MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING September 19, 2018

A meeting of the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee was held on September 19, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. at the Old Jail Building, located at 514 W. Liberty Street, Louisville, KY 40202.

Commissioners present:

Rich Carlson, Vice Chair Jeff Brown Emma Smith

Commissioners absent:

David Tomes, Chair Donald Robinson

Staff members present:

Joseph Reverman, Assistant Director, Planning & Design Services Brian Davis, Planning Manager Jay Luckett, Planner I Dante St. Germain, Planner I Steve Hendrix, Planning Coordinator John Carroll, Legal Counsel Beth Stuber, Transportation Chris Cestaro, Management Assistant

The following matters were considered

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

September 5, 2018 DRC Meeting Minutes

00:05:03 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **APPROVE** the minutes of its meeting conducted on September 5, 2018.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Brown, Smith, and Carlson.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18WAIVER1031

Request:

Waiver to allow the base of the sign to be 50% of the width of the

sign rather than the required 80%. - REQUEST WITHDRAWN BY

APPLICANT

Project Name:

O'Reilly Auto Parts 8717 Westport Road

Location: Owner(s):

Otte Family Limited Partnership

Applicant:

Louisville Sign Company

Representative:

Debbie Brent

Jurisdiction:

Louisville Metro 7 – Angela Leet

Council District:

Case Manager:

Jay Luckett, Planner I

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

00:05:39 Jay Luckett explained that this case has been withdrawn by the applicant, who will instead submit a sign that is compliant with the Land Development Code.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

No one spoke.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

No one spoke.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

The Waiver application was withdrawn and no action was taken on this case.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 17ZONE1050

Request:

Amenity Area Review

Project Name:

Louisville City FC

Location:

237-243, 249-251, 255-257, 261, 267-275, & 270 North Campbell

Street

250, 350, 375, & 1080 Adams Street

214, 225-229, 249-257, 261-265, & 271 Mill Street

200, 203/203R Cabel Street 275 North Shelby Street

Owner(s):

Louisville Metro Government

Applicant:

Louisville City FC

Representative:

Bardenwerper, Talbott & Roverts PLLC

Kent Gootee - Mindel Scott & Associates

Jurisdiction:

Louisville Metro

Council District:

4 - Barbara Sexton Smith

Case Manager:

Julia Williams, AICP, Planning Supervisor

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

Brian Davis presented the case on behalf of Julia Williams (see staff report and 00:06:22 recording for detailed presentation.)

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Kent Gootee, Mindel Scott & Associates, 5151 Jefferson Boulevard, Louisville, KY 40219

Lee Hasken, Hollenbach-Oakley, 1337 Majesterial Drive, Louisville, KY 40223

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

Kent Gootee, the applicant's representative, presented the applicant's case (see 00:09:25 recording for full presentation.) Using the site plan, he reviewed the proposed amenity areas in detail.

In response to a question from Commissioner Brown, Mr. Gootee said none of the amenity areas are within the public right-of-way.

Commissioner Carlson asked if these amenity areas would only be accessible 00:12:21 during events scheduled at the stadium. Lee Hasken, an applicant's representative, said that,

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 17ZONE1050

during games, the areas will only be accessible from the stadium. On non-game days, there are two gates that will be open to the public.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

No one spoke.

The following spoke neither for nor against this request:

No one spoke.

Deliberation

00:13:30

The Commissioners concurred that the proposal is justified.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

00:13:44 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis and the testimony presented today, was adopted:

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **APPROVE** the requested Amenity Areas for the Louisville FC athletic facility site as presented today.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18MINORPLAT1122

Request: Minor plat to create two lots from 1 lot and dedicate ROW

Project Name: 1

17500 Turtle Creek Trail 17500 Turtle Creek Trail

Location: Owner:

Eberle, James A. and Mary Jo

Applicant:

Sanders, Jerrold H.

