

>> THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING WILL PLEASE COME TO ORDER.
PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE OF THE ALLEGIANCE.

[PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE].

>> COLLEAGUES, EARLIER TODAY, A COUPLE OF HOURS AGO, WE LOST A
PD OFFICER, CORTEZ HUGHES AT 6:00.

AND ALSO EARLIER TODAY, RETIRED OFFICER TONY BROWN PASSED AWAY AFTER
A LONG BATTLE WITH CANCER.

WE HAD HOSPITALIZED INCREASE IN OUR CITY OVER 500 PERCENT.

AS OF YESTERDAY, 722,000 CIVILIANS TESTED POSITIVE IF COVID-19, AND
LOST 624 FAMILY MEMBERS.

SO AT THIS TIME I WOULD JUST ASK THAT WE TAKE A MOMENT AND OFFER SILENCE
AND PRAYERS.

>> REGULAR MEETING OF LOUISVILLE IS PURSUANT TO 61.826 IN
ACCORDANCE WITH SENATE BILL 150.

SOME MEMBERS ARE ATTENDING VIRTUALLY.

IF WE LOSE ANYBODY VIRTUALLY, WE WILL SUSPEND THE MEETING FOR FIVE
MINUTES.

IF AFTER FIVE MINUTES AND THE ISSUE CONTINUES, WE WILL RESUME THE
MEETING AND THE MEETING WILL CONTINUE AS LONG AS WE STILL HAVE A QUORUM
OF MEMBERS.

IF WE EXPERIENCE ANY TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY THAT EFFECTS THE MEETING
AS A WHOLE, WE WILL SUSPEND THE MEETING.

ALL DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO ACTION WILL BE

TAKEN.

IF AFTER 20 MINUTES, IT CANNOT BE RESOLVED THE MEETING WILL STAND
ADJOURNED.

ANY OUTSTANDING BUSINESS WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE NEXT MEETING.

PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> EACH YEAR FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS, WE HAVE HOSTED INTERNS FROM
THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE.

EVERY YEAR WE'RE NOT SURE HOW IT WOULD WORK DUE TO COVID-19, WITH
THE PERSEVERANCE AND INNOVATION OF SEVERAL INDIVIDUALS, WE POSTED
11 INTERNS.

I WANT TO THANK THE COUNCIL MEMBERS TO AGREE TO TAKE ON AN INTERN
AND THEIR ENERGY AND EFFORTS THAT THEY PUT INTO THAT.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO COUNCILMAN MCCRANEY, WINKLER, DORSEY,
PIAGENTINI, KRAMER, BLACKWELL, STONE, MYSELF AND TONY HYATT AND THE
MAJORITY CAUCUS MEDIA DIRECTOR FOR ALL OF THE WORK YOU DID IN WORKING
WITH THE INTERNS FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO THE INTERNS, DANIELLE PICKARD.

AND COLLIER, AND COOPER, RIUS, BRINK, HOLBROOK, HARDEN, PINTO, AND
SAM SCOTTMAN -- STOCKMAN.

YOU ALL DID A REALLY FANTASTIC JOB HERE WITH THE COUNCIL.

THE COUNCIL MEMBERS YOU WORK WITH HAVE PUT TOGETHER PROCLAMATIONS
FOR YOU ALL TO RECOGNIZE THE -- THE -- THE TIM THAT YOU PUT FORWARD
HERE.

WITH THAT SAID, I WANT TO GIVE A BIG THANK YOU TO DR. WALLACE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE WHO IS THE PERSON THAT REALLY MAKES THIS WHOLE THING HAPPEN.

AND THE ENERGY AND EFFORT SHE PUTS IN IT AMAZING.

I WANT TO INTRODUCE YOU TO DR. WALLACE.

DR. WALLACE THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

>> GOOD EVENING TO ALL OF US, COUNCIL.

I WANT TO SECOND WHAT YOU JUST SAID.

IT HAS BEEN A DIFFICULT YEAR BUT I SEEM TO HAVE SHOWN THAT THEY ARE RESILIENT AND THEY'RE ABLE TO ADDRESS THE WAYS THAT -- THAT WE MAY FIND IT DIFFICULT FOR OURSELVES, THOSE OF US THAT ARE OLDER AND WORKED WITH THE NEW TECHNOLOGY.

SO THANK YOU AGAIN.

WE DO THIS EVERY YEAR, AND EVERY YEAR STUDENTS GET TIRED.

THAT'S THE QUALITY OF THE STUDENTS THAT WE HAVE NOW AND YOU KNOW THIS PARTNERSHIP IS WORKING.

WE WANT TO THANK YOU FROM THE BOTTOM OF OUR HEARTS AND CONTINUE THIS PROGRAM.

YOU RECOGNIZE, BY COULDN'T DO THIS WITHOUT YOU.

I HAVE TO SAY THANK YOU THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THE WORK YOU DO AND THE ASSISTANTS AND THE COUNCIL MEMBERS AS WELL AND PRESIDENT JAMES, THANK YOU FOR YOUR GRATITUDE AND ALL OF THAT.

ALL OF THAT IN JUST ACCEPTING OUR STUDENTS EACH YEAR.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

I'LL OPEN THE FLOOR TO ANY COUNCIL MEMBER THAT WISHES TO SAY ANYTHING TO THEIR -- TO THEIR INTERN BEFORE THEY LEAVE.

>> I WANT TO THANK BRANDON.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> I WANT TO GIVE A SHOUT OUT TO MY INTERNS WHO IS GOING TO OFFICIALLY WORK AT THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND BEEN WORKING ON EVICTION PREVENTION, EVERYTHING FROM PHONE CALLS AND NAVIGATING CITIZENS HERE TO MUCH NEEDED EVICTION RESOURCES.

AND COLLIER WHO WORKING ON OUR VOTING AND DRAFTED COALITIONS AROUND THE CITY AND THEN LASTLY TERMINATED HIS WORK WITH -- WITH -- WITH BUILDING HANDICAP DISABILITY ISSUES AT THE FAIR GROUNDS AND WERE ABLE TO PULL THE GROUP TOGETHER.

AND WAS JOINING OUR OFFICE AS CONSULTANT.

THANK YOU FOR SENDING YOUR STUDENTS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY OTHERS?

MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO THANK MY -- MY WONDERFUL INTERN DANIELLE BRASHARD.

SHE WAS EXCELLENT.

THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS PARTNERSHIP.

I COULD TELL YOU DANIELLE WAS PROBABLY ONE OF THE BETTER ONES YOU

COULD GIVE.

I ENJOYED HER IMMENSELY.

SHE WAS OPEN TO ANY ASSIGNMENT AND SHE COMPLETED HER ASSIGNMENTS WITH SUCH GRACE AND PROFESSIONALISM.

SHE WORKED ON 5G AND INTERVIEWED SEVERAL PEOPLE THAT WE FEATURED IN OUR NEWSLETTER.

SHE ARCHIVED NEWS CLIPPINGS AND ARTICLES ABOUT THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL.

I CAN'T THANK HER ENOUGH.

DANIELLE, I WILL REACH OUT TO YOU BECAUSE I WANT TO SEE YOU BEFORE YOU LEAVE FOR YOUR LAST DAY AND CONGRATULATE YOU IN PERSON.

>> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> I WANT TO THANK ANNA.

SHE DID GREAT WORK ON THE RENTAL REGISTRY IN WAYS THAT MAYBE WE COULD -- COULD FIRM THOSE UP FROM BEST PRACTICES ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND BEGINNING NEXT YEAR, I'LL BE TOUCHING BAY WITH YOU COLLEAGUES TO LET YOU KNOW ABOUT THE WORK AND WHAT SHE FOUND AND SUGGESTIONS SHE MADE.

>> THANK YOU.

AND COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU.

IF MY INTERN COULDN'T BE MORE PROPERLY MATCHED, SHE PLAYS GOLF AND SPEAKS CHINESE.

I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT HAPPENED.

JUST WANT TO THANK VICTORIA.

I WANT TO THANK U OF L.

WE HAD A GREAT EXPERIENCE IT WAS HELPFUL WITH DISTRICT 19.

AS SOON AS WE GET THAT, WRAP UP THE WORK.

THANK YOU VICTORIA AND U OF L AND THE INTERNS AND THE COUNCIL MEMBERS.

GREAT PROGRAM.

>> COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANTED TO -- ACKNOWLEDGE MY 15TH AND FINAL INTERN.

SEVERAL THE LAST NEW YEARS, THIS PROGRAM AND OTHERS.

AN STOCKMAN HAS WORKED IN MY OFFICE UNDER VERY DIFFICULT

CIRCUMSTANCES GIVEN NOT ONLY COVID BUT ALSO SORT OF THE DISRUPTION

WE BEEN EXPERIENCING DOWNTOWN ON THE STREET.

IS IN SPITE OF THAT, SHE HAS REALLY CONTRIBUTED TO SPECIAL PROJECTS.

THE OTHER IS A -- IS A PLAN FOR A -- FOR A PARK IN THE HAYFIELD DUNDEE

NEIGHBORHOOD.

TWO INTERESTING PROJECTS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

KRAMER.

>> I DON'T WANT TO SAY THANKS TO KAYLA.

SHE CAME TO US BY -- IN AN -- AND PUT HER IN UNIQUE POSITION AFTER

ANNAPOLIS MERGED BEFORE US AND LOOKED AT HOW THEY HANDLED SOME

STRUGGLES THEY HAD.

I WANT TO SAY A SPECIAL THANKS TO KAYLA.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

AND SEXTON-SMITH.

>> YES.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I DID NOT HAVE AN INTERN BUT I DIDN'T WANT TO LET THIS TIME TWO BY WITHOUT SOMEONE SAYING ABOUT THE AMAZING INTERN WORKING WITH TONY HYATT AS THE MEDIA DIRECTOR IN THE DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS.

HIS INTERN HAS BEEN ON LOCATION MANY TIMES, USING AMAZING SOCIAL DISTANCING AND -- AND EQUALLY AND MORE IMPORTANT TAKING FABULOUS PHOTOS.

SO THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR LETTING ME RECOGNIZE ALL OF THE INTERNS.

>> THANK YOU.

MR. HYATT?

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN SEXTON-SMITH, I CAN'T AGREE MORE.

MY INTERN IS IN THE BACK.

HAYDEN, HE'S TO TAKE NOTES AND UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE BEEN DEALING WITH SINCE DECEMBER.

HE'S DONE A FANTASTIC JOB.

PUT THAT DOWN AND I HAVE A PROCLAMATION THAT I WON'T READ.

I'LL SAY SOMETHING QUICK, BECAUSE I'VE BEEN MEDIA DIRECTOR NOW FOR THE DEMOCRATS FOR 14 YEARS.

COME ON.

THIS WAY DR. WALLACE WILL KNOW YOU WERE HERE BECAUSE YOU'RE WORRIED HE MAY NOT KNOW.

HERE'S PROCLAMATION FOR YOU FOR YOUR WORK HERE.

THERE.

IN THE 14 YEARS I'VE BEEN HERE, IT IS INTERESTING TO SEE STUDENTS TO INTERN WORK.

I'M -- I CAN HONESTLY SAY THE ONLY REGRET I HAVE THIS YEAR IS THE INTERNS DID NOT GET A CHANCE TO SEE ALL OF US WORKING IN THE BUILDING AND BEING ABLE TO GO FROM OFFICE TO OFFICE TO OFFICE AND GET TO KNOW PEOPLE.

I HOPE THE INTERNS UNDERSTAND, THAT DESPITE COVID-19, LOCAL GOVERNMENT GOES ON.

THE DISTRICTS HAVE TO BE TAKEN CARE OF CONSTITUENTS NEED TO KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO ABOUT THAT.

YOU DO THE BEST YOU CAN.

>> CAN YOU BRING IT IN HERE.

>> IF YOU SEND US THESE KIND OF INTERNS NOT ONLY WILL WE LEARN FROM THEM AND THEY'LL LEARN FROM US.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

IT IS AN EXPERIENCE.

I SHOW YOU THE NOTES HE'S TAKEN AND HE MAY BE A VERY GOOD CLERK SOMEDAY, IF HE DECIDES TO HELP YOU OUT, MADAM CLERK, IF YOU WISH.

THANK YOU FOR THE TIME.

HAYDEN, THANK YOU FOR HELPING US OUT.

>> THANK YOU AND THANK YOU EVERYBODY.

NEXT MADAM CLERK, ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO THE COUNCIL?

>> YES, SIR.

>> LET ME REMIND THOSE ADDRESSING THE COUNCIL TO PLEASE REFRAIN FROM USING PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO COUNCIL MEMBERS AND MADAM CLERK, PLEASE BRING THEM FORWARD.

>> JILL FARMER.

JILL FARMER.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, MA'AM.

THANK YOU TO BE THE FIRST IN KENTUCKY TO DO THIS.

THE CITIES ARE ACTING, I ESPECIALLY THANK MUELLER AND COAN AND HOLLANDER IN THEIR EFFORTS.

AN IMPORTANT STEP BECAUSE -- BECAUSE, IT IS BACKING AND A LOT OF WATER AND THE CHEMICALS AND VALUABLE LAND.

WE NEED CLEAN ENERGY.

SOLAR ENERGY PAYS FOR ITSELF.

WHAT AN INVESTMENT.

WE PUT IT IN OUR HOMES AND MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS.

WE WOULD SAVE THE EXPENDITURES AND BUILDINGS AND AN ELECTRIC TRANSIT.

THIS CAN HELP THE COMMUNITY INSPIRE OTHERS.

MOST PROGRESSES AND WE SEE -- WE SEE RENEWABLE ENERGY.

THESE BENEFITS COULD BRING US TOGETHER, ONE AS FEWER GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.

SO WE WON'T GET CLEANER AIR AND WILL RESULT IN IMPROVED HEALTH.
IT IS AN ECONOMIC BENEFIT.

THEY ALL HAVE HIGHER RATES OF COPD AND OVERALL MORBIDITY RATES.
CONSEQUENCE US TO THESE IN THE COMMUNITY.

AIR POLLUTION IN THE FORM OF PARTICULATE MANNER BRINGS 46 DEATHS AND
SCHOOLS IN THE LOUISVILLE METRO AREA.

THIS REALLY HELPS MARGINALIZE POOR PEOPLE, BLACKS AND BROWNS,
ESPECIALLY WHO HAVE AN UNFAIR PROGRAM OVERLOAD.

THEY HAVE HIGHER MORBIDITY RATES IN COVID-19.

WE NEED TO -- TO STOP THAT.

THIS WILL CREATE GOOD JOBS AND INSTALLATION AND WORK FOR SALES AND
THAT MONEY WILL STAY IN LOUISVILLE.

INCREASED RESILIENCE AND RELIABILITY AND DECISION AND ENJOY ENERGY
INDEPENDENCE AND INCREASE OUR HOMES AND BUILDINGS VALUE AS WE REDUCE
GLOBAL EMISSION.

WE CAN SERVE OUR EARTH AND IT IS RENEWABLE RESOURCES AND SAVE MONEY
AT THE SAME TIME BECAUSE -- BECAUSE -- IN -- WE COULD CUT DOWN
[INDISCERNIBLE] AND OTHER EXTREME WEATHER EVENTS.

EXPANDING RENEWABLE ENERGY AND HOW TO KEEP THIS FORM OF ENERGY
NATIONALLY MAKES GOOD -- GOOD BUSINESS AND SCIENTIFIC SENSE.

GOOD PAYING JOBS, MORAL THING TO DO.

>> EXCUSE ME, MAYOR, YOUR TIME IS UP.

I'M SORRY, THANK YOU.

>> KIM KILGORE.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES.

>> I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK.

MY CONCERN IS THE SUCCESS THAT IS GOING ON IN THE CITY, INSPIRING STUFF THAT IS GOING ON IN THE COMMUNITY.

AS A CITIZEN, IT COMES MORE AND MORE, ESPECIALLY IN THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE YEARS.

I HAD THINGS DONE TO ME IN FOUR OR FIVE YEARS AND GOT NO JUSTICE FROM THE JUSTICE SYSTEM.

WE'RE DOWN MULTIPLE OFFICERS.

I HAD OFFICERS CALL IN ABOUT GETTING OFFICERS AND IT IS FOUR HOURS LATER BEFORE I GET A REPORT OR TO ANYTHING.

MY CONCERN IS THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY.

A PERSON GETS AHEAD IN LIFE AND TO OUR COMMUNITY AND THEY STAY IN THE COMMUNITY, NOTICED THAT BEING IN BUSINESS 25 YEARS AGO THAT HAPPENING.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY OTHER THAN MY -- MY -- MY CONCERNS WITH THE COMMUNITY.

I'M AFRAID THE COMMUNITY IS TAKING A SPIRAL DOWN, KIND OF LIKE WE DID AND MEMPHIS DID.

I SEEN A LETTER COMPANY CALLED WEST COMPANY WROTE A BIG OLD ARTICLE ABOUT THAT, ABOUT OUR COMMUNITY GOING DOWN.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

MY CONCERN IS SAFETY.

WE'RE DOWN 300-PLUS OFFICERS.

WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT SOME OF THAT?

WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT OFFICERS GETTING PAID AND DESERVING
BASED ON COMMUNITIES AROUND US.

MILLED TOWN PAYS MORE AND OVER IN INDIANA PAYS MORE.

MY CONCERN IS THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

>> GEORGE EKLAND.

>> THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK.

I SERVE AS EDUCATION ADVOCACY DIRECTOR.

WE'RE DEDICATED TO HOMELESSNESS IN THE COMMUNITY.

WE BELIEVE THAT EVERY PERSON DESERVES A PLACE TO CALL HOME.

DOES IT ESTABLISH A SAFE AND AFFORDABLE AND SECURE HOME FOUNDATION
WHICH ALL OF THE PERSONAL SUCCESSES ARE BUILT.

A PERSON HAS A PLACE TO GET READY FOR A JOB INTERVIEW AND THE FAMILY
CAN SHARE THE HOLIDAYS TOGETHER.

THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC HIGHLIGHTED THE NEED FOR A HOME IT KEEP US
PROPOSED AND SAFE.

THIS EXPAND ACCESS TO HOUSING IN THE COMMUNITY.

PASSING THIS WOULD BE A STEP TO HELP HOMELESSNESS.

THIS IS INCLUSIVE OF SOURCES OF INCOME AS A PROTECTED CLASS.

WE BELIEVE THE USE OF A HOUSING VOUCHER, STATE OR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE
SHOULDN'T BE CRITERIA THAT LANDLORD CAN USE TO DENY HOUSING.

DOLLARS THAT COME FROM VOUCHERS SHOULD BE EQUAL TO THOSE THAT COME

FROM CHECKING ACCOUNTS.

WE RELY ON VOUCHERS AS KEY STRATEGY TO GET PEOPLE IN HOUSING.

RAPID REHOUSING VOUCHERS AND PUBLIC REHOUSING VOUCHERS ARE THE BEST WAY TO REMOVE LOUISVILLIANS TO A SAFE AND SECURE HOUSE.

WHEN DOCTORS ARE AWARDED, CLIENTS HAVE INSURED THAT THE LANDLORD WILL ACCEPT THE THIRD PARTY VOUCHER.

SOMETIMES IT IS BASED ON LOCATION AND PRICE IT IS LOST BECAUSE THE LANDLORD DOESN'T ACCEPT THE VOUCHER.

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE ONLY THING THAT THIS ORDINANCE DOES OR -- IT REMOVES THE ABILITY TO REMOVE THE VOUCHER AT FACE VALUE.

THE MANAGERS WILL BE ABLE TO CHARGE THE RENTS THEY NEED AND SECURITY DEPOSITS AND BE ABLE TO STREAMLINE SAFE AND OTHER LEGAL MEANS.

THE EXPANSION OF HOUSING IS A FIRST STEP.

WE MUST START WITH 45 AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND ADDRESS SEGREGATION AND RACIAL INEQUITIES IN THE CITY.

WE MUST REDUCE EVICTIONS AND SPECIAL LOUISVILLE'S OPPORTUNITIES.

WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR WHAT GREEN AND HOLLANDER DID.

AND WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR THE SUPPORTIVE ISSUE.

I ASK THAT YOU SUPPORT BY VOTING YES.

THANK YOU.

>> BETTY DAVIS.

>> I'M REPRESENTED BY GREEN AND THE REVOLUTIONARY BLACK PANTHER PARTY, REVITALIZATION COMMITTEE AND I'M A BLOCK CAPTAIN.

MY CONCERN IS SOCIAL WORKERS.

I HAVEN'T HEARD ENOUGH DISCUSSION ABOUT SUPPLEMENTING THE -- THE LMPD POLICE FORCE WITH SOCIAL WORKERS THAT DO SOCIAL WORK.

THERE'S MODELS AROUND THE COUNTRY WHICH HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL.

ONE IS KENTUCKY.

YOU COULD PROBABLY GOOGLE THAT INFORMATION UP ON -- ON THROUGH BROADCAST MEDIA.

THERE'S SEVERAL STATIONS THAT PUBLISHED THAT.

I WISH THE COUNCIL WOULD TAKE A CLOSER LOOK AT -- AT ESTABLISHING A DEPARTMENT OF POLICE SOCIAL WORKERS WITHIN LMPD.

