# Planning Commission Staff Report

January 7, 2016



Case No: 15zone1046

Request: M-2 to C-2 with a waiver
Project Name: 7775 Dixie Highway
Location: 7775 Dixie Highway
Owner: DTS Real Estate

Applicant: DTS Real Estate Representative: BTM Engineering, Inc.

Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro Council District: 25-David Yates

Case Manager: Julia Williams, RLA, AICP, Planner II

#### **REQUEST**

- Change in zoning from M-2 to C-2
- Waiver from 10.2.4 to eliminate the LBA between the site and the adjacent M-2 zoned property
- District Development plan

#### CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

The site was previously used as a truck sales facility. The applicant is proposing to use the site for auto sales and repair. No new building is being proposed.

#### LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

|                        | Land Use              | Zoning  | Form District |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------|
| Subject Property       |                       |         |               |
| Existing               | Truck sales           | M-2     | SMC           |
| Proposed               | Auto Sales and repair | C-2     | SMC           |
| Surrounding Properties |                       |         |               |
| North                  | Commercial            | C-2     | SMC           |
| South                  | Industrial/Commercial | M-2/C-2 | SMC           |
| East                   | Industrial/Railroad   | M-2     | SMC           |
| West                   | Commercial            | C-2     | SMC           |

#### **PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE**

None.

#### **INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS**

None received.

#### APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020 Land Development Code

Dixie Highway Corridor Master Plan (January 2013):

Developments should provide a pedestrian connection to transit stops if adjacent to property. Developments should provide pedestrian circulation within site and provide a connection to sidewalks adjacent to the street. Combining parking lots to create shared parking should be encouraged. Parking adjacent to the street or public sidewalk should use landscaping, trees, etc. to maintain the line formed by structures along the sidewalk. Parking lot landscaping such as shade trees and screens that buffer vehicles should be encouraged. Limit the number, type, height, setback, illumination and size of signs so they do not detract from the corridor. Encourage attached or unified development signs to reduce the number of signs along the corridor.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

- 1. <u>The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies</u> Cornerstone 2020; **OR**
- 2. <u>The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; **OR**</u>
- 3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

#### STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Suburban Marketplace Corridor Form District

Suburban Marketplace Corridors: Suburban Marketplace Corridors are generally located along major roadways with well-defined beginning and ending points and established depths along the length of the corridor. The pattern of development is distinguished by a mixture of medium to high intensity uses. Accommodations for transit users, bicyclists and pedestrians are encouraged in an effort to attract a variety of users as well as to minimize automobile dependency and traffic congestion. Connectivity to nearby uses should be encouraged. Developers should be encouraged to design new commercial development in compact groups of buildings, which use the same curb cut, share parking, have a common freestanding sign identifying the uses and have a common buffering or streetscape plan with respect to any abutting uses of lower density or intensity. This form may include medium to high-density residential uses that are designed to be compatible with both the non-residential uses along the corridor and the lower density residential uses in adjacent form districts. Medium density residential uses may serve as a transition area from lower to higher density residential uses and should be encouraged in this form.

Proposed new commercial uses are encouraged, to locate within the boundaries of existing corridors. Reuse of locations within existing corridors is preferred over expansion of a corridor. Proposals to expand defined corridors represent significant policy decisions. When considering proposals that result in an extension of suburban marketplace corridors, particular emphasis should be placed on: (a) use or reuse of land within existing corridors; (b) potential for disruption of established residential neighborhoods; and (c) compliance with the site and community design standards of the Land Development Code.

The proposal is surrounded by mainly commercial zoning where the C-2 is proposed. A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site. No new buildings are proposed. The maximum VUA LBA is being provided along the frontage. The proposal is located within the existing SMC boundary. The proposal is part of an existing commercial corridor that has been established along Dixie Highway. The proposal is to provide additional commercial use.

All other agency comments should be addressed to demonstrate compliance with the remaining Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

A checklist is attached to the end of this staff report with a more detailed analysis. The Louisville Metro Planning Commission is charged with making a recommendation to the Louisville Metro Council regarding the appropriateness of this zoning map amendment. The Louisville Metro Council has zoning authority over the property in question.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR DDDP

- a. The conservation of natural resources on the property proposed for development, including: trees and other living vegetation, steep slopes, water courses, flood plains, soils, air quality, scenic views, and historic sites;
  - STAFF: There does not appear to be any environmental constraints or historic resources on the subject site. Tree canopy requirements of the Land Development Code will be provided on the subject site.
- b. The provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation both within the development and the community;
  - STAFF: Provisions for safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian transportation within and around the development and the community has been provided, and Metro Public Works and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet have approved the preliminary development plan.
- c. The provision of sufficient open space (scenic and recreational) to meet the needs of the proposed development;
  - STAFF: There are no open space requirements with the current proposal but the site preserves a large green space between the vehicle sales and the railroad.
- d. The provision of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community;
  - STAFF: The Metropolitan Sewer District has approved the preliminary development plan and will ensure the provisions of adequate drainage facilities on the subject site in order to prevent drainage problems from occurring on the subject site or within the community.
- e. <u>The compatibility of the overall site design (location of buildings, parking lots, screening, landscaping)</u> and land use or uses with the existing and projected future development of the area;
  - STAFF: The overall site design and land uses are compatible with the existing and future development of the area. Appropriate landscape buffering and screening will be provided to screen adjacent properties and roadways. Buildings and parking lots will meet all required setbacks.

Published Date: December 30, 2015 Page 3 of 15 15ZONE1046

f. Conformance of the development plan with the Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code.

Revised plan certain development plans shall be evaluated for conformance with the non-residential and mixed-use intent of the form districts and comprehensive plan.

