ROUGHLY EDITED COPY

LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL
REMOTE BROADCAST CAPTIONING
THURSDAY, AUGUST 20, 2020

Services provided by:
QuickCaption, Inc.
4927 Arlington Avenue
Riverside, CA 92504
Telephone - 951-779-0787
Fax Number - 951-779-0980
quickcaption@gmail.com
www.quickcaption.com

* * * * *

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.

Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

* * * * *

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: I AM METRO COUNCIL PRESIDENT, DAVID

JAMES, PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

[PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. WE HAVE SEEN AN INCREASE IN COVID-19 CASES IN LOUISVILLE AND ACROSS THE STATE. AS OF YESTERDAY, 270 LOUISVILLIANS HAVE DIED. 5,956 LOUISVILLIANS TESTED POSITIVE. THESE ARE NOT JUST NUMBERS, THESE ARE MOMS AND DADS AND WIVES AND HUSBANDS AND CHILDREN AND SOMEONE'S LOVED ONE. WE MUST NEVER FORGET THAT. AND NOT BECOME COMPLACENT. WE FACE THE CRISIS TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY AND EVERY PERSON WHO HAS MADE LOSSES AND SACRIFICES IN OUR CITY. ALSO, THIS WEEK, OUR COUNCIL FAMILY HAD EXPERIENCED A LOSS. COUNCILWOMAN DR. BARBARA SHANKLIN'S SON CRAIG DIED AFTER A VERY LONG BATTLE WITH CANCER, HE WAS GIVE YEARS OLD AND HE'LL BE MISSED. I ASK EACH OF YOU TO JOIN ME WITH A MOMENT OF SILENCE. [MOMENT OF SILENCE OBSERVED]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THIS REGULAR MEETING OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL IS CONDUCTED BY VIDEO TELL CONFERENCE KRS61826, SENATE BILL 150. MEMBERS ARE PRESIDENT IN THE CHAMBERS AND THOSE ARE COUNCILWOMAN NICOLE GEORGE, COUNCILMAN MARKUS WINKLER, KEVIN KRAMER, COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI, AND COUNCIL MEMBER REED AND MYSELF. AND OTHERS ARE JOINING US VIRTUALLY. IF WE EXPERIENCE A DISRUPTION IN THE VIDEO FEED, THE MEETING WILL BE SUSPENDED FOR FIVE MINUTES WHILE WE MAKE A REASONABLE ATTEMPT TO FIX THE ISSUE. WHILE THE MEETING IS SUSPENDED, ALL DISCUSSION

OF PUBLIC BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO ACTION TAKEN. IF AFTER FIVE MINUTES, THE ISSUE THAT THE MEMBER CONTINUES, WE'LL RESUME AND THE MEETING WILL CONTINUE AS LONG AS WE HAVE A QUORUM OF MEMBERS. IF WE EXPERIENCE ANY TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES THAT AFFECT THE PUBLIC'S ABILITY TO SEE THE MEETING, WE WILL SUSPEND THE MEETING WHILE WE ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE. ALL DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC BUSINESS WILL CEASE AND NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN. IF AFTER 20 MINUTES, THIS ISSUE CANNOT BE RESOLVED, THE MEETING WILL STAND ADJOURNED. THE BUSINESS WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE NEXT MEETING. COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN AND COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY HAVE EXCUSED ABSENCES, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL CALL]

- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
- >> HERE.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> HERE.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> PRESENT.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> PRESENT.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES.
- >> HERE.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.
- >> MR. CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL. COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. THERE ARE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> HERE. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> PRESENT.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL

- >> PRESENT.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> HERE.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> HERE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES
- >> PRESENT.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

 COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON. MR. PRESIDENT,

 YOU HAVE 22 IN ATTENDANTANCE AND A QUORUM.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. MADAM CLERK,
 PLEASE TAKE NOTE I RELINQUISH THE CHAIR TO COUNCIL MEMBER
 WINKLER FOR A MOMENT.
 - >> DULY NOTED.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES, I BELIEVE YOU HAVE SOMEONE TO RECOGNIZE THIS EVENING?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: I DO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COLLEAGUES,
 I'M SURE THAT YOU ALL RECOGNIZE THE PERSON STANDING HERE NEXT TO
 ME AS TODD DUNN. EVEN THOUGH HE HAS A MASK ON. THE PRESIDENT OF
 THE UAW AND THE PRESIDENT OF THE GREATER CENTRAL LOUISVILLE
 LABOR COUNCIL. I HAVE INVITED HIM HERE TODAY TO RECOGNIZE THE
 WORK THAT THE UAW RAMP TEAM DOES IN BUILDING HANDICAP RAMPS
 ACROSS OUR CITY FOR MANY OF US IN OUR DISTRICT FOR MANY OF OUR
 CONSTITUENTS THAT HAVE LIMITED MOBILITY. I WANTED TO RECOGNIZE

HIM FOR ALL THE WORK THEY HAVE DONE BY GIVING HIM A PROCLAMATION. AND THIS IS WHAT IT SAYS. IT SAYS TO WHOM THESE LETTERS SHALL COME GREETING, THE LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL HONOR AND RECOGNIZING UAW LOCAL 862 FOR GRANT TEAM. THE UAW FORT RAMP TEAM WAS CREATED IN 2012. WITH THE MOTTO, WE BUILD MORE THAN VEHICLES, WE BUILD COMMUNITIES. WHEREAS THE INAUGURAL FORD COMPANY 862 TEAM DONATED \$50,000 TO THE METRO AND UNITED WAY FOR THE WAYNE VICARS MEMORIAL WHEELCHAIR RAM PROGRAM. THE TEAM OF MORE THAN 50UAW VOLUNTEERS PROVIDED THOUSANDS OF HOURS OF LABOR TO CONSTRUCT 416 WHEELCHAIR RAMPS IN OUR COMMUNITY SINCE 2012. WHEREAS THE UAW, 7 -- 862 RAMP TEAM REPAIRED TRIM WORK ON THE GAZEBO, 80 FEET OF TRIM AT THE MAIN ENTRANCE AND BUILT A CLIMBING STRUCTURE FOR THE ZOO, THE UAW862 FORM RAMP TEAM WORKED ON MANY PROJECTS FOR JCPS AND LOCAL CATHOLIC SCHOOLS, INCLUDING BUILDING 20 BUILDING BEDS. THEY HELPED AGENCIES INCLUDING THE V.A. HOSPITAL, LOUISVILLE NATURE CENTER, SERVICE FOR PEACE, MAGNOLIA SPRINGS SENIOR LEVEL CENTER, DREAMS WITH WINGS, VISUALLY IMPAIRED PRESCHOOL SERVICES, JUNIOR ACHIEVEMENT, RENEW YOUTH AND MANY OTHERS. THE UAW862 RAMP TEAM HONORED MILITARY VETS WORKING WITH OPPOSITION VICTORY, REBUILDING THE HERO AT FORT KNOX AND A REPLICA OF THE VIETNAM WALL. ONE OF TWO IN THE COUNTRY. WE CONFER THIS HONOR WITH THE RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES AND RESPONSIBILITIES THEREUNTO PERTAINING AND TESTIMONY WE HAVE CAUSED THE LETTERS TO BE MADE IN THE SEAL OF LOUISVILLE METRO

AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, THE 20THDAY OF AUGUST, 2020.

CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WITH THAT BEING SAID, I PRESENT YOU WITH THIS PROCLAMATION.

>> WOW.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO TURN THE MICROPHONE OVER TO PRESIDENT, TODD DUNN.

>> THANK YOU, I WANT YOU TO KNOW I'M SMILING. IT'S REALLY AN HONOR TO REPRESENT OVER 20,000 MEMBERS, 14,500 STRONG. WE'RE BUILDING VEHICLES FOR THE COMMUNITY, PUTTING THE TAX BASE WHERE IT NEEDS TO BE. AND PROVIDE SOLUTIONS. AND WE'VE GOT 6,000 RETIRED AND SURVIVING SPOUSES TRYING TO STAY SAFE DURING THESE TIMES. DIAL BACK AND DIGRESS AND TALK ABOUT WHAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE. IT'S TRULY AN HONOR TO MENTION THESE INDIVIDUAL NAMES, NICK READ, JEFF, RALLY BASE, GARY, JESSE CALHOUN, TRAVIS HUNT, DEMRY GATE WOOD, AND JARED, RODRIC AND RICHARD CHAPMAN. THEY HAVE NOT ONLY EXCELLED THEY HAVE INDEMNIFIED THE WORD OF BEING A TRUE VOLUNTEER. THEY WOULD WORK DURING THE WEEK AND THE WEEKENDS AND HELP ACTIVE HEROES. I'M ON A BOARD CALL WITH ACTIVE HEROES TRYING GET NEXT STEPS. THEY CONTINUE TO VOLUNTEER AND IT'S AWESOME AND HONOR AND PRIVILEGE TO REPRESENT 862 BECAUSE EVERY SINGLE DAY, IT'S IMPORTANT TO PUT A PERIMETER AROUND MEMBERSHIP AND GIVE OUR MEMBERSHIP AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE AND REPRESENT THEM. AND IT'S AN HONOR FOR US TO GO OUT IN THE

COMMUNITY AND DO SO MUCH. WE'LL CONTINUE TO DO SO. THE ONLY
THING I HOPE FORD MOTOR COMPANY IS WATCHING, WE HAD TO BRING THE
TEAM IN A MONTH AGO DUE TO THE PANDEMIC AND NEEDING THE
WORKFORCE INSIDE THE PLANT, COST CUTTING MEASURES, WE HAVE ONE
TIME IN DETROIT THAT STILL HELD ON. THE REMAINDER OF THE TEAMS
WENT BACK IN. THE ONLY THING IS THAT CHALLENGE. I REQUEST ON
BEHALF OF LOCAL 862 AND LOUISVILLE METRO, WE BRING THE RAMP TEAM
BACK AND CONTINUE DOING WHAT WE ARE DOING BECAUSE IT'S THE RIGHT
THING TO DO. THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME SPEAK TONIGHT. I HOPE YOU
ALL STAY SAFE AND I LOVE YOU ALL.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE LOVE YOU TOO.
- >> I WOULD ADD, DISTRICT 17 HOME OF THE KENTUCKY TRUCK
 PLANT, WE APPRECIATE ALL OF YOUR WORK AND COMMITMENT TO OUR
 COMMUNITY. AND THE GREAT WORK YOU ALL DO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
 - >> HONOR HAVING YOU REPRESENT US. THANK YOU.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MADAM CLERK, CAN YOU LET THE RECORD REFLECT, WE'VE BEEN JOINED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON AND BLACKWELL?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: SO NOTED.
- >> I WILL RELINQUISH THE CHAIR BACK TO PRESIDENT JAMES AND MORE GRACEFULLY THAN MY TRIP UP HERE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

 MADAM CLERK, ARE THERE ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YES, SIR, THERE ARE.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: LET ME REMIND THOSE ADDRESSING THE COUNCIL TO PLEASE REFRAIN FROM USING ANY PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO COUNCILMEMBERS. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE BRING THEM FORWARD.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: DOUGLAS STERN.
 - >> PRESENT.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YOU MAY SPEAK.
 - >> THANK YOU. PRESIDENT JAMES AND MEMBERS [OFF MIC]
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. STERN, ARE YOU STILL WITH US? MR. STERN? YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU NOW.
 - >> CAN YOU HEAR ME?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YES, SIR, WE CAN HEAR YOU.
- >> I'LL START OVER AGAIN. PRESIDENT JAMES AND MEMBERS OF
 LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL, I'M GRATEFUL FOR THE TIME YOU HAVE
 GIVEN ME TO GO ON RECORD IN SUPPORT OF LEGISLATION TO CREATE A
 SAFETY ZONE FOR HEALTHCARE FACILITIES. MY NAME IS DOUGLAS L.
 STERN AND I RESIDE AT 423 WALLS EVERY IN DISTRICT NINE. I'M A
 NATIVE AND LIFE LONG CITIZEN OF LOUISVILLE SINCE 1970. I'M HERE
 TONIGHT BECAUSE I'M PRO LAW. THE LAW YOU DRAFTED BALANCES THE
 NEED FOR SAFETY, FOR PROTECTIVE SPEECH, AND FOR THE RIGHTS OF
 ALL PERSONS THAT HAVE ACCESS TO MEDICAL CARE. ALL OF THESE NEEDS
 ARE WELL ESTABLISHED IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. THIS INCLUDES THE
 CONSTITUTION THAT PROTECTED RIGHT TO REPRODUCTIVE CARE. YOU WILL
 HEAR OTHERS CLAIM THAT LOUISVILLE'S PROPOSED SAFETY BILL

INFRINGES OF THE PROTECTED SPEECH OF SOME, THIS IS NOT TRUE. AT THE CLOSED ORDINANCE CREATES A NARROWLY TAILORED SAFETY ZONE, SOME WAYS SMALLER THAN ANY OF THE ZONES UP HELD IN THE COURTS. THE LIMITED SAFETY ZONE YOU ENVISIONED EXTENDS ONLY TO THE SIDEWALK AND THE ENTRANCE AND 12 FEET ACROSS. THIS MEANS THAT A PATIENT WALKING IN THE MIDDLE OF THE ZONE OF THE CLINIC IS NO MORE THAN 6 FEET FROM ANY ON THE EDGE OF THE ZONE. THIS CREATES A SAFE PASSAGE FROM THE STREET TO THE CLINIC WITHOUT PROTESTERS AND SPEAKING AT A NORMAL SPEAKING LEVEL. INFORMATION THE PATIENT CHOOSE TO TAKE IT. OTHERS WILL TELL YOU THAT IT'S THEIR INTENTION TO ENGAGE AND OFFER [INDISCERNIBLE] DO PATIENTS HEADING TO A DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENT. WHILE THIS MAYBE TRUE FOR SOME PROTESTERS AND SIDEWALK COUNSELORS, ANYONE, ANYONE CAN SPEND ANY AMOUNT OF TIME AT OR NEAR THE CORNER OF SECOND AND MARKET ON ANY SATURDAY MORNING WOULD GET A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT IMPRESSION. INSTEAD ARE PEOPLE, INCLUDING MANY YOUNG WOMEN, YOUNG ENOUGH TO BE MY GRAND CHILD AND THEIR FAMILY BEING VERBALLY AND PHYSICALLY ASSAULTED. ASSAULTED IN THIS WAY, THESE PEOPLE ARE CONFRONTED WITH BARRIERS, FEELINGS AND VULNERABILITIES THAT I AS A MAN AM NOT ABLE TO UNDERSTAND. IN OTHER WORDS, IT'S NOT AN ISSUE FOR ME BECAUSE OF MY GENDER. I HAVE AN UNFAIR, UNEARNED PRIVILEGE THAT OTHERS DON'T. AND THAT'S WRONG. IN FACT, WE CONDENSE THE LAW. THANK YOU FOR WRITING THIS LAW.

- >> MADAM CLERK: THANK YOU, MR. STERN. AMBER CLAPP
- >> HI, CAN YOU HEAR ME?
- >> MADAM CLERK: YES, MA'AM.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO THANK COUNCIL FOR LETTING ME SPEAK TODAY. I AM ACTUALLY A NURSE AT EMW. I HAVE FIRST-HAND EXPERIENCE WHEN IT COMES TO [INDISCERNIBLE] AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE, WHEN IT COMES TO OUR PATIENTS -- HARASSMENT. I'M VERY FOR THE LOUISVILLE SAFETY ZONE WE'RE TRYING TO PASS TODAY. I WOULD LIKE TO SHARE AN EXPERIENCE I HAD NOT THAT LONG AGO ACTUALLY WHEN THE CORONAVIRUS FIRST STARTED. AND WE WERE [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] SAFE THINGS. AND NOT BE AROUND THE CLINIC. AND DECIDED I WAS GOING TO GO TO THIS LITTLE CONVENIENT STORE, PRETTY CLOSE TO THE CLINIC AND GET MYSELF SOMETHING TO DRINK. AND THERE WAS A WOMAN WHO CAME UP TO ME, VERY PANICKED AND TEARY EYED. AND SHE LOOKED AT ME AND SAID, YOU WORK AT EMW, RIGHT? I SAID, YES. I MEAN, YEAH, I WORK THERE. HOW CAN I HELP YOU. AND SHE WAS LIKE LOOK, I'M FROM OUT OF TOWN. I'VE COME FROM OHIO. I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, MY BOYFRIEND DROPPED ME OFF IN FRONT OF THE CLINIC. AND HE WENT TO GO PARK. AND I WAS CHASED COMPLETELY AROUND THE BUILDING BY PROTESTERS, PEOPLE THAT WERE HARASSING HER, TOUCHING HER, GRABBING HER, YELLING AT HER, CALLING HER NAMES, JUST BEING VERY VERBALLY ABUSIVE. SHE SAID AFTER SEVERAL TRIPS AROUND THE WHOLE PERIMETER OF THE BUILDING, SHE ENDED UP AT THE GAS STATION. SHE RECOGNIZED

ME FROM WHEN I DID HER 24-HOUR CONSENT, VIA ZOOM. I TOOK HER
INTO THE CLINIC AND HELPED HER AND EVERYTHING. I REALLY FEEL
LIKE HER SITUATION IS A LOT OF WOMEN AND PEOPLE'S SITUATIONS.

COME IT COMES TO TRYING TO ACCESS HEALTHCARE. UNFORTUNATELY,

IT'S MOSTLY DIRECTED AT EMW, WHICH IS AN ABORTION CLINIC. IF WE
HAD A SAFETY ZONE, HER STORY WOULD HAVE BENEFIT. SHE COULD HAVE
BEEN -- DIFFERENT. SHE COULD HAVE BEEN DROPPED OFF IN FRONT OF
THE BUILDING. SHE COULD HAVE HAD THAT SAFE PERIMETER TO ENTER

WITHOUT ANYONE TOUCHING HER OR THROWING THINGS IN HER FACE,

GETTING WITHIN HER PERSONAL SPACE. AND SHE COULD HAVE ENTERED

THE CLINIC SAFELY. AND WITHOUT ANY KIND OF MENTAL DAMAGE.

UNFORTUNATELY, HERE IN THE MIDDLE OF A PANDEMIC -- [BEEPING]

- >> WHICH IS MAKING THINGS A LITTLE BIT MORE COMPLICATED AND
 A LITTLE BIT MORE URGENT WHEN IT COMES TO PATIENT SAFETY. WE
 HAVE --
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MRS. CLAPP?
 - >> NOT WHERE A MASK, THAT DO NOT --
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MS. CLAPP? YOUR THREE MINUTES ARE UP?
 - >> REALLY, OH, MY GOSH. OKAY. THANK YOU.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: KEYONDRA SHELTON.
- >> CAN YOU ALL HEAR ME? MY NAME IS KEYONDRA SHELTON. I'M A MEMBER OF THE YOUTH ACTION BOARD. WE'RE A GROUP OF FORMERLY HOMELESS YOUNG ADULTS DEDICATED TO HELPING YOUNG PEOPLE

EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. I'M 24 YEARS OLD AND RESIDE IN DISTRICT 3. MY FIRST APARTMENT WHEN I WAS 22. RED AND YELLOW TOOLS AROUND THE BUILDING AND KEPT THE BUILDING IN GOOD SHAPE. [INDISCERNIBLE], THE NEIGHBORS OFTEN INVITED ME OVER FOR DINNER OR TO HANG OUT. THIS WAS MY HOME FOR OVER A YEAR. UNTIL THE SHERIFF POSTED AN EVICTION NOTICE ON MY DOOR. I FELT ANGER AND EMPTINESS. THE PREVIOUS YEAR I SPENT OVER TWO HOURS TO GET TO AND FROM APPLY JOB AFTER WORKING NINE HOURS. I OUIT MY JOB TO FIND WORK CLOSER TO HOME. 10 APPLICATIONS AND THREE INTERVIEWS LATER I COULD NOT FIND A JOB THAT WOULD WORK FOR ME. I HAD HOPED FINDING NEW WORK CLOSER TO HOME WOULD BE EASIER. IT WOULD A STRUGGLE TO PAY FOR FOOD. I DID EVERYTHING I COULD. I CALLED CHURCHES AND OTHER RESOURCES ALL RECOMMENDED. NONE OF HELP AND NEVER CALLED BACK. I REVISED ARRIVED TO A COURTROOM FOR MY HEARING. THE JUDGE REMINDED ME OF THE OF THE YOUNGER JUDGE JUDY WITH LONGER HAIR, POWERFUL AND ABSOLUTE. THOSE WHO ARE THERE SAT OUIETLY. ALL TRADES LAWYER WAS KINDLY UNDERSTANDING. EVERYTHING WAS SETTLED ONCE I MOVED OUT. FOR A FEW WEEKS I WAS HOMELESS BEFORE GETTING THE FAMILY MEMBERS. NOW IT'S HARD TO FIND A PLACE OF MY OWN BECAUSE OF MY RECORD. MY STORY IS SIMILAR TO OTHERS WHO FACE EVICTION. PRIOR TO COVID-19, LOUISVILLE HAD AN EVICTION RATE TWICE THE NATIONAL AVERAGE, WEST LOUISVILLE HARD. FIVE THOUSAND STUDENTS DISPLACED AND EXPERIENCED HOMELESSNESS IN THE 2018 TO 2019 SCHOOL YEARS. PARTLY DUE TO THIS CRISIS, SINCE

COVID-19 APPEARED, THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE AT RISK OF BEING
HOMELESS HAS ONLY INCREASED. IMAGINE TRYING TO HELP YOUR CHILD
MPI WHILE BEING EVICTED. I SPEAK TO YOU TONIGHT TO THANK YOU FOR
THE RESPONSES YOU HAVE DEVOTED TO LOUISVILLE RENTERS DURING THE
PANDEMIC. BUT ALWAYS TO ASK YOU TO CONTINUE TO CARE ABOUT THE
ISSUE AND TO DO FOUR THINGS IN THE COMING YEARS. I ASK YOU TO
[INDISCERNIBLE] AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND. SUPPORT THE
PROGRAM IN DISTRICT COURT. TO PASS AN ORDINANCE FOR FAIR HOUSING
PROTECTION TO INCLUDE SOURCE OF INCOME. AND ASK GENERAL ASSEMBLY
RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK WITH YOU TODAY. PLEASE
CONTINUE TO SUPPORT RENTING [INDISCERNIBLE] DURING THESE
DIFFICULT TIMES. [BEEPING]

- >> MADAM CLERK: ED HARPRING.
- >> I'M TRYING TO UNMUTE MY PHONE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: WE CAN HEAR YOU.
- >> CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW?
- >> MADAM CLERK: YES, SIR.
- >> THANK YOU. LET ME BEGIN. THANKS TO COUNCILMEMBERS FROM
 THE SIDE OF LOUISVILLE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ABOUT ONE OF
 THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES. OUR FOUNDING FATHERS, THE FIRST
 AMENDMENT, THE RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH AND [INDISCERNIBLE]
 DELIVERED A STATEMENT TO COUNCILMEMBERS MAJOR CONCERNS ABOUT THE
 FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND HOW THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD SEVERELY
 RESTRICT SIDEWALK COUNSELORS ABILITY TO OFFER WOMEN IN AN

