

General Waiver ApplicationLouisville Metro Planning & Design Services

Case	No.: 1 6 DEVPLAN 1 2 2 6	_ Intake Staff:	$\mathcal{W}_{}$
Date:	1-30-2017	Fee:	
Applications are due on Monda application and supporting doo For more information, call (502	cumentation to: Planning and	Design Services, located a	it 444 South 5th Street, Suite 300
Project Information:			
Application is hereby mad	de for one or more of the fo	ollowing waivers of the La	and Development Code:
☐ Landscape Waiver	of Chapter 10, Part 2		
	ection <u>5.6.1.A.1</u>		
	ation is not required for Sidev ication" or "Tree Canopy Wai		rs. If applicable, please submit
Explanation Waive the of Waiver:	required 50% of the façade	e to be animated.	
Primary Project Address:	800 S. 13th Street		
Additional Address(es):	810 S. 13th Street		
Primary Parcel ID:	036K00060013		
Additional Parcel ID(s):			
Proposed Use:	Truck repair/storage	Existing Use:	Vacant
Existing Zoning District:	EZ1	_ Existing Form Distric	et: _TW
Deed Book(s) / Page Num	nbers²: Deed Book 6612	Page 598	
The subject property conta	ains <u>1.54</u> acres. N	umber of Adjoining Prop	erty Owners: _4
conditional use permit, mir	nor plat, etc.)? This informa		rezoning, variance, appeal, Land Development Report
(Related Cases) ¹ ⊠ Y	es □ No		RECEIVED
If yes, please list the docke	et/case numbers:		IAN 3 0 7017
			PLANNING &
Docket/Case #: 16DevPl	lan 1220	Docket/Case #:	DESIGN SERVICES
Docket/Case #:		Docket/Case #:	

General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer <u>all</u> of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. **A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.**

 Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent property owner 		. W	Vill the	waiver	adversely	affect ad	jacent p	property	owners
--	--	-----	----------	--------	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	--------

The waiver will not adversely affect the adjacent property owners because the adjacent buildings do not have primary entrances on 13th Street and do have blank walls or nearly blank walls facing 13th Street.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

The Comprehensive Plan encourages reinvestment, rehabilitation, and redevelopment, in these areas by being flexible in site design. Thus the waiver will not violate the Comprehensive Plan.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

The extent of the waiver is minimum to afford relief to the applicant by allowing the applicant to use the current design that only has approximately 40% of the facade animated.

RECEIVED

JAN 3 0 7011

PLANNING &

DESIGN SERVICES

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The strict application of the provisions would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant by increasing the design and building cost of proposed structure. Applicant also plans to landscape the eastern side of building to enhance the curb appeal of the building. The current building design incorporates animating features of approximates 40% of building façade.