I LIKE TO LOOK AT THE WORK IN THE POLICE INSTITUTE WHEN IT COMES -- WHEN IT COMES TO SCREENING POTENTIAL CANDIDATES FOR LOUISVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT THEY HAVE THE RIGHT PSYCHOLOGICAL DISPOSITION.

THAT CAN ONLY BE DONE THROUGH SCREENS.

THERE'S THOSE THAT SHOULD NOT BE ON THE FORCE OR THEY SHOULD NOT BE ON LOUISVILLE'S MISS FORT IN A VERY SENSITIVE URBAN AREA.

I GET THAT FEEDBACK FROM MY NEIGHBORS ALL THE TIME.

THERE SHOULD BE CASE STUDIES ON POLICE OFFICERS THAT WERE INVOLVED IN THE TAYLOR CASE.

WE NEED INFORMATION.

WE CAN'T MAKE INTELLIGENT INFORMATION AS TO HOW TO SCREEN OUT PEOPLE WHO DO NOT HAVE THE RIGHT MENTAL DISPOSITION TO POLICE IN A CERTAIN AREA.

WE NEED TO LOOK AT THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO REPAIR THE DAMAGE THAT

ACCUMULATED OVER THE LAST 50 YEARS.

WE HAVE MANY ABUSE CASES.

WE GOT THE LARGEST POLICE ABUSE CASE IN THE HISTORY OF LOUISVILLE.

I LOOK AT IT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO REPAIR AND COME BACK STRONGER.

THOSE ARE THE CONCERNS THAT MY NEIGHBORS HAVE BEEN EXPRESSING.

JUSTIFICATION.

THAT KEEPS COMING UP.

WHY PEOPLE ARE ABLE TO PURCHASE LARGE SUMS OF PROPERTY IN THE WEST

END AND RUN UP THE REAL ESTATE VALUES SO THE MEMBERS OF THE

AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY COULD NOT LIVE IN THE COMMUNITY.

I THINK THEY SHOULD CHANGE THE RULES OF THE GAME, IF YOU ARE NOT GOING

TO LIVE ON THE PROPERTY, YOU CAN'T BUY IT.

>> MR. DAVIS, YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK AND STAY SAFE.

>> KATHY.

>> I'M EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE HOUSING COALITION.

I WANT TO GIVE MY THANKS TO GREEN AND COUNCIL MEMBERS AND THE STAFF

AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS THAT DREW UP THE FAIR HOUSING LAWS.

I WANT TO SPEAK TO THE INCOME PROTECTION THAT IS INCLUDED IN THIS
ORDINANCE, WHILE ALL OF THE PROTECTED CLASS THAT IS ARE -- PROPOSED

IN THE ORDINANCE, I BELIEVE THE INCLUSION OF LANGUAGE THAT PROTECTS

PEOPLE FROM DISCRIMINATION SOLELY DUE TO THEIR SOURCE OF INCOME HAS

THE GREATEST POTENTIAL TO MAKE POSITIVE CHANGE IN LOUISVILLE.

I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO REMEMBER THAT THERE ARE CURRENTLY ALMOST 7,000 PEOPLE ON THE WAITLIST FOR A VOUCHER IN LOUISVILLE. MANY PEOPLE WAIT MANY YEARS IF A VOUCHER.

UNFORTUNATELY WHEN THEY RECEIVE A VOUCHER AND BEGIN THEIR HOUSING SEARCH, THEY'RE CONFRONTED BY LANDLORDS THAT WON'T RENT TO THEM BECAUSE THEY'LL BE PAYING IT WITH A SECTION 8.

FROM LANDLORD PERSPECTIVE, I UNDERSTAND PARTICIPATING IN THE PROGRAM MAY CREATE ADDITIONAL STEPS.

WE DO KNOW THAT -- THAT LMHA HAS TAKEN STEPS, NOT ONLY TO REDUCE THE BURDEN ON LANDLORD BUT PROVIDE FINANCIAL INCENTIVES FOR THEM TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM.

IT ONLY WORKS IF PRIVATE LANDLORD ARE WILLING TO ACCEPT SUBSIDIES. WITHOUT A NONDISCRIMINATION LAW, THEY'RE UNABLE TO WORK.

THIS IS NOT THE ONLY PLACE WITH DISCRIMINATION AGAINST VOUCHER HOLDERS.

THIS IS FOR FAMILIES TO MOVE TO HIGHER OPPORTUNITY NEIGHBORHOODS. RESEARCH SHOWS THAT THERE'S NONDISCRIMINATION LAWS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTIONS IN THE SHARE OF LANDLORD THAT RECEIVE THE VOUCHERS.

I WANT TO EXPLAIN THE HOUSING HAD AND THE CLEAR HEALTH RAMIFICATIONS IT HAS DURING THIS HEALTH CRISIS THAT WE'RE CURRENTLY EXPERIENCING. WE KNOW THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE HOMELESS AND ARE LIVING IN ENCAMPMENTS AND FIND A LANDLORD THAT CAN RENT TO THEM CAN DOUBLE UP OR TRIPLE UP, ALL AT GREATER RISK OF INFECTION.

WHEN LANDLORD DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THESE GROUPS, IT DENIES THEM THE OPPORTUNITY TO KEEP THEMSELVES AND THE FAMILIES SAFE DURING THE PANDEMIC.

I HOPE YOU PASS THIS AND FOR GIVES ME THE TIME IT SPEAK.

>> BRENDA HARRELL.

BRENDA HARRELL.

>> I'M RIGHT HERE.

>> GO AHEAD, MA'AM.

>> GOOD EVENING AND THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY.

MY NAME IS BRENDA HARRELL, I WAS A MEMBER OF THE STATE AND REVIEW BOARD WORK GROUP.

THERE'S INCENTIVES AMONG THE MEMBERS OF THE WORK GROUP.

THAT'S BOARD MEMBERSHIPS.

AND THIS IS IN REGARDS TO -- AND ENTERTAIN ANY SUGGESTIONS TO THE MEMBERS.

SUGGESTIONS TO MEMBERSHIP AND WOULD REPRESENT THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY WERE MET WITH RIDICULE AND PERSONAL ATTEMPTS WERE IGNORED.

THERE'S A PROCESS FOR BOARD SELECTION.

ALL EMPLOYEES HAD THAT BENEFIT.

[INDISCERNIBLE] OUR MOST IMPORTANT, MAKES NO SENSE.

[INDISCERNIBLE] POSITIONS WITH JUDGMENTS AND OFFICERS ACTIONS WHILE FORMER OFFICERS AND THEIR FAMILIES ARE PROHIBITED FROM SERVICE.

WE MUST DO BETTER THAN THIS.

WE COULD AND SHOULD BE LOOKING -- LOOKING AT THIS AND THIS IS FOR

EVERYONE, C.I.B. DRAFTED IT DELIBERATELY AND DEFINITELY STACKED AGAINST POLICE OFFICERS AS IF THIS WOULD [INDISCERNIBLE] A FLOAT GROUP.

VOTE AGAINST THIS AND REASONABLE REVIEW OF CURRENT PROCESSES AND WHAT MUST BE DONE TO MAKE IT TRANSPARENT AND MEET WITH ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY.

SUCH A REVIEW IS CERTAINLY UNDER WAY.

THIS IS A KNEE JERK REACTION PUSHED AHEAD FOR THE TOP AND BOTTOM REVIEW THAT WAS ANNOUNCED LAST JUNE.

LET'S TAKE OUR TIME AND DO THIS RIGHT THE VERY FIRST TIME.

PLEASE VOTE NO.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

MARQUIS STONE, YOU'RE ON MUTE.

>> I LIVE IN THE WEST END AND THE THIRD PARTY VOUCHERS, LIKE I GOT VILLAGE, THIRD PARTY VOUCHERS AND I LIVE IN THE EAST.

I HAD TO MOVE BECAUSE I WAS JUDGED BY A THIRD PARTY VOUCHER.

THE HOUSE I LIVE IN, SOMEBODY GOT KILLED FOUR MONTHS AGO IN THIS HOUSE.

IT IS THE ONLY HOUSE THAT WOULD TAKE MY THIRD PARTY VOUCHER.

THIS IS FOR THIRD PARTY VOUCHERS.

I HAD A CHANCE TO LIVE IN THE EAST END ON THE LOOP AND BECAUSE I HAVE A THIRD PARTY VOUCHER AND IT IS THE SAME AS SECTION 8.

THANK YOU.

REVEREND ROOSEVELT JR.

>> I'M HERE TO SHARE WITH YOU IN GOOD FAITH, CITIZEN, GOODWILL,

AND SACRIFICE TIME AND EFFORT AND SERVICE ON -- ON THE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD WORK GROUP.

THIS WAS WORK AS YOU KNOW THAT TOOK PLACE.

S A -- AS YOU'RE AWARE, A CENSUS IS DIFFICULT TO TALLY.

THIS WAS IN RESPONSE TO THE CITIZENS FROM ALL SEGMENTS OF THIS COMMUNITY.

THE ACTIONS ARE VIEWED AS HEAVY HANDED AND ABUSIVE.

AND MANY FOR CITIZENS AND MANY ARE BLACK AT THE HAND OF THE POLICE ACCENTUATED DISTRUST OF THE POLICE AND EXISTING POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES.

THIS WOULD BE A REPRESENTATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD IN WHICH ALL CITIZENS COULD HAVE CONFIDENCE.

WE LOVE OUR CITY.

ONE OF MY FAVORITE SCRIPTURES, WHEN I WAS A CHILD, I UNDERSTOOD AS A CHILD, I THOUGHT -- WHEN I BECAME A MAN, I THREW AWAY CHILDISH THINGS.

TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS A BALANCED GOVERNMENT AND IF WE'RE NOT CAREFUL, WE COULD MISTAKENLY TIP THE SCALES OF JUSTICE IN FAVOR OF LAW ENFORCEMENT.

THESE DECISIONS ARE MADE AND THERE ARE THOSE WITH THOUGHTS ON EITHER SIDE OF THE ISSUE.

I WANT TO CONCLUDE BY ENCOURAGING THIS, AS WE'VE GROWN IN THE AWARENESS AND THE CENTRAL THREAT TO THE ECONOMY BY -- BY -- BY -- BY CITY.

WE MUST ENCOURAGE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND CONFIDENCE.

I COULD ENCOURAGE YOU THIS EVENING TO LET YOUR INDEPENDENT, BEST COMPASSIONATE INFLUENCES GUIDE YOU AS YOU VOTE AND HOPEFULLY YOUR VOTE WILL BE TO FOLLOW THE LEADERSHIP OF MCCRANEY ON THIS ISSUE.

>> YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED, SIR.

>> I THANK YOU, FOR THE OPPORTUNITY.

GOD BLESS YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> HOLLY SUMMERS.

>> HELLO.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, MA'AM, YOU CAN GO AHEAD.

>> GOOD EVENING I'M FROM THE COMMONWEALTH DISTRICT.

TODAY YOU'LL VOTE ON OUR DEVELOPMENT FUND AT THE STATION.

THIS FUNDING WOULD BRING OUR -- OUR [INDISCERNIBLE] OF MAKING A CRISIS TO SCHOOLS AND DISTRICTS.

THIS IS A HYBRID PRODUCTION THAT BRINGS TO LIGHT PIVOTAL MOMENTS FROM THE CIVIL RIGHTS ERA.

IT IS DESIGNED IN SUCH A WAY TO GIVE THE STUDENTS THE ABILITY TO -- IT RECOGNIZE THEIR OWN COMMONALITIES WITH THESE CHARACTERS AND TEACHING ARTISTS IN REALTIME, CREATE AN INTERACTIVE ENVIRONMENT FOR CHAS ROOM DISCUSSION.

THIS IS VERY CRITICAL WHEN WE'RE IN A TIME WHERE OPPORTUNITIES FOR STUDENT ENGAGEMENT IS SCARCE.

THIS IS LONGSTANDING, YOU SUPPORTED OUR PRODUCTION FOR YEARS.
WE CAN'T THANK YOU ENOUGH FOR THAT SUPPORT AND FOR CONTINUING.
ESPECIALLY DURING THESE TIMES WHEN WE'RE WORKING OVERTIME TO OFFER
CONTENT IN A SAFE WAY.

I WANT TO SEND A BIG THANK YOU TO COUNCIL MEMBERS.

THEY HAVE ALL SIGNED THIS PRODUCTION AND WE WANT TO THANK COUNCILMAN
FOR SPONSORING OUR APPLICATION AND FILING THE PAPERWORK AND MAKE SURE
THAT THIS REACHES STUDENTS ACROSS METRO LOUISVILLE.

VERY IMPORTANT.

OUR STUDENTS HAVE BEEN THROUGH A LOT THIS YEAR.

YOU ALL ARE GIVING THEM A SAFE SPACE TO REFLECT AND LEARN ABOUT A
CRUCIAL MOMENT IN AMERICAN'S HISTORY.

WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

>> THAT CHOOSE THE ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL.

>> NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES.

METRO COUNCIL 2020, ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> SECOND BY SMITH.

THESE ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE COMMITTEE MINUTES IN 2020.

NOVEMBER 5TH, 2020.

REGULAR COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION AND PLANNING AND ZONING,
LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, NOVEMBER 10, 2020.

REGULAR OVERSIGHT AND REGULAR PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE, REGULAR

APPROPRIATIONS NOVEMBER 11TH, 2020.

BUDGET COMMITTEE, NOVEMBER 12, 2020.

AND SPECIAL PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AND REGULAR COMMITTEE ON
COMMITTEES.

MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL? THESE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS
WRITTEN.

ZOO FOUNDATION BOARD - CLEO

BATTLE, DEBBIE KING, AND PATTI

DALE TYE (REAPPOINTMENTS). METRO

COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE

REAPPOINTMENTS IS NOT REQUIRED.

11.16.20.PDF KENTUCKY SCIENCE

CENTER BOARD - RYAN SAXTON (NEW
APPOINTMENT).

METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS

APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED 17.

ID 20-1600 ATTACHMENTS: APT

KENTUCKY SCIENCE CENTER BOARD

11.16.20.PDF 18. ID 20-1601

EXTENSION BOARD - LATONIA

WATKINS (REAPPOINTMENT)

ATTACHMENTS: APT EXTENSION BOARD

11.16.20.PDF 19. ID 20-1602

LANDMARKS COMMISSION BOARD -

CARRYE JONES (REAPPOINTMENT)
ATTACHMENTS: APT LANDMARKS
COMMISSION BOARD 11.16.20.PDF
20. ID 20-1603 BOARD OF ZONING
ADJUSTMENT - KIMBERLY LEANHART
(REAPPOINTMENT) ATTACHMENTS: APT
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND
BOARD - APREL DOHERTY (NEW
APPOINTMENT), MARILYN HARRIS
(REAPPOINTMENT) 21. ID 20-1604
HOUSING TRUST FUND BOARD
PLANNING COMMISSION BOARD -
PATRICIA CLARE (NEW APPOINTMENT)
CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
BOARD - YOLANDA MOORE (NEW
APPOINTMENT) AND DONALD VISH
PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES BOARD
- STEVE HENDRICKS (NEW
APPOINTMENT), MARCELLUS MAYES
(REAPPOINTMENT), DONNA FOX
APT CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
DOWNTOWN MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW OVERLAY

DISTRICT BOARD - GEORGE
TIMMERING (NEW APPOINTMENT) AND
MARLENE MEN (REAPPOINTMENT) 27.
DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT REVIEW
LIBRARY ADVISORY COMMISSION
BOARD - BRANDON NAKASATO (NEW
APPOINTMENT), ALLISON STRICKLAND
(NEW APPOINTMENT), AARON MILLER
(REAPPOINTMENT), AND LISA PEARCE
(BOARD SEAT REAPPOINTMENT)
ADVISORY COMMISSION BOARD
TREE ADVISORY COMMITTEE BOARD -
STEVE WOODWORTH (NEW
APPOINTMENT) 29. ID 20-1612
METRO TREE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
>> NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS CONSENT CALENDAR.
ITEMS 30 THROUGH 37.
ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS?
LEGISLATION FORWARDED FROM
BUDGET COMMITTEE A RESOLUTION
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT
\$1,896,115.64 FROM THE CENTER
FOR TECH AND CIVIC LIFE
PROMOTING THE PLANNING AND

OPERATIONALIZING OF JEFFERSON
COUNTY'S 2020 SAFE AND SECURE
ELECTIONS.

BILL HOLLANDER (D-9)

METRO COUNCIL ASSIGNED TO THE
BUDGET COMMITTEE ACTION REQUIRED
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED FOR

APPROVAL A RESOLUTION

AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE AND USE
OF FUNDS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS

APPROPRIATION AS AUTHORIZED IN
ORDINANCE 073, SERIES 2020 OF
THE FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021

OPERATING ORDINANCE AND

ORDINANCE 109, SERIES 2020.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL A

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR

TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT AND

RECEIVE A STIPEND FROM THE

KENTUCKY REGIONAL PLANNING AND

DEVELOPMENT AGENCY IN THE AMOUNT

OF \$130,000 TO SUPPORT TECHNICAL

OR PROFESSIONAL PLANNING/DATA
COLLECTION SERVICES AS INDICATED
IN THE KENTUCKY REGIONAL
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
AGENCY'S FISCAL YEAR 2021
UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM.

35. R-142-20 SPONSORS: PRIMARY
BRANDON COAN (D-8) ATTACHMENTS:
R-142-20 V.1 110520 - FISCAL
YEAR 2021 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK
LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT PAGE
6 PRINTED ON 11/19/2020 METRO
COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA - FINAL
NOVEMBER 19, 2020 11/5/20 METRO
COUNCIL ASSIGNED TO THE LABOR
AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
COMMITTEE ACTION REQUIRED BY:

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 26 YES VOTES.

THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

>> READ ITEM 38.

PROPOSED FAM 111920 AMEND RULE
10.08 RE ACCEPTING RESUMES TO
FILL VACANCIES.PDF R-151-20 V.1

>> MOTIONED AND SECONDED.

RESOLUTION BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

HANG ON.

THIS IS THE ONE THAT YOU SAY AMENDED.

NO?

>> THIS IS ONE WE NEED TO OFFER AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU, IN PRESIDENT.

THIS IS A -- THIS IS A RESOLUTION THAT -- THAT SETS A PROCESS FOR RELAYING A MEMBER.

IN THE RULES, IT IS REQUIRED FOR MAIL-IN.

THERE'S CONCERN ABOUT THE TURNAROUND TIME WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE THESE DAYS.

AN AMENDMENT WAS MADE OR THE CHANGES MADE SO THAT WE COULD DO THIS VIA E-MAIL.

I DID ASK IN THE COMMITTEE IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO STILL ALLOW FOR SOMEONE THAT MIGHT WANT TO MAIL IN THEIR APPLICATION.

WE AMENDED IT TO CONCLUDE AND SOMEBODY COULD SEND IT IN BY CERTIFIED MAIL AS LONG AS IT WAS RECEIVED FOUR DAYS AFTER -- AFTER THE CERTIFIED DATE.

>> MOTION.

SECOND.

WE HAVE SECOND BY PIAGENTINI.

ANY DISCUSSION.

HEARING NONE.

THIS IS RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE, NO ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

NEXT WE HAVE THE RESOLUTION BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE RESOLUTION?

HEARING ANYONE, THIS IS A RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE?

NO.

VOICE VOTE?

THANK YOU.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED?

>> THE AYES HAVE IT AND THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

READ ITEM 39.

METRO COUNCIL A RESOLUTION

APPROVING THE SCHEDULE OF THE

REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE

LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY

METRO GOVERNMENT (Â€COUNCILÂ€)

FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2021.

MOTION AND SECONDED, ANY DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS A RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

OPPOSED?

>> ITEM 40.

KENDALL BOYD'S HOUSING

DISCRIMINATION ORDINANCE

HOUSING DISCRIMINATION ORDINANCE

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I'M SO EXCITED ABOUT THIS ORDINANCE, IT HAS BEEN A LONG TIME COMING.

WE BEGAN WORKING ON IT OVER A YEAR AGO AND HAVE INTENTIONALLY SLOW WALTZED IT TO MAKE SURE IT IS SOMETHING THAT WILL GUANTANAMO BAY FOR ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY AND SO THAT WE COULD ENGAGE DIFFERENT POCKETS FOR THE COMMUNITY.

I WANT TO THANK THE CO-SPONSORS, GREEN, JAMES, HOLLANDER, SEXTON-SMITH AND THEN I WANT TO THANK JASON FOWLER WHO BEGAN WORKING WITH ME AND CONTINUED TO WORK WITH US.

ALSO LIKE TO THANK KENDALL BOYD IN HIS FORMER ROLE AND CURRENT ROLE, HE WAS INVALUABLE RESOURCE ON THE PROJECT.

THIS LEGISLATION WOULD NOT BE HERE TODAY WITHOUT THEIR HARD WORK. ALSO THE FAIR HOUSING ADVOCATES AND IF WE WORK THE SYSTEM AND TO BOLSTER FAIR HOUSING IN THE CITY.

I WANT TO GIVE A SPECIAL SHOUT OUT FOR THOSE THAT WORK ON THIS. SOMETIMES WE SIT AND LISTEN TO THE VOICES IN THE ROOM AND WORK TOGETHER.

