

**August 12, 2021 Metro Council Meeting**

REGULAR LOUISVILLE COUNCIL MEETING AUGUST 12, 2021 WILL COME TO ORDER.

PLEASE RISE TO THE PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE OF THE FLAG.

PLEDGE OF THE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

[PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE].

>> THANK YOU MADAM CLERK, COULD YOU PLEASE READ.

>> THIS IS BEING CONDUCTED VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH 150.

SOME ARE ATTENDING HERE AND SOME VIRTUALLY.

IF THERE ARE TECHNICAL PROBLEMS, WE'LL PAUSE.

IF AFTER FIVE MINUTES IT CONTINUES, WE WILL CONTINUE THE MEETING AS LONG AS WE HAVE ALL THE MEMBERS.

IF WE EXPERIENCE TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES, WE WILL SUSPEND THE MEETING WHILE WE ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE.

ALL DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN.

IF THE ISSUE CANNOT BE RESOLVED THE MEETING WILL STAND ADJOURNED.

ANYTHING AT THAT TIME WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE NEXT MEETING.

>> CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

>> GREEN.

SHANKLIN.

DORSEY.

ONE MOMENT, METRO TV, CAN YOU TURN UP THE SOUND, I CAN BARELY  
HEAR THE PEOPLE VIRTUALLY.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

PRESENT.

COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

PRESENT.

PRESIDENT JAMES.

HERE.

COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

HERE.

>> COULD THE -- COULD THE COUNCIL -- COUNCIL MEMBER  
ARMSTRONG.

HERE.

HOLLANDER.

HERE.

MULVIHILL.

HERE.

KRAMER.

HERE.

BLACKWELL HERE.

FOX.

HERE.

FOWLER HERE.

TRIPLETT HERE.

REED HERE.

WINKLER.

HERE.

PARKER HERE.

PIAGENTINI HERE.

BENSON.

PRESENT.

GEORGE HERE.

ENGEL PRESENT.

PEDEN HERE.

FLOOD HERE.

HOLTON STEWART HERE.

AND ACKERSON.

PRESENT.

>> YOU HAVE 26 AND A QUORUM.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK.

>> AS OF THIS MORNING, 1,351 LOUISVILLIANS HAVE DIED AND  
87,922 LOUISVILLIANS HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH COVID-19.

WE'RE EXPERIENCING A SHARP INCREASE IN CASES.

IN THE PAST WEEK, 1,916 CONFIRMED CASES WERE REPORT AND TEN  
DEATHS.

I CANNOT STRESS STRONGLY ENOUGH HOW IMPORTANT IT IS THAT  
EVERYBODY GET VACCINATED AND WEAR A MASK.

ANOTHER VICTIM IN OUR CITY LAST WEEK AND THAT'S WHEN VIOLENCE ERUPTED AGAIN AND BRANDON SHIRLEY WAS SHOT AND KILLED WHILE WORKING.

DEPUTY SHIRLEY HAD RECEIVED MEDAL OF VALOR.

BRANDON WORKED AS EMT PRIOR TO BEING HIRED AS DEPUTY.

HE WAS 26 YEARS OLD AND DEDICATED MOST OF HIS YEARS TO SERVING THE PEOPLE OF LOUISVILLE.

OUR HEARTS GO OUT TO HIS FAMILY AND ALL OF THOSE THAT HAVE BEEN LOST.

I LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT OF SILENCE.

THANK YOU.

>> MADAM CLERK, ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO THE COUNCIL?

>> YES, SIR.

>> LET ME REMIND THOSE ADMINISTRATION THE COUNCIL PLEASE REFRAIN FROM PROFANITY AND DEROGATORY STATEMENTS.

>> YANA MESEN.

>> GOOD EVENING.

I'M A SENIOR HERE IN JEFFERSON COUNTY.

I'M PART OF THE HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS IMPLEMENTATION IN WHICH I SERVICE DISTRICT 19 REPRESENTATIVE AND UPCOMING 16 REPRESENTATIVE.

THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO BE HERE TODAY.

I LIKE TO REMIND YOU ALL THAT TODAY IS INTERNATIONAL YOUTH DAY. OUR TEAM STANDS IN SOLIDARITY WITH YOUTH IN THEIR EFFORTS TO BE

HEARD.

WE HOPE THIS CONTINUES TO NORMALIZE THESE CONVERSATIONS.

WEEKS AGO, I ADDRESSED MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE, EXPLAINING THE YOUTH'S OPPOSITION TO THIS ORDINANCE.

WE STATED OUR DISAPPROVAL WAS DUE TO THE NEGATIVE EXPOSURE AND MESSAGE UPON YOUTH AS WELL AS THE UNDERLYING RESPONSIBILITY WE WOULD SOON HAVE TO TAKE BECAUSE OF THE HARMFUL ECONOMIC WELL-BEING THIS WOULD CREATE.

WE CONTINUE TO STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS ORDINANCE PROPOSAL BASED ON THE IMPLICATIONS IT WILL HAVE ON THE LIFESTYLE OF OUR YOUTH.

IF THIS IS INTRODUCED IN THE CITY, IT WILL NEGATIVELY CONTRIBUTE TO TOBACCO USE AND SMOKING FOR MATTER THE PRECAUTION.

EVEN THOUGH THESE BARS MAY BE IN STAND ALONE BUILDINGS OR ALONGSIDE 21-YEAR-OLD POSH ESTABLISHMENTS, THAT DOESN'T PREVENT YOU FROM KNOWING THE CULTURE WITHIN IT.

THERE'S SO MUCH DANGER IN SPREADING THIS NARRATIVE.

WE HAVE SEEN PREVIOUS INSTANCES IN WHICH SMOKE OF ANY KIND WAS GLORIFIED AMONG OUR AGE GROUP.

WE HAVE WITNESSED THE DAMAGES AND STRUGGLES OUR PEERS HAVE TO TAKE ON.

LUCKILY SMOKING SALES HAS BEEN DECLINING FOR YEARS, IN LARGE PART TO A NEW GENERATION OF YOUTH WHO ARE MORE AWARE OF THE HEALTH EFFECTS.

THIS WILL TAKE US MANY STEPS BACKWARD.

CIGAR BARS THREATEN THE HEALTH OF INDIVIDUAL SMOKERS AND IMPACT THOSE AROUND THEM.

SECOND AND THIRD HAND SMOKE WILL TRICKLE DOWN.

THIS WILL CREATE PERSISTENT HEALTH PROBLEMS THAT WILL IMPACT US FOR A LIFETIME.

PUTTING US IN LIFE CHANGING SITUATIONS WE KNOW NOTHING ABOUT, ESPECIALLY FOR A COMMUNITY THAT ARE DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECTED BY THIS.

THE RESPONSIBILITIES AND LIFESTYLES OF YOUTH SHOULD NOT BE MOLDED TO FIT THE REPERCUSSIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

>> DEBRA GORMAN.

>> HELLO, A LOT OF YOU KNOW ME AND A LOT OF YOU DON'T. AT THE AGE OF 30, EPILEPSY KNOCKED ON MY DOOR. I LOST MY CAREER. I BEEN THERE FOUR YEARS MAKING 30,000 A YEAR. THAT WAS A LOT OF MONEY BACK THEN. I COULDN'T SUE THEM BECAUSE THE AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT DIDN'T HAVE EPILEPSY ON THERE.

TWO STRIKES.

I WAS CRYING, I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON.

MY MOTHER TOLD ME TO TALK TO JEB.

I TOLD HIM MY TO MOVE OUT.

WHY?

PUT YOU ON SECTION EIGHT.

I'VE BEEN LIVING WITH THEM FOUR YEARS.

TODD UNDERHILL ARE BUSINESSMEN BUT THEY HAVE A CONTACT WITH SECTION 8 WHERE THEY TOOK PEOPLE THAT NEED IT ON IT INSTANTLY. THEY SAVED MY LIFE.

I STARTED A NONPROFIT FOR EPILEPSY 25 YEARS AGO.

WE HAVE 200,000 EPILEPTICS.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

THE POINT IS THERE'S 40 TYPES OF SEIZURES, ONE OUT OF EVERY 29 PEOPLE HAVE EPILEPSY AND EVERY ONE OF US IS DYING TODAY.

LET'S GET BACK TO THIS.

HOW MANY OF YOU HAVE BEEN TO THE FOOD PLACE TO FEED HOMELESS PEOPLE?

WEST OF LIBERTY, WE TALK TO PEOPLE.

I'VE BEEN OUT TO TALK TO THESE HOMELESS PEOPLE.

THEY HAVE DISABILITY AND FOOD STAMPS.

17 FLOORS AND THEY'LL PUT HIM ON SECTION 8.

THEY WANT 19 TOWN HOUSES FOR PEOPLE TO BUY THEIR OWN PLACE.

WHERE IS A GROCERY STORE IN DOWNTOWN?

THEY WANT A PAIR OF GROCERY STORES.

STARBUCKS, YOU NEED ONE OF THOSE DOWNTOWN AGAIN.

A SWIMMING POOL.

13 MILLION DOLLAR TAX CREDIT.

HAS ANYBODY SEEN UNDERHILL.

HOW MANY OF YOU DON'T KNOW ME?

YOU'LL BE ABLE TO RAISE MORE MONEY.

STRANGELY SOME PEOPLE ARE BROKE IN YEAR.

LET'S GET BACK TO THE POINT.

LET'S TALK TO THESE PEOPLE.

THEY DON'T WANT TO GO TO THE SHELTERS.

THEY HAD TO LEAVE AT 8:00 IN THE MORNING.

THEY WANT THEIR OWN DOMAIN AND THEY'RE ON DISABILITY AND HAVE  
FOOD STAMPS.

LET'S GET THEIR OWN DOMAIN WHERE THEY DON'T HAVE, GET OUT OF  
HERE, BYE.

LET'S TALK AGAIN.

>> DR. ORUNI.

COULD YOU INTRODUCE YOURSELF PLEASE?

>> HELLO.

I'M DR. [INDISCERNIBLE] AT THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE AND  
PROFESSION OF MEDICINE.

I ALSO DIRECT THE NATIONAL HEART ASSOCIATION ON TOBACCO  
REGULATION.

I WANTED TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT DEALING WITH THE CIGAR BAN.

THAT WOULD BE A BIG MISTAKE FOR SEVERAL REASONS.

THE FIRST IS THAT ALL OF THOSE MONTHS WHILE WE APPRECIATED THE  
BAR SMOKING BAN AND CIGARETTE SMOKING AND EVEN THOUGH CIGAR  
SMOKERS USE CIGARS MUCH MORE INFREQUENTLY THAN PEOPLE THAT  
SMOKE, I THINK THE LEVEL OF EXPOSURE WE SEE IN SMOKERS IS -- IS



SUFFICIENT TO CAUSE THE LEVEL OF [INDISCERNIBLE] YOU SEE FROM  
REGULAR SMOKERS.

CIGARETTE SMOKE IS A LOW LEVEL OF EXPOSURE.

THAT'S THE REASON THAT WE THINK THE SECOND EXPOSURE IS SO  
[INDISCERNIBLE].

MAYBE PEOPLE IN CIGAR ALLOWANCE WOULD HAVE DIFFERENT VENTILATION  
SYSTEMS.

THEY'RE EXPENSIVE AND DIFFICULT TO INSTALL AND MAINTAIN.

WHO WILL BE ABLE TO INSTALL THE QUALITY AIR FAN ON THE  
VENTILATION SYSTEM IS [INDISCERNIBLE] VALIDATED.

THE ONLY SECONDHAND SMOKE EXPOSURE TO ADJACENT BUSINESSES AND  
RESIDENTS IS THE FAMILY BUILDINGS.

WHEN THEY WERE SMOKING INSIDE BUILDINGS, THE SMOKE WOULD TWO OUT  
ON THE STREETS.

EVEN IF THERE'S SOMEONE WILLINGLY PARTICIPATING, THEY ARE BY  
STANDARD AND PEOPLE INVOLUNTARILY BEING EXPOSED AND THAT WOULD  
BE A VIOLATION OF EVERYTHING WE FOUGHT FOR FOR THE LAST SEVERAL  
DECADES IN THIS SMOKING BAN EVERYWHERE AROUND THE COUNTRY.

THERE'S NO [INDISCERNIBLE] INDOOR SMOKING OF ANY TOBACCO IN ANY  
ESTABLISHMENT THAT IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

SO EVEN THESE ARE ONES THAT DO NOT HAVE -- DO NOT HAVE INDOOR  
SMOKING.

ALLOWING THIS -- THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE A PATH FOR  
LOUISVILLE AND FOR US TO HAVE A COMMUNITY.

LOUNGES THAT SELL FOOD AND ALCOHOL, THERE'S DIFFERENT ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE CONSIDERED IN THIS BAN.

CIGAR BAN IS KNOWN FOR A LONG TIME.

THIS IS ONE OF THE TOBACCO COMPANIES DO TO OVERTURN THE LAWS.

.

THIS IS CIGAR BARS.

THAT BEING OR LOADING ALL OF THE PROGRESS WE MADE IN LOUISVILLE IN PROTECTION OF OUR CITIZENS.

FINALLY CIGAR BARS COULD RUN AFOUL WITH EQUAL PROTECTION IN THE LAW.

IN 2007 A COURT RULED THAT THE EXEMPTION ALLOWED SMOKING AND VIOLATED THE PROTECTION LAW OF THE KENTUCKY CONSTITUTION.

SO SOME THINK THERE'S A LEGAL, SOCIAL, ETHICAL AND AS WELL AS MONETARY CONSIDERATIONS THAT WOULD GIVE IN TO THIS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ZOE SLAUGHTER.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME.

MY NAME IS ZOE SLAUGHTER.

I GREW UP IN THE ORIGINAL.

I'LL ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS.

I REMEMBER HOMELESSNESS IN THE 90S BUT I SAW IT REGULAR I WHEN I WENT TO BROWN SCHOOL IN MIDDLE SCHOOL.

THERE WAS NO IGNORING PEOPLE.

IT BECAME A PART OF MY EVERY DAY ROUTINE AS IT DID EVERYONE

ELSE'S AND MADE US ALL, COMPETITIVELY.

IT IS MORE PLENTIFUL IN THE CITY THAN ANY TIME BEFORE.

I FELT FOR THEM, I KNEW MY FAMILY WAS LOW OR AT POVERTY LINE.

WE WERE LUCKY ENOUGH TO HAVE ALWAYS HAVE A SYSTEM TO STAY  
AFLOAT.

I KNEW THAT NOT EVERYONE HAD ACCESS TO THAT LUXURY AND I KNOW IT  
COULD RUN OUT.

I BEGAN WORKING AT THE AGE OF 15 AND HAVE NEVER STOPPED.

AS ADULT NOW, MY FATHER IS DISABLED AND WORKS PART TIME AND MY  
MOM MAKES MINIMUM AGE.

PEOPLE ARE STRUGGLING.

I SEE MYSELF IN THEM.

I SEE MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS IN THEM.

I HAVE ALWAYS SEEN THE HUMANITY IN SOMEONE BECAUSE TO KNOW TAKES  
ONE CRISIS BUT SOMEONE OUT ON THE STREET.

I DON'T ASSUME IT WON'T BECOME ME.

EVEN THOUGH I'M FINANCIALLY STABLE, IN THE CLASS OF RENT AND THE  
LACK OF SOCIAL SERVICES, STATISTICALLY EVERYONE IN THIS ROOM IS  
SUPPOSED TO BE BECOMING HOMELESS.

IT IS POVERTY.

I LOVE CITY OF LOUISVILLE BUT THERE'S THINGS I DON'T LOVE.

NO ONE WANTS TO SEE SOMEONE STRUGGLING.

I UNDERSTAND IT COULD BE AN EYESORE BUT THE LACK OF AFFORDABLE  
HOUSING IS A REAL EYESORE.

I KNOW THE HOUSING IS HIGH AND HAPPENING EVERYWHERE ACROSS THE NATION.

IN 2019 WE HAD 17,000 EVICTIONS FILED IN JEFFERSON COUNTY ALONE. THIS AS A RESULT OF OUR LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN COMMUNITY. WITH NOBODY IN THE UNITED STATES ABLE TO MAKE IT ON MINIMUM WAGE, I WOULD LOVE TO SEE LOUISVILLE TAKE ACTION.

THIS IS MY ASK, ONE, I HOPE TO SEE LOUISVILLE AND THE METRO COUNCIL CONTINUE TO FUND THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND, TWO, APPROVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS AND ZONING IN ALL METRO COUNCIL DISTRICTS, THREE, DEDICATE A SET MONEY STREAM FOR HOMELESSNESS EACH YEAR TO MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR MOST VULNERABLE NEIGHBORHOODS INDEFINITELY.

WITHOUT AFFORDABLE HOUSING, IT WILL ONLY INCREASE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> MARGARET STEWART.

>> THE U.N. CLIMATE REPORT.

WE HAVE CHANGED THE CLIMATE IN FAR REACHING WAY, AND AFFECTING NOT JUST OURSELVES BUT EVERY LIVING CREATURE.

ARE WE WISE ENOUGH TO QUICKLY WEAN OURSELVES FROM FOSSIL FUELS OR WILL WE END UP DOWN IN FLAMES AS FOOLS.

WE WITNESSED AN ACCELERATION OF CLIMATE DISASTERS, FIRES LIKE WE'VE NEVER SEEN BEFORE.

FLOODS, DROUGHTS, HEAT WAVES.

DO WE WANT MORE OF THESE?

SCIENTISTS URGED TO CHANGE.

WE PAID LITTLE HEED THEN.

WILL WE NOW?

SCIENTISTS CAN PRODUCE ALL OF THE REPORTS IN THE WORLD BUT  
SCIENTISTS CANNOT SAVE THE WORLD.

IT IS UP TO GOVERNMENT TO ACT.

I APPLAUD THIS COUNCIL FOR PASSING A RESOLUTION FOR RENEWABLE  
ENERGY.

I APPLAUD OUR CITY FOR HIRING AN ENERGY MANAGER WHO IS FINDING  
EFFICIENCY AND SAVING MONEY.

I APPLAUD THE CITY FOR CONTRACTING FOR EXTRA GUIDANCE.

RIGHT NOW I URGE EACH ONE OF YOU EVERY DAY, JUST ASK YOURSELF,  
WHAT MORE CAN THIS COUNCIL DO TO MAKE OUR CITY FOSSIL-FREE AND  
HOW QUICKLY CAN WE DO IT?

WITH WE USUALLY TAKE ROOFTOP SOLAR OR WIND POWER.

FURTHERMORE, HOW CAN WE BUILD THE SOLAR?

LOUISVILLE NEEDS SOLAR.

THE CITY HAS ALREADY BEEN [INDISCERNIBLE] ON LOWER ENERGY COSTS  
AND CLEANER AIR AND WATER AND PLUS NEW JOB CREATION.

WHY CAN'T WE?

WHAT OBSTACLE NEED TO BE OVERCOME.

THE CLIMATE CLOCK IS TICKING TICKING TICKING.

WE'RE TEETERING AT THE EDGE OF TIPPING POINT OF NO RETURN.

CROSSROADS OF LIFE ON THE THRESHOLD OF DISASTER.

WHAT BETTER OPPORTUNITY FOR CHANGE COULD WE EVER ASK FOR?  
THE STAKES ARE HIGH FOR THE SAKE OF THE CITY AND THE NATION AND  
THE WORLD.

FOR THE SAKE OF OUR CHILDREN AND OUR CHILDREN'S CHILDREN.  
WILL WE DO WHATEVER IT TAKES TO MAKE A REAL DIFFERENCE AS WE  
FACE A REAL CRISIS?

I PRAY THAT ALL TOGETHER WE WILL.

>> CHARLES MULLENS.

>> PART OF THE COMPANY HERE IN LOUISVILLE.

I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS COUNCIL.  
HEAVY DUTY TRUCKS AND TRACTORS AND TRAILERS ARE CAUSING A LOT OF  
COSTLY ISSUES.

THE OWNERS ACROSS THE AREA, THEY'RE LEAVING TRASH IN THE PARKING  
LOT AND DAMAGING THE PAVEMENT.

THEY'RE DEFECATING ON THE PROPERTY.

LANDSCAPING AND HITTING BLOCKED CARS AND BLOCKING SPACES WHERE  
CUSTOMERS CAN PARK.

AND EVEN CRIME.

THIS COULD BE VERY COSTLY TO THE PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS AND  
BUSINESSES.

THEY PAY TAXES, THEY VOTE FOR ELECTED OFFICIALS.

95 PERCENT OF THESE ILLEGALLY PARKED SEMIS.

THESE ILLEGALLY PARKED LARGE TRUCKS CONTRIBUTE TO THE HIGH COST  
OF THE DAMAGE THEY LEAVE BEHIND AND THEN BACK ON THE ROAD FOR

THEM.

WE WERE MADE AWARE OF IN REFERENCE TO THE TOWING.

THERE'S NOTHING IN THE ORDINANCE ABOUT LARGE VEHICLES, ANYTHING OVER 6,000 POUNDS.

WE'RE GOING OFF THE ORDINANCE WHERE 6,000 POUNDS IS A MOTOR VEHICLE WHERE WE CAN IMPOUND IT.

THESE SEMIS REQUIRE SPECIAL EQUIPMENT, FIELD OPERATORS.

IT IS COSTING US A RIDICULOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY TO STORE THEM.

SO TAKE THAT INTO EFFECT AND THE ORDINANCE IN PLAY THAT WILL SHOW THAT THESE -- THESE BUSINESS OWNERS THAT THEY SHOULDN'T PARK THERE WITHOUT PERMISSION OR IF THEY HAVE A REASON TO BE THERE.

THERE'S A SUBSECTION ABOUT BEING OPEN.

THERE'S ANOTHER SUBSECTION THAT SAYS NOT OPEN FOR THAT DAY TO RELEASE THE VEHICLES, THEN YOU CAN'T CHARGE.

THEY TOLD US WE HAVE TO BE OPEN, THEY'RE CONTRADICTING EACH OTHER.

WE ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THAT.

IF WE SAY WE'RE NOT OPEN IN AN HOUR, WE LOSE ALL OF THE FEES, THE TOWING FEES AND STORAGE FEES, EVERYTHING.

PLEASE TAKE YOUR TIME.

THE PEOPLE IMPOUNDED ARE AFFECTED ONE TIME IF THEY LERCH FROM THE SITUATION.

THE BUSINESSES AND OWNERS ARE AFFECTED DAILY BY THE COST.

>> TAYLOR NASH.

TAYLOR NASH.

MR. NASH, WE'LL COME BACK TO YOU IN A SECOND.

STEVEN CURTIS.

STEVEN CURTIS.

KELLY GREAM.

>> GOOD EVENING COUNCIL PRESIDENT.

I'M KELLY GREAM.

I'M DIRECTOR OF THE HISTORIC AUDITORIUM.

FOR THOSE THAT HOLD EVENTS AT THE THE AUDITORIUM, I THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT A FUNDING REQUEST TONIGHT, 22-23 FISCAL YEAR.

AS OUR CITY CONTINUES TO RECOVER FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC AND TOGETHER WE LOOK AT PRIORITIES.

IT IS VITAL TO REMEMBER THE CULTURAL ARTS AND THE SUMMER EXPERIENCES.

THE PERFORMANCE INDUSTRY WAS HIT AMONG THE HARDEST.

DEDICATED ON MEMORIAL DAY IN 1929, THE AUDITORIUM WAS THE [INDISCERNIBLE].

ONE, IT HAD A PUBLIC AUDITORIUM AND THE OTHER TO COMMEMORATE THE DEEDS OF SONS AND DAUGHTERS IN SHREW ROLL COUNTY WHO SERVED IN WORLD WAR I.

THE LAST RENOVATION WAS IN 1994.

THEY COULD ONLY HOST SEASONALLY.



WE WANT TO PROVIDE AN ACCESSIBLE AND EXCLUSIVE MULTI-PURPOSE FACILITY FOR THE COMMUNITY.

WEDDING AND GRADUATIONS AND OTHER EVENTS.

RESTORATION WOULD REQUIRE MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UPDATES.

THEY WOULD PROVIDE THE COMMUNITY AN AFFORDABLE COMMUNITY

AUDITORIUM THROUGHOUT THE YEAR ON A SEASONAL BASIS.

MODERN HEAT AND AIR SYSTEMS AND SEATING AND CUSHION REPAIRS.

AUDITORIUM PAINTING.

STAGE HEIGHTING AND SOUND SYSTEMS, THE INVESTMENT WOULD BE 2.2 MILLION DOLLARS.

PROMOTERS AND ORGANIZERS APPRECIATE THE CAPABILITY OF THE MULTI-PURPOSE FACILITY AS WELL AS THE PERFORMANCE VALUE.

AFTER REVIEWING THE EVENTS FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS, RESEARCH SHOWS THAT ALL OF JEFFERSON COUNTY ARE REPRESENTED AT THE AUDITORIUM.

THEY HOLD EVENTS THERE.

THEY HOST 250,000 PEOPLE.

THIS LAST SPRING CLIENTS WHO TYPICALLY USED DOWNTOWN FACILITIES MOVED THEIR EVENTS TO THE AUDITORIUMS SINCE THE STATE OWNED FACILITIES WERE CLOSED.

ONE CLIENT SHARED SHE SAVED 5,000 DOLLARS IN RENTAL FEES.

SHE WOULD LIKE TO SCHEDULE AN EVENT IN THE FUTURE IF THE AUDITORIUM HAD AIR CONDITIONING.

AIR CONDITIONING IS THE MAIN PRIORITY.

