

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY

LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING
THURSDAY, MARCH 19, 2020

Services provided by:
QuickCaption, Inc.
4927 Arlington Avenue
Riverside, CA 92504
Telephone - 951-779-0787
Fax Number - 951-779-0980
quickcaption@gmail.com
www.quickcaption.com

* * * * *

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in
order to facilitate communication accessibility and **may not be
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.**

* * * * *

>> THE REGULAR LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL MEETING WILL COME TO ORDER. I'M PRESIDENT PRO TEM MARKUS WINKLER. PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

>> I PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FOR THE REPUBLIC, FOR WHICH IT STANDS, UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

>> COLLEAGUES, WE GATHER HERE TONIGHT UNDER UNPRECEDENTED CIRCUMSTANCES. FEAR OF AN INVISIBLE ENEMY CLOUDS OUR INTERACTIONS WITH OTHERS, BRINGING ABOUT NEW TERMS LIKE SOCIAL DISTANCING AND FLATTENING THE CURVE. AN ECONOMY THAT SEEMED UNSTOPPABLE IS STRAINING TO RESPOND, LEADING TO COUNTLESS FAMILIES ACROSS OUR COMMUNITY FACING HARDSHIPS THAT SEEMED UNIMAGINABLE JUST A FEW WEEKS AGO. LEGISLATIVE ISSUES THAT SEEMED CRITICAL TWO WEEKS AGO SEEM A LITTLE LESS SO TONIGHT. BUT I'M ALSO EXTREMELY HOPEFUL. EVERY DAY WE SEE NEW ACTS OF COMPASSION AND KINDNESS TO HELP THOSE IN NEED, WHETHER IT'S CREATING A WEBSITE TO MATCH VULNERABLE SENIORS WITH HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS, NEIGHBORS HELPING NEIGHBORS BY WATCHING CHILDREN SO A PARENT CAN GO TO WORK, PEOPLE DONATING TIME AND MONEY TO ORGANIZATIONS TRYING TO MEET THE TSUNAMI OF NEEDS. PEOPLE AND BUSINESSES ALL ACROSS OUR CITY ARE DOING WHAT THEY CAN TO HELP. SOMETIMES IT TAKES THE WORST TIMES TO BRING OUT THE BEST IN PEOPLE, AND WE SEE THAT HAPPENING HERE IN LOUISVILLE. I'M CONFIDENT THAT WE WILL MAKE IT THROUGH THIS CHALLENGE AS WE HAVE

MADE IT THROUGH COUNTLESS CHALLENGES BEFORE. AS WE CONTEMPLATE THE IMPACT ON THOSE WE REPRESENT AND THE TASK BEFORE US, PLEASE JOIN ME IN A MOMENT OF SILENCE. THANK YOU. BEFORE WE GET STARTED, I WOULD LIKE TO MENTION A FEW GROUPS OF PEOPLE, WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING RELENTLESSLY IN VERY STRESSFUL TIMES TO GET US THROUGH IN VARIOUS WAYS. CERTAINLY, WE'D LIKE TO RECOGNIZE OUR EMERGENCY SERVICES AND FIRST RESPONDERS, THE MEDICAL PERSONNEL ACROSS THE CITY, GOVERNOR'S OFFICE, THE STATE LEGISLATURE, MAYOR FISCHER'S ADMINISTRATION, AND SPECIFICALLY DR. MOYER, COUNTLESS EMPLOYEES FILLING POTHOLES, MAKING INSPECTIONS, MAINTAINING BUILDINGS AND MAKING SURE LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONTINUES TO FUNCTION. AND I'D LIKE TO SPECIFICALLY THANK PRESIDENT JAMES, JENNY FIRST, SONYA HARWOOD, JOAN SHEFERED, EDWIN ERNEST, AND THE COUNTLESS OTHERS WHO WORKED TO MAKE THIS MEETING POSSIBLE THROUGH EXTREME EFFORTS AND TECHNOLOGY. I THINK WE OWE THEM ALL A DEBT OF GRATITUDE, AND I WANT TO APPLAUD THEIR EFFORTS. [APPLAUSE] WE HAVE SEVERAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING VIRTUALLY THIS EVENING. LATE YESTERDAY THE KENTUCKY ATTORNEY GENERAL -- WHILE ALLOWING FOR REMOTE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. WITH THAT IN MIND, WE HAVE BEEN ADVISED BY THE JEFFERSON COUNTY'S ATTORNEY OFFICE IF WE EXPERIENCE A DISRUPTION FOR ANY OF OUR VIRTUAL ATTENDEES, WE'LL NEED TO SUSPEND TO MAKE A FIX OF THE ISSUE. ALL DISCUSSION WILL CEASE AND NO ACTIONS WILL BE TAKEN. IF AFTER FIVE MINUTES THE ISSUE CONTINUES, WE WILL RESUME THE MEETING, AS

LONG AS WE STILL HAVE A QUORUM OF MEMBERS. IF THE COUNCIL MEETING AS A WHOLE EXPERIENCES TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND THE PUBLIC CANNOT SEE THE MEETING, WE WILL ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE FOR 20 MINUTES. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE DURING THAT TIME, THE MEETING WILL BE ADJOURNED. ADDITIONALLY, BECAUSE THIS IS A MEETING AT WHICH THE PUBLIC MAY ONLY PARTICIPATE REMOTELY, OUR AGENDA DOES NOT INCLUDE THOSE ITEMS WHERE THE PUBLIC WOULD BE PRESENT IN CHAMBERS. THOSE INCLUDE SPECIAL RECOGNITIONS AND PROCLAMATIONS AND ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. THANK YOU. MADAM CLERK, THE ROLL.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> PRESENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> PRESENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> PRESENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES, I'M SORRY, SIR.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER. COUNCIL MEMBER
BLACKWELL.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> PRESENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> PRESENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> ACTUALLY PRESENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. COUNCIL MEMBER McCRANEY.

>> HERE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER. PRESIDENT PRO TEM, YOU
HAVE 25 AND A QUORUM.

>> OKAY, THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBERS, BEFORE WE GET STARTED,
I JUST WANT TO TAKE A SECOND TO REVIEW HOW TONIGHT'S MEETING
WILL RUN AND HOW WE WILL ASK QUESTIONS, VOTE, ET CETERA. SO IF
YOU HAVE A QUESTION, WE HAVE A PHONE NUMBER THAT'S BEEN PROVIDED
TO ALL OF YOU. YOU WILL TEXT THAT NUMBER. DO NOT USE THE GROUP
TEXT. JUST TEXT THE NUMBER INDIVIDUALLY. YOU DON'T HAVE TO TYPE.
I'VE GOT A QUESTION. CERTAINLY, DON'T TYPE WHAT THE QUESTION IS.
JUST TYPE YOUR DISTRICT NUMBER OR HAND RAISE, AND I WILL CALL ON
YOU IN THE ORDER THAT THOSE QUESTIONS COME IN. I KNOW FOR CAUCUS
WE WERE ABLE TO USE A GROUP TEXT. THAT WILL NOT WORK, BECAUSE
THERE ARE LIMITS ON THE NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS IN A GROUP TEXT.
SO, PLEASE, DO NOT RESPOND TO THAT GROUP TEXT, BECAUSE THEY'LL

BE IN SEPARATE STREAMS AND IT WILL BE VERY CHALLENGING FOR ME TO KEEP THE ORDER. FOR THOSE OF YOU IN CHAMBERS, YOU WILL ALSO TEXT THAT NUMBER IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK. WE WILL NOT USE THE LEGISLATIVE SYSTEM TO BE CALLED UPON, OKAY? SO IF YOU WANT TO SPEAK, TEXT THE NUMBER, I WILL CALL ON YOU IN THE ORDER THEY COME IN. WE WILL ALSO ALL VOTE BY ROLL CALL VOTING. AND WITH THAT, I THINK NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES. MADAM CLERK?

>> Clerk:

>> OH, CAN I SAY ONE MORE THING? I'M SORRY. I'VE ALSO BEEN ASKED TO REMIND EVERYBODY THERE'S A BRIEF PAUSE BETWEEN BEING UNMUTED AND WHEN YOU START TO SPEAK, SO MAYBE AFTER YOU'RE CALLED UPON, GIVE IT A THREE COUNT OR A FIVE COUNT, AND THEN BEGIN SPEAKING. MADAM CLERK, THE APPROVAL OF THE COMMITTEE MINUTES, PLEASE.

>> Clerk: MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR METRO COUNCIL, MARCH 5, 2020. REGULAR COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, MARCH 5, 2020. REGULAR PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE, MARCH 10, 2020. REGULAR LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, MARCH 10, 2020. REGULAR PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE, MARCH 10, 2020. REGULAR GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE, MARCH 10, 2020. REGULAR COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, HEALTH, AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE, MARCH 11, 2020. REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, MARCH 11, 2020. REGULAR PARKS AND

SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE, MARCH 12, 2020. REGULAR BUDGET COMMITTEE, MARCH 12, 2020. READ IN FULL.

>> ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS? OKAY, MOTION BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THESE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN. MADAM CLERK, DO WE HAVE ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR?

>> Clerk: YES, SIR, WE DO.

>> PLEASE, READ THOSE INTO THE RECORD.

>> Clerk: DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES, THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMMISSION FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING, B.J. LEVIS, NEW APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES DECEMBER 12, 2021. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LOUISVILLE CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING, SCOTT SHOENBERGER, NEW APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 16, 2020. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE ZOO FOUNDATION BOARD, ERICA TOLAR, NEW APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES APRIL 30, 2023. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS REAPPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP, DEBORAH GILBERT, NEW APPOINTMENT. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD ONLY. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WATER WORKS ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING, JENNIFER FUST-RUTHERFORD, NEW APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES APRIL 30, 2024. YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. READ IN FULL.

>> THOSE APPOINTMENTS NEEDING COUNCIL APPROVAL WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE. OUR NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THE CONSENT CALENDAR SURPRISES ITEMS 16 TO 27. ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS? MADAM CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THESE ITEMS.

>> Clerk: THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS FORWARDED FROM THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE. A RESOLUTION REPEALING AND REPLACING SECTION 3 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES CONCERNING NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS AND CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS (AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION). AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 081, SERIES 2019 RELATED TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 OPERATING BUDGET BY TRANSFERRING \$500,000 TO THE JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO FUND EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIRING RANGE. A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE REORGANIZATION OF KENTUCKY RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND THE CREATION

AN INDEPENDENT COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF TRUSTEES. A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE OFFICE OF INTERNAL AUDIT OF THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT TO CONDUCT AN AUDIT OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF LOUISVILLE/ JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE. APPOINTMENT OF VONDA SICKLES TO THE BUECHEL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2020. APPOINTMENT OF JENNIFER CARMAN TO THE KENTUCKIANA WORKS - GREATER LOUISVILLE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD. TERM EXPIRES MARCH 13, 2021. REAPPOINTMENT OF JON CRUMBIE TO THE NULU REVIEW OVERLAY DISTRICT COMMITTEE. TERM EXPIRES FEBRUARY 26, 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF MARK TRIER TO THE NULU REVIEW OVERLAY DISTRICT COMMITTEE. TERM EXPRIES FEBRUARY 26, 2023. APPOINTMENT OF JENNIFER LEHN TO THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF RIVER CITY, INC (PARC) BOARD. TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2023. A RESOLUTION DETERMINING TWO PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7709 PRESTON HIGHWAY, LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, AND DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT A ATTACHED HERETO (PREMISES), AND THAT ARE OWNED BY JEFFERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY, CAPITAL PROJECTS CORPORATION, AN ENTITY WHOLLY OWNED BY LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT (METRO GOVERNMENT), AS SURPLUS AND NO LONGER NEEDED FOR A GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE AND AUTHORIZING ITS TRANSFER. A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$10,000 FROM THE NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES TO FUND THE LOUISVILLE-JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT LOUISVLE LEADERS UNITED FOR CLIMATE PROJECT TO BE ADMINISTERED

BY DEVELOP LOUISVILLE. A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW THE TYLER TOWN CENTER PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT WITH REGARD TO LIMITED USE CATEGORY 2. READ IN FULL.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR APPROVAL? MOTION BY COUNCILMAN YATES, SECOND BY COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH. THE CONSENT CALENDAR HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED AND REQUIRES A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE DO A VOICE ROLL CALL VOTE?

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?

>> CAN YOU VOTE AGAIN, PLEASE, COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRAVEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER. COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER, CAN YOU VOTE AGAIN, PLEASE?

>> HEAR ME? THANK YOU.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER -- SORRY, PRESIDENT PRO
TEM WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: AND COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> COULD WE CAPTURE COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER'S VOTE ONE MORE
TIME?

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: THANK YOU. YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES AND ONE NOT
VOTING.

>> THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES. THE NEXT TWO ITEMS ON OUR
AGENDA ARE SPECIAL LEGISLATION. IN ORDER TO DO THIS, WE WILL
INVOKE RULE 701, SUBSECTION A, THAT STATES AN ORDER, ORDINANCE,
OR RESOLUTION MAY BE PASSED AT THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL AT
WHICH IT IS INTRODUCED IF DEEMED AN EMERGENCY BY A VOTE OF TWO-
THIRDS OF THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE COUNCIL. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND
A SECOND TO INVOKE RULE 701, SECTION A?

>> YES.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN FOX, SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN
SEXTON SMITH. MADAM CLERK, MAY I HAVE A READING -- ALL IN FAVOR
SAY AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] ALL OPPOSED? OKAY, THE AYES HAVE IT.
MADAM CLERK, CAN YOU ALSO RECOGNIZE COUNCILMAN KRAMER AS
PRESENT, PLEASE?

>> Clerk: YES, SIR.

>> MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NO. 28, PLEASE.

>> Clerk: AN EMERGENCY RESOLUTION OF THE
LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT, REQUESTING THAT
THE KENTUCKY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FINANCE AUTHORITY ADOPT A
RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF REVENUE BONDS IN AN
AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$62,000,000, THE PROCEEDS OF WHICH WILL BE
LOANED TO CHRISTIAN CARE COMMUNITIES, INC., A KENTUCKY NONPROFIT
CORPORATION, AND/OR ONE OR MORE AFFILIATES, WITH FACILITIES
LOCATED WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, TO FINANCE
AND REFINANCE THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPPING OF
CERTAIN FACILITIES CONSISTING OF HEALTH CARE AND HEALTH RELATED
FACILITIES. READ IN FULL.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND FOR APPROVAL? MOTION BY
COUNCILMAN PEDEN, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN YATES. COUNCILMAN YATES,
WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM?

