

Metro Council 6-24-21

>> REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING WILL COME TO ORDER.

PLEASE RISE FOR THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

[PLEDGE].

>> COULD YOU READ THE NOTICE.

[NOTICE READ].

>> THANK YOU MADAM CLERK.

PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> PRESIDENT JAMES YOU HAVE 25 AND A QUORUM.

>> THANK YOU.

ALSO PLEASE LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT -- SHE'S HERE.

OKAY.

THANK YOU.

COLLEAGUES, OUR COMMUNITY HAS SEEN IMPROVED COVID NUMBERS.

WE MUST NOT BECOME COMPLACENT THOUGH.

IF YOU ARE MEDICALLY ABLE, PLEASE GET VACCINATED AND ENCOURAGE  
EVERYONE AROUND YOU TO GET VACCINATED.

AS OF THIS MORNING, 13,315 LOUISVILLIANS HAVE DIED.

WE LOST A FAMILY MEMBER.

AND THAT'S COUNCIL MEMBER HOLTON STEWART'S MOTHER PASSED AWAY,  
UNFORTUNATELY.

SHE WAS A DEVOTED WIFE AND MOTHER OF THREE AND GRANDMOTHER OF  
FOUR.

SHE WAS A MEMBER OF THE MOSAIC UNITED METHODIST CHURCH.

MORMON BOARD, FRIEND OF THE LIBRARY.

THE KAUFMAN BOARD, SOUTHWEST COMMUNITY FESTIVAL AND SERVED AS  
KENTUCKY SCHOOL OF THE BLIND PTA PRESIDENT.

SHE WAS VERY INVOLVED IN HER COMMUNITY.

OUR HEARTS TWO OUT TO -- TO COUNCILWOMAN'S FAMILY AND THE  
FAMILIES OF ALL THOSE THAT HAVE LOST FROM THIS PANDEMIC.

I ASK FOR A MOMENT OF SILENCE.

[MOMENT OF SILENCE].

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMAN WINKLER?

>> YES.

>> COULD YOU PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR.

>> I WILL TAKE CARE OF IT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PRESIDENT JAMES, I BELIEVE YOU HAVE A PROCLAMATION.

[INDISCERNIBLE] DON'T BE SHY.

>> ALL RIGHT.

ALL RIGHT.

SOME OF THESE PEOPLE THAT YOU SEE SITTING NEXT FOR ME, FOR SOME  
YOU'VE, THEY MAY SEEM VERY FAMILIAR.

THAT'S BECAUSE THEY SHOULD BE.

ON MAY 28TH LAST YEAR WHEN WE WERE HAVING PROTESTS AND RIOTING  
TAKING PLACE THAT WE REMEMBER SEEING ON THE NEWS THAT A POLICE

OFFICER THAT LOOKED LIKE THE GENTLEMAN TO MY LEFT, OFFICER GALEN HINSHAW HAD BECOME TRAPPED AND SEPARATED FROM THE REST OF THE OFFICERS AND THERE WERE PEOPLE OUT THERE PEACEFULLY PROTESTING AND PEOPLE SAW WHAT TOOK PLACE AND TOOK IT UPON THEMSELVES TO -- PUT THEMSELVES IN JEOPARDY AND STOOD BETWEEN THE OFFICER AND PEOPLE THAT INTENDED TO DO HIM SOME HARM.

I WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO RECOGNIZE THE CITIZENS AND THE OFFICER FOR THE WORK THAT THEY'VE DONE AND IT AND ARE STILL DOING TO HELP MAKE OUR COMMUNITY A BETTER PLACE.

WE HAVE A PROCLAMATION FOR YOU ALL.

I WANT TO GIVE THEM TO YOU, SO STAND BY.

I'M GOING TO -- PROCLAMATION SAYS THE SAME THING, I'LL READ ONE. IT SAYS THIS, TO WHOM ALL OF THESE LETTERS SHALL COME, KNOW YE WHICH THE COUNCIL RECOGNIZES, KARAN LEE JR., JULYIAN DE LA CRUZ AND RICKY MCFAILEN AND CHRISTOPHER GALE.

WHEREAS ON THE EVENING A CROWD OF PROTESTERS MARCHED TO MAIN STREET AND SURROUNDED A POLICE CRUISER STATIONED AT THE BOTTOM OF THE BRIDGE.

AS THEY BANGED ON THE CRUISER'S HOOD, THE OFFICER INSIDE RADIOED FOR ASSISTANCE, WHEREAS LOUISVILLE DIVISION PATROL OFFICER GALEN HINSHAW RESPONDED ON FOOT AND ALONE TO HIS FELLOW OFFICER'S REQUEST FOR HELP.

WHEREAS OFFICER HINSHAW FOUND HIMSELF ALONE AND SURROUNDED BY PROTESTERS AS THE CROWD GREW, HINSHAW NEEDING A PLACE TO ASSESS,

HE DROVE TO THE FRONT OF THE PIZZA PLACE.

HE EVALUATED THE SITUATION, AT 1030, WE NEED HELP.

IT IS THE CODE FOR NEEDING HELP.

THAT HELP ARRIVED IN THE UNLIKELY PERSONAGES OF FIVE PROTESTERS,  
STRANGERS TO EACH OTHER.

DAREN LEE JR., RICKY MCCLELLAN, AND JULIAN DE LA CRUZ AND WRIGHT  
AND CHRISTOPHER GALE.

THEY SAW A FELLOW HUMAN BEING WHO SOME CONSIDERED AN ENEMY IN  
CRISIS AND LOCKED ARMED AND PROTECTED HIM BY FORMING A HUMAN  
SHIELD.

WHEREAS THE FEARLESS FIVE ARE NO LONGER STRANGERS AND CONTINUED  
TO WORK TO BRING COMMUNITY AND POLICE TOGETHER AND WHEREAS DAREN  
LEE JR. BORN IN LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY ON AUGUST 5TH 1957 IS OWNER  
OF DEVELOPING YOUNG MIND CHILDCARE CENTER AND THE CAPITAL WEALTH  
TAX CENTER AND CARTER MUSIC GROUP, HE FINDS COMPASSION AS HE PUT  
HIMSELF BETWEEN PROTESTERS AND OFFICER HINSHAW.

WE CONFER THE HONOR WITH THE RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES AND  
RESPONSIBILITIES THERE UNTO PERTAINING AND WE CAUSED THE LETTERS  
TO BE MADE AND FEEL THE METRO GOVERNMENT AFFIX, LOUISVILLE,  
KENTUCKY, THIS 24TH DAY OF JUNE, 2021.

THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE].

>> ALL RIGHT.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I WANT TO INTRODUCE A FEW PEOPLE AND LET

THEM SPEAK.

FIRST OFFICER HINSHAW.

>> THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME HERE.

IT IS TRULY AN HONOR.

THE MOMENT I WAS PROTECTED FROM THESE MEN WAS A HUMBLING  
EXPERIENCE THAT I WILL NEVER FORGET.

IT IS SOMETHING THAT I THINK ABOUT TILL THIS DAY DAILY.

WHAT THESE MEN DID WAS INDEED FRIGHTENING.

THESE GROUP OF MEN SAW I WAS IN TROUBLE AND CAME TO MY RESCUE.

IF IT WAS NOT FOR THEM I MAY NOT BE UP HERE TODAY TO SPEAK TO  
YOU ABOUT THIS.

THEY RECOGNIZED THAT I WAS A POLICE OFFICER AND ALSO A GOOD  
HUMAN BEING IN NEED OF HELP.

ALL OF THESE MEN, I'M PROUD TO SAY ARE MY FRIENDS DESERVE THIS  
AWARD.

THEY CAME TO MY RESCUE FOR A PERSON THEY DID NOT KNOW, BUT KNEW  
THEY HAD TO DO THE RIGHT THING.

THE SAME RIGHT THING I AND MY FELLOW OFFICERS WILL CONTINUE TO  
DO AND THAT IS PROTECT AND SERVE THE COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU FOR COMING TO MY AID AND PREVENTING WHAT COULD BE A  
NEAR DEATH EXPERIENCE FOR ME.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

[APPLAUSE]

>> DAREN?

>> THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO HONOR US.  
WE APPRECIATE THAT.  
IT DEFINITELY TAKES A VILLAGE TO TAKE CARE OF LOUISVILLE.  
THAT'S WHAT WE PROVED MAY 28TH, LAST YEAR.  
CHRISTOPHER GOT THERE FIRST.  
AND SO WITHOUT HIS COURAGE, I PROBABLY WOULD NOT HAVE STEPPED  
UP, MAYBE, I DON'T KNOW.  
IT WAS HIM AND AFTER HIM IT WAS ME AND THEN EVERYBODY FELL IN  
LINE.  
AND THEN RICKY AND WE DIDN'T -- LIKE YOU SAID, WE DIDN'T KNOW  
EACH OTHER.  
WE PUT IT ALL TOGETHER AND DID WHAT WE THOUGHT WAS THE RIGHT  
THING.  
I THANK Y'ALL AGAIN FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY.  
[APPLAUSE].

>> ROSE.

>> SO ROSE IS A PART OF THE GROUP AND ROSE IS THE FOUNDER OF  
THE ACE PROJECT AND I'M GOING TO READ THE PROCLAMATION TO ROSE.  
THEN WE'LL TELL THAT STORY.  
TO WHOM THESE LETTERS SHALL BECOME GREETINGS KNOW YE THE COUNCIL  
RECOGNIZES ROSE SMITH, WHEREAS ROSE SMITH BORN ON OCTOBER 19,  
1965 IN LOUISVILLE -- 1965 IN KENTUCKY MARRIED TO WALTER SMITH  
AND MY MOTHER AND GRANDMOTHER AND ROSE'S SON WAS MURDERED ON  
OCTOBER 25TH, 2014 IN FRONT OF A VACANT HOUSE LOCATED AT 25TH

STREET AND STANDARD AVENUE.

WHEREAS ROSE BEING A WOMAN OF ACTION AND COMPASSION BOUGHT THE HOUSE WHERE CORY WAS KILLED AND STARTED AN ORGANIZATION IN REMEMBRANCE OF CORY TO BRING YOUNG PEOPLE TOGETHER PROVIDE COMMUNITY AND VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES. WHEREAS ROSE OPENED THE PLACE ON THE PROPERTY WHERE CORY WAS KILLED.

IT IS NOW A SAFE PLACE FOR YOUNG PEOPLE TO COME TOGETHER.

WHEREAS ROSE IN CONTINUING HER MISSION OF UNITING THE COMMUNITY AND OPENING DIALOGUE BETWEEN COMMUNITY AND LOUISVILLE METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS DEDICATED HER TIME AND EFFORT IN ASSISTING THE FEARLESS FIVE. WHEREAS THE FEARLESS FIVE NAMED ROSE HONORARY MEMBER, WE CONFER THE HONOR WITH ALL RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES AND RESPONSIBILITIES PERTAINING AND TESTIMONY, WE CAUSE THESE LETTERS TO BE MADE AND THE CITY TO BE HERE AND AFFIX THIS IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, JUNE 24TH, 2021, ROSE SMITH.

[APPLAUSE].

>> I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO THESE YOUNG MEN.

THEY'RE THE TRUE HEROES.

I'M NOT TAKING AWAY FROM THAT.

I THANK THEM.

AND THANK YOU FOR ACKNOWLEDGING ME.

>> OKAY.

ALSO WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE DONNA RICHARDS AND VICTOR WRIGHT FOR THEIR WORK -- THERE THEY ARE, FOR THEIR WORK IN HELPING WITH THE

FEARLESS FIVE.

THIS GROUP OF PEOPLE HAVE DECIDED THAT THEY'RE GOING TO TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE ARE SAFE IN THEIR COMMUNITIES AND DOING WHAT THEY CAN TO AND MAKE SURE THE COMMUNITY AND THE POLICE OFFICERS HAVE A MUCH BETTER RELATIONSHIP AND THEY'RE WORKING DAILY TO DO THAT.

FOR THAT I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING THAT YOU ARE DOING AND RIGHT HERE, WE HAVE A PHOTOGRAPHER AND WE'RE GOING TO STAND AND TAKE A GROUP PICTURE, OKAY?

>> MADAM CLERK, IF YOU LET RECORD REFLECT WE GIVE CHAIR BACK TO THE PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> ANY ADDRESSES TO COUNCIL?

>> YES.

>> LET ME REMIND THOSE ADDRESSING TO COUNCIL TO REFRAIN FROM USING PROFANITY OR MAKING DEROGATORY STATEMENTS TO COUNCIL MEMBERS.

BRING THEM FORWARD.

>> ELAINE MORGAN.

>> I WANT TO THANK THE COUNCIL MEMBERS FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK.

I'M AN 85-YEAR-OLD WOMAN THAT HAS LIVED IN LOUISVILLE ALL MY LIFE.

YOUR PRIORITY SHOULD BE TO KEEP THE CITIZENS SAFE.



WHAT BROUGHT ME HERE TONIGHT, WE RECENTLY HAD A DEATH IN THE FAMILY AND HAD FAMILY MEMBERS FROM FLINT, MICHIGAN TO VISIT LOUISVILLE AND COME DOWN FOR THE FUNERAL.

THERE WERE 11 FAMILY MEMBERS, SEVERAL CARS AND WHILE THEY WERE VISITING LOUISVILLE FOR THE FUNERAL AND THE WAKE, ONE CAR WAS STOLEN FROM THE HOTEL AND DURING THE FUNERAL ANOTHER CAR THAT WAS LEFT BEHIND WAS BROKEN INTO AND ALL OF THE CONTENTS WAS IN THE CAR WAS STOLEN.

NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE FAMILY MEMBERS AT LOUISVILLE, THAT LEFT A BAD TASTE IN THEIR MOUTH ABOUT OUR BEAUTIFUL CITY.

I SEEN THE CITY I LIVED IN ALL MY LIFE RAPIDLY TAKEN FROM MY EYES.

HAVING WORKED DOWNTOWN FOR 20 YEARS, I ENJOYED AND APPRECIATED THE GROWTH AND INVITING ATMOSPHERE THAT WE SEE FOR CITIZENS.

I PERSONALLY HAVE GONE DOWNTOWN AND CENTER FOR THE ARTS AND DINNER AND WHATEVER.

WE THE CITIZENS DO NOT LIVE WITH THIS FEAR.

PRIOR TO COVID, LOUISVILLE HAD EXPERIENCED AN INCREASE IN CONVENTIONS.

MANY MONEY WAS PUT INTO RENOVATING THE CONVENTION CENTER, HOTELS EXPANDED AND WONDERFUL ENTREPRENEUR RESTAURANT STARTUPS.

DON'T LET THIS BE WASTED.

IT IS A CITY WITH ALARMING CRIME RATE, A CHALLENGE, CONVENTIONS TO RETURN AND COURTING NEW BUSINESSES.

WE HAVE JUST REACHED A RECORD NUMBER OF GUESTS IN LOUISVILLE AND  
WE'RE BARELY THROUGH HALF OF 2021.

WHERE DOES THIS LEAVE US?

DUE TO THE NEGATIVE CLIMATE THAT THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATION HAS  
CREATED WITH LMPD OFFICERS ARE LEAVING THE FORCE.

EVEN WITH THE BEST EFFORTS OF LMPD TO RECRUIT NEW OFFICERS, NO  
ONE WANTS TO COME TO A CITY WITH SUCH A NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENT.

THERE HAS BEEN PETTY CRIMES WITH NO CONSEQUENCES.

THAT LEADS TO BIGGER CRIMES LEADING TO UNINVITING CITY.

WHERE DOES THIS LEAVE US?

STEP UP TO YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES TO KEEP LOUISVILLE SAFE BY  
GIVING LMPD THE SUPPORT AND TOOLS NEEDED TO ENFORCE THE LAWS AND  
RETURN OUR CITY TO A SAFE AND INVITING PLACE TO VISIT AND LIVE.  
REINSTITUTE THE GANG UNITS.

STEP UP DRUG UNITS.

AL **LOUT** CITIZENS AND VISITORS TO FEEL SAFE WALKING THE STREETS,  
AND DRIVING ON THE ROAD.

AND THE PARKS OR EVEN IN THEIR OWN HOMES.

WHERE DOES THIS LEAVE US?

ONCE I HAD A PROFESSOR AND HE WOULD SAY LEARN THIS IN YOUR  
BRAIN.

I WANT YOU TO LEARN THIS IN YOUR BRAIN.

YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP CITIZENS SAFE.

EVERY SQUARE INCH OF LOUISVILLE, EVERY CITIZEN, YOUNG OLD,

BLACK, WHITE, HISPANIC.

>> MS. MORGAN, YOUR TIME IS UP.

>> NANCY GIVENS.

>> I'M NANCY GIVENS AND I'M SPEAKING ON 100 PERCENT CLEAN ENERGY IN LOUISVILLE.

I COMMEND THE CITY FOR PUTTING CLEAN ENERGY IN THE NEW BUDGET. THE ENERGY SAVINGS ALONE DOES NOT GO FAR ENOUGH AND HERE'S WHY. THE CLIMATE CRISIS [INDISCERNIBLE].

IRREVERSIBLE.

DAVID ATTENBOROUGH'S DOCUMENTARY, BREAKING BARRIERS MAKES THE CRITICAL POINT THAT THE EXTREME TEMPERATURE OSCILLATION SAVED LIVES ONLY IN THE LAST 10,000 YEARS, WITH A NARROW ONE DEGREE VARIATION.

THIS IS -- THIS IS FORWARD.

WE'RE ALREADY OUTSIDE OF THIS NARROW BAND OF STABLE TEMPERATURES.

TEMPERATURES INCREASED IN THE LAST CENTURY AND ARE CONTINUING TO INCREASE AT ACCELERATING PACE.

IN 2020 AUSTRALIA EXPERIENCED THIS SUMMER FROM HELL WITH UNPRECEDENTED FIRES AND LANDSCAPE AND WILDLIFE LOSSES IN THE MOST WIDESPREAD EVENT EVER RECORDED, AFFECTING 50 PERCENT OF THE GREAT BARRIER REEF.

LOOK AT WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE AMERICAN WEST NOW.

CLIMATE CHANGE IS OUR GREATEST NATIONAL SECURITY THREAT.

IT SHOULD BE FRONT AND CENTER TO ALL OF THE CITY'S DECISION MAKING AND INCLUDING AND ESPECIALLY TO ALLOCATE THIS BUDGET. GOOD SHARE OF LOUISVILLE BUDGET AND STIMULUS DOLLARS IS TAXED TO THE CITY, INCLUDING FOR LOW INCOME TO BEAR THE LARGEST BRUNT. DOING SO WILL RESOLVE A MAJOR COST SAVINGS TO THE CITY IN YEARS TO COME.

MANY INITIATIVES HAVE BEEN PROPOSED STARTING WITH EDUCATING CITIZENS ABOUT THE NEED FOR RAPID CHANGE AND CREATING STRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THE TRAFFIC.

FOR EXAMPLE SETTING UP A GREEN BANK AND ESTABLISHING A POLICY FOR EFFICIENCY AND UPGRADE IN ALL BUILDINGS AND REQUESTED CITIES.

NEGOTIATING AND WORKING WITH LARGE ENERGY PROJECTS.

FORMING A CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COUNCIL AND TO HELP THE GOVERNMENT GUIDE LOCAL CLIMATE ACTION.

EACH OF THESE HAVE NOT HAPPENED OR BEEN DEFERRED.

SCIENTISTS HAVE BEEN CLEARED.

THIS IS -- THIS IS THE -- THIS IS THE TIME WE MUST ACT.

URBAN DELAY IS NOT AN OPTION.

THE CITY MUST SET SUFFICIENT PRIORITY BUDGET AND PERSONNEL TO MAKE UNPRECEDENTEDLY RAPID PROGRESS IN CLIMATE ACTION.

IN THE CITY CREATING ITS OWN MANHATTAN PROJECT THAT APPLIES A LASER FOCUS AND ADEQUATE RESOURCES FOR THE CITY AND THE ENERGY GOALS ON TIME.

WE CAN AND MUST DO MORE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

>> THANK YOU.

SHAUNTRICE MARTIN.

>> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> YES.

>> PEOPLE THINK ABOUT EVERYTHING.

AFTER A WHILE PEOPLE THINK OPPRESSION IS A NORMAL STATE OF THINGS BUT TO BECOME FREE, YOU HAVE TO BE ACUTELY AWARE OF BEING A SLAVE.

I WANT TO THANK THE FOUR COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT AGREED TO SUPPORT THE 50 MILLION DOLLAR BUDGET AMENDMENT.

THANK YOU TO THOSE THAT RESPECT US ENOUGH NOT TO SHUCK AND JIVE. WE SEE YOU, I WAS SURPRISED BUT GRATEFUL FOR THE ONE COUNCIL MEMBER WHO SUPPORTED THE AMENDMENT.

I HOPE YOU VOTE NO ON THE AMENDMENT TO GIVE POLICE MORE MONEY FROM THE AMERICAN RESCUE FUNDS WHEN WE HAVE SO MANY INDIVIDUAL SUFFERING AND STRUGGLING.

WE HAVE SO MANY DEPARTMENTS STRUGGLING LIKE THE LIBRARY JUST TO KEEP THE DOORS OPEN.

I'M SPEAKING, THOUGH, TO THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN ILLEGALLY EVICTED. TERRANCE RESIDENTS WHO COULD NEVER GO BACK TO THEIR HOME.

THOSE LIKE ME WHO ARE REVICTIMIZED.

I WANT A PARK FOR OUR CHILDREN AND NEW RESIDENTS WHO FEEL

FORGOTTEN.

TOWN RESIDENTS WHO ARE IGNORED BY THEIR MAYOR.

CLIMATE CHANGE ACTIVISTS THAT HAVE BEEN LIED TO BY FISHER.

I'M SPEAKING FOR THOSE THAT ARE SICK AND TIRED OF BEING SICK AND TIRED.

WE'RE FEEDING PEOPLE AND CALLING PEOPLE AND WE'RE HELPING PEOPLE TAKE CARE OF THEIR CHILDREN.

WE'RE OUT THERE.

I'M GRATEFUL TO THE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT DO THAT AS WELL.

LOUISVILLE HAS NEVER BEEN SAFE FOR BLACK PEOPLE.

HERE'S MY ASK, JOIN WITH ME.

I SEE MANY OF YOU OUT THERE PASSING OUT FREE FOOD AND PASSING OUT SUPPLIES DURING COVID AND DOING EVERYTHING YOU CAN.

PUSH THE CITY TO BE UNAPOLOGETICALLY PROGRESSIVE.

ALL RESPECT TO THE COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT DO WHAT THEY CAN.

OVERALL YOU CELEBRATE THE SAME LMPD THAT KILLING TAYLOR WOULD BE THE ETHICAL THING TO DO THAT NIGHT.

WE CAN'T DEPEND ON THE CITY COUNCIL, IT IS UP TO US TO MOVE THE POWER FORWARD.

WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO PUSH AND BE RELENTLESS IN THIS RESISTANCE.

I WANT YOU TO FIGHT WITH ME AGAINST THE TYRANNY AND WHITE SUPREMACY THAT ARE RAMPANT, QUITE HONESTLY.

WE'RE FAR MORE POWERFUL THAN THEY WANT US TO BE.

I WANT YOU TO JOIN WITH ME AND FIGHT AND WE HAVE A LOUISVILLE THAT IS SAFE AND POLICE ARE THERE TO PROTECT PROPERTY AND NOT PEOPLE.

IF YOU FEEL IN DANGER FROM POLICE, I'M ASKING YOU TO JOIN ME. WE CAN DO THIS.

WE HAVE THE POWER DESPITE WHAT THE CURRENCY SAYS.

THANK YOU.

>> ANGELA JOHNSON.

>> HELLO.

I'M REVEREND ANGELA JOHNSON.

I'M PASTOR AT GRACE HOPE CHURCH.

THIS IS A DOCUMENT, A GLIMPSE INTO A PRIORITY.

THIS IS AS TRUE AS EVER.

THERE'S MANY GOOD THINGS IN THE BUDGET.

BRINGING IN THE NATIONAL NETWORK FOR SAFE COMMUNITIES IN ORDER TO BEGIN THE PROCESS OF TRANSFORMATION FOR OUR CITY AS RECOMMENDED.

THIS IS A POSITIVE STEP AND MOVING OUR COMMUNITY OUT OF THE SHADOW FOR THE LIGHT OF JUSTICE AND PEACE.

WE ESPECIALLY WANT TO THANK COUNCIL PRESIDENT JAMES FOR HIS SUPPORT OF THIS EFFORT.

WE URGE EACH OF YOU TO VOTE IN SUPPORT OF THAT ITEM IN THE BUDGET TONIGHT AND TO ENTHUSIASTICALLY SUPPORT THE PROCESS AS IT MOVES FORWARD.

ANOTHER GREAT THING IN THE BUDGET IS THE 2 MILLION DOLLARS FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND.

AFTER AN INITIAL EIGHT YEARS OF NO FUNDING, AS A CITY WE'RE FINALLY REGULARLY INVESTING IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

BUT WE KNOW THIS IS AN EXCEPTIONAL YEAR.

IN THE DEPTH OF SUFFERING AND HURT AND THE TIME FOR CHANGE AND IN OUR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SIGNIFICANT AND LASTING IMPROVEMENTS. WHILE TEN MILLION DOLLARS IN THE BUDGET OF THE TRUST FUND IS A GOOD BEGINNING, FROM MUST ALSO BE SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT OF ARP FUND INTO THE TRUST FUND OF AT LEAST AN ADDITIONAL 30 MILLION DOLLARS.

THIS TRUST FUND IS TO SPUR DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING, WHICH WE DESPERATELY NEED, SINCE WE'RE OVER 40,000 YEARS SHORT. CARE FOR MANY OLDER ADULTS.

INDEED WE WON'T BE ABLE TO GROW AND FLOURISH AS A CITY.

THAT'S WHAT WE WANT, RIGHT?

THIS IS NOT THE YEAR FOR THE STATUS QUO, FOR MODERATE IMPROVEMENTS AND GOING BACK TO NORMAL.

BECAUSE NORMAL WASN'T WORKING FOR TENS OF THOUSANDS OF OUR RESIDENTS.

WE CANNOT MAKE MODERATE CHANGES FOR THINGS TO GET DRASTICALLY BETTER.

10 OR 20 MILLION IS A GOOD START, WE HAVE THE CAPACITY AND



RESOURCES TO DO WAY BETTER THAN BEFORE.

WE HAVE TO DO WAY BETTER IF WE WANT OUR CITY TO BE WAY BETTER.

IF THE CITY MOVES FORWARD, WITH ALLOCATING THE ARP FUNDING, WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO REMEMBER THERE'S NO GREATER OR MORE IMPACTFUL INVESTMENT YOU COULD MAKE AS A CITY.

WE URGE EACH OF YOU TO DO WHAT YOU CAN TO SUPPORT AN ALLOCATION OF AN ADDITIONAL 30 MILLION DOLLARS FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND.

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP AND SUPPORT OF THESE URGENT PRIORITIES FOR THE CITY OF OURS THAT WE LOVE.

THANK YOU.

>> RITA MORROW.

>> GOOD EVENING.

THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS.

MY NAME IS RITA MORROW.

I'M A VOLUNTEER WITH AARP KENTUCKY.

ON BEHALF OF AARP, I WANT TO SHARE THE SUPPORT OF THE WORK OF OFFICE OF PLANNING AND DESIGN.

AARP SUPPORTS THE WIDER AVAILABILITY OF OKAY CESARY DWELLING UNITS ADU AS AN AFFORDABLE AND ACCESSIBLE COUNTING OPTION FOR PEOPLE OF ALL AGES.

THERE ARE MANY BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CREATION OF ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS ON LOTS IN SINGLE FAMILY ZONES AND

OTHER ZONING DISTRICTS.