UNPLANNED PREGNANCY LIVE SAVING ALTERNATIVES TO ABORTION. I WOULD LIKE TO ECHO THOSE WORDS, THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD RESTRICT FREEDOM OF SPEECH. AS THE DIRECTOR OF PRO-LIFE MINISTRIES AND ARCHDIOCESE OF LOUISVILLE, SPECTRUM OF LIGHT ISSUES, INCLUDING ABORTION, DISABILITIES, EUTHANASIA, I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO YOU AS A SIDEWALK COUNCIL, I'VE BEEN ONE FOR 36 YEARS. OUR OWN CHILD, 35 YEARS OLD, [INDISCERNIBLE] TO THE SIDEWALK CAME AFTER VIEWING THIS AMAZING, BEAUTIFUL LIFE IN THAT ULTRASOUND. THE LORD SHOWED ME I NEEDED TO HELP PROTECT LIVES AND HELP MOTHERS AND FATHERS AND UNPLANNED PREGNANCY. SO BROUGHT ME TO THE SIDEWALK AS THE SIDEWALK COUNSELOR AS WE WALK ALONG SIDE ABORTION-MINDED MOTHERS AND FATHERS AND LET THEM KNOW THE LIVE-SAVING ALTERNATIVES WITH THE PREGNANCY CENTER NEXT TO THE ABORTION FACILITY. WE OFFER FREE ULTRASOUND AND SOMEONE TO MENTOR THEM DURING AND AFTER THE PREGNANCIES. THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY RESTRICT OUR ABILITY TO WALK ALONGSIDE THE WOMEN AND HANDOUT BROCHURES WE HELPED OVER 3,000 FROM ABORTION AND 6,000 MOTHERS AND FATHERS FROM A LIFETIME OF REGRET. AND WE'RE HERE BECAUSE THE SUPREME COURT IN 2014 RULED UNANIMOUSLY, 9-0, WHEN DOES THAT HAPPEN THE FACT THAT BUFFER ZONES ELIMINATE THE RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH, IN THE MCCULLEN VERSUS COKELY CASE. ROBERTS SAID, STATES AND CITIES MAY NOT TAKE THE EXTREME STEP OF CLOTHING PUBLIC SIDEWALKS TO THOSE WHO HOPE TO HAVE QUIET CONVERSATIONS WITH WOMEN WALKING TOWARD THE ABORTION

CLINIC. DOING SO VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT, NORMAL

CONVERSATIONS AND LEAFLET HAVE HISTORICALLY BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH

TRANSMISSION OF THE IDEAS OF THE MOTHERS AND THE SHARED SPACE IS

CENTRAL TO BOTH. LET ME -- [BEEPING]

- >> THE ORDINANCE STATES 93 -- NO PERSON SHALL ENTER THE
 BUFFER ZONE. IF A CLIENT ENTERS FROM THE EAST, I CANNOT PASS TO
 APPROACH THE WOMAN. TO ME THIS MEANS I CAN'T MEET HALF THE WOMAN
 [INDISCERNIBLE] ALSO I CAN'T ENTER THE BUFFER ZONE. THAT MEANS
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MR. HARPRING, YOUR THREE MINUTES ARE UP.
 - >> THANK YOU. ONE MORE PARAGRAPH. [INDISCERNIBLE]
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: ONE MORE SENTENCE, SIR.
- >> LAST WORDS ARE IMPORTANT. THAT MEANS MY LAST WORDS TO
 THE WOMEN ENTERING THE BUFFER ZONE ARE EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. LAST
 WORDS WE SPEAK MANY TIMES SAVE LIVES, AS SIMPLE AS WE CAN HELP
 YOU HAS BEEN ALL THE DIFFERENCE IN THE WORLD. YOU KEEP ME OUT OF
 THE BUFFER ZONE, YOU RESTRICT THE ABILITY TO GIVE THE IMPORTANT
 LAST WORDS TO A WOMAN WHO WANTS TO HEAR THE TRUTH. THANK YOU.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: RYAN MENTOR FORBES.
 - >> YES, MA'AM.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PLEASE PROCEED.
- >> HELLO, LOUISVILLE METRO. I'M THE EXECUTIVE ASSISTANCE TO ANGELA MINTER, A RESIDENT OF DISTRICT NINE. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. IN REGARDS TO THE BUFFER ZONE. FOR THE NW

SURGICAL CENTER. AS A SIDEWALK COUNSELOR, TUESDAY THROUGH SATURDAY, 8 TO 4:00 P.M., I SEE THIS AS A DISSERVICE TO THE PEOPLE. CLIENTS SHOULD BE ABLE TO EITHER ACCEPT OR DENY FREE HELP AND SUPPORT DURING THIS MOST VITAL TIME. THE CLIENTS OF THIS CLINIC NEED TO KNOW THAT HELP AND RESOURCES ARE AVAILABLE TO THEM. THE BUFFER ZONE POSES A BARRIER FOR HELP FOR THE FAMILIES. SOME CLIENTS ALREADY HAVE A PRECONCEIVED NOTION WE'RE PROTESTERS AND, ON THE SIDEWALK, TO PREVENT THEM. THIS IS NOT WHAT WE DO OR THE SIDEWALK COUNSELING. THE BUFFER ZONE WILL SIGNIFICANTLY PLACE A BARRIER AROUND BEING ABLE TO CONNECT WITH THE FAMILIES AND MAKE THEM AWARE OF THE HELP THAT IS OUT HERE. WE MEET EACH CLIENT WHERE THEY ARE IN LIFE WITHOUT JUDGMENT. ONCE THE CLIENTS ARRIVE AND WALK TO THE CLINIC, THERE IS LITTLE TIME TO TRY AND TALK WITH THEM AS IT IS. HAVING THE ACCESS TO WALK ALONG SIDE THEM UNTIL THEY REACH THE CLINIC DOORS ALLOWS US TO CONNECTED WITH THEM, LISTEN TO THEM, GET LITERATURE IN THEIR HAND AND UNDERSTAND HOW TO HELP THEM. THIS IS THE LIFE APPEAR BEFORE THEIR APPOINTMENT AND AFTER THEIR APPOINTMENT. WE WANT TO BE OF SERVICE AND SPREAD THE TRUTH OF ABORTION AND THE DETRIMENT OF THE NATION. IT IS LEADING CAUSE OF DEATH IN THE AFRICAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY. THE WOMEN AFFECTED ARE BLACKWELL AND WOMEN OF COLOR. BLACK WOMEN AND WOMEN OF COLOR. THEY NEED TO KNOW THAT HELP IS AVAILABLE TO THEM. THIS IS THE LIFE THAT IS HELPING THEM RIGHT NOW. ONE OF WHICH WOULD HAVE NEVER KNOWN WE COULD HAVE

PAID FOR HER RENT FOR THE ENTIRE YEAR HAVE WE NOT -- THE BUFFER ZONE. SHE COULD KEEP THE BABY BECAUSE THERE WAS HELP. OTHERS RECEIVED SCHOLARSHIPS AS A RESULT OF US CONNECTED THEM. THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE AN ABORTION. WE HELPED OVER 800 WOMEN FACED WITH UNPLANNED PREGNANCY. THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD BE [INDISCERNIBLE] OTHER ORGANIZATIONS THAT ACTUALLY DO SIDEWALK COUNSELING. PLEASE DON'T VOTE TO PLACE THE BUFFER ZONE AROUND THE CLINIC. THESE FAMILIES DESERVE TO KNOW WE'RE HERE. [BEEPING]

- >> I THAT'S IT. THANK YOU.
- >> MADAM CLERK: THANK YOU. SATCHEL WALTON.
- >> YES, CAN YOU HEAR?
- >> MADAM CLERK: YES, SIR. YOU MAY PROCEED.
- >> OKAY. I JUST -- THANK YOU FOR THE TIME. I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU INFORMATION ABOUT DIFFERENT ISSUE ABOUT FOREVER CHEMICALS. I'M A CITY JOURNALISTS I WROTE A PIECE ABOUT FOREVER CHEMICALS AND LOUISVILLE'S WATER, CHEMICALS. GEN X IS ONE, THE ONE I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU TODAY IS PSOA, A PROVEN CARCINOGEN AND HUMANS AND CAUSE ULCERATIVE COLITIS. THE MANUFACTURING OF TEFLON, IT ISN'T -- IT'S A CHEMICAL THEY WERE USING BEFORE IS IN OUR WATER DESPITE NOT BEING ACTIVE LAY JUMPED. IT STICKS AROUND IN RIVERS. IT'S A FOREVER CHEMICAL FOR A REASON. IT'S DANGEROUS AT LOW LEVELS. THE SCIENTISTS AT THE COUNCIL SEPARATELY CONCLUDED SAFE LEVELS IN DRINKING WATER AS LOW AT ONE PART PER TRILLION. THE LOUISVILLE WATER TESTING,

REVEALS, 5.6 PARTS PER TRILLION AND AS HIGH AS 35 PARTS PER TRILLION IN ONE TEST. THE LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY WAS AWARE IN 2018 WHEN THE WATER THEY PROVIDE US OFTEN EXCEEDED THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DRAFT RECOMMENDATION LEVELS. OTHER CITIES HAVE BEEN MORE CONCERNED. CINCINNATI INSTALLED A SYSTEM TO TREATED THE FOREVER CHEMICALS. THE SAME RIVER, CINCINNATI HAS SIMILAR LEVELS OF CHEMICALS AND UNTREATED SOURCE WATER. IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS, CINCINNATI HASN'T DETECTED ANY. LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY FOUND IT IN OUR WATER IN EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THE TEST. FOR EXAMPLE, NEW WINDER NEW YORK, IMMEDIATELY SHUT OFF ALL OF THE WELLS TO INSTALL A CARBON SYSTEM TO TREAT AFTER TWO TESTS FOUND THEY HAD TWO TO SEVEN PARTS PER MILLION. LOUISVILLE AVERAGES 5.6 PART PER TRILLION. THE LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY DOESN'T THINK THIS IS A CONCERN FOR OUR DRINKING WATER. I THINK IT IS. AND IT WOULDN'T BE EASY OR CHEAP TO INSTALL THE TREATMENT SYSTEM. THERE ARE MULTIPLE TREATMENT SYSTEMS YOU HAVE TO RESEARCH. LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY DIDN'T CREATE IT. LOW LEVELS OF THE CHEMICAL CAN BE VERY DEADLY. I THINK THIS IS A MAJOR PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN THAT ISN'T GETTING ANY ATTENTION. I ASK YOU LOOK INTO IT FURTHER AND LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY LOOK AT THIS ISSUE. THESE CHEMICALS POSE A PUBLIC HEALTH RISK TO SO MANY. TO LEARN MORE, [BEEPING]

- >> READ MY FULL ARTICLE, GREEN SOURCE DOT ORG, FOREVER
 CHEMICALS IN LOUISVILLE'S DRINKING WATER. AND DO RESEARCH PSOA
 AND FOREVER CHEMICALS IN GENERAL. THANK YOU.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: BRUCE BLUE.
 - >> CAN YOU HEAR ME?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YES, SIR.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES, COUNCILMEMBERS, I'LL MAKE IT BRIEF. MY NAME IS BRUCE BLUE 6109, SPRINGS LANE. A MEMBER OF 109 JEFFERSON COUNTY KENTUCKY. [INDISCERNIBLE] DENIED REAPPOINTMENT BY MAYOR FISHER. DUE TO SUPPOSED COMPATIBLE ISSUE BETWEEN AN ELECTED OFFICIAL AND APPOINTED OFFICIAL. RUN BY THE STATE ATTORNEY AS ILLEGAL TO SERVE BOTH. I SPOKE WITH SEVERAL ATTORNEYS AND MANY BELIEVE THE LAW MAY NOT BE CORRECT AND SOME OF YOU DO NOT AGREE. SO FAR, AT THIS TIME I'M THE ONLY ONE NOT APPOINTED. I WOULD LIKE THE COUNCIL TO CHANGE THE ORDINANCE AND THE LAW SEE I CAN SERVE ON SOMETHING I KNOW ABOUT. I HAVE SERVED ON THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE 109 BOARD SINCE THE INSPECTION FOR ONE TERM, TWICE ON THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMMITTEE TO REVIEW THE NATIONAL STOCKPILE, REQUIRING TWO FEDERAL BACKGROUND CHECKS. I HELPED THE KENTUCKY LEGISLATURE ON REMOVING BATTERIES FROM THE LANDFILL AND [INDISCERNIBLE] FOR AUTOMOBILES. I WANT TO HELP JEFFERSON COUNTY. I THINK THIS IS UNREASONABLE DENIAL. I APPRECIATE THE METRO COUNCIL HELPING ME IN THIS MATTER. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

- >> MADAM CLERK: TEENA HALBIG. SHERON LEAR. TEENA HALBIG. WE CANNOT HEAR YOU.
 - >> HELLO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: WE CAN HEAR YOU NOW. PLEASE PROCEED.
 - >> HELLO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PLEASE PROCEED.
 - >> --
 - >> MADAM CLERK: UNMUTE YOUR MIC.
 - >> HELLO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: HE CAN HEAR YOU. PLEASE PROCEED. -- WE CAN HEAR YOU.
 - >> [INDISCERNIBLE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: SHERON LEAR.
 - >> CAN YOU HEAR ME?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: MS. LEAR?
 - >> CAN YOU HEAR ME?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YES, MA'AM. PLEASE PROCEED.
 - >> MY NAME IS
- >> MADAM CLERK: SHERON LEAR. FOUNDED IN 1991 TO PROTECT AND SERVE AND PRESERVE PARK REGIONS TRIBUTARY. ONE OF THE LARGEST IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY. WATER FLOW IS SCOTT RIVER, THE OHIGH RIVER, THE MISSISSIPPI, GULF OF MEXICO AND ATLANTIC OCEAN. I WOULD LIKE TO [INDISCERNIBLE] CREEK SWEEPS WE HELD ON FOR OUR CREEK. VOLUNTEERS REMOVED SEVERAL TONS OF APPLIANCES, CAR PARTS,

HOT WATER HEATERS, MATTRESSES, SHINGLES AND MOST RECENTLY LOTS OF STYROFOAM AND PLASTIC. THESE ARE HAZARDOUS TO OUR ENVIRONMENT. I'M IN OUR 60THYEAR WORKING FULL-TIME IN HEALTHCARE, WORKING WITH CHEMICALS, ALL 60 YEARS. I SET UP NEW TESTING FOR THE DETECTION OF CANCERS ON TUMORS THAT HAVE BEEN SURGICALLY REMOVED. I STUDY TESTING FOR NERVE DAMAGE CAUSED BY CHEMICAL POISONING IN AUSTRALIA. SEVERAL HEALTH RISKS INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LIVER DAMAGE, THYROID DISEASE, DECREASED FERTILITY, HIGH CHOLESTEROL, OBESITY, HORMONE SUPPRESSION, NEUROPATHY. MORE COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS PFOA OR PFOS ARE FLORINATED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS PART OF A LARGER GROUP OF COMPOUNDS KNOWN AS PARAFLORRAL SUBSTANCES OR PFAS. THESE DO NOT EVER BREAKDOWN IN THE ENVIRONMENT. UNFORTUNATELY, DUE TO MANUFACTURING PROCESS, PFOS AND PFOA WERE DUMPED INTO THE SOIL, EMITTED INTO THE AIR AND PUT INTO THE WATER, WELL INTO THE 1970S. CONTAMINATION IS ESTIMATED TO EXCEED 7,000 METRIC TONS OF FLORA CARBONS. MOST PEOPLE HAVE BEEN COMPOSED TO THIS. WE WANT TO ASK THE COUNCIL, WATER COUNCIL MEMBER AND USD, TO SET UP THE PROPER FILTRATION FOR OUR DRINKING WATER IN LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY. TO ELIMINATE THE CHEMICALS FROM OUR DRINKING WATER. THIS WOULD INVOLVE SETTING UP GRANULE ACT EVALUATED CARBON AND REVERSE OSMOSIS FILTERS THAT CAN REDUCE THE SUBSTANCES AND ION EXCHANGE. REMOVE THESE CHEMICALS IMMEDIATELY. [BEEPING] [INDISCERNIBLE]

- >> FILTRATION SET UP PROPERLY AND IT TAKES [INDISCERNIBLE]
 ON A DAILY BASIS AND NOT JUST SPORADICALLY LIKE SOME FILTRATION
 DURING [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] AGRICULTURAL FONTS. THANK YOU
 THE TIME AND IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF CITIZENS IN THE COMMONWEALTH
 OF KENTUCKY. MY CONDOLENCES OF FSEA WITH THE COUNCIL LADY
 BARBARA SHANKLIN AND HER FAMILY. THANK YOU. [BEEPING]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COTINA HEATH.
 - >> CAN YOU HEAR ME?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YES. WE CAN HEAR YOU.
 - >> [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: MS. HEATH, PLEASE PROCEED.
 - >> THIS IS COTINA. CAN YOU HEAR ME?
- >> MADAM CLERK: YES, MA'AM. YOU MAY PROCEED. ARE YOU STILL WITH US?
 - >> I'M -- MUTE.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: YOU MAY SPEAK. TEENA HALBIG. TEENA HALBIG.
- >> HELLO, CAN YOU HEAR ME? THANK YOU SO MUCH. [BACKGROUND NOISE] ON BEHALF OF [BACKGROUND NOISE] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DIVISION TO ADDRESS DEVELOPMENT OF [BACKGROUND NOISE] FOR CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION. ADDITIONALLY, VOICE WORK AND ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLEAN WATER CHAIR. THE TOPIC IS FOREVER CHEMICALS PFAF.

 CNN2019 FOREVER CHAMS AS A FAMILY OF THOUSANDS OF SYNTHETIC CHEMICALS PERSISTENT IN THE ENVIRONMENT AND BODIES.

[INDISCERNIBLE] POLYFLORAL, AND CHEMICALS, GENEX, DOCUMENTARY

MOVIES AND THE DEVIL WE KNOW PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAWSUIT GARNERING THE 670 MILLION FROM DUPONT, [INDISCERNIBLE] EXIST AND ONE IS HERE IN LOUISVILLE ON CAMP GROUND ROAD, FORMERLY KNOWN AS DUPONT. AS OF APRIL 2019, THEY CREATED MORE COMPANIES WITHOUT DISTRIBUTION IN COR TVA. EVERY PERSON HAS THIS CHEMICAL IN THEIR BLOOD, AS WELL AS NEWBORNS. KNOWN HEALTH AFFECTS NUMEROUS, REDUCED FERTILITY, LIVER DAMAGE, REDUCED EFFICACY OF VACCINES, [INDISCERNIBLE] AFFECTS OF CANCER, LIVER DAMAGE AND INCREASED FERTILITY AND ASTHMA AND THYROID DISEASE. PLENTY OF THESE SPECIFIC ASTHMA, OBESITY AND CANCER IN LOUISVILLE. WE ASK YOU TAKE SWIFT ACTION TO EMPLOYER LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY AND MSC TO USE EXISTING TECHNOLOGIES OF FILTRATION AND REVERSE OSMOSIS, NEEDED FOR GENEX, MSC WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGES INTO OHIO RIVER. LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY CAN I SHOULD REMOVE THESE FROM OUR DRINKING WATER. FOR MANY YEARS, THEY ONLY USED CHARCOAL FILTRATION OUT OF THE YEAR OR AS-NEEDED BASIS. WE ASK METRO COUNCIL TO HAVE THE WATER COMPANY, HAVE THE LEVELS REPORTED TO COMPANIES ON STATEMENTS AND ONLINE FOR FULL DISCLOSURE AND TRANSPARENCY AND AVERAGE FIND OF 5.6 PARTS PER TRILLION. WHILE UNREGULATED AT THIS POINT IN TIME, ENOUGH HEALTH AFFECTS HAVE BEEN REPORTED THAT IT'S VITAL TO PUBLIC BE INFORMED WHAT IS IN THEIR DRINKING WATER. ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDED ONE PART PER TRILLION IN DRINKING WATER. [BEEPING] 535, THE ACTION ACT, THE U.S. HOUSE IN 2019. AND HAS BEEN IN THE

- U.S. SENATE SINCE JANUARY, 2020. WE ASK THAT PEOPLE

 [INDISCERNIBLE] OPERATOR AS 12253121 TO ASK FOR SENATOR OR OTHER

 U.S. SENATORS TO SUPPORT THIS BILL TO REGULATE FOREVER CHEMICALS

 TO ADDRESS PUBLIC HEALTH. WE REQUEST LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL

 MEMBERS APPEAL LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY AND CMS LEADERSHIP TO

 IMPLEMENT REMOVAL METHODS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. HOWEVER --
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MS. HALBIG, YOUR THREE MINUTES ARE UP.
- >> OKAY. LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY SHOULD IMPLEMENT INFILTRATION YEAR-ROUND AND NOT JUST...
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, THIS CONCLUDES THE ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. NEXT, WE HAVE APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES.
 - >> MR. PRESIDENT? EXCUSE ME?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> DID WE CALL ON THE SPEAKER? I BELIEVE SHE WAS TRYING TO GET IN.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: IS MS. HEATH WHO YOU ARE SPEAKING OF,
 MR. ENGEL?
 - >> YEAH, THE LAST SPEAKER.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: DID WE CALL ON... THEY WERE HAVING TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND COULDN'T GET THEM IN.
 - >> SHE WAS THERE. DO WE HAVE SOMEONE WITH US RIGHT NOW?

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: SHE'S UNMUTED CURRENTLY. AND SHE'S ON RIGHT NOW BUT SHE'S NOT SAYING ANYTHING.
 - >> I DIDN'T HEAR YOU CALL FOR HER NAME.
 - >> COTINA HEATH.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: SHE'S NOT RESPONDING.
 - >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. JUST CHECKING.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. NEXT, WE HAVE APPROVAL OF
 THE COUNCIL MINUTES. REGULAR METRO COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 6,
 2020. ARE THERE ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS?
 - >> MOTION TO ACCEPT, MR. PRESIDENT, TRIPLET.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION TO ACCEPT, SECONDED BY COUNCIL
 MEMBER WINKLER. IT'S BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. ALL IN
 FAVOR, SAY, "AYE." OPPOSED? THE AYES HAVE IT. THESIS MINUTES ARE
 APPROVED AS WRITTEN. NEXT, WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING
 COMMITTEE MINUTES IN 2020. REGULAR COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE,
 AUGUST 6, 2020. SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION,
 AUGUST 10, 2020. REGULAR PLANNING AND ZONING MEETING, AUGUST 11,
 2020. REGULAR LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, AUGUST
 11, 2020. REGULAR PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE, AUGUST 11,
 2020. REGULAR COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, HEALTH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE,
 AUGUST 12, 2020. REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, AUGUST 12,
 2020. REGULAR BUDGET COMMITTEE, AUGUST 13, 2020. ARE THERE ANY

CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS? MAY I HAVE A MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. MINUTES HAVE BEEN PROPERTY MAY

I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND? ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, "AYE." OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT. THESE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN. MADAM

CLERK, DO WE HAVE ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR?

- >> MADAM CLERK: YES, SIR, WE DO.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: PLEASE ROAD READ THOSE INTO THE RECORD.
- >> MADAM CLERK: DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP BOARD. BEN
 LANGLEY, REAPPOINTMENT, NO TERM EXPIRATION. SARAH MOYER,
 REAPPOINTMENT, NO TERM EXPIRATION. RYLAN TRUMAN, REAPPOINTMENT,
 TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 31, 2023. NEVA-MARIE POLLEY, REAPPOINTMENT,
 TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 31, 2023. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD
 ONLY. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE APPOINTMENTS IS NOT
 REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES,
 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ORDINANCE APPEALS AND
 OVERSEERS BOARD, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING. CONNIE MENDEL,
 APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 17, 2022. THIS IS TO BE READ
 INTO RECORD ONLY. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE APPOINTMENTS
 IS NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT
 JAMES, I AM REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE KENTUCKY SCIENCE
 CENTER. STEVE GRUND, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023.

