

## Brooks, Amy

---

**From:** gealr@iglou.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 24, 2022 3:38 PM  
**To:** Brooks, Amy  
**Subject:** RE: 22-cup-0349 940 S 6th Street

CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Hi Amy,

Please reference this email on your staff report as objecting to this short term rental and include on legistar as one of the opposing emails.

This property was recently not approved for a short term rental. Allowing another short term application on this property so soon after denial is abusive to residents living in the neighborhood. The applicant is trying to wear out the opposition.

I understand that another proposed STR is within 600 feet of this property.

Louisville has a 30,000 unit housing shortage. Issuance of a conditional use permit for a non-host/owner occupied short term rental increases the housing shortage. Furthermore, short term rentals, especially multiple short term rentals within 600 feet of each other, DESTROYS the fabric of the neighborhood. The neighborhood is on the path of ceasing to be a community and becoming just a neighborhood of transients.

Our metro council heard the concerns of the constituency regarding short term rentals and the Land Development Code now includes language under Chapter 4, Part 2, Section 63(D) prohibiting non-host/owner short term rentals within 600 feet of another non-host/owner short term rental. I request the Board of Zoning Adjustment follow the language instituted by our elected officials and DENY this conditional use permit.

Sincerely,

Ann Ramser District 21 resident

On Tue, November 22, 2022 16:12, Brooks, Amy wrote:

> Good afternoon,

>

>

> My understanding is the applicant was allowed to reapply because CUPs  
> must be denied or approved by a majority vote, which did not happen in  
> this case.  
>  
> I have also attached the Staff Report for 940 S. 6th St and the requested  
> video link.  
> [http://louisville.granicus.com/player/clip/7813?view\\_id=2&redirect=true&h](http://louisville.granicus.com/player/clip/7813?view_id=2&redirect=true&h=c8fef84ebf5b5abb14aedac3901cdddd)  
> [=c8fef84ebf5b5abb14aedac3901cdddd](http://louisville.granicus.com/player/clip/7813?view_id=2&redirect=true&h=c8fef84ebf5b5abb14aedac3901cdddd)  
>  
>  
> Thank you and have a nice day.  
>  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
>  
> Amy Brooks  
> Planner I  
> Planning & Design Services  
> Department of Develop Louisville  
> LOUISVILLE FORWARD  
> 444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300  
> Louisville, KY 40202  
> (502)574-8215  
> <https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design>  
> [email logo2]  
> [twitter]<<https://twitter.com/DevelopLou>>[Facebook  
> final]<<https://www.facebook.com/DevelopLouisville/>>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> From: gealr@iglou.com <gealr@iglou.com>  
> Sent: Monday, November 21, 2022 10:06 AM  
> To: Brooks, Amy <Amy.Brooks@louisvilleky.gov>  
> Subject: RE: 22-cup-0349 940 S 6th Street  
>  
>  
> CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click  
> links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the  
> content is safe  
>  
> Amy,  
>  
>  
> Thank you for your response. Would you please share with me the staff  
> report from 22 cup 0291 and the video from that hearing.  
>  
> Do you know if the fact the applicants for 22 cup 0291 are not the  
> applicants on 22-cup-0349 was the reason the county attorney is allowing a

> new application.

>

> Allowing another application so soon is abusive to people opposed to the

> cup.

>

> Ann Ramser

>

>

>

> On Mon, November 21, 2022 09:03, Brooks, Amy wrote:

>

>> Good morning,

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> Generally an applicant cannot reapply for a CUP that was denied for 1

>> year. However, this case is somewhat of an anomaly. The CUP was not

>> denied; it was just not approved, as the vote was tied 3-3 on

>> 22-CUP-0291 .

>>

>>

>>

>> As such, the applicant was allowed to re-apply after consultation with

>> the County Attorney's office. They submitted a new application and

>> provided a new fee. They understand that they may be denied (or not

>> approved again).

>>

>> Currently, there are no short term rentals with an approved CUP within

>> 600 feet of this address. However, there is a case scheduled for

>> December

>> 5th that may change that.

>>

>>

>>

>> Thank you,

>>

>>

>>

>> Amy Brooks

>> Planner I

>> Planning & Design Services

>> Department of Develop Louisville

>> LOUISVILLE FORWARD

>> 444 South Fifth Street, Suite 300

>> Louisville, KY 40202

>> (502)574-8215

>> <https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design><[https://louisvilleky](https://louisvilleky.gov/government/planning-design)

>> .gov/government/planning-design>

>>

>>

>>

>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: gearl@iglou.com<mailto:gearl@iglou.com>  
>> <gearl@iglou.com<mailto:gearl@iglou.com>>  
>> Sent: Sunday, November 20, 2022 4:25 PM  
>> To: Brooks, Amy  
>> <Amy.Brooks@louisvilleky.gov<mailto:Amy.Brooks@louisvilleky.gov>>  
>> Subject: 22-cup-0349 940 S 6th Street  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> CAUTION: This email came from outside of Louisville Metro. Do not click  
>> links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the  
>> content is safe  
>>  
>> Hi Amy,  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> Can you explain what is happening with the proposed STR at this  
>> address. I see 22-CUP-0291 at the same address was denied. What was the  
>> reason for the denial. Why would another str application be accepted so  
>> soon after one was denied at the address.  
>>  
>> Do any current or proposed STR exist within 600 feet of the re-proposed  
>> STR.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> Thank you.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> Ann Ramser  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> The information contained in this communication from the sender is  
>> confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others  
>> authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby  
>> notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in  
>> relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited  
>> and may be unlawful.  
>>  
>  
> The information contained in this communication from the sender is  
> confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others

> authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby  
> notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in  
> relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and  
> may be unlawful.  
>