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LOUISVILLE METRO PLANNING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING
JANUARY 31, 2017
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Good evening.
We're going to get started. Welcome to the January 31st, 2017 special meeting of the Louisville Metro Planning Commission for hearing of a land use propos -- proposal advertised and docketed for today. The case
being considered this evening is Case
Number 16ZONE1056 for project named Prospect Cove located at 6500 Forest Cove Lane and 7301 River Road.

Staff will present a brief summary of the case, including a description of the proposal and an outline of the most important issues. The staff report was provided to the commission members in advance of today's hearing. Copies of the staff report have been available in the offices of the Planning Commission and are available for review at the rear of the room.

We will not read the staff report into the record; however, the staff report for the case on the agenda is hereby incorporated into the official record of this hearing. Next, the applicant or a
representative may make a statement in support of the application being considered by the Planning Commission. Other persons in support of the application will then be heard.

Thereafter, those in opposition to the application will be heard. Finally, the applicant or representative may be heard in rebuttal. During a person's time to speak, he or she may ask questions of any prior adverse speaker or government employee present who has reviewed the case. Each speaker must remain available for questioning by adverse parties. If not, his or her testimony will not be considered.

All speakers are asked to state their name and address, including ZIP code, for the record when they post approach the podium and before making any other statement. Everyone wishing to provide testimony must fill our a speaker's record form. These forms are available on the table at the rear of the room.

Please note the following time limits are in effect for the case on today's agenda. The applicant's representative and other persons in favor of the application shall have no more than one hour for their remarks. Persons opposed to
the application shall have a total of no more than 75 minutes to offer comments on the proposal.

Rebuttal by the applicants shall be allotted no more than 15 minutes. The Planning Commission's deliberation and voting on this case will occur in business session held immediately after conclusion of the testimony related to the case. Any statements related to the case, however, must be made during the public hearing portion of the agenda.

As a rule, no person shall be allowed to speak during the deliberations. The Planning Commission's deliberation and voting on each case will occur in business session held immediately after the conclusion of testimony related to the case. Any statements related again must be made during the public portion of the hearing.

All documents and records and Planning Commission files have been available for public inspection in the Planning Commission office, and anyone interested in today's case should pick up a copy of the handout titled "After the Public Hearing" located on the table at the rear of the room. This will tell you what will happen after the
public hearing is held and how to stay informed about a -- a rezoning case.

Would everyone who plans to speak at today's public hearing who has filled out a speaker's form please stand and raise your right hand so we can swear you in as a witness? Anyone that's planning on speaking tonight, everyone needs to stand, please.

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you will provide the Planning Commission today is the truth?

ALL SPEAKERS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. You
may be seated. Okay. As I said, this case is $16 Z O N E 1056$, change of zoning from $R-5 A, R-4$, and OR-1 to $R-7$ on, approximately, 9.61 acres with a waiver revised district development plan and amendment to binding elements. The project name is Prospect Cove, 6500 Forest Cove Lane and 7301 River Road. Owner is Prospect Development, LLC, and the case manager is Julia Williams.

MS. WILLIAMS: Julia Williams, Planning and Design Services. Julia Williams, Planning and Design Services.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Is that better?
Might have to hold it.
MS. WILLIAMS: No worries. Julia
Williams, Planning and Design Services.
Next slide, please. The applicant is requesting a change in zoning from $R-5 A, R-4$, and OR-1 to R-7 -- [coughs] excuse me -- to R-7. They're requesting a waiver from Chapter 10 to permit the encroachment of an easement into an LBA by more than 50\%.

They're also requesting a revised district development plan with amendments to binding elements. The binding elements to be amended or -- and replaced are for the subject site only as this portion of the site was part of a -- an overall development plan.

Next slide, please. The proposal is for 198 units of senior housing facility located on, approximately, 9.61 acres. The proposed density is 20.6 dwelling units per acre where $R-7$ permits 34.8 dwelling units per acre. The site is heavily treed along River Road frontage, and is located across Timber Ridge Drive from a commercial center.

Next slide. This is the zoning map for the
site. As you can see, there's a couple of different zoning classifications for the proposal. It's in the village form district. To the north of the site is R-5A; to the south of the site is $R-4$ single-family; to the east is $O R-3$ and $R-4$ in the village and village center; and then to the west is $R-4$ in the village.

Next slide. This is an aerial photo of the site. As you can see from the photo, it's heavily treed along the River Road portion, which is more to the west of the site. It's currently single-family residential. The proposal, like I mentioned before, is for multi-family residential.

Across Timber Ridge Drive is multi-family residential, as well as a strip center. And then to the south of the site is single-family residential. To the east, there is a gas station, an office complex and a church, as well as single-family, and to the west is single-family residential.

Next slide. These are some photos of the subject property. The top photograph is looking at the site along River Road. The one next to that is the existing kind of gravel drive that runs into the site, and then the bottom photograph is looking at the site from Timber Ridge. There's a vehicle to
the left of the site. That's Forest Cove Drive which separates this site from the adjacent gas station.

Next slide. These are the surrounding properties. The top photograph is showing the intersection of Timber Ridge and River Road. You can see it's heavily treed along the opposite side of Timber Ridge, and then there's a portion of the site that is adjacent to it that is owned by the City of Prospect, and that site, that part of the site also has trees in it.

The larger -- or the photo underneath is looking across River Road, and then the photo underneath that is looking at the commercial center that's across from Forest Cove Drive. The top photograph is adjacent to the site, it's one of the single-family res -- residences that is adjacent.

And then the bottom photograph is showing the Kroger gas station which is located along the other side of Forest Cove. You can also see there's a bike lane that runs along Timber Ridge, as well.

Next slide, please. This is the applicant's development plan. To the -- at the top of the -- of
the development plan is the -- is Timber Ridge Drive. River Road is to the left of the site.

There's kind of a -- a pathway where I showed you in one of the existing photographs where a drive lane was, a gravel drive, that kind of meanders through the site, but the development portion of the site is located mainly toward the Timber Ridge -- or the Kroger shopping center which is located on the other side of Timber Ridge.

The squarish portion to the right is the Kroger gas station, and then there's an office complex and a church that are in that same vicinity.

If you could press the next -- there's a -- if you could press the button again. Okay. This is the waiver exhibit. This is where the buffer and the easement overlap. That's along Forest Cove Lane. The planting requirements and buffering requirements will still be met in that buffer, and what -- the overlap here is an access easement in that bluish area.

Next slide, please. Cornerstone 2020 applies to the site, as well as the Ohio River Corridor Master Plan. The Ohio River Corridor Master Plan was adopted as part of Cornerstone 2020. That plan -- that plan recommended that Upper River

Road which is designated as a scenic byway have design controls adopted.

Those design controls would ideally have dealt with buffers and setbacks. The Land Development Code has had the Ohio River Special -- Corridor Special District reserved in Chapter 3 since 2003, but nothing has ever been fulfilled with that. So there are no spe -- specific recommendations for the site in that master plan.

The Land Development Code also applies to the site. And then, in 2010, River Road Corridor management plan was being worked on, but that -that proposal was never adopted, but some of the things that came out of that were indicating that a stretch of River Road from Harrods Creek to Mayfair Avenue embody many of the rural elements characteristic of the scenic byway and have that be preserved.

It also indicates providing a multi-use trail connection from Timber Ridge north along a portion of Mayfair Avenue to help link Prospect and area neighborhoods to the river. Timber Ridge is -- is an important link to the River Road byway and proving that this intersection to accommodate pedestrian crossings will allow greater access to
the corridor, and then further it talks about cycling in the area.

Next slide, please. All the technical review comments have been addressed for the proposal.

Next slide. The proposal is located in the village form district. The proposal is located adjacent to a center, but the zoning is not request -- is not for mixed use. The proposal is for high density zoning in an area that has other zoning districts that permit high density residential.

Open spaces provided along River Road in the form of an existing wooded area where an intermittent stream runs through a portion of the area. The proposal is located just outside the village center forum. The proposal is located adjacent to an existing activity center that has been created along Timber Ridge Drive.

River Road is a major arterial, and there is an established non-residential shopping center located across Timber Ridge from the site. High density has a lesser impact when located next to other high density or higher intensity uses because the infrastructure in those areas have been or are designated for high volumes. With the
proposal being located across the street from the higher intensity uses, the result is an efficient use of land.

The existing strip centers in the area will serve -- will be served by the high density residential proposal. Existing and proposed sidewalks on the site and around the adjacent area will encourage alternative modes of transportation.

Next slide, please. So your required actions are the change in zoning from $\mathrm{R}-4, \mathrm{R}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{OR}-1$ to R-7. You'll be making that recommendation to Metro Council, the waiver from Chapter 10 for 50\% overlap of an easement into a landscape buffer area, and then the revised district development plan with amendments to binding elements.

Are there any questions for staff?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Before we ask any questions, I should have said this before, could everybody silence their cell phones? A lot of times we remember to do that, but I should have reminded everybody to please silence your cell phones so we're not interrupted.

Commissioners questions for Julia? None? Okay. We'll move on to the applicant.

MR. POTTS: Is it permissible for me to
ask a question of Ms. Williams?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes, sir.
MR. POTTS: Just for purposes of the
record, my name is Grover Potts, 2500 PNC Plaza, Louisville, 40202, and the I'm city attorney for the City of Prospect.

Could we go back to the slide before this?
MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
MR. POTTS: Whoops. No. Keep --
MS. WILLIAMS: Keep going --
MR. POTTS: Keep going.
MS. WILLIAMS: -- forward. Again.
Again. Again.
MR. POTTS: Yeah. Right there.
MS. WILLIAMS: That's --
MR. POTTS: No. Keep --
MS. WILLIAMS: No?
MR. POTTS: No. One more
MS. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry. Next one.
MR. POTTS: Right there. In the first of the -- of your analysis there you say high density zoning in an area that permits high density residential, and I take it from that that what you're talking about is the commercial zoning that's in the village form district, the $C-1$; is that correct?

MS. WILLIAMS: That's talking about the C-1, but also the OR-1 permits high density residential, as well.

MR. POTTS: And -- but $O R-1$ is one of the zones that they're going to be changing; correct?

MS. WILLIAMS: [nods]
MR. POTTS: Okay. So really just the C-1 would be af -- after -- if there were a zoning change would be the only one that would be high density?

MS. WILLIAMS: After the -- they're not changing the $\mathrm{C}-1$. So there's $\mathrm{C}-1$ in the area, but they're not changing the $\mathrm{C}-1$ on the site but $\mathrm{OR}-1$ which they're changing to the same density that is permitted. That would be the only that's being changed.

MR. POTTS: And there is no high density housing either on this property or within the area surrounding the property; correct?

MS. WILLIAMS: No. There's medium density located across the street, but not high density.

MR, POTTS: And medium density being $R-5 A ?$

MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Sir.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Maybe
one question.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Go ahead.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Do --
the density that they're asking for, could that be
accommodated in OR-1?
MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Or is it
the he -- Is the height an issue in OR-1?
MS. WILLIAMS: No.
MR. SPEAKER: Could you say that
again, the question you asked?
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: If this
density that they are proposing is allowed in the
OR-1 zone.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: And the -- and the
answer was "yes."
MR. SPEAKER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. We'll move
on to the applicant now.
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Julia,
then why are they requesting $R-7$ if it's allowed in OR-1?

MS. WILLIAMS: The entire site is not zoned OR-1. A portion of the site is $R-4$, a portion of the site is $R-5 A$, and then a small portion of the site is zoned OR-1.

So they are requesting the zoning change for the -- the entire portion of the site that they own to $\mathrm{R}-7$ to make it all one zoning district. Technically, they would not have to change the zoning for the OR-1 portion.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I'm sure the applicant can speak to that.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Thank
you. I just needed to know which --
MS. WILLIAMS: Sure.
MR. POTTS: I have a follow-up question. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes, sir.

MR. POTTS: My follow-up question is
that the part of the site is -- that is $R-4$ constitutes a majority of the 9 acres; is that correct?

MS. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes. Okay. All
right. Okay. We'll move --
MS. WILLIAMS: If you -- oh. I was just going to talk --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Go ahead.
MS. WILLIAMS: If you could pull up the applicant's presentation, it's called Prospect Cove?

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Cliff, you have one hour.

MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you, Mr.
Commission -- Mr. Chairman and members of the commission. I apologize. My name is Cliff Ashburner. I'm with Dinsmore \& Shohl.

By way of introduction, I'm here on behalf of LDG Development. Chris Dischinger and Michael Gross from LDG are here, as well. Kelli Jones with Sabak Wilson \& Lingo, our land planner, is here. She'll be testifying in a little bit. Diane Zimmerman with CDM Smith performed our traffic study, and she will have some testimony for you, as well, as well as Vadim Kaplan of Studio $A$ Architecture.

I think that what $I$ would like to do in this case -- and if you can advance the slide, please -is to take us from really a 30,000-foot view and
talk about why this project is necessary and what kind of demographic changes are happening in the country and in our community, and then get down to the how the project is going to happen and look at the design of it, the impacts that the project may have on the area, and bring it down to the comprehensive plan, which is, after all, what this body looks to when making a recommendation to the metro council.

So the first slide you see here is taken from the U.S. Census Bureau website. This is the ACS demographic housing estimate. This gives some fairly up-to-date information.

I actually recently filled out one of these for my own home. They ask lots of questions about who you are, how many children are in the house, your income, race, all kinds of things in order to provide detailed data to the federal government about who is living where in between the decennial census.

Next slide, please. On this slide you'll see a comparison of Jefferson County as a whole, and that's on the left side, and Prospect City. And I think what you will see here is a couple of things. First, there are about 750 -- 756,000 people in

Jefferson County. There are about 4800, probably 4900 in the City of Prospect.

The median age in the county is about 38
years old. The median age in Prospect is about 51. You will hear testimony later from people who are not part of our presentation in chief about how the population is aging and the number of people who would be eligible for this project, and just -- I know we talked about this at LD\&T, I know the neighbors have heard about this, but this is an age-restricted, meaning 55 and older, apartment community.

So as you can see, there is a lot -- there is a -- a very large population even in the area, larger as a portion of the overall population than it is in the entire county of people over 55. These are people who would be eligible to move into the project today.

Next slide, please. So these are the -- the key census data take-aways. First, about 60\% of Prospect's population is at or near the age. Currently, 40\% could move in, assuming they qualify, you know, with -- with regard to everything else, but there are that many people of that age in this area of the county compared to the overall
county where 27\% qualify.
You will also likely hear later about the average home size and number of people. I know there's been some news. I think Mayor Evans was interviewed on the news the other day about 750 people living in this building.

Well, that's not going to be the case. And as you can see, even right now in the households that are in Prospect, they very much match the size that's in Louisville or Jefferson County as a whole; about 2.3 people per household compared to 2.2 for Jefferson County as a whole.

Next slide, please. So these are -- the next two slides are slides that came from the Vision Louisville project. I know some of you-all, I -- I would assume many people in the audience heard about that in 2013. We had quite a bit of public engagement.

And these were some of the projections. If Louisville did nothing different than what we currently do, you can see in the center on the right-hand column 58\% population growth at retirement age. This is -- this is a very big wave.

There are 10,000 people per week retiring right now, 10,000 people per week baby boomers
who are retiring. Two times the number of people over 80. So you can see that that is -- there is a tremendous demand.

Next slide, please. Now, if things change a little bit, if we do some things a little bit differently with regard to development and business attraction and -- and things like that, our population will grow faster than the pace it has in the past. You'll see up there the goal would be to have 12 elder care communities, be a national leader in elderly care.

So there is a desire. You've -- you've probably heard it from GLI and other business organizations about the fact that this is a sector in our community really that could that grow that's prime to grow. We have a lot of expertise here.

Next slide, please. So if we know the demand exists or is likely to exist in Prospect or in the area of Prospect, where in the area of Prospect would you put a project that could accommodate a significant number of people at or near the retirement age?

And when you look at where you would like to put a large group of people at or near the retirement age, understanding that you want more
compact development, you want people to be able to have quick access to services without getting in their cars, you have a -- a few sites, and one of them is a site that has been empty, that was previously approved for multi-family and office, and that's the site that you have before you tonight, the Prospect Cove site. You can see it on the slide here just to the right of the letters that make up Prospect Cove site.

You see also the Kroger center. Just above that is the Smithfield Greene project, which is, no doubt about it, much lower density than we are proposing for this project tonight, but It is a multi-family project. And then you see -- you know, the vast majority of Prospect is made up of single-family subdivisions.

That's partly topography. Harrods Creek does run right next to the city. And it's partly just the style of development around a golf course.

Next slide, please. So here is a closer look at the subject property. And I think as Julia mentioned before, the Ohio River Corridor Plan talks about how things should look along the river, as well as River Road, although no regulations in that plan actually apply to this particular property,
and the Upper River Corridor study, which is still in draft form, also addresses this area, and it talks about preserving the rural character of this area.

What you see here is you see, obviously, the subject property, the gas station, the Kroger fuel station that is right below the word "Lane." To the left there you see a large wooded area that has, you know, a drainage area in the middle, a creek that runs by the property. It also runs by Smithfield Greene. And eventually, it gets down and kind of veers away from River Road and empties into Harrods Creek.

What the applicant proposes and what Kelli will come up and speak about in a little bit is to preserve as much of that, as much of the drainage area, wooded area between River Road and the development area as possible, making the development very compact, trying to use land that can be used that is next to services, and protect as much as possible the natural areas.

Next slide, please. These next few slides are just going to give you an idea of the village that really is at the center of Prospect. This is Timber Ridge Drive as it -- as it exists. There's the entrance to the center there.

Next slide, please. This is the Walgreens which is at the corner of U.S. 42 and Timber Ridge.

Next slide, please. There is -- there's the Kroger. That Kroger recently underwent an expansion, and that center, obviously, it has Kroger, Kroger, I think, wine and spirits, as well as some other uses in there, restaurants.

Next slide, please. This is the subject property basically from this -- kind of the south end of the subject property looking back across the fuel station toward the shopping center, which is there in the foreground, and then Smithfield Greene is kind of off a little bit of ways.

Next. This is looking into the site again. That's the stand of trees that exists between River Road and the area really where the development of this property will occur if we're successful tonight.

Next slide, please. This is from the entrance at Smithfield Greene looking into the property. One thing that I did not hear Julia mention but will become apparent when we get our plan of is a significant chunk of property at the corner, the actual corner of Timber Ridge and River Road is owned by the City of Prospect, and I believe the
city has improved it recently and improved the frontage along Timber Ridge with some additional trees. As we get into the plan itself, we'll talk a little bit more about that.

Next slide, please. This is looking right into the site. The building will be basically off to the left, probably about where the sign is. And you see the -- the large stand of trees there by River Road.

Next slide, please. This is standing in Mayfair Avenue looking into the site. You can see, again, trying to maintain the character along River Road.

Next. And that is the fuel station roof that you can sort of see through that underbrush.

Next slide. Here is a version of the plan superimposed on an aerial. You can see it -- it is certainly a substantial development. There's no way to get around that. It is a -- it is a large building, but the building is compact in the sense that it is located as far away from the natural areas as possible, and it's an attempt, as I said, to meet this very large demand that is coming for senior housing in an area that has a lot of seniors next to services in a way that -- that makes sense.

Next slide, please. So the subject property is not from nowhere. This property was rezoned in 2006. There was an approval for two condominium buildings, medical office, and a branch bank. The branch bank became the Kroger fuel station, the medical office buildings were never built, and the condominium buildings, as you can guess by the date of their approval, were never built, either.

2006 was a bad time to get a condominium approved if you were going to try to build in 2007 or 8. In 2008, a variance was approved for the two condominium buildings to allow them to be 45 feet tall. That happens to also be the height of the proposed building we're talking about tonight.

At the time of that variance, the City of Prospect was at the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing, actually spoke neither for, nor against. There was opposition. I think I saw Ms. Runyon walk in.

She was at that hearing and spoke in opposition to the height, but the height was ultimately approved by the Board of Zoning Adjustment. And then, in 2013, we had the change. I believe this was for the -- was for the
branch bank from $C N$ to $C-1$.
Next slide, please. This is what that plan looked like. It is similar in some respects and different in some respects to what we're proposing tonight. You had the two 45-foot tall condominium buildings located up close to Timber Ridge away from the creek north of Mayfair Avenue.

Mayfair Avenue, by the way, has since been closed. So that is -- that is now a part of the development site without an easement or public right of way on it. And the area, of course, up at the top right there that was previously for a branch bank is now the fuel station, and the medical office building, as I said before, just hasn't been built.

Now, this plan did not incorporate all of the property that we're talking about tonight, but $I$ think it's relevant because when you look at the impacts of any given project you need to look at the impacts offsite. What is someone standing in the Kroger parking lot going to see? What is someone driving on Timber Ridge Road going to experience in terms of delay perhaps?

And so that's why $I$ think this is relevant.
Now, an issue has been made about building
height. We think the fact that this site has already been approved for a 45-foot tall building, actually two of them, is very relevant, but Prospect is not a stranger, a complete stranger to taller buildings.

Next slide, please. Harrods Creek Overlook is on 42. These buildings are basically three habitable floors, tall ceilings. They -- they are very nice condominiums. They're right on Harrods Creek. They also have a parking story beneath.

Really depending on where you measure these buildings $I$ think you would be getting very close to 40 or 45 feet. Also, Harrods Landing has some three-story structures, but we can talk about that later.

Next slide, please. At this time, I'd like to bring Kelli Jones up to speak about her plan, how she came up with it, and provide you some more information.

MR. POTTS: Can I ask him a question?
Can I ask some questions [phonetic]?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Generally, we let
the applicant go through their presentation, and then we can take questions. Please. Thank you.

MR. POTTS: All right.
MS. JONES: Good evening. My name is

Court Reporting Services, Inc.

Kelli Jones. I'm with Sabak Wilson \& Lingo, 608 South Third Street, 40202 .

I want to start by talking a little bit about our process, about my process when I have a developer come to me with a proposed plan for a site. They -- LDG brought to me a plan of what they would like to do. The first thing I do is I take that plan, I find the property, I look up on LOJIC, I go through the code and do an analysis of what the requirements are for that site, perform district zoning, height, landscape requirements.

I look at the site constraints. I look at what environmental issues we might have. Is there flood plain? Are there protected streams? And I try to get as much information as I can on that subject site when it comes to me so that $I$ kind of have an idea of what needs to happen on this site and what we can do to develop it.

After that, $I$ take the applicant's plan that they provided me, and I make suggestions for changes. I say, well, this building is encroaching into a setback here. If we pull it back, we can get a -- we can get rid of a variance. If we pull this over a little bit, we can provide the required landscape buffer.

You know, we might have a waiver here, but if we increase the planting requirements in that wa -in that waiver area, then maybe we can justify the waiver that we're requesting. You know, we look at -- we look at what can we do -- if we do have an impact on the neighbors, what can we do to lessen those impacts? What can we do to make the project a little bit more palatable for those people that might be impacted?

And then we come up with a plan that fits more within the boundaries of the regulations that are -- that are applied to the site. On this particular plan, you can see we've got the large condo building with the parking surrounding it. I know there's been a lot of complaints about not having enough parking, but we are actually providing double what the Land Development Code requires in the way of parking.

The Land Development Code for senior housing requires 0.5 spaces per unit and 1 per 2 employees, which would be 101 spaces, not including any reductions that we would be allowed. We are actually providing 207 spaces, which is more than 1 space per unit.

I know we have someone here that's going to
talk a little bit about parking requirements at other similar facilities that LDG has, but I wanted to get those numbers in front of you now. So we'll come back to that conversation here in a little bit.

We are also providing a net gain in tree canopy. What you see on this plan is a tree planting plan that meets and actually exceeds the requirements of Chapter 10.2, the landscape portion of the -- of -- of Chapter 10. We've got a solid evergreen hedge along the south and the east there.

We've got trees planted within the parking lot, we've got landscape buffer up along the Timber Ridge Drive frontage, and we also are going to plant some additional trees within the valley area. I think we are going to do 35 additional trees in the valley. That brings us up to 50\% tree canopy coverage.

Right now the way the site exists today it's only got 49\%. So it's a little bit of an increase. Now, that's at planting. If you look at what this site will be once those trees are matured based on the numbers that the Land Development Code gives us for mature canopy allowance, then we're looking more at a much larger tree canopy area.

The other thing we're doing here is we are actually setting the buildings back a little bit further from Timber Ridge Road and from River Road than the previously approved plan. So we're -- right now our building is 405 feet from the edge of pavement of River Road and 99 feet from the edge of pavement of Timber Ridge Drive.

And then one last thing I want to touch on is we're also providing three and a half times the required amount of open space. A little bit of our open space is re -- is being provided there in the center where the pool is, and then, of course, we've got the larger open space to the -- to the west there. And that's -- again, we're required to provide 15\% open space, and we're providing three and a half times that amount.

One other thing I want to touch on, Julia mentioned the area of the landscape overlap waiver. I want to make a correction. There's actually a 10-foot utility easement that runs along the south property line. We have a 15-foot landscape buffer. So there -- that is also an area where we have a landscape easement overlap.

I just want to make sure that the record is correct. We will be pro -- providing all the
required plantings within that landscape buffer. It's -- you know, there's -- there's not going to be -- there's still going to be the green area, but there -- there -- it will be technically overlapping an easement.

With that, $I$ want to give the mic back to Cliff, unless you-all have any questions for me.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We'll wait until
everybody speaks, and then we'll ask questions.
MS. JONES: Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you. Next I'd like to ask Vadim Kaplan of Studio A Architecture to come up and talk a little bit about his building. Next slide, please.

MR. KAPLAN: Thank you. I'm Vadim
Kaplan, principal of Studio A Architecture, 2330 Frankfort Avenue, Louisville, Kentucky 40206 .

Our firm -- one of our firm's specialty is -- is that of senior care buildings. From age-restricted apartments to assisted-living, independent-living senior care, we have these -- we have -- we have been designing these projects all over the country from Texas to Florida, from Alabama to Cleveland in -- in Ohio. We also are no stranger in working in this particular area.

Our firm has designed the expansion of the Kroger store, as well as a couple projects for the Louisville Water Company across the street. In this case, we are proposing a 4-story structure, 196 units, a combination of 1- and 2-story building -- 1- and 2-story -- I'm sorry, 1- and 2-bedroom units. Exterior is proposed to be a combination of different types of masonry and cement siding.

Most of the units will have balconies. The type of a structure, again, the -- there's a -- that most of the structure on the River Road side, as you can see, will be mainly obscured from the river by existing vegetation on the -- on the -- you see the view from the Kroger parking lot. The building sits back about 100 feet, and it's, approximately, 45 feet tall.

If you -- do you have any questions for us?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We'll hold
questions until everyone has spoken.
MR. ASHBURNER: Next slide.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mr. Kaplan, I need
a speaker's form from you, please. I didn't have a speaker's form. Thank you.

MR. ASHBURNER: As Vadim mentioned,
the -- the building will be largely obscured based on existing trees, not -- not just the 35 trees that we plan to add. This is a view from Mayfair with the building dropped into it, and as you can see, it is very difficult to see.

Right on the right-hand side through a clearing you can sort of see the back corner, but as a reminder, this is a person standing about 6 feet tall looking about 425 feet through trees. So -- and we -- we did take this picture, I guess, in the fall, maybe late fall. So we wanted to give you a good idea of what the -- what the property would look like.

All right. Next slide, please. Before I bring Diane Zimmerman up, you know, we've talked to you about why the project is happening, and Kelli has talked to you about how she looked at the design. You heard from Vadim about his experience in the area and -- and his design.

But we understand that every development everywhere has an impact on a neighbor.

Everything that is new that changes the way things happen in your part of the world matters. And so we -- we have Diane Zimmerman here to talk about traffic and why, you know, this proposed
development, a senior development, really lessens the impact as opposed to traditional multi-family. So I'm going to let Diane come up and talk about her methods and -- and what she found in her traffic study.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Good evening. Diane Zimmerman with CDM Smith, 9420 Bunsen Parkway, Suite 225, Louisville, 40220.

I prepared the traffic impact study for this project. If you'd go to the next slide, please. I'd like to start with a map which identifies how this project fits into the transportation system and identifies the three intersections that were selected by transportation planning for the analysis, and those intersections are the intersection of U.S. 42 at Timber Ridge Drive, River Road at Timber Ridge Drive, and the access point of Forest Cove to Timber Ridge Drive.

I would also like to note right here on the existing conditions I have noted that the -- I had misstated that the road is maintained by Louisville Metro. It is, indeed, maintained by the City of Prospect at this lo -- Timber Ridge Drive in its entirety.

Next slide, please. So we -- the next step
after we've identified what intersections we are going to analyze, we -- I go through the process of making traffic counts at the -- the proposed intersections. At the time that we made these traffic counts in October, the Holiday Manor Kroger was still closed.

All the Kroger prescriptions were being handled by the Prospect Kroger. So I believe there was a little bit of additional traffic that would be abnormal to the situation, but it was counted at that particular time. And also the Bridges Project was still under construction, there was quite a number of construction vehicles present in the mix.

The peak hours occurred between 7:15 and 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 and 6:00 p.m. The trips will be distributed to the highway network with 25\% going downtown-ish. I won't say they were all headed downtown, but perhaps Zorn Avenue, something like that. Approximately, 25\% on River Road.