CHRISTY HARTMAN, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. CRAIG MOONEY, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. DR. SARAH MOYER, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. PRIYA MUKUNDAN, REAPPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. DR. PAUL DEMARCO, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. THOMAS GRAY, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. BLAKE HENRY, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. CARMEN MORENO-RIVERA, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. DR. GIAVONNE RONDO, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. TYLER YEOMAN, APPOINTMENT, TERM EXPIRES JULY 1, 2023. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD ONLY. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE APPOINTMENTS IS NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES, I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO THE PERMANENT BOARD FOR THE TAX APPEALS BOARD. MARY JOHN CELLITI, APPOINTMENT. TERM EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 30, 2023. THIS IS TO BE READ INTO THE RECORD. METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THESE APPOINTMENTS ARE NOT REQUIRED. SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. THESE

APPOINTMENTS NEEDING COUNCIL APPROVAL WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE

GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE. MADAM CLERK, LET THE

RECORD REFLECT, COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN IS IN VIRTUAL

ATTENDANCE.

>> MADAM CLERK: SO NOTED.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: OUR NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR. MADAM CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THESE ITEMS.

COMPRISES ITEMS 17 THROUGH 38. ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS? MADAM CLERK, A SECOND READING OF THESE ITEMS.

>> MADAM CLERK: THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS FORWARDED FROM THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$30,000 FROM DISTRICT 1 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS TO DEVELOP LOUISVILLE/LOUISVILLE FORWARD TO ADMINISTER TO METRO HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER TO ASSIST WITH EMERGENCY REPAIRS FOR LOW-INCOME HOMEOWNERS. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,000 FROM DISTRICT 8 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY MINISTRY FOR THEIR SENIOR LUNCH PROGRAM. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WAS FORWARDED FROM COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION. A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MORE EQUITABLE AND INCLUSIVE DEVELOPMENT. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION FORWARDED FROM GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE OF THE LOUISVILLE/ JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO COUNCIL TO APPROVE THE ANNEXATION BY THE CITY OF JEFFERSONTOWN OF A TRACT OF LAND SOUTH AND EAST OF JEFFERSONTOWN, WHICH LAND IS CONTIGUOUS WITH THE EXISTING BOUNDARY OF THE CITY OF JEFFERSONTOWN, BUT NOT CONTIGUOUS TO THE BOUNDARY OF THE FORMER CITY OF LOUISVILLE, AND BEING IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE FORMER COUNTY OF JEFFERSON.

APPOINTMENT OF PETE KIRVEN TO THE CHEROKEE TRIANGLE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (ARC), TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. APPOINTMENT OF JENNIFER SCHULTZ TO THE CHEROKEE TRIANGLE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE , TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF GAIL MORRIS TO THE CHEROKEE TRIANGLE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (ARC), TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. APPOINTMENT OF ERIC CROWLEY TO THE INDIVIDUAL LANDMARKS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. APPOINTMENT OF KATHY NICHOLS TO THE INDIVIDUAL LANDMARKS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF DAN PRESTON TO THE INDIVIDUAL LANDMARKS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF HERB SCHULHAFER TO THE INDIVIDUAL LANDMARKS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF RHONDA LAWSON TO THE LIMERICK ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF STEPHEN PETERSON TO THE LIMERICK ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. APPOINTMENT OF JEANA DUNLAP TO THE LIMERICK ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. APPOINTMENT OF BRENT SCHANDLING TO THE LIMERICK ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. APPOINTMENT OF JONATHAN KLUNK TO THE OLD LOUISVILLE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF RHONDA LAWSON TO THE PARKLAND ARCHITECTURAL

REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF RHONDA LAWSON TO THE WEST MAIN ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF EDIE NIXON TO THE CLIFTON ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, TERM EXPIRES NOVEMBER 2023. REAPPOINTMENT OF JOHN MOORE TO THE LOUISVILLE REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD, TERM EXPIRES JULY 15, 2024. APPOINTMENT OF CORNELIUS COTTON TO THE KENTUCKIANA WORKS - GREATER LOUISVILLE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD, TERM EXPIRES MARCH 8, 2023. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION FORWARDED FROM LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE. A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR LMPHW CONCERNING ALCOHOL AND DRUG COUNSELING SUPPORT AND SUPERVISION SERVICES (HEIDI SOLARZ-KUTZ - \$31,500.00). READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. MAY I HAVE A
MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER, SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER
PIAGENTINI. THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED
REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL CALL
VOTE]

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN. COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER. COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER? COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCILMAN REED.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, 23 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK, THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSES. NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS OLD BUSINESS. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 39 PLEASE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE THIRTIETH

 SUPPLEMENTAL SEWER AND DRAINAGE SYSTEM REVENUE BOND RESOLUTION

 ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF THE LOUISVILLE AND JEFFERSON COUNTY

METROPOLITAN SEWER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF THE DISTRICT'S SEWER AND DRAINAGE SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2020B. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. MOTION WINKLER, SECOND PIAGENTINI. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI, THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSIONS? COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT, I'M SORRY, TAKE THAT BACK. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD DESCRIBE THIS AS A ROUTINE AUTHORIZATION OF FINANCING FOR A BIO [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] REVENUE BOND [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] IN THE AMOUNT OF 97 [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] DOLLARS. THAT MSC IS PURSUING PURSUANT TO A NATIONAL ACT CALLED THE WATER [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] FINANCE INNOVATION ACT OF 2014. THE DEBT IS TO PAY FOR ABOUT 49% OF \$198 MILLION PROJECT. MUCH NEEDED IN THAT FACILITY. IT PASSED OUT OF PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE UNANIMOUSLY WITH [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] CONSENT CALENDAR BUT WE'RE PULLING IT OUT TO GIVE COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS THE OPPORTUNITY TO ABSTAIN FOR VOTING.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU. CAN YOU HEAR ME? THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. YES, I WILL BE ABSTAINING. IT WILL BE --

IT COULD BE PERCEIVED AS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST. BECAUSE OF A PRIVATE BUSINESS MATTER. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS. IS

THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE

REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?

[ROLL CALL VOTE]

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN. COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> ABSTAINING.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL. COUNCIL MEMBER
 MULVIHILL, CAN YOU SAY THAT AGAIN, PLEASE? COUNCIL MEMBER
 KRAMER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER IF YOU WILL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD. COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD?

 COULD YOU REPEAT THAT PLEASE?
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, 23 YES VOTES AND ONE ABSTENTION.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE APPOINTMENT PASSES. THE ORDINANCE PASSES, SORRY. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 40.
- >> MADAM CLERK: REAPPOINTMENT OF MICHAEL HICKS TO THE URBAN RENEWAL COMMISSION. TERM EXPIRES JUNE 30, 2024. READ IN FULL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION? MOTION
 BY COUNCIL MEMBER FOX. SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. THE
 APPOINTMENT IS BEFORE US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCIL
 MEMBER ACKERSON?
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAVE OUT OF TOWN THIS WEEK,
 AND COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI RAN THE MEETING, I WOULD DEFER TO
 HIM TO EXPLAIN WHAT TOOK PLACE. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI?

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> THANK YOU. THIS WAS PRETTY STANDARD REAPPOINTMENT FOR MICHAEL HICKS. THE RENEWAL COMMISSION, COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH ABSTAINED WE PUT ON OLD BUSINESS SO SHE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO ABSTAIN FROM THIS VOTE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

 I WILL BE ABSTAINING DUE TO A POSSIBLE PERCEIVED CONFLICT OF

 INTEREST. I'M SERVING MY 16THYEAR AS A TRUSTY AT THE HISTORICAL

 BLACK COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY IN THE COLLEGE OF KENTUCKY. MR.

 HICKS IS CURRENTLY EMPLOYED BY THE COLLEGE OF KENTUCKY. HE'S THE

 DIRECTOR OF OUR ONLINE EDUCATION AND SPECIAL TECHNOLOGICAL

 PROJECTS. I SIMPLY FELT THAT WAS THE SAFEST THING TO DO. THANK

 YOU.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN APPOINTMENT REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOLT DUE -- VOTE DO TO THE ABSTENTION, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN. COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> ABSTAIN.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER. COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> YES. -- COUNCIL MEMBER.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED. COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES. COUNCIL MEMBER YATES?
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 23 YES VOTES AND ONE ABSTENTION.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE APPOINTMENT PASSES.

 MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 41, PLEASE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: A RESOLUTION CREATING THE COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND INCLUSION AS A STANDING COMMITTEE OF METRO COUNCIL, AS AMENDED. READ IN FULL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND? MOTION

 COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI, SECOND COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. IT'S

 BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. DISCUSSION COUNCIL MEMBER

 ACKERSON?
- >> MR. PRESIDENT, DUE TO MY ABSENT LAST WEEK, I WOULD DEFER TO MY CO-CHAIR, COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
 COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND CHAIRMAN ACKERSON.

 UNANIMOUSLY VOICE VOTE IN COMMITTEE. ON OLD BUSINESS BECAUSE IT

 WAS AMENDED. HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS COLLEAGUES HAVE.

 OTHERWISE, IT PASSED UNANIMOUSLY ON COMMITTEE. THANK YOU.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?
 HEARING NONE, THIS IS A RESOLUTION ALLOWING FOR A ROLL CALL
 VOTE. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE CALL THE ROLL? [ROLL CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN. COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER

COAN.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, RESOLUTION PASSES. COULD SOMEBODY CHECK AND MAKE SURE THEIR MUTE BUTTON IS ON, PLEASE?

 MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 42.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE LOUISVILLE METRO
 CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ENSURE SAFE, UNOBSTRUCTED ENTRY TO AND
 EXIT FROM A HEALTHCARE FACILITY. READ IN FULL.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MAY I HAVE A MOTION PLEASE?
 - >> MOTION, WINKLER.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION WINKLER. SECOND PLEASE? SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SEEING AS HOW COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN HAS JOINED US, WE MAY DEFER TO HER PERHAPS.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: SHE... IS SHE THERE? THERE YOU ARE.

 COUNCILWOMAN SHANKLIN? OKAY, COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT, I THINK IT'S

 BACK TO YOU.
- >> VERY WELL. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE THAT DID COME OUT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE AT THE LENGTHY DISCUSSION, VERY DIVISIVE DISCUSSION, AND MANY PERSPECTIVES WERE SHARED. PERHAPS THE LONGEST COMMITTEE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE MEETING WE HAVE SEEN IN SINCE MERGER. BUT AT THIS TIME, WE WILL DEFER TO OUR AUTHOR OF THE ORDINANCE, BRANDON COAN, COUNCIL MEMBER COAN, IF WE WILL.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. LEGISLATION EXISTS IN DIFFERENT FORMS, SHAPES AND SIZES ALL OVER THE COUNTRY. IF THESE REGULATIONS ARE CONSTITUTIONAL SO LONG THEY ARE NARROWLY TAILORED, LEAD A SIGNIFICANT GOVERNMENT INTEREST AND LEAVE AMYL AMPLE CHANNELS OF COMMUNICATION AS THIS ORDINANCE IS DESIGNED TO DO. WE HAVE DEBATED HIS ISSUE IN OUR COUNCIL SO LONG AS I HAVE BEEN A MEMBER OF THIS BODY, DATING BACK TO AT LEAST 2017. AND IN MY JUDGMENT, IN LIGHT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC THE QUESTION PRESENTED TONIGHT IS NOT JUST A RIGHT BUT IT IS URGENT. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY AND PUBLIC HEALTH. PEOPLE HAVE THE RIGHT TO SAFE, DIGNIFIED ACCESS TO LEGAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES. WE ARE NOT HERE TONIGHT TO RELITIGATE ROE V. WADE. WE SHOULD NOT CONFLATE THE ISSUES BEFORE US, WE-- WITH THE ISSUES OF RACIAL JUSTICE AND FIRST AMENDMENT PROTESTS THAT IS GOING ON IN OUR CITY AND COUNTRY. THE TWO ARE UNRELATED. THE ORDINANCE ITSELF IS PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD AND CLEAR. I WON'T READ IT TO EVERYBODY BUT I WANTED TO GO THROUGH AND BRIEFLY DISCUSS THE SUBSTANCE OF IT BEFORE I GIVE MY CO-SPONSORS AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK ABOUT IT. THERE ARE ABOUT TWO PAGES OF WHEREAS CLAUSES IN THE PREAMBLE. AND THEY TALK A LOT ABOUT COVID-19. AND THE REAL URGENT HEALTH MATTERS THAT MAKE THE SITUATION WHERE PEOPLE HAVE UNWANTED PEOPLE APPROACHING THEM AS THEY TRY TO, AS THEY ARE PERHAPS MEDICALLY FRAGILE AND ENTERING A HEALTHCARE FACILITY, WHY THIS TRANSMISSIBLE VIRUS IS SO DANGEROUS. YOU WILL SEE ON

PAGE TWO IN THE SECOND AND THIRD WHEREAS CLAUSES THERE WERE NUMBERS WE STATED IN JULY WHEN WE FILED THIS ORDINANCE. I DON'T NEED TO MAKE THIS IN THE FORM OF AMENDMENT BUT I WANT TO NOTE ON THE SECOND WHEREAS CLAUSE ON PAGE TWO, WHEREAS OF JULY 16TH, THERE WERE 3,483,832 INDIVIDUALS IN THE UNITED STATES THAT HAD BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH COVID AND 21,083 OF THOSE IN KENTUCKY. AS OF TODAY, AUGUST 20TH, A MONTH LATER, THOSE NUMBERS ARE NOW UP TO 5,550,000 CASES IN THE UNITED STATES. AND 43,284 CASES IN KENTUCKY. THAT'S INCREASED OF 6,000 CASES IN OUR STATE JUST FROM THE TIME OF OUR COMMITTEE MEETING LAST WEEK. SIMILARLY, IN THE NEXT WHEREAS CLAUSE, AS OF JULY 16TH, THERE WERE 136,938 INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE DIED IN THIS COUNTRY FROM COVID-19. 650 PEOPLE WHO HAVE DIED IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY. THOSE NUMBERS UP TO 173,300 IN THE COUNTRY. AND 869 IN KENTUCKY AS OF TODAY, ANOTHER 70 PEOPLE IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY THAT DIED SINCE THE LAST COMMITTEE MEETING ON THIS. I DID ALSO WANT TO NOTE ONE TECHNICAL AMENDMENT I MENTIONED THIS IN THE COMMITTEE MEETING. THE BOTTOM OF PAGE TWO, SECTION ONE, A NEW SUBCHAPTER OF THE LOUISVILLE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THAT SHOULD BE THE WORD, ORDINANCES, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT LEGISLATION AND NOT -- THAT'S THE TYPO THERE. OTHERWISE, THE AMENDMENT SUBSTANTIVELY, THE ORDINANCE SUBSTANTIVELY IS PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD. WE LAYOUT FOUR DEFINITIONS IN SECTION ONE, DEFINITION FOR BUFFER ZONE, DRIVEWAY, ENTRANCE, AND OF COURSE, HEALTHCARE FACILITY. IN

SECTION 1B, THERE ARE SORT OF TWO REGULATIONS BEING LAID FORTH. ONE IS THAT NO PERSON SHALL BLOCK SOMEONE ON THEIR WAY INTO A HEALTHCARE FACILITY. AND THEN, B SUB 2, NO ONE CAN OBSTRUCT THE BUFFER ZONE. BUFFER ZONE. THOSE ARE SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT BUT RELATED. THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS WHO IS PERMITTED INTO THE BUFFER ZONE, THAT OF COURSE INCLUDES SOMEONE WHO IS SIMPLY PASSES PASSING THROUGH ON THEIR WAY TO ANOTHER DESTINATION. THE NEXT SECTION SAYS THAT LAYS OUT REQUIREMENTS FOR SIGNAGE. IF ANY ELIGIBLE HEALTHCARE FACILITY WANT TO APPLY FOR THE SIGNS AND MARKETINGS THAT ACCOMPANY THAT, THEY ARE ESSENTIALLY FILLING OUT AN APPLICATION, ENCROACHMENT PERMIT WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT AND THEY WILL INSTALL THE SIGN. THE PENALTY FOR VIOLATING THIS ORDINANCE ARE CIVIL PENALTIES. AND THEY ARE BASICALLY APPEALS, GOVERNED PURSUANT TO CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD PROCEEDINGS. THAT'S THE REFERENCE TO 32.283 IN SECTION B. AND THEN OF COURSE, AT THE END, THERE IS THE STANDARD SEVERABILITY AND LANGUAGE THAT SAYS WHEN THE ORDINANCE TAKES EFFECT. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY OUESTIONS ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE AND TEXT OF THE ORDINANCE. I WANT DO GET ANY OF THE EIGHT CO-SPONSORS OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON THE OVERALL ORDINANCE FIRST. I KNOW THAT OR THE COUNCILMEMBERS MIGHT HAVE AN AMENDMENT TO OFFER FOR OUR CONSIDERATION. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. A LITTLE BIT OF HISTORY. THIS PARTICULAR ORDINANCE IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN THE PAST. FIRST, REGARDLESS OF THE MESSAGE AND THE UNDERLINING POLITICAL AND PEOPLE'S VERY PERSONAL BELIEFS, I WAS VERY AGAINST ANY KIND OF LIMITATION AT ALL ON YOUR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE BASIC FOUNDATIONS OF THE PROTEST. WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH THE MESSAGE OR DON'T, I THINK RIGHT NOW, IS A HECK OF A TIME FOR US TO BE TALKING ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO LIMIT THE WAY PEOPLE ASSEMBLE. DEFINITELY HAS A LOT OF CALLS ON HERE. AND WHAT REALLY MADE ME THINK IS DOING RESEARCH ON WHAT THE SUPREME COURT HELD ON BUFFER ZONES ABOUT WHY THEY WOULD BE LIMITED IN CERTAIN ISSUES FOR THE PURPOSE OF SAFETY BROUGHT TO ME THE QUESTION OF, IS THERE A SAFETY ISSUE? AND WE WERE PRESENTED IN COMMITTEE. AND ASSISTANT CHIEF TESTIFIED THERE HAS BEEN NO SAFETY ISSUE BASED ON THEIR EXPERIENCE. IT DOESN'T MEAN THERE IS NOT INTIMIDATION AND MAYBE IT IS OR ISN'T. I'M NOT HERE TO TESTIFY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. BUT WHAT PUT ME ON IS TALKING TO THE PRIMARY SPONSOR, I ASKED DOES THIS APPLY TO ALL HEALTHCARE FACILITIES? THEY SAID YES. IS THERE REASON RELATED TO COVID? YES. DR. MOWER MOYER AND HER OPINION AS OR CDC, SHE BELIEVES IT'S NECESSARY TO ENSURE SAFETY. AND SHE TESTIFIED ON RECORD THAT SHE DID BELIEVE THAT. I SAID OKAY. IF THAT IS THE CASE, THEN IT HAS TO BE THE MOST NEARLY TAILORED IT CAN BE. IF YOU HAVE A PATHWAY, SIX FEET ON EACH SIDE, IF PEOPLE ARE THERE WITHIN SIX FEET. MAKES SENSE

BECAUSE IT'S WHERE THEY COME THROUGH. MY CONCERN WAS WHETHER OR NOT IT WOULD INTERFERE WITH SOMEONE'S RIGHT TO PROTEST OR OTHERWISE THE COUNCIL. SO WE HAD A COUPLE OF PEOPLE COME TO COMMITTEE SPEAKING. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS WAS WHETHER OR NOT THEY WOULD APPROACH OR GRAB AN INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS GOING IN FOR TREATMENT, A WOMAN. AND TIME AND TIME AGAIN, THEY SAID, NO, THEY WOULD NOT DO THAT. NEVER ASSAULT AN INDIVIDUAL OR INVADE THEIR PERSONAL SPACE WITHOUT PERMISSION. AND SO, SIX FEET ON EACH SIDE MEANS YOU CAN'T COME UP AND GRAB SOMEBODY, YOU CAN'T -- MY CONCERN IS WHETHER OR NOT, IF YOU HAVE TO HAND THEM A PIECE OF INFORMATION OR A PAMPHLET. IN ACTUALITY, WE KNOW THERE WON'T BE ENFORCEMENT ON THAT. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT HOW THE LAW IS WRITTEN OR THE ORDINANCE. AND SO I HAVE PROPOSED AN AMENDMENT AT THAT TIME. AND IF SOMEONE IS INVITED INTO THE BUFFER ZONE, AND THAT WAS VOTED DOWN BY REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS DIDN'T LIKE THAT. BECAUSE THE ANSWER WAS, TAKE A STEP CLOSER TO THEM IF THEY WANT TO. AND THAT MAKES SENSE. AGAIN, WE CAME THROUGH. WE TALKED ABOUT THE BUFFER ZONE IS IN PLACE FOR SOMEONE'S ONLY SECURITY AND SAFETY AND HEALTH INTO IT, HOW DO YOU ENFORCE THAT? AND EXAMPLES OF OTHER PRIVATE FOR PROFIT BUSINESSES, WHICH I BELIEVE IN THE EMW IS AS WELL, HOT TOPIC BECAUSE OF THE POLITICAL DIVISION THERE. IS THEY OUGHT TO -- THEY WILL HIRE THEIR OWN PRIVATE SECURITY OR POLICE? COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL HAD AN AMENDMENT THAT SAID TO HIRE THEIR OWN POLICE OR THEIR OWN

SECURITY. THERE WAS A BIG DEBATE OVER THAT BECAUSE OF SOME ADDITIONAL BURDEN THAT MAY CAUSE. IN ACTUALITY I BELIEVE THERE ARE PEOPLE THERE AS SECURITY PERSONNEL COMING AND GOING. PART OF A COMPROMISE, TALKING TO SPONSOR IS THE ISSUE THERE WAS THE COST. IF THEY USE VOLUNTEERS. OTHER ORGANIZATIONS USE VOLUNTEERS. [INDISCERNIBLE] I THINK THERE WAS A COMPROMISE COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL MAY PUT FORWARD THAT SAYS, ESSENTIALLY TO BE PAID OR UNPAID SECURITY PERSONNEL. TO ME, IT MAKES IT MORE PALATABLE. FINALLY, THE NECESSITY IT COMES ABOUT BECAUSE OF COVID IS WHAT WE HEARD OVER AND OVER AGAIN BECAUSE OF THE DANGER. I'LL TELL YOU, REGARDLESS HOW I FEEL ON ANY ISSUE, AND I BELIEVE I KNOW A LOT OF MY COLLEAGUES FEEL THE SAME, WE HAVE TO BE VERY CAREFUL IF WE OBSTRUCT ANYONE'S ABILITY TO PROTEST. IF WE TRY TO LIMIT THE ABILITY TO BE HEARD, IF WE TRY TO LIMIT THE WILLING TO BE CONFRONTATIONAL ABOUT THE MESSAGE. WE'RE SEEING THAT. AND SO WE CAN'T EMBRACE IT ON ONE BLOCK AND LIMIT IT ON ANOTHER. I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT WE HOLD TRUE TO THAT. I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO GO AROUND AND AROUND. SO I THOUGHT BY PUTTING THOSE IN FRONT OF US EARLY ON, WE HAMMERED IT OUT. I'M HOPING PEOPLE DON'T DO TOO MUCH POLITICAL THINGS ON -- ON THIS THING. I WOULD -- I WAS GOING TO MAKE A MOTION TO LIMIT US TO 90 MINUTES AND AND FOR A SECOND.