>> YES. THANK YOU. THIS WAS AN ITEM THAT HAD TO BE ON
EMERGENCY DOCKET BECAUSE OF TRYING TO GET IN ON THE LOAN RATES.
THEY DO A WHOLE LOT IN THE COMMUNITY. THE CHRISTIAN CARES

COMMUNITY. AND THIS PARTICULAR ORDINANCE, IF WE WOULD HAVE WENT THROUGH REGULAR FIRST READING, WOULD HAVE CAME TO THE COMMITTEE FOR THE FIRST TIME THIS COMING WEEK, WHICH WOULD HAVE PUSHED THEM BACK AND THEY WOULD HAVE MISSED THEIR WINDOW. BUT IN A TRYING TO MAKE SURE IT COULD HAVE AT LEAST SOME DISCUSSION IN COMMITTEE LEVEL, WE BROUGHT IT UP BEFORE HAVING A FIRST READING. IT WAS DISCUSSED BY THE BOND COUNCIL AND MS. FERGUSON, WHO'S WITH US HERE, AS WELL, IT WAS PRESENTED THAT THERE WILL BE NO COST TO METRO GOVERNMENT, THAT WE ARE NOT PUTTING OURSELVES AT RISK AT ALL. THEY ARE SIMPLY USING OUR STATUS, BECAUSE THEY DESIRE A REFINANCING OF CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS AND TO GET A BETTER RATE TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE FORWARD, BUT THEY NEEDED OUR APPROVAL TO DO SO. SO THAT'S WHY IT'S ON SPECIAL LEGISLATION TODAY, BECAUSE IN OUR COMMITTEE LEVEL, WE COULD NOT VOTE. WE SIMPLY COULD HEAR. SO THIS WOULD BE THE FIRST VOTE TODAY, AND I WOULD URGE MY COLLEAGUES TO VOTE IN FAVOR AS, AGAIN, THIS DOESN'T COST METRO GOVERNMENT ANYTHING, AND NOR DOES IT PUT US ON THE HOOK. THANK YOU.

>> IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? AGAIN, AS A REMINDER, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK OR HAVE A QUESTION, TEXT IN. I'LL GIVE JUST A MOMENT. OKAY, HEARING NONE, THIS IS A RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A VOICE VOTE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] OPPOSED? AYES HAVE IT. RESOLUTION IS APPROVED. I WANT TO TAKE JUST A BRIEF PAUSE. WE RECOGNIZE COUNCILMAN

KRAMER IS HERE. COUNCILMAN KRAMER, CAN WE UNMUTE YOU AND YOU ANNOUNCE YOU'RE PRESENT SO WE CAN CONFIRM YOUR MICROPHONE WORKS?

>> PRESENT.

>> THANK YOU. MADAM CLERK, YOU DID THE ROLL CALL VOTE. SORRY, CAN YOU SAY THE TOTALS? UNANIMOUS, YES, OKAY, THE RESOLUTION PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 29.

>> Clerk: AN ENERGY ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 081, SERIES 2019 RELATED TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 OPERATING BUDGET BY APPROPRIATING \$2,700,000 OF COMMITTED FUND BALANCE AS AUTHORIZED IN ORDINANCE NO. 187, SERIES 2019, SECTION IV TO THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE & COMMUNITY SERVICES TO ESTABLISH AN EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FUND TO PROVIDE COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE RELATED TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION TO APPROVE.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN ENGEL, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. THE RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. COLLEAGUES, I THINK WE ALL KNOW WHY WE ARE DISCUSSING THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION. WE FIND OURSELVES IN UNPRECEDENTED TIMES, WHERE WE ARE DEALING WITH THE COVID-19 VIRUS. MANY OF OUR CONSTITUENTS HAVE LOST THEIR JOBS BECAUSE OF THIS. THEY WERE FORCED TO BE LAID OFF, AND MAJOR ECONOMIC IMPACT TO THEIR LIVES. AND WE KNOW THAT THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO, WE HOPE AT SOME TIME PUSH MONEY DOWN TO THE CITIES AND STATES TO HELP THE WORKERS. HOWEVER, THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION, WHICH HAS BEEN WORKED ON VERY HARD BY COUNCILMAN WINKLER, PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER, AND CONGRATULATIONS FOR THE HARD WORK ON THIS, BY THE WAY. TO BE ABLE TO HELP OUR VULNERABLE CITIZENS. IT'S \$2.7 MILLION. IT PROBABLY WON'T GO VERY FAR. BUT FOR THE PERSON THAT'S OUT THERE RIGHT NOW THAT REALLY NEEDS HELP, THAT'S TRYING TO UNDERSTAND HOW THEY ARE GOING TO BUY FOOD FOR THEIR CHILDREN, IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE DO THAT. AND WITH THAT, I WOULD JUST ASK THAT WE VOTE YES AND PASS THIS LEGISLATION SO THAT WE CAN HELP OUR CITIZENS.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT JAMES. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> I'M SORRY.

>> YES, COUNCILMAN JAMES?

>> BEFORE I FINISH THAT, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO ASK FOR A MOTION OF LIMITED DEBATE.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION. IS THERE A SECOND? SECOND BY COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] OPPOSED?

>> NO.

>> MADAM CLERK, CAN WE GET A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE?

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN? COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN?

>> YES, LIMIT.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, LIMIT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR VOTE?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES, LIMIT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRANEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> CAN YOU VOTE AGAIN, PLEASE?

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL, WILL YOU VOTE ONE MORE
TIME, PLEASE?

>> I SAID NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER?

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER?

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> NO. COUNCIL MEMBER COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. THE VOTE IS 17-9 TO
LIMIT DEBATE, SIR.

>> OKAY, WE WILL LIMIT DEBATE. IT IS 6:32. PRESIDENT JAMES,
ANYTHING ELSE?

>> NO, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> I'M SORRY, MR. PRESIDENT, I CHALLENGE THAT. I DON'T THINK THAT HITS THE COUNT. IT'S A TWO-THIRDS.

>> LIMIT DEBATE IS MAJORITY.

>> OKAY, HOLD ON JUST ONE MOMENT. IT IS A SIMPLE MAJORITY VOTE, SO THE MOTION PASSES, WE WILL LIMIT DEBATE. 6:32 IS THE START TIME. PRESIDENT JAMES, ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD?

>> NO, SIR, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> YOU DON'T HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD? OKAY. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. THE ORDINANCE HAS BEEN IN THE SYSTEM FOR SOME TIME, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE A COUPLE OF AMENDMENTS, AND I WOULD READ THOSE AMENDMENTS INTO THE RECORD. THEY'VE BEEN CIRCULATED, I THINK, TODAY, AND THEY ARE ACTUALLY IN THE SYSTEM, BUT I DO WANT TO READ THE AMENDMENTS INTO THE RECORD. I'LL SAY IN ADVANCE, I THINK WHAT THEY ARE DESIGNED TO DO IS TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR THAT WE REALIZE THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE AFFECTED BY THIS SITUATION AND WHO WILL NEED ASSISTANCE, WHO HAVE NEVER NEEDED ASSISTANCE BEFORE. AND ALSO, TO PUT MORE STRINGENT REQUIREMENTS AND OVERSIGHT INTO THIS FUND AND TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR THAT THIS IS A FUND OF LAST RESORT, AND THAT THERE WILL BE A THREE-PERSON PANEL, WHICH WILL BE MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT EXPENDITURES FROM THE FUND. BUT THE ADDITIONS THAT I'D LIKE TO PROPOSE AS AN

AMENDMENT IS A NEW THIRD WHEREAS CLAUSE. THIS WOULD BECOME A THIRD WHEREAS CLAUSE AFTER THE FIRST TWO. IT WOULD READ, WHEREAS THE COUNCIL HAS LIMITED FUNDS AVAILABLE, BUT FEELS PROPER TO REAPPROPRIATE THESE FUNDS TO ANSWER IMMEDIATE NEEDS OF THOSE IT REPRESENTS; AND THEN ANOTHER NEW WHEREAS CLAUSE, WHEREAS CITIZENS IMPACTS FINANCIALLY BY THIS PANDEMIC SHOULD NOT BE PRECLUDED FROM PARTICIPATING IN QUALIFIED PROGRAMS, REGARDLESS OF PREVIOUS ELIGIBILITY. THEN CONTINUING, WOULD CONTINUE WITH THE NEXT WHEREAS CLAUSE. AND THEN AN ENTIRELY NEW SECTION THREE WOULD REPLACE THE CURRENT SECTION THREE TO READ, "THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES SHALL ACT AS OFFICIAL AGENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADMINISTERING THE CRF ESTABLISHED THROUGH SECTION TWO OF THIS ORDINANCE. THE MONEY TRANSFERRED TO THE CRF SHOULD ONLY BE USED TO FUND CURRENT NONPROFITS OR METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS. THE MONEY SHALL BE USED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECAPITALIZING EXISTING PROGRAMS AND PROVIDE FOOD ASSISTANCE AND EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FOR HOUSING. AN ONLINE APPLICATION FOR REIMBURSEMENT WILL BE WEEKLY REVIEWED FOR ELIGIBILITY BY A THREE-PERSON PANEL CONSISTING OF A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MAYOR, THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT OR DESIGNEE, AND A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE METRO UNITED WAY. THE PANEL WILL MEET WEEKLY TO DECIDE WHICH REQUESTS RECEIVE FUNDING REIMBURSEMENT. EFFORTS WILL BE MADE BY THE THREE-PERSON PANEL TO DISTRIBUTE MONEY EQUITABLY, ON A REIMBURSEMENT BASIS, AND THE

APPLICANT MUST SHOW INCREASED DEMAND FOR SERVICES DUE TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. EXTERNAL AGENCIES REQUESTING MUST SHOW EVIDENCE OF LOSS DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED WITH THE COVID-19 EPIDEMIC AND OF INDIVIDUAL NEED. THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES SHALL REIMBURSE ELIGIBLE ENTITIES ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT DEMAND AND NEED OF THOSE ENTITIES EXCEEDS OTHER FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THOSE PROGRAMS FROM OTHER SOURCES. NO FUNDING SHALL BE USED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROGRAMS, BUT SHALL BE USED SOLELY TO PROVIDE FOOD ASSISTANCE AND EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE OR HOUSING." I MOVE THAT AMENDMENT WITH THOSE TWO NEW WHEREAS CLAUSES IN REPLACEMENT FOR SECTION THREE.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION. DO WE HAVE A SECOND? OKAY, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. I WOULD JUST REMIND -- BEFORE I GO THROUGH THE QUEUE, I WOULD REMIND EVEN THOSE IN CHAMBERS, IF YOU WANT TO BE CALLED ON, BE SURE TO TEXT IN TO BE ADDED TO THE QUEUE, OKAY? I WILL NOT BE RECOGNIZING THE QUEUE IN THE SYSTEM, ONLY THE TEXT QUEUE. COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. FIRST OF ALL, I JUST WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE ALL THE HARD WORK THAT WENT INTO THIS AND SAY THAT I APPRECIATE THE LEADERSHIP, THE COMPROMISE, AND COLLABORATION THAT BROUGHT US HERE. I KNOW THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF INTEREST IN THIS, AND FOR GOOD REASON. WE KNOW THE NEEDS THAT EXIST, BUT I WANT TO ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE BEEN

INTERESTED TO CONTINUE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO FOLLOWING UP ON HOW THE PANEL MAKES DECISIONS ABOUT WHICH REQUESTS ARE FUNDED, AS WELL AS ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT THE PROCESS WHICH WILL ENSURE AN EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE. COUNCILMAN REED?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I WANT TO ECHO WHAT EVERYONE ELSE IS SAYING. I THINK YOU DID A GREAT JOB HERE. YOU MANAGED TO WIN PEOPLE OVER THAT WAS SKEPTICAL. I WAS ONE OF THOSE PERSONS FROM THE BEGINNING, BUT I AM CONVINCED THERE ARE ENOUGH CHECKPOINTS HERE AND ENOUGH MEASURES THAT WILL MAKE SURE THE MONEY IS GOING TO BE SPENT WISELY. AND WE'RE GOING TO FOLLOW UP ON IT AND IT'S GOING TO GET TO THE PEOPLE DURING THIS VERY, VERY DIFFICULT TIME QUICKLY, PROMPTLY, AND I DO AGREE THAT IT'S NOT PROBABLY NOT AS MUCH AS NEEDED, BUT IT IS SOMETHING, SO I WANTED TO PUBLICLY THANK YOU AND JUST SIMPLY SAY THAT THIS IS SOMETHING I'M VERY PROUD TO SUPPORT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER REED. COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?

>> WE'VE WORKED SO HARD ON THIS. I'M NOT AGAINST THIS ORDINANCE, BUT I DO HAVE SOME CONCERNS. MY CONCERN IS SIMPLY HAVING A KNEE-JERK REACTION AND MAKING A DECISION AND US NOT HAVING AN IDEA OF WHERE WE WILL BE GOING OR WHAT WE WILL BE NEEDING IN THREE WEEKS OR PERHAPS EVEN THREE MONTHS AS THIS PANDEMIC ACTUALLY STRETCHES OUT. ONE OF THE THINGS I ASKED THE

PRO TEM ON BREAK, IS I KNOW WE HAVE TAKEN OUT, I GUESS, INCOME REQUIREMENTS. HOWEVER, IF THERE ARE PROGRAMS THAT ALREADY HAVE INCOME REQUIREMENTS IN PLACE, DO WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO, ESSENTIALLY, TELL DARE TO CARE OR THE MINISTRIES OR NEIGHBORHOOD PLACE THAT YOU HAVE TO ACCEPT SOMEONE THAT MAY NOT MEET WHATEVER PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES THEY HAVE ACTUALLY SET? AND I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME DIRECTIONS FROM SOMEBODY. THE PRO TEM HAD TO LOOK INTO SOMEBODY FROM ONE OF THE MINISTRIES WHO SAID THEY HAD MADE A VERBAL COMMITMENT THAT THEY WOULD NOT REQUIRE PEOPLE TO HAVE CERTAIN INCOME. HOWEVER, THAT'S MY CONCERN. THERE ARE INDIVIDUALS WHO ALREADY MEET CERTAIN INCOME REQUIREMENTS, THE TRULY INDIGENT, HOWEVER, WE ARE IN UNCHARTED TERRITORIES, AND THERE WILL BE WORKING POOR AND WORKING CLASS PEOPLE THAT WILL NEED TO BE ABLE TO ACCESS RESOURCES. AND SO I HAVE GREAT CONCERN THAT NOBODY HAS TOLD ME, YOU KNOW, IN TERMS OF A LEGAL STANDING, WE CAN DIRECT DARE TO CARE TO XYZ AND NOBODY HAS TOLD ME THESE ORGANIZATIONS HAVE SAID THEY HAVE THE CAPACITY TO BE ABLE TO RUN MULTIPLE PROGRAMS IN TERMS OF MULTIPLE INCOME REQUIREMENTS TO THEM. SO, AGAIN, THANK YOU TO EVERYBODY WHO'S WORKED SO HARD ON THIS. HOWEVER, THERE ARE QUESTIONS THAT ARE LOOMING, AND SO WE PULL THE TRIGGER AND DEPLOY FUNDS, WE DON'T RIGHT NOW IMMEDIATELY -- WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY THREE WEEKS FROM NOW OR SIX WEEKS FROM NOW TO BE ABLE TO LOOK BACK IN RETROSPECT TO SAY, YOU KNOW WHAT, THESE WOULD HAVE BEEN GOOD QUESTIONS OR ISSUES WE

SHOULD HAVE ADDRESSED ON THE FRONT END. SO IT'S TOO SERIOUS OF A TIME, IN MY MIND, FOR US TO JUST PULL THE TRIGGER WITHOUT KNOWING ALL OF THE ANSWERS. SO IF ANYBODY, COUNTY ATTORNEY, SOMEBODY, CAN ANSWER THAT QUESTION FOR ME, THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL. THANK YOU, ALL.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN YATES, YOU WANT TO REPLY TO THAT DIRECTLY?

