

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING STUDY

**PROPOSED APARTMENTS
10410 OLD PRESTON HIGHWAY
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY**

ASHER PROJECT NO. 21-077

Prepared For:

**Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com**

Prepared By:

**Asher Engineering, Inc.
1021 South Floyd Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40203**

July 13, 2021

Asher Engineering, Inc.

Environmental & Engineering Consulting

July 13, 2021

Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Study
Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky

Dear Brent,

Asher Engineering has completed a Geotechnical Engineering Study for the referenced project. This report contains the findings of our subsurface exploration, geotechnical recommendations to aid design of foundations and floor slabs, and construction recommendations with regard to site work, fill placement, and foundation installation and inspection.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If we can be of further assistance, or if you have any questions regarding this report, please contact our office.

Sincerely,



Richard A. Linker, P. E.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION	1
2.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION.....	1
3.0 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS	2
3.1 Site Development.....	2
3.2 Shallow Foundations and Floor Slabs.....	2
3.3 Pavement.....	3
4.0 CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS	4
4.1 Subgrade Preparation	4
4.2 Engineered Fill.....	4
4.3 Foundation Excavations.....	4

1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

The project site is located at 10410 Old Preston Highway, in Louisville, Ky.

The site is an open grass-covered flat rectangular shaped tract with scattered mature trees.

A review of historical aerial photographs (Appendix) revealed that the property is previously undeveloped land with some large tilled gardens but no full crop coverage. .

Proposed for construction are 3-story, slab on grade apartment buildings. Asphalt paved parking and access drives will be provided throughout.

2.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

The subsurface conditions were explored by conducting 9 test pits across the site. The test pits were consistent with about 12 in. topsoil layer underlain by moist, firm, brown silty clay soil down to limestone bedrock. A summary of each test pit is included in the Appendix. The depth to refusal at each test pit is summarized below.

Test Pit	Depth to Refusal, Ft.
1	1.5
2	2.6
3	8 ft. no rock encountered
4	7
5	6.3
6	5.5
7	4.0
8	6.9
9	7.0

No water was noted in the test pits . The limestone bedrock can weather resulting in an irregular surface and elevation differenced over short horizontal distances.

3.0 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

The following design and construction recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described project characteristics and subsurface conditions.

3.1 Site Development

We recommend an 8 to 12 in. stripping depth be used. Thicker topsoil/rich soil will be encountered in areas that were once tilled gardens, while other areas will have a less thick topsoil layer.

The silty clay soils on site are suitable for support of the new bldgs and roads, and are suitable for use as structural fill.

It is likely that Limestone bedrock will be encountered in utility trenches and bldg pad / footing excavations. The limestone cannot be ripped with an excavator, and will have to be blasted or hoe rammed.

3.2 Shallow Foundations and Floor Slabs

Footings bearing on firm natural soil, or engineered fill placed over firm soil may be proportioned using a net allowable bearing capacity of 3400 psf. Footings can bear on firm natural soil or bedrock. A Site Classification B should be used for seismic design. Wall footings should be at least 16 in. wide and column footings should be at least 24 in. wide to provide an adequate factor of safety for bearing capacity. All exterior footings and footings in unheated areas must bear at least 24 in. below final exterior grade for frost protection. Interior footings can bear at nominal depths below the floor.

Footing excavations that extend to bedrock do not have to be over-excavated to provide a 'cushion' of soil or crushed stone between the bottom of footing and bedrock. Footings can be poured directly on bedrock even if footing elevations in other portions of the bldg encounter clay soil. However, rock will have to be removed such that the entire footing design thickness and footing design depth can be provided.

The building subgrades should be inspected and approved by the geotechnical engineer prior to the placement of grade raise fill or the stone subbase. The slab should be supported on a 4-in. layer of KY Dense Graded Aggregate (DGA) compacted to 98 percent of the standard Proctor (ASTM D-698).

3.4 Below Grade Walls

Below grade walls should be designed to provide drainage to relieve hydrostatic pressure. A clean, free draining granular fill (KY No. 57 stone) should be used to backfill against below grade walls. The backfill zone should be drained using a perforated pipe at the base of the wall. An Equivalent Hydrostatic Pressure (EHP) of 50 pcf may be used to design below grade walls. A unit weight of 130 pcf should be used for the granular backfill.

3.5 Pavements

New pavement areas should be inspected by the geotechnical engineer to determine the suitability of the subgrade and to provide recommendations for stabilization if necessary. Assuming proper subgrade preparation, a California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 5 is recommended. This value applies for both undisturbed soil and the stone subbase that is stable under a proofroll, and for soil that is recompacted to at least 95 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density.

The following asphalt pavement section is recommended for areas that will be limited to automobiles and light trucks:

<i>Automobile and Light Truck Areas</i>	1.0 in. asphalt concrete surface
	2.0 in. asphalt concrete base
	4.0 in. KY DGA limestone
	4.0 in. 4-Minus or Surge limestone

Areas that may experience heavier loading conditions should be provided with the following pavement section.

<i>Heavy Truck Areas</i>	1.0 in. asphalt concrete surface
	3.0 in. asphalt concrete base
	4.0 in. KY DGA
	6.0 in 4-Minus or Surge limestone

4.0 CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

Variations in subsurface conditions should be expected during construction. It is therefore recommended that the geotechnical engineer be retained by the Owner to review the soils-related phases of the project and to correlate the test data with the soil conditions that are encountered during construction.

4.1 Subgrade Preparation

Prior to construction or the placement of new engineered fill or stone subbase, the exposed subgrade should be evaluated by the project geotechnical engineer. The existing subgrade should be carefully inspected by proofrolling with a loaded dump truck prior to the placement of fill to identify soft areas. Any soft areas identified by the proofroll would be undercut and stabilized with crushed stone. The contractor should exercise discretion when selecting equipment sizes and also control surface water while the subgrade soils are exposed. The severity of this potential problem depends to a great extent on the weather conditions during construction.

4.2 Engineered Fill

Engineered fill should be placed on a prepared subgrade that has been inspected and approved by the project geotechnical engineer. The inspection would include proofrolling of the exposed subgrade with a loaded pan or other suitable rubber-tired piece of equipment. If unsuitable material is disclosed, an appropriate remedial measure would be recommended by the geotechnical engineer at that time. Engineered fill placement and compaction operations should be monitored by the geotechnical engineer or his representative. Field density tests should be performed on each lift as necessary to insure that the specified compaction is being achieved. Soil fill placed in the proposed building area should be compacted to at least 98 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-698). Fill placed in the paved areas should be compacted to 95 percent, and fill placed in green areas to 90 percent.

4.3 Foundation Excavations

All foundation excavations should be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer or his representative to insure adequate foundation support. All concrete for foundations should be poured the same day the excavation is made.

Appendix

Historical Aerial Photographs

Summary of Test Pits

Test Pit		Summary of Test Pits	
1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32
33	34	35	36
37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52
53	54	55	56
57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64
65	66	67	68
69	70	71	72
73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84
85	86	87	88
89	90	91	92
93	94	95	96
97	98	99	100



High Gates

**Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky**

**Asher Engineering, Inc.
Project No.: 21-077
Photo Date June 2020**



High Gates

**Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky**

**Asher Engineering, Inc.
Project No.: 21-077
Photo Date March 1993**

**10410 Old Preston Hwy.
High Gates
21-077**

Test Pit #1

0" to 7" Topsoil with roots
7" to 18" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty
18" Limestone; shelf rock; Possible Karst Activity Near-By
Refusal at 1 ft. 6 in.

Test Pit #2

0" to 4" Topsoil with heavy roots
4" to 31" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty with trace rock fragments and roots
31" Limestone; Surface Rock Outcrop
Refusal at 2 ft. 7 in.

Test Pit #3

0" to 10" Topsoil with roots to 18"
10" to 36" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty
36" to 96" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown
Terminated at 8 ft.

Test Pit #4

0" to 14" Topsoil with heavy roots
14" to 36" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty
36" to 84" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown with gray
84" Limestone
Refusal at 7 ft.

Test Pit #5

0" to 4" Topsoil (minimal)
4" to 36" Silty Clay; very moist; firm; brown; very silty
36" to 76" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown
76" Limestone; Karst Activity Near-By
Refusal at 6 ft. 4 in.

Test Pit #6

0" to 12" Topsoil with roots
12" to 36" Silty Clay; very moist; firm; brown; very silty
36" to 66" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown with gray; trace limestone floater at 4 ft.
66" Limestone
Refusal at 5 ft. 6 in.

Test Pit #7

0" to 10" Topsoil with roots
10" to 24" Silty Clay; very moist; firm; brown; silty
24" to 48" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown with gray
48" Limestone

Refusal at 4 ft.

Test Pit #8

0" to 10" Topsoil with roots
10" to 30" Silty Clay; dry/moist; firm; tan brown; silty with trace roots
30" to 82" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown
82" Limestone

Refusal at 6 ft. 10 in.

Test Pit #9

0" to 12" Topsoil with roots
12" to 84" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown; silty
Terminated at 7 ft.

Asher Engineering, Inc.

Environmental & Engineering Consulting

January 15, 2022

Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com

Re: Proposed Old Preston Highway Apartments
10410 and 10414 Old Preston Hwy

On January 15, 2022 Asher Engineering visited the referenced site to interview the residents and inspect the backyards of seven neighboring properties for possible karst activity and sinkholes.

The properties visited were: 4600, 4602, 4604, and 4606 Walden Dr., and 10310, 10400, 10412 Old Preston Highway. We spoke with residents at 4600, 4604, and 4606 Walden Drive. No one was available for interview at the other properties at the time of our site visit.


Inspection of the depressed areas at the neighboring properties confirmed these areas are indeed sinkholes. We noted that the sinkholes at the backyards at 4602, 4604, and 4606 Walden Drive, and the sinkholes at 10310 and 10400 Old Preston Highway extend into the subject site. We also noted a sinkhole on the subject site just south of 4602 Walden Drive.

Sinkholes are formed by the dissolution of the underlying limestone bedrock. Depressions in the ground surface can develop when bedrock surfaces dissolve over years of water migrating thru the area. The dissolved rock may leave a void space, or the void may be replaced by soft redeposited soil. Over time, the weight of the soil subsides over the void or soft soil, leaving a visible depression in the ground surface. A review of geographic maps revealed that the site is underlain by the Louisville Limestone formation. This formation is susceptible to karst activity and sinkhole formation.


The subject property is suitable for development with the apartment buildings and pavement areas, provided the site is inspected by a geotechnical engineer during the sitework, bldg, and road construction. This inspection would include a visual observation of the soil subgrade after the site has been stripped of grass and topsoil. A proofroll with a loaded dump truck would be conducted to identify any soft areas in the soil subgrade. Test pits with a backhoe or excavator may also be conducted to inspect any soft subgrade areas identified by the visual inspection and proofroll. If depressed areas and/or sinkholes are identified at the time of the construction inspection, recommendations for stabilizing the sinkholes would be provided on site. While there is some variation in the methods to stabilize sinkholes, the repair would generally be as follows: The sinkhole area would be cleaned of all soft soil down to bedrock. The excavation would be draped with a nonwoven geotextile fabric in the bottom and sides, and the area backfilled with crushed limestone. The geotextile fabric would then be placed over the crushed limestone, and the area backfilled with compacted soil up to finish grade. Any sinkholes that extend over property lines must be repaired on both properties to insure complete stabilization of the sinkhole area.

The Highgates Group has retained Asher Engineering to provide Construction Testing and Inspection during the sitework and building construction for the project. In addition to our normal inspections, we will include monitoring of the adjacent properties to confirm that the construction activities are not impacting the neighboring sites.

Sincerely,



Richard A. Linker, P.E.





The Highgates Group

**Old Preston Highway Apartments
10410 and 10414 Old Preston Hwy
Louisville, KY**

**Asher Engineering, Inc.
Project No.: 21-147
Photo Date February 2018**

December 22, 2021
Revised January 12, 2022

Traffic Impact Study

Apartment
Old Preston Highway (KY 6304)
Louisville, KY

Prepared for
Louisville Metro Planning Commission
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet



final report

Level of Service
remains unchanged
after build

Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service

Approach	A.M.			P.M.		
	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build
Old Preston at Maple Spring Drive						
Maple Spring Drive Westbound	A 8.7	A 8.7	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 9.1
Old Preston Southbound	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3
Old Preston at Entrance						
Entrance Eastbound			A 8.8			A 8.9
Old Preston Northbound (left)			A 7.3			A 7.4
Preston Highway at Cooper Chapel Road	C 29.6	C 29.2	C 29.6	D 43.6	D 38.6	D 36.6
Commerce Crossings Eastbound	E 59.2	E 56.0	E 56.4	E 77.6	E 77.5	E 77.5
Cooper Chapel Road Westbound	D 43.1	D 41.2	D 42.3	E 60.3	E 60.3	E 60.5
Preston Highway Northbound	C 25.6	C 25.3	C 25.4	D 52.7	C 25.6	C 25.9
Preston Highway Southbound	C 25.5	C 25.4	C 25.5	C 29.1	C 29.4	C 29.2
Preston Highway at Interchange Drive	A 7.4	C 25.8	C 28.7	B 19.3	D 39.5	D 40.4
Interchange Drive Eastbound	E 72.6	E 74.8	E 74.1	E 75.0	E 74.5	E 75.4
Entrance Westbound	F 87.9	F 68.2	E 68.2	F 84.8	E 79.1	E 79.1
Preston Highway Northbound	A 4.1	B 17.5	C 21.2	A 8.8	C 30.1	C 30.6
Preston Highway Southbound	A 5.9	C 22.6	C 23.8	B 19.2	D 36.4	D 37.4

Key: Level of Service, Delay in seconds per vehicle




Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes




Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes

Commerce Crossing Intersection AM



Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:38am
As light turns green

Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:38am
Green light



Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:38am
Red light

Commerce Crossing Intersection PM




Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-5:10 pm
Just turned green


Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-5:11 pm
Just turned red



AM traffic to Commerce Crossings
Drive from Preston Highway



PM traffic to Preston Highway from
Commerce Crossings Drive






Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes

Interchange Intersection AM



Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:45 am
Green light


Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:45am
Red light



Interchange Intersection PM



Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022- 4:39 pm
Red light



Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022- 4:40 pm
Green light

Louisville Metro Planning Commission Public Hearing – February 3, 2022

Louisville Metro Land Development & Transportation Committee – January 13, 2022,
Continued from December 9, 2021
Virtual Neighborhood Meeting – July 15, 2021

DOCKET NO. 21-ZONE-0104


ZONE CHANGE FROM R-4 TO R-7 TO ALLOW A 174-UNIT
MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT
10410 & 10414 OLD PRESTON HIGHWAY




Attorneys: Bardenwerper Talbott & Roberts, PLLC
Land Planners, Landscape Architects, & Engineers: Mindel Scott & Associates, Inc.


INDEX

1. LOJIC Zoning Map
2. Aerial photographs of the site and surrounding area
3. Ground level photographs of the site and surrounding area
4. Development Plan and Geotechnical/Karst report
5. Building elevations
6. Traffic Study
7. Statement of Compliance filed with the original zone change application with all applicable Goals of the 2040 Plan
8. Proposed findings of fact pertaining to compliance with the 2040 Plan





TAB I LOJIC ZONING MAP






**TAB 2
AERIAL
PHOTOGRAPH OF
THE SITE AND
SURROUNDING
AREA**







TAB 3 GROUND LEVEL PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA

Maple Spring Drive Intersection



Looking south down Preston Hwy at Maple Spring Drive access to Old Preston Hwy.



Looking south from Maple Spring Drive down Old Preston Hwy.


**TAB 4
DEVELOPMENT PLAN
AND
GEOTECHNICAL /
KARST REPORT**

Page 1 of 1

Page 2 of 2

Page 3 of 3

Section 1		Section 2		Section 3	
1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36
37	38	39	40	41	42
43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54
55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66
67	68	69	70	71	72
73	74	75	76	77	78
79	80	81	82	83	84
85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96
97	98	99	100	101	102
103	104	105	106	107	108
109	110	111	112	113	114
115	116	117	118	119	120
121	122	123	124	125	126
127	128	129	130	131	132
133	134	135	136	137	138
139	140	141	142	143	144
145	146	147	148	149	150
151	152	153	154	155	156
157	158	159	160	161	162
163	164	165	166	167	168
169	170	171	172	173	174
175	176	177	178	179	180
181	182	183	184	185	186
187	188	189	190	191	192
193	194	195	196	197	198
199	200	201	202	203	204
205	206	207	208	209	210
211	212	213	214	215	216
217	218	219	220	221	222
223	224	225	226	227	228
229	230	231	232	233	234
235	236	237	238	239	240
241	242	243	244	245	246
247	248	249	250	251	252
253	254	255	256	257	258
259	260	261	262	263	264
265	266	267	268	269	270
271	272	273	274	275	276
277	278	279	280	281	282
283	284	285	286	287	288
289	290	291	292	293	294
295	296	297	298	299	300
301	302	303	304	305	306
307	308	309	310	311	312
313	314	315	316	317	318
319	320	321	322	323	324
325	326	327	328	329	330
331	332	333	334	335	336
337	338	339	340	341	342
343	344	345	346	347	348
349	350	351	352	353	354
355	356	357	358	359	360
361	362	363	364	365	366
367	368	369	370	371	372
373	374	375	376	377	378
379	380	381	382	383	384
385	386	387	388	389	390
391	392	393	394	395	396
397	398	399	400	401	402
403	404	405	406	407	408
409	410	411	412	413	414
415	416	417	418	419	420
421	422	423	424	425	426
427	428	429	430	431	432
433	434	435	436	437	438
439	440	441	442	443	444
445	446	447	448	449	450
451	452	453	454	455	456
457	458	459	460	461	462
463	464	465	466	467	468
469	470	471	472	473	474
475	476	477	478	479	480
481	482	483	484	485	486
487	488	489	490	491	492
493	494	495	496	497	498
499	500	501	502	503	504
505	506	507	508	509	510
511	512	513	514	515	516
517	518	519	520	521	522
523	524	525	526	527	528
529	530	531	532	533	534
535	536	537	538	539	540
541	542	543	544	545	546
547	548	549	550	551	552
553	554	555	556	557	558
559	560	561	562	563	564
565	566	567	568	569	570
571	572	573	574	575	576
577	578	579	580	581	582
583	584	585	586	587	588
589	590	591	592	593	594
595	596	597	598	599	600
601	602	603	604	605	606
607	608	609	610	611	612
613	614	615	616	617	618
619	620	621	622	623	624
625	626	627	628	629	630
631	632	633	634	635	636
637	638	639	640	641	642
643	644	645	646	647	648
649	650	651	652	653	654
655	656	657	658	659	660
661	662	663	664	665	666
667	668	669	670	671	672
673	674	675	676	677	678
679	680	681	682	683	684
685	686	687	688	689	690
691	692	693	694	695	696
697	698	699	700	701	702
703	704	705	706	707	708
709	710	711	712	713	714
715	716	717	718	719	720
721	722	723	724	725	726
727	728	729	730	731	732
733	734	735	736	737	738
739	740	741	742	743	744
745	746	747	748	749	750
751	752	753	754	755	756
757	758	759	760	761	762
763	764	765	766	767	768
769	770	771	772	773	774
775	776	777	778	779	780
781	782	783	784	785	786
787	788	789	790	791	792
793	794	795	796	797	798
799	800	801	802	803	804
805	806	807	808	809	810
811	812	813	814	815	816
817	818	819	820	821	822
823	824	825	826	827	828
829	830	831	832	833	834
835	836	837	838	839	840
841	842	843	844	845	846
847	848	849	850	851	852
853	854	855	856	857	858
859	860	861	862	863	864
865	866	867	868	869	870
871	872	873	874	875	876
877	878	879	880	881	882
883	884	885	886	887	888
889	890	891	892	893	894
895	896	897	898	899	900
901	902	903	904	905	906
907	908	909	910	911	912
913	914	915	916	917	918
919	920	921	922	923	924
925	926	927	928	929	930
931	932	933	934	935	936
937	938	939	940	941	942
943	944	945	946	947	948
949	950	951	952	953	954
955	956	957	958	959	960
961	962	963	964	965	966
967	968	969	970	971	972
973	974	975	976	977	978
979	980	981	982	983	984
985	986	987	988	989	990
991	992	993	994	995	996
997	998	999	1000	1001	1002
1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008
1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014
1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020
1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026
1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032
1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038
1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044
1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050
1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056
1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062
1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068
1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074
1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080
1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086
1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092
1093	1094	1095	1096	1097	1098
1099	1100	1101	1102	1103	1104
1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	1110
1111	1112	1113	1114	1115	1116
1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122
1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128
1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134
1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140
1141	1142	1143	1144	1145	1146
1147	1148	1149	1150	1151	1152
1153	1154	1155	1156	1157	1158
1159	1160	1161	1162	1163	1164
1165	1166	1167	1168	1169	1170
1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176
1177	1178	1179	1180	1181	1182
1183	1184	1185	1186	1187	1188
1189	1190	1191	1192	1193	1194
1195	1196	1197	1198	1199	1200
1201	1202	1203	1204	1205	1206
1207	1208	1209	1210	1211	1212
1213	1214	1215	1216	1217	1218
1219	1220	1221	1222	1223	1224
1225	1226	1227	1228	1229	1230
1231	1232	1233	1234	1235	1236
1237	1238	1239	1240	1241	1242
1243	1244	1245	1246	1247	1248
1249	1250	1251	1252	1253	1254
1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260
1261	1262	1263	1264	1265	1266
1267	1268	1269	1270	1271	1272
1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278
1279	1280	1281	1282	1283	1284
1285	1286	1287	1288	1289	1290
1291	1292	1293	1294	1295	1296
1297	1298	1299	1300	1301	1302
1303	1304	1305	1306	1307	1308
1309	1310	1311	1312	1313	1314
1315	1316	1317	1318	1319	1320
1321	1322	1323	1324	1325	1326
1327	1328	1329	1330	1331	1332
1333	1334	1335	1336	1337	1338
1339	1340	1341	1342	1343	1344
1345	1346	1347	1348	1349	1350
1351	1352	1353	1354	1355	1356
1357	1358	1359	1360	1361	1362
1363	1364	1365	1366	1367	1368
1369	1370	1371	1372	13	







Adjacent properties south and north of site



Commerce Crossing Intersection







Looking north down Old Preston Hwy heading towards site. Okolona Fire Department to the left.