The majority of the traffic, however, will utilize U.S. 42 also heading into town either to the Gene Snyder or further into the Watterson or places in between. A -- a small percentage, 5\%,
could be anticipated to go north out of the site. The senior adult housing here at the very bottom in Table 1 says that this development of this size would generate 39 a.m. peak hour trips with 13 entering and 26 existing, 49 total p.m. peak hour trips with 26 entering and 23 exiting.

Next slide. Then we take the traffic counts, we go -- we apply a growth factor for other developments in the area. That creates our no-build scenario. And then we add the development traffic, and that creates our build scenario.

This Table Number 2 summarizes the level of service results for the analysis for the three scenarios. Where we're wanting to concentrate on looking is our 2020 build. We're primarily looking for anything in the Louisville Metro area that is level service $E$ or $F$ and to determine if there are any Es or Fs that need to be improved as a result of this analysis. Primarily, though, we're also looking at the inter -- how the intersection functions as a -- as a whole.

So the signalized intersection of Timber Ridge Drive and U.S. 42 is currently operating at level service $B$ in the morning peak hour. When this
development is fully in place, it will operate at level service B. In the p.m. peak hour it is currently operating at level service B, and with this development in place it will move to level service C.

The conclusions are that, based upon this volume of traffic generated by this development and forecasted for the year 2020, there will be a minimal impact to the highway network. No improvements are recommended at any of the intersections to mitigate the impact.

Oh, next -- next slide. It has been commented publicly that perhaps it's inappropriate to use the senior apartment numbers and that perhaps it would be more appropriate to look at regular apartments or un-age-restricted apartments, and so we did a -- I did a comparison of the trip generation.

You can see that in the morning if these were regular apartments like we've done in other places around Metro Louisville that we would generate 101 peak -- a.m. peak hour trips, and 127 p.m. peak hour trips.

Next slide. And then -- then I also did the -computed the level of service calculations. If you
look at the far right column of this slide, that is the p.m. 2020 peak hour build using the apartments, and you can compare how -- what, if any, difference it would make if these were considered regular apartments and not specifically senior. The critical intersection of Timber Ridge Drive at U.S. 42 continues to operate at level service C with 25 seconds of delay.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. About 28 minutes left.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay. I'll try not to use all of that time. All right. So you've heard that -- Diane, who is a -- a recognized expert in area, what she thinks about traffic, what -- what she using the ITE manual determined traffic to be.

We also were asked by the staff to look at pedestrian mobility, and I think that makes perfect sense. We're in a village form district which is supposed to be an area that is walkable, that is bikeable. And so what you have here is a mobility exhibit that shows existing sidewalks, proposed sidewalks, and bike lanes.

We also had conversations, although, you know, Timber Ridge is a City of Prospect street, and the City of Prospect is here opposing the
project with a significant budget for that opposition. We did not approach the City of Prospect about improving Timber Ridge Drive, because we thought that that would be a fruitless effort.

But we did discuss -- next slide, please -- how best to get pedestrians across Timber Ridge Drive, and in speaking with Metro Public Works employees, Pat Johnson, in -- in particular, we discussed everything from just striping a crosswalk to a raised crosswalk to an item called a Hawk, which is a hand-operated crosswalk. You may be familiar with those.

There are two in the community. One is at Sixth Street right in front of city hall where you have the signal and you've got the crosswalk and lights above you. The other is on River Road.

We did not think that that -- in that discussion that that kind of improvement would be necessary or warranted here, but curb extensions could be, as well as some kind of physically marked either something like this -- next slide, please -- or a raised crosswalk might make sense having the dual benefit of providing a safe pedestrian way across the street between this population and the Kroger
center and the services and the businesses that are available there and calming traffic a little bit on Timber Ridge. Timber Ridge as I think was noted in Diane's presentation is uncontrolled between River Road and U.S. 42, except by other cars. There are no stops in the middle.

Next slide, please. Next I'd like to talk about another project in this area that is very similar to what we're proposing tonight, although smaller in scale. I want to talk about a couple aspects of it, and specifically with regard to parking.

You know, one of the things that we have heard from the neighborhood meeting, through statements on social media, and in the press is the parking is just absolutely not going to work. Everybody who moves in here is going to have two cars or multiple cars, and there will be such a population with so many vehicles that it won't work.

So, in addition to the fact that the Land Development Code recognizes a difference between senior housing and just standard apartments, because you have a lower percentage of the population who are actually working, and then a portion of the population who may be unable
to drive, unwilling to drive, we -- we think -- we think that an actual real world example within our community made sense.

So Brookstone Senior -- go to the next slide, please -- it's off of Hurstbourne Lane near Stony Brook. This is what the building looks like. It's a three-story building. You've got a little drive-through there. It is surrounded by other office and commercial uses.

Actually, can you go back up one slide, please? Thank you. Between the building and Hurstbourne are some offices, and then there are other retail/office uses. There's also a firehouse out there. And then, of course, behind the building you see a single-family neighborhood, which is, you know, not too far away from the back of the building.

Okay. Next slide. And the next slide, please. So these are just some -- some stats about Brookstone. Number 1, it's 56 units, they're all 2-bedroom units. The property is about 2-1/2 acres, which means, you can do the math on the density, it's about the same density that we're proposing tonight. So it's close to 20.

There are 70 total parking spaces. And we
had the property manager go out there and check the parking lot at intervals throughout the day for about a week, and the average daily parking in the morning was 32 cars. The afternoon was 28 cars.

These are cars in the lot, and these were tak -- these -- these counts were taken at 8 a.m. maybe before some people went to work and all the way through the day in the afternoon. So there is a real world example that provides I think some credence to what the Land Development Code says about how many people are actually going to be driving and parking in a senior development like this.

Next slide, please. This project, Jefferson Crossings, just recently opened. It's actually an inter-generational community. So it -- it has a parking area, but it actually shares with a -what's called a family project, which is a -- just a non-age-restricted project. It has 62 units, all of them 2-bedroom, and because it is still lease [phonetic] we don't have parking counts for it, but this is another project that LDG is doing that opened just today.

All right. Next. So every zoning decision in Kentucky is based on a comprehensive plan. Does
the project comply with the comprehensive plan, or does it not? If it doesn't, if there have been changes, significant economic, social changes in the community to -- sufficient to -- to allow for a deviation from the plan; okay?

In this case, if you look at the checklist that's provided by your staff, if you look at our justification, you'll see -- and some of you may know -- that there are some parts of the compre -comprehensive plan that apply, some that don't, and that this project does comply with the comprehensive plan. I'd like to pull out a couple of items to talk about.

First, as a -- somewhat of a preliminary matter, the village form district description says -the village form is characterized by predominantly low to medium density residential uses; okay? And I think you're probably going to hear about that later. Predominantly does not mean completely, as you all well know.

And in certain circumstances, high density can make sense even if the comprehensive plan says, well, a village has predominantly low to medium density. This is that case. This is a case where high density does not carry with it the same kinds
of impacts that you might find in another project.
If you had a high density unrestricted apartment community, number one, it wouldn't fit on the site, because in an unrestricted situation you would be required to provide 300 parking spaces, and there's not the land for 300 parking spaces on this site. So this site as proposed tonight will absolutely be an age-restricted community.

As you've -- as I showed you with the example of Brookstone and as is recognized in the Land Development Code, parking numbers for senior projects really are lower. It's not a -- a made-up statistic. They really are lower. The volume of traffic that comes from a senior project really is lower than what would come from an unrestricted project.

Now, moving on to compatibility.
Compatibility is a -- is a core principle of our comprehensive plan. A couple of guidelines here. Guideline 3 is compatibility.

Policy 3, [reads] Residential Compatibility: Encourage residential character that is compatible with adjacent residential areas. allow a mixture of densities as long as there are compatible adjacent
residential areas, and different density categories may require actions to mitigate nuisances.

Examples of mitigation include vegetative buffers, open space, landscaping, site design, building design.

As you've seen from our presentation, as you have heard Kelli's explanation of her design process, Vadim's explanation of the building -- if you could go back up to the cover page of Cornerstone 2020. Thanks. You can see that the mitigation, to the extent that it's needed, really is there.

An increase in tree canopy, a low volume of traffic, increasing the distances from public rights of way compared to the previously approved plan which had two buildings of the exact same height. When they talk about higher density -- Policy 11, [reads] locate higher density and intensity along transit corridors and in or near activity centers.

Well, transit corridors are temporary in many cases. TARC, as you know, from the time this was adopted in 2000 to now, has changed its routes. There used to be a route that ran out River Road. There's not one anymore. So, even though there is transit that goes down -- up and down U.S. 42, I
think the more important part of this is the activity center.

Is -- if you are going to put housing that would serve older people in an area where there are a lot of older people, where would you put it? If you had a place right next to a shopping center, you would put it right there. That's where it would go. And I think that's recognized in the staff report, and I certainly hope we've discussed it tonight.

Next slide, please. Moving on to additional plans that have some impact. The Ohio River Corridor Master Plan, I think as Julia discussed, was a plan that was done in 1996 prior to the comprehensive plan that was -- was made a part of the comprehensive plan, and the regulations that -- that were supposed to give it a little bit more life never really came to fruition.

And you can see -- next slide, please. Let's go ahead and go to the -- the following one. Thank you. This is a closeup of the area. There are a variety of features noted here. You can see the scenic byway there at the -- kind of just outside of the color. That's River Road, that's Upper River Road, and of course, we're on the
opposite side of that.
So we don't really feel that the Ohio River Corridor Master Plan directly addressed this property. To the extent that it did, it did by saying you should preserve the rural character along Upper River Road. That recommendation -- next slide, please -- was carried through to the River Road Scenic Byway Corridor Management Plan, and we think that we have met that intent -- next slide, please -- by providing the very large buffer and by providing additional trees within the natural area between the building and River Road.

Next slide, please. So back to the project. As I said before, we believe that the proposal complies with the comprehensive plan, we think that there is sufficient evidence in the record based on the plan itself, our justification, the staff's analysis for you to recommend approval of the rezoning.

Next slide, please. And we would ask that you do that. We would ask that you also approve the detailed district development plan, approve the waiver to allow the LBA to overlap. And with that understanding, I don't have much time left. I would like to allow some of the other speakers in
support to come up and speak.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. I'm
assuming David Mindel, I had him -- I had -- is
David Mindel speaking, or --
MR. ASHBURNER: I --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: They were listed,
but I didn't see them.
MR. ASHBURNER: I don't see them,
either.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: I wonder if they -- did they get in there by accident?

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Next -- next
for speaking we have Cathy Hinko.
MS. HINKO: My name is Cathy Hinko.
I'm with the Metropolitan Housing Coalition. We are a non-profit organization that does research, policy analysis, education, and advocacy on fair and affordable housing issues.

And you've already heard and I hope you have gotten our state of Metropolitan Housing report where we do nine measures of fair and affordable housing and a focus topic, and this year we chose -- because by 2040 -- and if you turn to Page 3, you will see by 2040 we will have doubled
our population of seniors, and -- and most of them will be women. So we already know that there is a feminization of poverty, that women have less income as they age. So we are looking at female-headed households.

We also know that 40 to $42 \%$ of people over the age of 65 have a disability, so we're looking at disability. And we also know that poverty rates for people with disability is 25\%, poverty rates for people who are African-American is 30\%, and poverty rate for people who are Latino is also around 30\%. So we're looking at race, ethnicity, national origin, sex, and disability as we look at who might be occupying this.

Now, let's first look at the need for this kind of housing. Less than 1\% of our housing is accessible. This project, 198 units specifically for seniors, will have wide -- wider hallways, wider doorways, will have infrastructure to make it accessible, and there will actually be 10
handicapped-accessible units and 4 units for audio/visually impaired.

We have so few units that just doing this is a public service, and one that we really need. And -- and what is also interesting, if you look at

Page 3, then look at Page 4, since District 16 has one of the oldest -- the highest percent of people over 65, they also have one of the highest percents of people who are coming up on 65, the boomers.

But if you go to Page 5, what you will see is an almost preternaturally absence of people with disability. But you can find out where people who are over 65 and have a disability live by going to Page -- I will tell you, sorry -- Page 23. So we have -- yes. So we have confined people with disabilities to a small geographic area who are over 65.

We have emptied oursel -- if you look at who lives in District 15 in terms of races and -- and national origin and -- and -- you will also find that there -- it's a total emptiness in District 16. This will only improve District 16 and will only improve Louisville altogether as we need this housing. It is by a grocery store and transit. What could be more perfect?

It is dense, and that is what -[laughter]

MS. HINKO: And that is --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Please. Please.

Please let her speak.
MS. HINKO: And that is -- and if you don't want a lot of traffic, what could be more perfect than being able to get to the grocery store and to the pharmacy? It is by a bus line. It is exactly what we need, and in Cornerstone 2040, this -- the mayor of Louisville -- so there's Prospect, and then there's Louisville, and the mayor of Louisville is issuing a fair housing assessment to be used by all parts of city government so that when things are proposed that is something you should be looking at. And the mayor of Louisville has included equity in -- in revising 20 -- 2020 to become 2040 .

So for all these reasons, in addition to what has already been said, this project should be approved.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[boos]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: John Johnson. [boos]

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Planning Commission. My name is John Johnson, and I reside at 6108 Fox Cove Court in Prospect, Kentucky 40059.

My wife and $I$ have been residents of the Prospect community for nine years. I rise to speak in support of the direction -- of the erecting the complex for seniors on Forest Cove Lane. We have always found the City of Prospect and its surrounding communities to be friendly, inviting, and welcoming.

Every citizen, especially our seniors, deserve to live in decent, affordable and safe housing. The proposed location is ideally suited to provide much needed services for our elderly population. It is said that a moral test of society is how we treat those who are in the twilight of life, our elderly.

They are our honored and highly respected citizens. Just 16 days ago, my friends, we celebrated the 88th birthday of Martin Luther King, Junior. During his lifetime, Dr. King made many trips to Louisville to support open and fair housing.

As the executive director of the Kentucky Commission on Human Rights, I am deeply committed to the fair housing laws enacted in 1968 directly after the assassination of Dr. King to affirmatively further fair housing in our nation.

Embracing diversity in Prospect only serves to make us prouder, more loving, and gives us a better place to live. As citizens of an enlightened community, we must resist the temptation to succumb to fears that are not worth worrying about.

Our core values of faith and conscious call on us to welcome the stranger and to greet and to care and to protect the vulnerable. We have a sign that greets residents and guests entering our community. It simply says, "Welcome to Prospect."

Let's protect the dignity inherent in that welcome and not yield to the impulse that would instead send the wrong message, a message that says not in my backyard. Thank you for the opportunity to address you this evening.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Next I -- is it Michael Gross, or is it --

MR. GROSS: I'm --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MR. GROSS: I'll pass.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Irv
Martin.
MR. MARTIN: Yes. Good -- good
evening. I'm a genuine contented senior citizen.

Don't you all see one alive. And I live in Brookstone.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mr. Martin, would you mind giving us your name and address, please?

MR. MARTIN: Irv Martin, 2821 Biggin Hill Court, Louisville, Kentucky 40220.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MR. MARTIN: And that's the -- you saw pictures of the -- of the building a few minutes ago, the slide, but it doesn't do it justice. Living there is a pleasure, and it's everything I can think of. It's -- I might clear up, by the way -- by the way, there's no parking problem in the parking lot at -- at the place ever.

I've been -- I'm a late night owl, and I've been at that parking lot at 4 in the morning, it's never full. So we'll clear that up right off. So anyway, I want to say that being a senior citizen, $I$ just made it by the way, you've got to be 55 to live there. I made -- just made it by 20 years. So -- so I've been there 6 years. I was there when it opened. And nothing has been more than pleasurable.

They take care of you, what your needs are. It's a -- a place that you're never alone unless you
want to be. Every activity you can think of. They have a whole committee of ladies there that put out a calendar every month of things to do, which $I$ never participate in, because being a man you're -- you're -- you're very -- you're lonely.

As -- as you know, men die long before women, so most of the place are ladies, and they kind of run things, but it's fun. I have a great neighbor. She's a retired schoolteacher. As I said, I'm old, and she's young. She goes down and gets my Courier-Journal in the morning, because I -- I use a cane.

So it's a place that -- and then my biggest pleasure, $I$ don't call it an apartment, I call it a home. I live on the 3rd floor. You saw -- oh, there's a picture of it. Right there, that one at the top in the corner here, see it, that big balcony.

In the springtime, that has tomatoes, herbs, snow peas growing there. And I have my -- every year, and it's my little lovely place. So that's -that's my place in the sky.

So I'm trying to emphasize you get probably ideas about senior citizens, you know, what kind of homes they live, what kind of place they live in. It's -- the place is gorgeous. And name
something, they got it. Exercise room, bea --
beautiful party room. I have my Christmas party there every year for my family, which it consists of 18 people.

They have an exercise room. They've got a library that's gorgeous. Also, the biggest thing of all that's amazing, they have a computer room, and they supply the computer, believe that or not. So -- and they also have wi-fi. So there's nothing I can think of that $I$-- I can say against it. It's a great, great life. When you get old, just come out there and you'll really enjoy it

But I might -- now I want to tell you one other thing. I'm leaving, I'm moving soon, pretty soon. As soon as this place gets built on the river, I'm coming down there, because I live -- I love the river, and that's -- that's like going home. I used to live close to the river 30 years ago. For me, I'm going to have a big time. So I hope you build it. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you, Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Kevin
Dunlap.
MR. MARTIN: Huh? Oh.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Next speaker.
Kevin Dunlap.
MR. DUNLAP: Good evening. My name
is Kevin Dunlap, and $I$ am the executive director for Rebound, Inc., a non-profit housing development organization located at 1535 West Broadway, Louisville, Kentucky.

I come and I stand as a housing developer, a non-profit housing developer. One of the things as my role at Rebound, I am constantly looking all across this community for places and locations for fair and affordable housing. What we are lacking in this community is affordable housing across the entire community.

When this pr -- when this particular project surfaced, the first thing that $I$ immediately did, I immediately took a look at it from an affordable housing standpoint. Who would be moving into it? Who needs those units?

In my lifetime I have worked for organizations who were charged with finding and providing affordable housing for all people, and particularly for seniors, and one of the things that we have found is is the lack of those units are lacking in certain areas of this town. I go back to probably
about four or five years ago.
A 72-year-old woman by the name of Joyce approached me about trying to find a rental unit. Her family lived in the Prospect neighborhood. She wanted to move closer to them because of her failing health. Unfortunately, we were not able to help her find anything close that could -- that she could afford to pay.

A project like this is vital in this area of town. If you look at the plan -- the plan itself, it does absolutely nothing to distract with the natural character of Prospect. I love this area. I have many friends in this area. I have family in this area.

I -- so I stand here totally in support of this project, and I urge that you approve it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Joyce Garner.

MS. GARNER: My name is Joyce Garner. I live at 7300 Happy Hollow Lane in Prospect, 40059.

We all know there's a community-wide need for affordable housing. The way I see it is Prospect is a wonderful place to live. We as a
community decided on the village concept under Mayor Lonnie Falk. We want convenient services without becoming a regional service and shopping center.

As you know, we have a florist, we have a hardware store, we have a party mart, we have beauty salons, a post office, dentists, doctors, interior designers, dry cleaners, an art gallery, a car wash, coffee shops, drugstores, banks, et cetera, et cetera. Although it's a hike, I can walk to all these businesses.

I think affordable senior housing is a perfect fit for Prospect. The chosen location is walkable for seniors. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Mike
Thomas. Mike Thomas. Okay. Mr. Thomas? I thought you were coming to get me.

MR. THOMAS: My name is Mike Thomas. I live at 7207 River Road.

I come really with two hats. I come as a resident of Metro Louisville, because I live in the city ZIP code of Prospect, but I also, obviously as you can see, patrol the City of Prospect and have for the last ten and a half years. So I have a different perspective maybe than a lot of folks that
you're going to hear from this evening. I appreciate you-all coming out here this evening to hear us and hope that the decision goes the way we like.

There are a number of things to think about when we think about this project. If you look at this project up here on the wall, you see a structure that I think any of us would identify as being an apartment complex or possibly a senior care living facility, but when you look at the picture of what they proposed for Prospect, you see an institutional looking building. [applause]

MR. THOMAS: A -- a -- an industrial or commercial building. It doesn't look anything like a senior living home. It looks like someplace you're going to put them for -- that nobody's going to come see them.

The second -- I mean, another thing that $I$ looked at is that -- they talked earlier -[applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mr. Thom -- Mr. Thomas, you were list -- you were listed as -- as in support of this.

MR. THOMAS: I am in support of this.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MR. THOMAS: Oh, no, no, no, no, no. I'm sorry. I'm against this.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. You -- I'm sorry.

MR. THOMAS: Yeah. Apparently, I -you might have misplaced me here.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: You checked the box "support."

MR. THOMAS: Oh, sorry.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So I'll have to bring you back up in opposition.

MR. THOMAS: That's okay.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. THOMAS: That's fine. I -apparently, I did wrong.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I do have
speakers -- that's the last of the people in -except for Sadiqua Reynolds, are you -- in support?

MS. REYNOLDS: [nods head]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. You didn't have it checked, so I wanted to check. No problem. Were you sworn in, Sadiqua?

MS. REYNOLDS: I was. I was standing
in the back.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you.
MS. REYNOLDS: Yes, sir. Thank you so
much. I'm Sadiqua Reynolds. I'm a resident of Prospect. I'm at 10206 Worthington Lane, 40059.

And I am here in support of this project. I -- I think it has been said -- it is interesting. We all -you know, $I$ guess if we don't plan to die, we are going to have to have somewhere to live, and we have acknowledged, in fact, that there is a need for affordable housing, and, in fact, our population is increasing.

And I think $I$ even heard on the news at some point that there was agreement that this sort of project needed to happen, people do need a place to go, they need someplace to go. And so, if we have that much of an agreement, it seems to me that as a community we have got to work on figuring out how to do this.

I heard the term last night on the news, "We don't want to ghettoize this." Let me be clear about ghettoizing. When you concentrate affordable housing, the impact is ghettoizing. What we are trying to do here is make sure that
every part of the community shares in the load.
And we are talking about housing that is ranging from 32 -- people who make, what, anywhere from 32,000 maybe to 42,000. You're talking about the salary of a brand new schoolteacher. You're talking about the salary of a brand new police officer in some cases.

And I think it is very easy to sort of disconnect from the human part of this. And living in this community I really do understand this whole idea of the look and how we want things to look, but I also think we all have to plan for our futures and the futures of others.

And so I think that as we think through this project we have to acknowledge that there has been a traffic study done. We have to acknowledge what kind of annual incomes we're talking about and who we really are talking about. We really need to think about what happens with our parents as they age. Forget about what's going to happen with us.

I think the other things that were really important, I thought -- the fact that the infrastructure is actually designed for high volume. It is. It can take this. And if not here,
where? What do we do as we all grow older and our incomes change? What we have the power to earn today we won't always have the power to earn.

And so right now maybe we all are driving, but the reality is is senior locations of living spaces have been able to survive with fewer parking spaces because most people aren't driving, because there aren't usually two cars. So let's not just throw this out. Let's really think about what we are saying about our community and how we expect this community to take care of us and how we expect to take care of each other.

This should not be a room full of booing and hissing. We are talking about real people, real lives. And $I$ am a resident of this community. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. That is
the -- the last person that's in support. Is there anybody that we missed that came -- maybe came late and wanted to speak in support of this proposal?

Okay. Hearing none, Mr. Potts, you wanted to ask some questions?

MR. POTTS: I do.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Please come
forward.
MR. POTTS: The first person who I -whom I would like to ask some questions of is my good friend Mr. Ashburner. And by the way, at the outset let me suggest to you that the City of Prospect is -- is not taking a position with respect to being against affordable housing. That's not what we're here to say.

I -- I happen to be the chairman of a non-profit that owns 34 scattered site houses.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mr. Potts, is there a question here?

MR. POTTS: There is going to be a question.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. You're -it's -- it's really close to testimony. I would prefer that you stick with a question.

MR. POTTS: All right. Let me ask you a question. You have said that this is age-restricted. Would you define that for us, please?

MR. ASHBURNER: The age restriction that applies to this property is 55 or older. No one below 18 can live in the property. One person in
each unit must be 55 or older, and if there is someone younger than 55, they're either a spouse or a caregiver.

MR. POTTS: So there would be no provision -- there's -- there's no prohibition of having anyone 55 or younger living there? When you say age-restricted, it's only one person per unit; correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
MR. POTTS: So, theoretically, if you had a two-bedroom apartment and there were -- there were room -- there's room for four people in a two-bedroom apartment, there could be three people under the age of 55 if they were a spouse or if they were caregivers?

MR. ASHBURNER: The -- let's assume that we have a -- one person at 55 and her husband who's younger than 55, and they -- I would -- I would find it hard to believe that they would have two caregivers. The -- you know, the -- most of the occupants as -- well, it is theoretically possible. I think it is highly unlikely.

MR. POTTS: In one of your slides, you had the average per household in Prospect of 2.3 persons; is that correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And would you assume that that same average would hold true for the 198 units that would be constructed if this proposal is approved?

MR. ASHBURNER: No.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And how many would you propose would be occupying 198 units if this was proposed?

MR. ASHBURNER: I think about 250. I think there would be about 250 total occupants, maybe 275 .

MR. POTTS: Now, you did say that the vast majority of Prospect is single-family residential; correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: No. I -- I -- I -- I showed a map of Prospect, and I -- I think you're right, but I didn't say that.

MR. POTTS: Now, would -- would you tell us how this project is compatible under the Cornerstone 2020 with single-family residential?

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, as I said before, compatibility between different densities or intensities is largely -- or at least our community's goals for compatibility are expressed
through the Land Development Code, in particular, the landscaping chapter. And in this case, you have a buffer between single-family and this proposed development.

On the south side, there is -- I think there's one or two houses on the Head property. We -- we meet the landscape buffer requirements there, there's a -- a large evergreen hedge on the -- I'll call that the south -- I'm just going to say River Road is -- is running north and south here, although I know it's a little bit tilted.

On the River Road side, we've got 400 feet of woods that are going to be enhanced. So typically, what you do between uses is put buffers up and use distance and buffers to mitigate that impact to make compatibility work.

MR. POTTS: With respect to your
position that the buffer along River Road will be enhanced, can you tell us how long will -- it will take for the trees to grow into place where they would enhance it?
[applause]
MR. ASHBURNER: The trees will grow at the pace the trees grow.

MR. POTTS: And likewise, the supposed
buffer that you are putting between this development and the single-family residential to the south and west -- and I have questions for Kelli in a few minutes --

MR. ASHBURNER: This one might be one that she can better address than I.

MR. POTTS: Okay. All right. That's fine. If you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: No problem. I'm
sorry. Did you finish your question?
MR. POTTS: No, I have -- I'm going to --
I'm going to pose it to Kelli.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MR. POTTS: Instead of to -- to Cliff.
MR. ASHBURNER: I just wanted to get the right person up here to answer it.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Sure.
MR. POTTS: That's fine. All right. You
have -- in your testimony, Kelli, you said that there was going to be -- the -- the landscape proposed meets or exceeds the landscape requirements under the Land Development Code. MS. JONES: Yes, that is correct.

MR. POTTS: Okay. And you proposed a solid hedge. And how tall would that solid hedge
be when you put it in?
MS. JONES: When we put it in, the requirement is 6 feet tall for trees that are meeting the Land Development Code requirements, so it would be a minimum of 6 feet tall.

MR. POTTS: Okay. And if you were at one of the Head properties, do you know where they are in relation to this project?

MS. JONES: Is that to the south or to the --

MR. POTTS: To the south.
MS. JONES: Yes.
MR. POTTS: And you had a 6-foot hedge and you were standing out front of the Head house, would you see any of this four-story structure?

MS. JONES: At the time of planting, yes, but I would -- most likely, we're going to be talking pines or spruces, and those grow fairly rapidly. So within, you know, five or ten years, then you're going to have an adequate buffer.

MR. POTTS: Okay. So it -- it won't be an adequate buffer for five or ten years; correct?

MS. JONES: Probably not for five or ten years.

MR. POTTS: Okay. All right.

MR. ASHBURNER: The adequacy of the
buffer is judged by the Land Development Code, and I -- I think what Mr. Potts was saying was that
will the buffer be adequate to obscure the building completely, and of course not.

MR. POTTS: Now, the trees that you're going to plant in the area, the green area that's shown up there on the map, that is an area that is part of the flood plain; correct?

MS. JONES: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Can't build on the flood plain; right?

MS. JONES: Not unless you compensate.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And there is a large swath down the middle of that that was the -where MSD put in their 48-inch main; correct?

MS. JONES: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And you can't build over that?

MS. JONES: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And to the sides of
that there are fairly steep inclines; correct?
MS. JONES: There are some steep
slopes on the site; yes.
MR. POTTS: Okay. So you wouldn't
suggest to us that this is a park-like area where these senior residents would be willing to walk and -- and use that as a form of -- of -- of park exercise?

MS. JONES: I think that depends on the senior resident. I think some senior residents are very capable of walking down those -- that gravel drive that's there. Depends on the resident.

MR. POTTS: Okay. And is that gravel
drive going to remain there?
MS. JONES: I think that's up for
discussion, but for now $I$ think, yes, the plan is to keep it.

