

**Metro Council - 8-26-21**

>> THIS REGULAR MEETING OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IS BEING CONDUCTED VIA VIDEO TELECONFERENCE PURSUANT TO KRS 61.826 AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SENATE BILL 150 SOME MEMBERS ARE PRESENT IN CHAMBERS AND OTHERS ARE ATTENDING VIRTUALLY. IF WE EXPERIENCES A DISRUPTION IN THE VIDEO FEED OF ANY OF OUR MEMBERS ATTENDING VIRTUALLY THE MEETING WILL BE SUSPENDED FOR 10 MINUTES WHILE WE MAKE A REASONABLE ATTEMPT TO FIX THE ISSUE. WHILE THE MEETING IS SUSPENDED, ALL DISCUSSION OF BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN. IF AFTER 10 MINUTES THE ISSUE WITH THE MEMBER'S FEED CONTINUES WE'LL RESUME THE MEETING AND THE MEETING WILL CONTINUE AS LONG AS WE STILL HAVE A QUORUM OF MEMBERS. IF WE EXPERIENCE ANY TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES THAT AFFECTS THE PUBLIC'S ABILITY TO SEE THE MEETING AS A WHOLE WE WILL SUSPEND THE MEETING WHILE WE ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE. WHILE THE MEETING IS SUSPENDED ALL DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN. IF AFTER 20 MINUTES THE ISSUE CANNOT BE RESOLVED THE MEETING WILL STAND ADJOURNED. ANY UNFINISHED OR PENDING BUSINESS AT THAT TIME WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE COUNCIL.

>> MADAME CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY. COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> PRESENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> PRESENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MULVHILL.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> PRESENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART.

>> HERE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> HERE.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY. COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

AND COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 23 IN

ATTENDANCE AND A QUORUM.

>> THANK YOU, MADAME CLERK. AS OF THIS MORNING, 1,383 HAVE DIED AND 94,000 HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH COVID-19. TWO PEOPLE HAVE DIED EVERY DAY SINCE WE MET TWO WEEKS AGO. THAT'S MORE FAMILIES MOURNING THE LICE OF A MOM, DAD, BROTHER, SISTER OR CHILD. I CANNOT EXPRESS HOW STRONGLY ENOUGH HOW IMPORTANT IT IS WE GET VACCINATED AND MASK UP AND TOGETHER WE CAN BEAT THIS. WE'RE STILL IN THE RED ZONE HERE IN LOUISVILLE AND EXPERIENCING A SHARP INCREASE IN CASES ESPECIALLY AMONG OUR CHILDREN. PLEASE JOIN ME IN A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR THOSE THAT WE'VE LOST. THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER, I BELIEVE YOU HAVE A SPECIAL GUEST AND PROCLAMATION AND LET THE RECORD REFLECT COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI HAS TAKEN THE CHAIR.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> WE'RE UP HERE TODAY AND STANDING NEXT TO ME IS OUR COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR TONY HYATT WHO YOU MAY NOT KNOW BUT AUGUST 31ST IS HIS LAST DAY WITH LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT AND RETIRING AFTER 13 YEARS OF SERVICE AND TODAY IS HIS LAST METRO COUNCIL MEETING. WE'RE VERY SAD TO LOSE TONY AND GRATEFUL FOR HIS SERVICE AND HAVE A PROCLAMATION WE WORKED ON PRESIDENT JAMES WILL GIVE YOU AND I WILL READ. SO TO WHOM THE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETING THE LOUISVILLE COUNCIL HONORS HIM AND HE GRADUATED IN 1989 WITH A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN BROADCASTING AND WORKED AS A LOCAL REPORTER AND TONY BEGAN WORKING AS A COMMUNICATIONS

DIRECTOR FOR THE MAJORITY CAUCUS IN APRIL 2006 AND HAS HELPED GUIDE THE COUNCIL THROUGH CHANGES SUCH AS IN EXPANDING LOCAL MEDIA LANDSCAPE AND THE EVOLUTION OF SOCIAL MEDIA AND VIRTUAL MEETINGS AND WHERE HIS FRIENDLINESS AND ACCEPTABILITY WERE THE HALLMARKS WERE THE TIME OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO AND THE COUNCIL THANKS HIS FOR HIS YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE COUNCIL AND CITY AND HOPE BUT THE FUTURE BRINGS NOTHING BUT THE BEST INCLUDING MORE NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS FOR LOUISVILLE AND DONE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, THIS 26TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2021. TONY, CONGRATULATIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> WE'LL MISS YOU AND HAVE A COUPLE PARTING GIFTS FOR YOU AS WELL. WE KNOW YOU'RE A HUGE SPORTS FAN AND TRIES TO GET THE U.K. COACHES AND WERE UNSUCCESSFUL BUT WE DID GET YOU A FOOTBALL SIGNED BY COACH SADDERFIELD AND A BASKETBALL SIGNED BY THE COACH. YOU HAVE THE FULL COLLECTION THERE. WE WISH YOU ALL THE BEST AND I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO SAY ANYTHING.

>> I THINK YOU ALL KNOW NORMALLY ON A NIGHT LIKE THIS I'D BE STANDING WHERE JENNY IS WITH THE CAMERA AND I ALWAYS WONDERED HOW LONG IT WAS LAST BECAUSE THEY WERE ALL HERE SO OF ALL THE TIMES I PICKED UP THE CAMERA I CAN'T BEGIN TO TELL YOU HOW MANY HONORS AND PRESENTATIONS. BACK IN MARCH OF 2006 AFTER ABOUT 29 YEARS OF BROADCASTING, IT WAS TIME FOR ME TO HAVE A CHANGE AND I HEARD ABOUT THIS JOB BY THE DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS AND I CALLED THE

DISTRICT 10 OFFICE AND TALKED TO A YOUNG FELLA NAMED ROB HOLTZMAN WHO SAID WE WANT YOU TO APPLY. TWO HOURS LATER JIM KEEN CALLED AND SAID I HEAR YOUR INTERESTED IN THE JOB. BOY, YOU WOULD BE A GREAT ADDITION TO THIS METRO COUNCIL. SO I CAME TO WORK HERE TO REMIND EVERYBODY WHO WAS HERE BACK THEN, THE BIG ISSUE BACK THAT SUMMER WAS THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE. THERE WERE SOME PIT BULLS THAT WERE TERRORIZING POST OFFICE WORKERS IN THE VALLEY STATION AREA AND BOB HENDERSON AMONG OTHERS WAS ADAMANT TO GET SOMETHING DONE AND WHO WERE NOT THERE IN 2006 FOR A MEETING THAT WENT TO 2:30 IN THE MORNING WE FINALLY MADE IT THROUGH THAT ONE. I THOUGHT ABOUT THIS OVER THE MONTHS SINCE I DECIDED TO RESIGN AND RETIRE. I'VE ALWAYS APPRECIATED THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT. IT'S EASY TO WORK FOR A MAYOR IT'S EASY TO WORK FOR A GOVERNOR, THAT'S ONE MESSAGE BUT THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH REPRESENTS PEOPLE AND QUITE HONESTLY YOU ALL DO NOT GET ENOUGH CREDIT FOR WHAT YOU PUT INTO THIS JOB. I KNOW THAT YOU'VE TACKLED BUDGET SHORTFALLS AND POLICE PROTEST AND WITH THE DEVOTION TO THIS COMMUNITY YOU HAVE RISEN TO THE CHALLENGE MULTIPLE TIMES OVER MY YEARS. I KNOW THERE'S SOME OF MY MEMBERS WHO I DROVE CRAZY WITH MY TYPOS OVER THE LAST 15 YEARS. I KNOW OTHERS HAVE TOLD ME OVER THE LAST COUPLE DAYS THEY REALLY APPRECIATED MY EFFORTS IN GETTING THEM THROUGH SOME TOUGH TIMES. I'LL TELL YOU TWO OTHER THINGS THEN I'LL HUSH UP, PROMISE. PRIOR TO COVID, YOU ALL KNOW MY OFFICE IS RIGHT BY THE

COFFEE MACHINE ON THE THIRD FLOOR AND IT WAS NOT UNCOMMON FOR COMMITTEE WEEK FOR ME TO BE VISITED BY COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON WHO WAS ALWAYS LOOKING FOR COFFEE AND HE'D STOP BY MY DOOR AND TALK ABOUT WHAT'S ON HIS MIND AND HE'D SAY I KNOW PEOPLE THINK I'M -- THAT'S A COMMON FRAME. I KNOW YOU'RE ON THE WEBEX, I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT EVEN THOUGH YOU MAY THINK PEOPLE THINK, THAT KIND OF THINKING IS WHAT PEOPLE NEED TO HEAR NOW AND THEN SO KEEP IT UP BECAUSE EVERYBODY NEEDS TO KNOW WHAT EVERYBODY THINKS ABOUT ALL ISSUES. AND FINALLY, I OWE A GREAT DEAL OF THANKS TO THIS COUNCIL BECAUSE YOU ALL KNOW THREE YEARS AGO I WENT THROUGH A VERY TOUGH PERIOD IN MY LIFE AND IF IT WERE NOT FOR THE CONCERN AND CARING AND COMPASSION OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS BUILDING TO GET ME THROUGH AND THE JOB I CAME BACK TO, I REALLY DON'T KNOW HOW I WOULD HAVE MADE IT. SO YOU WILL ALWAYS HAVE A SPECIAL PLACE IN MY HEART. THAT'S IT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY. IT'S BEEN AN HONOR AND PRIVILEGE AND I CAN'T THINK OF A BETTER WAY TO SPEND MY LAST 15 YEARS THAN HELPING YOU ALL HELP THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE SO THANK YOU ALL.

>> WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF I WANT TO GO AFTER THAT, TONY. I JUST WANT TO REALLY SAY TO YOU THAT WE ARE SO APPRECIATIVE OF EVERYTHING YOU'VE DONE FOR US HERE AT THE COUNCIL. I'M PERSONALLY SO VERY APPRECIATIVE OF EVERYTHING YOU'VE DONE. I DON'T KNOW IF I WOULD HAVE MADE IT. I THINK ABOUT THE TIMES I'VE TEXTED YOU OR CALLED YOU AT 1:00 IN THE MORNING OR MIDNIGHT AND

SAID I NEED A PRESS RELEASE AND YOU SAID WHAT AND YOU'VE DONE THOSE THINGS. I'M GRATEFUL FOR THE CARING YOU'VE SHOWN TO ALL OF US AND TO THE CITIZENS OF OUR COMMUNITY AND IT SHOULD NOT GO UNSAID THEY KNOW YOU LOVE THIS CITY AND LOVE THIS COUNCIL AND WE'RE SO VERY THANKFUL FOR YOU. THANK YOU.

>> TONY, JUST BECAUSE YOU LOVE THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS SO MUCH WE HAVE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WANT TO STAY SOMETHING STARTING WITH COUNCIL WOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU FOR BEING KIND TO ME DURING MY INITIATION STAGE OF BEING ON THE COUNCIL. I WAS A FRESHMAN AND OFTEN RELIED ON YOU FOR YOUR EXPERTISE AND YOU WERE ALWAYS SO VERY GENEROUS AND THANK YOU FOR ALWAYS BEING THERE AT THE DROP OF A DIME. I REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR EFFORTS AND YOUR SPIRIT AND WISH YOU THE BEST OF LUCK IN YOUR NEW LIFE. TAKE CARE, TONY, AND DON'T BE A STRANGER.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO-TEM.

>> THANK YOU, MY TIME HERE HAS BEEN SHORT BUT WE BECAME ACQUAINTED IN OUR OTHER LIVES IN BROADCAST MEDIA FOR A LONG TIME. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF DISTRICT 13. THANK YOU FOR WHAT YOU'VE DONE FOR THE COUNCIL AND CITY. AND FOR ME PERSONALLY, THE WAY YOU HANDLED THAT FOOTBALL,



THAT'S THE REASON YOU'RE A GREAT MEDIA DIRECTOR, MY MAN. I LOVE YOU, BUDDY, AND WISH YOU THE BEST.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL WOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO-TEM.

>> I APPRECIATE HOW YOU ALWAYS KEPT THOSE REPORTERS IN LINE AND A REALLY APPRECIATE THAT AND THE FIRST THING I THOUGHT WHEN I SAW YOU STANDING UP THERE WAS HOW PROUD MARY WOULD BE AND I'M REALLY SORRY SHE'S NOT THERE BESIDE YOU BUT GOD BLESS YOU, TAKE CARE AND DON'T BE A STRANGER. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. COUNCIL WOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO-TEM. TONY, ALL THE THINGS YOU'VE DONE TO HELP ME ON THE COUNCIL TO PULL ME BACK AND GET ME DOWN OFF THE WALL SOMETIMES I THINK THE BIGGEST THING I'LL BE ETERNALLY GRATEFUL TO YOU FOR AND MY FAMILY WILL BE IS THAT SOME OF YOU ALL ARE NEW, DON'T KNOW MY SON WAS SEVERELY INJURED IN IRAQ IN 2007 AND THE MEDIA WAS NOT ONLY TRYING TO GET INTEREST FROM ME OR LOOKING FOR MY PARENTS OR ANYBODY IN MY FAMILY TO TALK TO AND IF IT HADN'T BEEN TONY STEPPING UP AND HANDLING THE MEDIA I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE WOULD HAVE DONE. WE'LL BE ETERNALLY GRATEFUL BECAUSE YOU STEPPED UP WHEN YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO AND TOOK THE BULL BY THE HORNS AND GOT EVERYONE ON THE SAME PAGE AND I'LL BE SO GRATEFUL TO YOU FOREVER WHAT YOU DID THAT DAY BECAUSE IT

WAS THE WORSE DAY OF MY LIFE AND YOU STEPPED IN. THANK YOU,  
TONY.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCIL WOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO-TEM. TONY, I WAS DELIGHTED TO KNOW  
YOU WERE THE COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR BECAUSE I KNEW YOU WHEN I  
WAS THE SPOKESPERSON FOR THE JEFFERSON COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.  
YOU WERE A WONDERFUL REPORTER BACK THEN AND WERE FULL OF  
INTEGRITY AND KNEW WE WERE IN GOOD HANDS. THANK YOU FOR ALL  
YOU'VE DONE FOR ME. WORKING WITH A LOT OF STRONG PERSONALITIES  
IS NOT AN EASY TASK AND YOU DID IT WELL AND YOU STOOD THE TEST  
OF TIME. ALL I CAN SAY NOW IS GO FORTH AND LIVE THE BEST LIFE  
THAT IS AHEAD OF YOU. YOU CAN RUN BUT YOU CANNOT HIDE. WE STILL  
HAVE YOUR TELEPHONE NUMBER. ENJOY YOUR RETIREMENT.

>> NOT UNLESS I CHANGE ACCOUNTS. THANK YOU, THOUGH.

>> WE KNOW WHERE YOU LIVE TOO.

>> COUNCIL WOMAN.

>> THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU'VE DONE FOR ME. ALL THE TIMES THAT WHEN  
I COULDN'T SAY ANYTHING IN THE PAPER YOU CALLED AND SAY  
DR. SHANKLIN DO YOU WANT ME TO PUT A QUOTE IN THERE FOR YOU OR  
WHATEVER AND LIKED THE WAY YOU ALWAYS HANDLED IT. VERY EASY  
GOING AND AS LONG AS I'VE BEEN THERE I'VE NEVER SEEN YOU GET  
MAD. YOU KEEP ON GOING. I'M GOING MISS YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. YOU SAID YOU LIKE THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS. COUNCIL WOMAN GREEN.

>> MR. PRESIDENT PRO-TEM, I WANTED TO THANK TONY FROM THE BOTTOM OF MY HEART. AS COUNCIL WOMAN MCCRANEY SAID YOU HAVE DEALT WITH BIG PERSONALITIES. THERE'S BEEN TIMES IN THE CAUCUS YOU'VE HAD TO NAVIGATE US HAVING DIFFERENT OPINIONS AND YOU HAVE NAVIGATED THAT PROCESS WITH SUCH INTEGRITY AND YOU HAVE TREATED EVERYONE ONE A GREAT DISPOSITION NO MATTER HOW YOU PERSONALLY FELT. THERE'S BEEN TIMES WHEN THE MEDIA HAS HOUNDED ME AT CERTAIN POINTS THROUGH MY LEGISLATIVE CAREER HERE. HAVE PROTECTED ME AT TIMES AND SHIELDED ME WHEN THERE'S BEEN TIMES AND YOU HAVE BEEN AN INTEGRAL WILL PART OF GETTING OUT IN FRONT OF THE MEDIA TO GET OUR MESSAGING OUT THERE. AND I THINK PARTICULARLY AS WE TRY TO FIND SOMEONE TO FILL YOUR ROLE THEY SAY YOU WON'T LIFT THE WELL UNTIL THE WATER RUNS DRY. WHOEVER THEY REPLACE YOU WITH HAVE BIG SHOES TO FILL. WE ARE ETERNALLY GRATEFUL AND THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO-TEM. TONY, YOU AFFECT A LOT OF PEOPLE IN A POSITIVE WAY. I WOULDN'T HAVE STOPPED IN YOUR OFFICE IF YOU WOULDN'T HAVE AFFECTED ME IN A POSITIVE WAY AND ALWAYS LISTENED AND HAD THE CONVERSATION. THANKS A LOT OF FOR BEING WHO YOU ARE.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MULVHILL. THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE, TONY AND YOU'RE EXCEPTIONAL IN TERMS OF GETTING SOMEBODY READY FOR AN INTERVIEW. EVERY INTERVIEW I CAN'T THANK YOU ENOUGH. I WAS NEVER UNEXPECTED A QUESTION. YOU DID AN EXCELLENT JOB AND I WANT TO THANK YOU. BEST OF LUCK.

>> THANK YOU, SIR.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> I DIDN'T REALIZE YOU WENT THAT FAR BACK TO THE DOG ORDINANCE THAT WAS THE NEXT YEAR I GOT TO BE PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL AND THAT'S THE FIRST THING THAT HAPPENED IS I WAS HAND THE PAPER WE WERE BEING SUED OVER THAT TO HAMMER OUT. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR EFFORTS. AS EVERYONE HAS MENTIONED IT'S DIFFICULT WITH THE EGOS THAT SOMETIMES POLITICIANS HAVE AND SOMETIMES MAYBE INFLATED SENSE OF IMPORTANCE AND WERE ALWAYS PATIENCE WITH US. I APPRECIATE THE PATIENCE YOU'VE HAD WITH ME IN TRYING TO MOVE THINGS FORWARD AND GET MESSAGES OUT AND AGREE WITH COUNCIL MEMBER MULVHILL THAT YOU'RE REALLY GOOD AT BEING ABLE TO PINPOINT THAT MAY BE CURVEBALLS THROWN AT YOU AND PEOPLE ASK US TO GO ON SHOWS FOR A PARTICULAR ITEM AND SAYING THEY'LL PROBABLY ASK ABOUT THIS, THIS AND THIS AND I WISH YOU FORWARD IN YOUR RETIREMENT.

