MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF THE

LOUISVILLE METRO BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT WITH THE ANNUAL ELECTION OF OFFICERS

JULY 6, 2015

A meeting of the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment was held at 8:30.A.M. on Monday, July 6, 2015, 514 West Liberty Street, Old Jail Building, Old Jail Court Room, Louisville, Kentucky.

Members present:
David Proffitt, Chairperson
*Mike Allendorf, Vice Chairperson
Rosalind Fishman, Secretary Betty Jarboe Dean Tharp Paul Bergmann

Members absent:

Lester Turner

Staff members present:
 Emily Liu, Director, Planning & Design Services
 Jonathan Baker, Legal Counsel
 Joe Reverman, Planning Manager
 Eugene Sowell, Planning Information Specialist
 Steve Lutz, Planning Supervisor
 Steve Hendrix, Planning Supervisor
 Sherie Long, Landscape Architect
 Jon Crumbie, Planner II
 Beth Stevenson, Management Assistant

The following cases were heard:

^{*}Member Allendorf left the meeting at approximately 1:30 p.m.

JULY 6, 2015

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

JUNE 15, 2015 BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MINUTES

On a motion by Member Fishman, seconded by Member Bergmann, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPROVE** the minutes of the meeting conducted on June 15, 2015.

YES: Members Allendorf, Fishman and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: Members Proffitt, Jarboe and Tharp.

JULY 6, 2015

ANNUAL MEETING FOR ELECTION OF OFFICERS

ELECTION—CHAIRPERSON

Member Fishman made a motion to nominate Member David Proffitt as Chairperson of the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment, and was seconded by Member Bergmann.

There being no more motions, the nominations were closed and the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPOINT** David Proffitt as Chairperson.

YES: Members Allendorf, Fishman, Tharp, Jarboe and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: Member Proffitt.

ELECTION—VICE CHAIRPERSON

Member Fishman made a motion to nominate Member Michael Allendorf as Vice Chairperson of the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment and was seconded by Member Jarboe.

There being no more motions, the nominations were closed and the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPOINT** Michael Allendorf as Vice Chairperson.

YES: Members Proffitt, Fishman, Tharp, Jarboe and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: Member Allendorf.

JULY 6, 2015

ANNUAL MEETING FOR ELECTION OF OFFICERS

ELECTION—SECRETARY

Member Jarboe made a motion to nominate Member Rosalind Fishman as Secretary of the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment and was seconded by Member Tharp.

There being no more motions, the nominations were closed and the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPOINT** Rosalind Fishman as Secretary.

YES: Members Proffitt, Allendorf, Tharp, Jarboe and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: Member Fishman.

JULY 6, 2015

BUSINESS SESSION:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1031

Request: Variance from the Land Development Code to allow a

proposed addition to encroach into the required side yard. The Board continued this case from June 15, 2015, to

review revised elevations.

Project Name: Thornton's

Location: 8400 Dixie Highway

Owner: Thornton's Inc.

Jode Ballard, Agent 10101 Linn Station Road Louisville, KY 40223

Applicant: Same as Owner

Representative: American Engineers

Joe Watson

65 Aberdeen Drive Glasgow, KY 42141

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

COUNCIL DISTRICT 14—Cindi Fowler

Staff Case Manager: Jon Crumbie Planner II

(CONTINUED FROM JUNE 15, 2015 – REVISED ELEVATIONS)

The revised elevations for this case were incorporated into the record. The Board members received this information in advance of the hearing, and was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The case file is maintained at the Planning and Design Services offices located at 444 S. 5th Street).

Agency Testimony:

Staff Case Manager, Jon Crumbie, submitted the staff approved revised renderings for the Board to review. The Board had no objections.

JULY 6, 2015

BUSINESS SESSION:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1031

An audio/visual recording of the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing related to this case is available in the Planning & Design Services offices. Please contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy. The recording of this hearing will be found on the CD of the July 6, 2015 public hearing proceedings.