Commerce Crossing Intersection Looking South

Google


Street View - Jul 2021



Preston Highway

Old Preston Highway

Commerce Crossings Drive




Google

Interchange Drive Intersection



Looking north at entrance to Old Preston Hwy. from Preston Hwy and Interchange Drive.



Looking north down Old Preston Hwy Interchange Drive.

Interchange Drive Intersection



Looking north at entrance to Old Preston Hwy. from Preston Hwy and Interchange Drive.



Looking north down Old Preston Hwy Interchange Drive.




Looking north down Old Preston Hwy heading towards site. Okolona Fire Department to the left.

Commerce Crossing Intersection Looking South


Google

Street View - Jul 2021



Google

Commerce Crossing Intersection







Adjacent properties south and north of site



View of existing houses on site to be removed.



**TAB 4
DEVELOPMENT PLAN
AND
GEOTECHNICAL /
KARST REPORT**





Karst and Geotechnical Engineering Study

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING STUDY

PROPOSED APARTMENTS
10410 OLD PRESTON HIGHWAY
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

ASHER PROJECT NO. 21-077

Prepared For:

Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com

Prepared By:

Asher Engineering, Inc.
1021 South Floyd Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40203

July 13, 2021

January 15, 2022 Karst Update

Asher Engineering, Inc. *Environmental & Engineering Consulting*

January 15, 2022

Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com

Re: Proposed Old Preston Highway Apartments
10410 and 10414 Old Preston Hwy

On January 15, 2022 Asher Engineering visited the referenced site to interview the residents and inspect the backyards of seven neighboring properties for possible karst activity and sinkholes. The properties visited were: 4600, 4602, 4604, and 4606 Walden Dr., and 10310, 10400, 10412 Old Preston Highway. We spoke with residents at 4600, 4604, and 4606 Walden Drive. No one was available for interview at the other properties at the time of our site visit.


Inspection of the depressed areas at the neighboring properties confirmed these areas are indeed sinkholes. We noted that the sinkholes at the backyards at 4602, 4604, and 4606 Walden Drive, and the sinkholes at 10310 and 10400 Old Preston Highway extend into the subject site. We also noted a sinkhole on the subject site just south of 4602 Walden Drive.

Sinkholes are formed by the dissolution of the underlying limestone bedrock. Depressions in the ground surface can develop when bedrock surfaces dissolve over years of water migrating thru the area. The dissolved rock may leave a void space, or the void may be replaced by soft redeposited soil. Over time, the weight of the soil subsides over the void or soft soil, leaving a visible depression in the ground surface. A review of geographic maps revealed that the site is underlain by the Louisville Limestone formation. This formation is susceptible to karst activity and sinkhole formation.


The subject property is suitable for development with the apartment buildings and pavement areas, provided the site is inspected by a geotechnical engineer during the sitework, bldg. and road construction. This inspection would include a visual observation of the soil subgrade after the site has been stripped of grass and topsoil. A proofroll with a loaded dump truck would be conducted to identify any soft areas in the soil subgrade. Test pits with a backhoe or excavator may also be conducted to inspect any soft subgrade areas identified by the visual inspection and proofroll. If depressed areas and/or sinkholes are identified at the time of the construction inspection, recommendations for stabilizing the sinkholes would be provided on site. While there is some variation in the methods to stabilize sinkholes, the repair would generally be as follows: The sinkhole area would be cleaned of all soft soil down to bedrock. The excavation would be draped with a nonwoven geotextile fabric in the bottom and sides, and the area backfilled with crushed limestone. The geotextile fabric would then be placed over the crushed limestone, and the area backfilled with compacted soil up to finish grade. Any sinkholes that extend over property lines must be repaired on both properties to insure complete stabilization of the sinkhole area.

The Highgates Group has retained Asher Engineering to provide Construction Testing and Inspection during the sitework and building construction for the project. In addition to our normal inspections, we will include monitoring of the adjacent properties to confirm that the construction activities are not impacting the neighboring sites.

Sincerely,



Richard A. Linker, P.E.



Access provided to the Hassler property



Okolona Fire Dept approving
the access provided to the
Hassler property



OKOLONA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

Mark S. Little, Fire Chief
8501 Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky 40219
Phone (502) 964-5111 Fax (502) 966-8388
www.okolonafire.org

To: Allison Hicks -Mindel Scott


From: Major Frankie Nalley

Date: 1/21/2022

RE: 10410 and 10414 Old Preston Hwy

After meeting at the site today and seeing the proposed site plan for the apartments going in at 10410 and 10414 Old Preston Hwy. The Okolona Fire Department is good with the plans showing the access for 10412 Old Preston Hwy property connecting into the apartment complex. No separate access is needed for the address of 10412 Preston Hwy.

Fire Marshal
Major Frankie Nalley



TAB 5 BUILDING ELEVATIONS



I bdrm - front elevation

ALUM CLIPING
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
FIBER CEMENT PANELS
ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
PREPARED METAL GUTTER


LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"

BRICK VENEER TAN
BRICK VENEER GREY
BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING



I bdrm - back elevation

ALUM CLIPING
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
FIBER CEMENT PANELS
ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
PREPARED METAL GUTTER


LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"

MODULAR STONE
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING
ALUMINUM CLIPING



I bdrm - side elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING


LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"

VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
JOINT BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER TAN
BRICK VENEER GREY



I bdrm - side elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING


LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"

ALUMINUM CLIPING
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER TAN



Type B - south elevation


VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
FIBER CELESTY PANELS
BRICK SOLDIER HEAD
PREFINISHED METAL GUTTER
BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING

LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"



Type B - north elevation


VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
FIBER CELESTY PANELS
BRICK SOLDIER HEAD
PREFINISHED METAL GUTTER
BOARD AND BATTEN SIDING
ALUMINUM GUARDRAIL
FIBERGLASS COLUMN

LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"



Type B - west elevation


ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
EPDM ROOFING
PREFINISHED METAL GUTTER

LEVEL 4
130' - 0"

LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"

LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"



Type B - east elevation


ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
EPDM ROOFING
PREFINISHED METAL GUTTER

LEVEL 4
130' - 0"


LEVEL 3
121' - 5"

LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"


LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"



2 bdrm - front elevation




2 bdrm - back elevation



2 bdrm - east elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
VINYL LAP SIDING
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
VINYL LAP SIDING
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
ALUMINUM GLAZING
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER TAN
LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
BRICK VENEER TAN
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
07' - 0"




2 bdrm - west elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
VINYL LAP SIDING ACCENT
COLOR
FIBER CEMENT PANELS
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
110' - 8 1/2"
LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
BRICK VENEER TAN
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
07' - 0"


ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
VINYL LAP SIDING ACCENT
COLOR
FIBER CEMENT PANELS
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
110' - 8 1/2"
LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
BRICK VENEER TAN
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
07' - 0"

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
FIBER CEMENT PANELS
PREPARED METAL GUTTER
VINYL LAP SIDING ACCENT
COLOR
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
BRICK VENEER TAN
VINYL LAP SIDING WHITE
LEVEL 2
110' - 8 1/2"
BRICK ROWLOCK
BRICK VENEER TAN
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
07' - 0"




Type D - front elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
EXPOSED ROOFING
PREPARED METAL CLUTTER
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
ALUMINUM GUARDRAIL
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
LEVEL 2
110' - 6 1/2"
BRICK VENEER - TAN
BRICK VENEER - GREY
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"




Type D - back elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
EXPOSED ROOFING
PREPARED METAL CLUTTER
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
ALUMINUM GUARDRAIL
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
ACCENT
LEVEL 2
110' - 6 1/2"
BRICK VENEER - TAN
BRICK VENEER - GREY
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"




Type D - right elevation

ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
EXPOSED ROOFING
PREPARED METAL CLUTTER
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
ALUMINUM GUARDRAIL
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER - TAN
BRICK VENEER - GREY
LEVEL 2
110' - 6 1/2"
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER - TAN
BRICK VENEER - GREY
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"




Type D - left elevation


ASPHALT SHINGLE ROOF
EXPOSED ROOFING
PREPARED METAL CLUTTER
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
LEVEL 4
130' - 0"
ALUMINUM GUARDRAIL
FIBERGLASS COLUMN
LEVEL 3
121' - 0"
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER - TAN
VINYL LAP SIDING - WHITE
LEVEL 2
110' - 6 1/2"
VINYL BATTEN SIDING
ACCENT
BRICK VENEER - TAN
BRICK VENEER - GREY
LEVEL 1
100' - 0"
T.O. FINISH
97' - 0"




3 bdrm - front elevation




3 bdrm - back elevation



3 bdrm - right elevation



3 bdrm - left elevation




Clubhouse elevation



Clubhouse side 1 elevation



Clubhouse side 2 elevation



TAB 6 TRAFFIC STUDY

final report


December 22, 2021
Revised January 12, 2022

Traffic Impact Study

Apartments
Old Preston Highway (KY 6304)
Louisville, KY

Prepared for

Louisville Metro Planning Commission
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet



Level of Service
remains unchanged
after build

Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service

Approach	A.M.			P.M.		
	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build
Old Preston at Maple Spring Drive						
Maple Spring Drive Westbound	A 8.7	A 8.7	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 9.1
Old Preston Southbound	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3
Old Preston at Entrance						
Entrance Eastbound			A 8.8			A 8.9
Old Preston Northbound (left)			A 7.3			A 7.4
Preston Highway at Cooper Chapel Road	C 29.6	C 29.2	C 29.5	D 43.6	D 36.6	D 36.6
Commerce Crossings Eastbound	E 59.2	E 56.0	E 56.4	E 77.6	E 77.5	E 77.5
Cooper Chapel Road Westbound	D 43.1	D 41.2	D 42.3	E 60.3	E 60.3	E 60.5
Preston Highway Northbound	C 25.6	C 25.3	C 25.4	D 52.7	C 25.6	C 25.9
Preston Highway Southbound	C 25.5	C 25.4	C 25.5	C 29.1	C 29.4	C 29.2
Preston Highway at Interchange Drive	A 7.4	C 25.8	C 28.7	B 19.3	D 39.5	D 40.4
Interchange Drive Eastbound	E 72.6	E 74.8	E 74.1	E 75.0	E 74.5	E 75.4
Entrance Westbound	F 87.9	F 68.2	F 68.2	F 84.8	E 79.1	E 79.1
Preston Highway Northbound	A 4.1	B 17.5	C 21.2	A 8.8	C 30.1	C 30.6
Preston Highway Southbound	A 5.9	C 22.6	C 23.8	B 19.2	D 36.4	D 37.4

Key: Level of Service, Delay in seconds per vehicle




Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes





Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes

Commerce Crossing Intersection AM



Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:38am
As light turns green

Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:38am
Green light



Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:38am
Red light

Commerce Crossing Intersection PM




Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-5:10 pm
Just turned green

Commerce & Old Preston
1/11/2022-5:11 pm
Just turned red



AM traffic to Commerce Crossings
Drive from Preston Highway



PM traffic to Preston Highway from
Commerce Crossings Drive






Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes

Interchange Intersection AM



Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:45 am
Green light

Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022-7:45am
Red light




Interchange Intersection PM



Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022- 4:39 pm
Red light

Interchange & Old Preston
1/11/2022- 4:40 pm
Green light





**TAB 7
STATEMENT OF
COMPLIANCE FILED WITH
THE ORIGINAL ZONE
CHANGE APPLICATION
WITH ALL APPLICABLE
GOALS OF THE 2040 PLAN**

final report


December 22, 2021
Revised January 12, 2022

Traffic Impact Study

Apartments
Old Preston Highway (KY 6304)
Louisville, KY

Prepared for

Louisville Metro Planning Commission
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet



DIANE B. ZIMMERMAN
Traffic Engineering, LLC

2643 High Meadows Pike
Lexington, KY 40502
606.645.1535
dzimmerman@tati.net




Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION 2

 Figure 1. Site Map..... 2

EXISTING CONDITIONS 2

 Figure 2. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston 3

 Figure 3. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway..... 3

FUTURE CONDITIONS 4

 Figure 4. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston 4

 Figure 5. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway..... 5

TRIP GENERATION 5

 Table 1. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site 6

 Figure 6. Trip Distribution Percentages 6

 Figure 7. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site..... 7

 Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes 8

ANALYSIS 9

 Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service..... 9

CONCLUSIONS 10

APPENDIX 11

INTRODUCTION

The development plan for apartments on Old Preston Highway shows 174 apartment units. **Figure 1** displays a map of the site. Access to the development will be on Old Preston Highway. The purpose of this study is to examine the traffic impacts of the development upon the adjacent highway system. For this study the impact area was defined to be the Old Preston Highway and Maple Springs Drive and the proposed entrance. Additionally, Louisville Metro requested analysis of the Preston Highway intersections with Commerce Crossings Drive and Interchange Drive, as an update to the traffic impact study dated October 7, 2021.




Figure 1. Site Map

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Old Preston Highway, KY 6304, is a state-maintained road with an estimated 2021 ADT of 400 vehicles per day between Commerce Crossing Drive and Interchange Drive, as provided by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet at station 042. The road is a two-lane highway with ten-foot lanes, a one-foot shoulder (provided by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet). The speed limit is 35 mph. There are no sidewalks. The intersection with Maple Spring Drive is controlled with a stop sign on Maple Spring Drive.

Peak hour traffic count for the intersection was obtained on Tuesday, August 24, 2021. The a.m. peak hour occurred between 7:15 and 8:15 and the p.m. occurred between 4:45 and 5:45. **Figure 2** illustrates the existing a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes. The Preston Highway counts were made April 13, 2021. **Figure 3** illustrates the Preston Highway peak hour volumes. The Appendix contains the full count data.




Figure 2. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston




Figure 3. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway

FUTURE CONDITIONS

The project completion date is 2024. An annual growth rate of 1.0 percent was applied to the 2021 volumes on Old Preston Highway. Figure 4 displays the 2024 No Build peak hour volumes. For Preston Highway one half percent annual growth in traffic was added to the 2021 volumes as well as the trip generation from the proposed Thornton’s and Chick Fil A. The no build volumes in Figure 5 are the build volumes from the previously mention October 7, 2021 traffic impact study, plus the growth rate to arrive at 2024 from 2022.




Figure 4. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston




Figure 5. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway

TRIP GENERATION

The Institute of Transportation Engineers [Trip Generation Manual](#), 11th Edition contains trip generation rates for a wide range of developments. The land use of “Multi-family (Low-Rise) (220)” was reviewed and determined to be the best match. The trip generation results are listed in **Table 1**. The trips were assigned to the highway network with the percentages shown in **Figure 6**. **Figure 7** shows the trips generated by this development and distributed throughout the road network during the peak hours. **Figures 8 and 9** display the individual turning movements for the peak hours when the development is completed. Traffic to the north have been assigned to use Interchange Drive for analysis of a worst-case scenario.

Table 1. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site

Land Use	A.M. Peak Hour			P.M. Peak Hour		
	Trips	In	Out	Trips	In	Out
Multi-family (Mid-Rise) 174 units	77	18	59	95	60	35




Figure 6. Trip Distribution Percentages




Figure 7. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site




Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes

ANALYSIS

The qualitative measure of operation for a roadway facility or intersection is evaluated by assigning a "Level of Service". Level of Service is a ranking scale from A through F, "A" is the best operating condition and "F" is the worst. Level of Service results depend upon the facility that is analyzed. In this case, the Level of Service is based upon the total delay experienced for lanes at stop-controlled intersections.

To evaluate the impact of the proposed development, the vehicle delays at the intersections were determined using procedures detailed in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th edition. Future delays and Level of Service were determined for the intersections using the HCS Streets (version 7.9.5) software. The delays and Level of Service are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service

Approach	A.M.			P.M.		
	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build
Old Preston at Maple Spring Drive						
Maple Spring Drive Westbound	A 8.7	A 8.7	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 9.1
Old Preston Southbound	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3
Old Preston at Entrance						
Entrance Eastbound			A 8.8			A 8.9
Old Preston Northbound (left)			A 7.3			A 7.4
Preston Highway at Cooper Chapel Road	C 29.6	C 29.2	C 29.5	D 43.6	D 36.6	D 36.6
Commerce Crossings Eastbound	E 59.2	E 56.0	E 56.4	E 77.6	E 77.5	E 77.5
Cooper Chapel Road Westbound	D 43.1	D 41.2	D 42.3	E 60.3	E 60.3	E 60.5
Preston Highway Northbound	C 25.6	C 25.3	C 25.4	D 52.7	C 25.6	C 25.9
Preston Highway Southbound	C 25.5	C 25.4	C 25.5	C 29.1	C 29.4	C 29.2
Preston Highway at Interchange Drive	A 7.4	C 25.8	C 28.7	B 19.3	D 39.5	D 40.4
Interchange Drive Eastbound	E 72.6	E 74.8	E 74.1	E 75.0	E 74.5	E 75.4
Entrance Westbound	F 87.9	F 68.2	E 68.2	F 84.8	E 79.1	E 79.1
Preston Highway Northbound	A 4.1	B 17.5	C 21.2	A 8.8	C 30.1	C 30.6
Preston Highway Southbound	A 5.9	C 22.6	C 23.8	B 19.2	D 36.4	D 37.4

Key: Level of Service, Delay in seconds per vehicle

The entrance was evaluated for turn lanes using the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Highway Design Guidance Manual dated July, 2020. The volume warrant is not met for turn lanes at the entrance.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the volume of traffic generated by the development and the amount of traffic forecasted for the year 2024 there will be a slight impact to the existing highway network. No improvements are needed to the roadway network to mitigate the impact.

