

October 20, 2016

Mr. David Baldridge
Chief, South Section Regulatory Branch
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Louisville District
600 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Place
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Subject: Request for Jurisdictional Determination

6406 Leisure Lane Property Jefferson County, Kentucky Redwing Project No.: 16-149

Dear Mr. Baldridge:

On behalf of Mr. Dan Tingle, Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. (Redwing) is pleased to submit this request to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for a Jurisdictional Determination (JD) on the proposed 6406 Leisure Lane property located in southern Jefferson County, Kentucky. The approximately 13-acre study area is located 0.3 mile east of the intersection of Cooper Chapel Road and Leisure Lane (Figure 1). The site primarily consists of maintained lawn, open field, and wetland (Figure 2). Based on the delineation, jurisdictional waters of the U.S. present within the study area include approximately 2.05 acres of wetland and 0.6 acre of open water pond.

METHODOLOGY

The goal of this survey is to determine the location and extent of jurisdictional waters of the U.S., including wetlands within the study area using a combination of in-house research and field evaluation. In-house research included review of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic quadrangle map, aerial photography, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Survey Geographic Database for Jefferson County, Kentucky, and FEMA floodplain mapping. Following review of these materials, Redwing wetland scientists conducted a field delineation of the site on October 6, 2016. The wetland delineation of the site was accomplished through documentation of the presence/absence of hydric soils, wetland hydrology, and hydrophytic vegetation per the Routine On-Site Determination Method as defined in the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

(Version 2.0, April 2012). The presence of open waters, such as streams and ponds, within the study area was made based on evaluations of ordinary high water mark (OHWM), defined bed and bank features, and flow regime. Intermittent streams were designated based on a seasonal groundwater influence supplemented by rainfall runoff. Ephemeral streams were identified based on minimal stream flow resulting from rainfall runoff only and no groundwater influence.

RESULTS

Water/wetland features identified within the study area include 2.05 acres of wetland and 0.6 acre of open water pond. The identified waters/wetlands are depicted on Figure 3 and described below. Soil, hydrology, and vegetation data were formally collected at eight points throughout the study area (Figure 3) and Wetland Determination Data Forms are attached as Appendix A. A draft Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Form for the waters/wetlands is presented in Appendix B. Jurisdictional waters/wetlands have not been verified by the USACE.

Feature	Area (ac)	Status*	Quality
Wetland 1	2.05	Jurisdictional	Poor
Wetland Total	2.05		
Open Water 1	0.6	Jurisdictional	Poor
Open Water Total	0.6		
Jurisdictional Features Total	2.11		

^{*}These water/wetland features have not been verified by the USACE.

Wetland: Wetland 1 (2.05 acres) is an emergent and scrub-shrub wetland located within the study area. The emergent portion is located in an open field and the scrub-shrub portion is located around Open Water 1. Wetland 1 is considered jurisdictional because it drains off the property into an unnamed tributary of Pennsylvania Run.

Open Water: Open Water 1 (0.6 acre) is an open water pond located in the central portion of the study area. Open Water 1 drains into Wetland 1 on its southwest side along an overflow channel. Open Water 1 is considered jurisdictional because of the connection to Wetland 1 and an unnamed tributary of Pennsylvania Run. The National Wetlands Inventory identifies the open water feature as Palustrine, Unconsolidated Bottom, Permanently Flooded, Diked/Impounded (PuBHh).

Soil, hydrology, and vegetation data were collected on Routine Wetland Determination Forms (Appendix A) at eight locations within the study area (Figure 3) and are discussed below.

Soils: The Jefferson County Soil Survey maps the study area as being underlain by Robertsville silt loam and Urban land-Udothents complex (Figure 4). The Robertsville soil series, which is the primary soil component within the study area, is listed on the Hydric Soils List for Jefferson County as a hydric soil. Hydric soil indicators were observed at seven data points within or adjacent to the wetlands, and included the depleted matrix (F3) and the redox dark surface (F6) hydric soil indicators.

Hydrology: The main sources of hydrology to the study area include precipitation, surface runoff, and stormwater drainage from adjacent properties. The property is not located within the 100-Year floodplain (Figure 5). Hydrology indicators were observed within the wetlands and included oxidized rhizospheres on living root channels, saturation visible on aerial, geomorphic position, and the FAC-neutral test.

Vegetation: The study area consists mainly of maintained lawn, open field, and wetland (Figure 2). The maintained lawn species consist of tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*), common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*), and English plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*). These species are listed as obligate upland (UPL) and facultative upland (FACU) in the *Eastern Mountains and Piedmont 2016 Final Regional Wetland Plant List* (RWPL).

The open field, which dominates the site, includes: tall fescue, yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentas*), Canada goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*), broomsedge (*Andropogon virginicus*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), and hairy white aster (*Symphyotrichum pilosum*). These species are listed as FACU, facultative (FAC), and facultative wetland (FACW) in the RWPL.

Common species observed in the emergent and scrub-shrub wetland include narrow leaf cattail (*Typha angustiolia*), green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*), yellow nutsedge, black willow (*Salix nigra*), moneywort (*Lysimachia nummularia*), yellow foxtail (*Setaria pumila*), tall fescue, Frank's sedge (*Carex frankii*), fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*), and common beggar ticks (*Bidens frondosa*). These species are listed as FACU, FAC, FACW, and obligate wetland (OBL) in the RWPL.

SUMMARY

Based on the delineation, jurisdictional waters of the U.S. located within the study area include approximately 2.05 acres of wetland and 0.6 acre of open water. We respectfully request USACE concurrence with the results of this delineation. Please contact Bridget Carnahan or Neil Guthals at (502) 625-3009 with any questions regarding this report or the overall project.

Sincerely,

Bridget G. Carnahan

Staff Biologist

Neil A. Guthals Senior Ecologist

P:\2016 Projects\16-149-Leisure Lane Recon\Reports\JD Request_6406 Leisure Lane.doc

CC:

Mr. Dan Tingle

Attachments:

Figures

Photographs

Appendix A – Wetland Determination Data Forms

Appendix B – Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Form

FIGURES

P:\2016 Projects\16-149-Leisure Lane Recon\Figures\Site Location.mxd, 10-19-2016, temp



PHOTOGRAPHS







Photograph 3: View of the emergent portion of Wetland 1 located near the southern boundary of the study area. October 6, 2016.





Photograph 5: View of the emergent portion of Wetland 1 located in the northern half of the study area. October 6, 2016.



Photograph 6: View of the emergent portion of Wetland 1 located in the northwest corner of the site. The wetland appears to receive flow from an offsite open water feature located immediately north of the site. October 6, 2016.



Photograph 7: View of Open Water 1 which receives flow from Wetland 1 and overflows into Wetland 1 as it exits the property near the southwest corner of the study area. The scrub-shrub portion of the wetland is located around the open water. September 15, 2016.