>> YES, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN AND COLLEAGUES, I SHARE THAT CONCERN. THAT'S WHY I DUG INTO IT EARLY ON AND TALKED TO COUNCILMAN WINKLER QUITE A BIT. FIRST OF ALL, I KNOW THAT WE AS LOCAL GOVERNMENT, WE CAN SET KIND OF THE BOTTOM. KIND OF LIKE THE CONSTITUTION DOES WITH LAWS. WE CAN SAY, HEY, YOU HAVE TO MEET AT LEAST THESE QUALIFICATIONS AND A NONPROFIT CAN GO ABOVE AND BEYOND ABOVE THAT, BUT WE CAN SET IN OUR CONTRACT WE PUT TOGETHER WITH THEM, WE CAN SET THE CEILING OR WE CAN SET THE FLOOR, AT LEAST WITH MINIMAL QUALIFICATIONS. THE OTHER PART IS, YOU'RE GOING TO DIVERSIFY THIS TO DIFFERENT PEOPLE WHO ARE GOOD AT DIFFERENT THINGS, AND I THINK MY BIGGEST FEAR WITH THIS IS JUST, AS COUNCILWOMAN GREEN STATED, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE DON'T KNOW YET. IN SIX WEEKS, OUR NEED MIGHT BE VERY, VERY DIFFERENT. SO WHAT GAVE ME CONFIDENCE IS THAT WE ARE GOING TO PIECEMEAL THIS OUT IN SMALL INCREMENTS TO DECIDE WHERE IT GOES AND HOW MUCH TO WHO, BUT IT'S ONLY GOING TO BE DONE IF NECESSARY, I THINK THAT'S THE RESPONSIBLE THING. WE'RE MAKING THAT MONEY

AVAILABLE FOR OUR PEOPLE IN NEED. IF THE STATE COMES THROUGH, IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COMES THROUGH AND IT'S NOT NEEDED, THAT MONEY WOULD GO BACK TO AS WE DIRECT, BUT TONIGHT, WE MAKE THAT MONEY AVAILABLE AND I THINK THAT'S THE RESPONSIBLE CHOICE. I WASN'T ORIGINALLY A COSPONSOR, IT TOOK A LOT OF CHANGES, AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THE LEADERSHIP ON THIS, AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT GOOD LEGISLATION IN EMERGENCY TIMES IS, AND I THINK APPROPRIATE CHECKS AND BALANCES HAVE BEEN PUT IN PLACE. I THINK THAT THE OVERSIGHT OF THE COMMITTEE AND THEN ALSO THE SECOND CHECKS TO REDUCE FRAUD, BECAUSE I THINK, ULTIMATELY, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE NEVER HAD GOVERNMENT HELP BEFORE, WHO DO NOT KNOW HOW TO OPERATE WITHIN THE SYSTEM, AND A LOT OF PEOPLE SCARED TO ASK, AND THEY ARE GOING TO FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS, AND I THINK WE'VE GOT TO KEEP OUR WORKING FAMILIES, OUR WORKING POOR, MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THE VERY BASIC NECESSITIES, BECAUSE NOT ONLY IS IT THE RIGHT THING TO DO, THE ECONOMIC IMPACT THAT'S GOING TO HAVE ON OUR CITY COULD BE DEVASTATING. SO I THINK IT'S THE SMART THING TO DO ECONOMICALLY AND THE RIGHT THING TO DO AS A BODY. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAD ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD.

>> NO, THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IS THERE ANYBODY FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE THAT CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION? AND CAN ANYBODY SPEAK TO WHETHER OR NOT -- I KNOW YOU SAID YOU SPOKE TO SOMEBODY FROM ONE OF THE MINISTRIES THAT SAID THEY HAD THE CAPACITY, I GUESS, TO RUN SEVERAL PROGRAMS OR SEVERAL INCOME REQUIREMENTS. DID ANYBODY ELSE SPEAK TO WHETHER THEY BELIEVE THE OTHER AGENCIES HAVE THE CAPACITY TO DO THAT, AS WELL?

>> WE'LL ASK THE COUNTY ATTORNEY FIRST, IF YOU WOULD INTRODUCE YOURSELF. I THINK THE QUESTION ON THE TABLE IS CAN WE PUT REQUIREMENTS ON THE PROGRAM?

>> LAURA FERGUSON, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. YOU CAN CERTAINLY PUT REQUIREMENTS ON THE CONDITIONS IN WHICH THE FUNDS WOULD BE GRANTED, AND SO IF THE PROGRAM HAS MORE STRINGENT REQUIREMENTS, THEY WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO APPLY FOR THE MONEY, BUT AT THE SAME TIME, THEY MAY CREATE ANOTHER PROGRAM, MAY BE ABLE TO DO STUFF, SO YOU CAN PUT A FLOOR OR CONDITIONS ON WHICH THE MONEY IS INTENDED TO BE GIVEN AND THEN THE VARIOUS PROGRAMS THAT MEET THE CRITERIA CAN EITHER APPLY FOR IT OR CREATE ANOTHER PROGRAM, OR NOT APPLY FOR IT AND PURSUE ANOTHER SOURCE OF FUNDING.

>> WITH THAT BEING SAID, I KNOW LANGUAGE IS IN THERE ABOUT NO ADDITIONAL PROGRAMMING. IS IT NECESSARY TO KEEP THAT LANGUAGE IN THERE ABOUT NO ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS MAY BE CREATED? SOME OF THESE AGENCIES MAY HAVE TO CREATE NEW PROGRAMS OUTSIDE OF WHAT

THEY ALREADY HAVE IN PLACE BASED ON WHAT INCOME REQUIREMENTS ARE, WHAT THEY ALREADY HAVE IN PLACE. IF WE'RE GOING TO BROADEN IT, SHOULD WE NOT GIVE THEM THE ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO BE MORE EXPANSIVE?

>> THAT'S MORE OF A POLICY DECISION THAN A LEGAL DECISION, BUT YOU COULD WORD IT WHERE YOU WANT IT FOR EXISTING PROGRAMS, OR YOU COULD HAVE IT FOR, YOU KNOW, CONSIDERING NEW PROGRAMS AS NEEDED. BUT IT WOULDN'T -- IT'S NOT REALLY A LEGAL DECISION. IT'S MORE OF A POLICY DECISION.

>> COUNTY FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, I WANT TO BE CLEAR, THAT WAS A QUESTION FOR THE COLLEAGUES IN TERMS OF DO YOU ALL FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT LEAVING THAT LANGUAGE IN THERE SO WE CAN GIVE THE PROGRAMS THE ABILITY TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A BROAD SCOPE IF THEY HAVE TO CREATE A NEW PROGRAM?

>> PRESIDENT PRO TEM, CAN I SPEAK TO THAT?

>> YEAH, COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU. AS I UNDERSTAND THE LANGUAGE, IT'S THAT IT CAN ONLY BE USED TO REIMBURSE CURRENT EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDING NONPROFITS, SO WE WOULD NOT BE CREATING AND WOULD NOT BE FUNDING A CURRENT, A NONEXISTENT NONPROFIT OR A NONPROFIT THAT CURRENTLY DOES NOT HAVE EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDS. ALL OF OUR COMMUNITY MINISTRIES CURRENTLY HAVE FUNDS. THE NEXT SECTION IS TO ONLY BE USED TO REIMBURSE CURRENT EAF NONPROFITS, OR FUND METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS. I DON'T VIEW THAT AS SAYING A NEW METRO

PROGRAM COULDN'T BE CREATED, ONLY THAT IT WOULD HAVE TO BE A METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAM OR EAF THAT'S ALREADY BEEN FUNDED, THEREFORE, APPROVED BY COUNCIL.

>> COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. COLLEAGUES, I TAKE THE POSITION TONIGHT THAT'S A VERY DIFFICULT POSITION TO TAKE. NOT A POPULAR POSITION. ONE THAT I COULD BE EASILY CRITICIZED FOR, SO I WANT TO EXPLAIN MYSELF. I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF TONIGHT'S LEGISLATION FOR TWO REASONS. FIRST AND FOREMOST IS WE INITIALLY LOOKED AT THIS AS AN EMERGENCY SITUATION. WE DIDN'T HAVE THE FEDERAL AID PACKAGE CLEARED THROUGH CONGRESS YET. WE DID NOT HAVE A NUMBER OF OTHER DOLLARS AVAILABLE, SO THE ARGUMENT WAS MADE AT THAT TIME, IT IS AN EMERGENCY. IT SHOULDN'T GO TO DEBATE, IT SHOULDN'T GO TO REGULAR COMMITTEE TO BE HEARD FROM VARIOUS OTHER MATTERS, INCLUDING THE CITY'S PRESENT FINANCIAL SITUATION. THAT HAS CHANGED. SINCE THIS WAS INITIALLY TALKED ABOUT AND PUT PEN TO PAPER, SINCE THEN, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS PASSED AID FOR THIS VIRUS IN THIS SITUATION. WE'VE HAD THE GOVERNOR, WHO'S EXPANDED UNEMPLOYMENT. LESSENERED THE RESTRICTIONS, SO THAT AID IS COMING OUT. WE'VE HAD MEMBERS OF THIS COMMUNITY STEP FORWARD. EVERYONE FROM CHRISTIE BROWN, TO VARIOUS OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND BUSINESSES STEP FORWARD TO RIGHT NOW THE TUNE OF \$3.6 MILLION, WHICH IS EVEN MORE THAN THIS \$2.7 THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. SO THE EMERGENCY SITUATION IN MY MIND

HAS STEPPED BACK. NOW, MY CONCERN ON THE OTHER END IS THE FINANCIAL SITUATION THE CITY FACES. THIS IS NOT GOING TO GO AWAY IN A FEW WEEKS. IT'S JUST NOT. THIS IS GOING TO BE A THREE TO SIX-MONTH ORDEAL FOR US. PLAIN AND SIMPLE. I MEAN, ALL THE SOCIAL DISTANCING WE DO TODAY, THAT KEEPS SOME PEOPLE FROM GETTING IT, IF WE LIFT THOSE RESTRICTIONS IN A COUPLE WEEKS, THE END RESULT IS THOSE PEOPLE ARE STILL AT RISK. WE'RE IN THIS FOR THE LONG HAUL. I LOOK -- WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THIS FROM THE WORST-CASE SCENARIO, NOT THE BEST CASE. BUT FROM THE WORST CASE. PREPARE FOR THE WORST CASE. THE WORST-CASE SCENARIO TELLS ME THIS, THE \$2.7 MILLION WE HAVE TODAY THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING AS SURPLUS, TECHNICALLY, IT'S REALLY NOT. IT'S WHAT'S GOING TO BALANCE OUR BUDGET THIS YEAR. WE ARE FACING A FINANCIAL CRISIS LIKE WE'VE NEVER FACED BEFORE. ONE THAT WE HAVEN'T FACED IN 90 YEARS, NOT SINCE THE GREAT DEPRESSION WHEN WE HAD BLACK THURSDAY AND STOCK MARKET CRASHED AND TEN YEARS OF FOLKS WITH FOOD LINES, HOOVERVILLES AND HOMELESSNESS. ALREADY PRIOR TO THIS VIRUS AND THIS CATASTROPHE TAKING PLACE, WE TALKED ABOUT PEOPLE FALLING THROUGH THE CRACKS. PRIOR TO THIS, YOU KNOW, TO THIS YEAR. LAST YEAR, END OF LAST YEAR, WE HAD PEOPLE FALLING THROUGH THE CRACKS. THOSE PEOPLE BEING HOMELESS PEOPLE, THOSE PEOPLE BEING FOLKS WHO DON'T GET ALL THE FOOD NUTRITION THEY NEED. THIS IS ONLY COMPOUNDED IT MUCH WORSE, ABSOLUTELY. BUT AS WE RECOGNIZED IN THE PAST, AS MUCH AS WE WANT TO HELP EVERYONE, WE'VE GOT TO

BALANCE THE CITY BUDGET. WE'VE GOT TO KEEP THINGS GOING FORWARD. AND THAT'S MY GREATEST CONCERN RIGHT NOW, IS WE HAVE AN \$18.9 MILLION SURPLUS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR. THAT'S GONE. THAT'S GONE. THE LACK OF OCCUPATIONAL TAXES AND REVENUES THAT ARE FLOWING INTO THIS CITY BECAUSE OF THE SHUTDOWN WILL NULLIFY THAT. WE WON'T BE IN A POSITION TO RAISE TAXES TO RAISE REVENUE. YOU KNOW, I PROPOSE, AND WE GOT THREE TAXES I PRESENTLY HAVE BEFORE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THAT ARE TABLED RIGHT NOW WAITING FOR BUDGET TIME. ONE IS THE YUM CENTER TICKET TAX, THE SURCHARGE, ONE IS A CAR RENTAL TAX, THE OTHER IS ONE QUARTER OF 1% FOR RESTAURANT TAX. THOSE ARE ALL OFF THE TABLE NOW AS FAR AS REVENUE GENERATING TO TRY AND HELP US GET THE CITY BACK ON ITS FEET. THEY ARE OFF THE TABLE, BECAUSE NUMBER ONE, THE REALITY, IF THIS GOES THREE TO SIX MONTHS, THE LAST THING I CAN DO IS TAX THE YUM CENTER, BECAUSE THE REALITY IS THEY'LL BE LUCKY IF THEY CAN MAKE THEIR BOND PAYMENT SIX MONTHS TO A YEAR FROM NOW WITH THEIR LACK OF REVENUES. THE RESTAURANTS, I CAN'T TAX THE RESTAURANT INDUSTRY, BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, THE SUFFERING THAT'S GOING ON THERE. OUR REVENUE STREAM IS DRYING UP. THE QUESTION IS GOING TO BE, WHEN THIS NEXT BUDGET ROLLS AROUND, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO CUT TO THE BONE. IF YOU THOUGHT LAST YEAR'S CUTS WERE TERRIBLE, THERE'S NO POTENTIAL FOR TAX RELIEF. WE WILL BE CUTTING MORE AND MORE SERVICES. ALREADY LAST YEAR WE WERE UPSET ABOUT THE SERVICES WE HAD TO