IN CLOSING THE REQUESTED UPGRADES WOULD PROVIDE ACCESSIBLE,  
INCLUSIVE AUDITORIUM THAT AN ALLOWS THE COMMUNITY TO TAKE PART.  
THIS INVESTMENT WOULD HELP FUTURE GENERATIONS.

KEEP UP YOUR GOOD WORK.

>> CLARENCE HICKMAN.

CLARENCE HIXON.

TAYLOR NASH.

STEVEN CURTIS.

CLARENCE HIXON.

MR. PRESIDENT THAT CONCLUDES THE ADDRESS TO COUNCIL.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING  
OF JULY 29TH, 2021.

ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS?

MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL.

MOTION BY WINKLER, SECOND BY TRIPLETT.

MOVED AND SECONDED.

ALL IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

OPPOSED?

AYES HAVE IT.

THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS.

JULY 29, 2021, AUGUST 3RD, 2021, AUGUST 3RD, 2021, AUGUST 3RD,

2021, AUGUST 3RD, 2021, AUGUST 4TH, 2021, AUGUST 4TH, 2021.

AUGUST 4TH, 2021, AUGUST 5TH, 2021, AUGUST 10, 2021.

ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS?

MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL?

MOTION BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER AND SECONDED BY REED.

MOVED AND SECONDED.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED.

>> DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES I'M APPLYING TO THE ZOO FOUNDATION BOARD KATHERINE LLOYD, LOUIS WATERMAN, CHRISTOPHER JONES.

THIS IS TO BE READ IN THE RECORD ONLY, NO APPROVAL NEEDED.

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD, DR. GEOFFREY COBURN.

PRESIDENT JAMES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PARKS AUTHORITY, I'M APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING, MEGAN MCCOMBS.

PRESIDENT JAMES, REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING, TO RIVER PORT AUTHORITY BOARD, JAMAAL BROWN, NICOLE MADDOX.

READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU.

THOSE WILL BE FORWARDED.

>> NEXT IS CONSENT CALENDAR.

CONSENT CALENDAR COMPRISES 17 THROUGH 44, ITEM 43 WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR AND OLD BUSINESS.

ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS?

SECOND READING OF THE ITEMS.

032121 AN ORDINANCE

APPROPRIATING 8500 FROM  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS  
IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, 3,000  
FROM DISTRICT

15, 1,000 EACH FROM DISTRICTS  
12, 13, 14, 21 AND 25.

AND 500 FROM DISTRICT 10, TO  
METRO PARKS FOR IROQUOIS  
AMPHITHEATER'S FREE MOVIE SERIES  
AND GENERAL PROGRAMMING SUPPORT.

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING 9,000  
DOLLARS FROM DISTRICT 5  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS  
THROUGH DEVELOPMENT, LOUISVILLE  
TO THE METRO HOUSING RESOURCE  
CENTER FOR THE INSTALLATION OF  
SECURITY LIGHTS TO QUALIFIED  
HOMEOWNERS.

19, 034621 AN ORDINANCE

APPROPRIATING 75,000 FROM  
DISTRICT 5 NEIGHBORHOOD  
DEVELOPMENT FUND THROUGH

DEVELOPMENT LOUISVILLE TO THE  
METRO HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER  
FOR EMERGENCY REPAIRS TO  
QUALIFIED HOMEOWNERS IN DISTRICT  
5.

20, R06921, RESOLUTION OF  
OFFICIAL INTENT OF LOUISVILLE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO  
DEVELOPMENT TO FINANCE THE  
ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION,  
RENOVATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF  
CERTAIN CAPITAL PROJECTS OF THE  
LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT AND ITS  
AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENTS FROM  
THE PROCEEDS OF ONE OR MORE  
PROPOSED ISSUES OF GENERAL  
OBLIGATION BONDS AND GENERAL  
OBLIGATION NOTES.

21, 04721, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING  
ORDINANCE NUMBER 87, SERIES 2021  
BE RELATING TO FISCAL YEAR  
21-22, OPERATING BUDGET FOR  
LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY

METRO GOVERNMENT BY  
APPROPRIATING AN ADDITIONAL  
340,400 OF NONREOCCURRING  
GENERAL FUND REVENUES FROM  
FISCAL YEAR 20-21 TO METRO  
ANIMAL SERVICES FOR PERSONNEL  
EXPENSES.

22, R06721, RESOLUTION HONORING  
GE APPLIANCES, A HAIER COMPANY  
BY DEDICATING AN ACCESS DRIVE  
NEAR BUECHEL BANK ROAD AND  
POPULAR LEVEL ROAD AS GE WAY IN  
RECOGNITION OF THE 5TH  
ANNIVERSARY OF THE U.S.

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS OF GE  
APPLIANCES, A HAIER COMPANY IN  
APPLIANCE PARK.

23, RP080321AE.

REAPPOINTMENT OF ANGELA EDWARDS  
TO THE ETHICS COMMISSION BOARD.

24, RP080321DW, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
DAVID WASKEY TO THE ETHICS  
COMMISSION BOARD.

25, RP080321 G G, REAPPOINTMENT

OF GREG GITSCHIER TO THE DEPUTY  
SHERIFF MERIT BOARD.

25, RP080321MH.

REAPPOINTMENT OF MARY HART TO  
THE WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT  
109 BOARD.

27, RPO80321DS, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
DEATHRA SHIPLEY TO THE WASTE  
MANAGEMENT 109 BOARD.

28, RP080321RL, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
ROBERT LEE TO THE WASTE  
MANAGEMENT 109 BOARD.

29, AP080321CM, APPOINTMENT OF  
CARMEN MORENO RIVERA TO THE  
METROPOLITAN SEWER DISTRICT  
BOARD.

30, AP080321JS, APPOINTMENT OF  
JOHN SELENT TO THE METROPOLITAN  
SEWER DISTRICT BOARD.

31, RP080321MS, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
MICHAEL SEALE TO THE BOARD OF  
ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

32, RP080321RB, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
RICHARD BUTTORFF TO THE BOARD OF

ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

33, AP080321AR, APPOINTMENT OF  
ABBY RUDOLPH TO THE EXTENSION  
BOARD.

33, AP080321AR, APPOINTMENT OF  
ABBY RUDOLPH TO THE EXTENSION  
BOARD.

34, CO AP080321JS2, APPOINTMENT  
OF JOHN SWOPE TO THE  
JEFFERSONTOWN FIRE PROTECTION  
DISTRICT BOARD.

35, AP080321DF, APPOINTMENT OF  
DARNELL FARRIS TO THE BUECHEL  
FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

36, RP080321DS2, REAPPOINTMENT  
OF DOUG SCOTT TO THE CRIMINAL  
JUSTICE COMMISSION BOARD.

37, R06421, A RESOLUTION  
PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND  
OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES  
APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO  
FUND THE FOLLOWING  
NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT



FOR CIVIC INNOVATION AND  
TECHNOLOGY CONCERNING UTILITY  
LOCATION SERVICES.

38, R06521, A RESOLUTION  
APPROVING THE GRANTING OF LOCAL  
INCENTIVES TO HEALTHCARE ASSET  
NETWORK INC. DBA HANDLE AND ANY  
SUBSEQUENT ASSIGNEES OR APPROVED  
AFFILIATES THERE OF PURSUANT TO  
THE KRS CHAPTER 154, SUBCHAPTER  
32.

39, R06821, RESOLUTION PURSUANT  
TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING  
BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE  
FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY  
NEGOTIATE PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACT FOR THE LOUISVILLE ZOO  
CONCERNING A MENTORSHIP,  
INTERNSHIP PROGRAM FOR THE ZOO'S  
BOMA, AFRICAN PETTING ZOO, AND  
AUSTRALIAN WALK ABOUT, EXHIBITS  
TO INTERPRET ANIMAL HISTORY,  
HABITAT PRESERVATION, AND

AFRICAN CULTURE FOR THE PUBLIC.

40, R07021.

A RESOLUTION DETERMINING CERTAIN  
PROPERTY AT 1700MARINAS EDGEWAY  
AS SURPLUS AND NOT NEEDED FOR A  
GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE AND  
AUTHORIZING ITS TRANSFER.

41, R07221, A RESOLUTION  
DETERMINING FOUR PARCELS OF REAL  
PROPERTY KNOWN AS BOXELDER  
TERRACE AND LOCATE AT 3403  
SHANKS LANE, 403 1/2 SHANKS  
LANE, 400ASHAB G ARK ROAD AND  
3400B SHAGBARK ROAD, SHOESVILLE  
KENTUCKY OWNED BY LOUISVILLE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO  
GOVERNMENT AS SURPLUS AND NO  
LONGER NEEDED FOR A GOVERNMENTAL  
PURPOSE AND AUTHORIZING THEIR  
SALE TO A QUALIFIED PURCHASER  
FOR DEVELOPMENT OF LOW AND  
MODERATE INCOME HOUSING.

42, 032821, AN ORDINANCE

RELATING TO THE CLOSURE OF A

PORTION OF AN UNNAMED ALLEY WEST  
OF SOUTH 5TH STREET PARALLEL AND  
TO THE SOUTH OF EAST MAIN STREET  
CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 025  
ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE  
METRO.

43, 024821, AN ORDINANCE  
AMENDING THE LOUISVILLE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO CODE OF  
ORDINANCES CHAPTER 115 RELATING  
TO LICENSE REQUIREMENT  
EXEMPTIONS.

44, 025321, AN ORDINANCE MINDING  
CHAPTER 156 OF THE LOUISVILLE  
METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES  
RELATING TO THE REQUIREMENT OF  
PROPER PROPERTY MAINTENANCE AND  
EFFICIENT ENFORCEMENT OF  
VIOLATIONS.

>> MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

MOVED BY FOX AND SECOND BY PURVIS.

IT HAS BEEN MOVED AND SECOND.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?

[ROLL CALLED].

MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.

>> I'M GOING TO WAIT AND HOLD THE MEETING.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

START THE TIMER, PLEASE.

COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY, CAN YOU HEAR US NOW?

>> WE CAN KIND OF HEAR YOU COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> I CAN HEAR YOU.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> I CAN HEAR YOU NOW, YES, MA'AM.

>> THANK YOU WANT TO VOTE ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR?

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES.

WE'RE GOING TO PAUSE THE MEETING FOR A MOMENT AND TRY TO ALLOW  
COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY TO GET BACK.

>> ALL RIGHT, WE'RE BACK IN SESSION.

THE FIRST ITEM OF BUSINESS IS SPECIAL LEGISLATION.

THE FIRST ITEM WAS ANNOUNCED THAT THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING  
PURSUANT TO RULE 1.1 REGARDING AMENDING THE RULES OF THE  
COUNCIL.

READING ITEM 45 ON COMMITTEES.

THANK YOU.

45, R07421, A RESOLUTION TO

AMEND THE RULES OF THE  
LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL TO  
PERMIT VIRTUAL PARTICIPATION BY  
VIDEO TELECONFERENCE IN METRO  
COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS.

>> THANK YOU.

MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL

>> SO MOVED.

>> MOTION BY PURVIS.

I THINK I HEARD FOWLER.

THE RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

THIS IS THE RESOLUTION FOR THE VIRTUAL MEETING.

>> SORRY, HAVING A SIDEBAR, I APOLOGIZE.

AS WE TALKED ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO AND YOU KNOW, THE CHANGE FOR  
THE RULES ALLOWS FOR REMOTE VOTING AND WE'RE NOT OPERATING UNDER  
SENATE BILL 150 AND I ENCOURAGE EVERYONE'S SUPPORT.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT, I'M GOING TO BE VOTING AGAINST  
THIS TODAY.

I'M NOT WHAT I REFER TO AS THE UBIQUITOUS VIRTUAL MEETING.

THERE'S TWO -- THREE MAIN REASONS.

I MAY PROPOSE AN AMENDMENT HERE.

FIRST IS -- IS THE AMENDMENT WILL BE -- LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY,  
IF THIS WAS RELATED TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY WHICH WE  
ALREADY SET TO ADDRESS, I'M FINE.

OBVIOUSLY I'M REMOTE RIGHT NOW.

BUT THE ISSUE AND -- AND WHEN OUT OF A PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY  
AND WE'RE DOING SO JUST FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF COUNCIL MEMBERS,  
I WHO ARGUE IT COMPLETELY DEFEATS THE PURPOSE OF OUR JOB.

WE DON'T HAVE OFFICES IN OUR DISTRICT.

AT LEAST WE'RE NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE THEM.

WE'RE NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE OFFICE HOURS.

AS I STATED BEFORE, THE ONLY TIME THAT WE AS COUNCIL MEMBERS AND  
PUBLIC SERVANTS CAN BE FOUND, LIKE OUR CONSTITUENTS KNOW WHERE  
WE'RE GOING TO BE UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES OTHER THAN A PUBLIC HEALTH  
CRISIS.

IS AT CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS.

IF SOMEONE WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING TO ME AND MAKE SURE I HEARD  
THEM FACE-TO-FACE AND HEARD THEIR ISSUES THAT'S WHERE THEY CAN  
FIND.

I DON'T SEE ANY WAYS THIS WAS ATTEMPTED TO BE RESTRICTED.

TO MAYBE GRANT FOR PURPOSE OF HEALTH ROPES AND OTHER EXEMPTIONS,  
GROUND DOWN THE EXEMPTIONS TO A HANDFUL OF ISSUES.

I KNOW SOME MAY USE IT TO SAY, WELL, WE HAVE A [INDISCERNIBLE].

I HAVE YOUNG CHILDREN.

I MISS THEM WHEN I COME DOWN HERE.

HAVE TO MAKE ACCOMMODATIONS.

THIS IS WHENEVER YOU FEEL LIKE IT AND ANYTIME YOU FEEL LIKE IT,  
WHENEVER YOU FEEL LIKE IT GOSH, LEAD AND BE REMOTE.

IT IS ME.

THAT LATITUDE OUTSIDE OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS TOO GREAT.

WE NEED TO KEEP IT TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS.

IF THERE WAS A FEW EXCEPTIONS, WE MAY HAVE A CONVERSATION.

TO ME THIS IS TOO BROAD.

WE HAVE A DUTY, OFFICE PAID FOR BY TAXPAYERS AND THEY'RE  
EXPECTED TO USE THE FACILITIES.

LET'S JUST ISSUE LAPTOPS AND ALL SIT AT HOME.

TO ME THAT'S NOT PRODUCTIVE FOR OUR COLLEGIALITY AND OUR ABILITY  
TO CONDUCT BUSINESS.

FOR THOSE REASONS I'M A NO.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU.

I THINK I AGREE WITH A GOOD BIT OF WHAT MY COLLEAGUE SHARED.

I'LL GO ONE STEP FURTHER AND NOT JUST -- JUST EXPLAIN WHY I'M  
VOTING NO BUT ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO PLEASE JOIN ME IN THAT NO  
VOTE.

MANY OF YOU KNOW, I'M A SCHOOLTEACHER BY TRADE.

SCHOOL STARTS BACK AND TO ME IN THE MEETING TODAY AND ON MONDAY,

I'M HOPEFUL TO BE BACK IN THE ROOM FULL-TIME WITH EVERY ONE OF MY STUDENTS IN THE COMING YEAR.

I IT THE MODEL FOR A YEAR.

I CALLED HOLLYWOOD SQUARES.

I KNOW HOW HARD IT IS TO PAY ATTENTION ALL THE TIME.

I KNOW HOW EASILY TECHNOLOGY [INDISCERNIBLE] THAT.

I'M AN ADULT.

I'M SURE SOME PEOPLE'S IMPRESSIONS OF ME.

I DELIBERATELY WHEN I TEACH PUT MY PHONE OFF AND PUT IT IN MY POCKET.

I KNOW WHAT DISTRACTS ME.

THIS ENVIRONMENT FORCES THOSE TECHNOLOGICAL.

THE ONLY WAY IF I'M SITTING AT HOME THE ONLY WAY TO PARTICIPATE, I HAVE TO HAVE MY CELL PHONE OUT.

WHICH MEANS IF I GET A PHONE CALL OR TEXT, SOMEBODY SENDS ME E-MAIL, THIS DISTRACTION IS IN MY FACE.

WHEN I'M AT HOME, I HAVE TO HAVE MY IPAD TO KEEP UP WITH THE AGENDA.

ANYTHING THAT COMES UP, YOU [INDISCERNIBLE].

I GUESS THAT'S A REAL DISTRACTION.

I ALSO AGREE WITH PIAGENTINI IS MUCH OF WHAT WE DO IS INTERACTION.

IT IS THE CONVERSATIONS THAT WE HAVE WITH OUR COLLEAGUES IN THOSE MOMENTS BETWEEN MEETINGS.



IT IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK IN THOSE OFFICES.

I BELIEVE, I KNOW FOR CERTAIN, I KNOW FOR CERTAIN THIS LAST YEAR WAS MUCH MUCH MUCH MORE DIFFICULT FOR ME TO BE AN EFFECTIVE COUNCIL MEMBER THAN YEARS PAST.

I CAN'T IMAGINE I'M THE ONLY ONE.

THIS IS A JOB THAT IS ALREADY DIFFICULT ENOUGH.

I BEG MY COLLEAGUES, PLEASE RECONSIDER WITH THREE PEOPLE THAT WANT TO SPEAK TO THE COUNCIL.

WE DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS TECHNOLOGICAL ISSUES OR THEY CHANGED THEIR MIND.

ONE OF THE BOX WITH THEIR NAME ON IT STAYED UP THE ENTIRE TIME.

I COULD ONLY ASSUME THERE'S A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC AND DIDN'T GET A CHANCE.

I KNOW WHAT THAT FEELS LIKE.

THE FIRST NIGHT THEY DID THIS VIRTUALLY, I LOST MY SIGNAL.

I LOST IT FOR MORE THAN THE FIVE MINUTES.

I WAS ABLE TO COME BACK IN AFTER THE VOTE HAD ALREADY TAKEN PLACE.

I WAS GRATEFUL TO MY COLLEAGUES THAT THEY AGREED TO DO A MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

BUT I CAN VOTE ON THAT ISSUE BUT IT WAS TOO LATE FOR ME TO HAVE ANY CONVERSATION ABOUT THAT ISSUE.

NOT THAT I COULD HAVE CHANGED ANYBODY'S MIND THAT NIGHT.

I DON'T KNOW IF MY ARGUMENTS WOULD HAVE MADE A DIFFERENCE.

I DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO MAKE THEM.

IT WASN'T BECAUSE I DIDN'T WANT TO BE PRESENT, IT WAS BECAUSE AS WONDERFUL AS THE TECHNOLOGY IS, IT IS NOT PERFECT.

EVEN IF IT WERE, THAT TECHNOLOGY CAN'T SUBSTITUTE FOR FACE-TO-FACE.

THE SCREEN'S PICTURE IS SIDEWAYS.

THERE'S NOT A WAY TO DO AS EFFECTIVELY.

I WOULDN'T ARGUE THAT WE HAVEN'T BEEN EFFECTIVE THE LAST YEAR.

WE MANAGED THROUGH A HORRIBLE SITUATION.

THAT'S NOT A REASON FOR US TO DECIDE THAT'S GOOD ENOUGH.

WE SHOULD WANT THE BEST WE CAN GET AND ALLOW US TO GO FOR THIS.

PLEASE VOTE AGAINST THIS.

>> JUST A POINT OF CLARITY, EVEN IF YOU'RE IN CHAMBERS, IF YOU COULD GO THROUGH BRITTANY.

WHEN YOU SEE IT ON THE MONITOR, I LOSE TRACK OF WHO WENT FIRST.

AND WHO IS ON THE MONITOR.

COUNCIL WOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU.

THANK GOD WE HAVE IT OR WE WOULD HAVE BEEN WITHOUT A WHOLE YEAR AND A HALF OF LEGISLATION.

THIS IS A COMMON SENSE MOVE FOR A FAMILY THAT HAS A HEALTH OR FAMILY EMERGENCY AND BE REPRESENTED TO THOSE IN THEIR DISTRICT.

IF I DON'T HAVE A DAYCARE CENTER, THEN I NEED THEY ARE TO BRING THE CHILD WITH ME.

OR STAY HOME AND MISS THE MEETING.

THAT'S NOT A GOOD REASON WHEN WE HAVE THE TECHNOLOGY NOW TO DO  
WHAT WE CAN TO HELP THOSE THAT MAY HAVE AN EMERGENCY.

I DON'T THINK IT IS ANYTHING THAT IS WRONG.

OF COURSE I AGREE THAT WE ALL WANT TO BE IN PERSON.

BUT THE REALITY IS THAT THINGS GET BAD RIGHT NOW, AGAIN.

I SEE THAT COMING DOWN THE PIKE.

I WANT TO BE A SPONSOR, PLEASE ADD ME AS A SPONSOR.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE THINK OF OTHERS AND NOT, I DON'T KNOW HOW  
TO CUT IT.

THIS IS A GOOD MOVE, THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD TAKE  
ADVANTAGE OF OR ANYBODY ON THE COUNCIL WOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF  
IT.

IT WILL HELP US AS A BODY.

>> I'M SPLIT ON THIS ONE.

WHEN IT COMES TO COUNCIL MEMBERS OPPOSED.

I DO FEEL LIKE THERE'S SOMETHING DIFFERENT ABOUT BEING HERE AND  
BEING HERE TOGETHER AND BEING IN FRONT OF THE PUBLIC.

ESPECIALLY FOR COUNCIL.

THERE'S SOMETHING DIFFERENT THERE.

WE HAVE FOLKS THAT COME BECAUSE THEY KNOW THEY MEET ON THOSE  
DAYS.

I WORRY THAT BEING ABLE TO CHOOSE TO BE VIRTUAL AT ANY TIME  
ALLOWS YOU TO HAVE A [INDISCERNIBLE].

IT IS HEATED THERE WHEN YOU GOT THE WHOLE PLACE FULL OF FOLKS THAT WANT YOU TO VOTE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.

THOSE NIGHTS, I FEEL WE HAVE RESIDENTS FACING THE CONSTITUENTS AND THE PUBLIC AND PEOPLE DECIDE THAT NIGHT TO BE VIRTUAL.

THEY'VE SEEN SOMETHING DIFFERENT FROM THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS.

THEY STRUGGLE WITH FOLKS AND FITTING THEM IN A SOME HAVE ALL OF THEIRS ON ONE DAY.

SOME HAVE THREE OR FOUR DIFFERENT DAYS.

OFTEN THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS ARE, SOMETIMES THEY DON'T LAST LONG.

MOST TIMES THEY'RE AN HOUR OR LESS.

I COULD SEE THE COMMITTEE COMING BEFORE THE COUNCIL.

IT WOULD CHANGE AN AMENDMENT TO ALLOW COMMITTEE MEETING IF NOT THE FULL COUNCIL MEETING.

[INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE].

IF THEY WERE IN THE ROOM, THEY DO HAVE A HARDER TIME SEEING IT ON -- ON THE HOLLYWOOD SQUARES.

COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> I WANT TO CLARIFY.

FIRST THE LAW ALREADY ALLOWS IT.

THE QUESTION IS WHETHER YOU CAN VOTE REMOTELY.

I SEE THIS AS A WAY TO BETTER SERVE CONSTITUENTS.

WE HAD COUNCIL PEOPLE HAVE HAD BEEN IN CARE FACILES.

THEY COULD ATTEND.

THEY'RE FREE TO ATTEND AND REPRESENT THEIR CONSTITUENTS, HOWEVER  
THEY CAN'T PHYSICALLY BE HERE.

SOME OF US HAVE JOBS OUTSIDE OF THIS WHERE WE TRAVEL FOR.  
WE MIGHT OTHERWISE BE ABLE TO ATTEND.

WE CAN'T BE HERE.

IT IS A WAY TO BETTER REPRESENT THE PEOPLE WE SEE.

I DON'T SEE THIS AS NOT COMING TO MEETINGS.

IT IS SELF-POLICING COMPONENT TO IT.

IT IS A WAY ON LIMITED OCCASIONS THAT YOU HAVE A CONFLICT THAT  
WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM BEING HERE AND BEING ABSENT FROM THE  
MEETING ENTIRELY AND STILL BEING ABLE TO PARTICIPATE.

I THINK THAT'S A WORTH WHILE CAUSE.

WE SHOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE A PHYSICAL BARRIER  
TO THE MEETING.

>> COUNCILMAN GLEAM.

>> I'M SPONSOR AND WOULD LIKE YOU TO SUPPORT THIS.

YOU HAVE THE STAFF GOING.

I DON'T SEE WHY ANY COLLEAGUE WOULD HAVE AN INTEREST IN ANY  
INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBERS IN THE BUILDING WERE NOT REELECTED.

NOW WE KNOW WHAT THE PEOPLE IF THE DISTRICT AND THAT'S HOW THIS  
WILL BE POLICED.

I GAVE BIRTH DURING THE PANDEMIC.

BECAUSE IT WAS A PANDEMIC, I WAS ABLE TO BE BACK AT WORK WITHIN  
48 HOURS OF GIVING BIRTH.

SOME HAD TO SHOW UP AT WORK SO THEY COULD FEED THEIR FAMILIES  
AND THAT'S THE ONLY WAY THEY COULD WORK.

THAT'S WHAT THEY HAD TO DO.

TO NOT PASS THIS IS A SLAP IN THE FACE TO WOMEN WHO MAY HAVE TO  
BE OFF BECAUSE OF CHILD REARING.

ARE WE SAYING WE'RE NOT A BODY THAT SUPPORTS WOMEN AND IF YOU'RE  
A WOMAN CHOOSING TO HAVE A CHILD, TO HELL WITH YOU UNTIL YOU'RE  
PHYSICALLY BACK.