STAFF: The development plan conforms to applicable guidelines and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and to requirements of the Land Development Code.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR WAIVERS

(a) The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners; and

STAFF: The waiver will not adversely affect adjacent property owners since both the site and adjacent property are non-residential.

(b) The waiver will not violate specific guidelines of Cornerstone 2020; and

STAFF: Guideline 3, policy 9 of Cornerstone 2020 calls for the protection of the character of residential areas, roadway corridors and public spaces from visual intrusions and mitigate when appropriate. Guideline 3, policies 21 and 22 calls for appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density, and to mitigate the impact caused when incompatible developments occur adjacent to one another through the use of landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms and setback requirements to address issues such as outdoor lighting, lights from automobiles, illuminated signs, loud noise, odors, smoke, automobile exhaust or other noxious smells, dust and dirt, litter, junk, outdoor storage, and visual nuisances. Guideline 3, policy 24 states that parking loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas should be designed to minimize the impacts from noise, lights and other potential impacts, and that parking and circulation areas adjacent to streets should be screened or buffered. Guideline 13, policy 4 calls for ensuring appropriate landscape design standards for different land uses within urbanized, suburban, and rural areas. Guideline 13. Policy 6 calls for screening and buffering to mitigate adjacent incompatible uses. The intent of landscape buffer areas is to create suitable transitions where varying forms of development adjoin, to minimize the negative impacts resulting from adjoining incompatible land uses, to decrease storm water runoff volumes and velocities associated with impervious surfaces, and to filter air borne and water borne pollutants. The adjacent use is compatible with the site use as they are both non-residential.

(c) The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant; and

STAFF: The extent of the waiver of the regulation is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant since both the site use and adjacent use are non-residential.

(d) Either:

(i) The applicant has incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect); OR
 (ii) The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.

STAFF: The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant since both the site use and adjacent use are non-residential.

#### **TECHNICAL REVIEW**

Agency comments have been addressed.

#### STAFF CONCLUSIONS

The proposal is surrounded by mainly commercial zoning where the C-2 is proposed. A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site. No new buildings are proposed. The maximum VUA LBA is being provided along the frontage. The proposal is located within the existing SMC boundary. The proposal is part of an existing commercial corridor that has been established along Dixie Highway. The proposal is to provide additional commercial use.

Based upon the information in the staff report, the testimony and evidence provided at the public hearing, the Planning Commission must determine if the proposal is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan; OR the existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; OR if there have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

#### **NOTIFICATION**


| Date     | Purpose of Notice               | Recipients   |
|----------|---------------------------------|--|
| 11/18/15 | Hearing before LD&T on 12/10/15 | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners Subscribers of Council District 25 Notification of Development Proposals    |
| 12/22/15 |                                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners<br>Subscribers of Council District 25 Notification of Development Proposals |
| 12/22/15 | Hearing before PC               | Sign Posting on property   |
|          | Hearing before PC / BOZA        | Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal   |

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Zoning Map
- 2. Aerial Photograph
- 3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist
- 4. Proposed Binding Elements

15ZONE1046

# 1. Zoning Map



# 2. <u>Aerial Photograph</u>



- 3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist
- + Exceeds Guideline
- ✓ Meets Guideline
- Does Not Meet Guideline
- +/- More Information Needed
- NA Not Applicable

