

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
OF THE  
LOUISVILLE METRO PLANNING COMMISSION  
May 21, 2015**

A meeting of the Louisville Metro Planning Commission was held on May 21, 2015 at 1:00 p.m. at the Old Jail Building, located at 514 W. Liberty Street, Louisville, Kentucky.

**Commission members present:**

Donnie Blake, Chair  
David Proffitt, Vice Chair  
\*Jeff Brown  
Vince Jarboe  
Robert Kirchdorfer  
Robert Peterson  
Clifford Turner  
David Tomes  
Chip White

**Commission members absent:**

Carrie Butler

**Staff Members present:**

Emily Liu, Planning Director  
Joseph Reverman, Planning Manager  
Joe Haberman, Planning Manager  
Brian Mabry, Planning Coordinator  
Julia Williams, Planner II  
John G. Carroll, Legal Counsel  
Pat Barry, MSD  
Sue Reid, Management Assistant  
Chris Cestaro, Management Assistant

\*Commissioner Brown left at approximately 3:10 p.m.

The following matters were considered:

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**MAY 7, 2015 PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

On a motion by Commissioner Proffitt, seconded by Commissioner Turner, the following resolution was adopted.

**RESOLVED**, that the Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the minutes of its meeting conducted on May 7, 2015.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt and Turner**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE: Commissioners Butler**

**ABSTAINING: Commissioners Blake, Tomes and White**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

Request: Change in zoning from C-1 to C-2 with a CUP  
for mini-storage  
Project Name: Dixie Mini-Storage  
Location: 11712 and 11720 Dixie Highway  
Owner: 11720 Dixie LLC  
Applicant: Greg Powell  
Representative: Alex Rosenberg, PE  
2518 Hermitage Way  
Louisville, Ky. 40242  
Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro  
Council District: 14-Cindi Fowler  
**Case Manager: Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II**

Notice of this public hearing appeared in The Courier Journal, a notice was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

**Agency Testimony:**

00:10:45 Mrs. Williams discussed the case summary, standard of review and staff analysis from the staff report.

**The following spoke in favor of this request:**

Alex Rosenberg, 2518 Hermitage Way, Louisville, Ky. 40242

**Summary of testimony of those in favor:**

00:16:22 Mr. Rosenberg said they're trying to bring the property into compliance. The entrance will be shared with the neighboring Thornton's. "There are a number of existing easements that pin us in as far as our building layout and we can't get enough width to meet the 60%. We're currently at about 40%."

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

**Deliberation**

00:21:38 The commissioners agree that the plan is appropriate and in order.

**An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.**

24:09 On a motion by Commissioner White, seconded by Commissioner Peterson, the following resolution was adopted.

**Zoning Change from C-1 to C-2**

**WHEREAS**, Suburban Marketplace Corridors: Suburban Marketplace Corridors are generally located along major roadways with well-defined beginning and ending points and established depths along the length of the corridor. The pattern of development is distinguished by a mixture of medium to high intensity uses. Accommodations for transit users, bicyclists and pedestrians are encouraged in an effort to attract a variety of users as well as to minimize automobile dependency and traffic congestion. Connectivity to nearby uses should be encouraged. Developers should be encouraged to design new commercial development in compact groups of buildings, which use the same curb cut, share parking, have a common freestanding sign identifying the uses and have a common buffering or streetscape plan with respect to any abutting uses of lower density or intensity. This form may include medium to high-density residential uses that are designed to be compatible with both the non-residential uses along the corridor and the lower density residential uses in adjacent form districts. Medium density residential uses may serve as a transition area from lower to higher density residential uses and should be encouraged in this form; and

**WHEREAS**, Proposed new commercial uses are encouraged, to locate within the boundaries of existing corridors. Reuse of locations within existing corridors is preferred over expansion of a corridor. Proposals to expand defined corridors represent significant policy decisions. When considering proposals that result in an extension of suburban marketplace corridors, particular emphasis should be placed on: (a) use or reuse of land within existing corridors; (b) potential for disruption of established residential neighborhoods; and (c) compliance with the site and community design standards of the Land Development Code; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds, the proposal is surrounded by commercial zoning where the C-2 is proposed. The CUP for mini-storage would complement the surrounding residential areas while not directly affecting them. A