After the public hearing in open business session, on a motion by Member Allendorf, seconded by Member Fishman, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPROVE** the revised renderings the Board reviewed at today's hearing incorporating more animating features on two sides of the building.

YES: Members Allendorf, Fishman, Tharp, Jarboe, Proffitt and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: No one.

JULY 6, 2015

BUSINESS SESSION:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1019

Request: Variance and waivers from the Land Development Code to

allow a proposed building and menu board to encroach into the required street side yard; and to allow an enclosed dumpster to encroach into the required side yard; and a Category 2B Review. The Board continued this case to allow the applicant time to revise the plan resolving the 24 technical review items listed in the staff report; and to possibly add a fence or other buffering along the east property line to detour people from crossing the railroad

tracks.

Project Name: Taco Bell

Location: 1805 South Brook Street

Owner: Louisville & Jefferson County Metro Government

Cathy Duncan

527 W. Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202

Applicant: Redbird Acquisitions, LLC

c/o Miller & Wells, PLLC 710 W. Main Street, 4th Floor

Louisville, KY 40202

Representative: WD Partners

Yumma Siddiqi

19100 Von Karman Avenue, #600

Irvine, CA 92612

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6—David James

Staff Case Manager: Sherie' Long, Landscape Architect

JULY 6, 2015

BUSINESS SESSION:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1019

Notice of this public hearing was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicant.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Board members received this report in advance of the hearing, and was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained at the Planning and Design Services offices located at 444 S. 5th Street).

Agency Testimony:

Staff Case Manager, Sherie' Long, presented a PowerPoint presentation to the Board recapping the previous hearing. She said the applicant submitted a revised site plan resolving most of the 24 technical issues and said that a fence already exists along the east property line near the railroad tracks. Ms. Long said the applicant also submitted a landscape plan adding 5 more trees to the overall site; and perimeter buffering along the drive-thru. Also included is another mural along one of the blank sides of the building.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Adam Macke, GPD Group, 520 South Main Street, Ste. 2531, Akron, OH 44615

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

Adam Macke said they will be repairing the existing chain link fence by the railroad tracks and clean the area up. He said they will be adding another mural on one of the blank sides of the building for animating features, but will place it up higher for safety reasons due to the drive-thru.

The following spoke neither for nor against the request: No one.

Summary of testimony of those who spoke neither for nor against: No one.

The following spoke in opposition to this request: No one.

JULY 6, 2015

BUSINESS SESSION:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1019

Summary of testimony of those in opposition: No one.

Deliberation:

Board of Zoning Adjustment deliberation.

An audio/visual recording of the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing related to this case is available in the Planning & Design Services offices. Please contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy. The recording of this hearing will be found on the CD of the July 6, 2015 public hearing proceedings.

After the public hearing in open business session, on a motion by Member Allendorf, seconded by Member Bergmann, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board finds from the file of this case, that the applicant has submitted a revised Category 2B Plan and Landscape Plan that resolves the technical review items listed in the staff report dated June 15, 2015; and because the applicant will be repairing the fence which separates the development site from the adjacent railroad right-of-way to the east and clear the weeds and trash; and because the applicant has provided a mural along the South Brook Street façade to meet the 60% animation requirement, all at the Board's request from the June 15, 2015 public hearing;

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **GRANT** the requested Category 2B Plan, variance and waivers.

YES: Members Allendorf, Fishman, Tharp, Jarboe, Proffitt and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: No one.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15APPEAL1005

Request: Appeal of an administrative official action that issued

a permit for construction of a 3-unit residential

building.

Project Name: Rosewood Condominiums

Location: 1505 Rosewood Avenue

Appellant: Daniel & Elizabeth Fauxpoint

1505 Rosewood Avenue, #7

Louisville, KY 40204

Owner(s): Rosewood Condominium Council

Appellant's Attorney: Bill Seiler, Esq.