WHO KNEW WHEN WE WORKED ON THIS ORDINANCE THAT WE WOULD ENTER INTO A PANDEMIC AN AREA FOR ACCESS FOR HOUSING IS EVEN MORE IMPORTANT. THERE WILL BE MONEY THAT IS PAID IF THE PROPERTY IS DAMAGED OR IF THE LEASE IS BROKEN.

THIS IS A WIN FOR RESIDENTS AND LANDLORDS.

ADDITIONALLY, YOU SHOULD NOT BE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BASED ON YOUR ARREST HISTORY.

ARREST SHOULD NEVER UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE BE USED AS AN INDICATION OF WHETHER OR NOT SOMEONE IS AN APPROPRIATE CANDIDATE.

ADDITIONALLY, THERE ARE ONLY A FEW, SEVERAL THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED EVEN AFTER SOME [INDISCERNIBLE].

IF YOU HAVE NOT BEEN CONVICTED OF CRIMINAL OFFENSE AND EVEN WHEN YOU HAVE BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

THERE'S OFFENSES WHERE THAT IS RELATIVE TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU WILL BE CONSIDERED.

I'LL OFFER AN AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION COLLEAGUES THAT IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME ORDINANCE THAT PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE, THE NEW VERSION PULLS OUT THE OFFENSES THAT ARE EXCEPTIONS UNDER THE CRIMINAL CONVICTION SECTION AND WILL BE PULLED OUT IS THEY'RE EASY TO UNDERSTAND IF THE LANDLORDS.

THIS IS CONSISTENT WHERE WE HAVE THE ORDINANCE AND IT IS CONSISTENT

WITH THE CURRENT STATUS OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAW AND RELATES TO SECTION 8 PROGRAMS.

WE ADDED LANGUAGE IN THIS AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION BUT THE LOSS OF FORCE OF INCOME PORTION, THE PORTION THAT INCLUDES THE VOUCHER PROGRAM WON'T GO IN EFFECT YET TO ALLOW THE LANDLORD TO BE FAMILIAR. 2020 HAS BEEN AN ISSUE OBSESSED WITH EQUITY FOR THIS CITY AND THE COUNCIL.

THIS ORDINANCE AND ANOTHER ONE COMING UP BEHIND IT WHICH IS ALSO ON FOR TONIGHT REPRESENTS SOME OF THE BEST EXAMPLES OF WHAT WE AS A BODY HAVE PROPOSED TO DO IN OUR QUEST FOR SEEKING JUSTICE FOR ALL.

I DON'T KNOW, PRESIDENT, I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER A MOTION TO HAVE THE AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION IN FRONT OF US AT THIS POINT.

>> THEY COULD.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MOTION MADE AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

ANY DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION, PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT.

WE HAVE THE AMENDED ORDINANCE ON THE FLOOR.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> JUST PRESIDENT, AGAIN, THE AMENDMENT IS -- IS CONSISTENT WITH

WHAT WE ALREADY HAVE, AND JUST THE FACT THAT WE PULLED OUT -- WE ATTEMPTED SO WE'RE EASILY IDENTIFIABLE AS YOU'RE ABLE TO LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE AND WE'LL BE DELAYED AND THIS PORTION IS IN MARCH 3RD.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I'LL BE BRIEF BECAUSE -- COUNCILWOMAN THANKED EVERY I WANTED TO THANK EXCEPT FOR HER.

I APPRECIATE WE HAD AGREEMENT THAT PUSHED LISA TO MAKE THE VOUCHER PROGRAM WORK BETTER IN THIS COMMUNITY.

THIS HAS BEEN THE SORT OF LEGITIMATE CONCERN ABOUT THIS PROGRAM. WE FIXED THAT AND REALLY HAVE -- HAVE A MODEL PROGRAM AT THIS POINT. VERY INNOVATIVE ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. REMOVING BARRIERS AND -- AND INCREASING HOUSING IS WHAT THIS ORDINANCE IS ALL ABOUT.

I URGE YOU TO APPROVE IT.

THIS CAN BE -- THIS CAN BE AND WILL BE IF THIS ORDINANCE IS APPROVED A VERY GOOD SAFE, FAIR AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> THANK YOU.

THUMBS UP.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, IN PRESIDENT.

MR. PRESIDENT, IF YOU COULD INDULGE ME, I WOULD LIKE TO
[INDISCERNIBLE].

>> YES.

QUESTION DIRECTLY TO COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, YOU MENTIONED AND COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER,
THIS WAS NOT IN THE CONTEXT OF HAVING PEOPLE MORE OPTIONS WHERE THEY
COULD USE VOUCHERS BUT RATHER THIS IS ONE OF THOSE PROGRAMS
THAT -- THAT LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY, IN AN IDEAL WORLD IT WAS RUN
BEAUTIFULLY.

THERE'S -- THE PROBLEM HAS BEEN THE EXECUTION OF IT AND NOT THE
INTENTION.

COULD YOU POINT TO THE AREA, IF YOU MENTION -- THERE WAS ONE BIG
HANG-UP WAS AROUND PARTICULARLY THE LACK OF -- OF -- PARDON ME THE
LACK OF SECURITY DEPOSIT THAT COMES WITH THE VOUCHER PROGRAM.

I'VE SEEN OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE DONE THIS AND DONE PRECISELY WHAT
YOU SAID AND CREATE FUND FOR FUNDING MECHANISMS TO ASSIST LANDLORDS
IF THERE IS DAMAGE TO SOMEBODY THAT USED THE VOUCHER PROGRAM AND -- I'M
IN THE RESOLUTION AND I WAS LOOKING FOR IT, COULDN'T FIND IT.

COULD YOU POINT OUT THE AREAS THAT TALKED 72 HOURS OF FUNDING.

>> THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION.

THAT LANGUAGE IS NOT ACTUALLY IN THE ORDINANCE ITSELF, IT IS -- IT
IS IN THE NEW POLICY THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- WITH THE HOUSING PROGRAMS

THEY HAVE ADOPTED.

SO I SENT OUT SOMETHING A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO.

THERE IS A PORTION ADOPTED THAT DOES ADDRESS THE -- THE DEPOSIT.

AND YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU RIGHT NOW?

>> SEE IF I COULD FIND IT.

>> AND THEN, COUNCILMAN, WHEN YOU DO FIND IT, YOU DON'T HAVE TO FIND THE EXACT LANGUAGE, AS LONG AS I UNDERSTOOD WHERE IT IS, THAT'S HELPFUL.

THEN THE ONLY OTHER QUESTION, JUST OUT OF CURIOSITY, WHERE DOES THAT FUNDING SOURCE COME FROM.

>> H.U.D. FUNDS.

>> FEDERAL MONEY, NOT THE LOCAL MONEY.

ALL FEDERAL MONEY.

THEY HAVE THE COMMITTEE QUOTED AND ALLOWS THEM TO BE ABLE TO UTILIZE THE RESOURCES AND THE INCENTIVE MONEY AND DEPOSIT MONEY.

THAT'S NOT WE HAVE AS A CITY HAVE, IT IS ALL THE FED.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN, THANK YOU.

WHAT I WAS -- I THINK THE CLARITY IS EXCELLENT.

I APPRECIATE THAT IN THERE AND OPPOSED TO GOING THROUGH AND BEING MORE CLEAR UP FRONT.

SO CONGRATULATIONS AND ALSO THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME IF A DIFFICULT AND WELL NEEDED CHANGE FOR HOUSING POLICY.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> COUNCILWOMAN GREEN HAS WORKED HARD AND CLEARED UP A LOT OF THINGS IN MY MIND.

I'M GOING TO THANK -- I DON'T WANT TO PUT COLD WATER ON PEOPLE THAT WORKED HARD ON STUFF.

I DON'T FEEL STRONG ENOUGH TO SAY NO, BUT I HAVE RENTAL PROPERTY AND I DON'T BELIEVE IN ANYBODY DISCRIMINATING AGAINST ANYBODY, BUT SOMETIMES I WORRY ABOUT WHEN OTHER PEOPLE GET INVOLVED.

I DON'T KNOW HOW BIG THE PROBLEM IS BECAUSE IT COULD BE REALLY BIG. I'M NOT REALLY INVOLVED IN IT.

I'M ABSTAINING.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> YES.

THANK YOU.

I'M IN THE EXACT SAME PLACE AS COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

I APPRECIATE THE ORDINANCE AND ALL OF THE HARD WORK.

I TOO HAVE HAD -- HAVE HAD A RENTAL PROPERTY AND -- AND -- AND TWO DIFFERENT RENTERS.

IT WAS NOT PRIVATE.

DID NOT LIKE IT.

IT WAS NOT A GOOD EXPERIENCE AT ALL.

I'M THINKING THAT THIS KIND OF MANDATE AND ORDINANCE, IN THE PROCESS,

IT WILL BE FINE FOR LARGE COMPLEXES THAT HAVE MANAGEMENT COMPANIES.
MY CONCERN IS FOR THE SMALL LANDLORD THAT HAS ONE OR TWO PROPERTIES
AND I CAN SEE WHERE IT WOULD KIND OF PUT THEM IN A BIND.

I SOLD MY RENTAL PROPERTY BECAUSE IT WAS DIFFICULT.

I CAN'T IMAGINE HAVING MORE LAYERS ON TOP OF THAT.

SO THAT'S MY CONCERN.

SO -- SO I -- I HAVE ANOTHER HOME.

MY DAUGHTER IS LIVING IN IT RIGHT NOW.

SO I'M WORRIED THERE.

I'M GOING TO ABSTAIN TO -- TO -- TOO, JUST BECAUSE OF THAT PERSONAL
CONCERN AS WELL.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

I THINK COUNCILWOMAN GREEN AND HOLLANDER DID A GREAT JOB.

I LIKE TO BE ADDED AS A CO-SPONSOR.

>> BE ADDED AS CO SPONSOR AND COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> THANK YOU TO MY COLLEAGUES AND ESPECIALLY GREEN, I REMEMBER
WHEN WE STARTED THIS ENDEAVOR, I WOULD BE ASKED TO BE A CO-SPONSOR
AND WORKING DILIGENTLY IS GREATLY APPRECIATED.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES AND ONE ABSTENTION AND ONE PRESENT VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> READ ITEM 41.

ORDINANCE CREATING THE CIVILIAN

REVIEW & ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD

AND THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR

GENERAL, AND ABOLISHING THE

CITIZEN COMMISSION ON POLICE

ACCOUNTABILITY (AMENDMENT BY

SUBSTITUTION) (AS AMENDED).

READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION TO ACCEPT.

>> SECOND.

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

>> I WANT TO THANK THE COUNCIL MEMBERS FOR THEIR HARDWORK ON THIS AND THE MEMBERS OF THE REVIEW BOARD THAT HAVE WORKED ON THIS THE LAST FIVE MONTHS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN AND CHAIR OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE.

THERE'S MANY TRAITS TO LOOK FOR IN A COLLEAGUE.

ONE IS TO COMPROMISE BECAUSE IT CAN BE MY WAY OR THE HIGHWAY TERRITORY. YOU KNOW I'M A FORMER BANKER AND ALL THROUGH MY CAREER AS A BANKER, I HAVE HEARD THE SAYING THAT IF TWO PARTIES WALK AWAY FROM A TABLE FEELING LIKE THEY GOT A BAD DEAL, THEN THE COMPROMISE OR THE NEGOTIATION IS FAIR.

WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY TO YOU IS, WE WORKED AS A TEAM.

WE FOUGHT THE GOOD FIGHT.

WE ACTUALLY FOUGHT FOR REAL AND IT WAS A GOOD FIGHT.

IT REALLY WAS.

TONIGHT THIS ORDINANCE COMES TO FRUITION BY VIRTUE OF MAYOR FISHER AND PRESIDENT JAMES WHO PUT TOGETHER A 33-MEMBER WORK GROUP WHO LABORED FOR FIVE MONTHS TO PUT TOGETHER AN ORDINANCE THAT WE FEEL WOULD WORK FOR EVERYONE IN THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE.

TOGETHER WE HAD -- HAD THOUGHTS AND OUR OWN IDEAS.

WE RESEARCHED.

WE LOOKED AT EVERY AVENUE OF CIVILIAN REVIEW AND WHAT IT MEANT AND WHAT IT COULD MEAN TO THE CITY.

AS A WORK GROUP, WE CAME UP WITH A SOLID PIECE OF LEGISLATION THAT I FEEL IS GOOD FOR THIS COMMUNITY.

I WANT TO THANK MY CO-CHAIR ELLEN HANSEN AND A SPECIAL THANK YOU AND A SPECIAL PLACE IN MY HEART FOR HOLLY HOPKINS AND ALICE LYONS WHO WORKED DILIGENTLY WITH ME.

THEY WERE PATIENT WITH ME, I PUSHED ON THEM AND THEY PUSHED ON ME.

THIS IS WHAT MAKES A WONDERFUL PIECE OF LEGISLATION.

SO THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU TO THE 33 MEMBERS OF THIS WORK GROUP.

I CAN'T THANK THEM ENOUGH BECAUSE THEY -- THEY -- THEY PUT IN THE TIME.

THEY DID THE WORK.

IT WAS NOT A RUSH JOB.

I WOULD LIKE FOR MYSELF FOR IT TO BE ONE AND DONE.

ONE MONTH DONE, THAT'S HOW MUCH I WANT TO GET IT DONE.

BUT I HAD TO BE PATIENT WITH THE PROCESS.

WE EXTENDED TIME SO THAT WE COULD -- COULD EVEN WORK ON IT MORE.

I BELIEVE A LOT HAS BEEN DONE TO MAKE THIS WHAT WE CAN ALL BE PROUD OF.

JUST LIKE ANY FAMILY, THERE'S DISAGREEMENTS.

LIKE A FAMILY, THOSE DISAGREEMENTS JUST FADE AWAY BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT WE'RE FAMILY.

I BELIEVE THAT LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL, ALL 26 OF US, ARE FAMILY MEMBERS.

UNLIKE A REAL FAMILY WHEN WE HAVE DISAGREEMENTS IT AFFECTS 26 DISTRICTS, EQUATING TO OVER 700,000 PEOPLE.

SO IT BEHOVES US TO TAKE OUR DISAGREEMENTS AND FIGHT IT OUT UNTIL WE COME TO AN AGREEMENT THAT WOULD SATISFY THE MASSES, MAYBE NOT EVERYONE, BUT THE MASSES.

AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'VE DONE WITH THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION

AND I CAN'T SAY IT ENOUGH, BUT I FEEL GOOD ABOUT WHAT WE'RE ABOUT TO PRESENT AND I WANT TO DO SO BUT BEFORE I DO SO, I WANT TO SAY ALSO THAT AS -- AS THE FAMILY, IF ONE PERSON IS HURTING THEN WE'RE ALL HURTING.

AND I DON'T KNOW THIS TO BE TRUE BUT I WOULD SUSPECT THAT ONE OF US MIGHT BE AND I WOULD SAY THIS, IF THAT'S THE CASE, THEN KNOW WE'RE FAMILY AND WE'RE ALL TOGETHER IN THIS THING.

BUT I WANT TO ESPECIALLY SAY, ESPECIALLY SAY TO ONE OF MY FAMILY MEMBERS, AND THAT'S -- THAT'S -- THAT'S -- EXCUSE ME.

AND THAT IS COUNCILMAN KRAMER THAT I INVITED FOUR PEOPLE TO SPEAK AT THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE ON LAST WEEK.

AND ONE OF THEM PRESENTED FROM HIS HEART SOMETHING THAT -- THAT ADDRESSED YOU PERSONALLY.

AND I JUST KNOW I COULD STOP IN THE MIDDLE OF THE SENTENCE BECAUSE IT WASN'T MY MEETING, I WANT TO SAY NOW I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT.

SHE WAS UNAWARE THAT WE SHOULDN'T ADDRESS A COUNCIL MEMBER BY NAME. I HOPE MY APOLOGY IS ACCEPTED.

WITH THAT SAID, FAMILY I WANT TO PRESENT TO YOU AND THE COMMUNITY A SUBSTITUTION, A FLOOR AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION.

THERE ARE A FEW FINER DETAILS WHICH ARE HOUSEKEEPING THINGS THAT I WANT TO GO OVER FIRST.

THEN I WANT TO GET INTO THREE SECTIONS AND TAKE THEM ONE AT A TIME. IS THAT APPROPRIATE, MR. PRESIDENT?

>> YES, MA'AM, I THINK YOU'RE NOT DOING A SUBSTITUTION, YOU INTEND

TO -- TO --

>> FOUR AMENDMENTS.

>> YOU'RE DOING FOUR AMENDMENTS.

YOU'RE SUBSTITUTING THE WHOLE DOCUMENT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> I HOPE EACH OF YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO PULL THE AMENDMENT AND I HOPE IT IS BEFORE YOU YOU BECAUSE I'M GOING TO BASICALLY GO -- GO -- FROM ONE PAGE TO THE NEXT.

AT THE TOP IT SAYS AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION AND WE TAKE OUT THE AS AMENDED.

ON PAGE 2 THERE HAS BEEN ADDED A WHEREAS AND IT SAYS, WHEREAS CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD SHOULD PROVIDE OBJECTIVE AND INDEPENDENT CIVILIAN LED OVERSIGHT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENHANCE TRANSPARENCY AND TRUST TO PROMOTE FAIR AND EFFECTIVE POLICING AND TO PROBABLY THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE.

ADDED TO THE DOCUMENT ON PAGE THREE AT THE BOTTOM.

WE -- WE STRIKE THE WORD ORGANIZATION AND SUBSTITUTE IT FOR ENTITY. SAME THING ON THE TOP OF PAGE FOUR, STRIKE OUT ORGANIZATION, THE WORD ENTITY IS THERE.

THEN ON PAGE -- WE'RE MOVING ON TO PAGE FIVE AND B2 WHERE IT SAYS SECTION 7631 AND SUBSECTION A OF THIS SECTION.

WE ADD A ONE.

PAGE SEVEN.

NO ONE FOREIGN OR CIVILIAN SHALL BE ELIGIBLE TO SERVE ON THE BOARD

AND THREE THE KENTUCKY PD AND POLICE DEPARTMENT WITH THE COMMONWEALTH
AND THE COMMONWEALTH WITH KENTUCKY AND WE CATEGORIZED IT AS
ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

YOU STILL WITH ME.

>> WE'RE WITH YOU.

>> ON PAGE SEVEN, IT IS JUST A WORD WE'RE STRIKING OUT ON A1
NEGLECT OF DUTY AND NOT LIMITED TO A FAILURE AND WE STRIKE OUT THE
EXTRA WORD THE, OR "THE" AND PAGE 9 WE'RE STRIKING OUT THE WORD AND
AND PUTTING IT AT THE PROCEDURES AND NAMING STAFF.

SECTION 36.7A, A NEWLY AND INSTEAD OF APPOINTED WE'RE SAYING ALL NEW
BOARD MEMBERS.

THEN SECTION B, NO -- I'M SORRY.

FOLLOW ME TO PAGE 12, IF YOU WILL.

SECTION TEN, INSTEAD OF SAYING THE SEARCH COMMITTEE, WE'RE
SUBSTITUTING THE WORD THE FOR A.

A SEARCH COMMITTEE SHALL BE ESTABLISHED BY THE MAYOR TO SEEK QUALIFIED
APPLICANTS FOR POSITION OF INSPECTOR GENERAL AND THAT SHALL NOT BE
LIMITED TO GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS.

WE STRIKE OUT B AND WE ADD THE WORDS THE SEARCH COMMITTEE WHICH SHALL,
INCLUDES THE REVIEW BOARD AND VICE-CHAIR AND DESIGNATE BID THE CHAIR
AND THE PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL AND A MEMBER OF COUNCIL DESIGNATED BY
THE PRESIDENT SHALL INTERVIEW AND SELECT APPLICANTS WHO MEET THE
QUALIFICATIONS ESTABLISHED IN SECTION 36.8 AND IN C WE'RE STRIKING
OUT THE WORD A AND SUBSTITUTING THE WORD B IN THAT SENTENCE AND ON

PAGE 13, SECTION 11, WE'RE STRIKING OUT A COUPLE OF WORKS SO IT COULD READ THE INSPECTOR GENERAL IS NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE -- TO HAVE LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE AND I WILL COME BACK TO THAT.

SECTION 14, IT IS THE FIRST PARAGRAPH WE'RE STRIKING AT THE LAST SENTENCE, CANDIDATES WITH AN EDUCATIONAL AND WORK BACKGROUND IN LAW AND CRIMINAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS.

PAGE 15, SECTION 13, 36.82, WE'RE REMOVING THE ROMAN NUMERAL 15 THAT WAS THERE BY TYPO AND IN -- IN B WE ADDED ONE, THEY WILL ESTABLISH THE ORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL.

THEN WE MOVE DOWN TO NUMBER BETWEEN WHERE WE'RE ADDING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL AND ALL PERSONNEL WITHIN THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL SHALL COMPLETE THE SAME 16 HOURS OF TRAINING OVER TWO DAYS THAT IS REQUIRED OF THE CIVILIAN REVIEW AND ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD MEMBERS UNDER LMCO36.74A1 AND MINIMUM OF 40 HOURS ACCOMPANYING ONDUTY LMPD PERSONNEL MADE UP OF ONE, A MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS COMPLETED IN VARYING PATROL AREAS DURING TWO NIGHT SHIFTS OR A SERIES OF NIGHT SHIFTS SO A MINIMUM OF 12 HOURS IN PATROL AREAS AND A MINIMUM OF FOUR HOURS COMPLETED WITH AN INVESTIGATIVE UNIT.

