

Mattingly, Laura L

From: Phyllis Gilman <pagilman80@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 25, 2017 10:00 PM
To: Mattingly, Laura L
Subject: June 29th Meeting

Ms. Mattingly,

This email is in regards to the hearing about Davenport Dr name change and change in plans for a cul de sac instead of the planned road. Tom and Phyllis Gilman are unable to attend the meeting but would like to continue to disagree with this plan. We would also like to remind the committee that the residents affected were offered \$1000 to sign the petition agreeing to the name change. Those not agreeing to the changes would not receive money. After I pointed to invernness this was buying a vote, they sent a correction but did not recirculate the petition. We feel this petition should not be valid.

The ability to walk/bike the neighborhood and not meet a cul de sac would be our preference and we feel a better look for the neighborhood.

More cars will go by our house, not less. A circle offers another way out to Shelbyville Rd for regular traffic and emergencies.

Changing our address will involve time that we would prefer not to do again. We spent many hours on this when we moved last year. Money does not buy us time.

We have not had any problems with delivery or mail.

If the committee has reasons to approve this change, we are not understanding, we feel there should be a plan to minimally let the residents walk/bike the neighborhood as planned. We would prefer the plan stay as planned.

Tom and Phyllis Gilman

Mattingly, Laura L

From: JOHN KEELING <inbassclef@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 4:13 PM
To: Larry Stumpf
Cc: Mattingly, Laura L; Tim & Caitlin Bowman
Subject: Re: GLEN LAKES SUBDIVISION REVISED PLAN

Thank you, Larry for your input.

John and Kathy Keeling
701 Davenport Dr.

On Jun 22, 2017 14:06, "Larry Stumpf" <ppstumpf4@gmail.com> wrote:
TO: PLANNING COMMISSION/LD&T COMMITTEE

My name is Larry Stumpf. My wife (Patti) and I reside at 617 Davenport Drive. We purchased our home in Glen Lakes in the summer of 2008.

Patti and I would like to go on record as supporting the requested revised plan for a cut-de-sac in lieu of the original plan for a loop around of Davenport Drive.

Our reasons are very simple:

- * We have always supported retaining as much green space as possible in our neighborhood. We believe the cul-de-sac would save some trees and common ground.
- * The developers have not asked for any changes to home or lot sizes that would deviate from our existing deed restrictions.
- * We strongly believe that re-naming the new street Davenport Place will avoid the confusion and problems that a loop around street causes.
- * We have not been offered any monetary payments from the developers for supporting their request.

I intend to make every effort to attend the public meeting on 6/29. I would like to be added to the agenda to speak briefly to this issue.

Sincerely,

Patti and Larry Stumpf

Patti and Larry Stumpf
617 Davenport Drive
(502) 777-6768

Mattingly, Laura L

From: Caitlin Bowman <caitlin.bowman4@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 8:17 PM
To: Mattingly, Laura L; Williams, Julia
Subject: Glen Lakes Revised Subdivision Plan: Letter to Commission

Dear Planning Commission,

I am a neighbor in Glen Lakes who lives on Davenport Drive who is IN FAVOR of the Revised Subdivision Plan for the following reasons:

1. Safety

- Creating a loop is also creating a dangerous roadway situation. Davenport Drive currently goes downhill and ends in a cul-de-sac. If the road is continued there will be a downhill, sharp, blind curve that could pose a threat to children on their bicycles, pedestrians and other drivers. The current speed limit is 25 mph and people easily drive 35 mph-- if the road is continued drivers will pick up even more speed and then go around a sharp curve.
- By not creating the loop allows the neighborhood to have two separate roads and two separate street names to avoid confusion with EMS and the Fire Department in emergency situations. If the loop is created, there will always be two intersections of Glen Lakes Dr. and Davenport Drive AND there will be an intersection of Davenport Dr. and Davenport Dr.

2. Nature Preservation

- It is hard to find a neighborhood in Eastern Jefferson County with actual green space and wooded areas. For once, a developer is NOT wanting to cut down trees. Please do not force the developer to cut down hundreds of trees. That will be extremely sad because currently that area is beautiful.
- This extra green space will be a unique aspect to our neighborhood that other neighborhoods do not have and will increase overall value.

Comments about those against the revised plan:

- There is some mention about traffic buildup through Glen Lakes entrance on Flat Rock Road. Our neighborhood entrance was designed to bear the traffic for the amount of houses in our neighborhood and potential future sections. This has never been a problem during rush hours and there has never been an accident in this area. There is also another exit out of our neighborhood through Brookfield subdivision, but this is a much larger neighborhood which exits on Shelbyville Road.
- Many of the residents in Glen Lakes moved to the neighborhood due to the quiet streets and privacy offered by the current setup. The comments that our neighborhood is looking forward to the completion of the loop is just not true nor representative of the entire neighborhood opinion.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this matter and I hope the Planning Commission can objectively come to the decision that the proposed revised development be passed.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Bowman

Mattingly, Laura L

From: Jack McQuade <Jack.McQuade@twc.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 8:37 PM
To: Mattingly, Laura L
Subject: Glen Lakes Subdivision Revised Plan

I am Jack McQuade, a resident of Glen Lakes subdivision (700 Davenport Drive) and have lived here for the last six years. Me and my wife are in favor of the Developer's revised plan to not continue the loop as originally planned, and we also favor the proposed street name change connected to that.