WE HAD COLLEAGUES THAT HAD MEDICAL ISSUES THAT WANT TO SHOW UP,  
WHO ARE PREPARED TO WORK.

GOOGLE AND LINCOLN HAVE MADE THE TRANSITION.

THAT'S NOT COMPROMISED.

THE QUALITY OF LIFE IS COMPROMISED.

IT IS SHIFTING THE ATMOSPHERE AND CHANGING THE RULES.

DO WE WANT TO BE DINOSAURS OR SIT AND MOVE FORWARD IN THE WAY  
THAT WE KNOW THAT WE COULD DO AND IN THE WAY THAT COMPANIES  
ACROSS THE GLOBE ARE DOING EVERY DAY.

COMPANIES FROM FORTUNE 1,000 COMPANIES HAS HAD BUSINESS AS USUAL  
THIS COUNCIL CAN HAVE BUSINESS AS USUAL.

IT IS A NEW WORLD.

THE TIME IS NOW AND EQUITY AND FAIRNESS DEMANDS IT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO START BY SAYING, THERE'S NOBODY ON THIS COUNCIL THAT I

RESPECT MORE THAN COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

BUT I'M GOING TO DISAGREE WITH HER HERE.

THIS IS NOT GOOGLE.

THIS IS NOT LINKED IN.

THIS IS AN ELECTED BODY.

WE'RE ACCOUNTABLE TO THE PUBLIC AND CONSTITUENTS.

I TELL PEOPLE THIS ALL THE TIME THAT WHEN THIS CHAMBER IS FULL OF PEOPLE HOLDING SIGNS, WE PAY ATTENTION.

WE DO.

I FEEL STRONGLY THAT IF WE'RE NOT HERE LISTENING TO THE PUBLIC, WE'RE DOING THEM A DISSERVICE.

I ALSO BELIEVE THAT WE'RE DOING A DISSERVICE TO THE PEOPLE THAT COME AND SPEAK TO THE COUNCIL.

I SEE PROS AND CONS HERE.

IT WOULD BE VERY CONVENIENT TO STAY HOME AT MY OFFICE AND NOT COME OVER HERE.

I'VE BEEN HERE AS MUCH AS I CAN.

I WILL VOTE AGAINST THIS.

THANKS.

>> COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> I JUST NEED SOME CLARIFICATION.

I AGREE WITH COUNCILWOMAN GREEN VERY MUCH IF YOU'RE AVAILABLE AND I WAS HER CO-CHAIR IN PUBLIC SAFETY WHILE SHE WAS GIVING BIRTH IN THE MIDDLE OF A MEETING.

TOTALLY SYMPATHIZE WITH THAT.

I ALSO AGREE WITH COUNCILMAN BLACK.

IT IS 25-MINUTE DRIVE TO THE CHAMBERS.

AND SOMETIMES THE MEETING TRULY LAST THREE MINUTES.

THE PEOPLE THAT CAME DOWN HERE TO SPEAK, TO ALL OF US, NOT SOME OF US.

I CAME PACK TO MY OFFICE A LOGGED ON AS A MATTER OF COMFORT TO ME.

THE BIGGEST QUESTION I HAVE, THIS MAY BE FOR GREEN OR WINKLER, WHAT ARE THE LIMITATIONS?

I THOUGHT THERE WERE LIMITATIONS LIKE I HAD TO HAVE A DOCTOR'S NOTE PROVING I GAVE BIRTH OR I HAD A COVID OR -- OR SHOW YOU PICTURES, I WAS IN FLORIDA FOR TWO WEEKS AND NOW I'M BACK.

I'M LISTENING TO NOBODY WOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT.

LET ME BE THE BAD GUY.

I'M RETIRING FROM TEACHING.

I FINISHED MY SECOND DAY OF MY LAST YEAR.

SO TELL ME WHAT IS GOING TO PREVENT ME FROM SNOWBIRDING NEXT YEAR AND DOING EVERY COUNCIL MEETING VIRTUALLY FROM -- FROM FLORIDA FOR THREE MONTHS.

>> IF YOU HAVE AN INTEREST IN SEEKING TO BE REELECTED.

THIS IS A SELF-POLICING PROCESS.

I CERTAINLY WOULD NOT EXPECT FOR ANYBODY TO PROVIDE DOCTORS NOTES.



IF SOMEBODY SAYS, DR. SHANKLIN SAID SAID YOU HAD PROCEDURES, HOW  
DARE WE SAY WE DON'T BELIEVE YOU.

GIVE ME A DOCTOR'S NOTE.

THAT SEEMS RIDICULOUS.

>> I'M NOT QUESTIONING THAT PART.

I AGREE WITH YOU.

IT IS ON EVERYONE'S HONOR.

WHAT I'M ASKING IS, ARE THERE ANY TRUE LIMITATIONS

THAT -- THAT -- THAT KEEP ME OR ANYONE ELSE FROM TRULY TAKING  
ADVANTAGE OF THIS.

JUST NEVER COMING BACK DOWN HERE AGAIN.

>> THIS WAS SELF-POLICING PROCESS.

WE WANT TO BE IN THE POLLING.

I WOULD LOVE TO BE AWAY AND GET A BREAK.

BUT BECAUSE OF COVID SENSITIVITY RIGHT NOW, I'M FORCED TO DO  
WHAT IS BEST FOR MY FAMILY.

I THINK THAT IN MY MIND, I TRUST US IF ONE OF THE 26 PEOPLE  
ELECTED BY CONSTITUENTS ACROSS THE CITY THAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO  
WHAT IS BEST FOR YOU INDIVIDUALLY AND BEST FOR YOUR CONSTITUENTS  
WHO CAN VOTE YOU OUT.

WE'RE INDEPENDENT OFFICERS.

WE OPERATE INDEPENDENTLY IN EVERY OTHER WAY.

WE CAN'T TELL THE STAFF WHAT TO DO.

WE CAN'T TELL PEOPLE TO WEAR A MASK IN A BUILDING.

WE CAN'T TELL THEM TO DO ANYTHING.

HOW DARE WE SAY THEY CAN'T TO THAT IF THEY HAVE SOMETHING  
PREVENTING THEM FROM BEING THERE.

>> NO LIMITATION.

USE IT WHENEVER I WANT.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, DR. SHANKLIN WAS BEFORE ME.

I WAS [INDISCERNIBLE].

[INAUDIBLE].

>> COUNCILMAN SHANKLIN.

>> I WAS ONE OF THE [INDISCERNIBLE].

I HAD SURGERY.

MY DOCTOR TOLD ME I COULD NOT [INDISCERNIBLE] 12 WEEKS.

OKAY.

I DON'T WANT TO MISS MY MEETINGS.

I THOUGHT ABOUT THAT AND I SAID WELL, LET ME SEE IF THERE'S A  
WAY TO COME TO THE MEETING.

YOU KNOW.

SO THAT WAS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I THOUGHT ABOUT.

BUT ALSO LAST YEAR, IF YOU REMEMBER, WE ALL SEEN, EVERY ONE OF  
US, NONE OF US KNOW, AND SOMEBODY IN YOUR FAMILY.

LAST YEAR MY SON, JUST SO HAPPENS, VIRTUALLY, I WAS STILL ABLE  
TO VOTE.

I WOULD NOT BEEN DOWNTOWN IF THAT -- IF WE WEREN'T ON  
[INDISCERNIBLE].

I WOULD NOT COME DOWN.

THIS YEAR MY SISTER CALLED.

I WOULD NOT HAVE COME DOWNTOWN.

THE FACT THAT WE WERE ABLE TO DO THAT KEPT ME INFORMED WHAT WAS  
GOING ON.

EVERYONE OF US IS A HUMAN.

YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN YOUR FAMILY.

YOU COULD BE OFF FROM WORK.

UNLIKE THIS, WE HAVE TO TRUST OURSELVES.

WE KNOW WHEN WE DOING THE RIGHT THING.

I FEEL I DID THE RIGHT THING AND I DID NOT LIKE THE COUNCIL DOWN  
AND I VOTED.

I FEEL LIKE, WHATEVER, [INDISCERNIBLE] BUT YOU KNOW IF I HAVE TO  
STAY HOME FOR 12 WEEKS AND MISS ALL OF THE MEETINGS, I DON'T  
THINK THAT'S FAIR TO ME WHEN WE'RE ABLE TO BE A PART OF IT.  
THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY ABOUT IT.

I'M HOPING THAT EVERYBODY LOOKS AT IT THAT WAY.

NOT ONE PERSON IN HERE [INDISCERNIBLE].

THINK ABOUT THAT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY, CLARITY FIRST.

THIS IS AN OPTION IN CASE WE CAN'T PHYSICALLY BE THERE, WE WOULD HAVE THE OPTION THAT WE COULD DO VIRTUAL, CORRECT?

>> WERE YOU ASKING THAT OF ME OR --

>> JESSICA.

>> SOMETHING THAT IS MANDATORY.

THEY SHOW UP ANYTIME THEY WANT TO SHOW UP.

>> WE WERE VIRTUAL FOR A YEAR AND A HALF.

I NEVER MISSED A MEETING.

I NEVER LET MY CONSTITUENTS DOWN.

I WAS HERE TO VOTE ON ANYTHING AND EVERYTHING THAT I COULD THAT COULD BENEFIT THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE SAME IN PERSON AND VIRTUAL.

THEREFORE I FELT LIKE THE ONLY PEOPLE WE SHOULD BE ACCOUNTABLE TO ARE OUR CONSTITUENTS.

WE DO NOT LET THEM DOWN AT ALL.

I DO NOT AGREE WITH BRINGING A DOCTOR'S NOTE AND ALL OF THAT.

I THINK THAT'S TOO MUCH LIKE A DICTATOR.

I JUST DON'T AGREE WITH THAT.

I THINK WE'RE RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE.

I DON'T THINK ANYONE HAS TAKEN THIS POSITION FOR GRANTED.

SO I SAY I WILL SUPPORT THIS AND I WOULD LIKE TO BE ADDED AS A SPONSOR.

>> IT MAY NOT BE PHYSICAL, YOU MAY NOT KNOW WHAT PEOPLE ARE

GOING THROUGH.

IT COULD BE OTHER THINGS.

IT CAN BE YOU JUST DON'T WANT TO BE OUT.

PEOPLE HAVE MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES SOMETIMES.

EVEN THOUGH WHETHER THAT IS ANYBODY'S BUSINESS OR NOT, BUT THERE ARE THINGS THAT CAUSE YOU SOMETIMES TO SAY, I JUST NEED TO STAY HOME.

I WILL SUPPORT THIS AND WOULD LIKE TO BE ADDED AS A SPONSOR.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN ARTHUR.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I LIKE TO THROW ANOTHER WRENCH INTO THE MIX.

BECAUSE I HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT SAFETY.

AND LIKE TO POSE A QUESTION TO ANY OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEYS.

I STRUGGLE FINDING THIS ON MY OWN.

COULD YOU SPEAK TO ANY KENTUCKY OR LOUISVILLE LAWS ABOUT VIDEO CONFERENCING WHILE DRIVING?

>> THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS.

I HAVEN'T BEEN ASKED TO LOOK AT THAT QUESTION.

I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S CURRENT METRO POLICIES THAT SPEAK TO CELL PHONE USAGE WHILE DRIVING.

I DON'T KNOW THOSE WOULD APPLY TO YOU ALL.

I'M NOT AWARE OF POLICIES THAT YOU HAVE THAT SPEAK TO THAT.

I WOULD WANT TO REVIEW YOUR EMPLOYMENT MANUAL ON THAT POINT.

AGAIN, NOT SURE THAT THOSE WOULD APPLY TO YOU AS MEMBERS, EVEN IF THERE'S SOMETHING IN THERE THAT SPEAKS TO CELL PHONE USAGE. AND EMPLOYEES.

THAT'S A LONG-WINDED WAY TO SAY I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION BUT I COULD LOOK AT THAT.

>> THANK YOU I'LL COME BACK.

>> COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, I'LL BE BRIEF BECAUSE MANY OF MY THOUGHTS HAVE ALREADY BEEN STATED.

I DON'T THINK ANY OF US WOULD DISAGREE THAT WE GET AN OPTIMAL EXPERIENCE WHEN WE'RE FACE-TO-FACE.

WE KNOW SOMETHING IS LOST VIRTUALLY.

MAYBE THERE'S A DISAGREEMENT THERE.

AS SOMEBODY THAT SPENT MAJORITY OF MY TIME, I ATTENDED EVERY MEETING IN PERSON THAT WAS AVAILABLE.

YOU DON'T HAVE TO CONVINC ME OF THAT.

I AGREE WITH MOST OF WHAT WAS SAID.

HOWEVER, I DO THINK THAT ON BALANCE THIS -- THIS ALLOWS FOR MORE CHOICE AND ALLOWS FOR A REDUCTION IN ABSENCES.

WHILE WE'RE ON THE SUBJECT OF ABSENCES, I'M LOOKING AT THE PRESIDENT, WHAT HAPPENS WHEN A COUNCIL MEMBER IS ABSENT AND HAS MULTIPLE ABSENCES?

THEY WILL GENERALLY CONTACT THE PRESIDENT'S OFFICE.

>> TO THEY GET A DOCTOR'S NOTE OR WHAT HAPPENS IF THEY

REQUEST MULTIPLE ABSENCES, WHAT HAPPENS THEN?

>> THEY DON'T USE A DOCTOR'S NOTE, WE'VE ONLY TO MY KNOWLEDGE EXPERIENCED ONE TIME WHEN WE HAD A MISSING COUNCILPERSON AND THAT WAS [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> FOR THAT REASON I WOULD SUPPORT THIS AND I ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO.

>> COUNCIL WOMAN DORSEY.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M NOT GOING TO BELABOR THE CONVERSATION.

TO GEORGE'S POINT, IT HAS BEEN STATED.

I WANT TO REITERATE A FEW POINTS.

I HEAR US TALKING ABOUT THE PRESENCE OF THE -- OF THE COUNCIL MEMBER.

RIGHT NOW WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO ATTEND VIRTUALLY.

THIS SIMPLY GIVES US THE ABILITY TO VOTE VIRTUALLY.

I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT THING TO CONSIDER.

MUCH OF THE DEBATE ACTUALLY IS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD BE ATTENDING VIRTUALLY OR NOT.

THE DECISION HAS BEEN MADE.

THE QUESTION IS DO WE HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY IN ATTENDING VIRTUALLY TO BE ABLE TO VOTE VIRTUALLY.

SO ALL OF THE OTHER ARGUMENTS AROUND BEING HERE IN PERSON AND THE ABILITY FOR FOLKS TO ADDRESS AND TO BE ABLE TO TALK TO US IN CHAMBERS, THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDRESSED BY PREVIOUS RULES.

WE'RE SIMPLY SAYING, IF YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO ATTEND VIRTUALLY THAT WE ALREADY HAD, CAN WE INCREASE THAT SO THAT A PERSON CAN REPRESENT THEIR COUNCIL DISTRICT BY VOTING.

I THINK IF WE HAVE THE EXISTING ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE VIRTUALLY.

WE SHOULD DARN SURE GET EVERY COUNCIL MEMBER THE ABILITY TO REPRESENT THEIR CONSTITUENTS BY VOTING.

AND THAT IS WHAT WE'RE DEBATING HERE, NOT PRESENCE AND NOT ATTENDING, IS WHETHER OR NOT WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO VOTE AND TO REPRESENT OUR CONSTITUENTS BASED ON THAT.

SINCE JOINING THIS COUNCIL, I HAVE TO HAVE TWO EMERGENCY SURGERIES THAT LEFT ME IN THE HOSPITAL FOR QUITE SOME PERIOD OF TIME.

THERE WAS SO MUCH CONFUSION AROUND THE ABILITY TO ATTEND I MISSED BOTH TO THIS COUNCIL OR THIS GROUP BECAUSE I DIDN'T HAVE THAT FUNCTIONALITY.

NOR WAS I TOLD IN ADVANCE HOW TO DO THAT.

THIS IS -- LORRAINE MADE A GREAT POINT ABOUT THE FLEXIBILITY FOR WOMEN AND FAMILIES BUT ALSO COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS MENTIONED SOMETHING THAT WE HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT, STABILITY OF MEMBERS.

WE'RE ALL GOVERNED BY THE GROUPS THAT ELECTED US WHETHER I CHOOSE TO BE OUT KNOCKING ON DOORS OR I'M IN THE OFFICE ALMOST EVERY DAY WHICH I HAVE BEEN DURING THE PANDEMIC.

I HAVE A FIELD OFFICE.



I HAVE CHOSEN TO TAKE THOSE STEPS BASED ON MY AGREEMENTS WITH MY CONSTITUENTS.

NOT ANOTHER COUNCIL MEMBER TO TELL ME HOW TO INTERACT WITH MY CONSTITUENTS.

THIS BEAUTIFUL THING I HAVE AT HOME AND A COMPUTER AND LAPTOP AND IPAD ALLOW ME TO COMMUNICATE VIRTUALLY VIA E MAIL.

BUT AGAIN I THINK, I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR THE DISTRACTION WHICH IS COMMUNICATION AND PRESENCE BECAUSE A TRUE VOTE HERE IS BASED ON THE ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO REPRESENT YOUR CONSTITUENTS BASED ON VOTING.

THAT'S THE ONLY VOTE WE'RE TAKING TONIGHT.

DO WE HAVE THAT ABILITY TO REPRESENT OUR CONSTITUENTS.

I SUPPORT IT.

>> CHAMBERS.

>> I'LL VOTE YES, BECAUSE I THINK IF WE WANT TO HAVE A FULL REPRESENTATION ON THE BODY, WE NEED TO GIVE ITS MEMBERS AS MUCH FLEXIBILITY AS POSSIBLE.

WE HAVE MORE FORMER PROSECUTORS IN THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS THAN WE DO MOMS WITH KID YOUNGER THAN 18 IN THE HOUSEHOLD EVEN THOSE FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN UNDER 18 MAKE UP 40 PERCENT OF THE HOUSEHOLDS IN AMERICA.

SO WHAT WE SEE IS THE GROUPS THAT HAVE BEEN SYSTEMATICALLY LOCKED OUT OF THE PROCESSES FOR WHATEVER REASON THAT MIGHT STOP THEM FROM ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING IF THEY WANT, THOSE BARRIERS

THAT WE TALK ABOUT WHETHER IT COMES TO VOTING AND ENGAGING IN OUR DEMOCRACY AT ALL LEVELS ALSO REAR THEIR HEAD WHEN WE TALK ABOUT RUNNING FOR ELECTED OFFICE.

I'LL BE HONEST.

IT IS DIFFICULT BEING A MEMBER OF THIS BODY WITH A TWO-YEAR-OLD AND AN EIGHT-MONTH-OLD.

IT IS SOMETHING I WOULD -- I -- WITH MY CONSTITUENTS ABOUT AT THE OUTSET AND TALKED ABOUT YOU KNOW WE HAVE A TON OF NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS IN DISTRICT 8.

WE TALKED ABOUT HOW OFTEN I WOULD MAKE THOSE MEETINGS AND HOW OFTEN I WOULDN'T AND HOW OFTEN I WOULD HAVE A TODDLER WITH ME.

TO HAVE A BODY THAT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PEOPLE, WE NEED TO GIVE DISTRICTS THE ABILITY TO MAKE CHOICES ABOUT THE TYPES OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT THEY WANT TO ELECT.

I THINK THAT MEANS MAKING IT SO THAT ANYBODY, REGARDLESS OF THEIR CIRCUMSTANCES.

REGARDLESS IF THEY'RE PARENTS OR YOUNG PERSON OR REGARDLESS OF THE JOB THEY HAVE, DO THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO PUT FORWARD THEIR NAME.

WE COULD BREAK DOWN THOSE SYSTEMATIC BARRIERS THAT HAVE LED TO MISINTERPRETATION.

WE CAN CHANGE SYSTEMS THAT HELD PEOPLE BACK.

I'M PROUD TO VOTE FOR IT.

I HOPE IT ENCOURAGING MORE PARENTS OF YOUNG KID JOIN US.

BECAUSE A LOT OF THIS EFFECTS FAMILIES WITH YOUNG KIDS.

I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT SEVERAL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TONIGHT ARE VIRTUAL IN PART BECAUSE -- WE'RE HEARING ALL ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF BEING HERE AS A BODY AND BEING RESPECTFUL TO OTHER PEOPLE AND CREATING A COMMUNITY.

I WOULD HESITATE BASED ON SORT OF WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW IN THIS MOMENT TO LIMIT OUR ABILITY TO VOTE ONLY TO SORT OF -- OF CERTAIN TYPES OF MEDICAL SITUATIONS AND EMERGENCIES.

WE HAD SOME MEMBERS THAT SAID THEY DIDN'T WANT TO WEAR MASKS.

I WOULDN'T BE HERE IN PERSON.

SO I THINK WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE AND I -- MY POINT IS IN RAISING THAT, IS I HOPE, I APPRECIATE THE SENTIMENT THAT EVERYONE IS PUTTING FORWARD TONIGHT ABOUT HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO INTERACT AND WE CARRY THAT FORWARD REGARDLESS OF HOW THE VOTE PLAYS OUT. IT IS IMPORTANT WE RESPECT ONE ANOTHER AND WE ALL SORT OF TAKE THOSE OPPORTUNITIES TO BUILD THOSE RELATIONSHIPS.

SO I HOPE THAT WE'RE NOT JUST TALKING ABOUT THAT.

WE TRULY CARRY FORWARD THAT SPIRIT OF COLLEGIALLY AND RELATIONSHIP BUILDING AND THIS BODY MOVING FORWARD.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> I'M BOTH WAYS.

FROM A SELFISH STANDPOINT, I WOULD SUPPORT THIS.

HEARD OUR COLLEAGUES THAT TALK ABOUT PEOPLE THAT TRAVEL.

I DON'T KNOW IF ANYBODY TRAVELS MORE THAN ME.

LIKE TO KNOW.

[NO AUDIO].

I TRAVELED THIS WEEK AND SCHEDULED IT WITH A REGIONAL MANAGER WHO STARTED IN PIKEVILLE AND WE WENT TO CINCINNATI AND INDIANAPOLIS AND MY PLANS WERE TO MAKE SURE THAT I WAS HOME ON THURSDAY TO ATTEND.

BECAUSE I COULDN'T WAIT.

COLLEAGUES IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD BENEFIT MORE, IT WOULD BE ME.

I'LL TELL YOU, FROM A PERSONAL STANDPOINT TONIGHT.

I WANT A SHOUT OUT TO ONE OF THE GREATEST JEFFERSON COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHERS IN THE CITY.

THAT'S MY WIFE.

TONIGHT'S OUR 32ND WEDDING ANNIVERSARY.

SHE'S A BREAST CANCER SURVIVOR.

SHE'S CAUGHT DOWNSTAIRS IN OUR BASEMENT LAST YEAR.

NEVERED.

I -- I MORE ABOUT MY WIFE'S JOB THAN SHE DOES.

DO WE NOT ENJOY THE ROBUST DEBATE AND INPERSON WITH OUR COLLEAGUES.

YOU MAY NOT AGREE WITH ANYBODY ON ANYTHING, BUT THIS IS

GOVERNMENT AT ITS BEST.

COMING DOWNTOWN LOUISVILLE TO DEBATE.

IT IS OUR JOB.

WE WERE HIRED TO DO THIS JOB.

AT THE VERY MINIMUM, I BELIEVE WE SHOULD BE HERE TWICE A MONTH FOR COUNCIL MEETINGS.

ANYWAY AGAIN, I'M GOING TO BENEFIT, I'M GOING TO BENEFIT BOTH WAYS HERE.

OKAY.

IN MY OPINION, I THINK WE NEED TO BE HERE.

WE NEED TO BE HERE IN PERSON.

I -- YOU SET YOUR SCHEDULE ON WHAT YOU CAN DO.

EVERYBODY KNOWS THEIR LIMIT TAKES.

IF YOU HAVE LIMITATIONS IN SOME WAY, WHY ARE YOU HERE?

IF MY COMPANY WOULDN'T HAVE ALLOWED ME TO DO THIS, I WOULDN'T BE HERE.

BUT I'M PAID TO COME DOWN HERE.

I HAD A FANTASTIC MEETING WITH THE YMCA TODAY TO TALK ABOUT SOMETHING IN MY DISTRICT.

COULD WE HAVE DONE IT VIRTUALLY?

ABSOLUTELY.

I THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO BE IN PERSON.

LET'S TAKE A STEP BACK HERE.

YOU STATED WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO VOTE.

I'M ASKING TAKE A STEP BACK, THIS IS NOT -- COUNCILWOMAN GREEN,  
I LOVE YOUR PASSION.

COURAGEOUS TO GIVE BIRTH.

>> AND YOUR BABY FROM THE BEGINNING AND THE SUPPORT FOR THE  
BABY AND WORK IS INCREDIBLE.

FOLKS, LET'S BE HONEST, LET'S BE HONEST, WE'RE EMPLOYED BY THE  
CITY TAXPAYERS.

WE NEED TO BE HERE.

WE NEED TO BE HERE ON THE JOB.

I WOULD REALLY ASK SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES TO RECONSIDER THEIR  
SUPPORT FOR THIS, NOT BECAUSE WE'RE AGAINST YOUR LIFESTYLE,  
BECAUSE WE'RE ANTI--- THAT YOU'RE A MOTHER.

ANYWAY, MR. PRESIDENT THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I DIDN'T WANT TO INTERRUPT YOU BUT WE LOST COUNCILWOMAN  
GREEN AND NEED TO PAUSE THE MEETING FOR A MOMENT.

