Board of Zoning Adjustment

Staff Report

November 16, 2020



Case No: 20-VARIANCE-0136

Project Name: Pinemeadows Lane Variance **Location:** 7806 Pinemeadows Lane

Owner(s): Ian & Stacy Shives

Applicant: Danny Kang – Pitt & Frank, PSC

Jurisdiction: City of Barbourmeade **Council District:** 16 – Scott Reed

Case Manager: Zach Schwager, Planner I

REQUESTS:

Variance from Land Development Code table 5.3.1 to allow an existing structure to encroach into the street side yard setback.

Location	Requirement	Request	Variance	
Street Side Yard	30 ft.	29.1 ft.	0.9 ft.	

CASE SUMMARY/BACKGROUND

The subject property is zoned R-4 Residential Single-Family in the Neighborhood Form District. It is a single-family structure located in the Pinemeadows subdivision. There is no proposed construction, but the residence was constructed within the street side yard setback. This situation was discovered during the sale of the property and the owner was required to get a variance. Section 5.1.2 states, "The form district regulations shall apply only to new construction and development, including expansions. Structures in existence prior to the effective date of this Chapter 5 shall not be required to meet the standards created herein." The Board of Zoning Adjustment must determine whether granting a variance in this situation is appropriate.

Staff has received signatures from all adjoining property owners approving of the proposed construction. Therefore, a public hearing is not required.

STAFF FINDINGS

Staff finds that the requested variance is adequately justified and meets the standard of review.

Based upon the information in the staff report, and the testimony and evidence provided at the public hearing, the Board of Zoning Adjustment must determine if the proposal meets the standards for granting a variance established in the Land Development Code from table 5.3.1 to allow a structure to encroach into the required street side yard setback.

TECHNICAL REVIEW

No technical review required.

INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS

No interested party comments were received by staff.

RELATED CASES

None.

STANDARD OF REVIEW AND STAFF ANALYSIS FOR VARIANCE FROM TABLE 5.3.1

- (a) The requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare.
 - STAFF: The requested variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare, because there is no proposed construction.
- (b) The requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity.
 - STAFF: The requested variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity as there is no proposed construction.
- (c) The requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public.
 - STAFF: The requested variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public because there is no proposed construction.
- (d) The requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations.
 - STAFF: The requested variance will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations as there is no proposed construction.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS:

- 1. <u>The requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land</u> in the general vicinity or the same zone.
 - STAFF: The requested variance does arise from special circumstances which do not generally apply to the land in the generally vicinity or the same zone as the house was built within the street side yard setback.
- 2. <u>The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.</u>
 - STAFF: The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant as there is no proposed construction.
- 3. The circumstances are the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought.
 - STAFF: The circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought as the applicant is requesting the variance and there is no proposed construction.

Published Date: November 6, 2020 Page 2 of 6 Case 20-VARIANCE-0136

VARIANCE PLAN REQUIREMENT

In accordance with LDC Section 11.5B.1.C (Requirement to Follow Approved Plan), a variance shall be approved only on the basis of the plan approved by the Board and shall be valid only for the location and area shown on the approved plan. All construction and operations must be conducted in accordance with the approved plan and conditions attached to the variance.

NOTIFICATION

Date	Purpose of Notice	Recipients
N/A	Hearing before BOZA	1 st tier adjoining property owners Registered Neighborhood Groups in Council District 16
N/A	Hearing before BOZA	Notice posted on property

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Zoning Map
- 2. Aerial Photograph
- 3. Site Plan

1. Zoning Map



2. Aerial Photograph



3. Site Plan

