

# Planning Commission

## Staff Report

April 16, 2015



<b>Case No:</b>	14zone1061
<b>Request:</b>	<b>Change in zoning from R-6/EZ-1 to EZ-1</b>
<b>Project Name:</b>	<b>Cane Run Road Facility</b>
<b>Location:</b>	<b>3650 Cane Run Road</b>
<b>Owner:</b>	<b>Tuffli Family Trust</b>
<b>Applicant:</b>	<b>Tuffli Family Trust</b>
<b>Representative:</b>	<b>Mindel Scott and Assoc. &amp; Bardenwerper Talbot and Roberts PLLC.</b>
<b>Jurisdiction:</b>	<b>Louisville Metro</b>
<b>Council District:</b>	<b>1-Jessica Green</b>
<b>Case Manager:</b>	<b>Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II</b>

### REQUEST

- Change in zoning from R-6 to EZ-1
- District Development plan

### CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

Existing Zoning District: R-6/EZ-1  
 Proposed Zoning District: EZ-1  
 Existing Form District: TW  
 Existing Use: Vacant  
 Proposed Use: Warehouse  
 Minimum Parking Spaces Required: 16  
 Maximum Parking Spaces Allowed: 25  
 Parking Spaces Proposed: 21

The proposal is for the construction of a 15,500 sf building on a vacant lot along Cane Run Road. Mature trees exist on the mainly flat site.

### LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

	Land Use	Zoning	Form District
<b>Subject Property</b>			
<b>Existing</b>	Vacant	EZ-1/R-6	TW
<b>Proposed</b>	Warehouse/Industrial	EZ-1	TW
<b>Surrounding Properties</b>			
<b>North</b>	Church	EZ-1	TW
<b>South</b>	Warehouse	EZ-1	TW
<b>East</b>	Industrial	EZ-1	TW
<b>West</b>	Industrial	EZ-1	TW

### PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE

None.

## INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS

None received.

## APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020  
Land Development Code

## STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: *KRS Chapter 100.213*

1. The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies Cornerstone 2020; **OR**
2. The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; **OR**
3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

## STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Traditional Workplace Form District

A Traditional Workplace is a form characterized by predominantly small to medium scale industrial and employment uses. The streets are typically narrow, in a grid pattern and often have alleys. Buildings have little or no setback from the street. Traditional workplaces are often closely integrated with residential areas and allow a mixture of industrial, commercial and office uses. New housing opportunities should be allowed as well as civic and community uses.

Traditional workplaces should be served by public transportation. Because of the close proximity to residential areas, parking should be encouraged to be located mostly off street and behind buildings. There should be adequate buffering of nearby neighbors from noise, odors, lighting and similar conditions.

In order to encourage reinvestment, rehabilitation and redevelopment in these areas, flexible and creative site design should be encouraged along with a respect for the traditional pattern of development in the surrounding area.

The proposal for EZ-1 zoning is supported by the Comprehensive Plan. The proposal follows the requirements for new construction within the Traditional Workplace Form District as indicated in the Land Development Code.

All other agency comments should be addressed to demonstrate compliance with the remaining Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

A checklist is attached to the end of this staff report with a more detailed analysis. The Louisville Metro Planning Commission is charged with making a recommendation to the Louisville Metro Council regarding the appropriateness of this zoning map amendment. The Louisville Metro Council has zoning authority over the property in question.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR DDP

- a. The conservation of natural resources on the property proposed for development, including: trees and other living vegetation, steep slopes, water courses, flood plains, soils, air quality, scenic views, and historic sites;

STAFF: There are no natural features evident on the site. Landscaped areas will be created within the buffers and around the detention area.

- b. The provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation both within the development and the community;

STAFF: Vehicles and pedestrians are both provided for within the development. A sidewalk is proposed along the frontage.

- c. The provision of sufficient open space (scenic and recreational) to meet the needs of the proposed development;

STAFF: Open space for the site is provided in the form of LBAs and a large area to the rear of the site where a detention/infiltration basin is proposed.