MR. POTTS: And is it going to be
improved; do you know?
MS . JONES: No.
MR. POTTS: Okay. All right. I think
that's all the questions $I$ have of Kelli. I'll go back to you.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay.
MR. POTTS: Now, you're aware that the
comprehensive plan has goals and policies and objectives and all of that; correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And you're -- are
you aware of Objective C. -- or C3. 3 which says that [reads] village districts shall generally be characterized by low density residential development with higher densities in the village center; correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: What page are you
on?
MR. POTTS: I don't know.
MR. ASHBURNER: I've got my comp plan in front of me here.

MR. POTTS: Well, it's C3.3.
MR. ASHBURNER: Goals and objectives.
Here we go. 19? Thank you. Actually, it's 22.
MR. POTTS: Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes. I do. I see that.
MR. POTTS: Okay. Now, how can you
characterize this as being low density? You're not
characterizing it as being low density; correct?
MR. ASHBURNER: No.
MR. POTTS: It's significantly higher density than anything around it; correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: I don't know that I
would -- I would say "yes," and -- and let me explain why.

MR. POTTS: Okay.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay? Development intensity is expressed in residential -- in the residential context by density; okay? Low density is zero to 5, medium density is 5 to 12. These are dwelling units per acre, ratio dwelling units per acre, with high density being anything over 12.

There's very high density, which is anything over 35. I would say that, yes, we are -- this is clearly a higher residential density than any surrounding residential use, but we are also directly adjacent to a fuel station, directly across the street from a -- I would to call it a regional or at least semi-regional shopping center. So development intensity as it's expressed through commercial I would say we're equal or less intense than the Kroger center.

MR. POTTS: Yeah. I -- I don't want to debate with you with respect to the shopping center, but that shopping center is not a regional center; it's a local center. That's the way it was an approved when it was rezoned by the City of Prospect.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay. And -- that's fine.

MR. POTTS: Now, so your -- your
testimony is that this higher density use is
appropriate because there's a gas station adjacent to it and there is a shopping center across the street from it?

MR. ASHBURNER: I mean, you -- you
were right here for my presentation.
MR. POTTS: Right.
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
MR. POTTS: Okay. Now, tell us why the existing zoning, that is the OR-1 and the $C$-- or the $R-5 A$ is no longer appropriate for this site in -in accordance with 10213.

MR. ASHBURNER: Using that factor is when the comprehensive plan does not apply. If the -- if you comply with the comprehensive plan, then you don't need to get to that level of analysis, and I think we've demonstrated tonight that we comply with the comprehensive plan.

MR. POTTS: Notwithstanding the fact that there are -- are numerous aspects of the comprehensive plan, specifically with respect to density that you don't comply with? This is not low density, it's not medium density --

MR. ASHBURNER: No.
MR. POTTS: -- correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: But you're also
familiar with the case law that says the comprehensive plan is a guide, not a straight jacket. And the language that you pointed me to, "shall generally be characterized," does not mean it shall be forever low density.

MR. POTTS: But you would agree that you're dropping this center into an $R$-- basically an R-4 zone; correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: No. A portion of the site is office, a portion of the site is multi-family. The site was --

MR. POTTS: But you're changing --
MR. ASHBURNER: The site was --
MR. POTTS: -- those; right?
MR. ASHBURNER: Well, yeah, of course we are.

MR. ASHBURNER: I mean, if we're successful.

MR. POTTS: All right. I have some questions now for this architect, Vadim.

MR. ASHBURNER: Vadim. Where did he go? I apologize. Vadim had to leave. You can strike his testimony if you want to.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We will have to do
that.
MR. ASHBURNER: Okay.
MR. POTTS: Diane Zimmerman, please.
Ms. Zimmerman, you indicated in your testimony and as indicated in your traffic impact study that the posted speed limit on Timber Ridge is 35; is that correct?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: There is no posting on Timber Ridge Drive.

MR. POTTS: Okay. And so do you know that in the City of Prospect the highest speed that -- in an unposted area is 25?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: It says on residential streets in your ordinance.

MR. POTTS: It -- the ordinance applies to every street in Prospect.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: It specifically says residential streets.

MR. POTTS: And this is a residential street because it services the condo development at the end; correct?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: I would not define Timber Ridge Grove as a -- Drive as a residential street.

MR. POTTS: Okay. How would you
define it? What would you say it's called?
MS. ZIMMERMAN: It's a collector level
road that -- that connects two arterial streets.
MR. POTTS: Okay. Notwithstanding the
fact that Metro Works defines it as a local road?
MS. ZIMMERMAN: I am not specific on
that.
MR. POTTS: Okay. If Metro Works defined it as a local road, would that change what you just said?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: No, it would not.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And the -- the main
traffic that comes from -- comes onto Timber Ridge Drive between U.S. 42 and River Road comes from where?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: It comes -- say it again.

MR. POTTS: Where -- where does the main traffic come from that goes in and out of Timber Ridge Drive; do you know?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: I'm -- I'm not
understanding your question.
MR. POTTS: Well, let me -- let me rephrase it.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Are you asking what
is their destination, or does it --
MR. POTTS: No.
MS. ZIMMERMAN: -- does it serve as
a -- a -- a higher level road?
MR. POTTS: No. I'm -- what I'm asking
you: Isn't it a fact that the main users of this road are people who shop in that shopping center?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, which are not
residents.
MR. POTTS: Okay. Are not necessarily residents; okay.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: But the shopping center is not a residential use.

MR. POTTS: Correct. And the office across from the shopping center is not a residential use, either; correct?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Okay. So the people that use that Timber Ridge Drive are using the services that are provided between River Road and U.S. 42, generally?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Correct. Sure.
MR. POTTS: Okay. Now, your -- your
traffic study was amended to include apartments; correct?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: No, it was not.
MR. POTTS: Well, I mean, you -- you
produced a slide or you gave information to Mr. --
MS. ZIMMERMAN: Yes.
MR. POTTS: -- Ashburner to produce a slide that included apartments?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And you chose when
you chose to do the -- the traffic study, you chose
to use the trip generation manual from the
Institute of Transportation Engineers; correct?
MS. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Okay. And that particular segment you chose to do was senior adult housing?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
MR. POTTS: Would you define for us what senior adult housing is in the ITE manual?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Sure. I brought it with me just for that purpose.

MR. POTTS: Okay. Thank you.
MS. ZIMMERMAN: Senior -- wait a minute. I'm getting old enough that $I$ have to have my glasses on. [reads] Senior adult housing consists of attached independent living
developments, including retirement communities, age-restricted housing, and active adult communities. These developments may include limited social or recreational services; however, they generally lack centralized dining and onsite medical facilities. Residents in these communities live independently and are ac -- typically active (requiring little or no medical supervision) and may or may not be retired.

MR. POTTS: Okay. And is there any age definition in -- with respect to senior assisted living?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: There is not.
MR. POTTS: Okay. So you don't know whether it's 55 or 65; correct?

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Correct. But it says it may include retired folks, generally being 62 or older. But it also says they have may not be retired.

MR. POTTS: That's all the questions I have for her.

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.
MR. POTTS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's all of the cross I have.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you, Mr.

Potts. We will open up now for the commissioners to ask questions of any of the -- any of the applicants or the people speaking in support. Chief.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: This will be for the applicant, and you know, sometimes I ask questions that the opposition may also wish to chime in on or be better to answer, but here we go. Is there anything else in this general area that -- of a residential character that -- 20 dwelling units per acre, or is this like the only thing within 100 miles?

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, it's -- it's not the only thing within 100 miles. For instance, within Norton Commons there are -- the Veranda is a development that is 20 units per acre. There are -- you know, there are other higher density apartment units as you get closer to Holiday Manor, although I don't really have information on exactly how dense they are.

You know, there is a -- there's an assisted-living facility on Carslaw Court, but I'm not really sure -- I -- I mean, I know for a fact that you don't -- you don't evaluate those with the same density calculations, because that's more of
an institutional use. So I -- I -- I don't think there's anything that's quite at 20; no.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Next question is is: How many homes in the vicinity of the proposal will actually see this structure from their front yards, backyards, side yards, or basically just know that it's there?

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, assuming that everybody is just standing on their front porch, I think -- or their back porch, as it -- as it happens, and not in a car -- I mean, obviously, if you're going to be traveling on Timber Ridge Drive, you're going to see the building.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Right.

MR. ASHBURNER: If you live in, I would say the first pod of buildings at Smithfield Greene, you might see the building depending on where you're looking. If you live across River Road, and there are -- there are only a few homes across River Road, I showed you that view -- not to say the building's invisible, but I think it's obscured. And then, if you're on the same side of River Road closer to town, you know, there are probably four our five houses there.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: How
far away would you say the next senior housing facility is from this road? Do you have some sense of the number of senior housing facilities in the northeast portion of Jefferson County or the adjacent part of Oldham County?

MR. ASHBURNER: Sen -- if I can digress just for a second and talk about senior housing and what that means.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:
Right. And if you want to come back later and answer that in your rebuttal, that would be fine with me, too, if that's one of those things you -you have to think --

MR. ASHBURNER: I -- I need to think about it. I -- I do -- I want to clarify your question, though, and make sure I'm answering it --

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Sure.
MR. ASHBURNER: -- correctly. Senior
housing goes really all the way from a project like what we're talking about tonight, which is likely recently retired people who are still very active and independent all the way to nursing --

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:

Right.
MR. ASHBURNER: -- skilled nursing.
And within that spectrum, you have assisted-living facilities, you have --

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:
Right.
MR. ASHBURNER: -- assisted-living with memory care, you have some that are blended facilities that include independent assisted memory care and nursing. So are -- if you're asking just about independent, we'll try and get you the answer.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:
Yeah. I'm looking for independent people that are, more or less, able to take care of theirself with, you know, maybe somebody to help keep the house clean or whatever, but not as far as to require medical attention often.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Next
question is: You're -- you're proposing four stories. How did you come up with four stories as compared to two or three or five?

MR. ASHBURNER: You know, I think
that's -- I'll need to speak with my client. Their --
it's their project. I think that they looked at the -like every single investment, what is the cost of the land, what is the cost to build the building, will there be customers there for our project when it -it comes out of the ground and is ready for occupancy, and -- and then what -- you know, what impact will it have on the surrounding community.

So I believe that the answer is there is a tremendous demand, a tremendous demand within our community, within communities throughout the country, and specifically within Prospect for housing of this kind for independent seniors. And there's also -- you know, nobody likes to talk about it, but there are 10,000 baby boomers who are retiring every week. 45\% of those people have zero retirement savings.

More than 39\% of those people are -- are -have said to a surveyor on the phone, "I am looking at Social Security to make up a large portion of my income in retirement." So there will be, I -- I -- I think, a very large population that would look at a 750 to $\$ 1,000$ unit as attractive.

And so the thought is, this is a site, it's wide open, it -- the impacts of a building like this can be adequately mitigated, and that's where the
design came from.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:

Another question that $I$ have is -- and -- and $I$ don't know where we go with this with the architect not being here, but he mentioned there were balconies.

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: But
the renderings that $I^{\prime} m$ seeing, the balconies don't look very large to the point where you could step out there and grow your tomatoes and your snow peas and other things like that.

MR. ASHBURNER: It's going to be a -a -- all the balconies that are shown are Juliet balconies. They're an opportunity to get fresh air with a railing. They are not the same kinds of balconies that you saw at Brookstone.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Okay.

MR. ASHBURNER: That's -- that's
evident on the drawing. You could -- you could hang flower pots on it, but I don't think you're going to have quite the garden --

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: No snow peas?

MR. ASHBURNER: -- operation hat was
described up here.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:
You're -- you're proposing senior housing.
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes, sir.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: What
would keep this from becoming just your basic ordinary apartment building if, for some reason or another, this doesn't pan out as you believe that it will be?

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, there are a couple of things. One is the fact that, obviously, we're representing to the Planning Commission and to the public that it will be senior housing, and I know how projects in Prospect have been reviewed in the past, pardon me, with regard to representations that have been made to the public.

The other reason, and -- and probably more fundamental, is that if it were non-age-restricted, the parking lot would no longer comply, and the number of units that could be built would shrink dramatically. Currently at 198 units we exceed the parking requirement for a senior facility.

At 198 units, we would need 297 parking spaces if they were non-age-restricted. We have 207. We have the physical constraints that
we've talked about in our design discussion and Mr. Potts talked about in his cross. So there's nowhere on the site to put 90 -- yeah, 90 additional parking spaces. That -- that is a very important limitation. I think that the plan that you see is not feasible if it's not age-restricted.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: But
still there -- there -- you know, you could have the building, it's built, you're just not attracting the -the type of people that your -- your -- your target audience isn't quite there, you know, and you've got loans to pay and everything. What keeps that from being rented out?

Is there any, like, binding elements or conditions, approvals or things that -- that say, this is going to stay senior housing? You know, and, yeah, you might not have parking, but we know there's that word "parking waiver" that the Planning Commission's been known to approve from time to time, and so. . .

MR. ASHBURNER: I -- I don't think that would go very well for me or my client if we came with a parking waiver. The real answer is, if the building was -- before the building is built -- part of this -- the financing package that goes into
this -- and understand, you know, the Planning Commission is part of this process, Metro Council is going to be part of this process, the neighbors have been part of this process, but the developer has to get the money to build the project, and they're going to get some of that money from low-income housing tax credits for age-restricted units only.

They're going to qualify for a fairly narrow spectrum of financing. Within that is what's called a LURA, a Land Use Restriction Agreement. A LURA binds the property for 30 years to be used in a certain way so that the people who provide the funding can take advantage of the tax credits that the project produces.

The hammer of the Planning Commission will definitely be there, the hammer of Metro Council through enforcement of binding elements, but the hammer of the bank and the purchaser of the tax credits will also be there to keep that commitment. The building will not come out of the ground as a 55 and older project without that 30-year commitment being made by the developer.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: And
when they do that, is it -- does it have to
be 100\% 55 and older, or are there just a -- half of the units have to be for 55 and older?

MR. ASHBURNER: The -- the federal requirement is $80 \%$, but the developer manages them as 100\%. And I -- I think, again, logic works in this case. This project is a single building, common entrance, common amenities, the pool, things like that.

Typically in these senior facilities the folks who are attracted to them are attracted to them because the absence of, you know, kids there all the time and families and things like that.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:
Okay. Thanks, Cliff.
MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner
Brown.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I -- I'm
okay.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Anyone down -down at this end?

COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Mr.
Ashburner.
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes, ma'am.
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Where
is the con -- oh, put the slide up for the proposed building, please.

MR. ASHBURNER: There we go.
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Where
is the common entrance on that slide?
MR. ASHBURNER: Common entrance, unfortunately, is actually obscured on this slide. If we go back here -- there we go. The -- there are -- there are multiple entrances -- sorry -- into the building. The main entrance is where you see that canopy.

If you think of it as a reverse $J$, up near the top of the J. That's where visitor parking is --

COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: We don't -- don't have a slide of the interior of the --

MR. ASHBURNER: No, we do not have a slide of that.

COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Thank you.

MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Cliff, I have a few questions. I'm trying to understand the discrepancy between your testimony and your site data plan and then the -- the actual staff report, because it says on the site data that the form
district is village, but in the staff report it says the proposal is located just outside of the village center form district. So is it in the village form district, or. . .

MR. ASHBURNER: I think your staff may be best --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Julia?
MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, it is in the village form district, but there's two. There's village center, and there's village outlying, and this would be considered village outlying. And right across the street where the Kroger center is, that's considered village center.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. But it is in
the form district?
MS. WILLIAMS: It is in the village outlying form district.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Okay.
Thank you. Secondly is, and I guess this hasn't been determined yet, or if it has I missed it, is there a -- of the 198 units is there a number for the number of 1 bedrooms as opposed to 2?

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I didn't see that. I'm sure it's probably in there somewhere, but I --

I didn't see it.
MR. ASHBURNER: I -- I've got it.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Twenty?
MR. ASHBURNER: Twenty -- yeah,
twenty one-bedroom unit -- twenty one-bedroom
units, and one hundred and seventy-eight two-bedroom units.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you.
And then the last question is: This property could -- and we touched on it -- you touched on it a little bit when you first started, but all of this could be OR-1.

So I -- I -- at least I think it could. Why -why not go for $O R--O R-1$ for it when you could build this senior living instead of going for R-7A? Couldn't -- couldn't it be done under OR -- OR-1?

MR. ASHBURNER: It -- it could be done under OR-1. We did not anticipate -- you know, my client is not in the -- in the business with regard to this property of building an office building, so we thought that a residential zoning district made the best sense. Although I think the density that is allowed in OR-1 would make -- would -- would also allow the project.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Just
curious. Thank you.
MR. ASHBURNER: And that -- you know,
the form district requirements would still remain.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. No other
questions by the commissioners? Okay. We're going to -- we'll move to the opposition next, but we're going to take a few minutes break. We're going to take about a ten-minute break. Thank you.
[WHEREUPON, a brief recess is taken.]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. We're ready
to get started, please. If we could have everybody sit down, please, we'll get started. Sorry for the long delay. Thank you. There's a few housekeeping things I wanted to go over.

There are some people that have come up with speakers' forms after we started the meeting. So if those people would please stand, I need to swear you in. If you are someone who has not been sworn in yet and you plan on speaking, would you please raise your right hand?

Do you swear or affirm the testimony that you will give the Planning Commission tonight is the truth?

ALL SPEAKERS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you very
much. Okay. The -- the applicant went nine minutes over their allotted time, so that -- that affords nine minutes more for the opposition. One thing in night hearings that we try to tell the people, and that's especially important tonight, is we only have this place until midnight. [laughter]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: And that's -- that
wasn't a joke. We -- everyone who has signed the speaker's form and everyone that's here that wants to speak, we want to hear from you, but what I always tell people is that sometimes the first five speakers will say things that you want to say, so it would be helpful if you would just say, "I agree with the" -- "with the previous speakers," instead of coming up and saying the exact same thing.

If you have something new to add, we want to listen to you, we'll stay here as long as we can, but we do have to go through deliberations and be gone before midnight. So just wanted to let everybody know that; okay? Thank you.

Mr. Potts, you have 84 minutes.
MR. POTTS: Let's hope $I$ don't take all of that; okay? At the outset, the first thing I want
to do is to address something that Mr. Ashburner just said when he talked about the 55 and older in response to the -- to the chief's question about what happens if they're not 55 and older, they can't rent them. And they talked about the -- the restrictions from the perspective of financing.

We would propose that there be a binding element that would require that people in the development, if it is approved, that they be in accordance with what they've said, $100 \%$ of the units be occupied by one person at least 55 years of age. If they're selling this as a age-restricted development, then we want it enforceable by the Planning Commission and by the local laws as opposed to some federal financing law.

MR. SPEAKER: Grover, can you add to
that [phonetic]?
MR. POTTS: I will.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: And -- and can you
start with the name and address again, please?
MR. POTTS: Okay. Grover Potts, 2500
PNC Plaza, Wyatt, Tarrant \& Combs. I'm the city attorney for the City of Prospect.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MR. POTTS: As -- as I tried to state
originally when $I$ got up here, the City of Prospect is not opposed to affordable housing. On the contrary, as the mayor will testify, there are a number of affordable housing opportunities within Prospect currently.

I -- personally, as I've indicated, I chair a non-profit that owns 34 scattered site houses, and so I, too, believe in affordable housing for this community. But the question that we have before us is the magnitude of what is being presented tonight.

If you'll go to the next slide, please. I want to introduce -- that says 809 signatures. We actually picked up another 150 here. I want to introduce petitions signed by 959 persons who are residents of Prospect or the surrounding area, and when I talk about the surrounding area I'm including the areas on the other side of River Road that are not within the City of Prospect, as well as areas that are otherwise not in the City of Prospect.

Do you want me to give this to you, Julia, or do you want me to -- either way? Thanks. [WHEREUPON, petition is submitted.]

MR. POTTS: Next slide, please. I want
to go over at the first of my presentation areas of the comprehensive plan that $I$ think that you need to be aware of. One, if you'll see in the handout that $I$ gave you, it's highlighted in red, compatibility is the main issue as far as we are concerned today.

And compatibility is defined, as you see, as the degree to which adjacent or nearby land use activities are similar in scale, intensity, density, impact, or type. Compatibility concerns how much one use intrudes on the character of adjacent uses typically due to the dissimilarity of type of use and the impact of the use from traffic, intensity of use, scale of building.

We are particularly concerned about the use in the sense that it is a high density use of 20.6 units, dwelling units per acre that's being dropped down into a low density area, namely, R-4. Now, Ms. Williams in -- in her answers to my questions indicated that there were high -- high density -there was high density zoning, but that zoning is not -- has not been used for housing. The zoning upon which construction has occurred has been used for commercial uses.

So what you're doing, what they're asking you
to do is to approve a project that will drop 198 units onto a site that is currently generally, as Mr. Ashburner agreed, the majority of it is R-4. So you're going from $\mathrm{R}-4$ to $\mathrm{R}-7$. Your -- the scale of the units, the intensity of the use, the density of the use impacts all of the village center area.

As Ms. Williams indicated, this area is not in the village form district; it's in the village outlying form district. It's adjacent to the village form -- or the village form district in which the village center is located.

As to scale, the glossary, as you see, the relationship of a particular project or development in terms of size, height, bulk, intensity, and esthetics to its surroundings. And if you just take a look at what has been proposed, you will see that it is 220,000 square feet. I did a little bit of calculating today just to give myself an idea of what that meant, and there was an article in Business First last week that talked about law firms and the size of space that law firms occupy.

My firm occupies four floors in PNC Plaza. We have 73,000 square feet. So the space that is proposed is the equivalent of over 12 feet of floors in PNC Plaza, 225,200 square feet. A huge
development in an $R-4$ area. A huge development in a village form district.

The height has -- has been proposed as 45
feet, and we all know that you can have all of the requirements for buffering that you want. You can't hide a 45-foot building. The people who live in -- in and around this site, Mr. Chairman, as you requested, how many of them would be able to see them, see the building?

Everyone who is in a residence within 200 or 300 yards of this building will be able to see it. All they have to do is walk out and look at it. It's going to loom over the neighborhood. It is, and I'm sorry that the architect left, but it is, as you will see in my presentation further, it is an urban design or an institutional design. It is not a design that is suitable for Prospect.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I -- I'll -- I will
hazard you all to remember that we have -- we're out of here by midnight. The clapping doesn't help.

MR. POTTS: And it also takes my time, so please don't clap. You can clap at the end, though. And as I was talking -- or as I was
questioning Mr. Ashburner about the village form district, there is a definition of village form area in the comprehensive Cornerstone 2020 plan.

A pattern of development characterized by predominantly low density residential uses or -organized around a center. And it specifically names -- if you'll note in red there, it specifically names examples of villages include Anchorage, Eastwood, Prospect, and Fairdale.

Prospect was specifically pointed out by the plan as being a village form district, a village area, and it has developed that way. Prospect has been developed so as to take advantage of it being a village, a village that's been there for a very long time. And you'll hear some testimony about that tonight, also.

If you'll -- the next area that I want to point out is the compatibility thing under Guideline 3, and again, the purpose of that -- if you'll go to the next slide, please. The purpose of that is to ensure that land uses minimize impacts to residential area. Now, there is no way that anybody can testify that this particular use minimizes impacts to the residential area that are affected by it.

It's a large bulky industrial slash -- building that is inappropriate both as to its design, as to its scale, as to its use. And the policies under the comprehensive plan are just as important.

Compatibility being one of those policies. Ensure compatibility of all new development and redevelopment with the scale and site design of nearby existing development and the pattern of development within the form district.

There's no testimony that this development is within the scale and site design of the nearby residential area. It sticks out like a sore thumb, if you will. [reads] Residential Compatibility:

Encourage residential character that is compatible with adjacent residential areas allow a mixture of densities as long as their designs are compatible.

There clearly is no compatibility between this design and the $R-4$ design of the -- of the neighborhood immediately to the south or to the green -- the $R-5 A$ of the design across the street. There is simply no compatibility.

Guideline 9 -- go to the next slide, if you would, please, sir -- [reads] Visual impacts, protect the character of residential areas, roadway corridors, and public spaces from visual
intrusions. Clearly, this will be a visual intrusion not only to the residential areas surrounding this property but to the River Road, our scenic byway.

Mr. Ashburner can say, well, you may not be able to see all the building, and, you know, the -the idea is it's a -- it's a 45-foot story structure that is massive, and one of the massive areas is along the River Road. It's one of the -- its -- its length is along the River Road.

Next slide, please. Now, this is a Google Earth slide showing, again, the site down in the lower left-hand side, and while you can see that there are a number of trees between the site and River Road, you can also see that there are no trees in either the front of the site or the back of the site or on the side of the site.

Next slide. This is just a street view of Prospect identifying the streets within Prospect and the areas surrounding Prospect, including the areas on the other side or the north side -probably the west side, but the north side, we'll refer to it as the north side of the River Road.

Next slide is an aerial -- another aerial view of Prospect.

The next slide is one that is an important
slide, because it identifies in the Prospect area, not only within the City of Prospect but in the areas surrounding Prospect, and it identifies the number of multi-family districts within that area. And although it's somewhat difficult to see on the screen, but you will see in the -- in your -- the thing that $I$ handed out, you'll see that, for the most part, those are all congregated in an area that is in the center or around the Hunting Creek Country Club area.

But there's others that are on both sides of River Road, and there are -- there is only, if you look down that list -- and we're going to go through that, so I wanted you to be able to see what these -- how these properties actually look. If you go down that list, you'll see that there is only one $\mathrm{R}-7$ in the City of Prospect. Everything else is $R-6$ or $R-5 A$. So only one $R-7$.

If you go to the next slide, this is one of the multi-family developments in Prospect. This is the Hunting Creek Condominiums, and they are zoned R-6.

Next slide, please. This is at 7800 Grenoble Lane, this is R-5A. Again, we're talking one and a half to two stories.

Next slide, please. This is the R-7. It is Partridge Condominiums. It is 8.94 dwelling units per acre. Significantly lower than 20.60 dwelling units per acre that this proposed project has.

Next slide, please. This is the Hunting Hills Condominiums, another $\mathrm{R}-6$ development within Prospect, and again, we're at a two-story -- with a two-story elevation.

Likewise, the next one is another view of some additional Hunting Hills Condominiums. Again, they're $R-6$ zoned.

And the next slide is also a Hunting Hills Condominiums R-6 zone.

The next slide is Autumn Hill, which is a little interesting because it has single-family housing in it, but it is zoned $R-6$ because it has some zero lot lines. So it was included in the multi-family, although it is typically not the normal multi-family -- multi-family type of development.

The next slide is the John Hancock Place development. Again, another $\mathrm{R}-6$.

Next, Riding Ridge, another R-6. Again, we're talking only two-story at most.

Now, the next one is an interesting one. It's on the other side of River Road, it's run off -- it
runs off of Durock [phonetic]. It's called Turtle Run, and these are all -- it's R-5A, and these are all one-story units.

The next one is the one at the end of this street. This is Smithfield Greene. This is the R-5A. Any of these owners -- to answer the chief's question, any of these owners who walk out of their house and walk down the -- the sidewalks or drive their cars out of the -- the streets within Smithfield Greene will be able to see this four-story massive structure, and it will infringe upon their residential use.

The next slide is the Woodlands, another development. Again, you'll see that this is one- and two-story developments, and it too, is R-6.

Next slide is Harrods Landing, one of the phases of Harrods Landing. It's an R-5A. It's a two-story development.

Followed by another Harrods Landing, another segment of the development. Again, a two-story development.

The Harbors at Harrods Creek is the next one. That's not in Prospect; that's across the -- the road, and it is -- I think it's R-5A.

Marina View Court, which is $R-5 A$, is the next one.

And last in the list is the Overlook. Now, Mr. Ashburner showed you some pictures of the Overlook, but this is how a large building was constructed in Prospect with the approval of the Prospect City Council. We had zoning authority.

This is located within the City of Prospect, and it was approved because it does not face any other housing. It faces the U.S. 42 and Harrods Creek. And so it was an appropriate use.

As a matter of fact, $I$ don't know whether he'll testify or not today, the architect who designed it is here, and it is a very compatible use, it's been a very compatible use in Prospect, but it doesn't look like an institution. It looks like a fine building with condos in it, again, R-5A.

Let's skip over the next couple of -- of plans. Go to one more, please. One more. There we go. Now, this is the LOJIC online map, and -- and the purpose of -- of introducing this, if you could see and can see on the ones that you have in front of you from the handout, that Timber Ridge is a local road, it is not a collector.

I just wanted to clarify for the -- for the
record that it is not a collector. Interestingly enough, one of the engineers that I discussed this with, he kind of named it, it's a parking lot collector, and that's really what it is. It collects cars from the parking lot. It brings cars into the parking lots, because the two -- the two major access points off of this feed into either the -- the eastern part of the shopping center where your McDonald's is and your Republic Bank is or directly feed into the area where the Kroger shopping center is.

On the other side, it feeds into the condominiums or it feeds into the Kroger fueling station. The only residences that you can get to from Timber Ridge are the residences at Smithfield Greene, which are down, as you know, near the River Road.

Next slide, please. This is a -- just an aerial view, again, similar to what Mr. Ashburner showed you, but this has an -- an ability to -- it's taken from either higher up or whatever. You can see the Harrods Creek Fire Department there and the First Baptist Church, both of which are -certainly, the First Baptist Church is very close to where this development is going to be.