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

sidewalk is provided along Dixie Highway. An access easement on the adjacent provides access to the site. The proposal uses and offsite driveway that is located in an access easement for access to the site. The maximum VUA LBA is being provided along the frontage. LBAs are provided where there are lower intensity residential developments; and

**WHEREAS**, The proposal is located within the existing SMC boundary. The proposal is part of an existing commercial corridor that has been established along Dixie Highway. The proposal is to expand a commercial use. The CUP is to provide a complimentary storage use for offices and residential. The mix of zoning in this area suggests that there is sufficient population in the area to support the zoning and CUP; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission further finds the proposal is not for multi-story buildings.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the change in zoning from C-1 to C-2 for Case No. 15ZONE1001 based on the staff report, testimony heard today and the applicant's justification.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

**CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**

On a motion by Commissioner White, seconded by Commissioner Turner, the following resolution was adopted.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the CUP for Case No. 15ZONE1001 based on the staff report and the testimony heard today.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Butler**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

On a motion by Commissioner White, seconded by Commissioner Turner, the following resolution was adopted.

**VARIANCE from 5.3.2.C.2.a**

**WHEREAS**, The requested variance will not adversely affect public health safety or welfare since the rear buildings are for mini-storage and not for general public usage; and

**WHEREAS**, The requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity since a building is being constructed along the frontage that would be accessed by the general public. The rear of the site is larger than the front portion where more buildings can be accommodated; and

**WHEREAS**, The requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public since the location of the buildings will not affect the public; and

**WHEREAS**, The requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations since the size of the lot and use will not accommodate compliance it is not an unreasonable request; and

**WHEREAS**, The requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or the same zone since the lot size is unique where there is less frontage along Dixie than there is lot area in the rear of the site; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds, the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the land since the use involves mini-storage which is a separate use than that of the rental office, the storage units need to be located separate from the office; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission further finds the circumstances are the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** the Variance from 5.3.2.C.2.a, to permit the buildings to be setback more than the maximum 275 feet due to the easement constraints as discussed, based on the staff report, the presentations and the applicant's justification.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Butler**

**Development Plan and Binding Elements**

On a motion by Commissioner White, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted.

**WHEREAS**, There does not appear to be any environmental constraints or historic resources on the subject site. Tree canopy requirements of the Land Development Code will be provided on the subject site; and

**WHEREAS**, Provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided, and Metro Public Works and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet have approved the preliminary development plan; and

**WHEREAS**, There are no open space requirements with the current proposal but the rear if the site is preserved as open space within a TCPA; and

**WHEREAS**, The Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provisions of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds, the overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area. Appropriate landscape buffering and screening will be provided to screen adjacent properties and roadways. Buildings and parking lots will meet all required setbacks; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission further finds the development plan conforms to applicable guidelines and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and to requirements of the Land Development Code.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 15ZONE1001, the Detailed District Development Plan and the binding elements as listed in the staff report pages 16 and 17 with a change to binding element number 3 to read as follows: No outdoor advertising signs, small free-standing signs, pennants, balloons or banners shall be permitted on the site, except for as shown on the approved development plan or as permitted by the Land Development Code **SUBJECT** to the following Binding Elements:

**Proposed Binding Elements**

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. The development shall not exceed 131,300 square feet of gross floor area.
3. No outdoor advertising signs, small free-standing signs, pennants, balloons or banners shall be permitted on the site, except for as shown on the approved development plan or as permitted by the Land Development Code.
4. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
5. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
  - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Louisville Metro Department of Inspections, Permits and Licenses, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
  - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
  - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to



**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

- requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
- d. A reciprocal access and crossover easement agreement in a form acceptable to the Planning Commission legal counsel shall be created between the adjoining property owner to the south (if ever re-developed) and recorded. A copy of the recorded instrument shall be submitted to the Division of Planning and Design Services.
  - e. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
6. Prior to any site disturbance permit being issued and prior to any clearing, grading or issuance of a site disturbance permit, a site inspection shall be conducted by PDS staff to ensure proper placement of required tree protection fencing in accordance with the approved Tree Preservation Plan.
  7. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
  8. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
  9. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.
  10. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the May 21, 2015 Planning Commission hearing.
  11. The Conditional Use Permit shall be "exercised" as described in KRS 100.237 within two years of the Board's vote on this case. If the Conditional Use Permit is not so exercised, the site shall not be used for a mini-storage facility without further review and approval by the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES  
May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15ZONE1001**

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt,  
Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Butler**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