>> I'M HERE.

>> GO AHEAD.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

I'M GOING TO CONCLUDE AND SAY THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

IT IS GREAT TO BE HERE IN PERSON.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> MY A CLARIFICATION WITH EARLIER WHEN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT

THIS AND WE COULD BE PRESENT, VIRTUALLY, AT ANY TIME.

THAT'S REALLY, THAT'S NOT A PRACTICE.

RIGHT NOW IS THAT THEY -- THE FIRST MEETING, THE DISTRICT MEETING.

SAW THE WHOLE THING.

I WASN'T PRESENT.

I DON'T KNOW.

IT DOES, CLARIFICATION.

>> SURE FOR THE REDISTRICTING, YOU MEAN, YOU WEREN'T MAKING VOTES.

THERE WAS NOT A -- THERE'S NOT AN ISSUE.

THE PROBLEM WITH BEING VIRTUAL OUTSIDE THIS IS OUR RULES DON'T ALLOW IT.

>> THAT REDISTRICTING MEETING.

I'M COUNTING THAT.

>> NO, BECAUSE WE SWITCHED THEM TO VIRTUAL.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

[INAUDIBLE].

>> SURE.

I MAY BE OFF A WEEK.

>> I THINK YOU HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT EXCUSED ABSENCE.

I TOLD THE CLERK THAT YOU HAD -- THAT YOU WOULD REQUEST AN EXCUSED ABSENCE.

>> I WAS PRESENT VIRTUALLY.

I WAS JUST ON THE -- WE AT ANY TIME HAVE IT SET UP LIKE NOW.  
THAT'S MY CONFUSION, THEY'RE NOT SET UP NOW, THEY CAN'T BE.  
THEY CAN'T BE COUNTED AS BEING HERE.

>> BLACKWELL, THE CLARIFICATION IS THERE'S A NOTICING  
REQUIREMENT.

WE TWO BACK TWO WEEKS AGO, YOU COULD HAVE ATTENDED VIRTUALLY BUT  
THERE'S A NOTICING REQUIREMENT WHICH IS SPELLED OUT IN THIS  
DOCUMENT.

THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN.

YOU HAD INDICATED YOU WOULD HAVE AN EXCUSED ABSENCE.

THEN PERHAPS YOU WATCHED IT ON FACEBOOK OR SOME OTHER WAY.

THAT'S YOU WATCHING AS THE PUBLIC.

RIGHT?

WHAT COULD HAVE HAPPENED IF YOU SO CHOSE, INDEPENDENT OF THIS  
DECISION, YOU COULD HAVE LET US -- LET THE CLERK KNOW IN  
ADVANCE.

THEY WOULD HAVE UPDATED THE NOTICE THAT YOU WERE ATTENDING  
VIRTUALLY AND YOU WOULD HAVE HAD THE OPTION TO ATTEND VIRTUALLY.  
YOU WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO VOTE.

I HOPE THAT CLARIFIES.

>> THANK YOU.

MCCRANEY.

>> THANK YOU.

I CLEARLY UNDERSTAND THAT WE'RE REALLY VOTING ON SOME OPTIONS TO



VOTE WHILE WE ARE VIRTUAL WITHIN WITH THAT SAID, I LIKE TO KNOW IF IT IS THE RULE AS A WHOLE.

WHEN I ATTENDED THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES THE OTHER DAY, I WAS TOLD I HAVE TO HAVE MY CAMERA ON.

SO IS THERE A WAY THAT -- THAT WE CAN MANDATE IF WE TO VIRTUAL, WE MUST BE ON CAMERA AT ALL TIMES AND TO COUNCILMAN ARTHUR'S POINT THAT WE CAN'T ATTEND VIRTUALLY WHILE DRIVING.

I THINK YOU'RE ASKING A QUESTION.

I'M GOING TO ASK THAT THE COUNTY ATTORNEY CHIME IN.

>> HI.

I'M WITH THE JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

TO BE SURE I UNDERSTOOD THAT.

I'LL REPEAT IT BACK, TO BE I GOT IT CORRECTLY.

I BELIEVE YOUR QUESTION WAS CAN THE RULE STATE THAT YOU MUST BE SEEN AND HEARD AT ALL TIMES?

WAS THAT YOUR -- WAS THAT YOUR QUESTION?

>> I SAID SEEN BUT SEEN AND HEARD IS MORE ACCURATE.

>> SEEN AND HEARD IS IN THE RULE.

IT IS PROVISION 5A -- YES, 5A.03 SUBC.

ANY COUNCIL MEMBER PARTICIPATING BY VIDEO TELECONFERENCE IN ANY COMMITTEE MEETING MUST KEEP HIS OR HER CAMERA ON FOR THE ENTIRE DURATION OF THE MEETING.

>> I WANTED THAT TO BE READ IN FULL.

THAT'S THE POINT.

MUST KEEP YOUR CAMERA ON AT ALL TIMES.

BUT WHERE DOES IT SAY YOU MUST BE SEEN IN YOUR CAMERA AT ALL TIMES?

>> THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT ITSELF REQUIRES EVERY PERSON PARTICIPATING IN A VIDEO TELECONFERENCE TO BE SEEN AND HEARD IF YOU'RE GOING TO PARTICIPATE. PARTICIPATION BEING PARTICIPATING IN DEBATES OR VOTING. IF ALL YOU'RE DOING IS LISTENING, YOU'RE NOT SPEAKING, AND YOU'RE NOT VOTING YOU COULD AS COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL DID FOR THE AD HOC COMMITTEE, YOU COULD -- YOU COULD ATTEND BY A PHONE CALL. BUT YOU COULDN'T SPEAK OR VOTE BUT YOU COULD LISTEN TO THE DEBATE.

YOU CAN MAKE YOUR COUNCIL RULE READ ANY WAY.

YOU CAN SAY YOU MUST BE SEEN AT ALL TIMES.

I LIKE TO ANALOGIZE FOR MOMENT, IF YOU WERE IN CHAMBERS, YOU COULD GET UP AND WALK OUT OF CHAMBERS FOR A MOMENT.

THAT WOULDN'T MEAN YOU LEFT THE MEETING PERMANENTLY.

THAT WOULD JUST MEAN YOU HAD STEPPED OUT FOR A MINUTE AND WERE COMING BACK.

WHEN YOU STEP AWAY FOR A MOMENT, IT IS LIKE STEPPING OUT OF THE ROOM IF YOU WILL.

IF YOU'RE GOING TO SPEAK AND VOTE ALL OF THAT MUST BE DONE WHILE YOU'RE VISIBLE ON CAMERA.

DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

>> IT DOES.

I AM TRYING TO GET TO A POINT, THAT POINT BEING I'M LOOKING AT MY COLLEAGUE AND I'M NOT SEEING HIM THE WHOLE TIME WE HAVE BEEN ON THIS COUNCIL MEETING.

IT COULD BE BECAUSE HE'S GOT A VIRTUAL BACKGROUND OR IT COULD BE HE LEFT HIS VIRTUAL SCREEN ON AND HE'S IN CHAMBERS.

I DON'T KNOW.

I'M JUST TRYING TO GET TO A POINT WHERE WE ARE AS PROFESSIONAL AS WE CAN BE AND SEEN AND HEARD AT ALL TIMES.

OTHER THAN YOUR CONCEPT OF GOING TO THE RESTROOM OR LEAVING FOR A MOMENT.

>> DID THAT FINISH YOUR QUESTION?

>> I GUESS IT DID.

IF WE'RE GOING VIRTUAL WITH THE SUPPORT, I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE IF THE TERMINOLOGY THAN THE BROAD CATEGORIES AND -- AND THE -- TO JUST MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE IN ATTENDANCE AND SEEN AND HEARD AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE DURING OUR MEETINGS.

IT IS FRUSTRATING TO THE -- TO THE COMMUNITY I'M SURE.

THEY DON'T SEE US.

THEY SEE A BACKGROUND.

THAT'S MY POINT.

I HAVE A SECOND POINT WHICH I'M SURE YOU HAVE FORGOTTEN AND SO HAVE I.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> I LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO CALL THIS QUESTION.

>> DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> ALL IN FAVOR OF CALLING THE QUESTION SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

ALL OPPOSED.

>> NO-NO-NO.

>> PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

GREEN COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

YES.

DORSEY YES.

ARTHUR NO PURVIS YES, PRESIDENT JAMES YES, MCCRANEY, NO,

ARMSTRONG, YES.

HOLLANDER, NO.

COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL, YES.

KRAMER, NO.

BLACKWELL, YES.

FOX, YES.

FOWLER, YES.

TRIPLETT, YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER REEVES.

WINKLER NO.

PARKER NO.

COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

NO.

BENSON.

YES.

GEORGE YES.

ENGEL NO.

PEDEN YES.

FLOOD.

YES.

HOLTON STEWART NO.

ACKERSON.

NO.

MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 15 YES VOTES AND 11 NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

QUESTION IS CALLED.

>> POINT OF ORDER.

>> YES, SIR.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE].

>> TWO-THIRDS.

I THINK IT IS TWO-THIRDS.

WANT TO MAKE SURE.

COUNCILMAN PARKER?

>> I THINK IT IS TWO THIRD.

IT IS TWO-THIRDS.

ALL RIGHT.

MOTION FAILED.

COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, JUST TO BE CLEAR, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THIS [INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE] AND THERE SHOULD BE MASK MANDATES.

THAT'S WHY I WORK DIRECTLY WITH PRESIDENT JAMES TO FIND A SOLUTION THAT WORKED FOR EVERYBODY.

LOOK.

THAT SOLUTION ACTUALLY IS A BETTER SOLUTION FROM THE PUBLIC HEALTH POINT OF VIEW THAN THE ORIGINAL ONE.

WHICH ARE THE PANACEA THEY DON'T DECLARE EVERYBODY WILL BE HEALTHY.

IT IS A PLEASURE TO COLLABORATE WITH THE PRESIDENT ON -- ON -- ON THIS.

THANK YOU.

WINKLER.

>> THANK YOU.

AGAIN, I WANT TO REFOCUS, THE QUESTION BEFORE US IS NOT CAN OR CAN YOU NOT ATTEND A MEETING VIRTUALLY, IT IS CAN YOU VOTE VIRTUALLY.

I VOTED NO BECAUSE I WANTED TO OFFER AN AMENDMENT.

COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY, THIS IS YOUR POINT TOO, WHICH IS RELATED TO DRIVING.

I THINK THAT WAS THE SECOND POINT THAT YOU MADE.

SO I WOULD OFFER AN AMENDMENT AND THIS IS UNDER 5A.03, THE  
CONDUCT OF MEETINGS.

SO UNDER SECTION C THERE WOULD BE A NEW SECTION B THAT SAYS  
COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING VIRTUALLY MAY NOT VOTE WHILE OPERATING  
MOTOR VEHICLES.

I ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

WE HAVE MOTION BY WINKLER AND SECOND BY REED.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> WELL, I'VE GOT A QUESTION ON THAT.

DOES THAT MEAN YOU CAN'T DRIVE AT ALL WHILE YOU'RE ATTENDING A  
MEETING OR YOU HAVE TO PULL OFF THE ROAD IF THE VOTE IS ABOUT  
READY TO TAKE PLACE.

THAT'S MY FIRST QUESTION.

THEN I WILL COMMENT.

>> I THINK THE INTENT IS YOU SHOULD NOT BE PARTICIPATING  
WHILE DRIVING.

>> CHANGE THE WORDING ON IT TO SAY THAT.

>> MY COMMENT WE WOULDN'T HAVE THIS DISCUSSION AT ALL IF IT  
WASN'T FOR COVID.

COVID IS THE REAL REASON WHY WE HAD THE VIRTUAL MEETING IN THE  
FIRST PLACE.

THAT CLICHE, NEVER LET A GOOD CRISIS GO TO WASTE.

WE WON'T LET IT TWO TO WASTE IN THIS SITUATION.

I HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION IS, IF THIS PASSES, DOES THIS INCLUDE ALL MEMBERS THAT ARE PRESENT -- PRESENT IN CHAMBERS?

>> CLERKS DON'T VOTE.

>> OKAY.

THAT MAKES SENSE I GUESS.

SO THEY STILL HAVE TO BE IN CHAMBERS.

>> I'M NOT SURE OF YOUR QUESTION, THE CLERK OR IN CHAMBERS?

>> YES.

SO IT IS JUST FOR US.

>> YES.

THIS IS -- THE RULES PLY TO THE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE RIGHT NOW.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I DON'T KNOW WHOSE VOICE THAT WAS.

>> HOLLY FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

I APOLOGIZE.

>> YES, MA'AM.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THE AMENDMENT LANGUAGE AND TO MAKE A POINT ABOUT THE DEFINITION OF PARTICIPATION AS IT EXISTS IN THE CURRENT DRAFT.

5A.01 DEFINES THE PURPOSES OF THIS RULE PARTICIPATION MEANS TO



ENGAGE IN DEBATE AND OR TO VOTE ON A MEASURE.

IF THE GOAL IS -- IS FOR MEMBERS NOT TO PARTICIPATE WHILE  
DRIVING THAT WOULD MEAN NO -- THEY COULD LISTEN.

BUT THAT'S ALL THEY COULD DO.

THEY COULD NOT PARTICIPATE OR VOTE.

WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT  
THE BODY WOULD LIKE THE AMENDMENT TO SAY.

>> IF I COULD MAYBE AND I APOLOGIZE [INDISCERNIBLE].

I THINK THE INTENT IS THE PARTICIPATION.

SO COUNCIL MEMBERS MAY NOT VOTE WHILE OPERATING MOTOR VEHICLE,  
IT SHOULD SAY PARTICIPATING.

IF YOU ALLOW ME TO MAKE THAT CHANGE TO MY AMENDMENT.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THE LANGUAGE ABOUT OPERATING.

AT THE END OF THE DAY, MULVIHILL IS IN TRANSIT RIGHT NOW BUT  
HE'S NOT OPERATING A VEHICLE.

HE'S NOT ENDANGERING ONE BY PARTICIPATION.

CLEARLY TEXTING BY DRIVING AND CELL PHONE BY DRIVING.

I APPRECIATE THE AMENDMENT AND SUPPORT THAT.

THEN I WANT TO GET TO THE POINTS THAT THE FOLKS HERE HAVE MADE.

RIGHT NOW WE HAVE SITUATIONS WHERE PEOPLE MISS MEETINGS.

IT IS MORE IMPORTANT THAT THEY'RE OUT OF TOWN.

IF YOU'RE ON THE ROAD, YOU COULD CAST THE VOTES AS BEING WORRIED

ABOUT YOU BEING IN CHAMBERS.

I HEAR FOLKS TALK ABOUT.

THAT'S -- WE DON'T HAVE A TALLY SCORE OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

THE WHOLE THING SEEMS SILLY.

IF WE ALLOW THIS VIRTUAL VOTING, IF WE ALLOW A VOTE, IT GOES AWAY WITH [INDISCERNIBLE] MOST OF THE TIME.

WE HAD A NUMBER OF COLLEAGUES WITH EVERYTHING FROM A HEART ATTACK AND THEY'VE BEEN OUT OF CHAMBERS FOR MONTHS.

WHERE IS THE BENEFITS OF THEIR CONSTITUENTS TO NOT LET VOICES BE HEARD?

IF SOMEBODY IN MIDDLE OF SURGERY, CLEARLY THEY CAN'T VOTE, IF THEY'RE ON THE RECOVERY TRAIL, THEY ALLOW GREATER ACCESS TO BOTH CONSTITUENTS TO HAVE THEIR VOICES HEARD.

THAT'S TREMENDOUSLY IMPORTANT.

I HEARD PEOPLE SAY WHOEVER RUNS AGAINST YOU, THE PERSON IS NEVER HERE.

THEY'RE IN FLORIDA.

THAT'S THE RISK YOU TAKE WITH A POLITICIAN.

[INDISCERNIBLE] [INAUDIBLE] PEOPLE SAY THINGS ABOUT YOU.

DO WE GIVE THEM AMMUNITION TO DO IT.

I THINK BEING IN CHAMBERS IS HUGEY IMPORTANT, BUT IT IS NOT SO IMPORTANT THAT I DENY SOMEONE ACCESS THAT THEY CAN'T BE THERE.

I WORK WITH PEOPLE IN 26 DISTRICTS BUT THEY'RE HAPPY WITH ME, THEY WILL SHOW IT AT THE BALLOT BOX.

THEY'RE UNHAPPY THEY SHOW THAT.

MY JOB IS NOT TO KEEP SAID OFFICE HOURS.

LET'S SAY FROM 10:00 TO NOON WE GOT TO BE CERTAIN PLACES.

LET'S SAY YOU GOT TO BE IN THE OFFICE 20 HOURS A WEEK.

ARE YOU DOING THE JOB?

I HEARD YOU TALK ABOUT E-MAILS AND VIRTUAL MEETINGS AND WHAT  
NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION MEANS.

THAT'S ALL UP TO US.

THEN THE MEASURE IS UP.

THERE'S TIMES WE NEED TO BE OUT OF CHAMBERS.

GIVING THEM ACCESS WHILE THE BULK MAY BE IN CHAMBERS, GIVING  
THEM ACCESS TO NOT BEING IN CHAMBERS DOESN'T CHANGE THE  
EQUATION.

IF THEY'RE NOT THERE, THEY'RE NOT THERE.

THEY MAY NOT BE THERE.

TO SEE WHO THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE [INDISCERNIBLE].

BUT THE REST OF US WILL BE.

THAT PERSON CAN STILL CAST THEIR VOTE.

THE REALITY IS THAT THERE'S TIMES WE HAD CONTROVERSIAL VOTES AND  
PEOPLE HAVE LITERALLY TAKEN WALK AND AVOIDED THE CONTROVERSIAL  
VOTE BY DOING THAT.

I LOVE IT.

THIS WILL HOPEFULLY HELP US.

UNLESS YOU GOT A REASON TO NOT EXTEND AND YOU GOT ALL OF THESE

VIRTUAL ATTENTION, THE VOTES WE HAVE ON STAFF, THE BETTER OFF  
THE COMMUNITY IS.

AS SUCH I WILL SUPPORT IT.

I LIKE BEING DOWNTOWN.

I'M NOT A VERY TECHIE GUY.

YOU KNOW.

AT THE END OF THE DAY, IF I CHOOSE TO BE DOWN THERE AND GREEN,  
THAT'S THE REASON SHE CAN'T BE DOWN THERE.

STILL WANT TO HEAR FROM HER.

I WANT HER TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO CAST THE VOTES WITH HER  
CONSTITUENTS.

AS SUCH, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN I'LL SUPPORT YOUR LEGISLATION AND  
APPRECIATE YOU BRINGING IT FORWARD.

FUN

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT, QUICKLY.

THAT WAS PRETTY INTERESTING.

NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT THAT WAY.

YEP, WE HAVE HAD SOME PRETTY CONTROVERSIAL VOTES.

LO AND BEHOLD THERE'S MEMBERS SUDDENLY NOT IN CHAMBERS.

WITH THAT I LIKE THAT IDEA.

VERY QUICKLY, IT IS COMMON SENSE, COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL IS A  
PASSENGER IN THE VEHICLE, THAT'S FINE.

IF YOU'RE A PASSENGER IN A VEHICLE YOU HAVE A FULL RIGHT TO

PARTICIPATE AND ENGAGE AND VOTE.

CORRECT?

DO WE NEED LANGUAGE?

>> I THINK THE INTENT OF THE AMENDMENT IS PUBLIC SAFETY  
DISCUSSION.

FOR CLARITY SAKE, I THINK THE WORD IS OPERATING.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I HATE TO EVEN SAY THIS, WHAT IS NEXT, ARE WE GOING TO  
MAKE EVERYBODY COME IN 20 HOURS OR GET THE OVERTIME FOR WHAT WE  
PUT?

I THINK AS -- WE'RE JUST GETTING WAY OUT OF HANDLING THIS.

ANYWAY, I'LL BE A NO VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

MCCRANEY.

>> I [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> THANK YOU.

>> ARMSTRONG?

>> [INAUDIBLE].

>> THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT.

THIS IS FOR THE AMENDMENT PUT FORTH BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

>> NO.

>> THE AYES HAVE IT.

NOW THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US, ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> AYE.

>> AYE.

OPPOSED.

>> NAY.

>> THE AYES CARRY, THE RULE PASSES.

GREEN YES.

SHANKLIN YES.

DORSEY YES.

ARTHUR YES.

PURVIS YES.

JAMES YES.

MCCRANEY YES.

ARMSTRONG YES.

HOLLANDER YES.

MULVIHILL YES.

KRAMER NO.

BLACKWELL YES.

FOX YES.

FOWLER YES.

TRIPLETT YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

WINKLER YES.

PARKER NO.

PIAGENTINI.

NO.

BENSON.

NO.

>> GEORGE.

YES.

ENGEL.

NO.

PEDEN.

NO.

FLOOD.

YES.

HOLTON STEWART.

YES.

ACKERSON.

YES.

YOU HAVE 19 YES VOTES AND 7 NO VOTES.

THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

IT IS PASSED WHEN IT IS INTRODUCED.

READING ITEM NUMBER 46.

46, A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT  
INTERIM PRACTICES TO ADDRESS  
OPERATIONAL CHALLENGE RESULTING  
FROM EVOLVING COVID-19 VIRUS.  
READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU.

OFF MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION.

S

>> MOTION BY WINKLER AND SECOND BY STEWART.

>> COULD YOU SPEAK TO THIS, PLEASE?

>> THIS.

OH.

RULE CHANGE RELATING TO MASKS IN THE BUILDING.  
BASICALLY GIVING THE PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL THE ABILITY TO  
MODIFY RULES IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY.

>> ANY DISCUSSION?

>> MR. PRESIDENT.

I'M SORRY.

I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN -- ARE WE DOING THE FIRST READING OF THIS?

>> NO, SIR.

THE RULE ALLOWS FOR THE -- FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTION OF  
THE COUNCIL TO BE PASSED AT THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL.

>> SO CAN I -- CAN I ASK A QUESTION?



>> YES, SIR.

>> AGAIN, IS THIS -- IS THIS RULE CHANGE ALLOW FOR YOU TO  
UBIQUITOUSLY OR IS THIS RELATED TO A PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY.

>> PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY.

>> WHEN IT IS OVER THE RULE WILL BE LIFTED.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

>> MR. CHAIR.

>> KRAMER.

>> MIGHT I ASK THAT WE SEND THIS FOR DISCUSSION.

[INAUDIBLE].

I PREFER WE VOTE NOW BECAUSE WE HAVE THE ISSUE RIGHT NOW.

UNLESS YOU'RE GOING TO TELL ME WE'RE NOT.

[INAUDIBLE].

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> WHEN THERE'S AN EMERGENT SITUATION AND NEED TO ACQUIRE  
MASKS IN METRO HALL THAT MAN DATE DOESN'T APPLY TO METRO HALL.

THIS NEED TO BE ADDRESSED IN AN EMERGENCY FASHION.

WE SHOULD VOTE ON THIS.

>> COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

>> THE FIRST VOTE IS A VOTE SENT TO COMMITTEE OR NOT, IF WE  
DON'T VOTE.

IF WE DON'T DEFEND THE RULES, IT GOES TO NEW BUSINESS, DOES IT  
NOT?

YOU'RE SHAKING YOUR HEAD NO.

>> THIS IS NOT A RULE CHANGE.

THIS IS A POLICY.

YOU DO NOT HAVE TO HAVE THE FIRST NOTICE.

HAD HAD HAD SOME.

[INAUDIBLE].

>> STAFF ARE GOVERNED BY.

>> RIGHT.

I'M MISSING THE PART WHERE THIS IS RELATED TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY.

>> HOLLY HOPKINS HERE.

THE TITLE REFLECTS IT IS THE COVID-19 SITUATION.

I BELIEVE SECTION ONE SPELLS OUT PRETTY CLEARLY BUT IT IS -- IT IS -- IT IS METRO COUNCIL OPERATIONS DUE TO THE COVID-19 SITUATION.

OBVIOUSLY, WE COULD ADJUST LANGUAGE IF THE BODY WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT.

THAT WAY IN THERE.

>> LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY.

[INAUDIBLE].

>>

>> THIS IS LIMITED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY.

>> WAS THAT A QUESTION OR STATEMENT?

BY THE TIME THE PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY IS OVER, YOU WILL BE

BEYOND THE REQUIREMENT.

IT IS A RENEWAL CONTROL.

>> IT SAYS ADOPT INTERIM PRACTICES TO ADDRESS OPERATIONAL  
CHALLENGES FROM EVOLVING COVID-19 VIRUS.

COVID-19 IS NOT GOING AWAY.

IF YOU'RE NOT AMICABLE TO IT, THAT'S FINE.

>> I'M NOT AMICABLE TO IT.

>> IN AN EFFORT TO -- TO OFFER AN OPTION FOR YOU, I DID  
NOT -- I DID NOT MAKE THIS CLEAR AS I COULD HAVE.

I LIKE A MOTION THIS BE SENT TO COMMITTEE.

>> SECOND.

MOTION BY KRAMER AND SECOND.

ANY DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

OPPOSED.

>> NO-NO-NO NO.

>> CALL THE ROLL.

THE QUESTION IS SHOULD WE SEND THIS TO COMMITTEE?

GREEN NO.

SHANKLIN.

NO.

DORSEY.

NO.

ARTHUR, NO.

PURVIS.

NO.

JAMES NO.

MCCRANEY.

[ROLL CALLED].