Our two main points are:

- 1) Safety – having this connector will create safety concerns with traffic that will be traveling through the currently developed part of Davenport Drive on a significant downhill grade around a sharp and blind curve near the stub where the connection would take place. We know from experience, with the significant downhill grade on the existing Davenport drive going west, that speeds will very likely exceed the speed limit. This is a generally young development with many children on or near the streets.
- 2) Peacefulness – having a cul de sac on both sides of the connector will yield benefits to the neighbors on both sides of this connection area.

We see very little to no benefit of this connector to the neighborhood. The residents on the currently developed side would never have a need to go through the connector, and very few people on the portion under construction and development would find the connector beneficial. For the vast majority, the existing travel path would be their normal and shortest path.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jack and Mandy McQuade

Mattingly, Laura L

From: Jason Shipp <Jason-Shipp@gnc-retail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 9:34 PM
To: Mattingly, Laura L
Cc: Williams, Julia
Subject: Glen Lakes Subdivision Revised Plan

Dear Louisville Metro Land Development & Transportation Committee,

I am a Glen Lakes resident who is in FAVOR of the revised preliminary subdivision plan that will keep Davenport a cul-de-sac. You should not continue the road for multiple reasons including the safety of the children as well as nature preservation. In addition, it has been stated by our HOA President that he wishes to have the road completed which will encourage traffic to use Rockcrest Way vs. traveling past his home. Rockcrest Way cannot handle the increased traffic.

Thank you
Jason Shipp
628 Glen Lake Drive

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please immediately notify the sender and delete and/or destroy the e-mail (along with any files transmitted with it) and all electronic or hard copies from your system. You should not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient.

Mattingly, Laura L

From: Paula Shipp <shipp_paula@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 9:25 PM
To: Mattingly, Laura L; Williams, Julia
Subject: Glen Lakes Subdivision Revised Plan

Dear Louisville Metro Land Development & Transportation Committee,

I am a Glen Lakes neighbor who is in FAVOR of the revised preliminary subdivision plan that will keep Davenport a cul-de-sac and not continue the road for multiple reasons including the safety of the children as well as nature preservation. There has been enough tree cover eliminated in this area over the past year. No reason to cut down more unnecessary trees for a road that is not necessary.

Thank you
Paula Shipp
502-553-2567
628 Glen Lake Drive

Mattingly, Laura L

From: Timothy Bowman <tim.bowman2@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 12:01 PM
To: Mattingly, Laura L
Subject: Glen Lakes

Dear Commission,

I am writing this email in regards to the Revised Subdivision Plan for Glen Lakes and I am in FAVOR of the revised plans to change the street names and NOT continue the loop through the neighborhood. In my opinion, adding a loop only creates more confusion for First Responders/everyone, creates more safety hazards for children/families, destroys our beautiful green space that the developer is proposing to KEEP, and impacts the environment in a negative manner by impacting a stream and current habitat.

-The main reason I am in favor of the developer NOT continuing the road is due to the environmental impact on our neighborhood. We have already had so many trees torn down and habitats destroyed in our neighborhood and surrounding areas that allowing these trees and stream to remain would be such a benefit to our neighborhood.

-Adding a loop to the current layout adds no value to the neighborhood and cul-de-sacs are clearly more appealing to communities than through traffic loops where motor vehicles can travel and disrupt our current peace and quiet, along with creating needless safety issues. The current cul-de-sac on Davenport Dr. is large enough to accommodate large trucks and other vehicles including delivery trucks, 18-wheelers, construction traffic, fire trucks, etc. as I have witnessed these large vehicles maneuver this street.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Tim Bowman

I am a neighbor of Glen Lakes in FAVOR of the Revised Major Preliminary Subdivision Plan in Section 4 to create a cul-de-sac instead of a loop for Davenport Drive.

~~Cathy Brown~~

~~J. Bon~~

~~Faura Skut~~

~~Q m r~~

~~McDanel~~

~~Walter King~~

~~Relia Moxe~~

~~R.S.~~

~~Kathleen Keeler~~

~~Wm. Bradley~~

~~Patti Sprague~~

~~Jan. [unclear]~~

~~Valeryn Carpenter~~

~~David McCallister~~

~~Amanda Murray~~

~~John Murray~~

~~John Beeching Sr.~~

~~John [unclear]~~

~~Edith~~

~~Raumer Watterson~~

~~Don [unclear]~~

~~Robert Buckley~~

~~Martha S. [unclear]~~

~~Kathryn [unclear]~~

~~Sumner [unclear]~~