILL BE AN OPEN MEETING, IT'S NOT.

>> THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES HAS THE ABILITY TO HEAR THINGS IN CLOSED SESSION, EXECUTIVE SESSION. SO IT WOULD COME OVER UNDER THE TITLE OF GUESTS FOR EVENTS OR WHATEVER. WE DON'T HAVE TO VIOLATE THAT EXEMPTION. THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THIS WAS IN FACT A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT AT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THE MAYOR WOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE AN ARGUMENT AT THAT POINT IF THAT WAS NECESSARY. IF IT WAS A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT THE MAYOR WOULD THINK ONE WOULDN'T NEED TO DEFEND IT BUT THEY WOULD HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY.

>> JUST MAKING SURE WHILE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THIS BEING SOMETHING WE DISCUSSED, WE MAKE PUBLIC, WE WILL ENSURE THIS WILL BE DISCUSSED IN THE CLOSED SESSION.

>> COUNCILMAN, THAT WAS A DISCUSSION THAT WAS HELD IN COMMITTEE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE COUNCIL WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT. THE COUNTY ATTORNEY WASN'T SURE ABOUT THAT AT THE TIME. AND I'VE GOTTEN AN EMAIL BACK FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY EXPRESSING AND EXPLAINING THAT THE EXEMPTION TO THE OPEN RECORDS ACT WOULD BE ONE THAT THE MAYOR CAN DO AND THEREFORE WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO DISCLOSE THAT. IT WOULDN'T BECOME PUBLIC RECORD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES, IF I MAY? SINCE THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE'S OPINION WAS BROUGHT UP, I NEED TO CLARIFY PART OF OUR OPINION AND ALSO CORRECT, OR ADD TO WHAT COUNCILMAN KRAMER HAS EXPRESSED. SO THE EXCEPTIONS TO OPEN RECORDS ARE DIFFERENT THAN EXCEPTIONS TO OPEN MEETINGS. SO THIS WOULDN'T QUALIFY, SO IT WOULDN'T QUALIFY AS A REASON FOR THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION. IT'S NOT ITEMIZED AS AN AVAILABLE EXCEPTION. YOU COULD NOT HAVE A CLOSED SESSION. AND THAT WAS NOT PART OF THE OPINION WE PROVIDED. WE GAVE AN OPINION REGARDING WHETHER OR NOT YOU COULD ADD AN AMENDMENT THAT WOULD ALLOW THIS BODY TO ALSO ASSERT AN EXEMPTION UNDER THE OPEN RECORDS ACT, NOT THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT. AND I COULD GO THROUGH WHAT THE EXEMPTIONS ARE FOR AN OPEN MEETING BUT THIS WOULD NOT QUALIFY AS ONE OF THOSE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I APPRECIATE COUNCILMAN KRAMER'S ATTEMPT TO TRY TO FIND MIDDLE GROUND WITH THIS ISSUE. BUT ONE OF THE CHALLENGES YOU HAVE HEARD, NOW THAT WE HEARD IT'S AN OPEN MEETING SO THERE WILL BE A RECORD PRODUCED. IF IT'S GIVEN TO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE OR GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY. THE OPEN RECORDS ACT SPECIFICALLY SAYS THE PUBLIC AGENCY IS A LOCAL STATE OR GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL OFFICER. SO THAT'S EACH ONE OF US. SO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES, THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY, THEY CAN SAY WE WILL TREAT THIS AS AN EXEMPTION. THAT DOESN'T OBLIGATE, THEY DON'T COME TO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. THEY COME TO COUNCILMAN COAN, HOLLANDER, KRAMER. IT'S UP TO THAT PERSON TO DECIDE WHETHER THEY WANT TO CLAIM THE EXEMPTION. I DO APPRECIATE THE ATTEMPT TO DO THIS, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT IT REALLY GETS US ANYWHERE, BECAUSE IT'S REALLY UP TO EACH INDIVIDUAL TO DECIDE WHETHER HE OR SHE WANTS TO RELEASE A RECORD OR NOT A RECORD. NOW THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS YOU CAN'T RELEASE. BUT GENERALLY, OVERALL, YOU COULD. PERSONAL INFORMATION WOULD BE REDACTED. IT WOULD BE OPEN AND EACH COULD DECIDE FOR THEMSELVES. MORE IMPORTANTLY, IF YOU ARE GIVEN THE RECORD YOU ARE IN POSSESSION OF IT, AND YOU CAN'T SAY YOU DON'T HAVE THE RECORD. YOU ARE IN POSSESSION. I WANT TO ADD THAT TO HIS AMENDMENT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> JUST TO MAKE CLEAR, COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA, BECAUSE I THINK YOU ASK A GOOD QUESTION. THAT MEETING WOULD BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC. THE MEETING WHERE WE ARE SAYING THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES, OR THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE WOULD DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE GOING TO RELEASE THIS TO THE PUBLIC, OR WHETHER IT WAS APPROPRIATE, ALL THAT WOULD BE IN PUBLIC. SO EFFECTIVELY, ONCE THE REPORT COMES OVER AND YOU HAVE A PUBLIC MEETING, IT'S PUBLIC. THIS JUST DOESN'T REALLY WORK.

>> MY QUESTION, COUNTY ATTORNEY, IS THERE MIDDLE GROUND? IS IT POSSIBLE, IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM TO MAKE THIS NOT PUBLIC?

>> ONLY IF IT MEETS AN EXCEPTION TO OPEN RECORDS ACT. THE OPEN RECORDS ACT ONLY APPLIES TO THOSE SPECIFICALLY ENUMERATED IN THE STATE STATUTE. OTHERWISE IT'S A PUBLIC DOCUMENT. AND SUBJECT TO THE PUBLIC HAVING ACCESS TO IT. SO THIS BODY, THERE'S KENTUCKY AUTHORITY THAT PROHIBITS ANY CITY FROM SPECIFICALLY EXPANDING ON THE OPEN MEETINGS OR OPEN RECORDS ACT. SO YOU CAN'T FURTHER DEFINE OR CLARIFY WHAT WOULD BE EXEMPT UNDER THE OPEN RECORDS ACT. THAT'S WHY IF THERE'S ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT EXEMPTION, IT NEEDS TO REFER TO THE STATE STATUTE. THERE'S CASE LAW THAT SPECIFICALLY STRIKES DOWN A MUNICIPALITY ABILITY TO TRY TO MAKE SOMETHING CONFIDENTIAL OR NOT SUBJECT TO THE PUBLIC WHEN IT HASN'T BEEN SPECIFICALLY ITEMIZED UNDER STATE LAW.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DID THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION? THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> THANK YOU. I HAVE A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION ON THAT. WHAT EXCEPTION DO WE USE IN ORDER TO REDACT THE EXPLORER REPORT?

>> THOSE WERE ORDERED BY A COURT. BECAUSE THE COURT ORDERED US TO. IN THAT SITUATION, THIS BODY WENT INTO CLOSED SESSION AND YOU WERE ADVISED BY TWO DIFFERENT LAWYERS, THAT A COURT ORDERED THOSE DOCUMENTS NOT BE RELEASED. SO IF YOU HAD RELEASED IT, YOU WOULD HAVE BEEN IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT.

>> OKAY. IS THERE AN EXAMPLE WHERE THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH GETS THE AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE WHAT IS AN EXEMPTION? AND THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH HAS CRITERIA WHICH TO FOLLOW?

>> NO.

>> HOW COULD IT COME, HOW COULD THEY DECLARE THE EXEMPTION, OR AN EXEMPTION FROM HAVING A REPORT, HOW THOSE EXPENDITURES ARE BEING MADE, YET IF WE COME OVER HERE, WE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO UTILIZE THAT SAME EXEMPTION IN ORDER TO REVIEW THE INFORMATION.