# Suburban Marketplace Corridor: Non-Residential

| # | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element                             | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|---|--|--|------------------|--|
| 1 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 1: Community Form          | B.8: The proposal integrates into the existing pattern of development, which includes a mixture of medium- to high-density uses.   | <b>✓</b>         | The proposal is surrounded by mainly commercial zoning where the C-2 is proposed.  |
| 2 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal provides accommodations for transit users, pedestrians and bicyclists and provides connectivity to adjacent developments.  | <b>✓</b>         | A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site.        |
| 3 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal includes a compact group of buildings using the same curb cut, parking and signs, and that have a common   |                  | No new buildings are proposed. The maximum VUA LBA is being provided along the frontage.   |
| 4 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal is of a medium to high density designed to be compatible with both non-residential development in the corridor and adjacent low density residential development in other form districts.   | NA               | The proposal is not for residential.   |
| 5 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal is located within the boundaries of the existing form district, and if the proposal is to expand an existing corridor, the justification for doing to addresses the use or reuse of and within the existing corridor, the potential for disruption of established residential neighborhoods, and compliance with the site and community design standards of the Land Development Code. |                  | The proposal is located within the existing SMC boundary.  |
| 6 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers           | A.1/7: The proposal, which will create a new center, is located in the Suburban Marketplace Corridor Form District, and includes new construction or the reuse of existing buildings to provide commercial, office and/or residential use.   | <b>√</b>         | The proposal is part of an existing commercial corridor that has been established along Dixie Highway. The proposal is to provide additional commercial use. |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element                            | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element  | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments  |
|----|---|---|------------------|---|
| 7  | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.3: The proposed retail commercial development is located in an area that has a sufficient population to support it.   | <b>√</b>         | The mix of zoning in this area suggests that there is sufficient population in the area to support the zoning.  |
| 8  | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.4: The proposed development is compact and results in an efficient land use pattern and cost-effective infrastructure investment.   | <b>✓</b>         | The change in zoning from M-2 to C-2 is cost effective due to the other commercial zoning in the area.  |
| 9  | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.5: The proposed center includes a mix of compatible land uses that will reduce trips, support the use of alternative forms of transportation and encourage vitality and sense of place.   | <b>√</b>         | The proposal is for one use but reduces the current curb cut and adds a sidewalk to support transportation.   |
| 10 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.6: The proposal incorporates residential and office uses above retail and/or includes other mixed-use, multi-story retail buildings.  | -                | The proposal is not for multi-story buildings.  |
| 11 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.12: If the proposal is a large development in a center, it is designed to be compact and multi-purpose, and is oriented around a central feature such as a public square or plaza or landscape element.                           | NA               | The proposal is not a large development.  |
| 12 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.13/15: The proposal shares entrance and parking facilities with adjacent uses to reduce curb cuts and surface parking, and locates parking to balance safety, traffic, transit, pedestrian, environmental and aesthetic concerns. | <b>√</b>         | A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site. |
| 13 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.14: The proposal is designed to share utility hookups and service entrances with adjacent developments, and utility lines are placed underground in common easements.   | <b>✓</b>         | Utilities could be shared if the adjacent sites redevelop.  |
| 14 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers          | A.16: The proposal is designed to support easy access by bicycle, car and transit and by pedestrians and persons with disabilities.   | <b>✓</b>         | A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site. |
| 15 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.2: The proposed building materials increase the new development's compatibility.  | NA               | No new building is proposed.  |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element                            | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element  | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|---|---|------------------|--|
| 16 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.4/5/6/7: The proposal does not constitute a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area, or demonstrates that despite such an expansion, impacts on existing residences (including traffic, parking, signs, lighting, noise, odor and stormwater) are appropriately mitigated.  | <b>✓</b>         | The proposal is not a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area.                               |
| 17 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.5: The proposal mitigates any potential odor or emissions associated with the development.  | <b>~</b>         | APCD has no issues with the proposal.  |
| 18 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.6: The proposal mitigates any adverse impacts of its associated traffic on nearby existing communities.   | <b>✓</b>         | Transportation Planning has no issues with the proposal.   |
| 19 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.8: The proposal mitigates adverse impacts of its lighting on nearby properties, and on the night sky.   | <b>✓</b>         | Lighting will meet LDC requirements.   |
| 20 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.11: If the proposal is a higher density or intensity use, it is located along a transit corridor AND in or near an activity center.   | <b>✓</b>         | The high intensity use is located along a transit corridor and is located within an activity center.             |
| 21 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.21: The proposal provides appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions, or setback requirements.   | <b>✓</b>         | Buffers are provided where appropriate next to non-residential uses. The adjacent users are all non-residential. |
| 22 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.22: The proposal mitigates the impacts caused when incompatible developments unavoidably occur adjacent to one another by using buffers that are of varying designs such as landscaping, vegetative berms and/or walls, and that address those aspects of the development that have the potential to adversely impact existing area developments. | <b>✓</b>         | Buffers are provided where appropriate next to non-residential uses. The adjacent users are all non-residential. |
| 23 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.23: Setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights are compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district standards.   | <b>✓</b>         | Setbacks and building heights are similar to adjacent properties.  |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|--|--|------------------|--|
| 24 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility                          | A.24: Parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas are designed to minimize adverse impacts of lighting, noise and other potential impacts, and that these areas are located to avoid negatively impacting motorists, residents and pedestrians.                              | NA               | There are no parking areas located adjacent to residential.        |
| 25 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility                          | A.24: The proposal includes screening and buffering of parking and circulation areas adjacent to the street, and uses design features or landscaping to fill gaps created by surface parking lots. Parking areas and garage doors are oriented to the side or back of buildings rather than to the street. | <b>√</b>         | A 10' LBA is provided to screen the parking from the adjacent ROW. |
| 26 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility                                   | A.25: Parking garages are integrated into their surroundings and provide an active, inviting street-level appearance.  | NA               | A parking garage is not proposed.                                  |
| 27 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility                          | A.28: Signs are compatible with the form district pattern and contribute to the visual quality of their surroundings.  | <b>✓</b>         | Signs will meet LDC requirements.                                  |
| 28 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 4: Open<br>Space                             | A.2/3/7: The proposal provides open space that helps meet the needs of the community as a component of the development and provides for the continued maintenance of that open space.  | ✓                | Open space is provided between the sales lot and the railroad.     |
| 29 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 4: Open<br>Space                             | A.4: Open space design is consistent with the pattern of development in the Neighborhood Form District.  | <b>√</b>         | Open space is provided between the sales lot and the railroad.     |
| 30 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 4: Open<br>Space                             | A.5: The proposal integrates natural features into the pattern of development.   | <b>✓</b>         | There are no natural features evident on the site.                 |
| 31 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.1: The proposal respects the natural features of the site through sensitive site design, avoids substantial changes to the topography and minimizes property damage and environmental degradation resulting from disturbance of natural systems.   | <b>✓</b>         | There are no natural features evident on the site.                 |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments  |
|----|--|--|------------------|---|
| 32 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 5: Natural<br>Areas and Scenic and<br>Historic Resources | A.2/4: The proposal includes the preservation, use or adaptive reuse of buildings, sites, districts and landscapes that are recognized as having historical or architectural value, and, if located within the impact area of these resources, is compatible in height, bulk, scale, architecture and placement. | <b>✓</b>         | The site is not historically significant.                                 |
| 33 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 5: Natural<br>Areas and Scenic and<br>Historic Resources | A.6: Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes with the potential for severe erosion.   | <b>✓</b>         | Soils are not an issue with the proposal.                                 |
| 34 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                                | A.3: Encourage redevelopment, reinvestment and rehabilitation in the downtown where it is consistent with the form district pattern.   | NA               | The proposal is not located in a downtown.                                |
| 35 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                                | A.4: Encourage industries to locate in industrial subdivisions or adjacent to existing industry to take advantage of special infrastructure needs.   | NA               | The proposal is not for industrial.                                       |
| 36 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                                | A.6: Locate retail commercial development in activity centers. Locate uses generating large amounts of traffic on a major arterial, at the intersection of two minor arterials or at locations with good access to a major arterial and where the proposed use will not adversely affect adjacent areas.         | <b>✓</b>         | The proposed commercial zoning is located in an existing activity center. |
| 37 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                                | A.8: Require industrial development with more than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street, preferably in close proximity to an expressway interchange. Require industrial development with less than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street.                                       | NA               | The proposal is not for industrial.                                       |
| 38 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation   | A.1/2: The proposal will contribute its proportional share of the cost of roadway improvements and other services and public facilities made necessary by the development through physical improvements to these facilities, contribution of money, or other means.  | ✓                | Roadway improvements are not necessary for this proposal.                 |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments  |
|----|--|--|------------------|---|
| 39 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.3/4: The proposal promotes mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian use and provides amenities to support these modes of transportation.   | <b>✓</b>         | A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site. |
| 40 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.6: The proposal's transportation facilities are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. The proposal includes at least one continuous roadway through the development, adequate street stubs, and relies on cul-de-sacs only as short side streets or where natural features limit development of "through" roads. | •                | Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site.  |
| 41 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.9: The proposal includes the dedication of rights-of-way for street, transit corridors, bikeway and walkway facilities within or abutting the development.   | <b>✓</b>         | Additional ROW is not necessary.  |
| 42 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.10: The proposal includes adequate parking spaces to support the use.  | <b>✓</b>         | Adequate parking is provided.   |
| 43 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.13/16: The proposal provides for joint and cross access through the development and to connect to adjacent development sites.  | <b>✓</b>         | Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site.  |
| 44 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 8:<br>Transportation Facility<br>Design | A.8: Adequate stub streets are provided for future roadway connections that support and contribute to appropriate development of adjacent land.  | NA               | A stub street is not provided as the site is not creating a roadway.  |
| 45 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 8:<br>Transportation Facility<br>Design | A.9: Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density if such access would create a significant nuisance.   | <b>✓</b>         | Access to the site is from a public ROW   |
| 46 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 8:<br>Transportation Facility<br>Design | A.11: The development provides for an appropriate functional hierarchy of streets and appropriate linkages between activity areas in and adjacent to the development site.   | <b>√</b>         | No new roads are being created with the proposal.   |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments  |
|----|--|--|------------------|---|
| 47 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 9: Bicycle,<br>Pedestrian and Transit | A.1/2: The proposal provides, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users around and through the development, provides bicycle and pedestrian connections to adjacent developments and to transit stops, and is appropriately located for its density and intensity.  | <b>✓</b>         | A sidewalk is being provided along Dixie with a pedestrian connection to the building. Future cross access is being provided to the rear of the site. |
| 48 | Livability/Environment<br>Guideline 10:<br>Flooding and<br>Stormwater      | The proposal's drainage plans have been approved by MSD, and the proposal mitigates negative impacts to the floodplain and minimizes impervious area. Solid blueline streams are protected through a vegetative buffer, and drainage designs are capable of accommodating upstream runoff assuming a fully-developed watershed. If streambank restoration or preservation is necessary, the proposal uses best management practices. | <b>√</b>         | MSD has no issues with the proposal.  |
| 49 | Livability/Environment<br>Guideline 12: Air<br>Quality                     | The proposal has been reviewed by APCD and found to not have a negative impact on air quality.   | <b>✓</b>         | APCD has not issues with the proposal.  |
| 50 | Livability/Environment<br>Guideline 13:<br>Landscape Character             | A.3: The proposal includes additions and connections to a system of natural corridors that can provide habitat areas and allow for migration.  | <b>✓</b>         | There are no natural features evident on the site.  |
| 51 | Community Facilities<br>Guideline 14:<br>Infrastructure                    | A.2: The proposal is located in an area served by existing utilities or planned for utilities.   | <b>✓</b>         | Existing utilities are available in the area.   |
| 52 | Community Facilities Guideline 14: Infrastructure                          | A.3: The proposal has access to an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire-fighting purposes.  | <b>✓</b>         | Water is available to the site.   |
| 53 | Community Facilities<br>Guideline 14:<br>Infrastructure                    | A.4: The proposal has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams.  | <b>✓</b>         | The Health Department has no issues with the proposal.  |