THEN PAGE 19 AND SECTION C, WE'RE ADDING THE WORD THE CIVILIAN REVIEW AND ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD.

THAT'S INVESTIGATIONS AND REPORTING AND ON PAGE 21 IS THE SIGNATURE SHOWING THIS IS A FLOOR AMENDMENT.

SO MR. PRESIDENT, I LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE ADOPT THESE CHANGES, ACCEPT THESE CHANGES INTO THE FLOOR AMENDMENT AND THEN I LIKE TO GO BACK

AND ADDRESS SOME -- SOME -- SOME SECTIONS INDIVIDUALLY.

>> WE HAVE A SECOND BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

ANY DISCUSSION?

>> I WANT TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT THE AMENDMENT BEFORE WE VOTE ON IT.
ALL RIGHT.

DID YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO THE AMENDMENT BEING OFFERED BY COUNCILWOMAN
MCCRANEY?

MR. PRESIDENT THAT WAS OUR LAST PIECE OF LEGISLATION ON THE HOUSING.
SOMETHING GOING ON WE'RE GETTING DIFFERENT TEXTS ABOUT WHAT WE SHOULD
BE IN.

THAT'S WHY I WAS IN, I'M CONFUSED AND I DON'T KNOW WHICH NUMBER I
GET A MESSAGE I'M IN THIS QUEUE OR THAT QUEUE.

I DON'T KNOW ABOUT ANYONE ELSE, BUT NO, SIR I DON'T HAVE DISCUSSION
ON THAT.

SORRY.

>> COUNCILMAN FOWLER.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE -- WITH THE
AMENDMENT, I JUST HAD A QUESTION -- I GOT AN E-MAIL FROM SOMEONE LATE
IN THE DAY AND I WANTED TO CHECK WITH THE COUNTY ATTORNEY AND I'LL
WAIT.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU.

I DO WANT TO START BY THANKING EVERYBODY THAT WAS INVOLVED IN THE

PROCESS, OBVIOUSLY, SPECIAL KUDOS TO -- -- TO COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY FOR CO-CHAIRING THIS.

A LOT OF HARD WORK WENT INTO DRAFTING THIS.

I AM GOING TO -- TO -- -- TO OVERALL, I THINK WE HAVE A VERY GOOD PIECE OF LEGISLATION HERE.

THIS AMENDMENT -- IS NEW TO ME, I JUST HEARD ABOUT IT RECENTLY.

AND THANK YOU FOR THE WALK THROUGH OF THE DIFFERENT AMENDMENTS AREAS.

OR HAD YOU IT IMPACTS THE EXISTING LEGISLATION THAT CAME OUT OF COMMITTEE.

I WANT TO BE CLEAR WHAT I'M VOTING NO ON IS THE AMENDMENT.

I THINK WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE US ALREADY IS A NICE PIECE OF LEGISLATION.

SPECIFICALLY, PARTICULARLY THE SECTION AROUND APPOINTING GROUPS THAT

ARE AVAILABLE TO A POINT TO THE BOARD AND THE RULES STIPULATE THAT

WE WILL NOT HAVE EXISTING LAW ENFORCEMENT, FAMILY LAW ENFORCEMENT

TOTALLY MAKE SENSE AND YOU'LL BE OPERATED FOR THREE YEARS AND THAT

TOTALLY MAKES SENSE BUT AS I'VE DONE A LITTLE RESEARCH ABOUT THIS,

I FOUND THAT MANY OF -- MANY OF THESE CIVIL REVIEW BOARDS ALLOW AND

IN MANY CASES MANDATE AND EVEN THE LEGISLATION HERE ALLOWS FOR ONE

FORMER LAW ENFORCEMENT PROFESSIONAL BE PART OF THE BOARD.

OF COURSE MEETING THE OTHER STIPULATION.

THERE MAY BE SOME LAW ENFORCEMENT BODY, NOT THE MAJORITY.

THAT WASN'T REFLECTED IN THE CURRENT VERSION.

BUT SOME LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES THAT PUT FORTH --

>> [INDISCERNIBLE] PLEASE.

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> THERE'S AMENDMENT ON THE FLOOR.

>> YES, MA'AM, HE'S SPEAKING -- UNDER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> WE HAVE NOT DISCUSSED THAT, THAT HE'S REFERRING TO. YOU'RE SAYING YOU HAVE NOT PUT THAT FORWARD AS A DISCUSSION POINT, AT THIS POINT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I'M CONFUSED, THE CURRENT VERSION INCLUDES THE KENTUCKY ASSOCIATION OF POLICE, THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY AND THE COUNCIL AND THOSE UNDER SECTION FIVE AND THERE'S SECTION TWO, LETTER A AND SUB4, GH AND I AND THE SUBFOUR OF THE SECOND DOCUMENT. THIS REMOVES THOSE WITH THE BAR ASSOCIATION AND THAT'S WHAT I'M DISCUSSING.

BEFORE WE VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT, I'M DISCUSSING THAT CHANGE AND WHY I'LL VOTE AGAINST THE AMENDMENT WHICH WOULD RETAIN THIS.

>> THIS IS YOUR DISCUSSION ABOUT THE AMENDMENT AND HIS WISHING TO STAY THE WAY IT IS.

PLEASE CONTINUE, COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> I WILL SUMMARIZE.

I DON'T WANT TO DRAG THIS OUT.

I THINK IT WOULD BEHOVE US TO KEEP ORGANIZATIONS IN THAT ALLOWED FOR THE NOMINATION OR THE CONSIDERATION OF OR SOMEBODY WITH LAW

ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE WHICH IS ALLOWED TO HAPPEN WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE LAW.

I'LL VOTE NO, IT WOULD PUT A FEW CANDIDATES, THE COUNCIL CAN APPROVE OR IN THE APPROVE THEM, BUT WITHOUT HAVING THOSE ORGANIZATIONS AS PART OF THE ORDINANCE, I FEEL IT DOESN'T REFLECT THE ENTIRETY OF THE COMMUNITY.

TO BE CLEAR, I KNOW I RECEIVED A LOT OF COMMENTS AND PHONE CALLS ABOUT THIS.

I THINK BY DOING THAT, WE WOULD TAKE ONE MORE FURTHER STEP IN REDUCING THE CONCEPT OF US VERSUS THEM.

COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY TALKS ABOUT THIS.

WE SHOULDN'T SEE LAW ENFORCEMENT AS ADVERSARY.

THEY MUST NOT SEE THE COMMUNITIES THEY SERVE AS ADVERSARY.

ONE WAY TO DO IS THAT IS MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE REPRESENTED AND I THINK WE MISSED AN OPPORTUNITY BUT NOT -- BY NOT HAVING THESE INDIVIDUALS WITH SOME LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE AND SO ONE IS FORWARD.

THAT'S WHY I VOTE NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

I WANT TO SAY I APPRECIATE AND I'LL BE SAYING THIS I'M SURE AFTER WE VOTE HOW MUCH I APPRECIATE THE WORK THIS OVERALL ORDINANCE TAKES.

>> THANK YOU.

UP

>> THANK YOU.

>> WOULD YOU LIKE TO RESPOND?

>> I THINK IN THE PRESENTATION OF THE THREE SEPARATE ENTITIES THAT I WANT I THINK IT WOULD ADDRESS THIS CONCERN.

THE ONE THING I WANT THE PUBLIC TO KNOW IS WHEN PEOPLE ARE FOR JUSTICE AND WHEN PEOPLE ARE WORKING ON LEGISLATION OR -- OR SPEAKING UP FOR THE RIGHT OF CITIZENS, THIS IS NOT ANTI-POLICE.

THIS IS PRO POLICE AND PRO CITIZENS BECAUSE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD IF ACTUALLY ENACTED PROPERLY LIKE THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION WOULD PROTECT THE CITIZENS FROM UNDUE MISCONDUCT.

THEY WOULD INVESTIGATE ANY AND EVERY OPPORTUNITY THAT PEOPLE MAY HAVE TO COMPLAIN AGAINST THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND IF THOSE COMPLAINTS ARE FOUND TO BE BOGUS THEY WOULD BE INVESTIGATED TOO.

AND THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER THAT IS ACCUSED WRONGLY WOULD BE EXONERATED.

THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION ALLOWS CITIZENS TO JUST HAVE OVERSIGHT. THEY DO NOT HAVE ANY DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY.

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND THEIR FINDINGS BE WOULD PRESENTED TO THE BOARD AND TO THE MAYOR AND TO THE METRO COUNCIL.

IT IS UP TO THE POLICE TO TAKE ACTION ON THE RECOMMENDATION.

WE HAVE CITIZENS THAT ARE PART OF THE BOARD AND THIS IS IN PROHIBITION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVING ON THIS BOARD.

LAW ENFORCEMENT CAN SERVE ON THIS BOARD.

THEY JUST CAN'T BE CURRENT LMPD OR CURRENT SHERIFFS.

THEY HAVE THEIR OWN INVESTIGATIVE UNIT.

THAT'S WHAT THEY TO.

THIS IS ANOTHER SET OF EYES THAT IS LED BY CIVILIANS.

THERE'S NO AX TO GRIND, NO VENDETTA AND NO ONE TRYING TO SAY I'M GOING TO GET THEM, NOTHING OF LIKE THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN BECAUSE ALL THEY'RE DOING IS LISTENING TO -- TO -- TO THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION THAT WILL BE DONE AND THE CHIEF WILL MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION AND THE DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

IF THERE'S A PROBLEM OF SOMEBODY LOOKING INTO AN ALLEGATION, PERHAPS THEY'RE BEING INVESTIGATED IS TRYING TO HIDE SOMETHING.

OTHERWISE THIS IS AN WHOLE-SOME AND FREE PROCESS THAT ALLOWS FOR -- FOR CITIZENS TO JUST HAVE ANOTHER SET OF EYES AND FEEL COMFORTABLE THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS OKAY WITH CITIZENS REVIEWING THE COMPLAINT.

AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ITS CHIEF MAKING DECISIONS ON DISCIPLINARY ISSUES.

>> THERE WAS A LOT MAKING THOSE CHANGES WHEN YOU GO THROUGH THERE. WAS THAT PART ACTUALLY ADDRESSED IN THIS SECTION THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT NOW?

>> NO, I WAS ADVISED TO PREVENT THE ORDINANCE WITH ALL OF THE COSMETIC CHANGES AND THEN GET INTO SPECIFICS SO WE COULD VOTE INDIVIDUALLY ON THE AMENDMENTS THAT I WANT TO MAKE SPECIFICALLY IN CERTAIN PARTS OF THE DOCUMENT.

>> ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I THINK THE AUDIO SHOULD AND I BELIEVE WILL SHOW THE SUPPORT WE NEED FOR THE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD.

SOME OF THE THINGS WE'VE SEEN IN THE LAST SIX YEARS, REALLY SEEM LIKE WE NEED ADDITIONAL LIGHT IN OVERSIGHT.

I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT.

IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT THAT THIS THIS BOARD HAS A CERTAIN LEVEL OF CREDIBILITY IT DOESN'T HAVE THE APPEARANCE OF ANY BIAS.

I NAPPED WHY -- WHY THIS -- THIS IS TO REQUEST THAT WE HAVE DIFFERENT INDIVIDUAL GROUPS I WANT TO REMIND MY COLLEAGUES, ORGANIZATIONS CAN PUT FORWARD THINGS AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL ENCOURAGE THEM TO DO SO.

THAT DOESN'T KEEP ANY OTHER ORGANIZATION FROM -- FROM [INDISCERNIBLE].

SO ANYBODY WHO -- HAS THE MAYOR BEEN [INDISCERNIBLE].

SO ON THOSE, I'M WORRIED A NAME THAT COULD BE LISTED ON THIS, I DON'T THINK I WOULD URGE YOU NOT TO VOTE FOR THIS.

IN FACT, I DON'T EVEN THINK IT WOULD BE NECESSARY -- WEER COULD PUT IN THERE, PRIVILEGE, LITTLE LEAGUE AND OR THINGS THAT WE WANTED.

ULTIMATELY THE MAYOR HAS TO MAKE THE APPOINTMENT.

AND IT HAS TO BE BY COUNCIL.

I THINK THEY HAD A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THIS WOULD BE DIVERSITY AND DIVERSITY BY LOCATION AND ALL OF THOSE THINGS THAT THE MAYOR'S OFFICE DESCRIBED TO DO IS ALSO LIKELY INCLUDED IN THE BOARD.

IF IT IS NOT, IT COULD VOTE THAT DOWN.

IT IS ALL INDIVIDUALS.

THEY URGE THESE ORGANIZATIONS TO PUT FORTH MEMBERS NAMES AND WHETHER THE NAME IS INCLUDED IN THIS OR NOT DOESN'T CHANGE A LOT. THIS IS FOR THE METRO COUNCIL AND THE ORGANIZATION. UP THIS MAY NOT MAKE A LOT OF DIFFERENCE. WHAT I'M PROUD OF THE COUNCIL COMING TOGETHER AND PUT THE REVIEW BOARD THAT WOULD STOP THE COVERUP AND THE TRAVESTY WE'VE SEEN IN THE PAST. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN MOVE FORWARD ON IT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN PURVIS.

>> POINT OF ORDER?

>> YES.

>> WINKLER.

>> I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE KNOW WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE IN TERMS OF WHAT WAS AMENDED.

CAN I ASK MCCRANEY A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.

THE AMENDMENT YOU OFFERED THAT I SECONDED IS -- IS -- IS THE 0481.20SIBS AND 11920.

AND THAT DOCUMENT IS THE AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION, IS THAT CORRECT?

>> WHAT I WANT TO DO IS WHAT I THOUGHT I COULD DO.

WHAT I WANTED TO DO IS TAKE OUT SEVEN PIECES AND TALK TO THOSE PIECES. AT THIS JUNCTURE, WE READ IT AND WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE REVIEW BOARD IS.

I WOULD LIKE TO FINISH THE DOCUMENT AND PRESENT IT AS A WHOLE.

MAY I TAKE BACK MY MOTION AND GO THROUGH THE ENTIRE DOCUMENT AND

PRESENT IT AS A WHOLE AS A VOTE?

>> YEAH.

>> I THOUGHT THAT'S WHAT -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO DISCUSS THE THREE.

I WOULD JUST DISCUSS THE THREE YOU LEFT OUT.

IF YOU WANT TO ENJOY YOUR MOTION, I WITHDRAW MY SECOND, I'LL LEAVE THAT TO YOU.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, COULD I HAVE A POINT OF ORDER?

>> YES.

>> JUST A COMMENT FOR THE PUBLIC.

THE DOCUMENT IS ON THE CERTIFICATE, SO IF YOU WANT TO LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT AS -- AS MCCRANEY IS TALKING ABOUT, IT IS ON THE -- ON THE FIRST [INDISCERNIBLE].

AS AMENDED BY SUBSTITUTE.

>> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

I DON'T KNOW THAT WE WANT TO WITHDRAW THE WHOLE THING, WHAT I THINK MAY BE BETTER AT THIS POINT IS FOR YOU TO TALK ABOUT -- ABOUT -- ABOUT THE SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES THAT -- THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE PROPOSING WITH IT.

COULD YOU DO THAT?

>> YES, I THINK THAT'S GOOD.

>> READY?

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> I DID HAVE SOMETHING I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS TO COUNCILMAN

MCCRANEY BEFORE YOU GO TO WINKLER AND HOLLANDER, COULD I HAVE A PRIVILEGE?

>> IS THIS A POINT OF ORDER OR A QUESTION ABOUT THE ORDINANCE?

>> WHATEVER YOU -- IT IS ABOUT THE AMENDMENT.

LET'S LET HER FINISH AND YOU'LL BE UP NEXT TO ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT.

IF THIS IS NOT A POINT OF ORDER.

>> OKAY.

>> IT IS A POINT OF ORDER.

>> POINT OF ORDER, YES, MA'AM, GO AHEAD.

>> I'M SORRY.

>> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

I NEEDED CLARIFICATION, I THINK WINKLER WAS ASKING SOMETHING SIMILAR TO WHAT I WAS ASKING.

ARE WE GOING TO VOTE ON THE AMENDMENTS THAT WERE MADE EARLIER IN PUBLIC SAFETY LAST WEEK BY COUNCILMAN PEADEN.

IS THIS NECESSARY TO OR IS THIS A SUBSTITUTION.

I JUST NEED CLARITY, PLEASE.

>> WHAT I'M DOING IS ADDRESSING WHAT WAS APPROVED IN PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE BY SUBSTITUTING THIS ENTIRE DOCUMENT IN PLACE OF IT.

THAT'S WHAT I NEED TO DO AND INSTEAD OF THE COSMETIC CHANGES, I NEED TO READ EVERYTHING AT ONE TIME FOR THE WHOLE DOCUMENT.

I'M ADDING TO THE AMENDMENT THE REST OF THE DOCUMENT, CORRECT?

>> WILL WE DISCUSS THE AMENDMENTS THAT COUNCILMAN PIEDEN MADE A MOTION ON LAST WEEK?

>> ONCE I READ IT IN AS MY AMENDMENT, THEN DISCUSSION WILL ENSUE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

>> IN FACT, WHAT WILL HAPPEN IS -- IS -- IS WE WILL HEAR FROM MCCRANEY, WHAT HER AMENDMENT IS.

WE WILL THEN VOTE UP OR DOWN ON HER AMENDMENT AT THAT POINT SHOULD HER AMENDMENT FAIL AND THEN THE AMENDMENT THAT CAME OUT OF PUBLIC SAFETY WILL BE BEFORE US AND WE'LL HAVE THE CONVERSATION.

IF HER AMENDMENT SHOULD PASS THEN THERE WILL BE NO DISCUSSION OF THE AMENDMENT OUT OF COMMITTEE BY PUBLIC SAFETY BECAUSE HER AMENDMENT WILL REPLACE THOSE AMENDMENTS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

ON PAGE FOUR IT SPEAKS TO WHEN AN ENTITY PERMITS A LIST OF BETWEEN NAMES FOR AN OPEN BOARD POSITION MAYOR SHALL SELECT NO MORE THAN ONE NOMINEE FROM THAT ENTITY LIST.

THE NOMINATING ENTITIES ARE THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF KENTUCKY AND B GREATER LOUISVILLE INC..

AND THE INTERDENOMINATIONAL MINISTERIAL COALITION.

LOUISVILLE BRANCH OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE.

LOUISVILLE URBAN LEAGUE.

F, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, CHAIR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR NOMINATIONS OF PERSONS WITH EXPERTISE IN THE AREA OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE.

THE LOUISVILLE BAR ASSOCIATION AND EIGHT, THE YOUNG PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION OF LOUISVILLE.

THOSE WERE ADDED SO WE CAN HAVE A SOUND CROSS SECTION OF INDIVIDUALS, YOUNG, OLD, AND ALL IN BETWEEN.

AND SOME LAW, SOME ENTITY THAT CAN NOMINATE SOMEONE WITH LAW EXPERIENCE.

KEEP IN MIND THE MAYOR IS THE APPOINTING AUTHORITY.

BOTH ORGANIZATIONS AS OUR COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, AND COUNCILMAN YATES STATED ARE ENTITIES THAT REPRESENT A CROSS SECTION OF THE COMMUNITY. THEY HAVE WHAT CAN BE PUT FORWARD.

AND FOUR BOARD SEATS CAN BE RECOMMENDED BY THE METRO COUNCIL.

TWO BOARD SEATS ARE AT THE SOLE DISCRETION OF THE MAYOR.

TWO POSITIONS THE MAYOR WILL SOLICIT NOMINATIONS FROM CITIZENS AND ANTICIPATE OTHER ORGANIZATION THAT LIKES TO NOMINATE NAMES.

THAT WOULD BE BY PUTTING THEIR NAME IN THE HAT THROUGH WEBSITE PORTALS.

ON PAGE SEVEN, I THINK I ADDRESSED THAT.

THE NEXT -- THE NEXT ITEM THAT WAS PRESENTED WITH A MOTION THAT I AM RECOMMENDING A CHANGE TO IS ON PAGE 10.

SECTION B SPECIFIC TRAINING ON THE FOLLOWING, BASIC AND POWER

HANDCUFFING.

BODY WORN CAMERAS.

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT.

DEESCALATION TRAINING.

ETHICS IN LAW ENFORCEMENT.

IMPLICIT BIAS.

AND OFFICER RIGHTS AND RESPONSE TO RESISTANCE AND USE OF THE DEFENSIVE TACTICS.

USE OF FORCE.

AND WEAPONS SIMULATION.

THEY MUST TAKE THOSE CLASSES AS WELL AS TRAINING ON THE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES ON POLICING.

AND THE OPERATIONS AND INTERNAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND STANDARD POLICE EQUIPMENT.