APPENDIX A WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Pro	perty	City/County: J	efferson	Sampling Date:	10/06/	16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle			State: Kentucky	Sam	pling Point:	DP 1
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnaha	ı		Section, Township	, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	pond fringe	Local relief (concave	, convex, none):	none	Slope (%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.10	0039° N	Long.: 85.64596° W	Datun	n:	
Soil Map Unit Name: RoA - Robertsville	silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slop	es		NWI Classification	n: <u>not id</u>	entified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the s	ite typical for this time of the	year?	Yes (If no, expl	ain in remarks)		
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	significantly dist		Are "normal circumsta	inces"	
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	naturally probler		present?	_	Yes
			(If needed, explain any	answers in ren	narks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
SUMMART OF FINDINGS						
Undrank, tie vegetation procent?	Vaa					
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area	1	Vaa		
Hydric soil present?	Yes	within a Wetland?	•	Yes		
Wetland hydrology present?	Yes					
Demorks: /Evalsia alternative presedures	hara ar in a concrete report	\				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures	·)				
Point taken within southern portion of We	land 1.					
LIVEROLOGY						
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators						
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is rec	uired: check all that apply)		Secondary	Indicators (minimum	of two required	
Surface Water (A1)		Aquatic Plants (B14)		Surface Soil Cracks (E		
High Water Table (A2)		ogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Sparsely Vegetated C	•	(B8)
Saturation (A3)		ized Rhizospheres on Livi		Drainage Patterns (B1		, (DO)
Water Marks (B1)		ence of Reduced Iron (C4	· · · —	Moss Trim Lines (B16	•	
Sediment Deposits (B2)		ent Iron Reduction in Tilled		Dry-Season Water Ta		
			· · ·	=		
Drift Deposits (B3)		Muck Surface (C7)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		C0)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Othe	r (Explain in Remarks)		Saturation Visible on A		C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	(DZ)			Stunted or Stressed P		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima	gery (B7)			Geomorphic Position (
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)			·	Microtopographic Reli		
			<u>X</u>	FAC-Neutral Test (D5))	
Field Observations:						
Surface water present? Yes	No>			Wetland		
Water table present? Yes	No X			hydrology		
Saturation present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A	present?	Yes	
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, m	onitoring well, aerial photos,	previous inspections), if a	vailable:			
Remarks:						

					Dominance Test Worksheet
roo Stratum - F	Plot Size (30')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	
ree Stratum F	7101 SIZE (30)	Cover	Species	Status	Number of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A
					Total Number of Dominant
					Species Across all Strata: 3 (B
					Percent of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.67% (A
					()
					Prevalence Index Worksheet
					Total % Cover of:
	<u> </u>	·			OBL species
		0 =	Total Cover		FACW species
			Total Gover		
					FAC species
apling/Shrub_	Plot Size (15')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
<u>Stratum</u>	101 3126 (13)	Cover	Species	Status	UPL species
					Column totals (I
					Prevalence Index = B/A =
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
					1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
					X 2 - Dominance test is >50%
					3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
					4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
					supporting data in Remarks or on a
					separate sheet)
		0 =	Total Cover		
					Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
lerb Stratum	Plot Size (5')	Cover	Species	Status	
					*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
Lysimachia nummu	ılaria	40	Yes	FACW	hydrology must be present, unless
Cyperus esculentus	S	35	Yes	FACW	disturbed or problematic
Schedonorus arund	dinaceus	35	Yes	FACU	
Carex frankii		15	No	OBL	
					B. C. St C V
Carex vulpinoidea		10	No	OBL	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
Paspalum notatum		10	No	FACU	
Bidens frondosa		5	No	FACW	
Acalypha rhomboid	lea	2	No	FACU	
Erechtites hieraciifo		2	No	FACU	
Erechines meracino	olius		NO	FACU	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter a
					breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
					breast neight (DBH), regardless of neight.
					Canling labrush Wasdy plants less than 2 in DDI Land
					Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
					greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
					The Allbertana of the Control of the
					Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
		154 =	Total Cover		and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
			i otal oovel		
					Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in he
dy Vine Stratum F	Plot Size (30')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	
ay vine Stratum F	101 3126 (30)	Cover	Species	Status	
				-	
		-	<u>-</u>	-	Hydrophytic
		-			vegetation
		0 =	Total Cover		
		=	Total Cover		present? Yes
rks: (Include photo n	umbers here or on a	a separate sheet)			
		. ,			

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 1 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Texture Remarks Type¹ Color (moist) Color (moist) Loc² (Inches) silty clay loam 0-0.5 10YR 3/2 100 0.5-4 10YR 4/2 90 5YR 4/6 PL/M silty clay loam 10 С 90 10YR 6/2 10 PL/M 4-8 7.5YR 5/6 С silty clay loam 85 15 8-13 2.5Y 5/1 10YR 5/6 С M silty clay Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) X Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Project	operty	City/County: J	efferson	Sampling Date:	10/06	/16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle			State: Kentucky	Sa	ampling Point:	DP 2
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnaha	ın		Section, Township	, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	open field	Local relief (concave		none	Slope (%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.1		Long.: 85.64594° W		ium:	
Soil Map Unit Name: RoA - Robertsville			Vac (If no over	NWI Classificat	ion: not io	dentified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the	- ·	=		ain in remarks)		
Are vegetation , soil	, or hydrology	significantly dist		Are "normal circums	stances"	Voo
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	naturally proble		present? (If needed, explain a	ınv anewere in rei	Yes
			,	iii iieeded, expiaiii a	ily allswers ill lei	ilaiks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	No					
Hydric soil present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	1	No		
Wetland hydrology present?	No	within a wetianur	•			
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures	s here or in a separate report.	.)				
Upland point taken adjacent to Wetland	1.					
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators						
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is re	quired: check all that apply)		Secondary	/ Indicators (minimur	m of two required)
Surface Water (A1)		Aquatic Plants (B14)	Gecondary	Surface Soil Cracks		/
High Water Table (A2)		rogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Sparsely Vegetated	` '	o (P8)
Saturation (A3)		lized Rhizospheres on Livi	ng Poots (C3)	Drainage Patterns (5 (DO)
Water Marks (B1)		sence of Reduced Iron (C4	- · · · ·	Moss Trim Lines (B	•	
		•			•	
Sediment Deposits (B2)		ent Iron Reduction in Tilled		Dry-Season Water		
Drift Deposits (B3)		Muck Surface (C7)		Crayfish Burrows (C	· ·	(00)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		er (Explain in Remarks)		Saturation Visible of		(69)
Iron Deposits (B5)	(DT)			Stunted or Stressed		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima	agery (B7)			Geomorphic Positio		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Shallow Aquitard (D		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				Microtopographic R		
				FAC-Neutral Test (I)5)	
Field Observations:						
Surface water present? Yes	No >	X Depth (inches):	N/A			
·		X Depth (inches):		Wetland		
Water table present? Yes Saturation present? Yes		X Depth (inches):	N/A	hydrology present?	No	
(includes capillary fringe)		Deptil (illiches).	IV/A	present:	110	
(includes capillary inlige)						
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, r	monitoring well perial photos	nrevious inspections) if a	vailable:			
	normorning well, derial priotos,	previous inspections), ii a	valiable.			
Remarks:						
· tomaine:						