APPENDIX

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Traffic Counts

Classified Turn Movement Count || All vehicles

Jefferson County, KY



Marr Traffic
DATA COLLECTION

www.marrtraffic.com

Site 1 of 1

Old Preston Hwy (South)
Old Preston Hwy (North)

Date

Tuesday, August 24, 2021

Weather

Fair
87°F

Lat/Long

38.099733°, -85.671889°

0700 - 0900 (Weekday 2h Session) (08-24-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound				Southbound			
	Old Preston Hwy (South)				Old Preston Hwy (North)			
	Thru 1.1	Right 1.2	U-Turn 1.3	App Total	Left 1.4	Thru 1.5	U-Turn 1.6	App Total
0700 - 0715	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	2
0715 - 0730	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0
0730 - 0745	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	2
0745 - 0800	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	4
Hourly Total	4	9	0	13	0	8	0	8
0800 - 0815	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0
0815 - 0830	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
0830 - 0845	1	4	0	5	0	1	0	1
0845 - 0900	2	4	0	6	1	1	0	2
Hourly Total	4	16	0	20	1	2	0	3
Grand Total	8	25	0	33	1	10	0	11
Approach %	24.24	75.76	0.00	-	9.09	90.91	0.00	-
Intersection %	15.38	48.08	0.00	63.46	1.92	19.23	0.00	21.15
PHF	0.50	0.55	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38

Westbound				
Maple Spring Dr				
Left 1.7	Right 1.8	U-Turn 1.9	App Total	Int Total
0	0	0	0	6
0	1	0	1	5
2	0	0	2	8
3	1	0	4	9
5	2	0	7	28
1	0	0	1	7
0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	6
0	0	0	0	8
1	0	0	1	24
6	2	0	8	52
75.00	25.00	0.00	-	
11.54	3.85	0.00	15.38	
0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.81

1600 - 1800 (Weekday 2h Session) (08-24-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound				Southbound			
	Old Preston Hwy (South)				Old Preston Hwy (North)			
	Thru 1.1	Right 1.2	U-Turn 1.3	App Total	Left 1.4	Thru 1.5	U-Turn 1.6	App Total
1600 - 1615	1	2	0	3	2	6	0	8
1615 - 1630	4	5	0	9	0	4	0	4
1630 - 1645	1	2	0	3	0	3	0	3
1645 - 1700	3	1	0	4	2	3	0	5
Hourly Total	9	10	0	19	4	16	0	20
1700 - 1715	1	2	0	3	1	3	0	4
1715 - 1730	3	0	0	3	2	6	0	8
1730 - 1745	5	4	0	9	0	7	0	7
1745 - 1800	6	1	0	7	0	5	0	5
Hourly Total	15	7	0	22	3	21	0	24
Grand Total	24	17	0	41	7	37	0	44
Approach %	58.54	41.46	0.00	-	15.91	84.09	0.00	-
Intersection %	16.44	11.64	0.00	28.08	4.79	25.34	0.00	30.14
PHF	0.60	0.44	0.00	0.53	0.63	0.68	0.00	0.75

Westbound				
Maple Spring Dr				
Left 1.7	Right 1.8	U-Turn 1.9	App Total	Int Total
2	7	0	9	20
3	2	0	5	18
1	4	0	5	11
8	5	0	13	22
14	18	0	32	71
5	6	0	11	18
4	4	0	8	19
4	3	0	7	23
3	0	0	3	15
16	13	0	29	75
30	31	0	61	146
49.18	50.82	0.00	-	
20.55	21.23	0.00	41.78	
0.66	0.75	0.00	0.75	0.89

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Classified Turn Movement Count || All vehicles



www.marrtraffic.com

Preston Highway, KY

Site 1 of 3

KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)
KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)
Commerce Crossings Dr
Cooper Chapel Rd

Date

Tuesday, April 13, 2021

Weather

Cloudy
61°F

Lat/Long

38.103518°, -85.672625°

0700 - 0900 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Commerce Crossings Dr					Cooper Chapel Rd					
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	
	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	Total	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	Total	1.9	1.10	1.11	1.12	Total	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	Total	Total
0700 - 0715	2	306	13	0	321	25	144	88	1	258	20	0	2	0	22	15	9	130	0	154	755
0715 - 0730	8	327	16	0	351	34	189	86	1	310	23	1	2	0	26	16	8	109	0	133	820
0730 - 0745	5	365	19	0	389	56	191	93	0	340	24	1	1	0	26	9	14	117	0	140	895
0745 - 0800	10	298	15	0	323	59	221	173	0	453	13	1	1	0	15	24	16	97	0	137	928
Hourly Total	25	1296	63	0	1384	174	745	440	2	1361	80	3	6	0	89	64	47	453	0	564	3398
0800 - 0815	5	277	15	0	297	52	191	102	0	345	25	1	1	0	27	29	11	95	0	135	804
0815 - 0830	6	259	18	0	283	54	155	67	0	276	15	1	3	0	19	21	3	82	0	106	684
0830 - 0845	4	267	26	0	297	39	187	59	0	285	15	5	2	0	22	28	3	106	0	137	741
0845 - 0900	6	253	25	0	284	44	199	51	0	294	28	3	3	0	34	38	7	84	0	129	741
Hourly Total	21	1056	84	0	1161	189	732	279	0	1200	83	10	9	0	102	116	24	367	0	507	2970
Grand Total	46	2352	147	0	2545	363	1477	719	2	2561	163	13	15	0	191	180	71	820	0	1071	6368
Approach %	1.81	92.42	5.78	0.00	-	14.17	57.67	28.07	0.08	-	85.34	6.81	7.85	0.00	-	16.81	6.63	76.56	0.00	-	-
Intersection %	0.72	36.93	2.31	0.00	39.97	5.70	23.19	11.29	0.03	40.22	2.56	0.20	0.24	0.00	3.00	2.83	1.11	12.88	0.00	16.82	-
PHF	0.70	0.87	0.86	0.00	0.87	0.85	0.90	0.66	0.25	0.80	0.85	1.00	0.63	0.00	0.87	0.67	0.77	0.89	0.00	0.97	0.93

1600 - 1800 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Commerce Crossings Dr					Cooper Chapel Rd					
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	
	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	Total	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	Total	1.9	1.10	1.11	1.12	Total	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	Total	Total
1600 - 1615	1	297	49	0	347	173	436	46	2	657	97	12	8	0	117	45	5	116	0	166	1287
1615 - 1630	3	261	55	0	319	173	411	48	1	633	60	18	10	0	88	60	4	113	0	177	1217
1630 - 1645	4	313	57	0	374	159	380	35	2	576	120	22	17	0	159	45	4	93	1	143	1252
1645 - 1700	1	297	50	1	349	186	445	26	0	657	61	11	9	0	81	54	4	78	0	136	1223
Hourly Total	9	1168	211	1	1389	691	1672	155	5	2523	338	63	44	0	445	204	17	400	1	622	4979
1700 - 1715	2	272	51	0	325	156	454	39	0	649	122	15	14	0	151	56	6	87	0	149	1274
1715 - 1730	4	296	56	0	356	206	489	10	1	706	51	13	6	0	70	54	1	100	0	155	1287
1730 - 1745	5	300	47	0	352	158	435	28	1	622	60	8	7	0	75	62	1	109	0	172	1221
1745 - 1800	2	280	58	0	340	119	401	23	0	543	36	6	4	0	46	52	3	96	0	151	1080
Hourly Total	13	1148	212	0	1373	639	1779	100	2	2520	269	42	31	0	342	224	11	392	0	627	4862
Grand Total	22	2316	423	1	2762	1330	3451	255	7	5043	607	105	75	0	787	428	28	792	1	1249	9841
Approach %	0.80	83.85	15.31	0.04	-	26.37	68.43	5.06	0.14	-	77.13	13.34	9.53	0.00	-	34.27	2.24	63.41	0.08	-	-
Intersection %	0.22	23.53	4.30	0.01	28.07	13.51	35.07	2.59	0.07	51.24	6.17	1.07	0.76	0.00	8.00	4.35	0.28	8.05	0.01	12.69	-
PHF	0.69	0.94	0.94	0.25	0.94	0.86	0.90	0.71	0.38	0.92	0.73	0.69	0.68	0.00	0.72	0.93	0.63	0.90	0.25	0.94	0.98

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Classified Turn Movement Count || All vehicles

Preston Highway, KY



Marr Traffic
DATA COLLECTION

www.marrtraffic.com

Site 2 of 3

KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)
KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)
Old Preston Hwy
Local Rd

Date

Tuesday, April 13, 2021

Weather

Cloudy
61°F

Lat/Long

38.096348°, -85.670213°

0700 - 0900 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int Total
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Old Preston Hwy					Local Rd					
	Left 2.1	Thru 2.2	Right 2.3	U-Turn 2.4	App Total	Left 2.5	Thru 2.6	Right 2.7	U-Turn 2.8	App Total	Left 2.9	Thru 2.10	Right 2.11	U-Turn 2.12	App Total	Left 2.13	Thru 2.14	Right 2.15	U-Turn 2.16	App Total	
0700 - 0715	5	314	0	0	319	0	100	52	0	152	23	0	2	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	496
0715 - 0730	0	331	0	0	331	0	147	65	0	212	22	0	2	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	567
0730 - 0745	4	398	1	0	403	0	175	33	0	208	19	0	3	0	22	1	0	0	0	1	634
0745 - 0800	4	299	1	1	305	0	199	32	0	231	14	0	7	0	21	0	0	1	0	1	558
Hourly Total	13	1342	2	1	1356	0	621	182	0	803	78	0	14	0	92	1	0	1	0	2	2255
0800 - 0815	0	287	1	1	289	0	200	22	1	223	12	0	3	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	527
0815 - 0830	2	257	0	0	259	1	167	14	0	182	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	450
0830 - 0845	0	283	0	0	283	1	198	13	0	212	20	0	3	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	518
0845 - 0900	2	279	1	0	282	0	234	16	0	250	11	0	1	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	545
Hourly Total	4	1106	2	1	1113	2	799	65	1	867	52	0	7	1	60	0	0	0	0	0	2040
Grand Total	17	2448	4	2	2471	2	1420	247	1	1670	190	0	21	1	152	1	0	1	0	2	4295
Approach %	0.69	99.07	0.16	0.08	-	0.12	85.03	14.79	0.06	-	85.53	0.00	13.82	0.66	-	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	-	-
Intersection %	0.40	57.00	0.09	0.05	57.53	0.05	33.06	5.75	0.02	38.88	3.03	0.00	0.49	0.02	3.54	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.05	-
PHF	0.50	0.83	0.75	0.50	0.82	0.00	0.90	0.58	0.25	0.95	0.76	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.85	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.50	0.90

1600 - 1800 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Old Preston Hwy					Local Rd					
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	
TIME	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	Total	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	Total	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	Total	2.13	2.14	2.15	2.16	Total	
1600 - 1615	0	295	2	0	297	0	391	32	0	423	67	0	15	0	82	1	1	1	0	3	
1615 - 1630	6	289	2	0	297	1	467	29	0	497	36	0	16	0	52	0	0	3	0	3	
1630 - 1645	1	310	0	0	311	0	424	33	2	459	62	0	17	0	79	0	0	1	0	1	
1645 - 1700	1	325	1	0	327	0	445	45	0	490	44	0	13	0	57	1	0	1	0	2	
Hourly Total	8	1219	5	0	1232	1	1727	139	2	1869	209	0	61	0	270	2	1	6	0	9	
1700 - 1715	3	286	0	0	289	0	464	35	0	499	43	0	12	0	55	1	0	2	0	3	
1715 - 1730	3	296	1	2	302	0	481	39	0	520	45	0	13	0	58	1	0	1	0	2	
1730 - 1745	7	315	0	0	322	0	477	36	0	513	52	0	10	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	
1745 - 1800	7	297	0	1	305	0	397	41	0	438	35	0	3	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	
Hourly Total	20	1194	1	3	1218	0	1819	151	0	1970	175	0	38	0	213	2	0	3	0	5	
Grand Total	28	2413	6	3	2450	1	3546	290	2	3839	384	0	99	0	483	4	1	9	0	14	
Approach %	1.14	98.49	0.24	0.12	-	0.03	92.37	7.55	0.05	-	79.50	0.00	20.50	0.00	-	28.57	7.14	64.29	0.00	-	
Intersection %	0.41	35.56	0.09	0.04	36.10	0.01	52.25	4.27	0.03	56.57	5.66	0.00	1.46	0.00	7.12	0.06	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.21	
PHF	0.50	0.94	0.50	0.25	0.95	0.00	0.97	0.86	0.00	0.97	0.88	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.94	0.75	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.58	

HCS Reports

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Old Preston at Maple Spri							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street	Maple Springs Dr							
Analysis Year	2021							North/South Street	Old Preston							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.81							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR			LT	
Volume (veh/h)						6		2			4	11		0	4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						17		0						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2							4.1	
Critical Headway (sec)						6.57		6.20							4.10	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3							2.2	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.65		3.30							2.20	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						10									0	
Capacity, c (veh/h)						990									1611	
v/c Ratio						0.01									0.00	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0									0.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.7									7.2	
Level of Service (LOS)						A									A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.7								0.0			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	AM Peak No Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.81				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						6		2			4	11		0	4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						17		0						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.57		6.20						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.65		3.30						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						10								0		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						990								1611		
v/c Ratio						0.01								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.7								7.2		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.7								0.0			
Approach LOS					A											


Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spr				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	1/12/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.81				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						19		2			10	23		0	4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						5		0						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.45		6.20						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.55		3.30						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						26								0		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						982								1582		
v/c Ratio						0.03								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8								7.3		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.8								0.0			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spr				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2021							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						21		18			12	7		5	19	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		6						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.26						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.35						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						44								6		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1000								1607		
v/c Ratio						0.04								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8								7.2		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.8								1.5			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Old Preston at Maple Spri							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street	Maple Springs Dr							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Old Preston							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak No Build							Peak Hour Factor	0.89							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
 Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	40	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						22		19			12	7		5	20	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		6						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.26						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.35						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						46								6		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						999								1607		
v/c Ratio						0.05								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8								7.2		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.8								1.5			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study


HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	1/12/2022							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						64		19			16	13		5	20	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		6						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.26						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.35						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						93								6		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						969								1593		
v/c Ratio						0.10								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.3								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						9.1								7.3		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					9.1								1.5			
Approach LOS					A											

Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS700 TWSC Version 7.9.5
Maple PM 24 B.xtw




Generated: 1/12/2022 3:15:09 PM



Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Entrance							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	1/12/2022							East/West Street	Entrance							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Old Preston							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor	0.81							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
 Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	40	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		18		41						5	15				10	13
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2						4.1						
Critical Headway (sec)		6.40		6.20						4.10						
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3						2.2						
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30						2.20						
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			73							6						
Capacity, c (veh/h)			1029							1598						
v/c Ratio			0.07							0.00						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.2							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			8.8							7.3						
Level of Service (LOS)			A							A						
Approach Delay (s/veh)	8.8								1.8							
Approach LOS	A															

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Entrance				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	1/12/2022							East/West Street				Entrance				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR								LT					TR
Volume (veh/h)		10		25						18	19				42	42
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2						4.1						
Critical Headway (sec)		6.40		6.20						4.10						
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3						2.2						
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30						2.20						
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			39							20						
Capacity, c (veh/h)			952							1512						
v/c Ratio			0.04							0.01						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.1							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			8.9							7.4						
Level of Service (LOS)			A							A						
Approach Delay (s/veh)	8.9								3.7							
Approach LOS	A															


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information							Intersection Information								
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250							
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jun 2, 2021		Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak		PHF	0.91								
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2021		Analysis Period	1> 7:15								
Intersection	Cooper Chapel Road		File Name	AM 21 Preston.xus											
Project Description	Old Preston Apt														
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				85	4	5	78	49	418	28	1267	65	201	792	454
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	125.7	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
				Green	6.0	4.9	58.3	7.0	24.4	0.0					
				Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.5	3.6	0.0					
				Red	3.0	0.0	1.9	3.0	2.4	0.0					
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				13.5	30.4	13.5	30.4	12.5	64.5	17.4	69.3				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.8	5.1	5.8	3.0	4.9	4.0	4.9				
Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				5.5	2.2	5.1	19.7	4.1	25.7	10.0	15.3				
Green Extension Time (g e), s				0.7	4.2	0.4	4.6	0.0	32.6	0.8	34.0				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Max Out Probability				0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.17				
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				93	4	5	86	54	459	30	964	469	221	870	169
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1647	1900	1425	1675	1900	1403	1753	1856	1807	1689	1658	1585
Queue Service Time (g s), s				3.5	0.2	0.2	3.1	3.0	17.7	2.1	23.7	23.7	8.0	13.3	6.6
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				3.5	0.2	0.2	3.1	3.0	17.7	2.1	23.7	23.7	8.0	13.3	6.6
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.06	0.19	0.24	0.06	0.19	0.28	0.05	0.46	0.46	0.09	0.50	0.56
Capacity (c), veh/h				183	368	688	186	368	786	84	1721	838	292	2499	885
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.509	0.012	0.008	0.460	0.146	0.584	0.360	0.560	0.560	0.756	0.348	0.191
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				73.4	5.1	2.9	65	63.9	258.3	42.8	371	356.9	163.1	218.2	102.5
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				2.8	0.2	0.1	2.5	2.6	10.2	1.7	14.5	14.3	6.3	8.4	4.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.22	0.51
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				57.7	41.0	36.3	57.6	42.1	39.0	58.0	24.4	24.4	56.2	18.9	13.7
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				3.7	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.3	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.7	4.0	0.1	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				61.4	41.0	36.3	60.0	42.4	40.1	58.8	24.8	25.1	60.1	19.0	13.9
Level of Service (LOS)				E	D	D	E	D	D	E	C	C	E	B	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				59.2	E		43.1	D		25.6	G		25.5	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				29.6						C					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.60	C		3.28	C		2.57	C		2.42	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.66	A		1.48	A		1.31	A		1.18	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information							Intersection Information								
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h		0.250						
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Dec 23, 2021		Area Type		Other					
Jurisdiction				Time Period		AM Peak		PHF		0.91					
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 No Build		Analysis Period		1> 7:15					
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Road		File Name		AM 24 Preston NB.xus									
Project Description		Old Preston Apt													
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				86	4	5	93	49	424	28	1299	79	204	818	461
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	119.9	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
				Green	6.0	4.6	53.3	7.0	23.8	0.0					
				Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.5	3.6	0.0					
				Red	3.0	0.0	1.9	3.0	2.4	0.0					
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				13.5	29.8	13.5	29.8	12.5	59.5	17.1	64.1				
Change Period, (Y+R), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.8	5.1	5.8	3.0	4.9	4.0	4.9				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				5.3	2.2	5.6	19.0	3.8	22.7	9.8	15.7				
Green Extension Time (g e), s				0.7	4.3	0.5	4.7	0.0	30.6	0.8	31.3				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Max Out Probability				0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.14				
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				95	4	5	102	54	466	27	879	426	224	899	177
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1647	1900	1425	1675	1900	1403	1753	1856	1799	1689	1658	1585
Queue Service Time (g s), s				3.3	0.2	0.2	3.6	2.8	17.0	1.8	20.7	20.7	7.8	13.7	6.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				3.3	0.2	0.2	3.6	2.8	17.0	1.8	20.7	20.7	7.8	13.7	6.9
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.06	0.20	0.25	0.06	0.20	0.29	0.05	0.44	0.44	0.09	0.48	0.54
Capacity (c), veh/h				192	377	708	195	377	805	88	1651	800	299	2404	859
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.492	0.012	0.008	0.523	0.143	0.579	0.302	0.532	0.533	0.749	0.374	0.206
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				70.2	4.8	2.7	74.1	60.2	248.8	35.5	330.5	317	158.7	223	106.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				2.6	0.2	0.1	2.8	2.4	9.8	1.4	12.9	12.7	6.0	8.6	4.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.22	0.53
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				54.8	38.7	34.0	54.9	39.7	36.6	55.0	24.2	24.2	53.4	19.5	14.2
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				3.3	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.7	3.8	0.1	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				58.1	38.7	34.0	57.8	40.0	37.7	55.6	24.6	24.9	57.1	19.7	14.4
Level of Service (LOS)				E	D	C	E	D	D	E	C	C	E	B	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				56.0	E			41.2	D			25.3	C		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				29.2						C					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.60	C			3.28	C			2.57	C		
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.66	A			1.51	B			1.34	A		



Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information							Intersection Information								
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h		0.250						
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Jan 12, 2022		Area Type		Other					
Jurisdiction				Time Period		AM Peak		PHF		0.91					
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 Build		Analysis Period		1> 7:15					
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Road		File Name		AM 24 Preston B.xus									
Project Description		Old Preston Apt													
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				86	10	5	95	49	424	28	1334	79	204	829	461
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	122.4	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
				Green	6.0	4.8	55.3	7.0	24.2	0.0					
				Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.5	3.6	0.0					
				Red	3.0	0.0	1.9	3.0	2.4	0.0					
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				13.5	30.2	13.5	30.2	12.5	61.5	17.3	66.3				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.8	5.1	5.8	3.0	4.9	4.0	4.9				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				5.4	2.6	5.7	19.4	3.8	23.6	9.9	16.0				
Green Extension Time (g e), s				0.7	4.3	0.5	4.7	0.0	31.7	0.8	32.6				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Max Out Probability				0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.15				
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				95	11	5	104	54	466	27	902	437	224	911	177
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1647	1900	1425	1675	1900	1403	1753	1856	1800	1689	1658	1585
Queue Service Time (g s), s				3.4	0.6	0.2	3.7	2.9	17.4	1.8	21.6	21.6	7.9	14.0	7.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				3.4	0.6	0.2	3.7	2.9	17.4	1.8	21.6	21.6	7.9	14.0	7.0
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.06	0.20	0.25	0.06	0.20	0.29	0.05	0.45	0.45	0.09	0.49	0.55
Capacity (c), veh/h				188	375	703	191	375	802	86	1676	813	298	2441	868
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.502	0.029	0.008	0.546	0.143	0.581	0.309	0.538	0.538	0.753	0.373	0.204
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)				71.9	12.3	2.8	77.8	61.7	253.9	36.4	343.3	329.3	160.5	227.7	107.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)				2.7	0.5	0.1	3.0	2.5	10.0	1.4	13.4	13.2	6.2	8.8	4.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.23	0.54
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				56.1	39.7	34.9	56.2	40.8	37.5	56.3	24.3	24.4	54.6	19.5	14.1
Incremental Delay (d i), s/veh				3.5	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.7	3.9	0.1	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d s), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				59.6	39.7	34.9	59.5	40.9	38.6	56.9	24.7	25.0	58.4	19.6	14.3
Level of Service (LOS)				E	D	C	E	D	D	E	C	C	E	B	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				56.4	E			42.3	D			25.4	C		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				29.5						C					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.60	C			3.28	C			2.57	C		
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.67	A			1.52	B			1.36	A		



Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information						Intersection Information									
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h	0.250								
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jun 2, 2021		Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction			Time Period	PM Peak		PHF	0.98								
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2021		Analysis Period	1> 4:45								
Intersection	Cooper Chapel Rd		File Name	PM 21 Preston.xus											
Project Description						Old Preston Apt									
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				354	61	46	210	15	358	12	1178	214	707	1768	110
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.0	28.1	67.8	14.5	2.6	22.8					
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.6					
				Red	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.4					
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				30.1	38.0	21.0	28.8	12.5	74.0	47.1	108.6				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.7	5.1	5.7	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				20.9	7.0	13.1	19.3	3.3		38.1					
Green Extension Time (g e), s				2.7	4.2	1.3	3.5	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00		0.09					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				361	62	47	214	15	365	12	947	435	721	1804	112
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1675	1900	1425	1702	1900	1414	1697	1885	1733	1743	1870	1409
Queue Service Time (g s), s				18.9	5.0	2.4	11.1	1.3	17.3	1.3	38.6	38.2	36.1	15.5	2.7
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				18.9	5.0	2.4	11.1	1.3	17.3	1.3	38.6	38.2	36.1	15.5	2.7
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.13	0.18	0.21	0.08	0.13	0.35	0.03	0.38	0.38	0.23	0.57	0.70
Capacity (c), veh/h				439	337	601	274	241	996	57	1420	653	805	4255	986
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.823	0.184	0.078	0.783	0.063	0.367	0.211	0.667	0.667	0.896	0.424	0.114
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				350.2	111.7	39.3	227.6	28.7	257.3	26.9	635.5	592.1	593.2	230.1	40.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				13.4	4.5	1.6	8.8	1.1	10.2	1.0	25.2	23.7	23.5	9.1	1.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.78	0.25	0.31	0.57	0.05	0.74	0.06	0.53	0.50	1.08	0.23	0.20
Uniform Delay (d u), s/veh				76.2	62.9	57.0	81.2	69.2	43.4	87.5	49.7	48.5	67.1	11.2	4.8
Incremental Delay (d i), s/veh				6.5	0.4	0.1	6.7	0.2	0.4	0.6	2.2	4.8	9.4	0.3	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d s), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				82.7	63.3	57.1	87.9	69.3	43.7	88.1	51.9	53.3	76.5	11.6	5.0
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E	F	E	D	F	D	D	E	B	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				77.6	E		60.3	E		52.7	D		29.1	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				43.6					D						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.75	C		2.99	C		2.59	C		2.42	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1.26	A		1.47	A		1.28	A		1.58	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information							Intersection Information								
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h		0.250						
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Dec 23, 2021		Area Type		Other					
Jurisdiction				Time Period		PM Peak		PHF		0.98					
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 No Build		Analysis Period		1> 4:45					
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Rd		File Name		PM 24 NB Preston.xus									
Project Description		Old Preston Apt													
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				360	62	46	225	15	364	12	1208	229	718	1807	112
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
Green	6.0	28.6	66.7	15.3	2.1	23.1									
Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.6									
Red	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.4									
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				30.4	37.7	21.8	29.1	12.5	72.9	47.6	108.0				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.7	5.1	5.7	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				21.2	7.1	13.9	19.5	3.2		38.7					
Green Extension Time (g e), s				2.7	4.3	1.4	3.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability				0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00		0.14					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				367	63	47	230	15	371	11	894	410	733	1844	114
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1675	1900	1425	1702	1900	1414	1697	1885	1728	1743	1870	1409
Queue Service Time (g s), s				19.2	5.1	2.4	11.9	1.3	17.5	1.2	25.2	23.8	36.7	16.3	2.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				19.2	5.1	2.4	11.9	1.3	17.5	1.2	25.2	23.8	36.7	16.3	2.8
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.13	0.18	0.21	0.09	0.13	0.36	0.03	0.37	0.37	0.23	0.57	0.70
Capacity (c), veh/h				445	334	596	290	244	1008	57	1398	640	815	4230	984
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.825	0.189	0.079	0.791	0.063	0.369	0.192	0.640	0.640	0.899	0.436	0.116
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				354.8	113.8	39.4	240.1	28.6	259.8	24.5	307.6	262.5	603.3	238.6	42.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				13.5	4.6	1.6	9.3	1.1	10.3	0.9	12.2	10.5	23.9	9.4	1.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.79	0.25	0.31	0.60	0.05	0.74	0.06	0.26	0.22	1.10	0.24	0.21
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				76.0	63.2	57.2	80.8	69.0	42.9	87.5	23.7	21.3	66.9	11.6	4.9
Incremental Delay (d i), s/veh				6.5	0.4	0.1	6.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	1.5	3.3	10.0	0.3	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d s), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				82.5	63.6	57.3	87.3	69.1	43.3	87.9	25.2	24.6	76.9	12.0	5.1
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E	F	E	D	F	C	C	E	B	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				77.5	E		80.3	E		25.6	C		29.4	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				36.6						D					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.75	C		2.99	C		2.59	C		2.42	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1.28	A		1.50	B		1.30	A		1.60	B	




HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information							Intersection Information								
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250							
Analyst	DBZ	Analysis Date	Jan 12, 2022		Area Type	Other									
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak		PHF	0.98									
Urban Street	Preston Highway	Analysis Year	2024 Build		Analysis Period	1> 4:45									
Intersection	Cooper Chapel Rd	File Name	PM 24 B Preston.xus												
Project Description	Old Preston Apt														
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				360	66	46	231	15	364	12	1229	229	718	1843	112
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
				Green	6.0	28.6	66.7	15.7	1.7	23.1					
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.6					
				Red	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.4					
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				30.4	37.3	22.2	29.1	12.5	72.9	47.6	108.0				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.7	5.1	5.7	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				21.2	7.5	14.2	19.5	3.1		38.7					
Green Extension Time (g e), s				2.7	4.3	1.5	3.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability				0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00		0.14					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				367	67	47	236	15	371	11	900	413	733	1881	114
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1675	1900	1425	1702	1900	1414	1697	1885	1730	1743	1870	1409
Queue Service Time (g s), s				19.2	5.5	2.4	12.2	1.3	17.5	1.1	25.7	24.3	36.7	16.8	2.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				19.2	5.5	2.4	12.2	1.3	17.5	1.1	25.7	24.3	36.7	16.8	2.8
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.13	0.17	0.21	0.09	0.13	0.36	0.03	0.37	0.37	0.23	0.57	0.70
Capacity (c), veh/h				445	331	591	297	244	1008	57	1397	641	815	4229	984
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.825	0.204	0.079	0.794	0.063	0.369	0.191	0.644	0.645	0.899	0.445	0.116
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)				354.8	121.7	39.5	244.8	28.6	259.8	24.3	314.5	265.6	603.3	243.2	42.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)				13.5	4.9	1.6	9.5	1.1	10.3	0.9	12.5	10.6	23.9	9.6	1.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.79	0.27	0.32	0.61	0.05	0.74	0.06	0.26	0.22	1.10	0.24	0.21
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				76.0	63.6	57.5	80.6	68.9	42.9	87.5	24.1	21.6	66.9	11.7	4.9
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				6.5	0.4	0.1	6.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	1.5	3.3	10.0	0.3	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				82.5	64.1	57.6	87.1	69.1	43.3	87.9	25.6	24.9	76.9	12.0	5.1
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E	F	E	D	F	C	C	E	B	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				77.5	E		60.5	E		25.9	C		29.2	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				36.6						D					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.75	C		2.99	C		2.59	C		2.42	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1.28	A		1.51	B		1.31	A		1.61	B	




HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information							Intersection Information									
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250								
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jun 2, 2021			Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak			PHF	0.90								
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2021			Analysis Period	1> 7:15								
Intersection	Interchange Drive		File Name	AM 21 Preston.xus												
Project Description		Old Preston Apt														
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				67		15	1	0	1	10	1315	3	1	721	152	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	150.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	0.3	5.7	113.1	6.0	0.5	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0						
				Red	3.0	0.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0		12.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					12.0		6.5	12.5	124.7	6.8	119.0					
Change Period, (Y+R), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.1	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g), s							2.2	3.0		2.1						
Green Extension Time (g), s					0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Phase Call Probability							0.09	1.00		0.04						
Max Out Probability							0.00	0.00		0.00						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7		14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				74		17		2		11	700	700	1	782	165	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1661		1359		1704		1527	1856	1854	1810	1724	1585	
Queue Service Time (g), s				3.3		1.7		0.2		1.0	12.5	12.5	0.1	10.8	3.6	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g), s				3.3		1.7		0.2		1.0	12.5	12.5	0.1	10.8	3.6	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.04		0.08		0.00		0.04	0.79	0.79	0.00	0.75	0.79	
Capacity (c), veh/h				133		109		6		61	1470	1468	3	2599	1258	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.560		0.153		0.368		0.174	0.477	0.477	0.338	0.301	0.131	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)				68.7		31.4		4.8		21.6	138.8	135.5	3.8	158.9	43.6	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)				2.6		1.1		0.2		0.7	5.4	5.4	0.2	6.1	1.7	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.17		0.52		0.00		0.09	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.15	
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				70.7		64.3		74.6		71.7	2.8	2.8	74.8	5.9	3.6	
Incremental Delay (d), s/veh				3.7		0.6		13.3		0.9	0.8	0.8	49.9	0.3	0.2	
Initial Queue Delay (d), s/veh				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				74.4		64.9		87.9		72.6	3.6	3.6	124.7	6.2	3.8	
Level of Service (LOS)				E		E		F		E	A	A	F	A	A	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				72.6		E		87.9		F		A		5.9		A
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				7.4					A							
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33		B	2.49		B	1.62		B	2.05		B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						F	0.49		A	1.70		B	1.29		A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																				
General Information						Intersection Information														
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h	0.250													
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Dec 23, 2021		Area Type	Other													
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak		PHF	0.90													
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2024 No Build		Analysis Period	1> 7:15													
Intersection	Interchange Drive		File Name	AM 24 Preston NB.xus																
Project Description	Old Preston Apt																			
Demand Information						EB			WB			NB			SB					
Approach Movement						L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R			
Demand (v), veh/h						58	24	15	190	13	1	10	1300	137	125	635	155			
Signal Information							Cycle, s		150.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s		0	Reference Point	End	Green		6.0	0.9	83.6	7.9	20.7	0.0								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Yellow	3.5		3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	3.0		3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0									
Timer Results						EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT							
Assigned Phase							4		8	5	2	1	6							
Case Number							9.0		11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0							
Phase Duration, s							13.9		26.7	12.5	89.5	19.9	96.9							
Change Period, (Y+R c), s							6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9							
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s							4.0		3.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0							
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s							7.6		20.3	3.0		13.0								
Green Extension Time (g e), s							0.3		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0							
Phase Call Probability							1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00								
Max Out Probability							0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00								
Movement Group Results						EB			WB			NB			SB					
Approach Movement						L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R			
Assigned Movement						7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16			
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h						64	27	17		226	1	10	710	691	135	688	168			
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/ft/in						1711	1900	1359		1815	1610	1527	1856	1793	1810	1724	1585			
Queue Service Time (g s), s						5.6	2.0	1.7		18.3	0.1	1.0	33.2	32.7	11.0	14.7	6.1			
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s						5.6	2.0	1.7		18.3	0.1	1.0	33.2	32.7	11.0	14.7	6.1			
Green Ratio (g/C)						0.05	0.05	0.09		0.14	0.14	0.04	0.56	0.56	0.09	0.61	0.66			
Capacity (c), veh/h						90	100	126		251	222	61	1034	999	162	2092	1045			
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)						0.715	0.267	0.132		0.900	0.005	0.160	0.687	0.692	0.836	0.329	0.161			
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)						125.6	45.2	30.8		335.3	1.6	20	405.2	368.7	228.4	242.6	93.4			
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)						4.8	1.8	1.1		13.4	0.1	0.7	15.8	14.7	9.1	9.3	3.7			
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)						0.31	0.00	0.51		0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	2.28	0.00	0.31			
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh						69.9	68.3	62.5		63.6	55.8	72.4	15.0	14.2	67.2	14.5	9.7			
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh						10.1	1.4	0.5		4.7	0.0	0.8	2.5	2.6	10.0	0.4	0.3			
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh						0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (d), s/veh						80.0	69.7	63.0		68.3	55.8	73.2	17.5	16.9	77.2	14.9	10.0			
Level of Service (LOS)						F	E	E		E	E	E	B	B	E	B	B			
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS						74.8		E		68.2		E		17.5		B		C		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS						25.8						C								
Multimodal Results						EB			WB			NB			SB					
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS						2.32		B		2.49		B		1.91		B		2.09		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						0.67		A		0.86		A		1.81		B		1.33		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information							Intersection Information									
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250								
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jan 12, 2022			Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak			PHF	0.90								
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2024 Build			Analysis Period	1> 7:15								
Intersection	Interchange Drive		File Name	AM 24 Preston B.xus												
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
																
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				93	24	15	190	13	1	13	1300	137	125	647	155	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	150.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	6.0	0.9	80.1	11.4	20.7	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0						
				Red	3.0	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0		11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					17.4		26.7	12.5	86.0	19.9	93.4					
Change Period, (Y+R c), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s					10.9		20.3	3.2		13.1						
Green Extension Time (g e), s					0.5		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0					
Phase Call Probability					1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00						
Max Out Probability					0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				103	27	17		226	1	13	709	690	136	701	166	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1711	1900	1359		1815	1610	1527	1856	1793	1810	1724	1585	
Queue Service Time (g s), s				8.9	2.0	1.6		18.3	0.1	1.2	37.0	36.3	11.1	16.0	6.1	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				8.9	2.0	1.6		18.3	0.1	1.2	37.0	36.3	11.1	16.0	6.1	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.08	0.08	0.12		0.14	0.14	0.04	0.53	0.53	0.09	0.58	0.66	
Capacity (c), veh/h				130	144	157		251	222	81	990	957	162	2012	1046	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.796	0.185	0.106		0.900	0.005	0.207	0.716	0.720	0.836	0.349	0.161	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				199.6	43.3	29.8		335.3	1.6	26	473.9	429.6	228.4	261.9	93.5	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				7.6	1.7	1.0		13.4	0.1	0.9	18.5	17.2	9.1	10.0	3.7	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.50	0.00	0.50		0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	2.28	0.00	0.31	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				68.2	65.0	59.4		63.6	55.8	72.3	18.2	17.1	67.2	16.3	9.7	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				10.5	0.6	0.3		4.7	0.0	1.1	3.0	3.1	10.0	0.4	0.3	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				78.7	65.6	59.6		68.3	55.8	73.4	21.2	20.3	77.2	16.8	10.0	
Level of Service (LOS)				E	E	E		E	E	E	C	C	E	B	B	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				74.1	E		68.2	E		21.2	C		23.8	C		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				28.7						C						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.32	B		2.49	B		1.91	B		2.09	B		
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.73	A		0.86	A		1.82	B		1.34	A		


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information						Intersection Information										
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h	0.250									
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jun 2, 2021		Area Type	Other									
Jurisdiction			Time Period	PM Peak		PHF	0.98									
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2021		Analysis Period	1> 4:45									
Intersection	Interchange Dr		File Name	PM 21 Preston.xus												
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				184		48	3	0	4	16	1222	2	0	1867	155	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	3.4	126.2	20.0	6.0	0.0	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0						
				Red	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0		12.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					26.0		12.0	9.9	142.0	0.0	132.1					
Change Period, (Y+R), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.1	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s							2.7	3.6								
Green Extension Time (g e), s					0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Phase Call Probability							1.00	0.56								
Max Out Probability							0.00	0.00								
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7		14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				188		49		7		16	630	629	0	1846	153	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1757		1610		1690		1810	1885	1884	1810	1781	1598	
Queue Service Time (g s), s				9.0		4.9		0.7		1.6	19.9	19.9	0.0	61.1	3.8	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				9.0		4.9		0.7		1.6	19.9	19.9	0.0	61.1	3.8	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.11		0.13		0.03		0.02	0.76	0.76		0.70	0.81	
Capacity (c), veh/h				390		209		56		34	1425	1425	1	2497	1298	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.481		0.234		0.127		0.486	0.442	0.442	0.000	0.739	0.118	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				183.7		91.7		14.6		37.6	268.5	266.1	0	788.8	48.1	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				7.3		3.7		0.6		1.5	10.7	10.6	0.0	31.1	1.9	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.46		1.53		0.00		0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				75.1		70.3		64.5		88.8	6.9	6.9	0.0	19.0	3.7	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				0.9		0.6		0.4		7.5	0.7	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.1	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				76.0		70.9		64.8		96.3	7.6	7.6	0.0	20.5	3.8	
Level of Service (LOS)				E		E		F		F	A	A		C	A	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				75.0		E		64.8		6.8		A		19.2		B
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				19.3					B							
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33		B	2.49		B	1.64		B	2.07		B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						F	0.50		A	1.53		B	2.19		B	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																			
General Information							Intersection Information												
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250											
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Dec 23, 2021			Area Type	Other											
Jurisdiction			Time Period	PM Peak			PHF	0.98											
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2024 No Build			Analysis Period	1> 4:45											
Intersection	Interchange Dr		File Name	PM 24 NB Preston.xus															
Project Description	Old Preston Apt																		
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Demand (v), veh/h				171	28	48	221	12	4	16	1248	82	159	1758	158				
Signal Information																			
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2	Green	8.0	3.3	92.2	20.0	25.5	0.0									
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	3.0	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On																
Timer Results				EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT	
Assigned Phase						4				8		5		2		1		6	
Case Number						9.0				11.0		2.0		4.0		2.0		3.0	
Phase Duration, s						26.0				31.5		14.5		98.1		24.4		108.0	
Change Period, (Y+R), s						6.0				6.0		6.5		5.9		6.5		5.9	
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s						4.0				3.0		4.0		0.0		3.0		0.0	
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s						11.8				25.1		3.5				17.6			
Green Extension Time (g e), s						0.8				0.4		0.0		0.0		0.2		0.0	
Phase Call Probability						1.00				1.00		1.00				1.00			
Max Out Probability						0.00				0.00		0.00				0.00			
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16				
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				105	98	49		238	4	15	636	624	157	1738	156				
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1810	1836	1610		1814	1610	1810	1885	1844	1810	1781	1598				
Queue Service Time (g s), s				9.8	9.1	4.8		23.1	0.4	1.5	41.7	40.6	15.6	72.3	5.0				
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				9.8	9.1	4.8		23.1	0.4	1.5	41.7	40.6	15.6	72.3	5.0				
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.11	0.11	0.16		0.15	0.15	0.04	0.51	0.51	0.10	0.57	0.68				
Capacity (c), veh/h				211	204	250		267	228	80	966	945	190	2019	1083				
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.496	0.482	0.196		0.889	0.018	0.188	0.659	0.660	0.830	0.861	0.144				
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				202.6	193.4	88.5		408.8	7.2	31.4	806.4	566.5	307.4	965.9	74.2				
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				8.1	7.7	3.5		16.4	0.3	1.3	24.1	22.7	12.3	38.0	2.9				
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.51	0.00	1.47		0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	3.07	0.00	0.25				
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				74.5	74.7	66.2		75.3	66.4	83.8	27.7	26.0	87.5	29.5	7.9				
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				1.8	1.8	0.4		4.0	0.0	0.8	2.5	2.6	3.1	4.5	0.2				
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Control Delay (d), s/veh				76.3	76.4	66.6		79.3	66.5	84.6	30.2	28.6	90.6	34.0	8.1				
Level of Service (LOS)				E	E	E		E	E	F	C	C	F	C	A				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				74.5	E		79.1	E		30.1	C		36.4	D					
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				39.5						D									
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33 B			2.49 B			1.92 B			2.10 B						
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.90 A			0.89 A			1.62 B			2.23 B						

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General information							Intersection information								
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250							
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jan 12, 2022			Area Type	Other							
Jurisdiction			Time Period	PM Peak			PHF	0.98							
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2024 Build			Analysis Period	1> 4:45							
Intersection	Interchange Dr		File Name	PM 24 B Preston.xus											
Project Description	Old Preston Apt														
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				192	28	48	221	12	4	28	1248	82	159	1764	158
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2	Green	8.0	3.6	92.0	20.0	25.5	0.0					
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0					
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	3.0	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0					
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number					9.0		11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s					26.0		31.5	14.5	97.9	24.6	108.0				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.0	4.0	0.0	3.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s					13.0		25.1	4.6		17.9					
Green Extension Time (g e), s					0.9		0.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0				
Phase Call Probability					1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability					0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				118	107	49		238	4	26	631	618	160	1774	159
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1810	1834	1610		1814	1610	1810	1885	1844	1810	1781	1598
Queue Service Time (g s), s				11.0	9.9	4.8		23.1	0.4	2.6	41.3	40.2	15.9	75.4	5.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				11.0	9.9	4.8		23.1	0.4	2.6	41.3	40.2	15.9	75.4	5.1
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.11	0.11	0.16		0.15	0.15	0.04	0.51	0.51	0.11	0.57	0.68
Capacity (c), veh/h				211	204	250		267	228	80	963	942	192	2019	1083
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.557	0.525	0.196		0.889	0.018	0.327	0.655	0.656	0.832	0.878	0.147
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				223.9	207.6	88.5		408.8	7.2	55.1	601.5	561.8	310.5	1006.1	74.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				9.0	8.3	3.5		16.4	0.3	2.2	23.9	22.5	12.4	39.6	3.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.56	0.00	1.47		0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	3.11	0.00	0.25
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				75.1	75.1	66.2		75.3	66.4	84.3	27.7	26.1	87.1	30.1	7.9
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				2.3	2.1	0.4		4.0	0.0	1.7	2.5	2.5	3.1	5.2	0.3
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				77.4	77.1	66.6		79.3	66.5	86.0	30.2	28.6	90.3	35.2	8.1
Level of Service (LOS)				E	E	E		E	E	F	C	C	F	D	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				75.4	E		79.1	E		30.6	C		37.4	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				40.4						D					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33	B		2.49	B		1.92	B		2.10	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.94	A		0.89	A		1.63	B		2.24	B	