- d. The provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community;

STAFF: MSD has no issues with the proposal and have preliminarily approved the proposal.

- e. The compatibility of the overall site design (location of buildings, parking lots, screening, landscaping) and land use or uses with the existing and projected future development of the area;

STAFF: The site design meets the requirements of the LDC.

- f. Conformance of the development plan with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

STAFF: The proposal follows the requirements for new construction within the Traditional Workplace Form District as indicated in the Land Development Code. The proposal also meets the guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan.

### TECHNICAL REVIEW

- All agency comments have been addressed.

### STAFF CONCLUSIONS

The proposal for EZ-1 zoning is supported by the Comprehensive Plan. The proposal follows the requirements for new construction within the Traditional Workplace Form District as indicated in the Land Development Code.

Based upon the information in the staff report, the testimony and evidence provided at the public hearing, the Planning Commission must determine if the proposal is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan; OR the

existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR if there have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.


**NOTIFICATION**

Date	Purpose of Notice	Recipients
3/12/15	Hearing before LD&T on 3/26/15	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 1 Notification of Development Proposals
4/2/15	Hearing before PC on 4/16/15	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing Subscribers of Council District 1 Notification of Development Proposals
4/1/15	Hearing before PC	Sign Posting on property
4/4/15	Hearing before PC	Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Zoning Map
2. Aerial Photograph
3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist
4. Site Inspection Report
5. Proposed Binding Elements

1. **Zoning Map**



2. Aerial Photograph



### 3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist

- + Exceeds Guideline
- ✓ Meets Guideline
- Does Not Meet Guideline
- +/- More Information Needed
- NA Not Applicable

#### Traditional Workplace: Non-Residential

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
1	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.9: The proposal respects the existing grid street pattern and provides for alley access if consistent with adjacent development.	✓	The proposal does not change the pattern of the streets or alleys.
2	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.9: The proposal supports access to public transportation.	✓	A sidewalk and pedestrian connection to the building is proposed to support pedestrian activity as well as provide for transit access.
3	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.9: The proposal includes on-street or rear parking areas.	✓	The proposal provides parking off street and to the side of the principal structure.
4	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.9: The proposal provides adequate buffering between potentially incompatible non-residential uses where necessary.	✓	The proposal provides the required buffering between the site and the church to the north.
5	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form	B.9: The proposal includes buildings that have little or no setback from the street, and that are integrated with surrounding housing, if present.	✓	The proposals building is within the minimum and maximum setback.
6	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.2: The proposed building materials increase the new development's compatibility.	✓	The building materials are similar to other building materials in the area.
7	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.4/5/6/7: The proposal does not constitute a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area, or demonstrates that despite such an expansion, impacts on existing residences (including traffic, parking, signs, lighting, noise, odor and stormwater) are appropriately mitigated.	✓	The proposal is not a non-residential expansion into a residential area.
8	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.5: The proposal mitigates any potential odor or emissions associated with the development.	✓	APCD has no issues with the proposal.
9	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.6: The proposal mitigates any adverse impacts of its associated traffic on nearby existing communities.	✓	Transportation Planning has not indicated any adverse impacts of the proposal on traffic.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
10	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.8: The proposal mitigates adverse impacts of its lighting on nearby properties, and on the night sky.	✓	The lighting will meet LDC requirements.
11	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.11: If the proposal is a higher density or intensity use, it is located along a transit corridor AND in or near an activity center.	✓	The proposed high intensity zoning district is located on a transit corridor and in an existing industrial activity center.
12	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.21: The proposal provides appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions, or setback requirements.	✓	A buffer is provided between the site and the church site to the north.
13	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.22: The proposal mitigates the impacts caused when incompatible developments unavoidably occur adjacent to one another by using buffers that are of varying designs such as landscaping, vegetative berms and/or walls, and that address those aspects of the development that have the potential to adversely impact existing area developments.	✓	A buffer is provided between the site and the church site to the north.
14	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.23: Setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights are compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district standards.	✓	The setbacks in the area are mixed due to the construction of buildings prior to the TWFD regulations. The proposal meets form district standards.
15	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.24: Parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas are designed to minimize adverse impacts of lighting, noise and other potential impacts, and that these areas are located to avoid negatively impacting motorists, residents and pedestrians.	✓	Parking is located to the side of the primary structure which follows form district standards. Pedestrians and motorists are not negatively impacted by this design in the TWFD.
16	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.24: The proposal includes screening and buffering of parking and circulation areas adjacent to the street, and uses design features or landscaping to fill gaps created by surface parking lots. Parking areas and garage doors are oriented to the side or back of buildings rather than to the street.	✓	The parking is buffered. Overhead doors are located to the rear of the site.



