

Amendment to Binding Element Application

Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services

Case No.: SM Intake Staff: M

ate: 8/31/15 Fee: 24(3°

Once complete, please bring the application and supporting documentation to Planning and Design Services, 444 South 5th Street, Suite 300. For more information, call (502) 574-6230 or visit http://www.louisvilleky.gov/PlanningDesign.

Note: This application is not required in conjunction with an application for a District Development Plan.

Project Information:

Project Description (e.g., retail center and office development, etc.):	Amendment to Binding	Element	
Project Name:	Foster Hydraulics	nearly En	
Primary Project Address:	7800 Johnsontown Road	REULIVED	
Additional Address(es):	<u> </u>	AUG 3 1 2015 PLANNING &	
Primary Parcel ID:	Block 1047, Lot 836	DESIGN SERVICES	
Additional Parcel ID(s):			
# of Residential Units:	n/a	Commercial Square Footage:	
Proposed Use:	same as existing	Existing Use:	
Existing Zoning District:	EZ-1/R-1	Existing Form District: Neighborhood	
Deed Book(s) / Page Numbers ² : Deed Book 9319, Page 852			
The subject property contains acres. Number of Adjoining Property Owners:			
Has the property been the subject of a previous development proposal (e.g., rezoning, variance, appeal, conditional use permit, minor plat, etc.)? This information can be found in the Land Development Report (Related Cases) ¹ Yes No			
Docket/Case #: 9-15-96		Docket/Case #: B-136-96	
Docket/Case #:		Docket/Case #:	

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Owner Information:	Applicant Information:
Entity or Individual Name: Timothy B. Foster	Entity or Individual Name:same as owner
By: John C. Talbott, Attorney and Agent for the property owner	Ву:
Address 6409 Triplett Woods Dr	Address
City Louisville State KY Zip Code 40258	City State Zip Code
Phone:	Phone:
E-mail:	E-mail:
Signature	RECEIVE!
	AUG 3 1 2015
Plan Prepared by: Primary Contact	Attorney: Primary Contact X DESIGN SERVICES
Print Namen/a	Print Name John C. Talbott
Company Name:	Company Name: <u>Bardenwerper Talbott & Roberts, PLLC</u>
Address	Address1000 N. Hurstbourne Parkway 2 nd floor
City State Zip Code	City <u>Louisville</u> State <u>KY</u> Zip Code <u>40223</u>
Phone:	Phone:502-426-6688
E-mail:	E-mail:
<u>CERTIFICATION STATEMENT:</u> A Certification Statement must be submis (are) a limited liability company, corporation, partnership, association, tapplication.	nitted with any application in which the owner(s) of the subject property rustee, etc., or if someone other than the owner(s) of record sign(s) the Attorney & Agent for the property
,John C. Talbott	in my capacity as
hereby certify that Timothy B. Foster	
subject of this application, and that I am authorized to sign this app	Dilication on behalf of the owner.
Signature:	Date:August 31, 2015
I understand that knowingly providing false information on this Application may re that pursuant to KRS 523.010 et seq., knowingly making a material false statem servant in the performance of his duty is punishable as a Class B misdemeanor.	sult in any action taken hereon being declared null and void. I further understand ent, or otherwise providing false information with the intent to mislead a public



Binding Element Amendment Justification:

In order to justify approval of any amendments to binding elements, the Planning Commission considers the following criteria. Please answer all of the following items. Use additional sheets if needed. A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.

1. Are there any natural resources on the property, including trees and other living vegetation, steep slopes, water courses, flood plains, soils, air quality, scenic views, and historic sites? And are these natural resources being preserved?

This site is largely located in the floodplain, which is proposed for minimal disturbance, as more fully explained in the detailed letter accompanying this application.

2. Is safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation provided both within the development and the community?

Safe and efficient vehicular access serve the existing business today, and when a detailed plan for possible future expansion or use is filed, all Land Development Code (LDC), Metro Works and Transportation Planning standards and MSD Floodplain Ordinance Regulatory requirements must be satisfied after being fully addressed on such plan.

3. Is sufficient open space (scenic and recreational) to meet the needs of the proposed development being provided?

RECEIVED

All LDC requirements in this regard can be fully provided.

AUG 3 1 2015 PLANNING &

4. Are provisions for adequate drainage facilities provided on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community?

MSD Floodplain Ordinance requirements will need to be addressed at time of detailed development plan submittal and review.

5. Is the overall site design (location of buildings, parking lots, screening, landscaping) and land use or uses compatible with the existing and projected future development of the area?

LDC and MSD Floodplain Ordinance standards will be addressed at time of detailed development plan review.