>> ONE THING COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL HAD THE PLEASURE OF DOING BEFORE THE PRESIDENCY AND HE WAS THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE THAT

HAD TO DECIDE WHAT LAWS STATE ON THE BOOKS BETWEEN ALDERMAN AND WHICH THEY'D GET RID OF AND EVERYONE WAS WONDERING WHICH ONES SHOULD WE KEEP AND THROW OUT AND EVERYTHING LIKE THAT. HE HAD A PRETTY CHALLENGING JOB IN THE FIRST COUPLE YEARS. COUNCIL WOMAN HOLTON STEWART.

>> YOU'VE BEEN A TREMENDOUS HELP TO ME. STEPPING IN THIS YEAR YOU WERE VITAL IN THE TRANSITION. THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR HELP. I WISH WE HAD LONGER TO WORK TOGETHER BUT I DO WISH YOU WELL AND ENJOY YOUR RETIREMENT. THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER.

>> I WANTED TO COMMENT ON YOUR KINDNESS I'VE SEEN REPEATEDLY OCCURRING MY SEVEN YEARS HERE AND I'D ALSO LIKE TO NOTE DURING THIS TOUGH COVID-19 PERIOD, TONY'S ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO WAS HERE A LOT AND WE VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THAT AND ON A PERSONAL NOTE I WILL MISS TRADING OLD BROADCASTER STORIES WITH YOU. THERE AREN'T MANY OLD BROADCASTERS ANY MORE WHO REMEMBER THE OLD DAYS AND I ALWAYS ENJOYED THOSE CONVERSATIONS TOO. BEST OF LUCK TO YOU.

>> COUNCIL WOMAN DORSEY.

>> HEY, TONY. I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU. PERSONNEL HAS NOT BEEN EASY THIS YEAR WITH YOUR DEPARTURE AND I YOU HAVE GUIDED US EVERY STEP OF THE WAY SO ONE, I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP

AND ON A PARTIAL NOTE BEING ONE OF THE NEWER COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT CAME IN, EVERY TIME THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT SOMETHING I JUST REMEMBER YOU STOPPING BY THE OFFICE AND GIVING ME CONTEXT AND HISTORY AND BACKGROUND TO HELP ME UNDERSTAND THE FULL PICTURE AND SOMETIMES THE FULL MAGNITUDE OF WHAT WAS DONE IN THE PAST SO I CAN UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT AND TONY, THAT IS INVALUABLE. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR STEPPING OUT OF YOUR COMFORT ZONE AND ALWAYS GETTING TO KNOW PEOPLE EVERY TWO OR THREE YEARS OR FOUR YEARS BUT THEN BEING PROACTIVE ABOUT MAKING SURE WE HAVE WHAT WE NEED AND NOT BEING AFRAID TO DO THAT AND FIGHTING FOR THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE. WE COULDN'T DO THIS WITHOUT YOU SO I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE TO US AND YOU'LL DO GREAT THINGS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. COUNCIL WOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO-TEM. ON A FINAL NOTE, TONY, I HOPE YOU'LL BE ON VACATION LONG ENOUGH TO GET THAT ESSENTIAL WORKER PAY. GOD BLESS YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, ALL.

>> MADAME CLERK, MAY THE RECORD REFLECT I'M AWESOME AND PUSHING THE CHAIR BACK TO PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES, YOU ARE.

>> WAS THE QUESTION WHETHER HE WAS AWESOME OR NOT?

>> STILL QUESTIONING THAT ONE.

>> OKAY. MADAME CLERK, PLEASE LET THE RECORD REFLECT -- ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL.

>> YES, SIR, THERE ARE.

>> LET ME REMIND FROM MAKING PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY REMARKS TO ANY COUNCIL MEMBER. BRING THEM FORWARD.

>> MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL, I'M CLARENCE HIXON, A LOCAL ATTORNEY REPRESENTING DENNIS DOLAND WHO IS CHALLENGING RECENT DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS. IN MANY PARTS OF METRO LOUISVILLE, IF YOU FLUSH THE TOILET IN WET WEATHER YOU HAVE NO ASSURANCE THE WASTE WATER WILL ACTUALLY MAKE IT TO THE TREATMENT PLANT AND IF IT DOES GET THERE, THAT THE TREATMENT PLANT IS NOT ALREADY OVERLOADED AND WILL NOT SIMPLY BYPASS IT INTO THE RIVER. WE NEED TO CLOSE A MAJOR LOOPHOLE IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, CHAPTER 11 REVIEW STANDARDS THAT SETS OUT REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR NEW DEVELOPMENTS. CHAPTER 11 NEEDS TO BE AMENDED BY METRO COUNCIL WITH A TRUTH AND DEVELOPMENT ACT TO CLOSE THE LOOPHOLE. THE TRUTH IN DEVELOPMENT ACT WOULD REQUIRE REVIEWING AGENCIES AND THE CASE MANAGER OF PLANNING AND DESIGN TO DISCLOSE IN THE RECORD PRIOR TO THE HEARING THE SPECIFIC DETAILS OF THE FREQUENCY, VOLUME AND LOCATION OF ANY DOWNSTREAM SEWER OVERFLOWS AND SEWER CAPACITY

ISSUES AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. EFFECTED NEIGHBORS AND PLANNING COMMISSIONER SHOULD KNOW IN DETAIL THE IMPACTS MUCH NEW DEVELOPMENT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AT THE TIME THEY CONSIDER NEW DEVELOPMENT IN THE COUNTY. PLAN 2040 DEMANDS THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE FAILS TO SPECIFY THE DISCLOSURE. THE PLANNING COMMISSION SHOULD NOT HAVE APPROVAL THE RECENT PROVIDENCE POINT DEVELOPMENT FOR 520 APARTMENTS. THE CONSEQUENCES FOR THAT DECISION WERE NOT KNOWN TO THE COMMISSIONERS BECAUSE CHAPTER 11'S BROAD AND VAGUE REVIEW LANGUAGE DOESN'T REQUIRE PEOPLE BE TOLD THE WHOLE TRUTH. RIGHT NOW THERE IS NO TRUTH IN DEVELOPMENT LANGUAGE AND THE CONSEQUENCES ARE THE CITY ADDS NEW MAJOR SEWER LOADS TO THE BROKEN OVERLOADED WASTE WATER SYSTEM. SINCE WE CONTINUE TO OVERLOAD THE SYSTEM AND NEVER LET THE INFRASTRUCTURE CATCH UP, LOUISVILLE WELL CONTINUE A MAJOR POLLUTER FOR YEARS TO COME AND RATE PAYERS WILL BE FORCED TO PAY BILLIONS IN A FUTILE EFFORT TO STOP A SYSTEM THAT NEVER STOPS POLLUTING. THE LOUISVILLE SEWER SYSTEM IS IN A CRISIS AS MSD IS BEING PROSECUTED IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AND IN THE FEDERAL EPA FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT. SINCE NEITHER EPA NOR THE CABINET HAVE IMPOSED A MORATORIUM ON THE DEVELOPMENT TO THE OVERLOADED SEWER LINES, METRO COUNCIL CAN AND SHOULD REQUIRE THE WHOLE TRUTH BE TOLD ABOUT THE IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT TO OUR CRUMBLING INFRASTRUCTURE. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.



>> LILLIAN BRIN.

>>

>> HELLO, LILLIAN BRIN. [NO AUDIO] NO CHANGE IN HOSTILE EQUALITY [INAUDIBLE] BETWEEN THE LACK OF COVID SAFETY MEASURES AND FAILURE TO HIRE EMPLOYEES [INDISCERNIBLE] WILL SHUT DOWN IN A MATTER OF MONTHS AND DESPITE THE EFFORTS OF THE UNION TO SOLVE THE PROBLEMS WE CONTINUE ON THE DESTRUCTIVE PATH, ESSENTIAL PAY AND LOCAL 1447 MOVE THE CITY, RAIN SLEET OR SNOW, COVID-19 AND CIVIL UNREST WILL LITTLE TO NO TRAINING AND OPERATED IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER AND WE'RE IN CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS AND IT'S SHOWN LACK OF INTEREST AND UNWILLINGNESS TO DISCUSS THE ESSENTIAL PAY AND BENEFITS. [INAUDIBLE] AND BETTER WORKING CONDITIONS FOR EMPLOYEES. MV TRANSIT IS CONTRACTED OUT BY TARP AND THEY'RE AWARE OF THE NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS MADE BY PASSENGERS AND THEY CONTINUE TO IGNORE THE NEEDS OF METRO CITY COUNCIL'S CONSTITUENTS LEAVING THEM STRANDED AROUND LOUISVILLE CAUSING IMPAIRED QUALITY OF LIFE BECAUSE THEY'RE DISABLED. NOT ALL THAT LONG AGO THE BOARD ASKED [INDISCERNIBLE] TO PROVIDE INFORMATION DOCUMENTATION ON MV TRANSIT CANCELED IN OTHER STATES. I HAVE PROVIDED THAT INFORMATION TO YOU TODAY THROUGHOUT A HANDOUT. MV TRANSIT WAS CANCELED IN RICHMOND FOR FAILURE TO SHOW UP FOR RIDES AT ALL. IT WAS FIRST CONTRACTED OUT HERE IN KENTUCKY AND AGAIN THEY FELL SHORT. IN ATLANTA, THERE WAS A FEDERAL

INVESTIGATION WHERE MV TRANSIT AND THE FEDERAL TRANSIT HAD A COMPLAINT THAT THEY IGNORED THE OBLIGATION OF CONTRACTS WITH THE MINORITY OWNED BUSINESS. AND IT FAILED TO PROVIDE 20% OF THE WORK TO THE DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS AND THE UNION IS MAKING A CLAIM SHE SHOULD BE REPLACED. THANK YOU.

>> ARIANA LIVINGSTON.

>> I WAS ON MUTE. I DID NOT KNOW -- I SEE IT. CAN YOU HEAR ME? I DON'T KNOW -- THERE WE GO. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> WONDERFUL. GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL MEMBER AND CHAMBER ARMSTRONG, PRESIDENT NAMES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. I'M ARIANA LEVINSON AND I'M SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND THE 490 PROJECT ASK YOU TO REVIEW THE METRO CONTRACT WHEN AVAILABLE AND VOTE KNOW IF THEY STILL CONTAIN THE DANGEROUS PROVISIONS NOT CONSISTENT WITH STATE LAWS. FOR INSTANCE, SEVERAL REQUESTED ARTICLE 17 BE RENEGOTIATED. IF IT STILL CONTAINS THE MEMO IN SECTION 2 OR THE IN SECTION 1 THAT ALLOWS CITIZEN COMPLAINTS TO BE HANDLED INFORMALLY SO NO INVESTIGATIVE WORK IS ENTERED IN THE OFFICER'S PERSONAL FILE OR TO BE DISPOSED OF AFTER 90 DAYS WE HOPE YOU WILL HONOR YOUR PROMISE TO VOTE NO. WHILE THE PRESS RELEASE FROM THE MAYOR'S OFFICE MENTIONED CHANGES TO THE DISCIPLINE PROCESS IT'S UNCLEAR WHETHER THESE PARTICULAR PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 17 HAVE BEEN CORRECTED. YOU'LL SEE OTHER

PROBLEMATIC PROVISIONS ARE NOT ADDRESSED AT ALL IN THE PRESS  
RELEASE. ALL KINDS OF OTHER REFORMS CAN BE MADE BUT IF THE  
CONTRACTS ARE NOT CHANGED, THE F.O.C. WILL CONTINUE TO PROTECT  
OFFICERS AFTER IMPUNITY AND USE EXCESSIVE FORCE BECAUSE THE  
CONTRACTS ALLOWS THEM TO DO SO. THE 490 PROJECT SPENT TIME  
CONSOLIDATING THE BUDGET COMMENTS RECEIVED BY THE METRO COUNCIL  
TO ONE SINGLE EXCEL SHEET TO ANALYZE THEM. YOU CAN SEE FROM THE  
RESULT IN THE CIRCULATED MEMO, AROUND 40% OF ALL COMMITTED  
COMMENTS GOT A DECREASE IN THE POLICE BUDGET. THE PERCENT OF  
COMMENTS THAT REQUESTED AN INCREASE IN FUNDING AROUND 4% WAS  
LOWER THAN THE EXPRESSED CONCERN AROUND THE COLLECTIVE  
BARGAINING PROCESS AROUND 5%. A LARGE NUMBER OF CITIZENS ARE  
CONCERNED WITH THE LOADED LMPD BUDGET AND THE LACK OF  
TRANSPARENCY IN THE PROCESS AND URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON ANY  
CONTRACT THAT CONTINUES TO CONTAIN PROVISIONS NOT IN LINE WITH  
STATE LAW OR WITH OTHER CONTRACT. WE, THE 490 PROJECT, ARE HAPPY  
TO HELP ANY OF YOU REVIEW THE CONTRACT CHANGES AND ASCERTAIN  
THEIR MEANING. OUR E-MAIL IS AT THE TOP OF THE CIRCULATED MEMO.  
WE HOPE COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY'S COMMITTEE OR WHATEVER  
COMMITTEE'S COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS ARE ASSIGNED TO  
WILL HOST A COMMUNITY EDUCATION SESSION ABOUT THE CONTRACTS AND  
INVITE THE 490 PROJECT TO PARTICIPATE AS AN EDUCATOR. THANK YOU  
FOR YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION

>> FRAN.

>> GOOD EVENING, I'M FRAN HARTEL TO TALK ABOUT THE USE OF THE CHEROKEE PARK. I'D LIKE TO BEGIN BY ASKING YOU TO RAISE YOUR HAND IF YOU KNOW AN ELDERLY PERSON OR YOU KNOW SOMEONE WITH A DISABILITY. DO YOU KNOW ANYONE WHO DRIVES FOR AN ELDERLY PERSON OR A DISABILITY IN SOME CITIZENS ARE OF THE OPINION THE HYBRID MODEL OF THE PARK LOOP IS A COMPROMISE. THIS MODEL DOES ALLOW FOR BIKERS, RUNNERS AND WALKERS TO HAVE TOTAL ACCESS TO THE PARK LOOP. CARS ARE LIMITED. THIS IS NOT A COMPROMISE. THE COMPROMISE WAS IN THE '90S UNDER THE MAYOR. THE PARK WAS TRANSFORMED FROM A TWO-WAY TRAFFIC PATTERN TO A PLAIN WHERE BIKERS AND WALKERS AND CARS SHARED THE ROAD. ONE LANE FOR BIKES. ONE LANE FOR RUNNERS, WALKER, STROLLERS, DOGS. FOR DECADES WE ALL ENJOYED THE PARK. WE SHARED THE ROAD. WE SHARED THE LOOP. IT WORKED. THE HANDOUTS BEFORE YOU ARE EXCERPTS FROM THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. UNDER THE A.D.A., STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS GIVE PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO BENEFIT FROM PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES. REQUIREMENTS PROHIBIT EXCLUSION, SEGREGATION AND UNEQUAL TREATMENT IN A PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION. CHEROKEE PARK IS A PUBLIC PARK. MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, THIS IS NOT A SURVEY. IT DOES NOT INVOLVE PUBLIC OPINION. THIS IS THE LAW. THE A.D.A. IS A CIVIL RIGHTS LAW. PLEASE, VOTE FOR ALL OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS. VOTE TO OPEN THE CHEROKEE PARK LOOP. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> HI, I'M A DISTRICT 4 RESIDENTS AND ASKING YOU TO VOTE NO ON OFFICER RAISES. I'M AGAINST THE FOTCBA AND IN ARTICLE 15 SECTION 9 OFFICERS ARE PERMITTED TO REVIEW THEIR BODY CAMERA FOOTAGE BEFORE MAKING A STATEMENT ON INCIDENTS THEY'RE INVOLVED IN AND ANOTHER SECTION STOPPED RECORDINGS OF THEIR OWN INTERVIEWS FROM THE PUBLIC INTEGRITY UNIT. THIS HELPS A COP GET HIS STORY STRAIGHT. IF IT GIVES OFFICERS UNFAIR ACCESS TO EROSION OF PUBLIC TRUST MANY SAID THE CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS WERE STARTING IN JANUARY, 2021. YOU INDICATED YOU [INAUDIBLE] WOULD WAIT FOR REFORMS IN THE NEXT CBA. I HOPE YOU'LL TAKE A STAND BY VOTING NO ON THE PROPOSED CBA UNTIL THE PROVISIONS ARE REMOVED ENTIRELY. [INDISCERNIBLE] THE ITEMS ABOVE HAVE NOT BEEN ADDRESSED. I HEARD MANY SAY YOU HAVE NO CONTROL OVER THE CONTRACT NEGOTIATING PROCESS BUT YOU DO. YOU CAN VOTE KNOW AND MAKE CLEAR TO THE MAYOR YOU WILL NOT PASS A CONTRACT THAT CONTINUES TO CATER AND HARM CITIZENS AND HOPE YOU'LL ADD THESE ADDING A SECTION YOU WILL NOT HIRE INDIVIDUALS WITH A DOCUMENTED HISTORY OF CONDUCT AND A REPRIMAND TO TURN ON THE CAMERA NOT ENOUGH FOR AN OFFICER TO CARE. THEY SHOULD FACE TERMINATION AND REQUIRE THE FOC TO ACTIVATE THE EARLY MORNING SYSTEM. WE HAD IT SINCE 2015 BUT THE ARTICLE WAS PUBLISHED. THEY'RE NOT INTERESTED IN BEING TRANSPARENT OR BUILDING PUBLIC TRUST. WHY WOULD THEY HIRE A DETECTION SYSTEM TO ROOT OUT BAD OFFICERS. LEXINGTON HAS ONE. SO SHOULD LOUISVILLE. THE EARLY WARNING DETECTION SYSTEM HAS BEEN