>> SECOND.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN IS A SECOND ON THE MOTION TO LIMIT THE DEBATE. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, "AYE." ALL THOSE OPPOSED. MADAM CLERK, A ROLL CALL VOTE PLEASE. [ROLL CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> NO.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> [OFF MIC]
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER? COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED. COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
 - >> NO.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 15 YES VOTES AND NINE NO VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE MOTION PASSES. COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. WE DISCUSSED IN OUR CAUCUS AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, AND ELUDED TO BY COUNCIL MEMBER YATES, WE WERE LOOKING AT A, YOU KNOW, A WAY TO, I FIRST TOOK IT UPON MYSELF TO SORT OF DO SOME RESEARCH BECAUSE WE USE THE WORD, WHEN WE SAID WE WERE GOING TO PROVIDE BUFFER ZONES FOR HEALTHCARE FACILITIES. I STARTED LOOKING AROUND TOWN, ASKING QUESTIONS AND REALIZED MOST OF OUR HOSPITALS, IF THEY WERE TO HAVE A BUFFER ZONE, THEY WOULD HAVE SECURITY IN PLACE. THEY ALREADY DO. AND I STARTED ASKING OTHER ENTITIES, WHAT KIND OF SECURITY OR THINGS YOU HAVE IN PLACE INTERNALLY TODAY. AND I TALKED TO THE FAMILY HEALTHCARE CENTERS, THERE IS EIGHT LOCATIONS. THEY SERVE OUR FORCE MOST VULNERABLE RESIDENTS, PROVIDES FOR SECURITY AND A FOURTH USED TO BUT CURRENTLY DOESN'T. IT WAS ACTUALLY THE ONLY LOCATION THAT WILL QUALIFY FOR A BUFFER ZONE BECAUSE IT'S

DIRECTLY ADJACENT TO A SIDEWALK. AT THE COMMITTEE I DID OFFER AN AMENDMENT. AT THAT TIME, THAT WAS JUST PAID SECURITY AND FOLKS, YOU KNOW, I DID HEAR SOME FEEDBACK THAT'S NOT FAIR. FOLKS WANT TO HAVE IT, BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO HAVE IT PAID. I LOOKED AT WHAT WE DO IN OUR CODE OF ORDINANCES WHEN WE PROVIDE SECURITY LICENSES. AND TALKED ABOUT HAVING FOLKS EVEN TAKE A COURSE. AND HAVE THAT PART OF IF THEY WANTED A BUFFER ZONE THEY WOULD PROVIDE SECURITY AND IT COULD BE UNPAID BUT THEY WOULD HAVE TO TAKE A TRAINING COURSE. FOLKS STILL THOUGHT THAT WAS TOO ONEROUS AND UNDULY BURDENSOME ON ENTITIES AND SAID, LET'S DON'T GET INTO THAT EITHER. AND HAD TALKED TO THE SPONSORS A COUPLE OF OF THE SPONSORS AND WORKED WITH COUNCIL MEMBER YATES TO COME UP WITH A DIFFERENT APPROACH. BASICALLY, THE THINKING BEHIND THIS IS IF YOU ARE A PRIVATE BUSINESS NO MATTER WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS, IN THIS CASE, IT'S A HEALTHCARE FACILITY AND YOU NEED A BUFFER ZONE OR THINK YOU DO, WELL, IT MIGHT BE JUST MINE BUT I THINK THERE IS OTHERS. IT'S PROBABLY INCUMBENT UPON YOU IF YOU NEEDS IT FOR SAFETY REASONS, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO CONTRIBUTE TO MAKE IT SAFER. YOU HAVE A PUBLIC SIDEWALK FOR THE PUBLIC TO USE, PRETTY LIBERALLY. WHERE PEOPLE CAN HOLD UP SIGNS, ASK FOR CHANGE, WALK OR RUN ON IT. IF YOU ARE GOING TO CHANGE WHAT PEOPLE CAN DO ON A SIDEWALK AND IT'S GOING TO BE, YOU DECIDE HOW IT'S USED OR AT LEAST A PORTION, AND DOING IT FOR SAFETY REASONS, I DO THINK IT'S INCUMBENT THAT YOU MAKE SURE THERE IS A SAFE ENVIRONMENT. I

THINK YOU VIEW THE PERSON OR THE BUSINESS THAT IS REQUESTING IT. I THINK IT'S HELPFUL IF THEY HAVE SECURITY. POLICE CAN'T BE THERE AND WON'T BE THERE ALL THE TIME. BUT HAVING SOMEONE WITH SOME AUTHORITY TO TRY TO MAKE SURE IT'S SAFE OR TO, IF THERE IS A SITUATION TO CALM THAT SITUATION. I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT. THAT PROMPTED ME TO HAVE MORE DISCUSSION TO MAKE IT SO THAT YOU WOULD HAVE SECURITY. FRANKLY, NOT BE UNDULY BURDENSOME. WE LEARNED TODAY, WHICH I FOUND INTERESTING AND COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH, WE PASSED TWO YEARS AGO, WE GAVE TO AN ENTERTAINMENT DESTINATION ZONE, YOU GET TO USE WASHINGTON STREET AND CLOSE IT DOWN FOR YOUR USAGE. BUT THEY REQUIRE MORE STRINGENT THAN THE AMENDMENT I'M GOING TO OFFER, PROFESSIONAL SECURITY TO MAKE SURE THE ENVIRONMENT REMAINS SAFE. I KNOW WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT FOLKS THAT MAYBE DRINKING OR PARTYING ON THE STREET AS OPPOSED TO FOLKS ENTERING AND EXITING A MEDICAL FACILITY. IF IT'S IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO THE EXCLUSIVITY OF ONE ENTITY BECAUSE THEY DEEM IT SAFER, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THEY PARTICIPATE IN MAKING IT SAFER. HOW I THINK THAT HAPPENS IS THEY PROVIDE SOME SENSE OF SECURITY THROUGH PERSONNEL. WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AN AMENDMENT TO THE DEFINITION OF HEALTHCARE FACILITY. AND I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION AND READ INTO THE RECORD IF I GET A SECOND.

>> SECOND, TRIPLETT.

>> OKAY. MR. PRESIDENT, IF I CAN, WILT -- WILL YOU INDULGE ME?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL,

SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. AND COUNCIL MEMBER

MULVIHILL IS GOING TO READ INTO THE RECORD HIS AMENDMENT.

>> HEALTHCARE FACILITY MEANS ANY INSTITUTION, PUBLIC OR
PRIVATE, WHETHER ORGANIZED, FOR PROFIT OR NOT, USED, OPERATED OR
DESIGNED TO PROVIDE MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT, NURSING,
REHABILITATIVE OR PREVENTIVE CARE AND INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED
TO ABORTION SERVICES, ALCOHOL ABUSE, DRUG ABUSE AND MENTAL
HEALTH SERVICES. AND THEN THIS IS THE PART THAT I'M ADDING. AND
HAS DESIGNATED PERSONNEL EITHER PAID OR UNPAID TO PROVIDE FOR
THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF PATIENTS AND VISITORS THAT COME TO
THE FACILITY. AND THEN THE REMAINDER READ, THAT IS THE
AMENDMENT. AND THEN THE REMAINDER IS, WHICH WAS THERE BEFORE.
THIS SHALL INCLUDE GROUNDS, DRIVEWAYS AND PARKING LOTS IN WHICH
THEY HAVE OWNERSHIP OR INTEREST. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANYBODY THAT IS

UNCLEAR ON THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL? IS

THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCILMAN

MULVIHILL? COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] THE WORD PERSONNEL AND I KNOW I HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL. PERSONNEL HAS SOME

IMPLICATIONS OF AN EMPLOYEE. I WOULD SUGGEST CHANGING THAT TO A DESIGNATED PERSON. AND THEN CONTINUE ON WITH THE DEFINITION AS LISTED. SUBSTITUTING THE WORD FOR A DESIGNATED PERSON FOR DESIGNATED PERSONNEL.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL, DO YOU ACCEPT THAT?
- >> I DON'T KNOW WE REQUIRE THIS BE AN EMPLOYEE AS OPPOSED

 TO SOME OTHER --
- >> I'M OKAY WITH THE CHANGE. IF YOU WANT YOU CAN PUT PERSON
 AND PUT AN "S" BEHIND IT BECAUSE IT COULD BE PERSONS.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY.
 - >> THAT'S FINE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN KRAMER.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M NOT -- I'VE BEEN PAYING
 ATTENTION. IT'S NOT LIKE I'M NOT FOLLOWING. BUT I'M NOT 100%
 CLEAR THAT I RECOGNIZE THAT WHAT THE INTENT IS. LET ME SAY THAT
 DIFFERENTLY. AS I LISTEN TO WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED I HAVE VERY
 GRAVE CONCERNS THAT WHAT THE STATED INTENT OF THE AMENDMENT IS
 AND WHAT THE ACTUAL RESULT OF THE AMENDMENT WOULD BE ARE VERY
 DIFFERENT THINGS. IF THE AMENDMENT IS SUPPOSED TO BE TO
 GUARANTEE AND PROTECT SAFETY AT THE CLINIC AND IF IT'S SUPPOSED
 TO BE ALL ABOUT MAKING SURE THAT THE BUFFER ZONE IS BEING
 RESPECTED, I WOULD POINT OUT THAT THE PERSONS WHO WOULD BE

RESPONSIBLE FOR ENFORCING THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD BE EMPLOYED BY THE PERSON, BY THE ENTITY THAT DOESN'T WANT PEOPLE ANYWHERE NEAR THE ENTRANCE TO THEIR FACILITY. AND SO IT DOESN'T SEEM A STRETCH TO ME THAT THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD BE AT WHATEVER DISTANCE THAT SECURITY ESTABLISHED THE BUFFER ZONE WOULD BE. I KNOW THE ORDINANCE WOULD SAY 12 FEET BUT THE PERSON THERE WHO WOULD BE ENFORCING THE ORDINANCE WOULD BE AN EMPLOYEE OF THE ENTITY THAT DOESN'T WANT ANYONE NEAR THE FACILITY. IF YOU WERE WANTING TO EXPRESS YOUR RIGHTS TO FREE SPEECH AND YOU WERE TO BE ON THAT SIDEWALK, AND IF AND OVERZEALOUS SECURITY PERSON WERE TO INSIST YOU WERE NOT ALLOWED TO BE EVEN WITHIN THE 12 FEET OR CLOSE TO WHERE THE 12 FEET IS, YOUR ONLY RECOURSE IS TO CALL THE POLICE. I DON'T SEE WHERE FOLKS WHO ARE TRYING TO ABIDE BY THE LAW ARE GOING TO FEEL LIKE THEY ARE IN A PLACE TO CALL THE POLICE. AND IF THEY DO, I DON'T KNOW HOW HIGH OF A PRIORITY FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO RUSH DOWN TO THE ABORTION CLINIC TO MAKE SURE THE SECURITY PERSONNEL, PAID BY THE ABORTION CLINIC, AREN'T PROHIBITING PEOPLE'S FREE SPEECH. I JUST... IT STRIKES ME AS ODD WE WOULD CLAIM SOMEHOW THAT THIS SECURITY WOULD BE IN PLACE TO GUARANTEE PROTECTION WHEN IT SEEMS SO OBVIOUS TO ME THAT THE SECURITY WOULD BE IN PLACE PRECISELY TO STOP FREE SPEECH. I WOULD HOPE MY COLLEAGUES RECOGNIZE THAT. AND THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN KRAMER.
COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL CAN YOU READ THE SECOND PART OF THE AMENDMENT FOR ME BEFORE I ASK MY QUESTION. YOUR MIC IS NOT ON, SIR.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL.
 - >> READ INTO THE LANGUAGE I INTEND TO ADD?
 - >> YES, PLEASE. NOT THE DEFINITION, THE SECOND PART.
- >> THE PART THAT IS GOING TO BE IN ADDITION TO IT. AND HAS NOW AFTER COUNCILWOMAN HOLLANDER DESIGNATED PERSON, PERSONS, EITHER PAID OR UNPAID TO PROVIDE FOR THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF PATIENTS AND VISITORS THAT COME TO THE FACILITATE.
- >> IS IT THE INTENT OF YOUR AMENDMENT THAT THERE IS SOME

 SORT OF TRAINING REQUIRED OF THESE PAID OR UNPAID VOLUNTEERS? OR

 THEY BE DESIGNATED IN SUCH A ROLE?
- >> BASED ON OUR DISCUSSIONS AND WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN
 CAUCUS AND THE TRAINING COMPONENT AND TALKING WITH TWO COSPONSORS AND COUNCIL MEMBER YATES. IT'S NOT TO BE TRAINED. THAT
 WILL BE LEFT TO THE FACILITY TO HAVE TRAINED OR NOT TRAINED. TO
 HAVE SOMEONE DESIGNATED IS ALL THAT IS REQUIRED.
 - >> THANK YOU, SIR. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. MY QUESTION IS FOR COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL. IT SAYS AMENDMENT AS PUT IT FOURTH EARLIER
 [INDISCERNIBLE] UNFRIENDLY FOR ME. [INDISCERNIBLE] VERY NERVOUS

ABOUT THE CHANGE, DESIGNATE SOMEONE WITH PERHAPS ZERO TRAINING,
NOT AN EMPLOYEE. TO BE THE PERSON OR PERSONS WHO IS GOING TO BE
THE SECURITY THERE. FOR ME, THE ORIGINAL POINT WAS TO TRY TO
MAKE IT A SITUATION WHERE YOU HAD A SECURITY PERSON DOWN THERE
WHO WOULD BECAUSE OF THEIR TRAINING WOULD BE MORE LIKELY
DEESCALATE ISSUES AND MAKE IT LESS LIKELY THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO
USE POLICE OFFICERS DOWN THERE THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO RESPOND
TO THINGS. PUTTING SOMEONE IN THE POSITION WHO IS NOT TRAINED I
THINK THAT'S JUST THE OPPOSITE. I THINK IT IS LIKELY TO ESCALATE
ISSUES AND MAKE IT MORE LIKELY THAT POLICE OFFICERS WILL HAVE TO
BE DOWN THERE MORE FREQUENTLY. WHILE I SUPPORT IT ORIGINALLY, I
WON'T BE ABLE TO SUPPORT IF IN THE OTHER VERSION. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN YATES.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I KNOW THAT SOMETIMES WE DROP
THESE AMENDMENTS ON THE FLY, TAKES A WHILE TO DIGEST. AS SOMEONE
WHO IS TRIED CASES, DEFENDED BUSINESSES WITH REGARD TO SECURITY
PERSONNEL AND BROUGHT CASES FORWARD AS A SECURITY PERSONNEL, I
CAN TELL YOU THAT MY EXPERIENCE IS -- IF SOMEONE CAN MUTE INSIDE
THE CHAMBERS. WE HAVE AN ECHO GOING ON. MY EXPERIENCE IS THE
CIVIL COURT WORKS ITSELF OUT. TO ME, I THOUGHT IT WAS VERY
IMPORTANT IF A FACILITY A PRIVATE FACILITY IS ASKING FOR A
SAFETY BUFFER ZONE BECAUSE OF SAFETY, OBVIOUSLY, THAT WOULD BE
PUT OFF TO THE [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] TOTAL. THERE SHOULD BE

A DESIGNATED PERSON. BECAUSE IF YOU JUST HAVE RANDOM PEOPLE OUT THERE WHO MAY FEEL PASSIONATE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, THAT'S WHEN THINGS ESCALATE. WHEN THERE IS AN AGENT, UNDER THE LAW, AN AGENT DESIGNATED BY THE FACILITY, ALL OF A SUDDEN, THERE IS A LEVEL OF RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY. I THINK THAT'S THE IMPORTANT PART. IT'S UP TO EACH INDIVIDUAL BUSINESS TO DECIDE WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO, IF THEY REACH THAT STANDARD OF CARE, IF THEY THINK THEY NEED TO SEND THEIR PERSON, VOLUNTEER OR HELPER TO TRAINING, THEY NEED TO DECIDE WHAT THAT STANDARD SHOULD BE. I THINK THAT I'VE LEARNED WITH PRIVATE BUSINESSES, I TRY NOT TO OVERREGULATE. I DON'T BELIEVE WE NEED TO DO THAT. I BELIEVE THE JUSTICE OR THE CIVIL SYSTEM KIND OF DOES THAT FOR US. IN THIS SITUATION, IF THERE IS GOING TO BE, IF THERE IS A SAFETY ISSUE AND THERE IS A BUFFER ZONE, MOSTLY TO AVOID UNWANTED CONTACT DURING THIS COVID ISSUE. THERE IS SOMEONE TO SAY, THIS IS THE BUFFER ZONE, THIS HAS BEEN DESIGNATED BY ORDINANCE SUCH AND SUCH. IF THERE IS A QUESTION, THAT'S THE PERSON THE POLICE OFFICER HAS TO TALK TO. TO COUNCILMAN KRAMER'S CONCERN THAT SOMEHOW IT MAKES IT STRONGER, THERE IS NOTHING TO PREVENT ANY PRIVATE BUSINESS FROM HIRING SECURITY AND ENFORCING IT AT ALL. IT'S NOT THIS THIS ORDINANCE MAKES IT STRONGER. IT'S SIMPLY SAYING IF YOU ARE GOING TO APPLY FOR A BUFFER ZONE FOR A SAFETY ISSUE, THAT THERE BE SOMEONE DESIGNATED THAT CAN ENFORCE THAT AND UNDERSTAND HOW [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] HOPEFULLY BE ABLE

TO ARTICULATE WHAT THOSE ISSUES ARE. MY WORRY IS IF THERE IS NO ONE OUT IN THE BUFFER ZONE YOU HAVE PEOPLE WHO ARE PASSIONATE ABOUT THE ISSUE COMING AND GOING DESPITES WHAT SIDE YOU ARE, AND THAT'S WHEN THINGS ESCALATE. IF SOMETHING ESCALATES WITH AN AGENT, THEY ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE IN A COURT OF LAW. THAT'S WHY I WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE AMENDMENT. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL, I UNDERSTOOD THE REASON FOR WRITING IT AND KEEPING IT IN LINE WITH A LOT OF OUR OTHER PRIVATE BUSINESSES. AND TO THE SPONSORS, I UNDERSTOOD THE RELUCTANCY BECAUSE OF UNDUE BURDENS. I THINK IT ACHIEVES EVERYBODY'S WORRY AND UNDERSTANDING. -- THEREFORE, I'M IN SUPPORT OF IT. THANK YOU.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> WE'LL HAVE TRAINED SECURITY ME, IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

 WHAT IF THE POSSIBILITY, THE TRAINED PERSONNEL ARE NOT AVAILABLE

 THAT DAY OR THE BACK UP ARE NOT AVAILABLE THAT DAY? WHO IS GOING

 TO ENFORCE THE BUFFER ZONE? ARE WE TALKING ABOUT EMPLOYEES? ARE

 WE TALKING ABOUT NURSES? ARE WE TALKING ABOUT DOCTORS THAT ARE

 GOING TO GO OUT AND PUT THEMSELVES INTO A PRECARIOUS SITUATION.

 MAYBE COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL CAN SPEAK TO THAT.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> I WILL TRY TO GIVE YOU THE BEST ANSWER. I HAD THE
 CONVERSATION WITH ONE OF THE SPONSORS. IF THERE IS NOT A
 DESIGNATED PERSON DURING THE HOURS OF OPPOSITION AND THE BUFFER
 ZONE TO BE THERE, SOMEBODY SAID AT 3:00 P.M., LET'S SAY IT'S THE

CLINIC, MAY STILL BE OPEN. BUT THERE IS NOT A DESIGNATED PERSON.

I GUESS AT THAT POINT, THE BUFFER ZONE IS NOT A BUFFER ZONE.

THAT WAS OUR DISCUSSION EARLIER. THAT'S WHERE I WOULD FALL ON

THAT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

COUNCILMAN YATES, DO YOU HAVE A COMMENT?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I THINK THEY FOLLOW THE LINES
THE BUFFER ZONE IS A SAFETY ISSUE, THEY ARE SAYING IT IS NEEDED
FOR PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES. WHEN THE PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE IS THERE
AND AFFECT THE BUFFER ZONE THERE SHALL BE A DESIGNEE TO LOOK
OVER IT, ENFORCE IT OR BE RESPONSIBLE. IN THE EVENT THERE IS NOT
A NEED FOR BUFFER ZONE OR NOT ANYONE THERE TO ENFORCE IT, I
GUESS THE TREE FALLS IN THE WOODS, DOES IT MAKE A NOISE. IF
THERE IS NO ONE TO ENFORCE IT, IT DOESN'T MATTER. IF YOU ARE
GOING TO HAVE A BUFFER ZONE, THERE HAS TO BE AN AGENT OR SOMEONE
TO BE RESPONSIBLE. OTHERWISE, WE'RE JUST PASSING THE POLITICAL
ORDINANCE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN YATES. COUNCILMAN REED, DID THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION? THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN COAN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'VE TRIED TO WORK WITH

COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL AND YATES IN GOOD FAITH ON [AUDIO CUTTING

IN AND OUT] TO COME TO SOME LANGUAGE THAT ADDRESSES THEIR

CONCERNS. I PREVIOUSLY WAS OPPOSED TO THE SECURITY AMENDMENT IN

[AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. AND I THINK

THAT THIS CREATES A LOT OF QUESTIONS STILL. CAN YOU ALL HEAR ME?

I'M SORRY, I'M GETTING TEXT MESSAGES.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOU SOUND BETTER NOW.
- >> CAN YOU HEAR ME? I GOT A MESSAGE SAYING IT WAS CHOPPY.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: I THINK WHEN YOU SPEAK LOUDER IT COMES THROUGH BETTER.

>> THANK YOU. I JUSTICE -- THINK THE AMENDMENT, ALTHOUGH OFFERED IN GOOD FAITH, CREATES A LOT OF QUESTIONS AND I TOO AM WORRIED ABOUT THE [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] OF SOME DESIGNATED PERSON. DESIGNATED TO WHO, BY WHO, WHO IS SUPPOSED TO DO WHAT? THE ZONE ITSELF IS TO OR FOR THE POLICE TO BE ABLE TO USE AS A [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] TO CLEAR AN AREA OR TO INTERACT WITH SOMEONE WHO IS VIOLATING IT. REALLY, A POLICE OFFICER SHOULD BE THE ONLY PERSON OR LAW ENFORCEMENT OR PEACE OFFICER, WHO IS USING THE ZONE AS IT TOOL. IT'S SUPPOSED TO EXIST TO BE A BENEFIT FOR THE HEALTHCARE FACILITY AND ALL THE PERSONS IN THE [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] ENTERING OR EXITING IT. ALL THE TIME. JUST LIKE A LOADING OR UNLOADING ZONE OR SOME OTHER MINOR REGULATION OF POLICY. [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] INTENDED TO BE PREDICTABLE, RELIABLE, CONSIST TO THE POINT OF I THINK IT WAS --ASKING THE QUESTION ABOUT OR CASTING THE QUESTION ABOUT DEPENDENCE ON THE ZONE, WHETHER OR NOT A PERSON IS THERE TO ATTEND TO IT OR NOT. PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO DO IT BUT I THINK IT [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] ON THE OTHER BUFFER ZONE ORDINANCES

THAT EXIST ACROSS THE COUNTRY, THERE ARE NO OTHER EXAMPLES WHERE THE DEFINITION FOR HEALTHCARE FACILITY HAS SOME KIND OF SECURITY REQUIREMENT. I CAN'T THINK OF ANY OTHER BUSINESS, ORGANIZATION, [AUDIO CUTTING IN AND OUT] OR INSTITUTION WE REQUIRE PRIVATE SECURITY FROM THEM TO ACCESS THE FULL RIGHTS TO POLICE AND OTHER GOVERNMENT SERVICES. I STILL HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS AT THIS TIME. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN COAN. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.