THESE BENEFITS INCLUDE INCREASING THE SUPPLY OF A MORE AFFORDABLE AND DIVERSE TYPE OF HOUSING, HELPING OLDER HOMEOWNERS, SINGLE PARENTS, YOUNG HOME BUYERS AND RENTERS SEEKING A WIDER RANGE OF HOMES, PRICES, RENTS AND LOCATIONS. CREATING A CONVENIENT LIVING ARRANGEMENT THAT ALLOWS FAMILY MEMBERS OR OTHER PERSONS TO PROVIDE CARE AND SUPPORT FOR SOMEONE IN A SEMI INDEPENDENT LIVING ARRANGEMENT. AND INCREASED SECURITY, HOME CARE AND COMPANIONSHIP FOR OLDER OR OTHER HOMEOWNERS.

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS CAN BE AN ESSENTIAL COMPONENT OF THE CITY'S HOUSING SUPPLY.

WE NEED ADU BY RIGHT.

FOR DECADES ADVOCATES TRIED TO UPDATE AND AMEND THESE POLICIES. THE TIME IS NOW.

IT IS PAST TIME FOR LOUISVILLE TO STEP INTO THE 21ST CENTURY AND UPDATE THE CODE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND WORK TONIGHT.

DIANE FLORA.

>> I AM SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS. I SUPPORT THE OPTION OF ACCESSIBLE DWELLING UNITS. THEY CAN PROVIDE HOMEOWNERS WITH EXTRA INCOME TO HELP MEET RISING HOME OWNERSHIP COSTS. WHEN YOU LOOK ACROSS THE COUNTRY, YOU WILL SEE MANY CITIES AND

TOWNS ARE WELCOME NEW ACCESSIBLE DWELLING UNITS AND ACCESSIBLE DWELLING UNITS COULD ADDRESS THE AGE IN U.S. POPULATION AND THE GROWING NEED FOR HOUSING THAT SERVES PEOPLE OF ALL AGES.

I SUPPORT THE ADOPTION OF ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AS A USE OF RIGHT.

THERE'S ONE MORE WAY TO INCREASE THE SUPPLY OF A MORE AFFORDABLE AND DIVERSE TYPE OF HOUSING.

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS HELPS OLDER HOMEOWNERS AND RENTERS TO PROVIDE A WIDER RANGE OF HOMES AT PRICES THEY CAN AFFORD AND IN COMMUNITIES THEY WISH TO LIVE.

I HOPE YOU VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE ACCESSORY -- ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS TONIGHT.

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE MY COMMENTS AND HEAR MY INPUT AND SUPPORT THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS.

THANK YOU, MY NAME IS DIANE FLORA.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

PRISCILLA HENNESSEY.

>> HI.

I WANTED TO SAY A COUPLE OF THINGS ABOUT THE 5G TECHNOLOGY.

I BELIEVE TECHNOLOGY CAN HELP OUR WORLD IN POSITIVE WAYS IF USED FOR THOSE PURPOSES.

WE HAVE BEEN USING IT COMMERCIALY SINCE EARLY 90S AND THERE'S DECADE STUDIES THAT SHOW.

I DON'T THINK IT IS A COINCIDENCE THAT WE RECENTLY REPORTED THE BIRDS THAT ARE DYING OFF.

MOST THINGS ARE SAFE IN MODERATION.

TO EXPERIENCE DEBILITATING HEALTH PROBLEMS IN THE PAST FROM TECHNOLOGY WASN'T COMMON.

BUT NOW WE'RE OPPOSED TO IT EVERYWHERE.

HUMANS, NATURE, PRETTY MUCH EVERYTHING ON LIFE, THE CLAIM THAT 5G AFFECTS OTHER PREVIOUS TECHNOLOGY IS MISLEADING BECAUSE THEY'RE USING STUDIES FROM OTHER GENERATIONS.

THEY DON'T HAVE PROOF.

WHAT WE USE, CARCINOGEN, AND BY THE WHO AND NIH IS SAID TO BE A DEFINITE CAUSE.

IT LEAD TO DEPRESSION AND SLEEP DISORDERS AND BEHAVIORAL PROBLEMS.

THE FCC IS UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE TECHNOLOGY.

ALL THAT IS NEEDED IS UPDATED.

ALL WE NEED TO DO.

IT IS POSSIBLE TO AVOID WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY -- IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO AVOID WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY.

IT WILL BE IN OUR HOMES AND NEIGHBORS' HOMES.

IT WILL BE IN OUR HOMES AND SCHOOLS.

IF I HADN'T BECOME A MOTHER 21 MONTHS AGO, I WOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN A CONCERN BUT BECAUSE MY KID ARE GOING TO BE GROWING UP IN

THIS DIGITAL WORLD, WE WERE NOT EXPOSED TO THIS AS WE WERE GROWING UP.

NONE OF US.

NOW IT IS -- IT IS BECOMING EVERYWHERE.

I'M NOT ASKING TO STOP IT.

THERE HAVE BEEN STATES AND COUNTRIES THAT HAVE STOPPED THE ROLLOUT COMPLETELY.

AND I'M NOT REALLY ASKING ABOUT STOPPING IT, I KNOW THAT'S CLOSE TO IMPOSSIBLE.

THERE'S A LOT OF MONEY INVOLVED.

BUT MAYBE IF PERHAPS WE COULD -- IF THERE WAS SOME SORT OF FUNDING THAT WE COULD PROVIDE FOR SCHOOLS TO OFFER PRODUCTS THAT BLOCK THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE RADIO FREQUENCIES AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FREQUENCIES.

I HAVE A ROUTER COVER IN MY HOME.

THERE'S PAINT.

COVERS.

WE COULD USE THIS TECHNOLOGY FOR GOOD BUT IT WON'T BE AS HARMFUL.

THERE WAS A FIBER GATE SCANDAL, THE TELECOM COMPANIES PAY US AS ALL OF US PAID INTO OUR TELECOM COMPANIES FEES THAT WENT TO FIBER OPTIC CABLES AND 5G STOLE THE SPOTLIGHT AND THAT KIND OF WENT AWAY.

THEY MOVED THE MONEY AROUND AND THAT'S AN ACCOUNT WE PAID INTO.

THAT FOR SAFE TECHNOLOGY IS GOING TOWARDS THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND  
BUILD-UP OF 5G.

>> MISS HENNESSEY, YOUR TIME IS UP.

>> OKAY.

THANK YOU.

>> SHAWNTE WEST.

>> GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL.

I'M TALKING TO YOU TONIGHT ABOUT THE BUDGET THAT YOU'RE SET TO  
PASS TONIGHT.

I'M CONCERNED AND SADDENED BY THE BUDGET THAT IS GOING TO BE  
PASSED OR EVERYONE EXPECT THAT YOU PASS TONIGHT.

WE HAD HOPED AND NOT JUST HOPE, WE WORKED AND WE ENGAGED YOU.  
WE ENGAGED THE COMMUNITY ABOUT WHAT WE THOUGHT THIS COULD  
ACTUALLY ACHIEVE.

THE EYES OF THE COUNTRY ARE ON THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE AND WHAT  
WE'RE GOING TO DO IS HOW WE'RE GOING TO CHANGE THE WAY THAT OUR  
COMMUNITIES ARE KEPT SAFE AND ARE ABLE TO THRIVE.

LMPD'S BUDGET WILL ACTUALLY INCREASE EVEN THOUGH WE ASK YOU TO  
DEFUND AS WE KNEW YOU WERE UNCOMFORTABLE WITH ABOLISHING THE  
POLICE.

WE WANTED YOU TO WORK TOWARDS DEFUNDING LMPD AND INVESTING  
IN -- IN COMMUNITY RESOURCES.

DURING THESE BUDGET HEARINGS, I LISTENED TO YOU TALK ABOUT OCEAN  
AND YOUR EXPECTATIONS FOR THEIR OFFICE AND ADMONISH THEM ON

PREVIOUS OUTCOMES THAT THEY HAD WITH THE WORK THAT THEY WERE DOING.

YET, CHIEF SHIELDS CAME AND THERE WAS NO TALK ABOUT THE INCREASED LAWSUITS, INCREASED HOMICIDES THAT CONTINUE TO GROW UNDER LMPD'S WATCH.

YOU CHOSE TO INCREASE THEIR BUDGET, EVEN THOUGH THE OUTCOMES AREN'T GOOD AT ALL.

WE DIDN'T TALK ABOUT THEIR TAXPAYERS AND FUTURE EXPECTATIONS FOR WHAT THEY WILL DO WITH THE INCREASES IN FUNDING THAT YOU PROVIDED TO THEM IN THIS BUDGET.

IT SEEMS YOU WERE TELLING THE COMMUNITY PULL YOURSELF UP BY YOUR BOOTSTRAPS.

FIND A POOL IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, EVEN THOUGH YOUR NEIGHBORS MAY NOT HAVE A POOL AS SOME NEIGHBORS DO.

YOU WILL FIND THAT NEIGHBORHOOD POOLS ARE CLOSED AND WE COULDN'T FIND MONEY TO HAVE ACTIVITIES FOR KIDS TO DEFLECT SOME OF THE VIOLENCE THAT IS GOING ON IN THE COMMUNITY.

THE VIOLENCE DOESN'T START WHEN PEOPLE BECOME 18 YEARS OLD.

VIOLENCE STARTS WITH THE CHILDREN THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO DURING THE SUMMER, WHO HAVE IDLE TIME AND THERE'S PROGRAMS AND LIBRARIES THAT AREN'T FULLY FUNDED TO ACCOMMODATE THEM WHEN THEY SHOULDN'T BE GETTING INTO MISCHIEF.

THIS BUDGET DOESN'T LEAVE POOR AND BLACK COMMUNITIES SAFE AND HEALTHY.

NOTED 13 CITIES DEFUND POLICE.

I HOPE THE COUNCIL CHOOSES TO USE THE ARP FUNDS WISELY AND FUND THE COMMUNITY AND NOT MORE POLICE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> RENEE ROBINSON.

>> I'M HERE TO TALK ABOUT THIS.

THIS IS A MODERN DAY ACT.

THEY KNOW YOU SHOULD NOT SAY, I DON'T LIKE YOU BECAUSE OF YOUR SKIN BUT I DON'T LIKE YOU BECAUSE OF YOUR HAIR.

YOUR HAIR IS YOU AND UNIQUE.

IF SOMEONE SAYS, YOUR HAIR IS INAPPROPRIATE, THAT'S NOT OKAY.

WE WERE TRYING TO GET THIS SETTLED.

WE NEED TO SPEAK ON THE PACKAGE.

INAPPROPRIATE IS WHEN YOU'RE INVADING THEIR SPACE AND GOOD ONE IS WHEN YOU ASK MAY I TOUCH YOUR HAIR.

BLACK WOMEN ARE MOST LIKELY TO BE DISCRIMINATED IN THE WORKPLACE AND THEY CONSIDER THEM TO BE UNPROFESSIONAL AND HERE'S WHERE WE TALK YOU THROUGH.

THEY FORGET THEIR CULTURE.

THEY TEND TO GET [INDISCERNIBLE] AND PEOPLE THAT DO CRIMES, WE NEED TO STOP THE CRIME AS PEOPLE OF COLOR WAS BEING -- WHAT WE SAID, WE WERE LIKE THIS AND WE'RE OUT HERE AND IT IS OUR CULTURE.

IT IS PART OF US AND I WANT TO THANK THE PANEL FOR LETTING US



SHOW YOU WHAT THIS MEANS TO US AND [INDISCERNIBLE] EVERYONE THAT HELPED WITH THIS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> GARY ROBINSON.

>> MR. PRESIDENT THAT CONCLUDES ADDRESS TO COUNCIL.

>> NEXT APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF JUNE 10TH, 2021.

ANY CORRECTIONS OR DELETIONS.

>> MOTION PIAGENTINI.

>> MOTION BY PIAGENTINI.

SECONDED.

THEY'VE BEEN MOVED AND SECOND.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

AYE.

OPPOSED.

THE AYES HAVE IT.

THE MINUTES ARE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

NEXT WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MINUTES IN 2021.

REGULAR COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE.

NEXT, WE HAVE APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES.

REGULAR: COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

- JUNE 10, 2021.

SPECIAL: BUDGET COMMITTEE - JUNE

14, 2021.

REGULAR: PLANNING AND ZONING

COMMITTEE - JUNE 15, 2021.

REGULAR: LABOR AND ECONOMIC

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - JUNE 15,

2021.

REGULAR: PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

- JUNE 15, 2021.

REGULAR: GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT

AND AUDIT COMMITTEE - JUNE 15,

2021.

REGULAR: COMMUNITY AFFAIRS,

HOUSING, HEALTH AND EDUCATION

COMMITTEE - JUNE 16, 2021.

REGULAR: PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

- JUNE 16, 2021.

REGULAR: APPROPRIATIONS

COMMITTEE - JUNE 16, 2021.

REGULAR: PARKS AND

SUSTAINABILITY - JUNE 17, 2021.

SPECIAL: COMMITTEE ON EQUITY AND

INCLUSION - JUNE 17, 2021.

REGULAR: BUDGET COMMITTEE - JUNE  
17, 2021.

SPECIAL: BUDGET COMMITTEE - JUNE  
21, 2021.

>> THEY HAVE BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED, SAY AYE.

OPPOSED?

THESE APPROVED AS WRITTEN.

ANY COMMUNICATIONS FOR THE MAYOR?

>> YES, SIR.

I AM APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO  
THE TAX APPEALS BOARD.

DINO JOHNSON (METRO COOUNCIL  
REPRESENTATIVE).

TERM EXPIRES AUGUST 31, 2021.

THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD.

METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

I AM REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING  
TO THE ZOO FOUNDATION BOARD:

JESSICA THOMPSON, TERM EXPIRES  
JUNE 7, 2024.

THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD.

METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

I AM REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING  
TO THE COMMUNITY ACTION  
PARTNERSHIP:

THESE POSITIONS ARE SERVING ON  
BEHALF OF THEIR ORGANIZATIONS.  
THEREFORE, WILL NOT HAVE A TERM  
EXPIRATION DATE.

LUTISHA BUCKNER.

THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD.

METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE HAZARDOUS  
MATERIALS ORDINANCE APPEALS AND  
OVERSEERS BOARD, I AM

REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING:

GEORGE BECKER, TERM EXPIRES JUNE  
1, 2025.

THIS IS TO BE READ INTO RECORD.

METRO COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS NOT REQUIRED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE  
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT  
CORPORATION ORDINANCE, I AM  
APPOINTING THE FOLLOWING:

TROY MILES AND KATY DELAHANTY,  
TERM EXPIRES JULY 31, 2025.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VOLUNTEER  
FIRE DISTRICT ORDINANCE, I AM  
REAPPOINTING THE FOLLOWING TO  
HIGHVIEW FIRE PROTECTION

DISTRICT BOARD:

DALE NASON, TERM EXPIRES JUNE  
30, 2024.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE HUMAN  
RELATIONS ADVOCACY BOARD  
ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING THE  
FOLLOWING:

DR. KARAN SHAH, TERM EXPIRES  
SEPTEMBER 30, 2025.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

DEAR PRESIDENT JAMES:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE  
REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY  
ORDINANCE, I AM APPOINTING:

JOHN JEFFRIES AND SCOTT BRINKMAN  
TERM EXPIRES JANUARY 31, 2024.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION ON THIS  
APPOINTMENT IS MOST APPRECIATED.

SINCERELY, GREG FISCHER, MAYOR.

CONSENT CALENDAR.

>> THOSE WILL BE TURNED OVER TO THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE.  
NEXT IS CONSENT CALENDAR.  
COMPRISES ITEM NUMBERS 26

THROUGH 54.

ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONS OR  
DELETIONS?

HEARING NONE.

COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER TAKE THE CHAIR AND MADAM CLERK, COULD YOU  
PLEASE READ THESE ITEMS.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION  
FORWARDED FROM APPROPRIATIONS  
COMMITTEE.

26.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE  
178, SERIES 2019, WHICH  
APPROPRIATED \$46,000 IN  
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS  
IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: \$24,000  
FROM DISTRICT 23; \$16,000 FROM  
DISTRICT 24; AND \$6,000 FROM  
DISTRICT 13; TO METRO PARKS FOR  
THE FRIDAYFEST CONCERT SERIES AT  
HIGHVIEW PARK.

THE FOLLOWING LEGISLATION FORWARDED FROM THE BUDGET.

ORDINANCE APPROVING LOAN FORGIVENESS TO KAT THETD RAL COMMONS.

ORDINANCE AMENDING LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES CHAPTER

92.

APPOINTMENT OF ANDY BIANCO TO THE KENTUCKYIAN THAT WORKS GREATER LOUISVILLE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT.

REAPPOINTMENT OF JOSEPHINE MEI TO THE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT.

APPOINTMENT OF REB BEKAA COX TO THE METRO SEWER DISTRICT.

APPOINTMENT OF PATRICK MCDONALD TO THE LOUISVILLE AIRPORT.

REAPPOINTMENT OF LESA SIBERT TO THE LOUISVILLE REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD.

APPOINTMENT OF SHERYL ANDERSON TO THE POLICE MERIT BOARD.

REAPPOINTMENT OF TRAVIS HATCHELL TO THE POLICE MERIT BOARD.

APPOINTMENT OF THOMAS KINDERMAN TO THE CAMP TAYLOR FIRE PROTECTION DIRECT BOARD.

REAPPOINTMENT OF JAMES TAYLOR TO THE PLEASURE RIDGE PARK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

REAPPOINTMENT OF DUNN LIGHTFOOT SR. AND THE JEFFERSONTOWN FIRE PRESENCE DISTRICT BOARD.

REAPPOINTMENT OF SUSAN PIERCE TO THE FERN CREEK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BOARD.

REAPPOINTMENT OF JONATHAN JARRELL TO THE ANCHORAGE MIDDLETOWN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT.

REAPPOINTMENT OF MARSHALL BRADLEY JR. TO THE ST. MATTHEWS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT.

REAPPOINTMENT OF LARRY HICKS TO THE FAIRDALE FIRE PROTECTION



DISTRICT.

REAPPOINTMENT OF LARRY HICKS TO THE FAIRDALE FIRE PROTECTION BOARD.

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES.

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE GRANTING OF LOCAL INCENTIVE TO KRONOS SASHER INC. A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO THE FUND FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT.

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE GRANTING OF THE LOCAL INCENTIVES SAZERAC NORTH AMERICA INK.

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINARY NANCES.

APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FIRST FIVE MONTHS OF THE FOLLOWING NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE CONTRACT.

ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 57, SERIES 20 REGARDING THE PERIOD FOR TEMPORARY USE OF PARKING LOTS.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 91 OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATING TO PROTECTION OF ANIMALS.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR AND UPON APPROVAL TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE KENTUCKY OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY TO EXECUTE ANY DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE DEEMED NECESSARY.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR AND

UPON APPROVAL TO ENTER INTO A 55,920 GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE KENTUCKY OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY.

TO EXECUTE ANY DOCUMENT WHICH IS ARE DEEMED NECESSARY.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR AND UPON APPROVAL TO ENTER INTO 114,000 GRANT AGREEMENT.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO KRS ~~XAPT~~ R CHANTERATE 99.715.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE OFFICE OF JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY TO BRING A CONDEMNATION ACTION AGAINST THE OWNERS OF CERTAIN PROPERTY.

ORDINANCE RELATE TO GET FISCAL YEAR 21-22 OPERATING BUDGET.

ORDINANCE RELATING TO FISCAL YEAR 21-22 CAPITAL BUDGET.

ORDINANCE APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 21-22 BUDGET.

ORBED NANCE APPROVING THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN.

ORDINANCE APPROVING THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT FUNDING IN THE FORM OF DIRECT GRANTS.

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET ORDINARY NANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING.

ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 93019309 SMYRNA PARKWAY.

ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTERS 1 AND 4.

ORDINANCE RELATE TO GET ZONING OF PROPERTIES.

>> ROLL CALL.

[ROLL CALLED]

>> PASSES.

>> A READING OF ITEM NUMBER 55.

ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR OF 21-22 OPERATING BUDGET  
FOR THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT.

READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION BY PIAGENTINI AND SECOND BIPEDEN.

ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY QUESTIONS, HOLLANDER?

>> I WOULD SAY THE DOCUMENT BEFORE US IS ATTACHED TO THE  
AGENDA.

SECOND ITEM ON THE AGENDA SAYS AMENDED A OPERATING BUDGET.

I'LL SPEAK TO THE CAPITAL BUDGET.

AND WE TAKE THEM SEPARATELY.

THIS BUDGET WHICH IS JUST OVER 1 MILLION DOLLARS WHEN THE  
OPERATING AND CAPITAL ITEMS ARE COMBINED, THE LARGEST IN METRO  
HISTORY MAKES SIGNIFICANT NEW INVESTMENTS IN PUBLIC SAFETY, BOTH  
IN COMPENSATION FOR POLICE AND OTHER PUBLIC SAFETY EMPLOYEES  
WHICH IS CRITICAL TO HIRING AND RETAINING QUALITY FORCES AND IN  
VIOLENCE PREVENTION INITIATIVES, INCLUDING OFFICE FOR SAFE AND  
HEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS AND GROUP VIOLENCE.

THE AMENDMENT ALSO MAKES MAJOR INVESTMENTS IN LIBRARIES AND ADDS  
TO THE ALREADY SIGNIFICANT PROPOSAL OF ROAD AND SIDEWALK

IMPROVEMENTS AND FOR ADDRESSING DEFERRED MAINTENANCE IN METRO FACILITIES.

WE'VE RESTORED SOME SERVICES WE REPORTED TO CUT TWO YEARS AGO, THANKS TO A BETTER THAN FORECAST REVENUE AND FEDERAL FUND, MOST RECENTLY FROM THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN SHEPHERDED THROUGH CONGRESS.

CONGRESSMAN JOHN JAROMIR.

WE HAVE MANY HUMAN NEED AND MORE.

MANY OF WHICH HAVE INCREASED IN THE PANDEMIC.

I'M PARTICULARLY PLEASED THAT THE COUNCIL MEMBERS RECOGNIZE THE NEED TO INVEST A FUND RESERVE FOR COUNCIL DESIGNATED PRIORITIES IN A VARIETY OF HUMAN SERVICES ACROSS THE COMMUNITY.

FUNDING GOOD WILL, ANOTHER PROGRAM FOR UNHOUSED INDIVIDUALS.

INCREASING FUNDING FOR FAMILIES.

INCREASING FUNDING FOR OTHER PROGRAMS, WOMEN, FAMILIES AND CHILDREN.

OF COURSE WE RECOGNIZE THAT WE IMPROVE OUR NEIGHBORHOODS ALL OVER THE COUNTY AND FUNDING CODE AND ZONING ENFORCEMENT POSSIBLE AS PART OF THIS PROJECT.

AS I'VE SAID EVERY YEAR, THIS ISN'T THE EXACT BUDGET ANY OF US WOULD HAVE PASSED.

I'M GLAD WE HAD TIME TO REVIEW BUDGETS AND THOSE THAT PARTICIPATED IN PUBLIC FORUMS AND COMMENTS IN MORE NUMBERS THIS YEAR.

THANKS TO ALL AND I URGE YOUR APPROVAL.

>> COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> MY COMMENTS ARE IN THE OVERALL BUDGET.

EACH YEAR WE PROPOSE THE BUDGET.

EVERY YEAR WE REVIEW IT AND ADJUST IT AS SEEN NECESSARY BASED ON HOURS OF LISTENING SESSIONS WITH 26 CONSTITUENT DISTRICTS.

THIS YEAR WAS NO DIFFERENT.

THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HOSTED SEVERAL MEETINGS AND ACCEPTED ONLINE FROM CITIZENS.

THIS IS MY THIRD YEAR.

THE FIRST YEAR IT WAS PRESENTED TO US THAT WE NEEDED TO RAISE TAXES BECAUSE OF THE OPERATING FUNDS AVAILABLE.

THAT YEAR A TAX INCREASED ON INSURANCE PREMIUMS WAS PROPOSED.

THAT YEAR WAS 2019.

AND I VOTED NO ON THE TAX INCREASE.

AT THE END OF THAT YEAR, ALTHOUGH MANY THINGS SUFFERED BECAUSE OF HOW THE BUDGET WAS CONSISTENTLY STRUCTURED WE ENDED THE YEAR WITH A 13 TO 17 MILLION DOLLAR SURPLUS.

IN 2020, WITH THE BETTER FINANCIAL OUTLOOK, AND BETTER MANAGEMENT AND CONTROLS, THE BUDGET WAS PROMISING AND I VOTED YES.

HERE WE ARE IN 2021.

HERE WE ARE AFTER A PANDEMIC.

NATIONWIDE CIVIL UNREST AND MORE MONEY THAN WE COULD EVER HAVE

IMAGINED BECAUSE OF FEDERAL FUNDING.

WE HAVE GONE THROUGH THE NORMAL CYCLES.

THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAS TAKEN IT AND HAS GIVE ENOPPORTUNITIES FOR CONSTITUENTS TO HAVE INPUT.

WITH AS MUCH MONEY TO WORK WITH IN THE HISTORY OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO BUDGET, I HAVE CONSISTENTLY STATED TO THE BUDGET CHAIRMAN THAT THIS YEAR WE SHOULD HAVE GONE O AND B.

I ASKED TO THINK CREATIVELY.

AND TO BUILD BACK BETTER, MUCH BETTER THAN WHAT THIS BUDGET SHOWS.

THIS BUDGET DOES NO HARM.

IT CERTAINLY GOES OUT, IF NOT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO VARIOUS AGENCIES AND LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT.

HOWEVER, WE HAVE NOT DONE WITH THIS BUDGET WHAT I FEEL WE COULD HAVE DONE IN A BOLD AND SUCCESSFULLY LEADERSHIP MANNER.

THIS DOES NOT ACCOMMODATE FOR CENTRALIZED LOCATION FOR A BUILDING TO HOUSE THE HOMELESS POPULATION AND GET THEM OFF THE STREET.

I HAVE ADVOCATED FOR BUILDING AND LAND THAT WOULD HOUSE ALL OF THE WILLING AGENCIES WHO CAN OFFER WRAP AROUND SERVICES TO THOSE WHO WOULD BE OFF THE STREETS AND COULD BE REINTEGRATED INTO SOCIETY AFTER RECEIVING DRUG TREATMENT, MENTAL ILLNESS CARE AND JOB TRAINING AND HOME ECONOMICS AND OTHER SERVICES SUCH AS HOUSING AND CHILDCARE.

WE AS THIS COMPASSIONATE CITY CANNOT CONTINUE TO ALLOW MEN,  
WOMEN, AND CHILDREN TO LIVE ON THE STREETS.

WE HAVE THE MONEY TO MANAGE THIS AND NOW IS THE TIME TO DO IT.  
BUT INSTEAD OF THINKING BIG AND BOLD, WE HAVE CHOSEN TO GIVE  
THOUSANDS HERE AND THERE TO VARIOUS AGENCIES WHO WILL DO THE  
SAME AS THEY HAVE ALWAYS DONE.

AT THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR WHEN IT IS TIME TO APPROVE ANOTHER  
BUDGET, WE WILL STILL HAVE PEOPLE LIVING ON THE STREETS,  
HOUSELESS AND HUNGRY.

I'M DISAPPOINTED IN THE ADMINISTRATION.

AND THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FOR NOT THINKING BIG ENOUGH, FOR NOT  
THINKING BOLD ENOUGH AND FOR NOT REACTING AND ASKING LIKE  
LEADERS THAT OTHER CITIES CAN EMULATE.

ALSO THE BUDGET DOES NOT SPEAK TO OUR MOST VULNERABLE CITIZENS  
HAVE BROADBAND ACCESSIBILITY THROUGHOUT THE CITY OR CAN AFFORD  
INTERNET CONNECTION SERVICE.

YES, WE CAN CONTINUE TO POUR INTO LIBRARIES AND THAT'S FINE.