DORMANT. I DON'T WANT TO BE HERE REPEATING MYSELF FOR THE COMMITTEE AT THE NEXT CONTRACT. I'M ASKING YOU TO CHANGE THINGS NOW. PLEASE LET IT BE KNOWN. WE HAVE TO STOP ALLOWING OFFICERS DIFFERENT TREATMENT THAN OTHER CITIZENS. I DEMAND WE HOLD OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE AND BEGIN USING AN EARLY WARNING SYSTEM FOR OUR SAKE. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> GREETINGS PRESIDENT JAMES. I'M NANCY AND A PRESIDENT IN DISTRICT 26. I'M ALSO A MEMBER OF THE 490 PROJECT. I SPEAK TONIGHT IN ANTICIPATION OF THE NEW CONTRACTS BEING PRESENTED TO YOU, THE METRO COUNCIL IN THE COMING WEEKS. I'M ASKING THE METRO COUNCIL TO VOTE NO TO THE NEW CONTRACT IF THEY INCLUDE ANY OF THE PROBLEMATIC PROVISIONS NOTED TONIGHT OR IN THE RECENT PAST. SPECIFICALLY ANY PROVISIONS CALLING FOR NO LAYOFFS SHOULD BE REMOVED. IT'S NOT A PROVISION IN ANY OTHER LAYOFF AGREEMENT AND THEY'RE CONSIDERED TO BE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS. IT CONTINUES TO SUPPORT THE UNDESIRABLE OUTCOMES AND UNNECESSARY COST BURDEN ON THE TAXPAYERS OF OUR CITY. WE HEARD COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS ARTICULATE THE REMOVAL OF MANY PROBLEMATIC PROVISIONS MENTIONED TONIGHT. I REMAND COUNCIL MANY ANTICIPATED SEEING CHANGES TO THE NEW CONTRACT INCLUDING REMOVAL OF SOME OF THESE PROBLEMATIC PROVISION. WE'RE COUNTING ON YOU TO VOTE NO IF THEY'RE STILL IN THE CONTRACT. WE'RE ALSO ASKING METRO COUNCIL

TO VOTE NO TO THE NEW CONTRACT IF THEY ASK FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR THE BUDGET INCLUDING FOR SALARY INCREASES. THE APPROVED 21-22 BUDGET FOR LMPD IS OVER \$195 MILLION ALREADY HAS FUNDS BEYOND THE NEED FOR CURRENT STAFFING AND ANY ANTICIPATED ADDITIONAL STAFFING. THERE'S ALSO SIGNIFICANT FUNDS IN THE BUDGET FOR PURCHASE OF EQUIPMENT, SYSTEMS AND WE WERE TOLD IN THE BUDGET MEETINGS MULTIPLE TIMES BY COUNCIL MEMBERS THERE'S SUFFICIENT FUNDING IN THE LMPD BUDGET. WE'RE COUNTING ON YOU TO VOTE NO IF THE NEW CONTRACT CALLS FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING. MY LAST REQUEST IS FOR A YES. IT'S A YES VOTE FROM YOU TO INCLUDE COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN THE CONTRACT APPROVAL PROCESS. WE'RE TOLD REPEATEDLY THAT OUR VOICES ARE HEARD THROUGH THE METRO COUNCIL MEMBERS. I'M REQUESTING SUFFICIENT TIME BE GIVEN FOR COMMUNITY INPUT THROUGH A SERIES OF SPECIAL MEETINGS REGARDING THE CONTRACT ONCE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL OF US TO READ. AND ONLY ONE SUCH MEETING WAS HELD ALLOWING ONLY 60 PEOPLE TO SPEAK. THIS IS HELD JUST AN HOUR BEFORE THE COMMITTEE MET AND THE COUNCIL MET THREE LATER TO VOTE ON THE APPROVAL OF THAT SAME CONTRACT AND WE NEED EDUCATION AND INPUT MEETINGS. PLEASE ALLOW THE TIME AND SPACE TO HEAR ABOUT WHAT THE COMMUNITY IS ASKING FROM YOU AS OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS AS YOU RESPOND AND PREPARE TO VOTE ON THESE NEW CONTRACTS. GIVE US REAL TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY NOT JUST WORDS. DON'T RUSH, BE BOLD. DO SOMETHING NEW. NOW IS THE

TIME. AND REMEMBER, WE CONTINUE TO STAY HER NAME, BREONNA TAILOR.

>> REVEREND THOMAS BAKER.

>> TO YOU METRO CITY COUNCIL AND PRESIDENT JAMES AND THE COUNCIL. I'M PASTOR THOMAS BAKER OF CHRIST WAY MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH AT THE CORNER OF FLOYD AND BRECKENRIDGE STREET. AS A RESIDENT AND POS PASTOR AND OUR MAUL SMALL TIME COMMUNITY I MUST PROPOSE OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSED PROPERTY FOR THE PERSONS WHO HAVE FALLEN INTO HOMELESSNESS JUST STEPS FROM OUR DOORS. THE CHRIST WAY CHURCH AND THE SMOKE TOWN COMMUNITY WITH WERE GIVEN NO ADVANCED NOTICE, NO INPUT AND EXTREMELY LIMITED INFORMATION. WE WERE ONLY ALERTED BY A NEWS FLASH AS WAS THE BROADER COMMUNITY. I MUST BE CLEAR, WE RECOGNIZE THE SITUATION IS EXTREMELY COMPLEX AND INTERVENTION IS NECESSARY WE ARE NOT ANTI. WE WANT A SOLUTION THAT DOES NOT RAVISH OUR CHURCH AND COMMUNITY VALUE BECAUSE WE'RE IN A FOOD DESERT, BECAUSE SO MANY IN OUR COMMUNITY HAVE LIMITED ACCESS TO PPE, BECAUSE THE INFLUX OF HOUSELESS WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY AND OUR CHURCH STANDS IN THAT GAP AND HELPS FEED THE HOUSELESS AND FOOD INSECURE AND EVEN DISTRIBUTES PPE WEEKLY. WE ALSO PARTNER WITH SEVERAL ORGANIZATIONS. WE DO OUR PART AND WE HAVE BEEN HELPING TO DO THE WORK ON THE GROUND, ON OUR PROPERTY. WE HAVE HAD NUMEROUS ACCOUNTS OF DESPERATE DESECRATION ON THE GROUNDS. WE'VE HAD CAMP



SITES SET UP. THERE'S BEEN FECES AT OUR FRONT DOORS AND VIOLENT AND DANGEROUS SITUATIONS ESCALATE RIGHT BEFORE OUR EYES. THE PROPOSED SITE IN OUR BACKYARD AND WE'LL INVITE MORE OF THE SAME. WE BELIEVE THIS SITE POSES A SEVERE THREAT TO DETERIORATE THE SAFETY OF OUR COMMUNITY. IT POSES A THREAT TO STIFLE THE APPEAL OF OUR MINISTRY AND IT IS A GUARANTEE TO DIMINISH THE ECONOMIC STABILITY AND VIABILITY MUCH OUR CHURCH. AGAIN, LIKE I SAID BEFORE -- TO ALLOW US TO PROVIDE INPUT AND SHARE INFORMATION SUGGEST THE RESEARCH HAS NOT BEEN DONE AND REMAINS INCOMPLETE. WE ASK THREE THINGS AND I WILL CONCLUDE. YOU DELAY THE ADOPTION OF THIS PROPOSAL, THAT YOU DO ADDITIONAL RESEARCH THAT INCLUDES COMMUNITY AND MOST DEEPLY AFFECTED AND LASTLY, YOU DO THE WORK TO PRESENT A BETTER AND MORE VIABLE SOLUTION THAT DOES NOT [INDISCERNIBLE] THANKS FOR YOUR THOUGHTFUL CONSIDERATION.

>> THANK YOU. ROB MOMSMA.

>> GOOD EVENING. I'M FROM DISTRICT 4. I'VE BEEN IN LOUISVILLE THE LAST 24 HOURS AND WATCHED THE CITY EVOLVE IN VARIOUS WAYS. I WAS PRIVILEGED WITH QUIET STREETS WHERE FRIENDS AND I COULD BIKE TO THE PARK OR SCHOOL WITHOUT FEAR. IT WAS A FORM OF FREEDOM FOR THE YOUTH IN MY AREA. NOW I LIVE CLOSER TO DOWNTOWN AND NOTICE THE SAME PRIVILEGE IS NOT AFFORDED. I DON'T SEE KIDS ON THE STREET RIDING TO THE PARK OR CONVENIENCE STORES AND DON'T SEE THEM RIDING TO SCHOOL. THERE ARE A FEW QUIET STREETS IN OUR

URBAN AREA BETWEEN LIFTED PICK UP TRUCKS AND STREET WITH MORE LANES THAN HIGHWAYS IT ISN'T SAFE FOR A YOUNG PERSON TO RITE RIDE A BIKE AND HAVING BEEN HIT BY A CAR ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS IT'S RARELY SAFE FOR A BIKE EITHER. A BICYCLE IS NOT A CAR BUT SOMETIMES WE'RE FORCED TO USE THIS METHOD AND 30 PEOPLE HAVE BEEN KILLED IN TRAFFIC IN 2020 HIGHLIGHTING THE IMPORTANCE OF CREATING SAFE, ACCESSIBLE SPACES SUCH AS WE HAVE WITH THE LOOP. SOME COLLEAGUES HAVE CLAIMED THIS AREA WAS DESIGNED TO ACCOMMODATE CARS AND CRUISING THE SCENERY WAS THE INTENT AND WHEN THE CHEROKEE PARK WAS DESIGNED HENRY FORD WAS 28 YEARS OLD AND THE MODEL T WOULDN'T COME OFF THE FACTORY LINE FOR YEARS AND THERE WAS AN ARTICLE BY THE COURIER JOURNAL THAT SAID THE PARK WAS TO QUICKLY GIVE PEOPLE A CHANCE TO CHEAPLY AND PLEASANTLY ESCAPE THE TOWN AND COME UNDER THE AGREEABLE FORM OF SCENERY. THE DESIGN GIVES THAT. IT GIVES BICYCLISTS AND PEDESTRIANS A CHANCE. THE DATA SHOWED LIMITING CAR ACCESS HAS BEEN POPULAR BUT THE VISITS INCREASING 80% DURING THE CLOSURE. VISITORS CAME FROM MORE AREAS THAN BEFORE. IN SHORT THE PARK WAS NEVER ACCOMMODATING THAN WHEN WE NEED IT MOST. MAINTAINING ACCESS FOR THOSE WITH LIMITED MOBILITY HAS BEEN COMPROMISED. THE PAVED WALKING PATH AND RUGBY FIELD AND THE FOUNTAIN ALL CAN BE ACCESSED BY CAR. THE ONLY CHANGE IS MANY CAN ONLY ACCESS HALF THE LOOP AND THERE'S ROADWAY IN THE CITY MANY WHICH PASS THROUGH SCENERY AREAS LIKE JEFFERSON MEMORIAL AND THE PARKLAND. 80% OF

THE ROADS THROUGHOUT CHEROKEE PARK REMAIN OPEN. WHILE SOME CLAIM IT'S BEEN LED THROUGH SELF-SERVING ELITISTS THE PARK PROVIDED SAFE REFUGE THE STREETS COULD NOT. WE SHOULD LEARN FROM THAT AND STRIVE TO CREATE SAFE SPACES. I WILL END WITH WISDOM FROM A COLLEAGUE THAT SAID WHEN NEITHER SIDE IS HAPPY YOU'RE PROBABLY DOING THE RIGHT THING. IT SEEMED WE REACH THE POINT WE FOUND COMPROMISE AND REACHED A BALANCE. GREAT CITIES ACROSS THE WORLD HAVE INVESTING IN SPACES FOR PEDESTRIANS AND HOPE YOU DO THE SAME FOR LOUISVILLE. THANK YOU

>> JACKIE COBB.

>> GOOD EVENING. WELL, GOOD EVENING, METRO COUNCIL. MY NAME IS JACKIE COBB. FOR YEARS I'VE BEEN AN ACTIVE ADVOCATE FOR MAKE SURE OUR PUBLIC SPACES AND OUR PARKS AND ROADS ARE MORE ACCESSIBLE TO EVERYONE IN THIS CITY WHETHER YOU'RE 8 OR 80 YEARS OLD, RICH OR POOR, DISABLED OR NOT. OUR PUBLIC SPACES, PARKS AND ROADS MUST BE ACCESSIBLE TO EVERYONE AND WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO IN THAT REGARD IN THE CITY. WHAT PUZZLES ME IS MANY COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO ARE PLANNING TO VOTE NO ON THE CHEROKEE PARK ORDINANCE TODAY CLAIM THEY ARE GOING TO DO SO IN THE NAME OF ACCESSIBILITY. I BELIEVE THESE COUNCIL MEMBERS, UNFORTUNATELY, ARE INACCURATELY CLASSIFYING THE ACCESSIBILITY. THE ACCESSIBILITY CONVERSATION HAS CENTERED UPON ONE GROUP, ELDERLY AND DISABLED PEOPLE WITH ACCESS TO A CAR. TO BE CLEAR WITHOUT

QUESTION WE MUST MAKE SURE THOSE PEOPLE HAVE ACCESS TO A PARK.  
BUT IT IS YOUR OBLIGATION, METRO COUNCIL TO RECOGNIZE THIS GROUP  
IS ONLY ONE OF MANY THAT NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED IN ANY EXCEPTION  
OF ACCESSIBILITY. ONE GROUP IS CHILDREN. CHILDREN ARE THE MOST  
VULNERABLE AND CANNOT BE EXPECTED TO WATCH FOR CARS ON THE OTHER  
SIDE OF THE LINE AND OTHERS ARE ELDERLY THAT ARE DISABLED TA  
MORE SLOWLY. ANOTHER GROUP IS PEOPLE RIDING BICYCLES. I AM  
TALKING ABOUT PEOPLE ALL AGES AND AVAILABILITIES OUT FOR A FUN  
RIDE. HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT THEIR OTHERS SAY THAT THE CHEROKEE  
PARK WAS PERFECT. SOME ROADS FELT DANGEROUS. LOOK, I KNOW ABOUT  
THE CULTURE WORK ASSOCIATED WITH ANYTHING WITH BIKING AND  
WALKING. I KNOW MANY ARE PEGGING ME AS SOME KIND OF RADICAL LIB  
WHO HATES PARKS BUT WHY? I'M HERE TO GIVE A VOICE TO A DECISION  
CENTERING ON DISABILITY PEOPLE ON FOOT, BICYCLE, THEY DESERVE A  
VOICE AND I CALL ON TO YOU GIVE AN HONEST EVALUATION OF  
ACCESSIBILITY FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF MANY GROUPS OF PEOPLE NOT  
JUST ONE GROUP YOU FOCUSSED ON THIS SUMMER. THANK YOU.

[INDISCERNIBLE]

>> MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. THEY'RE MOVED AND SECONDED.  
ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN  
THE NEXT ARE REGULAR COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE AUGUST 12, 2021 AND  
REGULAR PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE AUGUST 17. REGULAR PUBLIC  
WORKS COMMITTEE AUGUST 17, 2021, REGULAR GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT  
AND AUDIT COMMITTEE AUGUST 17, 2021, REGULAR PUBLIC SAFETY

COMMITTEE AUGUST 18, 2021. REGULAR APPROPRIATION COMMITTEE  
AUGUST 18, 2021 AND COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION, AUGUST  
19, 2021. REGULAR BUDGET COMMITTEE AUGUST 19, 2021. SPECIAL  
PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE, AUGUST 24, 2021. REGULAR  
COUNCIL, AD HOC -- NO. THAT'S CORRECT. ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS  
OR DELETIONS?

>> MOVE TO ACCEPT, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> MOVED BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER  
WINKLER. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT.  
THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN. DO WE HAVE WRITTEN  
COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR.

>> WE DO.

>> READ THEM IN THE RECORD.

>> ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDINANCE I'M APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO  
THE BOARD. GERALD JOYNER SINCERELY THE MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT  
JAMES I'M APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE DOWNTOWN MANAGEMENT  
DISTRICT BOARD, STAN MOORE EXPIRING JULY 26, 2024. JOHN FORD THE  
TERM EXPIRING JULY 26, 2024, MARIA GRAF REAPPOINTMENT AND THE  
APPOINTMENT IS MUCH APPRECIATED SIGNED BY THE MAYOR, READ IN  
FULL.

>> THEY'LL BE FORWARDED TO THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT  
COMMITTEE. THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR

ITEMS 18 THROUGH 28. ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS?

MADAME CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THE ITEMS, PLEASE.

>> THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS FROM THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE. ITEM 18 18. O-402-21 AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$30,000 FROM DISTRICT 18 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO THE CITY OF HURSTBOURNE, KENTUCKY, PURSUANT TO CITY OF HURSTBOURNE COMMISSION MUNICIPAL ORDER NO. 11-19 SERIES 2011 AND THE METRO PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM ESTABLISHED IN LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES SECTION 97.100, FOR PHASE VII OF THE CITY OF HURSTBOURNE IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM TO PROVIDE ADA COMPLIANT SIDEWALKS AND RAMPS ALLOWING PEDESTRIANS TO CONNECT FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS TO COMMERCIAL AREAS OF THE COMMUNITY. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS FORWARDED ITEM 19. PRIORITY AREAS FOR THE AMERICAN RESCUE CORONAVIRUS LOCAL FISCAL RECOVERY FUND ALLOCATED TO THE LOUISVILLE. ITEM 20 WAS FORWARD FROM THE HOUSING COMMITTEE AND LEGACY OF FORMER DIRECTOR JOHN WALBECK BY THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION FORWARDED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE AND THE APPOINTING OF OFFICE. AND ITEM DOES HE, REAPPOINTMENT AND ITEM 23 THE RE-APPOINTMENT TO THE RIVER AUTHORITY BOARD EXPIRING 2024 AND THE RE-APPOINTMENT OF DR. GREGORY HAWTHORNE TO THE BOARD TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 31, 2034 AND THE LATEST IS ITEM 25 A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ON THE FOLLOWING NON COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATED CONTRACT OFFICE OF

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET CONCERNING FEDERAL AFFAIRS. ITEM 26  
APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION FROM THE SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC AND HEALTH AND WELLNESS CONCERNING  
EXPANDING CAPACITY AND COMMAND AND ITEM 27, A RESOLUTION HBC  
LINKED PRODUCTS INK AND APPROVED AFFILIATES THEREOF PURSUANT TO  
CHAPTER 154. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS FORWARDED FROM THE  
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE RELATED TO THE CLOSURE OF LLOYD  
STREET.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER REED. MOVED AND SECONDED. ROLL  
CALL VOTE. MADAME CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MULVHILL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> YES.



>> COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES. COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ENGAL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER HOLTZMAN STEWART.

>> YES.