Representative:

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro
Council District: 19 – Julie Denton

Case Manager:

Jay Luckett, Planner I

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

00:14:19 Jay Luckett presented the case (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

00:15:43 In response to a question from Commissioner Brown, Mr. Luckett said it was his understanding that Metro Transportation Planning has given preliminary approval of the plat. Beth Stuber, with Metro Transportation Planning, said that there will be no new access created; the lot/s will be accessed off an existing, recorded access easement. Commissioner Brown said it appears that the asphalt drive goes outside the easement. He expressed some concern about the width of the access and asked if the Fire Department had reviewed this. Mr. Luckett said the Eastwood Fire Department has approved the plat.

00:18:35 After some discussion, Mr. Luckett said the stamped plan does not show any comments from the Fire Department, and showed the stamped plan to the Commissioners.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

James and Mary Jo Eberle, 17500 Turtle Creek Trail, Louisville, KY 40245

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

O0:19:10 James Eberle, the applicant, said he is in the process of getting a new water line brought to the edge of his property. This has to be approved through the Louisville Water Company. He said that the residents back there want fire service. He said there is a large lake on his property which has a fire hydrant in it and can be accessed by fire trucks. Commissioner Carlson asked Mr. Eberle how far away he was from the nearest fire hydrant. Mr. Eberle said about a half mile. He wants a fire hydrant back there also.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18MINORPLAT1122

00:20:45 Commissioner Brown asked if the drive was 18-feet wide. Mr. Eberle said yes. Commissioner Brown asked that the applicant come back in two weeks after a surveyor confirms width and clearance of road and notes that on the plat.

The following spoke in opposition to this request: No one spoke.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

00:25:19 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **CONTINUE** this case to the <u>October 3, 2018 DRC meeting</u> to allow the applicant time to have a representative [surveyor] confirm the width and clearance of the access road.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18WAIVER1035

Request: Waiver to not provide a sidewalk for the construction of a new

single family home

Project Name: Triplett Court Sidewalk

Location: 2712 Triplett Court
Owner: Christopher Johnston
Applicant: Christopher Johnston
Representative: Christopher Johnston

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro
Council District: 12 – Rick Blackwell

Case Manager: Jay Luckett, Planner I

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

00:25:58 Jay Luckett presented the case (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

00:27:35 In response to a question from Commissioner Smith, Mr. Luckett said the property is not in a floodplain. He said aerial photographs seem to indicate possible drainage issues, particularly on the bigger lot next to the site.

00:28:23 Commissioner Carlson asked how many homes are along this street? Mr. Luckett said there are more than 20, and gave some more detail about the lot sizes and when the homes were platted out and built.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Chris Johnston, 2712 Triplett Court, Louisville, KY 40216

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

00:29:19 Christopher Johnston, the applicant, said he also owns the property next to the subject site which is showing water retention. This retention problem has been corrected. This was a drainage issue which has been fixed. He said the rear of the properties are in a local floodplain zone, but the frontages are not.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

No one spoke.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18WAIVER1035

The following spoke neither for nor against this request: No one spoke.

Deliberation:

00:30:10

The Commissioners concur that the proposal is justified.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

Waiver

00:31:30 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis and evidence and testimony heard today, was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners, as there are currently no existing sidewalks along either side of Triplett Ct going back to the preceding intersection; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 7, Policy 1 states that developments should be evaluated for their impact on the street and roadway system and to ensure that those who propose new developments bear or reasonably share in the costs of the public facilities and services made necessary by development. Guideline 9, Policy 1 states that new development should provide for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users with sidewalks along the streets of all developments where appropriate. Most of the lots on Triplett Ct are developed with single family homes, and there are no sidewalks. There is also very little sidewalk network on the nearest intersecting collector level roadway, Lower Hunters Trace; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant, as all other provisions of the Land Development Code will be met on the subject site; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant, as they would be forced to construct an impractical sidewalk that would likely never connect to any useable pedestrian network; now, therefore be it