WE ARE 31,000 HOMES SHORT OF AFFORDABLE HOMES.

THIS BUDGET SHOULD REFLECT THAT FACT.

BUT YET, WE CHOSE TO MINIMALLY INPUT MONEY AND INCLUDE  
AFFORDABLE HOUSING INITIATIVE AND WE DID ANYTHING ELSE THAT  
DEALS WITH AFFORDABLE HOMES.

YOU'LL HEAR THAT PUBLIC SAFETY OR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS BEING  
REIMAGINED.

DON'T BELIEVE THE HYPE.

THE POLICE CONTRACT HAS NOT BEEN FINALIZED.

HERE WE ARE IN FRONT OF YOU TO APPROVE A BUDGET WHEN WE THOUGHT THAT WE WOULD HAVE A POLICE CONTRACT BY NOW THAT ALSO DEALT WITH INFORMATION THAT WOULD REIMAGINE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THAT WOULD HAVE SOME REFORM IN THERE THAT WE COULD ALL LIVE WITH BUT YET WE DON'T HAVE THAT CONTRACT APPROVED.

BUT YET THEY WANT US TO APPROVE THIS BUDGET.

LMPD IS 200 MEN AND WOMEN SHORT.

WE'RE NOT GUARANTEED A PLACE IN THE FUTURE.

ESPECIALLY IF COMMUNITY POLICING HAS NOT BEEN PROMISED TO US.

WE DON'T KNOW WHAT REALLY HAS BEEN PROMISED TO US BECAUSE AGAIN THE POLICE CONTRACT HAS NOT BEEN SIGNED.

WE DON'T KNOW IF THE POLICE WILL GET MUCH NEEDED RAISES THEY DESERVE.

WE DON'T KNOW IF THEY WILL HAVE REFORMS CONNECTED TO BUSINESS CONTRACTS.

WE DON'T KNOW BECAUSE THE CONTRACT HAS NOT INDEED BEEN FINALIZED.

LOUISVILLE WE DESERVE BETTER AND WE DESERVE TO BUILD BACK BETTER THAN WHAT THE BUDGET CALLS FOR.

A LOT OF AGENCIES AND MANY OF THE LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS HAVE BEEN GIVEN HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS TO DO WHAT THEY HAVE ALWAYS DONE.



SOME HAVE IDEAS OF DOING A LITTLE BIT A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY.  
BUT PLEASE, AND HEAR ME CLEARLY, AGENCIES OF LOUISVILLE,  
KENTUCKY AND LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT PLEASE PROVE ME WRONG.  
PLEASE SHOW THAT WITH -- WITH ADDITIONAL DOLLARS THAT YOU'RE  
GETTING THAT YOU'RE GOING TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE, NOT JUST MAKE A  
DOLLAR.

LET'S MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE LIVES OF PEOPLE HERE IN  
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY WHEN WE KNOW THAT IF WE CONTINUE TO DO THE  
SAME THING AND EXPECT DIFFERENT OUTCOMES, THAT EQUATES TO  
INSANITY.

WITH THIS BUDGET, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING.

AGAIN, I WANT NOT TO BE HERE THIS TIME NEXT YEAR AND SAY I TOLD  
YOU SO.

TO PROVE ME WRONG.

IF THIS BUDGET IS GOING TO BE APPROVED, WHICH I THINK IT WILL BE  
WITH OR WITHOUT MY VOTE, WE WILL BE WATCHING, THE COMMUNITY WILL  
BE WATCHING AND YOU NEED TO DO MORE THAN WHAT YOU'VE DONE IN THE  
PAST.

THIS IS THE TIME THAT WILL NOT BE ANOTHER TIME LIKE THIS, I HOPE  
IN THE FUTURE.

I SAY I HOPE.

THE REASON WE HAVE SO MUCH MORE FUNDING IS BECOME FEDERAL  
DOLLARS DUE TO THE PANDEMIC.

LOUISVILLE METRO COUNCIL, AND LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT.

LET'S WORK TOGETHER TO MAKE THIS WORK.

I THINK THAT IF YOU REALLY WANT TO BE LEADERS IN THE COMMUNITY,  
WE SHOULD HAVE DONE MUCH MORE.

IT CONCENTRATES ON DOLLARS TO MAKE A BIG BOLD STATEMENT, INSTEAD  
OF DOLING IT OUT HERE AND THERE LIKE WE'VE ALWAYS DONE.

I TO WISH US THE BEST.

I'M LOOKING FOR A GREAT FUTURE FOR LOUISVILLE.

THIS IS JUST NOT THE WAY THAT WE SHOULD HAVE DONE IT.

WE COULD HAVE DONE MUCH BETTER.

MUCH BOLDER.

WE COULD HAVE BEEN A LEADER IN A COMMUNITY OF COMMUNITIES.

WITH THAT SAID, I THINK I WILL VOTE NO ON THE OPERATING BUDGET,  
THE CAPITAL BUDGET AND THE ARP.

WE DID NOT AS A COUNCIL HAVE ANY INPUT IN THE INITIAL FUNDING  
DECISIONS FOR THAT MONEY.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU.

I GUESS MY COLLEAGUE AND I HAVE A DIFFERENT TAKE ON THE BUDGET.

I WANT TO -- I WANT TO -- CERTAINLY I THINK I SPEAK ON BEHALF OF  
THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUS, THANK EVERYBODY THAT BILL THANKED,  
INCLUDING THE PUBLIC.

I AGREE.

I ENJOY ACTUALLY THE -- THE PUBLIC INPUT AND THE ENGAGEMENT THAT I GET.

I KNOW FROM MY OWN CONSTITUENTS ACROSS THE CITY.

I THINK BILL SUMMED IT UP PERFECTLY AND THE TWO PEOPLE THAT BILL DIDN'T THANK AS HIMSELF.

I KNOW THERE'S INSANE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT GOES IN THE PROCESS. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

THE VICE-CHAIR, KEVIN KRAMER, SAME THING.

YOU TWO YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THIS COUNCIL WOULD TO WITHOUT YOU TWO AND YOUR WAY YOU WORKED THROUGH THIS PROCESS AND CREATED WHAT A BUDGET SHOULD LOOK LIKE AND GET IT IN THE OPERATING CAPITAL BUDGET.

I WANT TO SAY ONE OTHER THING THAT THIS PROCESS IS A GOOD PROCESS.

IT HAS TO GEL 26 DIFFERENT SELECTED LEADERS AND THEIR OPINIONS, REPRESENTING 26 DIFFERENT CONSTITUENCIES.

AND DIFFERENT IN JUST ABOUT EVERY WAY YOU CAN IMAGINE.

RIGHT?

EVERYTHING FROM GEOGRAPHY AND -- AND DIFFERENT -- DIFFERENT AVERAGE INCOME LEVELS, THE RACIAL DEMOGRAPHICS.

ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT THOUGHTS AND BACKGROUNDS.

AND BRING IT INTO ONE DOCUMENT THAT REPRESENTS THE COMMUNITY.

I THINK LIKE CHAIRMAN HOLLANDER INDICATED, I DO SEE SOME VERY GREAT THINGS IN THE BUDGET.

LIKE YOU SAID, IF THIS WAS THE BUDGET OF ANTHONY PIAGENTINI  
WOULD IT BE WRITTEN THIS WAY?

NO.

NOR WOULD IT BE IF IT WAS THE BUDGET OF CHAIRMAN HOLLANDER.

THIS IS A BUDGET OF LOUISVILLE AND I THINK THE PROCESS THAT WE  
GO THROUGH AND THAT IS LED BY CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR OF THE  
COMMITTEE, AND COMPLIMENT ALSO PRESIDENT JAMES AND BEEN FAIR AND  
HELPFUL AND SHEPHERDING THIS PROCESS AND THIS COUNCIL.

I THINK WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS A GREAT BUDGET THAT MAKES  
SIGNIFICANT STRIDES AND TRULY REFLECTS THIS COMMUNITY OF 26  
DIFFERENT DISTRICTS AND 770,000 PEOPLE.

THANK YOU.

APPRECIATE THE PROCESS.

LOOKING FORWARD TO VOTING YES TO BOTH THE OPERATING AND CAPITAL  
BUDGET.

THANK YOU.

>> HOLLANDER.

>> I WANT TO SAY IN TERMS OF HOUSING, COUNCILMAN KRAMER AND I  
HAVE HAD DISCUSSIONS AS RECENTLY AS THIS MORNING ABOUT ARP  
FUNDING.

WE HAVE A LOT OF ARP MONEY TO APPROPRIATE IN THE NEXT FEW  
MONTHS.

I'M CONFIDENT AND I'LL SAY WHAT I'VE ALREADY SAID, I'M ONE OF 26  
PEOPLE.

BUT I BELIEVE THERE'S A CONSENSUS THAT HOUSING SHOULD BE MAJOR FOCUS OF THE ARP FUNDING.

I DON'T WANT TO GET AHEAD OF ANYBODY.

FRANKLY COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY, THE MAYOR HAS BEEN ACCUSED OF GETTING AHEAD OF PEOPLE BY SAYING WHAT PRIORITIES OUGHT TO BE FOR ARP BY MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL.

WE WANT TO HAVE INPUT AND WE WANT TO HAVE PUBLIC DISCUSSION AND WE WANT TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC.

WE WILL BE HAVING PUBLIC HEARINGS REGARDING ARP MONEY IN JULY DURING WHAT WOULD BE OUR BREAK.

WE WILL ALSO BE HAVING A BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETING IN JULY DURING NORMALLY WHAT WOULD NORMALLY BE OUR BREAK.

SO I WILL BE EXTRAORDINARILY DISAPPOINTED AS I SAID MANY TIMES IF WHEN THE ARP FUNDING IS ALLOCATED IF THERE ISN'T SIGNIFICANT MONEY FOR HOUSING AND FOR DEALING WITH HOUSELESS INDIVIDUALS IN THE COMMUNITY.

ON BROADBAND, YOU KNOW, THE LEGISLATION, THE ARP ACT SPECIFICALLY TALKS ABOUT SEWER WATER AND BROADBAND AS BEING ALLOWABLE PROJECTS.

WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT HOW BROADBAND WILL BE DONE IN THE COMMUNITY.

AND I -- I WILL BE VERY DISAPPOINTED IF WE DON'T SPEND ARP MONEY IN A SIGNIFICANT WAY FOR BROADBAND AS WELL.

BUT I THINK THE PUBLIC WANTS TO HAVE SOME INPUT IN TO THE WAY WE

SPEND ARP MONEY AND I KNOW COUNCIL MEMBERS WANT TO HAVE INPUT IN HOW WE SPEND ARP MONEY.

WE WILL HAVE THAT INPUT AND WE WILL PASS A LOT MORE MONEY OF ARP AND ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES IN THE COMING MONTHS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANT TO THANK HOLLANDER AND KRAMER FOR THE AMOUNT OF TIME THEY PUT IN.

THEY PUT IN A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF TIME, MORE THAN WE IT ON THIS PROCESS.

I WANT TO RECOGNIZE COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY, I APPRECIATE YOU TREMENDOUSLY.

YOUR DISTRICT SHOULD BE PROUD YOU'VE.

WHAT WE TAKE, THOSE THAT STEP FORWARD, MCCRANEY HAS SEEN A FLAW IN THE PROCESS.

SHE DESERVES GREAT CREDIT.

IN THAT SENSE, WHAT IS POINTED OUT TONIGHT IS THIS, WITH ALL BUDGETS, A LITTLE HERE, A LITTLE THERE, AND MAKE EVERYONE HAPPY. THAT IS A SAFE ROAD.

AND WHAT I HEARD FROM MY COLLEAGUES FROM DISTRICT SEVEN IS THIS. WITH ALL OF THE EXTRA MONEY COMING IN, WE HAD A CHANCE TO MAKE BOLD STATEMENTS AND MAKE BOLD MOVES, TO ATTEMPT TO DO SOMETHING

BETTER.

WE DIDN'T.

THIS BUDGET, IT IS A NICE BUDGET.

IT IS -- IT IS -- IT IS A MIDDLE OF THE ROAD.

WE DO NOT MAKE THE BOLD STATEMENTS OR MOVES THAT SHE IS  
REFERRING TO THAT WE POTENTIALLY COULD HAVE.

GAME CHANGING MOVES.

BECAUSE OF THAT, COUNCIL MEMBER MCCRANEY, I RESPECT YOU  
TREMENDOUSLY AND THANK YOU FOR SPEAKING YOUR MIND AND SAYING THE  
SAME THING THAT WENT AGAINST THE GRAIN.

AS SUCH, YOU HAVE MY UTMOST RESPECT.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER.

KRAMER.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANT TO BEGIN WITH THE PROCESS.

NOT NECESSARILY THE END RESULT.

MY VERSION OF BOLD AND A COUNCIL MEMBERS VERSION MAY BE  
DIFFERENT.

I SUSPECT IF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAD COME  
FORWARD AND SAID, THIS IS THE BOLD BUDGET WE MOVE FORWARD WITH  
AND I WALK IN WITH THIS IS THE BOLD MOTION WE MOVE FORWARD WITH,  
WE WOULD PROBABLY WALK INTO THAT ROOM WITH TWO VERY DIFFERENT  
BOLD BUDGETS WHICH I THINK IS -- IS OUR FIRST BUDGET MEETING WE

HAD SOME OF THAT CONVERSATION.

I THINK TO THE CHAIR'S CREDIT, HE POINTED OUT QUITE CORRECTLY THAT WE ARE TWO INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE CHARGED WITH WORKING TOGETHER WITH 24 OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS TO COME UP WITH A BUDGET THAT REFLECTS THE DESIRES AND WISHES AND THE WILL OF -- OF THE ENTIRE BODY OF LOUISVILLE RESIDENTS.

THAT'S A DIFFICULT CHALLENGE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE.

IT IS ESPECIALLY DIFFICULT WHEN THERE'S SO MUCH RHETORIC FLOATING AROUND ABOUT MAKING BOLD STATEMENTS.

IT IS ALSO TRUE THAT TYPICALLY BUDGETS COME TO US FROM THE MAYOR WITH HIS LIST OF PRIORITIES AND WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WE WANT TO DO ABOUT THOSE PRIORITIES THAT HE HAS ESTABLISHED AND -- AND IT WOULD BE BOLD FOR US TO GO IN AND COMPLETELY REDRAW HIS PRIORITIES.

AGAIN, THAT'S A VERY DIFFICULT THING TO DO ONCE THOSE PRIORITIES HAVE ALREADY BEEN ESTABLISHED.

I THINK THE BUDGET -- I'M VERY COMFORTABLE AGAIN, I THINK BOTH COUNCIL MEMBER AND PIAGENTINI AND I WAVE MY WAND AND GET A BUDGET I WANT, IT WOULDN'T BE THIS ONE.

IT DOESN'T HAVE EVERYTHING I LIKE TO HAVE, I'M GOING TO VOTE YES AND ENCOURAGE ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES INCLUDING MCCRANEY TO PLEASE VOTE YES BECAUSE IT REPRESENTS A LOT OF HARD WORK BY 26 COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT REPRESENT THE DESIRES OF METRO LOUISVILLE BASED ON A BUDGET WITH PRIORITIES SENT OVER BY THE MAYOR AND THAT WE WERE



ABLE TO AGREE WHERE CHANGES WERE APPROPRIATE.

I THINK THE -- THE REAL HOPE FOR BOLD MOVEMENT COMES IN  
THAT -- IN THAT ARP FUNDING THAT IS COMING.

I THINK WHAT UNIQUELY DIFFERENT ABOUT THAT, THAT FUNDING IS IN  
THIS INSTANCE, THE MAYOR IS NOT SENDING OVER HIS PRIORITIES.  
IT IS NOT GOING TO BE US TRYING TO REDEFINE OR DECLARE WHAT  
THOSE PRIORITIES SHOULD BE.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER AND I HAVE AGREED THAT ON JULY 12TH THE  
COMMITTEE WILL TAKE THIS UP WITH DISCUSSION.

AND WITH HELP OF COUNCIL AND INPUT AND REPRESENTATION FROM THE  
MAYOR'S OFFICE THAT THIS COUNCIL WILL DECIDE WHAT THE PRIORITIES  
ARE.

I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU GET MORE BOLD THAN THAT.

WE'RE TAKING ON A RESPONSIBILITY THAT THESE ARP FUNDS THAT  
HERETOFORE, WE NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY.

I ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO CONSIDER A YES VOTE AND PLEASE PLEASE  
PLEASE PARTICIPATE ACTIVELY IN THE DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT OUR  
PRIORITIES SHOULD BE.

AND WE'RE 26 PEOPLE REPRESENTING 26 DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE  
COMMUNITY WHO EACH HAS AN IDEA OF WHAT BOLD IS.

LET US NOT AT THE END OF THE DAY DECIDE WE CAN'T VOTE FOR  
SOMETHING BECAUSE THE DEFINITION WE CARRIED INTO THE ROOM  
DOESN'T MATCH THE DEFINITION OF BOLD THAT THE 26 OF US AGREE ON.  
THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MY STATEMENT BEING BOLD BEING SAID IS RHETORIC.

I DON'T SPEAK IN RHETORIC LANGUAGE.

I SPEAK WITH BOLDNESS.

I SPEAK WITH AUTHORITY.

I SPEAK WHAT I MEAN.

I WILL NOT BE ACCUSED OF RHETORIC.

>> I DID NOT MEAN TO IMPLY HER COMMENTS OR MCCRANEY, YOUR COMMENTS WERE RHETORIC OR THAT -- OR WERE SUGGESTION THAT WE WEREN'T BEING BOLD WAS RHETORIC.

I WAS REFERRING TO SO MUCH CONVERSATION THAT HAS SWIRLED AROUND THE ARP FUNDING THAT LOUISVILLE IS GETTING.

IT WAS REALLY MORE OF A COMMENT ABOUT WHAT WE'VE HEARD IN THE PUBLIC AND WHAT WE HEARD FROM THE PRESS AND WHAT WE'VE HEARD FROM SO MANY DIFFERENT PLACES.

IF I LEFT YOU WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT I WAS IMPUNING YOUR COMMENTS, I APOLOGIZE.

MY COMMENT ABOUT BOLDNESS IS -- IS -- IS QUITE CLEARLY, YOU'RE INTERESTED THAT MY COMMENT IS -- IS ONLY WE MOVE FORWARD, THERE'S 26 OF US THAT HAVE AN IDEA WHAT BOLD IS.

HOPEFULLY WE WILL BE ABLE TO AT THE END OF THE DAY FIND A WAY TO AGREE ON WHAT BOLD LOOKS LIKE.

THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

HEARING NONE, ROLL CALL VOTE.

PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

MR. PRESIDENT, YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES AND TWO NO VOTES.

>> THANK YOU.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK, READ OFFERING ITEM 56, PLEASE.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE FISCAL YEAR, 21-22 BUDGET.

FOR THE LOUISVILLE JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT INCLUDING  
APPROPRIATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS OF FUNDS FOR GENERAL  
CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND IMPROVEMENT.

>> MOTION BY FOX.

>> SECOND.

>> SECOND BY KRAMER.

>> THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION.

THE CAPITAL BUDGET, NO CHANGES EXCEPT FOR THE OPERATING BUDGET  
AND THE OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGET WHICH WERE APPROVED  
UNANIMOUSLY BY THE BUDGET COMMITTEE EARLIER THIS WEEK.

I URGE YOUR APPROVAL.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

MOTION PASSES.

>> ORDINANCE OF 21-22 BUDGET FOR THE TRANSIT AUTHORITY OF  
RIVER CITY PARK.

READ IN FULL.

>> THIS REQUIRES THAT WE CONSIDER AND APPROVE THE BUDGET FOR  
TOURISM AND -- PARKS.

>> IF I DON'T SEE THEM MOVING.

IT IS PART THREE.

WORKING WITH THEIR CURRENT PERSONNEL THAT IS STILL THERE THAT  
HAS VIOLATED OTHER EMPLOYEES AND GIVEN US THE ANSWERS THAT WE  
NEED.

LIKE MY COLLEAGUES I WOULD SUPPORT HIM IN HIS EFFORTS AND MAKING  
SURE THAT IT IS CHANGED.

AND SERVE THE COMMUNITY BETTER.

WE HAVE NOT BEEN SAYING THAT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

I JOIN MY COLLEAGUES TO ESTABLISH THE INVESTIGATION FOR THE PARK  
AND IN THE DIRECTOR MR. LITHGOW.

TRUST ME WHEN I TELL YOU, I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY WHEN I  
THOUGHT OF DENYING THIS BUDGET WOULD GET THEM BACK ON TRACK.

IT IS NOT AS THOUGH THIS BOARD IS PROFITING FROM IT.

IF THEY WERE, I WOULD TRUST IT.

THE ONLY PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE HURT BY A NO VOTE IN THE BUDGET  
WOULD BE PEOPLE TRYING TO GET WORK.

AND PEOPLE TRYING TO GET THIS.

I WILL VOTE FOR THE BUDGET.

IT IS AN ADMINISTRATIVE DUTY THAT HAPPENED.

I'M JOINING VERY MUCH COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON AND PURVIS AND ANYBODY ELSE AS I ALREADY SAID, THERE'S GOING TO BE ADDITIONAL LEGISLATION THAT WE PROPOSE TO STRUCTURALLY FIX THE PROBLEMS AND PREVENT THEM FROM EVER HAPPENING IN THE CITY EVER AGAIN.

I AGREE AND HAVE SAID THAT WE NEED MORE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS THAN EVEN SOME EXISTING EMPLOYEES THAT ARE STILL BLOWN AWAY BUT SOME ARE EMPLOYED BY THE ORGANIZATION.

WE'RE TAKING MORE ACTION.

I JOIN YOU ARM IN ARM, AND THE REST OF THE COLLEAGUES TO MAKE SURE WE DO THAT RIGHT.

JUST STILL HAVE TO APPROVE THIS BUDGET BILL BECAUSE UNFORTUNATELY TO DO ANYTHING BUT THAT WOULD BE TO DO MORE DAMAGE TO THOSE WHO ARE THE -- WHO ARE THE LEAST ABLE TO ABSORB THAT. WE'LL DEMAND MORE PARKS.

I'M WITH YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

COUNCILMAN REED.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

COUNCILMAN ACKERSON, I HEAR YOU.

I AGREE WITH YOU IN PRINCIPLE.

WE NEED TO PASS THIS WITH THE OPERATING CAPITAL THAT THE REST OF

THE SYSTEM NEED BUT I ALSO BELIEVE WE NEED ACCOUNTABILITY FROM THE BOARD AND THAT THIS FALLS ON THEM AND I'LL BE THERE WITH YOU IN THREE OR FOUR MONTHS IF THEY DON'T FIX THIS PROBLEM, THEN THEY CAN FACE US.

>> I SEE THIS EVERY DAY WITH PEOPLE CALLING ME.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN.

I LIKE TO -- TO REMIND EVERYBODY TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE THEIR CAMERAS ON BEFORE THEY VOTE.

ALL RIGHT.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

MADAM CLERK.

[ROLL CALLED].

>> 24 YES VOTES, ONE NO, AND ABSTENTIONS.

>> PASSES.

READING 58, PLEASE.

>> ORDINANCE APPROVING THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN, LOCAL FISCAL RECOVERY FUNDING TO VARIOUS LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS FOR THE FIRST 100 DAYS TO ADDRESS THE CONTINUED IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON THE ECONOMY, PUBLIC HEALTH, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES.

>> COULD I HAVE AMOTION.

>> MOTION BY TRIPLETT AND SECOND BY PEDEN.

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

>> THIS IS THE SECOND ITEM ON THE AGENDA ATTACHED COMMITTEE AMENDMENT.

TALK ABOUT HOW WE GOT HERE.

THE ADMINISTRATION MADE A RECOMMENDATION FOR 38.9 MILLION DOLLARS IN ARP FUNDS.

A NUMBER OF MEMBERS WANTED MORE DISCUSSION ON ARP FUNDS THAT ARE DESIGNED TO BE A SORT OF EMERGENCY USE.

I'M CONFIDENT THAT WILL HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE.

AT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETING, THE PROPOSAL FOR SPENDING 38.9 MILLION WAS REDUCED.

WHAT IS BEFORE US NOW IS A RESPONDING OF 30 MILLION 140,000 DOLLARS.

THIS IS INCREASED.

AND WE HAVE 30,000 OF THE AMBASSADOR FUNDS FOR THE WATERFRONT PARK.

THAT'S WHAT IS BEFORE US.

THE PROPOSAL TO SPEND 130 MILLION OF THE ARP FUNDS OF THE FIRST ROUND AND THE NEXT ROUND WOULD BE AGAIN AFTER A PUBLIC DISCUSSION AND MUCH MORE COUNCIL AND PUBLIC INPUT.

>> THANK YOU.

MADAM CLERK, COULD YOU LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT WINKLER WILL HAVE THE CHAIR, PLEASE?

>> YES, SIR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I BELIEVE YOU HAVE AN AMENDMENT THAT YOU WANTED TO ENTER.  
I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAVE A WAY.

>> YES, DO I HAVE AN AMENDMENT THAT I LIKE TO MAKE,  
MR. CHAIR.

>> COLLEAGUES.

>> I'M --

>> NOT TO INTERRUPT, JUST SO I KNOW AS WE GET IN THE  
DISCUSSION, DO YOU HAVE A WAY TO QUEUE IN?

>> YES, THROUGH THE GROUP -- THE GROUP ME.

>> I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAD A PROBLEM.

>> YEAH.

>> GO AHEAD.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COLLEAGUES, I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE'RE OFFERING UP AN  
AMENDMENT AND THE REASON IS WE HAVE A VIOLENT CRIME PROBLEM IN  
OUR CITY.

AS WE TALK ABOUT COVID FUNDS AND REENERGIZING OUR ECONOMY AND  
THOSE TYPES OF THINGS THROUGHOUT OUR ENTIRE CITY, I THINK IT IS  
VERY IMPORTANT THAT SAFETY BE A PART OF THAT.

SO I HAVE AN AMENDMENT AND IT IS TO ALLOCATE 4 MILLION DOLLARS  
IN LMPD OVERTIME FOR THE ENTIRE CITY, FOR OFFICERS TO -- TO HAVE  
AN OFFICER PRESENT TO REDUCE CRIME AND TO ASSIST IN THE RECOVERY  
FROM THE PANDEMIC BY PROVIDING SAFETY TO ALL OF OUR CITIZENS



THROUGHOUT OUR COMMUNITY.

MAKE THAT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION.

>> SECOND.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

ANY DISCUSSION?

>> ALL RIGHT.

WE CAN CUT THE BS BECAUSE TONIGHT AMENDMENT IS NOT NECESSARILY ABOUT THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY AND I WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR.

IN THE ARP MEETING THAT WAS HAD, IT WAS CLEAR THAT WE WANTED TO ADD WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT 3 MILLION DOLLARS LAST WEEK FOR DOWNTOWN AND AT THE WATERFRONT.

I'M ASKING MY COLLEAGUES TO VOTE NO FOR THREE REASONS.

ONE, THERE'S NO STATISTICAL PROOF FOR THIS.

WE DON'T NEED IT, IT IS UNNECESSARY.

TWO THIS GOVERNMENT IS NOT GOING TO BE PRACTICING WHAT IT PREACHED.

THREE, WHETHER WE THINK ABOUT THE WAY THAT 2020 WORKED OUT FOR US, THIS IS A VERY SLIPPERY SLOPE, A DANGEROUS SLOPE AND I'LL ELABORATE ON THE FIRST POINT.

AS WE TALK ABOUT DOWNTOWN, WE HAVE TO COME TO UNDERSTANDING THAT I'M LOOKING AT HOMICIDES THIS YEAR, THIS YEAR, LMPD, 31 HOMICIDES AND DIVISION FOUR IN THE SOUTH END WAS 22 HOMICIDES. LMPD DIVISION ONE IN DOWNTOWN AND PART OF THE WEST HAD 13 HOMICIDES.

