Case No. 15ZONE1055 Findings of Fact

WHEREAS, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>Guideline 1 – Community Form Town Center</u>. The proposed Brookridge Village mini-storage facility is located in a Town Center; Town Centers contain significant amounts of diverse uses, largely in square footage quantities greater than the activity centers found in neighborhoods; and these uses are typically compact and designed to serve the needs of the Town Center; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that this application complies with this Guideline because this particular Town Center includes a variety of businesses, which are traditional users of mini-storage facilities; residents are users as well, which is a reason that mini-storage facilities like to locate along arterial roadways in order to conveniently serve both the nearby commercial businesses and residential populations; and mini-storage facilities are located in Town Centers elsewhere around Metro Louisville; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>**Guideline 2 – Activity Centers**</u>. The intents and applicable Policies 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11, 14 and 15 all relate to the fact that activity centers are intensely developed areas, whether in a neighborhood or a Town Center like this, where infrastructure already exists, where commuting times can be reduced because of the intensity of mixed uses within a defined area, the desirability being that uses within an activity center be mixed and compactly developed; and

WHEREAS, this application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline because the subject property is surrounded by a diversity of uses, in close proximity to one another; the site is located just off an arterial highway, easily accessible from both residential areas and nearby businesses which will utilize the proposed Brookridge Village mini-storage facility; and Town Centers are typically thought of as activity centers in and of themselves, and this is one where the development is already mixed, compact and with available infrastructure already at the site; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>**Guideline 3 – Compatibility</u>**. The intents and applicable Policies 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 28 all pertain to how potentially incompatible uses can be made compatible through design. This application complies with these Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline as follows; potentially incompatible uses can be made compatible through design, and that is accomplished at this location because there is no consistent design theme in this immediate area. High quality design will be a critical factor to assure acceptance by Brookridge Village businesses and residents already located here; design will incorporate a colored split-face block type of material along the rear of the metal buildings, such that the look from the exteriors will be one of a masonry wall, which is typical of other mini-storage facilities around Metro Louisville; this can assure that the interior of the site, which is constructed of metal, will</u>

not be visible except through an attractive wrought iron style, black brushed aluminum gate; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that hazardous materials and noxious uses will be prohibited from this facility; no odors or noises are associated with it; lighting will not extend above the roof line, so it will not be visible from off site; it will be directed down and away from nearby properties; access will be controlled from one location; parking will be minimized; peak hour traffic is nonexistent and is generally distributed throughout the day and this use fits with the centers concept; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>Guideline 6 – Economic Growth and Sustainability</u>. The Intents and applicable Policies 3, 5, 6 and 11 of this Guideline all apply to assuring the availability of necessary usable land to facilitate various types of commercial and other development, including the desire to develop within activity centers where redevelopment is possible; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that this application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline because the subject use is not out of character with the intense variety of uses mostly surrounding it; there is a market for a ministorage facility of this kind to support the demands of commercial activities and residences along this stretch of Bardstown Road; further development of this property as previously proposed for more office condominiums will simply lead to a diminution in value of the existing office condominiums because of a lack of a current demand; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>Guideline 7 – Circulation; Guideline 8 – Transportation Facility Design; and</u> <u>Guideline 9 – Alternative Forms of Access</u>. The Intents and applicable Policies 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 16 of Guideline 7, plus applicable Policies 5, 9, 10 and 11 of Guideline 8, plus applicable Policy 1 of Guideline 9 all pertain to the issues that are thoroughly reviewed and determined by Metro Transportation Planning and Public Works officials; and they have their standards for design of all of the Policies referenced hereinabove; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of these Guidelines as follows; the referenced government agencies have stamped the plan for preliminary review, thus indicating those technical reviewing agencies' determination that the issues raised by these applicable Policies have been addressed on the detailed district development plan (DDDP); Mike Keal & Associates is a professional land planning and engineering firm that takes into account all of these factors; it has shown on the DDDP that access to the site, as determined by government agencies, is located where it will not have a negative impact on the local street system; access is appropriately shown on the DDDP, as well as circulation throughout the development, including necessary parking; stub connections to adjoining properties are not included because this is a secure facility, thus access through the development to other properties would not make sense because security would be lost; it is believed that adequate right-of-way already exists along Brookridge Village Blvd.; pedestrian access will be provided along the property's frontage; and bicycles and transit are not likely to have need for access to this facility because it is for residents and businesses who want to deliver and pick up stored items which are usually not done on a bicycle or transit; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of **Guideline 10 – Flooding and Stormwater**. The Intents and applicable Policies 1, 3, 6, 7, 10 and 11 ofthis Guideline all pertain to the issues of stormwater management, which is the review focus of MSD. This application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline as follows; the overall development plan originally prepared for the Brookridge Village development includes detention; that system has adequate stormwater carrying capacity; and detention facilities are designed to assure that post-development rates of runoff do not exceed pre-development rates; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>Guideline 11 – Water Quality</u>. The Intents and applicable Policies 3, 4, 5 and 9 of this Guideline are intended to assure water quality through application to new development of standards developed by MSD. This application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline as follows; MSD has established both soil erosion and sedimentation control standards as well as water quality standards; and the applicant must demonstrate compliance with these through construction design, which it will do; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>**Guideline 12 – Air Quality**</u>. The intents and applicable Policies 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of this Guideline all pertain to finding ways to assure that local air quality problems are not exacerbated and, to the extent possible, that air quality might even be improved. This application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline as follows; because there is a demonstrated need for a facility of this kind to serve the local population and nearby businesses, vehicle miles traveled can be reduced, given that customers will be able to utilize a facility close by; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that the proposal meets the intents of <u>**Guideline 13 – Landscape Character**</u>. The Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline all pertain to assuring that all facilities are adequately treed and landscaped. This application complies with the Intents and applicable Policies of this Guideline as follows; this facility will include all the required landscaping in accordance with the landscape requirements of the Land Development Code; and

WHEREAS, the Commission further finds that, based on the evidence and testimony presented, the staff report, and the applicant's justification that all of the applicable Guidelines of Cornerstone 2020 and the Comprehensive Plan are being met; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the Louisville Metro Council that the requested change in zoning from R-5A to C-1 and from C-1 to CM on 1.62 acres on property located in the attached legal description be **APPROVED**.