>> HAVE YOU 25 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU. THE CON SENT CALENDAR PASSES. THE NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS. MADAME CLERK, READ ITEM 29.

>> AN ORDINANCE FOR THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL TO REGULATE TEMPORARY CLOSURE TO VEHICULAR TRAFFIC. READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND. MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER AND THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SO WE HAD A MEETING LAST WEEK IN OUR REGULAR MEETING AND HAD THE SURVEY RESULTS. MR. KIRBY WAS

THERE TO EXPLAIN THE RESULTS. IT WAS HARD TO TELL WHICH WAY THEY WENT. I THINK IT WAS A LITTLE ONE SIDED ON OPENING THE ROADS BACK UP. AND THERE WERE PUBLIC MEETINGS HELD. ONE PUBLIC MEETING WITH -- I DON'T KNOW IF I AGREE WITH THE WAY IT WAS HANDLED AND THERE WERE TESTIMONIES MADE BY PEOPLE THAT HAD SIGNED UP AND NO TIME LIMIT WAS UPHELD. THERE WERE ALSO SOME COMMENTING IN BETWEEN THOSE FIGURES WHICH I DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS THE PROPER WAY TO DO IT. NONETHELESS, WE'VE HAD MANY GROUPS COMING FORTH. I'M SURE EVERY ONE OF YOU ALL HAVE BEEN RECEIVING E-MAILS, PHONE CALLS, TEXTS CONCERNING THIS ISSUE. IT NEEDED TO HAVE MOVEMENT BY THE FIRST OF SEPTEMBER. THE ORDINANCE THAT WE PASSED A COUPLE WEEKS AGO CONCERNING THE OPENING OF ALL PARK ROADS WOULD SUPERCEDE THIS IF IT WASN'T TAKEN CARE OF BY SEPTEMBER 1. NONETHELESS, IT CAME OUT UP A MEETING THIS PAST MONDAY WITH AN UNFAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION AND I THINK ONE OF THE MAIN REASONS FOR THAT WAS THAT MANY MANY GROUPS WERE EXCLUDED FROM CERTAIN AREAS OF THE PARK AND I'M NOT SURE AND WE'LL HEAR FROM EVERYONE TONIGHT ON THEIR THOUGHTS. WITH THAT, I WOULD OPEN IT UP TO ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK. THANK YOU.

>> ON THE QUEUE IS HER VIDEO IS WORKING.

>> WE SEE YOUR NAME BUT WE DON'T SEE YOU ON THE VIDEO. WE'RE GOING TO PAUSE FOR A MINUTE AND ALLOW COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD TO JOIN BACK IN.

>> AM I ON NOW?

>> WE CAN HEAR YOU BUT YOUR VIDEO IS NOT WORKING.

>> LET ME SWITCH TO A DIFFERENT COMPUTER. IS IT STILL NOT ON?

>> NO, MA'AM. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IS GETTING READY TO CALL YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> CAN YOU SEE ME NOW?

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER CHAMBERS.

>> I'D LIKE TO SPEAK ABOUT WHAT THE COMPROMISE PLAN WHAT THE ROAD PATTERN AND TRAFFIC PATTERN CURRENTLY IS AND WHAT I HEARD FROM THE COMMUNITY AND FROM PARK USERS ABOUT IT. SO IF YOU ENVISION CHEROKEE PARK AS A SQUARE, THERE'S CURRENTLY VEHICULAR ACCESS ON TWO SIDES OF THE SCARE AND THE OTHER SIDES ARE VEHICLE RESTRICT. ON THE SIDES THERE'S NO AMENITIES AND VEHICULAR ACCESS TO EVERY PLAYGROUND AND ONE TRANSACTION ONE MIGHT WANT TO VISIT AND EVEN ON THE SIDE WHERE THE SQUARE IS CLOSED, A LOT OF TIMES YOU CAN DRIVE UP TO ACCESS POINTS IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT. FOR EXAMPLE, ON ONE SIDE THERE'S A LARGE PARKING LOT NEAR THE GOLF COURSE. PEOPLE WOULD PARK THERE AND WALK REGARDLESS OF ROADS ARE OPEN OR NOT SO IN REALITY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A SHORT AREA MAYBE

150 YARDS THAT IS COMPLETELY VEHICLE RESTRICTED. FROM MY PERSPECTIVE AS THE COUNCIL PERSON ON THE PARK THE COMPROMISE HAS BEEN WORKING WELL. I BELIEVE WHEN WE LOOK AT WHOSE VOICES WE ARE LISTENING TO IN THIS DEBATE, ONE THING WE HAVE TO DO IS LOOK AT THE PEOPLE UTILIZING THE PARK AND HAVE UTILIZED THE PARK. PEOPLE WHO ARE OLD AND NEW USERS AND MOST OF ALL PEOPLE ACTUALLY UTILIZING THE PARK AND I THINK WHEN WE LOOK AT THE PUBLIC OPINION SURVEYS CONDUCTED WITH THAT FRAME OF REFERENCE THE RESULTS ARE NOT MIXED, THEY'RE ACTUALLY QUITE CLEAR. THERE ARE TWO SURVEYS DONE. ONE WAS A RANDOMIZED COMMUNITY SURVEY WHERE 30% WERE LOOP USERS AND HAD ANY FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOOP AND 138 PEOPLE AND THAT GROUP HAD A SLIGHT PREFERENCE. IT WAS LESS THAN HALF OPINION BUT THE LEADING PREFERENCE WAS TO RE-OPEN THE ROADS ENTIRELY HOWEVER, I'VE NEVER SEEN ANYTHING SUGGESTING THAT WAS A STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE OR PREFERENCE BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SUCH A SMALL GROUP OF PARK USERS. IN CONTRAST THE OPEN SURVEY ANYONE CAN TAKE YIELDED OVER 800 PARK USERS AND MORE THAN 70% OF INDIVIDUALS LIKED THE COMPROMISED PLAN AND THOUGHT IT WAS WORKING. CHEROKEE PARK IS UNIQUE. IT'S A PARK THAT HAS A LOT OF VEHICULAR TRAFFIC BEFORE THE PANDEMIC BECAUSE CUTTING THROUGH THE PARK IS THE EASIEST WAY TO GET FROM HIGH LAND TO 64 AND LEXINGTON ROAD AND A LOT OF PEOPLE WILL FREELY ACKNOWLEDGE WHAT THEY DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE TRAFFIC PATTERN IS IT MAKES IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR THEM TO GET FROM POINT

A TO POINT B IN THE PARK. I THINK WHEN WE THINK ABOUT CREATIVE WAYS TO DISCOURAGE CUT-THROUGH TRAFFIC MOST AGREE HAVING PEOPLE CUTTING THROUGH THE PARK AND SPEEDING WITH CHILDREN AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND LOW VISION AND DIFFICULTIES HEARING, WE SHOULD BE DISCOURAGING PEOPLE JUST USING THE PARKS FROM GETTING FROM POINT A TO POINT B. WHEN WE LOOK AT CREATIVE WAYS TO DECREASE THAT, A PARTIAL CLOSURE OF A SMALL SECTION OF THE ROAD TO MAKE IT NOT THE MOST CONVENIENT WAY TO GET THROUGH IS ONE IDEA WE'D ALL SAY SOUNDS LIKE IT COULD WORK AND IN FACT IS WORKING. I WANT TO MAKE A QUICK COMMENT ABOUT ACCESSIBILITY BECAUSE IT'S IMPORTANT AND I HAD A FORUM TO TALK ABOUT ISSUES OF EQUITY AND ACCESSIBILITY RELATED THE PARK. DURING THE DEBATE, A LOT OF TIMES WE HAVE SPOKEN MONOLITHICALLY AND A SPOKE TO HUNDREDS ABOUT THE ACCESSIBILITY NEEDS IN THE PARK INCLUDING PARENTS OF CHILDREN ON THE AUTISM SPECTRUM WHO PREFER VEHICLE RESTRICTED PLACES SO THE CHILDREN AREN'T ALARMED BY THE SENSORY OVERLOAD WITH LOUD TRAFFIC AND PEOPLE WITH LOW VISION WHO ENJOY HAVING A SPACE IN THE PARK WHERE THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE A VEHICLE TO RELAX AS THEY ENJOY THE QUIET WALK IN THE PARK. IT INCLUDED PEOPLE WITH HEARING ISSUES WHO PREFER NOT TO HAVE TO BE CONSTANTLY STANDING OVER THEIR SHOULDERS. THEY ENJOY THE PARK. WHILE I'M VERY SENSITIVE AND THINK WE HAVE TO PRIORITIZE THE NEEDS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES AND MOBILITY NEEDS, I BELIEVE THIS COMPROMISE DOES THAT AND I THINK IF YOU

ALL TAKE TIME TO LOOK AT THE MAP YOU'LL SEE THERE'S PARKING. THERE ARE ROADWAYS THAT ARE OPEN TO GET TO EVERY MAJOR AMENITY OF THE PARK AND I THINK THERE'S WAYS IF THIS COMPROMISED PLAN STANDS WE CAN ASK THE PARK TO LOOK AT SPECIAL PERMITS THAT INDIVIDUALS COULD GET, SPECIAL WAYS INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED A VEHICULAR ACCESS TO CERTAIN PLACES, THEIR NEED COULD BE ACCOMMODATED. THE LAST THING I WANT TO SAY IS THE REASONS WE CLOSED THE PARK TO VEHICLES ARE INITIALLY IN COVID TIMES ARE INCREASINGLY REAL. WE'RE DEALING WITH RISING CASE NUMBERS THROUGHOUT OUR COUNTY. WE'RE DEALING WITH A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WANT TO BE OUTSIDE AGAIN AND PEOPLE WHO WANT TO MAINTAIN DISTANCE WHILE WE DO SO. THOSE WERE THE REASONS WE INITIALLY AGREED THE HIGHLAND IS A DENSE AREA AND A PARK FREQUENTED BY PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THE URBAN CORE AND INCREASINGLY WE NEED SPACES WHERE INDIVIDUALS CAN BE OUTSIDE AND MAINTAIN DISTANCE IF THEY STILL WISH TO EXERCISE IN HEALTHY AND SAFE WAYS. FOR ALL THOSE REASONS I'M ASKING COUNCIL TO SUPPORT THIS COMPROMISED PLAN. IT BEST MEET THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY AROUND THE PARK AND THE COMMUNITY THROUGHOUT THE CITY AND WITH THAT, THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ANYONE HAS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. COUNCIL WOMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> I WANT TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION TO THIS AND DESCRIBE WHY. MOST IT PROCEDURAL AND THEN TALK ABOUT HOW THIS SHOULD HAVE GONE.

FIRST, THE PROCESS WAS FLAWED FROM THE BEGINNING. IN OTHER WORDS, WE DIDN'T START FROM WHERE THE PARK WAS BEFORE THE PANDEMIC AND THEN DISCUSS ALL THE WAYS IN WHICH WE CAN IMPROVE THE EXPERIENCE OF THE PARK AND MAKE IT SAFE. I WILL KEEP, AS A MATTER OF FACT WE HAD WAYS TO COMPROMISE AND NONE OF THAT WAS CONSIDERED. WHAT'S HAPPENED HERE FROM A PROCEDURAL POINT OF VIEW, THE MAYOR MADE A UNILATERAL DECISION TO CLOSE ROADS WHICH OF US FOUGHT AGAINST BECAUSE IT WAS EARLY IN THE PANDEMIC AND WE NEEDED TO TAKE QUICK ACTION. BUT AS THINGS EVOLVED, HE MADE A COMPROMISE. HE MADE A DECISION WITHOUT INPUT FROM THE LARGER PUBLIC WE REPRESENT. IT WAS FUNDAMENTALLY FLAWED. IN FACT, I'D COMPLIMENT COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG THAT WERE IT NOT FOR HER INTERVENING THE ADMINISTRATION WAS NOT PLANNING ON DOING ANYTHING MORE THAN A 15 MINUTE QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD. COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG WANTED MORE INPUT AND HAD A PANEL OF PEOPLE AND MEMBER OF THE PARKS DEPARTMENT AND COUNCIL WOMAN ARMSTRONG AND THE CEO OF THE ARMSTEAD CONSERVATORY. WHEN PEOPLE SPEAK IT WAS HANDED TO THE CEO OF THE ARMSTEAD CONSERVANCY AND SHE WAS INFLUENCING AND YOU HAVE AN ADVOCATE FOR ONE POSITION ENGAGED IN THE PROCESS OF ENGAGE AS A PUBLIC OFFICIAL AND WASN'T. IF SHE WAS PROPERLY SHE SHOULD HAVE SIGNED UP AND HAD JUST A FEW MOMENTS TO SPEAK LIKE ANY OTHER PUBLIC PERSON. THE LAST THING IS WE VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO PASS THIS ORDINANCE TO HAVE METRO COUNCIL HAVE SAY OVER THE LONG-TERM CLOSURES. THE

PROCESS IS SUPPOSED TO BE A PUBLIC MEETING AND THEN A VOTE AT COUNCIL FOR A NEW PROCESS IF THERE'S A PERMANENT CLOSURE OR OTHER THINGS RELATED TO THE PARK. WHAT WE'RE VOTING ON IF YOU VOTE YES, YOU'LL BE VOTING TO AMEND AN ORDINANCE WE UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO THAT GIVES THE POWER TO MAKE THESE DECISIONS BACK TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE AND TAKES IT AWAY FROM METRO COUNCIL. THIS WILL NOT EXTEND ONLY TO CHEROKEE PARK BUT GIVE THE MAYOR THE POWER TO DO THIS ANYTIME HE WANTS TO. SO THAT IS ONE OF MY -- THIS IS MY PROCEDURAL ISSUE. THERE WAS A SURVEY AND POLL DONE. THE SURVEY DOESN'T EVEN HAVE CONTROLS OVER BEING ABLE TO ENTER MULTIPLE TIMES AND THE SCIENTIFIC POLLS BOTH USERS AND INFREQUENT USERS OF THE PARK INDICATED THEIR NUMBER ONE PREFERENCE WAS TO OPEN UP THE ROADS. ANOTHER COMMENT ON WHO SHOULD MAKE THE DECISIONS. I HAVE NO PROBLEM TO DEFER TO THE INPUT AND LISTEN TO GROUPS THAT USE THESE AMENITIES A LOT. THAT WOULD BE THE REGULAR PARK USERS. BUT ALL TAX PAYERS PAY FOR THIS PARK, ALL. IF I DON'T USE THAT PARK ONCE EVERY THREE YEARS OR USE THAT PARK AT ALL, THE TAXPAYER I HAVE A VOICE IN WHAT HAPPENS TO THE PARK. THE SAME THING OCCURS WITH PUBLIC SCHOOLS. MY DAUGHTERS FOR EXAMPLE, DO NOT USE THE PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM. I DIDN'T USE IT GROWING UP. WE PAID FOR CATHOLIC SCHOOL BECAUSE WE'RE CATHOLIC AND HOW TO I WANT TO EDUCATE MY DAUGHTERS. I'M A TAXPAYER IN THE JEFFERSON COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM AND THEY LISTEN TO MY VOICE JUST AS MUCH AS THEY LISTEN TO ANYBODY ELSE'S



VOICE BECAUSE I PAY FOR IT AND HAVE A SAY SO RELATED TO THE PUBLIC EDUCATION SYSTEM. SAME WITH THE PUBLIC PARK SYSTEM. FOR EXAMPLE, A COMMUNITY SURROUNDING CHEROKEE PARK IS BY THE LATEST CENSUS DATA THE LEAST ETHNICALLY DIVERSE GROUP IN THE ENTIRE CITY. WHEN WE SAY LISTEN TO THE FREQUENT USERS THEY DON'T EVEN MAKE UP REMOTELY A RACIALLY DIVERSE COMMUNITY THAT REPRESENTS THE REST OF LOUISVILLE WHEREAS I FIND IT INTERESTING WHEN SIMILAR DISCUSSION WAS POINT IN A PARK WITH A MORE RACIALLY DIVERSE COMMUNITY THE COMMUNITY WANTED TO REOPEN IT FOR EVERYBODY. I RESPECT THE FOLKS IN THE AREA. I DO THINK THERE ARE SOLUTIONS SUCH AS SLOWING THE SPEED LIMIT DOWN. IT'S RIDICULOUS, IN MY OPINION THE SPEED LIMIT IS 25. WHEN I DRIVE IT I'M BARELY DOING 15 IF I'M DOING A MILE AN HOUR. THERE'S WAYS TO SLOW IT DOWN AND PUT BETTER SIGNAGE AND BARRIERS UP TO PROTECT PEOPLE USING THE WALKING SIDE. IN CENTRAL PARK THE CONSERVANCY GOT A ONE-WAY STREET AND CLOSED DOWN SECTIONS OF IT AND THEN FINALLY CLOSED IT DOWN PERMANENTLY. AND THEY SAY IT'S NOT THEIR INTENTION BUT THE FOLKS I'VE SPOKEN TO, CLEARLY THEIR ULTIMATE INTENTION AND SOME HAVE BEEN HONEST AND SOME SAY NOT AND I'VE HAVE TO TAKE THEIR WORD FOR IT BUT THEIR ULTIMATE INTENT IS TO SHUT DOWN ALL VEHICULAR TRAFFIC TO SCENIC ROUTES LIKE THIS. I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA AND WAYS TO MAKE IT A SAFER PARK AND SHOULD EXPLORE THOSE IDEAS BUT NOT IN A BACKWARDS PROCESS

THAT GOES AGAINST THE INTENTION OF UNANIMOUSLY PASSED ORDINANCE.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'LL BE BRIEF. I DO WANT TO THANK COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG FOR HER LEADERSHIP. SHE'S HAD ONE MEETING SHE IMPROVED BY THE WAY SHE CONDUCTED IT BUT SHE'S BEEN WITH THE COMMUNITY ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS REGARDING THIS ENTIRE ISSUE. SHE'S BEEN A LEADER ON THE PARK IN HER DISTRICT. I KEEP HEARING AND GETTING E-MAILS THAT SAY THE ROADS TO AMENITIES IN THE PARK ARE CLOSED. AND THAT IS NOT TRUE. ROADS TO PLAY GROUNDS, TO PICNIC BENCHES, TO AMENITIES ARE NOT CLOSED UNDER THIS COMPROMISE. AND IF YOU COULD NOT GET TO THOSE AMENITIES BY ROAD, WOULD BE AGAINST IT AND I DON'T AGREE WITH COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI THE PEOPLE I HAVE TALKED TO AND NOT THE CONSERVANCY ARE INTERESTED IN CLOSING THOSE ROADS. THAT'S THE VALUE OF THIS COMPROMISE. MANY HAVE BEEN OVERWHELMINGLY IN FAVOR OF THIS COMPROMISE. AND FINALLY, I WANT TO REPEAT SOMETHING COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG HAS SAID. THIS PARK HAS BEEN A CUT-THROUGH TRAFFIC AREA FOR A VERY LONG TIME. IF YOU HAVE WALKED IN THE SCENIC ROUTE YOU HAVE SEEN CARS CUTTING THROUGH NOT ENJOYING THE SCENERY BUT CUTTING THROUGH FROM ONE AREA TO THE OTHER AND THIS HAPPENS REGULARLY. THE COMPROMISE IS AIMED TO PREVENT THAT AND TO MAKE A SAFER EXPERIENCE. I'VE HAD PEOPLE CONTACT ME SAYING THEY DON'T HAVE TO DEAL WITH STOP SIGNS AND TRAFFIC LIGHTS. THE QUICKEST WAY TO NAVIGATE THE CITY IS TO GO THROUGH CHEROKEE

PARK. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD ENCOURAGE THAT. I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT HOMESTEAD INTEND THE PARK TO BE AND THINK THE COMPROMISE INS THAT KIND OF DANGEROUS ACTIVITY WITHOUT KEEPING ANYBODY FROM BEING ABLE TO GET TO ANY AMENITIES IN THIS PARK BY CAR. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> I BUZZED IN FOR TWO REASONS. ONE JUST IN CASE AND THERE'S ENOUGH PEOPLE BUZZING IN AFTER ME I'M GOING TO INVOKE THE 90 MINUTE RULE.