OF THE 13 HOMICIDES, ONE OF THEM WAS IN THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT.

DOWNTOWN IS SAFE.

IF YOU DON'T BELIEVE ME, LET'S HEAR FROM OTHER PEOPLE.

THE SECOND POINT.

THIS GOVERNMENT HAS SUPPORTED AND CAMPAIGNED SINCE THE START OF THE PANDEMIC MARKETING HOW SAFE DOWNTOWN IS.

THE DOWNTOWN STRONG CAMPAIGN LAUNCHED IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR, THEIR COMMERCIAL HAS BEEN PLAYED ON TELEVISION AND ONLINE AND RADIO AND IT SAYS VERBATIM, DOWNTOWN LOUISVILLE IS OPEN AND FRIENDLY.

THE FORMER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TOLD THE JOURNAL WHEN ASKED ABOUT DOWNTOWN BEING DANGEROUS THAT, QUOTE, NOTHING COULD BE FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH.

REALLY HONESTLY DOWNTOWN IS ONE OF LOUISVILLE'S SAFEST NEIGHBORHOODS.

DOWNTOWN IS BEING UNSAFE AND A PROFOUND MISPERCEPTION.

SHE'S IN THE ARTICLE WITH THE HEADLINE THAT READ DOWNTOWN REBOUND, HOW THE LOUISVILLE DOWNTOWN PARTNERSHIP IS FIGHTING AGAINST MISINFORMATION.

THIS MARKETING PLAN MENTIONED SAFETY, 14 TIMES.

AND ALL BUT ONE OF THOSE MENTIONS IS ABOUT SAFETY FROM COVID-19. THE ONE MENTION ABOUT SAFETY THAT IS NOT DIRECTLY ABOUT THE PANDEMIC SAYS, AS MANY OTHER AMERICAN CITIES EXPERIENCE SIMILAR

SHUT DOWNS LOUISVILLE HAS PERCEPTIONS OF THE DOWNTOWN AREA.

A SAFE IMAGE MUST BE REGAINED.

MAYOR GREG FISHER JUST SAID, QUOTE, THE MEDIA CREATED A PERCEPTION THAT DOWNTOWN IS NOT SAFE.

LMPD ERICA SHIELDS GOT ON THE PANEL AND SAID THE DOWNTOWN SPACE HAS HISTORICALLY AMONG THE LOWEST CRIME IN THE CITY.

THE MOST PART, SINCE I'VE BEEN HERE, THERE HASN'T BEEN ANY VIOLENT CRIME THAT IS NOTABLE.

WHAT I FELT HAS BEEN THAT -- HAS BEEN THAT THERE'S A PERCEPTION OF IT NOT BEING SAFE.

THE BIGGEST EFFORT OF ALL OF THESE CAMPAIGNS HAS BEEN THE DOWNTOWN REVITALIZATION.

OVER 100 PEOPLE FROM ACROSS THE CITY, MAKING A COMEBACK PLAN FOR THE DISTRICT.

AND THAT PLAN IS SITTING ON OUR GOVERNMENT WEBSITE.

WHEN YOU LOOK AT THAT PLAN IT MENTIONED SAFETY.

SAFETY IN INFRASTRUCTURE AND AMBASSADORS.

DO YOU KNOW WHAT IT DOESN'T MENTION?

POLICE.

100 PEOPLE FROM AROUND THE CITY, REPRESENTATIVES FROM ALL SORTS OF PLACES OF EMPLOYMENT LIKE HUMANA AND SMALL BUSINESSES AND COUNCIL MEMBERS AND RESIDENTS FROM ALL OVER LOUISVILLE INCLUDING THE MAJOR OF THE DOWNTOWN DIVISION, ALL OF THEM WORKED ON THIS PLAN AND IT DID NOT INCLUDE POLICE.

LAST, WE PAY FOR A TOP TO BOTTOM REVIEW OF LMPD AND IT TELLS US  
THIS DEPARTMENT IS IN CRISIS.

OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT LACKS DIVERSITY.

82 PERCENT WHITE.

THE ORIGINAL ARP PROPOSAL FOR POLICE THAT APPARENTLY CAME FROM  
OUR COUNCIL, THREE COUNCIL MEMBERS SPECIFICALLY, MAYBE ONE MORE  
SPECIFICALLY, IT SAID VERBATIM, UNDERSTANDING THE CURRENT  
FASTING LEVELS AT LMPD, THIS PROGRAM WOULD NEED TO UTILIZE  
FOREIGN OFFICERS OUTSIDE OF LMPD AND POSSIBLY INCLUDING THE  
JEFFERSON COUNTY SHERIFF AND INDEPENDENT CITIES IN JEFFERSON  
COUNTY AND THOSE FROM SURROUNDING COUNTIES.

WE CAN'T HOLD LMPD ACCOUNTABLE.

WHY WOULD WE TAKE ON ACCOUNTABILITY OF OFFICERS AND TOWNS AND  
COUNTIES THAT ARE [INDISCERNIBLE] AT THIS POINT?

WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE IF SOMETHING HAPPENS DOWNTOWN?

OR THE WATERFRONT?

TO VOTE AGAINST THIS WASTE OF MONEY, THINK ABOUT THIS FACT.

I COUNCILMAN, RECOVERS MOST OF THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT,  
I'M TELLING YOU WE DO NOT NEED TO SPEND THIS MONEY ON POLICE.

WHY WOULD I ADVOCATE AGAINST IT?

IF WE WANT TO SPEND 3 MILLION OR 4 MILLION, WE NEED TO SPEND IT  
ON THE PEOPLE ACTUALLY UNSAFE SLEEPING ON THE STREETS OF  
DOWNTOWN.

LET'S SPEND IT ON BEING PROACTIVE INSTEAD OF REACTIVE.

PLEASE VOTE NO.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

PERCEPTION IS REALITY.

PEOPLE DON'T FEEL SAFE.

THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE TELLING ME EVERY DAY.

MY COLLEAGUES ARE NOT JUST A PART OF DOWNTOWN.

I CAN SPEAK TO DISTRICT 13 AND THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE HERE.

THEY WANT THIS.

I HAD A HOMICIDE ABOUT THREE DAYS AGO.

THEY WANTED TO INCREASE.

THEY WANT TO FEEL SAFE.

THEY WANT TO FEEL SAFE WHEN THEY TWO TO THE MALL AND THE  
GROCERY.

THEY WANT TO FEEL SAFE EVERYWHERE.

THAT PERCEPTION RIGHT NOW HAS [INDISCERNIBLE].

WE ALL SAW THE COVERAGE ON TELEVISION AND YOU COULD SAY WHAT YOU  
WANT, WE SAW IT WITH OUR OWN EYES.

MY COLLEAGUES REFERENCING OFFICERS FROM OTHER COUNTIES A  
THROUGHOUT THE STATE, I WOULD JUST SAY THAT THIS PREVENTS THEM  
FROM -- ONLY ONE PEACE OFFICER FROM LOUISVILLE -- LOUISVILLE IN  
THIS AREA BUT RIGHT NOW OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT IS UNDERSTAFFED.  
AND YOU KNOW, THEY NEED HELP.

WE NEED TO HELP THEM.

I CHALLENGE, IF YOU TAKE A CALL FROM CONSTITUENT THAT SAYS THEY

DON'T FEEL SAFE AND IF YOU TALK TO A FRIEND THAT SAYS THEY DON'T  
FEEL SAFE, TALK TO SOMEONE.

I ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE FOR THIS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

SO PRESIDENT JAMES, A FEW CLARIFYING QUESTIONS.

YOUR AMENDMENT WOULD INDICATE IT WAS FOR LMPD OVERTIME, IS THAT  
CORRECT?

>> LMPD AND LMPD ONLY.

NO OTHER POLICE DEPARTMENTS.

>> AND I'M ASKING THAT BECAUSE I DID -- I DID, WHEN THIS WAS  
DISCUSSED ORIGINALLY, THERE WAS DISCUSSION AND ON TRACK WITH THE  
THIRD PARTIES AND I HAD CONCERNS ABOUT THAT.

I RATHER HAVE PEOPLE -- THEY OPERATE UNDER OUR JURISDICTION, YOU  
KNOW, THEY -- THEY OPERATE OUR COMMAND STRUCTURE AND MUCH RATHER  
HAVE THAT.

IF I UNDERSTAND YOUR AMENDMENT ALSO, IT CLEARLY DOES NOT SPECIFY  
ANY GEOGRAPHY WITHIN THE CITY.

IS THAT CORRECT?

>> IT SPECIFIES THE ENTIRE CITY.

>> VERY GOOD.

LOOK, I -- I WISH WE -- I WISH WE -- IN AN IDEAL CIRCUMSTANCE,  
WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO DO THIS.

YOU KNOW MY SUPPORT AND I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THAT IS BECAUSE IN THE SHORT-TERM, I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER OPTIONS WE HAVE.

WE HAVE A CIRCUMSTANCE AND WE KNEW IT WAS GOING TO GET WORSE BEFORE BETTER.

WE'RE REELING FROM YEARS OF DEVELOPED MISMANAGEMENT OF OUR PUBLIC SAFETY APPARATUS.

THE YEARS OF -- OF -- IN MY OPINION, IT IS MY OPINION, BUT YEARS I WOULD SAY OF CORE LEADERSHIP AND -- AND OF -- AND OF A PAY STRUCTURE WHICH HAS LED US TO -- TO A PERFECT HORRIBLE STORM WHERE -- WHERE -- WHERE -- WE CAN'T -- WE USED TO GET 500 APPLICANTS TO FILL 40 SPOTS.

WE CAN'T FILL PAST.

SO WE'RE GROSSLY UNDERSTAFFED.

WE'VE SEEN NOT JUST VIOLENT CRIME AND THE HOMICIDE RATE, BUT IN MANY OTHERS OF THE CITY, PROPERTY CRIME WHICH IS THERE.

EVERYTHING FROM CAR THEFT IS -- WE HAD A SPEAKER THIS EVENING AND CARJACKING AND TO OTHER -- TO OTHER JUST PERSONAL PROPERTY CRIME, ROBBERY, THEFT AND THE LIKE.

THIS IS NOT THE WAY I WOULD LIKE TO GO ABOUT THIS.

BUT AGAIN, I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER WAY AROUND THIS.

AND WE NEED TO START SOMETHING.

WE NEED TO -- WE NEED TO -- ONE SMALL STEP AND I THINK AN IMPORTANT STEP, AND I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT THOSE ARE ANSWERED BY THE PRESIDENT AND NUMBER ONE THIS WOULD BE DONE AND

LIKE THE ORIGINAL BUDGET WITH LMPD AND THAT SERIOUS AND NUMBER TWO, THAT IT WILL BE COUNTY WIDE SO THAT RESOURCES COULD BE FOR THE ARTS.

COUNCILMAN ARTHUR'S POINT, RIGHT?

THE TWO SOURCES ARE NOT USED IN THE DISTRICT, TERRIFIC BUT CLEARLY THERE ARE NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE CITY THAT NEED IT.

I KNOW IN MY AREA OF THE CITY, WE CALL LMPD OFFICERS UNICORNS. IT IS NOT BECAUSE WE DON'T LOVE SEEING THEM, WHO DOESN'T LOVE SEEING A UNICORN.

IT IS A RARE OCCASION THAT WE SEE THIS CONDITION.

THAT'S BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO GET PULLED INTO OTHER AREAS OF THE CITY BECAUSE LMPD HAS TO SAY -- TO SAY ALLOCATION RESOURCES BASED ON CRIME.

I WILL VOTE FOR THIS.

IT MAY BE NO DIFFERENT.

I APPRECIATE YOU BRINGING THIS PRESENTATION UP.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN?

>> THANK YOU.

ONE OF THE LEGITIMATE CONCERNS THAT HAS COME UP REPEATEDLY THROUGH THIS PROCESS OF RELIEF TO THE ARP MONEY IS THAT IT COULD HAVE BEEN MORE COLLABORATIVE AND WE COULD HAVE HAD MORE INCIDENT IN THE PROCESS.

THERE WAS NOT A QUESTION THAT PART OF WHAT LED THROUGH IN THE



MAYOR'S 100 DAYS OF PRIORITIES, IT WASN'T TO SAY THAT ANY -- ALL OF THOSE WEREN'T IMPORTANT.

IT WAS A REAL QUESTION OF THE PROCESS.

SO ONE THING, I LIKE TO BE ON THE FRONT, SUPPORT THE ATTEMPT TO ADDRESS COMMUNITY WIDE.

I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT.

I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND AGAIN IN THE TRANSPARENT COLLABORATIVE SORT OF -- OF PROCESS THAT ALLOWS FOR INPUT, I LIKE TO BETTER UNDERSTAND A COUPLE OF THINGS.

I LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT COMMUNITY WIDE MEANS IN WHICH PEOPLE CAN EXPECT THIS.

I ALSO LIKE TO BETTER UNDERSTAND WHAT LMPD WANTS.

DO OUR OFFICERS WANT TO WORK MORE OVERTIME?

DO THEY HAVE THE CAPABILITY TO WORK MORE OVERTIME?

WHAT IS THE QUALITY THAT WE CAN EXPECT FROM BASICALLY [INDISCERNIBLE].

I LIKE TO KNOW IF LMPD HAS OTHER SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO SPEND THIS MONEY.

I HAVE REPEATEDLY HEARD FROM LMPD ABOUT THE NEED FOR RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION BONUS.

I LIKE TO KNOW CREATIVE STRATEGIES.

I HEAR PIAGENTINI SAY, YOU KNOW, CONCERNS OF THE PROCESS, HE FEELS LIKE HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT ELSE TO DO.

I LIKE TO HEAR FROM LMPD AS PART OF THE PROCESS AND WHAT

ADDITIONAL OPTIONS EXIST.

I'M WITH YOU, PRESIDENT JAMES, I WANT TO ADDRESS THE ONGOING TIME.

I CERTAINLY FEEL IN DISTRICT 21, I WANT TO SUPPORT LMPD.

I'M NOT CONVINCED THAT ADDITIONAL OVERTIME IS THE WAY TO DO THAT.

FOR THAT REASON, I'M A NO AT THIS TIME ON THIS AMENDMENT.

THANK YOU.

>> THERE WERE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THERE.

I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ANSWER THOSE SPECIFICALLY OR IF THEY MORE RHETORICAL.

>> COMMUNITY WIDE MEANS COMMUNITY WIDE, AT THE WATERFRONT OR THE FLOOD AREA OR OUT IN COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI'S AREA WHERE POLICE ARE -- ARE LIKE UNICORNS, THE ENTIRE CITY.  
COUNCILMAN GEORGE.

>> IT ANSWERS MY QUESTION.

BUT I WANT TO GO DOWN THIS MOMENT.

WORK COLLABORATIVELY, HEARING THE INPUT AND THOSE THAT DO THE WORK.

I KNOW PRESIDENT JAMES UNDERSTANDS THE WORK.

I UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT OF WORKING OVERTIME FROM STAFF WHO ARE ALREADY STRETCHED THIN.

I AM INTERESTED IN THE GOALS IMMEDIATE AND MID TERM.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT WE JUST PASSED A BUDGET THAT FUNDED 9.64 MILLION FOR LMPD AND THEN SCHEDULED OVERTIME FOR LMPD.

WE SCHEDULED A LOT OF OVERTIME.

AND GEORGE'S POINT, IT COMPARES THE NUMBER TO BE FUNDED.

IT SEEMS LIKE A SMALL AMOUNT.

WHAT I'M HEARING FROM ARTHUR CHOOSE DISTRICT I BELIEVE IS THE TARGET OF WHERE THIS -- WHERE THIS OVERTIME WOULD OCCUR, IT IS NOT NEEDED.

I DO SHARE CONCERNS ABOUT RISING LEVELS OF VIOLENT CRIME.

I'M GLAD WE PASSED THE BUDGET THAT INVESTED SIGNIFICANTLY IN UPSTREAM INTERVENTIONS TO TRY TO ADDRESS THE CAUSES OF VIOLENCE. MCCRANEY TALKED ABOUT OUR CHANCE TO BE BOLD AND ADDING A FEW MORE MILLION DOLLARS WHICH WE THEY FUNDED LMPD OVERTIME DOESN'T FEEL [INDISCERNIBLE] TO ME.

I SHARE THE CONCERNS ABOUT -- WHAT I HEAR IT IS ALREADY DIFFICULT TO GET THE LMPD OFFICERS TO TAKE OVERTIME.

I HAVE YET TO UNDERSTAND THIS PROCESS WHY THIS 4 MILLION DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL OVERTIME FUNDS IS GOING TO SIGNIFICANTLY MAKE AN IMPACT IN PUBLIC SAFETY WHICH I KNOW THAT WE ALL TO WANT TO LIVE IN A SAFE COMMUNITY.

SO FOR ALL OF THOSE REASONS BECAUSE I BELIEVE WE'VE ALREADY ALLOCATED FUNDING THROUGH THIS TACTIC AND STRATEGY.

I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE A CHANCE TO STEP BACK WITH OUR ARP DOLLARS AND THINK BIG PICTURE.

I HESITATE TO HAND OUT A MILLION HERE AND THERE UNTIL WE HAVE THAT CONVERSATION.

I BELIEVE WE PASSED THE BUDGET.

IT GIVES US THE GRACE AND ABILITY TO HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS.

QUITE FRANKLY BECAUSE I ADVOCATED FOR ROBUST FUNDING OF THE AMBASSADOR PROGRAM AND TO PUT 3 MILLION IN THAT PROGRAM TO ALLOW US TO TAKE A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO POSITIVE ACTIVITY ON THE STREETS AND A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO PUBLIC SAFETY AND A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO HAVING PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT -- THAT CAN HELP ADDRESS THE NEED WITHOUT -- WITHOUT SORT OF DOING WHAT WE -- WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING.

AND FOR ALL OF THOSE REASONS I'M GOING TO BE A NO TONIGHT.

I DO LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING HAVING THE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN ACKERSON?

>> THANK YOU, FIRST AND FOREMOST, [INAUDIBLE].

I DISAGREE ON THIS ISSUE.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHAMBERS HAS POINTED OUT, REPORTING BACK TO -- TO MCCRANEY'S COMMENTS ABOUT BEING BOLD, THIS IS NOT A BOLD MOVE. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS FUNCTIONALLY INADEQUATE.

TO THROW MORE MONEY AT THAT BROKEN PROCESS DOES NOT MAKE SENSE.  
ALSO THIS AMENDMENT WOULD SPEND 4 MILLION DOLLARS AND IT SAYS  
COUNTY WIDE, BUT IT IS NOT COUNTY WIDE, IT COULD PUT 90 PERCENT  
IN ONE AREA AND THEN PUT THE OTHER IN FIVE OTHER AREAS.

THERE'S NO CERTAINTY HERE THAT THIS IS SPREAD COUNTY WIDE.

AT THE END OF THE DAY, I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR MADE A STATEMENT THAT STRUCK HOME, ABOUT  
TWO WEEKS AGO THAT -- THAT STILL RINGS IN MY HEAD.

THAT IS YOU KNOW MORE POLICE DOES NOT MEAN THAT EVERYONE IS  
GOING TO FEEL SAFE.

THERE'S A SEGMENT THAT DOESN'T FEEL SAFER.

YOU THINK OF IT AS ALMOST LIKE A DICTATOR STATE WHERE PEOPLE ARE  
CONCERNED ABOUT HAVING ANYTHING TO DO WITH -- WITH ABUTTING  
AUTHORITY.

WE TALKED ABOUT AMBASSADORS COULD BECOME THE MIDDLE GROUND, THE  
MIDDLE GROUND BETWEEN POLICE AND NOT HAVING AN AUTHORITATIVE  
FIGURE, SOMETHING DIFFERENT.

YOU REALLY THINK ABOUT THAT.

YOU KNOW.

HERE'S THE CERTAINTY.

SPENDING MORE MONEY ON POLICE IS NOT GOING TO STOP A MURDER.  
NOT GOING TO STOP THE GUN VIOLENCE PROBLEM.

CHANGING THE MENTALITY AND TRYING TO ADDRESS THE MENTALITIES OF  
THE PEOPLE THAT ADDRESS THOSE ACTIVITIES MIGHT PREVENT THOSE

ACTIVITIES AND MORE BOOTS ON THE GROUND IS NOT GOING TO PREVENT  
WHAT IS TAKING PLACE.

AND AS SUCH, BEING BOLD, WE'RE GOING TO SPEND MONEY AND LET'S BE  
BOLD AND TRY NEW THINGS.

THE SAME BOLD IS NOT GOING TO STOP THINGS.

WE'RE NOT GOING TO SOLVE THE MURDER PROBLEM.

IN MY OPINION.

IT IS NOT GOING TO SOLVE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS  
DYSFUNCTIONALLY BROKEN.

AS SUCH, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, I CAN'T SUPPORT THIS.

I CAN'T SUPPORT WE'RE GOING TO TELL THE ADMINISTRATION TO SPEND  
MONEY ON WHATEVER THEY WANT ON MORE POLICE.

I SAY TO THIS ADMINISTRATION GO FIX THE POLICE PROBLEM.

PAY THEM BETTER.

COME UP WITH A GOOD CONTRACT.

START HIRING QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS.

STOP HIRING BOTTOM OF THE BARREL FOLKS WHO SHOULDN'T BE THERE  
AND GIVE US THE PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE.

SO UNTIL THAT HAPPENS, I'M STUCK.

I'M STUCK WITH ACCEPTING THE NORM ANOTHER THE MOMENT WITH A HOPE  
FOR A BETTER DAY AND INVESTING IN A BETTER FUTURE AND WE STAY  
WITH THE NORM OF THE DAY WHICH DOESN'T WORK.

AS SUCH I WILL BE A NO VOTE.

THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> COULD SOMEONE EDUCATE ME A LITTLE BIT AND GO DOWN MEMORY LANE AND TALK ABOUT THE INCREASES AND TREND OF INCREASES IN THE POLICE BUDGET?

ANY OTHER CHAIR PERSON OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, COULD YOU SPEAK TO THE INCREASES OF THE POLICE BUDGET OVER THE LAST THREE TO FIVE YEARS.

>> DO YOU HAVE THAT HANDY?

>> I DON'T.

I COULD LOOK THAT UP.

MUCH INCREASE IS PENSION TALK.

I'M HAPPY TO LOOK THAT UP.

>> IN GENERAL WOULD YOU SAY IT HAS GONE UP YEAR TO YEAR?

>> YES.

ALMOST ALL BUDGETS HAVE GONE UP, IN PART BECAUSE OF THE 12 PERCENT COST INCREASE.

BUT YES, THE POLICE BUDGET HAS GONE UP.

NOW, IT HAS GONE UP EVEN THOUGH THE NUMBER OF POLICE OFFICERS ON THE STREET AND IN RECENT YEARS HAS GONE DOWN.

THAT -- AS WE TALKED ABOUT THAT AS FAR AS THE BUDGET THIS YEAR, IT SEEMS CONFUSING.

HOW ARE WE PAYING THEM MORE?

WE'RE PAYING THEM MORE AND THE PENSION COSTS ARE SIGNIFICANT.

I'LL GET TO THAT INFORMATION.

>> I WANTED TO POINT OUT SOMETHING I SAW LONG AGO THAT WAS HEARTBREAKING AND SET THE TONE FOR THE REALITY. THE 100TH MURDER, 100TH HOMICIDE IN THE CITY HAPPENING A COUPLE OF DAYS AGO.

LAST YEAR THAT HAPPENED MID AUGUST.

AS THAT BUDGET INCREASES AND HOMICIDES INCREASE AS WELL, I JUST THINK IT IS IRONIC AS WE SIT HERE AND TALK ABOUT SAFETY AND AGAIN SEEING REACTIVE INSTEAD OF PROACTIVE, SOMEONE BREAKS INTO A STORE TO STEAL FOOD, THEY'RE HUNGRY.

YES, THEY COMMITTED A CRIME.

THEY'RE HUNGRY, THAT'S THE ROOT CAUSE.

SOMEONE ON THE STREET SELLING DOPE, THEY'RE TRYING TO MAKE MONEY FOR THEIR FAMILY TO SURVIVE.

I'M NOT TRYING TO JUSTIFY THESE CRIMES.

I'M TRYING TO CONTEXTUALIZE WHAT IS GOING ON.

IN HOUSING, FOOD, YOU NAME IT.

ESPECIALLY WHERE WE ARE IN LOUISVILLE AND OTHER AREAS THAT ARE DEALING WITH LOW INCOME SITUATIONS.

AS WE LOOK AT WHAT TO DO WITH ARP FUNDS, WE NEED TO PUT OUR HEAD TOGETHER AND BE SMART ABOUT THIS AND GO INTO IT WITH A PROACTIVE ATTITUDE INSTEAD OF REACTIVE, BECAUSE OUR CITY BUDGET HAS A REACTIVE ATTITUDE.

WE CAN'T THROW MORE MONEY AT POLICE AND COUNCILMAN ACKERSON



POINTED OUT, THEY'RE AT CAPACITY.

THEY'RE IN CRISIS AN OUR REPORT TOLD US.

THAT DOESN'T FIX THE ISSUE.

THAT DOESN'T SOLVE ANYTHING.

WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT BEING PROACTIVE INSTEAD OF REACTIVE.

THAT'S INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT.

AND I ASK THE CHAIR PERSON OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE NO MORE  
QUESTIONS.

MAYBE TWO WEEKS AGO, MAYBE A WEEK AGO, YOU MENTIONED THE  
CAPACITY OF LMPD.

YOU MENTIONED OUR BUDGET GIVING THEM EVERYTHING THAT WE COULD  
GIVE THEM.

COULD YOU SPEAK TO THAT AGAIN AND HOW THIS ESSENTIALLY WOULDN'T  
NECESSARILY CHANGE ANYTHING BECAUSE WE'RE ALREADY AT OUR LIMIT  
IN TERMS OF FUNDING?

>> YES, SIR, WHAT I SAID WAS, THAT IF WE WANTED TO ADDRESS  
PUBLIC SAFETY IN THE COMMUNITY, WE NEEDED TO FUND OTHER THINGS.  
WE'VE DONE THAT, I'M HAPPY TO SAY BECAUSE I THOUGHT WE WERE  
DOING EVERYTHING WE COULD FOR LMPD.

I ASKED THE QUESTION, DID YOU GET MORE POLICE OFFICERS, IF WE  
HAD ADDITIONAL CLASS?

THAT WAS THE VERY FIRST QUESTION I ASKED.

SHE SAID THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT IS POSSIBLE NOW.

I THINK WE HAVE DONE WHAT WE CAN DO FOR LMPD.

ANSWER THAT QUESTION AND RESERVE THE REST OF MY COMMENTS.

WE DID NOT HEAR FROM CHIEF SHIELDS, PLEASE GIVE ME 4 MILLION DOLLARS MORE ON TOP OF THE 9.6 MILLION DOLLARS IN OVERTIME.

WE DID NOT HEAR THAT.

IF WE HEARD THAT, I THINK WE WOULD HAVE HAD A DIFFERENT CONVERSATION.

I DON'T KNOW WE NEEDED ARP MONEY FOR THAT, IF THAT'S WHAT CHIEF SHIELDS TOLD US, I -- I THINK IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A BROAD CONVERSATION ABOUT THAT.

WE DID NOT HEAR THAT.

I'M NOT HEARING THAT FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TONIGHT.

MAYBE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS SAYING THEY NEED THAT.

WE HAVEN'T HAD THAT KIND OF COMMUNICATION.

YOU KNOW.

I'M GETTING A LITTLE MORE THAN YOUR -- THAN THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION.

IN APPROPRIATING ANY KIND OF MONEY, I LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

IS THIS SOMETHING YOU NEED AND HOW WOULD YOU USE THIS MONEY?