MA'AM I CAN'T HEAR YOU I'M SORRY.

YOU HAVE 19 YES VOTES AND 7 NO VOTES.

>> I THINK IT IS REVERSED 7 YES VOTES AND 19 NO VOTES.

>> THE MOTION FAILS AND WE'RE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL  
RESOLUTION.

IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> I HAVE A QUESTION.

WAIT WAIT WAIT.

THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE.

>> I'M SORRY.

>> ACKERSON.

>> THIS COULD BE A POLICY, NOT A LAW.

IT IS NOT A -- IT IS A POLICY.

COULD BE -- IT COULD BE IN PERPETUITY ABSOLUTELY.

THERE MAY BE FLASH POINTS AT TIMES THAT WE NEED TO ACT QUICKLY.

IF YOU FEEL LIKE THESE ARE OVERUSED, LIKE ANY POLICY YOU COULD  
FILE AN AMENDMENT TO DO AWAY WITH IT OR TO SET IT ASIDE.

A VOTE ON THAT.

IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE WAY THE PRESIDENT ENFORCED THEM, DON'T VOTE FOR HIM.

AT THE END OF THE DAY COVID IS NOT GOING AWAY.

WE SHOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO ESSENTIALLY REACT TO THAT ON 0 THE FLY FOR HEALTH PURPOSES.

I RECOGNIZE THIS IS SOMETHING THAT COULD BE IN PERPETUITY AND I SUPPORT THAT.

THE POTENTIAL FOR FUTURE HEALTH PROBLEMS.

>> THANK YOU.

PEDEN?

>> I JUST THANK YOU.

IF THIS PASSES WILL YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO FOR INSTANCE [INDISCERNIBLE] WHAT WE CAN DO IN OUR OWN OFFICE, LIKE MAKE ME WEAR MASKS.

THIS IS THE REASON I LEFT CHAMBERS AND CAME DOWN HERE.

>> THIS IS ABOUT WEARING A MASK IN THE PUBLIC SPACES OF -- OF THE CITY HALL?

OKAY.

>> THAT INCLUDE MY OFFICE.

>> THAT DOES NOT INCLUDE YOUR OFFICE.

>> MR. PRESIDENT.

>> I CAN'T GET PLUGGED IN.

>> BENSON, YES, SIR, GO AHEAD.

>> ONE THING I WANT TO KNOW SINCE ALL TOLD, CAN YOU MAKE A

POLICY IF THERE'S ANYBODY THAT HAS A RUNNY NOSE HAS TO WEAR A MASK.

[LAUGHTER].

I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS A COLD OR WHAT IT IS.

EVERYBODY IS AFRAID OF EVERYTHING ANYMORE.

I DON'T KNOW IF I WILL EVER BE BACK DOWN.

I'M ADULT I CAN HANDLE MY PROBLEMS.

I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT OTHER PEOPLE BOWING DOWN TO ME.

THAT'S THE CASE, YOU SAY HEY, YOU GOT A COLD, YOUR NOSE IS RUNNY, YOU BETTER HAVE A MASK ON.

IF YOU DID, WHAT DEFINES?

HOW MUCH DO WE HAVE TO PAY WHEN WE'RE CAUGHT WITH A MASK OFF WHEN WE HAD A RUNNY NOSE?

THAT'S ME, JUST INTERESTED.

>> WAS THAT A QUESTION?

>> YEAH, CAN YOU MAKE EVERYBODY HAS A RUNNY NOSE WEAR A MASK?

>> COUNCILMAN BENSON IT IS PRETTY CLEAR, IT IS BASED ON THE CDC GUIDANCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

THAT'S NOT PART OF THE CDC GUIDANCE AND RECOMMENDATION.

>> OKAY.

I TRUST YOU.

WHAT YOU THINK, THERE WON'T BE A TIME YOU TELL SOMEBODY WITH A RUNNY NOSE THEY HAVE TO WEAR A MASK?

>> COUNCILMAN BENSON I HAVE NOT SEEN THAT AS PART OF THE CDC GUIDANCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS NOR HEARD THAT FROM DR. MOYER.

>> ALL RIGHT.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ALL OPPOSED?

>> NO.

>> THE AYES HAVE IT THE RULE PASSES.

NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS.

THE FIRST ITEM IS DR. PRESIDENT BLACKWELL'S ITEM NUMBER 43.

43, 024821, AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING THE LOUISVILLE

JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO CODE OF

ORDINANCES CHAPTER 115 RELATING

TO LICENSE REQUIREMENT

EXEMPTIONS.

THE ORDINANCE BEFORE US.

ANY QUESTIONS?

>> I HAD THE CONVERSATION WITH YOU ABOUT -- ABOUT WE WERE -- WE WERE GOING TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT.

I WANTED TO HOLD IT ON -- DO YOU WANT ME TO STOP?

YOU WANT TO HOLD THAT?

THAT'S THE CONVERSATION.

ON BREAK I WAS SPEAKING WITH COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE AND SAID IT MIGHT BE CLEANER TO GET IT BACK TO COMMITTEE AND GET THE WORK DONE THERE RATHER THAN HERE ON THE FLOOR.

>> OKAY.

>> GIVEN HOW QUICKLY WE GET THINGS DONE ON THE FLOOR HERE, I THINK SHE'S RIGHT.

>> YOU CAN GET THAT DONE IN A COUPLE OF MINUTES I'M SURE.

>> IT IS NOT CONTROVERSIAL, BUT JUST IN CASE.

>> THANK YOU.

ALL OF THOSE IN FAVOR OF SENDING THIS BACK TO -- WINKLER?

ALL IN FAVOR OF SENDING THIS BACK TO COMMITTEE PLEASE SAY AYE.

OPPOSED.

THE AYES HAVE IT.

IT GOES BACK TO COMMITTEE.

47, 030221, AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING LOUISVILLE METRO CODE

OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER 90 TO ADD

AN EXEMPTION FOR CIGAR BARS.

>> MOTION AND SECOND.

ED -- ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THAT CORRECT?

PIAGENTINI MOTIONED AND FOX SECONDED.

ANY DISCUSSION?

SHANKLIN.



>> I WAS NOT PRESENT AT THAT MEETING OF COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT  
CHAired THAT MEETING.

>> COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS IS DUE TO OUR SMOKING BAN ORDINANCE.

SEVERAL MEMBERS ON THE COMMITTEE, ON COMMUNITY AFFAIRS AND  
HEALTH EDUCATION SAW WE MIGHT YIELD THE FLOOR TO THEM OR  
PIAGENTINI OR TO COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, IF WE COULD START WITH FOX AND TAKE IT FROM  
THERE.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THERE'S A LOT OF DISINFORMATION OUT THERE ABOUT EXACTLY  
WHAT THIS AMENDMENT IS.

WE AGREED TO MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI IT  
WAS -- IT WAS GOING TO FOLLOW MY STATEMENTS IF YOU ARRIVE IN A  
MOMENT BY SUBSTITUTION.

THAT SHOWS THAT OFF.

CIGARS ARE PREMIUM PRODUCTS THAT APPEAL TO A NICHE BASE.

HORSERACING IS LINKED TO THE CIGAR INDUSTRY.

NOT SAYING CIGARS ARE HEALTHY OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

IT SIMPLY SAYS THAT PEOPLE THAT SMOKE THESE PREMIER CIGARS COULD  
BE GIVEN A VENUE AND TAKES THEM OFF THE SIDEWALK OF THE STREETS.  
DUE TO HEALTH CONCERNS AND OUR TOURISM.

HORSERACING IT CAN BECOME A PROBLEM FOR SOME WITH VERY POOR  
OUTCOMES.

RODEOS AND CAR SHOWS.

WE'RE AT THE CONCRETE STATE PARK AND THE WORLD'S LARGEST POWER  
AFTER DARK.

ALL OF THESE THINGS TURN.

IT IS ASSESSED AND DECISIONS OF WHAT ACCEPTABLE IS FOR THE  
INDIVIDUAL.

AGAIN, YOU'LL SAY THE GARDENS ARE HEALTHY, WHAT I'M SAYING IS  
YOU EITHER PURCHASE OR POSSESS TO BE CONSUMED BY ADULTS.

ADULT WHO IS FREE TO MAKE THEIR OWN DECISION.

THIS STRICTLY LIMITS HOW THESE BUSINESSES CAN OPERATE.

21 AND OVER CAN WALK THROUGH THE DOOR.

UNLIKE RESTAURANTS AND PUBLIC SPACES NO ONE HAS A REASON TO HAVE  
ONE OF THESE BUSINESSES THAT DOESN'T PURCHASE OR CONSUME THE  
PRODUCT ANYWAY.

IT WILL HELP KEEP THE SIDEWALKS CLEAR OF THE CIGAR ENTHUSE YASES  
THAT GATHER OUTSIDE THE CIGAR SHOPS.

A COUPLE OF SPEAKERS ADDRESSED THIS.

THIS HAS CAUSED NO SMOKING BANS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

THESE BUSINESSES MOVE FROM LOUISVILLE BECAUSE -- BECAUSE OF THE  
ABILITY TO OPEN THESE ALLOWANCE AND TO GENERATE ECONOMIC IMPACT.

I THINK WE OUGHT TO TAKE A SERIOUS LOOK AT THAT.

WE LOOK AT JEFFERSON AND CERTAINED AROUND OUR WATERFRONT AND

INFRASTRUCTURE AND OUR SKYLINE.

MY CO-SPONSOR WITH AMENDED LANGUAGE.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> I THINK THIS IS A PIECE OF 0 LEGISLATION.

YOU DO NEED TO GET FEEDBACK.

WE'RE SEEING FEEDBACK FROM DIFFERENT PEOPLE ON THIS.

AND THAT MADE IT BETTER.

WE'RE GRAD TO HOLD IT AS THERE WERE A COUPLE MORE PIECES OF FINE  
TUNING YOU WANTED TO DO.

I WANT TO MAKE TWO COMMENTS BEFORE I DETAIL WHAT THE -- WHAT  
THE -- HOW THE AMENDMENTS READ.

PULL THEM UP RIGHT NOW.

THESE E-MAILS ABOUT NEGATIVE HEALTH OUTCOMES.

IS THERE EVIDENCE?

ONE THING YOU WANT TO KNOW IS THE FOCUS HAS BEEN ON IS IT  
HEALTHY OR NOT TO SMOKE A CIGAR OR CIGARETTE.

A QUESTION WE'RE NOT TACKLING.

IS THERE EVIDENCE THAT THERE WILL BE WORSE OUTCOMES WITH THIS.  
THERE'S MANY PEER CITIES, INDIANAPOLIS, AUSTIN, NASHVILLE WHO  
ALL HAVE ANTI-SMOKING LEGISLATION.

YOU CAN'T SMOKE IN PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION SPACES BUT ALLOW FOR  
CIGAR BARS.

THIS RANKED THE HEALTHIEST CITIES IN THE U.S.

THESE ARE ALL RANKED HEALTHIER THAN LOUISVILLE.

IF THERE WAS A DETRIMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH IMPACT FOR ALLOWING THIS CIGAR BAR THERE ARE CITIES THAT WE COULD HAVE USED FOR THE DETRIMENTAL IMPACT THEY HAVE COMPARED TO US AND YET THAT DATA DOES NOT EXIST.

THEN I REALIZE SOME BROUGHT UP THE LEGAL ARGUMENT ABOUT CHURCHILL DOWNS.

THAT DOESN'T APPLY HERE.

THAT WAS SINGLING OUT A BUSINESS AND A BUSINESS MODEL.

THAT WOULD BE OF COURSE ILLEGAL.

WE'RE -- WE'RE CITING -- WE REGULATE TYPES OF INDUSTRY.

THAT'S WHAT THIS IS DOING.

ALL THE TIME.

THE EQUIVALENCY DOESN'T EXIST.

THIS IS IN THE SYSTEM.

THE FIRST LINK.

IT DOES A COUPLE OF THINGS ON THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 1.

BESIDES THE FACT MY NAME IS SPELLED INCORRECTLY.

IT ELIMINATES TOBACCO SALES.

IT ELIMINATES THAT AS A CATEGORY THAT CAN BE SOLD.

IT DEFINES PREMIUM CIGARS.

ONE QUESTION THAT COMES UP, IS THE --

>> THE INDUSTRY SAYING, THE PERCEPTION OF THIS BEING, SOMETHING --

>> COUNCILMAN FOX, I THINK YOU'RE NOT MUTED MAYBE.

THE PREMIUM CIGAR DEFINITION COMES -- ELIMINATES THINGS LIKE FLAVORED CIGARS AND OTHER THINGS, THAT THE TOBACCO COMMUNITY VIEWS AS MARKETING TO A YOUNGER DEFINITION.

THIS IS SOMETHING THE FDA CAME UP WITH IN A CASE WHERE -- A LEGAL CASE WHERE THEY HAD TO DEFINE PREMIUM CIGARS, THIS HAS BEEN USED TO DEFINE THE PRODUCT.

WE DECLARED ABOUT THE INTENTION OF CREATING SEPARATE BUILDINGS. THE ONLY THING, YOU CAN SHARE A WALL BUT IN NO WAY CAN YOU HAVE ACCESS TO OR -- OR COMBINATION OF THE TWO BUILDINGS.

YOU HAVE A SHARED WALL.

YOU HAVE A WINDOW, SHARED DOOR.

ANYTHING THAT IS SHARED, YOU'RE NOT A FREE STANDING BUILDING.

THAT LANGUAGE IS CLARIFIED THERE.

ONE THING WE WANTED TO COMPLETELY ELIMINATE WAS RISK OF SMOKE GOING INTO AN ESTABLISHMENT THAT IS NOT AN IDENTIFIED AND LICENSED CIGAR BAR.

THE FINAL THING WE DID.

WE ELIMINATED THE REFERENCE TO REQUIRING EMPLOYEES TO READ AND SIGN A DOCUMENT.

THAT EXPLAINS SMOKE.

IT COULD BE A WAY FOR EMPLOYERS TO MITIGATE RISKS RELATED TO THEIR BUSINESS MODEL.

THAT WAS NOT THE INTENT.

IT WAS INTENT TO BE CLEAR.

WE DON'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN.

THIS WILL INSURE THAT THESE ARE ESTABLISHMENTS THAT PEOPLE ARE GOING IN TO BUY CIGARS.

NOT PEOPLE GOING TO BUY FOOD OR ENGAGE IN ANY OTHER PUBLIC ACTIVITY BUT TO BUY CIGARS.

THERE'S PURCHASERS AND SMOKERS.

THEY MAY TRY ONE ON THE WAY OUT AND THEN HAVE A BOURBON WHILE THEY'RE TRYING THEM.

THIS REALLY GIVES ONLY THAT -- THAT SPECIFIC THING SO.

I MAKE FOR THOSE AMENDMENTS, I'LL MAKE A MOTION AND I HOPE TO GET YOUR SUPPORT FOR THIS AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

THAT WAS YOUR MOTION FOR THE AMENDMENT?

THAT SUBSTITUTION?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

THAT WAS MY MOTION.

>> COULD WE HAVE A SECOND?

SECOND.

>> SECOND BY COUNCILMAN REED AND FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT COUNCILMAN FOX.

CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG.

>> THIS IS ABOUT THE ORDINANCE MORE GENERALLY.

I APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT COUNCILMEN HAVE PUT INTO IT.

I SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT, I DON'T SUPPORT THE OVERALL.  
THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS UNTIL WE VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT.  
THANK YOU.

HOLLANDER.

I LIKE TO SPEAK ON THE ENTIRE AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THESE -- ON THESE -- THESE  
SUBSTITUTIONS?

HEARING NONE ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M GOING TO VOTE AGAINST THIS ORDINANCE BECAUSE OUR  
COMPREHENSIVE LAWS HAVE YIELDED TOUGH GAINS.

WE CHOSE TO ENACT COMPREHENSIVE SMOKE-FREE POLICIES AND WE'VE  
SEEN THE IMPACT OF THOSE.

WE'RE CARVING OUT A LOOPHOLE.

WE'LL BE TREATING CIGAR TOBACCO PRODUCTS DIFFERENTLY THAN HUKA.  
THEY CAN ONLY SELL HERBS AND NOT TOBACCO.

WE'RE TREATING DIFFERENT SUBSTANCES DIFFERENTLY.

I THINK IF WE OPEN THE DOOR, THE NEXT THING WE'RE GOING TO SEE  
IS THE HUKA BARS COME BACK.

AND YOU'RE SAY YOU'RE LETTING THEM SELL PRODUCTS WE WOULD LIKE TO TOO.

THEY ARING FOR TO SAY THAT'S A SMALL CHANGE.

THEN THE NEXT THING THAT WILL HAPPEN, PEOPLE WILL SAY, WHAT ABOUT THE PIPE TOBACCO, THE LOOSE TOBACCO.

THAT'S PART OF THE ORDINANCE.

THEY ONLY STRIPPED IT OUT AT THE END.

LET'S ADD THAT IN.

IT IS A SLOW SLIDE THAT COMPLETELY EVISCERATES THE POLICIES.

THESE POLICIES ARE POPULAR.

WE HAVEN'T HEARD AN OUTCRY FROM THE PUBLIC, EVEN THE SPONSORS WERE ON RECORD AT THE BEGINNING AND SAID THEY HADN'T HEARD FOR FROM A SINGLE BUSINESS.

WE HAD THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICAL DOCTORS, RESPIRATORY DOCTORS THAT TOOK TIME TO SPEAK TO US IN THE MIDDLE OF A PANDEMIC WHENEVER THEIR TIME IS SO VALUABLE RIGHT NOW COME AND TELL US WHAT THIS IS GOING TO DO IS SET OUR CITY BACK.

NOT ONLY SET OUR CITY BACKIN TERMS OF HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR THE INDIVIDUALS THAT MAKE THE DECISION TO SMOKE CIGARS.

LETH BE CLEAR.

THIS POLICY WILL LEAD INDIVIDUALS TO INITIATE A SMOKING HABIT EVEN IF THEY HADN'T OTHERWISE.

THE COLLEGE I WENT TO HAD A CIGAR BAR CLOSE TO CAMPUS.

IT WAS A RITUAL WHEN YOU TURNED 21 TO GO TO THE CIGAR BAR AND



HAVE BOURBON AND A CIGAR.

THEY BEGAN USING TOBACCO PRODUCTS THAT WOULD NOT HAVE HAD NOT FOR THE EXISTENCE OF THAT BAR.

IT IS EASY TO SAY WHY NOT ALWAYS HAVE A CIGARETTE.

THIS SLIDES INTO THESE SMOKING BEHAVIORS AGAIN.

THIS WILL ENCOURAGE TO BE -- TOBACCO.

THAT'S WHY WE REGULATE SMOKING AS A BEHAVIOR BECAUSE IT IS NOT JUST YOU THAT YOU IMPACT WHEN YOU MAKE THOSE DECISIONS.

YOU IMPACT THE ENTIRE CITY THROUGH LOSS OF ACTIVITY, HEALTHCARE COSTS, THROUGH ALL KINDS OF STERNALITIES THAT YOU YOURSELF WHEN YOU MAKE THE DECISION YOU DON'T FULLY BEAR.

SO YES.

MAYBE THIS IS WHAT WOULD GENERATE SOME REVENUE TO SMALL BUSINESSES.

MAYBE IT WOULD, PROBABLY WOULD.

BUT WHAT IS THE COST OF THAT BUSINESS COSTING OUR CITY?

WHAT IS IT COSTING IN TERMS OF OUR THE EX-TERNALITIES, THE TAX DOLLARS AND THE PEOPLE STARTING SMOKING AND THE MESSAGE WE SEND TO OUR KIDS.

THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO END WITH.

THIS IDEA THAT WE -- ALL OF THIS ABOUT, TOURISM MODEL.

OUR CITY IS BUILT ON BOURBON AND HORSES AND SMOKING SHOULD BE A PART OF THAT.

THAT'S OPPOSITE WHAT WE SHOULD TELL OUR CHILDREN.

>> WAS THAT YES, MA'AM?

COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

ARTHUR YES.

PURVIS.

PURVIS.

YES.

PRESIDENT JAMES.

YES.

MCCRANEY.

YES.

ARMSTRONG.

YES.

HOLLANDER.

YES.

MULVIHILL.

NO.

KRAMER.

NO.

BLACKWELL.

YES.

FOWLER.

NO.

TRIPLETT.

YES.

REED.

NO.

WINKLER.

COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

NO.

PIAGENTINI.

NO.

BENSON.

NO.

GEORGE.

YES.

ENGEL.

NO.

PEDEN.

>> NOPE.

IN ORDER.

>> YES.

HOLTON STEWART NO.

ACKERSON.

WHERE HE.

YOU HAVE 15 YES AND 11 NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

THE MOTION PASSES AND LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT COUNCIL  
[NO AUDIO].

>> MR. PRESIDENT DID WE LOSE AUDIO?

>> STAND BY.

>> IT IS A SIMPLE MAJORITY, SO THE MOTION PASSES.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT WINKLER WILL TAKE THE CHAIR FOR A  
MOMENT.

THE TIME IS CURRENTLY 8:45, SO THE MOTION, 10:15 IS WHEN DEBATE  
WILL END.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, PRO TEM.

I UNDERSTAND THE VIEW OF SOME THAT WE SHOULD NOT PASS LAWS THAT  
RESTRICT PEOPLE'S ABILITY TO DO WHATEVER THEY WANT.

OR ANYONE WHO DOESN'T WANT TO BE IN THIS TYPE OF FACILITY COULD  
JUST DRIVE ON BY.

BUT I DON'T THINK THAT ARGUMENT IS ANY MORE PERSUASIVE NOW THAN  
IT WAS WHEN THIS BODY PASSED THE SMOKE-FREE ORDINANCE LONG  
BEFORE I WAS ON COUNCIL.

I WORRY ABOUT THE PEOPLE THAT WILL WORK IN THESE ESTABLISHMENTS  
EITHER AS EMPLOYEES OR JOBS FOR OTHER PEOPLE WILL TAKE THEM  
THERE.

I WORRY ABOUT ENFORCEMENT.

DO WE EXPECT OUR HEALTH AT THE POINT TO DETERMINE WHETHER A  
CIGAR IS PREMIUM OR TO MAKE SURE THAT NO ONE IS SMOKING A

CIGARETTE IN THESE FACILITIES.

WE HEARD OPPOSITION TO THIS.

THIS IS JUST SOME, BOARD OF HEALTH, NURSES ASSOCIATION, AMERICAN  
CANCER SOCIETY, CANCER ACTION NETWORK, CAMPAIGN FOR TOBACCO-FREE  
KIDS, AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION, FOUNDATION FOR A HEALTHY  
KENTUCKY.

THE AMERICAN LUNG ASSOCIATION.

KENTUCKY CANCER FOUNDATION.

AND IMPORTANTLY, THE KENTUCKY YOUTH ADVOCATES.

I WAITED TO HEAR FROM ORGANIZATIONS WHO WERE IN FAVOR OF THIS.

BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS.

OR TOURISM.

I NEVER HEARD FROM ANY OF THEM.

NO ONE HAS CONTACTED ME FROM A BUSINESS ORGANIZATION TO SAY THAT  
THIS IS SOMETHING WE NEED TO ENACT TO MOVE THIS CITY FORWARD.

I'LL CLOSE WITH THE WORD OF ONE OF MY CONSTITUENTS, MONA LISA  
TAYLOR WHO IS THE BOARD CHAIR OF THE LOUISVILLE MEDICAL SOCIETY.  
HER WISE COUNCIL I ALWAYS APPRECIATED BUT I ESPECIALLY VALUED IT  
DURING THIS PANDEMIC.

SHE WROTE THIS IN THE JOURNAL.

CREATING A CIGAR BAR EXEMPTION WOULD SEVERELY WEAKEN  
LOUISVILLE'S HEALTH ORDINANCE.

THIS WOULD THREATEN HEALTH EQUITY AND CHANGE COMMUNITY NORMS  
AROUND SMOKING.

UNFORTUNATELY, IN KENTUCKY, THE STATE WITH THE HIGHEST INCIDENCE FOR LUNG AND BRONCHIAL CANCER, CIGARS ARE QUICKLY GAINING POPULARITY AMONG YOUNG PEOPLE.

HOW DO WE KEEP KIDS FROM STARTING SMOKING, BY KEEPING OUR ORDINANCES IN SPACE.

YOU SMOKE IN COMMUNITIES WITH WORKPLACE LAWS AND NO EXCEPTIONS AS LOUISVILLE'S CURRENT ORDINANCE IS WRITTEN.

BY ALLOWING SMOKING IN BARS, LOUISVILLE RISKS LOSING GROUND ON PROTECTING LIVES AND SAVING MONEY ON HEALTHCARE COSTS STEMMING FROM THE BURDEN OF TOBACCO USE.

SHE WROTE AND I WILL ASK ALL OF MY COUNCIL MEMBERS TO LISTEN AND I URGE THEM TO -- TO DO WHAT SHE HAS RECOMMENDED AND ALL OF THESE OTHER HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS HAVE RECOMMENDED.

WE MUST PROTECT AND PROMOTE OUR COMMUNITY BY KEEPING LOUISVILLE'S SMOKE-FREE ORDINANCE COMPREHENSIVE WITH NO EXCEPTION.

I IMPLORE OUR METRO COUNCIL TO SAY NO TO CIGAR BAR EXEMPTION.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN ARTHUR.

>> PLEASE.

THANK YOU.

I REMEMBER A CAUCUS MEETING, MAYBE LAST WEEK, ABOUT RAISING THE REVENUE MINIMUM TO 85 PERCENT TO MATCH ANOTHER EXEMPTION.