Request: RDDP with Amendments to Binding Elements and Waivers  
Project Name: CVS  
Location: 9420 Seatonville Road

Owner: First Federal Savings Bank

Applicant: Five Star Development  
Mike Colbreath  
116 Jefferson Street  
Huntsville, Alabama

Representative: Miller Wihry MWG LLC  
John Miller  
1387 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
Louisville, Ky. 40208

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro  
Council District: 22-Robin Engel  
**Case Manager: Julia Williams, AICP, Planner II**

Notice of this public hearing appeared in The Courier Journal, a notice was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

**Agency Testimony:**

00:31:10 Mrs. Williams discussed the case summary, standard of review and staff analysis from the staff report. This case was continued from DRC.

**The following spoke in favor of this request:**

Bill Bardenwerper, Bardenwerper, Talbott and Roberts, 1000 North Hurstbourne Parkway, Louisville, Ky. 40223  
John Miller, Miller Wihry MWG, LLC, 1387 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Louisville, Ky. 40208  
Jim Birch, 14202 Hickory Hills Trail, Louisville, Ky. 40299  
Mike Colbreath, Five Star Development, 116 Jefferson Street, Huntsville, Al.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

**Summary of testimony of those in favor:**

00:45:09 Mr. Bardenwerper stated that the committee should not over-regulate. Fifty-five percent of the site will remain green.

Mr. Bardenwerper gave the history of the site.

00:58:27 Mr. Miller remarked, "This site was originally zoned for a Walgreens that ended up building diagonally across Bardstown Rd. Walgreens, as constructed, is a 20% larger building and with more parking than what's constructed on 1.44 acres, which is exactly half the size as the site was when bank brought it in to get their development plan. As part of that approval, the bank gave .13 acres to the Highway Dept. for extra right-of-way. Today the site is 2.75 acres."

Mr. Miller also stated, "All the waivers being requested are a result of trying to put the pharmacy on this site while minimizing the impact on the creek and the creek buffers."

1:12:28 Mr. Birch is speaking on behalf of the church and the church is in support of the project. "The agreements we have after meeting with the developer have given us the opportunity to, not only purchasing the Weiss property, but also to have reciprocal access agreements through the CVS property." The developer is also providing a right turn lane on Seatonville Rd.

1:18:03 Mr. Culbreath remarked (regarding parking spaces), "The 57 is a number the national firm has decided, for this size store, would be the bare minimum."

**The following spoke in opposition to this request:**

Trina Palma, 3308 Mardale Drive, Louisville, Ky. 40299  
Joseph Marcus Greer III, 3809 Chevy Chase Road, Louisville, Ky. 40291  
Teena Halbig, 6505 Echo Trail, Louisville, Ky. 40299

**Summary of testimony of those in opposition:**

01:29:11 Ms. Palma remarked "I am with the Floyds Fork Environmental Association, which was organized in 1991 as a necessity to ensure the health and protection of the Floyds Fork water shed and its attributors. Cedar Creek is an attributor to Floyds Fork."

Ms. Palma stated, "The initial reason this site was changed to C-1 zoning was for a small business and a 12,000 square foot business with over 50 parking spaces for an already congested area is simply too much."

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

1:32:19 Mr. Greer lives in Buechel but is familiar with the site. The proposal doesn't fit with the area. Flooding is an existing issue and the proposal will make it worse.

1:37:21 Ms. Halbig, Floyds Fork Environmental Association, mentioned the Ordinance chaired by Councilwoman Madonna Flood. "The proposed development plan for the property did not comply with the Comp. Plan due primarily to the incompatibility of the intensity of the proposed use and the environmentally sensitivities and constraints of the subject site."

Ms. Halbig wants to keep all existing vegetation to remain and no mow signs put in place.

**Agency Testimony:**

2:05:25 Mr. Barry, from MSD remarked, "There's a Flood Plain Ordinance in Jefferson County and MSD administers it. That ordinance does not prohibit construction in the flood plain, it regulates it. MSD can't solve all the flooding problems in Jefferson County by not approving a development. This development is addressing all our requirements."

**Rebuttal**

2:12:31 Mr. Bardenwerper stated, "Something is going to be built on this site. It's just a question of what and when." A pharmacy will have the least impact.