I WOULD ALSO SAY, I'M OLD ENOUGH TO REMEMBER WHEN THIS COUNCIL WAS ABSOLUTELY OUTRAGED AT THE MISUSE OF LMPD POLICE OVERTIME. WE ALL TALKED ABOUT IT.

WE HAD AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT IT.

AND ASKED IS THE -- IF THE AUDITOR TO LOOK AT HOW THE LMPD

OVERTIME WAS USED AND MISUSED.

AND THERE WAS SOME REPORTS THAT WERE PRETTY EGREGIOUS THINGS  
DONE WITH LMPD OVERTIME.

IN MY VIEW, IF -- IF I WAS INTERESTED IN PUTTING 4 MILLION  
DOLLARS MORE INTO LMPD OVERTIME, I WOULD WANT TO HAVE A BROUGHT  
DISCUSSION WITH SHIELDS ABOUT HOW THIS WOULD BE HANDLED.

IN THIS PROCESS WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY DISCUSSION AT ALL.

THANK YOU.

>> I WANTED TO FOLLOW UP ON THAT POINT.

I LOOK AT THE FINAL RULES FROM US DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY.

151 PAGES.

LET ME PULL THESE.

THANK YOU.

>> I WITHDREW MY QUESTION.

THANK YOU.

>> I'M SORRY ABOUT THAT.

>> COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M GOING TO MAKE A FEW COMMENTS IN REGARD TO PRESIDENT JAMES.

ONE, CHIEF SHIELDS DID NOT REQUEST THIS ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

TWO, OVERTIME HAS HISTORICALLY BEEN INTRODUCED BEFORE WITH LMPD.

AND -- AND THREE, I'M VERY CONFIDENT IN THE AMOUNT THAT WE

COMPLETE THOSE IN PASSING THE BUDGET, THE OVERTIME AMOUNT THAT

THE -- THAT THE LMPD WILL BE ABLE TO SERVE AND PROTECT THE MOST

VULNERABLE CITIZENS IN THE CITY.

I WANT TO GO ON RECORD IF ANY CONSTITUENTS ARE WATCHING, AND MY NO VOTE DOES NOT MEAN I'M NOT CONCERNED ABOUT YOUR PROTECTION, BECAUSE I AM.

JUST KNOW I FEEL THE AMOUNT THAT HAS BEEN AWARDED FOR SUCH WILL BE ADEQUATE ENOUGH FOR THEM TO DO THE JOB THAT THEY ARE HIRED TO DO.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCILWOMAN MCCRANEY.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

AS I STATED EARLIER IN THIS PROCESS FOR THE COUNCIL MEETING, I AM ACROSS THE BOARD A NO ON -- ON THE BUDGET, BOTH FOR THE -- FOR THE OPERATING AND THE ATTENDING CAPITAL.

AS WELL I STATED MY REASONS FOR NOT VOTING YES ON THE ARP FUNDING.

SO FOR ME TO VOTE FOR THIS WOULD MAKE NO SENSE BECAUSE I'M A NO VOTE OVERALL WITH THE ARP.

LET ME MAKE IT CLEAR TO EVERYONE, AND MY CONSTITUENTS KNOW HOW MUCH I SUPPORT OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT AND HOW BADLY I WANT OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT TO BE ABLE TO DO THEIR JOB THE WAY THEY KNOW BEST HOW TO DO IT.

AND HOW MUCH I -- I SUPPORT GOOD POLICING AND GOOD POLICEMEN AND WOMEN.

I WILL BE LOOKING OUT IN THE NEXT ROUND OF -- OF CONVERSATIONS,  
NOW THAT WE WILL BE INCLUDED ON THE CONVERSATIONS UP FRONT ABOUT  
THE ARP FUNDING MOVING FORWARD.

LOOKING AT HOW PUBLIC SAFETY CAN BE REIMAGINED.

AND LOOKING HOW WE CAN SUPPORT OUR POLICEMEN WITH THE FUNDING  
THAT THEY NEED TO ACCOMPLISH THEIR TASK AND TO KEEP US SAFE AND  
GET RID OF BAD POLICEMAN THAT ARE OUT THERE CAUSING HAVOC AND  
MAKING THE DEPARTMENT LOOK BAD.

PRESIDENT JAMES, I KNOW WE SHOULDN'T ADDRESS EACH OTHER  
PERSONALLY, BUT I DO WANT TO SAY, I THINK YOU KNOW THAT I WOULD  
SUPPORT YOU AND -- AND LIKE COUNCILMAN ACKERSON SAID, I  
WOULD -- I WOULD RUN IN THE STREET FOR YOU BECAUSE YOU'VE PROVEN  
TIME AND TIME AGAIN YOU WOULD DO THE SAME FOR ME.

I'M SORRY I WILL BE A NO VOTE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER.

>> THANK YOU, I THINK I SAID MY POSITION.

I'LL EXPLAIN WHY I WILL ALSO BE A NO VOTE.

I REALLY DON'T -- THERE'S A PHRASE FROM PIAGENTINI ABOUT THE  
PROCESS THAT WE -- THAT WE FOLLOW WHICH IS -- IS HEARING  
FROM -- FROM THE DEPARTMENT HEAD AND ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT  
HOW -- HOW MONEY IS PROPOSED TO BE USED.

ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT -- ABOUT WHAT GUARD RAILS THERE ARE  
TO MAKE SURE IT IS USED AND NOT WASTED.

WE DO HAVE A HISTORY OF THAT WITH LMPD OVERTIME.

WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY DISCUSSION.

WE DIDN'T ASK THOSE QUESTIONS.

WE HAVEN'T HEARD FROM CHIEF SHIELDS, THIS IS SOMETHING THE DEPARTMENT NEED.

WE'VE GONE BACK AND FORTH ON THE NUMBERS.

WE STARTED WITH 3 MILLION AND 4 MILLION DOLLARS.

I THINK THE PROCESS JUST NEED MORE THOUGHT.

DO I THINK WITH ARP MONEY WHICH IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NOW THAT PUBLIC SAFETY SHOULD BE PART OF THE DISCUSSION?

YEAH.

I DON'T WANT TO SET PRIORITIES BUT YEAH.

I DO THINK IT COULD BE A PRIORITY.

WHEN WE TALK ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY DOLLARS, WE SHOULD TALK ABOUT VIOLENCE INTERVENTION IN A MUCH BOLDER WAY THAN WE FIND IN THIS EXISTING BUDGET.

THAT'S CLEARLY ALLOWED UNDER ARP GUIDELINES.

WHAT I HOPE IS THAT WE WILL HAVE A MORE -- A MORE ROBUST DISCUSSION IN THE COMMUNITY AND THE COUNCIL OF HOW WE SHOULD ATTACK THIS -- THIS PARTICULAR PROBLEM AND OBVIOUSLY, IT IS AN ENORMOUS PROBLEM FOR OUR COMMUNITY.

HOW WE SHOULD ATTACK IT AND WHERE THE FUNDING SHOULD GO.

I THINK WE CAN HAVE THAT QUICKLY.

WE'RE GOING TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS STARTING THE WEEK OF JULY 12,

DURING OUR BREAK ABOUT ARP FUNDING.

I THINK TO ADD AT THIS POINT WITHOUT HEARING FROM THE LEADERSHIP OF OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT IS UNSAFE AND I'LL VOTE NO.

>> COUNCILMAN BLACKWELL.

>> I HAD -- I HAVE TO AGREE WITH COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG, WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY HERE TO -- TO -- TO THINK ABOUT WHAT WOULD BE THE BEST WAY TO -- TO ATTACK SOME OF THESE ISSUES.

I DO BELIEVE THAT -- I BELIEVE THAT POLICE OVERTIME CAN -- CAN BE A PART OF THAT.

AS YOU POINTED OUT, WE HAVE 9 MILLION DOLLARS OF SCHEDULED OVERTIME RIGHT NOW.

THAT HOLDS US OVER UNTIL WE HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHAT WE MIGHT NEED OR HOW MUCH WE MIGHT NEED OR HOW WE PUT IT TOGETHER AS PART OF THE APPROPRIATIONS.

I SEE SEVERAL COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO HAVE APPROPRIATED MONEY OVER THE YEARS FOR POLICE OVERTIME IN THEIR DIVISION AND FOR SPECIFIC ISSUES THAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DEAL WITH.

YOU KNOW, THAT'S -- FRANKLY, I LIKE TO SEE IT.

I WOULD LIKE -- MAY LIKE TO SEE TO PUT EXTRA FUND IN TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS THOSE NEED THAT COUNCIL MEMBERS BE -- WORKING WITH THEIR MAJORS, BE AS -- AS [INDISCERNIBLE] IN THE AREA.

I THINK THERE'S A -- A -- I DO SUPPORT POLICE BUT I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF DISCUSSION THAT NEED TO BE HAD TO TALK ABOUT HOW WE BEST USE THOSE.

EVEN IF WE TO PUT IT IN, HOPEFULLY OVERTIME.

FOR THAT REASON, I'LL BE -- I'LL BE A NO TONIGHT.

BUT NOT NECESSARILY A NO WHEN WE BRING IT BACK UP AGAIN.

HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS AND REALLY FLUSH IT OUT AND FIGURE OUT  
HOW WE WOULD USE THEM AND WHERE WE WOULD USE THOSE FUNDS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

>> I HAVE NOT HEARD FROM ANYONE THAT THEY HAVE A NEED OR WANT  
OR A PLAN FOR THESE FUND.

BUT I HAVE HEARD FROM -- FROM PEOPLE IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD BEGGING  
FOR FUNDS FOR THE LIBRARY.

BEGGING.

I ASKED FOR IT AGAIN IN THE MAYOR'S BUDGET.

I ASKED FOR IT TO BE IN THE ART FUND.

FROM THE TIME, THEY PRIORITIZE THE LIBRARY.

IT WASN'T.

I'M GRATEFUL TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR FINDING THE THOUSAND DOLLARS  
TO PUT IN THE BUDGET TO GET THE LIBRARY STARTED BUT THEY AREN'T  
BUILDING PRISONS BASED ON THE ABILITY IN THE THIRD GRADE.

WE WANT TO TEACH KIDS HOW TO READ.

THAT'S WHAT IS MOST.

NOT DOING THE SAME THING AND EXPECTING DIFFERENT RESULTS.

THIS COUNCIL CAN FIND THE WAY TO GIVE LMPD EVERY SINGLE THING  
THAT THEY ASK FOR.



JUST THROWING MONEY AT THEM, WITHOUT A REQUEST, WITHOUT A DEMONSTRATED NEED OR WITHOUT EACH PLAN OF ACTION AND HOPING THAT IT STICKS AND HOPING THAT IT REDUCES CRIME IS NOT SOMETHING THAT I WOULD BE INTERESTED AND ABLE TO SUPPORT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN GREEN.

COUNCILWOMAN ARMSTRONG.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

JUST A QUESTION FOR -- FOR THE CHAIR OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, I WAS CURIOUS IF THERE'S ANYTHING THAT WOULD PROHIBIT US USING THE OVERTIME DOLLARS THAT WE JUST APPROVED TO MEET WHATEVER OVERTIME NEED EXIST IN THE COMMUNITY AND THEN REPLENISHING ANY OVERTIME FUND WITH ARP DOLLARS DOWN THE ROAD AND THAT'S SOMETHING WE HEARD THERE WAS A NEED FOR AND WAS A MORE COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH THAT WE'RE HEARING ABOUT.

>> THE ANSWER IS NO.

THERE'S NOTHING THAT WOULD PREVENT THAT.

THAT'S A DOLLAR FIGURE THAT IS OUT THERE FOR THE ANNUAL BUDGET. IT DOES NOT SAY IT NEEDS TO BE SPENT A CERTAIN AMOUNT PER MONTH. IF THE LMPD RAN THROUGH THAT MONEY AND REQUESTED AN ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION, THAT CERTAINLY COULD HAPPEN.

I THINK THAT GETS TO COUNCILWOMAN GREEN'S POINT OR MAYBE SOMEONE ELSE'S POINT.

I'M SORRY.

THERE'S MONEY THAT IS AVAILABLE FOR OVERTIME TO THIS POINT OF  
9.6 MILLION DOLLARS STARTING IN JULY.

THERE'S NO RESTRICTIONS ON THE TIMING OF ITS USE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

PRESIDENT JAMES?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR THE LIVELY DISCUSSION.

I WANT TO ADDRESS A FEW THINGS.

RIGHT NOW WE'RE HAVING A CRIME PROBLEM.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT -- THAT EVERYBODY RECOGNIZES THAT.

WHETHER WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HOMICIDES OR SHOOTINGS OR  
CARJACKINGS, ROBBERIES, MUGGINGS OR ASSAULTS, WE HAVE A CRIME  
PROBLEM.

WE ARE 247 OFFICERS SHORT RIGHT NOW.

WE WILL BE ANOTHER 67 OFFICERS SHORT IN AUGUST.

WE DON'T HAVE THE OFFICERS TO EVEN STAFF A DIVISION SHIFT.

MANY OF US WILL GO TO SLEEP TONIGHT WITH THREE OR FOUR OFFICERS  
PATROLLING AN ENTIRE DIVISION.

THE CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, THEY'RE  
GREAT.

AND THEY'RE GOOD.

BUT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO WORK THIS SUMMER.

THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GET STARTED.

WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THE PEOPLE HIRED YET.

SO, THE ONLY OPTION THAT WE REALLY HAVE, RIGHT NOW, IMMEDIATELY IS TO PROVIDE POLICE ON THE STREET BY WAY OF OVERTIME BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE POLICE OFFICERS.

WHEN A PERSON CALLS BECAUSE SOMEONE IS SHOOTING AT THEIR HOUSE AND IT TAKES THREE HOURS TO GET THERE, WE GOT PROBLEMS.

SO TO ME, THIS IS NOT ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE POLICE BUDGET INCREASED OVER THE YEARS.

OF COURSE IT DID.

12 PERCENT EACH YEAR BECAUSE OF THE SYSTEM.

OR WHETHER OR NOT CRIME GOT WORSE BECAUSE WE IT OVERTIME AND INCREASED THE BUDGET.

WE HAD PISS POOR LEADERSHIP.

WHAT DID YOU THINK WOULD HAPPEN?

THE MAYOR SAID THERE'S NOT A CRIME PROBLEM.

WHAT DO YOU EXPECT?

HE DIDN'T ACKNOWLEDGE THERE WAS A GANG PROBLEM.

I WOULD SAY THAT WE HAVE -- WE HAVE A PROBLEM.

IF WE THINK AMBASSADORS ARE GOING TO STOP THAT PROBLEM, IT IS NOT.

BAD GUYS KNOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A POLICE OFFICER AND AMBASSADOR.

THEY DON'T REALLY CARE ABOUT AMBASSADORS.

I'M JUST SUGGESTING THAT IF YOU WANT TO HELP KEEP THE CITY SAFE

AND WE DON'T HAVE POLICE OFFICERS TO DO IT WITH, THE ONLY WAY WE  
CREATE POLICE OFFICERS IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE IS BY OVERTIME.  
THAT'S IT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> THANK YOU.

I THANK YOU.

>> VERY QUICKLY.

IT HAS BEEN MY EXPERIENCE THAT -- THAT WHEN WE WANT TO GET  
POLICE OFFICERS MONEY FOR OVERTIME, WE DO IT.

WE FIND THE -- WE FIND THE MONEY AND WE DO IT.

AND JUST TO REITERATE, WE ALL AGREE, WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH POLICE  
OFFICERS.

I FOR ONE AM ALL FOR PROGRAMS ASSISTANCE, RESOURCES AND SYSTEMS  
THAT HELP THE POLICE THAT WE DO HAVE.

FOR EXAMPLE, I HAVE BEEN SAVING IN MY DISTRICT WHERE I HAVE GUNS  
GOING OFF EVERY NIGHT.

THEY'RE SHOOTING WHEN THEY'RE NOT SHOOTING AT EACH OTHER.

I HAVE SHOTS FIRED.

THIS IS A SYSTEM THAT WORKS AND IT HELPS OUR POLICE PROJECT  
WHERE THE CRIMES TAKE PLACE.

WE GOT TO STOP THE VIOLENT CRIME AND GOT TO GET THE GUNS OFF THE  
STREETS.

SHOTS FIREWORKS.

AND WE TOOK IT OUT OF THE BUDGET, DRASTIC BUDGET CUTS ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO.

WE HAVE IT IN SOME AREAS BUT WE NEED IT IN MORE OF OUR AREAS.

THIS IS MONEY THAT CAN HELP THAT.

I'M TORN.

WE MIGHT WANT TO BE CAUTIOUS ON THIS ONE.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME, COLLEAGUES.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCILMAN TRIPLETT.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

THE NUMBER ONE RULE OF GOVERNMENT IS TO PROVIDE FOR THE SAFETY OF THE CITIZENS.

WE TRIED A BUNCH OF PROGRAMS.

WE TRIED SOCIAL PROGRAMS.

AND WHATEVER THAT WAS.

THAT HAS WORKED TO THIS POINT.

SEVERAL YEARS AGO, IN 2019, WE WERE ON A SLIPPERY SLOPE.

WE HAD CHIEF CONRAD AND TO TIGHTEN UP THE POLICIES AND DID THAT FOR THE NEXT DAY.

AND SELF-INITIATED ACTIVITY IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

IT BROUGHT [INDISCERNIBLE].

THE MEN AND WOMEN THERE WERE IN THE REPORT AND DIDN'T FEEL THEY HAD THE SUPPORT OF THE GOVERNMENT.

THEY HAD THE SUPPORT OF THE COUNCIL.

THEY STOPPED PUTTING CARS UP.

LOOK HOW MANY CARS YOU SEE IN CARJACKINGS THAT COULD BE USED IN OTHER CRIMES.

THAT'S WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU GO DOWN THE SLIPPERY SLOPE.

I AGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUES, POLICE OFFICERS SHOULDN'T BE GIVEN FREE REIN.

THEY SHOULD BE GIVEN THE FREEDOM TO DO WITH THEM TO AND THAT IS POLICE THIS COMMUNITY.

THAT STARTS WITH STOPPING CARS.

YOU STOP CARS FOR LEGAL REASONS.

YOU DON'T JUST DO IT RANDOMLY.

SEVERAL OF YOU SAID YOU HAVEN'T HEARD FROM THE CHIEF.

I HAVEN'T HEARD EITHER.

I TALKED TO CONSTITUENTS, I WANT TO SEE POLICE OFFICERS ON PATROL AND WORKING THE PART-TIME JOB AFTER SCHOOL.

THEY WANT TO KNOW THEY'RE SAFE AND THEY'RE SAFE IN THE NEIGHBORHOODS.

WE TALKED ABOUT TEACHING CHILDREN TO READ AT THEIR DESKS, CHILDREN WE NEED TO TEACH THEM TO READ.

WE HAVE A SCHOOL SYSTEM THAT FUNCTIONS ON A 1.8 BILLION DOLLAR BUDGET.

THE OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN IN THE SCHOOL SYSTEM, THERE'S ONLY ONE SEGMENT OF THIS COMMUNITY THAT BY LAW HAS THE AUTHORITY TO TAKE

LIBERTY AND EVEN USE FORCE AND THAT'S A POLICE OFFICER.

WE ASKED WAY TOO MUCH, WAY TOO MANY THINGS THAT ARE AT THE  
REALM.

THIS FUNDING, MY COLLEAGUES DON'T WANT IT, SEND IT TO MINE.

WE NEED THEM, AS SOMEONE MENTIONED, THIS IS ROLLING.

VERY VERY SHORT STAFF.

SINCE THIS IS OUR PRIMARY LOAN, THAT IS TO PROVIDE FOR THE  
SAFETY OF THE CITIZENS, IT JUST SEEMS TO ME LIKE IT WOULD BE OUR  
PRIMARY BUDGET.

WE HAVE OFFICERS THAT WILL GET JOBS ELSEWHERE.

THE REASON PEOPLE ARE NOT APPLYING, IT IS NOT THE  
[INDISCERNIBLE], IT IS THE LACK OF SUPPORT.

I HAVE THEM TELL ME THAT EVERY DAY.

WE HAVE TO SUPPORT AND WE NEED TO LET THEM KNOW WE EXPECT THEM  
TO POLICE THIS COMMUNITY AND WHEN THINGS GO WRONG WE WILL  
SUPPORT THEM AS LONG AS THE THING THAT WENT WRONG IS NOT  
INTENTIONAL.

IT WAS A MISTAKE OF THE MIND AND NOT THE HEART THAT WE HAVE TO  
SUPPORT THOSE PEOPLE FOR OR WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET ANYBODY TO  
APPLY FOR THE JOB.

WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO THEN?

THINK HOW PANICKED THEY'RE GOING TO GET WHEN IT IS  
[INDISCERNIBLE].

MY CITIZENS ARE [INDISCERNIBLE] IN THE SHOOTING.

THEY WANT THE VIOLENCE TO STOP.

THEY WANT TO BE SAFE.

THANK YOU.

>> WE GOT A COUPLE OF PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE.

>> WE GOT PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE.

>> I WITHDRAW THAT OUT OF RESPECT FOR MY COLLEAGUES.

>> COUNCILMAN FOX AND ARTHUR.

>> I WANT TO MAKE SURE I WASN'T CONFUSED.

HOLLAND, WE HAVE 9 MILLION IN OVERTIME ON THE BUDGET THAT WE  
VOTED ON, STARTING JULY 1 NEXT THURSDAY, LMPD CAN USE THAT IS  
THEY WISH.

IS THAT CORRECT?

>> YES.

>> IF WE WANTED TO ADD MORE THROUGH ARP FUNDS WE COULD DO  
THAT?

>> YES.

>> I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT, WE HEAR COMMENTS AS IF THE POLICE  
DON'T HAVE WHAT THEY NEED NOW.

THEY HAVE WAY MORE THAN THEY NEED, WAY MORE THAN ANY OTHER  
DEPARTMENT.

AND STARTING NEXT THURSDAY THEY HAVE OVER 9 MILLION IN OVERTIME  
THAT THEY CAN USE.

IF YOU THINK THEY BURNED THROUGH THAT TOO FAST, OKAY.

LET'S TALK ABOUT ADDING MORE.



THIS IS UNNECESSARY, AS I SAID.

THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M NOT GOING TO DISAGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUES EITHER PRO OR CON.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THEFT AND CAR JACKETS AND WE'RE TALKING

ABOUT -- ABOUT TRAFFIC CRIMES, NOT JUST VIOLENT CRIMES.

ASK YOURSELF THIS, HOW MANY POLICE OFFICERS HAVE YOU SEEN

PATROLLING THE INTERSTATE AND PATROLLING STATE ROADS?

I WAS DRIVING AROUND THE BRANCH LAST WEEK, AND SOME GUY STOPPED

IN FRONT OF ME AND DID A U TURN RIGHT IN FRONT OF ME.

I THINK PEOPLE DON'T RESPECT TRAFFIC LAWS BECAUSE THERE ARE NO

TRAFFIC COPS.

SO YOU KNOW, I HEAR ABOUT HOMICIDE RATE WHICH IS TERRIBLE.

WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH POLICE PRESENCE.

I HAVE CONSTITUENTS THAT ARE CALLING ME, THAT ARE TEXTING ME,

THEY'RE E-MAILING ME.

WHAT YOU GUYS GOING TO DO?

THIS IS REALLY REALLY REACHED -- REACHED EPIC PROPORTIONS.

SO I'M WITH PRESIDENT JAMES HERE.

DO WE -- WE GOT TO DO SOMETHING.

WE NEED MORE BOOTS ON THE GROUND.

WE NEED MORE -- WE NEED MORE PATROL.

AND IF THIS IS GOING TO GET MORE PEOPLE, MORE POLICE OFFICERS

OUT ON THE STREETS THEN I SAY GO FOR IT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILWOMAN FLOOD.

>> REALLY TORN ON THIS ISSUE BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND WE JUST PUT  
9 MILLION IN THE BUDGET FOR OVERTIME AND I KNOW THERE'S A  
CAPACITY ISSUE.

HOW MUCH OVERTIME CAN ONE -- OR 200 OFFICERS OR LESS -- HOW MUCH  
MORE CAN THEY PAY?

IT IS NOT A MATTER IF THE MONEY IS THERE OR NOT.

HOW MUCH CAN THEY PHYSICALLY PAY.

BUT THEN AGAIN, I'M -- I'M THE ONE THAT -- THAT COUNCIL MEMBER  
BLACKWELL THAT ALWAYS TOOK MY MONEY OUT AND PUT IT IN POLICE  
OVERTIME.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD, WE LOST YOU AGAIN.

FLOOD?

>> AND SEVEN BECAUSE.

YOU HAVE TROUBLE HEARING ME, I'LL KEEP MY MOUTH SHUT.

>> YOU'RE BACK NOW.

YOU'RE THINNING BUT I CAN HEAR YOU.

>> OKAY.

I DON'T KNOW WHERE.

>> WHEN YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THE NDF MONEY.

>> I THINK YOU MIGHT BE STUCK AGAIN.

I'M GOING TO JUMP OFF AND MAYBE COME BACK IF THAT'S OKAY.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIR PRO TEM.

SO LOOK, I WAS GETTING READY TO PUT ABOUT 10,000 DOLLARS IN PDF AND FROM NDF INTO POLICE OVERTIME BECAUSE ON THE ONE, I DON'T KNOW.

>> YOU'RE OKAY, TWO AHEAD.

>> I HAD THREE DEATHS OF YOUNG PEOPLE ON -- ON -- ON ATV AND -- THEY'RE -- THEY'RE -- I MEAN, THEY'RE RUNNING CRAZY BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT THERE'S NO HELP.

YOU KNOW, WE MET WITH -- WE MET WITH LMPD AND THEY WERE GOING TO -- TO AND THEY HAVE BEEN ACTUALLY USING HELICOPTERS TO TRACK THESE PEOPLE DOWN AND CONFISCATE.

THERE WAS ANOTHER DEATH JUST LAST WEEK OF A YOUNG MAN ON -- ON AN ATV.

THIS IS ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT I HAVE OUT HERE.

I GOT PEOPLE DRAG RACING IN PARKING LOTS.

I GOT DRAG RACING UP AND DOWN GREENBELTS AND DOWN THE DIXIE HIGHWAY.

I HAD SOMEONE PASS ME AND I WAS DOING THE SPEED LIMIT AND I KNOW THEY WERING TO 80 AND NOBODY IS WATCHING.

THERE'S NOBODY OUT THERE.

SO IF WE CAN GET MORE POLICE OUT THERE WE NEED IT.

SO -- SO BECAUSE THIS IS FOR COUNTY WIDE, CITY WIDE.

I'M A YES VOTE.

I WAS GOING TO CALL THE QUESTION, BUT GO AHEAD PRESIDENT JAMES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

I BEEN LISTENING TO THE DISCUSSION AND THE BACK AND FORTH.

I TRULY APPRECIATE IT.

JUST BECAUSE WE'RE DEBATING THIS DOESN'T MEAN I DON'T LOVE YOU.

I STILL LOVE YOU.

BUT I'M TRYING TO LISTEN TO WHAT I HEARD AND COME UP WITH  
SOMETHING IN THE MIDDLE.

I'M GOING TO OFFER A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO MY AMENDMENT.

THAT WOULD SAY THAT -- THAT WOULD SAY IN THIS ORDINANCE  
THAT -- THAT -- THAT WE WOULD AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR'S OFFICE TO  
SPEND AS MUCH OF THE OVERTIME THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN PASSED AND  
APPROVED IN OUR BUDGET AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE TO GET ENOUGH  
OFFICERS ON THE STREET TO TO THE THINGS THAT NEED TO BE DONE TO  
PROTECT OUR CITIZENS WITH THE PROVISION WE LOOK AT REIMBURSING  
THAT MONEY LATER TO THE ARP FUND.

DO I HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> OKAY.

ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AMENDMENT?

SO THEN -- THEN -- THEN, I HAVE A PROCEDURAL QUESTION.

FRIENDLY AMENDMENT.