#### 4. Proposed Binding Elements

- 1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
- 2. The development shall not exceed 5,012 square feet of gross floor area.
- 3. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
- 4. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
- 5. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit) is requested:
  - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Develop Louisville, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
  - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
  - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
  - d. A reciprocal access and crossover easement agreement in a form acceptable to the Planning Commission legal counsel shall be created between the adjoining property owners and recorded upon redevelopment of adjacent properties. A copy of the recorded instrument shall be submitted to the Division of Planning and Design Services.
  - e. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
- 6. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
- 7. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
- 8. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.

Published Date: December 30, 2015 Page 15 of 15 15ZONE1046

| · |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

# **Land Development and Transportation Committee Staff Report**

December 10, 2015



Case No:

15zone1046

Request:

M-2 to C-2 with a waiver

**Project Name:** 

7775 Dixie Highway

Location:

7775 Dixie Highway

Owner: Applicant: **DTS Real Estate** 

Representative:

**DTS Real Estate** 

Jurisdiction:

BTM Engineering, Inc.

**Louisville Metro** 

**Council District:** 

25-David Yates

Case Manager:

Julia Williams, RLA, AICP, Planner II

Waiver from 10.2.4 to eliminate the LBA between the site and the adjacent M-2 zoned property

District Development plan

District Development plan

#### CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

The site was previously used as a truck sales facility. The applicant is proposing to use the site for auto sales and repair. No new building is being proposed.

#### LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

|                        | Land Use              | Zoning  | Form District |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------|--|
| Subject Property       |                       |         |               |  |
| Existing               | Truck sales           | M-2     | SMC           |  |
| Proposed               | Auto Sales and repair | C-2     | SMC           |  |
| Surrounding Properties | 35.55                 |         |               |  |
| North                  | Commercial            | C-2     | SMC           |  |
| South                  | Industrial/Commercial | M-2/C-2 | SMC           |  |
| East                   | Industrial/Railroad   | M-2     | SMC           |  |
| West                   | Commercial            | C-2     | SMC           |  |

**PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE** 

**INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS** 

None received.

Published Date: December 3, 2015 Page 1 of 6 15zone1046

#### APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020 Land Development Code

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

- 1. The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies Cornerstone 2020: **OR**
- 2. The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; **OR**
- 3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

#### STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Suburban Marketplace Corridor Form District

Suburban Marketplace Corridors: Suburban Marketplace Corridors are generally located along major roadways with well-defined beginning and ending points and established depths along the length of the corridor. The pattern of development is distinguished by a mixture of medium to high intensity uses. Accommodations for transit users, bicyclists and pedestrians are encouraged in an effort to attract a variety of users as well as to minimize automobile dependency and traffic congestion. Connectivity to nearby uses should be encouraged. Developers should be encouraged to design new commercial development in compact groups of buildings, which use the same curb cut, share parking, have a common freestanding sign identifying the uses and have a common buffering or streetscape plan with respect to any abutting uses of lower density or intensity. This form may include medium to high-density residential uses that are designed to be compatible with both the non-residential uses along the corridor and the lower density residential uses in adjacent form districts. Medium density residential uses may serve as a transition area from lower to higher density residential uses and should be encouraged in this form.

Proposed new commercial uses are encouraged, to locate within the boundaries of existing corridors. Reuse of locations within existing corridors is preferred over expansion of a corridor. Proposals to expand defined corridors represent significant policy decisions. When considering proposals that result in an extension of suburban marketplace corridors, particular emphasis should be placed on: (a) use or reuse of land within existing corridors; (b) potential for disruption of established residential neighborhoods; and (c) compliance with the site and community design standards of the Land Development Code.

#### **TECHNICAL REVIEW**

Agency comments have been addressed.

#### **STAFF CONCLUSIONS**

The proposal is ready for a public hearing date to be set.

### **NOTIFICATION**


| Date     | Purpose of Notice               | Recipients  |
|----------|---------------------------------|---|
| 11/18/15 | Hearing before LD&T on 12/10/15 | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners<br>Subscribers of Council District 25 Notification of Development Proposals  |
|          | Hearing before PC / BOZA        | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing Subscribers of Council District Notification of Development Proposals |
|          | Hearing before PC / BOZA        | Sign Posting on property  |
|          | Hearing before PC / BOZA        | Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal  |

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1.
- 2.
- Zoning Map Aerial Photograph Proposed Binding Elements 3.

Published Date: December 3, 2015 Page 3 of 6 15zone1046

# 1. Zoning Map



# 2. <u>Aerial Photograph</u>



#### 3. Proposed Binding Elements

- 1. The development shall be in accordance with the approved district development plan, all applicable sections of the Land Development Code (LDC) and agreed upon binding elements unless amended pursuant to the Land Development Code. Any changes/additions/alterations of any binding element(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Commission or the Planning Commission's designee for review and approval; any changes/additions/alterations not so referred shall not be valid.
- 2. The development shall not exceed 5,012 square feet of gross floor area.
- 3. No outdoor advertising signs, small freestanding signs, pennants, balloons, or banners shall be permitted on the site.
- 4. Construction fencing shall be erected when off-site trees or tree canopy exists within 3' of a common property line. Fencing shall be in place prior to any grading or construction to protect the existing root systems from compaction. The fencing shall enclose the entire area beneath the tree canopy and shall remain in place until all construction is completed. No parking, material storage or construction activities are permitted within the protected area.
- 5. Before any permit (including but not limited to building, parking lot, change of use, site disturbance, alteration permit) is requested:
  - a. The development plan must receive full construction approval from Develop Louisville, Louisville Metro Public Works and the Metropolitan Sewer District.
  - b. Encroachment permits must be obtained from the Kentucky Department of Transportation, Bureau of Highways.
  - c. The property owner/developer must obtain approval of a detailed plan for screening (buffering/landscaping) as described in Chapter 10 prior to requesting a building permit. Such plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the site and shall be maintained thereafter.
  - d. A reciprocal access and crossover easement agreement in a form acceptable to the Planning Commission legal counsel shall be created between the adjoining property owners and recorded upon redevelopment of adjacent properties. A copy of the recorded instrument shall be submitted to the Division of Planning and Design Services.
  - e. A Tree Preservation Plan in accordance with Chapter 10 of the LDC shall be reviewed and approved prior to obtaining approval for site disturbance.
- 6. A certificate of occupancy must be received from the appropriate code enforcement department prior to occupancy of the structure or land for the proposed use. All binding elements requiring action and approval must be implemented prior to requesting issuance of the certificate of occupancy, unless specifically waived by the Planning Commission.
- 7. There shall be no outdoor music (live, piped, radio or amplified) or outdoor entertainment or outdoor PA system audible beyond the property line.
- 8. The applicant, developer, or property owner shall provide copies of these binding elements to tenants, purchasers, contractors, subcontractors and other parties engaged in development of this site and shall advise them of the content of these binding elements. These binding elements shall run with the land and the owner of the property and occupant of the property shall at all times be responsible for compliance with these binding elements. At all times during development of the site, the applicant and developer, their heirs, successors; and assignees, contractors, subcontractors, and other parties engaged in development of the site, shall be responsible for compliance with these binding elements.