**Deliberation**

02:21:00 Planning Commission deliberation. Commissioner Peterson said he thinks the use is better than what could be done. Commissioner Jarboe thinks the proposal is too intense, too large for the area and the justification statements from the applicant are weak; however the applicant has provided the best mitigation possible. Commissioner Proffitt doesn't support the waivers that deal with parking but is in support of the development. Commissioner Brown supports all the waivers and the plan. Commissioner White agrees with the applicant's justifications and the development altogether. Chairman Blake said the parking is too intense. Also, the applicant has purchased the property next door to help with access.

**An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

**WAIVERS #1 and 2**  
**(Parking and circulation in front of principal structure)**

2:49:29 On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted.

**WHEREAS**, The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since safe pedestrian access is provided from the public rights-of-way to the building entrance along Seatonville Road; and

**WHEREAS**, Guideline 2, policy 15 states to encourage the design, quantity and location of parking in activity centers to balance safety, traffic, transit, pedestrian, environmental and aesthetic considerations. Guideline 3, policy 1 states to ensure compatibility of all new development and redevelopment with the scale and site design of nearby existing development and with the pattern of development within the form district. Guideline 3, policy 23 states that setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights should be compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district guidelines. Guideline 7, policy 3 states to evaluate developments for their ability to promote mass transit and pedestrian use. Encourage higher density mixed use developments that reduce the need for multiple automobile trips as a means of achieving air quality standards and providing transportation choices. Guideline 9, policy 1 states that new development and redevelopment should provide, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users with location of retail and office uses, especially in the Traditional Neighborhood, Village, Marketplace Corridor, Traditional Workplace Form Districts close to the roadway to minimize the distance pedestrians and transit users have to travel. The purpose of the requirement is to promote mass transit and pedestrian use and reduce vehicle trips in and around the site, and to reduce the distance pedestrians and transit users have to travel. Cedar Creek and the existing sewers on the site constrain the building location. Therefore, the waivers will not violate specific guidelines and policies of Cornerstone 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds, the extent of waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since Cedar Creek and the existing sewers are the physical restraints preventing compliance with the regulations to be waived; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission further finds The applicant has not incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district to compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived. The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant because

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

the existing sewers would have to be relocated to accommodate the structure. Additional mitigation could occur with the compliance of the VUA LBA along Seatonville to make the site more in compliance with other areas of the LDC.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 13DEVPLAN1003, Waivers 1 and 2 of Chapter 5 of the Land Development Code based on the staff report and the testimony heard today.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioner Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Proffitt, the following resolution was adopted.

**WAIVER #3**  
**(Pedestrian connection from Bardstown Road)**

**WHEREAS**, The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since pedestrian connections have been provided in a safe and reasonable distance of the transit stop; and

**WHEREAS**, Guideline 9, Policy 1 states that new development should provide, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users with walkways for access to public transportation stops. The waiver is compatible with the pattern of development within the form district because of the stream crossing that would have to occur which prevents compliance with the regulation. Therefore, the waivers will not violate specific guidelines and policies of Cornerstone 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the physical constraint of crossing Cedar Creek is preventing the pedestrian connection; and

**WHEREAS**, The applicant has not incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district to compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived. The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant because the applicant would have to construct a bridge across the stream for

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**

**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

a pedestrian connection. To have the stream crossing MSD would have to grant a variance. MSD has denied variances in the past for stream crossings on this site.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 13DEVPLAN1003, Waiver #3, 5.9.2.a.1.b of the Land Development Code, to not provide the pedestrian connection to Bardstown Rd. based on the staff report, testimony heard today and that the future cross connectivity will provide that direct connection to Bardstown Rd. from the site.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioner Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Tomes, the following resolution was adopted.

**WAIVER #4**

**(Distance between ILAs and lower percentage of ILA)**

**WHEREAS**, the area along Cedar Creek being preserved from the site would mitigate any issues the reduction in the ILAs would have; and

**WHEREAS**, it will comply with Guideline 13 of Cornerstone 2020 due to the preservation area plus the additional compensation area being provided on the abutting site; and

**WHEREAS**, it would be the minimum necessary because the site is constrained due to existing buffers required along the stream buffer.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 13DEVPLAN1003, Waiver #4, Chapter 10.2.12 of the Land Development Code and that the area along Cedar Creek being preserved from the site would mitigate any issues that the reduction in the ILAs would have and it will comply with Guideline 13 of Cornerstone 2020 due to this preservation area plus the additional compensation area being provided on the abutting site. This would be the minimum necessary because the site is constrained due to existing buffers required along the stream buffer.