HE SEEMED OKAY WITH THAT.

I KNOW WHEN THESE AMENDMENTS, THAT WASN'T IN FLIP TALKED WITH PIAGENTINI AND I WANT TO -- I WANT THE CO-SPONSORS TO SPEAK TO THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE BECAUSE -- BECAUSE WHEN THIS WAS FIRST INTRODUCED, I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT WE WERE SPECIFICALLY TALKING ABOUT CIGAR BARS AND THERE WOULDN'T BE OTHER TYPES OF PRODUCTS THERE AND REALLY WANTED TO HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF NOT RAISING THE MINIMUM TO SIMILAR TO OTHER INSTITUTIONS.

>> WOULD YOU CARE TO RESPOND?

>> YEAH.

>> COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI AND I DISCUSSED THAT.

THERE'S ESTABLISHED RULES THAT ADDRESS THAT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I REMEMBER WHEN WE FIRST DRAFTED THIS, THE 51 PERCENT THRESHOLD THAT WAS ESTABLISHED.

THE ATTORNEY CITED IT AS A SPECIFIC LICENSURE THRESHOLD TO ESTABLISH THE ORGANIZATION AS A RETAILER.

WE STARTED AT 50 PERCENT AND IT WAS RAISED TO 51 PERCENT.

MOST THRESHOLDS WERE IN THE 30, 25, AS A MATTER OF FACT I COULDN'T FIND ANOTHER CITY THAT HAS A THRESHOLD OF 51 PERCENT MUCH LESS HIGHER.

THE PROPORTIONATELY, EVEN ALLOWING AN OCCASIONAL DRINK IN YOUR ESTABLISHMENT, EVEN WITH THE PEOPLE SPENDING MAJORITY OF THE MONEY, AND BUYING A WHOLE BOX OF CIGARS WHICH WOULD BE COMMON AMONG CIGAR SMOKERS WOULD BE SO OFF TO MAKE IT, 85 PERCENT, IT

MAY AS WELL BE 100 PERCENT.

AT THAT THRESHOLD, IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.

WE LOOKED AT OTHER JURISDICTIONS AND THE OPERATING MODEL.

IT DIDN'T MAKE SENSE.

I HAVEN'T FOUND A SIMILAR PIECE OF LEGISLATION IN OTHER CITIES WHO ALSO HAVE SMOKE-FREE ORDINANCE ON ALL TYPES OF ESTABLISHMENT THAT IS HAD A THRESHOLD AS HIGH AS 51 PERCENT.

THANK YOU.

>> DOES THAT ADDRESS ANYTHING ELSE?

>> OKAY.

FOX, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WANTED TO ADD TO THAT OR YOU'RE GOOD?

>> I'M GOOD.

WE DID DISCUSS THAT -- THAT -- THAT AFTER OUR CAUCUS MEETING IS WE HAD ISSUES THAT COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG INCLUSIVE OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS WISHES ON THIS.

>> COUNCILMAN REED.

THANK YOU.

STEVEN KOVY USED TO ACCUSE BUSINESSES OF BEING IN THE THICK OF THIN THINGS.

WE'RE IN THE THICK OF THINGS HERE.

INDIANAPOLIS, GREENVILLE, CHARLOTTE, NASHVILLE, AUSTIN, SOME OF THESE ARE WHAT WE CALL PEER CITIES.

AUSTIN TEXAS IS NOT EXACTLY A CONSERVATIVE BELLWETHER.



AND I'M HEARING A LOT OF HYPERBOLE HERE TONIGHT.

WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ARE PREMIUM CIGARS.

I'M GOING TO TELL YOU RIGHT NOW WHO WILL BE HAPPY IS THE CITY OF INDIANA.

THEY'RE LAUGHING AT US.

I THINK THIS IS SILLY.

IF WE MAKE REVENUE FOR THE CITY, WE SHOULD DO IT.

WE'RE TALKING.

A SELECT GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT ARE DOING THIS.

IT IS NOT GOING TO CAUSE KIDS TO GO OUT AND START LIGHTING UP CIGARS AND ENHALING THEM.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A LIMITED NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT ENJOY CIGARS AND BOURBON.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THIS IS SUCH A HUGE DEAL.

HAD I BEEN ON THE COUNCIL FOR THE SMOKING BAN, I WAS IF FAVOR OF THAT, I WOULD HAVE VOTED CIGAR BARS TO BE EXEMPTED FROM THIS.

I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY WEREN'T.

I WOULD LIKE TO SAY I UNDERSTAND WHERE THE OTHER SIDE IS COMING FROM AND MOST OF I TIME I DO BUT I DON'T HERE.

WE'RE GOING TO INDIANAPOLIS IN THE NEXT TWO OR THREE MONTHS.

UNFORTUNATELY I CANNOT GO.

I USED TO LIVE IN INDIANAPOLIS.

I LIVED THERE FOR TEN YEARS.

WE COULD LEARN FROM THEM.

THEY HAVE CIGAR BARS.

I'M A SUPPORTER OF THIS.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE BIG DEAL IS.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT WINKLER RELINQUISHED THE CHAIR AND  
IS ABOUT TO SPEAK.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> I DON'T MEAN DISRESPECT TO MY COLLEAGUES.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S STATED CLEARLY.

THERE ARE SEVEN OF US WHO ARE HERE DURING THE ORIGINAL DEBATE  
THAT TOOK PLACE IN 2003.

23 PEOPLE AT THE END OF THE DAY IN JANUARY 11, 2008.

23 COUNCIL MEMBERS VOTED FOR AN ALL INCLUSIVE SMOKING BAN.

SAME THING WE HEAR TONIGHT, IT IS A LEGAL PRODUCT.

ADULTS SHOULD BE ABLE TO USE IT.

AND A VENUE.

THAT'S THE SAME THING WE HEARD ABOUT CIGARETTES.

I LIKE TO GO TO A BAR, I SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO HAVE A CIGARETTE  
BECAUSE I'M AN ADULT.

WE PASSED OUR FIRST ORDINANCE IN 2005.

WE -- WE ACTUALLY -- HAD EXEMPTION FOR VENTILATED SMOKING ROOMS  
IN 2007 WE EXCLUDED, WE GAVE EXEMPTION TO CHURCHILL DOWNS.

WE FOUND THAT WAS NOT CONSTITUTIONAL.

IN JANUARY 11, 2008 THE SMOKING BAN.

IT SEEMS LIKE TO ME WE'RE TAKING A STEP BACK IN TIME.

WE'VE HAD THESE ARGUMENTS.

IF THE BAR WAS JUST FOR SMOKING CIGARS, THEY WOULDN'T SERVE BOURBON AND FOOD AND IT WOULDN'T BE 51 PERCENT, IT WOULD BE 100 PERCENT OF THEIR SALES WOULD BE GOING TO CIGARS.

I'M JUST ASKING MY FELLOW COUNCIL MEMBERS TO LOOK BACK.

I HAVE A FILE THAT DATES BACK TO 2003 WHEN WE STARTED THE DEBATE.

I LOOKED THROUGH IT.

THERE WAS MANY PEOPLE, MANY BUSINESSES WHO WERE SAYING THAT THEY WOULD NOT SURVIVE IF WE DIDN'T ALLOW CIGARETTES.

GUESS WHAT?

THE MAJORITY OF THEM SURVIVED.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN NEXT IS THERE'S A GROUP, I LIKE TO SMOKE CIGARETTES WHEN I'M AT A BAR.

IT IS A SLIPPERY SLOPE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU PRESIDENT JAMES.

I WANT TO TOUCH ON A COUPLE OF THINGS.

THERE'S NO HEALTH DATA ON CIGARS.

MAYBE THERE IS.

IT IS NOT A QUESTION OF HEALTH VERSUS NOT HEALTH.

I DO THINK IT IS UNHEALTHY.

IT IS NOT A QUESTION OF IS THIS A LEGAL ACTIVITY THAT ADULTS SHOULD ENGAGE IN.

WE REGULATE ACTIVITY ALL THE TIME.

WE HAVE SEVERAL LAWS THAT PROHIBIT WHAT ADULTS CAN DO.

THAT'S NOT THE QUESTION.

IF I CAN HAVE AN ESTABLISHMENT AND SELL LIQUOR AND LET SOMEONE SMOKE CIGARS.

I DON'T SEE WHY I SHOULDN'T ALSO ALLOW THEM TO SMOKE CIGARETTES.

MAYBE SMOKERS WANT TO COME TO MY RESTAURANT.

THE MARKET WOULD DECIDE IF PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO GO INTO SMOKE ESTABLISHMENTS THEY WOULD BYPASS IT.

WE DON'T ALLOW THAT.

I DON'T THINK ANYONE WANTS TO UNDO THE ENTIRE SMOKING ORDINANCE.

IF YOU'RE NOT WILLING.

>> I WOULD MOVE TO STRIKE THE REFERENCES AND MAKE THEM TOBACCO BARS.

>> COUNCILMAN WINKLER, THAT'S A MOTION.

>> COULD YOU REPEAT IT?

>> ALL OF THE REFERENCES TO CIGAR WOULD BE CHANGED TO REFERENCE TO TOBACCO.

>> A SECOND?

>> THE MOTION FAILS DUE TO A LACK OF A SECOND.

>> THAT PROVES MY POINT.

THE LAST THING ABOUT THE COMMENTARY TO THE 85 PERCENT.

YOU BUY A 60 DOLLAR BOX OF CIGARS OR BOURBON, YOU NEVER HIT THE THRESHOLD.

THAT'S 85 PERCENT.

THE LOSS LAYS OUT IT WOULD BE HIGH DOLLAR ITEMS.

IF THE INTENT IS, THIS IS PRIMARILY A CIGAR ESTABLISHMENT THAT MAY SELL BOURBON ON THE SIDE, I DON'T THINK 85 IS UNREALISTIC.

WHAT THIS IS IS A BAR THAT YOU CAN SMOKE IN.

>> LISTENING TO THE DIALOGUE.

I THINK THE ONE THING I WOULD POINT OUT TO MY COLLEAGUES NEUER TO THE COUNCIL AND TO THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE WHO IS PAYING ATTENTION.

THE DEBATE WE HAD ABOUT SMOKING WHEN IT PASSED WITH THE 23 VOTES THAT WAS MENTIONED.

I WAS IN THE MAJORITY ON THE VOTE.

THE DEBATE WAS ABOUT SECOND-HAND SMOKE AND HARM TONE TO FOLKS WORKING IN THOSE ESTABLISHMENTS OR THOSE NOT WORKING OR WOULD HAVE CHOSEN TO BE IN A BOWLING ALLEY OR BINGO HALL AND WERE IN THERE FOR SOME REASON OTHER THAN TO SMOKE CIGARETTES.

THAT'S THE DEBATE.

THAT'S CLEARLY NOT THE DEBATE THAT WE HAVE BEEN ENGAGED IN TONIGHT.

I REFER BACK TO SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES THAT MADE COMMENTS ABOUT HOW DANGEROUS THIS IS FOR YOUTH TO BE SMOKING AND ABOUT HOW THE STRONG EVERY THE SMOKING BAN, THE GREATER THE LIKELIHOOD THE

PEOPLE WILL STOP SMOKING.

EVERY ORGANIZATION WAS OPPOSED TO SMOKING, WHEN WE PASSED IT  
ORIGINALLY.

EVERY ONE OF THEM EVEN BACK THEN RECOGNIZED PASSING THE  
STRONGEST SMOKING BAN POSSIBLE WOULD ENCOURAGE PEOPLE FROM  
STOPPING SMOKING AND DISCOURAGE PEOPLE FROM STARTING.

I REMEMBER LOOKING ACROSS THE TABLE, YOU WANT TO PASS AN  
ORDINANCE UNDER THE GUISE OF PROTECTING PEOPLE FROM SMOKING.

OR YOU WANT TO PREVENT THEM FROM STARTING.

I WILL REMIND ANYBODY, THAT WAS NOT PASSED, THAT WAS NOT THE  
DEBATE.

IT WASN'T A QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT A PERSON OR SHOULD NOT.

I THINK MOST OF US AGREED.

A PERSON SHOULDN'T SMOKE.

WHETHER THEY SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO IS A DIFFERENT QUESTION.

I'M GRATEFUL NEITHER OF MY CHILDREN DECIDED TO TAKE THIS UP.

I TELL MY STUDENTS 60 PERCENT THAT PEOPLE TRY TO STOP SMOKING  
CAN'T.

IF THAT'S WHERE WE WANT TO GO, BAN IT OUTRIGHT.

MAKE IT ILLEGAL TO SMOKE PERIOD.

WE WANT TO FIND WAYS THAT -- I DON'T KNOW A BETTER WORD THAN  
MANIPULATE.

WE WANT TO FIND WAYS TO MANIPULATE THOSE PEOPLE THAT ARE MAKING  
DECISIONS CONTRARY TO THE ONES WE WOULD HAVE THEM MAKE PASSING

LEGISLATION.

IF WE WANT TO BAN SMOKING BRING THAT UP.

AS FAR AS CIGAR BARS, IF YOU UNDERSTAND THE NATURE OF THE BUSINESS, IT IS NOT THE SAME TO SAY YOU SMOKE IF YOU WANT TO WHEN YOU COME HERE.

I LIKE IT TO A FINE WINE.

I UNDERSTAND THAT FOLKS HAVE WINE TASTINGS.

I'VE BEEN TO PLACES ON FRANKLIN AVENUE THAT THE OWNERS EVERY NOW AND THEN INVITE FOLKS IN.

THEY DO WINE TASTING.

I LEARNED A LOT.

IT WAS FOCUSED ON THE WINE.

I NEVER BEEN TO A CIGAR BAR.

I RECOGNIZE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A BINGO HALL AND A CIGAR BAR.

I THINK WE SHOULD, AGAIN, I KNOW THE VOTE IS GOING TO BE WHERE IT IS GOING TO BE.

I WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO KEEP REFERRING BACK TO THESE KNOW THE ARGUMENTS YOUR MAKING ABOUT HOW DANGEROUS TOBACCO IS AND HOW WE VOTED AGAINST IT ALL THOSE YEARS AGO IS NOT TRUE.

WE VOTED AGAINST SMOKING IN PUBLIC PLACES BECAUSE WE RECOGNIZED AND UNDERSTOOD THE NEGATIVE HEALTH IMPACTS OF SECONDHAND SMOKE ON THE PEOPLE WHO WERE IN THOSE ESTABLISHMENTS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN FOX.

>> THANK YOU.

THEY MENTIONED SECONDHAND SMOKE.

THIS WILL REMOVE SECONDHAND SMOKE AROUND CIGAR SHOPS WE ALREADY HAVE.

AS I SAID EARLIER, THESE PEOPLE HAVE CIGARS AND THEY TWO OUTSIDE AND THEY SIT ON THE BENCH OUTSIDE.

KNOW ENJOY YOUR CIGAR OUTSIDE.

IF YOU HAD THESE PEOPLE INSIDE IN AAL CROED ENVIRONMENT THAT REMOVES THE SECOND-HAND SMOKE OPPORTUNITY BESIDES THAT.

THE SECOND THING IS THE CHURCHILL DOWNS DISCUSSION.

I WASN'T HERE.

WHEN IT WAS PASSED.

I SUPPORTED IT.

I SUPPORTED IT.

PEOPLE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO BE SUBJECTED TO SMOKE THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO BE.

YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GO OVER THERE TO [INDISCERNIBLE] YOU'RE ONLY GOING TO TWO OVER THERE TO BUY A CIGAR.

MANAGER THAT OPERATE WITHIN THE GROUP OF AFICIONADOS AND THERE'S A LOT OF THEM.

KRAMER THERE.

IT IS LIKE [INDISCERNIBLE].

YOU PAY [INDISCERNIBLE] AND CERTAIN DRINKS.



MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT LOOK AT THESE THINGS.

I'VE BEEN THROUGHOUT FLORIDA AND TEXAS.

THERE'S ONE ACROSS THE RIVER.

THEY'RE GOING TO LEAD YOU AS TO WHAT GOES BEST WITH WHAT.

IT IS VERY MUCH LIKE FINE WINE.

LAST THING I'LL SAY.

ABOUT A YEAR AGO THIS BODY SENT WORD TO THE L.A. PD ABOUT MARIJUANA.

I SUPPORTED IT.

IF IT WAS UP TO ME AND WE COULD LEGALIZE MARIJUANA AND CITY HALL

I WOULD BE OPEN TO THAT TODAY.

WE WOULD HAVE A VOTE TO DO JUST THAT.

LIKE MY COLLEAGUES WOULD.

THE INTERESTING THING.

NOT A SINGLE PERSON SAID ANYTHING ABOUT SMOKE.

OR SECONDHAND SMOKE.

NOBODY MENTIONED IN MOST CASES SMOKE DIDN'T INTERFERE.

THAT IT JUST NEVER CAME UP.

AT THE END OF THE DAY YOU RULE OUT THE ADULTS.

BUT THEN -- THEN PARTICIPATE IN. LIKE I SAID EARLIER.

IT HARDLY WORKED OUT.

YOU CAN'T ARGUE THIS DOESN'T AFFECT EVERYBODY.

IF YOU DON'T LIKE IT DON'T GO IN.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

>> COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> THANK YOU.

IF A COUNCILMAN IS GOING TO CALL AFTER A LONG DIATRIBE AND PREVENT OTHER PEOPLE FROM SPEAKING, WE SHOULD CONSIDER A RULE CHANGE.

THE OTHER THING WITH THE ORDINANCE IS THAT I KNOW WE HEARD FROM THE MEDICAL COMMUNITY.

JUST BY THE SHEER FACT THAT THIS ORDINANCE HAS COME BEFORE US REMEMBER THE MEDICAL COMMUNITY, IT PUT THEM IN A BAD POSITION. THEY HAVE TO TAKE A SIDE.

THEY MAY NOT FEEL THAT STRONGLY ABOUT IT.

BUT WE PUSHED THEM INTO TAKING A SIDE AND OF COURSE THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO COME OUT WITH A STATEMENT.

WE DID RESEARCH ON THE INTERNET.

WE KNOW IT IS A BIG WORLD ON THE INTERNET.

WE COULD FIND ANYTHING THAT WILL SUPPORT YOUR BELIEF.

FOR THOSE WHO WANT IT, WHO AM I TO STAND IN THEIR WAY.

THAT'S ALL HAVE I TO SAY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER, I DID SAY I WORRY ABOUT THE PEOPLE WORKING AT THESE ESTABLISHMENT.

EITHER AS EMPLOYEES OR BECAUSE JOBS FOR OTHER PEOPLE WILL TAKE

THEM THERE.

AND THAT IS PRECISELY WHAT LED THIS COUNCIL TO VOTE FOR A  
COMPREHENSIVE SMOKE-FREE ORDINANCE WHEN YOU VOTED FOR IT.

I ALSO DON'T UNDERSTAND THE ARGUMENT THAT IS BEING MADE THAT THIS  
IS SOMEHOW DIFFERENT.

I MEAN, WE HEAR --

>> IT IS DIFFERENT!

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN?

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER HAS THE FLOOR.

IF YOU WISH TO HAVE THE FLOOR YOU WILL PUT IN THE QUEUE.

>> WHAT WE ARE HEARING REPEATEDLY IS IF YOU DON'T WANT TO GO IN A  
PLACE THAT ALLOWS CIGAR SMOKING, DRIVE ON BY.

THAT WAS THE ARGUMENT BY BARS THAT WE ARE GOING TO ALLOW SMOKING.  
IF YOU DON'T WANT TO GO INTO A BAR WITH A LOT OF SMOKING, GO ON  
BY.

BECAUSE PEOPLE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO DO WHAT THEY WANT WHEN THEY  
ARE ADULTS.

THIS IS A STEP BACK FOR THE COMMUNITY.

AND I WOULD JUST ASK WHAT THE HEAD OF THE JEFFERSON COUNTY  
MEDICAL ASSOCIATION SAID, THE GREATER LOUISVILLE MEDICAL  
ASSOCIATION.

I WOULD JUST IMPLORER YOU, DON'T TAKE THIS COMMUNITY BACK.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> THANK YOU.

I DID HEAR COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER'S COMMENTS.

I SHOULD HAVE MADE IT CLEAR THAT WITH THE EXCEPTION OF  
COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER, THE DISCUSSION TONIGHT HAS NOT BEEN  
AROUND EMPLOYEES.

MY APOLOGIES COUNCILMAN.

AT THE SAME TIME, AGAIN, ALL THOSE YEARS AGO WHEN WE HAD THIS  
DEBATE AND EVEN IN THE EXAMPLE COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER GAVE US,  
IT WAS AROUND PROTECTING EMPLOYEES IN BUSINESSES WHERE SMOKING  
WAS ANCILLARY TO WHAT THE BUSINESS WAS ABOUT.

IT DIDN'T TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION, AND AGAIN I WOULD LIKE TO  
FIND, FOLK WHO'S MOVE IN THOSE CIRCLES WHO HAVE ESTABLISHMENTS  
WHERE THEY SELL AND CELEBRATE FINE WINES, FOLKS WHO OWN THOSE  
AND WORK IN THOSE ESTABLISHMENTS USUALLY ENJOY FINE WINES.

IF YOU GO IN A PLACE LIKE THAT, THE PERSON WORKING THERE IS A  
PERSON WHO WILL BE ABLE TO HELP YOU RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND  
WHAT BEST PAIRS WITH WHICH CIGAR.

JUST AGAIN, LIKE A FINE WINE, WHAT FOOD BEST PAIRS WITH A FINE  
WINE.

THE ONLY PERSONS WHO CAN HELP YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT PAIRS BEST ARE  
PERSONS WHO THEMSELVES ENJOY THAT PRODUCT.

SO, THERE MAY BE, I CONCEDE TO THE COUNCILMAN, THERE MAY BE THAT  
EXCEPTIONAL SITUATION WITH A CIGAR BAR WHERE AN INDIVIDUAL WHO

DOESN'T REALLY APPRECIATE OR UNDERSTAND CIGARS MAY END UP  
WORKING THERE.

I'M TRYING TO THINK, THE REASON I'M GOING SO SLOWLY IS I'M TRYING  
TO FIGURE OUT WHO THAT WOULD BE.

IF I OWNED A CIGAR BAR, WHICH I NEVER WOULD, I WOULD ONLY WANT TO  
EMPLOY PEOPLE IF THEY APPRECIATED THE PRODUCT THEY ARE OFFERING.

I CAN'T GET MY HEAD AROUND.

I GUESS MAYBE A PERSON WHO WAS THERE TO CLEAN OR SOMETHING, I  
GUESS YOU COULD MAKE THAT AS AN ARGUMENT.

YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT -- I DON'T THINK IT'S THE SAME THING, AS  
TO SAY, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE IN A BOWLING ALLEY WHO CAME TO BOWL  
WOULD BE SUBJECTED TO SECONDHAND SMOKE AND PEOPLE WHO WORK IN A  
BOWLING ALLEY WHO LOVE BOWLING WOULD BE SUBJECTED TO SECONDHAND  
SMOKE WOULDN'T BE THE SAME AS A CIGAR BAR.

WHO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS AND THAT'S WHY THEY ARE THERE.

THEY ARE NOT, IN MY MIND, COMPARABLE, BUT MAYBE THAT'S WHERE I'M  
MISSING THE BOAT.

TO ME THAT'S NOT COMPARABLE.

IF YOU TALK ABOUT A SLIPPERY SLOPE AND THIS IS BACKWARDS AND WE  
ARE OPENING THE DOOR FOR, I WOULD ARGUE THIS SLIPPERY SLOPE GOES  
BOTH WAYS.

THE DISCUSSION TONIGHT MAKES CLEAR THAT THOSE FOLKS WHO ARE  
ADAMANT THAT WE FIND WAYS, LEGISLATIVELY TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF  
PEOPLE WHO SMOKE WITHOUT ACTUALLY PASSING LEGISLATION THAT

PROHIBITS PEOPLE THE CHOICE TO SMOKE, I THINK WE ARE ALREADY ON THAT SLIPPERY SLOPE.

I THINK IT'S A SLOPE THAT GOES BOTH WAYS.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN REED?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WILL BE VERY BRIEF.

THERE IS NO COMPARISON BETWEEN A CIGAR BAR AND A BOWLING ALLEY WITH PEOPLE SMOKING.

IT'S NOT THE SAME THING.

SO, AND I WOULD ALSO VENTURE A GUESS THAT IF YOU ASKED SOMEBODY THAT SPECIALIZES IN LIVER DISEASE WHAT THEY THINK OF DRINKING, THEY WOULD TELL YOU DON'T DRINK, RIGHT?

SO THERE ARE LOTS OF DIFFERENT WAYS YOU COULD FLIP THIS ARGUMENT.

TO ME IT'S ALL A MATTER OF PRIVATE BUSINESS, A SELECT GROUP OF PEOPLE AND WE AS A LEGISLATIVE BODY TRYING TO TELL PEOPLE WHAT THEY CAN AND CAN'T DO.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANTED TO ADDRESS COUNCILMAN KRAMER WHO SAID HE WAS HAVING A HARD TIME THINKING OF A CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE SOMEONE WHO IS THERE

WHO DIDN'T WANT TO BE THERE EXPOSED TO SECONDHAND SMOKE.  
SOMEONE WHO WENT TO COLLEGE IN A COMMUNITY WHERE CIGAR BARS WERE  
PRESENT.

I CAN EASILY THINK, THE 21-YEAR-OLDS WHERE ONE PERSON SAYS LET'S  
GO TO CIGAR BARS AND HAVE OUR DRINKS THERE, MAYBE FOLKS IN THE  
CROWD DON'T WANT TO GO BUT GO ALONG AND EXPOSED TO THE  
SECONDHAND SMOKE.