>> TO WE VOTE ON THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT FIRST.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER.

>> ACKERSON GO AHEAD.

>> TWO THINGS I WANT TO SAY.

THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT IS A SECOND TIER AND HERE'S WHAT I  
RESPOND TO.

I RESPOND TO FOWLER IN THIS SENSE.

I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

IF WE HAD NO OVERTIME BUDGET MONEY, THEN WE WOULD SAY THAT WE  
NEED THIS.

WE HAVE 9 MILLION DOLLARS BUDGET FOR OVERTIME.

LET'S SEE WHERE WE ARE TWO OR THREE MONTHS FROM NOW.

WE SHOULD EXAMINE HOW MUCH OVERTIME WE SPENT, AND HOW MUCH WE'RE  
ABLE TO SPEND AND WHAT EFFECT IT WOULD HAVE, WE TAKE IT FROM 9  
MILLION TO -- TO 13 MILLION.

SO, WE DON'T REALLY HAVE A HOLE HERE.

WE HAVE LOTS OF OPPORTUNITY TO -- TO, IF 9 MILLION IS NOT  
ENOUGH, TO FILL THE GAP.

WE SHOULD BE -- WE SHOULD BE RESERVED AND CAUSE FOR PAUSE AND  
EXAMINE THE DATA AND DESTROYING THE DOLLARS WITHOUT  
UNDERSTANDING IT.

THE NEW AMENDMENT TO ME DOESN'T MAKE MUCH SENSE.

HERE'S THE REALITY.

I DON'T TRUST THE ADMINISTRATION.

IT STATES THAT -- THAT SPEND THIS OR THAT.

GUESS WHAT?

IT IS NINE MILLION.

I'LL GIVE THEM MORE IF THEY PROVE THEY NEED IT.

WE COULD PASS AMENDMENT ON THE BUDGET RIGHT NOW THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.

WE GIVE THEM 9 MILLION IN OVERTIME.

THEY NEED MORE, COME BACK AND EXPLAIN WHY.

THIS IS THE DATA.

I DON'T THINK -- PUTTING 9 MILLION DOLLARS ALREADY IN THE BUDGET, I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO MAKE A STATEMENT MORE THAN THAT.

THIS BODY HAS SAID WE'RE IN PUBLIC SAFETY.

WE'RE GOING TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC AND WE PUT THE DOLLARS THERE FOR IT.

WHY TO WE NEED TO TAKE IT FURTHER AT THIS MOMENT WHEN WE HAVE ALL OF THE ABILITY IN THE WORLD TO LATER TAKE IT FORWARD?

JUST COMMON SENSE.

I WAS A NO VOTE BEFORE AND I'M STILL A NO VOTE BECAUSE THEY GOT THE OVERTIME MONEY THEY NEED AND -- AND TWO MONTHS FROM NOW, THREE MONTHS FROM NOW, I'LL EXPLAIN HOW I USE IT, WHERE YOU SPENT IT, WHY YOU NEED MORE AND I'M OPEN TO GIVING YOU MORE, IF YOU GIVE ME PROPER DATA TO EXPLAIN WHY.

THANK YOU.

>> COULD SOMEONE EDUCATE ME, LMPD THEIR OVERTIME BUDGET, IT  
THEY SPEND ALL OF IT?

DID THEY SPEND ALL LAST YEAR?

>> YES.

>> OKAY.

HOW MUCH WAS THAT?

>> I THINK YOU GOT YES.

>> YOUR MIC IS ON.

>> I'M WONDERING BECAUSE WE TALKED, THAT'S NOT ENOUGH, I'M  
WONDERING WHY THAT DIDN'T COME UP DURING THE BUDGET HEARING WHEN  
WE HAD LMPD HERE.

>> I'LL TRY TO RESPOND IF YOU LIKE.

>> PLEASE.

ACTUALLY, IN PRIOR YEARS, 2019 TO 2020, THERE WAS 12 MILLION  
DOLLARS IN -- IN -- IN -- IN NONSCHEDULED OVERTIME.

I THINK THAT WAS -- I THINK THAT WAS IN LARGE PART DUE TO THE  
PROTESTS AND DUE TO -- TO -- TO OTHER ACTIVITIES. SO THEY DID  
SPEND MORE THAN WAS BUDGETED LAST YEAR.

THEY SOMETIMES SPENT MORE AND SOMETIMES SPENT LESS.

I WILL SAY, AND I APPRECIATE COUNCILMAN JAMES IS DOING.

IN MY VIEW IT IS NOT NECESSARY.

IF LMPD THINKS THEY NEED TO MOVE THROUGH OVERTIME, THEY CAN TO  
IT EFFICIENTLY AND FASTER THAN -- THAN WOULD -- THAN WOULD

SPREAD THE 9.6 MILLION DOLLARS OUT OF 12 MONTHS, THEY -- EXCUSE ME, THEY HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DO THAT UNDER THIS BUDGET.

AS I SAID, WE DO NOT SAY, YOU GOT 9.6 MILLION DOLLARS AND YOU CAN ONLY SPEND ONE 12TH EVERY MONTH.

THAT'S NOT WHAT THE BUDGET SAYS.

IT SAYS YOU FOR THE 9.1 MILLION DOLLARS AND YOU CAN SPEND IT.

TO ME, I DON'T THINK THIS IS NECESSARY TO ALLOW LMPD TO SPEND THE MONEY THAT IT HAS IN THE WAY THEY THINK IS EFFICIENT TO ADDRESS OUR CRIME ISSUES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER, MY APOLOGIES.

>> THAT'S OKAY.

THANK YOU.

>> YOU KNOW, THERE'S LOTS OF DATA.

I JUST -- I JUST -- I'VE GOT TWO GRANDMOTHERS THAT HAVE LOST THEIR GRANDCHILDREN BECAUSE -- BECAUSE OF THE -- OF THE -- OF THE -- JUST NONENFORCEMENT OF OUR LAWS.

NEEDLESSLY, ESPECIALLY THOSE IN THE DOWNTOWN URBAN DISTRICT.

THERE'S GRANDMOTHERS THAT ARE UPSET AND MISSING THEIR GRAND CHILDREN, LOTS OF MOTHERS.

WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH POLICE OFFICERS ON THE STREET.

I UNDERSTAND THERE'S NINE MILLION DOLLARS.

IS THAT -- IS THAT ANY WAY DEDICATED TO A CERTAIN AREA OR IS THAT JUST COUNTY WIDE AND HOW DO WE, IF IT IS COUNTY WIDE, IS



THERE ANYTHING IN THE ORDINANCE THAT GIVES THE DIRECTION  
TO -- TO HOW THE MONEY IS SPENT AND IN WHICH DISTRICTS, EVENLY?  
ANYBODY KNOW?

>> YOU'RE ASKING ABOUT THE OPERATING ORDINANCE THAT WE HAD?

>> YES.

>> IN THE GENERAL ORDINANCE, THERE'S NOTHING THAT SAYS THIS  
IS THE WAY YOU NEED TO SPEND THE NONSCHEDULED OVERTIME AND HOW  
IT SHOULD BE SPENT AND WHERE, JUST THE DOLLAR AMOUNT.

>> YOU KNOW, I GUESS I CAN HAVE THE NIDS MONEY BECAUSE THAT'S  
THE ONLY WAY I'M GOING TO GET THE POLICE TO HELP IN MY DISTRICT.  
I THINK THAT'S TRUE OF ALL.

PROBABLY CENTRAL AND SOUTHEAST, BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY IT IS.

WE ALL KNOW THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- THAT WE'RE NOT -- WE'RE NOT  
EXPERIENCING AS MUCH OF A PROBLEM AS SOME OTHER AREAS.

BUT IT DOESN'T MEAN IT IS ANY LESS IMPORTANT TO THE PEOPLE THAT  
LIVE HERE.

SO I'M STILL A YES VOTE ON THIS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER.

>> MR. PRESIDENT, CAN YOU CLARIFY WHAT WE'RE VOTING ON RIGHT  
NOW?

I GOT TO BE HONEST.

I'M NOT 100 PERCENT SURE.

>> WE'RE NOT VOTING ON ANYTHING.

>> NO SORRY.

>> WE'RE DISCUSSING.

>> I MEANT TO ADDRESS MY QUESTION TO PRESIDENT JAMES.

NOT PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

>> SORRY.

>> ALL RIGHT, I BELIEVE WHAT WE'RE VOTING ON IS WE WANT TO HAVE THE ADMINISTRATION SEND THE 9. WHATEVER THAT NUMBER WAS OF THE OVERTIME THAT IS ALLOTTED FOR OVERTIME TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE ENOUGH PRESENT BLAH BLAH BLAH WITH THE IDEA AND THOUGHT THAT WE WILL COME BACK AND REIMBURSE THAT AT A LATER TIME, BECAUSE THEY NEED MORE FUNDING TO -- TO CARRY OUT THE MISSION THAT THEY HAVE BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE ENOUGH PEOPLE TO DO THAT RIGHT.

>> DO WE KNOW, HAVE YOU HAD A CONVERSATION WITH -- WITH CHIEF SHIELDS ABOUT THIS PRIOR?

>> NO.

I HAVE NOT.

ABSOLUTELY NOT.

I DO KNOW FROM TALKING TO -- TO THE LEADERSHIP OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT -- THAT -- THAT -- THAT THERE ARE DIVISIONS THAT ARE GOING POORLY STAFFED AND THAT, THAT WE JUST DON'T HAVE THE PEOPLE TO RESPOND TO -- TO THE AREAS THAT WE NEED ON A REGULAR BASIS IN A TIMELY FASHION BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE PEOPLE.

>> THAT'S MY QUESTION.

MY QUESTION IS, DO WE -- LET'S LOOK FOR VOTES.

SHE HAS OVERTIME.

AND MY DIVISION IS ONE OF THEM.

MY DIVISION IS UNDERSTAFFED.

LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY, FOLKS.

SOMEBODY, COUNCIL MEMBER REED IS TALKING ABOUT MAKING LIGHT OF IT COMPARED TO OUR HOMICIDE RATE BUT THE MINUTES THAT LMPD DURING THE PANDEMIC WILL STOP PULLING OVER CARS, IN THE PERIOD OF TIME WHEN WE HAD THE LEAST TRAFFIC ON THE STREETS IN THE MODERN CITY, WE HAVE THE MOST VEHICULAR HOMICIDE IN THE HISTORY OF THE CITY.

SO ENFORCING TRAFFIC LAWS MATTERS AND HAS REALLY LIFE CONSEQUENCES INCLUDING -- UP TO AND INCLUDING DEATH.

WHAT I'M CONCERNED WITH, MR. PRESIDENT, IS SO I VOTE FOR THIS.

LET ME TAKE THIS TO THE ABSURD.

I SEE UNLIMITED AMOUNT OF OVERTIME AND WILL WANT TO STAFF THE DIVISION.

IF SHE OFFERED UNLIMITED OVER-TIME, TO YOUR POINT, IF THERE ARE NOT BODIES STILL IN OVERTIME, HAVE WE DONE ANYTHING BY PASSING?

>> ABSOLUTELY NOT.

IF WE DON'T HAVE BODIES TO FILL THE OVERTIME WE WON'T HAVE THE BODIES.

WE WON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO DO IT WITH.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

>> OKAY.

COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

I LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO FILE A QUESTION.

>> WE HAVE A NOTHING.

SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> ALL OF THOSE IN FAVOR.

>> AYE.

>> AYE.

>> ANY OPPOSED?

[APPLAUSE].

>> THE AYES HAVE IT, BEFORE US IS A VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT,  
MADAM CLERK.

>> SAY THAT AGAIN, I'M SORRY.

>> CAN YOU -- CAN YOU WAIT ONE MOMENT, PLEASE?

SIR.

I'M SORRY.

>> ALL RIGHT.

CHAIR.

MR. PRO TEM.

>> YES.

>> HOLLY AND THE CLERK POINTED OUT THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY

TALKING ABOUT THE BUDGET THAT WE ALREADY PASSED WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE AMENDMENT THAT I JUST MADE.

BUT WHAT IS ACTUALLY ON THE TABLE IS THE RFP MONEY.

SO WE WOULD EITHER NEED TO -- NEED TO TALK ABOUT SPENDING THE RP MONEY OR MOTION TO RECONSIDER ON THE OPERATING BUDGET.

>> MAY I ASK A QUESTION?

>> YES, SIR.

>> OR ARE YOU ABLE TO MAKE YOUR AMENDMENT AS -- AS -- AS NOT AN OFFER BUT AS A STATEMENT?

WOULD THAT BE --

>> IT IS -- IT IS THE DOCUMENT THAT IT WOULD BE ATTACHED TO IS NOT ON THE TABLE RIGHT NOW.

>> SO MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM, MY SUGGESTION AT THIS POINT MAYBE I WITHDRAW MY MOTION AS IT RELATES TO THE ARP FUNDING AND THEN -- THEN I MAKE A MOTION TO RECALL THE [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> SECOND.

>> OKAY.

>> POINT OF ORDER.

>> POINT OF ORDER.

>> WE HAVE AN ORDINANCE IN FRONT OF US.

>> SO THIS WOULD BE -- WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON THE ARP ORDINANCE AND THEN RECONSIDER AT THIS POINT.

>> CORRECT.

CORRECT.

>> BUT FIRST IS THE WITHDRAWAL, THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE MOTION.  
RIGHT?

IF HE'S WITHDRAWING HIS OWN MOTION AND -- AND SECONDED.

>> I SECOND THAT.

NO.

>> WE DON'T HAVE TO WITHDRAW THE SECOND.

WE'RE FINE.

>> OKAY.

SO THE AMENDMENT IS WITHDRAWN.

WE GOT THE ORIGINAL ARP AMENDMENT BEFORE US.

ANY COMMENTARY ON THAT?

WE'LL VOTE ON THAT.

>> MR. PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

I'M IN THE QUEUE.

>> I'M SORRY.

GO AHEAD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> I'VE -- I'VE LISTENED CAREFULLY TO THE COMMENTS OF THE  
COLLEAGUES AND APPRECIATE HOW WELL THIS COUNCIL RECOGNIZES AND  
UNDERSTAND THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE BEFORE US WITH THE ARP  
MONEY THAT IS COMING LOUISVILLE'S WAY.

WHEN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE SENT THIS OVER, WE UNDERSTOOD THAT  
WOULDN'T BE SPENT IN THE FIRST 1 HYUNDAI, BUT IT WOULD BE ITEMS  
THAT NEEDED TO BE WOULD BE TAKEN CARE OF.

JUST SINCE THE BUDGET MEETING, MORE INFORMATION THAT COME OUT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

WE'RE FINDING OUTS SOME MONEY THAT WE THOUGHT HAD TO BE DONE IN THE FIRST DAYS ACTUALLY DOESN'T.

AS A MATTER OF FACT TODAY, EARLIER THIS WEEK.

THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ANNOUNCED THERE WOULD BE 763 MILLION DOLLARS COMING TO KENTUCKY, SPECIFICALLY FOR CHILDCARE.

IN THE BUDGET TONIGHT, THERE'S 2.5 MILLION DOLLARS GIVEN THROUGH METRO UNITED WAY.

THE WAY THIS MONEY GIVEN TO THE CITY WILL BE DIVIDE.

YOU COULD FIGURE LOUISVILLE WILL GET 2.5 MILLION OF THAT.

THEY HAD IT IN DIFFERENT BUCKETS AND ONE IS TO DO OVERTIME AND TO THE THINGS THAT THE UNITED WAY FUNDS ARE SUPPOSED TO DO.

IT ALSO ALLOWS FOR INDIVIDUAL FAMILIES TO CLICK IN AND TAKE ADVANTAGE OF SOME OF THESE MONIES.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THAT -- THAT PROCESS IS ALREADY SET UP.

IF YOU WERE TO GO A LOOK AT WEBSITE TODAY, FAMILIES CAN APPLY FOR THE CHILDCARE MONEY.

MY PROPOSAL IS, AND I APPRECIATE, OUR HOPE IS TO HANG ON TO AS MUCH OF THE MONEY AS WE CAN.

WE CAN ESTABLISH AND MOVE FORWARD.

IT IS NOT NECESSARY, THESE ARE DOLLARS THAT WE CAN RESERVE FOR THE COUNCIL ONCE WE ESTABLISHED PRIORITY TO DO THOSE THINGS WE'VE BEEN TALKING.

I'M GOING TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE WITHHOLD 1.5 MILLION FROM THE METRO UNITED WAY FUND THAT IS IN THIS ORDINANCE AND THAT WE WITHHOLD THE 1.75 MILLION THAT IS THROUGH THE HEALTH AT THE POINT FOR CHILDCARE.

THAT WOULD BE IN THE ARP FUND FOR US TO DISTRICT GOING FORWARD.

>> SECOND.

>> THANK YOU.

>> OKAY.

COUNCILMAN, I MISSED YOU IN THE QUEUE.

YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO THIS AMENDMENT?

>> NO, SIR.

THANK YOU.

>> COULD I COME BACK TO YOU AFTER THIS AMENDMENT?

>> THE MOMENT HAS PASSED.

THANK YOU.

>> I'M SORRY.

COUNCILWOMAN PURVIS, WHERE ARE YOU ON THIS?

>> I DON'T KNOW WHERE I AM.

WE'RE ALL OVER THE BOARD.

WE'RE CONFUSED AND I DON'T THINK I'M THE ONLY ONE CONFUSED.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT REDUCING AMOUNTS THAT WAS GOING TO BE GIVE ATTORNEY OTHER CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS.

HOW DID WE GET HERE?

>> UNDERLYING ARP.



>> THIS IS BECOMING VERY REDUNDANT.

I KNOW THAT THOSE THAT ARE WATCHING THIS, THEY'RE VERY CONFUSED.

I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW WE GOT TO WHERE WE ARE NOW.

BUT WE NEED TO -- WE NEED TO -- TO MOVE ON.

BECAUSE THIS IS GETTING A LITTLE OUT OF CONTROL.

WE LOST HIM.

PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

I JUST DON'T KNOW WHERE WE ARE.

IF SOMEONE COULD CLARIFY WHERE WE ARE AT THIS MOMENT, PLEASE.

>> WE'RE ON THE ORDINANCE.

IT WAS ORIGINALLY A SEGMENT THAT WAS WITHDRAWN AND THERE'S A  
DIFFERENT AMENDMENT TO A DIFFERENT PART OF THE ORDINANCE.

KRAMER MADE A MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE CHILD CARE MONEY FROM THE  
AMENDMENT.

>> I JUST DON'T KNOW WHY THIS HAD TO BE DONE NOW WHEN WE HAD  
OUR FOCUS ON PRESIDENT JAMES' OVERTIME AMENDMENT.

I MEAN THAT'S MORE CONFUSION IN THE PICTURE.

ANYWAY, YOU UNDERSTAND IT, I'LL UNDERSTAND IT.

>> THE PRESIDENT WITHDREW HIS AMENDMENT.

IT IS GONE.

>> COUNCILMAN PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU.

LET ME REIN THIS IN AND PROVIDE CLARITY.

I AGREE THAT WAS A LITTLE CONFUSING.

SO LET'S START -- START EARLIER IN THE GAME.

THERE IS AN ORDINANCE ON THE 30 -- LITTLE OVER 30 MILLION DOLLARS OF ARP MONEY.

THERE'S A SCHEDULE IN THERE THAT HAS EXPENDITURES.

LET'S PUT ASIDE ANY AND ALL CONVERSATION THAT WE HAD ABOUT OVERTIME.

IT IS COMPLETELY WITHDRAWN.

WE STILL HAVE THE 30 MILLION DOLLAR PLUS ARP EXPENDITURE AND A SCHEDULE ON HOW TO SPEND IT IN YOUR SYSTEM RIGHT NOW.

THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DEBATING.

I'M REFERRING TO THE CAREER JOURNAL, ARTICLE FROM -- FROM DEBRA YETTER AND THE JOURNAL REPORTED, WHAT IS TODAY?

THIS IS THE 24TH.

3:32 P.M. TODAY.

THE REASON THAT -- FOR ALL OF COUNCIL MEMBERS, THE REASON THAT -- THAT COUNCILMAN KRAMER IS BRINGING IT UP AT SUCH A LATE DATE AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP HAD THIS INFORMATION BEEN KNOWN BUT THIS IS THAT QUICKLY, AND RAPIDLY DEVELOPING THAT IT DOES NECESSITATE US TO DISCUSS THIS NOW.

THERE'S MONEY IN OUR CURRENT -- IN OUR -- ON THE TABLE TO ASSIST WITH CHILDCARE.

BECAUSE CHILDCARE HAS BEEN A HUGE ISSUE AND PEOPLE TRYING TO GET BACK IN THE WORKFORCE.

THAT'S FOR TWO REASONS.

FOR TWO REASONS. ONE IS THAT CHILDCARE OPERATORS COSTS HAVE GONE SIGNIFICANTLY UP.

AGAIN MULTIPLE REASONS.

EVERY COMPANY IN THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE AND THE COUNTRY IS DEALING WITH.

THE OTHER IS THERE WERE REGULATIONS THAT HAMPERED THEIR ABILITY TO STAFF PROPERLY AND GET THE REVENUE THEY WOULD HAVE NORMALLY GOTTEN.

THE CAPACITY FOR CHILDCARE AND THE THE AVAILABILITY IS WAY DOWN. RIGHT?

NUMBER TWO THERE'S PEOPLE, ABILITY.

WELL THIS IS JUST ANNOUNCED.

I'M GOING TO QUOTE THIS.

PICK DIFFERENT QUOTES FROM THIS ARTICLE.

HEADLINE, KENTUCKY TO DEATH, 763 MILLION TO HELP CHILDCARE SYSTEMS BATTERED BY COVID-19.

TO PUT THAT IN PERSPECTIVE, JUST CHILDCARE IN THE STATE OF KENTUCKY IS GETTING OVER TWO TIMES WHAT WE'RE GETTING IN TOTAL ARP MONEY, JUST FOR CHILDCARE.

IF LOUISVILLE WHICH REPRESENTS 25 PERCENT OF THE POPULATION GETS ANYWHERE NEAR 25 PERCENT OF THIS MONEY, DEDICATED FOR CHILDCARE, THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE WILL GET -- MATH, 200 MILLION DOLLARS OR SO JUST ON CHILD CARE TO HELP THE CHILDCARE INDUSTRY.

THERE'S TWO, ONE IS THERE ARE GRANTS GOING TO CHILDCARE AGENCIES

AND ANOTHER PART THAT -- WHICH IS AUGMENTED BENEFITS WITH INDIVIDUALS TO GET -- TO GET -- LITERALLY TO HELP PAY FOR CHILDCARE.

AND THAT -- THAT CAPABILITY IS AVAILABLE TODAY IN THE ARTICLE IT ACTUALLY LINKED TO THE KENTUCKY BENEFITS SYSTEM AND I THINK IT IS -- I THINK IT IS CONNECT DOT KY.COM.

AND AN INDIVIDUAL COULD TWO TO AND APPLY FOR BENEFITS RELATED. WHAT KRAMER IS SAYING, I TOTALLY SUPPORT.

JUST LIKE, LOOK SOME OF THE AIM ARGUMENTS WERE IN OVERTIME. THIS IS WHERE WE SPENT, WHY WE PUT MONEY IN OVERTIME.

WE HAVE BUDGETS.

THERE'S 700 SOME ODD MILLION DOLLARS GOING INTO THE INDUSTRY AND GOING INTO PEOPLE TO PAY FOR THIS OUT OF EXISTING FEDERAL ARP MONEY.

IT IS REDUNDANT AND UNNECESSARY TO KEEP THE THREE MILLION IN THE PROGRAMS WHEN WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DEBATE THEM AND USE THEM FOR SOMETHING ELSE.

I THINK IT IS ABSOLUTELY THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

IT WOULD BE US THROWING MONEY THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WOULD THROW AT -- THROW AT A MAGNITUDE.

THREE MILLION IS A DROP IN THE BUCKET, COMPARED TO THREE MILLIONISH AND THE FUNDING SOURCE AND THE FED AND THE STATE.

SO IT IS UNNECESSARY TO DO THAT.

MEAN WHILE THAT 3 MILLION DOLLARS THAT GOES TO OUR PROCESS, WE

COULD FIND OTHER, SOME COUNCIL MEMBERS HAVE USED THE WORD OLD.

OTHER PROGRAMS, BOLD AND OTHERWISE TO FUND.

I SUPPORT THIS AMENDMENT.

I THINK IT IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

I THINK IT WOULD BE -- IT IS REDUNDANT AND NOT NECESSARY TO PUT  
3 MILLION IN SOMETHING AND THE STATE HAS PUT 700-PLUS IN.

AND IT IS AVAILABLE RIGHT NOW.

IT IS NOT GOING TO BE AVAILABLE FOR MONTHS.

YOU CAN CORRECT ME.

>> GOT THE ARTICLE.

>> I WANT -- I WANT TO MAKE THE CHAMBERS AWARE THERE'S MICS  
THAT ARE ON.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MAYBE THE CLERK'S MIC WAS ON AND JENNY, YOUR MIC WAS ON.  
WE'RE GETTING ADDITIONAL VOICES UNDERNEATH.

SO.

COUNCILMAN HOLLANDER?

>> SO THAT THE -- THAT THE DOCUMENT WHICH IS A CAREER  
JOURNAL ARTICLE SAYS THIS WILL COME TO THE STATE FOR USE OVER  
THREE YEARS.

IT DOES NOT SAY -- SAY THE DOLLARS ARE AVAILABLE TODAY FOR  
CHILDCARE CENTERS IN THE STATE.

IT DOES NOT SAY THAT.

SO -- YOU KNOW, THIS IS MONEY THAT IS APPROPRIATED TO OUR HEALTH

DEPARTMENT.

AND THROUGH RCS AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

THEY'RE NOT GOING TO WASTE DOLLARS IF THERE'S MONEY SITTING AND IT IS AVAILABLE FROM THE STATE, DO THE SAME THING THAT IS PROPOSED, THEY WON'T SPEND THE MONEY.

WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION.

WHATEVER IS NOT USED, WILL COME BACK AND WE REAPPROPRIATE AT SOME POINT.

I AGREE WITH PIAGENTINI, WE GOT PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT GOING TO WORK WHO ARE NOT GOING TO WORK BECAUSE OF THEIR FEAR OF CHILDCARE.

THIS IS EXTRAORDINARY IMPORTANT.

WE ARE NOT WASTING THIS MONEY TO TAKE MONEY OUT WITHOUT TALKING TO RCS AND MOYER BECAUSE WE READ A COURIER JOURNAL ARTICLE IS INSANE.

IT IS INSANE.

WE'RE NOT WASTING THE MONEY.

WE ARE APPROPRIATING IT TO OUR HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

IF -- IF WE DON'T NEED MONEY FOR VACCINES, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WON'T SPEND IT.

WE'RE NOT WASTING MONEY APPROPRIATING THIS.

WE'RE SAYING THIS MONEY IS AVAILABLE IF IT IS NEEDED TO TO GET PEOPLE BACK TO WORK.

I DON'T SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

I'M GOING TO LET PIAGENTINI RESPOND AND THEN I'M GOING TO  
SUGGEST, WE'RE LIKE FOUR HOURS IN THE MEETING AND WE TAKE A  
QUICK FIVE MINUTE BATHROOM RECESS AND COME BACK.

IF THAT'S OKAY.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI?

>> WRONG BUTTON.

SO, SO AGAIN, IT -- IT IS AVAILABLE RIGHT NOW, BOTTOM OF THE  
ARTICLE.

AND CHILDCARE SYSTEMS AND WEBSITES AND CONNECT TO AND TWO IN THE  
PROGRAM AND THE OVERVIEW FOR RECIPIENTS TO PAY FOR CHILDCARE.

IT CURRENTLY EXISTS.

IT IS DONE.

IT ALL CURRENTLY EXISTS.

THEY CAN BE ON IT.