**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: Commissioners Jarboe and Proffitt**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioner Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Tomes, the following resolution was adopted.

**WAIVER #5**  
**(Encroachment of parking into south/east LBAs)**

**WHEREAS**, the site is constrained due to the existing Cedar Creek and the applicant is going to preserve other areas on the property to help mitigate those problems; and

**WHEREAS**, the additional landscaping and brick wall that's going to be used to screen this from the abutting property; and

**WHEREAS**, It does comply with Guideline 3 of Cornerstone 2020 because the applicant has taken measures to mitigate the reduction in the landscape buffer area; and

**WHEREAS**, The applicant is acquiring the property to the south, which adds additional property beyond the parking area for additional buffering.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 13DEVPLAN1003 Waiver #5, 10.2.4 of the Land Development Code, to permit the encroachment into the 25 foot LBA required along the south and east property lines based on the fact that the site is constrained due to the existing Cedar Creek and the applicant is going to preserve other areas on the property to help mitigate those problems plus the additional landscaping and brick wall that's going to be used to screen this from the abutting property. It does comply with Guideline 3 of Cornerstone 2020 because the applicant has taken measures to mitigate the reduction in the landscape buffer area. The applicant is also adding the property to the south, which adds additional property beyond the parking area for additional buffering.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Tomes, Turner and White**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

**NO: Commissioners Jarboe and Proffitt**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioner Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner White, the following resolution was adopted.

**WAIVER #6**  
**(Reduction in VUA LBA)**

**WHEREAS**, The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since the planting and screening requirements will still be met on the site; and

**WHEREAS**, The waiver will not violate guideline 3, Compatibility, of Cornerstone 2020, which calls for the protection of roadway corridors and public areas from visual intrusions, for mitigation of parking areas so as not to negatively impact nearby residents and pedestrians, and for parking areas adjacent to streets to be screened and buffered. The waiver will not violate guideline 13, Landscape Character, which calls for the protection of parkways through standards for buffers, landscape treatment, lighting and signs. The purpose of vehicle use area landscape buffer areas is to improve the appearance of vehicular use areas and property abutting public rights-of way; and

**WHEREAS**, The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since the planting and screening requirements can still be met; and

**WHEREAS**, The applicant has not incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district to compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived. The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant because the landscape requirements can still be met on the site.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 13DEVPLAN1003, Waiver #6, 10.2.10 of the Land Development Code based on the staff report and testimony heard today.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: Commissioner Proffitt**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioner Butler**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

**Revised Development Plan and Binding Elements**

On a motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Tomes, the following resolution was adopted.

**WHEREAS**, the plan makes the maximum effort to protect the Cedar Creek environment, no construction is proposed near the creek. The plan through the proposed easement on the adjacent property to the southwest protects the creek all the way from where it crosses the Seatonville Road to where it crosses Bardstown Road; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed plan has been approved by Metro Works with regard to both vehicular and pedestrian circulation. Additionally the plan provides for the connection to the Fern Creek Methodist Church providing an alternative route for customers of the pharmacy and a needed alternative exit route for church goers; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed plan ultimately provides for 1.4 acres of open space on site and via the easement arrangement 1.2 acres of open space off-site. Essentially off-setting the additional size of the proposed pharmacy development over the previously approved plan for a bank at this location; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed plan provides for compensation for both new run-off and fill within the floodplain. The proposed development does not encroach on the Local Conveyance Zone. Accordingly the plan has been approved by MSD; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds, the proposed commercial development is compatible with other commercial development in the immediate vicinity and the site design incorporates the combination of a brick and vegetative screening to provide a separation between residential and commercial uses; and

**WHEREAS**, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission further finds, the proposed plan conforms with the uses permitted within the Town Center Form District in a way that to the maximum degree possible protects the natural resources in the property and the adjacent residential properties.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **APPROVE** Case No. 13DEVPLAN1003 Revised Development Plan **ON CONDITION** that the cross access from the abutting property to the south, as shown on the supplemental plan, is provided and binding elements on pages 11, 12 and 13 of the staff report with the following changes and additions: binding element 11 will reference today's date and