>> THAT'S EXACTLY THE AMENDMENT COUNCILMAN KRAMER HAS PRESENTED. IF THIS ORDINANCE PASSES, AND THE MAYOR SENDS A REPORT THAT CONTAINS DATA THAT WOULD ALLOW THE MAYOR TO ASSERT AN EXEMPTION, HE SENDS THIS REPORT TO METRO COUNCIL, THE AMENDMENT ALLOWS METRO COUNCIL TO ALSO ASSERT THAT EXEMPTION. BUT IT DOESN'T MEAN THEY WILL. THERE'S NO PENALTY TO ANYBODY IN THIS BODY FROM RELEASING IT TO THE PUBLIC.

>> BUT I THINK THAT'S THE INTENTION OF WHAT COUNCILMAN KRAMER IS EXACTLY SAYING. I GUESS THAT'S NOT WHAT I UNDERSTOOD

YOU TO SAY. I'M A LITTLE BIT CONFUSED AND MAYBE IT'S BECAUSE IT'S 2:15 IN THE MORNING. I THOUGHT I UNDERSTOOD YOU TO SAY WE COULDN'T ASSERT THAT EXEMPTION BECAUSE IT WOULDN'T BE AN ALLOWED EXEMPTION, BUT JUST SAID THE MAYOR HAS ITS EXEMPTIONS.

>> A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OPEN RECORDS AND OPEN MEETINGS. THERE'S A DIFFERENT LIST OF EXEMPTIONS. THE AMENDMENT PRESENTED BY COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER DISCUSSES AN OPEN RECORDS EXEMPTION. WHAT HE DIDN'T INCLUDE WAS OPEN MEETINGS. SECTION D FOR PROSPECTIVE BUSINESSES, THAT WOULDN'T APPLY THEN TO ALLOW THE COMMITTEE ON THE COMMITTEE TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT TO ASSERT THAT EXEMPTION. THAT WAS IN ANSWERING COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA'S QUESTION IS WANTING TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION IN PRIVATE. IN A CLOSED SESSION. THAT'S NOT AN ACCEPTABLE --

>> LET ME ASK THE QUESTION IN A DIFFERENT WAY. IF WE WANT TO EXERT THE EXEMPTION THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, WHAT SHOULD WE USE?

>> WHAT COUNCILMEMBER PRESENTED. THERE'S OPEN MEETINGS AND OPEN RECORDS. THE OPEN RECORDS EXEMPTION IS WHAT COUNCILMAN KRAMER HAS PRESENTED. THE DISCUSSION WE WERE HAVING WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE OPEN RECORDS COULD HAPPEN IN CLOSED SESSION. AND THERE'S NOT AN AVAILABLE EXCEPTION THAT WOULD ALLOW THAT DISCUSSION TO HAPPEN IN CLOSED SESSION.

>> MR. PRESIDENT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> IF I MAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: TIME OUT.

>> SORRY, I THOUGHT YOU CALLED ON ME.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN ACKERSON IS NEXT, ACTUALLY.

>> I BELIEVE IT'S BEEN POTENTIALLY CLEARED UP HERE. THIS IS A DOCUMENT COMING OVER AND THE DOCUMENT ITSELF IS STILL SUBJECT TO THE EXEMPTION OF DISCLOSURE. THE SAME, THE ADMINISTRATION HAS DOCUMENTS THAT MIGHT HAVE A LIST OF THE DINNER RESERVATIONS. THE CHEERLEADERS AND THE STAFF AND THE PEOPLE ALL WHAT THEY ORDERED, CHICKEN SEAFOOD OR FISH, THAT DOCUMENT IS NOT SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE BECAUSE IT'S A DOCUMENT. WHEN YOU TAKE THAT DOCUMENT INTO THE COMMITTEE AND START DISCUSSING, WELL JOHN DOE, OR NAMING THE PERSON, I DON'T SEE -- THAT'S SECRET. BUT IF FIVE PEOPLE ON THE COMMITTEE REVIEW THE DOCUMENT AND DON'T DISCUSS THE SPECIFIC NAMES DURING OPEN MEETING, YOU KNOW, THEN THE DOCUMENT STILL HAS THE EXEMPTION AS LONG AS IT'S BEEN ASSERTED. WOULD THAT BE CORRECT? AS LONG AS YOU DON'T DISCUSS THE NAMES IN THE OPEN MEETING?

>> RIGHT. AND THAT'S HOW THAT SECTION D. IT READS [READING]

>> THAT CLEARS IT UP.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN KRAMER?

>> THANK YOU. THEN WE ARE BACK TO A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE COUNTY ATTORNEY PUT INTO WRITING ON MY BEHALF. AND I APPRECIATE THE COUNTY ATTORNEYS GOING TO SO MUCH TROUBLE TO MAKE

SURE THEY GET THIS RIGHT. BUT MY REQUEST ORIGINALLY, AND I USE THE LANGUAGE VERY CAREFULLY, MY INTENT IS TO RESPECT THE MAYOR'S EXEMPTION. THAT'S MY INTENT. TO RESPECT THE MAYOR HAS RECOGNIZED, I'M NOT EVEN GOING TO SAY CLAIMED, THE MAYOR HAS RECOGNIZED A LEGITIMATE REASON FOR AN EXEMPTION AND I WANT THE COUNCIL TO RESPECT THAT. IF THE MAYOR CAN DECLARE SOMETHING TO BE EXEMPT FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, THEN CERTAINLY WE AS ELECTED OFFICIALS HAVE THAT SAME ABILITY. SO ALL I ASKED WAS IT BE SENT OVER TO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. THAT'S WHAT THIS DOCUMENT SAYS. IT HAS TO BE SENT TO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. IN MY ATTEMPT TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA, I MAY HAVE TAKEN A STEP TOO FAR AND I SHOULD HAVE STUCK WITH WHAT THE COUNTY ATTORNEYS GAVE ME. THE MAYOR'S OFFICE MAY SEND US THE DOCUMENT THEY HAVE DECIDED TO BE EXEMPT. WE ARE IN A POSITION AT THAT POINT TO DETERMINE, WHETHER IT SHOULD REMAIN EXEMPT OR SHOULD BE SHARED PUBLICLY. THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE DOCUMENT AND THEN DECIDE WHAT THEY WANTED TO DO WITH THAT DOCUMENT. THAT'S WHAT THIS AMENDMENT DOES. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> I THINK COUNCILMAN KRAMER AND ACKERSON EXPLAINED. AS LONG AS THIS ISN'T DONE AT A REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING AND

NOTIFICATION SENT DIRECTLY TO THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE, IT'S STILL EXEMPT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. JUST TO PIGGYBACK ON THAT, I DO AGREE. BUT THE COMMITTEE CANNOT ASSERT THE EXEMPTION ON MY BEHALF. THEY CAN RECOMMEND. BUT AGAIN, ONCE THE RECORD IS HERE, I WOULD ASSUME ANY GOOD REPORTER WOULD ASK FOR OPEN RECORDS OF EACH MEMBER, AND IT WOULD BE UP TO THEM. SO I WANT TO BE CLEAR NO COMMITTEE CAN MAKE A DECISION FOR HOW YOU DO OPEN RECORDS BECAUSE YOU ARE AN INDIVIDUAL AND IT LOOKS AT YOU AS AN INDIVIDUAL IN THE LAW. NO COMMITTEE'S VOTE CAN SAY, SO PEOPLE WILL STILL HAVE THAT OPTION. SO I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR. THE COMMITTEE CAN'T MAKE THAT DECISION FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL MEMBER. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> I HAVE A POINT OF CLARIFICATION. WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. IT SAYS OR GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AUDIT COMMITTEE OR ITS SUCCESSOR. I JUST GOT THIS, BUT I DON'T THINK IT SAYS THE REPORT WOULD COME TO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. IT DOESN'T REALLY SAY WHERE IT WOULD COME. IT JUST SAYS THE MAYOR SHALL REPORT. IT'S TAKEN OUT THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT LANGUAGE. IF I WAS THE MAYOR, I DON'T KNOW WHAT I WOULD DO WITH THIS REPORT BECAUSE I DON'T THINK IT TELLS HIM WHAT TO DO WITH IT.