CUT, THAT ULTIMATELY HELPED SOME PEOPLE. WE WILL HAVE TO MAKE THOSE EVEN TOUGHER CHOICES. THIS \$2.7 MILLION WE CHOOSE TODAY TO SPEND IS NOT EXTRA MONEY OUT THERE, NOT MYSTERY CASH THAT WE SHOULD BE CONSIDERING DIPPING INTO. AND BY RUSHING THIS ORDINANCE THROUGH TONIGHT AS AN EMERGENCY, WE'VE NOT HAD A CHANCE FOR THE CITY FINANCIAL FOLKS TO COME HERE AND TALK TO US. DANIEL FROCK TO COME IN AND GIVE US THE FORECAST OF JUST HOW BAD THIS IS LIKELY GOING TO BE. HOW MUCH MONEY ARE WE LOSING FROM THIS BUDGET? HOW FAR BEHIND ARE WE GOING TO BE? WE'RE NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE CAN'T GO AND BORROW AN EXTRA BILLION DOLLARS. WE GOT TO BALANCE OUR BUDGET. SO THIS \$2.7 TODAY IS SHORTSIGHTED IN A WAY. AND TO SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, THIS IS A LAST RESORT, I DON'T THINK SO. I THINK IT WILL GET SPENT. YOU KNOW, THIS IS NOT -- THIS CORONAVIRUS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS JUST AFFECTING POOR PEOPLE. THIS IS GOING TO AFFECT MIDDLE-CLASS FOLKS, WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE, IT COULD EVEN AFFECT THE PROFESSIONALS, YOUR DENTISTS, WHO AREN'T GOING TO HAVE INCOME NOW FOR A WHILE. YOUR DOCTORS, LAWYERS, YOU KNOW, YOU NAME IT. ANY BUSINESS OUT THERE IS GETTING CRIPPLED BY THIS THING. AND SO FOR US TO GO AND SPEND MONEY NOW WITHOUT CONSIDERING ALL THE RAMIFICATIONS, WELL, YES, IT SEEMS LIKE THE VALIANT THING TO DO, AND IT MAY GARNER A LOT OF CHEERS, BUT WILL COME AT A PRICE TAG, AND THAT PRICE TAG IS CERTAIN, AND THAT PRICE TAG WE WILL BE DEALING WITH IN JUNE. THIS \$2.7 MILLION IS MUCH MORE WHEN THE

BUDGET TIME ROLLS AROUND. AND THAT IS MY CONCERN. I MEAN, I DON'T SEE ANY LIGHT AT THE END OF THIS TUNNEL. I THINK THIS IS MUCH WORSE THAN ANYBODY'S TALKING ABOUT. THE FINANCIAL REPERCUSSIONS WILL BE FELT FOR A LONG, LONG TIME. ONE THAT OUR GENERATION HAS NEVER FELT. AND THAT SCARES THE HECK OUT OF ME. AND THAT GIVES ME CAUSE FOR PAUSE TO SAY LET THE FEDERAL DOLLARS COME IN. LET THE OTHER DOLLARS COME IN. HOLD THIS BACK, THOUGH, UNTIL WE CAN GET A GREATER GRASP ON WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT RATHER THAN RUSHING OFF TO AID. LET'S DO IT INTELLIGENTLY. YOU KNOW? I SEE NO REASON TO RUSH TONIGHT. I WOULD HOPE THAT WE WOULD PUT THE BRAKES ON THIS AND HAVE SOME MORE DISCUSSION. BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, I RECOGNIZE I DON'T HAVE THE VOTES. YOU KNOW, THE VOTES ARE OUT THERE FOR THIS. AND THIS WILL CARRY FORWARD, BUT I JUST WANT YOU ALL TO UNDERSTAND THAT IN JUNE, WE WILL BE CUTTING THINGS TO THE BONE. \$2.7 MILLION WILL MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE WHEN WE CUT AS DEEP AS WE WILL IN JUNE TO MAKE THE CITY'S BUDGET BALANCE BASED UPON THE LOSSES WE HAD. YOU KNOW, THERE WAS TALK OF OTHER VIRUSES THAT WE FACE, THE H1N1 AND THINGS LIKE THAT. NONE OF THOSE ARE AFFECTING THE ECONOMY, THE WORLD ECONOMY, THE WAY THIS IS. IF YOU WONDER WHERE WE'RE GOING, JUST LOOK AT ITALY. ITALY CAME AND HAD QUARANTINE 11 DAYS BEFORE US. FOLLOW WHAT'S GOING ON THERE. THAT IS A PRECURSOR TO WHAT WE WILL FACE, AND I WOULD HOPE WE HOLD BACK THE \$2.7 MILLION, RECOGNIZING THE OTHER AID IS COMING THROUGH, BUT EITHER WAY, WE'RE GOING TO PAY

A PRICE FOR THIS, SO MY CHOICE TODAY IS NOT TO REJECT HELPING PEOPLE. AID IS ON THE WAY. MY CHOICE TODAY IS TO RECOGNIZE THAT I'VE GOT A CITY BUDGET THAT'S ALSO MEANT TO HELP PEOPLE, AND I'VE GOT TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO KEEP THAT MOVING FORWARD ALSO, AND I THINK THAT SPENDING THIS MONEY TODAY IS NOT CAUTIOUS, KNOWING THE TROUBLES WE WILL FACE COME JUNE. WITH THAT BEING SAID, I APPRECIATE YOUR CONSIDERATION OF TODAY, AND THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON. JUST TO GIVE EVERYBODY VISIBILITY INTO THE QUEUE, SO YOU CAN PREPARE, I HAVE COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI, FOWLER, PARKER, YATES, AND KRAMER, IN THAT ORDER. COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. LET ME START BY SAYING THANK GOODNESS COUNCILMAN ACKERSON ISN'T THE LAST VOICE THAT WE'RE GOING TO HEAR TODAY. THAT WAS THE GLOOMIEST SPEECH I'VE HEARD IN MY LIFE. AND ALTHOUGH I SHARE SOME OF HIS CONCERN, I DO WANT TO SAY I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT UPON ELECTED OFFICIALS, AND IF YOU READ MY RECENT NEWSLETTER, IF YOU READ THE STATEMENT I PUT OUT TODAY, AND I'LL COVER SOME OF IT IN A SECOND, I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS IT'S INCUMBENT UPON US TO DO IS NOT -- IS TO BE REALISTIC AND SIMULTANEOUSLY NOT SAY THINGS LIKE "FOOD LINES AND SOUP KITCHENS" AND, YOU KNOW, OTHER THINGS IN THE PUBLIC SPHERE WHEN WE DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S GOING TO BE TRUE. AND WE DON'T KNOW IT'S NOT GOING TO BE TRUE. AND THEREIN LIES, I THINK, WHERE I'LL EXPRESS MY SUPPORT FOR THIS ORDINANCE, BUT I WANT TO EXPRESS THE

THEME OF EVERY COMMENT WE'VE HEARD SO FAR, AND THAT'S UNCERTAINTY. I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THIS ORDINANCE, BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, CITIES HAVE TO BE PRACTICAL. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO BE A STRATEGIC, THEORETICAL, HIGH-LEVEL POLICY MAKER IN A CITY, BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO DEAL WITH THE REALITY ON THE GROUND. IN REALITY, THE MAYOR, FOR EXAMPLE, HAS VERY LITTLE TO NO CONTROL OVER WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THIS CITY. HE IS SIMPLY REACTING TO AND DOING THE BEST HE CAN UNDER CDC, FEDERAL GUIDELINES COMING DOWN FROM THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION, AND THEN STATE GUIDELINES AND EXECUTIVE ACTION COMING FROM FRANKFORT AND THE BESHEAR ADMINISTRATION. SO I SEE THIS ORDINANCE, AGAIN, I SHARE SOME OF THE COMMENTS COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON. I WOULD RATHER -- I VOICED MY CONCERNS LAST WEEK. I WISH WE WOULD HAVE WAITED A LITTLE BIT LONGER, BUT THE CONTROLS WE PUT IN PLACE ARE SUBSTANTIAL. A THREE-PERSON PANEL WILL REVIEW, AND WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO PULL BACK EVERY QUARTER MILLION IF WE SEE THE SITUATION ON THE GROUND CHANGING AND WE BELIEVE IT'S PRUDENT TO PULL THE MONEY BACK. AND I WILL BE WATCHING. I WILL BE WATCHING. SO I WILL SUPPORT THIS ORDINANCE, BECAUSE ON THE GROUND, THE REALITY IS, TODAY, 40,000 FOOD SERVICE WORKERS ARE LAID OFF. A FRIEND OF MINE WHO MANAGES MULTIPLE HOTELS IN THIS CITY LAID OFF 75% OF THEIR WORKFORCE. IN A WEEK. AND I DON'T CARE HOW FAST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR THE STATE MOVES. IT WON'T BE FAST ENOUGH IN THE IMMEDIATE TERM, BUT

COMING BACK TO THIS CONCEPT OF UNCERTAINTY, I PUT OUT A STATEMENT TODAY, AND I'M NOT GOING TO READ IT OR ANYTHING, BUT JUST TO SUM UP. I'M CALLING ON, AND I THINK ALL OF US NEED TO CALL ON NOT JUST PRESIDENT TRUMP AND THE FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION, BUT ALSO GOVERNOR BESHEAR AND THE STATE ADMINISTRATION TO BE FAR MORE CLEAR ABOUT WHAT WE ARE AIMING AT AND WHAT IS THE GOAL. I FEEL LIKE, UNFORTUNATELY, BEING SOMEBODY THAT SERVED IN IRAQ, THAT WE ARE STEPPING INTO THE DOMESTIC VERSION OF THE IRAQ WAR. WE WENT IN WITH ALL WELL GOOD INTENTIONS, AND WE HAD NO EXIT STRATEGY. RIGHT NOW, NOBODY CAN TELL ME OR TELL THE PUBLIC WHAT IS THE EXIT STRATEGY. THAT'S WHY WE SEE, ALBEIT THIS FAR SMALL, COULD BE WAY WORSE, RUNS ON GROCERY STORES. WHAT WE ARE AIMING FOR IS NOT A CURE. UNFORTUNATELY, VIRUSES COME, THEY ARE DEVASTATING, THEY KILL PEOPLE. WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO STOP THAT. WHAT WE ARE STOPPING IS THE OVERWHELMING OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH CARE SYSTEM HERE, WHERE WE WOULD LITERALLY HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION ABOUT PEOPLE, ABOUT A GROUP OF PEOPLE, WHO WE COULD SAVE ALL OF THEM IF WE HAD A VENTILATOR OR A BED OR A DOCTOR. SOME OF THEM WILL SEND HOME TO DIE AND SOME OF THEM WE'LL SAVE. THAT'S THE DECISION ITALY HAD TO MAKE AND THAT'S WHY WE MADE THE SHORT-TERM, SHORT TERM HOPEFULLY, SHORT-TERM DRASTIC MEASURES TO STOP THE SPREAD OF THE VIRUS. THE TARGET IS A COMBINATION OF A CHANGE IN THE TRAJECTORY OF THE VIRUS AND THE CAPACITY OF OUR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM. AND WHAT I WOULD HOPE TO SEE IS DURING

GOVERNOR BESHEAR'S DAILY PRESS CONFERENCES, WHICH ARE INFORMATIVE, VERY HELPFUL, THAT AT THE END OF EACH ONE, IT IS, HERE'S THE GOAL, PEOPLE. WE'RE AT "X" STAGE OF THE GOAL, AND WHEN WE GET TO THE GOAL, WE CAN START RAMPING BACK THESE RESTRICTIONS. AND TODAY, WE'RE MEETING THE GOAL, SO WE'RE ON TRACK. MAYBE THE ANSWER IS, TODAY WE'RE OFF THE GOAL A LITTLE BIT. WE NEED TO PICK IT UP, REALLY HUNKER DOWN. OR MAYBE WE'RE AHEAD OF THE CURVE A LITTLE BIT. THEREFORE, WE CAN MAYBE SEE THESE RESTRICTIONS PULL BACK A LITTLE EARLIER. THIS IS THE KIND OF CERTAINTY FROM GOVERNMENT THAT WOULD RELAX THE PUBLIC, THAT WOULD CREATE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE WE WOULD SEE LESS PEOPLE HOARDING GOODS, AND YOU COULD HAVE IN THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY RELATED TO LAYOFFS AND UNEMPLOYMENT, SOME PLANNING. BUSINESSES NEED CERTAINTY SO THEY CAN PLAN. WHEN CAN THEY HIRE PEOPLE, HOW LONG DO THEY NEED TO HOARD CASH, WHAT DO THEY NEED TO GET OVER, IS IT TWO MONTHS, THREE, FOUR MONTHS? I'M NOT SAYING GOVERNOR BESHEAR IS GOING TO GIVE US A DATE, BUT A TARGET BASED ON A MATHEMATICAL EQUATION THAT HAS TO DO WITH CAPACITY AND DISEASE STATE PROGRESSION. SO I AM GOING TO SUPPORT THIS ON THE GROUND. I THINK WE PUT SOME GREAT PROTECTIONS HERE. I'M GOING TO BE WATCHING VERY CLOSELY TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE ABIDED BY. I THINK THEY ARE THE RIGHT THING TO DO, A REASONABLE DOLLAR AMOUNT. ONE THAT IS LIMITED. THERE WON'T BE ANYMORE MONEY. THE CITY IS NOT EQUIPPED, AS COUNCILMAN ACKERSON SAID, TO RUN LONG-TERM SUPPORT

AND WELFARE PROGRAMS. WE JUST CAN'T DO IT. WE'RE NOT BUILT FOR THAT. STATE IS, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS, WE ARE NOT. BUT IT'S A SMALL SACRIFICE TO MAKE FOR NOW, AND I'M FINE WITH MAKING IT. BUT I'M CALLING ON OUR STATE AND FEDERAL FOR US NOT TO WAIT ON THEIR WHIMS AND FANCIES AND THEY ARE MAKING DECISIONS THAT HAVE EXTREME LONG-TERM IMPLICATIONS WITHOUT GIVING US A TARGET TO SHOOT FOR. AND WHEN THEY GIVE US THAT TARGET, THEY WILL GET BETTER BUY-IN FROM THE PUBLIC ABOUT HOW TO ACHIEVE IT. SO WE NEED A TARGET, AND I'M CALLING ON THE STATE AND FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION TO PRODUCE THAT TARGET, AND I CALL ON MY COLLEAGUES AND THE PUBLIC TO HELP US DEMAND THAT TARGET. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. SO I TALKED WITH YOU, COUNCILMAN, ABOUT A CONCERN I HAVE WITH THE -- NOT NECESSARILY WITH THE THREE-PERSON PANEL, BUT THE NEED FOR THE EXTERNAL AGENCY THAT ARE GOING TO THE RECIPIENTS OF THESE FUNDS TO COME TOGETHER PRIOR TO ANY MONIES GOING OUT AND BEING DISTRIBUTED, TO MAKE SURE THAT WE MEET AND BEST PRACTICES ARE TALKED ABOUT PRIOR TO ANY DECISIONS BEING MADE. I JUST THINK THAT THE PEOPLE THAT ARE ON THE FRONT LINES DOING THIS WORK EVERY DAY SHOULD BE ABLE TO EXPRESS AND MAKE SURE THAT THEIR EXPERIENCES ARE PORTRAYED TO THIS THREE-PERSON PANEL. SO I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO ADD THAT INTO THE LANGUAGE.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, CAN YOU EXPLAIN.