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18WAIVER1035

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **APPROVE** the requested Waiver of Land Development Code section 5.8.1.B to not construct a sidewalk for a new single family home.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

Request: Revised Detailed District Development Plan with associated

Waivers to construct a new two-story addition and expanded

parking lot

Project Name:

New Level Construction 11515 Commonwealth Drive New Level Holdings LLC

Owner: Applicant:

Location:

New Level Holdings LLC

Representative:

Land Design & Development

Jurisdiction:

City of Jeffersontown 20 - Stuart Benson

Council District: Case Manager:

Dante St. Germain, Planner II

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

00:32:07 Dante St. Germain presented the case (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

00:36:15 In response to a question from Commissioner Carlson, Ms. St. Germain clarified earlier statements about no existing Detailed District Development Plan binding elements on the property (see recording for detailed explanation.) She said that General Plan binding elements do apply.

In response to a question from Commissioner Brown, Ms. St. Germain said 00:37:55 sidewalks are usually required but the City of Jeffersontown has agreed to accept a fee-in-lieu.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Sarah Beth Sammons, Land Design & Development, 503 Washburn Avenue, Louisville, KY 40222

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

Sarah Beth Sammons, the applicant's representative, presented the applicant's case and showed a Power Point presentation (see recording for detailed presentation.)

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

No one spoke.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

The following spoke neither for nor against this request: No one spoke.

Deliberation:

00:43:31 The Commissioners concurred that the proposal is justified.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

Waivers:

Waiver #1 - from City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code section 10.2.4.B to allow a vehicular use area to encroach into the required property perimeter Landscape Buffer Area (LBA) along the north and west property lines.

Waiver #2 - from City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code section 10.2.4.B to allow a utility easement to overlap the property perimeter LBA by more than 50% along the east property line.

Waiver #3 - from City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code section 10.2.4.B to allow a retaining wall to encroach into the property perimeter LBA along the south property line, and a dumpster to encroach into the property perimeter LBA along the north property line.

00:43:51 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis, and testimony heard today, was adopted:

(Waiver #1) WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners as sufficient LBA is being maintained to adequately buffer the adjoining properties, with relatively small encroachments; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 3, Policy 9 of Cornerstone 2020 calls for protection of the character of residential areas, roadway corridors and public spaces from visual intrusions and mitigation when appropriate. Guideline 3, Policies 21 and 22 call for appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density, and mitigation of the impact caused when incompatible developments occur adjacent to one another through the use of landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms and setback requirements to address issues such as outdoor lighting, lights from automobiles, illuminated signs, loud noise, odors, smoke, automobile exhaust or other noxious smells, dust and dirt, litter, junk, outdoor storage, and visual nuisances. Guideline 3, Policy 24 states that parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas should be designed to minimize impacts from noise, lights and other potential impacts, and that parking and circulation areas adjacent to streets should be screened or buffered. Guideline 13, Policy 4 calls for ensuring appropriate landscape design standards for different land uses within urbanized, suburban, and rural areas. Guideline 13,

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

Policy 6 calls for screening and buffering to mitigate adjacent incompatible uses. The intent of landscape buffer areas is to create suitable transitions where varying forms of development adjoin, to minimize the negative impacts resulting from adjoining incompatible land uses, to decrease storm water runoff volumes and velocities associated with impervious surfaces, and to filter airborne and waterborne pollutants; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the encroachment is relatively small and is the minimum encroachment necessary to allow access to the proposed dumpster and to allow adequate maneuvering space in the front of the property; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation may deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant by reducing the amount of parking on the property below the amount required by the Land Development Code; and