VEGETATION (Four Strata)	Use scientific	names of pla	nts	Sampling Point: DP 2
			_	Dominance Test Worksheet
<u>Tree Stratum</u> Plot Size (30')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	
,	Cover	Species	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
4				
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.00% (A/B)
7				
8				Prevalence Index Worksheet
9				Total % Cover of:
0	0 =	Total Cover		OBL species
		Total Cover		FAC species
Sapling/Shrub PLACE (45)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FAC species FACU species
Stratum Plot Size (15')	Absolute % Cover	Species	Status	UPL species
1		<u> </u>	Otaldo	Column totals (B)
2				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3				Flevaletice littlex - D/A -
4				
5				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6				1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
7				2 - Dominance test is >50%
8				3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
9				4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
10				supporting data in Remarks or on a
·	0 =	Total Cover		separate sheet)
				Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
Dist 0: (51)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
Herb Stratum Plot Size (5')	Cover	Species	Status	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
1 Schedonorus arundinaceus	60	Yes	FACU	hydrology must be present, unless
2 Setaria faberi	60	Yes	UPL	disturbed or problematic
3 Cyperus esculentus	10	No	FACW	·
4 Panicum anceps	10	No	FAC	
5 Fraxinus pennsylvanica	5	No	FACW	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
6 Solanum carolinense	5	No	FACU	
7 Symphyotrichum pilosum	5	No	FAC	
8 Andropogon virginicus	2	No	FACU	
9 Vernonia gigantea	2	No	FAC	
10				Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
11				breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
13				greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
14			<u> </u>	And the second s
15				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	159 =	Total Cover	<u></u>	מונע שטטעץ אומונט וכטט נוומון ט.בט ונ נמוו.
				Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
Voody Vine Stratum Plot Size (30')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	
voody vine dilatain	Cover	Species	Status	
1				
2				
3				
4				Hydrophytic
5				vegetation
	=	Total Cover		present? No
emarks: (Include photo numbers here or on	a separate sheet)			

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 2 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Texture Remarks Color (moist) Color (moist) Type¹ Loc² (Inches) silty clay loam 0-3 10YR 4/2 97 7.5Y 5/6 3 Μ С 3-12 10YR 4/1 82 7.5YR 6/6 10 М С silty clay loam М 5 10YR 5/6 С 5YR 5/6 3 С M Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) X Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Prope	rty	City/County: J	efferson	Sampling Date:	10/06	5/16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle			State: Kentucky	Sa	ampling Point:	DP 3
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnahan		_	Section, Township	, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	open field	Local relief (concave		none	Slope (%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.10		Long.: 85.64567° W	Date		
Soil Map Unit Name: RoA - Robertsville si			Vac (If no over	NWI Classificat	ion: not io	dentified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site		-	·	ain in remarks)		
Are vegetation , soil	, or hydrology	significantly dist		Are "normal circums	stances"	Voo
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	naturally probler		present? (If needed, explain a	ny answers in re	Yes
			,	iii iieeded, explaiii a	ny answers in rei	iidiks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	Yes					
Hydric soil present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	1	No		
Wetland hydrology present?	No	within a Wetland:	•			
_						
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures he	ere or in a separate report.)				
Upland point taken adjacent to Wetland 1.		•				
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators						
Driman Indicators (minimum of analis requi	radi abaak all that anniv		Casandan	Indicators (minimus	a of two required	`
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required)		Assortia Diamete (D44)	Secondary	Indicators (minimur)
Surface Water (A1)		Aquatic Plants (B14)		Surface Soil Cracks	` '	(D0)
High Water Table (A2)		ogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Sparsely Vegetated		e (B8)
Saturation (A3)		ized Rhizospheres on Livi	- · · · ·	Drainage Patterns (I	•	
Water Marks (B1)		ence of Reduced Iron (C4		Moss Trim Lines (B	•	
Sediment Deposits (B2)		ent Iron Reduction in Tilled	Soils (C6)	Dry-Season Water 1		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Thin	Muck Surface (C7)		Crayfish Burrows (C	.8)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Othe	er (Explain in Remarks)		Saturation Visible or	n Aerial Imagery	(C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Stunted or Stressed	Plants (D1)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Image	ry (B7)			Geomorphic Position	n (D2)	
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Shallow Aquitard (D	3)	
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				Microtopographic Re	elief (D4)	
				FAC-Neutral Test (D)5)	
			ī			
Field Observations:						
Surface water present? Yes	No X		N/A	Wetland		
Water table present? Yes	No X			hydrology		
Saturation present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A	present?	No	
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos,	previous inspections), if a	vailable:			
Remarks:						

ot Size (30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: (A)
ot Size (30')				that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
		Орешес	Otatuo	that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
				Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
				Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
				Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
				- Deminant Chaolog
				Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL_FACW_or_FAC: 50.00% (A/F
				that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.00% (A/E
				Prevalence Index Worksheet
				Total % Cover of:
				OBL species
	=	Total Cover		FACW species
				FAC species
	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
ot Size (15')	Cover	Species	Status	
	0040.	Ореско	Oluluo	UPL species(P)
				Column totals (B)
				Prevalence Index = B/A =
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
				1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
				2 - Dominance test is >50%
				3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
				4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
	<u></u>			supporting data in Remarks or on a
	0 =	Total Cover		separate sheet)
				Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
lot Size (5')				
				*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
	60	Yes		hydrology must be present, unless
naceus	35	Yes	FACU	disturbed or problematic
aria	25	No	FACW	
	20	No	FAC	
inum	15	No	FAC	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
	10			
ca				
	5	No	FAC	The state of the s
	2	No	FAC	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
IS	2	No	FACU	breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
				DPH and
		NO	FACU	Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
				greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
				h All back arrays (non woods) planta regardless of (
<u> </u>				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of s
	186 =	Total Cover		and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
				All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in heir
	Abaduta %	Deminant	Indicator	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in heigh
ot Size (30')				
•	Cover	Species	Status	
				Hydrophytic
		T-1-1 Cover		vegetation
		Total Cover		present? Yes
nbers here or on a	separate sheet)	· -		
n iir	naceus vria num ica st Size (30')	Absolute % Cover 60 35 acceus 35 acceus 15 10 5 5 2 8 2 8 2 Absolute % Absolute % Absolute %	Absolute % Dominant Species	Absolute % Dominant Species Status