#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
17	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.25: Parking garages are integrated into their surroundings and provide an active, inviting street-level appearance.	NA	A parking garage is not proposed.
18	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility	A.28: Signs are compatible with the form district pattern and contribute to the visual quality of their surroundings.	✓	Signs will meet LDC requirements.
19	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space	A.2/3/7: The proposal provides open space that helps meet the needs of the community as a component of the development and provides for the continued maintenance of that open space.	✓	Open space for the site is provided in the form of LBAs and a large area to the rear of the site where a detention/infiltration basin is proposed.
20	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space	A.4: Open space design is consistent with the pattern of development in the Neighborhood Form District.	NA	The proposal is not in the NFD.
21	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 4: Open Space	A.5: The proposal integrates natural features into the pattern of development.	✓	There are no natural features evident on the site. Landscaped areas will be created within the buffers and around the detention area.
22	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources	A.1: The proposal respects the natural features of the site through sensitive site design, avoids substantial changes to the topography and minimizes property damage and environmental degradation resulting from disturbance of natural systems.	✓	There are no natural features evident on the site. Landscaped areas will be created within the buffers and around the detention area.
23	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources	A.2/4: The proposal includes the preservation, use or adaptive reuse of buildings, sites, districts and landscapes that are recognized as having historical or architectural value, and, if located within the impact area of these resources, is compatible in height, bulk, scale, architecture and placement.	✓	The site has no cultural or historical value.
24	Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources	A.6: Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes with the potential for severe erosion.	✓	Soils are not an issue with the proposal.
25	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.1: Limit land uses in workplace districts to those land uses necessary to meet the needs of the industrial subdivision or workplace district and their employees.	✓	The redevelopment of this site will add new jobs to the existing industrial area.
26	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.3: Encourage redevelopment, reinvestment and rehabilitation in the downtown where it is consistent with the form district pattern.	NA	The proposal is not located within a downtown.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
27	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.4: Encourage industries to locate in industrial subdivisions or adjacent to existing industry to take advantage of special infrastructure needs.	✓	The proposed industrial zoning is located within an existing industrial area.
28	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.6: Locate retail commercial development in activity centers. Locate uses generating large amounts of traffic on a major arterial, at the intersection of two minor arterials or at locations with good access to a major arterial and where the proposed use will not adversely affect adjacent areas.	✓	EZ-1 permits commercial. The area is mainly an existing EZ-1 zoned area with the potential for both industrial and commercial uses. Cane Run Road is a minor arterial which connects to Ralph Lane and the Expressway a couple of miles south of the site.
29	Marketplace Guideline 6: Economic Growth and Sustainability	A.8: Require industrial development with more than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street, preferably in close proximity to an expressway interchange. Require industrial development with less than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street.	✓	The proposed industrial is located along an arterial.
30	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.1/2: The proposal will contribute its proportional share of the cost of roadway improvements and other services and public facilities made necessary by the development through physical improvements to these facilities, contribution of money, or other means.	✓	Roadway improvements are not part of this proposal.
31	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.3/4: The proposal promotes mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian use and provides amenities to support these modes of transportation.	✓	All types of transportation is provided for with the proposal due to the proposed sidewalk and pedestrian connection to the building.
32	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.6: The proposal's transportation facilities are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. The proposal includes at least one continuous roadway through the development, adequate street stubs, and relies on cul-de-sacs only as short side streets or where natural features limit development of "through" roads.	✓	Future connectivity is indicated should the adjacent properties redevelop.
33	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.9: The proposal includes the dedication of rights-of-way for street, transit corridors, bikeway and walkway facilities within or abutting the development.	✓	Transportation Planning did not indicate the need for dedication of ROW.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
34	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.10: The proposal includes adequate parking spaces to support the use.	✓	Adequate parking is being provided.
35	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 7: Circulation	A.13/16: The proposal provides for joint and cross access through the development and to connect to adjacent development sites.	✓	Cross access is being provided.
36	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design	A.8: Adequate stub streets are provided for future roadway connections that support and contribute to appropriate development of adjacent land.	NA	No new roadways are being created with the proposal.
37	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design	A.9: Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density if such access would create a significant nuisance.	✓	Access to the site is by way of a public ROW.
38	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 8: Transportation Facility Design	A.11: The development provides for an appropriate functional hierarchy of streets and appropriate linkages between activity areas in and adjacent to the development site.	NA	No new roadways are being created with the proposal.
39	Mobility/Transportation Guideline 9: Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit	A.1/2: The proposal provides, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users around and through the development, provides bicycle and pedestrian connections to adjacent developments and to transit stops, and is appropriately located for its density and intensity.	✓	All types of transportation is provided for with the proposal due to the proposed sidewalk and pedestrian connection to the building.
40	Livability/Environment Guideline 10: Flooding and Stormwater	The proposal's drainage plans have been approved by MSD, and the proposal mitigates negative impacts to the floodplain and minimizes impervious area. Solid blue line streams are protected through a vegetative buffer, and drainage designs are capable of accommodating upstream runoff assuming a fully-developed watershed. If streambank restoration or preservation is necessary, the proposal uses best management practices.	✓	MSD has no issues with the proposal.
41	Livability/Environment Guideline 12: Air Quality	The proposal has been reviewed by APCD and found to not have a negative impact on air quality.	✓	APCD has no issues with the proposal.