# Pre-Application Staff Report

October 7, 2015



Case No: 15zone1046 Request: M-2 to C-2

Project Name: 7775 Dixie Highway
Location: 7775 Dixie Highway
Owner: DTS Real Estate
Applicant: DTS Real Estate

Representative: BTM Engineering, Inc. Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro

Council District: 25-David Yates

Case Manager: Julia Williams, RLA, AICP, Planner II

#### **REQUEST**

- Change in zoning from M-2 to C-2
- District Development plan

#### CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND/SITE CONTEXT

The site was previously used as a truck sales facility. The applicant is proposing to use the site for auto sales and repair. No new building is being proposed.

### LAND USE/ZONING DISTRICT/FORM DISTRICT TABLE

|                        | Land Use              | Zoning  | Form District |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------|
| Subject Property       |                       |         |               |
| Existing               | Truck sales           | M-2     | SMC           |
| Proposed               | Auto Sales and repair | C-2     | SMC           |
| Surrounding Properties |                       |         |               |
| North                  | Commercial            | C-2     | SMC           |
| South                  | Industrial/Commercial | M-2/C-2 | SMC           |
| East                   | Industrial/Railroad   | M-2     | SMC           |
| West                   | Commercial            | C-2     | SMC           |

#### **PREVIOUS CASES ON SITE**

| N  | lor      | ıe. |  |
|----|----------|-----|--|
| ıv | $\sim$ 1 |     |  |

#### **INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS**

None received.

#### APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

Cornerstone 2020 Land Development Code

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR REZONING

Criteria for granting the proposed form district change/rezoning: KRS Chapter 100.213

- 1. <u>The proposed form district/rezoning change complies with the applicable guidelines and policies</u> Cornerstone 2020; **OR**
- 2. <u>The existing form district/zoning classification is inappropriate and the proposed classification is appropriate; **OR**</u>
- 3. There have been major changes of an economic, physical, or social nature within the area involved which were not anticipated in Cornerstone 2020 which have substantially altered the basic character of the area.

#### STAFF ANALYSIS FOR REZONING

Following is staff's analysis of the proposed rezoning against the Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

The site is located in the Suburban Marketplace Corridor Form District

Suburban Marketplace Corridors: Suburban Marketplace Corridors are generally located along major roadways with well-defined beginning and ending points and established depths along the length of the corridor. The pattern of development is distinguished by a mixture of medium to high intensity uses. Accommodations for transit users, bicyclists and pedestrians are encouraged in an effort to attract a variety of users as well as to minimize automobile dependency and traffic congestion. Connectivity to nearby uses should be encouraged. Developers should be encouraged to design new commercial development in compact groups of buildings, which use the same curb cut, share parking, have a common freestanding sign identifying the uses and have a common buffering or streetscape plan with respect to any abutting uses of lower density or intensity. This form may include medium to high-density residential uses that are designed to be compatible with both the non-residential uses along the corridor and the lower density residential uses in adjacent form districts. Medium density residential uses may serve as a transition area from lower to higher density residential uses and should be encouraged in this form.

Proposed new commercial uses are encouraged, to locate within the boundaries of existing corridors. Reuse of locations within existing corridors is preferred over expansion of a corridor. Proposals to expand defined corridors represent significant policy decisions. When considering proposals that result in an extension of suburban marketplace corridors, particular emphasis should be placed on: (a) use or reuse of land within existing corridors; (b) potential for disruption of established residential neighborhoods; and (c) compliance with the site and community design standards of the Land Development Code.

All other agency comments should be addressed to demonstrate compliance with the remaining Guidelines and Policies of Cornerstone 2020.

A checklist is attached to the end of this staff report with a more detailed analysis. The Louisville Metro Planning Commission is charged with making a recommendation to the Louisville Metro Council regarding the appropriateness of this zoning map amendment. The Louisville Metro Council has zoning authority over the property in question.

Published Date: October 7, 2015 Page 2 of 12 15zone1046

#### **TECHNICAL REVIEW**

• See agency comments for development plan review comments.

### **STAFF CONCLUSIONS**

The proposal is ready for a neighborhood meeting.


#### **NOTIFICATION**

| Date | Purpose of Notice        | Recipients  |
|------|--------------------------|---|
|      | Hearing before LD&T      | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners    |
|      |                          | Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing                        |
|      |                          | Subscribers of Council District Notification of Development Proposals |
|      | Hearing before PC / BOZA | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> tier adjoining property owners    |
|      |                          | Speakers at Planning Commission public hearing                        |
|      |                          | Subscribers of Council District Notification of Development Proposals |
|      | Hearing before PC / BOZA | Sign Posting on property  |
|      | Hearing before PC / BOZA | Legal Advertisement in the Courier-Journal                            |


### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1.
- 2.
- Zoning Map Aerial Photograph Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist

# 1. Zoning Map



# 2. Aerial Photograph



- 3. Cornerstone 2020 Staff Checklist
- + Exceeds Guideline
- ✓ Meets Guideline
- Does Not Meet Guideline
- +/- More Information Needed
- NA Not Applicable