(Waiver #2) WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners as the LBA is being maintained at an adequate width, with the overlap unlikely to be noticed by the adjacent property owners; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 3, Policy 9 calls for protection of the character of residential areas, roadway corridors and public spaces from visual intrusions and mitigation when appropriate. Guideline 3, Policies 21 and 22 call for appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density, and to mitigate the impact caused when incompatible developments occur adjacent to one another through the use of landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms and setback requirements to address issues such as outdoor lighting, lights from automobiles, illuminated signs, loud noise, odors, smoke, automobile exhaust or other noxious smells, dust and dirt, litter, junk, outdoor storage, and visual nuisances. Guideline 3, Policy 24 states that parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas should be designed to minimize noise, lights and other potential impacts, and that parking and circulation areas adjacent to streets should be screened or buffered. Guideline 13, Policy 4 calls for ensuring appropriate landscape design standards for different land uses within urbanized, suburban, and rural areas. The intent of landscape buffer areas is to create suitable transitions where varying forms of development adjoin, to minimize the negative impacts resulting from adjoining incompatible land uses, to decrease storm water runoff volumes and velocities associated with impervious surfaces, and to filter airborne and waterborne pollutants; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the utility easement is existing and the overlap is required to allow adequate parking and maneuvering on the lot; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation may deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

unnecessary hardship on the applicant by reducing the amount of maneuvering space below what is needed for safe access and circulation on the lot; and

(Waiver #3) WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners as sufficient LBA is being maintained to adequately buffer the adjoining properties, with relatively small encroachments; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. Guideline 3, Policy 9 of Cornerstone 2020 calls for protection of the character of residential areas, roadway corridors and public spaces from visual intrusions and mitigation when appropriate. Guideline 3, Policies 21 and 22 call for appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density, and mitigation of the impact caused when incompatible developments occur adjacent to one another through the use of landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms and setback requirements to address issues such as outdoor lighting, lights from automobiles, illuminated signs, loud noise, odors, smoke, automobile exhaust or other noxious smells, dust and dirt, litter, junk, outdoor storage, and visual nuisances. Guideline 3, Policy 24 states that parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas should be designed to minimize impacts from noise, lights and other potential impacts, and that parking and circulation areas adjacent to streets should be screened or buffered. Guideline 13, Policy 4 calls for ensuring appropriate landscape design standards for different land uses within urbanized, suburban, and rural areas. Guideline 13, Policy 6 calls for screening and buffering to mitigate adjacent incompatible uses. The intent of landscape buffer areas is to create suitable transitions where varying forms of development adjoin, to minimize the negative impacts resulting from adjoining incompatible land uses, to decrease storm water runoff volumes and velocities associated with impervious surfaces, and to filter airborne and waterborne pollutants; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the encroachment is relatively small and is the minimum encroachment necessary to allow access to the proposed dumpster; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation may deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant by requiring the dumpster to be located elsewhere on the property, which may reduce the amount of parking on the property below the amount required by the Land Development Code; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the City of Jeffersontown that the following Waivers from their Land Development Code be **APPROVED**:

Waiver #1 - from City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code section 10.2.4.B to allow a vehicular use area to encroach into the required property perimeter Landscape Buffer Area (LBA) along the north and west property lines.

Waiver #2 - from City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code section 10.2.4.B to allow a utility easement to overlap the property perimeter LBA by more than 50% along the east property line.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

Waiver #3 - from City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code section 10.2.4.B to allow a retaining wall to encroach into the property perimeter LBA along the south property line, and a dumpster to encroach into the property perimeter LBA along the north property line.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners Tomes and Robinson.

Revised Detailed District Development Plan

00:45:10 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis, and testimony heard today, was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee finds that there do not appear to be any environmental constraints or historic resources on the subject site. The site is fully developed and no natural resources appear to exist on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided. Louisville Metro Public Works has provided preliminary approval of the plan. City of Jeffersontown Public Works will provide final review of the plan; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that there are no open space requirements pertinent to the current proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds the Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area. The development will provide landscaping in compliance with the City of Jeffersontown Land Development Code; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the development plan conforms to applicable guidelines and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and to requirements of the Land Development Code, with the exception of the requested waivers; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the City of Jeffersontown that the following Revised Detailed District Development Plan be approved, SUBJECT to the following binding elements:

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

All binding elements from the approved General Development Plan are applicable to this site, in addition to the following:

- 1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee and to the City of Jeffersontown for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
- 2. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
- 3. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
- 4. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use or site disturbance) is requested:
 - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Construction Review, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
 - b. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
- 5. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
- 6. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
- 7. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the September 19, 2018 Development Review Committee meeting.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1128

8. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1129

Reguest: Revised Detailed District Development Plan with associated

Waiver to allow a new dumpster pad and enclosure

Project Name: Location: Brownsboro Road Plaza 10000 Brownsboro Road Hogan Holdings 42 LLC Hogan Holdings 42 LLC

Applicant:
Representative:

Owner:

Land Design & Development

Jurisdiction: Council District: Louisville Metro 17 – Glen Stuckel

Case Manager:

Dante St. Germain, Planner II

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

00:45:49 Dante St. Germain presented the case (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

00:48:37 In response to a question from Commissioner Smith, Ms. St. Germain pointed out the location of the existing dumpster on the site plan.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Mike Hill, Land Design & Development, 503 Washburn Avenue, Louisville, KY 40222

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

00:49:20 Mike Hill, the applicant's representative, presented the applicant's case and showed a Power Point presentation (see recording for detailed presentation.) He said the applicant has requested a second dumpster because there seems to be enough activity to warrant an additional disposal receptacle, particularly the restaurant uses.

00:56:29 Commissioner Carlson asked about how far away the dumpster would be from the soffit. He said a dumpster is not permitted within 10 feet from a combustible part of a building. Mr. Hill said the proposed location is less than 10 feet, and he will look in to that and see if it needs to be moved.

00:57:40 Commissioner Smith asked if the downspout is draining into the dumpster area. Mr. Hill said the applicant is aware that that could cause an issue, and that will be addressed.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1129

00:58:10 Commissioner Brown asked about the binding element which limits the hours that the dumpster can be emptied. It was agreed that the dumpster cannot be emptied between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:00 a.m. per the LDC. After some discussion it was agreed to strike the binding element since this issue is already addressed by the LDC.

The following spoke in opposition to this request: No one spoke.

Deliberation:

01:10:02 The Commissioners concurred that the proposal is justified.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

<u>Waiver</u>

01:02:08 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis and testimony heard today, was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners as an existing privacy fence, existing trees and the proposed fence around the dumpster will screen the dumpster from view. The applicant has agreed to a binding element limiting the hours that the dumpster can be emptied to avoid causing a nuisance to the nearest adjacent property; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020. The waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 as Cornerstone 2020 describes the Regional Center form as a development form that typically contains a mixture of high intensity uses including regional shopping, office, services, entertainment facilities and medium to high-density residential uses. Regional Centers are most appropriately located on or near major arterials, state or interstate highways. Development in Regional Centers should be compact. These guidelines are not violated because the proposed dumpster is compatible with the existing Regional Center development; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as there is no other location on the property where the dumpster can be located without losing parking that would take the property's parking below the minimum allowed by the Land Development Code; and

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1129

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant by preventing the applicant from constructing a new dumpster, which is needed by the tenants of the building; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **APPROVE** the requested Waiver from Land Development Code section 10.2.4.A to allow a dumpster to encroach into the required 25-foot property perimeter Landscape Buffer Area (LBA).

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NOT PRESENT: Commissioners Tomes and Robinson.