462 S. 4th Street

Louisville, KY 40202

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

COUNCIL DISTRICT 8—Tom Owen

Staff Case Manager: Joe Reverman, AICP, Planning Manager

Notice of this public hearing was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicant.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Board members received this report in advance of the hearing, and was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained at Planning and Design Services offices located at 444 S. 5th Street).

The permits were issued on May 4, 2015.

The appellant filed the appeal on May 11, 2015.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15APPEAL1005

On June 1, 2015, this case was continued by the Board.

On July 6, 2015, at a meeting of the Board, a hearing was held on the case. A drawing showing the premises affected and the existing and/or proposed construction was presented to each Board member.

Agency Testimony:

Joe Reverman, the case manager, went over the additional information that was requested by the Board at the original hearing on June 1, 2015; and presented a PowerPoint presentation. (See staff report dated July 6, 2015). The Board asked numerous questions concerning the permits and retention of permits by the permitting department; why the permits were issued separately at different times (piecemeal); total number of proposed units (11 or 12); and if the city arborist was able to look at the existing magnolia tree's root system. Mr. Reverman explained how the permits were justified considering the Land Development Code regulations and Form District guidelines at that time.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

Gene Crawford, Highlands Restoration Group, LLC (HRG), 11915 Creel Lodge Drive, Louisville, KY 40223.

Summary of testimony of those in opposition

Gene Crawford, the developer, said he has building rights for 3 additional units per the Master Deed. He said he sold the carriage house and incorporated it into the condominium regime.

The following spoke neither for nor against the request:

No one.

Summary of testimony of those who spoke neither for nor against:

No one.

The following spoke in favor of the request:

Bill Seiler, the appellant's attorney.

Dan Fauxpoint, the appellant, who presented a PowerPoint presentation.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15APPEAL1005

Jon Salomon, Attorney for the Rosewood Condominium Council, 3600 National City Tower, 101 S. 5th Street, Louisville, KY 40202 Morris Shaw, 1506 Goddard Avenue, Louisville, KY 40204.

Dr. Robert Mann, 1505 Rosewood Avenue, Louisville, KY 40204.

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

Bill Seiler, the appellant's attorney, said the system failed with regard to this case and a Category 3 Review should have been triggered. He said the permits were issued in a piece meal fashion, so the developer didn't have to go through the Category 3 Review process.

Dan Fauxpoint, the appellant, presented a PowerPoint presentation to the Board highlighting all the key issues of this proposal being out of compliance with the Land Development Code and Cornerstone 2020.

Jon Salomon, Attorney for the Rosewood Condominium Council, said that Mr. Fauxpoint explained several reasons to overturn the issuance of the permits and approve this appeal. He said per the Master Deed, Highlands Restoration Group, LLC (HRG) is not the owner of this property anymore and doesn't have legal rights to file applications with the city as the owner. He said Mr. Crawford had 7 years to build the additional condominium units, but has since expired.

Morris Shaw said he doesn't see why anyone would want to buy anything on the third floor without a fire escape or designated parking. He said he disagrees with staff's recommendation due to the way the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) was calculated. Mr. Shaw said it was Mr. Crawford with HRG, who started the condominium association, and that he needs to comply with the association rules and city regulations.

Dr. Robert Mann said neither one of the added sunroom porches are porches any longer, but an enclosed dining room and office. He said these were built without permits.

Jon Salomon said Mr. Fauxpoint discussed a number of violations and undocumented construction and why these permits should have never been issued to begin with.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15APPEAL1005

Rebuttal Mr. Crawford:

Mr. Crawford said there have been no violations or evidence of violations as David Marchal, Construction Review Manager, testified to at the last hearing. He said he would not have received a Certificate of Occupancy if he didn't have permits. Mr. Crawford said he is still paying taxes on the remaining open space of the property, which allows him to sign applications as the owner. He said the condominium owners agreed to the FAR and is what their fees are based on. He said he asked the condo association if they wanted to buy the land to keep the greenspace, but never heard from them. He said he later forwarded the plans to the association, and once again, never heard from them. Mr. Crawford said the landscape plans have been approved and that this development will not kill any trees. He said he relied on Planning & Design staff to get him through the process.