## Suburban Marketplace Corridor: Non-Residential

| # | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element                             | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element  | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|---|--|---|------------------|--|
| 1 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal integrates into the existing pattern of development, which includes a mixture of medium- to high-density uses.  | <b>✓</b>         | The proposal is surrounded by mainly commercial zoning where the C-2 is proposed.  |
| 2 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal provides accommodations for transit users, pedestrians and bicyclists and provides connectivity to adjacent developments.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 3 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal includes a compact group of buildings using the same curb cut, parking and signs, and that have a common buffering or streetscape plan with respect to any abutting lower density or intensity uses.  | <b>√</b> .       | No new buildings are proposed. The maximum VUA LBA is being provided along the frontage.   |
| 4 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal is of a medium to high density designed to be compatible with both non-residential development in the corridor and adjacent low density residential development in other form districts.  | NA               | The proposal is not for residential.   |
| 5 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 1:<br>Community Form | B.8: The proposal is located within the boundaries of the existing form district, and if the proposal is to expand an existing corridor, the justification for doing so addresses the use or reuse of land within the existing corridor, the potential for disruption of established residential neighborhoods, and compliance with the site and community design standards of the Land Development Code. | <b>√</b>         | The proposal is located within the existing SMC boundary.  |
| 6 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers           | A.1/7: The proposal, which will create a new center, is located in the Suburban Marketplace Corridor Form District, and includes new construction or the reuse of existing buildings to provide commercial, office and/or residential use.  | <b>√</b>         | The proposal is part of an existing commercial corridor that has been established along Dixie Highway. The proposal is to provide additional commercial use. |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element                   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element  | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|--|---|------------------|--|
| 7  | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.3: The proposed retail commercial development is located in an area that has a sufficient population to support it.   | <b>✓</b>         | The mix of zoning in this area suggests that there is sufficient population in the area to support the zoning. |
| 8  | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.4: The proposed development is compact and results in an efficient land use pattern and cost-effective infrastructure investment.   | <b>✓</b>         | The change in zoning from M-2 to C-2 is cost effective due to the other commercial zoning in the area.         |
| 9  | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.5: The proposed center includes a mix of compatible land uses that will reduce trips, support the use of alternative forms of transportation and encourage vitality and sense of place.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 10 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.6: The proposal incorporates residential and office uses above retail and/or includes other mixed-use, multi-story retail buildings.  | -                | The proposal is not for multi-story buildings.   |
| 11 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.12: If the proposal is a large development in a center, it is designed to be compact and multi-purpose, and is oriented around a central feature such as a public square or plaza or landscape element.                           | NA               | The proposal is not a large development.   |
| 12 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.13/15: The proposal shares entrance and parking facilities with adjacent uses to reduce curb cuts and surface parking, and locates parking to balance safety, traffic, transit, pedestrian, environmental and aesthetic concerns. | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 13 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.14: The proposal is designed to share utility hookups and service entrances with adjacent developments, and utility lines are placed underground in common easements.   | <b>✓</b>         | Utilities could be shared if the adjacent sites redevelop.   |
| 14 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 2: Centers | A.16: The proposal is designed to support easy access by bicycle, car and transit and by pedestrians and persons with disabilities.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 15 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility | A.2: The proposed building materials increase the new development's compatibility.  | NA               | No new building is proposed.   |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element                            | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element  | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|---|---|------------------|--|
| 16 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.4/5/6/7: The proposal does not constitute a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area, or demonstrates that despite such an expansion, impacts on existing residences (including traffic, parking, signs, lighting, noise, odor and stormwater) are appropriately mitigated.  | <b>√</b>         | The proposal is not a non-residential expansion into an existing residential area.                   |
| 17 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.5: The proposal mitigates any potential odor or emissions associated with the development.  | ~                | APCD has no issues with the proposal.  |
| 18 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.6: The proposal mitigates any adverse impacts of its associated traffic on nearby existing communities.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 19 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.8: The proposal mitigates adverse impacts of its lighting on nearby properties, and on the night sky.   | <b>✓</b>         | Lighting will meet LDC requirements.   |
| 20 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility          | A.11: If the proposal is a higher density or intensity use, it is located along a transit corridor AND in or near an activity center.   | ~                | The high intensity use is located along a transit corridor and is located within an activity center. |
| 21 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.21: The proposal provides appropriate transitions between uses that are substantially different in scale and intensity or density of development such as landscaped buffer yards, vegetative berms, compatible building design and materials, height restrictions, or setback requirements.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 22 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.22: The proposal mitigates the impacts caused when incompatible developments unavoidably occur adjacent to one another by using buffers that are of varying designs such as landscaping, vegetative berms and/or walls, and that address those aspects of the development that have the potential to adversely impact existing area developments. | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 23 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility | A.23: Setbacks, lot dimensions and building heights are compatible with those of nearby developments that meet form district standards.   | <b>✓</b>         | Setbacks and building heights are similar to adjacent properties.                                    |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|--|--|------------------|--|
| 24 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility                                      | A.24: Parking, loading and delivery areas located adjacent to residential areas are designed to minimize adverse impacts of lighting, noise and other potential impacts, and that these areas are located to avoid negatively impacting motorists, residents and pedestrians.                              | NA               | There are no parking areas located adjacent to residential.        |
| 25 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility                                      | A.24: The proposal includes screening and buffering of parking and circulation areas adjacent to the street, and uses design features or landscaping to fill gaps created by surface parking lots. Parking areas and garage doors are oriented to the side or back of buildings rather than to the street. | <b>✓</b>         | A 10' LBA is provided to screen the parking from the adjacent ROW. |
| 26 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 3: Compatibility   | A.25: Parking garages are integrated into their surroundings and provide an active, inviting street-level appearance.  | <b>✓</b>         | A parking garage is not proposed.                                  |
| 27 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 3:<br>Compatibility                                      | A.28: Signs are compatible with the form district pattern and contribute to the visual quality of their surroundings.  | ✓                | Signs will meet LDC requirements.                                  |
| 28 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 4: Open<br>Space   | A.2/3/7: The proposal provides open space that helps meet the needs of the community as a component of the development and provides for the continued maintenance of that open space.  | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 29 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 4: Open<br>Space   | A.4: Open space design is consistent with the pattern of development in the Neighborhood Form District.  | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 30 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 4: Open<br>Space   | A.5: The proposal integrates natural features into the pattern of development.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 31 | Community<br>Form/Land Use<br>Guideline 5: Natural<br>Areas and Scenic and<br>Historic Resources | A.1: The proposal respects the natural features of the site through sensitive site design, avoids substantial changes to the topography and minimizes property damage and environmental degradation resulting from disturbance of natural systems.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments  |
|----|--|--|------------------|---|
| 32 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.2/4: The proposal includes the preservation, use or adaptive reuse of buildings, sites, districts and landscapes that are recognized as having historical or architectural value, and, if located within the impact area of these resources, is compatible in height, bulk, scale, architecture and placement. | <b>✓</b>         | The site is not historically significant.                                 |
| 33 | Community Form/Land Use Guideline 5: Natural Areas and Scenic and Historic Resources | A.6: Encourage development to avoid wet or highly permeable soils, severe, steep or unstable slopes with the potential for severe erosion.   | <b>√</b>         | Soils are not an issue with the proposal.                                 |
| 34 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                    | A.3: Encourage redevelopment, reinvestment and rehabilitation in the downtown where it is consistent with the form district pattern.   | NA               | The proposal is not located in a downtown.                                |
| 35 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                    | A.4: Encourage industries to locate in industrial subdivisions or adjacent to existing industry to take advantage of special infrastructure needs.   | NA               | The proposal is not for industrial.                                       |
| 36 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                    | A.6: Locate retail commercial development in activity centers. Locate uses generating large amounts of traffic on a major arterial, at the intersection of two minor arterials or at locations with good access to a major arterial and where the proposed use will not adversely affect adjacent areas.         | <b>✓</b>         | The proposed commercial zoning is located in an existing activity center. |
| 37 | Marketplace Guideline<br>6: Economic Growth<br>and Sustainability                    | A.8: Require industrial development with more than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street, preferably in close proximity to an expressway interchange. Require industrial development with less than 100 employees to locate on or near an arterial street.                                       | , NA             | The proposal is not for industrial.                                       |
| 38 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                               | A.1/2: The proposal will contribute its proportional share of the cost of roadway improvements and other services and public facilities made necessary by the development through physical improvements to these facilities, contribution of money, or other means.  | +/-              | More information is needed  |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of Plan Element  | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|--|--|------------------|--|
| 39 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.3/4: The proposal promotes mass transit, bicycle and pedestrian use and provides amenities to support these modes of transportation.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 40 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.6: The proposal's transportation facilities are compatible with and support access to surrounding land uses, and contribute to the appropriate development of adjacent lands. The proposal includes at least one continuous roadway through the development, adequate street stubs, and relies on cul-de-sacs only as short side streets or where natural features limit development of "through" roads. | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 41 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.9: The proposal includes the dedication of rights-of-way for street, transit corridors, bikeway and walkway facilities within or abutting the development.   | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 42 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.10: The proposal includes adequate parking spaces to support the use.  | <b>✓</b>         | Adequate parking is provided.  |
| 43 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 7:<br>Circulation                       | A.13/16: The proposal provides for joint and cross access through the development and to connect to adjacent development sites.  | +/-              | More information is needed   |
| 44 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 8:<br>Transportation Facility<br>Design | A.8: Adequate stub streets are provided for future roadway connections that support and contribute to appropriate development of adjacent land.  | NA               | A stub street is not provided as the site is not creating a roadway. |
| 45 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 8:<br>Transportation Facility<br>Design | A.9: Avoid access to development through areas of significantly lower intensity or density if such access would create a significant nuisance.   | <b>✓</b>         | Access to the site is from a public ROW                              |
| 46 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 8:<br>Transportation Facility<br>Design | A.11: The development provides for an appropriate functional hierarchy of streets and appropriate linkages between activity areas in and adjacent to the development site.   | <b>√</b>         | No new roads are being created with the proposal.                    |