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	D8Z							Intersection	Herr Lane at Wesboro							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	10/30/2020							East/West Street	Wesboro							
Analysis Year	2025							North/South Street	Herr Lane							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor	0.94							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Providence Point															
Lanes																
 Major Street North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	1	0		1	1	0		1	1	0
Configuration			LR				LR			L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)		10		17		21		25		26	536	45		64	690	8
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0		0		4		0				0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0				0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage					Left Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2		4.1				4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)		7.10		6.20		7.10		6.24		4.10				4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3		2.2				2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30		3.50		3.34		2.20				2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			29				49				28				68	
Capacity, c (veh/h)			291				421				874				972	
v/c Ratio			0.10				0.12				0.03				0.07	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.3				0.4				0.1				0.2	
Control Delay (s/veh)			18.7				14.7				9.3				9.0	
Level of Service (LOS)			C				B				A				A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	18.7				14.7				0.4				0.8			
Approach LOS	C				B											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Herr Lane at Wesboro				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	7/23/2020							East/West Street				Wesboro				
Analysis Year	2035							North/South Street				Herr Lane				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak No Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.94				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Providence Point															
Lanes																
																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						21		25			535	45		64	707	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		4						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.24						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.34						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						49								68		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						269								973		
v/c Ratio						0.18								0.07		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.7								0.2		
Control Delay (s/veh)						21.4								9.0		
Level of Service (LOS)						C								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					21.4								1.7			
Approach LOS					C											

Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.8.5
Wesboro PM 35 NB.txtw

Generated: 7/23/2020 1:00:11 PM

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Herr Lane at Wesboro							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	10/30/2020							East/West Street	Wesboro							
Analysis Year	2035							North/South Street	Herr Lane							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor	0.94							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Providence Point															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration			LR				LR			L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)		10		17		21		25		26	562	45		64	734	8
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0		0		4		0				0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0				0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage					Left Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2		4.1				4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)		7.10		6.20		7.10		6.24		4.10				4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3		2.2				2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30		3.50		3.34		2.20				2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			29				49				28				68	
Capacity, c (veh/h)			273				392				839				949	
v/c Ratio			0.11				0.12				0.03				0.07	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.3				0.4				0.1				0.2	
Control Delay (s/veh)			19.7				15.5				9.4				9.1	
Level of Service (LOS)			C				C				A				A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	19.7				15.5				0.4				0.7			
Approach LOS	C				C											

Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.9
Wesboro PM 35 B.txtw

Generated: 10/30/2020 3:42:18 PM

final report


December 22, 2021

Traffic Impact Study

Apartments
Old Preston Highway (KY 6304)
Louisville, KY

Prepared for

Louisville Metro Planning Commission
Kentucky Transportation Cabinet



DIANE B. ZIMMERMAN
Traffic Engineering LLC

12205 High Meadows Pkwy
Prospect, KY 40369
606.675.1885
danezum@att.net




Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	2
Figure 1. Site Map.....	2
EXISTING CONDITIONS	2
Figure 2. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston	3
Figure 3. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway.....	3
FUTURE CONDITIONS	4
Figure 4. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston.....	4
Figure 5. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway.....	5
TRIP GENERATION	5
Table 1. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site.....	6
Figure 6. Trip Distribution Percentages.....	6
Figure 7. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site.....	7
Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes	8
ANALYSIS	9
Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service.....	9
CONCLUSIONS	10
APPENDIX	11

INTRODUCTION

The development plan for apartments on Old Preston Highway shows 174 apartment units. **Figure 1** displays a map of the site. Access to the development will be on Old Preston Highway. The purpose of this study is to examine the traffic impacts of the development upon the adjacent highway system. For this study the impact area was defined to be the Old Preston Highway and Maple Springs Drive and the proposed entrance. Additionally, Louisville Metro requested analysis of the Preston Highway intersections with Commerce Crossings Drive and Interchange Drive, as an update to the traffic impact study dated October 7, 2021.




Figure 1. Site Map

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Old Preston Highway, KY 6304, is a state-maintained road with an estimated 2021 ADT of 400 vehicles per day between Commerce Crossing Drive and Interchange Drive, as provided by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet at station 042. The road is a two-lane highway with ten-foot lanes, a one-foot shoulder (provided by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet). The speed limit is 35 mph. There are no sidewalks. The intersection with Maple Spring Drive is controlled with a stop sign on Maple Spring Drive.

Peak hour traffic count for the intersection was obtained on Tuesday, August 24, 2021. The a.m. peak hour occurred between 7:15 and 8:15 and the p.m. occurred between 4:45 and 5:45. **Figure 2** illustrates the existing a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes. The Preston Highway counts were made April 13, 2021. **Figure 3** illustrates the Preston Highway peak hour volumes. The Appendix contains the full count data.




Figure 2. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston




Figure 3. Existing Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway

FUTURE CONDITIONS

The project completion date is 2024. An annual growth rate of 1.0 percent was applied to the 2021 volumes on Old Preston Highway. Figure 4 displays the 2024 No Build peak hour volumes. For Preston Highway one half percent annual growth in traffic was added to the 2021 volumes as well as the trip generation from the proposed Thornton's and Chick Fil A. The no build volumes in Figure 5 are the build volumes from the previously mention October 7, 2021 traffic impact study, plus the growth rate to arrive at 2024 from 2022.




Figure 4. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Old Preston




Figure 5. 2024 No Build Peak Hour Volumes Preston Highway

TRIP GENERATION

The Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition contains trip generation rates for a wide range of developments. The land use of “Multi-family (Low-Rise) (220)” was reviewed and determined to be the best match. The trip generation results are listed in **Table 1**. The trips were assigned to the highway network with the percentages shown in **Figure 6**. **Figure 7** shows the trips generated by this development and distributed throughout the road network during the peak hours. **Figures 8 and 9** display the individual turning movements for the peak hours when the development is completed.

Table 1. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site

Land Use	A.M. Peak Hour			P.M. Peak Hour		
	Trips	In	Out	Trips	In	Out
Multi-family (Mid-Rise) 174 units	77	18	59	95	60	35




Figure 6. Trip Distribution Percentages




Figure 7. Peak Hour Trips Generated by Site




Figure 8. 2025 Build Peak Hour Volumes

ANALYSIS

The qualitative measure of operation for a roadway facility or intersection is evaluated by assigning a “Level of Service”. Level of Service is a ranking scale from A through F, “A” is the best operating condition and “F” is the worst. Level of Service results depend upon the facility that is analyzed. In this case, the Level of Service is based upon the total delay experienced for lanes at stop-controlled intersections.

To evaluate the impact of the proposed development, the vehicle delays at the intersections were determined using procedures detailed in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th edition. Future delays and Level of Service were determined for the intersections using the HCS Streets (version 7.9.5) software. The delays and Level of Service are summarized in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Peak Hour Level of Service

Approach	A.M.			P.M.		
	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build	2021 Existing	2024 No Build	2024 Build
Old Preston at Maple Spring Drive						
Maple Spring Drive Westbound	A 8.7	A 8.7	A 9.0	A 8.8	A 8.8	A 9.3
Old Preston Southbound	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.4	A 7.2	A 7.2	A 7.3
Old Preston at Entrance						
Entrance Eastbound			A 9.0			A 9.3
Old Preston Northbound (left)			A 7.3			A 7.4
Preston Highway at Cooper Chapel Road	C 29.6	C 29.2	C 30.2	D 43.6	D 36.6	D 37.1
Commerce Crossings Eastbound	E 59.2	E 56.0	E 58.1	E 77.6	E 77.5	E 76.9
Cooper Chapel Road Westbound	D 43.1	D 41.2	D 42.0	E 60.3	E 60.3	E 60.6
Preston Highway Northbound	C 25.6	C 25.3	C 25.9	D 52.7	C 25.6	C 25.8
Preston Highway Southbound	C 25.5	C 25.4	C 25.9	C 29.1	C 29.4	C 29.8
Preston Highway at Interchange Drive	A 7.4	C 25.8	C 26.0	B 19.3	D 39.5	D 40.1
Interchange Drive Eastbound	E 72.6	E 74.8	E 74.8	E 75.0	E 74.5	E 74.5
Entrance Westbound	F 87.9	F 68.2	E 68.2	F 84.8	E 79.1	E 79.1
Preston Highway Northbound	A 4.1	B 17.5	B 18.0	A 8.8	C 30.1	C 30.6
Preston Highway Southbound	A 5.9	C 22.6	C 22.5	B 19.2	D 36.4	D 37.2

Key: Level of Service, Delay in seconds per vehicle

The entrance was evaluated for turn lanes using the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Highway Design Guidance Manual dated July, 2020. The volume warrant is not met for turn lanes at the entrance.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the volume of traffic generated by the development and the amount of traffic forecasted for the year 2024 there will be a slight impact to the existing highway network. No improvements are needed to the roadway network to mitigate the impact.

APPENDIX

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Traffic Counts

Classified Turn Movement Count || All vehicles

Jefferson County, KY



Marr Traffic
DATA COLLECTION

www.marrtraffic.com

Site 1 of 1

Old Preston Hwy (South)
Old Preston Hwy (North)

Date

Tuesday, August 24, 2021

Weather

Fair
87°F

Lat/Long

38.099733°, -85.671889°

0700 - 0900 (Weekday 2h Session) (08-24-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound				Southbound			
	Old Preston Hwy (South)				Old Preston Hwy (North)			
	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	App Total
	1.1	1.2	1.3		1.4	1.5	1.6	
0700 - 0715	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	2
0715 - 0730	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0
0730 - 0745	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	2
0745 - 0800	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	4
Hourly Total	4	9	0	13	0	8	0	8
0800 - 0815	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0
0815 - 0830	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
0830 - 0845	1	4	0	5	0	1	0	1
0845 - 0900	2	4	0	6	1	1	0	2
Hourly Total	4	16	0	20	1	2	0	3
Grand Total	8	25	0	33	1	10	0	11
Approach %	24.24	75.76	0.00	-	9.09	90.91	0.00	-
Intersection %	15.38	48.08	0.00	63.46	1.92	19.23	0.00	21.15
PHF	0.50	0.55	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38

Westbound				
Maple Spring Dr				
Left	Right	U-Turn	App Total	Int Total
1.7	1.8	1.9		
0	0	0	0	6
0	1	0	1	5
2	0	0	2	8
3	1	0	4	9
5	2	0	7	28
1	0	0	1	7
0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	6
0	0	0	0	8
1	0	0	1	24
6	2	0	8	52
75.00	25.00	0.00	-	
11.54	3.85	0.00	15.38	
0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.81

1600 - 1800 (Weekday 2h Session) (08-24-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound				Southbound			
	Old Preston Hwy (South)				Old Preston Hwy (North)			
	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	App Total
	1.1	1.2	1.3		1.4	1.5	1.6	
1600 - 1615	1	2	0	3	2	6	0	8
1615 - 1630	4	5	0	9	0	4	0	4
1630 - 1645	1	2	0	3	0	3	0	3
1645 - 1700	3	1	0	4	2	3	0	5
Hourly Total	9	10	0	19	4	16	0	20
1700 - 1715	1	2	0	3	1	3	0	4
1715 - 1730	3	0	0	3	2	6	0	8
1730 - 1745	5	4	0	9	0	7	0	7
1745 - 1800	6	1	0	7	0	5	0	5
Hourly Total	15	7	0	22	3	21	0	24
Grand Total	24	17	0	41	7	37	0	44
Approach %	58.54	41.46	0.00	-	15.91	84.09	0.00	-
Intersection %	16.44	11.64	0.00	28.08	4.79	25.34	0.00	30.14
PHF	0.60	0.44	0.00	0.53	0.63	0.68	0.00	0.75

Westbound				
Maple Spring Dr				
Left	Right	U-Turn	App Total	Int Total
1.7	1.8	1.9		
2	7	0	9	20
3	2	0	5	18
1	4	0	5	11
8	5	0	13	22
14	18	0	32	71
5	6	0	11	18
4	4	0	8	19
4	3	0	7	23
3	0	0	3	15
16	13	0	29	75
30	31	0	61	146
49.18	50.82	0.00	-	
20.55	21.23	0.00	41.78	
0.66	0.75	0.00	0.75	0.89

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Classified Turn Movement Count || All vehicles



Marr Traffic
DATA COLLECTION

www.marrtraffic.com

Preston Highway, KY

Site 1 of 3

KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)
KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)
Commerce Crossings Dr
Cooper Chapel Rd

Date

Tuesday, April 13, 2021

Weather

Cloudy
61°F

Lat/Long

38.103518°, -85.672625°

0700 - 0900 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Commerce Crossings Dr					Cooper Chapel Rd					
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	
	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	Total	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	Total	1.9	1.10	1.11	1.12	Total	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	Total	
0700 - 0715	2	306	13	0	321	25	144	88	1	258	20	0	2	0	22	15	9	130	0	154	755
0715 - 0730	8	327	16	0	351	34	189	86	1	310	23	1	2	0	26	16	8	109	0	133	820
0730 - 0745	5	365	19	0	389	56	191	93	0	340	24	1	1	0	26	9	14	117	0	140	895
0745 - 0800	10	298	15	0	323	59	221	173	0	453	13	1	1	0	15	24	16	97	0	137	928
Hourly Total	25	1296	63	0	1384	174	745	440	2	1361	80	3	6	0	89	64	47	453	0	564	3398
0800 - 0815	5	277	15	0	297	52	191	102	0	345	25	1	1	0	27	29	11	95	0	135	804
0815 - 0830	6	259	18	0	283	54	155	67	0	276	15	1	3	0	19	21	3	82	0	106	684
0830 - 0845	4	267	26	0	297	39	187	59	0	285	15	5	2	0	22	28	3	106	0	137	741
0845 - 0900	6	253	25	0	284	44	199	51	0	294	28	3	3	0	34	38	7	84	0	129	741
Hourly Total	21	1056	84	0	1161	189	732	279	0	1200	83	10	9	0	102	116	24	367	0	507	2970
Grand Total	46	2352	147	0	2545	363	1477	719	2	2561	163	13	15	0	191	180	71	820	0	1071	6368
Approach %	1.81	92.42	5.78	0.00	-	14.17	57.67	28.07	0.08	-	85.34	6.81	7.85	0.00	-	16.81	6.63	76.56	0.00	-	-
Intersection %	0.72	36.93	2.31	0.00	39.97	5.70	23.19	11.29	0.03	40.22	2.56	0.20	0.24	0.00	3.00	2.83	1.11	12.88	0.00	16.82	-
PHF	0.70	0.87	0.86	0.00	0.87	0.85	0.90	0.66	0.25	0.80	0.85	1.00	0.63	0.00	0.87	0.67	0.77	0.89	0.00	0.97	0.93

1600 - 1800 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Commerce Crossings Dr					Cooper Chapel Rd					
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	
	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	Total	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	Total	1.9	1.10	1.11	1.12	Total	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	Total	
1600 - 1615	1	297	49	0	347	173	436	46	2	657	97	12	8	0	117	45	5	116	0	166	
1615 - 1630	3	261	55	0	319	173	411	48	1	633	60	18	10	0	88	60	4	113	0	177	
1630 - 1645	4	313	57	0	374	159	380	35	2	576	120	22	17	0	159	45	4	93	1	143	
1645 - 1700	1	297	50	1	349	186	445	26	0	657	61	11	9	0	81	54	4	78	0	136	
Hourly Total	9	1168	211	1	1389	691	1672	155	5	2523	338	63	44	0	445	204	17	400	1	622	
1700 - 1715	2	272	51	0	325	156	454	39	0	649	122	15	14	0	151	56	6	87	0	149	
1715 - 1730	4	296	56	0	356	206	489	10	1	706	51	13	6	0	70	54	1	100	0	155	
1730 - 1745	5	300	47	0	352	158	435	28	1	622	60	8	7	0	75	62	1	109	0	172	
1745 - 1800	2	280	58	0	340	119	401	23	0	543	36	6	4	0	46	52	3	96	0	151	
Hourly Total	13	1148	212	0	1373	639	1779	100	2	2520	269	42	31	0	342	224	11	392	0	627	
Grand Total	22	2316	423	1	2762	1330	3451	255	7	5043	607	105	75	0	787	428	28	792	1	1249	
Approach %	0.80	83.85	15.31	0.04	-	26.37	68.43	5.06	0.14	-	77.13	13.34	9.53	0.00	-	34.27	2.24	63.41	0.08	-	
Intersection %	0.22	23.53	4.30	0.01	28.07	13.51	35.07	2.59	0.07	51.24	6.17	1.07	0.76	0.00	8.00	4.35	0.28	8.05	0.01	12.69	
PHF	0.69	0.94	0.94	0.25	0.94	0.86	0.90	0.71	0.38	0.92	0.73	0.69	0.68	0.00	0.72	0.93	0.63	0.90	0.25	0.94	

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Classified Turn Movement Count || All vehicles

Preston Highway, KY



Marr Traffic
DATA COLLECTION

www.marrtraffic.com

Site 2 of 3

KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)
KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)
Old Preston Hwy
Local Rd

Date

Tuesday, April 13, 2021

Weather

Cloudy
61°F

Lat/Long

38.096348°, -85.670213°

0700 - 0900 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)

All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Old Preston Hwy					Local Rd					
	Left 2.1	Thru 2.2	Right 2.3	U-Turn 2.4	App Total	Left 2.5	Thru 2.6	Right 2.7	U-Turn 2.8	App Total	Left 2.9	Thru 2.10	Right 2.11	U-Turn 2.12	App Total	Left 2.13	Thru 2.14	Right 2.15	U-Turn 2.16	App Total	
0700 - 0715	5	314	0	0	319	0	100	52	0	152	23	0	2	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	495
0715 - 0730	0	331	0	0	331	0	147	65	0	212	22	0	2	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	567
0730 - 0745	4	398	1	0	403	0	175	33	0	208	19	0	3	0	22	1	0	0	0	1	634
0745 - 0800	4	299	1	1	305	0	199	32	0	231	14	0	7	0	21	0	0	1	0	1	558
Hourly Total	13	1342	2	1	1358	0	621	182	0	803	78	0	14	0	92	1	0	1	0	2	2255
0800 - 0815	0	287	1	1	289	0	200	22	1	223	12	0	3	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	527
0815 - 0830	2	257	0	0	259	1	167	14	0	182	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	450
0830 - 0845	0	283	0	0	283	1	198	13	0	212	20	0	3	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	518
0845 - 0900	2	279	1	0	282	0	234	16	0	250	11	0	1	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	545
Hourly Total	4	1106	2	1	1113	2	799	65	1	867	52	0	7	1	60	0	0	0	0	0	2040
Grand Total	17	2448	4	2	2471	2	1420	247	1	1670	130	0	21	1	152	1	0	1	0	2	4295
Approach %	0.69	99.07	0.16	0.08	-	0.12	85.03	14.79	0.06	-	85.53	0.00	13.82	0.66	-	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	-	-
Intersection %	0.40	57.00	0.09	0.05	57.53	0.05	33.06	5.75	0.02	38.88	3.03	0.00	0.49	0.02	3.54	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.05	-
PHF	0.50	0.83	0.75	0.50	0.82	0.00	0.90	0.58	0.25	0.95	0.76	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.85	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.50	0.90

1600 - 1800 (Weekday 2h Session) (13-04-2021)


All vehicles

TIME	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					Int
	KY-61 Preston Hwy (South)					KY-61 Preston Hwy (North)					Old Preston Hwy					Local Rd					
	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	App	
1600 - 1615	0	295	2	0	297	0	391	32	0	423	67	0	15	0	82	1	1	1	0	3	805
1615 - 1630	6	289	2	0	297	1	467	29	0	497	36	0	16	0	52	0	0	3	0	3	849
1630 - 1645	1	310	0	0	311	0	424	33	2	459	62	0	17	0	79	0	0	1	0	1	850
1645 - 1700	1	325	1	0	327	0	445	45	0	490	44	0	13	0	57	1	0	1	0	2	876
Hourly Total	8	1219	5	0	1232	1	1727	139	2	1869	209	0	61	0	270	2	1	6	0	9	3380
1700 - 1715	3	286	0	0	289	0	464	35	0	499	43	0	12	0	55	1	0	2	0	3	846
1715 - 1730	3	296	1	2	302	0	481	39	0	520	45	0	13	0	58	1	0	1	0	2	882
1730 - 1745	7	315	0	0	322	0	477	36	0	513	52	0	10	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	897
1745 - 1800	7	297	0	1	305	0	397	41	0	438	35	0	3	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	781
Hourly Total	20	1194	1	3	1218	0	1819	151	0	1970	175	0	38	0	213	2	0	3	0	5	3406
Grand Total	28	2413	6	3	2450	1	3546	290	2	3839	384	0	99	0	483	4	1	9	0	14	6786
Approach %	1.14	98.49	0.24	0.12	-	0.03	92.37	7.55	0.05	-	79.50	0.00	20.50	0.00	-	28.57	7.14	64.29	0.00	-	-
Intersection %	0.41	35.56	0.09	0.04	36.10	0.01	52.25	4.27	0.03	56.57	5.66	0.00	1.46	0.00	7.12	0.06	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.21	-
PHF	0.50	0.94	0.50	0.25	0.95	0.00	0.97	0.86	0.00	0.97	0.88	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.94	0.75	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.58	0.98