The next one is the rendering of this structure, and -- and every time I look at it I -- I think of something different about it that I don't like, and it is a -- an institutional development by every stretch of the imagination. It is something that belongs in an urban setting, something that clearly design-wise doesn't belong in Prospect or in any area that is single-family residential.

The next slide is kind of interesting. This is the senior living facility that is on Carslaw Court. This was approved for rezoning several years ago, and it is under construction as we speak.

And as you can see, it's a -- it's a design that is not dissimilar from Brookstone as to how it was designed. It doesn't look institutional, it doesn't look like an urban design; it looks like an appropriate design for a senior living institution.

The next one is just a -- the actual footprint of that that's under construction, like I said, on Carlslaw Court. Carslaw Court is a street that is a private roadway. It's a strange conglomerate of how it got to be where it is, but it runs immediately behind the Kroger shopping center, and it too is not within the City of Prospect. There is a donut hole there, if you will, that some properties are in,
and this is one of those properties.
The next slide shows, I think more accurately, a house that is adjacent to the proposed location for this building. And the next one is the area that's been referred to as the -- the open space. This is really where -- it's in the flood plain, and as -- as the witnesses have testified, it's unbuildable. It's where MSD put their 48-inch main.

The next slide is an interesting one that $I$ got just to show you the design. Not that it is -- it's an assisted-living facility, but this is the new Sacred Heart assisted-living facility that's on Payne Street. Again, something that has more of a residential character to it and not an industrial or not an -- an institutional type of look to it.

But what I did was, next slide, I went to NuLu. Now, NuLu -- this is an area called Ballard Street. Ballard Street's off Hancock Street on the north side of -- of -- of Broadway. This is the new part of the NuLu development. This is a four-story development like the one that we propose.

Chief, they've got kind of the same porches that this does. You can open it and get some air, but you can't -- can't grow your snow peas on
them. But what I wanted to show was that this is the type of structure that they're proposing for this particular location, and it is appropriate in an urban setting like NuLu. It is not appropriate in the place where they're going to put it.

Let me have another slide. I'll show you another part of NuLu. Now, Chief, this one does have a couple of porches that you could probably get a couple of snow peas and maybe some tomatoes in, but other than that, there's only three people that would be able to -- to do that. Again, this is a four-story building, it's of the same general character and design as the building that's been proposed for here.

The next one is even more interesting in the sense that it's about the length of one of the -- the long side of the building that they're proposing here. And you can see it's a massive structure. Just look at the size of the cars that are down there. It's -- you know, it's four stories, it's institutional, it is totally out of character with Prospect.

The next one I thought was interesting in the sense that it gives you a size of scale when you're looking at a four-story building next to a fairly
large two-story building. You can see how much this building looms, if you will, over the adjacent building. And the next building shows you the same thing -- or the next slide shows you the same thing.

So the design of this building is inappropriate, the mass of this building is inappropriate. 225,000 square feet previously approved condos, 30 condos, and now they're proposing 198 apartments. How do you compare 30 condos and 198 apartments is, you know, something that you can't logically -- it's like an apple and an orange, or maybe an apple and a watermelon.

But it's -- it's the size and the scale of this structure which is totally, totally inappropriate in this area. The compatibility guidelines, the policies of the comprehensive plan have been violated or would be violated if you approve this, because it does not take into account the neighborhood in which this is being proposed.

Now, we have a -- a number of other people who will be speaking, and I'm going to try and get to them right now so that we have enough time to finish well in advance of our 84 minutes or
whatever that was.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes, sir. Okay. I
have them in $--I$ have a list of them in order.
MR. POTTS: Yeah. You have them in
order? Begins at --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes, sir.
MR. POTTS: -- $2--$

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I do.
MR. POTTS: -- if you will.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes, sir. John
Evans.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. My name is
John Evans. I live at 8101 Montero Drive in Hunting Creek in Prospect. I currently am mayor of the City of Prospect, have been for three and a half years. I was the mayor before in the 80 . I've lived in Prospect for 40 years. Hard to believe, but there it is.

Prospect is my home. I'm going to tell you a little bit about it. It was incorporated in 1974, but the Prospect area really goes back a century or more, and in fact we're doing a history book right now about all of that, and I hope you'll buy a copy if we ever get it done.

When it was incorporated in 1974 on the very
day of the big tornado -- I think it was April 3rd -we -- it was classified as a 6th class city, which at that time was the very smallest town there was, the smallest classification. Over the decades, it has grown, this development and that development. Almost all of it is single-family residential.

In I believe it was 2015, the legislature abolished all of these numbered classifications, and Prospect like all of the other cities in -- in Kentucky, with the exception of metro, became known as home rural [phonetic] city. So we're a home rural city now.

We have a mayor, council form of government. Our city council is elected every two years and has six members. The mayor is elected every four years. We have a balanced budget, we have our own police force, we have a large number of citizen volunteers in areas such as parks, forestation, a library, an ethics committee, a history book underway, boy scouts, and landscaping.

Prospect's population in the 2010 census was 4,479. If $1 / 3$ of those are children, and Mr. Ashburner has put up the details, so they're in the
record but $I$ didn't have the benefit of that when $I$ put my statement together, but it would be about 3,000 adults.

In the 2014 mayoral election, as I'm well aware because $I$ was looking at the results, there were 2,350 ballots cast. Prospect tends to be a -a heavy voting area. So I would estimate the adult population of Prospect is somewhere around 3,000 adults.

The total number of housing units in Prospect in 2010 census was 1,990. The Prospect Cove development that's before the commission tonight plans 198 apartments. It is less than a stone's throw from Prospect's border, and it would represent an immediate increase of $10 \%$ of dwelling units in Prospect. That's a big increase.

The vast majority of real property in Prospect is zoned single -- single-family residential. Grover has covered this. I'm not going to repeat it. The Partridge Condominiums, he's shown you pictures of that.

I did want to mention one thing. Prospect has low-income housing. I know because I've lived in it. When I went through a divorce in the '70s, that's where I went for a couple years. They're
called the Hunting Hills Condominiums. You can buy one for $\$ 50,000$. You can rent one for about $\$ 500$ a month.

As I understand it, and I'll get into this more in a minute, these apartments that are proposed would rent for somewhere a little over $\$ 900$ a month. We also have, as Grover put up on the screen, they were those gray buildings, I think they were built by Fred Faulkner [phonetic] back in the 90s, those are relatively low-income, as well.

We are very much a diverse community. We're diverse racially, as well. My next door neighbor is African-American. Lovely guy. My tailor is from Vietnam, lovely family. My pharmacist is from India. When she opened her pharmacy, the whole family flew over from India.

We're a very diverse community. We are not, you know, a bunch of white people living in million dollar houses. I just want to put that to rest. See where I am here.

Oh, there's one thing I wanted to clear up. There was a neighborhood meeting held properly by Mr. Ashburner and his clients back on September 26 at the church in Prospect. At that -and there was a transcript made of that meeting,
and that transcript has been filed of record before this commission.

Here's what I heard. What I heard was that there were going to be 198 units, that there would be 21 apartment -- 1-bedroom apartments, and there would be a hundred -- what would that be -- 78 2-bedroom apartments. We were told that each 2-bedroom apartment could accommodate up to 4 people. The 1-bedroom apartments could accommodate 2 people.

Well, I'm doing the math on the maximum occupancy, but if do you that, you come up with 752 people. That's -- that's pretty massive. Moreover, we were also told that this is called senior housing; okay? You have to be 55 or older to live there. But that's only one person in each apartment.

So, if what they told us was correct, and that's what I'm going on, the other three people could be any age over 17; all right? I don't know how you define senior housing, but in my layman's look at it, that's a pretty far cry from senior housing.

Another thing is, I couldn't live there today. On my incredible mayor's salary I take home
about 30,000 a year, $I$ get a little bit out of Social Security. I make more than $\$ 32,000$ a year. I wouldn't be allowed to live there. You can't live there if you make more than $80 \%$ of the median income in Jefferson County.

Now, I'm -- that's -- that's what we were told on September 26th, and that transcript's in the record. And I invite disagreement from Mr. Ashburner or Mr. Dischinger, they're here, they can contradict me if they want to, but that's what we were told.

Grover has already talked about the village concept. Prospect is in one. He's already said that Prospect Cove is inconsistent with the village concept. I totally agree with that.

I've already said the rest of this. He's already said -- I just want to add I agree with him that this is a massive impact on a small city in terms of height, overall size, population density, and numbers. A significantly smaller proposal might make sense to us, but this one doesn't.

The Prospect City Council unanimously passed a resolution on October 17th of last year to oppose the Prospect Cove development as being out of character due to scale and mass with the rest of
the residential structures in the adjoining neighborhood and in Prospect in height, lighting, appearance, and density.

I want to thank the commission for listening to me and for listening to Prospect tonight. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you, sir.

Mike Powers.
[applause]
MR. POWERS: My name's Michael M.
Powers, Senior. I live at 7106 Gunpowder Court in Prospect, 40059. I've lived there for almost 30 years.

I come to you as a proponent of affordable housing development. That goes back to I have 28 years of experience in the development of affordable housing. First with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and second, I left there and went to Kentucky Housing Corporation and started their first multi-family affordable housing program and division.

I'm an attorney by training. I was the Director of Fair Housing my last five years at HUD. I was a member of the Fair Housing Coalition for a number of years, both at $H U D$ and at $K H C$, and was an active participant in the Metropolitan Housing

Coalition with Cathy and others.
My opposition to this development, I learned a long time ago we shouldn't really call them projects because it has an ugly connotation, but to this development is -- it is incompatible, as we've heard before. It is -- has an undue concentration of people, regardless of their income. It's an undue concentration of people on such a small parcel of land.

There's been testimony about what $I$ call a gully on that. And $I$ did some quick figuring a while ago, and the young lady said that there was a certain number of persons per acre when figuring out occupancy standards and stuff. Well, if you back out the gully, the number on usable land exceeds the number that she had offered.

You might want to do the math and double check me, but I believe that's correct. Most of the green space or the gullies, as I refer to it, is below grade, and it's not really usable for much of anything. In fact, it probably would be an attractive nuisance.

When I was at Kentucky Housing Corporation, we did site reviews of all properties before we could finance it, and because of that gully, we
probably would not have approved that site because it is an attractive nuisance. At the very minimum, we would require probably a 6-foot privacy fence, wooden one, to protect residents from falling into the gully or getting too close to the edge.

This proposed development also is contrary to what local government and the Housing Authority of -- or Metro Housing Authority now, I still want to call it the Housing Authority of Louisville -have been working now for 20 years or more to de-concentrate groupings of people, whether it's race or whether or it's income or whatever, you know, gone to smaller developments. When I was at Kentucky Housing Corporation, we only had a couple of developments that were larger than 48 units, because we wanted to keep -- to avoid compaction in any community.

We had -- I think the largest we ever did was 72 units, and that was on a parcel of land approximately the size of this when we talk about usable land. The city and housing authority when $I$ talked about de-concentrating populations -- you know, we're talking about Beecher Terrace is now being proposed. They've done Shepherd's Square,
they've done [phonetic]. Check my list before I forget them all. Iroquois Homes and Clarksville was the first ones.

And the idea is to move people out. I'm also very much a proponent of scattered site housing as far as de-concentration. But whether it was at HUD or KHC, we've tried to lessen the impact and to -- really to avoid the impact of concentrations of large numbers of people. Some people say, well, 196 units, whether it's 750 possible persons or not, it's too many for that site.

The density is unlike anything else around there, and for those reasons, I support -- I oppose the project and support those who have spoken earlier in opposition for it. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Michele Walters Barnett.

MS. BARNETT: Michele Walters Barnett, 6606 Shirley Avenue, 40059 in the historically African-American neighborhood of the James T. Taylor subdivision off River Road. I ask your patience as $I$ speak this evening, because I do have a respiratory issue, and I have to take my time.

Our neighborhood is now very diverse with,
approximately, a 60/40 split of black and white and all age groups. And my family has owned a home in the neighborhood for close to 54 years bought at a time when blacks could not purchase land all over the city.

My parents, now deceased, were involved in the desegregation of restaurants and housing in the Louisville area in the early 60s. My father was a past executive director of the Louisville Urban League, Arthur M. Walters, who advocated for equal opportunity, affordable housing, open housing, and anti-discrimination in all aspects of our community. I learned a lot from my parents about what it means to be on an even playing field and what it takes to ensure people get an equal chance to thrive.

So let me make it clear, I have a different perspective, opinion and motivation for speaking up against this building plan than some here. I've seen the plans for Prospect Cove, and I've heard the developer's talking points about their concept for the development as I listened tonight and the December 8th Planning Commission meeting online where they presented. I -- I've heard some different things tonight than what I've heard
earlier and from the information I've received, so I am basing it on what $I$ have heard up to this point, and hope that there aren't alternatives facts involved.

Yup. There's several things that disturb me about their plan for the structure that clearly does not and will not give the target residents of that structure true equal opportunity or quality of life as it is currently designed. First, I understand the land was originally approved for a similar structure for about 30 condos.

I don't know what happened to that plan, but now this same space is being designed for over five to six times that amount of units. The potential of a minimum of 400 people, don't know what the maximum might be, but minimum of 400 in 1 building -- understand there's going to be 2 elevators.

So, however many units are on a floor -- not all have balconies in the design concept, and the ones that $I$ saw on the design were not -- as everybody has said, not -- not big enough for snow peas. It's not like the Brookstone senior living. You can't compare apples to oranges.

It doesn't give the perception that it would be
a good thing for the residents, because overcrowding could be a potential issue from the beginning. There is housing across from that plot of land, Smithfield Greene, 28 units, approximately, 50 residents. So a similar smaller design for that same type of plan for Prospect Cove for the same target residents you're seeking to house there, I would be the first one to stand up and cheer you.

Give them, for those who want it, maybe a rent with an option to buy. Ownership is an American dream. That would hit the mark for a vision of equal opportunity, as well as enhance their hopes and dreams for a better life and pride for where they live.

There is an issue of safety with the building housing that large number of people in cases of emergency. In addition, I heard no plans for a fence to block access to the ravine that is located behind the property. The ravine floods frequently and is a potential for someone drowning or getting hurt back there since the open green spaces would be limited.

And that is a flood -- a flood plain. MSD would need to ensure good water flow prevention
there. And as an aside, our neighborhood has been waiting for some years for MSD to fix drainage and flooding in our area. And the runoff from all the concrete on that side, we live right across River Road, will impact our neighborhood.

Their -- the lawyer for the developer stated, and I quote, this was from the December 8th meeting, this was not a statement tonight, "Most of the residents would not be full-time employees in the workforce, so that fact would not impact traffic patterns." That is such a blatant stereotype on the future potential and economic improvements for the target residents there. That disturbed me. I'm aware of the income stipulations for the target group; however, low income does not equate to non-working families or to those that are trying to better themselves working more than one part-time job to make ends meet. So please don't assume that all these residents will just be stuck in their building with no job and no place to go.

I also heard the developers in the December 8 th meeting say that there would be four employees for this building plan; two for leasing, and two for maintenance. I can't imagine that main -- that 2 maintenance people with 100 units a
piece, approximately, to be responsible for could possibly have the best response time for problems and issues that could arise. Wait time could get problematic, the maintenance caseload could become unmanageable very quickly, and frankly, make some individuals bitter.

The p -- the current plan calls for one parking space per unit, that's been established. This limits residents from being able to have visitors, maybe a Super Bowl party, a visit from their grandchildren or children, an occasional barbeque. Where is a design for those simple pleasures?

And while we're thinking of that, is there going to be a clubroom or a nice large patio area for these residents, and is it adequate for the number of people? Are there plans for a gym in the building since quality of the residents' health should be a major consideration?

I heard the developers say at the December 8th meeting also that they have yet to have a solution for walkability or sidewalks in their concept, which further diminishes quality of life for the residents. I heard my father many times speak on what great living spaces would look like when he often addressed the problem of quality housing.

He advocated for open spaces, room to spread out, low density garden spaces, nicely designed smaller buildings and single units; not cheap shotgun homes, and not 400 plus individuals living in a very closed and confined space. You have to give people a sense of pride and room to breathe.

In closing, when $I$ think of renewal and development, I think of uncramped, improved upon, up-to-date, revitalized growth spaces by definition. Prospect Cove in its current design concept is none of these things. Please do not allow this recipe for disaster and its inherent limitations to the residents and their families to be approved.

It's not a good look for the community or our city. This type structure approved anywhere in this city is limiting in its scope for the residents, as well as true equal opportunity for their advancement. You can't ask someone to improve on their circumstances and handcuff them.

That many people in that limited space in one or two buildings with limited resources, limited staffing, inadequate parking on one corner, is equivalent to those handcuffs, and it's problematic. Think quality, not quantity. The
design does look like a prison.
I respectfully ask the planning and zoning committee to deny the request for zone change for this type of structure, not only in Prospect, but across our great city. Provide better quality for those who need it most. Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Frank Fulcher.
MR. FULCHER: Frank Fulcher, 6914
Wythe Hill Circle, Prospect.
I'm a city councilman, retired engineer. I've lived here 36 years. I'm going to take a little different approach to some of the things that you have heard, although I agree wholeheartedly with all of them.

The chief asked -- and I don't understand why you're called the chief, but I'll go along with it -- if there are other facilities located in the area that would serve a need like this. Well, there are, at least, five. I mean, one is probably $1 / 4$ of a mile as you go out on Springdale Road.

Grover mentioned the one that's right in the heart of Prospect. There's the Beehive community out in Goshen which is four miles. As you go toward -- as you go toward Louisville at Seminary

Road there's a very -- very large senior living place that -- actually, my mother-in-law lived there.

So there's -- there's plenty of recognition of a need, and the demand appears to be being satisfied. Mr. Ashburner said something that -now I'm going to depart from my script here -- that the Metro District -- District 16 has the highest proportion of people over 60 in the metro area, and I believe that.

The -- but the city has a population of 4800 , which you've also heard and is in the -- in the record. If you take the number of adults in our 4800 just in the city, and it might be a little off because of the configuration of the city, but the 2100 people over 55 in -- in the City of Prospect, now you're going to overlay that with, pick a number, 400, 500, 600 additional seniors, and then you overlay that with 100 more that will be coming within a year in the -- in the new facility in the center of Prospect.

What you're doing is increasing the number of seniors in Prospect in -- about 35 to $37 \%$. So in a -- in a -- an area where you are already recognized as the senior capital of metro, you're --

I don't know whether you want to be known as the geriatric capital of metro. But also, when you look at 55 as a senior -- and this gentleman said, "I'm" -- 75, is that how old he is? 75.

I'm 75. I don't consider myself a senior that needs to be cared for at this point, although at some point I might, but when you put a number of seniors -- and 55 I consider a commercial term, senior -- 75, maybe you're -- maybe you're getting there. But you put them all in a warehouse, which this facility is, a people warehouse, and being among the most vulnerable of our citizens, you have issues of safety with police, healthcare response.

Prospect doesn't have a hospital or an emergency care unit. They do have a very efficient fire department. But when you take what I mentioned earlier, a 37\% increase in our most vulnerable part of the population, then you're looking for trouble.

Are you going to increase resources? Well, the -- whatever it is, the 8th Division of Metro Police is downsizing, which is the -- the group that serves this end -- this end of town. That falls on the Prospect Police Department, who -- we have
limited resources. So, when you -- outside of the -- the warehouse situation, you have all of the other ancillary services that the -- these folks would require.

Now, another thing Mr. Ashburner said was, you know, that this is an affluent neighborhood, and that's true. But at the same time he said that this is where your -- the market for this building is. Well, to me those are incompatible. If you're pulling from a market that is among the most affluent in metro, then you're -- it doesn't -- it doesn't compute.

It -- it's just not logical to think that this marketplace would support this type of facility. So I urge you to vote against the zoning change, and I thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you, sir.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Sandra Leonard.
And just so we know, we are now at one hour. We have 24 minutes left, and we are 6 speakers out of 37 .

MS. LEONARD: Sandra Leonard, 7302
Edgemore Place in Prospect. I have been a resident of Prospect for, approximately, 20 years,
and I'm a member of the Prospect City Council for more than 10 years. What's more relevant to tonight's meeting, I'm an active participant in three of the current work groups for 20 -Cornerstone 2040 housing, mobility, and community forum.

The first general meeting of all the work groups set the stage for the importance of Cornerstone 2020. In fact, they handed out this now well-thumbed copy which we got to read and go over in many different ways. There's no specific section in 2020 about housing. So for that work group, we went over every component in 2020 that somehow relates to housing.

The words "compatibility" and "compatible" go through here hundreds of times. Compatibility of design, compatibility of the local environment, compatibility of scale, compatibility of mass. You've heard all of those tonight.

The proposed building has four stories. When there are no four stories within many miles, how is this compatible? The proposed building has 198 units and 225,000 square feet. When there are no similar buildings within many miles, how is this compatible?

The proposed number of residents could potentially go up to 700 plus adults. When there are no buildings that have more than 20 to 30 residents within miles, how is this low to medium density and compatible with the area? The potential residents will not have access to adequate services, parking, or public transportation, and you'll hear about that from some other speakers tonight.

How is this even humane? Thank you.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
Roberta Wasserstrom.
[applause]
MS. WASSERSTROM: Hi.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Hello.
MS. WASSERSTROM: Roberta
Wasserstrom, 7407 Smithfield Greene Lane.
That's the condo development that we're all talking about tonight, Smithfield Greene across Timber Ridge from the proposed development.

I moved to Louisville from Cleveland two years ago retiring at 78 from my last career of 32 years, which was commercial real estate. I then volunteered at Evan Williams Bourbon Experience downtown, was a finalist in the visitor center's

Rose Awards getting to know Louisville.
My husband was an attorney and developer of condos and apartments. The principal of my brokerage $I$ worked for was also a developer of apartments, condos, shopping centers. So I've been to many rezoning and zoning meetings in Ohio, Florida, mostly representing the developers.

Rezoning is always an issue. We know people don't accept change easily. The goal of these meetings is to find a win/win solution that will benefit the city, the beneficiaries, and the developer.

Back in September when I became aware of the development requesting approval of affordable senior housing, I said to myself, not exactly. I quickly picked up that it was one of HUD programs known as 80/20 and called it to the attention of neighbors in the city. That means that $20 \%$ of the units do not have to have somebody over 55, as I understand it.

Be clear, I am not against HUD or any other subsidy programs, nor do $I$ think any of the people here are. This is just the wrong building in the wrong location. My husband and business associates used these programs to make a profit,
which is totally acceptable, it's business.
I had an aunt that never married, lived in Chicago, had a Social Security of $\$ 457$ a month. At age appropriate, I moved her Cleveland. She was able to live in a nice building paying \$87 a month, including utilities. How would she and others have possibly ever made it?

But in this case, it is really not in the true sense in the end game providing senior affordable housing. There are other programs. Having said that, I am totally against this development and encourage this committee to vote against rezoning.

It is not the win/win for the various reasons: parking, size, design of building, traffic, et cetera. And it is made -- being made possible by various grants and financing incentives from city, county and federal government, which is the key to the profitability of these types of developments under HUD and other guidelines. However, here's how it comes down.

The proposal is for 198 units on 9 plus acres of which, approximately, only one half is buildable. So it's not reasonable to use the zoning based on the entire parcel. There's 178 units of 2 bedrooms, 20 with 1 bedroom, each bedroom is
allowed 2 occupants, which in its total would be 752 people.
$80 \%$ of the 198 is required to have 1 occupant over 55 and have an income of below 32,000. Thus, only 159 seniors. Number one, I question the income of the other occupants that could live in the apartment.

Two, I question how this is monitored, which I know is impossible, even when it is well intentioned. So you could have a maximum of 752 people, which is simply outrageous. So it's not a win/win. The people lose by being so far away from reasonable amenities in an ugly building, which as -- as they get older, it's so large it's very hard to navigate.

The community loses by giving up tax dollars to the same demographic population that could be served better in a more appropriate location. The developer wins, but he really doesn't care where it is as long as the bottom line works. He's a businessman.

And it may work better for them even with a lower land cost, and they're already receiving or could receive favorable financing from the various agencies. So I urge you to vote against this
proposed development. Louisville is a beautiful, compassionate city right at the cusp of enormous growth. Michele, you nailed it. We've got to do better.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Margaret Adams
Collier.
MS. COLLIER: My name is Margaret
Adams Collier. I live at 7211 River Road, 40059.
My property is immediately adjacent to this proposed high density four-story apartment building. I do not live within the city limits of Prospect. I repeat, I do not live within the city limits of Prospect.

The immediate adjacent property at 7301
River Road has a total of 5.6085 acres according to Louisville PVA, more than half of which is comprised of steep hillside, boggy bottom land, and protected River Road wooded area, and is not a suitable building site for four-story apartments. 6500 Forest Cove Lane is 3.8261 acres according to Louisville PVA.

More than half of this acreage is comprised of steep hillside, boggy bottom land, treed portion of

River Road. LDG claims, approximately, 9.61 acres. Louisville PV -- PVA certifies 9.4346 acres. The creek that enters intersects my property and the proposed four-story apartment building has been referred to as an intermittent stream. That classification is absolutely incorrect.

Water runs through this valley year round. MSD had to employ special precautions when a sanitary sewer carriage system was drug through the valley because they did not want to disturb the floor -- the flow of the creek. This system transverses my property.

Staff states the proposal is located adjacent to an existing activity center that has been created along Timber Ridge Drive. What and where is this activity center? The homes and other structures in this area are one- or two-story buildings. This four-story apartment is not compatible in height with existing buildings and would tower over and be readily visible from River Road, and most definitely from my property.

Additionally, multiple trash dumpsters which attract feral cats, rodents, and produce -- and produce obnoxious odors would be onsite. A
four-story structure would disrupt the tranquility and negate the designation of this portion of River Road as a scenic byway in Kentucky.

I oppose the requested zoning change for the reasons that $I$ have listed. I am disputing. It is my opinion that LDG has attempted to intentionally obfuscate circumstances relative to this four-story apartment building. Thank you very much. [applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mike Grannan. Mike Grannan. Couldn't hear over the clapping. MR. GRANNAN: Mike Grannan, 7109

Cannonade Court, Prospect, Fox Harbor subdivision, 40059 .

I'm a 25-year resident of Prospect, and very opposed to this development. You've heard the comments related to the lack of easily ac -accessible public transcription, as well as testimony from the developer's counsel related to vehicle counts that would lead one to conclude that public transportation will be relied upon greatly.

One of the things that I felt should be pointed out is the fact that most of the healthcare services that will be so important to the seniors living in
this development will not be accessible to them by way of public transportation. The nearest urgent care clinic, diagnostic testing facility and hospital, I believe would be Brownsboro, Norton Brownsboro complex, and it -- it's -- I think that there's no public transportation to this location from Prospect today direct, no direct public transportation.

We know that seniors are best taken care of and have the best quality of life when they have easy access to healthcare services. This proposed location in Prospect simply won't provide that access, and $I$ really think this is something that you need to consider as part of your decision-making process. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Sean Verdi. Sean
Verdi. Oh, there he is.
MR. VERDI: I'm a resident of
Prospect, 6915 Wythe Hill Circle, and I'm also a member of Louisville Metro Police.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: And say your
name, please.
MR. VERDI: Sean Verdi.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.

MR. VERDI: I oppose this project, and the reasoning behind it is that $I$ don't think there's been any -- any impact study done on the need of the residents that will be there for policing. High density and low income and whatever else characteristics we're going to find the residents of this place, in the 8th Division, this would be -be 1, is regularly served on a 12-hour shift by two people, and the geo -- geographical area goes from 841 to Westport Road down to 264 where 71 meets and then all the way -- cutting across all the way to River Road.

I think if they were able to somehow reduce the number of residents it would -- would help, and the calls for service, they already -- the 8th Division, as well as the 5th Division where $I$ work are strapped for people, and the calls for service are just going to get higher, and I oppose it on behalf of the residents that are not there yet. I don't think that they will get good service. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Susan Nicholson. [applause]

MS. NICHOLSON: Good evening. My
name is Susan Nicholson, and I live at 6801 Gunpowder Court in Prospect, Kentucky 40059.

And I'm speaking to you this evening both as a resident of Prospect and as a member of the Prospect City Council. I have two concerns that I want to underscore tonight. The first relates to the traffic study, and I appreciate that a couple of items on the traffic study were already brought out that were incorrect.

The first was that it mentions that Timber Ridge Drive was being maintained by Metro Louisville, and as has been mentioned, that is incorrect. It's within the City of Prospect, and it falls to the City of Prospect to maintain it.

Secondly, it noted that the posted speed sign was 35 miles an hour. There is a 25 mile per hour sign on Timber Ridge Drive. So that those two errors alone made me question the traffic study which $I$ shook my head at when it said only 26 cars would be leaving and 13 cars entering.

I appreciate that additional data was brought forward talking about the impact if it was a -comparing it to a standard apartment complex, but still, Timber Ridge Drive gets very backed up. With that speed limit and traffic, that really needs
to be re-looked at. That just does not make sense.
So, additionally, it's clear that while the City of Prospect is not going to receive any additional tax revenue from this project, we are certainly going to feel a negative impact by the wear and tear and what happens on Timber Ridge Drive. Secondly, I want to address a concern regarding the impact the development will have on the Prospect Police force.