Rebuttal Mr. Seiler and Mr. Salomon:

Mr. Seiler said the 14,947 square feet has nothing to do with the FAR calculations.

Mr. Salomon said there is no relevance to Mr. Crawford being the owner since he is paying property taxes, and said he should have updated the records at PVA.

Deliberation:

The Board discussed how the FAR was calculated again for the existing sunrooms and this proposal. Some of the Board members felt a Category 3 Review should have done considering the number of proposed units. The Board also discussed ownership rights based on the Master Deed.

Back into public hearing:

Mr. Salomon said he felt the permits were issued in good faith, but that the agency erred. Mr. Reverman said that typically building footprints are submitted to the permitting agency for review where measuring from exterior wall to exterior wall was consistently used for determining FAR.

Back into public hearing:

Chair Proffitt said he thinks the FAR was calculated in a consistent manner by the permitting department. He said there are several items in the original staff report the Board could use to identify findings of fact.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15APPEAL1005

An audio/visual recording of the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing related to this case is available in the Planning and Design Services offices. Please contact Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy. The recording of this hearing will be found on the CD of the July 6, 2015 public hearing proceedings.

Member Bergmann made a couple of motions to approve the appeal but then withdrew his motions.

On a motion by Member Bergmann, seconded by Member Tharp the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board finds from the file of this case, the staff report, the PowerPoint presentations; the evidence, testimony and discussion at the public hearings that this case is an appeal of an administrative official action that issued a permit for the construction of a 3-unit residential building on property known as 1505 Rosewood Avenue; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that per the Master Deed, Highlands Restoration Group, LLC is not the owner of the subject property but the owners of the condominiums are; and because a 3-unit building is proposed which would total 12 units for the property and should have triggered a Category 3 Review; and

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPROVE** the Appeal for Case No. 15APPEAL1005 to require the following actions to be taken:

- 1. The proposed structure shall be required to go through the Category 3 Review Process, and
- 2. Staff of Planning & Design Services and Construction Plan Review shall calculate the Floor Area Ration from exterior of exterior walls, and
- 3. Highlands Restoration Group, LLC (HRG) shall be required to get a signature from the Rosewood Condominium Council for all Planning and Permitting applications.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15APPEAL1005

YES: Members Tharp, Jarboe, Proffitt and Bergmann.

NO: Member Fishman.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Members Turner

and Allendorf.

ABSTAINING: No one.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1032

Request: Variance from the Land Development Code to allow an

existing addition to encroach into the required side yard.

Project Name: Existing Addition

Location: 1036 Mulberry Street

Owner: Doug S. Olsen, DSO Holdings, LLC

Applicant: Marcos Mendoza, Buena Vista Group, LLC

Representative: G. Hunt Rounsavall, Jr., Rounsavall Law Offices, LLC

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

COUNCIL DISTRICT 10—Steve Magre

Staff Case Manager: Sherie' Long, Landscape Architect

(WITHDRAWN BY THE APPLICANT)

Chair Proffitt asked if anyone was present for this. No one responded from the audience.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1033

Request: Variances from the Land Development Code to allow a

proposed addition to encroach into the required side yard and fence to exceed the maximum height requirement.

Location: 4640 Bellevue Avenue

Owner: Baron Pieper

4640 Bellevue Avenue Louisville, KY 40215

Applicant: Same as owner

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

COUNCIL DISTRICT 21—Dan Johnson

Staff Case Manager: Jon Crumbie, Planner II

Notice of this public hearing was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicant.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Board members received this report in advance of the hearing, and was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained at the Planning and Design Services offices located at 444 S. 5th Street).

Agency Testimony:

Staff Case Manager, Jon Crumbie discussed the case summary, standard of review and staff analysis from the staff report. He said the variances are for the applicant to enlarge an existing deck and a fence to exceed the maximum height from 8 feet to 12 ft. tall. Chair Proffitt asked if the fence is 12 feet from the ground. Mr. Crumbie said yes.