>> THERE'S A MOTION TO LIMIT DEBATE.

>> SECONDED BY COUNCIL WOMAN GEORGE. ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.  
OPPOSED?

>> NO.

>> NO.

>> MADAME CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY. COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MULVHILL.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER  
PIAGENTINI.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ENGAL.

>> YES. COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES. COUNCIL MEMBER HOLTZMAN STEWART.

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 14 YES NOTES AND 8 NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU, PLEASE START THE CLOCK. COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> THE ONLY OTHER COMMENT I HAD WAS COVERED BY COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI OF THE TWO SURVEYS THAT WERE TAKEN, ONE SCIENTIFIC WELL ROUNDED GROUP THE OTHER ONE HAD PEOPLE IN THE PARK HANDING OUT FLYERS QR CODES AND OTHER ACCESS POINTS. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE AN OVERWHELMING NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO USE THE PARK. THE NUMBER YOU WOULDN'T HAVE ARE PEOPLE WHO COULDN'T GET TO THE PARK. YOU CAN'T MAKE THE JUDGMENT CALL THAT THEY DON'T USE THE PARK. THAT'S WHY WE'RE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION. THEY MAY NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO USE THE LOOP. THEREFORE, THEY WEREN'T THERE TO GET THE BROCHURES OR FIND THE SURVEY AND BE ABLE TO VOICE THEMSELVES. THAT WAS THE ONLY -- AGAIN, COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI COVERED THAT QUITE WELL. THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL WOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO EXPLAIN MY VOTE. I UNDERSTAND BOTH SIDES OF THE ARGUMENT RESTRICTING VEHICULAR TRAFFIC AND HAVING ACCESS TO THE PARK. I WANT TO LET MY COLLEAGUES KNOW I'LL BE VOTING FOR THAT REASON. I'M NOT A YES, AND I'M NOT A NO BUT I DO UNDERSTAND BOTH SIDES OF THE ARGUMENT THAT IS WHY I'M VOTING PRESENT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. COUNCIL WOMAN GREEN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THE ISSUE OF DISABILITY AWARENESS IS SIGNIFICANT HOWEVER, I AM FOCUSING ON WHAT CLOSURES TO OUTSIDERS WOULD THINK ABOUT THIS. THOUGH I KNOW IT'S NOT THE INTENT ON THE SURFACE IT SEEMS CLASSIST TO CLOSE THE PARK AT THIS TIME. SHOULD MY FAMILY BE REQUIRED TO TAKE AN UBER TO CHEROKEE PARK IF WE WANT TO VISIT THE PARK BECAUSE WE HAPPEN TO NOT LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD? WE GO TO VARIOUS PARKS ACROSS THE CITY BECAUSE AS MANY OF YOU KNOW MY SON IS A RUNNER AND HE LOVES TO RUN THE LOOP AT CHEROKEE. I DIDN'T GET A SURVEY. WHETHER WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CLOSURES TO AMENITIES, THIS IS THE PEOPLE'S PARK. WHAT IS SO SPECIAL ABOUT CHEROKEE THAT IS NOT SPECIAL ABOUT THE PARKLAND OR SHAWNEE PARK OR OTHERS? I LOVE THE HIGHLAND IT'S A BEAUTIFUL AND VIBRANT AREA OF TOWN HOWEVER, CHEROKEE PARK IS NOT THE PERSONAL BACKYARD TO ANY RESIDENT. TO CONTINUE TO KEEP IT CLOSED TO TRAFFIC AMOUNTS TO US GIVING CHEROKEE PARK TO ONLY THE RESIDENTS WHO CAN WALK THERE AND WALK THROUGH THIS PARK INSTEAD OF TO ALL. I WILL BE VOTING NO.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. COUNCIL WOMAN ACKERSON.

>> I DON'T REMEMBER WHO THE SPEAKER WAS BUT EARLIER ONE SPEAKER RANG THROUGH ON COMPROMISE. THE COMPROMISE TOOK PLACE YEARS AGO. AND BEING IN TRAFFIC. I HEARD ABOUT FOLKS COMPLAIN ABOUT TRAFFIC THROUGH THE PARK. LET'S SHUT DOWN THE ROADS BECAUSE WE HAVE

WALKERS AND LET'S SHUT DOWN ALL THE PARKS. IT'S RIDICULOUS. PRE PANDEMIC THE PLAN WORKED AND COUNCIL WOMAN GREEN PUT IT PERFECT. IT'S THE PEOPLE'S PARK. WHEN I HEAR PEOPLE SAY THERE WAS A SURVEY OF A FEW THOUSAND PEOPLE. I DISREGARD THAT BECAUSE WE'RE A CITY OF OVER 780,000 PEOPLE. AND 780,000 PEOPLE OWN THE PARK. IT'S THEIR PARK. WHETHER THEY'RE 10 TIMES A MONTH OR ONCE A YEAR OR ONCE EVERY THREE YEARS, AT THE SAME DAY THEY HAVE THE SAME EQUAL RIGHTS AND SHOULD HAVE THE SAME EQUAL ACCESS TO A PIECE OF PROPERTY THAT BELONGS TO THEM AND THE CITY AS A WHOLE. PRE PANDEMIC WORKED FOR CHEROKEE PARK AND WE OUGHT TO GO BACK TO THAT AND BECAUSE OF THAT I'LL BE A NO MOTION. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> I'M GOING TO VOTE YES AND WOULD LIKE TO EXPLAIN WHY. COUNCIL WOMAN GEORGE SAID SOMETHING DURING THE SPECIAL HEARING THAT WAS SO PROFOUND. SHE TALKED ABOUT HOW ADVOCACY GROUPS AND SPECIFICALLY TWO ADVOCACY GROUPS I'M SURE SHE'LL MENTION DID NOT EXPRESS CONCERN AROUND ACCESSIBILITY. AND THAT PIQUED MY INTEREST BECAUSE I GOT UP HERE I WAS IN AN ADVOCACY GROUP AND STILL IN AN ADVOCACY GROUP AND IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT POLICE ISSUES YOU'LL PROBABLY HEAR ABOUT THE 490 PROJECT AND IF WE HEAR ABOUT HOMELESS YOU'LL HEAR FROM ADVOCACY GROUPS AND WE TALKED ABOUT THE CIGAR BAR AND THERE WAS A LONG EXHAUSTIVE LIST OF



PEOPLE WHO WERE PUSHING AGAINST THAT. THEY WERE ADVOCATES FOR THEIR SPECIFIC ISSUE. WHEN SHE TALKED ABOUT ADVOCACY GROUPS NOT EXPRESSING CONCERNS AROUND ACCESSIBILITY IT MADE ME THINK WITH THE CONSTITUENTS HAVE WITHIN THE WHEELCHAIR PART OF ANOTHER ADVOCACY GROUP SHE DIDN'T MENTION THE LOUISVILLE DISABILITY COALITION AND THEY HAVE NOT SAID A WORD EXPRESSED ANYTHING AND I UNDERSTAND WE'RE ALL COMPASSIONATE HERE BUT I WANT US TO FOCUS ON THE FACTS AND NOT VOTE BASED ON FICTION. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE SURVEY RESULTS PARK USAGE WENT UP FOR A WIDE VARIETY OF PEOPLE FROM LOUISVILLE. THE CONCEPT OF THE PARK BEING INACCESSIBLE NOT TRUE AND HEARING FROM COLLEAGUES NOT DEALING WITH DISABILITY ISSUES FOR THE PEOPLE YOU'RE CLAIMING TO SPEAK FOR, HAVE NOT REACHED OUT TO ME. I DON'T KNOW IF THEY'VE REACHED OUT TO YOU BUT I HAVE NOT SEEN THAT ADVOCACY AND OF THE ACTIVIST COMMUNITY AND WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT. I'LL PROUDLY BE VOTING YES AND ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE YES AS WELL IF YOU'RE ON THE FENCE. COME OVER TO THIS SIDE.

>> I FIND IT REGRETTABLE SOMETHING AS FUN AND AS EXCITING AS PARKS HAVE BEEN SO POLARIZING. WHAT IS THE ULTIMATE GOAL OF ANY TRAFFIC PATTERN? IT SHOULD MAXIMIZE SAFETY TO THE LARGEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE WITHOUT LIMITING ACCESS TO AMENITIES. AND I THINK IT'S BEEN CLEARLY DEMONSTRATED FROM THOSE WHO ARE CLOSEST TO THE PARK AND SPEND THE MOST TIME IN THE PARK THAT AMENITIES ARE NOT RESTRICTED AND THIS IS DONE IN A WAY THAT MAXIMIZES THIS. THE

SECOND QUESTION TO BE ASKED AND I THINK AGAIN THIS IS NOT JUST RELEGATED TO THIS PARK BUT ANY PARK, IS WHAT IT MEANS TO BE ACCESSIBLE. COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR MENTIONED WHO WE'RE NOT HEARING FROM. WE ALL HEARD FROM A LOT OF PEOPLE AND IT WAS MENTIONED DURING THE COMMITTEE WE'VE NOT HEARD OPPOSITION FROM THE COMMISSION FROM PERSONS WITH DISABILITY OR FROM THOSE FROM ACCESSIBLE LIVING AND THERE'S REASONS FOR THAT. I WANT FOLKS TO THINK ABOUT WHO WE'RE NOT HEARING FROM. I HAVE THE RIGHT TO DRIVE MY CAR WHEREVER I WANT SIMPLY BECAUSE I PAY TAXES. I ALSO ENJOY THE RIGHT TO BE SAFE IN THE PARK AND HAVE A QUALITY EXPERIENCE AND NOT HAVE TO PICK UP BEER CANS AND GARBAGE WHICH I FIND TO BE THE CASE IN A DIFFERENT PARK. THE THIRD THING I WANT TO THINK ABOUT IS WHO IS MAKING THESE DECISIONS AND WHO SHOULD BE MAKING THESE DECISIONS? I'M NOT SO SURE COUNCIL MEMBERS SHOULD BE MAKING THESE DECISIONS. I KNOW THAT'S PROBABLY GOING TO BE AN UNPOPULAR THING TO SAY BUT I THINK WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO LISTEN TO THOSE CLOSEST TO THE ISSUES WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO LISTEN TO THOSE CLOSEST TO THE ISSUE AND NOT CLOSEST TO THE PARK BUT FOR FOLKS WHO UTILIZE THE PARK AND SPEND THE MOST TIME THERE AND UNDERSTAND THE LOGISTICS OR FOR THOSE WHO TAKE CARE OF THE STAFF. THEY HAVE A ROLE AND A VOICE AND WE SHOULD BE LISTENING TO THEM. I SPEND A HEALTHY AMOUNT OF TIME RUNNING THROUGH THE PARK BUT IT IS NOT MAI MY PARK. IF SOMEONE ASKED ME FOR SUGGESTIONS ON LOGISTICAL CHANGES I COULDN'T TELL

YOU. WHEN I'M THERE I CHECK OUT AND RUN AND NOT NECESSARILY FAMILIAR WITH THAT. I SHOULDN'T BE THE PERSON MAKING THOSE DECISIONS AND I PAY TAXES BUT WE HAVE ELECTED REPRESENTATION THAT SHOULD BE CLOSE AND INTIMATELY CONNECTED WITH THESE EXPERIENCES IN TERMS OF MAKING DECISIONS. AND FINALLY, IT'S NOT A GOOD CHARACTERIZATION TO SAY EVERYONE IN ANOTHER PARK WHEN WE PHYSICALLY WENT TO THE PARK AND SPOKE TO PEOPLE AND WE'RE TALKING PEOPLE OF ALL BACKGROUNDS AND SOCIO ECONOMICALLY, CULTURALLY, IT'S NOT THE SAME TO SAY EVERYONE SUPPORTED IT BEING OPEN AND THAT'S IT'S OWN CONVERSATION. WITH THAT I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS AND ENCOURAGE ALL TO DO THE SAME.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> I HAVE TO RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE. I BELIEVE CHEROKEE PARK IS MY PARK AND HAYES KENNEDY PARK IS MY PARK AND TO SAY ONE PARK IS ONE COUNCIL PERSON'S PARK OR RESIDENCE IS RIDICULOUS. LOOK, I USED TO LIVE CLOSE TO CHEROKEE PARK AND WHO'S TO SAY CONNECTIVITY IS NOT PERSON. I USED TO DRIVE TO IT TO GET TO 64 BECAUSE I LOVE GOING THROUGH THE PARK. SOMETIMES IT'S BY CAR. THE SIMPLE TRUTH IS A LOT OF PEOPLE GO THROUGH THE PARK FOR THE BEAUTY AND THEY SHOULD HAVE A RIGHT TO DO THAT. I AM ON THE COMMISSION ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES AND NO, THE COMMISSION HAS NOT WEIGHED IN HOWEVER, I HAVE RECEIVED SEVERAL E-MAILS FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE DISABLED ENCOURAGING ME TO

VOTE NO ON THIS ORDINANCE AND THAT'S WHAT I PLAN TO VOTE. THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO ASK THOSE COLLEAGUES OF MINE WHO HAVEN'T MADE UP THEIR MINDS IF THEY WOULD PLEASE CONSIDER THE THOUGHT I HAVE TO OFFER AND WOULD LOVE FOR THEM TO JOIN ME IN MY VOTE. IT IS INTERESTING TO ME AS I LISTEN TO FOLKS TALK ABOUT ACCESSIBILITY AND I THINK WE WERE TALKING ABOUT ANY OTHER ISSUE OF ACCESSIBILITY WE WOULD SHAKE OUR HEADS AND SAY -- THE CLAIM IS WELL, 90% OF THE LOOP IS STILL OPEN SO THEY HAVE ACCESSIBILITY TO MOST OF IT AND EVEN IF THEY CAN'T USE THE WHOLE THING THERE'S PLENTY OF OTHER AMENITIES. I CAN'T IMAGINE SAYING TO A GROUP OF PEOPLE WE LEFT OPEN THE AMENITIES TO YOU, WHAT ARE YOU COMPLAINING ABOUT. YOU CAN'T JUST USE THE ONE. THIS IS THE ONE WE'VE CHOSEN FOR YOU NOT TO BE ABLE TO USE. IT AMAZES ME WE CAN SAY THAT TO PEOPLE ON THE COUNCIL AND PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY CAN SAY THAT WITH SUCH EASE. WE'RE DENYING ACCESS TO AMENITIES TO A GROUP OF PEOPLE AND TO SAY IT DOESN'T DENY AMENITIES THAT'S ANOTHER THING THAT'S INTERESTING. THE LOOP ITSELF IS AN AMENITY. TOES NOT ABOUT SOCCER FIELD. THE PEOPLE WANTING TO DRIVE THROUGH THE LOOP WERE DRIVING THROUGH. COUNCIL MEMBER REED SAID HOMESTEAD [INDISCERNIBLE] WHEN THEY DEVELOPED THESE I SHOULD HAVE WRITTEN DOWN THE QUOTE BUT IT WAS AN

OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE TO GET AWAY FROM THE CRAZINESS OF THE WORLD WE'RE IN AND GRANTED THERE WERE NO PARKS JUST CARRIAGES AND HORSES BUT THE IDEA WAS THIS WOULD BE A PLACE THAT SOMEONE COULD GAIN SOME RESPITE FROM THE WORLD WE STRUGGLE IN AND OUR LIVES ARE CRAZY AND I WOULD ARGUE THEY'RE MORE CRAZY THAN THEY WERE. TO COUNCIL MEMBER REED'S POINT, IF YOU WANT TO REDUCE THE SPEED LIMIT TO 15 MILES AN HOUR AND PUT BARRIERS BETWEEN MYSELF AND THE FOLKS WALKING OR RIDING A BIKE OR FIND A WAY TO ENHANCE SAFETY I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. IF I HAVE A CRAZY DAY AND HAVE AN EXTRA 30 MINUTES IN MY DAY AND GOING FROM POINT A TO POINT B AND CAN GET THERE IN SO MINUTES OR TAKE A DIVERSION THROUGH THE PARK OR TAKE ADVANTAGE OF AN AMENITY THAT WAS HANDED DOWN TO MY GENERATION FROM PREVIOUS GENERATIONS, AN AMENITY I WOULD THINK IS AVAILABLE TO MY CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN, THAT TO ME SEEMS LIKE A REALLY SIGNIFICANT AMENITY. AND I'VE DONE THAT. MAYBE I'VE DRIVEN THROUGH THERE TOO QUICKLY, I SUPPOSE. I DON'T THINK SLOWING IT FROM 25 TO 15 IS NECESSARILY A BAD IDEA BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. WE'RE SAYING YOU CAN'T USE IT. IT FEELS LIKE WE'RE SAYING TO PEOPLE, YOU MAY BE A RESPONSIBLE USER OF THIS PARK, YOU MAY BE USING IT EXACTLY THE WAY HOMESTEAD DESIGNED IT. IT MAY BE PRECISELY WHAT YOU NEED IN THIS HUSTLE, BUSTLE CRAZY WORLD WE LIVE IN BUT BECAUSE THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE WHO DON'T BEHAVE RESPONSIBLY, BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO AREN'T WILLING TO USE THE AMENITY THE WAY IT WAS