>> I'M ASKING FOR A MEETING OF ALL EXTERNAL AGENCY PARTNERS, INCLUDING THE THREE-PERSON PANEL, PRIOR TO ANY FUNDS BEING DISTRIBUTED, TO GAUGE THE NEEDS, BEST PRACTICES, AND TO IDENTIFY ALL VOICE IN ALL DEMOGRAPHICS WITHIN METRO.

>> THAT'S THE MOTION. IS THERE A SECOND?

>> I'LL SECOND THAT MOTION.

>> OKAY, WE HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCILMAN YATES. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT? I'LL START A SECOND QUEUE, STARTING WITH COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH SPECIFIC TO THIS AMENDMENT. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES --

>> SO TEXT IN AGAIN IF YOU HAVE A QUESTION. THE QUEUE FOR THE EXISTING IS PARKER, YATES, KRAMER, HOLLANDER, AND SEXTON SMITH. OKAY, SO I WILL HOLD THAT QUEUE. WE WILL START A NEW QUEUE SPECIFIC TO THIS AMENDMENT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. SO, COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, I WANTED TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTOOD THE AMENDMENT. ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU WANT TO HAVE A MEETING OF EVERY EXTERNAL AGENCY THAT WE HAVE CURRENTLY THAT WE OPERATE WITH TO MEET ALONG WITH THE THREE PANEL MEMBERS?

>> NO, MA'AM. I WANT THE PERTINENT EXTERNAL AGENCIES THAT DEAL WITH CRISIS SITUATIONS CONCERNING COMMUNITY MINISTRIES,

NEIGHBORHOOD PLACE, MAYBE DARE TO CARE, BUT THOSE THAT WOULD BE SEEKING FUNDS, I JUST THINK THAT WE HAVE A LOT TO LEARN FROM THEM, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY EDUCATIONAL FOR THE THREE-PERSON PANEL TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY SEE AND DEAL WITH EVERY DAY. SO IT'S NOT FOR EVERY EXTERNAL AGENCY, BUT ONLY THE ONES THAT WOULD BE PERTINENT TO FOOD CRISIS AND I GUESS HOUSING, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THIS PERTAINS TO.

>> SO A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION TO THAT WOULD BE THEN, DO WE HAVE A LIST TO THOSE AGENCIES BEFORE WE WERE TO VOTE ON THIS AMENDMENT SO WE WOULD KNOW JUST WHO WE ARE ASKING TO BE INCLUDED IN THAT MEETING?

>> WELL, I MEAN, WE HAVE A WHOLE LIST OF EXTERNAL AGENCY PARTNERS THAT I WOULD THINK THEY WOULD COME FORWARD ASKING FOR FUNDS. I THINK WE ALL KNOW WHO THEY ARE, FOR THE MOST PART. DO YOU WANT TO IDENTIFY THEM IN THE LANGUAGE?

>> IF THAT WAS A DIRECT QUESTION TO ME, I DON'T FEEL THE NEED TO IDENTIFY THEM IN THE LANGUAGE, BECAUSE I DON'T FEEL THE NEED TO HAVE THIS MEETING AS IT'S STATED IN THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT BEFORE WE APPROPRIATE THIS FUNDING. THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

>> COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I SHARE COUNCILWOMAN SMITH'S OPINION. I DON'T THINK A MEETING LIKE THIS IS NECESSARY. AND, AGAIN, I THINK WE'RE IN THE MIDDLE OF AN EMERGENCY, AND I

THINK THAT THE HEAD OF THE UNITED WAY AND THE MAYOR AND THE METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT VERY WELL KNOW WHAT ALL THESE EXTERNAL AGENCIES THAT WE'VE BEEN FUNDING FOR YEARS AND YEARS ARE ALL ABOUT. WE WORK WITH THEM ALL THE TIME. WE KNOW THEIR LEADERSHIP, AND, YOU KNOW, AT THE RISK OF SCHEDULING SNAFU OR WHATEVER IT IS, I CERTAINLY HAVE ENOUGH FAITH AND TRUST IN THAT PANEL TO MAKE DECISIONS TO MANAGE MONEY THAT PRUDENT THING TO DO IS MOVE THE MONEY, MAKE IT AVAILABLE, TRUST THE LEADERS WORKING TOGETHER. WE HAVE GOOD REPORTING MECHANISMS, AND SO I WOULD VOTE AGAINST THE AMENDMENT, BUT OTHERWISE URGE MY COLLEAGUES TO GO AHEAD AND QUICKLY MOVE THIS MONEY, SO THAT THEY CAN GET GOING ASAP. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN. COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE?

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. JUST WANT TO SAY I CERTAINLY APPRECIATE THE SPIRIT OF COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER'S AMENDMENT, PARTICULARLY IN THE WAY OF TRYING TO ENSURE THERE IS A FEEDBACK MECHANISM. I THINK WITH THAT BEING SAID, JUST TO KIND OF ECHO WHAT MY COLLEAGUES HAVE STATED AROUND THE INTENT OF THIS IS TO EXPEDITE FUNDS, AND THE MORE PARTNERS AND VOICES YOU HAVE AT THE TABLE, THE MORE POTENTIAL THERE IS TO KIND OF GIVE UP THE WORK, SO TO SPEAK. AND SO I THINK WITH THAT IN MIND, I WOULD SUPPORT THE THREE-PERSON PANEL, BUT I DO WANT TO GO BACK TO WHAT I SAID AT THE BEGINNING, WHICH WAS FOR ALL THIS INTEREST WE HAVE CURRENTLY, MAKING SURE WE HAVE THAT CURRENT INTEREST WHEN IT

COMES TO FOLLOWING HOW THE PANEL'S MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT GETS FUNDED AND MAKING SURE THAT WE'RE PROVIDING WHAT WE'RE HEARING FROM, SAY, OUR AREA MINISTRIES TO COUNCIL PRESIDENT OR TO THE ADMINISTRATION. SO JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I SUPPORT MAKING SURE THAT WE'RE PROVIDING THAT CONDUIT OF INFORMATION THAT WE'RE HEARING FROM OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS, AND NOT WANTING TO SEE US CREATE MORE CHALLENGES, WHICH WOULD THEN LIMIT EXPEDITING FUNDS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE. COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRO TEM. ACTUALLY, I'LL YIELD THE FLOOR. COUNCILMAN COAN ADDRESSED MY QUESTIONS ABOUT MUDDYING THE WATERS OR SECOND-GUESSING SOME OF THE LANGUAGE WE HAVE IN THERE. SO HIS RESPONSE IS GOOD, AS WELL AS COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE, SO -- EXCUSE ME. THAT'S GOOD. MY QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ANSWERED. THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN YATES?

>> THANK YOU. LOOKING AT COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER'S AMENDMENT, AND I THINK THAT IT ALL DEPENDS ON HOW YOU WORD IT. I LOOK AT IT, IF IT'S WORDED THAT IT'S A REQUEST, THE REQUEST THEY MEET, I DON'T SEE IT COULD BE HARMFUL. I THINK THAT IF ANYONE IS COMING TO ASK FOR INDIVIDUAL FUNDS, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE PANEL, AND I WOULD ASSUME THEY WOULD, WOULD KNOW WHAT THE FUNDS ARE GOING TO BE USED FOR AND HOW. AND SO, OBVIOUSLY, AT THE END OF IT, THERE WILL BE A REVIEW FOR REIMBURSEMENT TO SHOW IT'S BEEN EXPENDED AND EVIDENCE OF THE LOSS STRICTLY ASSOCIATED WITH

THE EPIDEMIC AND INDIVIDUAL NEED, BUT MY BIGGEST FEAR IS IN SIX TO EIGHT WEEKS WHEN WE LOOK AND WE FIND AREAS THAT WE HAVEN'T PREPARED FOR, SOME OF THE MIDDLE CLASS EVEN THAT HAVE BEEN PUT IN POSITIONS THEY'VE NEVER BEEN IN, AND HOPE THAT'S NOT TRUE, BUT IF SOME FOR ASSISTANCE THAT DON'T KNOW HOW TO NAVIGATE THE WATERS, THERE MAY BE SOME AREAS WE'RE NOT PREPARED OR EQUIPPED TO DEAL WITH. SO I THINK CONVERSATIONS, OBVIOUSLY, WHICH I WOULD ASSUME IS GOING TO BE HAPPENING, HAS BEEN HAPPENING, HAPPENING AMONGST MYSELF AND OUR COLLEAGUES, INDIVIDUALS, BUT I BELIEVE THE SPIRIT, AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG. I NEVER MEAN TO SPEAK FOR COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, BUT THE SPIRIT WOULD BE THERE'S A REQUEST FOR CONVERSATION, DISCUSSION, BEFORE FUNDS ARE PUT OUT. DOESN'T LOOK LIKE IT'S MANDATORY. DOESN'T LOOK LIKE YOU WOULD EVEN FORCE AN OVERALL MEETING, BUT I THINK THERE WOULD BE LANGUAGE IN THERE THAT IT'S THE EXPECTATION. IF IT NEEDS TO BE CLARIFIED OR CHANGED, I COULD OFFER THAT. I THINK, IF THAT'S HER INTENTION.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER?

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN YATES. I WANT TO CLARIFY THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TRUST OR DISTRUST. THIS HAS EVERYTHING TO DO WITH MAKING SURE THAT THE PEOPLE ON THE GROUND AND DOING THIS WORK EXPLAIN TO THIS PANEL WHAT THEY SEE EVERY DAY AND WHAT VOICE THEY SEE IN THE SYSTEM. AND I WOULDN'T HAVE ASKED FOR THIS HAD I NOT HAD A REQUEST FROM THREE DIFFERENT AGENCIES TO HAVE

THIS CONVERSATION PRIOR TO ANYTHING HAPPENING. THEY WANT TO BE HEARD AND EVERYBODY TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY DEAL WITH EVERY DAY. SO IT'S NOTHING TO DO WITH DISTRUST.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER. COUNCILMAN FOX?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. THIS BILL HAS EMERGENCY IN THE TITLE. IT WAS LISTED AS AN EMERGENCY ON OUR AGENDA, AND WE EVEN SUSPENDED OUR RULES TO ADDRESS AN EMERGENCY. THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THIS IS. THERE ARE A MILLION GOOD REASONS NOT TO DO THIS. I AGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON. YOU KNOW, I SPOKE TO THIS EARLIER, AND I'LL SAY IT TO THE GENERAL BODY, BUT THE MOVES THAT WERE MADE, THIS FUNDING WAS INITIALLY GOING TO ADDRESS OUR PENSION ISSUE. THAT PROBLEM JUST GOT WORSE. IN 2014, THE LEGISLATURE TOOK ACTION TO ADDRESS OUR PENSION. THEY DID IT AGAIN IN '16. WE ADJUSTED THE ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS TO ADDRESS OUR PENSION. ALL THE ECONOMISTS AND ALL THE ACTUARIES SAY WE'RE ON A GOOD PATH, BUT EVERY ONE OF THEM SAY IT'S DEPENDENT ON THE ECONOMY. WELL, WE JUST SAW THE BOTTOM DROP OUT OF THAT ECONOMY. THIS FUNDING HAS GOT BACKSTOPS, IT'S GOT GUARDRAILS, AND IT SERVES AS A BRIDGE LOAN, IF YOU WILL, FOR MONEY THAT WILL HOPEFULLY COME FROM THIS COMMONWEALTH AND, HOPEFULLY, COME FROM THIS FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I DON'T SEE THE NEED. I THINK, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE COUNCILWOMAN'S AMENDMENT, IT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE THESE EAFs ARE WANTING TO MAKE A PITCH TO COME IN AND DO WHAT THEY DID DURING BUDGET TIME. WE

KNOW THEIR PITCH. WE KNOW WHAT THEY DO. THIS IS AN EMERGENCY.
THIS IS WHAT LEADERS DO. LEADERS SEE A PROBLEM AND THEY ADDRESS
IT AND THEY PIVOT QUICKLY. AND THEY ADDRESS THE PROBLEM, AND
THEY MOVE ON. MEASURING THEIR SUCCESSES AND THEIR FAILURES. THE
KEY WORD IN HERE IS MAKE THIS FUNDING AVAILABLE. AVAILABLE. IT
DOESN'T SAY SPEND IT. DOESN'T SAY GIVE IT TO ONE PERSON. IT SAYS
WHEN WE HAVE A DIRE NEED, IT'S AVAILABLE. THANK YOU, MR.
PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN FOX. SEEING NO ONE ELSE IN QUEUE,
WE'LL VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT. GIVEN THE DISCUSSION, MADAM CLERK,
I WILL ASK YOU TO DO A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> NO.

>> SO THIS IS VOTING ON THE -- COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER'S
AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN, STILL THE SAME VOTE?

>> COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, YES OR NO ON THE AMENDMENT, PLEASE?

>> NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Clerk: COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> WE CAN HEAR YOU.

>> NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCILWOMAN DORSEY.

>> NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> NO ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRANEY.

>> CAN YOU VOTE AGAIN, PLEASE, COUNCIL MEMBER McCRANEY?

HOLD ON JUST A MOMENT. OKAY, NOW PLEASE VOTE.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> I DON'T SEE WHERE IT HURTS FOR FOLKS TO GET TOGETHER,
YES ON THE AMENDMENT.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> APPRECIATING THE SPIRIT OF THE AMENDMENT, NO.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER.