THEY'RE REQUIRED TO TAKE TWO DAYS OF TRAINING AND MINIMUM OF 24 HOURS ACCOMPANYING OFFICERS IN PATROL IN VARYING PATROL DIVISIONS MADE UP OF A MINIMUM OF MADE UP OF 12 HOURS COMPLETED DURING DAY SHIFT AND SERIES OF DAY SHIFT AND A MINIMUM OF 12 HOURS COMPLETED DURING A NIGHT SHIFT OR A SERIES OF NIGHT SHIFTS.

AFTER THE FIRST YEAR OF SERVICE ON THE BOARD, A MEMBER MAY MAKE A REQUEST ANNUALLY OF THE BOARD'S CHAIR TO ARRANGE FOR THAT MEMBER TO ACCOMPANY LMPD OFFICERS ON PATROL.

SECTION TEN ON PAGE.

QUALIFICATIONS FOR INSPECTOR GENERAL.

THERE WAS AMENDMENT AT THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE WHERE THE REQUIREMENTS WERE EXTENSIVE AND I THINK WE HAVE ACCEPT IT FURTHER BY SAYING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL IS NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE BUT A PERSON WITH EXPERIENCE, CONDUCTING CIVIL CRIMINAL OR -- OR FACTUAL INVESTIGATIONS THAT INVOLVE GATHERING, ANALYZING AND EVALUATING EVIDENCE, CONDUCTING IN-DEPTH SEARCH AND REPORT WRITING AND CONDUCTING INTERVIEWS WITH WITNESSES OR TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT IS PREFERRED.

WORK EXPERIENCE IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION AS A LICENSED ATTORNEY OF WHICH TWO YEARS ARE IN A ROLE OF CRIMINAL ADMINISTRATOR OR CIVIL INVESTIGATIONS PREFERRED.

THEY CANNOT HAVE BEEN EMPLOYED BY THE LOUISVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE IN THE COMMON WEALTH IN THE COUNTY OF KENTUCKY AND WE STRUCK OUT THE REST OF THE SENTENCE THAT WAS ALREADY INCORPORATED ABOVE -- BEFORE THE LANGUAGE WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE. THE OTHER SECTION IS ON PAGE, I GUESS THAT'S IT.

DID I ADDRESS EVERYTHING?

THE TRAINING, THE INSPECTOR GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND QUALIFICATIONS. AND THE ORGANIZATIONS THAT CAN SUBMIT NAMES TO THE MAYOR FOR CONSIDERATION IF MEMBERSHIP ON THE BOARD.

WITH THAT SAID, I MOVE THAT THIS SUBSTITUTION BE CONSIDERED AS -- AS -- AS AMENDMENT AS AMENDMENT FOR CONSIDERATION.

IS THAT HOW YOU SAY IT.

>> ALL RIGHT.

ONE MOMENT.

COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY, YOU ALREADY MOVED THAT.

>> I MOVED TO INCLUDE THE REMAINING PORTION OF -- OF THIS FLOOR AMENDMENT, BY SUBSTITUTION TO BE CONSIDERED AS PRESENTED.

>> WINKLER SECONDED IT BUT WE'RE STILL UNDER DISCUSSION OF YOUR ORIGINAL MOTION AND I WILL -- I WILL START WITH --

>> INCLUDING ALL OF THOSE THINGS.

>> INCLUDING ALL OF THOSE THINGS.

I'M CONTINUING ON THE DISCUSSION WITH COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE AMENDMENT.

I DON'T WANT TO HOLD UP THE VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> NO.

IT DOESN'T.

IF YOU COULD HOLD THAT UNTIL WE GET THROUGH THE AMENDMENT.

>> WHAT DO YOU WANT ME TO DO?

>> HOLD UNTIL WE GET THROUGH THE AMENDMENT.

WINKLER.

>> I WANT TO THANK BOTH COUNCIL AND MCCRANEY FOR LEADERSHIP ON THIS.

AND ALSO THE MEMBERS OF THE WORK GROUP FOR OUTSTANDING WORK-PRODUCT.

WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE US AS MCCRANEY SAYS, IT IS NOT ANTI-POLICE.

ULTIMATELY WHAT -- WHAT ARE -- WHAT WE WILL LOOK AT -- WE WILL REVIEW,

WHAT BETTER VALIDATION FOR THE INTERNAL POLICE OFFICE IF THE REVIEW

BOARD SAYS WE AGREE WITH ALL OF THIS.

I THINK THAT SAYS -- I THINK THIS INTERNAL REVIEW PROCESSES WORK AND THEY WORK WELL AND THAT'S CRITICAL -- TO BUILDING TRUST IN THE PROCESS AND INTERNAL REVIEWS AND THEY FIND SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES THAT LEAD TO A CONVERSATION.

THIS IS WHY.

WHY DOES THE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD CONSISTENTLY DISAGREE WITH THE FINDING OF AN INTERNAL REVIEW BOARD.

THAT'S THE CRITICAL CONVERSATION THAT IF WE CAME TO THAT POINT WE WOULD HAVE AS A COMMUNITY BOTH ARE VALID AND SUPPORTIVE THE COMMUNITY AND THE POLICE OFFICERS AND THE CITIZENS THAT THEY SERVE.

I JUST WANT TO -- I -- I DON'T THINK THE PUBLIC QUITE KNOWS THE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT THAT GROUP PHYSICALLY PUT IN THAT.

THEN FINALLY APPLAUD AND ASSUMING WE PASS THIS TONIGHT THIS IS AN EFFORT THAT PEOPLE WORKED ON I THINK COUNCILMAN SHANKLIN HAS WORKED SINCE 2003.

THE FACT THAT WE'RE WORKING ON THIS TONIGHT IS DUE TO YOUR LEADERSHIP.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIRELESS WORK.

>> THANK YOU WINKLER.

THE AMENDMENT IS ON THE FLOOR.

THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER?

>> I WANTED TO SPEAK TO THE AMENDMENT.

I'M IN FULL SUPPORT OF THE AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION IN ITS ENTIRETY

AS PRESENTED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY AND I'M IN SUPPORT FOR SEVERAL REASONS BUT VERY SPECIFICALLY, I DON'T WANT TO LOSE TRACK OF THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT THE REVIEW BOARD WOULD BE REQUIRED TO DO RIDE ALONGS WITH THE LMPD AND THE ORIGINAL PIECE THAT CAME OUT OF OUR 33 MEMBER WORK GROUP THAT SPENT MORE THAN FIVE MONTHS WAS SUGGESTING EIGHT HOURS.

TO MCCRANEY'S CREDIT, SHE TALKED TO COLLEAGUES AND PROPOSED TO DOUBLE IT AND THEN HEARD FROM COLLEAGUES AGAIN THAT THEY WANTED IT TO BE INCREASED MORE AND SHE HAS COME BACK AND PRESENTED A DOCUMENT TO TONIGHT THAT IS INCLUDING 24 HOURS.

I THINK THAT'S INCREDIBLE COMPROMISE THAT WAS GIVEN ON THIS SUBJECT. I APPRECIATE THAT.

I WAS NOT IN FAVOR -- I'M NOT IN FAVOR OF GOING TO 24 HOURS, HOWEVER, I WILL GO FOR THIS AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT THESE PEOPLE WON'T HAVE THE TIME IF THE RIDE ALONGS AS WELL AS SIX HOURS IN CLASSROOMS AND MANY MEN AND WOMEN IN THE COMMUNITY, WE HAVE 672,000 PAY CHECKS IN THE REGION, MANY OF THOSE FOLKS HAVE NO VACATION TIME AT ALL BECAUSE OF THE WAY THEIR WORK WEEKS ARE SCHEDULED BY THEIR EMPLOYER.

I'M GOING TO COMPROMISE ON THAT.

I RECEIVED LETTERS AND E-MAILS AS EVERYBODY ELSE HAS.

I DECIDED TO USE SOCIAL DISTANCING AND TAKE TO THE STREET.

I SPENT TWO HOURS WEST OF WILKINS AVENUE AND WENT ALL THE WAY TO 24TH STREET ON EVERY NORTH, SOUTH STREET AND EAST WEST STREET AND I STOPPED

EVERYWHERE WHERE I SAW PEOPLE OUT AND ASKED THEM WHAT THEY THOUGHT ABOUT THIS.

I'M SO PROUD AND HAPPY OF THE FOLKS IN THE RUSSELL NEIGHBORHOOD.

I WANT EVERYBODY IN JEFFERSON COUNTY TO NOTE THAT THE RESIDENTS IN WEST LOUISVILLE ARE PAYING ATTENTION.

I SPOKE WITH MANY OF THEM TODAY AND THEY'RE ALL VERY SPECIFIC ABOUT -- ABOUT NOT VOTING FOR THE DOCUMENT PRIOR TO THE AMENDMENT. THEY ALL WANTED THIS BY SUBSTITUTION.

VOTERS, YOU TOLD ME ON 24TH STREET YOU WOULD BE WATCHING ON FACEBOOK TONIGHT.

I HEAR YOU.

AND YOU SPOKE TODAY AND I LISTENED.

LASTLY, MR. PRESIDENT, TO MAKE THIS COMMUNICATION OF 2020 THAT WENT FROM THE INTERDENOMINATIONAL MINISTERIAL ORGANIZATION TO MAYOR FISHER THAT WAS A SEVEN-PAGE DOCUMENT REQUESTING SEVERAL THINGS AND ONE TOP THING THEY REQUESTED WAS CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD WITH THESE SET IN PLACE.

I APPLAUD THE INTERDENOMINATIONAL MINISTERIAL COMMISSION AND ITS CHAIRMAN JEFF ELLIS.

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR ENCOURAGING US TO DO THIS WORK.

I WILL BE SUPPORTIVE OF THIS DOCUMENT.

THANK YOU COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY FOR THE LEADERSHIP.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

THAT WAS A BIG DRIVE THROUGH COMMITTEE.

FOR THE ADDITIONAL TRAINING AND THE RIDE ALONG HOURS.

I WAS ABLE TO WORK WITH MCCRANEY AND GET TO A POINT WHERE WE WERE COMFORTABLE.

IF FOLKS ARE GOING TO SET POLICY FOR HOW THIS COMMUNITY IS POLICED GOING FORWARD AND MAKE THE DECISIONS ON PERSONNEL MATTERS AND OVERSEE DISCIPLINARY CASE, THE INDIAN PROVERB, THEY SHOULD WALK A MILE AND AT LEAST KNOW WHAT THEY'RE JUDGING.

TO MY COLLEAGUE, SEXTON-SMITH, THE TIME COMMITMENT.

LOOK AT OUR BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS AND LET'S LOOK AT THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD.

THEY DO SITE VISITS AND NIGHT MEETING AND SOMETIMES THOSE THINGS GO FROM 6:30 TO MIDNIGHT.

THEY HAVE THEIR REGULAR DOCKETS AND THEY REVIEW DESIGN MANS.

ALL OF OUR BOARD TO IMPORTANT WORK AND WE APPRECIATE THE MEN AND WOMEN THAT SACRIFICED TIME AND TALENT TO GET IT RIGHT BY THE CITY, THIS BOARD IS NO DIFFERENT.

COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY THANK YOU FOR WORKING WITH ME AND OTHERS TO WORK ON A DOCUMENT THAT IS GOOD FOR THE CITY AND FAIR TO THE MEN AND WOMEN OF THE LMPD AT THE SAME TIME.

YOU CAN HAVE BOTH AND I THINK THIS DOCUMENT SERVES THAT PURPOSE.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON -- ON THE -- ON THE AMENDMENT?

HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED?

>> NO.

>> NO.

OKAY.

WE'RE GOING TO DO A ROLL CALL.

MADAM CLERK.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 19 YES VOTES AND SEVEN NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU THE AMENDMENT PASSES.

COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

ANYTHING FURTHER?

>> I WANT TO THANK THE COLLEAGUES AND I WANT TO THANK THE COMMUNITY,
I THINK IT IS BECAUSE OF THE COMMUNITY THAT WE DERIVED AT THIS POINT.
I JUST CAN'T THANK THE WORK GROUP ENOUGH.

I THANK COUNCIL MEMBER HOLL DANDER, GREEN, DORSEY, WHO ALSO PART OF
THE WORK GROUP.

AND TODAY IS A GOOD DAY FOR THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE AND THE NATION.
THE NATION IS WATCHING AND THEY'RE LEARNING FROM LOUISVILLE,
KENTUCKY.

AND I AM PROUD TO BE -- AN EXAMPLE IN A CITY THAT IS WORTHY OF
EMULATION.

THANK YOU COUNCIL COLLEAGUES.

THOSE THAT MAY FIND FAULT IN THE AMENDMENT AND IN THIS ENTIRE REVIEW BOARD DOCUMENT THAT THEY WOULD CALLS AND TALK THROUGH IT SO I COULD GIVE YOU COMFORT IN KNOWING THAT THIS IS A DOCUMENT WE CAN ALL BE PROUD OF BOTH AS A CITIZEN AND A POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE.

SO, AGAIN, I THANK EVERYONE FOR THEIR -- THEIR SUPPORT AND -- AND WE'RE GOING -- WE'RE GOING TO WORK WITH THIS WORK GROUP, THIS CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD TO MAKE SURE IT IS A SUCCESS AND MAKE SURE THEY'RE ABIDING BIT DOCUMENT'S INPUT AND REGULATION.

I THINK IT IS A GOOD DAY FOR US ALL.

I THANK YOU AGAIN.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER?

>> I GOT THEY MAIL A LITTLE BEFORE 5:00 TODAY.

HE WAS CONCERNED ABOUT -- ABOUT -- ABOUT SOME OF OUR STATE STATUTES THAT -- THAT THIS COULD HAVE CONFLICT WITH.

I WANTED TO ASK -- I WANTED TO ASK THE COUNTY ATTORNEY IF -- IF HE COULD HELP ME UNDERSTAND IF WE'RE OKAY OR NOT.

HE'S SAYING THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- SO LMPD WOULD PAY 15.50, THE PEACE OFFICER'S BILL OF RIGHTS THAT LMPD DOESN'T GO BY THE SAME ONE AS THE REST OF THE STATE.

BUT BOTH STATUTES REQUIRE COMPLAINTS TO BE SWORN AND SIGNED FOR FOR THEM TO BE VALID.

IT IS HIS UNDERSTANDING THAT THE NEW BILL THAT THE INSPECTOR GENERAL CAN INVESTIGATE, BOTH SWORN AND UNSWORN COMPLAINTS AND THAT -- AND THAT THE TENANT IF THE SWORN ONLY COMPLAINT COME FROM A LAW WHERE

A SUSPECT HAS A RIGHT TO CONFRONT THEIR ACCUSER AND UNSWORN COMPLAINT WOULD PROVIDE NO LEGAL RECOURSE FOR A MALICIOUSLY UNTRUE COMPLAINT FILED IN RETALIATION FOR LEGAL ACTION.

I'M SORRY, HOLLY.

IT WAS A LITTLE CONVOLUTED AND CONFUSING FOR ME.

THE GIST OF IT I THINK IS HOW IS IT THAT THE TWO WORK TOGETHER?

DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNTY ATTORNEY.

>> THIS IS ALICE WITH THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I'LL JUMP IN AND HOLLY WILL HELP ME.

THE LMPD FOLLOWS THE POLICE BILL OF RIGHTS.

IT ADDRESSES COMPLAINTS GIVEN TO THE DEPARTMENT.

IN THIS ORDINANCE, THERE'S MULTIPLE SOURCES OF COMPLAINT THAT COULD -- THAT COULD COME FROM CITIZENS TO -- TO -- DIRECTLY TO THE METRO COUNCIL MEMBER THAT WOULD PASS THEM ON SO THERE'S TWO DIFFERENT COMPLAINT SYSTEMS.

THE POLICE BILL OF RIGHTS ITSELF OFFERS THE CHANCE THAT -- THAT -- THAT IF THE DEPARTMENT GOT A -- A REQUEST WHERE -- WHERE THE COMPLAINANT DIDN'T WANT TO SPARE THE COMPLAINT, THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT COULD EVALUATE THE COMPLAINT AND -- AND IF -- IF THEY THOUGHT THERE WAS MERIT ENOUGH TO GO FORWARD ON THE UNSWORN COMPLAINT THAT THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.

AND THIS IS A SEPARATE SYSTEM BUT I JUST WANTED TO ADDRESS THAT WITHIN THAT SYSTEM THERE'S STILL THE OPTION TO HAVE THE COMPLAINT WITH THE DEPARTMENT THAT NEED TO GO FORWARD.

I FEEL LIKE THERE WAS ANOTHER QUESTION BUT I LOST THAT ONE.

YOU'RE ON MUTE, MA'AM.

>> I THINK THAT ANSWERS -- WELL IT KIND OF ANSWERS IT.

SO WHAT HE'S SAYING, THOUGH, IS MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE BILL IS THE INSPECTOR GENERAL CAN INVESTIGATE BOTH SWORN AND UNSWORN COMPLAINTS. SO -- SO -- IS -- IS THE COMPLAINT WHERE THE SUSPECT HAS A RIGHT TO -- TO FACE HIS ACCUSER.

IS THAT THE FACT HERE OR NO?

>> THAT BE LIKE -- LIKE -- IF THERE WERE CRIMINAL CHARGES ALL OF THOSE SAME SECTIONS THAT WE ALL HAVE WHEN WE FACE SIMILAR CHARGES ARE THERE FOR POLICE OFFICERS.

BUT -- THAT'S NOT -- THAT'S IN THE -- THAT'S MORE ABOUT THE CRIMINAL SYSTEM THAN THIS.

>> THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, WE GIVE HIM THE DUTY TO GO THROUGH THE COMPLAINT AND SEE IF THERE'S ANY MERIT TO THEM AND THE INSPECTOR GENERAL PRESENTS THEM TO THE BOARD THAT HAS THE CITIZEN REVIEW AND THE CITIZENS ON THE BOARD.

AND THEY WILL REVIEW WHAT THE BEGINNING PIECES OF THE INVESTIGATION HAVE TO SEE IF THEY WILL ENVISION IT.

SO THERE'S A TWO-STEP EVALUATION OF CLAIMS THAT MIGHT BE INVALID OR -- OR -- YOU HAD MENTIONED IF THEY WERE [INDISCERNIBLE] HARM OR

NOT.

THERE WAS IN MERIT TO THEM.

SO THERE IS A REVIEW PROCESS FOR -- FOR THE INSPECTOR GENERAL AND THEY HE PASSES IT TO A SECOND REVIEW.

>> THANK YOU.

AND THEN HE GOES ON TO SAY SOMETHING ABOUT THE GARRITY RULE.

ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE GARRITY RULE?

>> WE -- WHEN WE WERE WORKING WITH THE TASK FORCE WE STUDIED THIS AND HAD SOME INPUT FROM OFFICERS AND THE CRIMINAL ATTORNEYS AND THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT -- THAT -- THAT'S ABOUT -- WHEN A COMPLAINT MAYBE COMES THROUGH.

THIS PROCESS IS DIFFERENT.

>> THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION.

I JUST, YOU KNOW, AS -- AS A CONSTITUENT, I JUST WANT TO ANSWER HIS QUESTION AND MAKE SURE THAT I GET AN UNDERSTANDING BEFORE -- BEFORE VOTING FOR THIS.

I WANT TO COMMEND COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY AND THOSE THAT WORKED ON THIS AND I WANT TO COMMEND COUNCILMAN FOX AND THE OTHERS, THIS IS WHAT -- WHAT THEY SAW AND ESPECIALLY WITH COUNCILMAN FOX'S EXPERIENCE AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OVER THE YEARS, THERE'S A LOT OF VALUE TO THAT.

SO I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT -- ABOUT -- ABOUT -- IS THERE GOING TO BE BACKGROUND CHECKS AND I'M SORRY, THIS SHOULD HAVE BEEN PROBABLY ASKED DURING THE -- DURING THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS AND I DID -- I DID -- I

DID COME TO THREE OF THEM THAT -- THAT I NEVER HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT BACKGROUND CHECKS ON ANY OF THESE BOARD MEMBERS.

THE REASON I ASKED IS BECAUSE I KIND OF LOOKED AT THIS BOARD AS -- AS -- KIND OF LIKE A JURY.

I MEAN, THEY'RE REALLY, THEY'RE GOING TO HAND DOWN A RECOMMENDATION WHICH WOULD BE THE SAME DIFFERENCE AS A JURY WOULD DO IN A COURT CASE AND THAT I JUST FEEL LIKE IF -- IF WE HAVE BIASED JURORS AND BOARD MEMBERS IT COULD BE PROBLEMATIC.

SO -- DO YOU HAVE THAT ANSWER TO THAT AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THERE ARE GOING TO BE BACKGROUND CHECKS AND IF NOT, WHY?

>> IN THE COMMITTEE AMENDMENT, THE CORE AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION ON PAGE 7 IT IS THE LAST SUBSECTION OF SECTION 3, NOMINEES ARE SUBJECT TO A STATE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK IS THE ORDINANCE.

>> THAT'S ALL, THANK YOU.

AND THEN I APPRECIATE THAT.

I'M SORRY I DIDN'T KNOW THAT WAS THERE.

JUST ON THE AMENDMENT AND THE 24 HOURS, YOU KNOW, I JUST WANTED TO COME IN AND SAY THAT'S REALLY JUST EIGHT HOURS FOR EACH YEAR THEY'RE GOING TO SERVE.

I THINK THAT IS -- I APPRECIATE THE COMPROMISE THERE.