HCS Reports

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2021							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor				0.81				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						6		2			4	11		0	4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						17		0						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.57		6.20						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.65		3.30						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						10								0		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						990								1611		
v/c Ratio						0.01								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.7								7.2		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.7								0.0			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Old Preston at Maple Spri							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street	Maple Springs Dr							
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street	Old Preston							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak No Build							Peak Hour Factor	0.81							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
 Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						6		2			4	11		0	4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						17		0						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.57		6.20						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.65		3.30						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						10								0		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						990								1611		
v/c Ratio						0.01								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.7								7.2		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.7								0.0			
Approach LOS					A											

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.9.5
Maple AM 24 NBxtw

Generated: 12/23/2021 2:48:00 PM

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.81				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						19		2			45	23		0	4	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						5		0						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.45		6.20						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.55		3.30						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						26								0		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						928								1526		
v/c Ratio						0.03								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						9.0								7.4		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					9.0								0.0			
Approach LOS					A											


Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2021							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		LT		
Volume (veh/h)						21		18			12	7		5	19	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		6						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.26						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.35						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						44								6		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1000								1607		
v/c Ratio						0.04								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8								7.2		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.8								1.5			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	D8Z							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak No Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR			LT	
Volume (veh/h)						22		19			12	7			5	20
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		6							0	
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2							4.1	
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.26							4.10	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3							2.2	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.35							2.20	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						46									6	
Capacity, c (veh/h)						999									1607	
v/c Ratio						0.05									0.00	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1									0.0	
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8									7.2	
Level of Service (LOS)						A									A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)					8.8								1.5			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Old Preston at Maple Spri				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/22/2021							East/West Street				Maple Springs Dr				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
 Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR			LT	
Volume (veh/h)						64		19			37	13		5	20	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		6						0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)					0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.26						4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.35						2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						93								6		
Capacity, c (veh/h)						940								1561		
v/c Ratio						0.10								0.00		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.3								0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)						9.3								7.3		
Level of Service (LOS)						A								A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					9.3								1.5			
Approach LOS					A											

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Entrance				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/23/2021							East/West Street				Entrance				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	AM Peak							Peak Hour Factor				0.81				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		53		6						5	15				10	13
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2						4.1						
Critical Headway (sec)		6.40		6.20						4.10						
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3						2.2						
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30						2.20						
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			73							6						
Capacity, c (veh/h)			969							1598						
v/c Ratio			0.08							0.00						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.2							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.0							7.3						
Level of Service (LOS)			A							A						
Approach Delay (s/veh)	9.0								1.8							
Approach LOS	A															

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Entrance				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	12/23/2021							East/West Street				Entrance				
Analysis Year	2024							North/South Street				Old Preston				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak							Peak Hour Factor				0.89				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Lanes																
Major Street: North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		31		4						18	19				42	42
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2						4.1						
Critical Headway (sec)		6.40		6.20						4.10						
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3						2.2						
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30						2.20						
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			39							20						
Capacity, c (veh/h)			869							1512						
v/c Ratio			0.05							0.01						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.1							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.3							7.4						
Level of Service (LOS)			A							A						
Approach Delay (s/veh)	9.3								3.7							
Approach LOS	A															

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.9.5
Ent PM.xtw


Generated: 12/23/2021 3:01:53 PM

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information							Intersection Information									
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250								
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Jun 2, 2021			Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak			PHF	0.91								
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2021			Analysis Period	1> 7:15								
Intersection	Cooper Chapel Road		File Name	AM 21 Preston.xus												
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				85	4	5	78	49	418	28	1267	65	201	792	454	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	125.7	Reference Phase	2	Green	6.0	4.9	58.3	7.0	24.4	0.0						
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.5	3.6	0.0						
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	3.0	0.0	1.9	3.0	2.4	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On													
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s				13.5	30.4	13.5	30.4	12.5	64.5	17.4	69.3					
Change Period, (Y+R), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.8	5.1	5.8	3.0	4.9	4.0	4.9					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				5.5	2.2	5.1	19.7	4.1	25.7	10.0	15.3					
Green Extension Time (g e), s				0.7	4.2	0.4	4.6	0.0	32.6	0.8	34.0					
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					
Max Out Probability				0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.17					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				93	4	5	86	54	459	30	964	469	221	870	169	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1647	1900	1425	1675	1900	1403	1753	1856	1807	1689	1658	1585	
Queue Service Time (g s), s				3.5	0.2	0.2	3.1	3.0	17.7	2.1	23.7	23.7	8.0	13.3	6.6	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				3.5	0.2	0.2	3.1	3.0	17.7	2.1	23.7	23.7	8.0	13.3	6.6	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.06	0.19	0.24	0.06	0.19	0.28	0.05	0.46	0.46	0.09	0.50	0.56	
Capacity (c), veh/h				183	368	688	186	368	786	84	1721	838	292	2499	885	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.509	0.012	0.008	0.460	0.146	0.584	0.360	0.560	0.560	0.756	0.348	0.191	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				73.4	5.1	2.9	65	63.9	258.3	42.8	371	356.9	163.1	216.2	102.5	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				2.8	0.2	0.1	2.5	2.6	10.2	1.7	14.5	14.3	6.3	8.4	4.0	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.22	0.51	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				57.7	41.0	36.3	57.6	42.1	39.0	58.0	24.4	24.4	56.2	18.9	13.7	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				3.7	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.3	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.7	4.0	0.1	0.1	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				61.4	41.0	36.3	60.0	42.4	40.1	58.8	24.8	25.1	60.1	19.0	13.9	
Level of Service (LOS)				E	D	D	E	D	D	E	C	C	E	B	B	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				59.2	E		43.1	D		25.6	C		25.5	C		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				29.6						C						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.60	C		3.28	C		2.57	C		2.42	B		
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.66	A		1.48	A		1.31	A		1.18	A		



HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																			
General Information							Intersection Information												
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering					Duration, h		0.250										
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Dec 23, 2021		Area Type		Other									
Jurisdiction				Time Period		AM Peak		PHF		0.91									
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 No Build		Analysis Period		1> 7:15									
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Road		File Name		AM 24 Preston NB.xus													
Project Description		Old Preston Apt																	
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Demand (v), veh/h				86	4	5	93	49	424	28	1299	79	204	818	461				
Signal Information																			
Cycle, s	119.9	Reference Phase	2																
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End																
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On																
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On																
Timer Results				EBL		EBT		WBL		WBT		NBL		NBT		SBL		SBT	
Assigned Phase				7		4		3		8		5		2		1		6	
Case Number				2.0		3.0		2.0		3.0		2.0		4.0		2.0		3.0	
Phase Duration, s				13.5		29.8		13.5		29.8		12.5		59.5		17.1		64.1	
Change Period, (Y+R), s				6.5		6.0		6.5		6.0		6.5		6.2		6.5		6.2	
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6		5.8		5.1		5.8		3.0		4.9		4.0		4.9	
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s				5.3		2.2		5.6		19.0		3.8		22.7		9.8		15.7	
Green Extension Time (g_e), s				0.7		4.3		0.5		4.7		0.0		30.6		0.8		31.3	
Phase Call Probability				1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Max Out Probability				0.00		0.03		0.00		0.01		0.00		0.16		0.00		0.14	
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R				
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16				
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				95	4	5	102	54	466	27	879	426	224	899	177				
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1647	1900	1425	1675	1900	1403	1753	1856	1799	1689	1658	1585				
Queue Service Time (g_s), s				3.3	0.2	0.2	3.6	2.8	17.0	1.8	20.7	20.7	7.8	13.7	6.9				
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s				3.3	0.2	0.2	3.6	2.8	17.0	1.8	20.7	20.7	7.8	13.7	6.9				
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.06	0.20	0.25	0.06	0.20	0.29	0.05	0.44	0.44	0.09	0.48	0.54				
Capacity (c), veh/h				192	377	708	195	377	805	88	1651	800	299	2404	859				
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.492	0.012	0.008	0.523	0.143	0.579	0.302	0.532	0.533	0.749	0.374	0.206				
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				70.2	4.8	2.7	74.1	60.2	248.8	35.5	330.5	317	156.7	223	106.3				
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				2.6	0.2	0.1	2.8	2.4	9.8	1.4	12.9	12.7	6.0	8.6	4.2				
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.22	0.53				
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh				54.8	38.7	34.0	54.9	39.7	36.6	55.0	24.2	24.2	53.4	19.5	14.2				
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh				3.3	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.7	3.8	0.1	0.2				
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Control Delay (d), s/veh				58.1	38.7	34.0	57.8	40.0	37.7	55.6	24.6	24.9	57.1	19.7	14.4				
Level of Service (LOS)				E	D	C	E	D	D	E	C	C	E	B	B				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				56.0		E		41.2		D		25.3		C		25.4		C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				29.2											C				
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB						
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.60		C		3.28		C		2.57		C		2.42		B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.66		A		1.51		B		1.34		A		1.20		A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																	
General Information						Intersection Information											
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering				Duration, h		0.250									
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Dec 23, 2021		Area Type		Other							
Jurisdiction				Time Period		AM Peak		PHF		0.91							
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 Build		Analysis Period		1> 7:15							
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Road		File Name		AM 24 Preston B.xus											
Project Description		Old Preston Apt															
Demand Information						EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement						L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h						121	10	5	95	49	424	28	1299	79	204	829	461
Signal Information																	
Cycle, s	121.8	Reference Phase	2														
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End														
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On														
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On														
						Green	6.0	4.7	53.9	7.0	0.8	24.1					
						Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.5	0.0	3.6					
						Red	3.0	0.0	1.9	3.0	0.0	2.4					
Timer Results						EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase						7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number						2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s						14.3	30.9	13.5	30.1	12.5	60.1	17.2	64.8				
Change Period, (Y+R c), s						6.5	8.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s						5.6	5.8	5.1	5.8	3.0	4.9	4.0	4.9				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s						6.8	2.6	5.7	19.3	3.8	23.0	9.9	16.2				
Green Extension Time (g e), s						1.0	4.3	0.5	4.7	0.0	30.8	0.8	31.6				
Phase Call Probability						1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Max Out Probability						0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.14				
Movement Group Results						EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement						L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement						7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h						133	11	5	104	54	466	26	877	425	224	911	177
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in						1647	1900	1425	1675	1900	1403	1753	1856	1799	1689	1658	1585
Queue Service Time (g s), s						4.8	0.6	0.2	3.7	2.9	17.3	1.8	21.0	21.0	7.9	14.2	7.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s						4.8	0.6	0.2	3.7	2.9	17.3	1.8	21.0	21.0	7.9	14.2	7.0
Green Ratio (g/C)						0.06	0.20	0.25	0.06	0.20	0.29	0.05	0.44	0.44	0.09	0.48	0.55
Capacity (c), veh/h						212	389	724	192	376	803	86	1643	796	298	2396	866
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)						0.627	0.028	0.008	0.543	0.143	0.580	0.307	0.534	0.534	0.752	0.380	0.204
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)						102	12	2.8	77.2	61.3	252.3	36.1	336.7	322.9	159.6	230.4	107.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)						3.8	0.5	0.1	2.9	2.5	9.9	1.4	13.2	12.9	6.1	8.9	4.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.23	0.54
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh						55.6	38.8	34.0	55.9	40.4	37.2	55.9	24.8	24.8	54.3	20.0	14.1
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh						5.1	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.7	3.8	0.1	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh						0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh						60.7	38.8	34.0	59.1	40.6	38.3	56.6	25.1	25.5	58.1	20.2	14.3
Level of Service (LOS)						E	D	C	E	D	D	E	C	C	E	C	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS						58.1		E	42.0		D	25.9		C	25.9		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS						30.2						C					
Multimodal Results						EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS						2.60		C	3.28		C	2.57		C	2.42		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						0.73		A	1.52		B	1.34		A	1.21		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information						Intersection Information									
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering				Duration, h		0.250							
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Jun 2, 2021		Area Type		Other					
Jurisdiction				Time Period		PM Peak		PHF		0.98					
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2021		Analysis Period		1> 4:45					
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Rd		File Name		PM 21 Preston.xus									
Project Description		Old Preston Apt													
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				354	61	46	210	15	358	12	1178	214	707	1768	110
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2	Green	6.0	28.1	67.8	14.5	2.6	22.8					
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.6					
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Red	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.4					
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				30.1	38.0	21.0	28.8	12.5	74.0	47.1	108.6				
Change Period, (Y+R), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.7	5.1	5.7	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g _o), s				20.9	7.0	13.1	19.3	3.3		38.1					
Green Extension Time (g _e), s				2.7	4.2	1.3	3.5	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00		0.09					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				361	62	47	214	15	365	12	947	435	721	1804	112
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1675	1900	1425	1702	1900	1414	1697	1885	1733	1743	1870	1409
Queue Service Time (g _o), s				18.9	5.0	2.4	11.1	1.3	17.3	1.3	38.6	38.2	36.1	15.5	2.7
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s				18.9	5.0	2.4	11.1	1.3	17.3	1.3	38.6	38.2	36.1	15.5	2.7
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.13	0.18	0.21	0.08	0.13	0.35	0.03	0.38	0.38	0.23	0.57	0.70
Capacity (c), veh/h				439	337	601	274	241	996	57	1420	653	805	4255	988
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.823	0.184	0.078	0.783	0.063	0.367	0.211	0.667	0.667	0.896	0.424	0.114
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				350.2	111.7	39.3	227.6	28.7	257.3	26.9	635.5	592.1	593.2	230.1	40.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				13.4	4.5	1.6	8.8	1.1	10.2	1.0	25.2	23.7	23.5	9.1	1.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.78	0.25	0.31	0.57	0.05	0.74	0.06	0.53	0.50	1.08	0.23	0.20
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh				76.2	62.9	57.0	81.2	69.2	43.4	87.5	49.7	48.5	67.1	11.2	4.8
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh				6.5	0.4	0.1	6.7	0.2	0.4	0.6	2.2	4.8	9.4	0.3	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				82.7	63.3	57.1	87.9	69.3	43.7	88.1	51.9	53.3	76.5	11.6	5.0
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E	F	E	D	F	D	D	E	B	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				77.6	E		60.3	E		52.7	D		29.1	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				43.6						D					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.75	C		2.99	C		2.59	C		2.42	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1.26	A		1.47	A		1.28	A		1.58	B	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information							Intersection Information									
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250								
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Dec 23, 2021			Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction				Time Period	PM Peak		PHF	0.98								
Urban Street	Preston Highway			Analysis Year	2024 No Build		Analysis Period	1> 4:45								
Intersection	Cooper Chapel Rd			File Name	PM 24 NB Preston.xus											
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
																
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				360	62	46	225	15	364	12	1208	229	718	1807	112	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.0	28.6	66.7	15.3	2.1	23.1						
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.6						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.4						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s				30.4	37.7	21.8	29.1	12.5	72.9	47.6	108.0					
Change Period, (Y+R), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.7	5.1	5.7	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				21.2	7.1	13.9	19.5	3.2		38.7						
Green Extension Time (g e), s				2.7	4.3	1.4	3.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0					
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00						
Max Out Probability				0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00		0.14						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				367	63	47	230	15	371	11	894	410	733	1844	114	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1675	1900	1425	1702	1900	1414	1697	1885	1728	1743	1670	1409	
Queue Service Time (g s), s				19.2	5.1	2.4	11.9	1.3	17.5	1.2	25.2	23.8	36.7	16.3	2.8	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				19.2	5.1	2.4	11.9	1.3	17.5	1.2	25.2	23.8	36.7	16.3	2.8	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.13	0.18	0.21	0.09	0.13	0.36	0.03	0.37	0.37	0.23	0.57	0.70	
Capacity (c), veh/h				445	334	596	290	244	1008	57	1398	640	815	4230	984	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.825	0.189	0.079	0.791	0.063	0.369	0.192	0.640	0.640	0.899	0.436	0.116	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				354.8	113.8	39.4	240.1	28.6	259.8	24.5	307.6	262.5	603.3	238.6	42.1	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				13.5	4.6	1.6	9.3	1.1	10.3	0.9	12.2	10.5	23.9	9.4	1.5	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.79	0.25	0.31	0.60	0.05	0.74	0.06	0.26	0.22	1.10	0.24	0.21	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				76.0	63.2	57.2	80.8	69.0	42.9	87.5	23.7	21.3	66.9	11.6	4.9	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				6.5	0.4	0.1	6.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	1.5	3.3	10.0	0.3	0.2	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				82.5	63.6	57.3	87.3	69.1	43.3	87.9	25.2	24.6	76.9	12.0	5.1	
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E	F	E	D	F	C	C	E	B	A	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				77.5		E	80.3		E	25.6		C	29.4		C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				36.6						D						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.75		C	2.99		C	2.59		C	2.42		B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1.28		A	1.50		B	1.30		A	1.60		B	