>> NO, AS WELL, PLEASE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> NO.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. PRESIDENT PRO TEM, YOU
HAVE 23 NO VOTES AND THREE YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK, THE AMENDMENT FAILED. GOING BACK
TO THE ORIGINAL QUEUE, COUNCILWOMAN PARKER. SORRY, JUST SO

EVERYBODY KNOWS, I'VE GOT COUNCILWOMAN PARKER, YATES, KRAMER IN THE QUEUE. COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> YES, THANK YOU. I WISH WE WERE NOT IN THESE DIFFICULT TIMES. I'M WORRIED ABOUT THE SITUATION OF PEOPLE. I'M WORRIED ABOUT THE DIRE CONSEQUENCES FOR OUR ECONOMY, AND I AM HAPPY TO SIGN ON TO THIS ORDINANCE AFTER I READ IT, BECAUSE JUST TO ECHO SOME OF THE SENTIMENTS OF MY PEERS, IT DOES HAVE SOME MECHANISMS IN IT, WHICH SAYS THAT IF WE DELIVER THESE FUNDS, WE'RE GOING TO GET OUR FUNDS BACK. OUR FUNDS ARE GOING TO BE UTILIZED AFTER STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDS AND PRIVATE DONATIONS. SO I DO FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE WITH THAT, AND IF THE SITUATION ENDS UP BEING EXTREMELY DIRE, THESE COUPLE OF MILLION, OR MILLION THAT GETS SPENT, ISN'T REALLY GOING TO AMOUNT IN THE OVERALL SCHEME OF THINGS. IT'S NOT GOING TO AMOUNT TO THAT MUCH. NOW, COMING FROM A HEALTH CARE BACKGROUND, WE'VE ALWAYS BEEN TAUGHT, YOU LOOK AT YOUR HIERARCHY OF NEEDS, AND THOSE NEEDS, NUMBER ONE, IS FOOD. SO I DON'T KNOW WHO THE THREE PANELISTS ARE, WE KIND OF KNOW WHAT AGENCIES WE'RE WORKING WITH, BUT FIRST QUESTION, DO WE GET TO KNOW WHO THE THREE PANELISTS ARE, AND WHO ARE THOSE FOLKS? OH, OKAY, SO AT THE VERY BOTTOM?

>> DESIGNEE FROM THE MAYOR'S OFFICE, METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT OR DESIGNEE AND DESIGNEE FROM THE METRO UNITED WAY.

>> OKAY. SO ALL THAT BEING SAID, I'M HOPING THAT THE FOOD GETS TAKEN CARE OF FIRST, AND THEN UTILITIES AND SHELTER. THOSE

TWO KIND OF GO TOGETHER, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE PEOPLE CAN KEEP THEIR LIGHTS ON. I'M HOPING THAT WARM WEATHER HITS. GENERALLY, IN THE HOSPITAL, WE QUIT GIVING OUT FLU SHOTS IN THE SPRING, SO IF WE GET WARM WEATHER, LET'S JUST ALL SAY OUR PRAYERS THAT WE GET SOME WARM WEATHER HERE. BUT I JUST WANTED TO ASK A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT WOULD WE BE DOING DIFFERENT AS FAR AS EMERGENCY HOUSING RELATED SPECIFICALLY TO THE VIRUS. CAN ANYONE ANSWER THAT QUESTION FOR ME?

>> CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION, PLEASE?

>> SO AS FAR AS EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FOR HOUSING OR EMERGENCY HOUSING, WHAT WOULD WE BE DOING DIFFERENTLY IN RELATION TO THE VIRUS?

>> COUNCILWOMAN GEORGE, WOULD YOU CARE TO RESPOND?

>> CERTAINLY, THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I THINK THE IDEA, AND I'VE BEEN A BIG ADVOCATE FROM THE BEGINNING TRYING TO DIRECT AS MUCH MONEY AS POSSIBLE TO EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FOR HOUSING. AND THE THINKING BEHIND THAT IS THIS, WHILE I APPRECIATE EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE SAYING ANT HIERARCHY OF NEEDS AND THE NEEDS FOR FOOD, WE ALSO KNOW THAT IN THE COMMUNITY, THAT'S NOT WHAT WE'RE HEARING THE IMMEDIATE NEED IS. WE HAVE LOTS OF GREAT RESOURCES AROUND FOOD. I HAVE GREAT CONFIDENCE THAT IN THE WAY OF WHAT WILL BE COMING FROM THE FED WILL ENSURE THERE'S SOME LEVEL OF EXPEDITED S.N.A.P. BENEFITS FOR FOLKS, AND SO WITH THAT IN MIND WHAT WE KNOW WE'LL SEE AND WHAT WE CURRENTLY SEE IS THE

GAP TO ASSIST FOLKS, ASSURING THEY STAY IN THEIR HOMES, PARTICULARLY GIVEN THE CLIMATE THAT WE HAVE WHERE WE HAVE FOLKS WHO WORK IN THE HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY, WE KNOW ALL THE PROJECTIONS AROUND PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT WORKING. AND SO I THINK WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE NEED FOR EMERGENCY HOUSING ASSISTANCE, IT'S REALLY TO ENSURE THAT FOLKS ARE ABLE TO STAY IN THEIR HOMES AND NOT END UP HOMELESS WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE ARE --

>> THAT'S WHAT I WAS WANTING TO HEAR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN YATES, SORRY, BEFORE YOU BEGIN, SO EVERYBODY KNOWS, WE'RE JUST UNDER ONE HOUR IN, SO WE'VE GOT 37 MINUTES OF DEBATE REMAINING. I'VE GOT YATES, KRAMER, BARBARA SEXTON SMITH, ENGEL, AND PURVIS IN THE QUEUE. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. COLLEAGUES, WE'VE TALKED A LOT ABOUT WHAT MAY BE -- THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON, I ABSOLUTELY RESPECT HIS POSITION. IN FACT, YOU KNOW, COMING INTO THAT, I SHARE A LOT OF HIS POINTS AND WORRIES. I THINK AS DO SO MANY MEMBERS HERE IN THIS CHAMBER, BECAUSE NOT ONLY WHAT WE CAN PROVIDE NOW, IT'S WHAT WE WON'T BE ABLE TO PROVIDE IN THE NEAR FUTURE, AND I KNOW THAT WHEN FAMILIES ARE TRYING TO REBOUND AND COME BACK TOGETHER, AND WE AS A BODY HAVE TO CUT SERVICES

BECAUSE WE CAN'T MAKE ENDS MEET, THEY ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO FEEL THAT. SO THAT DOES WORRY ME, BUT I THINK THAT WE DO HAVE TWO RESPONSIBILITIES. ONE IS THAT SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY. THE OTHER IS THE ECONOMICALLY RESPONSIBLE THAT WE'VE MADE SURE THAT OUR LOCAL ECONOMY REBOUNDS QUICKLY AND STABILIZES. AND I THINK THAT HAVING A VERY FLOOR NET HELPS PEOPLE IN THEIR HOMES, KEEPS PEOPLE PRODUCTIVE, WHICH IS A LOT CHEAPER TO DEAL WITH THAN AFTER THEY'VE HAD DOOM AND GLOOM AND LOST THE HOME. I THINK WHAT COUNCILMAN GEORGE JUST POINTED OUT, RIGHT NOW THERE'S A LOT OF FOOD PROGRAMS, A LOT OF PLACES WHERE PEOPLE CAN EAT, AND I THINK IT'S SMART TO BE LOOKING AT WHAT HAPPENS IF THEY CAN'T PAY THEIR RENT FOR A FEW MONTHS OR HEAT BILL. THINGS LIKE THAT. BUT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN EIGHT WEEKS. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT SUPPLIES WILL BE. AND I THINK THAT IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT FOR US TO PLAN FOR THE FUTURE, AND SO THAT'S WHAT THE AMENDMENTS ON HERE THAT SHOWS THAT WE'RE ONLY PUTTING THIS OUT \$250,000 AT A TIME MAKES ME FEEL A LOT MORE COMFORTABLE.

>> CAN YOU HOLD, PLEASE? WE JUST LOST COUNCILMAN COAN. WE WILL SUSPEND THE MEETING. WE'RE EXPERIENCING TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND NEED TO SUSPEND THE MEETING UNTIL WE RESOLVE THE ISSUE. PLEASE DON'T DISCONNECT UNTIL WE RESOLVE WITH COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> JUST A REMINDER TO THOSE IN THE ROOM AND ONLINE, WE WILL RESUME IN FOUR MINUTES WHETHER OR NOT WE CONNECT WITH COUNCILMAN

COAN OR NOT, AS LONG AS WE STILL HAVE A QUORUM. DO NOT LEAVE.
THE MEETING WILL RESUME. WE ALSO LOST COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.
COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS IS BACK. THANK YOU. WHO ARE WE MISSING? NO,
SOMEBODY'S OFF. OKAY, HOLD ON. WE STILL HAVE TWO MORE MINUTES
FOR COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> OKAY. THANK YOU, EVERYBODY. WE HAVE RESUMED CONNECTION
WITH EVERYBODY. THE TECHNICAL ISSUE HAS BEEN RESOLVED. WE WILL
NOW RESUME THE MEETING, AND WE WILL RESTART THE TIMER ON THE
LIMITING DEBATE. COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. BEFORE WE ADJOURN FOR THE
DIFFICULTIES, I WAS MAKING A POINT THAT MANY OF US HAVE MADE
TODAY, AND I THINK THAT IT NEEDS TO BE MADE SURE THE COMMUNITY
UNDERSTANDS. I'M WORRIED THOSE WATCHING, THIS IS AN EMERGENCY.
BUT IT IS NOT AN EMERGENCY TRANSFER AT ONCE. IT IS AN EMERGENCY
THAT IS MAKING THE FUNDS AVAILABLE TO BE TRANSFERRED. AND SO
SOME OF THE STOP MEASURES THAT COUNCILMAN FOWLER SUGGESTED, I'M
HOPING THAT HAPPENS. WE'RE SENDING REPRESENTS FROM THE COUNCIL,
ADMINISTRATION, AND METRO UNITED WAY, WE TRUST WILL HAVE THOSE
CONVERSATIONS. WHAT MAKES ME FEEL COMFORTABLE VOTING FOR THIS,
AND DON'T GET ME WRONG, I'M ALWAYS WORRIED THERE WILL ALWAYS BE
SOME FRAUD, SOME TYPE OF GREED, SOME TYPE OF WASTE, BUT OUR JOB
IN THE SEATS THAT WE HAVE, WE DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO LIMIT THAT
TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY, AND I FEEL LIKE WE PUT SEVERAL
CHECKS IN PLACE, AND I THINK THAT IF THEY ARE DISCUSSING

BEFOREHAND, IF THE FUNDS ARE GIVEN OUT IN SMALL INCREMENTS AND LOOKED THROUGH WITH A BREAKDOWN OF HOW THE MONIES WERE SPENT AND THEY ARE ABLE TO KEEP TRACK OF WHO'S COMING TO THE PROGRAM, SO PEOPLE AREN'T USING SEVERAL DIFFERENT PROGRAMS. NOW WE HAVE THE CAPABILITY OF DOING THAT TRACKING. I THINK THAT REDUCES THE FRAUD, BECAUSE TO BE HONEST, THIS \$2.7 MILLION THAT WE'RE GIVEN, PLUS THE GIVEN IS REALLY GOING TO BE A DROP IN THE BUCKET. AND SO TO THOSE WATCHING, THEY FEEL MORE ASSURED, ALL THOSE THINGS, I'M GLAD THEY FEEL THAT WAY, BUT I DON'T WANT THEM TO BANK ON SOMEHOW LOCAL GOVERNMENT BAILING THEM OUT, BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO BE TOUGH FOR ALL OF US. I THINK WHAT IT DOES DO IS SHOWS OUR LEADERSHIP, AND I THINK WE'VE ALREADY SEEN PHILANTHROPISTS FILL IN AND FOLLOW THAT. IT SHOWS WE CARE ABOUT THE INDIVIDUALS, THE SMALL BUSINESSES, THE WORKING MEN AND WOMEN OF OUR COMMUNITY, AND THAT WE ALSO RELY ON THEM. AND THAT IF THEY ARE TO FAIL, WE FAIL TOGETHER. IT IS IMPORTANT THESE FUNDS ARE THERE, BECAUSE BESIDES THE FEDERAL AND STATE, WE KNOW THERE WILL BE PEOPLE THAT FALL WITHIN THE CRACKS AND WE HOPE WE CAN GO THROUGH AND HELP INDIVIDUALLY TO UP THOSE, BECAUSE ULTIMATELY, IT MAKES ECONOMIC SENSE AND IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

>> BEFORE WE MOVE ON, ONE, IF YOU'RE IN THE CHAMBERS AND SPEAKING, PLEASE SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE. THE OTHER THING, THE CLERK HAS ALERTED ME THAT WE ARE TECHNICALLY STILL DISCUSSING COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER'S AMENDMENT. AT THE END OF THE 90 MINUTES,

WE ARE REQUIRED TO VOTE ON WHATEVER ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. I DON'T BELIEVE, BASED ON THE DISCUSSION, THAT WE HAVE CONCERNS WITH COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER'S AMENDMENT, SO I WOULD -- OKAY, WE'VE LOST COUNCILMAN KRAMER. WE'RE GOING TO PAUSE AGAIN.

>> I CAN CALL THE QUESTION ON COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER'S AMENDMENT ON THAT.