I ASKED TO SEE IF I PUT IN A VARIETY OF VARIABLES AND IT  
PREQUALIFIED.

I WAS NOT IN THE LIVE SYSTEM.

THIS CURRENTLY EXISTS.

AGAIN, TO SAY THEY'RE NOT GOING TO WASTE MONEY, THERE'S COUNCIL  
MEMBERS ON THE COUNCIL.

I HAVE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

I WORK WITH THEM WITH A LOT OF THINGS.

I DO A GREAT JOB OVERALL.

WE HAD THEM IN FRONT OF THIS COUNCIL AND MULTIPLE COUNCIL MEMBERS IN A BIPARTISAN WAY HAVE QUESTIONED THEIR SPENDING QUESTIONED THEM.

THERE HAVE BEEN INDIVIDUAL TIMES WHEN THEY USED MONEY THAT WAS USED IN AN INDIVIDUAL WAY.

IT IS NOT ABSURD TO SAY THAT THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, NOT IN IN THEIR TOTALITY AND THEY'RE DOING GOOD THINGS. JUST INDIVIDUAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

THAT WEBSITE IS LIVE TODAY AND INDIVIDUALS GET BENEFITS.

THE STRUCTURE RELATED TO AVAILING THE INDUSTRY IS STILL BEING DEVELOPED.

>> MAY I RESPOND PLEASE?

>> YES.

>> THE MONEY WE'RE APPROPRIATING IS NOT INDIVIDUALS TO SUBSIDIZE THEIR HEALTHCARE, THAT'S AVAILABLE TODAY.

IT IS TO DO EXACTLY WHAT YOU SAID WAS NOT AVAILABLE YESTERDAY.

IT IS FOR PEOPLE FEELING COMFORTABLE GOING BACK TO WORK.

THAT'S WHAT THIS MONEY IS.

THIS IS NOT INDIVIDUAL MONEY FOR CHILDCARE PROVIDERS.

WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS AVAILABLE DOESN'T HAVE TO DO WITH WHAT YOU'RE PROPOSING.

I ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTS OF THE DAYCARE CENTERS.

THEY TWO THROUGH SIX PAGES.



IF WE WANT TO SAY TO THEM, WE HAD MONEY TO HELP YOU REOPEN BUT WE READ AN ARTICLE THAT CAME OUT OF 343, WE DIDN'T TALK TO ANYBODY OR DR. SARAH MOYER WE READ AN ARTICLE WE DON'T NEED THAT MONEY.

IF YOU WANT TO VOTE FOR THAT, VOTE FOR THIS AMENDMENT.

>> OKAY.

>> I'M GOING TO ASK AT 24 AFTER, WE TAKE SIX MINUTES, STRETCH YOUR LEGS, GO TO THE BATHROOM.

WE RECONVENE AT HALF PAST.

>> I DON'T SEE THEM ON MY SLIDE.

>> SHE'S NEXT THEN COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR AND THEN YOU.

>> MY DEVICE IS [INDISCERNIBLE].

THAT'S ALL I'M SAYING.

IT DOESN'T SHOW THAT AT ALL.

>> OKAY.

[BREAK TAKEN].

>> WE'RE BACK IN SESSION AFTER A BRIEF RECESS.

MADAM CLERK, PLEASE TAKE NOTE THAT I'M BACK IN THE CHAIR

AND -- AND COUNCIL MEMBER WINKLER DID A FANTASTIC JOB.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANT TO START OFF BY SAYING THAT I'M NOT SURPRISED PIAGENTINI WAS UNABLE TO USE THE WEBSITE BECAUSE HE WENT TO THE CHILDCARE

ASSISTANCE WEBSITE AND THAT'S A PROGRAM THAT HAS BEEN IN  
EXISTENCE SINCE 1996 WHEN WE IT WELFARE REFORM.

HE WENT TO THE RECAP PROGRAM FOR PEOPLE THAT WERE IN SCHOOL OR  
WORKING TO APPLY FOR AFFORDABLE CHILDCARE.

IT IS ALSO WHERE THE FEDERAL DOLLARS GO TO, IF YOU READ THE  
CAREER JOURNAL ARTICLE.

WHAT FEDERAL DOLLARS GO TO IS TO SUPPORT AND STABILIZE WAGES FOR  
CHILDCARE CENTERS BECAUSE WE KNOW CHILDCARE CENTERS ARE HAVING  
TROUBLE PAYING A LIVING WAGE.

DISPROPORTIONATELY IT IS BLACK WOMEN WHO DESERVE TO BE PAID A  
LIVING WAGE.

THIS IS A GREAT THING THAT WE HAVE MONEY TO SUPPORT THE  
INDUSTRY.

IT IS DISPROPORTIONATELY BLACK WOMEN.

IT IS NOT THE SAME THING THAT WE WERE GOING TO USE OUR DOLLARS  
FOR.

OUR DOLLARS WOULD PROVIDE CLEANING SUPPLIES.

AS SOMEONE HAS THREE YOUNG CHILDREN IN DAYCARE.

AS TO WHY THIS IS EMERGENCY.

DURING COVID, WE SEEN RECORD NUMBERS OF PARENTS, PARTICULARLY  
WOMEN AND MY AGE LEAVE THE WORKFORCE BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOT BEEN  
ABLE TO CARE FOR THEIR CHILDREN AND DO A JOB THAT IS IN A  
SITUATION THAT IS NOT CONDUCIVE TO THEM.

IT IS DIFFICULT TO TRANSITION BACK IN.

EVERY TIME YOU LEAVE THE TYPICAL EMPLOYMENT, YOU HAVE TO UPDATE YOUR RESUME AND DO THINGS LIKE DUST OUT YOUR WORK CLOTHES AND THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF CHALLENGE TO FINDING EMPLOYMENT AGAIN. WHAT YOU HAVE TO HAVE HAPPEN, YOU GOT TO HAVE AFFORDABLE CHILD CARE.

WE KNOW THIS IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST CRISES IN OUR ECONOMY.

I FIND IT -- I FIND IT PREPOSTEROUS THAT WE'RE ACTING AS THOUGH THIS IS AN EMERGENCY THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED QUICKLY.

IF WE WANT JS TO OPEN FULL-TIME, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE OUR CHILDREN HAVE CHILDCARE SO OUR CHILDREN CAN GO TO AN AFFORDABLE QUALITY CHILDCARE CENTER.

WE DIDN'T HAVE QUALITY CENTERS BEFORE THE PANDEMIC AND WE LOST 15 PERCENT.

THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE PUTTING EVERY DOLLAR THAT OUR CITY CAN AFFORD IN THIS CRISIS.

WE'RE NOT DOING ENOUGH TO SUPPORT OUR FAMILIES.

FOR ALL OF THOSE REASONS I'LL BE A VIGOROUS NO ON THIS AMENDMENT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

ARTHUR.

>> NO FOR ME.

THIS IS A SLIPPERY SLOPE, IF WE MICRO MANAGE WHO HAS DOUBLE AND TRIPLED AND DIPPING IN FEDERAL FUND, I WANT TO POINT OUT

SOMETHING.

WE HAD A PRESS RELEASE THAT PONIED OUT THE FACT THAT WE GET  
ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR TESTING AND TRACING AND CHILDCARE RENT,  
AND HEALTH CLINICS AND PERFORMANCE VENUES AND UNEMPLOYMENT  
BENEFITS.

TO SIT HERE AND HAVE A CONVERSATION AND NITPICK AT WHAT WE  
SHOULD PULL OUT AND FEDERAL FUNDING ELSEWHERE, WE'RE THOUGHT  
HAVING CONVERSATION ABOUT SMALL CITIES.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ARTICLES, WCRB ARTICLES, THE DAY  
THAT -- THAT THE RULES WERE ANNOUNCED.

THE DAYS THE FUNDING WAS ANNOUNCED.

MAY 11TH POINTED OUT THAT JEFFERSON COUNTY WILL RECEIVE A SHARE  
OF THE FEDERAL FUND BASED ON THE POPULATION EXPECTED TO GET 27  
MILLION.

SO I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A CONVERSATION  
ABOUT -- ABOUT SMALL CITIES WHERE COUNCIL DISTRICTS.

WHY ARE WE PICKING OUT CHILDCARE.

THIS IS A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT SCOPE OF PAYMENT AND WORK THAN  
THE FEDERAL FUNDING THAT IS GOING ELSEWHERE.

IT IS A NO FOR ME AND I HOPE AND ENCOURAGE EVERYONE ELSE TO VOTE  
NO.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER ACKERSON.

>> THANK YOU, FIRST OF ALL WHY ARE WE STARTING W G RB AND THE  
PURGE AND EVERY OTHER NEWS SOURCE.

IT IS BULLSHIT.

WHY ARE WE CITING THAT SOMETHING FROM THE LIBRARY OR LEGITIMATE.  
LET'S GET OFF THAT TRAIN.

LIKE THE PREVIOUS CONVERSATION, WE HAVE 9 MILLION DOLLARS AND WE  
ADD TO THE 4 MILLION, THAT'S NOT THE CASE HERE.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CHILDCARE, AND THE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT  
IS BEING THROWN AT THIS SPENDING PROJECT THAT WE'LL CALL IT,  
OVERALL.

A MINUTE AMOUNT.

I DON'T GET IT.

A LOT OF TIMES PEOPLE CALL ME MR. NO.

THE OBSTRUCTIONIST.

NOT THIS TIME.

WHY AREN'T WE ALLOCATING THE MEASLY AMOUNT OF MONEY TO THE  
IMMEDIATE NEED TO TAKE CARE OF CHILDCARE, TO GET PEOPLE BACK TO  
WORK.

YOU KNOW, THIS WHOLE THING BAFFLES ME.

IT IS THE MINUTE AMOUNT OF CHILDCARE TO GET PEOPLE BACK TO WORK.

I DON'T CARE WHAT THE COURIER OR ANYONE ELSE SAYS, UNLIKE WHAT  
WE TALKED ABOUT, THIS IS A SMALL AMOUNT.

WHY ARE WE, GET A HEART PEOPLE.

WHEN PEOPLE SAY GET A HEART, YOU GOT A PROBLEM BECAUSE I'M THE  
ASS HOLE IN THE ROOM.

PLAIN AND SIMPLE.

THIS IS A SERIOUS SITUATION.

ONE NOT BEEN ADDRESSED.

CHILDCARE WE TALKED ABOUT FOR YEARS, WE NEED THE WORKING MOTHERS, AND SINGLE PARENT MOTHERS AND CHILDCARE TO GET BACK IN THE GAME.

THIS IS A NO-BRAINER.

KRAMER, I'M SORRY, A LOT OF TIMES I AGREE WITH YOU BUT THE CHECKS AND BALANCE BUT THIS IS NOT ONE OF THOSE.

PLEASE VOTE THIS AMENDMENT DOWN.

LET'S GET BACK TO BUSINESS.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

>> I -- I -- I -- I -- I'M NOT SURE WHERE TO BEGIN.

JUST A COUPLE OF POINTS AND THEN I THINK WE NEED TO MOVE ON.

I THINK IT IS INTERESTING THAT IT IS INSANE TO LISTEN TO THE COURIER JOURNAL AND I DON'T MEAN TO OFFEND THEM.

GOOGLE SEARCH, THIS IS COMING FROM THE FED TO THE STATE FOR CHILD CARE.

TO -- TO SUGGEST OR PRETEND THAT THIS IS SOMEHOW INSANITY BECAUSE THE COURIER IS REPORTING AT -- YOU KNOW, FOLKS, WE STILL HAVE A FREE PRESS.

AND I -- WHILE I AGREE, WE SHOULDN'T ACCEPT ANYTHING YOU AGREE ON ANY NEWS CHANNEL OR CHANNEL WHATSOEVER, WHEN YOU HAVE

MULTIPLE RESOURCES REPRESENTING THE SAME THING, STAY.

I DON'T THINK IT IS INSANITY TO RESPOND TO WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE NOW.

I ALSO THINK IT IS INTERESTING THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SUBURBAN CITIES WHEN THERE'S NOTHING IN THE 39 MILLION DOLLARS THAT REFERS TO IT.

THEY DON'T TALK ABOUT WITHHOLDING IT.

I -- I DON'T CONSIDER IT IN ANY WAY INSANE TO -- TO -- TO RESPOND PRECISELY TO WHAT MY COLLEAGUES HAVE SAID, WANTING MORE INPUT, HAVING MORE -- HAVING MORE SAY OVER HOW THE ARP DOLLARS ARE BEING SPENT.

WHEN WE FIND OUT AND I'M NOT POINTING BLAME AT ANYBODY.

I'M NOT SAYING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT MESSED UP OR METRO CHEATED US.

I'M NOT MAKING ACCUSATIONS AGAINST ANYBODY.

I'M SAYING WHEN THE INFORMATION CAME TO US, WHEN THIS WAS A NEED, WE WERE TOTALLY UNAWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS GOING TO PUSH 362 MILLION DOLLARS TO THE CITY OF KENTUCKY AND A GOOD CLUNK WOULD COME TO LOUISVILLE.

IT COMES THE WAY IT HAS AND IT IS PAST CARES MONEY AND WE WOULD HAVE DISCRETION OVER THAT.

WE WOULD BE ABLE TO DECIDE ON THAT AND REIMBURSE ALL OF THOSE THINGS THAT COUNCIL MEMBER ARMSTRONG TALKED ABOUT.

I DON'T KNOW WHY THAT -- I DON'T KNOW WHY THIS IS ME TAKING A

POSITION AGAINST WOMEN OR AGAINST AFRICAN-AMERICAN WOMEN,  
AGAINST FOLKS THAT ARE WORKING.

IT IS -- IT IS -- IN MY DEFINITION AND MY DEFINITION OF  
INSANITY, IT IS INSANE TO THROW MORE MONEY AND MORE MONEY AND  
MORE MONEY AT PROGRAMS WITHOUT STOPPING TO ASK THE QUESTION, IS  
THIS THE BEST USE?

I GET IT.

I -- I UNDERSTAND THAT MY COLLEAGUES FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT THIS.  
THIS WAS PASS THROUGH MONEY.

IT IS 38 MILLION DOLLARS THAT THE MAYOR PROPOSED OUT OF A 388  
MILLION DOLLAR APPORTIONMENT.

WE'LL HAVE CONTROL OVER THE ADDITIONS OF REMAINING NEAR 350  
MILLION DOLLARS.

I DID NOT INTEND FOR THIS TO -- TO RAISE QUITE THE LEVEL OF  
ANGST THAT IT SEEMS TO HAVE RAISED.

I'M GOING TO WITHDRAW MY MOTION AND MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

THREE OTHER PEOPLE IN THE QUEUE BECAUSE KRAMER HAS WITHDRAWN HIS  
MOTION.

COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS AND HOLLANDER, TO YOU STILL WISH TO SPEAK?

>> A SECOND?

>> NO, SIR I'M FINE.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK AND I APPRECIATE KRAMER FOR DOING



THIS.

I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT I SAY THIS.

METRO UNITED WAY HAS REACHED OUT TO ME AND SAID THIS IS  
NOT -- NOT NEWLY APPROPRIATED MONEY.

THEY HAVE BEEN IN REGULAR COMMUNICATION WITH THE STATE  
TELEVISION OF CHILDCARE AND HAVE BEEN THROUGHOUT THE PANDEMIC.  
WE HAVE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED OUR CHILDCARE STRATEGIES FOR GAPS  
THAT THE STATE FUNDING WILL LEAVE AND DEDICATE RESOURCES TO OUR  
UNIQUE URBAN CHILDCARE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

GEORGE?

THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT.

WE STILL HAVE THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE BEFORE US.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> BLACKWELL, I'M SORRY, YOUR NAME?

DID YOU WISH TO SPEAK ALSO?

>> NO, SIR I WITHDRAW.

>> HEARING NONE, ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

23 YES VOTES.

>> PASSES.

READING OF ITEM 59.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT FUNDING IN THE FORM OF DIRECT GRANTS OR REIMBURSEMENT FROM VARIOUS ECONOMIC RELIEF PACKAGES APPROVED THROUGH THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT OF 2021, EITHER DIRECTLY OR THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH.

>> MOTION BY PIAGENTINI AND SECOND BY PURVIS.

THE RESOLUTION IS BEFORE US.

AN DISCUSSION?

>> I THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS IS A -- THIS IS A -- YOU KNOW, COUNCIL MEMBERS KNOW, WE FREQUENTLY HAVE THESE.

THIS IS THE RESOLUTION SAYING WE'RE AUTHORIZING THE AMERICAN RESCUE ACT FUNDING.

IT SPECIFICALLY SAYS THAT THE RECOVERY FUND FUNDING WHICH IS 388 MILLION DOLLARS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT AND MONEY FOR WATER AND SEWER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE AND HOME ARP SHOULD REQUIRE APPROVAL FROM THE METRO COUNCIL.

>> IT SAYS ALL OTHER FUNDING AND THE REASON WE DID IT THIS WAY, WE DON'T WANT THAT MONEY TO GET OUT, WE HAVE SAID FOR THAT FUNDING THERE WOULD BE NOTICE TO THE -- TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AS SOON AS REASONABLE OF RECEIPT FOR ALL FUNDS COMING FROM THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN.

WE GET IT QUARTERLY ON ALL TIMES RECEIVED FROM THE PLAN.

IT IS ONLY HERE BECAUSE WE AMENDED THE RESOLUTION.

I ASK FOR APPROVAL.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, RESOLUTION AND HAVE A VOICE VOTE.

ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT PASSES.

>> 60.

>> RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET  
ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING  
AMENDMENT TO NON COMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE  
CONTRACT FOR THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET CONCERNING  
CONSULTATION CONCERNING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF  
LOUISVILLE'S AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN.

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

>> MOVED BY PEDEN.

>> SECONDED.

>> SECONDED BY FOX.

DISCUSSION.

>> THERE WAS REMAINING COMMENTS AND THE MATERIALS WERE  
REQUESTED AND IN COLLABORATION AND IT SEEMS AS THOUGH THAT HAS  
BEEN SCHEDULED AND THOSE MATERIALS HAVE BEEN DELIVERED.  
I WOULD LIKE TO HAND THAT OVER TO PIAGENTINI IF HE HAS ANY  
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN.

>> THANK YOU, PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

WE DID GET A TIMELINE THAT HELPED ANSWER ONE OF THE QUESTIONS  
AND AFTER TALKING TO -- TO THE CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR, WE HAD MORE  
THAT WE HAD DUE PROCESS TO MOVE FORWARD.

THAT'S ME ONLY CONCERN.

I DIDN'T WANT TO VOTE ON IT.

I DO.

THANK YOU.

>> ANY DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS ALLOWS FOR ROLL CALL VOTE, VOICE VOTE.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, PLEASE SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED?

AYES HAVE IT.

THE RESOLUTION PASSES.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT  
THE 9301 AND 9309 SMYRNA PARKWAY AND PARCEL ID NUMBERS  
06620230000 CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 8.8 ACRES AND BEING IN  
LOUISVILLE METRO.

>> MOTION BY TRIPLETT.

SECOND.

>> SECOND BY PIAGENTINI.

THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION, COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY IS CLOSE TO NOAA AND THE GENERAL STORE.

THIS CALL WAS CALLING FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SIX THREE STORY APARTMENT BUILDINGS ALONG WITH THE PLAYGROUND.

THE EXISTING TREE CANOPY IS AT 100 PERCENT.

THEY ONLY RETAIN 11 PERCENT AND PAY A FEE IN LIEU OF.

AFTER MUCH DISCUSSION, COUNCILMAN PEDEN, COUNCILMAN PEDEN AND THE POLICE ON OUR BEHALF ASKED TO HAVE THAT RAISED BECAUSE JUST THINK THE PROPERTY IS FLAT AND THERE'S NO REASON IN SEVERAL OF OUR MINDS THAT WE SHOULD BE ALLOWING THIS.

THEREFORE, THE COMMUNITY VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO DENY THE CHANGE IN ZONING.

I BELIEVE COUNCILMAN PEDEN WOULD LIKE TO OFFER AMENDMENT BY SUBSTITUTION AT THIS TIME.

>> IF YOU COULD ALLOW COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> YES, THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE.

THEY UNANIMOUSLY VOTED NO.

AND AGAIN ALWAYS EXPLAIN A NO VOTE DOESN'T REALLY MATTER IN PLANNING AND ZONING.

IT HAS TO BE A YES VOTE FOR SOMETHING.

A NO VOTE, AND FOLLOWED BY A NO VOTE FOR US WOULD SIMPLY MEANS THAT WHATEVER THE LAST ACTION OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE WOULD

TAKE EFFECT.

THE COMMITTEE DID NOT WANT THAT.

AFTER SOME DISCUSSION, FOLLOWING THE UNANIMOUS NO VOTE IN COMMITTEE, THE CONSENSUS WAS TO HAVE MR. FEESER DRAW UP AN AMENDMENT SENDING THIS CASE BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND HAVE THEM SORT THROUGH THE TREE CANOPY, THE FEE IN LIEU OF AND THE WHOLE THING.

I'M GOING TO USE THE WORD ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPER TO GET TO 12 PERCENT.

I'LL REITERATE WHAT HAS BEEN SAID.

THIS IS THE FIRST TEST CASE FOR OUR FREE ORDINANCE.

THIS IS A TREED LOT.

FLOOD ARE CORRECT.

IT IS FLAT.

THERE'S NO WET LANDS.

THIS IS A -- IT IS ALMOST PERFECTLY SQUARE.

IF THIS CAN'T GET TO 20 PERCENT, THEN NONE OF THEM CAN.

IF WE DON'T DRAW THE LINE IN THE SAND ON THIS ONE WE MAY HAVE TROUBLE GOING FORWARD.

I HAVE THE ORDINANCE.

IT IS IN THE SYSTEM, SONYA OR TRAVIS WHO JUST POPPED UP A MOMENT AGO, DO I NEED TO RED THIS VERBATIM OR MAKE A MOTION?

WHAT IS THE LEGAL STANDING HERE?

>> MR. FEASTER.

>> COUNTY ATTORNEY.

YOU COULD READ IT OR I COULD READ IT.

IT WAS ON THE DOCKET SO YOU'RE NOT REQUIRED TO READ THE ENTIRE  
THING.

IT IS TO SEND THE ITEM BACK TO PLANNING COMMISSION FOR  
ADDITIONAL PUBLIC TESTIMONY AND DECISION ON THE PRECANOPY ISSUES  
THAT WEREN'T ADEQUATELY DEALT WITH BEFOREHAND.

THAT'S ALL YOU HAVE IN SUBSTITUTIONS.

IF YOU LIKE I'M HAPPY TO READ THIS.

>> WHATEVER THE COUNCIL LIKES, I MAKE A MOTION.

I MAKES A MOTION.

>> SECOND?

>> SECOND.

>> ANY DISCUSSION.

>> NO DISCUSSION.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> [INDISCERNIBLE].

>> I SEE YOU NOW.

>> I SEE YOU.

>> OKAY.

>> THANK YOU MR. ACKERSON, GO AHEAD.

>> I'M ON SPEAKER.

I'M NOT GOING TO SUPPORT YOUR AMENDMENT BECAUSE NO ONE SPOKE TO  
ME IN ADVANCE ABOUT THIS.

IF YOU WANTED MY VOTE, YOU SHOULD TALK TO ME.

AS SUCH I'LL VOTE NO.

NO VOTE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> MAY I RESPOND TO THAT FOR A MOMENT.

THIS WAS COMMITTEE ACTION AND A DECISION AND I HAVE THE  
PAPERWORK IN FRONT OF ME AND BECAUSE IT WAS IN FRONT OF ME I  
TOOK IT ON MYSELF.

IT WASN'T SOMETHING THAT WAS BROUGHT AT THE LAST MOMENT.

IT IS IN THE SYSTEM AND WAS DISCUSSED FULLY IN COMMITTEE LAST  
WEEK.

THANK YOU.

>> I'LL RESPOND.

I'M NOT ON THAT COMMITTEE.

I GOT ENOUGH ON MY PLATE WITHOUT MONITORING THE COMMITTEE.

IF YOU NEED MY VOTE, SOMEBODY SHOULD TALK TO ME AND EXPLAIN, AS  
SUCH I'M A NO VOTE.

THROWN AT ME AT THE LAST SECOND OFF-THE-CUFF AND FOLLOW SUIT.  
SO.

PLEASE ACCEPT MY NO VOTE AS A VOTE OF BEING PUT IN THE  
IGNORANCE.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.



ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE.

WE NEED TO VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT.

THAT'S CORRECT.

ALL IN FAVOR A SAY AYE.

OPPOSED?

THE AYES HAVE IT.

THE AMENDED ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION.

HEARING NONE.

CLERK CALL THE ROLL.

[ROLL CALLED].

MR. PRESIDENT YOU HAVE 24 YES VOTES AND ONE NO VOTE.

>> THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

MADAM CLERK READING OF ITEM 62.

>> I APOLOGIZE.

THE COUNTY ATTORNEY.

IS THAT A VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT OR TWO VOTES THERE?

>> WE DID A VOICE VOTE ON THE --

>> I APOLOGIZE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> PLEASE CONTINUE.

>> ORDINANCE RELATING CHAPTERS 1 AND 4 OF THE METRO LAND  
DEVELOPMENT CODE RELATING TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AS

SUGGESTED IN PLAN 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. READ IN FULL.

>> WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION AND SECOND.

>> MOTION BY WINKLER, SECOND?

>> SECONDED BY PURVIS.

ORDINANCE BEFORE US.

ANY DISCUSSION?

COUNCIL MEMBER FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU MR. PRESIDENT, THIS IS -- AS IT SAYS, IT IS A  
CHANGE IN ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS.

THEY HAVE CONSTRUCTION ACCESSORY DWELLING.

ANOTHER NAME YOU MAY HAVE, IS THE MOTHER-IN-LAW SUITE.

THIS COULD ALLOW CERTAIN STANDARD IN OUR -- IN OUR ZONES AND R1,  
R2 AND OTHER ZONES.

THE STANDARD IS THE MAXIMUM SQUARE FOOTAGE TO BE 800 SQUARE FEET  
OR 70 PERCENT OF THE FLOOR AREA.

THIS WILL ALLOWED ATTACHED AND DETACHED STRUCTURES.

AFTER ALLOWING THE DWELLING UNITS BY RIGHTS.

DESTABILIZATION OVER THE NEIGHBORHOODS THAT HAVE RENTAL PROPERTY  
AND THEY SAY THE LANDLORD DOES NOT TAKE CARE OF HE HAS ANOTHER  
STRUCTURE.

TWO AMENDMENTS ADDED TO IT.

THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOULD RESIDE IN THE PRINCIPAL  
DWELLING UNIT OR THE ACCESSORY.

THEREFORE THERE'S A BUY IN BY THE OWNER TO KEEP THOSE PROPERTIES UP.

ITEM K WAS ADDED TO AVOID CONFUSION.

THIS SECTION DOES NOT DERIDE ANY RESTRICTIONS RESTRICTING DWELLING UNITS.

THAT CAME FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICE.

THOSE DID PASS THE COMMITTEE UNANIMOUSLY.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> MAY I ADD ONE MORE THING.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT -- IT SAID PUBLICLY SO THAT EVERYONE UNDERSTANDS THAT THE CONSULTATION WITH DIRECTOR, WE WILL LOOK AT THESE AMENDMENTS AND ESPECIALLY ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AND SHE'LL TELL US SUCCESSES.

AND IF THERE'S PROBLEMS.

>> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER REED.

>> I'M A MEMBER OF THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE.

I LOOKED AT THE PROCESS AND HOW IT INCORPORATES OUR DECISION.

I RESPECT THE WORK OF THE VOLUNTEERS AND THE STAFF PERSON INVOLVED IN FORWARDING THESE PROPOSALS BUT DESPITE MY INTEREST AND I WAS A NO VOTE IN COMMITTEE.