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 3 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Texture Remarks Color (moist) Color (moist) Type¹ Loc² (Inches) 0-4 10YR 4/2 90 5YR 4/6 10 Μ silty clay loam С 4-10 10YR 6/1 90 М 10YR 5/6 5 С silt loam М 5YR 5/6 3 С 7.5YR 2.5/1 2 D M 10-14 10YR 6/2 60 7.5YR 5/6 40 С Μ silty clay loam Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) X Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Project	perty	City/County: Je	efferson	Sampling I	Date:	10/06/	16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle			State: Kentucky		Sampling F	oint:	DP 4
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnahar	1		Section, Townshi	ip, Range:	, ,		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	open field	Local relief (concave,	convex, none):	none	Slope	(%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.10	0118° N	Long.: 85.64554° W		Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name: RoA - Robertsville				NWI Clas		not ide	entified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the si	te typical for this time of the	year?	Yes (If no, exp	olain in remarks	s)		
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	significantly distu		Are "normal c	ircumstances"		
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	naturally problem	natic?	present?			Yes
				(If needed, exp	olain any answ	ers in rem	arks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS							
SOMMANT OF FINDINGS	Т						
III do de la constalia de la constalia	V.						
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area		V			
Hydric soil present?	Yes	within a Wetland?			es	•	
Wetland hydrology present?	Yes						
Damanda (Candain alkamatika anasadana		\					
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures)					
Point taken within northeastern portion of	vvetland 1.						
LIVERGLOOV							
HYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicators							
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is req	uired: check all that apply)		Secondar	ry Indicators (m	inimum of two	required)	
		Aquatic Plants (B14)	Jecondai	Surface Soil C		required)	
Surface Water (A1)				_		Curfoso	(D0)
High Water Table (A2)		ogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		-	etated Concave	Surrace	(B8)
Saturation (A3)		ized Rhizospheres on Livin	- · · · · · -	Drainage Patt			
Water Marks (B1)		ence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Moss Trim Lir			
Sediment Deposits (B2)		ent Iron Reduction in Tilled	Soils (C6)	-	Vater Table (C	2)	
Drift Deposits (B3)		Muck Surface (C7)		_Crayfish Burro			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Othe	r (Explain in Remarks)	X	Saturation Vis			C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Stunted or Str	ressed Plants (D1)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imag	gery (B7)			_Geomorphic F	Position (D2)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Shallow Aquit	ard (D3)		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				_Microtopograp	ohic Relief (D4)	1	
			X	FAC-Neutral	Γest (D5)		
Field Observations:							
Surface water present? Yes	No X	C Depth (inches):	N/A	Wetland			
Water table present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A	hydrology			
Saturation present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A	present?	Yes		
(includes capillary fringe)				•	-	-	
. , , , ,							
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, m	onitoring well, aerial photos,	previous inspections), if av	railable:				
, , , , ,							
Remarks:							

,		Use scientific r	lumes .	1110	Sampling Point: DP 4 Dominance Test Worksheet
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dollmance rest tro. no. 100.
ree Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Absolute % Cover	Species	Status	
			Ороскос	Olulao	Number of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
		<u></u>			Total Number of Dominant
		-			Species Across all Strata: 3 (B)
					Percent of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/E
					Prevalence Index Worksheet
					Total % Cover of:
					OBL species
		0 =	Total Cover		FACW species
			Total Cotts.		FAC species
apling/Shrub		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
apling/Shrub Stratum	Plot Size (15')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Status	
Stratum		COVE	ομεσιου	Olaius	UPL species
					Column totals (B)
					Prevalence Index = B/A =
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
					1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
					X 2 - Dominance test is >50%
					3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
			-		4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
			·		supporting data in Remarks or on a
			Tital Cayor		separate sheet)
		=	Total Cover		
					Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
erb Stratum	Plot Size (5')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
		Cover	Species	Status	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
Typha latifolia		40	Yes	OBL	hydrology must be present, unless
Ludwigia palustri		20	Yes	OBL	disturbed or problematic
Lysimachia numi	mularia	20	Yes	FACW	
Schedonorus art	undinaceus	15	No	FACU	
Juncus effusus		5	No	FACW	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
Rumex crispus		5	No	FAC	
					Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
					breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
					Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
_					greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
	_				and the second s
		<u> </u>			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
		105 =	Total Cover		and woody plants less than 3.20 it tail.
					Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in hei
=		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	VYOOGY VIIIes - / VII WOOGY VIIIOO G. Sales. Elem I
dy Vine Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	
					
		<u> </u>	-		
					Hydrophytic
					vegetation
		0 =	Total Cover		present? Yes
rks: (Include photo	o numbers here or on a	a separate sheet)			
		r			

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 4 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Texture Remarks Type¹ Color (moist) Color (moist) Loc² (Inches) silty clay loam 0-12 10YR 3/2 95 5YR 4/6 5 PL/M С 12-14 10YR 4/1 70 7.5YR 5/6 20 М С silty clay loam М 5 10YR 5/2 D 7.5YR 2.5/2 5 D M Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Prop	erty	City/County: Jo	efferson	Sampling I	Date:	10/06/1	16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle			State: Kentucky		Sampling F	oint:	DP 5
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnahan			Section, Townsh	ip, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	open field	Local relief (concave	convex, none):	none	Slope	(%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.10	0134° N	Long.: 85.64589° W		Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name: RoA - Robertsville				NWI Clas		not ide	entified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the sit	e typical for this time of the y	year?	Yes (If no, exp	olain in remarks	s)		
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "normal c	ircumstances"		
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	naturally probler	natic?	present?			Yes
				(If needed, exp	olain any answe	ers in rem	arks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS							
SUMMART OF FINDINGS							
III da de la francia de la companya	V						
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area	Ì				
Hydric soil present?	Yes	within a Wetland?		Y	es	-	
Wetland hydrology present?	<u>Yes</u>						
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures h)					
Point taken within the north-central portion	of Wetland 1.						
HYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicators							
Deien en la diseate en (enimien en ef eur in en en	.:		0	l di t /	:-:		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requ		A (1 D) ((D) ()	Secondar	ry Indicators (m		requirea)	
Surface Water (A1)		Aquatic Plants (B14)		Surface Soil C			
High Water Table (A2)		ogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		-	etated Concave	e Surface	(B8)
Saturation (A3)	X Oxidi	zed Rhizospheres on Livir	ng Roots (C3)	_Drainage Patt	erns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1)	Prese	ence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Moss Trim Lir	ies (B16)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Rece	ent Iron Reduction in Tilled	Soils (C6)	_Dry-Season V	/ater Table (C2	2)	
Drift Deposits (B3)	Thin	Muck Surface (C7)		Crayfish Burro	ows (C8)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other	r (Explain in Remarks)		Saturation Vis	ible on Aerial I	magery (C	C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Stunted or Str	essed Plants (D1)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imag	jery (B7)			Geomorphic F	Position (D2)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Shallow Aquit	ard (D3)		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)			-	- Microtopograp	hic Relief (D4))	
<u> </u>			X	FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)		
				_			
Field Observations:							
Surface water present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A				
Water table present? Yes	No X	` ` ` _	N/A	Wetland			
Saturation present? Yes	No X	' ' ' _	N/A	hydrology present?	Yes		
(includes capillary fringe)		Boptii (iiioiioo).		procent.		-	
(morados sapinary milgo)							
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, mo	nitoring well aerial photos	nrevious inspections) if a	vailable:				
Decembe recorded data (etream gaage, me	mitoring won, donar priotoc, i	provious inspessions), ii u	valiable.				
Remarks:							
inciliains.							