>> MY INTENT WAS FOR IT TO GO TO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. I'VE INCLUDED GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT FOLKS MIGHT BE MORE COMFORTABLE BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T THINK JUST FIVE OF US. BUT IF PEOPLE ARE MORE COMFORTABLE STRIKING THE LANGUAGE THAT SAYS GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT I WOULD BE FINE WITH THAT AND JUST LIMITING IT TO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WE RETAIN THE OBLIGATION TO DO THE PEOPLE'S WORK AND THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE BEEN CHALLENGED WITH TONIGHT AND THAT INCLUDES SERVING IN AN AUDIT FUNCTION. THIS ISN'T EVEN AN APPROPRIATION FUNCTION, WE APPROPRIATED TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, THEY ARE USING IT, WE ASSUME APPROPRIATELY. THIS IS JUST AN AUDIT FUNCTION TO MAKE SURE THAT'S HAPPENING. COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER, I DON'T KNOW MY SCRATCHING THAT WILL MAKE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE TO YOU, AND I APPRECIATE THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ONE AT A TIME.

>> FOR ANY OTHER COUNCILMEMBER, FOR WHOM THIS SEEMS TO BE A STUMBLING BLOCK, I'M FINE WITH HAVING IT JUST GO TO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES.

>> MY QUESTION WAS, IT DOESN'T SAY THAT THE MAYOR SUBMITS THE REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. IT SAYS IT SUBMITS THE REPORT TO METRO COUNCIL. I'M TRYING TO CLARIFY THE LANGUAGE.

>> COUNCILMEMBER I'M SHARING THE LANGUAGE THE COUNTY ATTORNEY HAS OFFERED, I'M CERTAINLY FINE CHANGING IT WHERE WE

GIVE THE MAYOR SPECIFIC DIRECTION. IT USED TO SAY THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT AND THE ASSUMPTION, I THINK, FROM THAT, WAS THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT WOULD PASS THAT ONTO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES OR GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY. THAT'S THE WAY IT NORMALLY WORKS. BECAUSE THIS IS AN EXEMPTED DOCUMENT, I THINK THE PROCESS OR THE THOUGHT WAS, I SHOULDN'T SPEAK FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, I TRIED THAT ONCE EARLIER AND FAILED MISERABLY. BUT I BELIEVE THE INTENT OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WAS TO TAKE IT OUT OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PRESIDENT AND JUST GIVE IT DIRECTLY --

>> NO, NO. HANG ON. IF I MAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, MA'AM.

>> LET'S GO BACK TO THE COMMITTEE, GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY. THE QUESTION YOU ASKED WAS THE PRESIDENT MAKING THAT DECISION. ON MONDAY I EMAILED AND PROVIDED LANGUAGE WITH THE PRESIDENT MAKING THAT DETERMINATION, WHICH IS QUITE FRANKLY EASIER TO MAINTAIN THAT EXEMPTION OF OPEN RECORDS. I DIDN'T HEAR A RESPONSE FROM A SINGLE PERSON WHO RECEIVED THAT EMAIL SO I ASSUMED THERE WAS NO AMENDMENT. AT COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE I LEARNED YOU HAD AN AMENDMENT AT 6:15 WE ASKED TO SEE A COPY. THE LANGUAGE YOU PROVIDED DID NOT COMPLY WITH THE LAW, SO I SENT YOU A TEXT AND I ASKED IF YOU INTENDED TO MOVE THIS FORWARD PERHAPS WE COULD PRESENT LANGUAGE THAT WOULDN'T BE UNLAWFUL. I TOOK THE LANGUAGE YOU PRESENTED AND TRIED TO PUT IT IN A FORM THAT MADE

SENSE. YOU DIDN'T MARK OUT THE PRESIDENT BUT CLEARLY YOU ASKED IT TO GO TO COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE, GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND ETHICS OR ITS SUCCESSOR. THAT WAS YOUR LANGUAGE, SIR. IF YOU WANT TO CHANGE IT.

>> THANK YOU. FOR ANYONE PAYING ATTENTION MY DEGREE IS IN HISTORY AND MASTERS IS EDUCATION AND BACKGROUND IS TEACHING THEOLOGY, SO WHEN IT COMES TO WRITING ORDINANCES WE USUALLY RELY ON THE COUNTY ATTORNEY FOR HELP. I MADE AN ATTEMPT.

>> IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF YOU WOULD PROVIDE THE AMENDMENT SEVERAL DAYS AGO, NOT IN THE MIDDLE OF COMMITTEE.

>> I EVEN SAID THANK YOU FOR YOUR EFFORT. I APPRECIATE WHATEVER HELP, NOT CLAIMING TO BE AN ATTORNEY, WE ARE WORD-SMITHING. IF I COULD GET HELP FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE TO TELL ME WHAT LANGUAGE TO SATISFY COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER'S LEGITIMATE QUESTION, I'M HAPPY TO CONSIDER A LANGUAGE CHANGE. I'M NOT A LAWYER AND I DON'T PLAY ONE ON TV.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN KRAMER. MS. MARTIN? DO YOU HAVE AN OFFER?

>> I DON'T. I DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA WHO HE WOULD LIKE THIS AMENDMENT TO GO TO. WHEN I DRAFTED IT WAS TO THE PRESIDENT, BUT THE AMENDMENT HE HANDED TO ME AT 6:30 WAS TO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE AND THEN GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT, I COPIED AND PASTED THAT LANGUAGE AND PUT IT IN THERE. I'M SURE COUNCILMAN KRAMER HAS AN IDEA WHO HE WANTS THIS TO GO TO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER WOOLRIDGE?

>> AT THIS POINT IN TIME, I DON'T KNOW, I JUST THINK THIS IS FUTILE WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE TONIGHT. CERTAIN PEOPLE ON THIS METRO COUNCIL, I WILL TALK ABOUT THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM AGAIN. THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM IS MAYOR GREG FISCHER. THIS IS NOT ABOUT A MAYOR THAT WILL BE COMING IN FOUR YEARS FROM NOW. LET'S STICK IT TO GREG FISCHER. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO REASON, I MEAN WHAT OTHER CITIES DO THIS TO THEIR MAYORS? MICRO MANAGE. YOU SPEND \$10,000, YOU HAVE TO NAME THE FOLKS YOU HAVE ENTERTAINED. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY THE MOST RIDICULOUS PIECE OF LEGISLATION. AND I WANT TO SAY THANK GOD THIS IS MY LAST NIGHT ON THIS METRO COUNCIL. THIS LAST MORNING, BECAUSE IT'S REALLY GETTING, YOU KNOW, I KNOW PEOPLE ARE LOOKING AT US AND THINKING, WHAT IS WRONG WITH THESE PEOPLE ON THIS COUNCIL. BUT THEY WANT THREE PEOPLE, THEY WANT 3-5 PEOPLE TO OVERSEE THE MAYOR. SEND THINGS TO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE. WHAT DO THE OTHER 25 PEOPLE, WHAT DID THEY GET ELECTED FOR? FIRST IT WAS 7, I THINK, ON THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE THAT'S GOING TO GET THE SUBPOENAS AND DO THIS AND DO THAT. I JUST THINK THIS IS ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS. I THINK I'M GETTING READY TO GET UP FROM HERE AND GO HOME. I'M NOT EVEN GOING TO VOTE ON THIS. IT'S JUST UNHEARD OF. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MA'AM. COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND HIS AMENDMENT TO SAY, SO WE CAN CLEAR THIS UP AND CARRY ON BEFORE COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE LEAVES. WHEN HE OR SHE SUBMITS THE REPORT TO, ADDING WORDS, METRO COUNCILMEMBERS COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES STRIKING EVERYTHING OR OVERSIGHT ETHICS, PICKING UP WITH MAY ASSERT ANY APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS. CLEARLY STATING, REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE METRO COUNCIL'S COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. END OF STORY.