6. Is the proposal in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code?

15mc0/US

Theoretically yes, because Compliance Plan and LDC Guidelines, Policies and regulatory requirements will be fully addressed on any detailed plan eventually filed with the respect to specific use of this property.

BARDENWERPER, TALBOTT & ROBERTS, PLLC

– ATTORNEYS AT LAW -

Building Industry Association of Greater Louisville Bldg • 1000 N. Hurstbourne Parkway • Second Floor • Louisville, Kentucky 40223 (502) 426-6688 • www.Bardlaw.net

John C. Talbott

Direct dial: 426-0388, ext. 133

Email: JCT@BARDLAW.NET

August 31, 2015

Case Manager Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services 444 S. Fifth Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 RECEIVED

AUG 3 1 2015 PLANNING & DESIGN SERVICES

Re: Amendment to Binding Element for Foster Hydraulics, previous Docket No. 9-15-96

Dear Case Manager:

We are herewith filing an application for an Amendment to Binding Elements to remove Binding Element #'s 1, 2, and 4 as set forth in the March 5, 1998 Planning Commission Minutes. The applicant/owner is actively seeking a buyer for this property and by eliminating these Binding Elements, the applicant can make this property more marketable. With the Binding Elements as currently adopted, the property is not marketable.

In order to provide some background, this property is located in southwest Jefferson County on the river-side of the floodwall. The binding elements were placed on the property in conjunction with a request for zoning change from R-1 Residential Single Family to EZ-1 Enterprise Zone. The property had been used by Foster Hydraulics for manufacturing, rebuilding, and repairing hydraulic equipment before the request for zoning change, operating as a legal non-conforming use which it continues to this day. The request for zoning change was related to the effort by the owners to construct a new building on the property to combine all existing operations and storage under one roof.

At the time of the request, the City was also considering the adoption of the Ohio River Corridor Master Plan (the "Proposed Plan"), which formed the primary basis to the objections to the zoning change. The Proposed Plan was proposed in 1996, but in the subsequent nineteen (19) years it has never been implemented.

In conjunction with the Proposed Plan, the City was also considering acquiring the adjacent property for some public use in the Plan. The adjacent property was then being used as a garbage transfer station which it is believed was considered undesirable by the City. The transfer station has now been abandoned and the City never obtained any funding to acquire the adjacent property. No other businesses or residences are in the vicinity of this property on the river-side of the floodwall. The failure for the Proposed Plan to be adopted in nearly twenty years and the tremendous negative impact these restrictions have on the property, particularly with marketability, are reasons the binding elements requested herein should be removed.

Binding Element No.1 specifically requires the improvements permitted in 1998 to be removed at the cost of the property owner in the event the City implements the Proposed Plan and obtains funding for the acquisition of the adjoining property. The cloud this restriction places on the property renders it completely unmarketable even though the circumstances for removal of the

15 may 15

building no longer exist and which do not have any remotely reasonable possibility of being sought by the City in the future. The restriction itself effectively renders the property unmarketable while serving no purpose at this time, which is why it is being requested to be removed.

Binding Element No. 2 further restricts the property's use to solely that of "rebuilding and repairing hydraulic cylinders or a similar machine shop operation." This specific use restriction was also placed on the property presumably in large part on the prospect the Proposed Plan might be adopted and to limit the potential conflict such different uses might have with the adjoining property. However, since the Proposed Plan was never adopted and considering that the garbage transfer station has shut down, Binding Element No. 2 should also be removed. It places an unnecessary burden and limitation on the property for no purpose at all.

Binding Element No. 4 restricts the use of tractor-trailer trucks on the site. This restriction again was placed on the property in large part because of the conflict it would cause with the adjoining property, which when in operation had many roll-off type trucks coming and going continuously in the garbage transfer business. There was not a convenient place for tractor-trailers coming to the subject property to turn around, which created a potential traffic issue with the other business. At this time, the sanitation/garbage transfer operation company no longer exists and thus its non-confirming use rights no longer exist. Consequently the potential conflict with the adjoining property's business is no longer an issue. Additionally, there is not any prospect of future residential development on the adjoining property with which the trucks could interfere due to the location in the 100-year flood plain. Consequently Binding Element No. 4 serves no purpose and it should be removed.

In summary, the elimination of the few Binding Elements mentioned above will allow the owner to sell the property for its fair market value and at the same time the remaining binding elements will continue to sufficiently limit the development of the property preventing any appreciable impact on adjoining properties.

We look forward to discussing this matter with you in further detail. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Many thanks.

Sincerely,

John C. Talbott

Cc: Timothy Foster, applicant

RECEIVED

AUG 3 1 2015 PLANNING & DESIGN SERVICES

(Spep1/5