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Baron Pieper.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1033

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

Baron Pieper, the owner, said he did receive a Stop Work Order and then received a permit. He said the existing deck already encroached into the yard space. Chair Proffitt asked if there was any record of a variance received for the original deck. Mr. Crumbie said he didn't find anything. Member Tharp asked why there isn't a record of this. Emily Liu, Director of Planning & Design Services, said that the applicant probably did not apply for a variance, since Planning & Design doesn't destroy any records.

The following spoke neither for nor against the request: No one.

Summary of testimony of those who spoke neither for nor against: No one.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

Barry Miller, 4638 Bellevue Avenue, Louisville, KY 40215.

Summary of testimony of those in opposition:

Barry Miller said he lives adjacent to the subject property; and didn't receive any notification for a variance for the original deck. He said he doesn't know how he can receive a permit for the extension of the deck when the original deck never had approval. Chair Proffitt asked what he is opposed to. Mr. Miller said the height of the fence and using up all the greenspace. He said Mr. Pieper already enlarged his pool and now making the deck bigger.

Rebuttal/Questions from the Board:

Member Jarboe asked if the 12 ft. tall fence is for privacy. Mr. Pieper said yes, because of the apartments next door. Member Fishman asked how tall the fence is along the Miller's property. Mr. Pieper said there is already a fence there, but he will be installing a guard rail

Deliberation:

Member Bergmann said no one in opposition is here from the apartment complex but they wouldn't be able to voice their opinion since they are not the owners. Member Jarboe said she would prefer plants instead of a fence. Member Fishman said he should have received a permit before building.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1033

An audio/visual recording of the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing related to this case is available in the Planning & Design Services offices. Please contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy. The recording of this hearing will be found on the CD of the July 6, 2015 public hearing proceedings.

<u>Variances—To allow a proposed deck to encroach into the required side</u> <u>yard; and to allow a fence to exceed the maximum height:</u>

After the public hearing in open business session, on a motion by Member Allendorf, seconded by Member Fishman, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board finds from the file of this case, the staff report including the standard of review and additional considerations, where the four standard of review requirements control over the additional considerations; the site plan; the PowerPoint presentations; the evidence, testimony and discussion at the public hearing that the applicant is requesting variances from the Land Development Code to allow a deck to encroach into the south side yard; and to allow a fence to exceed the maximum height; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the requested variances will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare because the proposed addition will be matching the current condition on site; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the variances will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity because the proposed addition and fence will be compatible with the existing structures and match the existing building alignment; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the variances will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public because the proposed addition will not affect adjacent residential properties to the east; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the variances will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations because there are similar encroachments of this type throughout the surrounding area; and

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15VARIANCE1033

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the variances arise from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or the same zone because the site was developed before the current regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant because the addition could not be built as shown and would need to be modified; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought because the owner is trying to conform to the existing conditions on site:

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **GRANT** the variances.

The variances allow:

- 1. The deck to be 0 feet from the south property line.
- 2. The fence to be 12 feet in height.

YES: Members Allendorf, Fishman, Tharp, Jarboe, Proffitt and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: No one.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15CUP1008

Request: Conditional Use Permit to allow transitional housing in an UN

Zoning District.

Project Name: Sober Living Home

Location: 918 South Shelby Street

Owner: Burkhead's Home Specialist

Jim Burkhead 140 Cajun Court Louisville, KY 40229

Applicant: Burkhead's Home Specialist

Sean Bowman 392 Dale Road

Louisville, KY 40229

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

COUNCIL DISTRICT 4—David Tandy

Staff Case Manager: Jon Crumbie, Planner II

Notice of this public hearing was posted on the property, and notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicant.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Board members received this report in advance of the hearing, and was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained at the Planning and Design Services offices located at 444 S. 5th Street).