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

Planning Commission meeting – May 21, 2015 Planning Commission meeting; Binding element 16 will read as follows: Landscaping shall be provided minimally as shown on the approved development plan presented 5/21/15. Twelve to fifteen foot evergreens and upright deciduous trees shall be planted within the buffer along the east property lined. Additional Binding Element – The applicant or developer shall install an 8 foot high brick wall along the rear property line as shown on the development plan presented at the May 21, 2015 Planning Commission meeting. Additional Binding Element - No operations shall occur on site between the hours 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.; based on the testimony heard today and the applicant’s justification and **SUBJECT** to the following Binding Elements:

**Proposed Binding Elements**

1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission’s designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
2. The development shall not exceed 11,945 square feet of gross floor area.
3. There shall be no direct vehicular access to Bardstown Road from this lot.
4. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
5. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3’ of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
6. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit or demolition permit) is requested:
  - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Louisville Metro Department of Inspections, Permits and Licenses, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

- b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
  - c. The appropriate variances shall be obtained from the Board of Zoning Adjustment to allow the development as shown on the approved district development plan.
  - d. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
  - e. A reciprocal access and crossover easement agreement in a form acceptable to the Planning Commission legal counsel shall be created between the adjoining property owner to the south (if ever developed non-residentially) and recorded. A copy of the recorded instrument shall be submitted to the Division of Planning and Design Services.
  - f. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
7. Prior to any site disturbance permit being issued and prior to any clearing, grading or issuance of a site disturbance permit, a site inspection shall be conducted by PDS staff to ensure proper placement of required tree protection fencing in accordance with the approved Tree Preservation Plan.
8. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
9. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
10. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 13DEVPLAN1003**

11. The materials and design of proposed structures shall be substantially the same as depicted in the rendering as presented at the May 21, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.
12. No idling of trucks shall take place within 200 feet of single-family residences. No overnight idling of trucks shall be permitted on-site.
13. A “no mow zone” per MSD’s Green Infrastructure Design Manual (2011), will be established within the 100 foot stream buffer as shown on the development plan. More specifically, the area within the stream buffer zones between the proposed parking lot and Bardstown Road. “No Mowing Zone” signs will be posted on site, 2 along Bardstown Road, 1 along Seatonville Road, and 2 between the parking lot and the local conveyance zone as shown on the development plan. The applicant will work with PDS staff on the locations.
14. Native riparian plantings will be added to the 25’ stream buffer in areas indicated on the development plan. The riparian plantings will be shown and approved on a landscape plan provided to Planning and Design Services staff. The applicant will work with PDS staff to determine amount and type of plantings.
15. The proposed rain garden as shown on the development plan will be planted with native plants as indicated in MSDs “*How –To Guide for Building Your Own Rain Garden*” (2008). The rain garden plantings will be shown and approved on a landscape plan provided to Planning and Design Services staff. The applicant will work with PDS staff to determine amount and type of plantings.
16. Landscaping shall be provided minimally as shown on the approved development plan presented 5/21/15 - 12’ to 15’ evergreens and upright deciduous trees shall be planted within the buffer along the east property lined.
17. The applicant or developer shall install an 8 foot high brick wall along the rear property line as shown on the development plan presented at the May 21, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.
18. No operations shall occur on site between the hours 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Brown, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: Commissioner Jarboe**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioner Butler**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15AMEND1000**

Project: LDC Text Amendment – Boarding and Lodging Houses  
Case Manager: **Brian Mabry, AICP, Planning Coordinator**

**NOTE: COMMISSIONER BROWN LEFT AND DID NOT VOTE ON THIS CASE**

Notice of this public hearing appeared in The Courier Journal. The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (Staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

**Agency Testimony:**

03:13:09 Mr. Mabry discussed the proposed text amendments related to boarding and lodging houses, applicable plans and policies, staff analysis and conclusion.

**The following spoke as neither for nor against:**

Paul Whitty, 8<sup>th</sup> floor Fiscal Court Building, Louisville, Ky. 40202

**Summary of testimony of those neither for nor against:**

3:50:22 Mr. Paul Whitty, remarked, "The intent was to require all the residentially zoned districts to have a CUP, previously R-7 and R-8A had been excluded."

Mr. Whitty said Cheri Bryant-Hamilton was at this meeting but had to leave. She submitted a written testimony.

