General Waiver Justification:

In order to justify approval of any waiver, the Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Adjustment considers four criteria. Please answer all of the following questions. Use additional sheets if needed. A response of yes, no, or N/A is not acceptable.

1. Will the waiver adversely affect adjacent property owners?

The waiver will not adversely affect the adjacent property owners since it is a typical occurrence for sewer and drainage easements to be located in the rear yard, since this does not reduce the depth of the yard or negatively impact the lot. These easements are often requested by MSD to be located along the rear property line to provide a ditch within it that will keep any increase in runoff from this development to negatively impacting the adjacent properties. The ditch/easement is required to protect the adjacent properties.

2. Will the waiver violate the Comprehensive Plan?

The waiver will not violate the Comprehensive Plan since adequate drainage facilities to serve the development are required and will be provided, since this will not affect the developers ability to provide buffering, if required, nor affect any other aspect of this developments compliance with either the Plan 2040 or the requirements of the Land Development Code.

3. Is extent of waiver of the regulation the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant?

The waiver is the minimum necessary to afford relief to the applicant to allow for the best design of the individual lots. It has been generally accepted that drainage eaements be located in the rear yard either along the rear property line or offset from this typically to preserved existing vegetation. Without the waiver the applicant would be required to locate the drainage way away from the rear property line and therefore in close proximity to the home. It would reduce the usable area close to the home that would otherwise be used by the homeowner as their private yard, and area typically reserved for outdoor dining, entertainment, relaxation and/or recreation.

4. Has either (a) the applicant incorporated other design measures that exceed the minimums of the district and compensate for non-compliance with the requirements to be waived (net beneficial effect) or would (b) the strict application of the provisions of the regulation deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant?

The strict application of the provision of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land as locating the drainage easement in the rear yard has been the preferred and acceptable practice and now requiring it to be located away from the rear property line would create an unnecessary hardship for the homeowner in reducing the usable area of the private yard with a ditch separating the area adjacent to the home from the rear yard and negatively impacting the resulting buildable lots.

PLANNING & DESI

JUL 28 2020