The development is close to being in the heart of Prospect. And at our monthly city council meetings, Chief Sherrard often reports on incidents where the Prospect Police are called on to serve as backup to Metro Louisville when it makes sense to do so, and I can understand that on occasion the Prospect Police may be the closest responders to accidents or incidents, and am certainly supportive of stepping in when needed, but the development of over 200 units -and that's about the number of units in Fox Harbor, the subdivision in which I live.

So thinking that this subdivision is now going to be sitting, quantity of people sitting in this small area right around the corner from our police force has me concerned. The metro commission
staff report does not appear to address whether the current metro police force is adequate to provide a timely response to this development, and it concerns me greatly.

So thank you for your time and attention, and please, please de -- deny this zoning request. Rezoning this area to allow high density dwelling in an area not designed for high volumes is not the right thing to do. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Clifford Kuhn. Dr. Clifford Kuhn.

MR. KUHN: My name is Clifford Kuhn. I live at 7608 Endicott Place in Prospect. My wife Connie is a member of the Prospect Forestation Board. And I thank you for allowing me to say a word. I agree with most of what has been said, and I'll -- I'll be as brief as I can.

I wanted to focus on just a personal perspective on the so-called green space or open space that's been alluded to. It's already been admitted that it's not buildable. I think it's misleading to continue to call it a green space, because it is a flood plain.

Frequently throughout the year, especially during the spring and summer, any sizable rain creates a rushing river which feeds a sizable pond of standing water. And the development plan refers to this as an intermittent stream, but the truth it drains a large geographic area and empties into Harrods Creek, and when the Ohio River rises, Harrods Creek backs up and adds to the additional flooding, and the resultant pond remains for days at a time and gets quite deep.

And that's the issue I simply want to add. My wife and I are bird watchers, and have found this area a wonderful habitat for aquatic birds. We've even seen a bald eagle on a light there one time.

The pond also serves as a watering hole for deer and other wildlife, but consider that the water that gathers there frequently on this site can be deep enough to represent a danger to the lives of young children and elderly people. Thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. I'm going probably to get this name wrong. [applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Susan, is it
Huecker? Huecker? Which one is it?

MS. HUECKER: Huecker.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Which one is it?
Huecker.
MS. HUECKER: My name is Susan
Heucker. I live at 7413 Smithfield Greene Lane, 402 -- or 40059. I will shorten my remarks in light of what's already been testified. If this building does go through and 754 people are living in that building, according to CityData.com the median number of vehicles in renter occupied units is 1.58 to 1.78 , and this is assuming that there's one senior. The rest can be over 18. There's no restriction.

Using the lower figure of $1.58,198$ units would need 313 parking spaces. Far greater than the proposed 206. Where do a minimum of 107 cars park on a daily basis, not to mention visitors, Christmas holidays, anytime you have anything?

For this reason and all the other valid reasons you-all are hearing tonight, $I$ respectfully request that you decline the zoning change.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Fred Huecker.
MR. HUECKER: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Fred's Huecker. I live
at 7413 -- excuse me, 7613 [phonetic] Smithfield Greene Lane, also.

I'm here tonight to talk to you about one of the concerns that you've already heard plenty about, and I'll be brief. One of the many concerns that the residents of Prospect have is the adverse visual effect that this large non-compatible box of apartments will have on the scenic byway that we all know and love as the River Road Corridor.

The dimensions of this proposed building alone are enormous. The building is set at a higher elevation than River Road. At 44 -- excuse me, 45 feet tall, it can be seen above the tree canopy. Most of that will destroy the serenity of what has become a designated scenic byway.

People from all walks of life use this River Road Corridor. They ride bicycles, they drive their cars, they ride their motorcycles. The distance from the back side of this building to River Road, while they say it's 400 feet, when you get to October all the way through April with the leaves off the trees, this thing is going to be a major, major eyesore to anyone who travels that corridor.

What $I$ ask for you-all now is please reason with all that has been said, listen to everything
that has been presented to you tonight, and as a resident of Prospect, I implore you, please, deny LDG the permission to destroy the pristine beauty of our community. Thank you very much.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Barry
Weinshenker.
MR. SPEAKER: Who's after him? Call
him up, too?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Elsa Riggs.
MR. SPEAKER: Just get in line? All right.

MR. WEINSHENKER: My name is Barry Weinshenker. I live at -- on -- I have trouble even remembering where $I$ live these days -- Charlock Court, 12009 Charlock Court, Prospect, Kentucky 40059 .

I want to say something on behalf your future residents if you approve this project. I would hope that you take into consideration it's got two elevators; okay? If $I$ was on a -- in an apartment on the third or fourth floor and there's a fire, and there will be a fire, okay, one of three things would happen. I either get toasted, run over, or did of smoke inhalation.

I think it's absolutely absurd to put a four-story building with handicapped people in it, especially on the top two floors. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Ms. Riggs, Elsa
Riggs. Just to let you know, we have hit our time limit. We still have about 17 or 18 people left to speak.

MS. RIGGS: My name is Elsa Riggs. I live at 6521 Harrods View Circle, Prospect, 40059.

I would like to thank the Louisville Metro Planning and Zoning Commission for accommodating us to have the meeting out here, and thank you for coming.
[applause]
MS. RIGGS: I've been a resident of
Prospect for over 45 years, and I'm very much opposed to this kind of -- what $I$ saw in east Germany kind of structure like they built over there for people that want to -- they don't have [phonetic]. I think it's a terrible design, and I don't think it fits into the character of our village-like city.

I have walked the property. I put my little
boots [phonetic] on, walk down there, and I nearly sank down to my knees. It looked like a swamp. So you tell me how you're going to build a 4-story building 225 square feet on a swamp. Maybe I'm missing something.

I was going to talk about a few other things, but $I$ decided against it, because $I$ don't want to take up so much time. I think everybody else ought to have a chance. But please, please -- I heard yesterday this was a slam dunk for approving the zoning, which makes me very sad.

And what they told me, they said, don't speak, it won't make a bit of difference. Reaffirm my American dream. I'm from a different country. I think this is a great country, and I think we as taxpayers ought to get a fair shake. I thank you for your time, ladies and gentlemen. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Al Binsfield.
MR. BINSFIELD: My name is Al
Binsfield. I live at 7609 Smithfield Greene Lane, Prospect, Kentucky 40059 .

You've heard a lot tonight about this swale or gully behind the project, proposed project. I'd like
to just offer my visual experience of -- of seeing what happens when that area floods.

Currently, the -- in a flooding episode the water rises, and -- and there's two swales.

There's the one swale behind the project which is connected by two culverts going under Timber Ridge to another swale or gully on the Smithfield Greene property, and $I$ have personally seen in heavy rain episodes where this fills up very, very high. I can't tell you to what elevation it fills up, but it is very high.

The -- the two swales, along with a lot of other dedicated flood plain areas throughout the locality, help protect the surrounding the properties from flooding. As you heard, the subject swale was cleaned when MSD extended and upsized its sewer line through the project's flood plain.

But I'm concerned that if they're planting down there, and there are a lot of trees there already and brush, that if the gully floods and there is a rapid movement of water through there it could plug up the culverts and exacerbate the flooding. So I'm not sure who cleans that, but I think somebody has to really look at that and
figure out how that's going to be maintained.
I'd also like to say that the LDG drawing that we've been looking at tonight indicates that a portion of the elevated parking lot on the south side of the building would actually be inundated during a 100-year flood, and that's shown right on the drawing. The -- if you -- if you look at the drawing and -- and you figure out what the impervious area is, it's 163,000 plus square feet, and it all drains to the swale.

Now, my question is: Will that create any additional flooding or have the possibility of any additional flooding? And I'd like to know if the appropriate agencies completed any new hydraulic or hydraulic -- hydraulic studies to take this additional consideration into effect.

I'd like to just quickly speak on the density of the project and throw out some numbers. Of the total 9 acres of the property, only 3.7 acres is suitable for development.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mr. Binsfield, I'm
sorry to interrupt, but that's already been -- that's already been --

MR. BINSFIELD: I -- I know.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Density has been
talked about at -- at length.
MR. BINSFIELD: I understand. I just
wanted --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We have to start shortening the -- the testimony.

MR. BINSFIELD: Okay. I just wanted to point out that if you develop just that portion that is buildable, you have a density of 52.6 dwelling units per acre. Now, on the other side in Smithfield Greene, we have 10.15 acres, and because of the drainage swale we cannot use 10.15 acres.

So we're on 5 acres of the building property. And with our 28 units, it equals about 5.6 dwelling units per acre. The parking, I think everybody has really kind of told you a lot about the parking, so I won't go into that any further. I agree with that.

Mr. Ashburner spoke about the need for elder care facilities, but $I$ would like to point out that this project is not an elder care facility; it's a senior housing project. The architect plan shows windows facing Timber Ridge, and the question was asked how many people can see this facility in the area. I think Mr. Potts indicated that anybody within a 200 -yard radius would be able to see it.

In Smithfield Greene, we are the closest community to these buildings, and there's also the possibility of people looking down into Smithfield Greene. We have a lot of privacy walls that were built because it is a very private community, and I think people from the upper level would be able to look down into those areas, and I think that's a loss of privacy for us.

I just urge you to take a second look at this and deny the zoning change.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MR. BINSFIELD: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Meme Runyon. I'm
sorry. What's your name?
MS. SWANN: Rande Swann.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: The speaker's form
wasn't here for you.
MS. SWANN: I filled one out.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. I'll get you.
Thank you. This -- we are at the point now where we'd like to hear -- it would be beneficial to the commission if we hear new testimony, anything new that hasn't already been said. We -- we still have a rebuttal, just to let you know, we have a
rebuttal coming up, and then we have a long de -deliberation. So thank you. Ms. Runyon.

MS. RUNYON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm Meme Sweets Runyon, executive director of River Fields, a 57-year-old river conservancy land trust at 455 South Fourth Street, Suite 990, 40202 .

I want to support what Mr. Potts said in his presentation. I do have some additional new information. River Fields met with the developers and their attorney in the fall. We had some requests for them about lowering the height and lowering the density.

Now, I've been before you many times for many years, now three decades, and these days it's very rare for developers to actually not move at all towards the center. We got no movement. We got no response to any of our requests about lowering the height and removing the density.

That is a very different experience, and it is a kind of intransigence we don't see much these days. I wanted to make that comment.

The -- I want to create some context, which many have, but $I$ want to run through a few things. This is on the scenic byway, and the scenic byway does have the capacity to lose its designation.

And as any of you know, on KRS 100 should a proposal like this be passed of four stories in this density, then the public's ability to protect the scenic byway has been taken away from the public.

This -- in my belief, in my review of KRS 100, this is spot zoning. There is no other $R-7 A$ in this area. It is miles before you can find a 4-story building. Therefore, this is spot zoning.

Nobody has mentioned the proximity to three very important national register -- one national register site, the Jacobs School, the only remaining preserved Rosenwald school in the entire community. And additionally, two other eligible national register districts, both African-American neighborhoods, the Jacobs School neighborhood and the James Taylor neighborhood. Those are within probably -they're a secondary property. My guess would be secondary properties.

There's been much discussion of the Ohio River Corridor Master Plan, and Cliff correctly said -- and by the way, River Fields helped co-fund that, and $I$ was involved with every step of that, including 25 public hearings, and as you know, that was passed. The goals and objectives were
passed as a part of Cornerstone 2020.
And Cliff correctly said that the master plan said that the rural character of River Road should be preserved. One can never argue ever that this proposal preserves the rural character.

Now, I've mentioned KRS 100, and I want to go back to that. The whole point of KRS 100 when it has to do with neighborhood character is that the point is to protect the neighborhood character of an area, unless the situation changes or the neighborhood changes, the character changes so that a new higher level zoning is actually compatible with the neighborhood.

Nobody has argued here that the neighborhood of the scenic byway of Harrods Creek of the River Road has changed such that a four-story condominium -- apartment of this level with this density, which has been well defined, is compatible with the character.

Now, I'm sorry to have to do this, but I'm afraid that -- I am deeply concerned about the staff report and some errors there. I assume you-all have your staff report, so I'm going to ask you to turn to Page 9 of 18. If you look at the first point, the plan element or portion of the plan
element says, "The proposal introduces a low to medium density residential character. . ."

So let's emphasize the words "low," "medium," and "character in the area." The comments which include a check, meaning I guess it's okay, says unrelated to the plan element, [reads] The proposal is for high density zoning in an area that has other zoning districts that permit -- permit high density residential.

That statement is not related to the statement of B4, because B4 refers to low and medium density and character, not to whether other zoning districts are in the area. On B4, plan element says, [reads] Open space in the form of parks or park-like areas, greenways and farmlands -please look at the last four words -- protected by conservation easements. The open space is in a form of various land uses protected by conservation easements.

There is no conservation easements proposed on the open space. In fact, if you consider, which I hope you don't, passing this, I think it is essential that the commission includes a binding element that includes long-term protection beyond just the tree canopy and the landscape element
where there is, at a minimum, a deed restriction which is potentially given to another party, whether it's the City of Prospect or another party or a conservation easement, because, otherwise, we do not know if this buffer is going to remain.

Now, be -- before the Number 3, "If the proposal is located at the edge" -- I ask you to look at the word -- "at the edge of the village form, it is low density and interspersed," interspersed, not one side of it includes op -- open space. Now, the staff comments are, "The proposal is located just outside of the Village Center form district."

I -- I don't know how that possibly is an argument in favor of a check. Isn't just outside equal to at the edge? So if at the edge is supposed to be low density and interspersed with open space, how can that be a check for approval?

I will not go much farther, but this staff report merits really serious study by you. Then if you look at Page 10 on Number 8, it says -- the Plan Element or Portion of the Plan Element,
"Encourages residential use in centers above retail" -- I want to emphasize "above retail" -- "and other mixed use multi-story retail buildings."

Now, this -- this proposal has nothing above
retail. Zero. So that should be a minus, which it is, but this says the proposal is located adjacent to a center and it's not for mixed use. It should also say, it is not above retail, because the above retail doesn't say above retail or other mixed use multi-story retail buildings; it says "and."

You look at Number 11, [reads] Encourage out lot development is in underutilized parking lots providing -- provided location scale signs, lighting, parking, and landscaping standards are met. Such out lot development should provide -again -- street retail with residential units above.

I was one of the citizens, and also with our MIT trained land use planner Heidi Saunders who used to be on the boards of zoning adjustment, who were involved in the writing of the village district. The village district was written specifically, particularly with Norton Commons in mind.

And if you think about Norton Commons and what is improved in Norton Commons, this proposal has no relationship to anything that was improved -- approved in Norton Commons. Norton Commons includes residential above retail in many places and residential -- single-family residential
next to retail.
Number 12. Now, I actually have a question about this, and maybe Cliff can answer it. "Design large developments to be compact multipurpose centers organized around a central figure such as a public square plaza or landscape element." That says "public."

Now, the staff comment is the large development is organized around a courtyard space. It does not say it is a public space. My question would be, and maybe Cliff can answer: Is the public allowed on this private development, and is that considered a pub -- is the courtyard considered a public space?

MR. ASHBURNER: Answer now?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We generally take
questions after everyone --
MS. RUNYON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: -- after all the oppositions.

MS. RUNYON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So you'd have to do that after --

MS. RUNYON: That's fine. Can -- do I
get up ask that again or -- you can answer. Thank
you. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We are actually -we've run out of time, Ms. Runyon. We have -MS. RUNYON: Gotcha.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: -- over 20 people still wanting to talk.

MS. RUNYON: Okay. Number 17, I just want you to point out -- I could go on and on on this staff report, and I'm going stop after this one, but the errors are so multiple that I -- somebody needs to point them out to you.

Number 17, "The proposal is generally compatible with the scale and site design of nearby existing development and with the form district's pattern of development." This has been emphasized many times, but I want to emphasize that the staff report does not say "no"; it says "yes." It says the proposed building is separated from the adjacent residential by parking to provide more distance from the closest residential uses.

How is that pertinent? The third line of this says it's nearby. Isn't it nearby if there's just a parking lot between them? I will stop out of respect for your time, but $I$ want you to know there about six or seven other comments I could make
and the differences between the statement that's made by Cornerstone 2020 and the staff's interpretation of it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Ms. Swann, I
apologize for skipping you earlier. It's your turn.
MS. SWANN: Thank you, Vince. Because Prospect Cove's residents are --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I'm sorry. Name and address.

MS. SWANN: Rande Swann, 6701 John Hancock Place in Hunting Creek, a resident of Prospect since 1990.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MS. SWANN: Because Prospect Cove's residents are income-restricted, if I understand correctly, I think we can reasonably assume that most of the Prospect Cove residents, seniors and those that may be living with them, may require employment and transportation to the employment.

And this is an area that we have not covered yet that $I$ think is very important, because it is my understanding that's one of the criteria for the $80 / 20$ funding that you get is to have adequate
public transportation.
If there are 200 parking spaces and that's deemed adequate for the up to 700 something people who could conceivably live there, then I think we can assume that a majority may require public transportation, either for work or for non-work purposes, particularly if we have a number of seniors who may be unable to drive. Because the City of Prospect is overwhelmingly residential, it has among the fewest jobs in Jefferson County, and they are highly competitive.

And from what $I$ understand and have been told, but $I$ haven't had an opportunity to fact check this, of the -- all of the metro council districts, we in Prospect have the lowest density of jobs of any other area. Therefore, if the Prospect Cove's residents are going to seek employment, it's going to have to be beyond Prospect, and they will -may have to have public transportation to get there.

So where are the nearest large employment centers that the Prospect Cove residents may work, and what access would TARC provide? It is essential that metro government and LDG both understand that Prospect has extremely limited,
almost non-existent TARC service. We have a 68 Express Prospect bus, and it would pick up -- right now it would be at U.S. 42 and River Road, which is $6 / 10$ of a mile away from this development.

If the development were built, I would hope that TARC would put something there on 42 for them which would be closer, but that would still be a $1 / 4$ of a mile away. The service right now as it stands offers only one morning and one evening run from Prospect to the downtown area, and one morning and one evening run from downtown back to the Prospect area.

This is weekdays only. No weekends, no holidays. These runs are westbound going to town, they pick up in Prospect at 7:10 a.m. and 6:01 p.m. If you are coming from downtown, they will bring you back at 6:54 in the morning or 5:47 at night.

These runs must be utilized to reach nearly all the major employment centers beyond downtown as there are no across town options from Prospect to change buses. For example, one of the largest employment centers nearest us is the Eastpoint Business Park on Old Henry Road in LaGrange, in that area.

If you leave Prospect at 7:10 a.m. on the express bus, you would arrive at Eastpoint at 3:15 in the afternoon. Admittedly, a little late for work. Yes, almost eight hours later. You have to go all the way downtown, change buses and wait, and then come back out again. I thought that couldn't possibly be true, so I called TARC and asked them.

Another of our largest employment centers is Louisville International Airport on Terminal Drive. Its peak passenger traffic shifts begin about 5 a.m. in the morning. You must depart Prospect at 6:01 p.m. the night before, arrive at the airport at 7:09 p.m. --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Ms. Swann, Ms.
Swann, I think -- I'm not sure this is pertinent. The ap -- the applicant hasn't said --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Oh, yes, it is.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: The applicant
hasn't said anything about that they're -- that they
want -- that they think a lot of the people are going to be riding the bus or anything else.

This -- I'm sorry. I --
MS. SWANN: Well, I -- I think if you
have --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: And -- and -- and
it's already been established -- and it's already been established that there's not much of a bus run. So you're not giving us anything new is my point.

MS. SWANN: Well, I just -- I want to make sure you understand that if these people are income limited and if they want to work or need to work that we do not have the public transit to support that.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MS. SWANN: Nor if they are elderly people and cannot drive, and so they must depend upon TARC to get to the doctor --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I agree.
MS. SWANN: -- to get to Norton
Hospital --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That point has been made.

MS. SWANN: -- to get to [phonetic], they have to go all the way downtown, they have to make a transfer somewhere downtown and turn around and come all the way back out to the Mall Saint Matthews or come back to Eastpoint or come back to the airport or come back to Norton Hospital, but they cannot get from here to there
without -- it's like if you fly, you go through Atlanta. Well, if you live in Louisville and take you TARC, you've got to go through downtown.

So what about the elderly who need to get to the Norton Brownsboro Hospital? How accessible are we going to be for them? I'm not dissing TARC because I think they diligently work hard to try to serve a very diverse population with very few resources, but I think if you are going to put senior housing and if you are going to put high density apartment unit based upon the number of parking spaces that they say will be needed, then $I$ think you are going to have a lot of people there that are going to need public transportation, and that is something that has not been, I don't think, as seriously looked at as needs to for the people who are going to be there.

They should not be forced in there and then left and landlocked to never leave Prospect again. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Mike
Thomas.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mike Thomas.
Okay. Stacey Anderson.

MR. SPEAKER: Mike's coming. He's here.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Oh. We have had some of your testimony, Mike. Please keep it -please keep it short.

MR. THOMAS: I'll do the best I can. As I was saying earlier -- oh, thank you. As you can -- as I was saying earlier, I do carry a different perspective, and the fact that I live on River Road, I live next to Ms. Collier who spoke earlier, this project will impact what $I$ see from the back of my lot. It will have an effect on the value of my property, as well. That all being said, I'll switch it to the law enforcement side.

There's no question that on a day in day out basis right now we back metro on a number of different runs that are not in the city limits of Prospect simply because they are taxed because of lack of personnel or -- or availability. How this development will impact the City of Prospect law enforcement area, that's for the city people to decide, but $I$ have no doubt in my mind with common sense that there's going to be crime take place, whether it's violent or misdemeanor or -- or reports, and that we're going to wind up
responding.
And when we do, we're going to be taking away from the City of Prospect. That's just one of those things that's going to happen, but $I$ want it to be noted. Ten and a half years out here, folks talk about traffic, they talk about graphs and everything else. I have seen the traffic.

There are two ways into Prospect. You either come out River Road from downtown, or you come out U.S. 42. All it takes is a fatal wreck or a hazardous materials situation or a fire, any number of things that are going to create chaos in that area given not only the fact that it could be 198 people, it could be 752 people, it could be 200 cars, it could be 600 cars.

There are five entrances and exits from the Prospect Village Shopping Center. There are four entrances and exits from the other side of Timber Ridge all concentrated on Timber Ridge. Timber Ridge is the thoroughfare between River Road and 42. It takes nothing to create a traffic jam that all you need is the traffic light to go out, and you're going to have a massive backup.

It's going to affect shopping, it's going to affect medical, it's going to affect cleaners. So
all I'm saying is is that this is going to be an undue burden for the area. Again, I think it's a massive structure.

Given the footprint of the area, I think it's -it's poorly designed in the fact that it's an institutionalized place or industrial place or commercial place. It's not a residential setting, and it will stick out like a sore thumb, because unlike the Overlook development that they spoke of on 42 which sits way back off the road, this is going to be right in your face from River Road or from Timber Ridge.

I mean, if you turn onto Timber Ridge, you're going to see it. If $I$ turn onto River Road, I am the third driveway --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That's been --
that's been established. That's been established, Mr. Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: I -- I'm done. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. THOMAS: I appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Stuart Miles.
[applause]
MR. MILES: Hello. I'm Dr. Stuart Miles.

I live at 7302 Fox Harbor Road, Prospect, Kentucky.

And I'm a member of the Prospect City Council. And for the record, I'm the Prospect City Council member who drafted the resolution in opposition to this project. But I want to give you some context. You've heard this from many people, but $I$ really think it's important that you hear this. I am not in opposition for having affordable senior housing. My mother is 81 years old.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That's been -that's been said.

MR. MILES: I think it's important to know that -- where I'm coming from. That's not where I'm coming from. I'm here because I think you're being given a forced choice that really isn't there. You're being asked to choose between affordable senior housing or rejecting this pro -project.

And really what the -- the forced choice is is between affecting the quality of life in my community and property values and making this developer a little extra money. They could do the same prospect [sic] following the zoning
guidelines, per -- afford -- provide affordable housing, but they wouldn't make as much money, and that's the real choice, and I think that's something you have to keep in mind.

Something else, like I say, Mr. Ashburner, every two years $I$ knock on hundreds of doors of Prospect's residents. Not one resident when I ask them, what's concerning you, you know, what do you need of my city, not one of them have said, "We need more affordable senior housing."

Thank you. I am in opposition of this project, and I hope you consider that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Stacey

Anderson.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Stacey Anderson.
Paul Tabler. John Shulhafer. Did I get that right? MR. SHULHAFER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. MR. SHULHAFER: Thank you. My name is John Herb Shulhafer, and I reside at 2 Autumn Hill Court in Prospect, 40059 .

I oppose the density of this proposed development. I was a principal architect for two multi-family developments that have been
displayed on the screen, one being Harrods Creek Overlook, which has 24 units. There have been comparisons. I just want to add a few more comparisons.

One, there's 24 units there. There's more than 2 parking spaces per unit in that development. The other architectural opportunity was -- which didn't get built, was on Prospect Cove, and it was master planned for, approximately, 48 units, and that also had -- where you could have over 2 parking spaces per unit.

So the -- there was a -- the -- a great belief that seniors would be moving in there, but they believed that those seniors also would have the ability to drive. I do know the site, the Prospect Cove site real well. It was over a three-year endeavor.

In fact, many members of a previous commission, they really demanded that it be excellent, and that developer worked very hard to work with them to get the approval. The economic boom is why -- why it's still a vacant lot.

The -- I really think -- and it's been said that the -- the site data is -- I -- I -- is misleading, because it -- the reason our design didn't show a
building in the gully because it's not buildable. We basically were building up on the flat land. So it really when you take that density, the real density of the actual building site, it -- it's -- it's real similar to some of the housing developments that were developed here in Louisville in the 40 s , and that has proven not to be quality.

I'll -- I'll stop with that. I -- I'm not opposed to -- I think it's a great site for multi-family housing. I think it would be appropriate for affordable housing, but that density, to -- to relate to Ms. Wal -- Walters' comments about quality of the people that have -- that will live there, that is primary and my concern as my many years of designing residential units for people. Thank you. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. [applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mike South, is it?
Mike -- I can't read the last name. Mike, is it -- 6800 Transylvania? Mike. I -- I can't read the name. Mike South, I think it is.

Sorry. Eric -- Eric Mulhaus, 6708 Gunpowder. Elizabeth Padgett. Easy to read.

MS. PADGETT: Hi. Elizabeth
Padgett, 7013 Shallow Lake Road, 40059.

My husband and I moved to Prospect last year. I've lived in Louisville my entire life, and before that I had never really came out here, because frankly, there isn't really anything but homes in Prospect.

We've already established we've got a population under 5,000 people, and if you look at Prospect city limits, it's really just a collection of neighborhoods that follow along Highway 42. Right around the midpoint of Highway 42 is Pros -Kroger Prospect Village. Prospect does not have a downtown, but that Kroger is the heart of our commercial district and serves as our city center.

Our post office, our town hall, our little library are right nearby. It's the closest thing to a town square that we have and it's all that we have. Building something in that area that is twice as tall as anything else around it and that will forever dominate the landscape is the equivalent of dropping a high rise on our town square.

I'm going to skip a lot of this, it's already been said. My neighborhood, the Landings, is right across the street. We have 239 homes. That's not 239 homeowners, but 239 households. I don't know the exact number of people who live in
our neighborhood, but it could easily be several hundred.

The majority of Timber Ridge Drive that we're talking about tonight is the main road through our subdivision, and the stoplight at the intersection of Timber Ridge Drive and Highway 42 is our only entrance and exit. Adding several hundred more people onto Timber Ridge Drive will definitely have a negative impact on us as the closest subdivision, but we're certainly not the only neighborhood to consider.

We share that entrance with Harrods Landings Condominiums that has 46 units, and Timber Ridge Drive, as we said, is the only entrance and exit that I know of for 32 patio homes is Smithfield Greene. It's the other end, that tail end of Timber Ridge Drive past the light between Highway 42 and River Road where this building might be located is just as concerning to us.

It's just a stretch of two-lane road about 1600 feet long, or just over $1 / 4$ of a mile. If you tally up -- and I'm summing this. If you tally up all of the Kroger Prospect Village storefronts that are available, plus the freestanding buildings like Walgreens, McDonald's, Republic Bank, and the
office complex that $I$ do believe has 24 units for rent, what we have to deal with right now is already up to 47 businesses, plus the 32 patio homes of Smithfield Greene that are all dependent on that $1 / 4$ mile of Timber Ridge Drive.

It's already an overly congested area that is at capacity as it is. No accurate traffic study is going to approve making hundreds more people live in the middle of that, and they shouldn't have to. It's just as important to remember what quality of life would be possible for the tenants of this kind of building in this area wedged between a gas station a parking lot.

If a resident can manage to dodge traffic enough to cross the busy street without getting hit by a car, she can make it to the far end of another parking lot. Other than that, there's nowhere else to go and no way to get there.

We've talked about the green space that's totally inaccessible to them for use. It's being called senior housing, but they're only providing eight handicapped spots for the tenants to fight over. And we already said, the TARC route is just for convenience and not necessity.

So to the developer, I would ask that you do
please build this housing, but put it where it is wanted and it is needed, because it really is. We have friends in other parts of the city who have expressed confusion and frustration about why this new affordable housing would be built so far out in the way from where -- where it is actually needed.