- >> I GUESS MY QUESTION IS PROBABLY FOR COUNCILMAN YATES BUT
 MAYBE FOR COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL. IT RELATES A LITTLE BIT TO THE
 ENFORCEMENT PART. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN SPEAK TO LIKE WHAT IS
 THE EXPECTED ENFORCEMENT OF THE DESIGNATED SECURITY? I WORRY
 ABOUT -- I THINK I UNDERSTAND THE INTENT, WHICH IS THE
 VISIBILITY CREATES ENFORCEMENT BUT I WORRY WE'RE BASICALLY
 ASKING A PRIVATE CITIZEN TO ACT AS A DEPUTIZED LAW ENFORCEMENT
 OFFICIAL. I DON'T KNOW, COUNCIL MEMBER YATES OR MULVIHILL,
 WHOEVER IS BEST.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT AND COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. I
 THINK IN ACTUALITY, I DON'T THINK THERE IS GOING TO BE A WHOLE
 LOT OF ENFORCEMENT OF THIS AT ALL. I THINK THIS IS MORE
 POLITICAL THAN ANYTHING. I THINK THE REASON THIS IS A DEBATE IT
 IS BECAUSE OF THE EMW CLINIC THERE, AND I THINK THAT'S WHY THIS

IS AN ISSUE. WHEN DR. MOYER SAID SHE THOUGHT IT WAS NECESSARY FOR ALL HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, I THINK THAT'S WHY IT'S MOVING FORWARD. IF WE'RE GOING TO DRAW A LINE IN A PRIVATE AREA, THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO IT ANYTIME. WHENEVER YOU DO IT TO A PUBLIC AREA, THEN THIS CITY HAS OVER AND OVER SET CERTAIN GUIDELINES, WITH THE ALLEY FOR INSTANCE, YOU CAN BLOCK OFF THE STREET BUT YOU HAVE TO HAVE A PRIVATE SECURITY MEETING CERTAIN OUALIFICATIONS. I THINK THE IDEA IS TO NOT CREATE ADDITIONAL BURDEN ON THE POLICE THAT THEY HAVE TO BE THERE, ESPECIALLY IN TIMES WHEN WE OBVIOUSLY ARE STRETCHED THIN. I THINK IN ACTUALITY, THE ONLY THING IT DOES IS MAKE SURE YOU DON'T HAVE ROGUE PEOPLE OUT THERE SAYING THIS IS THE BUFFER ZONE, THIS ISN'T THE BUFFER ZONE, CREATES ADDITIONAL ARGUMENT. YOU HAVE SOMEONE IF A POLICE OFFICER SHOWS UP, THEY KNOW WHO THEY ARE TALKING TO. WHEN I WAS GOING TO UNDERGRAD IN COLLEGE, I WORKED FOR THE DOOR AT THE FACILITY FOR A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. AND I NEVER ACTUALLY ENGAGED IN ANY KIND OF, NOT MUCH OF ANYTHING WITH ANYONE, WHEN THE OFFICER WOULD SHOW UP. IS EVERYTHING OKAY, IS SOMETHING GOING ON? THERE WAS SOMETHING THEY CAN TALK TO. AN INDIVIDUAL THERE, USUALLY CAN CREATE A DIALOGUE, HOPEFULLY NOT TO ESCALATE THINGS. I'M BEING HOPEFUL. BUT I THINK IT'S BETTER THAN NO ONE. IF WE DRAW THIS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY AND THERE IS NO ONE THERE TO ENFORCE, NOTHING, IT'S ALL PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE PASSIONATE ABOUT ISSUES, I THINK IT'S A DANGEROUS SITUATION.

I THINK IT'S A DANGEROUS SITUATION ANYTHING, BUT TO HELP MITIGATE SOME OF IT. AS IT STANDS IN EVERY SINGLE HEALTHCARE FACILITATE IT ALREADY EXISTS THEY HAVE PEOPLE HANDING OUT THERE. BUT THEY ARE NOT DESIGNATED. AND I THINK WHEN YOU ARE DESIGNATED, AND IT CAN BE I'M AN AGENT OF THE FACILITY, I WORK THERE, ANYWHERE THERE IS ACCOUNTABILITY, I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT. THERE HAS TO BE A LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY. IT CAN'T BE JOHN JOE SMITH WHO SHOWS UP AND THEY KNOCK SOMEBODY'S HEAD SIDEWAYS BUT NOT RELATED TODAY THE FACILITY OR GROUP. THERE IS NO LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY. IF WE'RE GOING TO WRITE MAKE BELIEVE LEGISLATION AND ORDINANCES THEY MAY OR MAY NOT GET ENFORCED, I THINK WE SHOULD DO IT CORRECTLY AND APPLY EVENTUALLY. IF WE EVENLY -- IF THEY ARE REQUIRED TO HIRE SECURITY, HERE IF WE'RE SAYING IF YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO ENFORCE A BUFFER ZONE FROM A CERTAIN TIME, WHO IS THE AGENT, THE DESIGNATED PERSON. I THINK IT'S THE MOST MINIMUM INTRUSIVE BECAUSE OF THE COMPROMISE THAT'S MADE. AND TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, THE TRUTH IS, I DON'T THINK THERE IS A WHOLE LOT OF ENFORCEMENT ON ANY OF THIS AT ALL. I THINK IT'S SIMILAR TO THE FIRE LANES WHERE PEOPLE SAY YOU DON'T PARK IN THE FIRE LANE, I THINK THAT'S WHAT IT IS. I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE EMOTIONAL OVER IT. I THINK THAT WE HAVE SO MANY CRAZY THINGS HAPPENING IN THE CITY AND ENGAGING IN WHAT TURNED OUT TO BE A HUGE POLITICAL DEBATE ON AN ORDINANCE THAT WILL HAVE MINIMAL EFFECT. YET HERE WE ARE. I THINK IT'S A BETTER
COMPROMISE AND THEREFORE I'LL SUPPORT IT. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL. DO YOU WISH TO RESPOND ALSO?

>> GOOD JOB OF EXPLAINING. I MEAN, I THINK THE INTENT IS THE INTENT. AND I ENVISION THIS AND IT MAY NOT TURN OUT THIS WAY. BUT WE HAVE AN AMBASSADOR, ANTHONY EVERY DAY IN CITY HALL, WHO IS NOT NECESSARILY OUT ENFORCING THE LAW. MAKING SURE THINGS GO SMOOTHLY. I GUARANTEE, IF THERE WAS A PROBLEM, HE WOULD BE THE FIRST PERSON THERE AND PROBABLY DIFFUSE THE SITUATION. I LOOK AT IT LIKE THAT, WHEN I SEE OTHER PLACES HAVE IT, IT'S NOT OUT OF THE REALM IF YOU HAVE AN ISSUE THAT IS GOING TO INVOLVE MULTIPLE PEOPLE AND YOU KNOW FRANKLY MULTIPLE AGENCIES WITH THE POLICE, WHY NOT HAVE SOMEONE IN PLACE, MAYBE THE FIRST LINE --MAYBE IT DOESN'T WORK. BUT IF WE ALREADY KNOW WE NEED IT BECAUSE THERE IS SAFETY ISSUES, IT'S NOT UNDER THE REALM OF POSSIBILITY TO SAY, HEY, MAYBE YOU CAN BE THE FIRST LINE OF DEFENSE AND HELP DIFFUSE THE SITUATION. MAKE IT RIGHT. GET TO KNOW THE FOLKS SO YOU DON'T HAVE ISSUES. THAT'S SORT OF THE PREMISE AND THAT'S HOW YOU SORT OF LOOK AT IT. IT'S NOT ME, I THINK THESE HAPPENING ARE HAPPENING THROUGH OTHER HEALTHCARE FACILITIES AND INSTANCES. LET'S DROP OUTSIDE OF THAT. HAVE SECURITY PERSONNEL BECAUSE THERE ARE POTENTIAL SAFETY ISSUES. WE'RE ILLUMINATING THE ISSUE. IF THE PRIVATE ENTITY CAN THEY EXIST IN MAKING SURE IT REMAINS

SAFE. THAT'S WHAT THE PERSON OR PERSONS SHOULD DO. AND IF WE FIND IT'S NOT SUCCESSFUL, LET'S TRY SOMETHING ELSE. I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE POLICE THERE, THEY ARE THERE ON SATURDAY MORNINGS. RIGHT NOW AT THE CLINIC, IT SEEMS TO BE THE ONLY HEALTHCARE FACILITY WE'RE DISCUSSING. IT'S THE ONLY PLACE POLICE HAVE TO COME -- 52 SATURDAYS A YEAR ON A SCHEDULE. NO OTHER FACILITY HAS THAT, NONE. TUESDAY THROUGH FRIDAY THEY ARE NOT THERE. I WENT LAST THURSDAY, THEY ARE NOT THERE. AND I DON'T THINK IT'S OUT OF THE REALM OF POSSIBILITIES TO ASK A PRIVATE ENTITY PRETTY EXCLUSIVE USE OF PUBLIC SPACE, TO PARTICIPATE IN MAKING SURE IT'S SAFE. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL.

COUNCILMAN WINKLER, DOES IT SATISFY YOUR QUESTION? THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. LET ME JUST SAY AND WE'VE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT THE AMENDMENT. FRANKLY, I PREFER WE NOT HAVE THE AMENDMENT. WE NEED TO TRY TO ADDRESS PEOPLE'S CONCERNS. LET ME SAY TO ADDRESS COUNCILMAN WINKLER'S QUESTIONS DIRECTLY, AND THIS WAS TALKED ABOUT IN COMMITTEE. NO PRIVATE SECURITY OR PERSONNEL CAN ENFORCE METRO ORDINANCE. JUDGE WAS VERY CLEAR ABOUT THAT. IF SOMEBODY NEEDS A CITATION BECAUSE THEY ARE IGNORING THE ORDINANCE, THAT HAS TO BE A POLICE OFFICER. WE'RE NOT SAYING THAT THIS PERSON WOULD BE ISSUING CITATIONS. THAT CAN'T BE DONE LEGALLY. EVEN IF THEY WERE TRAINED IN -- YOU

KNOW, SECURITY. I THINK WHAT COUNCILMAN YATES IS TALKING ABOUT IS SOMEONE WHO IS RESPONSIBLE, IF THE POLICE NEED TO BE CALLED ABOUT AN INCIDENT, WHO CAN SPEAK TO THE POLICE. THAT'S WHAT HE WAS SAYING. I THINK THAT'S THE INTENT OF THIS. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.
COUNCILMAN ENGEL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. DID YOU CALL ON ME? I DIDN'T HEAR YOU?

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, SIR.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. APPRECIATE IT. I JUST WANT TO
DISCUSS SOME THINGS WE HAD GOING IN COMMITTEE. A VERY ROBUST
CONVERSATION IN COMMITTEE. COLLEAGUES FROM AND THIS HAS TO DO
WITH THE AMENDMENT AND SECURITY BY THE WAY. FOR MONTHS WE HEARD
SPEAKERS FROM -- COME BEFORE THIS COUNCIL AND TALK ABOUT VERBAL
AND PHYSICAL ABUSE AND ALL KINDS OF ABUSES AT THE EMW CLINIC. I
ASKED JOSH JUDAH AT THE COMMITTEE TO DO BACKGROUND DATA, CRIME
DATA FOR US ON THE HEALTHCARE FACILITIES AND THE EMW. AND SOME
OF YOU FOLKS I BELIEVE GOT THIS REPORT. I DON'T KNOW IF
EVERYBODY DID. BUT LET ME JUST SAY THIS. FIRST OFF, I BELIEVE
THIS DISCUSSION IS SO CONVOLUTED AND IS SIMPLY OUT OF LINE. THIS
IS NOT A SAFETY ISSUE AS SOME OF OUR COLLEAGUES HAVE SOUNDED THE
ALARM IN THE NEWSPAPER. AND DON'T TAKE MY WORD FOR IT. TAKE JOSH
JUDAH FROM METO POLICE'S WORD FOR IT. FOLKS, OF THE TOP -- THERE
IS THE TOP-10 FACILITIES LISTED HERE, THE CRIME DATA FROM

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT, INTIMIDATION AND SIMPLE ASSAULT, TOP-10 FACILITIES. AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE THE EMW FACILITY FELL IN THESE TOP-10 FACILITY? NUMBER 24. 24. WE DO NOT HAVE A SAFETY ISSUE DOWN AT EMW. WE DON'T NEED TO BE TALKING ABOUT POLICE. EMW HAS THEIR OWN SECURITY, THEY HAVE CAMERAS THEY CAN GET AHOLD OF METRO POLICE IN AN INSTANT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TONIGHT, SILENCING INNOCENT COUNSELORS ON SIDEWALKS HANDING A BROCHURE TO PEOPLE WALKING INTO A FACILITY. WE HEARD A LADY TALK ABOUT TOUCHING. I WILL TELL YOU ARCHBISHOP COUNSELORS WOULD NEVER TOUCH ANYBODY. I CAN PROMISE YOU THAT THE SPEAKERS DO NOT TOUCH PEOPLE. ALL THEY DO IS TRY TO SAVE PEOPLE AND PROVIDE COMFORT AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THESE WOMEN. ANOTHER RESPECTFUL THING. THIS IS NOT ABOUT SAFETY. THIS IS ALL -- WE ARE SPENDING ALL OF OUR TIME HERE AND WE'VE ONLY BEEN GIVEN AN HOUR AND A HALF NOW ON A FAIRLY IMPORTANT ISSUE. AND SO, REALLY IS IT ABOUT A HEALTHCARE? IS IT ABOUT HEALTHCARE, FOLKS? IS IT ABOUT SAFETY? BECAUSE IF IT'S ABOUT HEALTHCARE, SHOULD WE JUST SIMPLY REQUIRE EVERYBODY ON THE SIDEWALK TO WEAR MASKS AND GLOVES? I'M FOR THAT. IF THAT'S NOT WHAT'S HAPPENED. DR. MOYER DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WHEN SHE TESTIFIED THAT THERE IS A COVID. ANYBODY HEARD OF THE DEATHS RESULTED FROM THE 400 WOMEN WHO GO INTO THIS CLINIC? I HAVEN'T. WE'RE CREATING AN ORDINANCE ASKING FOR METRO POLICE TO INCREASE ENFORCEMENT WHEN METRO POLICE IS SO STRETCHED THROUGHOUT THIS COMMUNITY. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU ARE TELLING

ME AND I'M ONE OF THEM, YOU ARE TELLING ME I CAN'T GO DOWN AND PEACEFULLY ASSEMBLE IN FRONT OF EMW WITH MY MOUTH SHUT AND SAY THE ROSARY OR READ THE BIBLE, YOU ARE TELLING ME YOU ARE GOING TO KICK ME OFF MY PUBLIC SIDEWALK, YOU OUGHT TO BE ASHAMED. WE NEED TO VOTE THIS AMENDMENT AND ORDINANCE DOWN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. JUST FOR EVERY
 BODY'S TIME SAKE AND REFERENCE POINT, MADAM CLERK, HOW MUCH TIME
 DO WE HAVE REMAINING IN THE DEBATE?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: RIGHT NOW WE'RE AT 36 MINUTES, SIR.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THAT BEING -- THAT'S 36
 MINUTES HAVE ELAPSED. THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN BENSON.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. IF YOU WILL BEAR WITH ME FOR A MINUTE. I WANT MY CONSTITUENTS, THE 40% WHO GET CABLE T.V. TO KNOW WHAT'S ON MY HEART AND KNOW WHAT I'M THINKING ABOUT. IN 1975, MY FIRST WIFE BECAME PREGNANT WITH OUR THIRD DAUGHTER. THREE YEARS EARLIER SHE CAME DOWN WITH A BLOOD DISORDER, ITP, WHICH IS IDIOPATHIC THROMBOCYTOPENIA. HER PLATELET COUNT WAS LOW. YOU HAVE TO HAVE PLATELETS TO CLOT YOUR BLOOD. IF YOU DON'T, IF YOU DON'T CLOT, YOU CAN BLEED TO DEATH. SO WENT TO THE DOCTOR AND I DIDN'T HAVE A FORM OF BELIEF ABOUT ABORTION OR NOT. I WAS 27 YEARS OLD. NEVER DEALT WITH THAT. I ASKED THE DOCTOR, DR. BELL WHO WAS A CATHOLIC, DIDN'T KNOW HE WAS A CATHOLIC DOCTOR. IS THERE ANY CHANCE OF LINDA DYING? BECAUSE I DON'T WANT

TO RAISE THREE CHILDREN. MY OLDEST DAUGHTER, LAURA, SEVEN AND MY YOUNGEST AT THE TIME STACY WAS FIVE. I DIDN'T WANT ANOTHER CHILD I NO MOM. HE SAID, THERE IS NO PROBLEM. I FELT GOOD BECAUSE I LOVE CHILDREN MORE THAN I DO ADULTS. SO IT WENT THROUGH. THE DAY SHE HAD A CESAREAN. THE DAY MANDY WAS BORN, MY WIFE DIED. SHE LOST 13 PINTS OF BLOOD. BUT THEY BROUGHT HER BACK AND SHE LIVED 12 MORE YEARS. AND I'M THANKFUL. I'M REALLY THANKFUL. SHE DIED BESIDE ME. ALL MY DAUGHTERS ARE REALLY SPECIAL TO ME. AND SO WHAT I WANT TO GET THOUGH, IS ABOUT 4 OR FIVE YEARS AGO, I WENT TO A PLANNED PARENTHOOD BANQUET, RIDE THE LIGHT BANQUET. AND IT WAS A DOCTOR WHO HAS DONE A BUNCH OF ABORTIONS. AND THIS GUY DID 2,100 ABORTIONS. AND I THINK, WOW KILL THAT MANY BABIES. HE GOT IN AND STARTED TALKING ABOUT HOW HE DID AN ABORTION. HE SAID, YOU REACH UP THERE AND GRAB A LEG AND PULL IT OUT. YOU PULL A ARM OFF AND FINALLY, KEEP PULLING UNTIL YOU GET THE WHOLE BODY. YOU HAVE TO PUT THEM ON THE TABLE AND MAKE SURE YOU HAVE A WHOLE BABY. YOU COULDN'T LEAVE SOMETHING IN BECAUSE YOU CAUSE INFECTION. I THOUGHT, WHAT KIND OF ANIMAL -- YOU WOULD NEVER--YOU WOULDN'T DO THAT TO AN ANIMAL, A DOG OR ANYTHING. AND WE'RE DOING IT TO A HUMAN BEING. I'M THINKING, THIS IS EVIL. I STARTED THINKING BACK, IF THAT DOCTOR WOULD HAVE SAID, YEAH, WE THINK IT'S OKAY TO HAVE AN ABORTION WITH YOUR WIFE BECAUSE IT COULD BE DANGEROUS. AND FINDING OUT WHAT I KNOW NOW, THAT MANDY WOULD HAVE, THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN PULLING HER LEG AND ARM OFF AND SHE

WOULD HAVE WENT THROUGH THIS PAIN AND SUFFERING BECAUSE OF ME... I MEAN, I'M SICK. YOU KNOW, SO HEY, ALL THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO HAVE -- WE HAVE A RIGHTS IN OUR COUNTRY TO BLEED ANYTHING WHEN YOU WANT EVEN WHEN YOU ARE WRONG, IT'S OKAY. WE HAVE LAWS THAT PASS THAT STUFF. A HUMAN BEING SHOULD ALWAYS BE CONCERNED FOR ANOTHER HUMAN BEING. SO IF YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO HELP A PERSON MAKE A DECISION, THAT DECISION, I'VE ONLY KNOWN ONE PERSON WHO HAD AN ABORTION. THE POOR GIRL TRIED TO COMMIT SUICIDE TWO OR THREE TIMES. AND NOT EVERYBODY IS THIS WAY. BUT YOU HAVE -- THAT'S A LINGERING AFFECT ON A MOTHER THEY LOSE A CHILD. BECAUSE AT THE MOMENT THEY DIDN'T HAVE SUPPORT. SOMEBODY AROUND THEM TO CARE FOR THEM. AND THESE PEOPLE IN THE LINES, CHRISTIANS, WHICH MAYBE THINK THAT'S PRETTY EVIL, A CHRISTIAN. ARE THERE TO PRAY FOR PEOPLE AND HELP THEM, AND SAY, EVEN IF THEY HAVE THE ABORTION, THEY WANT TO COUNSEL THEM AFTERWARDS AND MAKE THEM FEEL, WE THINK YOU MADE A MISTAKE BUT GOD LOVES AND FORGIVES YOU. WE NEED TO MAKE SURE A PERSON IS MADE WHOLE. THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE ABORTIONS WHO COMMIT SUICIDE. THAT'S NOT GOOD. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW THIS, WE'VE BEEN EXPOSED A WHOLE LOT MORE FROM '75 TO NOW. THEY GO IN AND SOMETIMES A BABY HAS A PROBLEM AND THERE DOCTOR WILL TRY TO DO SOMETHING TO HELP A BABY IN THE WOMB. AND THEY GOT PICTURES OF THE BABY TRYING TO MOVE AWAY FROM THE PERSON COMING IN. IT'S CONSCIOUS OF WHAT'S GOING ON. IT FEELS PAIN. AND SO IF THEY DON'T KILL THAT BABY IN

THE WOMB BEFORE THEY START DISMEMBERING IT, YOU TALK ABOUT EVIL. YOU THINK I'M PASSIONATE ABOUT IT, I AM. YOU CAN'T PROTECT YOURSELF AND WE ALLOW THAT TO HAPPEN AND WE CALL OURSELVES HUMAN BEINGS, WE'RE A LONG WAY. AND SAY I WANT TO PROTECT THIS PERSON TO DO SOMETHING. THAT PERSON DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING. IN MY MIND. AND I'M GOING TO PRAY FOR THEM AND TRY TO HELP THEM. AND SO, MR. PRESIDENT, I DON'T KNOW NOBODY CARES --I'LL BE 72. AND I DIDN'T FALL OFF THE TURNIP TRUCK YESTERDAY. I LEARNED A WHOLE BUNCH. I'M NOT GOING TO BE HERE THAT MUCH LONGER BUT PEOPLE NEED TO THINK HARD AND FAST HOW TO HELP THEIR HUMAN BEINGS. AND SO IF SOMEBODY FEELS A LITTLE BIT UNCOMFORTABLE, I'VE SEEN A LOT OF PEOPLE FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE. LIFE IS FULL OF GOING FOR AN INTERVIEW, YOU FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE? YEAH. BUT ALSO GROWTH OF FEELING WHO YOU ARE. AND SOMEBODY PUT THEIR ARM AROUND YOU AND TRY TO HELP YOU WHEN YOU ARE IN A TIME OF NEED, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SUPPOSED TO DO. YOU CAN STAND ON THE CORNER IN A LITTLE BOY OUR -- OR GIRL AND THEY STEP OFF AND ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU GRAB THEM. THAT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO. YOU DON'T LET THEM GET RUN OVER BY A CAR. SOME MIGHT SAY YOU ARE A PEDOPHILE, YOU ARE TRYING TO MOLEST A KID. YOU ALWAYS TRY TO HELP ANOTHER PERSON. TO ME, WE NEED TO HELP PEOPLE. WE NEED TO MAKE THEM CONSCIOUS OF WHAT THEY ARE DOING AND MAKE IT EASIER, I THINK EVERYBODY ON OUR COUNCIL, I STUDIED THIS, EVERYBODY ON COUNCIL BELIEVES IN GOD. AND MOST EVERYBODY BELIEVES IN JESUS. WELL, YOU KNOW, GOD SAID, I KNEW YOU BEFORE YOU WAS IN YOUR MOTHER'S WOMB.
WAS THAT IMPORTANT? ARE YOU IMPORTANT? YES, WE KNOW EVERYBODY IS
IMPORTANT. OUR CONSTITUTION SAYS INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS, EACH PERSON
IS IMPORTANT. WE GOT TO THINK ABOUT EVERYTHING IS IMPORTANT. AND
SO NOBODY IS PAYING ATTENTION BUT ANYWAY, YOU ALL MAKE
AMENDMENTS OR WHATEVER, I'M A NO. I DON'T THINK WE'RE DOING THE
RIGHT THING. AND I'M ONLY ONE VOTE. I MIGHT BE THE ONLY ONE. I
WANT MY CONSTITUENTS TO KNOW THAT YOU KNOW, I BELIEVE THAT GOD - YOU KNOW, HE WANTS US TO DO THE RIGHT THING. AND I'M GOING TO
TRY TO DO THE BEST I CAN TO DO THE RIGHT THING. SO THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN BENSON.
COUNCILWOMAN PARKER.