>> I'LL ACCEPT THAT AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT SO IT'S NOT AN AMENDMENT TO AN AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

>> THERE NEEDS TO BE A MOTION AND A SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: IT DOES.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: BEFORE WE DO THAT. CAN YOU REPEAT THAT AGAIN?

>> AGAIN, STARTING WITH THE SECOND LINE HE HAS, ACT WHEN HE OR SHE SUBMITS THE REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE METRO COUNCIL'S COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES. STRIKING OR THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT PART THAT WE DON'T LIKE AND PICKING BACK UP WITH MAY ASSERT ANY APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY WE HAVE A SECOND? UNDER DISCUSSION? COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I GUESS I WILL ASK A COUPLE QUESTIONS THROUGH YOU. I UNDERSTAND COUNCILMAN PEDEN'S FRIENDLY

AMENDMENT. WHAT YOU ARE SAYING HERE IS THAT THE MAYOR CAN ASSERT EXEMPTION BUT THEN THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES IS GOING TO DECIDE WHETHER HIS EXEMPTION IS TRULY AN EXEMPTION. IS THAT RIGHT? THEY WOULD GET A DOCUMENT SAYING THIS IS WHAT I'M CLAIMING TO BE EXEMPT, YES.

>> IT SAYS WE CAN ASSERT. SO YOU WILL DECIDE WHETHER IT WILL BE, I'M SAYING COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES WILL DECIDE WHETHER THAT WILL BE RELEASED OR NOT. IT SAYS YOU MAY ASSERT EXEMPTION . SO YOU MAY OR MAY NOT, COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES MAY OR MAY NOT ASSERT EXEMPTION ON WHAT THE MAYOR HAS SENT OVER, IS THAT CORRECT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> YEAH, I THINK IT CAPTURES IT. THE IDEA IS IF IN A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT THE MAYOR WAS MAKING AN EFFORT AT DOING LEGITIMATE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND HE SENDS THAT OVER AND SAYS IT'S EXEMPT BECAUSE IT WAS LEGITIMATE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES WOULD BE IN A POSITION TO AUDIT AND SAY YEAH, IT WAS. IF THEY DIDN'T BELIEVE IT WAS, THEY WOULD BE IN A POSITION TO SAY HEY, HOLD ON A SECOND. THIS ISN'T REALLY LEGITIMATE. THEY CAN CALL THE MAYOR AND ASK QUESTIONS AND TALK ABOUT THIS. AT SOME POINT THEY WOULD SAY DO I AGREE WITH HIM CLAIMING THIS IS AN EXEMPTION.

>> I HAD MY HAND UP SEVERAL TIMES. I GUESS YOU DIDN'T SEE ME EARLIER. DID I HEAR YOU CORRECTLY EARLIER SAY SOMETHING LIKE

YOU DON'T BELIEVE THIS MAYOR IS DOING SOMETHING WRONG BUT FOR THE MAYORS DOWN THE ROAD, RIGHT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD ADDRESS TO THE CHAIR.

>> CONTRARY TO MY COLLEAGUES IN COUNCIL DISTRICT 3, I WAS VERY CAREFUL IN CHOOSING MY LANGUAGE. I WAS EVEN GOING TO GIVE EXAMPLES AND DECIDED NOT TO BECAUSE THAT MIGHT PAINT THIS MAYOR IN A BAD LIGHT. I'M CERTAINLY NOT MAKING ANY ACCUSATIONS AT ALL. I WOULD JUST FALL BACK AGAIN AND REPEAT THAT EACH OF US AS INDIVIDUALS ARE CALLED TO MAKE GOOD CHOICES. AND SOMETIMES IT'S DIFFICULT IF NO ONE EVER IS GOING TO LOOK AT WHAT YOU DID. IT MAKES IT EASIER TO MAKE MISTAKES. I THINK WHEN YOU SET A PERSON UP LIKE THAT IT'S NOT A GOOD IDEA. MY THOUGHT PROCESS IS FOR MAYORS INTO THE FUTURE, YES. IN FAIRNESS. JUST FOR EVERYBODY PAYING ATTENTION. THIS ORDINANCE WOULDN'T TAKE EFFECT. IF IT TOOK EFFECT TODAY IT WOULDN'T BE DISCLOSED FOR THREE YEARS. THE CURRENT MAYOR WOULD BE AT THE END OF HIS TERM BY THE TIME ANYTHING WAS EXPOSED. ANY WORRY OR FEAR THIS WOULD SOMEHOW BE HURTFUL TO THE CURRENT MAYOR, THIS WILL BE THREE YEARS FROM NOW.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> SO IN PERPETUITY WE SHOULD PUT ALL EGGS IN ONE BASKET AND TRUST WHOEVER COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE FUTURE DOWN THE ROAD, DOWN THE ROAD, CORRECT? WE WILL TRUST THE COMMITTEE ON

COMMITTEES, WHOEVER THOSE FOLKS MAY BE, 5 YEARS, 10 YEARS WILL BE DOING THIS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITY?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AGAIN I WANT TO GO BACK TO HOW UNUSUAL THIS WHOLE DISCUSSION IS. I STILL HAVEN'T HEARD OF ANY OTHER CITY THAT IS ANYTHING EVEN REMOTELY CLOSE TO THIS. IF I WAS IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN THE CITY AND I WAS INVITING SOMEBODY TO THE DERBY I WOULD FEEL OBLIGED TO TELL THEM, WE HAVE THIS RATHER UNUSUAL ORDINANCE AND THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES MAY OR MAY NOT ASSERT AN APPLICABLE EXEMPTION, SO THEY MAY OR MAY NOT TELL THE WORLD YOU WERE HERE THREE YEARS AGO AND NOW YOU CAN DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT TO COME TO THE DERBY. AND ESSENTIALLY WE ARE GETTING A PROSPECT FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT INTO A HUGE POLITICAL PROCESS. THEY DON'T KNOW WHO THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES IS. THEY JUST KNOW THERE'S SOME SORT OF BIG POLITICAL FIGHT IN LOUISVILLE KENTUCKY, THEY HAVE NEVER SEEN IT IN NASHVILLE OR INDIANAPOLIS OR CHARLOTTE AND I THINK I WOULD STAY HOME ON THE FIRST SATURDAY IN MAY. AND WE WILL LOSE AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY. THIS IS UNNECESSARY. AND IT WILL HURT OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN PARKER?