People who might live in this building would not want to have to relocate away from their families and friends and churches and communities. So many other areas of Louisville have been crying out for safe, affordable houses for years, places that don't require rezoning that have suitable infrastructure to support it and that can provide public transportation and that are nearer to jobs and schools and doctors and services that people truly need.

And to the Planning Commission, I thank you for listening to our residents' many, many concerns and for giving us the opportunity to provide you with the facts you need to make an informed decision. No one knows this area better or cares more about this community than the people who actually live here.

There is a great level of respect and advocacy for seniors here, and we believe they deserve
better planning than this. This type of development is exactly what a Planning Commission is designed to prevent. Thank you. [applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Rob Prince. Greg Huelsman, Huelsman. Anthony Buckley. Lee Cory. John Simpson. There you go.

MR. SIMPSON: John Simpson, 8501
Harrods Bridge Way in Prospect, 40059.
You're tired, so I'm going to tell you something you haven't heard tonight. In front of this building that's proposed, about the distance from here to the back of the wall is a gas station. It's outside of the City of Prospect by about the width of the bench behind which you're sitting.

There's a reason why it's there rather than in the parking lot that Kroger owns, where Kroger wanted it. There are four persons in the audience tonight who were on the Prospect City Council then and turned down Kroger's proposal to put the gas station in the parking lot.

One of the reasons is that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends against situating a gas station within several
hundred feet, I think it's 2 - or 300 feet of a place where children or the elderly congregate. The front door of the Prospect Kroger is the definition of the place where the elderly congregate.

And so the city council voted against putting -- allowing Kroger to put a gas station there, and they moved it 25 feet outside the city limits. The reason for the CDC's recommendation is that gasoline always has azeotropes and other additives that are necessary for gasoline, they're highly volatile, and stay in the air for a long time.

Now, we're all exposed to that once or twice a month when we refuel our cars. These people in this residence will be exposed to it around the clock. So I -- I would suggest to you strongly that before you go very much farther with this proposal you ask your staff to look into the CDC guidelines on situating of gas stations near places where the elderly might congregate.

And I -- I think if you -- if you find the information that the city council had several years ago when they turned down Kroger's proposal, you will may think twice about this. Thank you. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Cheryl Buckley.
Cheryl Buckley. No Cheryl Buckley. Mike Haag. Did I get that right?

MR. HAAG: You got it right. My name is Mike Haag. I'm a resident of Prospect. I live at 6001 Timber Ridge Place, Prospect, 40059 .

The things -- [coughs] excuse me -- that I wanted to bring up is if this truly is a senior facility, why is there only ten units of handicapped accessory? And the reason $I$ say that is because, as you get older, and that's what this is for is to help elderly people get to enjoy the Prospect area like we do, there's not the facilities for it, for one.

The other thing that concerns me is the fact that it's a four-story building. And I have an elderly mother that needed assistance and everything like that. One of the things that we were concerned about is her getting out in case of a fire. You're talking about a four-story complex. You're talking about near a gas station. That concerns me.

The other thing is is that if it truly was for seniors, why don't they have porches? Like the man said, he wanted to grow stuff out on that porch. You know, that's something that elderly
people like and enjoy, and it adds quality to their life.

They like to feed the birds, they like to enjoy life, and Prospect has a lot of wildlife and everything as the one gentleman said about the birds and the things like that. So make it a truly senior living facility. Don't make it so huge.

It concerns me that when I drive -- I live in the Landings, which is across 42 and Timber Ridge -- [coughs] excuse me -- Drive. When I come out of my subdivision, I'm going to see this huge four-story building. There is no four-story buildings in the City of Prospect.

Why -- why is that allowed? I mean, we have a village-type zoned residential, and I was under the impression that things like that couldn't happen. That's what I want you-all to do.

The other thing is is that I guess a concern, too, is that $20 \%$ of these apartments can be given to people that are not seniors. If you are going with this zoning and making this a true senior facility, make it a true fa -- facility for the seniors. One, give them porches, put restrictions on them. Let them be able to use it. Try not to make it so huge that they can't make it a -- a nice place to
live.

The other thing is, put restrictions on there saying that it has to be $100 \%$ seniors only. Spouses can be younger and a caregiver can be younger, but restrict the -- the two -- two-bedroom units to three occupants. Why does there need to be four?

And I guess the only other thing that I wanted to add is that, you know, please take into consideration in listening to everybody that's been here. I don't see how it can be zoned and -- and let them build what they want to build because of restrictions and everything like that, but I'm not the expert; you-guys are.

Just keep it a -- a facility, try to keep our -to a two-story or a three-story. The three-story that we have in the City of Louisville is down a hill, that they were talking about condos that doesn't overpower the city. This four-story building would.

But again, remember, it's a senior facility for low-income people. Let them be able to enjoy it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Is there anyone else that's here to speak in opposition that has not been called forward?

MR. COMER: Yes, I do.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Come forward.
Yes, sir.
MR. COMER: Very quickly. My --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I need a speaker's
form, and I'm really looking for something new.
MR. COMER: I know that. Evan
Comer, 7011 Shallow Lake Road, Prospect, 40059, resident of 14 years.

I've worked with the Code Enforcement Board since its inception. A few things to point out. We've talked about water here and there, but what happens -- we already know there's an issue. What happens when you put that large area of a rooftop, concrete and asphalt there? What -where does the water settle?

Has anybody spoken with -- I don't know if he's still in office, Kirk Mason, our state's conservationist. There already is an issue about that, but that's just an insane amount of square footage that water has to drain off of, and it's not proper for that area.

The other thing would be, for those that have made studies for -- of this and said, okay, I've done all these studies for traffic or whatever --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Can you speak into the microphone?

MR. COMER: I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Is the microphone on?

MR. COMER: Everybody that's done --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MR. COMER: -- studies for traffic and whatever, have you ever been wrong before? Because we know that has happened. And I appreciate your time, and thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you. [applause]

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Yes, ma'am. MS. PENDELL: My name is Rebecca Pendell. I live at 4913 Olde Creek Way, 40059.

I've sat in the back row all night. Not one person has mentioned the fact that this is senior living, but 55 years old is when nobody retires, and so it will directly impact the traffic even more, because 55 years old you usually have another seven years minimum that you're working. I have
many friends and family that are 70 and still out there and doing things.

So it's a direct impact on the traffic that hadn't been mentioned. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you. Okay.
Please fill out a speaker's form for me; okay? Thank you.

Okay. We're ready to move on to rebuttal. MR. SCHEWE: Can I say one thing?

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I'm sorry.
MR. SCHEWE: May I oppose --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Sure.
MR. SCHEWE: -- quickly? My name is Harold Schewe, 6912 Wythe Hill Circle, Prospect.

Also, captain and paramedic at the fire department at Harrods Creek. We have four firefighters on duty 24/7. We do not have a ladder truck. The fire response to the elderly in a four-story building, we have four people that will show up very quickly. We have -- I'm not trying to undermine the fire department. We're a great fire department.

Having four -- having four people trying to get elderly people off of a fourth floor, can't use elevators, stairwells only, I don't see this working
out very well for anybody, ultimately. Like the gentleman in the back said, you know, we're going to do our best, but our closest ladder truck is Saint Matthews Worthington. So a ten-minute response time for a ladder truck and additional help. I -- I strongly oppose this. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[applause]
MR. STRAUB: Good evening. Matt Straub, 6801 Fair -- Fairway View Court, Prospect, 40059.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Were you -- were you sworn in?

MR. STRAUB: No, sir, I wasn't.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Please raise your
right hand. Do you swear or affirm the testimony that you're about to -- to give the Planning Commission is the truth?

MR. STRAUB: Yes.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MR. STRAUB: Thank you. Quick observation, we're talking about putting a low-income housing unit --

THE REPORTER: Can you repeat your
name? I'm sorry.

MR. STRAUB: Matt Straub, 6801 Fairway View Court, Prospect, 40059 .

Talking about putting a low-income unit for seniors in Prospect. If you haven't bought gasoline in Prospect lately, it's 20 cents more a gallon. Come to our Kroger, it's a little bit more expensive than the rest of the city. We'll be putting an indirect tax on these low-income people if you put them in Prospect. I think you should take that into consideration, as well.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
MR. STRAUB: Thank you.
[applause]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. I would assume that the -- the commissioners would like a break. If -- if you'd like to use the restroom, you can go ahead and go, because we're going to run out of time.

We need to move to rebuttal, Cliff, and I think there is one question that Ms. Meme Runyon had. I -- I'm assuming you're going to cover that in rebuttal.

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes. Do you want me to wait until the full commission is seated?

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Well --

MR. ASHBURNER: I would -- I mean, I
would like to address the full commission. I -- I think --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That's -- that's
fine. If Mr. Peterson is going to go, we'll -- we'll take a short two-minute break.
[WHEREUPON, a brief recess is taken.]
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Let's get
back started, please. Cliff, obviously, you know the rules as well as $I$ do. You have about an hour and a half of rebuttal, but -- but we have -- we have to be out of here by 12. What I -- I'm sorry. Before the rebuttal, I -- I skipped something, and I apologize.

We might have questions for any of the opposition who have spoken. So I've got to take that moment and see if any of the commissioners have any questions for the opposition.

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Yeah.
May I --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: -- Mr.
Chairman?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Absolutely.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I know
during the LD\&T it was brought up that the City of Prospect was going to have an independent traffic study prepared, and I don't know if that's something they had an opportunity to complete?

MR. POTTS: We had an independent
analysis done by Qk4 to look at the development, and came -- they came to the conclusion and -and the numbers have shown that -- that an apartment use would be a more accurate reflective use of this -- this --

MR. ASHBURNER: I've got to object to this.

MR. POTTS: -- property.
MR. ASHBURNER: I apologize. If -- if Qk4 is not here to speak to their own study, that's --

MR. POTTS: They did -- they didn't --
MR. ASHBURNER: I don't think Mr.
Potts --
MR. POTTS: They didn't produce a study.

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, I mean --
MR. POTTS: All they did was reviewed a study --

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: And
made a determination that the land use code that was used in the original study wasn't appropriate?

MR. POTTS: They -- they suggested that the more appropriate study was apartment. Now, they have done --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: But we don't have that study to look at.

MR. POTTS: Well, but you have the apartment numbers that they put up.

MR. ASHBURNER: Qk4 is not here for me to ask them how they ba -- how they did their analysis.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That's -- that's -that's a tough one.

MR. POTTS: Okay. I mean, I'm just --
I'm just --
MR. ASHBURNER: If they're not here, I don't think we can have them --

MR. SPEAKER: I think there's enough
evidence in the record as to both apartment and -and senior living.

MR. POTTS: Right.
MR. SPEAKER: Numbers that we don't -I don't think you need to bring it up, and I don't think you need to be cross-examining.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Well,
then one more question back on traffic because the petition I guess that was circulated, there was a statement in there about the overcrowding.

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN:
Overcrowded Timber Ridge Drive. And I -- what -what did that mean?

MR. POTTS: Well, I mean, I think you just heard the testimony of the people who live there that Timber Ridge Drive between U.S. 42 and River Road is frequently backed up. I mean, I was in there just this evening before this, and it took me about four minutes to get out of the parking lot from McDonald's and make a turn onto Timber Ridge.

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: That's certainly not reflected in this study, so how -- how many cars are on Timber Ridge in an average day?

MR. POTTS: That would be more
appropriate for --
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Okay.
MR. POTTS: -- the traffic engineer.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Is that a question
you need answered?
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: No.
I -- it's in the study.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: All right.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I -- I
thought they'd done an independent study just by -- because her study certainly doesn't reflect that volume on -- on Timber Ridge Drive.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Any other questions for opposition? Down here. Mr. Potts, just a -- a -- I had one, please. During your presentation, you were showing a lot of the $R-5$ and $R-6$ developments that are all around Prospect.

MR. POTTS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Multitudes of them, obviously. There's a -- a lot of them. How many of them are for -- are for low-income, moderately priced senior --

MR. POTTS: Well --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: -- how much of that is senior moderately priced housing?

MR. POTTS: There are a number of them that are moderately priced. Now, I don't suggest whether they're for seniors or not for seniors.

They certainly can be occupied by seniors.
And they're not set aside, if you will, for -- for senior housing, but there are a number of -- of -of affordable houses in Prospect and in the area around Prospect. So there are incomes, as -- as -CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.

MR. POTTS: -- as Mr. -- as the mayor
said there where he was living in a divorce, he was paying certainly well under the -- the price that's being supposedly charged for these units.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MR. POTTS: So. .
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: And then my
second question is: You spent a -- a -- a great amount of time, and $I$ think a lot of people actually have, about the 45 feet. And I guess my question is: If this was -- Mr. Ashburner was bringing forth an OR-1 office condominium that was 45 feet, would you be here fighting it?

MR. POTTS: If it were this massive, certainly.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So is 45 feet too tall, or is it not too tall?

MR. POTTS: Well, 45 feet is -- is too tall.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: It's already
approved for 45 feet.
MR. POTTS: I understand, but it was approved for 30 condominiums, and -- and they were 45 feet because they were parking underneath them, and so there was a reason to raise it. This one is flat on the ground.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thank you.
Okay. That's it for questions of the opposition. We'll move to rebuttal. Cliff.

MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you again, Mr. Chairman, members of the commission. Thank you Springdale Community Church for having us out here and hanging in as long as you have. If we could go back to the plan view, if you don't mind, and then -- I don't know if the system reset or something.

MR. SPEAKER: Yeah, it reset. It will be up in -- here in a minute or two.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay.
MR. SPEAKER: Sorry about that.
MR. ASHBURNER: Well, even without
the plan, you know, I -- I've said for a long time to neighborhood meetings and to this commission that I think our zoning process works really well,
because it pushes people toward consensus. Now, they don't always get there, and obviously tonight we're not there, and you-all have to make the decision.

But it has pushed us toward consensus about the information surrounding the project. You know, the neighborhood meeting, which you all have a transcript of, the concern from the residents wasn't necessarily crime committed on the residents; it was crime committed by the residents. That was a real concern, and I think over time what you've heard from the residents of the City of Prospect is that they're not as concerned about that anymore.

They are still concerned about the size, they are still concerned about the lack of TARC service. You know, $I$ think that that is another misconception that we can clear up right now. TARC3 is a service that TARC provides to elderly communities. It's an on-demand service, and charges a rider about a dollar a trip, and it's an on-demand service.

You don't have to be in a big long bus on a fixed route to use public transportation. And you don't have to not have another option. You know,
one of the great things that $I$-- I enjoy in working downtown is the zero bus circulator.

It goes back and forth to $U$ of $L$, it goes back and forth to NuLu. It's something that runs with a frequency that allows me to use it, and I think as TARC expands more and more people who do have other options will continue to use it.

So, just because you live here and you don't have a lot of money, it doesn't mean that you're designated to be a bus rider. And if you do rely on public transportation, it's available. It's not a hub and spoke model. You don't have to go downtown on a bus.

Now, one other thing that $I$ want to just clear up very quickly, the building will be sprinklered, you know, it will be built to building code standards. This is not a situation where it's going to create a greater risk than any other project. You know, Councilman Fulcher mentioned several other developments in the area.

Those are all assisted-living. These are folks who are not necessarily, you know, able to -- able to live independently. And there are -- you know, several of those are three stories, four stories. So you know, the concept that just because you're
going to have people of a certain age in a building that's four stories tall is going to create a safety problem I think is -- is just wrong.

With regard to the existence of affordable housing in Prospect, you know, there's no doubt there are units in Prospect that are inexpensive, potentially inexpensive to rent. They are not provided with the same level of service that this project will have.

As you heard from the resident at -- at Brookstone, there -- you know, and as you see on the plan, there's a pool, there's a gym, there's a computer room, there's a hobby room, there's picnic areas. Those things don't necessarily come if you just happen to find an inexpensive apartment in a given ZIP code.

With regard to being able to buy a condo for a low amount that Mayor Evans spoke about, you know, a lot of people don't want to own a piece of property. They don't want to buy into a condominium project after they retire. They may have sold their house and that is it that they have in terms of savings to live on.

And they may look at wanting to move into a community to rent to be close to family. You
know, there -- there -- as -- as was shown by Mr.
Potts and others, there is a huge amount of
single-family in -- in the Prospect area, as well as
within the city limits, and, you know, it's not -- it's occupied by families.

I live in a single-family detached house because $I$ have kids that need a yard, and lots of people do that. At some point in my future, I would anticipate not needing a yard and maybe getting tired of taking care of it and maybe wanting to move into a rental property. Giving people a choice to move into a rental property is a valid decision that this commission can make.

As far as de-concentration of affordable housing, you know, de-concentration really, I would say it means different things to different people, except that $I$ think it means one thing to most people, which is you do not concentrate housing that serves the very poor in very large blocks next to other very large blocks.

If you look at the Metro Housing report that Cathy provided to you, you can see that currently -- forgive me -- of the low-income tax credit units available in metro, the vast majority are in Districts 1 through 6 .

1 through 6 is basically west of $I-65$ and downtown. 0.3\% of those units are available in District 16, which takes in not only Prospect but a larger area around Prospect. A tiny fraction of the low-income housing tax credit units that are available in our community are available in this metro district.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Cliff?
MR. ASHBURNER: Yeah.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Has that
report been submitted into the record?
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: I believe all the commissioners have a copy of it. And I -- I provided a copy and some analysis of it to Julia several weeks ago, so. . .

With regard to occupancy, you know, this is a misconception that, for some reason, has lingered. Seven hundred and fifty-two people are going to be crammed into this project, into this building. The occupancy -- the average occupancy at Brookstone is one per unit.

Those are all two-bedroom units. There are 4 units out of 56 that have more than one person.

Sixty total residents in that property. If you applied that here, you would end up with about 215 residents.

You heard the parking discussion about Brookstone. Real world example, not a study, an actual fully occupied property owned by the same owner in the same -- you know, I wouldn't say the same core tile of the city, 72 spaces, 32 cars. The demand really isn't there. The worry about that level occupancy is not there.

The reason that the number came up is someone asked in our neighborhood meeting, how many people could you put into a unit, and perhaps mistakenly, we answered honestly and said the building code allows two people per bedroom.

So you could theoretically under the law have four people in a two-bedroom unit, but the reality is you're likely to have one. You may have two in some of the two-bedroom units. So the intensity of this project $I$ think has been overblown.

With regard to the building height, there are two things that, you know, nobody's really talked about, and $I$-- $I$ think this comes to a point of tension within the comprehensive plan, and this -this happens in lots of different plans, but it
happens a lot in our Cornerstone 2020 plan. Some goals work together. You know, some goals work synergistically.

Some goals, some objectives sometimes work against each other. We want to have affordable housing throughout the community. We want to allow for a mixture of densities. We want to allow higher intensity or higher density near centers.

At the same time, a portion of the plan says you really need to consider and respect context, what is already out there. Well, I would say that there is another leg to that stool, which is you need to consider how the policies -- how the policy goals that are set out in the comprehensive plan have been expressed through the legislatively adopted Land Development Code.

And the way that they are in this case is in Table 5.2.2, which governs traditional neighborhood form districts, including the village. Except for the single-family zones within that form district, the maximum building height is 45 feet, which is what we're proposing tonight.

And you have a history on this very property of two 45-foot tall buildings that are actually closer to Timber Ridge, closer to Smithfield

Greene than the building that's being proposed tonight. So I -- I understand the -- the tension that's in the comprehensive plan, but I think the Land Development Code helps to resolve it.

The decisions that have been made by other boards, the Board of Zoning Adjustment in this case in 2008, about this particular property also helps you to resolve that tension, and I think it points you to resolving that tension in favor of the proposed re-zoning.

With regard to the density number and what the correct denominator is, you know, we look at the entire property. Every property everywhere throughout the entire community is evaluated based on the total acreage owned. There's one instance, one regulation, the new -- relatively new conservation subdivision regulation in which an inventory of un-buildable land is part of the process.

This is not that case. You know, and just to point to fundamental fairness, the applicant pays taxes on that land, the applicant has to maintain that land, the applicant has to insure that land. They should be able to count it as part of the project.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Cliff, I've litigated that issue, and -- and you're correct. And -- and I would admonish any of the commissioners, the -- for whatever reasons you may have for approval or denial, the usability of the open space is not an issue.

MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you. With
regard to handicapped accessibility, that -- that was one thing that -- that Ms. Hinko really made a very strong point in favor of the project about. Others have spoken about the small number of units that are outfitted immediately to be handicapped accessible or accessible to those with hearing or vision issues.

All of the units, $100 \%$ of the units are convertible, and all will comply with the Fair Housing Act. So they will all be ready for modification, even though the law does not require them to be immediately usable by folks with physical handicaps.

You know, as you've seen it in -- in zoning cases before, occasionally people appeal to popularity. They say, there are a lot of us who think you should vote one way. I have -Councilman Miles spoke about, I have surveyed the
area, I survey it every two years when $I$ run for re-election, nobody really wants more affordable housing in Prospect.

Well, you know, not every decision is popular. Every decision that you-all make -- you've got a fairly experienced commission right now -- is going to make one person or another, you know, upset potentially. So I would ask that instead of appealing to popularity, instead of looking at the signatures, you know, that the city $I$ assume spent part of its $\$ 100,000$ opposition budget garnering, look at the comp plan. Look at what the comp plan says.

The comp plan does talk about compatibility. The comp plan does talk about the character of the village, but the comp plan also talks about location of housing for elderly and people with disabilities. These people should be located close to shopping and transit routes. As I've said before, there's not a transit route, but there is TARC3 available to everyone here.

Encourage the provision of appropriate and inclusive housing, that's Cornerstone 2020 for affordable housing. By providing a variety of ownership options and unit cost throughout

Jefferson County -- you know, what I've -- what I've heard is throughout this entire process -- you might call it envy.

You know, I think of it as a group of people who -- who think, well, this is a really good idea elsewhere. If we could ever just find elsewhere, that's where it should go. From the very beginning of the first neighborhood meeting, it should go west, south, elsewhere. Tonight, it should go elsewhere.

Sometimes you look at a map like this and you go, you know what, the people who live there are going to have unusual access to grocery, to pharmacies, to restaurants. We should put people there. We should put a lot of people there.

This is a development of an appropriate intensity for this center. And because our comp plan guides us to look for opportunities to place affordable housing throughout the community, it -it's almost like a puzzle that suddenly -- that suddenly becomes solvable.

We have a high population of potential tenants. We have great access to services. We have the opportunity to develop in a compact way, which our comprehensive plan also supports, on a
property and permanently preserve the open space between then and River Road.

Ms. Runyon asked about preserving it in a conservation easement. We had very preliminary, I mean, like saying the word in the presence of Meme, kinds of conversations about conservation easement. I think some kind of easement that would permanently protect that area is -- is well within, you know, my authority to offer.

And as you -- as you saw or may have seen at the end of our booklet, you know, we add -- we offered a binding element to plant 35 additional trees, Kelli spoke about it earlier, to actually end up with a net increase in tree canopy. So I guess I would encourage you to look toward the future a bit, to look at the intensity of what's nearby, not just look at the overall density of a largely single-family section of our community.

You've heard about the need, you've heard about the "Silver Tsunami" as people like to call it, and vote to recommend approval tonight. I'm happy to take any questions if there are any? CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioners, questions of Cliff on the -- his rebuttal? It's getting late.

MR SPEAKER: I do have one, Cliff, and I certainly can't speak for the opposition, but what I -- what I -- what I really gather from what the people here have said and what the opposition have talked -- has talked about is that they don't seem to believe that this development, that -- that what's going to happen in this development is what you are presenting to them. They -- I think a lot of them feel that -- especially -- and -- and, you know, I have some doubt, because I'm 55, and I'm nowhere close to being retired.

So that -- that's throwing a lot of people off in that the number is 55. Now, I don't know if that has something to do with the housing credits or -or whatever that is. Maybe if you explained to me, because I don't think that really was covered much in tonight.

But I think they have the fear that this is not going to be elderly people in there, that it's going to be something else, that all these other people are going to be living with them. And -- and I think that's the -- the main fear that they have.

So what is it that -- and -- and trust me, for the public -- the Planning Commission we know there's no guarantees. You can't -- we can't force
the developer, except with some binding elements, to do all the things we want them to do, but help -help us to understand how this is going to be, what you are saying that it's going to be a -- a little bit more

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay. As I said before, the project will be financed, at least in part, by low-income housing tax credits aimed at senior households. The federal government decide -- defines senior households as 55.

That's -- that's not a number that the developer came up with.

And I don't have the number of average age of Brookstone off the top of my head. I do know 20\% of the people work. I -- I do know -- and you saw the resident from Brookstone who was here, he's 75. There are no occupants under 18.

So that kind of cuts out families. And based on the level of occupancy at Brookstone, again -and I -- and -- and this is, again, recognized in the Land Development Code by the different application of parking ratios. It's mostly single people, maybe a couple, maybe a single person with a caregiver, and that population is -- you know, is likely to be older.

I -- I'm not saying that somebody who's 56 -and just wants to move into a unit wouldn't otherwise qualify, but, you know, that -- that is where the 55 number came from.

And with regard to the binding nature of that, you-all have a tremendous amount of influence over every development that happens, but the bank and people who provide funding, especially people who provide qualified funding like the purchase of tax credits, other things like that, have an even bigger influence, because if they don't feel that the tax credits can be produced -- and the tax credits from a project like this would be produced by putting into service a certain number of units with the appropriate age and income restrictions -they won't buy them.

And if they don't buy them, this project will never come out of the ground. It will never happen. And they impose upon the developer, as I said before, a 30-year -- and this is recorded in the deed -- you know, in the deed book. This is not a binding element that's enforceable by you-all, although, you know, we may be able to entertain something, but this is something that is enforceable by the people who really have
financed the project.
So I think that that has sufficient teeth, although I know you-all don't have a role to play in that enforcement mechanism. So did I --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Thanks.
Yeah.
MR. ASHBURNER: -- answer your
question?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That -- that cl -that helps me to understand it a little bit better, be -- because we talked about this six hours ago as we were --

MR. ASHBURNER: Right.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: It's coming -- all coming back to me now. Okay. Any other questions for rebuttal?

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Mr.
Chairman, I think along those same lines, somebody was going to be drafting a binding element about that, and I -- I -- I haven't yet heard that, and I can appreciate, you know, your financial mechanism of ensuring that, but, you -you know, I've spent a good part of my life dealing with things going wrong, and things that you never thought would happen, end up happening.

And you know, if, you know, the property owner defaults on the loan, they -- they call the loan in, you know, the financing company could sell that land off to somebody else, and they wouldn't necessarily be encumbered by the original financing thing, they could theoretically do an $R-7$ apartment building, and there's nothing there to enforce that. So that's why. . .

MR. ASHBURNER: Chief, I understand your question. Two things you should know: Number one, the folks who are engaged in the financing of a project like this want to ensure compliance almost above anything else, because they have sold to the market a tax credit that can be used every year for a given period of time.

If the project falls out of compliance somehow, those tax credits disappear, and the people who they sold them to come after them, they will step in and manage the project in a different way before they ever allow a deviation from the program under which the finan -- under which the financing was obtained.

That's -- that's kind of the first line of defense is, if for some reason the management of the project is not going well, the folks who
provided the funding are going to step in and manage it themselves.

With regard to turning it into just a simple apartment project, that is theoretically possible; however, you would have to greatly modify the units as they are built, because there is no way even, I assume -- the Planning Commission could theoretically approve a parking waiver to take it down to 207 required spaces, but I don't see this commission, especially given the history of this case and tonight, approving a $33 \%$ waiver on parking.

So what you would end up with, you may end up with the same building but different units. And I would argue if you ended up with non-restricted units, you would end up -- and I -- and I think it's -- it's clear in the testimony from Ms. Zimmerman, you would end up with a significantly higher traffic impact, as well as a significantly higher parking requirement, and it would be well within this body's discretion to say "No, we're not -- we're not going to allow that. You're going to have to either make it age-restricted or reduce the number of units."

Does that help answer your question?

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Still
feel better with a binding element.
MR. POTTS: I -- I've drafted a binding element, Chief.

MR. ASHBURNER: If we can -- if -- if I
could have a chance to read his and answer Mr.
Peterson's question.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: One
thing I -- two -- two things about the building.
One, the number of elevators in the -- in the complex, I thought I heard two mentioned; is that correct?

MR. ASHBURNER: There are three
shown on the concept plan. I'm not sure where the two came from.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Three elevators? Okay. And --
MS. JONES: [Phonetic].
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
What's that?
MS. JONES: It will meet the building code requirements.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Yeah. Okay. Okay. The other -- the other question was: A lot of talk has --

THE REPORTER: I have no idea what she said.

MR. ASHBURNER: She said it will meet the building code requirements.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: A
lot was said about the design --
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: -- of the building, concern about that. What -- what thought have you -- you really didn't say much about discussion about ideas on potentially considering a change of design. Any thought given to that?

MR. ASHBURNER: No, I don't think there's going to be any appetite -- and of course, my client can tug on my jacket and tell me differently -- into shortening the building to three stories. And I think if you are looking at a four-story building and you look at what's around there -- it is occasionally difficult to design a kind of colonial traditional looking building that high, that tall.

So you know, the -- the design they came up with was designed to break up the building to make
it look like, you know smaller structures through the different use of materials, and I don't know what would, you know -- I mean, is that -- is that what you were -- were aiming at?