| #  | Cornerstone 2020<br>Plan Element   | Plan Element or Portion of<br>Plan Element   | Staff<br>Finding | Staff Comments   |
|----|--|--|------------------|--|
| 47 | Mobility/Transportation<br>Guideline 9: Bicycle,<br>Pedestrian and Transit | A.1/2: The proposal provides, where appropriate, for the movement of pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users around and through the development, provides bicycle and pedestrian connections to adjacent developments and to transit stops, and is appropriately located for its density and intensity.  | +/-              | More information is needed                             |
| 48 | Livability/Environment<br>Guideline 10:<br>Flooding and<br>Stormwater      | The proposal's drainage plans have been approved by MSD, and the proposal mitigates negative impacts to the floodplain and minimizes impervious area. Solid blueline streams are protected through a vegetative buffer, and drainage designs are capable of accommodating upstream runoff assuming a fully-developed watershed. If streambank restoration or preservation is necessary, the proposal uses best management practices. | +/-              | More information is needed                             |
| 49 | Livability/Environment<br>Guideline 12: Air<br>Quality                     | The proposal has been reviewed by APCD and found to not have a negative impact on air quality.   | <b>✓</b>         | APCD has not issues with the proposal.                 |
| 50 | Livability/Environment<br>Guideline 13:<br>Landscape Character             | A.3: The proposal includes additions and connections to a system of natural corridors that can provide habitat areas and allow for migration.  | +/-              | More information is needed                             |
| 51 | Community Facilities<br>Guideline 14:<br>Infrastructure                    | A.2: The proposal is located in an area served by existing utilities or planned for utilities.   | <b>✓</b>         | Existing utilities are available in the area.          |
| 52 | Community Facilities<br>Guideline 14:<br>Infrastructure                    | A.3: The proposal has access to an adequate supply of potable water and water for fire-fighting purposes.  | ~                | Water is available to the site.                        |
| 53 | Community Facilities<br>Guideline 14:<br>Infrastructure                    | A.4: The proposal has adequate means of sewage treatment and disposal to protect public health and to protect water quality in lakes and streams.  | <b>✓</b>         | The Health Department has no issues with the proposal. |

Published Date: October 7, 2015