>> THINKING THROUGH THIS, I KIND OF LIKEN TO KENTUCKY ELECTION, IT HAS TO BE MADE PUBLIC WHO THE DONORS ARE. IT'S KIND

OF ALONG THE SAME VEIN OF TRANSPARENCY SO YOU CAN'T JUST HAVE A DONOR WHO DONATES A LARGE SUM OF CASH TO YOUR CAMPAIGN AND THAT DONOR IS NOT DISCLOSED. I'M NOT SO CERTAIN IF WE ARE GOING TO USE THE ANALOGY OF THE DERBY. THE DERBY IS VERY PUBLIC. YOU ARE GETTING, AS A BUSINESS PERSON ALMOST ALL OF THE PEOPLE IN THE GROUP. YOU ARE GETTING YOUR PICTURE WITH THE MAYOR, YOUR FACE MAY BE AT DERBY TIME ALL OVER THE WORLD SO IT'S ALREADY PUBLIC. YOU MAY NOT KNOW HOW MUCH IS SPENT. YOU MAY NOT KNOW IF IT'S \$9,000 OR WHETHER IT'S \$22,000. BUT TO USE THE, TO SAY THAT THIS IS GOING TO HURT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, I MEAN, BUSINESSES, THEY ARE PROUD TO SEND THEIR PEOPLE HERE. THEY ARE PROUD. THEY WEAR THEIR HATS, THEY WEAR THEIR SUITS AND GOOFY CLOTHES. THEY WANT TO BE SEEN AT THE DERBY. SO I'M JUST NOT BUYING THAT ANALOGY. IT ALMOST SOUNDS LUDICROUS TO ME. ESPECIALLY USING THE DERBY ANALOGY. SAY THE MAYOR TAKES SOMEBODY OUT TO DINNER. THEY ARE IN A PUBLIC PLACE. THEY ARE SEEN WITH THE MAYOR. THEY KNOW THEY ARE DOING BUSINESS. I THINK IT'S A MATTER OF TRANSPARENCY. I'M NOT BUYING THAT ARGUMENT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> I JUST WANT TO RESPOND TO MY COLLEAGUE FROM DISTRICT 24. THE ANSWER IS YES. SHE SAID DO YOU WANT TO TRUST FIVE MEMBERS OF THE METRO COUNCIL INSTEAD OF ONE PERSON, THE MAYOR. AND THE ANSWER IS YES. THE MAYOR HAS GOOD CALLS. HE IS THE ONE MAKING THE DECISIONS. SHE IS THE ONE MAKING THE DECISION. SO IF HE OR

SHE IS MAKING A DECISION, THAT PERSON MAY HAVE, MAY, THAT PERSON MAY HAVE CAUSE TO KEEP THAT SECRET. IF THAT PERSON HAS TO THREE YEARS LATER THEN, SHARE THAT WITH AN AUDIT GROUP OF FIVE ALSO-ELECTED OFFICIALS, ASK ME WHAT I TRUST THE FIVE ELECTED OFFICIALS WHO, THREE YEARS LATER, ARE SERVING IN AN AUDIT FUNCTION OR RATHER TRUST THE PERSON MAKING THE DECISION THAT WILL NEVER COME TO THE LIGHT OF DAY. I WILL TRUST MY FIVE COLLEAGUES ON THIS METRO COUNCIL EVERY SINGLE TIME. YES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I KNOW A REFERENCE WAS MADE EARLIER. I ASKED THE QUESTION ABOUT KEEPING THE MEETING SECRET AND I KNOW I GOT SOME CLARIFICATION ON THAT. MANY TIMES WE HAVE SAT IN MEETINGS. CASE IN POINT WE HAD AN EXPLORER PROGRAM WE TALKED ABOUT. SOMETHING IN THERE WAS NOT MADE PUBLIC AND PEOPLE'S NAMES WERE NOT IDENTIFIED. THAT WAS MY MAJOR CONCERN. I THINK THIS IS A MIDDLE GROUND. IF SOMEONE HAS A CONCERN ABOUT A TIME FRAME, MAYBE THAT COULD BE CHANGED. BUT AGAIN IT'S ABOUT ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY. WE SHOULD TRY TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AS A FORMER CHAIR OF THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE AS WELL, I HAVE LISTENED INTENTLY TO THE DISCUSSION TONIGHT. AND I HAVE TO AGREE WITH COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER. WE HAVE HAD BRIEFINGS. WE HAVE HAD

DISCUSSIONS WITH THE ADMINISTRATION ABOUT COMPANIES COMING.
NOTHING WAS DISCLOSED ABOUT, WHAT WAS THAT DEAL? WAS IT AMAZON?
WE NEVER HEARD ANY MORE AND I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD HAVE. THIS
STARTED AS A GOOD EFFORT. I THINK THE ADMINISTRATION CAME AROUND
WITH CHEERLEADERS WITH THE STAFF, AND I THINK WE GOT A LOT OF
INFORMATION WE NEEDED AND WANTED. BUT I THINK THIS IS GOING A
LITTLE BIT TOO FAR. I JUST CAN'T SUPPORT THAT, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN ACKERSON?

>> YES, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL THE QUESTION ON
THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE A SECOND? ALL IN
FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT FROM
COUNCILMAN KRAMER SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE
VOTING. VOTING IS CLOSING. AND THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 12 YES VOTES, 10 NO VOTES AND 4 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE CALLING OF THE QUESTION HAS FAILED.
COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER? SORRY, WE ARE STILL ON THE AMENDMENT.
SORRY. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT? COUNCILMAN
KRAMER? COUNCILMEMBER LEET?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'VE BEEN REALLY LISTENING TO
A LOT OF THIS CONVERSATION. I HAVE TO ADMIT I PROBABLY, AND I
DON'T KNOW EXCLUSIVELY IF I'M THE ONLY SMALL BUSINESS OWNER ON
COUNCIL. BUT I ALSO KNOW OUR OWN RULES REQUIRE US TO REPORT IF
WE ACCEPT A GIFT, THE LIMIT, I BELIEVE IS \$50. IT'S UNDER \$100.

WE DON'T ACCEPT GIFTS FOR GAMES UNLESS WE ARE ALL INVITED. WE DON'T ACCEPT GIFTS FOR SHOWS OR THEATER PERFORMANCES UNLESS WE ARE ALL INVITED. AND I THINK IT'S INTERESTING TO THINK THAT WE'RE GOING TO KILL OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OVER TWO DAYS OF THE YEAR. I THINK TO THE EXTENT COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH SAID EARLIER, WE SHOULD ASPIRE TO BE THAT COMMUNITY 365 DAYS A YEAR, OR 366 DURING A LEAP YEAR. BUT IN REALITY, WHAT KILLS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN THIS COMMUNITY AND IN THIS STATE IS OUR TAX STRUCTURE, OUR OCCUPATIONAL TAX. THERE ARE MUCH BIGGER ISSUES THAN WHETHER WE ARE REVIEWING THE NAME OF SOMEONE WHO ATTENDS A DERBY EVENT. TO THINK WE ARE GOING TO THROW UP THIS SQUIRREL MOMENT AND SAY THIS WILL BE THE END ALL OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IS JUST, I THINK, IT'S NOT REALITY. I CAN TELL YOU FROM SPEAKING FROM EXPERIENCE IN THE LAST 90 DAYS WE ANNOUNCED AN AGREEMENT WITH OPEN TABLE, PARTNERSHIP, WITH GOOGLE. WE DIDN'T DO THAT WITH ANY DERBY EVENTS. WE LOVE THE LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY. WE KNOW WHAT THE ASSETS ARE. WE HAVE BEEN HERE AND WE HAVE COMMITTED TO IT. CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT THAT KEEPS BUSINESSES HERE, SO THEY GROW THEIR BUSINESS HERE IS MUCH MORE BENEFICIAL THAN TRYING TO PERFORM FOR TWO DAYS A YEAR. AND I KNOW OUR ECONOMIC TEAM IS DOING THAT AND STRIVE FOR THAT AS WELL. I THINK WE ARE OVER THINKING WHAT THIS MIGHT DO. I'M SUPPORTIVE OF COUNCILMAN KRAMER'S AMENDMENT. AND I THINK IT MOVES US IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION FOR TRANSPARENCY WITH TAX PAYER DOLLARS. THE REALITY

IS, IF SOMEONE WANTS TO BRING SOMEONE HERE AS A PROSPECT, THERE WILL BE SOMEONE IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR TO MAKE SURE IT HAPPENS AND IT WON'T HAPPEN ON THE TAX PAYER DOLLAR. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON COUNCILMAN KRAMER'S AMENDMENT? ALL RIGHT. WE WILL HAVE A VOICE VOTE ON THIS.