>> WE'RE EXPERIENCING A TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY AND NEED TO SUSPEND THE ISSUE WHILE WE RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITH COUNCILMAN KRAMER. OKAY, WE'LL BE RESUMING THE MEETING IN ONE MINUTE. WE WILL RESUME THE MEETING IF COUNCILMAN KRAMER REJOINS, WE WILL RECOGNIZE HIM UPON REJOINING. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES, THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM. AND SO I SIGNED ON TO THIS EARLY ON, AND I HAVE CONTINUED TO BE VERY IMPRESSED WITH THE CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE, AND MANY FOLKS HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN DOING THAT. I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE CHANGES AS WE PROGRESSED THIS WEEK, BUT I DO EXTEND MY APPRECIATION. FOR THOSE OF YOU THAT STAYED WITH THIS AROUND THE CLOCK AND GOT IT TO THE POINT TO WHERE IT IS AN EMERGENCY FUND THAT DOES HAVE STOPGAPS, DOES HAVE MEASURES IN PLACE, SO WE CAN MAKE SURE WE, THIS BODY, JOINS OUR PHILANTHROPISTS WHO HAVE CONTRIBUTED \$3.6 MILLION IN THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS. WE'RE JOINING WITH THAT FEDERAL FUNDING WHENEVER IT'S GOING TO GET HERE. I THINK IT'S THE PRUDENT AND RESPONSIBLE THING FOR US TO DO AS THE LOCAL LEGISLATIVE BODY TO SAY WE, TOO, CAN ACT, AND WE

CAN ACT DECISIVELY, BECAUSE WE ARE AT WAR WITH THE VIRUS. THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THIS IS. AND NOT JUST THE 26 OF US ARE SOLDIERS IN THIS WAR. EVERYONE IN OUR COUNTRY IS A SOLDIER. WE'RE ALL ON THE FRONT LINES, AND WE HAVE GOT TO HAVE CERTAIN PEOPLE IN LEADING. I'VE NOT BEEN IN THE FRONT LINES IN WAR ZONES. COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI HAS SHARED STORIES OF HIS TIME IN THE MILITARY, AND WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR THAT. WE HAVE OTHER COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE SERVED. I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT IT'S LIKE, BUT IF I'M GOING TO BE IN A FOXHOLE, I WANT TO MAKE SURE I'VE GOT DECISIVE PEOPLE ON THE RIGHT AND THE LEFT OF ME, AND I PRAY TO GOD EVERY DAY THAT IF I WERE THE ONE THAT WERE LOOKED TO AND CALLED UPON, WHICH I AM THIS EVENING, I'M GOING TO VOTE YES, YES, MAKE THE DECISION. NOW, DO WE HAVE A TARGET TO OFFER? I THOUGHT THAT WAS A GREAT DESCRIPTION THAT WAS LAID OUT EARLIER, IS WHAT IS THE TARGET AND WHAT ARE WE GOING AFTER, AND I THOUGHT ABOUT THIS EVENING BEFORE I SPOKE. AND IF THERE'S GOING TO BE A TARGET, SOMEBODY'S GOT TO SET IT. AND FOR RIGHT NOW TODAY, A TARGET I WANT TO SET FOR ALL OF US AND EVERYONE IN OUR COUNTRY, WE MUST DO WHAT LEADERSHIP AND OUR SCIENCE AND MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS ARE TELLING US WE HAVE TO DO. SOCIAL DISTANCING IS NOT JUST A COOL IDEA. IT'S A MATTER OF LIFE AND DEATH. AND I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT ONE OR TWO. WE COULD BE LOOKING AT THOUSANDS. GOD HELP US. HOPEFULLY, IT'S NOT HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS. SO WHAT ARE WE FOCUSED ON? A TARGET RIGHT NOW. I'M BRINGING TO YOU AS POSSIBLY SOMETHING UNSEEN,

BECAUSE WE SHOULD NOT FOCUS ON JUST WHAT WE CAN SEE, BECAUSE WHAT WE SEE TODAY IS TEMPORARY. WE FOCUS ON THE UNSEEN, BECAUSE THE UNSEEN IS ETERNAL, AND THAT WILL SET US FREE. YES, IT WILL. SO I'LL BE VOTING FOR THIS, THIS EVENING, AND I WANT TO THANK PUBLICLY THE FOLKS WHO HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE ONE LOUISVILLE COVID-19 RESPONSE FUND THAT MAYOR FISCHER ANNOUNCED YESTERDAY. THAT \$3.6 MILLION COULD DOUBLE, TRIPLE, AND QUADRUPLE UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF MATTHEW BARSTON AND OTHERS THAT ALREADY STEPPED UP. PRESIDENT PRO TEM, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH. COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. COLLEAGUES, I STARTED THIS WEEK DOWN AT THE DEPARTMENT OF RESILIENCY WITH MY COLLEAGUE COUNCILWOMAN DONNA PURVIS ON THE EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDING PANEL. AND I WANTED TO CALL OUT THOSE FOLKS IN THAT DEPARTMENT TO ACCOMMODATE TECHNOLOGY-WISE FOR US TO SPEND THE ENTIRE DAY APPROPRIATING \$943,000 TO OUR EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDS. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO THOSE FOLKS. THEY DO AN OUTSTANDING JOB, AND SO WHEN I HEARD THAT THIS WAS MOVING TOWARDS THE DEPARTMENT OF RESILIENCY, THERE HAS TO BE -- WE HAVE TO HAVE FAITH IN THIS PROCESS, FOLKS. AND SO THAT WAS THE START. I ALSO, DURING THESE TROUBLING TIMES, CONSULT WITH MY SPOUSE, MY WIFE. AND SHE JUST COMPLETED HER SUCCESSFUL RADIATION THIS WEEK AFTER GETTING THE ALL-CLEAR FROM BREAST CANCER IN DECEMBER, AND SHE REMINDS ME OFTEN TO THINK IN THE PRESENT. WE IN GOVERNMENT SO

OFTEN THINK LONG TERM, AND RIGHTFULLY SO. WE HEARD FROM OUR COLLEAGUE, COUNCILMAN ACKERSON. TROUBLED WATERS LIE AHEAD IN JUNE. THAT'S -- I BELIEVE WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THE PRESENT. THIS LEGISLATION SPEAKS TO THE PRESENT WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS. WHAT I DO KNOW IN TALKING DIRECTLY TODAY AT THE DARE TO CARE PRODUCE DROP AT THE FERN CREEK UNITED MINISTRIES WITH THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR IS THAT SHE FEARS THAT HER NORMAL FOOD REQUEST AND UTILITY REQUESTS ARE GOING TO MOVE TO MORE HOUSING NEEDS. BUT SHE'S -- BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE UTILITY RELIEF, THAT'S HER GREATEST CONCERN AND NEED. SO AT THE END OF THE DAY, FOLKS, WE ARE INCUMBENT TO KEEP THE FAITH AND TO CALL ON SOMEBODY OF HIGHER BEING OUT THERE. AND WE HOPE -- WE CAN TALK ALL DAY LONG ABOUT WHO WE TRUST WITH MONEY, WE CAN HAVE MEETINGS. AT THE END OF THE DAY, THE FACT IS WE HAVE A SERIOUS ISSUE WITH HOW WE HAVE TO CONFRONT TODAY AND WE HAVE TO HOPE THAT THIS PARTICULAR PANEL IS GOING TO DO THE RIGHT THING FOR THE RIGHT PEOPLE, AND THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES. I KNOW EVERYBODY CARES DEARLY ABOUT WHERE WE'RE HEADED HERE, AND I THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM FOR CONDUCTING A VERY, VERY SERIOUS MEETING TONIGHT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU. THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN ENGEL. SEEING NO ONE ELSE IN QUEUE, I BELIEVE WE'RE READY TO VOTE. MADAM CLERK, WILL YOU PLEASE CONDUCT A ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRAHEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER. COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER.

>> YES, AND MADAM CLERK, LET THE RECORD REFLECT WE'VE BEEN
REJOINED BY COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> I'M REALLY NOT SURE WHAT WE'RE VOTING ON.

>> WE'RE VOTING ON THE ORDINANCE AS AMENDED.

>> I WAS HOPING FOR AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO OFFER A CLARIFICATION AND POSSIBLE AMENDMENT.

>> I'M SORRY, COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> DID YOU NOT WANT A STATEMENT, OR WHAT DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND?

>> I DON'T KNOW THAT WE CAN DO THAT. YEAH, CAN YOU HOLD ON ONE MOMENT? WE'RE GOING TO GET AN OPINION FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

>> MY UNDERSTANDING WAS IT WAS A TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY, THE MEETING WAS STOPPED UNTIL THE TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY WAS RESOLVED.

>> FOR FIVE MINUTES.

>> OH.

>> ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. SO IF THERE WAS A TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY WITH AN INDIVIDUAL MEMBER, WE HAD A FIVE-MINUTE PAUSE, BUT IF IT COULDN'T BE RESOLVED IN FIVE MINUTES, AS LONG AS THERE WAS QUORUM, THE MEETING CONTINUED. SO AT THIS POINT --

>> I THINK THE QUESTION IS STOPPING IN THE MIDDLE OF VOTING.

>> YEAH, AND I DON'T BELIEVE -- I THINK ONCE ROLL CALL HAS BEEN STARTED, THERE SHALL BE NO FURTHER DISCUSSION OR DEBATE.

>> COUNCILMAN KRAMER, I APOLOGIZE. THE CLERK HAS ASKED FOR YOUR VOTE.

>> WHAT'S THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE VOTE?

>> THERE ARE 24 YES VOTES, ONE PRESENT VOTE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES
AND ONE PRESENT VOTE.

>> THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION. DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> OKAY. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED? [
CHORUS OF NAYS] MADAM CLERK, CAN YOU DO A ROLL CALL VOTE,
PLEASE?

>> Clerk: THIS IS ON THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER. COUNCIL
MEMBER GREEN.

>> THIS IS TO ALLOW COUNCILMAN KRAMER TO MAKE HIS COMMENTS,
IS THAT WHAT'S THIS IS ABOUT?

>> MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

>> OKAY. YES.

>> COUNCILMAN KRAMER, WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT
FIRST, BEFORE WE CONSIDER THE MOTION?

>> APPARENTLY, THAT WOULD BE OUT OF ORDER. WOULD YOU LIKE
TO DISCUSS WHY YOU'RE MAKING A MOTION TO RECONSIDER? I THINK
PEOPLE ARE NOT SURE WHY THEY WOULD BE RECONSIDERING.

>> THERE'S A PIECE OF WHAT WE WERE DISCUSSING, AND I WAS IN
THE QUEUE. I THINK THAT'S A PART OF MY CONCERN, IS I WAS IN THE
QUEUE. I WAS NEXT WHEN THERE WAS A TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY. THEY

KICKED ME OFF. THERE WAS SOME CONVERSATION ABOUT WHO WOULD AND SHOULD RECEIVE THE BENEFIT AND HOW IT ALL WOULD BE FUNDED. I JUST WANTED TO OFFER SOME CLARIFICATION, OR IF NEEDED, ADD AN AMENDMENT. AND I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE CONVERSATION WAS AFTER I WAS EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING. I DON'T KNOW IF WHAT I WAS HOPING FOR WAS COVERED OR -- AT THIS POINT, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT I WAS EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING, KNOWING I WAS NEXT IN THE QUEUE, AS I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE ISSUE ITSELF. MR. PRESIDENT, IF IT'S YOUR DESIRE TO GO AHEAD AND, YOU KNOW, PUSH IT THROUGH, THEN THERE'S NO POINT IN MY BRINGING ANYTHING UP. I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT DUE TO A GLITCH IN THE INTERNET, I LOST MY OPPORTUNITY TO EVEN HAVE A CHANCE TO SPEAK. THE MEETING WENT ON WITHOUT ME, AND NOW MY ONLY RECOURSE IS A MOTION TO RECONSIDER, WHICH SOUNDED TO ME LIKE ON A VOICE VOTE I LOST.

>> AGAIN, IT'S NOT MY DESIRE TO PUSH ANYTHING THROUGH. I THINK IT'S A QUESTION FOR THE BODY WHETHER THEY WANT TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO RECONSIDER. I THINK THE QUESTION IS WHAT ARE WE RECONSIDERING. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO YOUR CONCERN.

>> I WOULD MAKE THE ARGUMENT AT THIS POINT THAT WHAT WE SHOULD BE DISCUSSING IS WHETHER OR NOT A MEMBER OF THIS BODY HAD A LEGITIMATE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE AND WHETHER OR NOT WE JUST WANT TO MOVE ON THE MEETING WITHOUT THEM. AND IF THAT'S THE

WILL OF THE BODY, I UNDERSTAND THAT. I JUST HAVE VERY STRONG RESERVATIONS ABOUT THAT. THERE WILL BE LOTS AND LOTS OF CONVERSATIONS ABOUT WHY TECHNOLOGY IS NOT THE BEST WAY, WHY THIS PARTICULAR TECHNOLOGY IS NOT THE BEST WAY TO RUN MEETINGS.

>> AGAIN, I THINK THE RULES FOR TECHNOLOGY WERE CLEARLY ESTABLISHED AT THE BEGINNING.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT.

>> I ARTICULATED THEM, WE'LL TRY TO RE-ESTABLISH CONNECTION. IF THAT WAS UNABLE WITHIN FIVE MINUTES, WE'D CONTINUE WITH THE MEETING. AND IF THERE WAS A QUORUM, OR THE PUBLIC'S ABILITY TO VIEW WITHIN 20 MINUTES, WE'D SUSPEND. IF THERE WERE CONCERNS ABOUT THAT, I THINK THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN RAISED AT THE BEGINNING, SO WITH THAT, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE AND SPEAK SPECIFICALLY TO YOUR CONCERN WITH THE ORDINANCE, WE WILL HEAR THAT, OTHERWISE, WE WILL TAKE A VOTE ON THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

>> I WOULD REQUEST THAT WE FINISH THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

>> MADAM CLERK, THE ROLL CALL, PLEASE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> ON THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, WAS THAT A YES VOTE?

>> YES, I THINK OUR COLLEAGUE SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE TO -- NOT HIS FAULT, TECHNOLOGY GLITCH.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> I JUST WANT TO KNOW IF HE'S ONLY GOING TO BE SPEAKING.
WE CAN START ALL OVER.

>> OUT OF ORDER, NEED TO VOTE.

>> QUESTION BEFORE I VOTE. IS IT GOING TO BE JUST KEVIN
SPEAKING?

>> WELL, I BELIEVE THERE WILL BE A DEBATE RELATED TO THE
ISSUE. I DON'T KNOW IF THE 90-MINUTE -- WE'D HAVE TO REINVOKE
THAT MOTION. BECAUSE IT'S A NEW QUESTION. SO WAS THAT A YES VOTE
OR NO VOTE ON THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER?

>> YES, I'LL LET HIM SPEAK.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRAVEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> I THINK MY ANSWER IS YES, BUT I JUST GOT TO ASK KEVIN,
IS HE JUST GOING TO EXPLAIN HIS VOTE OR CHANGE IT TO THE
ORDINANCE AS IT EXISTS?

>> I BELIEVE HE PLANS ON OFFERING AN AMENDMENT, HE
INDICATED.

>> I WILL VOTE YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

>> CAN YOU TURN YOUR MICROPHONE ON, PLEASE?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM, MAY I ASK A QUESTION OF THE
COUNTY ATTORNEY, PLEASE?

>> YOU MAY.

>> I'D LIKE TO ASK THE COUNTY ATTORNEY IN THE EVENT THAT
THIS IS REJECTED, HAVE WE VIOLATED THE RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH FOR
THIS COUNCIL MEMBER BASED ON THE TECHNOLOGY THAT WE'VE BEEN
DEALT WITH?

>> WE HAD ESTABLISHED RULES BASED ON THE TECHNOLOGY THAT
WERE ANNOUNCED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING, THAT IF AN
INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL PERSON WAS DISCONNECTED DUE TO TECHNOLOGY, WE
WOULD SUSPEND THE MEETING FOR FIVE MINUTES. AND IF THE
TECHNOLOGY COULD NOT BE REINSTATED WITHIN THAT FIVE MINUTES, AS
LONG AS WE HAD A QUORUM, THE MEETING WOULD MOVE ON. AND THAT'S
CONSISTENT WITH OPEN -- OUR ANALYSIS OF THE OPEN MEETINGS LAW
AND CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THE AG HAD IN ITS OPINION.