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Use scientific n		1110	Sampling Point: DP 5 Dominance Test Worksheet
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance rest from Silvers
ree Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	
			Ороспос		Number of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
			<u> </u>		Total Number of Dominant
					Species Across all Strata:3(B)
			-		
					Percent of Dominant Species
					•
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/B
			<u> </u>		Prevalence Index Worksheet
		<u> </u>			Total % Cover of:
					OBL species
		0 =	Total Cover		FACW species
			10101 0010		FAC species
" · · /O! · · · b		At the Alexander	Divisional	I di sata	
pling/Shrub	Plot Size (15')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
<u>Stratum</u>		Cover	Species	Status	UPL species
Salix nigra		5	Yes	OBL	Column totals (B)
<u> </u>					Prevalence Index = B/A =
					1 TOVAIGITOO ITICOX
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
					1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
					X 2 - Dominance test is >50%
					3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
					4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
					supporting data in Remarks or on a
_	_	5 =	Total Cover	_	separate sheet)
					Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
erb Stratum	Plot Size (5')	Cover	Species	Status	(explain)
				-	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
Scirpus atrovirens	3	60	Yes	OBL	hydrology must be present, unless
Lysimachia numm	nularia	25	Yes	FACW	disturbed or problematic
Cyperus esculent	us	15	No	FACW	
Panicum anceps		10	No	FAC	
				FAC	Definitions of Four Vagatation Strata
Setaria pumila		10	No		Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
Conoclinium coele		5	No	FAC	
Fraxinus pennsylv	/anica	5	No	FACW	
Symphyotrichum j	pilosum	2	No	FAC	
-, , ,					
					Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
					breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
					bleast holght (DDH), rogaratous of
					Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
					greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
					ground and one of the transfer
					Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of s
					and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
		132 =	Total Cover		and woody planto loos than old it is
					Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in heigh
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Troody Times 7 in troody Times ground alian old a man old and a man old a ma
ly Vine Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	
			Орсско	Otatas	
			- <u></u>		
			,		
					Hydrophytic
					vegetation
		0 =	Total Cover		present? Yes
ks: (Include photo	numbers here or on a	a separate sheet)			
No. (1110.0000 p	idinoord note 1. 1	1 30parate 55,			

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 5 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Remarks Color (moist) Type¹ Color (moist) Loc² (Inches) 70 0-5 10YR 6/1 5YR 4/6 20 PL/M silty clay С 2.5Y 4/2+ 10 С M 10 5-14 2.5Y 5/1 76 10YR 6/6 М С silty clay loam 7.5YR 4/6 10 С PL/M 5YR 3/2 4 С M Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) X Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Property		City/County: J	efferson	Sampling Da	ate:	10/06/	16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle		_	State: Kentucky	•	Sampling P	oint:	DP 6
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnahan			Section, Township	o, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	open field	Local relief (concave		none	Slope	(%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.101		Long.: 85.64561° W		Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name: RoA - Robertsville silt lo			Vac (If no own	NWI Classi	fication:	not ide	entified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typ				lain in remarks)			
Are vegetation , soil	, or hydrology	significantly distr		Are "normal circ	:umstances"		Voc
Are vegetation, soil	, or hydrology	naturally probler		present? (If needed, expla	ain any anews	re in rem	Yes
				(II fieeded, expir	illi aliy aliswe	15 111 16111	aiks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS							
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	Yes						
Hydric soil present?	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	1	No)		
Wetland hydrology present?	No	within a Wetland:				•	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here	or in a separate report.)						
Upland point taken adjacent to Wetland 1.							
HYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicators							
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required	check all that apply)		Secondary	y Indicators (min	imum of two	required)	
Surface Water (A1)		quatic Plants (B14)	Occordar	Surface Soil Cra		cquircu)	
High Water Table (A2)		gen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Sparsely Veget	. ,	Surface	(B8)
Saturation (A3)		ed Rhizospheres on Livir	na Roots (C3)	Drainage Patter		, ouridoc	(50)
Water Marks (B1)		ice of Reduced Iron (C4)		Moss Trim Line			
Sediment Deposits (B2)		t Iron Reduction in Tilled		Dry-Season Wa))	
Drift Deposits (B3)		uck Surface (C7)		Crayfish Burrow	-	-)	
				•		magan, ((20)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Saturation Visib			J9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	'D 7 \			Stunted or Stree)))	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B/)			Geomorphic Po			
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Shallow Aquitar			
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				Microtopograph FAC-Neutral Te			
				PAC-Neutral Te	St (D3)		
Field Observations:							
Surface water present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A				
Water table present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A	Wetland			
Saturation present? Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	N/A	hydrology present?	No		
(includes capillary fringe)		Deptir (mones).	14/71	presenti	110	•	
(includes capillary lillige)							
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitor	ring well aerial photos pr	revious inspections) if a	vailable:				
	mg non, aona priotos, pr	011000op000o.,, u					
Remarks:							

,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Use scientific r			Sampling Point: DP 6 Dominance Test Worksheet
	_	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	20
ree Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	<u> </u>
					Number of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
					Total Number of Dominant
					Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
					Percent of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/B)
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					Prevalence Index Worksheet
					Total % Cover of:
					OBL species
		0 =	Total Cayor		
			Total Cover		FACW species
					FAC species
apling/Shrub	Plot Size (15')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
<u>Stratum</u>	(10)	Cover	Species	Status	UPL species
					Column totals (B)
					Prevalence Index = B/A =
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
					1
					1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
					X 2 - Dominance test is >50%
					3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
					4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
					supporting data in Remarks or on a
		0 =	Total Cover		separate sheet)
					Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
lerb Stratum	Plot Size (5')	Cover	Species	Status	
Conoclinium coele	etinum	40	Yes	FAC	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
	Surium			FAC	hydrology must be present, unless
Setaria pumila	<u>"</u>	40	Yes		disturbed or problematic
Schedonorus arun		20	No	FACU	
Solanum caroliner	nse	15	No	FACU	
Andropogon virgin	icus	10	No	FACU	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
Apocynum cannab	oinum	10	No	FACU	
Tridens flavus	_	10	No	FACU	
Cyperus esculentu	IS	5	No	FACW	
Calystegia sepium		2	No	FAC	
Vernonia gigantea		2	No	FAC	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
vernonia gigantea	<u>'</u>		110	TAC	breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
					Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
					greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
					III A Allbertene etc.
					Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size
	_	154 =	Total Cover		and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
					Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	vvoody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.26 π in neight
dy Vine Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	
			Среско	Julius	
					Hydrophytic
	_				vegetation
		0 =	Total Cover		present? Yes
					
irks: (Include photo r	numbers here or on a	a separate sheet)			

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 6 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Texture Remarks Color (moist) Type¹ Color (moist) Loc² (Inches) 0-7 10YR 3/3 68 10YR 4/2 30 D М silty clay loam 7.5YR 4/6 PL/M 2 С 10YR 5/3 7-14 85 10 М 10YR 4/2 D silt loam 7.5YR 5/6 5 С PL/M Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? No Depth (inches): Remarks:

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure Lane Pro	perty	City/County: Jefferson	s	ampling Date:	10/06/	16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan Tingle		State: K	entucky	Sampling	Point:	DP 7
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B. Carnaha	n		ction, Township, Ra	nge:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	open field	Local relief (concave, convex, r			oe (%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA) LRR N	Lat.: 38.1	0123° N Long.: 8	5.64684° W	Datum:	· · · 	
Soil Map Unit Name: UhC - Urban land	-Alfic udarents, fragipan subs	stratum-over hard bedrock, 0 to 12 pe	ercent	NWI Classification:	not id	entified
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the s	site typical for this time of the	year? Yes	(If no, explain ir	n remarks)		
Are vegetation , soil	, or hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are '	'normal circumstances	;"	
Are vegetation , soil	, or hydrology	naturally problematic?	pres	ent?		Yes
	<u></u>		(If ne	eded, explain any ansv	wers in rem	narks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	Yes	la the Sampled Area				
Hydric soil present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?		Yes		
Wetland hydrology present?	Yes	William a Wollana.			<u> </u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures	here or in a separate report.)				
Point taken within the wetsern portion of	Wetland 1.					
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators						
Wettand Hydrology indicators						
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is rec	quired; check all that apply)		Secondary Indi	cators (minimum of two	o required)	
Surface Water (A1)	True	Aquatic Plants (B14)	Surfa	ace Soil Cracks (B6)		
High Water Table (A2)	Hydi	rogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Spar	sely Vegetated Conca	ve Surface	(B8)
Saturation (A3)	X Oxid	lized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Drain	nage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1)	Pres	sence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Moss	s Trim Lines (B16)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		ent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6		Season Water Table (0	C2)	
Drift Deposits (B3)		Muck Surface (C7)		fish Burrows (C8)	- ,	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		er (Explain in Remarks)		ration Visible on Aeria	I Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)		(Explain in Normanie)		ted or Stressed Plants		00)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Ima	agen/ (R7)			morphic Position (D2)	(51)	
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	igery (D7)			low Aquitard (D3)		
					4)	
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				otopographic Relief (D	4)	
			X FAC	-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations			I			
Field Observations:		V Danille (horton)				
Surface water present? Yes		X Depth (inches): N/A	Wetl			
Water table present? Yes		Depth (inches): N/A		ology		
Saturation present? Yes	No	Depth (inches): N/A	pres	ent? Yes	_	
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, n	nonitoring well, aerial photos,	previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks:						
1						

	ou. casa,	Use scientific r	iumet .	11.0	Sampling Point: DP 7 Dominance Test Worksheet
		Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance rest works.
ree Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	Maria and Daminant Oncoins
			- p		Number of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
					Total Number of Dominant
					Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
					Percent of Dominant Species
					that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/E
					Prevalence Index Worksheet
					Total % Cover of:
					OBL species
		=	Total Cover		FACW species
					FAC species
apling/Shrub	Plot Size (15')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
Stratum	, ,	Cover	Species	Status	UPL species
					Column totals(B)
			,		Prevalence Index = B/A =
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
					1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
					X 2 - Dominance test is >50%
					3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
					4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
					supporting data in Remarks or on a
		0 =	Total Cover	-	separate sheet)
					Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
	· O: · (EI)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
erb Stratum	Plot Size (5')	Cover	Species	Status	
Scirpus atroviren	9	60	Yes	OBL	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless
Carex vulpinoide		30	Yes	OBL	disturbed or problematic
Lysimachia numn		20	No	FACW	erecented at the proof
Bidens frondosa	Idiana	10	No	FACW	
Schedonorus aru	undinaceus	10	No	FACU	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
Ouncue	Humaocae				Deliminono or 1 32. 1 5g
					Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
					breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
				-	
					Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
					greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
					Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of s
					and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
		130 =	Total Cover		and moss, plants loss than size it is
					Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in heigh
dy Vine Stratum	Plot Size (30')	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	
ly ville oudia	FIUL GIZE (GG)	Cover	Species	Status	
					Hydrophytic
					vegetation
		0 =	Total Cover		present? Yes
	numbers here or on a	a senarate sheet)			<u> </u>
des (Include nhoto	NUMBERS HOLD OF SELECTION	a Separate street,			
rks: (Include photo					
rks: (Include photo					
rks: (Include photo					
rks: (Include photo					

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 7 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Texture Remarks Type¹ Color (moist) Color (moist) Loc² (Inches) silty clay loam 100 0-4 10YR 3/3 4-13 10YR 4/2 90 7.5YR 5/6 PL/M 10 С silty clay loam Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) X Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont

Project/Site: 6406 Leisure	Lane Property	City/County: J	efferson	Sampling Date	e: 10/06	6/16
Applicant/Owner: Mr. Dan	Tingle		State: Kentucky		Sampling Point:	DP 8
Investigator(s): S. Brower, B	. Carnahan		Section, Township	, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc	-	Local relief (concave	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	none	Slope (%):	0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA)		10125° N	Long.: 85.64668° W		atum:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Robertsville silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slo			NWI Classific	ation: not i	dentified
· -	ns of the site typical for this time of the	-		ain in remarks)		
Are vegetation, so		significantly dist	-	Are "normal circu	nstances"	V
Are vegetation, so	oil , or hydrology	naturally probler		oresent?		Yes
			(ir needed, explair	any answers in re	emarks)
SUMMARY OF FIND	INGS					
OOMMINATE OF THE						
Hydrophytic vegetation present	? No					
Hydric soil present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area	ì	No		
•	No	within a Wetland?	-	NO		
Wetland hydrology present?						
Demortos (Evaleia elternetivo a	vacaduras bare er in a concrete rener	<u> </u>				
, ,	procedures here or in a separate report	t.)				
Upland point taken adjacent to	vvetiand 1.					
HADBOI OCA						
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indic	ators					
Primary Indicators (minimum of	one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary	Indicators (minim	num of two required	4)
Surface Water (A1)		e Aquatic Plants (B14)		Surface Soil Crac		/
High Water Table (A2		drogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			ed Concave Surfac	e (B8)
Saturation (A3)	· — ·	dized Rhizospheres on Livi		Drainage Patterns		<i>.</i> е (во)
		•	- · · · -	=		
Water Marks (B1)		sence of Reduced Iron (C4		Moss Trim Lines		
Sediment Deposits (B	· ·	cent Iron Reduction in Tilled		Dry-Season Wate		
Drift Deposits (B3)		n Muck Surface (C7)		Crayfish Burrows		(00)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4	1)Oth	er (Explain in Remarks)			on Aerial Imagery	(C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Stunted or Stress		
Inundation Visible on	=			Geomorphic Posi		
Water-Stained Leave	s (B9)			Shallow Aquitard		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				Microtopographic	Relief (D4)	
				FAC-Neutral Test	(D5)	
			1			
Field Observations:						
Surface water present?	Yes No	X Depth (inches):	N/A	Wetland		
Water table present?	Yes No	X Depth (inches):		hydrology		
Saturation present?	Yes No	X Depth (inches):		present?	No	
(includes capillary fringe)	<u> </u>					
Describe recorded data (stream	n gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos	s, previous inspections), if a	vailable:			
Remarks:						
i						