AND THAT WE WERE ABLE TO COME TO AN AGREEMENT ON THAT BECAUSE I THINK IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT THAT THAT EXPERIENCE IS GOING TO GO A LONG WAY IN MAKING SURE THAT -- THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND WHAT OUR OFFICERS ARE UP AGAINST ON A DAILY BASIS AND THAT'S ALL.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

WE HAVE COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I'M GOING TO BE VOTING AYE FOR THE FINAL ORDINANCE HERE AND I HOPE THAT WE HAVE A 26-0 VOTE AT THE END OF THE DAY HERE.

I THINK WHAT WE HAVE AGAIN GIVEN, I MIGHT HAVE DONE ONE OR TWO THINGS DIFFERENTLY.

THIS IS AGAIN COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY WE CAME UP WITH A REALLY REAL GREAT ORDINANCE HERE.

THERE'S A COUPLE OF FACTS THAT WE NEED TO LAY OUT.

ONE IS WHAT WE'RE CURRENTLY DOING IS JUST BROKE.

OBJECTIVELY, THERE'S NOBODY WITH ANY -- WITH ANY RATIONALITY THAT CAN LOOK AT WHAT HAS BEEN GOING ON IN THE CITY FOR THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS AND HOW -- HOW THE ADMINISTRATION HAS MANAGED LMPD AND CAN SAY THAT THIS HAS BEEN DONE WELL.

I FRANKLY WELCOME A NEW WAY OF DOING THIS.

RIGHT?

LIKE ANY CHANGE WE MAKE, I KNOW THERE'S SOME THAT ARE NERVOUS OR SKEPTICAL ABOUT THIS CHANGE AND LOOK AFTER WE MAKE THIS CHANGE, IF THINGS AREN'T GOING WELL OR -- OR IF THIS LIKE ANY ORDINANCE WE PASS HAS UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OR NEED TO BE ALTERED BECAUSE WE SEE THE OUTCOMES AND WE NEED TO MAKE SOME MINOR CHANGES THEN WE WILL COME BACK AND MAKE ALTERATIONS.

BUT IT WOULD BE -- BUT I DON'T THINK IT IS REASONABLE TO SAY WE NEED TO KEEP DOING WHAT WE'RE DOING.

I WILL SAY THAT AND SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES MAY DECIDES AGREE WITH ME AND THAT'S FINE, BUT I THINK THAT THERE IS A CIVILIAN REVIEWER OF LMPD AND THAT'S THE MAYOR.

THERE'S A REASON WHY WE HAVE CIVILIANS THAT OPERATE THE MILITARY. THEY'RE CALLED THE PRESIDENT.

THAT'S WHY THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE, THE NATIONAL LEVEL IS NOT ALLOWED TO BE A -- A RECENT OR ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE MILITARY.

THEY MUST BE A CIVILIAN BECAUSE WE -- WE -- WE -- WE DON'T WANT MILITARY RULE -- MILITARY RULES OR LAW ENFORCEMENT RULE OVER LAW ENFORCEMENT. WE HAVE AN ELECTED MAYOR WHOSE JOB IS OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT OF HIS TEAM.

AND THEN OVERSIGHT OF HIM WHICH WE HAVE DONE AT UNPRECEDENTED LEVELS TO THE AMOUNT OF INVESTIGATIONS THAT WE HAVE GOING ON RIGHT NOW TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF THINGS HAPPENING WITHIN THE CITY.

I'M FOR THINGS THAT PROMOTE TRANSPARENCY AND THIS LOOKS LIKE A WAY TO CHANGE THE BROKEN SYSTEM THAT WE HAVE.

I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO -- TO SEEING THIS IN ACTION.

I WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE MY THANKS TO COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY AND THE ORGANIZATION THAT PUT THIS TOGETHER, THE COMMITTEE THAT WORKED TO PUT THIS TOGETHER.

I DO WANT TO ADDRESS ONE OTHER THING, I GOT E-MAILS THAT PARTICIPATED. COUNCIL NEEDS TO GO BACK TO THE ORIGINAL VERSION.

DON'T AMONDAY ANY.

JUST FOR THE SAKE OF THE PUBLIC.

WE -- WE USE AND WORK WITH CONSTITUENTS LIKE THIS COMMITTEE TO HELP CREATE LEGISLATION ALL THE TIME.

THE STATE GOVERNMENT DOES IT, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOES IT.

THEY CREATE BLUE RIBBON COMMISSIONS AND THEN THEY COME BACK TO THE ELECTED OFFICIALS AND THEY ALWAYS MAKE CHANGE BECAUSE IT IS NOT POSSIBLE, WE'RE ELECTED BY THE 770 SOME ODD THOUSAND PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THIS COUNTY.

WE GOT A GOOD REPRESENTATIVE GROUP IN THAT COMMITTEE, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE -- WE REPRESENT AND REPORT BACK TO THOSE FOLKS SO IT IS NATURAL AND NORMAL THAT WE WOULD MAKE CHANGES.

THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT THE WORK THAT CAME OUT OF THE GROUP IS BAD AND THAT DOES NOT MEAN THE WORK THAT CAME OUT OF THE GROUP IS NOT DEEPLY APPRECIATED.

QUITE THE OPPOSITE.

IT JUST MEANS THAT WE'RE ULTIMATELY RESPONSIBLE AND WE MUST TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THINGS THAT -- THAT WE'RE HEARING FROM THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY THAT MAY HAVE NOT HAD A VOICE AT THAT PARTICULAR GROUP AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME.

BUT I THINK WE'VE DONE, THE AMENDMENT, DOES A GOOD JOB OF GETTING THE BEST POSSIBLE COMPROMISE AND A GREAT START.

CONGRATULATIONS, LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING THE OUTCOMES OF THIS AND SEEING HOW THIS CAN HELP RESHAPE THE RELATIONSHIP WITH LMPD AND OUR

PUBLIC WHICH IS SO CRITICAL TO EXECUTING PROPER LAW ENFORCEMENT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I'LL BEGIN BY THANKING THE GROUP THAT PARTICULAR I, COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY HAS WORKED INCREDIBLY HARD ON THIS.

THE COUNTY ATTORNEY HAS DONE A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK ON.

FOR THE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OR THE WORK GROUP WHO HAVE HEARD A
HAD THE OF AMENDMENTS OVER THE LAST FEW WEEKS AND MAYBE JUST FIND
IT, THIS PROCESS CAN BE MESSY, BUT MY GOAL FROM THE BEGINNING HAS
BEEN THAT WE HAVE A ROBUST SUSTAINABLE CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT SYSTEM.

I'M CONVINCED THAT WE'RE GETTING THAT TONIGHT.

I WANT TO TALK IN PARTICULAR ABOUT THE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

WE TALKED A LOT ABOUT -- ABOUT -- ABOUT THE CIVILIAN REVIEW AND
ACCOUNTABILITY PART.

IT IS VERY IMPORTANT.

I THINK THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL IS INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT
ALSO.

I REMEMBER ASKING KERRY HARVEY WHEN HE WAS ASKED, WHEN HE WAS ASKED
IF HAVING AN INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR LMPD WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA.

HE SAID THAT WAS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF HIS REPORT.

BUT IT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED.

SOMEONE INDEPENDENT OF LMPD TO INVESTIGATE, NOT JUST COMPLAINTS BUT

REVIEW POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND OPERATIONS OF LMPD AND THEN MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS.

THE METRO COUNCIL TO THE MAYOR AND MOST IMPORTANTLY TO THE COMMUNITY AND NOW THIS CIVILIAN REVIEW AND ACCOUNTABILITY BOARD.

WE'RE GETTING THAT IN THIS ORDINANCE TONIGHT.

I ALSO WANT TO -- WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE IN THE COMMUNITY THAT WE WERE SO COMMITTED TO THIS PROCESS OF HAVING A ROBUST SUSTAINABLE CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT SYSTEM THAT WE FUNDED IT IN JUNE.

WE'RE PASSING IT IN NOVEMBER.

THERE'S MONEY SET ASIDE TO -- TO FUND THIS SYSTEM.

WE'RE COMMITTED TO MAKING THIS WORK.

WHAT WE'RE DOING TONIGHT CAN SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVE FAIR POLICING IN LOUISVILLE.

THAT SHOULD BE EVERYONE'S GOAL.

I REALLY WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR THEIR WORK ON THIS AND URGE THEM OF COURSE TO SUPPORT TONIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER REID.

>> I WAS CONFUSED WITH SOME OF THE -- SOME OF THE -- SOME OF THIS, I'LL ADMIT THAT.

I WILL SAY THIS, THAT -- THAT I KNOW THAT COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY HAD AN ENORMOUS TASK.

SHE IT A REALLY REALLY FINE JOB.

IT IS DIFFICULT LISTENING TO PEOPLE AND KEEP YOUR SANITY AND COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT I THINK WE CAN ALL AGREE TO.

HERE'S MY HOPE.

WHEN THIS IS PASSED, I HOPE THIS IS THE START OF OPENNESS DIALOGUE AND THAT ALL SIDES WILL GET TOGETHER AND ADD DIALOGUE AND REALLY EXAMINE THE WAY THINGS HAVE BEEN DONE.

THERE'S VERY GOOD PEOPLE ON THE POLICE FORCE I THINK WHAT WE'VE BEEN PLAGUED WITH IS LACK OF TRAPS APPEARANCE AND DIALOGUE AND SENSE OF MISTRUST AND THINGS HAPPENED THAT CREATED THAT.

SO -- SOMETHING THAT TONY SAID EARLIER ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT, THIS ORDINANCE.

IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE CAN REVISIT, NOT SOMETHING THAT -- THAT I THINK -- THAT I THINK -- THAT I THINK HE NEED TO BE STATIC FOREVER. IT IS SOMETHING WE COULD LOOK AT AND CERTAIN MEASURABLES WE GLEAN FROM THIS AND SEE HOW WE'RE PROGRESSING.

I HOPE THIS IS THE START OF A NEW ERA IN THE CITY WHEN IT COMES TO TRUST WITH THE POLICE FORCE.

THANKS TO PAULA AND MCCRANEY AND HER TEAM FOR DOING THIS.

>> COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU.

I'LL JUST QUICKLY THANK COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

WE GET TO A POINT TONIGHT TO VOTE ON THIS TONIGHT.

VERY PRUDENT OF HER.

MY COMMENTS ARE ECHO OF THOSE OF BARBARA SEXTON-SMITH AND THE DEBATE

OVER THE AMENDMENT.

I WAS WAITING TO SEE IF THERE WAS FURTHER AMENDMENTS BEFORE I COMMENTED ON THIS.

I WAS PREPARED TO COME TO THE COUNCIL MEETING TONIGHT AND MAKE A MOTION TO UNDO ALL OF THE COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS BECAUSE I DISAGREED WITH ALL OF THEM.

BUT I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S SOME COMPROMISE INVOLVED IN THE AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION THAT WE VOTED ON TONIGHT.

I WILL SUPPORT IT CRUCIALLY BECAUSE IT REMOVES THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY'S NOMINATING PRIVILEGES ON THE BOARD.

THIS IS A CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD.

THIS IS -- THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF THIS IS SO THAT THE POLICE ARE NOT POLICING THEMSELVES.

THAT'S THE CRUCIAL CHANGE THAT I THINK -- THAT MY SUPPORT HERE.

I DO STILL THINK THAT THE RIDE ALONG REQUIREMENT IS TOO MUCH.

I REMEMBER ONE OF THE COLLEAGUES MENTIONED THAT WE HAVE OTHER -- OTHER BOARD MEMBERS AND COMMISSIONERS THAT SPEND A LOT OF TIME DOING WHAT THEY'RE DOING BUT THOSE ARE NOT TRAINING REQUIREMENTS LIKE THIS.

WE TRUST ANOTHER COUNCIL MEMBER REFERRED TO JURIES.

WE TRUST JURIES THAT ARE NOT TRAINED TO DO THEIR JOB.

WE TRUST VOTERS TO DO THEIR JOB, FRANKLY THE METRO COUNCIL MEMBERS DON'T HAVE A LOT OF TRAINING AND THE COMMUNITY TRUST US TO DO OUR JOB WITHOUT SORT OF OVERWHELMING REQUIREMENTS THAT -- THAT YOU KNOW

COULD HAVE DETRIMENTAL IMPACT ON THE POOL -- OF QUALIFIED CANDIDATES.

I RECOGNIZE IT IS A COMPROMISE ON THE RIDE ALONG REQUIREMENT AND SIMILARLY WITH THE THIRD ISSUE IN TERMS -- IN TERMS OF PREFERENCES AND TRAINING FOR THE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

I'LL ECHO AND I KNOW IT WAS REED THAT MADE THE LAST COMMENT, IT WOULD BE GREAT TO SEE A 26-0 VOTE BY THE COUNCIL TONIGHT TO APPROVE THE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD TO GET THIS THING GOING.

WE'VE -- WE'VE TRIED TO LOOK AT POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY REFORM IN A LOT OF WAYS OVER THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS.

WE HAD OCCASIONS WHERE WE HAD UNANIMITY ON THE NO-KNOCK WARRANT.

WE HAD CASES WHERE THERE WAS BITTER DIVISION IN SOME OF THE ISSUES WHETHER A UNION CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE WHERE WE'VE NOT BEEN ABLE TO HAVE AGREEMENT ON HOW WE SHOULD MOVE FORWARD ON SOME OF THESE THINGS AND BY NO MEANS ARE WE DONE WITH THIS WORK, THROUGH THE NEXT BUDGET CYCLE AND FOR YEARS AS WE REFORM OUR SYSTEM, BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE NICE AND SYMMETRICAL TO BRING WHAT -- WHAT IS LIKELY TO BE ONE OF THE LAST MAJOR LEGISLATIVE ISSUES IN THE CALENDAR YEAR REGARDING POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY TO A CHOSE WITH A UNANIMOUS VOTE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS.

I'M A PROUD YES VOTE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER.

>> THANK YOU.

I'LL KEEP MY COMMENTS BRIEF.

I HAVE SOME CONCERN AS WELL.

I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SEEN COMPLAINANT BE SWORN.

I THINK THAT WOULD HAVE ADDED VALIDITY TO THE PROCESS.

I DO HAVE A LITTLE CONCERN ABOUT NOT WITH THE WORK THAT THE 32 MEMBERS DID.

MY CONCERN IS WITH THE FACT THAT THEY WERE APPOINTED BY -- BY THE ADMINISTRATION AND -- AND SOME OF WHILE WE'RE EVEN HERE IS BECAUSE -- IS BECAUSE THE FAILURES OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND I DO NOT FEEL THAT THE NOMINATING GROUP ARE A CROSS SECTION OF THE COMMUNITY.

I THINK THEY REPRESENT ABOUT 50 PERCENT OF THE COMMUNITY AND THE OTHER HALF OF THE COMMUNITY ISN'T REPRESENTED VERY WELL.

SO THOSE ARE MY MAJOR CONCERNS.

I'M GLAD THAT WE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF PROTECTION, WHAT KIND OF BACKGROUND DOES THE -- DOES THE INSPECTOR GENERAL WILL NEED TO -- TO DO THE BEST POSSIBLE JOB FOR THIS GROUP.

I KNOW THAT THIS ARE CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARDS ACROSS THE COUNTRY, I'M NOT OPPOSED TO THEM AT ALL.

PROBABLY WOULD NOT LIKE TO COME HERE OURSELVES TO DETROIT OR CHICAGO OR SAN FRANCISCO.

I THINK THOSE CITIES NEED A LOT OF WORK.

SO I HOPE THAT THIS CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD WILL HELP.

AND AS COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI STATED IF IT DOESN'T DO A GOOD JOB AND WE'RE WORRIED ABOUT SOME OF THE -- OF THE APPOINTEES WE CAN REALLY REALLY REALLY LOOK AT THESE -- THESE APPOINTEES WITH SCRUTINY.

SO THAT'S OUR LAST -- THAT'S OUR LAST MEASURE OF THE [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

COULD I HAVE PERMISSION TO ASK COUNCIL MEMBER BART -- PARKER A QUESTION.

>> YES.

>> THE STATEMENT YOU JUST MADE ABOUT THE -- THE REPRESENTATION, YOU DIDN'T FEEL LIKE EVERYONE WAS REPRESENTED -- REPRESENTED. COULD YOU TALK A LITTLE FURTHER ABOUT -- ABOUT THOSE THAT YOU FEEL ARE IN THE REPRESENTED?

>> EVENING THESE PEOPLE HAVE ASSOCIATIONS AND ANTAGONISM TOWARD THE POLICE.

THAT'S ONE OF MY CONCERNS.

THAT'S WHAT I WORRY ABOUT.

JUST READ THROUGH THEM AGAIN.

>> WELL, I HAVE READ OVER THEM AND -- AND I WOULDN'T THINK IT WOULD BE FAIR TO SAY THAT ON TOP OF ALL OF THESE GROUPS.

I WANT TO KNOW IF YOU COULD JUST SHARE WITH US WHAT YOU FEEL ARE NOT, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE COMMUNITY GROUPS OR THE CITIZENS OF LOUISVILLE THAT ARE NOT THOROUGHLY REPRESENTED?

CAN YOU JUST [INDISCERNIBLE] ON THAT, PLEASE?

>> COUNCIL, STAND BY.

STAND BY.

STAND BY.

>> COUNCILWOMAN, I SUGGEST WE STICK TO THE MERITS OF THE
CITIZEN'S REVIEW BOARD, PLEASE.

>> SURE.

>> YES, MR. PRESIDENT, THANK YOU.

I JUST -- I WAS CURIOUS ABOUT THE GROUPS THAT WERE NOT BEING FAIR
MY REPRESENTED.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

THANK YOU.

WITH NO FURTHER -- IN FURTHER DISCUSSION, MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU
PLEASE -- STAND BY.

MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NO VOTE.

>> THANK YOU THE ORDINANCE PASSES, CONGRATULATIONS.

>> READ ITEM NUMBER 42.

ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING

OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7600 &

7602 BARDSTOWN ROAD AND 9700,

9703, 9608 AND 9610 BARTLEY

DRIVE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY

4.7 ACRES AND BEING IN

LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO.
20ZONE0041) (AS AMENDED) .

>> MOTION TO MOVE.

UP

>> MOVED AND SECONDED.

THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US, ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS TWO DIFFERENT TRACKS OF LAND.

IT WILL BE AT AN INTERSECTION.

IT WAS RECOMMENDED APPROVAL WITH A VOTE OF 9-0.

AND WE HAD NUMBER NINE AT THE REQUEST OF ROBIN ENGEL FOR ANY
SIGNIFICANT CHANGES OR ALTERATIONS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE.
ANY CHANGES.

THIS WILL BE REMOVED THIS IS COUNCIL MEMBER'S ENGEL'S DISTRICT.

>> ENGEL.

>> COLLEAGUES FOR FOUR DECADES THIS AREA HAS SEEN RAPID GROWTH
OVER THE YEARS AND LED BY SPRAWL AND JOBS AND RESTAURANTS AND
ENTERTAINMENT OPTIONS.

THIS WILL CONTINUE FOR MANY MORE YEARS IN THE FUTURE.

WE HAVE A NUMBER OF CASES BEFORE IT AND ADDITIONAL ONES IN THEIR SITES.

IT IS BECAUSE OF THIS, I READ THE AMENDMENT, I'M ASKING FOR YOUR
SUPPORT ON THIS PROJECT BUT THE BINDING ELEMENT WAS TO REALLY
LOOK -- NOTHING AGAINST -- IT IS THE FUTURE OF THIS PARTICULAR AREA.

SO I ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT IN THIS DEVELOPMENT AND ALSO THE
[INDISCERNIBLE].

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, ORDINANCE FOR ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> YOU HAVE 24 YES AND ZERO NO VOTES.

>> THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

ITEM 43.

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE
ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT
917 & 919 FOUNTAIN AVENUE AND
4700 WESTPORT ROAD CONTAINING
APPROXIMATELY 0.59 ACRES AND
BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE
NO. 19ZONE0094) (AMENDMENT BY
SUBSTITUTION).

READ IN FULL.

>> A MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION TO ACCEPT, TRIPLETT.

>> SECOND.

>> SECONDED BY PIAGENTINI.

IT HAS BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED.

COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> THIS IS TO ALLOW A RETAIL BUILDING IN A MOSTLY RESIDENTIAL AREA AT BEST.

THIS CAME IN ON A VOTE AND AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND MCCRANEY, WE SENT IT BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO SEE IF THEY COULD BREAK THE TIE.

THEY APPROVED IT BY A VOTE OF 4-1.

HOWEVER, HAND ESCAPING WAS DENIED ON A VOTE OF 7-2 WHICH MEANT THE BUILDING SIZE WOULD HAVE TO BE REDUCED.

AFTER MUCH DISCUSSION THEY DECIDED TO DENY THE ZONING AND THEY DECIDED MCCRANEY AND THE COUNTY ATTORNEY SUBMITTED TO US.

WE'LL ASK FOR A VOTE, A YES VOTE FOR THE ZONING CHANGE.

AND THIS IS IN MCCRANEY'S DISTRICT.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THANK YOU MADAM CHAIR.

THERE'S DISCUSSION IN DISTRICT 7 PARTICULARLY IN THE SURROUNDING AREA.