MAYBE THEY WILL HAVE A CIGAR ALSO.

I DON'T THINK IT'S A BAD THING TO SAY I THINK AS POLICY MAKERS WE  
SHOULD BE SETTING EXAMPLES AND SETTING POLICIES THAT MAKE IT  
EASY FOR PEOPLE TO MAKE GOOD CHOICES.

IF WE THINK NOT SMOKING IS A GOOD CHOICE NOT ONLY FOR THAT  
INDIVIDUAL'S HEALTH, BUT ALSO SOCIETY, ALL THE COST THAT'S COME  
ALONG WITH SMOKING, COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER YOU MAKE IT SOUND LIKE  
IT'S A BAD THING FOR ME TO MAKE POLICY THAT INCENTIVIZE PEOPLE  
TO MAKE GOOD DECISIONS.

WE SET SPEED LIMITS SO PEOPLE DRIVE SAFELY.

WE REGULATE ALL KINDS OF BEHAVIOR TO MAKE SURE WE ARE ALL BEING  
GOOD NEIGHBORS TO EACH OTHER.

THAT'S WHAT COMMUNITY AND GOOD GOVERNMENT IS ALL ABOUT.

AS I'M LISTENING TO THIS DEBATE I'VE BEEN STRUGGLING TO  
UNDERSTAND WHY WE WOULD TREAT PRODUCTS WHO CARRY THE SAME HEALTH  
RISKS.

IF YOU LOOK AT THE CDC RESEARCH AROUND CANCER AND RISK OF

INFERTILITY, BIRTH DEFECTS, ALL THESE THINGS.

WHY ARE WE TREATING THESE TWO PRODUCTS DIFFERENTLY.

I KEEP HEARING THE TERMS, PEOPLE WHO RUN IN THOSE CIRCLES, SELECT PEOPLE, PEOPLE WHO CAN AFFORD PREMIUM CIGARS.

ARE WE TREATING THESE DIFFERENTLY BECAUSE PEOPLE PAY MORE FOR THEM?

SMOKING IS OKAY AND GLAMOROUS IF YOU ARE RICH ENOUGH TO AFFORD AN EXPENSIVE CIGAR BUT SOMETHING HARMFUL AND DETRIMENTAL TO SOCIETY IF YOU CAN'T.

WHO IS SMOKING THESE PRODUCTS IS IMPACTING THE WAY WE ARE THINKING ABOUT IT AS POLICY MAKERS.

THAT'S BAD POLICY.

IF WE HAVE PRODUCTS THAT CARRY THE SAME HEALTH RISKS WE SHOULD TREAT THEM THE SAME.

IF WE START CREATING SITUATIONS WHERE PEOPLE ARE EXPOSED TO THOSE HARMFUL TOXINS AND GLAMOROUS THOSE AND THAT PUT COMPREHENSIVE LAWS TO REGULATE THOSE AT RISK, I ABSOLUTELY, THAT'S VERY CONCERNING TO ME.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

AND COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMAN FOX AND NATALIE JOHNSON FOR WORKING WITH US.



FOR WORKING ON THIS WITH US.

COUNCILMEMBER CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG MAKES A GOOD POINT.

I THINK FOCUSING ON BOURBON AND NOT BEER.

I THINK IT'S FASCINATING AND I HOPE THAT THOSE WHO ARE AFRAID OF US GLORIFYING INDUSTRIES THAT ARE DETRIMENTAL TO OUR HEALTH THAT, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT, AND THE MAYOR FREQUENTLY TALKS ABOUT TOURISM IN THIS CITY, I HOPE THEY ONLY SUPPORT BUDGETS FOR TOURISM AND THE MESSAGES FOR TOURISM AROUND HEALTHY BEHAVIORS.

BECAUSE, IF YOU SUPPORT FUNDING FOR, OR ANY MESSAGING AROUND BOURBONISM, OR THE HORSERACING INDUSTRY, YOU ARE SUPPORTING INDUSTRIES AND GLAMORIZING THEM THAT CAUSE PEOPLE FINANCIAL RUIN, IF ABUSED.

THAT CAUSE PEOPLE TO DRINK AND DRIVE, DIE ON OUR ROADWAYS, IF ABUSED.

THAT CAUSE PEOPLE LIVER DAMAGE AND DEATH, IF ABUSED.

SO I'M JUST BLOWN AWAY HOW WE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMAGE OF THIS CIGAR BAR WHEN OUR ELECTED LEADER AND MANY OF US HAVE, I WILL PROBABLY SAY ALL OF US AT ONE POINT HAVE VOTED FOR A BUDGET OR TALKED ABOUT TO THIS CITY, WE DIDN'T TALK ABOUT PEOPLE COMING HERE TO EAT SALAD.

WE TALKED ABOUT PEOPLE COMING HERE TO GAMBLE AND TO DRINK.

THAT IS WHAT WE HAVE BASED OUR ENTIRE TOURISM INDUSTRY ON.

AND SOMEHOW THIS IS THE TOPIC, CIGARS.

THAT NOW WE ARE GOING TO DRAW THE LINE ON GLORIFYING BAD

BEHAVIOR.

WE CROSSED THAT BRIDGE A LONG TIME AGO.

AND HAVEN'T GONE BACK OVER.

SO, BUT, EITHER WAY, I DO WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR THE GOOD  
DEBATE.

IT'S BEEN FUN AND APPRECIATE YOUR TIME TONIGHT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER?

>> JUST IN JEST, SO AFTER TONIGHT WE WILL KNOW THE CITY OF  
LOUISVILLE WILL NEVER PASS OR LEGALIZE SMOKING MARIJUANA.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> I'M SORRY, ARE WE VOTING ON THE ACTUAL -- I MISUNDERSTOOD  
YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE ARE VOTING ON THE ORDINANCE.

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> NO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> IT LOOKS LIKE HE IS FROZEN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MADAM CLERK, PLEASE HOLD FOR VOTING RIGHT NOW  
UNTIL WE CAN GET COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL BACK.

>> I'M IN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, SIR.

>> I'M SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL, WHAT WAS YOUR VOTE FOR  
THAT?

>> NO.

>> THANK, SIR.

COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> NO.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> NO.

>> MR. PRESIDENT 11 YES-VOTES AND 15 NO-VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, ORDINANCE FAILS.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 48, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 48]

020321, AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING CHAPTERS 2 AND 7 OF THE

METRO LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE

RELATING TO TWO FAMILY

RESIDENTIAL USE IN THE

MULTI-FAMILY AND OFFICE

RESIDENTIAL ZONES AND THE FLOOR

AREA RATIO REQUIREMENTS FOR

RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICTS AND

THE CONSERVATION SUBDIVISION

PROVISION AS SUGGESTED IN PLAN

2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION WINKLER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A SECOND.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS CAME OVER TO OUR COMMITTEE AS FLORIDA AREA RATIO CHANGES.

AND WHAT THEY ARE DOING, IT ELIMINATES THE FLORIDA AREA RATIO FOR RESIDENTIAL ZONING WHICH KIND OF IMPORES PEOPLE TO BUILD UP AND SAVE SOME OF THE GREEN SPACE.

AND THE REASON IT CAME BACK IN FRONT OF US, THERE WERE SOME TECHNICAL ERRORS ON THE PAPERWORK.

BUT I WILL GO OVER THOSE NOW BECAUSE IT WAS THE SECOND REFERENCE ON R5-A ON PAGE 7, SECTION 14 WAS INCORRECT.

THE SECTION SHOULD BE 2.2.9, R5A RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY DISTRICT SHOULD BE REPLACED WITH 2.2.13, R8A RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY DISTRICT.

IT CONTINUED FOLLOWING TO R8A.

IN PREVIOUS SECTION, 13 2.2.10, WAS REPLACED WITH 2.2.12.

PROVISIONS ARE IN 2.2.12 AND ALL 5B ARE IN 2.2.10.

AND NOTED IN TEN.

AND ANOTHER TOPOGRAPHICAL ERROR REFERRED TO PLAN 2020, IT SAID PLANT 2020.

THOSE WERE TECHNICAL BUT BECAUSE IT WAS SENT BACK TO COMMITTEE, THE CLERK'S OFFICE AND THE COUNTY ATTORNEY SAID WE SHOULD GO

AHEAD AND VOTE ON IT ON OLD BUSINESS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

THAT WAS A MOTION.

MAY I HAVE A SECOND?

>> IT'S ALREADY AMENDED.

I'M SORRY.

>> YES.

WE JUST NEED TO VOTE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?



>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, ITEM 29 PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 29]

032321, AN ORDINANCE

RELATING TO THE ZONING OF

PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2520

CHAMBERLAIN LANE CONTAINING

APPROXIMATELY 30.35 ACRES AND

BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND?

>> MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY FOX, SECOND BY WINKLER?

>> THANK YOU, IT WAS AN OFFICE PARK, 72 FOOT FOUR STORY  
BUILDINGS.

THE HEIGHT IS REDUCED TO 60 FOOT, THE PLAN CALLS FOR THREE  
BUILDINGS CONTAINING ROUGHLY 28,000 SQUARE FOOT OF OFFICE, LOT  
ONE WILL BE FOUR STORIES, LOT TWO AND THREE WILL BE TWO STORIES,  
LOT FOUR IS AN EXISTING STRUCTURE AND REMAIN, PRIMARY ACCESS IS  
FROM CHAMBERLAIN LANE.

THERE'S AN EMERGENCY GATE, SIDEWALKS WILL BE LOCKED ACROSS THE  
UNDEVELOPED LAND LOT FOUR ALONG CHAMBERLAIN LANE.

THIS IS IN COUNCILMEMBER SCOTT REED'S DISTRICT.

HE MAY WISH TO ADDRESS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> THANK YOU.

I'M IN SUPPORT OF THIS, I THINK IT'S A GOOD DEVELOPMENT.

THE DEVELOPERS HAVE TAKEN GREAT PAINS TO MAKE SURE THE TREE  
CANOPY WAS PRESERVED AS PER OUR ORDINANCE.

I NEED TO SAY THIS.

I AM SENSITIVE TO THE AREA AND THAT IT FALLS WITHIN THE WOLFTON  
BRANCH PRESERVATION AREA.

HOWEVER, IT'S ON THE OUTSKIRTS.

I BELIEVE THE TRAFFIC WILL BE MITIGATE TODAY EXIT ON CHAMBERLAIN.

THERE'S AN EXIT FROM THE DEVELOPMENT GOING ON WOLFTON BRANCH  
ROAD.

BUT I BELIEVE MOST WILL BE EXITING CHAMBERLAIN AND GOING OUT  
TOWARD 71.

SO I, YOU KNOW, I REALLY BELIEVE THIS IS A GOOD DEVELOPMENT AND I  
URGE SUPPORT FROM MY COLLEAGUES.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK?

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCIL HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

I'M SORRY, MA'AM, WE DIDN'T HEAR YOU.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK A READING OF ITEM 50, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 50]

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE  
ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT  
226 NORTH 17TH STREET CONTAINING  
APPROXIMATELY 1.88 ACRES AND  
BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND?

>> MOTION PURVIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SECOND BY COUNCILWOMAN STEWART.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS IS A CHANGE FROM C1 TO OR2, IT'S LOWER INTENSITY BY HIGHER DENSITY.

THIS IS NEW DIRECTIONS HOUSING, APARTMENTS.

THERE ARE CURRENTLY 47 EXISTING UNITS AND THEY PLAN TO BUILD 36 MORE SENIOR UNITS FOR TOTAL OF 83 UNITS ON THE SITE.

THEY WILL BE THREE STORY BUILDING.

PROVIDES HOUSING OPTION FOR THE AGING POPULATION TO STAY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

ALSO SERVED BY PUBLIC TRANSIT AND CONNECTED WITH ACTIVITY CENTER AND AMENITIES.

THIS IS IN COUNCILMEMBER DONNA PURVIS'S DISTRICT, SHE MAY WANT TO ADDRESS US.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

I HOPE YOU WILL ALLOW THE ZONING CHANGE AS IT WILL ALLOW HOUSING  
BY VULNERABLE POPULATION TO HAVE SECURE HOUSING.

I DO SUPPORT THIS AND I HOPE MY COLLEAGUES WILL SUPPORT THIS AS  
WELL.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> I JUST WANT TO ADD, MR. PRESIDENT.

THE RENDERINGS, IF ANYONE IS INTERESTED IN THE RENDERINGS, THEY  
LOOK VERY ATTRACTIVE ALSO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

WITH NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL  
CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?



>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 51, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 51]

033021, AN ORDINANCE

RELATING TO THE ZONING OF

PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 317WABASSO

AVENUE, 4701 AND 4719 LOUISVILLE

AVENUE, AND 306, 310, AND 318

WOODLAWN AVENUE CONTAINING

APPROXIMATELY 2.85 ACRES AND

BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> SECOND TRIPLETT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THE ZONING CHANGE WILL ACTUALLY BRING THIS PROPERTY INTO

COMPLIANCE WITH THE CURRENT STANDARDS IN PLAN 2040.

HIGHLY INDUSTRIAL AREA WHERE ALL RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN THE

AREA BUT THEY ACTUALLY BELONG TO THE LOUISVILLE AIRPORT

AUTHORITY.

IF IT WAS TO BE REDEVELOPED THEY WOULD HAVE TO PROVIDE A DETAILED

DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT PLAN TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER --

>> THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN'S GEORGE'S DISTRICT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE?

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT.

I WILL SAY IT'S A COMPATIBLE USE.

THERE'S NO COMMUNITY OPPOSITION.

AND I AM SUPPORTING.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

WILL THE CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?  
>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 26 YES-VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 52, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 52]

033121, AN ORDINANCE

RELATING TO THE ZONING OF

PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3020 RIVER

ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY

508 ACRES AND BEING IN

LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND.

MOTION BY WINKLER, SECOND BY ENGEL.

THE MOTION IS BEFORE US.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

ON RIVER ROAD, IT WAS AN INSTITUTIONAL USE AND NOW TURNED TO

COMMERCIAL USE.

IT WAS A FORMAL PRIVATE PROPRIETARY AND NOW USED FOR RETAIL FARMERS' MARKETS AND GARDENS.

EXISTING STRUCTURES WILL BE REPURPOSED TO SOLVE THE PROPOSED USE. MOST OF THE PROPERTY WILL REMAIN OPEN TO THE SKY, WITH GARDENS, PATIOS, MARKET AREAS.

PARKING WILL BE IMPROVED AND EXPANDED.

THE PROPERTY WILL BE CLOSE BID FENCE AND GATED AFTER CLOSING.

SIX RESTRICTS THE HOURS OF OPERATION FROM 7-9.

BINDING ELEMENTS 9-10, EXCLUDES CERTAIN USES ON THAT PROPERTY.

BINDING ELEMENT NUMBER 9 STATES TO CHANGE THE BINDING ELEMENTS THEY WOULD HAVE TO REQUIRE A PUBLIC HEARING AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION LEVEL.

AND THIS IS IN COUNCILMAN BILL HOLLANDER'S DISTRICT IF HE WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

IT IS A GOOD ADAPTIVE USE OF A PROPERTY ABANDONED.

I WOULD ASK FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK, COUNCILMEMBER.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?



>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 53, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 53]

033421, AN ORDINANCE

RELATING TO A PARKING WAIVER FOR

PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6001

CAMPGROUND ROAD AND BEING IN

LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION WAS THAT ENGEL?

MAY I HAVE A SECOND.

>> SECOND, TRIPLETT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SECOND BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THE REASON THIS IS IN FRONT OF US IS BECAUSE THEY ASKED FOR A

PARKING WAIVER, NORMALLY WE DON'T SEE THOSE.

BUT THEY ASKED FOR A GREATER THAN 50% REDUCTION. THEY ARE GOING

FROM 127 PARKING SPOTS TO 29 AND THEY DID PROVIDE INFORMATION

WHY THEY NEED TODAY GO TO 29 PARKING SPACES.

THAT'S THE ONLY REASON IT'S IN FRONT OF US.

THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN'S GREEN'S DISTRICT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER GREEN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND MADAM CHAIR.

I'M ASKING MY COLLEAGUES TO SUPPORT THIS WAIVER.

THE PROPERTY CONTAINS ALMOST 83 ACRES BUT THEY ARE ONLY ACTUALLY  
DEVELOPING THE LOT THAT CONTAINS AROUND 36 ACRES.

SO THEY ARE BUILDING 253,000 SQUARE FOOT INDUSTRIAL WAREHOUSE AND  
WILL NEED PARKING SPOTS ONLY FOR EMPLOYEES AND VISITORS TO THE  
COMPANY.

THE SURROUNDING AREA IS PRETTY MUCH INDUSTRIAL.

I THINK THIS WILL BE GOOD FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE THERE IS A  
COMMITMENT TO TRY TO EMPLOY PEOPLE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THEY  
DON'T NEED THE VAST NUMBER OF PARKING SPOTS THAT ONE MIGHT  
TYPICALLY NEED WITH AN ACREAGE OF THIS SIZE.

SO I WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO SUPPORT THIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON.

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, READING OF ITEM 54.

>> [READING ITEM 54]

029921, AN ORDINANCE

ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT AREA

PURSUANT TO PROVISIONS OF

KRS65.7041-65.7083 TO BE KNOWN

AS THE 726 EAST MARKET STREET

DEVELOPMENT AREA, DES I

GOINATING THE METRO DEVELOPMENT

AUTHORITY, INC. AS AN AGENCY

ADOPTING DEVELOPMENT PLAN,

APPROVING ENTERING INTO A LOCAL

PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT,

AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF THE

RELEASED AMOUNT, PURSUANT TO THE

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE

LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT

REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF

REGULAR REPORTS TO LOUISVILLE,

JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO

GOVERNMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE

EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ANY

OTHER DOCUMENTS AND TAKING OF

ANY OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO  
ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES  
AUTHORIZED BY THIS ORDINANCE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND?

>> MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> SECOND, TRIPLETT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS IS WHAT'S BETTER KNOWN AS THE BOATHOUSE TIF, I WOULD LIKE TO  
PASS THAT OVER TO THE SPONSOR, COUNCILMEMBER KEVIN TRIPLETT AND  
ALSO COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR MAY HAVE SOMETHING AS WELL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND MADAM CHAIR.

COLLEAGUES, I THINK EVERYONE BY NOW KNOWS THAT MY INVOLVEMENT

WITH THIS WAS MORE OR LESS TO THROW OUT THE FIRST PITCH.

I WAS APPROACHED BY THE DEVELOPERS AND ALSO BY LOUISVILLE

FORWARD.

SEVERAL MONTHS AGO WHEN IT SEEMED WE WERE FINALLY COMING OUT OF

COVID, WE WERE EXCITED ABOUT GETTING BACK DOWNTOWN, BACK TO THE YOUNG CENTER, BACK TO THE BALL STADIUMS AND SOCCER FIELDS.

WE WERE ALL PLEASANTLY SURPRISED AND PLEASED TO FIND OUT THAT WE HAVE INVESTORS AND DEVELOPERS THAT ARE ONCE AGAIN ANXIOUS TO GET UNDER WAY AND HELP LOUISVILLE GET ITS WHEELS UNDERNEATH THEM.

ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT IN MY DISTRICT, I VOLUNTEERED TO BE PART AND THROW OUT THE FIRST PITCH.

NOW, AS COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY POINTED OUT, SHE AND COUNCILMAN ARTHUR HAD DONE REALLY THE LION'S SHARE SO FAR.

WORKING WITH THE DEVELOPERS TO FIND OUT JUST WHAT KIND OF A LOCAL FLAVOR THIS WAS GOING TO HAVE.

WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS AND THAT COMMUNITY.

AND I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE REACHED A SATISFACTORY CONCLUSION.

SO WITH THAT, I WILL TURN IT BACK OVER TO MADAM CHAIR AND ALSO TO COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

AND COUNCILMAN ARTHUR?

>> I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS TONIGHT.

WE DID COME TO AN AGREEMENT ON A COMMUNITY BENEFITS AGREEMENT THAT BENEFITS THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THE PHOENIX HILL NEIGHBORHOOD AND THEY ARE HAPPY WITH IT.

I WILL BE SUPPORTING IT, YES.

IF YOU SPONSOR A TIF IN SOMEBODY'S DISTRICT, LET THEM KNOW BEFORE

IT HITS NEW BUSINESS.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, ROLL CALL?

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.



>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, READING OF ITEM 55, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 55]

R07121, A RESOLUTION TERMING  
AN UNIMPROVED TRACT LOCATED AT  
2622 ADRIEN WAY IN LOUISVILLE  
KENTUCKY SHOWN ON EXHIBIT A  
ATTACHED HERE TO, OWNED BY  
LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT, AS SURPLUS AND  
NO LONGER NEEDED FOR A

GOVERNMENT PURPOSE AND  
AUTHORIZING THE UNIMPROVED  
TRACT, ALL IN METRO LOUISVILLE,  
KENTUCKY TO BE CONVEYED TO THE  
LAND BANK AUTHORITY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

MOTION WINKLER.

SECOND TRIPLETT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS IS IN REGARD TO, I GUESS WHAT WE WOULD CALL SURPLUS  
PROPERTIES.

AND SO -- I FORGOT WHO WAS THE SPONSOR.

COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, IT'S IN HER DISTRICT, BUT IT'S ACTUALLY  
LOOKING AT IMPROVING THE AREA WITH LOW-INCOME HOUSING SO I WANT  
TO PASS THE MIC TO COUNCILMEMBER GREEN TO SPEAK TO THIS.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN GREEN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT, MADAM CHAIR.

I WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO SUPPORT THIS.

THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWESTERN PORTION OF

THE DISTRICT 1.

WE REALLY ARE ATTEMPTING TO TRY TO REVITALIZE AND TRYING TO  
UTILIZE PROPERTY FOR A PURPOSE THAT'S GOING TO REALLY IMPROVE  
THE COMMUNITY.

THIS PROPERTY, THE PLAN IS FOR IT TO BE SOLD TO THE LAND BANK.  
IT'S ESSENTIALLY A SIDE YARD THAT SOMEONE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR  
SOMEBODY COULD COME AND BUILD SOMETHING THERE.

AND SO IT'S SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO INCREASE HOMEOWNERSHIP AND  
SO, IT'S GOING TO INCREASE THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR RESIDENTS IN  
THE AREA.

I WOULD ASK FOR COLLEAGUES TO SUPPORT THIS.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

SORRY, RESOLUTION BEFORE US.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT, THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 56?

>> [READING ITEM 56]

AP080321KB, APPOINTMENT OF

KIM BLANDING TO KENTUCKYIANA

WORKS, GREATER LOUISVILLE

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND.  
MOTION COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

SECOND COUNCILWOMAN HOLTON STEWART.

THE APPOINTMENT IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I WILL GO AHEAD AND ADDRESS NINE -- ALL IN ONE SWOOP.

ITEMS 56 THROUGH 64 ARE UNUSUAL SITUATION.

USUALLY GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT FOR APPOINTMENT, IT'S USUALLY

BECAUSE THERE'S A COUNCILWOMAN, IT ENDS UP ON OLD BUSINESS

BECAUSE THERE'S A COUNCILMEMBER WHO NEEDS TO ABSTAIN OR VOTE NO.

THAT'S NOT THE CASE HERE.

WE LOST QUORUM AT THE LAST GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY MEETING.

BECAUSE WE LOST QUORUM, THERE WERE NINE ITEMS THAN RATHER THAN

HOLD UP THE APPOINTMENTS WE DECIDED WE WILL SEND THEM ALL

THROUGH WITH NO RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL TO MOVE FORWARD.

SO ITEMS 56-64, THE NEXT NINE ITEMS WE WILL VOTE ON ARE MERELY ON

OLD BUSINESS BECAUSE WE LOST QUORUM AT THE LAST COMMITTEE

MEETING.

AS SUCH, ALL THESE ITEMS, IN MY OPINION, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY CAN

CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG.

BECAUSE NO ONE IS LOOKING TO ABSTAIN -- SO THUS, THESE ARE ALL

ITEMS BECAUSE THE RESOLUTIONS CAN GO BY VOICE VOTE.

WITH THAT I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE PASSAGE.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN PARKER, I THINK YOUR MIC IS ON.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, SIR?

>> ACTUALLY -- [INDISCERNIBLE]

I DO NEED TO ABSTAIN ON THAT.

I BELIEVE [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY.

>> SO WHEN YOU DO A ROLL CALL ON THAT ONE --

>> I APOLOGIZE, COUNCILMEMBER FOX, IT IS CORRECT.

AND IN FACT I WILL ABSTAIN.

NONETHELESS, COUNCILMEMBER FOX WORKS FOR NORTON, MY WIFE WORKS  
FOR NORTON.

JUST OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, I WILL ABSTAIN.

THAT'S THE ONLY ONE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK, FOR ITEM 56, PLEASE  
CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> ABSTAIN.

[INDISCERNIBLE]

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

MA'AM, I DIDN'T HEAR YOU.

>> YES.

SORRY, I'M OPERATING OFF MY PHONE.

>> THAT'S OKAY.

COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?



>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> ABSTAIN.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES AND TWO ABSTENTIONS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, IF YOU COULD READ ITEMS 57-64, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEMS 57-64]

57, AP080321EM, APPOINTMENT OF  
DR. EDWARD MILLER TO THE BOARD  
OF HEALTH.

58, RP080321MH2, REAPPOINTMENT  
OF MARGARET HANDMAKER TO THE  
BOARD OF HEALTH.