Plot Size (30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test Worksheet
Plot Size (30')		DOITHIG	liturouso.	
	CYCIACI	Species	Status	
		Орсоюс	Oluluo	Number of Dominant Species
				that are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
	-			Total Number of Dominant
				Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
		-		
				Descript of Deminant Spacing
				Percent of Dominant Species
				that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.00% (A/
				Prevalence Index Worksheet
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Total % Cover of:
				OBL species
	0 =	Total Cover		FACW species
				FAC species
	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACU species
Plot Size (15')				
	COVE	<u> Ομευισο</u>	Status	UPL species
				Column totals(B)
				Prevalence Index = B/A =
				_
				l
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
				1 - Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
				2 - Dominance test is >50%
 _	<u></u>			3 - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
				4 - Morphological adaptations* (provide
				supporting data in Remarks or on a
		T-tal Cover		separate sheet)
		Total Cover		
				Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
Diat Size (51)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	(explain)
FIUL SIZE (U)	Cover	Species	Status	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland
ninicus	60	Yes	FACU	hydrology must be present, unless
Thous				disturbed or problematic
Lenies				disturbed or problems
				l
ntus	10	No		Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata
	5	No	FAC	Ţ
raciflua	5	No	FAC	l
n pilosum	5	No	FAC	l
<u> </u>				Ţ
				Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at
culatum	2	No	FACU	breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
				Dreast neight (DD17), regardless of holynd
			 _	Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
				greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
				greater than 0.20 it (1 ii., tail.
				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of s
				and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	144 =	Total Cover		and moody plants (222 a.e.)
	<u>-</u>			Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in heigh
'00"	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	
Plot Size (30')	Cover	Species	Status	Ţ
	=	5 F - 1 1		l
				l
				Ţ
	<u> </u>			Hydrophytic
				vegetation
	0 =	Total Cover		present? No
		Tulai ouvei		hieseiit:
·				
numbers here or on a	a separate sheet)			
ni ra	Plot Size (5') ininicus ilvanica s intus raciflua n pilosum oidea iculatum	Plot Size (15') Absolute % Cover O = Plot Size (5') Absolute % Cover inicus 60 25 itus 15 itus 10 5 raciflua 5 ipilosum 5 oidea 2 iculatum 2 Plot Size (30') Absolute % Cover Absolute % Cover Absolute % Cover	Plot Size (15')	Plot Size (15')

SOIL Sampling Point: DP 8 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Remarks Type¹ Color (moist) Loc² (Inches) Color (moist) 0-14 10YR 4/2 85 7.5YR 5/6 15 PL/M silty clay loam Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains - ²Location: PL=Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) Histisol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) Stratified Layers (A5) X Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR,N Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gley Matrix (S4) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) and wetland hydrology must be Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks:

APPENDIX B

PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

ATTACHMENT

PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):
- B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PRELIMINARY JD:

Permittee:

Mr. Dan Tingle 20841 8th Avenue West Cudjoe Key, FL 33042 (502) 930-5417 dan.tingle@att.net

Represented by:

Redwing Ecological Services, Inc. Attn: Mr. Neil Guthals 1139 South Fourth Street Louisville, KY 40203 (502) 625-3009 nguthals@redwingeco.com

- C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:
- **D. PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** The approximately 13-acre study area is located 0.3 mile east of the intersection of Cooper Chapel Road and Leisure Lane.

(USE THE ATTACHED TABLE TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE WATERBODIES AT DIFFERENT SITES)

State: Kentucky County/parish/borough: Jefferson City: Louisville

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):

Lat. 38.100727° N, Long. 85.645628° W

Name of nearest waterbody: Pennsylvania Run

Identify (estimate) amount of waters in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet

0.6 acre

Cowardin Class: PuBHh

Wetlands 2.05 acres

Cowardin Class: PEM1/PSS1

Name of any water bodies on the site that have been identified as Section 10 waters:

Tidal: Non-Tidal:

- E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 - Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
 - ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
- 1. The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional waters of the United States on the subject site, and the permit applicant or other affected party who requested this preliminary JD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) for that site. Nevertheless, the permit applicant or other person who requested this preliminary JD has declined to exercise the option to obtain an approved JD in this instance and at this time.
- 2. In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "pre-construction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an approved JD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware of the following: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a preliminary JD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional waters; (2) that the applicant has the option to request an approved JD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an approved JD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) that the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization; (4) that the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) that undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an approved JD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the preliminary JD, but that either form of JD will be processed as soon as is

practicable; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a preliminary JD constitutes agreement that all wetlands and other water bodies on the site affected in any way by that activity are jurisdictional waters of the United States, and precludes any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an approved JD or a preliminary JD, that JD will be processed as soon as is practicable. Further, an approved JD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331, and that in any administrative appeal, jurisdictional issues can be raised (see 33 C.F.R. 331.5(a)(2)). If, during that administrative appeal, it becomes necessary to make an official determination whether CWA jurisdiction exists over a site, or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional waters on the site, the Corps will provide an approved JD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable.

This preliminary JD finds that there "may be" waters of the United States on the subject project site, and identifies all aquatic features on the site that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:

	RTING DATA. Data reviewed for preliminary JD (check all that apply) - checked items should
be i ⊠ ⊠	ncluded in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Ш	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
⊠ ⊠ □ ⊠ □1	□ USGS NHD data. □ USGS 8 and 11 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad names: 1:24,000 – Brooks and Mount Washington; Kentucky Quadrangles. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey Geographic Database for Jefferson County, Kentucky (2008) National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS National Wetlands Inventory State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: ☑FEMA DFIRM Flood Data for Jefferson County (2010), 00-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☑ Aerial (Name & Date): World Imagery – ESRI and the GIS User Community (2014). or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Site photographs – September 15, 2016 and October 6, 2016.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Other information (please specify):
	FANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional determinations.
•	re and date of ory Project Manager RED) Signature and date of person requesting preliminary JD (REQUIRED, unless obtaining the signature is impracticable)

Site number	Latitude	Longitude	Cowardin Class	Estimated amount of aquatic resource in review area	Class of aquatic resource
Wetland 1	38.101252° N	85.645759° W	PEM1/PSS1	2.05 acres	non-section 10 – wetland
Open Water 1	38.100161° N	85.646258° W	PuBHh	0.6 acre	non-section 10 – non- wetland

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

Applicant: Mindel Scott	File Number: LRL-2026-1050	Date: 31 AUG 21
Attached is:	See Section below	
INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permits)	A	
PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Let	В	
PERMIT DENIAL		С
X APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINA	D	
PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERM	E	

SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://www.usace.army.mil/CECW/Pages/reg_materials.aspx or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