Agency Testimony:

Staff case manager, Jon Crumbie discussed the case summary, standard of review and staff analysis from the staff report. He said the owner is renovating the house for transitional housing for 18 males who have completed a rehabilitation program from the state, county or personal admission. He said he

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15CUP1008

received letters from people who had concerns with the professional aspect for the renters; and profiting from the use. Mr. Crumbie said the applicant will need to comply with the requests from the Louisville Fire Department. The applicant is also asking to modify items 1 and 3 of the Conditional Use Standards (setback and signage).

The following spoke in favor of this request:

Jim Burkhead.

Summary of testimony of those in favor:

Jim Burkhead, the owner, said there are other transitional housing uses in the area that are not legal. He said there will be a house manager during the day and someone from Our Lady of Peace in the evening. He said he is remodeling the house to have multiple rooms with bunk beds; 3 full bathrooms; and 3 full kitchens. He said the residents will have to pay a weekly fee to live there and supply their own food. Member Fishman said fire alarms need to be provided. Mr. Burkhead said they will be provided and said it will be a nice environment for the residents to live.

The following spoke neither for nor against the request:

No one.

Summary of testimony of those who spoke neither for nor against:

No one.

The following spoke in opposition to this request:

No one.

Summary of testimony of those in opposition:

No one.

Deliberation:

Member Allendorf asked Jon Baker, the Board's legal counsel, if there is an ordinance for transitional housing. Mr. Baker said there is one pending. Member Allendorf said they are changing the use, so the owner will have to comply with the current Land Development Code. Chair Proffitt said that inspections will be made by the fire marshal.

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15CUP1008

An audio/visual recording of the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing related to this case is available in the Planning & Design Services offices. Please contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy. The recording of this hearing will be found on the CD of the July 6, 2015 public hearing proceedings.

Conditional Use Permit to allow transitional housing in a UN Zoning District:

On a motion by Member Jarboe, seconded by Member Fishman, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, the Board finds from the file of this case, the staff report including the standard of review, the site plan; the PowerPoint presentations; the evidence, testimony and discussion at the public hearing that the applicant is requesting a Conditional Use Permit to allow transitional housing in a UN Zoning District; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the proposal will meet the Comprehensive Plan guidelines except landscaping, but will keep what is existing on the site; and because no additional lighting will be added except security lighting and a street light is near the front of the property; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the proposal is compatible with the general character of the surrounding neighborhoods in terms of height, scale, intensity, lighting and appearance; and because the existing structure is being renovated; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the necessary public facilities (both on and off site) will be provided such as transportation, sanitation, water, sewer, drainage, emergency services, education, etc. because Public Works and MSD have preliminarily approved the plan; and because the applicant will follow the directive from the Louisville Fire Department (staff report, page 8); and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the proposal complies with 5 of the 6 listed requirements to obtain the conditional use permit, where Item 1 will be modified to allow the existing structure to be 2 feet from the south property line and 5 feet from the north property line;

JULY 6, 2015

NEW BUSINESS:

CASE NO. 15CUP1008

RESOLVED, that the Louisville Metro Board of Zoning Adjustment does hereby **APPROVE** the Conditional Use Permit to allow transitional housing in a UN Zoning District on the site **SUBJECT** to the following Conditions of Approval:

- 1. The site shall be developed in strict compliance with the approved development plan (including all notes thereon). No further development shall occur on the site without prior review and approval by the Board.
- 2. The Conditional Use Permit shall be "exercised" as described in KRS 100.237 within two years of the Board's vote on this case. If the Conditional Use Permit is not so exercised, the site shall not be used for transitional housing without further review and approval by the Board.

YES: Members Allendorf, Fishman, Tharp, Jarboe, Proffitt and Bergmann.

NO: No one.

NOT PRESENT FOR THIS CASE AND NOT VOTING: Member Turner.

ABSTAINING: No one.

JULY 6, 2015

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

The Board went into executive session to discuss a pending litigation case.

The Board came out of executive session.

JULY 6, 2015

The meeting adjourned at 2:10 p.m.
CHAIRPERSON
SECRETARY