DESIGNED, WE'RE GOING TO CLOSE THE AMENITY OFF TO EVERYONE INCLUDING YOU AND IT'S OKAY BECAUSE WE'RE ONLY CLOSING OFF A SMALL PART AND YOU CAN GET THAT SOMEWHERE ELSE. YOU REALLY CAN'T. IT AMAZES ME IT SEEMS LIKE IT'S TO SAY TO A GROUP OF PEOPLE. MY LAST COMMENT I THINK IT'S INTERESTING WE HAVE COUNCIL MEMBERS ARGUING THAT IF I'M NOT AN ACTIVIST MYSELF OR DON'T ENGAGE IN ACTIVIST WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO ME DIDN'T MATTER BECAUSE I DIDN'T REACH OUT TO THE RIGHT PEOPLE OR SAY THE RIGHT THINGS IN THE RIGHT CROWD OR ENGAGE IN THE PROPER SURVEY. THAT'S NOT MY UNDERSTANDING OF HOW GOVERNMENT WORKS AND THERE'S 26 OF US ELECTED TO PAY TAXES TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT AND MAKE THE BEST DECISIONS FOR ALL OF THE CITIZENS OF OUR COMMUNITY. NOT JUST THE MOST VOCAL, NOT JUST THE ONES THAT HAVE THEIR OWN DESIRES THAT THEY WANT TO PROTECT, BUT TO RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND WHY HOMESTEAD DESIGNED THE PARK THE WAY IT DID, WHAT THE AMENITY TRULY MEANS AND HOW TO BE USED AND HOW TO BALANCE THAT WHERE EVERYBODY CAN BENEFIT INSTEAD OF SAYING THESE ARE THE WINNERS AND THESE THE LOSERS. I'LL ASK MY COLLEAGUES IF YOU HAVEN'T DECIDED YET, PLEASE CONSIDER CREATING WINNERS AND LOSERS INSTEAD OF SEEKING A TRUE COMPROMISE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. YOU KNOW WHAT BROTHERS ME IS WE'RE AGAIN USING COVID AS A REASON TO REDUCE AMENITIES IN OUR CITY

AND IT JUST DON'T MAKE SENSE. IT DID DURING THE HEIGHT AND NOW WE MIGHT BE IN THE HEIGHT RIGHT NOW BUT WE'RE NOT REDUCING ANYTHING. WE'RE NOT STOPPING PEOPLE FROM GOING INTO THE PARK. PARK USAGE WAS UP EVERY WHERE ACROSS THE CITY. SHOULD WE CLOSE THOSE ROADS TOO? MAYBE, I DON'T KNOW. WELL, I DO KNOW, I DON'T THINK IT'S RIGHT. WHAT ABOUT VISITORS TO OUR CITY WHO MAY NOT IN ABLE TO WALK, BIKE, HIKE, SKATE. THEY COMPLETELY MISS THE WHOLE EXPERIENCE OF THAT PARK BECAUSE OF WHAT I FEEL LIKE IS SELFISHNESS. I THINK IT'S VERY SELFISH TO EXCLUDE A WHOLE GROUP OF CITIZENS, DISABLED PEOPLE, PEOPLE THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PHYSICALLY MAKE IT TO THOSE SECTION ARE CLOSED WHAT ARE WE DOING? SERIOUSLY, I DON'T KNOW. IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE WHAT WE ARE DOING AND THINK TRASH IN A PARK IS A REASON TO CLOSE ROADS. ARE WE NOT THE DECISION MAKERS. WITH IF WE PUT THIS TO A VOTE I THINK WE KNOW WHAT THEY'D VOTE FOR AND WE HAVE TO THINK OF THOSE WHO MAY OR MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GET IN THE PARK AND USE IT TO ITS FULLEST. I'M ALL FOR BIKING. I LOVE TO RIDE MY BIKE. I WISH I COULD JOG BUT I CAN'T. IT WOULD BE WONDERFUL THOUGH IF WE HAD A WORLD IF WE HAD A PATH SURROUNDING OUR CITY AND SOME ARE NOT FULLY COMPLETED YET BUT WE HAVE THE LOUISVILLE LOOP FOR GOODNESS SAKE. IT'S CRAZY TO CLOSE ROADS WHEN ALL PAY FOR THE AMENITIES AN I'LL BE A NO VOTE FOR THAT REASON.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR THEIR PASSION ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ISSUE. I THINK CERTAINLY APPRECIATE COUNCIL WOMAN CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG CONCERN FOR THE COMPROMISE SHE TRIED TO WORK OUT HERE. I WILL SAY I WILL BE A NO VOTE PARTICULARLY FOR THIS REASON. THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO WANT TO ACCESS ALL KINDS OF ROADS. WE HAVE ROADS ACROSS THE CITY AND WE HAVE SIDEWALKS WHERE IT'S SAFER FOR PEOPLE TO RIDE THEIR BIKE OR WALK. SHOULD WE CLOSE TRAFFIC IN THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS? IT WOULD SLOW SPEED AND HAVE ONE WAY TRAFFIC. WE HAVE A HUGE PROBLEM WHERE I REPRESENT IN ANCHORAGE WHERE THERE'S LOTS OF CUT-THROUGH TRAFFIC INSTEAD OF PEOPLE USING WEST PORT ROAD OR THE GENE SNIDER THEY'RE CUTTING TO GET TO LENDEN TO GET TO 64. SHOULD WE CLOSE THOSE ROADS? WE HAVE LOTS OF NEIGHBORHOODS WHERE WE HAVE NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS SO THEY CAN CUT TIME OFF TRAFFIC. AND SHOULD WE CLOSE THOSE? I APPRECIATE THE POSITION AND WORK THAT'S GONE IN THIS. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD GO THAT WAY. THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER MULVHILL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAVE AND IT'S PROBABLY A QUESTION FOR THE SPONSOR BUT IT'S A QUESTION/COMMENT. I'VE LISTENED TO DEBATE TONIGHT AND KEEP HEARING WE HAVE 780,000 RESIDENTS, WHICH WE DO, AND THERE'S NO WAY TO HEAR FROM 780,000 PEOPLE. BUT I ALSO KNOW WE ADOPTED AN ORDINANCE MAYBE WE TO OR DIDN'T MEAN TO AND GAVE OURSELVES A TIGHT DEADLINE TO KEEP A PATTERN OR NOT. WE



HAD TO PUT A LOT TOGETHER IN A SHORT TIME WHETHER IT'S SURVEYS OR MEETINGS. CAN THE SPONSOR TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT BECAUSE I KNOW AS BILL HOLLANDER MENTIONED AND HAS BEEN INVOLVED. CAN YOU TALK ABOUT THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT WITH FOLKS YOU DID MEET WITH AND SURVEY AND THE FOLKS WHO REPRESENT THEM? COUNCIL WOMAN.

>> THE COMMUNITY MEETINGS BEGAN IN THE SPRING IN MARCH AND WE HAD A VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING BACK WHEN EVERYONE WAS DOING AND IN THAT WAS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PARKS DEPARTMENT. WE HAD A SEPARATE COMMUNITY FORUM AROUND EQUITY AND ACCESSIBILITY AND INVITED PEOPLE WITH PARTICULAR EXPERTISE TO COME AND SPEAK TO MAKE SURE WHATEVER OPTIONS WERE ON THE TABLE WERE NOT LIMITING CHEROKEE PARK JUST TO THE RESIDENTS OF CHEROKEE PARK BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE IT'S AN AMENITY FOR THE CITY AS A WHOLE AND WE'RE BEING MINDFUL OF THE COMPLEXITIES OF DISABILITY AND MAKE SURE EVERYBODY CAN ENJOY THE PARK AND IT MAXIMIZE THE RANGE OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND DIFFERENT TYPES OF DISABILITIES TO ALL BE ABLE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF CHEROKEE PARK. I HAD OFFICE HOURS AT THE PARK WHERE PEOPLE WERE ALLOWED TO SIGN UP WITH ONE-ON-ONE SLOTS AND SMALL GROUP SLOTS AND I SAT OUTSIDE THE GOLF COURSE AND SPOKE TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY. WE HAD OUR IN-PERSON PUBLIC MEETING AND I WOULD SAY I'VE CONTINUED TO HEAR FROM RESIDENTS AND NON RESIDENTS AND SERVED AS A POINT OF CONTACT BECAUSE THE PARK IS IN MY DISTRICT. I'M CERTAINLY NOT UNDERESTIMATING WHEN I SAY I TALKED TO AT LEAST 500 PEOPLE

PERSONALLY ABOUT THIS. I TALKED TO A SWATH OF THE CITY AND PEOPLE WITH ALL SORTS OF DISABILITIES THAT HAVE ALL SORTS OF OPINION AND IN DIFFERENT ZIP CODES WHEN I TALK ABOUT YOUTH IN PUBLIC SPACES OUR JOB IS TO MAXIMIZE THE MOST PEOPLE WITH THE MOST NEEDS ENJOY THE PARK WITH A SPECIAL MIND TO MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES THAT MIGHT NEED SOME SPECIAL ATTENTION WHEN WE'RE MAKING THE DECISIONS AND I BELIEVE THAT'S ALWAYS BEEN THE CENTER OF THIS COMPROMISE. WHEN I TALK TO THE PARENTS WITH YOUNG KIDS AND TALK TO PARENTS WITH KIDS WITH PROCESSING ISSUE, IS IT FAIR THEY DON'T HAVE ANYWHERE ON THE LOOP WHERE THEIR CHILDREN AREN'T EXPOSED TO LOUD MOTORCYCLES OR NOISY VEHICLES AND IS IT FAIR FOR PEOPLE WITH THAT TYPE OF DISABILITY THERE'S NO SPACE ON THE SCENIC LOOP. I UNDERSTAND PEOPLE HAVE DIFFERENT VIEWS. I APPRECIATE EVERYONE'S ROBUST CONVERSATION AND DEBATE. I DO WORRY ABOUT THE SEPTEMBER 1 DEADLINE. TO BE HONEST, THERE'S ALREADY BEEN SIGNIFICANT STRIPING AND IMPROVEMENT. WHEN THE TRAFFIC PATTERN CHANGED TO TEST THE COMPROMISED DESIGN IT TOOK WEEKS FOR PEOPLE TO GET IT RIGHT AND FOR THE PUBLIC TO HAVE NOTICE EVEN WITH STRIPING CHANGES AND LARGE SIGNS I WORRY A DECISION TONIGHT ON THE SEPTEMBER 1 DEADLINE WILL CREATE A SAFETY CONCERN IN THE DISTRICT AND NOT GIVE THE PUBLIC ENOUGH NOTICE TO ADEQUATELY ADJUST. SO I HOPE IF COUNCIL DECLINES TO APPROVE THE COMPROMISED PLAN, I HOPE WE'LL BE WILLING PARTNERS WITH THE PARKS DEPARTMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS WHO HAVE PUT A LOT OF EFFORT INTO MAKING THE

ACTUAL PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT CHANGES TO MAKE THE COMPROMISE POSSIBLE WILLING TO GIVE THEM EVERY RESOURCE THEY NEED TO QUICKLY INFORM THE PUBLIC AND MAKE SURE THE TRANSITION HAPPENS SAFELY. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. AND MR. PRESIDENT, WE DID ASK QUESTIONS OF THE SAFETY. IT'S NOT BEEN PUT ON THE RECORD IN THE PARKS COMMITTEE BECAUSE SAFETY'S BEEN AN ISSUE WAS THE QUESTION IN THE SURVEY. I ASKED WHAT'S BEEN THE RESULT OF THE CHANGE AND IN 2019 THERE WERE 93 COLLISIONS. THEY DIDN'T GET SPECIFIC ABOUT PEDESTRIAN AND CAR, BICYCLE-CAR AND CAR AND CAR. THEY DIDN'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION BUT THAT'S NOW IN 2020 IT WAS 44. I WANTED TO PUT THAT ON THE RECORD AS WELL. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ACCURATE ABOUT THE RECORD. COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD ACTUALLY WENT AND GOT INFORMATION FROM LMPD THAT HAS DIFFERENT NUMBERS IN IT. COULD YOU TALK ABOUT THOSE NUMBERS?

>> I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN PULL UP THE E-MAIL AND Y'ALL STILL SEE ME. CAN YOU STILL SEE ME?

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> I DIDN'T KNOW YOU WERE GOING TO CALL ON ME RIGHT NOW OR I WOULD HAVE HAD IT PULLED UP. MY E-MAIL TO METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT ACCIDENT REPORT. I ASKED INFORMATION CONCERNING

CHEROKEE PARK FROM DECEMBER 1 TO 2019 TO DECEMBER AND JANUARY AND DECEMBER 31 OF 2020. THEY CAME BACK WITH AND SAID IT CAME FROM THE STATE POLICE AND MY PARAMETERS WERE TO LINE OUT VEHICLE ACCIDENTS VEHICLE VERSUS VEHICLE AND VEHICLE VERSUS PEDESTRIAN AND VEHICLE VERSUS BICYCLE AND BICYCLE VERSUS PEDESTRIAN AND WHAT THEY SENT BACK TO ME WAS A SHEET THAT SAID THERE WERE 2019 TWO ACCIDENTS INSIDE THE PARK AND IN 2020 THERE WERE FIVE ACCIDENTS WITHIN THE PARK. AND THOSE WERE ALL VEHICULAR. THERE WAS NOTHING THAT WAS VEHICLE AND PEDESTRIAN OR VEHICLE AND BICYCLE. THAT'S NOT THE NUMBERS I RECALL PRESENTED BY THE PARKS DEPARTMENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I ONLY HAVE A SMALL THING TO SAY. I WISH THEY [INDISCERNIBLE] INTEREST IN THE PARK AND LOW INCOME AREA AS THEY PUT IN OTHER PARKS AND HOMESTEAD PARK. AT MY PARK WE WEREN'T GIVEN A CHANCE. WE WEREN'T GIVEN ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT THINGS THEY PUT IN PUBLIC MARKS. I KNOW THEY PUT [INDISCERNIBLE] AND CHEROKEE PARK AND ALL THAT. THEY DON'T PUT ANYTHING IN NEWBURY PARK AND IT'S A LOW-INCOME AREA. WE SIT HERE ARGUING ABOUT ALL THE DIFFERENT THINGS FOR THIS OUT IN THE HIGHLAND BUT WE DON'T GET ANYTHING IN THE PARK OUT THERE AND SO I WILL BE VOTING NO. I WANT TO SAY THAT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL WOMAN. COUNCIL WOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANTED TO ASK COUNCIL WOMAN FLOOD ABOUT HER REPORT. SHE SAID IT WAS DIFFERENT FROM THE PARKS DEPARTMENT. CAN YOU TELL ME WHO HAD MORE ACCIDENTS REPORTED WAS IT THE POLICE OR PARKS DEPARTMENT?

>> WELL, THEY SAID BOTH PARKS AND THE LMPD SAID THEY PICKED THOSE BECAUSE THE STATE POLICE ARE THE ONES WHO ARE THE OFFICIAL RECORD KEEPER OF TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS NO MATTER WHAT IT IS. AT THE MEETING IT WAS REPORTED THERE WAS 93 ACCIDENTS IN 2019 AND IN 2020, 44 ACCIDENTS.

>> I WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT LISTENING TO THE DEBATE AND I THINK I HAVE HAD A CHANGE OF HEART HOW I WANT TO VOTE -- UM, AND I HAVE TO BRING THIS UP. I HAVE TO MENTION THIS. HISTORY TELLS ME A LOT, AND FROM WHAT WE HAVE BEEN SEEING IN THE PAST THREE YEARS WITH RACISM, AND THE SOCIAL INJUSTICE HERE IN THE CITY, I DEFINITELY AS AN AFRICAN AMERICAN FEMALE, I DON'T WANT TO ADD TO, I AM VERY PROTECTIVE WITH WHAT GOES ON WITH RACE RELATIONS AND I JUST HAVE TO BE LET IT BE KNOWN THAT WHEN CHEROKEE PARK -- LOOKS LIKE WHEN IT WAS DESIGNED, CHEROKEE PARK WAS A PRODUCT OF JIM CROW, WHICH WAS SEGREGATION. AND SO FOR THAT REASON ALONE, UM, I THINK I AM GOING TO HAVE TO BE A NO VOTE. I WAS PRESENT AND JUST GOING BACK ON HISTORY, I DON'T WANT TO LIMIT PEOPLE FROM ACCESS -- [ INDISCERNIBLE ] -- FROM ACCESS. I HAVE A FEELING IF WE ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN, WHO IS TO SAY THAT IT

WON'T HAPPEN IN OTHER PARKS? I JUST WANT TO LET MY COLLEAGUES KNOW THAT I HAVE A CHANGE OF HEART LISTENING TO THE DEBATE AND ALSO THE CHEROKEE PARK BACKGROUND.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMEMBER, COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> I THINK COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG DID THE YEOMAN'S WORK TRYING TO SORT OUT THIS ISSUE. WELL DONE. THE QUESTION ABOUT DISABILITY, THOSE THAT HAVE ISSUES ON THE AUTISM SPECTRUM OR ISSUES, THERE ARE FOUR MILES OF TRAILS AT CHEROKEE PARK, NOT TO MENTION A CRAZY AMOUNT OF OPEN SPACE THAT ANYBODY HAS ACCESS. THERE ARE EXISTING OPTIONS FOR THOSE THAT ARE AMBULATORY. IF BEING NOT THE AMBULATORY IS NOT THE ISSUE OR SENSORY ISSUES, FOUR MILES OF TRAILS AND A TON OF OPEN SPACE AND HAVE AT IT. THE ONLY PLACE THAT SOMEBODY WITH AN AMBULATORY ISSUE HAS ACCESS TO. THEY WENT GET OUT AND ACCESS THE TRAILS OR USE THE OPEN SPACE. REGARDING THE SEPTEMBER 1ST DEADLINE, JUST A HISTORY LESSON ON THE EVOLUTION OF THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE, AGAIN TO BE CLEAR, WE ARE AMENDING THE ORDINANCE THAT WE PASSED UNANIMOUSLY GIVING BACK THE MAYOR POWER THAT WE TOOK AWAY FROM HIM. WE ARE NOT APPROVING A PLAN FOR CHEROKEE PARK. IT WAS COUNCILWOMAN WHO SET THE SEPTEMBER 1ST DEADLINE. SHE BROUGHT UP THE LOGISTICS AND WANTED TO GIVE THE ADMINISTRATION THE TIME TO FINISH THEIR PROCESS AND GO DO THAT. THAT WASN'T AN ARBITRARY DATE. THAT WAS PROPOSED BY COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG THAT WE ALL AGREED TO AND