>> WHAT HAPPENED TO THE PEDEN PART OF IT? IS IT THERE?

>> I HAVE MY OWN CLAUSE IN THERE, THAT'S REALLY IMPRESSIVE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: JUST SO WE ALL REMEMBER SINCE IT'S BEEN A WHILE, CAN YOU REPEAT THAT AGAIN SO WE ARE CLEAR?

>> THE PEDEN CLAUSE IS, BASICALLY SPECIFYING IT GOES TO THE MEMBERS OF THE METRO COUNCIL. COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE. THAT'S IT. IT TAKES OUT THE WHOLE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY PART.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? CAN YOU DO A ROLL CALL PLEASE? VOTING IS CLOSING, VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 14 YES VOTES, 9 NO, 3 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE AMENDMENT PASSES. NOW WE ARE BACK TO THE ORDINANCE. COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER?

>> WHAT IS THE TIME AT THIS POINT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE 26 MINUTES TO GO.

>> THANKS. ONE OF THE STICKING POINTS, THIS \$10,000. WE FOUND OUT THIS IS \$10,000 IN TOTALITY, NOT PER COMPANY. I WOULD

LIKE TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT TO MOVE \$10,000 TO \$50,000 AND THREE YEARS TO TEN YEARS.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION AND SECOND. OPEN FOR DISCUSSION. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> \$50,000 DOESN'T REALLY AFFECT THE DERBY, FOR EXAMPLE.

>> OFFER A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT.

>> I DON'T SUPPORT THIS SO I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO OFFER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN ACKERSON?

>> YES, SIR. AGAIN \$50,000, \$10,000. IF WE LOOK INTO THINGS, WE LOOK INTO THINGS. TEN YEARS. WHAT DOES TEN YEARS DO FOR ANY CHECKS AND BALANCES? IT DOES NOTHING. MAYBE FIVE YEARS. THAT SEEMS A LITTLE LONG BUT TEN YEARS DOES ABSOLUTELY NOTHING FOR THIS BODY TO LOOK BACK AND SAY THERE'S BEEN SOME PROBLEMS. THERE'S NO ROOM FOR CORRECTION. ALL IT DOES IS POTENTIALLY BLACK EYE THE CITY IF THERE IS A PROBLEM. LET'S HOPE THERE'S NEVER A PROBLEM. THINGS ARE REVIEWED AND AUDITED BY FOLKS. IF THERE'S A PROBLEM, WHAT DO WE DO, A DECADE SAY, YEAH, TEN YEARS AGO WE WISH WE COULD HAVE DONE THIS, BUT WE LET YOU DOWN. I THINK TEN YEARS IS TOO LONG. I THINK \$50,000, \$10,000, IF WE ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT THINGS AND BEING TRANSPARENT AND LOOKING FOR THE BEST. MAYOR FISCHER WOULD BE IN HIS LAST YEAR IN OFFICE. IT REALLY IS LOOKING FOR FUTURE ADMINISTRATIONS DOWN THE ROAD. I

WOULDN'T SUPPORT 10 YEARS AND \$50,000 AS AMENDMENTS. THANK YOU,
MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. QUESTION FOR THE COUNTY
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. IS THE MAYOR'S EXPENDITURE NOT SUBJECT TO
INTERNAL AUDIT LIKE EVERY OTHER DEPARTMENT?

>> METRO COUNCIL CAN REQUEST AN AUDIT OF VARIOUS METRO
GOVERNMENT BUSINESS.

>> BUT YOU KNOW, WE HAD THAT ROTATION, WHERE THE INTERNAL
AUDITOR DOES SO MANY DEPARTMENTS.

>> THE AUDITOR, COUNCIL COULD MAKE A REQUEST TO THE AUDITOR
TO AUDIT.

>> SO THEY ARE SUBJECT TO THE SAME AUDITS WE WOULD BE
SUBJECT TO?

>> YES. THAT WAS ONE OF MY QUESTIONS. AND JUST ONE COMMENT.
HAVING HAD SOME DIFFERENT TYPES OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN MY
AREA. AND THERE'S ONE GOING ON IN REDEVELOPMENT RIGHT NOW. THE
OWNER OF THE PROPERTY, HE IS PURCHASING ANOTHER PIECE OF
PROPERTY DOESN'T WANT TO DISCLOSE THE REDEVELOPMENT. THE REASON
HE DOESN'T WANT TO DISCLOSE IT OTHER THAN A FEW PEOPLE WHO KNOW,
HE DOESN'T WANT THE DEAL UNDER CUT. BECAUSE HE COULD LOSE A BIG
DEAL BY BEING UNDER CUT. THE SAME IF IT GOT OUT WHO WE WERE
COURTING, COULD IT NOT UNDERMINE THE DEAL AND COST US MORE MONEY
TO BRING THAT COMPANY INTO LOUISVILLE? AMAZON WERE ASKING THEIR

BIDS BE HELD SECRET TO OTHER CITIES WOULDN'T KNOW WHAT THEY WERE OFFERING AMAZON TO COME TO THEIR CITY. IT'S NO DIFFERENT THAN THE MAYOR ASKING US TO COOL THE HEELS OF THE PEOPLE THEY ARE TRYING TO GET TO COME IN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.
COUNCILWOMAN LEET?

>> I WOULD ACTUALLY, SINCE AMAZON WAS BROUGHT UP. THAT IS A GOOD EXAMPLE. THEY DID IT VERY MUCH IN THE PUBLIC IN A VERY SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME. AND MANY OF THE CITIES ACTUALLY PRESENTED WHAT THEIR PLAN WAS AND WHAT ECONOMIC INCENTIVES THEY OFFERED TO BE HERE. I THINK THAT IS A POOR EXAMPLE. THE 10 YEARS, YOU KNOW, IF WE WANTED TO BE SERIOUS ABOUT TERM LIMITS, WHICH I KNOW THERE ARE SOME ON THIS COUNCIL WHO WOULD LIKE TERM LIMITS OF TWO TERMS, THAT'S EIGHT YEARS. IT ONLY TOOK TWO DECADES FOR NASHVILLE AND INDIANAPOLIS TO OUT GROW US. IF WE ARE MOVING THAT SLOW OF A PACE, YOU KNOW, I GUESS COMPANIES SHOULD BE WORRIED ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN HERE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MR. CLERK, LET THE REPORT REFLECT THAT COUNCILWOMAN HAMILTON HAS THE CHAIR FOR A MOMENT.

>> MR. CLERK: SO NOTED.

>> NEXT IN THE QUEUE IS COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I WOULD SUPPORT AN AMENDMENT OF FIVE YEARS. I THINK WHAT WE HAVE TO DO IS TO BALANCE THE NEEDS OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO COME TO THE CITY WHILE PROTECTING AND

GUARANTYING OUR CONSTITUENTS. I THINK THE IDEA IS REALLY A JOKE, WE HAVE NO INTEREST REALLY DOING ANYTHING ABOUT TRANSPARENCY. I WOULD BE AMENABLE EXTENDING IT FROM THREE YEARS TO FIVE YEARS. I THINK I HEARD COUNCILWOMAN LEET EVEN SAY SOMETHING ABOUT EIGHT YEARS. BUT IN MY OPINION TEN YEARS IS A WASTE OF EVERYBODY'S TIME TO EVEN CONTINUE DEBATING THIS.

>> IS THAT A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?

>> YES.

>> WOULD COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER ENTERTAIN THAT?

>> YOU WANT TO JUST MOVE THE TEN TO FIVE?

>> YES.

>> SURE. YOU SHOULD HAVE ME IN THE CHAIR MORE. WHO ACCEPTED IT? ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS AMENDMENT?

>> ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. IF IT'S FIVE YEARS, I THINK IF IT'S COMPROMISE WE ARE LOOKING FOR, THAT'S ONE I COULD LIVE WITH. I'M STILL, I THINK THE AMENDMENT AS IT PERTAINS TO THE \$50,000 OR \$60,000 WHATEVER IT WAS VERSUS THE \$10,000 IS NOT ONE THAT INSPIRES THE IDEA WE ARE GOING HERE. IF WE ARE WILLING TO SAY FIVE YEARS IS AN ADEQUATE TIME WE OUGHT TO MAKE THIS ENCOMPASSING AND SAY EXPENDITURES OVER \$10,000. IF THE INTENT IS TO BE TRANSPARENT AND THIS IS SOMETHING WE THINK IS IMPORTANT AND IT'S IMPORTANT AT FIVE YEARS WE SHOULDN'T MAKE THAT A TOUGHER THRESHOLD FOR PEOPLE TO HIDE POTENTIALLY IN THE FUTURE

BY RAISING THE DOLLAR AMOUNT. I COULD SUPPORT THE FIVE YEARS. I DON'T THINK I CAN SUPPORT \$50,000 OR \$60,000 OR WHATEVER THE NUMBER THEY ARBITRARILY PULLED OUT JUST TO MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT. THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA AND THEN COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THIS BE SEPARATED. I WON'T BE SUPPORTING THE WAY IT IS, I'M NOT COMFORTABLE WITH \$50,000. SO IF IT'S SEPARATED THAT WOULD HELP WITH THE NUMBER OF YEARS.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN WOOLRIDGE?

>> THANK YOU MADAM PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I WAS GOING TO SAY PRETTY MUCH THE SAME THING THAT COUNCILMAN LANSHIMA SAID. I THOUGHT THE AMENDMENT WAS FOR FIVE YEARS. I DIDN'T HEAR A DOLLAR AMOUNT. BUT I THINK WE ARE STILL TALKING ABOUT THE \$10,000. IS THAT WHAT WE ARE SAYING?

>> COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER'S AMENDMENT IS ON THE FLOOR.

>> I WAS SPEAKING TO COUNCILWOMAN GREEN AND COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER'S AMENDMENT. WHERE THEY ARE ASKING FOR FIVE YEARS. BUT I DIDN'T HEAR THE DOLLAR AMOUNT. MY QUESTION IS ARE WE STILL DEALING WITH THE \$10,000?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN GREEN?

>> I THINK WHAT IS BEFORE US AND COUNCILWOMAN BUTLER'S AMENDMENT IS \$50,000, I WOULD BE DECIDEDLY COMFORTABLE IF WE

REDUCE IT DOWN TO \$25,000 AT FIVE YEARS AND \$25,000 WOULD GET MY SUPPORT. I THINK, I DO NOT KNOW IF SHE IS WILLING TO ACCEPT THE DOLLAR AMOUNT. MY CONCERN IS AT \$50,000 ALL WE ARE DOING IS TRYING TO HIDE SOMETHING. I THINK FIVE YEARS AND UPPING THE DOLLAR AMOUNT GETS US WHERE WE NEED TO GO TO AND IS A PRETTY GOOD COMPROMISE WITH PROTECTING THE INTEREST THAT PEOPLE HAVE WHILE ALSO TRYING TO PROVIDE CITIZENS WITH TRANSPARENCY.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I DON'T KNOW IF I WAS QUITE FINISHED. IS THERE ANY WAY THAT WE CAN JUST KIND OF SEPARATE THE MONEY FROM THE YEARS. I WOULD BE WILLING FOR THEM TO REPORT EVERY YEAR THE \$25,000 OR WHATEVER WE DECIDE ON. I HOPE I'M MAKING JUST A LITTLE BIT OF SENSE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WERE YOU ASKING THAT, A QUESTION OF COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER?

>> WHOEVER WANTS TO ANSWER IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER?

>> SO YOU'RE ASKING JUST FOR THE YEARS AND NOT THE MONEY?

>> I'M ASKING BOTH. I'M ASKING IF THEY COULD BE SEPARATED. IF WE ARE WORRIED SO MUCH ABOUT TRANSPARENCY MAYBE WE COULD ASK IN THIS ORDINANCE THAT MONEY BE REPORTED EACH YEAR.

>> WE REPORT THE MONEY EACH YEAR. THE MONEY IS REPORTED EACH YEAR HOW MUCH THEY SPEND. IT'S JUST WHO IT'S SPENT ON.

>> THAT'S THE PART I'M THINKING WE STILL DON'T NEED TO OUT AND I COULD GO ALONG PERHAPS WITH THE FIVE YEARS IF THAT'S ALL

WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET AND THE \$25,000 THAT WAS RECOMMENDED BY COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> I MEAN THAT'S DONE, THE AMOUNT IS DONE EVERY YEAR. IS THAT 25 IN TOTALITY OR 25 PER COMPANY THEY ARE TRYING TO WOO?

>> 25 IN TOTALITY.

>> SO WILL THEY GIVE NAMES EACH YEAR? HOW WILL THIS WORK? NAMES ONLY FIVE YEARS?

>> I'M OKAY WITH THAT, AS LONG AS THE \$25,000, I MEAN I'M SITTING HERE THINKING IF YOU HAVE FOUR COMPANIES COME IN. I'M NOT SURE WE SHOULD GIVE THEIR NAMES OUT. IF THEY HAVE 5-6 COMPANIES THERE PER \$10,000.

>> I SUBJECT UPPING IT TO \$25,000. 5-6 COMPANIES SPENDING \$10,000 IS NOT MUCH. \$2 MILLION IN REVENUE COMMISSION ANNUAL. I HAVE BEEN TOLD BECAUSE WE HAVE GONE SO LONG METRO TV IS RUNNING OUT OF SPACE ON THEIR HARD DRIVE. THEY HAVE TO RESET ANOTHER DRIVE THAT'S GOING TO TAKE 2-3 MINUTES. SO I'M GOING TO PAUSE THE CLOCK. LET'S BREAK IN PLACE FOR FOUR MINUTES. AND WE WILL HAVE A FOUR-MINUTE RECESS SO METRO TV CAN RESET. YOU CAN RUN TO THE RESTROOM TOO. [BREAK] ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THOSE NAMES WOULD NOT BE RELEASED TO THE PUBLIC.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL. THE ISSUE IS YES IT COULD. THE COMMITTEES COULD MAKE THAT DECISION FOR HIM OR HER SELF. THE ANSWER IS POTENTIALLY. NOT, IT LIKELY WILL NOT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> EACH INDIVIDUAL PERSON GETS TO MAKE THAT DECISION. IN THE OPPOSITION PARTY. REALLY POLITICIZING OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. NOT A GOOD IDEA.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, SIR. COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, I THINK COUNCILMEMBER IS RIGHT, ANYONE INVOLVED IN INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT LOOKING FOR PLACES TO LOCATE WILL LOOK AT THIS ORDINANCE AND SEE THAT RIGHT OFF THE TOP OF THEIR HEAD. WHO IS THIS COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES? WELL, IT'S TWO MEMBERS OF THE OPPOSING PARTY OF THE MAYOR, NO MATTER WHO IT IS. AND ANYONE OF THOSE PEOPLE CAN DO THIS AND RELEASE THIS. IT'S NOT A COMMITTEE VOTE. IN FACT, IT WOULDN'T EVEN BE A DISCUSSION, EXCEPT IN AN OPEN MEETING IF THERE WAS A DISCUSSION IN COMMITTEE. ANYONE COULD DO THIS. I THINK INDUSTRIAL PROSPECT WOULD LOOK AT THIS AND SAY THIS IS NOT WORTH MY TIME TO COME TO THE KENTUCKY DERBY. AND WE WILL BE GIVING UP A BIG OPPORTUNITY FOR US. YOU KNOW, IT'S VERY DISAPPOINTING. I THINK THEY WILL ALSO LOOK AT HOW IT GOT PASSED. AND I THINK THAT'S DISAPPOINTING TOO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. HEARING NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, ON THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCILMEMBER VITALIS AND COUNCILWOMAN

SEXTON SMITH, ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MR. CLERK, PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. VOTING IS CLOSING. VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: 14 YES VOTES, 9 NO VOTES AND 3 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE AMENDMENT PASSES. COUNCILMEMBER GREEN? IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER BUTLER?