Revised Detailed District Development Plan

01:02:47 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis and testimony heard today, was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee finds that there do not appear to be any environmental constraints or historic resources on the subject site. The site is fully developed and no natural resources appear to exist on the site; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided. Louisville Metro Public Works has provided preliminary approval of the plan; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that there are no open space requirements pertinent to the current proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area. The development provides a six-foot privacy fence between the structure and the adjacent residential development. The proposed dumpster will be adequately screened by an enclosure; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the development plan conforms to applicable guidelines and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and to requirements of the Land Development Code, with the exception of a request variance and waiver; now, therefore be it

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1129

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **APPROVE** the requested Revised Detailed District Development Plan, **ON CONDITION** that the Board of Zoning Adjustment approves the Variance to encroach into the non-residential setback, and **SUBJECT** to the following binding elements:

- 1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Zoning District Regulations. No further development shall occur without prior approval from the Planning Commission, except for land uses permitted in the established zoning district.
- 2. The only permitted freestanding sign shall be located as shown on the approved district development plan. The sign shall not exceed 60 square feet in area per side and six feet in height (seven feet in height if the elevation is lower than one foot below the right-of-way of Brownsboro Road). No sign shall have more than two sides.
- 3. No outdoor advertising signs (billboards), small freestanding (temporary) signs, pennants or banners shall be permitted on the site.
- 4. There shall be no outdoor storage on the site.
- 5. Outdoor lighting shall be directed down and away from surrounding residential properties.
- 6. The building exterior shall be faced with brick on all facades and be of the Williamsburg character.
- 7. Evergreen trees and a six foot tall solid wood fence shall be installed along the south property line to screen adjacent properties. Drainage shall be designed to accommodate the fence and plantings. Trees shall be planted a distance of 10 feet on center apart and shall be a minimum of 8 feet in height at time of planting.
- 8. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use or alteration permit) is requested:
 - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from the Louisville Metro Department of Public Works and Transportation and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
 - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
 - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
- 9. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement office prior

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18DEVPLAN1129

to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.

10. The property owner/developer shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, contractors and other parties engaged in development of this project, and shall inform them of the content of these binding elements. Further, the property owner/developer shall require contractors to similarly notify all of their sub-contractors whose duties relate to the binding elements. The property owner/developer shall ensure their compliance with the binding elements.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Smith, Brown, and Carlson.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18WAIVER1037

Request:

Design Waiver

Project Name:

Building Entrance

Location:

1630 Muhammad Ali Boulevard

Owner:

Portland Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses Portland Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses

Applicant: Representative:

Mark Madison, Milestone Design Group

Jurisdiction:

Louisville Metro

Council District:

4 - Barbara Sexton Smith

Case Manager:

Steve Hendrix, Planning Coordinator

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

01:03:53 Steve Hendrix presented the case and showed a Power Point presentation (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Mark Madison, Milestone Design Group, 108 Daventry Lane Suite 300, Louisville, KY 40223

Mike Rubino, 520 South Main Street, Akron, OH 44311

Victor Melgar, 1614 Union Turnpike, North Bergen, NJ 07047

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

01:08:02 Mark Madison, the applicant's representative, presented the applicant's case and other applicant's representatives (see recording for detailed presentation.)

01:10:12 Victor Melgar, an applicant's representative, presented further details about the applicant's case (see recording.)

01:12:42 Mike Rubino, the architect, said he was available to answer any questions about the building layout.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

No one spoke.

Deliberation:

01:14:40

The Commissioners concur that the proposal is justified.

NEW BUSINESS

CASE NUMBER 18WAIVER1037

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

01:16:35 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Smith, the following resolution, based on the applicant's justification and the Standard of Review and Staff Analysis, was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee finds that the waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners, since the development will have the same overall design as the existing church; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020, since the other site design criteria such as building height, setbacks, wall animation and parking are being met; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to provide relief to the applicant, since the other Land Development Code requirements will be met; and

WHEREAS, the Committee further finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulations would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant, since the floor plan of the church would have to be modified; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Development Review Committee does hereby **APPROVE** the requested Waiver of Land Development Code section 5.5.1.A.1.a. to **not** to have the principal building entrance facing the primary street.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Brown, Carlson, and Smith.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 2	2:15	p.m
--	------	-----

taid Tomes

Chairman

Division Director