ADOPTED WHOLEHEARTEDLY. THIS ISN'T A DATE THAT SNUCK UP ON SOMEBODY. THE ADMINISTRATION AND OTHERS HAVE SEEN IT COMING AND HAD TIME TO PREPARE. WHAT'S FRUSTRATING THEY WAITED SEVERAL DAYS BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1ST TO BRING IT TO COUNCIL FOR A VOTE. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY ON THE RECORD FOR THAT. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> I HAVE RECEIVED JUST LIKE THE REST OF MY COLLEAGUES QUITE A FEW EMAILS AND PHONE CALLS REGARDING THIS ISSUE. I WORKED VERY, VERY HARD IN LISTENING TO MY CONSTITUENTS IN DISTRICT 7, PLUS I REACHED OUT EARLIER, I THINK IT WAS YESTERDAY OR THE DAY BEFORE, TO ASK POINTED QUESTIONS. THE MAIN CONCERN I HAD WAS ABOUT THE TRAFFIC STUDY THAT WAS SENT, AND I HAD QUESTIONS AND I WANTED TO KNOW HOW TO INTERPRET THE REPORT. AND BASICALLY, IT TOOK A DAY OR SO BEFORE SOMEONE WOULD GET BACK TO ME. SO I FOLLOWED UP AND I ASKED FOR THE TRAFFIC REPORT, THE ACCIDENT RATE IS WHAT I ASKED FOR FOR VEHICLES, NOT BICYCLES, BUT VEHICLES. SO I FINALLY GOT SOMETHING FROM ONE OF THE MAYOR'S ADMINISTRATION EMPLOYEES AND IT WAS JUST RAW DATA. AND SO I REPLIED WITHOUT INTERPRETATION, I AM LEFT TO MY OWN INTERPRETATION. WITHOUT COMMENTARY, I AM LEFT WITH MY OWN INTERPRETATION. AND THEN I ASKED COUNCILWOMAN CHAMBERS, WHO I JUST HAVE TO COMMEND ON DOING A YEOMAN'S JOB OF TRYING TO MOVE THIS ISSUE FORWARD, TO REACH

OUT TO ME TO EXPLAIN THOSE NUMBERS BECAUSE I WAS TRYING VERY HARD FOR A REASON TO SUPPORT THIS ORDINANCE. IT SEEMED REASONABLE. IT SEEMED LIKE THE COMPRISE WAS FAIR AND ALL I WANTED TO DO WAS BASE MY DECISION ON WHAT THE MAJORITY OF MY DISTRICT 7 CONSTITUENTS HAVE ASKED ME TO DO AND THAT IS TO VOTE IT DOWN, BUT I ALSO WANTED TO CONSIDER MY VOTE BASED ON FACTS. WHEN I COULD NOT GET THOSE NUMBERS INTERPRETED TO ME, IT SAID TO ME THAT PERHAPS THOSE WERE NOT THE RIGHT NUMBERS OR THEY COULD NOT BE EXPLAINED. SO TONIGHT WHEN COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD READ THE COMPRISING NUMBERS, WHICH WERE TOTALLY LESS THAN WHAT I WAS SENT, IT CLEARED UP EVERYTHING FOR ME AND MADE MY DECISION THAT MUCH EASIER, THAT MUCH MORE EASIER. AND I AM SORRY TO SAY THAT THIS TYPE OF SUBJECT IS POLARIZING AND IT SHOULD NOT BE, BUT IT IS AND HERE WE ARE. WE HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION. I AM GOING TO BE A NO VOTE BASED ON THE FACT THAT I TRIED VERY, VERY HARD TO SUPPORT THIS AND NOBODY COULD COOPERATE TO GIVE ME THE DATA TO PROVE THAT THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT I REALLY SHOULD BE VOTING ON. SO BECAUSE OF THAT, I WILL AGAIN, BE VOTING NO.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN HOLTON STEWART.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT TO TAKE UP TOO MUCH TIME TONIGHT. I WANT TO BRING UP THE ISSUE OF -- [ INDISCERNIBLE ] AT CHEROKEE, YOU HAVE PLENTY OF GREEN SPACE. YOU HAVE A GOLF COURSE. YOU HAVE TENNIS COURTS AND STREAMS AND



CREEKS. YOU HAVE THE HIKING TRAILS. SO FOR ME IF WE ARE LOOKING AT CHILD SAFETY, AND I HAVE A CHILD THAT MAYBE WOULD TEND TO WANDER OFF INTO TRAFFIC, I THINK IT MIGHT BE MORE SAFE TO TAKE MY CHILD TO AN OPEN GREEN SPACE OR SOMEWHERE AWAY FROM TRAFFIC MIGHT FLOW. SO FOR ME, I THINK THAT I AM GOING TO STAND BY THE VOTE I MADE WITH IROQUOIS PARK. I THINK WE NEED TO MAKE THIS AND KEEP THIS ACCESSIBLE FOR ALL PEOPLE. SO THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. FOR THE VIEWING PUBLIC I WAS THE COMMANDER OF LMPD'S POLICE DIVISION CENTERED IN THE PARK. WITH MY EXPERIENCE WITH FOUR YEARS THERE, THERE WAS ONLY A HANDFUL OF ACCIDENTS INSIDE THE PARK. MOST OF THOSE INVOLVED CARS LEAVING THE ROADWAY GOING INTO A DITCH AND PERHAPS STRIKING A TREE. THERE WERE AREAS AROUND THE PARK LEXINGTON AND ALEXANDER, WHEN YOU INCLUDE THOSE STREETS, THEN YOU PROBABLY GET TO THE NUMBER THAT COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY GOT IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT SHE RECEIVED. ODDLY ENOUGH, THE BIGGEST COMPLAINT I GOT IN MY FOUR YEARS AS POLICE COMMANDER, WERE FROM MOTORISTS AGAINST BICYCLISTS, CYCLISTS, WHO WANTED TO HAVE IT BOTH WAYS. THEY WANTED TO FUNCTION AS A BICYCLE AND RIDE IN THE WRECK LANE UNTIL THE WRECK LANE GOT BUSY AND THEN THEY GOT INTO THE DRIVING LANE. WHEN THEY GOT IN THE DRIVING LANE, THEY WERE NO LONGER STOPPING AT THE SIGNS AND RIDING THEIR BIKES RECKLESSLY AND MOST OF THE

COMPLAINTS I GOT WERE FROM VEHICLE CARS COMPLAINING ABOUT BICYCLES. ANOTHER GOOD REASON AND ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES MENTIONED HE DIDN'T THINK THAT'S WHAT OLMSTEAD HAD IN MIND. OLMSTEAD BUILT THESE PARKS IN URBAN AREAS. I THINK HE HAD VEHICLE TRAFFIC IN MIND. I THINK IT SPEAKS TO HIS WISDOM TO CO-HABITATE. IT'S A MAJOR METHOD FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS FOR POLICE OFFICERS, FIREFIGHTERS. IF YOU ARE ON BARDSTOWN ROAD -- YOU HAVE TO GET TO RIVER ROAD AND YOU DON'T USE THE PARK, YOU ARE GOING TO SPEND A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TIME GETTING ACROSS THAT EXPANSION. SO I HOPE THAT HELPS CLARIFY AND THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY. COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.

>> NO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> NO. COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> I AM GOING TO HAVE TO VOTE NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER. COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> NO. I WILL VOTE NO, APOLOGIZE.

>> THAT'S OKAY.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 19 NO VOTES AND 14 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I AM SORRY, THAT WAS 5 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE FAILS. MADAM CLERK, THE READING OF  
ITEM NUMBER 30, PLEASE.

>> O-248-21, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON  
COUNTY METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES ("LMCO") CHAPTER 115 RELATING TO  
LICENSING REQUIREMENT EXEMPTIONS (AS AMENDED).MOTION. SECOND BY  
COUNCILMAN WINKLER. JOINING THE DISCUSSION IS COUNCILWOMAN  
JORDAN.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT. THIS ITEM PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE ON  
AUGUST THE 3RD. IT'S A PIECE OF LEGISLATION THAT LOOKS  
EXEMPTIONS FOR LICENSING REQUIREMENTS AROUND WHO CAN SELL  
PRODUCE AND UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES. IT WAS PULLED BACK OF THE  
COMMITTEE AS REQUEST OF A SPONSOR AND WAS OLD BUSINESS BECAUSE  
OF AN AMENDMENT. I WILL ASK COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL TO SPEAK ON THE  
AMENDMENT TONIGHT.

>> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. REALLY WHAT THE INTENTION OF THE ORDINANCE IS TO CLARIFY WHAT THAT EXEMPTION IS MEANT TO DO. MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT IT WAS NOT MEANT TO GIVE SOMEONE AN ADVANTAGE OVER ANOTHER GROUP SELLING PRODUCE. BUT THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WOULD HAPPEN. ORIGINALLY YOU WOULD HAVE SOMEONE WHO WENT THROUGH THE PROCESS AND GOT A LICENSE AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO BE ABLE TO SELL PRODUCE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT ON DIXIE HIGHWAY OR ON A COMMERCIAL AREA, BUT IN A NEIGHBORHOOD, AND THEN SOMEONE ELSE WHO SAYS WELL, I AM GOING TO GROW PRODUCE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, BUT I AM NOT GETTING GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS. I THINK THE EXEMPTION WAS MEANT FOR SOMEONE WHO MIGHT GROW PRODUCE, AND THEN YOU HAVE AS MANY OF US HAVE TOMATO PLANTS IN YOUR LIFE, ALL OF A SUDDEN ALL THE TOMATOES BECOME RIPE AT THE SAME TIME AND YOU CAN'T GIVE THEM AWAY QUICK ENOUGH AND SOME PEOPLE WILL PUT A STAND UP AND SELL SOME TOMATOES OR CORN OR WHATEVER, ON OCCASION, NOT AS A BUSINESS, IN A NEIGHBORHOOD. WHAT THIS AMENDMENT DOES IS TO MAKE THAT CLEAR WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IF YOU ARE GOING TO BE EXEMPTED FROM HAVING TO LICENSE AS A BUSINESS, THEN IT'S CLEAR NUMBER ONE, YOU ARE NOT A BUSINESS. AND THAT YOU ARE NOT PURCHASING PRODUCE SOMEWHERE ELSE, BRINGING IT TO YOUR HOUSE AND SELLING IT, WHICH WOULD BE A BUSINESS. AND THEN IF YOU WERE GOING TO DO THIS AN OCCASION, THAT ON OCCASION, WE WOULD JUST DEFINE IN THE SAME WAY THAT OUR LAW DEFINES YARD SALES, IT'S TWO

TIMES A YEAR TWO TIMES A YEAR. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS ABOUT YARD SALES. YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT NEIGHBORHOODS, NOT COMMERCIAL AREAS, AND WE ARE TALKING ABOUT TRYING TO HAVE THE EXEMPTION FOR PEOPLE WHO AREN'T REALLY A BUSINESS, WHO ARE JUST SELLING PRODUCE, AND IN A PART-TIME MANNER IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD. SO, I CAN CERTAINLY ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS IF ANYONE HAS ANY.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN. COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY. COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED. COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES.



>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER. COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI, I THINK THAT'S YES. COUNCILMEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STUART.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 27 YES VOTES.

>> THE AMENDMENT PASSES. READING OF NUMBER 31, PLEASE.

>> APPOINTMENT OF BONITA BLACK TO THE TRANSIT AUTHORITY OF RIVER CITY (TARC) BOARD. (TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2024).

>> THE APPOINTMENT IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, THIS IS AN ITEM THAT CAME OUT OF THE COMMITTEE ON UNANIMOUS VOTE.

>> THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN APPOINTMENT REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> [ INDISCERNIBLE ]. COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY. COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> DUE TO MY EMPLOYMENT WITH PARK, I WILL BE ABSTAINING.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED. COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGET TEENY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 23 YES VOTES AND ONE ABSTENTION.

>> THE APPOINTMENT PASSES. PLEASE READ NUMBER 32.

>> A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET  
ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING  
NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR  
LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT CONCERNING A COORDINATOR FOR

THE SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC EXAMINER PROGRAM - (UNIVERSITY  
MEDICAL CENTER, INC., D/B/A UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE  
HOSPITAL/JAMES GRAHAM BROWN CANCER CENTER - \$92,000.00).

>> MOTION TO APPROVE, ENGEL.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE COUNCILMAN ENGEL. SECOND COUNCILMAN  
WINKLER. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION BEFORE US, COUNCILMEMBER  
PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I THINK IT CLARIFIES SOMETHING AND  
PROTECTS AGAINST THE POSSIBILITY OF THIS NOT HAPPENING. THIS IS  
A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT FOR THE -- PROVIDE A  
COORDINATOR. WE HAVE DONE THIS BEFORE. WE HAVE BEEN DOING THIS  
FOR SOME TIME FOR THE SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC PROGRAMMER FOR  
THIS YEAR. SECTION 2 WILL READ ALL CASES SHALL BE PEER REVIEWED  
BY A FORENSIC PHYSICIAN, AND THEN THE CURRENT SECTION 2 WILL  
BECOME SECTION 3 WHERE IT SAYS THIS RESOLUTION SHALL TAKE EFFECT  
UPON ITS PASSAGE OF APPROVAL. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THAT  
AMENDMENT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION, PLEASE.

>> THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE A SECOND? SECOND, COUNCILMAN REED. DO  
WE NEED A DISCUSSION. COUNCILWOMAN CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WAS HOPING COULD SPEAK A LITTLE  
BIT MORE TO THIS AMENDMENT BECAUSE I WORRY IT'S NOT AS INNOCUOUS  
THAT COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI SUGGESTED IT MIGHT BE AND IT COULD

ALTER ACCESS TO SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIMS IN OUR COMMUNITIES. THIS CONTRACT AS COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI SAID IT'S FOR OUR SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS IT'S A PROGRAM RUN THROUGH THE UNL HOSPITAL DEDICATED EXPERT NURSES PROVIDE 24-7 AROUND THE CARE ACCESS TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. THE SAME NURSES ARE NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED AS THE GOLD STANDARD IN THE CARE THAT THEY PROVIDE. EVERY ONE OF THEM IS A REGISTERED NURSE WITH 100 ADDITIONAL HOURS OF TRAINING AND FORENSIC NURSING CARE, SEVERAL MONTHS OF ON-THE-JOB TRAINING AND THEY SEE MORE THAN 700 VICTIMS ANNUALLY. RECENTLY THEY EXPANDED THEIR SERVICES TO MAKE SURE EVERYONE CAN RECEIVE ON-SITE CARE AND ALL OF THE EXAMS ARE REVIEWED BY AMANDA WHO IS IN THE GALLERY TODAY AND NURSE MANAGER VICKI, FOR ACCESSORY. AMANDA AND VICKI HAVE WORKED WITH MORE THAN A THOUSAND VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN THEIR CAREER AND AMANDA HAS SERVED AS MANAGER FOR MORE THAN SIX YEARS AND IS RECOGNIZED AS AN EXPERT IN THE COMMUNITY IN THE STATE. HER WORK IS RESPECTED AND THE WORSE OF THE NURSES IS RELIED ON BY OUR POLICE FORCE WHO REGULARLY CALL THEM AS EXPERT WITNESSES IN CRIMINAL TRIALS. IN FACT, VICKI TESTIFIED IN A CASE LAST WEEK THAT RESULTED IN CONVICTIONS FOR MURDER ONE AND WANTON ENDANGERMENT AND ASSAULT IN A SEXUAL ASSAULT CASE. MY CONCERN IS WITHOUT HEARING MORE AND EXPLORING THE CHANGE, THE NEED FOR PURPOSE AND DRIVING THIS CHANGE, WILL LIMIT THE NUMBER OF PATIENT WHO NEED ACCESS FOR FORENSIC CARE

WHEN THEY NEED IT. WHEN SOMEONE IS COMING IN THAT'S A VICTIM OF SEXUAL ASSAULT, THEY WILL LIKELY BE GOING IN TO AN EMERGENCY ROOM AND WE ARE HEARING ON THE NEWS RIGHT NOW HOW OUR EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS ARE STRETCHED PARTICULARLY THIN. PERSONALLY, I DON'T HAVE ANY SINCE WHAT REQUISITE TRAINING AND SPECIALIZED CARE THAT VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NEED AND EXIST IN THE COMMUNITY. I WORRY WITHOUT AN OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR MORE FROM THE NURSES AND HEAR HOW THE COMMUNITY IS IMPACTED AND HOW THIS COULD AFFECT THE CARE THAT WE ARE PROVIDING TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN OUR COMMUNITY, I WORRY THAT WE WILL BE MAKING A DECISION WITHOUT FULLY UNDERSTANDING THE CONSEQUENCES. FOR THAT REASON, I WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES VOTE NO UNLESS WE PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SEND THIS TO COMMITTEE AND HAVE A PROPER DISCUSSION ABOUT IT. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILWOMAN, GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. CAN SOMEONE HELP ME UNDERSTAND WHAT'S DRIVING THIS CHANGE AND WHAT THE PERCEIVED IMPACT IS? COUNCILWOMAN CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG MENTIONED A LOT OF KERNELS PARTICULARLY AROUND ACCESSIBILITY AND WHAT THIS MEANS. COULD SOMEONE SPEAK TO THAT?

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IF I COULD.

>> YES, SIR.