**The following spoke in favor of this request:**

Jean Griffin, 4530 West Broadway, Louisville, Ky. 40211  
Vanessa Lackey, 4630 Varble Avenue, Louisville, Ky. 40211  
Martina Cunnehy, 2729 Portland Avenue, Louisville, Ky. 40211

**Summary of testimony of those in favor:**

4:04:15 Mr. Reverman read Councilwoman Cheri Bryant-Hamilton's written statement into the record. She is in support of the LDC text amendment regarding boarding and lodging houses.

4:07:03 Mrs. Griffin said she lives by several halfway houses (legal and illegal). All residentially zoned districts need to have a CUP and follow the law.

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15AMEND1000**

Mrs. Griffin said the residents of the halfway houses sit on their porches drinking alcohol and don't really have a program to help them. They are just housed with very poor living conditions. There's also some drug activity.

4:08:59 Mr. Griffin agrees with his wife and said the commissioners wouldn't like it if it was their neighborhood.

4:11:07 Ms. Lackey said this issue is causing the property value to decrease dramatically in the west end.

Ms. Lackey also discussed the deplorable conditions some of the transitional people live in.

4:19:52 Ms. Cunnehy, President of Neighborhood Planning and Preservation, remarked, "This is a rare example of when neighborhoods drove the action, the councilwoman answered their call and they came up with a pretty good solution to a very difficult problem. Unfortunately, the only part you have to handle is the zoning issue today and I ask you to please stick to that."

**The following spoke in opposition of this request:**

Jerry Watson, 393 Goose Creek, Taylorsville, Ky. 40071

**Summary of testimony of those in opposition:**

4:21:14 Ms. Waston owns a boarding house in the west end (since 1997) and says she runs a safe and clean home. "If I can't live there, I won't allow anyone else to live there." The square footage, for qualification, needs to be adjusted.

**An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.**

**Deliberation:**

On a motion by Commissioner Proffitt, seconded by Commissioner Peterson, the following resolution was adopted.

4:33:33 Commissioner Tomes said something needs to be done. Issues regarding Fair Housing have to be upheld as profiling is against the law. These issues are in every neighborhood, not just the west end.



**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**CASE NO. 15AMEND1000**

Commissioner Peterson remarked, "It's a very difficult issue and I'm sure the people that are in the neighborhoods where some of the illegal homes particularly are being operated are really suffering – quality of life is being affected and property values are being drastically affected."

Commissioner Jarboe said enforcement seems to be a problem but it's not within our purview.

Commissioner Proffitt stated, "We're not a regulatory authority but we can recommend the rules." Today the committee is considering the 6 items presented by staff.

Commissioner Kirchdorfer and White are in favor of the 6 items also.

Commissioner Turner is in favor and asks Mr. Whitty to contact the Ky. Real Estate Commission, Fair Housing Dept.

Chairman Blake said there are 5 items, the 6<sup>th</sup> item was deleted.

**4:49:19**

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **CONTINUE** Case No. 15AMEND1000, LDC Text Amendment regarding Boarding and Lodging Houses to the next available Planning Commission meeting to allow staff and/or counsel time to rework specifically, page 1 on a document provided as legislation text to Metro Government as it relates to amending sections 4.2.11 of the Land Development Code (Boarding and Lodging). Paragraph H should be reviewed in its totality and items listed in the staff report, items 1-5 should incorporated in the same document. Also, the committee will look at the parking.

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Brown and Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

Policies & Procedures Changes

Request: Early Notification Proposal  
Case Manager: Joe Reverman, AICP

**THIS CASE WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 2:30 PM**

Steve Porter asked that this case be heard first at the next meeting.

On a motion by Commissioner Proffitt, seconded by Commissioner Turner, the following resolution was adopted.

**RESOLVED**, that the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **CONTINUE** this case regarding Early Notification Procedures to the June 4, 2015 Planning Commission meeting (to be heard 1<sup>st</sup>).

**The vote was as follows:**

**YES: Commissioners Blake, Jarboe, Kirchdorfer, Peterson, Proffitt, Tomes, Turner and White**

**NO: No one**

**NOT PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: Commissioners Brown and Butler**

**ABSTAINING: No one**

**PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**  
**May 21, 2015**

**STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**Land Development and Transportation Committee**

No report given.

**Site Inspection Committee**

No report given.

**Planning Committee**

No report given.

**Development Review Committee**

No report given.

**Policy and Procedures Committee**

No report given.

**CHAIRPERSON/DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

No report given.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at approximately 4:58 p.m.



---

**Chair**



---

**Planning Director**