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Well, a lot of people expressed --
MR. ASHBURNER: Yeah.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: -- a
concern about that, and you --
MR. ASHBURNER: Right.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: --
really didn't handle it in your rebuttal, so that's why I was --

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: --
wanting to see what your thoughts were.
MR. ASHBURNER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Any -- any
other questions? Okay. Are we ready to go in
business session? Is there any other information that we need before we go into business session?

MR. ASHBURNER: Do we want to talk
about the binding element real quick?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I'm sorry. Yes, we do.
[WHEREUPON, an off-the-record discussion is held.]

MR. ASHBURNER: So Mr. Potts drafted a binding element I think the substance of which we generally agree with, with -- with one exception, which you had to expect. The binding --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Hold it up to

```
your --
```

MR. ASHBURNER: Sorry.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We're having trouble. . .

MR. ASHBURNER: The binding element reads as follows: [reads] The development shall be restricted Senior Living occupancy. At least one occupant in each Apartment Development shall be 55 years old or older. Other occupants in each apartment shall be limited to a spouse and/or caregiver. No occupant shall be under the age of 18 years old. No more than 230 people shall occupy the development.

We're fine up until we get to the no more than 230 people shall occupy. We don't anticipate getting to that number, but restricting that occupancy could restrict residents' access to caregivers in a way that might get us into
trouble -- "us" meaning LDG -- for placing a restriction that we can't enforce after going through the -- the $H U D$ approval and the financing program.

So, while I think the substance of it, the 55 years or old -- 55 years old or older is okay. Nobody under 18 is also okay. The spouse and the caregiver concept, those are also okay. But I'm not sure that we can agree just this minute to this exact wording, and $I$ know we can't agree to the 230 person limit.

I -- I would prefer to have these concepts tied to the HUD sections that drive them to make sure that we're not agreeing to something that we can't enforce. And $I$ think that that is largely the intent, but I'll let you speak to that, Grover.

MR. POTTS: I mean, I came up with
the 230 number based upon the testimony that the applicant and -- and his other people have testified to. Clearly, they were concerned about 742 or 52 or whatever that number was.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: 752 .
MR. POTTS: Yeah. And so I'm -- I came up with what they had said both at the -- in -- in some of their filings, as well as in the testimony
today that there was, you know, one plus person per unit. And so I came up with the 230 as being an appropriate number.

Now, we can talk about that. I think what I would prefer to do is -- and I -- I know we're on a time constraint, we need to try and figure out if we can find a binding element that we can agree to it.

And I don't want you to -- I would prefer you not to take action on this until we can run this one down, and, you know, that might mean that you have to defer action until a later date, and I -- and I would request that you do so, because unless we can agree to a binding element, I don't know how we --

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Julia?
MS. WILLIAMS: Just to speak about a different kind of enforcement, we need to make sure any binding element that gets proposed is actually enforceable by our Code Enforcement.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I was thinking the exact same thing.

MS. WILLIAMS: So I do have some concerns about, you know, the limiting the number of people, because our Code Enforcement simply doesn't knock on people's doors and check IDs for
situations like this. This is --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yeah. They're not going to take a census count of the building to see if it's over the 230.

MR. POTTS: I mean, but you could have a binding element requiring the developer to report the census periodically.

MS. WILLIAMS: And then -- you know, then whoever -- you know, we would have to manage that for, you know, the length of however that building is built, and I don't know that that is something that is achievable over the entire lifetime of that structure.

MR. POTTS: While it may be out of the ordinary, certainly the City of Prospect would provide our Code Enforcement officer to do that.

MR. ASHBURNER: No.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Cliff, and just one point on -- on your binding element, and Cathy might have a point about this, as well, and that is that I'm -- I'm a little concerned about specifying occupancy on the basis of -- of matrimony. Fair Housing Act $I$ think looks dimly on -- on defining, you know, what people's relationships have to be.

I know we can't -- we can't define family in any traditional sense anymore. So I -- I'm not sure that's a problem, but that -- that would -- that would concern me a little bit.

MR. ASHBURNER: If I -- I think -- as I
said, my client has no problem with the concepts that are contained in the binding element; however, the enforcement of this particular restriction we think is best left to HUD and others who enforce fair housing laws and the -- as I said, the folks who are going to purchase the tax credits that are going to make this go. They -- if the project gets built, it will be built as a senior project.

There will be a deed restriction recorded in the Jefferson County records that sets out who can live there and who can't live there, and it will be subject to federal oversight.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Cliff, on
that point --
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- will you
have to file ongoing reports to HUD --
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- to show
Court Reporting Services, Inc.
your compliance?
MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Would you
be willing to share those reports with the City of Prospect?

MR. ASHBURNER: That's fine.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: That
might assist in --
MR. ASHBURNER: Well, I mean, we may
have to redact some personal information, but we can provide -- I'll call it meta content about age and number of occupants.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Mr. Potts?
MR. POTTS: Again, I would prefer a binding element that you can enforce.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We're struggling with how to enforce.

MR. POTTS: Well, I think -- I think, you know, you've -- you've got the authority to put the binding element on the property. I think it needs to be on the property. I -- I can hear, well, if the financing goes south and, you know, we -- we would jump in and everything would be okay.

Well, there's a lot of projects that hit the wall and they're not okay and they remain vacant and
they do not do what they said they were going to do. And you're putting this right smack dab in the middle of our village. You're putting it right smack dab there, and it needs to be successful if it's going to go there.

And we want to ensure that it -- if you approve it, we want to ensure that it's going to be successful, and one way to do that is to put binding elements that have some teeth in them.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Julia, what could the binding element possibly say that would -- that would be enforceable from metro's position? Can you give us an opinion on that?

MS. WILLIAMS: My initial thoughts are that the binding element would just limit to -- the use to senior living, senior apartments, but again, I don't know how that's going to be enforced. You know --

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: It could
be [phonetic] --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Sure.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Let me
offer this, that there would be a binding element to the effect that they would comply with the -- the program requirements of HUD. You would be given
a copy, the City of Prospect would be given a copy.

Therefore, City of Prospect would be monitoring that compliance, and that would give you -- you would raise the alarm with the Planning Commission that they're in violation based upon your review of their -- their own HUD submissions. Would that give you any more comfort?

MR. POTTS: Not really. I mean --
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: No?
MR. POTTS: -- if the binding element ran into [phonetic] the City of Prospect it might.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Well, I don't know that we can -- I don't think we can -MR. POTTS: Well, but the -- the parties could agree to that.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: The parties could agree to the binding element to that effect.

MR. ASHBURNER: I think we're not going to allow the City of Prospect to take an extra judicial enforcement mechanism and put it on this property that's not in their city boundaries.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Well --
MR. ASHBURNER: I think --

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- I mean,
the -- the compromise would be that -- that you
would get the reports that they file, you would --
MR. ASHBURNER: That's fine.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- review
them for compliance, but the actual enforcement of
the binding element would be by the Planning
Commission.
MR. ASHBURNER: That's fine. I mean, we -- I'm -- I'm very happy to share the reports. COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Yeah. Well --

MR. ASHBURNER: -- as I said --
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- that's just --

MR. ASHBURNER: -- with some personal information redacted.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- that's
just one idea for --
MR. ASHBURNER: But I think that that -COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -assuring compliance.

MR. ASHBURNER: -- the concept that you discussed, Mr. Whitty, is something that Code Enforcement can reasonably enforce, because all
that really requires Code Enforcement to do is say, the date is January 31, you are required to turn your report over to Prospect, can we please have a copy of that letter and a copy of the report.

And that -- you know, it's like a light switch. It's either on or it's off. You comply or you don't. And then if Prospect has a problem, then -COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Well, I mean, in terms of giving them the report, certainly that -- that would be a -- a switch on and off, but there may be some -- some debate as to whether there is compliance based upon the report that is filed.

MR. ASHBURNER: Right.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Then --
but it would be testimony by the City of Prospect before the -- the Metro --

MR. ASHBURNER: That's fine.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: --
Planning Commission as -- as to whether they are in compliance or not.

MR. ASHBURNER: That's fine. We can agree to that.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: I'm throwing that out there.

MR. POTTS: You know, I still have a problem if it's not a binding element.

MR. ASHBURNER: That is a binding element.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: No, it is a binding element that they would comply with the HUD requirements

MR. POTTS: Well, but, I mean, they've got --

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: This is what you're seeking.

MR. POTTS: -- they've got to comply with that anyway to --

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: True.
MR. POTTS: -- for their financing.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: But you
wanted some --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: But they have to
give you a report.
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: --
additional enforcement mechanism, and that would be the case.

MR. POTTS: Well, I -- I object to that being something less than a binding element that the City of Prospect can unilaterally enforce, so. .

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, the City of
Prospect doesn't unilaterally enforce binding
elements in metro or anywhere else.
MR. POTTS: I understand that, and
that -- that's why I said we could enter into an agreement.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay. We're happy to
offer the binding element as Mr. Whitty laid it out.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner
Brown, are you working on something, or. . .
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I'm
trying, but this might be a little over my head.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. So --
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: You can
try me, but. . .
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Well, if -- I -- I -- I
want to make sure I understand it. If the -- the binding element says what we've read it to say, we're at a -- we're at a lagerhead on you not allowing that 230 number in, and Mr. Potts is at a lagerhead of wanting that 230 number in.

So we're going to have to make a decision. How do we make a decision based on a binding element we're trying to add that you-all don't
agree on?
MR. ASHBURNER: The -- the concern
that we have about the 230 number is, I think as Mr. Whitty alluded to, having to turn someone away who shows up with a spouse or who shows up with a caregiver, and you say, "You know what, we're full. Nobody else can come in. We have empty units, but you can't live there."

Or, "We have -- we have a unit that you could live in, but you couldn't bring your spouse, you couldn't bring your caregiver."

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So --
MR. ASHBURNER: Turning people
away --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So is there a
number that you could agree to?
MR. ASHBURNER: I don't think so. I
mean, we -- we -- we have got to comply with federal law, and there are a variety of federal laws. We -- the testimony we provided is that, in practice, we end up with one and a fraction, okay, but we can't say on the record we will commit not to allow -- I mean, I guess --

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON:
Can -- can I ask a question?

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes, sir.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: The
building owner can file an application to amend a binding element.

MR. ASHBURNER: Correct.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: But a neighbor or other interesting party cannot?

MR. ASHBURNER: Correct.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: So it would seem to me that for the sake of getting us beyond tonight would be to come up with a binding element, it may be a little more restrictive than what you prefer, but it may be comfortable with Prospect.

If down the road after getting your heads together you can come up with some better language, you as the property owner could apply to get the binding element changed to something that you're more agreeable with. Again, that's just for the purposes of getting us beyond this spinning our wheels thing.

MR. ASHBURNER: Yeah. And I think the commission can also take action on the zoning and -- and defer action on the development plan, which would give us time to work out a binding
element.
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: I'm not sure we like doing that.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay. I'm just -- as
long as we're talking about things that are in the realm of possibility.

COMMISSION MEMBER SMITH: I have a question.

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes, ma'am.
COMMISSION MEMBER SMITH: It's a
what if. What if a grandmother who is an occupant of one of the -- [coughs] excuse me -- one of the units has, for whatever reason, to take custody of a grandchild? Could that grandchild not live in that unit?

MR. ASHBURNER: No. I apologize. I
mean, it -- it's -- I understand that that -- that happens, but they would not be allowed to stay.

MR. SPEAKER: Well, I -- I'm sorry.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
On -- on that same line, can grandchildren come stay with their grandparents for a week on vacation?

MR. ASHBURNER: They can for a very limited period of time.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: If they stay over, I
think, 14 days, they're required to be on the lease as occupants.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: So, if they -- you
know, if they're there intermittently, that's one thing.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Right.
MR. ASHBURNER: But living there day
to day is different.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
Well, and then in the -- and Emma's question then, if someone did have to take custody of their grandchild, they could then make plans to move out --

MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: --
but be here temporarily.
MR. ASHBURNER: I think that's fair.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Not exactly
where -- where we are with the binding element, to
tell you the truth.
MR. ASHBURNER: We would be happy to offer a binding element that the developer or property owner will comply with the applicable HUD guidelines that apply to senior afford -senior housing under the low-income housing tax credit program, and that it will provide its annual compliance reports or an executive summary of those reports in order to take out personal information to the City of Prospect on an annual basis.

This would give the City of Prospect the opportunity to disc -- or the opportunity to come before the commission and allege a violation of the HUD requirements. At that point, the Planning Commission would decide whether there's been a violation of those requirements, and if so, the Planning Commission would come up with the appropriate sanction.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: You know, I -- I don't know about an executive summary. I -I think that Prospect should get the -- the full report.

MR. ASHBURNER: As long as we get -as I said, as long as we can redact the personal
information --
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Sure.
Yeah. You --
MR. ASHBURNER: -- name, Social
Security number, that sort of thing, then I -- I
think we can do that; okay?
MR. SPEAKER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We -- we need
that -- we need that --
MR. ASHBURNER: We can do it.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: -- we need that on the record, whatever you're were going to say.

MR. ASHBURNER: Hold on a second.
Again, we just want to make sure we comply with federal law, and there's -- you know, there's a -a -- an -- an evolving body of law regarding privacy.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
MR. ASHBURNER: Sorry. There is an evolving body of law regarding privacy and the privacy of people's personal information. So, if we can provide sufficient information to demonstrate compliance, which would be, you know, occupant of Unit 101 is 77 years old, occupant of Unit 102 is $X$ number of years old, and
they meet the income thresholds, we can provide that. As long as we're not providing names and Social Security numbers, I think we're probably okay.

```
                                MR. SPEAKER: I think [phonetic] --
``` CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I need that on the record.

MR. SPEAKER: Okay. Sorry.
MR. ASHBURNER: I -- I -- I understand what he's saying.

MR. SPEAKER: All right.
MR. ASHBURNER: His concern is that providing income information --

MR. SPEAKER: Again.
MR. ASHBURNER: His concern is that providing income information may also violate those HUD regs.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MR. ASHBURNER: So --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: All right. Here's
what we're going to do. We're going to go in the business session so that we can get a feel for where we are, and then if we need to go back out of business session to -- to make the final decision on this binding element, we will, but
we've -- we -- just for what we're doing, we're going to go in the business session. If we need, we can come back out, then we can hash out this last binding element.

MR. POTTS: Be -- before you go into
business session, \(I \quad--I\) want to ask a question about whether or not \(--I\) understand the HUD thing, but are they willing to agree to the first portion of the binding element proposed that the development shall be restricted to senior living occupancy?

And are they willing to agree, at least, one occupant in each apartment in the development shall be 55 years old or older, other occupants in each apartment shall be limited to a spouse and/or caregiver?

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, that's where we get into the -- to the -MR. SPEAKER: Number [phonetic]. MR. ASHBURNER: I apologize. I want
to make sure \(I^{\prime} m\) saying this so everybody can hear it. That's where we begin to have fair housing concerns. Senior living, age restriction, I don't think we have a problem at all. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.

MR. ASHBURNER: Okay?
MR. POTTS: But you-all --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: All right.
MR. POTTS: -- have previously said that the other -- only other people would be caregivers and -- and/or spouses. And then you would agree that no occupant shall be under the age of 18 years old?

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Grover, wouldn't those all be requirements of the HUD program?

MR. POTTS: They may be, but I would like to see them as a binding element, also.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Yeah, but, I mean, the binding element would say that they -they shall be in compliance with THE HUD program.

MR. POTTS: Well, then we need to spell out what the HUD program is. That's all I'm trying to do with this binding element. They told --

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Well,
that's independently verifiable from --
MR. POTTS: They've testified that this
is what --
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: -- federal
regulations.
MR. POTTS: -- they want to do.
MR. ASHBURNER: May I?
COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: That
would be independently verifiable from federal regulations; wouldn't it?

MR. ASHBURNER: May -- yes. If -- if -if \(I\) can --

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Go ahead.
MR. ASHBURNER: As I said from the
very beginning, we have no problem with the concepts, the basic concepts with the exception of the -- the cap, the total cap that are contained in Mr. Potts' proposal. We are concerned about fair housing laws and how they affect discrimination based on marital status. That is a -- a real thing that could bring a hammer on -- onto the project.

We're also concerned about your staff's ability to enforce, which is why I think that the proposal that Mr. Whitty put out and that I just offered verbally to the commission achieves the same goal. It has the same concepts in it. It has the 55 minimum, it has nobody under 18.

It -- it has that contained in it, but the enforcement, the year-to-year enforcement
obligation on your staff is much simpler than something that a building inspector can handle. Otherwise, if we just go with the -- the raw information that's contained in the binding element, I am concerned that a building inspector is going to just walk up to a random unit and go, "Who lives here? Prove that you do. Prove that you're on the lease. Prove you're married. Prove this person is actually providing care."

And \(I\) think that that is some -- that's an area the Code Enforcement body of Louisville Metro does not want to get into.

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Well, which is why if -- if you provide these reports to the City of Prospect, appropriately redacted for privacy purposes as required by law, that then -the actual burden on monitoring the compliance would fall to Prospect, which I think you're willing to ensure that this, if it's approved, does comply.

Then you would simply claim to the Planning Commission that they're in violation if -- if they don't either provide you the report or the -- there's reason to doubt the information in the report, and then you'd have a hearing before the Planning Commission, and they could enforce it
appropriately depending on your findings.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Julia, do you have anything to add to that?

MS. WILLIAMS: No.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. All right.
We're going to go into business session. If we need to come back out for -- for another discussion on this, we -- we can, but we're going to go into business session.

Mr. Carlson, would you like to start?
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: I
absolutely agree that there is a need in all areas of our community for senior housing, because folks are getting older. Just from a personal experience, a number of years ago, you know, my mother moved out here from Minnesota, she didn't want to live with us, but she was having some health issues. She wanted to live by herself, and such an environment would have been an excellent thing for her.

So I think that this type of housing is very needed. I think where it is being proposed is a very good site for it. Where I'm having a whole lot of trouble is the design of the building as it relates to mass, scale, incompatibility with the
other areas.
I -- I think it doesn't blend well. I -- I think it's much taller in that it's four stories than most anything else in this general area. It certainly doesn't have that residential feel as even does the shopping center across the street, which I saw when I was out going around there today.

So I think from a compatibility issue it needs some work before \(I\) could look favorably on this. Again, I'm -- I'm in favor of what they're proposing, I just think the mass and the scale and density needs to come down some.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner
Brown?
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I -- I
like the density. I think this area could certainly support it, because you are at that activity center, you do have access to transit, there's alternatives available. I don't think the design is compatible, though.

I'm okay with the height, I'm okay with the density, but \(I\) think there could be a little more done to blend it into that residential area that's adjacent to it, because even -- the design of the Kroger, you've got the office condos next door
and -- and townhomes across the street. So I -I'd like to see some work on the elevations. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Ms.

Howard?
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: I think all of us are headed in the same direction in terms of compatibility. The proposed land use meets the intent of Guideline 3, because it will, if approved, provide a mixture of land use and density.

The other thing, the size and scale of the building on the buildable portion of the site are incompatible with the nearby single-families. I think \(I\) have seen two single-families which are like a Tier 1 and Tier 2 to this proposal. And then the design of the building is not compatible with the design of other buildings in or near the village form district.

I would really like to see a new -- a different kind of plan or design of the building at -- it just doesn't appear to me that it meets the village form district requirement of being compatible. It looks more, like some of the people have said, an institutional building. I think it could have a better design.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner

Smith?

COMMISSION MEMBER SMITH: Well, I'm very much in favor of the concept of this proposal, because fair an affordable housing is certainly needed throughout this community. I do -- I -- like my fellow commissioners, I'm not in love with the design. It does look -- I hate to repeat this, but it does look institutional.

And if it could be made more compatible with the surrounding nature of the area and -- and more in -- in with the -- the flow of Prospect, which is a unique area and a very valuable area in our community. So I'm all for the concept of the development, and if it could be made more attractive and consistent with the area, I would be pleased.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON: I --
I agree -- I agree with my fellow commissioners. I -- I have -- from the positive side, I think that affordable housing is very good. I think we absolutely need more senior housing.

I do think that there are some limitations to the location for the services that potentially they will need, but \(I\) think that if they could -- if you could come up with a better plan -- I would really
like to see it three stories, but that's -- that may not work out with your numbers. That may be a problem there. But \(I\) do think the design is -- is not well thought out, and I think that we could come up with a much better appealing design to fit in with the neighborhood.

And the co -- compatibility issue is -- is there for me, as well. So that -- that's -- that's my take on it.

COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Could
I just say one thing?
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Sure.
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD:
Because -- whoops. Because the site is adjacent to the village center, I -- I think -- and -- and it really -- the Cornerstone 2020 talks about preserving the character of the existing area, and this proposed building will not -- well, let me just say, I don't have a problem with the four stories, but I do have a problem with the -- the looks of the building, and it's not esthetically pleasing for a village area.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. This is a -obviously, with night hearings these are always tough, tough cases. The -- the reason why this
case is -- is tough is because it's in Louisville Metro, and it's right on the line of Prospect.

So obviously, it affects Prospect, but it's not in Prospect, and that's what's caused, you know, a lot of the questions and a lot of the -- of the opposition that's come out, which I applaud. They -- they've done a good job, and I understand where they're coming from.

The one thing that \(I\) do believe is that this zoning is appropriate. This -- this zoning is appropriate for that spot. The -- the -- I applaud the applicant for the fact that they are buffering River Road, which you do want River Road to -- to stay a scenic -- scenic roadway, and I believe that it is because it's so far away from there.

But what I didn't hear the other commissioners say is whether they agreed with the zoning. You just said -- everybody kind of said they -- you know, none of you-all said -- well, maybe not none of you, but I -- what I heard was everybody said that they -- the compatibility of the buildings and all that. So if I'm understanding everybody, we'll go back through and poll again, it -- it sounds like everything thinks that the zoning is appropriate.

If the zoning is appropriate, then we can make
a decision on the zoning being appropriate, and -and have them come back with a revised development plan that takes care of these compatibility issues and all that; or you-all tell me that I'm wrong, but we haven't really said anything about the zoning. So let's start over with Commissioner Peterson.

COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:
They would be able to achieve what they want to do with the -- the OR zoning, but I think the big thing is the design, and I think that if -- if they can do this zoning, if they can do it with \(R-6\), \(I\) think they should, but it \(--R-7\) is really what they have to do, but can improve on the design, I'd like to see the density cut down. I'd kind of like to hear what -- all the commissioners' opinions on the zoning, too, before \(I\) totally make up my mind. CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner

Smith?
COMMISSION MEMBER SMITH: Well, I
feel that \(R-7\) is -- is very dense, and that -- but if OR-1 would work, I would prefer that, but if you have to have \(R-7\) in order to get your development done and it's going to be compatible with the area, well, \(I\) would be in favor of that if that's necessary
for you to achieve your goal.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner
Howard?
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: I'd prefer the OR-1 zoning district, although they did not apply for that, but \(I\) thought \(I\) heard in the testimonies tonight that someone said OR-1 was going to be deleted or -- or not going to be in -- in place anymore. So if that's the case and it has to go to \(R-7\), I have no problem with the density, because the development plan would require to stay at the density that they are proposing and not to the maximum number.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner
Brown?
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I
support the \(R-7\) at the density they're proposing. I -- I don't know if we could go much higher than that. And I support the 45 building -- 45-foot building height that the R-7 allows.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: The
R-7 allows 34 units, but that's why I said it's -- I can support \(R-7\) as long as we have the development plan that is [phonetic].

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Commissioner
Carlson?
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: I
think if the building design was to be re-worked so that it was more compatible and consistent with the area -- and \(I\) don't know if consistent is the very best word -- then I would think it would meet the comprehensive plan to warrant an \(\mathrm{R}-7\) rezoning, but \(I\) think because of that incompatibility, for the reasons that -- that were mentioned earlier, I think it fails to meet the comprehensive plan.

Therefore, it should not be rezoned as \(\mathrm{R}-7\). Again, it's not because of the use itself; it's because of the compatibility part, which is one of those things that are needed in order to justify the zoning in my mind.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Any other -any other thoughts? Are we at a --

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I -- I kind of hate to act on the zoning with things in limbo on the design.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: As
much as they are.
COMMISSIONER MEMBER PETERSON: If we acted on the zoning tonight, they would bring the development plan, binding element and waiver back to the full Planning Commission or one of the committees?

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Julia, you'd have to help me with that.

MS. WILLIAMS: Generally, the Planning Commission takes action on all the items before you. That's not to say that you couldn't, you know, push the building off on the Planning Commission at a later date and go ahead with the zoning and the waiver and even the development plan, but then, you know, vote on the building renderings at a later time at, you know, another re-noticed meeting for -- of the Plan -- the Planning Commission at it -- at its normal Planning Commission time.

There's also an option for you to, you know, just continue the case to another meeting date. You could also limit the testimony to just the building. There's -- or, you know, you can -- you can -- clearly, you could vote on the proposal today as is, but it -- you know, it sounds to me like
there's some issues with the building, so -- and that you -- you're -- you're asking for some more information on that building. So you -- there are a couple things you could do.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
MS. WILLIAMS: But you generally make
those decisions as a whole and not piecemeal.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Understood. And
it sounds like, if I'm taking the pulse of -- of the --
the members, they -- they don't feel right about the zoning because the compatibility is bothering them.

So, in my opinion, which just see if we have agreement, if this should be deferred instead of going ahead and -- because I don't think we're going to get -- I'm not sure we're going to get a vote on the zoning because everybody wants to see what the building's going to look like.

So they're still interested in the case moving forward, but we don't know how to get to an end of it, so that probably leads to a deferral.

COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: If we defer, do we have to defer it to a time certain, or do we defer it until the applicant gets the plan ready for us to -- to review at LD\&T?

MS. WILLIAMS: You would want to continue to a date uncertain, and then we would re-notice the proposal.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So it's a continuance to a date uncertain?

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. And then we can in our motion --

MS. WILLIAMS: And it would -- it would have to be a night hearing, as well.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. And -- but we can limit the testimony that we're going to take only to the compatibility issue of the -- of the building design; is that correct?

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Because that
seems to be the sticking point that everybody has is compatibility to the surrounding area and the building design itself.

MS. WILLIAMS: Right.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Correct?
MS. WILLIAMS: Or you could defer the case to a later Planning Commission but not take new testimony.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: That's what I
meant; yeah. No --
MS. WILLIAMS: But --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: No new testimony.
MS. WILLIAMS: -- you're asking
questions about the building, and you'll need testimony for that.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yeah. Well, yeah, we'd have to take testimony on the building; yeah.

MS. WILLIAMS: Right.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: So new testimony would come in because there's a new building design coming, or that we hope it's coming.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: I
guess probably a question worth asking: Is the applicant willing to reconsider design, or do they want an up or down vote right now with the design that they have saying that's as good as it gets and here's what we want you to decide on?

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Well, that's a good point. Let's -- we'll go out of business -- it is 12:00, by the way. We'll come out of --

MS. WILLIAMS: We do need to wrap it up.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: We'll come out of business session for just one second and ask Cliff

Ashburner.
MR. ASHBURNER: We've heard the
commission loud and clear. There will be some changes made to the design. And I think, you know, we understand from you-all what it is that you're looking for.

We would like to go ahead with the zoning and have the zoning decision made, but it's up to the commission as to make -- as to whether you want to make that decision tonight.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I don't think we typically want to break that up in -- into pieces. There's no deadlines or anything that's coming up as far as any of these tax credits or anything as far as the zoning goes, or. . .

COUNTY ATTORNEY WHITTY: Well --
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: I mean -- Julia, go
ahead.
MS. WILLIAMS: Paul can go first.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Oh, Paul.
MR. WHITTY: Well, I just want to add that -- that the -- you know, the -- the decision with the zoning is in part based upon compatibility. So you know, to do it piecemeal creates problems, because we'd say in approving
the zoning that, yes, it's compatible, when, in fact, we're acknowledging that we don't think it is based upon the design.

So to me, that -- that -- that suggests that -that we ought to, you know, continue it further, the whole case.

MR. ASHBURNER: I understand.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. All right.
Commissioners, are we in agreement on what -Commissioner Brown?

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Well, I -- there was another binding element we never really talked -- maybe about conservation easement or how -- some way they were going to protect some of that area's -- didn't -- wasn't that brought up during testimony? I think you were willing to --

MR. ASHBURNER: We did -- yes.
COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Okay.
And that's something you can draft between now and then?

MR. ASHBURNER: Yeah. If we're going to de -- defer action or continue the hearing with some limited testimony, I'm happy -- and I -- I'll let Mr. Potts get up here and talk about this
limited testimony about the building, testimony about the binding element concerning senior living, as well as the binding element on the easement area. Does that seem like a reasonable course?

MR. SPEAKER: We'll discuss all of the binding elements, though.

MR. ASHBURNER: Well, okay.
MR. SPEAKER: Yeah.
MR. ASHBURNER: All right.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Everybody agree?
MR. SPEAKER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. We -- we need a motion for a continuance to a date uncertain.

COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Mr.
Chairman, I move that we continue Case Number 16 ZONE1056 to a date uncertain to allow the applicant the ability to submit additional information to LD\&T concerning the building design, the potential of a conservation easement, as well as a binding element concerning the occu -- the occupancy of the building as a senior living facility.