>> I'LL TRY TO BE QUICK FOR THE SAKE OF TIME. I'M SURPRISED
THE WAY THE CONVERSATION TURNED. IN COMMITTEE, THE ORDINANCE,
ONE OF THE MAIN SPONSORS SAID THEY WERE SPONSORING THIS BECAUSE
OF IT WAS ALL ABOUT COVID. AND THAT'S THE REASON WHY WE WERE
SPONSORING THIS, WHICH IS SURPRISING BECAUSE WE'VE BEEN -- NOT
WE BUT THIS GROUP HAS BEEN TRYING TO GET THIS THROUGH FOR FIVE
YEARS AND NOW THEY ARE TRYING TO PUSH IT THROUGH UNDER THE GUISE
OF COVID, AT LEAST THAT'S THE WAY IT WAS IN COMMITTEE. I DON'T
THINK THIS IS A VERY GOOD LOOK FOR THE COUNCIL, WITH THE
PROTEST, THE DESTRUCTION ALLOWED TO HAPPEN IN THE CITY. WE NEED
TO THINK ABOUT THIS. WHY DOES THIS GROUP GET SPECIAL PRIVILEGES
FOR SAFETY? WE SHOULDN'T BE PICKING AND CHOOSING. AND ALREADY

THE GOVERNOR HAS SAID AT THE CLINIC THEY WERE ALLOWED TO DO SURGERIES WHEN THE HOSPITALS SHUT DOWN SURGERIES ALL OVER THE CITY. EVERYBODY THAT NEEDED SURGERY COULDN'T GET SURGERY. THIS HAS BEEN AN EXCUSE. YOU ARE LIMITING FREE SPEECH. AND YOU HAVE ADVOCATED FOR FREE SPEECH FOR EVERY OTHER GROUP OF PROTESTERS, PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTORS, PUBLICLY SAID THE PROTESTERS WERE OKAY. IT WASN'T AS BAD BECAUSE THEY WERE ALL OUTSIDE, WHICH THESE PEOPLE ARE ON THE SIDEWALK. I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO HOLD UP CONSTITUTIONAL MUSTER. WE LOOKED WHAT HAPPENED LEGALLY TO THE PANHANDLING ORDINANCE AND STREET VENDOR ORDINANCE WE HAD. PLEASE REMEMBER THAT. AND I WON'T GO INTO LMPD. BUT ANOTHER ISSUE I HAVE IS I'M NOT GOING TO VOTE FOR THIS AMENDMENT BECAUSE I DON'T WANT ANYTHING THAT WILL IN ANYONE'S MIND TO MAKE THIS PASS. THIS ORDINANCE ALSO CALLS FOR A CITATION OF 100 TO \$500. IF THAT CITATION DOESN'T GET PAID IT GOES FOR A COURT HEARING. I MEAN, HOW IS THAT FAIR? HOW IS THAT FAIR TO EVERY OTHER GROUP OUT THERE IN THE CITY? IT DOES NOT LOOK GOOD. I WILL NOT BE VOTING FOR THIS RESOLUTION. AND I WON'T BE VOTING FOR THIS AMENDMENT. THANK YOU AND I WOULD LIKE TO CALL THE OUESTION. [BEEPING]

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A MOTION TO CALL THE QUESTION ON THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL. DO WE HAVE A SECOND? WE HAVE A SECOND BY -- [MULTIPLE SPEAKERS]

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAD A SECOND BY COUNCILMAN REED. I'M SORRY. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, "AYE." HOW ABOUT WE DO THIS. WE'RE GOING TO DO A ROLL CALL. THAT DIDN'T WORK. [ROLL CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> NO TO THE AMENDMENT.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: THIS IS THE CALL ON THE QUESTION.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE QUESTION WE'RE CALLING.
 - >> [INDISCERNIBLE] CALLING THE QUESTION.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
 - >> I NEED CLARIFICATION. [MULTIPLE SPEAKERS]
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, IT'S A VOTE ON -- [MULTIPLE SPEAKERS] CALLING THE QUESTION OR A VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: EVERYTHING, EXCEPT FOR COUNCILWOMAN
 SEXTON SMITH, PLEASE MUTE. I'M SORRY, I COULDN'T HEAR EVERYTHING
 YOU SAID.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT, I APOLOGIZE FOR NEEDING CLARIFICATION.

 ARE WE VOTING ON CALLING THE QUESTION OR ARE WE VOTING ON THE

 AMENDMENT?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE'RE VOTING YES OR NO ON CALLING THE QUESTION ON THE AMENDMENT.

- >> YES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. WE'RE JUST CALLING THE QUESTION ON THIS DEBATE OF THE AMENDMENT.
 - >> OF THE AMENDMENT ONLY. THE CLOCK KEEPS RUNNING, CORRECT?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THAT IS CORRECT.
 - >> THANK YOU, SIR. YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.

>>

>> MADAM CLERK: CAN YOU SAY THAT AGAIN PLEASE?

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES AND ONE NO VOTE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. CALL THE QUESTION PASSES. NOW
 WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON THE -- COUNCILMAN WINKLER. YES. THAT'S
 CORRECT. SO WE JUST VOTED ON THE CALL THE QUESTION OF THE
 AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL. NOW WE'RE GOING TO
 VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT BY COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL, A FRIENDLY
 AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER, JUST FOR CLARITY
 PURPOSES, COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL, COULD YOU PLEASE REREAD IT SO
 EVERYBODY IS CLEAR ON THE AMENDMENT THEY WILL BE VOTING ON?
- >> YES, MR. PRESIDENT. DO YOU WANT ME TO READ THE
 UNDERLYING PORTION OF THE DEFINITION OF HEALTHCARE FACILITY OR
 THE ENTIRE DEFINITION?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THE UNDERLYING PORTION THERE.
- >> OKAY. WHAT HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE DEFINITION AND HAS A DESIGNATED PERSON EITHER PAID OR UNPAID, TO PROVIDE FOR THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF PATIENTS AND VISITORS THAT COME TO THE FACILITY.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: WAS THAT --
 - >> READ IN FULL.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: PERSON OR PERSONS.

- >> EITHER ONE. YOU COULD DESIGNATE A PERSON AND THEN PUT "S." EITHER WAY IS FINE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. WITH THAT BEING SAID, IS

 ANYBODY NOT CLEAR OF THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY COUNCILMAN

 MULVIHILL? MADAM CLERK, CAN YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL? [ROLL CALL

 VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
 - >> FOR THE AMENDMENT? NO ON THE AMENDMENT.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.

- >> YES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES:
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> NO.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 10 YES VOTES AND 15 NO VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE AMENDMENT THAT WAS OFFERED FAILS. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL.
 - >> FROM AN EARLIER TIME, I DON'T NEED TO SPEAK, THANK YOU.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I WANT TO BEGIN, I HAVE A FEW COMMENTS. I'LL TELL A QUICK STORY ABOUT MY OPPOSITION TO THIS BILL. TO THIS ORDINANCE. FIRST OF ALL, EARLIER THIS WEEK SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES AND I PROPOSED HOLY DIFFERENT RESOLUTION. WHOLLY. SPONSORED BY ONE PARTY. AND A FEW PEOPLE, NOT ANYWHERE NEAR MOST OF MY COLLEAGUES, ACCUSED US OF PLAYING PARTISAN THEATRICS. THIS ORDINANCE IS ENTIRELY SUPPORTED AND SPONSORED BY DEMOCRATS. THE TAX VOTE WE HAVE LAST YEAR BEGAN AND WAS ENTIRELY ORIGINALLY

SPONSORED BY DEMOCRATS. WE VOTE ON A TON OF LEGISLATION THAT BEGINS AS THE TIRELY VOTED ENTIRELY OR RESPONDED BY DEMOCRATS. I NEVER ACCUSED MY COLLEAGUES OF PARTISAN THEATRICS. I ASSUME THE BILLS YOU BRING FORWARD, YOU BRING FORWARD BECAUSE YOU BELIEVE IN THEM. LIKE THE SPONSORS OF THIS ORDINANCE, I ASSUME THEY BELIEVE IN IT. AND THEY ARE REPRESENTING THEIR PEOPLE, THE PEOPLE THEY REPRESENT. AND I WOULD ASK IN THE FUTURE, THAT MY COLLEAGUES AND I ARE AFFORDED THE SAME DIGNITY. I HAVE A FEW CONCERNS AT THIS, FOR EXAMPLE, I THINK IT WAS MENTIONED ABOUT DR. MOYER AND HER COMMENTS ABOUT SAFETY RELATED TO COVID. I KNOW THAT'S IN THE WHEREAS STATEMENTS. SHE'S BEEN VERY CLEAR THAT RECENT PROTESTS IN THE CITY HAVE NOT SHOWN TO SPARK OUTBREAKS OF COVID-19. AND THAT'S A GOOD THING. BUT SOMEHOW, PROTESTS IN FRONT OF THE WOMEN'S CENTER IS SOME KIND OF COVID RISK BUT IN JEFFERSON SQUARE PARK, IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A PROBLEM. THAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME. DR. MOYER SAID, THIS APPLIES TO ALL HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, OR WILL APPLY TO ALL HEALTHCARE FACILITIES. I THINK THAT WAS MENTIONED BY SOME OF THE SPONSORS. I CALLED THE PRESIDENT OF THE KENTUCKY HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION. AND I CALLED THE PRESIDENT OF THE KENTUCKY PRIMARY CARE ASSOCIATION. THESE ARE TWO OF THE LARGEST TRADE ASSOCIATIONS THAT REPRESENT HEALTHCARE FACILITIES IN OUR STATE. THE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION REPRESENTS ALL OF THE HOSPITALS IN JEFFERSON COUNTY, AND ACROSS THE COMMON WEALTH. I ASKED FOR THE PRESIDENT OF THE HOSPITAL

ASSOCIATION TO ASK EVERY HOSPITAL CEO IN JEFFERSON COUNTY WHAT THEIR POSITION WAS ON THIS. AND WERE THEY ASKED ABOUT IT? THE ANSWER WAS NONE OF THEM HAD BEEN ASKED ABOUT THIS ORDINANCE AT ALL. AND THEY DIDN'T HAVE A POSITION ON IT. THEY FIND THIS QUITE USELESS AND WILL NOT BE UTILIZING IT. THE KENTUCKY PRIMARY CARE ASSOCIATION WHICH REPRESENTS THE FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTERS AND RURAL HEALTH CLINICS, OF WHICH THERE ARE THE PRIMARY IN JEFFERSON COUNTY. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL DID AND REFLECTED ONE OF THEM, FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS WAS CONTACTED ABOUT IT, RELATED TO QUESTIONS. BUT THEY SAID THIS WOULD NOT BE USEFUL TO THEM. THE OTHER TWO FACILITIES WERE NOT ASKED AND ALSO INDICATED THEY WOULD NEVER HAVE A USE FOR THIS. JUST TO CLARIFY, THIS IS CLEARLY BEEN DESIGNED FOR ONE FACILITY. AND THAT IS THE ONE ABORTION CLINIC IN JEFFERSON COUNTY, THE EMW WOMEN'S CENTER. NOT SOMETHING OTHER THAN ONE FOR A CLARIFYING QUESTION, ANY OTHER HEALTHCARE FACILITY I CAN FIND IN JEFFERSON COUNTY WAS EVEN ASKED ABOUT, MUCH LESS COULD FIND A USE FOR. I'LL WRAP UP WITH THE REAL PROBABLY A PERSONAL STORY ABOUT WHY I'M GOING TO BE AGAINST THIS AND I WOULD BE AGAINST IT IN ANY FORM. THERE WAS A POOR YOUNG WOMAN, 21 YEARS OLD. SHE HAD THREE CHILDREN. AND SHE WAS PREGNANT WITH TWINS. ALREADY HAD THREE SONS, PREGNANT WITH TWINS, LIVING BELOW THE POVERTY LINE ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE IN INDIANAPOLIS. AND IT WAS A CHRISTIAN ADOPTION AGENCY THAT AT SOME POINT, SHE WAS GETTING PRESSURE TO ABORT HER CHILDREN, TO

ABORT HER UNBORN TWINS. IT WAS A CHRISTIAN ADOPTION AGENCY SHE MADE CONTACT WITH THAT PROVIDED HER WITH THE SUPPORT THAT MANY OF THE AGENCIES WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THAT PROTEST THERE PROVIDE. FREE HEALTHCARE, RENTAL ASSISTANCE, AND FULL SUPPORT, WHICH ANY WOMAN IN THAT CIRCUMSTANCE SHOULD HAVE. IT'S A WONDERFUL THING. BECAUSE OF THAT, THERE WAS ANOTHER, A COUPLE IN AT THE TIME, NEW JERSEY, WHO COULDN'T HAVE CHILDREN. AND BECAUSE OF THE WORK OF THE SAME EXACT PEOPLE THAT STAND IN FRONT OF THE EMW WOMEN'S CENTER, THEY WERE BORN. AND MY WIFE AND I WERE ABLE TO HAVE CHILDREN. AND WE DROVE TO INDIANAPOLIS AND ADOPTED THEM AT BIRTH. IN A COUNTY HOSPITAL IN INDIANAPOLIS. NO WOMEN, IF THERE ARE LEGITIMATE ISSUES WITH HARASSMENT OR ASSAULT THEY SHOULD BE DEALT WITH ACCORDING TO THE LAW. THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT IT.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: PLEASE MUTE YOUR SPEAKERS.
- >> WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE I DO HONESTLY BELIEVE IS A
 TREMENDOUS INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH. AND INFRINGEMENT ON THE
 TYPE OF SPEECH THAT PROVIDES LIFE GIVING AND LIFE SAVING SUPPORT
 OF WHICH I KNOW OF FIRST-HAND. THE BIRTH MOTHER OF MY CHILDREN
 CLEARLY, SHE, LIKE ME, HAS MADE MISTAKES IN HER LIFE. BUT UNTIL
 THE DAY I DIE, SHE WILL BE A SAINT. BECAUSE OF THE DECISION SHE
 MADE AND THE SACRIFICE SHE MADE, WHICH BEGAN WITH INFLUENCE BY
 ONE OF THESE ORGANIZATIONS THAT STANDS IN FRONT OF THE EMW
 WOMEN'S CENTER EVERY DAY. SO I'M VOTING AGAINST THIS. I DO

BELIEVE IT'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THIS IS NOT JUST. A STORY AND WE SHOULD BASE IT OFF OF ONE STORY. BUT IT'S BEYOND BEING UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THESE ARE PROTESTS, THESE ARE COUNSELORS THAT PROVIDE SOME OF THE MOST LIFE-GIVING SUPPORT IN THIS CITY. SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO VOTE AGAINST THIS. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. MADAM CLERK, HOW MUCH TIME HAS ELAPSED?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: WE'RE AT 63 MINUTES, SIR.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILMAN ENGEL.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I'M GOING TO BE VERY BRIEF.

 BECAUSE I MISSED A COUPLE OF COMMENTS AS THIS AN EMOTIONAL

 ISSUE. THOSE OF US ON THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE HEARD

 HEARTWARMING TESTIMONY FROM AN AFRICAN AMERICAN LADY ANGELA

 MINTEN. AND IF YOU RECOGNIZE THE NAME OF OUR SPEAKER TONIGHT,

 HER NAME WAS RYAN MENTOR FORBES. THAT JUST HAPPENED TO BE,

 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THIS COUNCIL, A LADY THAT WAS BORN

 THANKS TO A SIDEWALK COUNSELOR TO ANGELA MINTER. ANGELA MINTER,

 WHOSE FATHER IS ABRY WILLIAMS. DECIDED TO SAY NO TO A THIRD, I

 BELIEVE IT WAS A THIRD ABORTION. AND SHE DECIDED TO TAKE THE

 ADVICE OF A SIDEWALK COUNSELOR AND HOLD THAT CHILD AND THAT

 CHILD SPOKE BEFORE US TONIGHT. THESE ARE HEARTWARMING STORIES,

 FOLKS. WE ARE NOT TRYING TO CONDEMN ANYBODY OF THEIR RIGHT TO

 WALK INTO THIS CLINIC AND DO WHAT THEY WANT TO DO. WHAT WE ARE

DOING IF YOU VOTE FOR THIS, IS CURTAILING THOSE LADIES AT THE
ONE LAST MOMENT DECIDE TO CHANGE THEIR MIND. AND WHERE THERE IS
HELP OUT THERE. ANGELA MINTER TOLD US IN COMMITTEE THERE IS, WE
HEARD IT TODAY. I WOULD ASK FOR YOU TO DIG DEEP IN YOUR HEART
WHEN YOU GO TO VOTE ON THIS LEGISLATION. THERE IS NOT A SAFETY
ISSUE. THERE IS NOT A HEALTHCARE ISSUE AT EMW. EVERYBODY IS
PEACEFULLY ASSEMBLING. EVERYBODY CONTINUES ON WITH THEIR WORLD.
AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO DO SO. AND I WOULD ASK THAT YOU VOTE
AGAINST THIS ORDINANCE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN BARBARA SEXTON SMITH.

>> YES. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. AND COLLEAGUES, I AM
GOING TO REPEAT SOME COMMENTS I MADE IN A FORMER MEETING ABOUT
THIS. FOR THE RECORD, I HAVE NOT BEEN WORKING ON THIS ORDINANCE
FOR FIVE YEARS. I'VE ONLY BEEN ON THE COUNCIL THREE AND A HALF
YEARS. AS IT WAS STATED EARLIER, I DID ASK FOR A PUBLIC
DISCUSSION ONLY. WHICH WE DID HAVE A FEW YEARS AGO. IT WAS
DURING THAT TIME AND DURING THAT DISCUSSION THAT I MADE THE
DECISION THAT I DID NOT WANT TO SPONSOR AN ORDINANCE OF THIS
TYPE AT THAT TIME. BECAUSE I FELT IT WAS TOO SPECIFIC TO ONE
LOCATION. AND I WAS OPPOSED TO THAT. I'VE BEEN ON THE RECORD
NUMEROUS TIMES STATING I'M OPPOSED TO SPECIFIC LOCATION
ORDINANCE OF THIS LEVEL. AND SO I HAD BEEN TALKING CONSTANTLY
ABOUT THE MEDICAL CAMPUS, WHAT MOST OF THAT IS LOCATED IN

DISTRICT FOUR. AND THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT. OUR HOSPITALS ARE DOWNTOWN. WE'VE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSION, A LOT OF COMMENTS ABOUT LIFE AND DEATH. AND PRESERVING LIFE TONIGHT. I'M SHOCKED THAT NO ONE SEEMS TO THINK I AM SERIOUS WHEN I TALK ABOUT THE EMERGENCY ROOM. THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE HOSPITAL, TRAUMA TEAMS. SO I HAVE SPOKEN WITH A PHYSICIAN ON THE TRAUMA TEAM AT THE UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE HOSPITAL. I HAVE COMMUNICATED DIRECTLY WITH CHRISTOPHER TUEX, A GENTLEMAN KNOWN TO MOST THROUGHOUT THE REGION. SEEN AS THE FIRST PERSON ON THE SEEN SCENE WHEN A SHOOTING OR STABBING. WE HAVE 6 TO 800 SHOOTING OR STABBING VICTIMS. I REACHED OUT TO CHRISTOPHER AGAIN RECENTLY. AND I SAID, CHRISTOPHER, WE'RE GOING TO BE DISCUSSING THIS 12-FOOT SAFETY ZONE. I SEEM TO BE THE ONLY ONE TALKING ABOUT THE EMERGENCY ROOM. I'VE SEEN IT MYSELF. AND I KNOW HOUR CHAOTIC IT BECOMES AND HOW DANGEROUS IT BECOMES. AND HOW VOLATILE AND EMOTIONAL THE EMERGENCY ROOM AND THE ENTRANCE BECOMES WHEN A VICTIM IS TRANSPORTED. I SAID, WILL A 12-FOOT SAFETY ZONE PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SAFETY IN THOSE SITUATIONS? HE HAD A ONE-WORD RESPONSE. ABSOLUTELY. AND THEN I WENT ONTO ASK IF HE COULD BE MORE SPECIFIC. AND HE TALKED ABOUT THE INCREASE IN SHOOTINGS. HE SAID THE LEVEL OF VIOLENT SHOOTINGS OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS SINCE 2015 HAS CAUSED AN ALARMING NUMBER OF GUNSHOT AND STABBING WOUNDS. WE KNOW WE CROSSED OVER THE HORRIBLE 100 NUMBER IN OUR COMMUNITY THIS YEAR. AND THE TENSION AND THE SECURITY ISSUE AT

THE EMERGENCY ROOM ARE FAR BEYOND ANYTHING THAT MOST OF US CAN IMAGINE. HE SAID IT'S COMPLETELY CHAOTIC. HE SAID DO WHATEVER YOU CAN. I SAY TO YOU, I DIDN'T SPONSOR THIS AND I WASN'T PREPARED TO DO THIS. COVID CHANGED EVERYTHING. COVID CHANGED THE WORLD. IT CHANGED IT ALL. AND I DO THINK THIS ORDINANCE, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, I AM VOTING YES, IN GOOD FAITH AND GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS THIS EVENING. THIS IS NOT ABOUT ONE ISSUE. IT'S NOT ABOUT ONE LOCATION. IT NEVER HAS BEEN FOR ME. I WILL BE VOTING YES, THIS EVENING. AND I'M URGING EVERYONE TO THINK ABOUT LIFE AT ALL LEVELS AND DEATH FROM ALL CAUSES. BECAUSE WE HAVE AN AWFUL LOT OF FOLKS THAT ARE GOING TO OUR EMERGENCY ROOMS AND THEY DESERVE US TO STANDUP AND VOTE WITH AND FOR THEM THIS EVENING. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. MADAM CLERK, HOW MUCH TIME HAS ELAPSED?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN YATES.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HAVE TO SAY, A LITTLE BIT OF FRUSTRATION AT THIS POINT. WE'VE HEARD A LOT OF TALK ABOUT RIGHT TO LIFE, ABOUT ABORTION, ALL OF THESE ISSUES. WHAT IS BEFORE US IS A FIRST AMENDMENT ISSUE. AND PART OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, WE'RE GOVERNMENT, IF WE WERE TO ACT, OUR ACTION CANNOT BE ANY RESTRICTION BASED ON THE MESSAGE AT ALL. WHEN WE GET INTO SAYING, I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD DO THIS BECAUSE THE DEBATE -- THEY SHOULD PROTEST OR THEY SHOULDN'T DO THIS. THAT'S ABOUT THE