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information								Intersection Information							
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h		0.250					
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Dec 23, 2021		Area Type		Other					
Jurisdiction				Time Period		PM Peak		PHF		0.98					
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 Build		Analysis Period		1> 4:45					
Intersection		Cooper Chapel Rd		File Name		PM 24 B Preston.xus									
Project Description		Old Preston Apt													
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				381	66	46	231	15	364	12	1208	229	718	1843	112
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
				Green	6.0	28.4	65.7	15.7	3.0	23.0					
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.6					
				Red	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.4					
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase				7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number				2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s				31.7	38.5	22.2	29.0	12.5	71.9	47.4	106.8				
Change Period, (Y+R), s				6.5	6.0	6.5	6.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.2				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s				5.6	5.7	5.1	5.7	3.0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s				22.3	7.4	14.2	19.5	3.1		38.7					
Green Extension Time (g e), s				2.8	4.3	1.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0				
Phase Call Probability				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability				0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00		0.20					
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				389	67	47	236	15	371	11	887	407	733	1881	114
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1675	1900	1425	1702	1900	1414	1697	1885	1728	1743	1870	1409
Queue Service Time (g s), s				20.3	5.4	2.4	12.2	1.3	17.5	1.1	25.2	23.7	36.7	17.3	2.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				20.3	5.4	2.4	12.2	1.3	17.5	1.1	25.2	23.7	36.7	17.3	2.8
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.14	0.18	0.21	0.09	0.13	0.36	0.03	0.36	0.36	0.23	0.56	0.70
Capacity (c), veh/h				468	343	610	297	243	1005	57	1375	630	812	4181	985
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.830	0.196	0.077	0.794	0.063	0.370	0.191	0.645	0.646	0.902	0.450	0.116
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				371.1	120.6	39.1	244.8	28.6	260.1	24.3	304.9	261.2	605.9	251.2	42.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				14.2	4.8	1.6	9.5	1.1	10.3	0.9	12.1	10.4	24.0	9.9	1.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.82	0.27	0.31	0.61	0.05	0.74	0.06	0.25	0.22	1.10	0.25	0.21
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				75.3	62.7	56.5	80.6	69.0	43.1	87.5	23.8	21.4	67.0	12.3	5.0
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				6.4	0.4	0.1	6.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	1.8	3.5	10.6	0.4	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				81.7	63.0	56.6	87.1	69.2	43.4	87.9	25.4	24.9	77.7	12.7	5.2
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E	F	E	D	F	C	C	E	B	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				76.9	E		60.6	E		25.8	C		29.8	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				37.1						D					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.75	C		2.99	C		2.60	C		2.42	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1.32	A		1.51	B		1.30	A		1.61	B	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information								Intersection Information								
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h		0.250						
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Jun 2, 2021		Area Type		Other						
Jurisdiction				Time Period		AM Peak		PHF		0.90						
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2021		Analysis Period		1> 7:15						
Intersection		Interchange Drive		File Name		AM 21 Preston.xus										
Project Description		Old Preston Apt														
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				67		15	1	0	1	10	1315	3	1	721	152	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	150.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	0.3	5.7	113.1	6.0	0.5	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0						
				Red	3.0	0.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0		12.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					12.0		6.5	12.5	124.7	6.8	119.0					
Change Period, (Y+R), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.1	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g+), s							2.2	3.0		2.1						
Green Extension Time (g+), s					0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Phase Call Probability							0.09	1.00		0.04						
Max Out Probability							0.00	0.00		0.00						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7		14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				74		17		2		11	700	700	1	782	165	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1661		1359		1704		1527	1856	1854	1810	1724	1585	
Queue Service Time (g+), s				3.3		1.7		0.2		1.0	12.5	12.5	0.1	10.8	3.6	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g+), s				3.3		1.7		0.2		1.0	12.5	12.5	0.1	10.8	3.6	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.04		0.08		0.00		0.04	0.79	0.79	0.00	0.75	0.79	
Capacity (c), veh/h				133		109		6		61	1470	1468	3	2599	1258	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.560		0.153		0.368		0.174	0.477	0.477	0.338	0.301	0.131	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				68.7		31.4		4.8		21.6	138.8	135.5	3.8	158.9	43.6	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				2.6		1.1		0.2		0.7	5.4	5.4	0.2	6.1	1.7	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.17		0.52		0.00		0.09	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.15	
Uniform Delay (d+), s/veh				70.7		64.3		74.6		71.7	2.8	2.8	74.8	5.9	3.6	
Incremental Delay (d+), s/veh				3.7		0.6		13.3		0.9	0.8	0.8	49.9	0.3	0.2	
Initial Queue Delay (d+), s/veh				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				74.4		64.9		87.9		72.6	3.6	3.6	124.7	6.2	3.8	
Level of Service (LOS)				E		E		F		E	A	A	F	A	A	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				72.6		E		87.9		4.1		A		5.9		A
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				7.4						A						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33		B	2.49		B	1.62		B	2.05		B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						F	0.49		A	1.70		B	1.29		A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information							Intersection Information									
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250								
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Dec 23, 2021			Area Type	Other								
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak			PHF	0.90								
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2024 No Build			Analysis Period	1> 7:15								
Intersection	Interchange Drive		File Name	AM 24 Preston NB.xus												
Project Description	Old Preston Apt															
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				58	24	15	190	13	1	10	1300	137	125	635	155	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	150.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	6.0	0.9	83.6	7.9	20.7	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0						
				Red	3.0	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0		11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					13.9		26.7	12.5	89.5	19.9	96.9					
Change Period, (Y+R c), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s					7.6		20.3	3.0		13.0						
Green Extension Time (g e), s					0.3		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0					
Phase Call Probability					1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00						
Max Out Probability					0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				64	27	17		226	1	10	710	691	135	688	168	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1711	1900	1359		1815	1610	1527	1856	1793	1810	1724	1585	
Queue Service Time (g s), s				5.6	2.0	1.7		18.3	0.1	1.0	33.2	32.7	11.0	14.7	6.1	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				5.6	2.0	1.7		18.3	0.1	1.0	33.2	32.7	11.0	14.7	6.1	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.05	0.05	0.09		0.14	0.14	0.04	0.56	0.56	0.09	0.61	0.86	
Capacity (c), veh/h				90	100	126		251	222	61	1034	999	162	2092	1045	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.715	0.267	0.132		0.900	0.005	0.160	0.687	0.692	0.836	0.329	0.161	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				125.6	45.2	30.8		335.3	1.6	20	405.2	368.7	228.4	242.6	93.4	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				4.8	1.8	1.1		13.4	0.1	0.7	15.8	14.7	9.1	9.3	3.7	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.31	0.00	0.51		0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	2.28	0.00	0.31	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				69.9	68.3	62.5		63.6	55.8	72.4	15.0	14.2	67.2	14.5	9.7	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				10.1	1.4	0.5		4.7	0.0	0.8	2.5	2.6	10.0	0.4	0.3	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				80.0	69.7	63.0		68.3	55.8	73.2	17.5	16.9	77.2	14.9	10.0	
Level of Service (LOS)				F	E	E		E	E	E	B	B	E	B	B	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				74.8		E		68.2		E		B		22.6		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				25.8						C						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.32		B	2.49		B	1.91		B	2.09		B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.67		A	0.86		A	1.81		B	1.33		A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																		
General Information							Intersection Information											
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250										
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Dec 23, 2021		Area Type	Other											
Jurisdiction			Time Period	AM Peak		PHF	0.90											
Urban Street	Preston Highway		Analysis Year	2024 Build		Analysis Period	1> 7:15											
Intersection	Interchange Drive		File Name	AM 24 Preston B.xus														
Project Description	Old Preston Apt																	
Demand Information							EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement							L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h							58	24	15	190	13	1	13	1300	137	125	647	155
Signal Information																		
Cycle, s	150.0	Reference Phase	2															
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End															
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off															
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On															
Green	6.0	0.9	83.5	7.9	20.7	0.0												
Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0												
Red	3.0	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0												
Timer Results							EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase								4		8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number								9.0		11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s								13.9		26.7	12.5	89.4	19.9	96.9				
Change Period, (Y+R), s								6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s								4.0		3.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s								7.6		20.3	3.2		13.1					
Green Extension Time (g e), s								0.3		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0				
Phase Call Probability								1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00					
Max Out Probability								0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00					
Movement Group Results							EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement							L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement							7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h							64	27	17		226	1	13	709	690	136	701	168
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in							1711	1900	1359		1815	1610	1527	1856	1793	1810	1724	1585
Queue Service Time (g s), s							5.6	2.0	1.7		18.3	0.1	1.2	33.4	33.0	11.1	15.1	6.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s							5.6	2.0	1.7		18.3	0.1	1.2	33.4	33.0	11.1	15.1	6.1
Green Ratio (g/C)							0.05	0.05	0.09		0.14	0.14	0.04	0.56	0.56	0.09	0.61	0.66
Capacity (c), veh/h							90	100	126		251	222	61	1033	999	162	2092	1045
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)							0.715	0.267	0.132		0.900	0.005	0.207	0.686	0.690	0.836	0.335	0.161
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)							125.6	45.2	30.8		335.3	1.6	26.1	411.7	375.9	228.2	247.2	93.5
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)							4.8	1.8	1.1		13.4	0.1	0.9	16.1	15.0	9.1	9.4	3.7
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)							0.31	0.00	0.51		0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	2.28	0.00	0.31
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh							69.9	68.3	62.5		63.6	55.8	72.5	15.3	14.5	67.2	14.6	9.7
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh							10.1	1.4	0.5		4.7	0.0	1.1	2.5	2.6	9.9	0.4	0.3
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh							0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh							80.0	69.7	63.0		68.3	55.8	73.6	17.7	17.1	77.1	15.0	10.0
Level of Service (LOS)							F	E	E		E	E	E	B	B	E	B	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS							74.8		E	68.2		E	18.0		B	22.5		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS							26.0						C					
Multimodal Results							EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS							2.32		B	2.49		B	1.91		B	2.09		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS							0.67		A	0.86		A	1.82		B	1.34		A

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary															
General Information								Intersection Information							
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h		0.250					
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Jun 2, 2021		Area Type		Other					
Jurisdiction				Time Period		PM Peak		PHF		0.98					
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2021		Analysis Period		1> 4:45					
Intersection		Interchange Dr		File Name		PM 21 Preston.xus									
Project Description		Old Preston Apt													
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h				184		48	3	0	4	16	1222	2	0	1867	155
Signal Information															
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End												
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On												
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On												
Green				3.4	126.2	20.0	6.0	0.0	0.0						
Yellow				3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0						
Red				3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT				
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6				
Case Number					9.0		12.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0				
Phase Duration, s					26.0		12.0	9.9	142.0	0.0	132.1				
Change Period, (Y+R), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9				
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.1	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Queue Clearance Time (g), s							2.7	3.6							
Green Extension Time (g), s					0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Phase Call Probability							1.00	0.56							
Max Out Probability							0.00	0.00							
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement				7		14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				188		49		7		16	630	629	0	1846	153
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1757		1610		1690		1810	1885	1884	1810	1781	1598
Queue Service Time (g), s				9.0		4.9		0.7		1.6	19.9	19.9	0.0	61.1	3.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g), s				9.0		4.9		0.7		1.6	19.9	19.9	0.0	61.1	3.8
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.11		0.13		0.03		0.02	0.76	0.76		0.70	0.81
Capacity (c), veh/h				390		209		56		34	1425	1425	1	2497	1298
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.481		0.234		0.127		0.486	0.442	0.442	0.000	0.739	0.118
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)				183.7		91.7		14.8		37.6	288.5	288.1	0	788.8	48.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)				7.3		3.7		0.6		1.5	10.7	10.6	0.0	31.1	1.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.46		1.53		0.00		0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				75.1		70.3		84.5		88.8	6.9	6.9	0.0	19.0	3.7
Incremental Delay (d), s/veh				0.9		0.6		0.4		7.5	0.7	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d), s/veh				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh				76.0		70.9		84.8		96.3	7.6	7.6	0.0	20.5	3.8
Level of Service (LOS)				E		E		F		F	A	A		C	A
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				75.0		E		84.8		8.8		A		19.2	B
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				19.3						B					
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33		B	2.49		B	1.64		B	2.07		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS						F	0.50		A	1.53		B	2.19		B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																
General Information						Intersection Information										
Agency		Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering				Duration, h		0.250								
Analyst		DBZ		Analysis Date		Dec 23, 2021		Area Type		Other						
Jurisdiction				Time Period		PM Peak		PHF		0.98						
Urban Street		Preston Highway		Analysis Year		2024 No Build		Analysis Period		1> 4:45						
Intersection		Interchange Dr		File Name		PM 24 NB Preston.xus										
Project Description		Old Preston Apt														
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				171	28	48	221	12	4	16	1248	82	159	1758	158	
Signal Information																
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End													
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	8.0	3.3	92.2	20.0	25.5	0.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0						
				Red	3.0	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.4	0.0						
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4		8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0		11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					26.0		31.5	14.5	98.1	24.4	108.0					
Change Period, (Y+R), s					6.0		6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0		3.0	4.0	0.0	3.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s					11.8		25.1	3.5		17.6						
Green Extension Time (g e), s					0.8		0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0					
Phase Call Probability					1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00						
Max Out Probability					0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				105	98	49		238	4	15	636	624	157	1738	156	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/in				1810	1836	1610		1814	1610	1810	1885	1844	1810	1781	1598	
Queue Service Time (g s), s				9.8	9.1	4.8		23.1	0.4	1.5	41.7	40.6	15.6	72.3	5.0	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				9.8	9.1	4.8		23.1	0.4	1.5	41.7	40.6	15.6	72.3	5.0	
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.11	0.11	0.16		0.15	0.15	0.04	0.51	0.51	0.10	0.57	0.68	
Capacity (c), veh/h				211	204	250		267	228	80	966	945	190	2019	1083	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.496	0.482	0.196		0.889	0.018	0.188	0.659	0.660	0.830	0.861	0.144	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/in (95 th percentile)				202.6	193.4	88.5		408.8	7.2	31.4	606.4	566.5	307.4	865.9	74.2	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/in (95 th percentile)				8.1	7.7	3.5		16.4	0.3	1.3	24.1	22.7	12.3	38.0	2.9	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.51	0.00	1.47		0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	3.07	0.00	0.25	
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				74.5	74.7	66.2		75.3	66.4	83.8	27.7	26.0	87.5	29.5	7.9	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				1.8	1.8	0.4		4.0	0.0	0.8	2.5	2.6	3.1	4.5	0.2	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				76.3	76.4	66.6		79.3	66.5	84.6	30.2	28.6	90.6	34.0	8.1	
Level of Service (LOS)				E	E	E		E	E	F	C	C	F	C	A	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				74.5	E		79.1	E		30.1	C		36.4	D		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				39.5						D						
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33	B		2.49	B		1.92	B		2.10	B		
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.90	A		0.89	A		1.62	B		2.23	B		

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary																	
General Information							Intersection Information										
Agency	Diane B. Zimmerman Traffic Engineering						Duration, h	0.250									
Analyst	DBZ		Analysis Date	Dec 23, 2021			Area Type	Other									
Jurisdiction				Time Period	PM Peak			PHF	0.98								
Urban Street	Preston Highway			Analysis Year	2024 Build			Analysis Period	1> 4:45								
Intersection	Interchange Dr			File Name	PM 24 B Preston.xus												
Project Description	Old Preston Apt																
Demand Information				EB			WB			NB			SB				
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Demand (v), veh/h				171	28	48	221	12	4	28	1248	82	159	1764	158		
Signal Information																	
Cycle, s	180.0	Reference Phase	2														
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	8.0	3.6	92.0	20.0	25.5	0.0							
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.6	3.6	0.0							
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	3.0	3.0	1.8	2.4	2.4	0.0							
Timer Results				EBL	EBT	WBL		WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT					
Assigned Phase					4			8	5	2	1	6					
Case Number					9.0			11.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0					
Phase Duration, s					26.0			31.5	14.5	97.9	24.6	108.0					
Change Period, (Y+R c), s					6.0			6.0	6.5	5.9	6.5	5.9					
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s					4.0			3.0	4.0	0.0	3.0	0.0					
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s					11.8			25.1	4.6		17.9						
Green Extension Time (g e), s					0.8			0.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0					
Phase Call Probability					1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00						
Max Out Probability					0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00						
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB			SB				
Approach Movement				L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Assigned Movement				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16		
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				105	98	49		238	4	26	631	618	160	1774	159		
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1810	1836	1610		1814	1610	1810	1885	1844	1810	1781	1598		
Queue Service Time (g s), s				9.8	9.1	4.8		23.1	0.4	2.6	41.3	40.2	15.9	75.3	5.0		
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				9.8	9.1	4.8		23.1	0.4	2.6	41.3	40.2	15.9	75.3	5.0		
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.11	0.11	0.16		0.15	0.15	0.04	0.51	0.51	0.11	0.57	0.68		
Capacity (c), veh/h				211	204	250		267	228	80	963	942	192	2019	1083		
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)				0.496	0.482	0.196		0.889	0.018	0.327	0.655	0.656	0.832	0.878	0.147		
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)				202.6	193.4	88.5		408.8	7.2	55.1	601.5	561.8	310.3	1001.6	74.3		
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)				8.1	7.7	3.5		16.4	0.3	2.2	23.9	22.5	12.4	39.4	2.9		
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)				0.51	0.00	1.47		0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	3.10	0.00	0.25		
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				74.5	74.7	66.2		75.3	66.4	84.3	27.7	26.1	87.1	29.9	7.8		
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				1.8	1.8	0.4		4.0	0.0	1.7	2.5	2.5	3.1	5.1	0.2		
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (d), s/veh				76.3	76.4	66.6		79.3	66.5	86.0	30.2	28.6	90.3	35.0	8.0		
Level of Service (LOS)				E	E	E		E	E	F	C	C	F	D	A		
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				74.5	E		79.1	E		30.6	C		37.2	D			
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				40.1						D							
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB			SB				
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				2.33	B		2.49	B		1.92	B		2.10	B			
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				0.90	A		0.89	A		1.63	B		2.24	B			

Old Preston Highway Traffic Impact Study

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection				Herr Lane at Wesboro				
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	10/30/2020							East/West Street				Wesboro				
Analysis Year	2025							North/South Street				Herr Lane				
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor				0.94				
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)				0.25				
Project Description	Providence Point															
Lanes																
Major Street North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	1	0		0	1	0		0	1	0
Configuration			LR				LR				L	TR			L	TR
Volume (veh/h)		10		17		21		25		26	536	45		64	690	8
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0		0		4		0				0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0				0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage					Left Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2		4.1				4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)		7.10		6.20		7.10		6.24		4.10				4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3		2.2				2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30		3.50		3.34		2.20				2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			29				49				28				68	
Capacity, c (veh/h)			291				421				874				972	
v/c Ratio			0.10				0.12				0.03				0.07	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.3				0.4				0.1				0.2	
Control Delay (s/veh)			18.7				14.7				9.3				9.0	
Level of Service (LOS)			C				B				A				A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)	18.7				14.7				0.4				0.8			
Approach LOS	C				B											

Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.9
Wesboro PM 25 B.txtw

Generated: 10/30/2020 3:40:58 PM

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Herr Lane at Wesboro							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	7/23/2020							East/West Street	Wesboro							
Analysis Year	2035							North/South Street	Herr Lane							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak No Build							Peak Hour Factor	0.94							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Providence Point															
Lanes																
Major Street North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR			LT	
Volume (veh/h)						21		25			535	45			64	707
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0		4							0	
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0															
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage	Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2							4.1	
Critical Headway (sec)						6.40		6.24							4.10	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3							2.2	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.50		3.34							2.20	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						49									68	
Capacity, c (veh/h)						269									973	
v/c Ratio						0.18									0.07	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.7									0.2	
Control Delay (s/veh)						21.4									9.0	
Level of Service (LOS)						C									A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)					21.4								1.7			
Approach LOS					C											

Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.8.5
Wesboro PM 35 NB.txtw

Generated: 7/23/2020 1:00:11 PM

Old Preston Highway
Traffic Impact Study

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report																
General Information								Site Information								
Analyst	DBZ							Intersection	Herr Lane at Wesboro							
Agency/Co.	Diane B Zimmerman Traffic Engineering							Jurisdiction								
Date Performed	10/30/2020							East/West Street	Wesboro							
Analysis Year	2035							North/South Street	Herr Lane							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Build							Peak Hour Factor	0.94							
Intersection Orientation	North-South							Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	Providence Point															
Lanes																
Major Street North-South																
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments																
Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	1	0		0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration			LR				LR			L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)		10		17		21		25		26	562	45		64	734	8
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		0		0		0		4		0				0		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	0				0											
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage					Left Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2		4.1				4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)		7.10		6.20		7.10		6.24		4.10				4.10		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3		2.2				2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.50		3.30		3.50		3.34		2.20				2.20		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)			29				49			28				68		
Capacity, c (veh/h)			273				392			839				949		
v/c Ratio			0.11				0.12			0.03				0.07		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)			0.3				0.4			0.1				0.2		
Control Delay (s/veh)			19.7				15.5			9.4				9.1		
Level of Service (LOS)			C				C			A				A		
Approach Delay (s/veh)	19.7				15.5				0.4				0.7			
Approach LOS	C				C											

Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 TWSC Version 7.9
Wesboro PM 35 B.twt

Generated: 10/30/2020 3:42:18 PM



DESIGNED FOR YOU.
ENGINEERED FOR SUCCESS.

5151 Jefferson Boulevard
Louisville, KY 40219
(502) 485-1508

www.MindelScott.com

**CASE #21-ZONE-0104 – JUSTIFICATION FOR LAND-DISTURBING ACTIVITY
ON SLOPES 30% OR GREATER**

Project Name and Location: Old Preston Highway Apartments, 10410 & 10414 Old Preston Highway

Proposed Use: Multi-Family Residential

Request: Zone Change from R-4 to R-7

Owner: Skaggs Family Trust

Applicant: Highgates Development

Located north of the intersection with Interchange Drive on Old Preston Highway, the Old Preston Highway Apartment site consists of two contiguous parcels on 9.78 total acres of land. The proposal is for a change of zoning from R-4 to R-7 to construct a 174-unit multi-family development. The overall net density will be 17.79 dwelling units per acre and includes over 110,000 SF of open space provided throughout the development.

Steep slopes are present on this site. Slopes greater than 30% however, are minimal. There is approximately 780 SF of area greater than 30% on the proposed development. This is equivalent to only 0.18% of the total net area. Steep slopes between 20% and 30% are also present on this site. The largest consolidated area of 20%-30% slopes are proposed for preservation. This preserved area is located adjacent to Fishpool Creek and is located at the west end of the site.

Asher Engineering, Inc. has performed geotechnical evaluation of the site and a report has been submitted to Louisville Planning and Design Services. The report did not indicate any negative impacts due to the proposed development. Furthermore, the geotechnical report outlines recommendations for development and indicates that the soils on this site "are suitable for support of new buildings and roads, and are suitable for structural fill".

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

WHEREAS, Patrick Hassler and Sherry Hassler, husband and wife ("Hassler"), with an address of 10412 Old Preston Hwy, Louisville, KY 40229, are the owners of real estate lying to the North and West and contiguous to said real estate of The Skaggs Family Trust, James Mark Skaggs and Jon Skaggs, Co-Trustees, by virtue of a deed September 12, 1994, and recorded in Deed Book 6500, Page 739, of record in the office of the Clerk for Jefferson County, Kentucky (the "Hassler Property"), and

WHEREAS, The Skaggs Family Trust, James Mark Skaggs and Jon Skaggs, Co-Trustees ("Skaggs") has entered into a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement, as amended from time-to-time, with T Big Ventures Inc. ("T Big"), for the sale and purchase of their property located at 10410 and 10414 Old Preston Hwy., Louisville, Kentucky (the "Skaggs' Property"), and

WHEREAS, in anticipation of said sale and transfer of the Skagg's Property to T Big, T Big and Hassler desire to establish and define the location of a future easement across the Skaggs' Property for the benefit of the Hassler Property for ingress and egress.

NOW, therefore, subject to the terms set forth hereinbelow, T Big does hereby agree to grant to Hassler, their heirs and assigns, a perpetual, non-exclusive easement over the that portion of Skaggs' Property and contiguous to the Hasslers' property as shown on the Access Easement Plat (the "Plat") by Mindel Scott and Associates, Inc. attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A". Said easement is limited to the hatched area, as shown on the attached Plat as shown as "30' ACCESS ESMT GRANTED".

Said easement shall be in the form set forth hereto as Exhibit A, except that the grantor therein may be altered to Joseph Waldman or any other entity owned by him, in part or in whole, or otherwise under the control of Joseph Waldman pursuant to an assignment of the Purchase Agreement on or before closing.

However, in the event T Big (i) does not receive all permits and approvals necessary for its intended use of the property as an apartment complex, or (ii) does not consummate its conveyance pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, T Big's obligation to execute and grant the easement contemplated herein shall terminate and neither party shall have any further obligation to the other.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, witness the authorized signature of the T Big and Hasslers the day and date set forth below.