#	Cornerstone 2020 Plan Element	Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element	Staff Finding	Staff Comments
42	Livability/Environment Guideline 13: Landscape Character	A.3: The proposal includes additions and connections to a system of natural corridors that can provide habitat areas and allow for migration.	✓	There are no natural features evident on the site. Landscaped areas will be created within the buffers and around the detention area.
43	Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure	A.2: The proposal is located in an area served by existing utilities or planned for utilities.	✓	Existing or planned utilities will serve the site.
44	Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure	A.3: The proposal has access to an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire-fighting purposes.	✓	LWC has no issues with the proposal.
45	Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure	A.4: The proposal has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams.	✓	The Health Department has no issues with the proposal.

4. **Site Inspection Report**

**Site Inspection Committee Report**

**Date:** 4/7/15

**Case Number:** 14ZONE1061

**Project:** Cane Run Rd facility

**Address:** 3650 Cane Run Rd

**Attendees:** Jeff Brown

**Case Manager:** Julia Williams

**Observations:**

**Describe subject property**

- Former trailer park property, now vacant

**Describe surrounding area**

- Surrounded completely by commercial and industrial sites

**Questions/ Concerns:**

1. Transit stop improvements at the existing bus stop in front of the site.

## 5. Proposed Binding Elements

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. The development shall not exceed 16,300 square feet of gross floor area.
3. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
4. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
5. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
  - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Louisville Metro Department of Inspections, Permits and Licenses, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
  - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
  - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
  - d. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
6. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
7. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.
8. The property owner shall provide a cross over access easement if the property to the north and south are ever redeveloped. A copy of the signed easement agreement shall be provided to Planning Commission staff upon request.
9. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the April 16, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.