59, RP080321HM, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
HEIDI MARGULIS TO THE BOARD OF  
HEALTH.

60, RP080321PG, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
PAULA GRISANTI TO THE BOARD OF  
HEALTH.

61, RP080321DC, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
DR. DWYANE COMPTON TO THE BOARD  
OF HEALTH.

62, RP080321JS, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
JOAN TONI STRINGER TO THE  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
BOARD.

63, RP08021DH, REAPPOINTMENT OF  
DR. DEONTE HOLLOWWELL TO THE  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
BOARD.

64, AP080321MN, APPOINTMENT OF  
MARISA NEAL TO THE AIR POLLUTION  
CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MOTION AND SECOND?  
MOTION BY WINKLER, SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS.  
IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?  
HEARING NONE.

THESE ARE APPOINTMENTS.

WITH A ROLL CALL VOTE, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> IT COULD BE VOICE VOTE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT, WE WILL DO A VOICE VOTE.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THESE APPOINTMENTS PASS.

THANK YOU.

MADAM CLERK, READING OF ITEM 65.

>> [READING ITEM 65]

031121, AN ORDINANCE

APPROPRIATING 11,500 FROM THE  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS  
IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER.

1,000 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 9, 12,  
13, 14, AND 24.

500 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 1, 2, 6,  
10, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23,

AND 25, 250 EACH FROM DISTRICTS

3 AND 5, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO THE

COALITION FOR THE HOMELESS INC.

FOR PROGRAMMING EXPENSES

ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT

STAND DOWN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

PURVIS AND WINKLER.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR -- MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS CAME OUT OF COMMITTEE WITH AMENDMENTS THAT HAD ADDITIONAL  
SPONSORS.

THE REQUESTED AMOUNT \$16,000, WE ARE AT \$11,500.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD IS THE PRIMARY SPONSOR, SHE MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO IT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, SIR.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND CHAIR BLACKWELL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD, COULD YOU HOLD FOR ONE SECOND.

WE LOST COUNCILMAN PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> I'M HERE.

YOU DIDN'T LOSE ME BUT I HAD TO MOVE AND PLUG MY COMPUTER BACK IN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY, THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT.

WAS THAT COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD THAT I INTERRUPTED?

I'M SORRY, PLEASE CONTINUE.

>> NO, THAT'S FINE, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS IS THE ANNUAL STAND DOWN PROJECT PUT ON BY THE CITY, HOMELESS COALITION, VETERANS ADMINISTRATION WE GIVE EVERY YEAR, THIS YEAR IT'S OCTOBER 6TH.

IT BRINGS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THE HOMELESS TO HAVE ACCESS TO SOME MUCH-NEEDED SERVICES LIKE I.D.'S, FLU SHOTS, MEDICAL SERVICES, EYE SCREENING, COUNSELING, SCREENING, APPLICATIONS, CLOTHING, FOOD.

OUR PORTION IS TO HELP PAY FOR THE FURNITURE TO HOUSE TEN OF OUR HOMELESS PEOPLE, TO PUT THEM INTO PERMANENT HOUSING.

AND I WOULD ASK IF YOU HAVE A FEW DOLLARS THAT YOU COULD SPARE FOR THIS MUCH GREAT WORTHY PROJECT, IT WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD.

COUNCILMEMBER CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG.

>> DISTRICT 8 SUPPORTS WITH \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 8 \$500.

AND COUNCILWOMAN GREEN?

>> \$500 FROM DISTRICT 1.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$500 FROM DISTRICT 1.

AN ADDITIONAL \$500 FROM DISTRICT 1.

>> AM I ALREADY ON THERE?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> YOU CAN ADD AN ADDITIONAL \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> \$1500 FROM DISTRICT 4.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$1500 FROM DISTRICT 4.

IS THERE ANY -- COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> DISTRICT 7 \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 7, \$250.

THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

MADAM CLERK, WHAT IS THAT TOTAL?

>> \$14,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR AN AMENDMENT.

>> SO MOVED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER

AND A SECOND BY TRIPLETT.

THE AMENDMENT IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE AMENDMENT PASSES.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?  
>> YES.  
>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?  
>> YES.  
>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> AND COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 66, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 66]

032221, ORDINANCE



APPROPRIATING 5410 FROM DISTRICT  
15 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT  
FUNDS THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS TO  
LUV-IT, LANDSCAPING FOR SEVERAL  
BEAUTIFICATION PROJECT  
THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT 15.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE TO REFLECT THAT THE MONEY WILL FLOW  
THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS.

COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT IS THE PRIMARY SPONSOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR AND MR. PRESIDENT.

LIKE DR. BLACKWELL HAD MENTIONED THIS WAS PURELY A PROCEDURAL  
ARRANGEMENT, IT'S A BEAUTIFICATION PROJECT THAT WE DO ANNUALLY.  
BUT WE HAD SUBSTITUTED THE ORDINANCE TO APPROPRIATE THE MONEY TO  
OUR PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIMPLY A PROCEDURAL MANEUVER FOR SUBSTITUTION.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES, YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> JUST ONE YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES, YES, YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 67, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 67]

033621, AN ORDINANCE

APPROPRIATING 6500 FROM

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, 2,000

EACH FROM DISTRICTS 15 AND 21,

1,000 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 12 AND

13, AND 500 FROM DISTRICT 10,

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT

AND BUDGET TO SOUTH COMMUNITY

MINISTRIES INC. TO SUPPORT THE

TASTE OF LOUISVILLE FUND-RAISER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION, PLEASE?

>> MOTION TRIPLETT.

>> SECOND.

FOX.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION TRIPLETT, SECOND FOX.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE TO ADD ADDITIONAL SPONSORS,  
REQUESTED AMOUNT \$9,500, THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS \$6,500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, SO IT WAS THIS PAST SATURDAY, IT WAS A GREAT EVENT,  
IT WAS GOOD TO SEE SO MANY OF MY COLLEAGUES THERE.

INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, I WAS AT SOUTH LOUISVILLE COMMUNITY  
MINISTRIES THIS MORNING.

I WAS ABLE TO OBSERVE THEIR RENTAL ASSISTANCE CLINIC.

THEY HAD A LINE OF PEOPLE OUT THE DOOR AT 9:00 IN THE MORNING.

THIS MONEY DOES GO TO SUPPORT PROGRAMMING, INCLUDING EVERYTHING  
FROM UTILITY ASSISTANCE TO HOUSING ASSISTANCE.

AS OF TODAY, THEY HAVE NO MONEY AVAILABLE FOR RENTAL ASSISTANCE  
AND VERY LITTLE MONEY FOR UTILITIES.

SO SHOULD PEOPLE FEEL COMPELLED TO HELP SUPPORT, THIS WOULD  
CERTAINLY BE A GOOD CAUSE.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

ANY OTHER DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER?

>> DISTRICT 14 FOR \$500, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 14 FOR \$1500.

THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN HOLTON STEWART?

>> \$500 FROM DISTRICT 25 PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$500 FROM DISTRICT 25.

>> COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> \$500 FROM DISTRICT 22.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI?

>> \$500 FROM DISTRICT 19, PLEASE.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$500 FROM DISTRICT 19.

AND COUNCILMAN ARTHUR?

>> I JUST WANTED TO ADD THIS MINISTRY HAS BEEN CRUCIAL AND  
STOPMYEVICTION.ORG MAKING SURE PEOPLE ALL OVER THE CITY HAVE  
RENTAL ASSISTANCE.

THAT SAID, \$2,000 FROM DISTRICT 4, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$2,000 FROM DISTRICT 4.

I THINK WE REACHED OUR LIMIT.

YOU CAN ONLY DO \$1,000.

>> \$1,000 FROM DISTRICT 4.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A MOTION TO MOVE THE AMENDMENT, BY  
COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

SECOND?

>> SECOND, KRAMER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SECOND BY KRAMER.

ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT?

HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

>> THE AYES HAVE IT, THE AMENDMENT PASSES.

NOW THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.



>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

THAT WAS A YES.

>> THANK YOU, SIR.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 68, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 68]

033721, AN ORDINANCE

APPROPRIATING 8,000 FROM

DISTRICT 21, NEIGHBORHOOD

DEVELOPMENT FUNDS THROUGH THE

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
TO AMERICANA COMMUNITY CENTER,  
INC. TO ASSIST WITH THE  
OPERATIONAL COSTS OF THE YOUTH  
AND FAMILY EDUCATION PROGRAMS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

SECOND BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US, ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE BUT WAS SENT TO OLD BUSINESS WITH  
THE POSSIBILITY OF ADDING ADDITIONAL SPONSORS.

THE REQUESTED AMOUNT WAS \$25,000, CURRENT AMOUNT IS \$8,000.

THIS IS COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

SHE MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO IT AS WELL.

I WILL SAY UP FRONT THAT WE WILL HAVE AN AMENDMENT IN DISTRICT  
12, WILL ADD \$1,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

AND COUNCILWOMAN NICOLE GEORGE?

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT.

SO THIS IS ANOTHER REALLY GREAT ASSET IN DISTRICT 21, BUT OF  
COURSE AMERICANA COMMUNITY CENTER SERVES RESIDENTS METRO-WIDE.

TODAY IS INTERNATIONAL YOUTH DAY.

WE HEARD A YOUNG PERSON COME SPEAK BEFORE THE COUNCIL THIS

EVENING.

BUT EVERYDAY IS INTERNATIONAL YOUTH DAY AT AMERICANA.

THIS PROGRAM AND THE FUNDING THAT'S BEING REQUESTED IS TO SUPPORT  
OUT OF SCHOOL PROGRAMMING AND EDUCATION.

THEY ARE ATTEMPTING TO FUNDRAISE AROUND 220 SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN  
AND 40 PARENTS.

THE MORE FUNDING THAT IS RAISED, THE MORE PEOPLE THEY WILL BE  
ABLE TO SERVE.

ANYTHING MY COLLEAGUES COULD DO WOULD BE APPRECIATED, THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

MADAM CLERK, DID YOU GET COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL?

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> DISTRICT 14, \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> DISTRICT 24 \$1,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 24 \$1,000.

CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG?

>> DISTRICT 8, \$1,000, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> DISTRICT 9, \$1,000.

>> DISTRICT 17, \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> DISTRICT 4, \$1,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> DISTRICT 25, \$500.

>> DISTRICT 25, \$500.

DISTRICT 6, \$500.

WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US, MADAM CLERK?

>> THE NEW TOTAL \$10,500.

\$14,500 IS STILL AVAILABLE IF ANYONE WANTS TO GIVE MORE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DR. SHANKLIN?

>> DISTRICT 2 \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 2, \$500.

COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> [INDISCERNIBLE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY, STAND BY.

ONE SECOND.

>> \$15,55.

>> DISTRICT 7, \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS?

>> DISTRICT 5, \$250.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: AND COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL?

>> DISTRICT 10 \$500, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 10, \$500.

COUNCILMEMBER CHAMBERS ARMSTRONG?

>> ALREADY GAVE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY, AND HOLTON STEWART DID ALSO.

SO WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US, MADAM CLERK?

>> MADAM CLERK: \$16,500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

FURTHER DISCUSSION?

MAY I HAVE A MOTION FOR THE AMENDMENT?

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

WHO DID I HEAR SECOND FROM, ENGEL FOR THE SECOND.

ANY DISCUSSION?

ALL IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> I THINK THAT WAS A YES.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU, MA'AM.

COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU, SIR.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 69, PLEASE.

>> MADAM CLERK: [READING ITEM 69]

033821, AN ORDINANCE

APPROPRIATING 2,000 FROM THE

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, 1,000

FROM DISTRICT 21, 500 EACH FROM

DISTRICTS 10 AND 13, THROUGH THE

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

TO THE EXQUISITE BLACK WOMEN

FOUNDATION INC. TO SUPPORT THE

SECOND ANNUAL AN EVENING OF

EXCELLENCE GALA FUND-RAISER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

>> SECOND BY PURVIS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SECOND BY COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?



>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

APPARENTLY IT WAS COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE DID APPROPRIATIONS THIS  
LAST TIME.

THIS ALSO CAME OUT OF COMMITTEE WITH THE HOPE, OR WITH ADDING  
ADDITIONAL SPONSORS IN THE COMMITTEE.

SO THAT'S WHY IT'S ON OLD BUSINESS.

THE CURRENT AMOUNT IS \$2,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE?

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT.

WE HAVE LEGISLATIVE AID RACHEL TO THANK FOR THIS TONIGHT.

ALL THE HARD WORK.

THIS IS ANOTHER ASSET IN DISTRICT 21, EXQUISITE BLACK WOMEN.

WHILE IN DISTRICT 21 SERVES ALL OF METRO.

IT SUPPORTS BLACK GIRLS IN THE 10-12 GRADE BOTH WITH SKILLS  
BUILDING AS WELL AS INTERNSHIP OPPORTUNITIES.

AGAIN, THE MORE MONEY THAT'S RAISED, THE MORE YOUNG PEOPLE THEY  
CAN SERVE.

SO ANYTHING COLLEAGUES CAN HELP SUPPORT WOULD BE WELCOME.

THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.

FURTHER DISCUSSION?

COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER?

>> YES.

DISTRICT 14 \$500, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$500.

DISTRICT 1, \$500.

>> PURVIS?

>> DISTRICT 4, \$1,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: SHANKLIN?

>> DISTRICT 2 \$500.

>> AND COUNCILWOMAN HOLTON STEWART?

>> DISTRICT 25 FOR \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

SHE IS CALCULATING.

>> DISTRICT 17 FOR \$500.

>> 17,500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY.

COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> YES, DISTRICT

>> \$500.

>> DISTRICT 6, \$500.

>> COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG CHAMBERS?

>> DISTRICT 8, \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY?

>> DISTRICT 7, \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 7, \$500.

COUNCILMAN ARTHUR?

>> WHAT YOU GOT LEFT?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: STAND BY ONE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: 6,150.

>> DISTRICT 4, \$1,000.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> DISTRICT 3, \$250.

>> HOLLANDER?

>> DISTRICT 9, \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WHAT'S OUR TOTAL, MADAM CLERK?

>> MADAM CLERK: [OFF MIC]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY.

>> MADAM CLERK: [OFF MIC]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY.

WE WILL DO IT OVER.

>> MADAM CLERK: [OFF MIC]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OH YOU DO?

OKAY, GO AHEAD.

COULD YOU READ IT?

>> MADAM CLERK: [OFF MIC]

>> THIS IS NOT IN THE ORDER CALLED BUT WHAT I WROTE DOWN.

DISTRICT 4, 1,000, DISTRICT 7, \$500, DISTRICT 3, \$23 50, DISTRICT

3, \$500, DISTRICT 6, \$500, DISTRICT 8, \$500.

DISTRICT 17, \$500.

DISTRICT 25, \$500, DISTRICT 2 \$500.

DISTRICT 24, \$1,000.

>> DISTRICT 5, \$250.

DISTRICT 1, \$500.

DISTRICT 14, \$500.

THIS IS VERY GENEROUS, IF I MAY, PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: 9,000.

OKAY.

FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> COUNCILWOMAN DOES YOU CALL DISTRICT 9?

>> I DID.

YES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT.

MAY I HAVE A MOTION FOR AMENDMENT?

>> MOVE THE AMENDMENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER, AND SECOND  
BY COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

YA YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 70, PLEASE.

>> [READING ITEM 70]

034421, AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING 4900, 7375 FROM

NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, 2,000

FROM DISTRICT 9, 850 FROM

DISTRICT 10, 725 FROM DISTRICT

4, 600 FROM DISTRICT 8, 500 FROM

DISTRICT 13, 350 EACH FROM

DIRECTS 12, 14, 15, 17, 21, AND

25 AND 300 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 7

AND 20 THROUGH THE OFFICE OF

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET TO THE

LOUISVILLE INDEPENDENT BUSINESS

ALLIANCE INC. TO HELP FUND THE

ANNUAL BUY LOCAL FAIR.

AS AMENDED, READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER.

SECOND BY COUNCILMAN REED.

COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE TO ADD ADDITIONAL SPONSORS,  
REQUESTED IS \$10,500.

WE ARE AT \$7,375.

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER IS PRIMARY SPONSOR.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

WE ALL KNOW HOUR SMALL BUSINESSES IN LOUISVILLE, LIKE AROUND THE  
COUNTRY HAVE HAD A VERY TOUGH YEAR.

THEY ARE RESUMING THEIR ANNUAL BUY LOCAL FAIR WHICH HAPPENS TO BE  
DISTRICT 9, WHY I'M THE PRIMARY SPONSOR BUT THIS INCLUDES, OF  
COURSE, BUSINESSES THROUGHOUT ALL LOUISVILLE METRO.

ACTUALLY THIS SATURDAY, 12-5 LOUISVILLE METRO WATER.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILWOMAN GREEN?

>> \$250 FROM DISTRICT 1, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FROM DISTRICT 1.

>> \$50.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: 50?

>> \$350.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OH, \$350 FROM DISTRICT 1.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

>> DISTRICT 24, \$500.



>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 24, \$500.

AND DR. SHANKLIN?

>> DISTRICT 2, \$500.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 2, \$500.

AND COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> DISTRICT 16, \$250.

>> DISTRICT 16, \$250.

DISTRICT 6, \$300, PLEASE.

COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> DISTRICT 3, \$250, PLEASE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISTRICT 3, \$250 PLEASE.

IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

MADAM CLERK, WHAT DOES THAT TOTAL COME TO?

>> \$9,525.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$9525, MAY I HAVE A MOTION.

SO MOVED.

COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER, BLACKWELL.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

ALL OPPOSED?

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARTHUR?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PURVIS?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MCCRANEY?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ARMSTRONG?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLLANDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER MULVIHILL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER KRAMER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOX?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER TRIPLETT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER REED?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I THINK WE LOST COUNCILMEMBER PARKER.

LET'S HOLD THE MEETING FOR A MOMENT.

WE ARE CLOSE.

>> WE ARE SO CLOSE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY, WE ARE BACK IN SESSION.

MADAM CLERK?

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

>> SAY YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PARKER?

CAN YOU GIVE ME A THUMB'S UP IF YOU ARE SAYING YES.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER BENSON?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER GEORGE?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ENGEL?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

OH NO.

COUNCILMEMBER PEDEN?

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER FLOOD?

GENTLEMAN YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER HOLTON STEWART?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILMEMBER ACKERSON?

>> YES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

COLLEAGUES, OUR NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS.

AND I JUST WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO SAY TO YOU ALL AND RECOGNIZE  
SOME FOLKS.

JOAN SCHAFER, REGAN IN THE TV STUDIO AND FOLKS AT METRO TV, OUR  
CLERK SONYA, GINNY FURST, THEY ALL WORK VERY HARD SO WE CAN DO  
THESE VIRTUAL MEETINGS.

THE AMOUNT OF TIME AND EFFORT THEY PUT IN, I DON'T THINK WE

RECOGNIZE IT.

I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO RECOGNIZE FOR ALL THE WORK THEY DO.

[ APPLAUSE ]

>> AMEN.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, ALL.

I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THOSE COUNCILMEMBERS WHO WISH TO MAKE  
ANNOUNCEMENTS PLEASE REMAIN UNTIL AFTER NEW BUSINESS IS READ  
INTO THE RECORD.

NEW BUSINESS COMPRISES ITEMS 71-85, WILL THE CLERK PLEASE READ.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, CAN I HAVE A MOMENT, PLEASE.

I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE ASSISTANT CLERK FOR DOING A GREAT JOB  
HELPING ME.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: ASSISTANT CLERK DOING A GREAT JOB TOO.

>> [READING NEW BUSINESS]

71, 040221, AN ORDINANCE  
APPROPRIATING 30,000 FROM  
DISTRICT 18 NEIGHBORHOOD  
DEVELOPMENT FUND THROUGH THE  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
TO THE CITY OF HURSTBOURNE, CAN  
HE PURSUANT TO THE CITY OF  
HURSTBOUR COMMISSION MUNICIPAL  
ORDER NUMBER 11-19 SERIES 2011  
AND THE METRO PARTNERSHIP

PROGRAM ESTABLISHED IN  
LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF  
ORDINANCES SECTION 97.100 FOR  
PHASE SEVEN OF THE CITY OF  
HURSTBOURNE SIDEWALKS AND RAMPS  
ALLOWING PEDESTRIANS TO CONNECT  
FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS TO  
COMMERCIAL AREAS OF THE  
COMMUNITY.

72, R08321, RESOLUTION  
ESTABLISHING PRIORITY AREAS FOR  
USE OF THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN  
CORONAVIRUS LOCAL FISCAL  
RECOVERY FUNDS ALLOCATED TO  
LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT.

73, 040021, AN ORDINANCE MINDING  
THE LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF  
ORDINANCES CHAPTER 92, TO  
PROHIBIT CREDIT DISSEMINATION IN  
EMPLOYMENT.

74, A RESOLUTION RELATING TO THE  
SUPPORT OF FEDERAL REP PAR RACES  
LEGISLATION AND THE PURPOSE OF

HOUSE RESOLUTION 40 OF THE 116TH  
CONGRESS.

75, 040621, ORDINANCE  
ESTABLISHING A LOCAL DEVELOPMENT  
AREA PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS  
OF KRS65.0-7041-65.7083 TO BE  
KNOWN AS THE DUPIN DRIVE LOCAL  
DEVELOPMENT AREA DESIGNATING THE  
METRO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY INC.  
AS AN AGENCY APPROVING ENTERING  
INTO A LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AREA  
AGREEMENT AUTHORIZING THE  
PAYMENT OF THE RELEASED AMOUNT  
PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND  
CONDITIONS OF THE LOCAL  
DEVELOPMENT AREA AGREEMENT  
REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF  
REGULAR REPORTS TO LOUISVILLE,  
JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO  
GOVERNMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE  
EXECUTION OF DELIVERY OF ANY  
OTHER DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING  
OF ANY OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY  
TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES

AUTHORIZED BY THE ORDINANCE.  
76, 040721, AN ORDINANCE  
ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT AREA  
PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF  
KRS65.7041-65.7083 TO BE KNOWN  
AS THE LOGISTICS AIR PARK  
DEVELOPMENT AREA DESIGNATING THE  
METRO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY INC.  
AS AN AGENCY ADOPTING A  
DEVELOPMENT PLAN, APPROVING  
ENTERING INTO A LOCAL  
PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT,  
AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF THE  
RELEASED AMOUNT, PURSUANT TO THE  
TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE  
LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT,  
REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF  
REGULAR REPORTS TO LOUISVILLE,  
JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO  
GOVERNMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE  
EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ANY  
OTHER DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING  
OF ANY OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY  
TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES



AUTHORIZED BY THE ORDINANCE.

77, R07321, RESOLUTION PURSUANT  
TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING  
BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE  
FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY  
NEGOTIATE PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACT FOR OFFICE OF  
MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET CONCERNING  
FEDERAL AFFAIRS ASSISTANCE.

78, R07521.

A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE  
CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET  
ORDINANCES APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE  
FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY  
NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACTS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF  
PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS AND  
CONCERNING EXPANDING CAPACITY  
AND DEMAND FOR HEALTH IMPACT  
ASSESSMENTS.

79, R07721, A RESOLUTION  
PURSUANT TO THE AN OPERATING

BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE  
APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE  
FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY  
NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACT FOR LOUISVILLE METRO  
POLICE DEPARTMENT CONCERNING A  
COORDINATOR FOR THE SEXUAL  
ASSAULT FORENSIC EXAMINER  
PROGRAM.

80, R07821, A RESOLUTION  
APPROVING THE GRANTING OF LOCAL  
INCENTIVES TO HB SEALING  
PRODUCTS INC. AND ANY SUBSEQUENT  
ASSIGNEES OR APPROVED AFFILIATES  
THERE OF PURSUANT TO THE KRS  
CHAPTER 154, SUBCHAPTER 32.

81, 040521, ORDINANCE MINDING  
LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY  
METRO GOVERNMENT CODE OF  
ORDINANCES CHAPTER 76 TO  
REGULATE TEMPORARY CLOSURES OF  
METRO PARKS ROADS TO VEHICULAR  
TRAFFIC.

82, 037621, AN ORDINANCE RELATE

TO GET CLOSURE OF LLOYD STREET  
AND TWO ASSOCIATED ALLEYS WEST  
OF FRANKFORT AVENUE CONTAINING  
APPROXIMATELY 38,756 SQUARE FEET  
AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

83, AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE  
ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT  
OLD HEADY ROAD, PARCEL ID  
NUMBERS 004702920000,  
004702830000 AND 004702940000,  
CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 6.4  
ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE  
METRO.

84, 040121, AN ORDINANCE ADDING  
A NEW SECTION TO THE LOUISVILLE  
METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER  
91 TO ADDRESS THE CONNECTION  
BETWEEN AN MULE ABUSE AND  
INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE.

85, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING 123.35  
AND 123.01 OF THE LOUISVILLE  
METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES LMCO  
RELATING TO THE REGULATION OF  
SECONDARY METALS RECYCLERS.

READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK.

NEXT WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS.

COUNCIL PERSONS WITH ANNOUNCEMENTS?

I DON'T SEE ANY.

THAT CONCLUDES OUR MEETING.

OUR NEXT MEETING WILL BE THURSDAY, AUGUST 26, 2021 AT 6:00 P.M.

WITH NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO DISCUSSION, WITHOUT OBJECTION WE

STAND ADJOURNED.

[GAVEL]