

Variance Justification:

In order to justify approval of any variance, the Board of Zoning Adjustment considers the following criteria. Please answer all of the following items. Use additional sheets if needed. A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.

1. Explain how the variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare.

The variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety, or welfare of others because the area where the variance is requested is in the rear of the property and does not put any additional strain on the sewer system or any other services utilized by neighbors.

2. Explain how the variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity.

The variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity because it is in the rear of the home. The character of the street view from the front will remain the same. This is a one story addition that will not be visible at front street view.

3. Explain how the variance will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to the public.

The variance will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to the public because its use will be solely for living quarters.

4. Explain how the variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.

The variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations because the existing zoning does not require any changes because the use of the property remains the same (residential).

RECEIVED

Additional consideration:

1. Explain how the variance arises from special circumstances, which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity (please specify/identify).

The variance arises from special circumstances, which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity because some of the properties do not have an additional structure in the rear which would prevent the variance issue. An example would be 1006 Camden Ave.

APR 10 2017

PLANNING &
DESIGN SERVICES

2. Explain how the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create unnecessary hardship.

The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create unnecessary hardship because the applicant's family is growing and it is more economical to add to the home than to relocate. The addition would assist the applicant with proper space for the family unit and increase the value of the property.

3. Are the circumstances the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the regulation from which relief is sought?

No the circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequently to the adoption of the regulation from which relief is sought. The ordinance was in place prior to planning for the addition.