I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR THIS, I DO ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT AGAIN, A VOTE YES IS DENYING THE ZONING CHANGE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

>> HEARING NONE, THIS REQUIRES A CONTROL CALL.

WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 24 YES AND ZERO NO VOTE.

>> PASSES.

>> READING ITEM NUMBER 44.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE JAMES TAYLOR JACOB SCHOOL

NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN AND APPROVING ITS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AS AN AMENDMENT
TO PLAN 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

CASE NUMBER 20-AREA-0001.

READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION BY TRIPLET.

SECONDED BY PIAGENTINI.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> THIS IS INDEED THE JAMES TAYLOR JACOB SCHOOL NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN
TO BE PART OF THE COMP PLAN AND LOCATED ON THE EDGE OF JEFFERSON COUNTY
AND IT BORDERS THE RIVER.

THE ACTUAL SCHOOL WAS BUILT IN 1922 AND -- AND THERE'S A RICH HISTORY
THIS.

THIS IS IN COUNCIL MEMBER REED'S DISTRICT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M VERY HAPPY WE'RE AT THIS POINT WITH THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN.

WE STARTED WORKING ON THIS 3 OR 3 1/2 YEARS AGO.

WE WENT THROUGH THE PEOPLE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

EVERY TIME IT SEEMED LIKE WE GOT A HEAD OF STEAM, LIKE WE TAKE A COUPLE OF STEPS BACK.

THE JAMES TAYLOR NEIGHBORHOOD IS A HISTORIC AFRICAN-AMERICAN NEIGHBORHOOD.

IT IS -- IT IS -- IT INCLUDES EDUCATING PEOPLE OF COLOR DURING A SEGREGATED SOCIETY.

THIS IS A GUIDELINE AND HOPEFULLY SOMETHING THEY COULD WORK WITH AND MOVE FORWARD WITH.

THIS IS THE NEIGHBORHOOD FLOODS.

IT EFFECTS THE JAMES TAYLOR NEIGHBORHOOD THE WORST.

WE COMMUNICATED THIS TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE A COUPLE OF DAYS AGO.

THEY SAID THEY HAD A PLAN TO ADDRESS THIS AND FIND THE FUNDING.

ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO I THINK THE PRICE TAG WAS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 85,000 DOLLARS.

HOPEFULLY THEY'LL FIND THAT IN THE BUDGET BECAUSE I REALLY FEEL FOR THE PEOPLE THERE.

IT GETS WORSE EVERY YEAR.

THAT'S THE ONLY NEGATIVE WITH THIS.

OTHERWISE, IT IS WONDERFUL THAT WE'RE FINALLY GETTING THIS DONE AND

I HOPE THAT -- THAT -- I HOPE THAT THE COUNCIL WILL APPROVE IT UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> CHIME IN.

>> I'M SORRY.

COAN.

>> THANK YOU, APPRECIATE THAT.

I WANTED TO CHIME IN BRIEFLY AND CONGRATULATE COUNCILMAN REED AND HOW IMPORTANT THIS IS.

I'M A FAN OF NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS GENERALLY BUT WE WENT THROUGH REVISIONS FOR A LANDMARK LAST YEAR, ONE OF THE CHANGES WE MADE THERE AND THE ORDINANCE AND THE PLAN WAS TO SPEAK OUT FOR THOSE UNDERREPRESENTED.

AND I THINK THAT INCLUDES THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLANS.

THIS IS A REALLY UNIQUE COMMUNITY THAT IS -- IS SOMEWHAT OF AN ISLAND IN FAR NORTHEASTERN JEFFERSON COUNTY.

I SPENT A LOT OF TIME AT THE PARK WHEN I WAS A KID.

THIS IS A -- A -- A SPECIAL PLAN FOR A PRETTY SPECIAL NEIGHBORHOOD.

A YES VOTE, OF COURSE.

>> THANK YOU.

PIAGENTINI.

>> A QUESTION FOR REED.

WHO AND HOW DID YOU BEGIN THIS?

>> IT STARTED OBVIOUSLY WITH -- WITH INTEREST FROM THE NEIGHBORS. AND NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING AND THEY REACHED OUT TO US.

ACTUALLY TO ME, SORT OF WITH COUNCIL MEMBER, AND I THINK HE ARRANGED MEETINGS WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THEN THEY PUT TOGETHER -- TOGETHER -- THEY PUT TOGETHER A GUIDELINE OR AN OUTLINE IN TERMS OF HOW THE PROCESS WOULD ACTUALLY WORK.

ONCE THEY HAD DONE THAT THEN IT TOOK SEVERAL NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS AND -- AND THE MEETING THE BETWEEN -- THEY CAME UP WITH A WORKING DOCUMENT THAT THEY PRESENTED TO THE CENTER FOR NEIGHBORHOODS AND CAME BACK WITH A WORKING DOCUMENT WITH OUR INPUT AND WE MADE REVISIONS TO -- TO SEVERAL TIMES OVER.

IT REALLY STARTS WITH THE RESIDENTS.

AND THEN PUTTING THEM IN CONTACT WITH THE FOLKS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND A LOT OF INVOLVEMENT FROM YOU.

I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT IT ONLINE TO GIVE YOU IDEA HOW WE WENT ABOUT IT.

>> THANK YOU.

FURTHER DISCUSSION?

[ROLL CALLED].

24 YES VOTES.

>> ORDINANCE PASSES.

45.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 11899-12009REHL ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 28.66 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> COULD I HAVE A MOTION.

>> MOTION BY TRIPLETT AND SECOND BY PIAGENTINI.
ANY DISCUSSION.
COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> THERE'S SEVEN CONTIGUOUS PIECES OF PROPERTY TO ALLOW FOR A
WAREHOUSE.
THERE'S THREE CENTERS NOW.
AND THIS BACKS UP TO PROPERTY SEPARATED BY RESIDENTIAL.
THERE WILL BE 500 CENTERS THERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THIS IS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY, I HOPE Y'ALL VOTE YES.
THANK YOU.

[ROLL CALLED].

24 YES VOTES AND ZERO NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

ITEM 46.

>> ORDINARY NAN ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT AREA PURSUANT TO
PROVISIONS OF KRS65.7041-65.7083 TO BE KNOWN AS THE 422E MAIN
DEVELOPMENT AREA AND DESIGNATING THE METRO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY INK
AS AN AGENCY AND ADOPTING A DEVELOPMENT MAN APPROVING ENTERING INTO
THE LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF THE
RELEASED AMOUNT PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE LOCAL
PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF REGULAR REPORTS
TO LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE

EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS OF THE TAKING OF ANY OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES AUTHORIZED BY THE ORDINANCE.

>> MOVED AND SECONDED.

ORDINANCE BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> THIS WAS AMENDED, WHICH IS WHY I BELIEVE IT PASSED AND IT WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE AND THEREFORE IT IS ON -- IT IS ON THE BUSINESS. COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON MAY WANT TO ADDRESS THIS.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> AS I THINK ABOUT THE SECOND TO LAST COUNCIL MEETING OF THIS CALENDAR YEAR, IT HAS BEEN AN INCREDIBLE MEETING OF HISTORIC PROPORTION.

THIS BODY HAS COME TOGETHER AND DONE THE VERY THING EVERYBODY HAS PUT US IN OUR OFFICES TO DO.

AND THIS NEXT ORDINANCE THAT WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON WAS A CULMINATION OF THE QUESTION THAT EVERYBODY IN LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY HAS BEEN ASKING SINCE THE PANDEMIC HIT IN MARCH.

AND TIME WENT ON AND OUR COMMUNITY EXPERIENCED A NUMBER OF CHALLENGES. THERE'S TALK ABOUT WHAT IS HAPPENING TO THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT.

WILL IT EVER COME BACK?

THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION IS -- IS PROOF POSITIVE THAT THE DEVELOPMENT

COMMUNITY WERE STANDING READY TO VOTE ON US AND VOTE CONFIDENCE IN US BECAUSE THE DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY IS WANTING TO -- TO INVEST 65 MILLION DOLLARS IN DOWNTOWN LOUISVILLE AND OUR CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT RIGHT THERE AT 422 EAST MAIN WHICH IS A PARKING LOT WE'RE FAMILIAR WITH ROOT ACROSS THE MUSEUM.

BEFORE THAT WAS A PARKING LOT, THERE WERE VACANT ABANDONED STRUCTURES ON IT THAT WERE NOT USED AND TORN DOWN.

IT HAS BEEN A PARKING LOT FOR 20 YEARS OR SO.

IT IS VALUED AT 4.8 MILLION DOLLARS NOW.

AS WE THINK ABOUT THE BUDGET WE NEED REVENUE.

THE TAX ON THAT PROPERTY NOW IS ABOUT 23,000 DOLLARS A YEAR.

WELL, THANKS TO THE DEVELOPMENT GROUP, THE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION WHO IS GOING TO INVEST 5 MILLION DOLLARS, ONCE WE APPROVE THIS PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP, THEIR PROPERTY TAXES WILL GO UP EXPONENTIALLY EACH YEAR.

PEOPLE SAY WHY ARE YOU GIVING THE DEVELOPERS ALL THIS MONEY?

WE'RE NOT GIVING THE DEVELOPERS ANY MONEY.

THEY'RE INVESTING IN US AND THE END RESULT WOULD BE THEIR PROPERTY TAX IS GOING TO GO THROUGH THE ROOF, AS MY MOTHER WOULD SAY

BECAUSE -- IT WILL IMMEDIATELY HIT A PROPERTY TAX BILL OF 313,000 DOLLARS ONCE THIS IS A COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT AND IT WILL GO UP AND THE POT OF GOLD FOR US WILL BE IN -- IN 20 YEARS FROM NOW THE PROPERTY TAX WILL REACH 196,000 DOLLARS A YEAR.

SO THAT IS WHY THE INCREMENT FINANCING IS SO IMPORTANT.

THE CURRENT TAX BASE WILL ALWAYS RETAIN THAT.

AND WE WILL ALWAYS GET MORE AND MORE AND MORE AS EACH YEAR GOES ON.

WHAT ARE WE GOING TO GET FOR THE PARTNERSHIP?

WE'RE GOING TO GET A BEAUTIFUL 343 APARTMENTS AND 11,000 SQUARE FEET OF RETAIL SPACE AND AN INFERIOR PARKING GARAGE.

THERE'S ENTRANCES AND EXITS TO THE PROPERTY ON MULTIPLE AREAS TO THIS REGION FOR PLACES AND THESE APARTMENTS AS WE NEGOTIATED THIS DEAL, THERE WOULD BE -- THERE WOULD BE TEN PERCENT OF THE APARTMENTS WILL BE RENTED AT THE 80 PERCENT OR LESS AMI WHICH IS 80 PERCENT OF OUR AREA MEDIAN INCOME.

WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION MANY TIMES.

WHAT ARE WE DOING ABOUT WORKFORCE HOUSING.

WELL, JEFFERSON DEVELOPMENT GROUP HAS AGREED TO 10 PERCENT OF THE UNITS.

THAT MEANS 34 OF THE UNITS WILL BE RENTED AT THE LOWER LEVEL.

THE SECOND COMPONENT, WE'RE RECEIVING AN 80 PERCENT, 20 PERCENT TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AGREEMENT.

THAT MEANS ONCE THE INVESTMENT IS MADE THAT 80 PERCENT OF THE PROPERTY TAXES STAY WITH THE DEVELOPER FOR UP TO 25 YEARS AND 20 PERCENT OF THE INCREASED TAXES ARE GOING TO COME TO US WITH A DOLLAR TAB OF 7 MILLION 644,000 DOLLARS.

SO WHICHEVER COMES FIRST, THE 7 MILLION 644,000 DOLLARS OR THE 25 YEARS.

SO COLLEAGUES, THIS IS -- THIS HAS BEEN A LONG TIME COMING.

YOU HEARD IN THE MEDIA THAT IT IS WORK DONE SINCE 2019.

WHEN I HEARD THAT I HAD TO GET A PICK ME UP OFF THE FLOOR FROM LAUGHING, BECAUSE I GOT A FILE FOLDER HERE THAT THE COUNCIL MEMBER BROUGHT INTO MY OFFICE IN 2017 AND HE HAD NOTES IN THERE THAT WERE MUCH OLDER THAN THAT THAT SAID YOU BETTER TAKE THIS ONE, BECAUSE COGAN AND JEFFERSON DEVELOPMENT GROUP HAVE BEEN TALKING TO -- TO KELLY DOWNARD AND GOD REST HIS SOUL, JIM TEE.

AND COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER, YOU WERE THERE IN THE DAYS AND HEARD THE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT WHAT SHOULD WE DO ON TAX INCREMENT FINANCING. SHOULD WE DO 80 PERCENT, 90 PERCENT, 100 PERCENT.

YOU REMEMBER.

I WASN'T HERE.

I AM SO HAPPY TO SAY COLLEAGUES, I STOOD MY GROUND OVER HERE IN DISTRICT FOUR AND EVEN IN ONE OF THE WORST ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND TIMES I WASN'T GOING TO GIVE IN.

I TOLD THE DEVELOPER, YOU COME MEET ME AT 80-20 AND GIVE ME WORKFORCE HOUSING AND I THINK I CAN GET THE METRO COUNCIL TO PARTNER ON THIS. THAT'S MY LAST BIG FINAL SPEECH.

I'M NOT GOING TO GIVE ONE ON DECEMBER 10TH.

I CAME INTO THIS ROLE TO MAKE SAFER NEIGHBORHOODS.

THAT'S WHAT THIS WILL DO.

PLEASE VOTE YES AND LET'S GET OUT OF HERE TONIGHT WITH HISTORICAL VOTE NUMBER TWO THREE OR FOUR, HOWEVER MANY.

IT IS A GREAT NIGHT IN DOWNTOWN LOUISVILLE WHEN A DEVELOPER SAYS,

I PUT 65 MILLION IN YOUR CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR AND MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM COUNCIL.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, WAIT, JUST AS YOU WERE STILL AUDIBLE, I GOT -- WE HAVE TO THANK STEPHANIE CURTIS OVER IN LOUISVILLE, SHE DID AMAZING JOB PULLING THE DOCUMENTS TOGETHER AND THE PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AND THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ON A TWO-WEEK PERIOD WHERE THE CHIEF WAS NOT IN THE OFFICE AND STEPHANIE WAS FLYING SO LOW AND DID A GREAT JOB AND THEN JASON FOWLER, MY GOOD, IT GAVE THE OFFICE AND I AN OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY GET TO KNOW EACH OTHER AS WE WERE TALKING EVERY DAY BECAUSE IT JUST SO HAPPENED OUR -- OUR -- OUR [INDISCERNIBLE] IN THE TAX INCREMENT FINANCING LEGAL DOCUMENTS TYPICALLY IS LAURA FERGUSON WHO DOES A GREAT JOB AT THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND UNTIL FORKER -- FOWLER STEPPED IN. HE WAS AMAZE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> I'M TAKING BETS ON WHETHER OR NOT COUNCIL MEMBER MAKES ANOTHER SPEECH.

I THINK THE ODDS ARE IN OUR FAVOR.

BUT I TO WANT TO DISCLOSE TO THE PUBLIC, COUNCIL MEMBER DID IT WELL, BUT IT BEARS REPEATING.

I HEAR PEOPLE SAYING WHY ARE WE GIVING AWAY THINGS.

WHY ARE WE GIVING AWAY MONEY AND THINGS TO DEVELOPERS AND SUCH.
FIRST I WANT TO STRESS THIS TO A LOCAL DEVELOPER HERE IN THE CITY.
THIS IS NOT SOME OUT OF TOWN OR UNKNOWN ENTITY.
THIS IS A GREAT -- A GREASE COMMUNITY PARTNER AND DEVELOPER FOR THE
CITY THAT LIVES HERE AND HAS INVESTED IN THE CITY.
SECONDLY, THIS IS VERY SIMILAR, THINK OF IT AS -- AS R AND D TAX
CREDITS.
YOU KNOW PEOPLE SAY, WELL, FOR -- FOR GROUPS AND BUSINESSES THAT
ENGAGE IN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, THEY GET TAX
CREDITS -- TAX -- TAX -- THEY DON'T PAY TAXES ON THE R AND D EXPENSE.
IT IS BECAUSE THEY SPEND ALL OF THAT MONEY BEFORE THEY MAKE ONE DOLLAR
OF ANYTHING.
SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS THE CASE WHERE A LOCAL DEVELOPER IS GOING
TO DUMP 65 MILLION DOLLARS INTO THE GROUND BEFORE THEY CORRECT ONE
DOLLAR OF -- OF RENT.
IN ORDER TO DO THAT THERE MUST -- AND THE TYPE OF RISK THAT IS INVOLVED,
IT IS REASONABLE TO SAY HEY, LOOK BEFORE A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME,
A DEFINED PERIOD OF TIME, IT HAS AN END DATE, IT HAS A CAP.
THE OTHER THING I DON'T THINK WE MENTIONED, IT HAS A CAP ON THE MAX.
THE PROPERTY VALUE REALLY ESCALATES.
ONCE THEY REACH THE CAP, THEY WILL PAY THE PROPERTY TAX ON THE
INCREMENTAL.
WE WILL ALWAYS GET THE CURRENT PROPERTY TAX THAT WAY AND WE WILL GET
20 PERCENT OF THE INCREMENTAL TAX.

THIS IS STILL A PLUS FOR THE CITY.

IT IS A PLUS FOR THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

IT IS A PLUS FOR -- FOR -- FOR INVESTMENT AND THE OVERALL JUST AN EXCELLENT OUTCOME.

I DO WANT TO -- TO COMPLIMENT COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON-SMITH.

THIS IS OVERALL A WONDERFUL THING.

I IT WANT -- I DID WANT TO CLARIFY THAT FOR PEOPLE THAT MAY CLAIM IT IS SOME KIND OF A GIVE AWAY.

IT ABSOLUTELY IS NOT.

IT IS SIMPLY A WAY TO ASSIST THOSE THAT WOULD PUT 65 MILLION DOLLARS OF THEIR MONEY IN THE GROUND BEFORE THEY SEE A DOLLAR OF RETURN.

I'LL SAY IF YOU'RE ONE OF THOSE FOLKS AND YOU FEEL THAT COMPELLED AND PUT THAT KIND OF MONEY INVESTED IN THE CITY AND THE JOBS IT BRINGS AND THE RETAIL THAT IT WILL BRING AND THE RESIDENTS IT WILL BRING, INCLUDING THE 10 PERCENT FOR WORKFORCE HOUSING THEN, HEY, COME AND BRING IT AND WE WOULD LOVE TO TALK TO YOU AS WELL.

SO THANK YOU, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I'LL BE BRIEF HERE.

BUT SINCE MY NAME WAS BROUGHT UP BY MY COLLEAGUE, I THINK -- I'M A BIG SUPPORTER OF INCREMENT FINANCING AS WELL.

SHE'S GOING TO BE MISSED.

I'LL TELL YOU WE MAY VOTE OPPOSITE ON -- ON MANY ISSUES BUT I WILL

TELL YOU THAT THE -- THE COUNCILWOMAN THAT REPRESENTS THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT HAD DONE AMAZING JOB WITH HER ENTHUSIASM FOR FOR NEW GROWTH.

IT COULDN'T COME AT A BETTER TIME.

I'VE BEEN VERY DISAPPOINTED COLLEAGUES, IN THE TREATMENT OF OUR CITIES BY OUTSIDE GROUPS, YOU NAME IT BUT AS I MENTIONED TO COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY, I BELIEVE IF THIS REVIEW BOARD VOTE TONIGHT IS A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION TO BRING THIS CITY TOGETHER AND -- AND OF COURSE THIS -- THIS BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT HERE PROJECT FROM COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON-SMITH AND OF COURSE THE ENTHUSIASM, THESE ARE ALL THINGS, IF THIS IS WHERE WE'RE HEADED AS A CITY, I THINK WE'RE HEADED BACK IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.

I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO GET CAUGHT UP IN A LOT OF DIFFERENT CONVERSATIONS NEXT MONTH.

SO I WOULD BE REMISS TO SAY I WOULD MISS SEXTON-SMITH IN THAT CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT AS PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE.

I'LL SAY CONGRATULATIONS TONIGHT TO SAVE THAT FOR SOMETHING ELSE. CONGRATULATIONS, COUNCIL MEMBERS, AND LET'S BRING LOUISVILLE BACK.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, MADAM CLERK.

[ROLL CALLED].

24 YES VOTES AND ZERO NO VOTES.

>> THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

47.

>> RESOLUTION RATIFYING AND APPROVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT FROM THE DATE OF ITS APPROVAL JUNE 30, 2023 BETWEEN LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT AND AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY, AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO LOCAL 2629 RELATING TO WAGES HOURS AND OTHER TERMS OF CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT OF ITS CIVILIAN SUPERVISORY EMPLOYEES IN LOUISVILLE METRO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT.

>> MOTION AND SECOND.

>> SO MOVED SEXTON.

>> SECONDED BY PIAGENTINI.

THE RESOLUTION BEFORE US, ANY DISCUSSION?

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> THIS WAS UNANIMOUSLY PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE, THE CATCH WAS WE DID, THIS IS SIMILAR TO THE LMP CONTRACT.