GRANTOR: T Big Ventures Inc.,

By: _____

Printed Name: _____

Its: _____

DEED OF ACCESS EASEMENT

Know all men by these presents that, whereas **T Big Ventures Inc.**, hereinafter called the Grantor, is the owner of the following described real estate, to wit:

10410 Old Preston Hwy.

BEGINNING at a point in the center of Preston Highway, f/k/a Louisville & Shepherdsville Pike, 196.8 feet Northwardly from the Southeast corner of Lot 2, Issac Brooks Division, said corner of Joseph Logsdon; thence with the center line of said Preston Highway North 14° 07' West 100 feet, South 77° 46' West 621.27 feet; thence with North 14° 07' West 140.30 feet; thence North 28° 17' West 258.20 feet; thence South 78° West 608 feet to the center of a Branch; thence with a center of said Branch and with its meanders South 18° 45' East 89 feet; East 89 feet; South 50° East 240 feet, South 19-1/4 ° East 142 feet, more or less, to a corner of the 1-2/3 acres conveyed to Robert F. Flora by deed dated December 30, 1937, of record in Deed Book 1660, Page 648, in the Office of the County Clerk of Jefferson County, Kentucky; thence leaving said Branch and with the North line of said 1-2/3 acres, North 81° 43' East 370 feet, more or less; thence South 85° 07' East 272 feet; thence North 74° 46' East 603.3 feet to the beginning.

Being that same property conveyed to **T Big Ventures Inc.**, by Deed dated _____ and recorded on _____ in Deed Book _____, Page _____, of record in the office of the Clerk for Jefferson County, Kentucky.

AND

10414 Old Preston Hwy.

BEGINNING at a point in the center line of Preston Street, or Highway, North 14° 07' West 30 feet from the Southeast corner of Lot 2 of the Isaacs Brooks Division recorded in Deed Book 552, Page 335, in the Office of the Clerk of the County Court of Jefferson County, Kentucky; thence with the center line of Preston Highway, North 14° 07' West

166.80 feet to a point in the center line of said Highway; thence South 74° 44' West passing a pipe at 40 feet, continuing on same line to a railroad spike at the Northwest corner of property, in all 604.64 feet; thence South 14° 07' East 120.00 feet to a pin and property corner; thence North 79° 10' East passing a pipe at 565.46 feet, continuing on the same line to the point of beginning, in all 605.50 feet, containing 1.99 acres; said above described property being subject to a 10 foot easement along the entire North line, for ingress and egress to the property conveyed to Joseph E. Hassler and wife as set out in Deed recorded in Deed Book

3615, Page 47, in the Office of the Clerk aforesaid; and being the remaining portion of the property conveyed to Charles W. Brooks and Wilma Lee Brooks, his wife, for their joint lives with remainder in fee simple to the survivor of them by deed dated February 9, 1951, and recorded in Deed Book 2719, Page 465, in the Office of the Clerk aforesaid, the said Charles W. Brooks, having died February, 1975, thereby vesting the fee simple title to said property in Wilma Lee Brooks, party of the first part.

Being that same property conveyed to **T Big Ventures Inc.**, by Deed dated _____ and recorded on _____ in Deed Book _____, Page _____, of record in the office of the Clerk for Jefferson County, Kentucky.

WHEREAS, **PATRICK HASSLER and SHERRY HASSLER**, husband and wife, with an address of 10412 Old Preston Hwy, Louisville, KY 40229, herein called the Grantees, are the owners of real estate lying to the North and West and contiguous to said real estate of Grantor by virtue of a deed September 12, 1994, and recorded in Deed Book 6500, Page 739, of record in the office of the Clerk for Jefferson County, Kentucky, and

WHEREAS, the Grantor and Grantees desire to establish and define an easement across Grantor's property for the benefit of Grantees' property for ingress and egress.

NOW, therefore, in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) received to the full satisfaction of the Grantees, the Grantor does hereby grant to the Grantees, their heirs and assigns, a perpetual, non-exclusive easement over the that portion of Grantor's property and contiguous to the Grantees' property as shown on the Access Easement Plat (the "Plat") by Mindel Scott and Associates, Inc. attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A". Said easement is limited to the hatched area, as shown on the attached Plat as shown as "30' ACCESS ESMT GRANTED".

Said easement includes the right of ingress and egress over Grantor's property to and from the easement for access to Grantee's real estate for its current use as a single-family residence. These presents shall not be deemed to include or grant any right, title, interest, claim or demand in or to said real estate of the Grantor other than the right granted herein. Excepting any damages specifically caused by the Grantee, the Grantor shall have the sole authority and responsibility for the maintenance and upkeep of the easement. This easement shall run with the land and shall bind and inure to the benefit of the Grantor and Grantees, and their successors, assigns, heirs and personal representatives.

[signature pages to follow]

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, witness the authorized signature of the Grantor and Grantees the day and date set forth below.

GRANTOR: T Big Ventures Inc.,

By: _____

Printed Name: _____

Its: _____

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF _____

I, _____ the undersigned Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing Access Easement was this day produced to me in said state and county and acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____, 2021, by **T Big Ventures, Inc.**, to be his voluntary act and deed on behalf of said Trust.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal.

My commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

GRANTEES:

PATRICK HASSLER

SHERRY HASSLER

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF _____

The foregoing Access Easement was subscribed, sworn to and acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____, 2021, by the Grantees, **PATRICK HASSLER and SHERRY HASSLER**, husband and wife.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal.

My commission expires: _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

Printed Signature

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY:


Jason A. Lopp, #87538
CHURCH LANGDON LOPP & BANET LLC
318 Pearl Street, #200
New Albany, IN 47150
(812)725-8224

NOTES:

1. THIS PLAT DOES NOT REPRESENT A BOUNDARY SURVEY AND IS NOT INTENDED FOR LAND TRANSFER.
2. THE BOUNDARY LINES SHOWN HEREON WERE DETERMINED FROM DEEDS OF RECORD AND HAVE NOT BEEN VERIFIED.
3. THIS PLAT IS SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ANYTHING RECORDED OR NOT.


/// DENOTES ACCESS EASEMENT "GRANTED" = 21,937 SQUARE FEET

NORTH & ALL BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED UPON KY STATE PLANNING COORDINATE SYSTEM NORTH ZONE (NAD 83)



LOCATION MAP
NO SCALE

N 12°07'00" W
120.00'



N 12°07'07" W
166.36'

OLD PRESTON HWY
NO SOURCE OF THE PLAT

ACCESS EASEMENT

LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
1	S 78°08'25" E	15.00'
2	S 22°12'52" E	31.84'
3	S 65°57'22" E	31.00'
4	S 23°41'45" E	40.80'
5	S 81°08'02" E	369.63'
6	S 76°57'44" W	36.30'
7	N 33°50'14" W	36.90'
8	N 11°48'00" W	15.70'
9	N 25°34'50" E	30.51'
10	N 22°57'12" W	32.70'
11	S 73°07'02" W	54.61'

LAND SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE INFORMATION
DEFERRED HEREON WAS OBTAINED UNDER
MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND MEETS OR
EXCEEDS THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
NON-BOUNDARY SURVEY WORK AS DEFINED
IN SECTION 15 OF 201 KAR 15:152

Timothy J. Gehlhausen 2006/21
TIMOTHY J. GEHLHAUSEN PLS
NOT VALID WITHOUT OVERLAY SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF THE PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR

GRAPHIC SCALE 1"=120'



ACCESS EASEMENT PLAT

PROPERTY OF:
SKAGGS FAMILY TRUST
6006 PAYTON LANE
CRESTWOOD, KY 40014
TAX BOOK 85, LOT 44
DEED BOOK 11343, PAGE 804
DEED BOOK 11343, PAGE 809

PROPERTY ADDRESS:
10441 OLD PRESTON HWY
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

DATE: 10/28/21

SCALE: 1"=120'



MINDLE SCOTT

ENGINEERING ► SURVEYING ►

PLANNING ► LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

5151 JEFFERSON BLVD LOUISVILLE, KY 40219

502-485-1508 ► MINDLESCOTT.COM

Sheet 1 of 1

JOB NO: 3605-EP1

Asher Engineering, Inc.

Environmental & Engineering Consulting

October 12, 2021

Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com

RE: Slope Stability
Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Ky

Dear Mr. Hackworth,


In July, 2021 Asher Engineering visited the referenced site to conduct a subsurface investigation for the proposed apartment complex. The subsurface conditions were explored by conducting 9 test pits across the site. The test pits were consistent with about 12 in. topsoil layer underlain by moist, firm, brown silty clay soil down to limestone bedrock.

The site topography is generally flat to gently sloping with some areas of the site at slightly steeper slope. While no slope failures were noted during our site visit, the areas with significant slopes will be inspected by the Asher Engineer during the earthwork portion of the site development, and inspected during construction of new building foundations. Placement of any fill in sloped areas must be benched into the slope and placed horizontally, and compacted to 98 percent of the Standard Proctor (ASTM D698). Field density tests would be conducted to confirm that the specified compaction was achieved.


The site should be graded such that water drains away from the structures. Some areas may require additional efforts to insure positive drainage away from the building foundations. This may include perimeter and subfloor drains connected to a sump or French drain. The site should be graded such that water drains away from the structures. Any such recommendations would be made by Asher Engineering at the time of building construction.

Inspection reports would be prepared documenting the sitework and construction of all building pads, including any building areas constructed on slopes.

Sincerely,



Richard A. Linker, P.E.



RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING STUDY

**PROPOSED APARTMENTS
10410 OLD PRESTON HIGHWAY
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY**

ASHER PROJECT NO. 21-077

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

Prepared For:

**Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com**

Prepared By:

**Asher Engineering, Inc.
1021 South Floyd Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40203**

July 13, 2021

21 - 1st ZONE 1 - 0104

Asher Engineering, Inc.
Environmental & Engineering Consulting

July 13, 2021

Mr. Brent Hackworth
brent@highgates.com

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Study
Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky

Dear Brent,


Asher Engineering has completed a Geotechnical Engineering Study for the referenced project. This report contains the findings of our subsurface exploration, geotechnical recommendations to aid design of foundations and floor slabs, and construction recommendations with regard to site work, fill placement, and foundation installation and inspection.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If we can be of further assistance, or if you have any questions regarding this report, please contact our office.

Sincerely,

Richard A. Linker

Richard A. Linker, P. E.



RECEIVED
OCT 15 2021
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

21 - ZONE 1 - 0104

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION	1
2.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION.....	1
3.0 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS	2
3.1 Site Development.....	2
3.2 Shallow Foundations and Floor Slabs.....	2
3.3 Pavement.....	3
4.0 CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS	4
4.1 Subgrade Preparation.....	4
4.2 Engineered Fill.....	4
4.3 Foundation Excavations.....	4

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

The project site is located at 10410 Old Preston Highway, in Louisville, Ky.

The site is an open grass-covered flat rectangular shaped tract with scattered mature trees.

A review of historical aerial photographs (Appendix) revealed that the property is previously undeveloped land with some large tilled gardens but no full crop coverage. .

Proposed for construction are 3-story, slab on grade apartment buildings. Asphalt paved parking and access drives will be provided throughout.

2.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

The subsurface conditions were explored by conducting 9 test pits across the site. The test pits were consistent with about 12 in. topsoil layer underlain by moist, firm, brown silty clay soil down to limestone bedrock. A summary of each test pit is included in the Appendix. The depth to refusal at each test pit is summarized below.

Test Pit	Depth to Refusal, Ft.
1	1.5
2	2.6
3	8 ft. no rock encountered
4	7
5	6.3
6	5.5
7	4.0
8	6.9
9	7.0

No water was noted in the test pits . The limestone bedrock can weather resulting in an irregular surface and elevation differenced over short horizontal distances.

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

3.0 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

The following design and construction recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described project characteristics and subsurface conditions.

3.1 Site Development

We recommend an 8 to 12 in. stripping depth be used. Thicker topsoil/rich soil will be encountered in areas that were once tilled gardens, while other areas will have a less thick topsoil layer.

The silty clay soils on site are suitable for support of the new bldgs and roads, and are suitable for use as structural fill.

It is likely that Limestone bedrock will be encountered in utility trenches and bldg pad / footing excavations. The limestone cannot be ripped with an excavator, and will have to be blasted or hoe rammed.

3.2 Shallow Foundations and Floor Slabs

Footings bearing on firm natural soil, or engineered fill placed over firm soil may be proportioned using a net allowable bearing capacity of 3400 psf. Footings can bear on firm natural soil or bedrock. A Site Classification B should be used for seismic design. Wall footings should be at least 16 in. wide and column footings should be at least 24 in. wide to provide an adequate factor of safety for bearing capacity. All exterior footings and footings in unheated areas must bear at least 24 in. below final exterior grade for frost protection. Interior footings can bear at nominal depths below the floor.

Footing excavations that extend to bedrock do not have to be over-excavated to provide a 'cushion' of soil or crushed stone between the bottom of footing and bedrock. Footings can be poured directly on bedrock even if footing elevations in other portions of the bldg encounter clay soil. However, rock will have to be removed such that the entire footing design thickness and footing design depth can be provided.

The building subgrades should be inspected and approved by the geotechnical engineer prior to the placement of grade raise fill or the stone subbase. The slab should be supported on a 4-in. layer of KY Dense Graded Aggregate (DGA) compacted to 98 percent of the standard Proctor (ASTM D-698).

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

21 - ZONE - 0104

3.4 Below Grade Walls

Below grade walls should be designed to provide drainage to relieve hydrostatic pressure. A clean, free draining granular fill (KY No. 57 stone) should be used to backfill against below grade walls. The backfill zone should be drained using a perforated pipe at the base of the wall. An Equivalent Hydrostatic Pressure (EHP) of 50 pcf may be used to design below grade walls. A unit weight of 130 pcf should be used for the granular backfill.

3.5 Pavements

New pavement areas should be inspected by the geotechnical engineer to determine the suitability of the subgrade and to provide recommendations for stabilization if necessary. Assuming proper subgrade preparation, a California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 5 is recommended. This value applies for both undisturbed soil and the stone subbase that is stable under a proofroll, and for soil that is recompacted to at least 95 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density.

The following asphalt pavement section is recommended for areas that will be limited to automobiles and light trucks:

Automobile and Light Truck Areas

1.0 in. asphalt concrete surface
2.0 in. asphalt concrete base
4.0 in. KY DGA limestone
4.0 in. 4-Minus or Surge limestone

Areas that may experience heavier loading conditions should be provided with the following pavement section.

Heavy Truck Areas

1.0 in. asphalt concrete surface
3.0 in. asphalt concrete base
4.0 in. KY DGA
6.0 in 4-Minus or Surge limestone

RECEIVED
OCT 15 2021
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

4.0 CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

Variations in subsurface conditions should be expected during construction. It is therefore recommended that the geotechnical engineer be retained by the Owner to review the soils-related phases of the project and to correlate the test data with the soil conditions that are encountered during construction.

4.1 Subgrade Preparation

Prior to construction or the placement of new engineered fill or stone subbase, the exposed subgrade should be evaluated by the project geotechnical engineer. The existing subgrade should be carefully inspected by proofrolling with a loaded dump truck prior to the placement of fill to identify soft areas. Any soft areas identified by the proofroll would be undercut and stabilized with crushed stone. The contractor should exercise discretion when selecting equipment sizes and also control surface water while the subgrade soils are exposed. The severity of this potential problem depends to a great extent on the weather conditions during construction.

4.2 Engineered Fill

Engineered fill should be placed on a prepared subgrade that has been inspected and approved by the project geotechnical engineer. The inspection would include proofrolling of the exposed subgrade with a loaded pan or other suitable rubber-tired piece of equipment. If unsuitable material is disclosed, an appropriate remedial measure would be recommended by the geotechnical engineer at that time. Engineered fill placement and compaction operations should be monitored by the geotechnical engineer or his representative. Field density tests should be performed on each lift as necessary to insure that the specified compaction is being achieved. Soil fill placed in the proposed building area should be compacted to at least 98 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-698). Fill placed in the paved areas should be compacted to 95 percent, and fill placed in green areas to 90 percent.

4.3 Foundation Excavations

All foundation excavations should be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer or his representative to insure adequate foundation support. All concrete for foundations should be poured the same day the excavation is made.

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

Appendix

Historical Aerial Photographs


Summary of Test Pits

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

21 - ZONE - 0104



High Gates
RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky

Asher Engineering, Inc.
Project No.: 21-077
Photo Date June 2020

21 - ENV ZONE - 0104



High Gates
RECEIVED

**Proposed Apartments
10410 Old Preston Highway
Louisville, Kentucky**

**Asher Engineering, Inc.
Project No.: 21-077
Photo Date March 1993**

OCT 15 2021
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

21 - ZONE - 0104

**10410 Old Preston Hwy.
High Gates
21-077**

Test Pit #1

0" to 7" Topsoil with roots
7" to 18" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty
18" Limestone; shelf rock; Possible Karst Activity Near-By
Refusal at 1 ft. 6 in.

Test Pit #2

0" to 4" Topsoil with heavy roots
4" to 31" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty with trace rock fragments and roots
31" Limestone; Surface Rock Outcrop
Refusal at 2 ft. 7 in.

Test Pit #3

0" to 10" Topsoil with roots to 18"
10" to 36" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty
36" to 96" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown
Terminated at 8 ft.

Test Pit #4

0" to 14" Topsoil with heavy roots
14" to 36" Silty Clay; moist; firm; brown; silty
36" to 84" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown with gray
84" Limestone
Refusal at 7 ft.

Test Pit #5

0" to 4" Topsoil (minimal)
4" to 36" Silty Clay; very moist; firm; brown; very silty
36" to 76" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown
76" Limestone; Karst Activity Near-By
Refusal at 6 ft. 4 in.

Test Pit #6

0" to 12" Topsoil with roots
12" to 36" Silty Clay; very moist; firm; brown; very silty
36" to 66" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown with gray; trace limestone floater at 4 ft.
66" Limestone
Refusal at 5 ft. 6 in.

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &

DESIGN SERVICES

21 - ZONE 1 - 0104

Test Pit #7

0" to 10" Topsoil with roots
10" to 24" Silty Clay; very moist; firm; brown; silty
24" to 48" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown with gray
48" Limestone

Refusal at 4 ft.

Test Pit #8

0" to 10" Topsoil with roots
10" to 30" Silty Clay; dry/moist; firm; tan brown; silty with trace roots
30" to 82" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown
82" Limestone

Refusal at 6 ft. 10 in.


Test Pit #9

0" to 12" Topsoil with roots
12" to 84" Silty Clay; moist; firm; red brown; silty
Terminated at 7 ft.

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES



LOCATION MAP
NO SCALE

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2021
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES



LEGEND

EX. TREE MASS



EXISTING TREE CANOPY DATA:

NET SITE AREA = 9.78± AC(425,936± SF)
EX. TREE CANOPY* = 243,606± SF(57%)
243,606 SF x .20 = 48,721 SF
48,721 SF REQUIRED TO BE PRESERVED

*TREE CANOPY DEPICTED ON PLAN PER AERIAL PHOTO. TREE CANOPY CALCULATIONS BASED UPON TREE AREAS SHOWN.

GRAPHIC SCALE 1"=200'



THE PURPOSE OF THIS EXHIBIT IS TO QUANTIFY THE EXISTING TREE COVERAGE.



MINDEL SCOTT

ENGINEERING ► SURVEYING ►
PLANNING ► LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
5151 Jefferson Blvd. Louisville, KY 40219
502-485-1508 ► MindelScott.com

EXISTING TREE CANOPY EXHIBIT: 21-ZONE-0104



PROPERTY OF:
SKAGGS FAMILY TRUST
6606 PAYTON LANE
CRESTWOOD, KY. 40014
TAX BLOCK 85, LOT 44 & 266
D.B. 11343 PG. 804, & D.B. 11343 PG. 809

PROPERTY ADDRESS:
10410 & 10414 OLD PRESTON HWY
LOUISVILLE, KY 40229

DATE: OCTOBER 13, 2021



OLD PRESTON ELEVATIONS
Leasing Office and Building 1




Per Building Code
Height of a building means the vertical distance from the established grade in front of the lot or from the average natural grade at the base of the front of the building wall, if higher, to the average of the top of the centers of flat roofs, or the roofline as to the deck line of a mansard roof, or to the middle height of the higher gables in domes in a pitched or hipped roof, or if there are no gables or dormers, to the middle height of such pitched or hipped roof.

RECEIVED
OCT 13 2011
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES
20104



OLD PRESTON ELEVATIONS
1 Bedroom Buildings





Per Building Code:
Height of a building means the vertical distance from the established grade in front of the lot or from the average natural grade at the base of the front of the building wall, if higher, to the average of the top of the cornice of the roof, or the roofline or to the deck line of a (main) roof, or to the middle height of the highest gable or dormer or a pitched or hipped roof, or if there are no gables or dormers, to the middle height of such pitched or hipped roof.

RECEIVED
OCT 10 2014
PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES



HIGHGATES

OLD PRESTON ELEVATIONS
2 Bedroom Buildings



Per Building Code
Height of a building means the vertical distance from the established grade in front of the lot or from the average natural grade at the base of the top of the building wall, if higher, to the average of the top of the cornice of flat roofs, or the roofline or to the peak line of a mansard roof, or to the middle height of the height of gables or dormers in a pitched or hipped roof, or if there are no gables or dormers, to the middle height of sun pitched or hipped roof.

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2011

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

21 - ZONE - 0104