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: I'll
second.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. We made
motion and a second. Any further discussion?
MR. POTTS: We'll have to wait
[phonetic].
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Julia?
MS. WILLIAMS: Chief, did you mean to
say LD\&T?
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: I did
mean to say LD\&T, be -- because that's fairly consistent with other things we've done, what we saw, plans we'd like to see some more done on. And then LD\&T if they like that, then we'll talk about rescheduling a hearing, and if so, when and where.

MR. ASHBURNER: We don't have any problem with that approach, Chief. I think that's right that it ought to go to LD\&T first, and then, once they're satisfied with it, then they can recommend to the commission when to have the next public hearing.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay.
Commissioner Brown, are you still agreeing on the second?

COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Okay. Properly
made motion and a second, it's cleared up that
this is going to LD\&T for the building. Any further discussion? Hearing none, roll call vote.

MS. SPEAKER: Commissioner Carlson?
COMMISSION MEMBER CARLSON: Yes. MS. SPEAKER: Commissioner Brown? COMMISSION MEMBER BROWN: Yes. MS. SPEAKER: Commissioner Jarboe? CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Yes. MS. SPEAKER: Commissioner Howard? COMMISSION MEMBER HOWARD: Yes. MS. SPEAKER: Commissioner Smith? COMMISSION MEMBER SMITH: Yes. MS. SPEAKER: Commissioner Peterson? COMMISSION MEMBER PETERSON:

Yes.
CHAIRMAN JARBOE: Thank you.
[WHEREUPON, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission Public Hearing concludes at 12:07
a.m.]
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\hline 12:14 251:2 & 20:8, 25 22:8, 18 & 32:3, 6 34:12, 13 & 11, 14 176:1 \\
\hline revising 53:14 & 23:6 25:5 27:11, & 36:17 37:20 41:17 & 179:25 181:4, 18, \\
\hline revitalized 131:9 & 12 28:8, 24 31:19 & 42:5 47:23 48:12, & 20 189:11 197:13 \\
\hline re-worked 253:5 & 32:5 35:6, 14 & 24, 25 49:2, 6, 7, 12 & 212:2 235:15 \\
\hline Reynolds 63:20, 22 & 36:19 40:1, 12 & 58:15, 17, 18 61:19 & 250:13, 13 \\
\hline 64:1, 4, 5 & 41:15 44:24 48:6, & 70:9, 12, 18 80:14 & roadway 105:24 \\
\hline rezoned 26:2 & 7 56:18 57:16 & 81:20 85:19, 21, 23 & 112:21 250:14 \\
\hline 76:21 253:14 & 66:5 67:19 69:18 & 100:18 106:3, 8, 9 , & Rob 184:5 \\
\hline rezoning 5:2 & 71:7, 16, 18 72:25 & 14, 22 107:12 & Roberta 137:12, 16 \\
\hline 49:19 112:11 & 73:12 74:17 77:6, & 108:25 111:17 & rodents 142:24 \\
\hline 138:6, 8 139:12 & 7 78:15, 20 85:15 & 125:21 129:5 & role 59:10 216:3 \\
\hline 148:7 183:12 & 86:11 87:1, 6 & 141:10, 17, 20 & roll 262:4 \\
\hline 253:10 & 95:11 97:21 & 142:1, 21 143:2 & roof 25:14 \\
\hline re-zoning 208:10 & 115:24 116:22 & 145:12 149:3, 7 & rooftop 189:18 \\
\hline rid 29:23 & 120:20 129:4 & 151:9, 12, 16, 19 & room 2:21 3:20 \\
\hline ride 151:17, 18 & 132:22 141:2 & 159:5, 5, 9 160:21, & 4:25 58:1, 2, 5, 7 \\
\hline rider 201:21 & 147:24 148:9 & 22 161:3, 16 169:3 & 66:14 68:12, 12 \\
\hline 202:10 & 152:12 156:6 & 173:10 174:9, 20 & 131:1, 6 203:13, 13 \\
\hline Ridge 6:23 7:14, & 169:2, 8 173:16 & 175:11, 14 181:18 & Rose 138:1 264:3 \\
\hline 25 8:6, 8, 22 9:1, 8, & 175:11 177:17 & 197:13 212:2 & Rosenwald 160:12 \\
\hline 9 10:20, 22 11:18, & 180:10, 15, 23 & 250:13, 13 & round 142:8 \\
\hline 21 23:24 24:3, 24 & 182:2 186:3, 4 & Road 2:13 5:20 & route 47:23 \\
\hline 25:2 27:6, 22 & 192:16 196:22 & 6:22 7:10, 22 8:6, & 182:23 201:24 \\
\hline 31:14 32:3, 7 & 198:4 201:18 & 13 9:2 10:1, 11, 15, & 210:20 \\
\hline 36:16, 17, 18, 23 & 210:6 216:13 & 23 11:12, 19 22:24 & routes 47:22 \\
\hline 38:23 40:6, 24 & 221:10 228:2, 3 & 23:11, 16 24:17, 24 & 210:19 \\
\hline 41:3, 7 42:3, 3 & 231:14 237:12 & 25:9, 13 27:22 & row 190:20 \\
\hline 79:6, 9, 23 80:13, & 240:11, 20 242:3 & 32:3, 4, 6 34:12 & RPR 264:3 \\
\hline 20 81:19 85:12 & 245:5 250:2 & 36:17, 21 37:21 & rule 4:12 \\
\hline 108:22 110:23 & 255:10 256:20 & 41:17 42:5 47:23 & rules 194:10 \\
\hline 111:15 137:20 & & 48:24, 25 49:6, 8, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline run 22:18 57:8 & 261:19 & schoolteacher 57:9 & 110:21, 22 111:21 \\
\hline 108:25 109:2 & Saunders 164:14 & 65:6 & 112:13 114:18 \\
\hline 152:24 159:23 & savings 88:16 & scope 131:17 & 115:1 119:19 \\
\hline 166:3 169:10, 11 & 203:23 & scouts 117:21 & 157:23, 25 159:20 \\
\hline 171:3 193:17 & saw 26:19 56:9 & screen 107:6 & 173:11 175:14 \\
\hline 210:1 224:9 & 57:15 89:17 & 119:8 178:1 & 187:11 188:11 \\
\hline running 70:10 & 127:21 153:19 & script 133:7 & 191:25 194:17 \\
\hline runoff 129:3 & 212:10 214:15 & seal 264:16 & 203:11 204:22 \\
\hline runs 7:23 8:22 & 246:6 261:12 & Sean 144:17, 17, 24 & 218:9 221:16 \\
\hline 11:14 23:9, 9 & saying 49:4 66:11 & seated 5:14 193:24 & 225:3 242:13 \\
\hline 32:20 109:1 & 73:3 98:17 173:7, & second 62:19 86:8 & 247:2, 18 249:1 \\
\hline 112:22 142:8 & 8 175:1 188:3 & 122:17 158:9 & 251:15 255:13, 18 \\
\hline 169:14, 19 173:17 & 212:5 214:4 215:1 & 199:14 239:13 & 261:12 \\
\hline 202:4 & 240:10 241:21 & 257:25 261:1, 3, 24 & seeing 89:9 155:1 \\
\hline Runyon 26:19 & 257:17 & 262:2 & seek 168:17 \\
\hline 158:14 159:2, 3, 4 & says 38:3 44:10 & secondary 160:18, & seeking 128:7 \\
\hline 165:18, 21, 24 & 45:15, 22 55:11, 15 & 19 & 232:11 \\
\hline 166:3, 4, 7 193:20 & 75:1 78:2 79:13, & Secondly 95:19 & seen 47:6 126:20 \\
\hline 212:3 & 17 83:16, 18 94:25 & 146:15 147:7 & 149:14 151:13 \\
\hline rural 10:16 23:3 & 95:1 100:13 162:1, & seconds 40:8 & 155:8 174:7 \\
\hline 49:5 117:12, 13 & 5, 14 163:20 164:2, & section 136:12 & 209:21 212:10 \\
\hline 161:3, 5 & 6 165:7 166:17, 18, & 212:18 & 247:13 \\
\hline rushing 149:3 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
22 \text { 207:9 } & 210: 13 \\
233: 19 &
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
sections 223:13 \\
sector 21:14
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { segment } 82: 14 \\
& 109: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline < S > & scale 42:10 101:9, & Security 88:19 & selected 36:14 \\
\hline Sabak 17:17 29:1 & 14 102:4, 12 105:3, & 121:2 139:3 239:5 & sell 217:4 \\
\hline Sacred 113:13 & 7, 11 114:24 & 240:3 & selling 99:12 \\
\hline sad 154:11 & 115:15 121:25 & see 7:1, 9 8:7, 21 & Seminary 132:25 \\
\hline Sadiqua 63:20, 25 & 136:18 164:9 & 18:10, 21, 24 19:13 & semi-regional 76:13 \\
\hline 64:5 & 166:13 245:25 & 20:8, 21 21:2, 9 & Sen 86:7 \\
\hline safe 41:24 54:9 & 246:11 247:10 & 22:7, 10, 14 23:4, 4, & send 55:14 \\
\hline 183:11 & scattered 67:11 & 7 25:8, 11, 15, 17 & senior 6:18 25:24 \\
\hline safety 128:16 & 100:7 125:5 & 27:21 30:13 31:6 & 30:19 33:19, 21 \\
\hline 134:13 203:2 & scenario 38:10, 12 & 34:13, 14 35:4, 5, 7 & 36:1 38:2 \(39: 14\) \\
\hline Saint 171:23 192:4 & scenarios 38:15 & 39:19 43:15 45:8 & 40:6 42:22 43:4 \\
\hline sake 235:10 & scenic 10:1, 17 & 47:10 48:19, 22 & 44:12 46:12, 15 \\
\hline salary 65:5, 6 & 48:23 49:8 106:3 & 50:7, 8, 25 52:6 & 55:25 56:19 57:23 \\
\hline 120:25 & 143:3 151:8, 15 & 56:1 \(57: 17 \quad 60: 24\) & 61:12 62:9, 16 \\
\hline salons 61:7 & 159:24, 24 160:4 & 61:23 62:7, 12, 18 & 66:6 74:2, 6, 6 \\
\hline sanction 238:19 & 161:15 250:14, 14 & 72:15 75:15 85:5, & 82:14, 18, 22, 24 \\
\hline Sandra 135:19, 23 & SCHEWE 191:9, 11, & 13, 18 91:6 94:10 & 83:11 86:2, 4, 8, 20 \\
\hline sanitary 142:10 & 13, 14 & 95:24 96:1 101:3, & 90:3, 13, 22 91:16 \\
\hline sank 154:2 & School 160:11, 12, & 7 102:12, 16 103:8, & 93:9 96:15 112:10, \\
\hline sat 190:20 & 16 & 9, 11, 15 106:5, 12, & 17 120:15, 21, 22 \\
\hline satisfied 133:6 & schools 183:15 & 14 107:5, 6, 7, 14, & 122:10 127:23 \\
\hline & & 16 109:10, 14 & 133:1, 25 134:3, 5, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 9 138:15 139:9 & service 38:14, 18, & Shirley 125:19 & 163:10 173:14 \\
\hline 150:12 157:21 & 25 39:2, 3, 5, 25 & Shohl 17:13 & 174:18 248:19 \\
\hline 172:10 176:10, 19 & 40:8 51:24 61:3 & shook 146:19 & sides 73:21 107:11 \\
\hline 177:10 182:21 & 145:15, 17, 20 & shop 81:7 & sidewalks 12:7 \\
\hline 186:8 187:7, 21 & 169:1, 8 201:17, 19, & shopping 9:8 & 40:21, 22 109:8 \\
\hline 188:21 190:21 & 20, 22 203:8 & 11:20 24:12 48:6 & 130:21 \\
\hline 196:21 198:19, 22 & 215:14 & 61:3 76:13, 18, 19 & siding 34:9 \\
\hline 199:3 214:9, 10 & Services 5:24, 25 & 77:3 81:7, 12, 15 & sign 25:7 55:10 \\
\hline 222:14 226:13 & 6:4 22:2 23:19 & 111:8, 11 112:23 & 146:15, 17 \\
\hline 228:16, 16 238:5, 6 & 25:25 42:1 54:11 & 138:5 174:17, 24 & signal 41:16 \\
\hline 241:10, 23 245:13 & 61:2 79:20 81:19 & 210:19 246:6 & signalized 38:23 \\
\hline 248:21 260:2, 23 & 83:4 135:3 137:7 & shops 61:9 & signatures 100:13 \\
\hline seniors 25:24 & 143:24 144:10 & short 173:5 194:6 & 210:10 \\
\hline 51:1, 18 54:4, 8 & 183:16 211:23 & shorten 150:6 & signed 98:10 \\
\hline 59:23 61:14 88:12 & 248:23 & shortening 157:5 & 100:15 \\
\hline 133:18, 23 134:8 & session 4:7, 15 & 220:18 & significant 21:21 \\
\hline 140:5 143:25 & 221:20, 21 240:22, & shotgun 131:4 & 24:23 41:1 45:3 \\
\hline 144:8 167:19 & 24 241:2, 6 245:6, & show 113:11 & significantly 75:20 \\
\hline 168:8 178:13, 14 & 9 257:25 & 114:1, 6 178:25 & 108:3 121:20 \\
\hline 183:25 186:23 & set 136:8 151:11 & 191:20 226:25 & 218:18, 19 \\
\hline 187:20, 22 188:3 & 199:2 207:14 & showed 9:3 46:10 & signs 164:9 \\
\hline 193:4 198:25, 25 & 263:3 264:8 & 69:17 85:21 110:4 & silence 12:19, 21 \\
\hline 199:1 & setback 29:22 & 111:19 & Silver 212:20 \\
\hline sense 25:20, 25 & setbacks 10:4 & showing 8:5,19 & similar 27:3 31:2 \\
\hline 40:18 41:23 43:3 & sets 226:16 & 106:11 198:12 & 42:8 101:9 111:19 \\
\hline 45:22 86:4 96:22 & setting 32:2 112:6 & shown 73:8 89:14 & 127:10 128:5 \\
\hline 101:16 114:16, 24 & 114:4 175:7 & 118:20 156:6 & 136:24 179:5 \\
\hline 121:21 131:6 & settle 189:19 & 195:8 204:1 & simple 130:12 \\
\hline 139:9 147:1, 15 & seven 166:25 & 219:14 & 218:3 \\
\hline 173:23 226:2 & 190:25 205:20 & shows 40:21 & simpler 244:1 \\
\hline separated 166:18 & seventy-eight 96:6 & 113:2 115:3, 4 & simply 55:11 \\
\hline separates 8:2 & sewer 142:10 & 157:21 234:5, 5 & 105:21 140:11 \\
\hline September 119:24 & 155:17 & shrink 90:20 & 144:11 149:11 \\
\hline 121:7 138:13 & sex 51:13 & Shulhafer 177:17, & 173:18 224:24 \\
\hline serenity 151:14 & shake 154:16 & 18, 20, 21 & 244:20 \\
\hline serious 163:19 & Shallow 179:25 & sic 176:25 & Simpson 184:7, 9, 9 \\
\hline seriously 172:16 & 189:11 & side 8:7, 21 9:9 & single 88:2 93:6 \\
\hline serve 12:5 48:4 & share 181:12 & 18:23 34:12 35:6 & 118:18 131:3 \\
\hline 81:3 132:19 & 227:4 230:10 & 49:1 70:5, 12 85:6, & 214:22, 23 \\
\hline 147:14 172:8 & shares 44:17 65:1 & 23 100:18 106:12, & single-families \\
\hline served 12:5 & She'll 17:18 & 16, 20, 20, 21, 21, 22 & 247:12, 13 \\
\hline 140:18 145:8 & Shepherd's 124:25 & 108:25 111:12 & single-family 7:4, \\
\hline serves 55:1 & Sherrard 147:12 & 113:20 114:17 & 11, 16, 18, 19 8:17 \\
\hline 134:24 149:15 & shift 145:8 & 129:4 151:19 & 22:16 43:15 69:14, \\
\hline 180:13 204:19 & shifts 170:10 & 156:5 157:9 & \[
\begin{array}{lrr}
21 & 70: 3 & 71: 2 \\
108 \cdot 15 & 110 \cdot 8
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 117:6 118:18 & six 117:16 127:14 & small 16:5 37:25 & 107:5 \\
\hline 164:25 204:3, 6 & 166:25 216:11 & 52:12 121:18 & soon 58:14, 14, 15 \\
\hline 207:20 212:18 & Sixth 41:15 & 123:8 147:24 & sore 105:12 175:8 \\
\hline sir 13:2 15:3 & Sixty 206:1 & 209:11 & sorry 13:19 34:7 \\
\hline 16:19 64:4 90:4 & sizable 149:2, 3 & smaller 42:9 & 52:10 63:3, 5, 10 \\
\hline 105:23 116:2, 6, 10 & size 20:3, 9 38:3 & 121:20 124:14 & 71:10 94:9 97:13 \\
\hline 122:6 135:17 & 102:14, 21 114:19, & 128:5 131:3 221:1 & 103:14 156:22 \\
\hline 175:20 189:6 & 24 115:15 121:19 & smallest 117:3, 4 & 158:15 161:20 \\
\hline 192:14 198:15 & 124:21 139:14 & Smith 17:19 36:7 & 167:10 170:22 \\
\hline 235:1 & 201:15 247:10 & 236:7, 10 248:1, 2 & 179:22 190:6 \\
\hline sit 97:13 & skilled 87:2 & 251:19, 20 262:13, & 191:10 192:25 \\
\hline site 6:14, 15, 21 & skip 110:18 180:21 & 14 & 194:12 200:21 \\
\hline 7:1, 3, 4, 8, 11, 16, & skipped 194:13 & Smithfield 22:11 & 221:24 222:9 \\
\hline 22, 24, 25 8:1, 2, 9, & skipping 167:7 & 23:10 24:13, 20 & 236:19 239:18, 19 \\
\hline 10, 10, 16 9:2, 6, 7, & sky 57:21 & 85:17 109:5, 10 & 240:8 \\
\hline 22 10:9, 11 11:21 & slam 154:10 & 111:15 128:4 & sort 25:15 35:7 \\
\hline 12:7 14:14 16:3, 4, & slash 105:1 & 137:17, 19 150:5 & 64:15 65:8 239:5 \\
\hline 5, 6, 8, 21 22:4, 6, 7, & slide 6:5, 17, 25 & 151:1 154:22 & sounds 250:23 \\
\hline 9 24:15 25:6, 11 & 7:8, 20 8:4, 24 & 155:7 157:10 & 254:25 255:9 \\
\hline 27:10 28:1 29:6, & 9:21 11:3, 5 12:9 & 158:1, 3 181:15 & south 7:4,16 \\
\hline 10, 12, 16, 17 30:12 & 13:7 17:24 18:10, & 182:4 207:25 & 24:10 29:2 \(31: 10\) \\
\hline 31:19, 22 38:1 & 21, 21 19:19 20:13 & smoke 152:25 & 32:21 70:5, 9, 10 \\
\hline 46:4, 7, 7 47:4 & 21:4, 17 22:8, 20 & snow 57:19 89:11, & 71:3 72:9, 11 \\
\hline 67:11 73:24 77:11 & 23:21 24:1, 4, 9, 19 & 24 113:25 114:9 & 105:19 156:4 \\
\hline 78:11, 11, 12, 14 & 25:5, 10, 16 26:1 & 127:22 & 159:6 179:18, 21 \\
\hline 88:23 91:3 94:23, & 27:2 28:5, 15 & Snyder 37:24 & 211:9 227:22 \\
\hline 25 100:7 102:2 & 33:14 34:21 35:14 & so-called 148:21 & space 30:24 32:10, \\
\hline 103:7 105:7, 11 & 36:10, 25 38:7 & social 42:14 45:3 & 11,13, 15 47:4 \\
\hline 106:11, 13, 15, 16, & 39:12, 24 40:1 & 83:4 88:19 121:1 & 102:21, 23 113:5 \\
\hline 16 123:24 124:1 & 41:6, 22 42:7 43:4, & 139:3 239:4 240:3 & 123:19 127:13 \\
\hline 125:5, 11 141:21 & 10, 18, 18 44:14 & society 54:12 & 130:8 131:5, 21 \\
\hline 149:17 160:11 & 48:11, 19 49:7, 10, & sold 203:22 & 148:21, 22, 24 \\
\hline 166:13 178:15, 16, & 13, 20 56:11 82:3, & 217:14, 18 & 162:14, 17, 21 \\
\hline 24 179:4, 9 245:23 & 6 94:1, 5, 7, 15, 17 & solid 31:10 71:25, & 163:10, 17 165:10, \\
\hline 247:11 249:14 & 100:12, 25 104:20 & 25 & 10, 14 182:19 \\
\hline sites 22:3 & 105:22 106:10, 11, & solution 130:20 & 209:6 212:1 \\
\hline sits 34:16 175:10 & 17, 23, 25 107:1, 19, & 138:10 & spaces 11:12 \\
\hline sitting 147:23, 23 & 23 108:1, 5, 12, 14, & solvable 211:21 & 30:20, 21, 23 43:25 \\
\hline 184:16 & 20 109:13, 17 & somebody 87:16 & 46:6, 7 66:6, 8 \\
\hline situating 184:25 & 111:18 112:9 & 138:19 155:25 & 90:24 91:4 105:25 \\
\hline 185:18 & 113:2, 10, 17 114:6 & 166:10 215:1 & 128:22 130:24 \\
\hline situation 37:10 & 115:4 & 216:19 217:4 & 131:1, 2, 9 150:15 \\
\hline 46:4 135:2 161:10 & slides 20:14, 14 & someplace 62:16 & 168:2 172:12 \\
\hline 174:11 202:17 & 23:21 68:23 & 64:17 & 178:6, 11 206:8 \\
\hline situations 225:1 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { slopes } & 73: 24 \\
\text { smack } & 228: 2,3
\end{array}
\] & somewhat 45:14 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 218: 9 \\
& \text { spe } 10: 8
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline speak 3:8 4:13 & spectrum 87:3 & 163:11, 18 165:8 & statistic 46:14 \\
\hline 5:3 16:13 23:14 & 92:10 & 166:9, 17 185:17 & stats 43:19 \\
\hline 28:16 50:1 53:1 & speed 79:6, 11 & 244:1 & status 243:16 \\
\hline 54:2 66:21 87:25 & 146:15, 25 & staffing 131:23 & stay 5:1 91:16 \\
\hline 98:12 112:12 & spell 242:18 & staff's 49:18 167:2 & 98:19 185:11 \\
\hline 125:22 130:23 & spent 199:14 & 243:18 & 236:18, 22 237:3 \\
\hline 153:9 154:12 & 210:10 216:23 & stage 136:8 & 250:14 252:12 \\
\hline 156:17 189:2 & spinning 235:20 & stairwells 191:25 & steep 73:22, 23 \\
\hline 190:4 195:15 & spirits 24:7 & stand 5:5, 7 24:16 & 141:19, 25 \\
\hline 213:2 223:16 & split 126:1 & 25:8 59:8 60:15 & stenographic 264:6 \\
\hline 224:16 & spoke 26:18, 21 & 97:18 128:8 & step 36:25 89:10 \\
\hline speaker 3:9, 11 & 157:18 173:10 & standard 42:22 & 160:23 217:19 \\
\hline 15:15, 22 59:1 & 175:9 202:12 & 146:23 & 218:1 \\
\hline 99:16 152:8, 11 & 203:18 209:25 & standards 123:14 & stepping 147:18 \\
\hline 173:1 196:19, 23 & 212:13 & 164:10 202:17 & stereotype 129:11 \\
\hline 200:18, 21 213:1 & spoken 34:20 & standing 25:10 & stick 67:18 175:8 \\
\hline 236:19 239:7 & 125:14 189:20 & 27:20 35:8 \(64: 1\) & sticking 256:17 \\
\hline 240:5, 8, 11, 14 & 194:16 209:11 & 72:14 85:9 149:4 & sticks 105:12 \\
\hline 241:19 260:6, 9, 12 & spot 160:6, 8 & standpoint 59:18 & stipulations 129:14 \\
\hline 262:5, 7, 9, 11, 13, & 250:11 & stands 169:9 & stone's 118:13 \\
\hline 15 & spots 1 & start 29:3 36: & Stony 43:5 \\
\hline speakers 3:14 & spouse 68:2, 1 & 99:20 157:4 & stool 207:12 \\
\hline 5:12 49:25 63:19 & 222:17 223:7 & 245:10 251:6 & stop 166:9, 23 \\
\hline 97:17, 25 98:14 & 234:5, 10 241:15 & started 2:5 96:11 & 179:8 \\
\hline 135:21 137:9 & Spouses 188:4 & 97:12, 13, 17 & stoplight 181:5 \\
\hline speaker's 3:18 5:4 & 242:6 & 122:19 194:9 & stops 42:6 \\
\hline 34:23, 24 98:11 & spread 131:1 & state 3:14 50:21 & store 34:2 52:20 \\
\hline 158:17 189:8 & spring 149:2 & 99:25 264:2, 4 & 53:4 61:6 \\
\hline 191:6 & Springdale 132:21 & stated 129:6 & storefronts 181:23 \\
\hline speakers, 98:16 & 200:13 & statement 3:1, 17 & stories 87:22, 22 \\
\hline speaking 5:7 41:8 & springtime 57:18 & 118:2 129:8 & 107:25 114:20 \\
\hline 50:4, 14 84:3 & sprinklered 202:15 & 162:10, 10 167:1 & 136:20, 21 160:2 \\
\hline 97:20 115:23 & spruces 72:18 & 197:5 & 202:24, 24 203:2 \\
\hline 126:18 146:3 & square 102:17, 23, & statements 4:9,17 & 220:19 246:3 \\
\hline speaks 33:9 & 25 115:8 124:25 & 42:14 & 249:1, 19 \\
\hline special 2:6 10:6, 6 & 136:23 154:4 & states 142:14 & story 28:9 106:6 \\
\hline 142:9 & 156:9 165:6 & state's 189:21 & straight 78:3 \\
\hline specialty \(33: 18\) & 180:16, 20 189:23 & station 7:17 8:3, & strange 112:21 \\
\hline specific 10:8 80:6 & squarish 9:10 & 20 9:11 23:5, 6 & stranger 28:4,4 \\
\hline 136:12 & Stacey 172:25 & 24:12 25:14 26:6 & 33:24 55:8 \\
\hline specifically 40:5 & 177:13, 16 & 27:13 76:11 77:2 & strapped 145:17 \\
\hline 42:11 51:17 77:21 & Staff 2:14, 16, 18, & 111:14 182:13 & STRAUB 192:9, 10, \\
\hline 79:17 88:11 104:6, & 22, 23 12:16 40:16 & 184:14, 22, 25 & 14, 19, 21 193:1, 1, \\
\hline 7, 10 164:18 & 45:7 48:8 94:24 & 185:6 186:20 & 12 \\
\hline specifying 225:22 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
95: 1,5 & 142: 14 \\
148: 1 & 161: 22,23
\end{array}
\] & stations 185:18 & stream 11:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 142:6 149:5 & 24 196:2, 4, 7 & summing 181:22 & surveyor 88:18 \\
\hline streams 29:14 & 197:19 198:3, 6, 7 & Super 130:10 & survive 66:7 \\
\hline street 12:1 14:22 & 206:5 & superimposed & Susan 145:22 \\
\hline 29:2 34:3 40:24 & stuff 123:14 & 25:17 & 146:1 149:24 \\
\hline 41:15, 25 76:12 & 186:24 & supervision 83:8 & 150:4 \\
\hline 77:4 79:16, 20, 24 & style 22:19 & supply 58:8 & swale 154:24 \\
\hline 95:12 105:20 & subdivision 125:21 & support 3:1, 3 & 155:5, 7, 16 156:10 \\
\hline 106:17 109:5 & 143:14 147:21, 22 & 50:1 54:3, 19 & 157:11 \\
\hline 112:20 113:14, 18, & 181:5, 9 187:11 & 60:15 62:24, 25 & swales 155:4, 12 \\
\hline 19 159:6 164:12 & 208:17 & 63:9, 21 64:7 & swamp 154:2, 4 \\
\hline 180:23 182:15 & subdivisions 22:16 & 66:19, 21 84:3 & Swann 158:16, 16, \\
\hline 246:6 247:1 & subject 6:14 7:21 & 125:13, 14 135:14 & 19 167:6, 8, 12, 12, \\
\hline streets 79:14, 18 & 22:21 23:5 24:9, & 159:7 171:9 & 16 170:14, 15, 23 \\
\hline 80:3 106:18 109:9 & 11 26:1 29:16 & 183:13 246:17 & 171:5, 11, 15, 19 \\
\hline Street's 113:19 & 155:16 226:18 & 252:17, 19, 24 & swath 73:15 \\
\hline stretch 10:15 & submissions 229:7 & supportive 147:18 & swear 5:6, 9 97:19, \\
\hline 112:5 181:20 & submit 260:19 & supports 211:25 & 22 192:16 \\
\hline strike 78:24 & submitted 100:24 & supposed 40:19 & Sweets 159:4 \\
\hline strip 7:15 12:4 & 205:11 & 48:17 70:25 & switch 173:14 \\
\hline striping 41:10 & subsidy 138:22 & 163:16 & 231:5, 10 \\
\hline strong 209:10 & substance 222:4 & supposedly 199:10 & sworn 63:25 97:20 \\
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