Crumbie, Jon

From:

Engel, Robin

Sent:

Friday, February 16, 2018 2:18 PM

To:

Crumbie, Jon

Cc:

Davis, Brian; Curneal, Leslie E.

Subject:

17CUP1118 - 9420 Seatonville Road

Attachments:

9420 Seatonville Rd - Flooding 1.jpg; 9420 Seatonville Rd - Flooding 2.jpg; 9420 Seatonville Rd - Flooding 6.jpg; 9420 Seatonville Rd - Current lighting at Middletown Pet Suites 2.jpg; 9420 Seatonville Rd - Current lighting at Middletown Pet Suites 3.jpg;

9420 Seatonville Rd - Current lighting at Middletown Pet Suites.jpg

Dear Jon,

I am contacting you regarding case # 17CUP1118 at 9420 Seatonville Road. Several neighbors have reached out to me and we recently met to discuss a number of their concerns. Based on information that I have received and after reviewing the records related to this site, including the attached photos, I have concerns about the development. Currently I am not in favor of issuing a conditional use permit.

I am requesting your assistance in answering the questions below related to flooding, lighting and impacts on the environmental:

- 1. With regard to flooding, where will the water be diverted? What will MSD require to ensure that the additional runoff will not be a problem? What about the ongoing flooding issues at the site? LOJIC indicates that this piece of property is in the FEMA floodplain. How is this being addressed? Also, how will the developer be held accountable for any animal waste that may go back into the waterway? CVS had agreed to install a stormceptor. Would a stormceptor be helpful and can the developer be required to install?
- 2. Lighting has been an area of concern for the neighboring residents. What will be done to ensure that lighting will not cause a nuisance to the nearby residents? Can we require that the parking lot lights and exterior signage be on a timer and go off once the facility is closed? The neighbors have documented that the Middletown facility's lights are on 24-hours a day. (photos attached)
- 3. I echo the neighbors' concerns about animal safety. Are there any precautions that can be taken to help protect animals from leaving the premises?
- 4. I believe the issue of environmental impact has been challenged in previous cases. What will be required by the developer to protect Cedar Creek and the tree canopy?

Also, I would like to know when this case will be considered? Will the members of the LD&T Committee make the decision about whether or not to approve the applicant's request?

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Regards,



Crumbie, Jon

From:

Peter Bodnar < pdb3@aye.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 16, 2018 4:02 PM

To:

Crumbie, Jon

Cc:

teenaHal@aol.com

Subject:

Informational meeting tonite 17CUP1118

Jan.16, 2018 Dear Jon,

Due to the inclement weather I won't be making the informational meeting scheduled for tonite but I did want my comments to go on record. They were made originally against the CVS proposal but are equally pertinent in this case. My fear is that Petsuite will not have the deep pockets to install the mitigating engineering that got the plan approved before. Stay vigilant.

Thanks,

Peter Bodnar III 8801 Dawson Hill Rd. Louisville, KY 40299

CVS/Petsuite proposes to devastate the current landscape with holding basins, building & parking lots. All in the Flood Plain!!! "...no more building in the flood plain" says MSD's Brian Bingham in the Courier-Journal.

This case represents the worst history of sprawl—consuming irreplaceable resources and mutilating critical environmental structures for a quick, private, monetary gain—while the tax paying public is left to try to remediate the damage after the developers have moved on. One of the saddest things of this whole affair is that development money won't let this corner go. First Walgreens, then 1st National, now CVS—in order to abandon its store less than half a mile up the road. This is not a place, a town or a landscape to CVS—only a spot on a map DIRECTLY OPPOSITE FROM WALGREENS!

Communities must stop being simply a pawn in a devastating global corporate chess game of profits.

Huge environmental loss. Very small community gain. The most forward looking thing that could be done is for MSD to purchase the property for flood management purposes.