MESSAGE AND THAT'S NOT WHAT WE'RE HERE FOR. TO ME, AND JUST ABOUT ALL THIS AND SOMETIMES EVEN MY OWN CONSTITUENTS GET FRUSTRATED WITH ME WHEN I TALK ABOUT THE RIGHT TO ASSEMBLE AND PROTEST, I THINK THAT'S WHAT THE COUNTRY IS FOUNDED ON AND WE UPHOLD THE RIGHT TO DO SO ESPECIALLY WHEN WE DISAGREE WITH A SMALL PART OF SOCIETY. THAT MIGHT BE HOW CHANGE -- THINGS ARE CHANGED. IT BRINGS IT TO THE FOREFRONT. IN PROTESTS THERE IS DISRUPTION AND FRUSTRATION. AND IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT TO LIMIT THAT UNLESS YOU ABSOLUTELY HAVE TO. THE ACL, CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, THROUGHOUT HISTORY, COURT CASES WHEN I'M IN LAW SCHOOL, IF THAT ORGANIZATION IS BRINGING CASES TO UPHOLD INDIVIDUAL'S CIVIL LIBERTIES. AND A LOT OF TIMES IT IS VERY CONTROVERSIAL. THEY MAKE SURE THEY ARE NOT APPLIED IN ONE AREA TO SET A PRECEDENT TO AFFECT ANYONE ELSE. I GO TO ACLU DOT ORG. THE PROTEST BUFFER ZONE. IT SAYS, AS A I EARLY MATTER, ZONES PROTESTED IS BAN CAN CREATE SERIOUS FIRST AMENDMENT ISSUES. SUCH LIMITATIONS ON SPEECH BETRAY THE FIRST AMENDMENT ALLOWING PEACEFUL PROTESTS. WE HAVE DEFENDED THE RIGHT OF THE MOST CONTROVERSIAL SPEAKERS TO GET THEIR MESSAGE OUT. THEY SAY, THE DEMONSTRATION AGGRESSIVE PROTEST TO OTHER CITIZENS AND EXERCISING THEIR RIGHTS, THEY WAIVE THE COMPETING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO STATE AND ANALYSIS. SO WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IS WHAT IS THE ABSOLUTE MOST RESTRICTIVE MEAN THAT YOU CAN, THE GOVERNMENT, IF YOU HAVE TO ASK BECAUSE OF THE SAFETY ISSUE, WE'RE DEBATING

WHETHER WE HAVE IT, WHAT IS THE MOST RESTRICTIVE, NARROWLY TAILORED. COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL PUT TOGETHER A SIMPLE AMENDMENT. TO HAVE SOMEBODY THERE WHO IS RESPONSIBLE. INSTEAD OF PAINTING GENERAL LINES ON THE GROUND ON PUBLIC PROPERTY AND SAYING YOU CAN'T STEP IN AND NO ONE THERE TO ENFORCE. ALL HE ASKED FOR IS SOMEONE BE THERE AND THAT WAS VOTED DOWN BY PEOPLE SAYING THEY ARE AGAINST IT. IT'S POLITICAL BECAUSE YOU ARE PURPOSELY MAKING IT A BAD DEAL SO YOU CAN VOTE AGAINST IT. WHEN WE SHOULD BE ACTING IN GOOD FAITH AND MAKE IT THE BEST LEGISLATION WE CAN. IF WE HAVE A SAFETY ISSUE, IF WE DO HAVE A PUBLIC SAFETY. AND WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE IT NARROWLY TAILORED WE SHOULD MAKE IT AS NARROWLY TAILORED AS YOU CAN AND HAVE A LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY. I'M FRUSTRATED. I'M FRUSTRATED BECAUSE THIS ISN'T NECESSARY RIGHT NOW. THIS WHOLE BILL, IS ISN'T. WE SAY WE WANT TO DRAW THE LINES FOR PURPOSES BUT WE -- ENFORCE THE -- I'M GETTING A TEXT MESSAGE FROM THE POLICE, WHO IS GOING TO ENFORCE THIS, LAUGH OUT LOUD. WE'RE SUCH A FRACTURED CITY AND SO DIVIDED. I'M A SHORT TIME ON COUNCIL. WE'RE FIGHTING OVER ONE OF THE MOST DIVISIVE ISSUES ON ABORTION. IT SHOULDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH ABORTION. OUR JOB IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT WE'RE OVERREACHING ON FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO PROTEST. WE SHOULD NOT FOCUS ON THE MESSAGE. IF THERE IS A PROTEST OUTSIDE OF A CHURCH AND THEY ARE AGAINST CATHOLICS, CAN WE PUT A BUFFER ZONE SO PEOPLE CAN WALK FREELY TO AND FROM? IF THE LABOR UNION IS

PROTESTING ONE OF THE ESTABLISHMENTS AND PICKETING AND WE PUT IN A BUFFER ZONE, THE COURT IN LIMITED SERVICES, IT HAS TO BE NARROWLY TAILORED. I DON'T KNOW WHY WE'RE FIGHTING TO KEEP THAT FROM HAPPENING. I DON'T KNOW WHERE I AM RIGHT NOW. BECAUSE I FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT THE FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH, YOU MAKE A GREAT POINT. WHEN I TALKED TO THE ORIGINAL SPONSOR, I SAID IF OUR CDC, DR. MOYER SAYS IT'S NECESSARY BECAUSE OF PUBLIC HEALTH, ALL HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, I'LL BE FOR IT BECAUSE THAT IS NARROWLY TAILORED AND FOR AN ISSUE. AND IF IT'S COVID ISSUE. ALSO LOOK AT THE HOSPITALS. AND I KNOW THAT THEY ARE ON PRIVATE AREAS. THAT'S SEPARATE THAN A PUBLIC SIDEWALK. I GET FRUSTRATED SOMETIMES. I KNOW MY WIFE AND LITTLE GIRL WERE APPROACHED. SOMEONE GOT IN THEIR PERSONAL SPACE AND SCARED THEM OUTSIDE OF A CIRCLE K. SHOULD THEY HAVE A BUFFER ZONE? IT'S FINE, YOU CAN RIGHT THE THINGS BUT THE TRUTH IS THERE IS NO ENFORCEMENT. WE CAN SAY WHAT WE REFLECT THE LAW IS. WE CAN'T ENFORCE. I'M GETTING CALLS ALL THE TIME ABOUT THE CRIMES. WHAT ARE YOU DOING ABOUT THE CRIME? WHAT ARE YOU DOING? SO AND SO BROKE THE RULE. WHEN THE MAYOR SET A CURFEW, WHY AREN'T YOU ENFORCING IT. WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY. BUT WE'RE PASSING ORDINANCES WE CAN'T ENFORCE. I THINK WE NEED TO THINK LONG AND HARD IF WE'RE PASSING LEGISLATION TO MAKE IT THE BEST WE CAN. OR IF WE'RE JUST PLAYING POLITICS. I HAD TO SAY I WORKED REALLY HARD WITH THE SPONSORS AND SEVERAL MEMBERS TO TRY TO MAKE A

COMPROMISE TO MAKE THINGS BETTER. IF IT WAS GOING TO PASS, IT WOULD BE BETTER FOR EVERYBODY AND PROTECT THE INTEREST OF ANYONE COMING AND GOING SO THEY DON'T FEEL VIOLATION OF PERSONAL SPACE AND ALSO MADE SURE IF SOMEONE WANT TO PRAY TO PROTEST, THEY ARE THERE, WITHIN SIX FEET OF THE PERSON, IT'S WRITTEN. BUT THERE HAS TO BE ACCOUNTABILITY. SOMEONE THERE TO ENFORCE IT. I'VE BEEN ON THE COUNCIL FOR 10 YEARS. AND I'M ABOUT AS FRUSTRATED AS I'VE BEEN. THANK YOU.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. MADAM CLERK, HOW MUCH TIME HAS ELAPSED?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: 77 MINUTES, SIR.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.
- >> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT JAMES. WHAT I'LL SAY, FIRST OF ALL,
 I AGREE WITH MOST EVERYTHING THAT COUNCILMAN YATES JUST SAID SO
 WELL. I WON'T REPEAT IT. I WILL JUST SHARE HIS FRUSTRATION AND
 MINE WITH OUR INABILITY TO COMPROMISE ON ISSUES. IT'S PART AND
 PARCEL OF THE ISSUES OF THE CITY AS A WHOLE THAT GO FAR BEYOND
 THIS ISSUE. THAT SAID, I SPEAK TONIGHT AS A CATHOLIC AND FATHER
 AND I APPRECIATE THE PASSION AND HEARTFELT STORIES PEOPLE HAVE
 SHARED. I SHARE MANY OF THE SAME CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN VOICED
 TONIGHT. I HAVE THOUGHT AND PRAYED ABOUT THIS ISSUE. AND GIVEN
 IT DEEP REFLECTION. AND ULTIMATELY, WHERE I ARRIVED, INDEPENDENT
 ABOUT MY PERSONAL BELIEF ABOUT THE SERVICES PROVIDED AT THE

FACILITY, SIMILAR TO WHAT COUNCILMAN YATES ARTICULATES, THIS IS REALLY NOT ABOUT THE UNDERLYING ISSUE OR SERVICES BEING PROVIDED, IT'S ABOUT SHOULD SOMEONE HAVE ACCESS TO A HEALTHCARE FACILITY. AND REALLY I WOULD TAKE IT FURTHER AND SAY, AND I HAD A CONSTITUENT ASK ME EARLIER THE SAME QUESTION. IF WE HAD A PERMANENT OBSTRUCTION ON PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY TO ANY PRIVATE ENTITY, I WOULD SUPPORT A BUFFER ZONE THERE. TO ME, IT'S SOMEWHAT INDEPENDENT OF THE UNDERLYING ISSUE. AND MORE AROUND PROVIDING ACCESS, SAFE ACCESS. I THINK WHAT IS ESSENTIAL, SIX FEET ON EITHER SIDE IS REASONABLY. I HAVE NOT HEARD ARGUMENT FOR WHY THE SIDEWALK COUNSELORS CANNOT CONTINUE TO INTERACT WITH PEOPLE. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THIS PUTS UNDO BURDEN ON ANYONE, AND PROBABLY STRIKES APPROPRIATE BALANCE BETWEEN PEOPLE'S RIGHTS TO PEACEFULLY PROTEST, TO INFLUENCE THE DECISIONS OF OTHERS, TO ARTICULATE THEIR HEARTFELT BELIEFS AND TRY TO INTERVENE IN THE SITUATIONS, AS WELL AS THE RIGHTS OF PATIENTS TO BE ABLE TO ENTER HEALTHCARE FACILITIES WITHOUT UNNECESSARY OBSTRUCTION. AND SO SHORT OF HEARING A SIGNIFICANT REASON FOR WHY THAT'S NOT POSSIBLE WITHIN SIX FEET OR AT SIX FEET, I WILL BE A YES VOTE. I DO THINK -- AGAIN, I APPRECIATE EVERYONE'S PASSION. AND I WILL NOT BELABOR THE POINT GIVEN THE TIME.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN REED.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. TO ME, THIS IS A VERY SIMPLE
 THING. I DON'T DOUBT WHAT DR. MOYER SAID ABOUT SAFETY. IF YOU

ARE GOING TO DO ONE FOR HEALTH FACILITIES, THEN TO ME YOU HAVE
TO DO IT FOR BUSINESSES AS WELL. ARE WE GOING TO ESTABLISH
SAFETY ZONES FOR BUSINESSES THAT ARE DOWNTOWN? I HAPPEN TO WORK
AT ONE. IT'S VERY CLOSE TO A SIDEWALK. IF A PROTESTER WANT TODAY
GET IN MY FACE -- WANTED TO GET IN MY FACE, THEY COULD. IN MY
VIEW, AT THE VERY LEAST WE SHOULD BE TALKING ABOUT -- IF IT'S
TRULY ABOUT COVID, WE SHOULD TALK ABOUT THE SUNSET CLAUSE, WHEN
THE GOVERNOR LIFTS THE STATE OF EMERGENCY. OTHERWISE IT'S NOT
ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY, IT'S ABOUT ABORTION. I JUST WANT TO PUT IT
OUT THERE.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK, THE TIME PLEASE?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: 81 MINUTES.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I APPRECIATE COUNCILMAN YATES PASSION AND FRUSTRATION, AND WE ALL THINK WHEN WE PUT FORWARD WHAT WE THINK IS A COMPROMISE AND IT GETS VOTED DOWN WE'RE ALL FRUSTRATED BY THAT AT TIMES IN OUR CAREERS. BECAUSE WE ALL PUT OUT WHAT WE -- WE ALL PUT OUT THE AMENDMENTS AND COMPROMISES THAT SOUND REASONABLE TO US. FOR ME, IT WASN'T NOTHING ABOUT DEFEATING. IT WAS ABOUT THE FACT THAT WHAT WE STARTED WITH WAS COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL'S AMENDMENT TO REQUIRE THERE TO BE SOME SENSE OF SECURITY DOWN THERE. AND ENDED WITH YOU JUST APPOINT SOMEBODY. I KNOW YOU SAID LAWYERS AND JUDGES BALANCE THAT OUT

ULTIMATELY. BUT LAWYERS AND JUDGES BALANCE IS IT OUT AFTER THE FACT. IF WE HAVE SOMEONE DOWN THERE WHO IS ESCALATED AS OPPOSED TO DEUS CUILATING THE VIOLENCE. THE COURTS WILL SETTLE AFTER THE FACT, AFTER SOMEONE IS HURT OR KILLED, NOT BEFORE. I WOULD HAVE SUPPORTED COUNCILMAN MULVIHILL'S AMENDMENT IF IT STAYED WITH HAVING SECURITY FOR NOW. AND TRYING TO ELIMINATE SOME OF THE PRESSURE ON HAVING POLICE OFFICERS DOWN THERE. I DO THINK I AGREE WITH YOU 100%. WE CAN'T PICK AND CHOOSE THE MESSAGES WE LIKE. IF WE LIKE THE MESSAGE, WE'RE FINE WITH THEM BEING ANYWHERE, WEARING MASKS, NOT WEARING A MASK, BEING ON ANY SIDEWALK AT ANY TIME. IF WE DON'T LIKE THE MESSAGE, WE START DOING THINGS LIKE TONIGHT. AND MAKING IT A -- TRYING TO HAVE A BUFFER ZONE WHERE PEOPLE DON'T HAVE TO HEAR THE MESSAGE THAT IS UNCOMFORTABLE FOR THEM. AND THAT'S A SCARY ROAD TO TAKE. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. IN MY VIEW, THIS REALLY IS A PUBLIC SAFETY ORDINANCE. I BELIEVE IT WILL IMPROVE PUBLIC SAFETY. I THINK IT WILL PROTECT ACCESS TO MEDICAL CARE AND I THINK -- AND THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT TO ME, THAT IT WILL PROTECT PROTESTERS' RIGHTS. COUNCILMAN YATES TALK ABOUT THE ACLU'S POSITION ON BUFFER ZONES. THEY DO NOT OPPOSE THEM OR THIS ORDINANCE. THAT TELLS ME A LOT ABOUT PROTECTING FIRST AMENDMENT AND PROTESTER'S RIGHTS. THIS SHOULD NOT BE ABOUT ABORTION, IT

SHOULD NOT BE ABOUT WHAT WE THINK ABOUT ABORTION, IT SHOULD BE
ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY. AND I THINK IT IS. WE'VE GOTTEN PRETTY GOOD
AT COMMUNICATING PEOPLE EVEN WITH MASKS AND SIX-FOOT DISTANCE.

PEOPLE WHO WANT TO PROTEST OR COUNSEL CAN STILL DO IT. IF

SOMEONE WALKS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE BUFFER ZONE, THEY CAN BE
WITHIN SIX FEET. WE'VE GOTTEN VERY GOOD AT DOING THAT. I DON'T
THINK IT INFRINGES ON PROTESTER'S RIGHTS. I THINK IT PROVIDES
ACCESS TO MEDICAL CARE AND IMPROVE PUBLIC SAFETY. THAT'S WHY
I'LL BE VOTING YES.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MADAM CLERK, WHAT'S OUR TIME?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: 85 MINUTES, SIR.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER, FIVE MINUTES.
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. WE WERE TOLD BY DR. MOYER

 DURING COMMITTEE THAT THE BUFFER ZONE WAS NEEDED, THE 15-I'M

 SORRY, THE SIX-FOOT WAS NEEDED BECAUSE OF COVID. YET, WE WERE

 TOLD PRIOR TO THAT THAT THE PROTESTERS THAT HAVE BEEN IN THE

 STREETS FOR THE LAST SEVERAL WEEKS WERE NOT A DANGER TO

 THEMSELVES OR OTHERS BECAUSE THEY WERE OUTSIDE. WE'RE GETTING

 MIXED MESSAGES IT'S KIND OF LIKE A DOUBLING STANDARD. IF THIS IS

 TRULY ABOUT COVID, AND WE NEED TO PUT A SUNSET ON IT. WHEN THE

 GOVERNOR'S EMERGENCY ORDER IS LIFTED, THIS ALSO SHALL BE LIFTED.

 I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THAT AS AN AMENDMENT.

>> SECOND.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: SO WE HAVE A MOTION FOR TABLING AND A SECOND. A MOTION FOR SUNSET. I'M SORRY. AND A SECOND. WHAT IS THE SUNSET EXACTLY?
- >> THE SUNSET, SIR, WOULD BE THAT WHEN THE GOVERNOR'S ORDER IS LIFTED FOR COVID THAT THIS ALSO WOULD BE LIFTED AND CEASED.
 - >> SECOND THAT IF IT'S ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: I THINK WE HAD A SECOND FROM COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI ALREADY. DISCUSSION? CORRECT. WHO IS UP FOR DISCUSSION? COUNCILMAN WINKLER.
- >> MY CONCERN IS THAT WE'VE NOW PUT OURSELVES UNDER THE GUN WITH THE 90-MINUTE RULE SO I'LL MAKE A MOTION TO TABLE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR RIGHT NOW
 FOR SUNSET CURRENTLY. MOTION TO TABLE. DO WE HAVE A SECOND FOR
 MOTION TO TABLE? DO WE HAVE A SECOND FOR A MOTION TO TABLE? ALL
 RIGHT. DON'T HAVE A MOTION FOR A SECOND.
- >> WAS THAT THE MOTION TO TABLE OR -- A MOTION ON AMENDMENT?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THERE WAS A MOTION ON THE FLOOR BY
 COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER TO SUNSET. THE MOTION TO TABLE OVERRIDES. SO
 IT'S A MOTION TO TABLE RIGHT NOW. COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
 - >> WE HAVE TWO MINUTES OR HOW MUCH TIME, MADAM CLERK?
 - >> MADAM CLERK: --

- >> MY CONCERN IS WE ADDED A MOTION AND HAVE A MINUTE AND 58 SECONDS SO NO TIME TO DISCUSS SO CONSIDER, THAT'S WHY I MOTION TO TABLE. I THINK IT'S A --
 - >> SECOND.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: ALL RIGHT. DO WE HAVE A SECOND?
 - >> I'LL SECOND IT.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: WHO WAS THE SECOND?
 - >> COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER SECONDED THE MOTION
 TO TABLE. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, "AYE." -- WELL, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE
 CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SUBMIT. -- SEXTON SMITH.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> --
- >> MADAM CLERK?
- >> MADAM CLERK: I'M SORRY, COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> THANK YOU. MY VOTE IS NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.

- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> NO.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT I WAS HAVING TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES. THIS
 IS A VOTE TO SEND IT BACK TO COMMITTEE, CORRECT?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THIS IS A MOTION TO TABLE.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE NINE YES VOTES, AND 15 NO VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE TIME PLEASE, MADAM CLERK?

- >> MADAM CLERK: TIME ELAPSES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION TO TABLE FAILS. NOW THE QUESTION OF THE ORDINANCE. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US WITHOUT ANY AMENDMENT. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: WE'RE OUT OF TIME. BACK TO THE ORDINANCE.
- >> POINT OF ORDER, MR. PRESIDENT. I BELIEVE AT THIS POINT, WE'RE OUT OF TIME BUT WE HAVE TO VOTE OR WE HAVE TO VOTE DOWN THE AMENDMENT INTO THE ORDINANCE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THE ONE OFFERED BY -- [MULTIPLE SPEAKERS] I'M SORRY.
 - >> WE HAVE TO VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT AND THE --
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: CORRECT. WE HAVE THE AMENDED, THE
 PROPOSED AMENDMENT BEFORE US BY COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER AND SECONDED
 BY COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI. WHICH WAS TO PROVIDE A SUNSET CLAUSE
 INTO THE ORDINANCE WHEN THE GOVERNOR LIFTS THE COVID-19 BAN.
 THAT IS BEFORE US, MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL CALL
 VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES --
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES, MAY I INTERRUPT FOR A MINUTE? TRAVIS

 AND I WERE TEXTING ABOUT PROCESS HERE. AND WE BELIEVE THAT

 BECAUSE TIME HAS EXPIRED, THE QUESTION MUST BE CALLED AND NO

 FURTHER ACTION CAN BE TAKEN, NO AMENDMENTS OR VOTE ON THE

 PENDING AMENDMENT. TRAVIS?

>> TRAVIS, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. THE FINAL LINE OF
511E, TALKS ABOUT LIMITING DEBATE. UPON THE EXPIRATION OF SAID
TIME LIMIT, THE ORDINANCE OR RESOLUTION AMENDED OR NOT, SHALL BE
AUTOMATICALLY CALLED BEFORE A FINAL VOTE. I THINK THAT'S THE
MOST REASONABLE INTERPRETATION ANY AMENDMENTS ARE DISCARDED AND
THE AMENDMENT AS IT STANDS.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE
AT THE VOTE FOR THE ORDINANCE WITHOUT THE AMENDMENT. MADAM
CLERK.

>> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN. COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?
CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT, CAN I HAVE A MINUTE TO EXPLAIN MY VOTE?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> I DO APPRECIATE THE DISCUSSION. I APPRECIATE THE HARD
 WORK OF EVERYBODY INVOLVED IN THIS. I DID VOTE FOR THIS OUT OF
 COMMITTEE WITH THE HOPES THAT WE WOULD REACH A COMPROMISE AND WE
 ATTEMPTED TO DO THAT. I THOUGHT WE HAD A COMPROMISE ON THIS
 ORDINANCE. I THINK IT'S VERY IMPERATIVE, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
 TAKING A PUBLIC USE AND MAKING IT INCLUSIVE TO ONE ENTITY THAT
 THEY NEED TO PARTICIPATE AND HAVING DESIGNATED PERSONNEL SEEMS
 LIKE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE, IN MY MIND, MAYBE A NO-BRAINER,
 BUT APPARENTLY IT'S NOT AND I APPRECIATE THE HARD WORK PUT INTO
 THIS. BECAUSE OF THAT, I WILL HAVE TO VOTE NO. THANK YOU.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> NO. MAY I EXPLAIN SOMETHING? I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED ON THE PROCESS, AND MAYBE THE COUNTY ATTORNEY CAN HELP. WHEN I OFFERED MY AMENDMENT AND THEN WHEN COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER IMMEDIATELY WANTED TO TABLE, HE WAS ALLOWED TO DO THAT AND MINE WAS STILL ON THE FLOOR. CAN SOMEONE EXPLAIN THAT TO ME PLEASE? AND I'M SORRY THIS IS IN THE MIDDLE OF IT.

>> SURE. AGAIN, TRAVIS, THE ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. WE
WERE VERY NEAR THE ENDS OF TIME WHEN THE ORIGINAL MOTION WAS
MADE AND THE MOTION TO TABLE WAS LAYERED ON TOP. WE RESOLVED THE
MOTION TO TABLE BECAUSE IT IS A SORT OF HIGHER PRIORITY UNDER
ROBERT RULES AND COUNCIL RULES. BY THE TIME IT WAS RESOLVED,
TIME HAD EXPIRED ON CALLING THE QUESTION SO THE QUESTION WAS
CALLED OR ON DEBATE. THE TIME RAN ON ALL DEBATE OR IT WAS CALLED
BECAUSE IT HAD NOT BEEN FULLY AMENDED BY THAT POINT. IT SAYS
ONCE TIME IS EXPIRED, FINAL VOTE.

