PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES May 20, 2021

CONSENT AGEDA

CASE NO. 21-STRCLOSURE-0008

Request: Closure of Public Right-of-Way Project Name: Closure of Public Right-of-Way

Location: Cedar Creek Road (between 7509 and 7710 Cedar Creek

Road)

Owner: Louisville Metro
Applicant: Hogan Real Estate
Jurisdiction: Louisville Metro
Council District: 22 – Robin Engel

Case Manager: Joel Dock, AICP, Planner II

Notices were sent by first class mail to those adjoining property owners whose names were supplied by the applicants.

The staff report prepared for this case was incorporated into the record. The Commissioners received this report in advance of the hearing, and this report was available to any interested party prior to the public hearing. (The staff report is part of the case file maintained in Planning and Design Services offices, 444 S. 5th Street.)

Agency Testimony:

00:08:55 Joel Dock said he had nothing else to add.

An audio/visual recording of the Planning Commission hearing related to this case is available on the Planning & Design Services website, or you may contact the Customer Service staff to view the recording or to obtain a copy.

On a motion by Commissioner Howard, seconded by Commissioner Seitz, the following resolution, based on the staff report and staff's testimony, was adopted:

WHEREAS, adequate public facilities are available to serve existing and future needs of the community. The proposed closure does not result in an increase in demand on public facilities or services as utility agencies have coordinated with the applicant and/or applicant's representative and Planning and Design Services staff to ensure that facilities are maintained or relocated through agreement with the developer. AT&T will require a 15' X 140' easement with access to the cables for the closure of this section of road, and

WHEREAS, any cost associated with the rights-of-way to be closed will be the responsibility of the applicant or developer, including the cost of improvements to those

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES May 20, 2021

CONSENT AGEDA

CASE NO. 21-STRCLOSURE-0008

rights-of-way and adjacent rights-of-way, or the relocation of utilities and any additional agreement reached between the utility provider and the developer, and

WHEREAS, the request to close the rights-of-way is in compliance with the Goals, Objectives and Plan Elements of the Comprehensive Plan as Mobility Goal 2, Policy 2 states to coordinate use of rights-of-way with community design policies. Ensure accessible rights-of-way to accommodate mobility needs of all transportation network users: Mobility Goal 2, Policy 7 states that the design of all new and improved transportation facilities should be accessible and; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 1 states to provide transportation services and facilities to promote and accommodate growth and change in activity centers through improved access management. Provide walking and bicycling opportunities to enable activity centers to minimize single-occupant vehicle travel. Encourage a mix of complementary neighborhood serving businesses and services in neighborhood and village centers to encourage short trips easily made by walking or bicycling; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 2 seeks to improve mobility, and reduce vehicle miles traveled and congestion, encourage a mixture of compatible land uses that are easily accessible by bicycle, car, transit, pedestrians and people with disabilities. Housing should be encouraged near employment centers; Mobility Goal 3. Policy 3 to evaluate developments for their ability to promote public transit and pedestrian use. Encourage higher density mixed-use developments that reduce the need for multiple automobile trips as a means of achieving air quality standards and providing transportation and housing choices; Mobility Goal 3, Policy 5 to evaluate developments for their impact on the transportation network (including the street, pedestrian, transit, freight movement and bike facilities and services) and air quality: and Any cost associated with the rights-of-way to be closed will be the responsibility of the applicant or developer. Adequate public facilities are available to serve existing and future needs of the community. Any facility required to be placed in an easement or relocated will be done so by the developer. Transportation facilities have been provided to accommodate future access and to not dispossess property owners of public access. All adjacent lands maintain access to public infrastructure and utility services will continue to be provided to these lands, and

WHEREAS, there are no other relevant matters to be considered by the Planning Commission; now, therefore be it

RESOLIVED, the Louisville Metro Planning Commission does hereby **RECOMMEND** to the Louisville Metro Council that the requested Case No. 21-STRCLOSURE-0008 be **APPROVED**.

The vote was as follows:

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES May 20, 2021

CONSENT AGEDA

CASE NO. 21-STRCLOSURE-0008

YES: Commissioners Brown, Daniels, Mims, Peterson, Seitz, Howard, Clare, Sistrunk, Carlson, and Lewis.