

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
LAND DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

April 13, 2017

New Business

CASE NO. 16ZONE1081

Request: Change in zoning from R-4 to R-7
Project Name: Six Mile Lane Apartments
Location: 6710 Six Mile Lane
Owner: J.G. Homer Burgin
Applicant: EMM3, LLC
Representative: Bardenwerper, Talbott & Roberts PLLC
Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro
Council District: 26 – Brent Ackerson

Case Manager: **Laura Mattingly, Planner II**

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. This report was available to any interested party prior to the LD&T meeting. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

An audio/visual recording of the Land Development and Transportation Committee meeting related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

Agency Testimony:

01:26:00 Laura Mattingly presented the case and showed the site plan (see staff report and recording for detailed presentation.)

01:27:53 She noted that the applicant has submitted new elevations today, but they still did not meet the requirements for multi-family building design standards. Due to this, she proposed an additional binding element, to read as follows:

Prior to building permit issuance, the applicant must submit elevations in compliance with Land Development Code Section 5.6.3 to Planning and Design staff for approval.

The following spoke in favor of the request:

William Bardenwerper, Bardenwerper Talbott & Roberts PLLC, 1000 North Hurstbourne Parkway, Louisville, KY 40223

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
LAND DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

April 13, 2017

New Business

CASE NO. 16ZONE1081

Kathy Linares, Mindel Scott & Associates, 5151 Jefferson Boulevard Suite 101,
Louisville, KY 40219

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

01:29:33 William Bardenwerper, the applicant's representative, presented the applicant's case and showed a Power Point presentation (see recording for detailed presentation.) He stated that, at the public hearing, the applicant will submit construction details (building materials, colors, etc.) and will also address design issues. He said this should eliminate the need for staff's proposed binding element.

01:32:34 Kathy Linares was introduced to answer technical questions about the site.

The following spoke in opposition to the request:

No one spoke.

The following spoke neither for nor against:

No one spoke.

01:18:57 The Committee by general consensus scheduled this case to be heard at the May 11, 2017 Planning Commission public hearing.