>> IMPORTANT I GET YOUR CLARIFICATION TO THAT.

>> OKAY, COUNCILMAN ENGEL IS A YES VOTE.

>> LAURA FERGUSON.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT PRO TEM, YOU HAVE 21 YES VOTES AND FIVE NO VOTES.

>> THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER HAS BEEN OPENED. COUNCILMAN KRAMER.

>> FIRST, THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES, FOR MAKING SURE THAT ALL VOICES ARE HEARD IN A FAIR WAY. I ONLY HAD A REAL QUICK, I THINK IT'S MORE OF A QUESTION THAN A WORRY, BUT EARLIER WHEN COUNCILWOMAN GREEN HAD ASKED ABOUT WHETHER THESE BONDS COULD BE USED IN NEW PROGRAMS OR NOT, IT WAS READ BY ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES, AND THIS IS FROM THE SECTION 3 THAT'S BEEN AMENDED, MONEY SHALL ONLY BE USED TO REIMBURSE CURRENT EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDING NONPROFITS OR FUND METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS. THE INTERPRETATION WAS THAT SOMEHOW THAT MIGHT ALLOW METRO GOVERNMENT TO CREATE NEW PROGRAMS, AND AS I READ THIS AND AS I UNDERSTOOD FROM ALL OF MY CONVERSATIONS, THE GOAL WAS TO RECOGNIZE THE GREAT WORK THAT OUR COMMUNITY FOUNDATIONS AND SOME OF THE PROGRAMS IN PLACE ARE ALREADY DOING TO MEET THE NEEDS FOR

HOUSING AND EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE. AND SO I DON'T KNOW IF I NEED TO ADD A WORD IN THERE TO SAY OR FUNDS CURRENT METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS OR SIMPLY ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THE INTENT IS JUST CURRENT PROGRAMS IS ENOUGH. AND I WANT TO SAY, I WON'T TAKE A LOT OF TIME, EVERYONE'S ALREADY SAID IT, BUT I DO APPRECIATE THE NEED FOR THIS. COUNCILMAN WINKLER HAS DONE A REALLY GOOD JOB, YOU KNOW, MAKING SURE PEOPLE UNDERSTOOD AND APPRECIATED HOW SERIOUS THIS NEED REALLY IS. SO, AGAIN, I WON'T GO ON AND ON ABOUT THAT, BUT I DO WANT SOME CLARIFICATION THERE BEFORE I VOTE YES.

>> I WOULD CALL ON COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER. I DON'T KNOW, COUNCILMAN, IF YOU WANT TO OFFER CLARIFICATION.

>> SO I WAS JUST READING WHAT THE LANGUAGE SAID, AND THE LANGUAGE SAYS THAT THIS IS THE WORDS CURRENT EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDING -- EXTERNAL AGENCY FUNDING NONPROFITS OR FUND METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS. IT DOES NOT SAY CURRENT PROGRAMS. I SAID THAT IN RESPONSE TO COUNCILWOMAN GREEN'S COMMENT THAT SHE WELL BE INTERESTED IN AND IF WE FIND A NEED FOR A PROGRAM THAT HAS CURRENT RESTRICTIONS ON IT, THAT WE WOULD WANT TO HAVE DIFFERENT RESTRICTIONS OR LESSER RESTRICTIONS IN ORDER TO FUND PEOPLE WHO REALLY NEED RELIEF, BUT MIGHT BE EXCLUDED BY SOME OTHER INCOME GUIDANCE OR SOMETHING. AGAIN, ALL OF THIS IS SUBJECT TO THE THREE-PERSON COMMITTEE, BUT NOBODY CAN DO ANYTHING WITHOUT THE THREE-PERSON COMMITTEE SAYING THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE'RE GOING

TO FUND. I THINK THE FLEXIBILITY THAT COUNCILWOMAN GREEN WAS PROPOSING IS IMPORTANT, SO I THINK THE LANGUAGE SPEAKS FOR ITSELF.

>> COUNCILMAN KRAMER?

>> IN THIS CASE, I MAY NEED TO ADD AN AMENDMENT, AND PROPOSE THE ONE WORD, AND THE ONE WORD WOULD GO BETWEEN FUNDS AND METRO-ADMINISTERED AND IT WOULD SAY FOR FUNDS CURRENT METRO-ADMINISTERED PROGRAMS. BECAUSE WHEN I FIRST TALKED TO ANYBODY ABOUT THIS, THE GOAL WAS TO RECOGNIZE \$2.7 MILLION IS NOT GOING TO GO VERY FAR. WE HAVE LOTS OF PROGRAMS IN PLACE RIGHT NOW TO DEAL WITH THIS TYPE OF EMERGENCY, NOT THE SCALE OF AN EMERGENCY. AND SO WHAT WE WERE TRYING TO DO IS OFFER KIND OF A STOPGAP FOR THOSE AGENCIES SO THEY WON'T BE COMPLETELY OVERWHELMED BY THIS EMERGENCY AND NOT BE ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF ANY OF THEIR OTHER WHAT THEY WOULD NORMALLY DO. SO MY THOUGHT WAS, AND I THOUGHT THAT WAS THE INTENT, WAS TO KEEP THIS EXCLUSIVELY IN PROGRAMS CURRENTLY DOING TO REPLACE MONIES ALLOCATED BECAUSE OF COVID-19. SO I MAKE A MOTION THEN THAT WE ADD THE WORD "CURRENT."

>> SECOND.

>> OKAY, WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. ALL THOSE OPPOSED SAY NAY. ALL THOSE OPPOSED SAY NO. THE MOTION CARRIES. MADAM CLERK, DO A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRANEY.

>> I NEED A POINT OF CLARIFICATION.

>> WHAT ARE WE VOTING ON?

>> THE AMENDMENT ON THE TABLE IS TO CLARIFY THAT IT'S
CURRENT EAF PROGRAMS AND CURRENT METRO PROGRAMS. SO SIMPLY ADDS
THE WORD "CURRENT."

>> I NEED CLARIFICATION, BECAUSE I DON'T AGREE WITH THAT,
SO I'M SORRY, I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WE WERE VOTING ON.

>> OKAY. COUNCILWOMAN GREEN, YOU'D LIKE TO CHANGE YOUR VOTE
TO NO?

>> I DO. I THINK THESE PROGRAMS NEED FLEXIBILITY.

>> COUNCILWOMAN McCRANEY?

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> MY VOTE -- YOU KNOW, MR. CHAIR, I THINK FLEXIBILITY,
WE'VE NEEDED THIS THE WHOLE TIME, SO I HAVE TO VOTE NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, CAN YOU VOTE AGAIN, PLEASE?

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES, AND I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A POINT OF PERSONAL
PRIVILEGE TO EXPLAIN MY VOTE. MY VOTE IS YES, BECAUSE THE INTENT
HERE IS NOT TO CREATE NEW PROGRAMS. ONE COULD ARGUE CHANGING THE
GUIDELINES OF EXISTING PROGRAMS TO RESPOND TO THE CRISIS IS

POSSIBLE AND IS ALLOWED, BUT THE INTENT HERE IS NOT TO STAND UP
NEW BUREAUCRACY. AND SO I AM A YES VOTE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> LET ME RECONSIDER. COULD YOU EXPLAIN, MR. PRESIDENT, I'M
SORRY.

>> YEAH, SO I AM ALIGNED WITH COUNCILMAN KRAMER HERE,
BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE ADDING THE WORD CURRENT PROGRAMS, OKAY, SO
THE GUIDELINES OF THOSE PROGRAMS ARE NOT UNDER DEBATE. WE'RE NOT
STANDING UP NEW PROGRAMS.

>> MY VOTE -- MY VOTE WOULD BE A YES, THANK YOU.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: YOU HAVE 14 YES VOTES -- LET ME COUNT THE NOES
REAL QUICK. AND 12 NO VOTES.

>> THE AMENDMENT PASSES. SEEING NO DISCUSSION IN QUEUE, WE
WILL VOTE ON THE NEWLY AMENDED ORDINANCE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE
CONDUCT A ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> I'M GOING TO HAVE TO -- I'M GOING TO GO ON THE RECORD
AND VOTE NO THIS TIME, BECAUSE I HAVE MAJOR CONCERNS ABOUT THE
PEOPLE THAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO HELP IN THE GAPS NOT BEING ABLE
TO BE HELPED WITH THAT ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE, SO I AM VOTING NO,
THANK YOU.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> NO.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES, PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRAHEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> CAN YOU VOTE AGAIN, PLEASE?

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES. COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> PRESENT.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER, YOU HAVE 23 YES VOTES,
TWO NO VOTES, AND ONE PRESENT VOTE.

>> THE MOTION PASSES. THANK YOU. THE NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS
IS OLD BUSINESS. THE NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS.
MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 30. SORRY.

>> Clerk: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE
OF ORDINANCES (LMCO) SECTIONS 154.02, 154.03, [AND] 154.04(B),
AND 154.05 RELATING TO PROPERTY ASSESSMENT AND REASSESSMENT
MORATORIUM PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED). READ IN FULL.

>> MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY COUNCILMAN PEDEN, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN
PIAGENTINI. COUNCILMAN YATES, ANY DISCUSSION?

>> THANK YOU, SPEAKER. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I FORGET WHERE
I'M AT. YES, THIS WAS ONLY HERE BEFORE US, BECAUSE IT WAS
AMENDED IN COMMITTEE. THERE WAS AN AMENDMENT AT THE LAST SECOND

TO ALLOW FOR OTHER AGENCIES SUCH AS JCPS AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT
TO ALSO ADD A MORATORIUM. OTHER THAN THAT, THE AMENDMENT STAYS
THE SAME. AND I WOULD SEEK YOUR SUPPORT.

>> SEEING NO ONE IN QUEUE, THIS ORDINANCE WILL REQUIRE A
ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CONDUCT THE VOTE.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: SHANKLIN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER McCRAHEY.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: SORRY, COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: MERCY. COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER REED. PRESIDENT PRO TEM WINKLER.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> YES.

>> Clerk: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. YOU HAVE 26 YES VOTES.

>> THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. ITEM 31 IS BEING HELD AT THE REQUEST OF THE SPONSOR. ITEM 32 IS ALSO BEING HELD AT THE REQUEST OF THE SPONSOR. THAT BRINGS US TO NEW BUSINESS. BEFORE YOU LEAVE THE CHAMBERS, WE NEED TO VOTE TO SUSPEND RULE 606, SUBSECTION A, WHICH STATES ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND ORDERS MAY NOT BE ADDED TO THE FINAL AGENDA AFTER THE FILING DEADLINE. IN ORDER TO ADD ITEM 37, REGARDING UPDATING THE FEE STRUCTURE AT QUAIL CHASE GOLF COURSE, CAN I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND? MOTION BY PIAGENTINI, SECOND BY COUNCILMAN REED. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. OPPOSED SAY NAY. AYES HAVE IT. NEXT ITEM IS NEW BUSINESS. AS YOU LEAVE, PLEASE DO SO QUIETLY SO THE CLERK MAY READ NEW BUSINESS. I ASK THOSE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WISH TO MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS WAIT UNTIL AFTER NEW BUSINESS IS READ INTO THE RECORD. NEW BUSINESS SURPRISES ITEMS 33 THROUGH 40.

>> CLERK: THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$7,000 FROM DISTRICT 6 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS TO THE METRO PARKS DEPARTMENT TO PAY FOR COMMUNITY EVENTS, REPAIRS AT VICTORY PARK AND IMPROVEMENTS AT CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CENTER. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT

COMMITTEE: A RESOLUTION URGING THE KENTUCKY GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO REJECT HOUSE BILL 605 REGARDING ELECTIONS IN LOUISVILLE. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE: A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED AMENDMENT TO SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT FOR THE LIBRARY CONCERNING MAGAZINE AND NEWSPAPER SUBSCRIPTIONS AND OTHER MATERIALS FOR USE BY LIBRARY PATRONS - (OVERDRIVE, INC. - \$750,000 FOR A NEW NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$1,250,000.00). A RESOLUTION DETERMINING A PARCEL OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT SOUTH FOURTH STREET AND OWNED BY LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT (METRO GOVERNMENT) AS SURPLUS AND NOT NEEDED FOR A GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE AND AUTHORIZING ITS TRANSFER TO SLS MANAGEMENT, LLC. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES TO UPDATE THE FEE STRUCTURE FOR QUAIL CHASE GOLF COURSE. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-6 RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY TO C-1 COMMERCIAL ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 939 E. WASHINGTON STREET CONTAINING 0.18 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 19ZONE0071). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-4 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO R-5A RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY ON

PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5101 BARDSTOWN ROAD CONTAINING 5.664 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 19ZONE0079). AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-4 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO R-6 RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9108 BEULAH CHURCH ROAD CONTAINING 2.298 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO (CASE NO. 19ZONE0078). READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. NEXT, WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENTS. ANYONE WISHING TO ENTER AN ANNOUNCEMENT?

>> YES.

>> COUNCILWOMAN McCRAHEY.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM. I JUST WANTED TO ASK THE COMMUNITY TO KNOW THAT THIS, TOO, SHALL PASS, AND THAT WE ARE IN UNCERTAIN TIMES, BUT WE KNOW THAT WE AS A COMMUNITY ARE SO GRACIOUS IN STANDING TOGETHER THAT WE WILL MAKE IT THROUGH THIS TOGETHER, AND WE ALSO WANT TO ENCOURAGE THE COMMUNITY TO SIGN UP FOR ONE OF THE e-NEWS LETTERS FOR YOUR DISTRICT, OR YOU'RE WELCOME TO SIGN UP FOR DISTRICT 7'S e-NEWS LETTER. IN THOSE NEWSLETTERS, YOU'LL FIND A LOT OF CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT THE COVID-19 AND THE CORONAVIRUS AND IT WILL EASE YOU TO KNOW WE ARE PROVIDING YOU WITH INFORMATION THAT IS ACCURATE. BE SAFE AND WELL OUT THERE, THANK YOU FOR TUNING IN.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN McCRAHEY. WOULD ANYBODY ELSE LIKE TO MAKE ANY ANNOUNCEMENTS? I DON'T HAVE THE TEXTING PHONE ANYMORE, SO IF YOU'D LIKE TO RAISE YOUR HAND IF YOU'RE ON THE

WEBEX AND YOU'D LIKE TO BE RECOGNIZED. GOING ONCE. WELL, THAT
CONCLUDES OUR MEETING, WITH NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO DISCUSS AND
WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE STAND ADJOURNED.