- A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.
- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final
 authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your
 signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights
 to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.
- B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit
- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.
- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

GEOTION II DEGLIEGE FOR ARREAL ORIGINAL		
SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTION		
REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describ	e your reasons for appealing the d	ecision or your objections to an
initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attac	h additional information to this fo	rm to clarify where your reasons
or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)		
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a revise	y of the administrative record the	Come mamarandum for the
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review		
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental		
clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Co		
you may provide additional information to clarify the location of it		iministrative record.
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFOR	MATION:	
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal	If you only have questions regard	ding the appeal process you may
process you may contact:	also contact:	
Sarah Atherton	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
US Army Corps of Engineers – Louisville District	Great Lakes and Ohio River Div	ision
PO Box 59, Rm 752	ATTN: Ms. Suzanne Chubb	151011
Attn: CELRL-RDS	Appeal Review Officer	
Louisville, KY 40201-0059		
(502) 315-6711	550 Main Street, Room 10-714	
(302) 313-0/11	Cincinnati, OH 45202-3222	0.684.2460
	TEL (513) 684-7261; FAX (513)) 004-2400
DICHT OF EVERY V		
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of ent		
consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the		a will be provided a 15-day
notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to pa	articipate in all site investigations.	
	Date:	Telephone number:
		_
Signature of appellant or agent.		
orginature of appendint of agent.		



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): August 31, 2021

ORM Number: LRL-2016-1050-sea

Associated JDs: AJD dated December 1, 2017

Review Area Location1:

State/Territory: KY City: Louisville County/Parish/Borough: Jefferson County Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 38.100727 Longitude -85.645628

☐ There are area (com ☐ There are	review area (or e "waters of the aplete appropr	complete table in section e United States" within Cl iate tables in section II.C er features excluded fror	ean Water Act jurisdiction within the review
Divore and U		1899 Section 10 (§ 10) ² § 10 Criteria	
	§ 10 Size	ų -	Rationale for § 10 Determination N/A
§ 10 Name N/A	N/A	N/A 04	N/A
§ 10 Name N/A Clean Water	N/A Act Section 4 s and Tradition (a)(1) Size	04 nal Navigable Waters ((a (a)(1) Criteria	
§ 10 Name N/A Clean Water A Territorial Sea	N/A Act Section 4 s and Tradition	04 nal Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters) ³
§ 10 Name N/A Clean Water A Territorial Sea (a)(1) Name	N/A Act Section 4 s and Tradition (a)(1) Size N/A	04 nal Navigable Waters ((a (a)(1) Criteria)(1) waters) ³ Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
§ 10 Name N/A Clean Water A Territorial Sea (a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name N/A	N/A Act Section 4 s and Tradition (a)(1) Size N/A)(2) Waters): (a)(2) Size N/A	04 nal Navigable Waters ((a) (a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria)(1) waters) ³ Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination N/A

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
NWPR Open Water Pond	0.6 acres	(b)(1) Lake/pond or impoundment that does not contribute surface water flow directly or indirectly to an (a)(1) water and is not inundated by flooding from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year	NWPR Open Water Pond does not contribute surface water flow directly or indirectly to an (a)(1) water and is not inundated by flooding from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.
NWPR Wetland 1	2.05 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	NWPR Wetland 1 does not physically abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water and is not inundated by water from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

١.		ct/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this
	docui	ment and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
	<u>X</u>	Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Appendix 1 – Request for
		Corps Jurisdictional Determination dated February 22, 2021.
		This information is not sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
		Rationale: Did not include delineation amounts of the aquatic resources. Used information
		form previously submitted Request for Jurisdictional Determination dated October 20, 2016.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
		Photographs: (NA, aerial, other, aerial and other) Title(s) and/or date(s).
		Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: <i>Date(s)</i> .
	<u>X</u>	Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): LRL-2016-1050-sea dated December
		1, 2017.
		Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
		USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Title(s) and/or date(s).
		USFWS NWI maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
		USGS topographic maps: <i>Title(s) and/or date(s)</i> .
		The topographic maps. The top and or date top.

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, LOUISVILLE DISTRICT 600 DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR PL LOUISVILLE, KY 40202

August 31, 2021

Regulatory Division South Branch ID No. LRL-2016-1050-sea

Mr. David Mindel Mindel Scott 5151 Jefferson Blvd, 2nd Floor Louisville, Kentucky 40219

Dear Mr. Mindel:

This is in regard to a jurisdictional determination (JD) request dated February 22, 2021, that waters located at 6406 Leisure Lane be reevaluated under the Navigable Waters Protection Rule published in the Federal Register on April 21, 2020. The JD request is located in Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky (Latitude: 38.100727° N and Longitude: 85.645628°W).

The U. S. Army Corps of Engineers exercises regulatory authority under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 USC 403) and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1344) for certain activities in "waters of the United States (U.S.)". These waters include all waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce.

Based on a review of the submitted information, we have determined that the identified NWPR Open Water Pond and NWPR Wetland 1, are excluded from regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. As such, these features are not considered to be "waters of the U.S." and are not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. However, this determination does not relieve you of the responsibility to comply with applicable state law. We urge you to contact the Kentucky Division of Water, 300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 to determine the applicability of state law to your project.

This letter contains an approved JD for your subject site. If you object to this determination, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331. Enclosed you will find a Notification of Appeal Process (NAP) fact sheet and Request for Appeal (RFA) form. If you request to appeal this determination, you must submit a completed RFA form to the Lakes and Rivers Division Office at the following address:

U.S. Army Engineer Division, ATTN: Regulatory Appeal Review Officer, CELRD-PD-REG 550 Main Street - Room 10-714 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3222

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete, that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 CFR Part 331.5, and that it has been received by the Division Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP. Should you decide to submit an RFA form, it must be received at the above address by **November 1, 2021.** It is not necessary to submit an RFA form to the Division office if you do not object to the determination in this letter.

This approved JD is valid for a 5-year period from the date of this letter unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date. Our comments on this project area limited to only those effects, which may fall within our area of jurisdiction, and thus does not obviate the need to obtain other permits from State or Local agencies. Lack of comments on other environmental aspects should not be construed as either concurrence or nonconcurrence with stated environmental impacts.

The delineation included herein has been conducted to identify the location and extent of the aquatic resource boundaries and/or the jurisdictional status of aquatic resources for purposes of the Clean Water Act for the particular site identified in this request. This delineation and/or jurisdictional determination may not be valid for the Wetland Conservation Provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended. If you or your tenant are USDA program participants, or anticipate participation in USDA programs, you should discuss the applicability of a certified wetland determination with the local USDA service center prior to starting work.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact us by writing to the District Regulatory Office at the above address, ATTN: CELRL-RDS, or contact me directly at (502) 315-6711 or Sarah.E.Atherton@usace.army.mil. Any correspondence on this matter should refer to our ID Number LRL-2016-1050-sea.

Sincerely,

Sarah Atherton Project Manager, South Branch Regulatory Division

Enclosure