PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES AUGUST 3, 2021

Complete Streets Amendments

Project Name: Complete Streets Amendments

Municipality: All with Zoning Authority

Case Manager: Nathan Keltch and Amanda Deatherage, AICP

Nathan Keltch presented a PowerPoint presentation of the Complete Streets Coalition (see video for detailed presentation.) He discussed the functions and the structure of the CSC in detail. Committee Member Barber asked who appoints the nine citizen representatives on the committee. There is not yet an official process for appointing the nine representatives. Nathan Keltch also described the Complete Streets Checklist process. There was discussion on what projects and requirements would go through the CSC process.

There was discussion on section 2.2 of the bylaws which describes the function of the Complete Streets Coalition (see video for bylaws.) Amanda Deatherage provided more information on the function of this committee in response to crashes.

Committee Member Long asked how the committee will receive work and decide what to review, adding that it seems like a lot of work for the group. Amanda Deatherage responded that Metro staff will take most of the burden by developing the checklist and getting feedback. She explained the governmental chair is likely going to take the responsibility of going to Metro Council and reporting on the CSC's recommendations. She added that they get a lot of requests from advocates and this is a way to formalize that process, so they know their voice is being heard. Nathan Keltch added that the functions listed in the bylaws will be enough for the committee.

Committee Member Barber agreed that it seems like a lot of work for this group. Committee Member Brown asked what projects would be reviewed by the coalition if it were to be active today. Amanda Deatherage responded that Move Louisville did a great job of identifying the projects they want to try to achieve, and they want to try to extend Move Louisville's work and figure out what the community wants when it comes to streets. She added she wants the goals of this committee to be driven by the community. In response to Committee Member Barber's concerns, Amanda Deatherage responded that at this stage she is more concerned about deciding the process they use for picking projects that should move forward rather than identifying the projects themselves.

Committee Member Long asked if they are considering diversity and inclusion when it comes to members. Nathan Keltch said they were very much so considering that. Amanda Deatherage said she didn't know about district diversity, but they were making a good effort at including gender and racial diversity for the CSC. Committee Member Barber added that district diversity is very important. Amanda Deatherage went into more detail on the diversity they are looking for.

PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES AUGUST 3, 2021

Complete Streets Amendments

This project is going to be brought back to the Planning Committee to work out technical issues.

PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES January 28, 2022

Complete Streets Amendments

Project Name: Complete Streets Amendments

Municipality: All with Zoning Authority

Case Managers: Nathan Keltch and Amanda Deatherage, AICP

- 00:45:16 Nathan Keltch presented the plan and showed a Power Point presentation (see recording for detailed presentation.)
- 00:51:26 Juva Barber asked that the reference private development be stricken, since private development is already subject to scrutiny at LD&T per the Land Development Code. Mr. Keltch said that, on rare instances where private development is affecting the right-of-way for a block or more, the Complete Streets Coalition may need to review the project. This amendment states that this type of review must be specifically requested. He noted that it was never the intent of the Complete Streets Coalition to deal with private development at all. Jeff Brown said this amendment could be considered a "Land Development Code" for **public** projects.
- 00:54:32 After further discussion, Mr. Keltch said he could consult the County Attorney's Office regarding the removal of the reference to private development (see recording for detailed discussion.)
- 00:55:28 Patti Clare suggested adding explicit wording stating that, because private development is subject to the Land Development Code, the Complete Streets Coalition shall not deal with private development.
- 00:57:03 Mr. Brown said that he could see the Complete Streets Coalition offering comments on a private development plan, since anyone is allowed to raise concerns or comment on a proposal, but this is not regulatory when it comes to private development. He said he did not see harm in striking the language because there are other checks on private development.
- 00:57:56 In response to questions from Mr. Keltch, the Committee members discussed procedural next steps, and what specific language shall be stricken (see recording.)
- 01:00:40 Laura Ferguson, Assistant County Attorney, gave more clarification about Section 1: Definitions, Complete Streets Checklist. In response to a question from David Tomes, she also reviewed Section 2 Part B (Scope of Complete Streets Applicability). Streets that are initially constructed privately can later become public streets. See recording for detailed discussion.
- 01:06:32 In response to questions from Ms. Barber, Ms. Ferguson reviewed the total of the proposed changes regarding private development language discussed today.

PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES January 28, 2022

Complete Streets Amendments

01:08:53 Ms. Howard asked why private development had been added. Mr. Keltch said there had been discussion at a Planning Committee meeting in August, 2021 about adding it; however, the original intent was to improve Metro's internal processes and also give citizens better input with the proposed Checklist. Ms. Howard said the goal of the ordinance was to give citizens input on **public** development proposals. She said the Land Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan already deals with private development proposals.

01:11:41 Mr. Brown said that Ms. Barber had had some questions about membership in the Coalition. Ms. Barber said the development community had been concerned that this would be another layer to go through in the development process. She suggested that a representative from the development community be on the Complete Streets Coalition. Mr Keltch said it was never the intent of this ordinance or amendment to involve private development.

01:21:38 On a motion by Committee Member Brown, seconded by Committee Member Tomes, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED the Louisville Metro Planning Committee does hereby place this item on the <u>February 17, 2022</u> Louisville Metro Planning Commission public hearing agenda, with changes as noted at today's meeting.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Committee Members Brown, Clare, Tomes, Barber, Long, and Howard. NOT PRESENT: Committee Member Seitz.