

# **General Waiver Application**Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services

Case	No.: 15 DEUPLAN 1170 	Intake Staff: $\mathcal{T}$	
Date:	[[-07-15	Fee:	
application and supporting do	days at 2:00 p.m. in order to be cumentation to: Planning and I 2) 574-6230 or visit <u>http://www</u>	Design Services, located at	444 South 5th Street Suite 200
Project Information:			
Application is hereby ma	de for one or more of the fol	llowing waivers of the Lar	nd Development Code:
	r of Chapter 10, Part 2		•
	Section 10.2.4.B.		
A General Waiver Applic a "Sidewalk Waiver Appl	cation is not required for Sidewo lication" or "Tree Canopy Waivo	alk or Tree Canopy Waivers er Application" instead.	s. If applicable, please submit
Explanation Waiver to of Waiver:	permit more than 50% overl	ap of LBA and easement	
Primary Project Address:	950 North Hurstbourne Pa	arkway 40222 (1000 Buik	ding) ISDEPLAN 1170
Additional Address(es):			
Primary Parcel ID: 002106120000			
Additional Parcel ID(s):			
Proposed Use:	Office	Existing Use:	Vacant
Existing Zoning District:	OR3	Existing Form District:	Campus
Deed Book(s) / Page Nur	nbers <sup>2</sup> : _002106120000		
The subject property cont	tains <u>48.10</u> acres. Nu	ımber of Adjoining Prope	rty Owners: _4
Has the property been the conditional use permit, min (Related Cases) <sup>1</sup>	e subject of a previous devel nor plat, etc.)? <i>This informat</i> Yes □ No	opment proposal (e.g., re tion can be found in the (	ezoning, variance, appeal, and Development Report
If yes, please list the dock	et/case numbers:	g mark de	DV 09 2015
Docket/Case #: _15DEVPLAN1170		リセSI( Docket/Case #: 13644	SN SERVICES
Docket/Case #:	-	Docket/Case #·	

#### General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer <u>all</u> of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.** 

1. Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent propert	v owners?
--	-----------

The waiver will not negatively affect adjacent property owners. Required width of landscape buffer area and landscaping will be provided within the vehicular use area landscape buffer area along both Whipps Mill and North Whittington.

### 2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

No. Required width of landscape buffer area and landscaping will be provided within the vehicular use area landscape buffer area along both Whipps Mill and North Whittington despite the easement overlap.

## 3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

One of the first phases of ShelbyHurst Campus development was to build roads and provide utilities along these roads. Electric, telephone, gas, water, and sanitary easements exist along North Whittington Parkway primarily (minimal easement overlap on Whipps Mill) where underground utilities were installed. The location of the utility nor its easement will be relocated to avoid conflict with the LBA's.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The fifteen foot LBA will still be provided to screen proposed parking from the roadways as will the required landscaping screen and trees.

NOV 09 2015

DESIGN SERVICES

15 DEVPLANUTO



# **General Waiver Application**Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services

E A B	Case No.:	Intake Staff:	
PRSON COURT	Date:	Fee:	
application and suppo	rting documentation to: Planning a	to be processed that week. Once complete, please be and Design Services, located at 444 South 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, www.louisvilleky.gov/PlanningDesign.	ring the , Suite 300
Project Information	on:		
Application is here	eby made for one or more of th	e following waivers of the Land Development Co	ode:
	Waiver of Chapter 10, Part 2		
	ver of Section 5.9.2.A.1.b.i.		
A General Waive a "Sidewalk Waiv	er Application is not required for Si ver Application" or "Tree Canopy V	idewalk or Tree Canopy Waivers. If applicable, pleas Naiver Application" instead.	e submit
Explanation Wa	iver to provide direct pedestria	n connection from right-of-way to building entrar	nce
Primary Project A	ddress: 950 North Hurstbourn	ne Parkway 40222 (1000 Building) 150EVPU	WIIFO
Additional Address	s(es):		
Primary Parcel ID:			
Additional Parcel I	D(s):		
Proposed Use:	Office	Existing Use: Vacant	
Existing Zoning Di	strict: OR3	Existing Form District: Campus	
Deed Book(s) / Pa	ge Numbers <sup>2</sup> : _002106120000		
The subject prope	rty contains 48.10 acres.	Number of Adjoining Property Owners: _4_	
Has the property be conditional use per (Related Cases)	een the subject of a previous d mit, minor plat, etc.)? <i>This info</i> □ Yes □ No	levelopment proposal (e.g., rezoning, variance, a rmation can be found in the Land Development	appeal, <i>Report</i>
If yes, please list th	ne docket/case numbers:	NOV 09 2013	
Docket/Case #:	15DEVPLAN1170	Docket/Case #: _1364&IGN SERVICES	
Docket/Case #:		Docket/Case #:	
		LSDEVPLANIA	

#### General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer <u>all</u> of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.** 

1. Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent property owners?

