

General Waiver ApplicationLouisville Metro Planning & Design Services

	Case No.: 22 - WAIVER-0072	Intake Staff: <u>たし</u>	
FIFERSON COUNTY	Date: 5/2/22	Fee:	
application and suppo	on Mondays at 2:00 p.m. in order to be porting documentation to: Planning and D call (502) 574-6230 or visit <a "tree="" canopy="" href="http://www.</td><td>esign Services, located at 4</td><td>44 South 5th Street, Suite 300</td></tr><tr><td>Project Informati</td><td>on:</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Application is her</td><td>eby made for one or more of the foll</td><td>owing waivers of the Lan</td><td>d Development Code:</td></tr><tr><td>☐ Landscape</td><td>e Waiver of Chapter 10, Part 2</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>⊠ Other: Wai</td><td>ver of Section 5.9.2.A.1.b</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>er Application is not required for Sidewa
ver Application" or="" td="" waive<=""><td></td><td>If applicable, please submit</td>		If applicable, please submit
Explanation To	not provide a pedestrian connectio	n along Haldeman Ave. t	o the building entrance
Primary Project A	ddress: 1904 Frankfort Ave.		
Additional Addres	ss(es):		
Primary Parcel ID	T D COLL 1 - + 0		
Additional Parcel	ID(s):		
Proposed Use:	Liquor Store	Existing Use:	Liquor Store
Existing Zoning D	istrict: C-1	Existing Form District	TNFD
Deed Book(s) / Pa	age Numbers²: _D.B. 10684, Pg. 68	34	
The subject prope	erty contains <u>0.384</u> acres. No	umber of Adjoining Prope	rty Owners: 1
	peen the subject of a previous develormit, minor plat, etc.)? <i>This informa</i> ☐ Yes ☒ No		
If yes, please list t	the docket/case numbers:		1364
			MAY 02 2022
		Docket/Case #:	med the way we see the second
Docket/Case #: _		Docket/Case #:	12.

General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer <u>all</u> of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.**

1. Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent property of	1.	ffect adjacent p	r adversely	waiver	Will the	1.
--	----	------------------	-------------	--------	----------	----

No, the waiver will not adversely affect the adjacent property owners as the current access to the site has been from Frankfort Ave. since 1965 when the building was built. The steep slopes and wall along Haldeman Ave. would not allow for easy access up to the site due to the steep slope of the land.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

No, 2.1.3 of the Comprehensive Plan states to evaluate the appropriateess of a land development proposal in the context of the effect of the proposed development on the movement of people and goods. Being that the site has a steep slope along Haldeman Ave, access to the site is best served from Frankfort Ave

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

Yes the extent of the waiver regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant as the site is at a steep elevation along Haldeman Ave. The site has safe pedestrian access from Frankfort Ave.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The access from Haldeman Ave to the proposed/existing building would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant by incurring unreasonable costs to cut into an extremely steep slope and wall that would not be a safe and accessible access to the site.

MAY 0.2 2022

Villing o Design