I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO SUPPORT THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT CHANGE TO LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AND WORK IN SOME DISTRICTS BUT NOT IN OTHERS.

AND THE AMENDMENTS MADE IN COMMITTEE WILL HELP ADD CLARITY AND MAKE IT CLEAR THIS IS TO TO ALLOW FAMILY MEMBERS AND FRIENDS FOR A PERSON THAT NEED A MORE AFFORDABLE PLACE TO LIVE.

HERE HAD MY CONCERNS.

I DO NOT LIKE THE FACT THAT THIS LEAVES IT TO URBAN CITIES TO LITIGATE THEM AFTER THEY'RE APPROVED.

IT WON'T BE A BENEFIT.

NUMBER TWO, THE -- THE INCREASE IN DWELLING UNITS IN SOME NEIGHBORHOODS WOULD HELP PARKING AND OTHER UTILITY PROBLEMS THAT WERE CONSIDERED DURING THE TIME AND OTHER COMMUNITIES WERE ESTABLISHED.

I DO HAVE THEM REACH OUT.

AND THE POSSIBILITY OF DOUBLING OF RESIDENTS WILL ADD TO SEWER SYSTEMS AND WATER USE, NOT TO MENTION ROADS.

AGAIN I WANT TO BE CLEAR, I SUPPORT THE DECISION FOR THE PERSON WANTING TO MAKE THIS A PROVISION TO LIVE ON THE PROPERTY.

I THINK THIS IS A GLUTTONY OF ABSENTEE LANDLORD AND THE NEIGHBORHOODS THAT ALREADY FACE IN EACH -- FACE A CHALLENGE.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THIS IS -- THIS IS -- I BELIEVE THIS IS A ONE SIZE ALL CHANGE.

I DON'T BELIEVE IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE'RE WORKING WELL IN ALL PARTS OF LOUISVILLE.

THANKS.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

>> THANK YOU.

I WANT TO START BY SAYING I'M PROUD TO BE A SPONSOR ON THIS. WE KNOW WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT UNITS.

33,000 UNITS TO BE EXACT AND THAT'S FOR THE LOWEST PERCENTAGE OF AMI.

WE KNOW THE POTENTIAL OF DWELLING UNITS IT AFFORDS TYPES ACROSS THE COMMUNITY.

THAT'S IMPORTANT, WE HAVE GAPS IT AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

ONE THING WE SEE TIME AND TIME AGAIN, WHETHER THAT'S THROUGH FORMALIZED REPORTS A WHETHER THAT SEEMS THE EQUITY REPORT AND WE SEE THE NEED FOR HOUSING TIMES AND FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

THE NEXT IS THE POTENTIAL OF THIS ORDINANCE SAYS, I THINK IT IS FAIR TO SAY THAT THE FOLKS HAVE CONCERNS AND -- AND PARTICIPATED IN PLANNING AND ZONING AND HEARD THE AMENDMENTS AND I FEEL LIKE WE'RE IN A BETTER POSITION TO ADDRESS OTHER CHALLENGE.

WE'RE IN A POSITION TO ADJUST TO GET APPROVED.

THE OTHER THING THAT REALLY UNDERSCORES THE NEED HERE IS THIS QUITE FRANKLY HELPS YOU ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS WE HAVE ACROSS METRO.

I'M GOING TO QUOTE, THIS MAY BE WRONG, I WANT TO SAY OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS, WE HAD FEWER THAN 40.

IT IS A NOMINAL AMOUNT.

THERE'S A REASON FOR THAT.

IT IS DIFFICULT.

ALL THAT SAID, THERE'S POTENTIAL.

I'M EXCITED ABOUT THE OPPORTUNITY AND I HAVE A VARIETY OF HOUSING TYPES AND DO BELIEVE THAT -- THAT WE'VE TAKEN APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO INSURE THAT COUNCILMAN FLOOD'S POINT WE DON'T WANT TO SEE OUTSIDE INVESTORS THAT COME INTO NEIGHBORHOODS AND PERHAPS DON'T TO THINGS WITH INTENTION AND NEIGHBORHOODS THAT ARE THERE.

I THINK THE -- I I THINK CURRENT AMENDMENTS SATISFY.

PLANNING AND ZONING HAS DONE TREMENDOUS WORK.

YOU HEARD ME MENTION THAT.

THE FOUNDATION HAS BEEN LAID THROUGH MULTIPLE REPORTS OVER THE YEARS.

THIS IS AGAIN REFLECTIVE TO WHAT TOOK PLACE DURING THE PANDEMIC. THE DESIGN STAFF WAS COMTATING AND GOING AFTER SMALL GROUPS AND NEIGHBORHOODS AND -- AND -- AND THAT SORT OF THING TO BE ABLE TO AVOID TO THE BEST OF THEIR ABILITY.

WITH THAT AGAIN, I WILL SPONSOR TONIGHT AND ENCOURAGE MY COLLEAGUES TO BE A YES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCILMAN PEDEN.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I FIRST WOULD HAVE BEEN A NO VOTE.

I'M GOING TO BE A RELUCTANT YES VOTE.

I WANT TO THANK COUNCILMAN FLOOD AND GEORGE WHO RECOGNIZED THE NEED FOR THE OWNER OCCUPIED PORTION AMENDMENT.

WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT, AND I DON'T KNOW, BUT THE MAJORITY OF US ARE STILL DOING THIS VIRTUALLY AND THE TV CAMERAS FOCUS ON ME.

WE DID IN RESPONSE TO THIS.

THIS ARP DOCUMENT AND AGAIN I DON'T KNOW WHO -- WHO EVEN COMES OUT AND GETS THEIR MAIL ANYMORE.

PROBABLY IN EVERYONE'S MAILBOX YOU'LL SEE.

IT IS THESE CUTE HOUSES THAT LOOK VERY SMURF LIKE AND YOU WOULD SEE IN A DISNEY MOVIE AND THEY'RE ADORABLE AND CUTE AND I WISH THERE WAS ONE IN EVERYONE'S BACKYARD.

THE PROBLEM IS WE DON'T EVER TAKE CONTROL OF THAT.

BEST COMPARISON I HAVE IS ANYTIME THEY WANT TO DO SOMETHING, THEY POINT TO NORTON COMMON.

DO YOU KNOW WHY?

NOBODY EVER BUILDS NORTON COMMON ANYWHERE ELSE.

THEY TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE EXTRA UNITS.

IT IS NEVER SOMETHING THAT BUILDS UP TO NEIGHBORHOODS.

MY ONLY REAL COMMENT TO A LOT OF THIS IS, IF THESE WERE THE HOUSE THAT IS EVERYONE WAS GOING TO BUILD AND EVERYONE'S BACKYARD, I WOULD HAVE NO HESITANCY WHATSOEVER.

WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO IS RUN OUT TO THE CLOSEST STORE AND DROP THEM IN THE BACKYARD AND MEET THE MINIMAL CODE AND CHARGE

300 DOLLARS A MONTH.

WE WON'T HAVE ANY REAL METHOD OF STOPPING IT.

IT IS A BUY RIGHT PRODUCT AS OPPOSED TO ONE THAT WOULD REQUIRE A  
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

AGAIN, COUNCILMAN FLOOD AMENDMENT MAKES ME -- MAKES ME ABLE TO  
VOTE YES, BECAUSE AGAIN I AGREE, WE'RE SHORT ON HOUSES BUT AS A  
DISTRICT THAT IS MAJORITY, R4 AND R5, WHICH MEANS 9,000 AND  
6,000 SQUARE FOOT LOTS, I GOT ROOM FOR PEOPLE TO DO THAT.

THE PROBLEM IS IT IS NO LONG EVERY A 500 HOME SUBDIVISION, IT  
COULD BE 1,000 AND THE SAME THING I ABOUT ANYTIME ANYONE WANTS  
TO BUILD SOMETHING NEW.

I HAVE NO NEW ROADS AND NO NEW SITUATION AND NO NEW GROCERY  
STORES OR ANYTHING THAT GOES WITH THAT.

PASSING SOMETHING LIKE THIS WITHOUT ACCOMPANYING ITEMS  
LIKE -- LIKE WHAT I JUST MENTIONED IS SOMEWHAT PROBLEMATIC.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> FLOOD.

>> THANK YOU.

I JUST WANT TO SAY TOO, THIS IS A SPECIAL STANDARD.

THE ONLY WAY, THEY HAVE TO COMPLY WITH ALL OF THE SPECIAL  
STANDARD.

IF THEY DON'T THEY GO BACK TO THE CDC THAT THEY HAVE TO GO NOW.  
THAT'S ALL I HAD TO ADD.



>> THANK YOU.

AND COUNCIL MEMBER ARTHUR.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO BE ADDED AS OPPOSED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION.

HEARING NONE, ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

23 YES VOTES AND THREE NO VOTES.

>> PASSES.

>> READING OF ITEM 63.

ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 11800  
AND 11908 EAST ORELL ROAD AND 11705 DIXIE HIGHWAY CONTAINING  
APPROXIMATELY 6.15 ACRES AND BEING IN THE LOUISVILLE METRO.  
READ IN FULL.

>> ANY DISCUSSION, FLOOD?

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

THIS WAS TO ALLOW A GAS STATION, AND IT IS NOT YOUR NORMAL GAS  
STATION, IT HAS A FUELING LINE AND IT WAS FOR SEMI TRUCKS.  
AND THAT AREA THAT THEY WERE GOING TO BUILD THE DIESEL FUELING  
FOR IT, WAS UP AGAINST RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY THE PLANNING  
COMMISSION VOTED ON A 5 -- 5-2 VOTE TO DENY THE CHANGE IN ZONING  
AND COMMITTEE ALSO VOTED TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE  
PLANNING COMMISSION AND WANTS TO ALSO REMIND YOU THAT TONIGHT A  
YES VOTE IS TO UPHOLD THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DENIAL.

THIS IS IN FOWLER'S DISTRICT.

>> FOWLER.

>> AFTER THIS COULD I HAVE A POINT OF [INDISCERNIBLE]?

>> YES.

SO THIS PARTICULAR DEVELOPMENT, THERE WERE THREE NIGHTTIME HEARINGS PRIOR TO -- PRIOR TO -- TO THE DECISION BY THE -- BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

THERE WERE 300-PLUS INDIVIDUALS THAT HIRED A LAWYER AND SO YOU KNOW, THEIR CONTENTION WAS IT WAS RESIDENTIAL.

>> THE PLANNING COMMISSION AGREED BY TWO VOTES.  
IT CAME OUT TO ZERO.

SO MY CONCERN IS THAT, I KNOW THERE'S LOBBYIST CALLING AROUND TO DIFFERENT COUNCIL MEMBERS.

AND I JUST -- I JUST WANT EVERYONE TO KNOW THERE WAS AMPLE OPPORTUNITY FOR -- FOR THE -- FOR THE -- FOR THE -- FOR THE RACETRACK TO CHANGE THEIR DEVELOPMENT PLAN.

THEY DID NOT.

SO IF THEY WANT TO -- TO DO CHANGES, I THINK THEY NEED TO GO AND START OVER.

BECAUSE IT IS -- IT IS A LARGE TRACK OF LAND.

THERE'S TOO MANY VARIABLES THAT ARE GOING ON.

SO -- SO ANYWAY, I APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT ON THIS AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, ORDINANCE REQUIRING A ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

27 YES VOTES.

THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> READING OF 64.

>> I FORGOT COUNCIL MEMBER FOWLER.

>> I COULD HAVE DONE IT AFTER THIS.

I ASK FOR RECONSIDERATION ON ITEM 58 AND I LOST A CONNECTION AND  
WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A REVOTE AND I WANTED TO VOTE YES.

I THOUGHT WE WERE ON THE AMENDMENT.

IS THAT POSSIBLE?

>> YES, MA'AM, CAN I HAVE A SECOND?

>> YEAH, MOTION.

>> WE HAVE A SECOND.

ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

>> OPPOSED?

>> TECHNICALITY BUT IN ORDER TO MAKE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER  
THEY HAVE TO VOTE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE.

I THINK I HEARD TWO PEOPLE AFTER SHE MADE THE MOTION.

I THINK WE'RE GOOD.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAD A MOTION.

>> I MADE THE MOTION.

>> I SECOND.

>> THANK YOU.

READING OF ITEM 58.

>> ORDINANCE APPPROVING THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN LOCAL FISCAL RECOVERY FUNDING TO VARIOUS LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS AND FOR THE FIRST 100 DAYS TO ADDRESS THE CONTINUED IMPACTS OF COVID-19 ON THE ECONOMY, PUBLIC HEALTH, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, INDIVIDUALS, AND BUSINESSES.

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

MOTION BY PEDEN AND SECONDED BY -- WAS THAT WINKLER?

WINKLER, THE ORDINANCE IS BEFORE US.

>> I THINK WE KNOW WHAT THIS IS.

WE TALKED ABOUT IT AT LENGTH.

I MOVE APPROVAL.

>> I'M CALLING THE QUESTION BEFORE ANYONE RULES IN.

>> ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE.

>> AYE.

OPPOSED?

>> AYES HAVE IT.

MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU CALL THE ROLE?

[ROLL CALLED].

>> WE HAVE 24 OR 25, 24 YES VOTES AND ONE NO VOTE AND ONE ABSENT.

>> THE ORDINANCE PASSES.

>> MADAM CLERK, READING OF ITEM NUMBER 64, PLEASE.

>> ORDINANCE AMENDING LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES  
SECTION 72.039 RELATING TO ABANDONED AND JUNKED VEHICLES.  
READ IN FULL.

>> MOTION AND SECOND?

>> MOTION BY PIAGENTINI.

AND SECOND BY PEDEN.

ANYTHING DISCUSSION?

>> THIS PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE AND IS ON -- IS ON OLD  
BUSINESS AND THERE WAS AMENDMENTS THAT WERE MADE AND I THOUGHT  
IT WAS A GREAT JOB BY STEWART AND SHE MAY HAVE COMMENTS TO MAKE.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE.

I'M SORRY.

>> THANK YOU, PRESIDENT.

THIS AMENDMENT TO OUR EXISTING ORDINANCE RELATED TO THE  
ABANDONED AND JUNKED VEHICLES SAYS TWO THINGS.

IT BRINGS THE ORDINANCE IN TO ALIGNMENT WITH EXISTING PROTOCOL  
WITH REGARD TO NOTIFICATION PRIOR TO WHEN A VEHICLE CAN BE  
TOWED.

CURRENTLY IT IS A VIOLATION TO BE PARKED ON A PUBLIC STREET FOR  
MORE THAN 24 HOURS.

AND IT IS PARKED THERE FOR THREE CONSECUTIVE DAYS AFTER BEING

MARKED.

IT COULD BE DETERMINED ABANDONED.

WITH THE AMENDMENT IT ADDS LANGUAGE THAT STATES THE WARNING SHALL BE AFFIXED TO A WINDOW.

AND NOTE THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT THE VEHICLE WAS MARKED AND THEN OF COURSE, IT DISCUSSES THE NOTICE IS SUBJECT FOR IMPOUNDMENT.

WE THINK THE PROCESS IS IMPORTANT.

THE SECOND THING IT DOES IS ADD CLARIFYING LANGUAGE OF WHAT CONSTITUTES A JUNKED VEHICLE.

CURRENTLY, WITHOUT THE AMENDMENT, ANY VEHICLE WITH AN EXPIRED PLATE OR TAG, BY JUST ONE DAY, WOULD THEORETICALLY BE JUNK AND COULD BE TOWED.

AND THE MOST REASONABLE PEOPLE SEE THAT AS A BIT OF OVERSIGHT. WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW, A VEHICLE IS NOT CONSIDERED JUNK UNTIL THAT PLATE OR TAG IS EXPIRED.

THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PIECE AGAIN, TO MAKE SURE THAT THE GOAL IS THAT WE OBVIOUSLY WANT TO SEE ABANDONED AND JUNK VEHICLES MOVED OFF PUBLIC STREETS.

THAT'S IMPORTANT BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE COMMUNITY UNDERSTANDS EXPECTATIONS AND WE PROVIDE NOTIFICATION AND PEOPLE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THE RIGHT THING.

AND I KNOW COUNCILMAN ARTHUR HAS TO BE ADDED AS LAST MINUTE SPONSOR.

I WOULD WELCOME THAT AS WELL AS ANYONE THAT WANTS TO SUPPORT.  
THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU.

I HAVE A QUESTION FOR COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE, I WANT TO CLARIFY  
AN EXCEPTION FOR JUNKED OR REJECTED VEHICLES IF THE REGISTRATION  
EXPIRED.

IN OTHER WORDS THERE'S VEHICLES ON THE STREET, BUT IF THE  
REGISTRATION IS CURRENT YOU -- YOU SAYING THAT VEHICLE CANNOT BE  
TOWED UNTIL THE -- UNTIL THE REGISTRATION EXPIRED AFTER THREE  
MONTHS?

>> THANK YOU.

YES, COUNCILMAN.

SO ESSENTIALLY THERE'S A -- A LENGTHY DEFINITION OF JUNKED  
VEHICLE CURRENTLY.

SO IT SAYS A VEHICLE CAN BE DEEMED JUNK IF IT IS EXTENSIVELY  
RESTED WITHOUT PROPERLY PLATED TIRES AND WINDSHIELD AND GLASS  
AND TRAFFIC COMPONENTS OR BRAKE LIGHTS.

THE WAY I INTERPRET THIS AND I THINK -- I THINK WITH THE OFFICE,  
THE WAY I INTERPRET THIS, THIS IS AN ARRAY OF THINGS THAT  
CONSTITUTES BEING A JUNKED VEHICLE.

IF YOU HAVE A VEHICLE THAT IS INOPERABLE.  
THAT COULD GO AHEAD AND BE TOWED.

IT DOESN'T HAVE TO FOLLOW IN THIS PROCESS.

WHERE THE PROCESS COMES IN AS I UNDERSTAND IT IS, WHEN YOU HAVE A VEHICLE THAT HAS EXPIRED PLATE AND EXPIRED TAG AND THAT AGAIN WE WANT TO INSURE THAT -- THAT PLATE OR TAG IS NOT JUST ONE OR TWO DAYS OR EVEN A WEEK AND THEY COULD HAVE THEIR VEHICLE TOWED. I DON'T KNOW HOW HE WANTS TO, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WANTS TO ADD CLARITY.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE EXPLAINED THAT WELL.

THE WAY THE LANGUAGE IS WRITTEN NOW IN THAT LAUNDRY LIST OF -- OF REASONS THAT YOU CAN BE TOWED IS STANDING ALONG WITH NO MODIFICATION AND EXPIRED LICENSE TAG.

SO AS THAT IS WRITTEN, THAT WOULD ACTUALLY PERMIT LMPD TO TOW A CAR FOR NO OTHER REASON THAN -- THAN AN EXPIRED LICENSE TAG AND NO TIMELINE ON IT.

I BEEN IN THAT POSITION WHERE -- WHERE I HAD MY -- MY TAG, EVEN IF I GOT A NEW ONE AND I FORGOT TO GET THE TAG ON THE BACK.

TECHNICALLY MY CAR COULD BE POWE -- TOWED.

THIS ALTERS THAT IF THAT'S THE ONLY REASON YOUR CAR IS OUT OF COMPLIANCE, YOU NEED MORE REASON.

IF YOU HAVE THE REASONS, DEFLATED TIRES, UNDRIVEABLE OR OTHER REASONS YOU'RE SUBJECT TO BEING TOWED RIGHT AWAY.

THIS REQUIRES NOTIFY.

LENGTHIER NOTICE AND EXCUSE ME FOR AN EXPIRED REGISTRATION TAG IF THAT'S THE ONLY REASON YOUR CAR WOULD BE SUBJECT TO BEING



TOWED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> FURTHER QUESTIONS?

>> NO, SIR.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER PEDEN.

>> A QUICK CLARIFICATION AND I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT EXPIRED TAGS.

I'M TALKING ABOUT WHAT COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS BROUGHT UP.

IT IS NOT JUNK, BUT IMMOVABLE.

ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THREE DAYS?

THAT'S IMMEDIATE, IF WE COULD GET THERE AND STICKER IT.

THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO CLARIFY, THERE WEREN'T CARS SITTING ON THE SIDE OF THE ROAD, SPECIFICALLY THE FREEWAY WHICH SNYDER ALWAYS HAS BUS CARS IN THE EMERGENCY LANE.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE COULD GET THOSE OFF AS QUICKLY AS WE COULD GET IN THE IMPOUND LOT.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

>> I WANTED TO ADD REAL QUICK.

THIS IS SOMETHING I WANTED TO ADDRESS.

THIS IS IN THE OFFICE AND WE RECEIVED A DOZEN PHONE CALLS OF

PEOPLE IN SEARS REALLY CONCERNED WITH THE FACT THAT THEIR  
VEHICLES HAD BEEN TOWED AND THEY THOUGHT IT WAS A MISTAKE.  
THEY WERE WORRIED ABOUT [INDISCERNIBLE].  
I WANT TO STRESS THE IMPORTANCE OF THE [INDISCERNIBLE]  
CONSEQUENCES CREATING BARRIERS AND IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE  
THIS CLARITY IN THE AMENDMENT.  
SO PLEASE SUPPORT THIS.  
THANKS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT.

I DID WANT TO MENTION THAT I DIDN'T SEE -- SEE DEFINED OR  
CLARIFIED IN THE LEGISLATION WAS THERE ARE OFTENTIMES VEHICLES  
THAT ARE -- THAT ARE SITTING ON JACKS THAT POSE A -- A PUBLIC  
SAFETY RISK.

WE NEED TO MAKE AMENDMENT, DO I NEED TO MAKE A MOTION TO ADD  
THAT TO THE LANGUAGE, BECAUSE THAT'S SOMETHING THAT DEFINITELY  
NEED TO BE ADDRESSED.

THERE ARE TOO MANY CARS THAT ARE -- ARE PROPPED UP AND JACKED UP  
AND -- AND HAVE SIT THERE FOREVER.

I THINK THAT -- THAT RIGHT THERE THAT -- THAT WOULD -- THAT  
WOULD QUALIFY FOR INSTANT TOW.

IF THE KID RUNS UNDER THE CAR TO GET THE BALL, THEN THE CAR WILL  
FALL ON THEM.

I GUESS I NEED TO -- I NEED TO ASK COUNTY ATTORNEY, IS THAT  
SOMETHING THAT -- DO I NEED TO MAKE A MOTION OR -- OR AMEND  
THAT?

WHAT DO I DO?

WHERE DO WE GO?

>> PURVIS, I'M GOING TO LET GEORGE RESPOND TO THAT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

PURVIS, I PROBABLY SHOULD HAVE READ THE ENTIRE DEFINITION.

FORGIVE ME.

THE DEFINITION SAYS JUNKED VEHICLE IS ANY VEHICLE INOPERATIVE OR  
APPEARS TO BE, DISMANTLED OR PARTIALLY DISPLANT -- DISMANTLED.

I AGREE WITH YOU, A VEHICLE IS ON A JACK AND CREATES A SAFETY  
ISSUE BECAUSE IT IS NOT STABLE VEHICLE AND HAS POTENTIAL TO FALL  
ON SOMEONE.

THE WAY I INTERPRET THIS AND I SHOULD HAVE READ THAT ON THE  
FRONT END, WHAT YOU'RE DESCRIBING I BELIEVE SHOULD BE INCLUDED  
IN THE EXISTING DEFINITION.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COUNCIL MEMBER PIAGENTINI.

>> THANK YOU.

COUNCIL MEMBER PURVIS, I THINK YOUR PROBLEM IS -- IS ENFORCEMENT  
AND NOT THE LAW, RIGHT?

I THINK -- AS COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE INDICATED, I THINK YOU'RE COVERED.

I HAVE MULTIPLE CASES ONE IN MY DISTRICT AND ONE THAT WAS CRAZY ENOUGH THAT THERE WAS AN LMPD OFFICER THAT LIVES ON THE WEST END AND HAD HIS CAR TOWED AND HE HAD EXISTING TAGS AND THE WHOLE THING WITHOUT WARNING.

IT WAS LIKE CRAZY.

BEFORE HE TOLD ME.

I UNDERSTAND YOUR ISSUE.

I THINK AS YOU'VE DONE AN EXCELLENT JOB AND OTHER DEPARTMENTS STAYING ON TOP OF THEM TO ENFORCE EXISTING LAW.

I THINK YOU'RE COVERED IN THIS.

THIS IS REALLY -- AS I READ IT AND DOES A GOOD JOB AND I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE.

AND I'VE SEEN THIS, EVEN IN MYSELF AND MY DISTRICT AND METRO EMPLOYEES THAT HAVE WORKED.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION?

HEARING NONE, THIS IS AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING ROLL CALL VOTE.

[ROLL CALLED].

ITEM 65, ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING 4,950 DOLLARS FROM NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER.

2,500 DOLLARS FROM NINE, 500 FROM 10 AND 22, 250 FROM 14, 17,

18, 20, 25.

AND 200 FROM THE DISTRICT 21.

THROUGH THE OFFICE OF THE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET COMMITTEE.

TO ST. JOSEPH CATHOLIC ORPHAN SOCIETY.

AN ORDINANCE A PARTIAL FORGIVENESS OF LOAN WOODSMILL PARTNERS  
PURSUANT TO LOUISVILLE METRO CODE ORDINANCES.

AND ORDINANCE AMENDING LOUISVILLE METRO CODE OF ORDINANCES  
CHAPTER 90 TO ADD AN EXEMPTION FOR CIGAR BARS.

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT AREA PURSUANT TO  
PROVISIONS TO BE KNOWN AS THE 726 EASY MARKET STREET DEVELOPMENT  
AREA, DESIGNATING THE METRO DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY INC. AS AN  
AGENCY ADOPTING A DEVELOPMENT PLAN, APPROVING ENTERING INTO A  
LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF  
RELEASED AMOUNT PURSUANT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE  
LOCAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT REQUIRING THE SUBMISSION OF  
REGULAR REPORTS TO LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METOR GOVERNMENT.  
RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET  
ORDINANCES AND APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING  
NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED SOLE SOURCE AND CONTRACT FROM PARC,  
AND CONCERNING MAINTENANCE SERVICE AND REPLACEMENT PARTS FOR  
PROPRIETARY PRODUCTS.

340,366,000.

>> RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGET  
ORDINANCES APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO FUND THE FOLLOWING

NONCOMPETITIVELY NEGOTIATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR  
LMPHW CONCERNING ITS EMPLOYMENT OF A HEALTH ECONOMIST.

>> ITEM 71, RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO THE CAPITAL AND OPERATING  
BUDGET ORDINANCES, APPROVING THE APPROPRIATION TO PROVIDE  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR METRO PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE  
WELLNESS CONCERNING EMPLOYMENT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE BOARD OF  
HEALTH AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLNESS. AND  
UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE AND THE SERVICES, 137 THOUSAND AND 846  
DOLLARS.

A RESOLUTION HONORING THE LEGACY OF FORMER LOUISVILLE ZOO  
DIRECTOR JOHN WALCZAK BY DEDICATING THE HERPAQUARIUM BUILDING AS  
THE JOHN WALCZAK HERPAQUARIUM IN RECOGNITION OF HIS DEDICATION  
AND SERVICE TO THE LOUISVILLE ZOO.

AND THEN MORE THANKING DIRECTOR JOHN WALCZAK.

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT  
304222 HIKES LANE CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 94.8 ACRES ACRES AND  
BEING IN LOUISVILLE METRO.

ITEM 74, ORDINANCE AMENDING THE METRO LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE  
RELATING TO TREES AND THE TREE CANOPY.

THEN ORDINANCE 2020.

READ IN FULL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IS THERE ANY ANNOUNCEMENTS THAT NEED TO BE MADE BY ANY  
COUNCIL MEMBERS?

HEARING NONE.

WITH -- WITH -- WITH -- WITH -- NO OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS, OUR NEXT  
MEETING IS THURSDAY, JULY 27 AT 6 P.M. ADJOURNED.