The waiver will not negatively affect adjacent property owners. Not providing sidewalk access directly from Whipps Mill to the western building entrance will only cause pedestrians traveling from Whipps Mill into the park to have to travel approximately 200 feet further via existing sidewalk on Whipps Mill that connects to North Whittington Parkway to access the building.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

No. Adequate pedestrian circulation is provided. There are existing sidewalks on Whipps Mill and North Whittington Parkway the entire lengths of the property. Connections into the site are made from North Whittington Parkway. Since this is a corner lot, providing direct access from Whipps Mill would be somewhat excessive.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

If a sidewalk was constructed from Whipps Mill to the western building entrance, a bridge structure would need to be constructed over the existing large rock ditch. This would be a costly endeavor.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The existing sidewalk infrastructure, proposed access onto site, and sidewalks within the site are adequate to provide safe, appropriate, and accessible pedestrian connection and access to the site.

NOV 09 2000 DESIGN SERVICES

15DEVICEN 1170



# **General Waiver Application**Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services

日本点	Case No.:	Intake Staff:
FRSON COURT	Date:	
application and suppo	rting documentation to: Planning	to be processed that week. Once complete, please bring the and Design Services, located at 444 South 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 30/www.louisvilleky.gov/PlanningDesign.
Project Informati	on:	
Application is here	eby made for one or more of t	he following waivers of the Land Development Code:
	Waiver of Chapter 10, Part 2	
⊠ Other: Wai	ver of Section _5.5.2.C.2.	
A General Waive a "Sidewalk Waiv	er Application is not required for S ver Application" or "Tree Canopy	Sidewalk or Tree Canopy Waivers. If applicable, please submit Waiver Application" instead.
Explanation Wa	iver to permit parking in betwe	een building and primary street
Primary Project A	ddress: 950 North Hurstbour	ne Parkway 40222 (1000 Building)   SDEVPUAN 1170
Additional Address	2/22)	
Primary Parcel ID:		
Additional Parcel I	D(a):	
Proposed Use:	Office	Existing Use: Vacant
Existing Zoning Di		
	ge Numbers <sup>2</sup> : 00210612000	Existing Form District: Campus
		Number of Adiation D
The dubject prope	11y contains 40.10 acres	s. Number of Adjoining Property Owners: 4
Has the property be conditional use per (Related Cases) 1	een the subject of a previous of mit, minor plat, etc.)? <i>This info</i>	development proposal (e.g., rezoning, variance, appeal, formation can be found in the Land Development Report
If yes, please list th	ne docket/case numbers:	NOV U9 TITS
Docket/Case #: 15DEVPLAN1170		Docket/Case #: 13644)ESIGN SERVICES
Docket/Case #:		Docket/Case #:
		LSDE VPLAN1170

### **General Waiver Justification:**

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer <u>all</u> of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.** 

1. Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent property owners?

The waiver will not negatively affect adjacent property owners. The intent of the layout for this site is to work with existing grades and also to orient the building towards the exterior of campus towards Whipps Mill similarly to the orientation towards Hurstbroune Parkway for the 600 and 700 buildings. The location of the building on the property has no effect on adjacent property owners.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

No. The layout and orientation building is keeping in context with the existing development at ShelbyHurst campus – 600 building and 700 building as mentioned before.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

This parcel has been established to be a smaller building footprint/square footage site. If the building was oriented to front on North Whittington Parkway in front of the parking, and 50% or less of building frontage distance was designated for parking, it is doubtful that this same amount of parking would be able to fit on the site. Or if it could, it would be more costly to construct due to the site dropping off towards the retention basin on the north side of the site.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The current design works with existing grades by locating the building at the top of slope down/drop off to the retention basin and spanning the parking on the flatter southern portion (adjacent to North Whittington Parkway) in front of the building. If the parking is stacked in between the building (fronting on North Whittington Parkway) and retention basin, more site grading and/or additional fill dirt would need to be brought onto site. Both of these items (moving earth and bringing dirt onto the site) are costly, so a layout that is consistent with 5.5.2.C.2. would be more expensive to construct.

NUV 19

DESIGN SERVICES

15DEUPLAN 1170