>> THANK YOU. SO THIS ACTUALLY CAME FROM LMPD SURGEON, HIS NAME IS DR. SMOCK. SO SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF LMPD, INCLUDING THE HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION UNIT AND DERIVATIVE MET WITH THE DOCTOR. THEY REVIEWED A CASE TO GIVE YOU A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE, A CASE FROM NORTON WHO WAS INITIALLY SEEN BY ONE OF THE SAME NURSES. WHAT HE DISCUSSED WAS THE NEED FOR A PEER REVIEW FORENSIC COURT. THE FORENSIC NURSE MISIDENTIFIED A WOUND AS LACERATION, WHICH IT WAS A WOUND FROM AN EDGED IMPLEMENT. THE THERE WAS CONCERNED VICKI ATTEMPTED TO DISCOURAGE HER FROM INVESTIGATION OF A CASE FROM POSSIBLE SEXUAL ASSAULT, DR. SMOCK INDICATED THE PROGRAM PROVIDES A TREMENDOUS SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY. IF I THOUGHT THAT WASN'T THE CASE, I WOULD VOTE AGAINST THIS WHOLE THING AND I WOULD HAVE IN COMMITTEE, BUT THIS IS A WAY TO ENSURE THAT THE WORK IS BEING DOUBLE CHECKED BY FORENSIC EXPERTS AND PHYSICIANS. AGAIN, THIS CAME FROM SURGEONS AND OTHER MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL DOCTORS WHO ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE PROGRAM IN ORDER TO ENSURE THAT THESE ARE ACCURATE AND THEY ACTUALLY HAVE HAD AT LEAST ONE EXAMPLE THAT'S ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED IN WHICH THERE WAS A MISTAKEN CALL MADE. AGAIN, THIS IS A WAY TO ENSURE THAT WE HAVE LESS OPPORTUNITY FOR THOSE MISTAKES TO BE MADE. AGAIN, THIS IS NOT AN INDICTMENT. THINK ABOUT HOW MUCH TALK WE HAVE HAD OF POLICE REFORM AND CHECKS AND BALANCES ON THE OVERALL PUBLIC SAFETY SYSTEM. THIS IS ONE SMALL ONE AND I WOULD ARGUE IT IS TREMENDOUSLY COMMON IN THE MEDICAL FIELD TO HAVE MEDICAL



DECISIONS DOUBLE CHECKED, PARTICULARLY ONES THAT HAVE THE WEIGHT THAT THESE ANALYSES HAVE. SO THAT'S WHY IT DID COME FROM LMPD AND SURGEONS AND DOCTORS THAT WORK WITH THEM. [ INDISCERNIBLE ]. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG.

>> I WOULD SUGGEST, WHEN WE ARE DEALING WITH SOMETHING AS IMPORTANT AS ACCESS TO CARE FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND THE SYSTEMS THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE IN ALTERING THOSE SYSTEMS, PARTICULARLY WHEN WE ALL KNOW WE ARE DEALING WITH DISRUPTION IN THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, I DON'T SEE ANYTHING SO URGENT THAT WE COULDN'T SEND THIS BACK TO COMMITTEE FOR A FULL HEARING. IT SEEMS TO ME THIS CHANGE HAS THE POTENTIAL TO HAVE CONSEQUENCES THAT WE HAVE NOT FULLY CONSIDERED OR BUILT A RECORD ON. AND SO I WOULD ASK FOR THE -- I STARTED MY CAREER AT LEGAL AID WORKING WITH SURVIVORS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL ASSAULT. I KNOW THE NEEDS OF THIS COMMUNITY WELL. I WOULD ASK THAT WE HAVE AN COMMUNITY TO HEAR FROM ALL OF THOSE PROVIDING CARE TO THIS COMMUNITY BEFORE MAKING A DECISION. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> WAS THAT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION.

>> YES, WITH THAT I MOVE TO SEND THIS BACK TO COMMITTEE.

>> SECOND.

>> AYES HAVE IT. IT GOES BACK TO COMMITTEE. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 33.

>> AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AREA PURSUANT TO PROVISIONS OF KRS 65.7041- 65.7083 TO BE KNOWN AS THE DUPIN DRIVE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AREA, DESIGNATING THE METRO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, INC. AS AN "AGENCY", APPROVING ENTERING INTO A LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AREA AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF THE "RELEASED AMOUNT" PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AREA AGREEMENT, REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF REGULAR REPORTS TO LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF ANY OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES AUTHORIZED BY THIS ORDINANCE.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN PEDEN. SECOND BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER. IS THERE DISCUSSION BEFORE US? MR. PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WILL DO A QUICK PROCEDURAL UPDATE. I WILL PASS IT TO COUNCILMAN FOX TO TALK ABOUT THIS. THE DISCUSSION ON THIS ONE MAY BLEED A LITTLE BIT INTO THE NEXT ORDINANCE. BOTH OF THESE ARE PART OF THE SAME OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT. THEY HAVE TO BE SEPARATED FOR LEGAL AND PROCEDURAL REASONS. THEY DID PASS UNANIMOUSLY OUT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE. WITH THAT SAID, IF THE PRESIDENT IS OKAY WITH THIS, AFTER COUNCILMAN FOX MAKES HIS SPECIFIC

REMARKS ABOUT THE ORDINANCE, REALLY BOTH ORDINANCES, I WOULD REQUEST THAT COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE HAVE A MOMENT TO SPEAK BECAUSE OF THE REASON IT'S ON THE BUSINESS WAS THE DESIRE TO DISCUSS WITH THE DEVELOPERS ABOUT A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. I BELIEVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS HAVE HAPPENED. I BELIEVE COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE TOOK POINT IN REQUESTING THAT AND TRYING TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THANK YOU VICE CHAIR. THE REASON THESE TWO ITEMS ARE SEPARATED IS THE FIRST ONE -- [ INDISCERNIBLE ] ELIGIBLE FOR A GREENFIELD GRANT, THE ONE IN THE SOUTH IS NOT. COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD IT WILL BECOME LOGISTICS AIR PARK. IF APPROVED, IT WILL RESULT IN 374 CONSTRUCTION JOBS AND PROJECTED \$22.6 MILLION IN WAGES. ON THE LONG-TERM OVER THE COURSE OF THE 20 YEARS FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION, IT'S PROJECTED, AND THIS PROJECTION CAME FROM THE COMMONWEALTH ECONOMICS REPORT SANCTIONED GOING FORWARD, PROJECTED TO GENERATE \$5.1 BILLION IN ECONOMIC OUTPUT, 170,000 JOBS TO SUPPORT ANOTHER [ INDISCERNIBLE ] IN BUILD OUT. APPROXIMATELY -- APPROXIMATELY \$89 MILLION IN STATE TAX. I HEARD OF A NUMBER OF THESE DEVELOPMENT PIECES. WHICH COMES FIRST, THE DEVELOPMENT OR THE INFRASTRUCTURE? AND GENERALLY, THAT'S THE DEVELOPMENT, BUT IN THIS CASE IT'S THE INFRASTRUCTURE. THIS WILL

RESULT IN A NEW ROAD THAT ATTACHES FERN VALLEY AND CONNECTS WITH THE OUTER LOOP. IT WOULD SERVE THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF MEADOW HILL THAT'S TO THE EAST OF THIS. IT WOULD SERVE THE LARGE TRUCK TRAFFIC, AND IT WILL KEEP THE COMMERCE AIR PARK WITH ITS PROXIMITY TO THE AIRPORT, RAIL YARD AND THE AIRPORT SELF CONTAINED. AND ONE OTHER REASON WHY THIS IS A GOOD IDEA, THE MAJORITY OF THIS LAND FOR THE LAST 40 YEARS HAS BEEN A CHURCH. IT'S BEEN THE CHURCH ON 65 AS YOU ARE NORTHBOUND, NORTH OF THE OUTER LOOP. THIS HAS BEEN EXEMPT FROM TAXES THE FACT THAT IT'S A CHURCH. I THINK WHAT WE ARE GIVING UP IS FAR OUTWEIGHED IN LOCAL BENEFIT. I WILL CLOSE WITH A QUOTE FROM THE ECONOMICS REPORT, AND THAT IS IT TRANSFORMS ONE OF METRO'S UNDERACHIEVING PROPERTIES ANY HUB OF ECONOMIC PRODUCTIVITY. AND THAT IS A VERY POIGNANT COMMENT. MY DISTRICT, AND A COUPLE OF MY COLLEAGUES TO THE NORTH, AND ESPECIALLY TO THE EAST HAVE KEPT THIS ECONOMY AFLOAT DURING COVID BECAUSE OF ONLINE SHOPPING AND ACCESS. [ INDISCERNIBLE ]. THIS ENHANCES THAT BUILD OUT AND CREATES JOBS AND SOME OF THESE ARE REALLY GOOD PAYING JOBS, IT'S NOT JUST SELLING NEWSPAPERS THIS WEEK. UPS UPPED THEIR MINIMUM TO \$20 AN HOUR. THESE ARE GOOD JOBS. IT GIVES CITIZENS THE OPPORTUNITY TO EARN A GOOD WAGE. I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. DURING THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC COMMITTEE MEETING, I MENTIONED BEING VERY EXCITED ABOUT THIS PRODUCT SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE OF THE CONNECTIVITY IT OFFERS TO THE OUTER LOOP TO FERN VALLEY ROAD. WHAT THAT MEANS POSITIVE THINGS FOR LOGISTICS AS WELL AS FOR NEIGHBORS. THE PRESTON HIGHWAY CORRIDOR, FERN VALLEY ROAD AREA IS REALLY AT A TIME OF TRANSITION AND WE ARE UNDERGOING A TRANSFORMATION WITH ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT. THAT'S IN COLLABORATION WITH THE COMMUNITY. WITH THAT, I AM GOING TO MAKE THE AMENDMENT TO EXCEPT THE MOU THAT'S IN THE SYSTEM TO ACCEPT THE DBA THAT WILL BE FOR THE ONGOING PARTNERSHIPS AND DEVELOPMENT.

>> SECOND.

>> THANK YOU, MOTION AND SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PEDEN. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION. HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT, THE AMENDMENT BEFORE US IS AMENDED, COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THAT IS ALL, THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. YOU KNOW THAT I RAIL AGAINST -- [ INDISCERNIBLE ]. THIS IS THE RARE OCCASION I SAY THIS IS OFF THE BEATEN PATH. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S UNIQUE AND THE FACT THAT

THE NICHOLAS GROUP WILL BE PUTTING IN THE ROADS THEMSELVES TO DO THE CITY'S JOB AS FAR AS CONNECTIVITY, EVEN BRENT ACKERSON CAN'T SAY NO TO THIS ONE. I HOPE THAT WILL SWAY ANYONE ON THE FENCE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. COUNCILMAN. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. I AM SORRY, COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER. COUNCILWOMAN, FOWLER.

>> I DON'T THINK I WAS IN THE QUEUE, SORRY. MADAM CLERK, CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY. COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL. COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> YES. COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> WAIT, THERE YOU ARE VIDEO, YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF  
ITEM NUMBER 34, PLEASE.



>> AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT AREA PURSUANT TO PROVISIONS OF KRS 65.7041- 65.7083 TO BE KNOWN AS THE LOGISTICS AIR PARK DEVELOPMENT AREA, DESIGNATING THE METRO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, INC. AS AN "AGENCY", ADOPTING A DEVELOPMENT PLAN, APPROVING ENTERING INTO A LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF THE "RELEASED AMOUNT" PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT, REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF REGULAR REPORTS TO LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF ANY OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES AUTHORIZED BY THIS ORDINANCE.

>> APPROVED, PEDEN.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN. SECOND BY COUNCILWOMAN WINKLER. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> YES. [ INDISCERNIBLE ]. I WILL THROW IT COUNCILMAN FOX FOR QUESTIONS -- [ INDISCERNIBLE ]. WE MAY WANT TO AMEND IT ON BOTH CASES, BUT I WILL PASS IT TO COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THIS IS PART TWO OF THE FULL STORY.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> I DON'T BELIEVE WE NEED TO CALL A VOTE.

>> ATTORNEY DO YOU HAVE ANY ADVICE FOR US HERE?

>> THANK YOU. THE EXHIBIT AND MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING SHOULD BE ATTACHED TO BOTH AMENDED ORDINANCES.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE, COULD YOU MAKE THE MOTION?

>> CERTAINLY, YES I MOVE TO ACCEPT THE MOU.

>> SECOND, PEDEN.

>> THANK YOU. ANY DISCUSSION? ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED. THE AMENDMENT PASSES. MADAM CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER. COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED.

>> YES. COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MADAM CLERK, THE READING OF  
ITEM NUMBER 35.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE ENGEL.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN PEDEN. SECOND BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER. THE  
ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILWOMAN  
FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. IT'S SAFE TO SAY THE 6.4 ACRES  
REFERRED TO AS TRACK 2 IN THIS CASE TO ALLOW FOR 25 PATIO HOMES  
TO BE BUILT. THIS IS PART OF A LARGER MAJOR PRELIMINARY  
SUBDIVISION THAT WAS APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF 119

SINGLE-FAMILY LOTS. OUR VOTE TONIGHT IS ON THE 25 PATIO HOMES. ALSO WE ADDED A BINDING ELEMENT, NUMBER 9 TO THAT. EVEN THOUGH IT'S VOTED ON, I WILL READ IT INTO THE RECORD BECAUSE THE LANGUAGE -- SO THAT PEOPLE WILL KNOW OF THESE LAWS. THE DEVELOPERS SHALL PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO ENSURE THERE IS NO LESS THAN \$3,000 CASH IN THE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION ACCOUNT. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, OBLIGATION OF COMMON ELEMENTS INCLUDING MAINTENANCE OF CONDOMINIUM OPEN SPACE. SO WE PROTECT THE HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION BECAUSE WE HAVE IN THE PAST HAD SOME ISSUES WHERE DEVELOPERS WERE USING HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATIONS TO DO THINGS THAT WERE REQUIRED OF THEM AS PART OF THEIR DEVELOPMENT PLANS. THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN STUART BENSON DISTRICT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNSEL MEN BENSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, THIS WOMAN COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD BROUGHT FORTH IS A REALLY GOOD IDEA AND I REALLY APPRECIATE HER HELP. SOMETIMES WE DON'T GET ALWAYS SEE THE THINGS THAT CAN MAKE SOMETHING HAPPEN. THIS IS A PRETTY GOOD DEVELOPMENT AND I AM FOR IT, SO I HOPE YOU ALL WOULD VOTE YES. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION. HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR. COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YEAH.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> I AM ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. WE HAVE ITEMS OF NEW BUSINESS. COLLEAGUES PLEASE PAY ATTENTION, THERE IS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF RAIN WHILE WE HAVE BEEN SITTING HERE. THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING HAS FLOODED, SO PLEASE USE THE FIRST FLOOR USING THE STAIRS RATHER THAN GOING INTO THE BASEMENT, THANK YOU. THOSE COUNCILMEMBERS THAT WANT TO MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS PLEASE REMAIN UNTIL THE NEW BUSINESS IS READ INTO THE RECORD. NEW BUSINESS IS ITEMS 36 TO 53.

>> AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,000 FROM DISTRICT 1. NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS FROM LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENTS TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE VICTIM SERVICES UNIT, WHICH PROVIDES NATIONAL EMERGENCY SHELTER AND RELOCATION ASSISTANCE TO A CRIME VICTIM OR WITNESS. ITEM 37, AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,000 FROM DISTRICT 1 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO THE FULLER CENTER FOR HOUSING OF LOUISVILLE TO ASSIST WITH THE #STRIVEFORFIVEPARKLAND PROJECT WHICH PROVIDES PERMANENT AND



AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR THE MOST ECONOMICALLY MARGINALIZED FAMILIES. ITEM 38, AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$7,331.90 FROM DISTRICT 23 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO HIGHVIEW BUSINESS OWNERS ASSOCIATION FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO THE HIGHVIEW FALL FESTIVAL, THE HIGHVIEW HOLIDAY FESTIVAL AND WEBSITE MAINTENANCE. ITEM 39, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTERS 92 AND 130 RELATED TO BIAS-RELATED CRIMES AND OFFENSES TO MAKE PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOUSELESSNESS A PROTECTED CLASS. ITEM 40 A RESOLUTION HONORING REVEREND ALLEN EVANS, JR. BY DEDICATING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF 22ND AND WEST MADISON STREET AS REV. ALLEN EVANS, JR. DRIVE IN RECOGNITION OF HIS 40TH PASTORAL ANNIVERSARY. ITEM 41, A RESOLUTION HONORING WATERSTEP BY DEDICATING THE CORNER OF THE 6TH AND MYRTLE STREETS AS WATERSTEP WAY IN THEIR HONOR. ITEM 42, A RESOLUTION HONOR CHIEF RUSSELL S. MCDANIEL TO BE RENAMED RUSSELL S. MCDANIEL WAY IN HIS HONOR. ITEM 43, A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE PROGRESSION TO THE FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR LOUISVILLE METRO PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS CONCERNING CLINIC STAFF FOR COVID VACCINE ADMINISTRATION. ITEM NUMBER 44, A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

CONTRACT FOR LOUISVILLE FORWARD CONCERNING FINANCIAL ADVICE AND COUNSELING ON COMPLEX FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS, DEAL STRUCTURES, AND TAX INCREMENT FINANCING. ITEM 45, A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE METRO COUNCIL CONCERNING MUSICAL PERFORMANCES. ITEM 46, A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR LMPHW CONCERNING A SENIOR ADVISOR FOR METRO'S COVID RESPONSE PLAN. ITEM NUMBER 47, A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT FOR LOUISVILLE METRO PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS CONCERNING EVALUATION SERVICES FOR THE HEALTHY START PROGRAM. ITEM 48, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE CLOSURE OF AN UNNAMED ALLEY EAST SOUTH OF PRESTON STREET BETWEEN 432 EAST JEFFERSON STREET AND 323 SOUTH PRESTON STREET CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY .013 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. ITEM NUMBER 49, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 16907, 16907R, 16909 AND 17401 AIKEN ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 254.31 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. ITEM 50, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7312 RIVER ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 3.74 ACRES

AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. ITEM NUMBER 51, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 75071/2 AND 7509 MOUNT WASHINGTON ROAD AND PARCEL I.D. NO. 008601810000 CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 23.5 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. ITEM 52, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 131.02 AND 131.03 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES ("LMCO") RELATING TO THE RELOCATION OF PERSONS WHO ARE HOMELESS FROM CAMPS. ITEM 53, AN ORDINANCE TEMPORARILY AMENDING THE PROVISIONS OF THE CHAPTER 113 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATING TO THE EXTENDED HOUR SUPPLEMENTAL LICENSES FOR THE SALE OF DISTILLED SPIRITS, WINE AND MALT BEVERAGES.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. NEXT WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS. ANY OF OUR COUNCILMEMBERS WANT TO MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT? COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT, DID I SEE YOU WAVING YOUR HAND, SIR. OKAY. I HAVE AN ANNOUNCEMENT. THIS SATURDAY IS THE OLD LOUISVILLE CRAFT BEER FESTIVAL IN CENTRAL PARK. I INVITE EVERYBODY TO COME OUT. THIS SUNDAY IS JAZZ IN CENTRAL PARK AT 5:00 P.M. I INVITE EVERYBODY TO COME OUT TO THAT AND I HOPE EVERYBODY HAS A GREAT WEEKEND. OUR NEXT COUNCIL MEETING IS THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9TH, 2021 AT 6:00 P.M. WITH THAT, NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE STAND ADJOURNED.