- >> THANK YOU.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER, CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR VOTE? COUNCIL MEMBER FOULERS?
 - >> YES, MA'AM.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR VOTE?
 - >> YES. I SAID NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> BEFORE I VOTE, I WOULD HAVE VOTED YES ON COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER'S AMENDMENT. I WILL VOTE NO ON THE ORDINANCE.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
 - >> NO.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT, I MAY EXPLAIN. I HAD WORKED HARD BECAUSE OF THE REASONS I STATED. I HAVE MY RESERVATIONS BECAUSE OF MY STRONG FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS AND I THINK THAT'S SO IMPORTANT. I TAUGHT A CLASS ON IT ONCE. HAD WE PASSED THE

AMENDMENT THAT I HAD ALREADY MADE AN AGREEMENT THAT I FOUGHT WITH BACK AND FORTH THAT WOULD HAVE MADE IT MORE TAILORED I WOULD BE IN A DIFFERENT SITUATION. WITHOUT THAT, I AM A NO.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 12 YES VOTES AND 13 NO VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE FAILS. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 43.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 023,
 SERIES 2020 AND APPROPRIATING \$11,875 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD
 DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$1,500 EACH FROM
 DISTRICTS 2, 4, 8, 10, AND 26; \$1,125 FROM DISTRICT 25; \$1,000
 FROM DISTRICT 7; \$750 EACH FROM DISTRICT 9 AND 14; AND \$375 EACH
 FROM DISTRICTS 15 AND 21; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND
 BUDGET, TO KENTUCKY SHAKESPEARE, INC. AND, DUE TO THE COVID-19
 PANDEMIC, THE SCOPE HAS CHANGED TO ALLOW KENTUCKY SHAKESPEARE,
 INC. TO PROFESSIONALLY RECORD SHAKESPEARE IN THE PARK'S HAMLET
 PERFORMANCE AND TO CREATE AND DISSEMINATE AN ACCOMPANYING
 CURRICULUM GUIDE, AS AMENDED. READ IN FULL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND? MOTION
 BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER. SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL. THE
 ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION? COUNCIL MEMBER PRESIDENT
 DR. BLACKWELL.

- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. SO THIS WAS IN COMMITTEE
 PROBABLY LOOKS FAMILIAR BECAUSE WE ALREADY PASSED IT IN
 COMMITTEE. THIS IS A RECONSIDERATION OF AN ALREADY PASSED NDF
 BECAUSE WE HAD THE CHANGE THE SCOPE. AND SO OF OUR VIRTUAL
 PERFORMANCE. SPONSOR IS BARBARA SEXTON SMITH. YOU MAY WANT TO
 ADDRESS THAT.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.
- >> THANK YOU, CHAIR BLACKWELL AND PRESIDENT JAMES. THIS IS WHAT KENTUCKY SHAKESPEARE HAS BEEN DOING FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS, SHAKESPEARE IN THE PARK, PLURAL, AND MANY OF THE COUNTY DISTRICTS SPONSORED THIS SO SHAKESPEARE CAN TAKE A 45-MINUTE VERSION OF A MAIN PERFORMANCE THEY DO IN DISTRICT SIX, IN OUR BEAUTIFUL PARK AT CENTRAL PARK EVERY YEAR AND TAKE IT OUT THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. HOWEVER, COVID CHANGED EVERYTHING. SO THEY RECONFIGURED IT. THERE WILL BE VIRTUAL PRESENTATION. AND IT WILL BE OF HAMLET. AND GIVEN TONIGHT'S DISCUSSION, OUT OF FULL RESPECT FOR MY COLLEAGUES, I JUST SAY AS HAMLET SAID, TO THINE OWN SELF BE TRUE AND FOLLOW THAT BY NIGHT AND DAY. I REALLY APPRECIATE ALL OF THE FOLKS ON THE COUNCIL THAT ARE CONTINUING TO SPONSOR THIS. AND THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS A ORDINANCE THAT REQUIRES A ROLL CALL VOTE, MADAM CLERK. [ROLL CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> MADAM CLERK: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER. I'M SORRY, CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?
 - >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL. COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL? UNMUTE YOUR MIC PLEASE.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES --
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN?
- >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCES PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 44.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$10,250 FROM
 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$1,000
 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 9, 12, AND 24; \$500 EACH FROM DISTRICTS 1,
 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, AND 26; \$250 EACH FROM
 DISTRICTS 5, 6, 20, 23, AND 25; THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT
 AND BUDGET, TO THE COALITION FOR THE HOMELESS, INC. FOR
 PROGRAMMING EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT STAND DOWN, AS
 AMENDED. READ IN FULL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A SECOND AND -- I
 MEAN A MOTION AND A SECOND PLEASE.
 - >> MOTION,
 - >> SECOND.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: A MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER FOX,
 SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE

US. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCIL MEMBER PRESIDENT DR. BLACKWELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE FOR ADDITIONAL SPONSORS. TOTAL REQUEST WAS 11,000. CURRENTLY AT \$10,250. THE PRIMARY SPONSOR IS MADONNA FLOOD, SHE MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO THIS AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. YES, WE DO THIS EVERY YEAR.

AND IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT THIS YEAR. THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE AS MANY PARTNERS FOR THIS. I WOULD INVITE MY COLLEAGUES, SINCE WE HAVE A HUGE HOMELESS PROBLEM IN THE LOUISVILLE AREA, THIS DOES HELP THE HOMELESS WITH THEIR STAND-DOWN PROGRAM AND GETS MUCH-NEEDED SERVICES AND ACTUALLY TALKS AND DIFFERENT THINGS, MEDICAL ATTENTION THEY MAY NEED. I INVITE MY COLLEAGUES IF THEY COULD AFFORD \$100, THAT WOULD BE PERFECT IF YOU ARE NOT ON HERE ALREADY.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

- >> MR. PRESIDENT?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> I'M SORRY. I WOULD LIKE TO ADD \$250 TO MINE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN BENSON. \$250.
- >> MR. PRESIDENT?
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.

- >> I WOULD LIKE TO ASK COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, AM I ON HERE?

 SOMETIMES I LOSE TRACK OF WHAT ADMIN. AM I ON HERE?
 - >> I DON'T SEE YOU.
- >> WHAT IS THE DEFICIT? I'M GOING TO ADD \$250 FROM DISTRICT 22. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: \$250 FROM DISTRICTS 22. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?
 - >> GIVE ME THE TOTAL REALLY QUICK.
 - >> 10750.
- >> IF NO ONE ELSE WANT TO UP THEIRS, I WILL UP DISTRICT 24
 TO A 2 \$50 TO MAKE IT AN EVEN 11,000.
 - >> MR. PRESIDENT?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> I'LL SPLIT THAT WITH THE COUNCILWOMAN.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN FOX, YOU ARE GOING TO SPLIT THAT WITH COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD. DISTRICT 13.
 - >> YES, MR. PRESIDENT.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. THANK YOU. COUNCILMAN WINKLER.
- >> IF COUNCILWOMAN FOWLER DOES NOT OBJECT, I WILL KICK HER
 OUT AND TAKE HER SPOT. I DIDN'T CLICK IN IN TIME.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: KO. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, DO YOU OBJECT?

 FOWLER, DO YOU OBJECT TO --
 - >> I'M SORRY. I'M NOT SURE WHAT I'LL BE OBJECTING TO.

- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILMAN WINKLER SAYS THE EXTRA FUNDS
 YOU KICKED IN, HE'LL REPLACE WITH HIS FUNDS.
 - >> I'M LOOKING FOR IT ON HERE.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISREGARD COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER. THAT
 WAS COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD. ARE YOU --
 - >> I HAD PUT IN 500, RIGHT?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: DISREGARD, I HAD THE WRONG PERSON.
 - >> THANK YOU.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, ARE YOU OKAY WITH REMOVING WHAT YOU JUSTICE PUT -- JUST PUT IN AND LET COUNCILMAN WINKLER REPLACE IT?
- >> IT WAS ACTUALLY SPLIT BETWEEN MYSELF AND COUNCILMAN FOX.

 SO COUNCILMAN FOX WOULD HAVE TO LOWER HIS TOO, TO GIVE

 COUNCILMAN WINKLER 250 TO PUT IN. [MULTIPLE SPEAKERS]
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, WE'RE SAYING YOU

 PUT IN HALF AND COUNCILMAN FOX PUT IN HALF. COUNCILMAN WINKLER

 IS SAYING, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, DON'T PUT IN YOUR HALF, HE'S

 GOING TO DO THAT.
 - >> OKAY. THANK YOU.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE AN AMENDMENT
 ON THE FLOOR. MAY I HAVE AN MOTION FOR AN AMENDMENT.
 - >> MOTION TO APPROVE THE AMENDMENT.
 - >> SECOND.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: WHO WAS THE SECOND? COUNCILMAN
PIAGENTINI WAS A SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY, "AYE." OPPOSED? THE
AYES HAVE IT. THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. MADAM CLERK,
PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL CALL VOTE]

>> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN. COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES. AND THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES. COUNCIL MEMBER YATES?
 COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. AND THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

 MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 45, PLEASE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 917 & 919 FOUNTAIN AVENUE AND 4700 WESTPORT ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 0.59 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE NO. 19ZONE0094, AMENDED BY SUBSTITUTION. READ IN FULL.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU.
 - >> MOTION TO APPROVE. ENGEL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: A MOTION TO APPROVE BY ENGEL, A SECOND BY COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH. THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US. ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?
- >> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS IN COUNCILWOMAN

 MCCRANEY'S DISTRICT. WHEN IT CAME TO THE METRO COUNCIL, IT WAS A

 TIE VOTE, WHICH MEANS NO DECISION MADE, NO BINDING ELEMENTS ON

 THE CASE. AND DUE TO KRS100.21 THAT GIVES US TIME TO LOOK OVER -

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD?

- >> SEND IT BACK TO THE --
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, COULD YOU STANDBY?
 WE LOST COUNCILMAN PEDEN. WE'RE GOING TO HOLD THE MEETING FOR A
 MOMENT.
 - >> OKAY.
 - >> YOU SAY YOU'VE LOST ME?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES, WE THOUGHT WE DID.
- >> NO, I CHANGED ROOMS. I FIGURED YOU DIDN'T WANT ME MOVING AROUND ON CAMERA. TO THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN PEDEN. BACK IN SESSION. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.
- >> I'M NOT SURE HOW MUCH I -- I'M SURE YOU HEARD EVERYTHING
 ABOUT IT BEING SENT BACK TO PLANNING COMMISSION. WE HAVE PLENTY
 OF TIME. THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE SUPPORTED COUNCIL
 MEMBER MCCRANEY IN THIS ENDEAVOR. WE WOULD LIKE TO VOTE TO SEND
 THIS BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO LET THEM TRY TO BREAK
 THEIR TIE AND GIVE US A RECOMMENDATION.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, OH, COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES. THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I HATE TO SEEK

 CLARIFICATION ON THIS. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD STATED WE WANT TO VOTE

 TO SEND IT BACK TO THE COMMITTEE. IS THAT WHAT IT SAYS ON OUR

 AGENDA?
 - >> NO, BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

- >> YES. THAT'S WHAT --
- >> COUNCILWOMAN -- MR. PRESIDENT, MAY I ASK COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD IS DIRECT QUESTION?
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: ABSOLUTELY. YES, MA'AM.
- >> COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD, THERE NEEDS TO BE A YES VOTE ON THIS MEANS IT WILL GO BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION?
- >> YES, IT WILL BE A YES VOTE WILL SEND IT BACK TO PLANNING COMMISSION FOR FURTHER DELIBERATION.
 - >> THANK YOU, MA'AM.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. IS THERE ANY
 FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING
 A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK. [ROLL CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN. COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN?
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: CAN YOU TELL ME MCCRANEY -- COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES. COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 46.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF
 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1300 E. WASHINGTON STREET CONTAINING
 APPROXIMATELY 0.119 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE
 NO. 20ZONE0017. READ IN FULL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MAY I HAVE A MOTION? AND A SECOND?
 - >> MOTION, TRIPLETT.

- >> SECOND, FOX.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT, AND A SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER FOX. THE ORDINANCE HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND BEFORE US. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.
- >> THIS IS A DUPLEX AND THE APPLICANT WISHES TO CONSTRUCT A
 CARRIAGE HOUSE WITH TWO ADDITIONAL UNITS. TWO OF THOSE OPERATED
 AS REGULAR RELATES, TWO AS SHORT-TERM RENTALS. THIS IS LOCATED
 NIN THE MIXED USE AREA, ALSO KNOWN -- UNDER THE NEIGHBORHOOD
 PLAN, AND ALSO IN THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DISTRICT. ALSO SEVEN
 PARKING SPACES FOR THIS FOUR UNITS. IN COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON
 SMITH'S DISTRICT. I'M SURE SHE WOULD LIKE TO ADD SOMETHING.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. COUNCILWOMAN SEXTON SMITH?
- >> YES, THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, AND MR. PRESIDENT. I'M

 VOTING IN THE AFFIRMATIVE AND HOPE EVERYONE WILL JOIN ME. THANK

 YOU.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ASK IS THERE FURTHER
 DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL
 CALL VOTE]
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN. COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER

COAN.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 47.

>> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF
PROPERTY LOCATED AT 308 FRANCK AVENUE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY
1.244 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE NO. 19ZONE0052,
AS AMENDED. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. I HAVE A MOTION BY COUNCIL
MEMBER WINKLER, A SECOND BY COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI. THE IT'S
BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. AND IS BEFORE US, COUNCILWOMAN
FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. THIS IS TO ALLOW THE DIVISION
OF WHAT THEY CALL SUBSTANDARD LOTS BECAUSE OF THE SQUARE FOOTAGE
OF THE LOT IS SMALLER THAN THE REGULAR LOTS IN THE AREA, TO
ALLOW FOR TWO DWELLING UNITS ON THIS PROPERTY. THIS IS NOT
UNUSUAL FOR THIS STRIP OF PROPERTY ON THIS AVENUE. THERE ARE
SEVERAL ALONG THAT. AND ALSO, THERE WILL BE \$1,445 FEE PAID INLIEU OF SIDEWALKS CREDITED TO DISTRICT NINE, COUNCIL MEMBER
HOLLANDER'S DISTRICT, WHICH THIS APPLIED TO. HE MAY WANT TO
ADDRESS THE COUNCIL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN. COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT. I THINK THIS IS A GOOD INTEL PROJECT. THERE WAS SOME CONCERN ABOUT POTENTIAL DAMAGE TO STRUCTURES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION. AND SO THERE IS AN UNUSUAL PROVISION IN THE BINDING ELEMENT ABOUT GETTING ASSESSMENT IN

ADVANCE OF THE CONSTRUCTION BEGINNING. I THINK, AGAIN, I THINK

IT'S A GOOD INTEL DEVELOPMENT AND I URGE YOUR APPROVAL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER. ANY
FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING
A ROLL CALL. MADAM CLERK. [ROLL CALL VOTE]

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN. COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN?
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. MADAM CLERK, THE ORDINANCE PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 48, PLEASE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF
 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4922 CANE RUN ROAD CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY
 0.39 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE NO. 19ZONE0068.
 READ IN FULL.
 - >> MOTION TO APPROVE. ENGEL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: ENGEL FOR THE MOTION AND COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI FOR THE SECOND. THE ORDINANCE HAS BEEN PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.
- >> THANK YOU, THIS IS TO ALLOW THE CONVERSION OF EXISTING

 GARAGE STRUCTURE TO A REAL ESTATE AND ONLINE ACTION OFFICE,

 PARKING AND STORAGE BEHIND THE STRUCTURE IN COUNCILWOMAN JESSICA

 GREEN'S DISTRICT. SHE MAY WISH TO ADDRESS THIS.
 - >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD. AS SHE STATED, THIS IS AN ACCESSORY GARAGE ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE WALGREENS AND TERRY ROAD. WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED CALLS OR COMPLAINTS ABOUT THIS. I'M SUPPORTING THE PROJECT. WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO AS WELL. THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN. IS THERE
ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE
REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
[ROLL CALL VOTE]

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> ABSOLUTELY.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 25 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. THE ORDINANCES PASSES. MADAM CLERK, A READING OF ITEM 49, PLEASE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF
 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 14015 OLD HENRY TRAIL CONTAINING
 APPROXIMATELY 2.81 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE NO.
 19ZONE0095. READ IN FULL.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. MAY I HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.
 - >> MOTION TO MOVE.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER,

SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT. IT'S BEEN PROPERLY MOVED

AND SECONDED. COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, THIS ZONING APPLICATION WAS FOR 27,845
MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT WITH THE FIRST FLOOR BEING COMMERCIAL AND
THE SECOND BEING MULTIFAMILY. SEVEN PEOPLE SPOKE IN OPPOSITION
ON THIS. AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED DENIAL OF THIS
ZONING CHANGE ON A VOTE OF 5-0. AND ONE OF THE FINDINGS OF FACT
FOR DEFILE WAS THE PROPOSAL WOULD NONRESIDENTIAL EXPANSION INTO
EXISTING RESIDENTIAL AREA. THE VOTE WHEN WE TAKE IT, IF YOU VOTE
YES, TO UPHOLD THE DENIAL BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. AND THIS
IS -- COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI'S AREA, HE MAY LIKE TO ADDRESS
THAT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. PRESIDENT AND COUNCIL MEMBER
FLOOD. I WOULD ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO VOTE YES FOR THIS. AND TO
ACCEPT THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION. I THINK THEY
MADE A FINE RECOMMENDATION BASED ON THE LAYOUT OF THAT AREA
BEING A RESIDENTIAL AREA. AND IT DIDN'T MAKE SENSE RELATED TO
THE PLAN OF THAT AREA. THERE IS PLENTY OF OTHER COMMERCIAL AREAS
AGGRESSIVELY DEVELOPED NOT TOO FAR AWAY. WHICH IS A FINE THING.
BUT I THINK THEY SORT OF WENT OUTSIDE OF THE ZONE INTO A
RESIDENTIAL AREA. I WOULD ASK EVERYBODY TO VOTE YES. I WANT TO
SAY FOR THE PUBLIC, I WANT TO THANK A LOT OF CONSTITUENTS THAT

DID YEOMAN'S WORK DURING THE PROCESS TO GET TO THE PLANNING

COMMISSION AND GET THEIR VOICES HEARD AND GO THROUGH THE PROPER

PROCESS TO GET THE DECISION. AND THANK TO THE PLANNING

COMMISSION FOR MAKING WHAT I BELIEVE IS THE RIGHT DECISION.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.
HEARING NONE, THIS REQUIRES A ROLL CALL VOTE. MADAM CLERK,
PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. [ROLL CALL VOTE]

- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GREEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SHANKLIN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER DORSEY.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER SEXTON SMITH.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: PRESIDENT JAMES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY. COUNCIL MEMBER COAN.
 - >> YES.
 - >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLANDER.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER MULVIHILL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER KRAMER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BLACKWELL.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOX.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER TRIPLETT.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER REED.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER.
- >> MADAM CLERK: -- COUNCIL MEMBER PARKER. COUNCIL MEMBER

PIAGENTINI.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER BENSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ENGEL?
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: OKAY. COUNCIL MEMBER YATES.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.
- >> YES.
- >> MADAM CLERK: MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 23 YES VOTES.
- >> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU. THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

 COLLEAGUES, BEFORE WE GO INTO NEW BUSINESS I WANTED TO SAY ONE
 THING. TAKE A PERSONAL PRIVILEGE. AND AS I LOOK AT COUNCILWOMAN
 SHANKLIN, I WANT YOU TO KNOW WE ALL LOVE YOU. AND WE WRAP OUR
 ARMS AROUND YOU IN PRAYER. AND WE'RE SO SORRY FOR YOUR LOSS.

 THANK YOU. NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS NEW BUSINESS. I WOULD ASK
 THOSE COUNCILMEMBERS THAT WISH TO MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS, PLEASE
 REMAIN UNTIL AFTER NEW BUSINESS IS READ INTO THE READ, ITEMS 50
 THROUGH 64. WILL THE CLERK PLEASE READ THOSE ITEMS AND
 ASSIGNMENTS TO COMMITTEE.
- >> MADAM CLERK: THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING

\$20,000 FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$10,000 EACH FROM DISTRICT 2 AND DISTRICT 5, THROUGH DEVELOP LOUISVILLE, TO METRO HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER FOR TREE REMOVAL ASSISTANCE TO HOMEOWNERS THAT MEET THE ESTABLISHED INCOME GUIDELINES. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 072, SERIES 2020 AND ORDINANCE NO. 073, SERIES 2020 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021 CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGETS, RESPECTIVELY, BY TRANSFERRING \$50,000 FROM THE DISTRICT 16 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND TO THE DISTRICT 16 CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND. AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING \$5,835.00 FROM DISTRICT 6 NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS, THROUGH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, TO LUV-IT LANDSCAPING FOR MULTIPLE BEAUTIFICATION PROJECTS IN DISTRICT 6. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO BUDGET COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A FORGIVABLE LOAN TO HABITAT OF HUMANITY OF METRO LOUISVILLE PURSUANT TO LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES ("LMCO") SECTION 39.131(B). AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 073, SERIES 2020 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT BY TRANSFERRING \$1,000,000 OF RESTRICTED YOUTH APPROPRIATION FROM THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT & BUDGET TO THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE & COMMUNITY SERVICES. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, HEALTH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE. A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$80,000 FROM CITIES FOR

FINANCIAL EMPOWERMENT FUND FOR THE FINANCIAL NAVIGATORS PROGRAM IN PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN BANK ON LOUISVILLE AND THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO LABOR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE. A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES CONCERNING ITS EMPLOYMENT OF A DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF YOUTH DEVELOPMENT -(UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE - \$80,000.00). A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR LMPHW CONCERNING ITS EMPLOYMENT OF A HEALTH ECONOMIST -UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE -\$64,250.00. A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FURTHER FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT FOR METRO SAFE FOR MAINTENANCE OF ITS NEWLY UPGRADED RADIO SYSTEM - MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. - (\$623,681.00). A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR LOUISVILLE FORWARD CONCERNING FINANCIAL ADVICE AND COUNSELING ON COMPLEX FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS, DEAL STRUCTURES AND TAX

INCREMENT FINANCING - COMMONWEALTH ECONOMICS PARTNERS, LLC -\$108,000. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PARKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE. A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT \$238,165 OF FUNDING FROM THE KENTUCKY NATURAL LANDS TRUST (KNLT) IMPERILED BAT CONSERVATION FUND (IBCF) FOR PURCHASE OF BLESSIT TRACTS, WHICH WILL ADD TO JEFFERSON MEMORIAL FOREST (JMF) TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 534-538 EAST BRECKINRIDGE STREET CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 0.331 ACRES AND BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO. CASE NO. 20ZONE0030. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION ASSIGNED TO PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN LIMITATIONS ON POLICE USE OF FORCE INTO LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICIES. A RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT EXPRESSES NO CONFIDENCE IN THE LEADERSHIP OF LOUISVILLE MAYOR GREG FISCHER. THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION WILL BE ASSIGNED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 109, SERIES 2018 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2018-19 CAPITAL BUDGET, AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 082, SERIES 2019 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-20 CAPITAL BUDGET, AND AMENDING ORDINANCE 072, SERIES 2020 RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2020-21 CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT BY REALLOCATING

\$27,982.55 OF D24 FUNDING TO A NEW PROJECT TITLED D24 MCCAWLEY ROAD SIDEWALK PROJECT. READ IN FULL.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. NEXT, WE HAVE
ANNOUNCEMENTS. DO WE HAVE ANY ANNOUNCEMENTS? SEEING NO
ANNOUNCEMENTS. THIS CONCLUDES OUR COUNCIL MEETING. THE NEXT
COUNCIL MEETING WILL BE THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER THE 3RDAT 6 P.M.
WITH NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO DISCUSS, WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE STAND
ADJOURNED. [GAVEL]