THEY ACCEPT THAT OUT A FEW DAYS AGO.

SHOULD HAVE THAT, I LOOKED AT IT MYSELF, NOTHING SHOCKING AND VOTED ON IT AND IT WAS VOTED OUT UNANIMOUSLY.

IT IS HERE.

THERE ISN'T ANYTHING OUT OF THE WORLD.

WE'LL ENTERTAIN ANY CONVERSATION.

I ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO VOTE ON THIS.

>> I'M GOING TO BE A NO VOTE ON THIS CONTRACT FOR ONE SIMPLE REASON.

WE SPENT TIME AT THE LAST MEETING LOOKING AT POLICE UNION CONTRACT.

PEOPLE GOT FAMILIAR WITH THE STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND I DON'T WANT TO RELITIGATE THAT ISSUE.

IF YOU LOOK AT THE CONTRACT, YOU'LL SEE MANY OF THE THINGS IN HERE, PENSION WITHOUT PAY AND OTHER DISCIPLINARY ISSUES ARE DIFFERENT THAN THE POLICE CONTRACT AND THESE EXIST FOR THESE OTHER PEOPLE.

OFFICERS HAVE HARD, DIFFICULT JOBS.

THERE'S NO PAY RAISE FOR THEM.

THEY HAD DISCIPLINARY PROBLEMS AND FROM TIME TO TIME THERE'S -- I'M SORRY.

I STAND CORRECTED A BIT.

THE SALARY AND BENEFITS ISSUE IS NOT NEGOTIATED AS -- AS -- AND DEBATED IN THE SAME WAY WE TALKED ABOUT IT LAST WEEK.

THAT'S ALL I MEANT.

THE THING ABOUT THIS CONTRACT, IN ARTICLE 12 ON PAGE 12 HAVING TO DO WITH DISCIPLINE, WE TALKED ABOUT THIS A LOT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE POLICE UNION CONTRACT.

ALL WE'RE SEEKING IN THESE CONTRACTS IS ACCOUNTABILITY FOR PEOPLE TO DO THEIR JOBS AND BE HELD RESPONSIBLE WHEN THEY -- WHEN THEY COMMIT ACTS OF MISCONDUCT.

I WANTED TO READ ONE PARAGRAPH IN ARTICLE 12, SECTION 1, IT BASICALLY HAS TO DO -- WITH -- WITH NOT LOOKING AT DISCIPLINARY RECORD FOR VERY LONG AND DOES NOT WORK IN A DISCIPLINE SYSTEM.

NO WARNING OR REPRIMAND CAN BE CONSIDERED FOR PURPOSES OF SUBSEQUENT DISCIPLINE AND JOB ASSIGNMENT.

THOSE IN THE PREVIOUS SIX MONTHS AND NO REPRIMAND IN SIX MONTHS.

NO PREVIOUS DISCIPLINE OR COMPLAINT RESULTING IN ONE TO THREE DAY
SUSPENSION MAY BE CONSIDERED FOR SUBSEQUENT DISCIPLINE AND JOB
ASSIGNMENT AND THOSE BROUGHT WITHIN ONE YEAR.

NO DISCIPLINE RESULTING IN SUSPENSION OF FOUR OR MORE DAYS OR
DISMISSAL MAY BE CONSIDERED.

THOSE ARE EVEN MORE -- MORE NARROW THAN THE POLICE UNION CONTRACT
WAS.

IF SOMEBODY -- WE'VE SEEN CASES WHERE THEY PUNCHED INMATE SOMEONE
BEHIND THEIR BACK AND THERE'S BEEN CERTAINLY SUBSTANTIATED,
ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE AND MISCONDUCT.

THEIR MANAGEMENT CAN MAKE DECISIONS.

THEY NEED ACCESS TO THAT IT SHOULD BE PART OF THE FILE.

THIS CONTRACT SHOULD HAVE BEEN THE CASE FOR THE POLICE UNION CONTRACT.

IT SHOULD BE THE CASE FOR THE NEW POLICE UNION CONTRACT AND THE SAME
SORT OF BASIC STANDARDS OF RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY SHOULD
BE IN ALL OF OUR CONTRACTS, ESPECIALLY WHEN -- WHEN PEOPLE ARE IN
A POSITION OF POWER AS YOU ARE IN THE CASE OF A POLICE OFFICER OR
CORRECTIONS OFFICER.

FOR THAT SIMPLE NOTE, SIMPLE REASON I'M GOING TO BE A NO VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY.

>> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR THE SAKE OF NOT REPEATING WHAT COUNCIL MEMBER SAID,

THAT'S WHY I'M VOTING, IT IS NOT NECESSARY FOR ME TO VOTE NO, BUT I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE ACCOUNTABILITY AND YOU CONSIDER THE ISSUES THAT HAPPENED, I DO APPRECIATE A LOT OF UPGRADES AND CHANGES I HAVE SEEN AND THE SAME REASON I VOTED NO ON THE POLICE CONTRACT, I STILL HAVE THOSE SAME CONCERNS AROUND ACCOUNTABILITY IN THIS PARTICULAR CONTRACT.

I'LL VOTE PRESENT AND I HOPE IN THE FUTURE THAT WE MAKE THESE ACCOUNTABILITY REVISIONS TO THE CONTRACT PRIOR TO SENDING TO COUNCIL. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> I APPRECIATE BOTH MY COLLEAGUES TO THE ACCOUNTABILITY. I WANT TO MENTION SOMETHING THOUGH AND I WORKED IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND MILITARY AND SUCH BUT WE HAVE -- OUR DISCIPLINARY PROCESS AND JUST LIKE EVERY COMPANY I EVER WORKED WITH PUT PEOPLE ON SIX MONTHS PERIOD AND YOU COULDN'T -- AND YOU ESSENTIALLY COULDN'T HANG DISCIPLINE OVER THEIR HEAD FOR A PERIOD OF TIME LONGER THAN THAT. I'M IN THE SAYING THAT SHOULD APPLY TO CORRECTIONS OFFICERS OR POLICE. WE MIGHT BE HOLDING THEM TO A DIFFERENT STANDARD. THAT'S FINE.

I'M GLAD TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEIR ROLE MAY BE SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT. MY POINT IS, WE CITED AN EXAMPLE OF SOMEBODY PUNCHING A -- A RESTRAINED PERSON FROM BEHIND.

THE DIFFERENCE BEING I THINK, WE WOULD BE MORE COMFORTABLE IF THAT WERE IMMEDIATELY ESCALATED TO TERMINATION WHICH I BELIEVE IT WOULD.

THAT EGREGIOUS ACT AND I WAS IN THE MILITARY AND OVERSEAS WE DID THE PENSION CENTER OPERATION.

IF ANYBODY HIT AND ABUSED A HANDCUFFED DETAINEE, THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN COURT MARTIALED.

NO QUESTION IN MY MIND.

TO ME, IT IS NOT SO MUCH A QUESTION OF -- THERE'S PROGRESSIVE, WE HAVE A PROGRESSIVE POLICY BUT ONCE WE PUT SOMEBODY ON A CERTAIN LEVEL, THEY HAVE A CERTAIN TIME, USUALLY SIX MONTHS TO RECTIFY.

IF THEY DO WE BRING THEM DOWN IF THEY DON'T IT MOVES TO THE NEXT LEVEL.

I DON'T THINK SIX MONTHS IS UNREASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME AS LONG AS AFTER SIX MONTHS DO YOU REDRESS.

IF IT IS A HIGHER INFRACTION, YOU NEED TO TAKE HIGHER ACTION AND MORE SERIOUS DISCIPLINE WITHOUT GOING THROUGH EVERY STEP OF THE PROCESS. IT NEED -- IT NEEDS TO MOVE MORE QUICKLY.

I WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT.

I UNDERSTAND IN SIX MONTHS IT MAY SOUND LIKE A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. THAT'S IN THE WITHOUT PRECEDENT IN OTHER SECTORS.

I APPRECIATE BOTH COUNCIL MEMBERS POINTS THAT -- THAT THESE ARE DIFFERENT POSITIONS AND WE MAY NEED TO RETHINK HOW THE DISCIPLINARY SYSTEM WORKS.

I THINK THIS SPEAKS TO AND I HOPE AND I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY WOULD DO THIS, BUT THE ADMINISTRATION WORKED CLOSELY AHEAD OF FINALIZING NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE COUNCIL TO MAKE SURE THAT -- THAT OUR -- THAT OUR CONCERNS ARE ADDRESSED BEFORE THEY FINISH THE CONTRACT

NEGOTIATION.

THEN WHEN -- IN THE DIFFICULT POSITION OF SAYING YAH OR NAY.

>> COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> I WANTED TO POINT OUT IN THE COMMENTS MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBERS AND I'M GLAD WE GOT THERE AND WE WOULDN'T UNDER NORMAL CIRCUMSTANCES IF DORSEY HAD NOT ASKED FOR IT.

WE ASKED FOR THIS COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT.

WE'VE GONE FROM A 24-HOUR PERIOD BEFORE YOU COULD ASK A MEMBER ABOUT A -- ABOUT CONDUCT.

AND WE'RE TRYING TO REPLICATE BILL OF RIGHTS AND WE DON'T HAVE TO IN THIS KIND OF CONTRACT.

THERE'S A REASON TO DO THAT.

THAT'S NOT IN THE OLD CONTRACT.

I THINK -- I THINK IT IS INCUMBENT ON -- ON THE ADMINISTRATION AND ON COUNCIL MEMBERS TO -- TO BEGIN TO QUESTION MORE WHY ARE WE MAKING THESE KINDS OF THINGS.

SO THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS -- THIS IS -- THIS IS AN ORDINANCE -- RESOLUTION REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> YOU HAVE 20 YES VOTES, ONE NO VOTE AND THREE PRESENT VOTES.

>> ITEM 48.

REAPPOINTMENT OF LEE WEYLAND TO
THE DOWNTOWN MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
BOARD. (TERM EXPIRES DECEMBER
14, 2023.

>> MOTION AND SECOND?

>> ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> I'M GOING TO START SKIPPING WITH THE CHAIRMAN OF MY
COMMITTEES.

>> THERE WAS AN ABSTENTION BY SEXTON-SMITH.

I'M SURE SHE'LL WANT TO REITERATE THAT.

>> I'M A CURRENT MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND OUT OF SUPER
ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, I FELT A CONFLICT OF INTEREST THAT IT WOULD
BE BEST IF I ABSTAIN FROM THE VOTE.
THAT'S THE ONLY REASON.

[ROLL CALLED].

23 YES VOTES AND ONE ABSTENTION.

>> THANK YOU.

PASSES.

49.

RESOLUTION HONORING THE LEGACY
OF MR. RAY A. BARKER, SR. BY
DEDICATING THE INTERSECTION OF
CECIL AVENUE AND WEST BROADWAY

AS MR. RAY A. BARKER, SR. Â€SIR
FRIENDLY IN HIS HONOR.

>> MOTION AND SECONDED.

ANY DISCUSSION?

>> I WOULD [INDISCERNIBLE] WITH GREEN.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.

AT A TIME WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT POLICE RELATIONS AND
RELATIONSHIPS, RAY BARKER REPRESENTS THE BEST I CAN REMEMBER ABOUT
POLICING.

HE'S RIGHT AROUND THE CORNER FROM WHERE I GREW UP AND FOR AS LONG
AS I COULD LIVE, I REMEMBER PARTICIPATING IN ACTIVITIES WITH HIM WHEN
I WAS A KID.

I'M HONORED TO GIVE HIM THIS DESIGNATION.

I WOULD LIKE TO READ THIS IN THE RECORD, MR. RAY A. BARKER IS A CHILD
OF GOD AND HAS BEEN A MEMBER OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH AND SERVED HIS
COUNTRY AS MARINE FOR THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS AND WHEREAS HE
SERVED LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY AS A EMPLOYER FOR OVER 20 YEARS WITH THE
LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT AND AS A CORRECTIONS OFFICER.
AND MR. BARKER IS BETTER KNOWN AND HE HAS PROMOTED ANTI-DRUG AND
ANTI-VIOLENCE MESSAGE.

WHEREAS IN BARKER SENDS HIS MESSAGE TO KENTUCKY AND TENNESSEE IN HIS
NONPROFIT.

WHERE AS HE HAS THESE PROGRAMS AND -- AND FOR HELP FOR OVER 100,000
KID GRADUATE FROM HIGH SCHOOL.

WHEREAS MR. BARKER WAS AN ORIGINAL MEMBER OF THE POLICE BAND.

AND HE WAS NAMED ONE OF THE TOP TEN COPS IN THE NATION.

WHEREAS MR. BARKER WAS A MOTIVATIONAL SPEAKER AT MANY CHURCHES AND ORGANIZATIONS AND SCHOOLS AND HAS RECEIVED NUMEROUS AWARDS, AND CERTIFICATES AND PLAQUES SUCH AS THE MEDAL OF VALOR FOR HIS ACTS OF KINDNESS, WHEREAS HE'S STILL ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY, SERVING ON THE EXECUTIVE KENTUCKY LOTTERY BOARD AND WORKING WITH MAN-UP AND SPEAKING AND HOSTING DIFFERENT EVENTS.

WHEREAS MR. BARKER HELPS PEOPLE BEHIND THE SCENES AND HELPING PEOPLE FIND EMPLOYMENT AND WHEREAS HE PARTICIPATED IN THE KENTUCKY DERBY SERVICES PARADE FOR 13 YEARS, COLLABORATING WITH MISS ANITA HILL FOUND PERSON -- FOUNDER.

DESIGNATED AS RAY A. BARKER.

THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS IS HERE BY DIRECTED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE HONORARY STREET SIGN UPON THE PASSAGE OF THE RESOLUTION TO COVER THE EXPENSE.

SECTION TWO OF THE RESOLUTION WILL TAKE IT UPON ITS PASSING.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

IS THERE AN FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS A RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE.

ALL IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED.

THE AYES HAVE IT AND THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

ITEM 50.

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING

[\$17,800] \$16,200 FROM

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

[\$6,800] \$4,000 FROM DISTRICT

12; \$2,400 EACH FROM DISTRICTS

17 AND 23; \$2,000 FROM DISTRICT

9; \$1,800 FROM DISTRICT 10;

\$1,600 FROM DISTRICT 24; \$1,200

FROM DISTRICT 21; AND \$800 FROM

DISTRICT 6; THROUGH THE OFFICE

OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO

COMMONWEALTH THEATER CENTER, INC

TO VIRTUALLY STREAM Â€NATION IN

CRISISÂ€ TO SCHOOLS THROUGHOUT

JEFFERSON COUNTY. (AS AMENDED)

READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION AND SECOND?

>> MOTION BY PIAGENTINI, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> WE HEARD ABOUT THIS.

IT WAS OLD BUSINESS AND AMENDED IN COMMITTEE TO CHANGE SOME AMOUNTS

AND ADD SOME AMOUNTS.

THIS IS OVER THE YEARS OF THIS PARTICULAR GROUP AND THEIR WORK IN THE SCHOOLS.

HE MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO THIS IS AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THE THEATER GROUPS ARE ADJUSTING TO OUR CURRENT COVID SITUATION, SO THEY HAVE GONE VIRTUAL. THEY'RE GOING ON CHAS BY CLASS BASIS.

YOU KNOW THE TEACHER IS ON THE SAME PAGE WHEN THEY CONTACT YOU, EVEN IF YOU DON'T ADD IN TONIGHT, IT IS SOMETHING IF THEY MAKE ARRANGEMENTS WITH A PARTICULAR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL THAT -- THAT YOU POINT THEM IN THAT DIRECTION.

THEY WILL COME BACK FOR DISCUSSION.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

24 YES VOTES, NO NO VOTES.

>> PASSES.

>> READING 51, PLEASE.

>> APPROPRIATING 2,000 DOLLARS FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING, 500 FROM 14, 250 FROM EACH 5, 6, 12, 15, 21 AND 23 THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO THE LINCOLN HERITAGE COUNCIL FOR BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA TO MAKE SCOUTING AVAILABLE TO ALL YOUTH IN THE AREA.

>> THE REQUEST WAS 6,500 DOLLARS.

IT WAS APPROVED.

WE MOVED IT TO OLD BUSINESS AT THE COUNCIL MEETING.

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> YES.

>> -- I PUT MY NAME ON THERE BUT DISTRICT 2 WANTS TO GIVE 250.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

ALL RIGHT.

>> MADAM CLERK WHAT DOES THAT MAKE OUR NUMBER?

>> 2,250 DOLLARS.

>> WILL THAT REQUIRE A MOTION?

>> YES, SIR IT WILL.

>> I HAVE A MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> MISS SHANKLIN WANTS TO MOTION THAT AND SECOND THAT.

>> ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED?

>> AYES HAVE IT.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IT IS -- WE'LL DO A VOICE VOTE ON THAT.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

>> AYES HAVE IT.

AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.

NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS, I ASK COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WISH TO MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS, PLEASE REMAIN UNTIL AFTER NEW BUSINESS IS READ INTO THE RECORD.

NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES, 52 THROUGH 69.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE READ THOSE ITEMS AND THEIR ASSIGNMENTS TO A COMMITTEE.

>> FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, APPROPRIATING 30,000 DOLLARS FROM DISTRICT 3 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS THROUGH DEVELOPMENT LOUISVILLE FORWARD TO THE OFFICE OF HOUSING TO ASSIST LOW INCOME HOME OWNERS WITH EMERGENCY REPAIRS AND ANTI-FORECLOSURE ASSISTANCE AND RENTERS WITH ANTI-EVICTION ASSISTANCE.

53, ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING 8,912.50 FROM DISTRICT 21 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO BRIGHTSIDE FOR FUNDING THE BRIGHTSIDE EDUCATION PROGRAM.

54 ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING YEAR END OPERATING BUDGET TRANSFERS BETWEEN VARIOUS AGENCIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 19-20 AND TO PROVIDE VARIOUS TRANSFERS AS DETAILED HERE IN.

55, ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 072 SERIES 2020 AND ORDINANCE NUMBER 073 SERIES 2020 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 20-21 CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGETS RESPECTFULLY BY TRANSFERRING 700,000 FROM THE LOUISVILLE FREE PUBLIC LIBRARY AND OPERATING BUDGET TO THE MIDDLETOWN LIBRARY RELOCATION PROJECT FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MATERIALS.

56, ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE 73 TO THE FIRST QUAL YEAR 2021 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT BY APPROPRIATING 10 MILLION OF GENERAL FUND COMMITTED FUND BALANCE FROM FIRST QUAL YEAR 19-20.

57, RESOLUTION APPROVING THE JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFFS 2021 BUDGET.

58, RESOLUTION OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL AMENDING COUNCIL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES TO ADOPT AN EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK.

60, ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 115 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES BY ADDING NEW SECTIONS ON WATER SAFETY PERSONNEL AND SWIMMING FACILITY REQUIREMENTS.

61 RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION OF FUND AND FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATE PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE OFFICE OF SAFE AND HEALTH THEY NEIGHBORS CONCERNING ITS EMPLOYMENT OF A DIRECTOR.

62, RESOLUTION APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND AN ANNUAL CONTRACT WITH HR ALLIANCE FOR WORK MACE INVESTIGATION.

63, ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2528-2532 SOUTH FOURTH STREET CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 252 ACRES AND BEING IN

LOUISVILLE METRO.

64, ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9121 BLOSSOM LANE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY.52 ACRES.

65, ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE REVISED DETAILED DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT PLAN AT 1231 DURRETT LANE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 25.57 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

66, ORDINANCE AMENDING SEVERAL SECTIONS OF THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER 151.

67, ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 128 SERIES NUMBER 2020 REGARDING LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICIES.

69, RESOLUTION URGING THE GENERAL SIMPLY TO AMEND SECTIONS OF THE KENTUCKY REVISED STATUTES IN ORDER TO ENABLE POLICE REFORMS.

69 ORDINANCE APPROVING THE RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF THE LOUISVILLE AND JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO SEWER DISTRICT EXTENDING THE AUTHORIZED FINAL MATURITY DATE OF THE DISTRICT'S SEWER DRAINAGE SYSTEM SUBORDINATED PROGRAM NOTES IN THE MAX MONEY OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF 500 MILLION DOLLARS FROM JULY 1, 2021 TO JUNE 30, 2023. THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH NOTES BEING USED TO PAY ALL OR A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION OR CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS, ADDITIONS, OR EXTENSIONS TO DISTRICT' SEWER AND DRAINAGE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CAPITAL ASSETS AND FACILITIES INCIDENT AND RELATED TO THEIR OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND ADMINISTRATION.

>> HAPPY BIRTHDAY, SIR.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH MADAM CLERK.

THANK YOU.

SO WITH THAT BEING SAID, THIS CONCLUDES OUR MEETING AND WE -- WE LOOK TO SEEING EACH OTHER, I HOPE EVERYBODY HAS A REALLY GREAT THANKSGIVING, EVERYBODY.

ENCOURAGE YOU TO PRAY FOR OUR COLLEAGUES THAT ARE SICK RIGHT NOW. WITH THAT BEING SAID, OUR NEXT COUNCIL MEETING IS THURSDAY DECEMBER 10TH, AT 6 P.M., HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO EVERYBODY.

WE STAND ADJOURNED.