>> SOMEONE SENT ME A QUOTE A WHILE AGO, I THOUGHT IT WAS GERMANE TO THIS, FROM HENRY FORD WHO SAID THE BIGGEST WASTE OF TIME IS TRYING TO CHANGE SOMETHING THAT DIDN'T NEED TO BE CHANGED IN THE FIRST PLACE. SO I JUST ASK YOU TO REMEMBER THAT WHEN YOU VOTE ON THIS ORDINANCE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? MR. CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE OPEN THE VOTING. VOTING IS CLOSING. THE VOTING IS CLOSED.

>> MR. CLERK: THERE ARE 12 YES VOTES, 12 NO VOTES AND 2 NOT VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE ORDINANCE FAILS. WE HAVE ONE MORE ITEM.

>> I PULL MY SPONSORSHIP OF THAT ITEM.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MR. PEDEN PULLS HIS SPONSORSHIP FOR THAT ITEM.

>> SO NOTED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I WOULD LIKE TO CONGRATULATE ALL OF OUR COUNCILMEMBERS WHO ARE LEAVING. WE WANTED TO KEEP YOU. WE DID

NOT WANT TO LET YOU GO. OUR NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS. I MEAN, YEAH, NEW BUSINESS.

>> MR. CLERK: [READING NEW BUSINESS] LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO BUDGET COMMITTEE AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE MODIFICATION OF THE TERMS OF TWO MORTGAGE LOAN AGREEMENTS BETWEEN NEW DIRECTIONS HOUSING CORPORATION AND LOUISVILLE/ JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT FOR BRANDEIS APARTMENTS. 73. O-493-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY DAVID JAMES (D-6) O-493-18 V.1 121318 LOAN FORGIVENESS TO NDHC - BRANDEIS APARTMENTS SJM-SD 12-13-18.PDF O-493-18 V.1 121318 ATTACH.PDF ATTACHMENTS: LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO COMMUNITY AFFAIRS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$76,000 FROM METRO UNITED WAY TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES. 74. R-219-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY BARBARA SHANKLIN (D-2) ATTACHMENTS: R-219-18 V.1 121318 RES TO ACCEPT FUNDS METRO UNITED WAY - RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES.PDF LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO HEALTH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT FUNDING UP TO \$100,000 FROM THE HUMANA FOUNDATION TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH & WELLNESS. 75. R-220-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY BARBARA SHANKLIN (D-2), ADDITIONAL BRANDON COAN (D-8) AND ADDITIONAL DAVID JAMES (D-6) R-220-18 V.1 121318 ADDED SPONSORS ACCEPT FUNDING FROM HUMANA THRU PW&A.PDF R-220-18 V.1 121318 ACCEPT FUNDING FROM METRO COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA - FINAL DECEMBER 13,

2018 A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR LOUISVILLE METRO PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS CONCERNING EMPLOYMENT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS - (UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND INFORMATION SERVICES - \$123,077.00). 76. R-217-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY PAT MULVIHILL (D-10) R-217-18 V.1 121318 UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND INFORMATION SERVICES.PDF R-217-18 ATTACH UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND INFORMATION SERVICES.PDF ATTACHMENTS: A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR YOUTH DETENTION SERVICES CONCERNING MENTAL HEALTH AND COMMUNITY REENTRY SUPPORT SERVICES FOR JUVENILES - (UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE - \$30,000.00). 77. R-221-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY PAT MULVIHILL (D-10) R-221-18 V.1 121318 UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND COMMUNITY REENTRY SUPPORT YDS.PDF R-221-18 ATTACH UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND COMMUNITY REENTRY SUPPORT YDS.PDF ATTACHMENTS: LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE MODIFICATION OF THE TERMS OF TWO MORTGAGE LOAN AGREEMENTS BETWEEN NEW DIRECTIONS HOUSING CORPORATION AND LOUISVILLE/ JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT

FOR BRANDEIS APARTMENTS. 78. O-493-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY DAVID JAMES (D-6) O-493-18 V.1 121318 LOAN FORGIVENESS TO NDHC - BRANDEIS APARTMENTS SJM-SD 12-13-18.PDF O-493-18 V.1 121318 ATTACH.PDF ATTACHMENTS: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EARLY FORGIVENESS OF A FORGIVABLE LOAN APPROVED FOR IMPROVEMENTS AT PURITAN APARTMENTS. 79. R-226-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY DAVID JAMES (D-6) ATTACHMENTS: R-226-18 V.1 121318 EARLY FORGIVENESS OF FORGIVABLE LOAN PUTITAN APARTMENTS.PDF LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT PAGE 26 PRINTED ON 12/13/2018 METRO COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA - FINAL DECEMBER 13, 2018 LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PLANNING, ZONING AND ANNEXATION COMMITTEE AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-4 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO OR-3 OFFICE/RESIDENTIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9101 AND 9104R LANTANA DRIVE CONTAINING 4.45 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 18ZONE1045). 80. O-487-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY MADONNA FLOOD (D-24) O-487-18 V.1 121318 REZONING FROM R4 TO OR3 AT 9101-9104R LANTANA DR 18ZONE1045.PDF 18ZONE1045 PC MINUTES.PDF 18ZONE1045 OTHER MINUTES.PDF 18ZONE1045 STAFF REPORTS.PDF 18ZONE1045 LEGAL DESCRIPTION.PDF 18ZONE1045 APPLICANT BOOKLET.PDF 18ZONE1045 JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT.PDF 18ZONE1045 PUBLIC MATERIALS.PDF 18ZONE1045 PLAN.PDF ATTACHMENTS: LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT THE VICTIMS OF CRIME ACT GRANT FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,000 FOR TRAINING AND OPERATING

EXPENSES WITHIN THE VICTIM SERVICES UNIT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT. 81. R-222-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY JESSICA GREEN (D-1) ATTACHMENTS: R-222-18 V.1 121318 MAYOR ACCEPT \$50K VICTIMS OF CRIME ACT GRANT FROM USDOJ FOR VICTIM SERVICES UNIT.PDF LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PUBLIC WORKS, FACILITIES, TRANSPORTATION AND ACCESSIBILITY COMMITTEE A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$305,600 OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET FOR THE HUBBARDS LANE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT TO BE ADMINISTERED BY PUBLIC WORKS & ASSETS. 82. R-218-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY BILL HOLLANDER (D-9) R-218-18 V.1 121318 ACCEPT FUNDING FROM KYTC FOR HUBBARDS LN IMPROVEMENT PROJECT.PDF RES \$305,600 KYTC HUBBARDS LN ROW PHASE SUPP PWA DEC2018.PDF ATTACHMENTS: LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT PAGE 27 PRINTED ON 12/13/2018 METRO COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA - FINAL DECEMBER 13, 2018 AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS BE MET BEFORE A PERMIT MAY BE ISSUED TO CONTRACTORS. 83. O-491-18 SPONSORS: PRIMARY PAT MULVIHILL (D-10) AND PRIMARY CINDI FOWLER (D-14) ATTACHMENTS: O-491-18 V.1 121318 ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS BEFORE PERMIT ISSUED.PDF